CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

----- X

November 15, 2018 Start: 2:18 p.m. Recess: 3:03 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: BEN KALLOS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Chaim M. Deutsch

Ruben Diaz, Sr. Vanessa L. Gibson

Andy L. King

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Genevieve Michel, Executive Director of Government Affairs, Department of Housing, Preservation and Development, HPD

Lacey Tauber, Housing, Director of Development and Planning, Housing Preservation & Development, HPD

Luis Salguero, Asset Manger, Division of Asset Management, Housing, Preservation and Development, HPD

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Good afternoon. 3

Welcome to the Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions and Concessions. I'm Council Member Ben Kallos. That's @benkallos to anyone on social media who wish just to talk about the exceeding-the exciting items before our committee. I have the privilege of chairing this subcommittee. We're joined today by Council Member Ruben Diaz, Sr. Today, we'll be holding hearings on two projects, Land Use Item 257 McClinton URA Site 7 and Land Use Item 258, 590 Southern Boulevard. If you're here to testify, please fill out a speaker slip with the sergeant-atarms, and indicate the Land Use of the item you wish to testify on, on that slip. Before we begin our hearing or throughout the process of our hearings, we will vote to approve Land Use Item 232 at Park and Elton in Land Use Chair Salamanca's district in the Bronx, and Land Use Item 240 and MEC 125<sup>th</sup> Street in Council Member Ayala's district in Manhattan. Both projects are the subject of hearings held on November 1<sup>st</sup>. The approval of Land Use Item 232 to facilitate the development of a 37-housing unit affordable to households with income ranges of 27 to 90% of AMI.

Do we have the translation into actual incomes? Which 2 is roughly incomes of \$21,930 a year to about between 3 \$58,000 and \$73,000 a year including six homeless 4 sea-aside units. All of these units will be subject 5 to rent stabilization. Specifically, HPD is seeking 6 7 an amendment of the previously approved Urban Development Action Area Project for property located 8 at 3120 Park Avenue, Block 2418, Lot 16 and 451 East 9 159<sup>th</sup> Street, Lot 2381 and Lot 43 in the Bronx. 10 application also requests approval of a tax exemption 11 12 pursuant to Article XI of the Private Housing Finance Law. Land Use Chair Salamanca is supportive of this 13 application, and Land Use 240 MEC 125th Street, HPD 14 15 is seeking approval of new tax exemption pursuant to 16 Article XI of the Private Housing Finance Law. approval of Land Use 240 will facilitate the 17 18 development of 404 residential units including 268 affordable and 134 market rate. More than 62,000 19 20 square feet of commercial space, 5,800 square feet for a cultural community facility, 10,000 square feet 21 2.2 of public open space and 121 parking spaces. 23 2008, the City Council approved a rezoning, UDAAP designation Urban Renewal Plan amendment and 24 disposition for all the lots in this project: 25

4

2 1790, Lots 1, 3, 5, 6, parts of Lot 8, 41, 44, 45, 3 46, and 101. After a 2006 RFP related to these properties have been issued by the New York City Economic Development Corporation. Council Member 5 6 Ayala is supportive of this project. We will hold on 7 a vote on these two items and proceed with a hearing on the items previously mentioned. Our first item 8 will be Land Use 257, Clinton URA Site 7 in Speaker 9 Johnson's district in Manhattan. This proposed 10 Article XI tax exemption will facilitate the 11 completion of a project at 540 West 53<sup>rd</sup> Street in 12 13 Hells Kitchen neighborhood of Manhattan. 14 consist of one residential building with 103 15 affordable dwelling units, over 20,000 square feet of 16 commercial space and about 2,500 square feet of open 17 The Council previously approved an Article XI space. 18 tax exemption in 2014 under resolution 527, but the project has taken longer that anticipated to receive 19 20 a permanent certificate of occupancy so this approval is needed to amend the prior resolution to extend the 21 2.2 completion deadline for the project. The prior 23 resolution is also being amended to extend the tax exemption to the commercial space, an exemption area 24 while the building is under construction. I will now 25

District 3. 540 West 53<sup>rd</sup> Street is part of a 3-

2 parcel development site that was pre-that previously received ULURP approval for the Urban Development 3 Action Area Designation, project approval and 4 disposition by the City Council on June 26, 2014, 5 Resolution No. 333 followed by approval of Article XI 6 7 tax benefits on December 17, 2014, Resolution 527. The sponsor for Clinton site 7 acquired title to the 8 project area in April 2015 under HPD's Mixed Income 9 program, which facilitates new construction of 10 multiple dwelling buildings for families with a mix 11 12 of incomes. The Clinton Site 7 project includes new 13 construction of 130-unit permanently affordable rental building with units affordable to families 14 15 earning between 80% and 155% of the area median 16 income, AMI, as well as commercial space and 17 community gardens. Currently, construction on the 18 relevant portion of Lot 1 is ongoing and will include 14 studios, 37 1-bedrooms, 47 2-bedrooms and 3-oh, 19 20 sorry, and 4 3-bedroom apartments plus one superintendent's unit. Therefore, HPD is before the 21 2.2 subcommittee seeking to amend Resolution 527 from 23 2014, which approved the tax benefits for the exemption area provided that the building received a 24 permanent certificate of occupancy on or before 30 25

months from the effective date of April 30, 2015. Given the project did not yet receive a permanent certificate of occupancy as of yet, the prior resolution must be amended to extend the completion deadline. Additionally, the prior resolution requires amending to extend the tax exemption to the commercial space during construction. Currently the cumulative value of the Article XI tax exemption is approximately \$40,193,564 and the net present value is \$11,228,921. All other aspects of the project remain the same.

we're most interested in learning in the Council in our oversight role is when projects go wrong why in hopes that we can learn from our mistakes as we move forward. Why didn't this building at 540 West 53<sup>rd</sup>

Street that ostensibly appears to be on the appropriate path. Four years is a little longer than I think it should take, and I think it's usually supposed to take three years. So, I guess what was the initial delay? Why did it take four years, and why has it not attained a permanent certificate of occupancy as scheduled?

2.2

1	CONCESSIONS 10
2	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: My understanding is
3	there have been various construction delays on the
4	side of the developer. Not on the HPD side?
5	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is this a developer
6	that HPD had-what's the name of the developer?
7	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Clinton Housing
8	Development Corporation.
9	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is it a special
10	purpose developer or do they have other projects?
11	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: They have other
12	projects?
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And are those
14	projects experiencing similar delays?
15	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I'm not sure that I
16	can speak across the board to their portfolio?
17	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Could you share with
18	us whether or not this project—if this is not HPD's
19	fault, would you share which other project this
20	current developer has, and if those also are
21	experiencing similar problems of if those are all on
22	track?
23	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Yeah, we can chat with

Council Land Use about the best way to show that.

25

1 CONCESSIONS 11 2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sure. Tell-tell me about the commercial space. Is that going to be 3 available to mom and pops or is it earmarked to be a 4 bank or what-what is the vision for the commercial 5 6 space? 7 GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I can't speak to that. I think that, you know, was up to the developer and 8 what was negotiated in ULURP for the Speaker in 2014. 9 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. [pause] And we 10 don't have the developer here so we don't have any-do 11 12 you know what the total project cost is? 13 GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I actually do not. 14 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. Would you 15 submit the total project cost? 16 GENEVIEVE MICHEL: [pause] Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Would you submit 18 the-do-do you have the hard costs versus soft costs overall for the project? 19 20 GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I do not. We can submit it with the developer. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In previous 23 hearings, in fact, almost every hearing since I have

25 people are going to be able to afford to live in the

been Chair I have asked about MWBE whether or not

housing they are building or whether or not they will actually need to avail themselves of it. This is all information we've hoped that HPD would include in their testimony. Are you privy to any of that

5 their testimony. Are you privy to any or the

6 information on this project?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I am not.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I am extraordinarily disappointed that we continue to ask for this information, and--

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Understood. I think, you know, this is a little bit different than some of the projects we normally bring before the Committee because it's just an extension of something that was previously negotiated with the Council.

are. If only all the projects that HPD approved turned into—stayed on track and didn't need to come back. Moving forward, I would just ask that please submit the additional information relating to the questions I ask at every single hearing, we agree that some of the additional information regarding the MWBE status of the developer and the contractors that they're working with as well as whether or not

2.2

2.2

they've hit. Do you know if they hit their Hire NYC obligation?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: We'll discuss with them, and that's something we follow up.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, similarly on MWBE prevailing-what do you call it? Wage standards, any job standards. This is actually a unique situation because usually we don't actually have a finished building that doesn't have a C of O. So, in this case we can actually learn a lot to see what happened. Were there any additional subsidies on this or is it just the Article XI?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I'm not sure.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Would you submit the closing sheet as a—in addition to all the other items? I believe it's public information.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Let me discuss it with the team and get back to you. Again, this is a priority of the Speaker and want to make sure that we're not doing anything outside of the realm of a project off track.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It seems like it's on track. Do you know, when they're going to get their C of O?

2.2

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I do not.

3 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Why are we—why are
4 you seeking the Article XI extension now versus when
5 this—versus when they get the C of O. Is it possible
6 that you'll be back here next year because they still

7 don't have the C of O?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I certainly hope not.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. Seeing no other questions, I will close this hearing pending HPD providing additional information. I will only close the hearing on that one item. Are there any—are there any members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none—[ringing phone] [laughter] I—

MALE SPEAKER: I would prefer that.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We—we can—we could have had a little dance. So, we're now closing the public hearing on Land Use Item 2057 after having a brief interlude for a dance, and the application will be laid over. Our second hearing today is on Land Use Item 258, 590 Southern Boulevard in Council Member Ayala's district. The propose Article XI tax exemption would facilitate the preservation of a 27-unit HDFC co-op that has been a candidate for Third Party Transfer round 10. HPD pulled the building

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

2 from the Third Party Transfer Program because the 3 progress the HDFC Board had made towards resolving 4 many outstanding issues including routine 5 maintenance, commercial and water/sewer repairs. 6 is seeking a new full tax exemption to address the 7 outstanding real estate tax arrears. The current tax 8 exemption that HDFC receives is a partial tax exemption that is set to expire in June of 2029. 9 10 now open the public hearing on Land Use Item 597-on the Land Use Item 597 Southern Boulevard, and would 11 12 like to invite HPD to present its testimony. I'm 13 going to ask the Counsel to please administer the 14 oath.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your name.

LACEY TAUBER: Lacey Tauber.

LUIS SALGUERO: Luis Salguero

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

LACEY TAUBER: Yes.

LUIS SALGUERO: Yes.

25 LACEY TAUBER: Okay, ready?

2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

LACEY TAUBER: Okay, okay. LU 529 consists of an exemption area containing one privately owned partially occupied residential building with two commercial spaces located at 599 Southern Boulevard in Bronx Council District 8, for which HPD is seeing an Article XI tax exemption. Southern Boulevard was taken into city ownership in 1978, and subsequently entered into the Community Management Program. On June 29, 1995, HPD conveyed the property to the existing occupants of the housing project for persons or families or low-income as defined in Section 576 of Article XI of the Private Housing Finance Law. It is a 27-unit building with five vacancies. There is a unit mixture of 6 1bedroom, 5 2-bedroom and 16 3-bedroom apartments. These are occupied by 12 shareholders who pay \$693 per month in maintenance as well as 10 renters who pay between \$804 for a 1-bedroom unit, and \$1,260 for a 2-bedroom unit. The two commercial spaces are currently occupied by a deli/grocery store, and an electronics store. The property was on the initial list of eligible buildings in Round 10 of HPD's Third-Party Transfer Program. Due to mismanagement

2 by a non-functioning board that failed to raise maintenance fees, which coupled with low collections 3 4 rates resulted in inability to meet financial 5 obligations. HPD's Asset Management team worked with the HDF before a transfer. I'm sorry. Before the 6 7 transfer through TPT would have been required to help them reach the required milestone the city removed 8 from the program and retain ownership of the 9 property. We included a checklist for your reference 10 11 with the testimony.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I've got it?

LACEY TAUBER: It's a-it's not what you--

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I found it. Thank

15 you.

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

shareholders worked out a plan to save their
building. Those efforts include modifying the
original mortgage, executing a new regulatory
agreement with HPD, electing a new board, developing
a marketing plan to sell vacant units, crating
payment plans to address municipal arrears including
property taxes, water and sewer charges and
commercial arrears and developing a 5-year financial
plan to ensure the building long-term financial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

health. This plan mandated for a 2% annual increase of rent and maintenance and \$200 per residential unit designated annually to the building's reserve account. Vacant units will be marketed to households making up to 120% of AMI. Additionally, they will address their few Housing Code violations, which will be cleared from HPD's database. In order to keep the property free of such violations in the future, the property manager and the board has developed a plan to make routine repairs as needed with funds set aside from the increasing cashflow. Because the HDFC met these milestones, the HPD removed the building TPT Round 10, and it remains privately owned. effort to help maintain continued affordability and stability in the building, HPD is before the Council seeking retroactive tax benefits dating back to 2010, and for a term of 40 years that will coincide with the Regulatory Agreement establishing certain controls over the property such as mandating annual maintenance increases, and hiring of third-party manager. They have already hired the new management company ADC NY Realty Corp. The cumulative value of the tax benefits for the 40-year term is \$2,506,331 and the net present value is \$1,090,984. [coughs]

1 CONCESSIONS 19 2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In your testimony 3 you said this was LU 529. I believe the correct LU 4 number is LU 258. Can you correct that? 5 LACEY TAUBER: Oh, I'm sorry. So, that's 6 the value, \$258. I'm sorry. We have the wrong thing 7 in the testimony. We can send you an updated version. I'm sure where that happened. 8 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I believe your 9 cumulative value for the 40-year term is low for a 10 net present of a million dollars. 11 12 LACEY TAUBER: I think it's because the-13 of the--14 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Your running 8 (sic) 15 versus the-the partial high continued. 16 LACEY TAUBER: I'm sorry, what? 17 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Why do you believe-18 why do you believe net-the-the cumulative value should only be \$2.5 while the net present is a 19 20 million? LACEY TAUBER: I think it's because the 21 2.2 net present takes into account the-the retroactive as 23 well.

much is the retroactive tax abatement?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How much is the-how

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 20
2	LACEY TAUBER: I have that. One second.
3	\$397,976. That's what was owed as of October.
4	They've been paying it down. So, it could actually e
5	less.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm going to-we're
7	going dig on third-party, but before we do, we're
8	going to ask the Committee Counsel to call the roll
9	on the first two Land Use Items.
10	LEGAL COUNSEL: On LU—on LUs 232 and LU
11	240 to approve, Council Member Kallos.
12	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Aye.
13	LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Deutsch.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Aye.
15	LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Diaz.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Aye.
17	LEGAL COUNSEL: By a vote of 3 in the
18	affirmative, 0 in the negative and 0 abstentions, the
19	vote is held open.
20	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I want to thank both
21	of my colleagues, and I will urge them to get back to
22	their districts. That snow is really coming down,
23	and I know that Council Member Chaim Deutsch will

25 constituents, and helping people with any cars that

probably be out there with a shovel for his

that would-

1 2.2 CONCESSIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How much is the 3 water bill, and are they-are they being forgiven for 4 their payment agreement for the back taxes? 5 LUIS SALGUERO: So, any—any payment agreements with back taxes that would credited. So, 6 7 once the-if the Article XI were to expire say in 40 years, whatever they paid before would be given as a 8 tax credit. So, they wouldn't lose that—that money 9 10 that they use to pay their taxes. 11 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In 40 years they'll 12 get it or they'll get it back now? LUIS SALGUERO: Well, they wouldn't get a 13 14 check by mail. It would just be a credit. 15 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, sure. So, 16 it's about \$490,000 and then the-so, you're-you're 17 assuming the cumulative is like \$600--\$5 of \$600,000 18 for the moving forward tax benefit? LACEY TAUBER: We don't have that exact 19 20 breakdown. We can get that for you. 21 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes, please. 2.2 LACEY TAUBER: I think it should be in 23 the Tax Benefit Analysis, but--

very grateful to HPD for removing this building from 25

24

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] I am

Third Party Transfer. I believe I have raised concerns about I think the Third Party Transfer Program is a useful tool and I'm concerned any time that we're taking a homeownership opportunity away from somebody, and transferring it into a rental situation. Are the HDFC tenants going to maintain their ownership those that are owners?

LACEY TAUBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The rental tenants will they maintain as rental tenants or they have a homeownership opportunity?

LACEY TAUBER: They'll have the option to purchase into the building.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Are there any tenants who are--

LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] Subject to Board approval. Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Does—are any of the tenants going to be excluded due the fact that they earn more than 120% of AMI?

22 LUIS SALGUERO: No.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: What is the current AMI of the tenants?

2.2

fall within the income limits. Let me see if I can find that sheet for you right now, but I have a little-

LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] This is a small building. So, I'm not sure what kind of level of details that we can share beyond that due to privacy reasons, but--

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] Do you know about?

12 LACEY TAUBER: I can say that they're all well under.

LUIS SALGUERO: They're definitely well under 120% of AMI.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: There are vacant units in this building?

LUIS SALGUERO: Correct.

LACEY TAUBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: At 120% of AMI that could be a single individual making \$86,000 or a family of 6 earning \$144,000?

LUIS SALGUERO: Current it is. Uh-hm.

LACEY TAUBER: Well, the units as of ourare they all 1 and 2-bedrooms?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND 1 CONCESSIONS 25 2 LUIS SALGUERO: So, they have-3 LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] Or they're--LUIS SALGUERO: --2-bedrooms also. 4 5 LACEY TAUBER: Oh, one is a 3, so you probably wouldn't have a family a six. Well, I 6 7 guess-I'm sure you could. LUIS SALGUERO: Well, so-so you don't--8 LACEY TAUBER: Okay. Sorry. 9 10 LUIS SALGUERO: When it comes to how many family members you can have in an apartment, there's 11 12 no specific number that you have to use for a single 13 bedroom. So, you could have 2 or a family of 2 or 4 I believe. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Those-is the-is the 16 right number here 120% of AMI or is the right number 17 here lower? 18 LACEY TAUBER: Well, so the 120% AMI is the cap for what the vacant unit can be sold for, but 19 20 we anticipate that it will—the actual selling price will be significantly below what that cap is set up 21 2.2 just due to the market, and based on some recent

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] I believe that is within—I believe that is within the

sales in the borough. (sic)

23

24

1 CONCESSIONS 26 2 Land use purview to determine whether or not the units will be affordable to folks in the neighborhood 3 and so I-I appreciate that you're giving a commitment 4 5 to be well below. My question is just how much further below to the extent you're not willing to 6 7 reveal the AMIs of the people living in the building, I understand. What is the AMIs for people in the 8 surrounding census tract? 9 LACEY TAUBER: It's about between 30 and 10 11 40%. 12 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, that's pretty 13 close--14 LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] In the-in 15 the community. 16 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --to making \$21,000 17 LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] And was not 18 the tract, to be clear. Sorry. We don't have it that specific. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, in the community people-so 30 to 40% is \$21,930 to \$29,240 for 21 2.2 individuals and if it was a family of 6, that would 23 be \$36,300 to \$48,400. So, I guess given the fact that the community is actually four times lower added 24

to lower bound, then that 120% of AMI is HPD

2.2

2 committed to making vacant units at that range at 3 that 30 to 40 range.

the sale is type, it's—it's a calculation, you know, that the Board need to make with their management company about the price that they need to, you know, maintain the building taking into consideration what the market will—will bear. All we said is the ceiling.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And I'm asking if you can set a lower ceiling?

that I could say was that we could negotiate that with the—with the building with the corporation to see if they were willing to go to a lower AMI. I mean we're always welcoming a lower AMI. The only ceiling that we put was 120. If they want to go to 80% of AMI, we can amend the Regulatory Agreement.

LACEY TAUBER: But I think, you know, this is pretty standard for how we do this kind of transaction, and I think it's just because this is a 40-year Regulatory Agreement, but things can change over time. We want to allow them flexibility, but I think that we can say with confidence that based on

2.2

recent sales, if, you know, they have now a 5-year plan to market the vacant units. So, they're going to be doing that pretty proactively in the very near future and, you know, based on what the market has borne for sales in this building that happened fairly recently, I think we can confidently say that the sales will be lower than the ceiling by quite a bit if they're anything like the recent sales prices.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The current rent is 6—sorry. The current maintenance is \$693. You are including increasing that by 2% moving forward as well as \$200 per residential unit. So, is that going to be a one-time fee per year or is it going to be spread out across the—each monthly payment?

LACEY TAUBER: The \$200 would be a one-time fee per year into our reserve account that the building will hold in a bank.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In other situations,

I've seen certain tenant protections where tenants

were limited to paying no more than a certain

percentage of their income. Will tenants in this

building be able to have access to that resource? I

believe you called it Project Based Section 8. I

don't know if it's available for HDFC situations.

2	

LACEY TAUBER: You are not using vouchers in this building that they—the project based Section 8 is for rentals, but is—is there something on this restructuring that takes their current incomes into account?

LUIS SALGUERO: So, unfortunately renters in HDFC Co-ops are not rent fixed.

LACEY TAUBER: Right, so we're—we're seeing that they are going to be given the option to purchase into the building.

LUIS SALGUERO: Correct.

LACEY TAUBER: And I think ultimately that's, well, I don't want to speak for the board,

but--

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If somebody does not take it, how will they have to buy their unit for?

Is \$250 to purchase this or \$2,500? What is the purchase cost for tenants?

2.2

LUIS SALGUERO: So, it will be in this case they wouldn't have to have the option to buy for \$250 what the original shareholders have the ability to. The board will have to set the—the prices. Now, the last two units they were sold for about \$30,000 I

2.2

believe, but it really depends on the conditions of the apartment and what the market is going to offer.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Under the new regulatory regime, if a unit is purchased, if a 3-bedroom is purchased at the 120% of AMI level, what is going to be?

LUIS SALGUERO: I could tell you right now. Give me one second. I can see it right there. [background comments, pause] So, I don't have the schedule with me, but it would be roughly I would say about \$400,000.

LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] Oh, I'm sorry. You were asking about—I was like still thinking about the rental question. You were asking about the maximum sale price?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I—I was actually going to double back for the rental question--

LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] Oh, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --and I was just--

LACEY TAUBER: [interposing] Okay.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, it it's at \$400,000 is HPD going to assist the folks with purchasing if they don't have access to credit or

25 don't have access to loans?

1	CONCESSIONS 31
2	LUIS SALGUERO: I don't know of any
3	program that we have that has funds available like
4	that.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. So, I guess to
6	the extent that it's likely that people will still
7	maintain as renters, what will-what protections will
8	they have moving forward as part of—in your
9	Regulatory Agreement? [pause] For the 10 renters,
10	what will their rents be and who will they be renting
11	from?
12	LUIS SALGUERO: So, right now they will
13	be renting from the corporation.
14	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and so what
15	protections will they receive?
16	LUIS SALGUERO: As s renter, they
17	currently do not have a protection against
18	maintenance increases. So, if the board
19	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] They
20	don't pay maintenance. They just pay rent?
21	LUIS SALGUERO: Ooh, sorry. They have
22	rent increases.
23	LACEY TAUBER: But the—the 5-year plan is

actually mandating the 2% annual increase for the—its

2 rent and maintenance. It's the same schedule for 3 both. That's part of the Regulatory Agreement

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, renters will because the rents are higher than the maintenance, renters will actually bear a bigger brunt of the increases?

LUIS SALGUERO: That—that is—that is correct.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Does HPD have a program that can be rolled out for people in HDFC-HDFCs that are going into this type of situation? It seems like the—the owners will receive windfalls, but the folks who are currently renting will not, and will bear more of the burden and won't even necessarily be able to purchase the apartments.

LACEY TAUBER: We can check on that. I'm not sure if there's a program that they can access. I can double check with the team on that.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It stands to reason. Is there a reason why HPD wouldn't allow the 10 renters to just be grandfathered into buy in wat the previous shareholder rate? Who were they currently paying rent to?

2.2

1	CONCESSIONS 33
2	LUIS SALGUERO: To the-to the
3	corporation.
4	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I—I believe this is
5	the first instance I've ever heard of where the HDFC
6	had tenants at least since I've-
7	LACEY TAUBER: Sometimes it's not that
8	uncommon to have both.
9	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Why wouldn't the
10	HDFC just make the unit available for sale?
11	LUIS SALGUERO: Well, ideally, our goal
12	would be to reach 100% shareholder occupancy.
13	However, that just-it's not usually the case in HDFC
14	co-ops, and the way that the laws are set up, they
15	don't have as a requirement to have 100% shareholder
16	occupancy. I mean under the spirit of which the-the
17	HDFCs were created, yes, that's—that's what we saw,
18	but that's not the reality at the moment, and
19	shareholders no—and renters are not necessarily
20	protected from rent increases.
21	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Committee Counsel,
22	please continue calling the roll.
23	LEGAL COUNSEL: On items LU 232 and LU

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I vote aye.

240, Council Member Gibson, how do you vote?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, Council Member Gibson, please travel safely home to the Bronx, and—

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: An hour and a half.

Charter Just stay—stay away from the slippery roads and be cautious, please. The snow is really coming down. It seems just—in our conversation right now it seems that you—you would agree that it is important that tenants receive a—tenants where the landlord is receiving subsidies from the city for affordable housing should be protected in their affordable housing and should have more than what you're saying, you know, protections.

LUIS SALGUERO: Uh-hm.

LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, I mean I think that's why the goal is to get to 100% shareholder occupancy. I just don't know right now if they're—like what assistance we can offer if any to those renters. We can—I can send that out and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I—I believe you and I know that you're earnest and I would like HPD to come back if necessary with the Regulatory Agreement

The reason why they is that they aren't?

2.2

that says that these tenants have protections. I'm

not sure why they don't have rent regulation given

the age of these buildings. [coughs] Is it—and the

amounts of rent and the ages of these buildings would

make me believe that this should be covered by rent

regulation especially since this was a 1978 building.

LUIS SALGUERO: So, I'm—I'm not an expert, but I think just because of the law, the coops don't have that same protection as rental buildings.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, but you're open to trying to see if there's a way to do it through the Regulatory Agreement?

LUIS SALGUERO: Yes. We'll-we'll discuss that. Uh-hm.

when a building goes through the Third Party
Transfer, you deal with the rent arrears. Sorry,
with the tax arrears. You deal with the water
arrears. Those get waived when it gets transferred
to—to a third party non-profit. It then gets handed
to a real estate developer along with the tax
abatement. So, this is following very similarly.

2 However, if I understand, in Third Party Transfer

3 they also have access to loans from HPD. I believe

4 | the average target is \$90,000, but that number can

5 change. Is that accurate?

6 LUIS SALGUERO: That sounds about right.

7 It's the

2.2

LACEY TAUBER: I don't have the TPT Term Sheet in front of me. Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, if my memory serves, it is \$90,000 per unit, and so I guess the question is do the units in this building need additional renovations? Does the building need any work, and [coughing] is there any ability to offer the tenants here similar incentives as you offer forprofit developers to take over?

LACEY TAUBER: So, the violations in this building are pretty minimal. It's something that we think that they can address without HPD subsidy, but I would say in general if you look at the checklist that we attached to the testimony, one of the things for helping that these buildings need to do is in general is to come out of TPT is to create a plan to address code violations and sometimes when they are significant enough to necessitate, you know, a real

1 CONCESSIONS 38 2 LACEY TAUBER: Yes, there is about—almost 3 \$14,000. They have the reserved funds and they're 4 working on a payment plan for that. 5 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Are there emergency repair program changers on the front? 6 7 LACEY TAUBER: No. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It appears there is 8 not going to be construction, but if there is, will 9 tenants be able to remain in place? 10 LACEY TAUBER: The construction 11 12 shouldn't-if-if anything, it's not going to be 13 significant enough to require anyone to move. 14 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: will there be a foot 15 tax or are the people who live in this building going 16 to be able to sell their units at market rate if they 17 want to? 18 LUIS SALGUERO: There is a-there is a flow (sic) text under the Regulatory Agreement, which 19 20 is a 70/30 split. 70/30 of the profit goes towards the shareholder, 30% is retained by the-by the co-op. 2.1 2.2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In your testimony 23 you indicated that there would be a middle management

company ACS and Ryan(sic) Realty Corp. Does ADC and

Ryan (sic) Corp a for-profit, non-profit. Is it an

24

2.2

2 MWBE? If it is not certified, do you happen to know 3 the makeup of the board?

LUIS SALGUERO: No, so, the is the first time that I'm actually here with them, but when they come to sign the Regulatory Agreement—when the HDFC comes to sign the Regulatory Agreement, we will have to requires the management company to become certified with HPD. So, they'll have to submit an RFQ, a Request for Qualification and just we will have to verify that they are, you know, up to standards.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And are the tenants supporting this change?

LUIS SALGUERO: They are and just we will have to verify that they are, you know, up to standards.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And are the tenants supporting this change?

LUIS SALGUERO: They are.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Great. There are a number of HDFCs that were transferred aside of—in the Bronx, Brooklyn and Queens. Is there—and I believe they currently reside with Neighborhood Restore. I also understand based on a previous hearing that HPD

2.2

has a—a controlling interest in the votes on

Neighborhood Restore such to say that they are not
necessarily an independent entity, but they follow
the direction of HPD. Is there any opportunity for
more HDFCs to follow these laudable steps of being—I
believe at the hearing you wouldn't actually commit
in all finality to who would get the properties as
part of the Third Party Transfer. What opportunity
is there for this model to occur with other HDFCs
that may have already been transferred to
Neighborhood Restore?

LACEY TAUBER: I mean for the ones that have been transferred, that's the outcome. I mean the—the reason that this building was able to come out was that they were able to, you know, meet the—all of the things that are on this checklist and those other buildings we're not able to do that in the say way, and that's why they remained in Land 10. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I—I would ask that

HPD seriously consider looking at least at the HDFCs

to see if those HDFCs—and—and I think just you've got

a good thing here. I think it's a great model. I'd

love to see it, and I—I challenge anyone watching to

2.2

go watch the hearing. I believe from August or July where we went—it would have been August because I was back from paternity leave where we really dug in and I believe the Deputy Commissioner said that it is up to HPD—it is up to Neighborhood Restore and HPD to determine who ultimately receives the properties, and that the—the list that was provided to the Council was still subject to change.

LACEY TAUBER: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, I don't see why a for-profit developer or a non-profit developer needs to take somebody's cooperative when it could be given back to them. I want to thank you. There's additional items that you need to submit. Please do so. Are there any members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I will close the public hearing on Land Use Item 258 and the application will be laid over. There are some people in government who feel that if it rains or there's bad weather that they shouldn't have to show up places. It's currently snowing. It's expected to be pretty bad. I want to thank HPD for being here. I am proud to be here, and I hope that people at the highest levels of government will begin showing up to their previously

minute.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We are awaiting one
last vote. Are all the mics off? [pause] Hold on.
[background comments] Committee Clerk, pleas call
the-continue calling the roll.

CLERK: On LU 232 and LU 234 Council Member King, how do you vote?

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: I vote aye.

CLERK: By a vote of 5 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 0 abstentions the items are approve and recommended to the full Land Use Committee. [laughter]

Member King for braving the elements unlike a certain leader of the—not leader—a person who is higher up in the federal government than any of us are, a little rain might scare that person. This concludes today's hearing. I'd like to thank the Counsel and Land Use staff for preparing today's hearing and the members of the public and my colleagues for attending. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [gavel]

2.2

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 12, 2018