CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

April 30, 2009 Start: 1:16pm Recess: 3:39pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JOHN C. LIU Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Rivera
Council Member Foster
Council Member Sears
Council Member Stewart
Council Member Vann
Council Member Arroyo
Council Member Dickens
Council Member Mendez
Council Member Eugene
Council Member Mitchell
Council Member Gioia

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Samara Epstein Assistant Commissioner for Constituent Affairs New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission

Charles Frasier General Counsel New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission

Sara Myers Assistant Commissioner New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission

Tariq Mala

Felix Dominicana New York Coalition of Base Owners

Avik Cabeza New York Coalition of Base Owners

David Diaz

Sergio Sanchez Luxury Based Operators Association

Victor Dieselgoff Executive Director Black Car Assistance Corporation

Guy Pulumbo Secretary Treasurer Luxury Base Operators Association

Franchie Munez Executive Director New York State Federation of Taxi Drivers

Abnon Oberlander

Richard Pearlman

Lloyd Taylor

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Marquel Metropolitan Leasing

Jose Rodriguez Phoenix Car Service

James Berello Barino's Car Service

Isabel Guzman CR Radio Dispatch

Fernando Garcia

Darlene Sanchez United as One TLC Bases Association

Moises Vallos Driver Diamond Car Service

Rafael Rosario Driver Dominicana Car Service

Marina Maracella Mariachi's Car Service

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

_			7	
۷ .	CHAIRPERSON	LIU:	Welcome	to

today's hearing of the City Council's Committee on Transportation. My name is John Liu and I have the privilege of chairing this committee. Today we've convened for the purposes of examining the recently released regulations governing the for hire vehicle industry. They were approved two weeks ago on April 16th by the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission. Before and immediately after the TLC passed these new regulations, there have been a multitude of concerns and objections and questions raised by the industry, by drivers and the general public alike. And that is why we have convened today's oversight hearing, for the purpose of examining the justifications, the rationale and the implementation of these rules.

We have many witnesses today who wish to share their opinions. And we will be on a time limit when it's time to hear from the witnesses. We will not impose a time limit on the Taxi and Limousine Commission officials. I think they probably would prefer to have a time limit. But in any event, we are delighted to be joined by representatives of the Taxi and Limousine

Commission, Samara Epstein, Sara Myers, Charles Frasier and Gary Weiss. At this point I would invite our officials to please speak your word.

SAMARA EPSTEIN: Good afternoon

Chairman Liu and members of the Transportation

Committee. I am Samara Epstein, Assistant

Commissioner for Constituent Affairs at the New

York City Taxi and Limousine Commission. I'm

joined today by TLC's general counsel Charles

Frasier, our Assistant Commissioner for Licensing,

Sara Myers and our Deputy Commissioner for

Licensing, Gary Weiss.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you today about the proposed changes to our rules governing the for hire vehicle industry and the potential impact of these reforms. As an agency that licenses and regulates 50,000 vehicles and 100,000 drivers, the TLC strives to ensure all TLC regulated industries provide service that is safe, legal, accessible, courteous and reliable. Passenger safety is essential. These service goals can only be met when the TLC works with the riding public and its regulated industries to make sure that TLC policies and rules protect the

public, ensure quality service and respect existing business practices regardless of their regulated industry.

The last major review of the FHV rules occurred in 1998. Since then the industry has matured and grown to meet the evolving demands of riders throughout the city. You and other members of City Council recently acknowledged the need to address some of these changes with the passage of the Livery Passenger Bill of Rights. We agree about the need to improve communication with FHV passengers and many of our rules are essential to ensuring that the enforcement of those rights can take place and are meaningful.

We are all here today because the FHV industry plays a vitally important role in New York City's public transportation network. This industry is comprised of 488 livery or community car services that operate throughout all five boroughs, 75 black car bases that provide service to private businesses within the central business districts and 173 luxury limousine bases that offer premium services for special occasions.

With over 500,000 rides per day,

2.0

2.3

the livery industry alone provides dispatch
transportation to places like supermarkets, malls,
local train stations, hospitals, airports, ferry
terminals, business districts and other
destinations throughout New York City. These
services are especially important in areas that
are not as well served by other forms of public
transportation.

While most of the time these services are pre-arranged between the customer and the local base and the customer is picked up by a TLC licensed driver in a TLC licensed vehicle. A continuing concern of the TLC is the existence of illegal activity, including street hails, unlicensed drivers in vehicles that are unlicensed, low quality or have not been inspected.

The public expects to get into vehicles that are safe and reliable and it is TLC's responsibility as a regulator to ensure those expectations are met. Through work with community boards, Council Members, industry members and members of the riding public, TLC recognized a need for increased accountability in

the FHV industry. Furthermore TLC's Board of
Commissioners has repeatedly requested greater FHV
industry accountability at public meetings. As
you know, when base stations apply for a new
license or renew one they already have, TLC Board
votes to grant or deny the application.

In the last few years TLC

Commissioners expressed their growing desire for a systematic way to deal with bases with histories of bad business practices including numerous and serious violations such as dispatching unlicensed vehicles or unlicensed drivers. The Commissioners asked TLC staff to develop an objective standard that bases and vehicle owners will be held to.

These new rules are the response to that request and enable TLC to take action against bases with bad business practices that result in a legal, unsafe or poor service.

They also respond to concerns that have been voiced by members of the community boards, the Council, TLC's regulated industries and the public. Specifically, TLC found that FHV driver and vehicle owner accountability to the base station must be enhanced. The FHV industry

as a whole must be more accountable to the riding public and the TLC. As a response to concerns that were raised TLC began to look at ways to revise our rules to increase accountability.

By strengthening relationships between passengers and drivers, drivers and vehicle owners, vehicle owners and base stations and base stations and passengers, we will decrease illegal for hire activity through better education and communication with passengers. Passenger awareness will hold all FHV industry members more accountable for the service they provide. This will make it much more difficult for a legal or unsafe operators to stay in business. In turn, this will enhance passenger safety and restore a competitive edge to legal, for hire operators by increasing the value of FHV industry licenses and businesses.

The rules packages a comprehensive set of reforms that create stronger safety standards, strengthen incentives for higher standards of business operations and address the concerns and challenges of illegal for hire activity. The new rules package common sense

2.0

reform that recognizes industry practices. It clearly sets out the responsibilities of the driver, the vehicle owner and the base. It provides for stiffer penalties for illegal activities. It also advances vehicle safety standards by ensuring all FHV's are inspected at TLC state of the art inspection facility at least once during their license term.

Most importantly these rules create a more effective means to communicate license information to the riding public through new exterior livery vehicle markings, through interior display of the driver's TLC license and the livery passenger bill of rights. The strength of the rules rest in the fact that they reflect more than two years of extensive discussion with the riding public, the industry, analysis of enforcement statistics, field operations and extensive TLC Commission and staff time working together to develop a comprehensive set of needed regulatory reform.

Starting in the summer of 2006, TLC staffed an extensive survey with community car service drivers to gain further insight into how

2.0

2.3

this part of the industry operates. Nearly 6,000 surveys were sent out across the industry and the results of this survey demonstrated how hard TLC licensed drivers work to make a living, how the service provided varies depending on the base station's location and clientele and how licensed drivers must compete with illegal drivers and vehicles.

Suppression of illegal or pirate activity, as many FHV business owners refer to it, is of great importance to TLC regulated industries. Conversations with the riding public including representatives from Council Member offices and community boards confirm the need for TLC to help the public differentiate between legal and illegal cars and drivers and set some basic and clear standards for for hire vehicles. This initiative will standardize service across the five boroughs through clear identification of licensed vehicles and drivers as well as mandatory TLC inspections for all FHV's so as to ensure vehicle quality and safety.

In January 2007 TLC staff made the first of three formal presentations about FHV

reforms at a TLC public meeting. A portion of these rules were proposed at a public hearing in March 2007 when TLC staff made a second presentation on this subject. At least 15 testified at the hearing including representatives of the NYPD who voiced its support for these rules and their importance for ensuring passenger safety. They spoke about the difficulty for preventing crimes and apprehending perpetrators because of the lack of a clear identity for FHV's, making it difficult to track down vehicles that were used during or after a crime. NYPD testified again two weeks ago when they re-emphasized the importance of passing these rules.

In July 2007 TLC staff made a third public presentation on these issues. The presentation introduced ideas for improving FHV base and vehicle accountability. The Commissioners agreed on the ideas that were brought up at this time; increasing penalties for serious violations, revoking base licenses for repeatedly violating TLC rules regarding licensure including operating while on suspension, holding vehicle owners accountable for illegal conduct by

2.0

drivers and cars and requiring that bases and vehicle owners know the status of drivers' DMV and TLC licenses.

In sum, TLC staff has been discussing many aspects of these proposed rules since 2006. We had been working with drafts of the current rule package since this fall. We reached out to industry representatives, FHV passengers, community boards and spoke with approximately 250 base owners. TLC staff developed guides to the rules to make sure all stakeholders could gain a quick understanding of what was being proposed. English and Spanish version of the guides were posted on the web site along with the proposed rules and were emailed to everyone on TLC's list serve, which includes more than 2,000 people.

TLC staff had more than 30 meetings with TLC industry members including numerous follow up calls and emails to respond to all questions. Some of the groups we met with are the New York State Federation of Taxi Drivers, Black Car and Limousine Assistance Group, Luxury Base Operators Association, New York Limousine

2.0

2.3

Association, Limousine Association of New Jersey,	
the Limousine Association of New York, United as	
One Base Association, the New York City Fleet	
Owners Association and the New York City For Hire	
Base Group. We received letters of support	
regarding the FHV rules from almost all of these	
groups before the April 16th hearing.	

In addition, community boards 4 and 10 in Queens submitted comments expressing their support for the rules. Industry members spent a lot of time and energy working closely with TLC staff to express their concerns. The primary concern expressed by bases is about the penalty point system so I would like to give you a detailed version of the penalty point provisions.

The point system is critical to the rule's purpose of FHV accountability. These rules introduce a system of penalty points that is similar to the way persistent and critical violator points already work for TLC drivers.

When a base or vehicle accumulates too many points its license is revoked. Base licenses may be revoked if they get six points during the three year period of their licenses. Vehicle licenses

can be revoked if the vehicle get four points during the two year period of its licenses. When the base or vehicle license is renewed, they start their new license term with zero points for the new license period.

A vehicle receives a point for picking up passengers without a TLC license or if it is suspended or expired. Doing service without registration or if the registration is suspended or expired. Not meeting inspection requirements, allowing the car to be operated by a driver that does not have a current and valid DMV license and allowing the car to be operated by a driver who does not have the current and valid TLC license.

A base receives a point for dispatching a vehicle that is unlicensed or suspended, an affiliated vehicle having its license revoked for accruing four points, operating while its base license is suspended or expired, TLC needing to draw on its bond because the base did not pay fines and operating from an unlicensed location or moving without TLC's approval.

Due to industry concerns, TLC

2.0

raised the maximum number of penalty points vehicle and basses can occur before their licenses are revoked. This addressed concerns that bases and vehicles have no way to reduce points they receive. The TLC also responded by specifically asking about training courses that will allow for vehicles and bases to reduce their points in a request for information we released this week that seeks input on education for TLC licensees. With direct input from FHV business owners, TLC made sure the rules would allow for good businesses to thrive while giving TLC more ability to take action against businesses that endanger the public.

There were over 16 amendments made to the rules. Other changes included postponing the effective date of penalty points to give the industry time to implement policies and procedures. I have heard personally from industry members who felt we really listened to their concerns. They thanked the industry for listening to them and making the changes they requested.

Through this extensive feedback process, TLC has worked to develop standards that

2.0

make sense for our regulated industries while attaining our goal of ensuring passenger safety by requiring enhanced accountability among the TLC driver, vehicle owner and base or business owner. From a passenger's perspective, it is difficult to know whether the car you are getting in to is licensed properly, operated by a licensed driver and is actually the dispatched vehicle you have pre-arranged.

The new rules will require that all vehicles have three required TLC permit stickers, one on the windshield and one on each of the rear corridor windows to show that the vehicle is licensed by TLC to provide service. If it is a community car service of livery vehicle, it will also be required to have exterior markings with the base station name, license number and phone number on both sides of the vehicle or on the back of the car. In addition, all FHV drivers will need to display their TLC driver's license and vehicle licenses inside the car for passengers to see along with the new passenger bill of rights in livery vehicles.

Taken together these changes

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

empower the passenger to make good decisions so they are able to get into a car that is safe, works with the base he or she called for service and has a driver authorized to provide service to the public. These markings tell the passenger, this is a TLC licensed vehicle with a TLC licensed driver. This vehicle has been inspected by TLC, is affiliated with a base and licensed to provide transportation. Other proposed rules that further enhance this accountability include rules requiring bases and vehicle owner to know the DMV and TLC license status of drivers in vehicles they dispatch and create new penalties which can impact the vehicle and base owner for unlicensed operation.

In support of this effort, the TLC is undertaking its own efforts to make sure that license status information is readily available on its web site every day. All base owners will continue to be required to provide correct and up to date contact information, maintain a record of all vehicle dispatches and make sure that all vehicles and drivers affiliated with the base have current and valid DMV and TLC licenses.

2.0

has made arrangements with the DMV to allow for DMV and TLC licensing information to be available on line so that business owners can have instant free access to the most recent licensing status of their drivers to protect against unlicensed drivers doing business. The proposed rules package is extensive and will mean significant change to the industry. In response, the TLC has worked hard to develop a reasonable time line for implementation as well as the bilingual educational campaign for both the industry and the riding public so that changes can be implemented, understood and successfully complied with.

The TLC looks forward to the

Council's continued support and the work you do

with your constituents to make this reform package

successful. Passenger safety has to be the first

priority for this vital part of our transportation

network. This must be coupled with support for

our for hire business that look to provide legal,

reliable transportation. All New Yorkers deserve

safe and dependable transportation. The TLC

believes that the proposed for hire vehicle

industry accountability rules package will accomplish these goals. Thank you very much for your time this afternoon. I would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

much Ms. Epstein. You really breezed through that. I'm tired just having listened to you but great job. We've been joined by Council Members Oliver Koppell from the Bronx, Miguel Martinez from Manhattan and Julissa Ferreras from Queens and also Council Member Vincent Ignizio from Staten Island who is voting momentarily next door and will join us shortly.

There are a number of questions and that's precisely why--absolutely. Excuse me.

[Long pause]. Thank you Council Member Martinez.

Council Member Martinez notes that have the

Sergeant at Arms and also as had Council Member

Ferreras that there are dozens of people lined outside the city on gates waiting to come into this hearing. So at some point the hearing next door in the Council chambers is running a little bit late so as soon as they are finished, if they get finished soon we probably will move this

2.0

hearing in to the Council chambers where we can
accommodate more people. There are, I believe, in
addition to everybody in this room about 30 people
who are waiting to come into this hearing next
door and then, of course, several dozen people
still outside on Broadway outside the City Hall
gates. So we will try to accommodate as many
people as possible. The presence only indicates
the seriousness of the issues for which we have
convened today's hearing.

I guess I have a number of questions and so do my colleagues so I'll just kick it off by asking, what is the timing? What's the magic behind the timing for these rules to be enacted right now?

MS. EPSTEIN: Are you asking about the effective date?

CHAIRPERSON LIU: I'm asking about what the time frame is, why is it that the people were given relatively short notice and then all of a sudden there was a vote called for on April 16th, during a major holiday. What's the timing for this? Had this been planned for years.

Because we've been waiting for a long time for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some rules to be implemented.

And in fact, we waited for the Taxi and Limousine Commission to ask for several years and then finally some of us got fed up and we decided that we needed to pass a passengers bill of rights for riders in for hire vehicles, which we did a couple of months ago. Now all of a sudden the TLC is promulgating these rules. What is the rationale behind the timing of these rules? MS. EPSTEIN: As I mentioned in the testimony, this is something we've been working on for years. We've been speaking with members of the industry and people that represent the public, Council Member offices, community boards, about these rules for a long time. This fall we started working with active drafts of that. Then in terms of the notice for the meeting, as most of you probably know Commission hearings are usually held on the second Thursday of every month. Acknowledging the conflict with the start of Passover, which it was April 9th, we moved the hearing to April 16th and we made this date public on March 11th.

We were not aware of any conflicts

2.0

2.3

with this new date until we got a letter from some members of Council. That letter was sent on April 8th, which was also the start of Passover so we had a number of staff that were out at that time. The rules were posted on March 11th and sent to a list serve available on our web site. The comment period ended April 10th. Due to Capa we always have that 30 day comment period, leaving plenty of time for written comments prior to the holiday.

passed that date. At the hearing two people testified on behalf of others who couldn't be there because they were observing the holiday. But turnout at the hearing was extremely high. In addition, 23 people testified, which is a really high number for us as TLC. We did get a letter from some of the members of Council and we took into account. Because of that our Commissioners determined that TLC will take comments for an additional two weeks to make sure that all those who are interested in commenting had the opportunity to do so.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Well, just for the record many of the Commissioners actually

2.0

2.3

2	stated that they in fact had not been informed of
3	Council Members request to delay the vote for a
4	couple of weeks, perhaps a month. It didn't have
5	to be two weeks. It could have been the regular
6	TLC meeting in the month of May. So it is
7	certainly our opinion that the vote was rushed and
8	unnecessarily rushed given the magnitude of the
9	impact of these rules.

The idea that the Taxi and
Limousine Commission kept the comment period open
for an extra couple of weeks after the vote had
been taken already, surely you must understand how
that sounds. I should say how ridiculous that
sounds to people who have a comment. What do you
think would be the actual consequence or impact of
keeping the comment period open for an extra
couple of weeks? What does that do?

CHARLES FRASIER: The Commission's vote was to approve the rules but to direct-CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] Mr.

Frasier, could you identify yourself?

MR. FRASIER: Yes, I'm Charles
Frasier, I'm the General Counsel to the Taxi and
Limousine Commission. The Commission's direction

2.0

2.3

thus was to hold the comment period open for an additional period of time to allow anyone who had not been able to comment due to the Passover holiday to do so. In addition to that, of course, we undertook to talk to anyone else who might be interested in further commenting. The direction to us from the Commission was not to publish the rules. The rules don't become effective upon the vote of the Commission, they become effective upon their publication in the city record plus the passage of 30 days.

We have not submitted them to publication in the city record at the instruction of the Commission because we are instructed to evaluate the additional commentary that we get and determine whether we think that any changes need to be made. Obviously if they do, we need to go back for an additional Commission vote.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: So in other words the Taxi and Limousine Commission, the Commission itself could actually vote on rules and the staff could decide that well maybe the rules need to be changed and so the rules don't actually go into effect. Is that what you're saying.

2	kind of process the Taxi and Limousine Commission
3	is following here but you're testifying that well
4	the Commission, then they voted conditionally upon
5	staff review.
6	MR. FRASIER: It is a conditional
7	vote.
8	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Staff review
9	necessary because the Commission acknowledges that
10	because of a major holiday significant numbers of
11	people could not show up and provide their input
12	during the hearing?
13	MR. FRASIER: No, I don't know the
14	Commission is suggesting that at all.
15	CHAIRPERSON LIU: You just said
16	that before.
17	MR. FRASIER: I did not. I said
18	CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] You
19	said that the comment period, it was extended for
20	a couple of weeks due to the recognition that
21	there are people that could not make the hearing
22	because of the holidays.
23	MR. FRASIER: There was a claim
24	made that people couldn't make the hearing. I
25	have to tell you in the two weeks since then, we

2.0

have heard from nobody who was unable to be at the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Because the perception is that the Commission already took the vote. Why would anybody waste their time? These are not people who are sitting around watching TV at home. They have jobs; they have businesses to run. This is so contradictory. The process itself just raises so many red flags.

MR. FRASIER: I don't know what else we can do to convince you or anybody else of the good faith of our staff review. As Commissioner Epstein testify, we conducted three years worth of discussions with the industry, we made literally dozens of changes to this rule based on industry input. I don't know what the view of the staff is that leads to the conclusion that comment to us makes no difference. I can assure you, categorically, top to bottom, hand on the Bible, that is absolutely not the case.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Mr. Frasier
you're testifying that the Commission voted and
now I don't think it was clear to the general
public. It's certainly not clear to I would

gather the vast majority of the people in this room that that vote was conditional, that it was subject to revision. I think most people in this city understood it that that was a vote an that the rules were going to be implemented. Now you're stating--go ahead.

MR. FRASIER: I'm sorry. I can't say obviously what other people perceived it would be not a good thing, it was not our intention that anyone didn't understand that. Hopefully after our colloquy here today that is clearly understood. People have eight more days to submit comments and they should do so.

MS. EPSTEIN: And just one thing I wanted to add too is I personally followed up with every single group that I spoke with to make sure that they did understand that we're continuing to take comments and what had happened at the meeting. I may not have been able to get to every single person that was interested because our industries our huge. But I certainly did reach out to a lot of people to make sure they knew that.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Okay, but again I

will state the obvious. We have been joined by
Council Member Darlene Mealy of Brooklyn. I will
again state the obvious. The Commission took a
vote that day. That vote was widely reported for
representatives, to now sit in front of the City
Council saying that oh well they're still subject
to change and it wasn't perhaps a totally final
vote. It's somewhat ridiculous.

And in fact, that's precisely why
we sent the letter, many of us signed it, asking
the TLC just give people another two weeks or hold
the vote, delay the vote for another two weeks.
Or maybe just put it at the next regular monthly
meeting so that people could actually take part in
the process instead of the TLC in hindsight
understanding that because it was a major holiday
lots of people could not show up to the hearing
and therefore I have to say disingenuously
extending the comment period for another two
weeks. That's just the process; let's get to the
substance.

The substance is I think there are significant objections and I don't have a feeling one way or another on this issue before I hear the

TLC's testimony. The issue is the point system and how there are many participants in the industry that feel that the point system that the TLC is imposing is unduly burdensome to them and that it puts them at great risk in terms of having to shut down. Based on my understanding I think that is some truth to that.

Let me state from the outset that

Taxi and Limousine Commission's job is very

difficult. It's not easy regulating tens of

thousands of vehicles, drivers, owners, bases.

You have a difficult time and you have to make

sure people are safe. But the point system seems

to appear that the Taxi and Limousine Commission

in many ways covers itself by shifting a great

deal of the responsibility and the risk to base

station owners. That may work for the TLC's point

of view but it may not necessarily be to the

benefit of, certainly not the industry, but it may

not be to the benefit of the riding public and the

general public.

Also, who came up with this point system? And is this the only way to regulate the for hire vehicle industry in New York City?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EPSTEIN: The reason that we're all sitting at this table today is because we are the people, in addition to other staff of course, that worked on the point system. As someone who takes liveries myself, as a passenger whose loved ones take liveries, I feel pretty strongly that right now you just don't know what you're getting in to.

The base that I use all the time, I ask for a legal vehicles and they consistently try to send me wrong vehicles and I have to send them away all the time. So I am very concerned that people that don't know the rules as well as me can't get in to a legal car sometimes, even when they're trying. When it's dark it's hard to tell too; you don't know necessarily what to look for so that's really where this comes from is a concern for passenger safety. The penalty points, as I mentioned in the testimony, it comes from a lot of conversations that we've over the years with the Commissions and other people that were involved in our industry, other stakeholders that really asked for us to have some sort of objective standard so we can kick out persistent violators.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because we already have this for drivers and we think that it works pretty well. That's where the idea came from.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: That's fine. I can understand that you may have some of these personal experiences. I have known constituents to make similar complaints also. What kind of study did the Taxi and Limousine Commission conduct to determine the pervasiveness of, for example in your case, base stations sending out illegal cars.

MS. EPSTEIN: I don't know. Do you guys want to jump in because you also worked a lot on the rules? Maybe you can check that? I'll just start with is really, at least my involvement started in 2006 when we started talking to a lot of drivers. Every time I meet with base owners, they consistently have the major concern about other bases that are dispatching illegal cars and illegal drivers. They feel it's not fair to have to compete with people that really aren't abiding by these rules. That's where a lot of this came from; it was driven my the industry as well as when I go to community board meetings and speak

1	TRANSPORTATION 34
2	with members from some of your offices and the
3	concerns that we get from constituents.
4	Do you want to talk about the
5	development of the points?
6	SARA MYERS: Also, I work in
7	licensing
8	CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] I'm
9	sorry.
10	SARA MYERS: Sara Myers, I'm the
11	Assistant Commissioner in Licensing. We work a
12	lot with enforcement so a lot of these ideas also
13	came from routine enforcement. And also, it came
14	a lot through speaking with the Commissioners who
15	really wanted to focus on safety. The rules that
16	we analyzed, a lot of the persistent violations
17	that we analyzed had to do with unlicensed
18	activity and how that a connected to the safety
19	and the safety of passengers.
20	As I'm sure you're aware, thousands
21	of TLC rules for both base owners and vehicle
22	owners. We only chose a select view for the point
23	system because we felt that those were the most
24	critical in regards to passenger safety.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Well thank you

very much. We've been joined by Council Member
Eric Ulrich of Queens and Council Member Jessica
Lappin of Manhattan. And we are always in full
agreement with regard to the TLC's efforts to keep
people safe; no objection, no disagreement from
us. But I think there's a problem when so many
participants it the industry are so infuriated not
only by the process but by the substance. I think
the people here, I dare say, these are probably
the most legal operators in the industry and they
are upset at the rules. So you're saying that
while it is legal operators, operators who
complained about illegal operations that spurred
the TLC to adopt these rules.

Of course the TLC wants to keep the rules safe. But the system that you've arrived at, this point system, is causing a great deal of anxiety if not outright frustration on the part of the most legal operators in this industry.

Something is awry here. The reason, I think, is because the point system is so leveraged that you could and we've gone through examples of this, you could have entire base stations shut down, a couple of hundred people put out of drive when you

have a reckless couple of individuals that really should not be driving. You will be succeeding in ruling those couple of people out but at the same time throwing a couple of hundred people out of work and closing down large base stations.

So this is something—I know that it has taken a long time to come up with this system but you have to stand back for a second and ponder why it is that so many people who really would be the most legal operators. Because people who run illegal base stations generally don't show up at City Hall for hearings. You have to question what it is about the rules that maybe does need to be revised, does need to actually be re-examined.

Perhaps some of these rules actually will have the effect of driving more of the industry into the unregulated, unlicensed realm, which we know exists. It's a tough job that you have to try to regulate these car services, some of them just choose not to be regulated. In this scenario, the TLC may actually accomplish that, to shift more of the regulated industry into an unregulated no man's land.

[Applause]

No, no. I don't want applause and
I don't want booing. Aren't any of the
Commissioners questioning why is it that there
would be so much of a pushback? Are the
Commissioners just simply dismissing the concerns
of all these operators? I have to say in nearly
eight years of running this committee, this is one
of the largest turnouts that I've had from the
industry. I don't think people are taking time
off from their jobs just out of fun, just because
they want to sit in on this hearing.

I think there is a legitimate set of concerns here that have to be addressed. But is all this concern just not valid?

MS. EPSTEIN: I guess all I can do is repeat that there are a lot of people in this room that I've met with repeatedly. These are the first time that we've done any comprehensive FHV rules package. At least since 1998 and those focused on driver rules only. I would be shocked if our bases did not care what was happening. That being said, some of the scenarios you described I played out with many base owners. The

idea that they could be shut down because of something that basses do in a day. If you're interested, I'm happy to play out how that would really work because what we've seen is it's very difficult with the points that exist and remain for that to happen. I don't know if you want me to give you an example but that's just been our experience; we talk through it.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Sure, let's just take a moment to play out an example and then I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues. You talked about the rules. Actually a lot of the rules do make sense and I applaud the TLC for making those rule changes. And in fact, many participants and representatives of the industry are very complimentary of the rules. Even though it makes life a little bit more difficult for them, at least everybody is on a more level playing field and they can do their business honestly and follow the rules.

In fact, the rules that you laid out here generally do make sense. All the points make sense. But it's typically just the bullet points that have to do with the point system that

2	people are very much up in arms about. So let's
3	go over a system where you have a base with 200
4	vehicles and 400 drivers. Could you consider that
5	to be a typical example, one base, 200 vehicles,
6	400 drivers?
7	MS. EPSTEIN: That's a large base.
8	The average size of bases is about 40 cars, that's
9	the average, 4-0. But there are a number of large
10	bases that I've spoken with so let's just use a
11	large base. Let's say a base with 200 or 300 cars
12	for a point of example. All right?
13	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Okay.
14	MS. EPSTEIN: So you want to say
15	that
16	CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] So
17	200 cars on the base, can we say that would be
18	about 400 drivers?
19	MS. EPSTEIN: It depends. We found
20	from our research that not that many of these cars
21	are double shifted but it can depend on the base
22	and how it works.
23	CHAIRPERSON LIU: You know what?
24	Let's make it conservative then. Let's say 100
25	cars. 150 drivers.

2.	MS.	EPSTEIN:	Okay,	sure.
	1710 •		O_{1} C_{1} C_{2} C_{3}	Dut C.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: How fast could that base be shut down if a couple of drivers just did not follow the rules?

MS. EPSTEIN: I think I kind of serve a specific example. I can tell you that one of the things that we took off that was a point through conversations with a base owner was the idea of the vehicle owner getting a point for their driver doing a street hail. That was something that the bases felt very strongly they shouldn't be responsible for. If you look at our list of penalty points that still exist, really it's when the bases are dispatching a car that's illegal, dispatches that car, they get a point. And I think a passenger is expecting when they call base that they're going to have a legal car and a legal driver show up.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: When was that adjustment to the rules made? Was that on April 16th that that rule was revised?

MS. EPSTEIN: No, I think it was the week before that. We did on our web site when we changed them with the proposed rules, we showed

2	the tracked changes of what we had changed. So we
3	changed probably about 13 different parts of the
4	rules that were posted on the web site.
5	CHAIRPERSON LIU: But is that the
6	only case that the base gets a point, the base
7	sending out an illegal car?
8	MS. EPSTEIN: If they're operating
9	while they are suspended or expired, they get a
10	point.
11	CHAIRPERSON LIU: If the driver is
12	operating the
13	MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] No, the
14	base. The base operates while they are suspended
15	or expired. I think what you're hearing, I'm
16	guessing from what you're saying from the bases is
17	some of the vehicle points. So when a vehicle
18	gets four points if it is affiliated with the
19	base, then that's when the base risks getting a
20	point from that vehicle's activity.
21	CHAIRPERSON LIU: And how many
22	points before the base gets closed down?
23	MS. EPSTEIN: Six.
24	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Six. Okay. And-
25	-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FRASIER: [interposing] If I may add something, you asked about how long it would take. If you think about the mechanics of how the sums works also. So we catch a vehicle operating without a license, suspended license. We issue a summons, the summons is adjudicated. The vehicle owner is found quilty, a point is The base gets notice of the point. awarded. The base now knows I have a vehicle with one point. It happens a second time, same process, another point is awarded, base gets another notice. Ι have a vehicle with two points.

It seems to me at this point the base ought to call in the vehicle owner and say what are you doing out there? Third point happens, third summons happens, another adjudication, another point. That vehicle is awarded a third point. At that point the base says all right, we're disaffiliating you. We're not going to have anything to do with you; you don't know how to run a vehicle legally. They don't get the four points - ever. So that base will never get a point for that vehicle no matter what that vehicle does thereafter.

2	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Okay. If a
3	specific vehicle has three points already, they
4	get kicked out of base A. And they somehow wind
5	up with base B and then they get that fourth
6	point. Do they get to reset from zero?
7	MR. FRASIER: No, the points will
8	be on our web site so if base B affiliates that
9	vehicle that already has three points I would
LO	suggest that's not that wise. We will be looking
11	for that vehicle after it is disaffiliated by base
12	A because if they're doing street work without
13	being affiliated obviously that's illegal. If we
L4	find it, we seize it. We take it away and that
15	will pretty much end that.
L6	CHAIRPERSON LIU: So base stations
L7	will have full access to how many points each
L8	vehicle. Is it easy to identify each vehicle? Is
19	it just their plate number?
20	MR. FRASIER: I guess we would have
21	plate numbers or VINs I'm not sure.
22	CHAIRPERSON LIU: VINs.
23	MS. EPSTEIN: Plates, VINs or even
24	our vehicle license number.
25	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Where does the

2.0

2.3

responsibility	of	the	driver	fall	with	regard	to
the vehicle own	ner'i	>					

MS. EPSTEIN: That was, I think apart from the penalty point system the other major change that these rules really do is holding the vehicle owner responsible for what that driver is doing. The base has a relationship formally with the vehicle owner, not with the driver so we feel that that vehicle owner should be accountable for what the driver is doing. The vehicle owner is responsible for making sure that that vehicle is operated by a licensed driver who is in good standing with the DMV and TLC.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Is there any point system associated with the drivers that then get shifted over to the vehicle owner?

MS. EPSTEIN: It doesn't get shifted over but the driver is already going to be responsible for their points. They can have their licenses revoked as well but it doesn't get shifted to the vehicle owner.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: We have questions from Council Member Martinez. We have also been joined by Council Member Diana Reyna of Brooklyn

2.0

and Queens.

Mr. Chair. I want to go back to your original line of questioning because I, myself, went and testified on the 16th and also signed on to the letter that was sent out asking TLC to postpone the vote, at least the vote not the hearing. I was told by the Commissioner at that hearing publicly that there wasn't going to be a vote. There was no determination for a vote to take place when I asked. So it was much to my surprise that an actual vote took place.

Then later learning and now hearing that a vote took place but the rules are not going into effect. Now I'm confused, does the TLC need to go back and vote a yes to implement the hearings?

MR. FRASIER: The direction that the Commission gave us in their vote was that if the staff in evaluating the additional comments that we might get during this additional time feels that changes need to be made then we should bring those back to the Commission. Obviously we can't change the rules; only the Commission can do

2	that. Otherwise our direction was we were to go
3	ahead and publish them in the city record, which
4	begins the process of promulgation.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: But when
6	do you do that? In other words, the vote was a
7	yes conditional but we won't make it public, which
8	means it will not go into effect, correct?
9	MR. FRASIER: No, it was public.
10	I'm not sure what you mean by that.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: The
12	rules.
13	MR. FRASIER: The rules are public;
14	they're on our web site. They were handed out at
15	the Commission hearing including all of the
16	changes. We did not publish them in the city
17	record because that's the thing you do that begins
18	the process of actually making them
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
20	[interposing] That's what I meant, publishing it.
21	MR. FRASIER: Yes, they directed us
22	not to do that.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So do
24	they have to convene again to vote on publishing
25	i t

2	MR. FRASIER: Not if the staff is
3	not recommending additional changes.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Do you
5	have a deadline for it to be published?
6	MR. FRASIER: There's not a
7	deadline for it to be published. There's a
8	deadline of May 8th that was for the additional
9	comments to be received.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So when
11	the Commission votes on rules, how long after the
12	vote are those rules implemented by rule?
13	MR. FRASIER: Well it depends how
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
15	[interposing] In other words, let me go back.
16	What are the rules? What are the rules?
17	Commission votes on rules, when do those rules go
18	into effect after the vote?
19	MR. FRASIER: It's not a stated
20	period of time. I have to explain the process.
21	After the Commission votes, after the rules are
22	approved, they then go to the law department for
23	the statutory approval. Obviously how long that
24	takes varies. Then they come back to us and we
25	arrange for publication in the city record, that

2.0

2.3

time frame also varies depending on how backed up
the city record is. Once they go in the city
record, 30 days later they become effective.

Now, there's one other point that I need to make. Regardless of that process the rules have written into them a variety of effective dates. Since the big issue is the point system, the point system becomes effective I believe on August 1, 2009, assuming we go ahead and do it. In other words we deliberately set it up so there would be plenty of advance time for bases to set up processes, whatever they need to do. We're working with them to do it so that they can not accrue points, at least not by not knowing.

ask you in terms of process because you're doing an overhaul of the for hire vehicle rules,

MR. FRASIER: It's a significant revision, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: My understanding is also that the TLC has hired an outside consulting firm to work on this also,

MR. FRASIER:

then part of the rules that they're reworking,

25

This will become,

2.0

reorganizing and so on. Just for example, the FHV rules unlike our taxi rules, have all the parts in one chapter. It makes it very difficult, very lengthy, very cumbersome to read. They are going to be pulling out a separate chapter on drivers and another chapter on vehicles and bases as they have done in the other areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So when they get to this point, if they determine that there needs to be changes on these rules that you just approved, then we have to go back to the drawing table.

MR. FRASIER: They are rewriting the rules. Their expertise is plain language, simple presentation and so on. So those rules revisions will have to go before the Commission even if they are not proposing any substantive changes. This phase of the rules revision is intended not to be substantive. We will be coming back to the rules where substantive changes have to be made but that, I have to say, is some months in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Did TLC have any deadline for which they had to vote on

2.0

the 16th on these rules?

MR. FRASIER: There's no deadline,
no. I did promise you when I came to talk you
about the livery bill of rights bill that we were
on the verge of promulgated rules that would
include that. So we had made the commitment to
move this ahead. We had actually made the
commitment to our Commissioners to do it by
January and we obviously didn't make that. So
there was no legal deadline in that sense but this
was important. We wanted to move it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: By the way, I just want to say this for the record. In my meetings with members of the industry both from the for hire, the luxury, the limousines, black cars and so forth. There is one common denominator in each representative of the industry. And that is that they all, every single one of them from the base in Queens to the base in Brooklyn to the one in Washington Heights and the one in the Bronx, whether they are luxury or not, whether they are big or small, is that they want to abide by the rules. No one wants an industry that's not regulated and no one wants illegal

vehicles in the street. No one is calling for illegal hails, street hails, which usually is the centerpiece to pin one industry against the other. That's not the issue here.

The issue is establishing rules that are fair, and that these times and in this economy this is an industry that may be the only option from professionals that are losing jobs to non-professionals or newly arrived immigrants to the City of New York. This may be the vehicle for them to earn a living. The same is true that whether you are a driver or you are a member of owner, part owner base, everybody wants to make sure that the industry is regulated. So I just wanted to say that for the record.

However, I want to go and talk about the point system, which already you said that the base will not be getting a point for a driver that's caught doing an illegal hail.

Correct, street hail?

MS. EPSTEIN: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: However the point system continues that if a driver violates--first of all if the registration on the

2	vehicle expires, how is the base notified? In
3	other words, I'm a base owner, your DMV
4	registration expired, that's subject for a point,
5	right, if you're caught in the street driving.
6	Is that right?
7	MS. EPSTEIN: The vehicle can get a
8	point for picking up passengers if that vehicle
9	doesn't have proper registration, the vehicle.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Yes, I
11	know, I'm following you, the vehicle. But how is
12	the base notified that that vehicle's registration
13	has expired? In other words using your example
14	that a driver is out there with an expired
15	registration, the base should call him in and say
16	hey, I'm not going to allow him to continue to
17	drive in my base because yours is expired. How
18	does the base know that his or her vehicle
19	registration has expired?
20	MS. EPSTEIN: They check the status
21	of that vehicle, which they'll be able to do on
22	our web site. Right now they can check already
23	all the TLC status and we're going to add the DMV
24	information to that

MR. FRASIER: One of the changes we

2	made in the rules is we added a provision that if
3	the license information on our web site is
4	inaccurate or delayed on incomplete, that is a
5	defense to the charge of dispatching an unlicensed
6	driver or vehicle, including we're going to
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
8	[interposing] Hold on, you're giving me partial
9	information.
10	MR. FRASIER: I'm sorry.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: In other
12	words, TLC on their web site that the bases have
13	access to, will list the vehicles that
14	registration are expired or current, correct?
15	MR. FRASIER: Actually it's the
16	other way around. We already do list the ones
17	that are current. What we're going to be adding
18	is we're talking to DMV about downloading their
19	information and putting it on the web site. If
20	for any reason that doesn't work, obviously that
21	defense will always apply. In other words no one
22	will ever get a point for dispatching a
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
24	[interposing] So in other words if DMV's
25	information is not current

be shut down if they each get four points

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

At all

25

1	TRANSPORTATION 57
2	times the base will be notified
3	MR. FRASIER: Every time.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:as
5	soon as the summons is issued?
6	MR. FRASIER: Absolutely. No, no,
7	no, not when the summons is issued, when the point
8	is awarded. I don't think we notify when the
9	summons is issued. I think it's when the point is
10	awarded against the vehicle. Obviously the base
11	is notified when a summons is issued against the
12	base but not when a summons is issued against the
13	vehicle owner.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I thought
15	the summons was issued as soon as hethe point is
16	issued as soon as the driver is found guilty of
17	the violation?
18	MR. FRASIER: When you say the
19	driver, it's really the vehicle owner.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: The
21	vehicle, the driver, yes.
22	MR. FRASIER: When they're found
23	guilty, right.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: It's
25	difficult for me because a car doesn't drive

25 MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] To

TRANSPORTATION 59
court.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: To court.
When that person is found guilty in that court
MS. EPSTEIN: There is one point
issued.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That's
when the point is issued.
MS. EPSTEIN: Yes, if there's a
guilty finding.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: No, the
vehicle.
MS. EPSTEIN: Vehicle owner.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: The
vehicle gets a point.
MS. EPSTEIN: The vehicle gets a
point.
MR. FRASIER: Correct, the vehicle
gets the point.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: The
vehicle gets a point.
MS. EPSTEIN: Only the vehicle

points are the ones that affect the base.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

that point when the vehicle gets the point is when

Then at

1	TRANSPORTATION 60
2	the base is notified.
3	MS. EPSTEIN: Yes, the base is
4	notified.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Vehicle
6	X, VIN number X.
7	MS. EPSTEIN: License number X,
8	yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Was
10	issued a point.
11	MS. EPSTEIN: Yes.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Second
13	time same thing. Vehicle X.
14	MS. EPSTEIN: It has to be the same
15	vehicle.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Same
17	vehicle. Vehicle X, VIN number, second point
18	issued to the base. So you're saying then it's
19	the base's responsibility to pull that guy out and
20	disaffiliate. Were there any changes to
21	MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] It's
22	also the vehicle owner's responsibility because if
23	the vehicle owner is not the driver, the vehicle
24	owner is leasing that car to a driver. The
25	vehicle owner knows as soon as that summons

1	TRANSPORTATION 61
2	happens and they should speak with the driver as
3	well.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So you'll
5	be notifying the owner of the corporation if it's
6	a corporation.
7	MS. EPSTEIN: Well, they're the
8	ones that have to appear in court.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That's
10	right, not the driver. Wait, let's go back to
11	that. So then what is the accountability for the
12	driver?
13	MS. EPSTEIN: The driver would be
14	written summons for the driver violations.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So then
16	you will have two
17	MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] These
18	rules don't deal with that, that's why it hasn't
19	come up. But if you're talking about a vehicle
20	expired registration, is that what you?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: No, no,
22	no. You're going to have two individuals in
23	court. You're going to have the vehicle owner and
24	the driver?
25	MS. EPSTEIN: It depends on the

1	TRANSPORTATION	62
2	violation.	
3	MR. FRASIER: On point violations,	
4	that's correct.	
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: What's	
6	correct, what she said or what I said?	
7	MR. FRASIER: What you said. If	
8	the driver does something that is also a point	
9	violation.	
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: A point	
11	violation, yes.	
12	MR. FRASIER: A summons would be	
13	issued to the driver for what the driver did and	a
14	summons would be issued to the vehicle owner for	
15	the point.	
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Correct	
17	because if you're driving with an expired	
18	registration, the driver is going to get a	
19	summons, right?	
20	MR. FRASIER: Right. Apparently	
21	that's not right. The driver doesn't get a	
22	summons. It's the vehicle owner who is obligated	
23	for registration.	
24	MS. EPSTEIN: We're not sure.	
25	MR. FRASIER: If on the other hand	

2.0

2.3

you're talking about an expired drivers l	icense
then the driver would get a summons and the	he
wehicle owner would get the point	

getting at, at what point do you hold the violator accountable? In other words, and I don't know if you were referring to what I just said you're not dealing with that now. But if you have a driver behind the wheel, who is the guy driving an expired. It doesn't matter, for example, if the police stops you, I'll give you an example and your registration is expired. You're going to get a summons whether you're the owner of the vehicle or not. Now if TLC stops a driver with an expired registration does the driver get a summons?

MR. FRASIER: I don't know the answer to that and it hasn't changed in these rules. These rules don't affect that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That doesn't deal with that.

MR. FRASIER: No, not at all.

Whatever the answer was before is still the answer. I can tell you this, if the driver does not have a driver's license he is arrested.

2.0

2.3

2	COUNCII	MEMBER	MARTINEZ:	Correct
4	COONCIL		MAKITINE 4.	COLLECC.

MR. FRASIER: So the accountability of the driver is pre-existing. We did not do anything to decrease or increase the accountability of the driver. What we're doing in these rules is accountability to vehicle owners and bases. Now there are some exceptions to that-

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] So when you speak about increasing the fines, you're increasing the fine to whom?

MS. EPSTEIN: For the vehicle owner and the base owner, it depends on the violations.

All the rules have different fines that can be associated with them.

OUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: In terms of when you want to get someone out of your base, you want to disaffiliate them. What are the rules on that? Do you still have to continue to do--I believe the rules talked about certified mail and notification of the driver? What's the rule?

MS. EPSTEIN: There are two ways that the base can de-affiliate the vehicle. They can either get that vehicle owner to sign a form

2	acknowledging that they understand they are being
3	de-affiliated. Both the base owner and the
4	vehicle owner sign that. They can send certified
5	mail a letter to the vehicle owner they are de-
6	affiliated and that goes into effect immediately.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: You don't
8	have to wait for a response to the driver to
9	disaffiliate?
10	MS. EPSTEIN: No.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: My other
12	question and I'll turn it over, so what happens to
13	another industry that's being impacted by this?
14	Which is I'm leasing a vehicle and I'm going to
15	pay a lease or the financing, I'm going to pay for
16	it. Particularly in the black car, which are
17	luxury vehicles, you're leasing the vehicle and is
18	the VIN number that's being, the vehicle that's
19	being taken out of circulation. When they come to
20	the point where they can not continue, which is

MS. EPSTEIN: Four.

four, five?

21

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Four for the vehicle, what happens to that vehicle then?

In other words, there was a driver of a vehicle in

2.0

X base that reached four points, if that industry takes that vehicle back and resells the vehicle to another driver, would that vehicle be allowed to come back in?

MS. EPSTEIN: Yes, but if that vehicle when it reapplies for a license, if any of the new owners were a partner or shareholder in that old license, they're going to be called in for a fitness hearing. TLC will just ask do you understand what this car is going to be doing, what the rules and regulations are, that kind of thing.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I didn't know that answer. Finally you tell me you may say the same thing, you're not dealing with that now. But a driver that is a consistent violator because the objective is to get these violators off the street. A driver who is a consistent violator, how do you prevent him from going to base X to base B?

MS. EPSTEIN: Persistent Violator

Program so drivers already get points for certain

violations and when they get too many points their

license get revoked. So it doesn't matter--

2.0

2.3

~~		
COUNCIL	MEMBER	MARTINEZ:

3 [interposing] So you're going to track drivers
4 also?

5 MS. EPSTEIN: We do track drivers. 6 That already exists.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I'm going to stop then and I'll come back later on. Thank you.

much. Okay, we have questions from Council Member Reyna. We've been joined by Council Member Dan Garodnick of Manhattan and also before Council Member Gale Brewer of Manhattan. Because Council Member Garodnick has arrived, a member of this committee we are going to move this hearing into the City Council chambers.

The hearing room was full. The hearing that was taking place in this Council chambers before ran a little bit late. I know that a lot of people have been waiting patiently to take part in today's hearing so thank you very much for your patience. My name is John Liu I have the privilege of chairing the Transportation Committee of the City Council. We have convened

2.0

today's hearing for the purpose of examining t	he
new for hire vehicle rules as promulgated by t	he
Taxi and Limousine Commission a couple of week	S
ago on April 16th	

We are just trying to move this hearing along as quickly as possible. We have questions now from Council Member Reyna, Diana Reyna of Brooklyn and Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you Mr. Chair. I just wanted to take an opportunity to just understand. After these rules are going to be promulgated and made public into the city record, there's going to be a handout eventually?

might be referring to is the outreach that we have planned when and if the rules are finalized and published. My office is coordinating a really large outreach plan with a number of people that are here today that represent the industry. We'll be wanting to work with your offices and community boards and make sure that the passengers understand what's coming, the drivers under what's coming, the vehicles understand and the bases as well.

2.0

2.3

There are a variety of dates that

we've proposed as effective dates. There are

different effective dates for different parts of

the rules. So we want to make sure everyone knows

what's coming. My office has envisions putting

together a very brief handout which hits upon what

we think are going to be the most important things

to industry members and members of the public.

Yes.

there's something that we're going to be able to reference. Because it's the fine print, just in the testimony alone to try to follow each category as far as whether it's the base versus the driver versus the vehicle owner. We still have three different distinct categories that we have to keep up with. Amongst each category there's fine print, a system that will mesh with the new point system in place that you hope to enforce.

Currently you mentioned there are summons that are issued by TLC inspectors. And you said there were points that are associated to that driver or vehicle owner but the base had never received a point, correct?

2	MS. EPSTEIN: The point system for
3	both vehicle owners and bases is new. There is
4	already a point system in place for drivers.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So currently
6	there is only point system in effect for drivers
7	only.
8	MS. EPSTEIN: Right now, yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the need
LO	for a point system for vehicle owner and the base
11	is to achieve what?
12	MS. EPSTEIN: It's to hold
13	persistent violators accountable and the penalty
L4	points are received for the most serious
15	violations, like operating an illegal vehicle, a
L6	driver being illegal, not having a license. It's
L7	really for the points that we feel endanger the
18	public and we want to keep the public safe.
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And you
20	don't feel you're keeping the public safe
21	currently with the system you have now?
22	MS. EPSTEIN: I mentioned earlier
23	that as a passenger who lives in Brooklyn and a
24	lot of my friends and family takes these cars. I
25	don't think passengers know when a vehicle is

2.0

2.3

legal or illegal. I know and my base knows that I
work for TLC. Some of the base owners here are
going to laugh because I told them this story and
we get into arguments all the time because they
try to send me illegal cars. If they're sending
me illegal cars, I have to believe they are
sending everyone illegal cars and that really
concerns me.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: What is the attempt to catch the illegal cars if the system that's currently not working, what is it that's not working now that's not catching these illegal cars?

MS. EPSTEIN: I would say the biggest thing is that passengers are getting in to whatever car shows up. So passengers are smart, given the option to choose between something they know is legal and they know is not legal; if they have the option of getting into either one, they're always going to choose the legal car. So what these rules really lay out is a way for passengers to recognize what is legal and illegal in a very obvious way.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: How does a

2	point system recognize that? What is it that
3	we're changing with the new rules to make that
4	customer friendly, viable identification of
5	entering or exiting a vehicle that is registered,
6	that has a licensed driver, both drivers license
7	DMV as well as for hire vehicle?
8	MS. EPSTEIN: It gives the TLC
9	really the teeth to get those people out of the
10	system. For example, if the vehiclethe points
11	is the TLC's answer to someone that gets illegal
12	service. But for a passenger they're going to be
13	looking for a few things that are in these rules.
14	There will be three decals, one is on the
15	windshield where the cars currently have a diamond
16	sticker and there will be two more decals in each
17	rear corridor window on either sides. So the
18	passenger when they open that door they will see a
19	sticker that says this vehicle is licensed by TLC.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And you
21	don't have that right now?
22	MS. EPSTEIN: We don't have that
23	now.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Why would

24 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Why would
25 you need a point system if the attempt is to

encourage more passenger safety and the integrity
of the bases to remain intact as well as those
vehicles registered to provide a service? But in
fact the point system is more an additional layer
to penalize small business as opposed to encourage
public safety.
MS. EPSTEIN: There are other
things that we want passengers to recognize, like
the posting of a drivers license
COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
[interposing] Just one second, as far as the
decals are concerned that do not exist right now.
How much is this going to cost each, whether
that's the vehicle owner or is it a cost on the
vehicle base or is it a cost on both the driver
and the vehicle owner
MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing]
Nothing.
COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Nothing so
TLC at no cost
MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] TLC
will pay for those decals.
COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And those
are three decals per vehicle.

2.0

2.3

MS. EPSTEIN: Yes. In terms of the
question about the penalty points, there are bases
that consistently send illegal cars and illegal
drivers to pick up passengers that call for
service. These penalty points enable us to get
rid of those bases, that those bases are gone and
the bases that are good bases that only dispatch
cars that are in good standing and that are
licensed

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] Stay right there because it's my understanding that at each base there is supposed to be a log of each call.

MS. EPSTEIN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Wasn't that system created to catch where there is a call to make sure that there are no illegal runs as far as dispatched calls or call ins that are dispatching illegal cars?

MS. EPSTEIN: The bases have to keep those logs but having to keep that log alone doesn't give the TLC the ability to say when that base renews, their dispatch logs were not good.

We're not going to renew their license. These--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] So there's no need for a long anymore?

MS. EPSTEIN: No, no, there is. We haven't changed that rule. Those are going to The points deal with a little bit of a continue. different issue which is that our Commissioners for a number of years now have been concerned and expressed concern to staff and to people that come to our public meetings that they don't have an objective standard for bases. I've heard this from some representatives of Council Member's office too. You guys get notified when a base is renewing their license. If that base has consistently sent illegal cars or illegal drivers, that still does not in the current set up of our rules, give us the ability or our Commissioners the ability to say we don't want this base anymore. It--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] That's because you haven't been able to identify those chronic situations?

MS. EPSTEIN: No, if they fill out their applications properly and they get the

2.0

2 approvals that they need, they continue to be 3 licensed.

referring to the chronic violators that you have identified, Commissioners are expressing to TLC. Where in the system do they exist so that they continue to be identified and associated to a particular base? But that particular driver, if they're the chronic violator, can jump from one base to the next. So this point system is going to assist you to identify this chronic violator or is this chronic violator a person that you have not been able to identify consistently? So that the base is not going to suffer the consequences but only the driver.

MS. EPSTEIN: The driver is not affected in terms of his violations and his penalties. These rules don't change the driver standard. Right now the driver already has a system of points that govern the behavior. If they violate our rules and get too many points or too many DMV points, their license can be revoked. These rules and the penalty points apply to the vehicle owner and the base owner. So if the

vehicle owner has illegal drivers or if the vehicle don't get inspected of that vehicle doesn't have a TLC license and is picking up the public on the street, they can get points for that. The base, if the base is dispatching a vehicle that is doing those behaviors can also get points.

Commissioners and many other members of the public and the industry at large that said there are these bases that continually do these illegal things and I have to compete with them. How am I supposed to do that when I'm trying to comply with all your rules and regulations. Now we can say to a base, you're not paying attention, you clearly don't care, you have these points, you're out. That means that they won't be showing up that they won't be able to renew their license.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Explain to me right now there is nothing to identify those chronic violators as far as the base is concerned-

MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] We could do a run. For example say there's a base

that's up for renewal and this base, our licensing staff does a print out every time that they bring a base station renewal in front of the Commissioners to decide if they're going to accept or deny that application. When they see all the violations, even if they see a lot of the same violations over and over and over again, there is no objective standard. So Commissioners can not say, well we think that this base has sketchy business practices, we want to get rid of them.

If they have done all of their application requirements and gotten the approvals that they need, that base moves forward. This will now give the Commissioners the ability to say this base had six points, they're out.

weighted system of points that's reflecting three different categories. Currently the responsibility, the ownness of good practice of the industry is falling on the driver is what you are expressing to me, only. And we want to now hold, as a TLC administrative governing body over the industry, to hold all three categories responsible.

2	MS. EPSTEIN: Yes, I think it's
3	fair to say that TLC believes that the drivers,
4	the vehicles and the bases are all accountable for
5	providing safe service to the public.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But they're
7	providing safe service now with the exception of
8	chronic violators who are those identified through
9	enforcement.
10	MR. FRASIER: I think if I
11	understand your question correctly I think the
12	answer is yes. Most of the bases do, in fact,
13	provide safe service. I think in fact just to
14	address one point that has been raised at
15	different times. There is some concern that the
16	large bases because they have so many vehicles and
17	so many drivers will be especially at risk.
18	That's not our experience. Our experience is that
19	the large bases
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
21	[interposing] Wait, how can you have experience if
22	you don't have a system right now.
23	MR. FRASIER: We don't have a point
24	system
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: That gives

2.0

2.3

2	you	the	ability	to	identify	who	is	violating	and
2	who	ie 1	not						

MR. FRASIER: You're not allowed today to drive without a license. It's just the base doesn't get points for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The license concerning a driver.

MR. FRASIER: Driver, vehicle registration, DMV drivers license, TLC drivers license, DMV vehicle registration, TLC vehicle registration. But if I may finish my thought-COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] Those are the standards that you have amongst--

MR. FRASIER: [interposing] If I may finish my thought, we have done a computer run that compiles the number of violations that would have been accrued by vehicle owners and bases if this system had been in place. We found that without fail, none of the large bases were in it.

None of the 100 largest bases, not a one of them was in it. In other words our experience is that the large bases are well run. It's the smaller, if I may say, fly by night bases that are going to

2	have problems and that's the point.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So the
4	smaller bases have been identified as being the
5	chronic violators.
6	MS. EPSTEIN: Some of them, yes.
7	There is a small number of them but yes, they are.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So if
9	there's a small number and you already know who
LO	they are, why are you not just holding, within the
11	current system, them accountable? Just not
12	renewing their
L3	MR. FRASIER: [interposing] That's
L4	exactly why we need these rules. We don't have
L5	rules to permit that.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But you do
L7	have rules.
18	MR. FRASIER: We have rules that
L9	make it a violation for the driver to drive
20	without a license. We do not have rules allowing
21	us to revoke a base because the base chronically
22	allows drivers who are unlicensed, that's the
23	reason we need these rules.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: That's what

I'm leading in to. My colleagues to the left and

2.0

2.3

right of me are just commenting how we vote on
these licenses so we could turn them down. You
ask us and you send us, you communicate through
message that these are the bases looking for
renewal license. I know I, in particular, call my
precinct as well as my community board to find out
if there are any complaints within their records
concerning any base looking for a renewal.

MR. FRASIER: Right and these

11 rules--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] The one agency I don't hear or submit any type of complaints registered through your department is TLC's. You don't submit any supporting document of any violators or any chronic violators. It would be helpful if you did because if you are claiming there are and they do exist then communicate not just the name and address and Council district but also the supporting documentation that we have a problem with a particular--

MR. FRASIER: [interposing] I'll certainly pass that along/

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: We can stop

2	those particular chronic violators today, right
3	now, at every stated meeting, if that's the
4	problem. We don't need a point system to do that.
5	MR. FRASIER: Our concern from our
6	point of view, obviously we don't make your
7	determination, we make ours. Our concern from our
8	point of view is we don't have rules that would
9	objectively create a standard for rejection based
LO	on past violations. That's the reason for these
11	rules. It's precisely out of that process of
12	approving base licenses and forwarding them on to
L3	you for your further approval that began four
L4	years ago last January that led to these rules.
L5	That's exactly how these develop.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: These rules
L7	exist already.
18	MR. FRASIER: No, no, these rules
L9	are new.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The rules of
21	having a license for a base is new?
22	MR. FRASIER: No.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: For hire
24	vehicle license is new?
25	MR. FRASIER: No, but there is no

rule that says based on a bad record we can deny you a renewal. And that rule by itself just wouldn't be sufficient anyway, it would have to quantify and objectify what constitutes a bad record. That's what these rules do, that's the point of these rules.

because we could go on and on about this
particular point. Was there ever a conversation
concerning a tier system? You mention and
recognize large versus small. How will you
quantify a point system that's not going to regard
numbers of vehicles within a base and number of
drivers in to tiers so that at least six points
accumulated and you're going to suspend your base
license to operate is equal to a 20 car base as
well as equal to a 1,000 car base.

MS. EPSTEIN: We did talk about this extensively. I just want to make one clarification about the points for the bases. With the one exception, bases accrue points regarding base specific activity. So it's about bases operating while suspended or expired, that has nothing to do with the number of vehicles that

1	TRANSPORTATION 8!
2	they have
3	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
4	[interposing] If you could just stay there, that's
5	what I'm trying. That's currently a rule.
6	MS. EPSTEIN: It's a rule but they
7	don't get a point. Now while we
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
9	[interposing] It's still a rule, right?
10	MS. EPSTEIN: Certainly it's a rule
11	however myself and Commissioner Weiss we run the
12	licensing division. We oversee all licenses,
13	renewal licenses. We oversee the base unit which
14	puts together the packages, which go to the
15	Commission, which subsequently come to the
16	Council. Right now it's currently very difficult
17	for the staff without any threshold or baseline to
18	determine a recommendation to the Commission or to
19	the Council as to whether or not they would
20	approve or deny a renewal application for a base.
21	As general counsel Frasier pointed
22	out, we started in 2005 this discussion and this
23	process. I was at the beginning of that

ointed d this process. I was at the beginning of that discussion. A lot of the points, well there's not even that many points but several of them are in

24

25

2.0

2.3

regards to solely the base activity and it's not
about the vehicles but I can discuss the vehicles
as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But you have to appreciate the factor of probability. If you have 100 cars in a base versus 10 cars in a different base, where is the higher probability that there are going to be accumulated points.

MR. FRASIER: I understand the theory if it's random selection but it's not and that's what we discussed--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

[interposing] But you enforce--

MR. FRASIER: [interposing] If I may answer your question, we had that comment from a number of people, large bases were concerned they would be especially at risk. We took that very seriously. We did a computer run to determine if these points had been in place for the last three years how many bases would have had trouble. As I said we found, without exception, they were not the largest bases. The reason is very simple, the large bases is the reason they got large is they are competitively successful.

that would not be how points would be accrued.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

MR. FRASIER: Under these rules.

Currently

Right,

21

22

2.3

24

25

would not be.

1	TRANSPORTATION 88
2	currently because you don't have rules that exist.
3	The old system did not exist
4	MR. FRASIER: [interposing] There
5	were no points for bases under the old system,
6	yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Correct.
8	MR. FRASIER: But even under this
9	system.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But now
11	there is.
12	MR. FRASIER: The points accrued to
13	bases by events that don't happen, we do not
14	detect by visiting the base.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: It's going
16	to be accrued by enforcing drivers and the
17	vehicles they're operating.
18	MR. FRASIER: The vehicle
19	operations are detected in the field, that's
20	right.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And so the
22	use of a vehicle out there, if it's running 24
23	hours, 7 days a week, the higher probability.
24	MS. EPSTEIN: Only if they're doing
25	illegal activity. I'm sorry. I just want to say

1	TRANSPORTATION 8
2	only if they're doing illegal activity. If
3	they're following all the rules, they will be
4	fine.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But that's
6	the case now.
7	MS. EPSTEIN: They're not all
8	following the rules and that's why we're proposing
9	this.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Your system
11	as far as public safety to ensure they are
12	following. What rule doesn't exist right now that
13	measures up to what you're describing?
14	MS. EPSTEIN: The vehicle owner
15	accountability rules that are the new rules, those
16	do not already exist in all of our rules. And the
17	base owner rules, many of them already exist but
18	the penalties for some of the rules that we feel
19	are most important and affect passenger safety are
20	higher. And of course, the penalty point system
21	is new for both. So we do feel that that will
22	enable us as an agency to hold bases and vehicle

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: To hold agencies and vehicles more accountable.

owners more accountable.

illegal driver or an illegal vehicle.

23

24

25

MR. FRASIER: Unfortunately that's not the case. I'm sure everyone in the audience today, as Chairman Liu said, it's the law abiding

2	ones that come to the hearings. Unfortunately
3	they're not the ones we're dealing with.
4	MS. EPSTEIN: And I just would like
5	to add that a lot of the base owners that are here
6	today who are law abiding for years and years.
7	I've been at the TLC almost four years have been
8	complaining to me that they have a very hard time
9	competing with bases that consistently break our
LO	rules because it costs them more to run their
11	businesses legally. So we want to even out the
12	playing field and get those bad businesses off the
L3	street so that these bases can compete fairly.
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And yet you
L5	continue to issue licenses to these chronic
L6	violators?
L7	MS. EPSTEIN: Because we have no
18	way to get them out, that's why we've proposed
L9	these rules.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you
21	Mr. Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Council
23	Member Reyna. A quick follow up question, what
24	ha
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

reopen?

[interpos	ing] I	. ′ m	sorry,	John.

3 CHAIRPERSON LIU: Yes.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I apologize 5 Mr. Chair. The one question I just wanted to clarify after asking the tier question. Obviously 6 7 there is no tier system that you've created 8 because you want one grouping of a six point base 9 suspension. If you receive six points against the 10 base, you're shut down. Now is that just for the 11 license period and then will then that base

MS. EPSTEIN: If their base gets shut down, they can apply for a new license. If it's somebody that has held a license in the past and their license was revoked, the TLC will call them in for a fitness hearing to make sure they are fit to hold the license and they will make a better effort to abide by our rules and regulations the second time around.

MR. FRASIER: We should not be unclear about this. If a base is revoked and then immediately applies for a new license, their prospects of getting one is not good.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I'm glad you

mentioned that because then I was going to follow that with what's the point then of having this whole point system and holding a base accountable if we're going to reissue a license that we're right now you're letting us know is flawed because we have no way of holding them accountable. So once you lose and your license is suspended, the unlikelihood is higher to get it renewed

MR. FRASIER: Yes, what I would expect to happen is the base owners might sell the base to new owners who would then come in when they apply for a license and be subjected to a fitness hearing. They would then presumably say we are new people, we understand the rules. They would then have a much more reasonable prospect of getting a license. But the people who ran the base badly would not and I think should not have a good chance of getting a license quickly, in the short run.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: You would be able to detect because you have all these points associated to each category, whether that's a base, a vehicle owner or a driver, whether it's a different name or not. Identify who the base is

2.0

2.3

2	affiliated	
4	allillated	WILLII.

MR. FRASIER: That's right, it isn't the corporate name that controls. It's the shareholders, the officers, the principals and so on behind the corporation.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the vehicle identification number to those associated with the vehicles.

MR. FRASIER: As far as the vehicles, that's right. Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Council
Member Reyna. The one overriding question still—

I'm sorry we have questions from Council Member

Ignizio.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you.

I'll be very brief. As I'm proudly representing
the borough of Staten Island on the committee, the
concerns that I had heard from some of my base
owners is the inspection time to bring the cars
all the way to Woodside and all the way back.

Maybe if you're in Queens or Manhattan, a faster
way of getting to and fro is readily accessible.

But from 35 or 40 miles away, it becomes somewhat

2.0

difficult.

Is there a way or a discussion potentially underway for licensing centers in outer boroughs. This could be incorporated as well. Every minute, hour off getting paid for these vehicles is a hit to the bottom line. So if they can stop off somewhere in the borough, a borough based system rather than one centralized in, I think, it's Woodside. That would go a long way in support of this measure.

MS. EPSTEIN: Well certainly we held a number of meetings with some Staten Island base owners including our Commissioner from Staten Island and they expressed similar concerns. After a lot of discussions, they submitted a letter of support for these rules which included the inspections. Because the inspection is only once every two years so at the end of the day they seemed to be okay with that. We're very concerned with applying a different standard for any one part of New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I mean the borough based system meaning that which you have licensing support in--

2	MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] And
3	they'll still be able to do all their licensing
4	activity in our Staten Island office like they do
5	now. So really the only difference is having to
6	come once a year for that inspection.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Once every
8	two years.
9	MS. EPSTEIN: Every two years,
LO	excuse me, for that inspection which also counts
11	as a DMV inspection. And they came to visit our
12	inspection facility and that was one of the things
13	that I think helped them a lot because it's a
L4	state of the art facility. They were pretty
15	impressed with it.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes ma'am.
L7	These are concerns that were made to me and I'm
L8	happy to carry them to you. So to the overall
L9	enforcement staff of TLC, I think some of the
20	concerns not to mention regarding base stations is
21	they're saying we're a very highly regulated
22	industry. We're doing the best we can. Those
23	that are not in compliance and those that are
24	running illegal cars
25	I support and applaud you for

2.0

trying to get those off the road because those were the dangers and deaths and whatever else comes from on our roads. Those that completely ignore the rules of the TLC. They buy a car, they sit there and they drive around. They look to pick up people for a dollar, two dollars, five dollars for a trip and have nothing to do with the whole process. No 100 300, no partitions, they don't care about anything. Those are the people that are putting people like this out of business, not the base stations and what not.

I think the only way to answer that is with stepped up enforcement from your staff.

My understanding is the enforcement budget has declined in terms of the cars and numbers of inspections that you have available because of the budget situation. Is that accurate?

MR. FRASIER: I'm not well versed in the budget but I have heard the statement from the Chairman that although the budget was reduced, it was vacant positions. In other words we lost no head count. We're not stepping down enforcement. That is for sure. And in fact with increased database availability to us, we're able

to off flow a lot of our enforcement from our inspector staff to administrative staff such that inspectors can spend more time in the field. So I'm hopeful that that will help enforcement.

One other point, though, now it's very difficult for people to see the difference between the car you describe, totally unlicensed, as we call it straight plates, no license from anybody, no insurance from anybody and a legitimately licensed one. There is one decal up on the front and that may be the only difference. These rules will require significant markings to be on legal liveries and that, we are hoping, will help both the public who hail these cars on the street and enforcement personnel, both ours and the police department.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I hope it helps your enforcement. I tend to agree with you that the person will get in a car that's standing right in front of them. If they walk out of the Staten Island Mall and there's a car. Where you going? Five bucks, okay. I have bags, I have kids at home, I have to get Johnny out of school and what not so they are getting in the car.

I think the issue and the answer to the woes that some of the legal base stations in my community are concerned about is to get those guys, put them out of business. Get them off the street and then allow people who are doing it legally in a highly regulated business to flourish.

For whatever it's worth, if I could be helpful in the budgetary process. I proudly sit on Finance and the Budget Negotiating committee. I'd like to hear about what the head count is. A vacant position is one that was there and then ultimately never was filled and then done away with so I have a concern about that.

On a parochial level, I want to convey to you all you're not the Commission. I am a friend of Matt Daus, I have been but I still maintain my opposition to the AA car service, which has a pending permit for my district. I don't think it's a right fit so I'm just convey that to you all since you're in front of me and have conveyed it to my Commissioner on Staten Island. Thank you very much Mr. Chairman and I yield the rest of my time.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Council Member Ignizio. A follow up from Council Member Martinez. COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank you. I want to go back. First of all I'm just a little shocked that there is nothing in the current rules that would allow you to revoke or not to renew a license. Because I know that if a driver is stopped by the police and is arrested, even though he's not guilty of what the cop is stopping him for his license is suspended immediately. MR. FRASIER: That depends on what the charge is but that can happen, yes. COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Even if he's not quilty of those charges. MR. FRASIER: Well at the time of arrest of course that's--COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: [interposing] At the time of arrest he's automatically revoked license. MR. FRASIER: No, no, not revoked

just suspended.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

2.0

2.3

`	O
,	Suspended.
3	Dabperraca

MR. FRASIER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: It's just shocking to me to learn that currently the TLC, if you know you have a bad base, you know who you have as a violator that you can't suspend or revoke that base license.

MR. FRASIER: We obviously agree.
We have rules that describe the summary
suspension.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: You just

finished saying there's nothing in the rules.

It's shocking to me that there is nothing in the rules. I want to go back. From the time of when a summons is issued because you told me that in the system, it's almost practical that a base is going to shut down with the system. But if I have 100 drivers, again, right? Let's take one driver, the virus. I want to go back to the virus, the conspiracy theory. You have a virus. I go into 777-7777, he's back there.

MS. EPSTEIN: I wonder who brought this point up?

25 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Let's say

2.0

2.3

I go into one of the largest bases and I'm a
virus. I get a summons. What's the time period
from the time I get the summons to when I go to
court when the point is awarded? Because that's
when the base is notified, correct?

MR. FRASIER: Yes. I think it's typically probably two to three weeks. I'm not responsible for adjudication so I could be off.

MS. EPSTEIN: One thing I would like to point out is I think I see where you're going with this. We can play this out but I do just want to mention that if that driver is suspended or that vehicle gets suspended, that is going to be that information will be on our web site. So a big operation like Dial 7, who is checking that status every morning, which I know that they do, is going to find in that first day that there's a problem with the license, that there's something wrong because the driver and the vehicle is not showing up on TLC's list. They're going to probably call in that vehicle or driver and say what is going on.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Can we continue in this dialogue?

`	N/C		C1120
,	MS.	EPSTEIN:	sure.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Because 4 that's where I wanted to go. Dial 7 finds out 5 that this driver, let's say in two weeks that driver got two points. This guy is a potential 6 7 threat to my business. 8 MS. EPSTEIN: You mean the vehicle, 9 right? 10 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: 11 vehicle, I keep saying driver, the vehicle. 12 MS. EPSTEIN: It's okay. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: vehicle is a potential threat to me. So obviously 14 15 when I bring in the vehicle I'm bringing in the 16 driver/owner. A lot of these guys are 17 driver/owners, that's why we have to put that on 18 the record also. Most of the drivers are owners, 19 there are some corporations but a lot of them are 2.0 owner drivers. So you bring in the driver/owner 21 vehicle and you tell them, hey, I can't keep you 22 in my corporation. The guy still has a good 2.3 license, it's not revoked, still has all TLC 24 permits. Isn't the base liable now that this guy

could sue him for discrimination?

2	MR. FRASIER: I think that's a
3	legal question and I think the answer is no.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: It's a
5	very important legal question.
6	MR. FRASIER: I'm not sure what the
7	discrimination would be. If you're gaining points
8	then it's discrimination based on being a bad
9	vehicle owner I think it's not going to be a legal
LO	discrimination.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: So why
12	then doesn't TLC become the authority to tell this
L3	driver you can no longer drive. Why does it have
L4	to be the base?
L5	[Applause]
L6	MS. EPSTEIN: We do but I just want
L7	to say as a passenger and because it doesn't
18	appear there are any passengers to testify today
L9	I'm going to use their voice. I'm calling a base
20	and I am expecting that base to send me a licensed
21	car and a licensed vehicle. TLC
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
23	[interposing] But I can't allow you to continue
24	MS. EPSTEIN: [interposing] I'm not
25	calling TLC to send me that vehicle.

2.0

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: You're
3	here as a TLC representative and I'm asking a
1	question of a TLC representative. Now if you want
5	to sit back down and come back down as a
5	passenger, that's a different story. But right
7	now you are a TLC representative.

[Applause]

So the question is why can't TLC be the entity to take these vehicles out? You're basically putting the burden on the bases and opening up a can of worms. When you have bases telling vehicles you can't continue.

MR. FRASIER: It is definitely true we are putting a burden on vehicle owners and bases. We are not putting the burden on them.

The entire burden that we have bourn to date, we continue to bear. And we can and do revoke vehicle licenses. Obviously if that worked completely we wouldn't have a problem but we do have a problem. So we need to do something else and this is what we've proposed.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: And again, we can continue playing all these scenarios back and forth but it's just mind boggling. One,

that there is no system currently now. If we know where the bad apples are at, we know that because you mentioned that you call a base and they send bad drivers. You work for TLC why haven't you got on the phone and gotten these guys penalized. I'm just using an example.

MS. EPSTEIN: I make complaints.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: And that there's nothing that could be done now to take these bad apples out of the circulation. The same is true that it's difficult to understand how this system has been operating and yet, and yet the majority of the incidents that we read in the papers either someone being violated, these are not vehicles affiliated to a base. We found that they've been illegal, not licensed vehicles in the street.

So it's just mind boggling how this system--and it shows the responsibility that these guys have and how serious they take this industry. That most of these incidents that we've read in the papers, either rape, someone being robbed, whatever, violated, has not been identified to either 77 nor Riverside nor Seaman nor Brooklyn

2.0

2.3

nor any other vehicles. So it's mind boggling how
this system has operated and it's all to the
credit of the operators here.

MS. EPSTEIN: Can I just say one thing to that? In regards to those vehicles that aren't connected to a licensed TLC base, while that is the case it doesn't mean that licensed TLC bases aren't dispatching vehicles that don't have Taxi and Limousine plates. That's what Commissioner Epstein was speaking to and that's clearly what's happened to me on several occasions, if I want to speak as a passenger. I call a TLC licensed base which is current, active and licensed but they send me a car that doesn't have TLC plates or a diamond so--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] We're in agreement.

MS. EPSTEIN: I'm saying that those cars that are doing illegal activity are the same cars that are being dispatched. So they're paying the bases fees to be dispatched but they're not being licensed.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: We could sit here back in debate because I used to be a

2.0

2.3

driver. You know what? I used to work for
Riverside Car Service and many times I would get a
call and I would find that there was another
vehicle there responding to that call because
somebody has a walkie-talkie or something and
broke into the system. We can go back and
forwards in terms of scenarios so I just want to
put that out there for the record also. Thank you
Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you very much, Council Member Martinez.

[Applause]

When I use this. I know that's what you want to do. That last comment from Council Member

Martinez says it right there, it's about that you have created a system that in theory on the books and on paper seems to work. But the practice of it could result in a lot of abuses and a lot of due process issues. I suspect that's a reason why you have so many of the good operators here today because they're concerned that they're going to be--even though they do want a reform of the system that will help them compete better or not

2.0

2.3

have to compete at all with unlicense	d or illegal
operations. That they're going to ge	t burdened
and penalized by this.	

I have another question about the nature of the relationship between the bases and the vehicle owners. I think that relates to what Council Member Martinez says. How do you know for sure that a base deserves a point when an illegal vehicle gets out there and picks up a ride?

MS. EPSTEIN: I think that the clearest way is the penalty point is for a base for dispatching an unlicensed vehicle. So that means that they actually dispatched that car.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Okay. And so I guess the TLC, the base station relies on the TLC to provide the information about whether or not that vehicle or that vehicle owner is no longer licensed or has been suspended?

MS. EPSTEIN: Yes, we post it on our website.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: That's fine. I think the final question that I have for you. I don't think it has been covered fully but I think Council Member Ignizio started to elude to it.

All of this doesn't appear that any of this actually increases or improves the enforcement against illegal operators. People who are operating illegally, it doesn't seem like any of these changes actually bolster enforcement against illegal operators or am I missing something?

[Applause]

MR. FRASIER: As I said to Council Member Ignizio, we did raise the fine on illegal street hails, we raised a couple of the fines against drivers for what drivers do as part of this. But the main effect I think we're hoping for is that by clearing marking, this has nothing to do with the points, but by clearly marking liveries for the first time we will enable both the public and law enforcement to distinguish between a legal an illegal car much more readily. We're hoping that this will help us deter and catch the completely illegal operator.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: I'll remind you

Mr. Frasier that when I was advocating for clearly

marked commuter vans that I think Commissioner

Daus had testified that requiring stickers only

results in the production of souvenir replica

_	
7	$a + i a \cdot b = a \cdot a$
Z	l stickers.

3 MR. FRASIER: The stickers we're 4 creating are actually fairly elaborate. They have 5 all kinds of security components to them that, 6 obviously, we expect not to be easily forged. 7 CHAIRPERSON LIU: So they're fancy 8 stickers? 9 MR. FRASIER: I quess that's a 10 good--yeah. 11 CHAIRPERSON LIU: We hope those 12 stickers work. 13 MR. FRASIER: We do too. 14 CHAIRPERSON LIU: I don't think all 15 the ducks are in a row, I don't think all the T's are crossed and the I's are dotted because it 16 17 seems unusual the level of concern about these 18 rules and the level of concern is coming from the 19 very same people that you testified were a part of 2.0 instigating these rule changes. So something is 21 awry; we're going to have to keep looking at this. 22 To the extent some of the rules or changes could 2.3 be timed, I would implore you to look at that. 24

The drivers as well as the base owners and the vehicle owners, they have somehow

2	gotten together and there is a set of
3	recommendations, changes that they would like all
4	of us to consider seriously. I think it behooves
5	all of us to really take a look at that. Council
6	Member Martinez.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I'm sorry
8	Mr. Chair, this is really brief. Who is going to
9	be responsible for drafting? Is it going to be a
LO	standard size, sort of like the yellow cabs where
11	they have the passengers bill of rights? Is TLC
L2	going to produce them and give them to the bases?
L3	MR. FRASIER: You're talking about
L4	the stickers?
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: No, no,
L6	the bill of rights.
L7	MR. FRASIER: The bill of rights,
L8	yes, we're printing it and we're distributing it
L9	directly, yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Is there
21	going to be
22	MR. FRASIER: No, we're not. I'm
23	sorry it's been changed.
24	MS. EPSTEIN: It's going to be
2.5	similar to how we do the taxi stickers, the taxi

2	logos. We produce the art work, we copyright the
3	art work an any printer that wants to just signs a
4	memorandum of understanding with us
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
6	[interposing] But it's a standard?
7	MS. EPSTEIN: It will be a standard
8	design. It will be the same for all of them.
9	They can get them printed by whoever they want who
LO	is a certified printer. To get a certified
L1	printer you just come to us and say you want to do
L2	it and sign a memorandum of understanding that
L3	that's what you're going to use them form.
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank
15	you.
L6	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Council
L7	Member Martinez and I want to thank all the
L8	members of the Taxi and Limousine Commission for
L9	joining us today. Thank you.
20	MS. EPSTEIN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON LIU: I'd like to call
22	up the next panel of witnesses consisting of David
23	Diaz, Felix Dominicana, Tariq Mala and Avik
24	Cabeza. After we hear the testimony of this
25	particular panel we're hear from another panel

that I'm giving a heads up to at this point,

Victor Dieselgoff, Sergio Sanchez, Guy Pulumbo and

Franchie Munez. Gentlemen we have a large number

of people to hear from. We are already two hours

into the hearing. I ask you to limit your

testimony to two minutes and whatever written

testimony there is will certainly be entered in

for the record. But please summarize the most

relevant points of your testimony. Thank you.

Please proceed.

Honorable City Council members, my name is Tariq
Mala. I would like to thank you for the
initiatives you have taken and also for the
oversight hearing with the impact of these new for
hire vehicles rules on the riders, drivers and the
industry. The TLC refusal to postpone the voting
on the rules, the rush approach to a gigantic
rules change and to disregards of the Jewish
holiday left many in the for hire industry with a
high level of frustration.

changes were made and Commissioner Daus in the

press conference called them common sense changes

Furthermore, although many positive

2.0

these changes were made at the last minute, as little as 48 hours before the vote rendering the proposed rules as a work in progress with many other issues to correct. Instead it was rushed to be voted and became a law to the industry.

The industry will have a difficult time to comply with. Therefore we are very grateful to the Transportation Committee for its initiative and we would like to take this opportunity to correct that which still requires correction, to take action that will prevent such rushed process from recurring and help to set some ground rules to any corporation for the TLC Phase Three. I'm going to skip to point two just to save some time. I understand you have it in writing.

Rule 6-29C, which provides that that bases receives a point when a vehicle receives four points, which results in the revocation of the vehicle license. The industry—is it out?

CHAIRPERSON LIU: There are a lot of points in this and we've received this in full already so you don't have to go over the points

21

22

23

24

25

or anybody.

2	because we can read them ourselves. Some of these
3	we've already discussed in our meetings. I think
4	the main point you've made so far is that they
5	should not have made those proposed changes within
6	48 hours of the vote. Is there another point that
7	you would like to make?
8	MR. MALA: Also there are a lot of
9	recommendations and points that were made as
10	substitute solutions to actually make these rules
11	work that we submitted recommendations for that we
12	didn't feel have been considered or actually
13	studied as an alternative to effectively make the
14	regulations work.
15	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Okay, thank you.
16	I will be happy to discuss, have another meeting.
17	MR. MALA: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON LIU: I'm sure Council
19	Members Martinez and Reyna will help put that

together as well, another meeting to go over this

in detail. But this is probably not the forum

that we can go over it in such detail. Mr. Diaz

FELIX DOMINICANA:

going to yield my minutes to Tariq but we're all

I'm Felix.

Ι′m

2.0

on the same note as livery base coalition owners.

Since you're going to review it and let us know about a future meeting, we'll be waiting for that,

with all due respect. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you.

AVIQ CABEZA: Dear Mr. Chairman my name is Avik Cabeza, I'm a member of the New York Coalition of Base Owners in New York State for direction of taxi drivers and a proud owner of Carmel Car Service which is 666 not 77. I'm in complete support of the priority list that was submitted to you. Instead of repeating this and go into this, I would like to approach it from a different angle.

The events of the last six weeks have left me puzzled and concerned. Concerned that the TLC process of designing a regulatory framework to the livery industry was initially entered into without the input of those most affected by it. The TLC over the past six weeks is not the TLC I know. For the past seven years I have worked closely with the TLC, Commission Daus and his staff. I worked with the TLC on the 311 pilot program, I worked on the inbound hustling

[phonetic] in Albany. I worked with the wheelchair accessible or tried to solve the problem of wheelchair accessibility in the outer boroughs. For this reason I'm puzzled that the TLC has been working on the proposed rules in secrecy for the last two years.

The industry was in a serious threat to its existence and unless we know what happened it can happen again. It should be noted that once the rules were published, four weeks before the voting on them both Commissioner Daus and his staff made himself available to the industry above and beyond anything I saw before. Nevertheless, we're all, the various legal for hire associations told the TLC the devastating effect of those rules, they disregarded it. Of course, it's strange and complete disregard of the Jewish holiday.

So I ask why. Why did this rule making process have to be confrontational? Why did it take the involvement of City Council Members such as you before the TLC made changes the Commissioner himself called common sense? Where was this commons sense before? I would like

2.0

to state on record that I value Commissioner Daus and his staff very much, Ms. Samara Epstein is a hard working public service. The TLC over the last seven years is the best TLC I've ever dealt with in my 25 years in the industry but this time they were wrong and they were big time wrong.

If I could give four quick wish list that are not included in your list. I think we should create a for hire advisory board, that such a list would not be for two years in process we're not involved in. Legislative laws that prohibits voting on rules in one public hearing. Limi the amount of rules that can be changed or amended per proposal; we had 33 pages. No meeting was ever sufficient. And finally of course to fiz the issue of the priority list we submitted, the open item.

The industry should be busy generating jobs and revenues for this city by paying taxes not fines. The industry should not constantly be concerned that the government will regulate them out of existence.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Mr.

Cabeza. That's it? All right. Wonderful. Next

2.0

panel, Victor Dieselgoff, David Diaz, why don't you just come on up. Victor Dieselgoff, Sergio Sanchez, Guy Pulumbo and Franchie Munez. Heads up to the next panel, Abnon Oberlander, Richard Pearlman, Lloyd Taylor and Robert Marquel. Mr. Diaz, please proceed.

DAVID DIAZ: I have a grievance claim. Very simple, six points. New York City
Taxi and Limousine Commission had a hearing the hearing was named Notice of Public Hearing and
Opportunity to comment on Proposed Rules. There was no mention of voting that should have taken place on April 16th. Number two, Commissioner
Edward Gonzalez made a motion to vote on proposed rules but never specified which rules because there were at least two sets of rules. I ask you to please consider voiding their vote on these rules because there was no specific mention to which ones.

The cover sheet stated that written comments in connection with these proposed rules should be submitted to Office of Legal Affairs,

Commissioner Charles Frasier by April 10th. On

April 14th a meeting at Commissioner Martinez'

office, his office gave me a copy of the second amended rules. So how can I respond by April 10th if April 14th we received a new copy?

There is a conflict of interest since most of the propose rules do not reflect drivers' inputs. Effectively this means that livery and independent drivers were denied representation. A board of owners, drivers and representatives should have been in place to take care of such decisions.

The timing of the hearing, Easter week/Passover limited the ability of some people to participate. This is unfortunate for what was portrayed to be a public hearing and an opportunity to comment. Of about 35 people and three Council persons who commented on proposed rules, only two were in favor of the proposed rules. A total of 110 petitions, it should have been 150, 110 were presented to postpone voting and TLC denied, completely denied 110 petitions. No petitions were presented in favor of TLC's ruling.

The last thing here is in reference to the New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law,

22

23

24

25

2	number 121 the definition of livery, every motor
3	vehicle other than a taxicab or a bus used in
4	business of transporting passengers for
5	compensation. However it shall not include
6	vehicles which are rented or leased without a
7	driver. Everyone's is going to be pushed to rent
8	the vehicle and not own their vehicle. That's a
9	big burden.
10	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Mr.
11	Diaz. Thank you.
12	SERGIO SANCHEZ: Good morning
13	Chairman. Excuse me, good afternoon now. My name
14	is Sergio Sanchez, I represent the Luxury Based
15	Operators Association, LBOA. I have a quick
16	question before I start my testimony as to my
17	understanding as to the rules that were passed.

18 It was noted by the TLC that they were

conditional. I thought they were passed and they

20 were rules. Maybe if you can clarify that whole

21 conditional thing for me because I'm not--

CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] I'm not well versed in TLC twilight zone. You will have to ask them that and we certainly will ask them what that really means.

2.0

2.3

MR. SANCHEZ: At some point I would
imagine that the appointed officials, all the
Commissioners as well as the Chair, Matt Daus,
would say that not staffers would necessarily make
that a conditional thing. I wondered if I could
press upon the Council at this time to clarify who
actually makes these rules as rules or conditional
if they see fit.

With that being said, I'll just read. My name is Sergio Sanchez, president of Luxury Based Operators Association. I'm please to have this opportunity to express our members comments about the TLC rules. We were caught a little off guard with these rules, having to respond very quickly, which is our first concern.

As we understand the proposed rules were published in the city record but is not a routine function of a base operator to check the city record. Because of the timing of these rules it became known to us at the last minute.

Multiple industry meetings and discussions were held resulted in an excess amount of wasted time, money and effort. We met with many of the Association to developing an initial position

2.0

paper which you have attached to my testimony.

We were fortunate to meet with the TLC staff and about eight different associations but nothing of substance came of it. Later we were called in for individual separate association hearings to discuss and negotiate many of the rules. In any negotiation, both sides never are 100% satisfied but we felt that we were able to obtain significant benefits for our luxury segment of the industry. We then offered our support for the passage of the rules.

We want to point out that we support the recommendations being made today about specific rules and we'll let the other organizations mention those. Our specific concerns suggests as they apply to luxury based operators are immediate recommendation; whenever the working within the rule state either luxury, limousine or just limousine that the word base be added to avoid any confusion. Long term recommendations; rules changes definitions need to be changed to eliminate any references to a particular type of vehicle and luxury license bases be labeled as luxury base with the

2	elimination of word limousine. The diamond should
3	be either color coded, annotated
4	CHAIRPERSON LIU: [interposing] Mr.
5	Sanchez, I need you to wrap up. We have all the
6	points here.
7	MR. SANCHEZ: Okay, good enough.
8	With that being said, I would like a strong
9	suggestion that maybe Council query the TLC in
10	reference to this conditional ruling or not
11	conditional.
12	CHAIRPERSON LIU: We already have
13	on the record and we expect a response from them.
14	MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you. Mr.
16	Dieselgoff.
17	VICTOR DIESELGOFF: Good afternoon
18	Chairman Liu, members of the Transportation
19	Committee. I've been known to speak extensively
20	but I won't do it at this hearing. I've come only
21	prepared to express the views of the Black Car
22	Assistance Corporation for which I am the
23	Executive Director and to say that on behalf of
24	the association. We've met with the TLC a number
25	of times prior to the passage of the rules

2.0

Unfortunately I wasn't able to be present at the hearing and I expressed that to the Commission at a meeting two days prior to that because I'm a member of the Jewish faith and I observe the holiday.

It was therefore, I thought it was inconsiderate of them to hold that hearing on that particular day. However they did and the rules were passed. We did have a number of meetings with the TLC where we, I think as the previous speaker mentioned, we went to a hearing not expecting to come out with everything we go in requesting but coming out with certain things that we were able to achieve and certain things that we couldn't achieve that we understood.

The only thing that I would ask is that it be conveyed to the TLC from this committee that really the only way to properly enforce these rules is to have enforcement out on the street where true enforcement of the rules, whether it be these rules or the rules that are currently in place at the TLC. Be dealt with. And if it's within the purview of this committee to go to the full City Council to ensure that in the budget

2.0

2.3

there are	funds	avail	able	for	ado	ditiona	al
enforcemen	ıt ager	nts at	the	TLC	we	would	request
that.							

5 CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you Mr. 6 Dieselgoff.

GUY PULUMBO: Mr. Chairman, members of the City Council, my name is Guy Pulumbo, I'm the Secretary Treasurer of the LBOA, Luxury Base Operators Association. I'm not going to repeat what we handed out to you. But based on what transpired today and what we had suggested, I got involved more with the development of these rules and regulations. A couple of comments were made, 33 pages worth of rules is unheard of by anybody and nobody can get that done in just a short period of time.

The strongest recommendation is TLC should have a council or a industry group or something of that nature that should meet with them on a regular basis. There needs to be an exchange of information and if the TLC people have personal experiences as passengers and they haven't closed down those bases, that's really showing that they're not listening to the

industry; they're reacting to maybe perhaps some of their own feelings or their own circumstances.

And we understand that.

We do support the rules because they do work for the industry. But the way and the manner in which this was handled is really something that's got to be corrected.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you very much. Why don't I excuse these gentlemen and then we'll have Mr. Munez. I want to thank you for testifying today. Please proceed Mr. Munez.

FRANCHIE MUNEZ: First we would like to thank Chairman John Liu, City Council Member Martinez and the rest of the members of the Transportation Committee for inviting us here today. My name is Franchie Munez, Executive Director of the New York State Federation. The New York State Federation as you know has worked very closely with the Transportation Committee and we are happy that the City Council is working so closely with the for hire vehicle industry. We are glad that once again the current City Council Members that are part of the Transportation Committee care enough about the for hire industry

2.0

to have us here again.

The New York State Federation of
Taxi Drivers advocates and works not only for the
rights to defend its members but also works with
city and state officials in bringing about rules
and regulations that are fair. Making sure that
our members can comply with and not face
unnecessary hardship. We have worked very hard
with the Transportation Committee for the benefits
of drivers and other industry stakeholders.

Some of these past legislations include Intro 178 in 2003 in relation to the defective trouble lights. Giving the drivers the opportunity to carry extra light bulbs and correct the problem instead of facing summons sponsored by Council Member Reyna. Another one sponsored by City Council Member Martinez, Intro 256 in relation to the administrative terminals of the TLC, affording more due process for drivers and owners. Let us not forget that we must also protect the riding public. They made us very proud to take part with the committee and especially Council Member Garodnick, the main sponsor of the for hire vehicle passenger bill of

rights. Finally, yet importantly, we want to commend and thank Council Member Dickens for helping us, the Federation, and taking the initiative in bringing about a plan of introduction of the drivers bill of rights, affording the drivers at last some peace of mind.

For the past two and a half years we have been working with the Taxi and Limousine Commission to bring about changes to the for hire industry, hoping to eliminate illegal activities and private vehicles and operators taking advantage of the hard working legitimate drivers and owners. Tin the last month and a half the Taxi and Limousine Commission published a set of new rules to make the vehicles and base owners more accountable for compliance.

These rules, we became concerned with some of the rules that apparently were going to be proposed. We let the TLC know our concerns and we had meetings with our members, continuing to our efforts, we met with other industry representatives and formulated a working document to present to the Taxi and Limousine Commission. At the numerous meetings—

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2.	CHAIRPERSON LIU:	[interposing]	Mr.

Munez, please wrap up.

MR. MUNEZ: At the numerous negotiations we were able to accomplish 95% of those. While some of the changes, there are additional ones that were revised as well. We ask now that they create laws for TLC to go after private bases and other private plates vehicles. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you very much. Thanks for your testimony. Our next panel consists of Abnon Oberlander, Richard Pearlman, Lloyd Taylor and Robert Marquel. Please join us at the witness table. They will be followed by a panel consisting of Jose Rodriguez, James Berello, Isabel Guzman, and Slyvio Tajada. Once again I want to thank everybody for joining us today in this afternoon's hearing. I apologize that we have to limit the testimony to two minutes. This is so that we can hear from everybody and we still have a large number of people can hear from. that everybody can at least get some of their input in. We did take a lot of time with the TLC but I will remind everybody that the TLC probably

2 wanted to have their two minutes and leave also.

I don't think they wanted to be here that long but nonetheless we needed to ask them the questions that we required with them. We will certainly set up any follow up meetings that will be necessary so that we can see the fruition of the effort to look at these changes. Thank you. Mr.

Oberlander, please proceed.

ABNON OBERLANDER: Mr. Chairman,
Mr. Martinez, good afternoon. Initially I wanted
to talk about Rule 629C, which I believe you have
it and the second page which is the setting of the
guidelines for Phase Three of the TLC. But since
these issues were already raised and since these
issues you already have in writing I will not
repeat them again. I don't want to waste your
time and everybody else's time.

I just want to bring two issues that nobody talked today about them before. It seems like the TLC doesn't understand this business, plain English. The TLC doesn't understand that when they suspend the base, they can not renew it. When they can not renew it the base will lose all its customers and will lose all

these drivers. That's a death to the base. It looks like they failed to understand that and they are still failing to understand that.

Second issue is that they can not, and I don't know if it's legal to impose penalties and points on whoever is not the violator. I believe you have everything on the Phase Three of the TLC. That's basically what I wanted to bring to your attention that they don't understand that when they close a base, the base can not re-open ever again because within a few days the base will lose its drivers and the base will lose its customers.

This will create a situation where if you have a base which you have 200 drivers, 50 drivers or 400 drivers, these drivers might lose their livelihood. They will lose their livelihood, which will create horribly, will increase the unemployment in New York City. On behalf of most of the people that's sitting over here and thousands of thousands of individuals, base owners, drivers, vehicle owners, I'm asking you, as a matter of fact I'm begging you, you are the body that can help us the most not to let the

2.0

2.3

TLC do whatever they intend to do. You are the body that will help us not to let them put us in a danger of losing our livelihood. Please. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you.

RICHARD PEARLMAN: Hi, my name is
Richard Pearlman. Thank you both to Chairman Liu
and to the committee. I'm just going to address
quickly two points that have to do with two other
rules. The new for hire rules add another
dimension to the inspection process for our
vehicles.

Inspecting a vehicle that is not a new medallion vehicle can become a more complicated process than just attaching the vehicle to a scanner. There are times when a battery has been changed or a sensor is out and the monitors come up with what's called not ready. At this point mileage needs to be put on vehicles to re-teach the monitors when in fact there is nothing wrong with the vehicle. This records as a failed respects. There is no way to determine how much mileage to put on the vehicle in order to reset the monitors.

2	We're also upset that the drivers
3	must display their original licenses on the back
4	of the drivers seats now as opposed to a copy. We
5	believe that the location in the front of the
6	vehicle might be a better choice. And we would
7	appreciate consideration and a revision of these
8	rules. Thank you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Is this
10	the TLC license or their drivers license?
11	MR. PEARLMAN: Both.
12	CHAIRPERSON LIU: Vehicle license
13	and the drivers license.
14	MR. PEARLMAN: They want to suspend
15	it over the driver's seat where a passenger if
16	they get in, if somebody is inebriated or just a
17	volatile passenger they can just rip it off. So
18	it should be in the front, that's what we're, with
19	a copy.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thanks.
21	LLOYD TAYLOR: Hello, my name is
22	Lloyd Taylor. Thank you Council Members of the
23	Transportation Committee. I want to start by
24	saying most emphatically that I am part of no
25	consensus as far as the agreement on these rules

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

are concerned. We are totally against the penalty point system. I would also like to recommend that the Council Members seek to amend Charter 65 of the City Council rules to ensure that the Taxi and Limousine Commission pays special attention to the viability and the profitability of for hire vehicle business when it considers rule changes.

I think that's extremely important.

The other thing I would like to point out to Council Members is the fact that 319 for hire vehicle bases among the liveries own between 10 to 30 vehicles. These are the bases that Charles Frasier is talking about when he says that they are going to be the bases that lead to the problems in the industry. The problem, Council Members, is that we can not continue to address the issues of the for hire vehicle industry without candor and frankness and without coming to terms with the facts of this industry.

These small firms are basically unviable. And all you need is a slight weight on them for them to collapse. These rules are going to do that. Thank you very much.

ROBERT MARQUEL: My name is Robert

25

Marquel and I represent Metropolitan Leasing, which may be the only financial entity that finances all those vehicles that work with those bases. The owner rules apply both to title and registration of vehicles which are two separate issues. A vehicle can not be punished for the action of the driver therefore it would be a major consideration to pull out from this industry and not enable hundreds of individuals to make a living in this industry.

Vehicles can not be punished. A vehicle that has been disqualified based on the point system will basically have to be shipped out of state to be sold. If I, as the president of a company has to go for a fitness hearing I might as well as reside in the TLC offices adjudication system. And maybe Ford Motor Credit will send the president of Ford to sit at the TLC fitness hearing every time that a vehicle has been disqualified and needs to be re-registered under a different name or different corporation.

The bottom line is that the TLC shot before asking questions. So the shot was done already and only this Council can revise it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

This is a death sentence to this industry.

The threat of the illegal aspirations that the TLC is terrified from is from private cars that have regular plates, regular insurance. They roam the street and take street hails away from the bases and the yellow cabs in the boroughs. It is not about the bases, it is not about the licensed vehicle. It is about the private vehicle that they get away. We might as well, us as a financial institution will start leasing to private individual to take street hails and not be suspended. At that point the police can maybe seize the car but the car belongs to the owner and that can be recycled again and again and again without being licensed by the TLC. will be taken out of business because they have no jurisdiction on private vehicles.

This is something that was no thought put into it altogether. This Council is the only way to eliminate it and rethink the whole process.

CHAIRPERSON LIU: Thank you. Thank you gentlemen for your testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Jose

Τ	TRANSPORTATION 140
2	Rodriguez, James Berello, Isabel Guzman, Jill
3	Tajada. Sylvia or Jilvio Tajada?
4	JOSE RODRIGUEZ: Members of the
5	Council, thank you for your time. We believe that
6	the Taxi and Limousine Commission has to work with
7	the industry not only
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
9	[interposing] Could you just identify yourself for
10	the record?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry. My
12	name is Jose Rodriguez representing Phoenix Car
13	Service. We believe that work made by the Taxi
14	and Limousine Commission in this point system is
15	against the bases and the drivers. The important
16	part that we found here is that's not a final vote
17	that was done on the 16th of April, which means
18	they can be changed. This is important because
19	the industry has to be part of these changes.
20	They can not penalize an industry because they are
21	penalize a vehicle.
22	A vehicle does not drive by itself;
22	it needs a driver. If a drivers are not penalized

it needs a driver. If a drivers are not penalized by the vehicles then the drivers will go to different bases and work and do the same thing.

2.0

2.3

But the vehicles or the persons or owners of the
vehicles will have a problem plus the base will
have the points. We believe that this is not a
nogitive law against the illegal drivers

But worse enough when Taxi and
Limousine Commission indicates that they're going
against those drivers that they don't have any
licensing, how can they go against the base if
they are going after a driver that is not
registered with TLC, is not registered with the
base. How are they going to penalize our bases?
We believe that it has to be a better argument and
it has to be given the authority to the bases and
also all the industry to present what would be
better for the industry. Thank you.

JAMES BERELLO: Thank you Council

Member Martinez. I've been listening to everybody

and a lot of situations here--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] Identify yourself for the record.

MR. BERELLO: I'm James Berello and I work with Barino's Car Service in Queens. I don't agree 100% with what everybody here on this panel has been saying but I have three concerns.

One of these were mentioned by one of the fellows that was here that TLC has no jurisdiction of private cars. People have no license from TLC and those are the targets, those are the people who put our business in difficult situations.

Always I listen from the TLC people that they have no jurisdiction over Port Authority and they can't enforce operations on Port

Authority jurisdiction. As well as when we ask why we've been penalized because to me, to

Barino's, it's being penalized with the parking regulations. They say we can't do anything about it because that's City Council law. Over here they blame City Council, City Council blames TLC,

TLC blames Port Authority, Port Authority blames

City Council. Everybody is—

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] Wait, I'm trying to understand what you're saying. What does the Port Authority have to do with the rules.

MR. BERILLO: We complain to TLC that there is a bunch of guys that do illegal work at the airports at New York City. But TLC has no jurisdiction over those because that's Port

2.0

2.3

Authority. Port Authority washed their hands and
say they have no problem with that. When we
complain we say those are unfair competition
because those drivers, they don't have the proper
insurance, they don't have the legal paperwork and
nothing is done.

When we complain to TLC about our problem with the parking regulations for base owners, that they must have 50% of the spots for parking, they say we can't do anything about it because that comes from you guys, from City Council. That means here we see that every office is operating on its own. I don't know its interests or what but something is going on because TLC doesn't work closely with Port Authority, Port Authority doesn't work closely with City Council but we are affected. As base owners we are affected by all these offenses.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: But you know that the parking regulation has nothing to do with Port Authority.

MR. BERILLO: I know but TLC is trying to enforce. Actually, they dropped that

part of the rules. In the rules--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] I just want to make it clear that the enforcement in the Port Authority is the Port Authority, not even the police department of New York City. The Port Authority has their own police, they have their own jurisdiction, their own authority. The parking issue is different.

MR. BERILLO: I know.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That is something that the Coalition of Drivers is already picking up and a discussion that we're going to have but that's for another forum. The city law dealing with the parking issue and the for hire vehicle.

MR. BERILLO: But if you allow me

Council Member Martinez, when Council Member Reyna
said how come we approve bases that are repeated
violators, they have no clue how the process
works. When we complain to TLC that we can be out
of business if they enforce that parking
regulation because they want contracts of
exclusivity and nobody can afford that - nobody.
They say listen gentlemen, this is City Council

2.0

2.3

that means it's	not u	o to	us.	When	Ιı	mentio	n Po	rt
Authority I mer	ition so	ometh	ning	where	mo	st of	the	
illegal activit	y is be	eing	cond	lucted.				

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: At the next meeting we're having to discuss issues, that is one of the issues we're going to discuss so we'll do it there.

MR. BERILLO: Thank you.

ISABEL GUZMAN: I'm Isabel Guzman,
I'm representing CR Radio Dispatch. Our main
concern is I see that in the new rules they
mention where drivers are not going to be able to
pick up passengers from personal calls from their
cell phones. How does that hold the base
responsible? We don't have control on the cell
phones of the drivers. Those are their personal
phones. Our concern is how could we be monitoring
them, what calls they are picking up or not? Is
TLC going to enforce the GPS system on all the
bases? Are they looking in to that? [Long pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That's

something in the rules we have to clarify because what you are describing is something that the driver is doing on his own, correct?

Sanchez, Arthur Grover and Fernando Garcia.

25

2.0

2.3

Fernando	Garcia,	Arthur	Grover	and	Darlene
Sanchez.					

FERNANDO GARCIA: Buenos tardes los representativos de la concilio. Buenos tardes todo los representativos [Testimony in Spanish]
Gracias.

DARLENE SANCHEZ: Hi, my name is
Darlene Sanchez. I represent United as One TLC
Bases Association. United as One is a member of
the Coalition of Bases of New York City. Thank
you for having me here today and thank you City
Council committee, thank you for the base owners
that are here representing today.

This is a law that is dealing with a lot of changes that are being enforced. We've been sitting down with the Taxi and Limousine Commission for about two years, a lot of recommendations, a lot of changes being done.

This has been drastic. We are happy with some of the changes being done to this rule, with the markings on the cars because in reality there are a lot of cars outside. That's not good for the industry. We are not in favor of these cars as base owners. It's hurting us.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However the system of the points is something that as base owners, is getting us nervous. It's our concern that a lot of the small bases or even big bases will be out of the business and the economy right now, for people to lose their jobs. When we're thinking about bases, we're thinking about just drivers and dispatchers but we're wrong because we have the secretary, we have the rest of us around, we have the people that do the printing, the propaganda, they read the stations, the newspapers, the cleaning people. It's a lot of people. When one base goes out of business, a lot of people lose their jobs. need to think about this when we close a base. Not only that, some people when

Not only that, some people when they close the bases we're talking about some people decide to go illegal.

Another point I want to talk about is when we talk about the system of the points, sometimes these drivers get the point but then they appeal the case and sometimes the case gets dismissed later off. What happened with the base that got closed and rejected but later off they got rejected and closed for a driver that later

off the case got back and dismissed. Does this base get opened again? No, it's closed already. I can not get back their license. So we have to consider that. There's no where in there, a gray area to see if this case is going to be back in.

Remember these people have--I'm a rep also licensed by TLC so I know you have 30 days to appeal your case. In that 30 days the case can come back and the case can get dismissed and the points get deleted but this base already get closed. So that is one thing to consider before also. That's nowhere in these rules when it comes to the points. So I'm asking as a person that goes to court and face these hearings day by day, something to take into consideration when it comes to the points.

GOUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: That's a good point. We're going to look into that.

MS. SANCHEZ: I will bring another point is the amount of summons. When an illegal car gets stopped sometimes if a person and a base comes, one of the TLC is that and the summons they wrote car was dispatched to the point. Just because the car was dispatched to the same address

_	
2	that's enough proof. The testimony of the
3	inspector is enough proof to say that that car was
4	dispatched from that base. But sometimes the FCC
5	is the only one who can control the radios. For a
6	base that dispatched an illegal car. Let's say I
7	have a ca and
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
9	[interposing] That's the example I put
10	MS. SANCHEZ: That's the example
11	you said earlier. That's another way that the
12	base is going to get a lot of points because now
13	I'm going to be disaffiliating cars because I'm
14	scared of this new system of points. I don't know
15	if it was taken into consideration.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank
17	you. Marina Marionne Maracella, Tony Bendiconi,
18	Moises Vallos, Rafael Rosario. Moises Vallos,
19	Rafael Rosario.
20	MOISES VALLOS: The Taxi Driver

MOISES VALLOS: The Taxi Driver

Association, the Independent Taxi Driver

Association and also I'm a driver with Diamond Car

Service in outer Manhattan. I only have two

questions to ask. They've been tailing about

closing the bases but they don't talk nothing

2.0

about our families.

How many families are going to be without income when they close the bases? They're only thinking about how most of these people are going to be without a job. And our families are the one who pay the consequences. That's one point and it's important.

But there's another point, too.

TLC is changing the rules; every day we have different rules. But we have people who are coming out of jail who they sentenced five years up. When they are coming out they deny the license. How are these people going to reinstall to society if they don't give them the opportunity to have a license and work? That's the point that I have. Thank you very much.

RAFAEL ROSARIO: Good afternoon
member of the Council, my name is Rafael Rosario.
I work for Dominicana Car Service. I wanted to
talk about the reason why I think that for hire
vehicles or black car vehicles should be allowed
to pick up people in the street, in some streets
of the neighborhoods. Because the people of New
York should be treated equal no matter where they

live. The yellow cabs are the only ones with the right to accept street hails. These cars never go to poor neighborhoods. They operate mainly in Manhattan, airports and other points of interest. It is impossible to find a yellow car service in the poor areas of Queens, Bronx, Brooklyn, etc. I understand them because they pay too much money for the medallions and they can only make enough money serving the rich people. But what about the poor people? These people should also have the right to catch a cab in case of an emergency if they can't arrange previously the ride.

than five minutes you can get a cab in Manhattan while in the poor neighborhoods you can't find one. Your arm will fall off first before a yellow cab will stop for you. They will never stop for you in a poor neighborhood if they pass. Mainly they don't pass over. The solution to this problem is allow for hire vehicle or black car to cover these areas that yellow cars don't. That was what I had to say.

According to the point system, I definitely think it's unacceptable. I have to

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	take a look at the Constitution of the United
3	States. We all know that this is the supreme law
4	of the land and no other law, no other association
5	can be above the Constitution. Blaming somebody
6	for other people's mistakes, I think that's
7	against the Constitution. The bases should never
8	be penalized for the driver's mistakes. That's
9	all I have to say.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank

11 you. Ma'am?

MARINA MARACELLA: Buenos tardes.

13 Mi nombre es Marina Maracella representa

14 Mariacchi's Car Service. [Testimony in Spanish]--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:

[interposing] [In Spanish informs witness that testimony can not be in Spanish] They've been misinformed. Having no more witnesses, I want to thank you for coming and I would just say that from a driver's perspective. As you heard the TLC, the next stage is driver's rules which means we have to organize. I will welcome everyone to the next discussion and meeting. Thank you for coming. At this point having no further witnesses or testimony, the meeting is adjourned.

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	An An _	
Signature	· · / ·	
Date May 20,	2009	