THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK Finance Division



Hearing on the Fiscal 2010 Executive Budget

for the

Legal Aid Society/Indigent Defense Services

Tuesday, May 12, 2009

Hon. Christine C. Quinn

Speaker

Hon. David I. Weprin

Chair, Committee on Finance

Hon. James Vacca

Chair, Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services

Preston Niblack

Director

Jeffrey Rodus

First Deputy Director

Andrew Grossman

Deputy Director

Eisha Williams

Supervising Legislative Financial

Analyst

Legal Aid/Indigent Defense Services

The Legal Aid Society (LAS), under agreement with the City of New York, serves as the primary defender in the State Court System, at both the trial and appellate levels, for those who cannot afford private legal counsel. By State statute, New York City has the sole responsibility for funding counsel and supportive services for indigent defendants charged with crimes in the City.

Indigent criminal defendants are also represented by other entities. In the Criminal and Supreme Courts, indigent defense work is performed by five alternative contractors (one in each borough), by a Court-appointed panel of Assigned Counsel (18-B) attorneys, and by the Neighborhood Defender Service, which is a full-service agency serving Northern Manhattan. In the Appellate Courts, cases are handled by two alternative contractors, the Office of the Appellate Defender, and 18-B attorneys.

In Fiscal 2008, the City's actual expenditures for indigent defense services totaled approximately \$203.7 million. In Fiscal 2009, the Adopted appropriation was \$201.7 million (including \$11.65 million in City Council discretionary funding), an amount increased to \$204.2 million as of the Fiscal 2010 Executive Plan. The Executive Fiscal 2010 budget for indigent defense is only \$186 million, primarily reflecting the prior-year City Council funding for the Legal Aid Society and the Neighborhood Defender Service that is traditionally only included in the Adopted Budget. (See below for further detail.) The portion of indigent defense funding provided by the State is forecast to be \$43.5 million in Fiscal 2009 and \$41 million in Fiscal 2010.

3-Year Indigent Defense Budget Data		
	in millions	
Fiscal 2008 - Actual Spending	\$203.7	
Fiscal 2009 - Adopted Appropriation	\$201.7	
Fiscal 2009 - Modified Budget	\$204.2	
Fiscal 2009 - As per the Executive Plan	\$204.2	
Fiscal 2010 – As per the Executive Plan	\$186.0	

While no new actions relating to the indigent defense budget are contained in the Executive Plan, one significant event has occurred since the release of the Preliminary Budget that will alter the local indigent defense landscape in the near future. The Adopted State Budget for State Fiscal Year 2009-10 included a provision mandating a cap on public defender caseloads in New York City beginning in 2010. As a practical matter, the caseload cap will mean that defender organizations will need to have their budgets increased to hire additional attorneys. According to the State budget bill, this incremental cost in defender organization budgets would be borne by the State. Some highlights pertaining to the new caseload cap law are listed below.

New York State Law Capping Public Defender Caseloads

- The case cap law applies only in New York City, and only to trial-level public defenders.
- New Chief Justice Jonathan Lippman of the Court of Appeals was reportedly the impetus for the passage of the law, which had been proposed for many years.
- The law allows for a four-year phase in and requires the State Judiciary (as opposed to the City) to fund associated costs.
- Caseload caps would have to be established by the State's Chief Administrative Judge by April 1, 2010.
- The cap will most likely be a "blended" one to account for both misdemeanors and felonies (that is, some number of misdemeanors would equate to 1 felony).
- Legal Aid Society lawyers currently handle 592 cases per year, or about 103 at a time. Legal Aid is the City's primary provider of trial-level indigent defense services.
- Standards established in 1995 by the Appellate Division-First Department called for a 70-case limit; this standard was not binding, enforceable or met.
- The City fiscal year after next (Fiscal 2011) will see (1) the caseload standards in place (having been established by April 1, 2010; and (2) a new set of trial-level contracts let by the Office of the Criminal Justice Coordinator through the RFP process.
- The RFP process was originally supposed to have been concluded in time to have new contracts in place for Fiscal 2010. The process has now been delayed by one year.

Status of Requests for Proposals (RFPs) for Appellate Legal Services & Trial-Level Legal Services

Early in Fiscal 2009, the Office of the Criminal Justice Coordinator (CJC) entered into contracts with four institutional indigent defense entities for the provision of appellate legal representation. These contracts resulted from the issuance of a formal request for proposals (RFP). Because the contracts weren't finalized until after the Adoption of the Fiscal 2009 Budget, the City Council was unable to evaluate the RFP results during budget negotiations and therefore unable to determine whether supplemental funding was needed for any of the contracted organizations, such supplements having become routine as part of the yearly negotiations in which the Administration refuses to baseline City Council enhancements.

The CJC testified during last year's Executive Budget hearing that the RFP process for trial-level indigent defense representation was to be completed prior to the start of Fiscal 2010, a timeline that would have allowed the City Council to evaluate its outcome prior to finalizing the Fiscal 2010 Budget. The Office of the CJC and the Mayor's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) have each recently confirmed, however, that the contracts emanating from this process will not be in place until January

2010, halfway through Fiscal 2010. The Council has been told informally by the CJC that the existing trial-level contractors (whose contracts all expire at the close of Fiscal 2009) have been asked to enter into one-year negotiated acquisition contracts to bridge the service gap that would otherwise exist between the expiration of their existing contracts (June 30, 2009) and the letting of new contracts (in January 2010). While the Office of the CJC has indicated that the interim contracts would be let on the same budgetary terms as the current contracts, terms that would seemingly include the value of the City Council's supplementary funding, OMB has indicated that the value of the City Council supplements would not be included by the Administration in the interim contracts, consistent with its policy of not recognizing in its budgets the Council's funding decisions. The Office of the CJC has essentially cut off communications with the Council regarding this matter as evidenced by a February 19 e-mail from the Mayor's Office of City Legislative Affairs stating that the CJC "can't provide any additional information per City procurement rules."

• The Legal Aid Society (LAS). The Legal Aid Society is the City's long-time primary provider of criminal indigent defense services. In Fiscal 2009, the Society was appropriated approximately \$83.1 million. This included a baseline funding appropriation by the Administration supplemented by an \$8.65-million enhancement through City Council discretionary funds. In exchange for this funding, LAS is required to pick up 88% of the cases in the arraignment parts it staffs. By contract, failure to meet this benchmark would subject the Society to liquidated damages. In contrast, as the City's default provider, should LAS be required to handle more than 88% of the cases in its arraignment parts, it would do so without compensation, an untenable situation for the organization.

According to his Fiscal 2009 Executive Budget testimony, Criminal Justice Coordinator John Feinblatt indicated that all future indigent defense contracts would mirror Legal Aid's when he stated, "We're shifting those contracts actually to look more like the Legal Aid contract." He continued by stating that this change couldn't be implemented "midstream," intimating that such change would occur via the RFP process that will soon yield new trial-level indigent defense contracts citywide.

Legal Aid's Preliminary Budget for Fiscal 2010 is approximately \$72 million, a significant reduction from its Fiscal 2009 appropriation of \$83.1 million. The biggest contributing factor to this reduction is the Administration's pattern of not baselining the City Council's prior-year funding, in this case \$8.65 million. For the past several fiscal years, a sum approximating the remaining \$2.5-million difference in the Society's year-over-year funding drop has been restored by the Administration in the Executive Budget. OMB reports that the \$2-million portion of this sum will, in fact, be included in the Adopted Budget; the remainder will not be funded by OMB.

Indigent Defense Providers As of the Fiscal 2010 Executive Plan Miscellaneous Budget – Unit of Appropriation 005

	FY2009	FY2010
18-B Assigned Counsel Program	\$74,507,287	\$71,038,132
Legal Aid Society	\$83,109,500	\$71,959,500
NY County Defenders	\$6,260,139	\$6,079,139
Bronx Defenders	\$4,885,140	\$4,885,140
Brooklyn Defender Services	\$5,790,775	\$5,790,775
Queens Law Associates, P.C.	\$4,948,498	\$4,948,498
Battiste, Aronowsky & Suchow, Inc.	\$2,808,720	\$2,808,720
Appellate Advocates	\$3,899,220	\$3,899,220
Center for Appellate Litigation	\$3,399,320	\$3,399,320
Alternative Providers sub-total	\$31,991,812	\$31,810,812
Neighborhood Defender Services	\$3,000,000	\$-
Office of the Appellate Defender	\$1,999,000	\$1,624,000
Center for Family Representation	\$2,784,100	\$2,784,100
Bronx Defenders	\$3,844,990	\$3,844,990
Legal Services for New York City	\$2,826,790	\$2,826,790
Family Court Providers sub-total	\$9,455,880	\$9,455,880
Miscellaneous	\$87,849	\$87,849
TOTAL Indigent Defense Services Budget	\$204,151,328	\$185,976,173

• Alternative Indigent Defense Contractors. On July 1, 1996, the City began awarding contracts to newly organized entities to furnish indigent defense representation of the kind Legal Aid provides. Ultimately, seven such groups were created and funded - five that provide criminal defense services and two that provide appellate advocacy. These groups, each of which traditionally handles a fixed number of cases (unlike Legal Aid), have been generally well funded since their inception. That being said, the groups have at times indicated to the Administration and the Council that they require more funding to keep up with the increasingly high cost of doing business in the City (overhead, salaries to attract and retain high quality staff, etc.). Whereas the Fiscal 2006 Executive Budget included cost of living adjustment (COLA) increases for these offices, no other baseline additions were made at that time. The total value of their contracts was \$26.9 million in Fiscal 2006. Since that time, the City has increased the contract values for the alternative providers to a combined figure approaching \$32 million. The January Plan includes approximately \$32 million in funding for the seven alternative providers in Fiscal 2009, and \$31.8 million in Fiscal 2010, reflecting a decrease of \$181,000 for a single provider (New York County Defenders).

• Assigned Council Program (18-B Attorneys). The Court appoints private 18-B attorneys to handle cases in which Legal Aid representation would create a conflict of interest (i.e., multiple defendants) or when the defendant is charged with a crime punishable by death or life imprisonment. As per the Fiscal 2007 Executive Plan, proposed funding for 18-B services was \$100.7 million in Fiscal 2006 and \$99.1 million in Fiscal 2007. As per the January 2007 Financial Plan, the Modified 18-B Budget for Fiscal 2007 was approximately \$92.6 million, while the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal 2008 was \$87.7 million. A portion of this sum, it should be noted, funded 18-B attorneys who represent adults in Family Court (see below).

The 18-B program had been plagued with systemic problems resulting in the quality of 18-B representation being called into question in recent years. One reason for the poor past performance of many 18-B attorneys was the historically low rate at which they were compensated. Compensation rates for 18-B attorneys, set at \$40/hour for in court services and \$25/hour for out of court services since 1986, were increased to \$60 for misdemeanor cases and \$75 for felony cases, beginning January 1, 2004. While the State should be commended for raising the rates and thereby attracting more attorneys to the 18-B panel, the financial burden to subsidize this increase has rested primarily with localities, especially New York City. Whereas the Fiscal 2009 Executive Plan recognized expected State reimbursement for 18-B of \$44.7 million in Fiscal 2008, only \$33 million was anticipated in Fiscal 2009. A \$3-million January Plan increase in funding from the New York State Indigent Legal Services Fund brings the Fiscal 2010 forecast up to only \$41 million against expected costs of more than \$71 million.

In keeping with other recent downward estimates in required 18-B funding, the January Plan removed \$7.5 million in City funds in Fiscal 2010 and the outyears, yielding the all-funds 18-B budget of \$71 million referenced above.

- Neighborhood Defender Service (NDS). NDS is a full service, community-based indigent defense organization. To aid NDS, the Council restored \$2.5 million to its budget in Fiscal 2005 and enhanced it by another \$500,000 in Fiscal 2006 and Fiscal 2007, bringing its funding to \$3 million to run its operations. In Fiscal 2008, the Council's support increased to \$3.25 million. Because no appropriation for NDS was included in the Fiscal 2009 Executive Budget, a City Council restoration was needed to keep NDS in operation after June 30, 2008. Due to fiscal constraints, the City Council was only able to appropriate the sum of \$3 million for NDS in Fiscal 2009.
- Office of the Appellate Defender (OAD). OAD is a twenty-one year old not-for-profit firm devoted to providing representation to indigent defendants in the First Department, primarily in criminal appeals in State Court and collateral proceedings in State and Federal Court. The City Council restored \$1.8 million to OAD's budget in Fiscal 2006 and enhanced it by \$450,000, bringing its funding to \$2.25 million to adequately run its operations. This same amount was appropriated by the Council in Fiscal 2007. In Fiscal 2008, the Council increased its support for OAD to \$2.4 million.

As Fiscal 2009 approached, OAD indicated that it would require funding in the amount of \$2.4 million "to continue to perform its vital mission without reduction in services, to represent a greater number of clients, and to maintain its unique social work program." As a result of the RFP issued for appellate criminal defense services, however, the multi-year contract OAD is currently operating under provides only \$1.999 million in Fiscal 2009 and \$1.624 million in 2010 and the outyears. (The Fiscal 2009 contract amount includes a one-time payment of \$375,000, ostensibly to help OAD transition into its lower baseline level of funding, an amount 32 percent less than the \$2.4-million funding appropriation provided in Fiscal 2008.)

In a recent letter to the City Council, OAD indicated that, "Without additional funding for FY 2010, OAD will be forced to reduce its legal staff by 25-30%, concomitantly reduce support staff, and impose substantial across-the-board reductions in compensation and benefits to remaining staff. The impact of these cuts on our clients will be enormous. We will be forced to curtail many of the services we currently provide, many of which can mean the difference between freedom and incarceration, and rehabilitation or recidivism, for clients. Moreover, the impact on our staff will be devastating – both as to those who will lose their jobs and to those who will remain with significantly reduced salaries and benefits." OAD is seeking \$800,000 from the City Council for Fiscal 2010, an amount that would bring the agency's budget in line with its Fiscal 2008 appropriation.

• Family Court Providers. As it has for the past several years, the indigent defense budget contains funding for three organizations to provide legal representation for adults in certain Family Court proceedings. This combined funding, which emanated from a formal RFP solicitation, and which according to the January Plan remains the same in Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2010 (approximately \$9.5 million), allows for the provision of such services in three boroughs: Manhattan, the Bronx, and Brooklyn. The Office of the Criminal Justice Coordinator reports that while no contracts were let in Queens or on Staten Island as a result of the initial RFP, the City is desirous of beginning Family Court programs in those boroughs as well.