CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----X

April 6, 2009 Start: 10:10am Recess: 12:00pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

PETER F. VALLONE, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik Martin Dilan Melinda R. Katz David Yassky

David lassky

Daniel R. Garodnick

Letitia James

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Philip McGuire Assistant Commissioner of Programs, Policies and Crime Analysis New York Police Department

Susan Petito Assistant Commissioner New York Police Department

Bridget Brennan Special Narcotics Prosecutor of Manhattan Special Narcotics Office

Kristine Hawauu Executive A.D.A. Special Narcotics Office

Odell Salanzo Chief Assistant A.D.A. Bronx District Attorney's Office

2.0

2.3

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Good morning
and welcome to today's Committee on Public Safety
hearing. Today we'll be discussing and analyzing
the city's recent crime statistics. The focus
will be on data collected from 2006 through 2008.
Let me begin by saying I can not praise NYPD
enough for the work that it's done to reduce crime
in this city over the last seven years or even
longer than that. Major felony crime is down 30%
from 2001 and we continue to have record lows in
almost all categories thanks to your hard work.

However despite these encouraging crime rates, we still have a way to go. There is still dangerous crime in this city. In the last week of March for instance, let me just pull one out by example, 355 robberies. That's a lot of robberies. I know there are over a million people but next week 355 of us will be robbed and that's not a number that we should sit by and allow to continue to happen. In fact as we know robberies last year as a whole went up. There were nine murders in that same week. That's an improvement but nine murders is nine murders too many.

Seven major felonies around the

city are down as a whole. However as we know, the world does not revolve around just seven major felonies there are a lot of other felonies out there. There are misdemeanors, there are quality of life crimes and those on a whole are going up.

Last year in 2008, 517 murders, 24 murders more than 2007, 22,114 robberies, 530 more than 2007.

In 2008, 163,588 felony complaints, 16,400 more than 2007, that's an 11% increase, misdemeanor complaints a 14% increase. These are numbers you don't hear about when you only talk about the seven major felony crimes.

Perhaps most importantly while crime has been going down constantly, again, thanks to the very hard work of the Police Department, it's been going down about 6% every year since I have been here as Public Safety Chair since 2002 and before that, 6% a year. Last year less than 3%. That's, as I've been saying, in large part due to the fact that we've got 5,000 less police officers than we've had at any time since 2001.

But now, as I've said before, now we're entering a perfect criminal storm. We have

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

less police officers than we've had since 9-11.

We have a faltering economy and now we have much, much weaker laws thanks to Albany and that's the purpose of this hearing, to examine trends so see which way we're going. We're winning the war on crime but for how long.

This committee has worked hard over the last several years to support the NYPD. last February we held a counter terrorism hearing. We learned a lot at that hearing about your efforts and we've worked hard here to make sure the Police Academy class was not completely canceled. As you know the administration had no plans to have any recruits in January 2009 class nor the July 2009. It was the City Council that put 250 recruits into each of those classes. Nowhere near enough, there was supposed to be about 1,200 recruits. In fact it's still the administration's plan at this point to have zero police officers in the January 2010 class. look forward to hearing about how that's going to effect operation and impact.

Again, despite everything I have said, I think the Police Department has done an

amazing job take it the wrong way but it is our job here to do oversight and to ensure that the tremendous gains you've been able to make continue to occur. We want to know everything we can do to make sure that that happen. So I'm going to turn now to Assistant Commissioner Phil McGuire who is with the Programs and Policies and Crime Analysis and Program Planning Section, didn't know we had one, of the NYPD so thanks for coming. Assistant Commissioner we look forward to hearing from you. Who's with--as always Commissioner Sue Petito.

Chairman, members of the Council. Thank you for the opportunity to provide the Committee with an update on New York City's crime trends. I'm Assistant Commissioner Phil McGuire Commanding Officer of the Crime Analysis and Program Planning section of the Police Department's office of Management Analysis and Planning. My office is responsible for the crime reporting system and ensuring its integrity. We also conduct analysis of crime data, disseminate crime statistics within and outside the Department. AS such, I serve as

2.0

the liaison to agencies representing every level
of government to fulfill our crime reporting
requirements

To give you an idea of my
background, I've been a member PD for over 35
yeas, working in the areas of statistical analysis
reporting and planning. I have served as ()
Director and Director of the Crime Analysis
section. I'm currently Assistant Commissioner of
Programs and Policies and a member of America's
Statistical Association.

As the Police Commissioner
testified last week at the preliminary budget
hearing 2008 marked yet another year of crime
reduction in New York City. Major felony crime
continues to decline to levels not seen for
decades. We closed 2008 with more than 3%
reduction in the seven major felony crime
categories compared to 2007 and a 28% decline
compared to 2001. One consequence of these
historic low levels of crime is the difficult in
achieving dramatic declines year after year.
Nevertheless, so far this year the trend has
continued with a decline of nearly 14% compared to

2.0

the same period in 2008.

Keep in mind that these long term trends are evident in every patrol borough. The trends virtually include all 76 precincts, all transit districts and each of our housing service areas. These trends continue even as the population of the city has increased from 7.3 million in 1990 when murders reached an all time high of 2,245 to approximately 8.3 million in 2007 when, you may recall, murders dipped below 500 for the first time since the early 1960s. In 2008 the number of murders remained at an all time low vested only by the 2007 total.

with these unprecedented crime reductions is that they are continued as the Police Department has had to do more with some 5,000 fewer officers. In fact, since 9-11 the Department has committed approximately 1,000 members of the service to full time intelligence gathering and counter terrorism duties. Notwithstanding these additional responsibilities and resource commitments, crime continues to fall.

Before I proceed with a more

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

detailed review of the most current crime statistics, I'd like to give you some insight to the Department's crime reporting process. different reporting systems are used to report The first is based upon New York State crime. penal law and would be familiar to you in the form of the CompStat preliminary statistics that are used to report on the seven major felony crimes, murder, rape, robbery, felonious assault, burglary, grand larceny, grand larceny motor vehicle. The New York State Penal law provides the definitions for the operational crime statistics used throughout our state's criminal justice system.

The CompStat statistics are also most often associated with a weekly process the Department uses to manager our crime control and quality of life efforts. By closely monitoring the local precinct crime trends and patterns, the Department can marshal and coordinate agency's patrol and investigative resources to effectively and successfully deal with them.

The second set of statistics we will review are based upon the reporting

2.0

2.3

requirements of the Federal Bureau of

Investigations Uniform Crime reporting system.

These statistics are most often seen in the press and media when comparisons are made between regions and cities or when national crime strategy discussed. Maintaining the two reporting systems is a complex but necessary function that provides operational statistics for the Department and other criminal justice agencies as well as information for public dissemination and governmental deliberations.

As such, our reporting system must maintain high levels of reliability and accuracy as well as support the public dissemination and transparency. I'm pleased to report that the accuracy of our reporting system has been examined a number of times in recent years by outside audits and studies and found to be accurate, reliable and consistent. In 2007, the Department's UCR reporting procedures were examined by the FBI's audit team as part of an audit of New York State's reporting requirements and practices and found to be fully compliant with the Bureau's reporting standards.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2

In 2006 New York University
examined the Department's own crime auditing
practices and found them to be more stringent than
current business auditing practices. These recent
findings reconfirm the review of the Department's
crime reporting system conducted in 2000 by the
New York State Comptroller's Office that found the
Department's crime data to be "accurate, complete
and reliable".

In addition to our state and federal reporting requirements, our statistics are published and updated on the Department's public web site weekly, provided to the City Council quarterly and made available in the Mayor's Management report and on New York City's Citywide Performance Indicators web site. Crime trends, particularly those that will likely result in close scrutiny and enforcement intervention can be very dynamic and will fluctuate up and down around more prominent long term trends. Some of these variations will be dealt with successfully be refocusing operational attention on specific local problems and thereby eliminating a spike in criminal activity.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Others may emerge after changes in reporting practice mandated by changes to state law. On a case by case basis crime classification changes may also occur as a result of investigative follow up and may occur years after the fact such as the recently report reclassification of the 1960 shooting of William "Willie Wheelchair" Jenkins to homicide. In that case Mr. Jenkins, a 66 year old man who recently died was determined by the medical examiner to be a homicide victim as a result of a qunshot he receive when he was 18 years old. His injuries did not prevent him from being arrested on four separate occasions afterward for charges ranging from robbery to attempted murder. Thus some of the murders counted in recent years are a result of violence that occurred decades past. In addition to the crime trends

In addition to the crime trends
that we will be discussing, I'm aware of the
Council's interest in the issue of quality of life
enforcement and that the Police Commissioner has
responded to your request for data about selected
quality of life summonses and graffiti arrests. A
particular note, the last two years are the

2.0

highest and second highest annual totals on record for criminal court summonses issued. Criminal court summons issuance is the most common mechanism used to address quality of life offenses.

With that introduction I would now like to review our most recently felony crime statistics for each of the seven major penal law crimes, the CompStat indicators. As of March 29 the number of murders has declined more than 23% compared to the same period last year. The number of reported rapes has also declined more than 23%. The number of reported robberies has fallen more than 14%. The number of reported felonious assaults has declined by almost 7%. Combined, this represents a reduction of 12% in the major violent felonies.

Also as of March 29, the number of reported burglaries is down almost 15%. The number of reported grand larcenies has also fallen 15%. And the number of reported grand larceny auto complaints has declined 12%. Combined, this represents a reduction in 14% in the major property felonies.

1	PUBLIC SAFETY 14
2	While these reductions
3	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
4	Sir, excuse me. Just to be clear, those numbers
5	represent the first three months of 2009.
6	MR. MCGUIRE: Well it's through the
7	19th of March.
8	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So from
9	January to the 29th of March?
10	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.
12	Because those numbers we don't have so
13	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, they're not the
14	numbers I spoke about. They're new numbers.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay, thank
16	you.
17	MR. MCGUIRE: While these
18	reductions are a welcome result of the difficult
19	challenges successfully faced by the NYPD
20	uniformed and civilian staff. They are also a
21	result of the agency's ability since the return of
22	Commissioner Kelly in 2002, to maintain and
23	improve its crime control and momentum in spite of
24	the new terrorism challenges confronting the
25	agency.

2.0

in every year since 1988, two decades of crime reductions. Reductions of this magnitude and sustained duration are unparalleled in the United States. A particular note is the decline in crime each year since 2001, following the attacks of 9-11 many believed the city will not be able to successfully continue its crime control efforts and continue its counter terrorism responsibilities. Instead the opposite occurred;

crime continued to decline.

During the past two years, New York
City has enjoyed its lowest murder levels in
almost 40 years. Additionally four precincts, the
6 in the West Village, the 668 in Bay Ridge, the
111 in Bayside and Central Park did not experience
a single murder in 2008. Ten additional precincts
reported one murder each. Notable reductions in
murder also occurred in a number of other
precincts, 44th Precinct in the High Bridge
section of the Bronx reported 20 murders in 2008,
more than 35% lower than the 31 murders recorded
in 2001. The 75 precinct, which covers East New
York and Brooklyn reported 16 murders in 2008,

2.0

2.3

2	more than 54% lower than the 35 recorded in 2001.
3	And the 33rd and 34th precincts in Washington
4	Heights combined reported five murders in 2008,

almost 67% lower than the 15 recorded in 2001.

In 2008 the number of recorded murders, 523, was more than 20% lower than the 649 recorded in 2001 and almost 77% lower compared to the peak year for murder, 1990 when there were 2,245 reported murders. The number of rapes recorded in 2008 was 1,280 and in 2001, 1,930, almost a 34% reduction since 2001. The number of robberies in 2008 was 22,174 and in 2001, 27,873, more than a 20% reduction since 2001.

Felonious assaults in 2008 were 15, 983, 23,020 in 2001, almost a 30% reduction.

Burglaries recorded a 37% reduction since 2001, falling from over 32,000 to 20,500. The number of grand larcenies recorded in 2008 was 43,858 and in 2001, 46,000, more than a 5% reduction since 2001. And grand larceny motor vehicle in 2008 was only 12,499, in 2001 it was almost 30,000, that's a 58% reduction since 2001.

How far New York City has come in terms of public safety and security is most

evident in a comparison of criminal activity
between 2008 and the peak years since 1970. As I
mentioned before, the murders in 1990, the highest
year were 2,245, that's 4.3 times as many as
recorded in 2008. I'll leave some of the other
ones for your own inspection. But I just want to
point out a couple. Grand larceny motor vehicle
there were 12 times as many grand larceny motor
vehicles in 1990 as there were in 2008. And in
terms of robbery, there were almost five times as
many in 1981 the peak year, as it were recorded in
2008.

recorded virtually everywhere in the city, the transit system is no exception. In the transit system as of March 29, major felonies excluding GLAs have fallen more than 5% compared to the same period in 2008. To put these statistics in proper perspective I want to remind you that the daily, weekday MTA transit ridership has continued to increase. In 2001 ridership was almost 4.6 million people a day. In 2008 the ridership had increased to 5.2 million, an increase of more than 12%. As ridership has increased between 2001 and

2.0

2008, major felony crime fell 39% over the same period.

In 2001, an average of more than ten felony crimes were recoded each day within the system and more than two felony crimes per million riders per day. In 2008, an average of six felony crimes were committed each day during the system's 229 miles of lines and stations or slightly more than one felony crime per one million riders daily. While even six crimes per day are still too many, the crimes trends within the system are good news for the city straphangers.

A particular note on the reductions of robbery and grand larceny, the crimes that consistently make up over 90% of the major felonies recorded in the system, the number of robberies reported in the system fell from 1,200 in 2001 to 823 in 2008, a reduction of 31%. The number of grand larcenies reported in the system fell from 2,242 in 2001 to 1,283 in 2008, a reduction of almost 43%.

The more than 400,000 residents of our city's housing development properties, have also benefited from the sustained reductions in

through March 29 of this year compared to the same period in 2008. Since 2001, reductions have been even more pronounced. There were 78 murders recorded from housing development properties in 2008, a reduction of 17% from the 94 murders reported in 2001. There were 150 rapes reported in 2008, a reduction of 25% from the 200 rapes reported in 2001. There were 1,250 robberies reported in 2001 a reduction of more than 23% of the 1,636 robberies reported in 2001. There were 1,587 felonious assaults reported in 2008, a reduction of almost 26% from the 2,155 felonious assaults reported in 2001.

There were 315 burglaries reported in 2008, a reduction of almost 49% of the 613 burglaries reported in 2001. There were 939 grand larcenies reported in 2008, a reduction of almost 54% from the 610 grand larcenies reported in 2001. There were 319 grand larceny auto complaints reported in 2008, a reduction in 53% from the 689 GLA complaints reported in 2001.

The New York City Police Department also records reported crimes according to

2.0

classification and scoring rules required by the FBI for submission to the National Uniform Crime Reporting Program. That reporting system's objective is to provide the federal government with an accurate, consistent and comparable set of national crime statistics across the many state penal laws whose organization and definitions of criminal offenses differ from one another.

The FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting
System also monitors seven crime categories as
contributing index crime components, referred to
as Part 1 offenses. The seven categories are
murder and non negligent manslaughter, forcible
rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary,
larceny theft and motor vehicle theft. Five of
the seven crime reporting categories used by the
FBI's UCR system are defined differently than the
similarly named New York State penal law
categories.

Murder and non negligent

manslaughter and robbery are, however, defined in
an identical manner in both reporting systems.

The remaining UCR crime categories are defined

differently. Forcible rape includes only New York

2.0

State penal law first degree rape and attempted first degree rape. Aggravated assault includes all felonious assaults plus other selected assaulted crimes, reckless endangerment, menacing, selected simple assaults are some of those that are included.

The UCR category of burglary differs from the identically names New York State penal law category by excluding truck burglaries and adding grand larceny into larceny theft. The UCR larceny theft category, while including truck burglaries, excludes specific New York State penal law grand larcenies that are committed by credit cared use, by dishonest employees, by appropriation of lost property and by false promise but includes all New York State penal law petty larcenies. Lastly the UCR category of motor vehicle theft includes both New York State petit law grand larceny and petit larceny auto theft.

Because of these definitional differences, the gross count of UC index crimes will be lager than the number of the total of the seven major penal law crime categories. The New York City Police Department submits crime reports

2.0

2.3

through the New York State Division of Criminal

Justice Services and they submit to the FBI's UCR

program.

The FBI after collecting reports from police agencies across the country, publish preliminary reports for the half calendar year and the full calendar year and a final report for each full calendar year statistics entitled Crime in the United States. The annual report contains crime statistics, counts of reported crimes from various jurisdictions and population statistics for these jurisdictions. These statistics can be combined to produce crimes per 100,000 population rates for the index crimes that can be used as normalized indicators of crime to compare jurisdictions.

While these comparative indicators do not explain the complex interplay between the factors that influence the occurrence of crime in any specific jurisdiction, they do provide basic per capita rates of crime for most jurisdictions and aggregations of jurisdictions across the country.

According to the data published in

these reports, New York City remains the salest
large city in the United States of America. Using
the data contained in the latest preliminary
Uniform Crime Reporting published by the FBI
reporting on the first six months of 2008, New
York City has the lowest rate of index crimes per
100,000 people out of the ten largest cities in
the nation reporting for that period.
Additionally, New York has the lowest index crime
rate among the 25 largest city in the country
reported for that period. Finally among the 240
cities with a population of 100,000 or more
submitting reports to the FBI covering the first
six months of 2008, New York City ranked 226 out
of 240. The city that is ranked first had the
highest crime ate per 100,000 people.
The preliminary report for the full
year of 2008 is expected to be published in May of
2009. New York's index crime trends generally
parallel the trends recorded for the major felony
crimes even though the index crime category

Of course, the trends for murder

major felony crimes.

contains more crimes than the compilation of the

2.0

and robbery are identical to the trends I previously provided for the major felony categories. Murders fell from 356 to 252 reported incidents when comparing the first six months of 2001 with 2008, a reduction of over 29%. And 2002 was the fist year New York City experienced fewer than 600 murders since 1963.

Every year after we have continued this unprecedented decline with fewer than 600 murders recorded in each and every year. In one year the murder rate even dipped below 500.

Robberies fell from 13, 192 50 10,205 reported incidents when comparing the first six months of 2001 with 2008, a reduction of almost 23%.

Furthermore robbery has fallen over 80% over the peak year.

reported incidents when comparing the first six months of 2001 to the first six months of 2008, a reduction of almost 39%. Furthermore forcible rape has fallen almost 76% from the first six months of 1974, the peak year for forcible rape. Aggravated assault fell from 19,099 to 12,615 incidents when comparing the first six months of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2001 with the six months of 2008, a reduction of almost 34%. Additionally aggravated assault has fallen 63% from the first six months of 1988, the peak year for aggravated assault.

Burglary fell from 14,738 to 9,051 during the first six months of 2001 compared to the first six months of 2008, a reduction of almost 39%. Furthermore burglary has fallen 91% from the first six months of 1980, the peak year for burglary. Larceny theft fell from 64,550 to 55,636 reported incidents comparing again the first six months of 2001 with 2008, a reduction of almost 14%. Furthermore larceny theft fell almost 62% from the first six months of 1988, the peak Motor vehicle theft has fallen from 14,969 to 5,682 reported incidents when comparing 2001 and 2008 for the first six months of each period, a reduction of more than 59%. Further, motor vehicle theft has fallen almost 92% from the first six months of 1990, the peak year.

There are also a number of important trends in specific sub categories of criminal activity that I would like to discuss because of the continuing interest in these areas.

2.0

The first is domestic violence, NYPD officers responded to nearly 215,000 radio runs involving domestic violence incidents and effected over 28,000 domestic violence related arrests to 2008. We have also made almost 73,000 follow up visits to victims' homes to prevent future violence.

As the police commissioner stated in his testimony last week, toward the end of 2008 we launched a pilot program called the Automated Risk Assessment System or ARAS. The system grades various categories of information gathered about domestic violence vendors and victims and assigns them a weighted value. Using specially designed software, ARAS is able to provide a precinct domestic violence officer with a computerized score which helps them evaluate the potential risk for future domestic incidents. The combination of these efforts has contributed to a 33% education in domestic violence related major felony crimes since 2001.

When we discuss crime trends, we have to be mindful of any changes in legislation that may have had an impact on year to year comparisons. For instance, last year Govenor

2.0

Patterson signed into law a bill, S8665, which expanded the definition of a domestic violence victim and thereby increase the number of circumstances warranting mandatory arrests.

Act and the criminal procedure law to define as within the same family or household "persons who are not related by affinity and who are or have been in an intimate relationship whether such persons had lived together at any time." In this context, overall crime counts would not change but domestic violence related felony crime counts may certainly be affected as more persons may now be categorized as victims of domestic violence.

In another crime sub category of great interest to city residents, shooting incidents are down through March 29th as well.

Specifically the number of shooting incidents has fallen 10.2% compared to the same period last year. In 2009 year to date gun arrests have increase 17% compared to the same period last year. In 2008 our efforts resulted in 6,387 arrests involving firearm charges.

We have also expanded our efforts

to recover firearms from the public before crime occurs. While the Police Department has operated a gun buy back program for the last several years, we have enhanced the program with an innovative strategy partnering with members of the faith based community and the officers of the city district attorneys. The program, which began in July of 2008, allows anyone to surrender firearms anonymously inside places of worship in exchange for cash cards. So far the program has netted an additional 3,551 firearms, the majority of which are handguns.

In partnership with the Department of Education, we have continued to successfully address incidents of crime within the public school system. Major felony crime in our schools is 8% lower in 2009 year to date through March 29th as compared to the same period last year.

Major felony crime is 34% lower than in the 2000-2001 school year. Our success with the Impact for Schools program is even more impressive. Among the schools participating in this program, major felony crime has fallen 45% through March 29th comparing the current school year with the

2.0

2.3

previous school year.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to discuss the full scope of our Department's crime data collection efforts and reporting obligations. I'd be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

We've been joined by COUNCIL MEMBER Garodnick. We ask that you repeat all that testimony please for his sake. I almost knocked the spit out of him.

MR. MCGUIRE: Let me just take

MR. MCGUIRE: Let me just take another drink.

every right to boast. You spent a lot of time comparing present crime rates to 2001, to 1990. Comparing New York City to other large cities. All of those statistics are useful to us and truly remarkable. However, you did allude to the difficulty in keeping up crime reduction numbers like this. And that happens to be the focus of this hearing and I didn't hear one comparison between 2008 and 2007 and that's what's alarming and that's what concerns us and that's what I'm going to focus on.

2.0

2.3

Again, every right to be very proud
of all of these accomplishes, as am I. But our
concern here is whether or not that trend that
you've discussed is nearing an end, not because of
any of the work that you're doing but because of
outside influences like fewer cops, a bad economy
and much weaker laws. So let's begin with how you
measure the crime.

You discussed seven major felonies and that's the information we get and that's the information the press gets and the public gets.

Why those seven major felonies? How did they ever get picked and why do we only track those?

MR. MCGUIRE: I think over the years police agencies have realized that those seven are perhaps the most worry provoking amongst the communities. It includes the major violent crimes; rape, robbery, murder; and it also includes a good deal of the property crimes. It was best to focus on sort of a manageable number of serious crime that in fact gave a good profile of what was going on in the community.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I don't disagree. I just wanted to know the criteria.

2	And what other major crimes would be out there for
3	the public that would not be included in those
4	numbers, for example? Are drug crimes not
5	included in those numbers?
6	MR. MCGUIRE: No, because to a
7	certain extent that's a proactive. The complaint
8	side is generated essentially by the arrest
9	activity.
10	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Any other
11	crimes that might be included in that
12	MR. MCGUIRE: [interposing] Well
13	you have to remember, too as I mentioned, the
14	index crimes does expand the base somewhat. It
15	includes simple assaults which picks up a lot of
16	assault activity. It also includes petit larceny.
17	Once you get outside of those categories in terms
18	of volume, you don't have the same volumes either
19	that the other crimes have, again, the ones that
20	aren't proactive.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: When we
22	discussed those seven crimes, between 2007 and
23	2008, they all went down and as I said they went
24	down less than 3% as opposed to 6% in past years.

In fact, two of those, murder and robbery, have

increased in 2008. I know you spent a lot of time giving us some 2009 numbers and those are very encouraging but those are basically three months of numbers so we're going to discuss 2008 and 2007.

Murder is the one crime where nobody can play with the numbers, not the Police Department, not the people who criticize the Police Department. Those complaints are going to happen in every case and there's no fudging those numbers. Why would you explain, as the person in charge of crime analysis, the fact that crime has gone down less in 2008 than in the ten previous years and the fact that robberies and murder went up?

MR. MCGUIRE: I don't mean to stray away from the way you pointed your question, but I think the 2009 statistics are a case in point.

What we have found over the years when looking at the CompStat process, there will always be spikes in crime because we're not suit sayers, we can't tell the future exactly. We can ply by trying to have the most innovative strategies and tactics in place and a process to apply them. But at any

2.0

given point in time you will get spikes in crime, it will go up short periods of time. This has been the experience of CompStat. 2009 I think is a good case in point that even though there wee some increases in 2008, things have come more favorable in our favor right now in 2009. So that's the essence of the CompStat process of sot of keeping your eye on the ball all of the time and watching these statistics and watching them at a very local level.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: But what things came in your favor in 2009 that did not go in your favor in 2008? What explains the 2008 numbers? Are you arguing they're just a blip or is there any trend that you've seen?

MR. MCGUIRE: I think some of it comes from refocusing impact areas, refocusing various programs and strategies within the Department. It doesn't go necessarily to the details of any specific strategy; it's like the group of them together can produce results that are different from time period to time period. Just because you ride these on top of the longer term trends and then once you get down to much

2.0

lower numbers, like the murders, you can get wide fluctuations day to today, week to week just as a result of one or two incidents. It's not the kind of science that had the same predictability that others do.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You just discussed strategies, were there any different strategies in 2008 than you had in 2007 that may not have worked as well? Or is it due to outside circumstances, which resulted in less of a crime decrease?

MR. MCGUIRE: The reduction overall was still the 3% decrease so in 2008 it just demonstrated that there probably were a few commands that may have gotten bigger spikes than usually and thrown the statistics a little bit higher. But again, speaking about 2009 it's come back down again and that's the more proof of the process than anything else is this ability to stay on top of the statistics to keep doing the right thing and sort of work out of a slump, if you want to call one that's a 3% decrease a slump.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well, it's not just a few precincts that caused this. We

2	keep hearing crime is down but in fact overall
3	crime complaints, overall felony complaints,
4	overall misdemeanors, overall summonses are all
5	up, not just the two felony crimes that we
6	discussed but overall complaints in general. So
7	how do you differentiate between crime and crime
8	complaints? And why do you say crime is down when
9	overall complaints in every category are up?
10	MR. MCGUIRE: I don't understand
11	the reference. The overall, the total seven
12	majors was down 3% in 2008 and it's down 13.5% to
13	date in 2009.
14	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Let me be
15	clearer. The seven major crime categories, crime
16	complaints are down but when you look at all
17	felony crime categories, crime complaints are up.
18	When you look at misdemeanors, crime complaints

categories crime complaints are actually up, not just in 2008 but it's been for the last few years. So how do you explain that?

are up. And even in some instances are up to an

all time high. So other than those five of seven

MR. MCGUIRE: Some of those when you move into the misdemeanors and other felonies

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

you can get increases that are essentially due to enforcement activities. Some of the increase in felonies will be in the drug crimes. If we have interjected more drug crime, we create a complaint when we produce either one or more arrests so you can drive up complaints on those sides. Again, in the misdemeanor categories you're talking about some of the quality of life, the more serious quality of life categories, you can get increases by enforcement activity of catching people in the act of doing those crimes and arresting them. So to a certain extent, it also reflects enforcement activities and one hopes as one goes along that the effectiveness of enforcement will, over time, reduce some of the complaint activity in many of the more serious crimes.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I don't disagree. I'm a former prosecutor and I know that one week if you do a prostitution sweep or a fare beat sweep, you're going to have a lot more cases than the next week when you're not doing that sweep. However, when I hear the Police Department questioned about a decrease in complaints they say that's because crime is down and when there's an

2.0

increase of	complaints they say that's because
enforcement	is up so it's hard for us to know what
is actually	happening. That's, again, one of the
purposes of	this hearing

We've been joined by COUNCIL MEMBER
Katz and Dilan has joined the Press Corps
apparently. Oh, he's coming back.

When Ray Kelly was questioned recently about the rise in robbery and shoplifting in 2008 he said that it was likely due to the troubled economy and that's from a Post article on December 24th. Do you agree and are there any other outside forces that are affecting the crime rate or did affect the crime rate in 2008 as opposed to 2007?

MR. MCGUIRE: Again, there are isolated instances where crime has been up such as shoplifting. Whether one associates that with the economy or not, I think even the academic community is kind of divided on that. And again, some of the robbery factors that were up were cell phone robberies. There were also in murder I believe there was an increase in domestic murders but there was a comparable decrease in firearm

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

related murders. So the picture that was presented in 2008 was not as clear, again, because the increase wasn't that widespread; it was overall the crimes were down 3%.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Overall with this seven major felonies of which five went down, overall complaints are actually up. I said in my opening statement that we have perhaps 350 to 400 robberies per week in the city. We continue to say how safe this city is, and it is remarkable safe compared to other big cities, but that's a heck of a lot of robberies. You mentioned, again, at some point it's hard to continue to make the gains you've made in the past. Is that a number that you think is the minimum that we are going to have to endure every week or are we going to be able to bring that number down next year and the year following? And is there a point that you believe we're going to reach that you can't bring robbery or any other crimes down any further?

MR. MCGUIRE: I think our experience with CompStat so far has shown that we can continue to bring it down; it just may be that the marginal decrease as it gets lower and lower

may prevent some level from being reached. But I don't know that anybody knows what that level is. I think the function of the CompStat management system is that any number is too many and one brings to bear, again, the strategies, tactics and resources that you have on the problems that you've been able to identify in that period. You attempt to be as successful as you can in dealing with those problems.

That system so far has held up in good standing over this period of time. I think we can try and develop more new innovative tactics. If we see new specific types of crime evolving, that is the very nature of what the CompStat system is all about.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I believe you said in your statement that some incidents are at an all time high for the last two years, quality of life summonses. Can you tell us a little bit more about those summonses, what types of crimes they're for, why? And why enforcement is at an all time high? Or why the numbers are at an all time high? I'm assuming you're going to say enforcement as opposed to there's more quality of

2.0

life	crime	but	let's	not	assume	а	fact	not
evide	anced							

MR. MCGUIRE: I think I have some material here on this. If you can bear with me just for a moment. The most frequently issued quality of life summonses are for public consumption of alcohol, disorderly conduct, trespass, marijuana possession, urination in public, noise, littering and dumping.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Have you noticed any trends in those? I'm taking a look and strangely enough urinating in public is down almost half in 2008 than it was in 2007. Who knew? But why would something like that be occurring? And I see noise is also down a little bit from 2007 but down half from 2006, noise complaints. How would those type of trends be explained?

SUSAN PETITO: Mr. Chairman, for the noise issue I think your staff was vey helpful in identifying we may have a problem with that number. WE'RE double checking it. I think that that number is probably not accurate in the response to the letter because noise is a funny

2.0

2.3

category. There is unreasonable noise, which is
the listing in the MMR, but that might have
actually included all the types of noise
violations, including decibel level violations.
But there's a technical administrative code
section labels Unreasonable Noise, I think that
might explain the difference but we're going to
clarify that for you. Actually the number of
noise summonses is much higher.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We'll skip noise for now. But in general as you said summonses for the last tow years, 2007 and 2008, were much higher than any year before that. How do you explain that? Even though you have 5,000 less police officers, how do you explain that?

MR. MCGUIRE: I think that it's, again, it goes back to the CompStat process. The underlying theory behind this is two pronged. One is you certainly must deal with all of the major crimes but then you deal with the quality of life crimes that are in the areas in which usually the major crimes occur. So as you refocus personnel from area to area, you may get from time to time differences in volume of enforcement that will be

coordinated along with their efforts against the major felonies.

So they will go into an area where the major felonies are up, perhaps robbery, firearms, shootings and they will employ some of the quality of life enforcement activity to send a message in that area, to look at the incidents of violations of all of these petty offenses. Which goes back to the broken windows to theories that at least part of CompStat is based on is that to clear up those kind of offenses, it also has an effect on the major crimes.

Our exploration of crime numbers that have gone up despite the fact that crime is down, a cause dear to my heart, graffiti arrests. They are also up from 2,600 in 2006, 3,500 9n 2007, 4,000 in 2008. In the letter I received from Ray Kelly he said that there are more arrests despite being less complaints. Although in 2007 he testified there were actually more complaints apparently due to a new system of police officers actually having to make the complaints. So can you tell us about the trends in graffiti arrests and graffiti

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complaints.

MS. PETITO: Mr. Chairman, I think that you're aware, we've had a couple of hearings on this that there's a sustained an intense focus on graffiti headed by the Executive Officer of our Chief of Departments Office, Assist Chief Ed Young. There's a lot of very sustained attention. There's actually graffiti stack, which I know we've chatted about before, where the police officers are absolutely held accountable for their efforts in dealing with graffiti and they're supported by the citywide vandalism task force whose mission is to address graffiti crime. again, graffiti is an extremely enforcement oriented number.

As you discussed, one of the initiatives was to actually document graffiti much better and have police officers make a 61, a complaint report when they observe a graffiti crime rather than just making a note of it because it needed to be documented. But I think that in the Commissioner's letter to you he pointed out that combined 9-1-1 and 3-1-1 calls complaining of graffiti has actually gone down if I remember

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

correctly.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yes, it's tough to tell when that actually happened, if those are the 2009 numbers again or the 2008 numbers. Again arrests have gone up tremendously from 2006 to 2007 and 2008 as did complaints. would argue and I would disagree with you on graffiti being an enforcement driven crime as opposed to fare beating and things like those. Graffiti is out there and I believe as well as every community group and most agencies that sat in that seats other than the Police Department that it is on the rise. Your arrests have almost doubled since 2006 and you deserve credit for the amount of attention you've paid to graffiti. do you believe based on these numbers that graffiti citywide is on the rise?

MS. PETITO: No. We understand the increase in the arrests to be a function of the sustained new focus on the apprehension of the people doing the graffiti but I don't think that we see an increase in the actual incidents of graffiti. Of course it's a repetitive crime so once you catch somebody who does it, you're going

2.0

2.3

to be able to decrease the incidents of the crime.

What we're hoping is that that continues forward. Certainly new people come into the mix all the time, but again, we've developed a very significant database having the tags in it so that our enforcement personnel know who they are looking for a lot of the time.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well I couldn't disagree more about whether or not graffiti is up. But I understand the job you're doing with limited resources. Let's move on to ways that you have used to decrease crime over the past year that have been extremely successful like Operation Impact. Was there any change in Operation Impact in 2008 as opposed to 2007 that might explain the decrease in crime being less than it had been anytime in the previous ten years.

MR. MCGUIRE: In the basic functioning of Impact, no. Every six months the Impact formulas are reformulated and they're readdressed and that was done at the half yea and at the year end. Again, one might argue that maybe one cycle might have been a little bit less

25

productive than another but the very nature of the
CompStat process, once we started January 2009 the
areas were readjusted again. The good results
we've had so far this year have come from the most
recent reallocation of the Impact.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Were there
less officers in Impact in 2008 than there were in
2007?
MS. PETITO: I don't think we have
an answer to that. I don't' think so but we can
check that for you.
MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, we'll get back
to you.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. Well
Impact officers come, from the most part, from the
academy. There are normally about 1,200 officers
coming out of an academy and for the next two
classes there will be 250 officers and January of
2010 there will be zero officers. Do you expect
that to have an impact on Impact and on crime
rates in 2009 and 2010?
MR. MCGUIRE: I would expect that,

yes, because there certainly will be less officers

available for Impact.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. PETITO: But Mr. Chairman if I
could remind you that the police commissioner
talked about this at the budget hearing and he
also mentioned the possibility of doing different
things in order to keep Impact going such as using
more operational overtime.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Right. also mentioned keeping police officers in Impact longer as opposed to putting them from Impact back into the police precincts, which is a serious problem with having that many fewer officers and having to man the same amount of posts. you're talking about determining what precincts are Impact it gets very confusing because some precincts are Impact even though they have less crime than other precincts. I happen to know about two that are in my district the 114 and the 115. The 114 has always had more crime, more crime complaints, never been in Impact, while the 115 with less crime is in Impact. So on what basis is an area determined to be an Impact area.

MR. MCGUIRE: There's a lot of factors that go into selection of an area as an Impact area but it's focused on robberies and

shootings principally so particularly those crimes driving it. And then you have to understand if you look closely at the geographic dispersion of crime, you may have a very concentrated areas of crime in a relatively small area. One of the police commissioner's objective was to make the Impact areas manageable and small enough that a large number of officers could be focused on a continuing sort of hot spot, if you will.

the explanation that I've heard in many areas and I don't disagree with it. It just works to the detriment of certain precincts when they have higher overall crime but don't have any specific few block area and they don't have as many police officers as that other precinct does. We'll have even fewer as precinct cops are kept out of the precincts and in Operation Impact because there are no more rookies. It's a vicious cycle.

What is an Impact Response Team?

This is a phrase I've been hearing in the press recently.

MS. PETITO: My belief is that it's kind of like a task force of Operation Impact that

2.0

2.3

moves along to different locations. But neither
one of us is an expert on that. That's my belief
but we can confirm that for you

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We'll discuss that. Many areas like Queens did see a overall crime reduction in those five categories. However shootings increased. In fact Queens South saw a 65% increase in murders and an increase in shootings also while less actual gun arrests are being made. I'd like you to discuss those disturbing trends.

MR. MCGUIRE: Were those the statistics again from 2008 Mr. Chairman?

MR. MCGUIRE:

been improved somewhat in this period. And again, when you monitor this as closely as we do you will

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:

Yes.

I think that it's

when you monitor this as closely as we do you will get periods where there are increases due to increases in several precincts within a borough command that will push them up for a period. But generally once you focus on to these using the CompStat process, you're able to drive the numbers back down again. At least that's been our experience over the long run.

2	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I'm more
3	concerned with the fact there are less gun arrests
4	
4	and more shootings. I've always defended the
5	Police Department when it comes to stop and frisk
6	with reasonable suspicion as using the best
7	possible tactic to prevent shootings before they
8	occur as opposed to after the drive by and trying
9	to tack down who shot the 9-year old in the head.
10	Get the gun off the street prior to that
11	happening.
12	However, in Queens South again for
13	example, shootings rose 32% from 152 in 2007 to
14	2,001 in 2008 but gun arrests went down 13% from
15	2007 50 2008. I happen to think there's a direct
16	correlation between those two numbers and I'd like
17	you to explain why that's going on and why there
18	are less gun arrests and more shootings in Queens
19	and the whole city.
20	MR. MCGUIRE: I think we'd have to
21	get back to you with a more detailed analysis on
22	that. We're not prepared to discuss things on
23	that level of detail this morning.
24	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We look

forward to hearing that. I note that there was a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Daily News article on the topic where it says the
Police Department did not respond to a request for
comment and now we're all on the same page. I
didn't get a response either.

I'm going to go now to some of my colleagues before I come back. We'll go first to COUNCIL MEMBER Erik Dilan.

COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: Thank you Mr. Chairman and I just have a few questions for Mr. McGuire around the data concerning domestic violence citywide. Just according to our reports the first four months show a slight up tick in domestic violence. My district has the 83rd and 75th precincts where incidents of domestic violence are high. Is there anything that you can use your data to derive from that could maybe help me in my duties as an elected official and COUNCIL MEMBER to just get the word out in my district in terms of prevention? Is there also any data that you see in terms of the precinct level breakdown of this data that can give us a clearer picture of higher incidences in certain neighborhood? Or is the incidences of domestic violence pretty even across the board in terms of the precinct level?

2.0

MR. MCGUIRE: I think like in most crimes there are concentrations of domestic violence; it does go by the density of population to a certain extent in the city. So where you have higher density areas and younger population, you'll have more domestic violence incidents.

Within particular areas, I think it's the Department's position certainly that reporting it is important because of the processes that we have

in place to refer the victims to give them some

sense of safety, if they have to move.

The Health Department a while back did a very detailed exploration of domestic violence across the city that went into all the background demographics. I think it helped focus some of the city's attention on areas of the city that needed crime prevention materials and information spread to the population. They can get information from the Health Department, they can get information from the individual precincts, Crime Prevention officers and other officers in the precinct will do referrals. There are follow up visits made. So I think all of these things...the more aware your population can be of

2	these tools that are at their disposal the better
3	and the wider dissemination of those tools.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: Okay. I
5	think I heard in your answer that the demographics
6	in terms of age seem to be higher at the younger
7	ages. Is that accurate?
8	MR. MCGUIRE: Well more than older.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: More so than
10	older.
11	MR. MCGUIRE: So the late teens
12	through early 30s, that demographic, if you have
13	an area that has more younger people, young
14	marrieds, you're likely to have somewhat higher
15	domestic violence reporting.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: Again,
17	according to our data there's a slight spike in
18	the first four months of this year as compared to
19	last year. What steps, if any, is the Department
20	taking to start to turn those numbers around and
21	have them decrease the way you're driving down the
22	numbers on rape and other crimes against women?
23	MR. MCGUIRE: I think once couples
24	have been identified as having that kind of a
25	violent relationship, once it becomes known to the

2.0

2.3

Police Department then there's follow up by the
domestic violence officers in the command.
Hopefully that activity and referrals and takin
the victim out of the situation that they're in
if that's possible. All of those steps would g
towards lowering the number of domestic violence
incidents in the future.

Just like with any of the other crimes, when you're sealing with week to week, month to month, you'll get fluctuations, you'll get spikes, you'll get a little bit more. But when you have the processes in place to deal with this, generally it's been our experience over time that we'll be able to deal with this successfully and the numbers will come back down again. Once you've done these interventions and identified these people that need assistance.

COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: Mr.

Chairman, my final question you may not be able to answer Mr. McGuire but I'll give it a shot. I know in Brooklyn this is a priority for the Brooklyn D.A. Charles Hines. How much do you know in terms of the effort that the other district attorneys in this city are given towards reducing

2.0

domestic violence and the number of prosecutions
that they may close during the year? Is that
something that you can answer?

MS. PETITO: COUNCIL MEMBER Dilan, every district attorney's office has dedicated personnel to domestic violence. I think they have different names for their units but we work very closely with them. But I think a couple of D.A.'s offices will be testifying after us and so they would best be able to answer that directly. We work very closely with every district attorney has special people dedicated to prosecution of domestic violence.

I think one of the things that you might be able to do is encourage people within your district to stay with the process. Because I think part of the problem is that the victims drop out of prosecutions sometimes. It's very helpful for them to have a successful prosecution for them to have the cooperation of the victim. It's not absolutely necessary in a lot of cases but it's certainly best. So that would be another area where I think we could ask for your help as well to encourage the people who come to you to stay

2	with the process and to maintain their
3	participation in the prosecution.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: I think
5	that's the biggest frustration is that people
6	won't come to me and I wouldn't expect them to
7	come to me. But it's clear, at least in areas
8	like mine and other areas like it, that the
9	services are needed. I find that there is a lack
10	of services. I'll leave it there Mr. Chairman.
11	I'd just like to ask in closing
12	that if you have any data in terms of the
13	breakdown by precinct, if you could get it to the
14	Chairman or the Committee, I'd like to review it.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.
17	Thank you COUNCIL MEMBER Dilan. I'd like to
18	welcome to Lexington School for the Deaf in the
19	back.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: From Queens.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you for
22	coming. This is a typical Public Safety hearing.
23	This is the Public Safety Committee, that's the
24	Police Department. We do oversight. Normally
25	people don't fall off their chairs in a typical

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Public Safety hearing but thank you for coming down today.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: The testimony was very exciting.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We try but it doesn't always happen. COUNCIL MEMBER Katz. Thank you Mr. Chair. I actually wasn't going to ask a question but COUNCIL MEMBER Dilan led me to wondering about a few of the things with domestic violence. I was the borough president in Pearl Shulman's office for three years one of my jobs was to head up her domestic violence unit. guess reach out into Queens. At the time the Police Department had pilot programs at three of the precincts for domestic violence. The pilot projects were to have a domestic violence officer at each precinct which at the time I think is the norm, I'm not sure it still is. I'd love to know that.

But also there were three precincts in Queens where the police officers were given pictures. I assume now it would be via computer, at the time it wasn't. Of all of the, I guess, arrestees because they weren't always convicted,

2	of complaints that they have gotten for domestic
3	violence, homes that they have been called to
4	several times as opposed to just once. As they
5	were patrolling the neighborhood they always had
6	these pictures around in case they saw the
7	perpetrator. So I was wondering what happened to
8	that pilot project, if anything, and also what the
9	status is of the domestic violence officers at
10	each precinct.
11	MS. PETITO: There is at least one
12	domestic violence officer assigned to each
13	precinct and often many more. I don't know about
14	the status of that pilot project. We can get back
15	to you on that. That was in 2001
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: [interposing]
17	I'm assuming that if you don't know about it, it
18	probably doesn't exist any more. I guess one of
19	the reasons I'm asking
20	MS. PETITO: [interposing] Not
21	necessarily.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Okay.
23	MS. PETITO: We're not
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: [interposing]
25	But one of the reasons I'm asking about it is

because at the time we were desperately looking for more funding for it. I hate to tell you the years because it would give away my age and how long I've actually been in this business but it would be 1998 to 2001 was the years of the project. I think it started out at one precinct in Queens and it went to three.

The precincts that it was in it seemed to be working relatively well according to the numbers. But as we all know funding happens and things happen. But if those numbers are not doing particularly well for the City of New York, it might be something that we might want to consider bringing back into the programs. It doesn't seem to cost much to do, a little more training. Now with the computer age you don't even need a hard copy of pictures anymore. If you have local cops patrolling the neighborhood, it is helpful.

MS. PETITO: Thank you.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Thank you.

If you could give the Chairman the answer and he would notify us that would be great.

25 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you

2	COUNCIL MEMBER Katz. One more question before we
3	move on to the special narcotics prosecutor
4	Bridget Brennan and the Chief Assistant D.A. from
5	the Bronx with Alice Salanzo. I'd like to
6	acknowledge and ask for her last question, Letitia
7	James.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Good
9	morning.
10	MR. MCGUIRE: Good morning.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I apologize
12	for being late. Three areas of concern, Block
13	Watch. What is the status of Block Watch?
14	MS. PETITO: COUNCIL MEMBER that is
15	currently suspended because it's being rethought
16	by the Chief of Community Affairs and they're
17	looking at the whole program. Right now it's not
18	an active program.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: We in my
20	district which is Fort Green, Clinton Hill,
21	Prospect Heights, Crown Heights, a little bit of
22	Dunbar and a little bit of Bedford Stuyvesant. We
23	set up our own Block Watch. We have a blog where
24	we post crimes in the neighborhood; we actually
25	flag them. And we are, in the district, we're

2	concerned particularly in Fort Green and Clinton
3	Hill and in Crown Heights and Prospect Heights
4	concern where there are targeted problems.
5	Unfortunately the local precincts have failed to
6	share that information with us. They give us the
7	stats that refer us to the web site but we really
8	need to know where specific blocks are problems.
9	I tend to know anecdotally and this web site helps
10	us.
11	But obviously if the local
12	precincts could be more open with their crime
13	stats we'd greatly appreciate more specific. And
14	if you could work obviously with my office in
15	regards to Block Watch that would be greatly
16	appreciated.
17	MS. PETITO: We would encourage
18	your staff to attend precinct community council
19	meetings.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And we do.
21	It's just the precinct council meetings are
22	wonderful and fabulous. We just need more
23	specific information to be shared with the larger
24	community.

Second issue is I put in last year

2.0

2.3

some capital funds for some surveillance came	eras.
I think they're caught up in bureaucracy for	three
precincts in my district. I believe it was h	nalf a
million dollars, I believe. I just need to	κnow
the status of that and we need to expedite the	nat.

The last issue was one precinct in particular, and this has nothing to do with crime stats, it has to do with double parking of cars, the 84th precinct parks on Tillery Street. It's an eye sore. The 84th precinct was the subject of litigation because the number of residents, in order to go around the cars they have to go into the street. I've continued to speak to the commanding officer about removing the cars.

It's a major, major problem. It's right on Tillery as soon as you get off the Hokum Bridge and you make that left turn, all of those double parked cars are owned, primarily, by officers of the 84th precinct. I need to, again, provide a safe passage way for the residents in that community. We should not be in a position to have to walk into the street to avoid the cars.

MS. PETITO: The police commissioner received your letter on that issue

_	
2	and it's being looked at right now.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you.
4	I really appreciate it. Thank you Mr. Chair.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: We thank you.
6	If there are no other questions, assistant
7	commissioners we thank you for your time today.
8	Next panel, Bridget Brennan, Special Narcotics
9	Prosecutor of Manhattan, Kristine Hawauu Executive
10	A.D.A., Special Narcotics Office, Odell Salanzo,
11	Bronx D.A.'s office; Chief assistant A.D.A. in the
12	Bronx. If we could start with Odell Salanzo that
13	would be terrific once everyone gets set up.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you all
16	for coming down. I know Bridgette Brennan does
17	not have prepared testimony. Is that Odell's?
18	BRIDGET BRENNAN: We provided
19	graphs that the committee wanted to have copies
20	of.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.
22	I'd also like you to respond to a little bit about
23	what you heard because you were kind enough to
24	actually be here throughout the whole testimony so

thank you. You can begin. Thanks.

ODELL SALANZO: Good morning. My
name is Odell Salanzo. I'm the Chief Assistant at
the Bronx District Attorney's office with Robert
T. Johnson and with me is Anthony Shefus who is
the Executive Assistant D.A.. Mr. Shefus also
acts as liaison with the Police Department and for
many of you who know him, he is at every Bronx
CompStat so he is familiar with some of these
crime stats.

I do want to go back into some statistics that are specific to the Bronx to give the committee an idea of what we are handling in our county. As Mr. Johnson testified last week about, he had indicated that both in the year 2007 and 2008 we had ended the year with approximately 97,000 arrests. In one year it was 300 and change and another year it was 400 and change so it was basically flat. Those two years were record breaking years for us.

In 2006, to give you an idea, we had 85,000 arrests and the year before that was close to 76,000 arrests. So for the last four years we have been dealing with increasing numbers of cases brought to us. Initially the break down

2.0

is as such and then I'll go into how that effects us.

In 2008 we saw a 4% reduction in felony arrests, it was 24,595 but a slightly less than 1% increase in misdemeanor arrests, 69,408. We had very similar numbers in 2007. To break that down a little further for the committee, narcotics felony arrests were 9,864 in 2008; that was a decline of 4.8%. But we had in misdemeanor narcotics arrest 24,638, which was an increase of 1.1%.

Basically the crimes that do kind of drive our office are the misdemeanor crimes, although the seven index crimes, as the Police Department indicated, are very important. Our office has representatives at every precinct council meeting and we hear repeatedly not just about the violence that is ongoing in some of our communities. But we also do hear often about the quality of life crimes that affect residents on a daily basis. Among them, a pet peeve of the Chairman I understand, is graffiti but along with that is prostitution and misdemeanor drug use in these communities.

We have been handling this increase mostly in misdemeanor crimes and quality of life crimes with basically the same number of people in our offices. Give or take 10 to 15 members. range, as the D.A. indicated to you, at around 390 or so and that number has not changed as far as assistant district attorneys. But just as important are support staff, which is around another 400 that help support our assistants in their mission, has fluctuated even more dramatically from highs of 400 or so to less than 350.

What has become, again, of concern to our office have been shootings. Consistently over the last two years we have seen an increases. Just to quote the CompStat numbers for the Bronx. We ended 2008 with 479 shooting victims, which was an increase of 4.1% over 2007 and it was increase of 12.1% since 2001. That when coupled with the decrease in gun arrests, just between 2008 and 2007 we saw a decrease of 13.2% in gun arrests.

It has created some concern for us.

It has also led our office to working with the

Police Department, become more proactive in

2.0

2.3

specific areas in the Bronx. Some of you may be familiar with the 47th precinct and the Eden Wald investigations and the 44th precinct Castle Hill investigations and in the 40th precincts Patterson Mellbrook Houses. All of these, unfortunately, have revolved around the housing developments that are within our community.

How do we handle this? If you took a snapshot at our office we also kind of live in a different kind of world than the other D.A.'s offices because we live in a merged court. And to give you a two minute kind of rendition on that is back in 2004 to address some of the increases in misdemeanor cases that were pending as well as some backlog in felony indictments, Judge Kay piloted merging both our supreme court and our criminal court in the Bronx. What it means is we had to realign our office basically to address how the court was realigning itself.

And in the Bronx you will have a supreme court judge who will hear both felony indictments as well as misdemeanor cases. It is tracked differently. There are three judges who just do narcotics felonies and misdemeanor and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there are four up front parts that calendar what is more typical felony, violent crime and non violent crime as well as misdemeanor cases. As a result we have assistants from first year assistants to 20 or 30 year assistants who are handling both misdemeanor and felony cases. Our junior assistants are one and two year assistants have case loads close to the hundreds, handling misdemeanor cases.

So just to give you a snapshot, our criminal court bureau presently has 75 individuals in it and their average case load is 80 cases. Not only do they try cases but they also cover our complaint room, which is almost a 24 hour operation. They handle that day, night and weekends as well as arraignments, the up front parts, go to trial and they handle everything from non domestic violent assaults to the quality of life cases that we just mentioned, graffiti, trespass, et cetera. As a result of the merger, our narcotics bureau, which is approximately 40 assistants, those assistants are anywhere from three years and up, have approximately 40 to 50 indictments. But also handle a rotating number of

2.0

2.3

about 1,200 misdemeanor cases that have incrementally increased over these last three years to give them an average of about 30 to 35 misdemeanor cases per assistant.

You asked about domestic violence.

We do have our own Domestic Violence Bureau. It's a small bureau of approximately 25 assistants.

The vast majority of the cases that are handled there are misdemeanor cases. The Bronx has seen an increase in DIRs over the last couple of years.

I believe we have approximately 27% of the city's domestic incident reports. That has in the last two years increased the number of domestic violence cases that are being handled by the Bureau from about 1,100 or so to about 1,350 cases.

They also handle any felony case, mostly homicide cases that do come in on a domestic violence issue. Although the governor did expand the definition of what is domestic violence, we have for the last four years, along with the Police Department in many situations accepted that expansion of the definition and do handle those cases in the Domestic Violence

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Bureau.

Other crime trends that I do want to mention in the felony realm when we talk about robberies and grand larcenies what we now find in our office are many cell phone, Sidekick, iPod robberies generally committed by younger defendants. Many times committed by defendants who may not be considered adults. It's not just one person robbing an individual; it's multiple defendants also with young victims. We also see in the same grand larceny realm a huge increase in identity theft crimes. What I like to call computer type crimes; identity theft has morphed into sort of a computer crime where you can have someone sitting in Seattle and steal the identity of a resident in the Bronx and get a credit card and max that out within a couple of weeks. And they've never set foot anywhere near Yankee stadium. So that has required some technological training for our assistants. Those cases are handled by our Economic Crimes, Arson and Auto unit.

In addition to those type of crimes, we have the mortgage fraud, real estate

fraud cases also. In many ways a computer is involved in that type of crime. It has generated a lot of investigative work. It also has kind of made us experts in real estate to some extent. It has not generated as many felony cases as we had hoped but we have been able to help many victims either with misdemeanor charges or working through the civil process for them. Our goal is that maybe through the civil process we may be able to get information that will help us with our felony prosecutions.

Along those lines we have also endeavored to move into computer crimes that are committed by pedophiles. We've expanded our work in that area and have worked with Special Victims and the NYPD Computer Crimes Unit to help us in that goal.

Additionally, Nix Mary Brown, a tragic case that came out of Brooklyn actually has affected all the D.A.'s office in the reporting of child abuse. We do have a specialty unit, our Child Abuse, Sex Crimes Unit. That is a small unit that had typically handled over the last two years maybe a third of their cases were child

2.0

abuse cases. We have had for the last ten years a very small unit within that bureau that communicates directly with ACS on every single neglect and abuse petition. We have worked with ACS and the Police Department with our own detective investigators as well to supplement the police looking into some of these complaints.

Since Nix Mary Brown, those complaints have tripled from a typical year the end of 2005 the beginning of 2006 of about 1,00 complaints to close to 4,000 complaints at the end of 2008. That has then kind of changed that bureau where two-thirds of the cases that are being handled by the Child Abuse, Sex Crimes assistants are child abuse investigations and about half the indictments are child abuse indictments.

That will now take me to quality of life cases. Just to give you a synopsis we do a lot of work both with the Police Department and with the courts to handle the influx that comes in. To give you an overall look, we do address and respond to the community when it comes to graffiti and prostitution and trespass cases and

drug use. I would say our number one quality of life arrest that was coming in was marijuana sale and possession. The next one was misdemeanor 2203, which is the possession of small quantities of narcotic drugs. And then after that I would say it's anything from assaults, many of those are domestic violence related, criminal trespass and criminal mischief would round out your top five.

The vast majority of those quality of life cases do go into the Criminal Court
Bureau. And because of the merged system, what has happened is our criminal court bureau has become a full fledged trial bureau. On any given day you will have six to ten assistants giving hearings, doing bench trials and jury trials.

They've become the fill in for the court. Our felony trials take longer and many times our judges—we're short staffed judges, basically.

But the judges are not sitting around not doing anything so they will take a misdemeanor trial in so there's a high probability that if you're in criminal court, you're going to go to trial.

The same is true in domestic violence cases. Those same cases go into the same

2.0

2.3

funnel and then get sent out. So our assistants
are constantly prepping, constantly calling,
constantly help dealing with the Police
Department.

Separate and apart we do have initiatives on graffiti. The D.A. has a very strong policy on graffiti. After your first arrest, any second arrest in the county requires jail time. We have one supervisor in our Criminal Court Bureau who specifically works with the Vandal Squad to see if we can create cases. Particular graffiti, I use "artists", but particular graffiti defendants who have tagged various locations. If we can keep track with the Police Department and identify them and bring them in. This is on a supervisory level and then it's assigned to misdemeanor assistants to then move forward with that.

ask you two quick questions before you move on?
You mentioned that felony arrests are down 4% in 2008. Is that total felon or is that the seven index felonies?

MS. SALANZO: That's total felony

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 arrests. 3 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: What about 4 complaints because total felony complaints 5 citywide are up? Do you see that? 6 MS. SALANZO: Everything that I see is an indicator that they're flat. I don't see 7 8 anything up. Actually I saw a slight downturn in 2008 and they were slightly up in 2007. I don't 9 10 know why that is. 11 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yeah, 12 interesting. The numbers you gave regarding 13 shootings and guns mirror almost exactly what 14 happened in Queens. When shootings went up 4% but 15 qun arrests went down about 13%. Do you see a correlation between those two? 16 17 MS. SALANZO: I do. What we've had to do internally--and the Police Department is 18 19

MS. SALANZO: I do. What we've had to do internally—and the Police Department is correct when they say that a lot of the homicides that happened at the end of 2008 were not gun shootings. But we did have a large number of reported attempted murders, felonious assault cases.

Just to give you a little history, in 2006 we had a spike in homicides in the Bronx;

we went up to 153. Then there was an all out push with the Detective Bureau in our office, to focus on particular areas where there were high shootings that also resulted in homicides. Among some of the precincts were the 47th precinct, the 44th precinct, basically working towards solving and closing those cases with arrests. And that resulted in last year actually having the, I believe it was over 10% closure rate, arrest rate on homicides.

They don't necessarily correlate, however, that the homicide that's reported in 2008 is the homicide that is closed in 2008 with an arrest. But it's actually a very high closure rate and I think helped reduce first in 2007 homicides to 130 and then this year we closed the year at 132. But we had to focus. We had to add even our own investigators to that task force, so to speak. We do have Detective Squad from the NYPD that's assigned to our office. They also assign detectives to that particular project.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's robbery actually went up in 2008 and auto theft is going along the trend of most crime by going down

2.0

2.3

pretty substantially in years 2003, 2004, 2005,
2006 by 300 to 400 a year and only down 50 in
2008. Again, I use the word only in quotes
because it's a remarkable job by our Police
Department but the focus of this hearing is to see
if the trend is turning. Do you have a comment on
those two things?

MS. SALANZO: With the increase in robbery complaints, we felt also a need to address that we have an increased number of indictments on robberies. A lot of those robberies are the cell phone robberies that I--I call them the cell phone robberies but sometimes it's iPods or Sidekicks, iPhones. But we've kind of made a conscious effort to kind of move to indict them as a way to prevent them.

I think on the car side, I think
the most successful thing that the Police
Department has done and we've kind of hooked into
that using our own investigators is the plate
readers. These plate readers, you can read
hundreds and hundreds of plate with just going 20
minutes around a precinct. And pick up if there's
a car that's stolen or if there's even something

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

significant about that license plate.

Last year we weren't,

unfortunately, able to discover deceased individual in a car that was sitting in the 46th precinct for a number of months. He had been reported missing in that vehicle out of Manhattan. One of our investigators basically going into the 45th precinct is one of the precincts that has if a car theft problem. Read the plate, it was reported stolen and also had tagged to that report that the individual who was driving the car was missing as well. Unfortunately we found the individual in the vehicle deceased. But it has been a wonderful tool certainly in the area of preventing are theft. Because you an literally scan cars quickly. We own two thank to insurance companies who have agreed that it's a good thing to have. The Police Department also has a number of them that they utilize.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: But despite those, car thefts are only down a much smaller percentage than what car theft arrests, I guess. When you say decline in grand larceny auto, are you talking about arrests or complaints?

2.0

2.3

2	MS.	SALANZO:	Arresta
4	M2.	SALANZO.	Arrests.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Arrests, so arrests are down 50 but you in your...it's down 300 or 400. Let me go to special narcotics prosecutor Brennan and then I'll have questions for the whole panel. Thanks.

BRIGETTE BRENNAN: Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to testify. I think what I'll do is zero in on the issues that you've raised during your discussions. As you know my office focuses on felony narcotics prosecutions citywide. So some of the information I have to offer doesn't exactly correlate with what you've been asking others about so I'm going to narrow myself to address the questions I anticipate.

With respect to citywide felony narcotics arrests, the NYPD arrests and I think you heard this earlier, down about 9% between 2007 to 2009. And the felony complaints that are drafted, that is the yellow backs as you recall Chairman Vallone.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I hadn't heard that is so long, yellow backs.

MS. BRENNAN: Yellow backs are down

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

about an equal percentage. You'd have to ask the narcotics division exactly what that reflects but I will tell you this, our work with the Narcotics Division of the NYPD this past year has been excellent. They've been focusing on problem areas as Ms. Odell Salanzo identified in the housing projects with some very comprehensive investigations. Where they may not net out the same numbers ultimately but they have strong cases and they've identified very problematic individuals within those communities. To they are labor intensive cases where ultimately they may not come out with the same numbers that they would if they invested the amount of effort into buy and They are coming out with quality bust cases. cases. With respect to those

investigations, one of the things that COUNCIL

MEMBER James mentioned earlier was the
surveillance cameras and a need for a greater
number of surveillance cameras. We've seen those
requests come in particularly in the housing
developments. They're extremely expensive and
they have to be monitored constantly in order to

make them effective. It's been a recommendation
that we've had in some of the most problematic
locations but I don't foresee that as being
something that's really going to be feasible

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Can I just mention when I asked the police about drug arrests being up or down, they said that drug arrests were enforcement driven, arrest driven. And it's interesting to hear from you that they're down 9%. So even despite the fact that an enforcement driven felony crime is down 9%, felony complaints citywide are up. Just an interesting observation.

MS. BRENNAN: The numbers I'm quoting you are strictly felony arrests and I don't know if the numbers they were referring to were both misdemeanor and felony arrests.

Because, as you know, I only have jurisdiction over felony narcotics arrests. I think the issue that as I understood it is that with felony narcotics operations you can actually try to prophylactically address violent crime. When you see spike up in violent crime, understanding that violent crime and drug crime are typically related, the Police Department often will initiate

2.0

a narcotics investigation in order to try to tamp down the violent crime that's associated with the narcotics crime.

In addition, that's really all I have with respect to statically information. I can tell you that citywide on our cases we've seen an up surge in heroin seizures, both our heroin and cocaine seizures are double what they were last year. But the thing that's very striking is the increase in our felony heroin cases. That seems to be on the up surge; it's something we haven't really seen spike up in about 25 years so it's a matter of great concern to us.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Why do you think that's happening?

MS. BRENNAN: I think it's a combination of factors. One of them being that heroin is now being produced in Colombia, it's being shipped up through Mexico and we're getting more of it into the city so it's less expensive. The heroin that we're seizing, the envelopes have heroin, what the users are seeing is more potent heroin. We're seeing more young users snorting heroin beginning their usage of that drug in that

2.0

way because they can get high since it's more
potent. And you don't have the deterrent of
having to shoot it up, which deters many young
people from beginning using it at all. So that's
part of the reason.

I think another reason is that it's been about a generation since heroin was a huge problem in New York City and I think people have forgotten just how horrible that drug can be.

We've more recently seen crack epidemic, cocaine surges but it's been some time since we've seen an up tick in heroin usage and people have simply forgotten, I'm afraid.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: It's not just in New York, I've heard about it in Long Island--

MS. BRENNAN: [interposing] It's absolutely not just in New York. There's a big problem in Long Island. There's a big problem upstate. One of the things we see with younger people is that they begin to experiment with their own medicine cabinets with opiate based drugs, something like oxycodin in their medical cabinet. Once they run out of that and they see how expensive it is, they turn to heroin which is also

2.0

2.3

an opiate drug but which may then be less expensive.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: The main reason we're doing this hearing obviously is to look at crime trends through the last few years and not last 10 or 20 years where we've been doing amazing but recently where we haven't been doing as well and into the future. We've discussed a little bit the economy, the amount that we have less cops and something that you're probably a statewide expert in is the elimination of the Rockefeller Drug Laws. How do you see especially that, Ms. Brennan, affecting crime rates in the near future?

MS. BRENNAN: The problem with the statute that was enacted is that it rolls back very significantly the minimum penalties for both first time drug dealers who are selling drugs, motivated merely by a profit. And it also reduces penalties significantly for career drug dealers, those who have been convicted more than once of selling narcotic drugs.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Because they've been saying that there's some changes

2.0

2.3

since we last discussed this, multiple offenders are still eligible for the same probation they were when we discussed this two weeks ago?

MS. BRENNAN: Multiple offenders if they've sold a controlled substance, something like methamphetamine and they've been convicted of a felony offense more than once of selling methamphetamine or another controlled substance, they are facing a probationary sentence. For the second time cocaine or heroin dealer, the penalty has been rolled back today as we speak I think it's still a minimum of three and a half years penalty for the second time drug dealer. As soon as the bill is signed the minimum penalty will be rolled back to two years.

There are also additional decreases allowing for knock offs in actual time served so that you will see the dealers getting out of prison sooner than they get out now. Our concern is that it doesn't allow then a community to rebuild. We've exerted a tremendous amount of effort in many of our housing developments or in vulnerable neighborhoods throughout this city and one of the things you have to do is allow the

2.0

community to rebuild. Keep the drug dealers out long enough that the community can stabilize and that the good citizens in the community can return to a normal life and not feel intimidated, feel like they own their neighborhood again. Our big concern is of course if you see drug dealers coming back out very quickly, that that just won't happen.

But Chairman Vallone as I said last week, New York City has the best Police Department in the world as far as I'm concerned and is a wonderful resilient city with many dedicated people in law enforcement including many dedicated smart prosecutors. And so I don't think the end is near. We'll come up with great strategies. I'm meeting with the Chief of the Narcotics Division tomorrow to start strategizing with him immediately about how we may alter our enforcement techniques given the new state of the law.

I learned about this morning I wanted to ask both of you was this cap that Albany passed which only effects New York City on legal aid cases. I'm begrudged on that but as usually the prosecutors

2.0

2.3

were left out and as usual no one knew about it until the day it happened. It was thrown into a budget because that's the way Albany works. But I'd like you to comment on the district attorneys and whether they need a cap on case load.

MS. BRENNAN: Absolutely, the prosecutors should have a cap on the case load. Ultimately what this means is that there will be more funds for legal aid for a public defender, which is a great thing. But certainly the prosecutors ought to be given equal treatment, put on equal footing with the defense.

MS. SALANZO: I think, Mr. Chairman you heard Mr. Johnson last time he was hear say we don't really believe in caps. What this is really about is providing the necessary funds to do the job that you have to do either as a defense attorney or as a prosecutor in the criminal justice system here. So if you're capping legal aid and they will get additional funds so they can hire more attorneys, you know that we are not getting any additional funds. In fact, we are now participating in cost saving initiatives for the city so that we can save some funds for the city

and perhaps our budgets can remain stable.

It really should just be an acknowledgement that money is needed in order to do this job and to do it well.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I couldn't agree more.

MS. BRENNAN: Just for your information Mr. Chair, our funds were cut by the state this year. We were not given any additional funding and actually, most significantly, all of our treatment program funding was cut by the state this year.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I think both of you were very comprehensive. I don't have any other questions. Anything else you'd like to add before we...

MS. SALANZO: Just in response to gun arrests, I do want to say and mention that the Bronx is going to be the last borough in the city that actually participates in the gun buy back program which is a collaboration of the New York City Police Department. We have fixed the date as April 25th where we've identified six churches in high shooting precincts because that was the

2.0

criteria for that, where individuals can come in, no questions asked and can receive some money, \$200, for every operable gun that they bring in, \$20 for every maticion pistol or bee bee gun that bring in.

The expectation on the part of the Police Department based on our statistics and theirs is that we might break all records. So that may be a good thing, maybe not a good thing but it would be good to get all those guns off the streets.

MS. BRENNAN: And finally I would like to thank you for holding this hearing and I would also like to suggest that perhaps in another six months we have the opportunity to come back before you and discuss for example the impact of the new drug laws. Some of the things we'll be looking at of course is has crime gone up?

What's the impact of the new laws on our most vulnerable communities? Have they been plagues by open and notorious drug dealing, have there been increased local costs here as a result of that? And are the prosecutors on equal footing with the defense attorneys if indeed it

comes to pass that the defense attorneys are given
increased budgets and we are suffering from
significant cuts?

So again, thank you very much for your interest in this and we'll keep you apprised as we identify issues that we think you'd be concerned about.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I know that

I'll see you again in May for our budget hearing

and D.A. Johnson is usually here but more than

happy to come down and we'd like to hear from you

again also. So thank you both, because it's very

helpful to have your information to use along with

the information we received earlier so that we can

continue our analysis of crime trends. So thank

you all. I believe there is no one else here

looking to testify so with this we will adjourn

our Public Safety Committee hearing.

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature -	An	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	
	 _April 24,	2009	-	