CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----X

March 26, 2009 Start: 10:10 am Recess: 3:02 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ROBERT JACKSON Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Bill de Blasio Simcha Felder Lewis A. Fidler Helen D. Foster Melinda R. Katz G. Oliver Koppell

John C. Liu

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr. Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

David Yassky

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Daniel R. Garodnick Jessica S. Lappin

A P P E A R A N C E S

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

James Vacca
Diana Reyna
Rosie Mendez
Melissa Mark-Viverito
Gale A. Brewer
Eric N. Gioia
James Sanders, Jr.
Letitia James

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joel Klein Chancellor NYC Department of Education

Photeine Anagnostopoulos Chief Operating Officer NYC Department of Education

Susan Olds Budget Director NYC Department of Education

Randi Weingarten
President
United Federation of Teachers

Santos Crespo Vice President Local 372

Natalie Chap Education Reform Associate New York Immigration Coalition

Barbara Harris Code Pink, Granny Peace Brigade

Dr. Marion Phyllis Cunningham Granny Peace Brigade

Christine Colligan President Korean-American Parents Association

Jung Mi Kim

Casey Kim

Hwang Yong Lee Education Council for district 25

Mihyon Nam

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Eric Weltman New York City Advocacy Director Alliance for Quality Education

Carol Boyd Parent Leader New York City Coalition for Educational Justice

Jose Gonzalez United Parents of Highbridge New York City Coalition for Educational Justice

Arnold Gore Washington Heights Alternatives to Military Recruitment

Cheryl Wertz Executive Director Peace Action of New York State

David Tykulsker Vice Chair Brooklyn For Peace

Mindy Duitz President Learning Leaders

Jackie Sherman Center for Court Innovation

Eugene Gamiel Director Music Outreach Learning Through Music

2	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:To today's
3	hearing of the Committee on Education and this is
4	our second hearing on the Mayor's preliminary
5	budget for Fiscal Year 2010. The first was a
6	capital budget hearing on March 18th, 2009, which
7	was a Wednesday.
8	And before I begin, let me
9	introduce my colleagues that are present this
10	morning: to my left is Simcha Felder of Brooklyn,
11	raise your hand, Council Member Felder.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Thank you
13	very much.
14	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
15	Council Member Peter Vallone of Queens; and
16	Council Member Jimmy Vacca of the Bronx; and in
17	front of us Council Member Diana Reyna of
18	Brooklyn; along with Aysha Schomberg, Counsel to
19	the Committee to my right, and Regina Poreda-Ryan,
20	who is our Finance Analyst to my left; along with
21	appropriate staff on the side.
22	Today, we will review the
23	Department of Education's preliminary expense
24	budget for Fiscal Year 2010. Please notice my
25	emphasis on the word preliminary, this budget is

by necessity preliminary for two basic reasons.

First, it is not an accurate projection of the

Department of Education's budget for the coming

year. And second, it would not adequately fund

schools. The proposed operating budget for the

department is about \$17.3 billion. That is \$440

million less than the current budget for this year

and a full \$1.4 billion less than the previously

forecast budget for fiscal year 2010. The primary

budget introduces \$308 million in the new PEGs

which you know are programs to eliminate the gap,

or city budget cuts, whichever one you want to use

adding to the \$385 million in PEGs included in the

November plan for fiscal year 2010.

The budget eliminates another \$719 million in state school aid for next year, but makes almost no changes to departments federal revenue projections. Clearly the assumptions about education revenue underlying the preliminary budget are not likely accurate. The budget does not contemplate the rise in federal school aid provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, normally known as the stimulus package.

During the next two years, city

2.0

schools will likely receive close to \$600 million in new Title I funding, \$200 million in additional IDEA, which is Individual with Disability Education funds, and many millions more in federal aids for technology and innovative educational programs.

The stimulus package also sends funds to New York state for the explicit purpose of supporting schools. This state's stabilization grant could bring many hundreds of millions more dollars to the city schools during the next two years. The total value of the federal rescue package for DOE remains uncertain and is, to a large extent, now in the hands of the state legislature and our governor.

The preliminary budget assumptions about state revenue are also likely inaccurate. The proposed state education budget will be revised to reflect the new federal aid from the stimulus packet. This finding could reverse some of the governor's cuts to school aids. Hopefully, the legislature will not accept the proposal to slash support for special education pre-kindergarten programs and you know that's about

\$97 million, and hopefully the growing state budget gap won't further diminish state school aids.

The Department of Education's preliminary budget, while built on an uncertain and murky revenue outlook, nonetheless presents the Administration's view of how much the city would support public schools next year. The budget shows that if the dim revenue picture that is painted in the preliminary budget were to develop, the Administration would focus all of the new city and state budget cuts directly at public schools.

Public school budgets, the portion of the Department of Education's budget that pays teachers, principals, parent coordinators, buy textbooks, and copy paper, and that supports after school tutoring and enrichment programs would drop by over \$1 billion next year. That's \$1.1 billion cut to a \$5.3 billion budget directly to schools.

The preliminary budget must be preliminary. The city and state cuts taken from schools would mean 15,000 fewer teachers next year. There are about 79,000 teachers working in

our schools right now, these cuts to the schools are absolutely untenable and unacceptable. The city and state share the burden, the duty, responsibility, and I might add, the privilege of educating the approximately one million students in our public schools. The preliminary plans put forward by both the city and state for supporting our schools next years must not be accepted—no ands, ifs, or buts. We must find the resources and the will to ensure that the schools and their students—our children—receive enough support to continue the gains they have made and to overcome any deficiencies that remain.

And, with that, I will turn to the Chancellor of the city of New York and so he can introduce himself and the other people at the panel for his opening remarks on this preliminary budget hearing for fiscal 2010 for the Department of Education.

CHANCELLOR JOEL KLEIN: Thank you,

Chairman Jackson and good morning. First thing I

want to observe is I notice all of the members are

sitting at the other end, you're not trying to

send us a signal this morning, are you? Usually

2	Mr. Felder sits down here and tries to help coach
3	me on the tough questions, so
4	[Off mic]
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: He's
6	relocating now.
7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Thank you very
8	muchthere you go, there you go.
9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Listen, you
10	have to have a little fun in life. You know, we
11	work hard and we play hard.
12	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: You're
13	absolutely right that these are serious matters
14	and I appreciate the way you have framed the
15	issues for us this morning.
16	I'm joined this morning by my Chief
17	Operating Officer, Photeine Anagnostopoulosnow
18	that's a mouthfuland Susan Olds, who's our
19	Budget Director.
20	I want to discuss our operating
21	budget, as you said, for 2009-2010, but before I
22	do, you mentioned a word about the progress we're
23	making and there's one big note of progress that I
24	want to just bring to the attention of this
25	Committee. In addition to obviously rising math

and reading scores, closing the racial and ethnic
achievement gaps, and our highest graduation rate
in decades in the city, just last week, the
Chancellor of City University in an event with the
Mayor and myself, announced that the number of New
York City public school graduates attending the
CUNY schools four-year schools in two-year
schools, is up by about 50% from approximately
16,000 graduates to 24,000 between the years 2002-
2008. Let me say that againin the last six
years under mayoral control, we've increased the
number of students going to CUNY from 16,000 to
24,000 and I'm particularly proud to say that more
than 70% of those additional 8,000 graduates were
Latino or African-American. That's real progress
and I commend our principals, assistant
principals, teachers, staff, students, and
families who, together, I believe, are
dramatically changing educational outcomes in our
city.
This year, Mr. Chairman, as you

This year, Mr. Chairman, as you just said, we're facing unprecedented economic challenges. We are all very thankful to President Obama and the Congress for passing a federal

stimulus package that will mitigate the hardship

New York City public schools and school districts

across the country are facing, but the Federal

money alone, as you noted, isn't enough to spare

our schools from all suffering.

We are working with the state to determine how this year's budget will affect our schools, so I cannot tell you for sure how much money each school will have next year, but I will need your help in that. I do know, though, that no matter how the expected federal, state, and city dollars are distributed to schools, they will have less money than they had this school year, while, at the same time, fixed costs for things like teacher salaries and special education are on the rise.

What does it mean for our schools?

It means our schools will have to make hard

choices--choices we wish they didn't have to make-and they'll have to do more with less, or, in

some instances, simply forego programs. Trust me,
that is unfortunate.

What does it mean for the members of the City Council and the other people who

represent New Yorkers in government? In these hard times, we must do everything in our power to protect our schools and keep our country's financial crisis from turning into a crisis in our classrooms. To that end, I know you want to work together this year as we have in the past as partners on behalf of our children. I will urge you today to do everything in your power to convince our colleagues in Albany to spend as much money as possible to support education in New York City, and, second, to give us the flexibility to put the money where it's needed most--and that's especially important in tight times.

Let me just walk through the budget situation right now. For the current school year, the public schools had a total budget of 21 billion--that's above the operating numbers you gave because it includes pension and debt. About half of that amount came from the city, about 40% from the state, and about 10% from the federal government.

With the severe decline in the economy, Mr. Chairman, as you noted, we were expecting a budget shortfall of 1.4 billion before

the federal stimulus package came. For the school year 2009-2010, that includes a 700 million reduction from Albany, on top of a 500 million reduction from the city and cost increases for things like teachers' salaries and mandated special education services. We're now counting on receiving significant funds from the federal government's American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, which should greatly reduce that budget gap.

Stimulus package, as you noted, will give us additional Title I and IDEA funds and they should amount to 400 million for each of the next two years. Now depending on what the Governor and the Legislature do with the Stabilization Funds, the city could also receive slightly upwards of \$500 million in federal stabilization funds in each of the next two years. For fiscal year '10, that would bring our budget gap to about 500 million. However, our budget gap could reach nearly 650 million if New York City receives less than its fair share of Stabilization Funds from Albany and we believe as a legal matter that we're entitled to the higher number based on prior practices in Albany.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me reiterate: there are still very many unknowns, but let's take a closer look at where we are so we can start our discussion off a common set of facts. Governor and Mayor's preliminary budget estimates are known to us and we know, generally, how much money is going to Albany from the federal government. We don't know what Albany will give us in terms of the stimulus funds, we don't know how the state will choose to restrict or loosen its restrictions on how we can spend our money, and we don't know if there will be any shifting in the budget numbers from the city or state as we approach the start of our fiscal year--that's a lot of unknowns. In addition, the U.S. Department of Education hasn't yet issued final quidelines for states and school districts that clarify how the money in the stimulus package can be allocated and distributed over time. So this is, in short, a real time of uncertainty and we are trying to give our schools and our communities as much clarity as possible, despite the questions that still remain unanswered.

In the past four rounds of cuts, we

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have done all we can to minimize budget cuts to the school, exacting as much in savings as possible out of our administrative, non-school spending. Why? Because I believe dollars as close as possible to students where they can have a direct impact on learning is where they should This is important since mid-fiscal year 2008, when the first of four budget cuts was implemented, Central and Field budgets have been reduced by twice their share of the reducible budget, while school budgets have been reduced by half their share. For fiscal year '09, the current one alone, we cut Central and Field budgets by 6%, while reducing our school budgets by only 1%. Fiscal year '09 reduction includes the elimination of 475--almost 500 administrative positions, which represent an 8% reduction in overall administrative headcount.

Today, and I think this is really atypical in public education, our Central and Field costs represent only 3% of our total budget, and, as we move forward, it's important to remember that what we do in Central and the Field is critically important to our schools. Much as

we want the money in our schools, some of these services are indispensable to the functioning of our district. Payroll and HR, for example, keeping them up and running and our technology systems functioning is critical to our schools' operations. And let me be clear about this, without a sound accountability system that provides schools, teachers, and families with the information they need, we will seriously undermine our schools' ability to operate and to succeed.

Today, as we prepare to cut back more, we have no choice but to reduce the number of dollars being sent to our schools.

The decisions we must make are even more difficult because nearly half of our \$21 billion budget cannot be reduced. We have many fixed costs like pension, debt service, special ed mandates, energy, and leases. As a result, we have only \$11 billion available for reductions. So we must find 500 million or more in savings from a pot of 11 billion, not \$21 billion. And, of that \$11 billion, I should also note, almost 90% goes to teachers and other staff covered by union contracts.

So while we don't know how the necessary reductions will affect our schools because of two reasons, we know a fair amount.

First, we don't know how much we're going to get and, second, we don't know what the restrictions will be.

entitled to, the 500 million plus in state stabilization funds, the average cut to our schools would be about 6%. Depending on the restrictions, however, some schools could see their budgets rise, while others could see a cut of 13%, with nearly a quarter of our schools, or 345 of them, taking a cut of more than 18%. And that's because the way the state money flows and the federal money in Title I and so forth.

Today, some in Albany are arguing that the city deserves substantially less than the 500 million from the stabilization funds. Indeed, one number that's being used is 360 million and I know I can count on you to join me in doing everything possible to prevent that scenario, which would leave our schools with an average cut of 8%, with some schools receiving a cut of 15%.

2.0

2.3

Forty five percent, or 653 schools, would face a cut greater than 8%.

Our schools dedicate most of their budgets to paying for the salaries of people who are teaching and supporting public school children. Once in school, 86 cents in every dollar covers salaries of teachers, principals, and other staff. Another 6 cents covers per session and per diem compensation for educators, leaving only 8 cents in every dollar in schools for non-labor costs.

Schools would therefore have to significantly reduce their non-labor spending.

With cuts that could be over 30% of per session costs and non-labor costs in many schools, after school programs and other enrichment and support programs will have to be eliminated. So, while we'll work with our schools to help them cut back in areas other than personnel, significant layoffs of school-based staff is almost certainly going to happen under the circumstances that we're contemplating.

Layoffs will likely include teachers as well if Albany comes in at the lower

end of the \$362 million number, with some schools having to eliminate as many as three or four teaching positions. And, depending on how attrition falls out, we still may not be able to avoid a small reduction in teachers if we were to receive the 500 million in stabilization funds.

The severity of the impact on our schools will vary widely. Variation, as I said, is due to the impact of Title I and by law those funds must be distributed to schools based on the number of students in poverty, characterized as students receiving free or reduced lunch. A small number of schools receiving the largest amount of Title I dollars may actually see an increase in their budgets year over year for the school year 2010, while those that receive no Title I dollars could see cuts greater than 10%.

This is good news actually, in preparing for the upcoming budget cut, a little over half our schools have planned to save, on average, about 115,000 to offset a portion of their cuts in the 2009-10 school year for a total rollover this year of \$95 million. That reflects smart planning by those schools and I commend them

2.0

for it. But even with the rollovers, most of those schools will experience significant cuts.

Under the federal stimulus package, we're also eligible to receive funds from what's being called, the "What Works Innovation Fund."

We believe, because of our leadership in this area, we're well positioned to receive these dollars, the Education Secretary says he's looking to give it to districts that have successful reforms and can serve as a model for other school districts across the U.S. and I note with proud that one of the first visits by the Education Secretary was to New York City.

We can and will apply for money that will support our accountability work and our teacher quality initiative and additional federal funds will support teacher incentive programs like our schoolwide performance bonus program that we partnered with the UFT on, which rewards teachers at schools that successfully raise student performance. We'll be working as hard as we can to get as many of those dollars as well.

We have been working behind the scenes, you know the Mayor and Randi Weingarten

and we were in Albany yesterday partnering with
the UFT and others to try to get as much money as
possible for our schools and make this year's
budget situation as bearable as possible for our
educators, students, and their families. We
should receive some clearer answers from Albany in
early April. As soon as we know what to expect,
we will be meeting with principals and allocating
budgets to schools. We know how important it is
for principals to work with their teams and
communities to plan for the next school year, so
we hope to give them a sense of their budgets
within the next month.

As usual, I end with how you can help me and help our kids. Everybody knows how difficult this year is—tax revenues are falling, many New Yorkers are losing their jobs, and lawmakers, including this Council, know how tight money is in our city and state. But, at a minimum, I would urge you to take two steps with us. First, we've got to insist that we get our fair share of federal education funds and as much money as possible from our schools in Albany. So please work with me to secure that.

Second, we need flexibility, and 2 3 this is important, 'cause sometimes people don't 4 understand it, so that whatever funds we get, we can spend them equitably. Disparate impacts in 5 different schools is not desirable during tight 6 times and so often, the state law restricts how we 7 8 can spend our money. Principals will soon be in a position of needing to eliminate core programs, 9 10 while maintaining supplementary programs and 11 that's not an abstract problem. Here's an example I talked about in Albany in January, just to give 12 you one of many of the things our schools are 13 14 looking at. At IS 231 in Queens, approximately 15 90% of Principal Emmanuel Lubin's budget is 16 comprised of tax levy dollars, and all but about 17 2% of those dollars go to paying teachers and other school employees. Mr. Lubin would have to 18 19 lay off several teachers in order to have enough 20 money to meet the budget cuts and continue 21 operating. IS 231 also receives almost half 22 million dollars in State Contracts for Excellence 23 dollars. Last year, the school used some of that 24 money to hire a coach and mentor for the newly 25 hired teachers. But this year, the school could

2.0

2.3

actually be forced to fire some of the new
teachers the mentoring coach were hired to help,
but under the contract regulations, he would have
to continue to pay for the mentoring program or
some other supplemental program. That doesn't
make sense and we need to make sure together that
we convince Albany to allow our schools to make
rational budget decisions in what are,
unfortunately, tight times.

I thank you for your attention and I look forward to your questions.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well,

Chancellor, it's always good to see you, we would

love to see you here more often in our hearings,

and, as you know, we have several hearings coming

up between now and June. I believe April 1st we

have a hearing on the DOE contracts, I believe on

April 6th we're having a hearing on charter

schools, and obviously then we go into the

executive budgets. So we hope and expect to see

you here in the future.

But let me just ask, you talked about that you and the Mayor and Randi Weingarten of UFT were up in Albany yesterday trying to

2	communicate loud and clear the message from New
3	York City, and you talked about what the
4	expectations are and what you're hearing up in
5	Albany. Looking at what you're hearing from the
6	worst-case scenario, you had said that some people
7	are talking about \$360 million versus a 500 and I
8	think 80 or 560 that we think that we deservenot
9	think, we know that we deserve. If in fact,
10	Albany does not come through, I want to know what
11	will be the impact as far as overall, the number
12	of employees that you, as the Chancellor, may have
13	to direct to be laid off.
14	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Assuming we get
15	the 362 million, is that the question you're
16	asking?
17	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So I mean
18	that we get 360 million that some people are
19	talking about.
20	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: And no
21	additional city funds.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And no
23	additional monies.
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I can't give you
25	a precise number because I don't know about

2.0

attrition, but I can give you some generalization-

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Please, if 5 you don't mind.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: --which means we could lay off non-teachers at a level of 25% of their people, again, depends how many people--our first preference is always to use attrition, but depending on how many people leave the system, the number that we could lay off of non-teachers could be that high.

The number of teachers is harder to predict, again, it depends. We usually get 5,000, 5,500 teachers that leave, which I'm proud to say is way down from where we started. I don't know what will happen in this tough budget times, but if we were to get the bad situation, we could be in a layoff situation for teachers for a couple of thousand teachers, in addition to attrition, could possibly go higher, but those are big numbers, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Because, you know, when you talk about it depends on the attrition rate and whether or not people retire

and leave and what have you, with the economic situation where people are not having jobs and even people that may have saved money over the years and invested monies and, as you know, investments have dropped off and people have lost money to the tune of 25 to 50 to 80% of their investments. So with those facts, people are basically working harder and longer because the resources that they had are not there. So I don't expect that attrition rate, the turnover rate, will be as high as normal in the past.

But you said approximately 25% of non-teaching staff and that could mean thousands and thousands of non-teachers, and you said several thousand teachers possibly. So, I mean, not knowing the total number, let's say 25%, I'm looking at a number of--would it be safe to say that in totality 10,000 employees, meaning teachers and non-teaching staff, would that be safe to say?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I think that number under the assumption we're working on is too high. Our projection would be that if we get the 360, we could lose a total of about 4,500

2	teaching staff and all-in it would be a loss of
3	about 4,500, between four and 5,000 personnel at
4	the schools.
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So rounded
6	off approximately 5,000.
7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: That's
8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So if in
9	fact, the positive scenario that we got the 500
LO	and did you say 560 million that is
11	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing] I
L2	think it's about 500 million, Mr. Chairman.
L3	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. And we
L4	got the additional help that we're seeking out of
L5	Albany, what would that look like as far as would
L6	there be any reductions in staff or would there be
L7	additional hires?
L8	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: There'd be
L9	reductions, I'm just getting the number for it.
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Sure, go
21	ahead.
22	[Off mic]
23	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: There'd be a
24	reduction in non-teachers, not in teaching staff
25	if we get the additional \$140 million, and a

about?

reduction in non-teaching staff could be--

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: About 3,000.

4 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: --about 3,000.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And that

3,000 number of non-teaching staff, that would include taking into consideration all of city's fiscal situation taken into effect the higher amount of money from the state of New York and the stimulus package and the things that you talked

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Yeah, we would still, if we got--again, assuming there's no additional state or city monies coming, we would probably have a shortfall even with the 500 million of approximately 500 million. We started with 1.4, 500 was given to--well 400 million was given to us from IDEA and Title I, another 500 on stimulus package would be 900 million and leave a shortfall of about 500 million. That 500 million we project would lead to a reduction in non-teaching staff of about 2,500 to 3,000.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now as far as the state is concerned, I believe in the governor's message that he planned to reduce pre-K

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:

know, you have administration, you have the

regents, you have the -- what is it called?

'Cause you

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 33
2	FEMALE VOICE 1: ISC.
3	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: The
4	integrated
5	FEMALE VOICE 2: ISC.
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Integrated
7	what?
8	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Service Centers,
9	yeah.
10	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Service
11	Centers? ISCs and others, so are you talking
12	about school staff, are you talking about
13	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: School staff.
14	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Three
15	thousand school staff.
16	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Approximately,
17	yes.
18	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: And that's
19	[Off mic]
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well how
21	would it look as far as non-school staff?
22	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: In terms of non-
23	school staff, we've already cut I just announced
24	500 or 8% this year, we will probably have to cut
25	additional next year, but it won't be a large

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Somewhat, but not a lot. It wouldn't have a big dollar, I mean,

or \$5 million wouldn't have a huge impact in the--

if I cut another I mean, I try to cut another four

[Crosstalk]

21

22

23

24

25 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing] our staff by 15,000 teachers. Then we went and

23

24

25

3	people in this Council went to Washington and
4	working with our new president, our New York
5	delegation, we got the stimulus package through.
6	The stimulus package will give us a minimum of
7	about 716 million all-in or a maximum of about 900
8	million, plus whatever grants we can get which
9	will be targeted areas. That money that's
10	somewhere between 760 and 900 that we will use
11	principally to retain our teachers in the system.
12	We still will have to make other cuts and, again,
13	if the number dips to 760, we may have to
14	eliminate somewhere around a couple thousand
15	teachers. So about a thousand teachers cost us
16	\$70 million. So that's the way we do the
17	estimate.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: About a 1,000
19	teachers cost about how much?
20	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Seventy million.
21	So the money in play in Albany, 362 to just
22	again, I don't want to get down to micro-numbers

'cause they're far too early, but if you were to

do the difference between 500 and 360, you'd be

light \$140 million, that would be approximately

2.0

2.3

	2,000	teachers.
--	-------	-----------

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And the
average teacher salary, when you say about 2,000,
you talking about the average teacher salary.

6 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Seventy

7 thousand.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Seventy

9 thousand dollars?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well some district's a little higher, some are little lower, if you do it through attrition, you may lose higher, but because of people going into retirement, you hire new people, it's a little less.

when the federal stimulus package was approved, the Mayor basically said that the approximately 14,000 teachers that were expected to be laid-off were under the plan basically is saved in essence. So the federal stimulus package, are we getting that money directly from the federal government or it must pass through, through the state and is the state going to take some of that money or is it directed through categorical programs where it has

two years, right. So that would be \$1 billion out of the 2.4 and we estimate--CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing]

500 million each year.

23

24

25

2.0

2.3

2	CHANCELLOR	KLEIN:	Each year.
2	CHATRDERGOI	N .TACKSOM	V: Okav

4 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: So think about--

the number is 2.4?

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Yes.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: So 2.4, we think we're entitled to 41% based as the law is written. So 2.4, 41%, it would be a little over 500 million each year, right? Now they're taking--some people are taking a different view, and that's the question and where, again, I need the Council to help weigh in, as I said, the Mayor and Randi were up there yesterday on precisely this issue. So that difference is a swing of 140 million and it explains the 2,000 approximately teachers that we would lose from the system.

Chancellor, the preliminary budget also may not actually reflect the Department of Education's best current estimates for fiscal '9 and 2010 expenditures in savings. The Carter case budget is clearly in our opinion too low according to DOE staff and the food budget is likely also inaccurate. What are the most significant risks

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:

But,

or inaccuracies in the DOE's budget as reflected in the January plan?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Certainly Carter cases since that is an unknowable in the sense that those are court-based cases, that's something we've looked at very closely 'cause it has been growing There could be other mandated IEP services that we're required to provide and those we make our best estimates, but those are certainly things that we need to look at. Or at least raise contingencies in the plan.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now when you talk about cuts to the schools, already we and you and I'm sure everyone has heard that principals and school leaders and parents are saying we're already cut to the bone, our OTPS, we hardly have any money for paper and supplies and stuff like that. How are we going to expect the schools to run, run efficiently? I'm talking about efficiently in order to carry out the mandates of educating our children if we don't have paper, if we don't have supplies, and in order to do that?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well as I said,

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well as I said,

25 the number we work with is there's about 8% of

2 school budget that goes to OTPS and--

3 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Right, Other

4 Than Personal Service.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Other Than

Personal Services and another 6% goes for things

like per session and per diem, that's 14%, and the

schools will have to make major cuts in those

things. And I want to associate myself with the

implication of your question that that is not

good—these are tight times, we can only spend

what we get, Mr. Chairman.

essence, I'm trying to lay this out from a practical point of view. If I'm the principal of a school and I've already basically cut some of my programs already, some after-school programs that I had, I've either eliminated one or two or reduced the period of time of the program, I may have hired a retired teacher in order to help out with the tutoring of math or reading, or I may have brought in other staff to mentor, as an example that you've brought in, and now I have to reduce more. So I may have to eliminate all my after-school programs, I may have to, instead of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the teachers in the classroom, the other staff
that I brought in, in order to help my students to
succeed to get up to the level of being an average
student, I may have to eliminate all of those and
just go with the core of a teacher in a classroom
and nothing else. And that could be a scenario
that could play out in September?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I don't think it's quite that, but you would have to cut a lot. If you think about it this way, out of that 14% I just mentioned, the six and the eight, that would be somewhere around 8 billion, 14% would be somewhere around, what \$100 million, \$1 billion? So it would be about \$1 billion in OTPS and in non-salaried payments to teachers and other staff. And out of that billion you would be talking about people cutting possibly, depending on the overall cuts in the system, somewhere around 400 million. So you'd still have some money in there, but you'd have to cut back on tutoring services, Saturday programs, after-school programs, and it would depend on the individual school. Some schools don't have an after-school program, some schools do; some schools use more F status teachers or

2.0

2.3

other mentors or coaches and they'd have to all make individualized determinations, but they wouldn't lose all of their OTPS under this scenario.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well I'm just trying to understand from a practical point of view what type of situation the leaders of a school are going to be in and to what type of programs would have to be reduced. So, besides the other programs that are laid out, I may have to reduce also as far as actual teachers that may have been in the classroom and/or paraprofessionals and or school aides which are under my jurisdiction?

Yes, and that, again, depends in terms of classroom teachers, what I'm saying is if we get the 500 million from Albany, you won't have to reduce classroom teachers. If, in fact, we get less than that, we will have to reduce some classroom teachers. I do think if we get the 500 million, we'll still have to reduce the school aides and family workers and also cut other programs. And the specifics of those programs,

2.0

what I'm saying is, it may be certain coverages
that they have for teachers, it may be an after-
school program, it may be a program where the
teacher is tutoring the student and getting paid a
per session for thatthose are the kinds of
things. Some of our schools have Saturday
programs, some of our schools, you know, pay for a
teacher to attend a conference I think, you know,
good conference for professional development, they
may have to cut that.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And when will we have a clearer picture from our perspective as to where things are? Give me a timeframe in your opinion.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well here's the critical variable, the most critical variable is-and you're probably better equipped to answer this thank I am, when will Albany pass its budget?

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: And once we have that, then I think the last part of this will be the usual passage of the city budget and in there, again, is in the past, it maybe things that are restored to our budget. When we get the Albany

budget, we will then notify our schools of a preliminary sense and the two things that I'm hoping for is we get the higher number or even more, and second, we get some flexibility. So whatever cuts there are, I'd much preferred to cut each school the same, rather than cut one school 10%, and another school end up being flat from last year.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Let me introduce our colleagues that have joined us. We have Oliver Koppell to my right here from the Bronx and Dan Garodnick from Manhattan and in front, John Liu of Queens.

And let me turn to my colleagues who have some questions and my colleagues, five minutes question-and-answer then you can come back if you need be.

And, as you know, this is all preliminary hopefully this will not be the budget that we'll be dealing with, so I'm going to ask to stay on preliminary budget questions and if you need specific questions, specific school stuff, I ask you to contact the Chancellor's office directly regarding a specific school question.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	Now let me turn to our colleagues
3	Peter Vallone of Queens and Diana Reyna of
1	Brooklyn. Council Member Vallone, Jr.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chair.

7 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You were 8 hiding our colleague, Helen Diane Foster, I'm 9 sorry, Helen, I didn't see you. Okay.

> COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you again, Mr. Chair. I know that my colleagues will go into much further detail in some of those stimulus issues, so I want to ask about another topic that I realize may not seem as important, but it is a very important safety issue with parents of children--I'm speaking about cell phones and the ability to take them to and from school. Very important safety issue for parents of public school kids who take buses, especially like my two daughters do. We passed a law which mandated that these children have a right to take cell phones to and from schools which cannot be interfered with. As a result of that law, you testified not too long ago that you were going to institute a pilot program of lockers to store

these cell phones in, I didn't think it was the best idea, but it was an effort at compromise on your part. Does this budget include any money for these lockers and how is the pilot program going, if it's going at all?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It doesn't, and we haven't been able to successfully find a vendor who would be able to do this for us, so right now, there is no pilot program.

Say I did not expect that because I did not think it was a possible program to implement. And I can't say I'm not disappointed in the fact that you've obviously made no effort to comply with the law that we passed as representatives of the parents of school kids. Are there any other efforts you're making in order to give school kids the right to take phones to and from school and, therefore, make them even safer?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We don't have any other effort, there are lots of students who take them to and from school, leave them at a store nearby, and that's a practice that has grown up, but we don't have a systematic solution to the

problem.

3	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You know,
4	8-year-olds making business transactions with
5	bodega owners is not the way we should be
6	addressing this problem. There's got to be a
7	better solution and it's your job to come up with
8	one or it's our job to go to court and make sure
9	our laws are enforced. So I can't tell you how
10	much I disagree with what you just said, but let
11	me end withsince I know a lot of my colleagues
12	have questionsagain thanking you for the job you
13	do to educate our kids, my kids in public school,
14	doing great, and we disagree on some issues, but
15	overall, I think you're doing a great job. Thank
16	you.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well thank you very much, I appreciate that, sir.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: My

colleagues, I'm going to ask you to stay on

general budget questions and not specific topics,

you can talk to the Chancellor later about

specific schools in your district, but stick to

the general of the city's budget, if you don't

mind.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 50
2	[Pause]
3	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: A billion
4	dollar
5	[Off mic]
6	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: A billion
7	dollars.
8	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Reducible in
9	that 11 billion
10	[Off mic]
11	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: So it's a
12	billion dollars.
13	[Off mic]
14	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: So Council, it's
15	not contracts.
16	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: It's not all
17	contracts, so we can get.
18	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: All right. So
19	what we know is there is approximately \$1 billion
20	in OTPS, most of that, but not all of it, is for
21	contracts, some of it by the schools, some of it
22	by the department if we're contracting for
23	curricula materials. We have the numbers broken

down by line, we just haven't added them up and

we'll be happy to supply them to you.

24

25

Push it down and--Chancellor, there you go, a

25

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 52
2	little
3	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:there you
5	go.
6	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Five hundred
7	and 60 million for transportation.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
9	[Interposing] Sixteen, you said.
10	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Five hundred
11	and 60 million.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Sixty
13	million.
14	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Five six oh.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yes.
16	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Universal
17	pre-Kindergarten of 77 million, building
18	management of 26 million goes into
19	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
20	[Interposing] What is 26 million?
21	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Right, data
22	processing of 17 million, professional services in
23	this category of about 16 million, and then we,
24	for instance, we have special ed services, so this
25	will be a large number of individuals who are
l l	

2	providing services in terms of speech therapy and
3	so on, that'll be about 128 million, the periodic
4	assessments are at 16 million, we go into things
5	like the \$9 million for something like our galaxy
6	system, which is our budgeting and reporting
7	system. So we can get you this entire outline if
8	you'd like listing of these, but those are the
9	large contracts.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And these
11	under the Department of Education as a whole, this
12	is the totalyou're going to get us the total
13	figure.
14	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: We'll get you
15	the total figure, but these are the biggest
16	categories, if you will.
17	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: And food
18	service?
19	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: And food
20	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Food is also a
21	big number.
22	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Yeah, they
23	justyeah. These are the ones for tax levy.
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Oh.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So, for

2	instance, I am referring to accounting and
3	auditing, legal services, engineering, and
4	architectural services, computer services, direct
5	educational services, there's a professional
6	service that's under Other.
7	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: So we'll
8	provide you all those figures.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the
10	question I'm trying to follow-up with is how much
11	of that total figure is being trimmed as part of
12	the budget cut in the preliminary process?
13	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Understood.
14	If you look at the contracts in terms of the
15	categories, so, for instance, in transportation,
16	which is going to be the largest bucket of
17	contracts, it is very difficult for us to trim
18	anything there, we are reimbursed for 50% and
19	those are long-term contracts. So that one is a
20	difficult area to try to trim back on.
21	But we have trimmed back on, for
22	instance, in some of our professional development
23	contracts, some in the professional services area.

In the area of the--

25 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

2.0

2.3

2	[Interposing] Can you just give me the amounts
3	that was trimmed down for professional service?
4	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Sure, I car

provide you those later, we did not bring those with us, so we can bring that to you after offline if you will.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Okay.

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: But we are cutting back on things like professional development; we have cut back on assessments contracts, if you will; we have cut back significantly on IT contracts. So where we can take the cut backs, we have done so. The area that has been increasing is on the, basically as Chancellor Klein had mentioned, is in the special ed services area—those are increasing cost.

want to state for the record, I have a total of \$3.3 billion of contracted services. I just want to be able to compare what we have and what you're going to give us and understand where we have been able to trim, where it's not going to have a direct hit in the classroom, so that we're looking at everything across the board in the Department

2	of Education, not just where each school has
3	contracted services, but where the Department of
4	Education has contracted services. And I don't
5	know if it's possible to get those questions
6	answered as we continue this hearing to be able to
7	get an answer, so that while we're here, if
8	someone back at the offices can answer these
9	questions.
10	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: If, what
11	you're trying to drive at is what percentage of
12	the contracts we've reduced, we can get you that
13	answer, I don't think we can do that while we're
14	sitting at this hearing because it was done within
15	each department's budget, so
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
17	[Interposing] Well you already know
18	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS:we will be
19	able to get that to you. It'll probably be after
20	the hearing though.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
22	Council Member?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yes?
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: We're going
25	to go and you come back a second round?

25 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Flexibility,

2	yes. There are some restrictions on that, there
3	are restrictions under federal law and under state
4	law, and there are alsothere may be implications
5	with layoffs where they won't have flexibility
6	because of all the bumping and other rules in the
7	system. But to the extent we can when it comes to
8	programs or other decisions, we will allow the
9	principals to have flexibility.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But the
11	restrictions you're trying to lift from
12	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing]
13	Would increase it.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Would
15	increase
16	[Crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
18	flexibilities for principals.
19	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Exactly. The
20	last example I gave in my testimony is classic of
21	that, the one in Queens with Principal Lubin, yes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you.
23	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
25	Council Member. And we can come back and put your

2	name down on the second round? [Off mic] Can you
3	put it down?
4	And we've been joined by additional
5	colleagues and I'd like to introduce them.
6	Directly behind us is Melinda Katz of Queens, and
7	directly in front of myself is Rosie Mendez of
8	Manhattan, and to her left is Maria del Carmen
9	Arroyo of the Bronx, and to her left is Melissa
10	Mark-Viverito of Manhattan and the Bronx.
11	And, with that, I'd like to turn to
12	our colleague Lou Fidler of Brooklyn.
13	Councilmember Fidler?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Chancellor Klein.
16	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Good morning.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Since
18	Councilman Vallone went to my very favorite topic
19	of cell phones and I'm going to pass on my other
20	favorite topic of gifted and talented program, I'm
21	going to go to my new favorite topic, which is the
22	ACS transfer of 5-year-olds to kindergarten,
23	because it's become a recurring theme here in our
24	budget hearings that we, you know, as we are
25	scraping to try and save every dollar, that we're

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

getting one of those scarecrow in the Wizard of Oz moments where, you know, the scarecrow says they went that away. And, you know, ACS's entire justification for this transfer, which is disrupting the lives of many parents and jeopardizing the future of many childcare centers, is that it's going to save \$15 million. And I've tried with ACS and I've tried with DYCD and I've tried with OMB to figure out how this transfer actually saves us money. Yesterday, the Daily News was in touch with the spokesman from DOE about the additional 3,500 students that DOE is going to absorb as a result of this transfer and your spokesman said, adding a few students into one or more existing classrooms in a school does not increase the cost to the overall system. are not asking OMB to add additional dollars to our budget to accommodate these students. agree with that statement, Chancellor Klein, and is there a cost associated when you add a child to a school?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I do agree with the statement, there isn't a cost if you have room at the school and the schools that we think are

affected by this are schools where we project will
outgrow them, and this happens every year. And
what I say by that is think about it this way,
some schools get three kindergarten classes, they
can get 56 kids, 61 kids, 63 kids, and that
varies. That's why when you look at precise
class-size numbers, people really don't understand
that that's often a product of just how many
students enroll in an early grade. So we believe
these 3,500 children will be able to be absorbed,
we don't have to build new classrooms and new
space for them.

notwithstanding that, if I understand the funding formula, when a school gets 10 additional kids, they get additional money added to the school budget based upon their registration, is that not correct?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Yes, they do.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So I mean, that money is coming from somewhere, right? I mean, you know, I believe it's about \$8,000 a child, am I incorrect? You know, 50% of which is coming from city tax levy money.

2	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: And some from
3	the state and the city's tax levy money will be
4	reallocated to cover those people.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So where is
6	it being reallocated from?
7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It'll be
8	reallocated, in other words, the per pupil
9	expenditure will be basedof the city tax levy
10	will be based on the number of pupils and we will
11	include those 3,500, if that's what the number is.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So then
13	let's be clear, what you're saying is there will
14	not be any additional money added to the pool, but
15	as you add additional students to the pool, the
16	amount of money per child going to the classroom
17	will be decreased
18	[Crosstalk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:so that
20	when your spokesman says there's no additional
21	cost, what he's saying is that the ACS cut will be
22	borne as a cut to the classroom at the Department
23	of Education.
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: That's correct.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Well I

_	1
$^{\sim}$	<
J	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 63
2	appreciate your candor, I also don't
3	[Crosstalk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:we have
5	an opposing point of view.
6	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Why won't the
7	[off mic].
8	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Yeah, I'm
9	actually the spokesman that made comment to the
10	press and if you will, the way this is working,
11	it's
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:
13	[Interposing] You're William Havemann?
14	[Crosstalk]
15	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Interposing]
16	Well he's the press person, I'm the COO
17	[Crosstalk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:
19	[Interposing] Oh, okay, I didn't think you were
20	William Havemann.
21	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS:
22	information. So the
23	MALE VOICE: Shouldn't jump to
24	conclusions.
25	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I try not

to.

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Okay. way this works is, because there is room in the classroom, you have to divorce the cost to the system as a whole versus the money that we give out to principals as a way of basically taking care of their entire school, on average, if you will, for the year and also incentivizing them to provide the correct services to the students-those are two very different things. And so when you're looking at the cost to the system, where we have room, so--and when we've looked at the analysis, we can only do with what the information that ACS has provided us and if you look at where those students are at the centers and where they live and look at them going into their zoned schools or in nearby schools, we can accommodate them into existing classrooms.

So it's very important that we understand that we are not adding any teachers, we are not adding any bus routes, we are not adding any additional need for resource rooms etc. We can accommodate this number of students into the

existing structure. So there really is not an additional marginal cost here.

not be an additional marginal cost, but there's a cost associated, and thank God there is, with educating a child and I guarantee you the principal of the school that's going to absorb 20 of those kids is going to, you know, expect their \$160,000 added to their budget. That money is coming from somewhere, all right? And what the Chancellor has said is what it's coming from is by reducing the amount of money per child in every school in the city before you give that money out to the principal, hence, there will be a cut to every budget.

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: We--

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: All right?

So, I mean, it's a zero-sum game, you know, the money is coming from somewhere and, you know, I appreciate the argument that while sticking another child in the classroom doesn't mean you need another classroom space, but it does, there are costs that are incurred by the school and there is a cost to the system. So if you take a

step back for one second and talk about the taxpayer of the city of New York and whether the ACS transfer of 5-year-olds into the Department of Education and out of ACS saves the taxpayer of the city of New York money, you have to look at it from that global perspective. We're not Macy's and Gimbels, it's not whether DOE, you know, saves money or ACS saves money--that's we call it budget hearings. This is going to cost the taxpayer of the city of New York \$7 million more.

Now you want to argue that it's good policy, that's a different story, but the justification is that the city is saving money, and it's clearly not, all right? 'Cause you're going to add \$8,000 for each child, there's a DOE cost to it, and if you're telling me there's no cost it's because you're actually reducing spending per child to the classroom. Can't be-you're shaking your head, it can't be any other way.

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Okay. So the way that we have to budget our funds in a system that has over a million students is you know that you cannot project exactly the number of students

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you're going to have, so we set up a reserve, a very small reserve, to actually be able to handle the movements and enrollment in the fluctuation in any given year and actually during the year. So there within the number of students that we have this reserve for, which is very small, it's around 20,000, one could argue that might be too small, but it's around 20,000 and when you look at that, within that 20,000 we can easily absorb the cost of the 3,200--there is not an additional cost to the overall system. And then so what we do is we have enough dollars to actually put into that principal's budget to handle the two or three extra kids that they will Then in the mid-year we actually have a lot of movement, if you will, between schools and, you know, students coming into the system, students leaving the system, and you will actually see the enrollment numbers, that's why we always have to wait until the end of October to actually see what our registrars are. And at that point, we do move money around for the principals. This is the same thing that we do at the mid-year, we make those adjustments. So there is really from a budget

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

perspective when you're looking at that, there are savings to the system as a whole citywide because we're not asking for anymore dollars to cover that, we have those dollars in our budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I don't buy it, I know my time is up, but you are talking about the logistics of whether or not the system could accommodate 3,500 new students. Ultimately, whether you have a reserve, because obviously students move during the course of the year or not, and people come in and out of the system is irrelevant to the fact that you're adding 3,500 people to the system that you wouldn't otherwise be adding--they have to have a cost. You can't come here with a straight face and say that there is no cost associated with educating 3,500 children, because if you're saying that, there's something wrong, all right. So clearly there is a cost, the fact that you may be able to handle it or that you're going to take the hit for the team doesn't change the fact that you are going to have to reduce the amount that you are paying per child, if you don't increase the amount of money for the classroom based upon additional enrollment

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

of these 3,500 kids, you can pretend they don't exist.

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

Chancellor, we had sent you a--I sent you a letter regarding questions and asking for answers on this particular matter, so if you don't have it, we're going to resend it again, we'll fax it to you or give it to your government affairs or whoever you wish and we would like an appropriate response.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I didn't see the letter, but we're happy to get you an answer. Ι think this is in some respects a little less complicated. I think there are, when you add additional children to a system, there are costs associated with that, I think it's entirely different from the costs that are saved and the reason it's different is no different from the principle that if you move from a two-bedroom apartment to a one-bedroom apartment, two children may have to sleep together in the same room. have the room, and that's the reason it's much more efficient for us to do it. We have the room. So if you have, particularly if you do this strategically, if you had a class and you think

2.0

about the taxpayers of the city of New YorkI
hear the argument you're making, but let me
explain why I thinkand I don't dispute the fact
that when you add additional children to the
system there are costs, but if I am a taxpayer and
these students are in one program, and I've got to
pay them \$15 million for 3,500 students, or I have
another ongoing program in which I have space to
accommodate those students because we have
classroomsand I'm not thrilled by the fact that
a kindergarten class might go from 18 to 20, but
that's a different financial burden on the city
then the burden of paying the 15 million, that's
the only point.

And I'll be happy to get you a written answer to your letter, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

The letter that I addressed to you is dated March

12th and the Chair of the General Welfare

Committee, Bill de Blasio also followed up on

March 24th. Okay?

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: That's the letter-that is the letter that went both to ACS and DOE, so we are happy to meet with you all at

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Several quick questions, Chancellor. I wanted to ask first about your commitments with the budget crisis we're facing. Parent Coordinators, that was an initiative that you started, and are you committed to maintaining parent coordinators or will schools be given discretion to eliminate those lines or make them part time? Is there any thought to this from a policy perspective from your office?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We're looking at

2.0

2.3

all options, if you ask me sitting here now, our
current intention is to maintain the parent
coordinator line. We've been hearing a lot about
the importance of parental engagement and that is
our current intention, but we're not at the final
game here at the end of the budget process, so I
don't want to restrict any options, but sitting
here now, we will keep that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: PreKindergarten tax levy is not required under New
York state law. Are you considering, based on
these budget cuts, a curtailment or restriction on
tax levy pre-K enrollment?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: The answer is no, and the reason in part is, in large part is most of the money that comes to us, comes to us from the state on pre-K, and that's a line item, so it's not like you can just move it to someplace else.

Second of all, we have a strong commitment in the city of New York to pre-K and particularly want to make sure that we get our children started earlier. So I don't think it would either make economic sense or policy sense.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Of course, we could look at class size, have you estimated under the different scenarios what we would see in class size increases?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Our hope is that we won't see any significant class size increase and the only real jeopardy of that is if we don't get the 500 million from the state that we were talking about before with the Chairman. In other words, that there is a 360 to 504 or \$8 million swing in the state, if we get the higher number, we don't think we'll lose any teachers. Our overall enrollment probably next year will go down slightly, so we should be able to be okay. If we get the 360 million, our best estimate is we'd have to diminish by 2,000 teachers and that would have an effect on class-size so by probably a couple of students.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: One thing in conclusion, Chancellor, that concerned me last year, is that many of our kindergarten children were not enrolled in kindergarten until October because there were waiting lists in their zoned schools and then they were given other schools. I

2.0

2.3

2	know that that's a process your office is involved
3	in, is the budget cut going to aggravate that
4	situation for parents whose children waited for
5	placement that long? Are we going to have a
6	situation where you will be trying to meet every
7	kids needs, but it may take longer to get them a
8	kindergarten seat?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I hope not, I think if anything it should go more quickly this year.

safety, do our school safety agents face a reduction? I saw something in the Council's report that indicates you intend to significantly increase monies for school security and I assume that this report is correct, but it talks about school safety and it alludes to a significant increase in school safety agents. Is that correct or...

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I don't think so, I think there are increases because of collective bargaining agreements, but not the number of personnel.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Not in the

2	number of guards. And what would be the impact of
3	budget cuts on the number of school safety
4	offices?
5	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: At this point,
6	there is none. That's a determination, obviously
7	the numbers are determined by the police
8	department, and that's the number that we think
9	the police department has told us they think are
10	necessary, so we haven't cut that yet.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay. Thank
12	you, Chancellor.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Chancellor,
14	on that question as far as parent coordinators,
15	how many are there citywide and what is the total
16	cost of parent coordinators in the system?
17	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Again, I can
18	give you rough approximations in specific detail,
19	if you'd like me to follow up, but about 1,400
20	parent coordinators and somewhere around a \$70
21	million cost.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Overall.
23	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Overall.
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: But if you
25	can give us the specifics, have your person get in

25

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION //
2	of discretion by the state of New York for those
3	dollars, those are direct to the city?
4	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay. And
6	then as to the stabilization funds, now I noted in
7	your testimony, you referred to them as federal
8	stabilization funds and state stabilization funds,
9	I think they are the same.
LO	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: They're all the
L1	same.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Right, okay.
L3	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: They're all the
L4	same.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Just wanted
L6	to make sure that that's the issue there. You
L7	talked about the difference between what we could
L8	see from the state of New York, if it's \$500
L9	million, there would be a budget gap of 500
20	million, and if there were an allocation of 360
21	million, that there could be a gap of \$650 million
22	and the average cut per school would be from six
2	to 8% Just wanted to see if you could explain to

us what the argument--those who are making the

argument there should be \$360 million as opposed

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 78
2	to \$500 billion, what is their argument and why is
3	that wrong?
4	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It's a shares
5	argument and we've had lawyers look at under the
6	law they say the shares that the formula has to be
7	used is one that was, I believe, used in July of
8	2008 or something, is that
9	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Off mic]
10	2008.
11	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: October 2008 and
12	at that point, I think we had 41.1%. So if you do
13	the arithmetic, 41.1, I was trying to do it
14	quickly of 2.4 billion divided by two on an annual
15	basis. I think there are other people who are
16	using, for example, that we have 33% of the pupils
17	statewide, so if you use that number, you get a
18	much lower number.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I see,
20	so it's a question of whether you're using the
21	41.1 or the 33%
22	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing] Or
23	the 33, 34, something like that.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. I
25	understand And your recommendations in your

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

section of your testimony about what we should be doing together you talked about ensuring we get the fair share of federal dollars and state dollars, but I wanted to just on the federal dollars alone, are there more dollars out there that we should be going to which could involve direct allocation to the city of New York like the Title I dollars, the \$400 million over two years, or are we just talking about the stabilization funds, the dollars which are now being filtered through the state by the federal government?

In terms of

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: dollars that, so to speak, we're entitled to, that's the last tranche, there's a couple, you know, other things I can, you know, in terms of repair or buildings and stuff that are in this, but there are also some grants, there's going to be some number of states that are going to be eligible for a race to the top, and it's about 4.35 billion that the federal government has set aside for that and we will work with the state of New York to get that money. There's another 650 million that we can apply for directly. caveat to it, Mr. Garodnick, is those monies will

have to be earmarked for very specific things and
they haven't put out the regs. My guess is the
things that President Obama talked about in his
recent education speech higher standards, pay-
for-performance, data systems, and all the other
thingsin some of those instances we should be in
good shape because we're kind of at the cutting
edge. However, that won't be money that you can
use, so to speak, in the general budget. And the
second caveat, 'cause I think it's important and I
keep trying to make this, is the money you talked
about with IDEA and, particularly with Title I,
which is three quarters of that 400 million, that
will obviously flow to some schools, not all
schools, and that's why the flexibility point
matters, in a growing budget it would matter much
less.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: That's the Lubin example, the principal of the school-[Crosstalk]

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing]

That's one dimension of it, but there's also a

different dimension of it. Title I money goes to

schools that have a high number of free and

2.0

reduced lunch there are schools, for example, that
are different from Lubin school that don't have
meet Title I numbers. So some school, which is
already getting Title I money will be getting more
Title I money and another school is not getting
Title I money won't get any Title I money. So its
cut could be twice as large and that's an issue
that we should all address because I don't want to
disproportionately impact one school at the
expense of another.

what I hear from you is that as to any remaining federal dollars, there are essentially competitive grants in the amounts of up to essentially another \$5 billion, but they're competitive and we would have to apply for them and there's no guarantees obviously with that.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: And they wouldn't go into general operating.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:

Understood. Now who does the applying for those competitive grants? Is that us, is that the city DOE, or does it have to come from the state of New York?

2	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Both. The big
3	money, and we argue the other way on both of
4	these, but the big money is 4.35 billion, that's a
5	state thing and we'll partner with the state, and
6	then there's 650 million which is for school
7	districts and not-for-profits and we'll be working
8	in that on our own and with not-for-profits and
9	partnerships.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank
11	you, Chancellor.
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
13	Council Member. Now we'll hear from Council
14	Member Oliver Koppell of the Bronx.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you,
16	Mr. Chairman. First, very quickly, I want to
17	thank you, Chancellor, for your help in getting
18	the annex in Riverdale back to PS 24.
19	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well thank you
20	for your leadership.
21	[Crosstalk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Greatly
23	appreciate it, I know were problems with locating
24	the other school and that has to be worked on, but
25	it was very important for our community and for

Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the continued attractiveness of the public

schools, which has increased substantially, I

think you can take credit for some of that--

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: --and I'm really grateful 'cause I know it's difficult to do.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN:

On the issue of the ACS, my impression is -- and I'm going to give you specific, I don't expect an answer here, but I would like an answer--that PS 56 in Norwood and PS 16 in Wakefield are close to ACS centers, and I know that both of those schools are way overcrowded, they are busing kids right now, new kids can't get into 56, I'm not sure about 16, but 16's full. I want to know, you know, your statement was well we can accommodate these kids, there's no additional cost, but I think at the very least there's going to be a busing cost with those kids which you did say, I think in your statement, no additional busing cost. So, you know, I think maybe the cost--you know, I'm opposed to the transfer of those kindergarten kids, and I think we should clarify that there really isn't going to be that

2.0

2.3

2	cost, so I'd like, in terms of my district, those
3	are the two schools I'd like to get a response.

4 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We'll get it for 5 you.

Just one thing on the buses, Mr.

Koppell, typically in those areas we have plenty
of seats on buses, so it's a question of we have
to add another route. Now the bus problem is less
of a challenge, I mean, instead of having 30,
you'll have 32 kids on a bus, but I don't think
generically that's your problem, but I'm happy to

[Crosstalk]

look at the two schools and give you the--

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:

[Interposing] But let's just as, that may also be an example, but in any event I'd be interested.

I realize--I'm not criticizing the specific policies and going to mention, but it seems to me if we're facing a real crisis one of the things you don't do perhaps is new things, because you want to keep what you have before you do new things and I'm wondering what the cost of some of your new initiatives are and whether we should perhaps suspend them. First of all, the

2	new schools, all the new schools you're creating,
3	is there a cost associated with that?
4	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: There is a cost,
5	yes.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And if we
7	stopped and said no new schools for a year while
8	this fiscal situation, how much could we save?
9	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Probably some
10	\$10 million something like that, I can get you the
11	exact number, it'd be a huge mistake, but you
12	could save some money for opening a new school.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well it
14	depends, I mean, it's also a huge mistake to
15	reduce faculty at the schools that you mentioned,
16	I mean that's
17	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Sure, but I
18	mean, it's just, you know, to leave students in a
19	school that is really not performing seems to me
20	to be intolerable and that's why we close schools,
21	and indeed I'm meeting with the Secretary of
22	Education recently where all the superintendents
23	said that that should be like a number one
24	priority because those kids are spending years in

a place that hasn't worked for them.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: No, no,
3	I'm not talking about closing schools, I'm talking
4	about creating new schools, new smaller schools
5	for instance, in the high schools which you have a
6	whole program, you're creating a lot of new
7	schools in the Bronx, and I'm not necessarily
8	opposed to that but if I look at that as opposed
9	to cutting teachers in all other schools, I might
10	say, well, I'd rather keep my faculty whole,
11	rather than doing something that may well be a
12	good thing in the long run, but we just don't have
13	the money for it now. I would ask you to consider
14	that.
15	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Sure.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And the
17	same question with respect to charter schools. Is
18	the opening of a charter school, is that a cost or
19	is that a saving to the system? Have you
20	evaluated that?
21	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It tends to be
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I'm
23	talking about dollars.
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:it tends to be
25	neutral, there's probably some slight cost in

2	opening because they get an opening grant, but it
3	tends to be neutral because they take the children
4	and they get paid under a different state formula
5	for those children.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: But it's
7	not a substantial cost.
8	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It's not a
9	substantial cost.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Okay.
11	Again, as I said, my recommendation would be,
12	given the possibility of cuts across the board,
13	that we stop doing new things, not that we
14	shouldn't do them again when we have more
15	resources, but that's whatif I was in your
16	position and we faced a really significant cut, my
17	order to the whole system would be no new things,
18	let's just keep what we're doing and we'll do new
19	things when we have the money for them.
20	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Appreciate that,
21	sir.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
25	Council Member Koppell. Now we hearI failed to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

recognize our colleague from Manhattan, directly
in front of us in the green, Council Member Gale
Brewer of Manhattan

But let me turn to my colleague

Melinda Katz, then Council Member Brewer. Council

Member Katz has the floor.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Good morning,
Chancellor Klein, thank you--

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: --for your time today. You know, my colleague, Council Member Fidler, has a saying, you know, we hate to dig up the horse, just to bury it again, but I would like to still talk about the ACS if I can. In my district, the number one issue we have right now is overcrowding of kindergarten classes. have three schools just within a few blocks of each other in my district who are turning away kindergarten kids, literally turning them away. And PS 303, which Department of Education kindly enough built in order to take some of this overcrowding, has 118 applications with only 40 seats. So when we went through a joint hearing last time with, I think it was Council Member

2.0

2.3

Fidler's committee, I asked the question of who you sent to represent you, what kind of studies were done to figure out where these kids are going and how is it going to affect each of our districts. They didn't have that answer and so I mean I know it's been asked and answered a few times, but I guess the overcrowding issue maybe hasn't, so what are you going to do with the kids that deal with the ACS centers in their neighborhoods and now there's no room for them in the kindergarten classes?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: In every district we have two situations--you have some overcrowding and some under capacity, and we will have to adjust those to make sure that those schools that have the capacity take the kids.

That may mean that they'll have to be bussed, they won't be able to walk, or what have you, but those are things we're working on doing the work right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: But your colleague sitting next to you said that we're going to absorb some of the kids, which means to me there has to be studies done by the Department

2	of Education which show whether it's financially
3	better to be doing this because the claim from the
4	DOE is that they're making money on this basically
5	saving money
6	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing]
7	No, no, we're not making money
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ:saving
9	money
10	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:we're not
11	making money.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ:so there
13	has to be studies which show where these children
14	are going and the colleague that you sent to
15	represent you last time didn't know the answer to
16	that, so I'm asking you, where are the studies
17	that show that you can simply absorb the children
18	and that it won't be more expensive to send them
19	to other areas of the city in order to go to
20	kindergarten?
21	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well itgo
22	ahead, you want to
23	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: We have
24	actually received the information from ACS in
25	terms of where the students are located currently.

2	the 4-year-olds. And we, unfortunately, it's
3	difficult to figure out where they're going to
4	want to go, but when
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: [Interposing]
6	Well let me give you a little hint, wherever their
7	zip codes are and wherever they're zoned to go to
8	first grade
9	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Well if you
10	can
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ:is probably
12	where they're going to want to go
13	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS:actually
14	when we
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ:to
16	kindergarten.
17	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: We've
18	actually looked at that and it's not as clear-cut
19	as what you're saying, but making the assumption
20	because some people are using this child care
21	differently than they would when the kids go to
22	first grade is what we did find. But, so you
23	know, when we looked at this in terms of the zip
24	codes going from the centers that they're located
25	in and the zip codes in terms of their home

2.0

2.3

address, it is in the Bronx where we have most of
the students located and some sections of
Brooklyn, and in those areas we don't feel there
is a problem of absorbing them either directly
into their zoned schools or within a radius around
their zoned schools that would be easily
accessible for the parents, whether through bus
transportation or not. So we have looked at those
and we're more than happy to share that with you
in detail.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: You have a district by district breakout of--

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Interposing]
We looked at it by district, what we have is we
have there's roughly 7,400 students and they
believe only according to ACS only about half of
those would be matriculating into what the
kindergarten program, and we actually know that by
district at least where they're located in terms
of the residence and in terms of their centers.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Okay. I mean with all due respect to say that most folks don't want their kids to go to the kindergarten that's right in the neighborhood that they'd be zoned for

2.0

2.3

2	is	а	little	bit	troublesome	on	my	part	and	if
,	+ h a	+ 1	la the /	aa a a -						

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Interposing]
Oh no, what I meant is where they're zoned for is
fine, the issue was that some of these students,
their actual residence is not in the same area as
where the center is, and that was where the issue
was. That's why also a lot of the students don't
end up going into public school.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Okay. I would venture to say that the vast majority of folks would like their children to go to kindergarten where they're actually in the neighborhood and zoned for. So that's just...

The other issue is the collaborative teaching issue, Chancellor, in my schools one of the biggest problems is the fact that we don't have the seats to fill the collaborative teaching classrooms and so the question I guess really becomes how does that work with the funding and if we don't have the kids to fill in those particular classrooms, is there a mechanism by which we can turn those seats into the local school seats?

actually -- I was not disagreeing with you, I think

25

2.0

that where the needs are is really where folks
should be able to go to school. I guess my
question is, is there some sort of numbersand
this really isn't a budgetary issue as much as
just a need issuewhich show how many of the
collaborative teaching classes are not filled by
the folks in the community and those schools be
overcrowded, if there was some way that we might
be able to work with Department of Education on
those numbers?

Obviously don't have it, but I'd be happy to do

it. It's the growth in collaborative team

teaching and IEPs has gone up exponentially over

the last several years, and the equity issues of

which communities and which schools will be

something we'd be happy to work with you on.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Yeah, I just would like to talk about it because we do have folks being turned away from our elementary schools--

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: --with classroom space. Thank you very much.

2.0

2.3

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
Council Member. Council Member Gale Brewer of
Manhattan, and then we go back to Council Member

Diana Reyna of Brooklyn.

My question is on Title I, I know it was mentioned earlier, but I have a school, PS 75, that's very close to Title I, they're about 10 families away, which would make a huge difference. So my question is can it be expanded, not just free lunch but reduced lunch? And, number two, there are many families at this particular school who have lost jobs and so I would guess across the city this may be the same situation. So I'm wondering if you're looking at Title I differently 'cause it would draw down a huge amount of money and make all the difference in schools like PS 75.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: The answer is yes, and we are looking at that and the second answer though is we should also work together to make sure that the Title I restrictions don't drive inequities, and that's part of what I've asked the Council to do with respect to Albany.

But the answer to your question is, we will look

2	at the numbers and free and reduced and, depending
3	on where the budget finally ends up, we'll make
4	some changes on that to more equitably
5	[Crosstalk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
7	[Interposing] How does that work timing-wise when
8	you lose your job say January 2009? How does that
9	work in terms of Title I? Is it like looked at
10	monthly, yearly, how are the numbers derived?
11	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It's looked at
12	yearly, they fill out the forms in each fiscal
13	year, we do a big thing with the New York Jets to
14	get families to fill them out. This year, my
15	guess, is our schools will be quite vigilant but
16	we'll also lower thresholds, consider reduced
17	lunch, and make a
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
19	[Interposing] Right, PS 75 would love to get Title
20	I.
21	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: They should be
22	able to
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:I think they
25	should.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

Number two, I know that Council Member Oliver Koppell said correctly, no new ideas, but I want mental health funding in the schools, we've been talking about this with the Chairman now for a long time. Is there any list that it's a priority on? Obviously some schools have mental health, middle school and high schools, even elementary, lots don't. So two questions, one, are there any cuts in this area? And two, is there any discussion at least about trying to find three or \$6 million? Because I believe, and I think you do [off mic] that if you had quality culturally appropriate mental health services, the reduction in challenges in the classroom, even parental involvement, etc., would be much improved.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I don't think there are any cuts, I don't think there are additional dollars that are going into it. I do think there may be some opportunities in the grant programs to partner with community—and one of the things the Secretary is very interested in is these community partnerships where you have programs such as the kind of program you have with

\sim	\sim
ч	ч
_	_

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 99
2	Children's Aid or Turn Around or some of the
3	others and they
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
5	[Interposing] Well who's taking the lead on
6	community partnerships on mental health?
7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well who in my
8	department or
9	[Crosstalk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Dr. Platt?
11	Is it doctor
12	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well Dr. Platt
13	takes the mental health piece, but there are also
14	programs that are broader but Dr. Platt would do
15	that, Kathleen Grimm would be a person
16	[Crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
18	[Interposing] Right, I just wonder maybe we can
19	talk about it later, I would like to make that a
20	priority, because we can't do it without you and I
21	would love to try to get funding for that. We
22	need 6 million exactly.
23	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: All right,
24	great.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Arts

Education, I know it's to the credit of this Chair and also of the Chair of the Cultural Affairs, we've had a lot of discussions about this. It looks when you do the arts report card, it looks like we have more money than Project Arts ever did in the schools, but when you talk to the schools and even if you talk to others, it's not exactly clear. So I'm just wondering how are you looking at that issue of the arts funding? Is there more, is there less? Again, is this something that's being focused on as we go towards stimulus?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Yeah, there's clearly more, dozens of schools are always looking for more and they should, but there's clearly a lot more money being spent on the arts and one of the reasons we did arts counts is to highlight that. We just put together a program based on some private monies we had for those schools who were most efficient in terms of arts counts. What I want to be clear about is that this year, if we see the kind of budget cuts we're talking about, that could certainly affect the arts, but through this year, by and large, we've been growing the number of arts teachers and the overall

2.0

expenditures.

making sure, it was my understanding that there would never be less than what Project Arts had in its pot before it became part of the principal's budget. I thought that was a commitment.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We are, if
you're looking at the Project Arts numbers,
there's about 68 million or something in that, and
the overall numbers and, again I'm happy to get
the specifics, there are about 250 million for the
arts--arts teachers and other things. The Project
Arts money was mostly used for partnerships.
However, a lot of our schools have increased the
number of art teachers in the schools and that's
where the transfer has gone.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. So I think what the issue is to try to do again what you said earlier in addition to the teachers the partnerships are what the schools really, really appreciate because there's sustainable and that's something we need to work on.

And then just finally, the afterschool issue, I should know this but there was a

2	time when we were working with some of the Title I
3	schools on some of the private, I guess, for-
4	profit and then some of the nonprofits doing SES,
5	what's the status of that and are there other
6	programs that are taking their place? 'Cause it
7	always seemed to me that was a very well endowed
8	program, and I wasn't sure of the outcome.
9	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It is a well
10	endowed program, and there's a lot of SES money
11	and some of it goes to nonprofit organizations,
12	some to for-profit and
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
14	[Interposing] Could we get a breakdown on that?
15	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Line by line.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: With a
17	nameexactly, the name of the program, profit,
18	nonprofit, sent to the committee? That money
19	doesn'tit has to stay in the after-school, it
20	can't be used for anything else?
21	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It's got to stay
22	in SES, it's specific earmarked federal dollars.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And do we
24	have some kind of performance base in terms of
25	young people who have done well as a result of

25

Т	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 103
2	these fundings?
3	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well those
4	coursesthe answer is we don't have a formal
5	study, you know, obviously the federal government
6	says in order to get Title I, you got to devote
7	this amount of money and make it available in
8	every school in need of improvement, etc., etc
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right.
10	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:and so we do
11	its. Are there better and worse things to do with
12	those federal dollars?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes, there
14	are much
15	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Sure.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:better
17	things to do with those federal dollars.
18	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well then that's
19	an issue that has to be addressed to Washington.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Well
21	also, thank you very much, we'd like to see that
22	breakdown and have that further discussion.
23	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Be happy.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,

Council Member Brewer. Council Member Diana Reyna

2.0

of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. I just wanted to ask the Chancellor,

what is the total amount needed to avoid the

layoffs that you had predicted in your testimony

as far as 15,000?

the original budget we had a \$1.4 billion deficit and that was estimated to be about 14,000 teachers or overall employees, but a huge number. That number is no longer realistic. In order to eliminate all layoffs, and it's an approximate number because the schools will have some discretion, there'll be some attrition, but in order to eliminate all personnel layoffs, I would assume we need not just the 500 million--

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: --but probably another hundred or \$200 million to eliminate layoffs. There would still be cuts to OTPS, if we get the 500 million, Council Member Reyna, we would be down 500 million. If you say 8% of our schools budgets, which is about 640 million or something like that, is in OTPS, and then if you

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:

Right.

2	cut that in half, you'd have 320 million and the
3	other half would stay in OTPS and then you'd have
4	to cut about a hundred or \$120 million worth of
5	personnel and for school aides or family workers,
6	that's probably a couple thousand is what I'm
7	saying.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: It's fair to
9	say that from the state, at best, what you're
10	hearing is that 360 million is what you're going
11	to be seeing.
12	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: No, at worst.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: At worst.
14	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: The range is
15	362, about 505 million.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But you
17	really need beyond 500 million.
18	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: In order to
19	avoid all reductions in personneleither layoffs
20	or through the loss of personnel through
21	attritionwe need more than that 500 million and
22	I'm guessing it's not precise, because I don't
23	know exactly how this will play out, but another
24	hundred or \$120 million type of thing.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So a total

106
do
he
tate. at's deral
tate
be
ght it
it
is is

1	COMMITTEE	ON	EDUCATION

2 of about 700 million at best.

3 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: That would do

4 it.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: From the

6 state.

7 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: From the state.

8 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And that's

9 counting on an additional 500 million in federal

10 aid.

17

11 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well the state

12 passes it through to us, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right.

14 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: That would be

15 500 of the 700.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I thought it

was part of the stimulus.

18 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It is, but it

19 comes through the state.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: But this is

21 separate from the state 500 million that you're

22 requesting from the state.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Yes, an

24 additional 200 or 150 million, yes, to cover all

25 layoffs or all the attrition.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So the state					
3	would have to come up with an additional 200					
4	million in addition to the 500 million coming					
5	through the state from the federal government					
6	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Exactly.					
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:as well as					
8	the IDEA finding of 400 million.					
9	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: IDEA and Title I					
10	of 400 million, correct, correct.					
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And can you					
12	just describe your recommendations to Albany as to					
13	how you're going to be spending the federal aid to					
14	be used for pre-K to 12th grade education?					
15	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Our					
16	recommendations to Albany are we want to use the					
17	federal aid in order to prevent to the extent we					
18	humanly can layoffs in the system. Indeed, you					
19	probably have heard President Obama talk about how					
20	through the stimulus package we've been able to					
21	avoid the original 14 or 15,000 teachers, and					
22	that's, as I said, the numbers we're looking at					
23	now are much, much lower. So our recommendation					
24	to Albany has been to allow us to actually retain					
25	our personnel throughout the system and that would					

2.0

`	1		e	
4	שמ	our	LILSU	priority.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So that's at 100% retention is the expectation for the federal aid coming through the state.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: No, that's, again, maybe I'm just not being clear.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Okay.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: If we get the 500 million, we anticipate no teacher reduction, we still anticipate some staff.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Staff,
13 right.

14 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: If we had
15 another 150 or so, I think we could protect all
16 the staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And that's just a separate ask to the state.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: That's correct, although so far there's no indication they're considering that. What I'm really trying to engage this Council on is to make that's between 362 and 505, we get 505. If we get more, that would be great, but what I understand the range right now is 362 to 505.

wanted that clarification, so there's 5-year-olds, a total of 7,400 in child care ACS centers. But there is 3,500 or 3,400 is what ACS has expressed to me in a hearing we had just last week of 5-year-olds that the Department of Education is going to

24

25

2.0

2.3

absorb.	And has ACS	or has DOE	request	ed
informati	on concernir	ng 5-year-d	olds that	ACS does
not proce	ss through i	its system	that are	private
slots in	daycare cent	ters?		

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Can you clarify that a bit?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So in child based childcare centers that are community-based, there are slots that are reserved for private pay families. According to ACS, they have no responsibility for those students. Those 5-year-olds may or may not fall off the chart and that particular category is not being communicated to DOE. Has DOE made an attempt to understand the private pay slots of the 5-year-olds and how many are in child care community-based centers because they may be an additional amount of students that you may have to absorb?

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: The information that we requested from ACS was all 5-year-olds that would potentially attend the public schools, that was what we requested. I will go back and confirm that they included both sets of children.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you, I
3	appreciate that. It is very important because
4	there could be a few hundred in that category
5	considering we haven't been successful in Albany
6	to increase the eligibility requirement. So
7	parents who, by \$1 are not qualifying for child
8	care
9	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Interposing]
10	Right, we were aware of that, so I will go back
11	and check, but we did
12	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you
13	very much.
14	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS:ask for
15	all.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I appreciate
17	it.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
19	Council Member Reyna, I appreciate it.
20	We've been joined by Council Member
21	Bill de Blasio of Brooklyn and he has a question.
22	Council Member de Blasio.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Thank
24	you, Mr. Chairman.
25	Chancellor, looking at your

testimony today I want to make sure I understand.
We are united with you, obviously, in fighting for
the federal and state aid we deserve. I would say
that you and the Administration gave us all a
scare a few weeks back by talking about a very
substantial number of layoffs. Now I've heard
your exchange with Council Member Reyna where I
hear you saying there are scenarios of no layoffs,
there are scenarios where there's some layoffs but
not teacher layoffs, and there's still scenarios
where there could be substantial teacher layoffs
as you say in page 3 of your testimony level that
could affect literally every school. So we don't
know where we are on that progression, is that
still a true statement?
CHANCELLOR KLEIN: It is, and just
so we're clear, the teacher layoff number
[Off mic]
CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I'm sorry. The
teacher layoff number, it depends in part on
whether we get 500 or 360 that's that. And then
there are additional, what we talked about school
aid and family workers

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: --that will also 3 be affected.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: So we all agree that the common challenge is to push federal government and state government. Chancellor, again, I need to say as a public school parent, I think a lot of us were shocked when we heard even the notion, even the musing about thousands of potential teacher layoffs. So I am very happy to see something that seems much more calibrated today. I think you can appreciate what that meant to public school parents to hear numbers like that. I see this as a stepping back from that and I appreciate that. Obviously in your testimony you're indicating any number of things could happen. Do you feel you have done all you could to reduce the non--teaching elements of your budget so that your cuts have been made sufficiently in administrative areas, in public relations, in community relations, and all of the areas that are much less important than front-line teaching?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I do, and I believe we will try to make some more cuts, but

they will not have a material impact on the overall budget picture. In other words, we will try to cut back in various areas, we have made cutbacks in those areas and the overwhelming cost of non-school things, the overwhelming portion of that is things like human resource work, payroll, technology for the schools, and so forth, but I am always looking to cut other things. And the point I made earlier was this year alone, we cut 8% of our positions outside the schools, that's approximately 500 positions.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Okay.

And I know some folks on your team don't agree with the report that I've come out with today, but I do want to say we heard your concern and what we still hear from your team is there's 23 full-time people doing public affairs and community relations for the department, a number of whom are doing media relations. I'm not against media relations, I know some of its necessary, but, again, if we're talking about teacher layoffs, I think it's unacceptable to have that large of staff focused on that type of work, and as you and I have discussed before in these hearings, I think

we need to look at our testing programs and our computer systems—all of the areas where there could be reasonable debate about taking a few steps back to achieve cost savings, rather than taking teachers out of the classrooms. And I feel like when we're in flush times, I could at least understand abstractly how you wanted to pursue what you saw as the right program for the schools even if it was costly, but we're in times like this where a lot is hanging in the balance, I would think you would be the first to say okay, some of the things that you care about are not as essential as classroom teaching and you're willing to give a hard look to those things as well.

agree with you, I just make the point, Mr. de
Blasio, that the President of the United States
actually focused in his speech precisely on the
kind of data systems and I think these are highly
valuable because the teachers need the data in
order to figure out the strengths of our students
and the areas that they need remediation. And I
was struck by the fact that the Secretary of
Education came here to focus on our data system

and President Obama said far too states and school districts have the kind of data systems that enable us to make sure that our students and teachers are getting the right information, and I expect we will get additional federal monies to actually enhance our systems, that's my expectation. So I don't think that that's prudent to cut, but we will look at--we've already cut a number of assessments, we'll look at other things in that area, just like you said, and, like you, I certainly hope we don't have to cut any teachers.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Well,

Chancellor, just to finish, I appreciate your debating skill because what you said there was assessment matters, accountability matters, and you know we all agree with that, but that's not what I'm saying. I'm saying that there is a level of degree in all things and I think you would agree that you have chosen to take assessment to a higher level well beyond that, obviously, as required federally, and some of that's been helpful and maybe some of that is debatable, but most importantly it all costs money. And I guess I'm perplexed by the notion that somehow an

assessment is more valuable than front-line teaching. I would think the way we look at this is we first secure our teaching staff so that our kids are served and then, of course, if we can do more assessment on top of that, that's great. a lot of people in this town have questions about the level of assessment we're at as a matter of philosophy, as a matter of effectiveness. Certainly that discussion comes into a different perspective when there's a budget crisis, because it all costs money. So are you willing to not have any sacred cows here and say even though you'd like to do a certain level of assessment, if it's too costly and you might lose teachers, you're willing to look at that?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Absolutely, we already—I just want to point out we're not only willing to say, we've already done it. We have cut assessments, we have cut other costs in the system. The point that I was trying to make, not to debate the philosophy of it, is that one of the reasons for so long I believe we've got so many poor results in this city was because we weren't effectively assessing the strengths and weaknesses

2	of our students and what I think President Obama
3	said literally was that they're going to put
4	additional federal monies into enhancing these
5	data systems so that teachers will have the
6	information and we're going to apply for that.
7	But let me be clear, there are no sacred cows,
8	everything has to be looked at.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Thank
10	you, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
12	Chancellor, I just have some questions and can try
13	to wrap up in about five minutes if I can.
14	Contract for Excellence, schools
15	were allocated about \$84 million at 35% of their
16	discretionary C for E funds to class size
17	reduction efforts, yet overall class sizes went up
18	this year. Can you please explain why the C for E
19	class size reduction funding has not lowered class
20	sizes?
21	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I think this
22	year, as you know, there was some cuts in the
23	overall budgets of our schools that we had to put
24	in place and so I think some of the growth was

offset. We are analyzing this on a school by

2.0

school basis and figuring it out because it's very hard when you just look at the overall numbers when somebody says it went up two-tenths of a point, that's usually not a particularly meaningful number in this context, but we are analyzing it and working with the state. I don't have a complete answer, but what I do know is overall our budgets went down and purchasing power at our schools last year was part of the PEG or cuts that we talked about before.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So what would the impact of the budget cuts and teacher layoffs included in the January plan have on class-size if that occurs? If the January plan goes into effect, what impact would that have on class-size, in your opinion?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Without the federal stimulus, it would have been an enormous, but we will, thank goodness, have that stimulus. The conversation I think is clear in the following sense: if we get the 500 million, we don't expect to lose any teachers, so that should mean the class-size won't grow. It could grow at a particular school, it's

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just the nature of that, but overall, if we have the same number of students in a school system was slightly declining enrollment, we should be able to maintain overall class-size.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now with the proposal to move approximately 3,000 children from day care into the system, because mind you, the normal increase in kindergarten students would occur plus now the transfer of about 3,500 more and I was at a meeting in a school in my district, PS 173, where the parent leader said that kindergarten registration is up 150%, 50% more than the year before and they're talking about putting another school in there and they're saying they need their classes for their own kids that are coming in as a result of this day care situation and so forth and so on. So, I don't understand would it clearly be an increase, in your opinion, with that day care situation, assuming it goes through, would there clearly be an increase, in your opinion, in kindergarten class registration compared to the rest of the system?

25 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Yes.

2	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. And
3	what changes has the Department of Education, you
4	as the Chancellor, or the Administration under
5	Mayor Bloomberg asked Albany to make to the
6	Contract for Excellence regulations, if any at
7	all?
8	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We've asked them
9	to make several which is to notthe supplantation
10	issue, which is the example I gave at the end of
11	my testimony, we've asked them to change that. So
12	if in fact, you've paid for a coach or a mentor
13	and you then shouldn't have to remove the teacher.
14	Second thing we've done is give us
15	flexibility on which schools are eligible for
16	Contract for Excellence and that's because, if you
17	look at Title I and you look at other things,

certain schools get a lot of that money and also a
lot of Contract for Excellence money. So those
other restrictions we've asked for leniency on or

21 removal.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Under special ed, if you don't mind, Chancellor, DOE recently announced some changes to its central offices, including those that provide special

education services, and this was communicated at
Education and Community Oversight hearing on
special ed that information, now the preliminary
budget includes a \$2.1 million program to
eliminate the gap related to the committee on
special education, the budget also moves about \$24
million into PS, Personal Service, budget from
centrally provided special ed and related
services. Can you explain why the \$2.1 million
PEG called Streamline CSE process was included in
the budget and how much does the Department of
Education currently spend on CSE? Meaning the
committee on special education?

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: So the cut was made because we had to make cuts and we thought we could reduce the number, the overall number for committee on special ed is--

MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: They're over-I'm sorry, there are over 650 employees, if you
will, in the CSEs and the CSE is largely employee
headcount, so it's about 650 folks there so you're
talking about close to \$100 million I would say on
CSE.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: 100 million

2	Units of Appropriations 423 it has that increase
3	and so we want to know whether or not do you
4	[Crosstalk]
5	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: [Interposing]
6	Yes, it will, it should be and to the extent that
7	we have in-house providers, there will be an
8	increase. We have dramatically increasing special
9	ed needs here.
LO	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And how much
11	about \$24 million that equates as far as PS then?
L2	MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: I'll have to
L3	get back to you with that number.
L4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
15	Generally, special education spending and services
L6	levels have continued to rise overall. Can you
L7	please explain to us why is that on a continuous
L8	rise when everything else is being cut?
L9	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: This is an
20	important question and let me say one of the
21	reasons why is special ed is mandated driven.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Mandated by
23	whom? Federal government?
24	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Mandated by
25	federal government state government and so what

2	happens is we don't control the processes, so for
3	example, if the IEP, as I was saying before, if
4	the IEP requires a collaborative team teaching
5	we've got to open up
6	[Crosstalk]
7	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing]
8	Or occupational services or
9	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Or occupational-
10	_
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:speech
12	services.
13	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:or speech or
14	the Carter case, whatever. And this is a very
15	important issue is that, since we don't have the
16	same discretion with respect to special ed
17	students, then it's harder for us to figure out
18	ways to cut in that area. And that means in the
19	general education programs they absorb larger
20	cuts. So that's been an issue and working with
21	the state education department on some mandate
22	relief, there's a lot of mandates in here that I
23	think really do need relieving and, not to take
24	away services

25 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Right.

2	CHANCELLOR KLEIN:there's a
3	tremendous amount of documentation and compliance.
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And that's
5	what I was going to ask you, are there any areas
6	in special education where additional efficiencies
7	can be sought besides like, you know, obviously,
8	if you're mandated to, under some child's IEP for
9	speech services or, you know, occupational
10	therapy, is there any other areas that you can
11	look at? And since you're looking at every area
12	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We are.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:and we're
14	even talking about cuts to the schools, you know,
15	I'm just asking questions about areas where I see
16	increases occurring, such as special education.
17	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We are, but, as
18	I think you and I would both agree, we want to
19	make cuts without impairing the services and
20	that's what we're doing, we have a committee
21	headed by Garth Harries is working directly under
22	Marsha Lyles in that area.
23	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And can you
24	explain what if any budgetary impact a new special
25	education computer tracking system will have

2.0

2	overall	as	far	as	saving	money	or	does	it	cost
3	addition	ıal	mone	ev?						

additional money to build and we needed to do it for a whole host of reasons, over time it's to save money because it'll make our operations more efficient. One of the things I'm looking at is how far we have to bus so many of our special ed and whether we could figure out better ways to do that. Second of all, how much placement movement there is, all of which costs money in the system when you to keep moving people. So those are all issues in this computer system, which, quite frankly, was long overdue, will in the future make our operation more efficient and therefore save us some money.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I have two more areas, but we've been joined by two additional colleagues, David Yassky of Brooklyn and--

FEMALE VOICE 2: Jessica Lappin.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --Jessica

Lappin of Manhattan and they have questions, I'm going to turn to them and then I'm going to come

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2	hack	tο	myself,	if	V011	don'	+	mind
4	Dack	$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}$	my	, 土上	you	aon	L	millia.

3 CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Sure.

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Jessica

5 Lappin of Manhattan first, and then Council Member
6 Vacabu of Brooklyn

6 Yassky of Brooklyn.

7 COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you,

8 Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, Chancellor.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Good afternoon.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I wanted to talk to you about—take this opportunity today an issue that has come up over the last week or so with schools in Manhattan, and in particular in my district at PS 290, where schools are capping enrollment and putting children on waiting lists for their locally zoned schools. And I want to understand how we got to this point, and how it could be that principals are empowered to send out letters to put children on waiting lists and essentially hold their own lottery when there is no plan in place for the families that are then put on these waiting lists.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well there needs to be a plan in place and we are going to devise a

plan for all families and start to give them options. The reason there is a waiting list is simply because 290 has really been so well attended and there are lots of students and we don't have room to add as many students as people would like, but we will over the next month be working with those families to say some of those families will get in because the people, the students who are on the wait list will go to gifted and talented programs, other of those families who are far down on the waiting lists are unlikely perhaps to get in and we're going to give them their options and we're doing that on a per family basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I mean, I guess I'd like to know what the options are and it's not just PS 290, I mean that's the one that's sort of easy to point to, but there's another school that's about to do a lottery, there is another school on the East side that did one, there are other schools that were very close, and I understand there are schools on the West side that have done this as well, but all of the schools are overcrowded in the area, so it's not

2.0

2	as if there's room 10 blocks or 20 blocks away for
3	these families to go. So what are the options?
4	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well, again,
5	some of those students will end up in gifted and
6	talented, we are looking at exactly where there's
7	space for those youngsters and we can onlyyou
8	know, some of them will be have to be bussed a
9	little further and we'll have to do that, but
10	that's what
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:
12	[Interposing] Do you provide busing to gifted and
13	talented programs?
14	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Not to gifted
15	and talented program, although we
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. So
17	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: No, no, no,
18	that's not right, actually, we do, we do, no, no,

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: No, no, no, that's not right, actually, we do, we do, no, no, we do--we provide busing within the borough, so that's not going to be a challenge, and there are a fair number of those students who will go to G&T programs whether Tag or at Anderson. But what we're doing is just what, I think we all would want to be done, is looking at where there are placements available and saying to the family we

2.0

2.3

2	think a lot of these waiting list will actually				
3	open up and that's what's happened in the past,				
4	but we will give them options sooner and they can				
5	make the decisions.				
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: You know,				

I'm very frustrated because the first time we met
I brought this up with you that we were facing
this overcrowding three years ago at PS 290 in
particular and at other schools on the East side.
So this didn't pop up overnight, we saw this
coming, and it's, frankly, it's been unsafe in
that building for a couple of years and I
understand the principal has this challenge and,
by the way, all these kids are just in
kindergarten now, but they're going to grow
through the system, I hope, and then we're not
going to have space for them in first and second
and third grades.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well one of the things we got to stop at 290 and elsewhere is stop admitting students from out of the catchment area.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: We stopped that a long time ago--

[Crosstalk]

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:there's			
3	no sibling policy at that school, I mean all of			
4	those things we did a long time ago. And so I'm			
5	very frustrated and I'm a believer in mayoral			
6	control, I think it's a very important and			
7	positive thing, but you have decentralized to the			
8	point where these principal are acting like			
9	they're sort of islands onto themselves and that's			
10	not what happens, and these schools are so close			
11	together, and there's no communication amongst			
12	them, and there's no room in any of the			
13	neighboring schools for these kids. So we need to			
14	build a new school, which I know we're working on-			
15	_			
16	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: We're doing it.			
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:for PS 151			
18	but I'm just I really don't understand how a			
19	system could be put in place where there really is			
20	no plan in place. I hear what you're saying, but			
21	it's let's wait and see, let's hope that spots			
22	open up, let's hope it resolves itself, we'll keep			
23	our fingers crossed, and if not then we'll come up			
24	with some other option.			

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: No, no, that's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not what I'm saying, actually, it's just very [off mic], what we're doing is much more proactive, what we're doing is doing the analysis, so it's not about the principal, it's about us, and we're doing the analysis and we're going to say to parents one of three things: either we can expand the number in the school and in which case those people on a list will get in; second thing is we're not sure that there will be an opportunity, here's an alternative opportunity and you can take that, it may not be your first choice, but you can take that, or right now and then your child will go to this school and that'll be that; or you can continue to wait. At some point, if you wait, you may still have to take another opportunity but we're going to give that information to parents much sooner, so that some of them will take that opportunity.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. I know my time is up and I'm going to end because I could go on for a long time, but I don't think families should be in this spot in the first place. They're supposed to be able to send their kid to their locally zoned school that they live

very close to and that's, in fact, you know, not your philosophy when it comes to high school, but certainly the foundation of the system for elementary school and whatever ever options you're going to throw out there, I'm looking forward to seeing what they are because I can't figure out what they will be and they're not going to be, I would guess, things that are acceptable to these families, but I hope that we will have a new school in the neighborhood by September and that that will help us deal with this problem.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

Council Member Yassky of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY: Thank you.

A very briefly--good afternoon, Chancellor, but,
first of all, I have a question, but I just want
to echo what Council Member Lappin said. I mean,
I know that you can't just glibly say you have a
right to go to your neighborhood school because a
lot goes into making that possible, but I do think
that should be the foundational--one of the
foundational commitments on elementary school and
I was very concerned in my area, it looks like we
won't have people this year unable to go to their

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighborhood school for kindergarten, but that was the fear that was widespread throughout the district and where I represent and, you know, we could go--that could happen in my part to and I just think that should be a foundational commitments and I don't underestimate what it

[Crosstalk]

takes to live up to that but--

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: [Interposing] Wait, wait, there's only one way, I mean, let's focus on this for a second because I think it's very easy to say what you're saying except I don't control which children and which families move into a neighborhood, and in 290, for example, people moved there. Now that building was built long ago. So at some point if the building capacity is such that you simply, you know, you've converted non-classrooms into classrooms and so forth. The only solution to that is to rezone and families don't want that. But at some point there is simply no room at the school. The long-term solution which the Councilwoman mentioned is to build new schools, building new schools on the East side of Manhattan I can assure you is not

something one does without great challenge and we've done more than anybody starting down at 57th Street and we moved that school that Adele Schroeder [phonetic] was in. So the trouble is, of course, we want everybody to be able to go to their neighborhood school, but if you exceed the capacity, your only two options are building another school—but even that people want to go to 290, not a new school—or your second option is to rezone.

enough, and I want to ask my question but that's why I said, I don't, one could say it glibly, like everybody should have a right—and I don't want to say that because I understand and its neighborhood by neighborhood, it's each one has its own story.

I was very concerned at what happened at 321, 261, and PS 8 and each one has its own story of what you would need to do to make sure it didn't happen, I do get that. What I'm saying is when the, you know, when that kind of line was broached, that was frightening to me because I would rather see that everyone who works under you understand that that is a commitment that we're

25

2	going to live up to and we're going to figure out				
3	how to do and have to plan for two years down the				
4	road and you don't have control when all of a				
5	sudden people who either move in there or they				
6	live there and they decide we're going to				
7	[Crosstalk]				
8	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Have children?				
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY:school, or				
10	they're going to have children				
11	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Or not go to				
12	private school.				
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY: Or not go				
14	to private school, I do hear all that, I just,				
15	with all that, I would say I would hope that that				
16	could be one of the bottom line premises just like				
17	we're going to offer a place to every kid. So I				
18	just want to make that point.				
19	But I just want to ask 'cause I				
20	know we get one question, and this half a question				
21	or maybe just half a comment, I really do urge you				
22	to look at testing as a cost, you know, control				
23	opportunity and there's policy, you know, there's				

policy here of do you think the testing is the

right amount, too much, you know, not enough. But

even if the position is this test—that the level of testing is right, educationally, you know, we have to choose between things that are right educationally and rather than see, you know, art or music suffer or rather than see class size go up, I would urge you to look at that because at the very least leave some of the, you know, incremental test days up to principals to decide if they want to spend money on. And I just think that as you're making these very difficult decisions that would be a place that you could cut back.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Fair point.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,

Council Member. We've been joined by students

from PS 241, the family Academy in Harlem, they're

seventh graders with their social studies class

and welcome to a City Council hearing on the

preliminary budget for the Department of

Education, which in totality is about \$21 billion

and that includes debt service and pension. It's

about 17 1/2 billion for expenses so we're talking

about the preliminary budget and the impact that

it's going to have on schools.

25

2	Chancellor, concerning charter				
3	schools are paid at a per capita rate for each				
4	enrolled student by the Department Of Education.				
5	The state education department sets a rate based				
6	on the Department of Education spending on other				
7	public school students in prior years. In				
8	addition to the per student rate, how much does				
9	the Department of Education spend on charter				
LO	schools? If you can please itemize some things				
11	like in-kind support services as use of DOE				
12	buildings, building maintenance, training, or				
L3	professional development for charter school staff,				
L4	student testing scores, administrative support,				
15	and planning, basically in-kind services, how much				
L6	do you spend on that?				
L7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I don't have				
L8	that number, I'll be happy to supply it to the				
L9	committee though.				
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, in				
21	fact, I guess this is a preliminary for our				
22	hearing on April 6 on charter schools. I'm trying				
23	to understand overall, especially since we're				

cutting back, are there cuts also to the amount of

money you're spending on charter schools in a

Concerning school safety, I know we talked about

every area and we touched base on that before, but

I'm looking at our numbers and a course year after

23

24

25

it--

25

year for school safety has gone up. And clearly					
safety and security is very important to all of					
us, whether in our neighborhoods or in our					
schools, and I understand that youmeaning you,					
the Department of Educationyou basically write a					
check to NYPD for school safety. But what is					
being done to reduce the cost in that area since					
we're looking at every single area, are you					
looking at cutting back in that area to the same					
extent that you're cutting back in the schools and					
other than school services and is there a dialogue					
taking place between you and NYPD or are you					
telling them that listen, I'm cutting your budget					
by 10% and you've got to live with that? Do you					
have that authority to do that and what is being					
done overall?					
[Off mic]					
CHANCELLOR KLEIN: They took it					
[off mic], but there was a cut.					
MS. ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: We didn't					
cut					
[Pause]					
CHANCELLOR KLEIN: They didn't cut					

2.0

2.3

2		MS.	ANAGNOSTOPOULOS:	We just
3	didn't have to	cut	our budget.	

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Oh, okay, so basically what my colleague is saying is, there was a cut, but the OMB decided that there shouldn't be and so they covered the cost, so it wasn't an additional cost to us. In other words, this basically came through OMB, they took it out of the PEG, in the original PEG there was something like a 10 million cut, OMB restored the \$10 million.

And ultimately OMB has the final say on this.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Well

I'm going to be asking them just like I'm asking
you because since we're dealing with two agencies,
the NYPD and DOE and overall OMB, those
appropriate questions I'm going to be asking,
because clearly if we're asking about parent
coordinators and how much it costs overall and how
many are there, clearly if we're talking about
special education and whether or not you can find
efficiencies there and especially if they're
mandated by federal government and we're looking

2.0

2.3

at all areas, then we should also look at the cost
factor that is constantly rising and efficiencies
in that area. So I would appreciate if we could
press them more

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --as far as to reduce.

But we've been joined by colleague

James Sanders, Jr., of Queens and our colleague-oh, Eric Gioia of Queens. And let me turn to

Jessica Lappin for follow-up, and then we're going
to turn to Council Member Gioia. And I know you
got to leave.

Just because I feel very passionately about this and you said that you don't control the number of people who move into that area. And I know you personally don't, but the Buildings Department and the city of Planning department have absolutely issued too many permits and there has been too much development without the kind of infrastructure that we need to support it. So while you don't, the Administration does, and this is something that I've been talking about with you

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and with Deputy Mayor Walcott for three years. So this is not something that has popped up overnight, and ultimately the reason I like mayoral control is because the buck does stop with And part of my frustration is there is nobody for parents to call--they can't call you and they can talk to the principal because the principal doesn't have a plan in place, so they call me and I don't know what to tell them, other than that you are going to hope that gifted and talented seats make the difference and that there will be room for them, if not you're going to come up with some other options. And I just find that to be more than frustrating, but unacceptable and we have made some progress to finding temporary location for a new school this fall, but we do not have a location for a long-term solution, despite the fact that we have been pushing you for years to deal with that. And so when you say that a school doesn't happen overnight, I agree, but it isn't overnight that we're talking about here. And I and Council Member Garodnick last weekend ourselves led a search party to try and find locations to send to you and to your real estate

department and we're getting sort of sick and tired of having to do that. And I still don't see a long-term plan in place to build a new school in that area to relieve that overcrowding, unless there's something I don't know about.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well it is something, you're right, that we need to address. My only point before was that it's not something that is remediable overnight, meaning I know you've been talking about this, but you can't build a school when you can't site it and you can't lease space and we've been aggressively looking for that, it's not like we haven't paid attention to it.

The other point to make which is anybody who is writing to you, I think then you should refer to them either directly to me or to the Office of Student Placement in the department and we will tell them what is going to go forward in terms of when they will receive options and so forth and obviously if we could lease space, we would be glad to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And I hope that they--you know, it took me two days to get a

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 146
2	call back from Ms. Shabara [phonetic], I'm still
3	waiting three days to hear back from Deputy Mayor
4	Walcott. So if send parents to you and your
5	office, I would hope that they will get a reply
6	promptly.
7	CHANCELLOR KLEIN: I will see to
8	it.
9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
10	Council Member. And we're going to turn to Eric
11	Gioia and then you're out of here, Chancellor, let
12	me just thank you for your patience.
13	But before I turn to my colleague,
14	there was one quick question. When you talked
15	about the non-teaching staff that may be impacted
16	with layoffs, and I know that this is a huge
17	concern for DC 37 that represents a lot of members
18	in the non-teaching staff in the Department of

equivalents, because many of the employees are 21

Education, are you talking about actual 3,000

bodies or are you talking about the full-time

part time which could mean more than 3,000 22

23 employees.

19

20

24

25

I'm talking CHANCELLOR KLEIN: about approximately 3,000 full-time equivalents--

because, you know, when it's time for a bank

bailout, right? We talk about in the federal

24

25

government, we say, well gosh it sounds like a lot of money, but if we don't do this, it's going to be far worse for a generation of Americans. When we talk about the MTA we say, you know, this is a bitter pill to swallow, but if we don't do this, it'll be far more difficult to get to work. But we're talking about right now certainly 140 million extra and 500 million, which I think there is broad agreement on in this room today, but it seems to me we should be asking for far more and getting far more and having a frank discussion, not just with the legislature in Albany, but with New Yorkers.

I remember about a decade ago when there was a proposal called Safe Streets, Safe Cities where no one likes to pay more in taxes, but there was a dialogue with New York City where we said, if you pay more you will get this and your city will be safer, and I think New Yorkers were happy to pay that and they got safer streets. I know, and I'm speaking to parents almost every night, when you speak to real people, as I know you do with frequency, they tell you the sacrifices they make for their kids everyday—the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bills that they'll pay next month instead of this month so they can get a new pair of sneakers or put more food on the table. We are talking about today and they are talking about in Albany a generation of kids who we are in danger of failing if we don't get the appropriate resources to fund these schools, the teachers, and all the resources. And so I just ask you what else can we do to get that message across that this isn't just \$140 million more we need, we need a significant investment because I mean, as you know, I think there are--what is the number 150,000 disconnected youth, 18 to 25-year-olds without a degree, without much hope, without a job, that will only get worse unless Albany does right by us and gives us the resources we need to educate our kids.

CHANCELLOR KLEIN: Well we've certainly asked for it, the state education department has asked for it, and I would urge you to join us. As you say, that first 140 million is critical, but certainly we shouldn't stop there, we should continue to try to get more resources, there's no question. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,

of DC 37, but first we hear from President Randi

24

25

Weingarten.

MR. RANDI WEINGARTEN: Thank you, Chair Jackson. I was actually in the middle of negotiations with the city of New York on our health benefit negotiations down at the Sanitation Union a few minutes ago until I heard what the Chancellor said and I decided I was going to give my testimony myself, as opposed to having a surrogate give it because of what I understand has just transpired.

The reason I tell you that is because obviously--closer? Closer, farther, closer. The reason I tell you that is because obviously both my union, as well as all the other unions in the city, are very engaged in understanding the cataclysmic circumstances we find ourselves under, which is the worst recession since the Great Depression. And New York City is not the only city suffering, people are suffering all across the country.

So I actually want to start with something I heard that is really disturbing to me, which is this: the Mayor of the city of New York and I, and you know we don't always agree, we were up in Albany yesterday together going to see all

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the leadership, including Dean Skelos, the governor, Speaker Silver, Majority Leader Smith, in sync talking about what we needed and talking about what we needed from the stimulus package and talking about what we needed in terms of additional resources and we did that together. didn't threaten about layoffs or anything else, we just said in order to ensure no erosion, and in order to make sure--you know, the same arguments that the Chancellor made here today, but without the edge. With cup in hand, the two of us together, just like we did with cup in hand the two of us together when we were down in Washington, where my national union, by the way, has done since October has made getting a stimulus package with education in the forefront as one of our major priorities, as important a priority as getting President Barack Obama elected, and the Mayor to his credit and I don't, as I said, don't always complements him, ended up doing a very effective job, particularly with people that I virtually never talk to. That's what we were doing together.

So you can actually imagine my

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

surprise today when, you know, the first thing the Chancellor does is the Chancellor is not out there on the streets like you all were on March 5th with 70,000 other folks talking about the safety net and with the people talking about the safety net.

Instead one more time he says if we don't get this, these are the kinds of layoffs or the kinds of cuts in services.

Now I understand that's part of his job, but this is what disturbs me, because at the end of the day if we don't get everything that we need, there are going to be painful decisions that get made. That's part of the reason I said to you that this morning I am at the sanitation union talking about, you know identifying savings in terms of health care. But when the Chancellor of the city of New York school system says but what is sacrosanct is a data system--not the state testing system, not the federal nate [phonetic] system, but a New York City data system is more sacrosanct than services to kids? When their parents may be laid off or their homes foreclosed? Or services in terms of classrooms? That a data system is more sacrosanct than teaching?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

holding other people accountable is more sacrosanct than what we do in classrooms and in schools? That, my friends, is a misplaced priority. That, I don't understand.

In December, we gave the Chancellor, and we put some of that in our testimony as well, about \$1 billion worth of cuts, hard things, things that are not easy, including things that affect my member's pockets, such as the new school based bonus program, which I think is a good program, I know its performance pay, but I think it has promoted collaboration. We said if times are really this tough, we need to cut things that we may like, but are not core. We said, you have to have a hiring freeze, yes, that's going to create a problem in terms of class size, but if times are really tough and unemployment in this city is over whatever it is right now, 5, 10%, then you don't put more people on the street, you don't lay people off, whether it be teachers or paras or school aides, where are they going to get jobs if times are really tough? If times are really tough, you do a retirement incentive so that you can actually save cash and can actually

maintain class size by hiring or retaining two new teachers for every senior teacher you replace. If times are really tough, there were about two or \$300 million, and it's all in my testimony, two, \$300 million of administrative costs that you the school system should do.

But to say today that we need this money, which we really do and which we fought hard to get and which the president of the United

States of America deserves every bit of props we can give him as does Senator Schumer, as does

Congressmen Rangel and our whole delegation for passing a stimulus that had over \$100 billion in it for education—first time ever, a lifeline in terms of this.

So, yes, we need to work together to get these funds from Albany and we are doing it. I've been in Albany three times in the last seven days or eight days. But when times are tough, everybody's priorities need--every adult's priorities need to take second place to ensuring that New Yorkers have jobs, but most importantly ensuring that our kids have the nurturing and the safety and the teaching and learning conditions

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they need. That, to me, is our bottom line.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I mean you're so emotional and passionate about this, and let me ask you, why are you so emotional and passionate about this? I mean, because the Chancellor-
MS. WEINGARTEN: Because I had my

Wheaties today.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --was not as emotional as you, and so I'm just asking why are you so emotional in your statements about this?

MS. WEINGARTEN: Because I've spent my life's work doing education in the city of New York and ultimately have watched the reason one of the main arguments that we used successfully in Washington to even get the stimulus package was the fact of what happened in the 70s fiscal crisis This city school system in the 70s, the 80s, and the 90s, was an under-funded school system. I remember teaching in the school system in the early 90s, sometimes we had to scavenge for chalk, we used to talk all the time about leaky roofs, about exposed wiring, the UFT sued the school system for a warrant of habitability because the schools were in such bad shape. The

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

textbooks, when we had them sometimes would say even in the early 90s John F. Kennedy was the President of the United States. Now it would have been great if John F. Kennedy was always the President of the United States of America, but it wasn't actually true in 1991. So the point is we've, you know, we've had so many years of such under resource starvation, that's part of why you brought that lawsuit 13-14 years ago and walked up to Albany. Another not passionate person. when you see at this end where we're going to have to make tough choices and it's a we, and you see somebody put out there, no, his particular data system trumps everything else, what does that say about what we're doing for children?

That's my anger here and I am sure it will be redefined as Weingarten is anti-test, I am not anti-test. I just called for a national basis, better assessments, national standards, the curriculum to do that, testing is a very important piece of teaching and learning, but testing does not trump teaching. You have to teach before you measure, you have to help kids before you measure. And so ultimately we are in the thick of it--I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean the rest of it is in my testimony, we are in the thick of it. We are trying very desperately to get what we need from Albany, you were a tremendous help, all of you were at the rally on March 5th, we're trying to get a progressive income tax, we're trying to get both our fair share of the stimulus package and make sure that the stimulus package is spent wisely. We got that stimulus package from Washington, but at the end of the day if we don't get everything we need from Albany, and we don't then also get everything we need from the Council and from the Mayor in their negotiations and your negotiations, given how bad the circumstances are, there's going to be hard choices. And we suggest in here what some of those hard choices are--you deal with a retirement incentive, you start looking at very, very carefully what we need to protect core services. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well you may have been here when I was asking him about other areas like school safety has been increased over the years and, you know, what can be done in order to save money there, I talked about special

2	education, I talked about every area, because as
3	you said, when you're dealing in these tough
4	times, I don't know whether or not any area should
5	not be touched. And we asked him, the Chancellor,
6	what we should be doing up in Albany. Let me ask
7	you as a president of a union that representshow
8	many people do represent in New York City?
9	MS. WEINGARTEN: 200,000.
10	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: 200,000?
11	MS. WEINGARTEN: Between the people
12	at the Board of Education itself, which is still
13	the legal name for it, it's about 110,000, so
14	about 50,000 retirees and then we represent 30,000
15	childcare providers and various other groups of
16	people.
17	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: What do you
18	feel we should be doing as members of the City
19	Council and the City Council as a whole regarding
20	the Department of Education's budget?
21	MS. WEINGARTEN: Look, I think that
22	this, it is we do need funds from Albany and we do
23	need the Chancellor today said 500 million, when

this, it is we do need funds from Albany and we do
need the Chancellor today said 500 million, when
we were in Albany yesterday we talked about 500 to
700 million, we do need funds from Albany, I think

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those are legitimate numbers in terms of making sure that there is no erosion, and I think what the president of the United States did was to say that, given how the -- so I'm going to put the words in his mouth as opposed to mine--given that the economy and education are so tied together, if we pull the rug from under kids right now, we're never going to recover from it and kids don't-you've heard me say this before, I don't mean to be this rhetorical right now about this but, you know, what makes it right to hurt a kid who happens to be a 5-year-old today versus a 5-yearold five years ago when Wall Street was booming? They didn't create this crisis and we have to create a way that we insulate them from this crisis and I think and that's why I give great applause to the president who can print money for creating, you know, that 700-\$800 billion bill, which had this which had 100 billion for stimulus. Now I also believe, even though

Now I also believe, even though some of the things that the president wants in terms of performance pay and other kinds of things, those are tough subjects, but it is part of a march towards assuming or assuring that every

kid gets a decent shot at life and I have talked
to members both in New York City as well as around
the country about having to engage in
conversations that may be uncomfortable for us.
Having said all that, even in terms of charter
schools, we have to be very carefuland we run
two charter schools and are involved in a third
onewe have to be very, very careful about not
having charter schools have more of a priority in
this city than public schools. So I will give you
an example, I was at a great public school today
in Manhattan, I think in Gale's district, 185, I
think it was. It is a great early childhood
center, it would be fantastic to make it the early
childhood center of Harlem instead of giving half
that building to a new charter school. We need
early childhood spots, so I don't understand the
choices there in terms of not expanding an early
childhood center in Harlem that's doing quite
well.

So you have a lot of priorities that we need to address and, as I said here, some of them, if, God forbid, we get to a situation where we don't get the exact amount of money we

need, where you don't do what you all did last
year, which was move heaven and earth to make sure
the core services were protected, even though some
of the things we need like teachers choice was
reduced, you know how hard that was for us,
because teachers dug into their pockets more, they
still provided the supplies for kids, they just
dug into their pockets more. They effectively
took a pay cut to do that because they want to,
but you made choices last year and the choices you
made were to make sure there was a priority on
children. We're getting into that situation again
this year. If we can't get to the exact number we
need to assume no erosion, then that's where tough
choices have to be made and, as I said, we put
out, and we will get you the letter in December,
something that amounted to about \$1 billion worth
of savings. Some of it people would say we're,
you know, I don't think any of it was bogus, some
of it other people might say it was, but it was
our attempt to show there was a better way.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

Let me turn to our colleagues Council Member Lou Fidler and then Council Member James.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

$^{\circ}$	COUNCIL		DIDI DD.	7.7
,	('() (')	MHIMHH	FIDLER:	Madam
	COUNCIL		T TDDDIN •	Madaiii

President, first let me tell you I feel your pain-

MS. WEINGARTEN: I know you do.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: --and I also appreciate your sacrifice. I've been to Albany, having to go there three times in seven days is an awful sacrifice. I really do.

I want to mention something else to you that the Chancellor said and then also mention something to you that the Chancellor didn't say while you were here. A number of us questioned the Chancellor about the plan to move 5-year-olds from ACS to DOE. At first, in a moment of surprising candor, the Chancellor admitted that by saying that there was no cost to adding 3,500 new kindergarteners to the system, that that was, in effect, a cut to the classroom and then he quickly backpedaled and said that there was no incremental cost to it because they had the space to observe them and likened it to moving a family moving from a two-bedroom to a one-bedroom apartment and the kids having to share the bedroom. And what he was basically saying is it fits right into what you

were talking about, which is we all know, I mean, I'm sure the Chancellor knows, I know you know, that one of the main factors in, you know, improving quality education is reducing class size, and so he was basically saying it's not a problem, I would increase class size. And that kind of dovetails into what you're saying is that, you know, they continue to ignore the things that we all know and he knows in his heart he's got to know, I think he's got one, that that work and then he's going to hold data and testing up so that he can then prove that what we knew was true, which is that our test scores will go down because of increased class size and that makes no sense.

The other thing he didn't talk about in all of his testimony, there was a great deal of written testimony and there was certainly he was here for 2 1/2 hours answering questions, he didn't once talk about cutting the no-bid contracts for consultants. And for the life of me, and I've said this here to the department before, I don't understand why we need to bring in companies from England and pay them hundreds and hundreds of dollars an hour totaling tens and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hundreds of thousands of dollars in contracts to come in and analyze our school system when we have teachers, principals, and parents here who know what works, why he's got to do that. And before he cuts one of the 3,000 full-time equivalents that he says he will have to cut from the DOE budget, why he wouldn't consider cutting the nobid consultant contracts first, I don't understand.

MS. WEINGARTEN: Look, I agree with you, but I don't understand--and this is going to sound, this will probably sound a little bit goony--but I've been around for a long time, I used to understand the budgets of the Board of Education far better than I understand them now. And there was an interesting check and balance when the school system had a different budgetary basis than the Office of Management and Budget and you would see that fight fought out in the press a I think part of what was in your report about mayoral control, what was in our part about mayoral control, and other reports about mayoral control is what's the transparency and what really is the budget. So for example, what the new

funding formula for kids the so called fair student funding formula, maybe there needs to be flexibility on that as opposed to asking for flexibility off of the campaign for fiscal equity funding formula that was fought out for 13 years and was the state formula that's attempting to help kids who are, you know, at-risk kids. So maybe that's some thing we where you look at it.

The second piece you said about class size, it does not make sense to me. And as I said, no, math, I was not a math major, but it does not make sense to me that there is over \$150 million of targeted money to class-size and ultimately parents and teachers both believe that class size is important and, with all this new money into the school system, class size has gone up, not down. It doesn't make any sense to me, I don't understand that.

Now in terms of the issue you raised about ACS. Without engaging in the whole issue about whether there should be daycare facilities versus whether there should be more pre-K facilities and kindergarten facilities in our public schools, what we do know is this, and

the president has said this and others have said this, we do know high-quality early childhood programs give a huge head start to children and in fact in this city we don't have enough of those slots. And this Council has been a champion of full-day pre-K because we know that's so important. So ultimately you need the space to do that because if you don't have the space and you just transfer kids to the school system, then there is going to be a zero-sums game and that means some other kids are going to lose out and probably lose out in terms of higher class size.

And the last thing I'll say about this is if you ask teachers, good teachers, they will tell you that the lower the class size, the more effective they are at reaching kids.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Just to follow up on that include, we don't need to hold 100 hearings, and it seems like we have, to know what works and that is reducing class size, increasing parental involvement. I mean, I certainly know what the Department of Education thinks about parental involvement, and it's not-its I have nothing good to say about that. But

2.0

2.3

they seem to be intent on spending money on
reinventing the wheel, rearranging the deck chairs
on the Titanic as opposed to just doing the things
that we know work and just teaching and until they
get out of their own way and allow us to go back
to that, to put people who know what goes on back
in charge of teaching our children, we will
continue not to make the progress that we ought to
be made and our children deserve.
g.,, g.,, g.,, g.,

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
Council Member. Council Member Letitia James of
Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Mr. Chair, first let me apologize for not being here when the Chancellor testified, we were having Brooklyn delegation meetings over in 250 Broadway--

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: --and this morning I was first on deck and I've listened to over a hundred organizations and there's about 200 that are yet to go and we'll be here this evening until at least 9 o'clock.

But I took a break to come over here to ask Ms. Weingarten, there's an article in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Daily News with regards to the ACS children being transferred to the kindergartens and, as you know, I've been critical of this proposal because the children who apparently who are currently in ACS centers are going trying to enroll in kindergartens and some of other public schools and the parents are being told that kindergarten is not mandatory for your child and therefore the subsidized child does not have to get enrolled in a kindergarten. And I find that really offensive that low-income children are not offered an option and that these low income children are not being provided the benefits of early childhood education. And so I just wanted to note, do you have a position with regards to this transfer of ACS children to--

MS. WEINGARTEN: [Interposing] We only heard about this proposal by reading the Daily News today. So, you know, and obviously we will, you know, write a letter to them, ask for—the way in which we can do this is ask for impact bargaining and that will get disclosure of information to us, that's the way, you know, to use labor speak, that's how we would do this,

2.0

2.3

which we will do today. H	owever, there is a core
principle here which you j	ust said, as you were
talking, TishCouncil	

5 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Tish is 6 fine.

MS. WEINGARTEN: Councilwoman

James, sorry. And that is since Tony Alvarado was

Chancellor of this city's school system,

kindergarten became a mandated service and, unless

something has changed in the middle of the night

somewhere in a regulation, kindergarten for all

other kids who live in New York City is a mandated

service.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: We were told—I was told and I believe I forget what committee since I'm getting confused with all these hearings, we were told by some Commissioner that it was not mandatory and that it was part of state law, that it was not mandatory. The students in my district, the subsidized children who currently attend day care centers and attend kindergarten and daycare centers are being told that it is not mandatory and in fact being turned away in some of our schools in my district that do

MS. WEINGARTEN: So you're saying to me that there is a commissioner in this city

that does not know that?

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Ms.

2.0

2.3

2	Weingarten, I believe if my memory serves me
3	correctly, it was the Budget Director who stated
4	that it was not mandatory, that state law does not
5	require kindergarten and, therefore, subsidized
6	children, low income children, the majority of
7	them being of color, are not given the same
8	options as a child of means and that

MS. WEINGARTEN: Then this--

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: --is

offensive and the Mayor of the city of New York should come out loudly and reject that as a notion.

MS. WEINGARTEN: What I will do,
Council Member, is that I will task my staff today
to try and do this research and I promise you that
what we will do is that if that is actually the
city's position, we will go to court under the old
regulations and work with some parents to try to
get the court to compel the city of New York to
actually follow the regulations that require for
all these years kindergarten for all kids who live
in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And, Ms. Weingarten, I promise you I will come out of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

retirement and serve pro bono counsel to the

Department of Education, file an amicus brief on

behalf of the City Council and join you in that

lawsuit.

Let me also go on to talk to you about another double-standard. We are moving to in this city where we are now requiring or will be requiring Regents Diplomas. My stellar high schools in my district Benjamin Banneker, Bedford Academy, Medgar Evers middle school, they were just informed recently that AP classes will now come out of their budgets. As you know, specialized schools such as tech and all of the other, it's included in their budget. These three stellar schools, which most of the children come from public housing, where 90% of these children or 95% in some cases go on to graduation and graduate, these principals were just told AP classes will have to come out of your regular budget, we don't have money for it. And I'm just, again, offended by that, again, that they would take out of their regular budgets, they would require--impose upon them the requirement that they pay for AP classes.

2	MS. WEINGARTEN: So you just got to
3	the issue with why this shift of this entire
4	funding formula to principals has been so
5	problematic.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
7	MS. WEINGARTEN: Because we've seen
8	this in lots of different ways. For example, how
9	does a principal actually pay for a high-quality
10	full-day pre-K, because it's going to cost a lot
11	more money than other programs. So how do you do
12	that, if it comes out of your pot of money?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Exactly.
14	MS. WEINGARTEN: And the same is
15	true in terms of when you're dealing with and
16	wanting to give AP courses to high striving at-
17	risk kids. AP courses and [off mic] actual
18	baccalaureate courses are terrific, I taught them
19	at Clare Barton High School
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
21	MS. WEINGARTEN:they were my
22	most fun to teach and our kids went through civic
23	competitions, which we won at the state and the
24	federal level, but they cost more
25	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: They do.

25

2	again, where you have, as you describe, high risk,
3	high performing schools. Actually, it should be
4	across the board
5	MS. WEINGARTEN: [Interposing] But
6	there should be moreso what should happen
7	probably, but this means going back to the drawing
8	board in terms of a city school system funding
9	program. What it should mean is that even when we
LO	had a very under-funded system, you had
11	superintendents in different districts that
L2	actually were accountable for budgets and what
13	they ended up doing, some times better and
L4	sometimes worse, is that they would see the
15	schools in their catchment area or their districts
L6	or their neighborhoods, even the high school
L7	superintendents and if a school like Banneker was
18	a high performing school that needed more AP
L9	courses, they would and other schools would say
20	no, you're not being fair
21	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
22	MS. WEINGARTEN:but they would
23	make the judgment

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.

MS. WEINGARTEN: --to focus

177
)W
or
eparate
again
58
n their
ΔP
ırts
osing]
e is

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 177
2	resources on that and that doesn't happen now
3	because you don't have that layer of educator
4	judgment doing that.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So it
6	underscores this notion that we are still separate
7	and unequal
8	MS. WEINGARTEN: It's a
9	[Crosstalk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:and again
11	we should highlight that to the media and to
12	everyone else who wants to listen. These
13	children, primarily public housing, 90 to 95%
14	graduation rates, with all the challenges in their
15	lives, you would not include in the budget AP
16	classes, offensive. And the last point is arts
17	and culture, in my district
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing]
19	No, Council Member
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:there is
21	no arts and culture
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: -- and last,

23

24

25

very last--

2	there a Commissioner, but our Chancellor for the							
3	Department of Education in the city of New York							
4	did not reinforce any mandatory policy for							
5	kindergarten 5-year olds. I just need you to							
6	understand how severe this issue is right now.							
7	And I oppose any shifting of day care 5-year olds							
8	into a system that is not respecting early							
9	childhood education.							
10	The lawsuit is perhaps something							
11	that you're going to explore, but your leadership							
12	on this issue more than anything is necessary							
13	because there should be a statewide campaign and							
14	perhaps a national campaign for early childhood							
15	education.							
16	MS. WEINGARTEN: We will, as I							
17	said, this was the firsttoday was the first I've							
18	heard about it in terms of reading the Daily News							
19	and we will explore it							
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Let me							
21	MS. WEINGARTEN:and explore it							
22	quickly.							
23	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I'm sorry, go							
24	ahead.							
25	Let me ask our colleague Oliver							

2.0

Koppell	to	clarify	the	issue	as	far	as	${\tt mandated}$	by
law.									

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well I wanted to--Tish, I'm going to try to say it as best I understand it and I promise you that by tomorrow I will confirm that I'm 100% correct.

Under the state education law, you have to send a child to school, but you only have to send a child to school starting in the first grade.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Correct.

Say it's not mandatory ,what they mean is that the parent doesn't have to send a child to kindergarten. But I think that President

Weingarten is correct that the city school system has guaranteed that if you want to send your child to kindergarten, they have to take the child. Now if their principals are trying to dissuade parents by saying it's not mandatory, that's wrong. But I don't think that's the policy, hopefully, not the policy of the city. And I don't think a lawsuit is necessary, I think what's necessary is for the Chancellor to make it clear to the principals that they're not supposed to say to a parent, it's not

MS. WEINGARTEN: And that was my-and, Ollie, that was my understanding of the regulation dating back to Chancellor Alvarado. I don't COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: think anybody really disagrees with that I think

the principals are doing a disservice by saying,

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 182
2	you know, the parent comes in and says it's very
3	difficult for me to get the child here to
4	kindergarten, it was much better in the ACS
5	center, and then the principal says well, you
6	know, it's not mandatory, you don't need to send
7	your child
8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Council
9	Member.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:it's not
11	something the principal
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Right.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:should
14	be telling people.
15	[Off mic]
16	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: No, I got to
17	go, no. Council Member Katz.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Well I was
19	actually going to clarify what Councilman Koppell
20	was talking about. And also just to reiterate
21	more of a comment than a question, there is
22	compulsory education for 6-year-olds in the state
23	of New York, which is why I put in a resolution to
24	the state and also tried to fix it by law here
25	that says 5-year olds are compulsory as well,

because what is happening in the district is that
we have many more applications to kindergarten for
locally zoned kindergarten than we do acceptance
of seats and that's been the problem. And I think
what my colleagues and I are facing is that when
we go to a principal and say well, we need seats
for our local kids, they say well it's not
mandatory so, therefore, we can send your child
anywhere we basically want to send them. And
that's the problem, at least in my district, and I
think from what I'm hearing in most folks areas.

And so at the joint hearing between Council Member Fidler's Committee and this Committee, there was a Department of Education high ranker, and I don't know who it was, who basically said the reason we can move these ACS kids into the local schools is because it's not mandatory, so if they can't go there, they can go somewhere else and that's an inappropriate answer and I would suggest that I would love for your organization also to look at how much more we're spending on busing. These children all around the city of New York, instead of allowing them to go where most parents, I believe, would want their

children to go, which is the locally zoned area where they would be going to first grade.

MS. WEINGARTEN: Exactly, and you know that this week parents and the NYCLU and we did actually file a lawsuit on the whole zoning issue where the CECs really have still have the residual power of zoning and making sure that there is appropriate neighborhood schools for the kids in their neighborhood, and so we challenge the Enron on their authority.

Now I'm going to ask--Paul Egan

[phonetic] is here and Bridget is here and Marvin
is here from our office and I'm going to ask you
guys to actually follow-up off of this hearing
immediately in terms of doing whatever and we will
provide whatever leadership you would like us to
provide on this obviously.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Well,

I want to thank you, President Weingarten, for

coming in. Clearly, you know, the issue we've

heard loud and clear that some principals and/or

staff are saying to parents who are bringing their

kids, that that is not mandated, but as far as I

know, and my daughter is 33 years old and she went

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to full-day kindergarten, as far as all parents are concerned, it's mandated, and to say that it's not mandated is like what, there's been full-day kindergarten for as long as anyone can remember except if you're much, much older, and so as far as we're concerned, it is absolutely a mandated policy. And if there is a change in policy--in fact, I'm going to write to them and ask them, is there a change in policy and let's hear their answer because if it is, shame on you, if it is, shame on you and I say that loud and clear and, as one of the staff members said, if they're rejecting the children at kindergarten and then what happens if in fact they're not registered at that school in kindergarten? What happens when they want to come back in first grade, and that's their zoned school and they're going to say there's no room?

MS. WEINGARTEN: I agree.

issues and concerns that affect all of us and we must work through it. So President Weingarten let me thank you for coming in and representing all of the members of the United Federation of Teachers

L 8	3	б
-----	---	---

372 and the chair or president of District Council 37.

Vice President Crespo, welcome.

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Thank you,
Chairman Jackson and Committee Members, and feel
free to call me emotional and you probably will be
able to tell when I start sounding like Ricky
Ricardo at some point. And we also thank you for
giving us the opportunity to give this testimony
today.

Local 372, 26,000 Department of Education employee members continue to live, work, and pay their taxes and also vote and support the small businesses in their school community.

in the communities in which they voted to elect the council members here today. These dedicated employees rise to perform their duties to provide essential school life support services to the 1.1 million school children whom they consider to be their life's work. But each passing day, their outlook on their lives in our city become more grim, less secure, and much more unsettling. They begin each day wondering how long they will be

able to support their own families because, historically, their jobs have been the most undervalued and the first to go. And it makes no difference that Local 372 members are workers who send our students to the classroom learning-ready and learning-able. These are part-time, the majority of our members are part-time employees. As it is now, many of them are working two to three jobs just to make the ends meet.

They hear the Chancellor today give the testimony that they will probably be the first to get wiped out, not only unprecedented, but it is a travesty.

We regret that the City Council calendar was changed to schedule this budget hearing before the contract oversight hearing.

Local 372 considers the DOE contract practice to be the rotten apple in the DOE budget process.

Local 372 asks the distinguished Council Members that are present, "What is wrong with the picture I am about to paint for you?" Keep in mind that the reason that the American public is currently outraged while jobs are being lost by the hundreds of thousands each month across the counties, and

workers' hard earned tax dollars are being used to bail out failing companies, and on top of that, the failing officers are getting rewarded with huge bonuses.

Now let me turn your attention to our situation right here in New York City. Behind closed doors, DOE number crunchers are investigating how cutting student support service jobs will get more money for the ever-increasing pool of increasingly high paid DOE officers to contract out. The Mayor can thus trade off the salaries of taxpaying New York City residents and consumers for multi-million dollar out-of-state contracts.

Let me give you an example. As reported in the New York Times on January 14th, 2009, Mayor signed a contract with Maximus, Inc., which is based out of Virginia, for a 5-year special education data tracking program that will cost \$55 million dollars, with a \$23 million related expense. Local 372 understands the need for improving the tracking of special education, that's not our argument, but at a time when school support services employees, vital to the learning

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

readiness of our children, are facing impending
layoff, this is an extraordinarily high-price
software solution that seems obscene and reckless.

Maximus is Virginia-based, as I stated, which specializes in contracting work normally performed by municipal workers throughout the United States and Canada. We, Local 372, will have more to report on this, by the way, next week at the April 1st hearing. But, however, using the \$80 million that is garnered from that contract, Maximus could displace still more New York City workers with Virginia workers to perform data entry and related services at Maximus, Inc. These workers can work and reside in Virginia, use their income to pay taxes and support local merchants and businesses in Virginia. Thus, the New York City Mayor is, in essence, eliminating jobs, taxes, and consumer revenue here at home, while generously creating jobs and increasing revenue in Virginia.

This union's president is not that old to remember when it was unlawful to spend New York tax levy dollars on contracts to out-of-state companies, and we believe that the City Council

Local 372 contends that the lines

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should take a real serious look at that because that's in fact what's going on. Local 372 asks the distinguished Council members present, "How can elected officials permit such outrageous breeches of responsibility to their own constituents regarding that matter?"

drawn between service to the electorate and future personnel gain are getting more and more fuzzy. Daily News reported on February 27, it call former New York City Council Education Chair Eva Moskowitz, who founded a small chain of charter schools, as "a passionate and abrasive champion of the charter school movement." Local 372 sees Ms. Moskowitz as having been instrumental in New York City's transformation into two apparent school systems. One is a system of public schools, usually in lower income neighborhoods, which are stripped out of vital support services for which they have the greater need. The other, consists of small academies with catchy theme names, and Charter Schools, which siphon off an ever-

increasing amount of DOE's budget. This drains

the higher performing students from their

2.0

neighborhood schools and will prevent our public schools from being academically and racially diverse.

Ms. Moskowitz's sustains
philosophical dedication to the charter school,
and is in fact was rewarded last year a salary of
\$310,000, which we find interesting, given the
fact that the Chancellor of the city of New York,
who has a much greater responsibility to oversee
1,400 school, only made a mere 250. And as for
other charter schools in New York City and
nationwide, there is no definitive data as of yet
as to the superiority of these schools over
properly funded and staffed public schools.

We urge the City Council to draw the lines more clearly and require clearly defined line items in the Mayor's budget to insure that the city taxpayer dollars are used to maintain an appropriate ratio of staff to students for vital school support services in our public school.

We also ask the City Council to eliminate the lines that created the two separate school systems by putting the brakes on the creation of additional charter schools at this

2	time. We ask that an independent scientific study
3	be required to evaluate the educational merit of
4	these charter schools for the few who attend them.
5	And we must also ask, are they worth the sacrifice
6	incurred by the rest of the city's children.
7	Local 372 calls upon also the City
8	Council to take an active role in overseeing the
9	DOE contract process to insure that New York tax
10	levy money is not used to support residents of
11	other states over our own constituents and
12	families.
13	We look forward to having the
14	opportunity to present more details at the next
15	hearing, which is next week. And, as my parents
16	will say in my first language, [foreign language]
17	what is happening here?
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, Mr.
19	Crespo, let me thank you for coming in and
20	representing your members and obviously you have a
21	lot of issues I guess with what's going on. And I
22	don't know if you were as emotional as Randi
23	Weingarten
24	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: No.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --but clearly

2.0

2.3

an emotional subject, especially when you're
talking about contracting out where potential job
loss of employees in New York City to people
outside of the state of New York and the city of
New York. I have a problem with that overall. My
concern is the people of New York City

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --I'll let
the people in Virginia worry about Virginia, I'm
going to worry about the people in New York City
and your members are members of New York City and
let me just say that we will be going deeper into
the subject of contracts when we have the
education hearing on contracts along with Tish
James my colleague who Chairs the Contracts
Committee on April 1st.

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Thank you, and also, you know, our members have such invested interest that our children succeed because when our children succeed in schools, they succeed—the community succeeds. Everybody winds up getting elevated because as our children succeed, they're able to get those jobs, you know, be employed in positions of economic gain that in many cases

_	COPRITITED ON EDUCATION 199
2	aren't parallel and to have this occur is taking
3	the rug underneath our communities.
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Let me turn
5	to our colleagues Council Member James Sanders,
6	Jr., of Queens.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chair. As usual, your hearing is has much
9	light, but also had heat today, so you had both.
LO	Mr. Vice President, it was good to
L1	see you
L2	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Same here.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS:your
L4	union's position on education is well-known and
L5	your local is historic with the role that you guys
L6	have played on making sure that education comes to
L7	New York City and stays in it.
18	There are many things that one can
L9	go into it in your delivery, but I want to speak
20	of just one of them. I want to go for a moment
21	into charter schools. I agree with you that if
22	you're saying that the jury is out, that we really

need some fair evaluation of charter schools. If they're doing fantastic, let us be first to praise them; if they're doing less than fantastic, we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need more data so that we can perhaps nudge them to what works and we should be bold enough that if the experiment is not going in the direction that we thought it would, we should be bold enough in that.

I am a proponent of charter school in the public school system--within the public I believe that we should have school system. experimentation and things of that nature, however, we are getting all kinds of mixed hearings, mixed data on charter schools, and we really need to have an outside evaluator of one type or another look at this issue. The general accounting office of the federal government certainly did a report and could be an organization that studies this, but we need this study desperately because that is a lot of funding following in a certain area, especially when we're talking about doing many, many more in the days to come. So I agree with you and share the position of your union that this new endeavor, charter schools, the jury is out, we do need to look into this and have a fair evaluation.

Thank you for bringing that to

light, sir.

Mr. Chair.

3	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Thank you,
4	thank you for your response. And you're
5	absolutely right, the jury is out. Many of the
6	parents that we are in contact with, we are part
7	of a parent coalition, an extensive large parent
8	coalition that currently wants to see this mayoral
9	control issue sunset because the concept right now
10	is not working. The concept right now needs a
11	complete revamp and we cannot rush to do that,
12	that's going to require a period of time, all
13	factors need to be looked at and including the
14	issues on the charter schools. And it has nothing
15	to do with the current mayor, this could've
16	occurred with any other Mayor and, you know, it's
17	the concept currently right now, it just happens
18	that, you know, we believe this Mayor wants to
19	outsource everything that public service do in the
20	city of New York.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you,

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you. I was looking and talking to staff about this

Maximus, Inc. of Virginia. Any information that

2	you have on that or anything else on contracts and
3	prior to the April 1st hearing we would like to
4	share with you and because, obviously, I do
5	believe that the DOE overall need to have a
6	computerized tracking system for special
7	education, I mean, 'cause my understanding from
8	talking to people before, files literally had to
9	be transported to uptown and downtown and what
LO	have you and so forth, I mean this should have
11	been done years ago. But, you know, I would like
12	to know more about this particular contract,
L3	especially when you're talking about there's \$23
L4	million in related expenses
L5	[Crosstalk]
L6	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: A point of
L7	information, Mr. Chair?
L8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:and the
L9	impact that that's going to have on the members
20	that you represent. Yeah?
21	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Point of
22	information?
23	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Go ahead.
24	MALE VOICE: I believe that may be
25	the same Maximus Corporation that got into trouble

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under the Giuliani administration.

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: You may be very well correct regarding that and I will forward to the Chair and the Committee the information regarding them. It's not that we are against the tracking, I mean, that is absolutely needed if we are to provide better services for However, as the prior speaker our children. before me passionately illustrated, how do you make that more of a priority, you know, than keeping, you know, the services alive across the board? And that's what's happening, and that's only one of the contracts and, you know, when Veronica addresses the committee on the issue in the contract next week she'll elaborate much more than I can right now.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, as I said earlier, April 1st we'll be holding a hearing on contracts, along with the Contracts Committee and mainly on DOE contracts and on April 6th at 1 p.m. will be holding a hearing, a oversight hearing on overall charter schools and that begins at one and probably go until maybe about 6 p.m. So I look forward to seeing you and the leaders of

_	COMMITTED ON EDUCATION 200
2	Local 372 and DC 37.
3	But let me just before you end,
4	you're the vice president of Local 372.
5	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: That's
6	correct, and I'm also the vice president of DC 37.
7	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. And
8	that's the capacity in which I ask the question
9	because you heard us, if you were here, asked the
LO	question about parent coordinators and I believe
11	that they're represented by one of your locals
L2	[Crosstalk]
L3	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO:
L4	[Interposing] Yeah, my Local 372.
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: 372.
L6	VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: That's
L7	correct.
L8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
L9	Because but not only did we ask him questions
20	about that, we asked him questions about special
21	ed, about school safety because if we have to look
22	overalland we're not saying, you didn't hear us
23	saying get rid of them, we're saying that the
24	flexibility

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Absolutely.

2.0

2.3

2				CHAI	RPE	ERSON	I JACK	SON	I:an	ıd so) I
3	just	want	to	know,	do	you	have	an	opinion	n on	that

4 overall, if--

[Crosstalk]

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO:

[Interposing] Well it seems to us that if in fact both the Chancellor and the Mayor were really sincere about having parental involvement and the lifeline to make that successful are the parent coordinators, then why would you even want to contemplate eliminating them altogether? And we know for a fact that in many instances that we have gotten reports from our members in those titles and from parents themselves where they in fact have been discouraged from giving parents the proper information and helping parents navigate the system. So, I mean, you know, we have some serious double-talk here.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Because I guess some of the stuff that overall in general we hear that parent coordinators are basically the parent coordinators to do the principal's bidding and not really advocate for parents and what have you and so forth. And that when we hear that

overall, they're just another staff member of the principal instead of, you know, really advocating for the parents and giving them all the information they need and they may be a buffer between the principal and the parents, and I don't know if that's really the purpose of it.

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Yeah, it is definitely questionable given all of these other outcomes in terms of how is it that if you're going to give parent coordinators cell phones so that they're in contact with parents and you don't pay your bill, right, which was in the amount—I mean, they paid it since we've made it public, but when you owe the service provider \$57,000 and our folks get disconnected because of a nonpayment, you know, yeah, a parent have every right to be upset, you know, and outraged that they're not being contacted and that there is no follow up. So in one sense, one would say well, was this whole thing a set up for failure?

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well to be continued, for sure.

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I want to

2.0

2.3

2	thank y	you f	for c	coming	in	and	giving	testi	mony	on
3	behalf	of y	our	Local	and	. the	affili	ate I	DC 37.	

VICE PRESIDENT CRESPO: Thank you once again.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay? And next we're going to hear from Placida Rodriguez

Make the Road New York; from Natalie Chad. it
looks like, New York Immigration Coalition; and
Barbara Harris, representing herself and Code
Pink, NY Granny's Peace Brigade, and from Dr.

Marion Phyllis Cunningham, she's representing
herself, she's an educator, and a Granny Peace
Brigade. Please come forward. If you're here and
I've announced your name and title, please come
forward. Okay? Have a seat up front and you may
begin your testimony, young lady. No, have a seat
at the table, please.

MS. NATALIE CHAP: Good afternoon,
Chairman Jackson and distinguished members of the
City Council Education Committee. My name is
Natalie Chap and I am the Education Reform
Associate for the New York Immigration Coalition,
which is an umbrella policy and advocacy
organization with over 200 members throughout New

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York state that fight for justice and opportunity for the newest New Yorkers. I am here today to urge you to help restore cuts to the Education

Budget, particularly in the areas of the ELL

Incentive Grant Initiative, Translation and

Interpretation Services for Parents, and restoring the general cuts to school aid, whatever they may be.

We are all aware of the current uncertainties of the economy. Anyone who opens a newspaper or turns on the TV will hear at length about the financial struggles facing our city. This only underscores the need for investment in the education of our young people, as they are soon to be the backbone of the New York City economy. Immigrant students and students who are in the process of learning English need particular attention, as they make up a significant portion of the student body--over a quarter of all public school students are ELLs or former ELLs--that's English Language Learners--and nearly 60% of students are immigrants or come from immigrant The fact is that our schools have been families. under-funded for way too long and the neediest

students, including students living in poverty, students with disabilities and ELLs, have been chronically underserved for way too long, resulting in an alarming drop-out crisis. Last year, a shocking 4-year graduation rate of ELLs of only 23%--less than half the rate of English Proficient Students--represented a decrease from the 2005 graduation rate of 26%. Worse yet, only one out of ten ELL students received a Regents Diploma, which is now as of this year, a state graduation requirement. Furthermore, we know that with every dollar cut from the education budget will translate into more kids being left behind.

The Campaign for Fiscal Equity
settlement provided New York City with a unique
opportunity to begin to reverse the dropout
crisis. The historic infusion of resources from
the state also came with protections through the
Contract for Excellence, to ensure that funds
predominately benefit students with the greatest
educational needs, including ELLs. It is
imperative that as ELLs are drawing funds to the
city through the Contracts, that this money be
tied to the programs and services for these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

students.

We want to thank the City Council for having the vision to create the ELL Incentive Grant Initiative last year, a pilot program that signaled a serious commitment on your part to address the ELL drop out crisis. This year, we must build off the success of this initiative and continue to put resources towards turning the crisis around.

Similarly, though the economic stimulus package will play a huge role in restoring education, the city must also do its part to ensure that we improve outcomes for all students. With more than half of all ELLs dropping out of high school, and ELL dropout rates continuing to increase each year, the Chancellor should be talking about restoring all education cuts and targeting funds and resources to ensure ELLs receive a sound basic education. particular, we urge the City Council to work with the Mayor and the Chancellor to preserve the following critical programs and services: continuing to fund the groundbreaking ELL Incentive Initiative at \$7 million; restoring the

2.0

original funding level for the Department of
Education Translation and Interpretation Unit at
\$12 million; ensuring that there are no cuts to
the classroom by seeing that the city keep their
promises to students by fully funding the
education budget. We urge the City Council to
continue to press the Mayor and the Chancellor to
deliver on their promises to our schools by
restoring all education aid and adhering to the
Contract for Excellence requirements by creating a
proactive plan for ELLs.

The stock market can always bounce back, but we do not get a second chance to educate a child. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well thank
you for coming and representing your organization.
And next we hear from Barbara Harris, representing
herself and Code Pink, Granny Peace Brigade.

MS. BARBARA HARRIS: Okay. Is this on? Hi, thank you for hanging in there. I don't take it personally that most of the Council Members have left and I thank you for staying with us.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Some of them

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 have other obligations--

MS. HARRIS: I know, I understand.

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --Brooklyn

5 delegations and what have you.

6 MS. HARRIS: I understand.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I'm sorry.

MS. HARRIS: And each one of the members of the City Council has received a letter about this program. One thing that hasn't been mentioned today is that JROTC program -- the Junior Reserve Officers Training Corp--which is in our schools and taxpayers are presently paying approximately 2.3 million anyway in the fiscal year 2010 budget it's allocated and we're here, in fact, about eight people of who had signed on to that letter that was sent to each Council Member is here and, indeed, we represent more than we look like because of our organizations who are outraged at having a military program in 19 high schools in the New York City system -- in the public school system.

And the issue about why we are opposed to JROTC is because it's a Pentagon-based curriculum and the textbooks are hard to find or

read, there's for a little oversight by the Board of Education as to what is the content of the text books, what is actually being taught, there is no oversight for it, the personnel who teaches the courses are retired military people, mainly men who are not New York City certified, which should be a very big concern to the unions and to us all. Some are not even college graduates, they've had some other sort of military test that qualifies them to teach the course which the military has designed.

The program is a militarization of our youth because the bottom-line goals of the program is to train the students in a military style of learning--it's obedience, it's regimentation, it's drills, it's marching, it's even guns--how to use them, how to put them together--and students have told me that in their high school the JROTC marches into the assembly programs in uniform with a gun and the policy of New York City school system is zero tolerance for weapons. So it seems like hypocrisy here, we are permitting military voice and presence and attitude when that's what we're really opposing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and taxpayers are paying almost \$2.4 million to support this program in the schools.

The military curriculum does not belong in our educational system, it is not consistent with the teachings of democratic values and the principles of civilian leadership because JROTC is authoritarian in nature and teaches unquestionable obedience, it conflicts with education's goal of encouraging students to learn through the development of thinking skills. is little discussion, there's little conflict resolution, it's this is the way it is, the military wins wars we fight right and wrong, and we have the answers and the truth for you and if you just listen and obey, things will work for you. No oversight or transparency of course contents or outcomes of the programs either. military just has their presence in the school and their curriculum and whatever happens we don't know about it, we don't know if the students graduate, how many dropout, and actually what's really happening.

JROTC should be the lowest priority for the New York City Department of Education

25

2	budget. And as, I think it was Council Member
3	Yassky said, you have to be pretty strong to
4	choose between things that are right educationally
5	and we do not feel that this program is correct
6	educationally in the New York City public school
7	system.
8	So I am here with many others
9	sitting here and outside and when we meet with
10	people in the streets who are outraged that they
11	pay for this program, to urge you to eliminate
12	funding of the JROTC program from the 2010 budget.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well I want
14	to thank you for bringing it to our attention. It
15	was not mentioned today and it should have been.
16	I am against the funding of JOTC in our schools.
17	MS. HARRIS: We appreciate, we so
18	appreciate
19	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And I have
20	already talked to staff to make sure that we get
21	the information on that and make sure that there's
22	clearly an issue that we raise, and I ask you to

The next, Dr. Marion--

continue to press on that.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you so much for

2.0

2	your	support.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --Dr. Marion

Phyllis Cunningham representing yourself, you're

an educator and you're part of the Granny Peace

Brigade.

DR. MARION PHYLLIS CUNNINGHAM:

Right, and also a few other organizations--Women's
International League for Peace and Freedom and
Peace Action, I belong to West Side Peace Action
and Grandmother's Against the War.

I'll move up here.

Thank you just for being here,
Chairperson Jackson, and also Council Member
Reyna, and all people here who are interested in
the education of our children.

As a member of Granny Peace
Brigade, the Granny Peace Brigade and Code Pink
and many of the supporters, we hold almost weekly
actions out in the community to inform the
community about things that are happening that are
of great importance.

And one of the issues that we have been addressing is our tax levy funds that's going to support Junior ROTC in 19 high schools in this

city, and the high schools are located in areas where the major recruitees have been coming from going into the military and that is, really I mean, we feel very strongly, obviously Barbara said this already, we feel it's unacceptable for our tax levy funds to be going for Junior ROTC.

really wanted to share with you--people are unaware of this. We have been doing phone-a-thons in Brooklyn and what we do is we inform people, we discuss with people the issue at hand, and this is the tax levied funds for Junior ROTC--people are totally unaware of this and many of them are very, very upset. They have been making calls to their local elected officials, and in this case it's the City Council people, to ask them to look into this and to have a hearing on tax levy funds going into the school system for Junior ROTC.

So I just want to bring to your attention that there are people in the community that don't know about this and that it should be-this should be transparent, it should be out there. The public needs to know that their tax levy funds are going for military curriculum in

2.0

2.3

the schools. And I'm hoping that the city Council
will hold a hearing on this and we'll be able to
do something about it.
In light of all the problems within

the school and the problems down the road, \$2,000 may seem insignificant, but it is significant, if it's being used for military curriculum and not for the much-needed services that our children need.

11 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well and thank you all for coming in and expressing your viewpoints on the preliminary budget of 2010.

[Off mic]

16 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I'm sorry.

17 FEMALE VOICE: Anyone else?

18 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Council

19 Member Reyna.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I just wanted to ask, going back to the testimony on the ELL students, the issue as far as flexibility in the funding for the contract for excellence for this campaign for fiscal equity funding through the state, do you agree or disagree with those

2	changes in policy that the Chancellor had been
3	testifying to?
4	MS. CHAP: Well our concern when we
5	hear flexibility is that it means that just as I
6	said money that is only in the city because of the
7	number of ELL students that there are is being
8	driven to the city, that's the reason why it's
9	here, then, if there is more flexibility, then
10	that means they can go to other students. And the
11	reality is that a very, very, very small
12	percentage of the money that's driven by ELLs
13	already is actually going to ELL programs and
14	services. So even getting any more flexibility
15	than there is now is definitely something that we
16	don't stand by.
17	And he really didn't define what
18	flexibility meant
19	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Correct.
20	MS. CHAP:exactly, so I can't
21	say for sure exactly
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right.
23	MS. CHAP:I can't completely
24	respond to it, but the term in and of itself does
25	make me nervous, certainly.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I can
3	certainly understand why and you had mentioned
4	that we are seeing 1 out of 10 ELL students
5	passing their Regents?
6	MS. CHAP: Right.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And also
8	MS. CHAP: [Interposing] That's of
9	the small number of students who are actually
10	graduating. The 23% who are actually graduating,
11	only 1 out of 10 of those students actually get a
12	Regents diploma
13	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right.
14	MS. CHAP:so that means that we
15	can't exactly make the [off mic]. But it's such a
16	small, small number of students and next year when
17	this regulation will actually be able to graduate.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right, and
19	as far as the Title I funding, obviously Title I
20	is associated to free lunches, which means it's in
21	the very poor neighborhoods that are mostly
22	disenfranchised. The policy that the Chancellor
23	is looking for, the flexibility of hitting schools
24	across the board equally and trying to increase
25	more Title I funding to come into schools, do you

2 agree or disagree with that policy?

MS. CHAP: Well I think it's still the same question, so Title I does go to schools that have a lot of immigrant students generally speaking, but, again, I would have to know the specifics of what he means by flexibility before I can answer that.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And I would agree with you, there's very little that is said to understand why there's so much call for flexibility in Albany. I just wanted to understand, you know, you mentioned that we're graduating less ELL students now and this is when we're seeing more money—

MS. CHAP: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: --go through the Department of Education, so I ask, what are we doing wrong. And so the demands and the expectations are continuing to rise and our students are less and less equipped, you know, not graduating, dropping out, so where or what recommendations would your advocacy, you know, suggest to us?

MS. CHAP: Well we can meet with

2	you individually too, to give you like our full
3	outline 'cause some of it is kind of programmatic,
4	technical things that we can get into right now.
5	But the three things that I outlined are really
6	important thoughthe Translation and
7	Interpretation for Parents really is key because
8	the parent, as we were talking about parent
9	engagement, if the parent can't communicate
10	effectively with their school, with their teacher,
11	or they're using their child to interpret for
12	important meetings or other family members, they
13	really can't interact with the school in a way
14	that we would like to see and by the DOE's own
15	definition. So that's one area that money has
16	been cut over the years as well since
17	[Crosstalk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
19	[Interposing] And so that area having been cut,
20	your figure as far as the recommendation to be
21	increased to what level?
22	MS. CHAP: It was at 12 million, I

MS. CHAP: It was at 12 million, I think it's at like 10 million now, so it's been decreasing since 2006, and so we just don't want to see any more cuts, we'd like it to go back to

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

the level that it was that.

3 [Off mic]

MS. CHAP: Right, so we've worked out a deal here.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: There is no proposal right now to cut it, so we just have to follow that.

Right, right, and then MS. CHAP: there's also a pilot program which the City Council supported last year, it's at \$7 million, it's kind of like a matching program of the money that's coming through the Contract for Excellence, schools could use that money and then have it be matched for ELL programs and services and from what we've heard from the schools, it's been working great, it shows that when you actually are putting resources towards these kids and giving them the support that they need--former ELLs actually have a higher graduation rate than the school system as a whole. So once a child is able to transition out of ELL status, they're bringing up the graduation rates of the school system as a whole. So they're really a group that we can look to, to support in order to move our whole system

2	2	0
_	_	v

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and your position within the organization, you may begin.

MS. CHRISTINE COLLIGAN: Thank you,
Chairman Jackson and Council Member. My name is
Christine Colligan, President of Korean-American
Parents Association, and I thank God for the
opportunity to speak honorable Council and I would
like to speak about the Korean class in the high
school.

And last year, Flushing High School has closed the Korean class and also best high school in New York City Stuyvesant will close the Korean class this coming September. And I believe that Korean parents contribute a large part of the economy and pay tax and also that it's not none of this Korean class mandatory, they are elective, and also that's why they have the danger [phonetic] over there, if they take Korean class and they might have fail the foreign language. So even though I mean, I like to I like all language and even though [foreign language] but there's a lot of language in the world and also the Korea is the 10 largest country, who has traded in the United States and also now Army recruiting the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dual language the soldier that it is very
necessary for the future word and at the moment
too.

So now I'm asking that this is very necessary that we should have the Korean language as a mandatory and when they come out to the word and also now the Korean is most popular in the increasing in the number in the college but there's no really good education in New York City. It is very necessary and also MS 142 there is a 56 the school in Bronx and they brought Korean and then Korean comes with a culture that respect the older and the teacher and this is coming great outfit and you can hear the testimony if you will and things like that. So it is now that we have to recognize that Korean language as a mandatory and also that this language have to educate to the older student.

And that's why I'm here.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Next, just identify yourself and you may begin your testimony.

MS. JUNG MI KIM: [Foreign

language]

2	MS. COLLIGAN: I would like to
3	explain, I request for the translator, but there's
4	no translator so if you don't mind, I be a
5	translator, Chairman Jackson?
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I don't mind
7	at all.
8	MS. COLLIGAN: Thank you.
9	MS. KIM: I'm the mother of two
10	children who work hard and pay tax. My children
11	through the elementary school, junior high school,
12	now they are in senior of the high school. And
13	also I'm proudly announce that my older son will
14	be the guardian of this country who will be the
15	soldier very soon.
16	The reason I'm here today because
17	there's no chance for my children to learn Korean
18	in the school. The language is very important
19	these days. The language is also almost beginning
20	of the education. Please help my children to
21	learn Korean at the school. And the Korean
22	language come with the respect the older and also

good manner that it'll be the good role model for
the older student. I'm not asking for my children
or Korean to learn Korean, but all other cultures

this Korean education.

should learn and share, it will dedicate lot of
part of the advantages to the society.
Please, please help us to have a
Korean education in public school. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Next,
just identify yourself and you may begin.
MS. CASEY KIM: [Foreign language]
PS 26.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Can
you just pull your mic a little closer please?
MS. CORRIGAN: Okay.
MS. KIM: I'm Casey Kim from the PS
26, mother of student from PS 26.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
MS. KIM: Personally I have
experienced that in February we have a whole
school has a lunar New Year and they share the
Asian culture through the whole school was very
fruitful and then it was the joy of the older
student. I'm very disappointed my children have
no chance to learn Korean and also the other
student. And I'm strongly disagree that as the
mother and also as a taxpayer the discontinue of

2	The language itself contain the way
3	[off mic] and also you bow to the older and
4	teacher and it comes with the language that you be
5	polite to the older and you're polite to the
6	teacher and this will be greatly the good
7	education for the whole student.
8	And I request to equally have a
9	chance to, not only the Korean student, but all
10	other student from the other culture and deal the
11	language [off mic].
12	And lastly, there's no Korean
13	speaking professional at the Board of Education
14	level to help us whatsoever.
15	It is very necessary to have the
16	tight, the close relationship between parents and
17	the school and the education department to have a
18	best education for my children. Okay? Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
20	Next, just identify yourself and you may begin.
21	[Foreign language]
22	MR. HWANG YONG LEE: Good
23	afternoon, Mr. Chair, and Council Member. My name
24	is Hwang Yong Lee, I'm a member of Education
25	Council for District 25. I'm also a parent of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

three kids who are attending public school.

I'm here for two things. First one as Chair Jackson mentioned about school safety, our [off mic] about that school crossing guard, so we ask [off mic] community relations department, they all said is a budget problem. So we have to think about what is our priority of, you know, our kids. So I really appreciate you mention about the school safety, think about [off mic] school crossing guard, so next term we have, you know, I hope that we have school crossing quard, so I will give you one example. Junior High School 194 has 1,100 kids, their principal very concerned about the school safety so she came out every single day and guarding about the school. She has to prepare the school starting and she must improve the school and education policy, but she's [off mic] for is the quarding of her school, I think this is not good for the [off mic] school and kids.

My second thing is the Korean class in our public school. As you know, this generation is a global generation, we have 1.2 million students I want that give them the opportunity to learn about these Korean language

2.0

in school. I'm still [off mic] about language, I
know so about the importance of the language. So
as you know the Korean is a [off mic] and all
these cultural system, so I hope that our public
school Korean education keep going on, so our
children in New York City learn about our language
and culture

Thank you for letting me speaking.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well let me thank you for coming in and especially as a representative of the CEC, its important. I think we have one more panelist, Mihyon Nam, PS 165.

Just identify yourself and you may begin your testimony.

[Foreign language]

MS. MIHYON NAM: I'm a mother of the two children who go to elementary school. So as parents I think it's important that my children grow with speaking dual language and excellence in Korean and English. To grow up to be the excellent, the dual languages speaking person is a very benefit to society in United States.

And I'm looking forward to my children to have a Korean education in junior high

2.0

2.3

school and Korean, but junior high--I'm sorry, in high school, but I'm very disappointed that it will be discontinue. And this is they'll take away chance have their language education they need for their future.

Please help us to have a chance to learn Korean at the public school and also when they need to have a fund that available, not only the elective, but mandatory so they feel comfortable, the parents feel comfortable to send them without failing the foreign language.

If you give my children chance to have the Korean language education, they will be the great citizens of America and then they're great for the society.

And I once again I request that with the deep down from my heart.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Well first let me thank all of you for coming in representing your children and the Korean community with respects to asking for, not only the classes in those various schools not to be cut, but also you're asking for at least some of you a mandatory Korean instruction.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. COLLIGAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Clearly it's 4 an issue for you and issue for your community that you represent, and I suggest that more specifically in those schools that have Korean classes at the various grades that the decision at the school is made to determine to continue it or discontinue it, to my knowledge, that is not a citywide issue and--

MS. COLLIGAN: [Interposing] Because of the budget cut.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I understand. So it depends on the priority of each school and obviously being involved in a parents association is extremely important, being involved in a school leadership team, the district leadership team, depending on, you know, how many schools in each district, and also the CECs like Mr. Lee is, it's important to express your opinions about what type of curriculum and language should be taught. I say that to you specifically to pursue that locally at those levels, but also they, I guess the administrators and principals and leaders are saying because of the budget situation. Well you

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2	may have been here when the Chancellor was talking
3	about the budget and how much money we're trying
4	to get from the state and city, I think you need
5	to be asking questions, okay, what if we, you
6	know, what is it going to take financially to
7	maintain the classes that we have and those are
8	the types of questions that you need to be asking
9	locally.

I guess if I was involved in a parents association I would say okay, it costs X amount of dollars, what if the parent associations raise that money?

MS. COLLIGAN: They've been doing it for years.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, you know, right, and I guess these are all of the questions that I'll be asking in order to try to maintain--

MS. COLLIGAN: [Interposing] The Korean parents are nervously preparing for the event for the fundraising that the hopefully this money will continue and also, I'm not asking for the new fund that the money that teaching other language that they really don't want to take it,

25

2	but they have to take it anyway in order to
3	graduate, so they don't have to just take the
4	Spanish or other language that they're notI
5	mean, there are some of them who love Spanish and
6	the other language, but there is a lot of them
7	it's wasting that they cannot use.
8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So I guess
9	one of the questions like to have Korean in one
10	school, give me an example of a school, what is
11	the cost factor, if you know?
12	MS. COLLIGAN: Oh I don't know the
13	detail, but I'm willing to send you all the detail
14	information later.
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. But I
16	mean, is it one full-time Korean teacher or is it
17	a part time individual? And the reason why I ask
18	that is because there are discretionary monies
19	that members of the City Council, that members of
20	the state assembly, state Senate, they can
21	allocate to schools and so I don't know if for
22	example if the Korean
23	MS. COLLIGAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --teacher is

full-time or part-time and what's the cost factor

2	there in order for you to come up with a strategy
3	in order to
4	MS. COLLIGAN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:maintain
6	what you currently have and I think that that's
7	what you have to look at.
8	MS. COLLIGAN: Chairman Jackson,
9	this is a big step for us, the Korean community
10	and Korean parents, we never done this before
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: No.
12	MS. COLLIGAN:so we plan, this
13	is like we're just quiet and take it and just be
14	quiet, be nice to the teacher, that's what's been
15	doing for many, many years and we have like a big
16	couragement to come up here and speak to you and
17	very glad and that you heard us.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And let me
19	just say, I'm very happy to see you here and in
20	fact, as the Chair of the Education Committee,
21	this is the first panel that I've had where
22	members of the Korean communityI'm not saying
23	they weren't here, but where they spoke in Korean
24	and it was translated for us

25 MS. COLLIGAN: Yes.

2	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:and I'm
3	just very happy to see you participating, because,
4	as you said, you've been quiet for years and
5	trying to deal with it yourselves and now you're
6	speaking up, that this is having a negative impact
7	on your children in the community and that is
8	important overall that you speak on behalf of
9	yourselves as individuals and as a community. So
10	I'm very, very pleased to see all of you here.
11	I'm going to ask you to speak to
12	Jan Atwell over here, she's our Policy Analyst, to
13	talk about more strategies to network in order to
14	raise funds.
15	MS. COLLIGAN: Okay. Running the
16	classes cost \$35,000 and Bronx science [phonetic]
17	Korean parents who have been donate the \$100,000
18	costs over three years, so we did their personal
19	funding money.
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I see.
21	MS. COLLIGAN: Just for the one
22	class.
23	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: One class.
24	MS. COLLIGAN: Yes. And also I'm
25	asking that, as you know, the Korean are very hard

2	working, but they don't know how come, like I
3	really take the [off mic] from the African-
4	American community and the Latino community, they
5	come and speak out, even they don't even speak,
6	they come in and that's where we the benchmarking
7	from and we not just sitting down and be quiet and
8	then this is not only for us, it's for the future
9	of America.
10	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Let me just
11	say I appreciate all of you for coming in and
12	representing yourselves and the groups that you
13	represent, and I'm happy to see you here.
14	MS. COLLIGAN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And so I'm
16	going to ask you to communicate with Jan so we
17	can
18	MS. COLLIGAN: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:network
20	more
21	MS. COLLIGAN: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:as far as
23	to move forward.
24	MS. COLLIGAN: Okay. Thank you
25	very much.

me just introduce the next panel before this panel begins. The next panel after this is David Lisker Heights Alternatives to Military Recruitment; and Cheryl Wertz, Peace Action of New York State.

So with this panel of--Eric, please, you may begin. Oh, introduce yourself and you may begin.

23

24

25

2	MR. ERIC WELTMAN: Good afternoon,
3	my name is Eric Weltman, I am the New York City
4	Advocacy Director for the Alliance for Quality
5	Education. On behalf of AQE
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing]
7	One second, please. Arnold? Arnold, just have a
8	seat over there, you're the next panel. Just sit
9	right there.
10	And our colleague, Domenic Recchia
11	has joined us, welcome Domenic.
12	Okay. You may begin, I'm sorry.
13	MR. WELTMAN: Thank you. So,
14	again, Eric Weltman with the Alliance for Quality
15	Education, as you said, commonly referred to as
16	AQE. On behalf of AQE, I want to thank Chairman
17	Jackson for the opportunity to testify. We also
18	want to thank you, Chairman, as well as the entire
19	City Council for your strong leadership and
20	commitment to education funding, particularly your
21	leadership in restoring \$129 million in cuts
22	proposed by Mayor Bloomberg last May.
23	In this spirit, AQE urges the City
24	Council to reject Mayor Bloomberg's proposal to
25	gut \$306 million from our achools in next year's

budget. Mayor Bloomberg's cuts would have a devastating impact on our children's education that would result in, among other things, continued increases in class size and reductions in after-school, tutoring, middle grade reform, and English Language Learner programs. The state, as you're aware, is phasing out local diplomas and requiring every student in the freshman class of 2009 to graduate with a Regents Diploma. We cannot ask our students to meet higher standards while reducing the resources necessary to meet those standards.

The proposed cuts come in the wake of \$884 million in cuts to education already initiated by Mayor Bloomberg last year. They come at a time when the city is required by law to increase its funding contribution to education by 2.2 billion from 2007 to 2011 under, as you're aware, the Education Budget Reform Act of 2007, which settled the CFE lawsuit. The federal government has stepped up to the plate, delivering an increase in Title I and IDEA funds, with the city slated to receive \$697 in additional Title I funds alone. This influx of funds demonstrates

21

23

24

25

2	the federal commitment to education. New York
3	City needs to take this opportunity and use this
4	additional funding to provide excellent education,
5	rather than an excuse to go forward with budget
6	cuts.
7	Our economy needs an educated
8	workforce. We need good schools to sustain our
9	communities. Most importantly, our children need
10	a quality education to achieve their dreams,
11	fulfill their opportunities, and support their own
12	families.
13	Rejecting Mayor Bloomberg's
14	proposed cuts is a moral imperative, a social
15	obligation, and an economic necessity.
16	In conclusion, the City Council has
17	been an essential partner in education, making
18	every effort to shield our children from cuts. We
19	urge you to reject Mayor Bloomberg's proposed cuts

urge you to reject Mayor Bloomberg's proposed cuts and maintain our commitment to our children and their future.

Thank you for your consideration. 22

> CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well thank you, you gave that presentation with a lot of compassion.

2	MR. WELTMAN: Well I appreciate
3	that, I've got some fire in me, I didn't eat my
4	Wheaties this morning, but I did have a bagel with
5	tofu cream cheese.
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Next, Carol,
7	introduce yourself and you may begin.
8	MS. CAROL BOYD: Well that's a hard
9	act to follow, but
LO	[Crosstalk]
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [Interposing]
L2	Pull that mic up a little closer or turn it on.
L3	MS. BOYD: I'm on. Good afternoon,
L4	Mr. Chairman, members of the Education Committee.
L5	I'm Carol Boyd, a parent leader with the New York
L6	City Coalition for Educational Justice, CEJ. My
L7	being here today has, unfortunately, become an
18	annual pilgrimage on behalf of the 1.1 million
L9	children of New York City's public schools. It's
20	unfortunate because, once again, state and local
21	government have taken the position that the
22	solution to overcoming our current fiscal crisis
23	is to shortchange the education of powerless
24	children. I say powerless because most school-

aged children do not pay taxes nor do they vote;

2.0

however, if we continue to regard education as low priority, they will never achieve the skills necessary to become future taxpayers, voters, and productive citizens. As far as I know, most people who don't vote or pay taxes are usually incarcerated.

New York City's high need students will continue to fall behind without the adequate funding. Successive budget cuts mean New York City will fail to keep its promise to adequately fund education, class sizes will increase, afterschool and tutoring programs will be cut, Middle Grade Reform, and the needs of English Language Learner students and students who don't reach the elusive Level III will not be met and subsequently our children will be short-changed from their full opportunity to learn.

As you know, the Coalition for Educational Justice fought long and hard for major reforms that have made a difference: a lead teacher program in the South Bronx that has grown to become a citywide initiative; a commitment to science labs in every middle grade school by the year 2010; the Middle School 51 initiative, which

came out of the formation of a task force by the City Council, of which I was a member; the Campaign for Middle School Success. If all of these programs are cut, they will be threatened and they are making a difference. The Campaign for Middle School Success has a funding commitment of only one year and, while this is a start, it's painfully obvious that if struggling middle schools are going to turn around, they need more than one year of support. As you know, if our middle schools don't turn around, our children's chances of long-term success are dismal.

We have barely scratched the surface. As recently as 2008, there were more than 100 middle grade schools, which is 25% of all middle schools, where less than a quarter of the students were reading and writing at state standard. Almost 300 middle schools where less than half of the students are reading and writing at state standard. How can we even consider cutting funding now?

On top of all of this, as Eric mentioned, state's in the process of phasing out the local diploma. All of those who entered high

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school this past--9th grade this past September will be expected to fulfill the requirements for a Regents Diploma in order to graduate. If you look at last year's data, this means that we will be facing a dramatic decrease in the high school graduation rate. In 2007, only 37% of children graduated high school with a Regents Diploma and in four years. Now that's pretty dismal considering it's the whole city, but when you look at African-Americans, this number becomes 28%, for Latinos, it becomes only 26%. With these new standards in place, what this means is that potentially over 70% of African-American and Latino students will not graduate. CEJ, of course, believes in high standards, but only with the necessary supports in place so the young people can have and meet these standards. How can we ask more of them and give them fewer resources to succeed?

In the 21st century, we can't afford to graduate students who will become members of an undereducated workforce and expect them to compete in a global society. As Mr. Crespo said, after all, we can't outsource

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everything. Children attend school because we require them to do so. Under the current state law, New York City is obligated to increase its local education funding between 2007 and 2011 by the amount of \$2.2 billion, which, Chairman Jackson, I'm sure you know well. Okay.

As yet, rather than increasing this funding, Mayor Bloomberg and Chancellor Klein implemented and proposed financial cuts to schools for both the current year and the previous year. Now he is asking you to take more money out of classrooms and divert resources away from our kids education. The Mayor's most recent proposed cut of 306 million has been put forward despite an increase in federal Title I funds, IDEA funds, all granted by the federal stimulus bill. New York City is slated to receive almost 697 million in Title I funds alone, meanwhile, the Governor's proposed budget asks the New York City schools cut \$1 billion. The proposed cuts are unacceptable by the Governor, just as they're unacceptable by Mayor Bloomberg.

The New York City Council has been a partner in education and has made every effort

2	in the past to shield children away from harmful
3	budget cuts. Now the Mayor wants you to join him
4	in an additional \$306 million? City Council needs
5	to reject these cuts. These cuts mean resources
6	are being taken out of classrooms and students
7	aren't getting the tools they need to succeed. At
8	a time when we should be making progress, Mayor
9	Bloomberg is asking us to take steps backward.
10	You must reject the \$306 million proposed budget
11	cuts and demand that we move forward with our
12	education funding commitments to New York City
13	schools and their students.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well thank
16	you for being so detailed and passionate about
17	what we must do and let's see whether or not we
18	follow through.
19	MS. BOYD: Fifteen years is not
20	enough.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: The proof is
22	in the pudding.
23	MALE VOICE: Amen.
24	MALE VOICE: Amen.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Next. Jose

2.0

2.3

Gonzalez, Coalition of	Education Justice, United
Parents of Highbridge.	Please introduce yourself
and you may begin	

MR. JOSE GONZALEZ: Good afternoon.

First I would like to thank City Council,
especially Council Chair Robert Jackson and the

Highbridge representative, Helen Diane Foster, and

Maria del Carmen Arroyo.

My name is Jose Gonzalez, I'm a parents of two children, PS 73, representative of United Parents of Highbridge, and New York City Coalition for Educational Justice.

I will ask you to vote against these 306 million cut to the Department of Education budget. For my perspective, as the parents of PS 73, we've seen major improvement with our new principal, Mr. Jimmy [off mic] and his staff. However [off mic] that proposed budget cuts could affect class size and the progress of English Language Learner and our sole concern about this cuts affects graduation rates with the new Regent's graduation requirements.

As [off mic] EJs, we have worked on middle school reform and we have heard implement a

[phonetic].

middle school program across the city to improve middle school. I'm afraid what will happen if this funding was cut?

With [off mic] in our community,
Highridge community in mayoral campaign to bring a
middle school in our community, we have been with
four [phonetic] years without middle school in
Highbridge. We are an isolated neighborhood,
which is one side we have like 12 stairs, we live
in a hill, and the other sides we have the
mayoral--

MALE VOICE: Mayor Digin

MR. GONZALEZ: Mayor Digin, thanks, and we have five elementary school in our community and these children when they left elementary school, they have to travel, taking trains, taking buses, in one of just one avenue that we have in our neighborhood that go up and down there is Ogden [phonetic] Avenue. We were put into the capital plan this year, this 5-year capital plan, but they just gave us 389 seats from the last capital plan and 389 seats is not enough when we have more than 2,000 kids traveling

2.0

outside of our community at the age of 9 and 10years-old.

We have a large percent—the majority percent of the United States of children with ACS cases in our neighborhood. I know the city is trying to change these by putting [off mic] neighborhood, but by not having this middle school we have a [off mic] to bring more kids into this system, to ACS system.

We've been, I want to say, like desperate to bring this middle school because our neighborhood every time, every day is growing up and we have more, more housing for families in our neighborhood. That means that we have a crowded, crowded school system, elementary school system in our neighborhood and we need to stop that.

We still are fighting to increase the number with the last meeting that we have with Deputy Mayor Walcott and the SCS? Yes.

MALE VOICE: SCA.

MR. GONZALEZ: And DOE and they are just looking at the number, the data that we already gave them, like years before and we want

2.0

that they consider to increase this number of 389 seats to increase it, you know, at least 1,200 because we want to stop putting these kids as I'm seeing parents—single mother in our community putting one kids on the school, bringing of the other one to elementary school that we have over there is like a mess. Our children are not being educated by traveling so far from our community.

And now as Deputy Mayor said, that the capital--because they don't have money, but I want to ask to Mayor Bloomberg why they put another Yankee Stadium in our community. Now we have two Yankee Stadium in our community, in our poor community we've had a lot of issue and parent concerns and this has been like a struggle for parents just asking for education for our children.

So I will want that you take that in consideration and help us to bring this middle school into a reality.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well let me thank you for coming and representing the Coalition of Highbridge for Schools. I mean I've seen you and Carol and others here advocating and

obviously it's in the 5-year capital plan, but as you said, that's not enough.

As far as your question to Mayor
Bloomberg, I think you're going to have to ask him
yourself because it's a very legitimate question,
but you should press him and ask him. Because the
needs are there, I mean you--and I say you, the
Coalition has demonstrated the need. And I give
one example, I went to visit--this was several
years ago--about four or 500 parents and children
in a auditorium in Sunset Park Brooklyn and they
were fighting for a high school there for 30
years--

MALE VOICE: Wow.

with the CFE settlement on the capital plan, then Governor Pataki signed into law the legislation for \$11.2 billion in education, and it was signed into law at a warehouse or a factory where the high school was going to be built. But at that meeting several years ago, they were asking me why hasn't a school been built when the school at that time I guess was going to cost about \$94 million, rounded off to \$100 million. When you look at the

2	totality of the current budget, 21 billion, let's
3	put at that time, let's put several years ago,
4	let's \$18 billion, I said the reason why it's not
5	built is because it's not a priority. If in fact
6	it was priority, it would have been built. And I
7	believe the school is either built now or almost
8	finished and what have you and so forth.
9	MALE VOICE: Opens in September.
10	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well thank
11	goodness, they've been fighting for it for 30
12	years. So keep the pressure on your elected
13	officials and citywide officials to get what you
14	want, that's the only way you're going to get it.
15	So thank you all for coming in, I
16	appreciate it very much.
17	MALE VOICE: Thanks.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Next we'll
19	hear fromwe have two more panels and we're out
20	of here, but the next panel is, as I said, Arnold
21	Gore, my neighbor up in Washington Heights,
22	Washington Heights Alternative to Military
23	Recruitment. David
24	MR. DAVID TYKULSKER: Tykulsker.
25	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Huh?

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 25
2	MR. TYKULSKER: Tykulsker
3	[Crosstalk]
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Tykulsker is
5	Brooklyn for Peace and Cheryl Wertz, Peace Action
6	of New York State.
7	And after this, we have our last
8	panel who is Mindy Duitz, Learning Leaders; Nydia
9	Leaf, representing herself; Jackie Sherman, Center
10	for Court Innovation; and Eugene Gamiel, Music
11	Outreach and Learning Through Music, that's our
12	last panel.
13	So Arnold, you may begin.
14	MR. ARNOLD GORE: Thank you. I'm
15	Arnold Gore from Washington Heights Alternatives
16	to Military Recruitment. At this time of scarce
17	resources for vital educational programs, the
18	Council must try to eliminate those items that
19	were not initiated at our own desire but are more
20	of a mandated match that does not serve our
21	children's best interests.
22	The Junior ROTC program currently
23	costs the city 2 million in municipal funds to
24	match the federal participation. As a result, we

have allowed a military culture to permeate our

2.0

2.3

children.

schools and to become dominant in many of them.
At George Washington High School the military
recruiters have even hosted or assisted on open
school nights. The JROTC instructors are not
licensed teachers, but nevertheless instruct

If it is desirable to involve outside school personnel, schools should try to coordinate programs with local community service organizations such as Alianza Dominicana in Washington Heights. In particular, focusing on outreach to prepare youth for civilian jobs.

The recent federal stimulus package provides for funds to reach out and help the former school dropouts who were failed by the education system on the first try, but have come to realize that the value of the education and training received in school is essential for their future. DOE must now focus on reaching out to these young adults who are now motivated. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
Arnold. Next, just introduce yourself, and you
may begin. Ladies first.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MALE VOICE: Go ahead.

3 MS. CHERYL WERTZ: Sure. Council 4 Member Jackson, thank you so much for this 5 opportunity to testify this afternoon. My name is Cheryl Wertz, and I'm the Executive Director of 6 Peace Action of New York State. We are an 7 affiliate of Peace Action, the largest grassroots 8 peace organization in the country. We have a 50 9 10 year history of promoting the non-violent 11 resolution of conflict, the abolition of nuclear 12 weapons, building a human rights culture, and 13 supporting human needs instead of militarism. In New York State we have 3,000 members organized 14 15 into 17 grassroots chapters, including five here 16 in New York City.

There is one thing that I think all of us that have attended this hearing today can agree on and that's that New York City public schools need more money. We need smaller class sizes, we need higher teacher pay, and we need better extracurricular activities, but the budget that's being considered today by this body includes over \$2 million of funding for Junior ROTC--Junior Reserve Officer Training Corp

programs in 19 New York City high schools. JROTC programs are, in my opinion, particularly nefarious because, unlike traditional collegebased ROTC programs, Junior ROTC targets kids.

As a society, we believe that high school students are too young to drink, too young to vote, and barely old enough to drive. And yet when they are dazzled by \$4 million worth of high-tech advertising, we allow them to sign-away their lives without even consulting their parents.

I could sit here for you today and recite a long list of concerns about U.S. policy abroad, but this is a hearing about New York
City's kids, so let me be specific. Le Ron Wilson graduated from Thomas Edison High School in
Jamaica Queens and enlisted in the U.S. Army at
17. One year later, on July 17th, 2007, his family buried him in Long Island, he was 18. In the seven years since the U.S. invasion of
Afghanistan, almost 5,000 American men and women have been killed in combat in Iraq and
Afghanistan. Their average age was 27, but one-third of those are between the ages of twenty and twenty-two.

I want so much for New York City's
young people. I want them to live happy,
fulfilling lives. I want them to be able to
accomplish their dreams. I want them to raise
families of their own and become active and
engaged members of all of our communities. So
many of those dreams can be accomplished through
the New York City public school system, but even
in times of fiscal crisis, it takes dedicated
teachers, well-funded science labs, and music and
arts programs. As members of the Education
Committee of the New York City Council, each of
you has a personal responsibility, to New York
City's students and to its tax-payers, to use our
education budget in the most prudent way possible.
We simply can't afford the \$2 million for JROTC.
So I urge you today to stand up for New York
City's students and their needs. We need money
for computers, for teachers, for books, and for
programs. Please remove the \$2.4 million in JROTC
funding from the 2010 fiscal budget and use that
money to fund programs that our kids really need.
Thank you.
CHAIDDEDSON JACKSON: Thank wou

2.0

Next, you may identify yourself and begin your testimony.

MR. TYKULSKER: Thank you, I am
David Tykulsker, the Vice Chair of Brooklyn For
Peace. I join my colleagues on this panel and
several who have testified before in asking you to
remove the \$2.4 million from the Mayor's budget
that is currently being used to subsidize the
Pentagon in its efforts to recruit the youth of
New York City.

I've given you a copy of the proposed testimony, but let me emphasize a few points. JROTC is really a recruitment program.

The Army has ordered its instructors to make recruiting efforts a part of their official duties. As former President Clinton's Secretary of Defense William Cohen told the House Armed Services Committee in 2000, JROTC is one of the best recruiting devices we could have and as New York City public school graduate Colin Powell wrote in his autobiography, liberal school administrators and teachers claimed that we were trying to militarize education. Yes, I'll admit, the armed forces might get a youngster more

2 inclined to enlist as a result of Junior ROTC.

The fact of the matter is JROTC has been successful in its recruiting goal. Military statistics show that somewhere between 30 and 55% of JROTC students eventually enlist compared to 4% of other students. To put it simply, Council Member, it is bad fiscal policy for the city of New York to be spending the taxpayer money to be

First, JROTC, like every other aspect of the military, follows the don't ask, don't tell program. That's overt discrimination against lesbian and gay male cadets. You cannot join the JROTC program if you are out and open as a gay man or a lesbian.

helping the armed services meet their recruiting

goals. It's also bad educational policy.

The city of New York is right now funding a program that is overtly homophobic. The educational message to all students and to the citizens of New York, and not just the JROTC cadets, is that somehow bigotry if it's tied to the military is okay, that it comports with good citizenship. If you vote to maintain the JROTC appropriation, you are undermining one of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

basic purposes of education in this city--namely,
the promotion of tolerance and acceptance of
diversity.

We all hope that the don't ask,
don't tell policy will be ended shortly by the

Obama Administration, but in the meantime you have
a duty to the children and citizens of this city
not to vote money to support homophobia.

In addition, JROTC promotes disrespectful law in general and international law in particular. The whole world, including this country, has accepted that children should not be soldiers and that efforts to recruit children into the military must be ended and that means including the United States which has ratified the optional protocol on the involvement of children in armed conflict. What that says is that children under 18 should not be recruited and deployed to war, the provision sets an absolute minimum protocol on recruitment at 16-but JROTC students at 14 and 15 are part of the program. Ιt is wrong, it sends the wrong message, and the conclusion is simple--this Council should eliminate this appropriation and we look to your

23 MALE VOICE: Duitz.

24

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Duitz.

25 FEMALE VOICE: Duitz.

to go on the record and I want to just say it's clear that today is--I won't read all this, I promise I'll be very quick--a dramatic time and the testimonies today have reflected the impact of this weakened economy in our city schools and I echo the sentiment that everyone else has expressed to, please, City Council, make sure that we get our fair share of the stimulus and try to make the schools whole again.

In this period of school reform, we're also seeing an enormous national and local call for service, and we are also seeing a rise in volunteers, skilled volunteers, because of the rising unemployment, which leads me to my organization.

We are a part of the support structure for the schools. We are small in budget, we are large in impact. We are the city's largest volunteer-based educational program and we really believe that we're more important now than ever.

We support all the DOE and Council priorities--parent engagement, increasing family literacy, support of ELLs, the transitions, we

ease the transition from middle to high school,
and we increase access to post-secondary education
all through volunteers.

I won't give you all our statistics, but there are some 12 to 14,000 volunteers reaching over a quarter of a million students in the school and we have received cuts to our DOE contract in this year and next year, which will total 25%, which is \$125,000. Again, it's small money in this large budget, but restoration of any kind may well impact us. We will be restructuring, but we are projecting a 15% reduction in our services, that would mean 25,000 fewer students.

I want to refer to some comments

Speaker Quinn made a while ago about us where she recognized that our volunteers are also instrumental in supporting the outcomes of your Middle School Task Force, and we are carrying that out this year and we'll be seeking support from the Speaker and hope you will echo support as well in the coming year.

And I would just like to quote one of the principals from a high school for overage,

2	under-credited students with 130 active Learning
3	Leaders volunteers. He said, no other
4	organization consistently provides functioning
5	adults on a one-to-one or small group basis. If
6	you come back to graduation, some of my students
7	have no one there except their Learning Leaders
8	tutors. Learning Leaders creates for us a cadre,
9	in a sense, of foster parents for the school.
10	So we are seeking to maintain our
11	current level of DOE support. We will be
12	approaching the Council to maintain our current
13	level of Council support and we think that we are
14	a great example of a public-private partnership,
15	we're a great investment with high returns,
16	especially in this down market.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you and
19	thanks for the group and your organization and you
20	for your advocacy.
21	And next?

MS. JACKIE SHERMAN: Good afternoon, Chair Jackson, and thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

My name is Jackie Sherman and I'm

2.0

here on behalf of the Center for Court Innovation and I've submitted written testimony today that details the breadth of the Center's work. I want to focus my brief remarks today on our work to help ensure that at-risk and court-involved school age youth get the services and supports they need to stay or get back on track and get a sound, basic education.

The Council has provided critical support for this and other work of the Center for Court Innovation, which we again seek this year.

I'm going to briefly describe three programs that together form points along a continuum of preventive and supportive services for at-risk and court-involved youth.

The programs use different methods to engage youth at various stages of involvement with the justice system and first we run preventive programs, which work with children to get them on track before they become involved in the justice system at all.

In the fall of 2007, we launched our Tenants Court, which is a pilot program to fight chronic absenteeism in New York City schools

and the program was developed by Family Court					
judges across the country who became frustrated					
with the limits of what they could accomplish when					
cases, typically educational neglect cases, but					
others as well, came before them in court and					
wanted to see what they could do to partner with					
schools and community organizations to prevent					
children from coming into the system at all. So					
the program is a voluntary strength-based program					
that's loosely modeled on our problem solving					
courts and brings familiesparents and their					
students and their childrenand school officials					
as well together for biweekly, and sometimes					
monthly, informal hearings with a hearing officer-					
-a justice system professional typicallyto					
discuss attendance issues and obstacles to					
maintaining, to accomplishing better attendance					
and maintaining better attendance. We typically					
provide referrals for a host of supportive					
services both for students and their families, and					
we, in the process, deepen connections of families					
to schools and students to their schools as well.					
In our first year of this pilot					

program we've been working in two schools and in

our first year we realized gains among most of our students--an average drop in unexcused absences of 40% and 96 of our participants were promoted to the next grade.

early intervention efforts and we run youth courts in three boroughs. These programs train teens to step into the shoes of all actors in criminal proceedings and to hear low-level cases involving their peers. They are not only a wonderful civic education and leadership development program, but they also give respondents who are referred by schools, courts, the police, local DAs, who have committed minor offenses, opportunities to take responsibility for their behavior, pay back their communities, and get the services they need to get back on the right track.

Finally, we run alternative to detention programs for court-involved youth, and for nearly 2 years the Center has operated QUEST, a program that helps keep the youth with pending delinquency cases out of detention in Queens.

Youth participating in the program receive after-school programming, supervision and

2	support, instead of being detained. The program
3	has served almost 250 youth and has a very high
4	rate of success. We have recently expanded the
5	program to Staten Island and we've also expanded
6	the program to include an initiative to use
7	systematic procedures to identify, assess, and
8	create individualized treatment plans for youth
9	arrested for delinquency, who have mental health
10	disorders.
11	I hope you will keep these programs
12	in mind, these programs that are cost efficient
13	and employ a problem-solving approach to keeping
14	our young people in school and out of the justice
15	system as the Council considers this year's
16	budget.
17	Again, we thank you for investments
18	you've made in our work in the past and hope that
19	you will be able to continue to do so this year.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
22	Last, but not the least.
23	[Crosstalk]
24	MR. EUGENE GAMIEL: Thank you, Mr.
25	Chairman, thank you City Council for this

privilege.

My name is Eugene Gamiel, I'm a Cofounder and Director of a program that has learned
to connect with children that are potential
dropouts, are not learning, and it's called Music
Outreach Learning Through Music.

In 1979 we were awarded a \$97,000 ESEA Title I grant from the Board of Education. at that time to develop a pilot program to engage or intervene with the potential loss of kids dropping out or disinterested or not functioning. It included special ed at that time, but later mainstreamed through a foundation granted. I wanted to see if special ed children and general ed children could really function in a challenging educational environment. We proved the case, and using music we connect with children to develop reading, language, writing, and learning skills, including social skills.

Through a series of these workshops targeted initially for special ed children, we pioneered the use of music as a powerful tool for engaging these minds and hearts of young learners.

As a result of advocacy and endorsements, 51

community planning boards each—each I want to say—chose this method of intervention for their kids. They realize that with all the problems they were existing and at that time, very little funds, they made room because they saw firsthand what reaching a child's imagination can do to get them to focus and begin functioning in the classroom.

We've enclosed teachers comments—those are our credentials—we don't have any big degrees to talk about, I'm not even an educator, but we are essentially accomplishing miracles in classrooms for children who are not learning.

This grew to a \$1.2 million budget, because of these 51 planning boards and providing services to over 100,000 to these elementary school children from all over the city.

In 2005, DYCD canceled these school time programs, ignoring some of the important things about early childhood, which is why I'm here.

Early childhood is an area that cannot be served after school. I can make that statement because I've been doing this for almost

40 years. Discretionary funding which saved the day from wonderful Council people like yourself,
Mr. Chairman, and others, they observed firsthand what we were trying to do and allowed us to maintain a minimum level of activities in schools in their jurisdiction.

Music Outreach is in jeopardy right now. With the economic downturn and the erosion of matching funds from every sector, we are requesting a special request of \$450,000, which would give us or restore 38,000 services citywide. Now I'm asking for this amount of money because of the initiative that the president is now driving forth—a very powerful directive that wants to address the needs of early childhood and we're hoping that the City Council could be that vehicle to make these funds available.

With the advance of brain imaging and the research shows that musical activities activate neural pathways and have significant and lasting benefits for the students. Children of diverse cultural and economic backgrounds, including special ed, are engaged in all aspects of language arts, cooperative learning, and music

2.0

appreciation. Teachers observe creative
strategies for integrating music into their lesson
plans for a more vibrant classroom. Motivation is
the key to learning and with the selective use of
music, we engage even the most reluctant learner.
Our music and literacy workshops have received
recognition from specialists in the field of child
development. Our presentersprofessional
musician, educatorsare role models trained to
communicate with children. Please see the
attached what educators say.

We trust that with the President's commitment to early childhood and to special ed, the Education Committee will consider the allocation of these funds to Music Outreach. This will ensure that these learning experiences for children in their primary years of academic and social development remains secure and uncompromised and a wider community ultimately benefit. There is only one childhood.

Thank you for this opportunity and the consideration by the education committee. I appreciate this.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well let me

thank you all for coming in representing
yourselves and your organizations and obviously
the type of money that you're asking for are
citywide request. And I assume that you have
already submitted your forms and to the City
Council overall to the Speaker's office asking for
a citywide ask. And I don't know what the status
of that will be, but I mean, I'm sure you've done
that; if you haven't, then you need to do it like
pronto.

MR. GAMIEL: I was told by Mr.

Crowley of the Finance Committee that you must apply directly to the DOE. That is not going to work that way, we thought that through your good offices that there could be some connection to the method or the channeling to obtain the citywide funding.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well I think that you should—if Mr. Crowley said that you should apply through DOE you should do that, but I will also speak to them about the documents that I have here concerning your program, and I'm not saying that that's going to happen, but I will be speaking to them.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 2
2	MR. GAMIEL: I appreciate that.
3	That's really nine-tenths of the battle.
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Thank
5	you all for coming in.
6	MR. GAMIEL: Thank you again.
7	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: This
8	Education Committee hearing on the preliminary
9	budget for the department of education for 2009-
10	2010 school year is hereby adjourned at 3:02 p.m.
11	
12	
13	

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Tammy W	uttman
---------	--------

Signature						
_						
Dato	7nril	1 2	2000			