

Amalgamated Transit Union

LOCAL DIVISION No. 1056 A.F.L. - C.I.O.

ONE CROSS ISLAND PLAZA
133-33 BROOKVILLE BLVD., 2nd FLOOR
ROSEDALE, NYC, NEW YORK 11422-1491
Tel.: (718) 949-6444 Fax: (718) 949-6446

January 29, 2009

The Council of the City of New York

RE: Oversight – When will the MTA be able to track the location of its buses and provide "real" arrival times to its riders?

Now is not the time for the MTA to spend any money on tracking or installing any GPS systems to its fleet to inform the public of approximately when the bus will arrive. If the MTA goes through with their proposed plans to eliminate bus routes, cut service and lay off Bus Operators, I can tell you it will be a long wait. Save the money, put this off for a much later date.

Some of Labors concerns with any type of bus tracking system are: Will this system be used towards discipline on The Workers? How can it detect problems with the equipment like breakdowns? Will it be used to eliminate good NYC paying jobs? How much will it cost to retrofit each bus? Who will do the work to install? Will it be done in house or an outside vendor? Will the system cause an unsafe condition by rushing and putting unnecessary pressure on the drivers to keep up with the schedule? What role will this play to counter terrorism?

Will this tracking system actually help to speed up bus travel? I think not, it will only keep you occupied while you wait for the next bus. I have a GPS system in my car and it is a wonderful piece of equipment, it helps me to find a place I am unfamiliar with but with buses we are on fixed routes.

I feel this is not and should not be a priority for NYC we need more buses, more service and less cars in New York. One Bus eliminates approximately 40 cars, less pollution so that we may all breathe easier. NYC council and all here, I ask you all to concentrate on getting a steady revenue stream for mass transit to keep up with the increasing ridership demand and strain on our current system.

If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact me at the above number.

Sincerely,

Ed Figueroa

601 601 President, ATU 1056

STATEMENT BY MTA NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT BÊFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK'S COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION JANUARY 29, 2009

Good morning, Chairman Liu and members of the City Council. I am Robert Walsh, General Superintendent with MTA New York City Transit's Department of Buses (DOB) and project manager for Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) Programs. I'm accompanied by DOB's Sassan Davoodi, who is the coproject-manager for AVL Programs. As the Transportation Committee has requested, we're here this morning to address the topic: "When will the MTA (NYCT) be able to track the location of its buses and provide 'real' arrival times to its riders?" To present this matter in context, we will first share background information with you on our experience over the past several years in attempting to develop an AVL system for buses, and we'll then discuss the status of our current efforts.

In its effort to develop an AVL system for buses, NYC Transit's purpose has been twofold: 1) to establish a service management tool for DOB to use in tracking bus locations and monitoring schedule and route adherence, and 2) to provide bus riders with onboard next stop announcements as well as access to real-time estimates of bus arrival times via electronic signs at bus stop shelters and the internet. NYCT launched its first AVL pilot project in October 1996. That contract was awarded to Orbital Sciences Corporation (OSC). The scope of this pilot project was limited to equipping the buses assigned to one depot in Manhattan with an AVL system. Thus, this contract did not include customer information signs at bus stop shelters.

At approximately the same time, NYCT awarded a separate contract to another vendor, Transportation Management Solutions (TMS), to provide a Customer Information System consisting of electronic customer information signs at bus stop shelters. This project was to interface with the AVL project and use the real time bus location data that system provides as the means for projecting bus arrival times at the bus stop shelters. Thus, the customer information system contract was designed to essentially piggyback the AVL contract.

The AVL pilot contract experienced numerous problems. Due to the difficulty in achieving a reliable and working system, the parties terminated the contract by mutual agreement in March of 2001. Because of its dependency on the AVL project, the Customer Information System contract with TMS was cancelled as well.

In August 2005, NYCT awarded the Service Management and Customer Information System (SMCIS) contract to Continental Inc. (formerly Siemens VDO). Based on our experience with the first pilot project, NYCT's qualification criteria for potential vendors were strengthened significantly, requiring applicants to have a proven commercial off-the-shelf system successfully implemented at other transit agencies and to provide a field demonstration phase of the actual product before an award of the contract.

SMCIS was to provide both the AVL and the Customer Information System features in a phased implementation. The base contract was for implementation of the system in one depot, and upon successful implementation, NYCT's intention was to expand the project system wide. Equipment and software were installed at the 126th Street depot in Manhattan, in the Bus Command Center, in the radio sites and in selected bus stop shelters.

After completing the equipment installation in all buses assigned to the depot, the contractor was unable to achieve a satisfactory level of performance and reliability. Repeated attempts by the contractor to address the software and hardware problems were unsuccessful. In December 2007, NYCT met with executives from Continental, the company that had taken over Siemens VDO. Continental reconfirmed its commitment to resolving the outstanding issues and meeting the contract requirements. However, after an additional eight months, the vendor was, in our view, unable to meet its commitments in delivering a reliable system in a single depot. This matter is now in the hands of our Legal Department.

While there are other bus systems throughout the country which already have AVL systems in place, NYCT has the distinction of having, by far, the most challenging operating and environmental conditions under which to develop and sustain a reliable system. NYCT's stringent contract requirements were developed in an effort to ensure that a system can work reliably in such a demanding environment.

As we have visited and spoken with other transit agencies which have or are implementing AVL systems, we've learned that while there are some that consider their AVL systems to be generally acceptable, many have had or continue to experience problems with their systems, or a component of their system, that appear to be similar to those we've experienced. During the implementation of the base AVL contract (which required that the vendor implement the system to our satisfaction at one depot before the award of a roll-out contract), NYCT learned that at least two other transit agencies, Atlanta (MARTA) and Hampton Roads, Virginia, have experienced significant software problems similar to those of NYCT. In some cases, transit properties do not collect accurate data regarding system reliability or availability, while others have much less stringent acceptance criteria. In some other instances, the transit

agencies have already paid a large percentage of the cost of the contract and therefore have no ability to hold the vendor accountable.

Despite the difficulties and disappointments NYC Transit has experienced in pursuing AVL projects, the agency remains committed to developing a reliable system that can be used both as an aid to manage bus service and as a means to provide real-time information to bus riders. Improvements in GPS technology have substantially resolved some of the initial challenges we faced in our efforts to develop an application that functions reliably in an environment such as Manhattan, with its tall structures creating urban canyons in which GPS signals can become partially blocked. We also face additional challenges posed by closely scheduled bus service and extreme traffic congestion during peak periods. At this juncture, we are aggressively investigating and evaluating options that we believe may have the potential to meet the needs of our bus system.

On behalf of NYC Transit, thank you for providing a forum to discuss the status of our efforts to develop an Automatic Vehicle Location system for buses and for your ongoing interest in the public transit system. Mr. Davoodi and I are now happy to answer any specific questions that you may have.

THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK

I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No in favor in opposition
In favor in opposition Date:
In favor in opposition Date:
Name: CREATE WALSH Address: 25 TAMACH AN BROOKING Address: 25 TAMACH ANE THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
Name: PREPATE WALSH Address: 25 SAMMON AND BROOKULMY I represent: MG THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
Address: 25 SAMMON AN BROOKUN MY I represent: NG Address: 25 SAMMON ANE THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
I represent: MG Address: 25 TAMACH ANE THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
in favor in opposition
— — ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date:
(PLEASE PRINT)
Name: SASSAN DAVOOD
Address: 25 Jamaica Ane. Booklyn, NY 11297
I represent: NC
Address: 25 January An. Brookly NY11207
THE COUNCIL
THE COUNCIL
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Appearance Card
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
in favor in opposition
Date:
(PLEASE PRINT)
Name: ED FIGUEROA
Address: 133-33 BROOKVILLE BLVD. SUTTE 112B
1 represent: AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION 1056
Address: ROSEDALE, N.Y. 11422
Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms