CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----X

January 14, 2009 Start: 1:03pm Recess: 4:38pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

BILL DE BLASIO Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gale A. Brewer
Helen D. Foster
Jessica S. Lappin
Annabel Palma

James Vacca Letitia James

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Hess Commissioner Department of Homeless Services

George Nashak Deputy Commissioner for Adult Services Department of Homeless Services

Hannah Cammins
Social Action Coordinator
Congregation B'nai Jeshurun Church of St. Paul and St.
Andrew Homeless Shelter

Jay Craynis Congregation Rodeph Sholom

Joe Murphy
St. Andrew Avellino All Roman Catholic Church

John Benfatti Riverdale/Yonkers Society for Ethical Culture.

Judy Lavann Fran
Park Slope United Methodist Church

Terry Grace
Housing Advocacy Coordinator
St. James Church, Social Concerns Commission of the
Episcopal Dioceses of New York

Wilona Stewart Coordinator Southeast Queens Cluster Homeless Program at Embry United Methodist Church

Ann Melman Co-Chairperson B'nai Jeshurun Church of St. Paul and St. Andrew Homeless Shelter

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jim Melkiori Co-Chairperson B'nai Jeshurun Church of St. Paul and St. Andrew Homeless Shelter

Ann Tycher CEO Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter

Sylvia Friedman Chair Shelter Committee at the 15th Street Meeting of the Religious Society of Friends

Steven Corsen on behalf of Scott Stringer President Manhattan Borough

Sandy Taggart Co-Founder CHRM, Crown Heights Revitalization Movement

Patrick Marquis Senior Policy Analyst Coalition for the Homeless

Josh Goldfien Staff Attorney Homeless Rights Project in the Legal Aid Society, counsel to Coalition for the Homeless

Reverend Jonathan Sales Pastor Greater Antioch Temple

Sister Denise Smith Member, Co-Founder Greater Antioch Temple, Agape Fellowship

2 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Good

afternoon. This hearing of the General Welfare

Committee is now called to order. Everyone please
do your best to get situated. I know we have a

tremendous amount of interest in the issues we're
talking about today so please do your best. If
there's any open chairs, please point them out to
folks. And Sergeant, if there's any way to bring
in some more chairs, I don't know if there is,
please bring those over and thank you very much.

Happy new year to everyone. We're here to talk about a very difficult subject. But I do want to greet everyone and thank you for being here and wish you a happy new year. There's obviously tremendous concern about the issues we're discussing today. I'm glad so many people have turned out. I want to thank and welcome my colleagues, Council members Gale Brewer and Annabel Palma. And I want to thank the staff who helped to put together today's hearing; Molly Murphy, Migna Tavares and Crystal Costin.

Now we're here today to address

Department of Homeless Services plans to

restructure emergency shelters and overnight

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

services for one of the city's most vulnerable populations; the street homeless. So many of you in this room have done so much work for many years on this issue and understand this very personally and again thank you for that service to our city.

Our city's undoubtedly in a time of economic crisis and all New Yorkers are feeling But those at the lowest income levels are hit it. the hardest, by far. The National Center for Budget and Priorities estimates a startling 7 to 10 million additional people, nationally, will reach the poverty level over the course of this recession. So we know more and more people are going to be hurting.

Now as more people lose their jobs, the need for core services like food and shelter will increase dramatically and cutting services at this time would spell disaster for thousands of New Yorkers and their families. Last year the city embarked on an ill-considered plan to move the central intake facility from Bellevue to the Bedford Atlantic Shelter. I think many people in this room understand immediately what a mistake that proposal was, actually moving the intake

process away from where people are in need and where people congregate and burdening a community in the process in Brooklyn that can hardly afford more stress on its neighborhood. That's a fight a lot of us have been fighting, to try to change that. But then right in the middle of that something new occurred, which added to the problem of how we help the street homeless and that was the issuing last month of two RFPs by DHS for the operation of drop in centers and faith based respite beds.

The stated goal from DHS'
perspective was increasing efficiency and overall
capacity. Of course I appreciate the
administration's desire to do this in their mind
but I think they've gone off the mark here. This
is an administration that constantly likes to
quantify and I appreciate that too but the numbers
just don't work out this time. DHS' RFPs will
actually cause a decrease in the overall number of
beds we estimate by almost 17% equal to over 230
beds. So over 230 fewer homeless individuals will
have a place to sleep. We'll go through those
numbers in a moment and of course we'll hear from

2 the Commissioner and have an opportunity to 3 question his version of those numbers.

This RFP coupled with the proposal to move the intake center will make it even harder for the street homeless to find a bed. And our city's now is about to enter a period of the year where we go through many, many days and nights where temperatures are in the 20s and 30s or even lower. We have to make sure our most vulnerable homeless folks have a warm place and a safe place to sleep at night.

Drop in centers provide shelter to the hard to reach street homeless population, many of whom have mental illness or substance abuse problems and who often resist formal and long term intervention. In light of all that, and for reasons I don't understand, DHS closed two drop in centers in this past year so our city has only eight left. While drop in centers currently operate on a 24/7 model, the new RFP calls for a "business hours" model, leaving centers open for only 7:30 am to 8:30 pm. The obvious question is what do we expect homeless individuals to do before and after these business hours and is that

the way to actually serve people in need.

No one wants to see homeless folks sleeping in chairs in drop in centers, that's been a criticism that the administration has levied and I think it's a fair one. The street is certainly, though, not a better alternative to people who end up sleeping in drop in centers. I understand the desire to not have people sleeping in chairs but the notion that therefore they could end up on the street seems to me, fundamentally illogical.

Outreach teams may be able to reach these folks in need but we also know that that is an imperfect effort and they will not be able to find everyone and successfully work with them. So why should we be turning away people from centers that could help them, in reducing those hours.

DHS also plans to acquire a more stringent eligibility screening process at these centers, adding a layer of bureaucracy and a strong disincentive for this hard to reach population. We know already many folks in this population refuse assistance and this will only make the situation worse.

The reality as I have described it

it.

in terms of our faith based organizations is that
they have fundamentally served the homeless and
done it in a way, in many cases, the city could by
no means match, in a way that was more
compassionate and more helpful to the homeless
than anything the city has come up with over the
years. I keep saying if it ain't broke don't fix

organizations have been providing services to operate respite beds for the homeless. There are currently 56 sites in operation, many of which are run by volunteers. And that's another good point that I'm sure the taxpayers are interested in, that these centers are so much more cost effective than anything the city runs professionally. As we'll hear today, the folks who operate these beds have established important and personal relationships with the individuals they serve. They provide a crucial service to those who refuse to enter the formal shelter system.

DHS closed approximately 20 respite sites in November. It is now changing the rules, saying that respite providers must also offer

dinner and must be open at least five days a week.

This will force the closure of many more centers

across the city as organizations are already

strapped thin in these tough economic times. For

an administration that loves numbers, DHS' numbers

7 on this one just don't add up.

According to DHS, an average of 644 adults slept in drop ins each night last fiscal year. Under the new RFP, no one will sleep in drop ins unless there's a weather emergency. DHS expects capacity for 495 respite beds under the new RFP but will lose some of the current beds I just mentioned. And with the reduction in drop in center hours, is it likely that all these other individuals will even make it to respite beds if they're available.

While DHS says that 200 more safe havens will be on line by the end of this fiscal year, these beds are only for the chronically homeless, those that have been on the street for 9 of the last 24 months at least. What is the rest of the homeless population supposed to do? While DHS' 150 stabilization beds remain, the system as a whole will lose 232 beds, approximately 17% of

current capacity. DHS is obviously not fulfilling its stated goal of increasing capacity.

So these serious and I think troubling changes, coupled with DHS' plan to move the front door shelter from Manhattan to Brooklyn spell disaster for New York's street homeless population. We must not let our city go back to the bad old days of rampant, visible street homelessness, especially when there are so many organizations that want to help.

Commissioner, I'm going to welcome your testimony but I hope and I believe you see today how many folks are here, so many of whom directly serve the homeless and think that the city is going in the wrong direction. These are folks who want to help, who have been helping, in some cases for decades. I hope you're going to take their attendance here today as a message to you that you should rethink your strategies and get these folks involved with you in figuring out the right solutions. With that, Commissioner, we welcome your testimony.

ROBERT HESS: Thank you Mr.

Chairman. Before I begin, let me acknowledge that

the faith based leadership that has provided volunteer beds and support across our city for so many years is critically important. We are respectful of that work, very much appreciated.

I've always wanted to continue and to enhance that partnership and we fully intend to do just that.

With that said, I'd like the opportunity to go through the testimony and then answer any questions that you may have.

Chairman de Blasio, members of the Committee, good afternoon. My name is Rob Hess, I'm the Commissioner of the Department of Homeless Services. I have to my right George Nashak, our Deputy Commissioner for Adult Services. We appreciate the opportunity to testify before you today, when the city's effort to develop critical solutions to street homelessness here in New York and our continued commitment to develop resources to best serve the most vulnerable New Yorkers on our streets.

As I sit before you today, the city has seen a 25% reduction in the number of homeless individuals living on the streets of our city. A quarter less individuals are forced to sleep on

parks or on sidewalks each night because our solutions are in fact working. Today I'm here to detail to you the city's strategy that has led to this reduction, consisting of four key focuses.

First accurately identifying and measuring the number of street homeless individuals in New York City. Second; reorganizing a more effective street outreach program. Third; redesigning our intake process and fourth, increasing access to beds citywide.

Together this plan is working to take care of the most vulnerable New Yorkers and actively make a difference in reducing the street population with innovative thinking and a comprehensive approach. DHS is less than two weeks away from conducting its fifth annual citywide Hope homeless street count. Last year Council Members Brewer and Garodnick volunteered their time to participate in the HOPE count and we were grateful to have their assistance. I invite and urge all council members to take part this year as we walk the streets of New York on January 26th to count those living unsheltered throughout the city's five boroughs.

It is important to understand just how vital HOPE is to this agency's understanding of the street population and the success we have seen in reducing it. Before Mayor Bloomberg, there was no formal measurement of the number of homeless individuals living on the streets of New York City. Conflicting estimates provided poor data and we were unable to properly quantify the number of individuals on the street, making it

Street homelessness is the most visible kind of homelessness in urban life, intolerable to all those who encounter it for both humanitarian and quality of life reasons. Because of the critical nature of this problem, we reformed our approach and resolved to better understand the issue. On the HOPE count's implementation, remarkable data was mined and results achieved.

difficult to customize and provide those services

they needed the most.

The fourth annual citywide HOPE count in January of last year indicated an estimated 3,306 homeless individuals on the streets of New York City, which is a 12% reduction

from the previous year and a 25% decrease of over 1,000 individuals since 2005. We were able to achieve this significant reduction through the streamline process, resulting from the street outreach reorganization. The intake redesign and increasing beds citywide will only lead to continued reductions in the street populations as we move forward.

Oftentimes progress means stopping, listening and learning so that practices may be updated and adopted to better serve those in need. After ongoing conversations with New Yorkers living on the streets, we better understood what services they were most likely to accept. We educated ourselves based on their feedback and through innovative solutions we revised our approaches to new levels of success.

DHS reorganized its street outreach program to a single point of accountability in each borough, under one provider. We put in place performance based contracts where providers need to make housing placements in order to earn their full budgets. Budget funding was allocated in correlation to the percentage of street population

2.0

by borough. And outreach teams reorganized to
each provider overseeing specific geographic areas
for which they are fully responsible. We
streamlined 16 different providers to just 4, with
one for each borough, combining Queens and
Brooklyn. Direct relationships between providers
and DHS along with key inter-agency partnerships
has allowed for collecting and sharing information
to manage programs more effectively than ever
before.

On a monthly basis, DHS holds

Street Stat, where providers and other agency
partners such as Sanitation, NYPD and Parks meet.

Each month we focus on one provider and the
progress they have made as well as the challenges
they have faced and what we can learn. It is a
highly effective tool and we continue to refine
our processes from it. All of these aspects
combined create a more effective system that
better serves our clients and works to more
quickly place them into housing.

Outreach teams work in a number of ways to serve clients on the ground. Their two main responsibilities, however, are to canvas and

case work. They canvas assigned areas and identify areas where street homeless individuals gather and work with them to move those individuals from the streets to housing. Then there are the directly operating DHS scout teams, whose sole purpose is to look for areas of congregation and identify them so that outreach teams may focus on them and develop relationships with the population to encourage them to accept housing options and move towards life in a home of their own rather than a life on the street.

Since the reorganization of DHS' street outreach services in the fall of 2007, we have placed approximately 3,000 individuals, 1,100 chronic and 1,900 non-chronic individuals from the street into housing. The city found a frequent road block in placing unsheltered individuals is their rejection of the traditional shelter system and their own willingness to come in off the streets to that system.

We needed to develop an acceptable alternative to help move folks to sleeping in beds rather than on park benches. Through the creation of safe havens, a form of low threshold housing,

2.0

offering a customized approach with fewer rules, no curfews and no sobriety requirements for entrants we were able to move clients who spend an average of seven and a half years on the street into housing. Simply put, for those with difficulty navigating the rules, these safe havens are a tailored solution.

There are multiple doorways meeting the needs of non-chronic clients as well for each and every street home in client receives our utmost attention. For those who may not be a candidate for safe havens, outreach teams explore the possibility of traditional shelter, faith based beds and drop in center services and more. Once a client becomes known to our outreach teams, they continue to revisit the client on a regular basis to work to bring them in towards what consistently has been and always will be our ultimate goal of permanent housing.

Originally decentralization of the shelter system was thought to be a possible new approach to intake. However, interaction with the street population demonstrated certain faults to the plan's roots. The plan primarily was based on

door.

the premise that street homeless clients were
likely to come into an intake center in the first
place or to utilize a traditional shelter.

Experience has taught us that that's not the case.

By reengineering street outreach DHS has
reexamined the intake process and how clients
enter the shelter system. We have taken services
curb side, bringing the door of intake to the
client rather than asking the client to find the

It is important to understand that many of the street homeless voted with their feet and actually are more likely to accept services through a customized approach like that offered through outreach teams where they are processed directly from the street to a bed rather than going through an intake process. However, with regard to homeless individuals who do utilize the traditional shelter system, we will be moving intake to the Bedford Atlantic Facility later this spring, while in tandem opening a second intake center in Manhattan. This move will allow us to improve the intake process for those who undergo the traditional intake process while continuing to

2.0

2.3

evolve our system.

We will improve Bedford Atlantic.

Bed Atlantic will be slimming down the number of beds from 350 to 230 while at the same time improving services. The ratio of staff to clients will improve, we will see the security of the client ratio go up and programs will be enriched to better serve those at the center. Increased prevention diversion, family reunion, landlord mediation and financial assistance will be provided. We're looking at a better, faster, stronger facility.

team is working to ensure the permanent housing options or alternative shelter options are offered to those currently sheltered at 30th Street before the transition to the new intake center takes place. It is of the utmost important to DHS that those in shelter continue to receive important services that they need. New York City will always work to implement programs that offer vulnerable New Yorkers what they need most at night: beds.

In fiscal year 2010 we will

2.0

increase the number of city beds dedicated to clients on the street by 60% to over 1,100 through safe havens, stabilization beds and faith based facilities. At the same time, the city's formal shelter system as beds available is the foundation of the city's comprehensive approach to addressing the needs of the homeless. I'm pleased to tell you that next year we'll be expanding several of our bed systems. Our faith beds will expand from approximately 285 to 495.

Faith based beds are small privately operated shelter beds typically run by religious organizations and staffed by volunteers who are members of the congregation. They provide beds to homeless individuals that do not suffer from significant mental illness or substance abuse problems. Faith based beds are linked to clients through drop in centers, which serve to connect the clients to the beds. In an effort to increase a larger, more efficient faith based bed and drop in center network that is streamlined and effective, two new RFPs have been issued for both faith based bed programs and the DHS drop in center program.

It does not make sense for a client to come to a drop in center in the Bronx to sleep in a faith based facility in Brooklyn. We want to make it work for the client. Therefore these 495 beds will be restructured to be more efficiently linked to drop in centers in the immediate vicinity for client convenience. At the same time, we're looking to increase safe haven beds

from the current capacity of 298 to approximately

11 500 by fiscal year 2010.

Over the past year, more than 600 chronically homeless individuals have been served throughout the city at safe haven facilities. We are truly putting control back into the hands of the client for this individualized approach, giving them the keys to their private living space. From outreach worker to client is a move from the street to housing. Stabilization beds will increase from 150 to 180. Stabilization beds are modest housing options that accept clients directly from the street, where clients can live safely in individualized space while housing applications are being processed. While safe haven provide services on site the stabilization

2.0

beds outreach workers act as case workers as well.

In sum, these total bed increases in multiple categories mainly will go from approximately 700 beds today to 1,100 beds total in 2010, a 60% increase. Our most vulnerable New Yorkers will find a bed is the best solution for them and that beds are available to them.

In these difficult times, New York
City has reengineered its street solution as
prepared to successfully meet demand and serve the
needs of those New Yorkers who come to us, no
matter how many individuals that may be. We will
continue to provide safe intake into shelter and
we will continue our priority goal of permanent
housing. And we will continue, above all, to
ensure all efforts encompass decent and humane
treatment of individuals who are experiencing
homelessness. Our mission to reduce the street
homeless population is a top priority and we will
work to see a continued reduction of the street
population across New York City.

I thank you and I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you

2.0

Commissioner. I certainly have a number of
questions, I'm sure my colleagues do, too. I'd
like to welcome Council Member Tish James. I'd
like to welcome Council member Helen Diane Foster.
I'm going to raise some issues here before turning
it over to my colleagues.

I have to say at the outset,

Commissioner you know we've worked together a

while now and I think I've expressed in word and

deed my appreciation for some of the things you've

done that I think were good reforms and important

improvements. I think you believe you're trying

to do something good but I'm astounded in this

case because it's pretty clear you didn't talk to

the folks who were doing this work. I believe you

when you say you think you have tried to gather a

sense from homeless folks of their needs. That,

obviously, is one of the first steps in the

process.

But I don't feel you've talked to the folks, like so many in this room who have been providing this service. Many of them, for a long time and I don't mean this as a show of disrespect. But many of them who were providing

this help for the homeless for 10 or 15 or 20 years before you came to New York City. I think there has to be a certain humility in public service to start the discussion with the people actually doing the work and succeeding in helping others. So could you tell me why they were not brought into this process?

actually we had a couple of opportunities. We can always benefit from more conversation, whether that conversation be with people experiencing homelessness or that conversation be with people providing volunteer or other support to people experiencing homelessness. That said, before we issue an RFP, let me say we issued an RFP because the existing contract for these services is up at the end of June this year. We're legally required to issue RFPs.

But before we issued those RFPs, we did a couple of additional things. I actually met with the current contract provider, Partnership for the Homeless. Asked them to arrange a meeting for me with the key representatives of the faith based community who were providing these services.

2.0

2.3

I did meet with that group. In addition to meeting with that group, listening to their issues, concerns, suggestions, we then took the, I think, rather extraordinary step of issuing a concept paper prior to the issuance of each of these RFPs.

We received a variety of comments and suggestions, recommendations from a broad based group of volunteers and providers and interested individuals across the community. All of that information and recommendations and suggestions that were received were taken very seriously. We then modified the RFP before they were released to reflect much of the recommendations and comments that we've received. So that was the process that we went through.

Could there be more discussion?

Could we always learn from additional

opportunities to meet with folks? Absolutely. We

continue to be willing to do that. Just this past

week my office reached out to half a dozen or so

leaders of various faith based groups that are

providing services and we'll continue to meet,

we'll continue to discuss, we'll continue to

2.0

2.3

remain flexible, we'll continue to try to learn,
we'll continue to figure out how to provide the
best possible services that meet the needs of the
people that are experiencing homelessness in our
city. And figure out how to do that the most
collaborative and partnership ways.

So I don't think it's fair to say that we haven't listened. We try hard to listen in all areas, to all groups of stakeholders.

Could we do more? Yes. Are-

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

[interposing] But Commissioner--

COMMISSIONER HESS: --we willing to do more? Yes. But to say we haven't done anything it just doesn't fairly represent the facts.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Well fine, you did some but I don't know what you think you heard and I don't know how anything that you put together here reflected these conversations. It just--Commissioner you always have a positive and uplifting message, almost bluntly to the point of distraction sometimes. We had a hearing on the mayor's homelessness plan, which as noble as it

was clearly has not come even close to yielding the results intended. You spent the entire hearing telling me how things were working beautifully.

It would be refreshing to hear once in a while that something wasn't done right, meaning I'm not wishing for things not to be done right. But when they obviously haven't been done right it would be refreshing to hear you say, we made a mistake and we want to fix it. So I don't think it's accidental that all these people are here who have been serving the homeless, in many cases, for much of their lives, and think there's a problem here.

I have to tell you, I often say that sometimes there's a consent of the govern problem. These are the folks who actually do the work on the ground, in our neighborhoods and they think this new policy doesn't work. They think they're being cut out and their congregations are being cut out. I would think you'd want to say to them, I don't want you to feel that way. I want to fix this problem; I need you to be part of the solution. Instead you seem to be saying,

2.0

2 everything's just fine.

said that. What I said was we could always learn, we're always available to listen, we're always available to continue in that dialogue. We will continue to be flexible to the extent that there are volunteers and providers in the community, faith based services that feel like they haven't been heard. We want to give them that opportunity. I certainly want the benefit of having the opportunity to hear from them and learn as a result. To the extent that we can find better ways to do it, we'll do it better.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: If people in this room are telling you their efforts to help the homeless are going to be compromised here.

Some have had them already closed, others are facing closure. How do you respond to that?

You've got real live people serving real live people and you are governing over a plan that will end that. So why is that okay? Why do you think that's acceptable?

COMMISSIONER HESS: I don't agree that we're working on a plan that will end that.

The reality is that in certain cases I think there were 20 facilities. There were facilities that were in relatively remote locations, not close to drop in centers, open in some cases a couple of days a week and in other cases a couple of months a year. What we tried to do is figure out how to provide services best to our clients. So those services have been discontinued in some of those facilities. We added four new facilities. The overall capacity has not gone down and overall bed nights will actually increase a little bit. In fact, we have engaged in conversation with some of those folks and we're open to engaging in conversation with others.

For example, Embry United Methodist Church was one of those facilities that did close. Now Embry after entering conversation with our staff, I'm pleased to say will be reopening on January 19th, seven nights a week for at least three months. And actually are taking referrals from outreach teams directly off the street. We think that's wonderful news. We're very excited about that. We think that's a great partnership. We think that's the kind of approach where we all

can work together in partnership to provide even better services to people that need them so much.

I think that's the approach we'd like to take.

I'm available and will continue to be available to meet with a variety of groups to be able to figure out how best to serve people experiencing homelessness in this city that we all care so much about. I respect and appreciate how much they do care and how they're willing to volunteer their time and, in many cases, to help these folks.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Again, you're not admitting any mistakes. You're not admitting anything should be done differently so it would be fair for anyone hearing that to say, okay, then it's questionable how much you appreciate what these congregations have been doing because again, some have had to stop their programs. Others are faced with a closure of their programs.

You said at the end of your testimony, you were focused on decent and humane treatment of the homeless. With all due respect to your larger shelter system, I know there are

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good people trying to do good work in that system.

3 But I can not believe anything equates with the

4 work of these congregations in terms of humanely,

5 compassionately serving individual homeless folks

6 and we all agree, are some of the folks who are

7 hardest to serve. These congregations were doing

8 the work long before this city got its act

9 together and figured out how to do I properly.

And we're about to enter a period, economically, where we could have more and more street homeless. So something doesn't fit that you would be retrenching and cutting back this front line of help for the homeless, community based, humane, compassionate. You would be

cutting that back at the beginning of an economic

17 crisis. It makes no sense.

we're not cutting back. In fact we're not cutting it back. In fact what we're trying to do is create a system that will be well coordinated between drop in centers and faith based beds, a well located, in the best interest of serving the client. So in the case of the 20 that closed, one of which I mentioned will be opening back up

2.0

shortly, there were four new facilities that were opened. The number of bed nights overall will actually increase to be much closer to the drop in centers, easier to coordinate, better for the client. And we're not writing off anybody.

I truly appreciate and respect the work done at every church and synagogue and mosque that has taken on this work, that feel so passionate about this work. And I think sitting down and working together individually, we can find ways to match the volunteer work and availability with the needs of people that are homeless in those communities. But I don't think that transporting folks from a drop in, say, in Brooklyn to a faith based bed in the Bronx makes a lot of sense or is in the best interest of the individual client.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Look, I'm not going to go in circles with you here.

Commissioner, I think if you've got people in our neighborhood willing to serve the homeless and put their heart and soul into it, to volunteer their time. And by the way, if ever there was an administration that would be about the dollars and

sense and the bottom line, and you got people who are willing to give of themselves in a very organized effective manner who I'm sure would be willing to expand their efforts if the need got greater. Which is an extraordinary precious resource for this city and you're willing to see some of that go by the wayside. It makes no sense to me.

I bet you're going to be back here in short ordering saying how you're overwhelmed and you have more and more homeless to deal with and you wish you had more capacity. Well you're giving away capacity right now so why not find a way to integrate the many, many efforts that are working into your plan. That doesn't mean you shouldn't seek efficiency. It means don't throw the proverbial baby out with the bath water.

Don't let a good program get away; find a way to work with it.

I would urge you and I want to echo Council Member Foster made a very good point here. We're going to have some questions for you. But then I would strongly urge you to, as a show of good faith, to stay for a while and listen to the

first few panels after you, which are actual folks doing this work and hear from them what their experience is. I don't want to suggest for a moment you don't have a busy schedule; I know you do. But I would urge you to spend a little time after your testimony, after our questions to hear folks out.

Two other points and I'll turn to my colleagues. Commissioner, I think one of the things that goes through people's minds here and it's a perfectly fair concern is the kind of give them an inch, they take a mile dynamic. That these initial cuts, this retrenchment that are seeing some centers close will only potentially lead to others and that is both because of the change in strategy. But more importantly because you're going to be suffering budget cuts like every other agency. So how you respond to people who say, if you start to cut out some programs, it's just a matter of time before others get cut out?

COMMISSIONER HESS: I think, Mr.

Chairman, you can look at the RFPs, what we see is even these difficult budgetary economic times,

we've increased funding in these areas. So our commitment is to expand the number of available resources, specifically beds available in this area by 60%, starting in July. So we're making an unprecedented commitment that follows other unprecedented commitments to help people move off the streets into housing in a coordinated and effective way. That effort will continue. This is a top priority of the mayor's. It's certainly a top priority of mine.

We don't intend to give an inch on this. We're going to work as hard as we can every day with every partner that's willing to work with us, to help people that are currently living on the streets of this city be able to move into a home and that's our commitment.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: But are you saying that in addition to saying you're willing to meet with the congregations represented here, you're willing to rethink some of what you're doing? Are you saying you're ready to pledge that no further congregations will be cut out of this work?

COMMISSIONER HESS: No, I don't

want to see any congregations cut out. I think
what we have to do is figure out, in partnership,
what the right use is in any given area. That's
certainly, again to go back to the example, we
don't want to have to see clients go one place one
night, somewhere else another night to various
parts of the city. We got to be sensitive to
that. Ultimately our goal has to be the best
interest of the client.

With that said, we are looking forward to working with every faith based group that wants to work with us. There is plenty of work to go around, I think, to assist people experiencing homelessness in the city.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: But I'm saying, are you willing to say that going forward you will not take any further action to reduce the number of congregations involved in this work?

COMMISSIONER HESS: We have no plans to do that at all. We're going through an RFP process. We'll have responses in the next week or so and then we'll see where that leads us. But, no, there's no plans to ask any additional congregations to discontinue this work.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 38
2	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: So you're
3	not making a pledge, you're saying you have no
4	plans.
5	COMMISSIONER HESS: I'm saying
6	there's no plans.
7	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Okay. Last
8	question from me and then I'll turn to Council
9	Member James and a number of my colleagues. I
LO	want to welcome Council Member Vacca.
11	Commissioner, this hits home to a lot of us in
12	Brooklyn. So in today's testimony you say that
L3	you will be moving the intake operation to the Bed
L4	Atlantic shelter, which I don't even need to go
15	over again with you my concerns and my criticisms
L6	of that action in and of itself. But you use this
L7	general, I think, euphemistic, phrase at the same

I don't understand from the very beginning why that wasn't the plan. I think the facility should have stayed in Manhattan to begin with but if you're going to propose an alternative, I don't know why there wasn't a Manhattan facility in the plan from day one. And I don't know why it wasn't presented to the world

time you'll be opening a Manhattan facility.

2.0

2.3

specifically. I find it interesting that in your
testimony now you're not giving us any specifics.
So can you tell us exactly where this Manhattan
facility will be, how big it will be, what
services it will provide, when it will open
exactly?

COMMISSIONER HESS: Here's what I can tell you today. Let me go to the first part of your question. The first part of your question is why wasn't there a vision two sites, one in Manhattan, one in Brooklyn from the beginning.

I'll take a lesson from you earlier in this hearing and tell you that was a mistake.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: God bless you. [Laughter] I think the congregations here would affirm that point.

COUNCIL MEMBER: Amen.

COMMISSIONER HESS: We have a file a plan with the state to make the modifications that are outlined in the testimony at Bed Atlantic. We are awaiting the state approval to move forward with that; that will be step one.

We're still sharing information back and forth and having discussion back and forth with the state.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Once we receive that approval, then we will proceed with opening Bed Atlantic as an intake center. At the same time, we're continuing to operate an intake center at 30th Street. Under the state rules, we'll need to file a plan with the state 120 days prior to the anticipated closing of 30th Street. We will do that. Either before or at the same time we do that, we'll share with the Committee where we are. It's very much still in the planning stages.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: All right. Well I'm going to finish this round. I think I'll have questions after my colleagues but I'll finish this round by saying that I appreciate the point about making a mistake and I honor that. rest of your answer does not warm my heart. a little suspicious to me that you don't have a site yet decided upon and it makes me wonder whether that site will ever materialize. T think the better way to go about this is to say there will not be any action on the Brooklyn site until there is a Manhattan site that is acceptable. hear an editorial comment back here.

There's a Manhattan site that's

acceptable to that community and acceptable to the Council. I think you should reevaluate your entire plan. I would note and I really want to give a lot of respect to the folks in the area around the Bellevue site. I've seen something extraordinary here and I hope you appreciate it too, Commissioner, that people who are very actively involved in that community have said that they believe it is part of their commitment to the

city they live in to see the homeless served.

They actually think that that shelter does belong there and they have defended the right of the homeless to get those services there. So it's a story that I don't think has been acknowledged that a lot of people from the community who you would think any of the community would say oh, we're going to lose a government facility, isn't that wonderful. But it's been the exact opposite reaction; people saying, in fact, their concern is that the homeless will not be served as well if the facility leaves the Bellevue area. So I just want to take a moment to acknowledge that there is a lot of very decent and high minded New Yorkers who are looking at the big

2.0

picture and care about their fellow citizens.

With that Commissioner--that was the easy questioning. Now I'm going to turn to over to Council Member Tish James.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:

Commissioner, I'm reminded of this past week there was an exit interview of President George Bush.

He, too, refused to admit any mistakes and it was in fact all over the media and in a number of pundits. It just really talked about his failure and his disconnect to reality. Not suggesting that your testimony is comparable but I'm just reminded of it.

there is redesigning, reorganization,
restructuring by this administration, it
ultimately leads to reduction in services time and
time again. I would hope that the mayor of the
City of New York and you would do something
similar to what the Commissioner and the Mayor did
with respect to senior services and change course
and decided that it was not proper to restructure
senior centers in the City of New York. I would
hope that you too would reconsider this RFP,

particularly for the faith based community.

services for at least a minimum of 25 years. And to provide these services to, again, individuals who are not doing it for the love of money but are doing it because they want to be closer to God.

They believe as I believe, as someone who surrounds herself with a God squad, my six ministers in my neighborhood who have my back at all times. They believe that this will result in more homeless individuals being on the streets of the City of New York and I tend to agree with them.

So, Commissioner, I am asking you, I am pleading with you, I am urging that you reconsider this RFP for respite services. And that you continue to consult with the faith based community, provide them the degree of respect that they so deserve and recognize that they are doing the work of the angels. I'm asking that you do this on behalf of the faith based community, not only those that are represented in this room, but on behalf of all of those who care about the needs of the less fortunate in this great city.

The national statistics show that it's probably closer to a third. That's the data that we've

24

25

remaining homeless individuals who show up on

24

25

average?

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 47
2	COMMISSIONER HESS: At Bed
3	Atlantic?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER HESS: No, Bed
6	Atlantic beds at 230 will be assessment beds.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So what will
8	happen to the 130 beds set aside for the mentally
9	ill at Bellevue?
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: We've
11	got mental health shelters for men in other
12	locations. For example, the 200 bed mental health
13	shelter at the Fort Washington Armory. So we're
14	constantly looking at the demographics of our
15	population and trying to make certain we've got
16	the right match of program beds to match the
17	people that are coming in the front door.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: At Fort
19	Washington is it not true that you're at capacity
20	in terms of the mental ill?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: We may
22	be at capacity on a particular night. We are
23	constantly moving people into permanent housing
24	and then we're able to free up space so that
25	people can take their places.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And how much
3	permanent have we created in the City of New York
4	for the mental ill who were formerly homeless?
5	COMMISSIONER HESS: You have to
6	give me a date from which we could start, probably
7	with the New York, New York One and Two
8	agreements, which were predominantly if not
9	exclusively for people with mental illness, we're
LO	at 5,300 beds. We've just begun implementation of
11	the New York, New York Three agreement, which is a
L2	total of 9,000 not all dedicated to the mentally
L3	ill but preponderantly dedicated to the mentally
L4	ill. So we've created thousands of beds within
15	New York City, permanent housing beds with rental
L6	subsidies and support services and operating
L7	dollars for people with mental illness.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And the
19	mentally ill who come to the Bellevue intake
20	center, do they receive services at Bellevue?
21	COMMISSIONER HESS: Do you mean at
22	Bellevue Hospital?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: No, at the
24	site.
25	COMMISSIONER HESS: Sure.

specifically a mental health shelter so by taking down the 150 beds then we're not taking down mental health beds.

23

24

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Let's go
3	back to Bedford Atlantic. Bedford Atlantic I
4	believe the plan is it would continue as an
5	assessment center and an intake center, yes?
6	COMMISSIONER HESS: That's correct.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I have a
8	difficult time understanding the difference
9	between assessment and intake. Could you explain
10	the difference between the two? And does intake
11	requirement a different use of the building?
12	COMMISSIONER HESS: Different use
13	of the building, no. Intake is an administrative
14	function. When people come in we gather basic
15	information, search through databases to see if
16	they've been with us before. Then they go to
17	assessment beds where they go through a brief
18	period of time of assessment so we can ensure we
19	match them to the most appropriate shelter that's
20	available within our system across the city.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: On average,
22	assessment allegedly is supposed to take around 21
23	days.
24	COMMISSIONER HESS: Historically
25	it's taken about 21 days. We think that we can

2.0

2.3

services at Bed Atlantic.	reduce	that	time	period	significantly	bу	enhancing
	aorri a	20 2 t	Dod.	7+lan+i	~		

council Member James: And by enhancing services and reducing the amount of time at the intake center, you will be referring these individuals out to permanent residences?

COMMISSIONER HESS: We'll be referring out. Some will be diverted to permanent housing opportunities, some will be reunited with family, some will be assigned to the most appropriate shelters in our system.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Again, going back to the mentally ill. If the mentally ill constitute about 30% of the homeless in the City of New York and right now they're in Manhattan, how are we going to get them to actually come to Bedford Atlantic if they suffer from this illness?

COMMISSIONER HESS: As we've said numerous times Councilwoman, we intend to have access to intake center in Manhattan as well as at Bed Atlantic in Brooklyn. So anyone will have the opportunity to access either in Manhattan or in Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do we have a

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 52
2	location yet in Manhattan?
3	COMMISSIONER HESS: Yes, we have
4	30th Street. Prior to 30th Street closing we will
5	have another site.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you have
7	the address of that other site?
8	COMMISSIONER HESS: I do not.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you have
10	any idea whether or not it will be uptown,
11	downtown, east side, west side?
12	COMMISSIONER HESS: I do not at
13	this time.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Okay. Are
15	you considering any of your existing facilities as
16	an intake center in Manhattan?
17	COMMISSIONER HESS: We're
18	considering all options.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: When will
20	you have a final decision?
21	COMMISSIONER HESS: We'll have a
22	final decision prior to notification to the state,
23	which we have to do 120 days prior to the closure
24	of 30th Street.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Okay. The

Bedford Atlantic shelter has a reputation for being the most dangerous shelter in the entire system. In fact, the 77th precinct indicated that it accounts for a significant increase in violent crimes in my district, in that community, in the Crown Heights and Bedford Stuyvesant and Prospect Heights communities. Why would we want to put again a fragile constituency, i.e., the mentally ill in a shelter which is the most dangerous facility in the system.

at all that the current operation of Bed Atlantic is dangerous. We have spent a lot of resources in placing peace officers inside and outside of Bed Atlantic facility, as you know. The Bed Atlantic facility today is one of the safer facilities that we have in many ways. We spent a lot of time, a lot of resources and it has had a history of going way back to times when it was apparently a dangerous place to be. I don't believe that's the case today.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I have testimony or a number of residents at Bed Atlantic have contacted my office and have indicated that

2	in fact it is still a dangerous place and have
3	witnessed individuals engaging in sales of drugs
4	and have engaged in other type of illegal conduct.
5	So I believe the accounts of these individuals who
6	have contacted my office because they are living
7	it on a daily basis
8	COMMISSIONER HESS: [interposing] I
9	hope Councilwoman you'll pass along to us so we
10	can do the proper and thorough investigation.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I believe
12	that the community and the precinct themselves
13	have consistently cried out to DHS about the
14	conditions that continue to exist at Bedford
15	Atlantic.
16	COMMISSIONER HESS: With any
17	situations like this your personally aware of, I
18	hope you'll provide that to us so we can conduct a
19	proper investigation.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you plan
21	on holding any public hearings in the community
22	with regards to this proposal?
23	COMMISSIONER HESS: We've attended
24	a number of public hearings and forums in the

community already, as you know. We will remain

2.0

2.3

onen	+ 0	attending	futura	community	forume
open	LO	attending	ruture	COMMUNITLEY	LOLUMS.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Have you	
done an environmental impact or do you anticipat	e
doing an environmental impact study of the closu	ıre
of Bellevue center and its impact that it will	
have in the borough of Manhattan.	

COMMISSIONER HESS: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you anticipate doing a study of the impact of closing Bellevue center will have on the homeless population on the streets of Manhattan?

at that internally. We do not expect that there will be an impact on the street population in Manhattan. We have over the last couple of years added resources in terms of safe havens and stabilization beds and faith bed coordination throughout the borough of Manhattan. We will continue to do that. We'll continue to grow the bed resources.

As I stated earlier in my testimony, the number of beds will increase by 60% during the next fiscal year. So we don't expect that there would be an impact. The last thing we

2.0

2.3

want, we have made a lot of progress on the
streets. There are over 1,000 fewer people living
on the streets today than just a couple of years
ago. We have no interest in seeing any
backsliding on the street or more people ending up
on the streets. That is the last thing we want to
see. We will do everything within our power to
continue to help people move from the streets into
their own housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And if in fact this proposal goes forward and you realize that you were wrong, you would reverse course and close Bedford and Atlantic and reopen Bellevue?

COMMISSIONER HESS: Anytime we see that anything is occurring, whether we cause it or not, that is adding people to the streets we will deal with that quickly and decisively. It is our intent to ensure that the progress we've made on the streets continues.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Last
question, have you done any study about the impact
of having an intake center in my district of
Bedford and Atlantic would have on my
constituents, a district which is already over-

2.0

2.3

saturated with social service agencies	, which has
a very high crime rate, which is partly	y due from
Bedford and Atlantic and believes that	moving

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

[interposing] Council Member, come on, let's get a question in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Last question, by moving this would be in violation of the Fair Share Doctrine.

COMMISSIONER HESS: We actually think we're improving Fair Share in the area.

Currently you have 500 beds between Bed Atlantic and Peter Young across the street. We are reducing the number of beds from those 500 to 230.

reason why your testimony reminds me of President
Bush is because he thought that they did a good
job in Katrina, Iraq and Afghanistan. And again,
unfortunately I just believe in terms of the way
that we've handled the faith based organization,
the issuance of this RFP and the moving of the
intake center to my district again is reflective
of the mayor's insensitivity to the plight of the
homeless in the City of New York. And it fails to

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE SC
2	take into consideration the needs of our
3	particular district. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you
5	Council Member. Council Member Gale Brewer.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you
7	and thank you Commissioner. I don't know where in
8	hell's name you're going to find a site in
9	Manhattan but I wish you luck.
10	COMMISSIONER HESS: Thank you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Please
12	don't put it in my district; I have enough
13	problems with you know what.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: What's you
15	know what?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: St. Louis
17	on 94th Street. Safe havens, they exist now. How
18	many of them exist and where are they, just
19	generally?
20	COMMISSIONER HESS: About 280 some
21	exist today. We're planning to ramp up to 500. I
22	think almost all of the safe haven beds are in
23	Manhattan.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: And
25	Queens.

Ιf

are trying to do whatever they got to do to

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

survive.

But the question is how do you look at this travel. Either the travel of the transportation -- what was brought up was if under a new RFP the respite program has to provide the transportation and the linen. The individual who is part of that system perhaps he or she would get a ride in a van but perhaps he or she would have to take a Metrocard. The trouble with that, leaving it up to somebody else and not the city, in my opinion is that if you take a Metrocard. I guess in worst case scenario you end up going no where. I've had three transit checks in the last three months and they all break down because there's something wrong with the system in terms of it working. So maybe the Metrocard doesn't work, etc.

You have to kind of think ahead about how the travel arrangements would work. I understand we want shorter distances but is this something that you discussed with the faith based or anybody else to see how travel might actually work? Just on the ground with the details.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Yes, thank you

2.0

for that question Councilwoman. Under the current contract, DHS was providing for transportation services and linen services. When we got to the point of being at the end of the contract and legally needed to issue a new RFP, I made the decision that the government procuring those particular services may not be the most efficient way to do it. So I made the decision to take that money and to put it into the pool that would be available to the providers in order to procure their services.

And we did not specify in the RFP intentionally how they would provide those services because I don't frankly know if someone who is going to respond to the RFP may have a drop in center with faith based beds next door and people can walk to those beds. They could use the money for something else. So we kept it intentionally broad enough so that whoever responds can respond in a way that makes the most sense for them. You wouldn't want to require under the example I gave for someone to have to have a bus contract to move somebody from a drop in center across the street to beds so there's

2.0

better uses for that money. So the first decision that I made is probably DHS procuring transportation, linen services might not be the best use of those dollars. So we put that into the pool of the RFP.

The second decision was to let the respondents to the RFP decide how best to provide that transportation. Now when we get the responses and we'll get them in the next couple of weeks and we review them and decide who the winners are and enter in to negotiations with the winners. If a proposal is a winning proposal but we think is a little bit short on the transportation side for reasons maybe like you have mentioned, then we will enter into discussions with that award winner to shore that piece up.

We'll have an opportunity to do
that as we move forward before we issue award
letters and new contracts. But that's the answer
to your question. I firmly believe that better to
take that money that's historically been procured
services through DHS, put it into a pool where the
provider can make better use of those funds.

I think

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

2.0

8 say, that you will actually do in terms of working

9 with the contractors.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Councilwoman,

we try very hard to--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

[interposing] I know you do. You have to talk a little bit more to the community, sir. Next is the faith based community. I want you to walk me through because I know you say this is not true but I don't understand it. They are concerned, understandably, that the people who will be coming to them will have challenges that they can't meet. Individuals now, I'm not as faithful as so many people here in the audience, I've only done this a couple of nights. But I will tell you these people are the salt of the earth in our city. They develop relationships with people who then go on and get jobs based on the congregation's

support, that's a fact. So now the question is, however, if a person is too challenging you can't do that, you just can't. I know these people, you can't do them.

So the question is why do you counter what the faith based community states, which is the people will not be assessed enough and they will in fact have slightly different challenges that are not able to be met by those mainly women, not exclusively. But a lot of women, a lot of children some times; people come with their kids, serve dinner, take the kids home and come back. It's really, really something to be looked at with great admiration. So how do you answer this question? The people who are being housed in the faith based are not going to be more challenging.

COMMISSIONER HESS: One of the things that came out when we issued the concept paper, we did not initially have as a role of the drop in centers to screen people before they went to faith based beds. But we had a number of comments during the comment period for the concept paper that we should consider a screening process

2.0

2.3

to be done at the drop in center for just the
reasons that you're describing. We listened to
that and we added that to the RFP.

So today, if you look at the RFP, anyone who applies under the drop in RFP will have to provide those screening services prior to connecting clients at the drop in center to the faith based beds.

where will the more challenging individuals go since they can't stay on the chairs all night long? Because you know what? There's a reason they're on the chairs, without being specific, they're challenging people.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Challenging people, depending on their circumstances now are sleeping well in safe haven beds and stabilization beds and there are some churches or synagogues or mosques that are better equipped and prepared and have a more service rich environment than others.

I mean--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

[interposing] Give me an example.

25 COMMISSIONER HESS: I will. Embry

United Methodist for example, after again talking with us, working with us, is going to open their doors on, again, January the 19th and they will be accepting referrals from the Queens outreach team, directly from folks that are on the street. So the people have different service levels available and they have different desires in terms of who they would like to provide services to. We'll be respectful of that.

again, that's one of those issues that as the responses come in you need to think about that issue. It sounds good that there's one place where folks who are more challenging can go but my guess is because there are more financial opportunities in Manhattan, there are a lot of challenging individuals in Manhattan, too. I don't quite know how that's going to work out.

The other question I have is can you just sort of walk me through if there is going to be a different assessment process and if there is, how it is different from what currently exists.

COMMISSIONER HESS: From the drop

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 6
2	in centers?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER HESS: We won't know
5	that specifically until we see the responses to
6	the RFP. One of the requirements that we have,
7	again, taken from the concept paper
8	recommendations is that there be a screening
9	process that ensures the people with severe mental
10	illness or substance abuse are not referred to the
11	faith based beds.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Will some
13	of these faith based beds have to have paid staff,
14	which I don't know where they would get the
15	funding, if they are to take more chronically
16	challenging individuals?
17	COMMISSIONER HESS: I think we've
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
19	[interposing] That's the fear of some of the faith
20	based.
21	COMMISSIONER HESS: I think we'll
22	see what the responses are. One of the things
23	that we may see or some of the hybrid kind of
24	models that you're describing, where maybe we'll
25	get a response that would include some paid staff

2	and some unpaid volunteers to support that. I
3	don't know. We'll have to wait. I think we're
4	ten days or so away from all the RFP responses
5	being and we'll have an opportunity then to read
6	the responses, evaluate them and see where we are.
7	But we're open and flexible to meeting the needs.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The issue
9	of special needs populations, I know we talked
10	mostly about men but you also have women and you
11	also have older adults, men and women. How are
12	those individuals going to be re-housed, if at
13	all, in your scenario?
14	COMMISSIONER HESS: Apparently
15	we're having additional budget challenges.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Somebody
17	just hit the lights. Peter's Place, Olivieri, et
18	cetera.
19	COMMISSIONER HESS: Two separate
20	questions, first on the drop in side. What we've
21	found on the drop in side is that we have a couple
22	of, now I think of all female drop in facilities,
23	one
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
25	[interposing] Olivieri.

COMMISSIONER HESS: --yes, one

senior facility. And then we look at the other facilities that we have and there is a mix of male and female, younger and old, in our other facilities. We think with the business hour model and trying to connect people with beds at night rather than having them it up in a chair, that we won't need these specific population facilities, that all of our drop ins will be able to accept both male and female, both younger and older.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think speaking particularly about Olivieri because that's the one I know the best. I can't imagine some of those ladies mixing so that's a challenge. Those are ladies that have their own individual ways of doing about business and they may have been there for a long time but that's the way it works for them. I think you need to look at that very carefully. And also Tony Olivieri, may he rest in peace, he meant a lot to many of us.

My final question and maybe we can come back is how do you see the timing on all of this in terms of making the suggestions? In other words, are you going to be meeting again with some

of the providers once you get the information back? In order to fine tune, as you say, some of these ways in which making this a system that works. I'm, needless to say, asking nice questions because that's my temperament. I have tremendous concerns about this project.

But how do you see the ability to fine tune because when the RFP goes out, it's my impression that you have to stick with some of the ways of which it's constituted even though it may not make sense. So how do you work on that fine tuning? This is a really challenging population. I understand you're under the gun to get people in to beds. I don't quite know where all these beds are but you say they exist. Also just keeping people in those beds, that's another challenge. How are you going to fine tune it?

COMMISSIONER HESS: We're going to do a number of things. We'll get the responses to the RFP in the next ten days or so. We'll review them carefully, we'll evaluate them. We'll begin negotiations with the top rated proposals. In the meantime, just this past week we have continued to reach out to a number of the key churches and

24

25

2	synagogues in the faith based network. And I hope
3	to continue to have an opportunity to meet and
4	continue that dialogue. So we'll be as flexible
5	as we can, we will refine as we go along. We have
6	to start with taking a close look at the responses
7	that we do receive from the RFP and we'll be able
8	to do that in about another ten days.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.
10	Thank you Mr. Chair, maybe we can go back later.
11	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you
12	very much Council Member, now Council Member
13	Annabel Palma.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you.
15	Thank you Commissioner for your testimony.
16	COMMISSIONER HESS: Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: I'm
18	interested in knowing how are the safe havens
19	identified.
20	COMMISSIONER HESS: Identified
21	typically by providers; we have an open ended RFP
22	out for safe havens. So a provider in the

community that finds a site that they think would

under that open ended RFP. Then, of course, we go

be appropriate for a safe haven, they can apply

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE /2
2	through the evaluation and negotiation of the
3	contracting process.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: So these
5	safe havens are being advertised through DHS web
6	sites?
7	COMMISSIONER HESS: Yes.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: What are the
9	other means of advertisement? How can someone
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK:
11	[interposing] When you say advertisement, do you
12	mean to the clients who are potentially users or
13	the people who want to create one.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: To the
15	people who may have a location.
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: Well
17	we have an open ended RFP, which is a ongoing
18	process of procurement that allows people who are
19	interested in providing this service to come
20	forward with a proposal.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: But someone
22	has to go into DHS web site so
23	COMMISSIONER HESS: [interposing]
24	That's right.
25	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: When

2.0

2.3

we first put the open ended RFP on the table, we
also sent notification to our bidders list of all
the people who have bid or provided services to us
in the past. So we did, at the beginning of this,
some marketing if that's the right word

COMMISSIONER HESS: We also have an opportunity to talk publicly about this routinely. We always let people know, we try to let people know what open ended RFPs we have so that if they have an interest in a specific area they can follow up on that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NASHAK: But to address the outcome of this, I would say it seems that we've been fairly effective because we have had a sufficient number of providers interested in proposing viable projects to meet the goal we have set for ourselves.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: In your testimony, Commissioner, you state that chronically homeless mean more than nine months in the street for someone to qualify for a safe haven bed?

COMMISSIONER HESS: Yes, over a two year period of time. If someone's been on the

2.0

2.3

streets for nine months or more over a two year
period of time then we prioritize that individual
as chronically homeless and work with them in a
priority manner to help them move to housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: So does this individual need to prove he's been on the street more than nine months?

COMMISSIONER HESS: We try not to be particularly bureaucratic on the street. What we try to do is have the outreach workers engage with them. In many cases we will have a record of having encountered the individual in the street before our outreach teams will recognize that individual as someone that they've encountered multiple times on the street. So that's typically how the determination is made.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: So the outreach team doesn't have a time where they need to confirm that this person has been out in the street?

COMMISSIONER HESS: They need to determine it to their satisfaction but we don't ask for paperwork to document you've been on the street for nine months.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: So this
3	person can be in a bed immediately or? Okay.
4	And if they do not meet that criteria then what's
5	the process in terms of the outreach team to get
6	this person
7	COMMISSIONER HESS: [interposing]
8	If they're not chronically homeless individuals
9	they certainly have the right to come into shelter
10	on any given night. They have the right to go to
11	a drop in center. And they have the ability,
12	after being screened, to access a faith based bed.
13	It's one of the reasons why we think it's so
14	important that we increase significantly the
15	number of available faith based beds across the
16	city, to be able to provide those faith based bed
17	opportunities to people that are not chronically
18	homeless but are living on the streets. And to
19	give others the opportunity to move from that kind
20	of metal folding chair to a bed at night.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: The outreach
22	team then refers them to the intake center and
23	then see that process through?
24	COMMISSIONER HESS: That's correct.
25	Well, they don't have to go to an intake center

2	necessarily. They could go to an intake center if
3	they're willing to come into shelter and some do.
4	They could go directly to a drop in to be screened
5	and then access a faith based bed from there.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Then my last
7	question is are the outreach teams the only people
8	that can refer individuals to safe haven beds?
9	COMMISSIONER HESS: Yes. The
LO	reasoning there is we want the outreach workers to
11	really take their services curbside and really do
12	the intake work that historically has been done by
L3	the bureaucracy. So people that have lived on the
L4	streets a significant amount of time have told us
L5	that they're not coming into an intake center and
L6	we don't want them to have to come into an intake
L7	center in order to move off the street into their
L8	own home or into a bed. So we've given the
L9	outreach team the complete authority to be able to
20	make that happen.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER HESS: You're welcome.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you,

Council Member. Commissioner, a few more

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions that I have but let me make a statement based on one of the things Council Member Brewer said, the point about -- I know it may not be typical but I think it illustrates what we're talking about here, that in some instances congregations that have actually helped some of the people they serve to get a job and to get situated. I think that it crystallizes the quality, the level of compassion, the level of humanity, the sort of personal intervention that goes on here and how much it is a neighborhood taking responsibility for people in need in their own midst and a congregation taking responsibility. So again, I think that is I think it's a higher level of precious. effectiveness than any - when I say bureaucracy I don't mean this derogatorily but - than any bureaucracy could ever reach. I think the idea of actual neighborhood people embracing someone as one of their own and saying we are taking responsibility. We're using all the resources and relationships at our disposal to find you a job or to find you housing. We can only dream of that happening...

the RFP but you will lose capacity simultaneously at some of the sites that can not comply with the

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RFP provisions, that stands to reason. A site that can't provide a hot meal, a site that can't stay open at least five days for example.

I assume you have a number, a working number, for how many you're going to lose to offset whatever else you're doing it so I want to ask that. I want to ask you or get clear in terms of the safe haven beds. You have 298 now and you expect 500 by the end of the fiscal year. Again, only for chronically homeless people meaning that they must have been homeless nine months out of the last 24. So that seems to suggest, I want to make sure I'm getting this right, that the folks who do not fit in that category but are on the streets don't end up in the safe haven. And it is perfectly fair assumption that we're going to have more and more folks who are not in that category because of what's happening in the economy. We're going to have more new homeless on the streets, sadly.

Then stabilization beds, you have 150 now and you're talking about going to 180, if I understand correctly. But it's not a major increase so when you add all that up what we see

2	is a decrease overall, I think roughly a 12%
3	decrease because especially we are assuming you'll
4	be losing a meaningful number of the respite beds
5	because of sites that can't meet the standards of
6	the new RFP even though they've served effectively
7	in the past. We see a decrease, what do you see?
8	COMMISSIONER HESS: That must be
9	new math.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I had the

11 numbers--

2.0

2.3

COMMISSIONER HESS: [interposing]

Let me try to go through the numbers that I

believe accurately reflect where we are today and where we expect to be.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: By the way,
I just want to emphasize every number I gave you
is from your own publicly available sources, none
of that was from anything but DHS.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Let me just add two additional numbers then. Currently if you add the 285, the 298 and the 150 together, I believe that brings you to 733. If you then add the 495, I actually think we'll get to 510 safe haven beds, and the 180 stabilization beds that brings you to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 81
2	over 1,100 - 1,185, which I believe is a 60%
3	increase.
4	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Let me
5	counter you, I'm going to give you the original
6	numbers again based on the experience of the last
7	fiscal year. So 150 stabilization beds, 298 safe
8	haven beds, 285 faith based beds and space for 644
9	in drop in centers; a grand total of 1,377. So
10	we
11	COMMISSIONER HESS: [interposing] I
12	think
13	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:
14	[interposing] Hold on, let me finish. I'm sorry.
15	We count your 180 and your other numbers and we
16	end up with your new total as 1,175, your previous
17	total was 1,377, so we get you as down 200 or so
18	spaces. Now again, and we're going to come back
19	to this in a moment, no one wants to see anyone
20	sleeping in a chair inside a warm building
21	attended to by actual compassionate human beings.

But even worse do we want to see someone on the street? So I see you as having 200 less spaces available, what do you see?

25 COMMISSIONER HESS: Here's a

22

23

24

couple--I don't see 200 fewer beds available. I see counting in the 644 chairs as beds. But let me explain why I think that's significant and there's a couple of reasons. First, the 495 is an average number across the year. It could higher at some points in the year, lower at other points in the year and flexible enough to meet demand. The 644 that you referred to as the chairs and drop ins today, first of all not all of the individuals utilizing those chairs do not have a home to go to or a bed to go to. Let me explain that.

I had the opportunity just the other day to spend some time at Grand Central drop in center. There is a procedural problem in some cases that causes some people with beds in the shelter system to spend a night in a chair at a drop in center, for example, and we have to fix that. I encountered a group of individuals who had beds at Charles Gay, who were actually spending the night in chairs at Grand Central because for whatever reason they didn't feel like they were going to be able to get back in time.

We have to fix that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there are other pieces to this here that we're going to have to work through. Αt the end of the day, our intent is to see that anyone at a drop in center who wants a bed, gets a And that no one goes from the drop in center to the street. And I think, Mr. Chairman, you know me well enough to know that there is no way that we have any interest in creating a policy or creating a procedure that leads to additional people sleeping on the streets of this city. That's not what we're about in this administration. That's not what any of our policies have been about up until now and that's not what we're going to allow to happen moving forward--

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

[interposing] Commissioner, I appreciate your passion but I'm telling you, you are giving up this precious resource. The whole hearing you are not denying that there are congregations that are no longer going to be able to do this work because the changes you've made. Again, I emphasize, these are real human beings committed to helping. That is different than anything a bureaucracy

could ever produce. And we're going into a period where we expect more and more people to be homeless. I'm sorry I certainly understand that there may be procedural problems and we all welcome you fixing those. I certainly think anytime a person can be in a bed that is better than a chair.

But I think you're being a little utopian here. I think you're putting a lot of faith in your outreach teams and your other methodologies to catch everyone out there. In fact, a lot of times it is the human dynamic that draws people in and makes them comfortable coming in to a situation where they'll be safer. You just don't have that in your plan so God bless you; go ahead and fix the procedural problems. Get more people to beds where you can, that's good.

But that does not replace the capacity that is available in our neighborhoods. It's just what these congregations is doing is different from what you're doing. And it is not in any way something you can replicate. I just don't see why you wouldn't be doing both. I don't

2.0

2.3

see why you wouldn't be protecting what you have,
every single space you have that a congregation is
providing. And then making your other reforms
around that rather than throwing out something
that's actually working?

COMMISSIONER HESS: I don't know how else to say it, with respect to the faith based beds, the respite beds, anybody reads the RFP will see that we're increasing the number of available beds through our faith based community from 285 to 495 on average with flexibility throughout the year. Now if we find at some point in the year that's not enough then we'll figure out how to add to it, as we have in the past.

So that's where we start from. We will meet the need. If we got this wrong and we need to increase the capacity, we will do that. Given our best estimates and taking a hard look at what's occurred in the past, this is what we put in the RFP because we believe it's what is appropriate. If we're right, great. If we're wrong, we'll add to it.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: But you can't be wrong for very long without actual people

suffering. These congregations have built up a lot of experience and a infrastructure. If you shut it down you don't know if you're going to get it back for when you actually need it. Again, there's a little bit of an Orwellian dynamic going on here. You're acting like something hasn't already happened that has taken away our capacity to help the homeless. Some of these congregations have had to close down that work they're doing already. Others, from everything they understand are going to have to close down soon, simply because of the publicly expressed new standards in your RFP.

about 100 New Yorkers deeply involved in helping the homeless. Do you think they all suddenly had some kind of amnesia and misunderstood the rules? And they're all here because they have nothing else to do with their time today? There's a reason all these people are here, they are fearful that the work they're doing is going to be ended. It's great if you say, we're going to add more of the faith based beds, that's fantastic, but yet at the same time taking away the work that a lot of

these folks are doing.

I don't understand why you wouldn't want to be doing both. I don't understand. I've seen your budgets for years now and you always leave plenty of room for the need to handle more and more homeless. And you've always said one way or another that this city understands it has to be there for the homeless so that OMB, even, will give you the resources when you need it.

But you have the capacity right here so unless you're going to tell me every single person in this room misunderstood what you put in your RFP. I think what I need to hear from you is you're going to go back to the drawing board now, not after it fails and fix the problem now.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Look, I told you where it's been. I told you we're going to be flexible. As we go back and look at what's already happened, we're talking about 20 churches that were not meeting the standards that currently exist in the existing contract. We opened four additional ones that were closer to existing drop in so that we can better meet the needs of the

clients. We didn't just do that, though. We then began a process of reaching out tot churches, as we did with Embry United, to try to find ways that we can remain engaged with those churches and we'll continue to do that. You're quite right; we're not looking to turn people away that want to help. But we want to do that in a way that makes sense for the clients and for the individual congregations and we'll continue to do that.

Should pull back from your current strategy, get with all these people and figure out a plan that's actually going to work on the ground. You're using the Embry example over and over. It appears to be your only example of something, a case where you fixed the situation. You're exactly right, you're not hearing the point people are raising.

If I were in your shoes, I would admit that something has gone wrong here. Freeze this plan in place, get with the folks in this room and figure out how to make it work for folks who are right now trying to help the homeless.

I've got two more quick questions for you and then I really want us to close down this portion and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

let some of these panels come up so you can hear them and your staff can hear them. But I know Gale has a quick follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Just very quickly, in terms of the specifics. The issue with the hot meals I know is something that maybe you can be flexible on and maybe you can't. that's something that the faith based have some ideas about how to handle. That's an example of maybe it went out correctly, maybe it didn't but it's not making sense to people in the community. Five nights, three nights, two nights. when we spoke you said we could merge, we could have a collaboration, et cetera. That's not clear from the RFP. Again, you can't ask volunteers to necessarily do it five nights a week but guess what? You might have the best possible overnight opportunity at three nights a week and everybody does get services, contacts are made, people are off to doing something on their own.

The whole timing issue, I'm not the expert. People have different opinions and you do. These are people who have been doing it for 30 years. Maybe they've been doing it wrong for

30 years. My opinion of this community is I think the same as yours and the same as de Blasio's, this is an awesome community. These are not normal folks; they are like off the charts. So the fact of the matter is what they say, I think I would say this honestly, I listen to them more than I would listen to myself or most New Yorkers. Thank you.

agree with that. Now, Commissioner, let me take you back one more step on Bellevue. The economic crisis, there's no credit to be had, is the administration willing to rethink the Bellevue plan because it was all predicated upon selling this valuable property and not there may be no one to buy it?

COMMISSIONER HESS: I think that's a question I think we'd to EDC. I don't know what the plans are there. We've said right along that remaining in a facility like Bellevue that has its own physical plant challenges, is in need of serious renovations, would be extraordinarily expensive to stay is, is not something we've been interested in doing.

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: I respect
3	that. I do think there is a live possibility here
4	that that site will not be sellable anytime soon
5	and that it is a better solution to try to fix
6	that site than to create yourI don't mean to be
7	derogatory but it still sounds to me like a little
8	bit of a mythical new Manhattan site and to over
9	burden an existing Brooklyn site that needs plenty
10	of work itself. So I'm not sure the cost benefit
11	analysis would not favor staying in place. But I
12	asked you to help us get a formal answer to the
13	question, does the administration absolutely
14	continue to move forward with that sale given that
15	it's an environment where it may not be salable or
16	it only may be saleable at a very discounted an
17	inappropriate price.
18	COMMISSIONER HESS: We'll get you
19	an answer to that question.
20	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.
21	Lastly, on drop in centers, I think we have a
22	fundamental philosophical disagreement. You have

one version of common sense and I have another. I

bet a lot of folks here would agree with what $\ensuremath{\text{I'm}}$

concerned about, that if I knew your outreach

teams could find and appropriately handle everyone out there, I might feel differently. But I know you have real limits. I believe that on any given night, you're talking about something like 50 people in your outreach teams. I'm sure they do very good work; I've seen some of the good work in our district and I thank you for that.

But it's a big city; 50 can only get so far. So this fundamental question of whether it's better that someone be at a congregation they know even if, God forbid, they end up sleeping on a chair that night. At least they're inside and they're protected and they're with people who will help them, versus being out in the street waiting for an outreach team maybe to find them. This whole point about what should be the hours these centers are open and what's the role of drop in centers. Again, I feel like we're going into a more difficult environment.

You're suggesting a sort of more idealistic solution. I think I would look at it the other way around. Folks know where these existing drop in centers are, they work for them. Why not keep that in place? If you can prove to

2.0

2.3

us that the outreach teams over time are getting every single person out there, that's one thing but it's just not physically possible in this current environment.

outreach teams are doing spectacular work. The results have been spectacular, the whole shifting and reorganization. When we began to reorganize street outreach there was a lot of doubters, a lot of nay sayers, a lot of criticism around that.

The outcome has been spectacular. I'm extraordinarily proud of the work that's done on the streets across the city by outreach teams in coordination with police and parks and others each and every day.

It's going to be never more important than this coming weekend, as temperatures get to the point where people on the street will be at eminent risk. So I'm proud of the work they do. I don't pretend for a minute that they're going to be able to find every individual out there every second but they are doing great work. I think this reorganization will lead us to the same point. I think we can

2.0

all agree that we are not comfortable with

individuals sleeping in folding chairs. We can do

better than that.

I think we can all agree that we want to see every individual have an opportunity to sleep in a bed at night and be treated with all the dignity and respect they deserve. We can disagree on how to get there and that's a reasonable discussion. We ought to try to learn from each other on that discussion and do what's in the best interest of people that need our help at the end of the day and that's what we're committed to doing.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

Commissioner, again, I respect you but this is a classic kind of answer from you. You give us this positive ra ra about something that doesn't respond to the question. Do you have more than 50 people out in your outreach teams on a given night?

COMMISSIONER HESS: I think it's closer to 60.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Fine, 60 people for a city of 8.1 million people. Let me

suggest to you that the best 60 people on earth couldn't possibly find everyone who's out there on the street and needs help, in the same way that these folks who are in communities and know the actual homeless individuals and have relationship with them can. So again, why not keep what you have, continue to perfect and add to your outreach teams. They are great.

I'm a big supporter of them but they don't do the same thing as the existing efforts do. So why not keep both as opposed to creating this opposition. We'll close these drop in centers, people will somehow end up in a good situation. If they don't, our drop in teams will find them. It's just no physical way we can find all these folks.

COMMISSIONER HESS: No, I think what we said is when we go to a business model for drop in centers, we will do whatever is necessary to ensure that there are adequate beds for anyone who would have been in those chairs. And we're committed to that, we will do that. I can't let the 60 pass, however, in a city of 8.5 million people.

The reality is that our outreach teams work very closely with people, closer than ever before, very closely with parks, very closely with a whole host of other agencies. So it's not 60 people out there, it's many, many professionals that care very much about people in the streets that are coordinating their efforts in ways that have never happened before. I'm frankly very proud of that.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Well we disagree. I hope you will now stay with us to hear some folks who want to provide you with their direct experience. So Commissioner thank you for your testimony, thank you for taking all of our questions. We'll certainly be talking further about this.

COMMISSIONER HESS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Okay. I'd like to bring up the next panel, Hannah Cammins of Benay Jestrun, Jay Craynis of Rodeph Sholom, Joe Murphy of St. Andrew Avallino, Joe Benfatti of Riverdale/Yonkers Society for Ethical Culture and Judy Fran of Park Slope United Methodist. We have five folks coming up. I'm going to ask as people

are getting assembled here, I want to ask everyone who is going to be speaking today. I know people feel passionately and forgive us that it's taken this long to go through all the questions but everyone please be brief and to the point. Please be brief and to the point because there is so much ground to cover. Sergeant. I'm sorry, could we move it along? Thank you.

I want to say to the panel, please be brief and to the point because so many people want to speak today so try and get to--please don't read testimony today. If there is ever a day not to read testimony, this is that day. Just summarize, let us know what you feel. You can take turns rotating in and out. Sorry for the lack of physical space here.

I'm going to say it one more time to this panel but I'm saying it to every one else who is going to come up in a panel or in public testimony, try and keep it to a couple of minutes. Try not to read testimony. Try to summarize, get to your core point. If someone else has already said your point, you can amen their point rather than repeating all of it. What we're looking for

2.0

2.3

2	is what's unique and individual about each
3	person's experience. I appreciate the
4	Commissioner staying with us. That's what he
5	needs to hear, I know that. So who would like to
6	begin?

7 HANNAH CAMMINS: I'm here. I'm 8 going to do my best to summarize--

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

[interposing] Push the button and introduce yourself. Everyone introduce yourself before you begin for the public record.

MS. CAMMINS: My name is Hannah
Cammins. I'm the social action coordinator of
congregation B'nai Jeshurun on the upper west
side. I'm going to do my best to summarize. I
have notes; it's a full testimony. I'd like to
thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I'm
here representing congregation B'nai Jeshurun,
Church of St. Paul and St. Andrew Homeless
Shelter. Together through a true inter-faith
effort we operate a women's shelter all year round
and everything that comes with that, five nights a
week, ten women a night.

We've served the City of New York

for over 22 years. And I'll address a few of our primary concerns with these RFPs that are being discussed today that I think put our continued participation in jeopardy. Our concerns include the potential exclusion of current shelter providers with new requirements for nights open, number of beds and weeks in operation, which will result in less people served and shelters closing at a time, as you said, when more will probably be in need.

In addition, we have concerns about the exclusive focus on street homeless people, which we do not believe are the only people currently being served by drop in centers and/or respite beds. We have a concern about the need for direct transportation of guests to our shelters and we need to see that there is adequate screening of guests before they come to our beds. I think these concerns will echo with other people's concerns.

Our shelter, I just want to give you a sense of what it takes to run at least one of these operations, that is five nights a week,, ten women a night and all year round, so you get a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sense of what DHS is asking of every single congregation regardless of their resources. Our shelter takes a cadre of about 150 volunteers to keep running smoothly. We have two volunteers who set up the shelter nightly, two volunteers who sleep over nightly, five off site nightly coordinators who manage the monthly schedule for each night of the week. We have a supply coordinator, we have two program co-chairs and we have scores of food donors who provide meals each night, plus a person to manage that schedule. So this is a big operation, whether or not its done by professionals or volunteers. We have become professional at being volunteers.

I'm saying, again, that small congregations or congregations period, depending on their situations may not all have the resources that congregation B'nai Jeshurun and the Church of St. Paul and St. Andrew have in partnership with each other. The flexibility that this shelter network has had up until now is what has allowed the faith based organizations here today to open their doors. Many congregations simply won't be able to meet these new guidelines and ultimately

the city will lose out. You'll have less beds, not more with the way we interpret this RFP.

By the way, we've read it. We're not acting out of ignorance. I don't think that we're misunderstanding. We've read it very carefully and we deem ourselves quite intelligent. My understanding is that our shelter network, in fact, serves a certain number of people at a cost that's almost less than half of what it would cost to serve the same number of people in the larger shelter system. And we give added support that I think contributes to people's ability to get back on their feet.

Guests come to our shelter, they
form a community with one another. We encourage
an atmosphere of personal and collective
responsibility. Each guest feels a sense of
dignity that might be absent in a less intimate
setting and contributes to the self worth
necessary for them to turn the corner to a
brighter future.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Okay. Hold there one second. I'm going to ask you just to wrap it up just because there are so many other

2 people waiting. So just do your best to wrap up.

3 Commissioner, I want to give you a key word

4 already - volunteers. Much cheaper than paid

5 staff are volunteers. Continue, please.

MS. CAMMINS: Okay. I believe some other people will address more carefully the issue of transportation and the need for the direct transportation and the need for a full screening, which includes TB tests and psycho and social examination. So I'll just say this: the benefits of volunteer participation on a citywide level in addressing this acute social problem should not be underestimated.

The volunteer participation in the faith community maintains individual and community awareness of homelessness, helps our constituents better understand the public policy that you set first hand and creates a bridge between our neediest citizens and those of us who are more fortunate. That shouldn't be underestimated and I'll let somebody else here talk about the other needs that we think are not addressed in the RFP. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.

Thank you very much. Who would like to go next?

JAY CRAYNIS: My name is Jay

Craynis and I directly shelter at congregation

Rodeph Sholom on West 83rd Street. On March 1st

we will mark our 26th anniversary. We opened our

doors on March 1, 1983. I believe we were among

the first. We are opened five nights a week from

the first Monday in October until June. Each

night we have at least two volunteers who spend

the night with our eight guests and that is a

major concern, which I'll touch on in one moment.

We have a shelter facility which was specifically designed for our guests. We have a lounge with T.V. and comfortable furniture. We have a small kitchen and we have a sleeping area, which has eight cots spaced out nicely so that no one is sleeping on top of one another. Each has a night table and an overhead lamp.

One of the benefits of our shelter from the feedback that we've got from the men is that we do not hound them. We do not profess to be professionals. We don't guide them, we don't counsel them; we give them freedom. And in 26 years I have heard repeatedly how much they have

2 appreciated that.

Our men come to us and are guests from the Open Door. And at the Open Door they have a full range of professionals who are working with them. The last thing they need when they come to us is to be picked away at again. We stock the kitchen each night with foods, mostly light stuff, sandwich meats, bread, fruit, cheese, soft drinks. In the morning we have cereals, hot and cold, coffee, hot chocolate, tea. The men serve themselves; we don't serve them.

There are very few restrictions and they mostly go to the issues of safety. Our guests, as I said, come from the Open Door where they have access to multiple hot meals every day. I absolutely know the Open Door intimately. Before they come to us, they are screened and I can't emphasize the importance of that. Immediately after they're screened, they get on the buses, which are now provided by the Department of Homeless Services and they're dropped off at our doorstep. I can't emphasize the importance of that.

They come in, they spend the night

2.0

with at least two volunteers. By 10:00 or 11:00 the building is shut tight and the only people in the building are eight guests and the volunteers, oftentimes including whole families with smaller children. As I understand the proposal, if the proposal is implemented what we risk losing is the screening. Not that the screening won't take place but that one of the alternates is to give the men - and in our case we only have men - give them Metrocards. Once they hit the street, the screening is negated.

I can tell you over the years that
I have sent men home, sent men out; men that I've
known because they've been with us for an extended
period of time because they didn't come off the
bus. They missed the bus for whatever reason. I
will give them money to take public
transportation, give them as much food as they
want but they can not spend the night at our
shelter.

One of the levels of comfort that I give our volunteers is the screening process.

It's not scientific but it's enough to make us comfortable that we're not going to get anybody

2.0

2.3

ohol
ehind
r

[interposing] Mr. Craynis I just want to say we want to keep moving along to other folks. I just want to give you a chance to summarize.

MR. CRAYNIS: I emphasize that.

The end result is if we wind up closing our doors because we're not comfortable with the sanctity of the screening process, the losers are going to be our eight guests who have had the benefit of a relatively warm, safe and welcoming facility for 26 years.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you very much. Who'd like to go next?

JOE MURPHY: My name is Joe Murphy.

I'm from St. Andrew Avellino All Roman Catholic

Church in Flushing, Queens. This year would have
been our 26th year of providing a homeless shelter

for ten men, a warm, safe homeless shelter for ten

men with hot meals, five nights a week for four

months a year, from the beginning of December to

the end of March.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 I've been involved in this program

for over 22 years, the last 8 years as a

4 moderator. In Queens in 2008, 14 of the 15

5 shelters were closed, including St. Andrew

6 Avellino. Obviously this was a big mistake by the

7 | city to ignore the benefits of the faith based

8 program for our homeless. To discontinue this

9 program so abruptly without any input from the

10 religious groups who have supported this effort

11 for so many years.

Our program is supported by volunteers from our church who believe in our religious responsibility to reach out to those less fortunate than ourselves. They believe it's important to offer these individuals compassionate alternative to the warehousing of human beings in potentially unsafe environments.

This leads to my first concern, the well being of these men. Many of the men who stayed in our shelter became regulars. Of the ten men we have, I would say six to seven of those men became regulars at St. Andrew Avellino. They felt comfortable where they were to the point where they would leave their personal belongings night

after night. Will they be able to do this in this
new environment? Where will they go?

Speaking to many of the men over the years, they said they wouldn't go to the armories. They didn't feel safe there, themselves, for their possessions. My question is where will these people go if not the armories, to the streets, riding subways? Back to the past like it was 30 years ago, that's what I think is going to happen.

is supported by volunteers from our church. That is exactly what they are, volunteers. No one is paid to be a volunteer in our program. We have four major activities that I'm responsible for making sure are covered every year. Every October I speak before our four services on a Saturday and Sunday. We look for volunteers for preparing hot meals for every night for these ten men. We have a welcoming committee to greet our guests from 7:30 to 10:00pm, an overnight team to provide support 10:00pm to 6:00am and food shoppers.

On the day that I speak before the congregation we hold registration. The meal

providers and the food shoppers are completely booked, 100% that night or that day. The 7:30 to 10:00pm shift usually 80% to 85% of that is scheduled on that day. The 10:00pm to 6:00am shift is the most difficult to fill, usually only about 40% of these nights are filled by the end of registration. I spend the next several weeks calling people to fill any open spots.

volunteers to death, relocation and age. The average age of our volunteer population is in the early to mid 60s. I'm 68. I have found that consistency is important in maintaining our volunteer base. This interruption in our homeless program gives me concerns to recruiting volunteers when this program is reinstated in St. Andrew Avellino. Many volunteers would have moved on to other activities and some will have lost interest. We might find it difficult to maintain the five nights a week that we have been doing for the last 25 years. This leads to my next concern.

Since we are all volunteers and an aging population, the safety of our people is of utmost importance. By working with the

Partnership for the Homeless we have always felt secure knowing that the men we were welcoming as guests have been adequately screened. To reinforce what you said here, to ensure that they were not high on drugs or alcohol.

Furthermore, these men were transported directly to our shelter from the screening center. This gave us the confidence to know that our guests would behave properly in the comfort of our shelter. I do not believe that the program as outlined by the Department of Homeless Services will meet our security needs. Without this guarantee of safety for our volunteers, we would no longer participate in this program and we aren't currently.

I also wonder under the new proposal who will provide us with the other things necessary for our shelter, beds, cleaning linens, toiletries. These are items that the Partnership provided us. Finally, I would like to make it clear that we at St. Andrew Avellino wish to continue our participation in the homeless shelter program. However we can only do it so long as the new program provides the same level of comfort and

security	as	the	existing	program	did.	Thank '	you.

3 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you

4 very much. Who'd like to go next.

name is John Benfatti. I represent the
Riverdale/Yonkers Society for Ethical Culture.
About 15 years ago we formed a coalition called
the Northwest Bronx Support Committee for the
Homeless and we united many congregations,
synagogues, churches and the Ethical Society in
Riverdale. We attempted to address homelessness.
There's not a lot of homelessness in our community
but we still wanted to do something about
homelessness.

We overcame NIMBY and started an emergency overnight shelter at the Kingsbridge Heights Community Center, which is not in Riverdale. It's outside of Riverdale. I think many members of the audience know what NIMBY means, Not In My Backyard. We all want to help the homeless but do it somewhere else. So we overcame NIMBY in a community organization in Kingsbridge, at the Kingsbridge Heights Community Center. And continued to keep the idea alive in

2.0

Riverdale and it wasn't until five years ago that we were able to open a second location at the Riverdale/Yonkers Society for Ethical Culture.

Here we border a community called Fieldsten, which is a very wealthy community. We told Fieldsten that we were going to do this in our space at the Ethical Society regardless of what they wanted because we knew that this program was not going to negatively impact their community as they accused us of. We've been operating there for five years ever since and I don't want to stop our operation.

We have volunteers from the community, from our society, from other churches. We provide a hot meal every Monday night and every night that we operate we provide a hot meal. We have volunteers from Manhattan College that provide the meals, we have sleep over volunteers. I don't want to lose this immense cadre of volunteers that I have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you very much. Is there one more? Did everyone--yes, I'm sorry. Thank you.

JUDY LAVANN FRAN: Hi, my name is

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judy Lavann Fran and I'm from the Park Slope United Methodist Church in Brooklyn. About three years ago we were very excited to partner with Partnership for the Homeless and Hansen Place Methodist Church to serve some of the homeless in the Fort Greene and Downtown Brooklyn area. appreciate the Commissioner's criticism that we don't want people having to travel undue from one borough to another. But the gentlemen that we served always came from fairly local. We also understand that there were shelters that were not open all year round, ours was open all year round. Even in the summer, ironically, it's often harder to find shelter space in the summer because there's a feeling that the men can be okay on the street. We disagreed and we stayed open all year round.

We were only able to do one night a week and Hansen Place did one also. But we felt that that was still a big contribution. We estimate that we've served about 1,500 hot home cooked meals and that's asking a lot from me. I'm not a good cook but they all like it. [Laughter]

My husband would give up one of his Tuesdays every

month to be someone who would be present and chat with the men and develop relationships and sleep over. So between the two of us, we were there two weeks out of every month. We were a small operation, we had only four people, two cooks and two sleepers, on a shift. We had five teams, which rotated.

I feel like we were very committed to this. We were really shocked to be told that we were basically just shut down and that we weren't going back. We also got together and felt like we could challenge other synagogues, churches and community organizations in the community to potentially join us to be able to provide more nights that would enable us to be fully functional and keep doing what we feel is important to do.

I look forward to hopefully speaking with the Commissioner or someone to be able to figure out what is it that we can do to be able to continue this work that we've been very pleased to be involved in.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you very much. I want to thank the whole panel.

Judy, your testimony hits home, literally, for me.

Commissioner, this church is two blocks from house and my two children went to preschool program on the grounds of this church. It's extraordinarily active congregation. I think one of the most socially conscious congregations anywhere in Brooklyn. You're hearing here directly that it's not only a matter of the good work that's being done now but it could be expanded with some support. I can speak for the people of my community very, very clearly here and as can you, that so many more people if they knew this need was growing and that here was a place that they could serve would be more than happy to volunteer their time.

I think another point for you and your team. If anything, thank God, the spirit of volunteerism is growing in our country by no means by coincidence. The events of November 4th and what we're about to hear this coming Tuesday I think is only going to add to people's impulse to want to help their fellow citizens. I think this is a real crucial point, that we could actually be doing more not less with these efforts. Gale, quickly. You answer then Gale quickly and then

Tish quickly. I'm sorry. I'd like to welcome
Council Member Jessica Lappin.

MS. FRAN: I just wanted to point out that the Hansen Place is a beautiful old church. It's probably one of the most struggling churches out there. It's extremely small congregation with very few volunteers and they manage to pull this shelter off week after week every Monday. I really hand it to them.

The other thing is that people walk through the doors of synagogues and churches, not looking to find something necessarily to believe in but how to make their faith live, how to really live in to their faith. This is another thing that the churches and synagogues. It's one of the reasons they're so committed. We hope that we can continue that.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Quickly for Jay and also for the gentlemen from Queens, this transportation issue. I thought you made a good point that it's not just how you get there but it's if you don't have the transportation, what you do between where you're coming from and when

2.0

you are there. I just wanted Jay to perhaps elaborate on that so that we're really clear as to the role of the transportation as it currently exists.

Second, when the shelters or the faith based closed in Queens does anybody know what happened to all those folks? So I guess first the transportation and second what happened to the people in Queens.

I believe that every man that comes into our building has a history of substance abuse. I've seen and heard first hand when we've invited the men to meals where we serve ceremonial wine. I've seen the reaction when they violently stepped away from the table. The benefit of the system as it now exists is that the men who come to us do not have an opportunity to be on the street between the Open Door and our facility, which means that they don't have an opportunity to be exposed to drugs and alcohol or some other substance.

us, they are relatively clean. I'm sure everybody is in the process of recovering but nobody is

2.0

2.3

2	high. Nobody is drunk. And it is extremely
3	important in a close quarter that this doesn't
4	happen because the potential for a serious
5	incident in a closed building is just something
6	that I don't want to contemplate.

MR. MURPHY: In terms of the men that we shelter, they were transported to our location from Manhattan from the center. I don't know exactly where those men would be now. I would think perhaps at the center if there are no beds. I also represent several of the other parishes. We have a small committee crown [phonetic] and two of our other churches had five night shelters. A church in Lutheran had two nights of shelters. So right there we had over 30 some odd men we took care of every night. We don't know where they are.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.

Council Member James.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I'm just to United Methodist. I grew up with United Methodist under the leadership of then Reverend Finley

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Shaff. I was born there, attended there and in fact my brother still worships at your church. know all of the work that you have done and the history of that wonderful church. Unfortunately Hansen Place homeless center is closed. They're under new leadership and they would like to reopen but unfortunately at this time they just don't have the resources in this RFP. As all of you have raised similar concerns, are concerned about the cost of transportation and the obligation that will be imposed upon them and the fact that there is no screening involved. There is an overwhelming need in Fort Greene in Downtown Brooklyn despite this notion that it's upwardly mobile.

I guess, Commissioner, I did not ask you but in the letter that I referred to December 12th. Our own state commissioner has indicated that he, too, has stressed his concern about the continued reduction of shelter beds in New York City. So not only does the faith based community and this panel but the Commissioner of Oteda shares similar concern.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very much. I want to thank this entire panel, both for your good work and for helping us to understand this issue so clearly. Now we have one more panel. We have several more panels but one more panel of folks who are specifically doing this work every single day and I think have tremendous expertise to share. Terri Grace of East Side Congregations, Jim Melkiori of B'nai Jeshurun, also Ann Melman of B'nai Jeshurun, Ann Tycher, Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter and Wilona Churchhill of the Embry United Methodist. Come on up. If we could et that microphone down to face level; it's a little too high there, that would be great. That one is working. I don't know if the other one is working but that one is working at least. Who would like to begin? Everyone is doing a pretty good job with their summarizing. As we get deeper into the hearing, more summarizing is even better so please think about the things that haven't been said and add those personal and those specific reflections

to what has not been said. Please everyone

introduce yourself as you begin.

TERRI GRACE: My name is Terri

Grace. I live at 530 East 76th Street on the
Upper East Side. I am a member of St. James
Episcopal Church. We share a shelter with Madison
Avenue Presbyterian Church right next door. I am
on the mission committee of St. James Church as
the housing advocacy coordinator and I'm also the
housing advocacy coordinator for the Social
Concerns Commission of the Episcopal Dioceses of
New York.

I also had the privilege of serving, I am on a steering committee of the East Side Congregations for Housing Justice and have had the privilege in the last several months of serving on the emergency shelter network task force, which has gathered over 7,000 signatures from members of congregations and community people to support the faith based shelters and the neighborhood drop in centers. Drop in centers in our neighborhoods not one per borough because we have experience on that.

People in trouble come to our doors every day, that's what happens at churches and synagogues. People come, they talk to our receptionist. We talk to them, see what their

needs are and then we hopefully refer them to services. We can call 3-1-1 but you never know when the outreach workers might arrive. We have referred people to drop in centers. When we had our local drop in center, Neighborhood Coalitions of Shelter on 77th Street, it was very convenient for all the upper East Side churches because we could actually walk people over there.

Now the drop in center is 40 blocks away and we can only hope that people make their way down there. What happened this last summer-first of all I'll say that you know that we have a 25 year history on the Upper East Side. The east side churches got together and formed the Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter 25 years ago. We were doing meal programs, women's shelter with Atlantics Neighborhood House and that has evolved into the armory shelter at 68th Street. We also developed our own para-shelters.

In 1989 we founded the Neighborhood

Center for Homeless People to be our drop in

center. That worked great but this last June the

drop in center was closed on very short notice.

All of our congregations were sort of left

2.0

scrambling as to what we're going to do. Our shelter, we actually had two shelters at the same time at Madison Avenue Presbyterian. We had 12 bed shelter, overnight shelter, the kind that we're talking about. There was one that was a more advanced shelter that was also 12 beds and they were both closed down.

We closed the overnight shelter for about four weeks and had to go and interview the people at Grand Central and Main Chance to see if they could work with us, if they would do screening that would be safe enough for our volunteers if we could work out the transportation arrangements. It was really quite horrendous. Plus during that time we lost volunteers and we had to institute emergency procedures to teach our volunteers because we no longer had a local drop in center that we could call in the last minute. We had one 40 blocks away. So if something happened it was more tentative, more difficult for the volunteers. I'm trying to summarize.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: You're doing good.

MS. GRACE: Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: We

3	appreciate	it.

MS. GRACE: I don't want to duplicate what other people had said. When the Neighborhood Center for Homeless People was closed down and you'll here from Ann Tycher later. The congregations but in particular St. James and Madison Avenue Presbyterian did meet with Department of Homeless Services. We met Commissioner Nashak several times and we tried to talk about what our needs were and what the needs of our homeless people were and tried to understand why the drop in center was closed. We heard for the first time what the new plans were for this program that is supposed to start on June 30, 2009.

Mind you, we started talking to them in April. Then we talked with a larger group of local congregations. We all got together, we talked to the Partnership for the Homeless. We had some congregations on the West Side who were beginning to hear about what the problem was. But we have been in this dialogue for quite a while. Yes, we feel that DHS has listened but we feel

ignored.

Our drop in center was closed. We could not reopen it. We had to hustle to get to know a different one. We think that it was the beginning of closing of all drop in centers. Now the RFP says we're committed to faith based shelters and we're committed to drop in centers but we knew that the focus was going to be on the chronic homeless people. Yes, all of us agree that chronic homeless people have to come in from the cold, have to start new lives and that they have a different need and so the safe havens serve that need.

But we see hundreds and hundreds of homeless people who are not that chronic, not homeless enough some might say. That need services so that they will not be on the street for nine months and become safe haven candidates. So we really think that the city can do better. We were offered a chance to be a 24/7 safe haven actually, if professional staff could be put into our facility. But that makes us nothing but landlords, right? So that means we have a contract directly with the city and we become

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 nothing but landlords. That's no longer on the 3 table.

Also, we don't think that we can receive people directly from outreach workers because the screening. If an outreach worker is talking to someone standing in eight degree weather, what kind of screening is going to happen there? How much intake can you do standing in eight degree weather? So we need the one hour that's recommended for the drop in centers to spend screening people is not long enough. have to intake the person, you have to take their history. You have to do a mental and physical screening. You have to give them a TB test and then they're supposed to have a shower and they're supposed to have a snack. How are they going to do all that in an hour? I just don't see how it's going to work?

I hope that this discussion will mean that we can go back to the drawing boards. I know that the bids are due in two days. No, they're due next week. I hope that there can be some flexibility after the bids are in but of course if I were bidding on a contract I want to

say I can do this and this and this and this and this. So where's the flexibility? What will the contract look like and how would we be involved in the contract? That's kind of interesting.

I think in a city where we have multi million dollar penthouses. We're talking about a billion dollar stadium up in the Bronx and we have millions of dollars that they are asking to go into that stadium more. And we have billion dollar financial scandals. There has got to be a way that we can serve these poorest of the poor. We're not Calcutta but homelessness is the same everywhere in the world and we have to serve these people. We can do better in our society.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: I'm going to ask you, is that a good point to end on. I appreciate everything you're saying but we just want to make everyone is heard.

MS. GRACE: I think that these people--we've all said. They deserve dignity and a home. They're children of God like the rest of us.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.

WILONA STEWART: Good afternoon.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Wilona Stewart. I'm the coordinator of the Southeast Queens Cluster Homeless Program which is housed at Embry United Methodist Church in Queens. I just felt compelled to speak. I feel like Commissioner Hess and I are good friends because I remember he kept saying Embry United Methodist Church. So I said I had to introduce myself. [Laughter]

Our program has been operating for 24 years. On October 3rd we were called by Partnership for the Homeless saying that we were closed. When I questioned why we were closed, it's because they stated we didn't have five days bed. We are a five day bed, five days a week. They said we don't have 10 beds. I said we have 15 beds. I said we've operated for 24 years and our shelter is very unique because we work with six Methodist churches at Embry. So all the churches come to Embry and feed so that means every day of the week we have various churches, St. Johns, St. Pauls, Springfield Retirement Congress, First Church of Jamaica and Brooks Methodist Church.

Each church which I am operating

with six churches we have six coordinators that come and work, take one night a week. With their congregants and they take care of the 12 weeks because we're only from January to March. So we have a very unique type of program and we've been doing it for 24 years. The only uniqueness is that St. Johns of Elmont because there an Elmont DHS. But the buses would not go three blocks over the city line so the St. Johns of Elmont got a mini bus for this program that goes and picks up the men at Embry, brings them to their church, feeds them and takes them back to Embry.

They have more congregants so if you go on a Monday night at Embry you might see 40 people there, with the Girl Scouts and their parents. It's more of a family orientated on Monday night. Every other church goes to Embry. But like we said, if it ain't broke don't fix it. So this is something that we've been doing and we're talking hundreds of volunteers. Because we have six churches it's a very heavy responsibility and I've been the coordinator for 13 years. I've had my daughter work with me, my grand daughter work with me so it's a family orientated thing.

I just want to clarify, we are not

We do it from our heart because this is what our faith tells us to do. I can say I've just met Hansen Methodist Church, which my pastor is their pastor also. That's my pastor, you know Reverend Stone. He's an awesome man of faith and my heart goes out that their program is closed also because of the smallness. We're just inquiring if DHS can think out the box. If we can do all these churches to one facility maybe that's an opportunity to open up more churches that only had one day bed and just coordinate them together.

I have to say sitting here that I'm excited that we're opening up January 19th.

Hallelujah. That is because of the angel that you have with DHS, Ms. Ruden. I met her at a meeting on December 18th. She extended her card and the worst thing she ever gave me was a phone number.

I have been haunting her but we have, by God's grace, was able to put together a program that

Common Grounds and our program could work. When I tell you a week and a half of trying to get everything on point, we're at the last point of getting everything together.

accepting people straight off the street. Common
Grounds knows they have to the screening and they
have to do it because they met with us at our
church, with our six coordinators from the
churches. We told them what we have to have. We
must have appropriate screening and transportation
and both parties, all seven of us agreed. One day
there are two churches such as Springfield and St.
Paul's we join on every other Tuesday because St.
Paul's is a smaller congregation. That's why I
say six or seven churches together because I know
there's only five days in a week for us. But
that's what we do, we work with each other.
But I have to say I thank God for
Ms. Ruden for just hearing, opening her ears and
her imagination and putting Common Grounds and us
together. So, yes, I am the sacrificial lamb. I

20 grateful. Thank you for your time.

21 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.

22 I have to tell you, I love your testimony. I love

am going to see how this works out but I'm very

23 it because--

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Hallelujah.

MS. STEWART: Hallelujah.

2	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Hallelujah,
3	thank you Tish. Because it emphasizes the value
4	of listening and being flexible and changing plans
5	when they're not working, which I appreciate.
6	That's what we should all strive to do in life.
7	But I also think the fact that three generations
8	of your family have been involved. First of all I
9	commend you and I commend your family. But I
10	think that crystallizes what a humanly important
11	element there is to this work, that it bonds
12	people together to help others so thank you.
13	MS. STEWART: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you.
15	Who would like to go next?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have a
17	question. Can I ask you one question before she
18	leaves?
19	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Sure.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: First of
21	all I thinkI don't know when we met, it wasn't
22	solidified. My question is Common Ground is
23	located where and from where do the individuals
24	comes to southeast Queens.
25	MS STEWART: I helieve they/re in

MS. STEWART: It's about the same as long as the transportation and the screening is done.

23

24

25

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The other

Okay. Hi, I'm Ann MS. MELMAN: I'm from the B'nai Jeshurun and St. Paul Melman. and St. Andrew Homeless Shelter. You just heard a member of our staff, Hannah Cammins, testify. And Jim Melkiori and I are the co-chairs. We've been running this homeless shelter since the beginning of the program 22 years ago. We have many, many commitments to those in need in our community. We run all sorts of other programs so I don't want this to come across as if it's about us. It's not

2 really about us.

serve. I want to just emphasize a few points because so many good things have been said and I don't want to repeat them. First of all I want to just emphasize that the proposals that DHS has put forth really make a distinction between the chronically street homeless and the kinds of guests that we get in our shelter. Now I have absolutely no problem with improving the services to the chronically street homeless. I'm certainly supportive of the kinds of change that the Commissioner and his team have proposed on their behalf.

The basic question is what will this do, how will this change the services to those people who are now being served through the shelter network? What I see is that there is a set of strange trade offs being suggested here. I think that that's a very unfortunate change. I think it will actually increase or potentially increase the homeless population, the street homeless population. Because as we're hearing already from the women who come to our shelter,

they are worried, they are desperately worried, what will happen if this shelter program disintegrates.

Some of you asked what happened to the people who used to go to the shelters that have already been closed and we don't really know. So if you think ahead just a little bit, what could possibly happen to them? As far as I can see, there were three options. One option is they will somehow find family or friends who can put them up in whatever way they can, if they're lucky and they have that option. Another option, which many of them absolutely reject, is to go into the city shelter system. They don't want that.

And the third option is that
they'll go on the street. Once they go on the
street and they're out there long enough then they
will qualify for this new program that DHS has.
But to me that seems like a terribly backward way
of planning for these people who are now getting
really good services from shelters like ours. So
the only good option for them if the shelter
network disbands is if they have family and
friends who can put them up. There's no guarantee

that they have that. The other two options are worse. We are actually potentially disadvantaging all these homeless people who are now getting good services.

As the previous speaker said, if it ain't broke don't fix it. We have a good system now. If we want to provide a better system for the chronically street homeless; wonderful. If we want to improve on what we are now giving to these transitional homeless people, I think we should do that. But we need to think very carefully about what will happen next. I just outlined very briefly what might happen to our guests.

our volunteers? I have co-chaired this particular shelter for about 12 years. It took quite a long time to bring it up to speed, to get all the volunteers in place. And we are in a constant struggle to get new volunteers because of the natural attrition that occurs. It's going to be very difficult, even to keep the volunteers we currently have, if the program doesn't address the issues that previous speakers have spoken about, specifically the issue of screening and the issue

of transportation. I think those two are key to making it comfortable and safe, not only for our guests but for our volunteers. I think those are just two basic criteria that we have to include.

Then I want to just say briefly about what will happen next as far as costs. So I think you've heard enough testimony today to realize that this huge cadre of volunteers who gladly and willingly provide their energy and their commitment and their labor to this effort. This is a very hard resource to replace and it certainly won't be replaced at the same cost; it will be a greater cost. What I see is the danger and we should really struggle very hard to make sure this doesn't happen. To provide fewer or worse services to these transitional homeless people whom we now serve at a greater cost to the city.

If we realize we've made a mistake, then to have to rebuild the volunteer pool that we now have will be very, very difficult. So I think we should work with what we have. If we want to add components, all well and good but we should not endanger the program we currently have.

2 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you

3 very much.

JIM MELKIORI: As Ann said, I'm Jim Melkiori, the co-chair of the congregation B'nai Jeshurun St. Paul and St. Andrew shelter on the Upper West Side. So many great things have been said so far that I'm just going to take few minutes and give us a snapshot of an evening at our shelter.

Our guests over the past year or two have included a woman who had spent her college years as a philosophy major at American University in Washington, a woman who came to New York to write a book and found that the cost of housing was overwhelming, a woman who spent time in our shelter each evening preparing resumes and arranging her clothing for job interviews the next day. Some of our guests have jobs. Several of them have found permanent housing after a period of time with us and have returned to visit with us so that we can rejoice with them.

Our rabbis and pastors consider our shelter guests to be part of our congregations, our community. They join us for holiday parties

at the home of the clergy. They helped us on

Election Day when we had a hospitality effort that

provided coffee and snacks to 4,000 voters,

including Council Representative Brewer. Some of

our guests join us for our weekly exercise classes

in Pilates and yoga.

I don't think anyone would characterize our guests as chronic street homeless. And in fact our volunteers who range in age from 7 to at least 81 and who include a family--93, okay, and include a family where we have three generations who have been sleep over volunteers, grandmother, son and teenage daughter. This is a cadre of volunteers that could not safely and effectively provide services to chronic homeless just off the street without screening, without case workers assisting them in their return to traditional life and without door to door transportation.

Our synagogue and church bed network is not the only solution to the scourge of homelessness. But we are clearly part of the solution, already up and running, with volunteer staffing for folks in a specific situation. Our

2.0

2.3

guests are our neighbors, our friends and indeed
our brothers and sisters. And in a time of
recession, as the city rightly tries to expand its
reach to include all who are without homes, I urge
you not to abandon or overlook our guests who are
already receiving some measure of comfort.
Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. Who's next?

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Let me just thank them for referring to the individuals as guests, that recognizes their humanity. Thank you.

ANN TYCHER: Good afternoon. My name is Ann Tycher, I'm the CEO of the Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter. We have been providing housing and services to homeless people for 27 years. We were created at Terri Grace said, by a coalition of churches and synagogues on the Upper East Side that took on the issue of homelessness in the late 70s and early 80s. And have been responding to it every since with a really very rich network of food programs, lunch, dinner, breakfast, shelters and other services.

Since our drop in center was the

one that was closed in June, I'm going to talk

about the impact of the closing of a drop in

center and what happens in a community. But I

also wanted to just raise something that hadn't

been addressed. The number of drop in centers

8 that will be closed by June 30th of this year

under the new RFP, with our drop in center, as of

last June there were seven drop in centers in

11 Manhattan.

On July 1 of next year there will be three drop in centers in Manhattan and there will be one drop in center in every other borough. The capacity of the drop in centers will be 75 to 90 according to the RFP so there will be a capacity of only 300 drop in center spots in Manhattan, which is substantially less and 75 to 90 in the other boroughs. So you're looking at a way reduced number of people that will be feeding into the faith based system. I just wanted to make note of that.

I will just cut to the chase and tell you some of the impacts on the community and on the people that we serve. When we were told we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were closing we had about 70 active clients and we had several months to house them. With the help of Jodie and her staff we were successful in housing quite a few that were still with us.

Although we had a lot of clients that were just very difficult to house and we'd had them for a period of years and they were difficult to house.

Many went to the other drop in centers to Grand Central Partnership. Then there were about 30 that went to the street and all summer and a lot of the fall they lived behind the boat house in Central Park. They continued to come back to our vocational program, to our substance abuse treatment program but they were still living in the street and they refused to go to shelters or other drop in centers. Some that did go to other drop in centers then joined them in the park. So there was really a whole community of people who had lived at our drop in center who wound up in the park. I hope at this point most of them are in shelters, housed or in safe havens.

As a result of the closing within weeks a number of people who were seeking services

at a homeless outreach program at Yagnis
[phonetic] Church which is three blocks away went
from 15 people a day to 60 people a day. This is
a little church program that really just did basic
kind of triage and could not handle this number of
people. They had 77 new individuals within three
days. Within eight weeks the Lennox Hill outreach
team which services our neighborhood and had
successfully housed 20 chronically homeless people
in its first year, found an increase of 14 new
chronically homeless people on the streets.

During a one week survey that we did in September of the neighborhood lunch and dinner programs that sponsored by the religious community, we found that 57% of 700 people attending were homeless. Of those 67% had previously relied on NCS for services, and of those 63% had been homeless for more than six months and of those 50% for more than a year. So there was a substantial impact on the number of homeless people in the community who were being served but only with food, those kind of basic services but not much else.

We then held several focus groups

with the religious community to see how we can work together to begin to fill the gaps and identify what the needs were and we're still doing that. But what we found was that the number of homeless people that the food programs themselves were seeing just astronomical increases in the numbers of people they were serving, double and sometimes triple. Only one church in the community could provide showers and that was only one day a week. They were then having trouble meeting the demand for people then who wanted showers because they just weren't set up for doing more than a few showers a week.

The religious community expressed a desire for help in coordinating the services that do exist in the community and we're looking at ways to help them with that. Our entire community has been affected by the closing of this neighborhood based center. Neighbors have noted and it's been in some of the local papers, the increase of the numbers of people that are now seen sleeping on the streets, doorways and in the parks. Without a neighborhood center that's easily accessible, homeless people do not get the

help they need. Other centers start to scale down, which they will soon have to do to be ready to close by June, the situation will only worsen.

job. Really I can't say enough good things about them either but it just doesn't meet the entire need. So to reduce these services at a time of growing homelessness, growing unemployment, I think is poor public policy and the city should retract this RFP and reconsider their plan to reinvent drop in centers and faith based services. Thank you. [Applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Any questions? Ann Tycher is quite a hero. Thank you ma'am. Our next panel is Sylvia Friedman, Joshua Goldfien, Patrick Marquis, Steven Corsen and Sandy Taggart. So I guess try to take seats and then we will begin.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: While
everyone is taking seats, thank you Council Member
Brewer for filling in. Let me also note we have
testimony that will be a part of the formal
record, written testimony from Partnership for the
Homeless, from Barbara Deinhardt of the Brooklyn

Heights Synagogue, from Christie Park of Homeless

Services United and from Reverend Michael Harry of
our Lady of Refuge in Brooklyn. So all those
written testimonies will go into the record and we
welcome the next panel. Who would like to go
first?

SYLVIA FRIEDMAN: My name is Sylvia Friedman and I'm clerk, which is Quaker for chair of the Shelter Committee at the 15th Street

Meeting of the Religious Society of Friends. I listened with envy to the coordinators who told us about how they had all these shifts of volunteers each evening. We are open seven nights a week, 365 days a year. We have two volunteers each night and they do all of the jobs, they do the shopping, they set it up, they prepare the food, they welcome our guests, they treat them with respect and dignity and in the mornings, they put everything away.

My testimony is very brief and it would be easier for me if I just read it. We have sheltered 12 homeless men and women for the last 25 years. I've been chair of the committee for the last 22. We do it because we believe that

it's our responsibility to serve people who are less fortunate than we are. We were told by the Deputy Commissioner of Adult Services at DHS that it's not about the volunteers but about the homeless people we serve and he's right.

city services come to us. I was told two weeks ago by one of our guests that only because he knows he'll be on the bus to our shelter each night is that he's off the streets and alive today. Our guests have gotten together and given us five stars. We rank with any five star hotel in the city, even though our guests sleep on cots, in one room, in a school gymnasium and each sandwiches and salad and fruit. We have no oven; we can't cook.

We're there not about ourselves, although it does give us pleasure to leave our homes and sleep in a school gym with 12 others, but about our guests. We treat our guests as people, not numbers, with friendship, warmth and caring because we believe it is incumbent on us to do that but we do it gladly. Our guests know that we're doing it for them.

2	We have heard how the new plans may						
3	make it impossible for us to continue to serve.						
4	Yes, we will be hurt but the hurt to our 12						
5	homeless men and women will be uncalculatable						
6	[phonetic]. Do not confuse efficiency with						
7	effectiveness. We are effective, just ask the						
8	people we serve. Thank you. [Applause]						
9	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Sylvia, a						
10	classic example of short and sweet. [Laughter] I						
11	appreciate it and you hit the nail on the head. I						
12	just want to highlight this point, especially for						
13	our friends from Homeless Citizens who are still						
14	here. The folks who refuse city services but will						
15	come to you, I think that's one of the crucial						
16	points of the whole hearing today. Thank you.						
17	MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you						
18	Councilman.						
19	STEVEN CORSEN: My name is Steven						
20	Corsen and I'm here to read a statement on behalf						
21	of Manhattan Borough President, Scott Stringer.						
22	I'll try to truncate and summarize where possible						
23	and we'll leave a full copy of our statement on						
24	the table by the door.						

Times are tough as we know. Last

2.0

2.3

week IBO projected a loss of 243,000 jobs and they projected that tax revenues are going to fall by \$2.8 billion in fiscal year 2009. Two days ago the New York Times ran a story telling the public that the State Labor Department is going to stop payments to 50,000 unemployed New Yorkers this week so times are tough. Everyone knows that, I think, though.

It's become a common refrain among people that discuss our city's economic downturn that New York must be vigilant in maintain crucial city services that ensure the safety of our citizens and the general quality of life. Police, fire and sanitation services are frequently connected to these types of statements, however homelessness services are often left out. While it's true that efficiencies can and should be found within the Department of Homeless Services to reflect economic realities, it's crucial that we strike a balance to maintain essential homeless services that directly impact the safety and quality of life of all New Yorkers.

New York City's municipal shelter system has recently experienced its highest

recorded levels of patronage since records were first kept in 1982. The emergence of newly homeless populations will be a serious possibility in the near term. With all the aforementioned factors in mind, I'd like to briefly outline some of the concerns that the borough president has with the restructuring of services to the street homeless population in New York City.

First, the December 12, 2008

Request for Proposals to operate drop in centers outlines a shift in policy that would decrease drop in center hours from what has been a 24/7 system to one that operates from 7:30am to 8:30pm, eliminating drop in hours. On the eve of the city's annual Homeless Outreach Population

Estimate, known as HOPE, it's impossible not to acknowledge the counter intuitive nature of a policy change that limits night time access to drop in centers.

Previous HOPE methodologies have eluded that street homelessness is best enumerated during evening hours. Thus the estimate takes place in the late evening. Therefore it's very difficult to understand why on the one hand the

Department of Homeless Services accepts night time hours as the best time to measure street homelessness while on the other hand it proposes to cut over 4,000 drop in center hours annually, all during this critical time of day.

The Department of Homeless Services should urgently explore all reasonable avenues to keep drop in centers open during evening hours before formally adopting a policy change that may compromise the safety of our citizens and put a strain on the general quality of life in New York City.

Second, it's been suggested by some faith based service providers of respite beds that the changes outlined in December 12, 2008 RFP to operate the respite bed program will be prohibitively difficult to implement solely with a volunteer staff. In addition, similar volunteer staffing concerns have been raised by some who believe the replacement of general health, mental health and substance abuse screening with a more general, rapid assessment process prior to placement at respite bed sites may discourage volunteerism.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

for those in need.

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23 24

25

At a time when resources are tight, the borough president is concerned that individuals or organizations that wish to serve their community for altruistic reasons may not have the limited opportunities to contribute as a result of the policy changes proposed in the two aforementioned RFPs. This represents potential loss of services to our homeless population and a decrease in the city's capacity to provide shelter

Our third point relates to Bellevue. I'll truncate that just by saying New York City's largest street homeless populations are in midtown Manhattan and men comprise roughly 80% of this group. New York City's on the brink of what may be likely a record breaking strain on the capacity of our homeless services. effort to implement the ten year plan to end homelessness is crucial to work towards contentious measures that reduce street homeless, not those that are likely to increase it.

The borough president and myself share the desire articulated by the Department of Homeless Services and the drop in center and

2.0

respice bed kips to emiance services and better
serve clients. However, decisions made now will
have long term impacts on the safety and general
quality of life of all New Yorkers, especially if
we witness a surge in newly homeless populations.
Let's use this opportunity to find solutions where
DHS service providers, advocates and elected
officials can work together to truly enhance
services offered to homeless individuals without
over burdening cash strapped volunteer
organizations or disrupting neighborhoods.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this important matter.

and thank the borough president please for being involved in this and particularly for the comments on Bellevue, which are very appropriate I think.

We give special respect to elected officials and their representatives reading testimony as it was written. I'd like to say to the other panel members, please summarize. But thank you for your testimony. Who would like to go next?

I'm a co-founder of CHRM, Crown Heights

SANDY TAGGART: I'm Sandy Taggart.

2.0

2.3

Revitalization Movement. I'm here to sort of
differently from most everyone else. I've really
appreciated hearing all of this and we will
support you. I'm here to speak about the
communities that are gong to be effected by the
intake center being placed at the Bedford Atlantic

The Bedford Atlantic Armory
Assessment Center Shelter will now have in
addition to that, an intake center. There are
three points that were a little confusing because
my information seemed to be different from
everyone else's. I have been informed that there
will be, of the 230 beds at the shelter, 30 that
will be designated for a detox unit. So there
will not only an assessment center and intake
center, there will also be a detox unit.

The other thing DHS referred to is decreasing the beds in my community, which is the most over saturated 6.3 times the median for Brooklyn in social service beds. We're not being NIMBY, we're really being destroyed. According to DHS statement a while back, they said that they were maintaining a 200 person or 200 bed census since May. So the 350 beds that are now being

reduced to 230 beds were not being used anyway.

I don't know if Peter Young has closed yet or is going to close. It's a shelter for 150 people. I do know that Peter Young people have proposed, since they no longer are maintaining a shelter to replace it with a 28 or 30 day drug rehab program, residential drug rehab program. So they will have people living there for 30 days doing drug rehab. This is part of what our community faces and we do not have the services, we do not have the resources to support what we already have, which is more than any other community in Brooklyn. The thing is this will break the back of the community.

But how can they consider sending what ultimately is approximately 14,000 men into the community over the period of a year? Into a community which doesn't have enough resources at this point to take care of itself? So this is, I guess, in a sense a slap in the face to the homeless because they're saying, okay, this community is so messed up, you can come here for intake. Because they do that the community is of course degraded more. So that's essentially what

2.0

2 I had to say. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you very much, Sandy. Who would like to go next?

PATRICK MARQUIS: Good afternoon.

My name is Patrick Marquis. I'm senior policy analyst to Coalition for the Homeless and I will not read my testimony. I will briefly summarize.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you deeply Patrick.

MR. MARQUIS: Because so much has been said today there's not that much to add to it. I do want to take this opportunity, though, to just take a step back and consider the proposals that have been outlined by the administration in their totality in what they represent in terms of this city's approach to street homelessness.

The city's proposal right now, simply put, represents the most dramatic shift in the city's approach to street homelessness since modern homelessness began more than 25 years ago. It will reduce the amount of shelter and services for street homeless New Yorkers at a time with rising homelessness during the economic recession.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

It will make emergency shelter much less

accessible and harder to get and it will lead to

more street homelessness and in all likelihood

more death and injury in the streets for

vulnerable New Yorkers.

Let's consider why we have the current arrangement that we have now. recognizes two fundamental facts. The first is that the majority of street homelessness is concentrated in Manhattan, largely in the Midtown area. That is why since the problem emerged more than 25 years ago, we have had the central intake center for the municipal shelter system, the intake center for homeless men in Manhattan, first on the Bowery in the early 80s, then since 1984 at the Bellevue Shelter. It's centrally located. It's near the hospital and other vital services. Most important, it's near to where the street homeless can reach it on a cold night.

The other reality, the other fundamental reality is that some street homeless individuals do not use the city's municipal shelter system or do not use it frequently. That is because the city's municipal shelter system is

2.0

largely comprised of large warehouse style facilities that often do not meet the needs of many street homeless people, the majority of whom are individuals living with mental illness and other disabilities.

In recognition of that, the city created some different ways of approaching that problem. First of all outreach teams to go out and find the street homeless on the streets. Drop in centers which serve those street homeless individuals who will not go into the city shelter system or will not go in all the time. And finally the faith community heroically stood up and provided emergency shelter and services in houses of worship at a time when there was a need for those vital services.

The city's proposal right, now simply put, undoes all of that arrangement and flies in the face of those fundamental realities. To sue the healthcare model, what we used to have was emergency medical teams, ambulances in the form of outreach teams, emergency rooms in the forms of the intake and drop in centers and hospital beds meaning shelter. The city wants to

2.0

eliminate the emergency room or move the emergency room from Manhattan now to Brooklyn. It wants to close down some of the other emergency rooms, the drop in centers and close them down at night. And it wants to create fewer hospital beds for the folks that are going to need it. How is that supposed to work?

At the intake center in Manhattan now more than 90 men a night walk in to that center seeking emergency shelter and services. Last night, a bitterly cold night, 247 homeless men walked into the Bellevue intake center. So this issue of the number of beds at the Bedford Atlantic Armory, at the Bellevue Shelter is immaterial to the fact that so many men seek help and shelter at that facility. It must remain in Manhattan. It can not be moved out to Brooklyn without a replacement facility in Manhattan.

Let me talk about what we need to do instead. This is going to sound like a radical idea. We should decentralize men's intake. We should maintain an intake center for homeless men in Manhattan but have intake centers in the other

boroughs starting with the Bronx and Brooklyn. We should expand and enhance drop in centers to make sure they can provide more help and not less. And we should expand the Housing First permanent support of housing model for the street homeless. This is such a radical idea that it was actually included in Mayor Bloomberg's 2004 Homeless Plan. And yet the administration has done a complete 180 on this and is prepared to abandon some of the central features of those recommendations.

That's why we're enormously concerned with these proposals going forward as they are proposed now. Right now in New York City if you're a homeless man on the streets after the hours of 8:30 at night you can get help at the Bellevue intake center, you can get help at one of the drop in centers. There are nine of them located around the city. There are places where you can get help. If the city's plan moves forward, the only place you'll be able to walk in and get help if you're a homeless man on the streets after 8:30 at night will be the Bedford Atlantic Armory and it will be hard for a lot of folks to get there. We do not want to move in

Thank you

I agree

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. GOLDFIEN: My name is Josh Goldfien. I'm a staff attorney in the Homeless Rights Project in the Legal Aid Society. We are counsel to Coalition for the Homeless and the Callahan and Eldridge litigation in which we represent homeless single adults, men and women. We're also counsel in the Boston and McCain litigation in which we represented homeless families with children. I think the committee heard testimony from Steve Banks about the historic settlement we reached establishing a right to shelter for homeless families to match the one that was established in Callahan.

I'm just going to read one

paragraph from our testimony to highlight on the last point Patrick made. We are looking at this from the perspective of what's going to happen to our clients when these services are reduced and when access becomes more limited. Among other things, the research of Dr. James J. O'Connell underscores the point that safety net shelter can be a matter of life or death for our clients. O'Connell is one of the country's leading experts on risk factors for death among street homeless adults. His research highlights the danger of exposure to the elements at almost any time of the year because homeless persons can be harmed by both heat related and cold related injuries.

cold related injuries can be especially dangerous. Contrary to popular belief, are not solely attributable to absolute temperatures. As Dr. O'Connell's clinical experience demonstrates, most cold related injuries and deaths occur when day time temperatures range from 40 to 50 degrees. In part because I think people are not anticipating how cold it's going to be.

23

24

25

2	Given the combination of factors
3	that can result in the injury or death of a street
4	homeless person exposed to the elements, there is
5	simply no time of the year that it is safe for a
6	homeless person to be out on the streets.
7	Emergency shelter can not provide homeless persons
8	with protection from the elements if it is not
9	easily accessible.
10	CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Very
11	impressive. Did you have a question? Tish has a
12	question. Go ahead Tish.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Mr. Marquis
14	and counsel, you were here when I asked the
15	questions with regards to the mentally ill. The
16	Commissioner indicated that only about 30% of the
17	homeless population consists of individuals who
18	suffer from a mental illness. Do you agree with
19	that?
20	MR. MARQUIS: It's not a question
21	of whether I agree or not, the research shows

otherwise. I'm not sure what the Deputy

that around two-thirds of street homeless

Commissioner, which population he was referring to

when he said 30%. Lots of research studies show

2.0

individuals are individuals with serious and persistent mental illnesses like schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. Around two-thirds of those folks have co-occurring addiction disorders, often folks with serious and persistent illnesses self medicate with alcohol or drugs because they're not getting treatment for their mental illnesses.

So it's clear that there are high rates of mental illness and other health problems among the street homeless population. I think the rate of one-third might be more true for the population of homeless single adults in shelters on a given night. That rate sounds closer to true for that population. But certainly for the street homeless we're looking at folks who have more serious health problems.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you believe their needs will be met in the shift to Bedford Atlantic?

MR. MARQUIS: No and I think most fundamentally they will not be met because they will have a very hard time getting to that place. It just will not meet their needs. I think it's also important to recognize, too, what happens at

2.0

2.3

the Bellevue Shelter. Lots of times folks who					
seek shelter at the Bellevue Shelter or some of					
the residents of that shelter have emergent either					
mental health or physical health problems, crises.					
What can they do now? They can go right next door					
to Bellevue Hospital and get the emergency help					
that they need. They won't be able to do that at					
the Bedford Atlantic Armory.					

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Is Bedford Atlantic still a dangerous place?

MR. MARQUIS: That's what we are told my homeless individuals who go to that place. We've received, I would say more complaints about that facility in the more than 10 years that I've been at Coalition for the Homeless than about any other facility in the single adult system. My colleague is nodding. We still receive complaints about unsafe conditions. It's a reality as well that lots of street homeless individuals know that reputation, know its notorious reputation and tell us if I have to go to Bedford Atlantic Armory, I'm not going to do it because I'll be afraid for my safety. I'll just stay on the streets.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Last point

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that as you know in this letter from the State

Commissioner dated December 12th he indicated the

city, DHS has a limited shelter capacity for

single men. They have stressed their concern

about the continued reduction of men's shelter

beds in New York City, which is consistent with

our argument. So counselor, I guess have we

shared our concerns with the state commissioner in

our effort to stop this transition?

MR. MARQUIS: Yes, we've certainly shared our concerns about the potential move of the central intake center to Brooklyn to the Bedford Atlantic Armory. If you look at the census reports and that's what my colleague was just handing me, the nightly census reports from the single adult shelter system. I don't see any way currently where you can close down the Bellevue Shelter which as 850 beds, close down the Peter Young Shelter which has 150 beds and reduce capacity at the Bedford Atlantic Armory by an additional 120 beds and actually provide emergency shelter to the existing population of folks that are there, to say nothing of any potential increase in that population that we will see

2 because of economic downturn.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Before

Council Member Brewer, I just want to put an exclamation point. I've known you a long time.

When you say a shelter is the one you've had the most complaints about in your whole time at the Coalition for the Homeless, boy, that's a red flag

10 for me. That's saying a lot. Council Member

11 Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Just back to the women at Olivieri and some of the older folks at Peter's place. Is it your understanding that if this RFP goes forward those two places would be closed? To me, I can't quite see Olivieri being closed, that's just my past history.

MR. MARQUIS: I think it's a strong possibility it will be closed. I would really highlight what Ann Tycher said. At the beginning of this past year, a year ago, let's say, there were seven drop in centers for the street homeless in Manhattan. If this proposal goes through there will be only three. And again remember that is

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the borough with the majority of street

3 homelessness.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We're going to fight that tooth and nail. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO: Thank you. Thank you very much to this panel. We appreciate it very much. We're now going to public testimony. I'll just call out, we have a few names here. We have Reverend Sales and Denise Smith and earlier we had the name Mark Roshkund, am I getting that right? I don't know if you're still here. Again, Reverend Sales, Denise Smith, Mark Roshkund. Those are the only names we have for public testimony. Wait, we have another name? No, okay. So those are the names we have. Typically we do a two minute limit but since we're at the end of the day, if you keep us in that ball park we won't do it formally; we won't run the clock. We'll just keep it informal. Hold on one second. Please, if you're leaving the room please do so quickly and quietly because we still have a little more testimony here. We welcome your testimony.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 your testimony.

DENISE SMITH: About 20--

4 CHAIRPERSON DE BLASIO:

[interposing] Please, just formally introduce yourself for the record.

MS. SMITH: My name is Sister Denise Smith from the Greater Antioch Temple. the co-founder of the Agape Fellowship, which is a transitional service. About 25 years ago a young lady went to a homeless shelter. She went there and she had been abused, she had been beat up and she had been on drugs and raped. She found her way into a shelter. When she got there with the few things that she had, they had beat her up and took her things. She found herself wandering the She was at the very end of her rope and streets. was contemplating suicide. She managed to find herself at a faith based program. When she got to that faith based program they gave her a shower. They gave her something to eat. They got her to a hospital where she found out that she was pregnant.

After that, she was able to go.

They sent her to another shelter where she was

night.

able to get some services. She delivered an 8 lb. baby, a healthy baby. 24 years later she got with Pastor Sales and opened up the Agape Fellowship. I'm talking about myself. Somehow after we opened up our program we stumbled into the path of Frank Chapman from the Partnership of Homeless. And we were so grateful because we began doing the very thing that saved her life, which is giving beds to people who are homeless, overnight beds to people who had no place to go, people who did not want to stay in a drop in center, who could not sit up all

The service that we provide is invaluable. We have two men overnight shelters and we have two female overnights; we house them seven days a week. I have the opportunity to go to all of them and talk to every one of them because I want to know who it is that we're dealing with. I believe that if we lose this that it would be a tremendous loss, it would be a tremendous loss to Brooklyn because there is some really good stuff that comes out of it, some really good people that come out of those places. I know that to be a fact because it's a testimony

filled a void of government and unfortunately

25

2.0

federal government, which has turned its back. As
of Tuesday we're all going to be celebrating in
the streets. It's going to be a national holiday,
I know in central Brooklyn. So I look forward to
working with you.

I just want to ask you the question with regards to if in fact this proposal goes forward, is your issue the transportation, the lack of resources? Is it because the failure to screen or what would jeopardize your program?

MS. SMITH: I think every aspect of that RFP would jeopardize our program. We're a small congregation. The people, the volunteers who work in the overnight centers actually are homeless people who live in our houses. So we all just pitch in to work together to help somebody else. We don't have the capacity to do screening or transportation or anything. We give of ourselves willingly and we give what we can give with the knowledge that we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So primarily you rely upon charitable...

MS. SMITH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: ...gifts and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 175
the individuals to your door?
MS. SMITH: Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And they do
you any resources?
MS. SMITH: They give us the sheets
nkets.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right but
sic necessities.
MS. SMITH: Just the basic
, yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you get
for the food, any food?
MS. SMITH: We get reimbursed for
ut I think it's \$1.25 a person; it's
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Interesting.
MS. SMITH: We serve them hot meals
ing and the evening.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I know how
in central Brooklyn, I know.

2	DHS brings the individuals to your door?							
3	MS. SMITH: Yes.							
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And they do							
5	not provide you any resources?							
6	MS. SMITH: They give us the sheets							
7	and the blankets.							
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right but							
9	just the basic necessities.							
10	MS. SMITH: Just the basic							
11	necessities, yes.							
12	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you get							
13	reimbursed for the food, any food?							
14	MS. SMITH: We get reimbursed for							
15	some food but I think it's \$1.25 a person; it's							
16	not much.							
17	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Interesting.							
18	MS. SMITH: We serve them hot meals							
19	in the morning and the evening.							
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I know how							
21	you cook up in central Brooklyn, I know.							
22	MS. SMITH: We do. I'm the cook.							
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I'm going to							
24	come by and worship with you.							
25	MS. SMITH: Thank you.							

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

An An							
Signature_			-		•		
Date	_January	26,	2009_				