CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

September 10, 2008

Start: 1:35pm
Recess: 4:26pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JOHN C. LIU Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joseph P. Addabbo, Jr. Daniel R. Garodnick G. Oliver Koppell Vincent Ignizio Jessica S. Lappin Michael E. McMahon

Darlene Mealy Diana Reyna Larry Seabrook David Yassky

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Andrew Salkin
First Deputy Commissioner
Taxi and Limousine Commission

Peter Shankman Assistant Commissioner Taxi and Limousine Commission

Micah Z. Kellner Assembly Member, District 65 New York State Assembly

Jack Ridenour Chief Engineer (retired), Engineer Safety Ford Motor Company

Ron Sherman President Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

C. Bruce Gambardella
Professional Engineer

Marvin Wasserman Executive Director Brooklyn Center for Independence of the Disabled

Kenneth L. Stewart President Metropolitan Council of Low Vision Individuals

Edith Prentiss Vice President of Legislative Affairs Disabled in Action of Metropolitan New York

Gene Friedman
Taxi Fleet Operator

Vincent Sapone Managing Director League of Mutual Taxi Owners

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Richard Ackerman Owner/Operator Medallion Maintenance

Malcolm Radner
Taxicab Fleet Operator

Osmond Chowdery Member New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Bill Lindauer Member New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Matt Schulken

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ISRAEL MARTINEZ:

This is the

second sound check, canceling the first. will now be a Committee on Transportation, chaired by John Liu. Today is September 10, 2008. is Israel Martinez running the sound check, doing the recording.

CHAIRPERSON JOHN C. LIU: Good Welcome to today's hearing of the City afternoon. Council's Committee on Transportation. My name's John Liu, I have the privilege of chairing this Committee. Today we've convened this oversight hearing for the purpose of examining whether green taxis are safe. I think we are aware that Mayor Bloomberg has mandated that taxis achieve a certain miles per gallon rating by October, in a few weeks. This was announced in April of 2007. And that would be 25 miles per gallon by this October, increasing to 30 miles per gallon by next year.

There have been persistent questions and complaints from industry and others concerning the actual safety for passengers and the general public of the hybrid electric vehicles that have been made available for the purposes of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	being	used	as	taxicabs	on	New	York	City	streets.
---	-------	------	----	----------	----	-----	------	------	----------

3 That's why we've convened this hearing. We expect

4 to hear from officials of the Taxi and Limousine

5 | Commission. We'll hear from industry

6 representatives, both taxi industry as well the

7 auto manufacturing industry. We'll also hear from

8 drivers and we'll hear from the general public.

We will also hear from leaders in the disability

10 community.

I would like at this point to ask the Sergeants to be mindful that we do have people who will be attending today's hearing either in a wheelchair or a scooter. So at that point we will have to make some room to accommodate them. And I also want to thank everybody for their patience. We had to change the venue slightly for today's hearing as the hearing next door was still going on.

We have a number of issues to
discuss today. Right now I'd like to introduce my
colleagues who are here today, Council Member
Oliver Koppell from the Bronx, Council Member
Larry Seabrook from the Bronx, Council Member
David Yassky from Brooklyn, Council Member Mike

2.0

2.3

2	McMahon from Staten Island, Council Member Jessica
3	Lappin from Manhattan and Council Member Dan
4	Garodnick, also from Manhattan.

I'd like to thank the work of our staff, Phil Hahm, our legislative counsel and Chimo Bachery, our finance analyst. With that, we are pleased at this time to be joined by members of the administration, Deputy Commissioner of the Taxi and Limousine Commission, welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER: Mr. Chairman.

Before you begin let me just apologize. I'm also a member of the Committee next door, maybe others are as well, I may be coming in and out for that reason.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much for alerting us to that. There will, as usual, be members straddling meetings. Thank you. Please proceed.

ANDREW SALKIN: Good afternoon

Chairman Liu and members of the Transportation

Committee. My name is Andrew Salkin and I'm the

First Deputy Commissioner of the Taxi and

Limousine Commission. I'm joined today by Charles

Frasier on my left, who is the general counsel of

the Taxi Limousine Commission and Peter Shankman, who is the Assistant Commissioner overseeing our safety and emissions inspections facility at TLC.

I'm here to testify on high safety standards that TLC requires on all vehicles it approves to place on the road of New York City. I want to emphasize that TLC's top priority is driver and passenger safety. I understand that this hearing is meant to focus on just green taxis but it's important for the committee to know that taxis with better gas mileage meets or exceeds standards for all taxis placed into service in New York City. I would like to briefly discuss the process that each vehicle must go through to serve New York City public as a taxi.

Before any vehicle model, including a taxi, is placed on the road, the original equipment manufacturer, OEM, must certify that the vehicle meets or exceeds the standards specified in the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards New Car Assessment Program, administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation's National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, NHTSA.

The vehicle is then tested by NHTSA

to ensure compliance. These standards were first put into place in 1967 to ensure that all vehicles meet or exceed a set of ever evolving safety standards. Today, more than 50 standards cover a comprehensive range of vehicle features such as vehicle's ability to avoid an accident, the vehicle's component predictability in a crash and most importantly, the vehicle's ability to protect occupants from injury in the event of an accident.

It should be noted that all vehicles, whether they are SUVs, minivans or sedans, are held to the same standard. Regardless of whether New Yorkers are driving their own vehicles or riding in a New York City taxicab, they feel safe because the vehicles are all FMVSS compliant.

Once a vehicle model meets the standards set by the federal government and the TLC< an owner may purchase any approved vehicle.

Before the vehicle can be placed into taxi service, it must pass an initial hack up inspection at TLC, ensuring TLC required equipment is in place and properly installed. Once in service, it must pass three inspections a year.

/

Each year TLC performs nearly

60,000 inspections, which include over 250

different tests that cover safety, performance,
emission and TLC standards. In fact, the TLC

safety and emissions inspection facility and all
its vehicle inspectors are certified and licensed
by the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles
to conduct these enhanced safety emissions
inspections. Additionally, this facility is
licensed by New York State Department of
Agriculture's Bureau of Ways and Measures to

These inspections reveal a lot about a car's performance as a New York City taxicab. They also ensure that vehicles continue to meet the federal motor vehicle safety standards. Regardless of whether vehicles taxi with improved gas mileage or another type of cab, if it does not meet federal safety and New York State safety standards, it will fail the TLC inspection and be taken off the road.

ensure the accuracy of its testing equipment.

TLC has worked for years with manufacturers on vehicles used as taxicabs. In a recent example of this, TLC has reached out to

2.0

2.3

automakers to talk about vehicle supply when members of the taxi industry raised concerns about the availability of models that meet the 25 mile per gallon standard. After a series of discussions, three major manufacturers committed in writing to provide a guaranteed number of vehicles specifically for New York City taxi market. Assuming certain conditions are met, Nissan agreed to provide up to 200 Altima hybrids per month. Ford agreed to provide a minimum of 50 Escape hybrids per month. And GM agreed to 600 Malibu hybrids for a year. Exceeding the estimates needed for the industry and putting questions regarding availability to rest.

Through these commitments, the manufacturers have supported the use of their vehicles as New York City taxicabs. In making these commitments, they're all aware of the requirements necessary for a vehicle to be placed on the road as a taxicab. And to this end, they've worked to modify the vehicle specifically for use as a New York City taxicab. For example Ford offers its vehicle in a shade of taxicab yellow that is required by TLC. Both Ford and

2.0

2.3

Nissan are developing rear air conditioning solutions for use with full width partitions.

have taken an interest in how their vehicles are performing as New York City taxicabs. All three automakers have sent engineers to review the performance of their vehicles and TLC inspection data. Both Ford and Nissan shared their findings in writing. Ford was impressed with TLC's dedication to safety. Nissan expressed some safety concerns about airbag deployment, which led to a review of standards and a modification to the vinyl seat cover rule, which was approved this morning by TLC Commission vote.

For decades, all manufacturers and post-manufacturer modifiers have supported the warranty even after the vehicle is hacked up as a taxicab. The TLC hack up requirements are not a reason to void the warranty. The partition is an important TLC standard. The safety feature protects drivers from violent crime and it therefore warrants particular mention. Partitions are commonly used throughout the country for protection of drivers in taxicabs, police cars and

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

a wide variety of other vehicles.

Partitions were required in New York City taxicabs before the creation of TLC in The mandatory use of partitions was revoked 1971. in the late 1970s but was reinstated in 1994. In 1997, New York State passed legislation requiring the use of partitions in taxicabs statewide. taxis being used at that time were non-stretch Crown Victorias, which have comparable rear passenger leg room to vehicles that meet the 25 mile per gallon standard today. The safety challenges in regards to the partition when the state requirement was enacted 11 years ago are comparable to the vehicles that meet the October mileage standards.

Beyond the borders of New York
City, many municipalities across the country
utilize partitions to protect drivers from violent
crime. For examples, cities such as Boston, Los
Angeles, Baltimore and Chicago all use partitions
in their taxis. The reduction in violence against
drivers since the installation of partitions
speaks a great deal to their value in protecting
drivers and saving their lives. Historically,

2.0

2.3

Fleet's medallion owners and drivers have strongly supported the partition.

Although the partition requirement has been in place for many years, the actual design of the partition is constantly reviewed and updated by the Commissioners as new developments in industry design and industry materials arise.

In New York City, three different partition designs are approved for use.

The first type of partition is a full width partition for vehicles that do not have curtain air bags. This type of partition is typically found in a Crown Victoria. When the vehicle types that were manufactured with curtain airbags started to be used as taxis, TLC Commissioners approved a new partition.

This second type of partition was designed by a local manufacturer with the cooperation of TLC to accommodate the deployment of curtain airbags. Taxis with curtain airbags include the hybrids that New York City Council mandated.

The third type of partition is the L-shaped partition. This type was designed for

2.0

2.3

vehicles that do not have separate back seat air conditioning vents. Since auto manufacturers are developing a solution to the rear air conditioning problem, the L-shaped partition will not be needed after the next few months.

Regardless of the vehicle model of taxicabs, the FMVSS seat belt standards will significantly limit the movement of an occupant involved in a crash, greatly reducing the risk of injury. The passenger information monitors installed in the back of taxicabs remind all taxicab passengers to buckle up.

The safety of drivers and passengers is of utmost importance to TLC. We take safety concerns very seriously. Since 2005, TLC has held multiple hearings on mileage standards and hybrid vehicles both before and after the rules were passed and has provided multiple opportunities for presentation of evidence suggesting that taxis that meet 25 miles per gallon are unsafe. To date we have not seen any credible evidence to support these claims.

The Committee's question is whether green taxis are safe and I'm pleased to report

that taxicabs with improved gas mileage meet or exceed the same federal standards and the same TLC inspection standards as all New York City taxicabs. All taxi models with better gas mileage meet NHTSA crash testing regiment of any commercial market vehicle sold in the United States. Significantly, these cars are not new. The Camry has been on the market since 1980, the Altima since 1992, the Escape since 2001 and the current version of the Malibu since 2003.

The Council first mandated the use of hybrid taxis more than three years ago. Since then, estimating conservatively vehicles with better gas mileage have logged more than 70 million miles. The time for pilots has ended. The record has been outstanding; taxicabs with improved gas mileage are fully performing, saving drivers money and doing so safely.

In addition, the mile per gallon standards provide many benefits to the industry and the public. Vehicles with better gas mileage save drivers thousands of dollars annually in gas costs. When all the vehicles meet the miles per gallon standards, it will yield a taxi industry

wide savings of more than \$60 million per year.

Importantly, vehicles with better gas mileage also decrease our need for imported oil, reduce our carbon footprint and improve air quality.

For example, compared to a Crown
Victoria, the Nissan Altima hybrid and the Ford
Escape hybrid, among other models, emit roughly
71% less nitrous oxides and 89% less non-methane
organic compounds, both of which are pre-cursors
to smog. Both the U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency and New York City Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene have documented the links between
smog and symptoms of asthma. In some parts of New
York City, asthma hospitalization rates are up to
four times the national average, often at the
public's expense. Efforts to reduce air pollution
such as the mile per gallon standards help protect
public health citywide.

I would like to thank the

Transportation Committee for the opportunity to

discuss vehicle safety today in this forum and for

demonstrating our shared high regard for safety of

both passengers and drivers. Again, safety is of

the utmost important to the TLC. And based on

2.0

2.3

questions.

2	federal standards, engineering reviews, vehicle
3	inspections and performance, the vehicles on the
1	road are serving the public safely. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you

Commissioner Salkin. We've been joined by Council

Member Vincent Ignizio from Staten Island. I want

to thank you for the testimony. I do appreciate

the administration for being at this hearing

whereas the administration was absent at our last

hearing in June on a similar topic. I will have

to stay, though, that most of your testimony

doesn't actually address the questions that we

have at hand. So I'll get right into the

You talk about the federal standards. Standards. Obviously there are federal standards. Federal standards, you are saying they apply to taxicabs; they apply to every single car that's on the road so that's not really much of something for the TLC to hang their hat on. Those federal standards apply to everything.

The thrice a year inspections that the TLC requires of all taxicabs, that's true of all taxicabs on the road, whether they're hybrid

2.0

2.3

or not. So there, again, there's nothing
different. And that speaks to maintenance after
the vehicle is approved for use. What our
question is, is does the TLC have to actually do
anything apart from taking any vehicle that's
allowed for use on an American street to be used
as a New York City taxicab. And I'll try to be
more specific.

In your closing you say that, again, safety is of the utmost importance to TLC and based on federal standards, engineering reviews and vehicle inspections and performance, the vehicles on the road are serving the public safety. Federal standards we get but federal standards apply to any car that's on the street. The vehicle inspections and performance, that's the three times a year inspection that's required of all vehicles. What would you say are the engineering reviews in the last sentence? Are those...?

MR. SALKIN: Sir, your question is what are the engineering reviews?

CHAIRMAN LIU: Yes.

MR. SALKIN: That take place on the

2.0

2.3

2	taxicabs.	The
4	Laxicabs.	1116

3 CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] Is 4 that the TLC engineering review?

MR. SALKIN: Specifically I'm referring to reviews that the major manufacturers have conducted on vehicles that have served as taxicabs. And there are communications with us that the vehicles are performing well as vehicles and continue to meet standards.

CHAIRMAN LIU: So does the TLC actually require something that's over and above federal standards or are those engineering reviews that go into this FMVSS determination?

MR. SALKIN: TLC does--

CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] Does
TLC look at the engineering reviews?

MR. SALKIN: Does the TLC look at engineering reviews and communicate with the manufacturers as to are the vehicles safe and the manufacturers feel comfortable that the vehicles on the road are safe? Those dialogues happen all the time when we have those conversations like that.

CHAIRMAN LIU: I think--

2	MR. SALKIN: [interposing] And then
3	your other question about
4	CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] I
5	don't think there's going to be any car maker
6	who's going to not say their vehicle is safe for
7	use. The question here is, you talk about the
8	federal standard and you described the federal
9	standards as if they are something that are very
10	stringent for taxicabs. They are stringent;
11	they're stringent for any car on the street. But
12	there's nothing special.
13	Are you testifying, then, that the
14	TLC really doesn't do anything special above just
15	accepting that a car is legally usable in the
16	United States of America?
17	MR. SALKIN: We're testifying that
18	the FMVSS standards are comprehensive and
19	sufficient to ensure that someone who is in a
20	vehicle and involved in an accident is secure to a
21	standard that is predetermined to be appropriate.
22	CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. At this
23	point, the Committee does accept that assertion
24	but we also have to remind the TLC and the

administration that over and over again in

2.0

2.3

testimony four or five years ago that TLC testified that they could not approve a hybrid electric vehicle for use as a taxicab because there were still outstanding concerns as to whether those vehicles were safe. Even though, clearly at the time, all those vehicles had already met this standard, this FMVSS standard, that the TLC is now satisfied with. So we will state that for the record. Do you want to rebut that or do you just accept that? We just want to hold the administration accountable on this point.

MR. SALKIN: In terms of your statement, at this time the vehicles that have been on the road have traveled over 70 million miles and we have confidence that they're able to perform up tot he standards that the federal government sets and the standards that the TLC has in terms of running through inspections and passing inspections and maintaining their safety throughout their life.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. So does that mean that the TLC then actually has looked at the 70 million miles of experience and there is a determination that's been made by the TLC separate

2.0

2.3

from the federal standard?

MR. SALKIN: The answer is in terms of the federal standards that are set, we are not challenge the federal standards but what we've been able to monitor is how the vehicles perform over time. And what we have found is that they perform equally or better than the cars that were previously on the road so they are performing in what we consider an acceptable fashion.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay.

MR. SALKIN: And they're safe.

CHAIRMAN LIU: So can you be a

little bit more specific about what the performance is? Maybe, four or five of the different criteria, or even two or three of the different criteria that you've been able to judge with regard to these hybrid electric vehicles and the 70 million miles of experience that you have.

MR. SALKIN: Sure. First, in terms of inspection, a good measure is when a vehicle comes in for initial inspection. We run it through the 250 mile check. Our particular facility has four different stations and each station checks something different. Again, we've

invited members of your committee and anyone interested in Council to come out and see that facility. I think you'll find it rather impressive. Again, doing 60,000 different inspections a year we are the largest DMV certified facility in the state. To that end, the vehicles, depending on their mileage and depending on their age, obviously wear and tear and other criteria determine whether or not they pass their inspections.

The initial inspections of brand new vehicles are very high; it's over 70%. The vehicles, whether it's a Crown Vic or an Escape or any of the 25 mile per gallon vehicles, tend to perform at a very high level. As the vehicles get older there's more maintenance that's required, they certainly go on the road for a longer period of time and their ability to pass the initial inspections does deteriorate. However, in a comparison of similar mileage, we find that the vehicles do pass equally well.

What I want to ask Peter Shankman to do, who oversees this, is to speak a little bit, if you can Peter, about the top typical

2.0

2.3

failures. I think this is the key, even though a
vehicle fails it can fail for a small safety item
like bald tires to a major safety item like a
cracked chastity. I think Peter can talk to
exactly your question, which is what are the major
things we see in typical standard, I guess in this
case, the Crown Victorias versus what are we
seeing in the hybrids.

10 CHAIRMAN LIU: If you can make it 11 brief, Peter.

PETER SHANKMAN: I will.

CHAIRMAN LIU: We don't need a...

14 Okay.

MR. SHANKMAN: We do an enhanced New York State Department of Motor Vehicle Inspection, which requires that we test all safety and emissions related items. On the non-hybrid vehicles what we find on the top ten failures are fairly significant component failures. There are suspension component failures, there are brake system failures and these are serious safety issues. Whereas when we look at the top ten or even top five of hybrid failures, they tend to be lights, bulbs out, a bald tire, a cracked that

25

2	exceeds the recommended length on the windshield.
3	Stuff that, sure, has safety related implications
4	because a light bulb is out but they're not actual
5	near catastrophic. Failures of suspension
6	components that, if given four months until the
7	next inspection based on the 50% failure rate,
8	we're not sure they're being repaired in time.
9	CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. Now I presume
10	you're talking about the three times a year
11	inspections. Now, Commissioner Salkin, I think I
12	heard you but I just want to confirm that I did.
13	You said something about inspections of new
14	vehicles and that 70% of them were passing? Did I
15	hear you correctly?
16	MR. SALKIN: Sorry. Say that
17	again. You said
18	CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] What
19	are inspections of new vehicles?
20	MR. SALKIN: No, no. What I meant
21	to say is vehicles with less mileage on them so
22	vehicles that have less than 50,000 miles on them
23	will perform better historically at an inspection

than a comparable model that has 250,000 miles on

it. So even if it's the same year; you have a

vehicle?

2003 Crown Vic that has 50,000 miles we would
expect to see that vehicle pass its inspection at
a higher rate than a 2003 Crown Vic with 250,000
miles. What I was trying to point out is
CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] I
understand. So you don't mean like brand new you
mean new relatively speaking, under 50,000 miles.
MR. SALKIN: I meant mileage,
right. Comparable apples to apples; if you want
to make a generalization across, Peter is correct
in terms of the Crown Vics pass our inspections
less than 60% of the time as a group. The hybrid
vehicles pass the inspections at 85% as a group.
However, as a body they're much newer vehicles
with less mileage so I didn't want to make that
ascertain today.
CHAIRMAN LIU: All right. You talk
about how today there was a rule passed that
prohibit a certain modification to taxis?
Presumably, these are vehicles that meet the
federal standard, FMVSS. Why would the TLC have
to pass a rule prohibiting a certain change to the

MR. SALKIN: The particular rule

2.0

2.3

that was changed today or modified today has to do
with vinyl seat covers. So for many, many years
the TLC has requires vehicles that are placed into
service to have vinyl seat covers. I'm sure many
of you have noticed the seats are vinyl.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Yeah. We love that.

MR. SALKIN: You love it.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Especially when you're wearing shorts in the summertime.

[Laughter]

MR. SALKIN: Which is why we need the air conditioner to work in the rear. In the front seats, as technology has changed in vehicles, new technology has been added to the front seat. In a particular case for the front seat, there are many vehicles that now have multiple airbags in the front. One air is deployment of the airbag in the front of the vehicle for a head on collision and there are also side air bags that come out of the seat.

What you do, one solution that the industry had - and these are post-manufacturing solutions. One solution to get vinyl on to the vehicles is they put a cover that's vinyl over the

original seat cover. And in doing so, it was brought to our attention and doing further research that that seat cover either modifies the ability of the front airbag to deploy correctly and as mandated by FMVSS and/or it would restrict or limit the deployment of the side airbags that come out of the seat.

So by getting rid of the front passenger vinyl, the problems caused by these enhanced safety features not deploying correctly was eliminated. We took that action because we figured it was the most appropriate safety course.

CHAIRMAN LIU: We appreciate the rule change but I think it's important for the Taxi and Limousine Commission and the administration to understand that while the TLC can say today. Again, the change in position from a few years ago but the TLC can say today that as long as a vehicle meets the federal standard it can be used as a taxicab.

Today's rule change is a clear illustration that the TLC's requirements for these federally approved vehicles actually could curtail some of the safety features that are already

2.0

2.3

present in those vehicles. So at some point, I
would think that the Taxi and Limousine Commission
bears the responsibility of ensuring that vehicles
modified per TLC requirements are in fact still
safe according to the federal standards.

Is that something that the TLC should be looking at or do you feel that it's a non-issue?

MR. SALKIN: The TLC does care about that and we do look at that. We are confident that the vehicles on the road are safe.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Look, the TLC always says, yeah, the vehicles are safe. But there are lots of people who say that they're not or not necessarily that the vehicles are not safe. I don't think anybody's actually said that the vehicles are really not safe. I think there is concern that the TLC has not actually done its full due diligence in making sure that the vehicles are safe.

Even in the example that you brought up today, which I guess is related to the rule change this morning. What about other things that might come up that the TLC hasn't thought of

2.0

2.3

yet because there hasn't really been an effort to make sure that the vehicles as modified by TLC requirements are, in fact, still fully functional with regard to their safety features.

What if there are other rule changes that are necessary to ensure that TLC safety requirements are not actually getting in the way of the safety features of the vehicles?

MR. SALKIN: First to make clear, the changes that we made were made because the vehicles themselves were changing to meet different changes and different standards set by FMVSS. And those standards are always going to change and we want them to change because it ensures the vehicles are always safer. So I'm rather confident that in the next several years there will be additional changes to standards that we have. And we're going to have to make changes to reflect that.

How we do that is one, we ensure that we have an Assistant Commissioner that's in charge of safety and emissions who's staying abreast of all the changes in the industry. We have an Assistant Commissioner whose job is to

2.0

2.3

work with the manufacturers to ensure that the vehicles that are coming out meet standards, to ensure that the vehicles being placed on the road and the people putting them on the road understand what the taxi standards are and that they understand what taxi standards may be changing and that we work together to ensure this. So to say that we're not paying attention to that, I don't think is true.

I also want to point out that the inspections that we do are very comprehensive.

And I don't want to short sell that. The vehicles that are on the road travel over 800 million miles a year and we do over 60,000 inspections of these vehicles, that's unprecedented in any taxi industry the country. I'm getting whispered in my ear throughout the world. So to say that we know how the vehicles are performing, that we're ahead of any curve I think is very appropriate. And for you to expect that there will be changes I think is, like I suggested, appropriate. So I think we are there and we would expect more.

At this time we believe that the vehicles are safe, that they're performing up to

an expectation that we have set for the vehicles and we've seen no credible evidence to suggest otherwise.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Anybody can say the vehicles are safe and they vehicles are going to be safe until they're not safe and this is a clear example. All I'm suggesting right now is that TLC really should review-- Let's look at this example of the vinyl seat covers.

No one would really ever think of vinyl seat covering requirement at something that could impeded safety but in fact, that's exactly what it has done here. Because the TLC, even though in this case this Nissan model is federally approved for use on streets, right? But because of the TLC requirement for the vinyl seats, that actually impedes one of the safety features of this model. So I think it's important for the TLC to actually review its own rules, at the minimum, as to whether any of those rules impeded the safety features in any other way.

Wouldn't you agree that this is an example where a TLC requirement in a vehicle used as a taxicab actually impedes a safety feature?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

And that's why it's not sufficient for the TLC simply to say, well, the vehicle is federally approved therefore it should be usable as a taxi.

MR. SALKIN: In all due respect, I'm following what you're arguing I don't disagree with what you're saying but I'm also arguing we're doing exactly what you're suggesting we should be Which is as new vehicles come on market we doing. review and work with the manufacturer to understand how that vehicles as a taxicab meeting all New York City standards. In the case where we found that there might be, there's no proof that there was an accident, we have no examples that there was any damage done by our vinyl seat cover. But we worked and we proactively changed the rule because we believe it was the safe and correct action to take. Therefore, what I'm getting at is we're always reviewing our standards and ensuring that the vehicles meet the highest safety standards. And I think, again, I would expect to see the rules change especially as federal standards change over the next several years to modify that.

CHAIRMAN LIU: All right.

MR. SALKIN: Another example of
that is the curtain airbags. As curtain airbags
came out we had to modify the partition to allow
for the safety feature. Soon, I think Peter,
FMVSS is going to require curtain airbags in all
vehicles. It's not required yet but our partition
and our planning ahs put any vehicle that goes on
the road ahead of that curve to meet the latest

and newest standards.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. I'll just state for now and we'll have questions from other members. In this example, you state that TLC is taking actions, actually the TLC is waiting for the manufacturers themselves. In this case of the vinyl seat covers, it's not something that the TLC actually discovered. It's something that the automaker noticed that had to be changed. So they came to the TLC and at that point the Commission put this rule change in effect. But it doesn't seem like it was because of any action taken by the TLC in terms of reviewing the safety features of these federally approved vehicles.

Are you ready to go on to other questions? All right, thank you. We have

2.0

2.3

questions from Council Member. We've been joined
by Council Member Joe Addabbo from Queens and
Council Member Diana Reyna from Queens and
Brooklyn. We have questions from Council Member
Daniel Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER DANIEL R. GARODNICK:

Thank you Mr. Chairman. I wanted to thank you Commissioner for your testimony. Also just to follow up on a couple of those points because I was listening to the exchange between you and Chairman Liu and trying to make sense of the issue here of the FMVSS, which is the federal standard, which is a pre-condition for any car to be on the road, pre- condition for any car to be used as a taxi. You have your own inspection cycle three months for--four months?

CHAIRMAN LIU: Three times a year, four months.

MR. GARODNICK: Sorry. Three times a year for taxis but the point where I still don't completely have clarity is when there are changes made to a vehicle like a vinyl seat or an L-shaped partition, does that itself put the vehicles in a different category, something that the FMVSS does

2	not contemplate? The FMVSS doesn't speak to L-
3	shaped partitions or vinyl seats, right?
4	MR. SALKIN: The FMVSS speaks
5	towards how the vehicle will perform in an
6	accident and then it's crash tested. It's crash
7	tested by NHTSA so it speaks towards standards in
8	a crash.
9	MR. GARODNICK: But it speaks to it
10	in a context of the general use, the non-modified
11	use of the vehicle, right?
12	MR. SALKIN: If you're using the
13	term modified as the hack up standards.
14	MR. GARODNICK: Hack ups standards.
15	So if hack up standards means to make seats vinyl,
16	if it means to add an L-shaped partition, yes.
17	Does it
18	MR. SALKIN: [interposing] My
19	understanding is those are not contemplated
20	specifically.
21	MR. GARODNICK: Okay. So then we
22	say that all of the taxis on the road meet the
23	FMVSS standard, we're talking about their meeting
24	that standard at the outset before they are hacked

up. Is that right?

25

25

MR. SALKIN: All vehicles must meet 2 3 FMVSS that is before they can be hacked up. MR. GARODNICK: Okay. And there's no way to evaluate whether they still meet federal 5 standards after they're hacked up other than any 6 7 local rules that we impose here in the city. 8 that fair? 9 MR. SALKIN: Well again, the manufacturers of the vehicles have a commitment to 10 11 the federal government to ensure the vehicles are 12 performing up to the standards. The safety checks and the DMV inspections, I think the FMVSS goes 13 14 beyond the hack up. It goes towards the vehicle's 15 performance in its lifetime. And what we do in 16 monitoring the vehicles is ensuring that it 17 maintains its commitment to the standard set by 18 FMVSS. 19 MR. GARODNICK: Okav, but--2.0 MR. SALKIN: [interposing] In 21 addition, the manufacturers of the vehicles 22 monitor the vehicles. They see the vehicles as 2.3

they break down or don't break down. Many of them work directly with us, use our inspection data to model what might be happening. Some of them watch

2.0

2.3

that to me.

our inspections and are a part of that.	So to say
that they're not involved to ensure that	ıt the
vehicles are performing at the standard	ls, I don't
think that's correct.	

MR. GARODNICK: I don't think
that's actually what I was saying. What I want to
understand from you is there's a threshold check;
the federal standards, they come in and they
approved for use as a vehicle here in New York
City as a taxi. Then changes are made to the
vehicles. There is nothing in the FMVSS which
speaks to those specific changes that we're making
to the vehicles here in New York City as taxis.
Is that right?

MR. SALKIN: That's right.

MR. GARODNICK: Okay. So any determination of whether the vehicles are safe from that point forward, from the point where they are hacked up, where the changes are made, are determinations that we are making here in New York City?

MR. SALKIN: No.

MR. GARODNICK: Okay. Then explain

3

4

5

_

8

9

meet.

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

MR. SALKIN: Well I just wanted to-I think it's important to understand that FMVSS
covers much, much more than just the hack up. So
in terms of the standard FMVSS inspections and
requirements that the state has set out for the
vehicles to meet in order to be on the road, so
the safety standards that every vehicle has to

I think this will probably help you.

Every vehicle in New York state has

to pass DMV inspections. We are DMV certified inspection facility. The vehicles that we inspect in order for them to continue to be licensed and active TLC vehicles have to pass a DMV inspection. That includes standards that are set by FMVSS. In addition, we have over 100 additional inspections that we do that look at specific taxi items or specific items that are of particular concern to drivers and the riding public. Those items, I think you are correct, are not necessarily contemplated in FMVSS but it's our relationship, our monitoring of these and our relationship with the manufacturers to ensure that those items are still performing up to the standards to ensure that the FMVSS standards that are in place still

2.0

2.3

work.

So for example, if there is a vinyl seat cover requirement, regardless of being a taxi standard or someone putting it in their car, it's important than the airbags still deploy. So for us to have a conversation about the airbags deploying and deploying correctly is a very common kind of conversation that you would have and something that would come up in the normal course of action. We end up with a conversation that gets right to the FMVSS standard to make sure that there's something we're not doing that compromises those standards that are set by the federal government.

MR. GARODNICK: I'm sorry but the normal, when you say the normal course of actions or the conversations that are ongoing, what are we talking about here? What do you mean by those conversations or normal course of action?

MR. SALKIN: Right. Well I think you're probably better suited to talk about this so I'm going to let Peter answer this. I think one thing you have to remember is being the largest inspection facility in the state and one

2.0

of the largest probably in the country, one that's computerized and has data that's readily available. People are very interested in how the vehicles are performing and getting that data.

In addition, the duty cycle for vehicles driving in New York and being taxicabs and sometimes being on the road 24/7 lends them to a situation where they're doing high mileage, lots of miles in a day, lots of stops, starts. It puts a lot of stress damage on the vehicle. Companies are very interested in seeing how that performs so they've taken a keen interest in monitoring how their vehicles perform. Peter, if you could talk a little bit about how this happens and the relationships you have with different manufacturers.

MR. GARODNICK: The real question is, is it a formal process or is it just when they pick up the phone and they say how is the Ford Escape doing and you answer that question? What's the level of formality?

MR. SALKIN: I think it's important to understand something is for many, many years the main vehicle that was being used was the Ford

2.0

2.3

Crown Victoria, long wheel base. Recently and that's the last couple of years, mostly since 2005 we've added a number of different hybrid vehicles. In adding those hybrid vehicles to the approved fleet, a number of manufacturers who weren't involved in the taxi industry have taken an interest in being involved.

Up until that point, Ford was the major participant, they had close relationships with TLC, close relationships with major fleets and they were able to monitor the performance of their vehicles and even make changes over the years as necessary.

As these new vehicles have rolled out, we've seen manufacturers taking a very aggressive approach to ensuring and watching what their vehicles do and act as vehicles that are on New York City streets 24 hours. That includes putting in sensors, multiple sensors in the vehicle, monitoring the engine, the revving, the brakes. I think things that go into engineering land that I'm not prepared to kind of understand. But to a point where they're collecting data, that goes even beyond our inspections to the point

2.0

where they're informing themselves over are their cars good in holding up to the ways that their engineers expected them to uphold.

So in terms of those vehicles, the newer vehicles, we've seen a much more hands on approach; visiting our facilities, getting data on a regular basis. It depends what company it is.

MR. GARODNICK: Okay. Let's start with the data for one second. I don't know what data you have on accidents, crash information for the hybrid taxies. Share with us how you keep that information and what it's saying to you. If you give us whatever numbers that you have, about the numbers of accidents, what the results were if you do analyses of those crashes. Tell us what you do, tell us what data is available.

MR. SALKIN: Sure. Accident data is, I don't know if you've seen an accident report but there's a lot of information on accident reports. It's hard to kind of decipher it. I think probably behind your question is a little bit of how did the accident happen, why did it happen, what was the reasoning for it happening. The accident information we have is more not

specific accident by accident analysis of this vehicle and that vehicle. We will look at the major accidents from time to time.

But typically, the best information we have is more from the insurance industry and from the owners and the people who fix these accidents. I think there's going to be some people testifying later today who own Crown Victorias and who own hybrid vehicles. I don't encourage you to ask them the same question.

But I can tell you from talking to major insurers that the hybrid vehicles, at least the information from the first six months of this year are getting in accidents at a much less rate than the Crown Victoria. I won't say that's hard and fast data that should be used or anything and that's why it's not in our testimony. Because the drivers of the hybrid vehicles and the vehicles that get 25 miles per gallon, they may be better drivers, they may be drivers that are driving less miles. There might be less stress on them for various reasons because they pay less money in gas and don't need to hustle as much. It's not to say that they're driving our newer vehicles and maybe

2.0

2.3

the vehicles themselves are safer. Maybe the vehicle is more comfortable and they sit in a different position.

I don't want to necessarily put anything to that but we do know, I think the numbers I remember seeing was about an insurer showed some information that said I think between 7% and 8% of the hybrid vehicles were in accidents of the first six months of last year. And the Crown Vics insurers showed, I think, between 11% and 13% of them were in accidents. Maybe it depends what month you look at.

MR. GARODNICK: I'm sorry. This was the percentage of the overall group so 11% to 13% of all Crown Victorias--

MR. SALKIN: [interposing] Again, this is an insurer who insures a limited number of vehicles, I think about 1,500 vehicles. I think to that note, major insurance companies are offering hybrid owners discounts and we know that. Up to 5% discounts because they drive a hybrid. I think that speaks more to the nature of the person who would buy a hybrid than necessarily are hybrids safer. I think the verdict's still out

2.0

2.3

that just because you're in a hybrid that you get in less accidents.

MR. GARODNICK: So the information you have from the insurance companies is that there are fewer accidents for whatever reason when you're in one of the hybrid vehicles. But when you are in an accident, I'm sure you're familiar with the testimony that was presented here back on May 8th. The Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade hired an engineer who came in here and testified essentially that he would never ride in a Ford Escape because of the configuration of the partition.

The L shape and the small size of the vehicle and how if it were in an accident that the vehicle would potentially cause great damage to the passenger or to the driver. That was the testimony that he offered on May 8th presenting pictures showing an analysis of one particular accident. I don't know exactly where or when or the accuracy of any of it. But can you respond to that and explain to New Yorkers why that is wrong or why the Ford Escape is safe to ride or why those concerns are not real or not founded?

2.0

2.3

2	MR. SALKIN: All right. First
3	responding to New Yorkers, I want to remind all
4	New Yorkers I don't want to sound hokey. But
5	it's really important that you wear your seatbelt.
6	I was in an accident in a delivery vehicle, I had
7	my seatbelt, I would have been injured. Since

that day I always wear my seatbelt.

We remind people to buckle up but I can't tell you how many people I talk to, they just don't wear their seatbelts in the back of cabs. You must wear your seatbelt in the back of cabs. If you're wearing your seatbelt, the risk is greatly reduced. Your ability to move around in the case of an accident is greatly reduced. To that end, the movement and your ability to hit a partition is greatly reduced. And if you do hit a partition, the force in which you do so is also greatly reduced. So wear your seatbelts New Yorkers.

If you're wearing your seatbelt, your safety in any vehicle is greatly enhanced. In terms of the specific vehicles, the standards that the vehicles must meet for being in an accident are all the same. And again, that's

2.0

2.3

FMVSS the standard for the seatbelts, which again was actually the first FMVSS standard put in place. They're all the same; they must meet the seatbelt standards.

In terms of the partition, in terms of the space and the distance, if you're wearing your seatbelt for one, is greatly reduced. In 1997 when New York state passed a mandate that says all cities with 75,000 people or more must require partitions in the taxicabs. The vehicles that were on the road were the non-stretch Crown Victoria or the Chevy Caprice, I believe. The non-stretch Crown Victoria has a leg room of 38.6 inches. The hybrid vehicles and the vehicles that get 25 miles per gallon have very similar comparable space. Some have different configurations, some have a little less and some have a little bit more.

But the idea to say that the vehicles that were mandated and the standards that were set in 1997 were okay and that the same standards still exist today, is not okay. That I don't agree with. The safety that existed and the safety standards in 1997 were put in place and not

2.0

knowing full well what the vehicles were and what the partition requirements were. Today, we have very similar standards and the vehicles are equally safe.

MR. GARODNICK: In the case that you raised, you talked about a particular Escape considered. We are all very familiar with it; it seems to be one that pops up all the time. I think Peter is better versed in what exactly happened there. But Peter tell me if I get it wrong.

My understanding is it was a parked vehicle that was rammed from behind that had damage done to the vehicle that on significant look was quite significant. Upon an engineer review by Ford, the response back to us was the way that the car was damaged was the way it was designed to be damaged. Meaning that it sacrifices the body, it sacrifices the vehicle to ensure that the cargo, the occupants are safe. So while it did look like it was substantial damage, it was the correct way the car should have been treated. I believe it was a rear end collisions at high speed.

2	In addition, the partition did move
3	but upon inspection the partition was not
4	installed correctly. The reason it wasn't
5	installed correctly and not caught by us, at least
6	my understanding was that after initial hack up
7	the individual made some modifications to the
8	partition. That led to it being attached to the
9	dashboard as opposed to the actual vehicle, which
10	is something we inspect for when a vehicle comes
11	in. So to say that that is something that's
12	typical and something that would normally happen,
13	it's not something that I've seen evidence of
14	since then or before then. But it's not something
15	I would say is common or something that we would
16	expect in terms of that type of accident.
17	MR. GARODNICK: Okay. I'm going to
18	wrap up. I just wanted just clarification,
19	probably yes, no's at worse here. But one is just
20	to confirm that the installation of the partitions
21	and the way that they're done or if any

modifications are done to those, those are part of

the regular once every four months inspection that

MR. SALKIN: Yes.

is done by you?

it.

2	MR. GARODNICK: Okay. And the
3	other question is there is no overall assessment
4	yet of how these hybrid vehicles actually do in
5	accidents beyond what is set out by the federal
6	government or by the manufacturers themselves.
7	There's no further analysis that we have done in
8	the city or that TLC has done about how they
9	respond and interaction with partitions or
LO	anything like that. Is that also correct?
11	MR. SALKIN: To the extent that the
12	vehicles have been on the road for 70 million
L3	miles and nothing's been brought to our attention
L4	that causes us to think that the vehicles don't
15	perform like the other vehicles, there is nothing.
L6	MR. GARODNICK: Okay. So the
L7	answer is no to that. But what your point was
L8	there are fewer accidents but you haven't done
L9	that level and you wouldn't necessarily unless
20	something specifically were brought to your
21	attention. Is that fair?
22	MR. SALKIN: That's fair.
23	MR. GARODNICK: Okay. Thank you
24	Mr. Chairman. I took a lot of time. I appreciate

2	CHAIRMAN LIU: That's okay. Thank
3	you very much Council Member Garodnick. I do want
4	to note that we have been joined by the Honorable
5	Micah Kellner, a member of the New York State
6	Assembly who will be hearing from shortly. I just
7	have one set of final questions for you gentlemen.
8	Which is that when a partition as
9	required by the Taxi and Limousine Commission is
LO	installed in a vehicle to be used as a taxicab,
L1	does it decrease the amount of space between the
L2	back of the front seat and where the passenger in
L3	the rear seat sits?
L4	MR. SALKIN: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN LIU: Peter was
L6	mentioningby two inches? At the most two
L7	inches.
L8	MR. SHANKMAN: There were varying
L9	designs where if you taper to the back of the
20	seat, as some of the newer partitions are, it
21	actually gives you more leg room because a lot of
22	the front seats, the back is curved so if the
23	partition is sloped, you'll get that much more
2.4	room.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Well then what is

2.0

' ' '	_			' 1 0
it?	1 0	7 F	$-t_{M}$	inches?

MR. SHANKMAN: More space than a traditional partition, not more space than without a partition. So the answer is--

CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] But it will average two inches? Two inches, okay. You also mentioned before, I thought one of the observations about the Ford Escape specifically was that it was significantly less leg room than the stretch Crown Vic. That was the observation repeated over and over again by the TLC in testimony in '03 and '04. Did you say something to...?

MR. SALKIN: If I didn't say correctly, what I said today was the non-stretch Crown Victoria, which was on the road in 1997.

The stretch Crown Victorias...

CHAIRMAN LIU: I see.

MR. SALKIN: ...I believe were on the road in 2001 and the last non-stretch Crown Victoria was still on the road at this time last year.

CHAIRMAN LIU: The TLC doesn't do anything special to account for the fact that

2.0

2.3

there is a little bit less space between where the passenger sits, even when buckled in, and the back of the front passenger seat?

For example, I would assume under the FMVSS that there are certain measurements taken by the federal inspectors that when a vehicle is in a collision, the passenger even when buckled in will move forward. The head of the passenger will certainly move forward by a certain distance. When you install a partition there is less distance than what was contemplated by the FMVSS.

Now you're contention is that since it's only two inches that the TLC doesn't really have to do anything extra? That may very well be the case. I don't know. But have you looked at that question?

MR. SALKIN: I think to answer your question at this point I think it's important to understand that there are accidents in taxicabs and people who sit in the back seat of taxicabs do get injured. When you're wearing a seatbelt—And the way that the FMVSS standards are for seatbelts are not compromised, whether or not

2.0

there's a partition or not.

Seatbelts are designed in a way.

And all seats as of last year 2007 all back row seats, all three seats are required to have a three-point shoulder harness, the safest seatbelt currently mandated. The movement of an occupant is limited by that standard. That standard is something that the manufacturers designed for.

The availability of seatbelts is something that we mandate test for--

CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] We understand that.

MR. SALKIN: So if the seatbelt and the person sitting there, the likelihood of them coming to contact with the partition, regardless of the model of vehicle, is limited. And again, if they do come in contact with the partition and they are wearing their seatbelt and they're in the vehicle correctly, the type of injury they might sustain is also greatly reduced.

CHAIRMAN LIU: We understand the point that wearing a seatbelt greatly reduces the injuries of the type of injuries. All I'm saying is that is there any consideration to the fact

25

2	that you do lose space by installing the
3	partition. You do lose the wiggle room, if you
4	will, that a person will actually move when the
5	vehicle is involved in a collision, even when
6	wearing a seatbelt.
7	MR. SALKIN: And I guess what I'm
8	trying to say is that the wiggle room that you're
9	eluding to is a lot less than you're implying.
10	The
11	CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] So in
12	other words, the additional space that the
13	partition takes does not factor in at all into
14	what the FMVSS would contemplate.
15	MR. SALKIN: That's how I
16	understand the seatbelt code, yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN LIU: All right. Well I
18	want to thank you for your testimony today. Oh,
19	wait. We're sorry. We have questions from
20	Council Member Diana Reyna.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DIANA REYNA: I'm
22	sorry. I just wanted clarification because I was
23	trying to read through the briefing paper. One of

the issues that Council Member Liu had raised as

far as safety was concerned; I was concerned. In

2.0

2.3

reference to the airbags and their function to properly secure a passenger with an L-shaped partition installed. Do you believe that a passenger is safe considering the partition would almost come between the passenger and the airbag?

MR. SALKIN: I think I understand what you're asking so let's work through this for a second. If you're in the rear seat I don't believe, and Peter correct me if I'm wrong, there are any airbags in any vehicle for someone who is in the rear seat for a front end collision.

Meaning that when you're seating in the front seat and you're in an accident, an airbag comes in front of you and protects you.

In newer vehicles that are side curtain airbags. A side curtain airbag is exactly what it sounds like. It's an airbag that comes down on an impact. Again, I think there are varying standards in which type of side impact would release that side airbag. That airbag comes down and is to your side. I don't know if that would deploy in a front on accident. So the idea that a partition that's in front of you would prevent you from coming in contact with the side

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

airbag, I think, isn't exactly the way to consider it.

What we're concerned about is does the way the partition is designed, does it prevent the airbag that's on the side from deploying properly. And in older models of vehicles that don't have the added safety feature of a curtain airbag, the partition goes all the way across. And what would happen, and again Peter you've seen this deployment. I understand it would kind of fold, the airbag wouldn't deploy because it would get stuck on that partition. So what we've done is we modified the partition to have some space--I'm sorry. Peter is correct. The manufacturer of the partition modified it to allow for sufficient space to exist so that when the curtain airbag does deploy, that it does deploy properly and the partition doesn't interfere with that.

So it's not a traditional airbag that's in front. I think some of these newer vehicles have five, six, seven, eight airbags. As new airbags come online we make sure that our standards don't interfere with the deployment of those airbags. I think a Crown Victoria at this

2.0

2.3

2	time has two airbags so it's a different model
3	with different safety features.
4	MS. REYNA: So not just the

passenger but the safety of the driver, obviously, being a front passenger would not be compromised.

MR. SALKIN: That is correct.

MS. REYNA: Okay. And it's my understanding that there was a lawsuit filed yesterday. This would perhaps have an implication on the October deadline of this year. If, in a hypothetical scenario, the judge rules that the deadline has to change or that the month in which the installation of these hybrid vehicles have to be met as far as the goal that the TLC has already announced. What then is your contingency plan?

MR. SALKIN: Again, as you eluded to, there is a lawsuit filed I believe this week in terms of there being outstanding litigation.

And you're referring specifically to it. Those are questions that I'm not prepared to answer today. So I respectfully will remain silent on that.

MS. REYNA: You're waiting for the judge to rule on whether or not this case will

2	proceed and whether or not there's going to be any
3	further judgment or
4	MR. SALKIN: Again, I'm not going
5	to answer that and the advice of the outstanding
6	lawsuit and not wanting to say anything.
7	MS. REYNA: So until now the
8	deadline is October 2008?
9	MR. SALKIN: Not until now, the
10	deadline is currently October 2008.
11	MS. REYNA: 2008, of this year.
12	MR. SALKIN: Yes.
13	MS. REYNA: Which is in a couple of
14	weeks.
15	MR. SALKIN: Right. And again, to
16	understand that it's a phase in as vehicles come
17	up for retirement. It's not one day all the
18	vehicles have to change; it's a progressive change
19	that will take several years. Probably at about
20	200 vehicles per month retire given the month.
21	Those vehicles that go on the road will have to
22	meet the new 25 mile per gallon standard, as the
23	Chairman noted, up until 2009 in October when the
24	standard changes to 30 miles per gallon.

MS. REYNA: I know that this has to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do with safety and not miles per gallon. I'm just trying to understand as far as the driver or the vehicle owner purchasing the actual vehicle itself would have to make sure that they're investing for the future not for the October of 2008 deadline, but rather the October of 2009 deadline, not 25 miles per gallon but rather 30--

[interposing] No, no. MR. SALKIN: Because if you have a vehicle-- Typically vehicles get three years or five years. And there are some Council will authorize extensions for the vehicles. But we'll just assume three and five for now. If you purchase a vehicle in November of this year and put it on the road and it gets 25 miles per gallon, you can keep that vehicle for the entire life that you're authorized to keep it, which I think would be five or six years assuming you pass inspection. So it's not such as October 2009, if you have something that performs less than 30 miles per gallon you have to replace. It's the standard, you get to keep it on the road and that's grandfathered in. It's just new vehicles entering the system.

Just to remind you, TLC is one of

2.0

the few jurisdictions in the country, and we've had this rule for many years, that all vehicles upon retirement get replaced with brand new vehicles. So even today the average age is about three years, 3.3 years for the entire fleet.

We're always in a situation where pretty much 3,000 vehicles a year get turned over and that's been that way for several years. So it's not that the vehicle on the road will have to be replaced, it's the vehicle that's at the end of its life cycle in terms of TLC standard—

MS. REYNA: [interposing] And not they hybrid vehicle but rather a non-hybrid vehicle?

MR. SALKIN: Some hybrid vehicles are retiring because they've reached their three year lifetime or four year lifetime or the person who runs them is deciding to retire them. But again, the life of the vehicle hasn't changed. That standard hasn't changed. It's just the type of vehicle you are authorizing for the road is a 25 mile per gallon standard. Many of those are hybrid but there are some that are not, and let it go down the road.

2	MS. REYNA: I appreciate the time
3	you took. I apologize to the Chair for last
4	minute questions.
5	CHAIRMAN LIU: It's all right.
6	MS. REYNA: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much.
8	Gentlemen, thanks for joining us. Next we'll hear
9	from the Honorable Micah Kellner, the member of
10	the New York State Assembly. Following Assembly
11	Member Kellner, we'll hear from representatives of
12	the Ford Motor Company, Jack Ridenour.
13	MICAH Z. KELLNER: Thank you Mr.
14	Chairman. I really appreciate it. I'll try to
15	make my remarks a little briefer. I know that
16	there's a lot of people to testify and we're
17	running late. My name is Micah Z. Kellner and I
18	represent the 65th Assembly District in Manhattan,
19	including parts of the Upper East Side, Yorkville
20	and Roosevelt Island. Thank you again Mr.
21	Chairman for holding these hearings today.
22	I strongly support the goal of
23	making New York City's taxi fleet greener given
24	the realities of global climate change and the

clear links between auto emissions and asthma,

2.0

lung disease and other serious threats to public health. There is no question we should be taking steps to mitigate the environmental impact of taxi traffic in New York.

I testified before this Committee
in June on the subject of clean air taxis. At the
time I argued that the Taxi and Limousine
Commission is using flawed metrics and a rushed
timetable to provide a public relations victory
for an outgoing mayoral administration, even at
the expense of other important priorities in the
process. This is a fully disabled accessible taxi
fleet.

I'm here today because I'm concerned about the reports that I've read regarding the safety of hybrid taxis currently on the road in New York City. It may be simply disingenuous for the TLC to used flawed metrics to justify their goals. But it's completely irresponsible to jeopardize the safety of riders and drivers to meet those goals. In a letter dated August 29th of this year from the Metropolitan Taxi Board of Trades attorneys to the Ford Motor Company, the MTBOT raised a number of

2.0

safety issues regarding the TLC modified hybrids,
resulting from an engineering study that taxi
owners commissioned.

understand it, that Ford has not responded at this time so I'm very interested to hear their testimony today. These concerns are very real and they include the improper deployment and operation of side airbags in partition modified vehicles. The possibility of passengers injured due to the shorter distance in the smaller hybrid vehicles between the partition and the back seats, the possibility of injury due to the sharp edges of the L-shaped partition type and the increased likelihood of smaller hybrid vehicles like the For Escape hybrid to roll over in an accident.

The fact is the owner manuals for these cars warn specifically against their modifications for safety reasons. And the hacked the vehicles themselves have not been crash tested. The TLC would have you believe that crash testing these modified vehicles is unnecessary because the unmodified vehicles have been crash tested and met federal safety standards. I think

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

we all understand that there is a major difference between crash testing a modified vehicle with a

4 partition and a vehicle without any modifications.

It also is significant. The crash tests on these vehicles do not study the safety considerations for the majority of TLC customers rear seat passengers. The TLC required Auto Van, a company that produced a rear entry accessible modification of the Toyota Sienna that was already used as a taxi in major North America cities to put their vehicle through a 15 month approval process, which was rather meticulous to determine if it would hold up to the rigors of being a 24/7 New York City taxi. This included over a year of road testing and driver and rider evaluation. Additionally, Auto Van was made to pay for the crash testing above and beyond the FMVSS standards after hack up of its modified version of the Sienna in order to prove that it was safe. As well as obtain Toyota's manufacturing endorsement and have an independent engineer sign off on the structural integrity of each vehicle that comes off their assembly line.

Officials of the TLC repeatedly

told me that the reason for all this scrutiny was that the safety of the modified Sienna was the TLC's paramount concern and that's really how it should be for all vehicles. But politics seemed to have trumped safety, particularly when one sees the glittering contrast between the process I just described and the way in which hybrid vehicles were pushed through for TLC approval.

Depending on the hybrid model, they were only road tested between a few months and a few weeks. And in terms of the now clean diesel Jetta - not at all - there was no crash testing or engineering studies. Recently Auto Van announced to TLC that they would be modifying a model year 2009 Toyota Sienna, which are structurally identical to the model year 2008 vehicle. The TLC responded by requiring Auto Van to ship a modified 2009 Sienna to New York for inspection before approval. The TLC is right to require this but how could it then justify the approval of the Volkswagen clean diesel Jetta without ever testing it in any way?

If safety is truly the TLC's main concern, I find it outrageous that there is one

2.0

2.3

set of standards to have disabled accessible

vehicles approved by the TLC but another for how

fuel efficient taxis are approved. What is worst

of all is that it's seemingly being left to the

industry to police the agency. We must ensure the

same rigorous safety testings for every modified

vehicle, not pick and choose which ones to crash

test to suit the time table of the Mayor's legacy

projects.

Last June I said that the TLC's deadline of October 1, 2008 for achieving the 25 mile per gallon standard was not realistic. I still think that. The TLC should slow down. The first priority should have been the development of the taxi of tomorrow. Fuel efficiency is an important factor but it's not the only one and it surely should not trump safety.

The deadline effectively emphasizes fuel efficiency to the exclusion of all other considerations and doing so it is endangering the goal of universal accessibility. The fact that there is a lack of real crash test data for hybrids among other safety concerns raised by the MTBOT has only increased the need for the

2.0

2.3

Commission to take a step back.

October 1 deadline. We must not squander the progress that has been made by the Taxi of Tomorrow Initiative and we must not put riders in harm's way. The ultimate goal is within reach; taxis for all, accessible, environmentally friendly and most of all safe. It's critical that we take advantage of this opportunity rather than letting it slip away in the name of political expediency. Thank you again for letting me testify Chairman Liu.

Assembly Member. It's always good to have you here in these meetings. Thank you. Benny, you can't ask questions during these City Council meetings. There are elections coming up next year. You got to run for it. Okay. You keep raising your hand. We would like to hear from Jack Ridenour from Ford Motor Company. To be followed by Ron Sherman and Bruce Gambardella.

JACK RIDENOUR: Good afternoon. My name is Jack Ridenour on behalf of Ford Motor Company. I would like to thank the Committee in

2.0

Transportation of the City Council for giving Ford an opportunity to speak at today's hearing. I met with Commissioner Shankman of the Taxi and Limousine Commission in June to discuss the Ford Hybrid Escape. I'm here to follow up on those discussions.

I very recently retired from Ford as Chief Engineer of Vehicle Safety and I'm familiar with the safety performance of the Ford Hybrid Escape. As I stated in my letter on June 20, 2008 to Commissioner Mathew Daus of the Taxi and Limousine Commission, Ford is very confident that the Ford Hybrid Escape is suitable and safe for New York taxi fleet.

Ford's engineering standards and test demonstrate the safety and reliability of the Hybrid Escape. For employs many engineering standards that incorporate decades of technical expertise and field experience. We know that when our products meet those standards they will satisfy our customers. Those standards related to various elements including material quality, dimensional characteristics, structural integrity and system performance of our products.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Other aspects of performance

require testing and evaluations confirm our vehicles will do the job for our customers. example, we have conducted a series on various tests on Escape vehicles including the hybrids to fully evaluate their crash performance. Before we sell a vehicle we must demonstrate to ourselves that it is a safe product. We can assure you that the Hybrid Escape offers excellent crash safety.

However you do not need to simply take our word for it. Hybrid Escape has achieved outstanding crash ratings from third parties, such as Five Star Ratings, the highest level achievable in both front and side crash testing from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's New Car Assessment Program. Also the entire Escape vehicle family, including the hybrid, recently received the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety's top safety pick rating. performance is not a surprise because Ford's internal standards are more stringent than the federal government's requirements. But it is gratifying that when third parties recognize what Ford engineers already know about the safety

2.0

2.3

performance of our products.

We know that some customers may be concerned about the potential for rollover crashes in an SUV. To help mitigate roll over accidents, the 2009 Hybrid Escape is equipped with advanced track with roll stability control, an industry first which takes standard electronic stability control systems to a new dimension by using gyroscopic effect rate sensors for both yaw and roll axes to better sense unstable conditions and apply counter measures to help the driver to maintain the control. The system substantially reduces a potential for rollover accidents.

Another concern is the durability of the Hybrid Escape for taxi use. The Hybrid Escape meets Ford's SUV durability requirements, which are more rigorous than those required for passenger cars. When we meet out durability testing requirements, we certainly will make improvements on the Hybrid Escape as we learn more about the product during its time in service as a taxi. Every product goes through the same continuous improvement process as we take feedback from our customers to make a good product even

2.0

2.3

better.

Numerous refinements have been made to the Crown Victoria over the years based on the same fleet customer feedback. Escapes have been in taxi service since the 2005 model year and they have proven to be very capable vehicles for taxi service. We have made several improvements to the Escape as a result of the taxi fleet experience, including changes to the water pump and engine's control software to reduce down time.

We look forward to working with the New York City fleet customers to make improvements to the Hybrid Escape. However the current Hybrid Escape meets our requirements for taxi use today.

Ford is aware of the questions that have been raised about the safety of the Hybrid Escape after it has been outfitted with modifications required by the Taxi and Limousine Commission. I met with the Taxi and Limousine Commission in June this year to review some of the requirements. I was very impressed with the Commission's tri-annual taxi inspection process and how the Commission worked with suppliers of the side air curtains on the Hybrid Escape to

2.0

2.3

establish the appropriate clearance for the required partition between the drivers and the passengers.

Ford is not in a position to criticize or question the various modifications required by the Taxi and Limousine Commission.

The Commission has an important job in making judgments that balance competing benefits and risks involving driver and customer safety in a unique operating environment. Ford does not have the experience or the expertise to balance all of these considerations. Ford wants to help answer some of the technical questions that have been raised about the Hybrid Escape in a New York Taxi. I will pose the questions and respond to each one.

First, is the Hybrid Escape unsafe because it is smaller and lighter than the stretch Crown Victoria taxi? No. Ford specifically rejects a premise that any vehicle smaller than a stretch Crown Victoria is unsafe. The crash test performance of the Hybrid Escape is excellent as demonstrated in the Five Star and top safety picks scores awarded by third parties.

Ford does not argue with the

2.0

2.3

fundamental fact of physics, that a larger,
heavier vehicle has inherent benefits in managing
crash energy. However, that fact does not make
the Hybrid Escape unsafe for taxi use. Safety is
much more technically complex than simply weighing
or measuring vehicles.

Do the modifications required by
the Taxi and Limousine Commission cause the Hybrid
Escape to be unsafe for taxi use? The Taxi and
Limousine Commission modifications need not make
the Hybrid Escape unsafe for taxi use. In fact,
the Taxi and Limousine Commission took steps to
address the one risk related to side airbag
deployment that has been identified.

As I stated above, Ford is not in a position to evaluate the balance of benefits and risks the Taxi and Limousine Commission must make in developing its requirements.

Does the partition required by the TLC pose an increased risk of injury to rear seat passengers? For belted rear seat passengers, safety belts help restrain the forward movement of the occupants reducing the risk of injury from striking the partition or the front seat. The

2.0

2.3

safety belt is the single most important safety device in a vehicle. And we strongly encourage every occupant to be properly belted. Depending on the type of the collision, the size of the occupant, there may be some contact with the partition.

Ford specifically evaluates the occupant connects in belted rear seat occupants when designing all of our products. It has been argued that because the rear seat occupant space is smaller in a Hybrid Escape than it is in a stretch Crown Victoria that there is an increased risk for belted occupants to contact the partition in a collision. That analysis is true for any vehicle with a smaller occupant space than the stretch Crown Victoria. It is not unique to the Hybrid Escape.

For unbelted occupants, the risk of injury is caused by a lack of safety belt use.

With regard to the concerns about partitions dislodging during a collision, Ford does not design nor install partitions and can not comment on that potential risk.

Has Ford conducted any crash

2.0

2.3

testing of Hybrid Escapes equipped with a required partition to evaluate the performance of rear seat occupants? There are several issues wrapped up in this question. First, Ford is not aware of any vehicle that has ever been crash tested with a partition installed and crash dummies in the rear seat. Such a test will be a new and unrefined requirement for any vehicle, not simply the Hybrid Escape.

Second it is unclear what type of test it would be and what being the acceptable level of performance. Crash testing with test dummies in the rear seat is not an established crash test protocol in the auto industry. Real world crash test data show that rear seat occupants have a lower risk of injuries and fatalities than front seat occupants. It is not clear how crash testing with dummies in the rear seat would evaluate and improve upon the current excellent crash performance of these vehicles.

Third, the results of such testing must be balance against the benefits that the partition provided the drivers. Automobile manufactures are not in a position to make that

2	evaluation and crash testing would not provide
3	sufficient data to determine the right balance for
4	New York City taxi fleet.
5	I hope I have been able to answer
6	some of your questions about the Hybrid Escape.
7	Ford is confident that the Hybrid Escape is safe
8	and suitable for use as a New York City taxi.
9	Ford has a long history of supporting the taxi
10	industry in New York. We want to continue this
11	relationship by supplying both Hybrid Escape and
12	the Crown Victoria to the taxi fleet of this great
13	city. Thank you again for allowing me to speak to
14	you today on behalf of Ford Motor Company. I'd be
15	happy to entertain any questions you might have.
16	CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much
17	Mr. Ridenour. When taxi companies in New York
18	City purchase vehicles from Ford, those vehicles
19	come with warranties?
20	MR. RIDENOUR: Yes, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN LIU: Even for commercial
22	use.
23	MR. RIDENOUR: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN LIU: And do those warranties remain in effect with the TLC required

2.0

2.3

modifications to the vehicles.

MR. RIDENOUR: As long as those modifications do not affect the performance of the vehicle, yes.

CHAIRMAN LIU: And who makes that determination?

MR. RIDENOUR: Well it would depend on what the warranty claim was. For example, if you had a partition that was screwed into a piece of trim on the vehicle and someone filed warranty claim because the trim was torn or cracked around the screw hole, that would not be covered.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. What about the safety features of the vehicle as it comes off the assembly line? No, as it gets--I don't know what. It's not driven out of a showroom, right? Taxi owners don't go to a showroom and get it. When the taxi company takes possession of the vehicle, what safety features might be covered under the warranty or perhaps not covered under the warranty because of the modifications to the vehicles?

MR. RIDENOUR: All of the safety features that we put in the vehicle are covered

2	under warranty. If there are modifications made
3	to the vehicle which adversely affect the
4	performance of those components, then obviously
5	that would void the warranty.
6	CHAIRMAN LIU: All right. But
7	Ford, the company doesn't actually have any
8	knowledge of the modifications prior to a claim
9	being made then?
10	MR. RIDENOUR: There are 350
11	million vehicles in the United States,
12	approximately
13	CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] But
14	we're talking about
15	MR. RIDENOUR: [interposing]
16	Manufacturers can not follow each of those
17	vehicles and determine how they are being
18	maintained or how they may have been modified. We
19	warn the owners not to modify vehicles such that
20	it could adversely affect the safety.
21	CHAIRMAN LIU: But in the case of
22	Crown Victorias we have thousands of them on New
23	York City streets and the vehicles have been
24	retired and replaced with additional Crown
25	Victorias for many years. Meeting the same kinds

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

of	requirements
	I CAUII CIICIICB

		MR.	RIDENOUR:	[int	erposi	.ng]	Right.
We	have	extensive	experience	with	Crown	Vic	torias,
ves	s sir	_					

CHAIRMAN LIU: And what about the hack up requirements for New York City taxicabs.

MR. RIDENOUR:

It's my understanding that the first New York City taxicabs of Hybrid Escapes were in November of There have been Hybrid Escape taxis that were in service prior to that. So we've had service for not four years, the experience with Hybrid Escape taxis in large cities.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. Your basic testimony is that you don't want anybody to think that Ford Escapes or any Ford vehicles for that matter are unsafe in any way to get in to, even if they are taxicabs in New York City. But the company doesn't actually have any knowledge as to what the TLC does with the Ford vehicles.

MR. RIDENOUR: I'm not aware of any accident data that has been scientifically compiled in order to rate or compare the safety performance of New York City taxis. That may be

more models of different types vehicles that are in service to evaluate the relative safety.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. Thank you very much for joining us Mr. Ridenour. Next we'll hear from Ron Sherman and Bruce Gambardella of the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade. This panel will be followed by Marvin Wasserman, Ken Stewart and Edith Prentiss. Please proceed gentlemen.

RON SHERMAN: Good afternoon

Chairman Liu and distinguished members of the

Committee. I would first like to thank Chairman

Liu and this Committee for your vigilance in

protecting the safety of the 240 million annual

yellow taxi passengers and the tens of thousands

drivers.

Nothing is more important than
human life. If you place human lives in your
hands, as every taxi fleet owner does, you
understand that it is never acceptable to
compromise safety. And by holding this second
oversight hearing on the misguided and dangerously
accelerated mandate that requires untested
passenger hybrid taxis to replace purpose-built

2.0

taxicabs, you are acknowledging the City's obligation to protect the lives and the safety of its citizens, workforce and visitors.

I am going to be brief and very direct. Passengers are going to get hurt or killed in hybrid taxis. Drivers are going to get hurt of killed in hybrid taxis. We absolutely must prevent this tragedy from happening.

Yesterday MTBOT released a report from Bruce
Gambardella, a professional engineer known for his work in automobile safety. We sent copies to all the members of the Committee, I have extras if you need them.

In this report Mr. Gambardella compares and assesses the safety of hybrid taxis after months of a comprehensive study. Not surprisingly, he found that hybrids are not designed for 24/7 commercial use and must not be placed in service as taxicabs. Hybrids are too small to provide the protection that taxi passengers and drivers require. Hybrids are not designed to hold partitions so in practice they may block side curtain airbags, dangerously reduce legroom by up to ten inches and are insecurely

2.0

2 mounted, which cause them to become dislodged in accidents.

I just came from the TLC hearing this morning where the topic was vinyl seats, which are mandated by TLC because the car seats are easily torn and most importantly more difficult to clean. As it turns out, manufacturers warned the TLC that the vinyl seats they mandate interfere with the airbag sensors.

Now that TLC wants to take the vinyl seats out.

All that TLC would have needed to do is read their owners manuals where they would have found several warnings that prohibit after market seats for precisely this reason.

The same warnings apply to the most important modification, the partition. The TLC, once again, ignored the evidence before them because the city is hell bent on putting hybrids on the road no matter how incredibly unfit they are for taxi use. What will it take for the TLC to realize hybrids are not safe taxicabs? An injury? A fatality? I'm testifying here to say that unless we act rationally and wait for the purpose0-built, fuel efficient taxicabs to come

2.0

2.3

out	next	year,	that	is	exactly	what's	going	to
hapı	pen.							

Thank you very much. I'd be happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you. Mr. Gambardella.

C. BRUCE GAMBARDELLA: Okay. That did it. Good afternoon Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee. My name is C. Bruce Gambardella.

I'm a professional engineer licensed in New York,

Michigan and Connecticut. I worked as an accident re-constructionist full time since 1982. My clients have included the City of New York, Ford Motor Company, General Motors, New York State

Attorney General's office and others. I have inspected more than 3,000 vehicles and performed more than 1,300 detailed accident reconstructions.

The Metropolitan Taxi Board of
Trade as hired me to analyze the safety of hybrid
taxicabs that are an industry concern for their
passengers and drivers. Over a period of months I
have performed evaluations on three hybrid
taxicabs, the Ford Escape, the Toyota Highland and
the Nissan Altima. And have performed an accident

2.0

reconstruction study on the Ford Escape. I have also studied publicly available safety information on these and other hybrids. I would like to share those key findings in my report.

All other things being equal, larger, heavier vehicles are safer vehicles. A comprehensive inspection of the 2007 Ford Escape hybrid that was totaled in a rear end collision indicated that an individual would experience a 40% greater acceleration in the Ford Escape than he would in the stretch Crown Victoria. A 40% reduction in the g-load during a crash is highly significant and will dramatically reduce the potential for injury.

The Ford Crown Victoria long wheel base or stretch is the safest taxicab available. Produced exclusively for the taxi and police markets, a Crown Victoria is a big, heavy duty commercial vehicle with large crumple zones. It is engineered to withstand serious collision in the 24/7 commercial usage. It has abundant rear seat room to allow for expected occupant movement in a crash. Hybrids are non-commercial vehicles that were never intended to hold partitions or be

2.0

rigorously used in 24/7 operation and have insufficient rear occupant space.

didn't read the hybrid owner manuals, which all warn against modification precluding the installation of partitions. Partitions in hybrid taxis may block the vehicle's side curtain airbags. Hybrids like the Ford Escape, the Nissan Altima require greater safety measures including side curtain airbags to compensate very small size and weight. Partitions are necessary in New York City taxis to prevent drivers from being assaulted or killed or robbed.

The partitions in the hybrids inspected were mounted flush against the side curtain airbags and therefore may interfere with their proper deployment. Frequent and severe facial injuries will incur in small hybrid taxis due to inadequate space between the partition and the passenger. The Ford Escape Hybrid for example has 10 inches less rear occupant space than the stretch Crown Victoria. In hybrid taxies, even belted rear seat occupants of average stature are likely to hit their heads on the partition in an

2.0

2.3

accident. The significant difference in rear seat occupant space between a Crown Victoria and a small hybrid vehicle like the Escape is a difference between striking and not striking the partition in an accident.

The L-shaped partition is

particularly dangerous due to its prominent hard

surface and sharp edges; an anomaly in the

automotive industry that strives specifically to

avoid hard surfaces and sharp edges. This crude

modification changes the entire interior

environment and takes us back about a half a

century in automotive safety. The L-shaped

partition can not be securely mounted in hybrids.

The L-shaped partition must be affixed to whatever available plastic exists near the center console, increasing the risk of it being dislodged in an accident, as it was in a crash in 2007 Ford Escape Hybrid inspected in my report. Taxi drivers are at a greater risk of injury in hybrid taxis. Small hybrids with the L-shape or full width partition do not permit the drivers to recline their seats to create the distance from the front airbags.

Manufacturers warn that sitting to close to the front airbags could result in injury or death in an accident. Drivers face dangerous glare on the right side due to the L-shaped partition. Drivers are boxed in with the L-shaped partition may have trouble escaping from an accident. Further, it limits access to injured

presents a serious hazard in side impacts. The
Ford Escape and other SUVS have higher rollover
rates than the Crown Victorias for their tendency
to rollover. The 2000 Ford Escape has only a
three start NHTSA rollover rating, which drops to
a two star rating when the vehicle is fully loaded

The L-shaped partition's 4 1/2 wall

18 Victorias have a five star rollover rating.

drivers pinned in the vehicle.

2.0

The TLC can not rely on federal safety ratings to assert the safety or ear seat occupants in the hybrid taxis. The National Highway and Transportation Safety Administration, NHTSA, and the Insurance Institute for Highway

with passengers, as many passengers are. Crown

Safety do not conduct front or rear crash tests on

rear seated adults, the vast majority of taxi

2.0

2.3

passengers.

In addition, the NHTSA nor the IIHS nor the TLC has ever conducted crash tests on modified hybrid taxies. It is completely unknowns whether these modified taxis would pass federal crash test standards. Adult rear seat occupants are not studies by the NHTSA as they have the lowest injury rates in real world crashed. This is due to the benign nature of the rear seat environment. This totally changes with the addition of a partition.

And finally the subject of the hearing this morning of the TLC. The TLC has a history of ignoring warnings and placing the public in danger. The TLC ignored the warnings of an after market vinyl seat such as "do not modify or replace seats or upholstery with side impact airbags. Such changes may prevent the side airbag from activating correctly." This is a quotation from the Toyota Highlander Hybrid 2006 manual.

Until last month the TLC mandated that all hybrids have vinyl seat coverings. After being contacted by concerned automakers on August 4, 2008 the TLC instructed medallion owners to

2.0

remove vinyl seats because they will "will prevent
the front seat airbag from deploying or limit the
force of deployment and may prevent the seat
installed side/thorax bags from working properly."
In my report I strongly suggest that the New York
City only approve vehicles that are designed for
commercial use. I also suggest that New York City
crash test all vehicles under consideration for
taxi use with properly engineered partitions and I
want to stress that - engineered partitions that
have real designs.

Finally I think the City is on the right track with its Taxi of Tomorrow project.

The City should continue to work with the auto industry, the taxi industry to get the best available purpose-built taxi for the City of New York. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much. You said this in June also I believe. What exactly is preventing the side airbags from deploying?

MR. GAMBARDELLA: The issue is the proximity of the partition to the airbag and the roof rail adjacent to it. The thing you have to

understand is there is no design from the TLC specifying the exact design of this side partition. So there isn't a fixed design. There are multiple people making multiple partitions and either they pass or they don't pass the inspection. But there is no design standard for this partition. In other words, where are the auto cab drawings? Where are the drawing that you have? Then how are you going to mount this?

Where is all this stuff specified? It's got to be engineered so it's a moving target.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Have you tested some deployment of side airbags and found that in fact the partition got in the way of the airbag fully deploying?

MR. SHERMAN: The partition goes in a Crown Vic from the two B pillars. It goes right up against it, as close as you can get. In the hybrid vehicles because the air conditioning made an L-shaped, the L-shaped partition goes into the left B pillar. By going into that left B pillar the curtain airbag goes from the front to the back of the car, probably about this much. As it rolls down, if you have a bulletproof wall in the middle

2.0

2.3

2	of	the	car,	how	does	that	come	down	ı to	protect	the
3	pa	sseng	ger b	ehind	the	drive	er or	the	driv	ver?	

MR. GAMBARDELLA: You have to have a complete space between in order to gauge the partition.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Right. So in fact for--but this is not for the Crown Victoria, this is for the hybrid, the Ford hybrid?

MR. GAMBARDELLA: Correct.

MR. SHERMAN: Correct. The Crown Vic doesn't have a side curtain airbag so it's not an issue.

CHAIRMAN LIU: The partition, as far as people wearing seat belts in the back. I wish that passengers would wear their seatbelts, we urge it also but they don't. If you put on a seatbelt you will still hit the partition. What happened in 2002, Ford got together with the industry and stretched the Crown Vic six inches by taking that bullet proof wall six more inches away from the passenger's face. And it's the distance between you putting your head between your legs or hitting a cushion in front of you like the back of the seat or going into a 3/8 inch lexan [phonetic]

2.0

wall, which can cause serious injury.

MR. GAMBARDELLA: The 3/8 inch thick lexan wall is designed to stop a pistol bullet coming out at 1,200 feet a second--

CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] I understand that. I mean has the TLC been made aware that the side airbag will not deploy?

That's what you're saying, right? They will not deploy.

MR. GAMBARDELLA: We have made that statement to them and we have brought that. We testified for over an hour in front of your Committee the last time on many different safety issues. We've urged the City to slow down to go back to the original PlaNYC 30 plan to try and achieve a safe, durable, comfortable car that can be built by automobile manufacturers as early as summer of next year. All right. That is as big, safe and comfortable as the Crown Vic and that is also fuel efficient.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Yeah. The TLC testified just earlier that they've approved a different type of partition to accommodate that side airbag deployment.

2	MR. GAMBARDELLA: The TLC's rules
3	are that if it has a side airbag curtain you must
4	have, I believe, a six inch gap on both sides of
5	the pillar so that it can properly come down. I
6	have never seen a partition like that except for
7	when it got approved many, many years ago. I saw
8	a picture of one and that was it. I've never seen
9	one in actual use.
10	CHAIRMAN LIU: That would also kind
11	of negate the effectiveness of the partition?
12	MR. GAMBARDELLA: Correct.
13	CHAIRMAN LIU: If you're going to
14	have a six inch gap. Exactly.
15	MR. GAMBARDELLA: Correct.
16	MR. SHERMAN: Correct. And the
17	other thing is you have to test each and every
18	vehicle with each and every partition design to be
19	able to say that it will function properly. And
20	since you don't have a specific design for the
21	partitions to begin with and you have multiple
22	different kinds of vehicles, you are talking about
23	doing a large number of very expensive tests. And
24	to my knowledge nothing like that has been done

CHAIRMAN LIU: All right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	MR. GAMBARDELLA: You had a
3	question earlier about the partition and its
4	effect on rear seat room. I think that deserves
5	an answer.
6	CHAIRMAN LIU: Please respond to

that.

MR. GAMBARDELLA: The partition is set so that the driver can slide the seat as far back as possible in the upright position. limits the rear seat occupant space to the maximum excursion of the seat. But it does not allow you to recline the seat as much as it could and if it did, you'd really compromise your seat space. That becomes an issue for adequate clearance for a larger, heavy driver to the airbag because they tell you if you can't get your seat far enough back to get minimum 10 inches of clearance that they want, recline the seat. Well with a partition you can't. So that's for the large, heavy driver. That's an issue--

CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] That's for the driver who presumably, definitely should put the seat belt on. For the passenger, even when the passenger put his seat belt on the

2.0

Commissioner seemed to testify that there's very little wiggle room, meaning that when there is a collision the seat belt doesn't actually allow you to move very far.

MR. GAMBARDELLA: Pure,

unadulterated nonsense. Go look at some crash tests; they are in my report. You can see the excursion of the hybrid three dummy in a crash test. It's part of the issues. Basically the dummy's head goes almost to the dashboard and that's in a vehicle with an airbag, with a pretensioner, with a forced laminy [phonetic] device and a belt on; highly sophisticated system. You see a great deal of excursion in a 35 mile an hour crash.

The problem if you make the seat belt system too rigid is you restrain the body and the head and neck go forward and you get special skull fractures, you get neck fractures, you get quadriplegia. So one of the things that manufacturers do is they control the seat belt forces and take advantage of that occupant space to give you a longer ride down. You're not rigidly kept in place. If you were, the seat belt

2.0

2.3

would cause other injuries.

MR. SHERMAN: Chairman Liu in reality what we're trying to do, for the last year and a half we've been testifying in front of your Committee, we've been testifying in front of the TLC. You just listened to Ford say that they will continue building the Crown Vic until other cars come out next summer like the Transit Connect.

What is the rush here to start October 1st in cars that are approved to be taxicabs that never been on the road? They've never been tested. With cars that are on the approved list that have never been hacked up one bit. It just doesn't make any sense.

You need to slowly test the new technologies that come out, make sure they're correct so we don't have issues like the problems that we're changing with the vinyl seats, the problems that we're changing with the partitions.

If we all work together and go back to the Taxi of the Future and don't make it the taxi of Tomorrow, there's no reason why we can't have a safe, durable, comfortable vehicle for the public and our drivers that is also efficient.

25

_	
2	CHAIRMAN LIU: All right. Thank
3	you very much for your testimony, sir.
4	MR. GAMBARDELLA: Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHAIRMAN LIU: Next we will hear
7	from Marvin Wasserman and Ken Stewart and Edith
8	Prentiss. Hello Marvin, proceed when you're
9	ready.
10	MARVIN WASSERMAN: I'm Marvin
11	Wasserman, Executive Director for the Brooklyn
12	Center for Independence of the Disabled. I'm here
13	today to express my concern about how hybrid taxis
14	affect the safety of persons with disabilities as
15	well as others in the community.
16	In 2007 the National Federation of
17	the Blind reported that hybrid cars posed a hazard
18	to blind pedestrians because they make little
19	noise at slower speeds. A study earlier this year
20	conducted by a psychologist of the University of
21	California, Riverside reached the same conclusion.
22	The study found that in some context pedestrians,
23	both blind and sighted, may have only one second

to hear approaching hybrid vehicles operating at

very slow speeds. This is particular implications

2.0

2.3

for people	e who ar	re blind	as well	as	small	
children,	senior	citizens	, runnei	ſs,	cyclists	and
others.						

Technology does exist to remedy
this. Stanford University graduate students have
created a device, which emits a soft hum that is
heard when the silent electric motor is engaged.
We strongly urge you to enact legislation to
ensure that the electric engines of all hybrid
taxis be required to emit some sound.

We note that clean air is a disability issue. The current regulations call for a gradual advance to a fleet that is composed entirely of vehicles, which are either fuel efficient or wheelchair accessible. We note that Intro 378 would create a fleet which is both fuel efficient and wheelchair accessible. This technology is certainly within reach within the next couple of years.

We call upon the Council to pass Intro 378. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you Marvin. I forgot to mention that next we'll hear from Stephanie Tyree of We ACT. Go ahead, please

2.0

proceed Mr. Stewart.

say hello off mic so I know where to face? Thank you. Thanks. I am Ken Stewart. I am President of the Metropolitan Council of Low Vision Individuals. I thank the Committee for the opportunity to testify on the serious danger presented by hybrid taxis and any hybrid vehicle, which can travel in pedestrian areas without making a sound.

My organization certainly applauds the goal of a green environment but if I may paraphrase Kermit the Frog, it isn't easy hearing green. We also agree with concern about noise pollution but noise pollution is not a factor in what we are advocating. There's been research and it was presented to the National Transportation Highway Safety Administration on June 23rd that indicated that the sounds of vehicles, whether they're propelled by an internal combustion engine or by hybrid technology or electric.

They all produce sounds primarily the same way at higher highway speeds, 20 miles an hour, 30 miles an hour. Those sounds are created

2.0

by tires on pavement and by air turbulence. So a taxi cruising through a residential neighborhood with the audible aspect that we are urging is not going to be noisier than any other vehicle. We're talking about where vehicles are interacting with pedestrians, approaching crosswalks, negotiating though intersections, coming out and down driveways, backing out of a slot of a parking lot. That's where we need to have that added audible aspect.

I personally have had two accidents with vehicles I did not hear. First was a small sedan backing out of a parking slot in a Burger King parking lot. It hit me and I ended up in the emergency room in the hospital. The second incident was right here in Manhattan. A vehicle turning out of 55th Street onto Eighth Avenue hit my side with its side and continued. There were no witnesses. If I had fallen in the middle of Eighth Avenue there would have been witnesses and a police report. But fortunately I was not injured; I was just startled.

That did not get into the accident data because of the accident. It only got

2.0

reported because when I got home I insisted to my local police precinct that they report it and it was. So I would caution the Committee not to rely on pedestrian accident data. We're not getting good reporting yet at all on incidents involving pedestrians. That incident that sent me to the hospital, the insurance company of the motorist didn't even find out about it until almost a year later because I insisted that I would take her to small claims court unless she reported it. And only then did the insurance company find out about the accident and paid the substantial hospital costs.

Now, it's obvious that blind and vision impaired people need to hear vehicles near them. As Mr. Wasserman has indicated, there are other classes of pedestrians too that are threatened. Bicyclists for one. There was an incident reported in the news media recently where a boy was riding on his bicycle. He turned to the side, not realizing there was a vehicle just behind him. He ended up on the hood of that car.

A lot of New Yorkers going across intersections are involved in telephone

conversations. They're relying on what they hear more than they realize. Or the pedestrian that's eager to cross the street and concentrating on that don't walk sign ahead of them and paying no attention visually to his side. But he's relying on his hearing, again, more than he realizes. If anybody doubts that, just cover your ears tightly for a couple of blocks and see how uneasy you feel afterwards even though you have full visual information.

Another class of pedestrians we must be concerned about are senior citizens.

We're saying publicly we want the city to be aging friendly. The U.S. Census Bureau indicates that by 2030 there will be 70 million people over age 65, that's 20% of our population. So we're talking about lots of senior citizens that are pedestrians and going to be pedestrians, too. And we all know that as people age, their reflexes get slower. They cross intersections more slowly and the vision also tends to diminish. So lots of classes of pedestrians are affected by these dangers presented.

We claim that it would take only a

2.0

2.3

low cost, low tech addition to create that low speed audible aspect on taxis and other vehicles.

Of course, taxis are most important because a taxi is the most mischievous vehicles as far as pedestrians are concerned. They stop and start at unusual spots, near crossings. They dart across lanes to get to a customer. They go straight through intersections hurriedly. But all hybrid vehicles and any other vehicle that's operating without an internal combustion engine, where he is interacting with pedestrians needs to have that audible aspect.

Fortunately the auto manufacturers industry is paying attention and they're starting to study the matter but we can't wait. There are people being endangered every day. I think that its' imperative that there be regulations absolutely prohibiting any vehicle to operate on New York City that can operate slow speeds near pedestrian interactions without an audible aspect.

When the Mayor's original proclamation came out about wanting to go green with hybrid taxis, I immediately went on record as expressing my concern for it. And the

2	Commissioner of the Mayor's Office for People with
3	Disabilities immediately acknowledged my concerns.
4	So this is nothing new and people should take it
5	very seriously. And by the way, municipal
6	governments are also big customers of auto
7	manufacturers. If municipals governments, the
8	City of New York for one, would say we're not
9	going to purchase any hybrid vehicles without this
10	easily added, easily designed audible aspect, that
11	would get the attention of the auto manufacturing
12	industry too. But specifically as far as taxis,
13	let's not let taxi drivers driver sneaky clean.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much
16	Mr. Stewart. Edith.
17	EDITH PRENTISS: Hello, my name is
18	Edith Prentiss. I'm the Vice President of

EDITH PRENTISS: Hello, my name is Edith Prentiss. I'm the Vice President of Legislative Affairs of the Disabled in Action of Metropolitan New York. I'm the President of the 504 Democratic Club as well as a member of the Taxis for All campaign.

The popularity of non-combustion engines as we know is very high at this point.

Combining the cost of gas, the MPG, taxes and

2.0

rebates, we at present have over 8 million noncombustion engine vehicles in the United States.

Since motor vehicles replaced the horse, the sound
of the combustion engine has been the acoustic cue
that has taught pedestrians, cyclists, et cetera,
watch out. Without an acoustic cue we need to
learn different cues. We also need that cue
reinforced in these vehicles.

This is such an important issue that there are several training programs in Southern California that have purchased hybrid vehicles to work with guide dogs in training, that they know they can't depend on there being a hybrid vehicle when they're doing work. They have purchased them to train the dog to not just be aware of sound but to be aware of the sight of the vehicle as well.

Hybrid car blocks are full of self defensive claims. Ken just has to be more attentive, deaf people have to look both ways, et cetera; it's all our fault. Well it's not all our fault; it's their responsibility as well. This is such a major issue that as we all know Senator Ed Towns and other have a bill on the floor, 5735,

2.0

2 The Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Act of 2008.

But as Ken said, we can't wait for a study and a report.

About four days ago I was almost hit by a hybrid that came from behind me. Having been hit by cars from behind when we're both going the same direction. He's got the light, I've got the walk sign. He makes a right turn. He hits us. At least if there's a noise I know what hit me. Without a noise, I have no idea what's there and that's the problem.

There are manufacturers that are being responsive. Lotus has synthesized external noise, as they call it, which is similar to the hum. The enhanced vehicle acoustics is very interesting because the car somehow senses where the pedestrian is and directs the sound to the pedestrian. Well that may work in areas where you have one pedestrian but New York City, crossing a street, making a turn. I think we have more pedestrians and the system won't be able to work.

The Mayor's green edict did not take into consideration accessibility, as well all know, but also a need for education. When we are

2.0

changing, we teach children look both ways, et cetera. It's now going to be look and find, be more aware; that car is quiet.

The other issue we need to discuss is drivers' responsibilities. Excuse me, we're the pedestrians. Are they not supposed to yield? Taxi drivers as Marvin and Kenneth both said, I'm sorry they're right on red, pedestrian first, whatever. We are dead meat in front of them. I take some of the concerns and issues of the Metropolitan Taxi Board with a bit of a grain of salt and I will say why. They don't seem very concerned that wheelchair users in taxis can't face forward.

I actually have had several trips on the central dispatch pilot in which I felt as if my face was in the hole in the partition.

There is not enough space. I have a short chair, no legs, no tilt, no nothing. I think that they issues they're raising about the issue for big cars is very important. But I'd like them to be a little concerned about the impact for individuals with disabilities as well.

At the present all green vehicles

in New York are dangerous. We need an acoustical cue and we also need an education program to let people learn and be aware that even with the acoustic cue that vehicle is going to be quieter. Short of doing car service driving, which is to hold the hand on the wheel, I think that we will never be able to well hear a green vehicle in New York City, be it a hybrid, an electric or a conversion cell. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you, Edith. I want to thank this panel for testifying today. We will now call Stephanie Tyree of We ACT and she may have departed already. But this organization did submit written testimony for this hearing, that will be the organization We ACT for Environmental Justice as represented by Stephanie Tyree. We will enter this written testimony into the record.

New we'll hear from Gene Friedman, a fleet owner to be followed by Vincent Sapone and Richard Ackerman. Please proceed, Gene. And we've been joined by Council Member Darlene Mealy of Brooklyn.

GENE FRIEDMAN: Hi, my name is Gene

2.0

Friedman. I'm a taxi fleet operator. I operate about 800 New York City taxicabs, about 120 of those are wheelchair accessible. I have about 500, close to 520, vehicles which are alternate fuel. Most of them are hybrid. I have Toyotas, I have Nissans, I have Fords. What else do I have? That's about it. That's all I have as far as hybrids.

I saw Council Member Yassky here and I want to thank him because a couple of years ago you guys started all of this with first mandating the TLC to offer wheelchair accessible cars and hybrid vehicles in their upcoming auctions and then mandating the TLC three years ago to put these vehicles on the road. The first vehicles that we put on the road were in October of 2005. Those were the original Ford Escapes.

I kind of a little resent the testimony here that they haven't been crash tested. Well they have; they've been on the road for three years. I'm running over 500 vehicles that are hybrids. Nobody called me, nobody asked me how I'm doing. And I'm doing fine; I have great, great results with my hybrids. The drivers

are absolutely ecstatic. The public is ecstatic about it. When you have a public that is ecstatic and you have drivers that are ecstatic, you have a fleet owner that is very, very happy.

I have noticed over the three years, and now I have a lot of vehicles on the road, that I have a lot less maintenance issues. I have a lot less accident issues. You have to understand. I can't testify to the fact whether these cars are actually safer than the Crown Victorias. I'm no engineer so I can't give you engineer data but I can give you data from my garage. The fact of the matter is that the drivers are much happier, they're much more content in these vehicles, in the Altimas, in the Escapes.

These weren't the first generation; we're three years into this right now. The For Escape, the 2009, is a much different car than we put on the original 2006. There was a 2006, it's a wonderful car but it has many improvements. The new Altima is a wonderful car [no audio]. We have not had any issues with safety. If anything, I can testify from my experience that I have more

2.0

2 safety issues with the Crown Victoria than with 3 the hybrids.

The Crown Victoria, although I'm very grateful to it, I'm very grateful to Ford; it's the work horse of industry. It's a very difficult car to maneuver. It's an eight cylinder. It's a rear wheel drive and for most drivers it's very difficult to maneuver. It's very difficult to maneuver. It's very difficult to make turns on.

The Altimas, the Highlanders, the Escapes, those are driver friendly vehicles.

They're saving \$40 a shift on gas. They're not that aggressive on driver. They're friendlier to the public. As a result, they have less wear and tear on the car and they do not have to hustle for those fairs.

The biggest number of accidents with a lot of vehicles is that you have the accidents that are going to happen. But a bunch of those accidents that happen are the ones where "the drivers are hustling". Park Avenue, where they are turning left and they can't see somebody coming in on the other side, where it's late night

and they're trying to run the light on Madison and somebody's crossing on the streets. That's what's happening.

I have a very good experience with them. I have not put on a Crown Victoria in the past [no audio] years, ever since we mandated them. I don't plan on it. The availability of the vehicles is there. Again, it wasn't there from Ford that's why we went to Toyota. When I was changing more and more cars, it wasn't available from Toyota and Ford then we put out the Altimas, the Nissans which are great.

We're getting more and more support. We're getting more and more knowledge about the vehicle. When you first mandated it three years ago, and I think it was your bill Chairman, I was a little bit scared. My mechanics, I had bought full rubber suits for them. I didn't know what it was yet. It had a big battery pack in the back. They said I don't know how I'm going to repair them. I thought my mechanics get electrocuted.

I tell you what, the issues with the performance and I resent the fact that these

2	vehicles are not [no audio]. The original 18							
3	vehicles that were put on in [no audio] 2006 are							
4								
	still on the road. They have a much better							
5	passing inspection rate than the Crown Victorias,							
6	still running on the original hybrid batteries.							
7	They're guaranteed for 100,000 miles. They're							
8	over 250,000 miles [no audio] hybrid battery. So							
9	they are made for commercial use and they perform							
LO	well							
11	CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] So how							
12	many years have they been running now?							
13	MR. FRIEDMAN: I think the first							
L4	one we put onI think you passed the bill some							
15	time in late July.							
L6	CHAIRMAN LIU: '05.							
L7	MR. FRIEDMAN: I think we put the							
18	first one in I '05. In October '05 was the first							
L9	cars that we put on.							
20	CHAIRMAN LIU: So they've been							
21	running for three years.							
22	MR. FRIEDMAN: For three years.							
	_							
23	CHAIRMAN LIU: And it has 250,000							
24	miles on it?							
25	MR FRIFDMAN: Vec							

2 CHAIRMAN LIU: [interposing] You
3 still have those rubber suits?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think I do so if anybody is looking for them. But we've gone so far in three years. If we had this conversation three years ago, yes. But we're way passed that, we're paces away. The dealerships are equipped, the industry is equipped, the mechanics are equipped. Everybody knows how to repair them, everybody knows what to look for them. The technology as far as the hybrid technology and the technology as far as automotive moves so quickly from year to year [no audio] dramatic.

CHAIRMAN LIU: In the three years with the original 18 hybrid vehicles. Is there any accident experience?

MR. FRIEDMAN: They have accidents but I have very few major accidents. And I can't testify to whether the vehicle is safer or not but I know that the drivers that are driving them are driving [no audio]. They have bigger peripheral vision on them. They see what's to the right, they see what's to the left of them. They're not covered by the big hood. Again, when they're

2	saving money they're not driving that aggressive.
3	CHAIRMAN LIU: That's just the
4	shape of the vehicle as opposedbecause it's kind
5	of like an SUV.
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: Right. Exactly. But
7	it's the same thing with the Altimas. The Altimas
8	[no audio] SUVs and I have had the personal [no
9	audio] last 2007 I have 80 or maybe 90 on the road
10	right now. I have not had a major accident on any
11	of the Altimas and that's a lot of miles.
12	CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. Well thank
13	you very much Mr. Friedman.
14	MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN LIU: Okay. Next we will
16	hear from two more industry officials and then we
17	will hear from a number of drivers. We have
18	Vincent Sapone and Richard Ackerman to be followed
19	by Sadir Jafur, Franklin Lamber, Gabby Shafka and
20	Malcolm Radner. Please proceed Mr. Sapone.
21	VINCENT SAPONE: Thank you Mr.
22	Chairman, City Council Members for hearing my
23	statement today. I appreciate it. My name is
24	Vincent Sapone. I'm the Managing Director of the

League of Mutual Taxi Owners. We have over 3,000

members. Approximately 85% are owner/drivers, they own their own taxi, 5% are lease drivers and about 3% to 5% are doves; they buy their car and they rent the medallion.

I have a member that's aware, I wish he was here today. I wish he wasn't away. First let me just make a statement here. The TSA, that's the Taxi Service Association, they got in touch with me and mentioned that they support the MTBOT and they will be sending over you their statements and comments. Okay? That's the TSA of Goldstein. All right.

Anyway, I have a member that bought a Ford Escape. I have a few of them. He bought it maybe three or four months ago. He's selling it now and he's getting the Crown Vic before it's too late. It is impossible for him to feel any kind of comfort in there. He feels it's impossible if he gets a side impact to get out of the car. He's a bright guy. He doesn't have a lot of money. Unless it was really true, this is not a story by the way.

When he comes back, I'll grab him by the hair and bring him here to talk to you.

There must be something wrong. This guy is a guy that's got brains. He went out. He wanted to do the right thing and he bought something green. He says he can't live in it; it's impossible. He works six days a week and 12 hours a day and this car is completely impossible.

I'm here today—I get nothing out of it really personally for me. If it's all Ford Escapes or Ford Crown Victorias, I get nothing out of it. I'm just here to tell you the truth and the right thing. There are some people here that are in love with Ford Escapes. Why? I don't know. I can understand some drivers that like it and the only reason I can really see is the savings with the money. If I gave out a Crown Vic and I say today you don't pay for gas but tomorrow you will, but the hybrid Ford Escape, you got to buy your own gas every day. I guarantee you they'll probably say well give me the Crown Vic.

People are looking to make money and save money. I don't believe there are certain drivers out there that drive very cautious and there are certain drivers out there that don't. I don't believe a car makes them drive any better.

2.0

2.3

2 I think that's nonsense and it's a bunch of 3 baloney.

would rather ride in a Crown Vic because if you got rear ended or side impacted by any large SUV or truck, I would rather be in a Crown Vic. From the back seat to the partition, you probably have about seven or eight inches at least or more, before you hit your head and break your nose or bust your teeth. As soon as you get hit when you're in a car, your instinct is to put up your hands. Now if you only got two inches, you're a goner. If you got eight, maybe you can save your face.

I don't know what's the answer because I happen to be on the Taxi Advisory Board for the Car of the Future, the Car of Tomorrow.

And we're doing very well; we're making a safe car, we're making a car that burns decent fuel and clean and roomy for the passenger, roomy for the driver. All of a sudden the mayor came out with I want 30 miles per gallon and that seemed to go the toilet.

I'm for clean air like everybody

else is, it's just like Metropolitan is. But the bottom line is let's be reasonable. We got to wait, and I don't mean ten years from now. We got to wait a while until we get the right car that's safe for the passengers, safe for the driver, roomy enough for a man who spends his life in a cab six days a weeks, sometimes seven, 12 hours a day. That's his office; he's got to have room to move.

The way we're doing here is——I got friends at TLC that are nice people. They're not wrong; I don't dislike them. I got friends at City Hall; I like them also. But you know what? They have a boss and the boss says do this. And for some reason someone says ——that boss is the Mayor naturally. Someone doesn't say well Mr. Mayor let's look at it first. All they're saying is okay, we'll do it. You can't do things——Go to the industry with years of experience. Even if you don't like their answers at least you heard their stories before you say let's drive matchboxes.

With this lady in a wheelchair brought out about the sound, you can't hear a car.

I never thought of that; it's a good idea. I drove hybrids. They're very quiet, you don't hear them. You don't even know that they're on. So that could be very dangerous also.

I'm not looking to pollute the air.

I say wait until we get the right car and I think
the Ford Connect is going to be the right car. It
doesn't get 30 miles to the gallon but it'll
probably get 21 so what's wrong with that? What
are we destroying if it's a clean burning car and
it gets 21 miles to the gallon or 25 at that?
What are we hurting? Are we bringing down an ice
glacier somewhere? What are we doing?

Anyway I think I said what I had to say. The right car is not the Ford Escape or the Nissan. There's a reason why people probably like these cars and I don't want to say. But that's not the right car. I drove a cab from 1963 up to the last 80's, early 90's. My father drove a 1932. I know about cabs. I'm not an engineer, I'm not a doctor but I know what should be a taxicab. And a Ford Escape is good to go shopping, to go to the church, to drive to the railroad tracks to catch a train; that's fine. To

2	have that on the road six, seven days a week. I
3	don't know about that. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you. Next
5	we'll hear from a number of drivers, Sadir Jafur,
6	Franklin Lamber, Gabby Shafka and Malcolm Radner.
7	To be followed by Osmond Chowdery and Bill
8	Lindouer. I'm sorry. We thought you weren't
9	there anymore. Please proceed then.
10	RICHARD ACKERMAN: Mr. Chairman,
11	John Liu, distinguished members of the New York
12	City Council Transportation Committee,
13	distinguished guests. My name is Richard Ackerman
14	and I'm the owner/operator from Medallion
15	Maintenance, which has been managing about 80 New
16	York City yellow medallion taxicabs since 1979.
17	I'm a third generation in the New York City
18	taxicab business. My family owned 300 Medallions.
19	I've been working in this industry for 40 years
20	and I've worked in all aspects of the taxi
21	industry, including accident investigation,
22	vehicle inspection, court testing. I was a New
23	York State DMV inspector.
24	In the short term specifically over

In the short term specifically over the next year, I believe that the available

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hybrids will present many problems to the New York City taxicab industry, including but not limited to safety, durability, supply shortage, difficulty obtaining repairs and parts. The largest issue is safety. The currently available hybrids have less room between the passenger and the partition and this will cause an increased number of facial injuries to passengers.

The second major safety issue is the current available hybrids have extremely poor rollover rates. The SUV hybrids are especially In fact, the National Highway prone to rollovers. Safety Administration reports that SUVs have a rollover rate that is three times that of gas engine cars. Rollover accidents account for the most severe injuries to passengers as well as the highest rate of fatalities. Earlier we heard from a representative of Ford that told you about the new features that their vehicles have in them for rollovers. None of that helps you once there's an accident; the vehicle rolls over in spite of what they put into it.

Another safety problem with the hybrid is the crash zone. The crash zone is key

2.0

2.3

to passenger safety when an accident occurs. The short of the crash zone of the hybrids will increase the impact to the passengers because the vehicle will absorb less of the impact from the crash. Also the test statistics that are reported for hybrids are misleading as they're for front seat injuries only. Rear seat passenger injury rates are not reported. In fact, and you've heard it today from various people, there's actually no data at all on rear seat passenger injury for hybrids or for Ford Crown Victorias. There's no national testing, there's no government testing, there's no city testing.

Operators who've tried some of these vehicles discovered that within a short period of time the drivers no longer wanted to drive the vehicles. Mine don't. Drivers discovered that these vehicles were not reliable and the promised fuel economy is extremely overstated. In fact, in the summer with the air conditioning on they do not get 25 miles to the gallon.

They found that they were experiencing a much greater down time and

increased fatigue. This fatigue becomes a safety issue. I'm certain that if there was a safe, durable and energy efficient vehicle designed to meet the rigorous demands of taxicab use in New York City available now, we'd all switch to them willingly.

The Ford Transit Connect is a proven, safe, durable vehicle large enough to satisfy the needs of the public and the taxi industry. This vehicle has been tested in Europe. It's been proven safe and capable of enduring the rigors of taxicab use. The vehicle gets approximately 20, 21 miles to the gallon and would provide the taxi industry with a viable stepping stone into the hybrid technology of the future. The vehicle would double the miles per gallon for taxis and it still provides the needed safety and comfort that the passengers desire. This vehicle is going to be available in less than a year, we're told next summer.

I suggest the city push back the October 2008 deadline until there is a vehicle that is safe. The city should take a more realistic approach and allow the use of vehicles

2.0

2.3

that greatly improve the miles per gallon statistics for taxis.

The Ford Transit Connect can be used as an interim vehicle. This would double the miles per gallon efficiency for taxis and still maintain the needed safety for passengers and drivers. Tests have shown that tail pipe emissions for the Ford Transit Connect are half of that for the Crown Victoria. In addition, Ford has indicated that they'd be willing to convert the vehicle into a hybrid if the demand was there. Delaying the proposed schedule a short while and substituting a slightly less fuel efficient car in the interim would satisfy the needs of all involved.

There was something stated earlier regarding the 70 million mile test and I take great issue with that because the early hybrid Ford Escapes that were put into service were predominantly purchased by owner/drivers. They're not fleet tested vehicles. Fleet tested vehicle goes on the road about 22 hours a day, virtually 365 days a year and has as much as four times the use as an owner operated vehicle does. The 13,000

vehicles that are operated as New York City taxis do those 70 million miles in about a month. But if you want to relate that to the hours of use in a duty cycle, it's only a week of use. So the 70 million mile test that has been talked of is baloney. It's not a test at all.

As a government official, are you willing to endorse a vehicle that's going to hurt a greater percentage of people? As an owner and fleet manager, I'm not. Current hybrids should not be permitted for New York City taxi use until the issues are resolved. Keeping the current schedule is going to kill people. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much for that very clear testimony. Okay. So now we'll hear from drivers who have a very important stake in all this. Sadir Jafur, Franklin Lamber, Gabby Shafka and Malcolm Radner, you're welcome to come up. Why don't we also have to testify Osmond Chowdery and Bill Lindauer.

MALCOLM RADNER: Good afternoon

Chairman Liu and distinguished members of New York

City Council Transportation Committee. My name is

Malcolm Radner. I operate a double shifted, 24/7 yellow taxicab fleet in Brooklyn. I have grown up in the taxi business, 45 years to be exact. I started my career by pumping gas, fixing taxicabs and even driving taxicabs. Through a lot of hard work, perseverance and many challenges I was able to open up my own fleet, taxi garage. And I currently operate approximately 170 medallion taxicabs. This business is my life.

As a father of two children, the youngest being my son max who is eight years old, I continue to work even harder so that the business will still be viable for him to take over when he is of age. At his early age I have begun to introduce him to what goes on at the garage.

Who knows? Even my 18 year old daughter, Ann, may even jump in and run the business before my son.

Mr. Chairman and Council Members, I am concerned about the current TLC mandate pertaining to all taxicabs hacked up at the TLC after October 1, 2008 must get 25 miles per gallon and in 2009, must get 30 miles per gallon. I don't think that the city realizes we are not nearly ready for this yet. The hybrid vehicles

that have been approved by the TLC to be put on the road after October 1 are passenger cars and are not taxicabs. There is no way that they will be able to stand up to the city streets seven days a week, 24 hours a day. No way, no how.

I am a Manhattan resident. I take yellow taxicabs each and every day back and forth to my garage in Brooklyn. I am over six feet tall, a little over middle aged and I can't get in or out of them because of my size. I look at people hailing taxis on the city streets and I notice more and more that people passing the hybrids up and looking for Crown Victorias, especially older people.

The second thing that worries me is the fact that I can fix my own, in my own garage, my own cabs. That comes back to mechanical difficulties, when they come back we can fix them right away. I employ over 20 mechanics, some who have been with me for 30 years and know how to fix cars. I have even sent them to classes to fix hybrids but it is not that easy to fix them. The cars will sit until someone finds out what's wrong with them. In other words, we sent them to school

2.0

but the school don't even know what's wrong with
them.

Now the most important issue: Are they safe? No. Why? Have you ever sat inside an Escape? Have you ever been able to move your legs? Do you feel like your face is up against the partition? Yes, it is. What happens when a taxicab is forced to stop suddenly? Have we considered the safety and comfort of the drivers? Are they literally in a box? Anyone with claustrophobia would not be able to sit in there for 12 hours. Have you seen the L-shaped partition that closes them in?

Drivers who are driving hybrids from other places are coming to my garage to see if they can lease a Crown Victoria. They can't take sitting there. They can't take sitting in the box during the summertime. They are not pleased with them; they feel every bump. They feel if they are hit, they have no protection.

Most of these cars don't have no chastities.

What worries me more is that in an article the New York Times printed on April 27, 2008 entitled, Fear But No Facts on Hybrid Risks.

2.0

I'd like to read you it. I have the article enclosed in this. I read this article with interest because I was a driver and I always kept the best interest of my drivers at heart. This article said that because of the batteries and the power cables in hybrid vehicles, there are potential hazards of long term exposure due to strong electromagnetic fields. There are studies showing the risks of cancer, leukemia and other threatening diseases. This can happen. Are you aware of this?

They sit over these batteries--and

I left something out there. Not only the battery
it's sitting over. But you're fooled about people
telling you there's a transmission in these cars.
It's not a transmission, it's an electric motor.
They're sitting over the battery and the electric
motor, 12 hours a day. Is that safe?

Please don't dismiss the concern.

This article says a lot. I have a copy if you have not seen it. Compromising our drivers' health is another issue all by itself. I said what I have to say. I'm not against the initiative to go green. I support any endeavor we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

make to improve our environment. I have young
kids but I also have approximately 700 drivers to
worry and be concerned about. Thank you very
much. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you very much. We'll hear from the rest of the panel first. Go ahead Mr. Chowdery.

OSMOND CHOWDERY: Good afternoon everybody. My name is Osmond Chowdery. I'm a member of the New York Taxi Workers Alliance. I have been driving for the last 12 years. In 2005 I have a serious back pain before I drive the Crown Victoria. Then my friend told me then change your car, then you can feel better. At that time I went across and I found the Ford Hybrid Escape. I started to ride it in October 2005 and I'm driving very comfortable. I work 12 hours shift, I don't feel any strains. Visibility is very clear. The passengers like it and they're asking me what type of car is it. I said Ford Escape. They said the hybrid, yeah. That they like it and they're okay and that's fine.

Also 99 person, that time the passenger like that car. Only one person, older

senior citizens and walking with a stick; they don't like it, they don't like the climbing; it's a problem. Also in the 12 hour shift, I report the gas at times at \$20. Sometimes when the A/C is running, that time is more \$5 extra. It's not more additional cost. I found my statistics when I'm driving.

After that, 2007 in December I quit the hybrid because they put the L partition. When I get the inspection, the Ford GPS said I had to change the partition. When I wanted to put in the GPS that's when they said I have to change the partition. At that time I quit these things because the GPS with the L partition is like a boxes. I'm driving and sometime my hand touches it and it scratches my hands. That's when I said I don't need this car because the GPS is to help, the driver's seat monitor, the taxis are boxes. That's a problem, the driving, that's why I quit this car.

And also 43,000 licensed drivers and TLC head licensed, night and day shift, both shifts are running. 26,000 drivers are working and active. I am from Bangladesh the - - driver

in Bangladesh there are a lot of drivers driving the Crown Victoria. There was serious back pain.

I know because I know every time my serious back pain, the operators a lot of them are Crown Victorias.

[phonetic] issue that New York City - - and all the time the traffic is tight. All the time they get in accident every time. And if they drive the Ford Escape, how many accidents they have and they have no room. I don't see because I have no accident in many years. If you go in any highway, the pulleys they are and they have to put the hybrid, the router you can't go over more speedy.

How come they accident every time they say the septi issue? The septi issue only if you drive the Crown Victoria, the price sometime they deliver this car is no mention, it's very old, some time brake problem, some type of problem going the highway. It did like a - - and we get the \$50 nights of gas, how can they make money that time, that type of driver; accidents, serious consequences. When they drive the hybrid car I only put \$20 gas shift, \$25 gas; no problem.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Problem is there.

Also if you think anybody septi issue public and driver, that meets the driver 70 hour or 80 hour shift, living income. Then they are more relaxed, not going to accident. They allowed to run 7, just 365 day, no race, no less, no competition. They keep working, keep working, less money.

10 CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you Mr.

11 Chowdery.

MR. CHOWDERY: You're welcome.

13 CHAIRMAN LIU: I'm sorry. Mr.

14 Lindauer

Constantly.

15 BILL LINDAUER: I'm Bill Lindauer.

I'm with the New York Taxi Workers Alliance. I feel that drivers are prisoners of political correctness. The Mayor wants to be known as the environmental mayor and unfortunately he's rushing things. And of course you can not trust anything the TLC says or does or plans. That's based on experience. The TLC means Telling Lies

This morning the TLC said that in order for the side airbags to work on the driver's

2.0

2.3

is ridiculous and drivers can not have cushions,
they can not have back rests. In other words,
drivers have to drive in discomfort and it in ill
health could cause some safety reasons. Why can't
we have all three, safety, comfort and healthful
systems like a back rest? This is unconscionable.
We shouldn't rush into things when this is
important.

You can not believe the claims of
Ford. Remember years ago the Ford Pinto? Do you
remember just recently the Ford Crown Vic? The
back end collisions, they tried to hide that. The
Police Department has been victims of their own.
We had at least one cab driver I know got killed
because it burst into flames on rear end
collisions. So whenever people talk about safety
they may be hiding things. This should be an
independent review, maybe from the federal
government or some kind of highway or auto safety
body, something that we can trust. Certainly we
can not trust the TLC, we can not trust Ford or
any claims by manufacturers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LIU:

Thank you, Bill.

2.0

I'm not actually sure you advanced your argument there when you bought up the problem with the Crown Vics but it's good to have you. Mr. Matt Schulken please.

MATT SCHULKEN: Thank you. I've testified before you and the Transportation

Committee before. I'm sure you recognize me. I just wanted to add to some of the testimony that's already been said. I sort of disagree with some of it because number one, the Plexiglas isn't full height; it's more like three-quarters. Even with the sliding door of the Plexiglas open, it still looks like it's three-quarters, it may be even a little bit less. The Plexiglas is not three inches; it's more like maybe half an inch to an inch.

Because when I sit in a cab, even with my seat belt on, with my knees forward, sometimes I can even get immobilized because the seat belt is preventing me a little bit from going forward in a regular cab. Like in a Chevy sedan, it's like that because of the actual height of the actual Plexiglas. It's going towards you with your knees almost up against it. I'm still in

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 140
2	favor of the hybrid taxi because it burns less
3	fuel. Wouldn't you like to be able to burn less
4	fuel and spend less time at the gas pump?
5	Also, one other thing Mr. Chairman
6	that I meant to mention is with the hybrid taxis,
7	Edith did say that it is quiet. That's right.
8	But the hybrid taxis go at a reduced rate of speed
9	so there's less of a chance of a rear end
10	collision. In other words, if I'm coming towards
11	you in a hybrid cab, going at let's say 50 to 60
12	miles an hour then the cab has less of a chance of
13	rear ending me if I'm sitting in another car
14	because it goes at a reduced rate of speed. And
15	this is what these taxis would do for us.
16	I'd like you to please approve this
17	new fleet of hybrid taxis as soon as possible.
18	Thank you very much.
19	CHAIRMAN LIU: Thank you Mr.
20	Schulken. Being no other witnesses for today,
21	this hearing of the City Council's Committee on

this hearing of the City Council's Committee on Transportation is adjourned.

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Ar	N	M	i	
Signature /	•	. /	•		

Date _____September 22, 2008_____