Statement before the Governmental Operations Committee New York City Council Nov. 13, 2007

Teresa Hommel
10 St. Marks Place, New York NY 10003
www.wheresthepaper.org

One of the greatest voter deceptions in our nation today is the use of computers to record, cast, store, handle, and count votes

I read "Deliver the Vote" by Tracy Campbell, and some other works on the history of election fraud in our country. It seems that fraud has been widespread and well-accepted but conducted locally. It seems that the strength of local political organizations, as manifest in their ability to "cheat fair and square," has been accepted as a substitute for the will of local voters.

The Help America Vote Act in 2002 and the subsequent rapid increase in use of electronic voting machines have changed the type of fraud that America has to deal with. With electronic voting systems:

- Fraud can be accomplished on an unprecedented "wholesale" or nationwide scale.
- Fraud can be accomplished while leaving no evidence, or no evidence that can be discovered in a timely-enough manner to make a difference.
- Fraud can be accomplished by vendors and financial interests, so that fraud by local
 individuals and organizations may be reduced to window-dressing or smokescreens
 for the electronic fraud accomplished from distant, remote locations by others.

It is true that voter registration and voter access to the voting booth continue to be areas of deception and intimidation. Electronic voting and vote-tabulating equipment, however, provide an additional mechanism by which one person can control election outcomes by tampering that takes only a few seconds, whether before, during and/or after an election.

None of our long-standing, well-respected, national good-government organizations are dealing with this problem. Our state and federal government are not dealing with it. But in this big void, the New York City Council's leadership and voice on election issues has been loud and clear.

This Council has already passed two resolutions on voting machine issues. Now two more resolutions are before you in this Committee, introduced by Council Member Darlene Mealy. Res. 784 supports a federal bill against voter deception and intimidation. Res. 961 urges our state to seek alternatives to buying voting equipment from the major vendors, all of whom have delivered shoddy machines and services, and caused chaos in the elections of other states. I urge this Committee to continue to deal with election issues for as long as these issues are a problem. Please pass these resolutions out of committee, and recommending them for passage by the full City Council.

Thank you.

Opening Statement of Jeff Strabone

New York City Council Governmental Operations Committee

Tuesday, November 13, 2007 Hearing Room, 250 Broadway, 14th Floor

Chairperson: Simcha Felder Committee Members Joseph P. Addabbo, Jr. Inez E. Dickens Erik Martin Dilan Domenic M. Recchia, Jr. Larry B. Seabrook Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

Res 784 - By Council Members Mealy, Avella, Brewer, Dickens, Fidler, Foster, Gonzalez, James, Mendez, Palma, Seabrook, Vann, Weprin, White Jr. and Sanders Jr. - Resolution urging the United States Congress to pass S. 453, the "Deceptive Practices and Voter Intimidation Prevention Act of 2007," introduced by Senator Barack Obama, which prohibits deceptive practices in Federal elections.

Good morning, Chairman Felder and members of the Committee. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you today on Res. 784, urging the U.S. Congress to pass the Obama-Schumer Deceptive Practices and Voter Intimidation Prevention Act of 2007.

In a democratic republic such as ours, no laws are more important than those protecting the right to vote. But from time to time it becomes necessary to update those laws. Legislation is, by its nature, fundamentally reactive. New forms of bad behavior break out across the land, and government has to play catchup to legislate against the new wrongdoing.

Election fraud is as old as elections. It's sad to say, but true nonetheless, that there will always be individuals who strive to manipulate elections for partisan gain. It is up to the people and their representatives in government to try to keep up with those who would subvert our elections and, thereby, our republic.

Members of the Committee, the manipulators of our elections have been busy these past several years, and it's past time for us to catch up to all their anti-democratic machinations.

That is why I ask you to lend the support of the City of New York to S. 453, the Obama-Schumer Deceptive Practices and Voter Intimidation Prevention Act of 2007.

Let me tell you some of the most recent innovations in electoral skulduggery, and I am going to borrow here from the language in the bill, the Congressional Record, and the October 4, 2007 report of the Senate Judiciary Committee.

1. Deceiving naturalized citizens about their right to vote.

"In the 2006 midterm election, 14,000 Latino voters in Orange County, California received mailings from the California Coalition for Immigration Reform, warning them in Spanish that "if you are an immigrant, voting in a federal election is a crime that can result in incarceration". In fact, an immigrant who is a naturalized citizen of the United States has the same right to vote as any other citizen."

(Source: Text of S. 453, Section 2 (6).)

2. Deceiving voters about endorsements.

"In 2006, as well, fliers were handed out on election day in Maryland that gave the impression that top Republican candidates for office were Democratic candidates and were endorsed by prominent African Americans. These fliers were a clear and deliberate attempt to mislead voters."

(Source: Senator Schumer, Congressional Record, January 31, 2007, page 1428.)

3. Deceiving voters about criminal penalties for voting.

"In Virginia, registered voters received recorded calls that falsely stated that the recipient of the call was registered in another State and would face criminal charges if they came to the polls." (Source: Senator Schumer, Congressional Record, January 31, 2007, page 1428.)

4. Deceiving voters about the day of the election.

"In 2002, fliers were distributed in public housing complexes in Louisiana, telling people that they could cast their votes 3 days after election day if the weather was bad." (Source: Senator Schumer, Congressional Record, January 31, 2007, page 1428.)

and 5. Deceiving voters about ID requirements.

"In 2004, Native American voters in South Dakota were prevented from voting after they did not provide photographic identification upon request, despite the fact that they were not required to present such identification in order to vote under State or Federal law." (Source: Text of S. 453, Section 2 (7).)

What all of these democracy-thwarting techniques have in common is deceit. They are designed to deceive people into not even showing up to vote at the polls. In other words, the votes are suppressed before they are even cast. With all the national attention to voting machine irregularities, the manipulators of elections are developing more and more ways of committing electoral fraud even without ballot- and machine-tampering.

That is why it is essential to support S. 453. The bill will criminalize deceit designed to suppress turnout. It prohibits any person from "knowingly deceiving any other person regarding: (1) the time, place, or manner of conducting any federal election; or (2) the qualifications for or restrictions on voter eligibility for any such election".

The bill:

- "Makes intent to prevent another person from exercising the right to vote an essential element of the offense."
- "Creates a private right of action for any person aggrieved by a violation of such prohibition."
- "Prescribes a criminal penalty for such deceptive acts."
- "Directs the U.S. Sentencing Commission to review and, if appropriate, amend the federal sentencing guidelines and policy statements applicable to persons convicted of any offense under this Act."
- "Authorizes any person to report to the Attorney General false election information."
- "Requires the Attorney General, immediately after receiving such a report, to consider and review it and, if there is a reasonable basis to find that false information has been communicated, to: (1) undertake all effective measures necessary to provide correct information to voters affected by the false information; (2) refer any pertinent matter to the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice for prosecution; and (3) refer the matter to the appropriate federal and state authorities for criminal prosecution or civil action after the election."
- "Directs the Attorney General to study the feasibility of providing such corrective information through public service announcements, the emergency alert system, or other forms of public broadcast."

and

• "Authorizes the Attorney General to establish a Voting Integrity Task Force."

(Source: Congressional Research Service, bill digest.)

It provides for civil penalties and criminal punishment of up to five years in prison or a \$100,000 fine.

Members of the Committee, we need this law and we need it now, if not yesterday. We need to get tough on electoral fraud by increasing the penalties for knowingly deceiving voters about the time, place, and manner of elections.

I can understand why this law was not already on the books: who could have foreseen that this would be the direction that electoral fraud would take? But now that we know the latest innovations in thwarting our democracy, we are obligated to act, and to act promptly.

Members of the Committee, at our very next election, we will choose not only a new Congress but a new President as well. Let us do all that we can to urge Congress to pass this law now, in time for 2008. Our duty to democracy demands it.

Thank you.

Pearl Reeves 25-23 Curtis Street East Elmhurst, New York 11369 718-898-3363

New York StateWide Senior Action Council
Statement before the Committee on Governmental Operations
New York City Council
November 13, 2007

My name is Pearl Reeves. I am a board member of the New York StateWide Senior Action Council. Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today.

I urge you to vote for the resolutions before you on the subject of election integrity, and to recommend them for passage by the full City Council.

This Committee and the full City Council have already passed two resolutions on voting equipment. You have set a standard for the nation in paying knowledgeable attention to the machines that our city and state may use for running our future elections.

Now, Resolution 784 urges our Congress to pass the "Deceptive Practices and Voter Intimidation Prevention Act of 2007." If we want to live in a democracy, it is important for all citizens and all governmental bodies to speak up against deception and intimidation in our elections. We must take whatever action we can against these practices. That is why I am here to speak today, and why you must take positive action on this resolution.

Resolution 961 is not before you today, but it is equally important. It urges our State Board of Elections to seek alternatives to buying voting equipment from our major national vendors. We have seen mountains of evidence that these vendors provide shoddy machines and service that have caused chaos in elections all over our country. We have seen these vendors raise their prices for service once jurisdictions are dependent on them. Now, New York is under pressure from the U.S. Department of Justice to buy machines from these vendors anyway. We need alternatives that make sense! That is what Resolution 961 is about. I urge you to take action on it as soon as possible, to speak up with a voice of reason.

Thank you.

Testimony: Tuesday, November 13, 2007 NYC City Council – Committee for Governmental Operations

Good afternoon, Chairperson Felder, members of the Governmental Operations committee, and audience present. My name is Melissa Martinez and I come to you today to offer my support of New York City Resolution 784. As a current student of public policy, I have closely followed the measures taken by both our federal and city legislators in response to the episodes of voter intimidation and deceptive practices, which for the vast majority have been targeted against low-income, minority voters, in particular new voters. Just a few weeks ago, students at Georgia Southern University at Statesboro were the victims of intimidation and deception as they organized early registration booths on their campus. Overwhelming police presence as well as downright harassment by police officers who insisted to question students' voting eligibility based on residency are examples of just a few of these intimidation tactics against the new student voters. One local politician even tried to place an ad in the local campus paper telling students that if they voted, their parents could no longer list them as dependents on their tax returns and therefore would run the risk of losing their financial aid. The recent Georgia Statesboro case is just one of dozens of voter intimidation and deception cases that have occurred in more than thirty states in our nation in the last year. These are serious and intolerable incidents of political maneuvering that cannot be simply frowned upon as we approach our next national election, a moment where we need as many eligible voters ready and eager to cast their vote.

In a country like the US where transparency and democratic legitimacy are practices to be upheld, there is absolutely no place for this type of ill-willed behavior. These are simply foolish tactics that have unfortunately become too commonplace in our political arena, where we no longer seem to demonstrate decisive arguments to counterattack our ideological opponents. Instead some deem it necessary to subject people to fear as a means of achieving political guarantees.

The current bill endorsed by Senator Obama and others in Congress promises to classify these deceptive practices as a crime and would require the Justice Department to prepare appropriate reports relating to its implementation. The bill has gained substantial support so far in Congress and many legal and political advocacy groups like the Brennan Center for Justice and USPIRG, and several important media outlets have truly made it an issue to bring this issue to the fore. Caucuses of local politicians like our New York City Council are stepping forward to also urge Congress to move forward with this bill. Yet, the more time passes, especially as we get closer to election day, the greater possibility of having episodes like the Georgia Statesboro case to reoccur. My ultimate fear, as a student and an advocate for voting rights, is the impact these episodes has already had on first time voters. Will they return to the voting booths?

Let us continue working and do our best to promote Deceptive Practices and Voter Intimidation Prevention Act of 2007 to Congress with success. Our country needs it. Again I thank you all for taking a stance on behalf of the American people.

http://www.nationalcampaignforfairelections.org/news_item/ga_southern_students_threatened_when_trying_to_vote/

Subject: HAVA citation

From: "R. Mercuri" <notable@mindspring.com>

Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2007 14:27:03 -0500

To: Richard Wagner

Hi Richard --

http://www.fec.gov/hava/law ext.txt The full text (I assume of the passed version) of HAVA is on the FEC's website

Section 102 pertains to "Replacement of punch card or lever voting machines" (I've copied it below). Notice that everything in Section 102 says "shall" not "must." Federal imposition of a particular voting method on the states is likely a violation of "states rights."

copied the relevant part below) specifically INCLUDES lever voting systems as ELIGIBLE for use, given the constraints (which they do comply with). You might want to read further in Section 301 for more constraints Furthermore, the definition of an acceptable voting machine for Federal elections in Section 301 (I've also

inability to provide manual audit and disabled accessibility, that have allowed them to attempt the lawsuit. There was nothing in HAVA that REQUIRED the elimination of punch card and/or lever machines. It is the DoJ's (erroneous) interpretation of "shall" as "must" and further misinterpretations about the lever machines

We'll be watching them duke this out for a while, it seems.

Rebecca Mercuri

SEC. 102. & ht; & ht; NOTE: 42 USC 15302. & gt; & gt; REPLACEMENT OF PUNCH CARD OR LEVER

VOTING MACHINES.

(a) Establishment of Program.--

the enactment of this Act, the Administrator that State used a punch card voting to as `qualifying precinct''). scheduled general election for Federal office held in November (1) In < < NOTE: Deadline, > > general: -- Not later than 45 make a payment to each State eligible under subsection (b) in system or a lever voting system to administer the regularly shall establish a program under which the Administrator days 2000 (in this section referred which a precinct within

after January 1, 2001, under multiyear contracts) to replace systems (as the case (by purchase, lease, or such other arrangement as may be (either directly or as (2) Use of funds.--A State shall use the funds provided is not inconsistent with the requirements of the does not use punch cards or levers; may be) in qualifying precincts within that State with a voting reimbursement, including as reimbursement for costs incurred on appropriate) that -punch card voting systems or under a payment under this section lever voting system

section 906; and

laws described in

voting systems or lever voting systems in the replaced in time for the first election for Federal office held 104(a)(2) is insufficient to ensure requirements of section 301; and lever voting after the date of the enactment of this Act (in such form as the Фì ensure that the entire amount ç shall submit an additional certification once such legislation has not been under this subsection, the State shall be permitted to make the requires State legislation require which are necessary for the described in section 906; as reimbursement, including contains-section if it submits to the to meet such subparagraph (A) for good cause and includes in the January 1, 2004, general election for Federal office payment under the program under a State under the program (c) Amount of Payment. --(b) Eligibility.--(d) Repayment of Funds for Failure To Meet Deadlines.paragraph (1), the Administrator shall reduce the amount (1) In general. -- Subject to paragraph (2) and section 1, 2001, under multiyear contracts) to replace punch systems (as the case may be) in the qua (2) Reduction. -- If the amount of funds appropriated pursuant (2) Compliance of states that require changes to state (1) In general.--A State is eligible to receive a payment under the program under submits to the Administrator a notice not later than the date that is 6 months (3) Deadline.-the deadline described in subsection (a)(3); within that State have been replaced in time for the voting systems or lever voting systems in the qualifying that the (D) such other information and certifications as the (B) \$4,000. (B) certifications that the State will continue to (A) certifications that the State will use the (C) certifications that the replacement voting (B) Waiver. -- If a State certifies to the (A) In general. -- Except as provided in subparagraph (C) meets the requirements of section 301. the number of the qualifying precincts within deadline, the State shall ensure that all of the punch enacted at the time the certification is submitted and such under this section shall be equal to appropriated under such section is carry out an activity covered by any certification submitted State will not meet the deadline described in that each State receives the as reimbursement for costs incurred on s) to replace punch card voting systems or may be) in the qualifying precincts within the State by this section shall ensure that all of administration of the program. to be held in November 2004. legislation is enacted. qualifying precincts within that State will be certification the reasons for the failure certification notwithstanding that the distributed to the States. amount of payment calculated under specified in paragraph (1)(B) Administrator may require) that the product 103(b), the amount of payment made law.--In the case of a State that Administrator not later than under the program under this to the authority of section or after January systems will meet the payment (either directly or regularly scheduled the State; and comply with the laws the punch card (B), a State receiving Administrator may precincts after January card State

of 4

```
includes any of the following voting systems:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        State failed to meet the applicable
                                                   cast and counted of the effect
                                                                                                      selected more than one candidate
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  error through the issuance of a
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     independent manner)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             manner) the votes selected by the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  electronic
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    any lever voting system,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                requirements:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                equal to
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      precinct percentage'' means,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              to the noncompliant precinct
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               meet the deadline applicable
                                                                                                                                                       a single office--
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              (e) Punch Card Voting System Defined. -- For purposes of this section,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (a) Requirements.---Each voting system used in an election for Federal office shall meet the following
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     301. < &lt; NOTE: 42 USC 15481. &gt; &gt; VOTING SYSTEMS STANDARDS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     to the State under subsection (a)(3), the State shall pay to the Administrator and anompliant precinct percentage of the amount of the funds provided to the State
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             the quotient of--
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  system) shall--
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     (1) In general. -- If a State receiving funds under the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    766£62£
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (2) Noncompliant precinct percentage defined. -- In this
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    In general.--
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 C.E.S.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 Votomatic.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                PBC Counter.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Vote Recorder.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Pollstar.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           Datavote
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Punch Card.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 to change
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             (B) the total number of qualifying precincts in the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (A) the number of qualifying precincts within the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the
                                                                                                                                                                       unable to change the ballot or correct any error);
(iii) if the voter selects votes for more than
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  is cast and counted (including the opportunity to
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      counted;
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (ii)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 permit the voter to verify (in a private
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       provide the voter with the opportunity
                          office; and
                                                                                                      for
                                                                           (II)
(III) provide the voter with the
                                                                                                                           (I) notify the voter that the voter
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             with respect to a State, the amount (expressed as a percentage)
                                                                          notify the voter before the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               optical scanning voting system, or direct recording
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          deadline; and
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          the ballot or correct any error before
                                                                                                                                                                                                                            replacement ballot
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      voter on the ballot before the ballot is cast and
                                             of casting multiple votes for the
                                                                                               single office
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              H.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               þ
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 program under this section fails to
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            `punch card voting system''
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         subsection,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           the voter was otherwise
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              Administrator an amount equal
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       voting system (including
                                                                                                  9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               State for which the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       the term ``noncompliant
                                                                                               the ballot;
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 State
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            the ballot
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     (in a private and
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             and independent
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     correct
                                                                                                                                                                                                    and
                                                                                                                                                                       one candidate
                                                                        ballot is
                                                                                                                        has
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          under
```

of 4

Election Fraud in America: Don't worry about Paper Ballots-The Problem is Secret Procedures and Lack of Observers!

Every pollsite should have paper ballots, continuous cameras focused on the ballot box, and citizen observers.

As a citizen activist against electronic voting machines ("DREs") and an advocate of votermarked paper ballots and precinct-based optical scanners, the most common argument I hear against paper ballots is that "they have always been subject to fraud."

To find out more, I read <u>Deliver the Vote</u> by Tracy Campbell, a history of American election fraud from 1742 to 2004, and the 68-page chapter on fraud in <u>Election Administration in the</u> United States, which details some cases that took place in the 1920s.[1] Here's what I learned.

Regardless of the technology used for voting, three characteristics of fraud have always been the same:

- 1. Fraud occurs when election procedures are conducted in secret. Secrecy has been supported by law makers, law enforcement, and the courts. People in positions of power have stayed in power by controlling the conduct of elections, and keeping what they do secret.
- 2. When fraud occurs, citizens are treated as outsiders to the election process, and are prevented, by the use of law or violence, from participating in or observing election procedures, and from investigating irregularities.
- 3. In order to control the real votes that are cast, certain would-be voters have been discouraged from voting by the use of law and violence.

It's a sorry history--observers kidnapped or beaten up, and courts refusing to open the ballot boxes to find out whether what's inside has any relationship to precinct tally sheets.

DREs, electronic voting machines, continue this tradition of fraud. Just when surveillance and security technology could open our poll sites to continuous observation and prevent the hanky-panky, DREs establish a new barrier to citizen oversight. Citizens are shut out. We can't understand the procedures. We can't observe in a meaningful way sufficient to attest that procedures and counting were proper and honest. Voters can't even observe their own votes.

Just like the ballot boxes of old, DREs cannot be opened—their insides are concealed by trade secret and intellectual property claims of vendors, which have been consistently upheld by election administrators and courts. Courts today are playing the same role with DREs that courts of yesterday played with wooden ballot boxes. Our courts are protecting the secret software and any other secrets that might be inside, such as log files showing communications intrusions,

alterations of tally files, and other evidence of fraud. This is the reason we sometimes hear "there's no evidence that DREs have ever been subject to fraud."

Despite talk about outside hackers, insider control of election outcomes has never been easier-just point and click, and after changing the tallies, remember to "save" before you "exit".

It is ironic that by allowing our votes to be concealed inside computers—and thereby facilitating fraud—we may actually prevent some of the violence historically associated with elections. Obviously, with computers handling the votes, with errors and fraud being invisible and undetectable, it doesn't matter who votes or who observes in the poll site. We no longer need violence to suppress the vote or scare off observers.

New Legislation in an old Model

After reading these works on election fraud, I read two election reform bills in Congress: H.R. 811 and S. 1487. They do not prohibit secrecy related to computerized voting systems. [2] Instead they give vendors' trade secret claims priority over citizens' right to know how elections are conducted. Although limited disclosure is mandated, both bills put unfair burdens on citizens to request disclosure of software, appeal denials of disclosure, seek undefined remedies for denied or delayed disclosure by undefined procedures, and bear the risk of lawsuits if vendors assert improper use of disclosed information. Meanwhile, elections are about votes – not computers. Neither bill requires meaningful observation of vote handling by ordinary non-technical citizens so that we can exercise oversight of our elections

Technology Can Serve Democracy

Technology can be useful in elections in the form of tactile, mechanical, robotic, or computerized devices to assist voters with disabilities, non-English languages, or illiteracy who want to make their voting selections without requiring another person to know for whom they are voting.

Technology can also help to secure our ballot boxes: surveillance cameras, heat and motion sensors for storage areas, and the many technologies used in warehouses to protect and keep track of inventory.

There are many ways that twenty-first century technology can be useful in elections, but computers should not be used to record, cast, store, handle, and count the votes because this prevents the citizen participation and observation that can keep these procedures honest.

Computers are not secure. Computers cannot be secured.

People argue over whether computers are secure. "Yes they are!" "No they aren't!" The arguments are not very meaningful, because here's the fact--no large computer system is secure, and no computer system is secure from people who work with it.[3]

Paper can be secure.

Is paper secure? Can it be? Banks, warehouses, and other businesses protect paper with minimum difficulty by the use of careful procedures, competent management, and surveillance and security technology. But it needs to be said that nationwide, our election administration has an aggressive "can't do" attitude: "we can't protect paper," "we can't get people to volunteer to

help with elections," "we can't audit computers to show that they are working properly because audits are too burdensome, time-consuming, and expensive, and also they are unnecessary because we trust the computers." [4]

Election Reform in America-2007

America is at a crossroads. If we are to continue to be a democracy, we need to get rid of DREs now and use observers and surveillance and security technology to secure our ballot boxes for paper ballots.

But it takes more than good voting technology to make a democracy.

We citizens need to inform ourselves about our government via the alternative news media. Just on the subject of elections, most people know about the lost 18,000 votes in the Christine Jennings race in Florida's 13th Congressional District. But our major media has not covered the thousands of other documented failures of computerized voting machines, and the voters who were disenfranchised as a result. We can learn much from various web sites: www.VotersUnite.org, www.WheresThePaper.org, etc.

We citizens also need to remember what democracy means—government of the people. We need to show up as poll workers and observers. "Get out the vote" efforts may have a negative effect in the long run, because we citizens need to participate in more ways than just voting. Minimizing the responsibilities of citizenship to the mere act of voting trivializes both citizenship and voting, and may contribute to the attitude that voting doesn't count.

Conclusion - What to do!

Citizens need to raise our voices and confront the ignorance and corruption that has resulted in the use DREs in our elections. It's time for all our public servants to know why DREs are wrong, with or without a paper trail, and to take a public position against continued use of DREs.

It's time for everyone to know about the history of election fraud in our country. It's not the paper ballots we have to worry about—it's secret procedures and lack of observers. It's time to tell our U.S. Representatives and U.S. Senators that the election reform bills in Congress are an outrage, and should be revised immediately.

Everyone concerned about our elections needs to stay informed by subscribing to the Daily Voting News from VotersUnite.org. This includes activists concerned with other issues and all our public servants.

What to do? Know the issue, and raise our voices until we are heard!

###

^{[1] &}lt;u>Deliver the Vote</u>, 2005, by Tracy Campbell, Carroll and Graf Publishers.

<u>Election Administration in the United States</u>, 1934, by Joseph P. Harris, Ph.D., available at http://vote.nist.gov/election_admin.htm

^[2] Resources: www.wheresthepaper.org/HR811.html HR 811: www.wheresthepaper.org/S1487withCmt.htm and www.wheresthepaper.org/S1487WhyItIsWrong.htm

^{[3] 2005} FBI Computer Crime Survey: http://houston.fbi.gov/pressrel/2006/ho011906.htm

^[4] See for example, Testimony of Doug Lewis of The Election Center, March 20, 2007 http://www.wheresthepaper.org/HouseAdminTestimonyDougLewis3 20 2007.pdf

Teresa Hommel is an independent voting rights activist. She serves as Legislative Analyst for New Yorkers for Verified Voting, a statewide citizens' group that has worked with the League of Women Voters of New York State to advocate for paper ballots and optical scanners rather than electronic voting. Ms. Hommel's voting machine simulation, a demonstration called the "Fraudulent Voting Machine," has been used internationally to help people understand the security problems with computers used in voting. "Fraudo" was exhibited at the National Institute of Standards and Technology Symposium "Building Trust and Confidence in Voting Systems" in 2003, and is featured on Ms. Hommel's web site, WheresThePaper.org. Ms. Hommel is Chairwoman of the Task Force on Election Integrity, Community Church of NY. She has been a computer professional since 1967 and is a graduate of NYU School of Law, 1979.

Democracy: Law, Power, and Electronic Voting Machines

Teresa Hommel, Nov. 16, 2007

Power creates law, and law sustains power. This means that people in positions of power – elected and appointed officials – typically make choices that sustain and enlarge their own position. Another way of saying it is "might makes right" – if you have might (power) you can give yourself legal rights to do what you want.

For democracy to exist, the people must retain control of the government -- the people must in fact elect their elected officials.

The formality of holding elections is not enough. Around the world, open and meaningful observation of election procedures is recognized as a requirement for legitimate elections.[1] Legitimacy is defined as "lawfulness by virtue of being authorized or in accordance with law, undisputed credibility, authenticity, or genuineness."

America has a problem. Direct Recording Electronic voting machines, called DREs, prevent open and meaningful observation of the recording, casting, storage, handling, and counting of votes. Voters see an image on the screen, and may have an opportunity to verify a printout of their votes, but cannot see their own votes as recorded and cast inside the computer. Election observers cannot witness the storage, handling, and counting. Because of this, use of DREs interferes with the legitimate delegation of power from the people to our elected officials.

We have seen thousands of reports of DRE failures in recent elections, and many people oppose DREs as a result. But even if DREs appeared to work, the use of unobservable procedures keeps our elections and our elected government from having legitimacy.

Ordinary non-technical people must be able to observe and understand election procedures, and attest that they have been conducted properly and honestly. Election procedures cannot be delegated to experts, computer technologists and statisticians. If citizens have to "trust" "experts" to tell us that procedures were proper and honest, legitimacy has been lost.

The use of computers in our elections is one of the twenty-first century challenges to our democracy, and our ability to deal forthrightly with it and solve it will influence whether or not our democracy survives.

It is a mystery to me why so many Americans seem unable to grasp or deal with this basic information. It's as if you are on a sinking ship, urging someone to get in a lifeboat, and they say,

"Oh but my stateroom is so comfortable." You say, "these machines prevent observation" and you get the reply, "Oh but they're so convenient, and they're accessible to the disabled."

What can law students do?

One argument in favor of DREs is that "there is no evidence that a software attack or other tampering has ever been successfully carried out against an electronic voting system."

In truth there is no evidence that such an attack or tampering has NOT succeeded because no electronic election has ever been fully and independently audited. The courts, election administrators and vendors have successfully rebuffed all demands by voters and candidates to examine equipment..

An increasing number of legal decisions are being handed down that prevent the gathering of evidence after election irregularities have occurred with computerized election equipment. I cannot say whether the courts are complacent, complicit, or corrupt. I do know that the computer voting machine industry has been doing "training" for several years to "inform" judges of the issues related to this equipment.

It is time for law review articles and other legal resources to be developed to present arguments to allow the development of evidence, and to oppose computerization and privatization of our elections. I hope you will be part of such an effort.

We also need legal evaluation of laws and practices that limit or prohibit (1) observation of procedures, (2) recounts, and (3) public access to election records such as ballot definitions, numbers of voters who signed in versus numbers of ballots cast, and so on.

The optical scanner alternative

Voter-marked paper ballots and optical scanners (PBOS) are a widely-used and low-cost alternative to DREs. Scanners perform electronic counting only. Their work is understandable, observable, and correctable.[2] It is easy to determine whether scanners are working by using test batches of ballots for which the vote counts are known from manual counting, and easy to diagnose and repair scanners.

Paper ballots can be secured by observation by average citizens as well as by surveillance technology such as cameras. There is a history of fraud with paper ballots, proving that tampering is detectable. Votes on paper ballots are a first-hand software-independent record of the voter's intent.

The one requirement for successful use of PBOS is greater citizen participation in elections. We would need lots of observers. I believe a call for ballot observers would arouse many citizens to get involved in local elections, and revitalize our democracy.

###

^[1] Election Observation Handbook, Fifth Edition, by the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe, Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (OSCE, ODIHR). OSCE includes Canada, the USA, and the broader European region including the South Caucasus and Central Asia.

www.wheresthepaper.org/OSCEintlElectionObservation14004 240 en.pdf

^[2] Report – Paper Canvass Feb 25, 2003 Special Election, May 15, 2003, by Lucille Grimaldi, Manager, Electronic Voting Systems to Commissioners of Elections. www.wheresthepaper.org/NYCBOEScanRpt030515.pdf