25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

4

[gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning... oh, I'm sorry. Ready? Good morning, is it afternoon already? 5 It is, good afternoon. One... my apologies on behalf of the members of the Subcommittee for starting late 6 7 today, there was some last-minute items that needed some attention but thank you for your patience. Good 8 morning and welcome to the meeting of the 9 Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises, I am Council 10 Member Francisco Moya, the Chair of this 11 12 Subcommittee. Today we are joined by Council Members 13 Constantinides, Steve Levin, Donovan Richards, Ritchie Torres, Barry Grodenchik, Carlina Rivera, 14 15 Minority Leader Matteo and Council Member Reynoso. 16 Today we will vote on four applications that were the 17 subject of prior hearings; they are LU 217, the HK 18 Kitchen Corporation application for a revocable consent for an unenclosed sidewalk café at 3599 East 19 20 Tremont Avenue in Council Member Gjonaj's district in the Bronx. We will be voting to approve this café, 21 2.2 the size of which has been adjusted to address the 23 concerns of the community. We will vote to modify LU's 206, the 3122-3136 Victory Boulevard rezoning 24 25 for property located in Council Member Matteo's

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

district in Staten Island. The applicant C and A Realty Holding LLC seeks a rezoning to replace an existing R3X C2-2 district with a C8-1 district to legalize an existing auto repair establishment and to increase the size of the facility. We will be voting to modify this application to move the corner property from the rezoning. The building on the corner property, a Tim Hortons was recently built and conforms and complies with the existing 2-2 zoning and is not likely to be redeveloped pursuant to the C8 zoning, this is stated in the EAS however the adjacent and nearby residential property would be put at risk of inappropriate illuminated advertising signs which are permitted under the C8 zoning. To be clear it would be permissible for these signs to have nothing to do with the existing or future business on this corner zoning lot as we are not talking about accessory signage. The proximity to residential use of these illuminated advertising signs, which bring in immense revenue for their owners and operators makes the rezoning on this corner parcel inappropriate in addition to being unnecessary and with that I'd like to turn it over to Council Member Matteo for his remarks.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Thank you Chair 3 Moya. Good afternoon everyone. I just want to begin by thanking the Chair and my colleagues and the 4 subcommittee for reconvening this matter. I want to ... 5 6 I want to thank particularly the Land Use division 7 staff Raju Mann, Amy Levitan, Julie Lubin and particularly John Douglas and Angelina Martinez Rubio 8 for their work with me on... and my staff throughout 9 the last few weeks especially over the weekend and I 10 want to thank my Chief of Staff, David Carr. As with 11 12 most cases and specifically this matter before us, it 13 was important to strike a balance to ensure we 14 achieved the best possible outcome and I think we 15 accomplished that here. I believe the language in the restrictive declaration serves the interest of the 16 17 surrounding area by prohibiting out of character 18 billboards from being installed in the relevant tax lots and allowing the existing non-conforming uses to 19 20 be normalized on the site, so they can pursue their commercial objectives. Additionally, the commitment 21 2.2 on the part of the applicant to widen Victory 23 Boulevard consistent with the regulations of the New York City Department of Transportation will give us 24 an opportunity to significantly improve traffic flow 25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

in the area. I want to thank the applicant and their representatives for, for working with us to reach this point and I ask my colleagues to vote in favor

5 of this modified rezoning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council Member Matteo. We will be voting to disapprove LU's 203, 204 and 205, the 27 East Fourth Street application, the property in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks a zoning text amendment to a special permit for... a special permit 74-712 to make the site eligible for a special permit and seeks two special permits under that section to allow a transient hotel and retail use at this project site and to modify bulk regulations to allow the proposed building to reach a height of 90 feet without setback. Members of the public and elected officials testified in opposition to this application primarily due to concerns about the impact of the proposed construction on the Merchant's House Museum which is an individual landmark built in 1832. In considering the proposed text amendment in the... in the context of the risk posed to a very unique landmark with structural issues which could benefit from a continued maintenance plan we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

questioned the policy rational behind the proposed amendment to 74-712. CPC's rational for the expansion of 74-712 in 2015 to fill... to fill in gaps along the mid-block and avenues does not make sense here where there is an existing building. The Commission's report on the application before us now concludes that the text amendment provides a mechanism for underdeveloped sites to a more full... to more fully contribute to the neighborhood's context. However, we note that 74-711 does the same thing but with a public benefit, landmark preservation. We do not wish to promote zoning text amendments which disincentivize the use of an otherwise available special permit which supports landmarks. Given that 74-711 is available to the developers of 27 East Fourth Street today, the council believes that the proposed zoning text amendment is neither necessary nor appropriate. Because the special permits are continued upon the new text they will also be disapproved. I... now I would like to turn it over to Council Member Rivera for her remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you Chair Moya for the opportunity to provide brief remarks on LU 203-2018, LU 204 and LU 205 which are related to

27 East Fourth Street. Thank you to the Committee
members and of course the council's land use division
and Speaker Johnson for your assistance in this
process as well as to my staff. Applicant Kalodop
Park Corporation seeks a zoning text amendment to a
special permit 74-712 to make the site eligible for a
special permit and seeks two special permits under
that section to allow a transient hotel and retail
use at the project site and to modify bulk
regulations to allow the proposed building to reach a
height of 90 feet without setback. At our
Subcommittee hearing members of the public and
elected officials testified in opposition to this
application primarily due to concerns about the
impact of the proposed construction on Merchant's
House Museum which is an individual landmark built in
1832. It was designated a national historic landmark
in 1966, was placed on the national register of
historic places in 1977 and was designated the first
landmark in Manhattan at the first meeting of the
city's Landmarks Preservation Commission in 1965, was
designated an interior landmark by LPC in 1981 and is
one of only 120 interior landmarks and one of only
six residents with unparalleled authenticity serving

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

16,000 visitors annually. There is disagreement about whether the proposed construction protocols will protect Merchant's House which is located on city owned land in the jurisdiction of the Parks Department. Unfortunately, the city of New York has not funded the structural upkeep of this irreplaceable asset and considering this particular landmark and it's predicament this applicant could have filed an application under zoning resolution section 74-711 entitled landmark preservation in all districts. This section of the zoning is on the books today, it would have not required a zoning text amendment and can currently be utilized to achieve same use and bulk waivers that the applicant seeks if they meet certain conditions. The first condition of ZR74-711 is that the landmarks Preservation Commission have approved the plan for the continuing maintenance of the landmarked building. Unlike the, the provisions of 74-711, the proposed text amendment to 74-712 does not require similar support for a landmark. The City Planning Commission's report on this application concludes that the text amendment provides a mechanism from... for underdeveloped sites to more fully contribute to the neighborhood context.

However, we note that 74-711 does the same thing but
with a public benefit landmark preservation. While
the council acknowledges that there is precedence for
amendment of section 74-712 by increments based upon
lot coverage, we believe this proposal goes too far.
If the council were to approve this text amendment it
would continue to erode the utility of the 74-711
special permit, the provisions of which benefit the
public by preserving landmarks. Given that 74-711 is
available to the developers at 27 East Fourth Street
today, I don't believe that the proposed zoning text
amendment is either necessary, necessary or
appropriate and I urge my colleagues to deny these
land use applications but I remain committed to
continuing to work with this developer to explore
other approaches and I'm eager to discuss again with
the Parks Department and this administration
strategies for ensuring the ongoing preservation of
this very precious historic resource. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. we will now be voting to approve with modifications LU's 192, 193 and 194, the 80 Flatbush application, applications in Council Member Levin's district in Brooklyn. Alloy Development is a co-applicant with the New York City

Educational Construction Fund seeking a zoning map
amendment from C6-2 to C6-9 and a zoning text
amendment to establish a mandatory inclusionary
housing area, establish applicable regulations for a
new C6-9 district within the special downtown
Brooklyn district and create a new special permit to
permit modification of bulk, MIH ground floor use,
parking and loading regulations for a development in
conjunction with ECF in the special downtown Brooklyn
district. These proposed actions would facilitate the
development of two new schools, two new mixed-use
towers originally proposed at 561 and 989 feet high,
our modifications will be to, to density and building
scale. Instead of the FAR of 18, the site will be
rezoned to allow a 15 FAR upon the provision of
100,000 square feet of, of school floor space. The
height of the taller phase two tower has been reduced
to 840 stories including the bulk including the bulk
head and the height of the smaller phase one tower
has been reduced to 510 including the bulk head.
These modifications will result in fewer shadows on
the nearby community garden and a more appropriate
urban design with better relations better
relationships to the surrounding buildings and now I

want to turn it over to Council Member Levin for his remarks.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much Mr. Chair. I want to thank you for all of your patience and support throughout this process especially with your willingness on scheduling. I want to also thank Chair Salamanca as well for, for working so closely with us on this. This, this project, 80 Flatbush has presented a number of unique challenges as proposed throughout this entire process. It ... as a ... as a proposal it sought to address certain community needs that have been identified for a long time so first off the need that we see citywide for affordable housing that is in line with our mandatory inclusion housing program that we voted on at the city council and I voted in favor a couple of years ago where we allow for some increase in zoning in order to achieve affordable housing at a meaningful level for projects in New York City, that's the first objective that this project was designed to address. The second objective is school space, throughout New York City in most school districts we have a seat shortage that's no different here in downtown Brooklyn which is on the border of

district 13 and district 15, this site being in
district 15 where there's always a need for school
seats and so that's why this project came forward as
an education construction fund project as a
partnership with Alloy as the developer and the
original proposal elicited a, a significant reaction
because as proposed it was a proposed at a 18 FAR ir
order to achieve those benefits on a block that was
identified in 2004 in the downtown Brooklyn rezoning
as being a transitional block with the maximum zoning
in 2004 and downtown being 12 FAR and anything
transitional being transitional down from that. This
is a block that you have essentially three different
zoning contexts converging on a single two thirds of
a block or three quarters of a block where you have
the downtown Brooklyn zoning context coming down from
the North, the, the Boerum Hill context which is a
low rise context of about a two FAR for townhouses
coming down from the South and, and then Schermerhorn
Street coming over from, from the West and, and so
that presented a unique challenge and so we I think
the community response all along was these benefits
are, are great, we are excited about these benefits
however the density that's proposed is, is higher

than, than we're comfortable with and so that was the
message that I received and the message that I
consistently went back to the developer and the city
with. To their credit Alloy had a series of meetings
that numerous meetings with the community to try to
figure out how to address a lot of these issues that
were raised without doing those things, without
reducing the height of the towers, without reducing
the density of the of the proposal but doing
so through design and through innovative ways. For
example, get removing a loading dock which was on
State Street or originally there were two loading
docks on State Street and to their credit a lot of
objectives were achieved over the last several months
through these innovative designs, design solutions
and so I, I just want to acknowledge Jared Della
Valle, A.J. Pires and David McCarty who were again
very involved from, from Alloy and kind of working
with the community on this. With that said we got to
a point where the community was very clear, that the
that the height of the buildings needed to come down
and the density of the buildings needed to come down
and we were able to achieve both things through this
process and this is thing the stuff that is new to

share today. So, the height of the phase two tower
which is that is the, the larger of the towers has
come down from 986 to 840 feet so there's been a
reduction of almost 150 feet that is that is
significant, it is in addition to that it's been
redesigned in way and, and I think they're be
going to be able to share renderings where the
density because they're able their, their
redesigned elements of the commercial floor area on
tower two a lot of that density gets shifted down to
the lower portion of the building that is now going
to be able to come over the existing school buildings
that are still going to be preserved in a pedestal
that the tower can rise out of therefore shifting if
you those of you that know this project well know
that a lot of the density in the tower was at the top
of the tower with a very narrow base and so that's
been shifted so that will have a significant impact
on light and air issues, on overall sense of density
issues in as it relates to tower two. Tower one
which is over on the corner of Flatbush and State
will be reduced from 561 feet to 510 feet and will
now be lower than the historic Waynsburg Savings Bank
building. Very importantly to me and this has been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the source of a lot of negotiations over the last several days, the density of the project has been reduced 2.25 FAR, from 18 FAR to 15.75 FAR and so that is... that is over 130,000 square feet that is the reduction in the overall project so out of... out of a little over a million square feet over 130,000 have been eliminated from the project entirely. In addition to how this will all work, the full density of the project is only achievable if they build 100,000 square feet of schools because the two schools that are proposed equal more than 100,000 square feet, we want to make sure that all of that happens in order to unlock the full density that this project can achieve. A very important concern all along was protections for State Street which is the low-rise block here that is directly adjacent and across the street. Keep in mind by the way that there was a as of right project that the developer could build that would, would have a significant impact, a negative impact on the residents of State Street including with loading docks and design elements that, that at it's worst would, would be pretty bad for State Street. So, the ... what we've seen in terms of protecting State Street; the phase two tower will

be required to set back 30 feet from both State
Street and Third Avenue ensuring that there's a, a
transition to the low rise brownstone context and
this, this Alloy deserves a lot of credit for,
removed all loading docks from State Street, there
will no longer be a loading dock on State Street
whatsoever, there will be a loading zone and through
a design innovation there will be a passage way or a
gangway that, that goes from Flatbush Avenue to State
Street where all of the garbage will be able to go
out through, in either direction from the school and
from phase one residential towers. Phase one
commercial all the trash is going to be going out and
picked up on Flatbush Avenue and we're working with
the Department of Sanitation to see if we can get the
school garbage to not be picked up on State Street at
all but instead be picked up on Schermerhorn Street
on the Schermerhorn Slip that goes that's right by
Flatbush Avenue. So, no, no loading dock whatsoever
on State Street so there will hopefully be no beeping
trucks at two in the morning, there will be no… there
will be no… you know amazon or you know UPS or FedEx
backing in and out or any types of trucks with people
moving in and out of the residential building at

2	Phase one on State Street. Very importantly to me
3	we're preserving the historic buildings, both
4	historic buildings, both school building, the 1850's
5	building and the 1890's building on Third Avenue and
6	that's a significant achievement because it would
7	have been a lot easier to tear these down, they're
8	not landmarked, they don't they don't have any
9	landmark protection but to Alloy's credit again even
10	when we said, you know what maybe that's something
11	that, that can sacrificed, they came back with a
12	design that actually maintains those and, and works
13	around them and actually incorporates them. In
14	addition, we're seeing that there will still be 200
15	units of affordable housing achieved so even with
16	the… with the, the reduction in density we are still
17	seeing and achieving 200 units of affordable housing
18	so that's a significant achievement. So, a lot of
19	work went into this, I do have a lot of people to
20	thank as I said Jared Della Valle and A.J. Pires and
21	David McCarty at Alloy, Ahmed Tigani and Jon Paul
22	Lupo, Emma Wolfe from the administration. I want to
23	give a special acknowledgment to Deputy Mayor Alicia
24	Glen who was on the phone with me late last night
25	negotiating all of these issues. On our side Raju (?

Mann, Brian Paul, Amy Leviton, Julie Lubin who put
countless hours into this; the Speaker, Corey Johnson
who was checking with me daily and Chief of Staff
Jason Goldman, I see Samara Dale and Julie Greenberg
who are here who put a lot of work into this and I
just want to acknowledge the community members that
put a lot of work we did a lot of meetings, going
through a lot of details on this, Howard Cullins, Ben
Richardson, Darty Carstefen, Linda Rosenberg, Roz
Culpit [sp?], Jonathan Glazier, Peter Selider [sp?]
and, and others as well who, who have put in so much
time and the community has got so involved in this,
it was really Henry is here as well, just put in so
work so I want to thank all the community because
this really would not have been achieved all of these
significant gains if it wasn't for the work of the
community and in collaboration with, with the
development team. So, with that I encourage my
colleagues to vote aye, I want to thank you for, for
the opportunity to speak, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I now call for a vote to approve LU 217, to approve with, with the modifications I have described; 192, 193, 194, and 206; also, to disapprove 203, 204 and 205 and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 just for clarification a vote on aye on all is also a 3 vote to disapprove 203, 204 and 205. Counsel please call the roll. 4 COMMITTEE CLERK: Moya? 6 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye on all. 7 COMMITTEE CLERK: Constantinides? 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Aye on 9 all. 10 COMMITTEE CLERK: Levin? 11 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I vote aye on all. 12 COMMITTEE CLERK: Reynoso? COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Aye on all. 13 14 COMMITTEE CLERK: Richards? 15 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Aye on all. 16 COMMITTEE CLERK: Rivera? 17 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Aye on all. 18 COMMITTEE CLERK: Torres? COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Aye on all. 19 20 COMMITTEE CLERK: Grodenchik? 21 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Aye on all. 2.2 COMMITTEE CLERK: The resolutions are 23 approved by a vote of eight in the affirmative, zero 24 negative and no abstentions and referred to the full

Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: This concludes today's hearing. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues of course, Council and the Land Use staff for all the great work that they do. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

September 30, 2018