CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

----- X

June 25, 2018

Start: 10:04 a.m. Recess: 11:48 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: RUBEN DIAZ, SR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Joseph C. Borelli

Costa G. Constantinides

Francisco P. Moya Ydanis A. Rodriguez Deborah L. Rose Paul A. Vallone

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Meera Joshi, Commissioner NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Dianna Pennetti, Deputy Commissioner NYC Uniformed Services Bureau NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Cassandra Perez Appearing for:
David Beier, President Committee for Taxi Safety

Peter Mazer, General Counsel Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

Bhairavi Desai, Executive Director New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Zubin Soleimany, Staff attorney New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Kristen Johnson, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, LDF $\,$

Hector Ricketts, President, Commuter Van Association of New York and President and Owner, Community Transportation Systems

Leroy Morrison, Vice President, Commuter Van Association of New York, CEO of Alexis Van Lines

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[sound check] [gavel] [background]
[gavel] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Good morning ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the fourth public hearing of the newly created Committee for-for vehicles-Vehicle for Hire-For-Hire Vehicles. I am Councilman Reverend Ruben Diaz, Senior, appointed to chair this committee by the Speaker of the City Council the honorable Corey Johnson. Today, we will be discussing three pieces of legislation, and the one Introduction 897 by Council Members Adams and Richard. This is a local legislation to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to commuter Vans. Number 2, the second-the second bill Introduction 925 by Council Member Williams and Miller and Chin. This is a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to the for-hire and commuter vans with seating capacity greater than 20 passengers. Number 3 Introduction 958 by Council Member Cabrera and myself Diaz, this is a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to reducing specific penalties for taxis and for-hire drivers.

958 Cabrera and Diaz, our piece of legislation is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

intended to repeal two prior laws and that in 2011 and 2016, which dramatically increased the Taxi and Limousine Commission fines on the for-hire vehicles and drivers for various offenses. Thus piece of legislation is trying to take back to-to 2011 the level of fines that was increased of up to \$10,000. We believe that when those loss were imposed, they increased the penalty for taxis and drivers with certain negative outcomes for the public and the drivers. TLC resorted to extreme tactics in order impose huge fines greatly affecting and hurting the drivers economically and over our wellbeing. fines are imposed without consideration of the driver's records or the malicious actions taken by the TLC inspector. For example, the TLC inspector is entrap-entrapping-entrapping the livery drivers by jumping into for-hire vehicles and asking the drives to be taken to hospitals and other places, but, too, entrapping the driver by impersonating passenger with disability trying to get the sympathy of the drivers so the driver could take them to different places, and they just do that just to-just to impose a summons to the driver. Among many other duplicitous acts in the relation of the drivers' Eight Amendment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Rights to be free of expensive fines. In the current climate-climate, many drivers are making less than minimum wage due to the obligation of having to pay for leases, pay for the base-basis fee, pay for gas, pay for insurance, pay for TLC fees and many, many more other fees and expenses. We believe that fines up to \$10,000 is in direct violation of the Eighth Amendment Right, which stipulates that the penalties should be equal to the crime. In other words, the Eighth Amendment-the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution says that penalties should be equal to the crime. A penalty cannot be bigger than the crime, and we believe that those fines are violation the Eighth Amendment Rights where the penalties are above the crime. \$10,000 is too high for a crime for the infraction committed. So, we are very sure, very positive that these is a violation of the Eighth Amendment right. In other words, the penalty should not be higher than the crime or violations that have been committed, as I said. Today, I have been joined by some of my colleagues, one of them, just-just one. [laughter] The rest, the rest are coming. Council Member Borelli, and I welcome. I welcome the representatives of the

city's transportation system. Commuter vans provide

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

affordable transportation to New Yorkers mostly in the Outer Boroughs, but also in Manhattan. especially true in neighborhoods that have less access to public transit. As noted in a recent New York Times article the biggest challenge facing the commuter van industry today is the presence of unlicensed vans. In many neighborhoods there is an increase in the number of larger vehicles with over 20 seats holding themselves out as commuter vans. Illegal vans are dangerous because unlike authorized commuter vans, they lack basic safety protections such as vehicle inspections and appropriate insurance coverage. Because there's no guarantee that the vehicle is insured, passengers and drivers have no remedy for medical expenses or other injuries in the event of a crash. More often than the driver of an unlicensed vehicle is also unlicensed by the TLC meaning that they have not undergone a background check, drug test or required training. The TLC has worked with the industry and members of Council to support the licensed industry including through van decals and passenger outreach, but the most effective tool is enforcement. As part of our enforcement against illegal vans, we regularly partner with NYPD

2 and have recently begun partnering with the city's Sheriff's Office as well. This is difficult resource 3 intensive work. So, far this year as of June 15th 4 we've conducted 336 operations targeting illegal vans 5 including 148 in Brooklyn and 185 in Queens. 6 7 addition, we've conducted 123 joint operations with NYPD including 39 in Brooklyn and 34 in Queens. 8 These operations support our other key enforcement 9 tools seizing illegal operating vehicles including 10 We stopped seizing vehicles of first-time 11 12 offenders in 2015 because of a Federal Court ruling. Now, before TLC seizes and forfeits a vehicle, there 13 must be at least one prior conviction or unlicensed 14 15 illegal operation of the vehicle. Once we 16 operationalized this approach, enforcement began forfeiture of all illegally operating vehicles in 17 18 late 2016. Since then we've successfully seized repeat offenders, 103 vehicles of which 36 were 19 20 commuter vans. In this calendar year alone, again these are repeat offenders. Fifty-one vehicles have 21 2.2 been seized and 9 of them are vans and 13 vans have 23 been forfeited. These operations yield hundreds of 24 summonses against illegal van operators, but also 25 against for-hire vehicles doing illegal pickups,

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

which adds to congestion and dangerousness in major corridors like Flatbush Avenue, Manhattan and Chinatown in Flushing or in the area around Jamaica Station. Removing these vehicles from their owners and keeping them off the road permanently is our best enforcement tool. Intro 897 would amend the Administrative Code by requiring that no application for authorization to operate a commuter van could be approved or renewed unless the application-applicant produces records demonstrating that the applicant has at least the same number of licensed drivers as affiliated commuter vans. We agree that unlicensed activity is a problem in the commuter van industry and that unlicensed drivers should never operate any TLC licensed vehicle including commuter vans. is why we take enforcement actions against unlicensed operators. I'd like to note, however, that many legitimate banned businesses have justifiable business reasons for having a different number of drivers in vehicles, and while the TLC supports the goal of limiting unlicensed activity, we'd like to work with Council to ensure that any such bill would not limit TLC's authority to authorize legitimate van The number of licensed vans exceeded 500 businesses.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by August 2015, but it's steadily declined, and now it's around 280, 243 licensed drivers and 53 authorized services. Licensed van operators are owned and operated as small businesses covering the cost of van maintenance, insurance and licensing requiring showing a one-to-one match before authorization may pose an obstacle for these businesses because they do not always have [coughs] at all time an exact match of vans to drivers. So not having a one-to-one ratio is not necessarily evidence that unlicensed operators are driving the licensed vans. There are many legitimate reasons for why a van applicant would have fewer licensed drivers than vans. For example, a company may purchase several vans because there's a good price opportunity before recruiting drivers or the number of drivers may decrease because of sickness. These are normal occurrences in small businesses. No other sector regulated by TLC faces a similar requirement and TLC is concerned that this bill may penalize legal businesses that are made up almost entirely of community-owned and operate small businesses, and discouraging legal authorities may decrease the amount of licensed activity, which would further decrease the amount of licensed vans and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

drivers. Again, we share the concern about unlicensed commuter van activity. We work closely with Council Miller, and other members on commuter van issues, and we look forward to furthering our conversations with Council as we address unlicensed activity. Intro 925 would give the TLC power to enforce against community vans that seat over 20 Today, TLD licenses and has the power to people. enforce against licensed and unlicensed commuter vans with the seating capacity of up to 20 seats. We know form experience, observation and stakeholder input that there are more large vehicles in New York City that hold themselves out as commuter vans whether they are vans or buses, which are beyond TLC's power to enforce. Not only are these large vehicles unlicensed, they are also uninspected and extremely unlikely to have the right insurance to protect passengers. Additionally, these larger vans are dangerous to the communities in which they operate not only because the safety concerns presented by all unlicensed activity, but because of their size they have less ability to stop or maneuver crowded streets including residential streets not meant for large buses or vans posing greater physical dangers to more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

passengers and to the public. The TLC supports intro 925 because it would give the TLC new authority to enforce the full range of penalties against larger illegal vans including fines, seizure and forfeiture. We thank Council Member Williams, Miller and Chin and the commuter van industry for bringing this bill forward. Turning to Intro 958, which amends section 9507-19507 of the Administrative Code to reduce mandatory penalties for violations of law prohibiting taxicab drivers from asking a passenger for their destination before the passenger is seated in the vehicle refusing to take a passenger to a destination, and overcharging a passenger. would also reduce mandatory penalties for for-hire vehicles that do illegal street hails and eliminate the greater penalties set for illegal street hails in the hail exclusionary zone that was established by the Hail Law that is the airports in Manhattan south of West 110th Street and East 96th Street. TLC cannot support Intro 958. Such reductions in penalties would weaken critical public safety and consumer protections. We know from painful experience the destinations refusals are more often than not a proxy for discriminating against passengers based on race

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and ethnicity. Unfortunately, and even at the current penalty levels, we still get these complaints. TLC continues to receive complaints about refusal for unacceptable reasons in the forhire and taxi sectors. There have been over 3,000 such complaints since January 2017, and fees and laws and penalties remain a vital tool to ensure that all New Yorkers receive service. Intro 958 would greatly reduce this deterrent against discrimination, and it would move us backwards as a city instead of towards our goal for equitable service. Penalties for fare overcharges likewise cannot be reduced. important to call a fare overcharge exactly what it is, theft. By reducing these penalties, the bill sends a message to hundreds of thousand of daily passengers that their consumer rights and protections are not important at all. The bill would also reduce penalties for illegal street hails. In 2016, the Council amended 19507 to enhance penalties for legal street hails in those areas call the Hail Exclusionary Zone traditionally the areas of the Yellow Taxi market. In 2016-the 2016 amendment was intended to protect Yellow Taxi drivers and owners from having their trips poached by illegal operators.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The penalties for illegal street hails anywhere in the city generally range from a maximum of \$500 for the first violation to license revocation for the Under the 2016 law, however, if a licensed for-hire vehicle accepts a street hail in Manhattan or the airports, the Local Law penalties range from \$2,000 to \$10,000. These penalties were enacted at a time of increased illegal activity in the Hail Exclusionary Zone and that illegal activity has not dissipated, and may serve as a deterrent. Reducing penalties for this behavior would undermine a key protection for taxi owners and drivers as well as key Vision Zero protections. We have said many times that illegal street hails are inherently unsafe, and the Council has recognized this threat since 1989 when it authorize the TLC to penalize drivers for illegal street hails, and found that vehicles operating for-hire without a TLC license are threat to health safety and wellbeing of their passengers and the general public. The Council increased penalties for illegal street hails in 2012 noting in particular the danger posed to passengers by drivers with no insurance or insufficient insurance and that passengers who are hurt in unlicensed vehicles have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

no recourse to insurance or the TLC. We have recent examples of these dangers. We continue to see fatal crashes involving unlicensed drivers or vehicles. Last spring a driver who illegally picked up a passenger crashed on East Gun Hill Road killing the passenger, and earlier this month and unlicensed driver using a licensed vehicle in the Bronx with ten open DMV suspensions hit and critically injured a pedestrian at 149th Street and River Avenue. Illegal street hails are also bad for our licensees. Practically speaking, an illegal street hail by either licensed or unlicensed operators harm those drivers and bases that follow TLC rules as well as state and local law. For those licensees, illegal street hails result in fewer passengers, and fewer passengers and means less income, and I'll note this is acutely evident at the airports where both legitimate FHV operators and Yellow Taxi operators are fighting on a daily basis with illegal operators as if there's not enough competition already. We're sensitive to the fines, and the driver struggles to make a living, and we've continued to find ways to ease burden on derivers, but I would also note that despite claims that drivers receive \$10,000 penalties

Ι	COMMITTEE	ON	FOR-HIRE	VEHICLES

2.2

under Section 19507 that number is reserve for repeat offenders and has at least three violations in a 24-month period, and the total number of drivers that have ever received this penalty is one. In short, Intro 958 would significantly weaken critical safeguards for passengers against discrimination, theft and illegal operations—operators against unsafe

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you,

Commissioner for your participation and your opinion
on the three pieces of legislation that we are
discussing today. Did you know—do you know about the
Eighth Amendment?

operations. TLC does not support this legislation.

questions that are legitimate questions about the subject matter of this hearing, but I've been to your hearings enough times to know that many of your questions are set up, and they're really intended to get a rise out of the audience and you, and I don't think that is a service to the general public. I don't think that's a service to industry—

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ [interposing] So you

24 think--

already have a copy of it.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: You are not aware. I
3 don't know what you are aware of, but okay, let me4 let me-you know, we are not-5 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] I'm

going to reiterate I am not going to be treated poorly by you again. I've had enough of it. I come here every time and you put that same attitude on.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] We are-we are-I'm just trying to--

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] It's not okay. It's not acceptable.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay.

 $\label{eq:commissioner_joshi:} \mbox{I'm a legitimate}$ public servant.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Treat me decently or you don't ask question at all.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: I am treating you decently. I'm asking you questions. I'm not prejudice. I'm asking you questions. You are the one getting all upset. Okay. I'm asking you questions, and your duty, it is your duty to answer the question to the Council to the committee not to get so upset.

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: And your duty and responsibility is to treat people and the public with respect--

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] I am treating you--

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Treat them decently even if you disagree with what they're saying.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: You're trying to—
you're trying to deviate the whole thing. You will
not do it. I will not allow it. I'm asking you
questions. We're doing duly here and I'm asking you
question about, you know about the Eighth Amendment.
You just get all excited. Why?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I'll answer a} \\ \mbox{legitimate question.}$

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay, how the Mayor of the City of New York and the community leaders are fighting the laws of the State of New York. Based on that the Eighth Amendment and even and even in many other cases they are saying that the—the penalty that some people are getting from anyone have been too high because the fine—the penalties are not equal to the fine. So, based on that, people are doing whatever they want before it doing it over. Based on

- 2 what I'm saying a \$10,000 fine when the last year
- 3 the-the Council, the chair of the committee and the
- 4 | Council and TLC imposed those fines on drivers. I
- 5 | think that's--
- 6 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] One
- 7 driver.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: --I think that's about
- 9 it.
- 10 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: In my testimony I
- 11 said one driver received that. That driver was
- 12 | caught doing an illegal street hail in Midtown
- 13 | Manhattan in January twice, in February once. As a
- 14 result of three consecutive summonses in less than
- 15 | two months, he did receive a \$10,000 fine. That is
- 16 | the kind of enforcement the industry at a time of
- 17 great competition. There are many licensed drivers
- 18 out there, and many of them work everyday in
- 19 Manhattan to ask-has to compete with illegal
- 20 operators in the areas where their bread and butter
- 21 | income is at the airports and in Manhattan and have
- 22 City Council undermine their licensure by supporting
- 23 reducing those penalties is not helpful for this
- 24 | industry especially at this time.

2	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: We have the State of
3	New York and the Department of Motor Vehicles and
4	different institutions imposes fines. None of them
5	has such a high fine, penalty, such a high penalty
6	for a traffic violation. So, we're saying to impose
7	that when the Council or theor whatever you decided
8	to and the—and the city and you decided to impose
9	fines of \$10,000, \$10,000 will be. The New York
10	State Department of Motor Vehicles doesn't do that
11	and you impose this kind of penalty up to \$10,000
12	impose up, \$10,000 or up to \$10,000. It doesn't
13	matter how many—how many the driver already has, only
14	one maybe-either, either-and one driver has been-has
15	been imposed a \$10,000 penalty. The law says up
16	\$10,000 so we're trying to amend that. That's-
17	that's-that's aberration to the law, to the city of
18	New York, to the drivers. So, we're saying we
19	should—we should—we should do away with that piece of
20	legislation. Whoever designed, whoever said, we
21	should impose a penalty of 10 up to \$10,000, \$1,000,
22	\$2,000, \$3,000 up to \$10,000 to a driver for
23	violation a traffic law.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: It's not a traffic law. It's a criminal and a civil law.

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] Well,

3 | whatever--

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing]

Illegal street hail. Picking up illegally under the AD Code is a criminal offense as well as a civil offense.

the penalty is—is too high for the crime, for the—for the violation or whatever you want to call it. So, we're saying, we simple are saying if—if we're trying now to decrease the penalty for—for marijuana and for all the crimes, jumping the turnstile, youth and industry (sic) all those were saying the crime is too high, we got to include the crimes. So, I'm saying okay why—why don't we repeat the crime.

victims in cases of illegal operation. There is low insurance. There's a driver who is not vetted at all. There are passengers involved. I've continued to resist this comparison to marijuana and jumping the turnstiles. Moreover, it is to the climate of incredible competition. We bring in 3,000 drives, 2,000 new vehicles. We cannot stop that influx because the TLC does not have the authority to stop

want to talk.

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Commissioner,				
3	Commissioner. I don't who is disrespecting here.				
4	I'm talking. So I don't know who-who you are				
5	disrespecting here. So, we tried to submit the bill.				
6	We came here and we present it. At the beginning we				
7	were putting \$2,000 to Uber drivers and to drivers,				
8	and you came here and you said no we cannot do that				
9	because drivers are suffering. We have to help				
10	drivers. We have-that's too much for drivers. Based				
11	on your testimony and others, we decreased that to				
12	\$400, and—and—and basically you—you helping				
13	simple drivers. Now we're coming here today and I				
14	said, well she's concerned for driver, let's-let's-				
15	let's-let's-whoever make this law, let me bring it.				
16	So, now you're saying that drives should—should keep				
17	believing with this-with these high penalties.				
18	That's what you're saying. I don't know-you can				
19	COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] Are				
20	you con—are you concerned with any of the licensed				
21	drivers their welfare and				
22	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] Of				
23	course, of course. This will be-of course.				

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: --their ability to

continue to get enough trips to make a living because

24

25

- 2 allowing people to operate illegally erodes that, and
- 3 beyond that, this bill includes incredibly
- 4 destructive provisions taking down penalties for
- 5 things like racial discrimination and theft.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: The State of New York
- 7 has laws, the Department on the Motor Vehicles of the
- 8 State of New York, the court system has laws to
- 9 punish unlicensed drivers, to punish anyone that
- 10 commit crime. They are laws there. I'm saying based
- 11 on the licensed driving, driver there are laws to
- 12 punish those drivers. So, why is it that the TLC has
- 13 | to put up to \$10,000 and they want more, they want
- 14 more? Ain't-ain't that double jeopardy?
- 15 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Those laws in the AD
- 16 Code offer civil or criminal. It's in the
- 17 | alternative. You pursue one civilly through us or
- 18 | alternatively you can pursue criminally. You cannot
- 19 pursue both. It's not double jeopardy.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Oh, the state-the
- 21 state only has a very efficient and very strong
- 22 measure against unlicensed driver, and those that
- 23 commit crime or the state of the arts (sic) has—those
- 24 | every-penalty for unlicensed driver there, but again,
- 25 again let's go back to my original question. The

2.2

Eighth Amendment of the Constitution of the United
States of America stating that people should be free-
free of high fines, free of high penalties, and that
the penalty should be equal to the crime. I'm just
saying if that—if the Constitution say that why are
we violating the Constitution of the United States?
That's what I'm saying. So, we're trying to fix that
problem that was created in 2011 and 2016 when—when
somebody decided to impose fines of up to \$10,000 to-
to-to drivers for-for-for a traffic violation, we're
saying and you say no like we-I'm not supporting
that. Okay.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I've told you I'm not supporting it because it undermines critical—

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] Aright.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: --consumer

protections. People should not be discriminated

against, and we should not take that lightly. We

should not reduce penalties associated with that.

Passengers should not be subject to overcharges. We

should not take that lightly. We should not reduce

the penalties, and people who without a license,

without vetting many of them has suspended DMV

licenses that operate illegally and take money out of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the pockets of our licensed drivers and operators

should be penalized and we should not reduce those

penalties either.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: You also opposed the 897. Can you tell me again why you're opposing that?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I said I have concern, the same concern that Council Member Daneek Miller has about operating licensed commuter vans with unlicensed drivers. I'd like to work with the Council on a bill that addresses that problem other than the one proposed in this bill because these are small businesses some of whom I'm sure you'll hear testify today, and it's very difficult to keep a oneto-one ratio for our cars to drivers. We don't impose that kind of a ratio in any other sector of our regulated industries. We give complete freedom to the number of cars versus the number of drivers. So, I'd like to explore with Council Member Miller a way to address this problem, which he and I agree upon that doesn't also hurt the ability of small businesses to operate.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Do you have that bid of how many commuter van—van services operators there are in the city of New York? Do you--?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: First of all, 3 I'm proud of the work that we were able to do in the 4 last couple of years addressing a crisis that did not 5 happen over night. When the Yellow Taxi industry and 6 the livery and the Black the others, the traditional 7 ones that was told we will break you, like it really has some meaning in our city because no doubt that 8 there has been a plan to destroy the traditional taxi 9 10 industry, and it is not an easy thing to address. It's like the MTA when you look to the particular 11 12 taxi industries that you compare how a crisis have not happened overnight. And when you see members of 13 14 this industry the livery who were the ones providing 15 the services in the outer borough area for decades or 16 the Yellow Taxi industry that they were promised that if you buy a Medallion you will have the right, the 17 18 exclusive right to be the only one that can do pickup and drop-out in any corner of the five borough and 19 20 then suddenly you see another industry come into city not following the same rule and regulation. 21 2.2 ban-those individuals that-those of you especially 23 providing the service in Queens, the one that are 24 going. You know, it is more difficult now for you to 25 compete with whoever is trying now to get into your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

market trying to bring hundreds of new vans. an agreement with four and other, and not being able to-to competed at the same level. That's what we are—as adults we are addressing today. It's about how can we level the playing field to be-to create a condition for everyone to do well in our great city of New York. So, when we address the situation of the Midtown are and the JFK and La Guardia, and at that time we were talking about the importance to protect those who were providing those services there for decades. As new players came and trying to do illegal pickup in those areas, I believe that we did the right thing because as I said, when we look to the outer borough area, we did the-we did the best we could to protect our livery taxi drivers. We created the universal license. We increased the numbers or points that drivers can be able instead of being able to give the two point, we increase it to four after the livery taxi or any driver were taking a class and-and reduced those points that they were able to accumulate. So, we did-we tried to do the best we could to the taxi drivers. We were not playing games like here meeting with this group and then cutting a deal with the other one. We were very clear that our

2.2

responsibility was to level the playing field of everyone, protect the hail of the taxi, the livery, protect the hail in [Speaking Spanish] Commissioner, and my question is as when we look at the 958 that we eliminate the penalty for illegal street hail, what could be the impact that that law will have especially for the Yellow Taxi drivers and the others that they have license and they have their permit to pick up in those areas?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: First, I want to preface by the—the—the percentage of trips in which something that falls under the—what the—the violations that 958 addresses, refusals, overcharges and illegal street hails. That's a very small percentage of all trips. So, we're—the vast majority of drivers follow each and every rule and provide excellent professional service, but there are those drivers that do break them, but passengers that is a big deal. So, each and every one time that there's a refusal and overcharge or a dangerous illegal street hail, that is a very serious event for a passenger. And so, though it is a small, small percentage of our overall trip volume at 4.5%, it is a tremendous—it is a significant event in the life of a passenger, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for other licensed drivers. So, the illegal street hail penalty reduction would have a significant impact on Yellow Taxi drivers that work in the Central Business District and at the airports as well as licensed FHV drivers who operate at the airports because there is a tremendous amount of illegal activity that provides additional competition for income each and every day. And so, at a time when we bring in so many drivers and so many vehicles every month, the agency has no control over that growth. We don't have the authority to limit the growth. think it would be salt on the wounds of the industry to further allow illegal competition to be come just the price of doing business by reducing the penalties.

and, of course, like we—and I try to do my best in my previous overseeing the taxi industry helping the livery as much as I could as Yellow and the Black car drivers, but when we address penalty and we have this—that discussion to increase the penalty for an illegal street hail what happens citywide or only in the Midtown area, JFK and La Guardia. So, it's fair to say that as you—yes you say right now that

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2	repeating what you said right now that most of the
3	livery who get fined for illegal street hail happen-
4	get those fines out of his area, right, out of the
5	Midtown and JFK?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I do know that most of the summonses we issue for the under 19507 for the illegal street hails in Midtown at the airports are to Black cars. There are cars that carry TLC licenses affiliated with the Black Car base. The driver may be licensed or unlicensed, but they're conducting illegal street hails picking up off the street.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay. those vehicles affiliated more with the app company like the Uber and Lyft?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I don't know today. I know about-was it two years ago we, you know, when we were still seizing vehicles we were seizing about 900 vehicles a month in Manhattan that were affiliated with Uber bases that were picking up illegal street hails. We don't seize on the first offense any more. We seize on the-we seize toward forfeiture on the second. So, I don't have that

2.2

number readily available, but I'm happy to go back and get you an account over the last few months.

want to end, you know, just inviting Council Member
Diaz to continue working, and I will be working with
him addressing how we protect the livery who get most
of the tickets, most of those fines out of the
Midtown an the JFK areas at the same time that we
have to be very careful or now any move of any change
that we're making, any previous law that we pass at
the Council especially in the 958 would not have a
negative impact that will put the situation even
worse to those taxi drivers that they have license to
be the ones that do the illegal pick up and drop-out
in the Midtown, JFK and La Guardia. Thank you.

Member Rodriguez. One of the things that you could help me out and work together to join me in supporting and—and signing in the bill to protect the—the Yellow that it's a mess, and we're trying to balance the injustice done to the industry, and I just came here six months ago. So, one of the ways that you could help is joining the bill, and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

supporting the bill so we could regulate Uber, and asking to do it. So, so—

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: I have been joined-I have been joined by Council Member Williams, Council Member Vallone, and Council Member Moya. I don't know if any one of them has any comments or anything to say? [background comments, pause] No. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chairman, if you don't mind-I-I got to say that there's a package of bills that, you know, Chairman Diaz he has bills there about all the colleagues and mine. We also have bills, and I hope that as we try to pass a package of bills that unfortunately we were not able to move in the past addressing how to level the playing field that this time around again, and the Speaker is very committed to address this situation, and how to bring some solution to the whole crisis that is affecting our industry. I know that with the leadership of Speaker Johnson and you also Chairman of this committee we will be able to have conversation of those bills, which are many. and many other colleagues I also have this.

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Yes, thank you Council 3 The only thing that I know and I have to say Member. is that due to the laws and due to the regulations 4 and due to the neglect of like-over the past years that allowed Uber to do and to run the streets 6 7 without being regulated, whoever was here, whatever it is, whoever allowed that to happen, has created 8 five drivers to kill themselves. We got to stop 9 that. That was a-that was an injustice done. People 10 could have regulated Uber and in 2016 and in the year 11 12 before they allow it. So, whatever we're confronting now, and as I was appointed to this committee I got 13 14 four years unless the-the chairman want to take it 15 away from me, that's his-his prerogative, but we 16 going to work and we're going to do it and we're going to-we came here to work, and those-those in 17 18 Puerto Rico we say [Speaking Spanish]. You know that that means? Whoever-I don't know how you say that in 19 English, but that—that's how to win. That's how to 20 The—the livery drivers are being killed, are 21 2.2 being killed-not killed physically, but killed with 23 the-with penalties, with abuses, with-with-withwith entrapment and all kind of things. They-they-the 24 Yellow has been losing money. They have been from 25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: Okay.

Thank you.

24

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: You—you are in charge 3 of the enforcement?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI:

Enforcement. I'm in charge of the missions, safety missions and enforcement.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: Okay.

It's—so far for calendar year 2018 there have been about 46 summonses issued to the commuter van industry. Our emphasis is on illegal operation, which is why we've conducted so many operations for that, and have so many seizures. In addition, since the beginning of this year we've had 20 arrests of these illegal operators.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: And that you don't know how many of were issued in 2017?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: In 2017 I was here also and again the emphasis was on illegal operation. There were 32 summonses, and I have reported issue to the—to the industry and again we had numerous. In 2017, we had 439 operations targeting illegal operation because that's our focus.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: So, how many of the violations have been dismissed?

came in a little late. I just wanted to real quick,

they can and cannot park?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

if you could give some help. Our districts seem to be having a proliferation and an increase of the passenger vans of 20 and under, and we're getting a lot of calls from residents and folks in the residential neighborhoods of the vans being parked just about everywhere. What are the current guidelines now for under a 20-passenger van or where

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: The parking is basically the same parking that required that applies to any other vehicle. The 20 and under are the ones that we can enforce against for illegal street hails, but the parking and I know that this is not just a problem in your neighborhood. But I know we spoke to Council Member Moya about having similar problems is you're kind of stuck with the residential parking zoning that you have and we often try to work with the local police precinct to let them know that there is, you know, a community problem with these vans and vehicles staying for extended periods of time and taking the parking away from the residents. We tried to do what we call sort of visibility where we with a marked van and marked patrol cars we go around those neighborhoods so that they know that we are in the

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: It is still.

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: As the
3	Commissioner stated, it's very challenging when
4	technically these vans are parked legally. So, what
5	we've done is joined up with in some cases the-well,
6	in Manhattan Traffic Enforcement Agency in the outer
7	boroughs, the precincts, and we're addressing quality
8	of life issues. So, we go out there and if—if
9	they're standing or parked in a no-standing, that's-
10	we'll-we'll write a summons for that. If they're
11	littering we're write a summons for that. If they're
12	a legitimate entity, we can do an inspection and
13	they'll know if they keep parking they're going to
14	keep getting inspected. These are the-these are the
15	means we have now to address. It's an annoyance and
16	a quality of life issue.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, that's the
18	type it is.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: Right.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: It's a quality
21	of life complaint that's growing
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI:
23	[interposing] Right.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --and growing in numbers. So what would a homeowner be able to do at this point? Just call?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: Well, many homeowners call 311, and that—and basically most of our, if not all of our van enforcement is complaint driven. So that's how we know what areas to—to target especially for these types of complaints, and then we go out there and—and we use whatever tools we have available to us, which is traditionally the parking, the littering and—and sometimes the noise.

any conversation of starting the banning of either at certain times of including them in the commercial category so that we'd start to have some enforcement and limitation of where they could be?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: I think that's—I have not participated in that, but I think possibly our External Affairs or Policy Division may be doing that. I know that I've been working also with the Queens Borough President especially around Parsons and Archer because there's a lot of vans laying up in the residential areas before they come

2.2

on out to Jamaica Avenue and such, but I think that I would be very happy to pursue that with them.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah, well, you have the Main Street, and then where the 7-Train ends--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: Uh-hm.

have the Long Island Railroad and one of the community churches. So, in Flushing, Peter Koo and I are just inundated with the passenger vans, and we're just not getting any good answers back to the residents. So, I think it's time that we may have to start thinking about some new legislation.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PENNETTI: We have been successful with DOT getting some signage up in those areas to prevent standing and parking where before there were no signs. So that has alleviated part of the problem, but I don't think that goes far enough into the residential areas, though. So, that's something I'd be happy to look at with DOT and more signage for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you Chair. I do look—do look

forward to meeting when possible, and maybe some

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

further restriction on these passenger vans in residential areas. It's a problem.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council

Member. We also have Council Member Williams.

Council Member I really appreciate and I thank you

for taking time from your campaign to be here taking

care of the people's business.

Thank you for COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: the plug, and thank you very much for chairing this hearing, and my bill in particular. Thank you, Commissioner et al for being here. Just generally speaking obviously transportation is a very big issue in this city. I'm on the record for a lot of it, but I want to make sure I am now. I have been concerned about transportation for a while and the Yellow Taxi issue in particular. I just want to say on the outset they have ignored the Outer Boroughs for a very, very long time, and I think there would have been a lot more energy had that not happened. that said, I always want to say that city government failed and those six drivers that have taken their life I believe is because of the City Council and the Administration that did not put regulations on another industry as they came in, and frankly they

2 should be suing us out of the wazoo for what they-we created. My hope is that with both chairs eventually 3 4 we'll get to some kind of regulation that is fair for 5 everybody who is on the road. I do want to-I know the Chair put forth a bill, and I'm hoping to speak 6 to you about it soon. I have some-some concerns. I'm going to try to figure out what you're going to 8 I have actually had—I got a cabby suspended 9 for six months who refused to pick me up to go where 10 I want to go. So, I just want to make sure if 11 12 there's a way we can address whatever the concerns are with this bill without having some adverse 13 effects. So I'm looking forward to that 14 15 conversation. I do want to focus my attention on 16 925, which is the bill that I am sponsoring within 17 the transportation industry. As with most industries, 18 the little guy always gets the squeeze, and with the commuter van industry we usually come to them when 19 there's an emergency in the city, and then we kind of 20 leave them aside when that emergency is gone. 2.1 2.2 frustrated that in the whole talks of the L-Train, 23 the commuter van industry is not in those 24 conversations even as there are others coming out of the-out of the woodwork who have not provided 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

transportation in this city in those conversations. So, my hope is that they will be picked up in those conversations that we're trying to figure out how we're providing service. I know there is car sharing apps that are being given spaces on the street. Those care sharing apps are going to spend-expend much more bad pollution into the air than the vans who have more capacity. So, I don't understand why they're not in the conversation. I do want to shout out to Hector Richardson (sic) and David Morrison who are the point people for Queens and Brooklyn for the van industry. Thank you for being here. So, Intro 925 gives TLC the ability to exercise enforcement against commuter vans with over 20 seats. I've been riding in vans I guess since I was 13, 14 trying to get to We were living in what was called the twofare zone at that time in Star City. There are integral parts-valuable service in transit deserts in places that are not quite deserts, but are transportation starved like my-like my district and under-served by what is available now with the MTA. In the past I've been proud to work on legislation that helped to both regulate the industry and to weed out bad actors that prevent legitimate owners from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

providing a valuable service. I'm proud to work with the community of drivers as well as with the Council Members who at times have issues with the vans. I've always appreciated their support including Council Member Daneek Miller, and before him Council Member Leroy Comrie. Currently, the Administrative Code only permits TLC enforcement on vans up to 20 seats. This allows bad actors to attempt to skirt TCL enforcement by adding additional seating to their vehicles. This legislation will allow enforcement on such vehicles with-while keeping the legal operational requirement of a maximum of 20 seats. The legislation is not only good for passengers' wellbeing, safety and experience, but will help to support legitimate commuter van operators acting within the law and within good faith. I had a question. Is there any-I know there's some concerns that we're trying to work out with some of the industry. By the way, I've always encouraged union partnership with some of these van drivers and I still do and I appreciate their support after some hesitation of the previous bills that we had and I hope to at some point get the support for this. Is there any reason to believe TLC would interpret Intro

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

925 to allow commuter vans to operate lawfully with
more than 20 seats?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: No, the AD Code provisions that govern our jurisdiction limit us to licensing and regulating for-hire vehicles and they have a cap of 20 seats. What the bill does is gets at this-this one problem of enforcement, which is people are using the 20-seat limit as a way to get out from under our enforcement. There was a case recently that was dismissed by OATH. A driver was caught picking up illegally on Flatbush Avenue. passenger said yeah I paid \$2.00. A summons was issued. We brought it before OATH. The vehicle registration said 20 seats, but he testified that he had 24. Because it was a wheelchair accessible vehicle, he had taken out the space for the wheelchair and put in four extra seats, and so he was able to completely avoid TLC penalty and so OATH dismissed the case. That's the kind of operator we're getting at. I mean they're literally putting in extra seats to avoid TLC enforcement, and those extra seats certainly are not inspected. We don't know the integrity of that and that's a dangerous situation.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Do—do you know what the purpose of the cap for the enforcement was or what the purpose of the law that prevents you from—why was it made in the way it was made? Do you have any idea?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I—I don't know why our jurisdiction in terms of licensing was limited to 20 and below. Ironically, the licensing for buses begins at 15 and above. So, there's some overlap. We can certainly do some digging in the legislative history and follow up with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: How do you intend to use Intro 925 to step up enforcement against illegal vans?

commissioner Joshi: We'll do the enforcement as we normally do, but now we'll have the ability to seize the 20 plus buses that we see very, very regularly when we're out doing our illegal enforcement on some of the busiest corridors in the street, in the city, and now instead of seizing them and being pretty certain that the summons would be dismissed or summonsing and then being pretty certain the summonses would be dismissed. We can summons them or seize them with the authority knowing that we

2.2

will be able to permanently take that illegal bus off the streets.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, right-just right now you literally can do nothing with vans over 20 seats?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Absolutely, and I-I want to stress that operators who put in additional seats simply to avoid enforcement are not only avoiding our enforcement, but they're putting their passengers at jeopardy because we have no idea of the integrity or the safety of those additional seats that are put in after market.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well, thank
you. We—when we passed the bills before, we—we put a
cap on the amount of vans that that could be there.

That cap still has a lot of room. So, people who
want to operate safely with insurance and we—we
encourage them to do so, not to do what they can to
skirt existing loss. I'm always for trying to make
sure everyone is able to eat, but we have to make
sure that the community is safe and protected and,
you know, any—God forbid, one accident could harm a
lot of people and those—the public would have no
protections at all. As well as the van drivers, many

2.2

Thank you.

of whom are here who actually pay all of the money to be inspected, to be regulated, insurance and insured, it's obviously not fair to them. So, I just want to say thank you, Commissioner, personally. You and the TLC have particularly been good on this issue, and my hope is we can get support of this committee and the Council as a whole to help with the enforcement.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: We are joined-we are joined-we have been joined by Council Member Cabrera and now to dismiss the Commissioner, but I don't know if Cabrera has any questions before I dismiss the Commissioner. No questions. Commissioner, thank you for being with us today. We appreciate your—that you're part in this for the hearing. Thank you very much, and now we're going to open for questions in the public. [pause] Okay, we are going to do the public, and I'm going to call four at the time, two minutes and that you are allowed two minute—two minutes each. So, the first one we're going to call Cassandra Perez. I Cassandra Perez here? Cassandra,

testimony representing David Beier, who is the

2	president of the Committee for Taxi Safety an
3	industry group comprised of licensed agents who
4	manage and operate approximately 25% of the Yellow
5	Taxi vehicles. We submit these comments in
6	opposition to Intro 958. CTS opposes the bill because
7	we think there are some unintended negative
8	consequences if passed in its current form including
9	heavier congestion in the Manhattan Central Business
10	Zone, and increase in risk to passenger safety.
11	Allowing licensees of the TLC to have little or no
12	penalty for violating the licenses has been an
13	inescapable problem, which has led to other players
14	totally disregarding any rule and regulation
15	promulgated for the protection of passengers and the
16	ability of licensed drivers to earn a living wage.
17	First, the bill in its current form will deny
18	passengers of the assurance that the vehicle that
19	they hail or call will take them to their intended
20	destination once disclosed. In many instances, this
21	leads not only to geographic discrimination but also
22	discrimination based on an individual's appearance.
23	Second, when drivers act outside of the license in
24	which they and their vehicle are issued, by picking
25	up street hail, the public safety is endangered

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because the vehicle does not carry the commercial insurance for doing street hail work, unintentionally creating a defense for insurance carriers to deny coverage for any injuries that may result in the event of an accident. The proposed adjustments to the fines will lead to greater congestion because if fines are low enough as to be included in the cost of doing business, drivers will seek to spend most of their time in the Central Business Zone abandoning the Outer Boroughs. Additionally, players called Straight Plates will begin operating in the Central Business Zone and at the airports. Third, under current state law Yellow Taxis were given a guarantee that they would be able to operate [bell] within the Manhattan-oh-Central Business Zone and the-and at the airports without any additional competition for street hail work. The current proposed bill would likely reverse that guarantee, and result in greater completion for street hail work from vehicles that are not licensed. Additionally, street hail work in the outer boroughs is the guaranteed domain of Green Taxis. These vehicles have been battling everincreasing competition from for-hire vehicles not licensed to do street hails and straight plates to

of city for unlicensed street hails.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the point where the number of Green Taxis on the road
had diminished from 10,000 deployed to about half
that number today. If anything, the Council should
consider extending higher fines throughout the rese

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay. Thank you very much. The next one Mr. Mazer. Mr. Mazer.

PETER MAZER: Good morning Chairman Diaz and members of the Committee. My name is Peter Mazer and I'm General Counsel to the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade, a trade association representing the owners of approximately 5,700 medallion taxicabs. also operate the MTBOT Drivers' Resource Center, which provides free training and other services to taxicab drivers as well as free legal representation before Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings, the Traffic Violations Bureau, and New York City Criminal Court for taxi related offenses. To date we have represented drivers in more than 5,000 hearings and have saved them at lest three-quarters of a million dollars of legal fees. This morning, I'd like to offer some comments and observations with respect to Intro 958. This bill would substantially reduce fines for a number of offenses that are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

proscribed by the Administrative Code including acceptance of street hail, expired license, livery drivers as well as passenger service refusals, and overcharged by licensed taxicab driver. As an advocate for the driver community, my initial reaction would be to support any bill that would lower fines to our clients. For many of these drivers, fines imposed at Administrative Hearings or as a result of negotiated settlements with the TLC represent a significant financial hardship and often disproportionate to the offense committed. Tax and for-hire drivers are regulated on multiple levels by the Police Department, Criminal Court, other agents such as Park and Sanitation offices, TLC inspectors, and even members of the public who can file consumer complaints. We have a complex system of laws, rules and regulations and overlapping jurisdictions and inconsistent fines. For example, a driver—the driver blocking a lane of traffic could be issued a Criminal Court summons and pay a fine of \$25 to \$50, a parking ticket and pay \$95, a traffic ticket and pay a minimum of \$338 or a TLC OATH summons and pay a minimum of \$200. Depending on where the summonses are adjudicated, the fines will vary drastically.

2 Part of the problem stems from the fact that for some infractions fines are set by the Administrative Code. 3 For other offenses they set by TLC-by the TLC [bell] 4 and fines are-[bell]. Can I just briefly conclude? And the TLC has broad authority to set high fines and 6 even seek license revocation for every single offense. Serious offenses may carry lower fines than 8 less serious ones. If this legislation is enacted 9 into law, the penalty for our passenger refusal or 10 overcharge will be \$100 but a parking offense will be 11 12 \$200 and a minor traffic offense \$300, which are higher than fines faced by other jurisdictions. 13 It's well intended this legislation, and may be a 14 15 significant first step towards reducing the 16 regulatory burden, but it doesn't address the problem 17 of inconsistent penalties, inconsistent fines. We 18 need a top-to-bottom review of all of the agencies that enforce laws and rules against TLC licensed 19 20 drivers and a complete review of the penalty structure for all offenses in all jurisdictions that 21 2.2 regulate this industry with the objective of ensuring 23 equity, fairness, and consistency. Penalties should be proportionate to the offense committed and not be 24 based on where the summons is heard or which law 25

Thank you.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the city.

- 2 enforcement agency issued the summons. The Council has broad authority to set fines. It also has the 3 4 authority to grant or withhold from the TLC authority to set fines for specific rules and we urge the Council to undertake a comprehensive review of all 6 7 fines and penalties set by the Council and the TLC to determine if they are fair and reasonable and 8 determine the legitimate public safety concerns of 9
 - Very much for your support. I like—I like this when you said we need a top-to-bottom review of all the agencies that enforce laws and rules against TLC licensed drivers, and a complete review of the penalty structure for all offenses in all jurisdictions with the objective of ensuring equity, fairness and consistency. That's it. That's a heavy sentence. Thank you very much.

PETER MAZER: Thank you.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Bhairavi Desai I'm the Executive Director of the New York Taxi Workers Alliance Good morning, good morning.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Are you okay today?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 BHAIRAVI DESAI: I am. Yes, I'm doing 3 well today.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: You're good?

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Always good. [laughs] Good morning Chairman Diaz and Council Member Cabrera, my name is Bhairavi Desai I'm the Executive Director of the New York Taxi Workers Alliance. have over 21,000 registered members and we represent only the drivers in this industry, and those who drive across this industry. I'd like to speak specifically on Intro 958. We certainly welcome the opportunity to be able to review the fines. I mean I-Chair, I would just add to what Mr. Mazer just testified to, and say that the fine review needs to be set in a way where the levels, you know, they should be commensurate with driver earnings. It can't-you know, we can't have a situation wherefore, you know, any violation a driver walks out of that hearing and they could be-they could basically be out of two weeks, sometimes three weeks up to four week out of, you know, income because the fine levels are just too high. We also need to end this the situation where you end up paying a monetary fine and could also face a suspension or a revocation. It just

doesn't make any sense, and you're basically keeping
drivers in a debtor's prison because you're expecting
them to pay a fine and meanwhile you've taken away
their livelihood, which is the only thing that would
allow them to be good on that fine. But I do want to
get to the specifics of the Intro as-as it—as it
currently reads. We would not be in favor of
changing the penalties particularly around refusals
and illegal street hail pickups. I mean
particularly, you know, around refusals, I mean the
reality is this is an issue that we have to make
progress on where we have to move forward and no-and
not go backwards and one of the reasons that we think
that the fines need to-in totality need to be re-
evaluated is so that there is more seriousness given
to certain violations particularly violations like
race based refusals. Also around illegal street hail
pickups. I mean there's real progress that's been
made, and I think that, you know, given the other
bills that the Council is considering trying to, you
know, more or less level the playing field, it's
important that those go into effect before we take a
look at the illegal pickup fines in particular.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank. I love when people support the working class, and—and not the—the millionaires. So, thank you for your testimony and I think that Council Member Cabrera has a question for you.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman and thank you so much for holding this important hearing. Regarding Intro 958, which I didn't think we were going to get so much [laughs] support or feedback or—or against.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [off mic] We need the support so that we get it right. (sic)

Absolutely. Just—just let me make a statement first, and then—actually two statements. Number one, what we did in these bills essentially took the model that is being used in Chicago and in Chicago, so far there are not having any particular issues that I know of. If they are, please let me know, that has hurt the end game, which is to—at the end of the day is to control people's behavior, and it would be a positive one in terms of—of the for—hire, but look, willing to look at that particular issue that you just

mentioned, the pickup because I know that's an	
important issue. I know there are so many groups tha	.t
are very concerned about it and flexible, bendable t	.0
make sure that we have something that makes sense fo	r
everyone. I-one of the issues that has come up is	
that that—that was eloquently mentioned was that, yo	ıu
know, some people say, well, you know, it's between	
\$100 and \$400 but, you know, for-hire people are not	
making what they used make. So, when they made thos	е
penalties from \$2,000 to \$10,000, that's when they	
were making a lot more money and so now, you know,	
when we got briefed the Democratic Caucus, we were	
told that the average for-hire is making only	
\$32,000. That's average. So, we're talking about	
there are people who-who are way below that get to	
that average and some that are making more because	
they're putting in tons and tons of hours. So, I-I	
do want it to contextual something that we could loo	k
at year for year since that we're not going to be,	
you know, out of sight, out of mind, and so with	
that, I give it back to the Chairman. Thank you so	
much.	

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Now, we have Mr. Zubin Soleimany.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ZUBIN SOLEIMANY: Good morning Chair Diaz and Committee Members. My name is Zubin Soleimany. I'm a staff attorney with the New York Taxi Workers Alliance, the 21,000 member strong union of drivers of Yellow Cabs, Green Cabs and Black Cars. We appreciate Councilman Cabrera's and this committee's attention to the problem of excessive fine amounts imposed by the TLC and welcome legislation to reduce certain fine amount. However, this current draft of Intro 958 only reduces fine amount for mandatory penalties governed by the Administrative Code for refusals, overcharges and illegal pickups, which should not be disturbed. The vast majority of financial penalties imposed on drivers, however, are currently determined by TLC regulation, and are not defined by any provision of the Administrative Code and it is those fines not the current mandatory penalties for refusal that need to be reigned in. SO, for example what Peter spoke about a \$200 parking violation issued by the TLC that when issued by any officer of the NYPD would only be \$65, right. So, it's absurd that a driver making less than the average New Yorker has to pay a 300% premium on their parking violations. Another example is the TLC will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

routinely ticket drivers with \$1,000 charge for what they call reckless driving, but that is charged whether-it's just simply been a simple traffic violation or even in some cases a non-moving parking violation that they will charge as reckless driving. \$1,000 and 30-day suspension. One member of ours was changed \$350 and faced a potential 30-day suspension for using a nebulizer in his cab to treat his severe If he didn't use it, he would not have been able to breathe. The provision under which that fine was issued is called Willful Acts Against the Public Interest. Now, that provision for drivers carries the same penalty, \$350 and 30-day suspension as for when that charge is brought against an FHV base. So that is [bell] when a driver earning poverty wages is subject to the same financial penalty for the same conduct as \$70 billion multi-national corporation. So, I think that provides some context for how the TLC needs to revisit ensuring that these fines are actually commensurate with the workforce's earnings. So, aside from those penalties that are currently in the AD Code as mandatory penalties, broadly the TWA is proposing a framework for driver fines that would mirror that adopted by city of Chicago, in which the

- 2 maximum fine for any violation would be \$400.
- 3 Additionally, because there's a practice of bundling
- 4 | tickets, we say that the maximum fine for any one
- 5 incident could be \$1,000 and also that the TLC would
- 6 have to stop its practice of fining drivers and also
- 7 suspending their license taking away the ability to
- 8 pay those fines. So--

- 9 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Thank you
- 10 | very much. Thank you very much, ladies and gentle
- 11 | for your participation and you're willing to take the
- 12 | time to come here and—and help us. Thank you very
- 13 | much. Council Member Miller has joined us today. So,
- 14 | now we're going to call on Kristen Johnson, Hector.
- 15 Kristen Johnson. Where's Kristen? Kristen Johnson,
- 16 | Hector B. Ricketts and LeRoy Morrison. Okay.
- 17 | [pause] We're going to start with Kristen Johnson.
- 18 [pause] Go ahead.
- 19 KRISTEN JOHNSON: Okay. Good afternoon
- 20 | Chair Diaz and members of the Committee. My name is
- 21 | Kristen Johnson and I am testifying on behalf of the
- 22 NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, LDF. Thank
- 23 you for the opportunity to testify this morning. At
- 24 a time when this country is becoming increasing aware
- 25 of the racial divide that persists in accessing

2 public accommodations, it's imperative for New York City to make a commitment to equal and fair access 3 for all, and to pit hardworking taxi drivers against 4 black commuter with legislation like Intro 958. 5 strongly urge you to vote no on this bill. LDF's 6 7 work has long recognized that full citizenship for black Americans requires the elimination of 8 discrimination in public spaces. Schools, 9 transportation, public accommodations and the 10 transformation of these spaces to protect the dignity 11 12 of communities of color. The Yellow Taxi is one of the symbols most closely identified with New York 13 14 City, but for many black New Yorkers being unable to 15 hail a taxi has become a symbol of the frustration 16 and indignity of prejudice and marginalization within one's own city. In 2011, the city announced a 17 18 crackdown on drivers who refused to service outer boroughs, a practice with a markedly disproportionate 19 20 effect on people of color, but it is now 2018 and the problem persists. We are also keenly aware of the 21 2.2 substantial burdens facing the industry. 23 drivers are hurting. Competition has drastically increased and the value of taxi medallions has 24 25 plummeted. In recent months five taxi drivers racing

25

2 financial pressures and debt have taken their own lives. At a time when we should-excuse me-at a time 3 4 when we should be uniting to combat racism and 5 economic injustice, legislation like Intro 958 offers a counterproductive solution to a very real problem. 6 7 Intro 958 will not provide sustainable incomes for taxi drivers. It will facilitate discrimination. 8 would lower penalties specifically for violation of 9 refusing to take a passenger to their desired 10 destination. As we learned at the hearing in April, 11 12 and from reading statements in the Taxi Workers Alliance, there are a number of issues making it 13 difficult for taxi drivers to earn a decent living 14 15 today. Fines for discriminating against customers is 16 not among them. Discrimination is not only wrong, it is bad for business. Losing black customers does not 17 18 help. I'll wrap up very quickly. Recent high profile incidents have cast the national spotlight of some of 19 the indignities and dangers faced simply from 20 existing and public who are black. Some private 21 2.2 companies have take great strides to recover from 23 embarrassing and harmful incidents of racial discrimination and to ensure they do not recur. 24

Going forward, we should look to bold innovate

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

Thank you.

solutions that will finally put an end to racial
discrimination in the taxi industry. For now, though
the decision is simple: Say no to a bill. That will
make it easier for people who operate a public
accommodation to deny a basic service in a way that
would have a disproportionate affect on black people.

know that the—those fines for up to \$2,000 are not for refusing to pick up people? Those fines are for picking up people. So, when they—when they put a fine for up \$2,000 they're doing it for those livery drivers and the majority of livery drivers black and Hispanic, and if those livery drivers goes and pick up on 96th Street or on the La Guardia Airport or on Kennedy Airport, and they pick not—they—it's not because they are denying to pick up people. It's because they are picking up people. So, they are up to \$10,000 and so the majority of those fines are for black and Hispanic drivers. Mr. LeRoy—

22 MALE SPEAKER: You can try--

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Leroy Morrison.

MALE SPEAKER: --Hector Ricketts first.

25

2 HECTOR RICKETTS: Good morning Mr. 3 Chairman and members of the committee. My name is Hector Ricketts. I'm the President of the Commuter 4 Van Association of New York. I'm also the President 6 and owner of Community Transportation Systems, which is an authorized commuter van service in Brooklyn and Queens, authorized to operate 53 licensed vans. I 8 must commend Council Member Miller and Williams for 9 their collaboration on Intro 925. For too long 10 illegal operators have circumvented the rules and are 11 12 operating vehicles in excess of 20 passengers simply 13 because the TLC does not have the jurisdiction to 14 enforce. This bill will level the playing field, 15 give the TLC no excuse regarding the proliferation of 16 illegal vans, and in a time when the entirely livery 17 industry is being impacted by technology networking 18 companies, enforcement is needed. The TLC's hands are tied, and this bill will equip them with the tool 19 20 to remove these dangerous vehicles from the streets making our communities safer, and building a 21 2.2 legitimate commuter van service that operates within 23 the law. So, I urge that you pass this bill. On Intro 897, I agree with the intent of the bill, which 24

is to make sure that every licensed van is operated

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

by a licensed driver. However, this bill
discriminates. Commuter vans would be the only
entity required to have a matching drivers for
matching vehicles. Lyft, Uber, Yellow Cabs, Ford
Chariot are not required to have a roster of 100
drivers to 100 vehicles. Commuter vans would be
only—would be the only vehicles to do this. There
are laws on the books already that the TLC and the
NYPD can enforce to make sure that a van is operated
by a licensed operator. Any preliminary enforcement
could result in the issuing of a violation for having
no 19-A Safety Certification, No CDL license, no TLC
hat license. So, there are laws on the book. The
problem is that this city has not employed a no
tolerance approach to enforcement when it comes to
illegal vans. So, there are laws on the books. This
law is not practical and it would never be practical
in this implementation.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

HECTOR RICKETTS: So, I ask that you reject that bill.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Okay, let me give you one-one-one more minute.

HECTOR RICKETTS: Okay.

1 COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

propose?

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay, go ahead.
HECTOR RICKETTS: Maybe you'll regret
that. [laughs] On 985, I believe that penalties
should be significant enough to be a deterrent. So,
I ask that you not change this law, but you look at
the disadvantage that the livery industry and the
Yellow Cabs are suffering because of the influx of
those millionaires with their big money and their
technology. Uber, Lyft
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] So what-
HECTOR RICKETTS:all of those things
are putting our
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] So what
should
HECTOR RICKETTS:livery industry at a
disadvantage.
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposing] So what
should
HECTOR RICKETTS: That's where the focus
ought to be to level the playing field.
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay, thank you. So,
why should we punish the livery when the big-more
people are making the money? So, what do you

morning.

2 LEROY MORRISON: -- thanks to you, the I want to say thinks to the Committee, and I 3 chair. 4 want to say thanks to Council Member Daneek and 5 Jumaane to pass several package of bills for commuter 6 vans across the boroughs, and what we're saying here-7 -My name is Leroy Morrison and I'm also the Vice President of the Commuter Van Association of New 8 York, but I'm speaking on behalf of Alexis Van Lines. 9 I'm also the CEO for Alexis Van Lines. We've been 10 around for over 30 years. When the city is in crisis 11 12 they call us. After the crisis is over, they treat us like underdogs. So, we don't want to feel like 13 14 we're underdogs. We want to come out of the shadow 15 into the light now and that's what we want to do now. 16 So, with Council Member Daneek Miller here been doing 17 so many great legislation. Today is the only day I'm 18 going to oppose the bill that he's doing the 897. Otherwise, we have billionaires that come in here 19 20 like Ford Chariot and all these big companies. wasn't for Council Member Daneek Miller to put the 21 2.2 cap on it, it would end up just Uber and Lyft and 23 destroy our industry, and our community here is not everyone have money to take Uber and Lyft and VIA and 24 all these big companies. So, Mr. Chair, there's a 25

2 lot of stuff that we need you to look at also with New York City DOT. Let's not overlook them because 3 4 there are certain places that commuter vans run right 5 now where they're putting Zip Cars and they're 6 putting in Enterprise Cars. We applied for a 7 license to expand our commuter van service and they're treating us like we're nobody, we're unfairly 8 treated. So, with the Intro 897, I explained to Mr. 9 Council Member Daneek Miller that we should try to do 10 an amendment because there's no transportation in New 11 12 York and New York City that have 100 drivers. 13 have to buy 100 vehicles and then get 100 drivers to 14 go with them. Drivers come and go. Some of our 15 drivers they become MTA drivers so we still have to 16 go there and look for drivers to put behind the wheel 17 of these vehicles. So, we're trying to look at it 18 and the Intro 925 we're in support of that because that's what's going on now. A lot of Pennsylvania 19 20 buses. These buses are bigger that MTA buses, and everybody is buying these buses because it's a 21 2.2 loophole to jump through the loophole, and our buses 23 have to go to a fairly New York State DOT Safety 24 every six months and every month to maintain. We're 25 asking you to please-the 925 will be something that

LEROY MORRISON: --in New York City.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, there is no more witnesses. I thank all of you for allowing us to conduct this hearing for supporting the hearing with your presence, and we will be—Council Member Miller, do you want to say something before we go.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yes, if—if I may, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so So, in regards to the legislation, this is somuch. it is a pleasure to-to Council Member Diaz chairing this committee because we are really touching on issues of transportation in our community that have not been done at this level. Transportation is the great equalizer no matter what it is, but we want to make-and-and if you don't have it our communities suffer. We want to make sure that—that it is safe, it is affordable, it is accessible and-and quite frankly we-everybody-these are the like-minded folks that are in this room her together today. legislation simply attempts to ensure that we have licensed, certified operators for the vehicles. Not one for every vehicle per se, but if you look at the-

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

the disparities in the number, 460 or whatever
registered vehicle operating and—and 200 and change
certified operators, we want to make sure that there
are certified operators behind wheels, and most of
all it does down come down to enforcement at so many
different levels. You know what, we-we probably
don't need any new legislation for anything. We need
to enforce what's already on the books, and that's
just not as it pertains to transportation, but we do
a lot of that. We need more education and more
enforcement and we need legislation in these terms.
You know, for many years we had sat on different
sides of how we provide transportation in our
community, but we have come together to kind of
figure out what that means. Here's-I do have one
concern about-was it 897 and-and that is are we then-
do we have the authority to authority to license
buses and if we do have the license and authority,
what does the DOT or whomever, or is this the wave of
the future that we are—do you guys plan on operating
buses?

LEROY MORRISON: Yes. I can answer that question, Council Member--

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Uh-hm.

of course because we're close.

2	LEROY MORRISON: We have a state bill
3	right now in the state now where they're planning to
4	make sure. We're trying to actually bring our
5	business so we can be part-partner with the MTA.(sic)
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing] I'm
7	asking and my question is do you plan to operate 25
8	passenger
9	LEROY MORRISON: [interposing] Yes sir.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:size buses.
11	LEROY MORRISON: Yes sir. We plan to go
12	at least 24 passenger or 25 passengers in the near
13	future, sir.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay.
15	LEROY MORRISON: Instead of just 20. That
16	way we can eliminate five cars off the street for,
17	you know, what-the congestion, sir.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. Alright, I-
19	I think for the record, the MTA opposed that
20	competition.
21	LEROY MORRISON: Okay, so we leave it at
22	24, sir [laughter] because there is that MTA guy, but
23	one day we're going to work with the MTA as partners

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, sir. Okay.
3	Thank you. So, I'd day and—and again, Mr. Chair,
4	thank you so much for your leadership because
5	otherwise we would not have this conversation of the
6	way that it impacts our community.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [off mic] Thank you also to Council Member [pause] [on mic] Thank you to Council Member Miller, Council Member Cabrera,

Council Member Rodriguez, Council Member Borelli,

Council Member Williams, Council Member Vallone,

Council Member Moya, Council Member Constantinides

who were here today present in this meeting, and

thank you to all of you for attending. Ladies and

gentlemen, the meeting is [gavel] adjourned. Thank

you.

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 30, 2018