CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

AUGUST 8, 2017 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 4:10 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Daniel R. Garodnick

James Vacca

Margaret S. Chin Stephen T. Levin Deborah L. Rose James G. Van Bramer

David G. Greenfield Costa G. Constantinides

Carlos Menchaca I. Daneek Miller Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Veronique 'Ronnie' Hakim, Managing Director Metropolitan Transportation Authority, MTA

Doug Johnson, Director, Management and Budget Metropolitan Transportation Authority, MTA

Tim Mulligan, Executive Vice President New York City Transit

Polly Trottenberg, Commissioner NYC Department of Transportation

Dean Fuleihan, Director
NYC Office of Management and Budget

Michael Gianaris, New York State Senator New York State 12th Senate District

Daniel J. O'Donnell, New York State Assemblyman 69th District, Manhattan

Scott Stringer, Comptroller Office of the NYC Comptroller

Preston Niblack
Deputy Comptroller for Budgets
Office of the NYC Comptroller

David Jones, President Community Service Society City Representative, MTA Board

Carl Konig

Matthew Smith, Chief Engineer Con Edison

Kyle Kimble, Vice President
Government Relations
Con Edison

Bill Henderson, Executive Director Citizens Advisory Committee to the MTA

Liz Prentiss, Vice President
Public Legislation for Disabled Action

Michael Schu, Resident Bensonhurst, Brooklyn

Jason Anthony Pinot Transit Advocate

2.2

[sound check, pause] [gavel]

everyone especially to our representative from the MTA, running the whole team. Thank you for being here. My name is Ydanis Rodriguez, the Chair of the Committee. I want to recognize my colleagues who are here: Council Members Vacca, Lancman, Grodenchik, the Public Advocate and Lander and, of course, like our Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito. Before we begin, I would like to invite our Speaker, the leader of the Council Melissa Mark Viverito to deliver her opening remarks.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Good morning and thank you Chair Rodriguez and thank you for your leadership on this issue. As indicated, I'm Council Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito, and I want to thank everyone that is here today as we take a hard look at the issues plaguing our subway system and discuss options for moving forward. New York City subways serve six million riders on a daily basis. In the past few months the system has experienced numerous power failures, equipment malfunctions and derailments. These incidents are becoming far too common to be accepted as the new status quo. Riders

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

should not have to worry about being late for a job or a doctor's appointment or picking their child up from daycare because of the subway. They should not have to worry about whether they're going to make it to their destination safely every time they swipe their Metro Card. New York City is one of the greatest cities in the world because of our subway system, and we must take bold steps to protect this vital asset. The city, state region and indeed the country depend on it. Well, I'm very disappointed that Chairman Lhota is not here himself despite two personal requests especially considering the significant amount of money he has asked the city to contributed to the MTA's plan. I look forward to Managing Director Hakim's testimony about how the MTA plans to stabilize, modernize, and improve the system in both the short and long term. So, thank you for being here.

The MTA's Action Plan calls on the city and state to invest an additional \$456 million in operating dollars and \$380 million in capital dollars toward immediate fixes to stabilize the system, and an additional \$8 billion for long-term capital projects to modernize the system. While it is

important thatthat the stateyou know that the state
says it's going to step up its investment in our
transit system, which is vital to the entire region,
let's no discount the billions of dollars the city is
providing to the MTA as well. Specifically, \$1
billion in operating costs in 2017 including reduced
fares, Access-A-Ride, MTA Bus Company lines and
Commuter Rail Station maintenance. \$2.5 billion
towards the most recently capital plan. That's an
increase of \$400 million a year under this
Administration and obviously in consultation with
this Council. \$613 million on subway related
expenses including debt service and Transit Police
expenses. \$3.6 billion in taxes from city residents
and businesses. Approximately two-thirds of the
states' \$5.4 billion annual operating contribution to
the MTA. Again, two-thirds of the state's operating
contribution to the MTA comes from New York City
residents and the taxes that they pay, and let's not
forget the \$5.2 billion paid each year in fares and
tolls by New York City residents. So, clearly
because New York—New York City is contributing
towards the MTA.

Now, I know the Mayor has taken a
position on the MTA's request for funding, but let's
be clear to everyone in this room that the Council
also must approve any additional city spending.
Therefore, the importance of this hearing since a
request is being made for additional contribution by
the City of New York and before we can consider
investing any additional money, we need to know what
we're going to be paying for. We want a complete
breakdown of how the MTA plans to spend and invest
this money. We also want to know how the MTA
prioritizes its capital projects. For example the
signal system constitutes the largest most immediate
need of the system, but the MTA has failed to fund
signal upgrades at levels recommended in its own 20-
year Needs Assessment. We need to understand why
priorities seems to have shifted especially in the
most recent Capital Plan Amendment away from
priorities directly tied to service reliability like
signals and train cars in favor of other things like
station renovations, and to what extent those
priorities can be recalibrated. We also need to know
why transit projects in New York City such as the
Second Avenue Subway, which constituents in my

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

district have been waiting decades for costs twice as much and take twice as long as they do in other global cities, and we want to hear more about how all of these actions-how all of the actions in the MTA's plan will impact New Yorkers. Will there be more expensive line shutdowns? Will the effort to combat littering focus first on the public awareness campaign, the floor enforcement in order to minimize people needlessly getting caught up in the justice Today, we expect the MTA to answer these and other questions. We expect honest and transparency and the MTA'S full cooperation at this hearing, and then follow-up conversations. I am supportive of proposals to find long-term revenue stream to fund the MTA such as congestion pricing, a surcharge on for-hire vehicle trips, a commute tax, a millionaire's tax and value capture, but we need solutions now and not next year. So, I'm open to discussing all options on the table with our partners in government. I hope today's hearing will help us better understand the challenges facing our subway system, and get us on the track to finding solutions to them. The riders cannot wait any longer to see real change.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Again, I want to thank Transportation

Chair Ydanis Rodriguez who has been incredible—has

demonstrated incredible leadership on this issue, and

I definitely look forward to hearing the testimony

today. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Speaker. Last week I was joined by my counterpart in the Assemblyman Jeff Dinowitz who also chairs the Committee or Corporation that oversight the MTA from the two approaches in New York City so we are assisting to hear directly from riders. I've been taking the train since the '80s and the '90s when the one didn't have air condition or heat. However, being able to expand 24 hours and stop at 149th and Third Avenue where the 205 make the stop, and that station that has one of the third (sic) volume in the city. It's not clean enough. It's not safe enough. It's one of those trains that we have, but also being able to go to Coney Island when N train to get there we have to wait half an hour like five minutes before because they have to do better planning. So, those were the experience-some of the experience that we-Assembly Member Jeff Dinowitz and other electeds. So, our City Comptroller Scott Stringer, Public

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Advocate Tish James, Manhattan Borough President and many of my colleagues including the Speaker we are able to have when we interact with riders. We made a stop in each borough and were joined by many elected officials along the way. At one point in the street we were stuck for half an hour waiting to get to Coney Island on the N Line. This disrupted our schedule and caused us to cut short stops later in the tour. While less a concern for us, this is part of the reality what riders face every day in our city except for them the consequences are real: Missed appointments, miss-misses appointments, punishment at school or work, getting there late to pick up the kids and more. Riders rely on the subways to get where they need to go on time, and over the past few months, the subways have been failing to them to six million people who take the train every day in New York City. Even putting aside the most alarming moments over the past few months, the train derailments, track fires, power outages, and subway tunnels and gates services is deteriorating. Delays have jumped from 28,000 per month in 2012 to over 70,000 per month this year. On-time performance measuring how often trains arrive within five minutes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of their scheduled time has fallen from 85.4% in 2011 to 56.8 in 2016. That's unacceptable. In June the Governor himself declared a state of emergency for the subway system, and the MTA has responded with a detailed action plan to stabilize-stabilize the system, improve service and to modernize, and modernize many aspects of the subway separation involves the short and long-term. Our subway system is absolutely crucial to the economy and vitality of our city not only for our working class and our middle-class, but also for the wealthy New Yorkers. Without reliable subways, it is simply impossible for New York to remain the leading global city it has long been in an increasingly competitive world. stakes are not only our city's economy, but for the state, region and the entire country are enormous. We know we did not get here overnight. Many of the subway's problems have roots in underinvestment and neglect going back decades, but we also know that we came back before. We have come back before. resurgency of the subway system following the dark days of the 1970s and the 1980s is one of the great New York stories. It didn't happen by accident. took hard work, smart planning and a real commitment

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to investing in the system as well as leadership not only from the MTA and elected leaders, but from the business community, and everyday New Yorkers as well. That's why we need again collaboration and leadership to make the hard choices the subway system needs to thrive. Of course, a large part of the of the story is money. Not just for short-term fixes, but for the long-term need for the future. It is essential that we find new sustainable sources of revenue to allow us to invest in the system to standard we need-to the standard we need-we need to especially as we quickly approach the time for developing the next five years capital. We have several options already on the table, and I believe that all of them-that all should be considered. I share many of them-I share some of them with you, with some of you outside, but here we have many choices of raising revenue that we've been discussing in the last couple of days and couple of This is only about one choice. This is about weeks. raising \$27 billion in the next ten year for the MTA. But, of course, it is not just about raising more revenue. It is also about making sure that the MTA spends the enormous amounts of money it does have intelligently, quickly and efficiently. They need to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

control the costs. We've seen capital projects go over budget and past delivery time, projects vital to modernizing. The system failed to have widespread competition for procurement. Subway expansion costs billions more than in other cities' comparable systems. We are here today to get to the bottom of this issues because if we can find a way to get this project done faster and cheaper, we can free up resources to modernize the system-system and make the expansion necessary to keep up with our growing city. At the same time we must prioritize the projects most essential to the core functions of the system. This means new train cars and new signal-signals. Signals, which by the way we simply cannot wait by 2045 as fitting in the schedule. I will be 80 years old if we follow that schedule. I would love to be 50 years old when we can say that we agree with all the signal system. There are other things we need to hear more about is how we are going to start seeing things done differently at the MTA. The status quo is simply no longer acceptable. Changes such as relying less on generic automatic announcements are a good first step toward rebuilding trust, and confidence among riders, but we, of course expect a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lot more. The MTA needs to rethink established ways of doing things in every area from track, signals and car maintenance to incident response and customer communication. Through it all, we must not lose focus on the riders who the system is there to support. The conversation I had with these hard working New Yorkers from all backgrounds was an important reminder of who this system served or who we as public officials, they're-they are demanding leadership. They are demanding accountability and they are demanding improvements now. It is up to all for-it-it is all-it is up to all of us to cut through the arguing and focus only on what must be done not only to revitalize our system, but to take it to the 21st Century. Our riders deserve nothing less. I would like to thank Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for her leadership, support and partnership in holding this hearing, and I would especially like to thank all the advocates especially Riders Alliance, volunteers and riders who have raised their voices and put forward their ideas for how to make our subway system better. We look forward to hearing from many of you today, and I would like to welcome you Executive Director Hakim and the other

2.2

2.3

2	representatives of the MTA who are here with us
3	today. Thank you for being here. I now ask the
4	committee counsel to administer the affirmation, and
5	then you are invited to deliver your testimony, and
6	before that, I would like to recognize Council
7	Members Chin, Rose, Gibson, Rosenthal, Garodnick and
8	Menchaca and a special welcome to Senator Kaminsky
9	from Nassau County. Thank you for being here.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

RONNIE HAKIM: [off mic] I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. You may proceed.

RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you. Good morning

Speaker Mark-Viverito, Chairman Rodriguez and members

of the City Council. I am Ronnie Hakim Managing

Director of the Metropolitan Transportation

Authority. Joining me today on my left are Doug

Johnson, the MTA's Director of Management and Budget,

and on my right Mr. Tim Mulligan, New York City

Transit's Executive Vice President. You have asked

us to testify at this morning's City Council 2 3 oversight hearing on improving the New York City 4 subway system. Let me take a moment to provide you with context in which to consider this critical topic. The New York City Subway runs more than 8,000 6 7 trains on an average day to serve its nearly six 8 million daily customers. Ours is the only big city subway on the globe that operates its entire system around the clock. The system runs across 24 lines 10 11 and 665 miles of track, more track than any other 12 subway system in the United States. The subway 13 network include 472 stations, more stations than any 14 other system in the world. A less positive 15 distinction, this complex sprawling workhouse of a 16 subway is 113 years old making it among the oldest in 17 the world. The average track in this system was laid 18 more than 40 years ago. Nearly 40% of our signal 19 equipment is more than half a century old. 20 it does consist of World War II Era signaling and 21 communications equipment, and as Joe Lhota, our new 2.2 Chairman and CEO has said, we agree with you and with 2.3 our customers that that system is not meeting the daily needs of our customers, and we must do better, 24 25 much better and as quickly as possible. Most

2.2

2.3

observes agree that the problems have been growing and approaching for years because of these factors:

Record numbers of customers, old and aging infrastructure, and too many years of chronic underinvestment in maintaining this system including the parts of it that are most critical to reliable service. Recognizing the critical state of the system, Governor Andrew Cuomo declared a state of emergency that allows us to cut through red tape and speed processes to improve the subway. He also gave us 30 days to review the primary maintenance challenges of the system, and provide a plan to stabilize and then modernize it so that our customers can once again ride with confidence.

On July 25, Chairman Lhota issued a Subway Action Plan. The Action Plan marks the beginning of a new chapter for the MTA. It outlines the specific actions that we are going to be taking, some immediately to stabilize and improve subway service for our customers in the short term and it also lays the foundation for the modernization of the system in the long term. The initial phase of the plan has already begin—begun. It includes an array of fixes that will be delivered within the coming

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It attacks the causes of the nearly 80% of the major incidents that delay our trains: Signal, track and power issues, which alone cause 50-cause 54% of the major incidents as well as water related damage and corrosion, track fires, subway car breakdown, police activity, and our station environment. There are five components of the first phase of this plan: signal and track maintenance; improving subway car reliability; system safety and cleanliness; improving customer communications; and critical operations management. I'm not going to list them all but they are all available as well as details on our website www.mta.info. This plan is comprehensive. It includes over 30 different tactics for improving our service and reliability. This plan is detailed and genuine. All these tactics include specific efforts that will be necessary to restore the reliability of service, real work done by real employees, employees who will in many cases still need to be hired, and work processes that will be reinvented, accelerated or both. And this plan will come at a cost, but let me described selected elements of the plan.

Signal and Track Maintenance in Phase 1: Signal issues cause nearly 30% of major incidents in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the subway system. Under the plan, dedicated teams will conduct and expedited repair program to fix 1,300 signals throughout the system that are most problematic. Water on the track erodes the infrastructure over time, and is a significant cause of track incidents that create delays. So, we are launching an Emergency Water Management Initiative to seal leaks with chemical grouting, clean 40,000 grates to ensure proper water diversion, and eliminate an debris that are clogging drains. cleaning the entire underground subway system to remove debris that can potentially contribute to track fires. We will be accelerating the repair of track sections with potential problems across the entire underground system by dispatching teams to target locations with the highest rate of incidents. We are tripling the installation rate of continuous welded rail and increasing track welding capacity by 30%. We are also installing 50,000 new friction pads between track rails and plates, which will increase rail resiliency and reduce track breaks that contribute to service delays. We are also tripling our combined action team, which are able to respond to a range of track, power or signal issues without

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

requiring additional support, and with the aim of cutting average response times from 45 minutes to 15 minutes. Electric power runs our trains, and the power support is a problem. Power issues have caused thousands of trains to be delayed in the past year. We have obtained a commitment from the State Public Service Commission to work on improving power signals with Con Edison throughout the system. Pursuant to an order from the PSC, Con Ed has agreed to take immediate action to help prevent power related delays on the subway system. Con Ed will dedicate personnel to perform inspections of all equipment, and help install redundant electric supplies for the MTA. We will be creating a stockpile of generators or other technologies such as batters to provide back-up power at subway stations. By year's end, Con Ed has a plan to replace all aluminum cabling serving the MTA signal system at 50 critical stations we've identified and replacing them with copper.

Moving to Subway Car Reliability: Subway capacity is a challenge, and sometimes capacity depends on reliability. Mechanical issues and defects on subway cars often prohibit us from using our entire fleet, which cuts into capacity and can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

contributed to overcrowding, the largest single cause of delays. So, we are expanding our capacity to overhaul subway cars from 950 cars a year to over 1,100 per year. This 15% increase using in-house forces and productivity will increase reliability by keeping more overhauled and repaired cars in service. For quicker on-location repairs, we are prepositioning 20 emergency subway car response teams at 12 locations along with the support of needed mobile trucks. We are also maximizing our in-house shop capacity with the addition of a third work shift to operate subway car repair and maintenance shops around the clock seven days a week. As 40% of car breakdowns are caused by door malfunctions, the enhanced maintenance effort will prioritize the inspection and repair of doors with the goal of addressing every car door system within the year. To increase customer capacity, we will add cars to trains online such as the C where the platforms are long enough to accommodate longer trains. We will also introduce a pilot program on the Times Square Shuttle and the L-Line to test the removal of certain seats in some cars to increase passenger capacity by 25 riders per car. We are including interior

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

upgrades as part of our maintenance cycle in ways 2 3 that will improve the customer experience on board. 4 In terms of system safety and station cleanliness, we are increasing the frequency of station cleaning by 30% from every six weeks to every four weeks to 6 7 improve the customer experience in our stations. We 8 will target priority stations for deep cleaning, repainting and tile repair as well as facilitate timelier elevator and escalator repairs to increase 10 11 subway accessibility. We are calling on the NYPD to 12 work with us, and increase its police presence in stations as well as to enforce laws that deter 13 14 illegal activity such as harassment, sexually 15 inappropriate behavior, aggressive panhandling and 16 littering. We are also launching a littering 17 awareness campaign to educate customers on the 18 consequences of littering, which contributes to 700 19 fire related delays every year. To address the issue 20 of sick customers, which caused more than 34,000 21 train delays last year, we are more than doubling the number of stations with dedicated EMTs from five to 2.2 2.3 12 in an effort to reduce emergency response time and delays, and we will also be working with and 24 coordinating with the FDNY and EMS groups for best-25

2 for the best possible coverage within the system. 3 Customer communications and improvements in 4 communications are vital. We are working to provide more timely and reliable information to our riders during incidents that may impact their trips or when 6 we schedule track work that could affect their travel 8 and when they are headed in or heading for the station. We are overhauling our digital and online tools to provide clear and more detailed information 10 11 including what will be new integrated MTA app that 12 will roll out by the end of the year, and we will 13 provide our data on an open protocol basis so that 14 app developers can integrate our data into their own 15 innovative solutions for the benefit of our 16 customers. We will be deploying MTA customer 17 representatives at high traffic stations to provide 18 real time quidance and assistance to riders as they 19 We are introducing Clear Our Station signage 20 and retraining employees to improve the way we 21 communicate service changes and alternative travel 2.2 options to customers, and we are accelerating the 2.3 system wide completion of countdown clocks in the entire subway system. In terms of operational 24 25 management, we are rebuilding the management and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

operations organizational structures to ensure faster and more effective problem solving and decision making. We are also bringing key decision makers together as a group to monitor incidents in real time and to more rapidly dispatch resources needed to resolve issues. We will outline Phase of the New York City Subway Action Plan dealing with the modernization of the system in the coming weeks. Ιt will incorporate innovative ideas from the Genius Challenge, and address long-term and system wide improvements including in the areas of signaling, new subway cars, and communications technology. actions are all real work, added work, more work than we do or are capable of doing within our current budget, equipment or manpower. To deliver this full plan will require the deployment of over 2,700 additional personnel in our Subways Division as well as specialized equipment needed to repair critical components, improve stations, increase public safety and deliver timely and effective communications. deliver this plan, we will need to invest in employee training, and we will need to streamline our procurement processes so that dozens of major capital improvements can be on time and on budget.

2.2

2.3

our customers can monitor the MTA's progress, and we can be held fully accountable, we will launch a public dashboard to measure categories important to customers including reliability, safety and the customers' experience. A real plan, real work by real workers sometime using new and state of the art equipment, and it does come with costs. To execute this plan, to do this work as well and as quickly as we can, to get our subway system back on track, will require money. We estimate that this effort, this Phase 1 to stabilize the system and deliver early improvement and reliability in service will require an immediate investment of \$456 million added to the current Operating Budget of the MTA, and an additional \$380 million in capital expenses.

Phase 2 of the plan for the long-term modernization of the system will require an additional investment, perhaps of \$8 billion. I will be happy to answer your questions, but allow me to close with this: This is a critical moment for our city's subway system, and the MTA is confronting this challenge aggressively and realistically. We are doing our due diligence to review all of the needs, assesse opportunities for short-term improvements in

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

putting this plan together. Governor Cuomo has pledges to cover half of the Phase 1 cost. week, your members and other elected officials demonstrated leadership in transportation, riding the subways and doing your own due diligence, examining conditions and speaking to riders about your concerns-about their concerns. You have seen and experienced the conditions. You've heard from your constituents, our customers, and now you've heard the plan. Now, we need your help. You recognize the critical role that subway system plays for our six million of your constituents everyday, and the very real challenge that we face. This reality based recovery program of essential repair work is truly an investment in the city's future, and we're asking for your help to ensure that it is jointed funded between the city and the state as Chairman Lhota has proposed. Speaker Mark-Viverito and Chairman Rodriguez and members, thank you for providing the MTA with this opportunity to share with you our Subway Action Plan today. We will be happy to answer any questions that you may have. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you so much, Ms. Hakim for your testimony, but I have your

you refute any of those figures?

2	closing it's really hard to sit here as a leader of
3	this legislative body, which deliberates and is very
4	responsible in the work that we do. I understand the
5	MTA is a create of the state, and therefore you
6	respond to the Governor, but to make it seem that the
7	Governor is being so magnanimous and that the City is
8	rejecting its responsibility, I'm not going to sit
9	here and accept that. I would like you to take a
10	look at that slide again. Do you refute the amount
11	of money that City of New York gives to the MTA? Do

DOUG JOHNSON: Yes, certainly, the—the \$300--\$3.6 billion in taxes from New York City residents, which is stated as two-thirds of the state's \$5.4 billion. That's—that's just not the way the money was legislated. Those are monies that come from New York State appropriated taxes directly to the MTA period.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay.

 $\label{eq:def_DOUG_JOHNSON:} \quad \text{But there's some of those}$ of taxes that are generated.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I'm sorry, repeat that. I can't hear you very well.

2	DOUG JOHNSON: Okay, so the-the taxes
3	that come from New York State in the 2017 Budget are
4	\$4.9 billion versus New York City of \$1.9 billion.
5	When Iwhen we say New York State, those are New York
6	State appropriated taxes that are collected
7	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing] But
8	that-but those state
9	DOUG JOHNSON:we receive those monies.
10	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO:those taxes
11	come from New York City residents.
12	DOUG JOHNSON: Well, there's the taxes
13	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing] Yes
14	or no?
15	DOUG JOHNSON:come from New York City
16	and other residents within the 12 county region and
17	the New York State.
18	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: But the majority
19	of that comes from New York City residents.
20	DOUG JOHNSON: Well, there are monies
21	that
22	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing] It
23	may be appropriated by the state, but it's coming
24	from the City.

2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So, you don't refute it?

DOUG JOHNSON: We refute it.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, you said no, but go ahead so then explain it in your way.

(sic)

DOUG JOHNSON: Okay. So of that \$415-\$46- \$56 million a lot of those monies were instead
given to the MTA for capital purposes, which allowed
us—the us being the MTA—to then not make certain
PAYGO contributions that we had intended. So, in
terms of the net effect to the MTA, the total net
effect over that time period was a \$162 million loss
to the operating budget.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: You know, I find it fascinating that he's saying that and now your request in your emergency investment from the City an additional contribution of \$456 million. So, I probably, you know, would—I would love to get your when you're refuting—

DOUG JOHNSON: Yes.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: --understand your logic and see if--

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

DOUG JOHNSON: [interposing] We would be happy--

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: --we-if our staff in analyzing it agree. I have a feeling we won't agree on that issue. So, again the idea that somehow the city of New York is not contributing or doing its share I think is not only an insult to us who is having this hearing, but to taxpayers who are paying the taxes in New York City and that—that money is going towards the MTA to maintain the system, which is so important and vital. So, I-I just want to make that very clear. We are not advocating our responsibility, and we have not. So, let's-let's stand by that. The other-just additional questions on the staffing because my understanding is that currently MTA has not hired all the employees that are planned for in the budget in several key areas including signal retainers, subway infrastructure, repair workers. Is that true or not?

DOUG JOHNSON: Well, um, of course, we always have vacancies. There's a vacancy assumption in every budget. As you add—as you add staffing to address certain situations, and especially if you

2.2

2.3

2 increase, it's going to put additional pressure on 3 human resources to get those positions.

RONNIE HAKIM: So one of our—one of our key initiatives here that is not necessarily visible from the Subway Action Plan itself is to streamline our onboarding process, our HR process because we recognize that that has been a bottleneck in the past, and there are vacancies, and they're in critical places. You mentioned signal maintainers. That's absolutely correct, and we need to bring on more resources working closely with the TWU as our partner in how we recruit into these important positions.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right because I mean your 2,700 is an incredible jump. So, the capacity to bring people on board, to do the training, what is the total number of staff and the MTA and the subway system?

RONNIE HAKIM: It's about 40, 48,000 people.

DOUG JOHNSON: Yeah.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, so then in terms of expanding quite considerably the—the capacity to do the train, how do you plan to do this?

What's the timing on bringing on the 2,700 workers and the training process?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, one of the key issues is this funding question because in order to bring on additional staff beyond just filling vacancies-
SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing]

Right.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RONNIE HAKIM: --we would need to know that we could sustain this going forward because unlike a capital expense, which is a commitment that you make once, and then you-you have the benefit of the ongoing project and the benefits. Here we're talking about adding to the operating budget in order to sustain the level of fund-of-of maintenance. we saw occur was in the financial collapse in the 2007-2008 time period and our customers and your constituents know that we made difficult choices in those years. We-we cut service. We also extended maintenance periods. We didn't include-fill all of those vacancies in the maintenance realm, and so what happened as the economy improved and things got better, we started putting services back, but what we didn't do was increase the level of maintenance, and so right now we're playing catchup. This is

absolutely about stabilizing the system through good
solid maintenance practices. There is nothing fancy
in this Subway Action Recovery Plan. This is about
getting back to basics and stabilizing the system,
and we need to hire people to help us do that in
addition to redeploying our existing resources as
wisely as possible.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So, then what would be the timing for bringing the 2,700 on board not only hiring them, but having them fully trained and able to get to work. What's that timeline you're seeing with that?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, if we know that we're going to have the funding available to us, we can start right away.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right, but whatby when would you expect to have the 2,700 on the ground working?

RONNIE HAKIM: Within--

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing]
Would you—I mean are you phasing it? You could have phase?

RONNIE HAKIM: It would be overtime. So, you know, a realistic assessment would have over 600

2.2

2.3

and maybe 700 people hired and on-boarded this year, put them into training. We would be expediting our training process as well. Um, but again, we would need to know that we would have the operating budget for it going forward.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, so then you're saying—in the—in the \$456 million that is being requested, um, you're asking us to split the cost with the state for the first year, but then how do you plan to cover those costs in the years moving forward?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, the idea would be to phase the plan in over time, get as much work done in the first year, year and a half to be able to stabilize the system and create hopefully new funding sources and new revenue sources for the MTA to support those operating expenses.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: What funding sources does the MTA support long term?

RONNIE HAKIM: A lot of things are being considered. My role as the Managing Director is really not a political one. It's more about the operations, and developing these programs and being able to deliver with—with efficiencies in the near

2.2

2.3

term. There will be overtime implications in the near term as well. We recognize that. We're going to be working with our labor partners to be able to manage this program as we kick off. You know, everybody is interested in getting this done. I think that what you have appreciated by listening to our customers and your constituents there's another part of our constituents, which are our employees, and this had been a very challenging time period for them. They've worked through storms. They've worked through bad press. They just consistently have been able to work with us, and they're going to be a big part of the solution going forward.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: But, I mean as—as part of the long-term plan of the MTA in terms of viability and sustainability moving forward and—and is supporting possibly long-term additional revenue streams. I'm sure there has been thought in terms of whether supporting a conditioned pricing plan. There has been discussions for years about a millionaire's tax, um or other options. What—what conversations have been happening internally about supporting additional long-term additional revenue streams?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RONNIE HAKIM: Some of these revenues streams are just staring to be discussed because the Action Plan was recently announced. Clearly anybody in transportation would support reliable dedicated funding sources. How those funding sources are developed and decided upon occurs here. It occurs in Albany. My job is really about the Action Plan.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I'm-I'm going to ask one or two more questions, and I know there's a lot of questions here, and I want to give deference to my colleagues as well. Just one thing that's obviously a concern for us, those of us that have been talking a lot, and we've dedicated a lot of work here in criminal justice reform in general, this issue of-of more aggressive enforcement in the subway system particularly around like littering. There is discussions, and I-I agree that effective PSA campaigns can-can change people's behavior, and there is discussion in your plan about doing a PSA campaign around littering. So, you know, can there be consideration. I would ask consideration that the implementation of the PSA be allowed for a period of time before more aggressive enforcement is-is asked for of the NYPD that can, you know, can ensnare

2.2

2.3

people in the Criminal Justice System unnecessarily or for minor infractions. So, that's something that I know is of concern to advocates. I mean it is a concern of mine as well. So, that's something that would be considered?

RONNIE HAKIM: Certainly.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And how much do you estimate that that PSA campaign would cost?

RONNIE HAKIM: I don't know if we have an actual cost yet. We are just working on terms of what—what are the messages and what are the communication styles that would be effective there.

asking, and I'm not sure if that's being considered as part of an additional contribution by the city. You are asking for more EMT presence in certain stations. You are asking for more police presence, et cetera, which the city is willing to consider.

I'm not sure if there's a cost that has been attached to what that would be or if that information has been requested of the city based on what you're planning to do. Have—do you have a cost attached to that, and are you considering that part of the city contribution.

of additional EMTs, but you're right that—that would

25

2.2

2.3

be the plan would be to have as part of the Subway

Action Recovery Plan a set of investments that would

include retaining additional EMTs in addition to NYPD

or FDNY response.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, and then I do have questions considering the Second Avenue Subway does impact part of my district, but we know that there—the cost of subway tunnels in other cities is between \$200 million and \$1 billion per mile.

When we look at the Phase I of the Second Avenue Subway that cost was approximately \$2.3 billion per mile, and this issue of—of the cost, right, of—of the work here versus in other global cities that are also expanding their transportation infrastructure. What do you attribute that to, and why do you think it's so expensive in comparison?

RONNIE HAKIM: Permit me to answer generally because I'm not 100% familiar with the numbers that you were just citing, but in other parts of the globe when subway systems are being build and/or expanded, they do not remotely come close to the challenges that we face here in New York City. You see it when you go by an open utility construction pit and you look in, and the maze or the

contractors to be more in line with what they

24

2.2

2.3

originally projected or proposed. What—what is done around that?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, a couple of things in terms of how we do procurements differently and we recognize the need to streamline that process. Using more alternative project delivery, Design-Build and being able to take advantage of that. It makes construction faster. Anything that we can do to incentivize a contractor for meeting completion dates. So, on some of our projects now we are including both incentives for early completion as well as disincentives if you're late and we intend to enforce those provisions, but the good, the carrot and the stick.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: That's something that was already happening. That's not necessarily tied into the Executive Order from the Governor or procurement stuff. That has nothing to do with that. It's already something that the MTA was looking into?

RONNIE HAKIM: Correct.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Implementing?

RONNIE HAKIM: The Executive Order gives us the ability to fast track procurement. We don't have a long period of State Comptroller review. We

2 don't have to have a protection—an extended

3 advertising period. We can shortlist firms quickly.

So, we've already been awarding contracts under the

5 Executive Order.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. I'm going to leave it there. There's a million questions, but I know colleagues have questions as well but thank you for your testimony, and thank you for the answers to my questions.

RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you, Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Speaker and I also want to acknowledge (coughs)

Council Member Richards, Deutsch and Crowley and

Kallos and (coughs) first of all, I'm—I'm happy to

see you as a part in the new leadership at the MTA

and especially as a woman, but I way welcome to you

having a predominant leadership there. It's

insufficient that I get—for me as a father of two

daughters I think it is important to see also one

treating that as a valuable corporation to also

provide leaderships for individuals with so much

capacity as you have, and we expect that, you know,

you together with your colleagues really work

together to bring the changes that everyone needs.

2.2

2.3

What should we expect from the new leadership at the MTA compared to the previous one? Because I don't mean you have to be blamed but we have arrived to a point of crisis not only because we have an old train, not only we need more researchers, but also because of vision of the leaders—previous leadership. In the last 20 or 30 years, everyone knew it was an open secret that that the signal was not working, and that it was impossible to continue to run our trains, and for decades it was that were putting like a Band-Aid instead of addressing that spot. So, what should we expect with the new leadership with the new approach to take us to the 21st Century.

RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you for that question, Chairman. Last week Chair Joe Lhota announced a newly created Office of the Chairman with a new management team in place. I very much appreciate your support for my role as Managing Director. I will be responsible and held accountable for the operations of all of the MTA's operating agencies. That—that is a—a new structure for us. There will also be an Office of the Chief Development Officer Janno Lieber. Mr. Lieber will be responsible for innovative procurement and building and

25

2 increasing the capacity of the system on all-all 3 fronts including the important Second Avenue Subway, including projects in Long Island or in the Metro 4 North District as well as the New York City Transit. In addition, recently announced Pat Foye, will be 6 7 joining as President of MTA and in headquarters that provides an opportunity for Pat working with the COO 8 to deal with technology, and innovation, and hopefully really be able to bring new technology 10 11 into, as you have acknowledged, a very old system. What that does is it provides a leadership team 12 13 focused on different things and gives us the strength 14 of the entirety of the MTA organization. You know, 15 we're 71,000 people to be able to deliver on some of 16 these new innovations and new technologies and new 17 commitments. The Subway Action Plan is driven 18 through New York City Transit, and Tim Mulligan is 19 her with me. The Transit Team has been singularly 20 focused in rapidly developing this real plan, recognizing that it is about maintenance and 21 2.2 improving and stabilizing the system. We will be 2.3 working every day, every hour to try to deliver on this program. So, everybody in this team is—is fully 24 committed to this success.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well, one-one
thing that I-that I encourage the new leadership for
me again, I'm not going to be as many individuals,
but I believe that previous leadership also even
though many of them made important contributions,
they have some level of responsibility for the level
of crisis that the MTA is right now. And, one of the
problems that I have is about the cost to—to build,
to do renovation of the MTA. What is the plan? What
should we expect with the new leadership and the
conversation going on with you guys as a team to
bring some aspect of Design-Build so that we can save
more money, and that also projects can be done
quickly?

RONNIE HAKIM: One key component to what drives construction costs up is efficiency in managing projects. And so, decisions need to be made. People need to be held accountable for those decisions. If there's a dispute in the field of a contractor, it needs to be resolved rather than languish. This is a new approach for us at Transit.

23 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But we can save 24 money, right?

25 RONNIE

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes.

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: That expectation-

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] That is exactly right.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --as—as you asking for it, and we should be there in the conversation about raising more revenue that internally the \$1 trillion corporate—value corporation, you also had a plan to save money there, too.

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great, and in terms of the \$14.5 billion allocated to the subway system in this current 2015-2019 Capital Plan, how much has already been spent, and how much is left over?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, in the '15 to '19 program about 27% is already committed or already in construction, and recall that the program was a year and a half late, and so, we started this program in the middle of 2016. So, basically in a year's time we have already committed nearly 30% and gone into construction of the program, too.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, how much are there available as you will—I know that some of them

2.2

2.3

are being already allocated for projects, but what flexibility does—do you have right now when it comes to capital that you know that you have some level of control that you can use for the challenges that we have in front of us?

RONNIE HAKIM: So that represents approximately \$7 billion of the program already committed, and the rest of it is going forward. I think that's—that's your question.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I'm going to be asking two more questions because I also know that my colleagues also has other questions. One is about the Communication Based Train Control, the CBTC. How many contractors are the MTA using?

RONNIE HAKIM: There are two qualified CBTC suppliers, but that also does not include other firms that participate in the installation of that equipment as well. So, there are more companies involved in the installation of CBTC whether it's on cars, or on the track at the wayside.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: As someone 52 years old who would like to be 50 by the time when I see most of the upgrades that we have in the schedule by 2045 and I will be 80 years old, are you looking

2.2

2.3

also to open more RFPs to bring other contractors

also to do more work so that we can expedite the

time?

RONNIE HAKIM: We have another firm that is in the process of prequalification for it to be another CBTC supplier. So that would be a good thing.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, and my last question is how are you looking to address the problem of inequality that we have related to the conditions of train stations in our city. Because if there was one thing that I experienced, as someone that usually takes the 1 and 2 Train or the A Train coming from Inwood to here, is that when you look at the condition of the station from 6 Trains from 96 up, it's different than 290 or 96. That when I stop at the 149 2 and 5 Train with Council Member Salamanca, and we were stopping there in the train station that had one of the three or four more high volume of riders—

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --the air in that station, the condition of cleaning in that station the need of safety in that station, is behind compared to the volume that we are there. When we

2.2

2.3

walked with Council Member Margaret in the J Train here, and we see all the paintings falling down, and so this is one thing that I experienced together. We have somebody on the day noise, is that when those 24 hours as we leave the main area o Manhattan and we went to the Outer Borough, Brooklyn, Bronx and even to Staten Island, but also they have to—they're leaving a portion they need to have more maintenance and more safety and more safety.

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, what is it that we should expect to see in this plan so that most stations also have the same level of, you know, maintenance and safe throughout the whole five boroughs?

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm. So, there are a couple of-of points. Let me start with the-the larger picture. In the Capital Program in the '15 to '19 Capital Program there is \$2.5 billion investments in-for investing in stations themselves. Those stations are geographically diverse. Around the entirety of the system we have 472 stations, the most in the world. So there is a need for continuous investment in those stations. But there's another

part of the Subway Action Recovery Plan that provides
an opportunity for near-term benefits, and that's
where we look at priority stations, we look at
conditions, and we send in a team, an
interdisciplinary team whether it's carpenters,
painters, electricians, lighting, stair treads, the
platform edges in order to make a difference to our
communities while they are waiting for the larger

Component and Renewal Programs. So, it's twofold.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, let's get into the questions from my colleagues. First we will have the Public Advocate who have a few words to say, and then following her own questions, we will put the timing ten minutes and the rest of my colleagues in five minutes.

FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for bringing to us on the 127 Train Station that has been available (sic) for more than 400. This is something that also we've been bringing to the MTA, and definitely we also will be engaging in that—in that—

FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic]

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Correct, we will follow with that concern. Public Advocate Tish

4 James.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. have an opening statement, and then I have two or three questions. First, let me thank the Speaker. Let me thank Chair Rodriguez as well as the members of the City Council and this Committee for holding this hearing, and I want to thank MTA Managing Director Ronnie Hakim for her presence here today. Clearly, the MTA has reached a long brewing crisis point, and it is critically important that we come together to both fix the immediate problems and finally come up with long-term sustainable solutions. I want to make one thing abundantly clear. I'm not here to engage in the blame game. Finger pointing as far as I'm concerned is a waste of time as well as the people's time. We are being asked today to ensure that the greatest public asset of the greatest city in the world grows and thrives for the next 100 years, and we should recognize the importance of this task and not shy away from the challenge at hand. Let me just say that there are several points that I want to emphasize. First, I am distressed actually, I'm

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

outraged by the recent State Budget that provided more capital funds for roads and bridges than it did for the MTA, and I'm hopeful that we can learn from that misjudgment and that misalignment and that we will create a culture of parity or even priority for public transit in the city, and there are several other points I'd like to emphasize. I share Governor Cuomo and Chairman Lhota's urgency in declaring an MTA State of Emergency and think there is a lot to learn about the specific components of the short-term plan to stem the bleeding, and while I share the Mayor's observation at diverted MTA funds, I'm open to the city paying half of the immediate cost for emergency measures under the right circumstances. But let's be real, whether the money is from the City or the State, it's the taxpayers that pay, and-but I wanted to make a point and that is practically all of the money that goes to the MTA and the Transit System comes from downstate taxpayers and not the general Let me say that again. All of the money that goes to the MTA and the Transit Authority comes from downstate and-downstate taxpayers in the MTA region. The vast majority of MTA funds comes from a combination of rider fares and dedicated taxes

2 imposed on the city and surrounding counties that 3 comprise the MTA region. And although I agree that 4 all options on the table there should be-that we-I agree that an-that in all options on the table approach may mean-may mean the city may pay more to 6 the MTA, neither the City or it's taxpayers should be 7 8 willing to write a blank check to bail out the MTA after decades of mismanagement and diverted funds without real reforms, and with regards to diversion, 10 11 and perhaps you can correct me, it's my understanding 12 after analyzing the State Budget that in 2010, \$143 million was diverted. 2011, \$100 million diverted. 13 2013, \$20 million diverted. 2014, \$30 million 14 15 diverted. In 2015, the numbers are kind of fudgy at this time, but we know that it's over \$100 million. 16 17 It's my understanding that it might be \$141 million. 18 So, although the Mayor says that 100-40--\$456 million 19 has been diverted from 2011, it's probably less, but 20 the nonethe--but the point is that diversions have, 21 in fact, occurred. We need an MTA lockbox that stays 2.2 locked on both the city and state level, and new 2.3 dedicated revenue streams. On the lockbox side that means no more raids, no more sweeps, no more 24 25 diversions, no more excuses for funds that were

2.2

2.3

supposed to go to the MTA. And just to be clear, these raids didn't start in 2011. They've been going on for a very long time, and I'm not interested in playing the blame game, but the diversions need to stop and they need to stop now. The State also needs to stop cutting the MTA's Capital Plan to the bone, and deferring state of good repair until a crisis point is reached like we are experiencing now. And on the revenue side, everything should be on the table: A commuter tax, a gas tax, a stronger MTA payroll taxa, a millionaire's tax, a mansion tax, a tax on foreign real estate, which is displacing so many New Yorkers. [applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: No applause please.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Every progressive revenue proposal that has floated around needs to be considered—considered. If the state is going to pay more towards the MTA, then we need a greater voice and more stake in how we spend these monies and how we spend theses, and these are just some of the things, and there are some things that the state can do without city approval—without state approval and that is including transit needs in any rezoning and redevelopment. We also can cut costs as was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mentioned with better planning and coordination by reviving the Transportation Unit within the Department of City Planning. We also need, as was discussed, more Design-Build, and I know my colleague who is Chair of the Contracts Committee is planning on having an oversight hearing with regards to that, and I want to thank Council Member Rosenthal. state wants the city as a partner on saving the MTA, then make us a partner. Second, the MTA needs to spend its money better and more transparently. It's unacceptable that it costs the MTA seven times as much to build a kilometer of New York City subway as it does in other cities. We've got a problem of sky high costs, and we need to figure out why our construction costs are so much higher, and what we can do to fix it, and that doesn't mean fewer jobs or cutting benefits. It means more jobs because we can do more projects. We need to stop wasting money on expensive private consultants when MTA workers can do the job better and cheaper, and as was mentioned, we need to reform procurement, cut waste-cut waste and crack down on fraud and abuse, and we nee to take emergencies -- Third, while we need to take emergency measures, we can't just rely on quick fixes. We need

and do not accept disruptions in this Chamber, but

Ms. Kessinger, I want to thank you for being here,

24

25

and I think your concern as—as a writer are
legitimate concerns as well, and although in the
subway conversation and visits the issue of disabled
riders came up a lot, and elevator equipment and the
escalators. Elevators not being in service was a
constant concern. If you, Ms. Hakim, could talk
about within this plan, what attention is being paid
to making sure that there are upgrades consistently
for disabled riders who need to access the subway
system like everybody else?

RONNIE HAKIM: In the immediate Sandy
Recovery Plan, we are investing \$35 million in
improving the maintenance of our elevators and the
accessibility in our stations. That is separate and
distinct from the investment in the Capital Program
that we are being—that we are making to further
increase the number. We have 117 accessible stations
today. We're adding another 25 stations as well as
further investments in elevators and escalators and
improving maintenance of those facilities.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And that's prioritized--

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Yes.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: --in part of the plan as it is here. So, could you just repeat that again?

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes. There are currently 117 accessible stations. We are increasing the, um, under the Subway Recovery Plan, our maintenance of those elevators by \$35 million in order to keep them functioning because we know some of them are old and have been problematic. We are also investing under our Capital Program an addition \$966 million in accessibility. Of that number another \$225 million goes to adding another 25 accessible stations as part of the '15 to '19 program. So, a priority absolutely.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you for the detail. We'll hopefully get more and Ms. Kessinger, thank you for being here, and for expressing concerns of—for yourself but many other riders as well. Can we lave it?

MS. KESSINGER: I'm so sorry.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: We have to leave it up for now, but you will have an opportunity to come up and we can get more questions from you. I appreciate it.

2.2

2.3

and before the Public Advocate asks her questions, I would like to highlight that the tour, the tour we were—that we did it together with Assemblyman Jeff Dinowitz, the reason why we started at 242nd (sic) and Van Cortlandt is because that particular station is one of those in the high point of the—of Manhattan where a lot of residents from Yonkers they come by bus and take the train there. A lot senior citizen population they live there, and they don't have elevators in that station. So, I don't want to put you on the spot right now are you looking to put an elevator in that station, but at least to bring it to your attention as you plan for the future.

RONNIE HAKIM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And—and I'm happy to hear, you know, how you are working to expedite those, the investment in that—in—in those elevators and, of course, thank you for being in Inwood and Washington Heights as we also look at the 168.

RONNIE HAKIM: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: The money is there. My question again, public aside, as you there

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is can we expect that you're working with your team
to expedite--

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --you know, that project to bring new elevators in those stations.

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes, yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, my question was with regards to the overall question of the American Disability Act as opposed to station by That should not be the question. question is when is MTA going to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act on a whole across the system to, um, with the numbers that cited earlier, the diversions that have occurred since 2010 and even before that? Were those numbers correct? Do you disagree? And if those diversions did happen, what is the position of the MTA, and it's also my understanding that the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment notes a \$68 billion reduction in stated needs for the MTA Capital Plan. The reason it was cited—the reason why we have a \$68 billion reduction, the reason cited by the state was fiscal realities.

Well, fiscal realities unfortunately are now

2.2

2.3

- collapse-colliding with transit needs. And so, if
 you can ask-answer those three questions that would
 be greatly appreciated.
 - RONNIE HAKIM: Sure. Why don't we start with a clarification on the diversions first.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

DOUG JOHNSON: Oh, yeah. So my research doesn't go back to 2010. I'd be happy to do that for you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

DOUG JOHNSON: So, the research that we did was from the 2011-12, and that you had heard—we had heard the number about the \$456-

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
Yes.

DOUG JOHNSON: --million dollars, which was a good starting point because those are, in fact, dollars that were intended for the MTA Operating Budget. It did not go to the MTA Operating Budget. However, many of those funds went towards the MTA Capital Program, which I'd be happy to share with you, um, that analysis. Um, so that when—when that went to the Capital Program those are monies that we had intended to use as PAYGO to the Capital Program.

by relative? Is it true or isn't it?

25

2.2

2.3

DOUG JOHNSON: When you say our Capital
Budget is underfunded.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: It was not.

Apparently you have a set of needs, and your Capital

Plan did not meet that needs, and therefore you're

your capital plan was underfunded, yes?

 $\label{eq:ronnie} \mbox{RONNIE HAKIM: No, I-I-I would-I would} \\ \mbox{not agree with that.}$

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: You would not agree with that. Okay.

RONNIE HAKIM: The Capital Program right now is at \$32.5 billion. It's the largest in MTA history. The state has committed funding of over \$8 billion. The city has committed funding over \$2.5 billion. The program itself I think gets—gets to the core and the heart of all of the capital needs in the '15 to '19 plan.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, according to my understanding there is a \$7.6 billion gap in your Capital Plan, and that is the reason why we are experiencing the summer of hell. So, if funds were diverted from your capital to you operating expense, why—why was there a need to divert funds from capital

2.2

2.3

2 to expense, and what are doing to address the \$7.6
3 billion gap?

DOUG JOHNSON: Yeah, those—so, those would be monies in pre-those are plants that already existed. So monies that were not originally in those capital programs it went—cam from the state, allowed us, us being the MTA Operating Budget to—to not make those contributions meaning—and meaning the Capital Program was made whole, and our Operating Budget was made whole.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Let me just say this. That in—in February '17, there was a quote in the Daily News by Ms. Hakim, and your quote was the following: Our Financial Plan is certainly able to maintain itself based on this budget without any further service cuts or fare or toll increase. This was in February of 2017 before the summer of hell.

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: If, in fact, you had adequate funding, why should the city of New York step up and provide additional funds?

RONNIE HAKIM: Because we've established a new need, and that new need is to respond to what we consider this emergency situation that we find

2.2

2.3

ourselves in. We've heard loud and clear from our customers that the pace at which we were at in maintaining the subway system and working to improve reliability was inadequate. They want more, they want it faster, they want it better and we want to

respond to that, and that's how we're here today.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: But if your

Capital Budget is \$7.6 billion, which is why we

cannot replace tracks and cars and et cetera, then

what are we doing to get additional capital funds in

that budget in the Capital Budget?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, the Capital Program, and—and I'll stay focused on the \$16 billion that is the New York City Transit portion of that larger Capital Program. You know, it includes buying new buses, \$1.2 billion. It includes buying new subway cars, another \$1.7 billion. It includes improvements to the Staten Island Railway, signals, communications, new fare payment, accessibility that we've discussed, station improvements as well as track. So, there is a base program. What we're proposing today is to add to that.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And are you willing to give New York City a greater stake in how

appointees to the board?

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 the funds are spent? Are you-are you-would you be 3 supportive of New York City getting additional 4
 - RONNIE HAKIM: Well, fortunately that' not in purview, but clearly something in everything should be discussed.
 - CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Public Advocate. Now we call on Council Member Vacca followed by Council Member Lancman. Five minutes. No more than that, please. [pause]
 - COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay, thank you. Since I've been told I only have five minutes, I'm going to ask that your answers be quick.

RONNIE HAKIM: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay, thank you. You mentioned about people throwing garbage on the tracks, and you're going to do an education program. I'm a straphanger. I'm on the train every time I come down here. I see so much garbage on the track it's ridiculous. Let me tell you something. I wouldn't even bother with the Community Education Program. People know that they're not supposed to put garbage on I the tracks. I think they know that. I think they know they're wrong, and I would start

2.2

2.3

summonsing individuals who put garbage on the tracks throwing out everything in the world, blocking trains and causing rats to run amuck. So, I do disagree with you having a community education program. When tickets are given, the word will spread and the garbage dumping on the tracks will stop. Number 2, I want to ask you about the Second Avenue Subway. I want to ask what has been the practical impact of the Second Avenue Subway now in operation on service and reduction of overcrowding?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, quickly let me agree that people shouldn't throw trash in the subway system and certainly not on the tracks. So, yes, thank you for that. In terms of the Second Avenue Subway, it's been a huge success since opening day at the end of the year. We see 1.6 million riders on the Lexington Avenue Lie, the Lex Line. That's a—that's a very busy corridor, Chicago and Ramada combined. We've seen relief on that line. Why? With the opening of Second Avenue, we've seen a reduction in crowding on the Lexington Avenue Line on—by 19% on the 4 and 5, by other 30% in peak hours on the 6. Clearly an appreciable difference, and our customers tell us that. They recognize the relief.

2.2

2.3

interesting article in today's Times. I'm not sure if you read it about the 4, 5, 6 but mostly the 4, 5 and riders. The trains are not just coming because of signal malfunctions and things of that type.

This morning, for example, I squeezed on a 4 and it was packed. So, in response to that article, what can New Yorkers expect? Was that something you knew, and are you addressing that situation that the Times outlined today?

RONNIE HAKIM: Certainly. Part of it is everything that is about the subway—Subway Recovery Plan. There isn't one silver-silver bullet today. We have to improve tracks, signals, cars, reliability and we have to move people more efficiently on and off platforms and into cars. It will make a difference, but it's not just one thing. We have to do everything.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I wanted to go into your Capital Budget quickly. You have capital funding for station renovations that I'm sure that the station renovations are needed, but I did want to state that there is an option the MTA has, which would involve using some of that money for signal and

you now how?

2.2

2.3

other maintenance. Have you thought of a realignment
of your Capital Budget internally so that crisis
measures that you've identified can be addressed
internally within your Capital Budget allocation that

RONNIE HAKIM: I think—I think everything is important to our customer. When they are on their travel journey, the station in which they're waiting, the station they enter and they wait in needs to be safe. It needs to be secure. It needs to be well lit. It needs to be well maintained. That's important. The train that comes into that station needs to run reliably. So, this is a multi-faceted plan in order to address everything, and the Capital Program reflects those priorities.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Let me, you know, be clear. I think in the past we've postponed

Capital Budget improvements in almost every area, and it's been to our detriment. I know, though, that we have a current crisis on our hands when it comes to service. You say that you need additional money, and I understand that, but let's say you got the additional money that you wanted at this point. How long would it take you to hire up the people you

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

need? How many people do you think you have to hire up, and how long would that process take?

RONNIE HAKIM: I think there would be a phased approach. We would hire 6 or 700 people this year and the balance next year. So, the entire complement over the next two years.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay, alright thank you.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Council Member Lancman.

morning. So, I have the perspective of having served in the State Legislature, and seeing this back and forth between the city and the state from both perspectives, and as a city legislator now, and as a state legislator then from the city, I am always an advocate for the state doing more. In my opinion, the state can never do enough for New York City. But as a city legislator now, I am particularly focused on what the city can do to serve the residents of the city, and I come to this hearing and—and these questions with a deep concern that the city is not doing enough, and that the Mayor's plan to go to Albany to seek a millionaire's tax, which when I was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in Albany had the pleasure to vote for a couple of times, is—is less of a plan than it is a punt. talk about the city's contribution to the MTA. want to talk about the financial contribution, and I want to talk about the city's use of its four voting members on a board that in total amounts to 14 votes, and whether it's being used effectively. Let's understand, let's start with the operating expenses. We've heard the Mayor say, and others echo about the \$460 million that the state has swept in the last X number of years from the MTA's budget. An I correct that during that same period of time the MTA's new Mobility Payroll Tax, which I voted for, which was not an easy vote to take, let me tell you, has brought in billions and billions of dollars into the MTA. And if you could quantify the billions of dollars that that new dedicated revenue stream which the-the state imposed I think in '09 or in '10, has brought into the MTA while the MTA was supposedly losing, you know, several hundred millions of dollars?

RONNIE HAKIM: Well, before I turn it over to Mr. Johnson, the total number since the 2009, you're correct, is \$11.5 billion.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So the dedicated tax during the time period that the Mayor is talking about \$400 and something million being swept out of the MTA's budget going into the MTA's budget was \$11 plus billion dollars?

DOUG JOHNSON: Yes, but those monies were collected throughout the MTA 12-county region.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: The 12-county region.

DOUG JOHNSON: And if you recall, a primary reason for the implementation of that tax was, um, the tremendous reduction in real estate related transaction taxes during the time period where we went from \$1.7 billion in I'm going to say 2006 and two years later we were down--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] I understand.

DOUG JOHNSON: \$350 million a year.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And you—and you testified earlier that the \$465 million the actual net loss to the MTA is substantially less that that.

DOUG JOHNSON: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What is the city's direct contribution to the MTA's operating

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 driver I mean the-the-the city of New York

appropriates from the city budget to the MTA.

DOUG JOHNSON: Well, um, the way we look at it, we-we have something what we call direct aid, and that included actually an urban tax of-in 2017. I'm going to talk with numbers on the 2017 Budget. So, approximately \$1.8 billion of direct city aid to the MTA. That includes \$836 million in urban tax money, which is technically a state tax, but it's collected only in New York City. So, that comes to the \$1.8 billion, and on the screen earlier there was a-there was a shot of New York City contributing \$1 billion--

74

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Uh-hm.

DOUG JOHNSON: --which is consistent with what I'm saying. So, it would be the \$1.8 billion less the urban tax of \$836. So, approximately a billion.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And-and I've written elsewhere but I...for simplicity and time sake, is it fair to say that the state's contribution to the MTA Capital Plan, but not just is Capital Plan,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

but the last two Capital Plans as well, fairly dwarfs the contribution that the city has made to the MTA's Capital Plan. I think this year it's \$8.3 billion

5 verse \$2.5 billion.

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes.

DOUG JOHNSON: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And it wasn't any better in previous two Capital Plans either, was it?

DOUG JOHNSON: Well, the state has a higher contribution. I don't have those exact numbers, but it's certainly available and it's—that's—

Let me conclude with a question on—on government.

The Mayor has four appointees to this board, and I know that his might be an uncomfortable question, but—but this is a hearing to ask uncomfortable questions sometimes. Those four appointees weren't finally all appointed and confirmed until this past June. Are you aware of the Mayor's appointees either individually or as a group? At any point in the last 3-1/2 years presenting to the board in the form of a motion or a resolution or however you do business

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

over there, hey, here's a comprehensive plan for
improving the subways in New York City. Here' what
it will cost and here's what New York City can

5 contribute to that.

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: Not to--not in the MTA

Board Room no, but in fairness, I think that

Commissioner Trottenberg as part of her DOT plan has

commented in the past on opportunities for

improvement of this system.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, well that's my time allotment. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Council Member Menchaca followed by Council Member

Grodenchik.

much Chair and Speaker, Public Advocate and all the members of this Council that have continued to kind of push on this investment. I want to—I want to double down on the kind of quick work around the translation of information. There's a lot in this plan and I want to make sure that when we think about communities like Sunset Park and other immigrant communities what causes the MTA to fail its translations when we think about all the things that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New Yorkers.

need to be translated and communicated. The riders and the straphangers that we were talking to this last few days and week spoke many languages, and they wanted to express their-their frustration and their need for better service. What can we see in this That's one. Two, I want to talk about the plan? workers. You mention them briefly in your-in your remarks about how you're going to bring training to the workers. What we also heard were straphangers that were workers as well, TWU Union workers. They're doing everything they can to hold the system together as it's crumbling before their eyes, and so much aggression is being fought against these workers because they are the face of the MTA. How are we protecting them and giving them what they need including, and I'll be very specific about one issue, about the scheduled that seem sometimes unrealistic and reviewing that so that we can reset expectations and make sure that the drivers of our system can actually maintain their own ability to get people where they need to get. So, these are the kind of big things that—that I want to hear from you today as we support not just our workers, but our immigrant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you. So, on your first topic of translations, as you know, there is an element of the plan that is around communications, and how can we effectively communicate with our customers. One of the things that we're doing as we now are working in our stations or as part of the recovery plan where we're telling people what the work is that's going on. We will make an effort to reflect in the station signage the languages spoken in those communities, and if we need to augment our translation capacity, we'll take a hard look at being able to do that because communication of what's happening in your community is-is critical, and critical to people's perceptions. Obviously, we survey customers in multiple languages to reflect the communities. But very good point and one that we'll take back. In terms of the overarching view of our employees, thank you for acknowledging that they have, that they are the front line, and they are the ones interacting with our customers, and we need to support them and they need to recognize. And one of the benefits of the Subway Recovery Plan and calling it a response to an emergency is to say to our workers, we-we appreciate and support the fact that

2.2

2.3

you are out there everyday delivering service under what can be difficult circumstances, and we are going to work hard to make it better, to make sure you have the materials, to make sure you have the resources, to make sure that we are organized ourselves well, and everything needs to be looked at. This is really a—I keep saying back to basics. I mean back to good management basics as well, and that's—that's on us to do. The TWU and our other union members are an incredibly important part to what will be the success of this plan, and it is—it's appreciated that you acknowledge that. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Well, again, I—I think that listening to the workers is going to be an important piece, and I want to hear more from you outside of this hearing about how that's happening and really designing mechanisms in which we as our legislative body can listen to, and our straphangers can continue to kind of keep you accountable to make sure that you have lines open, and one of those things is schedules. Thinking about how—how our—our lines, and the subways and our bus schedules as well. One comment on the—on the translation. The Design—Build first came to us in Sunset Park when you shut

2	down 53 ^{ra} Street. We had to struggle to get
3	translation, and that was a confluence of issues that
4	MTA and the designers and the contractors. So, while
5	we're getting good things like building fast, we're
6	doing it so quickly that we're forgetting about the
7	community that we're serving and that is a-that is a-
8	that is an indicator of how the MTA continues to not
9	care about its riders. So it's let's fix that. The
10	final thing I want to say is that buses are another
11	option for us to get people out of the subway and
12	into a different—a different mode of transportation.
13	What are we doing in places like Sunset Park and Red
14	Hook? We can put more rapid transit and other bus
15	options to make that a viable option to reduce the
16	overcrowding in our MTA system. Where is that in the
17	plan and how can I-how can we hear from districts
18	that would benefit from bus-bus lines?
19	RONNIE HAKIM: We are investing \$1.2

billing buying new buses. Under the Emergency Order one of the first big contracts that we awarded--COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]

What was that number again?

> RONNIE HAKIM: \$1.2 billion for buses, and under the Emergency Order we were able to award

- 2 quickly contracts for I don't remember the exact
- 3 number, but it's over 600 buses to both New Flyer and
- 4 Nova buses.

- COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you.
- 6 Thank you for your time.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you and
- 8 | thank you Carlos and thank you Council Member
- 9 Grodenchik for allowing Carlos to—be with you.(sic)
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What else do I
- 11 | have to do, really. Good morning. Thank you, Mr.
- 12 Chair, Madam Speaker, Madam Public Advocate, Ms.
- 13 | Hakim and your colleagues on the MTA. From your
- 14 | testimony and from my own personal life experience,
- 15 | these are not new issues. The problems with the MTA
- 16 go back for many, many decades, and we've had better
- 17 | times and we've had worse times. When I was a boy,
- 18 | they announced plans to expand the E-Line out to
- 19 Queens College and as far as Springfield Boulevard.
- 20 | I'm still waiting for that train. I'll be waiting
- 21 | for it for some time. The question I really have to
- 22 | you this morning what confidence do I have in asking
- 23 my constituents to reach deeper into already thin
- 24 | wallets for more money for the MTA. Your-they shut
- 25 | it off, but I went over and I calculated. The city

is giving the MTA through fares, through taxes, 2 3 through tolls, \$10.5 billion a year. That is almost \$5,000 for a family of four, and now you're asking 4 for an extra-I wrote it down--\$228 million, which is 5 almost a rounding error in the MTA budget. You asked 6 7 for \$456 million more, right? Half of that is 8 supposed to come from the taxpayers of this city, the It would seem to me that in a budget as large as the MTA's that you would be able to squeeze 10 11 efficiencies from that budget. This is only 2.2%. 12 It's almost a nothing. It's almost a nothing and I 13 don't think that anybody here would deny you that 2.2% from the taxpayers of this city if we knew that 14 15 the money was going to be spent wisely, and we don't 16 have much confidence, with all due respect to you. know that you're a professional and the two men on 17 18 either side of you are professionals, and that the 19 MTA does deliver millions and millions of people 20 safely every day. But it seems to me that an extra 21 2.2% really is not enough to get the job done, and what I am worried about today is that it's 2.2% 2.2 2.3 today. Tomorrow it will be another 2.2% and so on and so forth. That is one of the reasons I'm opposed 24 to congestion pricing because I don't trust that the 25

2.2

2	money will be locked away and it will fall
3	disproportionately on the people who live in the
4	farthest reaches of the city of New York including my
5	constituents. My district is a transportation
6	desert. It's such a desert that when you look at the
7	subway map, the legend for the map is in part of my
8	district and part of Paul Vallone's district because
9	there are no subways. There are no Long Island
10	Railroad stops. So, that is why I'm worried today.
11	If we're going to have to vote on this at some point
12	in the future, I need your assurance. I need your
13	Chairman Lhota's assurance that that this will be

noting that the MTA has been balancing and managing and maintaining the stability of the fare and the service levels because it has been on a path of increasing efficiencies, recurring operating budget efficiencies annually. We're at a level now of \$1.6 billion of recurring Operating Budget efficiencies and that continues to grown, and—

the-t here will be a-a period on this sentence. I'd

like to hear from you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]

Are you saying then—I don't mean to cut you, but I

2.2

2.3

don't have much time. Are you saying this is enough money to get the job done? That's what I really need to hear today. So, when my constituents ask me that question I can tell them I heard from Veronique Hakim that this is enough money, and they're not going to be coming back next year for more money?

RONNIE HAKIM: This—this gets the emergency funding need met, and we will be transparent in what we're doing with that money and your constituents will be able to manage and watch our progress as we go.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And the last question, Mr. Chair, is there an expectation that you will be coming back for more money next year?

Because I understand how the world works, but I need to know that at some point that there's going to be enough money to get this job done. We all want a safe efficient MTA that's accessible for all people, but we want to know what the price tag truly is.

That's what my problem here is today.

RONNIE HAKIM: And I think we'll have to keep coming and—and reporting on the progress that we're making, and to the extent that that has been said here earlier, there may be opportunities for new

tit for tat. Now, according to the MTA's most

recently 20-year needs assessment, signal failure is

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the leading cause or at least the number one cause of subway delays, and the subway's largest singular investment need. It's a good step in the short-term plan to fix some of those signals, but I think we all know the real solution is to have modern communication based signals on all 22 lines. we have them on one with one underway. The rest are function on 1930's technology. London has replaced 40% and the other 40% underway. At the current pace it's not going to get done in our lifetimes, and New Yorkers agree this should be the top big investment priority. We did a survey of about 1,400 people last week. 80%--nearly 80% said signals ought to be our top goal. So, I guess my first question is just at the biggest level, what's it going to cost to replace the signal system so that we have 22 lines full of modern communications based signals?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, I don't like answering a question with a question, but I-I'm-I'm forced to say this, which is necessarily replacing the entirety of the New York City Transit Subway system with what we know today of communications based train control, that may not be the right thing to do. What we need to do is try to see what is the emergent technology

signal that interlocks the power--

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Yes.

not all those lines are capable of achieving that technology today, but it's my understanding that about 250 miles of them are, and that the cost of just replacing those lines, which are already half the system is about half of that, \$10.3 billion for the lines that are essentially ready for new signal technology.

RONNIE HAKIM: So, in the program right now we're going to be working on the Queens Boulevard Line, the Eight Avenue Line and the Culver Line.

That—that increases the CBTC readiness of the MTA network.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Which is good.

It's just a snail's pace when we need to move so much faster. We've got half the system is ready now. With \$10 billion we could start moving on all those lines while also upgrading the other half of the system.

So, we get the whole thing done in 20 years instead of 50 or 60 years. That's not going to solve the problem for this fall, but it is what's needed, and let's remember it's not, you know, that's breakdowns. That's more trains on the line so that we can

think it speaks to the need to bring new investment

if you think about I that whole, you know, \$18

dollars into the system because that \$8 billon gap or

2.3

24

that.

billion gap to get the entire system done. We don't
have those resources in the budget. That in my
opinion is why dedicated new revenue is needed. I
costed it out. You know, it would be about the \$750
million that a millionaire's tax would bring into
investment. I'm open to other models. I'm a long-
time supporter of congestion pricing, but whether
it's a millionaire's tax, whether it's congestion
pricing, what we need is a-a progressive stream of
revenue, a dedicated steam of revenue, a committed
stream of revenue, and one that is tied directly to
the investments that we need to upgraded the system.
So, I just-I don't want to get caught in a
RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] I appreciate

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --you know, in a fight about the short-term plan when what we need and look, it may or may not be realistic to get that millionaire's tax passed in Albany or to move congestion pricing in Albany, but what I hope we can do together is figure out how we're going to get there because that's what the riders are counting on and that's what the system needs.

RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 91
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
4	Council Member. Council Member Rose.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you, Chair.
6	Ms. Hakim, I know when people talk about Staten
7	Island and subways that they can't even correlate the
8	two, and that's my concern. Staten Island does not
9	have a subway, and this has been deemed a subway
LO	plan, and so my concern is that there have been
11	dollars allocated like \$2.9 billion toward the
L2	enhancement of station initiatives for 32 stations
L3	new signage, LED lighting, countdown clocks, cellula
L 4	and WiFi services, and so I'm concerned about how yo
L5	will determine where these locations are and the
L 6	upgrade to 32 targeted stations, and is my
L7	SIROTA(sp?) Rail Line included in that and are you
L8	talking about just one station? Are you talking
19	about my entire system? Could you give me some

RONNIE HAKIM: Sure so there is—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] Oh,

and—and—and does that include—will that be more than
the \$1 million that the Borough President allocated
for services to the Stapleton Station?

information on that?

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: The—the Recovery Plan, the short—term plan also addresses some track work needs for SIROTA (sp?) and so we're going to improve reliability out there as well, and investing money is part of this plan. The Capital Program also includes investments in Staten Island both in terms of the—the additional work for the SIROTA system, new cars, the Enhanced Station Initiative that at Richmond Valley that you were just referring to as well as other investments working for Staten Island. We recently were with the Borough President and others talking about the new bus plan for Staten Island and we'll continue to be advancing what we think will be a significant improvement in the Express Bus network there.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And—and so I'm glad that you said that since, you know, in the absence of a subway system, we are basically wholly dependent on our bus service, and there is—I was reading the statistic here that bus ridership has declined because it averages less than four miles an hour, which is not much faster than travel on foot, and my district is wholly dependent on bus travel. And so, with that happening, we've been promised the BRT and

2	I don't see any mention of BRT or anything, and I
3	know you did the Bus Study, which talks about Expres
4	Bus. I know there's a study afoot, but there has
5	never been any dollars allocated for the BRT, which
6	has been in a plan that's been suggested about four
7	years ago.

RONNIE HAKIM: So, think there is a Bus

Rapid Transit component in the plan, yes. [background comments, pause] Yes, there is a Staten Island

Northshore Study that is in the plan, and there is further work going on in terms of both the local bus plan as well as the Express Bus Plan that I just referred to.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I—I know that, and I'm just wondering like when are we going to see some movement on the BRT which was a plan and—and a previous plan.

RONNIE HAKIM: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: It-so--

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Let me get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] So, we're looking for some movement.

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes. Let me get back to you with specifics and what the current thinking is here.

question is what are we doing to improve the coordination of—of timing in terms of the bus, ferry and the train. My constituents complain daily that, you know, there's no connection and that they are continuously late for work and appointments because the train gets there after the ferry leaves or the bus gets there after the ferry leaves. What are we doing to improve coordination of—of time?

RONNIE HAKIM: We—we try to optimize that, but I'll take this conversation back as to do list to see if we can further improve our schedule to create that better and more reliable connectivity.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member

Rose, thank you.

much. Good afternoon. Thank you, Chair. Thank you to our Speaker and thank you Ms. Hakim and your Executive Team for being here. Our Chairman, Mr. Lhota who was recently appointed, it would have been

2 great to see him here. I've only had an opportunity 3 to meet him a few times and he's been talking so feverishly on TV about the needs of what the city has 4 to do, and so it would have been great to have him here. It's certainly no disrespect to any of you, 6 7 but we really appreciate the opportunity to obviously meet with the Chairman since the work they were all 8 doing is obviously in the spirt of partnership. want to thank the Chair and Assembly Member Jeff 10 11 Dinowitz of the Bronx for the two-day rider's 12 response. I had an opportunity to participate in 13 that because I represent the Borough of the Bronx. So, I cover the Number 4 Line that goes from 161st 14 15 Street, Yankee Stadium all the way to the Cross-Bronx 16 Expressway, and we were due to meet at 2:00 on 17 Thursday afternoon, and when Chair Ydanis and his team got to 149th Street at Grand Concourse, there 18 19 was an announcement that the 4 Train was going 20 express to Burnside Avenue. So, I was due to meet him at 161st Street, and I wasn't able to because the 21 2.2 train went express. So, when you talk about 23 communication and PSA, it's extremely important because we're talking about the stretch of Jerome 24 Avenue from 161st, 167, 170, Mount Eden, 176 and then 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

going to Burnside at 2:00 in the afternoon due to some sort of work that was going on. So, these are the types of things that our average commutes and constituents deal with everyday. So, today's hearing about this is very important, and I want to share the sentiments of the Speaker in saying the city has done an incredible amount of work in investing in the system and supporting the workforce everyday that it's out there keeping the city moving, and we know that we're in crisis. We've been dealing with many of these issues for quite some time, and we know that we have to do more, but what I appreciate is when every stakeholder does their fair share. served in the State Assembly when we began shifting money from the MTA to the General Fund in 2011. what I'd like to ask as my first question the Governor has graciously committed to half of the cost of Phase I and you're asking for the city to cover the other half, but are you going to recoup the \$456 million that has been shifted to the state's General Fund to the MTA? You're owed \$456 million from the State of New York. Are you guys going to get that money to help with Phase I and Phase 2 of your plan?

2.2

2.3

DOUG JOHNSON: Again, the \$456 nets out to \$162 after you take out capital contributions and some other adjustments.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Got it.

DOUG JOHNSON: So, it's not \$456. It's \$162. There's no plan at this point to recoup that money.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

RONNIE HAKIM: But one of the points that you raised, if you don't mind just a moment--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Sure.

RONNIE HAKIM: --is the—the service impact that you experienced the other day. One of our challenges is to communicate more effectively to our customers when there are service impacts. We have an alert system that people can get information on their phones. We have the Subway Time Asset. It can people information before they get to the station. So, we have to do a better job of communicating that available information because that's available today.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right, and I understand things happen, but I also appreciate the

notification because it was the middle of the
afternoon, and so to plan and now divert I ended up
going to Marshall Hill Parkway to meet him and riding
back down, but just in general I struggle. I'm going
through a rezoning called Jerome, and we have been
fighting for years to get an elevator at Burnside
Avenue on the 4 Train. The only reason we have one
now at 161 st Street is because of Yankee Stadium. If
Yankee wasn't there, we wouldn't have an elevator.
So, you're talking about six to seven subway stations
for many of us that are in Bronx living in an area
where there is a transit desert. We have to take
buses out of High Bridge to come to Jerome Avenue.
So, looking at the Capital Plan and elevators and
escalators for commutes that may have a disability
that are mothers and traveling with small children,
how are we going to give them greater access to
subways when they have climb up multiple flights of
steps to wait for a subway train? That's the
question. We need elevators and escalators at many
of our stations.

RONNIE HAKIM: Excuse me and as part of our Capital Program, we are continuing a steady

2.2

2.3

investment in adding elevators and increasing accessibility in our stations.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, and my last question is the cleanliness and quality of life. I appreciate the PSA and focusing on education, but I think it runs counter to what the MTA has been doing when you remove garbage receptacles from the subway, from the platforms, from the stations, you're encouraging commuters to litter when we should be encouraging them to recycle and use the bins. You cannot take away trash bins from the platform in the subway stations, and then also for disabled riders we cannot take away the subway seats that commuters are paying for. So, I look forward to working with you and really ask you to listen to the concerns of riders as you move forward with your plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Council Member. I want to acknowledge that we were

joined by Council Members Constantinides, Levin and

Eugene. Now we have questions from Council Member

Rosenthal followed by Council Member Deutsch--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

25 Thank you.

RONNIE HAKIM: Yes, absolutely.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- bridge (sic) construction both at first the First

 Avenue, Avenue A--
- COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

 Right, what I'm asking is why aren't you doing all of
 them as you're renovating the stations?

RONNIE HAKIM: The cost would be prohibitive. It's about \$30 million or more to put an accessible station in an underground subway.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: \$30 million. Okay, now you mentioned that you're not so aware that New York City has higher costs per kilometer than other cities. Would you consider doing an analysis of why your costs are what they are? I mean and, you know, it's very clear. I mean I just did a quick search. Ours was between \$1 and \$2 billion per kilometer. London, which has to be comparable is \$450 million be kilometer. Boston, which beat us to the punch back in the 1800s in building the new subway system in the 1900s. It, you know, doesn't come close to our costs. So, you list it as possible reasons, sophisticated regulations. We don't incentivize contractors. We have an incredibly old system. None of those tings stack up in my mind if we're going to compare it to Boston and London where

2.2

2.3

2 they have, you know, their costs are four times less 3 than ours.

RONNIE HAKIM: I think there have been different studies. What I referred earlier was just a lack of the precision of those particular numbers that were being discussed, but--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Would you consider doing a study to understand why
New York City costs are four times higher than
Boston?

RONNIE HAKIM: I'll bring that back to the Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, and do you have anything near to that when you say that you're trying a new approach where you're going to have efficiency in the management process, which I guess didn't exist before today? Does that mean so when you schedule for a contractor to come to do work, that the MTA workers will be there at the same time? For example, I've been told about flag workers who were told to be there at 7:00 a.m. when the contractor was there at 1:00 a.m. and so contractors now build into their cost the fact that there is no

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] 3 colleague. I've been called to the attention by Council Member Danny Dromm and many of my colleagues 4 in Queens on how many residents of Jackson Heights have been dealing with the issues lead paint 6 especially in the 7-Line, and one, and, of course, 7 8 like I would like to ask the MTA to paint the 7-Line, which has not been painted in the last 35 years. Is that something that we can expect that we can get 10 11 from your as one the leader of MTA to work with us, and the elected officials and the residents of-in 12 13 Queens especially in the 7-Line to paint that station 14 that has not been painted in the last 35 years? 15 RONNIE HAKIM: Chair, I'll take a look at 16 what the painting schedule is, and I'll be glad to talk with you about it further. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. [off 19 mic] Sorry. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank 21 you. 2.2 RONNIE HAKIM: Thank you. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND:

you, Chair. Hi. I just wanted to follow up and this

is something that we brought up during the budget

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 hearings. It just seems that the conditions of the 7 3 Train directly outside of City Field is much more 4 improved than the entire structure where actual constituents is. So, I have a very hard time 5 understanding why it is that you continuously say 6 7 that you're going to produce a schedule of paint or-8 or improving the-the thresh-the elevator train and it doesn't happen. It just seems that it's-I don't understand. Do you paint with a different kind of 10 11 paint or why is the condition outside of City Field better than the condition where constituents actually 12
 - RONNIE HAKIM: I'll be happy to commit to sending you or meeting with you on what the painting scheduled for the 7. I just don't have that information today.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But is—does—is that possible that—do you have information on how accurate is it that that 7 Line has not been painted in the last 35 years?

RONNIE HAKIM: I do not.

live and have to take the train?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Hi. Thank you,

Chair. First, I want to thank the Speaker and Chair

- 2 Rodriguez and the Public Advocate for bringing up a
- 3 lot of questions, the questions that affect our city.
- 4 I have some concerns in my district, but I could
- 5 refrain from asking them if you could—all three of
- 6 you could commit to coming into my district for a
- 7 town hall meeting over the next few weeks?

8 RONNIE HAKIM: We've been coming-we, not-

- 9 I've been into a number of areas, but we have been
- 10 participating in many community boards and town
- 11 meetings. So, I'll have to defer to my government
- 12 folks.

1

13 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I didn't think

- 14 | so. That's the response I usually get from the MTA,
- 15 | but I just want to bring up a few issues. Number one
- 16 | is the Select Bus Service. The MTA is planning on
- 17 | bringing the B-82 SBS into my district running
- 18 | through Kings Highway, and they're taking away a lot
- 19 of much needed parking spaces. So, when it comes to
- 20 | SBS, I have a concern over the last two years in
- 21 particular no Nostrand Avenue the B-44 we have a
- 22 | local running and we have a Select Bus Service. I
- 23 have a lot of seniors that reside in particular
- 24 Avenue or on Nostrand Avenue where there are medical
- 25 \parallel centers, and district has-more than 30% of the

2 population in my district are senior citizens, and 3 our people have to wait at the local bus services. 4 Those buses come very late, extremely late because I know the issued 44 citations for not running on time and this is going back and forth with the MTA. 6 don't have to babysit and stand out at the bus stop, 8 and let you know how many times the bus has run late. Now, while people are waiting for the local senior citizens, people with disabilities in wheelchairs in 10 11 the rain, sleet, snow, warm, heat and everything, 12 all types of weather, you could have five Select Bus 13 Service drivers going down Nostrand Avenue empty-The one in the bus is the driver, and if that 14 empty. 15 bus ran on its own you wouldn't have the driver in 16 the car-in the bus. So, people are waiting at the 17 bus stop while waiting for the local stop, and five 18 or sometimes six Select Bus Services drive by. 19 my request for the last two years was to have another 20 stop of Select Bus Service at the corner of Avenue R 21 and Nostrand Avenue, and their answer-the answer 2.2 keeps on coming back no, no. We all teach our 2.3 children that if you're on a bus and there's no seats available you sand up for a senior or someone with-or 24 a person with a disability. Here you have drivers 25

2 passing people waiting outside in all kinds of 3 weather, and just leaving them outside waiting for 4 that late local bus to arrive. That is unacceptable. That is one issue, which I would like to address not for you to tell me we'll look into it. For the last 6 2-1/2 years I've been hearing the same garbage. 7 8 Number 2 is that Southern Brooklyn and represent Sheepshead Bay, Brighton Beach, Manhattan Beach and even partnering district our Council Member Mark 10 11 Treyger in Coney Island, our MTA trains and the buses 12 are unreliable. We just had a train derailment just 13 three weeks ago on a Sunday-on a Friday in my 14 district, and when you talk about accessibility, 15 right, then you force people to keep their vehicles or to buy vehicles and that makes it a problem with 16 17 finding parking. That causes congestion. 18 been requesting from the MTA for the last 3-1/2 years 19 to give us parking underneath the train trestle at 20 West Brighton where you could put thousand of parking 21 spaces underneath, but instead you have overgrown weeds, which is the fire hazards. 2.2 Your same 2.3 boilerplate response to me is that we have a Harlem fire under the tracks and we will not allow any 24 25 parking under the tracks. But guess what, in Upper

2.2

Manhattan under the No. 1 Train at 126 th Street you
have parking under the tracks. In Queens you have
parking; on Brighton Beach Avenue you have parking;
on 86 th Street you have parking; on McDonnell Avenue
you have parking. So, why can't you allow that if
you're service is unreliable, why can't you allow
those that do have vehicles, the seniors and the
people with disabilities that need to carpool others
to give us that parking? Why is it the same
boilerplate response that we cannot do it?
Unacceptable, unacceptable. You guys do not care
about the people. You guys care about sugar coating
every complaint that you get, and that is
unacceptable.

RONNIE HAKIM: Well, I think the—the purpose of the hearing was for us to talk about the need for an emergency action plan around subway recovery, which I hope demonstrates an acknowledgement that we do care about our customers, and we do care about people. With respect to—

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]

You guys do not know how to spend a dime. How are

you going to spend billions of dollars? I have one

train that has handicap accessibility. You should go

- 2 to West 8th Street. Right off of Neptune Avenue you
- 3 have 70 at least 70 stairs to walk up. I have to
- 4 help seniors schlep up the stairs and come back down.
- 5 Unacceptable. Sheepsheads Bay Road. Unacceptable.
- 6 You guys only care about yourselves, not about
- 7 others. If you would, you would come to a town hall
- 8 meeting within the next two weeks in my district.
- 9 The same response I get from the MTA each time. MTA
- 10 is not a city agency. We can't do anything. You
- 11 guys are unreliable, unresponsive and you don't care
- 12 about anyone except for your own jobs. Thank you. I
- 13 don't need a response any more.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] First
- 15 of all, thank you. I will work with my colleagues
- 16 here. We experienced that. If you read the article
- 17 \parallel in the New York Times the one that covered the 24-
- 18 \parallel hour tour, you will se that photo in the station
- 19 | where we were where that individual, a survivor of
- 20 | 9/11, he is still in wheelchair and it's a big issue
- 21 \parallel for him to go down the stairs. So, I know that, you
- 22 | know, I hope that working together we can address it,
- 23 | but this is an immediate situation, and I will be
- 24 standing with my colleagues here to be sure that we

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

continue conversations addressing that situation in
his district.

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member—

I'd like to recognize Council Member—we were joined by Council Member Ferreras—Julissa Ferreras, Williams and now I'm calling Council Member Kallos followed by Council Member Constantindides.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Managing Director Hakim. I appreciate the regular meetings we've had with MTA in an effort to avoid this very crisis. I'm asking you the same questions I've been asking for the past 3-1/2 years and I expect answers to all four of my questions in the next five minutes. First, the MTA uses-currently uses measures of service for quality called wait assessment, which if believed says performance has remained flat between 75 and 80% since 2012, which on-time performance has dropped from over 85% to just 66%. Will the MTA abandon the broken wait assessment and use new measures of excess wait time, multiplying the delay by the number of riders impacted and excess journey time to account for the delay waiting o a platform, and delays once on the train.

2 RONNIE HAKIM: We are actually looking 3

1

11

2.3

25

right now a how to-to report better to our customers,

what their experiences are, whether it's as you're 4

suggesting platform wait time that is in excess or

travel time or segments of-of travel trips and 6

7 whether that is adhering to schedule. Right, well

everything needs to be reviewed right now because I 8

think there is this recognition that the way we've

been reporting stats don't really help our customers. 10

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Wow, thank you.

On accessibility the new Second Avenue Subway only 12

has one elevator at 86th Street and has already 13

broken down leaving my district without accessibility 14

15 to the new line. Additionally a new plan to add

accessibility to 86th Street and Lexington again only 16

17 provides one elevator that will only serve the local

18 six uptown while ignoring the four and five express

19 Will you pledge to provide more than one

elevator for redundancy and 100% performance to serve 20

all lines when building new stations or renovating 21

existing stations? 2.2

RONNIE HAKIM: While I can't make a

pledge of providing more than one elevator because 24

everything needs to be looked in the-the totality not

2.2

2.3

just isolated. I can, though, say that we are
committed to better improving the maintenance
particularly at those—those stations that you
referred to so that we don't suffer through periods
of elevators not being available.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: But even when they are because nothing is—is perfect eve yours truly like as long as we have some redundancy there's that extra buffer. So, if the MTA would adopt a policy or a best practice moving forward of having more than one elevator is that something you could evaluate?

RONNIE HAKIM: We-we-where it is feasible and we showed that we did that at 63rd Street and other stations as part of Second Avenue. It's just I-I can't say with a blanket statement that we would be able to do that everywhere.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: It's—its

disappointing, but I again think that any time we're

doing new construction or new renovation that is an

opportunity. We're moving right along with number 3.

I have high hopes for modern train control, but was

disappointed to learn that the brand new Second

Avenue Subway was built without it, and upon inquiry,

that even when it is upgraded, we would only get a
headway of three minutes or 20 trains per hour on the
CBTC. Yesterday the New York Times reported that of
the 90 trains scheduled for Grand Central from 8:00
a.m. to 9:00 a.m. only 77 showed up. First, please
give me that data. Second, if the MTA actually ran
the trains you're supposed to, is it possible you
could actually address overcrowding. Moscow has a
similarly aging infrastructure, but has accomplished
90-second headways. What does Russia know that we
don't? Can we reduce our headway from 90 seconds to
add an additional 10 trains per hour increasing
capacity of our system by 25% using our existing
technology.

RONNIE HAKIM: Try to get a subway in Moscow at 2:00 in the morning. They're close. They close every night.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] So, would you suggest that they have a maintenance period over the evenings and--

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] It's a big contributor.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Is there any limitation to our existing technology to having shorter head rate—headways?

RONNIE HAKIM: There—there are a variety of issues. It's power, it's the—the configuration of the line. It's the ability to be put the CBTC equipment on the cars. It's getting the right the fleet in the right place. There are a variety of factors, but everybody—

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]

But—but even the CBTC that we're evaluating is only

20 trains per hour where Moscow is at 40 and

Singapore is at 40. How do we get to 40 trains per hour?

RONNIE HAKIM: I want to get back to you on the specifics of what those headway opportunities are on our CBTC equipped lines, because my numbers are a little bit bigger than this.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, my-my data source was Wikipedia on this.

RONNIE HAKIM: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And so, my fourth and final and we'll continue to follow up is the MTA has proposed cuts in bus service in Manhattan by as

2.2

2.3

much as 33% claiming low ridership. Will the MTA stop cutting buses until the stat of emergency is over, and share Fare Box and Bust Track planned deviations from schedules so that we can turn around our buses and relieve pressure on the subways?[bell]

RONNIE HAKIM: Just quickly, I will go back with our Operations Planning Group to review what the bus service plans are for Manhattan. I'm glad to have further conversation about that/

conclude, and I thank the Chair for the extra time, and my-my wife is from the Soviet Union. One of their phrases that Reagan made famous is trust but verify. MTA continues to say ridership is low, but you're not sharing the fare box data, and I need to see that and my constituents need to see that to see how it compares to real—real world scenarios. You share the fare box for the subway, we need it for the buses. There's a bus track system that your dispatchers use to deviated buses from schedules, and if you could share both of those data sets, we'd be able to not only trust, but we'd be able to verify and work with you to improve the bus service.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 RONNIE HAKIM: We actually do report bus
3 fare box revenue, but would be glad to get more
4 information to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Council Member Constantinides followed by Levin and Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: you, Chair Rodriguez and thank you to our Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for being this hearing and thank you for being here today. So, I know that the stations, the NW stations are slated for upgrades later on this year. That includes things like charging stations and station art, and since the implementation of the Second Avenue Subway, this one has gotten numerous old-old train cars that I used to ride on the 90, and I've complained about this It seems that after the Second Avenue Subway before. came on line, we got in Queens, we got all the old broken down subway cars, and all the new lines got the beautiful ones. So, but it doesn't seem to be a problem, right? \$1.2 billion we're taking away from signals and like buying new train cars for beautification. So, why can't we use some of that

couldn't we take that money, some of that money that

we're using for this beautification for things like I

24

to get an elevator. We have an elevated line.

2.2

2.3

for years I'm hearing this. This is the—the—the
phantom of the elevator. We're supposed to get one
by sometime in 2020 for one elevator, one elevator on
the NW Line. Can you commit to any more than that to
make our line more accessible because we're getting
all these beautifications again for like station art
and charging stations, but we're not getting
substantive elevators.

RONNIE HAKIM: I'll have to look into what the program has on—up in Astoria for the additional elevator work.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And lastly, I mean looking at, you know, what Senator Michael Gianaris and our Mayor have proposed as a dedicated funding source, right, a way to make sure that New York City taxpayers are not being burdened but only the most wealthy are contributing. We contribute so much everyday. \$2.75 every ride. What is wrong with that plan setting the politics aside?

RONNIE HAKIM: Not being a politician, I don't really have an opinion except to say that from a transportation perspective anything that provides some dedicated funding source to the transit system would be—would be a good thing. But I, again, I

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 think there are many being—many different options
3 being discussed.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah, I don't-I don't-you know, I firmly support the Mayor's plan-and-and-our Senator's plan to get this done because honestly we pay enough. You know, our-our city is, you know, why should we take money out of our continued budget to run programs that we have here in the city to give it to the state when you're not running it effectively, and there's no oversight and there's no transparency and there's no guarantee you're not going to show up on doorstep next year asking us for more money, and saying the money we're giving you is not enough. So, I-I just can't see. We need to find a different way of doing this, and I think that's for-that plan works the best. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Council Member Levin. [background comments]

much Chair. Thank you Speaker, thank you Director.

So, sorry. Following up on—on Council Member

Constantinides' question, so it's—it's the position
of the MTA that a dedicated funding stream that the

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Mayor and Senator Gianaris have proposed is—would be 3 helpful or would be a good thing in terms of that—

that amount of funding on an ongoing basis?

RONNIE HAKIM: It's the position of the MTA that any dedicated funding source is helpful and a good thing. The manner in which that funding source is established is not one that I would ever opine on .

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: First off, on accessibility issues I mean I concur with my colleagues that, you know, the-what's frustrating is the-is the small boar modest nature of the accessibility measures that the MTA is putting into So, it's one elevator here, two elevators You know, there are so many inaccessible subway stations throughout the system, and so many New Yorkers who rely on the subway who have accessibility issues. I think what's frustrating is that, you know, it's really-the-the efforts that are being put into place by the MTA are—are truly drops in the bucket, and at this rate, you know, we'll have every-we'll have every station accessible by, you know, 2450, you know. It's-it's-that's the rate at which we're going. So, it will be 400 years before

2.2

2.3

we have every-every station accessible, and that's

just obviously it's not acceptable to any of us. And

so, you know, we really need the MTA to-to use that

power of, you know, a state of emergency to-to-to

6 truly make an impact here.

know, we are fully committed to working with and for our disabled customers and the community. You know, we have both the investments that we're making in the subway service and they're not insignificant. We also have a fully accessible bus system, and we've recently announced a series of steps to improve our para-transit, our—our Access—A—Ride program, all of which are important commitments to the—the disabled community and one that we heartily and—and if you head comments from our board members, they were fully supportive of those investments. The Capital Program, the '15 to '19 Capital Program includes nearly a billion dollars in accessibility needs—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]

There needs to be a lot—there needs to be a lot more.

A billion dollars sound like a lot, but it—it when you—when that turns into the actual number of elevators, it's—it's—it's again it's truly a drop in

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

the bucket. I mean we're talking, you know, a handful of stations when there—there are hundreds that need accessibility measures. And just about Access—A—Ride, I mean you can ask any Access—A—Ride—I don't know what your—your user reviews are on Access—A—Ride, but I think it's—its's the consensus that Access—A—Ride sucks. I mean, maybe it's just—

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] And we're going to make it better.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I—this—I've—I've heard from a constituent who uses a wheelchair that when she goes to the subway station and the elevator is down, she doesn't know it until she's already in the station, and there's no—there's no communication on the outside of the station saying FYI, please recognize that the—that the elevator is down.

RONNIE HAKIM: So, before leaving home we do have that information on mta.info website in terms of available elevators, and we recently have been trying to get real time information posted within minutes, as far as possible on the elevator status.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do you have it by text message? You should do it by text message so people will get that.

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: Alright, I'll look into that. I'll look into what service alerts we could provide. Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, because, you know, not every senior that uses a wheelchair is like checking there—pushing on the iPhone every 10 minutes like the rest of us.

RONNIE HAKIM: No, good-good point.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So, so, I mean a text message, some kind of—but also something that's like on the outside of the station might be helpful as well. Again, not every senior is like always on their iPhone.

RONNIE HAKIM: Both good points.

to ask why this summer? Why is—why is this the summer where everything—I mean is it——? I mean I guess my first question is, and I guess the governor himself is the one that coined term Summer from Hell or Summer of Hell. So, is—is this—I mean is—is it true that things are much worse from your perspective that things ae much worse this summer thank they've been every year, and if so, why this summer? Why is—why is everything coming to a head now? Why does

everything--? I mean is it—is it just this is when

all of the infrastructure starts to give way, or are

you not even seeing it that way? [bell] I mean

candidly like what's—what's the story here?

6 Why—why now?

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: I don't think there's one factor that I can point to say that's why everything has merged into one. I will say I think we have a really great opportunity to take this crisis, take this emergency and do something very important for the city and for New York City Transit riders, and that is to fix the system and maintain it in a—in a more reliable way.

your why there was just—if there's one reason, but like if you were look and take 30,000 foot view of this and assess the—I mean there's always a reason. If—if things are—— First off, I mean is—are things worse this summer than they were like in previous summers from your perspective? I mean is—is that true or is this just a public perception issue? Are things really worse?

RONNIE HAKIM: I—I think there are investments that, you know, have been under-invested

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in. I'm sorry, improvements to infrastructure that have ben under-invested in. I think that we are playing catchup in terms of maintenance and putting our maintenance forces back up to the state and levels that they need to be, and with respect to trying to make things better, I think there is a convergence of acknowledgement, and maybe part of it is the—the perception. But it's because people are saying we want better service and we have agreed we want to provide better service.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, I mean obviously there's-there needs to be a significant amount of better coordination between the city and the state, and there needs to be--I mean this is one instance there I think everybody wants to achieve the same goal, but then I think ultimately there needs to be an acknowledgement that this is all going to be more expensive than-than any of us want it to be, and the resources need to be there. And-and I think that for something like the Mayor proposed, well, you know, this is something where long-term, yes, we need funding now. But, you know, to avoid there being a summer of hell 2019 and a summer of hell 2020 and summer of hell 2021 and basically every

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

summer being a summer of hell, there needs to be a long-term dedicated funding stream to make these infrastructure improvements.

RONNIE HAKIM: And we can't afford to delay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Thank you for your testimony. Is it on? Is it on? I can't hear myself. Thank you. So one, I know we call it the summer of hell. It's probably summers. If you ask a lot of people this is not the first summer that they've had issues, and I know some of the things I may ask you was brought up already. I don't want to get into particulars. I think these—these were covered. I was with the Chairman and Assemblyman Dinowitz with the fantastic idea to do a tour. So, I heard first hand, plus I've been riding the subway since I was a—a little—little kid in New York City. Where's the Chairman?

RONNIE HAKIM: I-I think the Chairman had a conflict this morning, but I'm glad to be here on his behalf.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm glad you're
here, but it's disrespectful. Now, he's all over TV
carrying the Governor's water who I also think is
full of it on these issues, and I think if he wants
to ask us for funding, he should be here and ask
himself. And so, if he has a conflict, he needs to
decide which is more important. So, if he's going to
be all over TV, carrying the Governor's water, and
screaming and yelling about what we're not doing, I
think he should be here. Wouldn't you?

RONNIE HAKIM: I pass that along.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: What do you

think?

2.2

2.3

RONNIE HAKIM: I'm glad to be here. I think this discussion has been very comprehensive and provide as much information as I can.

it because see all the cameras are there because of the political machinations going on. Both the Mayor and the Governor have played it. However, in this particular item, well in a lot of them. I think a lot of items, the Governor needs to high five the diet, and I said that before. It is unfair for him to take pictures in the Second Avenue Subway. It is

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unfair for him not to even invite the Mayor until a couple of hours before, take charge of that and then say he doesn't control the MTA. And so, I think the people generally don't care who controls it, and I understand that. They just want it to be fixed, but we have to have that discussion because I also think it's unfair to ask us for additional money, and if you see-there's an article by former Senator Jim Brennan. I don't know if you saw it. It was in the documents that he was fantastic. He broke down how much money we're actually given, and in his research it's about 1% that comes outside of the MTA. And so, we pay for almost everything including the offices that are there. So, I'm not opposed to additional money, but I am opposed to having a false equivalency that 50/50 is where it's at and we don't control it. So, I need to understand from your point of view who has the most control over the MTA? Is it the state or is it the city?

RONNIE HAKIM: The—there—there is a governance structure of the MTA. As you know, I report to the Chairman and CEO of the MTA as well as to the Board, and obviously to the nearly eight million customers. Six million of them are our

of 50/50?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RONNIE HAKIM: No, I think the issue is where in the provision of services is there a benefit to the city of New York for their--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]

No, no, no, no, no.

RONNIE HAKIM: --robustness of the New York City Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I-I understand that, but you're asking us for additional money. don't have additional oversight. We don't have additional control. So, obviously there is more service in New York City, but we're saying that is the state. So, we have a creature of the state, it's all beneficial to the state, and if we ae providing the vast, vast majority of the funding now, we do not have nearly as much say-so in the governance of it, and Joe Lhota who I actually think is good for the job, but right now he's being disrespectful to this city, disrespectful to this City Council while carrying the false equivalency water of the Governor. He's not even here to ask for the money, but he can be on TV as many times as he wants. He can a lot of foolishness about what he thinks a false equivalency is and not, and not even be here to ask it, and

2.2

2.3

in?

you're telling me that we should be 50/50 in the partnership with no additional governance, no additional oversight. There is no other agency that we can't compel the person if we wanted the agency to be here. Please explain to me where the 50/50 comes

RONNIE HAKIM: Before we go into the money, please permit me to say that Chairman Lhota means no disrespect to you or the other members.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Whether he does or not, that's what I-I feel.

mean disrespect, he should have been here. Out of all the times and all the hysteria that's going on, this is the time for him to be here, and he didn't and I think it shows a lot, and I hope the media covers that because if he wants money from us—anybody who is asking us for money comes before us and asks with respect and he didn't. But I still would like to hear where and how much governance we have in the structure that you laid out 14 members with the fourth in the city.

2	RONNIE HAKIM: So, there are various
3	elements to that question because the city also has a
4	role in approving or vetoing the elements of the
5	Capital Program that relate to the New York City
6	Transit system. That goes beyond the four corners of
7	who's in that MTA Boardroom. So, there's the
8	oversight of the Capital Program. The city has a
9	critical role in that. There is day-to-day
10	coordination in terms of the work that goes on
11	between the MTA and New York City Transit, the City
12	OEM for example. There is just day-to-day
13	coordination. We carry the New York City school
14	children back and forth to school everyday.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So you believe
16	that there is an equal governance in the MTA?
17	RONNIE HAKIM: I-I-I agreed with the
18	Chairman when he suggested that there should be a
19	50/50 split.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: No, I said do
21	you believe there's equal governance with the MTA
22	when it comes to city and state?
23	RONNIE HAKIM: I'm not prepared today to

tick through all of the different elements. I just

24

25

want to--

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 136
2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]
3	So, you're prepared to say we should get 50/50
4	funding, but you're not prepared to say that we have
5	50/50 governance of the MTA?
6	RONNIE HAKIM: Right because I don't
7	think it's necessarily a-a direct correlation.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Alright, I just
9	wanted to make sure we got that on-on the record.
10	RONNIE HAKIM: Fine.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: That we don't
12	have 50/50 governance. You're not willing to say
13	that, but you do want 50/50 money. How much funding
14	in your estimation comes from the city versus comes
15	from the state right?
16	DOUG JOHNSON: Yeah, as I said earlier,
17	there's a billion dollars in direct city aid and then
18	another \$800 million in the urban tax as opposed to
19	state funding sources of \$4.9 billion.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Have you read
21	Assembly Member, former Assembly Member Brennan's
22	post in the Gotham Gazette?
23	RONNIE HAKIM: [off mic] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.

RONNIE HAKIM: [off mic]

24

2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, according
3	to what he says about it's much, much higher than
4	that. Is what he's saying accurate or not accurate?
5	He said about 1% of New York City transit operating
6	funds come from outside the MTA region. He goes int
7	a whole host of things that we pay for either
8	directly or indirectly including Transit Police. So
9	it seemed to be a much broader.
10	DOUG JOHNSON: I got-yeah, that's the
11	interpretation there is—is he's done an analysis of
12	the sources within—the sources of the monies that
13	flow through the various entities—
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]
15	Isn't that important?
16	DOUG JOHNSON:that provide us with
17	funding.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Isn't that
19	important?
20	DOUG JOHNSON: Pardon me?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Isn't that
22	important? I think my-oh, my time is up. So, I
23	think that's important to go to those sources. My
24	thing is I'm not opposedand I thank the Chair for
25	the extra time-I'm not necessarily opposed to

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:

[background comments]

24

25

I'm sorry.

_	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Sorry, just a
3	quick question. Can you just tell us what is being
4	done to give riders more information about what's
5	going on with delays, et cetera in—in real time
6	because it's deeply frustrating and probably would
7	improve efficiency so people can make whatever
8	choices they can make?
9	DOUG JOHNSON: Yes. One of the things
10	that we realized is that our customers aren't aware
11	of what's already available be it the subway time
12	app, the service alerts that they can sign up for by
13	time of day, by line, as well as the information that
14	put up daily on our website or over the weekends when
15	we're doing construction on the Weekender.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You got some
19	questions?
20	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Just to clarify
21	some quick questions and in terms of the 2015-2019
22	Capital Plan Amendment that was approved by the Board
23	in May, has that been submitted to the Capital

Program Review Board and if not, why not?

2.2

2.3

2 RONNIE HAKIM: It has and it was recently approved.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Just recently as in when?

RONNIE HAKIM: I think it was the—the end of June or July.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, so that's taken care of, and in talking about May Capital Plan Amendment in terms of developing it, what entities outside of the MTA helped influence the priorities, and why does it appear that funding was shifted away from rolling stock, which directly affects service and towards station renovations?

RONNIE HAKIM: The—the challenge with subway car contracts is the delivery schedule takes so long that we realize that the deliver schedule of the next subway car contract would actually require money being allocated in the next capital program. So, there was a shift of some money. So, those contracts get awarded under this Capital Program, the cars begin delivery—delivering, we hope under this Capital Program but the complete delivery schedule for over 1,000 subway cars by necessity goes into the next Capital Program.

2.2

2.3

understanding, I think it was raised before, that in your own Emergency Assessment the issues that the greatest percentage of delays has to deal with power, but also obviously with the signal upgrades. Has there been any thought given to maybe delaying some of the goes. I think it goes a little bit to what Costa was saying, delaying some of these subway station renovations or improved beautification or whatever you want to call them and maybe applying and pushing up some of the capital—I means some of the changes to the signals and the infrastructure that needs to go around that?

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: But then

a robust investment in signals and in interlocking and in communication technology. To the extent that the Governor recently offered another billion dollars I'll take it, but that doesn't necessarily mean that it should be to the detriment of improving the lighting and the—the circulation and the passageways and the platforms of our stations.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And those subway station improvements how many are we talking about that are in the Capital Plan?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 142
2	RONNIE HAKIM: There are about 140
3	stations, and I'll provide you with a follow-up with
4	the specific numbers, Speaker. There are about 140
5	stations that are a series of component renewals.
6	There is another 33 stations that include an upgrade
7	of facilities, lighting including some art, platforms
8	and customer amenities as well.
9	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Does that include
10	the ADA compliance issues or improving
11	RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] That's a
12	separate part of the program and there are an
13	additional 25 stations that become ADA compliant in
14	this program.
15	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, so then,
16	and we would like a listing of those
17	RONNIE HAKIM: Certainly.
18	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO:two. Yeah, are
19	there certain factors that you consider in terms of
20	which stations you identify for improving elevators
21	or putting in elevators or making the more
22	accessible?
23	RONNIE HAKIM: Yes, what we really try to

within our ADA accessible network. So, where

24

25

do is-is look at how to get as much connectivity

2.2

2.3

stations cross lines are good candidates for ADA

accessibility as well as other statins with a

particular. Maybe there's a hospital nearby or a

particular community that—that would benefit from an

6 ADA accessible station.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So, we would love to see that—that listing as well, and then when—when—the last question I have when Steve Levin was talking, Council Member Levin was talking about some of the accessibility concerns, and mentioned obviously the real problems that we have with Access—A-Ride. He said it sucked. You said you're going to improve it. So, what's the plan for improving Access—A-Ride?

RONNIE HAKIM: There are multiple elements to the plan. Perhaps the—the most direct is including a larger component of fleets that have accessible vehicles in them. Not all of the vehicles that are in the Paratransit Service Network are accessible. So, we've been working with the broker services to have more accessible vehicles. What we really want to get to is a more customer friendly approach to being able to schedule your ride, even get to a place where you can schedule your ride on

2.2

2.3

the same day, not the day before. We want to hold-
hold the providers, the contracted providers to
better service. Yeah, we have heard about chronic
lateness on those rides whether it's on the pickup
end or when they go finish an appointment and they're
kept waiting. So, really holding the contractors

much more accountable to our customers.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And you know what would be helpful if there's a way of trying to do more accountability and more—being able to more—dig deeper into the concerns, is if there was a way for the MTA to separate within all of this emergency planning, within all the capital work. What are the improvements that will make it more accessible for riders, you know, who have needs, and that are disabled.

RONNIE HAKIM: Certainly.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: If there's a separate plan that could speak directly to what the work of the MTA so that we can speak to that more—more effectively, and I think that that's something that I would highly, you know, ask for your consideration—

RONNIE HAKIM: [interposing] Absolutely.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO:is that there
be a separate report of sort about how this work that
you are focusing on is going to make rider share
hetter for those who are disabled

RONNIE HAKIM: And we'll put something on our website accordingly.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. I would appreciate that, and that's it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How important is it to deal with this, to come out from the crisis (coughs) where were with the MTA for the private sector in New York City?

RONNIE HAKIM: I—I think we view the subway system the economic engine, the life blood of the city of New York. I think everybody recognizes that if the subway system is not functioning well, business suffers, retail suffers, people suffer because they can't get to their appointments or their schools or their jobs.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And—and, of course like I know that you guys as a team, you know, you hear feedback from riders as also with the—from academic to the business community. So, I was having a conversation like a few weeks ago with some members

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the real estate, that also the understand that everyone should be on the table to do more because our train systems the moves six million people everyday, it's not just important for the working class or the middle-class, but also it's for the economy of our city, and they get it especially those renting luxury apartments. But those new tenants some of them who are living in London because after 9/11 New York City thinks that has not been having any terrorist attack. So, have become one of the safest cities in the world, and we are attracting not only working class, but that percentage of individuals that is coming from different places from Asia, from Europe, and they expect that they will get a similar train system as they have in London or they have Tokyo or they have in Hong Kong. So, are we in a place where we can take advantage of this crisis? And all sectors should be, you know, be able to think out of-out of the box, and think about not only on the governmental contribution from the city and the state, but from the private sector to say we can do our share in this particular moment?

RONNIE HAKIM: So, here to-I think that's

a great point, and Chair, there has been very limited

2 experiences where the private sector through

3 development has invested in transit. One Vanderbilt

4 there are some examples. The extension of the No. 7

5 out to Hudson Yards.

1

20

6 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]

7 But-but I get it. This, and I believe in your

8 | leadership, and I hope that you can keep having a

9 | higher level of leadership with the MTA. But for

10 | this moment I want to move from the business

11 association. For me this is about it—I get it, but

12 | there's only a thousand more reasons on how we

13 explain how we've been running the largest

14 | transportation system in the whole world. And yes,

15 | it's not that—we cannot say that it's worst when it

16 comes to moving New Yorkers and tourists 24 hours to

17 | many communities even though—even though we also have

18 | to address the transportation deserts. But for me

19 | it's about thinking out of the box.

RONNIE HAKIM: Uh-hm.

21 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: When you meet

22 | with those individuals who also many contributions,

23 | they have made the contributions, but what is the

24 commitment that they have? To do more, to say that

25 ∥ we make as New Yorkers, you know, the transportation

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 system, should be better than London, should be

3 better than Canada, should be better than Tokyo. Are

4 we getting that energy, you know, that fire from

5 those sectors to say here we are, yes we have

6 contributed, but we are ready to contribute more.

RONNIE HAKIM: In-in terms of

8 development, I think that's a great opportunity.

9 Recently as part of this recovery plan, we've also

10 | introduced the idea of a subway partnership program

11 | in order to elicit support from private—the prate

12 sector, and look forward to hopefully interested

13 | corporate sponsors.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, because my thing is especially as I have liked it from the beginning. I believe that all the proposals that we have on the table right now will allow us raise \$27 million in the next ten years, but if you take control of the proposal it's \$2 billion, and we will hear from it then on. If you take Dino's (sic) proposal that's like \$2 billion. If you work together with the plan of New York, that's \$10 million in the next ten years. If we ask 32,000 New Yorkers to contribute \$27,000 more every year, it's

not a penalty. It's not going after those who are

- 2 doing well. We congratulate them. It's about are
- 3 they ready, are they open to have this conversation
- 4 on if we continue more business that we're helping
- 5 | the working class, it's that we're helping ourselves.
- 6 Because they also work in the real estate. They work
- 7 | in the private sector. They work on Wall Street.
- 8 They have good jobs, but do they get it about
- 9 commitment, that investment also be used, will be
- 10 \parallel used to make our train system and a 21^{st} Century one?
- 11 RONNIE HAKIM: No, as—as was discussed
- 12 earlier, I think there are a lot of ideas on the
- 13 | table, and we'll hope-hope that something good comes
- 14 | out of the discussion.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And I hope so.
- 16 Just two questions. One is—the last one that I have.
- 17 | Your 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment, which means to
- 18 go over capital plans I t stated that funding for
- 19 continued maintenance should be \$16.1 billion for
- 20 | signals, cars and stations. Yet, in the most recent
- 21 | Capital Plan, 2015-19 the level was just \$2.7
- 22 | billion. However, in the most recent Capital Plan,
- 23 | the budget for this need was cut to-to 12-I mean to
- 24 | 12--\$12.5 billion from \$12.7. Would you agree that

things that we want to come out of here is a method

24

25

2 of reporting not just to the City Council, but

3 frankly to the entirety of your constituent base on a

4 regular basis on the progress of our Subway Recovery

5 Plan.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: We would just like again, and we look at you as the partners in this conversation. We appreciate even though I feel the same level of frustration as my colleague the Speaker for the Chairman not to be here, but you've been doing a great job explaining, taking us through the whole process of this, to get more details on that reporting. I believe that also this is one particular thing that I hope with the new leadership I hope to see. We have to leave the-we have to leave behind the level of arrogance that we have seen in past. If we're in the same boat, if we're in the same commitment, if we are here to say we can lead the most important legacy right now to take a transportation system to the 21st Century then we should go-we should be partners, and thank you again for your participation and in a few minutes we will be joined by Dean Fuleihan and DOT Commissioner Polly Trottenberg. We'll take five minutes, you know, a break.

_	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 132
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, were
3	listening from the MTA, and now we have the honor to
4	the representative from Mayor de Blasio Dean Fuleihar
5	and also DOT Commissioner with great expertise on
6	transportation Polly Trottenberg, and now the counse
7	will administer the affirmation and then invite them
8	to deliver their testimony.
9	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right
10	hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole
11	truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony
12	before this committee, and to respond honestly to
13	Council Member questions?
14	PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic] We do.
15	LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. You may
16	proceed.
17	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman. Good afternoon Speaker Melissa Mark-
19	Viverito, Chairman Rodriguez and members of the
20	Transportation Committee. I'm Polly Trottenberg,
21	Commissioner of the New York City Department of
22	Transportation, and one of the city's representatives
23	on the MTA Board. With me today is Dean Fuleihan,
24	Director or New York City's Office of Office of

Management and Budget. At the outset I want to thank

25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Chair and other members of the committee for convening such a timely and important hearing. On behalf of Mayor de Blasio I also want to express the city's gratitude to the men and women of the MTA who drive trains and buses and work in the tunnels day in and day out under very difficult circumstances to get New Yorkers where they need to go. We should never lose sight of the fact that this city could not run with the difficult work they do for all of us. We're here today to testify about the role the city plays in working with the MTA as a major funding sources, a partner on bus service and is an advocate on behalf of all New Yorkers who rely in the system, which we all agree is facing a crisis. Yesterday the Mayor presented a fair fix, the his vision for providing additional resources for New York City subways and buses while also ensuring low-income New Yorkers can access public transportation more affordably. pleased to have this supportive leaders in Albany including Senator Michael Gianaris, Assembly Member Danny O'Donnell, you, Mr. Chair, Transportation Chairman Ydanis Rodriguez as well as other elected officials, advocates and labor leaders who joined the mayor yesterday. It worth stepping back and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

considering the context for our current moment of crisis. More people are choosing to live and work in New York City than ever before, a population now of over 8.5 million is the highest in recorded history. Between 2010 and 2016 the city created 600,000 new jobs and added 360,000 new residents. Sustainable modes of transportation particularly in New York City's public transit system are powering this New Yorkers need reliable and efficient growth. public transit to continue to succeed, but our transportation system is now bursting at the seams. This summer is highlighting the real strain the MTA is under and the train commuters across the city are feeling as a result of the record ridership and years of deferred maintenance. Mayor de Blasio recognizes that our transit system is the backbone of our economy, and he knows firsthand the frustration that riders rightly feel as service has continued to deteriorate, and delays prevent them from getting to work, school and other important destinations. Between 2010 and 2016, we've seen about a 9% increase in subway ridership. If we want to continue to support the region's growth and have the capacity to accommodate all those who want to live and work in

2 New York City, we must work together to ensure that the MITA has the right management tools and 3 4 structure, political support and the resources it I want to emphasize that the city of New York needs. I want to emphasize that the city of 6 and the MTA. New York and the MTA have a long history of working 8 together to improve mobility for New Yorkers. Today we're continuing that collaboration on a number of fronts including the rollout of additional Select Bus 10 11 Service routes, expansion of transit signal priority 12 and other citywide bus service improvements, and 13 development of a mitigation strategy for the 14 impending L Train-L Train closure. Turning to the 15 crisis of service declines, I want to provide some context. Over the last five years subway delays have 16 17 more than doubled from around 28,000 per month in 18 2012 to more than 70,000 per month today. Only 63% 19 of trains are now running on time, a drop of more 20 than 15% since 2012 meaning longer waits and less 21 reliable travel times. Starting this spring the deterioration in service has accelerated even more 2.2 2.3 rapidly. As a result, commuters across the city are suffering, reporting real human costs such as lost 24 25 wages, childcare gaps and missed medical

There's been a lot of discussion today 2 appointments. 3 and throughout the recent months on the role that additional funding should play in addressing the 4 MTA's challenges. It's critical that this discussion is informed by a clear understanding of New York 6 7 City's current substantial role in supporting the MTA 8 financially something I know many council members are well familiar with. To provide some background, New York City, its residents, visitors and daily 10 11 workforce contribute over two-thirds of the MTA's 12 \$15.6 billion annual operating budget; \$4.7 billion in fares; \$3.7 billion in dedicated taxes; \$1 billion 13 14 in bridge and tunnel tolls; and \$800 million in 15 direct operating support from the city of New Yorkers 16 totaling \$10.2 billion. In addition to the direct 17 support, New York City provides in kind services and 18 capital program support of about \$800 million 19 annually, and New York City residents bear the brunt 20 of regular fare and toll increases in order to keep the MTA on firm financial footing. And as the city's 21 operating contributions continue to grow, the state 2.2 2.3 has consistently diverted (coughs) promised operating funding for the MTA year after year. In fact, since 24 2011, as has been discussed this morning, the state 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

has siphoned off \$456 million from the MTA including \$65 million just this past in diverted payroll mobility tax replacement funds, a move the received vocal objections from many city and state legislatures as well as transit advocates. regard to the MTA's Capital Plan, the Mayor has committed an unprecedented \$2.5 billion and the vast majority of these funds have yet to be used. Much of that money is ready to go hand-in-hand with the state's own contribution, and yesterday the Mayor proposed his Fair Fix Plan to address future capital needs. The City is encouraged that Chairman Lhota has stepped up to the plate and delivered a 30-day plan to start what will need to be a sustained and longterm effort to get the MTA back on track. The plan that Chairman Lhota and Managing Director Ronnie Hakim discussed this morning is a position step In particular, we're pleased that the plan forward. emphasizes the need to accelerate track and signal maintenance to tackle disruptive power losses. while inconvenient for subway riders, the MTA's plan for additional closures overnight is necessary to increase sorely needed preventive maintenance. Mayor has also advocated for a public performance

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dashboard modeled off COMPSTAT, and we're pleased to see that the MTA is planning to implement such a The successful implementation of the overall system. 30-day plan will require assistance from the city and the Mayor has said he stands ready to work with the council to provide help with its implementation including the deployment of NYPD, FDNY and Department of Homeless Services resources, and we do think the plan should be implemented as quickly as possible to bring riders some immediate relief. We also know that Chairman Lhota has called on New York City to finance half of the plan's costs, which the MTA estimates at \$456 million in operating costs and \$380 million in capital costs. That line of \$456 million is the exact amount of money that the state has diverted from the MTA Operating Budget since 2011. Before we turn to the important question of how this plan will be funded, there are some questions to be raised about the plan's long-term financial impact on the MTA. The plan would add \$456 million to the MTA's Operating Budget in the first year with a recurring cost that the MTA estimates to be at least \$300 million per year to cover the ongoing expense of hiring 2,700 new employees and their associated

We should be mindful and I know it was 2 3 discussed this morning that staffing of 2,700 new 4 positions expeditiously will be a challenging task. 5 According to a recent Wall Street Journal article, the MTA is currently facing significant difficulties 6 7 filling existing vacancies. At present, the MTA has 8 not identified a way to cover those recurring operating costs. Moreover, the MTA has not made available many key details of the plan including cost 10 11 allocations that support its overall estimate and a 12 clear time table for significantly expanding its 13 workforce. The plan has to also be considering the 14 context of the overall fiscal picture for the MTA. 15 At the July Board meeting, MTA Chief Financial 16 Officer Bob Foran presented the MTA's Updated Financial Plan, which projects significant operating 17 18 deficits in the not too distant future. The MTA also 19 stated that it needs to find hundreds of millions of dollars in new recurring savings on top of scheduled 20 21 fare and toll increase to stay out of the red. 2.2 Without these measures, the agency faces an annual 2.3 operating deficit of \$206 million in 2018 rising to \$1.5 billion by 2021, and those estimates to not even 24 account for the additional \$300 million or more I 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just mentioned that the agency's new rescue would add to its ongoing operating costs. It's clear that the current financial trajectory for the MTA is unsustainable, and cannot be addressed through onetime patches. When it comes to funding the shortterm rescue plan, the Mayor has made the city's position clear: The state should return the \$456 million that has been diverted from the MTA to the State's General Fund, and regardless of which agency is paying or exactly how it's being funded, any state money going to install decorative lights on the state's bridges should be reallocated to help New York City straphangers. Beyond this short-term rescue plan, the MTA does need additional dedicated revenue sources to fund modernization and upgrades necessary to reduce delays and improve service. Because we know that the city's economy depends on a reliable subway and bus service Mayor de Blasio is proposing a Fair Fix, the income tax surcharge on our wealthiest citizens that will generate nearly \$800 million a year for the New York City subways, buses and the Staten Island Railway. To elaborate, the city's proposal will increase the city's highest marginal income tax rate from 3.9% to 4.4% on taxable

incomes by \$500,000 for individuals, \$750,000 for 2 3 heads of household and \$1 million for married 4 couples. This new revenue proposal would generate and fund critical upgrades like work on your signals, 5 track repairs as well as the purchase of new subway 6 7 cars and buses. The city is also proposing to fund 8 the Fair Fares concept providing half price fares for approximately 800,000 low-income New Yorkers. the city's proposal, half price Metro Cards would be 10 11 available to New Yorkers at or below the federal 12 poverty level. And let's be clear, this marginal tax 13 increase would apply only to approximately 32,000 New 14 York City tax files, those who are doing quite well 15 and can afford to pay just a little bit more so that 16 the region's economy continues to thrive, and working 17 with our partners in the State Legislature, we want 18 to ensure that there are protections from diverting 19 this revenue for other purposes. The city hopes that 20 the Governor, MTA and the State Legislature support 21 the Fair Fares proposal, which asks the most 2.2 fortunate city taxpayers to chip in a little bit more 2.3 to ensure that the MTA and our region's economy is thriving. As an MTA board member I have fiduciary 24 responsibility to the agency and I take that very 25

seriously as do my colleagues. Many of us are 2 3 interested in having a serious conversation about 4 potential reforms the MTA can implement to 5 reprioritize its spending and accelerate project delivery. The most recent Capital Program Amendment 6 7 presented to the board in May of 2017, contains worthwhile additional funding for projects including 8 \$700 million for Phase 2 of the Second Avenue Subway; \$226 million in accessibility improvements and \$196 10 11 million for new buses. However, the Amendment also acknowledges the significant delay of over \$1.2 12 13 billion in subway car purchases, and with it the 14 potential for improved service and reliability across 15 the system. This delay is emblematic of the chronic 16 challenges in delivering capital projects on time and 17 on budget facing Chairman Lhota and the MTA. 18 our understanding that Chairman Lhota is currently 19 developing a second phase of the Subway Action Plan 20 focusing on system modernization and Capital Plan 21 improvement. We look forward to seeing that plan as well as the results of the Genius Challenge 2.2 2.3 Competition, and here's some of the items that New York City would be looking for in MTA's future 24 capital plans and capital plan amendments: A focus 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on coordinates for riders. Future capital plans and amendments should have their primary focus on increasing the reliability and capacity of the subway This means investments in the maintenance system. and modernization of signals, cars, tracks and switches, the basic components of transit service that New Yorkers can predictably and consistently lie on-rely on. And while our focus today is on subways, continued investments in improving and more reliable bus service must continue to be a priority as well. Improved management and expedited project deliver. The money available to upgrade poor subway systems is not being used quickly enough. The MTA should find creative ways to speed up the pipeline of important maintenance and upgrade projects in major investments like Communication Based Train Control, which are taking too long to complete and are at risk of further delay.

A Serious Reckoning of Costs: The MTA
has among the highest operating and capital
reconstruction costs of any major transit agency in
the world. According to the Regional Plan
Association, New York ranks well above Paris, London
and Madrid in construction costs of comparable

2 projects. The recently completed Second Avenue

3 Subway is the most expensive subway extension in the

4 | world with a cost of \$807 million per track mile. In

5 | contrast London's Northern Line Extension to

6 Battersea costs roughly \$124--\$124 million per track

7 mile.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

We're at a critical moment for New York City's transportation system with deferred maintenance, high capital costs and management issues posing a real challenge for all of us. The vitality of the city and quality of life for all New Yorkers depend on a functioning and reliable MTA. forward to Chairman Lhota and his team of transportation professionals including Pat Foye, Ronnie Hakim, Janno Lieber and Phil Eng identifying steps the Authority can take to lower the cost of projects so New York City riders and taxpayers can get more for their hard earned taxes, tolls and fares. We're at a critical moment for New York City's transportation system. The vitality of the city and quality of life for all New Yorkers depends on a functioning MTA. In recent weeks we've seen a lot of healthy debate and discussion and I know passions are running high right now because the

stakes are so high for the city, our residents and
our commuters, but in the coming months we must all
work together at all levels of government to advocate
for the changes our system demands and our riders

6 deserve. Thank you and happy to answer your

7 questions.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Well, thank you both for being here to-to Dean and to the Commissioner. Commissioner, can you just talk a little bit more about-I'm kind of curious about the communication between MTA and DOT particularly when it comes to expansion on the bus side on the lines and making those improvements, BRT expanding bus routes. Obviously expanding our transportation infrastructure is-is helpful, right? It's trying to ease some congestion if there's more options for people, and reliable options. I'm someone that prefers to be above ground not below ground. So the extent I can take buses, I prefer to do that, and so I know there was some requests from Council Members that were here earlier about more BRT lines for instance in the boroughs that they reside in or expansion of bus routes into areas that are considered transportation deserts, and I'm just

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

curious about that, but also about how the level of engagement is with MTA in coordinating that.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I-I would say that I think on-on bus service and BRT in particular the two agencies do work very, very close together, and I think we've had a very, very productive partnership. We have 13 SBS lines up and running, two more coming this summer: Woodhaven and the BX6 in the Bronx, and we've started also the two agencies to focus together on things we can do to improve bus service citywide. At the last Board meeting we just voting the MTA is now doing a new procurement, which will enable them to greatly speed up their process of Transit Signal Priority, which enables buses to get a green light when they come to an intersection, and on the city end we're-we've now pledged to quadruple the rate at which we install the necessary infrastructure in the traffic signal system. So, on the day-to-day level we're very working very closely together, and we certainly recognize we're talking a lot about subways, but improving bus service is really another key priority where the two agencies, I think, have worked together well, and continue to do so.

Citi Bike. So, all of that is--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Well, I mean ferries will be included in that, the bike infrastructure right? People talked about expanding

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing] Right, well, right, above and beyond that as—as you all know, and you've-you've been great partners, the city is continuing on the transportation end on the things that are in our purview building out the bike network, looking to what the next phase of expansion is going to be for Bike Share. The citywide ferry system, as we know, just had its millionth ride, and that's going to continue to expand. We're also rolling out this year, this coming year a car sharing pilot. So, we're certainly looking at every means we can to provide alternative transportation, but we also recognize the main source of transportation for New Yorkers is always going to be our subway and bus system, and—and we want to be a good partner in that as well.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Now, in terms of just—this will be my last question, but in terms of the role on the Board, the MTA Board and the other city representatives, you—we've heard and laid, you

2.2

2.3

know, you obviously have laid out and the Mayor's long-term vision, additional revenue streams and ways of getting support from the state to do that. And that that's really the focus, and wanting to see some more detail on the plan the—the Chairman has presented and the MTA to justify this additional investment by the city, and we'll be taking a look at all that, too. But do you as members of the—the city representatives on the Board, understanding that a minority, do you work collectively? Have you expressed any of these concerns along the way to the Board, pushed back on some of the thoughts and—and

the processes that had-this Chairman has been

presenting in this emergency plan?

we certainly have collectively and individually and I it was mentioned today we only fortunately just got our fourth Board member, and I would say for close to two years I was the city's only board member. So, the city has actually unfortunately rarely had all four of us. We do now, and I'm happy to see one of my-my colleagues is here from the Board. You know, the city just-just to be clear on the MTA Board, I think a lot of people know this. It's a Board with

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 17 voting members, four of those members they each
 3 only get a quarter vote. So, there's only 14 votes.
 4 So, the city gets 4 out of the 14, and, you know, I
 5 would say our experience on the MTA Board is
 6 sometimes we get to work more cooperatively with the
 7 MTA than other times. I think we've spent a lot of
 8 time trying to press them on getting more
 9 information, and when Chairman Lhota came in, we
- 13 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay, thank you.

and be more transparent in how it operates.

I will pass it back to the Chair.

presented him with a list of ideas we had for how the

Board could share more information with Board members

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Speaker. Commissioner, on Monday the Mayor

announced, and you were there, just saying his—their

support for a millionaire's tax that will generate

\$700 million to \$800 million a year with more than

\$500 million going toward capital costs for the

subways and buses and \$250 million for half price

Metro Cards for low-income residents. What is the

Administration's plan for getting this tax enacted?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, I'll—

I'll speak a bit about that, and I'm sure my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

colleague would like to as well. I mean, you know, I think for those of you who got to attend the-the Mayor's announcement yesterday, you know, he spoke about he's prepared to do what he can to advocate for this idea to work with allies. Obviously we have Senator Gianaris, Assembly Member O'Donnell, other members of the Legislature up in the state and a bunch of allies, but the Mayor also did make appoint of saying, you know, this is the idea that he thinks makes the most sense, but if there are other ideas that emerge out of this debate and discussion, he's happy to look at those, and I think just excited to try and get a real process going since I think there is now pretty broad consensus the MTA does need an additional revenue stream. We even heard it today from Managing Director Hakim.

mentioned yesterday that he believed that this tax in particular had a—a strong likelihood. It has been adopted at the state level now twice in the past few years. It was just re-upped recently at a much higher rate. We are saying that in place of a regional tax, in place of a statewide tax, we are—the Mayor was very clear we are talking about a tax on

2.2

2.3

move forward.

less than 1% of our—of our taxpayers at a 0.534% that would be New York City based and would be used specifically for core infrastructure needs and the Fair Fares proposal for New York City residents. So, it is improve the New York City subway system for those core infrastructure projects that have been discussed this morning: Signaling, an increase in the subway car purchases and the Staten Island Railroad in addition to the Fair Fare. This covers that. It's a modest increase, and we actually do believe that this has a strong opportunity and the Mayor again, as the Commissioner just said, will do everything he can to make sure that this is able to

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I—and as you know, I—I believe it's a great initiative they ask for those 32,000 New Yorkers that per family they're more—they're making more than \$1 million, and as I said before, I don't see, as you know, a penalty for we are going after those who are doing better, but it's about how those who many of them they have good jobs. Many of them the work in the private sector. Many of them they work in the real estate sector. How they will contribute to make the train a 21st

trying to persuade them also?

2.2

2.3

- Century one that is good for them, too. So, it's not only for them to help the working and the most disadvantaged New Yorkers. Has the Mayor engaged in some conversations with some voices of that sector
 - DEAN FULEIHAN: [interposing] Yeah, we-CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Is there some of them to lead the conversation.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Correct, we are—we have been reaching out, and we will continue to reach our aggressively. You're absolutely right. I mean we've heard this morning. The Commissioner said it. This is vital to the economic health of the city, and these are—these are residents, New York City residents who have benefitted the most from—from the infrastructure that we have with the MTA.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, and—and we are joined by, you know, a great colleague Assembly Member Jeff Dinowitz who unfortunately couldn't be with us since early this morning, but we spent those 24 hours in—in the subways, and—and one of the initiative, proposals that we had on the table is his proposal. That he's also asking for the state to take in more percentage of the taxes it has to be—

2.2

2.3

it's not to create a new tax, but it's to take a small percent of our taxes to the state level, and dedicate the percentage to the transportation not only for the City of New York but for the State of New York. He will explain late on his idea, but I would like to hear from you since he will speak after you—after you leave the panel. As someone also, the former Budget Director at the state level, what do you think about that proposal also that would also allow to raise more than \$2 billion for transportation, and 8% is coming to the New York City MTA?

Mayor said yesterday there are obviously other proposals and we should be looking at those proposals and we expect others will come over the next coming days. The—the Assemblyman's proposal is one of those. It addresses a broader—a broader issue I believe. I—I could be corrected, but it addressed the entire MTA—MTA region, and it was attempting to take a piece of the state personal income tax and do—and—and dedicate it to the entire MTA and the MTA need. We never said and the Mayor never said there aren't other needs. What we put forward was okay,

2.2

2.3

here's something we can do and get support within New York City for a New York City tax on a very small—small group of—of—of residents of New York City who have done extremely well to both help the poorest in New York City to afford transportation, to maintain the economic success that we have been, we have been—we have been seeing, and the growth that we have been seeing as well as the core critical existing capital infrastructure projects. So, it was very focused on what the purpose, but the Mayor was very clear, and was very open that there were other—other forms of—of—of taxation or other ideas that he was quite sure would be presented and we would be happy to have that conversation.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I—as I said
before, I—I believe it is time to—for all
stakeholders that have voices on—on the present and
future and the MTA to sit down at the table. I
believe that that should include the Mayor. The
Speaker should at the table, the Governor, too, and
the private sector. We are in a moment where we can
take advantage of this crisis because everyone are
part of this conversation. The reason in those 24
hours we were able to get riders to fill out the

2 survey it was because they wanted to speak. 3 wanted for their voices to be heard loud and clear, 4 and those riders they were poor, they were middle class, there was wealthy. There were Black, Asian, Latinos. So, this is the opportunity that I hope 6 7 that we can move in immense. I think that if we are 8 able to bring everyone together, you know, everything should be discussed. But the issue kind of also for the state to act to call for a special session to 10 11 look on particular proposals that are on the table, 12 and see how we can work together. MTA should keep 13 doing the repairs. They have the \$15 billion Operating Budget for this year. They have the \$32 14 15 billion budget capital that ended in 20-in 2020. I think that the most important is following voter 16 17 plan at the same time that in this year before we end 18 2017, we should look at all proposals. This morning 19 we were able to put everything on the table, Plan 20 Move New York to raise \$1 billion for the MTA every 21 year. It means \$10 billion in the next ten years. 2.2 Assemblyman Dinowitz gives \$2 billion every year. 2.3 The Comptroller proposal is \$2 billion also right here in the plan. (sic) So, I think in total being 24 25 able to say that we can see the MTA they should be

2.2

2.3

able to have \$27 billion in the next ten year, and on
the—and for the MTA also to control the cost and come
back to us, too, on how they can raise their own
revenue. As I say, there are a lot of real estates.
They are a \$1 trillion asset value corporation. So,
as—you know, as a member of, you know, in this case a

8 Board, is MTA having those conversations among the 16 members of the Board?

I think the—the general feeling, and I look at it.

You heard it from Managing Director Hakim today. I think she does view that the decision about where revenues are going to come from is largely one that's—that's determined by political leadership.

Now that said, the MTA has, you know, certainly does look for efforts to capture some value from the real estate it owned. It has advertising revenues, but let's be honest. The—the vast majority of the MTA's revenues come from the city and the state and from the riders and the toll payers

DEAN FULEIHAN: I'd like to add two—two
points to what you just said, and what the
Commissioner just said. We will need—and the Mayor
raised this yesterday—we will need a very strong

it was intended.

2.2

2.3

2	clear maintenance of effort to make sure that
3	whatever taxes dedicated, whatever additional source
4	actually goes to the purpose intended. And one of
5	the—one of the issues that has to be addressed is the
6	\$456 million that has been taken from dedicated taxes
7	that were intended for the MTA and has gone into
8	state general-general purposes and not to the purpose

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Well, how do you respond? You heard the answers that they provided with regards to this issue saying that the money went into the Capital Fund and they don't believe it was a full amount that has been indicated. If you could just respond to what it—

DEAN FULEIHAN: It is—it is clear since

2011, and we are happy to give you the exact amount

every single year that \$456 million of dedicated

revenue—those were not—those were enacted state taxes

that were intended—

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing] For the MTA?

DEAN FULEIHAN: --and it was clear for the MTA, and for no other purpose.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So, you—but his—the—the gentleman. I'm—I'm not remember his name saying that that money went into the Capital as opposed to the Operating.

DEAN FULEIHAN: There was \$65 million that where there was a back-up proposal that capital could be used. That is very different than an operating commitment, which is what those taxes were intended for. They were clearly diverted from that purpose.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I'm just happy to hear that. As you know, speaking on behalf of Mayor de Blasio and the Administration that even though the Mayor believes that, the beginning—the plan to raise the \$500 million I mean \$750 million, \$250 of those for the Fair Fare. We have Mr. Jones here a greater leader in the Riders Alliance the whole coalition. They have been pushing for that initiative. For us it was a top priority also that led by the Speaker and—and the rest of my colleagues. We were not able to get it done that we fought for. So, seeing—knowing that that is included also in the—in the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 just believe that again that this is a moment where 3 all initiatives should be on the table. I think that 4 this is a moment where from that initiative to plan Move New York to the City Comptroller Plan to the Assembly Member who chaired the Committee or 6 7 corporation, oversight the MTA plan. I think that then if we raise those monies and all those four 8 initiatives then we can tell the MTA here you have everything you need more than what you are asking 10 11 for. Now it's up to hour leadership to take us to the 21st Century. Okay, Council Member [off mic] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you. Good 13 14

afternoon. I want to talk about this \$465 million?

DEAN FULEIHAN: 56.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: \$456 million.

I want to talk about the finances. I want to talk a little bit about the governance and I want to talk about the Mayor's Millionaire's Tax Plan, but let's talk about the finances.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: First, of all, I understand from the press release that the Mayor put out that \$65 million of what you're counting as a diversion to use the—the term in the press release,

DEAN FULEIHAN: There was a commitment that when the Payroll Mobility Tax was—the Payroll Mobility Tax was enacted in 2009—

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: When we were both in different positions up in Albany.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Correct, that's correct and it was—it was part of one of the—at the—at that time Lieutenant Governor Richard drafted—

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
Richard Ravitch.

DEAN FULEIHAN: --that proposal. It was—
it now produces about \$1.6 billion. There was an
amendment to it that—for certain small businesses,
for certain—for school districts. There may have
been some other not—for—profits that were included in
that that they would be—they would be taken out of
paying the Payroll Mobility Tax, and in place the
state committed that they would make the difference,
and the MTA would not lose any dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Uh-hm, but that money wasn't--

	COMMITTEE ON TRANSFORTATION
2	DEAN FULEIHAN: That hasn't happened.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: That money
4	wasn't diverted. I mean correct me if I'm wrong.
5	It's not like the state collected that \$65 million
6	from the libraries, the small businesses
7	DEAN FULEIHAN: [interposing] Again.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:and then-and
9	then put it into its own General Fund.
10	DEAN FULEIHAN: Again, we-we heard just
11	from the MTA this morning the crisis they confronted
12	in 2009. Part of the crisis that was addressed was
13	the Payroll Mobility Tax. The Payroll Mobility Tax
14	was on all employers and employees in this—in the
15	metropolitan region. There was an adjustment made and
16	a commitment. That commitment was not kept. I would
17	label that as diverting revenues that were intended
18	and dedicated for the Metropolitan
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
20	Diverted to whom? There were diverted back to the
21	taxpayers who didn't have to pay that tax.
22	DEAN FULEIHAN: The tax, the intent of
23	the tax-we can argue about the semantics of it. It

doesn't really matter.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But—but your 3 press release because it says divert.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Oh, in 60—the vast majority of the money has been taken for General Fund Relief of that \$456. There is some money where the state pledges that they would make up the difference and send to the MTA. There's no way around that. That pledge was not kept. That's \$456 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And the—the

Mobility Tax, which we're talking about here how much

does it bring in a year?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Right now I believe—I could be corrected. I believe it's approximately \$1.6 billion.

again, as a legislator for New York City whether when I was in Albany or now as City Council member I would like New York City to get every penny that it's due, and then some. I have to say and—and you're a budget professional and that's an understatement, a—a deal where the city ends up getting a million—a billion plus a year over—since 2009 or 2010 and then at some point \$400 million or \$465 million of that gets taken

2.2

2.3

state?

out, I lament that as a city resident, but you're an experienced budget hand. That's a pretty good deal for the city overall. That's billions and billions of dollars that were also sent over those years to be—not from your or either of you two, but from the Mayor's communications people. To be more about political messaging and talking points than about what are really getting in support from the—from the

DEAN FULEIHAN: So--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: That's not a question, but I don't want to--

DEAN FULEIHAN: [interposing] Well, I'm here and that's fine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I don't want you to not have the opportunity to respond.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Respectfully, and thank
you for the compliment, the—these taxes are not state
taxes in the tradition sense that we think of it, and
the finance—the traditional state budget. They were
imposed and you—you know, this history extremely
well. They were imposed in 1981 and '82 to turn
around in the first Richard Ravitch attempt to turn
around the MTA, and then again in 2008 and 2009. The

2	clear intent of this was that they would be
3	completely dedicated to the MTA, that yes the
4	collection mechanism has to go through the state.
5	There's an estate appropriation process, but that
6	those taxes would go to every single last dollar to
7	the MTA. [bell] we are talking about a crisis of-of
8	both many reforms that need to occur but also of
9	additional resources. That is part of the additional
10	resources that Chairman Lhota identified and it
11	certainly addresses the point of what he identified.
12	What the Mayor put out yesterday was to say there's
13	more than that. There's-and we're willing to step up
14	and do more, and we're willing to talk about more.
15	Let's remember, you know, the-the-while all this is
16	going on , it's not just a capital commitment that
17	the city made in 2015 of the \$2.5 billion. It's also
18	the annual operating support and there were some
19	arguments about how much the city does. The city
20	actually does \$1.6 billion of annual operating, \$800
21	million direct support to the MTA, and another \$800
22	million that we spend through the-the NYPD, which is
23	about \$460 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] I get it.

24

25

2.2

2.3

DEAN FULEIHAN: And—and then—and then debt service because the city unlike the state has a long tradition of actually providing direct capital assistance to the MTA. That's \$1.6 billion.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I'm focusing on this \$400-

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]
Council Member, last question .

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, I'm—I'm the only one here. Could I get more than five minutes?

Good. Thank you. You get—you should get some kind of longevity bonus, but I'm focused on the \$465 because the Mayor is—is using it as the argument, the main argument for why the city shouldn't kick into the emergency plan, and go—go get the \$465 million that you stole from us, and I'd love to have that money back. Don't get me wrong. Earlier and it was Doug Johnson of the MTA, Director of Management and Budget said that the real number there is \$162 million because it offset this or that. Can you just assess whether that was a fair interpretation of what it is the MTA actually lost through those—

DEAN FULEIHAN: [interposing] I-I believe firmly and I'm happy to go through this with

2.2

2.3

you, we believe the MTA lost \$456 million. Let's—
let's also remember, right, I meant the—part of this
conversation keeps coming up to what are the city
resources and you—you know that as well, and working
together yes we put aside fine saying working
together we actually believe we have serious risks on
the horizon. But the state also ended their fiscal
year with—with \$7.7 billion in—in surplus and at the
end of next year, they're anticipating \$4 billion,
and we're talking about a-a fiscal year 19 deficit
gap to be—to be addressed of \$3.4 billion. So, this
\$456 is—is—is not a trivial matter.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Now, I would just say that—

I'm—I'm just going to jump in because I keep saying it and I don't think people, and I just find it really fascinating that the amount of money that is asked for in the Emergency Plan is \$456 million when that is the amount of money that the Mayor has been saying has been diverted from the general—I mean towards the General Fund. I—I—it's interesting how they happen to be the same amount of numbers. So, that's just interesting.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right so I-you
3	know, what-what's interesting about that is either
4	that is just an extraordinary coincidence or a very
5	clever person in the Mayor's Comp (sic) Team said how
6	can we come up with an argument for \$456 million-
7	DEAN FULEIHAN: Well, we-we
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:and I-and I
9	DEAN FULEIHAN:
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:
11	DEAN FULEIHAN:
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:
13	DEAN FULEIHAN: Well, we-we actually in
14	fairness, and I'll interject.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:and I-and I
16	DEAN FULEIHAN: We had said \$456 million
17	for much longer than this plan.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And so as-as-as
19	we were
20	DEAN FULEIHAN: [interposing] So we were
21	out there before saying it was \$456 million.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: As-as we've gone
23	through it, again, as much as I lament any money
24	being diverted from New York City, I don't' think

2.2

2.3

- 2 it's the strongest argument. Let me just ask you about the governance real quick.
- DEAN FULEIHAN: You know, we—we obviously disagree.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah, we've7 we've done that.
- 8 DEAN FULEIHAN: Yeah, we have done that,
 9 yes. [laughter]

GOUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: The issue of governance. It's very frustrating for me for the Mayor to say things as he did. Listen, if you like what's happening in the subway speak to the Governor. If you don't like what's happening in the subway, speaker to the Governor. It's a sense that he's kind of washed his hands of the governance of—of—of the MATA. We've got four seats on our board. That's four votes. It took the Mayor a year and a half for him to even nominate the full four member complement of—of the Board. Is there anything on the Board in—in—in your time as a members, is there anything that New York City has pushed for, advocated for, or any plan you've put forward that the other members of the Board or the Governor has—has quashed. What—what

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have you asked for that you haven't gotten? Because 3 I'd love to help you like try to get that?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, it'sit's a good question and-and I-I would say for the record as someone who at least for almost two years was the city's sole representative in the MTA Board, I welcome the-the help and-and partnership of Council Members. We could certainly use. The MTA is a pretty unusual board. I've-I've been a number of Boards. I actually chair a board right now at Trans Com and I can't say the MTA is a Board in the traditional way you would think about it. I mean I'll give you a good example. In the past month and a half or so, we've had the announcement of a new Chairman Joe Lhota, a 30-day plan and Adopt the Subway Plan. We announced Pay Foye was coming on board. We announced Ronnie Hakim now is Managing A whole bunch of things have happened. The Director. Board found out them essentially by reading about them in the newspapers the same as you all. So, we're not a board in the tradition. Most boards that I've ever been involved in would vote for their own chairperson, their own executive director or leadership team. Would certainly vote on matters of

the MTA what happens at the State budget level, but

we raised great concerns to it. You likewise, the-

24

25

the-it has-has been discussed today the Capital Plan
Amendment. A number of us also raised concerns there
about money potentially going to what seems like in
some cases more aesthetic improvements, the
potential, you know, delay of producing new subway
cars. The MTA adding on extra debt. So, certainly 1
think if you go back and look at all the board
meetings, you could see the city board members one,
we've been at full strength, have raised a lot
issues. I will also just say in defense of the
Mayor, he's nominated—he nominated quite a few people
to go to the Board. It took-it takes some time to
get through the-the confirmation process in Albany
and $I-I^\prime m$ looking at some of my fellow Board members
over here who can testify to that. So, he's not
lacked aggression in trying to fill all the
positions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Well, it was a year and a half June of 2015 before he appointed the full four but--

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, we can-we can go back and walk you through the history of that.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But—so my last question. I don't—are you done? I don't want interrupt you.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Sure.

question has to do with the Capital Program Review
Board. I think that most people don't appreciate—
don't understand it, aren't aware of it, don't
understand it or appreciate the extraordinary amount
of leverage that it gives the Mayor in the MTA's
Capital Plan. Could you just briefly explain what
the—the Review Board does, the governance of it and
then whether or not you've ever—whoever is the
Mayor's appointee to the Board. I don't even know—
has ever exercised their—their veto power and—and
fought for something that they didn't get?

it's a good question. The Capital Plan Review Board and it's—it is admittedly a kind of a little known institution. It consists of four members one appointed by the Governor, which has traditionally been the state's DOT Secretary. One appointed by the Senate, one appointed by the Assembly and then the Mayor gets a pick, and our pick currently is Bill

2 Goldstein who formerly had been in Mayor de Blasio's 3 Administration. Each of the-three of the four 4 members of the CPRB have the ability to veto the entire Capital Plan. The city does not that ability. The city only has the ability to veto the New York 6 7 City Transit portion of the Capital Plan, and so the 8 City I think as far as we could tell digging back into the history has never done that because it's a very actually difficult kind of leverage. Because in 10 11 some ways you're only hurting your own portion of the 12 program. Now that said, if you-you heard in my 13 testimony today, I think the Mayor has decided that 14 going forward that is potentially an area where the 15 city needs to, you know, lay some more clear markers 16 down, but even in this last set of Capital Plan 17 Amendments there were some things in there we liked 18 very much. We got money for the next phase of the 19 Second Avenue Subway. I mean they're usually 20 typically the-the capital plan is a combination of 21 things that clearly the city desperately needs, and 2.2 then some things we wish could be done differently. 2.3 But remember, the city only has control over the subif we think for example some of the money that's 24 going into bridges and tunnels or Long Island 25

really—it doesn't really much of an effect on it.

25

little rule.

[gavel]

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Let's get going.
3 [background comments, pause] [gavel] Come on let's
4 get going, and Senator, it's a pleasure.(sic)

SENATOR GIANARIS: Okay, thank you. afternoon. Let me first thank the City Council for the leadership you're showing and Chairman Rodriguez, I know you have been on the front lines of this for a long, long time and especially since the crisis has reached a head this summer, and it's great to see some of my former colleagues from the State Legislature, Vanessa Gibson and Rory Lancman. were both in the Assembly. I wanted to talk obviously about the-the important topic of the day that's of critical importance to millions of New Yorkers. The calamity of our subway system has been in the news countless times in the last couple of months, but those of us who represent New York's transit riders are well aware that the problem extends far beyond the derailments, the trapped commutes and the overheated subway that have made the headlines. For everyday riders, expectations are so low that delays stretching over an hour are now part of their daily routine that they have to plan for in the course of their daily schedule. We cannot allow

2 the deterioration of our mass transit system to become an expected part of life in New York City. 3 4 Our subways and buses are the life blood of our region. Without them functioning efficiently, our economy suffers, people miss doctor's appointments, 6 students are late to school and the entire city becomes paralyzed. It is widely acknowledged that is 8 problem is rooted in years of neglect and underfunding of the MTA by government's leaders. 10 11 Governor Cuomo himself when he declared a state of 12 emergency said, "We know that decades of under-13 investment, deferred maintenance and deferred 14 modernization have caused this problem." And he 15 further said, and I quote again, "There's no doubt that to do what we need to do we're going to need 16 17 more resources." He's right on both counts, and he's 18 properly identified the problems that are plaguing 19 the system. Now, we need to find a solution. 20 want a mass transit system that works and works well, 21 it will not happen by magic. We have to pay for it. 2.2 MTA Chairman Joe Lhota recently pegged the resources 2.3 needed at \$8 billion-the additional resources at \$8 billion. This money must come from somewhere, and 24 25 the last places we should look are the pockets of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hard working New Yorkers who are already suffering due to our collapsing transit infrastructure. men and women are working harder than ever just to stand in place while the wealthiest among us are wealthier than ever before. Indeed estimates are that the top 20% of Americans hold 88% of the country's wealth while the bottom 80% have the remaining 12%. Here in our own state we lead the nation in income inequality with Manhattan winning the prize for the biggest gap between rich and poor for any county in the entire nation. Given this landscape, it seems to me the fairest and simplest solution is to ask the wealthiest New Yorkers to chip in a little more to ensure that our mass transit system no longer serves as an embarrassment to the greatest city on the world. That is why I stood with the Mayor yesterday to announce that I will introduce a bill together with Assemblyman Danny O'Donnell that would enact a surcharge on the top 1% of city residents to fund critical mass transit repairs and maintenance. The proposal would raise over 8--\$750 million annually by imposing a .5% surcharge on couples earning over a million dollars and single filers earning over \$500,000 annually. This money

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be required to be spent on the most serious infrastructure needs of New York City subways and buses, and would be subject, and I think Dean Fuleihan just said, would be subject to a maintenance of effort provision so that the \$9 billion already committed to the MTA by the state is allocated as promised and gets spent as promised. An important requirement given recent reports of MTA funds being diverted for other purposes. Importantly, the legislation further protects working New Yorkers by including the Fair Fares proposal that both Councilman Rodriguez and Assemblyman Dinowitz have championed to provide discounted fares for low-income residents so they can get to work more easily and continue to climb the economic ladder. Our city has come together in times of crisis before. When crime ran rampant responsible leaders like Mayor Dinkins and Speaker Peter Vallone led the charge for the Safe Streets, Safe City Program that imposed surcharges temporarily so that more police could be hired. Over 20 years later we continue to reap the benefits of their vision in the form of historically low crime rates. We need similar leadership today for the crisis of our time, which is our deteriorating

this issue today.

2.2

2.3

infrastructure. To those who would shoot arrows at this idea, I ask what is your alternative? It is no longer enough to criticize others for attempting to fix this crisis. Either present a different approach for discussion or get out of the way. This is not a time for politics as usual. This is a time for leadership, and I want to thank the City Council for providing some important leadership on this issue

ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Okay. Good afternoon, Council Members, Chairman Rodriguez. Last week Councilman Ydanis Rodriguez and I led a coalition of elected officials and transit advocates to hear direct feedback from riders about the conditions they face on a daily basis, and I just want to say for the record that I—I thought you, Mr. Chairman, were terrific and amazing during that—those two very, very long days, and I think it was very important that we did that to hear from people, and whatever we went through those two days, they were two days. But millions of people deal with this on a daily basis all the time, and just during the two-day period we—we got stuck on the way to Coney Island for a very extended period of time. Coming home on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Friday night, I was stuck on the A Train and we had a few other delays, and that's just over the two-day period. So, no complaints because the two-days were over when the two days were over, but people have to go through this all the time. The most common complaints we heard last week really fall into three categories: Too many delays and service disruptions; lack of accessibility in most stations; and for many people that the cost of-of transit is increasingly out of reach. And the riders we spoke to didn't really care about who's in charge, whether it's the Mayor or the Governor. All they care about was getting things fixed, and most of them didn't know that the MTA is, in fact, a State agency. Delays in service disruptions was certainly the most prominent complaint that we got, and it hasn't received adequate attention in recent years. And the people we spoke to they have to add like 20 or 30 minutes. They have to factor that into their commute in the morning because they don't want to be late for work. So, if they're taking an hour long trip from 242nd Street near Van Cortlandt Park to Chambers Street, they probably have to get on an hour and a half in advance just to ensure that they're not late, and

2 people don't really like that so much. It's become 3 impossible for a commuter to avoid having to wait in a packed train and then they're train traffic ahead. 4 It's kind of like bus functions or to watch several trains pass them by when they're on a stifling 6 7 platform that is already overcrowded, and the causes 8 for these delays could be signal malfunctions, passengers holding doors open, sick passengers or almost anything else, and we know that even before 10 the tour last week, that three out of four trains 11 12 were deemed chronically late, train lines are being 13 chronically late in the first quarter of this year 14 and that five of the 24 subway lines were on time 15 less than 50% of the time. Of notable exception is the L Train, which boasts a 92% on-time rate despite 16 17 being one of the busiest lines in the system. 18 It's because they're fully equipped with 19 Communication Based Train Controls, CBTC, the modern 20 standard of transit systems around the world, which increase subway capacity by 30%. Unfortunately, the 21 2.2 MTA is currently on pace to have CBTC fully installed 2.3 by 2045. That's when my grandchildren will be That's a long time to wait for most people, 24 parents. and it will cost billions of dollars-billions of 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dollars more than other places are spending. example, Paris and London have installed CBTC on their tracks, a similar track to the No. 7, the only other station that' actually begun this upgrade for \$150 million while we haven't even finished the 7 Line and we've already spent \$550 million, and installing this technology would address the two top cause of subway delays: Signal malfunctioning and overcrowding. The question is why should it be so much more expensive and take so much longer in New York to have this technology? Many people talked about the importance of accelerating our accessibility goals for our transit system. countless senior citizens struggle-struggle up and down the long staircases that even taxed some of the young volunteers those days. And, we watched parents try to figure out how are the going to get their baby carriage, their diaper bags, their children from mezzanine to the subway platform because there was no working elevator. What about the countless individuals who can't use the subway at all because of them are inaccessible, and instead they have to rely on the horrible Access-A-Ride program to get to appointments. Right now, 77% of the New York City

2 subway stations do not have elevators, and are not 3 accessible, and even if you have an accessible station, the station in my office at 231st and 4 Broadway on the 1 Line, we have an elevator when it works. But if you're going to a location that 6 7 doesn't have an elevator, so what. It doesn't really 8 do you any good. We haven't had a plan to increase station accessibility for since 1994, and we're almost finished with the original Key Station Plan 10 11 for the Accessibility. So, even if there is an 12 elevator, it often doesn't work. The MTA average 25 13 elevator outages everyday. The elevators are very 14 expensive, and many stations need to have two 15 elevators if they have separate platforms, and we 16 have over 350 stations. Now we know that we're not 17 going to get 100% accessibility in the immediate 18 future, but we don't have a plan to get there more 19 quickly. Right now we're on pace to get there at the 20 turn of the next century. Almost everywhere we went 21 people talked about how much they spend on mass-on transit compare to the quality of service, and people 2.2 2.3 told us in some cases they can only buy one trip at a time because it cost a lot of money. They can't use 24 the cost saving monthly Metro Cards. They can't 25

2 afford the \$121. So, in essence we sort of have a 3 two-tiered system where the people who are most in 4 need are the ones who have the least ability to 5 benefit from the discounts. So, this regressive system obviously adversely affects low-income 6 7 individuals, and that's why the Fair Fares proposal 8 should be implemented and needs to be included in discussions about how to fix our transportation And even a minimal rollout of the half fare 10 system. 11 metric-half price Metro Card for low-income New Yorkers would help countless residents and save some 12 13 people up to \$700 a year. Mass transit we know is 14 the life blood of the city for rich and poor alike. 15 We need to make sure that nobody gets left behind 16 when we modernize our transit system. It's also 17 important to note that improving bus service is very 18 key to many New Yorkers. Many residents in my 19 district in the Bronx and I'm sure countless others 20 throughout the city depend upon the bus to get around. It's easier because the buses are accessible 21 2.2 than most subways, and for others there are no other 2.3 transit options available to them. In my neighborhood where I live we have buses. We don't 24 25 have trains. We have trains not so far away in the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

next neighborhood, but we have buses. Yet, despite the incredible demand, bus ridership has actually declined in recent years, and that's because the bus system is not working and because of the relatively small of investment in recent years in the buses. So, we need to bring-bring improvements, and there are ways we can do that very easily. In this past May I circulated a letter among my colleagues to the Governor asking for his support for two low-cost technological improvements to produce substantial improvement in citywide bus service; Transit Signal Priority, TSP, an all-door boarding technology. would allow buses to extend green lights by a few seconds and result in a 15% reduction in travel times when it was implemented in Chicago. All door boarding has resulted in a 36% reduction in dwell times after being implemented in San Francisco and on Select Bus Service routes in the city here. should explore re-evaluating some of the design of bus routes that we have right now to increase efficiency, developing new methods that would allow dispatchers to keep buses on schedule, and consulting with DOT to redesign some streets so buses can be moved more quickly as was done on the SBS routes.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, DOT and the New York City Transit have a plan to expand transit signal priority to ten routes by 2020, and while that's good, it's not enough. We have to do more. So, with so much focus underground as it should be, we have to remember not to forget about our buses, and we have to create dedicated recurring and sufficient streams of funding for transportation infrastructure. The MTA has proposed a nearly \$1 billion plan to fund emergency repairs for tracks and signals, and has asked the city to contribute half the cost. Now, we can debate what percentage who should—who should contribute to what, but we should also keep in mind it's not only the state and the city that have an interest in fixing our transit It's also the suburban counties that system. surround New York City many of whose residents also take our subway. Years of deferred maintenance have left the system buckling under the pressure of near record ridership with more frequent delays, breakdowns, signal failures and these long festering problems need long-stem-long-term solutions, and just can't seem to be fixed by temporary delays.

Now, at the state level, I have been advocating, we have legislation, to earmark 2% of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

existing income tax revenue specifically for 2 3 transportation infrastructure, and this would result in approximately \$900 million for statewide transit 4 funding including over half a billion dollars directly to the MTA, but through bonding, this would 6 7 gen-generate billions, several billions of dollars 8 for mass transit and other transportation infrastructure. In addition, asking non-resident commuters to pay their fair share by restoring the 10 11 Commuter Tax, could generate upwards of \$800 million 12 a year and these two options together-together would 13 put us on path towards bringing our transit system to 14 the modern age. In addition, we need to protect 15 existing revenue that has been allocated for 16 transportation from being diverted without express 17 legislative consent. A combination of these 18 legislative options would go a long way towards 19 meeting our transportation infrastructure 20 obligations. In addition, the proposal that the 21 Mayor put forth the other day championed by Senator Gianaris for New York City—an increase on the taxes 2.2 2.3 by .5% of millionaires would bring significant revenue and would among other things help fund the 24 Fair Fares proposal, and furthermore this year the 25

2 New York City Executive Budget cut, cut \$65 million 3 from the MTA, and it was discussed by the earlier 4 panel, but it was a cut. We did add money in other areas in capital areas, but there was a cut of \$65 5 This was in the Executive Budget, and the 6 7 MTA person who testified at the fiscal hearing 8 defended that cut by saying that it would not result in any diminution of services at all. Now, \$65 million may not be a billion, but it's still a whole 10 11 lot of money. It should not have been cut. That 12 money was part of the deal that the state made to 13 replace funding that was lost when the Payroll 14 Mobility Tax was reduced because certain types of 15 entities were exempted. This cut took effect over 16 the objections of the Assembly and should have never 17 been proposed in the first place. I'm going to 18 conclude by saying that we need to all work together 19 to solve this crisis. Commuters are tired of the 20 blame game. They don't want officials pointing 21 fingers at each other. They want leaders on the 2.2 state and city level to work together as a team. 2.3 That's the only way we can give New Yorkers the 21st Century transit system they deserve. Every day that 24 goes by without a plan to solve the transit crisis 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

inroads confidence of everyday New Yorkers, and the taxpayers don't really care where the money comes from because ultimately it comes from the same place and that's their pockets. We need to ensure that their money is being spent appropriately, and on the things that are important, and right now the thing that is important is fixing our transit system. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you both of you and, you know, it's good to know that we have leaders in both chambers of the Senate and Assembly who o are also advocating New York and, of course, great experience is coming up 24 hours. I just, you know, one thing that I see very interesting here is also I know that in this room we have important voices. Many of them carrying different of those initiatives, and we've been able to see how even in those 24 hours, you know, you have not come out with a position on the plan of New York. But no movement like, you know, that were referring including the plan of New York as one of the potential sources to raise revenue, (coughs) and even today when we also write the-wrote the op-ed in the Crane newspaper, we also included mentioning as one of the those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

potentials. So, while we are calling on New Yorkers here to look at all potential sources of revenue from the City Comptroller that is the one coming right now that he will really explain also how in his plan we can raise billions of dollars to the great chairman or corporation we have the noise (sic) to the plan Move New York to the Mayor's plan. So, again I would like to continue pushing all sectors to come together in the roundtable conversation. Let's get it done. Let's get the revenue, and lets' get the MTA to be more accountable and for them also to control the cost that-that--that we've been dealing with, with the-over the last couple of decades. So, with that, thank you, and now I'm calling the City Comptroller Scott Stringer. [pause] Comptroller Scott, I would like to apologize for the delay and, you know, the MTA presentation took longer than we expected and we have some input in the scheduling for everyone. sorry Comptroller and the rest of the panel is ready to testify because of how we've been pushed back on the scheduling. We hear after the Comptroller, Plan Move New York also has been schedule for later on, as also TW and other members.

2 SCOTT STRINGER: Well, good afternoon, 3 and thank you, Chairman Rodriguez for hosting this 4 important hearing. I am with Preston Niblack our 5 Deputy Comptroller for Budgets, and I want to especially shout out Vanessa Gibson for actually 6 7 being at this hearing because if we're going to have 8 hearings we want to see as many people listening to us, and I'm glad at least two of you are here. But, I am glad-I was glad to spend some time with you last 10 11 week, Mr. Chairman, riding underground and hearing directly from straphangers, and I think we all agree 12 13 that we got an earful and with good reason. And I 14 want to thank you and Assembly Member Dinowitz for 15 organizing the event. But I'm here today to 16 contribute to the conversation about our future. 17 subway system is in a state of crisis unlike anything 18 we've seen in decades. New Yorkers are truly 19 struggling. Over the past two years our work in the Comptroller's Office has foreshadowed this this 20 21 crisis and made clear that we could see this coming. Since 2014, the Comptrollers Office has done nine 2.2 2.3 audits and investigations of the MTA, and we've done several other reports on transportation that warned 24 of the crisis we now face. Back in 2015, in a budget 25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

analysis on city contributions to the MTA, we noted that the agency needed significantly more money just to keep our subways in good repair. That same year we also looked at MTA bus delays revealing that nearly one-third of express buses were not running on time. We've examined station cleanliness uncovering that 97% of subway tracks were not cleaned on schedule leading to delays and more importantly the Last year in an audit of Access-A-Ride, safe risks. we uncovered that 2.5 million pick-up and drop-off times may have been manipulated to show favorable performance. Earlier this year we showed why elevators and escalators always seemed to break down, and it's because MTA management is not helping their workers do proper preventive maintenance. And when you don't give your machines their tune-ups, and you don't fix problems as they discover—as they're discovered, they break down. To me that symbolizes what's gone wrong with our subways. The regular ongoing maintenance to the entire system hasn't happened the way it should. Beyond day-to-day frustrations, there are real world consequences and human impacts on New Yorkers. Last month my office released a survey of more than 1,200 riders from more

25

than 140 stations across all five boroughs. 2 3 found was staggering. 74% of straphangers say 4 they've been to a work meeting in the past three months because of a subway delay. Two-thirds of 5 people have been late to pick up or drop off a child. 6 7 Almost a third have been late for medical appointments and 13% said the had lost wages in the 8 last 90 days while 2% claimed they had even been These are the reality. So, the question for 10 fired. 11 us today is how do we move forward? In my view, during a crisis we can't focus on finger pointing. 12 We must look towards the future, and when I t comes 13 14 to keeping New York City on top in this century and 15 the next, we must stand together. At this very 16 moment countries and cites around the globe are 17 investing in their public transportation 18 infrastructure. China is becoming more intimate-19 connected to mass transit. Tokyo is building first 20 rate systems. London is working to make the two best 21 in class. Los Angeles, Paris, Seoul, Singapore they're all making down payments on their future, and 2.2 2.3 that's because world class transportation doesn't just attract people, it builds a world class economy. 24

Economic growth tomorrow hinges on infrastructure

2 investments today. In the long term for the MTA we 3 have a lot of great ideas. The concept of congesting 4 pricing has been raised. It's worthy of a thoughtful 5 conversation. Yesterday the Mayor unveiled a proposal for a millionaire's tax to fund 6 improvements, another idea that merits discussion, 8 and what I appreciate most about the Mayor's proposal is Fair Fares. Subsidizing Metro Cards for those who need it the most is the right thing to do because no 10 11 one should have to choose between putting food on the 12 table and riding the subway. And making New York 13 affordable and fixing our subways has to be top priorities, and the Mayor's proposal does attempt to 14 15 do both. In my view, a new transportation Bond Act 16 should also be part of the discussion. It's been 12 17 years since we went to the voters for transportation investments. In 2005, 56% of voters approved a \$2.9 18 19 billion investment for DOE and MTA projects over five 20 years. That got us new rail cars, better buses, 21 improved track, and supported other critical 2.2 infrastructure projects like the side access in the 2.3 Second Avenue Subway, which have made the system stronger. Today, I believe the support exists for a 24 \$3 or \$4 billion transportation Bond Act. This Bond 25

Act relieves the heavily indebted MTA of having the 2 3 issue and repay their debt. That ultimately helps 4 lighten the burden on fares. The state would do that debt service. Now, as we take the time to consider the menu of long-term funding options, we must ensure 6 that those who are actually paying for transit improvements see an equitable fair return on their 8 investment, but we can address our long-term challenges unless we face what confronts us in the 10 11 short term. That, of course, is the goal of Chairman Lhota's Stabilization and Modernization Plan. 12 13 believe that the Chairman has put forth an ambitious 14 proposal. The question is how do we fund it? My 15 Budget Office has run the numbers, and we believe New York City has the ability to make a substantial 16 contribution specifically for this emergency 17 18 situation, but it can't be a blank check. If the 19 city does contribute, it should be with a memo of 20 understanding between the City, the State and the 21 MTA. The city should know where every cent is being spent, and should have assurances that not a dime 2.2 2.3 will be siphoned off. We should get regular reports of where the money going, where the progress is 24 happening and whether our infusion of dollars is work 25

2 because when it comes to taxpayers, transparency 3 matters in budgeting. Now, I'm going to close with 4 this: We've crunched the number and we've done the audits. I'm very concerned about where we are. have a problem. Everyday we delay during this 6 7 crisis, we are playing with fire. Failing to invest 8 and pretending that this problem doesn't exist is not an option. The economic costs of train delays are in the billions. Inaction will cost more than action. 10 11 As we think about funding for the next quarter 12 century, there are many ideas on the table. In the 13 immediate future we must be results driven. There is 14 a lot of discussion that needs to happen about a 15 long-term capital plan. The ideas outlined at this 16 hearing and throughout the week make it clear that 17 people are thinking long term, but I'm here to tell 18 you as the city's Chief Fiscal Officer we have a 19 short-term emergency that must be fixed, and the one 20 thing we learned from our survey and your ride around 21 with the elected officials straphangers do not care 2.2 whether it's city money, state money, federal money 2.3 or money from Mars. They want to get the subway system fixed, and we deserve our-and our constituents 24 deserve nothing less. So, thank you for allowing me 25

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

2 to be here, and most importantly thankyou for being 3 here representing the Council.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] [on mic]

Can you go back and elaborate a little bit more about how much will your plan raise.

SCOTT STRINGER: Well, you know, the—the
Bond—a Bond Act is—is just one of several ideas and
I'm not wedded, and I appreciate you saying my—you
know, it was my plan, but it's—

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] No,

I say one because I'm putting like today--

SCOTT STRINGER: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --we share like all those ideas. We put it together, and while we look at the numbers that if move all those ideas, which is four, we raise \$27 billion in the next ten years. So, if we use--

SCOTT STRINGER: [interposing] It's funning that—it's funny how elected officials are never short ideas about how to spend money, right and so—but let me just briefly talk about the Bond Act.

You know, we have had bond act—transportation Bond Acts passed in the state. The last was—was in 2005.

We got \$2.9 billion. It did really go a long way to

2	help serve, you know, build subway cars, make the
3	kind of repairs back then that we need today. So,
4	the most important aspect of this Bond Act is that
5	this-MTA would not pay the debt service. The state
6	would pay it. The state has more debt service to
7	spend than the MTA, which is already very
8	constricted. So, that would help the MTA doubly.
9	It-it-it-it would be twofer and the state would be
10	able to pay it, the debt service. So, that is
11	something entices me, but again I'm open to a number
12	of ideas. Look, I think long-term, Mr. Chairman, the
13	long term has to be sorted out, but it can't just be
14	a menu of money generated ideas. Unfortunately,
15	there's a politics to this, right. I don't want to
16	talk about pie in the sky when we know that there's a
17	very difficult political situation in Albany
18	especially in the State Senate. We have to assess
19	that because right now, we have an \$850 million\$850
20	million deal that has to get accomplished, and so I
21	think a lot of the focus is not just the long term,
22	but we have to think about the long-the short term
23	and how we put the emergency plan into place, and how
24	we're going to pay for it.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. Have you looked at the MTA and the private contractors on who gets payment? Those who they don't deliver a project on time to the MTA, is there any penalty to those contractors? Have you looked at those numbers or who is--

SCOTT STRINGER: [interposing] You know, contracts vary. Sub-contracts vary, you know and there are penalties within contract-you know within contracts. I don't have specific information today. I can tell you that the—when I was Borough President back in 2005, I was invited to the fourth groundbreaking of the Second Avenue Subway. So that was a project that wasn't on time or on budget, and I do think that has contributed to the problem. think that anything we do-and look, let's assume down the line the city works it out and contributes something to the emergency ask right now? I agree with the Mayor and others or I'm concerned that you give money to the MTA black hole you never see it again, and you have no transparency. So, I believe any contributions that the city was to give, havewould have to be covered an MOU and that money should be tied to specific projects: Signals, tracks,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subway cars because New York City residents need to know that what they're contributing they're actually getting back.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You know, thosethe-those surveys that we were able to collect, 75% and you were one of those who would spend two-two days, you and I with us to do some stations on Thursday. The same thing on Friday, but close of 2,000 riders say that 75% of-75% of them they say that they've been late at least usually four times a week because the train had been delayed. So, I think you are right, and that's why the approach that we have when we have the MTA sitting with us, they say that this is not only about raising the revenue, but this also about the MTA to control the costs. is about why we are the ones in the city that is the one that built the most expensive compared to any other city in the world when it comes to the train station, when it comes renovation. So, that's, you know, one area that I believe it will be important also to look at, you know, how will the MTA, what can the MTA deliver with the new leadership and say they can do better on reducing the-the expenses on the Council to do.

SCOTT STRINGER: Look, I think you've 2 3 made a lot of suggestions in this area that should be listened to, and part of building out a 21st Century 4 economy is also building out a 21st Century 5 transportation network and that starts with replacing 6 7 our aging infrastructure. You know, the one that we always talk about in our office is, you know, what's, 8 you know, what's the economy going to look like and it really hasn't changed much than many decades ago 10 11 when that number 7 Line was built through Queens, and changed the Borough of Queens forever, and that's a 12 story that was relevant decades ago It's relevant 13 today. We are city that is basically, is basically 14 15 about our subway grid, and so when you don't invest 16 in the grid, you're not going to invest in the 17 economy and we can't expand our economy. You have 18 heard all the data. Nine people will be in this city in the near future, but at the end of the day what I 19 want to just leave you with right now, there are two 20 issues here. There's the long-term issue that 21 requires a lot of discussion. How much should the 2.2 23 city be contributing? Have we been paying our fair share? Many people argue that we have. Should people 24 of wealth pay a little more to help the subway 25

2	system? That's worthy of discussion, and the 800
3	pound guerilla in the room is congestion pricing.
4	Here's what we should do: We should put it all on
5	the table, and do what you're doing here today, and
6	bring in the experts and private sector and the
7	public sector whether it's our unions or our business
8	leaders and let's treat this as an emergency the same
9	way we dealt with these issues back in 1980 under
10	Richard Ravitch and came up with a capital plan.
11	What I don't want to see happen, though, is that
12	people talk about the long-term, right, three, four,
13	five years from now, when it's way in the future when
14	we will have maybe a Democratic Senate a Republican
15	Senate, a Republican-Democratic Senate or whatever
16	they call it today. We need to have a real plan, and
17	we have to go to Albany as a city not playing checker
18	where we'll bounce all over the table, but where we
19	have a chess strategy because this is critical to the
20	growth of the our economy, and we have to deal with
21	the emergency that's before us as well.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, alright. [off mic] I mean thank you for [on mic] for the Deputy Mayor?

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SCOTT STRINGER: No, it's okay, right back at you. Thank you. Vanessa, thank you for staying.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. says TW was in here. No. So, we're going to be [background comments, pause] We'll take a ten-minute break and we'll be back. I'm sorry for the delay. I just have to close out and go across the hall (sic) and voting in another committee, but we will be back in ten minutes. [pause] Let's continue, right. So, let's call on a great friend David Jones who come to testify. He will be followed by Carl Konig (sp?). Thank you for also waiting. [pause] So, everyone knows, Mr. Jones is one of those that have been behind for many years fighting for the Fair Fares among many other initiatives. Alex. I'm sorry Alex, would you like to sit down together? Jones and then we follow with Carl Konig. Identify yourself and then you can continue.

DAVID JONES: Okay, I'll—I'll be brief.

I'm David Jones. I'm President of the Community

Service Society a not-for-profit organization that

for 170 years has been working on issues of poverty

in New York. We use research and advocacy and

2	direction action when necessary on issues of poverty.
3	I'm also one of the city's representatives on the MTA
4	Board, and the main reason I agreed to serve on the
5	MTA Board was out of a real concern about barriers
6	that were presented to the poor, working poor of the
7	City of New York by the high cost of transit. In
8	2016, we partnered with the Riders Alliance a
9	grassroots transit member organization to launch the
10	Fair Fares Campaign, which called for a half price
11	Metro Card for working age New Yorkers living at or
12	below poverty. Just for you information, that's
13	\$24,000 for a family of four. That hits about
14	800,000 New Yorkers using the transit system. It
15	would follow on other major cities like London and
16	Seattle and San Francisco that have already taken
17	these steps, and we think for New York city and its
18	residents it was perhaps the most important thing
19	that could be helpful to the families. It would mear
20	somewhere between \$700 and \$800 dollars per year
21	going into the family, the individual's pocket. That
22	almost amounts to what the Earned Income Tax Credit
23	provides low-income people. So, it would be a huge
24	benefit, a month's rent or a substantial part of a
25	month's rent to an individual who was below the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

poverty line. The Fair Fares or with your help, Mr. Chairman, has become a campaign that's encompassed labor everything from the TWU to major labor reunions representing particularly fast food workers or the There are very few unions in the city of New York that haven't come to support us on this effort because it has an immediate affect on their working members. We were very pleased to see the Mayor'sunveiling his proposal and reaching out to myself and others to support not only his proposal for a millionaire's tax, but linking that with funding for Fair Fares for virtually every low-income individual in the city of New York. The combination we think is unique and we support it, and are excited by it, but we don't think that's the only way to go about this. We're very eclectic about this. We hope that any proposal that comes forward whether that's a proposal dealing with congestion pricing or a gasoline tax. Whatever it is, it now be melded not only for New York City, but for the rest of the state to take into account low-income individuals. Thank you.

CARL KONIG: Chairman Rodriguez and honorable members of the Transportation Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify before you

today, and thank you Chairman Rodriguez for 2 3 consistently speaking in favor of the Move New York Fare Plan and for being a leader in looking for 4 creative ways to assist those who rely on our transit system. I should just say quickly that I-Move New 6 7 York is a strong supporter of the Fair Fares Program and it's part of our plan as well. We think that 8 whatever money is raised from the Move New York Plan should go to fully fund the Fair Fares Program. 10 11 won't waste time describing the city's transit crisis 12 except to say that it's real, it's extreme and it's 13 not going away until our city and state leaders are taking it as seriously as do the system's beleaguered 14 15 riders. I also won't use my time rehashing the finer points of the Move New York Fare Plan as most of you 16 17 are already quite familiar with it. To paraphrase 18 Ross Sandler, Former DOT Commissioner who recently summarized the plan more succinctly than I-than I 19 20 ever have, Move New York would merely have existing 21 tools throughout the boroughs; place electronic charging where traffic is worse and transit options 2.2 2.3 are plentiful; add a congestion surcharge on taxis, Ubers and other for-hire vehicles in Manhattan south 24 of 96th Street and as a result reduce traffic and 25

2 produce huge new resources of funding, sources of 3 funding for the MTA. Specifically the plan would 4 raise \$1.5 billion a year in perpetuity, one-quarter of which would go to roads and bridges. The other three-quarters, over \$1.1 billion a year, or more 6 7 than \$16 billion if bonded would go to upgrading and 8 expanding the transit system while lowering fares for low and middle[income New Yorkers. So, how about the other ideas that have recently been floated? 10 11 Lotus Samuelson Plan, which we strongly support is 12 crucial for getting us out of the ditch that we're 13 in, but it's only a short-term fix. Still missing is a serious plan to raise the money needed to make New 14 15 York's transit network worthy of the people who live 16 here. Luckily, we recently heard from both the Mayor 17 and the Governor's Office with some initial ideas. 18 We, of course, are pleased [bell] that Governor Cuomo 19 is looking a different forms of congestion pricing, 20 and look forward to assisting him and his team in 21 whatever way we can. While it's too early to tell 2.2 whether the Governor means business is throwing us a 2.3 chew toy, the fact that he's looking all options including the Move New York Toll Reform Plan is 24 encouraging. One other point worth mentioning, a lot 25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of critics say the MTA has enough money and shouldn't get any more until it learns how to use what it has more efficiently. It's a fair criticism and the agency does need to work harder to find cost savings including those recommended recently by CBC President Carol Kellerman. In addition, lets call on Governor Cuomo to task a new independent group to review and amend his previous MTA Re-Invention Commission's Report to include some of the funding and reform recommendations the original Commission may have The Governor should then announce the avoided. significant reform package simultaneous with its unveil-his unveiling of an ambitious long-term funding package. If we're going to ask New Yorkers to chip in more to help pay for the transit system, we have to commit to the MTA reforms that will ensure that their money is well spent. The Move New York Proposal is an equitable five-for-one plan that will allow us to modernize and expand our transit system, slash traffic, invest in our roads and bridges and bring toll and fare relief to millions of New Yorkers. It's no wonder the plan is supported by a majority of the city's and region's voters, 50 plus elected officials, over 75 civic organizations in

2.2

2.3

each of the city's major editorial boards from left to right. So what role can the City play—Council play in all this? Please get behind the Move New York Plan, pass a resolution in support of it, start pushing it hard and don't take no for from an answer, for an answer. For too long we've been making excuses to avoid embracing the only plan on the table that can squarely address the city's twin transit and congestion crisis. Now, millions of New Yorkers are suffering and we no longer have the luxury of delay. It's time to act and the New York City Council is a great place to start. Thank you very much.

to see you both, you know, and in this case supporting, you know, one—one of the proposals and both proposals include contributing to the Fair Fare, and as—as I said from the beginning the Plan Move New York is a good one because not only will it will raise \$1 billion for the MTA, but also because some of the money that has been card here that I always put on the table some of the money should be reinvested. We create a fund for the local community especially let us to run the one form Queens and Brooklyn and the Bronx, the Outer Boroughs. They

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

12

13

14

15

16

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

should have a voice on how to invest some of those-2 3 some of those large money from a particular fund that should be created. As I also hope that we should 4 5 look at details, which this is now the moment to look on any particular discount for especially those 6 7 residents or them closest around the area especially from Queens and Brooklyn. We need to them support. 8 As you know, the moment is now, but you know, it's a good plan and I hope that we can continue working 10 11 together.

CARL KONIG: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CARL KONIG: Thank you.

DAVID JONES: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Let's hear now

17 | from Con-Ed like how we also have a major

18 responsibility when it comes to our train system.

19 | So, let's hear your testimony. [background comments,

20 pause]

MATTHEW SMITH: Good afternoon. I'm

Matthew Smith. I'm Chief Engineer for Con Edison.

I'm joined Kyle Kimble, our Vice President of Gover—

Government Relations. I want to thank the City

Council, Chairman Rodriguez and all the Council

2 Members who are here today as we discuss our role in 3 helping the MTA improve service to millions of 4 transit riders in New York City. Con Edison welcome 5 the opportunity to partner with the Public Service Commission, the MTA, and the State of New York on 6 7 workable long-term solutions. The women and me of 8 Con Edison don't just provide electric service to the MTA subways and rails, many of us are also MTA customers who use the subways everyday. We are fully 10 11 committed to implementing the recommendations made by 12 the state Pubic Service Commission last week, and the 13 followed several weeks of productive discussions and field inspections by Con Edison and MTA personnel. 14 15 Our commitment to this effort is strong, and we have 16 crated a special team of engineers devoted solely to 17 helping the MTA resolve power issues in the transit 18 system. As you'll see by the illustration attached 19 to my testimony the subway system is powered by 20 electrical equipment owned, operated and maintained by both Con Edison and the MTA. Our effort involves 21 2.2 the coordinated effort to jointly inspect the 2.3 equipment, to make design changes and upgrades wherever they are necessary. Among the actions we 24 are taking, identifying subway stations and other MTA 25

2 facilities with design changes or equipment upgrades 3 to be made with power line connections that will help 4 prevent or reduce the impact of service interruptions to signals or track systems. Inspecting all of New 5 York City's transit signal related structures in our 6 7 service area. Working with priorities established by 8 the MTA, we've completed inspections on more than half of the approximately 1,100 Con Edison structures that serve signal systems at MTA stations. 10 11 each inspection crews are performing needed repairs 12 or equipment upgrades and identifying the structures 13 that are key support systems for New York City 14 transit signals. Accelerating our planned 15 installation Smart Meters and advanced communications 16 technology in the subway system, we anticipate 17 Manhattan and Brooklyn Smart Meters will be installed 18 by the end of January 2081, and Bronx and Queens Smart Meters installation be completed by mid-March 19 20 2018. We are also installing monitoring devices in 21 manholes. These technical-technological advances 2.2 will provide Con Edison control rooms with immediate 2.3 notification of equipment and/or power quality issues so that we can proactively deploy crews when 24 electrical issues are detected. Replacing secondary 25

tables feeding their stations we plan to complete
this work at approximately 50 critical stations
identified by the MTA by the end of 2017, and we are
jointly inspect MTA electrical equipment. We're
developing a plan with the MTA to maintain a supply
of generators or other alternatives that can be
deployed to maintain electric service if there are
disruptions. We're working with the Electric Power
Research Institute know, EPRI, Department of Public
Service and the MTA to better understand how power
quality issues affect train operations and identify
ways to remedy those issues. In closing, I want to
reiterate that we have devoted every resource we can
to help improve subway service. We have a proven
record of providing the most reliable electric
delivery in the country if not world, and I'll be
happy to take any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: What is the situation as today when it comes to the capacity and electricity that the MTA needs on, you know, on—on the supply that we have right now?

MATTHEW SMITH: This is not a capacity issue. We have more than an adequate supply.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You are good in 3 capacity?

MATTHEW SMITH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, what—what are the challenges that you face when you like especially on identifying subway stations in the MTA facility where design changes or equipment upgrades need to be made?

MATTHEW SMITH: So—so each of the subway stations has two services going to it fed from our electric system. We're looking at how we supply them, how we can add redundancy to those two services.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Recently

Con Ed released a plan to address power issues that

disrupt subway services. Can you give more details

about that service?

KYLE KIMBLE: Sure, I-I can. I'll take this one. So, the plan involves a number of things in terms of both the service that we provide to the stations both in terms of redundancy, replacing aluminum cable with copper to make it more resilient, and then the longer term issues that Matt talked about at the end is at times there power-millisecond

2	power disruptions that affect the signal service, and
3	they don't affect a lot of other structures around
4	the city. So, these are system wide blips that
5	happen in the power-in what's called power quality,
6	and it does tend to affect sometimes older or more
7	sensitive equipment. In the case of the MTA we have
8	some—seen some situations where there's been a power—
9	They're not-these are not outages necessarily in
10	terms of power. These are just momentary blips where
11	you might see a flicker in the light somewhere.
12	Sometimes those affect sensitive equipment. So,
13	we're doing a longer term study with the Public
14	Service Commission and the MTA to-to see how we can
15	make the MTA service more resilient to some of these
16	power quality issues.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: When will Con Ed and the MTA release the plan to supply generators to mitigate service disruption?

MATTHEW SMITH: We're looking to do that by the end of 2017.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, and—and what is (coughs) what is Con Ed's plan to install monitor and certain device so that the minor defects are detected?

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW SMITH: So, as we're doing our—
our structure inspections, we're installing those
sensors at the same time. That will all be completed
by the end of September.

know, first of all, thank you for being here from the beginning. So you heard from the MTA to the city and everyone, and, of course, like everyone are partners in this journey that should take us to make the train system the best in the world. So, what are the challenges that from our perspective you have seen that the infrastructure, the MTA infrastructure especially the train system that we have today we are dealing with, and what has to be done from your side in order to upgrade the train system?

things that I've heard consistent to your questioning and the questioning of the other Council Members is what are you doing that's not business as usual? And it's just been a consistent theme that we've seen, and I would say that everything we outline here in terms of really getting into and—and really thinking about how specifically—we've learned a lot I would say over the last couple of months in terms of signal

2.2

2.3

and how our system interplays with that of the MTA.

And so, the approach that we've outline here is—is our contribution to making sure that things are not business as usual, and to make sure that we are going above and beyond with the MTA a partners. As a—as an important customer to Con Edison to make sure we are delivering reliable power, and to make sure that the structures that they have can distribute that power reliably.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Do you work closely with those private contractors that are working and upgrading the signal system?

MATTHEW SMITH: No, we do not.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: No, you don't that. Okay. So, how-how-how closely do you work with the MTA to develop your plan?

MATTHEW SMITH: So, we've—we've formed a very close alliance in the last several months.

We're really working together to get—for us to get educated on how we could help the get a better understanding of what type of power issues they are experiencing. Some are—are associated with our

Thank you.

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stations.

2 MATTHEW SMITH: Thank you, and now let's
3 hear from Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer.
4 Thank you for being our partners in this touring the

GALE BREWER: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:} \quad \mbox{As much-as much} \\ \mbox{as you can just summarize.}$

GALE BREWER: I will summarize. I want to thank you for what you did on the subway ride. It's much appreciate. What I want to talk about are some of the issues that I think need to be addressed and more importantly how to go about it. We all know the problems and the delays, and I think you have talked a lot about the signal system. It's a major challenge. As you know, we recently asked IBO to do a survey and a report on it, and they did and they found that 19 out of 33 signal upgrade and repair projects in the MTA's previous two Capital Plans were completed behind schedule, still pending or are behind. And I know that there are in the current MTA Capital Plan 14 signal projects have been scheduled to begin by the end of 2017, but eight of these are already delay, and I think what we hope to do is to have forums as the MTA and the community did on the L

2 Train, and on those particular forums there were 3 groups of people. Many, many passengers showed up 4 and they said what they wanted to do with the L Train, and we'd like to do the same thing with the Eighth Avenue and other avenues in Manhattan where 6 there is possible work on the signal system, and does the public want to do it on weeks and evenings, late 8 evenings or would they rather have a shutdown and get it done quickly? And what would be the public's 10 11 interest in how the signal repairs will be done. 12 Again, something similar to the L Train. The other 13 thing I want to mention is where does all this money 14 going to come from? And I know that Albany has 15 pointed out it's commitment to fund the MTA's Capital 16 Plan, which includes \$2.1 billion for signal 17 improvements. However, the state has not 18 appropriated the dedicated funding required for the 19 Five-Year Capital Plan, denying the MTA the 20 predictability it needs to plan an execute capital maintenance and improve the work efficiently, and we 21 know that the plan is not aggressive. 2.2 So, we are 2.3 looking to see a couple of opportunities. were there when the Mayor suggested one suggestion 24 taxing those that make a certain income either 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

\$500,000 for an individual or a million for a couple. We also have looked at some of the suggestions that came from the TWU. As you know, I'm a big supporter of taxing cars as the Move NY Plan has suggested and I know the Citizens Budget Commission has suggested taxing some of the for-hires like the Ubers. It was also the Payroll Mobility Tax that took place in 2009. There's a suggestion of the state increasing the gas tax. This has been done in Georgia and Utah quite effectively. I just mention all these. Also, I think that the Post reporter, Niko Glynnis (sp?) is a wonderful writer and thinks strongly and collectively about these issues. She has some suggestions. thinks that the current proposals may or may not make sense. Particularly she doesn't like the Mayor's So while we go to Albany we need something proposal. soon, we need it quickly and it has to be sustainable, and so I know that you've had an excellent hearing today, I think just think we have to put all options on the table because one may not We have to have plan B, plan C and, of course, we have to have the Mayor and the Governor get along. I think everybody-I joke and say if it was two women, they might get along, but it's two men, and we have

on this topic. Thank you.

2	to find a way that they are going to work together.
3	So, I really appreciate what you have done Council
4	Member in terms of the hearing, and the pre-rides on
5	the subway, which we all take, but it's good to do it
6	together. And I certainly want to thank the fact
7	that the MTA was here today. We have to think of
8	dedicated long-term funding and restoring the city's
9	113-year transit system to a safe and reliable
10	condition. Our constituents, the visitors the both
11	expect it. They deserve it, and it's our
12	responsibility to work together, which is exactly
13	what you're trying to do, and my only contribution is
14	that everything has to be on the table in order to be
15	success because we don't know exactly what the
16	outcome is going to be in Albany one way or the

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, and I really love and agree with both—both things that you are calling for getting everyone together and putting all those initiatives as part of one plan.

other, and thank you very much for all of your effort

GALE BREWER: Yep, thank you very much.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Now, let's
3 hear from the George Sweeting Independent Budget
4 Office. [pause]

GEORGE SWEETING: Good afternoon, Chairman Rodriguez. I've submitted written testimony. I'm not going to read the whole thing just because I know the you've got members of the public waiting to speak. I was struck listening to the discussion earlier that obviously there's beenthere's a whole lot of debate over who should be paying for the and who's responsible for paying for the investments that are identified as necessary whether it be the state, the city or some combination of both, and I think it's important to note first of all that there can be no doubt that residents and businesses in the MTA region are already accounting for the vast majority of MTA revenue. They don't do it all in, you know, direct payments to the MTA. Some of it is through fares. That's, you know, there the users are paying the MTA directly for the service, but there's also direct taxes that the city or the state imposes on business activity, and activity also of individuals in terms of sales tax in the new-in the MTA region. All of that is

2 collected. Most of that being paid for by people who reside in New York or are businesses operating in 3 4 New York. They're also commuters who come into the 5 city and they-the contribute some, and then there's also a fair amount of money that flows through the 6 7 city and state government as grants directly from the 8 city and state government to the MTA. But, of course, that money is also raised by general taxes on New York City residents and even in the case of the 10 11 state grants, you know, well over 40% of New York 12 State revenue comes out of New York City. 13 York City residents are already paying there, too. So, in looking at who's going to pay for this, [bell] 14 15 you know, we should keep in mind that New York City 16 is already-New York City residents and businesses are 17 already paying a very significant part. And it's-it 18 was striking also the way the-the response to the Mayor's announcement about his proposed millionaire's 19 20 tax. But first of all that ahs to go to Albany. 21 has to be approved by Albany, and that highlights 2.2 the—the city's lack of fiscal autonomy, its ability 2.3 to control its own fiscal future even as it's-as it's being asked to contribute more money. One might 24 think that if the city were being asked to-to put 25

2 more money, more resources into the MTA, that it 3 would also come with the authority for the city to 4 raise its own revenues in the way it wants to without having to go hat in hand to Albany to-to ask for 5 approval on that. I would also just note quickly-6 7 this is summarizing our testimony-that even if these investments-if-if these solutions were found for how 8 to pay for this, it could very well be a long time before the users of the MTA see some of those 10 11 benefits, and we've analyzed looking back over the 12 last three—the last three capital plans. 13 done at the re-the request of-of Brewer President Brewer just describe looking at-at how many of the 14 15 signals programs-projects that were in those plans 16 were delayed, and some of them are still delayed. 17 There's also the issue that the city—the MTA has 18 difficulty in fully committing all of the revenues-19 all of the dollars that are approved in the Five-Year 20 Capital Plans. So that in a current-in-in-when we 21 looked at 2014, for example, the city had-at that 2.2 point the MTA had a \$22 billion capital plan, the 2.3 2010 to 2014 plan. But at the end of 2014, the end of that plan they had only committed \$16 billion of 24 the \$22 billion, and you see the same pattern in 25

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

subsequent years. And, in fact, in 2014, they were actually still spending money that had been committed as far back as the 1992 to 1998 plan, and so the—it takes a long time for these projects to actually get through, and we're going to have to—— You know, the MTA hopefully will find ways, and they spoke this morning about trying to—to come up with new approaches to managing your projects, but, you know, at the moment their—their past track record has not been very good on that. So, you know, the—you know, I guess the precedent—if the past is any precedent, we may have to wait a long time to see the benefits of some of these investments. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] Thank

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] Thank you.

GEORGE SWEETING: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: We have to be sure that those members of the public are not here.

Kate Lane, Nicholas De Puente, Veronica Vanderpol (sp?), Jackie Cohen, William Bensteran (sp?) and Thomas DeVanzi (sp?) Did I call you? [background comments] Michael Zerafo (sp?)

JESSIE COHEN: Hi. Good afternoon

Chairman Rodriguez. My name is Jessie Cohen and I'm

2 the Campaign Coordinator for the NYPIRG Straphangers 3 Campaign, a transit riders advocacy group. For over 4 35 years we've been a leading voice on behalf of the 5 riders of New York City subways and buses and recently joined forces with the Access-A-Ride Reform 6 7 Group to drastically improve the MTA shockingly poor 8 paratransit service. We're here today to address our city's growing transit crisis, which applies not only to our suffering subway system but extend to poor bus 10 11 and paratransit services as well. I'd first like to 12 focus on the MTA's use of performance measures. 13 These key statistics are or should be an early alert 14 of how well or poorly the MTA's subway, bus and 15 paratransit systems are working. For years, the 16 Authority has reassured the riding public that it was effectively prioritizing its capital funds to move 17 18 the system to a stat of good repair. Thus the MTA 19 says that it was caught flat footed with the 20 widespread problems they began to face in 2017. Thus, the subsequent dramatic downturn in service 21 2.2 took an almost mythical quality. But now we know 2.3 The MTA took specific steps that have gone and that's where we are today. Let's take the drive 24 up C Line whose journey emblematic of the system as a 25

2 whole. Without sufficient funds to replace trans that have gone well past their useful life, about 45, 3 4 years. C Trains have the worst mean distance between failure or breakdown rate in the system with mechanical failures every 51,000 miles or so. 6 Transit managers have made things worse by reducing 8 the cycle of standard maintenance on subway cars on the line. During the summer months they require older cars to on the C Line to be taken out of hot 10 11 tunnels and run on the surface so that they don't 12 badly overheat such as one poorly defined measures as 13 delays to two meaningless calculations based on 14 headways or subway lines that come with great 15 frequency. In addition to revamping its performance 16 measures, the agency needs to consider far more 17 rigorous analysis and reporting on agency progress to 18 a state of good repair. When it comes to other modes 19 of transportation, however, the issue not the need 20 for early signs [bell] of-early warning signs of 21 trouble. Transit officials have know for years that paratransit service doesn't work, and that New York 2.2 2.3 City's buses are some of the slowest and least reliable in the country. What is needed is repair 24 and reform. For example bus speeds on many of our 25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city's routes are slower than the average walking pace, and the MTA's own data on bus reliability has proven that overall bus reliability continues to Meaning that all too often bunches-buses come bunched together leaving riders frustrate and the often seeking alternative modes of transportation if possible. The success of the joint MTA and New York City Department of Transportation Select Bus Service program has overcome some of these trends, but only on 13 corridors while many more routes within the bus network continue to operate excruciatingly low-slow and unreliable service. What our bus network needs is an overhaul. Luckily, we know what solutions will work like the redesign of city streets and needs the transit priorities that will allow buses to travel more easily. And additionally, data about bus performance need to be presented in a way that riders can easily understand as it's critical for the riding public to able to comprehend the city' current state of bus service to better hold the MTA and New York City Department of Transportation accountable. reform and repair, too, are needed to tackle the problems facing the MTA's Access-A-Ride program, which has a near toxic reputation among its riders.

(sic)

Vehicles often arrive late or not at all. Riders are
taken on unnecessary detours, and there is now way
for a rider to predict or anticipate when they will
arrive at their destination. Access-A-Ride service
or the lack thereof contributes to 29% of employment
rate among people with disabilities in New York City.
The good news is that MTA managers have begun to
explore innovative ideas including real time on-
demand service to bring Access-A-Ride into the 21 st
Century, but these changes will take time and
ultimately the Access-A-Ride program requires a major
improvement in the way it collects data to accurately
understand why quality of service is often so poor to
begin with. As the MTA prepared for significant
reform, what's needed from our elected leaders is
greater funding, transparency and oversight.
CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Please wrap up.

JESSIE COHEN: The MTA needs—sorry. It falls under, yeah. The MTA needs funding now to face many of the system's immediate needs, but a long term [bell] sustainable funding mechanism must be put into place to ensure that large scale transit—another large scale transit crisis such as the one we find

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ourselves in now is prevented in the future. Thank 3 you.

BILL HENDERSON: I'm just gong to summarize my remarks here for the written-written record record. I'm Bill Henderson. I'm the Executive Director of the Citizens Advisory Committee to the MTA. Thank you for holding the hearing and for giving us the opportunity to testify. The-the thing that gets the-gets the headlines are the-are they derailments, the track fires, the other big-big crises, but the real story I think-I think is in the slow degradation of riders' experience. We see this in—in a number of subway delays doubling—doubling since 2012, more than doubling. Indicators like mean distance between failures going down and down and down. Fewer train-fewer trains out there making more crowding, which makes slow-slower movement through the system, which then, you know, has a feedback loop on its-on itself. The system is severely stresses, and there's a reason-there's a good reason for that. Ridership has almost doubled in the last 40 years, and-and the fiscal crisis of- of 2008, 2007/2008, we lost-we lost a lot of money in the system. Some of that money got-got put back. Some of the-the cuts

2 that were made in 2010 got put back, but many of themany of the workers that were-that were displaced or-3 or mover to other-to other functions never came back. 4 And that's—that's the root of our—that's the root of our problem right now. There's real economic and—and 6 7 human costs to delays. So, as Scott Stringer's 8 report that he just released says, and I think he says it better than I can. We think that the-that the things that the NYC transit is doing are steps in 10 11 the right direction like Fast Track like Rapid 12 Response teams. It's just that they're not going to 13 revers the unacceptable trends in the subway 14 performance all by themselves. We need a 15 concentrated effort to restore the subway system, and 16 to deal with the demands that are being placed on it. 17 We need to do some expansion to take the pressure 18 off-to take the pressure off and to provide for 19 future population growth. And-and we need to do 20 something new in the way we-in the way we do work in the system. In terms of in terms of funding, there's 21 a lot of-there's a lot of good-a lot of good 2.2 2.3 proposals out there. They've been discussed today. I'd like to also point out that we might want to 24 25 revisit some of the-some of the places where the city

25

participates with the MTA in fund-in funding 2 responsibilities like paratransit, like people 3 4 transportation that haven't been addressed in a number of years and have gone-have gone to a point 5 where they are less favorable for the MTA-for the 6 7 We might want to look at a more equitable-more MTA. 8 equitable funding in those-in those areas. We look forward to participating in a vigorous discussion ofof all these possibilities and thank you again for--10 11 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. The 12 next panel, Liz Prentiss, Michael Schu (sp?), Leo Le 13 Olla (sp?). If I call you've got to join us. Steven 14 Bowman, Deborah Holtz, Jason Anthony Pinot (sp?). 15 Please join the table. Everyone has to take a chair, 16 please. I just called it also. Reverend Joe Paris 17 and Rigley Moore. If I-I hope that I-would like to 18 say I didn't see you. You're supposed to-- Jose, 19 But if they will fill out one of the cards, as 20 he will sit on the table, too. Okay, you can take a 21 chair and then-- [background comments, pause] Joe 2.2 Perez, if you don't mind, sergeant [off mic] 2.3 mic] Thank you, I just want to be sure that I didn't leave any members of the public out of this panel. 24

Thank you. You're ready. [off mic]

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 LIZ PRENTISS: Okay. Hi. My name is—is it 3 on? Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic]

LIZ PRENTISS: Okay, my name is Liz Prentiss I am the Vice President of Public Legislation to Disabled Action. I'd also like to say a few words as the Chair of the Taxi Wheelchair (sic) Campaign. I am very frustrated that we have been discussing having a hearing for quite a while about wheelchair accessible taxis, and we haven't and now we've managed to get subways done in like, you know, two seconds. We'll leave it at that. It was very frustrating to listen both Ronnie Hakim and Polly Trottenberg talk about issues of accessibility and Ronnie says, Oh, but we're doing so much for The buses totally suck. Let's be honest buses. people. You know, I mean it's really lots of fun to have to be sitting in a bus that's so crowded people are falling on top of you. Al the related that don't fall the large grocery baskets crashing into you and on some buses, buses that don't fold up the seats sufficiently. So, I was in one in Brooklyn the other day in which I measured it. My wheelchair extended eight inches beyond the seat area, which means you

2 had like no from for people to go up and down the aisle. That's a problem. Polly was mentioning the 3 4 city bus, and a proposed plan for car share, which, of course, will be inaccessible. Let's be honest. There are cities, as I've testified in the past, that 6 7 have accessible bike share programs. Not in New York 8 City. It's very frustrating to see more and more programs being developed without the inclusion of accessibility. We believe that accessibility should 10 11 be included earlier in the process, and I would like 12 to point out that your train-your-your tour the 13 other day could not have been taken by individuals who need to use elevators. And I really hope that 14 15 you all kept track of how many elevators that you did 16 see that were not in working order, and number two 17 that were there. I question when the MTA says fully 18 ADA accessible stations. An elevator does not make a station fully ADA accessible. Loops, tactile, 19 20 Braille signage, the little thing with the blue light 21 on the top, the information kiosk has no-they've 2.2 never put the closed circuit cameras on them. 2.3 they are useless to anyone who is deaf. Hey, but it was chosen to be in the design of the-I think it was 24 the Museum of Modern Art as a design feature. A 25

to the fire. Thank you very much.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

number of years ago, the MTA came in and told us

about this at an ADA CCC meeting, which is the

compliance committee, and it was going to be

resolved. It is now many years later and we have no

resolution. The biggest problem with the MTA for

people with disabilities is its failure to keep its

promises, and the fact that no one holds their feet

MICHAEL SCHU: Good afternoon, Chairman. My name is Michael Schu. I'm a 36-year-old residing in Bensonhurst, Brooklyn. On June 5th I was a passenger on an F Train traveling southbound shortly after it left the Westport Street Station where we got stopped in a tunnel and it lost its power. As a result, approximately 1,600 passengers were trapped with no light or air conditioning in what felt like 100 degrees-120 degrees with limited untruthful communication from the crew about what had actually occurred. The crew insisted that there was simply train traffic of us. We had no way to escape the near deadly conditions and began to suffocate in the dangerous temperatures with no way to open the doors for ventilation and no way to communicate to the conductor as to what was happening inside those cars.

2 It is a miracle that we all made it off of that train 3 alive that day. As soon as we were able to escape 4 that train, I posted an account on my Facebook page 5 of the experience which we had which included vivid details from the account. My post quickly began to 6 go viral and was eventually covered by almost every 7 8 local and national mainstream media organization that day. Here is the full Facebook post that I posted after that train ride. I just had a very memorable 10 11 yet not so fun experience on the train ride home. 12 was taking a packed F Train that had no working AC 13 when we abruptly stopped in the tunnel. The engine shut down, lights went off and with no exaggeration 14 15 we were stuck for 51 minutes for what felt like 120 16 degree heat. First we were told it was train traffic 17 ahead of. As we waited with no further 18 communication, people started getting very worried. 19 Almost everyone began fanning themselves with paper 20 as if it felt it was getting warmer in water like a-a 21 greenhouse effect. Beads of sweat began rolling down 2.2 people's faces. We started to tell everyone to open 2.3 the side windows and opened the doors three inches we could pry them open to with books or altoids or 24 25 umbrellas so that we could get some cross ventilation

2 from the passing trains. Coats started getting removed and then people were sweating so much from 3 4 standing that people started take off their shirts and their pants on the subway. Some people started 5 getting faint and we started to try and see if we 6 7 could find any elderly or pregnant people who needed 8 help or water. Claustrophobia, panic and the heat exhaustion began to set in. At this point the windows started getting steamed up. After about 30 10 11 minutes of heightened anxiety, they told us the 12 We had experienced a severe maintenance 13 malfunction and the train was unable to move. At this point we decided to discuss how we were going to 14 evacuate this train since we did not have direction 15 16 from the crew. Suddenly we felt the train jerk oddly 17 forward and backward, which didn't feel right. 18 turned out there was another train behind us, which 19 started to push our train into the next station at 20 about one mile an hour. But once we pulled into the 21 station there was a lot of people standing on the 2.2 platform waiting for us, which left no room for us to 2.3 get off. We had to wait another ten minutes sweating in the dark before we could get off while the people 24 on the platform took pictures of us dripping sweat as 25

we tried to claw the doors open. People started to
yell things like: Please get me out, and I feel
sick. Finally, they had cleared the people of the
platform and opened the doors for us to get off. The
feeling of remotely cooler air felt amazing compared
to how it felt in the train. I never enjoyed the
dank smelly aroma of a train station more in my life
It was a terrible experience to endure, but I'm
grateful that it wasn't something like a terrorist
attack. I will wrap up my brief conversation here.
In the three subsequent weeks I conducted my own
citizen safety investigation. I've compiled my
findings and analysis and given it to you today. I
then sent letters to nine different government
officials including you and the Governor and the MTA
Chairman who did not respond. I demanded specific
protocols be put in place for evacuating—evacuation
and emergency procedures. The morning I sent my
letter the Daily News ran an exclusive story and I
held a major press conference. The next morning I
was on Good Day New York calling for the
CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Summarize now.

25 two hours after that live interview was broadcast we

MICHAEL SCHU: I'm sorry, and less than

more seconds and I'll be done.

25

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

	COMMITTEE ON TRANSFORTATION 200
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: One more second.
3	MICHAEL SCHU: Yeah. So, this is what
4	they posted in the Washington, D.C. Metro. We need
5	something like this in New York City. Will you and
6	this body craft a legislation to make it so that the
7	MTA informs the public about emergency evacuation
8	procedures to find safety.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
10	MICHAEL SCHU: Is that something you will
11	do?
12	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, sir,
13	and-and I will first of all turn over the microphone.
14	Thank you, and as you know the Council we don't have
15	power to legislate those
16	MICHAEL SCHU: You can't
17	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]
18	Excuse me.
19	MICHAEL SCHU:you can't write
20	legislation?
21	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You asked me the
22	question and I'm not even getting to one and one
23	right now.
24	MICHAEL SCHU: Was that a no? Will you

not take up legislation for this?

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do me a favor. 3 Turn off your microphone and go to the next one because this conversation that we're having with you, 4 you know, one even in starting off at the 59th Street. We do invite everyone to come here and 6 7 testify. The Council doesn't have any power to 8 legislate and make a new law related to the MTA. What the Council has the authority to do is what we're doing today, bringing to the-to MTA to address 10 11 any questions, and we are committed and this is very 12 important personally for me because I think that you 13 have very good suggestions for the MTA as we are 14 putting together a new system to be sure that there's 15 an exit plan in case that there's fire, in case that 16 there is a wreck (sic). So we share that same 17 concern. 18 MICHAEL SCHU: Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 20 MICHAEL SCHU: I will follow up with you 21 on that. Thank you. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 2.3 JASON ANTHONY PINOT: Good afternoon,

Chairman Rodriguez. Jason Anthony Pinot for the

record. I'm am independent transit advocate. [pause]

2	I'm a transit—independent transit advocate born in
3	the Bronx but living in Brooklyn. It is a disgrace
4	hearing this morning Ronnie Hakim and Polly
5	Trottenberg speaking about the state of the MTA and
6	focusing on quote "Underground stations" but being
7	aware that that I have done them, and this is for
8	record venials of almost 472 subway stations for the
9	past two years now you see litter on the tracks not
10	only in underground stations, but our great stations
11	and even elevator stations all over the Bronx,
12	Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, and the MTA saying
13	focusing on underground stations, it is not fair.
14	And especially like Edith and myself that are having
15	now physical impairments. We're facing that
16	elevators are functioning but abnormally—abnormally
17	and not complying with the ADA Act. That is
18	offensive to people with disabilities. The MTA
19	should spend the money wisely, and the Governor
20	should not approve or in other words build the
21	Airtrain LaGuardia, and having a person like Patrick
22	Foye that was recently appointed as the COO of the
23	MTA and him when he was in a port—unauthorized like I
24	call it, he approved a \$26 million—billion dollar
25	capital plan that includes the Airtrain to LaGuardia

2.2

2.3

Airport that doesn't—not even benefit those commuters that live in the five boroughs. It only benefits, for record, those who live on the North Shore of Long Island, but obviously the city needs to be—to do its part, and I will thank you for inviting me to speak, but not only the city has to its part, but not 50/50 like some say. I divide the pie on three to sum up. There is for the—the federal government 33% to the state and 33% the city. So, something has to be done and it has to be done now.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Jose speaking not only on his behalf that he was one of those that together with the young person, and more than 25 volunteers. They were now collecting the survey for close to 2,000 New Yorkers as we did the tour for those 24 hours.

JOSE: (coughs) Thank you, Council

Member. It's an hour to be here and thank you for inviting me. I'll keep it very brief. I had four points to sum up the survey experience from the other day. To begin with, there is a complete consensus across the board where is the biggest issue that most people had. It was an issue that everybody had, and it was the time delays. Every person had suffered

2 the consequences of time delays at one measure of 3 Secondly, lack of information whether it be 4 about time delays, whether it be about stations closing down or service changes that affected pretty 5 much everyone across the board. Those were the two 6 7 things that I saw in in the survey that pretty much 8 affected everyone across the board. Two things outside of the survey that I noticed that were relevant and they pretty much echo here what many 10 11 council members have said, and you Council Member 12 yourself have said is that the quality of some of the 13 stations was quite shocking truly. Some of the 14 stations in the Bronx compared to something of the 15 things, you know, maybe like Grand Central or even 16 Times Square, the cleanliness, the-the-simply the 17 sanitation of these two stations was completely an 18 example of why we still have or how still rampant 19 inequality in the city. And-and I know it's an issue 20 the you're very much concerned with, and to close it 21 off, and I think this is something that was touched 2.2 upon earlier, the-the division between state and the 2.3 MTA and Governor Cuomo and Mayor de Blasio and how many people don't actually quite care who has the 24 purse or who has the power of the purse. They just 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want to see an effective ending to this and-and I want to echo that sentiment because that's something that I heard all across when I was doing the surveys on those 24 hours with you. Again, thank you very much for—for doing this survey for putting leadership on this and for inviting me here today.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great.

Thank you, Council Member. MALE SPEAKER: I want to raise three points, which may not seem obvious from my background as an engineer. First, ridership record—the record ridership is—is the primary cause of the problems. That's not true because it's not correct because of the following: What's relevant is the ridership during the peak period not the daily or yearly totals. If the MTA operates a sufficient number for the-to meet the peak demand then they're operating-operating the same number of trains were more than the off peak demands. The chart over here shows the yearly variations for the in-bound and that for three time periods. peak hour from 8:00 to 9:00 from 7:00 t 10:00 and the 24 hour totals. The numbers for all three time periods are normalized back to 1963. As you can see from the chart, all three tracked together until

2 1985. After 1985, the peak hour and peak demand 3 periods continue to decline whereas the day-all day 4 demand started to increase. By 19-by 2015, the peak hour demand stands at 66% of what it was in '63, the peak period the 7:00 to 10:00 stands at 75%, but the 6 7 all-day demands stands at 115%. You have record 8 numbers, but they are not where it's-but you have decreased numbers where they count at the peak This suggest that providing good service 10 period. 11 should have been getting easier not more difficult. 12 Two, it's not possible to increase service levels 13 because the system is maxed out. The table show on 14 the following page compares the numbers of trains 15 that operate on various lines and to the CBD that are 16 scheduled today versus what was scheduled in '49-1949 17 Today's total is only 77% of the 1949-1954 and 54. 18 average when the Third Avenue L was not included. It's possible to operate—it is possible to operate 19 20 more trains during that period, 50 years-56 years ago 21 using the same 1930s signal system. As Council Member Carlos said earlier, CBC is not necessarily 2.2 2.3 for increase service levels. Lying the sort of-and I show that the service level capacity is based on 24 25 emergency braking rate train length, service braking

2.2

rate, service acceleration and station dwell time and				
system operator reaction time. On the last component				
depends upon the system. The signal reaction time is				
only five seconds compared to only five seconds to				
headway. Typically for New York City that would mean				
a service level of 42 to 45 trains per hour. If you				
had no reaction time, you could only raise it to 44				
to 48 hours. That's only a 10% increase. What you				
have-however, right now you're running only about				
23%23% lower than your capacity				

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, can you just summarize?

MALE SPEAKER: The summary is the following: In the history New York City ha had the experience of operating the Flushing Line operates at 36 lanes per hour. It's now down to 28. The Third Avenue had 42 trains per hour, and Moscow operates at 43 trains per hour with a consistent block system similar to what we have. The MTA should reach out to the Moscow Subway system [bell] to find out how it's done, and by the way, it is not because they don't run during the evening hours, during the night time hours. There are secretes to what they do, and we don't use them. Given that the peak hour demand is—is

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

decreasing it's like that such service levels will be sufficient for the next 20 years.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. [off mic] [background comments] [pause]

JOSEPH PARISH: Hello, Chair Rodriguez my name is Joseph Parish. I'm a Episcopal Priest and also a disaster Chaplain called out when there's injuries or deaths in the city, and I work with the Medical Examiner group in death notifications. see when people die I see, you know, the faces or their pictures. I'm also a member of the Transportation Committee of Manhattan Community Board 6, and we had a contract, which has been approved by our Community Board to improve the safety in the subway line between 42nd Street and 33rd Street. Lexington Subway Line is the most used subway probably in the United States. It's-just Lexington alone 1.3 million people a week use that. of thousands everyday, and it's larger than the entire Metro Washington Subway. It's probably is larger than Chicago, Boston, and San Francisco added together. It is a major transportation of millions of people. Between 42nd and 33rd Street there are no There is no way to get people out safely .

There's no fire suppression system. The tunnels are
too-too small, too narrow. You can't leave the
train, and if you're stuck in the subway between 42 nd
and 33 rd Street, you have no hope unless somebody
comes in and saves you or they pull the trains out.
In case of a fire or smoke there is no hope. We had
a contractor who was to bring a ventilation system.
To bring it in it was \$55 million. The Governor at
the last minute cancelled it, and put it in some
other project of his own and so were left without any
safety improvement at all on this major subway in
Manhattan. So, we are urging the MTA to consider
putting that back in, or to do shorter, more simple-
just have an exit at every block. The trains are two
blocks long, and there's an exit and escape route at
every block. That would at least allow us to
evacuate people, and the fire suppression would be
needed, too. So that would be less. Probably only
\$20 million. It would take some money, but it would
save potentially hundreds or thousands of lives.
Than you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] [pause]

MALE SPEAKER: It's on? Yeah, here we
go. Thank you for having me her today, and I was one

2 of the tour people, the volunteers along with Jose 3 and I alone did get a lot of people who said the time 4 delays and the real time information were off. 5 with a few people I got a few concerns for the disabled about the elevators and escalators not 6 7 working, and with that in mind, if the MTA follows 8 like with the contractors the electricians and whatever to improve, there should be no problem, but I had a lot of people tell me well who weren't 10 11 concerned about the disabled. For those who were, 12 they said as long as the things work, the-as long as 13 the subways are moving, that in-that's all they can 14 take because they will still get to work, and still 15 leaving out the disabled. The disabled I've seen 16 people on canes struggling to get down the now 17 working escalators of the normal cement stairs, and 18 it's a huge problem. And then concerning the garbage 19 I had a lot of people tell that the garbage is a 20 serious problem and I believe that if the MTA and the 21 Council are successful in placing authorities or some 2.2 sort of authority in the subway station that it 2.3 should discourage people from littering right there inside the subways stations. And to add on [pause]-24 25 Ah, I lost my train of thought.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

3 MALE SPEAKER: Thank you.

Hello. Alright, good afternoon. LEO: My Leo and I was also a volunteer with the Riders Alliance Transit Tour. I'd like to thank Council Member Rodriguez for the kind invitation to this hearing , and now as a participant in the Transit Tour, I got to list first hand to the profound effects of an aging and declining transit system. The most frequent response to one of the questions on the survey: What is your biggest issue with the subway system? That was a question, and the most frequent response to that was time delays, right and this issue noticeably affected all riders who stated that they rode the train most days of the week. On may accounts I heard riders telling me that they waited 30 plus minutes for a train. However, casual riders who rode one to two times a week were not affected by this issue as much. Not surprisingly during the Riders Alliance Transit Tour our team experienced delays while conducing the survey on the N Line from Kings Highway to Coney Island. We were approximately 45 minutes behind schedule. So, that was a testimony the delays, you know, while we were

2.2

2.3

conducting a survey on delays. And a complaint that I received about a dozen times, which Council Member Gibson, who is not here any more, also cited was that riders are always notified about a service change once they are already in the train and unable to take action. Another issue that peopled expressed was unclear announcements on the train. The PSA announcements are very unclear sometimes, and the speakers often emit a low and muffled sound, which is inappropriate and insufficient for the crucial information that is being announced on the train. Hopefully, these issues can be ameliorated by the Modernization Plan proposed by Director Hakim and the rest of the MTA staff. Is that my time? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: That was, yeah.

Sorry, and before— Yeah, so—so before we read the other institutions and individuals testimony, I would like to, you know, even if you bring a voice of not only, you know, New Yorkers or brothers and sisters, you know, who are in the, you know in a wheelchair, but the vote for all New Yorkers. So, we really appreciate and respect, you know, your level of advocacy, and on—on the important cause. One of the reasons as I said before of why I decided to start

the tour of Van Cortlandt and 242 nd Street was
because like a few months ago I was going down in
that area and I saw this gentlemen probably like in
his 90s and it took him probably like half hour for
him to start on the first fare to go up and take the
train. So, that's someone who, you know, have to
walk to—to a high level of stairs to the 242 nd 1
Train. There is not even choices for someone in a
wheelchair because there is no elevator there. When
we talk about like park that is largest than Central
Park. It's a beautiful green area, and we are
blocking people in wheelchairs not to have access to
go use the train to go there. So, I just wanted to
highlight, you know, the challenges that we face, and
even in that lane, the one lane. As you know, it was
not so hard (sic) to bring elevator on that 1 Train.
At the beginning of the renovation of that station,
there was not an elevator included in that plan. And
you were there one who fought together with the
advocates to get an elevator, that is only for those
going downtown. There's no elevator of the Dyckman 1
Train for individuals going up. The next stop that
has elevators are 230

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 231 and for
someone that live in Dyckman and, you know, works
here and have to take elevator in Dyckman, what was
does it take for that person to be able to go to the
231? Where do they have to go downtown in order go
up, take a train, and change to another train and be
able to 231?

FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic] The problem with that--

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] Can you take the microphone?

LIZ PRENTISS: [background comments] You know, I mean and the problem is that you can't get uptown. You actually have to go down to 96th Street.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:} \quad \mbox{That's my} \\ \mbox{question.}$

LIZ PRENTISS: Right. You would have to get on the train at Dyckman, go to 96th Street, turn around and go uptown to 231st. At this point because they're rerouted the M100, it—it—it you can go around and you can get to in front of the Allen Hospital at 218th Street and get on the M7, but the—I mean. We were thinking this is the BX7, it's the 7. You know, the problem is that when—

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] But going—like I said, but going by train a person with a wheelchair--

LIZ PRENTISS: Go to 96 to go back up.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: To 231.

LIZ PRENTISS: I mean one-one of the things that they really, you know, I think they need to do, and I going to have to talk to you about is if you have done all of them (coughs) one of the problems is they don't have information. I mean I was 45 minutes stuck this morning coming down because of elevators out and that the booth couldn't give me information. So, I'm saying to the booth, you know, I'm on this train, I'm at this elevator what will be the elevator going south. Well, this is sort failing and I think it was somewhere in Brooklyn, and, you know, if the booth can't tell you, the MTA cannot tell you where there's a-a train station that you can just go across the platform from an uptown to an downtown train. So, if your-if your station, you know, the elevator is out, and if you can go to the next station and go-wheel across the platform and get back on the other train that's going north where hopefully the elevator will be working, that's-that's

on their case more about.

2.2

2.3

some very basic information that the MTA doesn't seem to be able to give us, and that would help people who need not to rescued. I mean I don't want to be carried out by the Fire Department ever again. You know, and there—there is all this information that Transit could develop, and doesn't and don't seem to understand the need for that we really do need to get

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] So with that [on mic] now we're going to read the testimony or our partners. (sic)

LEGAL COUNSEL: The following testimony was submitted for the record: Transportation

Alternative, Citizens Budget Commission, Brooklyn

Borough President Eric Adams, Streets Pac, Assembly

Woman Stacey Pheffer, Manhattan Borough President,

oh, Partnership for NYC, TWU, Riders Alliance and

Transit Center.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, with that, we're coming to the conclusion to the hearing that is not only an effort to take our transportation system, our train system to the 21st Century for tour generation, but this is also for our children's

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION	280
2	generation. So, with that, this hearing is	
3	adjourned. [gavel]	
4	LIZ PRENTISS: Yes.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date August 14, 2017