CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----- X

April 20, 2017

Start: 11:42 a.m. Recess: 12:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E:

DAVID G. GREENFIELD

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

Annabel Palma

Daniel R. Garodnick

Darlene Mealy Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Peter A. Koo Brad S. Lander

Stephen T. Levin

Jumaane D. Williams

Ruben Wills

Deborah L. Rose

Donovan J. Richards

Inez D. Barron
Andrew Cohen
Ben Kallos

# COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres Mark Treyger Rafael Salamanca, Jr. A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 4
2	[sound check]
3	[pause]
4	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Good morning.
5	My name is David Greenfield; I'm the Council Member
6	from the 44th District [background comments] in
7	Brooklyn. Quiet on the set please. I know
8	everybody's excited to see their colleagues and
9	friends after the spring Easter/Passover break;
LO	welcome back. I hope you enjoyed your matzah and
L1	your chocolate bunnies. Come on, you guys didn't
L2	have chocolate bunnies? Okay, just making sure.
L3	[background comments] Those are delicious.
L4	[background comment] Yes, and the Peeps, of course,
L5	the Peeps. Yes, referring to those sugary things,
L6	Antonio. Okay, I just want to make sure we're on the
L7	same page. Okay.
L8	My name is David Greenfield; I'm
L9	privileged to serve as the chair of the Land Use
20	Committee. I want to welcome my esteemed colleagues
21	our members of the Committee who are joining us here
22	today Council Member Gentile, Council Member
23	Garodnick who is currently featured on City &

State's cover, and for a small fee of \$10, will be

happy to autograph said cover. So please see him

24

### COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

_
L
. )

- 2 [background comments] afterwards about that, okay.
- 3 And for an extra \$50 you can get it framed -- Council
- 4 Member Mealy, Council Member Rodriguez, Chair Koo,
- 5 | Council Member Wills, Chair Richards, Council Member
- 6 Cohen, Council Member Kallos, Council Member Reynoso,
- 7 | Council Member Torres, and Chair Salamanca. Thank
- 8 you all for joining us here today.
- 9 I especially want to thank Chair
- 10 | Salamanca, Chair Richards and Chair Koo for their
- 11 | outstanding work on our Land Use Subcommittees.
- 12 Today we're going to be voting on LU
- 13 Nos. 589, 590, 591, 592, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, and
- 14 601.
- 15 We will be voting on a Resolution to
- 16 approve LU 591, which is the West 23rd Street Text
- 17 | Amendment. This is an application that would modify
- 18 | the bulk regulations applicable to the Special West
- 19 Chelsea District to allow for the transfer of floor
- 20 area between the proposed portions of the proposed
- 21 development. Council Member Johnson supports
- 22 approval of this application.
- We will also be voting to approve LU 592,
- 24 | the Manor Road rezoning application. This
- 25 application would establish a C1-1 commercial overlay

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

district in an existing R3-1 district on property
located near the intersection of Manor Road and
Tillman Street in Staten Island. This rezoning would
facilitate the development of a 3,978 sq. ft.
commercial building. The property is located in
Council Member Matteo's district and he has submitted
a written statement expressing his support for the
application.

We will be voting to modify LUs 589 and 590, the Rose Castle rezoning. This modification will restrict the MIH options on one of the two development sites. The modification would eliminate the workforce option from the smaller of the two development sites; on this site, the developer would be required to provide either 30% of the development reserved for families making an average of 80% of the area median income or 25% for families making 60% of area median income. Option 4, allowing 30% of the development to be reserved for 115% of the area median income would remain an option on the other development site included in the rezoning. In total, this rezoning will produce approximately 90 units of income-restricted affordable housing. This neighborhood was identified in a financial study that

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: to join us here

25

for a few moments...

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in addition, there are other

agreements that the developer had reached with the

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Any members of General Welfare? You're needed across the street. Alright.

So I want to thank you very much, Chair Greenfield and Chair Richards for your assistance on working through any issues on this development site as it came to the Council. I want to acknowledge the developer, Riverside and their team at Sheldon Lobel and Musa [sp?] Moore [sic] for working with the Community Board, in particular, on this development. When I was re-elected in 2013 and started my second term in 2014, my second meeting with Community Board 3 was around this project and that's how long it's taken to fashion a project that is acceptable to all parties, and so there was a very thorough process. It includes a workforce development option on one of the sites and on the other site there's an agreement to use either Option 1 or Option 2 of Mandatory Inclusionary so that there's a widespread of affordable housing units, from 80% AMI to the more middle income average of 115% of AMI, and actually some of the units will be at 70% of AMI.

2.2

Community Board around MWBE and community	
collaboration on the administration of affordable	Э
units, all positive things. And so we think that	t
it's been a good process. I want to acknowledge	all
parties involved, including Community Board 3, the	neir
chairs; in particular, a gentleman, Nelson Stoute	∋,
who unfortunately passed away in recent months, k	out I
know that he was working on this project as well,	, and
their land use committee. So with that I do	
encourage my colleagues to vote aye on this proje	ect
and thank you very much, Mr. Chair for the	
opportunity to speak.	

much Council Member Levin. We are also going to be voting to approve LUs 594, 595 and 596, the Caton Flats Development. In this application, DCAS and EDC seek disposition approval, a zoning map change and text amendment, creating a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area to facilitate the redevelopment of the Flatbush Caton Market at 794 Flatbush Avenue. This project is in Council Member Eugene's district. The site will be redeveloped with a new 14-story building that would include a 9,000 sq. ft. market for local vendors, incubator space for the community, community

2.2

2.3

facility space, and 251 apartments. As a result of Council Member Eugene's negotiations, the project will now include a tier of 27 units affordable at 37% AMI -- previously, the lowest proposed affordability level was 47% AMI. An offsite temporary market on Clarendon Road will house the vendors during the construction period, with moving costs and one year of free rent provided by the developer. EDC and the new market manager, Urbane Development, have pledged to continue to work with Council Member Eugene and the market vendors to reach consensus on guidelines for the new market and protections for existing legacy vendors.

and 598, the Hegeman Avenue application. In this application, HPD is seeking Urban Development Action Area Project designation and approval, disposition approval, and a special permit pursuant to ZR Section 74-903 to permit community facility FAR to apply to a nonprofit institution with sleeping accommodations. These actions will facilitate the development of an eight-story affordable supportive housing building with on-site social services and security. The development will have 42 units available for formerly

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2	homeless individuals and 28 units available to
3	individuals with incomes ranging from 27% to 67% of
4	the area median income. The building will include
5	24/7 security and on-site social services. This

project is in Council Member Barron's district.

Council Member Barron, would you like to

8 make a statement on the project in your district?

9 [background comment] Okay. Council Member Barron.

[pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. This is a project that I'm supporting reluctantly, and my reluctance is based on the fact that it's not a mix of family units -- it's all studios -- and the studio apartments are only, on average, 300 sq. ft., but the development has made arrangements to make sure that there are income bands at 37, 47, and 57% of AMI, they have made adjustments to the building façade -which I had some concerns about -- and it is a 50year regulatory agreement with the City. The Commissioner of HPD has given me a letter which indicates they are quite clear of my displeasure with just having studio apartments and that as other projects come forward they will keep that in mind. So whatever the term is that's the opposite of

2.2

2.3

setting a precedent, I'm at this point saying, this
is not going to happen again; I'm not going to
approve apartments coming in at 300 sq. ft., but
given the fact that the developer has made their
adjustments to the project, I am reluctantly

7 supporting it, so I vote age on that project and age

8 on all the others. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you

Council Member. Lastly, we will be voting on a

motion to file LU 601, the File Mile Stone café; this

café was withdrawn by the applicant.

Are there any questions or remarks on any of these applications? Hearing none, we will now vote... we will now move to a vote in accordance with the recommendations of the Subcommittees and the Council Members to approve LUS 591, 592, 594, 595, 596, 597, and 598 and to approve, with modifications that I have described, LUS 589 and 590, which are Rose Castle which will result in approximately 38 units of affordable housing at an average of 80% AMI and 50 units of affordable housing at an average of 115% AMI, and a motion to file LU 601, the File Mile Stone sidewalk café.

#### COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

2	I also want to recognize that we've been
3	joined by Council Members Lander, Williams and
4	Treyger. And I would like to ask the clerk now to
5	please call the rolls.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: William Martin,
7	Committee Clerk, roll call vote Committee on Land
8	Use. Chair Greenfield.
9	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Aye on all.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: Levin.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye on all.
12	COMMITTEE CLERK: Gentile.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Aye on all.
14	COMMITTEE CLERK: Garodnick.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
16	COMMITTEE CLERK: Mealy.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Aye.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rodriguez.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: First I would
20	like to encourage the developer on the Rose Castle to
21	work with the local Council Member Levin and all the
22	advocate groups to create good jobs for his district.
23	I know that this is important for the Council Member,
24	for the voices who speak on support to create local

jobs throughout the whole city. So that's my hope

2 again that

2.2

2.3

again that the developers for the Rose Castle continue working with the local Council Member; all the voices in the community, to be sure that they create good-paying jobs for the neighborhood. And with that, I vote aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Koo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye on all.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Request

permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Lander to explain his vote.

credit to Council Member Levin for pushing and working with these developers to strengthen their affordability, but as I said at the time of the adoption of MIH, I don't believe that the workforce option should be an option and I don't intend to vote for it on any applications, so I respectfully vote no on 589 and 590 and yes on all the others, and I do want to give credit to the Council Member for pushing to go further, by far, than the developers were originally going.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Williams.

Barron to explain her vote.

2	
3	t
4	g
5	h
6	W
7	D
8	С
9	1
10	1
11	I
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	е
17	

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, I neglected to indicate that this project on Hegeman is also going to provide housing for formerly homeless -- half of the units will be formerly homeless -- there will be an on-site staff person there; this is for DHS persons with special needs, there will be two case managers, there'll be classes in financial literacy, there will be a computer room on-site, a laundry room on-site, and a beautiful outdoor garden. I vote aye on all.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Cohen.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Kallos to explain his vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I want to thank

Council Member Levin for his championing and fighting

for affordable housing and increased units and

increased affordability in this district. And I just

wanted to, for the record, share my concern; I want

to make sure that any construction that is done

following MIH or any rezoning is done safely by

#### COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

2.2

2.3

people who have training, who have education; who
have benefits in case they get hurt on the job, and
that after that construction is done, where the City
has given a benefit, that those on the job are
actually paid a living wage, because after all, we
are literally creating something out of nothing here.
So with those concerns, I do support my local Council
Member and his expertise and his advocacy and I vote
aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Reynoso to explain his vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We have to be very careful about unintentionally supporting speculation in these communities through the conversion of manufacturing land to residential, but considering the property and the hard work that Council Member Steve Levin put in, I'm going to vote aye on all.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you. I want to recognize that we've been joined by Council Member Mendez.

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 18
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Torres.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Treyger.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I vote aye.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: Salamanca.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Aye on all.
8	COMMITTEE CLERK: Mendez.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye on all.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: Williams.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: May I be
12	excused to explain my vote?
13	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member
14	Williams to explain his vote.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you so
16	much, Mr. Chair. But I want
17	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Very nice vest
18	you're wearing today.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you; I
20	appreciate that. I want to speak on two projects. I
21	too just want to thank Council Member Levin for the
22	work that he did on Rose Castle; I know without that
23	work we wouldn't even be where we are today,
24	developers, I know, were pretty obstinate on moving

and he did get them to move. However, on this, I too

2.2

2.3

will have to vote no; I don't believe the workforce option should be there; I think we had inexplicably missed opportunities when it came to MIH, and even the options for the second site don't mandate that he uses Option 1. So there are two things here: one is that I think Option 3 is just terrible, the workforce option, and the second; I think we should have, of course, mandated a minimum amount of affordability in all of these projects. Option 1 does that, and so I might have felt a little differently if the developer had agreed to do Option 1, but he still has the option not to use either one of those options and that is not something I can support.

I will be abstaining on the Caton Flats development. This one hurts a little bit, because I am very much attached to the work that CACCI is doing and I very much want to see that project go up. In fact, the market, until it's been built, will be existing in my district; I'm just getting some of these numbers and I'm not actually liking what I'm seeing -- at 130% of AMI -- that's almost half of the units; I think we could've done more, so I want to get more information on that.

2 I just quickly want to say that I very 3 much believe in and understand member deference 4 [sic]; I don't think we have to wholesale change 5 that, but we are at this point putting one face as a body to the public and in discussions in the media 6 7 when it comes to homelessness and housing and another 8 when we vote on these projects that do nothing to help homelessness or provide real affordability. Those two things have to come more in line, and so as 10 11 a body we have to have a conversation about what 12 these projects are doing to the conversation we're 13 having in public. And I think if the public was 14 really aware of what we vote on every single time we 15 have these votes and how it affects homelessness in 16 this city and how it affects not having the real 17 affordability that we need, they would be outraged, 18 but we put on one face outside and we put another one 19 in here, and I take part in that 'cause I am part of 20 this body, and what I try to do with these votes is 21 really push that conversation, so I very much respect 2.2 member deference and I try when I can to even abstain 2.3 in deference to that, but it is coming to a critical point -- homelessness is at an all-time high -- we 24

are missing many, many opportunities and so with

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that, I vote no on 589; 590 and I abstain on 594, 595, 596, and aye on all the rest. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you...

COMMITTEE CLERK: Items on today's [sic]...

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I do actually think it's worth noting; as the Chair of the Committee and somebody who was certainly involved in the negotiations that the developer in the Rose Castle application actually made it clear at several points that there was a breaking point which would -essentially, the developer would walk away from the entire development of the project and even submitted financial documents that indicate that it would have been difficult for them to go forward with the project. So I just simply want to highlight that and recognize that considering that and the facts of the circumstances and the facts that the developer in fact made it clear that they were ready, willing and able to walk away from the development of this entire housing project. I do want to congratulate Council Member Levin for really working hard, and in fact I think the time stamp on my phone was 11:07 p.m. the night before the vote when he was able to squeeze out a few more units of affordable housing, and I want to

Committee Land Use agenda, Land Use Items 591, 592,

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 23
2	597, and 598 and LU 601 have been adopted by a vote
3	of 19 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no
4	abstentions. Land Use Items 589 and 590 have been
5	adopted by a vote of 17 in the affirmative, 2 in the
6	negative and 0 abstentions. And finally, Land Use
7	Items 594, 595 and 596 are adopted by a vote of 18 in
8	the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 1 abstention.
9	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you.
10	We're going to keep the roll open until 12:10 p.m.
11	Thank you all; have a wonderful day.
12	[pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: This concludes
14	the Land Use Committee meeting for Thursday, April
15	20, 2017. This meeting is hereby adjourned.
16	[gavel]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 23, 2017