CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

April 24, 2016 Start: 1:00 p.m. Recess: 2:40 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway- Committee Rm, 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: JAMES VACCA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ANNABEL PALMA

DAVID G. GREENFIELD BARRY S. GRODENCHIK JOSEPH C. BORELLI

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Mindy Tarlow Director of the Mayor's Office of Operations

## Anne Roest

City's Chief Information Officer and Commissioner Of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications or DoITT

Miguel Gamino

Chief Technology Officer for the City of New York

Tyyab Walker

Director of Enterprise Solutions New York Mayor's Office of Operations

Laura Negron

General Council and Chief Privacy Officer for the Mayor's Office of Operations

Thomas Kamber

Executive Director and founder of Older Adults Technology Services or OATS

Story Bellows

Chief Innovation and Performance Officer at Brooklyn Public Library

John Olsen

New York Executive Director for the Internet Association

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, thank you
everyone, thank you for coming this afternoon. My
name is James Vacca and I'm Chair of the New York
City Council Committee on Technology and I'd like to
welcome everyone here. We're here today to discuss
the privacy of data in New York City. As digital
technologies have become common in our normal
everyday lives they have also become key to the
operation of government. Over the past decade, the
city has implemented new technologies and systems to
better provide services to its inhabitants. By their
very nature several of these technologies rely upon,
generate, and store data; some personal and other
anonymous. These technologies in data can be and are
being used in ways to make our city more efficient,
more equitable and more transparent. Yet as these
projects continue developing we must be vigilant in
ensuring the privacy and security of people in this
city. And because many of these projects are done in
partnership with private partners we need to ensure
these entities are also held to high standards of
privacy and security. Data privacy is a wide-ranging
issue and seemingly touches almost every aspect of

2	our city services and in this hearing, we're going to
3	examine a number of those areas. One area is the
4	city's efforts to bridge the digital divide and its
5	pursuit of universal affordable high speed internet
6	access by 2025. Internet access is a fundamental
7	human right and the city is executing several
8	projects to provide internet to low income
9	individuals most notably the ongoing roll out of
10	LinkNYC and the expansion of broadband services in
11	NYCHA developments. These efforts are to be commended
12	but also represent an area in which we must be
13	particularly vigilant. Many national studies indicate
14	that lower income people are disproportionately
15	burdened by data collection and privacy violations.
16	Additionally, data digital advertising all too often
17	targets these groups. Privacy is not a luxury item
18	but a fundamental right of all people. With the
19	recent federal, federal repeal of the data privacy
20	measures for internet service providers this
21	discussion takes on added importance. Another topic
22	we will examine is the city's policies and procedures
23	for protecting people from data breaches, hacks and
24	unauthorized transfers. Unfortunately, these kinds of
25	attacks are now part and parcel of digital use. Last

2	month Attorney General Eric Schneiderman announced
3	that his office received approximately 1,300
4	notifications regarding data breaches of
5	nongovernmental systems in 2016, that is a 60 percent
6	increase from the previous year. In the past several
7	years there have also been a number of egregious
8	breaches of New York City government data with
9	several unsettling examples detailed in today's
10	committee report. The Department of Information
11	Technology and Telecommunications, DoITT, manages a
12	citywide information security program and carries out
13	efforts to protect the city against breaches some of
14	which we will hear about today. The third area we
15	will touch upon today is the internet of things in
16	New York City meaning the connection of physical
17	devices infrastructure to the internet. These
18	evolving technologies have been touted as having the
19	potential to transform government services throughout
20	the world making municipalities into smart cities.
21	Even at this early stage it's important we consider
22	the potential benefits and drawbacks. Along with the
23	possibility of leading to greater efficiency and
24	sustainability. Smart city technologies have the
25	notential to exponentially increase data collection

Despite the early phase of these technologies New
York City is already at the forefront winning the
award for best smart city at the 2016 smart city expo
world congress. In addition, the Mayor's Office of
Technology and Innovation worked to develop one of
the first guidelines for the internet of things which
includes privacy and security concerns. The fourth
and final topic that we're going to be covering today
is HHS Connect and its work to establish data privacy
and protection standards. As many of you may know HHS
Connect is an office working in the Mayor's Office of
Operations and the task of this entity is to link
computer systems of city agencies that provide help
and human services. HHS Connect includes both public
and internet internal facing systems that are aimed
at improving the ways data is stored and shared and
making it easier for people to apply for city
services and benefits. There is a large amount of
personal highly sensitive data stored within these
systems and the city must make sure that the data is
only accessed when necessary. I know we're covering a
lot today but I hope we can have a productive and in
depth discussion and that today's hearing serves as
the start of a wider public conversation on data

MINDY TARLOW: That falls to me Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, thank you.

who would like to lead off?

2.3

2	MINDY TARLOW: Good afternoon Chairman
3	Vacca, members of the Committee on Technology. My
4	name is Mindy Tarlow and I'm the Director of the
5	Mayor's Office of Operations. I am here today with
6	DoITT's Commissioner Anne Roest, Chief Technology
7	Officer Miguel Gamino, and two colleagues from my
8	office; Laura Negron, Chief Privacy Officer and
9	General Counsel, and Tayyab Walker, Director of
10	Enterprise Data Solutions. On behalf of the
11	Administration and my colleagues, I would like to
12	thank you for the opportunity to testify at this
13	oversight hearing on city data privacy. As you know,
14	Commissioner Roest and I appeared before this
15	Committee last year in February 2016 regarding the
16	city's data privacy and security practices and share
17	the Committee's interest in these important issues.
18	Then, as now, Operations remains committed to
19	advancing important multiagency data-sharing
20	initiatives and human subject research, with the goal
21	of improving the quality and coordination of services
22	delivered to all New Yorkers, while ensuring vigilant
23	data privacy and security practices. Such efforts are
24	in furtherance of the city's goal, set forth in
25	OneNYC, of expanding its internal data integration

2	capacity so that our residents receive the right
3	resources and services at the right time, leveraging
4	technology to streamline efficiencies. I'd like to
5	take this opportunity to update committee members on
6	our efforts and advances since last year. HHS-
7	Connect, known today as Worker Connect, is a
8	technology initiative established in 2008 to help
9	improve coordination and delivery of health and human
10	services across city agencies. Since then, this kind
11	of work has been expanded under the Mayor's Office of
12	Operations, as part of an overarching strategy to
13	more efficiently and effectively address the social
14	service needs of New Yorkers, using advances in
15	technology, including as one example, ACCESS NYC, a
16	public benefits screening tool. Operations' Worker
17	Connect program remains a valuable data matching tool
18	and resource for facilitating interagency case
19	management, benefits outreach, and related purposes.
20	An Interagency Data Exchange agreement among
21	participating agencies, and a formal business use
22	case process, grant read-only access to limited data
23	elements from a limited number of city agencies for
24	program specific purposes, subject to prior written
25	legal approval of the agency data owner or owners.

2	Beyond the requisite use case approvals, Worker
3	Connect incorporates a broad array of additional
4	protocols to help safeguard the privacy and security
5	of client data. As examples, user training and
6	computer log in banners address confidentiality
7	restrictions and citywide IT security requirements. A
8	"terms of use" agreement, signed by agencies
9	receiving data, memorializes the limited purposes for
10	which data access has been authorized. Encryption and
11	secure file transfer protocols are used to transmit
12	data between agencies and users. We are planning
13	enhancements to Worker Connects underlying
14	technology; the Common Client Index or CCI, an
15	algorithm based tool that enables electronic matching
16	of encrypted records. Planned enhancements will allow
17	city agencies to use the CCI as a secure service to
18	meet their electronic data matching needs and to de-
19	identify linked records to support cross-agency
20	research. Building upon the important groundwork
21	established by the Worker Connect model, we developed
22	and launched a Citywide Data Integration Initiative.
23	This initiative is governed by a Steering Committee
24	established by the First Deputy Mayor, which is
25	facilitated by the Office of Operations and includes

DoITT's Commissioner, the Deputy Mayor for Health and
Human Services, and appointees of the First Deputy
Mayor's Office. Like Worker Connect, the Citywide
Data Integration Initiative advances important
multiagency data sharing work while ensuring robust
privacy and security practices. The Citywide Data
Integration Initiative memorializes Administrative-
wide support for a "one city" approach to data, using
the city's central technology platform, DataBridge.
It also provides the legal privacy and data security
framework and operational protocols for developing
multiagency projects involving the integration of
data from three or more agencies. With our Steering
Committee's support and guidance, the Administration
has undertaken additional efforts to help strengthen
the city's data privacy and security practices. For
example, the Administration sought a HIPPA Security
Rule assessment of the DataBridge platform. This
review, led by an outside consultant in cooperation
with DoITT and Operations, was launched to gauge
whether DataBridge and its staff were equipped with
the physical, technical, and administrative resources
and protocols required by law to handle personally
identifiable information. Following a four month

2	review it was determined that DataBridge is compliant
3	with HIPPA security rule requirements. Finally,
4	Operations working with the Law Department and other
5	city colleagues with expertise and data privacy and
6	security has provided internal guidance for handling
7	third party requests for information held by city
8	agencies, to help supplement existing agency
9	practices. Working with our city colleagues, we will
10	develop training materials on this subject matter
11	that will be rolled out to agencies and can be
12	adapted for their use with employees and contracted
13	providers in the months ahead. Thank you for the
14	opportunity to share our progress today with the
15	committee. In closing, I want to reiterate our
16	commitment to advancing important data integration
17	work, leveraging innovations in the city's technical
18	capabilities, while protecting the privacy and
19	security of resident's personal data, particularly
20	for our most vulnerable populations. We believe that
21	our comprehensive protocols and working relationships
22	across agencies, and with the City's Law Department,
23	enable important city work to go forward under our
24	collaborative leadership, with vigilant and
25	protective stewardship. We look forward to our

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

continued conversations on this important topic, and my colleagues and I are happy to answer questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, I now want to mention we've been joined by Council Member Joseph Borelli to my right, always to my right. Would anyone else like to testify, Commissioner Roest?

ANNE ROEST: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon Chair Vacca and the members of the New York City Council Committee on Technology. My name is Anne Roest and I'm the City's Chief Information Officer and Commissioner of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications or DoITT. I am joined by Mindy Tarlow, the Director of the Mayor's Office of Operations and the Chief Technology Officer, Miguel Gamino, in addition to two of my DoITT colleagues, Michael Pastor, DoITT's General Counsel, and Geoffrey Brown, the Citywide Chief Information Security Officer. Thank you for the opportunity today to testify on the privacy of city data. I commend the Committee for its timely examination of this topic. I want to begin by articulating the values that animate our work DoITT and across the entire administration, with respect to

2	data privacy. I believe, as do my colleagues beside
3	me, that New Yorkers' private information should stay
4	that way, private. We also believe that the city's
5	systems and assets must stay secure, shielded from
6	outside threats. That's what drives our work every
7	day. I know that the council shares these values and
8	we are grateful for your collaboration on these
9	critical fronts, particularly in a time when the
10	actions of the federal government appear to be
11	working against the privacy and security of New
12	Yorkers. I'd first like to highlight DoITT's work in
13	this arena by focusing on our excellent Citywide
14	Cybersecurity team, which leads the effort to protect
15	the city's systems and assets from ever-evolving
16	cyber threats. This administration has made a
17	tremendous commitment to fortify the cybersecurity
18	team in recent years, with a significant increase in
19	investment for enhanced technology to stay ahead of
20	these threats, and the addition of a Citywide CISO to
21	spearhead proactive and progressive risk-management
22	strategies. That has put the city in a better
23	position than we've ever been on that front. One
24	strategy the cyber team has employed is intensifying
25	the role of employees in the defense of our networks.

We recently created a security awareness video to
help employees understand the importance of using
strong passwords, a simple but extremely effective
way to protect our systems. We have subsequent videos
in development, including one scheduled for release
in May. A second strategy recognizes phishing as a
significant attack vector. Phishing is the use of
emails to trick a victim into clicking a malicious
link or into providing sensitive data. We've started
launching test phishing emails, a standard security
practice, to see how employees respond, and
subsequently perform detailed analysis and provide
training for those who need it. At the same time, we
are strengthening the technical defenses to our
citywide email flow. The Cybersecurity team also
establishes Citywide Information Security policies
and standards, to which city agencies and their
employees must adhere. These policies and standards,
which we are currently updating, inform the practices
of all city agencies' interactions with the public,
both online and in person. People are thinking about
privacy now more than ever. With that in mind I can
detail a few more forward thinking policies that keep
New Yorkers' information secure. We are proud of our

2	laws and policies that promote transparency. However,
3	much of the information collected, generated, or
4	maintained by the city is not public record and
5	should remain as such including the personal
6	information that New Yorkers provide to agencies. To
7	that end, one of DoITT's most vital information
8	security policies is the data classification policy,
9	which ensures that agencies number one, appropriately
10	characterize their information assets, and number
11	two, apply the appropriate degree of protection to
12	that information. This is critical because all data
13	with a classification of private or confidential may
14	not be stored and or transmitted across any
15	communication mechanism unless it is protected using
16	approved encryption technology. Similarly,
17	applications whether public facing or internally
18	accessible must go through a software security
19	assurance process. This ensures that the tools that
20	the city develops to support city functions are built
21	in a secure fashion and must comply with our robust
22	policies, standards, and industry best practices. For
23	example, the Department of Finance just released a
24	new mobile application to either pay or dispute
25	parking tickets. As anyone who has had to go through

2	that process knows, it may be necessary to enter
3	credit card information, which must be transmitted
4	over a secure network. The security assessment
5	process gives New Yorkers confidence that this
6	convenience does not require a tradeoff for safety.
7	The proper physical storage of data and destruction
8	of that data when the physical vessel is no longer in
9	use is extremely important. That's why DoITT
10	formulated a digital media reuse and disposal policy
11	requiring that all digital media, such as computers,
12	flash drives, smart phones, and photocopiers, undergo
13	proper data sanitation when the devices will no
14	longer be used. With this committee's guidance, a new
15	law has been passed to codify this policy. Taken
16	together, the law and policy ensure that any private
17	information that agencies store could never
18	accidentally fall into the wrong hands. These and our
19	other citywide information security policies are
20	thorough and effective for city agencies but DoITT's
21	role in data privacy does not end there. When
22	possible, we leverage our franchises to better
23	educate New Yorkers of their rights, while enhancing
24	privacy protections. Over 1.4 million residents and
25	visitors have connected to LinkNYC, the city's first

2	of its kind franchise to transform outdated payphones
3	into state of the art free Wi-Fi kiosks. This is one
4	of the few franchises that this administration has
5	negotiated from beginning to end and it was our
6	priority to start to negotiate a strong, user first
7	privacy policy with our franchise, CityBridge. Just a
8	month ago, we unveiled an update to the privacy
9	policy that made clear that CityBridge does not and
10	will never store browsing history, track the websites
11	that the Wi-Fi users visit, or share or sell data to
12	third parties. This latest version of the privacy
13	policy was applauded by the New York Civil Liberties
14	Union for being responsive to concerns and improving
15	privacy protections for LinkNYC Wi-Fi users and we
16	are unaware of a public Wi-Fi network that has a
17	stronger privacy policy. The LinkNYC privacy policy,
18	taken together with the privacy policy for
19	Queensbridge, Queensbridge Connected, to which the
20	CTO will speak, demonstrates that the city has set a
21	high bar for privacy considerations across the board.
22	We look forward to continuing the discussion with the
23	committee today. Before concluding, I'd once again
24	like to reinforce that we share the council's
25	concerns about recent, recent actions at the federal

level. As you know, congress recently passed, and the
President signed, legislation that unravels essential
protections of Americans' online privacy.
Unfortunately, with the leadership in place in the
White House, congress, and at the FCC these kinds of
mandates will only become more commonplace. We will
continue to monitor these efforts and comment as
necessary in collaboration with the CTO's office, but
we also welcome your feedback and suggestions on
these critical matters. Data privacy is an urgent
consideration that the city takes seriously. I hope
my testimony has underscored that. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify today and I will now turn it
over to Miguel Gamino, the City's Chief Technology
Officer, to provide more detail on broadband privacy
and the Internet of Things.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you Commissioner, please proceed.

MIGUEL GAMINO: Thank you. Good
afternoon, Chairman Vacca and members of the
Technology Committee. I am Miguel Gamino, Chief
Technology Officer for the City of New York and I
also lead the Mayor's Office of Technology and
Innovation. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to

2	issues regarding data privacy, an area that I agree
3	is of paramount importance as we continue to connect
4	New Yorkers to the internet and harness the
5	opportunities presented by the proliferation of the
6	Internet of Things. As you are aware, Mayor de Blasio
7	has set the goal that every resident and business
8	will have access to affordable, reliable, high speed
9	internet service everywhere by 2025. While our office
10	actively works to close the digital divide, and get
11	New Yorkers online, we are also acutely aware of the
12	emerging internet borne threats to human rights and
13	democracy that increased connectivity could expose.
14	In fact, reports show that eh city's most vulnerable
15	communities are increasingly likely to experience
16	harassment, discrimination, a loss of privacy, and
17	barriers to civic engagement through their use of the
18	internet. Like you, we are also concerned that these
19	threats may escalate in the wake of the recent
20	decision by congress and President Trump to reverse
21	the Federal Communication Commission's internet
22	privacy protections, which would have placed limits
23	on how internet service providers can use sensitive
24	personal data, including browsing history,
25	geolocation, and financial and medical information.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

To access the internet and the opportunities that come with it, we need these internet service providers to connect us to websites, network our devices, complete our calls and deliver our text messages. They should not be able to exploit this gatekeeper position to collect and sell information about our consumer habits, health conditions and political views, especially without even letting us know they are doing it. Yet this is precisely what the federal government has just enabled. Despite these threats, the answer is not to shy away from the promotion of internet adoption, but rather to double down on our efforts to safeguard and empower our communities. That is why this administration has always taken seriously the need to implement measures to help protect New Yorkers, particularly vulnerable populations, through the formulation and enforcement of strict privacy policies. Vigorous advocacy on federal policy and broad outreach to educate the public on best practices around internet privacy and health. As we recently consolidated broadband oversight within my office, we worked with the council and to the Mayor to initiate a comprehensive legal review of the city's authority to protect New

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yorkers' privacy when connected to the internet. Specifically, this review includes an evaluation of the authority the city may have over the privacy policies of internet service providers; how and to what extent the city is exercising this authority currently; and whether the city can expand the exercise of its available authority to achieve, at minimum, the privacy protections for internet service consumers that congress and the President have recently repealed. Projects such as Queensbridge Connected, which will bring high... free high speed broadband to over 7,000 NYCHA residents, show the potential for the city taking a strong role in setting the terms of internet service for New Yorkers. In particular, we would highlight that the policy for Queensbridge is communicated in a clear and concise manner and restricts the transfer of personal information to third parties including the city. As was mentioned in Commissioner Roest's update on LinkNYC, we believe these policies set a high bar for privacy and we will always look to improve upon them and expand best practices to other projects. I am also joining with the Commissioner of the New York City Commission on Human Rights to convene an

2	interagency work group on Internet Health and Human
3	Rights, which will be a first of its kind for
4	municipalities looking for new methods to educate and
5	empower their residents. The working group will
6	review broadband programs to advise on how to ensure
7	New Yorkers privacy, security, and basic human rights
8	are preserved when they go online. Public education
9	is also essential in order to equip residents and
10	organizations with information they need to protect
11	themselves. That is why we are working closely with
12	the city's public libraries to address the evolving
13	digital literacy needs of New York City residents
14	through training courses and informal responses to
15	their questions. The city with Brooklyn Public
16	Library, New York Public Library, and Queens Library
17	will set a goal that every library branch will be
18	equipped to respond to patron inquiries related to
19	protecting their digital privacy and security. The
20	city is collaborating with Mozilla Foundation on a
21	groundbreaking effort to create a digital security
22	training program for city contracted community based
23	organizations that serve vulnerable populations.
24	These workshops will be tailored to fit the unique
25	needs of the participating organizations, with the

overall mission of developing standards and 2 3 procedures for managing data and addressing evolving threats to digital security. The ultimate goal is to 4 5 produce a scalable framework, and the Nonprofit Resiliency Committee will provide additional quidance 6 on how this model could apply in service to all New Yorkers. Lastly, before closing, I also want to speak 8 to important efforts that are underway to help prepare for, and manage, the proliferation of 10 11 connected devices commonly referred to as the Internet of Things. By 2020, its estimated that the 12 number of connected devices will exceed 50 billion. 13 14 When used effectively, these devices like sensors 15 that capture pollution in the air or lights that only 16 turn on when someone is in the room can produce cost 17 savings, bolster civic engagement, and strengthen 18 public health and safety. They, they can also carry 19 significant challenges and risks for cities, 20 particularly in the area of privacy and data 21 security. To help city agencies prepare for the introduction of new technologies and mitigate 2.2 2.3 potential risks, in 2015, the Mayor's Office of Technology and Innovation kicked off an extensive 24 effort to develop a first of its kind set of 25

2	guidelines for the Internet of Things. The guidelines
3	incorporate best practices from more than 50 cities
4	around the world as well as input from subject matter
5	experts representing universities, regulatory and
6	standards bodies, public interest groups, and private
7	companies. Since the release of these guidelines in
8	May 2016, more than 40 cities around the world have
9	followed New York City's lead and committed to a
10	common set of guiding principles for the responsible
11	and equitable use of IoT technologies. The guidelines
12	are designed to provide practical advice for agencies
13	as they explore and consider adopting new IoT
14	technologies while also reinforcing existing city
15	policies and laws around cybersecurity, data
16	classification, and open data. Building on these
17	guidelines, staff at my office are also available to
18	assist agencies in this process providing subject
19	matter expertise and a center of excellence on best
20	practices and lessons learned related to IoT. Lastly,
21	in March, our office announced that Brownsville,
22	Brooklyn will be the home to the city's first
23	Neighborhood Innovation Lab. Through this unique
24	public private partnership, city agencies will be
25	able to partner directly with community residents and

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

technology companies to demonstrate and test smart city devices within these communities, gathering community feedback every step of the way. Although much work remains to be done in our effort to more connect New Yorkers to the internet and harness the opportunities presented by the proliferation of IoT, I could not be more pleased with the pace of progress the incredible leadership team at DoITT, the Mayor's Office of Operations and across the city. We will remain diligent in our efforts to protect the privacy of New Yorkers and appreciate the city council's continued support in this important area.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you, I thank all those who testified. I also want to thank my committee staff; Malaika Jabali and Patrick Mulvehill and John Russell, the Financial Analyst and I want to thank Zack Heck my Legislative Director for helping put this hearing together. I'm impressed by so much of what you spoke of, all of you but I, I do have questions of course but would it be best to have someone or some agency coordinating all this, who, who... where... who is the lead agency and is there a body that coordinates all that we're doing in the... in the privacy area?

MINDY TARLOW: Well just one general comment I would make when it comes to privacy issues specifically we really do rely on the Law Department and think the Law Department has kind of the overarching role and view of how to work through privacy issues legally.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But the Law

Department is not an administrative agency, you, you
go to the Law Department to gather legal advice for
your actions so my question is from an administrative
point of view what agency is leading this effort or
is there an... is there a formal interagency group that
does this?

a few things I think that were linked to cross our testimony that I think somewhat get to answering your question. One is that we do have a, a steering committee on data integration now that was put together by the First Deputy Mayor and I think a lot of the way data is shared when its across multiple agencies is kind of housed underneath that committee. I also think that each of us together and separately have put together a, a series of guidance for agencies that we administer either individually or

for and I know we have an entity now that is supposed to be dealing with this in a generic way, its

something of a standing nature is what we would look

17 comprised of the public advocate and several others,

18 it's, it's called Copic, Committee on Public

19 Information and communications, Copic.

ANNE ROEST: I don't know if... [cross-

21 talk]

22 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Are you aware of

23 this?

24 ANNE ROEST: I... [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 29
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You are, somebody's
3	shaking their head [cross-talk]
4	ANNE ROEST:yes, I am [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I am aware of it
6	[cross-talk]
7	ANNE ROEST:so [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:but I, I, I just am
9	concerned that this group has not met in a long time.
10	ANNE ROEST: Yeah, so we, we can look
11	into that and, and how often they meet and exactly
12	what they're doing, I didn't understand that they
13	were responsible for ensuring privacy as much as
14	ensuring information sharing and availability but we
15	will look into that and get back to you.
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I wanted
17	to talk about… let's, let's start with LinkNYC, I'm
18	happy to see the new privacy policies issued by
19	CityBridge a few weeks ago and I wanted to ask,
20	seeing as how CityBridge is not a city entity what
21	role did your office have in securing and determining
22	the new privacy policy?
	1

ANNE ROEST: Well they're not a city entity, they are under contract with a city, their franchise agreement so we negotiated the privacy

23

24

ANNE ROEST: If we had a concern in that

2.3

24

area.

changes in the policy. So, when we recently changed

they only have to log on that one time would that

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 34
2	risk their privacy in your opinion, would, would that
3	enable it… enable easier tracking?
4	ANNE ROEST: No, not at all. The way they
5	log on the way it logs them on automatically from
6	link to link is not based on any personal
7	information, it's a technical capability.
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Does LinkNYC
9	support do not track browsing?
10	ANNE ROEST: Support do not track
11	browsing and I'm not sure what you mean by that we
12	don't track browsing.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You don't track
14	browsing.
15	ANNE ROEST: We do not track browsing at
16	all and that's [cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay [cross-talk]
18	ANNE ROEST:that was made more clear in
19	the privacy policy that was just released.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now are there blue
21	tooth beacons in the link kiosks?
22	ANNE ROEST: Are there there are beacons
23	in the kiosk that are only activated if you opt in.
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What's their purpose?

2.

\_

ANNE ROEST: So actually I don't believe they're used at all right now, I'm just looking...

yeah, they're not used at all right now, there's a capability if someone has an app that is location dependent and someone uses the app and they're asked, you know do you want us to use location based information that... the beacon can be used for that so it can interact with an app but someone would have to say yes, I want to use this functionality.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now why are these beacons described in the marketing content but not the privacy policy, they're not in the privacy policy, is that something you think you should look to add?

ANNE ROEST: I can look at... if there is something that the beacons could do that's not addressed... now the privacy policy does say things like we won't push advertisement to you which means we won't use beacons to do that. So, we don't address any specific technology, we do talk about in the privacy policy what we will or won't do with your data but not how we would do it but if there's some way to make that clearer we would certainly take that into consideration if you have a suggestion.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 36
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. There was
3	something that occurred to us when we were going over
4	this but to make sure that the privacy policy was all
5	inclusive I would think that you wanted to have some
6	kind of a statement on that.
7	ANNE ROEST: On beacons, okay.
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now going back and
9	forth the ACCESS HRA we were talking about that a
10	little, little while ago but is it correct that the
11	link kiosk devices people can sign up for Access HRA
12	on the link at New York City?
13	ANNE ROEST: So folks can sign up for the
14	city services that are available through, through our
15	government websites so yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now so far do you
17	have statistics on how many people have done that,
18	how useful has LinkNYC been in getting people to sigr
19	up for city services?
20	ANNE ROEST: Well we don't track exactly
21	what people are doing, we can tell you… [cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay [cross-talk]
23	ANNE ROEST:how many sessions we've had
24	and how long they are but exactly what folks are

doing, no.

	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGI 37
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. When they if
3	and when they sign out if and when they sign up for
4	these services and then they walk away from the link
5	kiosk is there an automatic sign out, do… are they
6	automatically signed out or how long is it before
7	their information is gone basically, that's what I'm
8	looking for?
9	ANNE ROEST: So, so when folks are doing
10	that I'm you're talking about on the tablet,
11	actually… [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yeah [cross-talk]
13	ANNE ROEST: I don't know the time of how
14	long it purges, I'll have to I'll have to get I'll
15	get back to you on that just
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I would think that
17	would be an issue to see… once they leave the kiosk
18	how long is does it take for the kiosk to then say
19	that the person's information is no longer there for
20	the next person to go and observe it or look at it.
21	ANNE ROEST: Yeah, I, I yeah, I know
22	that we do flush the sessions but I don't know the
23	timing, I will get back to you with that.

I understood were going to have cameras... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now these link kiosks

24

25 being... are the two cameras working at this point?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Are the two cameras

person using the tablet.

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 39
2	ANNE ROEST: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: They're all working
4	[cross-talk]
5	ANNE ROEST: Yes [cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:in the city, okay.
7	When you said you retain it for seven days who is
8	you, your agency retains it?
9	ANNE ROEST: No… [cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Or the PD [cross-
11	talk]
12	ANNE ROEST:no, no, the, the vendor
13	retains the video… [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Oh the vendor who
15	[cross-talk]
16	ANNE ROEST:which [cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:has the okay
18	[cross-talk]
19	ANNE ROEST: Yes, yes so CityBridge
20	retains the video and again its only used for issues
21	with vandalism, graffiti that kind of thing.
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And if there is an
23	instance of vandalism or graffiti that tape is turned
24	over to the police department for prosecution?

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.2

2.3

2	ANNE ROEST: So, there is the
3	information that CityBridge has is only shared when
4	legally required so if there's vandalism police would
5	have to ask for that or subpoena that video from
6	them.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No but if there's vandalism and there's no policeman on the block when the vandalism happens the only thing that would record it would be the camera... [cross-talk]

ANNE ROEST: So... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: ...so the camera would have to be... that video footage in order for an arrest to be effectuated would have to be shown to someone in law enforcement.

ANNE ROEST: So CityBridge if they chose to would file a complaint with the police department and it would follow the usual course that it follows; the police would gather the evidence and they would ask CityBridge for that video, they would have to subpoen the video I believe... yes... because we have a contract with them that they can't share it unless required.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Oh so the police department would have to... [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 41
2	ANNE ROEST: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Have they
4	expressed a hesitancy about that or have they
5	[cross-talk]
6	ANNE ROEST: No [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:have there been
8	instances of vandalism where CityBridge has requested
9	prosecution of someone?
10	ANNE ROEST: Not that I'm aware of, no.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I must tell you that
12	that strikes me as in the entire city we've had no
13	vandalism against any kiosk?
14	ANNE ROEST: They love the kiosks.
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I know I love we, we
16	all love them it just seems like that's a [cross-
17	talk]
18	ANNE ROEST: No, I'm, I'm unaware of any
19	we'll we can verify that with CityBridge.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now does DoITT
21	have access to all the data generated from the link,
22	link kiosk or does CityBridge?
23	ANNE ROEST: CityBridge has access
24	[cross-talk]

it, there is very little data and they're, they're

table?

say is that as mentioned in all of the testimony and

2.2

2.3

then in the discussion around LinkNYC that the issue of privacy is top of mind in all of these instances and I think that we're doing several things to try to strengthen that position and one of the things that we've done that I mentioned in my testimony was reach out to the... to the council to investigate what we can do as a city to further strengthen our... what, what tools we have available to us, methods to strengthen that privacy position for New Yorkers as they access the internet. So, we're still... we've asked for that evaluation to, to occur.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well certainly this committee is willing to work with you legislatively if there's a recommendation you have my only concern here is that when we talk about public private relationships is that... is that going to be meeting the privacy needs of our residents based on the partnerships that we've established, is that not as strong as we would wish those partnerships are they... is there... is there something missing there that we, we should be concerned about possibly?

MIGUEL GAMINO: I can't speak to the, the broad category of partnerships but I think as we've, we've said today LinkNYC and Queensbridge frankly are

2.2

2.3

very good examples of how the city can play a role in making sure that a very strong privacy policy is in place and then again at, at the result of the investigation into what other means we have available to us and I think we'll be able to, to opine on other things we could do to, to continue to strengthen that but I think it's, it's, it's safe to say we are all interested in making that internet environment as safe as possible for New Yorkers.

where we have... where we continue to have these private public partnerships if someone feels that their privacy is endangered who does that person call, who does that person... these are private agencies that we've contracted with, do they... is it... is it... do we make it known who people can call if they think their privacy has been breached whether it's by intent of design or not?

ANNE ROEST: Well when it comes to the contracts that the city is entered into for example the franchises they would call the agency where that franchise was held to do it. For example, we take complaints or concerns about what our providers have done and would be very interested in any concerns

2.2

2.3

anyone has about privacy with their ISP or any other provider. So, yes, they would call DoITT or the

4 franchise holder in the case of those contracts.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I think we have to let that be known to people somehow that any complaint regarding privacy, I don't know if you want to use 311 or you want to use some type of a... I don't want to use the word complaint number but some, some type of a person that they could call, a unit in one of your offices should be... should be accessible to them and transparent as to who they can talk to.

ANNE ROEST: I, I think that... that's a really good suggestion and I think it's really timely based on what we see happening.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Now I know the municipal Wi-Fi networks are illegal in several states but I do not believe that that is the case in New York, can New York City ever make a purely fully public Wi-Fi network, would that be a good idea?

MIGUEL GAMINO: Can it legally, you're correct that, that there are some states that prohibit it and we are not in one of them whether we should is something that we're actively investigating in a broader scope to the broadband plan that we're

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

working on now and I think that is one of the major concerns that we're using the plan to discover is what is the right relationship that the government should have with that future internet service.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now I want to continue on some of the things you spoke about, you spoke about the Internet of Things?

MIGUEL GAMINO: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, going forth and it's supposed to bridge the gap between city infrastructure and technology so given that these are just guidelines that we're talking about how will the city ensure accountability in that the guidelines are actually being implemented?

MIGUEL GAMINO: So, they are guidelines and we have to now take it the step further to understand how they interact with law and other policies across the city and, and figure out where those things are in support of one another and actually now moving also to a more detailed plan on how those guidelines can be implemented and in my office we're, we're working on formalizing and focusing the IOT strategy for the office and for the city that will include much more discussion on the

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

implementation of smart and connected infrastructure that, that is in the category of, of the Internet of Things.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, are you also concerned about the city having public private partnerships in the... in the area I spoke about before in so much as compliance is concerned, is that a concern that you look at, at the ... if we, we establish these partnerships is compliance a concern of yours or how to effectuate compliance?

MIGUEL GAMINO: I would say it is something that we are concerned about to make sure that it is not a concern of, of issue going forward meaning I think the public private partnerships will be valuable to the, the, the forward movement of this technology and implementation for good outcomes. I think we can learn from the good work done on the public Wi-Fi front that we've already discussed and some of those other things to make sure that we not only implement strong policy but exercise the same mechanisms to ensure that it's being adhered to. So, it is concern from the aspect of it's top of mind but I think we've got a lot of tools to leverage to make sure that it is a safe an environment as possible.

\_

/

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Just a question I have, have you been contacted at all, has the administration been contracted about our policies at all since the new administration in Washington, have we been told that we may not be in compliance with the recent executive order or anything like that?

I'm, I'm not aware of any direct contact from the federal government.

MIGUEL GAMINO: Not to my knowledge, I ...

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now I wanted to go into the current framework of the digital policy. Now basically the current framework is notice and consent so people are provided information about policies and technologies and then they're given the option to use services whether or not they want to use them it's up to them, now when it comes to the Internet of Things in a city this framework is not so straightforward because the technology and services are not generally actively used by people but they are passively engaging, people will just be walking past a lot of this stuff and I do think it's really important that when we implement interest of, of things policy we consider this dilemma. With regard to consent the interest of things guidelines

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

stipulate that policy responsibilities would be made via a city government website but that... but is that really good enough as these technologies are rolled out because citywide in the neighborhoods people work and live in should we require people to go online and find these policies or is there any other kind of public notice that your office has been considering?

MIGUEL GAMINO: I think the comment about public notice is noted and something we could continue to do and do more of. I think that you are hitting on the, the notion that the Internet of Things is an emerging technology in an emerging situation that we are ahead of most other cities in our thinking with, with the guidelines and evidence by the fact that many cities are subscribing to them openly and in fact I'm the co-founder of a council of global city CIO's so this is not just a, a... an American thing but a global thing that the guidelines has helped push that conversation forward but you're bringing up some of the issues that we are actively discussing in, in, in terms of making sure that we find the best way to ensure the balance between the, the positive impacts of these technologies and the

privacy and security concerns that they... that they
merge.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: With the statement that I want to make is this, I want this all done correctly and all inclusively and I'm sure you do too... [cross-talk]

MIGUEL GAMINO: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: ...but I do want to ask for a time table of sorts from you because sometime city government moves very slowly, too slowly, we all know about that and I do think that these concerns are important enough that we have a time table of action that is going to be taken when... implementation. So, if you could get back to my committee staff or my legislative director, director and let us know what your time table looks like.

MIGUEL GAMINO: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now when I was looking at this policy and talking to the staff about the intent of things... Internet of Things I, I was thinking that this kind of looks like to me, I'm a community board person, I was a district manager of a community board, it kind of looks like some type of process where when we used to consider a new

2.2

2.3

building, the community board has input of a general
advisory nature, so we're given notice and then we
have public discussions so I don't know but I had a
feeling this might be as close to cut to consent as
possible although with an advisory consent and was
wondering if your office had considered anything like
this when it comes to building these tech projects
somehow of engagement and process with community
boards I think would be the only entity I could think
of off the top of my head because they're legally
constituted under the city charter but I wanted to
know if you have thought of that?

MIGUEL GAMINO: So, I mentioned the neighborhood innovation labs which is not necessarily focused on the privacy aspect of things but certainly on the implementation of the impacts to the community of implementing some of these things and an opportunity to create a direct feedback loop with those communities and so that's again an effort that was just very recently announced and is in the... in the beginning stages of being implemented but your feedback is not only congruent with the intention of that but helps us maybe be even more focused on that feedback and consent discussion loop as a component

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of that program. So that's, that's one thing that is very recent and very tangibly aligned with, with what you're talking about and then more broadly to the privacy concerns I think we will continue to receive as, as best we can and as often as we can that input and, and feedback loop with the community to make sure that these things are being... that we're... that we're listening.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I think as we go forth in the years ahead this is going to be a very, very big issue so I'm interested in your advice and, and your cooperation but I think that when it comes to community engagement we have to codify a process at a certain point, there has to be a formal process of community engagement, you know I was Chairman of the Transportation Committee of the council when the bike lanes came and all the sudden people were so engaged, I'll put it that way and what we did is that we legislated a formal process at that point for consultation, input, advice, advice, and it's something you may want to be ahead of the curb rather than behind the curb, I think it's coming and I'd be open to working with you but I think we have to codify something.

MINDY TARLOW: ...uh-huh... [cross-talk]

[cross-talk]

24

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 56
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:agency is there any
3	way to limit or regulate usage?
4	MINDY TARLOW: Yes [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:further down the
6	line this [cross-talk]
7	MINDY TARLOW: Yeah, I mean what [cross-
8	talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:for the [cross-
10	talk]
11	MINDY TARLOW:what we're trying to do
12	[cross-talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:and this, this
14	[cross-talk]
15	MINDY TARLOW:right [cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:on the Internet of
17	Things.
18	MINDY TARLOW: Yeah, that's why I was
19	sort of not… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Right [cross-talk]
21	MINDY TARLOW:completely with you. I
22	think what we're trying to do around data exchanges
23	generally is focus on exchanges of three agencies or
24	more, it's a framework, we're not requiring agencies
25	to exchange data, we're not pressing things one way

or the other, we're simply saying if you are going to
exchange data with three or more agencies you have to
operate through certain kinds of gates. One just
around the data dictionary and the cleanliness and
clarity of the data itself but also of the authorized
uses the permitted purposes all the kinds of things
that you would imagine would be important when data's
going back and forth between agencies and ultimately,
it's very important that any further use of that data
even if its combined into a new data set goes back to
the original agency owners of that data. If it's
three or more agencies you would go back to the
original agency data owner who retains ownership of
their data and it can't just be reused because they
agreed to use it for a particular purpose
CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I understand, okay.
My last point I wanted to go back to executive order
114 of 2008 and the MOU oh I've been joined by
Council Member Greenfield, welcome him. Where is the
city we talked about the HHS Connect [cross-talk]
MINDY TARLOW: Yes [cross-talk]
CHAIRPERSON VACCA:before and where is

the city right now in its implementation of HHS

Connect, is it finished at this point?

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

-	COMMITTEE ON THE INVOICED
2	MINDY TARLOW: We are upgrading that
3	technology actually right now and I am delighted to
4	turn that question over to Tyyab Walker from our
5	office who oversees as I think you know that whole
6	enterprise.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Oh I have to swear
8	you in. Do you promise to tell the whole truth?
9	TYYAB: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That's fine, okay.
11	TYYAB: So, good afternoon Chairman Vacca
12	and members of the Technology Committee. In regard to
13	HHS Connect the original [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: State, state your
15	name, I'm sorry… [cross-talk]
16	TYYAB: Sure, sorry about that, Tyyab
17	Walker, I work in the Mayor's Office of Operations,
18	the Director of Enterprise Solutions with the unit
19	known as HHS Connect that initiative.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, yes sir.
21	TYYAB: So, the original roadmap when HHS
22	Connect was rolled out included two primary
23	initiatives; Access NYC and Worker Connect, those two

technologies were rolled out, Access NYC starting

around 2006, Worker Connect 2008 and they have been

24

2	completed launched they're out. Currently where we
3	are today right now is in the process of enhancement
4	and upgrade particularly around Access NYC coming
5	into the new age of mobile responsiveness, of user
6	center design, we're very proud to announce that in
7	March we're able to release a very mobile friendly,
8	user friendly design of Access NYC that was developed
9	with a lot of engagement and what we call user
10	research through a lot of human centered design
11	approaches. Worker Connect the same thing along with
12	just to reiterate what Director Tarlow mentioned
13	about some of the upgrades to make our primary
14	infrastructure more robust but also now putting an
15	overlay to make you know having looked at the
16	technology for nine years and being able to
17	understand who's actually using it and the best way

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So when you say release and upgrade you, you're still looking to add more information to it, you're in the process of finishing its roll out so to speak or updating it?

to use it with also kind of an overlay of privacy

around it how best to, to re-release and upgrade

that. So that's in process now.

24

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

TYYAB: The, the... not in terms of data, in terms of... so there's a frontend application that... what you see on the screen where buttons are, where icons are, even color strategy and making it sort of one... from a public point of view making sure that it meets all kind of forms of accessibility for residents regardless whether they're visually impaired or what not even in terms of the way the information is out there that it reads in a... at a third grade level so that it's understandable to move from one screen to the next and that users are able to engage it appropriately.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What do you do if a city agency retains data like that and then another agency wants it?

TYYAB: So, I will clarify data in our world but we follow all the protocols that have been discussed today that note first the concept of ownership, who owns the data and if an agency... and any kind of inner agency data exchange if there's an... there's always an agency that's the provider of an owner within all of our framework regardless of where or how that is exchanged the agency that's providing retains ownership of that data therefor anybody,

pertaining... [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 62
2	MINDY TARLOW:to [cross-talk]
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:that was pertaining
4	to the… I'm going back, I'm sorry, stay there though
5	I was I was going back a little bit. Maybe I should
6	just continue with the MOU for now, let me go back to
7	that and I'll come back maybe in way. With Worker
8	Connect, now Worker Connect is another name for this
9	program?
10	TYYAB: Yes and, and I will clarify
11	because it's, it's, it's a [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What's the connection
13	between [cross-talk]
14	TYYAB: Yes. Sure, so HHS Connect was
15	the simplest way to think about it was, it was a
16	unit, it was a people, it was the office, it was a
17	place where all of this was happening, HHS Connect as
18	an office, a unit at that time was the Deputy Mayor
19	for helping human services was in charge of visioning
20	and bringing forth these technologies. These
21	technologies included Worker Connect an internal
22	facing application and Access NYC a public facing
23	application.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now have there been any instances where NYPD or law enforcement

1

3

4

4

5

6

./

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people have requested this data and, and, and if the NYPD did request it would they need a warrant or would they just go to you?

TYYAB: So we... there's not been a request from NYPD around that data, we done presentations of Worker Connect where NYPD has been in the room, a very general presentations part of larger conversations and larger agendas but they have not approached us about accessing that, I would actually just refer to a lawyer in the room we generally again there are stated policies and protocols that the city follows and we adhere to in terms of any third party request that may come through it again we... you know primarily say whether you're law enforcement or even some seemingly innocuous we don't own the data therefor you know the ownership we must... we must council with our council and the agency council's that maintain ownership of the data so we do not feel... we do not see ourselves as having the right to respond to those requests without the agency and our own internal council.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So what you're also telling me is that you've not made a determination if the day comes where the New York City Police

talk]

2	enforcement if we were to receive an a request from
3	police department or law enforcement it would be
4	brought to my attention. We, we tend to flag those
5	that are of, of concern that are outside the normal
6	business agencies and in the event, that such a
7	request were to come in to an agency employee it
8	would be flagged for, for operations for my
9	consideration or for the agency data owner would be,
10	be in touch to advise them of such a request. Such a
11	request would go through our standard factual and
12	legal assessment process which includes examination
13	of the authority of the requestor in this case the
14	police department, we would look at the relevant
15	statutory and regulatory authority, we would

tion. We, we tend to flag those cern that are outside the normal nd in the event, that such a e in to an agency employee it r, for operations for my r the agency data owner would be, se them of such a request. Such a rough our standard factual and ocess which includes examination the requestor in this case the we would look at the relevant atory authority, we would determine if the scope of the request was permissible under applicable law and city policy and we would make a determination as to whether or not the information was permitted to be disclosed in conjunction again with the agency date owner making the final decision as to information that would be under their purview.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay.

LAURA: Does that answer your question?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Almost, you, you, you did better. You did better but I understand... I understand your clarification so I'm going to accept it for now but I still think it's a point you may have to come back to at a certain given time.

LAURA: I'd be happy to do that.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, now maybe I just need you here one more minute for the next one or two questions. So, when an individual provides information for one purpose let's say SNAP benefits do they have the option to manage the permissions of how their information is shared cross agency or once the individual agrees to share their information with one agency is it then shared across providers because you assume that that can be the case?

anything. Again, the data that originates with a particular agency may be governed by specific agency policies as well as specific federal law or state law or regulations that govern the disclosure of that information. There may be instances A, where the individual has consented to sharing that information, signed a particular consent form to allow the disclosure for a different purpose and if the

2.2

individual has not done so and there may be a related
benefit we would examine the appropriate legal
framework, the federal, state, and local laws that
govern the disclosure of, of that information. The
law does recognize as you may know the ability to
share information in certain instances for providing
benefit services and cares to, to care to
individuals. The SNAP regulatory and statutory
framework happens to be very restrictive but there
are other laws that enable for example the exchange
of public assistance information for the provision of
services and eligibility determinations.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So you think that there... those regulations allowing for the sharing interagency those regulations you would describe as very liberal at this point?

them as liberal, liberal I would describe them as for a limited purpose expressly to... for example a person with a particular job function say case management, say an agency wanted to provide information about an... a benefit that the individual... say a public assistance recipient might be eligible for, a tax benefit, I'm thinking about EITC as one example it

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be for a particular purpose to a particular type of employee whose performing a specific function that could serve... meet the service needs of that individual.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So much of what

you're talking about it, it, it interests me because people... I want people to get the services that ... sometimes when somebody applies for food stamps they don't know that they can also go on Heap and they don't know they can have whatever, WIC, whatever the program may be. So, my, my only question though is that while all of you seem so knowledgeable about this do you orientate your staff, is, is there staff to... well we, we would... we would call staff training, staff development are they aware of what your expectations are and do you update them with changes in federal law, changes in policy that protect privacy?

TYYAB: Okay, let me make sure the camera can see me. So just to, to say this internally with staff there is a great deal of ongoing training and discussion just to say we're not all technologists, I mean half of our staff really is kind of focused on policy, privacy, and governance issues, extending

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that model any user that is coming intact... especially with something like Worker Connect, we have a lot of robust training, we provide everywhere that we can spread the message of privacy we put it out there some way. So, it's... from the moment you... before you even log in your reminded of your responsibilities for confidential information, we provide a monthly training whether you like it or not, it's available and we make it convenient for people. Anybody who is coming on board they go through training that we have and privacy and the information kind of security and their responsibility around information is something that's shared... I mean that we put kind of a lot of emphasis on and additionally we support privacy, we consider sort of a shared responsibility not only with our kind of role in this but with the agency roles as well and we support them by providing them user reports, we provide weekly reports so they are aware of who within their agency is using it and we try to maintain a connected liaison network both... a connected communication channel with agencies that are... participate with Access NYC though... so that we may stay informed of new policies that are coming in place that may affect impact eligibility for programs

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

that should be reflected in Access NYC as well as
anything regarding privacy on the Worker Connect and
data side

MINDY TARLOW: And one thing you said a minute ago Chair is about wanting people to know if you're eligible for this you're also eligible for this and this and that is the almost exact purpose of Access NYC, which is a screening tool, there's no PII that gets exchanged there, it's simply... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'm calling him next...

[cross-talk]

MINDY TARLOW: ...putting in fact that allow you to understand as the user the different benefits that you might be eligible for and it acts as a gateway to getting those benefits from the right agencies.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Council Member Greenfield has a question and I'll come back.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you Mr. Chairman I appreciate it, thank you for convening this important hearing. I just want to specifically focus on data retention policies, as far as you know since this administration took, took over in the

2.2

ANNE ROEST: ...I'm, I'm not... [cross-talk]

25

24

2.3

talk

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 73
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:common
3	occurrence… [cross-talk]
4	ANNE ROEST:aware of [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:in agencies
6	where is there a protocol where if some information
7	is lost in a government agency that they have to
8	report it, is there an obligation, how does that
9	work?
10	ANNE ROEST: Absolutely, if there's data
11	that's lost that contains any personal information
12	[cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah [cross-
14	talk]
15	ANNE ROEST:there is a protocol, the
16	same as if its stolen they have… [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So that's
18	never happened in the last three plus years?
19	ANNE ROEST: That I'm aware of.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay [cross-
21	talk]
22	ANNE ROEST: No [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: How about
24	hacking, a lot of a lot conversation these days
25	about our friends in the Russian community who are… I

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 74
2	refer of course not the American Russian community
3	but to the Russian, Russian community who are hacking
4	United States have, have there been any attempts
5	successful or unsuccessful to hack into any New York
6	City data?
7	ANNE ROEST: There are attempts ongoing,
8	I mean it, it is this is probably the greatest
9	challenge of technology these days, I'm sure you're
10	aware. So, there are attempts regularly that's why
11	we've been investing in this administration [cross-
12	talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:it's specifically
14	[cross-talk]
15	ANNE ROEST:has been so focused on
16	[cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:from Russian
18	[cross-talk]
19	ANNE ROEST:ever [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:sources can you tell
21	that or just generic attempts that you're [cross-
22	talk]
23	ANNE ROEST:I believe we've [cross-
24	talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 75
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:speaking about
3	[cross-talk]
4	ANNE ROEST:had I'm looking at Jeff,
5	we've seen Russian IP coming in yeah, it's, it's
6	hard to know, I mean we see things coming [cross-
7	talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yeah [cross-talk]
9	ANNE ROEST:in from that part of the
10	world but that can be real or not
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: None nothing has
12	been successful as far as you know?
13	ANNE ROEST: As far as I know, nothing
14	has been… [cross-talk]
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:and what [cross-
16	talk]
17	ANNE ROEST:successful [cross-talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:about the, the email
19	phishing or, or, or variations thereof of those
20	different scams have any of them been successful as
21	far as you know, have you ever had someone call you
22	and say hey someone's taking over my e-mail account?
23	ANNE ROEST: So just talking about

24 phishing... [cross-talk]

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I mean that's
3	pretty amazing by the way, I mean if you're saying
4	that data… except for those two incidences no stolen
5	data, no lost data, no hacking, we should put you
6	guys in charge of the entire federal government's
7	infrastructure that's pretty good [cross-talk]
8	ANNE ROEST: Well thank you, we're pretty
9	proud. I will say this though [cross-talk]
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah [cross-
L1	talk]
L2	ANNE ROEST:with phishing you know
L3	people do click the link so… [cross-talk]
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah [cross-
L5	talk]
L6	ANNE ROEST:so even in government we do
L7	get e-mails come in people click the link and an
L8	infection of that computer could start but we have
L9	really good detection and remediation of that so
20	we've had and I don't have the number in front of m
21	but hundreds in the past year of incidents where
22	malware got into our system but didn't get anything
23	out and that would speak to the need to not just have
24	protections up but also be ready to respond and

[cross-talk]

information is accessing information that, that

[cross-talk]

talkl

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.2

2.3

ANNE ROEST: ...emailed. So that is the focus of the cyber group, we've got some great people... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: ...can you... in that same letter would you mind updating us on that as well?

ANNE ROEST: Sure... [cross-talk]

extent that you've had incidents how many incidents have you had and what if any actions have been taken, do you just do it internally, do you then refer to COIB, what are the internal consequences, I'm just curious about sort of the processes, is it just sort of don't do this or it goes to the supervisor, is someone reprimanded, does it go to COIB, etcetera, etcetera and what your future plans are for that I'm certainly interested in knowing more about that.

Thank you very much. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you... thank you

Council Member Greenfield. One last question, I

wanted to complete the HRA Access questioning. So,

when HRA determines eligibility for benefits and when

their benefits are up for review and renewal does the

city deploy machine learning algorithms, to what

CHAIRPERSON VACCA:

extent are benefits determined by computer programs

namely and if people are denied how can they contest

That's a great question.

See.

that decision?

TYYAB:

CHAIRPERSON VACCA:

the agency level.

potential eligibility, final eligibility

determination verification would have to be done at

Okay. And...

TYYAB: So currently right now with

Access NYC the eligibility screener when a user puts
information in anonymously about characteristics of
their household it runs what we call a rules engine
and that rules engine basically sort of in a digital
form kind of mimics sort of the decision process that
would have been at an agency so do you meet the
income eligibility, do you meet the age requirement,
are there children in your household if you're
searching for child care very basic like that and
that... so that's what drives it in... I would not
classify that as machine learning and one of the key
things that historically has always been a part of
Access NYC is that even stated within our disclaimer
is that this is the output, there's a layout of

TYYAB: We don't...

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Did you want to add

something?

No, just to say I'm just overly... maybe wrap out the question too. From the... from Mayor Office, Office of Operations and particularly the work that we do benefit outreach and kind of accessibility is a key theme on nearly every project, a lot of the projects and the work we're doing around data integration and so, you know whether or not ... get, getting into sort of the rules eligibility can be a very complicated process in some places because it's an overlay of city, state, and federal policy our goals... there are other ways to kind of effect of outreach outside of this. We do not deny access, we don't tell you when you're on Access NYC if you put information that you are not eligible for... you know any number of programs but layout... here's a series of programs you may be eligible for and the last thing with... rely with the agency in charge of the program.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I think this would be for DoITT but local law 11 of 2017 was a piece of legislation that I sponsored dealing with the disposal of devices and the Mayor signed into law

## COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.2

2.3

2	and I wanted to know how many agencies currently
3	dispose of storage devices without contacting a
4	third-party vendor and how many use third party
5	vendors?

ANNE ROEST: I don't... I'd have to get back to you with those numbers, I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, would you?

ANNE ROEST: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now in the 2016

Mayor's Management Report DoITT noted that it was

transitioning into using more in house resources for

telecommunication services, are these resources

exclusive to network repairs and resolving other

service incidents or does this apply across the board

to other DoITT functions?

ANNE ROEST: So you're referring to our in-source initiative so we, we did call out the telecommunications function that was a contract that we're, we're bringing in house but it did cross all of our functions, the fact that we took contractors out of service and put state... sorry, city employees into, into service.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Given the sensitive nature of some agency data is it feasible to

transition into more in house disposal services

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

talk

24

ANNE ROEST: That's an interesting

equipment so I think we'd want to look at not every

agency doing that, it probably wouldn't be cost

question. A lot of the disposal services require

effective to have every agency do it but it is a good

question whether the city should have some disposal

services that we run ourselves.

instead of contracting out?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It would just appear

to me possibly that contracting out does present privacy issues, I'm wondering if those we contract

out too are as diligent as we want them to be and

are, are they as much aware of what we expect from

them and are they sensitive to the issues of what...

and is there a way for you to make sure that they

implement these policies, I know you mentioned an

audit... [cross-talk]

ANNE ROEST: Right... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: ...before but an audit

often comes after the case, after the fact... [cross-

ANNE ROEST: ...Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

Association, Story Bellows, Brooklyn Public Library,

Thomas Kamber, OATS. Okay, how are you?

25

kinds of programs for seniors around technology. So,

should I stop there and then just start the, the

24

critical part here, hold on I've got my test in, in
traditional fashion here I've got my testimony on my,
my phone. So, I just want to, you know talk just
briefly about what we've been what we do at OATS
and, and how we think about the privacy issues that
are being raised and also the, the collaboration that
we had with the city government so far around these
issues. OATS has been around for 14 years since we
have we're training about, depending on how you
count it, about 10,000 people a year or different
ways that are coming through the system or coming in,
in to ask into contact with the technology and we
are when we the, the lab that we run on $25^{\rm th}$
Street on in, in Chelsea on 25 <sup>th</sup> Street's called
the Senior Planet Center and that's sort of our key
not our flag ship location, people come in and get
all sorts of training and we have two different
levels of, of privacy issues that are raised. One is
the actual networks that we run because people come
in and use our public computer terminals all the time
and so those are protected with standard encryption
services like Endpoint and things like that that are
fairly commonly used by commercial providers. We also
do we use Deep Freeze at all the labs that we

support which effectively resets the, the computers
every night so if anybody was to leave anything
personal on a machine in one of the supported labs we
would be in a position it would be reset and erased
for the next day. So there's, there's issues around
how to keep the local labs themselves secure and ther
there are issues around what OATS does in terms of
the training which is the most important work because
behavioral risks are the most serious ones in terms
of people losing their privacy because so often what
happens especially with older users over the age of
60 is that they haven't really quite learned all of
the standard things to look out for in terms of
phishing e-mails or possible fraud efforts, you know
where they're being scammed and things like that. So,
we include educational information for people about a
wide variety of things that happen or could happen to
them when they're online and those are, are
integrated through all of the training. So, they get
basic trainings, there's a beyond the training as
you know from the work that we've done with, with
your office, we teach these ten week courses and each
of those courses includes things like how to protect
your personal information online. We actually

specifically go into online banking and we show them
how to do it through simulated online banking
interfaces and things like that and most recently
we've been working a lot at Queensbridge Houses and,
and, and in collaboration with the Mayor's Office and
DFTA and DoITT on a, a project where we're training
seniors how to use the Wi-Fi access at the city
public housing developments. We've got a team out in
Queensbridge that's been doing focus groups and
knocking doors and, and researching what people want
and then developing programs that match their needs
as older users so it's a, a sort of customized
community based set of solutions for people and I
have a fairly long list of things that we've been
teaching around privacy protection in there but there
are about 25 different elements in there that range
from looking out for Medicare scams or the famous
grandparent scam that's been coming around recently
for people, learning how to, to use PayPal
successfully because many people are using Ecommerce
so we teach them to protect their information online
that way, things like social media, what kinds of
information is safe to share on social media. So, for
example as people are traveling away from the home

THOMAS KAMBER: I, I, I will... [cross-

25 talk]

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: ...protected... [cross-

3 talk]

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THOMAS KAMBER: ...say just as, as an... as an endpoint to this we've been traveling around the country doing work with a, a bunch of partners Comcast and the Urban League in Florida and different groups, we were in, in Israel recently and we've been touring similar programs on the ground and we visited probably 20 different cities over the last year and a half and I will say in my experience with what we're doing in terms of training the users to be aware of and implementing state of art information about protecting their privacy is at a higher level than anything we've seen anywhere so far, I think we're doing a really good job, it does not mean that we're solving the problem because obviously it's a lot of risk, a lot of different moving targets to be addressing but we certainly have the most robust set of efforts from the, the users side of anything I've seen out there so far.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, thank you.

THOMAS KAMBER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, next,

introduce yourself please.

2	STORY BELLOWS: Sure, my name is Story
3	Bellows and I'm the Chief Innovation and Performance
4	Officer at Brooklyn Public Library and libraries have
5	a very long tradition of protecting privacy and the
6	New York City librarians are often at the frontlines
7	of addressing privacy concerns of New Yorkers across
8	the city. For the past year, the three systems have
9	been working to train staff to be able to effectively
LO	respond to patron's privacy and security concerns
L1	through the data privacy project and this initiative
L2	was funded through a 2015 institute of museum and
L3	library services grant to Brooklyn Public Library and
L4	it works to help librarians better prepare
L5	individuals and communities for the challenges of our
L6	always on digitally networked and often easily
L7	surveilled lifestyles. It teaches New York City
L8	librarians how information travels, how it's shared
L9	online, some of the common risks encountered online
20	by users, and the importance of digital privacy and
21	literacy. This is however an evolving threat in the
22	rapidly evolving digital landscape and we're really
23	grateful to you all, to the administration for
24	highlighting the importance of this topic in an

ongoing fashion. The libraries look forward to

2.2

2.3

continuing to partner and collaborate with the CTO's Office, with the Mayor's Office who have been really wonderful champions for us thus far to ensure that New Yorkers can continue to turn to librarians, to receive guidance and best practices and the most current and up to date information regarding their privacy concerns.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, sir.

 $\,$  JOHN OLSEN: I'm from the Internet and I actually have a piece of paper.

THOMAS KAMBER: Do you want to switch?

JOHN OLSEN: Good afternoon Chairman

Vacca and distinguished member of the City Council

Committee on Technology. My name is John Olsen and I

am the New York Executive Director for the Internet

Association. Internet Association is the unified

voice of the internet economy, representing interests

of leading internet companies and their global

community of users. It is dedicated to advancing

public policy solutions that foster innovation,

promote economic growth, and empower people through

the free and open internet. IA has established an

office in New York State to provide knowledge and

guidance to public policymakers on matters including

2	privacy, cybersecurity, and data storage and
3	processing. Association members service nearly every
4	resident of New York City either through the
5	internet, such as through apps on mobile devices or
6	daily visits to member websites, thanks in large part
7	to cloud computing. Moreover, several of IA's members
8	offer cloud solution services to make governments,
9	business, and consumers' lives run more securely and
10	efficiently. I am here before you today to comment on
11	the storage, use, and disposal of data and how cloud
12	computing services increase efficiencies with respect
13	to all three of these aspects. Cloud computing has
14	been embraced by governments large and small. Most
15	notably, the federal government has seen a dramatic
16	uptick in agencies moving to cloud based services
17	since President Obama's "cloud first" directive
18	issued in 2011. Government agencies with high level
19	security needs such as US military and intelligence
20	services, health care agencies, and scientific
21	research missions have moved to cloud computing and
22	in so doing, have greatly improved the security of
23	their IT and increased their agility in responding to
24	global challenges. Cities like Atlanta, Chicago,
25	Seattle, and San Francisco have, have also moved to

2	cloud based solutions to improve government
3	functions, reduce waste, conserve energy, and quickly
4	respond to the needs of their work forces and
5	citizens. Some New York City agencies have also begur
6	to leverage cloud computing to begin to deliver new
7	and innovative services across the entire city.
8	Despite these first few steps forward, there is a
9	huge, untapped potential for New York City to benefit
10	from harnessing the power of cloud. That is because
11	cloud offers greater flexibility when it comes to
12	scaling operations as opposed to traditional on site
13	data servers and maintenance. All infrastructures
14	maintained off site in secure locations, and IT
15	departments are able to devote resources and cost to
16	increasing bandwidth, updating technologies, and
17	building great applications. Reducing overhead allows
18	governments to devote capital to improve operations
19	and creates greater efficiency in the workforce.
20	Cloud services offer increased access to data and
21	allow massive amounts of information to be stored and
22	analyzed. Health records, criminal records, vehicle
23	registrations, etcetera are all available at your
24	fingertips with cloud. In addition, data analytics
25	holm identify fraud waste and abuse in government

2	systems, further increasing cost savings to
3	municipalities. With cloud, essential data is
4	identified quickly and unnecessary information can be
5	quickly and securely disposed. With an eye towards
6	security specifically, cloud service providers offer
7	systemic superiority when it comes to keeping private
8	data secure. There are several key points that
9	enforce this concept. One, cloud provides a deep
10	integration of compliance and security with adherence
11	to strict industry and regulatory standards and extra
12	security tools like encryption and access management
13	for customers. Two, cloud providers handle much of
14	the "surface area" by maintaining and securing the
15	physical infrastructure, customers like government
16	agencies can focus security personnel on more
17	important tasks such as monitoring the application
18	used for unauthorized access to storage and
19	processing. Three, the cloud offers greater
20	visibility and access to data, security and log data
21	can be analyzed for vulnerabilities and weaknesses
22	quickly remediated. Four, cloud platforms surround
23	traditional IT systems and provide greater insight
24	into the behavior and function of those systems. This
25	includes security issues and creates defense in depth

and finally, economies of scale allow for greater
savings with cloud in respect to massive amounts of
data and the security personnel required to maintain
that data. As state and local governments are
consistently being asked to do more with less, cloud
computing offers an elegant and cost effective
solution to securely storing data and harnessing it
for new emerging technologies like data analytics. A
city like New York which serves millions of people
daily could great, greatly benefit from a cloud first
approach to its IT decision making. The ease of use
and accessibility of cloud allow 21 <sup>st</sup> century
governments to be more responsive to their citizens,
while at the same time improving services through
data analytics and reducing the use of taxpayer
dollars on duplicative or wasteful programs. I thank
you for your time today and would be happy to answer

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you and I thank our panel for coming today and I thank all of our panelists from the city as well. It is now 2:40 and there being no further testimony this hearing of the technology committee of the New York City Council is adjourned.

any questions you may have.

1		COMMITTEE	ON	TECHNOLOGY	98
2	]	gavel]			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

May 8, 2017