CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

January 19, 2017 Start: 10:22 a.m. Recess: 1:46 p.m.

HELD AT: The Schermerhorn

160 Schermerhorn Street Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201

B E F O R E:

STEPHEN T. LEVIN

Chairperson

JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Co-Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma Fernando Cabrera

Ruben Wills

Vanessa L. Gibson
Corey D. Johnson
Ritchie J. Torres
Barry S. Grodenchik
Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.
Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)

Mark Levine

Helen K. Rosenthal

Eric A. Ulrich

Claire Sheedy Vice President Housing Operations and Programs Breaking Ground

Daniel Tietz Chief Special Services Officer NYC Human Resources Administration

Kristin Misner-Gutierrez

Deputy Commissioner

Supportive and Affordable Housing

NYC Human Resources Administration

Michael Bosket
Deputy Commissioner
Customized Assistance Services
NYC Human Resources Administration

Craig Retchless
Assistant Deputy Commissioner
Customized Assistance Services
NYC Human Resources Administration

Jessica Katz
Associate Commissioner
New Construction
NYC Housing Preservation and Development

Myla Harrison Assistant Commissioner NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Gail Wolsk Senior Director NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Rebecca Sauer
Director of Policy and Planning
Supportive Housing Network of New York

Tony Hannigan CEO and President Center for Urban Community Services

Jennifer Garris [sp?]
Tenant of The Schermerhorn

Joe Rosenberg
Executive Director
Catholic Community Relations Council

Jeff Nemetsky
Executive Director
Brooklyn Community Housing and Services

Giselle Routhier
Policy Director
Coalition for the Homeless

Josh Goldfein
The Legal Aid Society

Catherine Trapani Executive Director Homeless Services United

Chloe Holzman Staff Attorney Mental Health Law Project MFH Legal Services

Tabatha Renz Assistant District Manager Manhattan Community Board 3

Kristin Miller
Director
Corporation for Supportive Housing

Nicole Bramstedt Policy Director Urban Pathways

Moshe Sugar [sp?] Resident Ivan Shapiro House

Jaron Benjamin
Vice President
Community Mobilization
Housing Works

Rima Begum Housing Coordinator Housing Works

Chad Gholizadeh
Senior Policy and Advocacy Associate
Economic and Housing Stability
Citizens' Committee for Children

Craig Hughes
Policy Analyst
Coalition for Homeless Youth

Madge Rosenberg Co-Chair Health and Human Services Committee Community Board 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning

3	everybo	ody. [oackgrou:	nd comm	ments]	I am	Council
4	Member	Stephe	n Levin,	Chair	of the	Counc	cil's

5 Committee on General Welfare. I want to thank

6 Council Member Williams, who's going to be joining us

7 | in a moment, Chair of the Housing and Buildings

8 Committee, for agreeing to hold this joint hearing

9 today on supportive housing. And I want to open this

10 morning's hearing by giving a special thank you to

11 everybody here at The Schermerhorn that is Breaking

12 Ground, our friends at the Actors Fund and everybody

13 who is employed here, for agreeing to host the City

14 Council hearing today at this wonderful, beautiful,

15 supportive housing building. Thank you very much for

16 | working with us at the Council and I want to thank

17 | all of the Council staff who put this hearing

18 together today. I want to thank you all for making

19 this hearing possible, and so with that I'm going to

20 turn it over to Claire Sheedy from Breaking Ground to

21 give this morning's welcome.

22 CLAIRE SHEEDY: Good morning everyone...

23 [background comments] Hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.

24

1

2

2.2

2.3

CLAIRE SHEEDY: Okay. Good morning, I'm Claire Sheedy, Vice President of Housing Operations and Programs at Breaking Ground and on behalf of our partners, the Actors Fund and the Center for Urban Community Services, we are very happy to welcome you to The Schermerhorn.

I don't know if you noticed the giant steel girders in the lobby on your way into this theater; in case you were wondering, those girders are integral to the building's structure. Directly below us is a vast network of subway lines; The Schermerhorn sits solidly above it in a remarkable feat of engineering. But substantially more remarkable is the tenancy within these four walls. The women and men who call this building home have faced incredibly difficult challenges.

Breaking Ground and our partners in supportive housing are the girders, the supports on which formerly homeless New Yorkers can build and restore their lives. We see the results of our work every day by offering consistent support that helps vulnerable New Yorkers to achieve lives of dignity. These results wouldn't be possible without the outstanding commitment of our elected officials to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 9 supportive housing. The Schermerhorn's 217 supportive apartments are part of 1,100 such units located here in Brooklyn Community Board 2 and more

than 33,000 in New York City at large.

2.2

2.3

We're honored to host this hearing on a topic of the utmost importance for nothing less than the humanity and economic vitality of our great urban community. We're extremely appreciative of the City's ongoing commitment to our model through creating an additional 15,000 units of supportive housing that will improve neighborhoods and help the most vulnerable New Yorkers find their way home. Thank you again for all you do in support of supportive housing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much, Claire.

I'm very proud to be able to say that this residence is in my Council District; this building showcases how much supportive housing can bring to a community. Not only does this building create much-needed permanent housing for formerly homeless individuals, as Claire said; the remaining units serve as affordable housing for both community members and artists. This building also brought to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 10 the community the theater that we are in today, the

2.2

2.3

Actors Fund Art Center.

I would like to thank my colleagues who are here this morning and have joined us, Council Member Mark Levine of the Housing and Buildings

Committee, Council Member Barry Grodenchik of the General Welfare Committee and also Housing and Buildings Committee... [interpose, background comment] alright. [laughter] I thank you both for coming out to Brooklyn, and we look forward to being joined by other members of the committees as this hearing progresses.

In November 2015, both committees here today held a joint hearing on supportive housing where we were presented with the de Blasio

Administration's plan to create 15,000 units of supportive housing over 15 years. While not an overnight solution to the current homelessness crisis that gets a lot of attention here in New York City, the announcement presented a long-term plan to create new housing options as the final NY/NY III units were in development. At that hearing we also heard a resolution, No. 504, which I sponsored and was adopted by the Full Council in January 2016. That

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 11 resolution called on the State of New York to match the City of New York's commitment to create 15,000 units of supportive housing over 15 years and for the State of New York and the City of New York to approve a fourth NY/NY agreement to create permanent supportive housing. Now, one year later, while the City is moving ahead with its 15,000 units, the State's plan for 20,000 units still remains unfunded. There has also been no progress on coming together between the City and the State to create a muchneeded NY/NY IV agreement. That failure to establish a NY/NY IV agreement has been an unfortunate casualty of the politics between the City and the State.

There are now over 60,000 homeless individuals just the DHS shelter system. If you counted people under the McKinney-Vento definition of homeless, the number is much higher. If we consider the homeless youth in the DYCD system, the domestic violence survivors in the HRA domestic violence system and the HASA clients living in emergency housing; the unsheltered individuals living on the street, there are an additional thousands more individuals and families who are homeless and seeking

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 permanent housing. We truly cannot afford to wait

2.2

2.3

3 any longer for these resources and this coordination.

NY/NY III reduced chronic homelessness among single adults by 47% over the first five years. Additionally, 86% of NY/NY III tenants remain stably housing after one year. We know we are facing a homelessness crisis; we also know supportive housing is one of the most effective tools to address it; now we just need the units.

We are here today holding this hearing not at City Hall, but at a supportive housing residence to highlight the many benefits supportive housing brings to a community, not only through moving our neighbors out of shelter and into permanent housing, but through creating affordable housing units and bringing resources, like this theater, into the community for everybody to enjoy.

I look forward to hearing from tenants here today about how this building has enriched their lives and from the Administration on what next steps are in their plan.

You know on just on a personal note here; you know this residence, as you saw, this is in the middle of a bustling, vibrant downtown; this is a

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS community resource; this is something that is a great

3 benefit to this neighborhood and this community and I

13

4 think that it's important to show all of us, the

entire City of New York, what supportive housing is.

This is supportive housing, this building, these 6

7 resources, this coordination, this professionalism;

this is what supportive housing looks like in the 8

City of New York and this is something that we all

must have as a point of discussion; we cannot allow 10

11 for supportive housing to be the subject of Nimbyism,

of unfortunate and unfair characterizations; we need 12

13 to all step up and do our part and in doing so, we're

going to be able to increase the resources in every 14

15 community in New York City where supportive housing

16 goes into and so I want to make sure that this is

17 what we're seeing, so everybody that's watching

18 online or on TV, we welcome you to come here to The

19 Schermerhorn in downtown Brooklyn and see what they

20 do here.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

I'd like to thank the Council staff for their work today to prepare for today's hearing --Policy Analyst Tonya Cyrus, Counsel Andrea Vazquez, and Jennie Berger from our Community Engagement Division. I'd like to also thank the Sergeant at

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

14

2 Arms who have put together today's hearing as well

3 and ensure that this is a safe and seamless hearing.

4 I'd also like to thank my Legislative Director Julie

Bero, Communications Director Ed Paulino, and Chief

6 of Staff Jonathan Boucher.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I'd also like to thank members of the Administration who are here to testify -- Dan Tietz of HRA, Kristin Misner of HRA, Kristin Misner-Gutierrez of HRA, Jessica Katz, who's from New York City Housing Preservation and Development, Craig... sorry; last name? [background comment] Retchless of HRA, Mike Bosket of HRA, and I think there are other members who I've met before, but I don't have your names on the cards here, but if you're going to be testifying or answering questions, please identify yourself for the record. And we are expecting Chair Williams shortly, but we will begin with the Administration's testimony; I think Mr. Tietz will be testifying. Thank you very much for being here this morning. I want to thank all of you that are here today as well; we look forward to hearing from your testimony as well.

DANIEL TIETZ: Good morning... [crosstalk]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 15 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, Dan; I have to 3 swear you in... [crosstalk] 4 DANIEL TIETZ: Sure. Alright, then. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you affirm to tell 5 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth 6 7 in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council members' questions? 8 DANIEL TIETZ: Yes. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. 10 11 DANIEL TIETZ: Good morning. Thank you Chairman Levin and members of the General Welfare 12 13 Committee, as well as in absentia, for the moment anyway, Chairman Williams and members of the 14 15 Committee on Housing and Buildings for giving us this 16 opportunity to testify today regarding supportive 17 housing in New York City. 18 My name is Daniel Tietz and I am the 19 Chief Special Services Officer of the New York City 20 Human Resources Administration. I am joined today by 21 Michael Bosket, Deputy Commissioner for HRA's Customized Assistance Services; Kristin Misner-2.2 2.3 Gutierrez, Deputy Commissioner for HRA's Supportive and Affordable Housing and Services; and Craig 24

Retchless, Assistant Deputy Commissioner in HRA's

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

16

2 Customized Assistance Services. In addition, we are

3 | joined by Jessica Katz, Associate Commissioner for

4 New Construction at Housing Preservation and

5 Development; Myla Harrison, Assistant Commissioner

6 for the Bureau of Mental Health at the Department of

7 Health and Mental Hygiene; and Gail Wolsk, the Senior

Director at DOHMH.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I also want to take this opportunity to thank The Schermerhorn for hosting us today as we discuss our progress with regards to supportive housing. The Schermerhorn is a great partner in working to end homelessness and addressing the barriers that prevent stable housing for vulnerable New Yorkers, such as those with mental illness, substance use disorders and other chronic conditions, as well as New Yorkers with HIV/AIDS, and young adults aging out of foster care. This housing, coupled with the on-site services provided by another great partner, the Center for Urban Community Services, provides supportive services to address the needs of vulnerable and high-need residents and atrisk populations for those housed in the 116 units of stable and affordable supportive housing units. remaining affordable units are dedicated to lowCOMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

17

income working adults from the arts and entertainment 3 industry. The supportive services include both 4 mental and physical health care access as well as alcohol and substance use programs. Breaking Ground

partners with CUCS and The Actors Fund to provide 6

7 case management, recreational activities and self-

sufficiency workshops to residents.

Supportive housing is a proven model and this Administration's landmark commitment made in November 2015 through NYC 15/15 builds on decades of experience implementing the model along with research, evaluation and lessons learned since the first units were created in the 1980s.

The Mayor's NYC 15/15 plan to create 15,000 units of new supportive housing over the next 15 years includes more units than the combined number of units from the three previous NY/NY supportive housing agreements and is more than any other supportive housing effort in the country. From decades of research, we know that this sweeping and comprehensive plan will benefit New Yorkers in need, including homeless veterans, domestic violence survivors and street homeless individuals.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

This cost-effective approach to deliver stable and permanent housing to New Yorkers struggling with mental illness, homelessness, and substance use is worth every penny of investment.

Supportive housing reduces our reliance on homeless shelters, hospitals, mental health institutions and incarceration.

Permanent supportive housing provides individuals and families transitioning from a period of homelessness with a continuum of care, integrated services and quality affordable housing options that address the immediate and long-term social, economic, emotional and physical needs of some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. These residential apartment buildings are in communities spread across the five boroughs, are equipped with on-site case management and supportive services and adhere to safety and quality standards in accordance with local, state and federal laws and regulations.

The low-income tenants sign a standard lease and receive rental assistance payments to help defray the high-cost of New York City rent. The continuation of tenancy is not subject to any special rules or participation in any particular or

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 specialized services; and tenants pay 30% of any

3 | earned or unearned income toward rent.

2.2

2.3

The two primary types of supportive housing are:

Single-site, (also referred to as congregate), which is a designated building where each individual or family has private living and sleeping quarters and may share kitchens and/or common rooms, recreational rooms or other facilities; and

Scattered-site, which are units in apartment buildings spread throughout a neighborhood or community. These units are designated for specific populations and accompanied by supportive services.

The combination of affordable housing and comprehensive support services is rooted in best practices developed from evidence, data and outcomes and is designed to help families and individuals achieve stability and sustained recovery, as well as lower the incidence of shelter reentry, following periods of chronic homelessness, hospitalization, incarceration or, for youth, aging out of foster care.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legal support.

2 Support services are voluntary, strength-3 based and customized to meet the individual needs of 4 each resident. A care management team is responsible 5 for implementing service plans and modalities, monitoring client progress and adherence to 6 7 treatment, developing a person-centered assessment 8 and connecting residents to comprehensive support 9 services, including: case management; educational, vocational, and other recovery-oriented services; 10 11 medication management and counseling; assistance in 12 gaining access to government benefits, such as food stamps; referrals to medical services, mental health 13 care and treatment for drug and alcohol use; and 14 15 recommendations for other needed services, such as

Following the historic announcement of NYC 15/15, the Mayor assembled a 28-member expert task force who developed 23 specific recommendations on ways the plan could expand and improve upon previous NY/NY agreements. Starting in January 2016, task force co-chairs Steve Banks, the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services; Vicki Been, the Commissioner of Housing Preservation and Development; and Laura Mascuch, the Executive Director of the

Supportive Housing Network of New York, and their representatives, hosted meetings in which four working groups focused on the following topics: data review and target populations; the referral process; service models; and streamlining development.

The recommendations of the task force are the result of months of work carried out by leaders in delivering housing and services to vulnerable and homeless New Yorkers, including experts from City agencies, nonprofit organizations, social service practitioners, and clients. The task force's work and recommendations were announced in December 2016 with the release of a public report. The results of this research and these meetings have the following recommendations:

From data and Evaluation: (1) target
units to three broad populations -- adults, families
and youth -- and incorporate a vulnerability index to
target housing to those most in need; (2) expand
access to the current application for supportive
housing (the HRA 2010e) to include a broad range of
referral sources, in addition to the Department of
Homeless Services; (3) proactively identify
applicants using data analytics to identify homeless

care; (4) create a City Oversight Committee to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24 25 monitor NYC 15/15 implementation; and (5) develop a cross-agency plan to evaluate the outcomes of NYC 15/15. The referral process: (1) allow

individuals and families using multiple systems of

additional professionals, such as licensed clinical social workers and psychologists, to complete the required mental health evaluations for the HRA 2010e supportive housing application; (2) modify the project-based Section 8 voucher approval process to expedite placements of homeless clients; (3) align public assistance and the housing process so that individuals and families are not waiting in the shelter system; (4) streamline the HRA 2010e application to include automatic uploads of supporting documents, such as the client's social security card and income documentation; (5) create a referral process to match homeless clients who do not fit a NY/NY designation to appropriate housing that has some support services, called general population units; (6) align definitions of chronic homelessness developed the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and New York City; (7) create a

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

standardized assessment tool that matches tenants to appropriate housing options; and (8) pre-populate an existing HRA 2010e application using data from various City agencies.

Service models: (1) utilize evidencebased and evidence-informed practices and support ongoing staff development across supportive housing providers; (2) implement a holistic family approach to delivery comprehensive services to the entire family; (3) create supportive housing options for youth that are not time limited and use the "Moving On" model to help young adults transition to independent housing; (4) develop a better assessment tool to assist workers in determining which clients will be most successful in scattered-site housing programs; and (5) provide greater flexibility to account for major life changes; standardize the process for clients to transfer to other supportive housing programs that may be better suited to their current needs, for example, between family and single supportive housing.

Streamlining development: (1) review landlord incentives for scattered-site supportive housing; (2) address issues in the rent stabilization

2 law and enforcement that affect scattered-site

3 | supportive housing programs; (3) create a dedicated

4 and nimble pool of capital to use for down payments

5 on sites to develop supportive housing buildings;

6 (4) separate the rent from the services subsidy, with

7 HPD managing the rental subsidy at Fair Market Rent.

8 Increase the term of the rental subsidy to match the

9 | 15-year term for Low Income Housing Tax Credit, a

10 common tool to build affordable housing; (5) build-in

11 regular increases to the rental subsidy over the

12 course of 15 years; and (6) improve community

13 engagement for new supportive housing projects.

The role of DSS and HRA:

The New York City Human Resources

Administration, Department of Social Services is

dedicated to fighting poverty and income inequality

by providing New Yorkers in need with essential

benefits such as food assistance and emergency rental

assistance. As the largest local social services

agency in the country, HRA helps over three million

New Yorkers through the administration in more than

12 public assistance programs, with more than 14,000

employees and an operating budget of \$9.7 billion.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2 I

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

HRA also plays a critical role in preventing homelessness and in rehousing those who are homeless.

In order to prevent homelessness, the work of HRA is focused on providing supports to those who are working but with income insufficient to support a family. By providing temporary assistance and training to those in search of work and providing a safety net for those unable to work, HRA strives to ensure that clients do not find themselves facing homelessness. The agency now oversees Homebase, which was formerly under the Department of Homeless Services, thereby expanding our comprehensive prevention services. Additionally, since the beginning of this Administration, we have invested over \$60 million in tenant legal services (targeting eviction and landlord harassment) and the total City commitment to fund civil legal services will exceed \$100 million, the largest of any municipality in the country.

It is worth noting that increasing the availability of housing, and most critically, affordable housing, alone will not address the homelessness crisis the city is experiencing. Some New Yorkers face challenges in securing and

2 maintaining employment and stable housing due to

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 psychosocial, intellectual, physical health, and/or

4 other conditions. For these New Yorkers, HRA plays a

5 vital role in connecting them to a continuum of care

6 and support services. HRA's successes are not only

7 moving these vulnerable New Yorkers into stable

8 housing, but ultimately to assist them in

9 transitioning to the workforce. However, this

10 stability in housing, and for some, the opportunity

11 | to work, would be impossible without the cost-

12 | effective intervention of supportive housing.

For those coping with mental health challenges, substance use disorders, or other housing barriers, they are eligible for stable housing, accompanied as needed with an array of comprehensive services. These services can include connection to mental health and substance use treatment, employment and education. Through this powerful intervention, these vulnerable populations are able to address the multiple barriers they face when trying to obtain and maintain stable housing and to live with independence and dignity.

The City's 15,000-unit plan expects to create 7,500 newly-developed congregate units,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 27 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 similar to that of The Schermerhorn's, and 7,500 3 scattered-site units. Let me just note that if they 4 were all similar to The Schermerhorn I'd be really 5 happy with that. [background comments] The plan will cost \$2.6 billion in capital funds over the next 6 7 15 years to develop the 7,500 congregate units. Of the total capital costs, approximately \$1 billion 8 9 will be a City cost and all but \$380 million has already been budgeted through Housing New York. 10 11 remaining capital costs -- approximately \$1.6 billion -- will be offset with low-income tax credits and 12 13 other private sources. There is also approximately \$96 million in net operating costs over the Financial 14 15 Plan (through Fiscal Year 19) -- starting at \$8.8 million annually in the first year and ramping up. 16 17 HPD's testimony will provide further detail 18 concerning the financing of this plan and supportive

As we've testified in the past, a

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene study showed
that NY/NY III clients who were placed in supportive
housing used public benefits, Medicaid, psychiatric
institutions, jails, and shelters less than clients

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

housing more generally.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 not residing in supportive housing, resulting in net-3 cost savings.

The City agencies before you today work in close partnership to address housing insecurity and homelessness, as well as to provide housing options for vulnerable clients who are eligible for supportive housing through this and other housing initiatives.

The role of DOHMH:

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene provides a lead role in contracting, oversight and evaluation of services for individuals in many of the City's supportive housing units, including 3,850 of the total 9,000 NY/NY III units and an additional 4,500 supportive housing units developed over the course of the past 30 years.

To date, DOHMH has awarded provider contracts to 99% of the 3,850 NY/NY III sites for which we have responsibility. Some of these contracts have been awarded in advance of completion of the buildings. In terms of occupancy, 3,098 of the 3,850 sites are now filled. The remaining 752 units are either currently being built or are

2.2

2.3

2 recently completed and are now accepting
3 applications.

In addition to overseeing services,

DOHMH's work includes a thorough evaluation of the

City's supportive housing services. As part of this

evaluation, DOHMH coordinates with other City and

State agencies to capture a broad range of

quantitative and qualitative data. DOHMH gathers

health, social service and the financial impact data

in addition to surveying providers and tenants on

their experience with the program. The NY/NY III

interim evaluation report showed a net savings to the

system of more than \$10,000 per year for housed

single adults.

DOHMH is also working in coordination with HRA and the Mayor's task force on supportive housing to develop the NYC 15/15 units. HRA will procure the units and ensure the task force recommendations are implemented while DOHMH will help provide technical assistance and contract management to programs to ensure that residents receive services that are evidence-based and focused upon the recovery of the family and the individual.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Since announcing a commitment to fund 15,000 units over the next 15 years, City agencies quickly implemented a number of initiatives to keep the pipeline going. First, we added 525 units to the DOHMH open-ended congregate request for proposals so that providers could continue to apply for and receive services awards for congregate units. We also added over 150 units to the HRA HIV/AIDS Services Administration RFP.

Next HRA released an RFP for scattered-site units. We reviewed and rewarded 550 units to 11 organizations in record time and are in the process of negotiating those contracts and getting them registered.

The City is also in the process of implementing the aforementioned recommendations from the task force.

Reforms to HRA's supportive housing application eligibility process:

HRA supportive housing system permits
referring agencies to electronically submit the HRA
2010e through HRA's Office of Health and Mental
Health Services Placement Assessment and Client
Tracking Unit. In order to apply for supportive

2 housing, the HRA 2010e must be submitted by a service

3 provider who has been trained by HRA's Customized

4 Assistance Services program. Upon submission of the

5 supportive housing application, the provider receives

6 a username and password.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The HRA 2010e application packet is designed to gather a comprehensive clinical and housing history to determine if the individual applicant meets the criteria for any category of supportive housing. Relevant information submitted as part of the application includes demographic information, benefits history, current treatment and service providers, history of hospitalizations, housing and homelessness, health and treatment history, an assessment of day to day functional challenges, applicant housing preferences, and recommendations for the level of housing support Additionally, the packet requires a current needed. comprehensive psychiatric evaluation completed by a licensed psychiatrist or a psychiatric nurse practitioner and a psychosocial summary, each of which is to be completed within the last six months. Service providers have the option to utilize the comprehensive mental health report in lieu of a

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

32

2 separate psychosocial summary and psychiatric

3 evaluation. Supportive housing applications are

4 mostly prepared by a mental health professional from

5 a variety of referral sources, such as hospitals,

6 correctional facilities, homeless shelters,

7 outpatient programs, care coordinators, and

8 community-based organizations. Other individuals,

9 including family members, are also able to assist

10 with the application process.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

One of the recommendations from the task force was to streamline the HRA 2010e application process to include automatic uploads for supporting materials, such as an applicant's social security card and income documentation. I am pleased to report this enhancement has already been completed and it should make the process easier for the clients and providers. Additionally, the recommendation to allow licensed clinical social workers and psychologists to complete the required mental health evaluations for the HRA 2010e will be implemented by April.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development is urging localities to develop a coordinated assessment and placement system to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS streamline access to homeless services and prioritize assistance based on an individual's assessed

33

New

York City has many and complex housing resources; 56 5

vulnerability and the severity of service needs.

funding sources are available for capital, operating 6

7 and services for various types of supportive housing

and multiple rental assistance programs, from city,

state and federal resources.

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Each adds a layer of complexity to the process of placing homeless individuals and families into permanent housing. Working with the New York City Continuum of Care CAPS Steering Committee, HRA has begun development of the coordinated assessment survey which is the universal tool to assist case managers and housing specialists working with individuals and families in understanding the different types of supportive housing and rental subsidies for which their clients may be potentially eligible.

The Coordinated Assessment Survey will be the entry point to begin the process of assisting homeless individuals and families to determine the best fit in permanent housing. Survey results will be used to inform the client's housing plan,

guidance for pursuing the type of housing placement

34

4 chosen.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The survey will be accessed in the HRA supportive housing system along with the HRA 2010e application, which is available City-wide to 10,000 users, 3,000 programs, and 1,000 organizations. system is currently being piloted in seven homeless shelter programs for individuals and families and the feedback has been very encouraging.

HRA is also working with the CAP Steering Committee to develop and implement a vulnerability index in order to prioritize the highest need individuals and families approved for supportive housing.

Supportive Housing Utilization:

The largest challenge with supportive housing in the City is that there simply is not enough of it, which is why the Mayor made the unprecedented commitment to provide 15,000 additional units.

Based on HRA's data, in FY16, 23,629 supportive housing applications were submitted with 14,648 or 62% approved. There are currently

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 approximately 32,000 supportive housing beds in New

3 York City.

2.2

2.3

As of December 2016, HRA's HASA program has a contracted supportive housing portfolio of 5,683 units of which 5,387 units are occupied. HASA spends about \$135.7 million annually for these units; 2,672 scattered-site units, including NY/NY III and non-NY/NY III, of which 94% (2,506) are occupied. The average annual cost per unit is \$24,115. HASA anticipates that this cost will increase due to increasing rents; 2,181 permanent congregate units, including both NY/NY III and non-NY/NY III, of which 96% are occupied. The average annual cost per unit is \$22,620; and 830 transitional units, 95% of which are occupied. The average annual cost per unit is \$26,489.

In addition to supporting housing units, HASA is expecting to spend about \$44,105,074 in this year for clients residing in emergency housing. As of December 2016, of the 2,614 units available, HASA clients occupied 2,526 units, an occupancy rate of 97%.

We know that stable housing like supportive housing is integral to improving health

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 36 outcomes, reducing re-incarceration among returning offenders, and lowering costs for high-needs, high-cost Medicaid recipients with serious behavioral health and/or other disabling conditions. Moreover, supportive housing can help avert preventable events and health crises, such as arrest, incarceration, relapse and hospitalizations, thereby additionally

2.2

2.3

reducing costs.

It took many years to reach this level of homelessness and it will take time to reduce it. But we can already point to several concrete successes with our rental assistance programs with increasing placements in affordable housing.

Since the beginning of the Administration through the end of the last fiscal year, over 25,000 low-income households have received eviction and anti-harassment legal assistance, including working heads of households, and this level of prevention services will increase to almost 33,000 households per year, including well over 113,000 people.

We have also helped more people with emergency rent assistance, keeping thousands of New Yorkers in their homes. In FY15, HRA provided rent arrears to 53,000 households at a cost of \$180

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 37 million. Comparatively, in FY16, HRA provided rent arrears to nearly 57,000 households at a cost of \$206 million. The 14% increase in spending was due to 4,000 more households being found eligible as well as rising rents. Another major driver was the increase

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE

1

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

7 in Homebase and legal services enrollments to prevent

eviction and homelessness where clients are also 8

referred for assistance with rent arrears.

As a result of these prevention efforts, evictions by Marshals have decreased by 24% since 2013.

I also want to reemphasize that these programs are cost-effective. In FY16, the average cost emergency rent assistance was \$3,608 per case, and the average cost of a legal services case was \$2,000. These investments were much less than the average cost of almost \$41,000 per year for a family in a homeless shelter.

No price can be put on the human and social costs of homelessness. We know that an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure and we are diligently working to ensure that the tools of government that can prevent and alleviate

homelessness are accessible and readily available to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 38
those who are in need. We also know that investment in these preventive and restorative services is money well spent.

2.2

2.3

Moreover, the Mayor's historic announcement of NYC 15/15 and its swift implementation will provide permanent and stable housing and essential social services for literally thousands of eligible New Yorkers.

We have accomplished a great deal, but we know that we have much more work to do and look forward to partnering with you during the coming year.

Thank you and I am happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Mr. Tietz. We're going to turn it over to Chair Jumaane Williams.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you

Council Member, Mr. Tietz and everyone who's here;

sorry for being late; I did let my co-chair know

prior that I had an engagement and I would try to get

here right after, so thank you for that. I'm just

going to read my opening remark and then turn it back

over.

Again, thank you Council Member Levin and good morning everyone; thank you all for coming. My name is Jumaane D. Williams; I chair the Committee on Housing and Buildings; today, as Council Member Levin mentioned, and as we heard in the testimony, we're here in a supportive development to hold an oversight hearing on supportive housing; honored to be here. Supportive developments such as this one house some of the city's most vulnerable individuals and help to end and prevent homelessness among such individuals.

Through the NY/NY agreement, the State and City have worked together to provide affordable supportive housing to thousands of its residents; now that the NY/NY III agreement has expired, we are interested in exploring what the future of supportive housing in New York City looks like.

At this point there has been no work on a NY/NY IV agreement between the City and State, but both the Mayor and the Governor have announced funding for additional supportive housing units; of course, I'm not sure what's going on with the Governor, but that's another conversation.

Last year the Mayor also announced the establishment of the Supportive Housing Task Force

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS which was created to advise the City on implementing

40

3 its supportive housing plan. I'm interested in

4 hearing updates from the task force and in learning

more about the Mayor's supportive housing plan

6 generally.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I would like to thank my staff for the work they did to assemble this hearing, including Nick Smith, my Deputy Chief of Staff and Legislative Director; Megan Chen, Counsel to the Committee; Guillermo Patino and Jose Conde, Policy Analysts to the Committee; and Sarah Gastelum, the Committee's Finance Analyst. Thank you.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Chair Williams. Do any other members of the Administration have testimony?

JESSICA KATZ: Hello. Chairman Levin, Chairman Williams and members of the General Welfare and Housing and Buildings Committees, thank you for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development on our efforts to create and preserve supportive housing.

housing found that for every unit of supportive

25

2 housing we build taxpayers save more than \$10,000 per

3 year in public resources, such as shelters, emergency

4 rooms, jails, and psychiatric facilities. In fact,

5 research has even shown that contrary to population

6 belief, supportive housing increases property values

7 | in the surrounding area.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The projects themselves provide

amenities, such as community spaces and computer

rooms, the theater we're sitting here in today, and

all while incorporating innovative design elements,

making these buildings vibrant places to live. Most

importantly, supportive housing provides its tenants

with rent-stabilized leases and all the same rights

and responsibilities as any other tenant in a rental

apartment in New York City.

Anyone who has visited one of our supportive housing projects can hear personal stories of tenants who have previously lived in shelter, often for many years, but who are now reconnecting with family, addressing their health needs and finding employment. There are many reasons why we see such successful outcomes in supportive housing, including the dedication of staff and their social services delivery, but at its core, the model is

2 successful because the home is affordable and
3 provides the services that the tenant needs.

2.2

2.3

Supportive housing projects are almost always a mix of supportive units and other affordable apartments. A typical supporting housing project includes a 60/40 split between supportive housing units and other affordable housing units available to any household that income qualifies. Typically, incomes for a single-person household applying to live in one of the general affordable units would be capped at 60% of AMI or \$38,100 a year, an income which encompasses a broad range of City residents in entry-level or part-time jobs.

Supportive housing is the solution to homelessness and the Council is a critical partner in helping us build more of it. HPD is extremely grateful to the Council Members here today for helping us educate New Yorkers about the benefits of supportive housing and for welcoming a number of wonderful supportive housing developments throughout the neighborhoods you represent.

When proposing a new supportive housing project we often hear unfounded assumptions about how the supportive housing project will impact the

44

3 chose a specific site and whether we are building

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 supportive housing exclusively in low-income

5 neighborhoods or communities of color. We need your

6 help to address these neighborhood concerns and

7 shatter any myths that supportive housing is bad for

8 communities or concentrated in certain neighborhoods.

9 Today I would like to highlight a few of the

10 supportive housing projects developed by HPD and our

11 | nonprofit partners.

For example, today we are in Cobble Hill,
Brooklyn, in Chairperson Levin's district. The
building we are sitting in is a 217-unit supportive
housing project in the heart of Brownstone, Brooklyn
that opened in 2009. The building was created when
the developer of the luxury townhouses on this same
site approached Breaking Ground about a partnership.
The building blends into the neighborhood and is a
community asset. I was actually walking by this
building last summer with my new baby and my mother
and when I peeked into the lobby, my mom thought that
I was looking to buy a condo here [laughter] for my
growing family. As you may know, Breaking Ground is
the developer of The Schermerhorn; Breaking Ground is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 internationally recognized as a leader and innovator

3 in the supportive housing field, so its supportive

4 housing portfolio in New York City accounts for over

5 2,500 units across three boroughs.

2.2

2.3

All of their supportive housing buildings contain a mix of supportive and general affordable units and many of them are located in Committee Members' districts, including The Lee, which is a 262-unit supportive housing project in the Lower East Side, in Council Member Mendez' district, on the site of a former boys' club.

The Prince George is a 416-unit supportive housing project in Gramercy, also in Council Member Mendez' district, that opened in 1999. The building includes a rooftop garden, art studio and computer lab and event space housed in the restored Prince George ballroom. The ballroom onsite has hosted events, including New York Fashion Week and even a Real Housewives Reunion special.

[laughter] I won't tell you how I know that.

And the Times Square Hotel is in Council Member Johnson's district; it's a 652-unit supportive housing project that opened in 1991. The building is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 listed on the National Register of Historic Places,

and if you've ever grabbed an ice cream cone at the

4 Ben & Jerry's in Times Square, you did so in the

5 largest supportive housing project in the country.

The Christopher is a 207-unit supportive housing project in Chelsea. The building is on the site of the original McBurney Y, the inspiration for The Village People song that generations have danced to. The project dedicates 40 of its units to the Foyer Program, which serves young adults aging out of the foster care system or who are formerly homeless.

We are proud to work with a range of skilled development teams across the city with deep roots, serving a range of different communities with critical needs. Our development partners include Community Development Corporation, serving local neighborhoods; faith-based groups; veteran organizations; and organizations serving LGBTQ youth.

In 2015, the Mayor announced a major commitment of \$1 billion in City capital over the next 15 years that will fund 15,000 units of supportive housing; approximately half these units will be new construction supported through HPD financing.

The Administration is committed to including supportive housing as an integral part of our housing stock and fully embraces supportive housing. This increased funding has allowed us to ensure that a wide range of people who need supportive housing will have access to it.

HPD's Supportive Housing Loan Program has long been the primary financing tool for the City's supportive housing production. Last week the Mayor announced our recent achievements with respect to housing development and I am proud to say that since the beginning of Housing New York in January of 2014, we have financed the construction or preservation of approximately 2,430 supportive housing units.

While a typical affordable housing deal depends on multiple sources of funding, supportive housing deals often rely on even more sources; it's not unusual to see five, six or more sources of capital financing listed for just one project. Most deals include a combination of City capital through HPD's Supportive Housing Loan Program, low-income tax credits and private debt. Rental assistance is typically funded through HUD Section 8 Shelter Plus Care or HUD-VASH vouchers.

any questions that you may have.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appreciation for the Council for today's hearing and for the ongoing attention to this important topic. Supportive housing serves a critical need in New York City and Council Members are critical partners in bringing together all the pieces necessary to make this housing a reality. I would be happy to answer

I would like to reiterate our

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Ms. Katz for your testimony. I also want to note that in this building Brooklyn Ballet has 2,000 square feet, so any student of Brooklyn Ballet who come from all over Brooklyn are in this building as well and their studio's right there on Schermerhorn Street.

I also want to welcome my colleagues Helen Rosenthal and Rafael Espinal as well to the hearing today. And I'll start off with some questions.

First off, I wanted to ask just about kind of structurally, so supportive housing for the last 25 years or so has been under a NY/NY framework where the City and the State have participated and jointly come up with an agreement on how supportive

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 49 2 housing units are going to be funded and financed. 3 Obviously that's not happening right now, and without getting too much into kind of why that is -- I think 4 we kind of all understand tensions between the City and the State -- what are the challenges that the 6 7 City is facing -- in other words, how is the financing different; what is different from the NY/NY 8 9 III units, which we are just finishing building right now, with the new either scatter-site or congregate 10 11 supportive housing facilities? What are the 12 challenges and how is the City kind of working to 13 address that in light of the fact that there's not 14 that partnership as we speak? And either of you can... 15 JESSICA KATZ: So for the congregate housing, the financing fundamentally hasn't changed 16 17 much; most of these projects still incorporate a 18 combination of city and state financing sources. 19 What it does mean is that the social services and the 20 rental subsidy is... the City ends up having to pick up the tab entirely for those projects. I think on the 21 2.2 staff level the supportive housing community is very 2.3 tight-knit and all of us who have worked in this

business for a long time continue to have very good

relationships with the staff level, so I think we

24

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 50
continue to do our best coordinating with our state

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So what was the arrangement in terms of the social services and rental subsidy under NY/NY III? And then just specifically how is it different under the new arrangement?

JESSICA KATZ: Sure. Under NY/NY III, the mental health units, which was the bulk of the units under the 9,000-unit agreement, were paid for entirely by the State and the other populations, such as young adults aging out of foster care and HIV/AIDS and substance abuse was a split between city and state funding, so there was a substantial state investment in the ongoing operations in social services of the building that does not exist under the current 15/15 plan.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And what is that, in terms of annual expense dollars, under say NY/NY III, how much is the state paying for NY/NY III annually?

DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, I'm afraid that I don't have that with us; we can get it for you; we can give you their portion of I, II and III, and then

2.2

2.3

partners.

DANIEL TIETZ: Exactly.

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: NY/NY I...

DANIEL TIETZ: It... it... they don't have a contribution, apart from what Jessica said, with regards to capital for the NYC 15/15, the Mayor's plan, but they will continue with their previous obligations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And they are proposing to do another several thousand units of State-funded; I mean do you have any insight to how, in terms of the social service provision and the rental subsidies; does that mean in those units the State is going to be picking up 100% percent of the cost?

DANIEL TIETZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So there's going to be, essentially, two separate systems that look similar but are going to be one entirely funded by the State and one entirely funded by the City?

DANIEL TIETZ: To some degree that's right; however, I think our expectation, and I may have to have my colleagues at DOHMH weigh in, but our expectation I think generally is that they'll still ask City agencies to have some role in this --

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 notably DOHMH -- with regard to OMH units, so we'll

3 see… [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, 'cause DOHMH is the local service provider... [crosstalk]

DANIEL TIETZ: Right, and in the previous agreements they didn't, how shall we say, do their own work in this regard... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure... right, OMH doesn't have the staff to be a service provider in New York City...

DANIEL TIETZ: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: it's just the locality's responsibility. Okay, so we're in January of 2017; we had a hearing in late 2015 on this matter; has there been any additional developments in terms of discussions between the City and the State? In other words, I mean is there... essentially, the longer that we go on with two parallel tracks of supportive housing, does that over time eliminate the possibility of folding them into a new NY/NY agreement or is there still a potential if there's a rapprochement [laughter] for there to be greater [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DANIEL TIETZ:

This isn't a cold war,

3 Council Member. [laughter] So... [crosstalk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So says you.

[laughter]

DANIEL TIETZ: That's right, Council Member, so says me. So I would say this; that we are... you know we continue to speak with them with great regularity, so at the staff level, there's a lot of cooperation every day on serving New Yorkers in need and that's true with regards to supportive housing as well; I'd expect it to be true going forward. I think nothing is off the table with regard to how this could look going forward. We fully expect that the City will have some role with regards to the State's units, so I think all things are open here. The good new would be that both City and State are investing significant resources in supportive housing in New York City, and that's a good thing for all of us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We've heard from some advocates a concern that there are a number of applicants for supportive housing that are being turned away; that essentially once... the providers are required or asked to accept one-third of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 55 applicants and two out of every three are not being accepted into supportive housing programs; I don't know if that's accurate, but the concern is that those that are being turned away are being turned away because either they are not showing up to their appointments on time, they're not showing an adequate understanding of their own mental illness perhaps or they're not, you know, showing the kind of wherewithal to go through the rigors of an applications process and that is concerning obviously because those that might need the help the most may have the most challenges with going through the rigorous process of an application. So can you speak to that; is that happening; is... how do you ensure... how do you track what is going on with those that are not actually accepted into supportive housing units, and is there any way to track whether those same people are being rejected over and over and over again, and are you tracking the reasons why people are being rejected? JESSICA KATZ: So I'll just start by saying that from the houser [sic] side, the purpose

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

of supportive housing is that it's a voluntary
program and our nonprofits are landlords that are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 56 2 going to have a long-term relationship with these 3 tenants, so we've tried to find a balance and the 4 balance that we struck is sending three choices, 5 essentially, so that the landlord can find the right match between the three. The three that we send all 6 need supportive housing very badly and so by 7 8 definition, there are going to be some folks who are not selected of the one, two three, but we really do want to maintain some amount of choice for the 10 11 provider and some amount of choice for the tenant as 12 So all three that we send very badly need 13 supportive housing and we do want to maintain some amount of choice on both sides of the equation 14 15 because this is a long-term relationship and it's a 16 voluntary one. So I think that ratio of being able

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's been the case through the previous iterations of NY/NY?

JESSICA KATZ: Yes.

important to keep some aspect of that.

to select a tenant does have... you know, it's

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so that's standard with supportive housing in New York City.

And now how do you track what happens to the two out

24

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 57
of three that are not accepted into that particular

of three that are not accepted into that particular unit?

2.2

2.3

DANIEL TIETZ: I'll explain.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I mean is that a concern that you have that it may be the ones most in need that are the ones that are rejected because they may not show a clear understanding of their mental illness; they may not, you know, dress appropriately for the interview; they might not show up on time; they might miss appointments, you know they may be afflicted with mental illness that they, you know, is a major hurdle to overcome?

probably characterize it somewhat differently than you did, which is that yes; it is one in three, but it's one in three who are similarly situated, so it's folks with significant needs; on paper there isn't a distinct difference among them. Folks need benefits in place, some need greater assistance than others; certainly there's an effort to match people to provider type and unit type, so this is an effort to look at what do folks need and then what programs would they fit in, so they're not approved, if you will, in general for all things. In the previous

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 58 agreements there were categories, as I think you may know, and so you might be approved for a category or two categories; there may be more limited availability of units in some of those categories than some other categories. An effort is made to make an appropriate match both category-wise and provider-wise, as well as unit type best [sic] between congregate and scattered-site. Almost by definition folks in scattered-site arguable can selfmanage a bit more if they're in units that are in apartment buildings in the community with fewer onsite services than something like The Schermerhorn where it's a congregate setting where the services are right here, so there's an effort to place folks' greater needs in congregate units versus scattered-I think I may let Mike Bosket add more site units.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to that.

MIKE BOSKET: Yes, just to build on Dan's point that the referrals of the three individuals that are made to open units, we would argue based on the clinical criteria to make the determination which types of units they've been determined eligible for; they have similar presentation in terms of their needs, but we also think going forward the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

59

2 implementation of the coordinated assessment that Dan

3 spoke of in his testimony and the vulnerability index

4 | that we're developing will help us better identify

5 and prioritize those clients who have greater needs

6 for placement in supportive housing based on criteria

7 on the vulnerability index.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So that's going to be tracking client by client, so everybody's going... or applicant by applicant, so everybody's going to have a profile of sorts on the...

MIKE BOSKET: As we develop it, all clients who go through the supportive housing application process will have a coordinated assessment and all clients will have a vulnerability index. We're building that now; the hope is to have it implemented by the end of this year, but that will allow us to better identify those clients who are in highest need.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And is there going to be a space within that index to show whether somebody has had repeated applications and not been accepted into a supportive housing unit?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

MIKE BOSKET: We are developing the tool now and have some ideas as to what's onto [sic] the tool... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

MIKE BOSKET: I can't say if that will be one of the questions or not.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I encourage you to look at that issue. Again, I'm not... you know, this wasn't generated from, you know, my assumptions on the matter; this was reported to me by advocates, so it's something that I think certainly I would encourage you to look at and also work with the provider and advocate community as something to flag as you kind of move forward with developing that criteria and implementing it.

JESSICA KATZ: I also think that the lack of units themselves and the lack of a sufficient number is the primary limiting factor here; I think we've done a pretty job prioritizing and coordinated assessment will help us target even deeper, but we still don't have enough apartments for everybody who needs it, so that's got to be our primary...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and that's the context by which... and that might give rise to a

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 61 particular situation because of the scarcity of the units, but I think that it's important to kind of keep an eye out for that particular condition, because we're not... you know, this is in fact a uniquely vulnerable population to issues of that sort in terms of -- because there's mental illness often involved that there's... you know keeping... the issue of keeping of appointments, showing up to appointments out of sorts or disheveled or something like that is something that we want to make sure is not perpetually inhibiting people from getting into apartments.

2.2

2.3

I want to ask I guess one more question here and then I'll turn it over to my colleagues and I'll probably come back for some.

With the previous NY/NY agreements it was very prescribed how many units were going to be for different categories of supporting housing in terms of conditions that are being met; the 15/15 plan is not as prescriptive; is that something that was done by design and is that to allow more flexibility, and how then do you make sure or ensure on an ongoing basis that there's enough units being provided for particular categories that may be more difficult to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 62 achieve and so you know, what's in place to prevent the City from just developing the easiest units to develop?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JESSICA KATZ: So as you pointed out, the recommendations from the task force was to target towards three broad populations, so to get away from these multiple, very prescribed units, which we're finding, at the end of the NY/NY III, it's hard to necessarily fill when you have just a couple here; a couple there; at the moment it's not necessarily meeting where the demand is. So I think there is a lot of work and a lot of thought that went into really figuring out what is the best road to go moving forward; there was a lot of data analytics, we really looked at what the need is; as you mentioned earlier, the number of people in shelter has increased significantly, and so I think the good news is; there is a lot of overlapping need, right, and so if you just take the three broad populations that were recommended, right -- it's single adults and adult families, families with children, and youth -and within those populations there's a ton of need and as Deputy Commissioner Mike Bosket mentioned earlier, the City is moving towards finding a way to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 63 2 create a coordinated assessment and a vulnerability 3 index, and so it's in our best interest, as I think it's also in the client's best interest to really 4 target these resources -- they're scarce resources -to those who are most in need, and so we'll be able 6 7 to figure out, you know on some kind of scale or within a spectrum who are those people that could not 8 live in the community by themselves without having 9 supportive housing resource, and those are the people 10 11 we really want to make sure are going to be targeted 12 for these units. And so by creating a broader 13 flexibility and not making it as prescribed, that's 14 going to allow us to really implement that kind of 15 thoughtful, targeted approach as we look at those who 16 are in shelter at any given period of time. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Now that means though 18 -- I mean how are we going to ensure then that medical family, substance abuse in recovery, 19 substance abuse active, that the people are fitting 20 those criteria, that we're still meeting the minimum 21 2.2 number of objective units without setting forward... 2.3 [crosstalk]

JESSICA KATZ: So all of those folks would fall, right, within... so if they're single

24

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS adults and they're me... you know, substance user, right, active substance users who are single adults,

64

they would fall within the category of people eligible for the single-adult units. And so if they

are more vulnerable than somebody else, they would

obviously be prioritized. 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So they would be prioritized as being more vulnerable because of a substance abuse issue?

JESSICA KATZ: Well because we're going to create this vulnerability index, so we're going to create a tool that's going to help guide us towards understanding within that spectrum of people who need these units who is going to need it the most.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So somebody with a greater vulnerability will receive a higher priority [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

JESSICA KATZ: Yeah, that's the direction and the intent. It's not easy to do; it's not something that's going to happen very quickly, but a lot of the feedback we heard from the community; a lot of the feedback that we've heard from providers and clients and everyone trying to re-house, and it's CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I see.

sure that we are serving the highest-need.

2.2

2.3

DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, if I could just add

-- the previous categories were genuine categories,

and I think as we each recognize people don't tend to

live in those small boxes... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. Yeah

DANIEL TIETZ: so you know, if you only had this thing apparently that you needed addressed, then that's the only category for which you can get approved and if we ran out of units, then you are plum out of luck. And in this instance, taking a whole host of vulnerability factors into account and essentially assigning — if you think about this as a score to those — then those with the greatest needs would have the greatest access, because many people don't have just one challenge in life; they have more than one challenge and they have greater needs than some others, and so that's the effort here.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So then... sorry; then going back to the situation where if you have three applicants that you're choosing from, under that framework do you still have then the discretion to

clear that I believe that most of the staff -- city

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

2 and state -- actually are trying their best to help

67

3 | the constituents of both, we normally say there's a

4 | feud in city and state; there is a feud between the

5 Mayor and the Governor and that is what is causing

6 much of this problem; this is one instance where it's

7 having a direct effect, I believe, on the people who

8 need help the most and I would have to say, from what

9 I see, it is really on the Governor to put up what he

10 needs to do, because the Mayor has done so and is

11 providing a plan; the Governor has given no real

12 excuse as to why the \$2 billion is being locked up

13 and people need these [sic] services, so I think it's

14 on him at this point. But we often say city/state; I

15 want to make it clear; it's two people; it is the

16 Mayor and the Governor and it's very frustrating

17 | 'cause there are real lives being affected and in

18 \parallel this case it is the Governor who needs to put up,

19 because we have done so.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

But thank you very much for your testimony. I have some questions on -- Ms. Katz -- Every unit of supportive housing taxpayers save more than \$10,000 per year in public resources, such as shelters, emergency, jails, and psychiatric

25 | facilities. I was confused about psychiatric

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 68 2 facilities; wouldn't someone need the services 3 anyway; where is the money being saved there? JESSICA KATZ: So these are folks who 4 5 were living permanently in psychiatric hospitals but really didn't need to be there and could thrive in 6 7 supportive housing, but a... [crosstalk] CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh I see. 8 9 JESSICA KATZ: psychiatric facility costs so much more per night, per year [background comment] 10 11 than supportive housing does. CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 12 And so we're 13 freeing up that space for someone who actually needs 14 those services. Can you describe how supportive

housing increases property values [inaudible]?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JESSICA KATZ: Sure. So the NYU Fuhrman Center did a study, must have been six or seven years ago now; we gave them our data on where all the supportive housing projects that we've sited and what year they opened and they took a look at the surrounding census tracts and figured out whether, if you were in a very small, of a 500 or a 1,000-squarefoot radius versus other properties that were in the census tract but not immediately adjacent to supportive housing, and it turned out that the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 69 property values increased at a faster rate if you 2 3 were immediately adjacent. Part of that we think is; 4 many of our buildings have on-site security, so there's some good eyes on the street, that kind of helps the surrounding property values and the block 6 7 be safer; a building like this, as you've seen, is gorgeous and so we try to always be the most 8 9 attractive property on the block, which also helps the surrounding properties, and many times we're 10 11 replacing a blated [sic] project with something 12 gorgeous like this; this was a vacant lot for many 13 years prior to this building being built, so that 14 also has a great impact on property value. 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I appreciate you mentioning that; appreciate the 16 17 report; I do know that the vast majority of people in 18 the city [inaudible] still refuse to believe that and 19 with do everything they can to fight supportive 20 housing in spite of the facts, but I've learned in 21 the past two years that facts don't matter sometimes, 2.2 [laughter] but my hope is that if we can keep [sic] 2.3 at least... [crosstalk]

DANIEL TIETZ: I'm not sure what you're

24

25

referring to... [crosstalk]

JESSICA KATZ:

[inaudible], Councilman...

2.2

2.3

[crosstalk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You have no idea, do you? We're about to make America great again in about a day. [laughter] But hopefully we just keep pushing that information out there and maybe it'll start to seep in.

Another part of testimony -- supportive housing is a solution to homelessness. I was just wondering if that is true; I think it is the solution for a subset -- I just want to be clear, because I know that another [sic] solutions are making sure that people have legal representation, making sure that people have assistance in paying for rent, so not everybody needs supportive housing, so I wanted to make sure we were clear...

DANIEL TIETZ: Right; that's exactly right. So in my testimony I sort of listed the things that HRA does and among them, which you just mentioned with regard to legal services, rental assistance; all the other things we do, there many, many folks in shelter, for example, who work, they just don't have enough to make the rent, and they don't need supportive housing. So supportive housing

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 71 2 is an answer, particularly I think for those who are 3 chronically homeless and have significant health, mental health, substance use and other needs; for 4 them to make it in the community, this is a good answer; for others, they don't need this. 6 7 CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And just to follow up on something that that the Co-Chair 8 9 said; I'm not sure if I got a full answer, but there was testimony in one of the answers about funding 10 11 that was shared between city and state with the partnerships; do we have a number of how much money 12 13 we're losing with the partnership? 14 DANIEL TIETZ: Right. So just be clear, 15 in the existing NY/NY agreements, the City/State 16 agreements, the State's contribution continues -- or 17 so we're counting on... [interpose] 18 CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you know how 19 much that is? 20 DANIEL TIETZ: No, and that's the number we're going to get for you... [crosstalk] 21 2.2 CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okav. 2.3 DANIEL TIETZ: so that continues. In the City's, you know the Mayor's 15,000 you know NYC 24

15/15, those costs, apart from some that Jessica

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

72

2 mentioned with regards to capital are the City, so

3 | the operating costs, the service costs are City

4 costs. In that, of course, we save on shelter, we

5 save on, you know, jail time, we save on Medicaid, we

6 save in a variety of ways, but there are... frankly,

7 given the City's investment, there are also savings

8 to the State, so for example maybe most notably,

9 there are Medicaid savings for the State. If you are

10 serving folks well in supportive housing, then by

11 definition they will have fewer Medicaid costs at the

12 state. But the investment in NYC 15/15 is largely a

13 | City investment.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I guess it's safe to say that [inaudible] the number that you're going to get for us would narrow what we're losing if [inaudible]?

JESSICA KATZ: Yeah, so I think what we can do is; if we were to assume the exact same rules of NY/NY III funding applied going forward, we could figure out what that number would be. I think we... you know we would also be hopeful that if there was a NY/NY IV that maybe it would be more favorable to the City, but we can kind of model it on what the current NY/NY III arrangements are.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

clarity, our preference is to have a NY/NY agreement;

we're going forward with this because the Governor

going forward with this because the Mayor heard

Council Members and communities and advocates say

that supportive housing is a key answer to ending

significant health, mental health and substance use

and other needs. So we heard that and the Mayor said

with our without an agreement with the State, we need

to act. So I think as Commissioner Banks testified

homelessness for thousands of New Yorkers with

has not told us what he's doing with the \$2 billion.

DANIEL TIETZ: No, not exactly.

And for

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

_ /

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last year, we thought it was important to go forward

even in the absence of a new agreement.

appreciate what you phrased; as the Chair of Housing,
I'm going to say I think we would've preferred that
the NY/NY agreement went forward; I'm happy that the

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, and I

Mayor stepped up with his part... [crosstalk]

DANIEL TIETZ: We're always happy when they're willing to pay...

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure. And so I think we would've expected that the Governor would've

74

2

acted then and at least would've acted by now --

3

1

those are my words -- so I just want to make sure

4

that that's on the record. Just have a couple more

5

questions; then I'll turn it back to the Chair, who I

6

think is going to have colleagues ask questions.

7

In a December 2015 hearing on supportive

8

scattered-site units will be available in 2017 and

housing, the Administration testified that the first

10

the first congregate units will be in Fiscal Year

that contracts were awarded for the first 550

expect tenants to move into those apartments?

11

2018. In December 2016 the Administration announced

scattered-site units for chronically homeless adults

and adult families with a serious mental illness or

substance use disorder. Contracts have been awarded

for the first 550 scattered-site units; when do you

now of negotiating with the nonprofit providers; we

are hopeful that we will be able to locate and secure

apartments and finalize contracts by the end of this

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

fiscal year, so we're targeting a July date. CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

Will all of

JESSICA KATZ: So we are in the process

these tenants be coming from the DHS system?

3 thank you publicly for that, because I know how

4 | intractable these problems are.

2.2

2.3

questions around, Dan, your testimony on page nine.
You know, it's interesting what you just said about adding in the information about Legal Aid and sort of one -- I still call them one-shots -- and whether or not that has to do with supportive housing; I think it very much has to do with supportive housing because some of the clients who are being helped by those services probably could use supportive housing instead of being in their rent-regulated home, and I think there's a client in particular that we've been working with who I think would qualify for that, so I'm glad you kept that information in here.

I'd be interested in knowing about the...

or going forward, how you're tracking or how you're

thinking about the success rate of using more Legal

Aid lawyers and giving -- is it still called oneshots, you know where you... [interpose, background

comment] one-shots to assist with the cost of rentals

-- over a long period of time; in other words, are

people who were helping with lawyers -- yes, the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 77 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 success rate, obviously; if they have a lawyer in the courtroom, more likely than not they're going to win. 3 4 But I'm wondering over a long period of time whether or not you track the individuals who you're helping in both those regards to see if there's overlap and 6 7 to see if over time they're coming back and back The reason I'm curious is because as I think 8 about my constituents who need that help, there are 9 different categories; one category, and the category 10 11 I'm most concerned about, are the ones who get the 12 help mostly with Legal Aid attorneys because the 13 building owner is actively harassing them out; they see an apartment that they think they could monetize 14 15 and so they're just going to keep harassing them till 16 they're out, and it's those individuals that, you 17 know I really want to be helping because the right 18 thing to do is to keep them in their apartment. 19 Yeah, so with regard to DANIEL TIETZ: 20

DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, so with regard to the emergency assistance, it's tracked, we can see in our system who we've given the emergency assistance to, when and for what, and you know, over what period of time and the same is true with regards to referrals to legal services. So if that's the same person, and it often is, so we referred you to legal

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS services; the legal services lawyer helped you in housing court; you know the deal is that you have to pay some amount of arrears and we're the ones who

78

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

will pay it. So we can see all of that in our files. I would say that part of the advantage of or

usefulness of having these legal services contracts is that, of course, the lawyers that we're assigning these cases to will say to us the very thing you just described; this is a landlord who's chasing all the

11 rent-regulated tenants... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

DANIEL TIETZ: out of the building and they all need lawyers and can you do something about this. And there too I think there's a back and forth, which maybe Jessica knows better than I do, with regards to our state friends and what landlords in rent-regulated properties, or properties that should be rent regulated, are doing with their tenants.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Exactly. And then do you track, maybe in working in tandem with Jessica, buildings that you might consider opportunity for the City to take over in some way? You know, in other words, what do you do with the

79

2 information that you get back? You know, I could

3 list three building owners that, you know, we're

4 working with to try to, you know, keep people in

5 their homes. How do you think about the next steps

6 once you start to see patterns of buildings that are

7 being systematically, you know, emptied out...?

[crosstalk]

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DANIEL TIETZ: Yes, I think there's... I'll start and then I'll let Jessica. So I think there's some of what we each do, so I just mentioned refer [sic] out to legal services for the individual tenants; there are opportunities here, so speaking of supportive housing, so there are opportunities for owners with properties, of course, to speak to notfor-profit partners, as some developer did for this very project here, so there are other opportunities for folks to, you know contemplate other affordable housing uses for their property, but I think what you're referring to in terms of harassment, I'm going to let Jessica handle.

[background comment]

JESSICA KATZ: Thanks, Council Member
Rosenthal. So HRA has a very robust system of legal
aid for individual tenants, but HPD, as you know,

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 also has a litigation unit where as we can bring

3 suits on behalf of the City of New York against

4 | landlords, which we do frequently, so if there's

5 particular buildings in your district, we'd be happy

6 to get back to you on those in particular.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well for sure, but I guess I'm wondering if internally at HRA you could... you know, I'm not asking you to do it today or... but that you have your go to list of, you know, these are the building owners who are systematically doing this and who we're pursuing and do you regularly add to that list; could you tell me off the top of your head how many buildings; how many building owners; do you have enough resources to be doing that work, because in my mind's eye, if we could solve that issue, that's... you know, there are 500 units a year in my district where there's no question that the building owners are simply harassing and evicting out the tenants, and you know we did that analysis using the Department of Finance website, their information, and literally, over the last five years, we've been tracking this since I've been in office and two years prior, 500 units a year; I mean that's 2,500 units that you could have tropped 2 [sic] up to preservation and I'm wondering, you know

3 how you're taking advantage of that information and

4 stemming the tide.

2.2

2.3

JESSICA KATZ: So I'd love to, you know, bring you in and have you sit down with our litigation unit to make sure that the strategy that that team is putting forth is meeting the needs of those buildings in your district.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. Great, thank you very much; I'll take you up on that.

DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, I'll also just note that there's a lot of back and forth between the two agencies' staff, so if we're seeing something, then we're pretty promptly in touch with HPD about this landlord, this building, what do you know; we're seeing this happen with our clients, we're seeing people come to us all from this address.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. And do we then -- sorry, Chairs -- [background comment] do you bring in Department of Buildings as well and is there... the sense I get is that you can track a building between DOB, HRA, HPD, and Department of Finance, that there's a code so that you can see... for example, if you put a violation on a building owner

isn't so much as getting them paid; it's that it's an

2010e, it sounds like a federal tax form, but

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 84
2	[laughter] how long is that form? It must go on for
3	about a month.
4	MIKE BOSKET: It's about nine pages in
5	length, I mean clearly it's a psychosocial and a
6	psychiatric evaluation and some other components.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. And
8	this would be done by a hospital perhaps or by
9	MIKE BOSKET: It would be done by housing
10	specialist, hospitals [interpose]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Nursing
12	homes, perhaps [sic]
13	MIKE BOSKET: not usually a nursing home,
14	Rikers completes them, various institutions and
15	community-based providers.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And do you
17	provide training sessions, you know because we hold
18	at the Council… it's very… these forms can be very
19	complicated, so there are training sessions and
20	MIKE BOSKET: We absolutely for new users
21	have an orientation and training sessions.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. And
23	could it theoretically be done by an individual or do
24	you need to have a sign-off by some kind of
25	professional?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MIKE BOSKET: No, it has to be done by a housing specialist or some other person like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. And just to educate me a little; on supportive housing in general, what's a typical stay, is it many years, is it... it's a long time?

> MIKE BOSKET: Uhm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: You know I... I mean my family moved into a NYCHA development in '56 and 50 years later we finally had enough of it and we were gone, but I'm sure that's a long time.

DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, I would say that... I can get you an average length of stay if we maybe even looked at it by category, if possible, but it's a long time, it's usually years. I mean there is certainly some turnover; I would say in some categories more turnover than others. So for example, you could see some turnover in some of the HIV/AIDS units because people's health improved and they wished to have more independence and they would be fine with that; in other instances, youth, for example, would move on, potentially, from those units. So there is some turnover; I would say that most though, it's years in length.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 88 2 year and about maybe 14,000 were approved, which is 3 far more than we have available units. COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But we have no 4 5 aggregate number of people who require? 6 DANIEL TIETZ: I can... who require? 7 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah, supportive housing. 8 9 DANIEL TIETZ: So we have estimates, just based on the folks we have in shelter and what we 10 11 know is folks, for example, that we have in shelter, 12 just looking at shelter alone as opposed to any 13 others, we can come with estimates; otherwise, the 14 data we have is the numbers of folks for whom a 2010e 15 application has been submitted and has been approved. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I have a question 17 regarding the \$2 billion, although I'm not sure if 18 you would know the answer to this question, but do we 19 know what share of those dollars are going towards 20 supportive housing in New York City and the number of 21 units that would emerge as a result? DANIEL TIETZ: So I don't know that the 2.2 2.3 MOU is done, so I don't think that that... [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: No, once signed, 24

25

once signed.

operating and the social services; the capital is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 90 2 still a mix of sources, so on the ground any given 3 project gets financed with a mix of city and state 4 sources either way. COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And so we're striving to create 15,000 units? 6 7 JESSICA KATZ: Fifteen thousand units, half of which are scattered-site and the other half 8 9 are congregate, so the congregate ones are where you need the capital dollars and the tax credit. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay. And once 12 the 15,000 units are in place, what's the operating 13 cost, the annual operating cost of operating 15,000 units of supportive housing? 14 15 DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, I think our estimate 16 in my testimony is, at the end, \$96 million a year. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: \$96 million for 18 15,000 units? 19 DANIEL TIETZ: Yes. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And the plan is ... 21 [crosstalk] 2.2 DANIEL TIETZ: Oh... no, 19... I think in 2.3 [background comment] 1919... I think... I'm sorry, 2019

it's estimated to be \$96 million, so that's I guess

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 91
2	what, four years in, but the cost will ramp up from
3	there once… [interpose]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Well the plan is
5	set to be complete the Mayor announced [crosstalk]
6	DANIEL TIETZ: Fifteen years.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: the 2015/15, so
8	2030?
9	DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah. So I think the
10	number that I have in my estimate [sic] [crosstalk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So by 2030, what
12	is the operating cost?
13	DANIEL TIETZ: I don't have that, but the
14	operating… [crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: That's the number
16	I would want though; I'd be curious to know what's
17	the operating cost by 2030, if [interpose]
18	DANIEL TIETZ: Yeah, we could certainly
19	chat with OMB and see if we can get you a number tha
20	would be reasonably accurate.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I quess how do we
22	ensure the completion of a plan nine years after the
23	Mayor has left office? That's something I've never
24	quite understood. The Mayor is leaving in 2021, if
_ T	quite anacipeoda. The hayor is icaving in 2021, if

re-elected; this plan extends until 2030; how do you

2 lock in the commitment to 15,000 units nine years

3 | after he's ended his term?

2.2

2.3

about, the supportive housing community on the ground has been working on this, you know, an administration after administration, so even the NY/NY agreement spanned a variety of mayors and governors, some of whom got along better than others, but the social service providers and the agencies on the ground and some of the advocates here in the room today were committed to making sure that happened and so they persisted across administrations.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But NY/NY was something of a contract between the City and State, so that has more security, whereas the latest iteration -- [inaudible] of creating the 15,000 units is based on one mayor and what's to stop the next mayor from reversing it by [inaudible]?

JESSICA KATZ: So I would just again say that the NY/NY I, II and III spanned a variety of different mayors and governors across the ideological spectrum and each one renewed a commitment to building supportive housing in some way, shape or form, so [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I don't think we're understanding each other; this is not NY/NY, but this is the Mayor's plan, right...

JESSICA KATZ: Correct.

agreement with the State, which strikes me as much more secure; it's the executive action of one mayor, so what's to stop the subsequent mayor from going in a different direction, right; there is nothing to stop the subsequent mayor from going... it's a yes or no question; I don't know.

JESSICA KATZ: I mean I would... the costsavings impact of doing that I think would give anybody pause in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: How has the community reaction to supportive housing evolved over time? 'Cause you know, when community boards are first introduced to a supportive housing development [inaudible] apocalyptic fears about declining quality of life, which never come true, and it turns out that the supportive housing development is the most beautiful development on block and removes blight; have you seen an evolution in the community response; has it become more receptive, more supportive over

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 94 time, except for the occasional assemblyperson? That is a joke that Commissioner Katz, she know what I'm talking about.

[background comment]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JESSICA KATZ: Yeah, so you know, there is two of us up on dais today who have spent time living in a supportive housing project -- I won't name either of our names today -- and I do think there has been an evolution where now we hear, you know, can it be this kind of unit; can it be that kind of unit; can we vet the service provider, so I think it is a more nuanced discussion, but there is still, especially in this homelessness crisis, you know, we do tend to see quite a bit of opposition. will say what hasn't changed is that all of that discussion and all of that fear happens before the building ever opens; I think what's been true across the board, you know in the 15 years that I've been doing this, is that when the building opens it's quite; we don't hear much about it after that.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I worry that...

I guess our approach to creating housing is something
of a binary; that we either have housing with
intensive services, as in supportive housing, or

95

2 housing with no services at all, whereas there is a

3 spectrum in-between of residents who have service

4 needs that might not justify supportive housing, but

5 | might justify some level of service intervention;

6 what do we do with that population, that gray area

7 in-between?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

That's a great question; JESSICA KATZ: it's something that we've spent a lot of time thinking about as we work to place more homeless families who are not in a supportive housing category in apartment buildings throughout the Housing New York plan. One strategy that's been effective so far under Housing New York is we've created additional strategies that allow us to do mixed use buildings and so if you have a building that has a daycare center in the first floor, a community center in the first floor, those aren't services that are, you know, on-site, integrated into the fabric of the apartment building itself the way supportive housing is, but having it so closely knit in the building provides the tenants with a lot of the support that they may need.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I just have one more final [sic] question about the interaction

time what the savings are and where our best bang for

provides the biggest cost-savings.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I mean do we know now -- I don't know if there's been a study done about the impact of supportive housing in reducing Medicaid costs; I imagine it does, but.

JESSICA KATZ: It's too early for that, but it's being tracked through MRT; the first MRT units came along a couple years ago, so that's an ongoing data collection effort that's taking place.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: That's the extent of my questioning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Council Member Torres. Let's see; a few more

questions and then we'll move on to public testimony.

It's now noon and we do have to leave the room by one

o'clock, so we have about 15 people or so lined up to

testify, so I'll ask another question or two and then

I'll turn it over to my co-chair.

Do you have -- and you may have spoken to this a little bit, but within the current 15/15 plan you have specifically identified units that will be developed for young people in general, other than

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 98 2 youth that have aged out of foster care, but more of 3 a general population youth; is that part of the plan? 4 You know that youth that have aged out of foster care is identified in the NY/NY III as is serious or persistent mental illness youth as well, so are there 6 7 going to be set-asides for that? JESSICA KATZ: So there will be 8 9 categories for youth and then within the youth population one of the recommendations was really 10 11 expanding the categories to include other systems, so 12 not just youth aging out of foster care, but also 13 youth in the DYCD system and the runaway homeless 14 youth. So the expectation is to include more youth 15 and then be able to understand what are the needs of 16 the youth. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so that's been identified and that will be in scatter-site... is that 18 19 part of the initial 500 [inaudible]... [crosstalk] 20 JESSICA KATZ: So the 550 were only for 21 single adults and adult couples and so it will be reflected in the next RFP for the scatter-site and 2.2 2.3 for the congregate. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And for the 24

25

congregate?

work going on to create project plans to implement

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 each one of these, and as you can imagine, they each have different timelines, and so I think it would be 3

100

unfair to kind of give the longest timeline, 'cause 4

5 some of these are really data systems and so...

[crosstalk] 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm-hm.

JESSICA KATZ: as you know, like creating new data systems, like we're speaking about the vulnerability index, those are really long and complicated and there are other ones that really can be done -- as we mentioned in the testimony, there's a couple that have already been done, including the Oversight Committee, including the licensed clinicians being able to review, including the upload of the HRA documents, so a lot has already happened, and then there's a couple on there that are probably going to take, you know a year or more, but we think the bulk of them will be done within a year.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Okay. then there may be some outliers that may take long than a year...? [crosstalk]

JESSICA KATZ: Yes.

City agencies that are involved in implementing this

5 recommendations.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Now what role does

like Supportive Housing Network of New York then play
in an ongoing oversight role or ongoing partnership

role that their Executive Director Laura Mascuch is a

co-chair of the task force; what's role are they

going to then have -- just so that if -- there are

things that are coming up -- you know oftentimes

things percolate through providers, through the

advocacy or, you know, umbrella organizations and

then get over to you guys and so... and to us; how...

what's... [crosstalk]

JESSICA KATZ: So in this...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: what's the strategy for making sure that concerns are being raised in the appropriate time?

JESSICA KATZ: Well besides their -SHNNY is great at holding our feet to the fire and
making sure that we are moving forward, so in
addition to the constant informal communications, I
think the expectation really is that we would

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 103
2	continue to report out to the task force on some
3	routine basis to let them know how implementation is
4	going.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. You don't need
6	help with like enabling legislation for that, do you?
7	[laughter]
8	JESSICA KATZ: No not at that moment,
9	but we'll let you know.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Alright, well we'll
11	review it on our end as well.
12	And then just as a honestly, this is a
13	bit of a curve ball, so I don't mean to throw this
14	out there, but it's come up and since I have Dan
15	here, I thought I'd ask with RHY, it's been raised
16	to me that there's no link subsidy for RHY and that's
17	kind of a concern; is there how are you guys
18	approaching that particular issue?
19	DANIEL TIETZ: We're working on it.
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.
21	DANIEL TIETZ: So we're having a very
22	active discussion with our friends at DYCD on
23	bringing subsidies to youth in their system.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So we'll be
25	following up on that and continue to talk about that;

104

2 | I think through the budget process might be the

3 [background comment] most appropriate way that we can 4 engage that... [crosstalk]

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DANIEL TIETZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I'll turn it over to my colleague, Jumaane Williams.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very I don't have questions; just a comment. Obviously this stuff is very hard; it's also expensive, so I'm glad that we're putting the funding behind it and making sure that money's not more important than the people we're serving and I think some of our contractors and developers have to have the same mindset as well, and we have to use all the tools that we have; I don't believe we're doing that and I'm concerned about what the Governor is doing with this revamped [sic] 421-a; I'm concerned about sometimes what this body does in terms of rezonings and the types of AMIs that are in those rezonings, so we all need to do all that we can, and of course, supportive housing is a critical, important piece of that.

Lastly, I too want to say congratulations to Ms. Katz for her promotion, and please, I think at

105

2 | the time I have another hearing that HPD is primary

3 on; we'll have a new commissioner, so I just wanted

4 | to reiterate what I mentioned at the Stated

5 \parallel yesterday, that we wish the commissioner being well

6 on her new job; it was a pleasure working with her; I

7 | found her to be always forthcoming, willing to

8 compromise the best way we can in the best interests

9 of the City, even when we disagreed I found it to be

10 part of the job and very amenable, so I just wanted

11 | to say that on the record on behalf of the Committee

12 | and please pass that along to her. Thank you...

[crosstalk]

13

14

1

JESSICA KATZ: I will. Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you all very

16 | much for your testimony and for answering our

17 | questions today; we look forward to continuing to

18 ∥ work with you in an ongoing fashion to make sure that

19 we are as aggressive as possible in developing

20 | supportive housing for New Yorkers that need it.

21 Thank you.

22 So first panel -- Claire Sheedy from

23 | Breaking Ground; Rebecca Sauer from Supportive

24 Housing Network of New York; Tony Hannigan, Center

for Urban Community Services; and Jennifer Garris [sp?], from 160 Schermerhorn Street.

[background comments]

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Hello everyone.

My co-chair is not here; he's actually the lead

committee, so I'm going to follow his rules when we

normally do these hearings; mine is a little

different; I understand he doesn't normally put folks

on the clock and he doesn't swear people in, so you

can begin at the order of your preference.

CLAIRE SHEEDY: Thank you. Hi, my name is Claire Sheedy; I will be reading the testimony of Brenda Rosen, who's the CEO of Breaking Ground, who couldn't be here today.

Brenda Rosen is the President and CEO of Breaking Ground, New York City's largest supportive housing developer and operator, serving low-income and chronically homeless New Yorkers. We operate 19 buildings, over 3,500 units of permanent and transitional housing in Manhattan, Brooklyn, the Bronx, and Queens. We have another 1,000 in development over the next five years. [background comment]

2.2

2.3

2.3

We also provide street outreach in Brooklyn, Queens and about a third of Manhattan. Our Street to Home program connects the most entrenched long-term homeless individuals with housing and other critical support services. Over the last 25 years we've helped over 12,000 people escape or avoid homelessness, including veterans, seniors, artists, youth aging out of foster care, those living with addiction and chronic illnesses, and many more.

For the clinical homeless we create safe, secure housing, essential on-site support services to help address the psychosocial, mental and physical health problems that are obstacles to independent living.

For low-income folks who find themselves at the edge of homelessness, our affordable housing provides an all-important safety net; 99% of our residents remain stably housed; our eviction rate across our portfolio is less than 1%. Strengthening communities is core to Breaking Ground's mission.

We create neighborhood assets that promote social inclusion; our buildings preserve historic landmarks, transform neglected properties and introduce new resources and opportunities to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 108 surrounding communities. Breaking Ground frequently partners with nationally-recognized architects to design and create beautiful buildings, like this one, that make tenants and neighbors in these communities feel proud. Whenever possible we include community uses in our buildings. Here at The Schermerhorn we have 217 units of supportive housing; the building is home to the Brooklyn Ballet; this gorgeous theater, operated by The Actors Fund, is used by the Brooklyn Ballet, as well as tenants who live here, and local arts groups seeking affordable rehearsal and

2.2

2.3

performance space.

The Hegeman, one of two buildings

Breaking Ground has in Brownsville, Brooklyn has 161

units of supportive housing; there we have an

enclosed garden for building residents. In the

adjacent lot we developed a community garden,

offering programming to all neighborhood residents.

The safety of our residents and neighbors is of highest importance. Our buildings feature 24/7 security, outdoor cameras and exterior lights, all of which have proved to deter crime; we work closely with NYPD, FDNY; EMS. It is notable that studies done of supportive housing's impact on crime actually

2 show a positive reduction in crime, as I think was

3 mentioned earlier.

2.2

2.3

Breaking Ground works with our local community boards, block associations and elected officials; we regularly host and participate in events and meetings formulized by these stakeholders; we work hard to be seen as a community partner and asset while also enhancing awareness of our work in the communities we are.

Support from local organizations and elected officials helps our reputation and facilitates entry into neighborhoods that don't know our work and may fear with supportive housing may bring. Without the support of South Bronx Churches, an affiliate of East Brooklyn Congregation, Breaking Ground would not have secured sufficient support to move forward with The Brook, our first building in the South Bronx. Local groups like South Bronx Churches help us market apartments as well as the jobs that these projects bring to the communities we build in. At The Hegeman, working with Brooklyn Community Board 16, more than half of the staff live in Brownsville or in the immediate nearby area.

2.2

2.3

crisis.

Supportive housing has proven to be both a positive and stabilizing force in communities and an effective solution to addressing chronic homelessness. The City needs more of this type of housing and the Mayor's 15/15 initiative is an important component in addressing the City's homeless

On behalf of Breaking Ground, thank you for this opportunity to testify, your interest and deep commitment to this critical issue is greatly appreciated.

REBECCA SAUER: Okay. [background comments] Yeah, I won't break it. Can you hear me? Okay.

Thank you to Council Members Levin and
Williams and to Breaking Ground and The Actors Fund
for hosting us here. My name is Rebecca Sauer; I'm
the Director of Policy and Planning at the Supportive
Housing Network of New York. The Network is a
membership organization representing approximately
200 nonprofit developers and operators of supportive
housing statewide. Supportive housing is permanent
affordable housing with embedded social services for
vulnerable individuals and families, people who are

2 homeless and living with disabilities or other

3 barriers to maintaining stable housing.

2.2

2.3

New York City is the proud birthplace of supportive housing; a model which as been replicated across the country and the world. It is both humane and cost-effective. It thrives on public-private partnerships. As you will hear in other testimony today, it provides people the platform to positively transform their lives and achieve their potential —it really works.

Supportive housing was created by innovative New Yorkers in the late 70s and 80s as single-room occupancy (SRO) hotels disappeared.

Decades later, what started as the conversion of a few buildings has become a robust and agile community serving individuals and families, youth aging out of foster care, veterans, and seniors. Today there are 32,000 units in New York City; of those, 12,000 are scattered-site and 20,000 are in purpose-built supportive housing, which today is integrated with affordable housing for low-income New Yorkers.

Everyone in this room is deeply aware of the magnitude of the homelessness crisis we're facing. Of course not all of the 60,000 plus people

112

2 | in the homeless system need supportive housing; some

3 merely need access to housing they can afford.

4 However, there are thousands who would not be able to

5 maintain stable housing on their own and without

6 supportive housing the alternatives are costly --

7 homeless shelters, hospitals, psychiatric

institutions, jails, or prison.

As was mentioned in earlier testimony, a 2013 study done by DOHMH showed that public costs for NY/NY III tenants were \$10,100 less than those for unplaced individuals. Eighty-seven percent of tenants in NY/NY III housing remained housed after one year and of those who moved out, only 6% returned to shelter and .5% to the street.

Despite the staggering need for supportive housing and the evidence of its effectiveness, many people are apprehensive about it being built in their neighborhood; that's understandable; most of these people have not had the opportunity to visit a residence like The Schermerhorn. Community residents also can be comforted by research that shows that supportive housing buildings have neutral or positive effect on

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 property values, such as the Fuhrman Center report,

3 | which was also mentioned earlier.

2.2

2.3

These findings were the result of a rigorous study done of 7,500 units of supportive housing built over a 20-year period and the sales prices of nearby properties. Studies done in Columbus, Philadelphia, Fort Worth, Toronto, and in six Connecticut communities show similar results.

The Network applauds the City's for its bold leadership in introducing the NYC 15/15 initiative. Building on the past and transforming all of these ideas into reality will require true partnership across many sectors. One of the biggest obstacles to developing new supportive housing is finding adequate, attainable sites.

We invite all members of the Council to partner with us and with your communities to help our city meet this critical need and we know many members of the Council and their staff have toured supportive housing buildings and have been champions of it in their community and we thank we. If any other members of the Council or the public want a tour of supportive housing or have a meeting, we are always happy to facilitate that.

I look forward to future partnership.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

Thanks for the opportunity to testify and

I just want, as a short follow-up on that. So I encourage anybody, [cough] excuse me, to do a Willow search of the surrounding blocks around here in downtown Brooklyn just to see what the property value is around this supportive housing development.

[laughter] Pretty high.

[laughter, background comments]

TONY HANNIGAN: Good afternoon, my name is Tony Hannigan; just want to start by -- I'm the CEO and President of the Center for Urban Community Services (CUCS), a nonprofit organization, and our mission is to provide housing and help rebuild the lives of homeless and low-income families and individuals.

I've been CUCS for since 1981 and I am one of the originators of supportive housing. CUCS is widely recognized for having a particular expertise in providing supportive services to people who have a persistent major mental illness, such as schizophrenia and serious depressive disorder, and I would like to talk about supportive housing services

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 115
that are provided in supportive housing. In fact,

CUCS is the on-site service provider here at The
Schermerhorn. Before getting into that in any

5 detail, I want to put this into some context.

When I started working in homelessness in 1981, homelessness was beginning to become an increasingly visible problem in the City. Much of this had to do with the ongoing elimination of privately owned SRO hotels, or welfare hotels, as they were commonly called, where many people were discharged en masse during deinstitutionalization from in-patient psychiatric facilities where they had lived. It also had to do with the community mental health system not keeping pace with the rate of discharges.

At its peak in 1955, there were 94,000 people living in New York State psychiatric facilities; in 1984 that number was at 34,000; today it is under 4,000. The people who are and had been in State facilities represent only a fraction of those who depend upon the public mental health system, but the staggering decline in the number of those on in-patient wards is telltale of the needs

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

for residential options for people who live with serious psychiatric and other medical problems.

Supportive housing is a critical component of New York's community mental health system; supportive housing is where people have a lease, can live permanently and receive needed mental health and other services on-site. As the housing is integrated with individuals who are not living with mental illness is progressive, and being only a small fraction of the cost of hospitalization, it is highly cost-effective.

approximately 2,000 apartments; our on-site service staff includes psychiatrists, licensed social workers, nurse practitioners, job coaches, primary care physicians, and paraprofessional case management staff who assist tenants when necessary with various activities of daily living, such as shopping for food or doing laundry.

When individuals first move into supportive housing, it is typically after years of being homeless; they have minimal, if any, social contacts, are cut off from family, have medical issues in addition to mental illness, and if a woman,

1

2

24

25

117

3 domestic violence and other physical abuse. Most all

likely suffering from trauma, having been victim of

4 the individuals have not had any consistent medical

5 or mental health treatment services for years on end.

6 Our services include individual

7 counseling and supportive services that are tailored

8 to the needs of the individual. Unlike clinics and

9 hospital-based services where individuals are not

10 uncommonly lost to care, each tenant is assigned to a

11 | team of social workers and case managers with a

12 caseload of 25 tenants. In addition, the tenant

13 | would be able to see the same psychiatrist and

14 | medical staff without the constant turnover often

15 experienced in other mental health settings.

16 Supportive housing provides the

17 | opportunity to work with people over time, addressing

18 | not just mental health and medical concerns, but also

19 | quality of life issues, such as reuniting with

20 | family, rejoining the community and for many, getting

21 | a job. CUCS' career network, which specializes in

22 | job and career opportunities for people with mental

23 | illnesses, helped 180 people living in supportive

housing get jobs in 2016 with an average wage of

full-time employment of \$12.00 an hour.

2.3

In conclusion, I would just like to emphasize and try to debunk a little bit the myths about people with mental illness and emphasize that violent and aberrant behaviors are exceedingly rare among supportive housing tenants, and this is the fear that often communities have, and it just ain't [sic] so. Instead, supportive housing providers are addressing years of isolation, poverty, loss, and the incalculable hardships of having been homeless.

Thank you, and thanks to the Council for holding this; we really appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, thank you very much and thank you for the work that you've been doing.

JENNIFER GARRIS: Good morning and welcome everybody; I'm a tenant here at the Schermerhorn. My name is Jennifer Rose Garris; I was born in Coney Island and have lived in New York my whole life.

I moved into The Schermerhorn in 2009, the first year that it opened. Before that, my husband of 35 years and I had lived in Fort Greene for 17 years, but when he passed I didn't want to and I didn't know how to go on. I struggled with

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 depression and drinking and eventually lost and left

3 my apartment. Sometimes I stayed with other people

4 in their apartments, but I would often sleep in the

5 hallways of apartment buildings or even outside. I

6 had always worked and provided for myself, but I

7 didn't want anyone to know that I was homeless; I was

8 too proud. I didn't even tell my family.

This could happen to anyone. I have a master's in education, I taught special ed. for 24 years; this doesn't happen to just "those people," because you're poor; don't want to live inside; things happen, it can happen to anyone.

I was homeless for a year before a

Breaking Ground outreach worker brought me to the Y

on Norwood Avenue while I waited for The Schermerhorn

to open. Once I moved in, we took trips to the

grocery stores to learn how to make our dollars go

further, we learned how to pay our rent on time and

to budget.

Since I've been here I've met tenants that had been in the street 10, 20 years; they didn't know how to go in the grocery store and buy food to stretch for the month with the food stamps that they're given. Breaking Ground caseworkers took us

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 120 to grocery stores, we go on trips, we... they teach you how to live again. Excuse me. I work with my CUCS caseworker on reaching goals, like quitting smoking. I'm stable; my life is stable now.

How I live my life -- I volunteer at soup kitchens or work in pantries, go to church; participate in all sorts of activities in the building. Living here is living with my family; these people are my peeps; every Sunday I call people from the 11th floor and work my way down to the 3rd, just calling them to see how they feel; not everybody has family; not everybody has friends. So many people don't have the opportunity for somebody to listen to them sometimes, and that's our job, we have to give back; look what we've been given.

The main thing is to keep giving back and getting to know other people; sometimes all people need is someone to listen to them. I thank Breaking Ground... I thank Breaking Ground for the impact it has had on my life and so many others. Breaking Ground is a god thanks [sic] and I thank you very much. Thank you.

[applause]

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

Thank you all

2.2

2.3

your smoking.

for your testimony. Thank you in particular for taking the courage to share your testimony; I think it's important for people to understand. One of the most poignant things was; it's not "those people" and many folks have problems understanding that until they are those people, so it's important that we always put faces and real stories behind the human beings who are being served here. So I just want to say thank you so much; obviously your testimony was very moving and touching and the type that we need to keep these services going forward. So God bless you and thank you for giving back; I wish everyone had

[laughter]

JENNIFER GARRIS: Well I'm down from a pack to three a day [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

your spirit; I do want to know how you're doing on

[laughter]

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh that's progress, that's progress, congrats.

JENNIFER GARRIS: Yeah, that's good progress [sic].

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's always a

3 challenge. I want to thank you as well... [crosstalk]

JENNIFER GARRIS: Thank you; you're

5 welcome.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: for your testimony, for sharing your story... [crosstalk]

JENNIFER GARRIS: You're welcome.

inspiration to your neighbors and for looking out for your neighbors; that's a wonderful thing to do, and making sure that everybody has the benefit of friendship [background comments] and I want to thank you all very much for your testimony and for having us here today; this is such a wonderful opportunity for our committees, for the Council to be here at The Schermerhorn and be part of this wonderful facility. Thank you.

Next panel -- Joseph Rosenberg, Catholic Charities, Catholic Community Relations Council; Jeff Nemetsky, Brooklyn Community HSS [sic] and Services; and Josh Goldfein and Giselle Routhier of Legal Aid and Coalition for the Homeless. [background comment] And Catherine Trapani from Homeless Services United.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

JOE ROSEN

JOE ROSENBERG: Good afternoon Chair

3 Levin and Chair Williams; I'm Joe Rosenberg, the

4 Executive Director of the Catholic Community

5 Relations Council, representing the Archdiocese of

6 New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn and Queens on

7 | local legislative and policy issues.

Housing advocates, governmental entities and not-for-profits and faith-based organizations all agree that supportive housing is a successful and cost-effective model; it serves not only homeless families and homeless singles, but also victims of domestic violence, veterans, youth aging out of foster care, and the elderly individuals receiving nursing home care who can make the transition to independent living. It is absolutely crucial that existing programs serving its populations be preserved and expanded and that new programs be created to meet this pressing and growing need. Sheltering the homeless and helping the needy have always been among the primary missions of the Catholic Church; consistent with that principle, the Archdiocese of New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn, through Catholic Charities, parishes and affiliates, have constructed and preserved thousands of

2 apartments for the poor and the homeless throughout

3 our city; this commitment continues to this day, with

4 the Catholic Church being the largest faith-based

5 provider of low-income senior citizen housing in New

6 York City and working with our governmental partners

7 to construct and preserve thousands of units of

8 affordable and supportive housing.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The supportive housing development selected last January by Mayor de Blasio to announce his plan to develop and preserve 15,000 supportive housing units over the next 15 years is the Bishop Joseph Sullivan residence. This Bedford-Stuyvesant development is owned and operated by Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Brooklyn; with [sic] receipt of over \$21 million of state funding and the assistance of financing from the feds and city agencies this rehab project preserves 76 apartments for the formerly homeless and created 22 units designed specifically for formerly homeless veterans, one of the most vulnerable groups of New Yorkers amongst us.

And Morrisania Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of New York is developing 112 units of low-income housing, with 35 units of supportive

2.2

2.3

2 housing at the St. Augustine Apartments. The special

3 need units target individuals with chronic mental

4 illness and will be supported through on-site

5 services provided by Beacon of Hope House, which

6 serves close to 300 individuals in its supportive

housing programs through New York City.

These developments are only two examples of how creative and long-term commitment leads to the construction and preservation of successful supportive housing. There is much more to be done to provide housing to our fellow New Yorkers who are most at risk in falling through the cracks, ending up on our streets and in our shelters.

Last year, for example, the State

Legislature and the Governor agreed upon a budget

that appropriated over \$2 billion for the development

and preservation of supportive and affordable housing

in New York State; that money, however, remains

unavailable, through the inability of the State

Legislature and the Governor to finalize and sign a

memorandum of understanding that would release the

funds to support the creation of 6,000 supportive

housing units over the next five years, as well as

finance the production and preservation of 94,000

2 affordable housing units. We urge that all parties

3 negotiate a final MOU as soon as possible so the

4 money can be used to provide housing for the most

5 vulnerable in our society, as the State Legislature

6 and the Governor clearly intended.

I also want to point out that the City

Council recently introduced a very significant bill,

Int. 214-A, the right to counsel for low-income

individuals facing eviction in Housing Court; over 42

members are on this bill; it is very important that

although certainly the Mayor is strongly in support

of expanding the program to finance families facing

eviction that a bill of this nature be passed

hopefully sooner rather than later.

In summary, there are many economic and social challenges that must be surmounted to address the homeless crisis in New York; the support and expansion of this housing model is one clear humane, cost-effective and proven means of confronting this challenge; in short, it saves and rebuilds lives. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Rosenberg.

2.2

2.3

JEFF NEMETSKY:

afternoon. [background comment] My name is Jeff
Nemetsky and I'm the Executive Director for Brooklyn
Community Housing and Services, a not-for-profit
supportive housing agency located nearby in Fort
Greene. I'd like to thank the General Welfare and
Housing Committees for organizing this important
hearing today and I would really like to thank the
members of these committees for being such robust
advocates for supportive housing in recent year; it

Thank you.

Good

My organization, BACH'S, was founded in 1978 by a group of local clergy from Downtown Brooklyn, many from nearby parishes and churches, and is committed to ending homelessness in Brooklyn. We now serve nearly 1,000 formerly homeless and at-risk residents a year, through a range of short-term, transitional and permanent supportive housing and provide a continuum of related services.

has been tremendously helpful to all us in the field.

This past Saturday, a family from Fort

Greene reserved the community room at our Brooklyn

Gardens supporting housing building to celebrate

their daughter's Quinceanera. The proud family and

their friends danced to festive music and brought in

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 128 delicious home-cooked delicacies. A week before, the community room was reserved by a family who had just lost a beloved uncle and held a large repast and memorial service in his honor. On Friday night, Saturdays and Sundays throughout the year, this community room is used by Fort Greene residents for baby showers and birthday parties, family reunions and graduation celebrations and even from time to time a wedding. During the week this community room plays host to regular AA meetings that are attended by people from throughout the area, including residents from nearby NYCHA public housing, teachers and construction workers, as well as bankers, lawyers and finance professionals who live in the beautiful brownstones located on the other side of Myrtle

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Avenue.

I highlight these few examples because I think they illustrate that supportive housing is not just sited at a location; it is an integral part of the fabric of a community, both helping to strengthen that community as well as being strengthened by it.

BCHS has a good neighbor policy that we adhere to at all our locations; we have 24/7 security and our buildings are well-lit, ensuring that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

blocks they are on remain safe, comfortable walkways for pedestrians. We make sure that our buildings are clean, calm and stable, that music is never too loud in the evenings and that groups of people do not congregate or loiter outside. We participate in forums with other local businesses and civic groups discussing neighborhood issues and supporting efforts to help local business owners and other local institutions. And like many supportive housing buildings, our structures are also architecturally sophisticated and enhance and beautify the streetscape. Most importantly, our buildings are staffed by highly qualified social service professionals who are also available 24/7, enabling residents to have a positive experience. Indeed, even though the majority if BCHS' supportive housing residents had been homeless for a long time before they came to us, more than 95% either maintain their housing with BCHS or move on to even greater independence each year.

In 1991, our Brooklyn Gardens housing facility opened its doors at a time when Fort Greene was being hit hard by the crack epidemic, which had fueled a great deal of crime and dislocation. Our

What we've seen in both instances is that well designed, well maintained and well run supportive housing not only helps formerly homeless individuals achieve stability and independence, but marks the blocks in neighborhoods where they are present as attractive and desirable places to work and live.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you once more to the Committees for both holding this hearing, and again, thank you for your support for supportive housing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Thanks. My name is Giselle Routhier; I'm the Policy Director at the

Coalition for the Homeless. I'm going to keep this
short and sweet; we submitted testimony; I'm just

4 going to summarize it here; we submitted joint

testimony with Legal Aid Society.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

With respect to the need for supportive housing in New York City, right now we can't move quickly enough. As NY/NY III winds down, the number of single adults specifically that have been placed in supportive housing has reached the lowest rate as a portion of the number of single adults in shelter in a decade, so right now we're facing record homelessness in New York City, so we have almost 15,000 single adults in shelter, and total, 62,840 individuals sleeping each night in shelter. are pleased to hear about the progress that the City is making at this hearing, specifically, moving forward on the 550 scatter-site units that will be opened before the end of this fiscal year or begin to be opened before the end of this fiscal year, but we are still facing challenges at the state level; it's now the one-year anniversary of the commitment that the Governor made to build 20,000 units of supportive housing statewide, and we have nearly \$2 billion that is still sitting idle, subject to a memorandum of

2 understanding. We like to say that it's true, that

3 | last year's budget is law and this year's Fiscal Year

4 18 budget is a proposal, so we can still move forward

5 on that MOU and we've been pushing the Governor and

6 the legislative leaders to come together and release

7 | that money as soon as possible so that we can move

8 forward on the State's commitment and really begin to

9 meet the vast need that exists out there. Thank you

10 very much.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: I'm Joshua Goldfein

from The Legal Aid Society, just to add to, and as

Giselle said, we submitted joint testimony, but just

to add a few quick comments in response to Council

Member Torres' question about, how do we ensure that

the agreements that are made now continue on into

subsequent administrations. The answer, and

certainly it's good public policy and it saves the

City money and it's a better outcome for the

residents, but we also have the right to shelter in

New York City and without a robust program to

preserve affordable housing and ensure that people in

shelter can move somewhere, the shelter system can't

be managed, so the fact that we have a right to

shelter also serves as a significant driver for

2 ensuring that the City generates some kind of

3 | permanent housing for people to go to.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just also want to add that we at Legal Aid agree with HPD, and are glad to hear them say, that it's important that as we develop new scattersite affordable housing units as part of these new programs that we preserve the rights of the tenants who are going to get those units. We have seen, just in the last year, hundreds of cases brought, just in Brooklyn alone, against residents of supportive housing, or brought in Housing Court against -- seek the eviction of residents of supportive housing, and I phrase it that way because in many of the cases the cases were brought without naming the tenant, and HPD is committed to making sure that as we develop these units and give people leases to move into them that the leases are in their names, that we secure their rights and that we ensure that those will be stable, long-term placements; that we don't end up with situations where people can be evicted without even knowing that something is happening. So we're going to look forward helping roll out these new units in a way that preserves everybody's rights; that it's in a way that is a benefit to the whole community and also 2 make sure that we don't compromise the rent-stab

2.2

2.3

3 [sic] rights of the residents and other people who

4 live in those buildings already. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just one up on that [sic]; what... I meant to ask this of the Administration; what is the relationship between supportive housing scatter-site units and rent-stabilization? If a unit is previously rent stabilized, how does that work within the supportive housing contract and then what happens to that unit in the long-term?

that's a complicated and controversial legal question right now that we are litigating at a number of places; I would say a slightly longer answer is that for many years there has been a mistaken assumption on the part of a lot of people that the rent-stab loss somehow doesn't apply any time that a not-for-profit organization is involved in the relationship, and that's actually not what the law says, and we've been able to, I think, advance the correct position through a number of cases and I think that people will understand that rent-stab... we can't... these units that are in buildings that are already rent-stab will

the number of cluster sites that DHS is using, and we

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 136
2	are asserting the rights of the tenants in those
3	buildings, including the former shelter residents who
4	are now in a unit that is no longer a shelter unit
5	but is a rent-regulated unit
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure.
7	JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: what should the rent be
8	for that unit, and so in those cases it becomes very
9	important to look at what rents have been registered
10	over the history of the use of the unit
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm-hm.
12	JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: and DHCR gets involved
13	in those cases in that way and [crosstalk]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But they're not
15	proactive, essentially; they're not proactively
16	enforcing the rent stabilization rights of tenants?
17	JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: Certainly they could
18	[crosstalk]
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: as an agency [sic].
20	JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: they could certainly be
21	doing more, but
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.
23	JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: they could be doing
24	more for tenants across the board there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I'm sorry, and again I should've asked this of HPD when they were here, but have they... do they have a legal written memo on this matter?

JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: Does HPD?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.

JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: HPD is coming at it from the point of view that going forward, as we roll out these new scattered-site units, which we have to do to meet these numbers, right; I mean it's just not possible to build 15,000 units in the next 15 years; a lot of them are going to have to be in existing buildings and some number of... most of those are going to be apartments that are otherwise in the market, so there is this tension of competing with other affordable uses of the building, and their concern is that they want people to have a lease in their own name to secure their rights and that's their position of how this should work and we agree with them, and it's going to take I think a lot of effort on the part of all parts of the City and the communities involved and the stakeholders to ensure that we create a system that secures people's rights and doesn't put them at risk.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

Thank you.

2

1

Catherine.

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Thanks very much for

Trapani and I'm the Executive Director of Homeless

the opportunity to testify. My name is Catherine

Services United, which is a coalition of over 50

nonprofit agencies serving homeless and at-risk

adults and families in New York City. We provide

advocacy, information and training to member agencies $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

to expand their capacity to delivery high-quality

12 services. We advocate for the expansion of

affordable housing and prevention services and for

immediate access to safe, decent emergency and

transitional housing, outreach, and drop-in services

16 for homeless New Yorkers.

A lot of what I wanted to say this morning has been covered by previous testimony, so I just want to say that supportive housing has been an infinitely effectively model to ending homelessness for particularly chronically homeless single adults with multiple and complex needs, and so what we're really looking to to improve upon the model is increased flexibility with serving families, victims of domestic violence, youth, and not just those aging

streamline and make it easier for applicants to get

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 140 through, so I'm looking forward to the implementation of that, as well as the crafting of the vulnerability index, which is crafted to ensure that the most vulnerable clients are actually matched to the available units. There is a problem and a perception amount some providers that... for the supportive housing providers that work with street homeless that do outreach, people like Breaking Ground, people like Urban Pathways, they get it; it's a low barrier model where you meet people where they're at, you take them into the housing and it works really well. Unfortunately, there are some other providers where my members have had the experience where even though as HRA testified, everybody that is in a category that's sort of branded as eligible for supportive housing, within that there is always going to be shades of grey, and so as the Committee questioned, when they are referring three applicants and the supportive housing provider is taking one of those three, there isn't, to my knowledge, and I didn't hear it in the answers today, a systematic tracking of what happens to the other two, and so while we're building in these protections, like the vulnerability index and improved and streamlined applications

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 141 procedures, I would love to see a tracking of what happens to those two so that we know that very vulnerable people are being well-served, and again, I understand that it's driven by the culture of scarcity and there's simply not enough units to go around, but I think it's still an important thing to really track. And so HSU really supports reducing application burdens -- just to summarize -- taking into account not just mental illness and sort of traditional things of the vulnerability index, but medical frailty, this General Welfare Committee did a hearing on medical services in shelter and we learned that there is a great many people that are too well for nursing homes, too sick for shelter, but without a qualifying mental health disorder so far haven't really been able to access supportive housing. there's groups that I think we need to fold in, so really looking at vulnerability in a very broad sense is important to us and making sure that the housing models are flexible and appropriately funded so that these new people that we haven't really been working with before can be adequately served once they're in the housing, so really making sure that this sector is getting what it needs to rise to that challenge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

142

2 Supportive housing is an incredibly important tool, a

3 piece of the puzzle in ending homelessness, and so we

4 | just thank you for your commitment to the issue and

5 your colleagues on the Council and our members,

6 Breaking Ground for hosting us today, and all of my

7 | colleagues here. Thanks very much.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much to this panel; we really appreciate the great work that all of your organizations are doing and continuing to hold government accountable on these very important issues, and we look forward to working with you. And I just want to encourage all of you that as this move forward, I mean we obviously a receptive City Administration and we have a receptive State Administration; we want to make sure that this is all happening in the most responsible way and so you know I want to encourage all of you where there are issues that arise, please let this committee know in addition to letting HPD and City Hall and the State know about these implementation issues as they arise, so thank you. [background comments]

Next panel -- Nicole Bramstedt, Urban Pathways; Moshe [sp?] Sugar [sp?], Urban Pathways; Tabatha Renz, Manhattan Community Board 3; Chloe

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 143 2 Holzman, MFY Legal Services; Kristin Miller, CSH; and 3 then we'll have one more panel after that. [background comments] And I want to thank everybody 4 for their patience. Okay, whoever wants to begin. 5 CHLOE HOLZMAN: I've been nominated. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If you could speak close to the mic so we get you on... on record. 8 9 CHLOE HOLZMAN: Can you all hear me? my name is Chloe Holzman; I'm a staff attorney in the 10 11 Mental Health Law Project at MFY Legal Services. My full statement on behalf of MFY can be found in the 12 13 written testimony, but there are a few points that I'd like to highlight. 14 15 As has been discussed in-depth already 16 this morning, people with mental illness continue to 17 confront a severe lack of affordable housing in New 18 York City and expansion of supportive housing is 19 cost-effective, it reduces hospitalizations, 20 incarcerations, and unnecessary institutionalization. 21 As MFY has previously testified before 2.2 these committees, two other common alternatives to 2.3 supportive housing -- adult homes and three-quarter houses -- are not conducive to recovery and my 24

written testimony includes a discussion of some of

4 afford safe, stable housing in the community while

5 | ultimately saving taxpayer money.

2.2

2.3

My written testimony lays out several suggested improvements to the supportive housing program and among these, as has been echoed already by many other people testifying today, is expanding access to supportive housing by making the HRA 2010e application process more accessible for people with disabilities, including allowing mental health treatment providers besides just psychiatrists to submit mental health evaluations, as well as allowing more flexibility regarding required documentation and expired documentation in the HRA 2010e application. We also believe in expanding the targeted priority populations to include people with mental illness facing imminent eviction as well as people with criminal justice histories.

MFY supports the Mayor's Task Force on Supportive Housing proposal to create a vulnerability index to target housing to those most in need, but again, in keeping with other testimony today, we would want to see that index of vulnerability have a

like people facing eviction and people exiting

5 hospitals and other institutions.

2.2

2.3

In addition, supportive housing providers must have the resources to ensure that the housing they maintain is stable and truly supportive.

Contract rates for supportive housing must be sufficient to allow supportive housing providers to access safe and habitable housing, train and retain staff, and provide appropriate wraparound services that are going to allow clients to succeed in their inherent to long-term success and cost-effectiveness of this kind of housing.

Finally, and echoing some of the comment earlier by Joshua Goldfein of Legal Aid, we believe that leases for scatter-site housing should be required to be in the name of the resident. Despite supportive housing guidelines that recommend that leases be in the resident's name, in our experience it is often the supportive housing provider who is named on the lease and when that happened, as was discussed earlier, the landlord may consider that the rental unit is not covered by rent stabilization laws

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 146 2 that otherwise apply and in turn the landlord can 3 then refuse to renew a lease with the supportive 4 housing provider, which allows the unit to turn over more quickly, rents to rise more quickly and denies mental health consumers the same kind of protections 6 that other tenants would otherwise enjoy. 8 So again, more details about this are in 9 my written testimony, including about MFY's work generally, but I want to thank you for holding this 10 11 hearing and for your commitment supporting these expanding housing options for vulnerable New Yorkers. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. TABATHA RENZ: Good afternoon. 14 I am 15 Tabatha Renz, Assistant District Manager of Manhattan 16 Community Board 3.

Community Board 3 Manhattan encompasses
the Lower East side and Chinatown and has roughly
152,000 people. Our district ranks second highest in
the city for a high diversity ratio between lowerincome and higher-income residents and we are the
third most gentrifying district.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Affordable housing has always been a priority in CB3. Traditionally, this meant affordable for low-income residents, although we are

2 now also concerned about loss of moderate- and

3 middle-income housing. Affordable housing has also

4 always included supportive housing as a priority for

5 \mid CB3 and the Board has consistent advocated for it.

6 We made a quick list of supportive housing locations

7 | in CB3 and came up with at least 14; included in

8 those is The Lee, which Ms. Katz spoke about earlier.

We additionally have over 15 shelters and a few safe

10 havens, also a priority for CB3.

Supportive housing works well in our community. The Supportive Housing Task Force report included a recommendation to improve community engagement for new supportive housing projects and that's something that we do very well in CB3. All supportive housing projects in our district are sponsored or partnered with organizations that are well-known and established in the district. We work with the organizations to provide many services, including after school programs, senior services, arts, and other programs. We all know who they are and they part of our community. The CB has an ongoing dialogue with most of these organizations and we often work with them on task forces and other

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 issues important to the district; some of them even 3 have members on our community board.

We think it is a very important factor that sponsoring organizations are established in the community; we know and we trust them; if there are issues that require attention there is already an ongoing dialogue. Some of these facilities provide meeting space to the community board, which has been mentioned in many testimonies about this really valuable community space, and that eliminates isolation of these facilities from the rest of the community. On the rare occasion that there is an unfortunate event, we can immediately meet with the community and our elected officials, who are always very supportive, and immediately give good information and keep concerns focused. There is always complete transparency. Organizations come to the community board and discuss projects at public meetings often from the earliest concept; the Board and the public are included from the beginning for any comments or feedback. In addition to providing meeting space, some facilities offer services for the community, such as medical and drug store services and workforce development.

2.2

2.3

One point that hasn't been mentioned often is the added benefit of stabilization of diversity of income levels in the community. We are grappling with rapid gentrification and losing middle- and moderate-income housing due to harassment and displacement while gaining market rate and luxury housing. We need a stable mix of middle- to low-

9 income housing to ensure there will be services, such
10 as affordable grocery stores and other necessities
11 available to a diverse population.

Finally, to Council Member Torres' point; substantiated by Ms. Katz, the last time a number of community members organized against a supportive housing project in CB3 was in the early 1990s. In the last several years there have been a few comments at CB meetings against projects, but the sponsor partner [sic] organizations were long-time community-based organizations and fears were not picked up by the community. Now facilities have been open for a few years and like most supportive housing, do not have noticeable impact on the community that is different from any other housing. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Just to follow up on that, so I've represented this district

2.2

2.3

2 now for seven years and two weeks and in that time

3 I've gotten zero complaints about this building, so.

KRISTIN MILLER: Hi, good afternoon; I am Kristin Miller, Director of the New York program at Corporation for Supportive Housing. We are a national organization whose mission is to advance solutions that use housing as a platform to deliver services, improve lives and build healthy communities. With a 25-year track record here in New York City, since 1991 we have made over \$138 million in loans to supportive housing developers for the creation of over 15,000 permanent supportive housing and affordable housing units in New York City. We provide important acquisition financing, so particularly important to nonprofit organizations who need money to purchase sites.

We are deeply committed to sustaining and increasing access to permanent housing solutions in New York and we want to thank both you Chairs for holding this hearing today. We support Mayor de Blasio's commitment to establishing the New York City 15/15 initiative and I'm grateful for the opportunity today to highlight the importance of a multi-year permanent supportive housing production initiative.

2.2

2.3

As we have heard today, New York City is experiencing record homelessness; we are at a critical moment to address this crisis and invest in the most cost-effective strategy proven to solve homelessness for those with greater needs, supportive housing, which pairs permanent affordable housing with supportive services and I can't stress the "permanent" enough; this is "permanent" housing. It's an evidence-based solution, combined with permanent affordable housing with social services, allows individuals and families to live stably in

We know that supportive housing stops

people from cycling between many crisis systems. For

example, CSH's Keeping Families Together (KFT)

Supportive Housing Program targeted homeless families

who were also involved in the child welfare system.

An evaluation of KFT demonstrated a 90% housing

stability rate, the closing of the majority of child

welfare cases and children attended 25 more days of

school per year.

their communities just like any other New Yorker.

And Council Member Torres also mentioned housing is health care and we are part of a national demonstration project where four communities across

the country are providing supportive housing for high utilizers [sic] of Medicaid, and while we were doing that evaluation, which will be out shortly, with this and other New York State is going to be releasing [inaudible] it's preliminary analysis [inaudible]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE

2.2

2.3

is really speaking just to the high utilizers of Medicaid and other systems and how supportive housing

is cost-effective, so stay tuned for more on that.

housing, so we have a growing body of evidence that

Supportive housing results in reductions in the shelter populations. In the first five years of the NY/NY III agreement, chronic homelessness among adults was reduced by 47%. Providing permanent affordable supportive housing options for people living in shelters will increase the positive exits from shelter and [inaudible] stick, given [inaudible] turnover or vacancy rates in supportive housing that we've been hearing about today.

Many people here today have talked about how it improves neighborhoods; it improves the block; it improves individual lives and provides a valuable resource in communities [inaudible] by community members. A question was asked earlier about the need; how do we know the need. In October of 2015

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

153

2 | CSH released a statewide supportive housing needs

3 assessment and it lifted data from five State

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you.

4 agencies and using 2013 [sic] data showed that there

5 was a need in New York City of almost 24,000 units of

6 supportive housing, which is consistent, as we heard

7 | that HRA had 23,000 applications, so that is aligned.

We support this defecting [sic] intervention and put out money where our mouth is.

Last year alone, CSH invested \$33 million in new supportive housing developments in New York, but the permanent supportive housing production pipeline is drying up. Supportive housing developers need a multi-year funding commitment that includes capital, operating and service funding that is required to build new supportive housing. We are asking you for your continued support in fully funding the Mayor's 15/15 initiative, promoting the siting of new

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

I just have a quick follow-up on that. So when... so
you've been... your organization's been at it since
1991 in New York City...

supportive housing buildings to give our most

vulnerable New Yorkers a place to call home.

2 KRISTIN MILLER: Yes

2.2

2.3

them...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 1991, much different picture in terms of the amount of available land in New York City, so... [crosstalk]

KRISTIN MILLER: Yeah, sure.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: as forces of gentrification go to every corner of the City of New York, how does an organization like yours work with developers and supportive housing providers to identify land and work on -- I mean it's so expensive, how do you even identify and acquire land to build supportive housing on?

question. We are finding that acquisition prices are skyrocketing, to your point, so we have internally adjusted our loan pool and loan qualification term sheets to try and meet the need for higher acquisition costs. I will say that we often perform as kind of a matchmaker, so a small perhaps nonprofit who has not done this before or has limited assets, we can help them joint venture in a variety of different ways with an affordable housing developer who would have a lot more resources available to

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm-hm.

2.2

2.3

KRISTIN MILLER: to compete in this hot real estate market and that can be a lot of different flavors to what the joint ventureship looks like.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you working at all with the JOE, the Joint Operating Entity of affordable housing developers that have all pulled resources together under HPD?

KRISTIN MILLER: Not directly, but around them, right. So we have our foot in all of the affordable housing work that is happening, and of course work very closely with HPD.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great, thank you.

NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: My testimony says good morning, but good afternoon. [laughter] Thought it was time for a good laugh.

My name is Nicole Bramstedt; I'm Policy
Director at Urban Pathways. Thank you to both
committees for having this hearing on supportive
housing and the opportunity to testify. You have my
full testimony that's been submitted; I will
summarize parts of it.

Since 1975, Urban Pathways has worked to engage our most vulnerable, in particular,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 156 chronically homeless individuals; also individuals discharged from state hospitals, and provide them with a way home. We have a continuum of program in four of the five boroughs and that includes eight supportive housing residences, as well as scatteredsite supportive housing units in Brooklyn, Manhattan and the Bronx. Just to give you an idea, in Fiscal Year 16 we assisted over 500 individuals via supportive housing. In the first part of my testimony I addressed the issues we faced since opening our first supportive housing residence, Ivan Shapiro House in Midtown Manhattan in 1997. One issue I do want to speak on; it's been touched on; I think it needs to be touched on again, is that [inaudible] our community work and the extensive work we do with the communities. So since we opened Ivan Shapiro in 1997, we've worked really hard to engage communities. Just to give you an idea, you know we recognize our important role as a community member, as being a good neighbor; our vision is that our clients are self-sufficient, integrated members of the communities; we need communities to help our clients, and being engaged in community work and predevelopment, development operations of supportive

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

know we ant people who are working in our buildings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 158 to live them in the community, we want them to have a connection, it's very important to us, and we forward them the applications for low-income units in our mixed-use buildings so we can have individuals who are living in the community work there. And also, during operation, again, we strive to be a good neighbor, properly responding to community complaints; we have our program directors monthly attend community board meetings and we're involved in the local precinct community council. Despite this extensive engagement we still encounter resistance. I document some resistance we encountered when we opened our supportive housing residence in Astoria, Queens in 2011-2012, which I elaborate on in my written testimony. I'm really happy to report, and I know this has been brought up before, that there was [inaudible] Cove in Astoria, Queens; it'll be open its fifth year now, and as it enters its fifth year the concerns haven't materialized at all. residents confirm its low profile, the former Council Member reports no complaints during a site visit, the Queensborough President Office, staff commented it looked better than adjacent luxury apartments; the

waterfront development that they feared wouldn't

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

happen is happening, and we also want to thank the current Council Member Constantinides for graciously awarding us a discretionary funds grant this past year. The residents there have a strong interest in arts, and so we've put together an arts group, with a real arts instructor and supplies, as opposed to a staff member doing it, and this is really enabling [inaudible] therapy to move forward. So it's these kind of partnerships that are important to us with the community.

As I elaborate in my testimony, resistance has detrimental consequences during predevelopment in particular and we have concerns going forward with the Mayor's 15,000 units that there might be a slowing in development of those units because of community resistance, which has happened in the past and the need right now -- you know we really have to ensure the development of these units are not delayed; we need to educate our communities in supportive housing; we need to get out in front of that potential community resistance. The City should conduct a community to [sic] education campaign generally, in terms of all New Yorkers, but also in communities with the local councilmember, the

2 community board; campaign should clarify what

3 supportive housing is and isn't, specifically that

4 | it's not a shelter, and it should include an

5 introduction to providers in residences; there needs

6 to be dialogue continuing. Also, we need to address

7 | the role the community board plays in siting approval

8 | versus notification and we need to synchronize the

9 City's policy with the State and the City could also

10 ensure coordination of proposed projects to prevent

11 | multiple providers proposing sites at the same time

12 | in the same neighborhood.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We really appreciate having, again, this hearing and the support of the Council and the support of the City in utilizing this very costeffective tool to addressing our homelessness crisis and we look forward to working with you going forward.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: Sure.

MOSHE SUGAR: Good afternoon. My name is Moshe Sugar. Thank you to both committees for having this hearing on supportive housing.

I have lived in Urban Pathways Ivan
Shapiro House for close to four years now. Ivan

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | Shapiro House is a supportive housing residence in

3 Midtown Manhattan. East resident has their own

4 studio apartment with their own kitchen, bathroom and

5 | bedroom space. We also have an indoor and outdoor

6 common space for groups, relaxing and events. Most

7 importantly, we have 24-hour support; we have

8 security always on-site. We also have case managers

9 who make sure I take my medication, go to my program

10 \parallel and keep my appointments. If I have a problem,

11 | there's always someone to talk with to nip it in the

12 | bud and take care of it before it overwhelms me.

Before Ivan Shapiro House, I was in and out of the hospital all my life. The last time I was there my doctor said let's try something different and would only discharge me to supportive housing; he would not let me out of the hospital otherwise. I did not want to go to supporting housing; I wanted to go home to my wife and kids, but he insisted that supportive housing was the only option. Now I'm very happy that the doctor suggested discharging me to supportive housing; it's been almost four years that I have been out of the hospital and not returned. If I would have not been in supportive housing, I would have been back in the hospital a long time ago.

2.2

2.3

With the help and support of Ivan Shapiro
House I have changed for the better; before I entered
Ivan Shapiro I did not regularly visit my doctors and
when I did, I was not medication compliant, but now,
with the help and support I regularly keep my
appointments and take my medications as prescribed.
Also, before Ivan Shapiro House I relied on drugs and
alcohol to numb my pain, but now, with their help and
support I am, thank god, sober and clean.

I am also working on anger management and how to reduce my anxiety and stress; this results in me making better, more rational decisions and less mistakes; I am also calmer. As a result, I am able to be employed part-time, editing Hebrew books. I also volunteer in the community, visiting individuals in the hospital.

To those who oppose a supportive housing, they should know that it is a building like any other on the block; in fact, on the outside it actually looks nicer. Sometimes when I am in front of Ivan Shapiro House people stop me and ask me how to get an apartment in the building.

I thank you for the opportunity to testify on supportive housing; it has been valuable

2 for me and it can be valuable for others going 3 forward.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

MOSHE SUGAR: You're welcome too. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Sugar; that was very moving testimony and we greatly appreciate your willingness to be here to tell your story and show us, as the previous resident did as well on a previous panel, that supportive housing works for people and people that are in need of affordable housing, that it provides community, provides support, provides the helping hand that all of us need at some point. So I really greatly appreciate your willingness to come down here and testify. I want to thank this entire panel for your testimony and I think Council Member Williams wants to say something [sic].

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I just want to reiterate that, particularly to Mr. Sugar for taking the time and the courage to come share your story.

Again, as I said to the last resident, it's important that folks see and hear the real people behind to dismantle the preconceived notion they have of who's taking part in this and it's just regular people who

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 164 need assistance; anyone could be there. So thank you so much and hopefully you continue on your good path. Thank you.

MOSHE SUGAR: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Final panel -- Jaron

Benjamin from Housing Works; is it... Rima Begum of

Housing Works -- and I apologize if I mispronounce

your name -- Chad Gholizadeh of Citizens' Community

for Children -- apologize if I mispronounce your name

-- Craig Hughes, Coalition for Homeless Youth; and

Madge Rosenberg from CB7. Blanket apology if I

mispronounce anybody...

Levin and Council Member Williams. I have to say, that's the first time that my name didn't get mispronounced and other people, so I really, I really appreciate that, so. I think there's a lot that's been said that I won't waste time saying again, but first of all, just thanks everybody for showing up.

My name is Jaron Benjamin; I'm Vice President of Community Mobilization at Housing Works; we're here in a community with people living with and affected by HIV and AIDS and our mission is to end [inaudible] crisis of homelessness and AIDS, and currently our

2 biggest project is working on implementing the New

3 York State blueprint for ending the AIDS epidemic by

4 | the year 2020 and the reason why we're here today is

5 because expanding access to supportive housing is an

6 integral part of that plan.

2.2

2.3

Just based on the previous NY/NY agreement, NY/NY III, we're expecting that up to 20% of the new units created through a robust NY/NY IV agreement could be targeted to homeless people living with HIV and co-recurring behavioral health issues. So that we're expecting could really make a dent in our efforts to end AIDS in New York State by the year 2020.

Over the last decades Housing Works has relentlessly worked to provide homeless and unstably housed New Yorkers with stable housing and health care and we have long proven that housing is health care, and I'll talk a little bit more about some research on that in a minute. But expanding supportive housing would do more than just combat the homelessness crisis; I think a lot of people have done a great job talking about that, but it can also greatly improve public health and we've seen it time and time again when people have a place to take their

the City's commitment in expansion of HIV and AIDS

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 167 services, administration benefits to people without either [inaudible] infections or people with an AIDS diagnosis. Now for people who are HIV positive, that has gone a long way and we know that that's going to

6 continue to remove people from the shelter system.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But just to kind of get to some of the things I alluded to earlier, we can't stress enough that housing is health care and a comprehensive study that was just recently published in the American Journal of Public Health -- Housing Status, Medical Care and Health Outcomes Among People Living with HIV/AIDS: A Systematic Review -- reviewed 152 peer review articles and the association between housing status, medical care and health outcomes among people living with HIV, and the findings reviewed provide overwhelming evidence that lack of stable, secure, adequate housing is a significant barrier and consistent and appropriate HIV medical care, access and [inaudible] medications sustain bowel suppression, which for people not well-versed, means that it's virtually impossible to pass the virus to anyone else, and transmission risk [sic] reduction. As the report also explains, housing compromises more than just physical shelter; where we live is our

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 168 2 personal, social and economic lives come together; 3 people who lack stable, secure, adequate housing lack 4 protected space to maintain physical and This I know there's been a psychological wellbeing. lot of talk about cost investment, but if people can 6 7 just think back to the 30% rent cap bill that was passed at the state level and how much we were able 8 to demonstrate cost savings in both medical care and shelter costs; we know from the testimony of people 10 11 before and after me that this is definitely something 12 that's going to save money and greatly improve public 13 So a fourth NY/NY agreement would greatly health. decrease homelessness, support efforts to end the 14 15 AIDS epidemic, save money for the city and state, and 16 while I'm specifically talking about HIV and AIDS, I 17 think it's the same with any other chronic illness, 18 and that can't be overstated. And we stand ready to 19 become the first jurisdiction in the world to end 20 this AIDS epidemic, but in order to do that we must [inaudible] significant investments in housing 21 2.2 supports. Again, we applaud the City's bold actions 2.3 and continue to call on the Governor to complete a

NY/NY IV agreement through which the City and State

2.2

2.3

can invest in housing, optimize health care and work
towards an AIDS-free New York. Thank you.

RIMA BEGUM: Hello everyone, my name is
Rima Begum; I am also working at Housing Works; I'm
the Housing Coordinator, so I have more direct
experience in doing some of those applications, the
2010e application that HRA was talking about. And
before I start my testimony, I just want to say; the
only solution to homelessness is housing, period. No
matter how you slice it; what conditions come with
it; what life experiences, it's housing.

So I hope there are some HRA people here, but the application itself is approved for a six-month period, so one concern is that that's a very short amount of time to have an application approved for; it creates a little bit of strain on some of the providers who are having to redo applications and also psychiatric providers who have to redo evaluations on a six-month basis. So expanding it to a year would help tremendously, as evaluations are typically done on a yearly basis; most clients do see their providers on a monthly basis otherwise.

And then I know one population that we don't particularly talk about that I like to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 emphasize is a really vulnerable population that we

170

3 kind of often forget is sex offenders who kind of

have no form of getting housing and oftentimes, when

5 you were talking about the 1:3 ratio of who's getting

6 picked, oftentimes sex offenders are not the first on

7 | the list to get picked and there's obviously other

8 reasons around that, other policies that kind of

9 contradict; whether there is a park or a school,

10 those things, but I would like to see in the New York

11 15/15 some policy around helping sex offenders.

CHAD GHOLIZADEH: Good afternoon. My name is Chad Gholizadeh and I'm the Senior Policy and Advocacy Associate for Economic and Housing Stability at the Citizens' Committee for Children (CCC).

CCC is a 73-year-old independent multiissue child advocacy organization dedicated to
ensuring every New York child is healthy, housed,
educated, and safe. I'd like to thank Chairs Levin
and Williams and the City Council for holding today's
hearing on supportive housing and Breaking Ground and
the residents of The Schermerhorn for hosting us
today. And I'll just be summarizing my written
testimony.

1

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

Supporting housing is a cost-effective need to reduce homelessness; placement in supportive housing reduces the use of costly emergency services and provides stability of families; residents have a lease, they receive necessary services and most importantly, their rent is affordable. thousands of units of supportive housing have been created, homelessness in New York City has reached historic levels and the need for additional supportive housing units is dire. We're grateful for the Mayor and the City Council's longstanding support for supportive housing and the development of additional units of supportive housing to help New Yorkers, and we're particularly pleased for the commitment to create 15,000 affordable additional supportive housing units over the next 15 years. hope this commitment is the start of a concerted effort in cooperation with the State to ensure that New York City and the State have supportive housing units needed, and CCC respectfully submits the following recommendation: that the State Legislature and the Governor must finalize and sign the MOU to release nearly \$2 billion in financing for funding

2.2

2.3

2 the creation of affordable and supportive housing as 3 soon as possible.

We appreciate the City Council's efforts to date and urge you to continue to push your state counterparts to expedite the release of these funds and the start of housing development. We also wish to ensure that the City's supportive housing commitment includes sufficient units for families with children and youth aging out of foster care.

The City's supportive housing recommendations include a call to create a vulnerability index to target housing applicants most in need of supportive housing; families with children are now 70% of the people living in DHS shelters.

In addition, youth aging out of foster care are struggling to maintain housing in the city.

First, CCC urges the City to ensure that the rollout of new units of supportive housing includes a significant number of units for families and youth; second, CCC calls on the City to ensure that any vulnerability index takes into account the barriers to housing that all members of the family face, including the mental or developmental disabilities of a family's children and whether a family is involved

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

in the child welfare system in order to accurately assess their vulnerability. The coordinated

assessment and placement system would offer an

opportunity to identifying families who would benefit from supportive housing and this will ensure that the

7 supply of affordable housing will be able to truly

8 serve the families most in need.

2.2

2.3

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

CRAIG HUGHES: Hi. Thank you, Chairs

Levin and Williams and members of the Committee for

the opportunity to testify today. My name is Craig

Hughes and I'm the Policy Analyst at the Coalition

for Homeless Youth.

Coalition for Homeless Youth has
advocated for the needs of homeless youth for nearly
40 years; our coalition is comprised of 67 providers
of services to homeless youth across New York State,
including 29 members in New York City. We commend
the City Council for scheduling a truly necessary
oversight hearing on supportive housing. I'll also
add into that that in a former life I spent about
five years smotherly [sic] submitting supportive
housing applications with homeless young people from

2 the street into a placement and from a provider

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 perspective can certainly testify to the absolute

4 importance of this intervention; it is a lifesaver,

5 hands down. Then with that there are complications

6 and it can be difficult, without falling into

7 Nimbyism, to dive into that consensus and talk about

8 some of the difficulties that are in the supportive

9 housing process to make sure the most vulnerable

10 people are able to access housing. So I'm going to

11 -- I submitted written testimony, but I am going to

12 | just highlight some particular parts of it.

First, probably important to start with some context and Councilman Levin, I want to truly thank you for bringing up the question of rental subsidies for homeless youth. According to the most reasonable and comprehensive study on the matter, there are more than 3,800 homeless youth in New York City on any given night; currently, homeless youth are one of the only homeless subpopulations in New York City that has been left with virtually no option for permanent housing to exit homelessness. Youth relying on DYCD's homeless youth programs have no access to local housing subsidies like LINC; these young people do not receive any priority access to

2 New York City Housing Authority units or priority

3 access to Section 8 subsidies. Youth eligible for

4 supportive housing also face significant barriers in

5 | accessing the units.

2.2

2.3

As a result of the durst of permanency options, many vulnerable youth continue to cycle in and out of homelessness. As an example of what I would consider a kind of systematic deprioritization in the City's Social Services Department of Homeless Youth, we see today that HRA testified that of the 550 units coming online, they're only coming from DHS; not the DYCD system, and that Dan Tietz testified, when asked about whether LINC would be provided to homeless youth that "we are working on it." We're three years deep into an administration where homeless youth have been provided no out of shelter, no out and given that that's the case, three years is three years too long and that needs to happen.

City data shows that in FY16 less than 1% of those discharged from DYCD crisis beds moved into their own apartment, less than 1%. Only about 10% of those discharged from tilt transitional beds, longer-term transitional beds, moved into their own

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 176 apartment. For youth in crisis beds, nearly 30% of those discharged went directly back into crisis beds.

2.2

2.3

Supportive housing, which is an intervention available particularly for young people aging out of foster care and for those with mental health disabilities and other disabilities is decisive; however, homeless youth have had a particularly had time reaching that resource.

I'm going to bullet-point just a handful of difficulties that come up, and to be clear, I do this in a spirit of deep support of supportive housing, but also an acknowledgement of how marginalized homeless youth have been within the homeless services systems, including access to supportive housing.

First, there has to be an acknowledgement that New York City has a chronically homeless youth population and that many of these individuals would greatly benefit from supportive housing. Often when we speak of supportive housing for youth it solely relates to many young people as they relate to the foster care system, yet there's also a significant population of homeless young people who have been homeless for long periods of time, using DYCD

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 177 resources and many of them who don't. New York City provides only a handful of beds specifically for these young people and must provide more. As importantly, young adults should not be limited to age-specific units. Our providers have often found that young people in supportive housing buildings that are not in age-specific buildings do very well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Secondly, and this gets to a more controversial issue, but I am nothing appreciative that it was approached, particularly by you, Council Member Levin, nor was it completely answered by the Administration, which is that creaming and cherrypicking, or what gets called creaming of applicants by providers is a pervasive issue that needs to be addressed. Sometimes young people are denied in supportive housing due to their age, although when you get written responses as to why that occurs it doesn't say that and sometimes you get verbal statement of that. But creaming or cherry-picking also occurs by screening out applicants through quick, highly subjective and surface-level claims of issues like lack of insight into mental illness, not being compliant with medication or because an applicant has a recent history of substance use or

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 178 because they are simply deemed too mentally ill. One way of looking at this is that many of those most in need may be the least likely to access the resource. The question -- uh well, answer that here actually. There is a need for oversight of denials and a mechanism to ensure that eligible applicants aren't being inappropriately screened out of permanent housing via questionable assessments; there's also need for some type of mechanism through which applicants can challenge denials of supportive housing. It's of note that supportive housing denials are not subject to fair hearings or any other administrative procedure for further review -- if you are denied, you are denied. Advocating for a client whose denial appears inappropriate -- and I can testify to this personally -- is typically an exercise in futility, including going up the City's chain of command.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Homeless young adults relying exclusively on DYCD resources appear to have a harder time getting referrals for interviews than young people in DHS beds; that is pretty clear today from what we've seen DHS prioritize within the supportive housing referral system.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Youth-specific units are often not subsidized with permanent housing subsidies that have the potential to become mobile and youth going into their mid 20s often find themselves being pushed to leave without a clear option in front of them. future youth-specific units, if they are age limited -- and we would argue they should not be -- there needs to be access to an ongoing rental subsidy upon exit. The notion that was mentioned today of a culture moving on, from landlord-speak, that could also mean a culture of tenant harassment and I think that's something we need to be very weary of.

Finally, supportive housing providers are sometimes heavy-handed with tenants who suffer with disabilities that impact their daily functionality. For example, some supportive housing landlords are quick to move for evictions over issues where a more appropriate response is supportive and compassionate. Other supportive housing providers move much slower toward eviction and tend to focus on providing supports and assistance rather than a threatening warning letter or bringing someone to Housing Court. We would hope that the City, in its efforts to support the housing of vulnerable people, will

2.2

2.3

2 encourage best practices that emphasize the support 3 in supportive housing rather than sick [sic].

To conclude, the Coalition for Homeless

Youth is appreciative of the new supportive housing

units planned to come online and very appreciative of

this very needed hearing; we're in hopes that

challenges mentioned above that I just went through

will be taken into account in all forthcoming units

and also that there will be continuing oversight and

hearings as these units are developed. Thank you.

MADGE ROSENBERG: Hi, I'm Madge

Rosenberg, Co-Chair of Community Board 7's Health and

Human Services Committee and I'm going to speak about

something on a very micro level and that's -- in our

neighborhood we have several supportive housing

units, many, and over the years there has been

neighborhood opposition to some, especially since

it's compacted into a small part of the neighborhood.

But one particular building, which is Rustin House,

which took ten years to finally be converted under

NY/NY III, with a great deal of support from Gale

Brewer, who had been our councilperson, and

subsequently from our present Councilperson, Helen

Rosenthal, that's really turned into a success story

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much for your testimony. I want to figure out why is it concentrated in a certain part of the community?

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MADGE ROSENBERG: Because there were a lot of illegal hotels and buildings in bad condition; the neighborhood's changed very, very much; it's been gentrified the years I've lived here enormously, so

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

182

2 | that there were parts that still had either rent-

3 controlled buildings or illegal hotels and the

4 | landlord saw a way to make money by doing this, so

5 some of them have been turned into shelters, but the

6 community really doesn't want shelters so much as we

7 | want supportive, permanent housing.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well thank you for all the work that you continue to do. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to thank this panel as well for all the work that you do and for bringing up really important issues that we're going to continue to follow up on; I think that we've identified, through your testimony; through the public's testimony issues that need further clarification and further follow-up and so we look forward to working with you all as advocates and providers to follow up on those.

I want to acknowledge and welcome my colleagues, Council Member Robert Cornegy of Brooklyn and Vanessa Gibson of the Bronx who are here as members of the Housing and Buildings Committee and the General Welfare Committee. And I want to thank again all of you that came here to testify and for the good and important work that you continue to do.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

I want to acknowledge, because this was an off-site hearing it was a little bit harder to produce than our regular City Hall hearings; I want to acknowledge our Sergeants at Arms, John Biondo and Mohamed Arshad; I want to acknowledge Tonya Cyrus and Andrea Vazquez of the General Welfare Committee; Guillermo Patino and Jose Conde of the Housing and Buildings Committee; Carlos Coreno [sp?] and Jenny Berger of The Speaker's staff. I want to acknowledge Breaking Ground, CUCS, Matthew Brookshire from The Actors Fund who's our like studio manager here, and let's see...

CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sorry, and you did ask me, but Sarah Gastelum was here also from the Committee.

and the camera operators who are here from NYCTV who

are putting this broadcasting online and on the

television. Jumaane, you have anything?

I did just want to make one point,

because I agree that permanent housing period is the

answer to homelessness; I just want to be careful

about some of the [inaudible] that comes with

rejecting shelters as well, but we definitely prefer

permanent housing, but there probably needs to be

some place for people to stay until those housing

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 184
2	units come online and sometimes we see similar
3	Nimbyism that we see in the past when it comes to
4	shelters.
5	MADGE ROSENBERG: There is a shelter back
6	to back with this building.
7	CO-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, no
8	problem. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Also acknowledging
10	Ashley and I don't know her last name from
11	Actors Fund and Julie Bero, my Legislative Director
12	as well. Okay. Well with that, thank you all; this
13	hearing is adjourned.
14	[gavel]
15	[background comments]
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 31, 2017