CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

November 17, 2016 Start: 10:21 a.m. Recess: 12:36 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson
CARLOS MENCHACA
Co-Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Karen Koslowitz Rory I. Lancman Mathieu Eugene Daniel Dromm Peter A. Koo

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nisha Agarwal Commissioner Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Elisa Gahng Assistant General Counsel New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Lorelei Salas Commissioner New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Amit S. Bagga
Deputy Commissioner
External Affairs
New York City Department of Consumer
Affairs

James Hurst Director of Enforcement New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Tamala Boyd General Counsel New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Camille Mackler
Director of Legal Initiatives
New York Immigration Coalition

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Emily Echeverria Student Cardoza Immigration Justice Clinic

Matthew Blaisdell Representative American Immigration Lawyers Association, New York Chapter

Audrey Carr Director of Immigration Legal Services NYC

Narbada Chhetri Director of Organizing and Advocacy Adhikaar

Helen Drook Senior Staff Attorney New York Legal Assistance Group

Raluca Oncioiu
Director
Immigration Legal Services and
New York State New Americans Hotline,
Catholic Charities Community Services,
Archdiocese of New York

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Melissa Brennan Supervising Attorney Immigrants' Rights Practice
Urban Justice Center's Community
Development Project

Jojo Annobil Executive Director Immigrant Justice Corps

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 5 2 [sound check] 3 [pause] 4 [gavel] 5 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning. 6 name is Rafael Espinal and I'm the Chair of the Consumer Affairs Committee. Today I am joined by 8 other members of the Committee; we have Julissa 9 Ferreras and Julian Ferreras from Queens [laughter] 10 and I am proud to be here today with my Co-Chair 11 Carlos Menchaca from Brooklyn. 12 Today the Committee will conduct a joint 13 hearing with the Committee on Immigration, Int. No. 14 746; a bill that would amend local law in relation to 15 preventing the unauthorized practice of immigration 16 law. 17 New York City has long been and remains a 18 major entry point for immigrants to the United 19 New York City is home to approximately 3.1 States. 20 million immigrants, the largest immigrant population 21 of any city in the nation. Immigrants make up about 2.2 30% of our city's population and 46% of its 23 workforce. Immigrants have high employment rates and 24 account for nearly half of the city's small business

entrepreneurs. The contributions of immigrants to

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 6 our city are clear: according to the 2010 census, there are nearly four million immigrants in the U.S. and approximately a third of this population arrived in 2000 or later. Between the growing numbers of immigrants, the increasingly complex and changing immigration law and policy and a longstanding backlog at the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services for processing applications, there is a high demand for assistance with immigration-related issues.

2.2

2.3

Pursuant to federal law, only certain categories of professionals may represent applicants in immigration proceedings -- attorneys in good standing and individuals and organizations accredited and recognized by the Board of Immigration Appeals. Unfortunately, there is a shortage of affordable legal services which leads many immigrants to seek assistance elsewhere. Immigration Service Providers (ISPs) who do not meet the previously mentioned criteria may assistant immigrants with various services, including translating and/or transcribing information onto forms, helping secure documents, assisting in filling out and completing forms, but they may not engage in activity that may amount to offering legal advice.

2.2

2.3

For example, they may not select forms to be filled out or explain immigration options.

Unfortunately, some ISPs take advantage of immigrants, using the term "Notario," which in the U.S. refers to a Notary Public, but in some Latin American countries refers to a person with legal training. They overcharge clients, charge for serves they do not or cannot perform, offer guarantees of outcomes they cannot control, and make mistakes which may jeopardize an applicant's immigration status.

Existing state and local laws impose specific regulations on ISPs, including a requirement that ISPs enter into written contracts, post specific notices in their offices and include specific information in any advertisements, retain all documents for three years, maintain a surety bond, and refrain from certain prohibited acts such as guaranteeing outcomes, among other requirements.

The bill before us today, Int. 746, would update local law to track with the Immigrant

Assistance Service Enforcement Act, passed by the State Legislation and signed by the Governor in 2014.

Int. 746 would amend local law to require ISPs to post signage in English and also in the six most

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 8

commonly spoken languages in the city and any other language in which services are offered. Int. 746

will require any advertising of services to appear in English as well as the language in which the advertisement appears. Int. 746 would also forbid advertising that expressly or implicitly guarantees any particular government action, such as citizenship or residency approval.

Mardworking immigrants who contribute so much to the vibrancy of our city deserve fair treatment and protection from bad actors. The election of Donald Trump to the Presidency of the United States -- still hard to say that -- in part of anti-immigrant agenda has raised concerns among immigrants and the advocacy community. While specific shifts in policy and legislation remains to be seen, the altered [sic] political environment at the federal level makes local actions to protect vulnerable immigrants all the more relevant and needed.

The Committee looks forward to hearing the testimony of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, the advocacy

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 9 community and other interested parties regarding the bill before us today.

2.2

2.3

I would now like to pass the mic to my Co-Chair Carlos Menchaca to say a few words.

CO-CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Chair Espinal, and buenos dias a todos.

My name is Carlos Menchaca and I am the Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Immigration. And before going any further, I want to welcome our Immigration Committee members -- Danny Dromm... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Rafael Espinal.

CO-CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: and Rafael

Espinal [laughter] -- I like the double duty here;

that's power right there. And I will be introducing

more members as they come in.

So whether or not you supported one candidate or the other, and it seems safe to say that there are unprecedented levels of uncertainty among American people as a whole, and in New York we have a responsibility to be bold in addressing these issues on the ground that we're hearing in our schools, in our churches, in our streets; in our homes and we need to be unafraid and not shy about this commitment

that we have to each other, and so we've had many

conversations with our agencies about what we can do

as New Yorkers, as a City of New York and the powers

that we have and the brain trust that we have in the

city, and this bill is just one example of what we

7 can do here today.

2.2

2.3

So there are many groups, and specifically immigrants, LGBTQ and Muslim communities that were singled out, villainized and threatened with harsh treatment by elected candidates -- one specifically -- and as a result, the fear already felt by communities continues to grow; the fear of deportation and removal of family members is now more palpable than ever before and we're embracing for a very, very difficult future. And sadly, there are many ways for folks that want to take advantage of this to exploit and capitalize on this fear and we will hold them accountable.

One of the most common predatory schemes targeting immigrants relates to the provision of immigration services, both legal and non-legal. In the Untied States, only licensed attorneys may provide immigration legal advice and counsel. The only expectations to this clearly defined rule are

2 individuals who work at a qualifying nonprofit

3 organization under the direct supervision of a

4 licensed attorney and who have undergone rigorous,

5 rigorous training and obtained proper accreditation

6 from the Board of Immigration Appeals, commonly known

7 as BIA. Aside from licensed attorneys and BIA

8 accredited representatives, no other person is

9 authorized to provide legal advice or representation

10 period.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

The legislation being discussed today,

Int. 746, aims to combat immigration fraud by barring

the use of titles such as "Notario," and you heard

from Chair Espinal about the connection to this word

and how they use this to bring people in; it misleads

an assistance seeker into believing that a provider

is an attorney or a BIA accredited representative or

otherwise authorized to provide immigration legal

advice and representation when the provider has no

ability to do that.

The Council acknowledges one of the best ways to combat fraud is to ensure access to quality legal representation, and we've done that. And in order to make trustworthy immigration legal services accessible to immigrant New Yorkers, the Council,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 12 this City Council has funded multiple initiatives to provide free legal services: (1) the New York Immigrant Family Unity Project (NYIFUP) provides legal counsel to immigrants in detention who face This is now a model, thanks to our deportation. advocates and our administration and our Council; across the city people are looking to us for that leadership and are developing that in their own cities; (2) the Unaccompanied Minors and Family Initiative that provides representation to unaccompanied children and mothers who fled the extreme issues in Central America and seek refuge in the United States during removal proceedings. We're putting lawyers in front of them; (3) Key to the City, which brings community partners and free legal services into neighborhood across the five boroughs; that's happening right now and we put more money in expansion this year; (4) CUNY Citizenship Now!, which brings attorneys into Council Member District offices. I and some of the members on this dais right now have two full days dedicated to legal services. In my office right now people can't get an appointment until January; people want services and are coming to us, and they're trusting us. The City

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

Council now seeks to enhance enforcement mechanisms for those who seek to defraud immigrants seeking immigration services.

So today the Committee on Immigration in partnership with Consumer Affairs will look at existing efforts that we have right now combat immigration fraud and explore how we can improve enforcement and community education, 'cause those two things need to work together -- enforcement and education -- about fraudulent immigration providers. We will hear from NYC Department of Consumer Affairs as well as the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs on their efforts to ensure City agencies [sic] and the Committee on Immigration and the Council will continue conversations with advocates and City agencies as we proceed.

And I just wanna emphasize how important it is that we continue to be bold about what we need to do; we are in a new climate today and new ideas need to surface to be able to challenge our own hesitations that we have, to reorganize our agencies and our commitment through legal matters to make sure that we are prepared for our community.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

And so I wanna say thank you to everyone here today and the sponsor, most especially, Council Member Danny Dromm. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Council
Member Menchaca. I want to just recognize some of my
colleagues from the Consumer Affairs Committee; we
have Rory Lancman from Queens and we have Vinnie
Gentile from Brooklyn. I would also like to give
Councilman Dromm, the sponsor of the bill, a chance
to say a few words.

much Chairs Espinal and Menchaca for hearing
Int. 746.

Our country, and especially our immigrant families, friends and neighbors must confront a frightening reality after this past Election Day.

Immigrants, understandably, will be searching for guidance during these uncertain times; sadly, many will fall prey to scammers. In my neighborhood,

"Notarios" are a common sight. Although "Notarios" cannot practice law in the United States, they can in many Spanish-speaking countries. Scammers take advantage of this confusion to defraud immigrants, often promising green cards in exchange for

2 exorbitant payments; it is a sad situation that my
3 office sees with depressing regularity.

The devastation to immigrants and their families runs far beyond the loss of hard-earned dollars. There are problems caused by bad legal advice, and just as often, lack any action that characterize these Immigration Service Providers—deadlines are missed, documents misfiled, losing legal arguments overstated, winning legal arguments understated. For the victims, the nightmare set into motion by these scammers often ends in deportation or worse.

The problem is not confined to Spanishspeaking communities; just as vulnerable are
Bangladeshis in Jackson Heights, Guyanese in Cypress
Hills, Arabs in Bay Ridge, and Chinese in Flushing.
Collectively, immigrants in New York City alone lose
millions of dollars to these unscrupulous hucksters.
Beyond translation and other very basic services,
these Immigration Service Providers are in no way
qualified to help individuals navigate notoriously
complex immigration law. Only lawyers and federally
accredited organizations are able to do this.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

My hope is that Int. 746 will not only induce the Department of Consumer Affairs and other relevant City agencies to step up, but also will spur action and especially coordination among all interested parties — the Department of Homeland Security, immigration courts, federal court, and state and local law enforcement.

If New York City is to remain a beacon of hope and opportunity for immigrants, we must tackle this problem as a city. Albany has already asked it to close many of the gaps in state law and now it is time for our city to step up and recognize that immigrant consumers deserve the strongest possible law against these insidious practices. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you

Councilman Dromm. With that said, I would like to

call up the first panel. We have Commissioner Salas,

we have Deputy Commissioner from DCA Bagga; we have

from DCA the General Counsel, Tamala Boyd; we have

Director of Enforcement, James Hurst. And we'd also

like to call up the Commissioner of the Mayor's

Office of Immigrant Affairs, Nisha Agarwal and we

have Elisa Gahng.

2.2

2.3

So please raise your right hand so I can administer the oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions?

[collective affirmations]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. You may begin.

NISHA AGARWAL: Thank you to Chair

Menchaca, Chair Espinal and the members of the

Committees on Immigration and Consumer Affairs. My

name is Nisha Agarwal and I am the Commissioner of

the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs.

My testimony today covers the Mayor's

Office of Immigrant Affairs (MOIA) and the

Administration's commitment and efforts to protect

immigrant New Yorkers from fraudulent and

unscrupulous Immigration Service Providers. This

Administration has made an unprecedented commitment

to New York City's immigrants and we at MOIA have

collaborated with our colleagues at the Department of

Consumer Affairs (DCA), and others within the City

and the immigrant community, to not only raise

awareness about immigration services fraud, but also

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

to provide free, safe immigration legal services. We recognize that these services are crucial to ensuring the stability and safety of our immigrant communities.

The uncertainty following the election can create fertile ground for fraudulent providers and fear among immigrant communities.

I want to reference the Mayor's recent statements by reaffirming that New York City always has been and always will be an open and welcoming city for immigrants and refugees. We will fight to protect the safety and health of all New Yorkers, regardless of immigration status, and work to ensure that all residents can access the services they need, including education, health care, emergency food and shelter, and public safety resources. We are committed to working together with our partners in the City Council and the community to confront any action that threatens New Yorkers.

For this reason, we are in support of
Int. 0746 and look forward to continuing to work with
our community and government partners to address the
issue of immigration services fraud.

2.2

2.3

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Immigration services fraud is a serious 2 3 issue that can result in the loss to a victim of 4 thousands of dollars and may place an individual's immigration status and ability to remain in the U.S. Immigration services fraud often takes 6 in jeopardy. 7 the form of a non-lawyer immigration service 8 provider, or an ISP, who claims to be qualified in completing immigration forms and giving legal advice in exchange for money. In many instances the ISP 10 11 poses as an attorney. After earning the trust of the 12 immigrant, the ISP may make false promises about the 13 immigrant's eligibility for benefits, file false 14 papers, or simply does nothing after being paid. 15 result can be disastrous for the individual, as this 16 deception can lead him or her to unknowingly submit a 17 fraudulent immigration application to the federal 18 government, placing their case in jeopardy and 19 potentially even leading to deportation. At the very 20 least, the immigrant will also have lost upwards of

We believe that one of the keys to combating immigration fraud is community education that focuses on both prevention and encouraging victims of immigration fraud to come forward and

thousands of dollars in the process.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 20 report the crime. It can be difficult for anyone who has been the victim of a fraud to come forward to report that fraud. For victims of immigration services fraud, in particular, there are often additional challenges that can discourage them from coming forward. Immigrants may be fearful of government and law enforcement generally and fearful of being reported to immigration officials as a result of coming forward. In addition, many immigrant community members are reluctant to report immigration fraud when the ISP is someone who is deeply embedded in their community, either through friends or family members. Lastly, many victims of immigration services fraud do not even realize that they have been defrauded for various reasons. may be because they have no way of knowing that the benefit for which they've applied does not exist. other instances, the immigrant receives communication from the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) that leads them to believe that their application is in process, not realizing that their application was fraudulent. Because immigration law rivals the tax code in complexity and because

immigration applications can be a multi-year-long

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 process, many immigrants do not realize that they've

3 been defrauded until years after the incident

4 occurred.

2.2

2.3

Understanding these challenges, MOIA has dedicated our efforts to: (1) working with our colleagues inside and outside of government to raise community awareness on this issue; (2) creating simple, easy pathways to filing complaints; and (3) providing safe, free immigration legal services so that individuals know they have trusted providers to turn to.

I will discuss each of these areas.

First, we work with our partners at DCA, other law enforcement agencies, and community-based organizations to coordinate efforts and raise community awareness on the issue. Our office is an active member of the Protecting Immigrant New Yorkers task force, which is a task force of government and community-based organizations convened by the New York Immigration Coalition, dedicated to strengthening enforcement against the unauthorized practice of immigration law through enhanced coordination between government entities. We meet monthly with member like the district attorneys'

2 offices immigrant affairs units, the New York State

3 Attorney General's office, federal agencies, and

4 community-based organizations like Make the Road New

5 York, the New York Legal Assistance Group, Catholic

6 Charities, and others to share efforts around

7 combating immigration services fraud and jointly

8 promoting the rights of immigrant consumers when

9 receiving immigration services.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MOIA and DCA are also in a task force with the Office of the New York State Attorney General. As part of this partnership, we recently co-hosted a three-day telethon and media campaign in partnership with the Hispanic Federation and Telemundo. During these three days, the Hispanic Federation coordinated a media campaign on Telemundo and Univision radio and television programs that provided viewers with information about their rights when receiving immigration services and encouraged individuals to call the hotline to find out if their rights may have been violated. Callers who may have been victims of immigration fraud were referred to file complaints with the New York State Office of New Americans Hotline and were able to make an appointment for a free, safe legal immigration

2 screening with qualified providers. We received over

3 900 calls, referred 292 individuals to file a

4 | complaint, and referred 542 individuals to safe

5 immigration legal services providers. We also

6 invited our partners from the Protecting Immigrant

7 New Yorkers task force to participate in media

8 interviews discussing our joint efforts to combat

9 immigration fraud.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We also work regularly with members of the ethnic media to share timely anti-fraud information and resources. For example, in July of this year, shortly after the Supreme Court decision that halted the executive action programs, we quickly organized a community and ethnic media roundtable to disseminate clear information about the Supreme Court decision, emphasize the need for community members to be wary of fraudulent providers, and tell individuals how to get free, reliable immigration help. A representative from DCA spoke on the roundtable and shared tips on how community members can protect themselves against fraud when seeking help.

In addition, MOIA has a robust outreach team that is regularly deployed to community events, town halls, parent meetings, and faith-based

2 organizations within the immigrant community with up-

3 to-date information about changes in immigration law

4 over which there may be confusion in the community.

5 They are given fraud alerts on how to refer community

6 members to the New Americans Hotline to file a

7 complaint and how to refer individuals to safe

8 immigration legal services.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Second, MOIA also works with our city agency partners to ensure that community members can file complaints against fraudulent providers simply and easily. We have worked with 311 to make sure that anyone who calls 311 with a question regarding immigration fraud is automatically transferred to the New York State New Americans Hotline where they can file a full complaint against.

We have also worked with the New York
City Police Department to ensure that police officers
are trained on the topic and know how to recognize
the crime when it occurs. In February of this year,
we worked with the NYPD to issue an Operations Order
that directs all NYPD officers to provide information
to fraud victims about how to file a complaint with
the New York State New Americans Hotline. The
Operations Order also directs officers to accept

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION police complaint reports, if the officer believes it

25

3 to be required. Additionally, in June, MOIA provided

4 training at the Police Academy for all members of the

NYPD's Community Affairs Bureau. The training

provided information about common scams and how to 6

help victims who seek assistance from the police.

8 MOIA has also met with the NYPD's New Immigrant

Outreach Unit to discuss potential shared outreach

opportunities between the NYPD immigrant community 10

liaisons and MOIA's outreach staff. 11

1

2

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Lastly, MOIA and this Administration have invested significantly in providing safe, free immigration legal services at trusted community-based organizations like schools, CGOs and libraries through our ActionNYC and NYCitizenship programs. ActionNYC provides safe, free immigration legal services in community-based locations and NYCitizenship provides free citizenship services at select libraries throughout the city. We are working with our partners at the New York Immigration Coalition to continue training ActionNYC providers on spotting and addressing fraud.

We at MOIA are very aware that immigrant New Yorkers are particularly vulnerable to scams and COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 26 fraudulent Immigration Service Providers, especially in light of the current political climate. why we continue to encourage anyone who has a question about their immigration case or status to get free, safe immigration legal help through ActionNYC. With over three million immigrant New Yorkers, there is a lot of work still left to be done to educate our community members about their rights when receiving immigration services. I want to recognize the work of the Committees on Immigration and Consumer Affairs for paying attention to these issues that impact immigrant New Yorkers. forward to continuing to work with you all on Int. 0746 and on addressing issues of immigration

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LORELEI SALAS: Good morning Chairs

Espinal and Menchaca as well as the members of the

Committees on Consumer Affairs and Immigration. I am

Lorelei Salas, Commissioner of the Department of

Consumer Affairs. I thank the Council for inviting

DCA to testify about Int. 746, which would strengthen

protections for immigrant New Yorkers who turn to

Immigration Assistance Service Providers (ISPs) for

help, but who are unfortunately often offered false

services fraud together. Thank you so much.

promises and cheated out of hundreds, if not
thousands, of their hard-earned dollars.

2.2

2.3

Protecting immigrant communities has always been a top priority for DCA and for this administration. There is now a special urgency for all of us to ensure that we're doing all that we can to protect our city's immigrants and today we reaffirm our longstanding and ongoing commitment to do so.

There are many important, proactive steps that the Administration is taking to ensure that our city's immigrants are shielded from any dangerous shifts in federal policies, as our colleagues from MOIA have just outlined. As an agency, DCA has always been and remains deeply committed to serving and protecting all of the city's immigrants, regardless of status. The bill we are here to discuss, Int. 746, will enhance some of the ways in which we do this work. Before we offer specific feedback on this legislation, the goal of which we support, we would like to take this opportunity to present to the Council different ways in which we serve immigrants and the proactive steps we are taking to ensure their rights are protected.

2.2

2.3

I'd just like to share with you that my personal experience has shaped the work that I do today. I arrived from Peru at the age of 19, and like many other immigrants, I struggled to learn the language and to adjust to living in a new country. Every job that I've held in my adulthood, whether it was fighting to recover unpaid wages or supervising teams of immigration attorneys, have really shown me the remarkable challenges that immigrants face, in addition to my own, right. So I am deeply committed; my agency is very committed to finding solutions and working with you all to finding solutions for this problem.

Since I joined the agency, we have launched a new mission, which is to protect and enhance the daily economic lives of New Yorkers to create thriving communities.

We know that immigrants are the very backbone of New York City's economy, and as such, the core constituency that we serve. They are the small business owners that come seeking licenses from us, they are the employees who seek us out to ensure that they are getting the sick leave to which they are entitled, they are the New Yorkers who need help

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2 getting out of debt and on a path to financial

2.2

2.3

3 stability, and, of course, they are the consumers

4 that turn to us when they have been defrauded,

cheated or otherwise taken advantage of.

It is for this reason that DCA has,
particularly under Mayor de Blasio's leadership,
invested enormous resources in making information and
services available to immigrants. First and
foremost, we do not ever ask anyone we serve about
their immigration status. This, as you know, is a
citywide directive and we adhere to it very strictly.
It is critical that all New Yorkers know that their
City government is a safe, trusted place for them to
receive information and services.

Our front-line intake staff speak a multitude of languages, as do our inspectors.

Information about key laws that we enforce, such as Paid Sick Leave, is available in as many as 26 different languages, and nearly all of our online business inspection checklists are translated into several languages. Additionally, all of our key public-facing educational materials are available in languages other than English.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our investment in serving immigrants does not end there. A substantial number of the estimated 15,000 employees for whom we've obtained restitution under the Pick Sick Leave Law are likely immigrants, and we work closely with groups such as Make the Road New York, the Domestic Workers Alliance and Adhikaar to ensure that we are receiving and pursuing complaints. In the coming months and years, we will continue to proactively enforce several municipal workplace laws that cover the undocumented, such as the Paid Sick Leave Law and the Paid Caregiver Law, and we will be paying particular attention to vulnerable immigrant communities and the vulnerabilities that immigrant workers face. will also continue to send a very strong message to employers that exploitation of workers' rights because of his or her immigration status will not be tolerated.

In addition to these efforts, DCA has, of course, also played a small role in combating one of the most pernicious threats to immigrants in our city -- immigration fraud. Far too many of our city's residents have found themselves "out of status" and therefore vulnerable; in need of assistance, with no

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

31

2 clear direction in which to turn. Lured by false

3 promises of work authorization, green cards, or

4 citizenship, they pay hundreds, if not thousands, of

5 dollars to individuals, many of whom are not

6 attorneys, who scam them out of their hard-earned

7 wages and leave them with nothing, or in many cases,

as many of you have said before, in a worse situation

9 than they were originally in.

In 2004, when the City Council first passed a law mandating municipal oversight of ISPs, many, if not most, of these businesses were located in storefronts along bustling commercial streets in heavily immigrant neighborhoods. These entities were often co-located with other businesses heavily relied upon by immigrants, such as travel agencies or employment agencies. In Spanish-speaking communities, these businesses often advertised themselves using the term "Notario Publico," sending a signal to their neighbors that they were perhaps attorneys and therefore qualified to assist with immigration applications. Such obvious accessibility and advertising, we believe, rendered these providers attractive to many immigrants.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Since DCA first began inspecting ISPs, the nature and scope of their practices have changed considerably. We have heard from both advocates and our own inspectors that these ISPs are found far less commonly in storefronts on busy blocks, but instead are increasingly located in upper-floor suites of various types of buildings, far from the reach of our inspectors. We have also heard that these buildings are in neighborhoods such as Midtown, far from where immigrant communities are residentially concentrated. Many ISPs now even operate out of private residences. These changes, in addition to a variety of other constraints we face in being able to collect and assess key information that would determine whether or not fraud has actually occurred, make enforcement against ISPs a particular challenge for the agency.

Nonetheless, we have tried to do all that we can to combat fraud. For example, DCA has just released a brand new, easy-to-use ISP-specific complaint form that we will be distributing to a large number of legal service providers and the offices of every elected official in the City in the coming days -- and, of course, it will be made available in many languages. Additionally, we've

2 recently revamped and updated our inspection protocol
3 for ISPs so that our inspectors are better equipped

4 to identify and issue violations. We have also been

5 proactively responding to complaints received from

6 the New York State Office of New Americans, which

began sharing information with us more consistently

8 in recent weeks. Lastly, in an effort to ensure that

9 we are focused on reminding immigrants to stay away

10 | from problematic ISPs and steer them towards safe

11 | legal service providers, we will soon be

12 participating in a "Notario Fraud Day of Action" with

13 Make the Road New York, MOIA, and other key

14 stakeholders.

1

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are hopeful that such efforts, combined with additional complaint-driven, targeted enforcement will contribute in whatever way possible to the larger fight against criminal conduct in the provision of immigration services. I would like to now clarify DCA's jurisdiction over ISPs, and after that provide some specific comments on Int. 746.

ISPs are a specific subset of businesses or individuals who offer assistance with immigration issues for a fee. Importantly, this category does not include licensed immigration attorneys,

2 nonprofits charging nominal fees, child welfare

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 organizations recognized under New York State law,

4 organizations accredited by the Federal Board of

5 | Immigration Appeals, or elected officials or

6 government employees. ISPs are not qualified to

7 provide legal advice or services or represent their

8 customers in an immigration proceeding.

Current City law expressly prohibits

certain acts by ISPs. Providers may not intimate

that they can obtain special favors from immigration

officials, demand or retain fees in advance of

providing services or for services that are not

performed, fail to provide copies of filed forms to a

client, use terms like "Notario Publico" or

"Accredited Representative" that may mislead a

consumer about their qualifications, give legal

advice of any kind, promise an outcome that cannot be

guaranteed, charge for forms that are provided free

of charge by government agencies, or disclose

information to authorities without the customer's

consent.

The law also requires ISPs to complete a written agreement disclosing certain information to the consumer before any services may be provided. Ir

2 addition, ISPs must post signs disclosing to

3 consumers that the ISP is not a licensed attorney or

4 a representative accredited by the Board of

5 Immigration Appeals and that all consumers have the

6 right to cancel any contract within three days and

7 get back all documents and any money paid. Finally,

all ISPs must maintain a surety bond for the benefit

9 of customers.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In 2014, Governor Cuomo signed the

Immigrant Assistance Service Enforcement Act which,

among other things, expanded the scope of prohibited

conduct, updated the terms required to be included in

an ISP contract and the content of the required

disclosure signs, and increased civil penalties for

violation of the law.

Int. 746 would amend city law to reflect the changes made to state law and bring the two laws into conformity. DCA shares the Council's goal of better protecting immigrant New Yorkers who seek help from ISPs and we support this update.

While DCA does inspect ISPs in New York
City, our role, as indicated in the committee report
attendant to the original 2004 law that granted us
limited regulatory authority, makes clear that our

2 agency is one small part of a much larger,

3 comprehensive approach that is required to

4 effectively combat immigration fraud. The same

5 committee report makes clear that the ultimate goal

6 of the 2004 law was to channel the demand of

7 immigration services to safe, high-quality legal

8 service providers, which as our colleagues from MOIA

9 have testified, is accomplished primarily through

10 outreach and education.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Though DCA conducts ISP inspections on patrol, we primarily inspect on the basis of complaints, especially given the changes in the business practices of ISPs. When we receive a complaint or referral from the New York State Office of New Americans Hotline, for example, we will of course attempt to inspect the address or business about which we have received the complaint, and we will also inspect neighboring blocks to determine whether there are similar businesses operating nearby. When conducting ISP inspections, our inspectors look for the presence of certain signs, contracts and disclosures. We are not authorized, nor could we be authorized, to collect personally identifying information or copies of USCIS forms.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The complaints we receive do give us a basis for inspection and determining violations under the City's current law regulating Immigration Service Providers, but they do not, in fact, indicate a recent occurrence of actual immigration services fraud. As advocates, legal service providers, and criminal law enforcement agencies can tell you, victims of ISP fraud generally don't realize they are victims until months and years later. The many challenges any City agency would face in conducting ISP inspections is borne out by the numbers. Of the approximately 50 inspections that we have conducted based on complaints in 2016 to date, nearly 75% of them found that the business complained about had closed, moved, was inaccessible, was not providing immigration services, or could not be located by an inspector.

Despite these challenges, we remain deeply committed to conducting as many inspections as possible and receiving as many complaints as possible. Since January 2014, the agency has conducted 237 ISP inspections and we continue to conduct inspections based on complaints, and also we conduct patrol inspections. To increase our own

by the New York Immigration Coalition.

2.2

2.3

access to information about problematic issues, we
participate in the PINY Task Force, which is convened

At DCA, we consider our role in the regulation of ISPs to be important to our mission and we believe that Int. 746 includes helpful updates to the existing legal framework.

With respect to Int. 746, of which we are generally supportive, we would like to respectfully offer to the Council some amendments to consider.

While we agree that additional signs and disclosures are generally helpful, we believe that requiring businesses to post signs in the six most commonly spoken languages in the city as well as the languages in which they conduct their business might lead to a situation where the signs are excessive or confusing. I'm sure we can all agree that we want immigrant consumers, who are particularly vulnerable, if they are walking into a business of this type to seek assistance, to be able to easily understand the information being disclosed to them. As an administration deeply committed to language access, we commend the expansion of the number of languages in which ISPs are required to post signs, and in

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 39 particular, the requirement to post signs in the 2 3 languages in which business is being conducted by 4 that particular ISP. We would appreciate the opportunity to discuss with the Council ways in which 5 this particular provision might be clarified. 6 7 also would appreciate the opportunity to further discuss with the Council language in the bill that 8 9 could inadvertently impede the agency's investigatory processes. Specifically, while we already do not 10 11 collect any USCIS forms with personally identifying information, we can and do collect copies of 12 contracts between businesses and consumers, and we 13 would like to continue to be able to do so. 14 15 Additionally, we seek to work with the Council to 16 resolve a discrepancy in the bill, as it 17 simultaneously and correctly recognizes that ONA is 18 the primary destination for complaints, while also 19 requiring DCA reporting on these types of complaints. 20 Lastly, we have found it helpful in other 21 regulatory contexts, such as those concerning 2.2 employment agencies and tax preparers, for such

25 afforded by law to consumers before services are

businesses to be required to post a consumers' Bill

of Rights, which clearly outlines the protections

2.3

24

2 provided. DCA has already been working on a draft

3 Bill of Rights and would be happy to share a copy of

4 this with the Council for potential inclusion in

5 | Int. 746.

2.2

2.3

In conclusion, protecting immigrants, regardless of their status, is among DCA's highest priorities and we are firmly and strongly committed to ensuring that our most vulnerable residents have access to the services they need. We seek to work closely with all of our sister agencies, community partners, and, of course, the Council to bolster existing protections and create and implement any new ones we feel are needed. Specifically, we seek to collaborate with partners to do as much as we can to solve the problem of immigration fraud -- the answer to which is raising as much awareness as possible and proactively educating immigrants about the dangers of ISPs.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you so much, thank you for each of your testimonies.

First and foremost, I really wanna commend MOIA and DCA for the proposed Day of Action;

2.2

2.3

I think we've seen in the past that DCA has been very successful in spreading awareness not only across the city, but also getting information to people who need it most, so I think that's a great plan and I look forward to participating in that with you as well.

I guess my question is in regards to your concern with the signage posting; you're saying the six languages being posted can be confusing to the consumer? So you're proposing that we only post signs in the languages of what services will be provided?

[background comment]

AMIT S. BAGGA: Thank you Chair Espinal.

We think that it is very critical that in fact the languages that are used to disclose that information are in the languages where better [sic] the same as the services are being provided in. To perhaps include many additional languages on top of that could potentially be confusing to the consumer, but it's something we would be happy to discuss with you; we're not -- you know, we'd love to be able to have the conversation [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I guess I'm speaking about signage.

2 AMIT S. BAGGA: Uhm-hm.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So signage would usually need to be posted in the business, whether it be in the front or when you walk in...

AMIT S. BAGGA: Uhm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So you have an issue with having six different languages being posted on the wall?

LORELEI SALAS: I think our concern is to a consumer walking into the businesses, it may be too confusing, too distracting to have so many signs that they'll stop paying attention to that, and so it's more about looking at what's the incentive for a consumer to actually read something that's before them.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. So you're recommending that the sign be posted in the language that the provider is offering?

LORELEI SALAS: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. I've also heard a lot of complaints from my constituents about how much they're being charged by these service providers and oftentimes it could be in the hundreds, or I even heard in the thousands. Has DCA explored

difficult for DCA to access. Has there been a drop

24

25

world that we're in right now, in understanding the

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fraud that's happening, both with intentions to fraud and capture money, stealing from our immigrant communities, and those who are -- and I wanna talk about this too -- people who wanna help, there are a lot of people who wanna help and think that they can do it and offer their services and lead people down a bad path, with good intentions. And so I think what we're trying to figure out is, in this world, how do you understand it as an agency -- and I know we're focused on signage, we're focused on things that we can actually create regulation, to bring it to another level so that we can address some of these issues -- but I just want you to react to that kinda concept as an agency and how you understand that in this particular kind of service that's being provided.

LORELEI SALAS: The laws that are in place are good tools for us to monitor businesses; I think the challenge is that the consequences for the people who are actually being defrauded, the victims of fraud, are so great and so devastating that the work that we can do going after a business and issuing violations is not going to make that person whole, right. And so we do believe that there should

46

be a lot more emphasis on and education on outreach,

because once the fraud has been committed it's just

so much harder to provide assistance to that

5 particular individual.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CO-CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And on that note, and this is where... and I really appreciated Commissioner Agarwal's testimony too about just the work that we're doing at the City, MOIA really taking some real steps and doing the education, and I think we need to do that; we need to double down, triple our efforts on that work. And then we face another issue, which is, the various kinds of penetration of that education into communities, like our Chinese community, for example, that still, when you look at -- there's just different levels of absorption on this education -- and so maybe this is a question for Commissioner Agarwal about what are we doing in the face of that reality; do we have data that's kinda supporting that conclusion that, I think we feel in our communities, especially when we have Latino communities right next to Chinese communities and we know that these messages are not kind of going into that; how do we address that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NISHA AGARWAL: Yeah, I think, you know the outreach has to be multi-layered and that's what we've certainly been trying to do, so some of it is outreach about the resources that are available to report fraudulent behavior and encouraging people to do that, and there are many of those opportunities. I think also very important to tell New Yorkers, especially in this moment, that there are other better, safe resources to access in the community, right, and these are the legal services programs that you mentioned -- programs like ActionNYC, programs like NYCitizenship -- if you're choosing between two options, choose the safer, free, trusted option I think is a very important message, and then figuring out every channel we have as a Council, as an Administration with community partners to get that message out. It's gonna be workshops in the community; it's gonna be working closely with community and ethnic media; it's going to mean telethons, which are some of the strategies that we've been trying to use -- getting that message out repeatedly I think is what's really important to I think penetrating the community and having the message really filter out.

2 CO-CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And how do we

measure our success?

2.2

2.3

NISHA AGARWAL: I mean it's an excellent question; I think some of it would be questions around sort of tracking if we see that additional complaints come forward; I think some of it would be being able to just have, you know, even just general questions, like MOIA often gets questions from immigrant New Yorkers based on Know Your Rights workshop; we do things like that, sort of trying to get that feedback loop, and we can track some of that quantitatively; I think some of it is also working with your offices, so if you're hearing of patterns and practices and people are coming to you; sort of having that dialogue so that we can also then better address some of the needs.

thank you for that, and I just wanna let that point hang and for us to come back to it after the hearing, but I think that's gonna be a multi-prong approach and really making sure that... and we keep hearing this over and over that these complaints are... there's been a real chilling effect in our immigrant community right now, post-election that might not go through

49

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 therefore they're coming to members, 'cause we're out

the channels that we've been trying to create, and

4 there, we have relationships with our immigrant

5 communities, but it's gonna be important to make sure

6 | that we reopen and emphasize that line, 311, going

7 | into complaints.

So now shifting back, and this is my last question and I wanna make sure that -- Council Member Dromm has questions about the bill specifically -but in this world where complaints are gonna go down, we think, 'cause we're seeing it throughout all our different industries, in schools people are not gonna wanna complain; how do we think about the kind of multiple-prong approach between undercover investigations and interagency coordination with our DAs and our attorney general and how do we think about leveraging those in a way that makes it effective, comparing a kind of full-on investigation -- not relying on complaints, 'cause again, we're just not gonna get that right now. We know our immigrant community, they're afraid right now, they're not gonna complaint; how do we think about multiple approaches, undercover investigations, operations that are open -- we're sending teams to

50

2 these places and doing the work, the regulations that

3 are introduced in this legislation -- give us

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 opportunities to go and say, you don't have a sign;

5 | we're shutting you down right now. We know what

6 you're doing; you don't have a sign, we're gonna shut

7 | you down. How do we think about that; how can you

let us know how the agency's thinking about this?

LORELEI SALAS: DCA has in the past conducted undercover investigations, inspections and we're obviously still open to doing that; it would still be critical for us to be able to do targeted inspections, right; to be able to identify where we should be putting our resources, so for that we still will be reliant -- it's not on complaints, at least in the community-based organizations, people who are on the ground, who know at least, even if not specifically, the name of the business that people are going to, but at least, you know, the location nearby, you know and whether they're hearing about like more than one person going to the same place, because it is going to be challenging for us to see what these business are; the more that they hide and they're no longer, again, like visible to our inspectors. So our inspectors are used to the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 51 patrolling and checking for all kinds of violations, but they don't necessarily know that a house on the street actually has people that are providing immigration services. So it's going to be a challenge, you know, what we can do together with other agencies and use... maybe utilize other tools that other agencies have that maybe we don't have, and you know, we're part of the Protecting Immigrant Task Force and the other task force with the attorney general's office and I know that when they had, like information about particularly bad behavior, we've worked with them, we've sent inspectors out there; I don't know if we have something to report on that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

specifically, yeah.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Yes. Just to add to what the Commissioner was saying, I think just a couple of points. One; oftentimes when there are complaints that more obviously point to criminal activity, those complaints typically get routed directly to the DAs or potentially the AG's office. All of us together -- state government, city government and community-based organizations -- I think wisely have come together and said when complaints do come in, they should all go to one place so that in fact there can

2 be a clearing house for these types of complaints;

3 that place is the ONA Hotline, which is managed by

4 Catholic Charities. DCA will get certain complaints

5 of they are not necessarily criminal in nature, but

other ones that are more criminal in nature will go

7 to the appropriate agency.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Another point to just reiterate that was in our testimony is: of course we can send inspectors out, and we do, and we would like to be able to send more of our inspectors out to look at ISPs, but we really need to know where folks are seeing them; the nature of the industry has very much changed since the 2004 law was originally passed; they are no longer nearly as commonly located in storefronts along these commercial strips that they once were and so one of the reasons we've, just candidly, had a challenge is that we just don't see them as frequently, which is not to say that immigrants aren't suffering, based on having interactions via [sic] speak; they're just not necessarily as visible as perhaps they once were.

And just one last point is that, you know as a civil law enforcement agency, we don't actually have the ability to shut any business down just like

53

2 | that; even if we were to walk into an ISP and see

3 that there are a variety of violations, our

4 inspectors would write the violations; those

5 violations would ultimately be adjudicated at oath,

6 but the ability to actually shut down a business is

7 | not one that the agency possesses.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIR MENCHACA: I'm gonna hand it over to the Chair really quick. Go for it.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Danny, please forgive me; just one second. I just wanna follow up on one thing; I guess it comes to the undercover investigations. So is it a tool you're not really using anymore, as often as you used to?

AMIT S. BAGGA: We do use it when we feel like we're able to. So an example from just earlier this year is that we received a complaint from the PINY Task Force that there was a particular immigration service provider that was offering or advertising certain types of services; we made an undercover call, a telephone call first, to figure out what they were actually offering, you know, on —

I think one of our attorneys, actually, had a conversation to figure out what types of immigration services were being offered; based on that, there was

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 54 2 an inspection that was conducted and some violations that were issued. We can absolutely, and we do when 3 it is appropriate, conduct undercover investigations; 4 we should point out that even in those instances those undercover investigations would likely only 6 7 reveal violations of the City's Administrative Code. So for example, you know failure to post certain 8 types of signage or failure to provide a contract 9 when you're supposed to provide a contract. While, 10 11 of course, we could and would and do issue those

violations, issuing those violations is not an

indication that actual immigration legal services

fraud, which is criminal fraud, is not the type of

fraud that we equipped to be able to inspect for.

fraud has taken place, right? And that type of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You know I still think though it's meaningful to go after those violations. You know even though it doesn't clearly show that there's fraud being committed, but you know, I think that consumers could be misled, right, because that information got provided to them [sic].

LORELEI SALAS: And quick, to add, is that we don't need actually a complainant; we don't need someone to give us their name, right; we take

the addresses that we're given and we go there. So

3 that's something to always remind people that, you

don't need to give your name, just tell us which 4

place it was and we'll investigate. 5

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIR MENCHACA: Thank you. And before we hand it over to Council Member Dromm, I just wanna get a last understanding of the question of the enforcement ability, and say a complaint comes from a nonprofit that's working with an immigrant community in a neighborhood; what prevents you from engaging in some kind of operation or sending your teams out there versus relying on a specific complaint on a specific -- you're equipped to take complaints from anyone rather than -- and a case in specific -- a nonprofit can work with you to say look, we can work with you to target; is that happening right now?

LORELEI SALAS: Yes, it is. And in fact... [interpose]

> CO-CHAIR MENCHACA: Okay.

LORELEI SALAS: the most recent like set of inspections that we conducted was as the result of the ONA referral, and you know, while they were still trying to figure out whether the product would be

56

3 us the addresses; we don't have to wait for data, we

referred to a DA's office, we said to them, just give

4 can still go to the business; we don't need a

5 complainant, we need to just go there and see what

6 they're doing. So yes, we don't need a specific

7 person to come forward. Obviously, if you want to

8 get to the bigger issues; you will want to have that

9 | testimony and try to pursue other remedies, but all

10 we need is the address, the location and we do those

11 inspections.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIR MENCHACA: Thank you. And for my final comment, unfortunately I'll be leaving throughout this -- I'll be leaving in the middle of this hearing, but staff will be here to take everything. I'll be heading to Washington, D.C.; we're gonna be meeting with the White House and newly elected first and second generation leaders that were elected across the country -- from Arizona and California -- and I just wanna say that the conversation that's happening right now is a very special conversation between an agency, of administration and a city council and how important it is, and this is a message for us to send out there how important it is to have representatives like us

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and representatives like you with a history, and I'm really thankful that you brought your story to this conversation, because it is through experiences that we're gonna be able to get to a solution, and I have every confidence, and so I'm gonna be looking forward to working with you, our Chair, Council Member Dromm; everyone, to get to a solution, and I know that our solution is gonna have to be bold, maybe even bolder than we are right now, and really find you the tools, 'cause I'm hearing some hesitation -- just like, we're just not equipped; let's equip you with what you need to be able to send some really strong messages across the entire industry that we're not gonna take this anymore, and this is more than just a few thousand dollars that a family is left out with; we're losing our parents and actual lives are at stake here. And so I thank you for your commitment; I hear it, and I'm looking forward to a solution. Thank you. Council Member Dromm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Alright. Thank you very much. [laughter] Thank you, both Commissioners for being here, Commissioner Salas and also Commissioner Agarwal; I really appreciate the opportunity to ask you some questions.

2.2

2.3

alluded to something that he's seeing anecdotally, which is that immigrant fears are preventing them from complaining, particularly as relates maybe even to the Department of Education (which is something we're gonna work on together as well), with children who are being harassed or bullied in school, but also probably, I would assume, in some instances with complaints about Immigration Service Providers as well. So I'm wondering if you have numbers on how many complaints you've received over the last year, let's say, what type of actions were taken, and what the resolution of those cases were?

[background comments]

LORELEI SALAS: For this year, for 2016, to date we have received 27 complaints. We have conducted 48 inspections -- correct?

AMIT S. BAGGA: Uhm-hm.

[background comment] And we issued eight violations.

LORELEI SALAS: and violations?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And so I think in 2008 DCA had 81 violations and issued \$45,000 in fines. So that number seems to be down somewhat; although 2016 is not over yet, it still seems to me

2 like we're not gonna come anywhere near those
3 numbers. What can you attribute that to?

2.2

2.3

numbers are here, but as I reported, in the last patrol inspections that we conducted as a result of the ONA telethon, about 75% of the businesses that went out to inspect were either closed or were not doing immigration services; they were just not there anymore, right? So while we get complaints and we act on them, these places are just moving around, they're [inaudible].

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So that reminds me a little bit of the drug-dealing trade, actually, 'cause I think they've begun to operate in a similar fashion, which is that they use cell phones; we used to see a lot of drug dealers on the street, maybe on Roosevelt Avenue and places like that in my district, but now they're, you know, the business is different and you know, both, in my opinion, are criminal to a certain extent as well, the way in which they operate. But you know, one of the things that hits me is that -- you know when DACA first happened, I remember in Jackson Heights signs going up on the lampposts, signs going up around the subway pillars,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 60 you know, from the elevated subway line, and that 2 3 seems to be one of the main ways of communicating with folks. Unfortunately, what I'm hearing is that 4 the Administration, the Department of Sanitation, is 5 pulling back on their efforts to remove those signs; 6 7 there was a dedicated unit within Sanitation that 8 would go out and enforce the existing laws, and I'm 9 wondering if you know anything of that change in the Department of Sanitation -- what is your relationship 10 11 with the Department of Sanitation -- because that 12 does seem to me to be a major way that these shady 13 Immigration Service Providers operate. 14 LORELEI SALAS: I'm not aware whether 15 there's been a change in their policy, but we'll talk to them and we'll reach out... [crosstalk] 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: 'Cause I think it's really important to keep that... [crosstalk] 18 19 LORELEI SALAS: Yeah. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: unit, you know, because I see that as one of the main vehicles for 21 2.2 these shady folks to operate. And also, in terms of 2.3 the collection of the papers that they get, it may be helpful to you in finding out where some of these 24

shady operators are located. 'Cause I know in your

25

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION testimony you had stated the change of the nature of

61

these service providers being on the fourth floor of 3

buildings, etc. But I think -- are there other ways 4

to look at how they advertise -- 'cause obviously

they're in business long enough that they're making a 6

7 profit or they wouldn't continue to be doing it.

we know how they're advertising or where they're

9 advertising?

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

LORELEI SALAS: We think a lot of it is word-of-mouth, right; you go there, you know that they are providing the services, then you bring your friends; unfortunately, that's the way it operates a lot. But in terms of signs, we're really interested in continuing to -- again, just as people change their practices, we understand the agency staff has to adapt and change the way we do inspections, so we're very open to working whatever information is out there. So I would say that if -- you know, let's not wait for Sanitation to collect the sign and give it o us, 'cause it might never get to us, but someone can take a picture of it and send it to us; we will look into that, yeah.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Also... [crosstalk]

O 4

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: In your testimony also, Commissioner, you alluded to the fact that the protocol for the inspections of ISPs has been changed or updated; can you speak to that a little bit more, and what does that protocol look like?

JAMES HURST: My name is James Hurst; I'm the Director of Enforcement; I was involved with updating that particular protocol. We have a protocol in place for our inspectors that gives them directions on how to inspect the multitude of different types of businesses we inspect within the city. We recently updated that protocol to encourage them to keep the eye out for Immigration Service Providers, how to identify Immigration Service Providers and to make sure that the violations we are issuing are violations which will be held up and be successful when we prosecute it at the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings.

So yes, we recently updated it in the last couple of months and we've been using it recently with the complaints we've received from the Office of New Americans.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So when you talk about protocol, you mean you're telling your

2.2

2.3

inspectors exactly what to look for when they go into these service providers or what type of forms they are being handed, etc.?

JAMES HURST: Exactly. So when they look at a contract, what are they looking for on that contract -- they go through the checklist, identify what are the specific things that need to be included on the contract; which signs need to be posted; what languages do those signs need to be in; what evidence to collect to make sure that we're able to charge the business successfully at the tribunal.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Does part of the change in the protocol also inform the inspectors that many of these businesses are co-located? So in other words, you might have an employment agency in the same place as an Immigration Service Provider; do the agents, when they go in, look for both things or only one?

JAMES HURST: Well we have a separate protocol for tax preparers; another one for employment agencies, and they are trained and directed to identify what that business is engaging in, what sort of activities, and then inspect based on that activity. So they'll use multiple protocols

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 64 and issue multiple violations. And then we've had a

4 have been charged both for tax preparer violations as

number of cases of that where individual businesses

5 | well as Immigration Service Provider violations.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[background comment]... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Just as an

anecdotal note, you know, I worked with CHI [sp?] on an issue, because when IDNYC first became available, some of the folks on Roosevelt Avenue were

advertising in the window that they would help them

12 prepare -- I think Commissioner Agarwal is aware of

13 this as well [inaudible] -- but that they would help

14 them prepare their applications for IDNYC, which --

15 and then they were charging them like ten dollars,

16 you know. But because there was no, you know

17 | illegality involved in the idea of helping them to

18 prepare it; not actually give them the form, we were

19 not able to do much. Has there been any change

20 around that, Commissioner?

NISHA AGARWAL: No; I would just say that in those instances we did have NYPD go and check out those facilities; even if there was no technical illegality in charging to prepare the application, it could be a sign that there's other problems in those

2 places and so I think that's the -- you know, it's

3 | the canary in a coal mine in a way, and so I think

4 being able to follow up on those instances remains I

5 | think a very strong response here.

2.2

2.3

AMIT S. BAGGA: Council Member Dromm, if we could just correct the record about the number of our violations that we issued this year; that was my mistake in terms of what I pointed the Commissioner to; we've actually issued 53 violations this year to eight businesses.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. Okay, so that's a little bit up from what we were talking before, right? You had said it -- so it's a little... but still down from the 81 in 2008. Okay.

Have we ever thought -- and I'm very glad to hear, Commissioner, that you were mentioning having a Bill of Rights; I think that's something we should talk about further as we go down the road.

But what about proactively giving clients forms; in other words, we're not waiting for them to ask for their rights or -- I'm not exactly sure what they're prohibited from presenting, and I know in your testimony you said they're not allowed to offer, you know, a certain form because -- an immigration form

66

2 and say this is the thing you should be applying for,

3 but is there a way that we could proactively hand

4 clients a form which would say these are your rights

5 or maybe that is the Bill of Rights, which we could

6 require the provider to hand each client upon entry?

LORELEI SALAS: Yeah. So I mean

8 | currently we're drafting the Bill of Rights just so

9 that we would be able to give this to the community,

10 | right, [inaudible] community with this information,

11 | but obviously it would be better if we could have the

business be required to present that to the consumer

13 | in front of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well maybe that's something we should look at as well in the law, in

16 the bill.

1

7

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

AMIT S. BAGGA: Yes, we would be very interested in working with you and the Committees on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Let me go to the relationship with the district attorneys. I have heard that there have been some complaints that even when things are referred over to the district attorney that actions are not taken. Is there a way

2 that you track that information that you turn over to
3 the district attorney?

AMIT S. BAGGA: So we can, of course, turn over information to the district attorney; we don't commonly find ourselves in a position where we would have information to turn over to them; the type of information that would be collected as part of an inspection, in most or many cases, has not necessarily yielded information that would then constitute the basis of a referral. So as we understand it, the complaints that are referred to the district attorneys are done so directly from community-based organizations and legal service providers or through the ONA Hotline.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Or what?

AMIT S. BAGGA: The ONA Hotline, the Office of New Americans.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Yes.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So what would constitute a referral to the district attorney's office? Where does the level of criminality come in when -- what's the difference between the civil

2.2

2.3

with the DA's office as to whether they're actually

25

willing to prosecute those cases under those
particular statutory provisions.

2.2

2.3

challenges we face here is that there are essentially two legal or regulatory frameworks that are governing the ways in which ISPs can operate. One is the one that we have at the City level, right, which is civil, and essentially says there is certain prohibited conduct, and it's the type of conduct that DCA can inspect for. Then you have a completely different regulatory framework which exists in penal law which has to do with the criminal conduct. And we as an agency are not exactly the right agency to be able to identify necessarily what that conduct is, and certainly our inspectors, who are just patrol civil inspectors, would not be in a position to write those criminal charges.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: When I was first elected in 2010, within a few months I had 13 people come into my office on a Friday afternoon at five minutes to five -- it always happens just about as you're ready to close, right -- and they had all been defrauded by the American Immigration Federation; the attorney general's office did pick up that case; I'm

2 wondering if you have any knowledge of why they

3 | would've picked that up, you know, what

differentiates it between a civil and a criminal

5 case?

2.2

2.3

TAMALA BOYD: Go ahead. [static]

[background comment] [laugh] So as I said, they can

overlap and the amendment to this law makes any

violation of this subsection a misdemeanor, which is

a criminal penalty; the issue is that we would not be

the ones obviously enforcing that criminal penalty.

NISHA AGARWAL: And I would just add, one thing to note, certainly with the attorney general's office and possibly the DAs too, is they tend to focus on cases that are about patterns and practices, and so the individual cases may be less so, but something like the American Immigration Federation, I think that would be an area that they would focus on.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And do your offices regularly communicate with the district attorney's offices?

NISHA AGARWAL: Yes, primarily through
the PINY Task Force and the sort of regular
conversations there we're in communication with them.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And I think in Queens, at this point, we have a special prosecutor I guess you would call it, for immigration issues, and that person is included in those discussions?

NISHA AGARWAL: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay.

AMIT S. BAGGA: I believe her name is

Carmencita Gutierrez and she has been at almost every
single PINY Task Force meeting that I've been a part
of in some way, either via phone call or in person,
and in our experience; the DAs have been very active
participants in the task force discussions.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So can you tell me a little bit more about the task force -- what's going on and what issues you're looking at in the task force?

meeting, about like three weeks ago or so, so I cannot really talk about like the history of it; I'm sure someone from the Immigration Coalition is here today and they could talk more about that at length. But we definitely have -- you know, DCA comes and we provide information, what we're seeing on the ground, right; the law enforcement agencies at the table

2 obviously work with us and they're willing to --

3 again, if they are able to identify particular

4 complainants, people who are willing to testify for

5 criminal cases -- it's a higher burden, right, so you

6 | actually do need those individuals who are willing to

7 | come and testify. And they are -- you know, it's a

8 conversation and it's like, again, like the

9 conversation we're having today, trying to figure out

10 how to better solve the problem.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NISHA AGARWAL: And if it's okay, I would love for my colleague Elisa, who's been participating in the task force regularly, to talk about how MOIA and the City have found the participation in the task force to be really valuable.

ELISA GAHNG: Yeah. So the task force is pretty broad in that it includes both federal agency partners, such as USCIS and Homeland Security

Investigations that focus on fraud, as well as the statewide DA partners, and one of the things that the task force has focused on in the last year has been the creation of a toolkit for law enforcement agencies outside of New York City who don't have immigrant fraud units like many of our DA offices do. So they developed a toolkit that they can distribute

to their law enforcement cohorts so that they know
how to investigate and prosecute immigration fraud.

Among other things, the task force has really focused
in recent months on community education; they've
created a comic that focuses on different scenarios
that individuals would be faced with so that they can

pass it out to community members and I think we've

all provided input on things like that, so it's a

10 very good opportunity for a lot of the law

11 enforcement partners who don't typically talk on

12 these issues to share the patterns that they're

13 seeing in the community as well.

1

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So I have to ask this question -- in this political climate, with the change in the administration, what do you think is going to happen within the task force? Is that an area we can begin to address some of our concerns about the future of our immigrant community here in the City?

NISHA AGARWAL: I mean I think again it would be a good question to also pose to the New York Immigration Coalition which coordinates this, but I think the idea of the space and what we've found to be really valuable is that as circumstances change,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

74

2 whether locally, or now in this case, nationally,

3 being able to adapt to that and share information

4 across different law enforcement partners -- city,

5 state, federal -- will be even more important at this

6 stage.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Absolutely. And I guess just two last things. Does DCA engage in outreach to the ISPs? I don't know if that was addressed in the hearing. Do you work with the ISPs to educate them on what they're allowed to do and not allowed to do?

AMIT S. BAGGA: So I think one of the themes that we've seen in today's hearing is that they're actually very difficult to identify, right; there's no registry of ISPs; they're certainly not licensed in any way, so there isn't a way in which we would necessarily know how to reach out to all the ISPs... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Deputy

Commissioner, there would be no way to then know how many ISPs there are in the city?

AMIT S. BAGGA: That's right, Council Member, unfortunately. That being said, I think a theme that we have also discussed today is one of

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 75 2 outreach and education and we as an administration, 3 working closely between our two agencies as well as 4 working with our community-based organization partners, are really, really committed to ensuring that there is awareness among immigrant communities 6 7 of the dangers of potentially going to ISPs, right, and we really are very focused, as Commissioner 8 Agarwal mentioned, on directing communities to safe legal service providers and ensuring that those are 10 11 the types of legal service providers that communities 12 are using. We should note that James Hurst, our 13 colleague who is the Director of Enforcement; I believe in his previous role as Legal Ombudsman did 14 15 conduct some trainings of ISPs; in all likelihood, 16 the ISPs that are self-identifying as ISPs are 17 probably more likely to engage in, I would guess 18 above the board behavior; while I can't say that with 19 certainty, you know, that would be an educated guess. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And just finally, does MOIA receive complaints about ISPs? And if you 21 2.2 do; how do you refer them? 2.3 ELISA GAHNG: So we don't typically receive a huge number, but we do have a community 24

services line that is open for all kinds of

25

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

correspondences and questions, and when we do receive inquiries about that, we always direct individuals to

4 | the New Americans Hotline.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. Alright, thank you, Mr. Chair; appreciate it very, very much and look forward to working with you on this legislation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you

Councilman Dromm. I guess to close out, I just

really wanna urge DCA to use all of your tools, as

forcefully as you can, especially given the current

climate; I think that your tools are one of many

tools in many toolboxes and even though you can't

uncover fraud, in a way I think that you can help

uncover fraud, right? So I urge you again to use all

those tools and let's be forceful coming into this

new administration. Thank you. Thank you MOIA as

well.

Wait, sorry: I have one more question, and we weren't able -- sorry -- we weren't able to answer it. You are able to require ISPs to have certain forms to present to the consumer; correct?

For example, Bill of Rights or...

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 77
2	LORELEI SALAS: Right now there's no
3	requirement on ISPs to provide like a Bill of Rights,
4	right, which is [interpose]
5	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: But by law you can?
6	But by law [crosstalk]
7	LORELEI SALAS: By law we can't right
8	now.
9	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: No, but if we
10	create a law [inaudible] [crosstalk]
11	[background comments]
12	LORELEI SALAS: Oh, oh yeah, sure. Yes,
13	and we will
14	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay.
15	LORELEI SALAS: we will work on that.
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So my question now
17	is you know MOIA said it earlier; there are a lot
18	of free service providers; my office also has an
19	attorney; is there any way we can require them to
20	provide a form of all of the free attorneys that they
21	can use?
22	AMIT S. BAGGA: I think we would
23	certainly appreciate the opportunity to look into
24	that and having that discussion very soon with you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright, 'cause I think when they're filling out those forms and there might be that instance where they encounter a question where they can't provide legal advice, I think it would be smart if they can kind of give a form to the consumer and say well there are all the free legal attorneys you can patronize.

LORELEI SALAS: I mean right now I think under the current version of the legislation they have to disclose obviously that they're not attorneys themselves, right, and they do have to provide information on the Office of New Americans; that's part of the legislation, so... but yes, obviously if there was a requirement in the law for them to provide additional forms that they will have to do that, right?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Alright, great. Thank you; appreciate it. Free to go.

LORELEI SALAS: Thank you so much.

[laugh]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I'd like to call up the next panel, we have Emily Echeverria from the Cardoza Clinic; we have Camille -- sorry if I mispronounce your name -- Mackrel, Mackrub [sic]...

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 79
2	[background comment] Mackler? [background comment]
3	Sorry Matthew Blaisdell from American Immigration
4	Lawyers Association; Audrey Carr from Legal Services
5	NYC. [background comments] [pause] Whenever you're
6	ready, you may just state your name for the record
7	and give your testimony.
8	[pause]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, so who would
10	like to start?
11	[background comments]
12	CAMILLE MACKLER: I can start so I can
13	also address some of your questions [inaudible]
14	[crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, very good,
16	so
17	CAMILLE MACKLER: if that's okay with
18	everyone else.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Yeah.
20	CAMILLE MACKLER: So thank you very much
21	for the opportunity; I'm very happy to be here today.
22	I am Camille Mackler, the Director of Legal
23	Initiatives at the New York Immigration Coalition,
24	and the Coalition is an umbrella advocacy-policy
25	group; we represent about 175 organizational members

2 around the state. Among a lot of our work, the NYIC

3 is one of the leaders in anti-immigration services

4 | fraud work here in New York and we are also one of

5 the very few organizations doing it at the national

6 level as well, joined by my colleagues from the

7 American Immigration Lawyers Association, who join

8 | that small and elite group. And a lot of our work in

9 the last, almost three years now, has been centered

10 around the Protecting Immigrant New Yorkers Task

11 Force, which came up on the last panel. We created

12 | that task force in October of 2013 and really

13 officially launched it in early 2014; it is a

14 | collaboration of law enforcement, government agencies

15 and nonprofit organizations and bar associations

16 across the state, working collaboratively to address

17 | immigrant services fraud; the Mayor's Office of

18 | Immigrant Affairs and the Department of Consumer

19 Affairs are two of our members here at the city

20 government level.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Over the last ten years we have seen dramatic arrests and prosecutions of large-scale immigration service fraud instances; we've also witnessed a greater awareness of these issues on the public mindset, with efforts to curb these incidences

across the board. With our local and national partners we've been involved in a lot of work

1

4

5 trying to create new and better policies. Over the

promoting and encouraging enforcement as well as

6 last two years we've focused in a lot on educating

7 first our law enforcement and government partners and

8 more recently, in the last year, the task force has

9 | turned to community outreach; we've been trying to

10 address issues around scams on President Obama's

11 | administrative actions, the "ten year" case, which is

12 | a cancellation of removal fraud, has become a big

13 concern of us. We developed, as you heard earlier, a

14 resource guide that has been distributed nationally,

15 | including through USCIS' offices and prosecutors

16 across the country. Recently we released two comics

17 | in three languages -- French, English and Spanish --

19 | ten year scam." But we know that we also must

20 continue to lift up enforcement and education at the

21 | local level and we really need to increase our

22 efforts to continue this.

2.3

24

25

And before I get into why that's important, I want us to state on the record why these scams happen in the first place and that is because

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 82 immigration does not provide right to free counsel. There is no right to free counsel for immigrants and so immigrants are left alone to try to answer questions on forms -- questions like have you ever been committed of a crime involving moral turpitude, and a form that only exists in English, and the consequences of which can be -- the outcome of [inaudible] adjudication can be dramatic. If you go to immigration court, it's a complex legal system; it's a court system with a judge in robes behind a bench, with a lawyer, trained lawyer representing the government and then immigrants are facing that alone, uneducated in the legal system of this country and not understanding the language and that's what leads many people, in their desperation, to be in the United States and secure their space in the United States and more importantly, not risk being torn away from it, that they may go and look for help and often misunderstanding of what resources exist of what hiring an [inaudible] attorney might actually cost or just not knowing where to go leads them to fraudulent providers.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We know that the large-scale prosecutions have had a tremendous effect on our communities and

2 an educational effect as well, but we also know that

3 the greatest danger remains in the small mom and pop

4 | shops, the multi-service agencies, the fly-by-night

5 operations that ingrain themselves into our

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

6 communities and convince their customers that they

7 don't need to spend money on a lawyer to fill out a

8 form and the only solution we have to these predators

9 truly is through enforcement and community education.

These providers rely on their place in the community, on shared language and common experiences in the United States to create false press between themselves and the customers. take payments for services that are never performed or they file applications for benefits that harm the individual's ability to eventually obtain actual immigration status in the United States. The only way we can fight back is to meet them at that level, on the streets of our communities and speaking their language and sharing their experience. Victims are afraid of coming forward even as they lose thousands of dollars and even worse, even as they are placed into deportation proceedings and risk permanent exile from the United States, because they don't wanna speak out against community members because they are

84 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION afraid of going to law enforcement. The most common schemes we see revolve around promises to obtain immigration papers under programs that do not exist, such as "the ten year scam," promises of preferential treatment due to non-existent connections, or promises to obtain work permits or ten-year green cards that are actually applications for asylum and that have very high risks associated with them. that was before last week's election results. Right now, with an incoming White House administration that that has made one of its main priorities the deportation of as many immigrants as possible, fears are at an all-time high. There is a renewed desperation to find a legitimate way to remain in the United States, as the incoming administration's only way to truly meet the numbers they have announced are to create the immigrants that they claim we need to

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

deport.

To combat this trend and to provide

meaningful protections to immigrant communities in

New York City, it is imperative that we use every

enforcement tool we have to investigation allegations

of fraud and punish the perpetrators. Right now we

have district attorneys and we have the Attorney

3 and we have organizations like mine and all of those

General who are prosecuting these crimes criminally

4 represented at this table doing education and we have

5 the Department of Consumer Affairs that has worked

6 with us as well, but all of this is not enough. And

7 to be clear -- and I want to emphasize this -- a

8 collaborative effort is the best chance we have, so

9 it is not just -- even though this bill would empower

10 DCA to do work, it is a collaborative effort that

11 | needs to happen and we want to strengthen them

12 because we are collectively stronger if we can do

13 that.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

The Department of Consumer Affairs, because they are in the city and they have the trust that New York City government has been able to create with our communities, is uniquely positioned to help us in our efforts and to work with us. Int. 746 will help significantly increase their ability to engage in enforcement operations by creating clear-cut requirements for non-lawyers to provide services related to immigration. With the provision of Int. 746, a DCA inspector will much more easily be able to identify providers who are not in compliance with legal requirements. Immigration laws are

2 complex and we shouldn't require the DCA inspectors

3 to go through an analysis of whether or not somebody

4 has engaged in the practice of law to understand that

5 somebody is doing something that is harming our

6 immigrant communities, and we believe that 746 can do

7 that.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Not only do we need to crack down on enforcement, and that is something that Int. 746 will do, but we also need to engage in community outreach and education and to through the task force we have begun those efforts. We're very proud of the comics that we put out recently; they're open source, so you can put your local and we would encourage every Council Member to have a copy in their offices. In addition to that, we would also suggest amendment to bill to require the Department of Consumer Affairs to create and distribute materials, and that is something that when the time comes we will certainly be pushing for funding to support as well.

I cannot underscore the need to put out accurate information. Last June, after the Supreme Court issued a non-decision in President Obama's executive actions, we held a town hall with many partners, including those in the room. Today, we had

3 the New York Public Library; we had over 200,000

4 people watching online. The need for information is

over 600 individuals from 56 countries pack a room at

5 immense and we can meet that and we can help people

6 | figure out the good from the bad.

2.2

2.3

And finally, I just wanna state the reporting requirements of Int. 746, although we understand that they would just be coming from DCA and not all of the complaints going to the Hotline or to other agencies, would also help us start mapping the instances of fraud geographically and in types of schemes. Right now fraud is one of the most underreported crimes in the United States; the only numbers we have available are through the Federal Trade Commission. The reporting required by this bill, although an incomplete picture, would at least start giving us some actual reliable data that we could use as we go forward in our conversations as we encourage others to take on this work, as we encourage private funders to join this fight.

And the last thing I would like to say is that while we absolutely urge enforcement and education, it has to go hand in hand with empowering the good legal service providers and again, next year

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

88

2 | we will be pushing for a substantial investment in

3 legal services because it is not good enough to tell

4 people where the bad actors are; we have to make sure

5 that they are connected to the good ones. We will be

6 working with the City Council and the Administration,

7 | with our nonprofit legal service providers and also

8 | with private bar, to make sure that everyone has

9 access to services that they can afford and that they

10 can trust. Thank you.

minutes.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Just a notice; there is a clock that is gonna be on for three

is Emily Echeverria and I am a student at the Cardoza Immigration Justice Clinic. I would like to thank the City Council for this opportunity to testify today on behalf of Make the Road New York and the Cardoza Clinic. I welcome the opportunity to participate in this conversation for this legislation and speak about the importance of strengthening it to protect immigrants from fraudulent attorney misconduct.

I am here to discuss an issue related to the pending bill -- the devastating effects caused by

2 the fraudulent and deceptive conduct of some
3 immigration attorneys in the New York City area.

2.2

2.3

In considering this proposed bill, and in enacting it predecessor legislation, the New York
City Council has recognized the harm experienced by long-time members of our community who find themselves at risk of exile from their families and their home as a result of being defrauded and misinformed by non-attorney immigration practitioners.

Similar fraud and similar harm arises from the phenomenon that is colloquially known as "the ten year scheme." To provide a bit more detail, this is a widespread practice in which unscrupulous immigration attorneys falsely advise noncitizens that they qualify for lawful status if they have been in the United States for ten years or more and have U.S. citizen children. These attorneys file asylum applications on behalf of their noncitizen clients without informing the clients about what they are doing, without asking their clients any asylum-related questions, and without advising the clients that they will be put into removal proceedings when the asylum application is denied.

2 Make the Road attorneys have spoken to 3 dozens of individuals who have applied themselves or

4 had family members apply for what they thought was a

5  $\parallel$  lawful immigration status.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The case of Mr. G is illustrative of the problem and its devastating effects. He is originally from Mexico and has been living in the United States for over 20 years. He works hard to provide for his wife and two U.S. citizen daughters. Two days before Christmas in 2015, Mr. G went to a law office that he had heard of from friends, colleagues and acquaintances where the attorney was promising work permits and green cards. Mr. G was told that he qualified for ten-year visa; of course, Mr. G was thrilled and hired the attorney, but instead, the attorney filed an asylum application without informing Mr. G or seeking his permission. He now knows what will happen next: his asylum application will be denied, and his case will be sent to immigration court, where an immigration judge can order him deported.

The pending legislation is an important step toward combating these fraudulent providers who prey on immigrants in New York City. The City

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council should further strengthen this law by amending it to protect immigrants against fraud committed by licensed attorneys, as well as nonattorney practitioners. Such legislation would reduce the disastrous effects of the ten year scheme by providing a deterrent for attorneys. In addition to deterring fraud, this legislation could potentially open pathways to stable immigration status for people who have already been victimized. For victims who assist in the investigation or prosecution of these attorneys, a strengthened law can make them eligible for U-visa-based relief. As New Yorkers, we believe that consideration of this legislation could have a profound impact on our community during these turbulent times. Thank you.

[bell]

MATTHEW BLAISDELL: Matthew Blaisdell,
New York Chapter of the American Immigration Lawyers
Association.

I want to start off by commending City
Council for considering this bill which would make
New York City a national leader in combating a
practice that's rendered tremendous harm to the
immigrant, noncitizen residents of New York City.

2.3

24

25

"Notario" fraud has been around for a 2 3 very long time and the issue is; a number of states 4 and localities have tried to address the unauthorized practice of law through consumer protection statutes, which are not always a perfect fit. You know 6 7 consuming immigration legal services is not quite like purchasing a couch; there's a tremendous amount 8 of legal analysis and work that goes in before the final end product; they're telling somebody exactly 10 11 what they're eligible for and what application to file for that benefit. There's a number of federal 12 13 agencies -- involved within Homeland Security alone there's USCIS, Customs and Border Protection, U.S. 14 15 ICE; we're also dealing with the Department of State, the Department of Labor, statutes, regulations, 16 agency policies, memoranda, manuals; we're talking 17 18 about really complicated grounds of inadmissibility, removability, really dense legal concepts; the 19 20 waivers surrounding them, different penalties, forms 21 of relief they can apply for in immigration court, 2.2 and some really, really dense, sometimes nonsensical,

"Notario" fraud, and what we're talking about here with ISPs, are circumventing all of that

legal concepts that govern the entire practice.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION and going straight to the very end product of telling

somebody what their status is, what they think

93

4 they're eligible for and what they should file, and

that's got a potentially huge effect on the immigrant 5

population of New York. Besides being incredibly 6

7 complex, the consequences are extraordinarily high --

because unlike a typical consumer protection issue, 8

9 we're not just talking about time and money, we're

talking about people who could be separated from 10

11 their families for three years, ten years, maybe

12 permanently banished to a country where they may even

13 be facing persecution, where their lives and freedom

may be at stake, which is why the Supreme Court has 14

15 said that the possibility of deportation is on par

16 sometimes more important than a potential jail

17 sentence and why criminal defendants are now required

18 to receive immigration advice concerning certain

19 pleas that they take.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

And yet "Notarios" keep getting away with doing this, they keep messing up cases, they keep ruining lives and it's really hard to step back and assess sometimes exactly why this is happening. I opened up my own practice in Sunset Park, a lot of my very first cases were people, some of whom who

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 94 were eligible, clearly eligible for green cards, but the application had been filed in such a way it was so messed up; now that I am making this representation, we need all these different kinds of waivers; spent years of stress and money trying to fix a very simply mistake that was made on the front end. And the problem [bell] with the law is that it's just -- under the current state it doesn't define what is legal advice. "Notarios" can't give legal advice, but it hasn't been defined. Up until the Immigration Assistance Service Enforcement Act was passed at the state level -- went into effect in 2015 -- was there any meat on those bones, any prohibition. So this pending law is going to make us consistent with federal law, which we know controls, since U.S. v Arizona in this field, and New York State law, so it fixes a legal problem, it fixes a practical problem, and very importantly, it does what very few laws can do, which is holistically address not just the quality issue of representation but also the quantity, because as noted, the contract requirements are going to direct consumers to free low-cost immigration legal services providers through references to the Office of New Americans, and so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this fits right in with the other measures that New York City has taken -- ActionNYC, etc. -- to address this problem in a holistic manner. But this is a really crucial component of that; we wanna keep people from going to their offices in the first place, so by making specific who can do what will make it much easier to educate communities; keep them from entering into this problem at the front end, and as mentioned, this is an extraordinarily crucial time because people are scared and the number one thing we can do is maybe just, at a bare minimum, keep them off of the ICE radar. Some of these people may actually be eligible for immigration benefits but they don't know it and if their cases get messed up, they might get removed before we have a chance to get that to them, so this comes at an especially crucial

AUDREY CARR: Good afternoon. I am

Audrey Carr; I'm the Director of Immigration at Legal

Services NYC. Legal Services is the largest provider

of free civil legal services in the nation; we have

offices in all five boroughs of New York City where

we serve over 80,000 New Yorkers annually. I thank

time; I thank City Council for considering this bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 the Committee for having this discussion this 3 morning.

2.2

2.3

Almost every day our immigration

advocates at Legal Services encounter immigrants who

have been scammed and harmed by individuals claiming

to be attorneys or by lawyers who have been

disbarred. Sometimes these unlicensed law

practitioners have irreparably damaged cases and led

to immigrants being placed in removal proceedings and

at times even deported from the country.

U.S. Army who is a lawful permanent resident; when my client married a foreign national, she sought assistance from a person who claimed that he had vast immigration experience to help her file the necessary paperwork to sponsor her spouse. This individual had my client sign blank immigration forms then completed the forms falsely, indicating that my client was a U.S. citizen and also submitting fraudulent documents to the USCIS. Many years later, when my client on her own applied for citizenship, the USCIS alleged that she had made a false claim to U.S. citizenship when she sponsored her spouse. There is no relief in the law if you claim to be a U.S. citizen; she is now

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

currently targeted for deportation. Meanwhile, the individual who assisted her with her husband's case,

and to whom she paid a lot of money, has disappeared.

5 Had Int. 746 existed when my client was 6 looking for immigration legal help, she probably

would have received competent assistance and would

8 not now be in danger of being separated from her children, who were all U.S. born.

The proposed changes to Subchapter 14 of the City's Administrative Code provides some good safeguards to ensure that individuals engaged in providing immigration services are regulated.

The law, however, needs to go further.

We would encourage DCA to report on the number of complaints it receives from immigrants who have been defrauded, track the types of complaints it receives, publicize its investigations of individuals and providers who engage in immigration fraud, and publish a list of offenders. Such actions would inform immigrants about which immigration providers should be avoided and also demonstrate the seriousness of the City's commitment to ensure that immigrants have access to quality legal representation.

In light of the national election, many immigrant communities are frightened that they will be targeted by federal immigration authorities and will be separated from their families. The uncertainty of what the future holds for immigrants has also created a climate for fraudsters to prey on immigrants [bell] and make false promises that they can deliver relief. Vigorous enforcement of Int. 746 will provide protection to the City's immigrants and guarantee that they are knowledgeable of their rights when seeking legal immigration assistance.

We commend the Committee and the City for its work on this issue and I thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify this afternoon.

NARBADA CHHETRI: Good morning. My name is Narbada Chhetri; I am the Director of Organizing and Advocacy at Adhikaar. Adhikaar is the only women-led worker and community center that serves and organizes the Nepali-speaking immigrant and refugee community. We are one of the newest immigrant communities in New York City, and the majority of our members are low-wage workers. Thank you so much Councilman Daniel Dromm for raising this issue.

2.2

2.3

I came here ten years ago and have seen

the challenges of immigration fraud from many

perspectives. As an immigrant woman and as an

advocate for the last nine y ears at Adhikaar, we are

trying to protect our community members but it has

7 been very challenging to find solutions and it has

8 not become easier.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Due to fear around immigration stations and lack of awareness, our community members are being targeted. They do not know where to report scams or are too afraid to do so. I would like to share one story about a domestic worker member. She was undocumented and separated from her family in Nepal for more than a decade. She met a provider in Jackson Heights who promised to make her a green card and to bring her son to the U.S. He charged her \$8,000. Two, then three years passed by and he continued to make promises. She finally came to Adhikaar to report him. We told her to report him to the police or go to court, but she was scared that she would get in trouble. We tried to call him, to meet him in person, but he kept avoiding our calls and even changed his phone number to hide. Our member eventually returned to Nepal.

2.2

2.3

Another elderly couple faced the same challenge from another provider in Jackson Heights.

I was so mad because the couple was very ill and when I heard what he was charging, I went with them to his store and demanded the money be returned. I was able to get half of the money back.

There are many stories of innocent people in desperate situations; it happens every day.

People barely making the minimum wage have paid thousands of dollars and received nothing in return.

We have not been able to hold these people accountable, but we know that with more support from the City, they can be brought to justice and future cases can be prevented.

In 2012, we continued to follow the case of a provider who was promising her victims that she would bring their family members to the U.S. from Nepal if paid huge fees. [bell] These victims did not know where else to go, they were scared to report their complaints to the authorities and so they came to our office. It was hard for us to get in touch with the appropriate officials; not all of the boroughs had the same resources to take the cases. The Manhattan DA finally charged her with grand

2.2

2.3

larceny in the fourth degree and scheme to defraud in the first degree. We were grateful to see this justice, but know that there are hundreds more who do

not see the same result.

After this election, our people are more scared and feeling more vulnerable than ever. They are anxious to have a solution and the fraudulent providers are going to take advantage. Protecting against their fraud is a higher priority than ever before. We have been sending some cases to Councilman Daniel Dromm's office and New York Immigration Coalition; they are very supportive and try to resolve the case. We really appreciate their energy to fix the problems. We need to support stronger laws to protect vulnerable people. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Just a quick comment for Audrey, 'cause you mentioned it in your testimony as well. I was surprised by the decrease in the number of cases that they had this year -- I think they said 57, down from 81 in 2008 I think it was that they said -- I think the idea of tracking those numbers is very, very good and I think that's something we should really look at as well. So thank

fraud, working closely with other agencies throughout

25

2.2

2.3

New York City -- Immigration Coalition, Legal Aid. I
wanna thank the sponsors of this legislation.

Preventing unauthorized practice of law has always been a priority for those concerned about protecting immigrants' rights. Legislation ensuring this protection is even more crucial in the wake of the recent election, which has caused great uncertainty, for undocumented immigrants specifically. It will be especially important to use this mechanism to protect desperate and vulnerable immigrants who, because of the, you know, so-called "assistance" by people who are not authorized to practice law, can suffer harsh consequences, as was previously discussed.

We welcome the efforts to address present abuses by non-legal practitioners, commonly referred to as "Notarios." Because "Notario" refers to an attorney in many Spanish-speaking countries, fraudulent providers who are only notary publics often use the term to confuse non-English-speaking immigrants, taking money from them and not providing the promised immigration relief.

NYLAG strongly supports the intention of the proposed bill; we do have some concerns about

2.2

2.3

inconsistencies in the bill that could lead to some confusion about the work immigration assistance service providers can do on behalf of immigrants.

I'll be very brief and very specific.

Section 7(f) in particular, states that immigration assistance service providers are not allowed to advise on the determination of a person's immigration status, including advising him or her as to the answers on the government form regarding such determination.

We actually welcome this amendment because it suggests that the non-legal provider is not only not allowed to give advice on how to answer questions on the form, but also is not authorized to advise on which form to fill out.

Indeed, we believe that assisting with immigration forms constitutes the provision of legal services. By signing a contract with a client to assist him in the completion of immigration forms basically amounts to constructive legal advice that this form is appropriate for the client to fill out. If this advice is unsound, it can obviously have severe legal consequences for the client; for instance, if the applicant applies for naturalization

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

and has a criminal record, the provider [bell] may blindly put him... you know, end up... the client may end up in deportation.

While 7(f) addresses this problem, other existing sections, as well as proposed amendments, are inconsistent with this provision and may create confusion as to what services the immigration assistance providers are allowed to provide.

Section 7(k) states that an immigration assistance service provider cannot "knowingly provide misleading or false information to any person about his or her family member's eligibility for a particular immigration benefit or status." This provision directly implies that the provider is allowed to provide information about immigration benefits if he believes information to be true.

The same applies to Section 7(1), which states that, "a provider shall notify the customer in writing when such provider has disclosed any information or filed any form or documents with immigration or other authorities when such disclosure or filing was required by law and done without the knowledge and consent of the customer." These provisions contradict Section 7(f), which clearly

2.2

2.3

2 states that non-legal providers cannot advise on
3 determination of status, and thus cannot file any

forms for immigration relief.

We believe that these issues may be addressed by amending Section 7 and defining all authorized activities, and this will provide further safeguards and will allow implementation of the enforcement mechanism to accomplish the goal of the bill, which is to protect immigrants from becoming victims of immigration fraud.

Once again, thank you for giving me an opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank you.

morning. My name is Raluca Oncioiu; I'm the Director of Immigration Legal Services and of the Immigration Hotline that has been referred to today under the names of ONA Hotline, New Americans Hotline, and I work at Catholic Charities Community Services, which is part of the Archdiocese of New York, and I'm here to testify in support of Int. 746 and to explain the role that the Hotline plays in the enforcement of anti-Notario and anti-fraud provisions generally.

So Catholic Charities Community Services

\_

J

\_\_\_

the community.

has been running the New Americans Hotline, which was formerly known as the New York State Immigration
Hotline since 2001. The New York State Immigration
Hotline has been funded by the State of New York
since the 80s; I believe that it was put in place to
link up immigration service providers, nonprofits,
with people who were eligible for the amnesty that
President Reagan passed, and then it has survived
since then and with the mission of educating the
public by giving general information about our
immigration laws -- I want to stress "general
information"; not legal advice over the phone, but
just general information -- and also, making
referrals to nonprofit agencies that are reputable in

In 2014, we have taken on the additional responsibility of facilitating complaints against "Notarios" and other fraudulent practitioners, and this was part of the Immigrant Assistance Service Enforcement Act and it is now preserved in Int. 746 as well. Our role is simply to be there to take the complaints because it is very often scary for

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 immigrants to call law enforcement directly, so we're
3 facilitators.

We receive complaints on our Hotline; the Hotline operates from Monday through Friday, from 9 a.m. until 8 p.m.; we speak seven different languages on staff and we also have an interpreter line that answers in 200 different languages, so we We have a Hotline can take complaints from anybody. attorney who personally follows up with all the complainants. We have worked collaboratively through the PINY Task Force, the Protecting Immigrant New Yorkers Task Force, with law enforcement, with the Attorney General's office, and the Manhattan District Attorney's office to develop a complaint form. complaint form is designed to elicit information about the fraud; it also tracks the language of the Immigrant Assistance Service Enforcement Act so that it asks all the specific questions about signage and whether they use the denomination of an attorney or a "Notario," and whether a contract was signed, etc. We take these complaints and we [bell] forward them to law enforcement. Currently the law enforcement that we work with are the district attorney offices of Bronx, Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Queens; also, the

2 New York State Attorney General's Office. We also

3 log all the complaints into the Federal Trade

4 Commission, and we have recently forwarded the

5 complaints to the Department of Consumer Affairs and

6 we look forward to working with them to forward the

7 complaints to them again.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

The Hotline does not analyze a complaint to determine whether it's "Notarios" or not; we take every complaint that we get and we forward it to all law enforcement. Law enforcement then makes the decision as to whether to look into the complaint or investigate it. As such, I have to report that we've gotten upwards of 250 complaints -- probably closer to 300 by now -- and that interestingly enough, a majority of them, more than 52%, have been against attorneys and not "Notarios," but we have gotten complaints against "Notarios."

I think, as was stated before, what we found, because we do ask how the complainant was directed to the fraudulent practitioner; more often than not, it is word-of-mouth; it is through the community, it is through friends and acquaintances. The only major exception to that was the American

Immigration Federation, which was actuallyadvertising on ethnic media.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you very much and we strongly are behind this bill and urge its passing. Thank you.

MELISSA BRENNAN: Hi, good morning or good afternoon. My name is Melissa Brennan and I'm the Supervising Attorney for Immigrants' Rights at the Urban Justice Center's Community Development Project, also known as CDP. CDP's mission is to strengthen the impact of grassroots organizations in New York City's low-income, immigrant and other excluded communities. Through our immigration practice, we provide immigration legal services to foreign-born New Yorkers on a wide range of immigration matters. In our work with communitybased partners across the five boroughs, we routinely meet immigrants who have been taken advantage of by unscrupulous immigration legal service providers. CDP also operates a Consumer Justice Practice, which works with community groups on issues of consumer fraud, especially as it impacts low-income immigrant communities. In 2012, CDP along with our community partner, NICE (New Immigrant Community Empowerment), published the policy report, Dreams and Schemes in

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

Queens, New York: Immigrant Struggles to Find Work

and Get Status in the Face of Consumer Fraud. The

4 report's findings are still relevant as we discuss

5 | this bill today.

2.2

2.3

I'm pleased to testify to offer support for Int. 746, which will strengthen the City's ability to hold accountable those who seek to prey on vulnerable immigrants.

Immigration scams not only rob victims of their hard-earned savings, they can destroy one's future immigration relief prospects and set an unwitting immigrant down the path towards deportation. Sadly, such scams continue to flourish in immigrant-heavy neighborhoods across the city.

And looking ahead to 2017, we expect to see increased immigration enforcement efforts and growing numbers of immigrants placed in removal proceedings, desperately seeking relief. In this environment, unscrupulous immigration legal service providers will proliferate in immigrant enclaves, seeking to capitalize on the desperation of immigrant New Yorkers.

In terms of the actual substance of the bill, we're especially pleased to see that it would

2 prohibit the use of the terms "Notario Public,"

3 "Notario Publico," "Notario," and "Immigration

4 Specialist." As we all know, these terms are

5 | frequently used to cloak individuals engaging in

6 unauthorized practice of law under the guise of

7 professionalism.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We also support the provisions in the bill that mandate required signage specifically posting the signage in languages in which the provider offers services. But we feel like the bill just doesn't go quite far enough and we'd really urge the Council to require that both the signage and the contract include a schedule of fees for all available services and not just the services being offered to the individual consumer. As I think we've heard in many of the examples offered today, so often it's, you know, a story of fees that just continue to multiply, with the consumer left in the dark about what to expect.

In addition to these legislative reforms, we think it will be especially crucial for DCA to be proactive in exercising oversight and enforcement.

It's really unrealistic to rely on complaints filed by immigrants as a primary enforcement tool. So many

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2 of the immigrants who we meet with, particularly

3 those who are undocumented, [bell] are nervous about

4 reporting fraud, especially when it means

5 acknowledging that something that they have signed

6 and submitted to the government contains

7 misrepresentations.

2.2

2.3

In closing, we really applaud the Council for its efforts to take action on this issue. And just one final point to make that I understand is really beyond the scope of the Council and this bill today, but just noting, you know, that this bill doesn't address the conduct of lawyers in good standing who engage in fraudulent practices, which is something that we are encountering all the time in immigrant communities. Thank you very much.

JOJO ANNOBIL: Good afternoon. My name is Jojo Annobil; I'm the Executive Director of the Immigrant Justice Corps, the country's first fellowship program that recruits law graduates and college graduates and pairs them with legal service providers and community-based organizations. Thank you so much for giving us an opportunity to testify today.

the City Council is behind them.

2.2

2.3

This bill could not have come at a much opportune time like this because of whatever is going on in the country in terms of chaos in our communities, and this goes a long way to calming the fears of our clients and also letting them know that

There are two things that I just want to talk about, and that is that Int. 746 would prohibit immigration assistance service providers from giving any legal advice, including advising a client as to his immigration status. Perhaps most significantly, it also recognizes that the immigration law, selecting which form to file and advising the client on how to fill out a form, is engaging the practice of law and that's prohibited activity for immigration assistance service providers.

Indeed, with the prohibition on giving legal advice, selecting forms or advice on how to complete forms, it is difficult to see any activity that immigration assistance service providers could engage in which would not violate Int. 746 or federal law. Our only concern with this bill is that by regulating immigration assistance service providers, there may be some perception that there is a

legitimate service that these providers can provide,
when in fact, it is hard to imagine what that service

4 might be.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We also would want to talk about funding for enforcement. We urge the Council to provide funding to the Department of Consumer Affairs and to any other agency that will be enforcing this law. Having the law on the books will be a great start towards fighting unauthorized practice of law, but to really make a difference, the City's agencies would need resources and a mandate to make inspections and issue violations. With the fines that inspectors could issue under the law, the City could easily recoup the cost of their salaries and, most importantly, help put an end to agencies' provision of services that lead to irreparable harm to vulnerable immigrants. The City should also fund the Department of Consumer Affairs to provide educational and outreach materials to the immigrant community. It is crucial that we let immigrants know who can provide legal services and who cannot.

Thank you so much for giving us this opportunity and we look forward to working with the Council.

Hotline doesn't just take complaints, we also make

25

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 117 2 referrals to ActionNYC, to Legal Aid, to NYLAG; to all the nonprofit legal service providers in New York 3 4 City and throughout the state, and I think, you know, 5 that goes a long way; not knowing who to turn to is 6 crucial... [interpose] 7 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right. RALUCA ONCIOIU: if you know who to turn 8 9 to because you're being told; then that makes a difference, and you can go there for free. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right. Another 12 question for you; in your testimony you mentioned 13 that you've worked with law enforcement to deal with 14 a lot of the issues you encountered. 15 RALUCA ONCIOIU: Well what we're set up to do is when we get a complaint we fill out the form 16 17 with as much detail as possible and then we forward it to law enforcement. 18 19 How responsive are CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: 20 they? Do they usually take... [crosstalk] 21 RALUCA ONCIOIU: Well... 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: these cases very 2.3 seriously; do they go out and do a lot of follow-up...?

24

[sic] [crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 118
2	RALUCA ONCIOIU: I believe they do, but
3	once they start an investigation, they will not
4	communicate with us about that; they don't generally
5	communicate with anyone. But I think, as
6	Commissioner Agarwal mentioned before, I think for
7	law enforcement, given the scarce resources they
8	have, to initiate an investigation they may have to
9	get several complaints against a provider, so that's
10	the key; the key is get as many complaints as
11	possible to show a pattern and then that would make
12	it more likely that something will an investigation
13	will take place.
14	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right. So what's
15	the Hotline number?
16	RALUCA ONCIOIU: The Hotline number is
17	1-800-566-7636, and it's in the testimony, in the
18	third paragraph.
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Well thank
20	you.
21	RALUCA ONCIOIU: Thank you very much
22	[crosstalk]
23	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you so much.
24	Thank you again.

HELEN DROOK: Thank you.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 11
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have a great day.
3	With that said, this Committee hearing has reached
4	its conclusion.
5	[gavel]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 13, 2016