CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

November 15, 2016 Start: 1:27 p.m. Recess: 3:02 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma

David G. Greenfield Barry S. Grodenchik Joseph C. Borelli

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Stanley Shor Assistant Commissioner Franchise Administration DoITT

Chad Rosenthal
Acting General Counsel
DoITT

Anne Koenig Senior Director of Public Structures DoITT

Jen Hensley General Manager Link for CityBridge

Jillian Baker Head of Deployment Link for CityBridge

Ruth Fasoldt Community Affairs Manager Link for CityBridge

Rhonda Binda
Executive Director
Jamaica Center Business Improvement
District

Lucille Songhai Representative Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jeanne Dorak
Representative
Association for a Better NY

Clayton Banks Co-Founder Silicon Harlem

Jarret Hova
Policy Director
Tech:NYC

Kimberly Brennsteiner
Director of Programs
Older Adults Technology Services

Jordan Wouk
Representative
Manhattan Community Board 8

the city. Creation of the network started in

Manhattan and has steadily been expanding throughout

24

2.2

2.3

the rest of the city. The newly-installed kiosks are welcomed by New Yorkers, but there have been unforeseen problems. While LinkNYC continues to level the digital playing field by giving New Yorkers Wi-Fi service, there also still remains a need to quicken the pace of its installation in the outer boroughs. The City's agreement with CityBridge calls for the installation of 7,500 kiosks by 2024; however, at this date not even one-tenth of that number has been installed or activated.

Additionally, Department of Information
Technology and Telecommunications (DoITT) must ensure
that users of LinkNYC understand the need for why the
technology exists; namely, to allow for all New
Yorkers to have the ability to access real-time
information and use their telephone services at no
charge. However, we did see a misuse of LinkNYC, as
you know, and that ability to misuse has been
addressed. So it's my hope that this discussion will
shed light on what the Administration intends to do
to ensure that the kiosks are used properly while
also informing us of what remedies have been
implemented and providing updates on LinkNYC's
expansion to the outer boroughs.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 6
2	In society today, access to the internet
3	is paramount, to be sure; LinkNYC is much-needed and
4	a commendable first step in granting all New Yorkers
5	the opportunity to enjoy the benefits of the
6	information age. I hope to have a productive
7	discussion today; I look forward to the
8	Administration's testimony and without further to do
9	I have enough to do [sic] let me introduce the
10	members of the Administration who are here Stanley
11	Shor is here from DoITT, Anne Koenig from DoITT, and
12	Chad Rosenthal from DoITT as well. I have to swear
13	you in. Please raise your right hands. Do you swear
14	to affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and
15	nothing but the truth today?
16	STANLEY SHOR: Yes.
17	[background comments]
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. You may
19	proceed. Mr. Shor; do you wanna start first?
20	STANLEY SHOR: Yes, thank you
21	[crosstalk]
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, Mr. Shor.
23	STANLEY SHOR: Good afternoon Chairman
24	 Vacca. My name is Stanley Shor and I'm the Assistant

Commissioner of Franchise Administration for the

2	Department	of	Information	Technology	and
---	------------	----	-------------	------------	-----

3 Telecommunications (DoITT). Seated with me today is

4 Chad Rosenthal, DoITT's acting General Counsel, and

5 Anne Koenig, DoITT's Senior Director of Public

6 Communication Structures. We are pleased to speak

7 today about LinkNYC, an ambitious, first-of-its-kind

8 | franchise to build a network of free Wi-Fi kiosks

9 across the five boroughs. When we set out to take on

10 this innovative project, we decided to replace

11 | outmoded payphones, while providing millions of New

12 York City residents and visitors with an extremely

13 | in-demand service: free, high-speed Wi-Fi.

ever attempted a public Wi-Fi project of this scope.

As the nation's largest city, New York is often

looked to for leadership in technology. As more

municipalities strive to become "Smart Cities," New

York City is ahead of the curve and is setting the

trend. We are excited about LinkNYC and we are

extremely confident in the ability of our franchisee,

CityBridge, to successfully implement our vision.

The product they're launched is evolving, and it has

been rewarding to see the progress so far.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

_

Although there had been discussion regarding the need to transform the City's payphones since 2012, it was this administration that prioritized broadband accessibility, getting practicable projects off the ground. In April of 2014, DoITT released a request for proposals (RFP) for public communications structures that called for, at minimum, free calling to 311, 911 and free 24/7 public Wi-Fi. In November of that year, CityBridge was awarded the franchise.

Under a non-exclusive franchise agreement with DoITT, CityBridge is authorized to build up to 10,000 LinkNYC kiosks to replace the public payphone infrastructure across the five boroughs over the course of the franchise term. In total, 7,500 LinkNYC kiosks will be installed over the first eight years, and the City will receive a minimum of \$500 million in ad revenue over the first twelve years. This is a win-win situation for New York City: advertising on LinkNYC kiosks sustains and funds the entire project, ensuring no cost to taxpayers. All LinkNYC services are truly free for New Yorkers and visitors alike. And funds from this franchise are already allowing us to address broadband inequity;

such as the City's investment of \$10 million to

provide free broadband to thousands of residents in

New York City Housing Authority developments across

5 | the City.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LinkNYC kiosks offer several beneficial services. The free Wi-Fi is extremely fast, with up to gigabit speeds, and a minimum range of 150 feet in each direction. Since Links will be installed along commercial corridors, many businesses and their customers will be able to take advantage of the Wi-Fi beyond the sidewalk. As many of us who use smartphones know, data usage can be quite expensive. A convenient, reliable, fast Wi-Fi network will allow New Yorkers to save a ton on their mobile phone bills. Links also serve as public telephones, but unlike payphones, nationwide calls from a LinkNYC kiosk are 100% free. The kiosks also have a dedicated button to quickly call 911 in an emergency. The tablet interface offers wayfinding and allows any user to access City services through a 311 online application. Finally, each kiosk contains two USB ports for quick mobile device charging.

New Yorkers across the City are eager to take advantage of these services. That's why our

2.2

2.3

franchise agreement with CityBridge commits to installing Links in each of the five boroughs. The project is currently in year two of construction, with approximately 7% of the entire rollout completed. Citywide, there are currently 558 LinkNYC kiosks installed, 434 of which are active. DoITT and CityBridge expect to steadily roll out more Link locations across the five boroughs in the coming year. Although some of our borough deployment targets are behind schedule, we are addressing the challenges head-on with CityBridge. The progress made over the past several months is substantial, and we are encouraged by the good work our franchise is doing.

The City is pouring in a lot of time and effort into the success of this initiative. This transformational project is an important step towards Mayor de Blasio's goal of providing every resident and business access to affordable, reliable, high-speed broadband service by 2025, and DoITT is honored to work in support of that effort. LinkNYC's success can easily be measured by its usage, and the usage will only increase as more kiosks are installed and activated. As of yesterday, there have already been

\perp	

•

over 45 million Wi-Fi sessions, used by 784,670 subscribers to date. All of these users have consumed a cumulative total of 363 terabytes of data cumulatively. For perspective, this amounts to approximately 181,000 New Yorkers' typical monthly 2 gigabyte-per-month data plan. That is the approximate equivalent of downloading 90 million mp3s of music.

The tablet, which is the gateway to calls, 911, 311, and maps, has also been population. In the month of October, users made over 4,000 911 calls and more than 172,000 non-911 calls. The maps application was accessed more than 40,000 times, and the 311 app was accessed approximately 13,000 times.

As CityBridge continues to install

LinkNYC kiosks across the City, we have been actively engaging our partners in the community and government. We have been receiving feedback from

Council Members, Borough Presidents, Community

Boards, and community advocates, in addition to data coming in via 311 to CityBridge from the public-atlarge. All of the feedback we have received weighed heavily in decisions we have made about adjustments to the kiosks' functionality. In response to noise

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

complaints, CityBridge lowered the volume of the kiosks' speakers by 50% after 10:00 p.m. When inquiries were received about the brightness of the ad screens, CityBridge implemented a dimming function that reacts to ambient light. Most importantly, in mid-September, we made the decision to disable the internet browser of the tablet in response to reports of individuals using the Links for extended periods of time.

Adjustments to the system like these directly address public input to ensure that these valuable assets remain a boon to New York City's neighborhoods. We want people to know these complaints, while valid, reflected a very small percentage of the hundreds of thousands of users who benefit from LinkNYC's useful free services.

Nonetheless, since disabling the browser, complaint data and anecdotal feedback indicate that there has been a significant drop in issues relating to the congregation of individuals at kiosks. In fact, we have seen a noticeable increase in the number of tablet users and a severe drop in loitering complaints.

2.2

2.3

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 While we do not have any plans to bring 3 back the browser, DoITT and CityBridge have been exploring the possibility of expanding the tablet's 4 5 capabilities in the form of applications for specific users. Additionally, DoITT and CityBridge have 6 partnered to create time-sensitive features, such as 8 our efforts to engage New Yorkers in the election In October, the table featured a page with process. a link to register to vote, which was clicked 4,283 10 11 times. Earlier this month, a similar page was 12 featured that helped users find their polling sites 13 for the general election. That link was clicked 14 3,015 times. These are the types of features that we

Thank you giving us the opportunity to testify before the Committee today. DoITT and CityBridge will continue to work with the Council as we deploy LinkNYC across the five boroughs. We welcome your feedback, and we are happy to answer your questions.

hope to continue to do in the future.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony and for being here, and we've been joined by Council Member Barry Grodenchik, member of the Committee.

2	I do have some questions. As someone who
3	lives in the Bronx, I have to tell you that I walk
4	the streets of Manhattan and I see these things
5	kiosks within two to three blocks of each other
6	and I don't have one of them in my council district
7	at all. Brooklyn I think just has three. So why is
8	there this continuing inequity and what are we doing
9	to provide service to boroughs other than Manhattan?
10	STANLEY SHOR: Okay. Well the contract
11	was based upon the initial premise of replacing the
12	payphones, so that was the starting point as far as
13	the numbers were concerned. We did negotiate changes
14	in that distribution because we recognized that the
15	boroughs were underrepresented by the payphone count,
16	so we did increase the numbers in each of the
17	boroughs outside of Manhattan. But ultimately there
18	will continue to be more in Manhattan than in the
19	boroughs, but as you indicated, people from other
20	boroughs do walk around Manhattan, so we do feel that
21	this is providing a service to all of the residents
22	of the city where people are walking around
23	extensively. That is not to say that there is not a

need in all of the boroughs, but we do have plans and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

it's clear in the contract where we're going with the plan for the boroughs, so... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I must tell you, I don't agree with that premise, by the way. from the Bronx may walk in Manhattan, but that does not negate the fact that people in the Bronx should have the service.

STANLEY SHOR: Oh I'm not saying that... CHAIRPERSON VACCA: If people in Manhattan want the service, let them come and walk in the Bronx. [laugh] No, I mean, that wasn't the premise... [crosstalk]

STANLEY SHOR: I'm not saying that is... CHAIRPERSON VACCA: because... that was not the premise of the original contract; the original contract was that there would no inequality and certainly the Administration has been clear when it comes to inequality, so why is Brooklyn and the Bronx not being treated on an equal basis? [background comment] And Queens, and I think you just put one on Staten Island. But the reality is, is that we're using payphones as the basis; is that the only basis for installing the kiosks...? [crosstalk]

2	STANLEY SHOR: No, we added We added
3	quite a few to the formula for the boroughs outside
4	Manhattan and we reduced the number for Manhattan,
5	but there still is a bias towards Manhattan because
6	of the enormous central business district in
7	Manhattan, and the whole premise that this is being
8	paid for by advertising, which is extremely valuable
9	in Manhattan, so this is an attempt to balance all of
10	that out and get the services out to the boroughs and
11	this is all being part of the formula. This formula,
12	which was already encapsulated in the contract that
13	was approved by the Franchise and Concession Review
14	Committee, so I understand you might not be happy
15	with the… that formula… [crosstalk]
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, we know it was

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, we know it was approved, but the reality is too... Commissioner, the reality is also that we are behind schedule...

STANLEY SHOR: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: significantly behind schedule. Can you describe how far behind are we, and what were the mitigating factors in our being behind, and what are we doing to catch up? You did use the term before -- "ultimately" was the term that you used, ultimately -- but ultimately I think could

2	be	seven	or	eight	years	before	we	see	full	service	01
3	ful	ll con	trac	t term	ns met	. How	lonc	ı is	this	contract	: ?

4 Am I right; is it seven to eight years?

2.2

2.3

5 STANLEY SHOR: The contract has an 6 initial term of twelve years... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Twelve.

STANLEY SHOR: and with an extension of another three years and it was designed to be coterminous with the street furniture franchise so that the folks in charge at that point in 2026 would, if they wish to, look at the possibility of combining services and if they don't wish to, then it will continue for a full fifteen years.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So how far behind are we now and what were the mitigating factors that determined that we would indeed be in the position we're in today?

STANLEY SHOR: Okay. I'm going to describe generally the issues that have arisen, because I'm not the company itself and the company itself that's here that has the direct knowledge, and I wouldn't wanna violate an oath that I just made that I'm telling the truth, because I can't tell you the truth 'cause I wasn't actually the person

experiencing the issues. So for example, the company
expressed to us difficulties that they had dealing
with the conduit system that Verizon controls in the
boroughs; a lesser extent of an issue in Manhattan
and the Bronx because of a separate system that was
created by the old City of New York; the Empire City
Subway system, so that's why it's been helpful and
quicker to get the fiber deployed in Manhattan and
the Bronx, 'cause of the common conduit system, but
in Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island, they rely on
the fully-owned Verizon system that was designed
purely for Verizon's use, so they've had to work with
that, and had to deal with a Verizon strike that
happened during this period time, and they've also
dealt with a lawsuit that involved a number of
payphones that are both in Manhattan and outside of
Manhattan, but that consumed a considerable amount of
resources. So the Bronx is not far behind its
schedule; actually, the Bronx has 33 installed, 22
activated; there should be 29 activated in the Bronx
pursuant to the first year's schedule the first
year's milestone passed on July 21. So they didn't
meet that milestone, but we expect that they will
have met that milestone very shortly. In the other

boroughs they are farther behind due to complications, which the company is better equipped to explain.

Your agency look to take action if a deadline is not met as per the rollout? At what point do we -- are there certain times within the contract that you assess progress or do you wait for twelve years of the contract to elapse? When is the point that you determine that there should be a penalty for this inordinate or this significant delay I should say?

there's an annual milestone in the contract, so the first anniversary was July 21 and that is a time when if we were to assess specific liquidated damages we could; we have been... under the contract the franchisee is entitled to claim unavoidable delays to refute the liquidated damages; they have made claims; those claims are under review by the Department, so it's not that we have not initiated or looked at the provisions of the contract to ensure compliance; we are looking at all of that. At the same time we are trying to work very hard with the company to assist them in achieving the vision, because it's more

important to us to help facilitate any issues that
they have, and that's where we are. So we work
constantly with them, we are monitoring them
constantly, we're trying to understand their issues;
if it involves bringing together parties, we try to
bring together parties and move this along, because
nobody wants to have them not meet their numbers. We
didn't fight hard in a negotiation to ensure that
there was a distribution across the five boroughs and
reasonable numbers that met the scrutiny of the
Borough Presidents during the Franchise and
Concession Review Committee process and then say
okay, that's done now; we're not gonna enforce it.
We are very eager to make this happen and we feel
that if they don't look good; we don't look good, and
we understand that's how you look at us too.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You did mention in your testimony -- on page two -- 558 LinkNYC kiosks are installed, 434 of which are active. Why are there inactive kiosks and what do we do to activate them? So that's about a 20% inactive rate, so what do we...

STANLEY SHOR: Okay. So again, I will talk generally about this and defer to the company to

explain it in more detail, but once they install a

Link there is a process for activation, which

involves Con Edison bringing the electricity to the

kiosk, the fiber being connected to the kiosk, a

certain amount of technical logistics and making sure

that the kiosk is working properly before it's fully

turned on.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I know that we resolved it, but we did have a couple of days where instances were pointed out that people were using the internet access to watch pornography for extended periods of time and things like that and did your agency anticipate this when we initiated this? Was this a possibility you foresaw or was this something that we thought would never happen? I just wanted to know how we got there as well.

STANLEY SHOR: Okay. So the request for proposals, which we wrote, mentioned the possibility of proposals including a touch screen tablet; the request for proposals did not require a touch screen tablet with full access to the internet; the proposal submitted by CityBridge, when they submitted their proposal and when the contract was negotiated, did not detail having full access to the internet, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

full access to the internet was never a requirement of the contract -- except for Wi-Fi would have full access. So the touch screen was supposed to be additional way-finding and city services and that what was specified in the proposal. The company came forward when they were ready to produce the first Links and included a button to access the internet; at the time it sounded like a good idea, the City did not oppose it, and once it was implemented, it was -it was implemented by the company; they included pornography filters, but apparently people are very clever in getting around filters and it didn't include any time limits and that also created a problem, and the anticipation for this amenity was not for an extended use kind of situation on the street; it was supposed to be for rapid convenience; just like a payphone, you don't expect somebody to spend a two-hour phone call on a payphone, you expect a quick call; we didn't expect people spending a lot time there, and we truly expected the most popular use of these kiosks could be the Wi-Fi, and which people could use for hours and hours at locations not right next to the Link, they can be within a 150-foot radius, maybe even 300-foot radius, if the conditions

)

are proper. So that's the situation there. We did not anticipate this and when it became a problem, we all looked at and said, nice as it would be have this available, it was more of a problem than it was worth.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I wanted to go back to the borough distribution issue. What I need today is a timetable from your agency or the concessionaire, but I need a timetable as to when are we going to see equity in the other boroughs? Right now we have more of these kiosks in Manhattan than we have in the other four boroughs combined, and that is inequitable and that is unfair; it's not giving technology to people in four boroughs of the City of New York to any level that the people of Manhattan have. So what is your timetable to give equity to boroughs outside Manhattan?

STANLEY SHOR: So the company,

CityBridge, which will be speaking I assume next, has been building out in Queens, the Bronx, Brooklyn and Staten Island; they've made it to all of the boroughs, they've done extensive fiber work leading up to the deployment; they are escalating their installations currently. As far as when they will be

2.2

2.3

equal to Manhattan -- none of the other boroughs are going to be equal to Manhattan in the number; the numbers ultimately, at the end of the contract,

Manhattan will be I think approximately 50% of the installations and the other four boroughs the other 50%, and that's the way the contract is set up; that's after negotiating; this is a contract that's made to be self-paying so that it supports itself and that the advertising revenues pay for the deployment in all of the boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But it's certainly not fair that Manhattan has more than the total of the other four boroughs in these Wi-Fi installations. How is that fair? How is that equitable? It's not, and we have no timetable as to when it's going to be corrected; we're being told today that there is no timetable as to when that will be corrected and that in the long term, yes, there will be more in Manhattan than in any of the individual boroughs, but we're facing the prospect that there will be more of these Wi-Fi kiosks in Manhattan than in the other four boroughs combined. That's unacceptable to me.

STANLEY SHOR: What I said before about the contract is, what's in the contract provides for

the eight-year build-out, and after the eight-year build-out there's an additional period of time that, at the mutual agreement between the company and the franchisee, there can be another 2,500 added into the distribution around the City.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But Commissioner,
many of these kiosks are in high-end neighborhoods
that have access to Wi-Fi and neighborhoods that are
poorer neighborhoods or middle-income neighborhoods
that don't have access may never see these kiosks or
may see them twelve years from now. That represents
something which I know City policy traditionally is
against; it is overlooking big parts of this city, in
favor of those who have this service already and have
easy access to it based on their economic status, so
it's just totally unfair.

STANLEY SHOR: So let me just set this forth. This is one contract that the City is doing and it was based upon an effort to replace the payphones and provide an amenity to replace the payphones, leveraging what was there with the payphones. The City has a broader policy and a broader plan for broadband and the City is working with the Housing Authority to bring Wi-Fi into the

modifications were made by the Borough Presidents so

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that outer boroughs -- I hate that term, by the way -- but boroughs other than Manhattan would get a sense of fairness and it just looks like we're sitting here today and the fact that that has not happened yet, and that even long-term we're looking at that never happening, and as the committee that has oversight over that, I'm not happy hearing this today, very honestly. I need from you, and I think -- I know I need from your agency, an expedited timetable as to how we're going to meet the needs of boroughs outside Manhattan, you know, and I think part of that is you're siting criteria. acknowledge that Manhattan has more payphones, but if that's the case; then there are other siting criteria options that your agency has. Am I correct?

going where payphones were before and some of the locations where payphone were before are not going to get Links because of issues with those sites. So we are happy to hear from you and other Council Members as to specific areas within your districts and your boroughs where you would like to see the Links and where you don't see payphones at the current time; that is, the basic plan is to leverage the locations

2.2

2.3

of the payphones, but that's not the only possibility
and in fact, CityBridge has identified a number of
locations around the city, and we're working on more
than 150 applications for new sites to extend into
the boroughs and get to locations that need to have
service.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But your testimony indicated that you were working with Community Boards, so if you're working with Community Boards, have they not given you suggested locations in their districts?

STANLEY SHOR: They have.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Alright, so they represent districts outside Manhattan, so what are we doing with those locations; what are we telling the Community Boards if they're not in Manhattan? They give you a location; do we tell them you want a list within the twelve-year contract or do we tell them it'll be done in a year? What do we tell the Boards outside Manhattan who do give you a list?

STANLEY SHOR: We tell the Boards... you know, first we thank them for giving us the locations, that we're going to share them with the franchisees and we're gonna come up with a plan.

We're still pretty early on in the contract and we're
still learning during this process as to how long it
takes to figure these locations out. The company
will express their own process of what they're
learned and what constraints they have run into as
far as the deployment; they like to do a corridor so
that it makes sense that you're creating a corridor
and Wi-Fi and then people can travel along the
corridor and have Wi-Fi. But we are speaking to all
of these folks and we are very appreciative of when
they do tell us where they are eager to have them.
What will happen is then those sites will go into a
process; the company will determine whether they can
build them; we will determine whether they fit the
siting criteria; then we'll go back to the Community
Board, to the Council Member and to the Borough
President and the Business Improvement District, if
there is one, for a comment period. So I understand
your frustration with the slowness of the process,
but part of the process is actually built into the
contract and because of the authorizing resolution
that we worked under, which requires a 60-day process
for new sites, a comment period to review externally
after we've done an internal review. So it's much

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 30
2	simpler with regard to the existing payphone sites,
3	so we made a determination that those sites could
4	automatically be replaced, but the new sites are a
5	longer process. So the company is actively looking
6	at new sites; we are soliciting comments from the
7	Community Boards; there hasn't been a tremendous
8	amount of recommendations, but there have been
9	recommendations, and we are eager to be accommodating
LO	in that regard.
L1	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. How do people
L2	submit complaints or concerns about particular
L3	kiosks?
L4	STANLEY SHOR: People should submit
L5	complaints through 311.
L6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I have no further
L7	questions; I think that I think you know where I'm
L8	going with this and I do have questions for the
L9	franchisee, so.
20	One thing though, I did wanna ask this
21	about revenue. When we enter into a concession, the

STANLEY SHOR: Yeah.

concessionaire commits to revenue commitments to the

22

23

24

City...

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: so we are behind in the installation, so did the concessionaire in this matter commit to X amount of revenue for the first year of the contract and were they able to deliver to the City the committed amount of revenue that was going to be produced?

STANLEY SHOR:

Okay. So this contract is -- there is a formula for the revenue, the formula is based upon a number of 4,000 advertising units, which includes the old payphones and the Links. company started out with less than the 4,000 because of a lawsuit with a company named Telebeam, which has been fighting to retain their units, which this lawsuit, we are hopeful that there will be a resolution to in the near future. So then the first year's minimum annual guarantee, based upon 4,000 advertising units, was \$20 million; they wound up paying us \$18 million because they had less than the full number, but it wasn't because they were behind on the installation. So the other aspect is that there's a minimum annual quarantee versus 50% of their revenue, so any revenue that the company makes pursuant to this contract is split 50/50 with the City. So we've done fairly well at this point, since

CHAD ROSENTHAL: Sure. So the Federation

for the Blind has been working with the franchisee

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

yourself and you can start off.

such that their concerns are addressed. They had submitted claims that the kiosks as they were installed and implemented do not meet the ADA requirements; that was their claim. And our position has been to monitor that case very closely, as the franchisee has been working with the Federation for the Blind, and we hope they'll reach resolution soon as well. It's very important to DoITT that the structures all comply with the ADA and other accessibility laws and we require that in the contract for this franchisee and all others [sic].

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, I have no further questions. I wanna thank you all for coming. And we'll call our [background comment] next panel, which will be from -- no, I wanna call... [background comment] CityBridge, yeah, I didn't read that, I'm sorry, CityBridge, Jillian Baker from CityBridge, Jen Hensley from CityBridge, and Ruth Falslut [sic] [background comment] [laughter] Fasoldt. Yes, Ruth, okay, from CityBridge. 'Kay, come have your seat. [background comments]

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, please introduce

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 34
2	[background comment]
3	JEN HENSLEY: Are we good? [background
4	comment] Yeah. Thanks so much, Chairman Vacca;
5	appreciate you guys having me here today. I am Jen
6	Hensley, General Manager of Link for CityBridge
7	[crosstalk]
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can I interrupt one
9	second
10	JEN HENSLEY: Oh sure.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I just wanna mention
12	that we've been joined by Council Member Borelli.
13	JEN HENSLEY: Great.
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: 'Kay.
15	JEN HENSLEY: I am Jen Hensley, the
16	General Manager of Link for CityBridge, the
17	consortium of companies bringing LinkNYC to the
18	streets of New York. I am joined by Jillian Baker,
19	our Head of Deployment and Ruth Fasoldt, our
20	Community Affairs Manager and we appreciate the
21	opportunity to be here to testify today.
22	When we first proposed LinkNYC as the
23	solution for the City's obsolete payphone
24	infrastructure, we imagined a city connected by the

fastest Wi-Fi available, completely free for all of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

delivered.

New York's residents, workers and visitors. We

planned an agile interface housed in beautiful

structures that could be adapted, updated and changed

as the needs of our users evolved. We proposed a

premier service built to last throughout the life of

the 12-year contract, and that's what CityBridge has

We're investing several hundred million in capital to bring this service to New Yorkers, at no cost to taxpayers, and with no public money invested. The entire project is 100% privately In fact, over the life of our franchise, we funded. will be generating more than \$500 million to the City by sharing 50% of the revenue earned from the advertising displayed on the Links. In just our first year of the project, as of January 2016, LinkNYC created more than 190 direct jobs and more than 100 additional indirect and induced jobs. that time, LinkNYC has already generated \$72 million in local economic impact and that number continues to grow throughout this year. We are compiling information for our 2016 annual economic impact report which we will submit to DoITT in January 2017.

3 4 5

Links have already proven very popular, and because the vast majority of our users are not visible by looking at Links, I want to walk you through the statistics -- you heard some of them from Stanley. Nearly 800,000 unique users have signed up for our Wi-Fi, nearly 40,000 free phone calls made each week from our kiosks, and more than 80,000 people each day logging onto our network. So even just this far in our deployment, we're already having a big impact on the city.

As excited as we are to roll out this service, we have faced significant challenges related to our deployment, including litigation between Telebeam and the City of New York, the six-week Verizon strike that occurred last summer, and the significant challenges that we've identifying locations for Links.

It's true that 21st century
infrastructure does not fit neatly in a 20th century
footprint, so I'd like to discuss the deployment
process so that you can understand the complexity and
coordination necessary to deliver this first-of-itskind technology. While the starting point for this
franchise was the footprint of the old payphones,

2.2

2.3

deployment of Links has entailed far more planning and complex coordination than anticipated.

First, it's important to point out that there are different conduit systems in Manhattan and the Bronx as compared to Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island. In Manhattan and the Bronx, as Stanley mentioned, the conduit infrastructure is operated by Empire City Subway, or ECS, which allows third-party vendors to perform work without going through a time-consuming application process. Verizon owns and operates conduit infrastructure in Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island and has strict policies and guidelines for third parties that wish to access and perform work in Verizon-managed manholes. So we face slightly different challenges in different parts of the city.

In terms of our own team, we have enlisted a group of GIS mappers, data analysts and planners to identify the sites necessary to build the Link network. We have another 14 people working full-time on permitting, engineering and managing construction of sites in our pipeline. Standing up that team and the processes necessary to identify and

2.2

2.3

deliver the Link sites has been significantly more extensive than anyone originally anticipated.

For each LinkNYC site, our team engages in a detailed planning process with our fiber providers and other partners to determine corridors where we believe a critical mass of Links can be installed based on foot traffic, street-level commercial activity, existing payphone locations, and other factors. Each potential site is assessed on the basis of the City's siting criteria, the site's constructability and financial viability. To give perspective on our siting requirements, Links must be at least 50 feet apart from each other, something many old payphones boots were not. Links must also be 15 feet away from a subway entrance, fire hydrant, sidewalk cafes, and other street furniture, amount other things.

On the basis of these analyses, we develop an operational plan that contains site lists, site drawings, manhole locations, and timelines for delivery. This planning process takes three to six months and reflects the partnerships and expertise we have developed over the past year as we have rolled

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

out this groundbreaking project. It results in sites being submitted to DoITT for review.

Next, DoITT reviews the sites that we propose for compliance with siting criteria and against other information they have about constructability and priority locations. existing sites, this takes approximately one to three weeks, but for new sites, within are called Greenfields, this can take more than 14 weeks due to a 60-day community review process mandated by the authorizing resolution. When DoITT issues a Notice to Proceed, CityBridge begins the pre-construction process. This includes assigning the location to one of our civil contractors, applying for and receiving the necessary DOT permits, obtaining electrical disconnect and engineering drawings from Con Edison, and doing the exploratory connection work necessary to determine whether trenching is required to provide fiber and power connections.

Where our crews can work independently in the conduit systems, in Manhattan and the Bronx ECS system, we can usually deliver this section of work in approximately four to six weeks. In Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island, Verizon controls the

conduit system and requires a six- to nine-month
exploratory or "make-ready" process in which their
teams do conduit inspection work and return the
results to us months after the routes have been
proposed. Verizon also requires its crews to be
present for any access to a manhole that our teams
will need, which adds another layer of coordination,
expense and time. And in Staten Island, where the
infrastructure is mostly aerial and access is not
through manholes, but off of poles, we had to develop
a whole new process for coordinating overlash
agreements, pole access and other plans to deliver
Link services within that infrastructure. It's
important to note that we have a cooperative and
productive relationship with Verizon and they've
worked hard across all levels of their organization
to support our deployment. Nonetheless, all of this
means that before construction can even begin we have
been planning and working on a site with our partners
for a minimum of five months and as much as a year.

Once that planning and routing work is complete, we begin construction, which includes instruct and sidewalk work to lay the foundation, complete the necessary conduit work and lay fiber and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

electricity lines. This is typically a two- to fourweek process, and even then, sites can be determined
unconstructable if they are on top of vaults, if
there is another construction project or scaffolding
in our way, or for other reasons. Once the Link is
installed, there is a one- to three-week activation

period when power and fiber are turned on and the

9 unit is tested and released for public use.

In each of these stages of the process, we lose many more sites than we start with. For example, for our Franchise Year 1 goals, we had a goal of 510 installed Links in the five boroughs. Τо achieve that, we identified 760 total sites. We lost 92 of those sites due to siting requirements, approximately 30 due to constructability issues like street protections, conflicting construction projects or vaults, and 15 that were commercially unreasonable to build. We are continuing to experience site fallout as we move forward with the construction and have had to add Greenfield sites to the pipeline in order to achieve our goals. To expedite the Greenfield process, our staff, led by Ruth Fasoldt, has been meeting with every Community Board to try to expedite the review process so we can gain additional

1

3

4

5

6

exercise in identifying and achieving built sites.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sites more quickly and move them through our process. In short, this is not a simple process of swapping one piece of street furniture for another. complex coordination of many processes, and an

We are working closely with DoITT on a daily basis to improve the process, achieve more sites and speed deployment. With more than 90 units in inventory in our Long Island City warehouse now, we are ready to put more Links in the ground quicker, and while we are working as yard as we can, significant challenges to our deployment remain. will continue to work cooperatively and effectively with the Mayor's office, DoITT and the communities where we are deploying to deliver more Links and expand our service.

We are extremely proud of the serve we're bringing to New York and of the team that is delivering this transformational project. Thank you for the opportunity to be here today; now we're happy to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. me about the fact that the outer boroughs seem to be the -- what do they say, the tail wagging the dog --

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

sounds like. We have -- right now Manhattan has much 3 more than the four other boroughs combined; why is

4 that the case?

> JEN HENSLEY: So as I discussed, there are very complex infrastructure issues, but it's important to point out that we are in a lot of neighborhoods in the outer boroughs, we're in the Grand Concourse and Fordham Road in the Bronx, we're in Jamaica, Queens, Rego Park, Sunnyside, Bed-Stuy in Brooklyn, and New Dorp Lane in Staten Island as of this week, and so we're working really hard, as I mentioned in my testimony, to combat the challenges that we faced without outer borough deployment specifically, and to continue to continue to build sites there. But as DoITT mentioned, it's also important to note that at full build there will be 3,900 Links in Manhattan and 736 in the Bronx, and so again, those numbers, the disparate nature of what was negotiated as part of the franchise agreement reflected I think the challenges of the conduit system and the other siting criteria and things that we expected for the rollout, and LinkNYC is one part of the citywide solution for broadband accessibility, and no doubt we're behind and we're working hard to

gearing up and we're definitely behind... [interpose]

24

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But there's not a big gear-up between 29 and 33. I don't know if I can call that gear-up.

JEN HENSLEY: Well we're...

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You're a car that needs fuel; that's what it sounds to me. It's not... I wouldn't call that a gear-up.

met the Franchise Year 1 goal for the Bronx and we've met the Franchise Year 1 goal for Manhattan; in the outer boroughs were we face challenges related to the infrastructure that were discussed in my testimony, it's taking us a little bit longer to achieve the results that we wanna see there, and we're working, as I mentioned, extremely hard with a very dedicated and extensive crew to try to make up that time.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Why were there so many challenges in the other boroughs and not the challenges in Manhattan?

JEN HENSLEY: As I mentioned in my testimony, our fiber providers, as well as our own crews, are able to move freely through the conduit system, the ECS conduit system in Manhattan and the

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Bronx in a way that they're not able to in Queens, 2 3 Staten Island and Brooklyn.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But even if that's the case, the Bronx got hardly anything compared to Manhattan. Even though you're saying that we are par with Manhattan, we received a pittance compared to what Manhattan received, so that explanation does not hold true in the case of the Bronx... [interpose]

JEN HENSLEY: Well our deployment is focused in a way to meet the goals set forth in the franchise agreement. So as I mentioned, the goal for year one in the Bronx was 29 units; we've met that goal. We're continuing to build in all boroughs and you know, you will continue to see installations throughout the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I must tell you this -- and I know you're working hard, and I respect all the work you do, but if you go back through the records of this committee, when we originally had hearings, before this became a reality, I expressed the concern that this contract would have this impact because the advertisers would want Manhattan and they would not want the other boroughs, and I was told no, this would not be the case; I was told that there

would be equity, and this to me is not equity and
this only goes to reinforce my original belief when
my committee had hearings on this oh my god, has
to be two years ago, and it was unacceptable then and
it's unacceptable now that this is the rationale that
we're being given, because that is the rationale.
The rationale is that people with higher incomes will
see the advertisements that are placed on these
kiosks and they will spend money on those advertisers
and people in poorer neighborhoods in other boroughs
don't have that disposable income and they don't
provide the bang for the buck that the advertisers
want, and that's called inequity. And I said that in
the beginning and I say it again now, but now it's
ovident that that s the case

You have a City contract and I understand that you have to produce revenue for the City; I understand that you have to obey the terms of the contract, and I respect that, but we as citizens are now facing that disconnect.

Let me ask you about the kiosks; will there be information about road conditions or transit information on the Links?

,

2.2

JEN HENSLEY: Yeah, one thing just to note also is; 26% of our Manhattan deployment is in Upper Manhattan as well and so we share the equity goals of the City, the Mayor's office and the Council and so we have worked hard where possible to achieve sites in those areas and we will continue to maintain our commitment to achieve the vision of the project overall. So I just wanted to make that clear.

As relates to the transit information and notifications, 5% of the ad space on the 55-inch digital displays is available for City use for public service announcements; that's handled by NYC & Company at the City's request. And additionally, our developers are working on integrating applications that Stanley mentioned onto the tablet to make sure that transit information, weather; other types of useful information for people moving in and around the city are available at the touch of a screen.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. And regarding the challenges you said you faced, how are you overcoming those challenges?

JEN HENSLEY: We've been working closely with Verizon; they've offered us dedicated inspectors to accompany our crews in manholes in the outer

boroughs, which has had a significant impact on our
ability to do work in those boroughs. We also have
the necessary underlying infrastructure, including
our aggregation points of presence and other
foundational elements of work that have been done to
be able to stand up and scale the system in the outer
boroughs, and so we expect that we're gonna start to
see the pace pick up significantly in the outer
boroughs. In the coming weeks we have a Construction
Embargo, DOT's Construction Embargo, that we're going
into now and so it's unclear exactly what work we're
gonna be able to do during the holiday period, but we
expect early next year to be able to ramp up and
achieve additional deployments in the outer boroughs
and see real progress then

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now that's a good point you make. So DOT I know has a Construction Embargo; is that between Thanksgiving and January 1?

JEN HENSLEY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And were you subject to that last year?

JEN HENSLEY: We were subject; we got waivers on I think between five and seven sites last year; we've received five waivers for applications

mentioned on page three, "we are working closely with

DoITT on a daily basis to improve the process,

24

2.2

2.3

achieve more sites and speed the deployment." So are we having bureaucratic time delays here between DoITT, the Community Boards; the process? Is there a bureaucratic issue that takes an inordinate amount of time to get sites approved and shovels in the ground?

JEN HENSLEY: We've seen extraordinary cooperation from DoITT, and as I mentioned in my testimony, working with them on a daily basis; they have dedicated significant resources, as Stanley mentioned, to working with us to approve sites and move them through the process more quickly. We've also seen a lot of cooperation, extremely cooperative administration that has helped us work across agency where there has been difficulty, and so we are moving the processes as quickly as we can; we've found significant cooperation and continue to work together on a daily basis.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What did you do immediately when you found out that so many people in the city were using these kiosks for inordinate amount of times and using them to view inappropriate material? What did you do immediately? What was your administration action that you took?

2	JEN HENSLEY: Yeah. So we obviously take
3	that very seriously. As Stanley mentioned, we
4	launched the browser with open DNS content build
5	filtering, which is similar to what the libraries
6	use. We consulted with the Queens Public Library and
7	with the New York Public Library for guidance in
8	advance of the deployment; they suggested this tool,
9	which we used and employed. As soon as we heard that
10	people were finding their way around those content
11	filters, we worked with our development team to
12	install parental controls on the tablets, so any
13	table. We have the Spoke operating system that we
14	use, so the development team immediately took action
15	to implement that and it was rolled out very quickly.
16	When we found that people were still working around
17	that, we worked closely with DoITT and with the
18	Mayor's office to address the issue by removing the
19	browser, and so we obviously took the community
20	complaints very seriously. Ruth spent a lot of time
21	at community meetings; at hearings getting the
22	feedback; understanding the benefits of having the
23	browser and the serious pitfalls of having the
24	browser, and once it was clear there were significant
25	pitfalls, we worked immediately to address it.

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Do you have
3	demographics on who was using the kiosk young,
4	old, women; men? Do you have any demographics?
5	JEN HENSLEY: You know we do not require
6	a log-in to use the tablet; we wanted it to be free
7	and accessible to everyone, and we don't require any
8	information from users. And so we don't actually
9	have that demographic information about tablet users
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I have no
11	further questions.
12	JEN HENSLEY: Thank you very much; I
13	appreciate the time… [crosstalk]
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, thank you
15	very much.
16	Our next panel Jarret Hova, Clayton
17	Banks, Rhoda [sic] Binder [sic], Jeanne Dorak from
18	ABNY, and is this the same person? [background
19	comment] Okay, yes; that's it. [background comment]
20	Lucille Songhai from Borough President Gale Brewer's
21	office, would you come up? Would you like to go
22	first, Miss, in the center? Introduce yourself,

please.

	RHOI	NDA :	BINDA:	Thai	nk y	ou.	Thank	you
Chairman	Vacca	and	members	of	the	Tech	inology	7
Committee	÷ .							

My name is Rhonda Binda and I am the

Executive Director of the Jamaica Center Business

Improvement District (BID). I am a technology lawyer

by trade and a "Smart City" evangelist. Thank you

for the opportunity to share remarks regarding

today's oversight hearing on LinkNYC.

Our BID is home to Jamaica Avenue, whose commercial corridor remains one of New York City's most vibrant shopping destinations. The district includes over 300 businesses, several major cultural and educational institutions, and the city, state and federal government offices. It also includes one of New York City's most critical transportation hubs with connections to JFK Airport, the AirTrain station, Long Island Railroad, and MTA subways.

Our economic development strategy for Jamaica, Queens is a three-pronged strategy focused on the 3Ts: transportation, tourism and technology. In Jamaica, we are planting the seeds for upward mobility through digital literacy. We believe that

2.2

2.3

2 in today's knowledge economy, connectivity is a 3 baseline utility and it's a human right.

That is why we are thrilled to report
that last month, more than a dozen LinkNYC kiosks
were installed along Jamaica Avenue, providing free
Wi-Fi and phone charging for residents, businesses
and visitors. In a developing and vibrant community
such as ours, it is increasingly important to have
state of the art services that attract businesses and
real estate investment in this part of New York City.

The installation of these Links are a tremendous opportunity for businesses that serve millions of customers each year in Jamaica. The ability to display advertising on the Link will only help attract customers to the diverse businesses located in the district.

The installation of Links in Jamaica is also a major safety resource, with its red 911 call button for direct access to an emergency operator.

We are also pleased with the decision to disable certain web-browsing features, which will allow more users to interact with the kiosks while limiting loitering around the equipment.

2.2

2.3

2.3

The Jamaica BID corridor still lacks high-speed internet; it's a problem our businesses suffer deeply from, as internet is often even needed to run simple credit card payment systems. Though not without challenged, LinkNYC has the potential to supplement this historical digital divide in our neighborhoods as we pursue connectivity for all.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our thoughts and we look forward to the installation of more Links in southeast Queens, and the ability to partner with the City and CityBridge on these endeavors.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. On to Gale Brewer [inaudible]. Lucille.

LUCILLE SONGHAI: Hi everyone. My name is Lucille Songhai; I'm representing the Manhattan Borough President, Gale A. Brewer. I would like to thank Chairman Vacca and members of the Committee on Technology for holding this hearing on LinkNYC as it's being rolled out in Manhattan communities.

Before the disabling of LinkNYC's web browser, our office was inundated with calls and emails from residents, civic organizations, and Community Boards about the well-documented abuse of

1
_

J

the system's browser function. I commend DoITT,
LinkNYC, and the de Blasio administration for
listening and acting quickly to correct the problem
and for engaging Community Boards and their
technology committees in addressing public concerns.
Our Community Boards have sought to balance the
attraction of free Wi-Fi access with its neighborhood
impacts, including increased sidewalk congestion and
the bright lighting of the LinkNYC terminals. These
issues remind us that the Link connection points,
like all new infrastructure, require careful
balancing to ensure that the system is user-friendly,
widely available and well-received.

I look forward to the browser reactivation with filters to block inappropriate content and perhaps the implementation of time limits on usage. But we must be careful to ensure that we do not create another kind of digital divide that restricts the appeal and the use of LinkNYC to those who already have smartphone and home digital access.

Challenges aside, we have been encouraged by the features of LinkNYC and its east of use: access to neighborhood maps, a sign-up feature for IDNYC, and the capacity to fill out voter

3

4

5

6

7

^

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

registration forms. At the last update on the system, we were alerted to the availability of emergency preparedness information. An important potential feature would be real-time access to that information when crises arise.

We need more features that allow New

Yorkers to put LinkNYC to work for them, such as a capacity to fill out and electronically submit City forms, including job applications. The New York City Department of Small Business Services could use LinkNYC to partner with local merchants and services through an interactive map that gives their location relative to the kiosk. DHS could map drop-in centers, food pantries and meal programs, and there could be location maps for children's safe havens, police and fire stations, hospitals and clinics, houses of worship, and many commonly searched services such as UPS, FedEx, USPS, and libraries. Will the LinkNYC public data points be connected to the City's Open Data Portal? And finally, will add space be reserved for nonprofits and community-based organizations, and if so, how much? We know that currently 5% of ad space is being made available to all City agencies through New York & Co., and we

Z T

encourage LinkNYC to reach out to all Community

Boards to let them know about this great opportunity.

We do have other concerns as we move forward. For example, cameras and microphones are mounted on the kiosks for security, but we should know more about where the data is stored, how long it is kept in the system, if it is back up, and if so, where? Is it secure? If it is held by NYPD, is it shared with other law enforcement agencies? Does the City have a data-sharing agreement with other agencies or branches of government?

Quality-of-life concerns and questions about data-sharing and security and also system security are a natural part of the robust community conversation we should develop around the rollout of LinkNYC. We welcome the opportunity to work with DoITT, LinkNYC, the Community Boards, and elected officials to create that conversation and listen thoughtfully to public concerns as well as ideas to improve access and use, minimize problems, and broaden the user base to reach the largest possible number of communities.

Thank you, Chairman Vacca and the Committee for holding this timely hearing. We look

includes leaders in New York businesses, not-for-

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

profits, arts and cultural organizations, educational institutions, labor unions, and entrepreneurs.

Today we are adding our voice in support of the innovations and services that LinkNYC has provided through the installation of public Wi-Fi kiosks around New York City. The Wi-Fi kiosks that have been installed since LinkNYC launched in February 2016 replaced outdated and outmoded street furniture with ultra fast internet connection. think LinkNYC is an important addition to New York City's public infrastructure and addresses a critical need for businesses, as well as for residents and visitors.

Additionally, the priorities we've heard from the through-end and served [sic] communities is that: (1) [inaudible] provide fast, reliable and affordable internet infrastructure, and (2) that the City be able to work with them as they innovate and try out new ideas and projects.

For the first point, LinkNYC is delivering the kind of infrastructure these emerging industries find critical, particularly in areas where traditional infrastructure is widely available, and to the second point, LinkNYC and the City have

2.2

2.3

already shown how valuable working together can be on a project this groundbreaking and complex. The cooperation between both to make the decision and to remove web-browsing addressed community complaints and significantly decreased the negative impact on residents. It is our hope and recommendation that the City continues to work with LinkNYC to optimize the implementation and expansion. In addition, the advertising feature of the kiosk pays for the program but zero tax dollars are spent and brings revenue to the City.

Overall, LinkNYC's kiosks are a win-win for both private and public interests. Public access to Wi-Fi is not just becoming the desire for the 21st century city, it has become a necessity. Creating the infrastructure to support fast, accessible Wi-Fi to everyone who lives, works and visits here is a significant step forward in the right direction to keep New York competitive as a leading digital city.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you very much. Sir, would you wanna go next?

LinkNYC plays a critical role in that mission.

2	

As you may know, LinkNYC is providing not only superfast, but gigabyte speed Wi-Fi to New Yorkers, and in Harlem, on 125th Street prior to LinkNYC we had no Wi-Fi connections, so it's a huge opportunity for us uptown.

We also know that this has never been tried before at this scale and we are proud that Harlem has been included in this first run and the features that these Links have, including [inaudible], are very important as Harlem is literally the second most visited location in New York City.

The franchise also guarantees the City \$500 million over the life of the contract and Silicon Harlem looks forward to receiving all that money -- no, I mean -- I'm sorry -- [laughter] Silicon Harlem looks forward to seeing further technology [sic]... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I heard you. I heard you.

CLAYTON BANKS: [laugh] further technology infrastructure investments coming out of those funds.

We'd like to thank the Administration and CityBridge for their attention to Upper Manhattan, as Links are already installed along the east, which woefully needed it, and west side and currently connecting across our street where we operate and are housed on 125th Street. Right before our recent Broadband Matters Conference, which just happened in October, we learned that the first Link next to our own office was activated and we were excited to announce that to all the folks at our conference.

In less than a year, as you have already heard, Links has gained almost 800,000 unique users; for us, that is critical because of the pedestrian nature of Harlem; it's a clear sign that the services are wanted and needed. LinkNYC is quickly becoming an integral part of New York City and in particular, a great asset to Harlem. A lot of our young folks are living in homes that have zero broadband, up to 25%, and they walk around at 3:30 trying to find a Wi-Fi just to complete their homework. So to have LinkNYC, to have that sort of speed available to them has transformed their own way of getting their homework done.

2	We have been in support of the CityBridge
3	since before the LinkNYC official launch in February
4	2016 and have great admiration for all those working
5	on the LinkNYC project and their mission as an
6	organization. We applaud CityBridge and the LinkNYC
7	initiative for their great work and aggressive
8	timeframe on this impressive project. We look
9	forward to continue to support in their rollout.
10	Thank you very much; I'll take any
11	questions. Yeah.
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Oh no; that's up to
13	me.
14	CLAYTON BANKS: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. But thank you
16	very much.
17	CLAYTON BANKS: You're very welcome.
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Jarret, how are you
19	[crosstalk]
20	JARRET HOVA: Councilman; how are you?
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: How are you?
22	JARRET HOVA: Good. Good afternoon Chair
23	Vacca, member of the Technology Committee and staff.
21	My name is Tarret Hova and I am the Policy Director

at Tech:NYC, an industry group that represents

2.2

2.3

2 technology and startup companies in New York City.

3 Tech:NYC launched in May of this year with the

4 mission of supporting the technology industry in New

5 York. One of our primary objectives is to increase

6 engagement and dialogue between our industry and New

7 York City government.

As part of this process, we hope to work with our more than 300 member companies to help elected officials and policymakers learn more about the technology industry and how we can harness its ingenuity to address the day-to-day issues that impact our city. At the same time, we believe our member companies can learn from the people and policymakers of New York City, especially about how new and innovative ideas can adapt to the challenges presented by a large, diverse and complicated city.

Our ultimate goal in engaging in this dialogue is to demonstrate that New York City is the best place for technology companies to grow and develop. We believe that New York's unique business ecosystem, as a global center for so many industries such as finance, media, fashion, art, and real estate, will serve to strengthen the technology businesses that call New York home; and in turn,

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 technology will further strengthen those incumbent
3 industries and the City at large.

We are here today to speak in support of LinkNYC, a first-of-its-kind program that gives New Yorkers access to ultra-fast public Wi-Fi while also connecting users to a host of other services including calling anywhere in the U.S., accessing maps and city services, or charging their cell phones. From our perspective, the LinkNYC program exemplifies the value of the dialogue between technology and government that I referenced earlier, in which both sides benefit from working with the other. As many know, there were some issues with the program upon rollout, which is to be expected -especially when a civic project is the first of its kind and so large in its undertaking. But we think this is part of the inevitable learning process that results from these partnerships, and ultimately LinkNYC will provide a significant benefit for all New Yorkers.

This is because LinkNYC achieve two very important objectives: first, it makes Wi-Fi accessible in literally thousands of locations and therefore helps to bridge the digital divide; and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

second, it demonstrates New York City's forward thinking when it comes to municipal technology policy.

From the perspective of our organization, addressing the digital divide is of paramount importance. As a greater part of our lives revolve around access to broadband, the value of access to Wi-Fi grows. This is especially true for children as more tools for learning and growth are found through digital means. For this reason, our organization and several of our members have been frontline supporters of the City's CS4All initiative, a program that aims to bring computer science education to every school in New York City in the next decade. We believe technology education will only grow in importance in the future economy and it is therefore essential to provide this type of education for the children of New York City.

In this context, we can see the value of LinkNYC delivering high-quality Wi-Fi free of charge. Each LinkNYC kiosk can support hundreds of Wi-Fi users simultaneously within a range of 150-250 feet. And the actual internet service is of high quality, delivering speeds up to 100 times faster than the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

internet that most New Yorkers pay for in their homes. In order to deliver this high-quality internet service, hundreds of miles of new fiber optic cable is being installed throughout the city.

Perhaps most notably, LinkNYC will not cost users or City taxpayers any money. Apart from the actual access to Wi-Fi, LinkNYC promises to deliver several other local benefits. Five percent of the advertising generated by the system is dedicated to City agencies for public service initiatives, including space reserved for Community Boards. And the advertising can be specially programmed to support small, local businesses in neighborhoods throughout the City. The LinkNYC program will also be a source of employment for New Yorkers, as the program is expected to create up to 800 jobs in New York City. And, we've already observed the LinkNYC system as a tool for civic engagement, as it allowed people to register to vote and provided polling location information in the lead-up to Election Day.

Beyond these very worthwhile local benefits, LinkNYC increases New York's profile as a city that embraces technology and solidifies its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to the industry.

place as one of the primary tech hubs in the United From the perspective of Tech:NYC, this is no small feat and in fact, one of LinkNYC's greatest promises. The fact is that jobs in technology are vitally important for New York's continued economic health. Recent statistics indicate that the average annual salary for New York City-based workers in the technology industry was \$118,000, compared to an average of \$79,000 for all other private sector jobs in New York City and \$65,000 for all industries excluding the securities industry. In New York City, employment in the technology industry after the Great Recession grew at four times the rate of the rest of the economy, and overall employment in the tech industry jobs increased 71% between 2004 and 2014. We cannot emphasize the point enough: when technology companies decide where to set up shop, programs like LinkNYC matter because they demonstrate that New York City takes technology seriously and will be a partner

In conclusion, we believe that the LinkNYC program will deliver enormous benefits to the residents of New York City wile elevating the city's profile as a preeminent place for technology. We

look forward to continued expansion of the program and offer our assistance to help ensure it is as successful as possible.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I wanna thank our panel. We have two residents left who'd like to speak too. Kimberly Brennsteiner, Older Adults Technology Services, and Jordan Wouk from Community Board 8 in Manhattan.

[pause]

KIMBERLY BRENNSTEINER: Oh... sorry. I'm
Kimberly Brennsteiner, the Director of Programs for
OATS, Older Adults Technology Services. We're here
in support for this project today. We've been
working closely with the various teams associated
with LinkNYC since its inception, when they came to
us to learn about how seniors would receive the
project. We've gone through different types of
testing of the software, of the interfaces, of the
concept, and that's been for the last, almost two
years now, as I look back.

So it's out position that the LinkNYC project is an innovative project that really goes toward bridging the digital divide in New York City. The various teams working on the project were

_

J

concerned with seniors from the beginning, so they came to us -- primarily at our Senior Planet

Exploration Center in Chelsea -- where they were able to meet with diverse groups of seniors. The Senior Planet Exploration Center is visited by over 13,000 visits a year and a third of the seniors who come there that are 60 and up come from outside Manhattan, so they were able, over the course of the past two years, to meet with very diverse groups from different neighborhoods, to talk to them, to ask for their input and to show them how it works in different presentations and conversations there.

Senior Planet Exploratory Center; it's brought the voices of older New Yorkers into this process and that's something that's really seemed to matter to the people there. So we're looking forward to seeing how the project develops; how we can help, we know it's critical, since almost half of older New Yorkers are not online at all, and many more of them don't have broadband in the home, so the more we're able to help disseminate information about the safety, about the other issues that are kind of complicated and certainly aren't no the advertisements, the more

people are open to learning how to use it. The networks are more secure than for example what they would find at Starbucks; it opens them up to the possibility of online banking or submitting job applications or other things that are traditionally nerve-racking for people that we work to address in our programs in all five boroughs. So we're looking forward to supporting this and we're really grateful for the opportunity to speak up.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Sir.

JORDAN WOUK: Good afternoon Chairman.

My name is Jordan Wouk; Jim Clynes, Chair of
Community Board 8, asked me to come on short notice.

I am not a member of the Board; I'm a member of the
Transportation Committee as a public member.

On September 28, the Community Board sent a letter to Anne Roche, the Commissioner of DoITT, reflecting a motion that was adopted by the Community Board on September 21 -- I happen to have written the motion, so I guess that's why I'm here -- and it contains four resolves: one of them has to do with equity, which you have almost covered all of; another one has to do with privacy; a third one has to do with the physical impact on our sidewalks; and the

4

5

6

7

^

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

final one has to do with interaction between the Community Board and the vendor and the City.

Community Board 8 -- for those who don't know -- it is called the Upper East Side, from 59th to 96th and 5th Avenue to the river. Many of the people who live there -- including on the corridor of Madison Avenue and Lexington Avenue and 3rd Avenue -have no need for high-speed Wi-Fi; we can afford the plans that we need; we're well aware of that. However, within our Community Board there are in the northeast corner two NYCHA houses -- Isaacs and Holmes; this is for low-income and poor people, and nothing is being done by this program for them. I've gone through the contract; I've gone through all of the maps. Our first request to Anne was that installation of LinkNYC in the CB8 be stopped except for Isaacs and Holmes, where we want them to meet with the residents and come up with a plan, implement it and then you can continue to roll out to us; we don't need that part -- and you can imagine, we have different demographics and we're trying very hard to be sensitive to this horrible discrimination based upon housing.

The second thing has to do with privacy.

It was mentioned that there are three cameras on the kiosk; now when the representative from DoITT came

and the question was raised -- what about these three

cameras -- he said, oh they're not turned on yet.

Okay, so why are they there? So we are asking that

the cameras be taken out and no new ones installed.

You need to provide your email address in order to

use the high-speed Wi-Fi. You don't need to that on

the subway or the transit Wi-Fi; why are they doing

that? This is tracking, so we're asking that that

requirement be dropped and the person be able to use

all of the features of the Wi-Fi without having to

give their email address.

"Link kiosks will pay for themselves by displaying on-screen ads that will be hyper targeted to people within range based on data their smartphones silently provide. We strongly object to the notion of "silently provide" and what we ask in this resolution is that that require affirmative approval from the owner of the phone that it be done and that there be an easy way to get out. That's privacy.

2.

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The third one has to do with -- I'll call it the "physical impact" -- these are nine and a half feet tall and they are 35 inches wide and the top of the advertising is two feet higher than the top of the advertising on bus stops, on telephones; this is an immense visual imposition. There is also a 15inch-wide version which doesn't have the advertising, so in our district we say that the 35-inch Link should go on sidewalks that are 20 feet or more wide and that 16-inch can go on 15 feet or more. happens is; the physical structure of the 35-inch extends 4 feet from the curb and a person who's using it tends to occupy another foot or two or maybe more; all of a sudden you've cut down a significant amount of the sidewalk. So in terms of visual impact and

And finally, we would like that the CB be consulted on the location and type of all current and future installations. On the website they say that they won the contract in part because of Community First; we were not consulted at any point on this list in the process and that was said by our CB Chair, the Chairs of the Transportation Committee; they appeared as facts and in fact the first time we

physical impact, these are the requests that we have.

objected we got a representative of the vendor and they chose someone who was inexperienced; she was arrived with the literature in Spanish -- it didn't go well.

We want to have an ongoing relationship and we wanna have input on the location of these things, but the first request is that Isaacs and Holmes' requirements be addressed before anything else goes into our Community Board.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you, but I have to tell you something; what you're saying is at variance with the testimony we heard today. We were told the Community Boards were consulted and that you had 60 days to get back to the City or the company; whatever the case may be, but you would get a letter with a proposed site and you had 60 days to get back.

JORDAN WOUK: Okay. To be a little bit clever, one of the mottos is -- Free Wi-Fi to the City -- and when I asked, how come it doesn't go to NYCHA, the representative for the Council Member said, oh, free Wi-Fi to the City, it doesn't say all of the City. Apparently not all of the Community Boards were worked with in that way. And I am not sworn to it, but I'm stating as a fact, based upon

JORDAN WOUK: who... Well, the point that we have in our Community Board a whole community of poor and low-income people and they are not being

24

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 80
2	serviced by this all is horrible, and if you happen
3	to be in the Bronx and I don't know there is
4	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I happen to be in the
5	Bronx.
6	JORDAN WOUK: 'Kay, Mill Houses, there
7	are about 1,300 users, apartments, and about 3,000
8	people live there and there is, according to all the
9	maps and plans, there's not a single kiosk that's
10	intended to be within 300 feet of these very needed
11	people. The City has embarked upon a program that is
12	not helping the people who need it most.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I wanna thank you for
14	your testimony.
15	JORDAN WOUK: It was my pleasure.
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Thank
17	you. There are no further speakers and I wanna thank
18	everyone for coming today; I thought the hearing was
19	quite productive, and your assistance is greatly
20	appreciated. It is now 3:00 p.m. and this hearing
21	adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 2, 2016