CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE OF COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

----- X

September 26, 2016 Start: 10:34 a.m. Recess: 5:15 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Rory I. Lancman

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Andrew Cohen

Vanessa L. Gibson

Ben Kallos

Carlos Menchaca Paul Vallone

Barry S. Grodenchik

Mark Levine

Elizabeth S. Crowley

Brad Lander Mark Treyger

Helen K. Rosenthal Ydanis Rodriguez

Johnathan Lippman Latham and Watkins Law Firm

Ruben Diaz Bronx Borough President

Steven Banks
NYC Department of Social Services Commissioner

Jordan Dressler Civil Justice Coordinator at HRA

Gale Brewer
Manhattan Borough President

Jesus Louis Cardoso [sp?]

Randy Dillard

Carmen Vega Rivera [sp?]

Leyla Martinez Bronx resident

Andrew Scherer

Impact Center for Public Interest Law at New
York Law School

Neil Steinkamp Stout Risius Ross

Ray Roth Stout Risius Ross

John Kiernan President of New York City Bar Association

Diana Sen
President of the Network of Bar Leaders

Joe Rosenberg
Catholic Community Relations Council

Andrew Lehrer
Managing Attorney for Housing at Catholic
Migration Services

Manuel Rodriguez Reverend

Edward Campanelli HousingWorks

Elizabeth Strojan Enterprise Community Partners in New York

Edward Ubiera Local Initiatives Support Corporation

Thomas Waters
Community Service Society of New York

Eduardo Paez Catholic Migration Services

Debbie Stevens Tenant

David Udell
National Coalition for Civil Right to Counsel

Risa Kaufman Columbia Law School Human Rights Institute

Lily Cadino [sp?]
1199 Downstate Division

Beth Goldman New York Legal Assistance Group

Leah Goodridge MFY Legal Services

Adrienne Holder Legal Aid Society

Edward Josephson Legal Services NYC

Scott Sommers
UAW Region 9A New York Director

Joan Beranbaum Director and Chief Counsel of DC 37 Municipal Employees Legal Services

Giselle Routhier Coalition for the Homeless

Olga Apt-Dudfield Montefiore Medical Center

Fatisha Pinkney [sp?]
Lead Safe House resident

Katelyn Hosey LiveOn New York

Taylor James
Legal Aid Society UAW Local 2325

Aya Tasaki Poverty Justice Solutions Fellow at Community Development Project at Urban Justice Center

Jenny Laurie Housing Court Answers Now

Harry DeRienzo Banana Kelly

Elizabeth Brown NYC Independent Budget Office

Ignacio Jaureguilorda Poverty Justice Solutions

Steven Hasty
Bronx Defenders Civil Action Practice

Ezi Ukegbu Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation

Charles Nunez Community Advocate at Youth Represent

Tahica Fredericks Banana Kelly

Wanda Swinney Banana Kelly

Vivian Slonnenfeld [sp?]
Metropolitan Council on Housing

Matthew Abuelo Metropolitan Council on Housing

Elvis Santana Banana Kelly

Emmanuel Pardilla
Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy

Angela Pham Metropolitan Council on Housing

George Satiroff CASA

Anna Reyes

Lutella Dordan [sp?]

Lourdes Garcia CASA

Dave Shubrin CASA

Chris Chalfant [sp?]

Katy Dwarte [sp?]

Lourdes de la Cruz

Valerie Stevens CASA

Elizabeth Thompson Kingsbridge Heights Neighborhood Improvement Association

Fitzroy Christian CASA

Elizabeth Hellman [sp?]

Earnest Martinez
University Settlement

Pablo
Behalf of Dionne Hawkins

Ayisha Oglivie Manhattan Community Board 12

Lucy Arroyo Bronx resident

Hemmer Pascale CASA

Lisa Young Ruben Paralegal Advocate

Althea Matthews CASA

Madeline Mendez Bronx resident

Adelina Saint Clair

Rochelle Thompson First Lady of Jazz in Harlem

Monique Murray CASA

Hillary Exter Urban Justice Center

Jose Rentas

Beverly Creighton CASA leader

Richard Flores

2 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: 14A, a Local Law to 3 amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to providing legal counsel for low income 4 5 eligible tenants who are subject to eviction, 6 ejectment or foreclosure proceedings. Over 50 years ago the Supreme held in Gideon vs. Wainwright that 8 the Constitution guaranteed a right to counsel for 9 all criminal defendants who faced the prospect of 10 imprisonment. In doing so, the court recognized the 11 necessity of legal representation to protect 12 individuals at risk of loss of liberty through 13 criminal conviction. The Constitutional right to 14 counsel has not been extended to civil cases, even 15 though the stakes in those cases can be every bit as 16 substantial. This logic is particularly strong in 17 Housing Court. Civil Housing judgements can result 18 in life-altering evictions, ejectments and 19 foreclosures. Providing legal representation to low 20 income New Yorkers has the potential to be dramatic 21 in these cases. Particularly grateful that we will 2.2 be hearing testimony from former Chief Judge 23 Johnathan Lippman who more than anyone else in the 24 State of New York has raised awareness and fought the 25 fight to, in practical terms, recognize the

indigent civil legal services have been managed

sponsor of the legislation, Council Member Mark

8 Levine, to say a few opening remarks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Thank you for being an incredible partner in this legislative process and for your strong leadership of the committee. We're here to address a very serious issue this morning. here to address a crisis. That crisis is the threat of eviction faced by tens of thousands of tenants, our fellow New Yorkers who are on an incredibly uneven playing field in a place where the standard should be fairness, but there is no fairness in an eviction proceeding, when the landlord has an attorney and the tenant does not, and that sadly is precisely the situation faced by the vast majority of tenants in Housing Court in New York City today. The results of this injustice are predictable, an epidemic of evictions; 22,000 last year alone. good news is we know how to bring down this painfully

complicated. It cost around 2,500 dollars to provide

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a tenant a lawyer, but if that same tenant were to have no lawyer and would be evicted, and as happens in so many cases when families are evicted, were to wind up homeless, it would cost the City tens of thousands of dollars in shelter costs, in extra services in schools, in extra emergency room visits, and increased applications for unemployment benefits, and increased mental health services and more. since over half of evictions in rent regulated units, and we know those units often go market rate after they're vacated. When we invest in lawyers to prevent evictions we save thousands of affordable apartments, which otherwise the City would have to spend millions of dollars to replace. But don't take my word for it. Look at what the experts say. New York City Bar Association this year commissioned a report by the respected financial analytics firm Stout Risius Ross which concluded that Intro 214 would ultimately save New York City no less than 320 million dollars each year. So for moral and financial reasons, I urge my colleagues to support this bill. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you,

Council Member Levine. We're also joined by Council

2 Member Vanessa Gibson from the Bronx who is also one

3 of the sponsors and prime movers behind this

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 legislation, and I'd like to invite her to say a few

5 words, but before she does, let me also recognize

6 that we've been joined by Council Members Brad

7 Lander, Ben Kallos, Carolos Menchaca, excuse me, and

8 Mark Treyger. Council Member Gibson?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much and good morning, everyone. This is a packed house for a very important reason. Thank you to my Chair, Chair Rory Lancman who chairs the Committee on Courts and Legal Services. It's great to be here. I welcome each and every one of you. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson of the 16th District in the borough of the Bronx, and I am proud to join here as a member of the Committee on Courts and Legal Services joining with Chair Lancman and my fellow co-sponsor, Council Member Mark Levine, and all of the members who have proudly signed on to Intro 214. This is a bill that will give a voice to countless New Yorkers facing harassment, eviction and homelessness as a result of inadequate access to counsel. I am so proud that today has finally arrived after lots of relentless advocacy, tenants coming forward, testimony, emails,

temporary housing in School District Nine.

another chance to realize that government can

who believe in this message, who believe in this

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 vision. We have many experts and leaders who are

3 here with us to speak about this bill. So, without

4 further ado I thank you all for being here and

5 | joining us, and I will turn this back over to our

6 Chair, Chair Rory Lancman. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Judge Lippman?

Council Member. Let me also recognize that we've been joined by Council Member Elizabeth Crowley.

With that, we'd like to begin hearing testimony. We will start with former Chief Judge Johnathan Lippman.

Judge, if you wouldn't mind being sworn in, we could proceed. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? Thank you very much.

DOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: It is a pleasure to be here. I'm Johnathan Lippman. I'm of Counsel to the firm of Latham and Watkins for the last 40 years plus. I was an employee of the Unified Court System, and a judge of the Court Claims, a judge of the Supreme Court, the Chief Administrative Judge of the State Courts, the presiding Justice of the Appellate Division First Department, and for the last seven years until January 1, the Chief Judge of the State

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of New York. I'm delighted to be here in full support of Intro 214. I want to commend the Chairman Rory Lancman for this emphasis on the issue of legal services and his focus, the Speaker for making sure that this hearing took place, Mark Levine, Vanessa Gibson for their tireless support of this bill, and for all of the Council Members who have been so vocal in support of 214. Let me first start by saying what could not be more obvious to me, and that is that there is a crisis in access to justice in this city, in this state, and in this country where literally poor people and people of modest means are falling off a cliff because they cannot obtain legal representation in fighting for the necessities of life, the roof over their heads, their physical safety, their livelihoods, and the well-being of their families. The legal services corporation in Washington is under attack. The poverty level hovers at 20 percent and more, and legal service providers in this city and around the country turn away more people than they can help. In fact, in the heart of the economic crisis of just a few years ago, the Legal Aid Society, the most oldest, most venerable legal aid organization in the country was turning

The approach that we took was two-fold, and

25

country.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think it very much applies to the dialogue today that you're going to have about 214. On the one hand that supporting legal services for the poor is a moral imperative. It is the right thing to do. Equal justice is the bedrock of our society and our justice system. On the second way of looking at this, I truly believe that the bottom line of our economy and our society is served by funding, public funding, of legal services. Surveys that we produced at the state level show that for every dollar invested in civil legal services for the poor, ten dollars is returned to the city and the state, reduce social services costs, reduce incarceration costs, more federal dollars coming to the city and to the state. As Chief Judge, I'm proud that we put money for legal services right smack in the middle of the judiciary budget, because again, without equal justice, we might as well close the doors of our courthouses. It doesn't mean anything to be open for business if the playing field is so unlevel that people who are poor, people who are not powerful and people who are not connected cannot obtain justice in the courts. And I'm proud that we went from virtually zero dollars for state support for legal

25

2 services to this year 100 million dollars that we 3 give out in grants to legal service providers around 4 the state, the majority of which comes here to New 5 York City. I am also proud on pro-bono work that the bar is doing its part, that we have a 50-hour 6 requirement in New York that aspiring lawyers must do 8 50 hours of pro-bono work before they can be admitted to the bar, because if lawyers, especially our young new lawyers don't embrace the core values of our 10 11 profession and that serving others and helping 12 others, then they're not going to be a lawyer in the 13 state or the city of New York. We also have our pro-14 bono scholars program, our poverty justice solutions. 15 We've changed the rules for foreclosure cases and 16 consumer credit cases in the courts. 17 programs with non-lawyers, you know, our navigator 18 program, legal and program help to provide legal 19 In New York City, I am so proud of this assistance. 20 city government, Mayor de Blasio, Commissioner Banks, 21 the City Council, Speaker Mark-Viverito, you have all 2.2 been so tremendous in putting a focus on this issue, 2.3 including the establishment of this particular committee. And the combination of the 60 to 70 24

million, I believe it's 62-63 million given to

down over 20 percent. A tenant is 77 percent is less

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

likely to be evicted from their home if they have legal representation. This saves lives. This is a life and death situation. Now, New York City can change the dynamic and access to justice for the entire country. There is a revolution in access to justice going on. We are changing the dialogue. are shifting the landscape and access to justice. We are re-prioritizing, and everyone should understand that legal services for the poor is equally as important as housing and hospitals and schools and all the things that we hold dear in society. don't say that "Gee, we don't have money this year, so we can't tend to our sick and we can't educate our children." And we can't say, "Gee, we don't have quite enough money to provide legal representation for those in need. The public is understanding how important legal services for the poor is, and I equate this to what happened in the criminal side with Gideon versus Wainwright, the seminal [sic] case which says that if you're liberty is at stake, you get a lawyer. Well, 20 years before Gideon, the Supreme Court of the United States in Bets [sic] versus Brady said even when you're liberty is at stake, no lawyer. What happened in the 20 years

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

between Betts versus Brady and Gideon versus Wainwright? The dialogue changed. The public understanding of this issue changed to the point where 25 attorney generals of the different states put in amicus briefs and Gideon supporting a right to counsel. That is what's happening on the civil side, that there are 1,000 flowers blooming around this city, around this country on access to justice. The public is understanding. If 20 years ago you asked should someone have a lawyer if their home was going to be foreclosed on or they were going to be evicted, 20 years people would have said, "What? Lawyer?" Today, if you go out to the street, after the economic crisis and all the work that we've all done over the years, and you ask should someone get a lawyer if their home is going to be taken away from them, 90 some odd percent would say absolutely. And that's what happened on the criminal side and that's what's happening here, and that is what intro 214 is all about. It ensures that at 200 percent of poverty level, everybody gets a lawyer if they're going to be evicted or foreclose-- if their home was going to be foreclosed on. This is the legal representation that they deserve, that everyone deserves in terms of the

testimony later about the dollars, the independent

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

studies and all of those issues, but let me say to you, that I believe that in the end this is not an issue of dollars and cents. It cannot just be an issue of dollar and cents. What this is about is the human toll of people not being able to afford legal representation and not getting justice. What this is about is the biblical admonition in the old testament that tells us, "Justice, justice, shall you pursue for rich and poor, high and low alike." This is what the justice system is all about. This is about ensuring that the scales of lady justice are exquisitely balanced for each and every person who comes into our justice system seeking that concept of justice, making it real and tangible for every single I know from speaking around the country, around New York City, around this state, around the country about access to justice, that everybody looks to New York City for leadership on legal representation of the vulnerable and the disadvantaged, and I know that this bill will be a landmark in the "Right to Counsel Movement" and an equal justice that will literally rival the impact of the US Supreme Court case in Gideon versus Wainwright on the criminal part of the justice system.

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 29 2 know that by passing this bill the consequences, the 3 reverberations will be heard around this country and 4 everyone will understand that in New York City justice is not determined by the amount of money in 5 your pocket. This bill is absolutely critical to the 6 7 viability, the strength, the independence of our judicial system, and I urge you to support Intro 214. 8 Thank you. 10 [applause] 11 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you, Judge.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Thank you.

everything that you've done on this issue over a long career, particularly as during your tenure as Chief Judge. Let me mention that we have been joined by Council Member Antonio Reynoso, Council Member Mathieu Eugene, and if I did not get him before, Council Member Andy Cohen from the Bronx. Council Member Levine, do you have questions of the Chief Judge? Does anyone have questions of the Chief Judge? You're up.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: I used to ask the questions, Councilman. Now I try to answer them.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Mr. Chief Judge, I'm so relieved that I spoke before you, because you would have been an impossible act to follow. power of your words are going to resonate for a very long time.

> JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I think you made the case for the moral argument with a force that's virtually impossible to refute. You correctly identified the debate over the financial impact of this bill as a major point of contention, and as someone who, as you pointed out, has managed a multibillion dollar budget. As someone who has-- is quite familiar with the research on this, could you explain to the average New Yorker how it could be that spending money on providing attorneys could actually save tax payers in the long run?

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, thank you, and I think it's a very good question. I would say literally when people lack representation, relating to the basic life, the basics of life, their world falls apart. They-- you lose your home. Your kids can't go to the same school anymore. Your job is at You can go on welfare. You can-- there is so

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many different things that your life is impacted by not having the roof over your head. So, we have done studies to take a look at what the investment is, what it means and what is clear is that not only is it the best investment that you could make, and we've had testimony from the State Comptroller saying that is the absolutely best investment of the state. New York City, I think it's fair to say that for every dollar that you invest to represent people, that dollar will be multiplied up to, and our studies show, ten times that one-- every dollar gets 10 dollars in support again, reduced social services, incarceration, federal dollars coming to the state. In particular, in relation to New York City's cost in the Housing Court, the shelter costs, replacement of affordable housing, health services, so many services to human beings that are affected. Again, I don't think it is debatable, Councilman that this cost which is not a small amount of money will be returned over and over again, and it won't be something that takes years and years to do. It will happen right away because he is saving people's lives, and this translates to saving tremendous costs for the City of New York.

domino effect. I wanted to ask, in your experience

working with OCA and the tremendous amount of work

24

mentioned right off the bat is court facilities, and the courts should represent the important work that's done in those buildings, and when you have dilapidated facilities, it, you know, discredits the entire majesty of what the law is all about. I also think that we have work to do in terms of the diversity of our bench, of our court system employees

21

2.2

2.3

24

courts have the resources to treat people the right

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much,

Judge. Your expertise has been instrumental in this

process, and I want to thank you on a personal level

on behalf of the residents I represent in the Bronx

for all of your work. I think, you know, you said it

best. Justice should not be achieved by the amount

of money you have in your pocket, and for many of us

this is deeply personal because I think about the

children. Their housing status should not determine

their academic success.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Absolutely.

wrong. It's unacceptable, and I am committed and, you know, your work and your continued advocacy working and helping us with OCA is going to be instrumental. So, I thank you for your testimony. Thank you for being here, and looking forward to the continued partnership. Thank you,—

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Thank you, Council--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Chair Lancman.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:

Ŭ

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Now we're going to go through questions of Council Members who are in attendance. Let's try to limit it to two questions first go around, and if anyone has any truly compelling questions after that, we can do a second round. Council Member Vallone?

Thank you, Chair. Chief Judge, I think I'm coming up on my 25th anniversary as a lawyer, and I remember our days back on Sunset [sic] Boulevard, and I'm only very thankful for the rise that you have done to this state and the credibility you've brought to the bar.

Good morning.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Thank you.

that you've done and now that you're continuing to do for all of us. I guess being on that side and seeing the litigation part of it and the motion practice and the adjournments and the amount of time that it takes for each one of these critical cases to be heard with the hopeful passing of a bill like this, there's going to be, I would think, an additional burden on the Housing Court system to handle this with just the increased amount of litigation and motion practice.

And so my question to supplement what you've already

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

said is, do you think the Housing Court and the way it stands today can handle this or do you envision a future process maybe that can expedite or speed through some of the everyday delays--

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: that are encountered during this process? It'd be a great benefit to all the tenants and the landlords to have a guick resolution.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Thanks. You know, I think it's such an interesting question because to me not having a counsel on one side increases the delays and the logistics of running a major court like that. So, while I do think the whole way we operate will change where both sides have an attorney, but I also believe that you can't imagine the amount of-- you can imagine the amount of resources that go into dealing with unrepresented tenants and clients throughout the court system. so, my belief is that having attorneys on both sides will expedite the process, and while you're right, there will be sometimes increased motion practice or whatever, the things that lawyers do, I also think you'll see less proceedings that are frivolous and that, you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

really don't have merit because the lawyer knows that there's a lawyer on the other side and that there's a level playing field. So, I do think that in many ways the process will be better and we will be able to streamline that court to make it easier, obviously most importantly for the litigant who comes in or the person's whose home is being-- might be evicted from, but for both sides, the landlord's side, the tenant's side. Things will move much better, more seamlessly, although agreed we're going to make some changes recognizing that people -- that there are lawyers on both sides and it's not this lopsided process that you have now that I would also say puts a great pressure on the judge. The judge's job is to be the neutral arbiter and yet the job is to also see that justice is done.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Terrific, thank you. Next we will hear from Council Member Ben Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Chair Lancman, and to the authors Council Member Levine and Gibson. I'm proud to be a co-sponsor as well as the Vice Chair of the Progressive Caucus which has added this to our campaign platform, a campaign we intend

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 39 2 to see passed this year. Thank you, Judge Lippman. How's it sitting there without the robe on? 3 4 JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: It's okay, really. like it. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And so--6 7 JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] You 8 guys maybe should -- guys in quotes, should wear robes, you know. Go ahead. I'm only kidding. COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In terms of the 10 11 United States Constitution versus the New York State 12 Constitution, you've spoken a lot about Gideon versus 13 Wainwright and that reading of the sixth amendment, 14 but this state of New York, thanks to some of the 15 people sitting in this room, has a right to shelter 16 under Article 17--17 JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Right. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Social Welfare. 19 I see some smiles on the front row. Can you speak to 20 how this impact, what the relationship is, why the 21 courts weren't able to expand that article into a 2.2 similar right as Gideon had to Callahan, and why this 2.3 law is actually necessary, and what impact it would

have for tenants who instead of having to find the

1

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right program in the right place can just walk into court and say, "I need a lawyer."

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, let me say it's a great question. You know, I believe that the right to counsel can happen in three different ways. can happen by constitution, and if you look at the recent cases at the federal level, Turner versus Rogers, I won't expect it in the next few years, but they would have said the same thing about criminal right to counsel, you know, a number of decades before Gideon. But aside from constitution, it can happen by statute and it can happen by policy. believe what's happening now in this city and in this country is that those two areas are what we're focusing on because to get a constitutional right it takes time and it evolves, and the law, our common law stayed here in New York, the law evolves, and what I think has to happen on the state level-- I don't know if you're aware, there's a joint resolution of the legislature that says people in need are entitled to legal representation or effective legal assistance. So, that's a public policy, but New York City, you can really make the difference. If we don't have, and we don't yet of a

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 41

constitutional right, in effect you're creating a

statutory right, and I believe that all of these

things together, the policy and issues, these

particularly legislation will be promoted not only in

and of themselves the benefits that we get, saving

peoples' lives, human beings' lives, I think it will

contribute to ultimately one day playing off the kind

of thing that you're talking about, a constitutional

right whether it is in housing matter or whether it

is in the broader realm of civil justice and whether

people in need fighting for the basics are entitled

to counsel. And I-- so I think it all fits together,

and but this step that you will take by passing this

2.2

2.3

additional question is with so many people going to
Housing Court and hopefully being able to stay in
their units, for those who end up having to leave
their units, is there any concern about a list being
used to discriminate against tenants and whether or
not we should have a human right for going to Housing
Court so that people cannot discriminate against you
for going to Housing Court and support for

legislation that would enact that?

bill is monumental, and I use that word advisedly.

2	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, I believe
3	absolutely. As you know, we've talked a little bit
4	about this that there shouldn't be a black list that
5	hurts tenants and hurts their ability to again live
6	meaningful lives, and I think discrimination in any
7	form, but to me, you know, is wrong and something
8	that we obviously just rebel from, and it's so
9	contrary to what this country is all about. But I
10	think the first step, to me, is level the playing
11	field. Let's do that and then we won't need to be
12	there's still look, discrimination is so pervasive
13	in so many areas and we have to always be vigilant,
14	but the first step, level the playing field and we go
15	from there, and that's what 214 is all about.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Agreed and thank
17	you.
18	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Let me
20	also mention we've been joined by Council Member
21	Helen Rosenthal, and now we will turn to Council
22	Member Elizabeth Crowley for questions.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY. Thank you Chair

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Good morning.

24 Lancman. Good morning, Judge.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you for 3 your testimony. You're very convincing. I am signed 4 on as a co-sponsor and believe in this bill and the 5 right of New Yorkers to have the counsel that they need in Housing Court. I'm just not sure how many 6 more we'll be able to serve and to the extent of 8 that, and in your testimony and in answering questions, you allude to other civil rights. And now, do you firmly believe that housing is more 10 11 important than having representation for employment or in Family Court? And also, you talk about a cost 12 13 savings, and so if we only have a certain amount to 14 spend in the budget, and right now we're spending 15 about 62 million, and if we're only serving one out 16 of nine in need, is it going to cost us eight or 10 17 times as much as we're spending?

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: So, around about, we don't have a fiscal impact of the bill just yet, and then how long should we wait before we provide other necessary civil services?

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: No, I don't think so.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, let me say you have to start somewhere, and that the history of all of this is that on the criminal side you have Gideon

an attorney, a legal representation or at the very

least effective legal assistance. So, I think it's a

24

investment. It's a good investment, I believe.

return to the city over and over again. But again,

24

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 46
2	let me emphasize to you, we can fuzz around about
3	what exactly the dollars are because no one knows
4	exactly, but my belief is in the end this is not
5	about dollars and cents, that you'll have to in the
6	first instance believe, make that commitment to help
7	the vulnerable, the disadvantaged with legal
8	representation that saves their lives and with the
9	general understating, and I believe you'd be on
10	pretty a firm footing, that this investment will be
11	more than returned by the lives that you save and the
12	money that New York City saves from doing so. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Council
15	Member Lander here? Okay. I know people have to
16	jump out for other meetings. Council Member Andrew
17	Cohen?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.
19	Good to see you, Judge.
20	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Good to see you.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: You know, I'm
22	going to take just two seconds. I was a Court
23	Attorney in Civil Court and I was a Law Secretary in

Bronx Supreme Court--

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 47
2	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Why did
3	you give all that up for to become a councilman?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I don't know, but
5	I will tell you that
6	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Only
7	kidding. Only kidding.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: to give credit to
9	the judiciary, people should know that the court
10	system certainly, you know, where I was involved, I
11	witnessed the court system really making herculean
12	efforts to make sure pro-se's [sic] got treated
13	fairly by the system.
14	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Yes.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: But obviously,
16	that's not the best way to do it. Having the partie
17	represented would be, well, more equitable, but
18	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing]
19	Definitely.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I do think that
21	people should know how many of our judges, how hard
22	they work to make sure that people
23	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] They
24	sure do.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: who are not represented get treated fairly. Council Member Gibson described Bronx Housing Court as a zoo. It is my understanding that they actually give out butter when you go in so that they could squeeze all the people into Housing Court, because it is so insanely crowded there.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: And let me just add, just stop you for one second and say when that court was first built years ago, we thought this was the state of the art, this modern new building, and now as you say, it's become obsolete in many ways.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: You know what [sic], and I know you sort of answered in the context of Council Member Vallone's, but you know, in some ways maybe motion practice might reduce the appearance, the need for appearances. I'm wondering what you think the impact might be on--

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] I agree with that. I think in general there are too many appearances in our New York City and New York State courts for that matter, and having lawyers there, I would hope, will ease the process, because as I think you're implying that when people come in and they

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

don't know where the hell they are, what they're doing, the judge tries to be helpful, and you wind up—things are not efficient. You wind up having lots of appearances inconveniencing the litigant and not necessarily moving the case forward. So, I believe in lawyers, and I think that it should be very helpful in that regard. But again, antiquated facilities is something that we also have to address. And remember, New York City is the landlord for the court facilities. So, it's not acceptable for any of us that we allow some of these facilities to be so not appropriate for the, you know, the function that it's supposed to provide.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: The Bronx County

Housing Court, like you said, is a very nice

building, but it is not large enough to handle what's

going on there.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: There is no quest--because again, housing has become so important in this city for the lifeblood of New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I will just say I agreed with everything in your testimony, and my own experience— this is going to frighten lawyers in the chamber here today, but on occasion I do go to

Judge--

hearing, just go through--

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] More than the debate tonight, we have people watching?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I'm not sure.

I'm not sure, but I hear we're competing.

2.2

2.3

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Okay, good.

through the day of a tenant that has to run through
Housing Court that's probably never been there before
of what it looks like? And I'm just hearing some
stories in the Bronx, for example, that people wait
in line outside in the winter to trying to get into
Housing Court. Just what a day in the life of a
tenant trying to figure this out goes through, if you
could help me.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, I've never had to do it, but I have a good idea of what goes on. I think as you say, first of all, you got to find the courthouse. You're not—no one's with you. You know, you're intimidated before you start. This is the court and you're going to see a judge or whatever. Then you'll have to come in, figure out where is your proceeding going to be heard. You have a piece of paper with you maybe, but you don't understand what it means. Maybe you speak a

resolve this. Fifty percent of the time or more,

talking to the other lawyer and working it out before

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

you.

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 55
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: of the work
3	that we're trying to do in all the courts for all New
4	Yorkers. My question is really to tell us a little
5	bit about the fabric of the immigrant
6	JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Yeah.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: in the courts,
8	and really thinking about a kind of texture that I

10 added, and you mentioned it earlier in Council Member

11 Reynoso's question--

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Yeah.

think we're all thinking about. Immigrants have the

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: about the language barrier. And so, two things in your response. So I want to get a sense of the texture of

16 | the immigrant--

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: through Housing Court, and then secondly, really thinking about the immigrant as a New Yorker that has multiple barriers.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: I agree.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So tell us a little bit about that.

 $\mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Well, let me say I \\ \\ \mbox{think that first of all, legal representation and } \\ \end{tabular}$

25

2 immigration cases themselves, putting aside the 3 immigrant who's coming into the courthouse, is such 4 an important issue, and you know, we've been working, my friend Judge Katzman [sp?] on the federal side, there's a wonderful program to represent people in 6 7 immigration cases, and we've been working with the 8 monies that we have on the state and city side to sort of parlay those monies. Because the two issues are related in that immigration has collateral 10 11 consequences. So when someone is involved in an 12 immigration case, they may not realize that something 13 that happened in the state or city courts is going to 14 impact on their immigration. You know, if you're 15 convicted of a minor crime, for instance, it can 16 result in automatic deportation, but yet, if you 17 didn't have a lawyer and you didn't understand what 18 you're agreeing to, you had no idea, and you would 19 have handled the case differently if you knew what 20 was going to happen to you. So, I think on the one side of it is the whole immigration area and what 21 happens in the courts, how it relates to immigration 2.2 2.3 your immigration status. And then the other side is exactly what you're talking about. You have people 24 who come into the courthouses with language barriers

or worse when they $\operatorname{don'} t$ have a good sense of context
as to what our court system is about and how it
works, and I think that in no time whatsoever you can
compound the problems that immigrants have if they
lose their housing or whatever it is you make being
an immigrant and it is a city of immigrants. We
understand that, but by the same token, there's
certain built-in liabilities that you'll have,
immigrant trying to navigate the courts. And that's
why one of the things we've tried to do in addition
to full scale legal representation is to use non-
lawyers that we have who can at least know the ropes,
give moral support to an immigrant or a person who
just, you know, may have language barriers or
whatever problem, and I think that can be helpful.
But I think we need a more, coherent, concerted
strategy as to how to help immigrants in the court,
and we should be working together at the state and
city level and federal for that matter, because
immigration is a federal issue, to ensure that
immigrants are again treated the way human beings
deserved to be treated.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I agree with
3 you 100 percent, and while it's a federal issue, the
4 City of New York has been doing everything it could—
5 JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] I know.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: with partners like you and Judge Katzman. The final question is, the city's immigrant population is growing beyond—it's at historic highs.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: I know.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: What about the- you mentioned 1.8 million people in courts right
now that don't have representation.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: How many of those do you think are immigrants in that catch 1.8 million?

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: You know, I don't know the numbers. We may have it. I'm not sure that we do, but I will say this, I think it is significant. You know, because again we're talking about immigrants come in, often don't have a lot of money, don't know how to obtain legal representation. Even if you can get free legal services, often don't know how to get it. So, I would say that it's

assistance, you know, those organizations that are

court.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: So, what would you suggest we City Council Members we can do to work together with the judges and the system to make sure that our constituents be ready even before the date of the court in order for them to be able to understand the system and to be prepared and to get justice?

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: I think, and I say this sincerely, the first thing to do is pass this bill, because the more resources we can provide to ensure that people are represented the better is. You know, the legal service providers, it's only in recent years with the judiciary funding, with the funding coming out of the city that have even been able to approach this issue so that they could speak with the tenant or the litigant, whatever the kind of case is. You're 100 percent right, before they get to court. That's what the program is about with using the non-lawyers that we talked about who have a training in this particular niche. So, I think it's a total approach that combines more money for legal service providers, more forms of effective assistance even beyond having the lawyer, the ability to have

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much to you, Judge. My second question and last one is, the Office of Civil Justice's 2016 Annual Report found that two-third of eviction proceeding in New

state are making it better, but we're not near close

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to where we want to be.

2.2

2.3

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: [interposing] I think- I'm sorry.

associated to this finding--

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Yes. And also, can this funding be in education to us through all the resources should be allocated when we pass this bill?

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: You mean to a service, yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Yes.

JOHNATHAN LIPPMAN: I think it's related to two issues in the Housing Court, anyway. One, it's relating to economic factors and the level of poverty or what percent of the poverty level or how many people are in each borough, and I think it also depends on the housing stock in the City, and that's why the things that are done at the state level—city level, relating to the quality of the housing is so important. And then so, I think we have to be able to analyze that, and you're absolutely right, the bottom line of your question is you put the resources where the need is. I mean, that's the

in thing here. We're not in the Assembly anymore.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

RUBEN DIAZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Go.

RUBEN DIAZ: So help me God. It's good to be here. Is this on? Is it on? Okay, there we go. So, with so many of you who are already signed onto this bill and so many of our constituents, I know that this morning out in front of City Hall we

data compiled by Housing Court Answers, the total

Office's December 2014 memorandum on Intro 214 cites

shelter costs and other preventative services.

Additionally, Intro 214 would increase access to justice in foreclosure proceedings, which have wrought havoc on this country over the past decade. The benefits of this proposed law are considerable, and could help keep New Yorkers in their homes. Too many families become homeless and/or displaced because they don't have an advocate in court or someone to get them connected with housing financial assistance, like the FEPS program, that would allow them to simply keep their homes. Justice requires protecting our most vulnerable from unnecessary eviction and the resulting plight of homelessness. As I said earlier this year during my State of the Borough Address and so many, many different times since, we can do more to both promote fairness in these proceedings and provide representation for the underserved. We can pass Intro 214. Thank you.

[applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Mr. Bronx Borough
President, well stated, and we thank you very much
for your testimony. Does anyone have any questions?
Seeing none, and--

RUBEN DIAZ: [interposing] Thank you very much.

members of the committee. Thank you for inviting me

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 71 to testify before you today to discuss the Office of Civil Justice at the New York City Human Resources Administration and this legislation. My name is Steven Banks, and I'm the New York City Department of Social Services Commissioner, and I'm joined by Jordan Dressler, the City's first Civil Justice Coordinator based at HRA. In my role as Commissioner of the Department of Social Services, I oversee the New York City Human Resources Administration which houses the Office of Civil Justice and the Department of Homeless Services. HRA is the nation's largest social services agency assisting over three million New Yorkers annually through the administration of more than 12 major public assistance programs and plays a key role in advancing one of this Administration's chief priority, reducing income inequality and leveling the playing field for all New Yorkers. In my testimony today, I will discuss the City's extraordinary investment in civil legal assistance for low-income tenants as one of the tools this Administration is utilizing in combating poverty, addressing income inequality and reducing homelessness. I will discuss the work of the Office of Civil Justice and present recent findings from our

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Court to continue to increase. We look forward to

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hearing the testimony today as we evaluate the next steps that our City should take given the unprecedented commitment that we have already made to expand legal representation for tenants so far. We also hope that our testimony today will be useful to you as we proceed with discussions with you about this legislation. In 2015, Mayor de Blasio and the New York City Council amended the City Charter with the signing and passage of Local Law 61, which created the Office of Civil Justice. For the first time, New York City has a permanent office to oversee the City's civil justice services and monitor the progress and effectiveness of these programs. The establishment of the Office of Civil Justice was the latest part of our effort to enhance and coordinate these services at HRA that began at the start of the Administration in 2014. In addition to consolidating contracts under one roof and establishing the Office of Civil Justice at HRA to oversee performance and progress, New York City has steadily and substantially increased investment in these programs since 2014, and today the City is a national leader in providing civil legal services for low-income In Fiscal Year 2017, for the first time New

and advice to low-income tenants at risk of

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

homelessness because of eviction. Through this program, legal services providers in each borough provide assistance and in-court representation of tenants in Housing Court eviction proceedings, Housing Part actions seeking repairs, proceedings following illegal lockouts or evictions, and administrative hearings that may result in the loss of tenancy or deregulation of the rental unit. This program primarily targets low-income families with children who are at risk of eviction, with households without children making up a small portion of the caseload. This program was funded at approximately 4.9 million in FY13, but starting with the de Blasio Administration's first budget in FY14, funding for this program has substantially increased. In FY17, this anti-eviction program is funded at 25.8 million dollars, providing legal services for low-income tenant respondents in eviction cases throughout the City, with additional expanded legal services targeting specific high-need neighborhoods. Expanded Legal Services component of this program is intended to essentially provide universal legal representation for low-income tenants facing eviction from their homes in 10 zones across the City,

2 targeted because they include the most at-risk 3 households facing eviction and homelessness as 4 reflected in rates of entry to the shelter system In Housing Court, all tenants from those 10 areas. whose eviction cases involve a residence in one of 6 7 these target zones are offered the opportunity upon 8 their first appearance in court to meet with HRA staff on site for an initial screening and determination of income eligibility. Eligible tenants 10 11 are in turn referred to one of the contracted legal services providers for immediate screening and 12 13 intake, in most cases also at the courthouse, where, barring a conflict of interest or other extraordinary 14 15 factor, the tenant is provided free legal defense on 16 the eviction case. HRA's anti-eviction legal 17 services initiatives also include the Housing Help 18 Program, HHP. In this program, the Legal Aid 19 Society, the sole provider selected through a 20 competitive bidding process, employs a court-based open door model and offers full representation and 21 brief legal services coupled with social work 2.2 2.3 services that include assessment, counseling, referrals, and benefits advocacy. The Legal Aid 24 25 Society's HHP work is funded by HRA at 3 million

identified as posing a high risk for landlord

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

harassment or tenant displacement. The Anti-
Harassment Legal Services providers work closely with
the City's Tenant Support Unit to assist households
identified through TSU's outreach campaigns as in
need of legal assistance. The Anti-Harassment Legal
Services program was launched in FY15 with a 4.6
million additional startup allocation, was funded at
18 million dollars in FY16. It will be funded at
32.9 million dollars in FY17. The program is expected
to serve approximately 13,000 households in FY17. Ir
total, through the Administration's investment of
nearly 62 million dollars in tenant legal services,
we expect that approximately 100,000 low-income New
Yorkers in 33,000 households will receive free legal
advice, assistance and representation this year.
These programs are part of the Administration's
effort to preserve and expand the availability of
affordable housing for New Yorkers. Affordable
housing, a precious resource, and it is permanently
lost to the City when tenants are evicted from rent-
regulated and rent-controlled apartments and rent is
increased above affordable levels. Protecting these
affordable units throughout New York City for
families and seniors and protecting tenants in small

buildings is critical. And the financial and human
costs that we avert when tenants avoid eviction and
preserve their tenancies are substantial; every
family that stays in its home spares the City the
expense of emergency shelter services, and more
importantly spares the family the trauma of
homelessness, including disruption of education,
employment and medical care. Our legal services
programs are aimed at keeping these New Yorkers in
their homes, preventing displacement and preserving
and protecting the City's affordable housing stock.
And we are already seeing results from our programs
to protect tenants. As part of Office of Civil
Justice's first Annual Report this summer, we sought
to update the research on the availability of legal
assistance for tenants facing eviction in Housing
Court. We partnered with the State Office of Court
Administration to undertake a new analysis to assess
the current prevalence of legal representation among
tenants in court for eviction cases and the need for
counsel that remains. We found that a substantially
higher proportion of tenants in court for eviction
cases now have legal representation than ever before.
The data further indicate that among tenants with

increased funding for legal services for low-income

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 tenants as well as other rental support programs.

3 During 2015, orders to show cause in the City's

1

24

25

4 Housing Courts, motions by tenants to reverse a

5 | court's order of eviction, also declined by 14

6 percent, while the volume of residential eviction

7 cases filed remained largely stable, suggesting

8 increased efficiency in the courts with the increase

9 in legal representation. The investment of resources

10 | in legal representation for civil legal services is

11 | taking hold and in 2017 providers will fully ramp up

12 | their services. Also in 2017, the Office of Civil

13 | Justice will be releasing its second Annual Report

14 and along with it the City's first five-year plan for

15 | increasing and enhancing civil legal services for

16 | low-income New Yorkers. We expect that the expansion

17 | and full funding of services will mean that even more

18 | tenants in need will have the assistance of quality

19 | legal representation and a more level playing field

20 | in court. However, we also know as with other new

21 | and expanding programs, we must study the impact

22 | carefully to fully understand the results of the

23 | funding increases and how they relate to other

investments this Administration has made with respect

to fighting income inequality. To complement these

expand their case management services to include

shelter exit to permanent housing and are both better

2016, about 131,000 households, including about

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you very much. Now, we'll have questions from Council Member Mark Levine.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you,

Commissioner, for your testimony and for your

incredible commitment to this issue. The resources

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 86						
2	your agency's devoted has brought about unprecedented						
3	expansion of access to legal services by tenants.						
4	It's really an incredible accomplishment, and we're						
5	grateful to be partnering with you. You explained						
6	multiple sources of funding that comprised the						
7	current 62 million dollar pot. I wonder what portion						
8	of that comes from money invested in the						
9	neighborhoods that we're going to be up-zoning?						
10	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thirty						
11	approximately 33 million dollars is targeted to those						
12	particular neighborhoods so far.						
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So, that's about						
14	six neighborhoods, is that right?						
15	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Seven, seven						
16	neighborhoods.						
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And the Mayor's						
18	committed to ultimately up-zoning 15 neighborhoods,						
19	is that correct?						
20	COMMISSIONER BANKS: I know there's a lot						
21	of information out in the public domain. There's						
22	certainly been a commitment to upzone additional						
23	neighborhoods, and I think as I've testified before,						

as we proceed, we'll continue to look at what level

2.2

2.3

of funding if any is needed in neighborhoods for legal services.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So, there's not a commitment to fund legal resources at similar levels in additional up-scale neighborhoods?

we went through this a little bit at our budget hearing, each neighborhood we made the determination about the funding level based upon the numbers of court filings from those neighborhoods. So the funding levels have varied depending on what the number of court filings have been historically in those neighborhoods. So, as a neighborhood is announced, we will evaluate whether we've already provided dollars in that particular neighborhood through one of the other programs, the anti-eviction program in particular, and if not, what the number of filings are and then therefore what amount of legal services might be appropriate or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Great. You made a very powerful statement in your closing lines that it's more cost effective to pay for a lawyer up front, than to pay for a homeless shelter on the back end, and you went on to say you can't put a price on

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

preventing homelessness, sentiments that I think I wholeheartedly agree with. Have you been able to quantify just how much we save by avoiding homelessness through eviction prevention?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, certainly the provision of legal services to prevent evictions, to provision of rental assistance, to prevention of rental arears are all part of the strategies that we've implemented over these last months that have broken the trajectory that we inherited. As, I think as you know, in prior hearings we testified that our shelter system now would be much larger, in fact. would be 67,000 men, women and children in the system today. It's approximately 7,000 fewer people as a result of all of these investments, and as you know, we're continuing to ramp up the legal services program. We're only about part way through the full implementation by the providers, and we expect the full implementation this year. So, in terms of investments, it's having an impact already, but of course there are other factors that lead people to shelter. About 30 percent of the people seeking shelter are coming to us as a result of a history of domestic violence, and about another half, 60 percent

Thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER BANKS:

It's an obligation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

to provide shelter to men, women and children whether they're in households with only an adult or households with children, and people come to our system for a whole range of reasons, eviction being only one of them. The fact that it is only one of them, however, hasn't deterred us from implementing these strategies over these past years. Mental health is a significant driver. Discharge from state prison is a significant driver. Domestic violence, as I described, is another significant driver. there are many factors that result in the need to provide a roof over people's head. It's far preferable than having people on the streets, and that's why we're committed to ensuring we have a roof over everyone's head. Preventing evictions is part of our strategy, however, of managing to address homelessness in a way that hasn't been done previously in this city.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So we're currently putting 62 million dollars towards this incredibly important work. We believe the Mayor is going to upzone additional neighborhoods, seven or eight.

That could be another 40 million or so. We could

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easily be at 100 million just by those commitments total. That may cover half the low-income tenants already, continuing to chip away at the net cost of moving to a full representation model, correct?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, also again, looking at what we're currently doing, we've been able to with our terrific partners, the not-forprofit agencies, they've been provided with a dramatic amount of additional funding, and they have hired substantial numbers of additional lawyers and paralegals as part of their team approach. about halfway towards implementing the funding that we've already allocated, and as you do point out there are additional programs that we'll be evaluating for expansion as well. So this is all part of the evaluation of the next steps that we should take, and part of the discussion with you and the rest of the council in terms of steps that we have taken. What will full ramp up look like? We are at this point with the investments that the Mayor's made and the investment that the Chief Judge made and the current Chief Judge is making, we're at a place where we've got-- we went from one percent representation to 27 percent representation. And that is before we

have even fully implemented the full program that we

3 funded, and that's certainly going to be part of the

4 conversation with you and your colleagues about where

5 do we go from here.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That's just a huge point that I don't want to let pass. We have only implemented half of the additional resources that we devoted, 62 million, and we're already representing 27 percent of low income tenants. When that's fully implemented, maybe we'll be double that, and then when the additional money comes online for future upzone neighborhoods, we could be at two-thirds of low income tenants. That makes it incredibly reasonable and cost effective than to go the final mile and create a right to counsel for all low income tenants, does it not?

reasons why hearings like this are important. We are putting forth information. There'll be other people who testify, put forth information. It's a legislative process. We will certainly be pursuing discussion with you. You'll be pursuing discussions with us. The providers will be fully ramped up during the course of this year. That was the

2.2

2.3

trajectory when we let out a request for proposals in terms of the phased in approach. It's an approach that's worked previously, for example, the Criminal Defense Case caps, and we are—expect to be fully implemented during the course of the year, and then we'll be able to evaluate what the remaining need is as we continue to move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright. I'm going to yield the floor to my colleagues. I may be back for a second round. Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Council Member Gibson?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Thank you very much. That you Commissioner Banks to you and your staff, Office of Civil Justice. We've done a tremendous amount of work to get to where we are, and by no stretch are we trying to undermine that. Certainly, the investments that we have seen through Civil Legal Services, 100 million dollars, about 83 million from the Administration, almost 28 from the City Council, incredible. I think we all agree in concept that the universal approach is something that we think should happen. I guess I'm trying to

understand a little bit of how we take the next step.

We've looked. OCJ did a survey, did a recent
evaluation. We've seen that evictions across the

City have gone down about 24 to 28 percent. How much
more do we need to see invested before we can say
that the universal right to counsel is something that

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I think one of the keys is to see what the remaining need is once the investments that we've already made are fully implemented. As we indicated in 10 key zones, we've essentially provided substantial funding so that there can be effectively universal representation. We will see much more as the providers continue with the implementation what the remaining need, and you're considering an important piece of legislation. We're in a-- obviously, going to pursue discussions with you. You're going to pursue discussions with us, and I think we all want to know what's the remaining need, and we'll see much more when we see the full implementation of the programs that we've already put in place.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

we can all truly support?

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: We have-- is there a timeframe on that we have now in terms of full implementation? Are we going through FY 18?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, we expect to see-

- these programs were announced just over a year ago. The providers took substantial steps in implementing the programs over the course of last year, and they're on a course to complete implementation this year. I think we will continue to evaluate what that means in terms of every day experience of tenants in court. We've provided a lot more information than any of us knew before about the percentage of representation, and I think over the course of this coming period of time, you and we will see what the remaining need is once the existing programs are fully implemented.

work we're doing relate to the phasing out cluster and scatter site? You know it's something I'm very passionate about. The 3-4,000 families that are in the program, over 50 percent come from the Bronx, from the district I represent. So, what I'm wondering is how does civil legal services and prevention relate to many of those families, because

,

2.3

we should be transitioning them out of cluster and scatter site and moving them into permanent housing.

Is that happening, or are we moving them in other types of units?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, just for the record, the cluster program is the 16-year program in which the City through multiple administrations rented apartments--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: that otherwise would

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Right.

have been in the low rent housing stock and used them as affordable—used them as shelter instead of affordable housing, and we announced as part of the 90-day review earlier this year that we would phase out the use of clusters. We've already eliminated 250 of them, and working together with the Legal Aid Society have been able to restore some units to the permanent housing rent stock, and look forward to working with you and your colleagues on buildings in your community. We would like to be able to enable the families in those units to remain in them and upgrade the conditions as tenants as opposed to shelter residents, and that's part of the strategy

that we are pursuing wherever possible. Where we cannot do that, we're going to need to be replacing those shelter units with more purposeful shelter in order to meet the needs of the families, but our

first priority is to try to convert the existing

shelter units back to low rent housing, upgrade them

8 and enable the families to remain in place.

2.2

2.3

have an idea or some sort of a percentage breakdown of the number of evictions that we see, and you describe different scenarios of domestic violence, income, harassment, illegal evictions, do you have any percentages where we can see where— of the evictions we see across the city, the majority of them are in this category versus another category.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, we're looking at it from the entry into the shelter system.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And that entry into the shelter system, about 11 to 12 percent of entries currently into shelter as a result of— are as a result of an eviction over the last 12 months. We looked very carefully at that piece of information, because for such an individual we might be able to

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 1 98 2 restore them to their housing with one of our legal 3 services providers or they are eligible for the state 4 Family Eviction Prevention Supplement in order to obtain housing, State FEPS or the City FEPS program. And so again, if you look at entries to shelter, 30 6 7 percent are individuals that have a history of domestic violence, although only a third of those 8 families meet the state statutory requirements for eligibility for domestic violence shelter and then 10 11 the remaining 60 or so percent of shelter entries are related to economics and people that are unable to 12 13 find affordable housing, but not directly from evictions. 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: And I think it's 16 safe to assume that the population that we're talking 17 about that are entering the shelter for various 18 factors are also the same families that are, while 19 they're not in the shelter, but many of them are 20 facing eviction in terms of living in their 21 apartment, receiving notices, going through

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, just again, to be clear for the record, because I want to make sure

harassment. Are we looking at those numbers as well

to try to capture those families?

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE	$\cap N$	COHRTS	ΔND	T.E.GAT.	SERVICES

2.2

2.3

you have the full information, currently shelter entries due to eviction through a Housing Court proceeding--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: are 11 to 12 percent of the shelter entries for families.

my other colleague has a question. One final question that I wanted to ask. Justice Lippman talked about some of the other factors that we have to deal with and consider when you look at Housing Court. What is the relationship that we have in the City, and what are we doing to work with the state on addressing a lot of those issues? So, it's great that we're trying to make sure that families and residents have legal representation, but we also know that when we go into the court, many of them face the same language access challenges of trying to navigate through the court system.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, the judiciary and the State Office of Court Administration particularly have been very good partners in our implementation of the legal services programs.

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 100 They've been very helpful in terms of the studying of 2 3 the data that led us to be able to issue the report 4 last month showing the increase in tenant representation, and we'll continue to work with them on some of the other issues that are beyond the scope 6 of our focus on legal services, because I do agree with you that our clients face other challenges 8 beyond simply legal services, and we want to work

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Any legal issues that we have considered on this bill? I know that there was some talk about that before. Is the Administration looking at this from a legal perspective thinking that legally we cannot force and mandate universal right to counsel?

with our state partners to address those challenges.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I think that's certainly a big part of the conversations that we have with you. We've been very focused on what the remaining needs are as a point of discussion in terms of what is the best next step we all should take and other issues with respect to the bill I know will be part of those conversations.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very much, Commissioner. I will get back to further

Ydanis Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. so
thank you, Commissioner, for the great job not only
as a current Commissioner but also in your previous
role, and for mainly your background is the best

1

6

25

7 we have the best Commissioner that fix and to your

quarantee that any tenants or any New York have that

city, you know, it's a shame how when you deal-- when

8 responsibility. You know, that we can go to-- as a

10 | we as a city deal with a lot of issues, we get to

11 discuss, you know, the current situation, but

12 unfortunately we have created a condition in the city

13 that sometimes we don't take responsibility. The

14 crisis of housing has been creating the last century

15 | is not something knew. It's not a issue that is only

16 affecting the current Administration. So, when we

17 have developers or landlords and that they don't

18 reflect the good one. You know, we have the good and

19 the bad apple everywhere. We have landlords that

20 they do a good job, that they collect the rent and

21 our people, they work hard to pay the rent. I can

22 tell you that my father, when he was alive, one of

23 the first thing that he did was to work hard to get

24 his money in order to pay his rent the first day of

the month, and unfortunately, in our city we lived

buildings is, when they go to work in a Housing Court

they say I have a lot, you know, feeling-- I don't

dealing with so many cases that sometimes they don't

how those judge they're working, because they're

2425

2.2

2.3

2 have the quality time to provide the best outcome.

3 So, if we enact this new law, and of course we

4 | should, how will we need to increase the number of

5 judge to take care of those numbers of cases that we

6 | will increase?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: First of all, thank you for your very kind words and your comments. As part of our implementation of the programs that we have put in place, we're certainly working very closely with the State Office of Court Administration and the Housing Court to address the impact of having additional lawyers. We have seen a 14 percent decrease in the numbers of orders to show cause filed, which does reflect a positive influence of having a lawyer in these cases, and I think as we continue to implement the programs that we've already funded, it's part of the importance of having a good working relationship with the State Office of Court Administration.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But what about judges?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: There are approximately 51 Housing Court judges in the City, and those are appointed by the State Office of Court

Administration, and those are issues that relate to

the numbers of those judges that are part of state

law.

apartments could we save?

_ _

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Have the City made any assessment on if we will have the right to counsel law act in the last 10 years, how many

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well I think what we know is during the past two years when we had implemented a 10-fold increase in legal services that were not even fully implemented yet, that we saw a 24 percent decrease in evictions by City Marshals. So we have the data based upon the current experience of implementing a 10-fold increase in the services just had that effect.

know, highlight that the district that both Council
Member Levine represent in some of his area,
Community Board 12 from 155th all the way up to
Mulberry [sic] Hill, that Community Board 12, we have
the largest regulated apartments in the City of New
York and the second after Buffalo [sic]. How is the
City looking at that particular Community Board since
we are, you know, as the target of many landlords,

how they trying to make the profits? We have cases such as 78 Taylor [sic] Street with 81 empty apartments, not because tenants left those apartments voluntarily, yet, because landlords been using bad tactic there.

through our program, Anti-harassment program, we've invested in 1.8 million dollars in Inwood, for example, and I think that is an important investment to address many of the issues that you have highlighted. We have legal services providers that have already helped substantial numbers of households in that community, and a number of them referred by your office and Council Member Levine's as well, and we'll keep working with both of you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I just, of course, I know that this bill when it will come law, assuming that we will continue working hard, we will gain the support of the advocates, the rest of the colleagues, and the Mayor will sign it. So, we will not have to ask the question on, you know, how are we doing to provide legal representation. I know because since we are dealing with limited resources, let's say at Community Board 12, the Northern Manhattan

bill. I'm going to return to some of the work, some

of the conversation we had with Judge Lippman on

24

2.2

2.3

looking at the immigrant community, and if you can tell us a little bit about your response. As you said in your testimony, you aren't reviewing the impact of this legislation. And can you kind of put this into context with some of the other work we've been doing in partnership, but also with the real leadership of the City Council around other needs for legislation representation in immigration courts for our immigrants? And clearly, an immigrant family that has multiple status within this family will have an Immigration Court, civil, criminal, housing— Can you tell us a little bit about how this bill could impact this and how you're looking at it from your perspective at the Administration?

first of all, we appreciate the support from the Council for the immigration legal services programs that we see a complementary to the ones that are in our baseline budget. So, of the 83 million dollars in Mayoral funding for legal services, 62 million is for housing related legal services, and a substantial portion of that remaining funding is for immigration representation which together with you funding is beginning to I think also have an impact. I think

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

109

percentage of New Yorkers are immigrants to focus on the fact that among the tenants that are coming to court with representation as a result of the programs, and still without representation as the programs continue to be wrapped up are immigrants, and the programs that we are funding outside of Housing Court and that you are funding outside of Housing Court can be part of a more comprehensive approach to address problems ranging from housing to status to benefits received, and I think it's all part of the reason why we and you wanted there to be an office of Civil Justice that would issue annual reports and look at emerging needs. We dedicated the first report just out last month to focusing on housing given the legislation, given the importance of housing and given the Administration's investments, but we certainly want to work with the Immigration Committee of the Council and continue to look at the immigration area as well since, you know, people come to legal services providers with a full range of needs. Today is about housing and other hearings have been about other immigration needs. So, I appreciate your question, and I know it's been

looking at it, and I think we're all at least looking

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at it with positive and productive eyes. Who then would be responsible for that coordination after we pass this bill and then start looking at the impacts in other courts for our immigrant communities? And so, who— what single person would be the champion, the work coordinator for that coordination?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, all of our housing efforts, housing representation efforts to date are now-- they were first consolidated at HRA and then became part of the Office of Civil Justice, and with me here today is Jordan Dressler who's the first Civil Justice Coordinator for the City as a result of that legislation that the Council passed and the Mayor enacted, and so it will be our responsibility through the Office of Civil Justice and our first coordinator and a great coordinator will have responsibility for the oversight in this area, and we'll take the same approach that we've taken so far to the programs, which is to use the procurement process in a transparent way to work with the providers and obtain aggressive but reasonable schedules and timetables for implementation to ensure the quality is there as we implement. No one wants to see a diminution of the traditional quality of the services provided by these longstanding providers,
and so we want to make sure that the implementation
reflects hiring, training, supervision, and
appropriate experience in terms of representation. I
think we all can learn a lot from what it meant to
implement Gideon in the criminal context, and to
ensure that as we have been implementing these
programs over the last two years, we're very focused

question on the-- there are many factors that are going to come into understanding the cost, including not just funding the lawyers, but making sure there's access in every community, language access, how to bring the services into communities that are-- that have constant barriers to public accessible programs, but one in particular, and I'm thinking about the reimbursement rates across the board for lawyers, is this something that you're reviewing as well as we think about this bill and what the final cost would be for representation. And I know you've done some really good work already on some of these silos of legal work. Can you tell us a little bit about that

2.2

2.3

on quality.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as part of the review for this piece of legislation and the final cost?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, the programs that we have implemented over the last two years have increased the case rate from what it was historically in recognition that the expansion would require additional dollars to provide much higher volume of service, and one of the things that we're very much focused on, though, is how much more need remains and then what impact will that have upon cost in terms of taking into account space and other issues that come up in terms of the implementation of this kind of fundamental change of going from, first of all, six million to 62 million and then considering what next steps should be taken. I mean, the number of lawyers and paralegals as reported to us by our providers through our investments and the judiciary's investments have increased from 200 or so full-time equivalence to 500 full-time equivalence. substantial expansion of services, but has to be managed in a way that reflects all the intended cost of that kind of expansion, and that's only to the point that we're at now, let alone continued expansion.

_

underscored point here is that as we expand for justice, in the name of justice for our tenants, that we look at the whole system as a whole to make sure that everything is sustainable, including our lawyers and the justice system as well, as our outreach and making sure that we get that service to high quality sustainable service to our tenants. So, again, this is going to be an ongoing conversation, and as a proud co-sponsor, I'm going to be interested in looking at that particularly.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Understood.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Council

Member Grodenchik?

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I was going to say good morning, Commissioner, but I'm a little late for that now. Thank you for your indulgence and for your time today. I just want to circle back to a question that Council Member Gibson posed. The cluster units as they are vacated, what happens to them? They become regular rental units again? Do

2.2

2.3

that work?

announced in January as part of our 90-day review, we're very focused on doing everything we possibly can to enable the families that are in those units to remain in them as long-term tenants with the conditions being upgraded and the rent being a rent that's reflected as a lawful rent, and we've already addressed 250 of those units, and there are about 3,000 more units to go and we're working with a range of different owners to try to convert those units back to low-rent housing stock with upgraded conditions so the families can remain in them.

And we have 42 sponsors on the bill, and we're optimistic the ones sitting over here, and I'm sure you're optimistic as well that this will be passed into law and signed by the Mayor. We made tremendous investments in curbing homelessness, and I don't want to put you on the spot, but I will. Have you and your top staff thought out when we will start to see the needle move backwards in lowering the number of people who are in the shelter system?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: If we had done one of the things that we have done over this past period of time, three would be 67,000 men, women and children in the shelter system today. Based upon the trajectory of the shelter system increase from 2011 to 2014 when the Advantage program ended, there were 5,000 New Yorkers being added to the system per year, and the OMB projection was that by the end of fiscal 17 we would have 71,000 New Yorkers in shelter system. And as of this month we'd have 67,000 New Yorkers in the shelter system. We have about 67,000 New Yorkers in the shelter system currently. as a result of a series of initiatives that we have implemented, providing rental assistance to some 40,000 New Yorkers to either avoid going into shelter or being moved out of shelter. The 10-fold investment in legal services from six million to 62 million dollars, the reduction in evictions to 24 percent, all of these strategies that have been put in place have broken a trajectory that would have had us with 7,000 more men, women and children in the shelter system now. However, there's more to be done. That's why the Mayor announced funding 15,000

supportive housing units, and the first 500 units are

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 2 subject to an RFP, and we're projecting to bring them 3 So, there have been a number of strategies 4 that we've employed to prevent us from being today in 5 the place we would have been, and then more strategies that we're putting in place to begin to 6 7 address certain things that have gone on for many 8 The cluster program, taking low-rent apartments and turning them into shelter has gone on for 16 years, and it didn't happen overnight. 10 11 not going to be phased out overnight. Renting 12 commercial hotel units has gone on for three decades. 13 It didn't happen overnight. It's not going to end 14 overnight, but the kinds of reforms we've put in 15 place are already yielding results that otherwise 16 would have had us at a 67,000 shelter system census, 17 and now has us with 7,000 fewer people. On the other 18 hand, on any given night, there are the human cost of 19 people who are in that system, and we continue to 20 work extremely hard to continue to make progress on behalf of those individuals, the men, women and 21 2.2 children, whoever lost their homes.

117

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you, Commissioner. One last question, Mr. Chair. Assemblyman Hevesi who chairs the Social Service

2.3

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 Committee in Albany in the Assembly has advanced what

3 I think is a very bold and very progressive idea to

4 provide a tremendous influx of state dollars to keep

5 people from being homeless, to give them more choice,

6 and I don't know if you've had a chance to look at

7 | that bill yet or had discussion with him?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I think that as I understand, Assembly Member Hevesi's proposal it's certainly in the same spirit in which we've implemented rental assistance programs. The City had no rental assistance programs focused on preventing and alleviating homelessness between 2011 and 2014 and so have had to rebuild those programs. understand his proposals, they are a more comprehensive approach because they're statewide and go beyond a number of the programs that we have been able to put in place. We're certainly going to review his proposal and evaluate what the best course of action will be. He has been a leader in this area, and we look forward to looking at his proposal when it's reduced to legislation and taking a position on it when we see it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you,

25 | Commissioner. Thank you.

1

3

4

round.

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Council Members Levine and Gibson have eight minutes for another

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much.

> CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Go.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We know you're on a tight clock, Commissioner. There are 22,000 people who have been removed from their homes by courtordered evictions, but we know that throughout proceedings, often tenants leave their home under duress. They feel threatened. Perhaps they have limited English skills or even they're undocumented immigrants. None of that impacts their likelihood of being evicted, but it can be a very intimidating position to be put in as a tenant, and unscrupulous landlords know that darn well and that's one of the reasons why they like to haul tenants in, with the hope that tenants would then accept some sort of paltry buy-outs in the midst of proceedings, often for way less money than you could get -- than you could replace your housing with. Do you have any way to measure or even estimate how many tenants are

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 leaving their apartments midway through proceedings
3 before it even gets to an eviction?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: You're certainly very much focused on evictions not by a City Marshal. The City Marshal data is objective data that we have to use to show impact of programs, and so we've been focused on that as have you. In terms of the phenomenon that you described with unscrupulous landlords, you know, look, there are many very good landlords. We work with them all time. They have enabled more than 40,000 New Yorkers to make use of our rental assistance programs. We're very grateful to the nearly 14,000-- for the nearly 14,000 households that either got help through the landlords or through our other housing programs including Section 8 and Housing Authority placements. But the dynamic that you describe is exactly why we've been investing in the anti-harassment legal services program and in the anti-eviction legal services program, and one of the focuses we've had is to ensure that we have services available literally in court, particularly in the 10 high-need zones. want to make sure that our legal services providers are directly in court so that they can be available

_

when the kinds of situations that you describe come up, and that's part of the focus and the urgency of moving from one percent to 27 percent, to address exactly what you're describing. I think in terms of putting a number on it, it would be something that would be speculation, but it's-- the phenomenon you descried is exactly why we've been making the investments that the Mayor's been making.

unscrupulous landlords know that they're probably not going to face a lawyer on the other side of a tenant of an eviction hearing, the unscrupulous among them deliberately seek to haul tenants in the court, I believe, in the hope that they might be intimidated or take a buy-out or whatever. On the flip side, as we get more tenants representation, those landlords are going to see if the game has changed, and I believe that they will not bring as many cases as they currently do. We've even seen extreme anecdotal examples of a landlord just dropping the case when they see a lawyer representing a tenant; they didn't count on that. So, do you have a sense that we will see a reduction in the number of cases as we continue

2 to ramp up our provision of legal services to
3 tenants?

3 tenants

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, so far we've seen the number essentially flat, some decrease in non-payments, some increase in hold-overs during this particular period of time. So, I think that as we evaluate and we evaluate with you what the likely projected caseload would be for the next steps that we may take, we need to be very cognizant of any potential impact on the numbers of cases. Right now we're not seeing it. We have seen a decrease in orders to show cause. That's a good sign in terms of efficiencies in court and ultimately benefit both tenants and other litigants. As Council Member Rodriguez pointed out, you know, with the numbers of judges, fewer motions is a positive impact. In terms of fewer cases, we haven't seen it yet, and we're going to follow it closely because the phenomenon that you describe is one that many people have speculated may well occur. We just haven't seen it happen yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: My time's up. I just want to close by saying that while we both applaud HRA for increasing the resources going to

2 attorneys, but we feel that we need to move beyond

3 this debate of budgets going up and down, of

4 political winds blowing here and there to establish a

5 rock solid right so that tenants know come what may

6 they will have fairness in Housing Court by the

7 benefit of an attorney. That is the spirit of 214

and why I urge my colleagues and the Administration

9 to support it. Thank you, Commissioner.

10 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you very much.

11 As I said, we look forward to continuing discussions

12 with you.

1

8

24

13 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Council

14 Member Gibson to close.

15 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Oh, thank you. I

16 | like closing. Thank you again, Commissioner. Once

17 again, you know, the work that you and Mr. Dressler

18 do, really appreciate it. I wanted to ask two

19 | questions about the outreach efforts, and you talked

20 \parallel a lot about that in the testimony, and I say this

21 because you know, it's obviously very concerning to

22 | me that a third of the evictions, court-ordered

23 evictions in the City came from the Bronx and

Brooklyn. So, I always say if it's coming from two

25 | boroughs, obviously to me it's a call to action, and

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. There are other neighborhoods where we're seeing high shelter entry historically--

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

Molly [sic] Cohen [sic], if you could report to the dais.

it. And we continue to see it in other communities in the Bronx, and we can provide you with further information about those communities. In terms of outreach in the communities where we're seeing high rates of shelter entry from and the Jerome area that you talk about, there are a number of ways in which we're trying to address the need for outreach. One

2 is court-based because some people will not go to

3 places in the community but they end up in court. We

4 want to make sure we catch them there. There are

5 other people that are maybe afraid to go to court or

6 unaware of what to do. The Tenant Support Unit is

7 part of that effort, the not-for-profits that we work

8 | with, the legal services providers themselves.

9 Having said that, always more can be done, and I'm

10 | happy to sit down with you and look at other ways

11 | that we can try to address the communities in your

12 district and the surrounding areas.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a lot, a lot of the promotions and different ads and literature. You know, obvious, I always agree that we can do more. The Community Boards have now resumed meeting this month, providing presentations to them, any other outlets where we can reach people where they are. I'm very big on walking the streets and going to the local groceries, the bodegas, you know, the stores, working with small businesses. We have a bid in our district. So, I just want to make sure that the message is getting out because when residents call 311 now, if they don't live in one of those targeted zip codes, I don't know that they're

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 126 necessarily being steered in the right direction, and 2 3 you know, obviously I'm very concerned about my district itself, but I look at the borough as a 4 whole, whether you're in the Jerome area or not. 5 Ιf you are facing eviction, I want to make sure that you 6 have an outlet and somewhere to go to for direction. 8 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. other communities in the Bronx that we're focused on as there are in Brooklyn outside of the rezoning 10 11 areas, and we'll go back and focus on what 12 information the 311 has. They have a script. make sure that it's doing what you want it to do, and 13 14 we'll work with you in terms of additional outreach. 15 I appreciate your focus on this too. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. And final 17 question. You talked about next steps. Is the 18 Office of Civil Justice going to come out with 19 another report in 2017? 20 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes. We have an annual bi-local law--21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] 2.3 Okay. COMMISSIONER BANKS: and we're--24

appreciate having this framework. We have an annual

for your testimony, Commissioner. Jordan, you did a

the Manhattan Borough President and I thank you for

represents them. The evictions that result are

2 avoidable and unnecessary and have tragic

1

4

24

25

3 consequences. The cost to the City is huge.

Affordable apartments are lost because the law allows

5 a large rent increases for vacant, deregulated

6 apartments, because the city must absorb the cost of

7 sheltering a homeless family, including medical,

8 educational and other costs. So, while we know that

9 the cost of implementing this legislation is high,

10 IBO will tell us, it is offset by substantial savings

11 | to the City for reduced costs for homeless services.

12 | In addition to the savings to be realized by avoiding

13 | homelessness, we must consider the potential benefits

14 | that result where tenants, with expert counsel, can

15 fully access their legal rights, and obtain necessary

16 repairs in their apartments, and even obtain rent

17 | reduction where there have been improper increases

18 | imposed. But after all the legal and financial

19 | analysis is done, this legislation is needed because

20 | it is the right thing to do. Last year, funding for

21 | legal services was increased thank you to Mayor-- to

22 | the Mayor de Blasio. I understand that we now know

23 | that there was a parallel substantial decrease in

evictions of 18 percent, even though the number of

eviction proceedings commenced remained the same as

2 prior years. That decrease represents people who 3 remain in their homes. This positive result is from 4 additional funding for legal counsel, and it points the way forward, but the need still exists for more resources. We all would like to see an end to 6 unnecessary evictions and the loss of affordable 8 housing because there is no counsel. Every day my office, just like all of yours, sees tenants facing eviction, many with difficult, complicated cases, and 10 11 they have not been able to find counsel. 12 particularly concerned for tenants, working families 13 in many cases, in the neighborhoods facing rezoning 14 or displacement. These tenants need expert attorneys 15 and they need organizers to help them resist the 16 pressures of skyrocketing rents. I am hopeful that funding from this legislation will enable appropriate 17 18 CBO's, community based organizations, to provide 19 assistance to tenants not only in eviction 20 proceedings, but to help them in repair actions, to 21 fight MCI's and to fight harassment. I urge this committee and the Council to address the issue of how 2.2 2.3 to provide help for individuals and families whose income disqualifies them from receiving aid under 24 this legislation as well as assistance from services 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by current CBO's. Their cases are complicated, and they cannot afford private attorneys, a fact that violates what should be a basic right to the assistance they need to save their homes. you know that when I was on the Council, thanks to the City Council, we had through Goddard Riverside Law Project and the Urban Justice Center monthly clinics staffed by expert attorneys where anyone facing housing problems or eviction could receive help. And I want to thank Council Member Helen Rosenthal and others in the Council for continuing that. I believe that the Office of the Civil Justice Coordinator must explore methods to address the problem of providing help for households with incomes above 200 percent of the poverty line, but which lack means to pay private counsel. This is a large group of New York tenants who are increasingly in danger of losing their affordable apartments. In addition to clinics like I just described, the Office of the Civil Justice Coordinator should explore potential programs for "low-bono" or sliding scale providers to address this issue. These families and individuals need assistance and we cannot neglect them either. So, in summary, I support this legislation as an

Could you even guess or estimate what portion

25

loss.

GALE BREWER: They're not coming back.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Tremendous loss
3 to New York City. Thank you, Madam Borough
4 President.

GALE BREWER: Thank you very much, Mr. Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I am pleased that we now finally get to hear from a very important voice, the critical voice of tenants on our next panel, and I would like to call please Louis Cardoso [sp?], Randy Dillard, Leyla Martinez, Carmen Vega Rivera [sp?], Eduardo Paez, the Reverend Manuel Rodriguez, and I believe that there are some translators as well which are of course welcome to join us up front. I think we're going to be a couple chairs short, so if the sergeants could please bring two or three more chairs. And just to facilitate things, I'm actually going to call the following We have some seats in the front that you can make your way down to so we can move expeditiously. So, the following panel, again, if you could just start making your way at your leisure. It's going to be former Judge Emily Jane Goodman, former Judge Andrew Lehrer, John Kiernan, Diana Sen, Andrew Scherer, Neil Steinkamp, Raymond Roth, and Joe

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 136
2	Rosenberg from the CCRC. So, if you all at your
3	leisure could make it into the front row, and we are,
4	because we have so many people speaking, we're going
5	to have to start a clock at two minutes for each
6	speaker, and I don't know if is Mr. Cardoso, is
7	that your sir? Okay. [speaking Spanish]
8	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon.
10	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: My name is Jesus Louis
12	Cardoso Lara [sp?].
13	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: I've been living in New York
15	City for 20 years
16	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: I had the problem with my
18	apartment that I was unable to pay rent because I had
19	an accident. I hurt my finger and was unable to pay
20	the rent.
21	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: And my landlord took me to
23	court.
24	LOUIS CARDOSO: [speaking Spanish]

LOUIS CARDOSO:

[speaking Spanish]

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

TRANSLATOR: And that's' my testimony.

3 | Thank you for hearing me.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [speaking Spanish]

Thank you so much. I'm happy the story ended well.

Mr. Dillard, you're up next.

RANDY DILLARD: My name is Randy Dillard. When I went to court it was a nightmare. I'm a single parent of five. My daughter at the time was in high school. As I said at the press conference, I was on my death bed at one time, and when I got out of the hospital I was served with eviction papers. My landlord's lawyer. When I went to Housing Court standing in long lines was another nightmare. Going inside when they give you the paper to tell you when you have to come back to court, and they tell you who's suing, which is your landlord, they don't answer no more questions. So I was fortunate to find CASA, Community Action for Safe Apartments, and to find a lawyer at POTS, Part of the Solution. So when I went to court my landlord's lawyer, he fought it twice. So right there tells you if I was a tenant and didn't have a lawyer and I defaulted twice, that I couldn't have put it back on the docket the way that the landlord's lawyer did. I learned what a

1

2

stipulation was. If I didn't have a lawyer, I

4

 $\operatorname{don'} \operatorname{t}$ have the money to pay when that agreement is up

wouldn't know not to sign an agreement knowing that I

5

and you get put out of your house. My daughter was

6

in high school. The fear in going to a shelter

7

frightened her as well as it did me. It caused me

8

mental problems. I was taking more medication than I

9

normally do. My daughter dropped from a "B" student

10

to a "D" student, and my attorney explained to me

11

everything that a hold-over is, a default is, and if

12

you don't know that, that's only the language for an

13

14

attorney.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Mr. Dillard,

15

thank you not only for your testimony, but for the

16

years of activism that you've put in to help ensure

17

that other people benefit from attorneys. You've

18

been selfless and effective, and we thank you for

19

that.

RANDY DILLARD: Thank you.

21

20

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Next up we're

2.2

going to hear from Carmen Vega Rivera.

23

CARMEN VEGA RIVERA: Good afternoon. I'm

24

Carmen Vega Rivera. I'm 60-- Good afternoon. My name

25

is Carmen Vega Rivera. I was born and raised not

what I would be experiencing in Housing Court.

has been harassment of the landlord, but it also has been a very time insensitive experience to be in Housing Court. It's almost a degradation of your humanity when you enter that court that you're not heard, that you're not listened. It doesn't matter who you are or what your education is. I'm well-I'm well educated. That meant nothing as I versed. stood there in front of a judge fighting the landlord unrepresentative. It wasn't until I leveled the playing field and reached out to CASA that they provided attorneys through Urban Justice Center that we sort of flipped the tables on them. So, I have had court proceedings. I've been in trial. HP [sic], I won it all, and yet I'm there today without the right to counsel. It's not only I. it's all the faces that look like me, that look like Randy that will continue to be taken to court, be harassed, be evicted, be displaced, and be counted into those horrible numbers. So, I am imploring everyone to pass Intro 214 by the end of the year.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Carmen for your forceful and effective leadership and for being here today. Your microphone, please?

21

2.2

2.3

1

2 LEYLA MARTINEZ: Thank you for the 3 opportunity to speak to you about Intro 214A in 4 relation to providing legal counsel for low income 5 eligible tenants-- I'm so sorry, I'm nervous-- who are subject to eviction foreclosure proceedings. My 6 7 name is Leyla Martinez, and I'm a tenant in a rent 8 stabilized apartment in the Bronx. I'm a single mother. I'm a first generation American. survivor of domestic violence. I'm Latina, and I'm 10 11 also a formerly incarcerated person. Aside from that, I'm a student at Columbia University. I'm a 12 13 Human Rights Major, and I expect to graduate with my 14 Bachelor's Degree in 2018, in May of 2018. 15 had Housing Court cases in my current apartment. 16 Prior to that I've been homeless. The first time I 17 was in court I didn't have a lawyer, therefore I had 18 to represent myself. After being denied a one-shot 19 deal because of my rent of \$1,250 for a two bedroom 20 apartment was too high. I sought out the help of 21 other organizations. They advised me to try and have a landlord lower my rent so that I would be eligible 2.2 2.3 for FEPS, which is a rental subsidy available only for people who are receipt of public assistance and 24 have minor children, have a court case, and the rent 25

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 1 143 2 is low enough for them to be able to qualify. 3 time, as I stated, my rent was \$1,250, but I have 4 fewer than five people in my household. So the 5 landlord would have to agree to give me a preferential rent of 900 dollars a month so that I 6 7 would be able to qualify for FEPS. When I applied for help with HRA, the advice that was given to me 8 was to find an apartment in New York City that was lower than \$1,250. Yeah, thank you. 10 Due to 11 gentrification which has been taking place in New 12 York City, it is practically impossible for me to 13 find an apartment which is lower than \$950 per month. 14 I have so much to say.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: If you could just briefly summarize.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LEYLA MARTINEZ: Briefly summarize. It's just so much I don't even know how to summarize it, but basically we don't-- it is impossible for us to get-- I'm trying to get out of poverty by obtaining an education. How am I to change my circumstances if I don't get help? Like, I didn't have a lawyer. I was evicted. I'm a student at Columbia. I'm trying to-- I have a full scholarship. I was in danger of losing my scholarship because I was going to court so

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many times. I was in court every two weeks trying to fight this case on my own. I was fighting the case for over a year on my own because I didn't have anyone to represent me until I was evicted. Then in my desperateness I was able to tell a friend about my situation and my friend introduced me to my lawyer who works for Urban Justice, and she got me back into the apartment. But even though I'm educator and I'm a very advocate because I'm also a social justice activist, so I'm always advocating for myself and for others, I was not able to-- it's impossible. How do I come up with the money? And then because I was evicted I was -- then I had to pay more money. So I had to come up with other funds to go back into my apartment when I couldn't even pay my rent in the first place, and I had to try and get scholarships and help from other charity services, and the charities weren't able to help me because some of them had helped me previously.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you so much, Ms. Martinez for your strength and your poise and for sharing your story. It really only adds to my passion to want to pass this bill. We really appreciate you joining us here today, and I want to

thank the entire panel. Thank you very much. Muchas gracias [sic]. Thank you. Sorry we're so short on time. We have so many people waiting to testify.

Looks like we may have to play a little bit of musical chairs. Sorry, this is an out-sized panel.

But-- wonderful. Okay. I'm going to ask that-- is that my good friend Andrew Scherer kick us off,

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

please.

ANDREW SCHERER: Great. Thank you so much. Good afternoon. My name's Andrew Scherer. I'm the Policy Director of the Impact Center for Public Interest Law at New York Law School. I know you called Judge Emily Jane Goodman for this panel. She had to leave earlier. She let me know. So, I started my legal career in the south Bronx representing tenants almost four decades ago, and what I found as a young attorney is that if we could get involved in a case and represent people, we could have incredibly positive results, but we had to turn so many people away, and I began to believe that, and I continue to firmly believe that there needs to be a right to counsel, just like when you're losing your liberty and you're losing custody of your children, there needs to be a right to counsel. Today is a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

historic moment, not just for New York City, it's really -- in equal justice for the United States. When this Council passes Intro 214A and the Mayor signs it into law, and mark my words, this will happen, New York City will become the first jurisdiction in the nation to quarantee that its poorest and most vulnerable residents don't face losing their homes, being traumatized by eviction, getting displaced from their communities and entering the homeless shelters without attorneys to represent them. The truth is the Mayor and the Council already recognize this. You heard Steve Banks testify. There's enormous progress being made toward meaningful access to justice in this city, and they've been increasing the funding and building up the infrastructure, but funding alone is not enough. Establishing a right to counsel does what no amount of funding can do. It completely and permanently shifts the dynamic of housing justice for the long haul in this city and sends a powerful message that this Administration respects its lowest income residents and that it recognizes that their human dignity and their homes and their communities matter. Funding can be

eliminated. A right would be impossible for future

administrations to take away. May I have another minute? The people of this City would not let that happen. Can't be taken away. The support is overwhelming. People say Friday's New York Times' endorsement. You've heard from our former Chief Judge who's a national icon in the movement for equal justice, and throughout the rest of the day you'll be hearing from community leaders, from public officials, from labor leaders, from leaders of the Bar, from policy experts, all in support of this incredibly important bill. The time to do this is This is a progressive, problem-solving administration that in partnership with the Council isn't afraid to take on big, important issues, not afraid to make change where change is needed. Ultimately, the question before us is what kind of city do we want to live in? As the Mayor so eloquently and often emphasizes, do we want two cities, one for the rich and the poor? Do we want two systems of justice, one for the rich and the Or do we want a city where all New Yorkers regardless of their income have a fighting chance to protect their homes and remain in their communities?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

_

You know the answer. Pass Intro 214A and establish the right to counsel now.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you.

ANDREW SCHERER: So we-- I guess next we're going to have you guys, right, from-- these are actually the folks that put together the report that analyzed the cost and benefits of counsel from Stout Risius Ross, and I'm going to move over and let them speak.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Just make sure you state your name and affiliation for the record. Thank you.

NEIL STEINKAMP: Thank you. My name's
Neil Steinkamp and I'm with Stout Risius Ross, and
I'm joined by my colleague Ray Roth. Thank you to
the members of the committee to having us here this
afternoon. Ours is the report in the analysis that
has been referenced several times today. We were
engaged to evaluate the cost and benefits of 214A to
the City, and we did so on a pro-bono basis.
Essentially— and I'll try and keep this as brief as
possible— it's a complex analysis, but what it boils
down to is we looked at both the reports of the

Independent Budget Office and the Department of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finance. Taking the analysis of the IBO and really essentially updating several of the inputs to that with new information, information that's now available that wasn't available when the report was initially published, creates a net benefit. Simply updating those numbers based on surveys that have been done of legal service providers and information that has been made available through the City results in a net benefit. That is, to the extent that there is funding that is required, the benefits of that are exceeded, 52 million dollars right off the bat, and that is before we consider the benefits of preservation. We've heard several times already this morning that there is benefit to preserving the affordable housing stock of the city. When we add that to the estimates that we've already looked at from modifying and updating the IBO analysis, there's a net benefit of over 300 million dollars to the city. A lot of that comes from the fact that the cost of providing counsel is between two and 3,000 dollars per case. The cost of shelter duration for an individual or a family, as we heard already this morning, is over 40,000 dollars. It's not hard to appreciate how providing that counsel and improving

1

the odds for successful defense significantly

3

that analysis, our analysis, the IBO analysis, they 4

benefits the city. I also want to emphasize that

5 don't capture a variety of very important and

6

significant un-quantifiable things such as what we

7

just heard from on the last panel. The impact to

8

children, there are many studies that show that

improving stable housing for children has long-term

10

economic impacts. The benefits to students, the

11

benefits to just stable housing to families has

12

significant economic benefits to the city, which

13

these reports do not include and only add to the

14

benefits that we perceive for the passage of 214A.

15

Thank you again for your time.

16

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very

17

18

much.

JOHN KIERNAN: Good afternoon. My name is

19

John Kiernan. I'm President of the New York City Bar

20

Association. With permission, I will hand up my

21

written testimony and also the Stout Risius and Ross

2.2

report just described for the Council's use as it

2.3

chooses. My testimony today will be directed to five

24

very quick comments about the proposed legislation.

25

First, predictions that Intro 214A will pay for

25

itself in avoided shelter costs and other direct 2 3 costs that the City would otherwise pay are 4 responsible and reasonable. It starts with the 5 statistics just mentioned, that there's a 44,000 dollar average curtain cost for sheltering the family 6 for the average of 13 months at 41,000 dollar a year, combined with a 2,000 dollar average cost of 8 providing legal representation. All in a context where representation has been shown to greatly 10 11 enhance families' prospects for avoiding eviction by over four times according to a study noted in the 12 13 recent Office of Civil Justice Report. Second, the 14 statistics about reduced evictions over the past two 15 years during the period when the city has wonderfully 16 increased its spending for legal services for 17 eviction protection from six million to 62 million, 18 not all the way rolled out as Commissioner Banks 19 said, carry a powerful reinforcing message of their own. There's been a lot of references to the 24 20 percent reduction in marshal's evictions from 28,000 21 to about 22,000. There's another statistic that goes 2.2 2.3 to Commissioner Levine's question of a few minutes ago -- Council Member Levine's question of a few 24

minutes ago about other evictions beside that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

That's that there's been a 21,000 person or family reduction in the number of warrants of eviction, which can cause -- many times cause people to live without waiting for the marshal to arrive to throw them out at the average of three people per eviction notice that the same Office of Civil Justice Report estimated. That's 63,000 people or a medium-sized city of reduced evictions already as a result of this program. While it's true that the program is still enrolled in roll-out, conclusions about whether eviction protection through legal representation will have enormous effect are already at a point where they can be reasonably reached. Third, comparing the cost of legal representation to the benefits of legal -- of the resulting savings underestimates all the other many savings besides economic savings that are associated with eviction protection that the City Council is already well aware of. Fourth, provision of legal representation will not, of course, eliminate all evictions, but it serves as an essential piece of the puzzle. There will still, as others have recognized, have to be allocations of funds for arears, support, rental assistance and other back-stop protections. But in the meantime,

JOHN KIERNAN: I'm coming to my last two sentences. This is a place where the spark that the City Council has begun to create a bonfire. The difference in between the seminal step that the City Council is contemplating and almost taking that step should likely be overshadowed by the message that

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

will be sent to all the cities in this country about what New York, the city that should take the lead in this, has done in seeking-- in advocating and creating a uniform right to counsel. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you.

DIANA SEN: Good afternoon. My name is

Diana Sen and I'm the President of the Network of Bar Leaders. The Network of Bar Leaders is a coalition of 50 bar associations throughout New York City. include the big Bars of New York City Bar, New York State Bar, but other Bars, specialty bars, minority bars like the Hispanic National Bar Association and various other Bars. And what's particularly important about Intro 214 is that we found that all 50 of our Bar Association organizations together in this coalition agreed with the fact that it's very important for people to have the right to counsel when they're about to lose their home, whether it's through foreclosures or eviction. We find it be an important universal need. And as many of you know, it is rare when lawyers are all able to agree in unison, and that is why it's so important. So, not only we stand before you today along with all the other coalitions to say that this is a very important

strongly supported by the Archdiocese of New York and

essential component of the mission to reduce

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

157

homelessness in New York. This important focus on keeping families in their homes has been recognized by this mayoral administration and the City Council. As a result, funding to New York City legal service programs has been greatly increased, resulting in the expansion of resources designed to prevent eviction and keep people in their homes. Catholic President of the Network of Bar Leaders President of the Network of Bar Leaders Charities of the Archdiocese and of the Diocese of Brooklyn are both part of this initiative. Preserving housing development, the Catholic Charities Community Services of the Archdiocese of New York served over 5,000 clients last year. They focused one eviction prevention, services for HPD Section 8 tenants, helping clients obtain emergency cash assistance and identifying families with children who are eligible for the The eviction family eviction prevention supplement. protection program of neighborhood services with Dioceses Brooklyn work with DHS to assist families in obtaining financial assistance and other counseling [sic] to avoid homelessness. They have helped over

5,200 families last year. All anti-eviction programs

are essential to help stem homelessness and should be

worked in the Housing Court both as a court attorney

for a Housing Court judge, and also has a Housing

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Court judge. Before that I worked for the Legal Aid Society in East Harlem representing tenants in tenant associations. So, I've seen--I've observed the plight of tenants from both sides of the deck. not always a pretty picture. Now, New York is amazing. It has many, many laws and regulations that aim to protect tenant's right, but as the Court of Appeals has noted, they constitute an impenetrable thicket confusing not only to layman but to lawyers as well. Just imagine how confusing those laws must be to an unrepresented tenant. Now, every day the Housing Court deals with thousands of cases. overwhelming majority of those cases the landlord is represented by an attorney, the tenant is not. of the business of the Housing Court is actually conducted in the hallway, when the landlord's attorney negotiates a settlement agreements with usually unrepresented tenant. Now, the Housing Court makes an effort to even the playing field by having a court attorney and judge review the agreement, make sure the tenant isn't being unfairly-- too unfairly taken advantage of, and to know that he has other options. But usually an unrepresented tenant cannot take advantage of those other options. So while the

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

court system's attempt to even the playing field is admirable, it falls way short. Housing Court's judges' caseloads can be quite high leaving them insufficient time to explain their agreements, even when tenants know their right. If they're unrepresented, if they're unable to speak or understand English, they may be too intimidated to assert them. Court attorneys and judges are not allowed to give legal advice. So, neither court-actually, neither judges nor court attorneys should be put in the role of having to provide the kind of services that only an attorney representing the tenant can do. Now, when I was judge, and this should come as no surprise, it was my experience that those tenants who were represented by counsel had better results than those who are not, and the examples are legion. Let me give you just the simplest of examples. One of the Housing Court Judges' most important powers is deciding whether to sign an order to show cause to stop an eviction and set a date for the parties to come back to argue why the tenant should or not be given release. gives judges a considerable discretion in making that decision and provides a number of factors for them to

Catholic Church is backing this bill Intro 214

strongly because we deal with the consequences of homelessness on a daily basis. For example, in my church we right now in relation, in an agreement with CAMBA [sic], the organization, we're going to be-we're going to have to open a shelter, a small shelter in our church because the number of homeless people in Jamaica is increasing on daily basis, and we already have run a soup kitchen, but even though we don't have any grant or any extra fund for that, we're going to do it on our own resources, because we believe that as a church we are to come along with the needs of the poor, and this is probably the most urgent situation. The poor people in New York City are facing homelessness. So, we really strongly support this bill. We ask the City Council members that please go ahead and do the right thing and approve this because our people need it. And, you know, I also would like to take advantage here to let organizations present here and all the people that are supporting this bill and fighting for the rights of the tenants, that the church, the Catholic Church is with you and we are always backing you up, and we look forward to get this bill approved. Thank you so

much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you. that was an incredible panel. Thank you all for your 3 4 leadership, your commitment. I actually just had two 5 very quick questions. We often talk about collateral consequences as an end result, right? So, a family 6 7 losing their home means that that child or children 8 are no longer in that school district, and you know, a job is impacted. Have we actually quantified the amount of the collateral consequences? So we know 10 11 about 300 million dollars are realized in potential 12 savings of keeping families in their homes, but does 13 that also include the value of a child being 14 transferred, a mom or dad losing their job? I mean, 15 there's so many other factors that have this, you 16 know, catastrophic domino effect that, you know, when 17 a family is evicted from their home. Has your study 18 look at that as well?

NEIL STEINKAMP: So that's a great question. The short answer is no, and the reason is there's a lot that goes into that. I've done those sorts of analysis before. There's just not enough information for us to do that at this time, but you're right, that's in addition to what we've already calculated, and it is significant. There's

obviously the human element of that, but the community and citywide effects of that will be felt for many, many years. A family who is going through that process, goes through eviction, goes into homelessness, the path forward for them is very different than the path forward that involves stable housing, and the cost of that in terms of remediating it, but also, you know, there's studies that show long term wage impacts, education impacts, employability impacts, healthcare impacts. The effects of that will be long-term.

question is looking at, you know, the families that are impacted and what we're doing to provide as much prevention. There are many instances where families are on the brink of eviction and many of them don't reach out for many reasons. So the City and the work we do to try to identify those families before they get to eviction and get to Housing Court is really important. So, I want to know, the work that the City is doing, what else do you think that we should be looking at in addition to right to counsel? If you look at the types of evictions that families are going through and the fact that many tenants are

COMMITTEE	ON	COURTS	AND	T.E.GAT.	SERVICES

2.2

2.3

taking landlords to court for repairs, for basic
necessities that they're not getting, and you know,
essentially if you harass your landlord so much
they'll find a reason to get rid of you, right?
Whether it's legal or not. And if you don't know

7 your rights, I mean, what types of security do you

8 have? So, you know, moving forward, is there

9 anything that we at the Council from your perspective should be looking at in addition to right to counsel?

ANDREW SCHERER: So, let me just say that the City's efforts to try to provide funding and put in place programs that are actually preventative, not simply at the far end when people--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Right, reactive. Right.

ANDREW SCHERER: not simply reactive is really great. I do think, though, that the key to this is creating the right, not simply having the funding. The people who you talked about who don't know what their rights are and never even find their way to the court to represent themselves, the word will get out on the street if people know that they actually have a right to an attorney. That will change the dynamic. That will put pressure on the

2.2

2.3

imagine. Landlords will be dissuaded from bringing frivolous cases in the first place, and the numbers of cases will go down. There will be pressure on the system to raise shelter allowances so people can actually afford the rent and stay in their homes.

But it starts with the notion that people have this fundamental right to equal justice so that the discussion about all these other measures that needs to take place and that ultimately are really what needs to happen to solve the housing crisis that we have. That conversation doesn't begin to take place

when people every day are losing their homes and

losing their ability to stay in their communities

simply because they can't afford to pay an attorney.

agree. I think if we do everything possible to captures families while they're, you know, along this process where they're on the brink of eviction, when they feel that they're falling before they fall. I think it's a testament and it speaks to the commitment we have. And you know, we are very passionate about codifying measures and putting them in local law. None of us are going to be here

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

forever, and we want to make sure the good successful measures are put in local law. Because to us, I mean, not just the historic perspective from this, but making sure that people are equipped with knowledge and power, and when you have a fundamental right to something, you get strength as a tenant. You get, you know, the fortitude to say I don't have to accept this type of treatment or live in these types of conditions that many families are almost accustomed to, and they're complacent and it's not acceptable. you know, I think sometimes I'm more passionate about this because I think about the stories that I don't see, and I think about the faces that I probably have not yet met, but they're going through a struggle, and they're living in darkness and they're hoping for a lifeline, and for me this bill is a lifeline. It's a lifeline for hope and for a second chance for many families that may have made a mistake or may have fallen victim to some societal factor like losing their job, but that doesn't mean that they have to live in the conditions they live in, nor does it mean that they have to belong or end up in a shelter. I mean, I agree, and I thank you for the work. You've been amazing through this process I

managing housing. So, I'd like to call up Edward

1990, early in the HIV/AIDS epidemic, when HIV-

current housing market we have seen an increase in

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 171
2	frivolous cases brought by landlords seeking to raise
3	rent exponentially or vacate a building for resale.
4	For clients who rely on public assistance or a small
5	fixed income while battling chronic medical
6	conditions, appearing in housing court with an
7	attorney fundamentally changes the balance of power.
8	Landlords' attorneys who do not face opposing counsel
9	too often bully and disrespect the rights of tenants.
10	This further traumatizes already vulnerable
11	litigants, undermines the basic human right to
12	housing, and for our clients can be a matter of life
13	or death. Having an attorney to advocate ensures that
14	our clients' voices are heard, a level playing field,
15	and often ensures that clients have the time and
16	resources to avoid the trauma of eviction, or to
17	relocate to other stable and affordable housing.
18	Additionally, with trained and competent housing
19	counsel our clients are informed of and able to
20	assert complex legal defenses based on nuanced
21	housing law. These defenses often enable clients to
22	retain affordable housing and negotiate from a
23	position of strength, not weakness. I know my time is

up.

create and preserve affordable housing here and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

actions, many of whom come from communities that have faced discrimination in housing and disinvestment in 13 14 their neighborhoods for decades. This legislation 15 also has the potential to help stem the loss of rent 16 stabilized housing. So, you guys have a copy of my 17 written comments. I'll just summarize here quickly. 18 I don't think I'm adding anything new to the 19 conversation. It's very exciting to be a part of the 20 consistent drum beat that's supporting this legislation here today. I do think, though, that 21 it's worth noting explicitly, we've heard a few times 2.2 2.3 this morning, specifically at the press conference, that many people facing housing court actions are 24 people of color who come from neighborhoods that were 25

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

174

disinvested but now face ricing price pressures from an influx of investment often without benefits to communities. So, from red-lining to racial steering to urban renewal, these same communities have for years been subjected to actions by the government and private actors that have led to the situation we're in today. Now that these neighborhoods are again deemed valuable, displacement is what threatens communities. The right to counsel is one tool to help stop displacement, and for these reasons and many more Enterprise is a large affordable housing intermediary strongly supports Intro 214. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much, Ms. Strojan. Alright, thank you.

EDWARD UBIERA: Thank you, Council Member Levine, Council Member Gibson, and the members of the Committee on Courts and Legal Services for the opportunity to speak here today on the matter of Proposed Intro 214A. My name is Edward Ubiera. I'm the Director of Policy for the Local Initiatives Support Corporations New York City Program, another intermediary. Many of you know LISC as a nonprofit that provides financing and technical assistance for the affordable housing sector. LISC was born here in

Expanded legal services will result in better public

disincentive for any of their unscrupulous behavior.

214A will signal to the market and create a

2.3

24

low-income people, and we've heard some very

afford to move and it's probably getting less often.

2.2

2.3

Bad moves are common for low-income tenants, and
they're probably getting more common. So, evictions
are a result of excessive rent increases when tenants
can't afford their rent, but they're also a cause-- I
mean, they're also a cause of unaffordable rents, and
by interrupting this process, by slowing down the
number of evictions ordered by a judge and probably

also those in formal evictions too, we can protect the housing stock and make rents more affordable and give less reason for tenants to wind up in Housing

Court in the first place.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, sir, for your remarks, Mr. Watters, and thank you to this great panel. We really appreciate it.

Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Next up I want to call Eduardo Paez who was a tenant who we didn't have room for in an early panel, Mr. Paez, please come forward, and again we're going to hear from Catherine Carr, David Udell, Risa Kaufman, and Debbie Stevens.

And I don't know if Harry DeRienzo is still here. We called him on a previous panel, but if you're here

EDUARDO PAEZ: [speaking Spanish]

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: We met with the attorney multiple times, and even though all the tenants in the building were going through the same situation, everybody was afraid of doing something, so we ended up being a small group taking action.

EDUARDO PAEZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: So the attorney explained to us that even though we was a small group, four people only, four families, we were still able to take action.

EDUARDO PAEZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: The landlord didn't want to renew our leases, and that's what the issue was. The attorney said that we should try to negotiate with him before taking legal action.

EDUARDO PAEZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: The landlord realized that we started to learn about our rights. We started taking action. We called 311. The landlord started getting violations, and because of that he will get fined.

EDUARDO PAEZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: So the landlord didn't do all his part, but we were able to get some things done, and we know that with the help of an attorney a lot

Housing and Reasonable Accommodations. So she

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 insisted that either kind of like you're going to

3 lose if you go to trial. So, like the other

4 gentleman said, I signed a stipulation not realizing,

5 you know, the ramifications, and that was two days

6 before Christmas. So before that happened-- they

7 want to use dangerous chemicals that could harm me.

8 I've had a collapsed lung, open lung biopsy, survived

9 pneumonia. I'm 58. And basically, there's safer

10 alternatives that they don't want to use because it's

11 | expensive. So I went to Federal Court on my own. I

12 represented myself, but I'm no lawyer. They put a

13 | stop on it, and we're back now in landlord/tenant

14 court. Now, I requested a bunch of transcripts. The

15 court are refusing to give it to me. So, CD's is one

16 | thing, but you need the written testimony of what's

17 going on. So, can you imagine all the pressure with

18 | all these court dates? Can I give this to you to

19 show-- can somebody give this to--

20 | COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

21 | Yeah, we'll have a sergeant pick it up and we'll

22 enter it into the record. We do need you to try and

23 | summarize and wrap up, please.

24

DEBBIE STEVENS: Okay, well basically, it

25 | just goes to show when you don't have an attorney how

passing this. Thank you.

1

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright. Mr.

3

Udell, is that correct? Forgive me if I'm confusing.

4

Tell us your name, sir?

5

DAVID UDELL: So, I'm David Udell.

6

just wanted to clarify that Katherine Carr of the

7

National Coalition for Civil Right to Counsel is

8

unable to stay for this portion and has submitted her

9

written testimony.

10

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Oh, well thank

11

you for clarifying that. We'll enter her testimony

this opportunity. I'm the Executive Director of the

National Center for Access to Justice. We are based

DAVID UDELL: So, thank you so much for

12

into the record. And please? Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

_ 1

25

at Fordham Law School where I also co-chair the school's Access to Justice Initiative. Our flagship project is the Justice Index, which I encourage you to take a look at Justiceindex.org online. And we identify best policies and practice for assuring access to justice in states across the country. We are using data to measure to progress to increase access to justice and comparing and ranking the

states, and that way we promote expansion of access

to justice. In our justice index, we make clear that

are high. The other side may be making the facts up,

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and most people are not able to respond effectively to those kinds of allegations and assertions. How good are any of us at correcting the record on complicated, legal and factual issues, when people make things up, want your home, have more power than you, and when your safety and security are on the I want to add only that as Judge Lippmann acknowledged earlier in the day, having a lawyer makes a difference for the court and for the city. It speeds things up. It's the lawyer who can evaluate and help the court understand which cases have merit, must go forward, which cases can be resolved quickly and expeditiously and fairly, I would add. I'm proud to speak in support of 214A, the Civil Right to Counsel Bill today in New York City where the Council can make a big difference, and I want to thank you all for your support for the bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for your testimony. Ms. Kaufman?

RISA KAUFMAN: Hi, good afternoon. I'm

Risa Kaufman, the Executive Director of the Columbia

Law School Human Rights Institute. I want to thank

you for the opportunity to offer testimony on the

human rights principles related to access to justice,

in 1994 protects against discrimination based on

2.2 promoting equal justice under the law. A right to

counsel for people threatened with the loss of

national leader on safeguarding basic human rights by

housing is firmly grounded in human rights

21

2.3

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 principles, including the right to equal and

3 meaningful access to counsel. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

5 Kaufman. Thank you, Mr. Udell, both. And I'd like

6 to call up for our next panel: Beth Goldman, Leah

7 Goodridge, Ed Josephs-- Josephsons [sic], sorry,

8 Adrienne Holder, Scott Solmer [sp?], Joan Berenbaum

9 [sp?], and Lily Cadino [sp?]. Ms. Cadino, would you

10 | like to kick us off?

1

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for this opportunity to testify in support of Intro 214A, which if adopted will significantly reduce the number of evictions effected in New York City. Many of the 250,000 members represented by 1199 Downstate Divisions will benefit from Intro 214A. Housing Court is an unfriendly, intimidating place where savvy lawyers are familiar with the court system and unrepresented tenants are at a disadvantage. Even when tenants are in the right, when unrepressed they are most likely to face eviction than those represented by lawyers. They are most likely to settle disputes without redress or remedies for grievances such as necessary repairs or lack of heat

or hot water. Intro 214A will afford legal

strongly urge the City Council to approve and the

legislation. And in closing, just want to commend

Mayor to sign this ground breaking piece of

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES Council Members Levine and Gibson for your leadership

191

3 on this important piece of legislation.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well, you have been such an integral member of this movement. We're grateful for your support. Am I right, Ms. Cadino that you care about this from both the angles of a union that represents workers in the legal service world, but also of a broader membership that could benefit from this kind of legal assistance. Is that

LILY CADINO: That is correct. 250,000 members that live in the city of New York, many of them are homecare workers and nursing home workers who would benefit from this, but at the same time we represent the Legal Aid Society Support Staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That gives you a I want to mention unique and important perspective. that the Essential Labor Council which couldn't be here at the moment has also been very supportive of this measure and has submitted remarks for the record. We are grateful for their support, and Ms. Goldman, I'll que you next.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

correct?

Thank you. My name is

2 BETH GOLDMAN: 3 4

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I am the attorney in charge at the New Beth Goldman. York Legal Assistance Group, and thank you so much for this opportunity to speak in support of Intro I'll rely on the written testimony and just highlight a couple of facts. I feel that there areso many things have been said today and so many facts at this point are incontrovertible, and really I think the point at this point is they don't really require more development. The first fact is that we know there's this enormous justice gap both in the Housing Court and where foreclosures take place, 75 percent of tenants in Housing Court and half in foreclosure do not have lawyers. Those challenges are compounded where we have elderly, disabled or non-English speakers. So that's the first fact. second fact we know is that a lawyer in Housing Court can make a huge difference. You're probably going to hear, I understand, from some lawyers who are actually handling cases including a lawyer from NYLAG, Yekaterina Blinova, but we can give you a couple of examples, like a client who was in NYCHA apartment, but because of a medical disability was unable to represent herself and almost lost her

2 apartment, or a client who was going to vacate an 3 apartment be she thought she could not afford it 4 until a NYLAG attorney learned that it was a rent 5 stabilized building and she had a right to stay at a much lower rent. So we know as a fact that when a 6 tenant is represented by counsel there are fewer 8 defaults, fewer judgements against, fewer warrants of evictions, and greater success in general in obtaining repairs and otherwise. We also know that a 10 11 significant factor in homelessness is eviction and 12 that there's a significant percentage of people in 13 shelters who cite evictions as a precipitating 14 factor, and we also know the high cost of building 15 affordable housing. We know that at 30 billion 16 dollars to be spent on 80,000 new units, that's approximately 382,000 dollars for every new unit. 17 18 So, if you put all these facts together, now is the 19 time for the right to counsel. We don't need to wait 20 for more. We know, first of all, that a roof over one's head and shelter is a necessity of life, and 21 2.2 you need meaningful access to justice to protect 2.3 We need lawyers to protect people in those apartments, and we can't ignore the possibility that 24 the current funding will be decreased potentially if 25

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the politically winds shift or if there are different priorities. So, given all of the additional costs that you save by doing this, now is the time for the right to counsel. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms. Goldman. And now Leah Goodridge, please. thank you.

LEAH GOODRIDGE:

Thank you. My name is Leah Goodridge, and I'm one of the Housing Supervisors at MFY Legal Services. Our housing project provides advice and full legal representation to tenants citywide and litigates in Housing Court, New York State Supreme Court and before administrative agencies on behalf of tenants in all types of housing. Today, I'd like to highlight one of the initiatives that MFY is a part of that is analogous to the right to counsel that we're talking about here today. For over 10 years, MFY along with Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation has been the Manhattan provider for the Assigned Counsel Project, a Department for the Aging initiative through with the Manhattan Housing Court refers approximately 130 evictions cases. ACP, as we call it, has been in many ways a pilot right to counsel

2 project. Teamsters who are over 60 years of age in 3 in particular need of legal assistance are identified 4 by judges and referred by the court to the legal services provider in that borough, which is obligated then to provide representation regardless of the 6 7 perceived merit of the case or income of the 8 respondent. DFTA then provides case management services. ACP cases tend to be more complex and pose greater challenges than the average Housing Court 10 11 The clients are elderly, disabled, isolated 12 and often homebound or hospitalized. Many have 13 limited capacity and most are unable to reach out to 14 legal service providers on their own or have no 15 knowledge that legal services are available. 16 that may have contacted the legal service providers 17 are sometimes turned away because they present at 18 first a seamlessly hopeless case. Yet, once these 19 tenants are assigned lawyers through the ACP project, 20 we prevent eviction in the vast majority of these 21 cases. For the remainder, it may become clear that 2.2 it is no longer appropriate for the tenant to live 2.3 independently. For example, if we were able to obtain 24/7 homecare for them, they would still be 24 able to obtain to make decisions for themselves. I 25

just wanted to quickly sum up and note that we are in strong support of Intro 214A, and we've seen through this project that MFY has been a part of for a decade

5 that it works.

2.2

2.3

Goodridge, for your testimony and for the incredible work that MFY does every day. I want to acknowledge we've been joined by the one and only Public Advocate Tish James, herself a former Legal Aid attorney, and how fitting that she joined us for the panel for legal service providers. Thank you. Wonderful timing. Our next speaker, Adrienne Holder, needs no introduction, a towering figure in the movement for tenants' rights, please.

ADRIENNE HOLDER: Oh, wow. Thank you very much, Council Member. I'm so pleased to be here today. This is a historic day, one that so many of us have just dreamt about, and it's just so wonderful to know that you all have sponsored some— this wonderful Intro 214A. And so thanks to Council Members Mark Levine and Vanessa Gibson. Your leadership has been tremendous, and also just a quick shout out to the advocates in our legal services and community services community. I mean, this has

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

really been a great effort. Everything has been said. We all know that it is true that there cannot be any justice in any type of adversarial proceeding when one side has knowledgeable and expert counsel and the other side does not. And you know, it is just heartbreaking, and you've heard the stories. You've heard from our own tenants' mouths. know quite well because you all have been involved. It's heartbreaking when we have a client that'll come to us in a hold-over proceeding and really does think that it's time for her to move, and we find out that not only can she stay because her apartment is supposed to be rent regulated, but the heartbreaking part is that she already will tell you-- and we had Ms. M who testified at City Council over a year ago, you know, that 10 of her neighbors had already moved out because that lie had been perpetuated and that harassment had gone on in the building, and it's just heartbreaking. And to think that we could have a right to counsel and that tenants would know that there is a place and that they're not going to be turned away and that they can seek their rights. have a lot of programs and it's in our testimony, one of them that we think is somewhat analogous to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

right to counsel, but I have to say that the courageous stand that we all are witnessing right now that New York City is taking, we have always said, and I sat on the Rent Guidelines Board for almost 11 years, we had always said that everyone had to take responsibility for what was happening with the affordability crisis here in New York City, that we needed to strengthen the rent laws, and so we've gone to the state to try and do that, that we needed to understand that we could not build ourselves out of the affordability crisis, and so of course we had to build affordable housing truly affordable, truly affordable, according to extremely low income and low income tenants who need housing. And we know that this Administration and this City Council supports that. We also knew that preservation was going to be key to be able to make that happen. And we also always said that we needed to make sure that everyone knew that affordable, accessible and habitable housing was really a way out. It was about good healthcare, good education policy, good employment policy, and it was really what puts a family on its right curse. But what has been the underlying pin that we just never thought that we would be able to

1 199 2 see until now, and thank you again to all the 3 leadership in the community and to our legislative leaders, is the idea of a right to counsel. And so 4 we are fully in support. The time is now. There's no more evidence that needs to be churned. Let's do this 6 and let's do what's right. This is a real education 8 of truly what our values are as a city, what we value, how we value each other, and how we will remain a great beacon here in this country. Thank 10

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well said, and thank you not only for your remarks, but for your years of leading on this issue. It's had such an I'd like to ask Ed Josephson from Legal Services NYC to please speak.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you.

EDWARD JOSEPHSON: My name's Ed Josephson. I'm the Director of Litigation at Legal Services New York City, and I'm actually here on behalf of Ron Rasmussen [sp?], our Executive Director who was sidelined by a sports injury and regrettably can't be here this afternoon. I have been defending tenants along with my colleagues in Housing Court for nearly 30 years, and we've learned a few things in that time. One is that the New York City Housing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Court is completely incapable of dispensing even rudimentary justice to low-income families without being forced to do by experienced and trained tenant's counsel. They've had decades to get their act together. They have failed to do that. It'll never happen without us making sure that tenants' rights are respected. The second thing we've learned is that when experienced counsel is provided for tenants, their chance of being evicted is dramatically reduced, and even when they have to move, they're able to move with dignity and without being forced to stay in a shelter in the meantime. So here's the question, how do you tell a low-income single mom or disabled person or senior that the person sitting next to her on the Housing Court bench is going to get a lawyer and she is not? How do you say that to her? And the one thing, the only thing about my job that I hate is having to say exactly that to so many people over the years. And so I am looking forward to the day when I and my colleagues never have to say that to anyone again.

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for that powerful sentiment. I am pleased that we are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

joined by another prominent labor leader in the person of Scott Sommers from the United Auto Workers which represents I think thousands if not at least hundreds of workers in this sector. Please, Mr. Sommers.

SCOTT SOMMERS: It's an honor to follow my old Unit Director Ed, by the way, and also I thank you for scheduling this on my mother's 88th birthday, a rent controlled tenant in Brooklyn who is also in support of Intro 214A. She would be here, but she's having fun with my brothers. I took her out yesterday. My name is Scott Sommer. I'm the UAW Region 9A New York Director and we are honored to represent nationally thousands of people who do this work, but here in New York City all the staff at Legal Services for New York, the Goddard Riverside Law Project, the Urban Justice Center, MFY Legal Services, Houndsy [sic] Conservation Coordinators, and the lawyers at the Legal Aid Society. We also represent the folks at Housing Court Answers and GOALS, Good Old Lower East Side. So, we are very deeply enmeshed and supportive of tenants' rights, and I want to commend both Council Members Levine and Gibson for your leadership on this, and also it's

2 always an honor to be here with the Public Advocate, 3 a former UAW member herself. The-- but I also want 4 to really commend the tenants in this room and the 5 tenants who were at Housing Court this morning and the ones who will be there tomorrow, because they 6 face the real travesty of justice that we have here 8 in this country regarding the right to counsel. You've heard all the stats and all the stuff about this; I don't have to repeat that, but I do want to 10 11 say is though is my legal services career began in 12 1985 as a paralegal and a tenants organizer because 13 of funding provided by this council for the anti-14 eviction HPD Legal Services Program, and I can speak 15 firsthand, as I was in law school at night and 16 organizing tenants as well at South Brooklyn Legal 17 Services, what we were able to accomplish through the 18 funding provided by this City Council and this 19 government, that we have fight for and have to fight 20 for every single year. Intro 214A is a critical 21 piece to make us not have to wage that fight every 2.2 year, but to make us be able to have it permanently 2.3 and forever. I think about the elderly that I used to work with in the Fort Greene neighborhood as it 24 was getting gentrified. The landlord that we 25

does not. The one over there. In New York it does

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 204
2 not. It doesn't not because it is not and equal and
3 impartial hand in Housing Court, and it never will be
4 until Intro 214A is passed. Thank you. Do it today.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Wow. I'm not sure I ever read that. Well done. Mr. Sommers, how many members do you have in the five boroughs in legal services and has that gone up since we've been increasing funding for this work?

2.2

2.3

which is great because, you know, we need to be able to represent more people. We have in the five boroughs, you know, well over, you know, 1,000 people doing this and we need probably about 10,000 more, you know. So, it's not just—but it's not—I'm not—I don't want—I'm not here about these jobs.

I'm here because of what these jobs represent for the constituents of everyone in this Council and this Mayor. That's why we're here.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I wonder if you,

Mr. Sommers and also perhaps if Ms. Cadino wanted to

weigh in, how do you answer the claim that well we're

growing this field so much already, we can't have

right to counsel. There's just no capacity. We'll

never find enough workers. We can't grow any faster.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

We're going to hear from Ms. Beranbaum in a moment,

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you both.

plethora of law students graduating who can't find work, so I think we'll be able to put them to work. We'll put the call out, "Come to New York and get a

SCOTT SOMMERS: Well, I know there's a

job." And you could get a good union job for that

matter with pay and benefits and retirement

possibilities. So, I think we-- you know, we will be

able to fill that need. We'll be able to meet that

need, you know, we'll be able to fill those jobs.

Sommers, but more important if with the City, City

LILY CADINO: Right, I agree with Mr.

Council and the Mayor, adequate funding, you're able

to hired qualified people and retain them.

providing a decent salary to the works is very

important, and the risk of not doing that is just too

We're losing thousands and thousands of great.

affordable stabilized and rent controlled units every

day because tenants are going to court without

lawyers, and landlords are very aggressive in

evicting tenants so that they can de-regulate those

apartments. We cannot allow that to continue. COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 but I believe that our Public Advocate has a

3 question.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Just a question. First, let me just say that we all know that 90 percent of tenants when they walk into Housing Court they do not have an attorney, and 90 percent of landlords do, and that when tenants have attorneys it drastically changes the equation and the relationship. You walk into court with more gravitas [sp?], with more respect, and most individuals when they have attorneys the outcome is different and they're able to stay in their homes. However, there have been those who have contacted me and said, "Tish, we-- the City is engaging in triage at this point." And if we were to fund this particular initiative it would prevent us from focusing on building affordable housing they argue. Particularly they argue to me-- someone argued to me just a couple of minutes ago that resources should not -- that we should basically only give a right to counsel to individuals who have a likelihood of success on the merits as opposed to those individuals who live in private homes where the likelihood of success is somewhat limited, and that those resources should go

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
Right.

24

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

2 UNIDENTIFIED: The most marginalized
3 people who might not have a strong case, but with an
4 attorney they could win their case--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] Got it.

UNIDENTIFIED: are evicted. So, I think, you know, to the degree, you know, we shouldn't sort of get into the game of providing counsel for cases that are most likely to win, especially because some of the most marginalized people in society are going to be evicted, because if they could have an attorney, that situation would be different.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And I think

tenants also need attorneys particularly with

stipulation agreements and in situations where

they're offered buy-outs, where they're often, as you

know, taken advantage of. So, I guess I answered my

own question, and of course we love Legal Services

and we love the Legal Aid, and once a Legal Aid,

always a Legal Aid, and that's why I support you for

all that you do. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Madam Public Advocate. Now we'll hear from Joan Beranbaum of DC 37, and I'm sure you'll address, but I'm

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

1.0

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

anxious to hear from you what component of the sector are members of DC 37 and your thoughts on this question of the capacity to continue to grow this workforce, please.

JOAN BERANBAUM: my name's Joan

Beranbaum, and I'm the Director and Chief Counsel of District Council 37 Municipal Employee's Legal Services, and we're known as MELS. And I'm very pleased to be here today to speak in favor of Intro 214A. MELS provides the right to counsel to the members of District Council 37 in most types of civil matters, most particularly in eviction proceedings. Members of DC 37 receive this benefit as a result of a collective bargaining agreement between the City and the union as part of the health and welfare benefits that are negotiated in each contract. started providing this benefit to our members in 1977 after a study was conducted by the Columbia School of Social Work and the Ford Foundation to determine what the legal needs of our members were, and as a result of the study we represent our members in landlord/tenant, foreclosure, consumer debt, bankruptcy, divorce, wills, government benefits, real estate closing, and family court matters, but the

1 2 greatest demand is for landlord/tenant 3 representation. Anyone who was entitled to our services which includes approximately 100,000 active 4 5 and 50,000 retired City employees has the right to counsel. If you are eligible for our services, all 6 7 you need to do is call for an appointment and we will 8 represent you. Having worked at MELS for all 39 years of its existence -- I worked at Legal Aid before that, by the way-- and having supervised the 10 11 Landlord/Tenant Unit before becoming the Director of 12 the program, I can attest to how well this works. 13 handle approximately 10,000 cases a year, one-quarter of which are landlord/tenant matters. We appear in 14 15 all five counties of the City of New York as well as Westchester and Nassau Counties, and we're able to 16 17 prevent our clients from being evicted in non-payment 18 and hold-over cases. We ensure that they get the 19 repairs that they're entitled, and prevent them from 20 being harassed by their landlords and defeat frivolous lawsuits that the landlords bring against 21 them. I can count on the fingers of one hand the 2.2 2.3 number of our clients who are evicted in any given year in spite of our representation which I think 24

answers your question, Tish, but the importance of

2 our program for the purposes of this hearing is for

3 you to understand that city workers have the right to

4 counsel, and if it works for the people who work for-

5 - that the city employees, it should be a right for

6 all residents of the City of New York as envisioned

by Intro 214A.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you. Well, you closed on a really powerful point. Few people know that this experiment in right to counsel has been such a success for almost 30 years. You proved that it matters and that it's doable, and we want every New Yorker to have that benefit. Thank you to this wonderful panel. Thank you. We're now going to hear from a group of people who are working on the ground on this issue and particularly some who are working with the homeless, and that includes Margaret Arnold [sp?], Catherine Pose [sp?], Giselle Routhier, Taylor James, Olga Apt-Dudfield, Aya Tasaki, and Jenny Laurie. Okay, would you like to start us off? Thank you.

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Thank you so much for having me. I'm Giselle Routhier. I'm the Policy

Director at the Coalition for the Homeless, and I'm very glad to be up here to add my voice to the chorus

2 of support for Intro 214. I'm just going to touch 3 briefly on a few points. As many of you know, and folks in this room should know, New York City is in 4 the midst of the worst homelessness crisis since the Great Depression. In July 2016, 60,456 New Yorkers 6 7 including a record 15,000 families slept in shelters every single night. So, we know that we can't 8 effectively address the homelessness crisis without a robust commitment to preventing displacement in the 10 11 first place, and eviction remains among the primary causes of the rising demand for emergency shelter. 12 Every week the Coalition's Eviction Prevention 13 14 Program hotline is flooded with tenants desperately 15 trying to gain assistance to stave off eviction. 16 Fiscal Year 2015, eviction was listed as a direct 17 reason for homelessness for 37 percent of adult 18 families in shelter and 25 percent of families with 19 children in shelter. So we want to commend the Council and the de Blasio Administration for taking 20 initial steps towards increasing legal representation 21 in Housing Court. This has been extraordinarily 2.2 2.3 helpful, but additional funding is not the same as an enshrined right to counsel which would greatly expand 24 25 the initial positive effects of increased legal

Ms. Apt-Dudfield, is that correct?

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 OLGA APT-DUDFIELD: Yes, correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, please.

OLGA APT-DUDFIELD: Thank you. Firstly,

I would like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify today in front of you. name is Olga Apt-Dudfield. I am the Social Worker for the Lead Poisoning and Prevention Treatment Program at Montefiore Medical Center located in the Bronx. Our program is also designated by the New York State Department of Health as the Downstate Regional Lead Resource Center. In our lead clinic we schedule approximately 750 patient visits for children and pregnant women with lead poisoning annually. We also have a lead safe house, which is a multi-unit apartment building where families with children at risk of being poisoned from leadcontaining paint in the apartment can live temporarily while repairs are done to make their homes lead-safe or lead-free. In many cases, while we're waiting for repairs to be completed, instead of complying with the New York City Housing Code, a landlord will attempt to evict the family, leaving them homeless. It is then my responsibility to help these families obtain permanent housing which often

results in an eviction. Our patients also report

or management when apartments are cited for lead

experiencing retaliation and harassment by landlords

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

violations. Many of our families report receiving eviction papers for no apparent reason other than retaliation for lead violations. Unfortunately these families do not have the resources to obtain legal counsel, and when the landlord who often wins the case, the families are then left homeless. attending Housing Court with several families in order to advocate for them, which they find very supportive and helpful, but I am not qualified to represent them legally, which is what they need. It can be very intimidating and stressful experience for these families, especially for the families who do not speak English. In the month of August alone we had six children admitted to our hospital due to a very high lead level requiring drug treatment. Half of these children were poisoned from peeling and chipping lead paint in their home.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And if you could just please try and summarize. Thank you.

OLGA APT-DUDFIELD: And they have not been able to return to their home due to the work not being completed. By passing and funding Intro 214A not only will the City save millions of dollars for families entering the shelter system, but families

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you.

OLGA APT-DUDFIELD: And I have brought one of our patients who had stayed in a lead safe house in order to testify.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And would you like to speak as well?

FATISHA PINKNEY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, wonderful. So, thank you, Ms. Apt-Dudfield. And if you could please give us your name as well.

FATISHA PINKNEY: Yes, good afternoon, ladies and gentleman. My name is Fatisha Pinkney [sp?]. Good afternoon and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you and testify here today. My name is Fatisha Pinkney. I have two children, ages five and seven months— well, he was seven months of age at the time we entered the lead safe house. I moved into the lead safe house in April 2016. I called 311 due to some peeling paint and chipping as well. HPD came to my home and inspected the apartment and they found lead in my

testify here today.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.-is it Ms. Pinkley [sic], did I have that correct?

FATISHA PINKNEY: Yes, Pinkney.

Sorry to hear about the challenges that you have, but your bravery in speaking out helps us make the case for this important bill. It really matters a lot, and your remarks like all remarks will be entered into the official record. So, we're grateful that you were here. Thank you as well to Ms. Apt-Dudfield, both of you. Katelyn Hosey from LiveOn New York, please.

is Katelyn Hosey, the Housing Policy Analyst at
LiveOn New York. At the core of LiveOn New York's
mission, is to make New York a better place to age.
Supporting the Right to Counsel is one way to do just
that. For seniors, the prospect of eviction could not
be more devastating, as research clearly shows the
importance of aging in place to a senior's health and
quality of life. The stress of possibly losing your
home and being disconnected from the social
connections built over decades could not be more
substantial in the adverse effects on the health of

25

2 an older adult. Rates of depression are decreased 3 and life expectancy is increased by the ability to 4 age in place and age in a person's community. 5 Currently, over 200,000 older New Yorkers are on waitlists to secure affordable housing. With the 6 7 increasing difficulties tenants find simply to secure 8 housing, their shelter should not be easily stripped away, nor should they live in fear of unjust eviction. Currently an estimated 3,000 seniors are 10 11 homeless, sleeping in shelters or on the street every 12 night. Data shows significant percentage of 13 homelessness having stemmed from eviction, meaning 14 that many of these homeless seniors may have avoided 15 the harrowing experience and the stressors of moving 16 out of their community if they had only received 17 proper legal representation. With so many tenants 18 unable to afford this representation, landlords 19 realizing the unbalanced nature of housing court, it 20 is time that City Council tip back the scales towards 21 a fair, equal and just processes for all of New 2.2 Yorkers. The reasons to support Intro. 214A are both 2.3 numerous and compelling and have been shown here today as they will continue to be. LiveOn New York 24

urges City Council, not only to support the Right to

1 2 where her landlord returns her checks. So, she didn't want to follow the payment plan, because she 3 4 was so afraid that if she mailed check by check, they were going to return them to her. So, she's now out 5 of her apartment even though she has a portion of the 6 7 money that satisfies the judgement. She now has to 8 deal with being evicted from her apartment, missing another day of work, and that is what we've all been talking about today when we talk about the collateral 10 11 consequences of eviction of not having attorney, because if I would have met with her or someone from 12 13 my office, Legal Aid Society would have met with her, 14 she would have never signed that agreement. She would 15 have never signed a judgement stick that would have 16 negatively affected her credit, but now, you know, it's great that she has an attorney now, but all of 17 18 those things would not have occurred. So, it's just 19 very important that we recognize the tremendous 20 difference that having an attorney in Housing Court 21 can make on a tenants' battle to preserve their housing, and we all agree here that it's a basic 2.2 2.3 human need, and all too often people unrepresented tenants enter into these settlement agreements 24

containing clauses they don't understand, but even if

7 game, and usually if we had this law passed they

wouldn't be harmed. So, it's really important for us 8

referred to our program, but it happens solely in the

223

to realize the domino effect and how the devastating

collateral consequences such as the loss of someone's 10

11 home, which is a foundation of stability. So, the

City has moved in the right direction. 12

> COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: If you would just quickly sum up. Thank you.

TAYLOR JAMES: Thank you. The City has moved in the right direction by greatly increasing funding for civil legal services for low-income tenants, but it's critical given the current dynamics of New York City that we continue to lead the way and codify a right to counsel in eviction proceedings, ensuring that the program receives funding necessary for robust--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] Thank you.

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

TAYLOR JAMES: representation by the Legal
Aid Society.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

James, for your work and for your comments today. I'm sorry to be such a stickler. We have over 50 people waiting. So I want to give--

TAYLOR JAMES: [interposing] I understand. Thank you for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: everyone a chance, but we're truly grateful that you are here.

Ms. Tasaki, if you could maybe find a microphone and someone perhaps could—wonderful. And Jenny, you're on deck, so if you want to start making your way up.

Members, and thank you for allowing me the opportunity to testify. My name is Aya Tasaki. I am a Poverty Justice Solutions Fellow at the Community Development Project at the Urban Justice Center. Our work at the Community Development Project is informed by the belief that real and lasting change in low income urban neighborhoods cannot happen without the collective power of grassroots and community institutions, and for 15 years we have offered support on housing issues to these community-based

25

2 organizations by providing legal representation for 3 group housing cases, participating as a member in legislative campaigns, and conducting research 4 5 project based on pressing housing issues which are all based on legal strategy that's determined and 6 driven by the communities' needs and visions. And we 8 have seen firsthand that providing tenants with legal representation leads to less evictions which in turn leads to stabilizing the many other aspects of an 10 11 individual's and a communities' life. Several years 12 ago CDP represented a group of tenants in the Bronx 13 who were rightfully engaging in a rent strike to 14 protest horrendous living conditions. The tenants 15 had been enduring a lack of heat and hot water There was a lack of 16 throughout the winter months. 17 cooking gas for over half a year, and there were rats 18 and roaches running about their buildings, which had 19 elderly individuals as well as babies, and when the 20 court appointed a receiver to take over this 21 building, the tenants were hopeful that their homes 2.2 would become habitable again, but instead the 2.3 receiver brought lawsuits against them for not paying the rent, and CDP was able to defend the tenants and 24

these baseless lawsuits which ultimately prevented

2.

1

_

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Levine and Gibson, for you-- on, no? Not on?

them from being forced to move out of their homes. While our experiences clearly show that access to an attorney in eviction proceedings is critical, the reality remains that just over a quarter of such tenants are represented by an attorney while nearly all landlords who come into court for eviction cases are represented. I'd just like to acknowledge that Intro. 214 adopts a phase-in process which will allow the service providers to build internal capacity to hire and train staff to ensure high-quality assistance and to negotiate working agreements with the City while at the same time allowing vulnerable communities to start accessing the attorneys without delay, and the service being provided to additional populations in conjunction with the service providers building this increased capacity. And I'd like to thank the Council Members for considering Intro 214.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

Tasaki, for your testimony, for the great work of the Urban Justice Center. Jenny Laurie is familiar to all of us here today for her many, many years of work in the trenches on this issues. Please?

JENNY LAURIE: Thank you, Council Members

? Not on?

2	Better? Yes. Once again, thank you, Council Members
3	Levine and Gibson for your amazing leadership on this
4	issue. It's my organization was founded Housing
5	Court Answers Now, but it was called the Citywide
6	Taskforce on Housing Court. It was founded in the
7	early 80's to help tenants in Housing Court who
8	didn't have attorneys, and I have to say, we've been
9	fighting on this issue for many, many years, and your
10	leadership has made great breakthroughs on it. One
11	of the early reports we did was called "Five Minute
12	Justice." We had surveyors go into the court in the
13	80's and measure how much time the average tenant had
14	in front of the judge. I think today, despite the
15	increase in legal services, the courts are still
16	really overcrowded, and I think now it's more like
17	90-second justice. I think the average tenant today
18	gets a really fast speed-talking allocution, meaning
19	an explanation of their stipulation. The average
20	tenant today signs a stipulation that in which he or
21	she immediately consents to a judgement, a warrant of
22	eviction, agrees to pay the back rent in about four
23	weeks, or be evicted by a marshal. Most tenants
24	think they have no choice in signing those
25	agrooments and most tenants don't understand what

1 2 they're signing, and most tenants aren't able to 3 raise the defenses they have, such as rent that was 4 paid. I just talked to a woman last week who had a preferential rent in Canarsie, Brooklyn. Her rent had been a thousand dollars. The landlord bumped it 6 up to 1,800 dollars in a rent stabilized apartment 8 because she got behind on the rent for two months because she helped pay for the funeral expenses of her grandmother. Cases like that are really 10 11 terrible. Her legal regulated rent is probably 800 12 dollars, but she was not able to raise that 13 effectively in the case. You know I urge you to pass 14 214A and fund it by the end of the year, and thank

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Jenny, for everything, and thank you to this wonderful panel. Really, really impressive. We have some additional legal service providers and other important voices on the next panel including Elizabeth Brown, Ignacio Juareguilorda, Steven Hasty, Esteban Jiron [sp?], Ezi Ukegbu, and Ms. Brown, why don't you kick us off when you're ready.

you so much again for your leadership on this issue.

ELIZABETH BROWN: Good afternoon. name is Elizabeth Brown, and I am a Supervising

_	
2	Analyst at the New York City Independent Budget
3	Office. In 2014, IBO prepared a cost estimate of the
4	original draft of Intro. 214. We found that
5	providing a lawyer to low-income tenants in Housing
6	Court who are facing eviction would cost between 173
7	million to 276 million dollars annually, depending o
8	the cost per case of legal representation estimated
9	to be between 2,000 dollars to 3,200 dollars per
LO	case. The legislation that we are discussing today
L1	has some notable changes compared to the ones for
L2	which we prepared our cost estimate. Intro 214-A
L3	increases the income eligibility threshold for 125
L4	percent of the federal poverty level to 200 percent.
L5	While raising the income eligibility threshold would
L6	increase the cost of the legislation compared with
L7	our 2014 estimate, the number of eviction cases
L8	brought in Housing Court has declined since we
L9	completed our analysis in 2013, which would help
20	counteract this increase. Language was also added
21	empowering the civil just coordinator administering
22	the program to consider the use of pro-bono
23	representation and other "cost-effective approaches"
24	to providing services. Modifying the language to

encourage pro-bono representation in these other

the City to house them for several reasons. First, on

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 average families are staying longer in shelter in 2016 than they did in 2013. Second, the average cost 3 4 per day of shelter facilities has risen. Lastly, the 5 share of homeless shelter costs borne by the City compared with the federal and state government has 6 also increased since 2013. Therefore, reducing the 8 number of entrance to family adult shelter by the same percentage as we outlined in our 2014 study would result in greater city shelter savings in 2016. 10 11 However, an updated analysis including examining the 12 reasons for entry of the current shelter population 13 would be necessary for IBO to determine how the 14 current version of the legislation would impact the 15 shelter population now compared to when we completed 16 our analysis in 2014. There are other-- as people 17 have mentioned today, there are other benefits to 18 reducing evictions outside the City's budget 19 including the potential for reducing housing costs 20 due to fewer turnovers of rent regulated apartments, which would slow rent increases for those units, as 21 2.2 well as avoiding the physical and mental health 2.3 consequences related to evictions and educational disruptions faced by school-aged children. 24

Conversely, the legislation could put upward pressure

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

on housing costs by making it more expensive for
building owners to remove tenants who owe rent or
more costly to litigate evictions. While these are
important factors to consider, quantifying them was

outside the scope of our analysis.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And sorry, if you can--

ELIZABETH BROWN: [interposing] Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Did you hit it?

Wonderful. Well, thank you, Ms. Brown, the IBO is such an important resource for policy makers. I wonder if your analysis accounted for the possibility, I would say likelihood that the number of cases in Housing Court would drop as landlords understood that the game had changed.

ELIZABETH BROWN: Well, in our analysis
we use the number of cases that end up in Housing
Court, and it was 2013 when we did our analysis.
There were two factors we considered. First, yes, it
is possible that fewer eviction cases would be
brought because the landlord would know that a tenant
would be represented, but we also looked at the
number of cases that made it to Housing Court, not

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

number of cases that are brought, and I'm sure many
people here would talk about a lot of tenants may not
go to Housing Court; they might move out before
because not understanding the process. So, it might
actually increase the number of cases that make it to
court. So, for that reason, because these factors

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, I believe that my colleague Ms. Gibson has a question.

number of cases currently in Housing Court.

would work in the opposite direction, we just use the

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you so IBO has really been an incredible partner in much. looking at numbers, and you know, cost effectiveness. You know, obviously one of the challenges that, you know, we face and the Administration has been very honest that, you know, the cost. The dollar figure is scary. So when we've looked at numbers in terms of reducing evictions, preventing homelessness and ultimately living in shelters, we've identified a number, but what I think that we have not done, and I've asked the question several times, is how do we quantify the collateral consequences. So for the families that are shifting in and out of a school district, for the impact on the workforce, education

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

it difficult.

24

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very

3 much. Is it Mr. Heron [sic] or Juareguilorda?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: Juareguilorda.

I'm afraid I'm going to allot all two minutes on my last name.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: It's all good. We'll deducted that from the time.

IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: Thank you, I appreciate it. Thank you for letting me speak in support of Intro. 214 today. My name is Ignacio Jaureguilorda. I'm the Director of Poverty Justice Solutions, a program at the Center for Court Innovation. And Poverty Justice Solutions is a twoyear fellowship for new attorneys that places them with New York City legal services providers to represent low-income tenants threatened with eviction. In the first year of the fellows being in their placements, 20 fellows handled 2,500 cases serving more than 4,200 New Yorkers, including nearly 1,500 families with children. Others have spoken here much more eloquently than I will to the critical importance of a right to counsel in Housing Court and to the devastating impact the evictions have on families and individuals. I'd like to stress two

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 236
2	points of Intro. 214. The capacity of a new
3	generation of attorneys to meet the increased demand
4	for counsel that the law would entail and the
5	importance of promoting research-based practices
6	along with the right to counsel. If I can address
7	the latter first. The plan 214 calls for a plan for
8	the provision of high-quality legal services that
9	considers the use of probono representation,
LO	technology, partnerships with social services
L1	organizations, and other innovative approaches to
L2	providing cost effective services. We believe this
L3	provision will ensure that the ongoing conversation
L4	among Housing Court stakeholders about how best to
L5	deliver legal services to tenants threatened with
L6	eviction leads to concrete and effective solutions.
L7	Furthermore, with regard to the capacity involved in
L8	this situation, we have seen with our work with legal
L9	services providers, with our work with the law
20	schools, and with the direct involvement of
21	interested students in our fellowship that there is
22	an enormous hunger for these jobs, and an enormous

hunger to do public interest work especially in this

area.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for your excellent timing even with the long last name,

Mr. Juareguilorda. Thank you so much. And would you be Mr. Hasty, perhaps? Okay, thank you.

STEVEN HASTY: Good afternoon, Council

Members Levine and Gibson. My name is Steven Hasty.

I'm a staff attorney at the Bronx Defenders with the

Civil Action Practice. The Bronx Defenders is a

holistic public defense office--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] If you could maybe just approach the mic a little bit. I'm having a hard time hearing.

public defense office serving 35,000 people per year, and our Civil Action Practice assists clients with civil legal matters that frequently follow from criminal or family court involvement. Housing is the principal area of our practice. We help clients with some of the most challenging cases in Housing Court, drug-related evictions, nuisance allegations, and interpersonal disputes that sometimes give rise to both criminal and housing cases. The Bronx Defenders also represents clients in criminal and family court, both contexts in which there's a longstanding right

25

2 to counsel and to witness those -- that universe of right to counsel in Criminal Court versus Housing 3 4 Court is entirely different. Now the stakes are 5 often just as high in Housing Court for our clients. We often represent people enmeshed in multiple public 6 7 justice systems at once. The proceedings in each forum are complex on their own, but mistaken moves in 8 one can lead to problems in another. To expect New Yorkers to navigate these complexities on their own 10 11 without lawyers is to expect the impossible. Establishing a right to counsel will alleviate this 12 problem. For example, "MM" is a 40-year-old woman 13 14 with a teenage daughter I represented who has been a 15 stable member of her community residing in the same 16 apartment for 15 years. "MM" receives Section 8 and 17 pays a low rent in a gentrifying area. Her landlord 18 desperately wants her out so that he can convert the 19 apartment to market rate, and he has repeatedly filed 20 frivolous legal actions against her. In a span of two 21 years we successfully got three of these cases dismissed. In June of this year, "MM" was served 2.2 2.3 with a fourth set of eviction papers for rent arears of less than 700 dollars. Embarrassed by her 24

situation, she attempted to handle the case on her

25 EZI UKEGBU: Ms. Ukegbu, yes.

Would you be Ms. Ukegbu?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:

Thank you very

2.3

24

much.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Please? Okay.

1

25

3 EZI UKEGBU: Good afternoon, Council 4 My name is Ezi Ukegbu and I'm a Staff Members. 5 Attorney in the Preserving Affordable Housing Program at Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A, Brooklyn A 6 7 for short. At Brooklyn A we prevent the evictions of hundreds of low-income tenants and their families in 8 north and central Brooklyn, primarily Williamsburg, Greenpoint, Bed-Stuyvesant, and East New York each 10 11 year through our model of collaborative group representation. We also bring affirmative litigation 12 on behalf of tenants in order to defend them from 13 14 harassment and discrimination. Brooklyn A is also a 15 member of the Right to Counsel and LEAP [sic] 16 Coalitions, organizations that support this bill, 17 Intro 214A. I am here today to talk about how 18 crucial our right to counsel is for low-income New 19 York tenants in New York City based on one: seeing 20 that the working poor and rapidly gentrifying 21 neighborhoods like the ones we serve in Brooklyn are 2.2 incredibly vulnerable to displacement. And number 2.3 two: the uneven playing field in Housing Court where tenants unlike landlords do not have legal 24

representation. A right to counsel is crucial

2 because many landlords harass and try to evict rent 3 stabilized tenants in low-income areas by any means 4 possible due to the current housing crisis in New 5 York City. These landlords file meritless eviction cases, and if that doesn't work, they refuse to do 6 7 repairs, forcing tenants and their children to live with collapsing ceilings, mold, no hot water and 8 heat, hoping that rent stabilized tenants will leave so they can renovate the building and charge market 10 11 race prices. Due to these dire economic circumstances, coupled with landlords' desire to 12 13 capitalize from gentrification, landlords often 14 harass tenants with the goal to evict them from their 15 rent stabilized apartments. For example, one tenant 16 in a rent stabilized building we currently represent 17 in East New York did not have a functioning bathroom 18 for a month because the landlord refused to repair a 19 pipe leak that caused her bathtub to be filled with 20 sewerage and sludge. As a result of this, tenant and 21 her young son had to shower in a neighbor's bathroom for entire month. This same landlord also refused to 2.2 2.3 repair another tenant's bathroom sink for a year and then brought meritless eviction action against this 24 tenant in Housing Court. Many landlords use similar 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ukegbu. It's always great to hear from the people who are on the ground doing this work. Thank you to this wonderful panel. I'd like to call up for our next panel: Elvis Santana, Wanda Swinney, Tahica Fredericks, Matthew Abuelo, Charles Nunez, Vivian Slonnenfeld [sp?], and Wayne Jackson Callaway. And while you are making your way up, I want to point out to the public that by my count we've had 45 panels and 43 have spoken in favor of the legislation. I like the way this is going. Okay. Sir, would you please start us off and tell us your name?

CHARLES NUNEZ: Good afternoon, everyone.

I'm Charles Nunez. I'm the Community Advocate at

2 Youth Represent. Youth Represent provides legal 3 representation for youth 24 and under who are court-4 involved. Our representation spans around a variety 5 of re-entry legal issues that prevent or hinder our clients from re-entering into society successfully. 6 So, in regard to the testimony today, I'll be 7 scratching my written testimony and just address our 8 few concerns at Youth Represent in the interest of time. So, basically Youth Represent is fully in 10 11 support of Intro 214, but our only thing is that we feel that one thing must be added into Intro 214. 12 13 Right now currently, NYCHA proceedings are not 14 explicitly -- NYCHA eviction proceedings are not 15 explicitly added into the-- are not included in the 16 214 intro. And right now, NYCHA currently houses over 400,000 New Yorkers and they can start eviction 17 18 proceedings on their resident's base on 19 undesirability. That undesirability varies a whole 20 bunch of things that leads to an administrative eviction process instead immediately going straight 21 into Housing Court. So before NYCHA tenants even 2.2 2.3 enter Housing Court, they could already sign away their lease and a stipulation saying that either one 24 person from their home could be permanently excluded 25

that they get right to counsel also.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Mr. Nunez, for unprepared remarks, those were remarkably polished and eloquent. So, I'm hoping one day we'll see you sitting on this side of the table.

CHARLES NUNEZ: Awesome.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And we couldn't agree more strongly with your assertion that NYCHA tenants should be covered, and in fact while it's not clearly specified in the bill as you correctly observed that, that is our goal for a future revision of the language. So--

CHARLES NUNEZ: [interposing] Nice.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We're in wholehearted agreement, and thank you for appearing today.

CHARLES NUNEZ: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Go ahead. Oh, I want to acknowledge that if he's not up, that Harry DeRienzo could please join this panel, who an eminent leader at the Banana Kelly and known far and wide for his work on housing. Thank you. Please take it away.

TAHICA FREDERICKS: Thank you so much for hearing my voice this afternoon. My name is Tahica Fredericks and I'm with Banana Kelly, and I want to come from an artist's perspective as well as someone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

who has experienced the Housing Court. Born and raised in New York. I love this city and I want to stay here, and a part of what I do for my art, I'm a street fashion photographer. So, all I do is to show the beauty of this city. So the foundation of what I do rests on this city, but I do have experience in the Housing Court where just standing there before this judge who barely looked at me. They-- it really makes you feel you have no rights, you have no voice, you're barely human, and you know, it struck me while I was listening to this perfect stranger, you know, bring down a ruling on my life, it made me realize this probably wouldn't be taking place if I had someone to represent me so that I could have the right to have the judge's attention, because the conversation was just between the landlord's attorney and the judge, and I just stood there until she banged her gavel. And it just kind of sat with me that once she banged her gavel, I had to leave my I had to uproot my children, and then we were just left without a place to stay. So, you have to pass this. You know, it's late for me, but we found a home a year later. Yay! But, you know, in the interest of those who are in court now and are on

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 247 their way, this bill has to pass. So, no one has to stand there and listen to a perfect stranger bring a

ruling down on their lives. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms. Fredericks for sharing your story. It really has an impact. Thank you. Please?

WANDA SWINNEY: Hello, my name is Wanda Swinney, and first of all, through experience I just want to say that I am a person that came from the shelter system as well. I know what it's like to walk around with six children because you don't have housing assistance, and saying with my heart in my throat, "Do you know where I can find shelter for my children?" So, I do thank you for allowing me to say my testimony and support the 214A. My name is Wanda Swinney and I am a member of Banana Kelly Resident Council. I'm here today to speak in support of the passage of 214A Right to Counsel. I would like to explain why I personally feel the passage of this bill will benefit me and my community. I myself come from the shelter system and was given access to affordable housing in the South Bronx, which allowed me to raise six children in a stable environment.

The help that I received only-- not-- the helped that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I received on, early on, not only helped me to become a working class shop steward union member of DC37 Local 420, it allowed me to found a tenant association and create a community garden in my neighborhood. Nearly 25,000 families, including older adults, were evicted in New York City last year, and as an adult, an older adult in the City, this could be me. I have worked hard enough -- hard --I have worked throughout my life to get to where I am, and it is an injustice that any hardship fell on me I could be back in the shelter. A right to counsel in eviction proceedings -- I'm sorry, y'all -will save the city money keeping families and adults in their homes and avoiding homelessness will strengthen our city, save money, and will give our families stability. I urge the City to-- the City Council to pass this bill, and again, I say thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

Swinney. My goodness. If I got all this right,

tenant leader, labor leader, community gardener. So

maybe you'll come back. I chair the parks committee.

Can you come back and talk about your gardening work

sometime as well?

1

WANDA SWINNEY: Yes, I will. Thank you.

3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: But in all

4

seriousness, the strength and fortitude you've shown in the face of very serious challenges I know are

6

going to inspire a lot of people.

7

WANDA SWINNEY: Thank you.

8

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you so much

9

10

24

25

for speaking out today.

VIVIAN SLONNENFELD: Hello, I'm Vivian

11 Slonnenfeld. I'm at Metropolitan Council on Housing

12 and also Eviction Intervention Services. As a tenant

advocate I have encountered many evictions that could 13

14 easily have been avoided. Very often tenants find out

15 where they can turn for help only after they have had

16 a bad experience in Housing Court. Many have been

17 misled by the landlord's attorney and have

18 consequently agreed to unrealistic commitments by

19 signing a stipulation. Unrepresented tenants are

20 frequently unaware that they are not required to

follow the orders of their landlord's attorney. So, 21

they unwittingly agree to statements or amounts that 2.2

2.3 might not even be accurate or to terms that are not

doable or reasonable. A sad example is that of the

non-payment case of an elderly gentleman with rent-

Met council to support passage of bill 214A.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not a secret that landlords are well-represented in Housing Court and have access to the best lawyer's money can buy, but low-income tenants often have to appear in court on their own since they are unable to afford counsel and have little chance of winning their case. I'm a former tenant of one of the last remaining SRO's on the Upper West Side known as the Dexter House. I've seen my neighbors taken to court on bogus charges of owing rent. After the third or fourth time being taken to court, many of these residents have left. Almost one-third of the building is now being run as housing for Columbia students who pay three to four times the legal rent. The truth is that most tenants around the City do not know their rights as renters and often capitulate to frivolous evictions to avoid being raked over the coals in Housing Court. The end result of this has been a growth of the City's homeless population and the loss of 400,000 rent regulated apartments over the past few decades. It is for this reason that I urge the Council to pass bill 214A, which would guarantee lowincome tenants representation when facing the management of their building in Housing Court. murderers have been granted this right when facing

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

trial, yet somehow upstanding citizens with few financial means have not and are subject to losing their homes. By passing this bill, tenants will have a fighting chance in court and will no longer have to be railroaded by lawyers from the law firm of "Dewey Screw 'em and How." Furthermore, this legislation will act as a protection for New York natives in a town that has become open for business. Thank you.

Supplying us with a much needed pun late in the afternoon. Thank you, Mr. Abuelo. Mr. Santana?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright.

ELVIS SANTANA: Hello. My name is Elvis

Santana. I'm a member of Banana Kelly Resident Council. I'm here today to speak on support of 214A. I would like to explain a little bit personally and why would this benefit me in the community. So, unfortunately, my mother was forced to appear in court due to false allegations that she didn't pay her rent two months ago. Because my mother doesn't speak fluent English, my brother accompanied her to translate. Immediately after he said two sentences in Spanish, the judge asked, "Do you speak fluent Spanish?" My brother replied saying "Yes. That's why I'm here with my mother." Immediately after, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

judge ruled to reschedule and said directly to my brother, "Bring someone who actually speaks Spanish". So, I can say will full confidence my whole family can speak Spanish fluently, even I, but that's not why I'm here. I'm here because thousands of families who suffer from what my mother suffered, and that's truly being treated unfairly simply because we're not given the right to counsel when we're being financially crippled or misunderstood by landlords or others like my mother in the eyes of the court of law. Since then, my mother repeatedly had to miss work without pay in attempt to yet again prove against the landlord that's she's proven her rent-- that she's paid her rent. It's been reported on average that a family of four within my district, District 17, makes little less than \$25,000 a year. Affording a lawyer or hoping that a lawyer would do pro-bono work would be unrealistic for my family and other families around. Also, as you probably know, close to 25,000 families were evicted in New York last year most because they couldn't afford legal representation. Across New York City this has been happening and we're all wondering when

it's going to stop. That is why all I'm asking is

4 part of another statistic. Thank you.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Santana. We'll go to you in a moment, Mr. Jackson Galloway [sp?]. Maybe one of your colleagues could give you a seat at the table, and then-- if that's okay, and in the meantime, Mr. DeRienzo, if you could give your remarks?

HARRY DERIENZO: Sure. My name is Harry DeRienzo. Thank you for this opportunity and thank you for your leadership on this issue. I am speaking in support of this legislation as a President and CEO of a nonprofit landlord, and we, Banana Kelly has developed thousands of units. We directly oversee 1,200 units of housing. Our average rent is about 900 dollars a month. We have all the same expenses every other landlord has including private mortgage debt, and we do everything we can to keep our apartments affordable and keep people out of court to the extent possible. I'm here to support this for a number of reasons, and you've heard a lot of these reasons already today. One is the right thing to do. You've heard that -- we've heard many, many times

right reason to pass this law. One more closing

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

comment is there are abuses. I've seen them as 7A [sic] administrator, abuses by landlords. Of the thousands of residents we have, I can count on one hand the abuses by tenants. There is a need for Housing Court reform, but we can't have comprehensive reform without basic reform, and this is basic reform and it must be passed. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. DeRienzo, and thank you for your leadership of a great nonprofit. Mr. Jackson Galloway, please?

WAYNE JACKSON GALLOWAY: My name is Wayne Jackson Galloway. I live at 600 West 157th Street. I thank Mr. Levine and everyone else on the Council. I hope that this does pass because it is quite necessary. I myself spent three glorious years in Housing Court. I got to sit there and watch judges berate 90-year-old gentlemen. I got to watch judges and landlord's counsel have their inside jokes, laughing at tenants. I had a judge write an order for code enforcement to come into fix a hole, and what they did when they fixed that hole was they covered up a rotting beam. So then I had to wait another six months for the ceiling to fall in again because of the leak just to get HPD out there to see that this

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was still not done, even though there were three different affidavits served to the court by individuals from the management company stating that these repairs had been done. When I questioned and asked, "Is this not perjury." I was told plain and simple, "We don't have the resources to actually, you know, go after this." But when my Legal Aid attorney showed me the file after we were done, on the first page of the file, that judge, Judge Krauss [sp?], wrote that I was a liar. Now, I'm sorry, people lie when they have something to lose. I myself brought the landlord to court because for four years my ceiling was falling in. it was growing mushrooms in my corner, and as an HIV-positive person since 1985, I think I'm not only above the curve, but I've done a fantastic job in keeping myself alive, and I do not believe my residence should be detrimental to my health, and it is preposterous how it's-- the case is whittled away by the landlord's attorney. Nobody's telling you when they're dropping things off the case. You're singing stipulations. HPD does not come and see it, HPD does not write it as a violation. 311 violations do not coincide with HPD violations, so they have the tenants chasing their

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 258 tails. So I ask you, I beg you to pass this. Thank you so much.

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Jackson Galloway. Always a pleasure to see you and thank you to this excellent panel. Alright. Next up we have Anna Reyes [sp?], Nova Lucero [sp?], George Satiroff [sp?], Paul Carr [sp?], Lutella Dordan [sp?], Angela Pham. You know, it's so rare that people remain engaged in a hearing as it drags into its fifth or sixth hour. I've lost track, and it's just a testament to all of you who are here with us about your passion for this issue. It sends a huge signal to the whole city that hundreds of people have come out today and so many of you have stayed to testify. And you might not be aware of this, but we are being live-streamed on the internet. So people are watching from home, and I'm getting texts from people who are still watching, and all of you, of course, your comments will be entered into the record, and actually a video of this will be archived I just want everyone to know. on the web. I want everyone to know how impactful it is that you've come

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 259 out to speak today. And sir, we'll start with you on the end there. Please tell us your name.

2.2

2.3

EMMANUEL PARDILLA: Hello, my name is

Emmanuel Pardilla. I'm a tenant organizer from the

Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy Coalition.

However, I am representing-- speaking on behalf of a

tenant that couldn't be here, who--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] And whose name is that?

EMMANUEL PARDILLA: who originally asked

Nova to give the testimony. It's one of her tenants.

Her testimony goes as such: "Good morning elected

officials, Council Members, I especially want to

thank you, Council Member Helen Rosenthal, for

standing with my neighbors and I throughout our

construction nightmare. My home is on the Upper West

Side of Manhattan. In August 2015, the occupants of

my building came home one evening to find our terrace

[sic] doors and windows sealed with plastic and

bolted with metal rods. Not only did this leave us

with no access to fresh outside air, we were

forbidden to use our air conditioners in the evenings

or during the weekends when no construction was

scheduled. Our building has 28 floors and my

you vote yes to Intro 214A. Please give my fellow

New Yorkers the same opportunity to have for the right to counsel."

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for sharing that powerful story. Thank you. Please?

Hello, I'm Angela Pham and ANGELA PHAM: I'm a member of the Metropolitan Council on Housing where I'm a volunteer for the Tenants' Rights Hotline and also the Housing Clinic. When I represented myself in Housing Court three years ago, I was assured that I didn't have to be too worried. I always heard that New York in Housing Court was tenant friendly. Over the course of the six months when I was in and out of court I learned how wrong that statement is. There was nothing friendly for a tenant without a lawyer. Every employee I spoke to was inexplicably angry anytime I asked a question. Signs explaining where to go and where to wait in line were not clear even to a native English speaker. And worst of all, I watched lawyers who represented the landlords who virtually lived in these hallways, greet and schmooze with the Housing Court staff like they'd walked into a family reunion. Let me say again that Housing Court is not tenant friendly. saw a Chinese family of seven pour into the room

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES pointing at paperwork that they didn't understand. The only person available to help them was their landlord's strapping white middle-aged lawyer. I saw many, many women with their babies waiting on the bench. I saw elderly tenants who looked too frail to even walk. I saw employee still wearing their day job work uniforms. None of us had lawyers, and all the landlords did. And after so many adjournments, fire drills, hours in line, gathering of paperwork, commutes to the courthouse and reading a book for hours on the bench when you should be at work working instead. Even the tenant who has done absolutely nothing wrong will still start to think maybe this isn't worth it. Low-income citizens of this city need a right to counsel. We have a housing crisis on our hands, a socioeconomic disaster within our city that could be alleviated quite a bit if we only leveled the playing field a little more. Knowledge is power and you and the City and this bill have the power to share the wealth and enact this change. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for those wonderful comments and for the volunteer work

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

a

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Satiroff.

[speaking Spanish]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:

Thank you, Mr.

you're doing on behalf of tenants. We're truly grateful. Would you be Mr. Satiroff [sp?] by chance?

GEORGE SATIROFF: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, please?

GEORGE SATIROFF: George Satiroff from the Bronx, a member of CASA Community Action for Safe Apartments. Yes, you had-- I'm neither a lawyer, nor am I an economist, but you've got a preponderance of evidence here that this is a necessary thing to do, pass 214. And so I'll just give you a guick little anecdote. When I was 12 years old I had heard a news report that there was some public project and the funds weren't available, and I mentioned that to my father who just walked into the room after the report, and I said, "The funds aren't available." And he became quite stern, and he said, "If they want to get this done, you will see the funds miraculously appear." And it didn't mean much to me then, but it sure does now. Here we are the richest country in the world. This is the right thing to do, and we cannot say that we don't have the funds to do the right thing. That's my testimony.

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 264
2	ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay.
4	ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [speaking
6	Spanish]
7	TRANSLATOR: My name is Anna Reyes. I
8	live at 2298 Cressna [sp?] Avenue in the Bronx, and
9	I'm here to talk about experience that I've had with
10	my landlord where many times they've sent me letters.
11	ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]
12	TRANSLATOR: The letters did make me very
13	nervous. I don't want to have any problems with the
14	court. The day that I went to court I was overtaken
15	by nerves.
16	ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: So I've received many, many
18	letters, but they still haven't done any repairs.
19	I've been without gas for two years. They started to
20	do the work, but it still hasn't been finished.
21	ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: So, instead they sent me
23	electric stove instead of fixing the gas, and what
24	that does, it increased my electric bill.
!	

ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: So, they still haven't

finished the work on the gas line, and I'm still

asking them to finish the repairs on my bathroom as

well.

ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: My building, we still need security cameras, and I've received multiple letters that are accusing me-- that are harassing from my landlord.

ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: In addition, the lock on my door isn't functioning properly.

ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: So, I've asked many times if they'd put cameras in my building, and he does not want to maintain our building.

ANNA REYES: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Thank you very much, and lastly I just want to say that I hope Intro 214 passes, because it's not just to live through all of these experiences and go to court without somebody to defend you. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms. Reyes. [speaking Spanish] And thank you, Susana

2 Blankly [sic] for that outstanding translation and 3 for making the statement [sic]. Would you be Ms.

4 Dordan [sp?], is that correct?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LUTELLA DORDAN: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, please?

LUTELLA DORDAN: Okay, thank you.

Greetings. My name is Lutella Dordan. I live in central Harlem for 22 years, and I just want to read off what I had wrote with your permission. I appeal to the City Council and Mayor Bill de Blasio to pass and fund this bill. It is so crucial for New York City to have such a bill established so that tenants such as myself can be protected from predatory landlords who have exploited loopholes in existing laws to move tenants out of their homes. I sit before you and share a little bit of my story. The continuous harassment my current and former landlord was action I should have never endured, especially being a tenant who was currently up to date with my rent. The landlord was taking me to Housing Court for nonpayment when rents had been paid. Excessive payments have been paid and landlord had not properly credited to the ledger. After successfully organizing my documents, I realized then the landlord

Let New York City become the first city in the nation

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3 their homes. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

Dordan. This was a very popular panel. Rightly so.

Appreciate all of your comments. And we're going to all up next Mary Marshzulak [sp?], Lourdes Garcia,

Dave Shubrin [sp?], Roberto Corbit [sp?] or Roberta

Corbit maybe?

UNIDENTIFIED: Roberta.

council Member Levine: Roberta Corbit,
okay. Andrew Lehrer, and-- okay. And I have a last
name DeJesus. I'm having a hard time reading the
handwriting. Rivera DeJesus also from CASA? Maybe
Martina Rivera DeJesus? I'm having a hard time
reading the handwriting. Okay, since we have a
couple extra chairs, let's call up if Chris Chalfant
[sp?] is available. Monex Jacobs [sp?], Katy Dwarte
[sp?], and [speaking Spanish]. Okay [speaking
Spanish].

LOURDES GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Thank you to the members of the Council for allowing me here to testify. My name is Lourdes Garcia. I'm a CASA Leader, an

if I had had a lawyer, I-- with all of our rights, we

1 COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 270 would have been able to defend our rights in the same 2 3 way that most landlords have attorneys. 4 LOURDES GARCIA: [speaking Spanish] TRANSLATOR: Eviction is the number oen 5 reason why people go into the shelter. We need to 6 7 make sure that we pass Intro 214 before this year is 8 out. We cannot wait anymore. We need peace, and we need justice in the City, in New York, and dignity. Thank you very much. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you. Next? Are you, Ms. Dewarte [sp?]? 12 Gracia. 13 UNIDENTIFIED: Yes. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, Ms. Katie 15 [sic], thank you. Roberta. I have it. You may 16 begin. 17 UNIDENTIFIED: We have the same landlord. 18 I live across the street. I'm being harassed. I need 19 [sic] to move out the building. They want me out. have leaks. I had a ceiling fall in the foyer. 20 called the landlord several times. The super's son 21 was messing with the circuit breakers to my stove. 2.2 2.3 The stove doesn't work. I've called several times. I haven't got it repaired. I think they're trying to 24

force me out. I've been there 30 years too, like

shelter system. The Right to Counsel Bill, i.e.

2 214A, could pay itself and save the city over 300 3 million dollars per year and decrease the homeless 4 population from the present all-time high of 60,456 in the shelter system, of which 20,000 are children. Unlike defendants in Criminal Court who already have 6 7 the right to counsel thanks to the landmark Supreme Court decision of Gideon versus Wainwright of 1963 8 via the sixth and 14th amendment, tenants in Housing Court do not have this right. The only law we have 10 11 at present close to Intro 214A is Intro 96, a Local 12 Law sponsored by Council Member Rosie Mendez, to provide senior citizens and 202 housing legal counsel 13 for evictions and foreclosure proceedings. This bill 14 15 had over 40 Council Member sponsors. If those same 16 Council Members can again sign on to Intro 214A so as 17 to protect all, I repeat, all tenants of record this 18 time, it will not only be the right thing to do for 19 your constituents, but also help you for your reelection. Just a hint. So, if this bill was to 20 21 pass, legal defenders like Bronx Defenders, MFY, 2.2 Urban Justice, ACLU, etcetera, etcetera will have 2.3 more funding to have a more comprehensive and holistic defense of tenants. And also by the way, 24 you know, it's very ironic that since 1963 the right 25

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 to accuse have always had the guarantee of legal
3 counsel, while the right for abuse of New York City

4 tenants in the year 2016, we still don't have any

5 lawyer representation. So, in closing, I implore you

6 to pass this landmark bill because it's the right

7 thing to do and long overdue. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very

9 much. Thank you. Thank you. Mary?

1

8

10

11

12

CHRIS CHALFANT: Chris.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Chris, okay.

CHRIS CHALFANT: Can you hear me? Is this

13 on? Okay. Good afternoon. My name is Chris

14 Chalfant. I live in Brooklyn. I am a-- I live with

15 | a disability. I am a disability rights activist.

16 I've done a lot of grassroots organizing, and I have

17 seen living conditions all over the city, some of

18 | which people should not have to endure. I would like

19 \parallel to speak today about my own building. I live in a

20 | building that's rent stabilized. We have 60 units,

21 \parallel 120-130 people, something like that. Many of the

22 | tenants are immigrants. They're seniors. They have

23 \parallel disabilities. They have children with disabilities,

24 | and there are a lot of things that people don't know

25 and are-- they don't have access. They don't

that they are also getting SCRIE and DRIE, that they

know it exists. Because we have so many people just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in our building that if they knew about it, their rent would be half of what it is now. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much.

KATY DWARTE: Good afternoon. My name is Katy Dwarte. I live in the High Bridge Neighborhood in the Bronx. I'm 23 years old, and I have lived in the same building for the past 17 years. I currently live with my mother, my two younger sisters and my When I was in sixth grade my mother was sent to Housing Court, because the landlord claimed we had missed the rent payment. I missed school that day to accompany my mother to court. At the time, my mother was not confident in her English-speaking skills, and I accompanied her to translate and ease her nerves by offering support. Now my mother is a fluent English speaker and works in the New York City Department of Education, but that wasn't the case 11 years ago. My mother and I prepared our case before I arrived into court, gathering the money order receipts for the rent over the last couple of months as proof. Walking into court that day was a daunting experience. Not only was I responsible for presenting our testimony

25

2 to the court, but I was also advocating and 3 representing my mother and the household. That kind of responsibility should not fall on the shoulders of 4 5 an 11 year old girl. It should be the responsibility of the tenant's lawyer, and if the tenant cannot 6 7 afford a lawyer, then the state should provide legal 8 representation in order to warrant a fair hearing. In the end, the court setting proved too punishing for my 11-year-old self, and my mother and I least the 10 11 case. An agreement was made, and my mother paid the 12 landlord the assumed late rent in installments 13 throughout the next couple of months. Today, my 14 mother clips [sic] every money order receipt for the 15 rent in order to make sure something like that 16 doesn't happen to us again. The right to legal 17 representation in Housing Court would alleviate this 18 kind of pressure for my mother and lots of other New 19 Yorkers in the same situation. I'm Vassar college 20 graduate. As some of you may know, Vassar's one of 21 the most prestigious liberal art schools in the 2.2 country, but even with the check mark in the smarty 2.3 pants box, I still don't feel confident enough to walk into Housing Court because I don't have the 24

technical [sic] knowledge necessary to have that kind

landlords have legal representation. Intro. 214A 6

playing the field in the court, seeing as most

7 will not only make Housing Court fair by allowing

reasonable arena for landlords and tenants to come to 8

mutual agreements leading to less evictions, but it

will also lessen the financial burden of the City to 10

11 support the would-be evicted tenants in the shelter

Intro. 214A should be passed, and New 12

Yorkers can't wait. 13

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: That was a great way to close. Intro. 214 should be passed, and New Yorkers cannot wait. Thank you. Thank you all. I appreciate you being here. Keep telling your stories and keep speaking up on behalf of tenants. The work you're doing has an amazing impact. Everyone has a story, and you've heard so many stories, and I appreciate you all coming down here today and really making sure that we understand how important Intro 214 is. So, thank you for joining us today. you. Keep up the good work. Our next panel is Gracia Montik [sp?], I believe, Janet Guitierrez

bring me to court we're going to have problems from

if we could all read this epithet that's up there

trouble-maker. We went to court. The judge was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

leaking. I was unable to cook in my kitchen for like
do days, because as a result of the landlord knowing

found that it was black mold. There was water

that I took him to court, he didn't send anyone to

25 make-- to do the repairs. I was evicted in 2014,

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well, thank you, Ms. Stevens, for sharing your story. I'm sorry to hear of your challenges, but I'm very glad that you've come here to speak on the record. It gives us more impetuous to pass this bill that's so very needed. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Stevens, and is it Ms. Thompson? Okay, please.

condition, you know, and I'm just hoping that the

bill is passed. Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELIZABETH THOMPSON: My name is Elizabeth Thompson. I'm an activist. I do community volunteer for our Kingsbidge Heights Neighborhood Improvement. I have been in my building for 32 years. I'm rent

nobody's doing anything about it. He paid people to

2 move. How long is five and 6,000 dollars going last

3 [sic] these people? And it's sad. We need more

4 help. Thank you.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

5 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you so

much, Ms. Thompson for your very strong comments. 6

> ELIZABETH THOMPSON: Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: The gentleman sitting to your right is somewhat of a celebrity in our world, Mr. Fitzroy Christian. We're happy that you're here and look forward to hearing your remarks.

FITZROY CHRISTIAN: Thank you very much my friend and Council Member, and Council Member Gibson, my own Council Member from the Bronx. Thank you for this opportunity to speak with you today. I'm going to praise [sic] what I have, because I'm not going to be saying anything new. You've heard a lot of it today, but it is no accident that Housing Court in New York City is popularly referred to as the Landlord's Collection Agency and Eviction Mill. That is because tenants until very recently had no help in Housing Court. They had no legal representation. Things are changing, and with the money that the Mayor has invested in legal services,

we see a dramatic difference, which makes it even

should never have to make. Do they risk losing their

jobs by asking for time off week after week after
week as landlords keep postponing and adjourning
their cases? Or do they sign agreements with their
landlord giving up all their rights, and in the end
still getting evicted? I see and hear various

abusive tactics and strategies landlords use to

8 intimidate tenants into agreeing to pay probably

9 | illegal fees and charges that are typically not a

10 part of Housing Court proceedings. This again

11 happens because they have no legal support. We are

asking today that the City Council passes and passes

13 | this year Intro. 214.

1

7

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you so--

the last hope that we have. You have it in your hands not only to help New Yorkers, the indigent, but to be the light guiding all of the United States into doing the right thing. New York City's in a position to do it. You guys in a position to make it happen. We're asking you to make 214A a law because we need it. We have worked for it. We deserve it, and we expect to have it as our Christmas gift. Pass Intro. 214A now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Amen. Amen. Way to bring the house down. Thank you, Mr. Christian.

2 And we're going to have Ms. Hellman and Mr. Martinez,

3 if you could make some room for them at the table,

4 and Ms. Hellman, we'll pass it on to you if you're

5 ready.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELIZABETH HELLMAN: Thank you. I'm a tenant and I've down some outreach work with University Settlement. I've lived at 221 Mott Street since 1978, which you may know is the epicenter or one of the epicenters of gentrification on this planet. I've been in and out of tenant Housing Court my entire tenancy. I'm a rent stabilized tenant. Since 1992, my landlord has been the notorious Steven Crowman [sp?], recently astonishingly arrested. Many times his lawyer took me to court for nonpayment of rent which had been paid. They were building cases which they never won, but which cost me lost teaching time as an adjunct professor, extreme emotional stress and too much exposure to violations of the code of habitability. In 1995 after I won one of many cases, Mr. Crowman threatened to "have someone the 5th precinct break my door down." And his contractor added that I would be "physically restrained." So, in 2008, 2009 I spent five months fighting this landlord, and I had represented myself,

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES but it was-- I had to get counsel at that p

but it was-- I had to get counsel at that point and I was to find someone who charged me next to nothing because I missed so much work. I won this case which did go to trial, but I received a draconian probationary stipulation lasting two years. I lived with housing violations, and most recently between 2013 and 2015 I was in court fighting for repairs for my bathroom ceiling which repeatedly fell down and

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] If you can just wrap up for us.

exposed me to mold. The mold exposure actually

caused me to lose my voice. Let me just cut--

it up. Okay. For unrepresented tenants, this
Housing Court experience is panic-striking. It's
grueling, and it's entirely unjust. Tenants, working
people, poor, elderly people on fixed incomes, people
who do not speak English cannot even conceive of the
legal complications their landlord's lawyers are
expert at exploiting in order to harass, intimidate
and evict unrepresented tenants whose their job is to
break them, to break them down.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

4

5

_

6

7

8

_

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you. We're out of time, but we still have so many people who are waiting to testify. Thank you.

ELIZABETH HELLMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We'll enter your full remarks into the record. Thank you for being here and sharing your story. And Mr. Martinez?

EARNEST MARTINEZ: Good afternoon.

My name is Earnest Martinez, and I'm a Hello. Housing Court Navigator in Brooklyn Housing Court through University Settlement. University Settlement is the first and oldest settlement house in the country. We are a comprehensive community-based organizations that provides holistic all-inclusive programming to many members of the community. We serve through a variety of programs aimed at the needs of very young children to seniors and all ages in between. As a Housing Court Navigator, my main rule is provide non-attorney oen on one support to tenants without legal representation throughout the course of their Housing Court case. A can provide unrepresented tenants with assistance in preparing their paperwork, completing court forms, providing legal information accessible through the court wide

2	Access to Justice System, go through stipulations
3	before they sign and respond to factual questions
4	asked by judges and other court staff. In addition
5	to those services, an important task I do is
6	providing emotional support to tenants in the extreme
7	distressing and hart-to-navigate setting which is
8	Brooklyn Housing Court. Because I am in Housing
9	Court on the daily, I see firsthand the unequal
10	playing field in which unrepresented tenants must go
11	through their Housing Court proceedings. I have
12	witnessed continuous intimidation tactics, tactics of
13	confusion, misrepresentations of facts, harassment,
14	and the sharing of misleading information that
15	landlord lawyers bring upon unrepresented tenants. I
16	have noticed the tenants which face the brunt of
17	these procedures are tenants that live in rent
18	stabilized apartments, especially in rapidly
19	gentrifying areas. If each tenant had the
20	representation of a qualified attorney, it would
21	prevent unfair treatment, court case driven trauma
22	and detrimental effects on whole families. The right
23	to counsel would vindicate the right to due process
24	and fundamental fairness and the lopsided
25	tenant/landlord proceedings that happen throughout

_

year would do just that.

on the daily throughout New York City. University
Settlement became a coalition member of the Right to
Council Coalition in support of preserving New York
City communities in this climate of economic
inequality that they face. We are committed to
preserving affordable housing through addressing the
problem such as unfair and illegal evictions. The
passing and funding of Intro. 214A by the end of the

Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Martinez. Thanks to University Settlement, and

thanks to this great panel. Next up we have Diane

Hawkins, Geneva Burton [sp?], Aurelia Figueroa [sp?],

Hemmer Pascale [sp?], Lucy Arroyo, Ayisa Oglivie,

Cahterine Trappani [sp?]. Okay. Looks like we have

folks who have had to leave. We'll add a few more

names. Okay. Let's call Caroline Nagi [sp?] and

Lisa Young Ruben [sp?]. Okay, sir, why don't you

start us off?

PABLO: Good afternoon, Council Member

Mark Levine and Council Member Vanessa Gibson. My

name is Pablo. I'm the lead organizer at CASA, and

I'm testifying on behalf of Dionne Hawkins who

coincidentally had to leave early because she had to

2 go see an attorney. Her attorney because she's been 3 in Housing Court over the last few years, and she wanted to share with City Council. "Over the past 4 decades I've seen many unjust evictions to families in my building and community. This includes seniors 6 and disabled due to the fact that they have no 8 representation in Housing Court. I myself have been in and out of Housing Court without representation. I was overwhelmed with stress, worries, anxiety, lack 10 11 of sleep, afraid of being homelessness, not to mention intimidation and constant harassment from my 12 13 landlord. Many families presently still face the 14 problems today because of the lack of legal 15 representation. Today I'm still facing eviction for 16 nonpayment of rent which is paid, but now with the 17 support from CASA and attorney from the Bronx Legal 18 Services, I'm stable." And the only thing that I 19 would add is that this is also a result of her-- I 20 was an organizer for her building, and from her becoming a leader in the building is -- she became a 21 target. So I think that the right to counsel would be 2.2 2.3 really important. When people finally have the courage in their because to fight back and enforce 24 the rights, that they know they're not going to face 25

Glad to be here. My name is Ayisha Oglivie.

25

Levine.

2 I'm a twice-appointment member of Community Board 12 3 of Manhattan. I'm the Chair of the both the Housing and Human Services Committee and also a member of the 4 Youth and Education Committee for the most northern communities of Manhattan, Washington Heights and 6 It is important that I say I'm not speaking on behalf of my board, though I understand from Aya 8 Keef [sp?], we did very early on sign on to support Intro 214. I am here to speak from a very personal 10 11 place. I've lived in a rent stabilized apartment which I lived in for 37 years. I raised my son who is 12 now 20 years old and on full scholarship at Lawrence 13 14 University in Wisconsin as a single parent while 15 personally dealing with the battle and loss of my 16 mother to cancer, fighting the Board of Education 17 over the course of five years for educational 18 neglect, which I did end up being successful with, 19 where my exceptional [sic] son is concerned, the loss 20 of employment due to the burdens of all the above and 21 shifts in the employment market, and left to depend on social services and unemployment from time to time 2.2 2.3 in order to subsist. I have been in Housing Court more times than I would like to have. I bring this 24 up because it is through these experiences that I 25

don't have the wherewith all to fight the necessary
fight to protect their right to housing. Some never
take what may be considered simple steps to do so due
to how overwhelming they are by the nuances of the
process, which can be very intimidating and lose

facing certainly do not begin the day that they

receive a dispossess in the mail. Many people simply

their homes outright. However, a great many do fight

18

19

AYISHA OGLIVIE: very quick, yeah.

Housing Courts that give wrong information, judges
that make you feel invisible and unheard, who rush
you along and don't listen to what you have to say.

Most often tenants depend on government benefits. I
met a woman who was homeless for four months, only to

21

2.2

2.3

24

been an incredible asset.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 AYISHA OGLIVIE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And your full

4 | remarks will be entered onto the record.

AYISHA OGLIVIE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Appreciate you

being here. Thank you. Okay, yes? [speaking

8 Spanish]

1

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LUCY ARROYO: Hi, my name is Lucy Arroyo, and I live here in the Bronx, 1175 Dorad [sp?] Avenue. I'm here to represent in a strong way for the Intro. 214A, and I'm here to represent that and also the tenants in my building and in other buildings that need help. I'm here because a lot of the citizens who are here, and especially the elderly, especially the senior citizens who are in need of a lot of help, really, when they are being harassed by the landlord, and they are being evicted from their own home which they have no knowledge of defending themselves because they cannot speak English or they cannot read or they have no knowledge of any rights. So I'm here representing them that this law would come through to help them eventually in court so they won't be evicted. A lot of elderly people cannot speak. Even disability people cannot be here to

1 2 represent themselves to say how they feel and what 3 they have gone through. A lot of them are getting 4 counsel. A lot of them are stressed out. A lot of them cannot even pick up a phone and speak the way they want to because they're under a nerve attack or 6 under so much harassment from the landlord because they don't want to end up in a shelter or in the 8 So, I'm here representing them and here with a strong ability for the 214 Intro. A would come, you 10 11 know, through this year, at least for Christmas, 12 before Christmas, because we do really need it. 13 do really need it. Even myself and all the other 14 tenants who are not here who cannot be here because 15 they are senior citizens or they're disabled and 16 cannot travel, but I'm here to represent all of them, 17 and I'm here strongly for Intro. 214A.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well, we appreciate that, and maybe we'll get even luckier and it'll happen before Thanksgiving. We're going to push, that's for sure. Thank you. Please, sir?

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HEMMER PASCALE: Good afternoon, Council Member Mark Levine, and good afternoon, Vanessa Gibson, and also a good afternoon to fellow constituents here today. Hello ladies and gentleman

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and fellow constituents. I'm here today with CASA as well as an organization called Mothers on the Move to testify not only on my own behalf but also to help represent so many other proud veterans who could not be able to attend today's testimony hearing. Please allow me to open up with this saying: "It should feel good within the human experience to do good will towards others." I'd been living at 73 Prospect Avenue for nearly six years now. I live alone with the hope of one day having my 87-year-old grandmother live out her life comfortably in my home and not her last few precious years within confines of a nursing I've had both the misfortune and fortune of home. acquiring adequate legal representation. Almost 98 percent of landlords have legal counseling, whereas, 90 percent or if not more respondents being brought to Housing Court have less-- has no representation whatsoever. According to an article printed in 2015 by reporter Zan Belson Simms [sp?] from Metropolitan Council on Housing, she noted that supporters of the bill argue that helping tenants fight eviction would actually help save the City 143 million in funding for homeless shelters. It is nearly unnerving -- it is eerily unnerving and unsettling to see that a

2	large majority of those tenants represented in
3	Housing Court or not unrepresented in Housing Court
4	are primarily people of color, not to mention legal
5	or illegal immigrants, single parents, the elderly,
6	and people with visible and invisible disabilities
7	who are already faced with lifelong challenges of
8	having to face a possible eviction by themselves and
9	alone. Another one of my many concerns deals with the
10	impact of having to go through Housing Court with
11	proper legal representation on how it can contribute
12	greatly towards an increase in depression and/or
13	suicidal rates. In the pursuit of trying to organize
14	and form a tenant association with the my building
15	several times several notices, excuse me, were
16	posted throughout the apartments advising the tenants
17	not to organize or seek aid, but instead to contact
18	the landlord's attorney if there were any questions,
19	concerns or comments. I personally experienced a
20	moment in Housing Court myself where the sense of
21	faith and trust of the court's emphatic position of
22	being impartial was long erased when I personally car
23	overhear the landlord's attorney correspond with
24	either the mediator or the judge as to inquire their
25	family's wellbeing. In closing, I find it

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

support Intro 214-A.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, sir.

And I don't believe you stated your name. Are you

Mr. Pascal?

HEMMER PASCALE: Hemmer Pascale, yes, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, great. Just wanted to get that on the record. Thank you. Lisa Young Ruben, great to see you.

LISA YOUNG RUBEN: Thank you. Thank you.

Good afternoon, New York City Council Members and cosponsors, Mark Levine, Vanessa Gibson. My name is

Lisa Young Ruben. I'm a paralegal advocate who worked on the Right to Counsel Legislation in its previous phase while I worked with then Council Member Alan J.

Gerson, and I would like to thank the co-sponsors and

based agencies. The Office must provide adequate

funding so that these office agencies can be staffed

24

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] Thank you, Lisa, it's great to see you, and thanks to this wonderful panel. Okay, we're going to call up Kim Austin [sp?]--

> UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

25

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you all. 3 Adelina St. Clair, Felix Lassa Hernandez [sp?], 4 Rochelle Thompson [sp?], Annette Laine [sp?], Monique Murray [sp?], Madeline Mendez, Althea Matthews, and 5 Richard William Flores. Is there anyone who's filled 6 7 out a witness form who I did not call? A staff 8 member will come to you right now, ma'am. Thank you. Okay, how about you start, please, ma'am? And tell us your name. 10

UNIDENTIFIED: My lucky day.

UNIDENTIFIED: Her or me?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well, since you were sitting down first, I was going to get you, but then-- but either one's fine.

evening. Hi, thank y'all for having this hearing.

Welcome. My name is Althea Matthews, and I'm a CASA leader as part of the Right to Counsel Coalition, and I'm going to do a little different take. Everybody been saying the same thing, you know? And it's all real. We live in the Bronx. I live in, reside in the Bronx, and we have five boroughs, which is part of one of the greatest well-known cities, New York City, and they can spend money to come. When people come

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

out of state they get extra security, all this money, but they can't protect the people that live in the City. I believe the Right to Counsel is the right way to do. Furthermore, it's a right because it's part of the basic needs of housing, clothing and There was a lady, the Commissioner Mary shelter. Bassett, had said recently about how it affects the people through stress, low birth rate, mental illness and stuff like that. If this is passed, it will save the City medical bills, it would bring children grade level up instead of decreasing, more graduate will be going to from high school to college. All of this money will save the city as well as part of the what the study also showed that they will save over 320 million dollars. I don't get it. I believe that the money is here. They need Mayor de Blasio, City Speaker, Melissa-- what's her last name-- Viverito Mark Melissa, y'all need to get on board and be part of the making of history of having the Right to Counsel, the first city in the nation to have this bill passed. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for being here, and it's always wonderful seeing you at the tenant demonstrations, Ms. Matthews. I do want

2 to clarify that our wonderful Council Speaker Melissa

3 Mark-Viverito is actually been an incredibly

4 passionate advocate of civil justice and certainly

5 anti-eviction legal services. We're very lucky to

6 have her leading this body. And now, please, take it

7 away.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

MADELINE MENDEZ: My name is Madeline Mendez, and I live in the Bronx. I live in the Highbridge area of the Bronx. I live around Cromwell, Jerome Avenue, the area that's going to start to be rezoned. I need a lawyer. We all need a lawyer, and I'm just tired of people telling us they're going to do for us and they don't. I'm tired. And if this bill don't get passed, that's telling us that poor people in their own community in their own city don't have a right for anything. My neighborhood, my community is going to be rezoned, and I'm going to see a lot of people being displaced, a lot of people, the elderly, the disabled. You know, it's crazy. It's like poor people don't deserve. You're just like telling us we don't have a right to have a roof over our head. You're telling us that we don't have no right? We have every right. We're part of New York City. And I have a right to be represented in

I thank the Councilman Mark Levine and Vanessa

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It is a privilege to be here, because for many years I've been in the Housing Courts, and I said to myself, if I can do legal work to go to university for others, I can do for myself, too. So I went to the libraries and read the law whenever I needed it. This being said, it has not been easy. For over a decade and a half I have had to deal with the courts. As a matter of fact, it has not always been bad, because I document everything and I go in prepare for [inaudible] juries [sic]. The issue is that there is a vibration in the Housing Court that says that if you are not the lawyer or the judge or some clerk there, you are not important, and the energy is bad there in the first place. The way they deal with people is bad in the first place. So, I am here to explain very briefly because I brought a lot of pages, but it's not essential. Everybody has said parts of it. I will put out a document to you all this week for some of the stuff that I will show at this time, there the people who need to speak, and I will do a synopsis. The synopsis is as follows: first want to see the bill passed, period, 100 percent, period. And also, that the abuse of the tenants should be stopped, even when they are in the

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Please enter that
3 into the record, and we're so glad that you spoke in
4 person here.

ADELINA SAINT CLAIR: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

Thompson.

2.2

2.3

ADELINA SAINT CLAIR: Adelina Saint Clair.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Oh, Ms. Saint Clair, forgive me. Thank you.

ROCHELLE THOMPSON: My name is Rochelle
Thompson, and I'm known of the First Lady of Jazz in
Harlem USA, and I have been in Housing Court for my
apartment since 1992. I won two Supreme Court cases,
City versus Thompson, Thompson versus Donovan,
Thompson versus Odessa Apartments. I need to change
the conversation. It has to veer to another point
now. Yes, I'm in support of this bill. I love my
country. I love my community. I am down in Housing
Court with seniors who are 84 years old. They will
not give them a video conference. They can hardly
walk. I have the Underground Railroad Housing Clinic.
I go with fellow black women, mothers with newborns,
college students, advocating, making sure we're

1 2 represented. This is the problem: When we go to Housing Court, once the petition is filed against 3 4 you, you're as good as being evicted. I have gone to Housing Court with my certified green/white [sic] receipt, a narrative, my money order, I produce all 6 7 of my documents saying that I had paid my rent. tried to do a roll-over and bring me back to court 8 from 2013, and the current petition was 2015. That has to change. We tell the court, we show our 10 11 documents and prove our case. Our case should be 12 dismissed, not -- it's a process that we have to do a return motion or a dismissal of a motion. And then 13 14 if we do have an attorney it takes us forever to 15 counsel. You're on the phone three hours or you have to call Monday or Thursday between one and four. 16 17 What has happened to us as Americans? I met Mrs. 18 Clinton in 1999. I asked her to do something about 19 our housing. I cannot watch her debate tonight 20 because I will be in Housing Court for a senior who has lived in her building for 40 years. I've been in 21 2.2 my building for 31. I'm sorry, you all have to do 2.3 more for us and protect us. Thank you. remember you, I met you at your barbeque on Amsterdam 24

25

Avenue.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I remember you well, Ms. Thompson.

2.2

2.3

ROCHELLE THOMPSON: I thought you would.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: You're

unforgettable. I'm glad to be in company with Hillary Clinton in that regard.

ROCHELLE THOMPSON: I'm not.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Pleasure to see that.

ROCHELLE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, ma'am.

MONIQUE MURRAY: hello, my name is

Monique Murray, and I work and I live in the Bronx,
and actually when CASA called me and they said we

would like for you to come and support this bill for

Right to Counsel, I actually was more than willing to

come. I asked my employer, "Can I please have a

vacation day?" so that I could come down here to

support. I'm born and raised in the Bronx. I can

remember my mother being taken to court. First, the

building was I believe on Third Avenue and then again

on 161st Street, and as a young child, I could

remember not wanting to be there again. However, I

realized that just because you don't want to be

you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.

Murray, and thanks to this wonderful panel. I'm going to call up three people who will validate that old adage that we save the best for last because this is our final panel, but I'm looking forward to hearing from Hillary Exter, or Ext [sic], Exer [sic], Jose Rentas [sp?], and Beverly Creighton, and while these three are coming up, I just want to thank all of you for having given up a day of your life to be part of this hearing, those of you who are here, those that are watching online. Some of you took a day off work for this. I know this was not a small undertaking, but you sent an incredibly powerful message to the entire leadership of this city by the turnout here today, by the longevity of all the participants, and most importantly by the incredible power of all the witnesses that we've heard. now heard from no fewer than 76 witnesses, and I've been keeping the tally, and currently it stands as follows: 74 have spoken in favor of Intro 214, two have said they had no opinion, and none have spoken against, an incredible validation of the public support. The public support for this bill really

2 makes a very strong statement. So, would you be Ms.

3 Exter?

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HILLARY EXTER: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, please?

HILLARY EXTER: My name is Hillary Exter,

and I'm an attorney and the Coordinate with the Urban Justice Center, and the coordinator of an antiharassment tenant protection program. We're the lead agency for 13 different legal services providers working through a city-funded grant to provide free legal services to low-income tenants who are victims of threats, harassment or displacement aimed at causing or likely to cause the tenant to move out of their apartments in targeted zip codes in the City of New York. Our organizations defend tenants from eviction or to be restored to possession after being evicted or ousted inappropriately from the apartment or home in Housing Court in each borough. We enforce the right to live in safe and habitable housing, and we're tenants suffering from conditions in their apartment or homes that threaten or seriously

diminish [sic] the tenancy that have not been

repaired after reasonable time and notice, we're able

to obtain court-ordered repairs. There have been a

Intro. 214 will assure this. Thank you.

Exter, and now Ms. Creighton, please? Would you

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:

Thank you, Ms.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

please turn your microphone on? BEVERLY CREIGHTON: Hi, I'm Beverly Creighton. I am a CASA leader and I live in the Bronx

It was my mom's apartment. She passed in 2007, and ever since them I'm still being harassed by my

since 1972. And I live by myself, and I'm disabled.

landlord. I've been to Housing Court, and it's

traumatizing me. It's traumatic. I didn't have a

lawyer, and he had a lawyer, and within the hour I said, "Oh, my God, [inaudible] out of my house." I

didn't have a lawyer at all. Had I had a lawyer, I'd

been okay, but this landlord he wants things his way.

This is why I want 214 to be passed because these

landlords have got to be stopped. And the other day,

excuse me, four years getting my apartment fixed.

have called this landlord more than enough times.

"I'm coming. I'm coming." When? I got my lease a

week ago, and my lease was out September [inaudible].

Excuse me. My lease was out September 30th, and I

asked him where's my lease? "I'm slow. It's on my

desk." [inaudible] And my found [sic], got my lease.

Please pass 214. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: My goodness.

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you, Ms. Creighton. Thank you for being here and for sharing your story. Thank you. Mr. Rentas, please?

JOSE RENTAS: How you doing? My name Jose Rentas. I live at 737 East 219th Street. thank God for CASA. I already got my landlord caught for HVX-ing [sic] harassment. I took a tape when he made a threat to me. He called me a "spic", told me to get the hell out of his building, and I told him, "If I'm a spic, you are a yellow skin." You know what a yellow skin is? A yellow skin is that he's Chinese. He goes to China and eat cat. That's why the cats are here. You know, so I'm a type of person, I got a learning disability, and I thank God to CASA got me the right way, and I'm going to give him a fight. And I know I learned this in prison, do legal work, do the research. I go to places. Okay. I believe that the tenants should have lawyers, because 90 percent of these so-called-- they go to get lawyers and they afford it and we cannot. And I seen it all. I used to sleep in the street, but I will never be in a city shelter. I will go to Queen of Peace. My Mother Theresa [inaudible], and they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Ironically, I worked for a customer service-- I
worked as a customer service rep for a real estate
company called Vantage Realty. They own properties
in Queens and in Manhattan, and they were

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

321 successfully sued by many tenants in the building for variety of issues that were going on in the building, no heat, leaks in the apartment, the apartments were in a state of disrepair, and they were harassed by their landlords. They were calling Vantage over and over again. I spoke to the tenants on the phone, and the tenants used to come to the building crying, trying to save their apartments. So, I myself ws in a situation where I was evicted, and I didn't have representation in court, and I was evicted, and I was homeless subsequently as a result. So, personally, I'd just like to say that I think that anyone can be vulnerable to this situation, and if they pass Intro. 214, which I believe they're going to, it may be able to help everyone now and in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Flores, a very powerful note to conclude on. to everyone for joining us tonight, and I'd like to que my colleague and partner in this effort, the great Council Member Vanessa Gibson for some concluding words.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Ladies and gentleman, you did it. Your patience, your understanding, yes--

[applause]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: you can give yourself a round of applause.

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I have never been more honored to be a Council Member at this time, to be your partner and your advocate in this process. We have heard from 80 people in all of these hours, overwhelming support for Intro. 214. I am so proud, and I have to just shout out CASA Bronx, and to everyone from the district I'm so proud to represent in the Bronx, I am so proud of you. You have demonstrated the power and the voice of tenants through the Bronx and throughout this entire city, and we would not be here if not for all of your work, and it was important. Council Member Mark Levine and I made sure we cleared our schedules for today, because we had to be here to hear every last person come forward and talk about their story, their journey, their trial, their tribulation, and yet, you're still standing. And so you know that strength only comes in numbers, and you know that our work is not done. This was a major step to get here. Look how long it took us to get this hearing, but we are

great afternoon talking with all of you, and I look

1	COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES 324
2	forward to more work, and I have to recognize Andy
3	Scherer from New York Law School. What will we do
4	without you, Andy? And you're still here. Thank you
5	to you and your team and former Chief Justice
6	Johnathan Lippman who's been such a pioneer and
7	trailblazer. Thank everyone for being here. Looking
8	forward to working with you, and God bless you all.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you all very
10	much. This concludes our hearing.
11	[gavel]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date <INSERT TRANSCRIPTION DATE>