CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

June 16, 2016

Start: 10:15 a.m. Recess: 1:54 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway, Committee Room-16th Fl.

B E F O R E: Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma Fernando Cabrera

Ruben Wills

Vanessa L. Gibson Corey D. Johnson Ritchie J. Torres Barry S. Grodenchik Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Letitia James Public Advocate

Julie Farber Deputy Commissioner of Division of Family Permanency Services at NYC ACS

Andrew White Deputy Commissioner for Policy Planning and Measurement at ACS

Kathleen Hoskins Assistant Commissioner for Office of Educational Support and Policy Planning at ACS

Jill Kraus ACS

Iris Kaplan
Assistant Commissioner at ACS

Darlene Jackson
Foster Advocate Counselor District 13

Julio Omedo [sp?] Foster Youth

Ivan Mendez
Foster Youth Advocate

Anna Sanchez

Brieanna Hayes You Gotta Believe

Anni Keane You Gotta Believe

Stephanie Gendell Citizens Committee for Children

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Laruen Shapiro Brooklyn Defender Services

Lena McMahon Legal Aid Juvenile Rights Practice

James Purcell COFCCA

Jeffrey Marrenfield

	4

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning. I'm
3	Council Member Steven Levin, Chair of the City
4	Council's General Welfare Committee. Today, we are
5	here to discuss foster care in New York City. We are
6	also hearing today the following resolution and eight
7	bills that I, along with my colleagues, are
8	sponsoring, and this will be these bills first
9	hearing. We will be hearing Resolution Number 1074
10	sponsored by Council Member Rafael Salamanca and
11	myself, a resolution calling upon the State, New Yor
12	State Legislature, to pass and the Governor to sign,
13	Assembly Bill 7756-A to increase the amount of
14	housing subsidy from 300 dollars to 600 dollars per
15	month and to extend the age of eligibility from 21 to
16	24 years old for youth who have aged out of foster
17	care. We'll be hearing Introduction Number 1187
18	sponsored by Council Member Danny Dromm in relation
19	to a report on obtaining government issued
20	identification for youth, Introduction 1190 which I
21	am a sponsor, and that is a bill to report on the
22	educational continuity of children in foster care.
23	Intro 1191 sponsored by myself and Council Member
24	Barry Grodenchik, and that bill will look at calling

on ACS to report on certain indicators for the youth

Members of the Committee on General Welfare and Youth

Services were paired with a young person who was in

24

or had recently aged out of foster care. For me and
for many of my colleagues, that experience left a
lasting impression on us and led us to it led to
increased dialogue here at the Council about how we
can better serve the thousands of children and youth
that spend time in the foster care system each year.
One topic that was raised several times by young
people that day and has been raised continuously in
our follow up conversations is that there must be a
greater emphasis on finding young people permanent
families. The vast majority of young people,
including those who did not grow up in the foster
care system are nowhere near ready to be fully
independent at the age of 21. One recent study found
that almost 60 percent of parents of non-students
ages 18 to 39 were providing their children with
financial support, and yet we expect young people who
age out of the foster care system without a family to
be fully financially independent by the age of 21,
often with very little support. Beyond financial
security, families provide the emotional support that
we can never replace with subsidies and Independent
Living Skills classes. In 2015, over 650 young
people aged out of the foster care system. Those 650

2	young people have no entered adulthood without the
3	support of a family and without the assistance of ACS
4	to fall back on. Today, we would like to have
5	meaningful conversation with ACS on how we can reduce
6	that number and how we can better support and more
7	fully support both emotionally and otherwise those
8	young people aging out. Directly related to the
9	number of youth who have aged out is the fact that
10	children in foster care in New York City spend almost
11	twice the amount of time in care compared to children
12	in the rest of the country, 3.2 years versus 1.7
13	years. With children spending so much time in care
14	without being reunified with family or adopted, it is
15	not surprising that so many young people age out of
16	care without a family, and we look forward to hearing
17	from ACS what efforts are being undertaken to reduce
18	that length of time in care, and also, what measures
19	or what impacts are what causes are in place that
20	are making those that time longer, and what we're
21	doing as a system to rectify that? As I mentioned
22	today we are also hearing several pieces of
23	legislation that I'm sponsoring along with my
24	colleagues that seek to collect and report data on
25	outcomes of youth in foster care. I'm also

sponsoring legislation that will create a taskforce
comprised of members of the Administration, the
Council, advocates, providers, and young people, and
we will be modifying that bill to add the Public
Advocate. That will serve to develop recommendations
on how to improve outcomes for youth in care. This
taskforce will serve to create a blueprint on how it
can improve outcomes for these young people. This
morning, I am eager to hear ACS's position on these
bills and also to hear from those in attendance
today, particularly the young people who have spent
time in or are currently in foster care on their
suggestions to improve these bills. I would like to
thank the advocates, providers and youth who helped
us prepare for today's hearing and gave us fantastic
input on these pieces of legislation. Young people
have shared their stories with us and their input has
been and will continue to be critical in this
process, and I want to particularly thank those young
people who have given their time and their expertise
to this process, and we look forward to continuing to
work with them. I would like to thank staff for
their work today on preparing for the hearing,
Counsel Andrea Vasquez, Policy Analyst Tonya Cyrus.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and Finance Analyst Brittany Moresi [sp?]. I would 3 also like to thank my Chief of Staff Jonathan Bouche 4 [sp?], Legislative Director Julie Biero [sp?], and 5 Budget Director Edward Paulino, and I want to give a special shot-out to two of my new interns who I met 6 7 during the Foster Youth Shadow Day who just walked in, Anna Sanchez and Ivan Mendez who are both alum of 8 the foster care system and are excellent additions to the Council family and Council staff, and we look 10 11 forwarded to hearing from them as well. And with that, I will turn it over to the Public Advocate 12

Letitia James for opening comments.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. Let me also congratulate your interns. Where are they by the way? Where? Oh, okay. So, shout-out to the interns who are somewhere outside. So good morning everyone. I want to thank all of you for being here, and of course, I want to thank my friend Chair Stephen Levin, and of course my other good friend, Council Member Richards, and I want to thank your staff for organizing today's important hearing. I also would like to thank in her absence Council Member Ferreras-Copeland and Chair Levin for joining me in co-sponsoring Intro 1197, and finally, I'd like

2 to thank all the advocates in the room and 3 individuals who tirelessly work for the children of 4 New York City and to improve our foster care 5 children, in particularly on behalf of children who unfortunately are in the shadows. Today, our most 6 7 vulnerable children are being lost in a system that 8 is meant to protect them. there are 12,000 children and youth in foster care in our City, many who are struggling each and every day against all odds to 10 11 make it, and each year nearly 1,000 young people age 12 out of foster care only to enter back in the city services because they weren't given the tools to make 13 14 it, and I often find these young people unfortunately 15 on the streets of New York City. In 2014, I 16 introduced Intro 104 to better understand the state 17 of our youth who age out of foster care. Intro 104 18 became law in September 2014, and pursuant to Local 19 Law 46, the Administration for Children's Services is 20 required to submit an annual report on youth and foster care that includes categories that reflect on 21 where our youth go after they age out of the system 2.2 2.3 and what training and support they receive prior to doing so. It's basically a tracking system. in 24 addition to the passage of the law, I also joined 25

2	with 19 foster children and filed a class action,
3	yes, against ACS and the New York State Office for
4	Children and Family Services, and I am pleased to
5	report that we reached a proposed settlement with the
6	State Defendants, which is currently going through
7	the court approval process, and while we have made
8	much progress, there is much more work to be done to
9	improve the City's foster care system, and I hope
10	that we can address a lot of the issues that were
11	part of the litigation with ACS. The bill before you
12	today that I am sponsoring, Intro 1197 aims to expand
13	and improve the recording mechanisms of ACS's annual
14	report and better compare the improvements being made
15	by the agency to protect and serve the youth in
16	foster care and youth aging out of the system.
17	Amendments to Local Law 46 would break down the age
18	groups in greater detail and uniformity when
19	reporting these categories, and these revisions are
20	motivated by the advocacy community who regularly
21	reviewed these numbers to identify trends and
22	patterns. These changes are in line with the changes
23	being made to some of the bills that are being heard,
24	such as Council Member Dromm's Intro 1187 and Council
25	Member Cumbo's Intro 1205. Intro 1197 would also

2	expand reporting on the number of youth over 21 years
3	of age who have aged out of care and who enter a
4	homeless shelter or receive financial assistance such
5	as SNAP benefits. I am hopeful that today's hearing
6	will be informative and instructive. I once again
7	thank Chair Levin and Council Member Ferreras-
8	Copeland and the rest of the bill's co-sponsorers
9	[sic] for partnering with me on this important
10	legislation. I look forward to hearing on all these
11	wide range of issues, and I hope in the future we do
12	not have to resort to litigation. I do know that the
13	number of advocates were on both sides of the aisles,
14	but my position is I'm here for the residents and for
15	the young people who I constantly meet in shelters,
16	on the street and sleeping in New York City who
17	unfortunately are lost and aged out of the foster
18	care system. I thank you, Mr. Chair, and I look
19	forward to the testimony.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Public Advocate. I want to ask my colleague Donovan
Richards for to make an opening statement as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

I'll be short, because I want to hear from ACS, but I want to thank Council Member Levin for his leadership

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in this area and Public Advocate Letitia James. Just around, I believe, last summer Council Member Levin spearheaded a Foster Youth Day here at the Council, and it had such a profound impact not only on me, but I know several members in the Council, and dialoging with a lot of our young people who in particular participated. You know, they felt in many cases, especially those who aged out that, you know, some of the foster care parents looked at them as just a big check, and it was unfortunate to hear that the basic necessities that you would think parents would provide their children were being provided for these young people, but they were not. So, with that, you know, I went home and sort of thought about it, and a young lady who shadowed me-- 16 years old, and she's graduating high school this year, which was an amazing story based on some of the experiences she went through, but one of the things we wanted to do was to ensure that young people would have a voice, in particular, as they stayed, in particular, in foster care. So, I sponsored Intro Number 1199 which would amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to surveys for youth in foster care regarding the experiences with foster care.

2	it's sad that we really have to introduce a bill like
3	this, which would basically require ACS to provide
4	all youth in foster care 13 years and older who
5	reside with a foster parent to issue an annual survey
6	regarding the experiences with their foster parents,
7	things like, you know, do you get food on a regular
8	basis, if you're allowed to enter the kitchen to
9	access food. You know, these are questions we
10	shouldn't have to ask in this day and age. We would
11	hope that parents who are taking this responsibility
12	one would actually, you know, provide young people
13	with the necessity, and as a new father, I couldn't
14	fathom not providing my son or someone not providing
15	him with care and love that he deserves. So, with
16	that being said, my bill would also require ACS to
17	aggregate the data from surveys and report it to the
18	City Council and post it on their website on an
19	annual basis, and the bill would take effect
20	immediately. So, I want to thank you and thank once
21	again Chair Levin for his leadership on this issue.
22	We hope ACS supports every bill on here as we look to
23	strengthen the foster care system. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Council Member Richards. So, one thing before we

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 16
2	hear from ACS, is there's a lot of pieces of
3	legislation here today and we'll hear ACS's comments
4	and opinions on proposed legislation. For those of
5	you that are advocates here today or providers or
6	youth who are foster care alum or those of you that
7	have that were foster care or foster care alum that
8	were in the system and are now adults, we look
9	forward to hearing your feedback as well. So, we
10	this is the first hearing on these bills. We want to
11	hear what you have to say as well. So, please feel
12	free to contact my office either through our website,
13	our email address, twitter, Facebook, however you
14	want to contact us. We look forward to hearing your
15	feedback as well. So, with that I will ask
16	representatives from the Administration for
17	Children's Services to testify. We are joined you
18	can all identify yourself. But, first I'm going to
19	ask you to all raise your right hand. Do you affirm
20	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
21	the truth in your testimony before this committee and

: I do.

22

23

24

: I do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. 25

to respond honestly to Council Member's questions?

JULIE FARBER: Good morning, Chair Levin, 3 Public Advocate James, Council Member Richards, staff of the Council, and I also just want to acknowledge 4 the providers, foster care providers and advocates that are here. I want to thank you all for your 6 7 opening remarks, and in particular your attention to 8 youth in foster care and the commitment shown by having a Foster Youth Shadow day which is an excellent demonstration of the Council's commitment 10 11 to that issue and to really understanding the experiences of youth in foster care and an excellent 12 13 experience for those youth who have the opportunity 14 to shadow you in the Council. So, we really 15 appreciate that. I'm Julie Farber, Deputy 16 Commissioner of the Division of Family Permanency 17 Services at the New York City Administration for 18 Children's Services. With me today is Andrew White 19 who's Deputy Commissioner for Policy Planning and Measurement and Kathleen Hoskins who is Assistant 20 Commissioner for the Office of Education, Support and 21 Policy Planning. That's a long title, Kathleen. 2.2 2.3 behalf of Commissioner Carrion, thank you for the

24

25 today. Before I address the bills that are the

opportunity to discuss foster care in New York City

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subject of today's hearing, I want to take a few minutes to provide the Committee with an overview of some of the work, very important work that is happening at ACS. As many of you have heard, and the Public Advocate mentioned this, for the first time in many decades, the number of children in foster care is at an all-time low. Twenty years ago when ACS was inaugurated as a standalone agency, there were 42,000 children in foster care, and now that number is, you know, around 11,000. The decrease in this census is due in large part to a significant expansion of the availability of preventive services for families in their own homes and communities and changes at the front door, and by that I mean changes in our investigative practice where we have workers with lower caseloads and who are better trained and who have the opportunity to provide preventive services to children versus removing everyone to foster care. So there's some critical facts that we would like the Council to know and to really understand about the New York City foster care system, and they include the following. Of the, you know, number of kids in foster care, the vast majority are placed with families. Fewer than nine percent are in congregate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

care settings. This is the best and lowest rate of congregate care placement in the country for comparable urban areas, and so that is a really significant point. The majority of children who enter foster care, and this is a, you know, sort of a first point, go home to their parents, right? And those who aren't going home to their parents are placed with relatives or other families who are going to adopt or assume quardianship for them. So that's just an important context to have. Overall, the total amount of time that children are spending in foster care is falling dramatically. So, from 2010 to 2015, the total number of days that New York City children spent in foster care has declined by almost 30 percent. We also have fewer youth aging out of care. We have better outcomes for youth aging out of care with far fewer numbers and proportions of youth ending up in the homeless shelter. We have extended care for older youth. So it's great that older youth can stay in the system beyond 21 so that we have opportunity to help them advance and achieve their educational and employment goals and achieve better stability. Placement stability is also another strength in the system. Clearly there are some kids

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that are moving from placement to placement, but the fact is, and you know, the data is important, is that most children in foster care experience only one placement during their time in care. So it's just important to have this context. All of this adds up to fewer children coming into care than in years past. The vast majority of children who do come into care go home to their families, get adopted or achieve permanency through quardianship, and we are working on many fronts to reduce the time that children spend in care. We know that that is an area that requires work, and there's work to be done there, and that work is happening intensively and on many fronts. So there are multiple efforts that are ongoing now that are directed at improving both the experience of children in care as well as reducing the length of time, right? So, and I'll talk about a few of these, but we're working to both enhance case practice as well as streamlining certain bureaucratic processes that impact time to permanency. It's also critical to understand that the Family Court plays a critical role in the length of time that children remain in foster care, as all foster care cases are reviewed in the Family Court hearings and final

2 decisions about permanency are made in Family Court. 3 So, ACS has created a foster care strategic blueprint that identifies our key priorities and provides a 4 framework for guiding our work in order to improve outcomes for children in foster care. We've provided 6 7 you with a copy of our Implementation Status Report, 8 and you know, if I had the time I'd love to go through every single item on there because we're proud of all the work that's happening, but we'll hit 10 11 a few highlights, but it's an important document 12 because it really reflects our strategic plan for 13 foster care, and that report identified the many, 14 many initiatives that are underway towards the goals 15 that we've set. So, the blueprint reflects our 16 objectives and identifies five major areas of focus: 17 Family reunification, kinship placements, foster care 18 placements, adoption, and older youth. And cutting 19 across all five is an agency-wide focus on improving 20 child well-being. This Administration has taken the 21 critical steps to create the conditions within the child welfare system that are necessary for success. 2.2 2.3 This includes lowered caseloads. This Administration has provided an unprecedented 160 million dollars 24 over five years to lower caseloads for foster care 25

length of stay in foster care. Under our No Time to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Wait initiative we have identified and addressed barriers to permanency and there's a tremendous amount of work happening around this. For example, we found that the processes of acquiring birth certificates and adoption subsidies were slowing adoptions down. This is pure bureaucratic process, So, in response, you know, we sort of did a Six Sigma-like approach, right, looking at this business processes and we significantly streamlined both processes. So, previously-- to give you a sense of the impact to this, previously only four percent of adoption subsidy applications were processed within 30 days. Now, that rate is almost 70 percent, right? And so that process by being able to approve adoption subsidies more quickly is going to result in shaving time off of permanency. Now, that is not going to make the front page of the New York Times, It's not you know, but it is a critical part right? of the work that we're doing to reduce the time to permanency right? Because remember, as I said earlier, the vast majority of kids that are going to be adopted are living already with the families who are going to adopt them, and so we need to make the bureaucratic process move more quickly, right?

2 that's the work that's happening here. So there's a 3 tremendous amount of process improvement work happening that is going to start shaving months and 4 5 months and months off of each child's time to permanency, and then that's going to add up to, you 6 7 know, shorter time to permanency. So, related to 8 that, I'm very pleased to announce that ACS is partnering with the national expert, child welfare expert organization, Casey Family Programs and our 10 11 foster care agencies to conduct a case review of 12 thousands of children who have been in care for two 13 years or more, thousands. Just want to make sure 14 people are capturing that. These reviews will 15 identify barriers to permanency and also lead to a 16 better understanding of the systemic issues that are 17 contributing to long stays in care. These reviews 18 actually launched on Tuesday this week, and we're 19 piloting them in June and July with a few agencies, and then these reviews will roll out to all foster 20 care agencies in August and September, and by early 21 2017 somewhere in the first quarter there we should 2.2 2.3 have finished conducting the 3,000 reviews. purpose in these reviews is to identify the barriers 24 that are keeping these children in care and then work 25

2	those cases, right, at an individual level. So we
3	actually move those kids, but then obviously, the
4	larger purpose is to draw from those cases what we
5	learned about the systemic barriers and then try and
6	bureaucracy bust those, whether they're ACS issues,
7	issues in the foster care agencies or issues in the
8	Family Court. Under our Federal Title 4E Waiver we
9	have reduced caseloads. Again, I can't overemphasize
10	this, you know. In my prior life, you know, as an
11	advocate, you know, we were always commenting that
12	caseloads were in the 20's, right? So, this is truly
13	historic that foster care case workers now have
14	caseloads of 10 to 12. Those are finally reasonable
15	caseloads that position the agencies to do the work
16	that needs to be done to move permanency. So, under
17	the waiver we've reduced caseloads, supervisory
18	ratios, we've implemented a uniform assessment tool
19	for all children in foster care. It's called CANS.
20	And we've begun implementing two evidence-based
21	models. These models are called Attachment and Bio-
22	behavioral catch-up, ABC, which promotes responsive
23	nurturing care-giving of young children, and those
24	services are being provided to both foster parents

and parents around attachment to children who've

2.3

24

25

experienced trauma, and the other evidence-based model that we're implementing is called Partnering for Success, which improves children's access to appropriate mental health interventions while also focusing intensively on the integration of child welfare services with mental health services, because obviously that integration is really important in many of our families. In the area of family reunification, ACS is revitalizing the ways in which children in foster care maintain connections to their families of origin. We know from research that the amount and quality of time that children in foster care spend with their parents is critical to determining whether reunification can occur and will occur. So we are focused on engaging with our foster care providers. We're in the process now of providing intensive training to all of the providers so that family visiting is safe and as robust as possible. We're also focused on facilitating safe and timely trial discharges to ensure that families are ready for reunification. There's a lot of work happening around trial discharge and I'm happy to talk a little bit more about that. As part of our focus on wellbeing, we have several efforts underway

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

to help youth in foster care attend college,
transition to the world of work and maintain stable
housing. There's a lot about that in the
implementation document that I gave to you, and I
won't list every single thing that we have going on,
but it's a lot, and we have 400 youth in college and
we're trying to increase that amount and trying to
put in place supports in this Mayor's budget. We
have major new funding for college support
initiatives, which is excellent. We're partnering
with CUNY to help hundreds of students in foster care
attend college through several initiatives as I
mentioned. In January 2016 we established a new
office of employment and workforce development
initiative, and some of my staff from that office are
here, and that office has many, many different things
underway, including that we launched a new
specialized internship program with DYCD this year.
The two cohorts are already filled. It's The Door
and OBT that are providing this, and this is a
specialized internship program for youth in the
foster care and juvenile justice systems. It's
called YEIP [sic] Plus, and we created it because the
existing DYCD YIEP program is not structured to meet

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the needs of kids in our population, and so we work with DYCD to create this new program. We also have a collaboration going with Columbia University Workplace Center, which is going to providing training to a bunch of our foster care providers to enhance their services and interventions around improving employment outcomes for young people in foster care. Finally, we have a collaboration with DCAS to connect foster youth to civil service employment. Those are good union jobs and we're preparing young people to both understand that those jobs exist and prepare them to be able to take and pass the test. So, they have the opportunity to apply for civil service positions. There's a lot more going on there, but I'll keep going. For young people aging out of foster care to independence we've also made progress with our partner agencies in City government to helping young people to secure housing either through the open market, through NYCHA. had a big increase of young people getting into NYCHA as well as supportive housing or housing with relatives or roommates, and again, as I mentioned, we've had a big decrease in the number of young people who are showing up in homeless shelters after

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they age out. Later this year, we're going to launch a major foster parent recruitment initiative, Home Away from Home, and we'll look forward to the Council's participation in that effort. Though Home Away from Home we're going to revamp and improve foster parent recruitment and support and really make fundamental shifts in the way that we place and match children to the most appropriate foster homes. We've done over the last six months, an extremely intensive review of both the data across the system around foster parent recruitment and retention, attrition, support, all of the issues, you know, that pertain to foster parent recruitment, support and retention. We've also done an exhaustive scan of best practices nationally, and so that diagnostic phase has now basically just come to end and we'll be moving to implementation in the coming year. As Commissioner Carrion noted during her recent testimony to the City Council on the Executive Budget, we are extremely pleased that this budget includes increased funding for preventive services that keep children out of care and specialized preventive slots that will support families after they reunify, right? So when kids go home on trial discharge, we have received in

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this Mayor's budget hundreds and hundreds of more slots for preventive services for those kids and families to ensure that those reunifications are safe and stable. We're also pleased that there's funding in the budget that will help children and families that are touched by the foster care system. providing increased stipends for foster adoption and kinship quardianship parents that will cover children's needs, and we're reinstating discharge grants to assist children and families as they exit the foster care system. So that's significant. system used to provide discharge grants some years ago of 750 dollars to, you know, help children and families, you know, with basic needs so as to shore up a reunification, and for whatever reason those grants went away and we've now reinstated them at a thousand dollars, and so we're very pleased about So there's a lot more that I can say about what's going on, and I'm happy to answer questions about what's in the blueprint, but at this point I'll move to the bills. So, regarding amending current reporting requirements, ACS is committed to providing the Council will helpful relevant information that reflects what's happening in the child welfare

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are fully committed to transparency and what to focus with you on the best data that leads us to the best solutions, focusing on the areas that need improvement. In 2014 we worked with the Council to pass three different annual reports related to youth in foster care, Local Law 46, and Council Member Levin covered some of this, but requires ACS to report on the foster care system as a whole including youth who have recently aged out of care. Local Law 48 requires ACS to report whether youth in foster care have government issued identification. Local Law 49 requires ACS to report the high school graduation rates of youth in care. Three of the bills on today's agenda amend those existing reports. So, Intro 1197 amends Local Law 46 and would expand certain age disaggregation reporting and require ACS to report on the total population of youth currently The bill would also expand the report to include the number of youth who enter a homeless shelter within specific time periods measured from when they age out of care, the number of youth who receive cash assistance and SNAP benefits from HRA within 30 days and 60 days of being discharged from foster care, and the number of youth who age out and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transition to Medicaid without gaps in coverage. ACS is fully willing and able to disaggregate that information by age. However, the new information that this bill requests regarding data around homeless and public assistance is not available to ACS. We are, of course, willing to discuss with our partners at HRA and DHS about the possibility and mechanisms by which that data might be shared. moving to Intro 1187 which amends Local Law 48 that would add the total number of youth aged 17 and older in care, the total number of youth who aged out of care, and the total number of youth in those groups who obtained any type of identification. We support this amendment to that report. Intro 1205, which amends Local Law 49, would make technical amendments to age disaggregation categories in the Local Law and would add whether in foster care are on track to graduate high school in four years. ACS is currently working with DOE to update the MOU that covers data sharing between our two agencies, and we will keep the Council apprised as to the availability of data requested by this bill depends on those discussions. However, one thing I would note, is that we would recommend changing the number of years for on track

to graduate from high school from four years to five
years because that is the metric that DOE actually
uses. So, regarding bills that are proposing new
reporting requirements, ACS, as I said, is committed
to maintaining transparency and the work that we do,
and we very much support the Council's efforts to
learn more about youth in foster care. And together,
we'd like to work closely with the Council to define
the parameters of these new reports so that we're
better able to provide the information that the
Council needs that will most effectively suit the
purpose of each of the bills. So, Intro 1199 would
require ACS to provide all youth in foster care ages
13 and older who reside with a foster parent an
annual survey which would ask questions about the
youth's experience in the foster home. The bill
would also require ACS to aggregate data from the
surveys, report it to the council and post it on our
website. ACS appreciates and shares the Council's
concern for the experience of older youth in foster
care, and we support the idea of doing a survey.
However, we'd like to work closely with you on the
survey methodology and some revised survey language.
There's a real art and science to doing surveys to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

make sure that you actually get the young people to respond that we want to respond and making sure that we actually have a statistically representative sample, and there's a number of different strategies that we can employ including technology, and so we really want to think with you about the structure and methodology as well as the substance of the survey. The other thing that I would mention just related to that is that some of the questions in the survey like whether a child has enough food and so forth, I just want to -- I do want to clarify that issues like that, if a child does not have enough food in a foster home, that is an SER reportable concern, right? so we do receive reports, you know, when that happens. I mean, those kinds of incidents in foster homes are relatively rare obviously and thankfully, but the vehicle for being notified of that obviously needs to be more immediate than I think this kind of survey would be defined to collect, right? Because if we know that somebody's not receiving food, I want to address that today. So we're happy to work with you on the survey, and we'd just like to talk with you about the methodology and the structure so that it gets what we all want and what would be helpful

2.2

2.3

24

25

for kids. Okay, I went off, you know, off track Into 1191 would require ACS to submit report on the 200 children who have spent the greatest length of time in care. The reports would include age, gender, race, sexual orientation, permanency plans, length of time in care, barriers to placement for each of these 200 children. ACS is fully committed to addressing the systemic issues that contribute to long stays in foster care, which is why we are implementing for example this major review of 3,000 cases with Casey Family Programs, and a number of other initiatives that we have going where we are looking at data, practice and business process identify where these barriers are. So, all of those efforts, really represent critical advances in the way that we're making changes in the child welfare system and what we would suggest on this bill is some more dialogue with the Council about the best ways to share information around systemic barriers to permanency. So we're happy to discuss that further. Intro 1196 requires ACS to report on permanency indicators for children in foster care. The annual reports would include the rate of abuse and neglect of children in foster care and the rate of recurring

abuse and neglect, the rate of children who achieve
permanence in certain time frames, the rate of
returns to foster care after discharge, the placement
stability rate and the rate of the children who are
absent without leave from care. So the majority of
this information is already in the MMR, and so we are
happy to discussion the Council what information
might be lacking, but we did a side by side, and
essentially all of the information with the exception
of maybe one and a half items is in the MMR. Intro
1190 requires ACS to report on the educational
stability of children in care. The reports would
include the percentage of children who remained in
their schools upon of origin upon entry into care,
those who remained after transferring foster homes,
the percentage of children in care who did not return
to their schools of origin, and then all of this
disaggregated by reasons that the determination was
made and average school attendance rates of children
in care. As we mentioned earlier, we are working
with DOE on updating our MOU on the data, but we
don't' currently receive ACS does not currently
receive data on school of origin. So we don't
currently have the capacity to track school of origin

and the best interest determination categories on a
system level as this information is documented in
case narratives. So we think there needs to be more
conversation and discussion about that. On the Foster
Care Taskforce, Intro 1192 creates a taskforce with
17 members that would develop and submit to the Mayor
and Speaker of the Council recommendations for
improving services for youth in care. While we
support the intention, clearly, of that, our concern
is with the legislation is that we don't want to
duplicate work that other agencies or taskforces are
currently doing, and we all know that this is one of
those challenges, but we would like to discuss this
taskforce with the Council so that we can figure out
an appropriate place for this attention given other
existing taskforces that are touching on this issue.
So, in closing, I want to thank you all for the
opportunity to discuss foster care with all of you
this morning. As always, we are happy to work with
the committee in our continuing efforts to improve
the system and provide services for the City's child
welfare involved youth, and we are very happy to take
your questions. Thank you.

\sim	
Ζ.	

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Commissioner. So we're going to I think have a lot

of questions, and I'm going to start off with a few.

I might jump around a little bit. I tend do that. I

6 go from one topic to another--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] We'll allow it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'll appreciate your indulgence. I wanted to start with a few issues around aging out. So, as the most recent data in 2015, 652 youth aged out and recent federal law prohibits youth under the age of 16 from having an APPLA goal.

JULIE FARBER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: However, according to our Local Law 46, 20 youth ages 14 to 15 had APPLA goals in 2015. Is ACS changing that to apply with the federal law, and do you have a number of current youth under the age of 16 that APPLA goals?

JULIE FARBER: So, yes, we have and we did change that. So I don't know exactly the time period the data that you have that you're referring to, but my understanding--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's been 2015, I guess, is the data that we were showing. JULIE FARBER: Right, you're right, but in

JOHN LEE: [interposing] Okay.

terms of the month, because--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: as I recall, when that was passed, we did an analysis and were 46 children who were age 14 and 15 who had an APPLA goals and we worked with all of the agencies that had those children to change those goals. So I believe all of those goals have bene changed, but I can confirm that and come back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I wanted to ask about-- I'll stay on APPLA for a second here. In our conversations with youth who have aged out or are preparing to age out, they have indicated that while independent living and housing subsidies are important, the number one goal that they have identified should always be to connect youth with families with-- even as-- while they're working with their providers on developing APPLA protocols that they-- that there ought to still be efforts underway, structured efforts to get them into a permanent family relationship.

1

JULIE FARBER: Absolutely.

3

4

and what practices are evolving or changing to put a

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What is ACS doing now

JULIE FARBER: So there are a number of

5

greater emphasis on that?

6

7 things that are happening around that. I mean, for

8

one, you know, we obviously discouraged APPLA goals

9

and sort of them, you know, the inability to give an

10

APPLA goals to a 14 or 15-year-old we view as a good

11

thing. And we're working across the system around

12

what we call family-finding initiatives, right? So,

13

several of our agencies have foundation grants and

are doing specialized work where they are looking

14

other agencies even that don't have foundation grants

15

16 into the lives of these young people who may be on

17

their face, you know, would say I have nobody, I have

18

no one in my life, but then it turns out that when

19

you really do a thorough review which can involve

20

social media and sorts of research. It turns out

21

that there is a coach, you know, who once was

22

connected to this child and who cared about this

23

Now, that might not always end up in a legal adoption

child and can you then re-engage with that person.

25

24

or a guardianship, but it can end up being an

informal permanent adult connection for the young
people. So, there's a lot of work and effort going
into that. In addition, we actually have a project
that is going to be launching in July to dive deeply,
and that's reflected in the implementation report to
really dive deeply into all of the young people with
APPLA goals and look at the data and look at what are
the pathways that young people take in getting to
APPLA and getting from APPLA out of the system, and
from those data analysis, we're going to be
developing additional supports and initiatives around
this population, but it's an extremely it's an
extremely important group to us, and while we at
the same time I'm very proud of all that we're
putting in place around education and employment, we
have a zillion things going in that regard, I agree
with you strongly that that is not enough, and that
at the same time we want to make sure that all young
people who leave care have a significant and
permanent connection to a loving adult.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, along those lines in 2015, 456 of the 652 identified somebody to be a permanent connection to a caring adult. Obviously, that's a-- there's a gap there of almost 200. Why is

2 that not all 652? In other words there are 200 and

3 that's a fairly high percentage then, you know,

4 almost a third of the youth that are aging out in

5 2015 did not have a connection to a caring permanent-

6 - a permanent caring adult. What's the why not, and-

- I guess the first question is why not?

JULIE FARBER: So, I mean, obviously I'd have to look in each of those cases, and you know, the nature of child welfare is also that each case and each family and each child is so unique, and so it's hard to draw conclusions, you know, across young people and families that have very different circumstances.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just to be clear, the law encourages youth to have-- that are aging out with an APPLA goal to have-- it encourages them to have a connection to a permanently caring adult, but not-- it does not require it, is that correct?

JULIE FARBER: I guess I don't know the answer to that at the top of my head, but I think from the practice of, you know, our practice is that we want that to happen for every young person leaving care.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] In terms of what a federal law requires it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How does -- so when we

spoke to young people who have aged out, this question we saw a lot of eye rolling going on around this issue, because in their experience their-- what we heard was a case worker said, you know, think of somebody, and you know, they just came up with a name, and they really -- whether or not the relationship was really there. And so my sense is that among those two-thirds that identified somebody, there's some smaller number of youth that are actually having that relationship in an ongoing fashion. And so my question is how does ACS evaluate the effectiveness of that protocol? Does ACS do quality assurance essentially and kind of go in and audit various agencies, how they've been going about doing it, and seeing whether or not that is maintained one year--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Whether there's really a permanent connection.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: two years, three years down the line?

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ANDREW WHITE: You're looking at one of 3 the most complicated pieces of social work in the entire child welfare business, which is how you take 4 a child who has experienced being cut off from their family and in care for a long period of time and 6 7 reconnect, and I don't know that there's any way to do quality assurance on that. What there is to do--8 first of all, to looking at these children in specific and the children who are aging out, we are 10 11 doing everything we can to reduce these caseloads, 12 which we have. We put all of this money in over the last two years, and it's going for the next three, so 13 14 every case planner in foster care has only 12 15 children that they're working with. It used to be 18 to 22. It means they can actually spend the time 16 17 with that young person. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Twelve is a 19

manageable number.

ANDREW WHITE: It is a very manageable number, you know, in our assessment, right? And--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Should be able to.

ANDREW WHITE: They ought to be able to, right? And so the technical support that we're giving now is at a level that was never in the system

2	before. As Julie mentioned, we have the 14 million
3	dollars in the Workforce Institute which just to
4	clarify a little bit, that's not just for the foster
5	care frontline. That's for the front line in foster
6	care, preventive and in Child Protective Services.
7	That is a it's a massive investment that never
8	existed before. There's also work that Julie will
9	talk about around senior practice consultants and
10	technical assistance that we're providing to the
11	agencies, and then on top of that we can talk some
12	point during this hearing about the whole quality
13	assurance and quality improvement system. But
14	another really critical point to your question, if
15	you don't break it, you don't need to fix it later.
16	So the key here is we don't take children into care
17	anymore unless there's no other option, right? And
18	so fewer than 2,500 families last year experienced
19	losing a child to foster care. That used to be, you
20	know, like you go back years there were 12,000 kids
21	coming into care back when I first got involved in
22	this business. So, that's one piece of it. The
23	other is if you don't put kids in residential care
24	unless they absolutely need it, which we don't, you
25	can maintain a family connection. You know, a third

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

of the kids in our system are with kin. So that relationship is critical. Another very large number of them are with adoptive parents and it takes time to get that through the system, longer than we would like, and we are pushing that down every year, but there are then the kids that you're talking about, the young people. I shouldn't even say kids, because a lot of them are significantly older than kids. They have lived through this period and this system when that was less common. There were more people going into residential, and some of these young people have been on wait lists for Developmental Disability Services or other state-funded programs, and they don't have the connections to families that they should. So our job is clearly to work with the providers to change that.

JULIE FARBER: But just to, you know, to answer your question about we do monitor the providers. So through our case record reviews that we do, they're called the PAM's reviews that ultimately become part of the score card. There are absolutely questions in there that look at kids with APPLA goals and whether they're getting the right

_

services, whether they have permanent connections to adults. We absolutely are monitoring that.

ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, I mean we have a very— an extensive monitoring system, I guess and significantly— statistically significant samples of case record reviews for every foster provider program that tell us these things. They're not able through documentation, though, to get it the kind of substance I think you're talking about, and that's where the technical—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

ANDREW WHITE: assistance comes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to, I
think, I'm going to ask one more question about
APPLA, and then I'm going to ask a couple questions
about housing alternatives with my colleagues, but
I'm probably come back later to APPLA. But one thing
that's come up, and I imagine you guys have seen the
recommendations that were as part of a-- in the 2014,
FPWA did a report called keeping foster youth off the
streets. They have a list of recommendations. A
thing that came up in those recommendations-- we've
heard a quite a bit, and it's common sense actually-aftercare services for youth aging out. Not--

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

obviously the formal guardianship relationship 2 3 between ACS and the youth in foster care will cease 4 to exist, but there still needs to likely be some 5 kind of relationship, and what we know is that some agencies that have the ability to privately fundraise 6 are able to do aftercare with private money. So they 8 know as agencies. They're, you know, they're mission-driven agencies and presumably they're effective agencies, that they're putting resources 10 11 into that type of programming. Does ACS do aftercare services for youth who have aged out? If not, why 12 13 and is this something that we should be looking at to 14 put public resources into this, because, you know, if 15 agencies didn't think it was valuable they wouldn't

JULIE FARBER: So, it's an important question and I appreciate you asking it. So yes, there are several things underway. You know, and could it benefit from being expanded? I think the answer to that is yes, but there's a few things that are significant that we provide. So for example, for young people who choose to age out at 18 and then, you know, six months later, a year later, two years later realize that they maybe could use some help.

be privately fundraising for it.

2	We have a unit that's headed by my colleague over			
3	there, Assistant Commissioner Sabine Chery, Older			
4	Youth Services that provides a range of services to			
5	young people helping connect them to resources,			
6	education programs, housing, if necessary coming back			
7	into foster care if they need that support again. S			
8	that exists. There's also resources available			
9	through our partners, New Yorkers for Children,			
10	though a number of different programs that support			
11	young people who have aged out of foster care. HRA			
12	has also opened an office, a special office for			
13	youth, who have left foster care and so that they			
14	don't have to go through the broad door with			
15	everybody else. They can go to the specialized			
16	office. You're going to say?			
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well, but is there an			
18	opportunity for them to go back to their provider, to			

opportunity for them to go back to their provider, to their agency and get these services directly through them? So, can somebody go back through a foster care agency that they had aged out with and say, you know, I need some resources—

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: and that will then

25 link them up with--

19

20

21

22

23

2.2

2.3

from.

2	as you've said have services available, I also
3	understand that there's a new center planned with HRZ
4	through the Young Women's Initiative that is going to
5	be for youth aging out. I just learned about this
6	yesterday, so I don't know about all of the details
7	of that. And then there are organizations like The
8	Door that also runs the Bronx Youth Center in the
9	South Bronx that are open for young people aging out
10	that are open to young people who have aged out of
11	either the foster care or juvenile justice systems,
12	and so I think there's some there's a good baseline

of services that are happening that we can build

move to housing for a couple questions and then I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues. Of the 652 youth that aged out in 2015, 229 went into NYCHA.

Only 48 utilized the ACS Housing Subsidy. According to our data, between zero and 10 went into supportive housing. So, I know you mentioned in your testimony that that—there seems to be an increase in the number of youth going into supportive housing. It didn't happen in 2015, because only between zero and 10 of 652 kids that aged out—one of the three

2	pillars of resources for housing essentially was
3	statistically unutilized, and we're talking now of if
4	less than 300, because 229 plus 48 plus zero to 10 is
5	less than 300, less than half were in received some
6	type of housing of some kind. Where did the others
7	go? And do you track the youth that are couch
8	surfing? Because I know that we have data that shows
9	that, you know, of the 180 youth that aged out
10	between October $1^{\rm st}$ and December $31^{\rm st}$ of 2015, eight
11	went into the DHS system. So that's over four
12	percent, over four percent of the youth that are
13	aging out are going to the DHS system. DHS is last
14	resort, last resort. A young person that is 19 years
15	old going to the DHS system, that's like, you know,
16	they have no other options, and you know, we there
17	are different definitions of homeless and McKinney-
18	Vento quantifies or qualifies homeless as doubled-up,
19	and I'm wondering how many of those over 300 that
20	don't, that aren't in NYCHA and we'll talk about
21	NYCHA in a minute aren't in NYCHA and aren't using
22	the subsidy and clearly are not in supportive
23	housing. Of those, how many are sleeping on somebody
24	else's couch?

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE ANDREW WHITE: We'll have to after the 2 3 hearing figure out where you got your numbers because those don't match ours--4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] PMMR. ANDREW WHITE: remotely. The supportive 6 7 housing? No, we have 300 children went into 8 supportive housing last year, 302 actually. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's--ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] So, we'll 10 11 have to clarify those numbers after the hearing. 12 That's New York, New York Three Supportive Housing.

So, that's a big number, a big difference there.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Big difference, okay.

ANDREW WHITE: The homeless numbers -- no child is by law allowed to leave housing, you know, or by policy, allowed to leave foster care for shelter with the numbers we report to the City of if they do show up in shelter within a year, but reality is a lot of those touch for one night we want to know because we can then work with them and get them back into some kind of housing. They're-- I mean, it's very clear that homelessness is not an appropriate discharge. In fact, children leaving care immediately have to -- I mean, when they leave care

mean, I think there's a lot of--

I'm assuming the numbers go up.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ANDREW WHITE: I mean, we can't-- we

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I mean,

aren't looking at the three year point, and I think one of the great challenges in New York as you know is affordability of housing, and the young people who are in our system come from the same communities that are experiencing very high rates of homelessness. So, this is a big issue when you're talking about young people over age 21 who often have families of their own who may end up in shelters three years after they left foster care, the characteristics of those families, the experience of those young people and their children is a lot like other children in their communities, and this is something that this Administration has been intensely committed to in terms of opening up shelter as widely as it needs to be opened, and it's creating supportive housing and investing in affordable housing. These are really big problems when you're talking about young adults.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you--

ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] And those with children of their own.

yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Whether it's, you

2.

apartment?"

_ _

know, verifiable data is another question, but we would know anecdotally whether youth that were. You know, if somebody's caseload is only 12, they should be able to maintain a relationship with the youth that have aged out, at least for a couple of years, I would think, you know, at least not lose track of them. If they're out there couch surfing, they should be able to check in and say hey. You know, call them every once every six months and say, "Are you living somewhere? Do you have your own

JULIE FARBER: I think this issue is of huge concern to us and there's like a few prongs to it, right? One is that education and employment are the best routes, right, for young people to be doing well, right? The best way for this to happen is that they graduate from high school, maybe go to college or not, and have jobs where they can, you know, afford housing, right? So we're trying to take it on that track, and then the second track is we need more housing in New York City, and the Mayor has made that a priority. And then the third track I would say is the like starting back from the beginning, right? We

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 58
2	want to you know, we're making reforms such that,
3	you know, our goal is that there are going to be
4	fewer kids that every land in the APPLA zone in the
5	first place, and so all those three things are on
6	their tracks.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to turn it
8	over to my colleague Letitia James, our Public
9	Advocate, but I just want to say before I do that,

that ACS report on youth in foster care 2015 shows supportive housing as an asterisk and the asterisk says the number of youth in cells [sic] with less than 10 youth are not shown to protect anonymity.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, that's--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yeah, that must be in--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] NYCHA's 229, ACS Housing Subsidy 48, Section 8 voucher asterisks, supportive housing asterisk, and adult residential care asterisk.

JULIE FARBER: So we'll come back to you with all of that because we know that we have a few hundred kids in those categories.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I'll turn it over to my colleague Letitia James.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	JULIE	FARBER:	Thank	you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Public Advocate for questions.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. First, let me thank you in regards to the

bill that I've introduced, Intro 1197, which would

expand certain age disaggregation reporting. I thank

you for your willingness to discuss this with your

partners at HRA and Department of Homeless Services

about the possibility and the mechanisms that might

be available to implement this bill.

JULIE FARBER: Absolutely.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. As an aside, does the Department of Homeless Service notify ACS whenever a child which is exiting foster care show up at the in-take center?

 $\,$ JULIE FARBER: Yes, we do. We have a system for that.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And what happens?

What is the process for extending foster care for that particular child for getting that child assistance, or that young person I should say?

JULIE FARBER: Yeah, so we have staff I think in Sabine Chery's unit that reach out and work

those families that come in that have been involved

that there if they're under age 21, then we do find

daily.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

market.

increase to 600. I don't know if my colleague Jill has an update on where it stands.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: No update?

ANDREW WHITE: No update.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And what is the updating regards to New York, New York Four, the supportive housing initiative in Albany, does anyone know? The likelihood that it's going to pass before the end of session?

back to you on that for sure.

1

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay, thank you.

In your testimony, Commissioner, you stated that
obtaining one's birth certificate and getting
adoption subsidies was one of the reasons why it was
slowing down permanency planning for young people in
the foster care system. Can you further elaborate on

8 that?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JULIE FARBER: Yeah. So the system used to be on the birth certificate side that all 27 of the foster care agencies would go individually themselves to DOHMH and deal with the bureaucratic process themselves. So, in looking at that we realized that wasn't efficient, wasn't a good business process, so we centralized that at ACS, and so now ACS handles all of the birth certificate requests, and so that has streamlined the process and made it much simpler for all the reasons that you can imagine, because it's just ACS dealing with DOHMH on behalf of all of our agencies. So that's like one of the small business processes that can make such a difference. On the adoption subsidy issues, so we took a look at ACS's adoption subsidy process, and it had like 28 steps to it, and we realized, you know, this could be significantly streamlined, it could be

S

Right.

_ _ _

made much easier, and so we streamlined it over the last year from, you know, 28 steps to 12 steps or something--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]

JULIE FARBER: like that. And as a result of that, I mean, this is one of these for anyone who's a business process nerd as I am, it was a very satisfying change to make, because by simplifying the process, retraining the foster care agencies who were very thankful for our simplified process, we went from processing only four percent within 30 days literally to over a few months processing 70 percent within 30 days. The other thing just to give you another example of business process changes that are boring, but you know, can really make a difference and shave time off of care, is we realized that in some cases adoption subsidies were being filed, you know, right when a TPR is filed, because you can and you should, right?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Right.

JULIE FARBER: But in some cases, for whatever reason, just business process, you know, was

Court are the lack of continuous calendaring of

2 trials and multiple continuances and so forth, and
3 I--

2.2

2.3

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] And the continuances are related to just Counsel not being ready, or?

JULIE FARBER: The continuances are related to a number of things. I mean, sometimes it's related to, you know, any one of the parties not being ready and other times it's related to court calendaring issues. And so this is a nut that, you know, we'd love to be able to crack.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: What I'm hearing from my friends at Legal Aid and Legal Services, part of the problem is the fact that individuals are just not getting the mandated services that they are required, you know, by statute required to receive.

What I'm hearing is individuals who are in let's say Brooklyn in your contracted agencies they don't offer certain services and they have to go out-of-borough, and that's a major problem. So, the question is to what extent are your contracted agencies, do they have all of the requisite necessary services to address the needs of litigants?

1	

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

_ _

23

24

25

ANDREW WHITE: So, in a reasonable-- I mean, not -- ninety-seven percent of our cases, the court determines there have been reasonable efforts. That's a high number I think given, you know, the kinds of things Legal Aid and Legal Services are talking about do happen, no doubt about it. And we are working closely with them in fact to learn how to address that. The number of reports being filed by the providers in court is going up, up, up steadily, which is a big improvement, but one of the things that Legal Aid and Legal Services can tell you too is that this state and city have real respect for due process for parents. New York is one of the few places where parents have institutional representation that actually allows them the chance to fight for their rights and participate in the court process to keep their families together, and that's a good thing. We support that. something that many of us at ACS were involved with

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Sure.

ANDREW WHITE: So, the result of that--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] And

also--

creating years ago.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] is that it takes time.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Just to let you know, I was -- family reunification is something that I've worked on for a very long time. As you know, my background, former Counsel at [sic] Children and Families in Albany. So I know a little bit about this and about kinship care and subsidies and all of that. And so family unification is really one I want to focus on, and what I keep hearing is again mandated services, problems associated with mandated services. I hear that over and over again, and so whatever we can do, perhaps additional resources to contracted agencies to provide mandated services, we really need to focus on this. And from time to time I too go into court and offer my service pro-bono and still a problem.

ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, I don't think there's-- there's no doubt that making sure families are getting services they need is fundamental, and in fact, that's probably the single most important driving force behind bringing those caseloads down.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yes.

children are being placed with family members because 2.2 2.3 you know the law requires that ACS engage in due diligence and inquire with regards to the 24 25 availability of family members, and I do know that

there was some of these workers who were just removing a child without any inquiry.

JULIE FARBER: Yeah.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, I'm glad your numbers are up. What about unaccompanied minors, when a child who has an unaccompanied minor status comes under the jurisdiction of ACS, whether as a result of a sponsorship fall-out or runaway youth, what kind of efforts are being made by ACS to meet the special needs of these-- to meet the special circumstances of unaccompanied minors?

Very, very rare, but certainly in these circumstances we bring all the resources to bear that we can. I mean, if the minor truly has no connections in the community, then, you know, we're looking for a foster home or supportive placement for that child, and putting in place all the services that, you know, they would otherwise be entitled to and trying to build a community around that child if it is truly a child who like literally has no one, and so then it's our job and our function to build a community around that child and to figure how we're going to support that child, but fortunately that's quite rare.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

0.4

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And I-- so I want to thank the Chair for indulging me, but I don't-- and I unfortunately, this is not meant to attack you or to engage, you know, surprise "gotcha" tactics,

completed by the Comptroller, Comptroller Stringer, and it was dated to day and it says-- and the header

but I was just forwarded and audit that was just

says as follows: "Comptroller Stringer Audit,

inconsistent, incomplete and shoddy investigations at

read, just the header, "Even after 30 deaths under

ACS put abused children at risk." It goes on to

ACS's watch in the last decade, this agency still

can't do its job. ACS continues to put children in

harm's way and required managerial reviews for two-

thirds of the most urgent abuse cases were late or

incomplete, leaving children in potentially dangerous

situations. In mandatory meetings to assess if

children were in danger were late and in one instance

case workers $\operatorname{didn'} t$ meet with a child for over a

month." That's just the header. That's really not

the substance. All of you can go online. It's

online right now. It was just forwarded to me I guess

from someone from the audience. I know you haven't

had an opportunity to read it, but I would urge you

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

obviously to read it. Clearly, a lot of the allegations that are part of this audit were part of the litigation that we put forward, and as you know for capacity reasons we were not able to overcome our motion; however, the state has settled with us and we are looking forward to working with the state of New York under leadership of Governor Cuomo to appoint the monitor over ACS.

ANDREW WHITE: We would just encourage people to read our response to that audit, which in fact I can read that right now. But at its heart are 25-- this is about DCP practice and it looks at 25 cases, and all 25 of those cases, those children are safe and in stable situations, which was not acknowledged in this report. The ACS Division of Child Protection Staff investigates over 60,000 reports of child abuse and neglect every year involving more than 80,000 children. This report looked at a sample of 25, which seems to be a habit of oversight organizations looking at small samples that are biased. ACS has among the lowest child protective caseloads in the United States at 10.2 cases per CPS worker. Our 2015 average caseload remained under our target of 12 per CPS worker. Ιn

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

the coming year we're hiring quite a few new Child
Protective Specialists, 475. We've created new child
protective offices, and we've created the training,
and we've created export. Okay, in the coming year,
ACS intends to hire an additional 475 Child
Protective Specialists and 25 Child Protective
Specialist Supervisor Level I. ACS has created two
new Child Protective Offices in the Bronx and
Brooklyn, the boroughs that handle the largest number
of child protection cases and has added over 300
child protective staff there. The new funding on
training has allowed ACS to create 14 million dollar
Workforce Institute to train over 5,000 frontline
child welfare staff across the City, which we spoke
about, both ACS staff and the contract providers.
The institute has already trained 3,000 front line
staff and supervisors since January. ACS is engaged
in Casey Family Programs to conduct a full analysis
of the agency's policies and procedures for child
safety practices and decision making. They will
analyze selected data and conduct case record reviews
to determine how the actual practice compares with
the agency's policies and practices and make
recommendations. The de Blasio Administration has

and Council Member Salamanca has to run back to the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Bronx, but he wanted to make a statement regarding 3 his legislation.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I just want to make a quick statement. I am proud to join together with a number of my colleagues who each introduced common sense measures that will go along with helping to support a very vulnerable population, youth in our foster care Specifically, I am grateful to be able to system. sponsor Resolution 1073 with Council Member Stephen Levin which urges our New York City Legislature to pass A77568 [sic]. This bill makes very simple but much needed changes to the child welfare housing subsidy utilized by youth ages 18 to 21 who have aged out of the foster care system but are still considered very vulnerable and susceptible to falling into homelessness. That's why nearly 30 years ago the State Legislature created the subsidy, which currently amounts to 300 dollars a month that can be utilized to help pay for housing. However much has changed in 30 years and as we all know it's much more expensive today to live in the City of New York. a result, this legislation aims to do three things. Doubling the 300 dollars a month subsidy to 600

2	dollars a month to help youth better find safe and
3	clean affordable housing. Coincidentally, if the 300
4	subsidies is adjusted for inflation using the United
5	States Department of Labor Consumer Price Index
6	Inflation Calculator, 300 in 1988 has the same buying
7	power as 602 dollars and 11 cents today. Number two,
8	it allows for youth receiving the subsidy to now have
9	roommates. When passed in 1988, the law prohibited
10	those receiving the subsidies to live with others,
11	which we all know is incredibly difficult to do today
12	in New York City as a young person. This legislation
13	will repeal that requirement. And number three, the
14	legislation raises the age from 21 to 24 for those
15	eligible to receive the subsidy. Essentially,
16	because it is believe that there are still many young
17	persons between the ages of 22 to 24 that could
18	benefit from this program. With these kids already
19	highly susceptible to drugs and gang activities and
20	violence, it is absolute it is crucial that we
21	support them in any way we can to keep them on track
22	to success. Quality affordable housing is crucial to
23	this success, and I urge all my colleagues to sign or
24	to Resolution 1073. My only question is, does your
25	agency support this resolution?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2	JULIE FARBER: We absolutely support the
3	intent of it, and we support the increase from the
4	300 to the 600 dollars. That would be obviously
5	tremendous for our young people. In terms of the
6	raising of the age, I understand that we're working
7	with OMB around that.
8	ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, I think another

valuable piece to it is to allow young people to live with roommates, because we all know that's how we did it when we were that age. You can't be required to somehow find your own apartment for a 300 dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Now, is there any advocacy from your agency to the state so that it can pass this resolution?

ANDREW WHITE: Yes.

JULIE FARBER: Yes.

ANDREW WHITE: And from City Hall as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright, thank

you very much, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Council Member Salamanca. Council Member Donovan
Richards for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you,

Chair. Just a few questions. So, on-- so obviously

process.

J

JULIE FARBER: Right. I don't know the number of that off the top of my head, but it's not-COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]

Would you project thousands, or?

JULIE FARBER: No. It's not a large number. The other way that youth also have the opportunity if they have complaints about their foster homes is obviously through their legal advocates, some of whom are sitting in the audience.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So, you know, one of the things we heard was they were afraid of retaliation--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: in particular.

So how does ACS, you know, how do you finesse [sic] that? How do you ensure that if there are complaints that there won't be retail-- retaliatory actions?

JULIE FARBER: Well, we absolutely don't tolerate retaliation, and we actually just sent out a notice to all of the providers, sort of a whistleblower notice that was focused towards staff, but sort of giving staff at a foster care agency a pathway at ACS of staff at a foster care are concerned about something happening at that agency

2.2

2.3

that they want to report to ACS that they don't feel is being addressed by the agency's management. We have an internal monitor. Her name is Denise Padia [sp?], and there's a process through which staff can report those issues, and clearly, you know, we have no tolerance for retaliation against whistleblowers and if we learned that that's happening we will intervene and, you know, take all, you know, appropriate steps to address that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. So, I'm

13 years old. I have an issue with my foster parent.

I'm afraid of retaliation. You know, what advice

would you give to a young person in foster care?

ANDREW WHITE: If you don't trust your

case planner and speak to your lawyer--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yeah.

ANDREW WHITE: That's-- if there is an issue anybody in foster care should now their rights as far as-- some of the things on your survey, for example, as Julie said earlier, are fundamental requirements of care, that you have access to food, for example. I mean, that's, you know,-- and that you feel safe. If a child does not feel safe in care and they can't get a response from their case planner

or don't feel safe talking to their case planner and can't reach their lawyer, they can call the SCR.

They can call our Office of Advocacy. The SCR, once a call goes through the State Central Register and comes to us, we are obligated to investigate and

7 mandated to investigate that call.

2.2

2.3

council Member Richards: So, when they enter foster care, there's some sort of Bill of Rights given to them? You know, is there something tangible in their hand given to them to ensure that they are aware of the information that you're given?

JULIE FARBER: There is.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So we're positive every foster child, every-- so when they testify today they're going to say that we know who to contact in the case.

JULIE FARBER: I mean, obviously, I don't know every single one who will testify today, but they— there is a Foster Care Bill of Rights, and that is part of what they're supposed receive from all of the foster care agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And what's the penalty if per say there's a foster parent who is taking half their check or something of that nature

Division of Child Protective staff, but we would

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

84

1

-

/

2.2

2.3

require that that licensing violation be addressed immediately and we require our provider agency to follow up on it and we check on that within 48 hours. Usually they are almost always—they are resolved very quickly. If they're not, then we issue a corrective action.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So what proactive measures are being taken by particularly the agencies, in particular to ensure that, you know, we're not depending and I'm-- while I'm happy we're going to pass this survey bill, you know, how do we ensure that we're being proactive in reaching out to our young people within the system--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Right, so there's--

all bottled up. And obviously, if I'm going through that, I'm not going to have trust in anyone, right?

I mean, you know, I need a roof over my head. I may not want to mention it to my case manager, right?

Because if I'm removed, I'm scared of the next place I would go, right? Or perhaps I got comfortable in my school setting. I got comfortable in a neighborhood. So how do we ensure that we're taking

-

proactive measures to ensure that the foster care parents who are taking these young people in are actually treating them like family?

JULIE FARBER: Yeah, so those are all important questions. So there's a couple different answers to that. I mean, one is through the foster parent recruitment and screening process. You know, there's a whole number of requirements in order to become a foster parent, and so--

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]
But we know some people do it for the check, right?
So, you know, I mean, just is what I hear.

that, you know, that's a perception and probably a reality in some cases. I think it's probably a minority. But so number one is the screening and recruitment process, you know, as a check on that issue. Number two, every kid in foster care has a case planner who is supposed to be visiting with that child, you know, one or more times a month and visiting with the home. Children are also in school. They're in therapy. You know, the case planner is checking in with the teachers and the therapists and coordinating, and you know, so there's a few possible

2	inputs of information about how this child is doing.
3	Number three, the child has an attorney and probably
4	a social worker at the Attorney's Organization that
5	they're working with and there's hopefully good
6	communication there about how things are going for
7	that child and with the foster home. Number four,
8	the case is being, you know, reviewed in court every
9	six months. And number five, we at ACS have a, you
10	know, part of our assessments and measures of the
11	providers includes reviews of foster home
12	recertification files to make sure that foster homes
13	have all the proper recertifications, right? And
14	when you're doing a recertification, part of the
15	requirements of recertification is that foster care
16	agencies when they're recertifying a home, in
17	addition to make sure that the foster parents have
18	all the updated clearances and medicals and fire
19	extinguishers and all of that, part of that process
20	is also talking with a young person. So, there's a
21	number of both sort of human to human, you know,
22	strategies where, you know, we're in touch with
23	what's happening in a foster home as well as a sort
24	of, you know, co-qi [sic], you know, quality
25	improvement processes.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. Can you just go through 1199? So, how would you strengthen the survey, the survey in the bill a little bit? ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, I think, you know, first and foremost I want to say that we don't want to-- people to leave this meeting with a perception

that somehow the foster parents of New York City are-

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing] Of course, of course. And that's why I said some.

ANDREW WHITE: This is-- it's very easy to demonize foster parents based on a small sample of critiques. And while we truly, truly value individual stories, those are-- we do deep case reviews every day of kids in foster care, of young adults who have aged out of families experienced in our system. So we learn a lot from anecdotes, but the really important thing to remember is the law of small numbers. A small sample size is going to give you a very biased perspective. You've got to look at the system as a whole, and the vast majority of our foster parents are doing--

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANDREW WHITE: a very good job as are our foster care case planners, and we value them and I think one of the issues we need is we need more really good foster parents. That's the core to our Home Away from Home initiative that's coming up. need people to really see the value and importance of this work. The pay is not good. It's not like it's a It's not like you're going to make money doing This is work that people do out of the goodness of their heart and the value that they place on helping raise a child and helping that child move back home. I mean, it takes somebody who's willing to collaborate with a family, another family when a child is going to be returned home. So that's the gist of that. On the survey, we've got a few things in progress that we want to figure out how to make sync with your proposal. We're awaiting the research board approval on a scientific anonymous survey of our foster youth by Columbia University that will tell us about their experiences in care, including gender identity and many of the issues outlined in your survey, but in a very different way, more a combination of strength-based questions, questions

about their personal experience and characteristics

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of their own history, and it's going to be done with a randomized sample of young people in our system as opposed to being put out as a questionnaire to people who may have complaints. CUNY is also developing a survey about the educational experiences of young people in foster care with an eye toward college and career readiness and educational supports. And so those two are going to be really valuable tools. When we-- when I look at the legislation that's been proposed, I think there's two things. One is to understand the experiences of legitimate sample of kids in our system, right? That's really important and that we want to do with a randomized high quality survey. The other is to get at these operational questions like some of the things you brought up about kids not having access to the refrigerator or kids being locked out, those kinds of things. got to find another way to get that kind of information, right? That -- first of all, we do require our agencies to field a survey every year of their young people in care. Problem with that is it's not aggregated up to the system, and I've heard from Councilman Levin and others that there's some doubt about all of them doing it, and we think that's

true. If they have a Council on Accreditation, they are all doing it, but not all of our agencies have that accreditation. But what we have been talking about just recently is developing an approximately that could be-- and we've talked to a couple of potential developers who could do this, is to create an app that provides young people the opportunity to give feedback to other young people and staff and ACS about their foster home, but to pose the questions in a way that is not like giving a list of negatives, but asking really substantive questions about would you recommend this home for children of--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Right.

ANDREW WHITE: your age. And a series like that, but leave room for comments if somebody has like urgent things they want to communicate. So, these are things that are on the table. I think we got to be real clear on what are the goals here and how to get to those different goals. So, I think it can be a fruitful discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

Thank you. I look forward to continuing to work with you on this.

JULIE FARBER: We do too.

2.2

2.3

_	

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Council Member Richards. Council Member Grodenchik?
Before you start, Council Member, I just want to
acknowledge all the people that are in the overflow
room. There are a lot of folks that are in the
overflow room and a lot of folks that joined us at
our press conference earlier. I feel bad because
they joined us at our press conference and then they
came here and the room was full. So, everybody over
in the overflow room, we know you're there and we
thank you for joining us today.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning. Good morning, everybody. Good morning to the overflow room. How many Child Protective Specialists are there currently at ACS?

ANDREW WHITE: Well, Division of Child Protection on a whole is more than 3,000 staff. So how many of those are CPS, we'll have to get back to you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Well, my math tell me there has to be-- if there are 10,000 kids in foster care, and that number is probably a little high, and you've got 12 per case--

\sim	

ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] No, no, those 3 are-- you're conflating two different things.

4

talking--

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing] Okay, I'm here to be educated.

7

8

10

11

12

6

ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, no, that's cool. There are more than 50,000 investigations over a year. That's what the Child Protective Specialists do. They handle the investigations. The foster care case planners are the ones who at our provider agencies, they are the ones who handle the cases of children in foster care. They're far, far fewer of

14

15

16

17

those.

13

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. Well, there'd have to be at least 833 of them if you're going to hit your average.

18

19

20

ANDREW WHITE: Yeah. Well, there's 27 foster care agencies, and they each have units of foster care case planners and supervisors who handle all of these cases.

21

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And how do those people travel about this very large city generally?

long distances, but we have a good transit system.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: It's a long

2	

3

4

1

ANDREW WHITE: They are ideally allocated by geography. As we know over time that has not fully taken hold. Some case planners have to travel

5

6 Some of them have cars.

that.

7

8

trip and Council Member Richards and I can attest to

9

ANDREW WHITE: Indeed.

11

12

10

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I tried to drive in today, but took the subway.

13

ANDREW WHITE: But our agencies are based in the boroughs. I mean--

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]

14

15

16 They are based in the boroughs, I understand that,

17

but our-- you kind of alluded to my next question.

18

They are bundled by geography because, you know, I

19

understand there may be cases where you have, you

20

know, two children in foster care on the same block

21

in different homes, and you might have them miles

22

apart, and I'm just wondering what efforts are made $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

23

by ACS to ensure that those agencies are getting the

24

maximum bang for the buck by bundling. Is there

25

requirement for bundling?

1

JULIE FARBER: So, I think--

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
Because it hate to think that somebody's going from
Tottenville to Fort Totten, which is as far as you
can go in the City of New York.

JULIE FARBER: So, this relates to the work that I mentioned that we're doing under this Home Away from Home initiative where we've done the last six month this intensive data diagnostics and looked at every map and where every foster home is located and where agency is located, and one of the things, you know, that we've seen is that even though the agencies are "located in certain boroughs," many agencies do have foster homes all over the City, right? And so that raises the issues that you're talking about, about sort of efficiency and travel, and if you have a case planner who has a case in the Bronx and a case in Staten Island and so forth. so part of the goal of the initiative that we're going to be launching this year to recruit a substantial new number of foster homes will also be linked to trying to figure out some type of hub model, right, where even if there are different agencies working in the same community district, can

we figure out a way that those agencies come together to support all the foster parents in that community district even if those foster parents are working with a few different foster care agencies. So these are the things that we're in the process of figuring out now, and then the ultimate goal of that is really so that it translates into children staying in their communities.

ANDREW WHITE: And geography is a top priority, one of the top priorities when a placement is being made, particularly from the perspective of a young person. We want them to be placed close to their community of origin and their school of origin.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I would think school of origin would be-- we've heard some testimony at a previous hearing about that, and I've seen unfortunately kids that are placed in the homeless shelters in my district which are, you know, they're-- you know, some of them are literally across the street from Nassau County, that's how far out they are, and the kids are there for a few days and then they're sent back somewhere, and it's-- you know, these are very young children, talking about kids as low as kindergarten. So it's not a really

everybody who's come to testify as well. So we'll

try to keep the remaining questions succinct. I hope to ask succinct questions, and you know, hope there are succinct answers, too. The DOI report references the foster care system. Obviously, it's-- a lot of it has to do with the Child Welfare System. Two things that jumped out at me with the foster care system, and this is somewhat counterintuitive I think based on a lot of the feedback that we get around--you know, and the broader trends of prioritizing reunification. That's the stated policy of the City of New York. However, it is-- DOI found that ACS did not move to terminate parental rights when they were required to under, I think, federal law. Is that correct?

JULIE FARBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In their finding, the percentage of children for whom the termination of parental rights petitions were filed in a timely fashion, I think, under federal law or state law.

Sorry, pursuant to state law, excuse me, and ACS policy, 17 months of being in a foster or kin setting was 18 percent for FY 13, 18 percent for FY 14, and 17 percent for FY 15. Can you speak to that finding

2.2

2.3

clear that a significant majority of children with a

goal of adoption are placed in pre-adoptive homes

24

other states--

2.2

2.3

ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: but that's the system we have in New York, and the 17-month timeframe is also the system that we have in New York. So, it's kind of it's all of a statewide legal requirement, and within ACS policy, right?

ANDREW WHITE: Well, it's an aspiration in the law. The--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's an aspiration, not a requirement.

ANDREW WHITE: Right, and they cannot file that TPR if there are compelling circumstances not to file, which is often the case. One of our challenges is getting the compelling circumstances properly documented. So that's what we're saying when the court is acknowledging that reasonable efforts have been taken in 97 percent of the cases, that's because the court is acknowledging that there are either these compelling circumstances or the process is moving ahead as it should. Whether that is landing in the documentation and the statistic that we provided to DOI is another matter.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Another one of the findings in the DOI report, this somewhat speaks to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

concern that I have that we have not put forward legislation on. I considered putting forward legislation on, but the issue of ACS agency oversight over foster care agencies. The concern that I have, just to spell it out, just make it for public record, is you know, it seems like it's a bit of a roll of the dice for a kid going into the foster care system whether they're going to be with a really good agency or not so good agency, with a really good case planner or a not so good case planner, and in talking to youth that have been in the foster care system, you know, some of them had good experiences and some have really bad experiences. And the issue that that raises to me is where is the-- what type of oversight are we doing? How is that oversight made available, the findings of that oversight made available to the public? Where are our standards across the board? What does ACS, as an oversight and contracting agency or the ultimate responsibility for these children, what are you doing for these children? What are you doing to ens -- and it kind of goes back to like quality assurance? What are you doing to ensure that every child has -- is getting with roughly the same level at the same access to care in service?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANDREW WHITE: Absolutely. So, under this Administration we came in-- I've been there two years today. Over the last two years--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Congratulations.

ANDREW WHITE: Thank you. ACS has thoroughly overhauled its provider monitoring and evaluation system by implementing what's called Collaborative Quality Improvement. We have structured continuous improvement for every provider program. We've also been revising the provider score card evaluation tool so that -- and the PAMS, which is a provider agency monitoring system. These are data collection systems, one of which looks strongly at outcomes. The other is pulling data from the case records and doing a deep analysis of a statistically valid sample of case records in foster care and preventive services. These are all pulled together into this quality improvement process where we are working closely with every agency program, and every one of those programs has an improvement plan based on what we find in the data and when we talk through it with the agency leadership and supervisors. And what we're finding is, or what we, you know, what we

went into this as a premise is every single agency
can improve. There's not an organization in the
world frankly that shouldn't be doing constant
improvement. So there premise there is there's
always something you can find in that data that
points to something that needs to be done better,
whether it's a process of supervision or visiting, or
whatever it is in foster care system that may be not
up to the highest possible level. So we're focused
on that. The alongside of that, when there is a
situation where a provider is not up to the standards
that are required of them, they will be put on
heightened monitoring, and right now there is one
agency on heightened monitoring. Others have come
off recently. In fact, in late 2014 we closed one
agency foster care program because it's the results
simply were not there. Corrective actions happen at
times as well. So, there is a very strong process in
place. That said, you are always going to get these
anecdotal stories and those are really important.
We're not discounting them, but we use

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah,

absolutely.

./

_

2.2

ANDREW WHITE: primarily this aggregate data that can show us very clearly. And through scorecard we're owning it and honing it so that it's focused on outcomes and practices that align with those outcomes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And the question that

I went into this, you know, in terms of crafting

legislation was how do we get that in-- what level is

that information relevant to the public and

appropriate to be out there in the public? Right

now, the scorecard is not made available to the

public, is that right?

ANDREW WHITE: Yeah, well part of the reason for that is because it is a quality improvement tool, and if any of you have worked in quality improvement you know it has to be a process that is done with trust, and you know, I--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right, nobody wants to be exploit--

ANDREW WHITE: used to be a reporter and a researcher, and I always wanted every bit of information I could get. But the reality is once something becomes public it changes its--

dynamic, right. We have tabloids in New York City
that would-- that, you know, make a lot of sensation
out of stuff like this, so I'm sensitive to that.

ANDREW WHITE: So, what I would say is

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] The

like what we've been thinking about going forward given that, you know, it's also-- the scorecard itself is something that has evolved year after year after year, and since we've been there we've been making really dramatic changes to it to make it stronger and more focused on results.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, but the point is that it's not an arbitrary measure, and--

ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's not an arbitrary measure. I'm trying to wrestle with this. From a public policy perspective, to what extent should the public know what you're knowing?

ANDREW WHITE: Right, I mean, I think there's a version of it that could be created conceivably for public use, but it's not like a Health Department letter grade on a restaurant, you know?

out of that 652 that aged out in 2015, 300 of them

didn't go into supportive housing, because there

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] If not

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That is the--

JULIE FARBER: [interposing] If not the

parents.

parents.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That is the norm.

That is absolutely the norm.

JULIE FARBER: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And we are denying-we are offering -- on the one hand we are offering an option of an M-zero [sic] status for youth aging out of foster care to get into NYCHA, but at the same time we are just kind of putting them on their own, and it's a-- with a roommate there's a lot of social reasons why it's a good set up for young people, the positive reinforcement if they're both working. You know, it's a type of, you know, it's socializing mechanism. I remember when I was first running for office I was knocking on doors in NYCHA, and I met somebody, a young person who had aged out of foster care, and I kind of remember asking them, you know, "How's it going?" And they looked a little frightened, a little overwhelmed. They said it was not going all that great, and I can imagine being

-

alone. And so what is ACS doing to get NYCHA to relax that standard, specifically they don't have to do it for everybody. They don't have to say anybody in the world has access, you know, can have a roommate. They can make an exception I think probably within their rules and regulations for youth aging out of foster care.

JULIE FARBER: So, my colleague,
Assistant Commissioner Iris Kaplan, does a tremendous
amount of work with Iris-- with NYCHA, and I think
she can speak to a couple of these questions.

meetings with NYCHA where we are trying to have them relax their rules. As we know, it is difficult for them to change some of their rules. Most of our youth who do age out are in studio apartments in NYCHA. They're not offered one or two bedrooms. So that's an issue too of a roommate in a studio apartment. And again, we would encourage, we would love to have, you know, siblings even to go and share an apartment together. So we are working with NYCHA around that issue. There are other issues too that we want to address with them so it makes it easier

for our youth to get an apartment and to do well when they're on their own.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have sense of whether NYCHA is receptive to those efforts or whether they'll be interested in any timeframe in which they'd be interested in revising the policy?

with us. I mean, we are actually working on a project with them to—right now, our youth are not afforded section 8 vouchers because there aren't' any for youth or even our families, too. So we're actually working with a project on them to have a limited amount available for our youth. So we're making some in-roads with NYCHA, and hopefully with that relationship we can build with them and get more of what we're seeking for our children.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because what we're seeing is that the-- you know, NYCHA turns out to be the most effective resource. If there's 229 out of 652 is a pretty good number actually, and if we could increase that to, you know, 450 or 500 that would be remarkable. That'd be significant. And so that would, you know, -- the more that we could do there in

2.2

2.3

Williamsburg.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Definitely not

Williamsburg. But, you know, obviously, there areyou know, the options available, I mean, on top of
that supportive housing the Mayor is putting forward
the supportive housing plan; the Governor's putting
forward his own supportive housing plan.

JULIE FARBER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Maybe. And that needs to be an option that's utilized. In fact, I would love to see a little more clarity and maybe we can work towards this of getting some hard numbers. It is part of the Mayor's plan of how many units will be dedicated to youth aging out. I think would be-- keep in mind, that's a 15-year plan. So whatever number they give, you have to divide by 15 to see what your annual allocation would be, but--

IRIS KAPLAN: We're working with the Mayor's Office.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

IRIS KAPLAN: I'm looking for at least 1,500 apartments for our youth to be a part of this. We really want to expand it. And the last New York, New York Three didn't have apartments for parenting

1

youth. So we want to make sure that they're included 3 in this too in the New York, New York Four.

4

5

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, excellent. Well, it's not a New York, New York Four technically because it's just a New York at this point.

6

7

ANDREW WHITE: That's true.

8

9

10

11

12

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You have New York Plan and then another New York Plan. I like to tease them about this. Okay, thank you. Do you want to just highlight specifically what the dollar amounts are for the new FY 17 budget initiatives? I know you talked about it in the Executive Budget hearing, but I think it's worth getting on the record here in this

JULIE FARBER: Yes, we can do that.

13

14

15 hearing [sic].

16

17

18

19

20

Okay. So, for the Foster College Housing and Support Initiative that's 1.4 million dollars, and that's supportive housing and tuition and living expenses for fostering youth in college. If you want more detail about that, my colleague Kathleen can provide that. For the increase in the subsidy for foster

21 2.2

parents, adoptive parents and kin-gap [sic]

2.3

24

quardianship parents that's 10.2 million. That's an

25

increase of five percent. That also includes an

discharge. There's a separate smaller pot of about

one and a half million for primary prevention.

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Got it.

2.2

2.3

JULIE FARBER: That's the Family Success
Centers, which we're also very, very excited about.

ANDREW WHITE: Family Enrichment Centers.

JULIE FARBER: Sorry, enrichment.

Of questions and then I'll let you guys go. You mentioned the new evidence-based models that you're working with. Which agencies are you doing that with, and what percentage does that represent of the whole in terms of evidence-base versus general practice and how are you deciding how to do that, how to match that up?

ANDREW WHITE: These are the waiver programs for young people in foster care. ABC, Attachment and Bio-behavioral Catch-up is designed for any child of the appropriate age in the foster care system. initially it's with 17-- well, over the next three years it's with 17-- it's 18, I'm sorry, foster care agencies that are not doing these two other evidence based models that started two years prior. Partnering for Success similar is with those 18 agencies, and it's-- that one is designed to strengthen the alignment between child welfare

family foster care as opposed to therapeutic family

four months. We're doing like comparison [sic]. We

saw the number of adoptions and the number of kin gap

24

ANDREW WHITE: That's the larger issues.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 120
2	JULIE FARBER: That's the issue, right?
3	So, you know, four years ago we had, you know, 14,000
4	kids in foster care. Today we have 10,000 kids in
5	care. So, the numbers are going to be declining of
6	numbers in adoption. Right?
7	ANDREW WHITE: In addition, if you look
8	at
9	JULIE FARBER: [interposing] There's fewer
10	kids in care.
11	ANDREW WHITE: Right, and now we're
12	putting more of an emphasis on kinship guardianship
13	as well, so the while they don't offset each other,

as well, so the-- while they don't offset each other, you always have to look at the combination of the two over the course of the 12-month period.

JULIE FARBER: So, it's not a decline. It's not a real decline.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANDREW WHITE: And as percentage of kids in care-- you know, another really important point is a lot fewer kids. Not only are there fewer kids in care, there are a dramatically fewer kids in care two years or more. If you compare--

JULIE FARBER: Yeah.

ANDREW WHITE: If you go back five years, there's 42 percent fewer kids spending more than two

years in care this year than there were, I believe, in the mid 2000's. I mean, that is— it's a radical change. And at the same time, you look at the number of days that all children are spending in care, it's 29 percent fewer than just five years ago. So, the system, I mean, the good system of that means this whole system is becoming much more manageable and much more open and have the capacity for improvement because of all the investments that are happening and all that we're learning.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: When it comes to adoption, do you have-- so, of the 254 in FY-- so sorry. Excuse me. Of the 1000, a little over a thousand youth adopted in 2015-- 1,023 youth adopted in 2015. Do you have a breakdown of the age ranges for that?

JULIE FARBER: I don't have it here, but we certainly could provide that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You do have it. And what efforts-- there was a, you know, a couple years ago or a year and a half ago, the contract was discontinued for-- that was a contract held by You Got to Believe and COAC [sic] to do work with older youth on adoption and place, you know, matching to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

potential adoptive parents. The contract itself I don't believe has been-- the RFP hasn't been reissued.

JULIE FARBER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What is going on there, because that was, you know, that's an area where we think we need to continue to focus on which is connecting. This goes towards other types of permanency to avoid aging out with an APPLA goal.

JULIE FARBER: Yeah, for sure. So, hugely important issue.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And even if the-just to be clear, even if the number are not
astronomical, it's a difficult process and it's a
difficult-- it's a difficult issue to address, and so
really any impact that can be made there I view as
positive, so I just want to put that into context
here.

JULIE FARBER: Thank you. So, achieving permanency through adoption for older youth, even youth who are 18, 19, 20, that is something that we care about and want to make happen. So, part of the- a very explicit part of the work under this Home
Away from Home initiative that I mentioned where

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 123
2	we've been deep into data diagnostics these last six
3	months, we're now moving into an implementation
4	phase, and I'm very happy to report that we've
5	actually received a 1.14 million dollar grant from
6	the Hilton Foundation specifically focused around
7	recruitment and support of foster homes for older
8	youth, and so we're going to be working with our
9	foster care agencies and other partners.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's a little
11	different from finding adoptive homes for older
12	youth, right? I mean
13	JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Part in
14	parcel, because most of the kids that get adopted end
15	up being adopted by their foster parents. So,
16	they're
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.
18	JULIE FARBER: They're inextricably
19	entwined.
20	ANDREW WHITE: A very large majority.
21	JULIE FARBER: Yeah, the large majority.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I mean
23	JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yeah.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: one thing that I
	1

thought was compelling about the model that they were

2	using before was that the agency, for example, You
3	Got to Believe, not a foster care agency. Their job
4	was to find, to work with the various foster care
5	agencies to find youth, older youth that were looking
6	to be adopted, matching them with the potential
7	adoptive parents, and so it wasn't there wasn't
8	my concern is that without a kind of, you know, a
9	super imposed agency, if you will, or agency that
10	kind of works then with multiple foster care
11	agencies, if there's only one point of contact, and
12	you're going you're just going to the random foster
13	care agencies, that's not you're not having
14	there's not the access of to make the match. The
15	match makers, the pools aren't big enough to
16	JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Right, so
17	there's a couple things there. One is through the
18	Dave Thomas Foundation. At ACS we have a Wendy's
19	Wonderful Kids Recruiter, and he works with these
20	exact kind of cases where he's looking at older youth
21	who, you know, could be adopted, and he's really
22	doing sort of a family-finding model where we're
23	looking at all the people in that young people's
24	life, you know, over the years and identifying sort

of opportunities for adoption or permanent

connections. We're also looking. There's a few things on the horizon to expand that work, and in the bigger picture, though, as we move forward on Home Away from Home, there may be additional RFP's and opportunities and we're looking at ways to structure this. I mean, clearly it's very important to be able to recruit foster and adoptive parents for older youth, but we need to do it in a way that is, you know, actually producing results, and so that's the focus.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I agree. I think

that— and I appreciate that we're out there getting

foundation support, and that's, you know, it's a—

could be very innovative, but I don't think it's a

substitute for agency city funding to meet those

needs.

JULIE FARBER: For sure. I mean, and part of the work that we're doing around, you know, when kids first come into the system is around building our capacity both within DCP's practice, the investigation component and then as well as in the foster care agencies to do robust, big searches for kin and affective [sic] kin upfront, right? Because if you're doing that up front, it's going to reduce

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 126 the need for that to happen when the kid's, you know, 2 3 17, 18, years old. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right, 4 and I appreciate that, and I think we'll see the 5 impact of that--6 7 JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yeah. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: down the line. I'm 8 9 also concerned about the kids that are in care now. 10 JULIE FARBER: For sure. 11 ANDREW WHITE: So are we. 12 JULIE FARBER: So are we. So are we. 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that are-- and I 14 do appreciate that everything that you guys are 15 doing. A lot of it's--16 JULIE FARBER: [interposing] Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: geared towards the front end, right, and I think that that's really 18 19 important because I think that that's going to 20 structurally change the system in important ways. I'm also, I'm concerned about the kids that are in 21 the system now and that have been in the system for a 2.2 2.3 long time that are--

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 12
2	ANDREW WHITE: [interposing] We don't want
3	to go back over the details, but what was in place
4	wasn't working.
5	JULIE FARBER: And this is why we're also
6	doing this permanency review with Casey Family of
7	3,000 long-stayers, and so some of the kids you're
8	talking about will be in that review.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean, working or not
10	working, I will say that a number of foster care
11	agencies are using their own funds to contract with
12	the agencies that use to have a city contract.
13	JULIE FARBER: Right, we're aware of that.
14	ANDREW WHITE: To do a different thing
15	than those contracts were for, which is
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.
17	ANDREW WHITE: Procurement is a difficult
18	challenge.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.
20	ANDREW WHITE: You know, what a contract
21	say is required is unfortunately what is required by
22	procurement rules, and that's what has to be used as

say is required is unfortunately what is required by procurement rules, and that's what has to be used as the assessment. The beauty of having the private agencies be able to contract separately—

23

JULIE FARBER: Thank you.

that aged out of the foster care system. So, I'm

2 speaking on behalf of two foster care high school 3 students, Sheldon Edwards [sp?], who could not be 4 here today due to his scheduled college placement exam, and Francis DePaulo [sp?] who is taking her exams [sic] today. So, envisioning a stronger foster 6 7 care system for our youth would entail a change in the framework of how contracted foster care agencies 8 operate. First and foremost, the top priority is to refocus the mission statement for all foster care 10 11 agencies with a goal of restoring families by 12 identifying and seeking solutions to problems 13 impacting the safety, permanency, emotional 14 wellbeing, and education of foster youth within their 15 own community. To decrease the time from entry into 16 the foster care system, we must exhaust all possible 17 placement with immediate family members to ensure a 18 stable, permanent, supportive, and loving family 19 through reunification, kin guardianship, adoption or 20 all other -- or other unconditional adult connection 21 as a permanency discharge planning goal. We believe 2.2 that no one should age out of the foster care system 2.3 and that foster care should only act as a temporary placement that provides preventive and crisis 24 intervention services while addressing societal and 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community issues collectively with the constituency. Child welfare reform can only truly take force while tackling the underlying issues that will cause a child to be removed from their home. Investing in communities with equal access to resources such as a quality education, affordable housing, healthcare for all, a living wage, financial literacy, and mental health services will ensure that people are not left to be wards of the state. Contracted foster care agencies should primarily service children and families within the same district to provide stability and education, health, and wrap-around community services towards permanency with a supportive loving family. The foster care taskforce that includes ACS, DHS, DOB, DYCD, DOMHM, the City Council, foster care providers, advocacy organizations, and youth currently and formerly in care should work together specifically by district to develop and advance evidence-based results-driven practices, policies and programs. The taskforce should establish and improve performance management to track and share progress towards priority goals, strengthen accountability and transparency that is focused on ensuring that all foster care youth exit a

2 child welfare system to a caring and permanent 3 family. The child welfare system should change its 4 contracting practices and must focus on the way its structures and manages it contracts to develop better results and demand greater accountability as to how 6 7 public funds are spent. The foster care system has 8 utilized Open Data and evidence to improve outcomes for young people, their families and communities by shifting public resources towards evidence-based, 10 results-driven solutions made available to the 11 12 public. Foster care has become another pipeline into 13 the criminal justice system for many of our black and 14 Latino youth, especially those struggling with mental 15 illness, homelessness, unemployment and lack of 16 education. This vicious cycle of institutionalized 17 racism targeting those living below the poverty line 18 as well as the working poor has sustained and 19 reissued [sic] an economic class system. This must 20 be addressed through policy reform and accountability on a local, state and federal level. I am here today 21 with many of my fellow advocates that has been 2.2 2.3 directly impacted by the foster care system, and we are here in solidarity in a call to action for real 24 child welfare reform and a challenge-- I'm sorry--25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and to challenge the status quo of these band aid reforms. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Darlene, and I want to thank Sheldon and Francis as well. Good luck to them today.

JULIO OMEDO: Good afternoon. My name is Julio Omedo [sp?], and I'm here on behalf of my foster youth community. I've feel part of the foster youth community because I lived four years of my life in the foster care community. Without the foster care support, I would not have graduated from high school and be now on my third year in John Jay College will [sic] in turn [sic] a major in Criminal Justice and a minor in Security Management. I would like to thank the City Council Members for giving the opportunity to testify today about issues that young people like me face during and after aging out of foster care. My foster youth community knows me as always being working part-time in restaurants to support myself and my family back in Mexico, that although English is my second language, I do my best to keep up with my grades in John Jay College. Sorry. But if I was not going to have my green card, I don't know what I would be doing now. The foster

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

care system supported me to get my green card right before I aged out. This allowed me to apply for financial aid to pay for my college education. Otherwise, I would not be able to pay for it out of my pocket. Also, with my green card I am able to work legally and visit my family back in Mexico without having to cross the border again. part time and attending college fulltime is a very difficult situation for an aged-out foster youth that can face in life, particularly because if I try to work few more hours per week, my income will increase a little bit, but my rent will likely increase a lot, and my financial aid will decrease also a lot. this happens, I had to go back to the foster care system to ask for support to pay for my books and other school supplies. Therefore, I support the Res Number 1192, the taskforce, and Number 1199, foster parent experience survey, because I believe that they will provide oversight to the foster care system. couple of my recommendations would be one, to include a special focus on undocumented youth with a specific timeline for presenting [sic] application of documentation for child's immigration and housing.

Two, to consider developing a special unit to guide

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and disseminate information for youth in care that are undocumented. And three, to provide special financial aid resources to foster youth that attend college. Thank you again for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you.

IVAN MENDEZ: Hello, hello. Guys can

hear me fine? Perfect. So, I just want to say thank you to everybody for coming out. I also want to give an extremely huge thank you to all the members of the Council for their time and for giving us a platform to just let out and just share with you guys some of the stories that we've been through. So, dear Chairman Levin and distinguished members of the committee, I'm speaking to you today to support the bills that have been introduced to increase accountability for the older youth in care that I work with and to help youth currently in care move one step forward in their search for stability. I am here in the hopes that youth will be afforded the opportunities that I never had. I remember the day that my mother tried to take my life. I remember the words she exclaimed after, "I don't want you. I'm done." These are the words that launched me face

2 first into the foster care system at the age of 14. 3 It was also during this time that I made a huge 4 decision for myself. I decided to take things into my own hands. I advocated to be placed with my sister; however, it was deemed that she was too young 6 7 to take care of me. I knew that she could provide the stability I desired, and I felt it was wrong to 8 not be placed into her care, because she could provide for me. So what did I do you ask? 10 11 away. I ran away from the system. What that 12 consisted of was me moving from home to home. 13 Anywhere that was offered to me, I stayed, and it 14 didn't matter where it was or who it was with. So if 15 I had to sleep on the floor, a couch or even outside, 16 I would do it, because all I knew was that I deserved to be placed in the care of someone who could provide 17 18 stability for me, but more importantly care for me. 19 After a year of fighting with the system, I was 20 granted a court date and was told that I would place 21 into kinship care with my sister. However, I had to 2.2 comply with all of the demands made by the agency or 2.3 else I would be removed immediately. Although it was difficult, we persevered, and because she stepped 24 into my life I was able to clamp down and finish up 25

_	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 137
2	high school. I was able to move onto college and now
3	secure a job as a youth advocate. Currently, as a
4	youth advocate in my work with the hundreds of youth
5	I've encountered, I can say with the utmost
6	confidence that most do not even know that family is
7	an option. They do not know that family is a right.
8	I credit my success thus far in life to the support I
9	received, but it is virtually impossible to focus on
10	getting a job and ascertaining some form of education
11	if you are stuck on survival mode where you have no
12	idea what you're going to eat today and where you're
13	going to sleep for the night. This is one of the
14	many unfortunate realities that youth face today.
15	This is one of the realities that I faced when I was
16	in the system myself. When I look at these bills, I
17	see the potential to afford stability to our youth,
18	to help our youth make steps towards their future,
19	and to allow youth to voice their concerns. So, with
20	that being said, it is with the greatest urge that I
21	ask you all to pass these bills to help ensure the
22	wellbeing of our youth. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Ivan. I

just want to thank-- I want to thank this panel for taking on this responsibility of advocating for

systemic changes, for advocating for the young people
that are coming after you. You're all successful
people and success stories, and you know that you did
that despite the odds, and you did that despite
systemic obstacles and barriers that were in your
way, and it's very important that you continue to
advocate and continue to look to reform this system
because there are going to always continue to be
young people entering into this system, and as Ivan
as you said, you want to make sure that there are
that other young people today moving forward into the
future have the opportunities that you weren't
afforded. So, I want to thank you so much for doing
this and taking on this responsibility, and I want to
urge you to continue to make your voices heard.
Thank you. Next up, Anna Sanchez [sp?], Anni Keane
and Brieanna Hayes. Whoever wants to begin?

ANNI KEANE: Good afternoon. I am here today advocating for all the youth in the foster care system. I am too foster care alum, and I too agree with ACS that education and employment is extremely important, and I too understand firsthand personally and professionally understanding that most youth in care don't graduate on target or at 18 and therefore

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

struggle with finding employment that would help sustain an apartment. I know that the support of a family, my family was key to my success, and it's unfortunate that even though -- I'm going to say even though there are so many services helping youth in care, that finding a family is not a priority, and we need to make that first priority once we realize that the youth will not be returning home. Family is the only system where there is no emancipation age. You don't age out of a family. When you're struggling, that's where you go. You go to your parents. for an organization who makes foster parents understand the importance of making an unconditional commitment to youth who have nowhere else to go from day one, and we also teach them if they are going to be temporary parents, that their goal is to support the families when the youth is returned. So either way it's a win/win situation. And personally speaking as a foster care alum, I have to say that I graduated from college at 28. I had my first child at 29 years old, and I was independent. I was on track, independent. My foster care agency was pushing me to that, but at 28 I felt like I needed to home for a year before I was able to get on my own,

2.2

2.3

2	and I think that even I think that agencies that we
3	focus on emergencies, because emergencies happen, but
4	I think that if we make families a priority, parents
5	will help with those emergencies, and we need to
6	support those families with those emergencies. And
7	you know, ACS, you're only responsible for the youth
8	until after 21 until before their 21 st birthday.
9	Our goal is to make sure that the youth and young
10	adult is supported throughout life.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I can ask, when you said you went home at 28, where did you go?

ANNI KEANE: I went back to my mom's house, nine months pregnant. I moved back home to my mom's at 29 years old. At my graduation, when I graduated college, my mom was there at 28 years old. So these are the things that— and I'm, you know, considered one of the success stories in the foster care system. And it's so unfortunate that most of the youth who I work with don't even know that family is a right or possible at their age. So, it's changing the mindset of workers, changing the mindset of older youth in care, educating the general public on the importance of this need, that there is even is a need is extremely important.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And when you aged
3 out, did you age out back into a permanent, into
4 permanency or did you--

ANNI KEANE: [interposing] I didn't have to age out. My foster mother kept me.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, okay.

ANNI KEANE: My foster mother kept me even though my foster care agency was pushing me towards independent living, all these amazing programs, my foster parents said no. Like, this is my child. You learn to live independently in a family, and foster care is the only system where, you know, they expect you to go to a class for 45 minutes a month or an hour a month to learn independent living skills. I needed to go back at 23 when I had my own apartment to go back and go, "Okay, tell me how to balance a checkbook again?" Or, "I'm having issues with this. Can you please help me with this?" We need to support the parents in doing that job.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And you still rely on your mom for support?

ANNI KEANE: Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Emotional support, job promotions, questions, buying a condo. When I first had my kid, I felt like I

2 didn't know like what to do or where to go.

3 having that family support. It's a lifetime.

4 lifetime of support, and we need to prioritize that

5 when we're working with youth in care and we

understand that they cannot return home for one 6

reason or the other. We need to make sure that they

have someone in their life to support them throughout

9 life.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you for telling your story.

BRIEANNA HAYES: Good evening. My name is Briana Hayes, and I'm also a part of You Gotta Believe's Nobody Ages Out Collaborative for the Youth. I first got into foster care when I was about My mother's drug was men. I would never see her. I would never-- she would never be there. was never around. So, I would have to step up to the plate and be my sister's parent, you could say. that being said, my mother, she put me into-- she voluntarily placed me into DRC, a Diagnostic Residential Treatment Center, and I was supposed to be there for three months. I ended up staying there for 10 months, and then my next step was either you go to a group home or you go to the foster care

2	system and actually have a foster parent. So, my
3	initial choice was to go to the foster parent because
4	I didn't want to be institutionalized anymore. I
5	didn't want to feel like I was trapped somewhere.
6	Then my foster care experience was 20 different
7	foster homes down the line, and I guess that stems
8	from the fact that there's no real actual connection
9	with a foster parent. For me it wasn't. There
10	wasn't any real actual connection with the foster
11	parent, and I feel like a lot of the time the
12	agencies don't really know that. They don't know
13	that I like, for example, my experience was
14	basically telling my foster parent, "I'm not going to
15	stay here. I'm going to come when you call me, and
16	I'll be there before the social worker gets there."
17	And that's my foster care experience. It hasn't
18	really been a real connection. It's always been
19	feeling like we were separated from their real kids
20	and we were just like on a I guess you could say we
21	were just like just lingering around in her house,
22	and there was nothing for us really. There was no
23	real connection. We knew that things were different
24	when we couldn't wash our laundry upstairs in her
	1

house. We had to go-- when there was holidays we had

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to go somewhere else, and she would utilize our rooms for her family, things like this. So, when I think about the youth that are in care that I work with now, I think about yeah, okay, you can set them up for independent living. You can set them up for New York City housing, but they don't know that there are youth that like me that had to wait two and a half years to actually get an apartment. And I was lingering in different friends' house, and that wasn't just -- that wasn't my only place to go, don't get me wrong, but it was -- I spent most of my time being more homeless than anything. And I feel like my whole foster care experience was feeling homeless because there was no actual sense of home. feel like there's a dire need for this, for you guys to find kids families, for you guys to find potential resources in your lives that are willing to be a permanent resource in your life. And I also feel like there's a dire need because there are friends I have now that are in foster care currently that have to have-- they have to go through difficult situations or difficult times just to have money, just to survive in their foster home. So, when I think about why I do this work and why I'm here

2 today, I think about the kids that I see every day in 3 Union Square, the kids that I see in West Fourth that 4 are friends of mine. The kids that knock on my door 5 in my new apartment and say, "Can I stay tonight?" because they don't have anywhere to go. And I feel 6 7 like this is-- this has to be-- like, I don't 8 understand how this can't be a dire need for youth and older youth in care. We're the hard-to-place children. We always been the hard-to-place children. 10 11 Nobody wants older youth, and I feel like we're the easiest kids to deal with. And I feel like if it 12 13 wasn't for my moms or You Gotta Believe helping me find my moms, I wouldn't-- I don't know where I would 14 15 be right now. I would probably be homeless, or I would be on my way to being homeless because I don't 16 17 have a support system to help me pay for a home. 18 get what I'm saying? So, if it wasn't for You Gotta 19 Believe helping me find those people and teaching me 20 how to find people for youth in care, I wouldn't know 21 what to today. I'd probably be homeless and still 2.2 trying to get my GED or just struggling, stealing 2.3 Might even be having survival sex, you never soap. know. But there's a dire need and I feel like it 24 25 needs to happen now. You can't keep prolonging it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

It has to happen now, because every day that you prolong it, there'll be a youth aging out of foster care to homelessness.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think Council Member Dromm wants to ask you a question, and we've also been joined by Council Member Corey Johnson as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you very much, and I apologize for coming down late, but I wanted to be here particularly to hear some of the youth's testimony. So, I'm glad I was able to make You know, I'm one of the first openly gay elected officials from the borough of Queens, and one of my major concerns is LGBT youth in foster care, and I'm wondering since we have some youth here, at least people younger than me, if you could share any ideas or thoughts on that topic as well. Is it still very difficult for LGBT youth to, you know, transition? Are LGBT youth facing more-- are they more likely to be pushed out of their homes because they come out to their parents? Are those issues that we still see in the system? I visited a residence in Southern Queens. It was actually an SCO [sic] residence where young women were living together and some of those issues were discussed with

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But I'm curious to know, because I'm also the Chair of the Education Committee in the City Council, and that's an issue of importance to me, and I also have a piece of legislation here about getting ID, municipal ID card, to young people in foster care as well. So, I'm just wondering if anybody has any ideas on that.

I think it's most

BRIEANNA HAYES:

definitely a problem. Youth that are transitioning or that are lesbian, bisexual, transgender or queer, I do feel like they have a hard time in foster care, and that's because some foster parents aren't-they're not-- for them it's not normal. They don't see it as normal, and I guess it's harder. It's hard when you have to like, "Oh, you can't be here this morning. You have to leave out the house because I have kids coming over, and I don't want them to ask you if you're a boy or a girl." Or, "Oh, do you want to go-- you going to go to church with me, you got to change how you're acting." Like, it's just things like that that make it hard to transition or hard to be LGBTQ in the foster care system. So, I say yes, there is. There is as far as like-- I think you just have to keep training these parents or just closing

example. Like, one of my friends he has to-- he's on

the exception to policy, and he hasn't had any money.

24

_

__

So his first instinct was okay, I have to get dates [sic], I have to get coins, I have to have money.

And for me, I feel like that's not safe. You shouldn't have to say solicitate [sic] your body to someone else for you to have money. You get what I'm saying? Like, I don't-- or to be able to survive, because he's using it as a survival technique, and I don't feel like that's safe. I feel like he endangers his life every time he goes and does a date. That's what he calls it, a date.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, yesterday we had a LGBT roundtable with the Speaker here in the City Council, and Carl Sciliciano [sp?], who is the head of the Ally Forney [sp?] Center which is for LGBT youth, mentioned this idea of transitioning out at a very early age at the age of 21 and would like to see that extended at least to 24, and I think at that— he also mentioned that particularly when youth are pushed out at the age of 21, that as you're saying survival sex work becomes an option, you know, not a good option but an option for some of these youth. So I appreciate you sharing that. Thank you.

ANNI KEANE: So, can I just--

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: [interposing]

3 Sure.

2.2

2.3

ANNI KEANE: You know, there's lots of talks extending foster care to from 21 to 24 or 18 to 21, which is all good, but we need to make sure that we are finding these new families, because what we're doing is we're, you know, we're giving them a whole bunch of services and then at 25 they're going to wind up homeless again. So it's what we do with that time is extremely important, not only extending it.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, do you mean--by that do you mean adoption?

ANNI KEANE: I mean, however you call it.

You know, some people can't be adopted or some people
don't want to be legally adopted, but that doesn't
mean that they don't have that emotional connection
or that unconditional commitment from a parent,
right?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, and that's a little bit of where I was heading with this as well because I think some of us who are older LGBT people should really step up to the plate to offer, particularly LBGT youth the opportunity to have that extended family.

thank you both for being here and I look forward to

hearing from you as well, and to the previous panel,

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ivan and William and the other folks that testified, you know, we have sat around together privately and talked about some of these issues, and it is very, very powerful, and I think the most powerful thing that happens to get legislators in government to actually move things forward and create some sort of change is personal stories, and so hearing the power of your personal stories I think is what really moves us to take action. And I also want to say that, you know, hearing today from some LGBT youth and some non-LGBT youth, you know, there is still a major issue that I don't think we're talking enough about and I'm glad it's being talked about today as painful and sad and hard as it is to actually discuss it, parental rejection. There is still a crisis of parental rejection even in New York City, which we like to call the most progressive place in the United States, there is still a problem with parental rejection, and we have to have a safety net and a system in place that when an immoral tragic incident like that happens when a parent rejects their child, that the government steps up in an appropriate way to get that child a lifelong family. And so I just wanted to say thank you for your stories and thank

3

4

J

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you for being here. I wasn't here for ACS, but I like Council Member Dromm wnted to come and hear you all share your experiences and stories. Thank you.

ANNA SANCHEZ: So, Chairman Levin and

distinguished members of the Committee, I am testifying today and hope that older youth get to have opportunities that I have never got the chance to explore and experience when I was in foster care. I personally feel that there's a strong urgency to improve this process. I passionately believe that youth in care deserve so much more. I currently spend my time willingly advocating for youth in care because I know what it's like to move from home to home, what it feels like to be the child and the parent at the same time. I believe these bills can contribute to more positive outcomes for those who are aging out of the foster care system. into care at the age of 13 for the second time. I had been adopted from care as a baby and never knew the difference between being disciplined and being abused, until one day my adopted mother's friend called ACS on her, and they came one night to take me away. I remained in care from age 13 until I became 21, moving through 13 different foster homes in many

2 different parts and sides of the boroughs of New 3 The only goal I thought would benefit me at 4 the time was the independent living goal, which they 5 call APPLA, the APPLA goal, because returning to family wasn't an option for me. 6 Through my 7 transition through care no worker, not a single one had ever explained the possibility of having a 8 forever home or to just have an actual loving family. I was merely offered independent living training. 10 11 was a 15, 16-year-old girl, believe it or not. I 12 didn't need anyone to help me, and I didn't want any 13 help after going through what I've been through. 14 I wanted to do was to get out and deal with nobody 15 from the system. I felt like no one even put-sorry. I felt like no one even tried to put an 16 17 argument about it. I was happy and content that 18 nobody did, and that was the problem. I shouldn't 19 have been. At the time, I didn't understand that 20 someone, some family out there could take me and care 21 and give me what I needed, which was more than just the 40 dollars I received for allowance. 2.2 I didn't 2.3 know that I could have a family that would get to know me instead of making me feel like I was staying 24 at another temporary hotel as they call it. They 25

wouldn't keep and that they wouldn't keep reminding
me every day that I was part of the system, that
would support me, include me and show me affection as
if I was their own. Instead, I wound up aging out of
foster care on my birthday in October of 2013. I was
couch surfing from friend's house to another friend's
house struggling to find my own job, making sure that
I wouldn't go hungry or wind up wandering the streets
at night with nowhere to stay. All the while, I was
waiting for NYCHA housing to call me and tell me that
I would finally get to move to my own apartment. Not
until the end of January of 2015, a year and a half
after that I aged out, did I finally get notified
that an apartment was available for me. I can't help
but to think of all the youth who are in care now or
who have aged out in the past years going through the
same thing. What if I didn't have those friends that
were able to be there for me when I aged out?
Anything could have happened to me, incarceration,
drug use, stealing to survive, sleeping outside on
the streets or even worse. These things are
currently happening right now to youth who are aging
out as we speak. I urge you to pass these bills to
protect youth currently in care, and again while in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ANNA SANCHEZ: Thank you.

care, and to contribute to a more positive outcome for those who are aging out of the foster care system. I'm trying not to cry. Thank you so much for taking the time to hear from youth and accepting my testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, I want to thank this panel. You know, it takes a lot of courage for you to do what you're doing today and what you are doing every day to take on the big system like this, and to use your-- the hardships that you've had to endure in your life to make other people's lives better, and you know, that takes real courage. It takes, you know, deep down guts, and so I salute you. I take off my hat to you guys. And you know, you have -- you've impressed all of us, and you know, you always have a home here at the Council, but it's very-- it really-- it's moving for us and I know it's moving for everybody else that's here, and I know that ACS has stayed to hear your testimony and I'm sure that it's moved them as So, thank you, and keep on doing this because it's absolutely essential that you do.

2.2

_ -

BRIEANNA HAYES: Thank you for having us, and I wanted to say, if it didn't start with me, who would it start with, and I feel like we should be the first imprints on the earth for the kids behind us in foster care. And that's just it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Absolutely. I'm going to call up our final panel. Excuse me, I'm sorry, two more panel. Stephanie Gendell, Citizens Committee for Children, James Purcell from COFCCA, Jess Dannhauser from Graham Windham. We'll call up Lena McMahon from Legal Aid and Lauren Shapiro from Brooklyn Defenders. You guys can just hold on one minute here. [off mic] before this panel begins, I also want to thank if there were any youth, foster alum who attended the hearing that did not testify, I want to thank you very much for being here as well, and also those young people that submitted testimony. We greatly appreciate it and it will be entered into the record. Whoever wants to begin?

: Thank you. I'm Jim Purcell, and I'm really impressed that ACS stayed to listen to us to.

I also, I want to thank you for putting us on right after the most powerful speakers that you could have here today. It's a real thrill. I'm Jim Purcell.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm the CEO of the Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies. We have over 50 member agencies in New York including all of the foster care providers as well as those agencies that provide about 95 percent of all the preventive services. They range in size from large multipurpose agencies to small communitybased preventive service agencies. What I really want to note is how gratifying it is to our member agencies and to the larger community of human service providers that the Council under the leadership of Chairman Levin and the Committee has taken on the time to focus on foster care and to examine how we can continue to do better for the families and the children. The number and the tenor of the bills being discussed today tells us that the Committee has serious intentions to assist in this effort, and one of the reasons we want to support these bills is because we recognize the need for the entire child welfare system, certainly including our agencies to be accountable for the services they deliver and for the outcomes for the children and families. we, the agencies, ACS, and most importantly those children and families need the fullest support of our elected leaders to understand or to better understand

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our work and to join with us in telling the full story to the extent we can without violating the privacy of any of these families to the public. Too often, all that the public hears about situations in child welfare are the really poor outcomes, and sadly there are some, and quite frankly there will probably always be some. We're dealing in so many ways with complex human relationships. Birth families sometimes put out of their homes some of the young people who come out as being gay, lesbian or questioning or transgendered, and sadly that can happen with foster families on occasion as well. Although, over the last year ACS has done a tremendous amount of training of all of foster parents to be more accepting, and we continue to focus on this. We also know that we can learn from bad cases and from mistakes and from all the data that you've asked for in the bills. We want and we expect that the child welfare system in New York City be the best one in the nation. In general we believe that all of these bills will help our members' efforts to rebuild families while keeping children safe, and I'm not going to take your time now to go through some of our comments. We do think that some

2 of the bills could be strengthened, and we appreciate 3 your offer earlier to meet with your staff. 4 certainly do that. I just want to mention just a couple of things. Any efforts to identify the 5 barriers, and ACS outlined some of the things they're 6 doing now, but those are really important. Sometimes we've just lived with them for too often, and it's 8 refreshing to take a hard look at those and try to figure out how to improve on those. A survey of 10 11 foster youth is also a very positive idea. We 12 particularly hope that you'll leave it up to ACS or 13 either to the agencies to get the answers that you 14 want, but sometimes framed--and I think ACS said this 15 In some ways they were negative questions. 16 You know, do you get enough food? We want to add 17 some positive questions to that as well. On the 18 issue of the housing subsidies, we checked sitting 19 here with our Director of Advocacy in Albany today. 20 That's not going to happen today. And one of the 21 problems that maybe we should all work on a little 2.2 bit is that there is still not a single bill. 2.3 There's a bill in the Assembly. There's a bill in the Senate. We think they had some discussions, but 24 they never put the two of them together, and so I 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think we've all got some work to do over the coming months on that. And finally, the one thing I would note is on the idea of a taskforce, one of the important parties that's not listed there is Family Court, and they have a large role in this. want-- I'll just close with a comment. The city and state are required to advocate on behalf of and obey the federal law that talks about 15 months. profoundly stupid law. Anybody who thinks that you can solve all the problems that some of our families bring to us in 15 months, is wrong. Some states -- I just heard of a state that files at 15 months to terminate parental rights. There's very little in the way of a court hearing. The parents have no defense. Ninety-eight percent of them get approved in 15 months. So, they have shorter lengths of stay, and I hope they're very proud of it. I'm proud of New York which has a vibrant family support legal system in place, and as I think some of the ACS folks said, parents should be able to speak up on that. you were trying to terminate my parental rights, I would want to be court being heard about what I was doing to improve that. And the way the court system works in New York City, we're never going to hit 15

Э

2.3

months, and I think that's not such a bad thing on behalf of those families. With that, I'll refer to Stephanie, and I'll agree to anything that she says.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of the Albany legislation, I'll keep my thoughts on what's going on in Albany to myself, but hopefully they'll get it together to do that. And yes, and in term of my questions to ACS, I think that the position that you just posited and advocated for is very valid. The DOI put it in their report, and so it's certainly, you know, needs to be addressed in that context.

Stephanie Gendell. I'm the Associate Executive
Director for Policy and Advocacy at Citizen's
Committee for Children. I just wanted to first thank
the City Council, in particular Chair Levin and all
of your staff who have been amazing at putting
together all these bills, working with CCC on this
and other issues, and to the entire General Welfare
Committee and the Council Members here and Council
Members that we've met with a lot of time on these
issues. You've been incredible and we really
appreciate you taking on and really looking in depth

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in the issue of child welfare and foster care. a very complex system, as I know we're all learning, and there's no easy answers, and so we appreciate We agree with a lot of what you've said about the voice of the youth and the voices of the parents who have the most information about this system, are really the best places to look for for our answers to how we can strengthen the system that we have even though we've made great strides over time. support all the legislation today. We've included in our testimony a series of recommendations for each of the bills that I'm not going to go through, but they're there for your staff. I just wanted to say a couple of things about a couple of times discussed today. In general, we feel that the reason that we're supportive of these bills is that it's important for the Child Welfare Agency, in our opinion, to be more transparent with the information about both the data related to the children, the initiatives that they have and the outcomes for the young people. children are literally of the custody of the City of New York, and so as New Yorkers we should all collectively be watching what's happening with these young people and their families and ensuring that ACS

2	has the resources that it need to meet all of their
3	needs. We appreciate hearing about all of the great
4	work ACS is doing and just feel that these bills help
5	us have a long an ongoing way to keep track of
6	what's happening and figuring out when there are
7	barriers how we can overcome them, and when things
8	are going well, how we can expand them and perhaps
9	even share them with other states and localities or
10	Upstate. I wanted to talk a little bit about youth
11	aging out. We've been referring to the goal as
12	APPLA, which is what we call it for short, and I
13	think it's important to actually say what it is. It
14	was intentionally not called independent living by
15	the federal government. It's Another Planned
16	Permanent Living Arrangement, and so it's not
17	supposed to be aging out to homelessness or no
18	family, and New York has even gone a step further and
19	added onto the name of it that it's Another Planned
20	Permanent Living Arrangement with a Long-term
21	Connection to a Significant Adult. So in theory,
22	these young people shouldn't just be leaving the
23	system to APPLA, which is another name for
24	independent living, and I appreciate everyone talking
25	about that today. I think ACS talked about it and the

2 young people talked about it, and you've all talked 3 about how important that is for our young people. 4 ACS's testimony earlier on educational stability 5 issue, which for all of the young people in New York City, whether they're in foster care or not, 6 7 graduating high school is critical to their ultimate 8 success. We were concerned that the testimony said that ACS didn't have the information about where the young people's or all the children's school of origin 10 11 is. We feel like putting aside the legislation, 12 that's a really important piece of information for 13 ACS to have to be able to figure out whether they are 14 able to implement educational stability for young 15 people and figure out what schools they have. And so 16 we're interested whether it's this legislation or other in trying to ensure that ACS has the schools of 17 18 origin for all of the kids that are in their custody. 19 We are supportive of the taskforce, which we think is 20 really important. I like Jim's idea of adding the 21 Family Court. I've suggested adding parents and 2.2 foster parents to it. With regard to the survey, we 2.3 agree with the concept of the survey and also have some suggestions as people who make surveys about how 24 to make the survey, but in addition to that, the 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

discussion this morning with Council Member Richards about really getting at the information about children who need a place, need a safe place to talk to somebody because they're having a problem in foster care I think is really important, and perhaps the survey is a separate tool, and that we do need some other way to ensure that children not only 13 and older, but six-year-olds, seven-year-olds, eightyear-olds have a safe place to turn when they're having a problem in foster care. I did want to just address the housing subsidy. Thank you for your resolution in support. It is true the bill is not going to pass this session. The issue, as I understand it from being in the middle of it in Albany, is that we do have two bills. One is just raises the amount from 300 to 600 dollars, and the City has supported that bill. The bill that's part of your Resolution, the Assembly bill, includes also raising the age from 21 to 24, and that has not received as much support from the City. I know there's been ongoing discussions about coming to resolution about that, but I think that's part of why the bill didn't pass this session is that we weren't able to resolve those issues in time for this

Director of the Family Defense Practice at Brooklyn

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2 Defender Services and we do represent parents in 3 child welfare cases. I've been representing parents for the last 25 years, I'm sad to say. And for the 4 5 past nine years I've been working at an institutional provider representing parents, and we've actually 6 7 represented 7,700 clients since we've started, and I think that's important to mention because it is very 8 discouraging to hear about reports that come out against ACS when they're talking about three cases or 10 11 25 cases, and in the DOI report, in particular, one of the cases is actually our office's, and it was 12 13 completely misrepresented what happened, and I think 14 it's important to hear from the people who are 15 working in the field every day. I could talk to you 16 for hours and days about what's going on, and you 17 know, we're not writing reports, but we have the 18 information that you need. And with respect to that, 19 I want to say that, you know, thank you for this 20 legislation. We certainly support it. We think ACS providing information and being accountable is 21 obviously extremely important and of course we 2.2 2.3 support the dialogue that will come from ACS

providing more information. In general, we would ask

that you consider in the legislation the role of 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

parents. I think in you know, we have very	
specific comments in our testimony, and again we'	re
happy to meet with you, but in general I don't re	ally
think that you can talk about the wellbeing of	
children without talking about their families of	
origin, and I know that there are a lot of cases	
where the families of origin cannot remain a	
significant part of the family, but I believe in	most
cases the children no matter what their permanence	żλ
plan is, that they're staying connected to their	
families. There's an emphasis in general and in	l
these bills about the length of time in foster ca	re,
and I think it's been alluded to that the idea of	:
permanency is a really illusive concept, I think	for
any child, but particularly for the children that	· •
we're dealing with, and there's a focus on achiev	ring
permanency that I don't think is realistic or	
reflects the complexity of family relationships.	
And so we would just caution the Council when the	ey'r∈
asking for this information or interpreting the d	lata
that they really think about this, because I thin	ık
that this information has been used against ACS	
recently in the lawsuit, and I think it really	I
think it's really problematic, because I think it	

pushes ACS to develop practices and policies that are
really harmful for families. And I could give you so
many examples that we have where children are
returned to their families sometimes after five
years, sometimes after three years, but during that
time they're often living with kin. They're
maintaining connections with their biological
parents, some of whom are struggling with issues like
mental illness and substance abuse, many of whom are,
and they are with a lot of support able to overcome
those problems. So, we would we just, you know, ask
you to consider that. The final point that I want to
make is that when we're talking about youth in foster
care and aging out of foster care, we're also talking
about our clients, parents who have children. Andrew
White alluded to this, but a lot of children aging
out of foster care are having their own children, and
just last night we had a benefit where we award
gave an award to one of our clients who she was 21,
had just left the foster care system, had a baby, and
she was homeless, and as a result, and I kid you not,
the allegation of petition was failure to plan for
her child, and the child was removed, and then it
took us over two years, I think almost three years to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

get her child back home. So, thank you again for doing this. Happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony. Just a-- I-- we try hard in this committee and in the Council to try to look holistically at the issues and not score political points on some of these things, and so, you know, we do our best to try to take into account all, you know, all sides of a very complex issue.

LAUREN SHAPIRO: I appreciate that [sic].

LENA MCMAHON: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Levin, members of the Committee and everyone gathered here. My name is Lena McMahon and I'm a Staff Attorney in the Juvenile Rights Practice, Special Litigation Law Reform Unit. On behalf of the Juvenile Rights Practice, I want to thank the Committee for its efforts to understand the experiences of children and young adults in foster care and to thank especially the young people who testified so compellingly today. We appreciate the chance to provide input on the proposed bills on foster care oversight, but before addressing those bills, I'd like to acknowledge that this

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

successfully with child and parent advocates to
improve foster care in New York City. We have
submitted written testimony, and I'll highlight some
of that. And, you know, as the house [sic] have
said, we do support the bills. JRP supports bill
1190 to require ACS to report on educational
continuity for children in care. We'd like to
emphasize that the most significant barrier to school
stability is lack of yellow bus service, and the only
sustainable solution will require cooperation from
the DOE's Office of People Transportation. One
proposed change to the bill is to specify at what
point in time school stability should be measured.
Often times, planned we recommend 90 days after an
initial placement or change in placement. Sometimes
a school placement may not change initially, but may
change a few weeks or months later when arrangements
for transportation can't be sustained. We support
the premise of bill 1191 to require report on
barriers to permanency. We're concerned that the
mechanism proposed for reporting may not yield the
most useful information. One idea is to look instead
at a random sample of children in the longest
quartile of time in care, and as has been mentioned,

2	we urge the City Council not to over emphasize time
3	to permanency as a measure of success. We like wise
4	support creation of an interagency taskforce. As has
5	been said, we note the existence of the New York City
6	Children's Cabinet and the DYCD Interagency
7	Coordinating Council. We encourage the City Council
8	to ensure that efforts are not duplicated. One
9	important distinction is that neither of those
10	include young people, and that's critically
11	important. We applaud the City Council's inclusion
12	of youth in care, youth who have been in care
13	previously as well as their advocates. We also
14	support with important caveats bill 1199 about foster
15	parent experience surveys. Some of this has come up,
16	but we've highlighted that ACS should be required to
17	explain the survey's purpose, confidentiality, any
18	limits on confidentiality. ACS needs to be required
19	to ensure that any information shared isn't
20	attributed to the use without the use permission, and
21	a young person should be able to review each home,
22	not just the home in which they're placed at the time
23	of any survey. Thank you for the opportunity to
24	speak about these important topics. We're also happy
25	to meet and discuss further.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much to this panel and we look forward to working with you in the coming weeks and months to make sure that we get the legislation right. You know, we want to make sure that whatever we pass out of this committee and out of the Council is affective and important and is helping, you know, as many parties as possible, and is providing information that gives proper guidance and is not misrepresenting anything. So, thank you very much. We look forward to continue to work with you. Thank you. Oh, Council Member-- excuse me. Excuse me. Sorry. Council Member Johnson has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I actually don't have a question. I wanted to thank you all for sticking it out and for coming and leaving us a very substantive testimony that talks about each one of the bills and also what you think could be done from a city perspective to improve this. I really wanted to just thank the Chair, Chair Levin, because he is a total mensch [sic] and he has put his heart into this issue, and this is a public meeting, but the number of one-on-one private meetings, advocacy, talking to the Commissioner, talking to Deputy Commissioners,

2.2

2.3

talking to individual Council Members, meeting with young people, meeting with providers has been very moving, and you know, he's probably uncomfortable with me giving him these accolades, but he deserves it because he has spent an enormous amount of time, and I know that his advocacy and this hearing and all the work he's done is going to make a dramatic difference in the lives of many young people in New York City. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council
Member Johnson. Thank you very much to this panel.
We have one more testimony, Jeff Marrenfield [sp?].

UNIDENTIFIED: [off mic]

know-- Sure. You know, I don't have-- your letter is upstairs with my staff. Give me one minute because I'll get it. Okay, I'm going to be reading Jeffrey Marrenfield's letter into the record. Jeffrey Marrenfield, May 17th, 2016, 1374 Ocean Avenue.

Sorry, I'll leave your personal information-- excuse me, scratch that. "To whom it may concern: Disabled people are often misunderstood, labeled, stigmatized, taken for granted, and taken advantage of by the failures of the system and bad decisions. In this

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

parents would like to restore our visitation rights with their child."

JEFFREY MARRENFIELD: I'd like to say is first off, disabled people come in many different levels of disabled, right? Now, I-- my parents came from the Holocaust. My mom was a [speaking German] private secretary and then she was in the underground called the baytar [sic], and she was also a artisan fighter that survived war [inaudible]. My parents were very traumatized. A lot of people of second generation Jewish people such as myself or my parents suffer their traumatization [sic] ways of life because they lay it on to us, okay? But I grew up, you know, in times of segregation because I'm now a senior. I am a senior citizen right now, and my time growing up, you know, was segregation, and basically the doctors in those days really didn't like, didn't care. They were very narcissistic. A lot of them are today big egos, professional courtesy, people that get traumatized under the system, the mental health institution things at the time thanks to Geraldo Rivera [sic] who, you know, busted these places. A lot of us was treated like dogs. Even a dog could have been treated better, but I'm not going

2	to get into that because they made a lot of, you
3	know, ideas about me which is not me at all. It was
4	just for them to, you know, make the pill companies
5	more wealthier and them to have use us as guinea
6	pigs in those days. Now, like I go to CEWOP
7	[sic], which is a great crew, and basically their
8	topic is which is with the ACS, and I'm sure if I
9	would have gotten a hold of my daughter, I probably
10	would have had the ACS on top of me as well. I went
11	to programs, tried to get myself in the mainstream of
12	life, you know, and do many things besides being
13	homeless for a while, which was ridiculous a lot of
14	these programs like on 14 th Street to make 11 dollars
15	a week putting like radio parts in boxes and pushing
16	it down the table as an assembly line into different
17	colored boxes. I couldn't live like that. I went to
18	manpower to do certain things. I really couldn't
19	stand up on my own. I had friends help me, and
20	finally my parents found out that I was I had these
21	problems not surviving right. So my mother helped me
22	get, you know, income from the government. So, the
23	thing is, I want we me and my partner and me, we
24	had a baby, a child, and I know very well how to take
25	care of children quite well because I came from, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, places in Far Rock Away where there's a lot of people, homes-- poorer homes and I used to take care of their kids, you know, literally while cleaning them, diapering them.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Mr. Marrenfield, I'm going to have to ask you to--

JEFFREY MARRENFIELD: [interposing] I'll just speed it up. So, the thing is, you know, I went to-- I went to transitional services. I went to-they sent me to AHRC to work with the mentally disabled, the mentally retarded. I made-- I did four break-throughs, where the teachers couldn't do in 10 years. I was perfectly capable of taking care of my own child, but what happened was that I was told when my partner was sick that she was-- because she wasn't on her medics [sic], and that they told me from your [sic] good [sic] Israel, this Rabbi lawyer that there was not father's rights. There was no such thing as father's rights. So I didn't-- being that I am disabled, learning disabled, but I have my faculties quite good, probably better than most people in general. And so, you know, my heart, you know-- I didn't know what to do. Like, where we went on visitations because she was told-- she was coerced

The

2 3

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

into signing papers. By law under her condition, by law you're not allowed to take a signature from somebody that's incoherent. She was totally incoherent. So, they took-- they forced herself on her taking her signature. By me-- I had open heart surgery by this lawyer, Rabbi and from Yagooda [sic]. They helped me out of different problems. My socalled sisters said that I was incapable to handle money where I sold a condo, made 40,000 dollars extra. They had stolen my inheritage [sic].

> CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sir--

JEFFREY MARRENFIELD: [interposing]

point to try to say is disabled people, a lot of groups of us from medieval times are still treated as garbage today and not really looked at as human We're labeled. We're demeaned. beings. outcasted [sic], and you know, there are groups like a thing called the People of the Underserved that helps take care of families with kids that's disabled, but in our case we could not get a lawyer. We couldn't-- the judge told me to bring a lawyer. She should have appointed a lawyer. Instead she gave me a letter. I went to-- that person said she worked for the law office. They gave me back the letter,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 said they'll call me, which they never did. They
3 left us without human rights and our civil rights.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I think what we can do is we'll follow up on your individual case, but we'll also inquire with ACS around the broader issue of parental rights and individuals with disabilities and make sure that we give clear policy and protocol that's in place, and we'll review that policy and protocol to ensure that it is appropriate. We'll work with-- we'll continue to work with you and we have your contact information, and we'll also coordinate with CWOP [sic] to make sure that those protocols are appropriate and moving forward in addition to following up on your individual case.

JEFFREY MARRENFIELD: I hope so because my heart is completely messed up over my daughter and so my mate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, and I thank you very much for your testimony. I thank you for being here all day and for telling your story.

JEFFREY MARRENFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. So, I want to thank everybody who's here today. We look forward to continue to work with all of you to ensure

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	that the that we're doing right by the children
3	that are in care, that we're doing right by the
4	parents whose children are in care, and that the
5	system continue to improve. So, with that at 1:54
6	p.m., this hearing is adjourned.
7	[gavel]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 1, 2016