CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

----- X

April 4, 2016

Start: 01:21 p.m. Recess: 04:26 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

HELEN K. ROSENTHAL

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

CHAIM M. DEUTSCH

COREY D. JOHNSON

COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES

I. DANEEK MILLER

PETER A. KOO RUBEN WILLS

Allison Sesso Executive Director Human Services Council

Michael Owh First Deputy Direct and Special Counsel Mayor's Office of Contract Services

Doctor Jeremy Kohomban
President & CEO/President
Children's Village/Harlem Dowling

Marla Simpson President & CEO Brooklyn Community Services

Patricia Jenny Vice President for Grants New York Community Trust

Ian Benjamin
Chair of Board of Directors
Nonprofit Coordinating Committee

Louisa Chafee Senior Vice President UJA Federation

Dan Lehman Chief Financial Officer Children's Aid Society

John MacIntosh Managing Partner SeaChange

Heidi Aronin Chief Administrative Officer JASSA

Ronald Rosado Abad Chief Operating Officer Urban Pathways

Sanford Weinstein Chief Financial Officer Goodwill Industries of Greater New York and Northern New Jersey Inc.

Stephanie Gendell
Associate Executive Director
Citizens Committee for Children of New York

JoAnne Page CEO & President Fortune Society

Joseph Rosenberg Director Catholic Community Relations Council

Paul Feuerstein CEO & Founder Barrier Free Living

Bobby Watts
Executive Director
Care for the Homeless

Carlyn Cowen
Policy Analyst
Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

Steven Jones Chief Financial and Administrative Officer Project Renewal

Jacqueline Sherman Chief Legal and Administrative Officer Jewish Child Care Association (JCCA)

Gregory Brender Co-Director of Policy and Advocacy United Neighborhood Houses

Elizabeth Hoagland Director of School Age & Family Engagement Services Stanley M. Isaacs Neighborhood Center

Judy Zangwill Executive Director Sunnyside Community Services

Sara Rakita Managing Director New York Immigration Coalition

Judith Castillo Director of Finance Phipps Neighborhoods

Reverend Wendy Calderon Payne Executive Director Bronx Connect

John Weed Assistant Executive Director BronxWorks

Dana Altneu Senior Manager of Government Contracts Good Shepherd Services

Lester Marks
Director of Government Affairs
Lighthouse Guild

Angelina Garneva Policy and Program Manager New York City Employment Training Coalition

Thomas Dambakly
Chief Administrative Officer and Executive Vice
President for Operations
CAMBA

[gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon. 4 I'm Council Member Helen Rosenthal, Chair of the 5 City Council's Contracts Committee. I apologize for 6 the delay in our start time. We had some technical difficulties and now we have the technician working 8 on it and hopefully everything will be good to go. 9 Today's hearing is focused on the findings of the 10 Human Services Council, HSC, report New York 11 Nonprofits in the Aftermath of FEGS: A Call to 12 Action. In this report HSC, a consortium of 13 nonprofit providers for city services site several 14 problems with the city's contracting process 15 including; number one insufficient collaboration in 16 the development of city programs prior to the 17 release of an RFP, two low reimbursement rates for 18 indirect, overhead, and administrative costs, and 19 three late and un... unpredictable contract payments. 20 They also have many recommendations which we'll 21 hear about briefly. But if we were looking up the 2.2 phrase procurement reform in the dictionary the 23 definition would be HSC report New York Nonprofits 24 in the Aftermath of FEGS: A Call to Action. I think

the recommendations they lay out are a great road

2	map for the city as we think about procurement
3	reform. Let's see. So it's not a sexy topic but
4	it's just right for a committee whose oversight
5	responsibility is procurement. Today we're talking
6	about the city's contracts with nonprofit providers
7	who deliver city services to an estimated 2.5
8	million New Yorkers annually from childhood
9	education and afterschool programs to mental
10	health, homeless services, and senior care. Some of
11	these services are court ordered mandated services
12	such as our legal aid and homeless services that
13	the city must provide. Some are services that the
14	city believes all New Yorkers and I agree with
15	this administration that all New Yorkers should
16	have access to such as after school, Pre-K, and
17	senior services. Human services contracts which
18	some value at four billion dollars but I'm sure
19	I'll get the right number from somebody comprise
20	roughly 10 percent of our city's total expense
21	contract budget of over 35 million in 2015. As an
22	oversight body our goal is to ensure that the
23	city's procurement and contracting policies do not
24	increase the difficulties experienced by these
25	providers. Keep in mind the human services council

2	report notes that the budgets of over half of New
3	York City human service providers are in the red or
4	barely breaking even. I'd actually like to focus a
5	second on the second issue they raise which is
6	insufficient insufficient coverage of overhead
7	administrative and indirect costs. I'd like to put
8	it in perspective. Remember that the city often
9	turns to outside providers to meet city needs. When
10	the city decides and I'm lifting this line from I
11	think the Jewish Board but here it goes when the
12	city decides to build a 40-million-dollar bridge
13	for example they hire a construction company with
14	that expertise. Contractors might complain that
15	payment for the contract takes too long and human
16	service providers have the same concern but the
17	city would never say to a construction company
18	we're going to pay you 35 million dollars, try to
19	get philanthropy, foundations, or other jobs that
20	you do to pay for the remaining five million. The
21	city always pays the lowest responsible cost for
22	construction contractors bids including the cost of
23	overhead, indirect payments, and administrative
24	costs as well as the escalation of wages and OTPS
25	over time According to the HSC report and I'm

2	guessing that the providers who will testify today
3	are not funded for actual costs but for what the
4	city or frankly any level of government is prepared
5	to pay. So not the actual costs but what the
6	government, regardless of it state, federal or city
7	is prepared to pay for that service. And at this
8	juncture I have to wonder if there is a historical
9	context for this where construction jobs were have
10	always historically been filled with men who
11	historically have been the bread winners of a
12	family if not the glue as opposed to women who are
13	historically and to this day primarily the
14	employees and the human services world. So the
15	third issue that the human services contracts
16	council raises is late payments. And I would like
17	to note that in February 2014 as a new councilwoman
18	my first hearing covered the fund for the city of
19	New York's returnable grant loan program. This is a
20	no interest loan and it's a critical tool for the
21	survival of non-profits actively providing city
22	services prior to finalizing their contract, having
23	it registered, and receiving funds. The city has
24	this administration has taken huge steps to address
25	the issues that HSC raises in the first two years

2	of its administration such as the development of
3	the HHS accelerator much more broadly beyond what
4	it was initially thought to do and which
5	simplifies the procurement process for thousands of
6	providers. Today the committee will hear from MOCS
7	and the folks from the HHC accelerator team about
8	the city's effort to strengthen the provision of
9	human services through more inclusive and efficient
10	contracting that takes into account the needs of
11	both providers and clients. I also believe this
12	administration is making great strides, historic
13	strides in making sure that their contract workers
14	are being paid at least… at least \$15.00 an hour.
15	And I commend them for that. The HH the HSC report
16	ends with a series of recommendations and I'm eager
17	to hear from the administration about its efforts
18	both in the past and currently to implement these
19	recommendations. Before begin I'd like to
20	acknowledge the members of the committee who have
21	joined us today; Peter Koo from Queens, Council
22	Member Deutsch from Brooklyn, yes. And also I want
23	to thank my committee staff without whom we
24	wouldn't be able to have a coherent hearing; Eric
25	Bernstein my Committee Counsel, Kacie Addison [sp?]

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Policy Analyst, John Russell and Brandon West from the Finance Division, and my Legislative Director Sarah Mallory. Our hearing is going to begin with a short video produced by the Human Services Council that I think lays the groundwork for the types of services that we're talking about.

[video playing in background]

ALLISON SESSO: ...just like constructing a house requires different types of materials when you want to construct wellbeing you need to have different types of materials. Human Services are the foundation for wellbeing. Just like constructing a house requires different types of materials when you want to construct wellbeing you need to have different types of materials. You have to have community supports and resources. You need have to social interconnectedness and... and certain interventions. And so in New York those materials for building wellbeing are provided by nonprofit... Services are the foundation for wellbeing. Just like constructing a house requires different types of materials when you want to construct wellbeing you need to have different types of materials. You have to have community supports and resources. You

2.2

2.3

need to have social interconnectedness and... and certain interventions. And so in New York those materials for building wellbeing are provided by nonprofit organizations.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE 2: Human services is all of those things that we need as individuals to be successful, to thrive, to be comfortable, to feel supported. For a lot of folks they need those supports that they... they don't have and they don't have access in their daily lives and they need organizations like goodwill and other nonprofits to make sure that those things are available to them. Goodwill has a long history of working with people with disabilities. People with disabilities have a 20 percent plus unemployment rate. And so one of the things that you know we try and do is to help employers understand that this is a very important group of people that they should think about employing.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Whenever someone first comes into the clubhouse we don't look at the diagnosis. We're... we're not concerned about the diagnosis. We're... we're concerned about the person. The more that we're able to get out there and end

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

2	the stigma, end the shame of mental illness the
3	more people that are going to accept and receive
4	services earlier.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE 2: Well Children's Aid Society is a social service organization that's committed to helping young people be successful and here at this site we're committed to making sure that the young people are not on a pipeline to prison but on a pipeline to college by starting with our early childhood... ages 2.5 and providing services and supports all the way through high school to make sure that our young people go off to college.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE 3: I'll call myself like a son here because they're really nice to me and they're... it's just like... I feel safe here.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE 3: We had an interesting letter shared with us in essence a college application from a young man who talked about... that his father was named Milbank and he's referring to the Milbank Center and what it gave him and how attached he is and what it taught... in essence replaced the role that a father would have

2.2

2.3

in his life. I don't think it gets any more
important than that.

ALLISON SESSO: Good Will and Children's

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Aid Society are members of the Human Services Council and they're two organizations that are of ... 170 of our members so we have a very robust reach across New York Center. If the sector had more money they could take more risks, they could be more entrepreneurial in their thinking and they could think more strategically. Right now they're operating in emergency management mode on a regular basis. And so there's a real missed opportunity. What we do for them is we understand the challenges that they're experiencing and we see how those challenges are across the other members of the human services council. And so we organized a commission and we took a look at what are the financial factors, what are the relationship with government, what's the oversight mechanisms that we have in place, what is the overall model for the nonprofit, and what is broken about it and we've come up with a set of solid recommendations that we think is a way forward. We think that the sector absolutely can survive and can do really well. But

2.2

2.3

there's some serious changes that need to happen if we're going to be able to continue to contribute to communities going forward.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay I'd like to star of that video to come up, Allison Sesso from the Human Services Council. And Allison I'd like you to talk just a little bit about the findings in your report and your recommendations. But I'm going to ask you to limit your time because after the administration testifies I'd like to call you back up with other members of the commission who wrote the report. Okay?

I just want to thank you for your leadership here and I do want to thank the administration for their partnership as well. They really worked us... with us before we put this report out. They worked with us since to really think about how we can address these issues. Oh sure. Yep, is that better? Is that better? Okay. So I do want to just thank all sides and say that this problem is not you know the result of any bad actors. It's... it's been 40 plus years in the making. And it's time that we sort of take a comprehensive look at this. When FEGS fell I

2	think it was a wake-up call for our sector and it
3	was a very scary moment. But there had been
4	closures before that. I think what we realized
5	through this is that it's not about the size of the
6	organization. I think there was an understanding
7	previously that this was about you know only only
8	small organizations couldn't hang here and that
9	that's that's what would really cause nonprofits
10	to fall. And what we really realize is that it's
11	not about size. It's about the margins as you
12	mentioned in your opening the margins are thinning.
13	And it's because… frankly it's just at the end of
14	the day because for human service organizations
15	which 18 percent of them are insolvent and that's a
16	higher rate than than other types of nonprofits.
17	It's because of our relationship with government
18	and the fact that our budgets are 90 plus percent
19	funded with a formula that pays us 80 cents on the
20	dollar. It's not you know rocket science to figure
21	out what the problem is there. Yes. So I just want
22	to say that. So the… so the recommendations…
23	there's three major problems and eight
24	recommendations. One is a round program
25	collaboration in general and they need to bring

nonprofits to the table together. So we asked to be
brought in before RFPs are actually designed and so
that our… we can think about what dollars are
actually available and what we can do to solve a
problem for that cost. The other thing is and this
is not a city created problem but it's one the city
needs to focus on which is this pivot to Medicaid
manage care and the connecting of the healthcare
system and the human services system which
theoretically is a good idea but I think the human
services sector has been largely ignored and for us
to make this pivot given the weakness financially
of the sector is going to be very difficult. And
while the state has created this problem the city
needs to pay attention to it because it's going to
matter for where the agencies that they do business
with and the people that's are in communities most
importantly. And that's the key here that we care
about the community. And then lastly it's about the
oversight mechanisms. There's too many oversight
mechanisms that aren't doing their job. There's a
lot of different paperwork back and forth between
nonprofits and government and we're not sure to
what end. It is not that we don't want to be

2	accountable, we want to be accountable but it's a
3	lot of wasted time and energy on stuff that we're
4	not sure is looked at and it's helpful to making
5	sure we're serving communities well. The next set
6	of of problems is related to underfunding which I
7	talked a little bit about and unpredictable late
8	payments. You talked a lot about this in your
9	opening. I think the key here is the overhead rates
10	and indirect rates that we need to look at. We look
11	at things right now program by program and you
12	know aging versus child care and separate it like
13	that which doesn't allow for there to be room
14	looking at the entity itself that's doing business
15	with the city. And so things like IT, building
16	maintenance, program evaluation, risk assessment,
17	accounting, all things that allow you to be a good
18	steward of public dollars are missing from the
19	system and nonprofits have a hard time investing in
20	those systems. So we need to look at overhead
21	rates. Unfunded mandates every time there's a new
22	policy change that increase our costs there's not
23	necessarily a change in our reimbursement rates.
24	Cost escalations, very few COLAs. One example is
25	the COLA that was included in the budget last year

2	still has not reached the doors of the nonprofits.
3	That's a problem. So this continues to be an issue.
4	So obviously rates; paying up front and timely
5	which we've talked about we can go back to the
6	COLA issue later. Paying up front and timely,
7	obviously we've talked a little bit about this,
8	other places like New Jersey do have a regular
9	payment schedule. It's not necessarily nine months
10	later. It's automatic along the life of the
11	contract every month. And then last we wanted to
12	make sure that we looked made sure that the
13	nonprofit sector itself look itself in the mirror
14	and understood the operating environment that we
15	are in. We do not think that these changes are
16	going to be fixed overnight. We'd love them to be
17	but we also have to own the fact that this is the
18	operating environment. Running a nonprofit in the
19	human services world is a risky business.
20	Unfortunately, it's higher risk than we'd like it
21	to be but nonprofits need to figure out how to have
22	risk management, their boards need to be involved.
23	And then lastly HSC is working on developing an RFP
24	rating system in which we will rate for risk RFPs
25	so that non nonprofit boards and ovocs can have a

is risky.

2.2

2.3

better	sense	of	whether	or	not	something
--------	-------	----	---------	----	-----	-----------

So those are our... our recommendations and I know

you have plenty of providers and others here today

to color these in with exact examples. So I thank

6 you again and I'm going to let other people talk.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Allison. Now I'd like to call up the administration. And I... I just want to thank Michael Owh and Dan Simon from the HHS accelerator... did I say HHC? I did, sorry. And I want to thank you for your patience this morning and taking the time to watch the video, to hear from Allison. I think that was incredibly impressive and respectful and I want you to know how much I respect you guys, you both in the work that you're doing. And I appreciate how difficult the task is. I think my council has to swear you in. Just so you know it's over my objections.

COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the committee today and to respond honestly to council member questions?

MICHAEL OWH: I do.

DAN LEHMAN: I do.

2 MICHAEL OWH: Alright can you hear me? 3 Okay, great. Thank you again. Good afternoon Chair Rosenthal and members... no one can hear me? That's 4 5 not what my staff says. That's good, okay. Good afternoon Chair Rosenthal and members of the City 6 Council Committee on Contracts. My name is Michael Owh and I'm the Director of the Mayor's Office of 8 Contract Services and the City Chief Procurement Officer. Thank you for the opportunity to testify 10 11 today about the city's efforts to strengthen nonprofits and the communities they serve. The city 12 13 recognizes the importance of nonprofit 14 organizations to communities throughout the five 15 boroughs both as service providers and employers 16 and manages a number of initiatives to support the 17 nonprofit organizations. These initiatives have been delivered in close collaboration with 18 19 nonprofits and advocates such as the Asian American 20 Federation, Black Agency Executives, Coalition for Asian American Children and Families, Federation of 21 Protestant Welfare Agencies, Hispanic Federation, 2.2 2.3 Human Services Council, New York Immigration Coalition, The New York Urban League, UJA 24

Federation, United Neighborhood Houses as well as

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

others. The city through its city service agencies MOCS and HHS accelerator is committed to offering quality services to clients and supporting our nonprofit providers throughout the procurement and contracting process. MOCS provides specific support to nonprofit providers as well as the city's human service agencies. In partnership with the council MOCS provides regular free trainings to nonprofit professionals and board members including subjects such as financial management and budgeting, board development and strategic planning, volunteer management, fundraising, and contracting with the city. To date MOCS has trained more than 4,000 nonprofit leaders and has provided trainings for council members and their staff. MOCS also operates in NYC nonprofits helpline and responds to more than 10,000 requests for assistance annually from nonprofits on questions related to city contracts as well as nonprofit governance. In addition, MOCS has completed hundreds of governance reviews which we leverage to develop and disseminate best practices for nonprofits in an effort to reduce risks for providers as well as a city. MOCS manages a group purchasing program which allows nonprofits

2 to maximize savings through volume purchasing and 3 provides regular engagement through our helpline, website, and social media. MOCS also partners 4 5 closely with the HHS accelerator team which is dedicated to reducing administrative burden and 6 7 improving the business relationship between providers and city agencies through a series of 8 activities. Collaborating with city agencies to standardize and simplify requests for proposals, a 10 11 pregualification process where providers share their organizational profile and submit critical 12 13 background documents once every three years, electronic issuance of RFPs and submission of 14 15 proposals, electronic submission of budgets and 16 invoices, a consolidated view of contract financial 17 data and provider activity, and increased 18 transparency for providers and agencies allowing 19 providers to track the status of all procurements, 20 proposals, contracts, budgets, invoices, payments, and amendments in the accelerator system. In 21 addition to facilitating these operational benefits 2.2 2.3 HHS accelerator is at its core a service organization committed to providing support to its 24 users and stakeholders. Since the launch of 25

2	accelerator in 2013 system users have grown by to
3	more than 7,000. We have more than 2,000
4	organizations, mostly nonprofits prequalified and
5	ready to respond to human service RFPs through the
6	system. The accelerator team has conducted 255
7	trainings or 1,878 provider staff and 91 trainings
8	for 697 city staff of the various functional
9	components of the system. And those numbers
10	continue to grow as we offer ongoing training in
11	person and online. The accelerator team is also
12	present at each agency RFP pre-proposal conference
13	to ensure providers are aware of what is necessary
14	to compete. In addition, as an RFP due date
15	approaches the accelerator team reaches out to
16	providers to ensure that they feel comfortable
17	working with the system and know how to submit. The
18	city has issued 93 RFPs through the acceleration
19	system thus far resulting in 1,451 awards. In
20	addition to RFP's usage of accelerator financials
21	the portal for contract budgets, invoices, and
22	payments is also on the rise. In fiscal two 2015
23	financials managed 591 million dollars and 499
24	contract budgets across six agencies. In fiscal
25	2016 this has grown to 1.2 billion dollars and 656

2	contract budgets across nine agencies and in fiscal
3	2017 we expect financials will manage more than
4	1,900 contracts and more than two billion dollars
5	in contract funding. This shift to standardize
6	management of human service contract budgets,
7	invoices, and payments not only reduces
8	administrative burden for both the city and
9	nonprofits by removing paper and providing
10	financial controls but also provides tremendous
11	transparency for providers as a manager of city
12	funding portfolio. This administration understands
13	the importance of strengthening communities through
14	human service contracting and is committed to
15	rethinking the process for procuring human services
16	to ensure that the process reflects the
17	administration's values. As the administration
18	moves forward with ambitious reforms it is
19	essential that our nonprofit sector is strong and
20	viable to achieve these goals. The city currently
21	contracts with approximately 1,200 organizations
22	for four billion dollars annually in human services
23	funding. A healthy sector requires diversity and we
24	have been working with city agencies and our
	1

nonprofit stakeholders to promote equity through

2.2

community based program design in each RFP,
increase access and community outreach during the
RFP development proposal process, support the
capacity of community based organizations, and
further reduce administrative burdens. We are
committed to addressing the needs of the sector to
ultimately better serve the residents of New York
City. We look forward to working together with the
council to support the New York City nonprofit
sector. Thank you again for the opportunity to
testify today. I would be happy to answer any
questions.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. David you want to chime... chime in yet? No, okay. So again I appreciate your being here. You know it's funny having MOCS here. You provide the overview of the contract procurement process but... and I know because you're... you have a great background you'll be able to help us within site into the agency process as well. So I really appreciate your being here. I want to ask a question on page three of your testimony. And it looks like you're really ramping up on the HHS accelerator. Do you have a goal for... of how you want to ramp this up... a

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	strategic plan that you know you want to grow it by
3	another 100 contracts each year or how is it that
4	you think about it?
5	MICHAEL OWH: The expectation is that
6	all human service contracts and new RFPs will be
7	going through HHS accelerator.
8	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: By when?
9	MICHAEL OWH: Immediately. So any new
10	RFP that comes out that's human service related
11	then would have to go through accelerator.
12	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right. And in
13	way you can pace that because the RFPs are like
14	three year or six years so…
15	MICHAEL OWH: Exactly.
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay got it. So
17	do you guys in your review get to see… get a… do
18	you look at the solvency, the fiscal solvency of a
19	nonprofit as you're making the award?
20	MICHAEL OWH: So at the award phase
21	there is a responsibility determination where we
22	check on the business integrity of the

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mm-hmm.

23

24

organization.

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

2.2

MICHAEL OWH: And there may be some financial components to that review. I don't think that financial solvency itself is... is something that we look at every time but if there is a question about solvency then that would go towards the responsibility of the provider.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it. And that would go to... Would MOCS do that review or the agency?

MICHAEL OWH: The agency would do that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh, okay. Great.

MICHEAL: And MOCS would review.

State of New... it's my understanding that the state of New Jersey's Department of Human Services has a policy which my committee counsel very kindly printed out and highlighted. And it authorizes initial advance payments on human service contracts whereby departments may issue the first payment to providers on or after the date in which the contract takes affect but before expenses are incurred or services are provided. Such payments are based on one month's estimated expenditures with the ability to secure greater initial payment

where necessary to prevent providers from incurring cash flow problems. Does the city have a similar mechanism for upfront payments to providers? Would you consider adopting a similar policy to the one that New Jersey does?

MICHAEL OWH: We... our current standard human service contract has a provision that allows for advances. And I believe that most agencies take advantage of this provision for advances of... between one to three months is what we usually see.

I'm realizing when I sort of read through this last night it seemed to indicate that those things would be done but it was also after the contract had been approved. I wonder is there a difference between approved and registered and how much time that might take and when the advancement might happen. So for the city... our contracts we can... the agencies cannot access funds until the contracts are registered by the comptroller. And so we would wait until that occurred.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And so... okay great that's very helpful. Would you consider... would... is there any legal reason why we can't

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

2.2

2.3

advance money with a strong claw back provision	to
an agency to a provider once they start providi	ng
the service and before the contract's registered	

MICHAEL OWH: So generally how the advancements work now for city funded contracts where advances are allowable we would give the advance at the beginning of the contract term and then usually at the annual... so if the contract started July 1 every July 1 you'd get an advance.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mm.

MICHAEL OWH: And then the claw back would happen in the... in the last quarter of the contract.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And that's when like the audit would happen...

MICHAEL OWH: The audits could happen around that time. It could also happen after the contract year end.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Sorry we're going down a path that I didn't mean to go down.

What if there's a contract where they start providing the service and then the city decides not to continue with that provider. Does that ever happen?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS	3
2	MICHAEL OWH: It could happen for a	
3	variety of reasons but we would pay for the	
4	services rendered to that point where the decision	
5	was made to	
6	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.	
7	MICHAEL OWH:move away from that	
8	provider.	
9	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do they start	
10	providing the service before the contract is	
11	signed?	
12	MICHAEL OWH: General we do have	
13	contracts that that start providing services	
14	before registration occurs and before the payment	
15	is able to be issued. And in those instances we	
16	would offer depending on the need of the provider	
17	we would offer a cash flow loan through the fund	
18	for the city of New York and the	
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Great.	
20	MICHAEL OWH:returnable grant fund.	
21	And so we try to	
22	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it.	
23	MICHAEL OWH:come up with strategies	

for addressing some of these situations.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 32
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So that's the
3	returnable grants fund.
4	MICHAEL OWH: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And I'm just
6	curious about that. I'm going to sort of jump
7	around. Oh I'd like to mention that two council
8	members have joined us; Council Member Corey
9	Johnson from Manhattan and Council Member Daneek
10	Miller from Queens. Can I just finish this line and
11	then if you guys have any questions and just so
12	you guys know this is this is a Sorry. This is an
13	oversight hearing just about the human services
14	contract report, thank you. The returnable grant
15	fund is there ever a time when the fund does not
16	have money to loan out? I remember learning two
17	years ago when we had a hearing with them that
18	their you know loss rate was tiny, you know like
19	quarter of a percent or half a percent. So I'm not
20	worried about that but are there times when there's
21	no money for them to lend out?
22	MICHAEL OWH: So we haven't had that

MICHAEL OWH: So we haven't had that experience.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

1	
2	
3	C
4	2
5	ć
6	
7	ľ
8	Ç
9	ć
10	
11	t
12	
13	ć
14	ľ
15	
	ll .

MICHAEL OWH: And we try to work very closely with the agencies and... and the fund to make sure that we're managing the... the amounts accordingly so that we don't have that experience.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: When do the providers get information about the returnable grant fund. Is that like piece of paper that is always given out with the RFP or sort of at what juncture do they... can they start thinking about that?

MICHAEL OWH: So I... I don't know if agencies provide the information during the proposal conference but I do know that a lot of the information does occur once the awards are selected and a lot of agencies do orientations for new providers or for new contracts coming into the portfolio. And so during that time I expect announcement is made. That's usually when I would have... have made the announcement about...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mm-hmm.

MICHAEL OWH: ...the fund. We also have on our website information constantly about the fund as well as an application.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 3
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: You answered my
3	next question. Thank you. Do you watch the
4	seasonality of the loan fund and have how much are
5	they do they have to loan out now? I thought it
6	was roughly around 100 million. I don't know why
7	that's in my head.
8	MICHAEL OWH: I can get that [cross-
9	talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay no problem.
11	MICHAEL OWH:dollar amount for you. I
12	can
13	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
14	MICHAEL OWH: Yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And if you could
16	get that to me that would be great. And then also I
17	didn't tell you I was going to ask that question so
18	my apologies. Also if it's separated out for
19	different programs so if there's an amount that's
20	explicitly for UPK or homeless services, whatever
21	it is if you could break it up that way.
22	MICHAEL OWH: I will.
23	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay great.
24	Thank you. Council Member Johnson did you have a

question? Please.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Madam 3 Chair. First of all thank you for having this hearing because this is a very important issue and 4 5 one that we hear about all the time so I really appreciate the fact that you're having this hearing 6 7 today. I want to thank you all for being here and ... 8 and just indulge me while I maybe ask some questions that may seem totally logical... logically answered or if ones that I would know but I just 10 11 want to fully understand this because I chair the 12 Health Committee in the Council. And I work with the chair of our Contracts Committee on the issue 13 14 that we're talking about today which is the council 15 has many initiatives, many of them are human services and health initiatives and the 16 17 organizations that the council designates through 18 those initiatives, organizations that we really 19 care about and have worked with for years have 20 historic relationships with and who provide so many of these local community services we hear time and 21 time and time again that it can take 10 months, 12 2.2 2.3 months, 14 months, 16 months, 18 months to see the money that was designated to them. And when I go to 24

the health department and I talk to the health

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

2	department about that the health department talks
3	about you know how stringent the city's procurement
4	law is and that it is always going to take a long
5	time. And reading the committee report on the
6	SeaChange survey and analysis and report that was
7	done I just want to understand a few things. So
8	the council designates an organization whether it
9	be through our own individual discretionary expense
10	dollars or whether it be through initiative
11	dollars [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And I'm sorry
13	can I interrupt you just… [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:real quick and
16	keep going and whatever. I just want to make clear
17	that I… this hearing is not about the city's… the
18	city council
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:discretionary
21	awards or initiative funds. This hearing is about
22	the HHS HSC report which talks about a lot of the
23	other contracts that you deal with on the health

committee… more like… I'm making this up because I

2	don't really know but I just am going to try here,
3	like the doctor the council on Ryker

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: The doctor... [cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...} \mbox{contract... yeah}$ on Ryker's for healthcare.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Is that a fair statement? Did I... is that... I'm looking to people to help me out here. There are other types of contracts. I just want to make sure that we're not wading into waters that the administration is not prepared to answer. So I just want to get that out there. Council Member...

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: No, no I want to be... I don't want to ask the question if... if I'm... if I'm going down the wrong path. What... what I'm trying to understand is it looks like this is talking about... the committee report that I'm looking at right here is talking about challenges facing nonprofits in our city's contracting and... and they all have to go through a similar MOCS process which is VENDEX and the other things that

2.2

get done. And my only sort of question is is
what's the and I think the chair was hinting at
this in her questions before this which is when a
contract is given to MOCS from a city agency and
the council has approved it or a council hasn't
approved it, it just goes through an agency or city
hall decides it's going through the procurement
process why is there such a why is there such a
long lag time between it being chosen or designated
by a particular agency and the money actually
getting to that service provider? I mean it depends
on the city agency right? Some agencies take longer
than others.

MICHAEL OWH: So I just want to clarify exactly the question. So are you specifically asking about discretionary contracts or just generally the whole contracting process?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Well both in some ways. I'm trying to... trying to differentiate why some... why some of these can move more quickly than others.

MICHAEL OWH: So... so I'll talk a little bit about the discretionary process just very quickly. As you know they... we work very closely

with the council once the awards are made to go 2 3 through a... a clearance process... a prequalification 4 and clearance process. And then at that point once an award is cleared by MOCS and the council then it would go to the agencies for contract development 6 7 and ultimately registration. So this year we actually... as part of our... our initiatives to make 8 the process more efficient we are allowing the prequalification to happen online through 10 11 accelerators normal prequalification process in 12 lieu of the paper process that existed before. And so we think that should free up some time early on 13 14 in terms of the clearance and we hope that that 15 will actually make things more efficient. Once it 16 gets to the agency MOCS generally reviews things at 17 the award phase when we... when we see a contract and 18 the selection is made with the responsibility 19 determination and other factors that are defined. 20 We... I'm... I'm not sure exactly in terms of the lag 21 time that you're referring to. I think you know there are a lot of... a lot of them are very fact 2.2 2.3 specific depending on the contract. There might be a variety of reasons why certain things take longer 24 than others but generally we don't see ... we don't 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

see a... a huge lag time at least from my experience on that... for that particular task.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Madam Chair I apologize that I was going in a direction that... that we... that this hearing was not about. The... the reason why I asked is because you know the... the tens if not hundreds of millions of dollars that either go through the RFP process because they're baselined or do not go through the RFP process because they're council designated and they go through a transparency resolution here at the council. The ... the dozens if not hundreds of health and human service organizations that come to us and they say you designated us... and I have many that contacted me this month who said the council designated us last June either through designations or we won it through RFP and were told by the agency that we won it through RFP. And now we're three months from the end of the fiscal year and they still haven't seen their money. And so that ... that is what I was trying to get at to understand the relationship between MOCS and the particular agency that the contract is going through and how we can get money to them more quickly given that

2	we've seen so much insolvency and payroll to
3	payroll issues for these nonprofit organizations
1	that are health and human service related. So
5	that's what I was trying to get at

2.2

2.3

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I feel a second} \\ \mbox{hearing coming on.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay.

MICHAEL OWH: Council Member I just ...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

MICHAEL OWH: ...want to say that we share you know in your concern and the goal of getting money faster. I remember when I was actually at an agency even the \$3,500 contracts to a tenant association or a block association, these organizations you know better than I do they are made up of volunteers. And so they're not... they have regular jobs that they have to go to on a daily basis. They can't always come down to us at our schedule and... and making sure that they were being serviced in... in the best and most efficient way possible is something that we share so I'd love to talk to you more about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you for indulging me Madam Chair.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And I also want
3	to welcome Council Member Costa Constantinides who
4	does not have a difficult name to pronounce unlike
5	Council Member or Garodnick… or Groncheck
6	[phonetic]. Okay. So I'm going to continue did
7	hang on one second. Alright you're back to me I'm
8	afraid. This is a little bit we're segwaying all
9	over the place. But and if you could explain this
10	a little better than I'm going to I'd appreciate
11	it. MOCS has recommended that the Vendex threshold
12	be raised to applied to aggregate contract awards
13	of \$250,000 and it's currently at \$100,000. If you
14	could take one minute to explain that sentence and
15	after that let us know approximately how many
16	vendors would be exempt from Vendex questionnaires
17	if the threshold were raised to \$250,000.
18	MICHAEL OWH: So I'm going to have to
19	get that second question I'll get that [cross-
20	talk]

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.

MICHAEL OWH: ...data to you after this hearing. But as a matter of local law we have to collect Vendex information, a vendor questionnaire,

21

22

23

2 as well as a... as well as multiple principle 3 questionnaires. And the vendor questionnaire...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Here.

MICHAEL OWH: There you go. ...actually has about 20 or so questions and about 50... 50... over 50 conditional questions and that's just the start in terms of the questionnaire process. And there are additional principle questionnaires which I think there are about a dozen and then again conditional questions about 36 or so. And that's multiple questionnaires. So you can see that for a vendor that... that... for a provider and other vendors that do business with the city within a 12-month period that have an aggregate of \$100,000 or more of business with the city you would have to complete that paperwork either every time once every three years or every time there's also a change. There's also a process where we ask for a notarized form when there's no change which is a little bit faster but still a process. And so that... we're... we're hoping to increase the threshold to allow for... for providers to be able to... to ease some burden to some providers.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it. And just
3	to be clear if the aggregate contracts are less
4	than 100,000 they don't have to fill out all this
5	paperwork?
6	MICHAEL OWH: Not the complete form,
7	yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And is
9	this paperwork on or moving onto the HHS
10	accelerator or is this paperwork that is not?
11	MICHAEL OWH: The Vendex process right
12	now is managed by a group at MOCS. We have we we
13	handle the data entry and the review process and
14	the customer service related to those forms. But
15	but to the extent that that Vendex form might be
16	needed to be attached to a proposal to an RFP then
17	providers can provide that documentation through
18	accelerator.
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay sorry. I
20	it turns out women can't multitask as well as so
21	could you just say that again?
22	MICHAEL OWH: Sure. So in response to an
23	RFP a provider might have to provide one of those

Vendex forms, assertive no change or what have you.

And so as part of the proposal submission they can

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 4
2	attach that document with their proposal. And so to
3	that extent accelerator is managing some of the
4	flow of Vendex documentation but the questionnaires
5	themselves are not an accelerator.
6	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And could
7	you envision a world when it would be all digital
8	and not paper?
9	MICHAEL OWH: That's one of my dreams.
10	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: We can all
11	dream, right. Okay. Yeah I mean Wow.
12	MICHAEL OWH: And actually you know one
13	of the ways that we could require it is through a
14	local law that would allow us to require the forms
15	to be online.
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And it would we
17	need state approval for that?
18	MICHAEL OWH: Not for the not for the
19	electronic portion.
20	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But there would
21	have to be local law for that to happen.
22	MICHAEL OWH: We can offer it right now
23	as an option.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

MICHAEL OWH: But in order to require we would need a local law.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh. Ooh. I feel legislative requests coming on. Okay in fiscal year '15 MOCS reported that the percentage of significantly retroactive contracts, those registered more than 30 days after the start date increased by 44 percent over the historic low of eight percent in 2014. There was also a corresponding increase in the number of days these contracts were retroactive from 41 days in fiscal year 14 to 90 days retroactive in 2015. I'm sure part of the answer to that is that you know the years in which a three or six or nine-year contract comes due. Are there other factors that fed into that?

MICHAEL OWH: I think the last two years in particular we've seen an increase in funding for the sector as well. More programs, more new programs, or an expansion of existing programs. And so you know our goal at the end of the... at the end of the day is to have no contracts be retroactive. But if we have a choice I think one of the... one of the interesting things about this job and I think

2	for the agencies is always balancing sort of the
3	choices that we have and if we had a choice between
4	making sure that the service was started on time
5	versus getting a contract registered you know a
6	little later we would always make the choice to get
7	the… the… the services started first. And one
8	example of that was back in 2014 when the mayor
9	wanted to expand middle school programs for for
10	all middle school students we I think new in order
11	to get the programs up and running or even allow
12	for… we made some decisions. We said we wanted to
13	make sure the providers could access funds starting
14	in July 1 to account for some of the startup
15	funding that happens even if programs start on
16	September 1. And so we made we knew that the
17	contract would be late but we were able to use
18	some… we were able to leverage accelerator, work
19	with the providers, and also leverage some creative
20	proposals, proposal processes to make sure that we
21	release an RFP in March. We got awards made in June
22	and providers were able to start up even though we
23	couldn't get the contract registered by July 1 they
24	were able to start up by then. And so I think those
25	are some of the some of the things that we're

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

working on. But again we... we know that it's a challenge for retroactive contracts and we hope

4 | that someday soon that that number is zero.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: You know I'm trying to think of a comparable on the construction side. I mean it wouldn't be the case ... if you said I have to build a bridge right... the contractor, the contract would be signed, sealed, and delivered before payment, before work started and before payment started. And similarly you must have sort of retainer contracts for emergency work, emergency bridge work or... But the decision for those contracts when they're on retainer or you know when they're there to do emergency work like we have now a major sinkhole at 96 and Broadway... or West End Avenue actually and DOT is out there and Con Ed's out there. And it's all contractors of course. It's not the agency itself, it's contractors doing the work. Could we ever have those types of contracts with human service providers where you know we know we're going to ... we know ... we already have select ... you've already done an amazing job selecting the after school providers. And they're in the... in the system. And if next year population grows and we

need three more placements and we're going to extend the contract of some providers who are currently there would that be an opportunity... are there contracts like that? I'm trying to figure out how we move the human service contract world where you're paid by what is available to pay to the construction contract world where you're paid for the cost of the... the true cost of a service. Would there be an opportunity for that?

MICHAEL OWH: I think in terms of the retainer style contracting that you were thinking about I think that's a really interesting idea. I would love to explore that more. One of the things that we're actually exploring is thinking about the marriage between the provider... the actual services and then also technical assistance and capacity building services that we can offer providers. And if we could maybe do retainer style contracts for those type of services then we could actually assist nonprofits as they build programs or if they run into issues or support them as a... as a just go through the natural growth as an organization. But I haven't thought of it in the... exactly the way

2	that	you	described	it	and	would	love	to	talk	to	you
3	more	aboı	ıt that.								

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Great. Thank you. And I think in a way small business services does... do that for small... electrical firms.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MICHAEL}}$ OWH: Right. And they do it for $$\operatorname{\textsc{MWBEs}}$ and...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right.

MICHAEL OWH: ...so it's a good model.

is... is that these are providers who know what they're doing and who are like prime contractors who really are already there and doing the service well and it's concerning to think they're being paid 80 cents on the dollar and trying to figure out how we get there. I don't know is that more of a budget discussion than a MOCS discussion?

it's really complicated right. I think it's also...

depends on the organization. So some organizations

may... it may cost them you know X dollars to provide

a service and another organization it might cost

them a different dollar amount depending on how the

organization is structure. And so I... I... I feel like

1
T

_

_

it's... it's definitely... it's something that we talk to HSC and other organizations about a lot. Like how do we... how do we come to a place where we understand what the true cost is and I think it... it makes sense to continue the conversation and figure out ways to do that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right. So providing homeless services in Manhattan where rent is a lot more... is a lot higher. It would be unreasonable to be able to expect them to be able to find a place that would cost the same amount. Council Member Constantinides has left but the same amount in you know the outer... farther... outer boroughs where it might be less expensive. Not casting any aspersions one way or the other but where it just might be cheaper for the rent.

MICHAEL OWH: Right. And in... and in that instance I think the proposal process would allow for the... for rent and other things to be included as part of that... that shelter service. But again it would... like you said it depends on where you are, who the organization is as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Let's see... oh do you know of any human service vendors who

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
have had to either in addition or in lieu of the
returnable grant fund had to borrow from a bank to
pay for the services that they're providing?
MICHAEL OWH: I don't have any specific
examples but I do know that organizations do have
other lines of credit as well as loans for various
needs.
CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Does the city
ever cover the cost of those interest payments if
the… if the nonprofit has to you know get a loan?
MICHAEL OWH: if they have to get a loan
to provide the actual service?
CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah and they
they for some reason they're maxed out or there's
no money left available at the returnable grant
fund. I know the criminal justice agency when they
testified two years ago they talked about having to

grant hen they having to take out millions of dollars in loans above and beyond whatever the returnable grant fund could provide them.

MICHAEL OWH: So... I... I would like to talk to you about that one because I think... what we encourage is if it's for the contracted services we would encourage use of the... the loan fund because...

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 5
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Is there a cap
3	on how much they loan out to any single provider?
4	MICHAEL OWH: We look at the amount as
5	part of the application process. The agency also
6	looks at the amount to see what's appropriate but
7	there's no hard cap on that.
8	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay great. Do
9	you know how much money was loaned out last year?
10	MICHAEL OWH: I do.
11	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Or
12	MICHAEL OWH: Yeah I actually have it.
13	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:you can get it,
14	it's no rush but also do you have
15	MICHAEL OWH: I'll get it to you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:a sense of this
17	year maybe how much money they have available to
18	loan and how much they've loaned out this year?
19	MICHAEL OWH: I'll I'll get the data to
20	you.
21	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh great. Thank
22	you.
23	MICHAEL OWH: Actually here we go.
24	That's why you bring the team. So for fiscal '15 we

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 5
2	had we loaned out six 765 loans for a total of
3	77.2 million dollars.
4	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Can you say that
5	again? It's really hard to hear you.
6	MICHAEL OWH: Oh. Seven
7	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Can you sit
8	really close to
9	MICHAEL OWH: Yeah.
10	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:the mic, thank
11	you.
12	MICHAEL OWH: 765 loans for a total of
13	77.2 million dollars in fiscal '15 and we will get
14	you the fiscal '16 numbers as well.
15	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Do you
16	know of 700 so you gave out 765 loans? We contract
17	with the fund for City of New York to give out the
18	loans. Do you know how many nonprofits applied for
19	loans? In other words, did all the ones that apply
20	get the loan?
21	MICHAEL OWH: I can get the exact figure
22	for you but just based on my memory any application
23	that made it to us they were funded. But the
24	agencies actually handle the first line for the

application so they may have... they may have not

2	sent the application over to MOCS for disbursement								
3	because maybe the contract was already registered								
4	by that time or some other… some other reason.								
5	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And do you								
6	know times when the fund has rejected a request for								
7	a loan?								
8	MICHAEL OWH: If we if the city								
9	approves it								
10	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Ah.								
11	MICHAEL OWH:I have I do not.								
12	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: It already goes								
13	over approved?								
14	MICHAEL OWH: Right. Right. I don't								
15	remember								
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do they ever go								
17	over… do nonprofits ever go over without a								
18	recommendation from you, sort of on their own or								
19	no?								
20	MICHAEL OWH: Not through this program,								
21	no.								
22	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Hang on								
23	I'm just taking notes. Alright if we could move								
24	onto the notion of a concept paper before RFP is								
25	produced can the administration provide specific								

/

examples of recent agency programs that have had significant input from providers at the concept paper development stage. And you gave a great training by the way to my staff to understand how that all works and thank you for that. My staff is still... so...

examples from agencies directly but I will say that we've been looking at and working with agencies as well as the law department and other stakeholders to see how... how best we can use the concept paper process. So one of the... one of the recommendations that we are making two agencies is to do more focus groups, more outreach to the providers, to academics, to the community itself before putting together an RFP. That may mean that the... paper actually goes out way further...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Higher.

MICHAEL OWH: ...before the RFP is actually released. And so you know we're hoping that we can... you... you'll see more of those.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you have a sense that the agencies feel they have enough funding to be able to do that? In other words,

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 5
2	yeah that they have the overhead necessary to
3	properly pull together an RFP, to do multiple focus
4	groups or
5	MICHAEL OWH: We haven't heard any
6	feedback to suggest otherwise. All the agencies in
7	terms of this idea have been very supportive and
8	and I think they're looking forward to it as well.
9	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you know if
10	OMB gives funding for that?
11	MICHAEL OWH: I I think it would be
12	part
13	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: You wouldn't be
14	expected to know but I'm curious.
15	MICHAEL OWH: I mean it's part of the
16	RFP design management program development. And so I
17	think that current currently agencies are set up
18	to do this. It's just a different way of doing it,
19	not doing something new let let's say but doing it
20	maybe at a different time and changing maybe the
21	manner that
22	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And then
23	just a quick question on the notion of multiple

then audits that the video touched on. The Human Services Council recommends that the city accept

24

2.2

2.3

the federal OMB A-133 single audit document... a document I know we're all familiar with from non-profits where available in lieu of its own audits and if more program information is needed to supplement this form with program specific questions which has been done in other states like New Jersey and Connecticut would the administration consider adopting this approach for New York City and what would be your concerns or what would be the hurdles to doing that?

MICHAEL OWH: So we recognize the administrative burdens and specifically related to these audit. I think this is another question of balance. The agencies I know have... feel like they have to audit or they might have requirements to audit for each contract that they have with an organization. And on the organizational side I completely understand the burden and the time that it takes to respond to each one of those. And so we're looking at ways to ease that burden to make the process much more efficient. Just this year MOCS actually took over management of the city's shared services audit contract portfolio. And so we're using that as an opportunity to discuss with

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

agencies and others on how best to use that tool.

And we're again engaging with the sector and

talking to non-profits directly about how to... how...

what... what makes sense. Like what... what is going to

actually address the potential risks that agencies

in the city may feel is occurring and how... how best

to deal with the administrative burdens that the

organizations feel as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: You know there was ABNY panel discussion about the human services cancel report and I'm forgetting the number but David Rivel from the Jewish Board said how many days... or times his organizations get audited. I'm forgetting the number. It was a very large number. And it's good to hear that... 240 or something, it was a large number. And it's good to hear that you'd be working with agencies to figure out how to minimize that number. That's something that I would be interested in pursuing with you. It does seem like the ... sense I got from that panel discussion was that all other work shuts down when an inspector comes in and so these organizations that are just trying to do... you know provide these services for people with real needs they're really

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

		distracted	bу	the	audit	process,	the	inspectors
--	--	------------	----	-----	-------	----------	-----	------------

3 coming in. And it strikes me that there would be

4 opportunities to consolidate that. So thank you.

5 Glad you're interested in working on it. Who... could

6 you tell me again who... who figures out what the

indirect cost should be in any contract?

MICHAEL OWH: So generally indirect costs are developed by the organization, so by the nonprofit provider. There are depending on the program and the funding stream there are either caps or floors for each contract. But the nonprofit itself would be the one developing its own indirect rate.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And so they would be putting that in as part of their bid?

MICHAEL OWH: As... as part of their

budget in their proposal.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mm-hmm. Okay. Do you... are you aware of the federal OMB guideline, the new federal OMB guideline requiring that a 10 percent indirect cost that's included in the federal contract be passed along to providers and have a sense of where the city is on implementing

_	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	that? And these are for federal grants that are
3	passed down to cities or localities.
4	MICHAEL OWH: So I believe that we are

2.2

2.3

for the vast majority of our contracts are federally funded and... and are... are covered under the OMB super circular. I believe that we are in compliance. In a few limited instances I know we're working on... through implementation of the compliance plan.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So compliance means that 10 percent indirect cost is included in the payment to the provider.

MICHAEL OWH: That we would accept a minimum of 10 percent in the... in the budget line as part of their contract.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right. Just... is this an unfunded mandate by the federal government? In other words, are agencies able to... organizations able to provide as much service if they include the 10 percent indirect costs?

MICHAEL OWH: I'm going to look into that for you. The... the federal government is not... from what I understand is not giving more funding to account for this particular floor.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 6
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And they're not
3	giving more funding which okay so it okay so I'm
4	going to call it an unfunded mandate.
5	MICHAEL OWH: Well but you know indirect
6	itself I think is just very complicated and I know
7	that the federal the federal circular also applies
8	to certain programs that aren't covered by their
9	own legislation. So certain programs that have
10	indirect funding allocated within the legislation
11	wouldn't be covered under that right. And there's
12	also… it's…
13	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Complicated.
14	MICHAEL OWH: It's very complicated.
15	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But not
16	impossible.
17	MICHAEL OWH: And actually even [cross-
18	talk]
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And then go
20	MICHAEL OWH: Go ahead.
21	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:go ahead.
22	MICHAEL OWH: No, go.
23	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm wondering is
24	it your interpretation of that 10 percent overhead
25	that that's part of the provider's budget or is

_	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 00
2	that 10 percent supposed to cover the cost of a
3	state or a locality passing on the money for that
4	program?
5	MICHAEL OWH: So the… the rate itself is
6	for each organization.
7	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. I've heard
8	stories about states taking out a piece of that.
9	The state taking out a piece and saying we need
10	this money to administer passing along this
11	contract. But that's not something New York City
12	does or that you've really heard of?
13	MICHAEL OWH: I'm not familiar with
14	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.
15	MICHAEL OWH:that particular issue.
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. I think
17	I'm going to stop because it's been a long time.
18	And you've been so kind in answering all these
19	questions. We have more so we're going to send them
20	to you. And I hope someone from your staff can stay
21	and hear the concerns of the nonprofits who are
22	going to testify now. And I look forward to working
23	with you to come up with a great procurement

MICHAEL OWH: Thank you very much.

reform.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 6
2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Alright. Next
3	I'm going to call up first the people who were the
4	organizations that were on the commission that
5	produced the report. So I know that's Allison Sesso
6	from the Human Services Council and I know that's
7	Marla Simpson from Brooklyn Community Services but
8	Allison can you give me a hand? Are there a couple
9	other organizations here that were on your
10	commission?
11	ALLISON SESSO: Yes, there's a number of
12	them. UJA federation was part of it. Ian Benjamin
13	was one of the commissioners from NPCC. Pat Jenny
14	from New York Community Trust. Sorry what [cross-
15	talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: How many did you
17	say so far?
18	ALLISON SESSO: That's one, two, three.
19	I'm sorry who else was on the actual commission.
20	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Urban Pathways.
21	Okay we can have two panels. Don't worry it's
22	over.
23	ALLISON SESSO: Yeah.
24	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So although I

I'm going to ask you to... limit each of you to limit

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	your colleagues to three minutes each and if we run
3	out of time we'll we may have to shorten it. I
4	apologize. And there is a gentleman here, Doctor
5	Jeremy…
6	ALLISON SESSO: Kohomban.
7	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Who I
8	know has to leave. Is he… was he part of the
9	original group?
10	ALLISON SESSO: He he should be up here
11	as well, yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay so
13	ALLISON SESSO:part of
14	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:if I could ask
15	thank you for moving that around. So introduce
16	yourself so my general counsel knows who's here
17	because I've thoroughly confused him now. Allison
18	why don't we start with you and then move on. And I
19	just want to make sure the clock at three minutes.
20	Thank you.
21	ALLISON SESSO: Okay so I'm going to
22	take my three minutes. My name is Allison Sesso.
23	I'm the Executive Director of Human Services
24	Council. My I'm right at the mic. I just wanted to

point out a couple of specific things that the

2	council could do in terms of actions related to the
3	report. Before I laid out our recommendations
4	overall but I wanted to be specific to the council.
5	On indirect I believe that you could think about
6	legislating here. We are happy to talk to you more
7	extensively about what that would look like. You
8	had very good questions about the OMB guidance and
9	the indirect rates and how they're set. It is a
10	extremely complicated issue but I think we have to
11	base it in the reality that when you're working in
12	an environment whether you're paying 80 cents on
13	the dollar paying the overhead rate gets much more
14	complicate. And so that's why in part the indirect
15	rates are low because we're not we're not looking
16	at all program costs and we're not paying what it
17	really costs and and so that conversation needs to
18	happen and I think we also need to be have a real
19	moment in which we look at what what our
20	willingness is to pay more money. You talked about
21	an this being an unfunded mandate, we may need to
22	understand that that we have to reduce what we
23	expect from providers and what the city is buying
24	if they are not willing to put more money in. That
25	is not our priority here. We would prefer there to

2	be more money to pay for overhead but we have to be
3	realistic about what's available in the budget and
4	we still need to fund the program as a whole
5	including indirect and overhead. Cost escalation I
6	think we have a real problem here. We would like on
7	the OTPS side for the council to do a one year 2.5
8	percent increase on the OTPS lines of all city
9	contracts and it's we understand it's one-year
10	funding and it can go for general operating support
11	and we estimate it would cost between 20 and 30
12	million dollars. Cost of living adjustments and
13	minimum wage adjustments we would want some
14	assistance here in working with the administration
15	to ensure that we get paid quickly on COLAs and
16	that this coming year's budget includes at least a
17	five percent cost of living adjustment and there's
18	a conversation about we appreciate that the mayor
19	has agreed that he's going to raise the minimum
20	wage and we support that and that he's going to
21	fund it which is incredible but we have to talk
22	about compression funding and so what it means to
23	the rest of the workforce impacted. We want to talk
24	also about the idea that Vendex, you talked a
25	little bit about this that we can do legislation

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2	here	and	we	should	talk	more	extensive

here and we should talk more extensively about that. That's something that the council can do. And then in terms of risk management I think more hearings like this that really... specific RFPs as they come out that draw out the risks associated with individual RFPs particularly once we have our raider out to working conjunction on that would be very helpful.

DOCTOR KOHOMBAN: Right. I think I'm on. Thank you Chair Rosenthal and the committee. I'm Doctor Jeremy Kohomban and I represent four organizations that employ over 1,500 New Yorkers. Together the Children's Village Harlem Dowling Inwood House and the Bridge Builders Community Partnership in High Bridge serve more than 28,000 New Yorkers. We serve a broad range from children considered to be at the highest risk for harm to children and families who simply need a mill or a safe and affordable place to call home. We have benefited from your leadership and the leadership of the administration. The 2.5 percent COLA was helpful. The push for equity that represents both the people that we serve and our employees makes a lot of sense and it's much needed. But we

2	absolutely feel that government has left us behind.
3	In fact, I would go further by saying that
4	charities like us created the city safety net and
5	during crisis we are the lifeline that New Yorkers
6	came to depend on. We are embedded in communities.
7	Many of us are available around the clock. And in
8	many cases we are the visible representation of
9	responsive government in our communities. Our
10	entire sector provides services at rates that are
11	far less costly than any government agency. Despite
12	this reality contract reimbursement as you yourself
13	raised often refuses to take into account the
14	annual escalations including healthcare which is
15	which is just a basic human right and the cost of
16	living and a living wage for our employees. At the
17	children's village our federally approved indirect
18	overhead rate is 13 percent but New York City caps
19	our indirect overhead at 10 percent forcing us to
20	absorb the additional cost. In addition to this
21	underfunding we also deal with delayed
22	reimbursement and the often hidden cost of
23	unreimbursed in interest payments that we are
24	forced to make on extended credit lines. While we
25	are untiring in our fundraising our donors are most

interested in helping children and families and		
least interested in subsidizing what they see as		
what they increasingly see as government shirking		
its responsibility. I would be remiss if I did not		
note that without our generous donors the		
children's village would not be in a position to		
serve New York City as we do today. Mandate		
overload and confusing and competing regulations		
are an additional burden as you've already heard		
with real human and financial costs that continues		
to be a trend of well-intended mandates and		
regulations that are imposed on us with not		
additional reimbursement. There's also culture		
that shifts liabilities to the agencies that do the		
work on the front lines and creates a cultural feel		
among our employees because we are constantly		
running to keep up with mandates that continue to		
change every day. Thank you.		

MARLA SIMPSON: Hi. I'm Marla Simpson. I am the President/CEO of Brooklyn Community Services and I thank you all so very much for holding this hearing and for the attention you're paying to this issue. I turned over a full copy of my testimony and I won't take the time to do that. I want to

2	highlight a couple of issues that came up in the
3	in the prior discussion and perhaps can be the
4	subject of additional back and forth. The situation
5	with with indirect is complicated but it's not so
6	complicated that we can't solve it. The issue as
7	Allison alluded to is really what are we trying
8	what is the city trying to pay for. And ultimately
9	the cost is what the cost is. And so if you think
10	of it as an unfunded mandate from the government,
11	from the feds, you're sort of missing a piece of it
12	because the feds did not necessarily say. And there
13	are some exceptions to this but most of the time
14	they didn't tell you how what the service level
15	was you had to buy. And so the question isn't
16	whether or not the amount of overhead that the fed
17	and again the federal mandate is not just 10
18	percent. The federal mandate is to pay the
19	federally approved rate or a minimum of 10 percent
20	whichever is the the correct one for that
21	organization. So in Jeremy's case it would be 13
22	percent. For my organization we're a little smaller
23	and it's a 15 percent rate in terms of what we… our
24	federal rate is. And we get initially from the
25	from the city 10 percent on most contracts. But

2	because contracts last so long that 10 percent rate
3	that we start with is usually three percent or five
4	percent later in the contract because all of our
5	other bills have to be paid. And as we pay rent and
6	we pay utilities and we pay insurance our effective
7	overhead rate goes down. As someone who's spent a
8	long time in government I'm well aware that the
9	government, as much as we would argue and really
10	this administration, this council's done a
11	wonderful job of enhancing the funding for… for
12	this sector. But there will be years when perhaps
13	you can't necessarily just add money to reflect the
14	additional cost that we are experiencing. But it is
15	possible 'till and and again no one's going to be
16	more compassionate at trying to figure out how to
17	do this than we are but you need to say to us okay
18	if your costs are up and you document that then
19	that's what you spend your money on and your
20	service level will have to go down because we can't
21	give you more money for service. And while we would
22	on behalf of our clients be disappointed in that
23	result at least we would survive to fight another
24	day in the sense of and and look for better
25	times. And again we then we can turn around to our

2.2

2.3

donors and say look we are being paid by the government the full value of what we are... what the government is contracting with us for and there still is need that cannot be accommodated and we're therefore looking for additional resources. And I think that calculation would work much better than the current system which is really as you say paying 80 cents on the dollar.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And can I ask you really quickly with that... could you carve out the indirect costs at the... at the beginning and then you know when you're putting the dollar value of the contract in the budget just have that piece you know increased by five percent every year or...

MARLA SIMPSON: You could...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...opportunity for reevaluation of indirect costs at a three-year mark or whatever?

MARLA SIMPSON: You could do it in... in any benchmark that worked. The feds actually have a process once a year where we have to recertify our rate and it does fluctuate within a few you know tenths of a point up and down based on the kinds of expenses that go into that. So you could either say

ТЭ

if you have a federal rate just plug it in each year and that's your net... that's your rate on the city or for administrative simplicity you could say every three years or whatever just...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

MARLA SIMPSON: ...true it up again every...
every... every now and again and that would work. We
have to... I mean all agencies are looking at our
contracts on an annual basis. They're looking at it
really for invoicing on a monthly basis. It's easy
to track with... if in... if health insurance... I mean
my organization for example we had a 18 percent
increase in one year.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Wow.

MARLA SIMPSON: And that was a... you know we had to absorb that in most of our contracts because there was no provision to you know to have that adjusted even though initially it had been a fully funded cost.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Somehow... well I'll continue this discussion... sorry.

PARICIA JENNY: Okay. Good afternoon. I am Pat Jenny. I am Vice President for grants at New York Community Trust which is the community

2	foundation for New York City. And I manage what we
3	call our competitive grants program which
4	distributes about 40 million a year in grants
5	largely to New York City nonprofits. So in order to
6	accomplish our goals the trust and every other
7	foundation in this city relies on an innovative,
8	productive, and thriving nonprofit sector. So like
9	the city government nonprofit organizations are our
10	partners. We only supply the money, they get the
11	job done. But with the release of these reports
12	from human services council and SeaChange as we've
13	been hearing this afternoon none of us can avoid
14	facing the fact that many nonprofit organizations,
15	especially those that deliver human services are
16	teetering on the brink of financial insolvency. Why
17	does philanthropy care about this? Well as I
18	mentioned like government foundations are the
19	bankers for nonprofits. Most of us take credit for
20	the accomplishments of these organizations and if
21	they're not solid they can't accomplish what we
22	collectively aim to achieve. Actually we
23	foundations like city government sometimes seek a
24	bargain by asking for the moon but not paying for
25	the complete cost of getting there. And in reality

2	each foundation has its individual approach to
3	funding. Some provide general operating support
4	often to a similar group of nonprofits over time.
5	Of course this is the most desirable kind of money
6	from a foundation from the nonprofit perspective
7	because it can be used for any purpose. At the
8	trust we support specific projects but we always
9	include the administrative costs in the project
10	budgets even if we are not covering the entire
11	budget. We are the community foundation for the
12	city. And in that role we've always been concerned
13	about solvency of the nonprofit sector. And in fact
14	are one of the only foundations that pays about a
15	million dollars a year in technical assistance to
16	organizations regardless of whether they are a
17	grantee of the trust or not. For example, we help
18	support the reports of Human Service Council and
19	SeaChange and our senior program officer served on
20	the taskforce. We worked with the council to do a
21	briefing at philanthropy New York, our trade
22	association to inform foundations about this issue.
23	We have made a grant to the Rockefeller Institute
24	at SUNY Albany to work with state OMB on case
25	studies of how it can adapt to the new federal

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2	guidance	on	indirect	rates.	But	we	all	need	to	be
---	----------	----	----------	--------	-----	----	-----	------	----	----

3 more. Philanthropy, city, and state government and

4 nonprofits are really in this together.

5 Philanthropy though cannot fill the gap that

6 government creates by not paying for the full

7 administrative cost. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: If you could just wrap up real quick.

PARICIA JENNY: Okay. Let me just say that we have learned from foundations in other parts of the country that New York City is kind of out front of this right now. We have the largest nonprofit sector by far of any other city. So what we do here in the city on this topic is of obviously lots of importance for nonprofits here but also for those across the country. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

IAN BENJAMIN: Thank you very much for holding this hearing. My name is Ian Benjamin. I am here in my volunteer role as chair of the Board of Directors of the Nonprofit Coordinating Committee of New York. I also in my non-spare time serve as a partner in the county firm RSM where I lead nonprofit services practice in New York. I was a

2	member of the Human Services Commission also. The
3	the nonprofit community is hugely important to New
4	York as we've heard today. There are over 30,000
5	registered nonprofits in New York City generating
6	over 100 billion dollars in revenue. The nonprofit
7	community employs hundreds of thousands of New
8	Yorkers who provide services that each of us
9	receives and takes advantage of every day. The
10	recent reports from the Human Services Council and
11	others have demonstrated that we are at a critical
12	crossroads. Large social service agencies are going
13	bankrupt and fewer than 30 percent of nonprofits
14	are financially strong. As an auditor I have worked
15	with New York nonprofits for more than 30 years. In
16	my experience nonprofits and in particular those
17	that fund their activities with state and city
18	grants do not receive adequate funds to cover all
19	the costs of the services they contract to provide.
20	As we just heard foundations do not always provide
21	those costs either and it would be fair to say that
22	most people that you meet on the street do not
23	understand the concept of the need to fund indirect
24	costs. We've heard from MOCS earlier today that
25	they are looking at how to ensure that they comply

with the requirements from the I think he called it
the super circular so the new federal guidance.
That new federal guidance only applies to federal
money as you pointed out. But the city needs to pay
for all of the services that it provides at the
full amount, not just the federal money. And in
fact agencies that are receiving city money do not
always know what percentage of that money is
federal money until after a grant is completed. And
we also need to be really careful not to get caught
up with this 10 percent. It is the rare nonprofit
that can keep its indirect cost rate at close to 10
percent. The more common percentage is 25 to 35
percent and that doesn't mean that the nonprofit is
not operating efficiently. That means that that is
what it costs. We've also touched and heard about
the audit mandate and the huge drain that there is
on nonprofits from having to address hundreds of
audits each year. And we would very much like to
see a single audit enacted for New York City and
the state but we can't do everything here today.
CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

LOUISA CHAFEE: Thank you Chair

Rosenthal and the entire contracts committee for

2	providing the opportunity to give you my highlights
3	of testimony today. My name Louisa Chafee and I'm
4	the Senior Vice President at UJA Federation which
5	is one of the largest local philanthropies in the
6	country. Central to UJA's mission is caring,
7	assisting the vulnerable in our communities and
8	working with agencies in the UJA network to do so.
9	Your website, the city council website states that
10	the city council's committee on contracts is
11	responsible for ensuring that the city purchases
12	goods and services that are high quality,
13	efficient, and cost effective to the city tax
14	payers. Today in my testimony I will use your goals
15	as a frame for the current challenges the nonprofit
16	sector faces. A series of the issues raised in the
17	HSC report and testified today speak to reductions
18	in efficiency. These include the lack of
19	consultation in RFPs which ignore the expertise of
20	the nonprofit sectors and fosters procurement
21	structures that are unrealistic causing taxpayer
22	monies to be spent unwisely. The current structure
23	of audits without government agencies coordinating
24	with one another is intensely inefficient. Moving
25	towards a coordinated risk hased approach would

2	lessen the workload and increase overall knowledge.
3	Cost effectiveness; over the years the growth of
4	procurements that do not cover actual costs have
5	led to situations at odd with cost effective
6	values. For example, contract structures that don't
7	recognize actual fixed costs go up each year while
8	costs and contracts stay flat. Witness all my
9	neighbors speaking about this. If all New York City
10	contracts were to align with the federal
11	government's process of setting the indirect rates
12	there would be clear benefits. It would help
13	governments because it would ease the audit
14	standards and obviously it would help nonprofits.
15	Lastly, quality; So both governments and nonprofits
16	embrace quality in service provision. But I think
17	we realistically need to think about that the
18	current stressors deeply risk the ability for
19	nonprofits to provide quality service. And that
20	puts clients at risk. So in conclusion I thank you
21	for giving UJA the opportunity to analyze the
22	challenges and these problems are complex. But the
23	good news is that there's solutions. And while
24	they're not easy you've set the trajectory for how
25	to reach them. UJA welcomes the opportunity to

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

support you in your further exploration of ways to repair the structure and looks forward to action oriented work together.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so

much. I just want to start by saying to this panel and to the others that are here I feel a little quilty taking up all of your time. I feel like an audit is going on. So thank you for coming here and... and giving these hours to the council to understand better what the nature of the challenges are that you have. I really appreciate that. I had a couple of really quick follow-up questions just for this panel. I'm wondering specifically to Patricia, I think this would be for you, but maybe anyone can jump in. Michael mentioned... the Director for the Mayor's Office of Contracts mentioned the possibility of the agency itself providing technical assistance. I found that ... I'm curious to know what you think of that... whether or not the city could provide the... the level of technical assistance that an agency might need in house.

PARICIA JENNY: I... I... assuming that he was referring to providing assistance on exactly what the requirements are that the city has in

2.2

2.3

preparing a proposal or pricing a bid... [cross-talk] the... the very technical and kind of limited to what the city government is requiring. The technical assistance I was referring to is much broader than that. We worry about governance. There are a number of programs that foundations support on financial management, how to do it right, how to do it as effectively as possible, keeping your board informed on what's going on and the finances of the organization, that sort of thing. It's actually... I... I'm assuming he is talking very narrowly about the city requirements. What we're talking about is all of the issues that affect the viability of nonprofits.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That is very helpful, thank you. Anyone else want to jump in on that one? Different interpretation of what Michael might have been referring to? Okay. And then Marla I was wondering... this is a little nutty but do you think it would be possible to come up with an algorithm for indirect costs so that you know when we think about the percentage overhead, administrative indirect as three separate things

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you know each one needs to be defined and then come together and be something...

MARLA SIMPSON: I will answer your question but let me take it a step back first because I think there's some definitional issues that would be helpful to clarify. It's not just a question of programmatic expense and indirect expense. Within programmatic expense there are multiple components and the city's system... or basically government funder systems treats them slightly differently. So you start with the... the... the major component in any of these contracts is wages. The human services are by definition human to human. And so what you have are people. And the vast majority... very little bit contract to contract but can be as much as 80 percent of the cost of the country is in the people. That's where when we talk about cost of living increases in the city and historically whether it's this administration or prior administrations they were talking about wages. And so for the... for those of us who are running the business of the nonprofit It's obviously an important and wonderful thing when our staff can be given a raise through the contract

2	funding stream but it's not going to keep the
3	lights on, it's not going to pay the rent. It's
4	it's a separate classification. But that's wages.
5	Attached to wages is the question of benefits. And
6	that ends up being a longer discussion and makes
7	the the wage COLA a little bit more complicated
8	than just saying oh it's it's 2.5 percent on top
9	of wages this year versus it having been flat in
10	prior years because we all have somewhat different
11	benefit rates in terms of the fringe. But leaving
12	that aside fundamentally when you're talking about
13	wages and benefits that's one one set of
14	calculations. And they don't tend to be very
15	different across the board for you know different
16	different uses, different locations, different
17	types of organizations. There's a lot of
18	similarity. Then there's the other than personnel
19	costs, OTPS as it's abbreviated. That money is not
20	indirect. In many cases it's directly traceable to
21	the program. But that's the piece that I would
22	argue we do the worse job at trying to estimate
23	and and understand the cost of that because so
24	often in human services what you're talking about
25	is flat price bidding. We don't compete and we're

2	not asked to compete according to what it would
3	cost us to deliver a service. So there's no way for
4	us to tell you it's very expensive to rent space I
5	would say in Brooklyn your experience is in
6	Manhattan [cross-talk] so it it there's no way
7	to really get that message into the calculation and
8	we end up saying it's 12 hundred dollars per person
9	or… or per child for this service or it's 36
10	hundred dollars per client for that service. And we
11	are forced to sort of scramble to figure out how do
12	we rent space and pay for the other OTPS costs
13	within a budget that doesn't vary based on those
14	elements. And then there's the indirect on top of
15	that. Indirect is the IT, the HR, the the finance
16	staff and all of those other things which are
17	basically spread across all of your program and not
18	traceable to one individual program. The answer to
19	your algorithm question is yes there sort of is an
20	algorithm the federal government in invented it to
21	create the federal indirect rate. They have a
22	methodology. I'm sure they would share it with the
23	city… many… dollar for dollar probably 90 percent
24	or more of the dollars that the city spends today
25	in human services it is spending with organizations

_	COMMITTIBLE ON CONTINUED
2	that have already federal rates. There's a small
3	percentage of smaller organizations that probably
4	don't have a federal rate and the city would have
5	to calculate the rate for those organizations on
6	its own but the methodology exists OMB has it at
7	the federal level.
8	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And so in your
9	OTPS pile would you put admin and overhead?
10	MARLA SIMPSON: It's usually its own
11	category. It's but because remember overhead
12	includes a lot of wages. It includes all the wages
13	for… [cross-talk] the people in my finance office.
14	It includes the wages from a… [cross-talk]
15	community outreach [cross-talk] you know. And
16	that but that's in overhead. It's not in wages.
17	It's not in OTPS. It's it's kind of its own
18	thing.
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Thank
20	you. I look forward to working with all of you.
21	You're experts in this field and I think you're
22	right to call to make the clarying [phonetic]
23	call. So thank you so much for that. I hope the

MARLA SIMPSON: Thank you.

council can be helpful.

24

2.2

2.3

[cross-talk]

ALLISON SESSO: Thank you. I would just say that there's a couple of other people...
especially John MacIntosh is from SeaChange Report that I would just suggest he was definitely a big part of this commission and the two people featured in this film but obviously it's your hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: No I was just going to ask you Allison to help us call up the next panel of Commissioners actually.

ALLISON SESSO: Okay. So I'll tell you that John MacIntosh from SeaChange. You also have Dan Lehman from Children's Aid Society. Good Will is here. JASA. And again these are other organizations and I don't know if they can all come up but there's Phipps, FMA, the Jewish Board, Urban Pathways, Project Renewal, and CAMBA that are all involved in this.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay so if people could queue up sort of as many as can fit there now and then the next round will be the next group that queued up. Thank you very much. So I'm going to need everyone to be mindful of the clock. I really apologize that I need to do that because

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

your time is just so precious and what you're

offering is incredibly helpful. And if you could

start by just giving your name and the name of the

5 organizations that you're representing. If we could

6 start to my right, your left yeah.

DAN LEHMAN: Am I on? Okay. No problem at all. Good afternoon and thank you Madam Chair for the opportunity to testify here today. My name is Dan Lehman. I am the Chief Financial Officer for the Children's Aid Society which serves nearly 50,000 children each year with a budget of roughly 120 million dollars, nearly two thirds of which is government funded. I will be addressing three challenges; support for management and general expenses often referred to as indirect or overhead. The need for cost escalators in contracts and excessive contract audits and reporting requirements. Indirect costs. Children's Aid has 122 government contracts. City contracts comprise 80 percent of our government funds and one half of our total budget. We have a federally approved indirect rate of 13.5 percent to support the central operations for a well-functioning organization. However, not one of our city

2	contracts accepts this rate. At best we are capped
3	at 10 percent for indirect costs but often at an
4	even lower rate where indirect costs are not
5	allowed at all. This forces us to devote private
6	fundraising dollars to cover in gaps for our back
7	office. Or it means direct allocating central staff
8	to contracts with some central staff having been
9	allocated across more than 40 government contracts
10	which you can imagine is an administrative
11	nightmare. I have worked for several city
12	government human services agencies. I know how
13	critical central functions are. Nonprofit
14	organizations must be funded for these same
15	functions in an equitable and efficient manner.
16	Cost escalates. Debt, taxes, and inflation are
17	three certainties of life. We applaud the mayor's
18	cost of living adjustments, COLAs for wages and
19	salaries but without COLAs for non-personnel costs
20	there will never be real workforce development
21	because of inevitable increases in rent, utilities,
22	health benefits, supplies, and insurance. Contract
23	COLAs must be broader than regular salaries,
24	audits, and reporting requirements. In one three-
25	vear period we averaged roughly 50 government

2	funder audits a year. This is a tremendous strain
3	on staff resources. For years the city has
4	discussed coordinating audits of providers to no
5	avail. I know. I sat on those work groups twice.
6	Yet the routine continues largely unevolved.
7	Despite the progress of HHS accelerator contract
8	documents and administration vary widely as city
9	agencies impose their own requirements. Add in the
10	city wide contract clearance documentation and the
11	result is staff who are solely devoted contract
12	administration and nothing else. Lastly there are
13	ever increasing reporting requirements. As part of
14	the reason wage COLAs for city contracts we had to
15	submit a spreadsheet of over 2,000 rows. A simple
16	across the board contract increase would have been
17	vastly more efficient and would have gotten us to
18	largely the same place with far less effort.
19	Failure to address these challenges will lead to a
20	nonprofit sector even more distressed than it is
21	today. Ultimately the people in need who we all
22	serve and who the city depends on us to serve will
23	lose us. I thank the city council for the
24	opportunity to testify today. I am happy to address
25	any questions you may have.

2 JOHN MACINTOSH: Hello Chair Rosenthal 3 and committee members. It's a privilege to testify 4 here today. My name is John MacIntosh. I'm the 5 Managing Partner at SeaChange Capital Partners is also on the HSC Commission. SeaChange recently 6 7 published a report which you may have, if not I'll give you a copy, which I think included the first 8 comprehensive analysis of the city's not-forprofits based on the data which every organization 10 11 must submit to the IRS. I'd like to give you three 12 highlights from what we concluded and give you some 13 thoughts of what I think that means. The first 14 thing we concluded, no surprise is that the health 15 and human service sector is very fragile. We 16 guessed it that more than 15 percent of the 17 organizations are insolvent. Perhaps 50 percent 18 have in effect no margin for error. One hiccup and ... 19 and they're going to be in a very difficult 20 situation. And... and maybe 20, at most 30 percent are what you would call financial strong. Number 21 2.2 two, that things are ... are only likely to get worse. 2.3 For two good reasons it's hard to explain why things can get worse for good reasons but I think 24

the movement to value based payments in health care

2	is going to put enormous strains on some of the
3	the sector. And at least in in specific situations
4	ditto the minimum wage is not backed with increased
5	contract. And the third reason is that banks we
6	believe are reducing credit to not for profits
7	perhaps quite rationally in the wake of FEGS. The
8	third thing I would say to just prove what you all
9	know is that from a financial standpoint these
10	organizations are extensions of government. Almost
11	half of them are 100 percent government funded.
12	And and two thirds are 80 percent government
13	funded and three quarters sorry two thirds are 90
14	percent government funded and three quarters are 80
15	percent plus government funded. So if you have a
16	weak sector where things are getting worse it's an
17	extension of government what does that mean? Well
18	as you've heard today I think you need to do three
19	things. One is in effect to pay more. The second is
20	collaborate more. But the… the one that I think is
21	the easiest is to pay on time or to pay early and
22	as a matter of simple fairness if you can't do that
23	pay interest on the delayed payments which in
24	effect you've borrowed from the providers. Now you
25	may say if the providers have no margin for error

how do they borrow the money. Well they borrow it
from their vendors by stiffing them and in some
cases they borrow from their staff by saying sorry
we can't pay you until we get paid. The other thing
I would urge you in my 37 seconds is we've heard a
lot about the funds from the city of New York and
it does tremendous work but make no mistake the
funds of the city of New York cannot cover the need
out there. The natural way to cover that need is to
revive some variation on the resilience fund which
was discussed and under planning at the end of the
last administration and find a way to bring banks
private foundations and the city together to create
some sizable vehicle to fund not for profits'
working capital needs. And I would just say there
in my two seconds I don't distinguish the city from
the state from Medicaid most of these organizations
are not and you could do good work helping them
figure out how to finance all that government money
even if it some of it isn't yours. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah I would be interested in seeing your report. And am I right that you did not submit testimony?

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHN MACINTOSH: Well the report is the testimony and I'm... [cross-talk]

HEIDI ARONIN: Good afternoon. I'm Heidi

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Bring it on... bring it... thank you very much. Sorry Heidi.

Aronin. I'm the Chief Administrative Officer for JASA, one of New York's largest and most trusted largely government funded not-for-profit serving older New Yorkers with a consolidated annual budget in excess of 113 million dollars and approximately 2,000 staff. JASA serves tens of thousands seniors each year through our subsidized housing, licensed home care, and a rich array of services. As you've heard here today the structure of government funding for human services simply does not work. The gap between the funding we receive from government and the cost of providing the service government is buying is growing. The interest of other funders in filling that gap is waning. And left in the middle are not-for-profits struggling to serve clients with insufficient funds and demoralized staff. You've already heard that government contracts do not cover administrative costs such as rent, utilities, and information

2	technology. JASA administrative structure is lean
3	representing 14 percent of our direct costs but our
4	funders cover only maybe 10 percent leaving a
5	shortfall of 1.5 million dollars for us to fill.
6	Increasingly though government is also underfunding
7	the direct provision of services. JASA staff
8	deliver more than 600,000 meals to homebound
9	elderly each year under DFTA contracts totaling 5.1
10	million dollars, an extraordinary commitment of
11	public resources yet this does not cover the actual
12	costs. This year JASA is projected to lose more
13	than \$200,000 in this program, approximately four
14	percent of the contract budget. JASA's 22 DFTA
15	funded senior centers combined are projected to run
16	a deficit of more than \$250,000, approximately
17	three percent of their annual direct budget. The
18	trend is clear as we're forced to cover three to
19	five percent and more of many of our government
20	programs. This shortfall is largely born by our
21	employees who work daily to serve New York seniors.
22	JASA's salary increases have been small and over
23	the last ten years staff went four and five years
24	with no increases at all. We are finalizing a
25	collective bargaining agreement now that will pass

2	through the two and a half percent the city
3	approved for this year but at current salary levels
4	we are increasingly challenged to recruit and
5	retain staff which affect the quality and the
6	quantity of the service we provide. At times we're
7	in competition with city agencies for staff. In our
8	adult protective services program our case workers
9	earn \$34,500 per year, 14 percent lower than the
10	salaries of city employees doing the identical
11	work. Having spent the first 11 years of my career
12	in New York City government ending with my role as
13	assistant director for social services at OMB I
14	have seen both sides of this issue. I understand
15	the city's need to contain costs and improve
16	productivity. And I, myself, have implemented city
17	initiatives to save money by contracting out
18	services. But when contract agencies are forced to
19	lose money to provide those services the situation
20	becomes untenable. We understand that government
21	only has so much to spend. All we ask is that
22	government pays for what government asks us to
23	provide. When our funding agencies contract with us
24	to provide one dollar of service they should not

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 expect us to provide it for 95 cents. This doesn't 3 seem too much to ask. Thank you.

RONALD ABAD: Good afternoon. My name is Ron Abad. I'm a Chief Operating Officer of Urban Pathways and most formerly an Assistant Commissioner of New York City Department of Homeless Services so I have the advantage of seeing both sides as well. Urban Pathways existing for 40 years serving... providing services and... and housing to homeless New Yorkers. We provide everything from outreach services to Safe Havens, to a drop in center as been existing for... existence for close to 30 years to supportive housing. Our major issues across the board as mentioned in these panels but we significantly struggle with cost escalations. Over time we... we struggle with the... struggle with flat funding or underfunding while the cost increase one in rent, right. Our current payment mechanisms where the contracts do not allow for cost escalations, the average sort of three percent every other year with typical landlords that we negotiate in the city to be factored in our contracts. We have increasing utility costs.

Everything from electricity, etcetera, oil,

etcetera increasing over time. We have increased
wages that we require to make our staff and to make
our organizations competitive. We actually do pay
lower than what the city city agencies do and and
definitely paid much lower than private market. We
struggle with regards to administrative overhead as
well as mentioned. There isn't sort of one uniform
administrative overhead for each city agencies and
we struggle with it. We have various partnerships
with city agencies so this is a challenge in sort
of keeping cash flow, etcetera. We're asked to
provide services of open new new new housing
services without having registered contracts. We
just recently opened up a 16 union 23 bed veteran
permanent housing facility in the Bronx which has
not yet been recently negotiate registered. Great
partnership with HRA on this but we've been
floating the the site for a little more than a
month and this overtime we're concerned whether or
not we'll have the contract registered. Typically,
everything that the panel members have mentioned
we we support, we're strong, fiscally sound, and
prudent organizational or very wise and prudent in
our in our programming and in our fiscal but we

are also operating at the margins. Thank... thank you for allowing... to testify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SANFORD WEINSTEIN: My name is Sanford Weinstein, CFO of Goodwill Industries of Greater New York and Northern New Jersey Inc. Thank you Council Member Rosenthal and the Committee on Contracts for holding this hearing. Goodwill Industries has served New York City for over 100 years and our mission is to empower individuals with disabilities and other barriers to employment to gain independence through the power of work. We have an overall budget of 125 million dollars. In calendar year 2015 we served 86,000 people, served 99 percent of these people in the five boroughs of New York City. Our service areas run workforce, disabilities, youth, and community. We are excelled stewards of government resources having an indirect cost rate of 10 percent or less consistently for over 20 years in a row. Our organization has 21 contracts with the city for approximately seven million dollars that do not receive reimbursement for organizational and direct costs. We have 17 youth programs with Department of Youth and Community Development, DYCD, none of which would

2	provide reimbursement towards indirect costs to the
3	organization. Our four New York City Programs for
4	the disabled with the Department of Health and
5	Mental Hygiene, DHMH, not only failed to provide an
6	indirect rate to cover essential operating costs
7	but do not fully cover direct costs. We estimate
8	that the minimal indirect costs that the technology
9	counting security plus the \$700,000 a year which
10	Goodwill must subsidize. In contrast we have a
11	federal indirect rate of 10 percent applicable to
12	our federal contracts which will reimburse Goodwill
13	for its organizational and direct costs. Most known
14	for our thrift stores Goodwill is a social
15	enterprise that runs 13 retail stores in New York
16	City. They have three objectives. One is to create
17	jobs for those with barriers to employment. Two
18	revenue help support Goodwill's operations. And
19	Three, to divert thousands of pounds of textiles
20	annually from the landfills. However, any revenue
21	must be allocated Goodwill Industries
22	international and reinvestment and retail
23	improvement and their escalating costs which is
24	rent, insurance, and wages. In order to keep
25	essential indirect costs down there have been

numerous measures taken such as raises delayed a
minimum 18 months, hiring only when absolutely
critical, employees carry a greater work load,
capital repair is delayed, more efficient and
better equipment purchases delayed. For example, 33
percent of our computers are beyond their normal
life. These measures can lead to higher employee
turnover and lower employee productivity. Longer
term employees at the lower paid levels leave as
other options become available. Having less
experienced people impact productivity. Our
infrastructure is strained to maximum capacity. Our
social enterprise is strained to maximum capacity.
Our social enterprise model of retail means that we
have no fundraising structure and we do not have
this capacity beyond our stores. An example of a
Goodwill multi-year contract with the city there's
no cost escalation cause or mechanism to amend the
contract increases as follows. For 20 years we've
operated Citiview Connections in Long Island City
Queens funded by Department of Health and Mental
Hygiene. This is a psychosocial program serving 150
people with severe and persistent mental illness.
It provides a safe and supportive environment and

offers vocational, educational, recreational, and case management services. The program currently loses approximately 60,000 dollars a year on a direct basis. It loses 100,000 dollars a year when you take an indirect course. Our recommendation is to always include at least a 10 percent indirect reimbursement to Goodwill and all the nonprofits as a mandatory part of all agency contracts.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: If you could just wrap up.

SANFORD WEINSTEIN: Yes. Contracts with governor essential to Goodwill New Jersey... New York New Jersey and the programs we offer to help support the dignity of those who work for disabilities and other barriers to employment. Government relies on large organizations like Goodwill to provide critical and quality services in communities on the behalf as well as the infrastructure and capital to take over contracts if other organizations fail. By request we have fulfilled both DYCD and HR in the past few years. I can keep going but I'll...

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 2 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: ...stop there. Thank 3 you. 4 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I just want to ask... and I forgot to ask the previous panel so I'm 5 going to ask Allison to start a list for me. But 6 7 have any of your organizations this past year... the year prior had to go to a private bank for... to get 8 a loan? 10 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: Absolutely. 11 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And do you have a sense of how much you've paid in interest? 12 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: It's been minimal 13 14 because the rates are down. You know right now 15 we're paying a... rate plus 75 basis points so... 16 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mm-hmm. 17 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: ...it's very low. It's 18 like 1.2 percent or so... 19 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That 10,000 or 100,000 dollars? 20 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: ...15, 20,000, 30,000. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: 15. 2.2 2.3 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: They're... they're low numbers but the ... again if those numbers go up we'll 24 25 be paying a lot.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: 30,000 isn't 3 even chump... 4 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: Yeah. 5 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...change in my 6 book anymore. 7 SANFORD WEINSTEIN: Right, right. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah. 8 9 RONALD ABAD: ...delay payments from the 10 city. We just had to look at our internal line of credit with a bank and determine whether we needed 11 12 to tap into it. Fortunately, the contract did register in time so that we didn't have to but we 13 14 sort of had to... [cross-talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Just for 16 anyone who had to go to the private market, anyone? 17 HEIDI ARONIN: Well we've made it our 18 practice to increasingly borrow from our sales from 19 our own investments because we... 20 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. 21 HEIDI ARONIN: ...have a small pot of investments to do that. But we do routinely have to 2.2 2.3 dip into it on an annual basis as we're dealing

24

with our cash flow issues.

JOHN MACINTOSH: Yeah I would say we're in the same situation as JASA.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Thank you very much. And Allison if you could help me by asking that question to the previous panel and just sort of come up with a list of you know in your consortium which organizations have had to go to the private market and how much did they pay last year in interest? Thank you guys very much for your time. Next I'm going to call up Joseph Rosenberg from Catholic Charities Archdiocese, Paul Feuerstein from Barrier Free Living, JoAnne Page from the Fortune Society, Bobby Watts from Care for the Homeless, and Stephanie Gendell from the Citizens Committee for Children. If you're here and you could come on up I would really appreciate it. Hang on one second. I just have to text my staff one thing so I want you to know I'm going to be listening to you but don't take it the wrong way. If we could start from... are you ready? Left to right this time. And again we're on the clock... three minutes each. If you could start and introduce yourself.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2	STEPHANIE GENDELL: Good afternoon. My
3	name is Stephanie Gendell. I'm the Associate
4	Executive Director at Citizens Committee for
5	Children of New York. We're a 72-year-old
6	independent multi-issue nonprofit organization
7	dedicated to ensuring every New York child is
8	healthy, housed, educated, and safe. And I just
9	wanted to start off this hearing by saying my
10	testimony by saying that CCC does not actually
11	accept any government funding and so just thought
12	that was important for a hearing like this. We are
13	a nonprofit but we don't accept any government
14	funding. That said we advocate for all the programs
15	and services that you've heard from who do accept
16	government funding who work with the children of
17	New York. And so we are extremely concerned about
18	what is happening. And so our testimony outlines a
19	few examples and I'll just mention a few. But
20	really we're concerned about the entire sector.
21	Using child care as an example I would say the
22	rules of child care change every day. And the
23	contract rate is never sufficient and we've seen a
24	lot of child care programs either struggle or go
25	out of business. Their providers do not earn the

same salaries of teachers doing the exact same job
in a city funded DOE program that's doing the exact
same job for fewer hours. And you can see similar
things happening in foster care and preventive. And
I'll just use preventive as another example where
there's not been a rate increase for many years so
even though the cost of providing care and families
have more intensive needs because foster care is
reduced there's no increase in the rate and the
contract again is one where they do not cover the
full cost. And if they do not meet certain
expectations they actually lose funding. And that's
just some examples and you've heard numerous
others. And so we just want to say that we
appreciate all of the attention the city council
and your committee is putting into this and that we
look forward to working with you and our colleagues
to try to address this because the children and
families of New York desperately need a resolution
where all of the nonprofit providers that are
helping some of the most vulnerable families in the
city aren't going to have any services to turn to.
So thank you.

JOANNE PAGE: My name's JoAnne Page. I'm
the President and CEO of the Fortune Society. We
are an almost 50-year-old organization that serves
about 6,000 men and women formerly incarcerated
alternatives to incarceration. I've been there as
president and CEO of Fortune for 27 years. I've
never seen a condition as difficult as challenging
or as threatening as the one facing nonprofits
right now. And I'm not going to repeat what's been
said by my colleagues because it's been said really
well and the reports referenced say it really well
and I think my testimony says it really well. So I
want to shift gears a little bit. My dad has a
Jewish ghetto story about a guy who owned a horse
and he decided he was spending too much on feed so
he's going to cut his rations in half and it worked
magnificently. The horse kept working, the cost
went down by 50 percent. He thought it's working,
let me try to cutting to 25 percent and it worked
really well. And then when he got the horse to no
food at all the damn horse went and died. I'm
saying that because I think that is the status of
the nonprofit sector right now. And I've got 27
vears of experience of watching it get worse. So T

want to talk briefly about what Fortune is
experiencing because I don't think it's different
than what our colleagues are experiencing. So we
talked about seeing only part of the cost of
services paid. What we do is we lean contracts up
against each other but if one buckles the whole
thing buckles. We used to offer educational
services in Harlem. We had two contracts. One went
down. We had to give up the contract. We no longer
offer educational services in Harlem. We deal with
static funding multi-year in the face of rising
costs. We had a staff member who died of cancer
last year, others who were sick… our health
insurance went up 15 percent. We are struggling
with increased rent, etcetera. We cut 10 staff
lines including vacancies. We have a New York New
York set of three contracts. They are flat funded.
They pay for rent and they pay for services as rent
goes up for our clients year by year the services
get pinched down. We're looking at giving up a
couple of them because we're not willing to do high
intensity work without staff. The infrastructure
demands that we're dealing with keep going up. The
willingness to pay for them keeps going down. We

just recently increased our IDC costs and got a
federally approved rate of 19 percent up from 16
because our ITN finance costs have gone so much. We
have contracts that pay five percent. I would
celebrate a dance in the streets if our contracts
were 90 days late. We have contracts now I think at
least that were July 1 starts where we haven't seen
a nickel yet. So do we borrow? Yes we borrow. Do we
rely on our line of credit? Yes. Do we take
precious unrestricted dollars and pay them to the
bank? Yes. And I think it's appalling and it's
getting worse. Medicaid is chaos on wheels. I'm
going to end just mentioning that I signed a DSRIP
contract where they say in it you agree that you
may not get paid in full for what you do and you
may not get paid at all. And I signed it doing a
risk assessment; do you have your foot in the door
with something that has opportunity? Yes. How much
risk do you take? You try to control it. Somebody
said something about most nonprofits being one
hiccup away. We're two hiccups away. And the way
we're handling that is we're doing an analysis of
every contract and if it can't rest on its own
bottom we're looking at whether we stop delivering

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that service. That is not what you want to see in New York City when hunger and homelessness are ballooning. Thank you.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Good afternoon Chair Rosenthal. I'm Joseph Rosenberg, the Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council representing the archdiocese of New York and the diocese of Brooklyn on local legislative and policy issues. Catholic charities of the archdiocese of New York which covers Manhattan, the Bronx, and Staten Island... catholic charities of the Diocese of Brooklyn and Queens and all their affiliated agencies provide a large line of services to hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers. Their work is mission driven and focuses upon the poorest and most vulnerable among us. There are food pantries for the hungry, housing for the homeless, the disabled, and the elderly. Immigration services are expanded to assist immigrants and unaccompanied minors fleeing the terrible violence of their homelands. Youth programs focus on early childhood services, dropout prevention, and employment training. When Superstorm Sandy devastated the coastal communities of our city Catholic charities

was among the first responders and at the forefront
of relief work. And this is just a fraction of what
catholic charities, their affiliates, and so many
other nonprofits in this room provide for all New
Yorkers of all walks of life. As government
agencies struggle with their budgets and mandates
nonprofit providers are increasingly called upon to
fill the void and provide services to New York
City's residents. As partners in public service we
believe that government must acknowledge the real
cost of operating human service programs. We have
all seen an increasing trend among city and state
agencies to limit or disallow administrative
overhead costs outright in their funding
solicitations and inconceivably to disallow these
costs after contracts were executed, budgets were
approved, and the performance period had begun.
Shifting the burden of these operational costs to
the nonprofit sector is detrimental particularly to
small and midsized organizations that cannot bear
the cost of running programs without sufficient
operational support. It's important the contracts
reflect the true cost born by the nonprofit sector
and that such contractual obligations are honored

by the city. The current practice is unsustainable
and impossible to maintain over the long term.
Additionally rising fringe cost, salaries, and cost
of living adjustments have not been paid for many
years. This unjustly shifts the costs for nonprofit
providers who are forced to do more with less. It
is untenable and must be addressed. In terms of
direct services the extremely modest per capita
reimbursement rates and RFPs for legal and social
services is a clear example of underfunding that
jeopardizes the long term programmatic capacity in
fiscal health of nonprofits. Contracts between
government and nonprofit organizations for the
provision of human services must cover the full
costs including administrative, technology, and
capital costs as well as cash advances, annual rent
increases, and utility requirements. Catholic
charities is always willing to supplement
governmental funding with philanthropic efforts. It
is important however to avoid the unrealistic and
sometimes fatal assumption that charitable
organizations have sufficient private resources to
offset public funding shortfalls. Contracts should
also be adjusted to comply with new governmental

mandates. For example minimum... the minimum wage which was just passed by the state legislature and signed by the governor a few hours ago is strongly supported but this additional and significant expense must be reflected in the contracts the city and the state enters into with nonprofits. We have always been there and always been dedicated to help those with needs in the city. But the contracts must allow us to do the work that all of us are mandated and feel we have a mission to do. Thank you.

PAUL FEUERSTEIN: [off mic] My name is
Paul Feuerstein. I'm the Founder and CEO of Barrier
Free Living. We have the first not for profit
shelter in the DHS single system which was opened
in 1990. It was part of a group of shelters that
was funded under Mayor Koch's capital homeless
housing program. When we opened up the program we
asked to have capital reserves put into our budget
so we could keep our... our building in decent
shape. We were told they didn't know how to do it
at the time... and ask us at any point for your
capital needs and we will take care of them. Fast

2.2

2.3

2 forward to now every request almost with rare 3 exceptions has been you own it, you fix it.

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Shocker.

PAUL FEUERSTEIN: [off mic] Shocker. We have received zero money for our HDFC for rent for the use of the building from 1990 to now. And we have gone to city council and the borough president's office three separate times with support. And the first time we only wanted money for our elevator. It was 360,000 dollars to fix it. OMB rejected it because we didn't ask for half a million. Next year we asked for half a million and they said well you have to pay 10 percent of that you only ask for 450,000, rejected again. The next year we went and asked for 1.2 million, we added a number of things which we really needed, they said well you didn't ask to replace all your windows only the ones that are dysfunctional, we're rejecting your windows. We're looking at your 12 bathrooms and your 12 showers all as separate projects. None of them are expensive to meet our capital standards. Those were rejected. By the time OMB finished we were below the 500,000 dollars again. I went hat in hand to the state... to the

2	governor's office to state… [on mic] legislatures…
3	whoops. Hello, can you hear me now? And hat in
	_
4	hand asking for 1.2 million dollars. Finally, we
5	met with Commissioner Taylor when he first came
6	into you know his position. We reported that our
7	budget the year before under the Bloomberg
8	Administration was \$1,000 less than it was in
9	fiscal '91 when we began the program and that we
10	had major need for capital repairs. He asked for an
11	engineering survey. It took eight months to have
12	that funded through the system. When the engineers
13	came in they found 4.4 million dollars' worth of
14	structural repairs that needed to be done because
15	so many things like fixing leaks in bathrooms were
16	kicked down the road. We're in a place now where my
17	board has said we are in danger of some structural
18	failure in the building and if that happens that is
19	a great liability, a great risk for the
20	organization. If we don't get this fixed or find
21	money to fix it soon we'll have to close. We're the
22	only shelter for nursing home diverted homeless
23	people in the state of New York. The cost of having
24	people in nursing homes in New York City is
25	142,000. We get paid 29. 6.9 million dollars is

what it's going to cost to put our people in nursing homes rather than paying 4.4 one time to get it fixed.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: At... just sorry really quickly curious. As it traveled through the system?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: We've been in... we have... we've given... [cross-talk] our engineering report to the city when it came up. We've been inspected by five... four different city agencies and the Coalition for the Homeless. No one has yet said we've got money to be able to help you fix your needs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay thank you.

BOBBY WATTS: Good afternoon Chair
Rosenthal. I'm Bobby Watts. I'm the Executive
Director of Care for the Homeless, a federally
qualified health center and the only healthcare
organization in New York City devoted exclusively
to surveying homeless men, women, and children of
all ages. We do this through a network of more than
25 clinics that are collocated in shelters, soup
kitchens, and alongside street outreach programs
that operate in four boroughs. We also operate

under a contract with DHS, Susan's place to shelter
in the Bronx for 200 mentally ill and/or medically
frail women. Hopefully you also know that we
advocate for policies to end homelessness. While we
are very grateful for the funding to operate
Susan's Place I must say that compared to
administering our federal grants contracting with
the city has been much more challenging. I'll focus
on just two areas; one, which has been addressed, a
lack of cost of living adjustments for staff, and
an issue that I understand other shelter operators
also face, being being reimbursed for property
taxes on the leased property where the shelter is
operating. We began operating our shelter Susan's
Place in 2008 and did not receive a COLA increase
until last year. I say receive in quotation marks
because we have not yet seen the contract the
increase reflected in our contract. As an agency
committed to social justice and one that wants to
practice what we preach we have given bonuses and
increases to our staff each year even when the city
did not. To do that we have to subsidize the
operation of Susan's Place from private donations
and other sources which can be increasingly

difficult to sustain. As a result, we have lost
talented shelter staff to other social service
sectors and have a harder time recruiting the
talented and skilled staff the residents need to
move out of the shelter to appropriate housing. The
second area is because the tax assessment by the
Department of Finance is higher than we and the
Department of Homeless Services anticipated in our
initial contract and budget for this recurring and
largely predictable expense we have to first pay
the taxes twice a year and then apply for new needs
funding. While D.m. while DHS has always honored the
commitment theoretically the new needs funding
application could be denied. It also results in
several months' delay and being reimbursed for the
expense that is approximately 200,000 dollars. It
seems it'll be more efficient for shelter operators
and for the city itself to adjust the shelter
budget to account for this necessary, unavoidable,
and predictable expense. It would increase cash
flow and increase predictability for this city and
for shelter operators and reduce paperwork for all
parties concerned. I again thank this committee for
your interest and commitment to making the

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	contracting process with nonprofit providers of
3	human services yield the best possible result for
4	tax payers, residents, and our neighbors in need.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you very
7	much. And just real quickly… everyone here has a
8	line of credit with a bank or…
9	BOBBY WATTS: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And how much
11	last year did you pay in interest?
12	BOBBY WATTS: We have a line but we did
13	not need to tap it last year.
14	JOANNE PAGE: I just checked with my
15	office; 30,000 last year, 37,000 probably this
16	year.
17	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay thank you.
18	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: I don't have that
19	information but I'll get it to you.
20	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay thank you
21	Mr. Rosenberg. Thank you all so much. Thank you for
22	your time. I appreciate your staying today to give
23	us your testimony. It's all on the record and will
24	be uploaded for public information so thank you.

[cross-talk]

1

2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay so next we
3	have Carlyn Cowen from the FPWA , Jacqueline
4	Sherman from the Jewish Child Care Association,
5	Steven Jones from Project Renewal, Steven Helfin
6	[sp?] from the Federation of Mental Health Clinics,
7	Sheena Wright from the United Way of New York City,
8	Nicole Gallant from the United Way of New York
9	City. And I'm going to do something really rude and
10	ask you I'm going to shorten the clock to two
11	minutes only for the sake of time but… and I see
12	three people up here so I'm going to call a lot of
13	people left. Someone's coming up right now. So
14	maybe two more names. That's okay. That's great,
15	thank you. I'm just going to call up two more
16	people. Hang on one second. Stewart Cohen from
17	Fiscal Management Associates, Cara Burkawitz [sp?]
18	from the Jewish Board. I don't know if Cara's still
19	here. Okay I see Gregory Brender from the United
20	Neighborhood Houses, Judy Zangwill from wherever
21	she's from, and the woman in the red jacket who's
22	been sitting here for a long time, and I'm sorry
23	the woman in the black jacket with the purple
24	shirt, come on up. Sorry there was a little… And

what I'm going to ask you to do is please if we

could start on my right, your left, and just introduce yourself so we know who you are. That would be great. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARLYN COWEN: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Carlyn Cowen. I'm a Policy Analyst at the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies. As requested I'll attempt to keep my remarks brief. Thank you Council Member Rosenthal and the committee for the opportunity to testify today and for holding this hearing on such important issues. In order to support our nearly 200 member agencies as well... other nonprofit human service organizations. FPWA urges the city of New York to invest in strengthening nonprofit and human service sector through the following recommendations which you can see in my full written testimony. First implementing the OMB indirect guidance and addressing the real cost of human service contracts which was addressed earlier in the hearing and so I'll just briefly review. With the OMB indirect quidance list in 2013 thus far New York City and state have not yet fully implemented the guidance. And we urge the city council as well as the city to work to implement this guidance and use avenues and

opportunity to take up the greater issue of
funding, the real cost of human service
organizations. Secondly supporting small business
small community based organizations while there are
challenges faced by all nonprofit organizations
doing business with government there are unique
challenges faced by small organizations or those
have a budget of 1.5 million or less. FPWA's been
collaborating closely with the Mayor's Office of
Contract Services on this issue and we are grateful
for their concern and attention to issues that face
small CBOs. Based on these conversations we've
developed a series of recommendations the city can
implement. The full recommendations are in my
written testimony but they include reforming the
request for proposal process, facilitating
subcontract between larger and smaller
organizations, providing outreach and education,
leveraging micro purchasing to help small
organizations, contracting with federations and
coalitions to provide training and technical
assistance, providing back office administrative
support, and reforming city's payment system to
ensure contractual organizations receive funding

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

within 60 days. I won't go over the... the parts on living wage and salary pay now but they are seen in my full written testimony and others have echoed what I say so I just stand in support with them.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today.

STEVEN JONES: Good afternoon. My name is Steven Jones and I'm the Chief Financial and Administrative Officer for Project Renewal. Thank you Council Member Rosenthal and the entire committee for holding this hearing. We are appreciative of the opportunity to be heard. Project Renewal's mission is to end the cycle of homelessness in New York City. Project Renewal serves about 15,000 people per year and our primary area of focus is Manhattan and the Bronx. And our approach is to implement effective solutions in transitional and permanent housing, primary and psychiatric healthcare and employment. Project renewal's budget is approximately 60 million dollars and we have 950 employees. We have 11 contracts with New York City agencies totaling approximately 23.3 million dollars which is about 85 percent of our budget, total budget. We face a

number of challenges but I'd like to offer
testimony just on the indirect cost rates. None of
our city contracts reimburse indirect costs at a
rate that comes close to actual cost. We have
contracts with two city partners that represent a
total of 23.3 million dollars in indirect costs.
The rates on these contracts are eight percent and
10 percent respectively. That leaves approximately
two million dollars, 2.1 actually, that I have to
raise privately just to fund indirect costs. So out
of 2.6 million dollars that I raised 2.1 million
just about goes to fund indirect costs. And other
ways that we've gone about in the agency to close
this gap is to suspend payments to employee benefit
plans. We have not been able to give COLAs every
year. And of course our technology infrastructure
suffers. And we're unable to make the investment
that we think is critical for data collection and
analysis and for reporting to our government
funders who are increasingly interested in
performance base performance based contracts and
funding based on outcomes. So again we appreciate
the opportunity to to share how we feel.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Absolutely. 3 Thank you. 4 STEVEN JONES: Mm-hmm. 5 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you have a copy of your testimony? 6 7 STEVEN JONES: I do not but I will 8 forward one back to you. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Terrific, thank 10 you so much. 11 STEVEN JONES: Thank you. 12 JACQUELINE SHERMAN: Good Afternoon. 13 Thank you Chair Rosenthal and members of the 14 committee for the opportunity to testify at today's 15 hearing on behalf of JCCA, formerly known as the 16 Jewish Child Care Association. My name is 17 Jacqueline Sherman and I'm the Chief Legal and Administrative Officer of JCCA. JCCA is a nearly 18 19 200-year-old organization with a mission to meet the child welfare and mental health needs of all 20 21 those children and their families in the New York metropolitan are who are referred to us for care. 2.2 2.3 With a approximately 110 million dollar annual budget and staff of over 900 we serve over 14,000 24

children and their families per year. JCCA

2	contracts with city and state agencies to address
3	significant and enduring social problems. We
4	receive approximately 64 percent of our total
5	annual revenue through government contracts. I
6	wanted to underscore a few of the challenges we
7	face which are very similar to those that have been
8	voiced by our colleagues. In order to provide
9	quality services that address the entrenched social
10	problems that our mission drives us to address, an
11	organization such as ours requires an efficient
12	infrastructure. We need to manage our human
13	resources, ensure fiscal and contractual
14	responsibility, electronically store and track data
15	and trends about our clients, services, and
16	finances, manage facilities, communicate
17	consistently and accurately with our staff,
18	clients, and the general public and ensure quality
19	and innovation. All of these are commonly
20	recognized costs of doing business whether in the
21	for profit or the not for profit sector. When it
22	comes to organizations such as ours however, city
23	and state funded programs do not cover the full
24	cost of the infrastructure required to provide
25	quality services. You'll have you'll have my full

2.2

2.3

testimony. We'd like to thank the city council and the administration for including the cost of living adjustment and the wage floor in last year's budget. These were very positive steps. We... we greatly appreciate this hearing today and your committee's focus on these issues. We look forward to partnering with your committee, the city council, and the administration to come up with additional solutions to the problems we face. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Gregory.

Brender. I'm here on behalf of United Neighborhood Houses. And I'm here with Elizabeth Hoagland from Stanley Isaacs Neighborhood Centers, one of our member agencies, and Judy Zangwill from Sunnyside Community Services. You have my lengthy testimony which concurs with many of the things you've heard before so I'm not going to read it to you. But just turn it over to the two of them with a point that the issues that have been identified, the issues that are in place in many of the contracts are also baked into procurements that are happening now and

2.2

2.3

are essentially going to happen and... and are going to exist in future programs. And so we... is we have this opportunity now with programs like youth pathways which Elizabeth will talk about and the geriatric mental health initiative which Judy will talk about to reform them and in fact we're grateful to have seen in today's council budget response mentioned of the youth pathways RFP. So I'm going to turn it over to them to talk about the specifics in these two current RFPs.

deep commitment to the promise of young adults who experience disconnection and the extraordinary cost on our local economy when we do not invest in young adults we were very enthusiastic about the release of the New York City human resources youth pathways concept paper in July 2015 and subsequent RFP in February 2016. However, the concept paper failed to deliver on a few critical issues; one of them assumptions regarding the cost to deliver the required services were grossly misaligned with the realities of serving... the young adult population. For example, comparable contracts have a reimbursement rate of 85 hundred 10,500 per

participant but these contracts have rates of \$826
per participant. And those comparable contracts
don't even cover the full costs. Also there are
penalties for failing to reach performance targets
which increase undue burden on risk management for
nonprofits. And then finally some other concerns
are is that it is possible for organizations that
are not huge but have deep connections with
communities they served to be able to take on these
contracts but youth pathways provides for only ten
contractors with only two for all of Manhattan and
Queens and Staten Island having only one provider
each. This means that not only the volume per
provider will be tremendous but also the
organizations that have built trust in
neighborhoods may not be able to provide these
services. So in conclusion the effect of young
adult's disconnection affects everything from
earnings and self-efficacy to health and marital
prospects and creates an undue significant taxpayer
burden. So we are addressing the promise of young
adults and we hope that investment in their future
will be more appropriately considered. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay and real quickly do you have a separate testimony...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

 $\label{eq:elizabeth} \mbox{ELIZABETH HOAGLAND: Yes, we have copies} \\ \mbox{here. We'll give...}$

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh okay great if you could just give it to the Sergeant, thank you.

JUDY ZANGWILL: Is it on now? Yep. So as Gregory said I'm Judy Zangwill, Executive Director of Sunnyside Community Services. With four decades of experience serving youth families and seniors currently 14,000 people annually, a 63-milliondollar budget, and 22 city contracts we have a wealth of experience implementing city contracts that we feel that change the lives of people. I'm here to tell the story of how our organization will not be able to apply for critical funds for a longstanding program. Something almost unheard of in our work and a very painful decision for us. For 10 years Sunnyside Community Services has received funding from the city council for our geriatric mental health initiative. Operating under the oversight of the Department of Health Mental Hygiene we have served hundreds of seniors in their homes and out of our senior centers they faced the

2	challenges of anxiety, depression, substance abuse,
3	and social isolation. We have honed a model that
4	works through screenings, educational workshops,
5	support groups, and counselling sessions. This year
6	the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
	_
7	released an RFP with the intent of replacing our
8	current contract called decreasing depression,
9	increasing social connectedness among New York
10	City's older adults. The new model that has been
11	introduced effectively ends our ability to serve
12	the mental health needs of seniors in our
13	communities for the following reasons. The model is
14	one size fits all calling for six and eight
15	counselling sessions to treat depression. The model
16	assumes that seniors can then receive follow-up
17	services via referral. These services are not
18	widely accessible in Western Queens especially for
19	homebound non-English speaking seniors. We are
20	assigned two areas on the opposite ends of Queens.
21	I'm talking very fast… the RFP pairs community
22	districts that are not near each other for
23	services. So for instance in our case it's Long
24	Island City, Astoria, Sunnyside, and Jamaica which
25	are 10 miles and an hour apart. So it moves away

from a community based vision to a consolidated
plan which which may meet statistical target goals
but does not satisfy neighborhood needs. The
service goals don't match the resources available.
I'm almost finished. We took their mandate, DOH's,
and counted hour by hour person by person what it
would take to deliver the required programming.
They provided guidelines for estimated service
hours to achieve their goals. These guidelines
don't match practice in the field. They don't sound
accurate based on 10 years of experience, and using
their own estimates the required hours still
surpass the funding they've made available. In
conclusion the reason we are not applying for this
is because we feel it sets us up for failure. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: May I just ask... do you know of other organizations that will be applying?

JUDY ZANGWILL: I know of one other and they happen to be in a NYCHA building and they will be getting support from other sources is my understanding. Gregory I don't know if you can...

25 [cross-talk]

2.2

2.3

it's the larger question of to the extent that some organizations start to drop out as a new administration comes in with new ideas of how something should be tackled does another organization step in to take its place, sort of that broader question?

may step in to take the place but ultimately it seems to be a program model that is not as strong as the city council's geriatric mental health initiative which they've... which you guys have... have put forward for many years. So while you know it's rare to get a RFP that nobody applies to there has been a large consensus that this RFP is dangerous for nonprofits and also really changes the scope and type of services that... that seniors have traditionally gravitated to with GMHI.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...interrupt... sorry.

SARA RAKITA: Thank you. And good afternoon. My name is Sara Rakita. I'm Managing Director of the New York Immigration Coalition. I want to thank you to the chairwoman in this

2	committee for holding this hearing. I think this
3	issue is very important particular for New York's
4	immigrant communities. The NYC is an umbrella
5	policy and advocacy organization with 175 members.
6	We have member organizations in every borough of
7	New York City that collectively serve communities
8	in more than 65 languages. Many of our
9	organizations have contracts with the city and
10	experience the challenges that we've heard about
11	today. But with my limited time I'd like to talk a
12	little bit more about others who are actually well
13	placed to provide human services in vulnerable
14	communities but they've been unsuccessful in
15	obtaining city contracts to do so essentially
16	leaving their communities underserved. We have been
17	in a dialogue with FWPA and some other coalitions
18	about focusing on small CBOs and I think this is
19	really an issue that we're glad to see the
20	administration has expressed an interest in the
21	council as well and I think there's a lot that can
22	be done here. Immigrants serving CBOs play a
23	crucial role in the communities. Their linguistic
24	and cultural competency enable them to provide
25	proper service in areas that are otherwise

marginalized. They are known and trusted by	
vulnerable individuals who otherwise might be	
afraid to come forward for health. Some of the	
things which are explained more in my testimony	
which we can do is identify ways to modify rigid	
processes to allow CBOs to get culturally competent	
services to immigrant communities. Streamlining	
bureaucracy to make it possible for small CBOs to	
participate, encouraging micro purchases and	
subcontracting and other ways to get amount you	
know smaller amounts of money to organizations that	
cannot produce largely at scale but can really	
provide targeted services in their communities.	
And and as it's been said said before I think for	
this group in particular strengthening the capacity	
of small CBOs to allow them to contract with the	
city, improve their governance and provide better	
services for New York City's immigrants. With that	
I'll just say thank you for giving me the time for	
having this hearing and we're looking forward to	
partnering with the city to make this a reality.	

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. And thank you for all the work that the coalition does.

And really that goes for everyone. Thank you for

2.2

2.3

your time. Thank you for the work that your organizations do to help the lives of everyday New Yorkers. I'm just going to call up the next panel. Judith Castillo from Phipps neighborhood, Lester Marks from the Lighthouse Guild, John Weed from BronxWorks, Reverend Wendy Calderon from Bronx Connect, and Quinia Abreu [phonetic] from the New York Women's Chamber of Commerce if you're still here. Thank you. Thank you. Okay we'll make this a small panel. Let's... let's go.

Castillo, Director of Finance at Phipps
Neighborhoods. Our organization helps children,
youth, and families in low income communities rise
above poverty through education and career programs
and access to community resources. We serve
approximately 10,000 clients a year and 80 percent
of our 25-million-dollar budget is comprised of
city and state contracts. I'm here to offer
testimony to you today about the tremendous burden
that complying with multiple contract audits places
on our staff the burden that it imposes on our
budgets and ultimately how it affects our ability
to fulfil our mission. I urge that this committee

take the necessary steps to streamline these audit
mandates in order to relieve some of these burdens
and allow our organization and other organizations
like ours focus on our missions. In my role I serve
as liaison between my organization and external
auditors and as a result I'm continuously exposed
to the redundancies and inefficiencies that
multiple audit requirements impose on my
organization. As a nonprofit organization recipient
of federal funding we are required to undergo an
annual independent audit that includes A133
testing. As part of the A133 independent auditors
conduct a thorough analysis that examines our
financial records, statements, and internal
controls. A133 testing considers material-ality
[phonetic] at a more rigorous levels than
independent audits and auditors go through more
criteria in order to assess compliance
requirements. In addition to the A133 city agencies
consistently require that my organization undergo
additional independent audits. We've been subjected
to this added scrutiny over the last four
consecutive years despite consistently receiving
unmodified oninions in our audits DVCD for example

1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 2 deploys their employees to conduct a mid-year 3 fiscal review at all of our contracts. In other 4 cases, they hire independent audit firms and 5 subject us to audits for prior fiscal years well after the fiscal year has ended. Allow me to 6 illustrate further. Over the last month my team and 8 I have been preparing for an FY '15 audit of 20 of our contracts. In preparation for that audit the independent audit firm has requested that we submit 10 11 copies of all monthly invoices. These invoices 12 contain handwritten signatures for the entire fiscal year. That's 12 invoices for 20 contracts, 13 14 240 invoices. With each invoice averaging five to 15 10 pages that's 2,000 pages we have to upload of information that's already available in a 16 17 spreadsheet format and in DYCD's financial 18 management system. Sorry. While APS... 19 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...just wrap it 20 up? 21 JUDITH CASTILLO: Sure. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And do we have a 2.3 copy of that? JUDITH CASTILLO: Yes, we provided it.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay thanks.

24

2.2

JUDITH CASTILLO: So basically what I'm
asking the committee is to accept the A133 as a
standard form for those organizations that are
required to file it. And those that are not
required establish a requirement for an annual
independent audit and instead redeploy the
resources being used in duplicative audit efforts
to focus on quality improvement and technical
assistance that way we can assure our organizations
are helping more vulnerable New Yorkers reach their
potential.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I apologize I'm...

I'm having a small family crisis. I'm going to take

a two-minute break and I'll be right back. Thank

you.

[pause]

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Sorry about}$ that. Please continue.

REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: Hi, my name is
Reverend Wendy Calderon Payne. I'm the Executive
Director over Bronx Connect. And we're a very
different kind of organization than the majority
that have testified today. We're about at 1.5
million dollars. We're what I consider a small

organization. We do alternate incarceration,
alternate to detention, and cure violence work and
we do it with excellence. So there are two areas.
I'm sorry I I did see the report that came out and
this response but I wanted to talk to you about
city contracting because if I can get this into
your ear I will. It is of my opinion that the HHS
accelerator system has actually been harmful to
smaller agencies like myself because by needing to
value certain areas of program they have
undervalued experience. So in the past where RFPs
would have experience at 35 to 45 points out of 100
they've been shifted down to 25 points because
program has been divided up. And what this has
happened what this has allowed to happen is that
smaller agencies or community based agencies I've
heard about this in the juvenile justice world and
also in the head start world. There was a big issue
about a lot of Harlem head start contractors losing
their contracts in compares to larger
organizations. So there is this problem that needs
to be looked at. In addition, on the back there is
a relevant experience form which two years ago was
a normal part of contracting but it's not now So

agencies can go in and put down that they've served young people for 40 years but they don't actually say what they did on the last contract. So they could fail their last contract but not be forced to disclose that in that system. Do you understand what I'm saying? And... and I have spoken to any city council member I can about this. Finally, the contract process. I love MOCS but you can't get a loan if your contract isn't registered. So right now... like last week DOE registered... they finally registered a contract that was from last fiscal year. So the loan... I can get a loan or DOE can pay my... my... my invoices, 120,000 dollars of invoices. So they're going to just pay them but I have to do services for a year and a half with no money. So... so I mean I like MOCS, I see that they're trying but maybe you guys need to hire more staff. Because if it takes a year to... to register a contract... now there are agencies with a million dollars in the bank, I don't have that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I got you. And did you end up taking a private loan to cover those costs?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: No. We ended up
3	not
4	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.
5	REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: We hire very
6	carefully.
7	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay [cross-
8	talk]
9	REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: And I mean if
10	if you look at the testimony
11	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I got ya
12	[phonetic].
13	REVEREND WENDY PAYNE:we're just we
14	are literally last year this I know everybody. I
15	reached out to commissioner for things to get paid
16	on time. I was begging everybody but we would
17	literally put money in and the next day it was
18	payroll.
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And Reverend
20	actually do you have time to stay
21	REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: I will stay and
22	talk to you.
23	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:longer because
24	I'd love to talk to you at the end of the hearing.

REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: Okay thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

REVEREND WENDY PAYNE: Thank you.

JOHN WEED: [off-mic] Good afternoon. My name is John Weed... [cross-talk] [on-mic] Okay. My name is John Weed from BronxWorks. Thank you Council Member Rosenthal for holding these hearings. BronxWorks feeds, shelters, teaches, and supports 35,000 individuals in the South Bronx every year. We have a budget of about 60 million dollars. 95 percent of that is... is New York City governments and we have 120 contracts approximately. Some of the programs that we run are early childhood, after school. We have cornerstones. We run programs for families, family case management, and then we have homeless shelters, and senior centers. I was going to talk about late payment, indirect cost issue, and cost escalation but I don't think the two minutes really gives me time for that. I want to focus on late payment which was a particular problem this year. We started to run into cash flow problems over the summer, some of our contracts which are large, DHS contracts weren't registered for months and months

and months. And... and so I heard a gentleman say

before well what do you do, you stiff your your
your vendors. Well we do have a line of credit that
float 100 1.5 million dollars is what we generally
have. The interest on that is you know relatively
sizable so that you know you're you're paying
interest payments on money that you should be
getting from the city in contracts. Basically we
have to pay our line staff first. That's kind of
our mandate. So in some cases vendors do get paid
late. It's a real problem. This year it was a
particular problem. I don't think we got paid on
some of our larger shelter contracts until mid-
year. In fact, some contracts as the lady right
here pointed out are still unregistered, not at DHS
but at some other city agencies which really
presents problems for our organization in terms of
providing services. In terms of the indirect costs
since I have since I don't have time I will
provide you with the testimony, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And we have your testimony, yeah?

JOHN WEED: No actually it developed over time. I've been here for three hours so I was

able to edit a little bit. I will type it up nicely and hand it in tomorrow.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much. We'll make sure it's in the record. Thank you.

DANA ALTNEU: Good afternoon. I'm Dana
Altneu, Senior Manager of Government Contracts at
Good Shepherd Services. I want to thank Council
Member Rosenthal and the Committee on Contracts for
holding this hearing... holding this hearing on the
challenges facing nonprofits and city contracting.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm going to ask you to summarize your testimony if that's okay.

DANA ALTNEU: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And just hit on the highlights, we have it.

DANA ALTNEU: Okay great. So I'll just give a few examples today; the first being that we are not paid on our indirect federally approved cost rate which is currently 16.9 percent. But the rate we are currently being paid on... contracts ranges from only zero to 10 percent. Like others have said delays in city contract registration is also highly problematic. One of our contracts this

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

year you know started at the beginning of the fiscal year in July and was not registered until February of this year causing a nearly two-milliondollar reimbursement issue over six and a half months. We still have to pay front line staff, administrative staff, order supplies, pay for space. Additionally, you know we... we use HHS Accelerator and have been thrilled to use it and think it's an amazing system that streamlines a very burdensome process. But that being said it only works if it is used and in the past five months New York City agencies required submissions for nine out of our 11 city contracts that were going through the procurement process through the paper system. Those are the highlights and the rest of it is in the written testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Really helpful, thank you.

LESTER MARKS: Good afternoon. My name is Lester Marks from Lighthouse Guild. I'm... I'm... I will not read my testimony but I just wanted to highlight a couple of things. Obviously when the city proposes a major initiative the first place it turns to is the nonprofit sector. Just look at the

2	major initiatives between UPK, homelessness, mental
3	health. All of these initiatives hinge the success
4	of these initiatives hinge on on the backs of the
5	nonprofit sector. So this this report that was
6	issued today is or last week is is really
7	important. One of the things that I'd like to
8	highlight is the the audit process. It is
9	extremely onerous and an organization like
10	Lighthouse has numerous contracts with state, city,
11	and federal officials. Each we'll we'll handle
12	the city for instance… each agency requires a
13	different set of documents, a different slightly
14	altered request for information such as you know
15	Board of Directors Information. One agency might
16	want it with affiliations and contact information.
17	The other might want it sub you know just names of
18	boards of directors. And it's small things like
19	that that add up and require an inordinate amount
20	of time to respond to audits from city agencies. So
21	whatever the council can do and and the report
22	highlights the need for a single standard for an
23	audit across city agency. And however that is
24	determined we will obviously be a part of that
25	process. But to remove that burden of you know the

2.2

2.3

onerous audits that require an insane amount of staff will... will be a tremendous benefit so thank you.

much. Thank you for your time. I really appreciate it. I'm going to call up the next panel. Excuse me if I mispronounce, Angelina Gardner, Garnair [phonetic]... Garneva... thank you it's just a handwriting issue New York City Employment and Training Coalition, Garson Atien [sp?] from Turning Point Brooklyn, Margaret Karate [sp?] from Partnership with Children, and Tom Dambakly from CAMBA. If there are any other organizations that are here that want to testify please fill out one of these slips which you can get at the Sergeant at Arms desk. Thank you. Angelina if you could start.

ANGELINA GARNEVA: My name is Annie

Garneva and I'm here to represent the over 160

member organizations of the New York City

Employment Training Coalition.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Annie sorry.
Sorry I got your name, sorry go ahead.

ANGELINA GARNEVA: No it was... I go by both. Who collectively provide workforce services

to over 800,000 New Yorkers. You can read the my			
entire the entirety of my testimony but today I			
will focus on challenges that we see within the			
contracting process through the lens of the current			
HRA RFPs. Last fall last fall we were hopeful when			
HRA issued CASA papers for a new transformational			
system and invited responses. We surveyed our			
member organizations and held workshops to provide			
feedback warning that only that overly complex			
contracts with low cost per participant rates would			
not facilitate the transformation or the outcomes			
desired. We were hopeful that HRA was listening to			
our voices as the issuance of the RFPs were delayed			
by several months. We were ultimately disappointed			
that the RFPs cost per participant are severely			
below comparable programs both within New York City			
and nationally. Previously someone spoke about the			
youth pathways program so I'll focus on the other			
two programs which are career advance and career			
compass. Career advance allows for a 931 dollars			
per participant and career compass 437 dollars per			
participant. However, the current cost per			
participant in New York City program is most			
similar to both of those are between 1 000 and 24			

hundred dollars per client and up to 7,000 in some		
places some cases. So the HSC report that says 80		
cents on the dollar in these instances it's as low		
as 20 cents on the dollar. From our member		
organizations more than 80 individuals attended a		
session last month held by the workforce		
professionals training institute to assist		
providers in assessing the viability of these RFPs		
for their respective organizations and to provide		
technical assistance. At the end of those sessions		
it was clear that the majority of the organizations		
present including several who currently have		
contracts with HRA for these services determine		
that funding offered to provide increased services		
and outcomes on a performance based contract are		
too low and would prohibit the majority of the		
organizations from applying. In addition, with the		
low funding much anticipated partnerships in the		
HRA concept between large and small agencies will		
not be feasible which eliminates client choice and		
severely diminishes the high quality training that		
is envisioned by the mayor's careers pathways		
vision.		

2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Could I ask you 3 to wrap up.

2.2

2.3

ANGELINA GARNEVA: So I just wanted to mention that it's really important that providers be included in the program design prospect from the beginning. One... one suggestion that we had made was creating a standard assessment tool that would encourage referrals and... and not waste funding. So this is just one example of the kinds of innovative strategies that we... come up with to include in the RFPs rather than be giving them at the end of the process. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

ANGELINA GARNEVA: Thank you.

THOMAS DAMBAKLY: Hi, my name Tom

Dambakly. I'm Chief Administrative Officer and

Executive Vice President for Operations at CAMBA.

We're a multi-service social service agency with

120-million-dollar annual budget. 105 million

dollars of it comes from city contracts. We

currently have 88 contracts across 11 city agencies

totaling 105 million dollars. I'm just going to

touch upon a few quick points because I know that

we need to be brief. CAMBA and not for profits like

us have have had to deal with impacts of inflation
across a wide range of our operating expenses such
as rent and personnel and insurance costs among
others. As an example in in one of our in one of
our service modalities we operate scatter site
housing for people with HIV since 1993. We have 270
such units across the city. These contracts have
not had budget increases since 1993. That's 26
years in some cases since we've had since we've
had some increases since the median rent for an
apartment in New York City was only 501 dollars at
that time. We've been covering the increases ever
since. Like our peers we also have have to deal
with with you know with being funded with with
government dollars and and and the challenges
that that faces. Late payments have been an
increasingly difficult situation to deal with over
the last several years. The delays in in being
paid cause us to incur substantial expenses with
respect to interest in order to meet payroll. We
we have a 13 and a half million-dollar line of cred
that we that we utilize frequently and often
fully. Last year CAMBA paid 375,000 dollars in
interest for the use of our line of credit. To date

we have roughly a dozen contracts that have not
been paid since since July 1st of the last fiscal
year. I'm going to summarize with one last point.
Like our other like our not for profits we're also
committed to transparency and the accurate
reporting and how we do business and operate
programs and we understand that regulation is an
important part of the process. But during fiscal
year 2016 we have been forced to undergo not less
than 106 separate city audits which is a tremendous
waste of of our of a human effort and a
tremendous expense for the organization.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay that ended it on a really solid note. You really made the point there. So thank you so much for waiting 'till the end...

THOMAS DAMBAKLY: Not a problem.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...staying, and such powerful testimony. Thank you for that. I actually... if it's okay and I've been told this is my hearing so I can do it. Allison can I call you back up to testify just real quickly.

ALLISON SESSO: [off mic] Yes, absolutely.

thank you for staying the whole time and I know this is unusual and I'm sure completely inappropriate. So can I just ask you... I mean first of all thank you for bringing everyone here today. We had over 25 organizations testify. I know at least five left testimony... they had to go. These are large organizations providing hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of city services to New Yorkers. So I feel you really made the point. This is the four billion dollars of... you know... sort of you know a good... a good pool of the four billion dollars in contract services.

ALLISON SESSO: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And the summary points that you made in your report obviously were covered with examples today.

ALLISON SESSO: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you feel that... I'm a little overwhelmed by the next steps.

And I know and I'm confident that the administration is taking this all in and is taking... you know making good steps forward... and there were

2.2

2.3

a couple of suggestions for legislation and there was a request for one... a one shot of funding.

ALLISON SESSO: Mm-hmm.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So I heard all of that. Do you think it's reasonable to ask the administration for some sort of road map or strategic plan for how you get from these recommendations to implementation? I mean what sort of the... you know besides...

ALLISON SESSO: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...government grinding along which it is.

ALLISON SESSO: Yes, I mean I think...

Look, I think at the heart of this is the... is the...

the rates. And it... it's about what do we call...

consider program costs. And there has to be a real

conversation and some consistency across city... and

I would say to some degree state agencies as well.

I'm not saying the city and state has to be exactly

the same because I know that's very difficult and I

operate in reality. But I think that those two

conversations have to happen and there has to be

consistency across city agencies and some... and it

can't be vastly different at the state level. What

that means for a nonprofit it's operating in both
of those. So what are the what you know the
categories that Marla talked about I think we need
to really look at those together and say what can
we how can we rationally approach this? I mean
and it's not going to be perfect. I mean let's
let's also realize that in this system there will
be changes and it will mean that some nonprofits
will lose from you know some are operating I
wouldn't say anyone's operating well but they
figured out how to operate in this environment. And
so if you really open up the Pandora's box and you
take a look and you say okay are we really going to
take a look at this and figure out what the real
costs are and how we going to how are we going to
allocate things it may mean some losses and
differences for nonprofits. But I think as a system
as a whole it will strengthen it. And I have to say
this was part of the commission which is very
important. We are not trying to protect any one
entity. And this is a hard position for me. I'm a
membership association, 170 members. And of course
I care about the membership; FEGS was also one of
my mombors. At the end of the day this is for me

and for the commission about putting the individual
entities aside and saying we have to make sure that
as a city that we have the capacity to ensure
wellbeing and do these range of services that we
need that support the education system and the
healthcare system. And if we're not if we're
expecting to solve these very large social problems
on the backs of these nonprofit agencies that are
financially falling apart we're missing a real
opportunity. And so that's what we're concerned
about here. Because at some point if too many
nonprofits close our ability to for the for the
rest of the system to absorb all of the things that
are left over is going to be small. And I think
we're missing the opportunity to really get the
innovation and and you know Pat Jenny was here
talking about the New York Community Trust and
their 40-million-dollar contribution. And it's
frustrating for them because their have to they
have to do business with organizations that are not
financially doing that well and they want to use
their dollars differently, not to fill in gaps. So
I think we need a collective conversation. And I do
think that MOCS will be a good partner but there

2.2

2.3

does have to be a directive at the very highest levels of the administration to want to do this and... and I think a road map is the best way to go. And this is not a problem that happened you know overnight. We're probably not going to solve it overnight, this is the long game here.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah. I mean if you look at... you know and you talked about this in the... in the ABNY panel discussion you know at one point we lost the fringe benefits overhead for our contract services that paid for insurance.

ALLISON SESSO: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And then another time you lost you know something else. So we've been chipping away at it for the last 40 years.

ALLISON SESSO: And if there's another recession we're in... we're... it's going to come avalanching back down at us. And look we've been... since 2008 I mean every eight or nine years or so there usually is a downturn in the economy. If that happens and the... and the government has to cut back we're usually the last on the list and that's... that is going to be a big problem. And again that's... in a... in a context of that we are providing services

2.2

2.3

that are a bridge to opportunity for the... some of the you know needlest people in New York City. So it's... it's a problem... it's going to be a problem. We're going to feel it one way or another if we don't do something.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And then I}$ had texted you this question but...

ALLISON SESSO: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...just for the public to know I... if you could get me a list you know for whatever organizations feel comfortable...

I'm not interested in the name of the organization.

ALLISON SESSO: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But if you could break it into categories of organizations like in the homeless services sector and the after school program sector, in the...

ALLISON SESSO: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...you know...

social services for people with disability sector

what... how many have to... so the number of

organizations that have to get private bank loans

and how much for each of those groups annually last

year 2000... fiscal year '15, fiscal year '16, I

1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS don't care... did they have to take out in loans and 2 3 how much... ALLISON SESSO: Yeah. 4 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...interest did 5 6 they pay. 7 ALLISON SESSO: Yeah so... so we can ... we can get that but I will just say this. The other 8 thing that we've heard and I don't have evidence of this but I've heard it anecdotally and often times 10 11 that's truth; because so many nonprofits have been 12 failing the banks... getting a loan from the banks has been harder for a lot of nonprofits. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So maybe do the 15 analysis from 2013... 16 ALLISON SESSO: Mm-hmm. 17 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Pick a year that 18 you think is a meaningful year. 19 ALLISON SESSO: Yep. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And that's a 20 21 good footnote to put in there. ALLISON SESSO: Right. Will do. So 2.2 2.3 we'll... we'll work on doing that. And I do think that John MacIntosh's report has some good 24

suggestions about how to get the banks to come to

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	the table in a more meaningful way which I think he
3	mentioned but we should look at that as well. And
4	I'm I'm happy to follow up and give you you know
5	more concrete steps on what the council can do post
6	this and and also what the how the council can
7	help to push the administration. And I I just want
8	to thank you really for spending so many hours and
9	and raising this up. I mean you're a real partner.
LO	And the call to action part you have taken very
11	seriously.
L2	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Alright well
L3	it's a pleasure working with you. Thank you so
L4	much
15	ALLISON SESSO: Great.
L 6	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Calling the
L7	hearing to a close.
L8	[gavel]
L9	
20	
21	
22	
2.3	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____ April 29, 2016