CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

----- X

March 11, 2016 Start: 1:08 p.m. Recess: 3:49 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Stephen T. Levin

Rory I. Lancman Donovan J. Richards

Eric A. Ulrich

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Emily Lloyd, Commissioner
NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Steve Lawitts, Deputy Commissioner and Chief Financial Officer NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Eric Landau, Acting Deputy Commissioner
Public Affairs
NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Joseph Murin, Assistant Commissioner for Budget NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Jim Roberts, Deputy Commissioner Water and Sewer Operations NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Angela Licata, Deputy Commissioner Sustainability NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Vincent Sapienza, Deputy Commissioner Engineering, Design and Construction NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Paul Rush, Deputy Commissioner Bureau of Water Supply NYC Department of Environmental Protection

Murray Fisher, Executive Director New York Harbor Foundation

the following areas:

2	Filtration Avoidance Determination
3	Program; Housing Recovery Operations, Build It Back
4	headcount; water for the future project, the Retrofit
5	Accelerator Program; and the wall washing community
6	investment payments. So I look forward to hearing
7	from the Administration about this year's Preliminary
8	Budget, and I want to recognize first my colleague
9	from Queens, Council Member Rory Lancman, who's here
10	this afternoon, and from there I'll have Samara
11	Swanston ourour attorney swear in the witnesses.
12	LEGAL COUNSEL SWANSTON: Can you please
13	raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to

raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I do.

2.2

## CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:

Commissioner, thank you for being here today. Please begin.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Thank you. Good
afternoon, Chair Constantinides, and members. I'm
Emily Lloyd, Commissioner of the New York City
Department of Environmental Protection. I'm joined
today by First Deputy Commissioner Steve Lawitts;
Acting Deputy Commissioner for Public Affairs, Eric

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

benefits more than 150--150,000 customers who use fewer that 100 gallons of water per day. addition, this year's rate again included the Home Water Assistance Program, first introduced in 2014 that provides \$116 credit annually to homeowners who quality for the Federal Home Energy Assistance Program. This year, the program has expanded to also include an additional 46,500 low-income senior and disabled homeowners who received Department of Finance property tax exemptions. Finally, this year's water rate also included a 40% return of the rental payment and a commitment from Mayor de Blasio to return an additional 10% annually until the rental payment is fully eliminated. The Water Board will be holding public rate hearings for the Fiscal Year 17 water rate the week of April 18th, and I look forward to working with the members of the Council to publicize those hearings.

Before I get to the substance of my testimony today, I want to briefly touch on the quality of New York City's drinking water. As Flint, Michigan struggles with its water quality crisis, as you mentioned, I want all New Yorkers to know the DEP staff are extremely diligent about the very issues

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 7 that were sad--sadly neglected in Flint. DEP spends substantial time and resources testing the water quality from 1,000 water quality testing locations around the five boroughs as well as testing water quality upstate in our reservoirs, lakes, and tunnels. In total, DEP contucks--conducts about half a million water quality tests every year. While New York City's water is virtually lead free when it is delivered from our reservoir system, water can absorb lead from pipes, fixtures and solder, the material sometimes used to join pipes, found in the plumbing of some buildings or homes. DEP believes that a moderate percentage of homes in New York City may have lead plumbing, such as lead service line, which connect the property to the city's water main, and are the responsibility of the property owner, or lead solder, which connects pipes together inside the To help reduce the risk that lead can dissolve from the homeowner's plumbing or service line into the tap water, DEP carefully monitors PH levels of the water, and adds phosphoric acid, which creates a protective film, reducing the release of metals from household plumbing. Property owners interested in

testing their water for lead can contact DEP for a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

free lead kit by call 311. Upon receiving the water sample, DEP will test the water and send the property owner the results within 30 days. Should the results show an elevated level, DEP shares the results with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene as well as the property owner, and the city will inform the property owner of some recommended next steps.

Finally, as you know, we spend on average more than a billion dollars a year to maintain and further improve and update our water supply system including major capital projects such as the Cats--Catskill Delaware Ultraviolet Disinfection facility, the Croton Water--Water Filtration Plant and City Water Tunnel 3. Let me start by providing some context. Turning to our capital and expense budgets, I believe it would be helpful to share some recent accomplishments, an update on our initiatives as part of OneNYC, a brief overview of a number of performance metrics, and an update on key programs and projects. This past year we activated the Croter--Croton Water Filtration Plant in the Bronx; completed a \$210 million rehabilitation and upgrade of Avenue B Pump Station in Brooklyn; completed excavation of a \$250 million water tunnel connecting

2 | Brooklyn and Staten Island; completed construction

3 and activated a \$30 million interceptor sewer line to

4 | improve the health of Flushing Bay; began

5 construction of Staten Islands first Mid-Island Blue

6 Belt, and oversaw the completion of all 5,300

7 registered buildings converting from No. 6 heating

8 oil.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Playing a major role in our activities is Mayor de Blasio's OneNYC Plan released on April 2nd, 2014, and expanding on prior long-term sustainability plans for New York City as well as on the work of the de Blasio Administration to date. OneNYC includes several initiatives that DEP is hard at work on including protecting the city's water supply, and maintaining the reliability and resiliency of the water supply system; installing or repairing 500 water fountains and water bottle refilling stations across the five boroughs; expanding green infrastructure and diversifying techniques for stormwater management in neighborhood across the city; and reducing pollution from stormwater runoff. To meet the city's water supply needs, New York City has a robust water supply infrastructure investment program including projects such as the new tunnel to

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 bring water from Kensico Reservoir to the Catskill-

3 Delaware Ultraviolet Disinfection facility;

4 significant upgrades to the Hillview Reservoir in

5 Yonkers, and the optimization of Catskill Aqueduct.

In 2015, as the city prepared for discussions with State regulators renewing Filtration Avoidance Determination or FAD, which allows the city to continue to deliver safe, unfiltered drinking water to more than eight million residents. DEP competed a comprehensive assessment of the existing program, and of water quality trends. The summary confirmed that the programs and investments NYC has made over the past decade in watershed protection and infrastructure have maintained the high quality of our water supply. The city's next FAD is expected in 2017. In February 2016, DEP substantially completed construction of the first phase of a \$1 billion project to replace a leaking section of the Delaware Aqueduct. In coming months, the city will begin boring a 2.5 mile long bypass tunnel more than 600 feet under the Hudson River. As a result of this project, water from the Delaware system will be unavailable for approximately six months while the new bypass tunnel is connected to the existing

tunnel. DEP has developed a comprehensive program to
ensure reliable water delivery during the
construction period including an expansion of water
conservation retrofits to 189 schools and 330 parks
across all five boroughs in 2016. We anticipate that

the project will be completed in 2023. That's the

8 Delaware Bypass project.

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A reliable water supply is only as good as valuable as it is accessible and readily available for all New Yorkers. In 2015, the city launched a new program to install or repair 500 water fountains and water bottle refilling stations citywide, encouraging residents to reach for tap water as their preferred beverage both at home and on the go. interagency taskforce selected three different models of outdoor fountains that make--that will make it convenient for New Yorkers to fill their water bottles while in transit across the city. The task force identified 30 schools and 42 parks as priority sites for the first phase of installations, and has developed a GIS map to identify existing drinking fountains and potential placement options moving In 2016, DEP will oversee the installation forward. for replacement of fountains and bottle refillers in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

all schools identified for phase one of the program and move forward on additional drinking fountains and bottle refillers at the first tranche of identified park sites. While DEP continues to be vigilant about protecting our water supply, we must also seek creative ways to manage the water that falls under our streets and enters our sewers, and wastewater infrastructure. Since the 1990s, the city has pursued a dual approach to alleviate flooding and protecting our surrounding waters through an expansive build-out of gray and green infrastructure. In 2015, DEP advanced construction of green infrastructure in priority combined sewer overflow to the terrain (sic) areas, which represents 6%--60% of the city where stormwater from streets is carried away in the same sewers that drain sanitary waste. In 2015, DEP allocated 804 terrain areas, which represents 6%--60% of the city where stormwater from streets is carried away in the same sewers that drain sanitary waste.

In 2015, DEP allocated \$804.5 million the Ten-Year Capital Budget to build green infrastructure such as right-of-way bioswales and stormwater green streets. DEP has constructed more than 1,000 right-

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Recreation, and the New York City Housing Authority

3 to identify opportunities for green infrastructure

4 retrofits on publicly owned properties around the

5 city. To date, DEP has completed 10 projects with

6 these partners. Five are in construction, and nearly

200 more are under consideration.

While the City continues to make new strides in reducing CSOs, we are also developing a comprehensive plan to address water quality from runoff in separately sewer areas. On July 31, 2015, New York State issued a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System or MS4 Permit for New York City that requires the City to develop a stormwater management program over the course of three years. Building on previous permit preparation and engagement efforts, DEP recently hosted multiple interagency and stakeholder working groups to evaluate best practices, and develop plans to address issues such as floatables, good housekeeping for municipal facilities, and industrial and commercial stormwater sources. DEP also worked with the Department of Sanitation and Green NYC to develop a media outreach campaign on litter, debris, and floatables, which was submitted to the State in October 2015 and official

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 launched in February 2016. In 2016, DEP will submit

3 | the First Annual Progress Report on the development

4 of the citywide MS4 Stormwater Management Program

5 Plan, as well as an interim report on the development

6 of the State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

Turning now to Water and Sewer Operations. DEP is maintaining its focus on preventive maintenance techniques. While the aim of improving -- with the aim of improving efficiency and the operations of our vast infrastructure network. For example, we are inspecting and maintaining key valves and pressure regulators in our water system in order to reduce the potential for water main breaks due to pressure changes. Additionally, our Sewer Operation and Analysis Program cleans, repairs and replaces sewer segments with recurring issues. sewers can discharge during major storms that exceed the design capacity of the sewers, we deploy resources to ensure that the existing system consistently performs at the capacity for which it was designed. Additionally, working with the Office of Emergency Management and the Departments of Sanitation and Transportation, we pre-inspect historically flood prone areas in advance of major

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rain events. Beginning this July and ramping up to Fiscal Year in accordance with recently passed and signed legislation, DEP will be inspecting all 148,000 catch basis annually. One key issue to highlight that the committee is well aware of is the significant impact on sewer back-ups that fat, oil and grease build-up causes. While we have seen the percentage slightly decrease this year, it is still the cause of approximately 66% of confirmed backups. Grease entry into sewers is preventable, and relies on choices made by individuals. Focusing on public education and outreach, we have worked closely with schools, community organizations, and elected officials to help reduce the occurrence of grease being poured down the drain. Additionally, using Southeast East Queens as pilot area, staff is distributing information door to door. By the end of the year, we project that we will have reached over 50,000 homes in these neighborhoods. Finally, our staff follows up directly with restaurants in areas where there is persistent grease build-up in the sewers to ensure proper use of grease traps. focus on enhancing operational efficiency and targeting our resource deployments has effected a

2.2

2.3

positive trend in our metrics. Some key performance statistics--[coughs] excuse me--showing changes from

4 Fiscal Year 11 to Fiscal Year 15 include:

Sewer back-up resolution a 31% decrease.

Catch basin resolution time a 38% decrease. Number of catch basins with open work order 58% decrease from 2011 to June 30th, 2015. Confirmed sewer back-ups, a 47% decrease. Sewer segments with recurring back-ups a 49% decrease. Sewer segments with recurring back-ups in dry weather a 56% decrease.

Total--total sewer cleaning a 15% increase.

In the area of noise and air regulation. Since the passage of the Updated Air Code last year, the City has met with agencies and external stakeholder to craft the necessary regulations to implemented the updated Air Pollution Control Code, and DEP will promulgate new rules by the code's effective date of May 6, 2016. To enhance air compliance and enforcement capacity, DEP added eight new air and noise inspectors last year, allowing us to deploy inspectors on weekends and evenings when many air and noise complaints occur. These additions will also increase the number of inspections across the city, enabling DEP to send inspectors to more

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 events and projects to ensure compliance with the air
3 and noise codes.

In the area of customer services, as the committee knows, DEP operates a customer service office in each borough in addition to a call center to collect water and sewer rate payments, enroll customers in payment agreements, and answer questions. Our call response time has slightly increased this year from 46 to 54 seconds primarily due to staff attrition early in the fiscal year. However, we have recently increased the number of staff from 21 last July to 33 as of January 2016, as well as hiring additional staff on a quarterly basis. In addition, we continue to use a Virtual Call Center, which allows for staff from our borough offices to support the call center during peak periods. With this increase in staff in the Virtual Call Center, we have begun to see a decrease in call waiting time to 30 seconds in the second quarter of Fiscal Year 16.

Expanding further on our Green

Infrastructure Program, DEP continues implementation
of the program, which incorporates different
techniques to reduce stormwater runoff into the

plants and soils and below grade engineering that can

soak up rain water. Bioswales intercept stormwater

coming down the curb preventing it from going into

the sewer system. In addition, they provide other

important environmental benefits including improved

neighborhoods. DEP with the support of the Economic

air quality and greening of streets and

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

2 Development Corporation and the Department of Design

3 and Construction is designing and constructing green

4 infrastructure in priority watershed areas of the

5 Bronx, Brooklyn and Queens including Hutchinson

6 River, Westchester Creek, and Bronx River in the

7 Bronx, Flushing Bay, Flushing Creek, parts of Newtown

8 | Creek and Jamaica Bay areas of Queens, Gowanus Canal,

9 Newtown Creek and Jamaica Bay areas of Brooklyn.

10 Work in select areas of the East River and Open

11 | Waters Watershed in all three boroughs and future

12 | work is being planned for the Harlem River Watershed

13 | that will include Western Bronx and areas of Northern

14 Manhattan.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

As the committee knows, DEP began installing automated meter reading transmitters in early 2009. AMR has played a vital role in ensuring that all property owners are appropriately and accurately billed for their actual water usage leading to significantly fewer estimated bills. In January 2009, 17.4% of bills were estimated while in February 2016, only 3% of bills were estimated. As of February 25th, 2016, automated meter reading transmitters have been installed in over 819,000

meters representing over 97% of meters citywide based

on a citywide total of approximately 844,000 meter
registers. As the committee also knows, the water
and sewer service lines that connect homes to city
owned water and sewer mains are the responsibility of
the homeowner. Repairs to broken service lines can
cost between \$3,000 and \$15,000, and can be
financially devastating to the homeowner. Therefore,
in January 2013, we announced the availability of an
optional service line protection program. Under the
SLPP, American Water Resources will cover repairs to
an enrolled customer's leaking water service line or
a broken or clogged sewer service line. Homeowners
who choose to enroll in the program sign a contract
with AWR, and have the convenience of paying the
small monthly enrollment fees currently \$4.49 per
month for the water service line and \$8.47 for the
sewer service line to their water bills. In a little
over three years, over 205,000 customers have
enrolled in the plan with 90% of subscribers having
enrolled in both the water and sewer plans. These
enrolled customers generated nearly 4,500 claims in
the Fiscal Year 2015 with SLPP coverage saving them

more than \$16 million.

DEP is in the ninth year of the Ten-Year Filtration Avoidance Determination secured in 2007. Land acquisition continues to be an important part of our Source Water Protection Program, and DEP remains on track to meet the land solicitation goals established in with that. We continue to prioritize solicitation taking into account the high levels of protection we have attained in many parts of the watershed. Since 1997, DEP has acquired more than 145,500 acres of land in the watershed.

Year 2017 Expense and Capital Budget. The projected Expense Budget for the current fiscal year, Fiscal Year 16 is \$1.5 billion including approximately \$261 million in Community Development Block Grant Funds for the Build It Back Program for which DEP serves as the contracting entity for the city. For Fiscal Year 17 we expect DEP's expense budget to be \$1.2 billion. The Preliminary Fiscal Year 17 Expense Budget breaks down into the following large categories:

\$499 million or 41% in Personnel Services to pay the salaries for our nearly 6,000 funded positions.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

\$732 million or approximately 59% for other than Personnel Services, OTPS, which includes taxes on Upstate watershed lands, which account for \$167 million or nearly 14% of the Expense Budget. As you know, the ownership of watershed lands represents a critical investment in maintaining the high quality of New York City's drinking water by protecting it at the source and ensuring that it does not require more expensive treatment such as filtration. I am pleased to report that we have successfully negotiated agreements with Upstate jurisdictions to make our tax obligations more stable and predictable and in some cases to even reduce them.

DEP's energy costs including heat, light and power account for \$107 million or 9% of the Fiscal Year 17 Expense Budget. DEP is the third largest municipal consumer of electric power in New York City after the Department of Education and the Health and Hospitals Corporation, and our consumption will grow as we bring online new treatment processes for wastewater to control energy costs, and meet Mary de Blasio's major commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, DEP is investing in projects to reduce energy needs including a cogeneration plant at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

North River Wastewater Treatment facility. Chemicals are estimated to cost \$55 million in Fiscal Year 17 or about 5% of our Expense Budget. For drinking water DEP continues to add chlorine and fluoride to captured (sic) water in order to meet federal, state and city treatment requirements. Also for drinking water the treatment processes at the New Croton Water Filtration Plant require chemical additions. Our wastewater plants rely on the addition of polymers and other chemicals to remove--to improve removal rates and continue to disinfect their effluent with chlorine. Sludge management of the 1,200 tons per day is projected to cost about \$45 million in Fiscal Year 17 or about 4% of the Expense Budget. Fiscal Year 17 Preliminary Four-Year Capital Budget is approximately \$10 million for Fiscal year--\$10 billion for Fiscal Year 16 to 19 as presented by Mayor de Blasio on January 21st, 2016. This is a \$1.7 billion or a 21% increase over the Fiscal Year 16 September Capital Plan of \$8.3 billion. Major changes in the plan for Fiscal Year 2016 to '19 include: Gowanus combined sewer overflow retention tanks. To significantly reduce the combined sewer overflow discharges into Gowanus Canal, \$510 million

2.2

2.3

was added in the plan to secure land, design two CSO tanks and construct one of the two planned CSO tanks adjacent to the Gowanus Canal.

Hunts Point Wastewater Treatment Plant
Digesters. A total of \$155 million, an addition of
\$55 million and an acceleration of \$100 million from
Fiscal Year '20, was added to replace the Hunts Point
Wastewater Treatment Plant Digesters. This includes
\$21 million for design; \$20 million for construction
management; and \$200 million for construction to
fully fund this project at \$241 million in the plan.

Ashokan Reservoir Olive Bridge Dam dividing Weir Bridge. An additional \$97.7 million was added in the plan to ensure continued compliance with New York State Dam's Safety Regulations of the Ashokan Reservoir's dams and dikes. The construction will also ensure that selective withdrawals of water from the Ashokan Reservoir to the Catskill Aqueduct—Aqueduct, 40% of the daily supply continue uninterrupted to New York City residents and the continued safety of the public traveling over the dividing narrow (sic) bridge. Additional highlights was the Fiscal Year 17 Preliminary Four-Year Capital Plan including wastewater treatment. The plan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

projects a \$4.1 billion investment in wastewater treatment projects. \$2.8 billion of this is for the upgrade, reconstruction or replacement of components of the wastewater treatment plants and pumping stations. The remaining \$1.3 billion investment will be used to mitigate combined sewer overflows with 700--I'm sorry--\$570 million for green infrastructure such as green roofs and bioswales, and the remainder for gray infrastructure such as tanks and tunnels to store wastewater. The above funding also includes a \$210 million budget--budgeted for the construction of a new cogeneration plant at the North River Wastewater Treatment Plant. The new co-gen plant will use renewable digester gas produced by the wastewater treatment process to both power equipment and heat the facility. It would help to reduce our energy use and help the city meet the Mayor's major commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions at reservoirs, dams, treatment facilities and water mains. For Fiscal Year 16 to 19, the Administration is proposing to invest an additional \$2.6 billion in protecting the quality of our reservoirs and the integrity of our dams, providing for treatment where necessary and maintaining and repairing water main

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Catskill Aqueduct.

period, the plan improves over 200--provides over

\$250 million for projects related to providing

supplemental sources of water during the Delaware

Aqueduct shutdown. This funding includes \$131

million to increase the capacity of the Upper

Long-Term Control Plans: There is \$635 million of funding to cover planned, consent and other work related to the Long-Term Control Plan for combined sewer overflows and stormwater control. This is a portion of a larger committee--commitment being negotiated with DEC to erect \$3 billion over 30 years to improve water quality in designated water bodies. The most significant element of this commitment is \$560 million in the Four-Year Plan for improvements to reduce city assay discharges in the Gowanus Canal. In addition, DEP will undertaken projects such as disinfection in Alley Creek, Hutchinson River and Flushing Creek and measures and other water bodies yet to be agreed upon.

Sewers: The plan for Fiscal Year 16 to

19 projects \$2.5 billion of spending on sewers, which

includes the build-out for Southeast Queens for \$584

million. In addition, there are \$637 million for

2.2

2.3

replacement of sewers, storm, sanitary or combined including the Mayor's Initiative of for Accelerated Replacement. \$1.3 billion for new sewers of all types, of which storm sewers is a category by itself, either new or reconstructed and accounts for \$1.2 billion of projected spending of which \$240 million is for high level storm sewers, and \$304 million of the total is for both the conventional sewers and the lands necessary to create build-out systems, which are being extended beyond Staten Island with Springfield Lake in Queens and Cortlandt Park, the Bronx Botanic Garcen—Botanical Garden and other locations.

On behalf of the almost 6,000 of the department, I want to express our appreciation for Chairman Constantinides' strong leadership and our continued commitment to work closely with the members of this committee and the Council as a whole. This concludes my prepared statement. I thank you for the opportunity to present testimony, and look forward to answering your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you,

Commissioner. So I--I have a number of questions,

and then I will turn it over to my colleagues to ask

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Chairman,

the--the first part of the question was the

distribution of--of the--the personnel.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yes, uh-huh.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: And--and that really as--as you may be aware, the distribution of the catch basins is not--is not uniform throughout the--

# CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:

[interposing] Uh-huh.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: -
throughout the city. So it will be proportionate to

the distribution of the basins across the--across the

city. You going to ask me the--?

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: All right, and I need you to swear him in, but I'll ask you to just state your name for the record. [laughs]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: So--so-we'll--we'll distribute the--the personnel across
the--the five boroughs according to the proportions
of the basins that are in the five boroughs, but the
way we've structured the--the planning is to--is to
allow us to be able to shift those resources as the
need may arise to augment. You know, it's important

it's--it's down to about 3.6 days. So--so those-those averages will fluctuate a little bit depending
on exactly when you look at the--the snapshot in

5 time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay. All right. So moving forward on green infrastructure, and the head count increased that is associated with that. The Preliminary Plan includes \$8.5 million in Fiscal 17 to maintain green infrastructure. This includes funding for 62 green infrastructure. Will an effort be made to hire from local communities where the infrastructure is located?

[pause]

includes \$8.5 million to maintain it, and that is funding for 62 green infrastructure maintenance positions. We've--precipitate--participated with several local community job fairs to--to get people interested in those job. We were at a Build It Back green job fair in the Rockaways October 15, '14, Built It Back Green Jobs Fair in Coney Island in 2015. Another one in Oct--later October '14, and Council Member Daneek Miller had a Jamaica Job Expo in 2015. We have filled 19 of those maintenance

positions to date. We're building up the staff as we build out the bioswales, and we expect to hire three more by end of this month. We still have positions to hire, and we will continue to attend job fairs, and we'd be happy to work with Council members at any events that you're having.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: That was my next question is how do we go about publicizing these open positions and, you know, how do we get--how do you work with office--

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: --to

publicize that?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So Eric will be happy to work with you. We are planned out to coordinate, and we will be happy to do a table or a presentation at an event to tell people about the event, tell people about the jobs and what they're like and take resumes. Or, give people contact information so they can submit resumes afterwards.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: You know, is there a training program to get these individuals up to speed or what--

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] No 3 there isn't.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: --or if we're hiring people?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Nope, there's no formal education or experienced background that's required for the jobs. So, we do the training after people are hired. They don't have to have any training to come into the job except for the senior gardener positions, which are appointed off of Civil Service lists because that's the nature of those jobs, and we have to do it that way.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So once they're hired, we're able to give them the training that they need to get them up to speed, and get them moving?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yeah, they do--the work they do--actually, they develop very good skills. They assist in general maintenance work, edging, seeding, snow removal, cultivating, fertilizing, trimming, sweeping, removal of sediments and raking of littler. And then they help us to maintain the drainage structure, which over time

2.2

2.3

Т	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 36
2	degrades has to be sort of renewed from time to time
3	So that the bioswales really retain the water.
4	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So these are
5	skills that they can take with them for their entire
6	career. Right, yeah. Those are good jobs.
7	Fantastic. Relating to the Department Energy Study,
8	the Preliminary Plan includes \$200,000 in Fiscal 17
9	and then \$600,000 in Fiscal 18 and 19 to conduct the
10	energy study. Who is going to conduct this study?
11	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I'm going to ask
12	Deputy Commissioner Angela Licata to answer the
13	question.
14	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Deputy
15	Commissioner, welcome. Please state your name before
16	you just start speaking just for the record.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: I'm Angela
18	Licata, Deputy Commissioner for Sustainability for
19	the Department of Environmental Protection.
20	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: With respect
22	to the Energy Study
23	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:
24	[interposing] Uh-huh.

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: --we are--we 3 are initiating the idea -- the general idea there is to 4 give us a better set of analysis tools to be able to 5 evaluate the ideas that we're now generating in-house with respect to reducing the department's energy use 6 7 and reducing our greenhouse gas profile. So if you 8 could imagine one of the strategies that we want to look at is the potential for including more solar at the wastewater treatment plants. We would like to be 10 11 able to better evaluate the potential for potentially 12 including solar on top of certain tanks, and this 13 will allow us to do a better cost benefit study of that. We will also be utilizing this study for an 14 15 evaluation of our bio-solids program. The Bureau of 16 Wastewater Treatment is currently looking at the 17 Market Analysis for landfilling bio-solids as 18 compared with the potential for beneficially reusing 19 So we're constantly looking at the price 20 points on these scenarios, and this contract will 21 also allow us to complement the effort of that bureau 2.2 doing that business case analysis with a market 2.3 analysis. So when we have our bio-solids, if we could beneficially re-use them in the future, where 24 25 would the markets be? And what markets are available

while you're getting that together, I'll recognize

2 that both Council Member Brad Lander and Inez Barron
3 from Brooklyn have joined us.

[background comments]

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So the plant produces between 500 million and 750 million cubic feet of gas per year. And Deputy Commissioner Vinny Sapienza is going to answer the rest of the questions in more detail.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.

[background noise]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: Good afternoon. Vincent Sapienza, Deputy Commissioner for Engineering, Design and Construction at DEP. As the Commissioner mentioned, the plant through it's anaerobic digester process, produces about two million cubic feet a day of digester gas, roughly between 500 and 750 million cubic feet a year. Some of the gases used to heat the—the plant campus, the buildings on—on the site we—we use that digester gas as a fuel in the boilers, but much of it is flared. There's—there's no other use for it at the current time, but—but think as the Council knows we are—have been for a while now talking to National

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 40
2	Grid about purchasing thatthat excess gas that
3	that we're now flaring.
4	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And do we
5	expect that just be an increase use of this
6	technology on future projects?
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: So going
8	forward, as we do rehabilitation work on digesters or
9	build new digesters as we're looking at, at Hunts
10	Point, one of the things that we want to consider is
11	making better use of that digester gas to be able to
12	either use it on site forfor energy tofor the
13	plant, or to sell it to a third part.
14	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, and
15	I'mI'm going to go with a few more questions. Then
16	I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues. In
17	relation to clean heat, this has been a huge success.
18	In relation to the program, can you provide us a
19	breakdown of the number of burners citywide that are
20	still currently using No. 4?
21	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yep. No. 4 and No.
22	6.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: No. 6.
24	I'll do 4 and 6.
	1

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: You want the page?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Angela, do you want me to do it? Yeah, go ahead.

[background comments]

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: This--this may take me a--a little while to read, but currently the breakdown is as follows: Natural gas plus fuel oil No. 2 estimate at 5,010 boilers. Fuel No. 2 only, an estimated 1,540. Natural Gas plus Fuel No. 4, 1,100 boilers. Fuel No. 4, 2,130 boilers. Natural gas alone, 1,185, and natural gas plus Fuel No. 6 blended, 11.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Eleven. So we're--we're getting--we're getting pretty close there on--on No. 6.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: There are no No. 6 only boilers operating now as part of the original that we were tracking. So we've successfully completed that phase out of the No. 6 boilers.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And we've-we've expressed an interest in--in phasing out No. 4
oil. When does it look that the city will be able to
phase that out?

oversees those big facilities as well, and we will

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

continue to encourage them to make fuel oil changes
as well.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Has the State really given us any indication that they wanted to work with us on this because I've heard from several operators that they're not within the City jurisdiction and, therefore, they will continue to burn 4 and 6 as long as they please, which I find is completely unacceptable.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Right.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: This not-I'm--I'm not putting the onus on you, but again
we're--we're saying this is the state problem that we
need to resolve within our city limits.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: I think

three is a willingness on the part of the State to

work together with us. They were very helpful in

indicating to these facilities that they did, in

fact, need to have city permits and be in good

standing with city regulators. So that was a step in

the right direction.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Oh, I--I wholeheartedly agree because I think when have, you know, we are phasing our 4 and 6 everywhere else in

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

[laughs]

the city, and yet we have power plants that are
already putting large amounts of particulate matter
into our communities. To have them burn 4 and 6, isis an affront to these communities that they are

7 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [off mic] That's 8 right.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: --that they are placed in, and we have to hold them much more accountable and, you know, I will look forward to working with the DEP to do that because it's--it's completely unacceptable that they can continue to do that. As far as security checks, I've seen that facility security checks have decreased from Fiscal 2014 from 298,759 to 276,439 in 2015 to a target to this--to a target of Fiscal Year 16 and 17 to 263,000. You know, why has the number of checks decreased, and why is DEP targeting a lower number of security checks?

[background comments]

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: In Fiscal Year 15

DEP redeployed security staff to the new Catskill
Delaware UV Plant, but we continued to surpass our

target of 263,000 security--263,000 security checks.

another round, but I do want to speak very quickly

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and talk a little bit about testing for lead, and

3 the--So what--how much outreach is done so homeowners

4 know of this option that they can have their tested?

5 You know, what are we doing? How can we best get out

6 there? That there this is this option and that we're

7 working hard to avoid something like this.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Right. Well, first of all, our--as I said earlier in my testimony, we-we think that the work that we do to control the PH and to make sure that there's orthophosphate in the water, it does as EPA directs us to do, keeps there from being the propensity for the lead to dissolve into the private home fixtures. We do a fair amount of outreach. We have it on our website, and when we--whenever we--I'm sorry--Excuse me one sec. [background comments, pause] So we--we do outreach through the community boards and through elected officials, and when we--whenever we speak with a group out these issues, about water quality, we always let them know that that's the case. I will say that right now we are looking at thinking about how we can do more outreach about this. We get about 2,000 requests a year plus we have a cohort of 400 homes that we test under the EPA Testing Protocol.

2.2

2.3

So we have a--compared to other cities we do a lot of testing, and--and then we monitor the follow-up on the tests. If a test comes back high, we follow with the homeowner, and have them do a second test. If that comes back high, we tell them they should consult with a plumber. We also send that information to the Department of Health, and if they think it's appropriate, they follow up as well. So we're--we're very vigorous about the follow up with people. We have seen an increase in interest this year. We've seen a real uptick in requests for the kit, which is good.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Well, we have Flint, Michigan. We saw this on TV and the tragic consequence of what's been going on there.

It--it's raised people's awareness of their own drinking water, and we have the best drinking water here in New York City. We want to communicate that on a consistent basis, and also to get it out to those that can't be--that don't have access to the Internet, to, you know, small homeowner's groups, community boards. I'm glad to hear that you are doing that kind of work, and I'd be happy to work with you to ensure that that in every neighborhood

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 48 2 we're getting that voice out there that we have the 3 best drinking water, but if you feel that potentially you do have lead in your water, you need to--this kit 4 is available. We can quickly get that out there to you, and that they have that option, and it's not 6 7 just online. So, we--I--I definitely agree with you 8 on that. COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Okay. CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Last, when 10 11 it comes to Bowery Bay, I know that we had a -- a -glad--the good success there. We're still on track 12 13 for Memorial Day to be--? 14 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yes, we are still 15 on track. As you know, it's been a--a process that-in phasing where some--some of the work is already 16 17 done now, but we expect full completion around 18 Memorial Day, yes. 19 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: 20 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And that's 21 going to relieve 90%--potentially 90% of these smell 2.2 being mitigated based on these caps?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: The smell

is coming from the plants, yes.

24

2.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yes, asas
my son, who we all live in Northwest Queens, between
FlushingFlushing Bay andand the Bowery Bay
Wastewater Treatment Plant, he deems it Rotten Egg
River. [laughter] Because on aon a bad day after
the rain thethe mix of the baseball fields and many
of the residents in Astoria feel thisthis same
concern. So I definitely want to thank you on the
record for that, and we look forward to seeing your
Long-Term Control Plan for Flushing and Bowery Bay,
as you look to completely clean Rotten River over
there.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: And we thank you for your support and leadership, and look forward to work with you on those Control Plans.

I'm going to--at this juncture I'm going to turn it over to some of my colleagues who have been waiting patiently, and I might come back, but I will turn it over first to Council Member Rory Lancman.

[background comments and noise, pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman and good afternoon, Commissioner, and your

team. I want to ask you about the water rates, an

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 51
2	but because the Mayor is returning 40% of last year's
3	rental payment, that's an \$82 million refund. So,
4	the net rental payment would be \$144 million. We
5	arewe are planning for the coming fiscal years, the
6	Mayor announced last year that there'll a 50% return
7	of the rental payment.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So you expect
9	that the rental payment willwill stay at \$226
10	million, but instead of getting 40instead of
11	getting 40% back, you'll get 50% back?
12	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Fifty
13	percent back in 2017
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
15	Okay
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:and 40%
17	back this year, and we expect that percentage to
18	increase by 10 percentage points in the
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] Do
20	you
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:
22	subsequent years as well.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right, do you
24	expect thethe base rental payment tototo stay

That's the base rental payment. Since--and with a

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 53
2	50% return of this year's rental payment, which will
3	be about \$113 million the net would be expected to be
4	\$130 million. So a reduction of \$14 million over
5	this year's net rental payment or under this year's
6	net rental payment. To summarize, reducing from
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
8	Right, nextnext year is going to be 244 base.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: 244,
10	correct.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And half back.
12	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: And we're
13	going to get back \$113 million resulting in a net of
14	\$130 million.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I'm not
16	complaining, but that's more than half back. I mean
17	I'm assumingI am complaining. That's less than
18	half back.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: It's
20	it's
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I am
22	complaining.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [off mic] We
24	are complaining. Yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 54
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: That's less than
3	half back.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Yes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Like half of1
6	and 244 is 122.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: So, we
8	get back in each fiscal yearin this fiscal year
9	we're getting back 40% of last year's basic
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] So
11	there's a little bit of a lag?
12	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: There's a
13	one-year lag.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: A one year lag.
15	Okay. That'sthat's fine. So, the next thethe
16	the second question is [coughs] because the City is
17	setting the rent at 15%, but they also have the
18	option to set the rent at whatever the City is paying
19	inin debt service, which is what many of us think
	In In debt service, whitch is what many or us chillik
20	is
20 21	·
	is
21	is DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Well, no

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 55
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
3	Uh-huh.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:or to
5	the extent it's greater, 15% of Water Finance
6	Authority Debt Service, and that crossover year from
7	the general obligation debt to the Water Finance
8	Authority debt, occurred in 2005, andand it's been
9	that 15% Finance Water Finance Authority debt service
10	ever since.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah, but that's
12	an election that the City makes. The City has two
13	options. The Finance Agreement as you just described
14	it is theythe City could collect in rent just what
15	the City has to pay out and makeas you put itthe
16	old general obligation debt.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: That's
18	that'sthat's right as we
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
20	Right.
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:testified
22	before it's the Mayor's option
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
24	Correct.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: --to ask
for the rental payment.

of us think and have thought for--for years, and once the crossover happened, the City was really dipping into the pocket of the rate payers because the City was taking more in rent from--from the--the Water Authority than it had to pay to meet those general obligation bonds.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So what--do you know the answer to how much is the city paying in this coming fiscal year on those old general obligation bonds? And--and for me, that's the difference in what the City is--is picking our pocket on.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Right. So I--I don't know precisely what this year's general obligation debt service payment would be, but it has been on the order of \$35 to \$40 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right. So if

I'm getting my math roughly correct, controlling for

lags and other things that are a little more

complicated, if the city next year--if--if the city next year is looking at collecting approximately \$120 million when all is said and done in rent, after rebates, et cetera, and the -- the debt payments that the city has to make is approximately \$30 millionish dollars, you know, that's anywhere between let's say \$80 and \$100 million that the city is collecting in water rate fees than the city needs to--to pay off the debt that it incurred to--to build out our water and sewer infrastructure. So I don't know if these are choices that are made by--by you, but, you know, you are the -- the Commissioner and you're the one that they--they send out. And I just have to continue to express my extreme disappointment that the Mayor is not--is not only not treating water user or payers fairly, but he is reneging on a position that he held when he was a public advocate, and on a campaign promise that he made when he ran for Mayor. it makes it difficult to speak enthusiastically about all the other terrific things that the department is doing in communities that I represent when feel that they're--they're getting their pockets picked. recognize that these aren't choices that you make.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

that this is at the risk of--of dating myself, which probably is not a risk because there's nobody there. This the fourth mayor I've worked for, and the first mayor who wouldn't even entertain this conversation, and has in a very structured way with the First Deputy Mayor and the Budget Director sat down and instructed them to find a way to get us away from paying the rental payments. So, I totally understand and hear what you're saying. From where I sit, this is tremendous progress.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, thanks very much.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you. Council Member Richards.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, and it's great to see you again. As of
yesterday we were together. So it's good to be back
together again. I wanted to speak on my favorite
subject of Southeast Queens. So can you just go
through, and I certainly want my constituents to hear
who are watching. That's why I think it's important
to make sure we speak of some of the progress on the
record. So can you just go through, you know,

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 59
2	obviously how much money is in the budget? And also
3	how muchwhat do we anticipate to do this fiscal
4	year to bring relief to Southeast Queens residents?
5	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And I'm not going to
6	be able to rattle off numbers as I did when we met
7	the last time when I had numbers right in front of
8	me, but I know that Joe Murin can help me. But, you
9	know, there's \$1.5 billion in the budget, you know.
LO	I think you and I are in agreement as is the Mayor
11	that isit's a first down payment on aa commitment
L2	to build out the storm sewer system in Southeast
L3	Queens. And it includes making a complete plan for
L4	that build-out, which we're working on now, andand
15	you've seen the first results of that. We have in
L6	the budget over the 10 years \$1.5 billion. The
L7	lion's share of that is for work that is already
L8	scoped or will be shortly and will be in front of EDC
L9	to beto have the design completed and to be bid
20	out. And many of those projects, as you know, are

are already under design, and some of them are

already bid out and there's--people are starting to

see the work on the street. The other piece of it

that I think is very important is that a portion of

that money is being used to look at the  $50\ \mathrm{smaller}$ 

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

areas within Southeast Queens where there's the greatest density of complaints, and those 50 zones that we've looked at, we are going in and looking at them in great detail during wet weather to analyze exactly what the problem is that's causing people the problems that they're having whether it's ponding in the street or water in their basement. And then through Deputy Commissioner Jim Roberts really come up with short-term solutions that can bring relief to those areas much more quickly than the time it will take to build out the full sewer system. And those include green infrastructure, sewer extensions that allow us to make use of some--some existing sewer infrastructure that is inadequate in the long run, but can give us some relief in the short run. And a variety of other measures. There's also the ability working with DOT. They have put some money in their budget to help with some of those projects. feel that we're making very real progress in this, and if--if you want numbers, I'll ask Joe to provide numbers, Joe Murin who is sitting to my left.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: Thank you,
Commissioner. Joe Murin, Assistant Commissioner for
Budget. Council Member Richards, we have and Chair--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mr. Chair and other members, for the Four-Year Plan the agency has in it's Capital Budget 638--I'm sorry--\$658 million for construction work in Southeast Oueens related to these four build-outs. That breaks down to \$63 million for 2016, \$237 million for 2017, \$139 billion (sic) for 2018--2018, and \$220 million for 2017. I'm sorry, 2019. The one element that that doesn't incorporate in there is that there was also funding provided by OMB to DOT as the Commissioner noted for work that they are going to be doing in conjunction with us as we start those buildouts in Southeast Queens. We have a list that's about four pages long, but we could share that with the--the members if they want to see more details as to where those specific projects have already been scoped out or where they're planning to go from there.

assuming that's the list I saw yesterday as well.

Okay. I just wanted to know--and you said something important, DOT and interagency cooperation. So are we confident on all the HW projects, the highway projects that DOT has supplemented us with the proper funding to ensure that there is no hold up in

projects? And if not, do we know how much short we

are so that we can go to, you know, to the Mayor once

again to ensure that there's no hold up on these

5 projects as we move forward?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: We--we are continuing to have a dialogue. I was actually at a meeting with, you know, Jim Roberts and Engineering staff with DOT and with EDC at EDC's headquarters a week or so ago where we were talking about the coordination of those efforts speaking both to the capital work, as well as the DOT work, and the--you know, the meeting measures that the Commissioner was speaking of. At this juncture, there is sufficient funds for us to be moving forward with the four years that we have here. We'll probably have to be reassessing this come the next January plan in terms of what there may be needed for DOT in the out years. But we don't feel at this point it's going to be something that will be able to slow us down in the immediate term, and what has to happen out in the-the neighborhoods that have been both the -- the -- by the Bay as well in the up land zones that we have to be doing work there.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you for that. That is—this is very good news because we know that that's been a major and faction and reason why a lot of these projects have been held up at this point.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yeah, I really want to thank Commissioner Polly Trottenberg, as well, who's really been a partner in this, and willing to go back to and be with us. And as for these projects as opposed to more money for some of the things that got left off of her list. So I think it's--it's been a good collaboration.

just bring up a subject that is a sore point, obviously. It was brought up yesterday as well as groundwater. So are you prepared to work and have conversations with DEC on the groundwater issue as well that plagues, you know, part of, and I understand groundwater is not, you know, obviously the only issue that we have to work towards to solve the flooding issue. But it's a major one and in particular for York College, and I'm sure Council Member Miller, who will attest or bring it up as well. So are we going to start conversations with

2 DEC? I know New York Rising definitely got some

3 money to do a student, in which, you know, we spoke

4 about yesterday, and we will be following up on that.

5 But where are we? Do you anticipate we'll start

6 conversations with DEC, and we're willing to be a

7 partner with out State officials I'm sure on that

8 conversation as well.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And we have not taken them a package of properties that are a problem at this point. Part of the work that you saw some of yesterday both the door-to-door interviews that Eric's staff did with students from York College, of people who had complained about flooding that they thought wad groundwater. And in addition, the work that we've been doing about in the 50 grids where we've had the largest number of complaints. With both of those we've been trying to sort out what the source of the problem is, and it's a wide variety of things. As--as we mentioned yesterday, it can be the grading of the street. It can be the configuration of the property. It can be -- in some cases we think it is groundwater, but we really wanted to try as well as we could to identify and understand where those properties were? Then we think we can, having

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

identified the problem more crisply and separated out from all the other problems, we'll be looking for partners. Looking for the right agencies who might be able to help those homeowners. And as I said yesterday, it's--it's not inconceivable it could be a City agency, but it's also not inconceivable it could be a State agency. Clearly, DEC regulates the -- what goes into the groundwater in the way of pollution. They regulate what you're allowed to take out of the groundwater in terms of permits to use the groundwater. They're enormously involved in all the groundwater issues on Long Island. So we're hoping that they will be one of the partners in looking at this and seeing whether there's some assistance we can get.

I'm very grateful to hear. I think this is like the first year on the record in the budget like we're really having an honest conversation about this, and I want to thank you for your leadership and openness definitely on that. I'm not passing the buck and saying that there's, you know--it could be city or it could be State, but at least we're getting scientific data to ensure we know what the issues are. And I

2 think that's been certainly something that's been

3 missing over the years. So I want to thank you for

4 that.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] Well, we--I think we all feel a tremendous obligation to address those problems that have gone on for a long time, and thank you very much for working with us on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I want to get into catch basins a little bit, and I know the Chairman certainly brought this up. so are we confident based on Bill No. 24-A, which I'm the sponsor of as well as with Council Member Williams that we're going to be able to ramp up to really clean out every catch basin all 148,000 in New York City, and I have and I do want to put on the record that I've seen enormous DEP staff out in the district, actually Queens. A matter of fact, even on my mother-in-law's block she lives on a dead end, and I had nothing to do with it. I just want to make sure that's on the record. But like it's--in 20 years they've never cleaned out her catch basin, and I was happy to know that, you know, I came to pick my son up one day, she was like, "They cleaned the catch

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 68 2 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] [off 3 mic] He's going to sit here. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [laughs] DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Thank you, 5 Council Member Richards. The--the--the staffing is--6 7 is projected to be I think 20--29 positions. We have postings out actively--8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 29 additional positions or 29? 10 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Right, 299-12 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So what's the 13 total positions we have now? Do we know? 14 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: [off mic] 16 I don't but I could run it by you. Okay. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: An additional 17 18 27. Okay. 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: And--and 20 those--those postings are--are currently out where--21 where we--between--we're getting resumes in, and--and 2.2 we hope to have those people on board for--for the 23 fiscal years as -- as it hits the ground.

24

23

to this--this specific.

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay,

allrighty, we--we shall see. You know it's a

reporting requirement with that bill.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Yes, sir.

making sure you remember. I just wanted to go into street cave-ins. So I've noticed a lot more street cave-ins in my district in particular, and wanted to know what is the strategy around ensuring it. And it's certainly been an uptick each year. It looks like at least 5 or 700 or so. From FY13 to 15 we certainly saw an increase, but I've certainly seen a little bit more cave-ins. So what is our strategy?

question is what--what ha caused the increase, and we actually think that while there have been some very high visible--high visibility sink holes, we think that the primary cause in the increase is private service lines. That's what our numbers show. You know, service lines just like water mains have a--a useful life that can be very, very long, but when they go they go by and large. And so we--we have seen an increase in those, and I think that the other thing that we're doing that you'll be pleased with is

2.2

2.3

resolved.

that one of the problems that has really annoyed residents and elected officials over the years is the back and forth between DOT and DEP as to who problem it is. So we have been again working closely with DOT and with OMB and DDC and trying to sort out how we can address these more quickly without having to be a lot of back and forth about whose budget should it come out of. We don't have a—we don't have a final solution yet, but I think we're really making progress. So we hope that we're going to get that

Some long--it looks like longer term projects happening around where we see the cave-ins. So I'm sure we're moving in the right direction. Just lastly on water mains, so water main breaks certainly seem to be a reoccurring issue, and increase once again based on OMB's reporting. So where are we at? How do--or do we feel we're moving in the right direction in terms or repairing and replacing water mains? Not just necessarily putting a band-aid over them, but replacing them and I do want to credit you once again. I have even a water main break--water pouring onto my block, and I just want to put on the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

record once again it wasn't because I'm the former chair that I allow these for my block. Trust me, I would appreciate to be able to park on my block and use water when I want to, but that is not a, you know, an option right now. So where are we at there?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So I'm going to give this one a try, and then when I falter I'll hand it over to--to Jim Roberts. I think that the water main--with water mains one of the things that we have always known is that severe weather really affects the old water mains, and they -- and they tend to break in--in severe weather. What we've been seeing this year, which is very interesting, Jim'[s operation always looks very closely when there's a shift in the numbers to see what they think it is. And there's almost a perfect correlation with the breaks within. The temperature was being crazy and going up and down above and below freezing. So we think that may be a very sort of difficult moment for old water mains when the temperature goes up and down very quickly particularly when it passes--when it's a freeze/thaw that happens very quickly. So we're--we're looking at that. There's not much we can do about that, but we think that may be a cause. A few years ago we'd

gotten a real improvement because Jim's staff had figured out that if you--there were things they could do about the water pressure in different areas as the need rose and diminished in that area to reduce wear and tear. And for several years that seemed to reduce the number of water main breaks. I think now we've--we've sort of absorbed that improvement. we have a new challenge in figuring out what to do about this. But I think the most important thing is that we have a robust budget to keep replacing the old water mains. We know they're all vulnerable, and that in particular we have a \$100 million a year that the Mayor had give us last year to do water mains that we think may be the most vulnerable, and to try to coordinate with the utilities at the same time. Because where we had very old water mains we didn't have very old gas lines. So we're trying to work closely so that we can do that work at the same time. We can't always arrange it, but where we can, we're trying to do that. So, Jim frequently can spot an area that looks like it's starting to be troubled. There may be some leaks in the area over a couple years, and he's trying to focus in on those areas,

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 and get them replaced before they become a major

water main break.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I want to thank Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for being so patient you. with me for all of the work that you've done. think the work that we've seen is based on what I saw yesterday, and then the amount of work you've done in a year shows that you've really made Southeast Queens a priority and our hats off to you and your staff. And we look forward to continuing to work with you. We still have ways to go. We will always hold your feet to the fire as much as we can, but we know that we truly do have a partners in this area now. And I want to thank the Mayor on the record for also making this a priority. So I look forward to continuing to work with you. Thank you Mr. Chairman. Thank you Samara and Bill.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Council Member Richards. Council Member Barron

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]

23 And John. Sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr.

25 Chair and I thank the panel for coming and sharing

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

water?

2 their information. I represent the 42nd Councilmanic District, which includes Starex City, Spring Creek 3 4 Towers, and last summer there was a huge water main break, and water literally gushed up out of Pennsylvania Avenue for a height of about maybe 50 6 7 feet qushing into the--I don't--I took it off my 8 phones so I can't share it with you. And after a period of time, the department did come and turn the water off. Then they had to locate the main point, 10 and it seems as if it did not have immediate access 11 to know what was the closet shut-off point for that. 12 So how is that determination made? Where are the 13

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [off mic] Let me ask

Deputy Commissioner Roberts because he's experienced

it a lot. (sic)

plans? How accessible are those plans so that we can

have more immediate response to turning off the

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: And Council
Member Barron that--I--I recall that specific
incident and so a couple things. One, most--most
directly to your question. Our--our staff has
immediate access to the--well, what--what used to be
called maps, but now--now it's more electronic data,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

GIS representation of where all our assets are--are available both to the people in the street. supervisors have notebooks in -- in their -- in their trucks and vehicles. We have staff that they can call, you know, with a phone call that--that-s on a computer to do that. As I--as I recollect with that specific incident it -- it was actually the valve that was problem. The -- the bonnet of the valve or the top of the valve had actually come off, and that--that becomes a little bit tricky to--to repair and--and--and because a valve is impacted, they have to find the other one. So I think it was more not-not the inability but the -- the -- sort of the coordination and making sure as--as you shut the main down that you don't cause any additional damage in-in doing that. And I think that sometimes isn't as clearly understood. It's--it's not--it's not the same as turning off a--a valve in the house. have a big system and--and that, but the--the--the staff at--at every level has the appropriate documentation that -- that goes with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, what is the-what is the involvement of DEP in preparing the
Environmental Impact Statements? As you know, the

as well.

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, it would be your department that would say the--would make the determination that these, in fact, are adverse conditions. Who makes the determination? You give them the raw data, and who makes the determination?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: It's the lead agency--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] So DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: --that has to make that determination.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: How--what is the criteria? What's the threshold that says yes this is adverse and no it's not?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: On some of the impact categories for instance noise, it's really straightforward. You know, we say if it's three decibels above the ambient air quality that constitutes a significant impact. When it comes to infrastructure, potential infrastructure impacts, that's a much a harder analysis, and there is no bright line. What we are assessing in that case is what is the potential growth within that area, but we're looking at that growth over a long period of time. So, as you know, City Planning comes up with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the reasonable worst case development scenarios. ultimately we want our infrastructure to be ready and able to accommodate that increased growth over a long period of time. And then what we may do with City Planning is look at one or two development sites that might really be red hot. So we know that those are going to be. So they have to make certain estimate for what they project to be the growth over a long period of time. So, as you know, City Planning comes up with a reasonable worst case development scenario. So ultimately, we want our infrastructure to be ready and able to accommodate that increased growth over a long period of time. And then what we may do with City Planning is look at one or two development sites that might really be red hot. So we know that those are going to be. So they have to make certain estimates for what they project to be the growth over time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So what happens with there's a declaration oh, this is fine, but five years later, we understand or the results or the reality shows that there was a negative impact, which contradicts what may have been the reporting by DCP?

What kind ore reconciliation goes in? What kinds of

adjustments are made going forward to know that, wait a minute, we missed the data here, and didn't get it right? What kind of adjustments are made? What kind

5 of adaptations are made going forward?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yeah. [pause] I think when a preliminary EIS or a final one is done, it is based on the modeling, and using the -- the standards that are used to assess the amount of traffic, the effect on air quality, the effect on noise. In our case, our best projections of what demand on the infrastructure is going to be, and how the increase will that affect that, the increase of development. So I think that the -- the working sort of rule is that you try to use--you're transparent about the methodology that you're using. You make the best effort you can to be accurate, but you can't--you can't absolutely predict what's going to happen. And I don't know of any--I can't remember any situation where an EIS was off track. I don't know of one where it's been wildly off track. S o I-so I--so I--I can't think of an example, but if it were off track, I don't know. You know, the -- the Law Department would have to tell you if there would be

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

grounds for trying to hold the lead agency to test
for that. Angela has an additional thought.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Okay. Yeah,

I--I--I was just going to add to that that, you know,

the system does have checks and balances built into

it. So while the EIS is a very predictive tool, and

it's not always easy to ascertain with certainty

where the development will occur in any particular

block and lot. The check and balances would include

things like the hook-up permit. So before anybody

could hook up to a sewer, they would need to come to

the department to determine that the fronting

infrastructure has the allowable flow and capacity.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And can that be done by self-certification?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: It can be done by self-certification.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That often times presents a problem. There's a community in my district where the developer is self-certified, and they to this day are having problems over--over something that happened about 15 years. I have some other questions. What--do you have any relationship

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

with the pipeline, the Buckeye Pipeline, that
transports fuel from New Jersey to JFK?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: So, I'm sure, Council Member, you're asking about yesterday's leak.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: My committee.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: And so the only involvement that—that our agency had in that was we did deploy our HazMaT team to the site yesterday afternoon or early evening, the leak on Linden Boulevard. The Fire Department, of course, being the lead agency in the leak itself, and our HazMat was there. By the time—when they got there, though, there was no—no fire and their—all their readings were at normal levels. And so at that point, Fire continues on as the lead agency.

any interaction with the maintenance or oversight of how that—the conditions of the pipe is because it transports, as you know, very hazardous materials.

And it appears that one of our agencies had a contractor there who punctured the pipeline, which caused the material to spew into the air, and fall below because it was on an elevated track—onto the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 street and the Fire Department came and responded in

3 | that regard. So do you have any involvement then?

4 Is there any coordination with that clean up between

5 your agency and the other city agencies because it

6 was disastrous in terms of traffic. And this is an

incident that happened 24 hours earlier, but yet--and

still the response was totally inadequate.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: So--so Council Member, the best I--I--I can tell you in--to your questions, we don't have any oversight or--or regulatory authority with regard to the pipeline. That's a--that's an interstate transmission line that I--I believe is under federal auspices. As you it relates to response as -- as Eric said, you know, all HazMat folks who will respond will coordinate with--with the Fire Department, which is generally the incident command, in---in an event like that. Our field operational people will be involved. Should it get into the sewer system or anything that we'll--we'll respond. With regard to the question and I'm not--I haven't see it--the details of--of how the accident exactly happened yesterday. You--you referenced that it was hit by a contractor. I don't know who--who they were working for, but all

Right.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: --phone call is a State requirement. I'm not entirely clear specifically which--which arm of the state manages that, but they--that requirement is incumbent upon them via the State.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right by New York
City as I--is specifically identified in the bill-it's 7640, which says proper precautions are taken to
avoid accidents, and New York City specifically has a
one call requirement. So who would be the agency to
make sure that that was done?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I'm-Council Member I'm not--I'm not clear on that. I-it's not--it's not--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: -- our agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, it's not your agency. Okay, good. And then just a few more questions. The Chair referenced making sure that communities that are exposed to the particulate matter from the fuel that's being burnt, is not overburdened and is protected. And there is a bill

[coughs] Following up on--on Council Member Richards'

2 questions and Council Member Barron's questions,

3 related to actually the overall coordination, it

seems that some agencies or utilities know where 4

their infrastructure is, maybe not where other

people's infrastructure is. Does DEP have an 6

7 interest in exploring having a -- a kind of -- one main

8 infrastructure GIS mapping system for everybody?

that Con Ed knows where--where there may be water

main leaks, where DEP might know where, you know, or 10

11 whether a contractor might -- for an agency might know,

12 you know, that the Buckeye Pipeline is nearby, or

13 any--or any of that stuff. My understanding is that,

you know, DEP has its GIS system. Con Ed might not 14

have that or National Grid and so on and so forth. 15

16 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I'll let Jim Roberts

17 answer about how we exchange information about--you

18 know, we don't hit other people's infrastructure very

19 So we do check before we go in, but we have

20 and this is based on the advice from our security

21 people. We have a lot of concern about making--where

2.2 all of our all infrastructure is universally

2.3 available.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-

25 huh.

1

3

4

\_

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: It is--what we've been advised is if somebody wants to spend a lot of time on it, they can probably figure out sort of where things are. But some of them were sensitive parts of our water distribution system--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --for example are not very readily discernible, and we think that's a really good things. You probably read in the paper yesterday about the dam upstate that had been--that had been hacked. And so the advice we've always gotten from our security people is not to make our information universal. To make it available on an as-needed basis. Now, we understand that that's going to some degree get around, and we understand that some people can just walk in the streets, and figure out where some of it is because there are manhole covers here and there. But we generally do not make it readily visible on the street where some of the more significant parts of our infrastructure are. And I think we would want to be very cautious about going forward in that direction, and not without consultation with our security folks.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of Course, yean.
I want to follow up on your remarks earlier around
drinking water and the dangers posed by lead
exposure. Iis it your assessment at this point in
time that there is no risk of lead exposure from DEP
pipes. So, ititI know that youyou said you
conductedyou conduct is it 400 home tests every
everytwice a year or

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: No, it's more than that. We test the water for lead every place from the reservoir down to those civil boxes you see on the street--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uhhuh.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --and that's right before it goes into people's home, and our level is--averages at no detect, less than one part per billion. So there is--we're not allowed to have lead in the public infrastructure, and that has been true for 50 years, for a very long time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Well, what about infrastructure that's older than 50 years old? Is there--?

Yeah.

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Hold on one second.
3 Let me just ask. [pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It--one of the things--I mean I--all right--on my way over here this afternoon, I heard--I was listening to the radio. They were talking--somebody the RDC was on--on the radio talking about this is a kind of issue, and the--the potential cost of replacing all infrastructure that maybe, you know, is---is lead based is--is--is staggering. You know, they said it could be somewhere around a trillion dollars nationwide. As--as the infrastructure ages, you know, my fear is that any lead-based pipes will start to, you know, we might finding that if--if--if, you know, anything that was before 1960 here in--in New York City might start to get toward the end of its useful life and become more of a risk.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: All right, so Council Member I--I think the--the answer is in part sort of the--the--the separation between the--the service line and--and the city infrastructure and--and so the vast majority of the concern and the 1960 regulations were--were targeted at service lines, and the inspection service lines.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 93
2	As it relates to the City's water pipe system, the
3	networks and assets, there have been a couple of
4	iterations ofof regulations over the last decade or
5	more that have gone from leadlow lead to no lead
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-
7	huh.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Soso the
9	amount of lead that's allowed to be used and for
10	example
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] It's
12	harder. (sic)
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS:the
14	manufacture of valves oror other pieces of the
15	infrastructure that we have that might come in
16	contact with the water has been regulated out. The
17	extent to which existing infrastructure
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]
19	Service lines, right?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Service
21	service lines notareare really onon-on a
22	separate track.
23	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [off mic] They're
24	the prevailing thing. (sic)

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Yeah,
absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: They're obviously they're not your responsibility, but they're, you know, a concern to everybody.

CLERK: Well, they are a concern to everybody and I think that——I think raising the awareness of people who have lead service lines that they do have lead service lines——

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --and that in the long run they probably want to get rid of them is a good way to go. I mean by and large, they're, we think although we are updating. We're always updating our inventory, which goes back to the 19th Century, but we think it's probably somewhere between 6 and 10% of the private properties in New York City, and we think largely it's small--small properties because larger lines tended not to be made out of lead, right so it's the very small lines. And we think that the--the reg--the EPA regulations. You understand what happened in Flint was that there was a flagrant disregard for the EPA regulations both in

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 96
2	is over the past five or six years, and a very, very
3	low number have shown an elevated level. And
4	typically there'sthere is not central research, but
5	there are lots of little bits of data from around the
6	country, and typically that seems to be because the
7	pipe has gotten disturbed. It could be you're
8	renovating your house. It could be
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]
10	Right.
11	COMMISSIONER LLOYD:street work, and
12	that tends to subside afterafter a short period of
13	time.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And all of these
15	homes would have been built before 1960, right?
16	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Was there
18	anythingthere was nothingno lead pipes used at
19	in New York City afterafter '60?
20	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Notno
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: [off mic]
22	Not legally.
23	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Not legally.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Not legally.

2.2

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Not legally, but people--over the years, people have used lead solder to join pipes within the house, and I don't think there's a really good sense of how much of that there is. It also should be protected in the same way by the Orthophosphate coating, but again if it gets to be--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --disturbed, it could be a source.

recommendation that I would have is just making sure that your--your DOH has been doing outreach on lead or they have an operation on the DOH for many years, and they have a very good public communications strategy. And so, you know, obviously pairing up with them on any future public awareness efforts is--it would very--I think very fruitful.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yeah, we work with them very, very closely all the time, and all their quality issue there. The--they have primacy for us in terms of water quality, drinking water quality. And so we do work with them very closely.

Councilman. So as you know, phase 2 and 3 of the

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 99
2	Nature Walk that Design has been approved by the
3	Public Design Commission, and the project is moving
4	through the regular construction cycle. We're
5	expecting construction to begin in 2017 with expected
6	completion in 2018, which is on schedule, and I
7	believe we have a new Town Creek monitor and
8	committee meeting scheduled for the 30th of this
9	month where we'll be talking about that in more
10	detail.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Fabulous and it's
12	all budgeted for this
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: Yes.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, wonderful.
15	I'll see you guys on the 30th, then.
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you,
19	Council Member Levin. Council Member Miller.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Mr.
21	Chair and Commissioner, you and your team. Thank you
22	for being there, and to my brilliant colleagues that
23	left me probably nothing to ask. That's what
24	happened. [laughter] So that's what happens when

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: No, just re--

3 | say everything I said again.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: When--whenthe--when the past chair is your neighboring district, [laughter] and -- and other communities have--have--have very like concerns, this is what often happens. So I'm going to take a crack at it nonetheless, and--and I want to talk a little bit about some of the budget, and I know that we said that this is-- My--my first concern is at least the--the--the four-year cap. Is that fully funded through what point, and I--I noticed that there was some money moved to 2017 from 2017 to 2020 and some other moneys that may have been disallowed that we may or may or may not de--depending on would that have an impact on the projects--some of the projects that we are looking to see in particular in Southeast Queens.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I'm going to ask Joe Murin to respond.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: Thank you,
Councilman. Most of everything is fully funded,
particularly for the Southeast Queens in terms of,
you know, the entirety of the Ten-Year Plan that

2 | we're looking at. You know, remember this is a four-

3 | year cycle that we're looking at. So you may have

4 | some movement of, you know, funds between those

5 years, And usually most of that would be driven by,

6 you know, scheduling where, you know, our colleagues

7 working with DDC and with DOT--

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing] Uhhuh.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: May be saying that this may not be going as fast or that may not be going. This may be accelerating and likewise also with the procurement cycle as well in terms of having, you know, some sort of issues that may be holding something up. So without, you know, knowing what the specific projects, which we could get to if you have those. You know, we could follow up on those. There probably was a rationale or a reason why something was either moved or accelerated within the--the frame. But at this juncture we believe that we have fully funded--every plan is a new one, and we would always go back and re-looking and seeing where there are things that we need to revisit, and where there may be things that we need to enhance or accelerate of, you know, add new projects from that.

- 2 But, you know, we're very conscious especially of the 3 Southeast Queens with that or, you know, sticking to that \$1.5 billion.
- COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Well, that is great to hear. That is great to hear, which is what 6 7 is really disheartening is that I don't have those projects in front of me, and that there's a number of 8 briefings that have gone on with those community boards, colleagues, civics or whatever, and -- and my 10 11 office has not had that briefing and--and--and quite frankly I'm--I'm often--the--the basis for that 12 13 question is that I have to field all those questions, 14 and I don't have that information in front of me.
  - COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Let us--let us COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing] And--and know that there--

I need to be able to track the progress.

- COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --get that briefing for you.
- COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --is a real partnership here. So, we need to do--and--and I understand that there has to be flexibility, but need a--a--a pretty definitive time schedule of what--

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] Uh3 huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --at least you have planned there. And then Council Member Barron I think talked about this, but--spoke of her concerns of--of the--the development that is happening in particular communities. Obviously, I would be concerned with the development of Downtown Jamaica and some of the--that we have seen projects there that did not have adequate infrastructure and it was kind of a little back and forth between agencies, developers and so forth. But as we move forward, have we taken into account--have we planned out and looked at the infrastructure, and what is the mechanism to address fully whether or not we have adequate infrastructure for the development that we're seeing in these developing communities throughout the city?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [off mic] If you want this one.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: I--I--I think I can, and Council Member Miller specifically about Jamaica. So--so two things and--and Deputy Commission Licata touched a little bit on it earlier

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is--is that the agency is still a gateway through which any of the development has to come even--even after, you know, the -- the zoning and -- and all the rest. So they have to come to us for connections, and our--our engineering staff works very closely, and Jamaica was actually carved out as something that all--to Council Member Barron's point none--none of those are allowed to be self-certified applications that they--they--they get scrutinized. And what happens is where it's identified that perhaps they don't have enough capacity. developer will have to either retain more storm water on site or they'll have to make--they'll have to go to other measures. The -- the only other thing I would add to that is that we are--and I believe it's in Fiscal 17--we are in the process of a project to build out a--a sanitary pump station and force line, a force main to increase the sanitary capacity to support the Downtown Jamaica development. And--and to allow for--for--for more of that development to flourish. In--in, you know, short of the--the entire build-out of the system as--as the Commissioner has said. So it's sort of an interim step, and that-that's scheduled I believe for '17.

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

so--I--I apologize.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: And--and-and
3 will that development if--if you don't it, what would

5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: I'm sorry,

be the impact on surrounding communities?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So the surrounding community if that is not done, what would be the impact on the surrounding communities. Would it—would it just impact the new development, or would it have an impact on existing infrastructure?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: Yeah, so-so what we--what we look at is we don't--we don't
look just look at the tip of the--tip of the pencil
and--and the block lights. We--we--we're very
concerned about making sure that nothing happens
above--at the top of the system impacts the--the--the
members of, you know, the community below. That's-that's our primary concern.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: And I'm also glad that you brought up when we talked about self-certification. I know then--some of our recent tours we--we've seen communities that--or whether it was self-inspected or not, just had no infrastructure at all, and--and we have pretty regular flooding in

prime contractors that's more difficult for us

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 107
2	because our prime contracts because of the size of
3	our infrastructure tend to be very big, and tend to
4	be bigger than most MWBE firms have capacity to
5	provide. So, so far this year, we've awarded four
6	prime contracts with \$9 million, and so they're
7	relatively small prime contracts.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: On the
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:
10	[interposing] That'sthat's about two percent of our
11	total prime contract awards.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, so
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Andand
14	for Fiscal Year 15 we had similar percentages, 3% of
15	our prime contracts when to MWBEs, but thosethose
16	were worth about \$56 million, and about 37% of our
17	subcontracts went to MWBE for about \$59 million.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: And what were
19	your projections? Woulddid that meet the
20	projections of anticipated MWBE contracts? Don't you
21	have a numberdon't you have a target number going
22	in?
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Oh, for the
24	remainder of this year?

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Just annually,

don't--did you have a target number that you would

like to reach--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS:

[interposing] Well, we--we--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --in terms of MWBE participation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: We--our--our target is--is just as high as we can possibly get it, and Local Law 1 requires at least 30% of our subcontractor dollars going to MWBEs. So far this year we're--we're almost 50% above that target being at 44%.

Good to see you so aware, Jim. [laughter] All the technical stuff, I--like all the time I like to speed dial and move out of it, in my opinion is--is knowledgeable. But I have seen with--with the street cave-ins, these craters exist. Just you see them slowing and then more rapidly diminishing, and then they just cave in. And then some got repaired and hit--and the process is starting all over again. Did we not--have we missed something in design or--or somewhere that you can go in and--and do pretty--

2 which appeared to be pretty thorough work and--and

3 within three or four months they're starting to cave

4 again.

1

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I'm--I'm--

6 I'm glad--I'm glad I stayed around, too, because

7 | that's--that's --that's a challenging question. I--I-

8 -I think that, you know, the Commissioner touched on

9 some of it earlier. Our--our numbers, the numbers--so

10 as you know, DOT is the --is the lead in sort of first

11 response to street defects, and they--they---they

12 | will call us out if they sense that it has anything

13 | to do with--with infrastructure right. So--so--so

14 | they're the lead. They'll--they'll--they'll pass it

15 to us and--and ask us to investigate it, and we--and

16 we do that, you know, thousands of times a year.

17 | Where it is identified as being something that

18 | belongs to the agency, it's, you know, a--a broken

19 catch basin shoe or--or something like that.

20 | Obviously, we'll--we'll take--we'll take ownership of

21 | that site and we'll manage that through--through the

22 end. [coughs] Similarly, DOT works with the other

23  $\parallel$  utility companies, right, the private utilities, and

24 we've had an enormous amount of improvement and

coordination. Even--even thought every--every

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

instance is--is--is, you know, troubling to--to any of us, the improvement in the coordination has--has been significant. And most--most recently one thing that we've--we've talked about and--and DO--DOT would have to speak to the statistics. I don't have their statistic on--on my fingertips, but they--we--we have working with the big utilities, Con Edison, National Grid, and our--ourselves identified that for the most part, there's--there's generally a small population of--of locations that we would call orphans. somebody--somebody doesn't have direct ownership for, and--and so what we've done with DOT is we--we've sort of developed a--a--an MOU to say that we'll-we'll backfill--we'll-we'll backfill the holes during this period of time, and--and DOT will pave it, and the utility companies and to try take some-some--some more authoritative, you know, comprehensive ownership of that. And--and I think that that's a responsible place to be. I think that--that helps everybody in the long run, and--and as-as--as--as simple as it sounds, you know, the Commissioner was talking about the accelerated water main replacements, and -- and being able to get our older 8-inch and 12-inch cast iron water mains out of

there--there are significant underground streams and-

Absolutely, absolutely.

that's underground in order to make this permanent, a

cure that's permanent. So, is there a plan, is there

24

2 a time table, and where is East New York in this time 3 table? [pause]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: So council member, I--I--I think what--what you're referring to is--is--is a topic that sort of generally gets discussed about the sunken--the sunken trenches around the -- around the city. And so one -- one of the things that we have--again with Commissioner Murin and the folks at OMB and with our counterparts at DOT, we've--we've had some pretty significant conversations about how--how to execute that work. One of the challenges there is -- is it's not really the--the pipes in the--in the ground, right. So we'll go out for any of those locations, and we'll put television cameras through--through the--thesewer pipes. We'll check to make sure the water mains aren't leaking, et cetera, et cetera, but it-but it's more a result of--of the construction that was done 50, 60 years ago, and--and so on and so forth. So, I--I think we've made some progress, and Joe, maybe you want to--to--to touch on where we are with OMB, but I--I--I think that DOT has--ahs gotten some support with the ability to--to try and have

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

funding for--for that. And you know--you know better than I do on the dollars, Joe.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: I'll have to be honest and say it's probably going to be deferred to the Executive Budget. I think there is a solution that's being considered, but it's still in the formula -- the formative stage, but something is being, you know, worked on with DOT, and with OMB, but I think that's something we have to address in the next, when we see the Executive Budget come out. But it is being very seriously taken under consideration. I think they're looking to find a solution to this long-term problem, which is what you sort of identified there to getting us to-- You know, Commissioner Roberts said making sure that there's a permanent fix and these don't come back, you know, again and again.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, does it have a title? Is it called trench replacement or how-ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN:

22 [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: --would I be able to identify it?

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

questions, and then we'll let you go. I have a--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we've seen that some of the Filtration Avoidance
Determination program is not baselined. Do you
expect the rest of this--a restoration in the

[pause]

Executive Budget coming up?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: Yes, but we--we--we, you know, as we I think go through each of our plans, there's, you know, what's in the Preliminary Plan and then there's going to be what will be in the Executive Budget as well. So, we are in the process of working with OMB right now in terms of looking at what those funds were, not just for the Filtration Avoidance Determination, but other programs that may have either been one time for a year or for only--not baselined in the budget. And looking and seeing which have to be restored or have to be reassessed. The FAD was put in for--through Fiscal Year 16 knowing that the FAD is expiring for Fiscal Year 17. So to have that opportunity to look at afresh and see what are some of the things that we're envisioning to come forward on that. So it's not so much I think a budget question. There are many of those aspects to those programs that probably will or -- And again, I can't speak because it still

2 is, you know, under consideration for the Executive

3 Budget. But I think that's one of the things that

4 either the Commissioner or, you know, Commissioner

5 Rush would want to talk about in terms of where we're

6 at with in terms of the FAD conversation going

7 forward.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So we will be having that conversation with the State Department of Health, and the other organizations, EPA, the Department of Environmental Conservation gets involved, some environmental groups who have all been parties in this agreement from decade to decade. we're starting those conversations now. I think I mentioned in my testimony that we've just completed the evaluation report on how the last five years went, and we will be submitting that. And then we start the conversation, which we hope we will wrap up by December 2017. So OMB sort of says to us let us know when you know what you're going to recommend to have in the budget because from time to time we don't It shifts from program to acquisition of land. There are some core things that will probably stay in, but it will probably move around a little bit, too.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Now, and it-it looks like we're on target for that. As far as

4 land acquisitions I know you mentioned that in your

5 testimony. We're expecting more--additional land

6 acquisition the year or--?

2.2

2.3

always—the way—the way the land acquisition and—and I—I—I think you do know just the way it works is it's willing—the selling willing buyer. So we don't—it's not eminent domain. We make a series of offers to people, and we have target areas that we think are particularly sensitive in terms of water running through them that goes into the reservoirs fairly directly. So we're always trying to acquire land in those areas, and we try not to spend the money on less critical land—

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:

[interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: --to sort of keep a pool for that more critical land should the owner decide they want to sell. So we are always moving forward. We always have offers out there. We can't exactly predict the pace, but we will continue to acquire.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So we have
3 sufficient funds to continue those strong offers and
4 move forward then?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I believe so. Yes, we do.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Fantastic.

Waterfront. You know, as we're seeing redevelopment throughout I'm in an area that has redevelopment coming to our waterfront over 4,000 apartments—unit—rental units are coming online in the next ten years. You know, it's really transforming our waterfront, and it's seeing a real resurgence in, you know, leisure activities and recreational activities. You outlined something, and you talked a little bit about it in your testimony. Can you expand further on some of the investments that we're making to ensure that New York Harbor is—is clean?

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Well, of course, we've made--we've made enormous investments in the harbor and I wish I had my favorite slide with me, which shows which parts of the harbor were swimmable 50 years ago and what's swimmable now.

There's been a tremendous upgrade in water quality

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

throughout the harbor. The areas that are more-proving more difficult to clear up are the areas, the small tributaries in particular, creeks and basins. Some of the manmade, some of the not manmade, but all of them have been fairly polluted over many, many Some by industrial uses, and sometimes just because there wasn't good water management and treatment in the area. And so cleaning those up particularly if they don't really flush is very, very difficult. And so we've been in a long negotiation, a long conversation with the Department of Environmental Conservation, which regulates this trying to find the right balance of how much we clean up and how much we spend. Right now the guideline for--from EPA for how much a household should spend on their storm water, wastewater their participation in that is either two--is two percent. And over a quarter of the households in New York City are now spending more than that on their share that through paying their water and sewer bill. So we're watching--we're watching very closely trying to keep up what we do affordable for the people who pay for it throughout the City. We are very, very committed to cleaning up the tributaries and the parts of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

waterfront like Flushing Bay that are used for recreation. We think that's a--the Bronx River is another part. We think that is incredibly important, and so we're very focused on that, and we've been in a conversation with DEC on how we can on the one hand protect the affordability, but on the other hand make a commitment going forward to do a really effective clean up of the areas that either have an intense residential use or recreational use in place or coming to those areas. And that's a conversation that we hope to conclude soon. Coming along also right behind that is the MS4 because what we're finding is that storm water also can be quite polluting because it runs off the streets. It picks up animal waste. It picks up trash. It picks up petroleum products as it comes along, and so now we are just starting with this new three-year permit to really identify the places that we're getting a lot of runoff, and to start to--to think about how we can also protect the surrounding waters from that kind of So we have a--a big piece of work ahead of us thinking about that, but--but the commitment to make those waterways accessible and--and useful for recreation and pleasant to be next to particularly

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Just I have a couple of--so unpack that a little bit. [laughs] As we see the return of the rental payment year after year, what--how will that bring down the percentage of homeowners that are paying--have more than 2%?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Well, the rental payment won't have a direct effect on that. The renal payment helps us to keep the water rate as low as possible. It goes back. We--we retain it or we get it back, and it goes overall--

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: [interposing] Right.

The--the things that drive the water rate and I--are the things--the exact same things I discussed.

They're the big capital investments. Obviously, we're a big capital agency, and a big part of what we spend money on is driven by--by two things, regulation and aging infrastructure, and then coming along in that is climate change, and the new demands that that's placing on us both in terms of water upstate and in terms of the additional storm water we

correcting what I just said.

2.2

2.3

have to manage. So it's--it's been very helpful and
will continue to be helpful in keeping water rate
increases as low as possible, but it's not dedicated
to any one thing. And I misspoke. Steve tells me I'm

that. [laughs] Secondly, in relation to, you know, some of these long-term control plans and we're trying to keep the--the water bodies clean, we've seen a ten-year increase in precipitation every year, and with climate change, we're expecting to see even further rain events, and be more serious rain events. Has that been taken to account when we're sort of developing this--this target?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: We have models that are based on--one of the things we've done for example is Gowanus. We've been using the model that uses only a--a set of recent years because we know that the historic 100-year numbers that we have traditionally used are not really very predictive any more. So we're using modeling off a decade that this had some very intense rain years in it. We can't really accurately predict the future, but we're trying to roll that into our predications as well.

2 This is one of the places where green infrastructure really comes in because we know that along with more 3 4 rainfall is going to be more uneven rainfall. We're seeing that even when we had significant rain it tends to be lighter in some areas, and very heavy in 6 7 storm cells. We can't--we can't build 8 infrastructure. We can't fit enough for infrastructure under street to take care of those peaks. And so, what we're trying to do with green 10 11 infrastructure is both in areas that are combined where we're worried about CSOs and areas that do not 12 13 have combined sewers where we're worried about just storm water is add in more green infrastructure so 14 15 that we can capture it as it comes out of the sky, 16 and retain it in that green infrastructure. One of 17 the things I didn't talk a lot about in my testimony, 18 but is a really important part of that is not only 19 the bioswales the in-street infrastructure. 20 were sort of the low-hanging fruit, but also we're working very closely with the Parks Department and--21 2.2 and increasingly with NYCHA, which is more 2.3 complicated to work with for many reasons on both sides, but where we're building a relationships. 24 with the School Construction Authority and the 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Department of Education to use that open space also for storm water detention both in terms of what's planted there, and in terms of what's underground. If you put together all the public space in the city including the streets, that's half the surface of the city. So that is an--those are areas where we can do a lot to increase our capacity to absorb the increase in rainfall that's coming down. We also have grant programs to try to do innovative things with private property. We can't -- we can't regularly -- -- we can't really spend money on private property, but one of the things we've done over the years is we now have a provision like when a new development is done above a certain size, they have to do storm water retention on site--on site. And increasingly, we are looking at and reaching and Angela Licata has been responsible for this, trying to try more innovative things as a pilot with private property owners. that we can start to build a toolkit that will help us do more on private land as well.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And as--and as far as that green infrastructure relation to NYCHA to school, how are those conversations coming thus far. I know that during you were doing Super Storm

Sandy and areas in that. I mean in--in my district,

the NYCHA campus was the one place that was most

flooded. So how are those conversations being

5 prioritized and moved forward?

2.2

2.3

really take on what I call water in--storm waters coming in, storm surge, or sea level rise. That is legally not our responsibility, and we can't spend water money on that, water rate money on that.

That's--that's the office of Recovery and Resilience is responsible for the--the barriers and the strategies and the dunes to try to protect properties from that. Our responsibility is to try to figure out how to manage the storm, this rainwater coming down that's in--that's increasing, and I'll ask Angela, who's responsible for our partnerships with other agencies to talk a little bit more about schools and NYCHA an parks.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Yeah, thank you Commissioner. You know, that—that was exactly right. Just to give you a little bit more in terms of specifics with respect to NYCHA, currently we have built in partnership with them three sites, and we have one additional site that's about to begin

construction. It's Edenwald Houses in the Bronx, and we have been working in close cooperation with them for potentially another seven sites over the next few So I would say that things are going really well them. I think they wanted to--an opportunity to see how the infrastructure performed before they fully embraced it at a full scale, but I think things are--are moving nicely with them, and then the other partner is, of course, the Department of Education and the schools. And we have been working with them on three fronts, one with the Trust for Public Land, and doing a partnership on playgrounds that way. We're also working directly with the Department of Education on their school construction side, and then also directly with the School Construction Agency.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So as the SCA is planning, and they have their Five-Year Capital Plan to build out certain schools, we're coordinating with them to on relation to green infrastructure to--to put that in their portfolio as well?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: frankly that has been the most challenging partnership thus far, but I think we're going to--

2.2

2.3

well I know that we're going to spend more time and energy on that one. I think what has gone really well is the Trust for Public Land partnership with the Department of Education where they are bringing in private money for playground equipment and other ancillary benefits. And the Department—DEP is bringing in the money for the storm water management infrastructure as well as the Department of Education is working really well with us whenever they have an opportunity to do a playground upgrade, or whenever they are taking out temporary classrooms. For instance, they are working really well with us to be able to incorporate green infrastructure in those circumstances.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Great. I--I definitely want to have a longer conversation about that, but in the interest of time we'll--we'll move on from that topic. But noise complaints. I mean we've seen noise complaints increase from 36,130 in FY13 to 45,584 in FY14 to 53,863 in FY15. What are the sources of this increase of noise complaints?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: So what we saw is we--we compared one quarter in FY15 over FY16, and we specifically saw in that circumstance that

a handheld device that measures noise? How are we--

how are we measuring the noise at these particular

2.3

24

25

sites?

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: So one
things, you know, just to give a little bit of
history, we revised the Noise Code in 2005.
Actually, that was under Commissioner Lloyd's first
term.

# CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:

[interposing] Uh-huh.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: She's been efficient (sic) and wonderfully supportive of--

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: My former boss Jim Gennaro was the Council Member that worked with you on that. I--he and I spoke about it very, very of actually. [laughs]

there were a bunch of rules that were written in about 2007 related to the revised code, and we think the industry has really come a long way because you may recall that what was required as a result of those revisions is that a contractor doing work on site would have to have a noise mitigation plan available for inspection by the department. So that's one of the first lines of defense. If we get a complaint, arrive at a site, the first thing we ask is where's your noise mitigation plan? And then we

will work with the contractor to tweak the noise mitigation plan. If for some reason an activity is still causing a problem and we see the ways in which the mitigation or attenuation could be improved, we would work with the contractor. And we think that the culture has shifted, and that these contractors are becoming more conscious of the noise levels. However, sometimes there are problems, and the receptors or the complainant would have to allow the inspectors to come on site, make an appointment and come into the apartment. And then we would judge the level of activity that is greater than 8 decibels about the background to be considered where they have a noise violation.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: We'll be doing an objective. We would have a measurement tool there to--Okay, and, you know, as we're seeing, you know, there's Intro 420 that was heard back in June in relation to construction noise around schools.

What is the status of working with the Council? I know it's Council Member Levine's bill. Has there been an evolution in your thinking on--on how this should move forward?

2.2

2.3

agencies on the specific language.

)

certainly the Administration and not just us, but the other agencies that are sort of part of this bill have been having ongoing conversations about the bill, in fact quite recently, and the Administration has also been in touch with the Council regarding some of that feedback, and obviously we look forward to continuing to work with the Council and the other

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN:

Want to come to a good resolution there. So I--I look forward to being part of those conversations where--where it's useful and--and working with you to get that done. When it comes to asbestos, I think that--I have two questions left, one relating to asbestos. The average days to close asbestos complaints rose slightly from Fiscal 14 to 15. What could--what accounts for that increase?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Again, we think that's directly related to the increase in the construction activity. So there has been an increase in filings for asbestos removal. We think that's directly related to the increasingly hot construction market.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And how many 3 inspectors do we have?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: So that's really good news. Well, I was going to answer. The asbestos inspectors directly I can't recall exactly.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MURIN: Eleven.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Eleven.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Is that

enough?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: I think it is enough. What we do is we have an auditing program. So we have been able to increase the level of audits that we've been doing with respect to the number of asbestos permits that are filed, and we seem to have a good balance I think. We also have the mechanism of working very efficiently. So if you have a contractor that has been violated once, that contractor is going to be called to task again. He's going to be somebody that is going to be on the radar, and we're going to go back out if he has another job.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And how often doe that happen or-- I know that once that you make the determination that they're a bad actor--

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: There are repeat—there are repeat offenders, and then we could yank a license.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: You can yank a license. Okay, definitely because I--I have some concerns in my district as new development pops up, issues with asbestos abatement that's not being done correctly, and just want to drill down to see if that 11 is--is enough citywide. But, please, you know, we'll make the announcement--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LAWITTS: Councilman if there's--obviously some situations within your district that you're concerned about please let us know, and we can look at that.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I will happily talk about that with you off line, and last, but certainly not least, and—and this is an oldie by a goodie, the City Tunnel No. 3 that's been—that started construction in 1970, I—I wasn't there for the beginning of that. [laughter] But, you know, what is the estimated completion date of Tunnel 3, Stage 2?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So Tunnel 3, Stage 2 we have design work for the last two shafts in the

pressurized. The pressurization allows us to see to

seeing none, Marie, if you would step forward when

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

everyone is ready. [pause] And Samara, if you'll swear the witness in. There you go.

LEGAL COUNSEL SWANSTON: Can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today? [background comments, pause]

MURRAY FISHER: I do.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: All right.

MURRAY FISHER: Thank you so much. It's a beautiful Friday afternoon. So I'll try and be quick. My name is Murray Fisher. I'm the Executive Director of the New York Harbor Foundation, a marine restoration and education organization that supports the New York Harbor School on Governor's Island and runs the Billion Oyster Project also known as BOP. I'd like to thank the Chair of the Environmental Protection Committee, Council Member Constantinides and the entire committee for giving me this opportunity. Harbor Foundation has requested \$100,000 from the City Council's Environmental Initiative for Fiscal Year 17, and we would like to support the Environmental Committee to help bring the Billion Oyster Project to 40 more middle schools citywide. We live and work in a city of islands,

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that surround the third most active port in the country, and yet most New Yorkers do not identify as living on the water. The Port of New York employs 300,000 people, but fewer than 12% of them went to public schools in New York City. So the maritime in the Bronx has near 100% job placement for graduates who earn and average starting salary of over \$70,000. Eighty-five percent of senior maritime students are white and 85% come from outside the five boroughs. Meanwhile, our natural ecosystem is massively degraded. Though, as Commissioner Lloyd said, things have improved a lot. It's nowhere near as clean or abundant or beautiful as it was 400 years ago for example or even 200 years ago. New York was once one of the most biologically productive places on earth. The engines of that productivity were the oyster reefs. Long--long since gone as the result of overharvesting and pollution, oys--oysters filter the water and provide food and habitat for thousands of species of fish and their vertebrates, stabilize the harbor floor, and protect our shorelines during extreme weather. We have a generation of young people who have been denied knowledge about an access to real well paying careers in the marine industry, a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

school system on the hunt for exciting inquiry led STEM learning opportunities, and a massively degraded natural ecosystem in need of their help. With the Billion Oyster Project, the Harbor Foundation has developed a system for addressing these needs by engaging students directly in the challenging work of restoring New York Harbor. Our primary educational partners are New York Harbor School on Governor's Island. Harbor School students are integral to the work of oyster restoration through their six career and technical education programs. These students are engaged with city and state agencies, dozens of nonprofit and commercial firms on a number of large scale restoration and research project. They're also joined by over 55 other schools, 30 of which are public schools throughout the five boroughs that represent 25 City Council districts. Each year over 6,000 new middle and high school students are engaged through a robust educational program that is scalable and inexpensive funded in large part by the National Science Foundation. At each school students participate in hands-on inquire led science and math lessons through a curriculum that aligns with the New York City Scope and Sequence and leverages the

2 performance expectations and the Next Generation

3 Science Standards. Teachers are given a restoration

4 station, which is filled with live oysters at a

5 waterfront site near their school, and water quality

6 testing equipment. Students and teachers work both

7 in the field at their restoration stations and in the

8 classroom. Authentic research data gathered on these

9 missions are shared through a Cloud based digital

10 platform that connects all 50 schools. This

11 | committee's support in prioritizing \$100,000 to the

12 | Environmental Initiative during this year's budget

13 | will help us make Harbor literacy a reality for

14 | thousands of middle school students. Thank you very

15 | much. I have one little amendment that I'd like to

16 give if I may in addition to my written testimony.

17 | Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Just go

19 ahead.

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

MURRAY FISHER: We've been saying essentially for seven years—since 2008 we've been saying that New York needs to rebuild its oyster reefs, that these were the most important natural infrastructure filtered water created habitat, and protected New York City from storm surges. We've

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 been saying that for seven years. An article just

3 came out in the Washington Post based on the study

4 | that came out from three--three of the five

5 institutions. It is agreeing with that. So I'm

6 going to just read from this for a second if I may.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.

MURRAY FISHER: This comes from University of Massachusetts at Amherst Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute and Stevens Institute of Technology, and this is -- these scientists setout to examine sand deposits that Super Storm Sandy left behind in various coastal pools on Staten Island. And what they found is that something that early comers (sic) did seemed to increase storm induced overwash at the study sites. Which means that something changed for more and more sediment from the ocean's bottom was found up on the land at the study sites, said Woodruff, and I'm quoting there. Woodruff, and Associate Professor and Geoscientist at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. Quote, "The million dollar question was what -- what changed?" They scratched their heads, and their search for answers hit dead ends. Then, quote "We considered one of the largest impacts European settlers had on

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York Harbors, the decimation of its natural oyster beds." New York's Living natural barrier to storms went into the stomachs of the people who built the place, and also in their farm fields where it was used as lime, and in the boating construction was used as mortar. The reefs they decimated over four centuries could have stopped a significant portion of the flooding that caused the city more than \$40 billion in repairs. So we've been advocating for something that's an education program, that's a marine restoration program, but that's also a natural infrastructure model. And so we're very grateful that especially Council Member Constantinides is showing a lot of excitement about this project, and we're really hopeful that we can get the City Council's support to really get this integrating into more and more schools citywide. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Well,

Murray, you know my strong commitment to--and I

believe in the work that you're doing. I really know

it's--it's going to be transformative. So as we see

more and more parts of our waterfront reopen, you

know, I--I know in--in my neck of the woods we are-
with a new ferry coming on line, the new eco dock

agencies, and we're going to do two things. We're

Title 1 middle schools. Those are our goals, 7th and

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

8th grade math and science teacher from Title 1 middle schools, that's our target. The cost is about \$5,000 per school, but we're asking for City Council to only pay for half of that, then we have a private foundation that is interested in matching that. So it depends on the size of the school, but essentially we say that one teacher is about 100 to 125 students. And—and that's for one teacher to participate for two years, but they get trained and they get credit and they get all the equipment so that they can stay engaged.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And you know I believe in the great work that you guys are doing. I'm looking forward to working with you as you get even larger and—and move this project forward. So thank you.

MURRAY FISHER: Okay, great. Thanks so much, council member. Thank you, Council.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: All right so

I want to--I want to thank everyone that testified

today. I want to make sure that we thank our

committee staff for helping to put this together.

Jonathan Seltzer, our Finance--our Finance Analyst,

thank you Jonathan. Our--our Committee Counsel as

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 147
2	always Samara Swanston that does a great job, and our
3	Policy Analyst Bill Murray whowe have awe have a
4	great team here in the Environmental Protection
5	Committee. Thank you all for your efforts today, and
6	my own Legislative Director Nick Lozowski (sp?) at
7	front at the table looking very concerned right now.
8	[laughter] You know, thank you, Nick, and also my
9	my Legislative Intern Jonathan Benjamin, I want to
10	acknowledge him again. With that, this will bring
11	this hearing on the Preliminary Budget and
12	Environmental Protection Committee to a close. Thank
13	you. [gavel]
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 3, 2016