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CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning. My name is Rafael Espinal. I am the Chair of the Consumer Affairs Committee. I am joined by Brad Lander who is not a member of the committee, but a sponsor--one of the--the main sponsor of this bill. Today, we will be conducting a hearing on a bill, Intro 1017-A, which will establish protections for freelance workers. The nature of employment in America has been undergoing significant changes in recent decades. One of these changes is an increase in the number of freelance workers, workers who perform work on a contractual basis rather than within the traditional and typical employer/employee context. According to the Freelancers Union, there are almost 53 million freelances across the nation and 1.3 million freelances in New York City. For many workers self-employment is a choice while for others it is a matter of economic reality.

Regardless of whether freelancing is a choice or a necessity, freelance workers do not have the same protections as regular employees, and this is a
problem. A Freelancers Union Survey of its 22-- 280,000 members found that over \(70 \%\) of their members had lost money due to delinquent clients, averaging nearly \(\$ 6,000\) in 2014. I'm sure we all know someone who has been in this situation. I know a graphic designer who lost \(\$ 3,000\) in unpaid earnings. Government protections exist to enforce wage theft for traditional employees. The New York State Department of Labor recovered \(\$ 30\) million for 27,000 employees in 2014, but freelancers must take their case to Small Claims or Civil Court. Some clients resist giving freelancers a contract further complicating collection of payment for self-employed workers. Intro No. 1017-A seeks to normalize and standardize contract procedures for--for freelancers by requiring a written contract written in a language understood by both parties for work valued at more \$200. The contract must include an itemization of services, the rate of pay and the date of which payment will be made. The bill will make it a violation of City law to fail to pay your freelancer within 30 days of the date agreed to in the contract, and it would prohibit retaliation against a freelancer for exercising these rights. The bill provides for agency enforcement empowering the

Department of Consumer Affairs to receive complaints for violations of the requirement to contract in writing and to pay compensation within 30 days. When DCA receives a complaint, the agency may attempt to mediate a mutually agree--the agency may attempt to mediate a mutually agreeable resolution. The agency is also empowered to investigate complaints--to investigate complaints, and upon a finding of violation, it may impose penalties including equitable relief, double damages and attorney fees. The agency may all--may also impose a civil penalty of up to \(\$ 5,000\) for violation of this law. Agency enforcement will shift to the Office of Labor Standards once that office is established by the Mayor. The bill also creates a private right of action to allow freelancers to bring action in court for violation of its provisions and provides for double damages and attorney's fees. As a freelance, you can already bring an action into court for breach of contract, but most freelancers fail to take this course of action because it is time consuming and expensive. According to the Freelancers Union Survey, only 5\% of freelancers who were not paid for their work actually pursue their rights in court.

This is important because it will make it much easier for freelancers to hire an attorney, and sue in court to recover against companies that hire freelancers, and think they can get away with later payment or non-payment. According to the Freelancers Union, 34\% of America's workers have done some freelance work. By all indications, this is a sector of work that will continue to grow, and that is in need of regulation. The self-employed are important economic contributors. They need and deserve protections to ensure that they are treated fairly in our marketplace. All workers are entitled to a fair and just workplace, and as the nature of work continues to change, it is our job as legislators to think creatively about how to broaden our laws to ensure all people are protected. I'd like to invite the bill's sponsor, Council Member Brad Lander to say a few words.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Chair Espinal for moving this hearing along so quickly and for a co-sponsor in support of this bill. I want to thank the 27 members of the Council that have already signed on in support of this bill as well as the Public Advocate. I want to thank the
staff who have worked so hard on it Katelyn Caruso
and Lami(sp?) Rafman for their work getting us here today, and I do want to give a special shout out to the Freelancers Union who have really brought freelancers together to help us see what's going on in the new economy and write new rules for the new economy to make sure that all workers are protected and can thrive in New York City. The principle here is very simple. Everybody deserves to get paid for the work they do on time and in full, and even as our economy evolves and there's more freelance work, and more independent work, those workers deserve to get paid on time and in full for the work they do. And while we have protections for traditional W-2 workers, not always perfect, but protections in place where government works to make sure that if your wages are stolen you have recourse. We have to add that kind of recourse, those kinds of protections for independent workers, for freelancers, for a growing sector of our economy. The Chair did a good job of-of saying that for some people freelance work is a choice. People are choosing flexibility. For some people there's really--and it's not a choice. That's the way that their work is organized, and they have
to do it if they want to do it, but it doesn't matter whether you're choosing it or whether you're--whether you come to it, and how you get there, you've got to have recourse. We want this sector of the economy in New York City, what independent workers mean here, what freelancing means here is extremely valuable to New York's creativity, to our economy. For those people that are traditional \(W-2\) workers, we want to make sure they're properly classified. But for those people who are doing independent work and freelancing, we want you to thrive here in New York City, and we want the relationships you build, the contracts you sign, the work you do to be recognized, to be protected, to be valued. So, that's what we're doing here today. Now, we've got our work cut out for us because this isn't being done yet anywhere in the country. So we're blazing a new trail. We're the ones trying to write new rules for the new economy. I'm thrilled with the bill as it is 1017-A, and \(I\) appreciate all the work that has gone in, and the feedback we've gotten to develop it here. But we are having a genuine hearing today in the real sense of the word hearing. We are listening, and I'm excited. We're first going to listen to some
freelancers who have been stiffed to make sure that experience is what's front and center, and I appreciate the Department of Cultural Affairs being willing to listen first to--to those experiences. That's appreciated by all of us, but then we're going to listen to what everybody has got to say about how this bill is drafted, about the protections we're trying to provide, about amendments that might make it better or more effective and stronger. And then, we're going to work together to gather additional cosponsors to bring this forward and to implement real worker protections in New York City. So thank you very much, Mr. Chair and to all my colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Brad. I just want to make note that we've been joined by one of the bill's sponsors Margaret Chin, and we also have a committee member Karen Koslowitz from Queens. This is again an important piece of legislation that seeks to respond to the changing nature of work. To frame the issue and set the stage, the Committee will first hear from a panel of freelancers about their experience in today's big economy. We will then hear testimony from the Administration, advocates and the public. With that, I'd like to invite the first
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panel, and please excuse me if \(I\) mis--mispronounce your name. We have Justice Raymond, Ramona or Raymond. We have Latifa Harmon and Chris Malley. [pause] Just come up and take a seat. [background noise, pause] When you're ready to testify, just press the button and then state your name.

LATIFA HARMON: I'll go first. My name is Latifa Harmon. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I work with businesses as professional development consultant. I've been working as a freelancer since 2008 and full time since 2010. I live and work in Brooklyn, New York. Since--since I began freelance, I have consistently had a variety of different issues with non-payment. I routinely work with clients who provide only partial payments on work delivered months after the agreed upon date. [coughs] Last year, I was stiffed 5,000 hours by a client who has yet to pay me. This particular client hired me for a package of training and development services to improve their business performance. I prepared a scope of work with all the details about my costs, services, and how their business would benefit. In order to secure the job, I even offered them a discounted flat rate of 10,000
hours for the whole project. We signed a contract, which stipulated a retainer and deposit of \(\$ 2,700\) to be paid up front. The client did pay that. They also paid a subsequent installment of \(\$ 2,300\) just before the completion of the project, but despite the fact that my work was approved at every milestone, they refused to pay the balance of 5,000 hours at the end of the project after three months of work completed. I invoiced this client many times follow up on countless emails and phone calls with no response. To this day I am reaching out, and haven't really gotten any response as far as when the payment will be received of if it's actually in the mail or anything. Meanwhile, they have implemented my services and their business is actually profiting from my work. On too many other occasions, I have worked with clients who lagged in payment, and frequently accept a lower amount in order to be paid at all. I currently have another client at a very large corporation who after two months of work is just paying off her initial retainer. The amount I am typically able to collect often represents a steep difference from the agreed upon rate. However, I often take the reduced amount because I know this
will be my best chance of getting paid at all. I only pursue projects with larger clients and companies who can easily afford to pay me as their contractor, but even with larger corporations they can sometimes take up to six months to produce a check, which has--which is con--really consistent honestly with larger corporations. In my opinion, companies will play hardball with freelancers because they are aware that there aren't any real legal protections for us. Currently, the penalties for being non-compliant only lie within the realm of an actual lawsuit, which many of us don't pursue because if we aren't getting paid, and can barely pay our bills, how can we afford to take someone to court? This makes it easier for clients who refuse to pay a contract off. We are now--we are the new cheap labor because we aren't legally protected. For us--for many of us freelancers this is our livelihood, and it makes it difficult for us to make ends meet. As a freelancing woman of color \(I\) have to sell my services for half as much and pitch twice as hard to gain a contract sometimes. In many cases, I have to drastically reduce prices for a company to even consider my services, and then I have to endure many
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challenges on a company's behalf to nurture a revolving ongoing relationship. This is a lot in intellectual costs, and if ever calculated properly could result in millions if not billions of unpaid services for free--freelancers as a whole. In my opinion, the Freelance Isn't Free will allow us the immediate protections for our work. Freelances are becoming more of the norm in a modernized workforce. We bring value to companies of all sizes, and many of us provide one-of-a-kind services. It's important for us to step up to created needed payment protections for freelancers now before this becomes a never ending battle in the future. There are currently 1.3 freelances in New York City and the numbers are increasing daily. We should be paid for our work. We deserve the right to a decent living just as any other industry. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [off mic] Thank
you. [applause]
JUST RAMONA: I'm Just Ramona. Good afternoon, honorable council members, guests and other freelancers. I first want to thank you for your time and opportunity to speak with you today. For the past 20 years I've been working as a
freelancer in the fashion industry as a pattern maker and sample maker. As a freelancer it's always tough actually trying to make ends meet, and make a living. As a pattern maker, I'm paid more for my time and expertise as well. So it gets a little tricky when it comes to being paid actually because it's not a tangible item that you can just pick up and walk out with. I've encountered non-payment at many points throughout my career, and late payments even more often. Just recently, New York Fashion Week 2015, I was asked to make patterns and samples for a collection, a 14-piece collection showing September 21st. On August 24,2015 , my client and I signed off on a contract. Normally, I'm paid half up front and then half on delivery. [laughs] Unfortunately, she shows up with a quarter of that first payment. And so during Fashion Week, which is the busiest time of the year, freelancers like myself are under tons of pressure trying to kind deal with--juggle five or six clients. It's crazy when you're trying to get paid what you should be getting paid, and they're coming back and forth at you. By September 10 th, which was 11 days before her show, \(I--a c t u a l l y\) she only submitted another quarter of the balance, the actual
first payment. This partial payment totally sent me in the red. As a result, \(I\) was unable to pay my sample maker, and my pattern tech that worked with me, and as a freelance business, I count on accounts receivable every week to make my ends meet. Because of this, I ended up having to do most of the work myself because I couldn't pay my people that were helping me.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [off mic] Right. JUST RAMONA: Finally, after staying awake for quite a few 18-hour days, I completed the last pieces the morning of the fashion show. The next day after her showing, my client was supposed to pay me the balance, she hands me a check for \(\$ 355\) [laughs] which left a balance of about \(\$ 2,300\). Now, honestly, as angry as \(I\) was, what could \(I\) really do? I paid some of the people that I--that worked with me out of my own pocket. So, therefore, it kind of left me holding the bag with my rent, my rent on the studio space and my own personal bills. Over that time, believe it or not, I did get paid, but it took her until January 11th of 2016 because she was sending me payments of \(\$ 120\) one week, two weeks later \$250. This was a four-month process. It is totally
unacceptable to treat freelancers like we are a bank. We do not have excess funds to cover for clients' poor management of business finances. The Freelance Isn't Free Bill would have made this client aware that she was obligated by law to pay me in full within 30 days, and there will be--will be a consequence that she would have had to face. Passing this bill will give freelancers a leg to sand on when just trying to make an honest living doing what we love. We are here asking for a fair shake. That's all. I thank you very much for your time, and the opportunity again to tell my story. [applause]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We usually don't allow applause, but [laughter] I--I think I'm cool with that. So you can clap there. [laughter]

CHRIS MALLEY: Hi. My name is Chris
Malley. Thanks for the opportunity to speak with you today. I've worked in the film and video industry as a video editor and motion graphics animator for eight years. I moved to New York five years ago because this is the center of my industry. In the time I've been working here every year I've had to face nonpayment of some significant amount of money. In 2016, I started with over \(\$ 10,000\) of outstanding
payments from my clients. Most of this was from a client who hadn't paid me since November of last year, but over \(\$ 2,000\) was from a client who hadn't-who I hadn't worked with since 2014. In both cases, the contract was never signed. In my field, asking for a contract is usually a way to get over for a gig. So you're conditioned not to ask for them. Additionally, in both instances, \(I\) was one of over a dozen contractors who had to chase down payments from unresponsive clients. I'm here today with another colleague of mine who can attest to non-payment and with both of these clients. In the case of the larger sum, almost \(\$ 8,000\), my client hired me on retainer and agreed a traditional 15 pay--15-day payment terms. I worked with them under these terms for four months, and at the end of November I didn't receive payment. I began asking questions, and my client offered various reasons to avoid paying me what was due. In December, they told me to stop coming into their office indefinitely. In January, I finally managed to get some payment, less than a third of what was owed to me. My client presented this partial payment as doing me a favor. I was then asked along with some of the other contractors to
return to finish old projects and to begin new ones with no timelines or terms set for repaying me--for payment of the remaining amount. In my case, this was over \(\$ 5,000\). After three months, I was finally paid in full, but this only came after repeatedly refusing to come--come in to complete further work until repayment was made. Going three months without receiving expected in that much money put me in a terrible financial position especially since it was over the holidays. I wish I could say these were out of the ordinary experiences, but my colleagues and I routinely spend days if not weeks in hours along chasing down unresponsive and deadbeat clients. We're often told that we have to settle for less, and in some extreme cases, this ends up being--failing to meet the minimum wage in terms of hours put in for the work alone. In mandating contracts, the Freelance Isn't Free Act has the potential to enact a major change from freelancers in the city--video and film industry. By getting payments and timelines in writing before beginning a project, clients will be able to treat--they will not be able to treat those terms as negotiable after the work has been
completed. For the multiple instances of late, short
and non-payment they can be reported to an appropriate authority. The potential penalties deadbeat clients may face mean that it will be more worthwhile to actually pursue that action. I hope that these rules in place--agreements in writing can become a common business practice before works starts in my industry. As it is, I have yet to work a freelance day in this city where that's been the case.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Before we continue, I'd like to just add that we've been joined by Julissa Ferreras from Queens. So, thanks for your testimony. Just a few questions. In your cases, how often have you asked for a contract.

CHRIS MALLEY: In my case, I generally
ask for a contract with any client that I'm approaching, and usually it's deflected and sort of we're all--we all trust each other kind of way. I've had people that I've never met before that I've been put in touch with through colleagues who on the first time contacting me when \(I\) asked for a contract say oh we trust each other, which obviously you don't trust complete strangers as a matter of course, but it's-that's the attitude that oh, well, now we'll all--
we're all friends here, and it gets dismissed.
Pursuing that in the past simply ended conversations about upcoming projects that I've been part of. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So in a day do you--so you--by practice you always ask for a contract? CHRIS MALLEY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have--have you done work without a contract?

CHRIS MALLEY: Oh, it always ends up being without a contract. It's--I can think of one time in the entirety of my career in New York that a contract was actually signed.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have you ever taken anyone to court?

CHRIS MALLEY: No.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right.

CHRIS MALLEY: It generally would--would cost more to do that than the amount of money they owe me.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Uh-huh. Anyone who want to say anything?

JUST RAMONA: I always use contracts.

The problem is you get the beginning of it, but then sometimes along the way it's either well, I don't
have money to finish, or I can't pay you the balance. That type of thing. So the work that you've actually put into it then that \(I\) 'm draping and physically making patterns. You know, if as well, it's doing the sample, it's a bit different, you know, because they--you're not being paid actually according to the contractual terms. So then it's kind of like well, what do you do?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And in your case I know, you--you pay vendors--

JUST RAMONA: [interposing] Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: --right?
JUST RAMONA: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Has that ever impeded you from doing other work?

JUST RAMONA: Well, but--
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] That fact that someone wasn't paying you when you had to go do other work for them?

JUST RAMONA: All the time--
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] Yeah, JUST RAMONA: --but see what has
happened, thank God over the years, I tend to try to make sure \(I\) can cover because the biggest thing I'm
sure with any business is your reputation. That's pretty much all you have, and to make sure that \(I\) can pay the people that are actually doing the work for me. I make sure I kind of have a backup whether it comes from me or not from my own personal. I take from myself in order to do that to just keep because I need them to know that they will get paid if they work with me. So, yeah, definitely.

LATIFA HARMON: Just wanted to briefly add that freelancers are like the new free help for a lot of corporations. We're in high demand. A lot of us are pursuing our passions as freelancers because of lifestyle or because we choose to as mentioned earlier, but corporations have caught onto this. They understand that they can let go a whole department and hire a set of freelancers, and then stiff us on payment and save money on their budget. And so I think that his goes really deep into a kind of corporate monopoly as far as how they're treating us, and--and using our services to benefit their business because they make money off of what we provide for them. But in many cases if not most they will not finish paying a contract. And these are large corporations, which I can't personally name

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Uh-huh. Have you seen a pattern on the type of gigs that are not--that are not being paid?

LATIFA HARMON: Oh, definitely. All of them.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Is that because they collect for the work or. JUST RAMON: They hid it.

LATIFA HARMON: [interposing] It--it becomes--I'm sorry. It's become common. When I first started doing freelancing, it was around 2008, the economic crisis. It wasn't really popular as much as it is now. I mean as it trickled down more people are doing it.

JUST ROMAN: [interposing] Which is
prompting more companies --
LATIFA HARMON: More corporations have
caught onto it.
JUST RAMONA: --have started to doing that.

LATIFA HARMON: They start--caught--they caught onto the fact that this type--

JUST RAMONA: [interposing] When the economy was--

LATIFA HARMON: --of work is out there. So they've used us since then, and they've built their companies up since then, and yet many of us--I--I can even name payments that I haven't received since 2008.

JUST RAMONA: A lot of times they get around because usually, especially in the fashion industry if you're with major corporations they'll usually hire you through headhunters.

LATIFA HARMON: Uh-huh.
JUST RAMONA: And so it's usually the headhunter that you are actually going after to get your money and, therefore, and they have the attorneys. If you don't have an attorney to go after
them with their attorneys, which can drag on, it's a mess. Because that's usually the way that most of them get around in the fashion industry. The major corporations they usually hire you through headhunters.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have any of you
ever gone to court or take client to court.
JUST RAMONA: I haven't.
LATIFA HARMON: It's pointless--it's
point--I haven't. It's pointless, a lot of the contracts--I'm sorry to interrupt--a lot of the contracts that I deal with they'll have the payment on--or--or \(I\) mean if they were collective then maybe it would be worth it, but individually it's pointless, and who has that type--rent in New York City? Hello. Who has that type of money to spend on court fees? You really don't.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I hear you. All
right. We have a question from Brad.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Mr.
Chair. First, thanks to all three of you for being here, for being willing to come forward and tell your stories, and working together with others to help us fix this. And the Chair actually asked most of the
questions that \(I\) wanted to hear about why people don't go to court, the challenges in getting a contract, and the pervasiveness. I guess I want to just draw a little more out on late payment because they're in addition to non-payment, and this was especially in Ms. Ramona in your testimony. Will you just say a little more about that. You know, even if you're--even if that handshake that we're all friends here trust implied there was supposed to be payment at a point in time that it gets pushed back that there's a \(n\) offer to pay less if you want it sooner? I assume your landlords don't say well, we'll take a little if you want to pay sooner. So just talk a little more about your experience being--having-having payments pushed out into the future and--and pressure to reduce them if you want them in a more timely way.

JUST RAMONA: Well, see that's--that's the biggest thing for me I mean because it's kind of like you do get paid, but unfortunately when you have people to pay and bills that are due \(\$ 120\) this week really does nothing for me. [laughs] And--but then it's kind of like what do you do? Say, I'm not going to accept the payment? Because that's kind of what
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happens. You know, I mean I got payments as small as \(\$ 125\), which doesn't even pay for patching, but what did--what did I--I mean \(I\) just took the payments as she gave them to me. I still had her patterns, but a lot of good it did me, you know, because like I said this went on for four months.

LATIFA HARMON: Uh-huh.

JUST RAMONA: And I mean it's a--\$2,300
is a lot of money, but in the broad scheme of things not really. So--but what do you do? So you kind of just take it even though it kind of means nothing really in that sense because you owe almost three times, you know, what they've give--you know, gave you in that payment. So, but that's--that's been my biggest problem more so than anything else.

LATIFA HARMON: Well, late payments for me are getting a little bit easier because I have now a late payment fee. [laughs] And I started doing milestones on the work as far as if you don't pay, you don't get your work, and that's kind of been helping. But, at the end of the day because there's really no compliance regulations for a lot of my companies, it--it can pay or they don't, and--and--
and I just have to figure out a way to be innovative and try to make that happen.

JUST RAMONA: But I think this bill will
do it.

LATIFA HARMON: This bill will definitely
do it. [laughs]
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you for-for being here to help us make it happen.

JUST RAMONA: Thank you.
LATIFA HARMON: Thank you.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Mr.
Chair. [applause]
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right, right, thank you, thank you.

LATIFA HARMON: Okay, thank you. [laughter] Oh.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I like the clapping. Okay. [laughter] I want to also note we've been joined by Vinny Gentile from Brooklyn. He's also a member of the committee. Next up I'd like to call the DCA the Department of Cultural Affairs. We have Amit Bagga, who's a Deputy Commissioner; Nick Smithberg, who's the head of the Paid Sick Leave Division; Alba Pico, our Acting
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Commissioner; Steven Kelly our legal Director and Jill Maxwell, Deputy Director on Paid Sick Leave. [background noise, pause] Okay, before we begin, can you please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member's questions?

PANEL MEMBER: Yes, we do.
PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Please state your name before your testimony.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: I'm Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Cultural Affairs. Good afternoon, Chairman Espinal, Council Member Lander, and members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs. I'm Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner at the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs or DCA, and I'm joined today, as you noted by Alba Pico, our Acting Commissioner as well as well as our colleagues from DCA's Paid Leave and Commuter Benefits Commissioner, Nick Smithberg, Executive Director, and Jill Maxwell, Deputy Director of Legal and Investigations. We are pleased to be representing Mayor Bill de Blasio's Administration
here today. Thank you for inviting us to testify on Introduction 1017-A or Intro 1017-A, which seeks to expand certain protections for freelance workers in New York City. Mayor de Blasio and the Department of Cultural Affairs share the Council--Council's deep commitment to ensuring that all vulnerable workers in New York City including freelance workers are protected from exploitation. As such, we are very-we very much welcome the opportunity to discuss with you how such protections can be enacted into law in a manner that is both effective and efficient as well as well as fair to all involved parties. We are thrilled to be a part of the dialogue with you, and one that also includes so many important partners including the Freelancers Union, Freelancers Union, the UFT, Make the Road New York and, of course, individual freelancers. DCA is proud to be the agency that leading the city's implementation of key labor laws enacted in recent years as the result of the joint leadership of the Mayor and Council Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito. We are particularly pleased to report that in the approximately two years since we began enforcing New York City's Earned Sick Time Act or Paid Sick Leave Law, we have conducted or

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS
participated in more than 1,000 events regarding paid
sick leave, distributed more that two million brochures, and most importantly, we have closed more than 650 cases securing more than \(\$ 1.6\) million in fines and restitution for nearly 9,500 New Yorkers. Additionally, through settlement agreements, DCA has ensured that thousands more are receiving the sick time to which they are entitled under the law. As of the end of 2015, we had secured 13 times the amount in restitution for New Yorkers as compared to San Francisco though we are only 10 times as large and 27 times the amount of Seattle though we are only 13 times as large. Considering that both of these jurisdictions enacted their paid sick leave laws well before we had, we take great pride in our success in ensuring that the rights of New Yorkers are being well protected, and that they have access to the time they need to take care of themselves and their loved ones. Our outreach, education and enforcement of both the Paid Sick Leave and Commuter Benefits Laws hopefully demonstrates our eager embrace of the expansion of our labor related authority, and we look forward to continued close collaboration with the Council to ensure that vulnerable workers in New York
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City are afforded the protections to which they are entitled or should be entitled. We agree with the Council that freelance workers in New York City are our classic workers in need of protection, and it is on the basis of this agreement that we offer our testimony today.

There is little doubt that the
marketplace for freelancers of all types can be a challenging one. Issues faced by freelancers include contracts negotiation, the settlement of contract disputes and most significantly the late or nonpayment of fees. As a 2015 Report by the Freelancers Union has noted, of the more than 5,300 freelance workers they surveyed, 71\% reported late or nonpayment issues, and \(50 \%\) reported being refused payment for services altogether. The reported average amount of lost payments annually amounted to nearly \(\$ 6,000\), a sum that could significantly constrain a freelancer's ability to pay for rent and other living expenses in New York City, which I think as we all know, is a very expensive place to live. Freelancers who were paid late or not paid at all reported having to deplete their savings, take on credit card debt or in many cases simply forego their
from lost income. We at DCA are particularly
sensitive to the dangerous cycle of indebtedness that
freelancers might find themselves in. Such
indebtedness can negatively impact an individual's
credit score, for example leading future lender--
lenders or landlords to assess or to impose very high
interest rates on loans, deny access to credit or
even to housing. Through our Office of Financial
Empowerment, which was the first municipal entity in
the country to be focused on empowering lower income
residents through financial counseling and by
providing access to innovative tools to promote
financial wellbeing, we have served tens of thousands
of New Yorkers who need to reduce and consolidate
their debt, improve the credit and obtain access to
as many tax credits and refunds for which they might
qualify. Ensuring the financial stability of New
Yorkers is, therefore, not an ancillary bi-product of
worker protection for our agency. But rather, it is
fundamentally embedded into our mission. DCA seeks
to work closely with the Council on crafting
legislation that effectively and efficiently address
relevant challenges faced by the freelancer
community. In addition to establishing a requirement
in Local Law that a written contract must exist when
a freelancer takes on work resulting in more than
\(\$ 200\) change, Intro \(1017-A\) would require DCA to take
complaints from freelancers regarding the non-payment
of agreed upon fees, investigate such complaints, and
would give us authority to resolve complaints through
mediation or conciliation. The bill also gives DCA
the authority to issue violations to hiring parties.
These violations would ultimately be adjudicated by
the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings or
OATH. The bill also contains provisions for civil
and criminal penalties, provides for payment within
30 days unless otherwise specified, and also enhances
a freelancer's existing breach of contract action
related to the non-payment of fess available through
the state courts to include coverage of reasonable
attorney's fees, double damages and injunctive
relief. We are very supportive of the Council's
enhancements to the existing breach of contract
action, and we believe that such enhancements
establish a key incentive for hiring parties to
ensure that they are complying with any agreements
they've entered into with freelancers. Such actors--
[coughs] excuse me--such enhancements might also incentivize the pursuit of larger cases against the most egregious actors, which is beneficial not only to individual freelancers, but also to the marketplace as such actors would in theory be prevented from conducting business or be--or would be deterred from future malfeasance. Considering the nature of the challenges faced by freelancers in the pursuit of owed fees, such as excessive time and money, DCA agrees with the Council that even the path for freelancers to capture owed fees is absolutely a laudable goal. We are committed to ensuring that we can find common ground with the Council on how best to achieve this shared goal in a way that ensures that any proposed process is both effective and efficient, and is fair to all parties. We believe that several aspects of this bill will aid in achieving its intended purpose. Specifically, the bill's provision for a default term that in the absence of express written terms stating otherwise, requires payment to be due within 30 days of completing work, will assist in the adjudication of breach of contract claims. The Council might also consider adding additional rebuttable presumptions
regarding any unwritten contracts between parties.
Additionally, the provision of an award of attorney's
fees to a prevailing freelancer will shift the cost
burden of bringing these claims in court away from
the freelancer and incentivize the private bar to
represented aggrieved freelancers.
    While laudable in its intent and
containing provisions that help level the playing
field for freelancers, 1017-A does raise several
questions and concerns for DCA, which we would now
like to respectfully explore with you. First, DCA
has concerns regarding the bill's expectation that we
would be able to enforce written or verbal
agreements. Next, the bill's requirement of a
written contract in nearly all cases needs to be
further explored as does the proposed duplication of
the legal court process through an administrative
tribunal process. Additionally, the expectation that
DCA would be able to investigate and mediate disputes
is one that we feel cannot likely be met. And
finally, shifting the resource burden from the courts
to the city will not in our view ultimately result in
positive results for freelancers in most instances.
Following a discussion of these concerns, we will
propose that the Council consider leveraging DCA's copious experience working with employers and employees of all types to provide appropriate guidance when needed, and by developing tangible usable tools that will enable both freelancers and hiring parties to enter into clear, fair and hopefully more equitable agreements. The first challenging element for \(u\) is the expectation inherent in the bill that the agency can or even should be in the position of assessing the veracity or validity of any contract claims of freelancers whether or there is a written agreement. We have concerns that the agency would be in the position of attempting to enforce the terms of a contract presented to us. Even when a written contract is present, there are likely to be factual disputes regarding multiple issues including whether, if any, services were rendered, the quality of any rendered services, and whether any rendered services were completed, and if so, when they were completed. the seemingly straightforward requirement that payment be rendered no later than 30 days after the completion quote "of the work" or the agreed upon date in the contract presents disputed issues of fact. Whether
or not work is quote, "complete" might be difficult to ascertain in some cases such as when a hiring party disputes that the work was satisfactory or in the case of a partial performance. Additionally, the near universal contract requirement in the bill assumes that having a written contract is always a protection for the freelancer. While we absolutely agree with the notion that in general written contracts are preferable to oral agreements in the context of legal claims brought by freelancers, depending on technical industry specific requirements, the capacity and knowledge of the freelancer, the nature of the work, and the broad range of workers this bill encompasses, we are not yet wholly convinced that a written contract is always beneficial to a freelancer. Although the bill does require that both the freelancer and the hiring party execute a written contract, the hiring party is ultimately liable for failing to do so. Accordingly, the onus for preparing such a written contract therefore falls on the hiring party. This is potentially problematic as freelancers are less likely to have a chance to control the terms of the contract because they are not the initial drafter.
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Though the bill requires the contracts must be in quote "plain language" and in a language understood by both parties, such requirements would be extremely challenging to enforce by any entity including the courts. And English speaking freelancer isn't prohibited from signing a contract that isn't in plain English, and even if a contract is written in a language understood by both parties, assessing how quote "plain" it is would be a nearly impossible task. As we share the Council's beliefs that freelancers are typically more vulnerable as compared to hiring parties in contract negotiations, even these well intentioned requirements could be manipulated by hiring parties for their benefit. These concerns highlight for us some potential challenges requiring freelancers and hiring parties to enter into contracts by law. Again, we do recognize that in many instances having a written contract could potentially be beneficial to a freelancer, and we eager look--eagerly look forward to working with the Council and the Freelancers Union to explore this issue further. Of chief concern to us is the bill's duplication of the pre-existing ability freelancers have to bring actions against
hiring parties in Small Claims, Civil or State Supreme Courts. While DCA acknowledges that freelancers can face difficult challenges in bringing claims in court, including the burden of attorney's fees and the length of time it might require a court to hear and decide on a case, courts are the most appropriate forum in which to adjudicate these types of disputes as they not only have the force of law. But also the expertise in resolving commercial disputes such as contract claims the existing infrastructure for doing so including advanced discovery procedures and trained judges and settlement officers. The court system is also set up to be able to cultivate and leverage technical and legal expertise about differences in the nature of work for different industries, which the City is unfortunately not set up to do. In light of the fact intensive nature breach of contract claims, discovery procedures are particularly important as these cases will ultimately require hearing evidence and testimony about the offer, acceptance and performance of a contract. Duplicating this process within any city entity raises a host of legal and resource concerns particularly if DCA is required to both
adjudicate and enforce judgments again non-prevailing parties. As we would have no practical way to determine which claims or counterclaims are true or false without engaging in intensive fact finding and mitigation for which we are not equipped. No City entity would really be unable--would be able to make recommendations to a tribunal regarding if or how a hiring party might be in violation of the law. Establishing such a process does not unfortunately alleviate some of the complexity of the existing legal process, and could even result in further delays for freelancers. Based on the bill's provisions, DCA could attempt to achieve positive results for freelancers through mediation or conciliation if not adjudication. Unfortunately, while it might seem an attractive alternative to adjudication, mediation of disputes between freelancers and hiring parties is equally challenging for DCA. Irrespective of whether or not a written contract exists, it can be assumed that there is a dispute regarding the terms or nature of an agreement if a complaint is brought to DCA. Absent an independent ability to assess the voracity of any such claims, a DCA mediator would be unable to
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establish a clear framework for the mediation process, and would where a contract might exist once again be in--and be in the position of being perceived as enforcing such a contract, which he or she would not be able to do as only the courts are in a position to make such determinations. The insertion of DCA into a freelancer's potential process of pursuing a claim against a hiring party is also likely to create an expectation among the freelancer community that DCA has the clear ability to assist aggrieved freelancers in securing owed fees. Because we are so deeply committed to ensuring that all vulnerable workers have access to recourse, and justice that is swift and effective, we are concerned that requiring a city agency to attempt adjudication or medication when the agency is not necessarily situated to even establish basic facts pertaining to a claim is unfortunately ultimately beneficial to freelancers. Lastly, the notion of shifting the resource burden from the courts to the city raises significant concerns. DCA's Paid Sick Leave and Commuter Benefits Division, which primarily investigates complaints received from the public, has 17 staff members, including five attorneys and five mediation role as well would increase the need for additional resources. An instructive example of DCA's current mediation process is our handling of complaints received against licensed home improvement contractors, which are--which is an industry that DCA licenses. Currently, DCA mediates such complaints before litigating unresolved cases. The mediation and litigation process crosses over two different divisions at DCA, the Consumer Services and Legal Divisions, involves a number of attorneys, mediators and administrative staff, and is successful in large part because home improvement contractors are, in fact, licensed by DCA. The total cost to the City for the mediation of these cases and staff time, resources and case processing is into the millions annually. Mediation is further facilitated by the fact that licensed home improvement contractors participate in the Home Improvement Trust Fund, which is required of them by law, which provides payment to aggrieved consumers when the contractor fails to provide damages. As such a fund isn't likely to be practical to create and manage in a way that captures
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every single hiring party in the city, mediation is rendered all the more unlikely as a suitable function for DCA to fulfill. DCA and the Administration are deeply committed to achieving the Council's goal of ensuring that freelancers, who are suffering from late or non-payment of owed fees, have the ability to recuperate these fees as quickly and effectively as possible. And we would like to take this opportunity to respectfully offer to the Council some suggestions for how our shared goal of protecting freelancers might be realized. Building on the strength of the enhanced breach of contract action in Intro 1017-A as well as DCA's extensive history developing and disseminating useful tools for businesses and employees alike, we seek to work with the Council, the Freelancers Union and various industries to create an online hub for freelancers and hiring parties that would allow them to access contents, such as model contracts, plain language information about rights and responsibilities, an overview of the different areas of potential negotiations between freelancers and hiring parties as well as access to information about the legal process that already exists for resolving contract disputes. As I
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mentioned earlier in my testimony, we have taken complex information about laws such as paid sick leave and commuter benefits and made it available not in pleading--plain language, but in as many as 26 different languages. We've conducted hundreds legal and otherwise on both these laws as well and many others in our licensing and consumer protection laws. Under Mayor de Blasio's Administration, we have put our licensing checklists directly into the hands of business owners and operators, who can't--who now exactly what to inspect--expect--excuse--when our inspector walks into their business because they can now read the law translated for them into plain Eng-plain English or into one dozen other languages. Recognizing that different industries have different needs, we would work with freelancers and hiring parties in several different industries to understand what the primary areas of negotiation might be for such contracts. And we would work with all relevant stakeholders to ensure that this information is widely shared. Making these resources widely available would ensure that both freelancers and hiring parties in New York City have a way to understand legal and best practices guidelines, which
are the types of information so often perceived to be
inaccessible. As our experience with implementing
paid sick and commuter benefits has shown, there are
many potential opportunities to strengthen worker
protections while ensuring that a city agency's
function is effective and efficient. And we look
forward to working very closely with the Council, the
Freelancers Union and all relevant stakeholders to
explore as many options as possible with respect to
expanding and implementing protections for freelancer
workers. Thank you for the opportunity to testify
today. My colleagues and I will be happy to answer
any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Amit. thank you for your testimony. I just want to start off by saying that DCA has done a stellar job either with expansion of the agency in covering paid sick leave, and most recently the Community Benefits Law. So thank you for all your hard work.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I'm going to--I have one questions that I'm going to throw you, and it's actually from Rory Lancman who was here with us earlier, but had to leave for some other meeting or
hearing. Why would DCA be less able to adjudicate a freelance claim than the New York City Human Rights Commission is to adjudicate an employment discrimination claim?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: I would not be able to speak to the comparison between the Human Rights Commission and DCA's work--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] Uhhuh.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: -unfortunately. We can, if it is helpful to you, draw a distinction between the adjudication of such a--a contract claim and the way that we enforce our paid sick leave law, and for that, \(I\) will turn it over to Nick Smithberg.

NICK SMITHBERG: Yeah, the--the principal distinction there would be that as opposed to the Paid Sick Leave Law under the freelancer context there's an independent claim. There's a contract claim that already exists. So it functions almost as a--as like a three-way dispute, if you will. When we enforce paid sick leave, it's all in the statute. So I--I think that there's an added complication that would be present here that wouldn't be present in the
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paid sick leave context. And I--I guess I would also say that to some extent, what we've learned with paid sick leave informs us as to some of what the difficulties would be on these kind of fact-intensive cases that Amit was just testifying about. So a lot of work goes into resolving those cases that we know need to be done here as well.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Now, over the past year has DCA received any complaints or--or calls from freelancers or from clients regarding any issues, or has DCA jumped in any sort of fights (sic) over the past year.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Not to my knowledge.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: No, okay, Brad, do you have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you to all of you for your--for this extensive testimony and the time you spend in advance of the--the hearing for the broad support of working together to find ways to do something about theft of payment against freelancers. And we'll definitely take you up on the offer for the online hub, which \(I\) think is a--is a great start. So I--I
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think all of that is good, and we're starting in a-in a strong place of shared goals. I guess I--I will drill down a little on the--the two places where it sounds like we have-- you have some disagreements with the bill. First with the Department of Cultural Affairs, and let me say \(I--I\) understand, and I think you did a good job of explaining the challenge DCA would have in mediating contract disputes. So obviously some percentage of the time what is, you know, there's--there is a contract between two parties. Yes, we want it written. We'll come back to that in a minute. You know, and there's a dispute about whether the work was performed. I think what we're hearing is an awful lot of the time there's no such dispute, there's simply non-payment. So we're trying to figure out a way obviously to enable-Well, let me ask a different question because I was-I was taking a look at the--at your work under paid sic enforcement. So there can you just maybe walk me through what happens if someone brings a complaint, and how you enforce the paid sick leave? I know you've had whole hearings on that. I don't want to go into it in-depth, but \(I\) just, you know, it--when
someone brings a complaint, you know, what does that mean and what do you do?

NICK SMITHBERG: Sure. It--it's
typically is a complaint initiated enforcement regime and when we get a complaint we'll send out a notice of investigation, which alerts the employer to the fact that that complaint has been filed. We will collect documents and information both from the employer, the employee and sometimes third parties. One of our more successful enforcement strategies has been to in many, if not most cases expand out our investigations to include the--the entire company because typically if one worker is complaining about a paid sick leave issue, they're not--they're maybe not in compliance across the board. The next step in the process is a fairly intensive negotiation. We-we attempt to resolve all of our cases consensually before going to a hearing, and that can involve a very protracted back and forth process of getting additional information and having discussions with the parties, and ultimately agreeing to a consent order. So, you know, and--and these cases do involve obviously gathering the facts and hearing the sides from all interested parties.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So how many of your--what percent of cases have you been able to resolve through mediation.

NICK SMITHBERG: Well, I say the terminology here is--is important. We--with paid sick leave there's no independent cause of action. So, it's more a process of settling the cases without going to a hearing than it is brokering a deal between the complainant and the company, which, you know, as I alluded to also there's an added complication which is that we're--we're working on a global settlement in most of these cases for the entire company.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So is it true that your annual report says that you've successfully resolved 70\% of closed cases through mediation.

NICK SMITHBERG: Yeah, it is. It's just a question of the terminology. You know, it's--it's--it's without going through a hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. But so in \(70 \%\) of the cases where there's a complaint where you do something, you--you commence some kind of investigation. In most of the cases you are able to
resolve it with the company agreeing to follow the law and grant people the sick days that they're due. NICK SMITHBERG: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. So I would
just submit I think there's a good likelihood that a very high percent of cases here would be the same that when an administrative agency reaches out and says your freelancer says you haven't paid, we don't know what percent. I'm not going to say to you today it will be 70\%. But a very high percentage of them I think we could bring to pay without--I'm not saying some small percent wouldn't counterclaim contract violations and have to go to court. But, you know, if some large percent of them could agree to pay or mediate up front. I guess one question I have even before that, how many people don't respond--what percent of people don't respond at all? I'm guessing that quite a lot of people you give them a notice and they don't even bother responding at all.

NICK SMITHBERG: That--that is a problem for us. I--I don't have the percentage off hand, but it's--it's--it's a material number.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I think we'll
have to figure that out here as well. I can imagine

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 55 places where someone gets a note of violation, and you might let someone know that there was a violation, and you might not get a response at all. We'll have to figure out what to do. Maybe that--a court would take that as evidence that the law had violated, and a judge would order--would order the settlement based on the fact that there was no response. So it sounds like we--I mean I don't want to go too deep into this here. I think it will be useful for us to follow up afterwards and figure out, and I--I really appreciate your bring the work you've already done with home improvement contractors, with paid sick days. And, I think if we could sit down together and really try to dig in and figure out what kinds of violation notices, what kinds of administrative remedy and adjudi--adjudication can help do as much as we possibly can to enable freelancers to recover payment that they're due while leaving room for the challenge that you identified. But in--well, my guess is, you know, in relatively small number of cases there's going to be a serious contract dispute, which would ultimately have to get resolved in court if that's a dispute over a contract between two--two parties. But let's leave that. I
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think we can come back to that. I guess I just--so the--the--my second--the second area where you articulated some concerns is about the written contract, and where that could be used to the detriment of a freelancer. And I mean it's easy to imagine because we all fail to read the fine print on our credit card contracts, but there are contracts where the drafting party can slip language in. On the flip side, I think it's almost never better to be totally unprotected. You heard the folks on the first panel talk about how--what they're left exposed to in the absence of a contract. So, can you give me a little--a little more detail on what--some examples of what you think people might slip into those contracts. Because the goal here is to--I mean the goal of the contract is to make sure both parties know what work is being contracted work. The goal of provisions like 30 -day payment is then to make sure that there are in any and all cases basic protections for--for workers. So, I--I'm just curious if you have some thoughts about what the kinds of things would be trying to protect people from that might get snuck into the fine print of a contract?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Sure. So as
we--as I mentioned in my testimony, I think in general terms we agree that a written contract is preferable to an oral one or--or any other type of contract. I think our concern with respect to how contracts would be manipulated has to do with a couple of different things. One is that as I think we can all agree, freelancers operate in many, many different types of industries. Depending on the nature of the industry, it is certainly possible and the nature of the work that payment terms of the definition of what it means for work to be completed, could mean very different things. And, those definitions could be manipulated to the advantage of the hiring party without the freelancer necessarily understanding what that means even if he or she thinks that they do. Additionally, we have concerns that in cases, for example, and \(I\) think the bill is very well-intentioned for--for example in its desire that it contemplates for--for contracts to be written in a language that both parties understand. That-that can present a challenge unto itself in that if a contract is written in a mutually intelligible language, but it is a complicated contract, that does
not necessarily mean that the freelancer is going to fully understand the terms. We want to ensure that whatever the final version of the bill is preserves the agency for the freelancer as opposed to placing the onus on the hiring party. And so, we seek to work very closely with you to determine and--and explore what type of additional requirements, contract requirements we might be able to contemplate and consider that would ultimately be more beneficial to the freelancers, and that--that is our goal.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. I
appreciate that and I appreciate the--the idea of a hub that would provide a lot of that information. I'll give one example of something that I've since heard from employers who hire freelances, non-profits in particular who may be getting public funding or foundation funding and even invoiced to show obviously. So a--a contract could provide that you have to submit an invoice for your work to get paid, and that even if you've done the work. Now, any freelancer in this room how many of you would be glad to submit an invoice to make sure you got paid. So obviously, they'll be glad to submit the invoice. That one seems like one that we would say okay to.
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That's a reasonable contract provision. Now, there might be other things that we have to work together to find that would get snuck in that, you know. So I mean I think the--the value of doing that together is--is a good one \(I\) think working together to figure that out in a way that works would be good. Let me leave it there. I may have additional questions after Council Member Chin or--or the Chair has some questions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair. Thank you for your testimony. Especially from hearing your testimony. I really think you guys are the best agency to really implement this law because of the success that you have made through the paid sick leave. You can help get the information out so the freelancers know how to protect themselves, how to do a contract and how to, you know, ask for payment, a place to file their complaint. I think this is really a great opportunity for your department to really help us shape the information-the implementation of this law, and to protect--you know, and protect thousands and thousands of
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freelance workers, and make sure that they get paid. And you've heard from the earlier panel people really don't want to go to court to chase out--there are couple of thousand dollars that are here and there. But city agencies that they can go to, to get information and get support, and it's really the best way to do it. So please work with us. I mean if there are parts that you think that we can strengthen, work with us so that we can implement this law, and build upon the successes you have done with paid sick leave, with all the other efforts. Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: But, if I may, I just--I just would like to say we are very committed to working very closely with the council on--on--on this--on this bill, and we do very much believe that we have the opportunity to play a key role in providing information to freelancers and to hiring parties that really will empower them to ensure that they are entering into clearer, fairer and more equitable agreements. And that is a role that we eagerly look forward to playing.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Margaret and Brad.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I guess just one more question about the--about costs. So I also appreciate that if you have to stand up a new unit that would cost money and obviously you spoke to the costs of sick days enforcement. Have you had a chance yet to do--and this is the first preliminary hearing--any estimates on what it would cost to--to stand up a unit that could implement the--this bill? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: I unfortunately don't have a cost estimate for you at this time. I would point back to some of the issues that \(I\) raised in my testimony, which is that for the type of mediation that this bill contemplates that type of mediation already costs our agencies into the millions annually, and covers different divisions. I should also note that the court system is currently built to absorb many of these costs between the settlement officers, between trained judges, et cetera. And so we would certainly be shifting some of those costs to the city, which is a-a conversation we seek to have.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But you heard-you heard the couple of freelancers on the first
panel talk about the barriers for them in going to court at all. So I think a lot of--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: [interposing]
Uh-huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --these things
are going to-- And I guess I would just say, you know, the Paid Sick Days Division you said is costing about \(\$ 2\) million a year. I appreciate that. Depending on how many complaints you got, this could cost even more than that. We don't have good numb-numbers for sure in a comprehensive way on payment theft, but if the Freelancers Union Survey is anywhere close, they found on average people being stiffed in about \(\$ 6,000\) a year. There's over a million freelancers in New York City. So we have billions of dollars literally being stolen from New York City freelancers. So, if a couple of million-and look, we have to allocate it. You can't do it without getting it, but I would hope the Council could work with the Mayor, and if what's necessary to stop billions of dollars being cheated out of freelances is for us to work together to allocate a few million for you to stand up a new unit, I hope we'll be able to work to find a way to do that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Absolutely. I--I do think it's also worth noting that one very laudable provision in the bill that we are very much in support of is that the bill would provide that prevailing freelancers are awarded attorney's fees and double damages. And we do think that this will incentivize the private bar to take on probably the toughest cases. So that--that--we seek to have that provision be a part of the cost conversation. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Very good. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Brad. A great point.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
[background noise, pause] I'd like to call up the next panel. We have Sarah Horowitz from the Freelancers Union. We have Mary Rosario from 32BJ, Deborah Axt from Make the Road and Haeyoung Yoon from the National Employment Law Project. [background comments and noise, pause] Whenever you're ready,
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just state your name before your testimony. [background noise, pause]

SARAH HOROWOTIZ: [off mic] Good after-good afternoon, [on mic] and there we go. Good afternoon. Thank you so much, Chair Espinal, Brad Lander and other council members, and to the entire committee for the opportunity to speak here today in support of Freelance Isn't Free, Intro 1017. I am Sarah Horowitz, the founder and Executive Director of the Freelancers Union representing 300,000 freelancers nationwide, 130,000 of whom live in New York City. There are 1.3 million independent workers in New York City representing a workforce as diverse as New York itself. Freelancers are service providers, micro business owners and contractors working as accountants, healthcare workers, writers and adjuncts. These workers offer valuable skills, efficiency and on-demand labor to large and small businesses. They're truly one of the most important backbones of New York City's economy. But too many freelancers aren't getting paid for the work they do. Over \(70 \%\) of freelancers struggle to get paid, and the average unpaid freelancer loses nearly \(\$ 6,000\) per year, as you've heard from freelancers here and from
the studies that we've done. And for our members, this represents \(13 \%\) of their annual income. With such great income instability, it become hard to cover basic expenses like rent, health insurance, and quarterly tax payments. But loss of income doesn't just stop there. It stifles business growth, incurs debt and makes surviving in New York City nearly impossible. Finally, it violates a basic agreement we have in this country, which is work deserves pay. Today, you'll hear from independent workers in diverse industries battling the same problem for a variety of reasons. Our members in film and television tell us about the barriers to getting a contract in a field where contracts are the exception, not the rule. We hear from magazine writers and journalists who have to take on the role of debt correct--collector in a field where the 60, 90, 120-day payment terms are the norm. We witness predatory practices from too many businesses that essentially run on free freelance labor, and face few repercussions. It's time we put an end to this together. The Freelance Isn't Free Act is the first bill in the nation that will help freelancers get paid what they're owed on time and in full. The
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legislation will provide badly needed worker protections, and stronger business practices for companies who utilize freelance labor. By mandating written contracts we help both parties set clear expectations from the outset to mitigate future conflicts. Thirty-day payment terms ensure that workers aren't forced to borrow to cover the distance between the day the work is completed, and the day the check arrives. By formalizing and increasing penalties for non-payment, we dissuade unethical business practices. Instituting double damages, and attorney fees will make it more financially viable for freelancers to invest the time and money needed to take deadbeat clients to court. We believe that being able to offer freelancers the additional support of the Department of Consumer Affairs involvement in non-payment claims will prove invaluable. We're looking forward to working with Council Member Lander and the Department of Cultural Affairs to further define their role in implementing this legislation. The freelance workforce is not going anywhere. In fact, we are growing. As a hub for the freelance economy, New York City has the opportunity to be a leader in instituting
groundbreaking legislation to protect the working people of New York City. Thank you so much for your time and consideration, and I'd also like to thank our allies and all of the freelancers who have come here today to testify. [background noise, pause] MARY ROSARIO: Mary Rosario, Member of 32BJ. Um, good--good afternoon, Chairperson Espinal and members of the committee on the Consumers Affairs. Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today on behalf of Shirley Aldebol the Vice President of \(32 B J\) SIEU. I'm here to testify in support of the Intro 1017 , the Freelance Isn't Free Act. \(32 B J\) represents over 145,000 men and women in 11 states on the East Coast and the Washington D.C. We have over 70,000 members here in New York. Our members are the backbone of the property service industry. Building workers, security officers--and office, schools, theaters, stadiums, and window cleaners. Our members are not freelancers, but we are--we stand in solidarity with the diverse--diverse range of men and women who earn their living through freelance work. Regardless of whether you are considered an employee or a freelancer, the truth is that if you are someone who works for a living, you
are really counting on getting that paycheck. Wage theft is an issue with which we are all too familiar. For example, we continue to fight to ensure that workers at non--building--in non-union buildings are receiving \(421(a)\) a subsidized pay the wage--pay the wage they are due. We have seen the real life consequence of workers being able to hold their employers accountable to pay what--pay them what they are owed. We understand the non-payment to freelancers is a major and persay--pervasive problem in that the vast majority of freelance workers face non-payment or late payments during their career. I am here representing our members to say that working people must stand up together for the prop-proposition that our labor is not free. Even if a worker is not considered an employee, they should be protected against wage theft. By passing this--by passing this needed leg--legislation, the Council will provide freelance workers with right to a written contract, stronger remedies to pursue clients who violate the agreement and the ability to pursue their claims through the Department of Cultural Affairs, or by filing an action in court. These are important rights and avenues for relief that have
been unavailable for freelance workers for too long. We encourage the passage of this important legislation and thank you.

DEBORAH AXT: Good afternoon. Thank you so much Chairperson Espinal, and members of the committee. My name is Deborah Axt. I'm the CoExecutive Director of Make the Road new York, a membership organization of about 19,000 low-income folks and folks of color in New York City and Long Island. I'm really happy to be able to speak here today to support the Freelance Isn't Free Act. Make the Road New York has worked since the mid-1990s to tackle this scourge of wage theft through worker organizing, small business organizing, litigation, partnership with government agencies and policy design. Our Legal Department of 50 attorneys and advocates have developed a deep expertise in wage an hour law, with a specialization in low wage and immigrant work places. This is legislation designed to tackle wage theft. In general, our analysis is that wage theft is rampant in many sectors in New York City and beyond, and that eradicating wage theft requires the following key things: First an increase in the likelihood that employers who steal worker's excellent worker education and outreach, the real use of pay stubs, and notices that make clear what payment workers can expect or in this case, written contracts that make clear what workers can expect, and robust, and well publicized retaliation protections that encourage workers to blow the whistle on wage theft whether they're independent contractors, freelancers or \(W\)-2 employees. The second big piece of tackling and eradicating wage theft is that we need meaningful penalties for employers who are caught stealing wages. High enough to make stealing wages or delaying payment unconscionably a risky business model finally. Currently, there's a perverse incentive in place because it is often less expensive to steal workers' wages and run the minor risk of being caught. And the low penalties and difficulty in collecting wages means that even if an employer does get caught, they often wriggle out from under the force of the law, and end up paying back less in the back wages or the unpaid freelancer contract than it would have cost them to pay properly in the first place. One of the key dynamics of that is currently undermining the
ability of working families to count on actually receiving pay for work they have completed is that freelancers and independent contractors lack even the basic and somewhat pathetic protections that the law already requires for workers who are categorized as employees, and receive a \(W\)-2 for their work. The legislation under consideration today is designed just to close that massive loophole. There are currently approximately 1.3 million independent workers in New York City. And independent workers often thought of as simply creative workers are actually an extremely diverse group including members of Make the Road New York extending across a wide range of industries, income levels and backgrounds ranging from software developers to healthcare workers to accountants and IT folks and small business owners themselves. Seventy-one percent of independent workers face non-payment or late payment through--during their career, and on average unpaid freelancers are cheated out of \(\$ 6,000\) in annual income due to wage theft. Both federal and state laws protect traditional employees, and it is Make the Road New York's stance that there is no difference between an employee getting a \(W-2\), and
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independent contractor of a freelance worker. We cannot allow the law's giant loopholes to create perverse incentives for employers to mis-categorize employees only to avoid the payment protections that W-2 employees do have. The legislation the--before us will help to address the two key priorities that I articulated above in a few ways. First, by mandating that clients working with freelancers use a written contract where requiring transparency and making it possible for freelancers to speak up if their rights to be paid in a timely manner are violated. In our experience the requirement that employees receive a pre-hire notice of their wages as well as pay stubs also helps employers by creating a record of the pay agreement and surfacing any concerns or disputes before they escalate to become a longer term problem. It only makes sense to have a comparable requirement covering freelancers. Second, this legislation basically closes the loophole that leaves independent workers and freelancers uncovered by the normal protections against wage theft, giving them an ability to file a claim with the Department of Cultural Affairs or go--or go to court and pursue the double damages and attorney's fees that are available
to traditional workers. This like all anti-wage theft retal--legislation will be of benefit to responsible employers who simply cannot afford to compete when wage thieving competitors are gaining an advantage through their wage theft. And as our economy changes we simply cannot continue to allow worker protections to vary based on whether a working person and a working family is categorized as \(W\)-2 or 1099 or a freelance or other sort of technical categorizations that create a perverse shift--I'm sorry. A perverse split in the protection that workers are--are provided with. Thank you so much, and we look forward to working together on this legislation.

HAEYOUNG YOON: Good afternoon. Thank you to Chair Espinal and Council Member Lander and the members of the Consumer Affairs Committee for the opportunity to provide testimony today in support of Intro 1017-A. My name is Haeyoung Yoon. I'm Director of Strategic Partnership at the National Employment Law Project. The National Employment Law Project is a non-profit research and advocacy organization specializing in employment policy. From our main officer here in New York City and throughout
the country we promote and shape employment policies for a wide range of labor market issues at the local, state and national levels through empirical research, legal and policy advocacy and in partnership with lawmakers and community allies. Across the country our staff are recognized as policy experts in areas such as unemployment insurance, the minimum wage, and as is relevant in today's hearing, the rights of contracted workers and wage enforcement. NELP has been a leader in raising and enforcing labor
standards for low wage labor markets. Through our work, we have seen how strong legal protections for workers combined with robust and strategic enforcement can go a long way towards ensuring that workers get paid what they're owed while simultaneously promoting greater compliance through the affected industries returning millions of tax dollars to state coffers, and bolstering the local and state economy. Approximately \(42 \%\) of workers in the United States earn under \(\$ 15\) per hour, and as the real value of wages generally continue to decline and income inequality worsens, ensuring that our nation's workers are compensated with fair wages and on a timely basis must be a priority. Pay violations also

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS
commonly known as wage theft are widespread across the country and span industries costing workers and local economies billions of dollars each year. A national study by NELP and other academic partners, who are actually here today testifying, surveyed over 4,000 workers in New York, Chicago and Los Angeles, and found that \(26 \%\) were paid less than the required minimum wage in the previous work week. And nearly two-thirds that experienced at least one pay violation in previous week such as failure to pay overtime, not being paid for all hours worked and stolen tips. In New York City, 21\% of sur--surveyed workers suffered from minimum wage violations in the previous work week, and more than [pause] half experienced at least one pay violation in the previous work week. The report estimates that workers surveyed in New York City lost an average of \(15 \%\) or \(\$ 3,016\) of their annual wages due to pay violations. Dozens of other studies have similarly uncovered severe pay violations in our economy. Freelancers Union survey of over 5,000 workers in 2015 found alarming high rates of late payment or non-payment across all industries and types of work in the independent workforce. Seventy-one percent of
surveyed workers reported that they had trouble getting paid at some point in their career and of those who trouble getting paid in 2014, 81\% were paid late, and \(34 \%\) were not paid at all for some portion of their work. The study estimated--estimated that workers surveyed, on average were cheated out of 13\% or \(\$ 5,668\) of their annual income due to non-payment of their wages. In short, wage theft has become an epidemic both nationally and in New York City. Intro 1017-A referred as Freelance Isn't Free is a necessary and good policy to combat severe pay violations in the independent workforce. More workers in our economy, both employees and those illegally misclassified as independent contractors enjoy the protections of federal and state wage an hour laws and can pursue pay violations by filing a complaint with a federal or state public enforcement agency like the Department of Labor, or by filing a private lawsuit in court. Even though there are many factors that make it extremely challenging for them to come forward to enforce their rights and collect wages. But, for those who are legitimately working as independent contractors running their own businesses, they're excluded from federal and state
fair pay laws, making it more difficult for them to pursue late payment or non-payment of wages. A national survey of freelance workers found that when business failed to pay, 92\% of surveyed workers made repeated phone calls, tried to get--collect--try to collect their unpaid wages, and only 5\% pursued it by going to Small Claims Court. Intro 1017-A creates an enforcement scheme that allows freelance workers to file a complaint with the City's Department of Cultural Affairs or pursue a lawsuit under the proposed bill in court to recover owed wages, double damages, and reasonable attorney's fees. The inclusion of both public and private tools in the enforcement scheme is important because wage theft is severe and pervasive, and government agencies with limited public resources cannot tackle enforcement alone. Additionally, public enforcement--public agencies funding and priorities for enforcement change over time, and giving workers access to courts ensure they have a way to protect their rights. Intro 1017-A would also importantly provide antiretaliation protection, a protection crucial for effective wage enforcement. Freelancers need strong protection so they are not vulnerable to harassment
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or retaliation when they report a violation. This is especially important because enforcement relies heavily on workers coming forward and filing complaints. Retaliation is too common. A survey of low wage workers in New York City found that 42\% of workers who complain to their employer about their wages or working conditions experience retaliation, and \(23 \%\) of workers never made a complaint because they fear retaliation or thought it would not make a difference. Under the proposed bill, retaliating companies should be subject to strong penalties, which is key in deterring violations. Combating pay violations in the freelance workforce will benefit workers, and law abiding businesses, and will provide a boost to the local economy. When business cheat workers out of their pay, workers are forced to borrow money and increase their debt to pay bills and cover living expenses. Law abiding business often cannot compete with firms that illegally depress labor costs. In addition to benefitting workers and law abiding businesses, when workers are able to take home, take home their pay on time, they're able to spend money as consumer contributing to the growth of the local economy. Intro 1017-A currently provides
that it takes effect one year after it become law. Indeed, the city and relevant agencies will need time to hire and train relevant staff, develop outreach and education materials, and create new processes and systems to ensure effective implementation and enforcement of the law. However, one year is unduly long for freelance workers to wait to enforce their newly won rights. We urge the committee to adopt a shorter time period such 180 days for the bill to take effect once it becomes law. Thank you for this opportunity to testify today, and I'll be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you so much.
I just have one question. In your experience representing these workers, how often are written contracts used in the cases you've seen.

HAEYOUNG YOON: [pause] I mean I can say certainly when--when folks are members and with those memberships it is extremely rate to have actual written contracts in place.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay.
SARAH HOROWITZ: I would agree and I
would just underscore what the first panel said, which is for so many people if they even request a
contract, they're passed over, and I think that people are so frightened, it really says something on all of New York City in 2016.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Now, Yoon, my--my next question is how frequently does non--does non-payment because just because the client is just unwilling to pay or is it--or more often than not do you see that maybe the client just isn't able to pay? Like what's--what's usually the case.

HAEYOUNG YOON: So in our experience of doing work in low wage labor markets, unfortunately wage theft has been the one, right? So I mean I think that studies after studies have shown that wage theft and pay violations are--it's the norm. It's rampant. It's an epidemic, and so I think trying to--having protections to ensure that workers are paid on a timely basis is going to be critical.

SARAH HOROWITZ: I would say also that employers make a calculation about who to pay when and, you know, I--I often give this example: If you're an employer, as many people are here, and you have an employee that has the flu, and isn't feeling well and is kind of making it through the day, you don't say I'm going to pay you half for half the day
because you didn't really do a good job. And for freelancers, that's often the case that if you have a job that you believe completes the task, it's as if the employer has the unilateral right to decide, and without any kind of protection that's, in fact true. And they think that what we're looking for is just fairness to be able to level the playing field to have the conversation about that work. So I think that's really it, and I think in the Recession we really saw that it was related to cash flow, and decisions about who to pay when, and when you freelance it's easy not to--to pay you.

DEBORAH AXT: Yeah, I would add from putting on my hat as an Executive Director of a not for profit, I mean from the employer's perspective I think we're good guy employers perspective I think we're good guy employers. Like we just put it in a different category of expenses. So I think it's actually important that we just remind by force, right, good guy employers that that these are not just expenses that can be delayed over time. These are actually the equivalent of workers' salaries, and so just make sure that it gets in that column,
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instead of the column of can pay whenever for the good guy employers.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, thank you.
Brad, do you have a question?
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, I think
that point is--is a--is a really good one. I think too often companies have this in the category of like buying supplies. You know, I've got to pay staples for the water, and that's where your freelances go as opposed to in the category of labor, and \(I\) really think \(I\) just want to give credit to this panel for helping us, you know, think that, you know, all the work that people are doing whether they're getting a W-2 or getting a 1099 that work has got to be recognized, and it has to be--it has to be paid for. So, I wonder if any of you want to respond to just the--the two issues where the Department of Cultural Affairs well, again, broadly agreeing we need to move forward with this legislation raised concerns of the contract question in particular. And I think especially now from Make the Road might be able to be helpful here. On the one hand, it makes sense to me that there are employers who would sneak bad language into contracts. On the other hand, I'm pretty sure we
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need to have written contracts to protect people. So I wonder if you have any insights there. And then again to this issue of administrative enforcement. I know you've both done a lot of work with the State Department of Labor, you know, which has ups and downs versus going to court, if you can go to court. But my sense is valuable as an administrative and front door. So I just wonder if you can give some perspective on that.

HAEYOUNG YOON: So, first I want to say that NELP would love to work with both City Council and the Department of Cultural Affairs to work through these--both issues. So our experience one, on the contract. So we've given a lot of thought of this at both at the federal and the state level because in low wage labor markets \(I\) often call them the paperless jobs. There's few documents that are given--exchanged between workers and employers, and we've worked in--in collaboration with Make the Road and supported the efforts at the State level and we do support across the country. What our experience shows that--that it would be--understanding the--the challenges that the previous panel presented in terms of the--there may be certain things that gets
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included in the contract that is maybe now people are not seeing is that DCA creates a form. The Department of Labor at the New York State has done a--a form for wage statements and annual. So create a template that could be used by businesses in the city I think is one way to address it, and create a template that is very straightforward. And I think we can all figure out what those components could be. So that's one suggestion, and I think that in New York State the wage statement has worked really well. We even at NELP use that form to distribute to our employees every like annually. And in terms of the administrative enforcement, again I completely understand the challenge in terms of the feeling of trying to mediate between two parties, but from our perspective late payment or no payment for a freelance is not that much different than trying to enforce and investigate a minimum wage violation, right? There are certain obligations imposed on the employers in this country at the federal and state level, and in some places now across the country where the local minimum wage has been passed, certain records that needs to be maintained. That's a basis on which to pursue investigation, and I think the

Department of Labor both here in New York State and across the country at the federal level talking to workers that it doesn't have be he says or she says approach. I think there's a way to think through how do you train your staff to investigate these violations, and reach conclusion in a timely manner. Again, so we're happy to work with the agency and the City Council to work through this process, but I do think that there's a way to achieve this process in a timely way.

DEBORAH AXT: Yeah, I--I would absolutely
agree about the form contract idea. I don't think this is a situation where we need to have businesses, you know, throwing in the full array of language that you might find in a more complex contract dispute. It's just not necessary for this kind of scenario, and so having a form contract would certainly make DCA's life easier. And I think the fact that DCA has articulated the concerns that they did, demonstrates that they are, in fact, the right agency for--to--to enforce this. I think they have a really thoughtful enforcement effort in place. They certainly are just, you know, coming off of the--the tail end of ramping up paid sick days enforcement. It is
certainly true that they and all of our wage theft enforcement agencies are drastically under-resources. So I would, of course, urge the Council to help make sure that they do get the support that they need to make this real, and we're also happy to--to think through the--the logistics.

SARAH HOROWITZ: You know, I--I would just add that \(I\) completely agree and really am pleased that we have so much help and eagerness to work with DCA and really figuring this out. I think one of the most important things is that the contract is contract is almost like the--the foundation upon which everything is built because if they're isn't a contract it's hard to get the double damages. It's hard to get an attorney who wants to take on the case. So I really do think that that is really critical, and really agree that we have to be thinking about workers across the economic spectrum and making sure that we have avenues that really are going to be the easiest for whichever worker is having the--the problem at the time.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [pause] Thank you so much.

SARAH HOROWITZ: Thank you.

HAEYOUNG YOON: Thank you.
[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have about 20
other--about 30 other individuals who are going to testify on the bill, but for the sake of time, we're going to put the clock at two minutes only because we're being pushed out of the chambers around 4 o'clock, 3:30--3:30. So it's more like an eviction to being pushed out I guess. [laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I'll voluntarily limit my questions of the future testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [laughs] So the next panel we have Andrew Rasiej, Adrianna Scotti, David Levine and Jeff Wall. Is the clock set?
[background comments, pause] When you're ready, just state your name.

ANDREW RASIEJ: Sure. Andrew Rasiej, Chairman of New York Tech Meetup.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And you can go--go right ahead.

ANDREW RASIEJ: Thank you, Chair Espinal
and the Consumer Affairs Committee for the opportunity to speak today in support of the
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Freelance Isn't Free Act. My name is Andrew Rasiej, and I am testifying today in my capacity as Chairman of the New York Tech Meetup, a community of almost 50,000 web developers, software engineers and startup entrepreneurs and professionals from the New York--from New York's core industries who are building careers in the 21st Century. Technology can no longer be classifies as an industry sector. Every major industry in New York is rushing to convert itself into a digital enterprise in order to successfully compete in the hyper-connected new global economy. To make this conversion possible, New York will need to be--need to rely heavily on freelance professional workers. Freelances allow startups to stay--and established firms to accelerate their conversion to digital enterprises by incorporating professional and technically skilled labor into their operations faster than the traditional methods of hiring full-time employees. Freelancers account for between 10 to \(25 \%\) of the IT workforce of any given tech company, and up to 50\% for smaller new tech businesses getting off the ground. They are also becoming an important resource for non-tech businesses who need technology trained
professionals to help them retool their products,
services and distribution to compete in the
marketplace, much of which is becoming digital
itself. In order to succeed, startups and other
firms, tech and non-tech related must attract top
talent and, therefore, so does the City. To remain
competitive in the technological internet--in
technological innovation in business, the City of New
York must grow and cultivate its pool of computer
science, engineering and entrepreneurial talent, and
that means protecting the rights of its independent
workers. The Freelance Isn't Free Act isn't a needed
first step for the City to support a skilled
professional workforce in the 21st Century. This
bill and its legislation will ensure that their work
is paid on time and in full. The Freelance Isn't
Free Act strengthens freelance work by demanding
written contracts and providing better remedies for
freelancers having payment issues. I guess that bell
means my two minutes is up.
                                    CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can--you can
wrap it up.

ANDREW RASIEJ: [coughs] So this is an
embracing the freelance economy because New York is the hub for emerging tech companies. This legislation will likely cause a ripple effect, which is beyond the bounds of the city's five boroughs. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
ANDREW RASIEJ: I hope that New York can comply with that. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, just--just so--just so you know, your--your testimony will--will be submitted into our record.

ANDREW RASIEJ: [off mic] Yes. Thank you. I'm not worried. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I appreciate that. Thank you.

ADRIANA SCOTTI: Okay. Good afternoon Chair Espinal and members of the Council's Committee on Consumer Affairs and guests. Council Member Brad Lander, of course. Adriana Scotti, and I serve as the Vice President for Economic Development at the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. I'm delivery testimony on behalf Carlos Scissura, our President and CEO. The Brooklyn Chamber is a membership based business assistance organization, which represents the
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interest of over 2,100 members across the borough, and works to address the needs of Brooklyn's neighborhood through the direct business services. We thank the Committee on Consumer Affairs for convening this hearing and in particular Council Member Brad Lander for your efforts in seeking to protect the city's dynamic workforce and put in place [coughing] regulations to protect our independent workforce. The Brooklyn Chamber through its advocacy, economic development and promotional efforts works closely with freelancers, independent workers in all of Brooklyn's industry sectors. I'm very pleased to be able to speak her today to support the Freelance Isn't Free Act. As we know, 1.3 million independent workers call New York City home and these independent workers are often thought as creatives are actually an extremely diverse group extending across a wide range of industries, income levels and backgrounds. We're aware of this diversity because many of them have joined the Chamber under a freelancer membership level. We believe the on-demand and/or project based workforce is the future of work as many New Yorkers strive to build their own entrepreneurial endeavors, and apply
their skills across--within disciplines. As we continue to transition into a more independent workforce, there needs to be adequate regulatory structures to define and protect both the independent worker and their clients. Non-payment is a rampant issue in New York City, and we found one study out of Rutgers University that stated that it's a problem for New Yorkers who lost approximately \(\$ 4.7\) billion to client non-payments. Federal and state laws currently in place protect traditional employees from this issue, and comparable laws needs to be instituted for independent workers. The mandate is that clients work with freelancers and use a written contract would increase transparency in the transactions and help mitigate the chance of future conflicts. As such, we support the Freelancers Union, Freelancers and Free Campaign, and the proposed legislation establishing protections for freelancers in New York City. The long--I'll wrap up. The long-term effects of this will be a more productive and sustainable business environment in New York City. Thank you again to the commit to the Committee Chair Espinal for facilitating today's hearing.

DAVID LEVINE: Great. Well done. Good afternoon. My name is David Levine, and I'm the CEO and Co-Founder of the American Sustainable Business Council, and we're a growing network of business organizations and companies, and now represent over a quarter of a million businesses across sectors, many of whom are here in New York City. And we believe that's it the time to sort of create an economy that is both just, that provides for both social, economic and environmental benefits. So I'm here today to testify in support of why Freelance Isn't Free Act makes good business sense. Businesses are increasingly relying on--on freelance labor, which we've heard multiple times today, which enables them to be more efficient, effective and profitable. So there are 26 million small businesses in the United States and many of them are self-employed. The selfemployment market, you know, the trend is--is just growing tremendously with \(50 \%\) of our workforce will be, or have been self-employed. So research on the independent workforce is also showing its young millennials and those over 55 that are--that are a major part of this market, and we need to pay
attention to those sectors. As the freelance economy
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grows, you know, we must take steps to ensure that this works for both freelancers and for businesses. So from our perspective, the bill works in a number of ways, you know, for business and provides those benefits. By mandating the written contracts between the New York City businesses and the freelancers we protect both of their interests. A written contract ensures that the terms of the agreement are clearly laid out in--including itemizations of services, goods, payment amount and payment due date, clarity and transparency and key. Businesses who pay the independent workers on time will not be penalized by this legislation, and the bill doesn't interfere at all with businesses entering freely into these contracts with independent contractors. The bill will also increase trust among independent contractors, and employees leading to a more positive business environment. So from our perspective, you know, driving on transparency, a clear and level playing field for all businesses and freelancers is key. Working together we can create the economy of tomorrow today, an economy which protects freelancers, but also spurs innovation and business
growth, and creates more opportunity for a more just
and sustainable economy. Thank you.
    [background noise, pause]

JEFF WALD: Thank you to Chair Espinal and to the members of the Consumer Affairs Committee for the opportunity to provide testimony today in support of the Freelance Isn't Free Act. My name is Jeff Wald, and I'm the Founder and President of Work Market. We're an Enterprise software platform that connects thousands of businesses with a marketplace that includes tens of thousands of New York City freelancers, contractors and consultants. I'm very pleased to be able to speak here today. Since I founded Work Market in 2010, we've seen incredible growth, and that is partly due to the metioric rise of the freelance economy. This is one of the biggest workforce revolutions in decades, and it's time that the labor laws and regulations caught up with that. The Freelance Isn't Free Act would simply formalize the payment practices that we already employ on the Work Market platform to ensure that freelance workers are paid on time and paid in full. Payment for work is a simple exchange, but if that agreement is broken, the system begins to crumble. Ninety-two
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percent of corporations work with freelance labor. It's flexible, efficient and cost-effective. But if freelancers cannot be expect to be paid on time and in full for the work that they complete, then this workforce is cut off at the knees. New York City companies are increasingly seeking talented, experienced and specialized laborers in order to cultivate those kind of workers we need, and we need to treat them fairly. Empowering workers with the city agencies to whom they can report deadbeat clients, the City of New York sends a clear message of support to this growing workforce. The freelance economy isn't going anywhere. In fact, it's growing. Top companies like Walgreens, AT\&T, and Yahoo use Work Market because they rely on freelance talent. It's time to update antiquated labor laws and support the new workforce. The Freelance Isn't Free Act is an opportunity to position New York City as a leader in the freelance economy. [background comments] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
[laughter] Thank you for your testimony, and I'm sorry about the clock. I'm really sorry about the clock. I know how annoying it is when you're--

JEFF WALD: [interposing] It's okay.

It's okay.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: But, Brad, do you have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Just that it means a lot to have folks from the business community here recognizing that--that you know, run it--that paying your workers is part of good business practice that we want to grow in strengthen the sectors of the economy that are thriving as a result, and that--so it means a lot to have your support.

JEFF WALD: [interposing] If I could just quickly add one thing, just for your thought is that this particular bill is just a litmus test for the conversion of the entire New York City economy, so I commend the Council for picking up this bill because there are many other places besides just this particular area where the city has this--its regulation in the 21 st Century. So thank you and good luck.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Appreciate it.
Thank you. [background noise, comments] Up next we have Allison Julien from the National Domestic Workers Alliance; Morisio from-Mariso--Marisio--I'm
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sorry Meber--Mebla; Kati Sipp from National Guest Workers; Dr. Ruth Milkman from the CUNY Graduate Center, and Achmed Tijani from the Borough President's Office. [background comments, pause] Thank you. You may begin. State your name. [pause] ALLISON JULIEN: [off mic] Good
afternoon, everyone my name Allison Julien. I am an organizer in New York City with the National Domestic Worker Alliance representing over 200,000 domestic workers--

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah, start over.
ALLISON JULIE: [on mic] Okay. Good afternoon everyone. My name is Allison Julien. I am an organizer in New York City with the National Domestic Worker Alliance representing over 200,000 domestic workers. As a domestic worker organizing for over 13 years and a former nanny for over 20 years, I'm very pleased to be able to speak today from my experiences, and the need to support the Freelance Isn't Fair Act. Today in New York City there are more than 1.3 million independent workers, freelancers, who like domestic workers provide vital labor across the city that is often treated as invisible. Domestic workers and independent workers
share common challenges that arise from the structure
of the employment relationship. Both workforces
commonly work in decentralized one-on-one
relationships with employers that are under-regulated
and where employers have more power to dictate the
terms of the work. Many of these workers fear the
threats of retaliation, which includes their jobs and
blacklisting. In many instances, both domestic
workers and independent workers are subject to
unclear and constantly changing expectations on the
whim of their employers. In my own experience, and
that of many domestic workers this looks like sudden
changes in hours and scheduling and/or drastic
changes in job responsibilities. This almost happens
without a formal wrecking--renegotiation process to
determine how this may impact the workers and to make
appropriate pay adjustments. Many workers from both
sectors face the random issues of wage theft.
Drawing from several workers, and employer surveys,
we believe that more than \(65 \%\) of domestic workers in
New York City experience wage theft due to minimum
wage and overtime violations. Meanwhile, 71\% of
independent workers face non-payment or late pay--
non-payment or late payment during their career on an
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average. All workers deserve to have respect, dignity and full compensation for their labor regardless of the type of work they conduct. By passing this Freelance Isn't Fair Act, which would mandate written contracts, we would not only help independent workers have stronger remedies to pursue clients who violate their agreement, but also strengthen poor labor protections across New York City by requiring transparency and making it more financially feasible to pursue deadbeat clients.

MORISHA MELBA: Thank you for the opportunity to speak to day about my experience as a freelancers. My name is Morisha Melba(sic) and I am a writer, and just a writer. I'm a freelancer. Currently living in Jersey City, but working primarily with New York City clients. I have been a freelancer my entire professional life for almost 30 years. I have been unpaid for jobs many times, but the most painful, dramatic and unfair treatment happened seven years ago in 2009. At the time I worked for a publisher call it Inkwell Publishing Solutions in New York City. I had been working with that company for two years at that time creating many
educational programs. In every project that I worked
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with them I always had a contract except for the last project. In 2009, we were working in the Texas Elementary School Reading Program Harper Miller (sic) edition. In addition to me, there were more than 40 freelancers, and the project with different--in this project with different--with different specialties, writers, translators, editors, graphic designers, programmers, et cetera. The largest number of workers I have ever seen in this company. Every two weeks we present our invoices to the general leader, editor, and our payments took about a month to arrive. After the first month of working in this project, some payments arrived but only half. This was not so strange. It happened before in the--in the--in this company, but in the end they always pay us. Two weeks later, the check didn't arrive at all. Instead, the editor called a meeting to discuss the problem and explain that Harper Miller was behind on payment. He asked us [bell] to keep working and told us that as soon as they received the funds they--we will be paid all that--all that are due. Another two weeks passed, and once again, the payment did not arrive. Some people began to despair and stopped coming to work. Two weeks later, we stopped
receiving messages from the owners. People begun to try to talk to them, but they did not answer any of the emails or phone calls. I tried to contact the owners myself. I write saying that I was confident that my payments will come because in the time \(I\) have worked with them--if I have worked with them, I always get paid eventually. I asked them to have consideration for the me because I just received the news that my wife was pregnant, and the lack of payment was especially critical at the time. I never heard back from them. More people started leaving, and some decided to go to small court--small claims courts. Along with a few others, I decided to stay and work. We thought that if we left the job, we will not be paid at all, but as the time passed more people left and after a month, there were only two of us left to finish the work and deliver the final product. Only days after, the company closed. They owners take the furniture, and the equipment--the equipment out of the office and disappeared. There was no bankruptcy. They were no notification. They simply closed. We knew that our commissions for the work we had done, but once the money enters the account of Inkwell, it vanished. Freelancers got
nothing. The total we lost for 40 workers ex-exceeds \(\$ 300,000\). I was owed \(\$ 20,000\) for this. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: \$20,000? MARISHA MEBLA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. MARISHA MEBLA: Thanks very much. I have nervous.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So we--we can speak so after the testimonies. MARISHA MEBLA: Thank you. KATI SIPP: My name is Kati Sipp and I'm with the National Guest Workers Alliance. I want to thank Councilman Lander for introducing the bill, and Councilman Espinal for holding the hearing today. Obviously, you have a copy of my written testimony. I'm going to dispense with that just in the interest of time. I really want to hit one particular point, though, which is that National Guest Workers Alliance is really extremely in support of the elements of this bill that protect against retaliation. We represent through our Affiliated Workers Centers, guest workers who work in a variety of industries across the country from seafood packers in New Bedford, Massachusetts to ship builders on

Louisiana's Gulf Coast to restaurant workers in San Francisco. And guest workers work in a variety of employment statuses. Some of them might be \(\mathrm{W}-2\) employees, but a lot of them are independent contractors. Typically, guest workers are people who come to the U.S. from their home country and then go back to it usually in the course of the year. They work in seasonal industries, and they're reliant on having good relationships with the labor brokers who bring them here in order to, you know, maintain their income and feedback in the U.S. in order to secure the income that they need for their families at home. And typically, there are--or in--in bad situations there are a lot of bad actors who in addition to committing wage theft against independent contractors are also doing things like sexually harassing women workers in order to, you know, saying you have to sleep with me in order to come back if you want to keep coming back from year to year. And so to us the protection against retaliation for workers who stand up is incredibly important. Labor brokers typically use the--the threat of the retaliation that you're not going to be able to come back to the U.S. if you don't do thing \(X\), whether that's have, you know, in
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appropriate relationships or be willing to work under somebody else's name, or work off the clock. And we think that the retaliation--anti-retaliation elements of this bill are incredibly important for the 1.3 million independent workers in New York City. So urge the City Council to pass this bill and we thank you for holding this hearing today. [pause]

RUTH MILKMAN: Good afternoon everybody. My name Ruth Milkman. I have a PhD in Sociology from UC Berkeley. I taught at UCLA from--for 21 years where I served as the Director of the Institute for Research on Labor and Employment, and then six years ago I moved to my current position at the CUNY Graduate Center. I specialize in labor issues, and I'm also the current President of the American Sociological Association. I'm very happy to speak her today in support of the Freelance Isn't Free Act. I've done extensive research on labor and employment issues, and spent several years researching the problem of wage theft. I co-authored the 2009 Study: Broken Laws, Unprotected Workers, which Haeyoung Yoon referred to earlier in her testimony here this afternoon. That was an analysis of a survey that we
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conducted of about 4,400 workers in New York City, Chicago and Los Angeles using a rigorous methodology that generated a statistically representative sample. That study was limited to low wage workers, and I want to emphasize that, but it did find as other speakers here have emphasized that employment and labor laws are regularly and systematically violated.

Our research team was aware that these violations exist, and which is why we did the study, but even we were shocked by the high prevalence rates that we found in this survey. Some of the results were already shared, but I'll just mention a couple highlights. We found a shocking 26\% of the workers in our sample had been paid less than the weekly required minimum wage during the work week immediately before the survey. Twenty-five percent have been paid late at least once during the year preceding the survey, and \(6 \%\) had not been paid at all for their work at least once during that year. So

I'd like to add our study was focused on low-wage workers, but I vividly recall that once our results were reported in the news media, we received many, many communications from professionals and white color workers eager to let us know that they, too,
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had experienced these problems. [bell] The Freelancers Union owns--the Freelancers Union's own recent survey documents the fact that late payment are serious challenges in the so-called gig economy. And as everyone knows, that part of the labor market is already substantial here in New York and is growing rapidly. For traditional employees, wage theft and late payment are already against the law, but as our research and others have demonstrated those existing laws are not enforced adequately and often do not cover independent workers. Moreover, as our study found, many of those who have experienced violations are reluctant to complain because they fear retaliation. You heard the data on this earlier today. Indeed, many of our respondents who did complain reported that they had experienced retaliation. This proposed legislation that you're considering today would be extremely useful in helping to address these problems allowing for double damages and attorney's fees to a private right of action and the anti--and the anti-retaliation clause are especially important. I also not that law abiding businesses will not be penalized in any way if this legislation is enacted, and finally, it would
which has not always been the case in other areas of
employment and labor law. So thank you so much for
your attention.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you and
Morisha, you were saying you were--you were ripped
off about \(\$ 20,000\) ?

MORISHA MEBLA: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Did you go to
court?
MORISHA MELBA: We went to court, but--but--well, the problem the company disappeared, and the--the owners of the company took the money they were paid, and they left.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So you never received any of that money back?

MORISHA MBELA: No, we were more than 40 people, 40 freelancers that we don't have nothing really, and yeah, we have a settlement that we can be paid eventually some day if they--if one of the--one of the--the owners receives some money, we know that he's living in Texas right now, but there's not too much that we can do.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Sorry to hear.

Well, thank you, thank you for your testimony. We appreciate it. I'm going to call up the next panel. I'd like to invite up our Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer. [pause, background comments]

GALE BREWER: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Madam
Borough President.
GALE BREWER: Chair Espinal, it's really--it's an honor to be here although \(I\) just came from testifying with your colleague in Brooklyn, so I am-Mitchell Lamas and Freelancers.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Nice. [laughs]
GALE BREWER: Anyway, thank you very
much. I also want to thank Council Member Lander and I'm here with Ahmed Broni (sic) who is with our officer and knows much more about this topic than I do, but just like many others, I'm here to provide my support for Intro 1017, and I think I heard from Ahmed a little bit about what the Administration stated, and I think it's important some of their offerings. But to me this is one of the most important industries growing in our entire city not to mention our country, and I really appreciate you
having this hear because to best of best of my knowledge--I've probably been to 30,000 hearings in my life--I haven't heard this as a s topic. So congratulations. It's really important, and I think your bill makes a lot of sense, Council Member Lander. So, the production--the protections being proposed are thoughtful responses to the large discussion of how we grapple with the changing economy, as you know. It couldn't be timelier given that the increasing percentage of Americans prefer this model of employment and some economists expect the sector to grow by 50\% by 2020. I think we heard the number 1.3 million in the five boroughs, and it's 4.5 million in the NYC Metro area, and in Manhattan along 70,000 Manhattanites are freelancers or more. So, my own lengthy experience in collaboration with independent contractors and other freelancers especially those who work with--in the big data and civic technology communities has taught me how difficult it is for these entrepreneurs to find stability and thrive. When \(I\) was in the Council and chaired the Technology Committee, I supported legislation and regulations that made possible a new kind of economy whose workers can have more
flexibility and be creative. But as I envision it, this economy should also have laws to ensure that workers are not exploited, and enjoy the same protections as traditional employees and that government agencies create new mechanisms to make sure employers live up to their obligations to the freelancers whom they hire. Thanks to the Freelancers Union, and I want to give them a lot of credit as I'm sure others do. We now have the numbers to show how significant this issue undermines small business entrepreneurs. According to their data, between 2010 and 2014 nearly 8 in 10 freelancers have experienced client non-payment, and on average they are losing \(\$ 6,000\) annually due to unpaid invoices for completed work. In 2015, I met with the Freelancers Union and we worked together on a Day of Action where we reached out to contractors at co-working spaces in Manhattan about the contract payment issue and new plans for how to tackle it due to the introduction of this bill. That same day during a brown bag lunch with the Freelances at a coworking space in Lower Manhattan, contractors spoke to me frankly about the inadequate tools currently available to them for handling non-payment cases.
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The stories were horrible. Many rely on repeated calls and emails as their only affordable address, but their experience shows that this approach seldom compel--compels bad actors to honor their contractors. The worse case were models who get totally screwed over and over again by their agencies. Time spent pressing on unrespensive-unresponsive clients creates an additional burden on finances and morale. In 2009, a study suggests that freelancers in New York State spent approximate 7,900 hours that year in pursuit of payment. Trying to recoup that cost through late fees or other penalties, create new conflicts. It also risks losing clients and referrals in a highly competitive environment and getting on a list if you don't want to be on. So you end up not pursuing it. Not fair. Freelancers are driven to take legal action when all other options fail, but it's high cost and the difficulty of proving claims, makes just compensation elusive. I've written to the State Court of Appeals on this topic to explore how we can make jurists more familiar with the special nature of such torts, and lessen the burden of proof for freelancers seeking relief through the courts, and very courts are very
interested in working on this issue. But in the meantime, this act is the right approach to expanding the scope of worker rights protected by local government. It promises to make entrepreneurship less risky and a more predictable avenue for building the company. In addition to this bill, we need to be working with traditional small and large businesses on this issue, educating them on the benefits with the gig economy and the need to respect their contractual obligations. With this collaboration we can demonstrate that on-time payment is not only vital to retaining great talent, but also to lowering costs through innovation and building strong local economies. We certainly need that in New York City. So thank you to you, Mr. Chair, to Brad Lander, to the other co-sponsors for your commitment to the best labor standards. Thank you very much to the Freelancers Union. I look forward to working with you to support the 1.3 million freelance New Yorkers, but particularly the ones in Manhattan. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [laughs] Thank you, Madam Borough President, for your testimony and
for your strong support. Brad, do you have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, I'll just add I know that you were here, but obviously a lot of why we have confidence in the belief that the Department of Cultural Affairs can make a difference in implementing this bill is because they're doing a good strong job implementing the Paid Sick Leave Bill. So thank you for leading the way--

GALE BREWER: [interposing] If they put as much effort into this as they put into paid sick days, yes, Brad Lander, but I--I didn't hear that. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, I'm just saying it's the right platform for them-GALE BREWER: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --for them to building on. So thank you so much.

GALE BREWER: I'm just saying that I'm not as optimistic as you are. Other--I really appreciate the bill. I think your bill is an excellent way to go. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: As I recall, it took a little pushing to get paid sick days to the place where today--

GALE BREWER: Yes, I remember that I took
the--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --it's not impacting it.

GALE BREWER: --heat and the Mayor got the credit. That's what I remember.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [laughs]

GALE BREWER: Thank you very much.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's Brooklyn
for you. [applause]
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Up next we'd like to call up Ellen Sandles, Jonathan Harper, Jessica Perez, Lucy Reading, and Deborah Cowell. [background comments, pause] You may begin in any order. Just state your name before your testimony.

LUCY READING: Hello. My name is Lucy. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I'm a freelance designer and illustrator, and I've been freelancing in New York City for 12 years. I live in Riverdale in the Bronx. In November 2013, I was hired by a client to work for a magazine for many months. I was covering an extended maternity leave. We signed a contract that stated a 30-day payment cycle. After submitting my first invoice, I
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was informed that the Accounts Department had a 75day payment cycle. I waited the 75 days, and still not receive payment, but \(I\) was continually assured that the Accounting Department was processing my invoices, and the check would be in the post very soon. Many months later after the maternity leave cover was completed, I had yet to receive any payment at all. At this point, the total of my invoices was \(\$ 17,500\). In the meantime, my rent was due, my tax was due, and my health insurance was due, and I had turned down all over work because I had booked this full-time gig. It was not until April 2014, six months after I submitted my invoice and after many hours of emails and calls, that \(I\) did finally receive a check in the post. I found it incredibly frustrating and draining chasing down this payment. I would like to also add that while this particular client disregarded the contract, I have had successes in contracts, and think they are very helpful. After a recent illustration project was completed, I submitted an invoice as was agreed in our contract. The client turned to me and said I would need to wait on submitting this invoice until the illustration was published, which was 90 days in the future. But I
was able to point to our pre-agreed contract and the conflict was avoided and I was paid on time. Thank you so much.

JESSICA PEREZ: Good afternoon. My name is Jessica Perez. I'm a fashion model as well as the CEO and Founder of Tycoon App, an app that helps freelancers keep track of their jobs and payments. I have worked as a freelance fashion model for almost 15 years. When I talk about the people I work with in the fashion industry, most people assume I'm referring to other models. In fact, there is a large range of different freelancing professions that are represented in a single photo shoot. From the photographers, makeup artists, hair stylists, fashion stylists, fashion stylists, visual technicians, graphic designers, art directors, creative directors, prop stylists, caterers, to their assistances we are all in the same boat. We are all freelancers who need your help. In the fashion industry getting paid on time commonly refers to getting paid three months after your work is completed. Although this is a standard that has been adopted in our industry, the reality is that payments often arrive much later than that. The longest I have waited for payment from a
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major magazine client is \(2-1 / 2\) years. Recently, I had a photographer friend tell me he just received payment for a job he did back in 2013. I have model friend who is currently owed \(\$ 100,000\) in account receivables from one of the biggest fashion retailers in the U.S. She is borrowing money from her boyfriend to pay her rent. We are not alone. There are countless freelancers who have been forced into credit card debt or had to borrow money from their friends and family while they wait to get paid for their jobs. Eighty percent of freelancers in fashion have had an issue with payment at some point in their careers. In addition to waiting extension lengths of time for payment, I know many people who have been stiffed entirely by their clients. I know photographers and fashion stylists who have been asked by clients to advance thousands of dollars for photo shoots to later find out their clients had previously just filed for bankruptcy. When my friends had to be reimbursed for their photo shoot costs they have met with the following response: What are you going to do about it? Needless to say, it's hard to fight against a deadbeat client when they have emptied your bank account. [bell] Forty
percent of the U.S. economy will be freelancing by 2020. How many Americans are going to have to resort amounting crippling credit card to offset their cash flow issues? How many people will be working tirelessly, yet still have to wonder if they can pay their rent at the beginning of every month? How many Americans are going to have to worry about not having the resources to fight against a client who has wronged them. My question to you today is: What are you going to do about it?

DEBORAH COWELL: Hello. My name is

Deborah Cowell. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I grew up in the Fort Greene section of Brooklyn, and currently live in Bensonhurst. I was born and raised in New York City. My background is in publishing as a formerly trained book editor, and as a freelancer I am a writer. I've been freelancing for well over 10 years. The foundation of my industry is the book contract. Freelancers are involved in every stage of the publishing process. It is simply the culture of the industry. In 2015, I agreed to do some editorial work for a client. The agreement--the agreement was verbal and because \(I\) trusted her to some extent, we
did not have a contract. Shortly after I completed the agreed upon work she slightly altered the work I produced, claimed everything as her own intellectual property and failed to pay the \(\$ 500\) she owes over. Over three months I tried to collect payment via multiple phone conversations and emails. Eventually, she handed \(\$ 50\) in cash after an in-person exchange and essentially said take it or leave it. Although \(\$ 500\) may not sound like much, I put together many small deals for less than \(\$ 1,000\). If all my clients would have behaved this way, my life would be a constant nightmare of living in fear being shortchanged. Though it may seem disadvantageous to go through the stress of chasing down a couple hundred dollars, that couple hundred dollars could cover my electricity bill or even groceries for a couple weeks. Almost all freelancers are familiar with the phase, "Feast of famine." Sadly, too many of us come to know the famine as a result of clients who take unfair advantage. Freelancing is by no stretch of the imagination free. We are who we are because we love what we do, but we still have to eat, we still need health insurance, and we still are a part of the workforce. In mandating contracts, the Freelance

Isn't Free Act will protect the many freelancers who are making a living in the publishing industry, and the 30 -day payment terms those contracts must have will have will have a real tangible effect on this industry where 120 past due payments are par for the course. There are best selling authors who can tell you stories on having checks take--take months to process. [bell] The gears of the machine in publishing are enormous. We're talking books, magazines, journals, the Internet. Most of it based here In New York. The Freelance Isn't Free Act will help the gears oiled properly. In my particular case, \(I\) am certain that had \(I\) had the law on my side at the time, the mere knowledge of that fact would have created a safety net of the confidence for me to be able to demand payment. It is clear to me that we are in a unique and perhaps enviable position yet again of blazing the trail into an amazing future that includes pretty much all industries. To ensure New York City remains a leader in workforce development, protecting our citizens who freelance is a the logical next step.

ELLEN SANDLES: My name is Ellen Sandles.
I live in Manhattan and have been working as
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freelance court reporter for ten years. Our industry includes videography. IN 2015, June of 2015, Esquire Reporting created a new website for reporters to submit their jobs. It was then that payment problems started. Reporters across the system began to report missed payments. In July of 2015 , almost two months for a payment owed to me from May, I contacted the President of Esquire Reporting directly. In a letter I explained that \(I\) was being forced to dip into savings account to cover my living expenses. Although he had his corporate counsel bring me up to date to my payments, this did not rectify the situation for the other court reporters. Further, I discover that Esquire Reporting changed their payment terms from three weeks out to six to seven weeks without notifying or negotiating with New York court reporters. I suggested to other reporters that we organize and start to collect information as to when we were submitting jobs, and were getting paid in order to bring our displeasure to management. Shortly afterwards, \(I\) was suddenly cut off of all work from Esquire Reporting. In October, a regular client of mine for ten years, the New York City Department of Health, HIV Prevention Meeting
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contacted Esquire requesting me to be [bell] on their job. Esquire ignored the client's request. My phone call and email to the New York manager to find out why I was no longer getting this job that I had done for ten years, was not returned. My email was not responded to. In October of 2015, a final payment was deposited into my bank account with no explanation. I tried o hire a lawyer to help me. Despite putting together a well organized case with copies of emails and text messages, I was turned down by four different lawyers, one of whom was referred to me by Freelancers Union. Each one told me there was no law in place for them to bring an action, and so they couldn't help me. The Freelance Isn't Free Act is critical to shift the balance of power from agencies, which are forcing court reporters to sign independent contractor agreements written totally in their favor if we want work. We need mutually agreed upon payment terms, a legal recourse for non-payment, an anti-retaliation clause should we try to stand up for our rights, and the ability to find a lawyer to help us because there actually is a law in place for them to bring an action against this widespread industry abuse. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Brad, do you have a question? No questions. No? [background comments] Oh, there's someone, okay great. [background noise, pause]

DAN HARPER: Hello, my name is Dan

Harper. Thanks for the opportunity to speak with you today to speak with you today. I work in the entertainment industries specifically in theater, dance and performance art. I've been freelancing in this industry for nine years and in New York for seven of those years. I live in Long Island City but work mostly in Manhattan. I'm here to share my nonpayment story along with all these other folks, and ask you to support Freelance Isn't Free Act Bill. I freelance as a lighting designer, a production manager, a technical director. I wear a lot of different hats back stage for different shows. In October of 2015 , a somewhat famous puppet company came into the space where \(I\) worked most of the time to produce the show. In the course of doing this, as his opening night was coming closer and closer, he realized that show was not going to be finished in time. So, they asked me if \(I\) would step in to help push the process forward and get the show done before
opening night. I agreed. We negotiated a rate, but in this case did not use a contract as we were on very friendly terms. I spent every bit of free time I had with them until opening night about a week later. Right around opening night, I invoiced them for my time, a little over \(\$ 1,000\). No problem they said. The show ran for over a month, and at the end I still had not received a check. They replied that they needed another two weeks. Since we were on friendly terms I said I would waive the late fee, and expect the check in two weeks. No problem. The show closed and shortly thereafter I learned that none of the nine puppeteers had been paid the second half of their money, and some of the checks for the first half of their pay had also bounced. The performers already get paid practically a pittance for the amount of time and effort that they put into the show, several months of all day, every day calls. So not even paying them at all for their work was just insulting. The sad part is that they were not surprised in the least that this particular company wasn't living up to its promises. I think they like the art that they are creating, but have become accustomed to getting the short end of the stick when
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it comes to money. It's sad and disheartening for everyone. It sends a very clear message that the company will use the people for their talent and their labor, but when it comes to doing right by them they have no interest in following through. So here we are over four months past due, three broken promises is in the mail and I still haven't been paid. We'll see what happens moving forward, but in the future this bill would me tremendously in dealing with clients like this. I have a one-year-old son, and can't afford the time or money necessary to go to the court with what the company owes. (sic) [coughs] Have a city agency like the DCA set up to help freelances with non-payment would be an incredibly valuable resource. I love working in this industry in New York, but in order to continued to do so, we desperately need a system that better protects independent workers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Any
questions? All right, thank you for your testimony. We appreciate it. So we have 20 minutes left in this room, and \(I\) just want to get \(a--k i n d\) of like a feeling. By a show of hands, who strongly would like to testify? Or--and who feels like their story has
been told and would just like to submit their testimony for the record? [background comments, pause] And so whoever raised your hands can take a-take a seat in the front row. [background comments, pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We--we really value your--your time in general, which the whole point of which is not free. So we appreciate your coming, but we also are going to get kicked out of the room.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. We could--we could--we can start from right to left. Yeah, from your--from your left. The first floor can sit up and testify. And, of course, if you submit-if you submit your testimony it will be on the record.

STEVEN LOWELL: Okay. Hello, my name is Steven Lowell. I work--I'd first like to thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today in support of the Freelance Isn't Free Act. I speak on behalf of the voiceover industry, of voice actors much like the entertainment industry, an industry I've worked in for 20 years. Since 2004, I've been both freelancing as a voice actor while also working
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behind the scenes for voice actor websites offering freelance work. There are approximately 250,000 voice actors in the United States along with the most abundance workforce comprised of working mothers from home. Myself, I grew up in Staten Island, New York and for the last 20 years I've been a resident of St. George. I've deal with more than 300 non-payment issues but the works was in October 2009 when an agency that finds voiceover actors sought my services as a community manager, quality assurance manager and customer manager. One of their clients was using their services to find people to read newspapers for the blind at a non-profit organization. In my position as the community manager, I helped voice actors get paid when clients tried to stiff them. One freelancer came to me after 30 days of completing a project for this non-profit and not receiving payment. As I tried to help him, in discovery there were at least 30 cases of this organization stiffing freelancers. In February 2010, it was learned that the client started looking around from new voice actors and websites and using the recordings in ways not originally agreed upon. Using work for public radio broadcasts by industry standards requiring an
increase of pay. And around the time everyone got pushy about getting paid, he would state that he no longer needed their services and tried to hire new voices. In the end, more than 30 voice actors lost than the between \(\$ 500\) to \(\$ 2,000\) each. Even worse, the clients began to threaten with legal action. This client who did not pay 30 voice actors then turned around afterwards and threatened to blacklist within their own industry. Not only did this affect the income of more than two dozen [bell] voice actors, but also cause them future industry problems. As it stands right now, less than 5\% of voice actors are able to work full time in the industry and working is extremely difficult. What \(I\) believe this Freelance Act will assist is providing them with a legally defined method of public recourse and client accountability. A legally defined method for freelancers to be able to plan out a monthly financial schedule based on the contract, not based on the way that a business decides to pay. A way for people like myself who suffer from a medical condition to rely on monthly prescribed medication that is paid for every 30 days to be able to count on assistance if non-payment takes place. More than
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anything, this effectively addresses the need of today's most abundant workforce preparing for the future of the United States, and that still includes taking care of family health insurances, but now includes the cost of running from home. Thank you.

TATIANA POTTS: Hello and gentlemen.
First and foremost it's an honor to be speaking to you and in favor of this groundbreaking piece of legislation. To paraphrase Neil Armstrong, that's one small step, yet one great leap for the whole industry, and so that's really relevant particularly of the CPL (sic). My name is Tatiana Potts of Kings County. I'm a naturalized citizen and a professional linguist with an MA from Moscow State Linguistics University. I worked there for the U.S. Embassy when delegations of Al Gore and Ron Brown visited Russia. I designed and taught experimental course in American phonics when it was not yet trendy. As with Martin Luther King, I have a dream in classrooms. My circle of friends, close friends included Michael Brook who worked with Arnold (sic) Hammer. My mentor back in the day Zoya Zarubina who started U.N. Simultaneous Interpreting programs, and until her final days worked on the bridging a non-proliferation gap to
restore post Cold War relations between our countries including translations of Manhattan Project Documentation. I would like to take this opportunity to speak on behalf of all native speakers, bearers who provide professional freelance language services across the United States in spite of certain Albany legislators suggesting one needs to take care of their own business, and let their attorney general worry about the rest. By endorsing this milestone bill, New York is setting an example for the whole country ensuring there is \(n\) o more embarrassing undignified guessing game at the end of your professional day as to whether a Freelance Isn't Free Act. Unlike the uniform court system relying on a data base of available linguists and providing clear cut pay structure, all other sectors in need of language facilitation enter murky waters of contracting, go between language service providing agencies distributing a work load to the lowest bidder. As a result, there's quite a number of behind the scenes issues from nepotism and bias in the most lucrative gigs on the one hand to hiring an under-qualified workforce to be able to maximize their profits form the other. All of which
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artificially lowers the entire tone of the industry. This clearly is material for third-party watch dogs to eventually hold the current status quo.

Professional language specialists are an integral part of any healthy functioning multi or national community. So labor quality standards should adequately protect this vulnerable to abuse and harassment category against questionable practices ranging from unregulated simultaneous format of immigration court's proceeding to daily
administrative work in the field. To give you a rather grotesque example of the absurdity of issues at hand affecting over 250 languages, there was a recently spotlighted expose in 611 News by the Emmy Award winning investigator/journalist Arnold Diaz, available online under the headline Interpreting Agency Caught Skimping on Payment to Interpreters. I happened to have worked for the interpreting agency in question in 2014 and 2015, and I am to put it mildly flabbergasted at the extent of inaccuracies Accurate Communication Inc. has gotten away with. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] I'm going to have to ask you--TATIANA POTTS: Some of the issues--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] --to
wrap up the matter.
TATIANA POTTS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay.
TATIANA POTTS: Some of the--some of the
issues included incorrect 1099 s, extended delays and/or non-payments including checks lost in the mail that are void after 60 days later surfacing in 1099 s, incomplete payments omitted to our minimum core assignments, omitted overtime, bundling of back-toback to assignments higher scale rate of legal and medical assignments processed at lower social rates to name just a few. Needless to say, Accurate Communication, Inc. ignored a request to demystify their lost in translation vanishing shenanigans. As of 2016 , there are still missing in action funds for work completed in 2015 and 2014. It's now up to you the legislators to introduce this vital bill so there no more room left for the unprotected to be taken-taken advantage of, and most importantly to restore respect and dignity to professional freelancers' universe while unburdening this humiliated community snowed under with chaotic up-for-grabs large scale predatory practices.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

TATIANA POTTS: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Appreciate it.

RANDY JENNER: Good afternoon. My name
is Randy Jenner. I mostly would like to ask for you to see me as a small business person right here. What I write is what \(I\) say as a writer, editor or whatever. It really in many ways that's just what \(I\) offer. So I'm a mid-career professional, and what it is that--the things that \(I\) do have a greater impact beyond the business for whom I work. One, I offer as a freelancer value for alternative publication called the Village Place that owes me \(\$ 600\) for an article \(I\) had written about Tom Stocker. (sic) I had a contract. My name--my name appears in the masthead, but the voice article--well the voice article was--is seen as worthwhile by academics and stock board (sic) enthusiasts and that sort of--those crowds. But on the other hand, I hand to stand by and not accept any money because if I--if I complained about it, I would never get the quota or articles that \(I\) needed for my name to remain on the masthead. So, and that--and that also works in non-profit industries. So Theater Communications recently asked me to write an essay
about the state of the national theater, and it was good for their business because they're a non-profit service organization. Also, they double as the U.S. Center of International Theater Institute. So it's good because I actually presented an essay for World of Theater in an international book survey, but I didn't get paid for it. So the loss of income actually affected by ability to give greater good in the social sense, because I was--it affected my ability to produce a U.S. National exhibition of stage design a visual art context. Now, I'm in a state of uncertainty [bell] because I was attacked on Broadway, and---so any--any non-payment of any article that \(I\) might agree to write from now on, means that \(I\) would not be able to pay for surgeries that I went through, long hospital stays, prescription, ambulance, that sort of thing. So while on the other hand I'm a small business, I also have a personal dimension. And whatever it is that you would like to do here, would give a more equitable relationship and level the playing field between a small business person and non-profit and for-profit companies.

HAROON SIMBARAGAN: Hi. My name Haroon

Simbaragan (sp?). I'm an Economist and a Professor at New York University. I have more testimony than time. So I won't read at all. I'll give it in. So I'll give you like the clip notes version of it. So I do research on the trend session of work away from full-time work, and into freelance work. In summary, this will like, you know, the number of freelance we're going to have in the United States and in New York is going to increase dramatically in the next 20 years, and if we cut across industries that we don't normally associate with freelance, financial services, you know, management consulting, digital technologies, law, accounting, healthcare and marketing, there's--it's across the board. So that's one point. President Obama highlighted it in his State of the Union, and two challenges that sort of permeate freelance markets are quality uncertainty and transaction uncertainty. Economists know well that either of these kinds of uncertainty can lead to market failure, can sort of make work not happen when it otherwise would have been able to. And so, you know, we've got a number of market based solutions for quality uncertainty, but you need regulatory
intervention to sort of deal with the kinds of transaction uncertainty that freelancers face today. Like the lack of clarity about the way a potential worker will be paid and payment uncertainty. And my message is that billions of dollars of business will be lost. Additional new business will be lost if there is this kind of uncertainty, and this kind of intervention where you're sort of giving freelancers guarantees about like, you know, sort of a transparent wage rate, and about like, you know, sort of a guarantee of payment will create more freelance work. And so I see this sort of intervention as being akin to the kind of legislation that creates jobs. Thank you. [bell]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Right on
time. [laughter] Appreciate it.
HAROON SIMBARAGAN: Thanks.
[background comments, pause]
ALEX ABELIN: Good afternoon.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Begin and just
state your name before your testimony.
ALEX ABELIN: My name Alex Abelin. I'm CEO of Liquid Talent, and I run one of the marketplaces that Professor Haroon was just speaking
of. So it's good timing right after he spoke. The two major stats \(I\) want to share with you today is by 2020, 75\% of this country's workforce will be millennial professionals, and by 2020, 50\% will want to be free agents. This is a very big moment in our economy's history, and this legislation is very important not just for New York, but for our entire country. As CEO I have the opportunity to speak with our members everyday. We have two types of members: developers and designers that are seeking a freelance lifestyle, and you'd be surprised to hear how many stories there are about being cheated out of nonpayment. Seventy-one percent of independent workers face non-payment or late payment during their career. This is absolutely outrageous, and this needs to end. I stand with Freelancers Union. I stand with my Liquid Talent members with 2,000 developers and designers in New York City. The businesses that use our workforce everyday in the City of New York to do right thing and support this piece of legislation. Thank you very much.

SANDY STEIN-FISHER: Hi, my name Sandy Stein-Fisher. (sic) I owner a book shop. I sell rare books, first editions, books signed by authors. I'm
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a small business owner, but I freelance and then I'm hired by private individuals, libraries and estates to provide appraisals of books and manuscripts. Long story short, I was owed \(\$ 3,000\) and it took me four months to get paid. In another instance, I was owed \(\$ 15,000\), and it took me 14 months to get paid. The loss of income was awful, but the worst part was the amount of time it took to call, email and track down the client. I believe the situation could be avoided if the client knew it was against the law not to pay a freelancer or a small business owner. In addition to my book company, I write a blog about small business ownership for Forbes. Three years ago, I wrote a post called what To Do When A Client Doesn't Pay. I've been contacted by dozens of people, 55, in fact, who were owed anywhere from \(\$ 50\) to \(\$ 50,000\). Their stories of horrifying and varied, but they all have the same theme: They have not been paid, and they feel helpless. I've kept in touch with them over the years, and though many do not live in New York City, they're excited by the idea that landmark legislation here could have a ripple effect and could sometime soon affect the law where they live. As a small business owner with \(W\)-2 status with one
employee, this doesn't protect me personally, but I'm still firmly in favor of it. I hope one day it will be more broadly applied, and it can protect any worker from any situation of non-payment.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
SAUL KOMBOLKA: Thank you. My name is

Paul Kombolka (sp?) and my background is in liguistics, speech and neuroscience, and I only have three quick points I wanted to make. First, the testimony here today is not only about small companies or district people or individuals and the non-payment of individuals is pervasive flood our economy. And to give you one example, \(I\) taught at St. John's University in Queens, which I assume you have heard of. And at one point when I was teaching at St. John's I had gone a month without being paid. So I decided that I will--that I would draw on the blackboard for the students if \(I\) am not paid by Friday, I will not be coming in on Monday. That's how I got paid, but this does not endear me to St. John's, which is fine. But this not really the way you would want to go about earning an income. And again, St. John's is not some rinky dink shop, you know, off limits, off Novack. (sic) The second
point [coughs] I wanted to make closely related to first on the staff (sic), as far as legal factions (sic) are concerned, they're generally big. I have only sued once, and \(I\) won on default because the individual did not show up, but when it came to collecting it, it was impossible. And the other problem was that the individual didn't like that subpoenaed his bank records. So he called me up and told that he was going to mail a bomb to my house. This kind of behavior could perhaps be litigated by having a data base as part of the bill that people could check to see that there--these are people that you have a complaint against. And with regards to third thing, I'd like to mention very quickly is that there was a mention about how this could become a contractual issue. In all the years that I have done any freelance work, only one person has ever said that they're not paid because [bell] because they're not satisfied, and the only time--reason that person said it was I sent a friend of mine a retired police captain to his house to remind him that theft of services was a crime in New York State. At which point he informed me that he didn't like my work. And so amending the bill to require that if have any
such a challenge--such objections you have to let it be known in writing within a reasonable time after receiving the work could eliminate this problem because to say and that you actively that you don't like it is just too easy to have this.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
JESSIE STRAUSS: Thank you Chairman
Espinal and the entire committee and--and thank you, Councilman Lander for your leadership in this issue. My name is Jessie Strauss. I'm a lawyer and the founder of IndePayment.com. Indepayment is the leading debt collection service for freelancers and independent workers. We're based right here in New York City. There was some speech--talk earlier from the Department of Cultural Affairs about the ability of the Private Bar to handle these cases, and I speak I guess as a representative of the Private Bar that attempts to handle these cases. The problem of wage theft is rampant. Each day more and more independent workers from software developers to writers to marketing consultants who can't get paid comes to Indepayments, and we provide legal services for them. If we need to use the court systems in New York State collect, and we work nationally, we have two options
in--in New York City. We can file in the civil court
or we can file in the Supreme Court or we can advocate for the use of the small claims part. While Indepayment works hard to make the process of collecting debts owed to freelancers as simple as possible, we're hampered because the Supreme Court and Civil Court processes in New York City are cumbersome and they're expensive. They have a lot of filing fees, service fees, and also require numerous appearances on the part of the freelancer. If your debts are under \(\$ 5,000\) you're in the New York City Smalls Claims court. Very often you're in front an arbitrator in those parts. The arbitrator will treat this as a contract dispute and not a wage theft matter. And it's--it's very difficult for an arbitrator to understand the difference. There are models, by the way, when lawyers are not paid. Lawyers are actually in a special part, a special arbitration process that was set up particularly for them. Maybe we can think about trying to create a similar process for freelance independent workers. The--the inability for freelancers to enjoy the same protections that employees have under the New York Labor Law and the Federal Fair Standards Labor Act
[bell] Is--is a huge difference, and that's been addressed. Attorney fee shifting, treble damages and personal liability on the part of the owner of the company. It would all make a real difference, and really help us not only win these cases--not only prevent this from happening, win the cases, but also attract the legal talent we need to tackle these issues. So I--I firmly support 1017 and \(I\) hope that we can work with the Department of Cultural Affairs to create a model that works.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
SARAF SADAP LAGIWADI: Finally, the musicians are on board. That's the reason why I wanted to make this testimony. I'm Saraf Sadap Lagiwadi (sic) a \(24 / 7\) musician activist and founder of the Musicians for Musicians a non-profit organization. At MFM we believe that a musical career is a respected profession. Our membership is composed of musicians from all genres, backgrounds and skill levels, from all persons to composers to recording artists. We come together as a group to encourage musicians everywhere to stop working for free. And musicians are frequently treated as expendable commodity while others profit from their
work. This is a huge systematic problem in this--in the music industry. This is unacceptable and must top. Enough is enough. [coughs] According to a 2015 Freelancers Union Study on Nonpayment, \(79 \%\) of musicians face non-payment, and I think this is really--it's ridiculous. All too often the band is the last contractor to get paid. After a big event, the singer finds that the hours she spent learning and practicing a piece aren't billable. Or someone assumes that musicians will just work free, and finally it should be noted that almost all of MFM's members also take side gigs to support their music careers. This makes the freelance industry doubly important to musicians who may also face non--payment in what may be perceived to be a more steady pursuit. All this said, MFM seeks to empower musicians to relate--to realize the true value of their work, to raised the standard of living and provide adequate working conditions in collaboration with other labor organizations such as Freelancers Union. In working together in solidarity, we can improve conditions for musicians as well as other freelance workers.
```

[sings] [bell] [laughter]

```

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, sir.
Thank you. Appreciate it. Anyone else left to testify? No? Okay, well thank you. Thank you so much and \(I\) appreciate the understanding--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: First of all, Mr.
Chairman, thank you for your time in sticking around. Thanks to everybody who came out. Whether you got to give your testimony or not, it made a big difference. And I really like this idea on the last panel, the part of what should be required in the contract is if you want to grieve--if the hiring party wants to grieve that the work wasn't performed they should have a time in which to do so and not just be able to not pay, and then later when challenged when for payment. So it was worth sticking around 'til the end. We got a good idea to look at for--framing sic) the bill. Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Well, thank you and
I want to thank all the freelancers who came in and took their time to testify. I think we've heard you loud and clear, and I look forward to continue working with my colleague Brad in making sure we have a--a bill to protect you all. So with that said, this meeting is adjourned. [gavel]

```

