CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

October 1, 2015 Start: 1:00 p.m. Recess: 2:40 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

14th Floor

B E F O R E: JAMES VACCA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Annabel Palma

David G. Greenfield

Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Amen Ra Mashariki Chief Analytics Officer and Director NYC Mayor's Office of Data Analytics City of New York Chief Open Platform Officer

Albert Webber Civic Engagement Manager Office of Advanced Technologies Dept. of Information Technology & Telecommunications

John Cheney, Co-Chair

New York City Transparency Working Group

Executive Director, Reinvent Albany

Presenting Testimony for:

Jean Rushnoff(sp?) Senior Attorney

New York Public Interest Research Group

Katherine Gray Vice President League of Women Voters

Tara Das, Intern Head Social Work Library at Columbia University Digital Social Science Center

Josh Mumm Outreach and Advocacy Manager Common Cause New York

John Crouse Technology Fellow Gov Lab at NYU

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 [sound check, pause]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member Gentile is here. Oh, you're ready. Okay. [gavel] Everyone please take their seats. It's now 1:00 p.m. on October 1st, and I would like to welcome everyone to the Technology Committee of the New York City Council Oversight Hearing. We'll be considering today seven bills that have been introduced concerning open data. My name is James Vacca, and I'm Chair of the Committee on Technology. We're here today to discuss the Open Data Plan of 2015 in addition to a package of seven bills that aim to remarkably strengthen the Open Data Law. sponsored two pieces of legislation in this package, Intro 915, which would require the timely updating of certain public data sets on the Open Data Portal, and Intro 916, which would implement an Open Data Law agency compliance audit to the Department of Investigations. Additionally, we will examine five other bills, Intro 890 sponsored by Council Member Cabrera in response -- in relation to the retention of data on the Open Data Portal, Intro 898 sponsored by Council Member Gentile, who would require the creation of a data dictionary for every data set.

the Open Data Portal.

2.2

2.3

Intro 900, sponsored by Council Member Ben Kallos,

which aims to standardize address data. Intro 908,

sponsored by Council Member Annabel Palma in relation

to the review of data requested through FOIL, and

Intro 914 sponsored by Council Member Torres, which

would create response times for public requests on

I want to thank my colleagues for working on this important legislation. It's clear that open data is a priority for this committee, and to the Council as a whole. I am sure for the Administration. Today, we will discuss how the Open Data Law has been implemented, the contents of the Department of Information, Technology and Telecommunications July 2015 Open Data Plan, the challenges and issues that have arisen, and the various ways we can all work together to solve them both administratively and legislatively.

The Open Data Law passed in 2012 requires

DOITT to work with city agencies to post data

information online in a centrally accessible

location, an Open Data Portal by 2018. As mandated

in the Open Data Law, DOITT must release the--an open

data plan annually. The 2015 plan was released on

July 15th, focusing on outreach and engagement with
the general public. As part of this engagement,
DOITT has taken measures to increase public
accessibility including a data lens featuringa
feature that presents popular data sets in adjustable
charts and maps. Before we get into ways to improve
open data, I'd like to commend DOITT and the Mayor's
Office of Data Analytics, MODA for their dedication
to the implementation of this law. Like on so many
other fronts, New York City is a nationwide leader
when it comes to open data, and the hard work of
DOITT and MODA along with help from the Council and
numerous advocates deserve credit for it. These
agencies have also made considerable efforts to
ensure compliance and have succeeded in populating
the portal approximately 1,350 data sets with 281
additional sets planned for future release between
now and 2018.

Because the upkeep of the Open Data

Portal is such an enormous undertaking requiring

large staff resources, technical knowledge and the

coordination of every city agency, issues are going

to arise. Additionally, as I'm sure many of you

agree, there are always ways to strengthen the law

2.2

2.3

and this committee intends to advocate for ongoing improvements. The proposed legislation before us today is meant to remedy issues that have been brought up time and time again when we discuss open data: Compliance, user friendliness, data retention public responsiveness, FOIL integration, and the timeliness of updated data sets. The bills I've sponsored are important component to--components of this packaging focusing specifically on compliance, and the timeliness of data on the portal vis-à-vis data that is on every agency's website.

Intro 916, which would require the

Department of Investigation to conduct open data
audits would go a long way to improve compliance
across all agencies. In government we sometimes say
that trying to get coordination across many offices
is like herding cats. Imagine being DOITT, tasked
with getting the data that falls under the Open
Meetings Law from every city agency. There are
dozens of agencies each with different resources,
different types of data and varying amounts of data
that's overwhelming and compliance is going to be
difficult I acknowledge. Research from this
committee has led to the discovery of the exclusion

- 2 of much data that should be included on the portal.
- 3 For example, 311 referral data and complaint data
- 4 | from the Mayor's Office of Media and Entertainment.
- 5 But this process of random discovery is not
- 6 efficient. There are many public data sets that have
- 7 been excluded and there needs to be a systematic way
- 8 | to address this. A third neutral party, DOI, could
- 9 be the tool that we need to inform all the relevant
- 10 entities what is missing. It's called
- 11 accountability.
- 12 | Since the Open Data Portal was designed
- 13 | to be a one-stop shop for government data, it is
- 14 | important the information on the portal does not lag
- 15 | behind what is available on agencies' websites. At
- 16 | last year's hearing I identified such a delay in the
- 17 | Building's Department based on what I saw in the
- 18 | Building's Information System, the BIS system, and
- 19 | this committee identified this issue with other data
- 20 such HPD violation data. My bill, Intro 915 would
- 21 | address that lapse requiring that data on the portal
- 22 shall not be more than three days behind what is
- 23 available on the agency's website.
- 24 I think this is a great package of bills,
- 25 and open data is important to the Technology

Council Member Fernando Cabrera.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Committee, and we've made it a priority. I want to thank our staff for their wonderful, wonderful help in putting these together, the Speaker's office, and I would like to thank members of the committee who will be here shortly. We do have two--two sponsors here who will speak on the bills that they are sponsoring today for hearing, and I first call

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Chair Vacca I want to first thank you for your leadership with the Open Data Legis--Legis--Legislative package hearing that we're having here today. And as the former chair of this committee, I want to commend you also for all of the leadership you're providing in this committee. Good afternoon, Chair Vacca, council members and advocates. Thank you for hearing this important bill today. My bill inter--Intro 890 calls for the creation and preservation of archives of public data on permits, licenses, and performance evaluations in New York City's Open Data Portal, and our increasingly complex and technological society. Expanding access to public data is a critical part of strengthening democracy. Opening--opening data and structuring it to improve public access is allowing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many government agencies around the United States and the world to improve efficiency and get solutions to long--longstanding problems. This is also helping to create more trust and transparency between citizens and government. In fact, efforts to increase access to open data in the United States have developed into a movement that has resulted in increased information on everything from a vacation to housing to public safety. City of Oakland's Open Budget--Oakland Initiative is a great example how open data can improve city government. The result of opening up its budget data to a group of computer scientists resulted in more efficient budget making policy, and eased some of the frustrations of citizens. helped the city find ways to save money, and also preventing protests on budget cuts. The Open Oakland Citizen Coding Initiative that evolved from that experience had gone on to develop apps indicating why the properties and the status for emergency planning and for helping parents to learn about public schools, spend the money allotted to them for education improvement. Other examples abound. Intro 890 in particular seeks to address the current problem of NYC's Open Data Portal. Right now the

portal has data on individuals and businesses who are 2 3 current with licenses, permits and other documents. 4 But we have no way to know when individuals or businesses go off that list. We cannot do a longitudinal study because the data--data from even a 6 7 few years back is getting lost, which makes it impossible to track trends. The bill calls for the 8 creation a snapshot of data that allows us to track trends over time. So for example we will have access 10 11 not only to DCA's list of caring businesses and 12 operation, but also an idea on how many businesses 13 went out of business from year to year. The second problem is that this bill seeks--that seeks to 14 15 address is that some of the data sets are so massive 16 that they become unwieldy and take too long to down 17 low--download. The Yellow Taxi's GPS data is the 18 largest data set on the portal. There are over 170 19 million entries in a single year. What's the plan 20 when there is ten years' worth of data? We want to 21 be sure that there are protocols in place to divide up this data into smaller data sets rather than 2.2 2.3 removing the data set, which is the common practice. Data getting lost is always correctable. Ensuring 24 the creation of preservation archives is particularly 25

Vincent Gentile.

2.2

2.3

- important as we examine historical trends and look
 back to determine how best to move forward. I'm
 excited to be part of this movement, and I hope the
- 5 Council will recognize the importance of my bill,
- Intro 890, and pass it into law. Thank you for your time and attention, Mr. Chair. Thank you so much.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Thank you
 9 very much, Council Member Cabrera. I know want to
 10 call on the sponsor of another bill, Council Member

Mr. Chair, and good afternoon to all of those with us today. I want to thank Chair Vacca and the members of the Technology Committee for holding this important hearing on this important set of bills. My intro, Intro 898 seems like such a simple bill, but it really isn't quite so simple and nether are the terms posted on the New York City Open Data Portal. Scientific and technical terminology is presented to the public with no explanation, which for all intents and purposes is now transparency. So in order to take the information we show past the false transparency hidden and unnecessary complication, I have introduced and sponsored Intro 898. This bill

2.2

2.3

we hear today will create an online dictionary of all the terms on the Open Data Portal, the general public cannot reasonably be expected to understand. It would also requiring posting of the descriptions of the relative importance of these terms as well as the measurements and data that accompany them. So I look forward to taking New York City government with all of us her today forward in its duty to be more open, and more transparent with its citizens. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Council Member Gentile. I have to ask the witnesses--I have to swear you in. I'm swearing at you. I'm just going to ask you to be sworn in.

DR. MASHARIKI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [laughter] I think that comes later. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, [laughs]. I've been to a lot of these hearings over the years. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member's questions?

DR. MASHARIKI: Yes. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I know you would anyway. Okay, would you like to introduce yourselves, and whoever you decide can start off.

DR. MASHARIKI: [coughs] Good afternoon. My name is Dr. Amen Ra Mashariki. I'm the Chief Analytics Officer, the Director of the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics as well as the Chief Open Platform Officer for the City of New York. Here with me is Albert Webber of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications Office of Advanced Technologies. The Mayor's Office of Data Analytics also known as MODA is housed within the Mayor's Office of Operations, and works in partnership with Anne Roest, the City's Chief Information Officer and DOITT Commissioner, to fulfill the requirements of the city's Open Data Law, which was enacted as Local Law 11 in 2012. The Administration fully supports the law, and works tirelessly to ensure it is fully implemented.

The promise of open data is transparent, free and accessible data. Data is more than just numbers. It's information that can create new opportunities and level playing fields for New

2.2

2.3

Yorkers. It illuminates issues to invite changes in frameworks, and leads to insights that turn impenetrable issues into solvable problems. It's the invitation for more voices to join critical conversations. I'm here today to testify on the progress of the implementation of the Open Data Law via our reinvigorated interactions with agencies in our open data vision and strategy as well as on the proposed pieces of legislation by the City Council designed to enhance the Open Data Law.

Dien the past year, Moda and DoITT have been working energetically to engage agencies in continuing to open data sets to the public. To date, we have at least 1,386 data sets this year up from 1,286 last years. This includes a number of notable of new sets like trip data from the Taxi and Limousine Commission, data from City Record Online and Pre-K for all data. Along these lines we have and will continue to work diligently with agencies to assist them in the leasing their data. New York City remains the gold standard in producing open data both nationally and internationally. Our ability to work with agencies to open the data to the public puts the city far and away ahead of all cities with respect to

2 the number of public data sets available on a portal. Our open data efforts have also earned New York City 3 4 a top spot on the Open Knowledge Foundation's national rankings of open data cities. While we 5 believe this in and of itself is a strong 6 7 accomplishment, the Open Data Law is not just about 8 releasing open data sets. As stated in the Open Data Law's Declaration of Legislative Findings and Intent, open data serves to, and I quote, "Streamline 10 11 intergovernmental and intergovernmental communication 12 and interoperability, permit the public to assist in 13 identifying efficient solutions for government, 14 promote innovative strategies for social progress; 15 and create economic opportunities." MODA and DOITT are partnering to realize a vision and strategy that 16 17 will allow us to achieve those stated outcomes of the 18 law. With regards to that strategy and vision, Open 19 Data FOIL. With this initiative, we have seen that 20 open data is an invitation for anyone, anywhere at 21 any time to engage with New York City government. 2.2 The Open Data Plan, which was released on July 16 of 2.3 2015 establishes the city's vision to deliver on the promise of the Open Data for All Initiative to the 24 benefit of all New Yorkers. This is our vision of 25

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what we can do, and what we aim to achieve with open data.

The ultimate success of the Open Data for All Initiative will not only be measured in the number of data sets that are published on the Open Data Portal, but in the number of New Yorkers who use open data in their daily lives. And that's not just the tech savvy New Yorkers. It's all New Yorkers in all five boroughs. To deliver on this promise we will continue to release new data sets and identify opportunities to provide data for all types of uses, focus on delivering quality data, enhancing the usability of the data portal, and expanding access to open data through use. We will also continue to improve and enhance the technical infrastructure that provides the foundation for the entire initiative, and increase responsiveness to and flexibility for With these goals in mind we are driving user needs. aggressively towards building an open data ecosystem that supports expanded access to open data, and better quality of data as well as enhanced portal usability.

With regards to expanding access, among the primary goals of the Open Data for All Initiative

2.2

2.3

is to meet users where they are. This year MODA and DOITT have begun a citywide Open Data Engagement Tour. This has included visits to CUNY campuses visits to borough meetings organized by the various borough presidents, online webinars, open data tutorials, and other community specific speaking engagements. We'll be gathering feedback from the Citywide Engagement Tour to determine how to best meet the needs of all New Yorkers.

Delivering Quality: We are also ensuring data quality through the development of applications such as the Business Atlas. The Business Atlas is a portal that was created through a partnership between the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics and the Department of Small Business Services. It uses open data and also partners with a small start-up here in a city called Placemeter to provide market research for local entrepreneurs.

Enhancing Usability is important to us as well. Earlier this summer we partnered with our open data platform provider to launch a new feature called Data Lens. Data Lens utilizes artificial intelligence technology in order to display data to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 everyday New Yorkers in a way that makes sense to
3 them, and is visually intuitive.

Now with regards to the proposed bills. The proposed bills amending the Open Data Law are all focused on our shared goal of improving the quality, usability and accessibility of open data. MODA and DOITT have long believed that open--that the Open Data Portal should be the primary location where agency data sets should be available, machine readable and accessible. We have engaged agencies with this in mind. We understand that in order to do this, many costly mechanisms and processes must be in place. We firmly believe in working towards the goals set forth in Intro 915 to accomplish this. MODA and DOITT look forward to discussing with the Council how to best put a comprehensive plan in place to achieve this public data set updating capability. We believe that in order for us to truly achieve a ubiquitous open data community, MODA and DOITT must be responsive to users as well as provide quality customer service. Timely responses to public requests on the Open Data Portal are paramount to us achieving this level of customer service.

2.2

Intro 914 is very much in line with that
goalis very much in line with that goal, and would
enhance our responsiveness to such requests, and MODA
fully supports the intent of this legislation. MODA
already instructs agencies to look at data that they
have released in response to a FOIL request to decide
whether the released data should be considered a
public data set to be included on the Open Data
Portal. Commissioner Toole of the Department of
Records and Information Systems is currently building
an open FOIL system. We have discussed building a
data exchange with the system that feeds directly
into the Open Data Portal so that we can get
automatic feeds of FOILED data. Such an approach
would accomplish the goals set forth in Intro 908.

Enhancing the usability of the Open Data

Portal is a key objective for MODA and DOITT.

Ensuring that data dictionaries are available for each data set within the portal as envisioned in

Intro 898 will enhance the user's ability to understand and use the data. This is central to what we are trying to accomplish when we say open data for all. We support the intent of this bill, and look forward to working with DOITT and the Council to

2.2

2.3

identify the most appropriate way to implement this capability. We believe that managing data standards throughout the portal is extremely important. We believe both high quality data dictionaries and the use of open data standards throughout the portal are achievable with agency and open data coordinated engagement.

Intro 900 is a great way to begin the conversation around citywide standardization of geospatial data, but would ultimately require processes that require the expertise of the full tech leadership of the city, intimate agency engagement, and the investment of significant resources. To ensure usability and access to all open data, we are going to add language to the Technology Language Standards Manual around archiving and maintaining old records instead of purging them after a certain time period.

Intro 890 similar--similarly seeks to accomplish the strategy for the preservation of historical records. We must maintain a balance between keeping a clean easy to use portal preserving important historical data, and the cost of storing

2.2

2.3

significant amounts of data that may have outlived their usefulness.

and practical concerns with the audit proposed by the bill. In particular, it appears that the bill would authorize the Commissioner of the Department of Investigation to inspect all of an agency's data records and information including sensitive confidential and privileged information in order to determine whether the information comprises a public data set. Although we support the principle of developing mechanisms to ensure compliance with the Open Data Law, we do not think the proposed audit is the best way to achieve that goal. We are open to discussing alternative means for advancing agency compliance and accountability.

I would like to thank the Council

Technology Committee for providing the opportunity to testify today, and for your continued support of open data. At this point, I'd like to turn it over to Albert Webber from the Department of Information

Technology—Technology and Telecommunications to walk you through some of the finer details of implementing

Open Data Law and the new enhancements we have

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 released in response to feedback from the community.

3 After Mr. Webber's testimony, we will be happy to

4 answer any questions you have.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Just let me introduce members that have arrived. Councilman Steve Matteo and Councilman David Greenfield. Please proceed.

ALBERT WEBBER: Thank Amen and good afternoon Chairman Vacca and members of the City Council Committee on Technology. My name is Albert Webber, and I'm pleased to speak with you today about many of the improvements made to the NYC Open Data Portal since we last testified. To date, we have unlocked nearly 1,400 data sets and maps via the NYC Open Data Portal sourced from more than 80 city agencies and entities. Additionally, as part of the annual July 15th update to the NYC Open Data Plan, city agencies identified an additional 282 data sets to be released through December of 2018. We also work closely with the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics, MODA, and agencies to continue identifying data to be released or listed in the Open Data Plan beyond the yearly July update, and we make monthly updates to the plan reflecting those updates. date, we have automated the refreshing of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

approximately 120 data sets to ensure their timely and accurate delivery. Many of the 120 data sets automated were in high public demand, including 311 service requests, NYPD motor vehicle collisions and DOHMH restaurant inspections. This year alone 18 new data sets have been automated including Department of Sanitation dial-up (sic) vehicles, Taxi and Limousine Commission for-hire vehicles and active drivers, Department of Citywide Administrative Services City Record One, Green Book, and City Store. Automation aside from the initial work done to implement the process means that no human intervention is necessary for a data set to be refreshed. Additionally, and particularly topical given one piece of introduced legislation we recently completed a proof of concept that should allow us to begin the automated delivery of geospatial data sets to the NYC Open Data Portal.

With regard to the 1,200+ data sets that are not automated, we work closely with agencies and their open data coordinators to manually refresh them. This process is often time consuming and labor intensive, but our team diligently works to ensure timely data. We are also undergoing an effort to consolidate data sets where possible. There are a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

number of data sets broken down by year, borough and other attributes making it difficult for users to export a holistic view of the data they desire. By consolidating data sets, we can allow users to more easily locate and download data improving the overall This consolidation effort may user experience. decrease our data set totals, but in keeping with the themes of open data for all, that Dr. Masharihki just discussed, this approach will in the long run facilitate fuller access to data sets and maps for our many users. In regards to new additions, as we continue in our efforts to make data sets more usable, we also further the march toward opening new data. Since our last update the following new data sets have been made available: Universal Pre-K data, Workforce 1 job listings and recruitment events, civil list data, and TLC trip data. Data sets scheduled to be made available by the end of the year include bridge ratings, reportable disease surveillance data, events and cleanup costs, and school budget overviews.

Additionally, earlier this summer we partnered with our open data platform providers to launch a new feature called Data Lens. Data Lens is

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a machine learning enabled tool that allows for the creation of auto generated charts, graphs and search features so that users can easily view and interpret sets via data scientists or everyday New Yorkers. Ιn short, this tool helps us to make the reading of large data sets less intimidating and more accessible to a broader audience. These ongoing efforts encapsulate the spirit of Open Data for All Initiative Dr. Mashariki discussed earlier, and reflect the de Blasio Administration's commitment to keeping New York City at the vanguard of the open data movement. As we continue this work with the continued support and feedback from the city's vibrant civic technology community has proven invaluable as has the support led by Chairman Vacca and the Technology Committee of the City Council.

A number of the bills introduced last month align very closely with the aims of Open Data for All, making open data more usable, more accessible to New Yorkers of all stripes and in every corner of the city. Some of these bills mandates efforts already underway while some others fit squarely with the de Blasio Administration's Five Borough Strategy to enhance the Open Data Initiative.

2.2

2.3

Taking a brief look at each in turn Intro 914 would establish response timelines for public requests in the NYC Open Data Portal, and help set user expectations about when the data they have requested can be made available within the context of the city's larger open data plan. We wholeheartedly support the intent of Intro 914 and have no significant concerns about this proposal. As you know, as part of the annual update, last July we committed to updating the plan on a monthly basis and regular feedback on a request for new data sets fits into this increased engagement strategy.

Intros 890, 898 and 915 embody proposals that speak directly to what Open Data for All is all about, and we look forward to working with the Council on each to explore ways that our shared goals may be realized. Intro 890 relates to the retention of archival date on the NYC Open Data Portal, and rightly references the Technical Standards Manual as a place for the appropriate language to reside. To the extent that all data sets are removed from the portal without explanation, this proposal is keeping with Open Data for All. But mandating that all data sets replaced by those missing period (sic) that are

2.2

2.3

more accurate and complete, have to remain on the portal indefinitely is problematic. This can be confusing to visitors and runs counter to the city data making city data more understandable and usable for all. There will also be some costs for additional storage capacity as data sets like some of those we mentioned earlier containing millions of rows of data become increasingly large and complex, but we look forward to discussing with the Council a path on data set retention.

Intro 898 requires every data set on the NYC Open Data Portal be accompanied by a plain language data dictionary and it's aimed at exactly the right place, making what can be very bureaucratic more usable and understandable to the lay person.

Data dictionaries and supporting data set documentation were at one point common on the Open Data Portal, and can be a valuable standard to more formally implement. Of course, the role of providing—the role of providing headings explaining columns and defining the technical terms and acronyms included in data sets needs to be completed the agency submitting their data to do it in MODA for posting and automation not by DOITT or MODA directly.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And we, therefore, agree with this inclusion as a requirement that can be made more specific as part of the upcoming Technical Standards Manual refresh. We look forward to discussing with the Council the most effective means of implementing data dictionaries.

Intro 915 requires that public data sets updated on other city agency websites be posted to the NYC Open Data Portal within three days. would help ensure continuity and consistency of user experience when searching for official New York City data, which is directly in line with both the original intent of the city's Open Data Law as well as with Open Data FOIL. While DOITT is constantly working with agencies to automate data sets, there still remains work to be done. There will be some costs and resource considerations to be addressed in pursuing this effort, but we share with the Council the goal of keeping city data accurate, updated and consistent across all channels, and we look forward to working with you in making that vision a reality.

The next proposal, Intro 908 establishes a new process for making agency FOIL responses public accessible. The spirit of this proposal squarely aligns with the de Blasio Administration's commitment

to the promise of transparent, free and accessible
open data. As part of that promise, we are committed
to ensuring that agency responses to FOIL requests
are widely accessible to the public. To that end,
the Department of Records and Information Services is
currently developing an open FOIL portal that will
launch by the end of this year. This centralized
website will empower the public to easily submit and
track FOIL requests for all city agencies as well as
access the vast majority of records responsive to
previously filed FOIL requests even where there is no
accompanying data set. Such proactive disclosure
through a centralized repository will dramatically
expand public access to government records.
Therefore, rather than duplicating work to be
completed in the coming months, we are happy to
explore crafting a technical solution whereby the
records that will be posted on open FOIL are
automatically transferred to the NYC Open Data
Portal.

The final two proposals Intros 900 and 916 have elements, which we agree, but also present significant staffing costs, timing or legal concerns.

Intro 900 requires every data set on the NYC Open

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Data Portal that contains address information to utilize a standard field layout and presentation of that address information, including corresponding geospatial reference data. Having a single city standard for addressing geospatial information data is ideal, but we do not believe it is a goal best issued through open data legislation. While open data would benefit from such a standard, the standard itself should be developed via a larger collaborative process whereby agencies, advocates and users can work toward a generally agreed upon acceptable standard for addressing geospatial data. Once established, the systems utilized by agency data bases feeding the NYC Open Data Portal would adhere to that standard when producing data for publication. Older legacy systems once replaced would then also be required to incorporate those standards. This is a worthwhile effort, but one that will require additional resources and time substantially more so than the 90 days proposed to implement effectively. In the interim, we continue to make all the relevant data tabular, shaped files, et cetera, available in the open data portal itself for interested individuals to use according to data sets they are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

most interested in. This, indeed, is one of the earliest, promises of open data provided to the public and let them do with it what they will without further interference.

Intro 916 would require the Commissioner of Investigation to conduct audits of certain agency compliance with open data law. While we and all public officials can always do a better job of serving New Yorkers, the city's Open Data Law is among the most ambitious comprehensive policies of its kind in the world, and has set a model for many governments to follow. We are happy to work on this continued implementation, and are committed to do even better still. There are a number of steps between making the accountability improvements that Dr. Marshariki mentioned. For example, more clearly stating on the portal which data sets are either removed or delayed with detailed explanations, and requiring the Commissioner of Investigation to conduct audits in an area where the city has demonstrated such noteworthy transformational success in just over three years. More significantly, we are concerned that the proposed audits would require the Commissioner of Investigation to inspect all of an

2.2

2.3

agency's data including even sensitive, confidential or privileged information in order to determine the existence of public data sets.

We have legal concerns about the

Commissioner's authority to access such information
as well as concerns about the utility of such audits
generally in advancing open data goals. Thank you
for the opportunity to testify this afternoon. Open
data has been and remains a priority of the de Blasio
Administration, and we thank our partners in the City
Council and across the civic, tech and advocacy
communities for their continued efforts in making New
York City's successful implementation even better.
This concludes our prepared testimony, and we look
forward to answering your questions. Thank you.

Should start with you, sir, first, and your testimony and—your testimony as well, sir, talks about being supportive of most of the legislation. You see problems with 916, which I can go into, but you used the word that you support the intent. You didn't say you support it as written. Now, I appreciate that you support the intent, but do you support the legislation as written in any case? Is there any—is

2.2

2.3

2 there any piece of legislation you can say now that 3 you support, the Administration supports?

DR. MASHARIKI: Yes, we do (coughs) um, the--the--the legislation around--[background comments]--Intro 914 we--we support as written with response to users to the portal. We support that as written. Also, with respects to legislation 898, we support. We do believe that there should be some discussions around how best to implement it, but we--we support that as well.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. So you support one piece of legislation. On the second piece you'd like further discussion, but you support the concept, and the others you support the intent?

DR. MASHARIKI: That's right. We believe that there should be more conversation around implementation, their costs, resources, challenges to implement—in implementing these things, and we would like to have discussions with City Council with regards to that.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I did want to note regarding my legislation concerning DOI having the power to audit compliance, you did have some concerns, but most of your concerns revolve around

DOI possessing confidential information or privileged 2 3 information that is sensitive in nature. And I have 4 to say that that is the job of DOI every day of the I think DOI is the agency that probably isn't 5 trusting--entrusted to possess that information when 6 it arises they need it as part of an ongoing probe. 7 8 So, I think to say that we don't like the fact that DOI is going to be looking at sensitive information. I think saying that is inconsistent with the mission 10 11 or what most people expect the DOI to do. I also 12 have to note very honestly that some of the things 13 that are rated or that are classified as private-private or sensitive, make no sense to me. 14 15 the DOT data stats were removed from the Open Data 16 Plan. One was a traffic signal defect response time, 17 and the other was a pothole work order. And both of 18 those were removed because or privacy concerns and 19 sensitivity considerations. I don't know what's 20 private about a pothole being fixed. That doesn't 21 seem to me to rate as something that would be 2.2 classified as private or a traffic signal defect 2.3 response time. So, I say that to you because you again bring that up in relation to my piece of 24 25 legislation, but in the Open Data Law there are not

2.2

2.3

exemptions made for privacy or sensitivity. It's not
one of the reasons in the law why a data set can be
excluded. So based on that, I'd like you to

DR. MASHARIKI: Well,

reconsider your--your view on this.

DR. MASHARIKI: Well, I'll respond by saying I think the conversation that you're starting is the conversation we would absolutely like to have. I think the agencies certainly identify data sets that they believe have sensitivities or privacy concerns around them, and we work with the agencies around it. We don't--we do--when we do speak to agencies, we do say a data set as is may have some sensitive information. We want to understand how some of that information cannot be included on the portal, but still release that information.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing] Right.

DR. MASHARIKI: So we have those conversations all the time.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I understand, but if you have concerns about DOI when they do their audits, if you have concerns about DOI doing an audit and you have information that you think DOI should know should not be on open data, then you tell them it should not be on open data. It's not covered by

the law, or there is a sensitivity issue of some
type. I mean I'm willing to let DOI be the arbiter.
We need an agency to guarantee compliance and
accountability with the law. So, otherwiseI mean
do you support the right of people to sue if open
data requirements are not met because wewe
certainly can go that way. Some of the advocates
have mentioned that, but I would like to have
accountability in a different way and Ithat's why
mentioned DOI. And it's not a traditional
investigation as DOI often conducts. It's a
compliance, an auditing aspect. Someone has to let
the public know that this is being done in a timely
way. Someone has to be accountable, and someone has
to make a report. So, that's my view on that, and I
hope you consider that in reviewing your objection to
that piece of legislation.

I also was concerned about something else. There's a Conflict of Interest Board data set listing all of the policy makers and city agencies, and that was removed from the plan under a claim that the information was provided by other agencies despite no other agency having such a list compiled in one place. Now, open data has that opportunity to

2.2

2.3

be more transparent, and I think it is important for this data set to be specifically provided. Because people who work with the City of New York have an obligation to know whether or not they're a mandated reporter, whether or not they must file a COIB report or financial disclosure report. So I look at that, and even if it's removal is permitted under the law, it should voluntarily be put on the site. Sir?

ALBERT WEBBER: So as is the list of policy makers are complied by all city agencies, and I think the data is compiled in various formats, and sent to the COIB. So that data is made available outside of the open data portal, but that process of taking that data, those PDFs, those Excel spreadsheets however they may be compiled, that—the data exists elsewhere, but we're not sure that that data as is, as complied belongs on the Open Data Portal.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Perhaps you could further explore that. I'd like to see if there was a way we could include that. I think it's important. It is all over the place right now. It's not cohesive. I wanted to ask you in, um, go ahead. [background comments] I wanted to ask you also a

from DOH to post on open data.

2.2

2.3

2	couple of things. Legionnaires' Disease is becoming
3	an increasing concern in our city, and I wanted to
4	know [coughs] what we can do to give cases of
5	Tegionnaires! Disease any priority when it comes to

5 Legionnaires' Disease any priority when it comes to 6 open data, getting additional relevant information

DR. MASHARIKI: think that starts with a conversation reaching out to the Commissioner of DOHMH looking through the data that they do have and understanding data could be shared with the portal. We have relationships DOHMH. hey share their data with the portal now on automated feeds as well. So we could replicate that, but it starts with a conversation with them.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, who has that conversation?

DR. MASHARIKI: MODA.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: MODA. Okay, it's with MODA. Okay, timeliness of posting information. I brought this up at previous hearings where I noticed that some city websites have the information, and then Open Data does not have it until some time after—afterward. What priority are we giving to timeliness of posting information?

2.2

2.3

ALBERT WEBBER: Timing it is a huge priority of ours, and we have over 120 automated data sets on that Open Data Portal. We work closely with open data coordinators around the city to ensure that data is maintained and refreshed on a timely basis. For the data sets that aren't automated, our team at DOITT and MODA work to manually do those updates to the Open Data Portal. It's a very time consuming process. That's why we try to set up as many automations as possible, but timely data, automatic refreshes of data are goals of ours and a big priority. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What do you need to get automation in place?

ALBERT WEBBER: Um, it's--it's--it's mostly like Amen said the initial conversation with the agency, um, and then just also our technical resources that back and forth, that exchange. Um, it also depends on how we're going about doing that automation. So it's really just opening the dialogue, and then having our technical teams speak with their technical teams.

DR. MASHARIKI: If you don't mind, um, there's two things that—one is it's case-by-case per

agency. So when we automate reeds from an agency to
do its platform itthe ease at which we can do that
depends on thethe status or the space that the
agency's information is in. So obviously, the newer
systems that becomes a less cumbersome process. So
for one, to answer your question, it is a case-by-
case. So it's not a general sort of planning
strategies. It's case-by-case based on what they
have. Two, is we do when we've investigated
automating andand upping the response time, we
decided that we wanted to build sort of an enterprise
architecture to not only solve instances for DOB,
HPD, 311 and others, but build an architecture so
that as we brought agencies on line for more feeds
that capability exited for everyone else. So it's
also thinking more enterprise as well.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now, I noted on the portal in the section where the public can request new data sets, there have been around 170 requests in four years. Of those 170, six have been approved; seven have been rejected, and the rest are marked open. When will all these requests be replied to? Why do we face a situation like that?

2.2

2.3

ALBERT WEBBER: So the way the---the data set nominations work on the Open Data Portal we can-we moderate those requests before they come in,
before we actually open them up for the public to see them. We just want to ensure that the requests are,
you know, no foul language and things of that sort.
So we are working with agencies every time, and we're doing more updates to the plan, but we provide those data set nominations to agencies so that they can see the data that's being requested, and they can add them to the portal. So the fact that we moderate those data sets, and we open them up, that's--that's pretty much identifying that we see that the nomination came in, and that we are working with the agencies to put that data on the Open Data Portal.

DR. MASHARIKI: I'd also like to add, as the Director of MODA I started last year. This is the first time I've been a part of this plan and this strategy to release the plan July 15th, and one of the things, the new things that hasn't been in existence before that we've implemented is a monthly meeting with the open data coordinators and monthly and updates. And so now we have calls, monthly calls with the open data coordinators for agencies, and in

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- those calls we will be bringing on a consistent basis 2 3 these requests. Whereas before it was more of an 4 asynchronous, now it's going to be a consistent process to engage the agencies.
 - CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You are satisfied that every agency has an open data person, liaison that you can relate to?
 - DR. MASHARIKI: Um, not every, but a lot of the--the larger agencies.
 - CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. So if not every agency, why not, number one. And number two, what is your power to make sure that agencies do?
 - DR. MASHARIKI: Well, we--we are ensuring that everyone does, and we--we communicate and we reach out to not every agency. What I mean by not every agency, they don't, um, officially appoint an open data coordinator, but we have had communications and we engage with people at agencies. A lot of times it's resource availability and so on and so forth.
 - CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well, this is something that has to come basically I think in this case from the Mayor's Office. The Mayor's Office has to tell agencies that there should be a specific

2.2

2.3

person doing open data. Now, it doesn't have to be a person who does open data—open data and nothing else because if the agency is small, they commissioner should have discretion on—on assigning other responsibilities. But this is something that the Mayor's Office has to make a priority namely every agency has to have a person doing open data. It's the law and you don't have the power to tell agencies what to do. You can request, you can ask, but if they don't want to do it—Are there agencies that are also giving you problems when it comes to posting information on open data? Have agencies been resistant to posting what you've determined they should be posting based on the law?

ALBERT WEBBER: I think agencies for the most part have been very cooperative. You know, in the early days of open data I think there was a culture change just the—just the shift to—to putting that data out there. But for the most part, I think agencies have been very cooperative. It's come to the point where now we have a team that's doing a lot of automation with the publish—with our publishing data that we have to—I'm not saying that we're turning away data, but we have to push agencies

2.2

2.3

a little further back in the queue because of the demand for agencies to get data on the portal. So I'd say for the most part agencies are--are very cooperative.

See my point about there being an agency in the City of New York that is above approach that can make sure that there is compliance. That's my point. Here we are going back and forth, and you're counting on voluntary cooperation from other agencies. You've really been in a position where you probably should have asked the Mayor's Office some time ago to intervene, and that request probably was not made. So therefore we're in a situation where not every agency has an open data person. So I want to make sure that the law is complied out and, your--your inability to tell other agencies what to do means that you cannot ensure compliance.

ALBERT WEBBER: With regards to open data coordinators, (sic) I'd also like to point out that--

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing] You-you have to depend on good will and compliance, and
you know what it is with some commissioners, they
think that their agencies is their own bailiwick.

2.2

2.3

Not just in this Administration. I'm talking historically. You have commissioners who think that their agency is their agency, their bailiwick, that they're going to make decisions, and there are ways to implement the law and there are other ways to implement the law. Well, no, the public has a right to know. We have specific legislation we enacted in the Council, and there has to be a check. There has to be the accountability aspect.

ALBERT WEBBER: I mean with regards to the—the open data coordinators and the positions that are there in agencies, every agency may not have an open data coordinator but most do. And in some cases where we have the agencies where an ODC hasn't been officially appointed, we have multiple points of contact who—who really serve in that role of being that ODC who—who plays that position. So the ODC may not exist, you know, by name, but there's a person there that does that similar work. They just may not have been officially appointed as that open data coordinator.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Uh-huh. I--I think it says something to the people of New York City that there is a person that's known as the open data

2.2

2.3

person. So I would like that. I understand your

point certainly. Okay. I think you and do we have

questions from any of the members?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [off mic] I do.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Council Member

Gentile and then Council Member Cabrera.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, and thank you for your--both of you for
your testimony. Mr. Webber, first of all, I'm glad
to hear that you've both agree that 898 and data
dictionaries is a good idea, and--and you approve of
it the way it is written. But I'm curious. In your
testimony, Mr. Webber, you said that--that it's
really up to the agencies to submit their data and
their definitions of technical terms to MODA and
DOITT. It's not MODA and DOITT's job to do that.
So, should--and this almost follows up on Council
Member Vacca's question. Should they fail to do that
it sounds like you throw up your hands and say that's
it. We can't--we can't force them to do it.

ALBERT WEBBER: Well, I guess in terms of the data dictionaries, the reason we say it, we believe that they understand their data more than we would. So we would expect them to put together those

for that to reside.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- data dictionaries to explain to us what columns, and 2 3 field names and records of that sort mean. feel that data dictionaries are useful. We do think 4 that that's something that could go into the 5 Technical Standards Manual that agency open data 6 7 coordinators review, and it should be that standard 8 when it comes to open data, that the data dictionaries are actually completed. So we do think the Technical Standards Manual would be a good place 10
 - COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Because ultimately you, um, you're responsible for complying with the law, correct? So, and you mentioned, Doctor, about these monthly meetings with the agency open data representatives.

DR. MASHARIKI: Uh-huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Is that an appropriate place where you can get this kind information should it be missing?

DR. MASHARIKI: Absolutely, absolutely and that's what—that's what we will do. And there's actually—we can put together subcommittees from those monthly discussions to discuss exactly the best way to automate data dictionaries. Data dictionaries

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member Cabrera.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

much, Mr. Chair. Welcome. I have a couple of questions. I just want to know as a general policy do you agree that we want--we don't want data to be erased or removed from the Open Data Portal once posted?

ALBERT WEBBER: We have concerns about as data is growing just keeping records of all of the data that's in the Open Data Portal. We have feeds that vary in their refresh rates, daily, weekly,

2.2

2.3

2 monthly. So we do have some concerns about storage 3 costs, about retention and things of that sort, but--

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So talk to me about the storage costs. How much are you talking about?

ALBERT WEBBER: We'd--we'd have to do a best cost analysis to see exactly what that would be, but we just released--

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: [interposing]
But you mentioned it as a concern.

ALBERT WEBBER: Yeah.

mention it as a concern that you tell me that somebody already evaluated what the cost analysis would have been while you're telling me that you guys are guessing that it's--I mean how much are we--what's the range here? What are--what are we talking about, or anybody who you brought here do they have the cost analysis?

ALBERT WEBBER: So, I mean we have our current contract in place with our current vendor.

Um, but when it comes to these storage costs, I mean this is something that we have to do some real analysis on. Our data sets are getting larger and

2.2

2.3

larger. Our daily automations are capturing millions of new records every single day. So there are—there are—obviously there are concerns about, you know—

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: [interposing]

But we knew this was going to happen. Remember when

Council Member Gale Brewer and I both talked about it

(sic) and I was the chair. We--we knew this back

then. I hope somebody in the agency foresaw that

this was going to happen, right? I mean this is not

a new use that we were going to archive and it was

going to be a greater cause. When we had last year

\$3 billion surplus, we were trying to figure out

where to put money. I mean did anybody request for

more funding from the agency to--to make sure that we

could have additional storage space, capacity?

ALBERT WEBBER: We have a number of open data lines that are available. With regards to our current, um, contract with our vendor, that's on a yearly basis as of right now. And we're not opposed to having archived data. You can go and look at it on the Open Data Portal. We do have a number of data sets that are archived. There are just concerns when you have that data that's refreshed as frequently as daily. Keeping those snapshots of ten plus reading

23 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: No? Go ahead
24 and explain where--where you have the capacity to do
25 it. DOITT has the capacity to do it. So tell me

No, no, no.

ALBERT WEBBER:

2.2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- where's the gap here. If--if you don't see--you see the value.
- DR. MASHARIKI: If you don't mind, I can give you--
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: [interposing]
 7 Please.
- B DR. MASHARIKI: --I can give you
 gincidents.
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Please.
- DR. MASHARIKI: We do see the value in archiving. We do archiving now. You mentioned Taxi and Limousine data.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Right.

DR. MASHARIKI: We work diligently with our vendors to ensure that we could not only store, but also provide high performance to users coming onto the portal gaining access to that large data set. With respect to archiving, what I mentioned in the testimony was that we do have to strike a balance between a clean and usable portal. And so, we don't want to have this portal become some cumbersome and so unwieldy with data sets all over the place over a specific period of time. I'll give you an example. If an agency is providing data to us in an automatic

reed now, and let's say that agency just for
operational reasons are not storing a particular data
set, maybe three or four fields out of that data set,
so they are no longer sending that to us because they
are no longer tracking thosethat data set. But we
archive that and it remains on the portal as is, but
now we're having a new feed with less fields. Then
there is going to be some confusion in terms of users
saying well why can't I get that data? I used to get
it last year. I'm not getting it now so on and so
forth. So we're not saying that that should stop the
process of archiving. We're saying that we should
have a fuller conversation really and work out
exactly what the strategy is to ensure a clean usable
and not a messy portal, but also smartly archive this
information.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: You--you have a lot of smart people in your agency. Probably some of the brightest among agencies. Some agencies might debate that. I think you guys could figure that out. I think that whenever you have areas where you have scenarios like the ones you mentioned that--that somehow that's indicated that that information could be indicated. I just, you know, and obviously I'm

addressing the issue here of Intro 890. You know, I
don't think costs should be an issue because it was
mentioned. I justI don't [coughs] it's shouldn't
be an issue especially we don't know how much we're
talking about. I think with the economy of scales at
which you're working with and with new contracts, I
don't think that should be a stumbling block to
making Intro 890 a possibility. Let me ask you
something related to Council Member Vacca's comment
doesdoes every agentis thereis there an agency
that have an open data coordinator vacancy at this
moment?

[pause]

ALBERT WEBBER: Probably. I think I have to get back to you on that one.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Can you please?

ALBERT WEBBER: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay. The second question is are there agencies that—this is kind of a dumb question, but are there—are there agencies that are like way behind providing, um, the info that you are requesting?

ALBERT WEBBER: Um, so what we do--we monitor everything based on that open data plan. You

2.2

2.3

data.

can see the status of the open data plan on the open data dashboard. In terms of way behind, I would say no. There are agencies that looking at their dates for this current year may be a little behind, but we've had regular engagement with them to get that

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Well, how would you qualify a little behind?

ALBERT WEBBER: There are data sets that we're doing the last month where we're having conversations and we expect them up in the--in the next few weeks.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay, do you have--do you guys have a rating system that your provide back to them and say hey this is how effective you have been? That way the commissioners can see. It's very possible the commission is doing it now and the information has been related late right?

ALBERT WEBBER: We do not have a rating system, the dashboard is the--is the method in which we monitor progress of the agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yeah, but I'm sure the commissioners and the other agencies, I mean

2.2

2.3

they're not going there to see how--I mean, they're
dealing with basically with the staff. Maybe it's
something for you guys to consider that information,
this type of information. It would be directly
related to the commission and say look this is how
effective your coordinator, you open data coordinator

ALBERT WEBBER: Absolutely.

has been in relaying the information to us.

so much and I hope you will reconsider your--again the value with the value of Intro 890. I think it's necessary. At the end of the day, we're going to be--we're going to be confronted as to how much we're going to archive, what's going to be made available, how it's going to happen. I know it's a judgment call at one point, but I think the original intent of the law as I recall with Council Member Brewer and all of the hearings we had back then was to do exactly that. To make sure that as much information that was available is made available, and that we had the historical context to that. Thank you so much, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I wanted to ask on Intro 915, what do you believe is the

2.2

2.3

appropriate update frequency for a data set when the data was also available immediately on the agency's website?

[background comments]

ALBERT WEBBER: Okay, yes. So I believe this is in reference to if data is made available somewhere aside from the Open Data Portal.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Right.

ALBERT WEBBER: Okay. Um, I--I think three days is a little bit aggressive. What that would entail is whether it be Web Master from NYC.gov, our open data team in working with those agencies. We'd have to get a better understanding of that process at other agencies tied into our process. Three days is a bit aggressive. We do think we would need more time, but in terms of keeping that data fresh and making sure it's consistent, we're definitely on board with that.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well, if you don't agree to three days, there's got be an outside--there has to be a parameter and, um, it sends a message about how useful you view the information also if you don't have a time table. Then agencies will take it upon themselves. So your agency has to really

2.2

2.3

establish a time table for these agencies to comply with. You can just say three days is not enough, but that's okay, but then don't you want it in seven days or six day? I mean, there has to be a firm guideline so that we can implement them more successfully. So I'd like you to take that back. Okay. Oh, Councilman Kallos has joined us. Do you have questions? Oh, wait, let me ask Councilman Kallos to first, of course, speak on his bill and then if he has questions.

drop by, and thank Council Member Vacca, Chair of the Technology Committee for his leadership on technology issues, for putting together an amazing package of open data bills to take the package—the Open Data Law to its next iteration. Um, that's what we do in the technology fields. We improve and improve and improve over and over again, and just want to thank him for his generosity. Not to show too much transparency, but sometimes billing legislators will work and do all the work themselves and create a package of legislation, share them with their colleagues based on their interests. And so I'm grateful pairing the GIS information bill. I

2.2

2.3

understand that there are some concerns with it, but ultimately as long as we are sticking with the geospatial recognized by the consortium whatever the standard is we should just have it and adopt it.

Camel(sic) is one that is easy to use and plain text accessible. Which might be one especially for the open data sets because otherwise you need to have references to external data sets. So Camel is one that I would recommend, but either way, having a GIS standard that uses longitude, latitude as well as a geospatial markup would be amazing. And I just want to thank Jimmy for his leadership on this and remind Dr. Mashariki that there's an outstanding challenge

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Councilman Kallos, and we will now thank you, thank this panel for their testimony. We have one more panel I think or two? Two panels. I'd like to now call up Tara Das, Columbia University, John Caney, Reinvent Albany. I wish you would. Katherine Gray. Please come up. Katherine Gray is from the League of Women Voters, Transparency Working Group. Thank you. [background comments, pause] Who would like to go first? Okay, sir, identify yourself for the record.

between us that must be settled.

JOHN CHENEY: I've done this before. 2 I'm 3 John Cheney. I'm the co-chair of the New York City 4 Transparency Working Group and also Executive Director of Reinvent Albany, and I'm here today also presenting this testimony on behalf of my co-chair 6 7 Jean Rushnoff(sp?), Senior Attorney for New York 8 Public Interest Research Group who was unable to come today. First, I just wanted to thank you, Chairman Vacca and the other members. This is one of the best 10 11 hearings I've been to, oversight hearings. I know 12 you're a master of this, but I will compliment you. 13 The questions that you and the other members asked were directly on target today. They're exactly the 14 15 concerns we have. So it's really gratifying to hear 16 you guys be so well informed, and so persistent and 17 just ask the perfect questions and I appreciate that 18 tremendously. So thank you. Your oversight is very, 19 very important and I just have brief written verbal 20 comments. And we submitted a whole ton of written 21 comments on the legislation that the Council 2.2 introduced. I will say we--we strongly support the 2.3 intent of all of those bills. We really haven't had time to work through a lot of the details on them 24 like how many days should this take or that take. 25

but again, these bills are addressing the problems
that we as data users and advocates are facing every
day with open day. I was very lucky to be one of the
public stakeholders who helped negotiate the New York
City Open Data Law in 2012, and I'm just thrilled to
see how far open data has come and how much we've
achieved here. And I'm about to criticize the Open
Data Law and the Open Data Initiative, but it's all
within the context of the fact that we passed a law
that is truly of global importance. My group had
visitors from all over the world asking us how did
you do it? How did the City Council do it? Why is
New York so special. So before I beat the heck out
of the law, I just want to say, you know, that we
love the progress that's been made, and we're very
proud as New Yorkers in what's going on here, which
includes your oversight.

So that said, we're three years into the open data process and we're starting to see some very serious problems with how data is made available.

The usefulness of the data that is being made available, and the use of the usability of the data.

And we recognize the sincere efforts by MODA and DOITT and the folks that they had here today are true

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

experts, and committed to things. But the cold fact is this: Agencies are not complying fully or usefully with the Open Data Law, which you recognized in your questioning. The reality is that MODA and DOITT do not have the authority to compel them to comply with many, many aspects of the Open Data Law, and this has emerged as a major problem for the public, and created a tremendous amount of frustration among advocates, neighborhood groups, community boards, folks on City Council. Basically all the public stakeholders of open data, people who use open data are saying hey it's great But, you know, it's time for some big changes. And really, what I'm here to do today is to say to you and Council and really to the Mayor's Office is we think you should aim high here. Aim higher than you perhaps are, and maybe than you think the political process is ready for. But we want you to aim high. What do we mean by that? We want you to think about open data as you do the Freedom of Information Law. We want the public to be able to petition judges to make agencies comply with the Open Data Law, and we want the Open Data Law to be looked at as the other side of the Open Government coin from FOIL.

2.2

2.3

that's what we think is going to make open data really work. So you were getting at this in some of your lines of questioning. But, um, but what we want is a private right of action, which is the public's ability to go to court and get a judge to say hey agency you have to comply with the Open Data Law just as you have to do with the Freedom of Information

Law. Because we think ultimately that the Open Data Law is not going to work nearly as well as it could unless the public has that—that right and that power to—to move agencies on that. And we know you're trying to get at that in other ways there, but we think that ultimately we have to get there through private right of action.

Now, a couple things. The City Council has passed many, many ad hoc data reporting bills, taxi crashes, bike crashes, youth in foster care, Hepatitis, student demographics. The list goes on and on, but really the Council cannot pass thousands of bill requiring agencies to post specific data sets. You just can't. So just a couple interesting facts here. We looked at Legistar. Since 1998, the City Council has passed 398 laws requiring agencies to report on specific activities, reporting bills or

2.2

2.3

laws. Only five of those 308--98 laws deny the public the right to go to court, deny a private right of action. Only five out of 398 reporting laws passed by the Council. One of those is the Open Data Law. That's entirely ironic to us. So, open data, Freedom of Information law, two sides of the same open government coin. Really, we think the last three years is pointing to the fact that we do need a private right of action. We do need forceful action as some of the bills that you and your colleagues have introduced call for, and we have to get that.

Two other quick major issues with open data, data quality, and you're going to hear on this from other folks, too. It is incredibly vexing that there's no way for the public to report errors, receive responses or track fixes made to--made when they report data problems. One of the basic ideas behind open data is that the public is going to report problems with government data, and that the government is going to fix them. And essentially, the government is acting as free data detectives. So this is almost like a free service that the public is giving back to their government to help them clean data. We all expect data to have big--government

2.2

2.3

data to have problems in it, and one of the ideas

behind open data was to created a positive feedback

loop where we're continually correcting those data

sets, and it's just not happening right now. So,

this is a major, major issue. Some of the

legislation that you introduced speaks to this, but

we want you to keep hammering away on it.

The other issue--big issue is that of data usefulness, and by that I mean is the data up there, um, as presented useful to say community board members, and the answer is maybe, maybe not. And we want you to keep pushing the Administration to be asking data users, in particular community board members, City Council staff and non-profit groups that are serving neighborhoods, at the neighborhood level what exactly they need. Because we think there's a lot of frustration at the grassroots level when people actually do look at the Open Data Portal.

The other thing we'd note under data usefulness is that strangely the City of New York seems to be keeping three data sets, three copies or slightly different copies of data sets. One for the agency, which originates it; one for MODA, which takes the data and cleans, applies a uniform

geospatial or, you know, address field to it; and one
for the Open Data Portal. And those data sets are
different. So there are three different data sets
being used, and that isthat's very, very expensive
and very, very silly. So we would like to see city
agencies start using data in the Open Data Portal.
One data set. That'swe should be seeing the same
data that agencies see minus the privacy or security
fields in it. But something to keep an eye on there
is that why are we keeping three data sets there.
And then just lastly, you know, the bills that you've
done we look at as a huge opportunity for kick
starting this entire process, and really, really
bring the Open Data Law to the next level. So thank
you for all of that and much appreciated, and we hope
to be part of the conversation.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Certainly, and I thank you for your support and your very nice words. Would you identify yourself, please?

KATHERINE GRAY: My name is Katherine

Gray. I'm one of the Vice Presidents of the League of

Women Voters. As multi-issue non-partisan political

organization we encourage informed and active

participation in government work to increase

25

understanding of major policy issues, and influence 2 public policy through advocacy and education. 3 4 League in New York City is over 96 years old, and has been interested in government operations and how it 5 affects every person in the community. 6 The path to the information is now electronic data, and it assets--access is paramount to the success--to the 8 successful operation, oversights and transparency in a democracy. We appreciate this opportunity to make 10 11 comments. We're here basically to support the 12 Transparency working group. The League supported 13 reform to the Open Data Law, Local Law 11 of 2012 because--because after three years it has become 14 15 apparent the law needs adjustments, as we can see in 16 the seven open data bills before us today. I would 17 like to reiterate the three key factors that 18 transparency working groups identify, there is no legal mechanism to compel agencies to publish data or 19 20 keep their own data publishing schedule. Some of the data sets have been found to be riddled with errors, 21 2.2 and there is no formal method of reporting errors so 2.3 those errors can be fixed. Three, not all data is presented--presented in a uniform and useful manner. 24

This addresses Intro 898 by Council Member Vincent

Gentile requiring a data dictionary for every data
set on the Open Data Portal. The data dictionary,
according to the law, accompany each data set or a
link to such data dictionary. I feel this could be
improved by not saying or. I do believe both. I
think the definition of the data set should be with
the data and in the dictionary. I think that word to
both would improve the law because the idea of
something between database is cumbersome. The
concept of every data set having the same format for
dates, addresses and those addresses being geo coded
with latitude and longitude, community board, council
districts and even census districts would be
revolutionary in the use of the data. We at the
League of Women Voters taking election districts and
census data and we're trying to put them together so
you can understand populations of the non-voters.
The Open Data Law would greatly benefit from all six
council members, members of the Open Data community
and the U.S. Office of Data Analytics working
together on a comprehensive legislation that would
make the Open Data Law more convenient to users and
city agencies. The League applauds the council

also include overseeing the university collections

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and government information issued from the federal, state and city government. With the majority of government information now in electronic format including open government data, concerns arise with preservation of this information so that it remains publicly available over time. For example, a government agency may have decided to remove a publication from its website. If they did not transfer a copy to an archive or a library, users will find it difficult to access that publication. With this in mind, my colleagues and I decided to select data sets from NYC Open Data and maintain them in our catalogs at Columbia. I took a much closer look at the data sets on the portal under the assumption that if we were going to store this data, it needs to be shareable and visible by others for research. Users cannot analyze data if they do not know what it means, how it was collected and cleaned, and what was the purpose of data collection. need to be equipped with the same information that the data creators had in order to conduct research with the data and appropriately evaluate city services. Close examination of NYC Open Data revealed that it was not usable due to poor data

2.2

2.3

definitions of column names and values and descriptions of how the data was collected and clean. I present three cases in my testimony to explain what I mean, and I'll just hit on the first two at this hearing. So the Department of City Planning has a data set related to Hurricane Sandy, damage by Sandy by age of building. And the columns included in the data set are year built, inundation area destroyed by storm, red tags, yellow tags. So how are we to analyze this data when we don't know what the columns mean, how the data was collected and the percents in the data sets were calculated.

The Department of Homeless Services has

two data sets on NYC Open Data that overlap with

their daily report, which is available in PDF format

on their website, but they're not all exactly the

same. The time and subject coverage vary across the

three sources. In addition to lack of data

documentation there are other issues with this

report. One of the ones that's on NYC Open Data the

Daily Report of single adult and family intake has

one day of data from January 28, 2013, and the other

one DHS Daily Route is routinely updated, but does

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not contain columns of the first data set as the agency website report do. As I hope some of these cases illustrate, open data is not just a matter of making data available. Since most of this data comes from the internal business operations, there are abbreviations and/or agency jargon in a lot of data Truly open data, data that is usable by others requires data about the data or meta data. People less familiar about the idea of meta data can understand it in terms of data documentation, data dictionary or the data code book. That is essential to understanding the data set that one did not create, and to interpreting any analysis that used that data set. In research communities, it is expected practice to include meta data that describes the data collection background, instruments, data definitions, and methodology when sharing data sets. Given the limitations in NYC Open Data, our immediate objective shifted to acquiring data dictionaries for data sets. Since data dictionaries are not required for NYC Open Data, my first step was to contact the data set owners through the portal via the about tab in each data set to see if they can provide this information, and no one replied. I reached out to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mayor's Office of Data Analytics to see if they could assist with providing agency contacts or in general with acquiring data dictionaries. There was one conference call with them where these concerns were expressed by no follow-up action from them. reached out to the New York City Transparency Working Group. Don McNay (sic) or Reinvent Albany responded and provided me with a list of open data coordinators for outreach. So since early this year, I've reached out to coordinators with questions about specific data sets. This is a time intensive activity. created one data dictionary and it's included in the appendix of this testimony for the ACS Community Partners data set. Since data dictionaries are not legally required, relying on government officials to voluntarily provide this information is not the best way of make open data usable for research. If I need to contact an open data coordinator about multiple data sets, putting all my questions regarding data definitions and data collection methods in one long email is not the best way to get a response. means I ask a few simple questions first, see if I get a response and then follow up with phone calls or emails to ask more questions in order to created data

dictionaries. So completing just one data dictionary 2 3 for one data set on NYC Open Data required sustained 4 communication within the agency. While I received at least one response from some open data coordinators, I didn't receive any response other including OMB, 6 Department of Correction and Department of 7 8 Transportation. Some agencies do not have an open data coordinator to contact as of February 2015. That was the list I was using. But I had provided 10 11 data sets to NYC Open Data. These include the Civil 12 Complaint Review Board, the Fire Department of New 13 York, New York City Housing Authority and Health and 14 Hospital Corporation. Moreover, government officials 15 aren't always equipped with definitions and 16 descriptions of how data is collected and what each 17 category means. This data comes out of routine 18 government services and programs and wasn't 19 originally collected for public release. Sometimes 20 the people on the ground collecting this information 21 for internal purposes don't have themselves written 2.2 documentation for what specific categories mean. 2.3 encountered this while speaking with DHS about their daily report. Other times I was referred to laws to 24 25 assist with understanding data sets, and while

2 helpful, I'm not a lawyer and couldn't be confident
3 that I was mapping the appropriate value to the legal

4 definition.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So in sum, obtaining data documentation voluntarily and retroactively is just not realistic. In light of these experiences and given the critical need for preservation and data documentation for NYC Open Data, I strongly support bills Intro No. 898, 914, 915 and 916. Along with my support, I have comments for each bill, but I'll just focus on Intro 898 on the data dictionary and Intro 915 on timely updating. So regarding Bill No. 898 in relation to requiring a data dictionary for every data set on the Open Data Portal. So I was very happy to see this bill proposed because it addresses many of the concerns outlined in my testimony, but I do have some comments. In addition to explaining and I quote from the bill, "Any relationship or connection between a column or other columns within a data set, connections across data sets in NYC Open Data and the NYC website should be explained. This would help clarify the confusion across a similar report provided by DHS as presented earlier. All data sets should have the most recent upload and generation

2.2

2.3

date posted, not just the data sets updated less than daily as specified in the bill. Daily data sets may not include a data column with their information, and even if they do, there may be differences across the event, date of upload and date of generation. The dates should also be posted on the portal perhaps in the about tab where there are also dates of update and creation related to the portal itself, which makes it confusing, and not posted in the data dictionary as suggested in the bill.

Regarding the statement in the bill, the data dictionary may also include any additional information or description that can provide context to the data. The data dictionary should include this information. So that would be nice if that were to be amended in the bill. Description of the purposes and methods of data collection is essential for research and analysis as discussed earlier. Data dictionary should follow a standard template and be located in an easy to find location, and external review of data dictionaries is also recommended. It is essential that acronyms and technical terms are explained as the bill requires but government officials close to the programs that generate open

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

data may not realize what an outside person would
consider a technical term.

Regarding bill--Intro No. 915 in relation to the time of the updating of certain public sets in the Open Data Portal, yes NYC Open Data must contain data that is synchronized as possible with data published on data websites. Lack of updated data on NYC Open Data not only lowers its utility, but it frankly lowers its prestige and value. And, in fact, at Columbia University we encourage researchers not to rely on NYC Open Data and to consult agency websites for the most recent data. In looking forward, the operations underlying NYC Open Data need to be less manual for updates to happen seamlessly. And really could consider following data.gov and harvesting data automatically from agency websites for new and updated data sets without having to consult with them one on one and go case by case as we explained earlier.

In conclusion, if the primary intent of NYC Open Data is make government more transparent and accountable to New Yorkers. That goal cannot be easily achieved if the public is not also provided with sufficient information that makes the data

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

analysis. Thank you.

1

8

9

10

11

12

- understandable and analysis meaningful. Simply
 transforming government information into open data
 format and releasing numerous open data sets does not
 add this value. The proposed bills, particularly the
 bill requiring data dictionaries are essential to
 making NYC Open Data usable for research and
 - CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I want to thank this panel, and we have one more panel. John Klaus and Josh Mumm. [pause] Mr. Mum, do you want to go first?
- JOSH MUMM: Sure. I'd love to.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: How are you?
- JOSH MUMM: Good. How are you?
- 16 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: All right. Thanks
- 17 for staying.
- JOSH MUMM: Yeah, it's been a long one,

 19 but I will be very brief. My name is Josh Mumm.
- 20 am the Outreach and Advocacy Manager for Common Cause
- 21 New York. We provide a voice for citizens. It's
- 22 more of an open and honest and accountable government
- 23 at all levels. So thank you for holding this
- 24 hearing. There's a lot of bills on the table today.
- 25 \parallel So I'm just going to comment on three of those very

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

quickly like I said. New York--New York City's Open Data Policy was made law at the end of 2012. already been implemented under two different mayoral administrations. Common Cause New York recognizes that a lot of work goes into coordinating the city's numerous agencies, and we'd thank the Department of Information if they were here still, the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications and the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics for their continued hard work in wrangling information out HC silos. I know it's a very long and difficult process. However, the law still has a long ways to go in terms of ensuring universal compliance. Common Cause New York supports Intro 916 of 2015, which would mandate the Department of Education--Investigation to perform an open audit on nine departments including the Department of Corrections and the NYPD. Conducting an audit will not only be helpful in unraveling the confusion that an individual agency may have in complying with the law, it will also be a concrete way to delineate future compliance with other agencies. We strongly suggest that the New York City Economic Development

Corporation and the Department of Small Business

2.2

2.3

Services, also subject to FOIL, should be included in the agency whose compliance is included in the audit. Thus far, there has been no comprehensive open data audit, and it is now long due as we are almost four years into the implementation of the law. We are also in support of the spirit of Intro 914 of 2015 though we are unsure of how it will be carried out. The lack of responsiveness to questions posed by the public in the Open Data Portal is a real cause for concern, and there must be a way for concerns and queries to be answered in a systematic interactable format.

Additionally, we want to voice our support for Intro 908 of 2015, which would place data sets that have been successfully requested by FOIL into the Open Data Portal easily allowing other members of the public to view FOIL information is not only a sensible move from a transparency and good government perspective, it will also drastically cut down on repetitive FOIL requests and thus save time for agencies and FOIL officers.

We share the concerns of our colleagues at Reinvent Albany for the enforceability for the Open Data Law. While we are supportive of the idea

2	of creating a private right of action to gain
3	compliance, we are mindful of the burden and costs
4	associated with litigation, which makes it a seldom
5	used tool in these situation. Perhaps an additional
6	enforcement mechanism might be to empower the
7	Department of Investigation upon request to determine
8	whether there has been a failure of compliance and
9	issue such a finding in order to foster agency
10	compliance. As for the other bills being heard
11	today, Common Cause New York supports their passing
12	as they will bring added clarification to the law in
13	terms of mandated compliance. In conclusion, we echo
14	our colleagues' testimony for the need to improve
15	overall data quality that is made available to the
16	public, and we hope that more resources will go
17	towards staffing the Open Data Portal. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Your
19	testimony was very concise. Thank you.
20	JOSH MUMM: That's how we like it.
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, me too. Go
22	ahead, Crouse.

for questing that I testify today about these proposed amendments to these proposed amendments to

23

24

25

JOHN CROUSE: Oh, there we go. Thank you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Open Data Law . My name is John Crouse and I'm the Technology Fellow at the Gov Lab at NYU whose mission is to improve people's lives by changing the way we cover them including through the increased availability and use of open--of data. I'm also active with the Transparency Working group and in the data community in New York City. Within that open data community there's been agreement for years that unanswered questions and unresolved problems in the-in the data posted on the portal impede the use of--To understand this issue, we downloaded all 293 comments from the portal from 2011 to the present and analyzed them. So I wanted to speak to kind of the results of that analysis, and I think it provides some interesting evidence as to the deficiencies in the way the process works right now.

So we determined there were four categories, one of which was problems need a fix such as this data set 70 poll sites have blank entries for the location field. There were 70 comments along those lines. Questions that needed an answer such as will this be updated regularly, which was 106 comments and then two other categories, comments that didn't need a response like I don't trust this data

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

or responses such as thank you for the feedback and that was another 114 comments in those categories. So if you actually begin to look at these comments and try and figure out what happens to them after they're made, you'll find that slightly less than half of the questions, 51 of 106 actually were answered. And on average about half a year, 180 days elapsed between the question being posted and the response being posted. The average unanswered question on the data portal is over a year old already. Comments that raised actual problems with the data as opposed to questions that needed to be answered didn't even worse. About two-thirds or 46 of the 70 issues that were raised in comments haven't yet been fixed. On average these unfixed problems were reported over a year ago. Over half of these unfixed problems, 28 of the 46 never even received a confirmation that the problem was being looked into by anyone in the Open Data Portal. And of the unfixed problems that were confirmed, 18 of the 46 on average there was -- on average over a year has elapsed since that confirmation with still no fix.

What we really need are people who can respond to comments by actually fixing the issues

brought up in them, and we need people to fix this		
data, not necessarily more requirements that it be		
fixed. The current arrangement where DOITT manually		
ferries reports of problems to agencies that may or		
may not have resources or people to resolve them has		
not worked. We need open data expertise on the front		
lines responding to these problems and empowered to		
fix them. This means funding for staff and resources		
within the organization dedicated to open data, which		
unfortunately DOITT is not. It also means a private		
right of action, which was previously raised by open		
data users to put them in the same class as FOIL		
applicants whose requests must be responded to. I		
want to emphasize that DOITT is doing their best to		
resolve questions and concerns on the Open Data		
Portal. There are deficiencies in the portal's		
design itself, which make it difficult to keep track		
of these comments, and without a clear mandate to fix		
the problems at the source. Which DOITT does not		
have, often times there is nothing more that they can		
do than tell the user who noticed the problem that		
the agency has been informed and nothing more. Which		
understandably is very frustrating and I think to the		

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 86
2	detriment to the portal user experience in useful of
3	open data in New York City. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I want to
5	thank you both for your testimony, and I want to
6	thank everyone for coming to the hearing today. We
7	have no further witnesses. It is now 3:40 p.m., and
8	this hearing of the Technology Committee is hereby
9	adjourned. [gavel]
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 5, 2015