CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

Jointly With

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

----X

October 1, 2015 Start: 10:16 a.m. Recess: 12:58 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Ritchie J. Torres

Chairperson

Helen K. Rosenthal

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez

James G. Van Bramer Donovan J. Richards

Laurie A. Cumbo

Peter A. Koo Ruben Wills

Costa G. Constantinides

Chaim M. Deutsch Corey D. Johnson I. Daneek Miller

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Shola Olatoye Chair and CEO of NYCHA

David Farber General Counsel at NYCHA

Brian Clarke Senior Vice President for Operations at NYCHA

Farhan Syed Vice President for Construction at NYCHA

George Geller Gregory Floyd Teamsters Local 237 1

2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Meeting is coming to 3 order. Good morning everyone and welcome to this joint hearing of the Committee on Public Housing and 4 5 the Committee on Contracts. I'm City Council Member 6 Ritchie Torres and I Chair the Committee on Public Housing, and I'm honored to hold this joint hearing 8 with Council Member Helen Rosenthal who is the Chair 9 of the Contracts Committee, and we are also joined 10 today by Council Member Peter Koo. I see the Public 11 Advocate is right behind me, Letitia James, and we 12 have the Comptroller Scott Stringer. We are holding 13 this hearing today because of reports of unlivable 14 conditions at King Towers. According to the daily 15 news, the leaks at King Towers have grown so severe 16 that a 70-year-old tenant had to construct an 17 elaborate network of aluminum foil aqueducts to 18 channel the water leaking from her ceiling into 19 buckets on the floor. These leaks were not caused by 20 the failure of the roofs themselves, although the 21 roofs at King Towers are well beyond their expected 2.2 life and have not been replaced in 63 years, but were 23 reportedly worsened after NYCHA started repair work 24 on the roof. As many of you know, I am deeply 25 committed to the success and survival of public

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS housing. I myself am a proud product of public housing, and I would not be sitting where I am today were it not for the stability that the New York City Housing Authority gave to me and continues to give to my mother and my brother. And so whether you live in public housing or not, we all benefit from the stability that NYCHA provides to 600,000 New Yorkers. All of us have a vested interest in seeing NYCHA survive and succeed and seeing NYCHA receive the resources it desperately needs from every level of government. At the same time, those of us in elected office such as the Comptroller, the Public Advocate, Council Member Rosenthal, and myself have an obligation to hold NYCHA accountable for spending its resources transparently, efficiently and effectively. Supporting NYCHA and holding NYCHA accountable are not mutually exclusive goals. These two go hand in hand, and even the Mayor himself recognizes the need for accountability. When asked about the failure at King Towers during a press conference announcing the start of city funded roof repairs at Queens Bridge, the Mayor called it an unacceptable situation for which there would be consequences and an investigation to determine the role of either the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS private contractor or the staff at the development of NYCHA, and we are here today to learn about the results of that investigation. We're also here to address a larger problem, which in my opinion is this, that NYCHA is the least transparent institution in city government. NYCHA is the only citywide government entity whose contracts are unavailable to you, the general public. Now, NYCHA may argue that it has no obligation to disclose its contracts, because it is technically not a city agency, but rather an authority. But the same argument could be made on behalf of the Economic Development Corporation, EDC, or the Health and Human Hospitals Corporation, HHC. Both of those institutions, despite their special status have made their contracts available to the public and available through an online database known as Checkbook NYC, which is administered by the Comptroller's office. If EDC and HHC can commit themselves to the highest standards of transparency, then why can't NYCHA? The fact that NYCHA as a public authority is less transparent and less accountable than everyone else in city government is unacceptable. NYCHA's legal status as an authority is of no relevance to the 400,000

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS residents living in public housing. Those residents have a right to know exactly which contractors are making repairs in their buildings. NYCHA's technical status is of no relevance to the millions of New Yorkers whose tax dollars help fund the operations of the Housing Authority. Those New Yorkers have a right to know how their tax dollars are being spent, and so I have a simple rule. Any institution, any agency, any authority that is run by public officials and funded by public dollars should be fully accountable to the public. We are no longer in the age of Robert Moses where public authorities like NYCHA are free to operate in secrecy. We are in the 21st century where every single government contract and every single government expenditure should be made available to the public and available online. NYCHA should be given no exception to the 21st century rules of open government and transparency. With that said, I will hand the floor to Council Member Rosenthal.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Council Member

Torres, a tough act to follow as always. Thank you

so much for your opening statement and for clarifying
the importance of this hearing. I appreciate that.

Good morning. I am Helen Rosenthal, Chair of the New

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS York City Council's Committee on Contracts. delighted to join my colleague, Council Member Ritchie Torres, Chair of the Council's Committee on Public Housing, in holding today's oversight hearing to examine the need for contracting accountability and transparency at NYCHA. As Chair of the Contracts Committee I am of course focused on procurement policy, ensuring that contracts are devoid of waste, fraud and mismanagement. As a Council Member my focus is on the tenants, ensuring that their living conditions are humane. The procurement process for city contracts have built-in safeguards, including oversight by the Mayor's Office of Contract Services and the City Comptroller. Both offices provide transparency and accountability. However, NYCHA is regulated by a federal authority and is not required to follow the city's procurement rules. We are eager to learn more about how NYCHA engages with MOCS and if at all with the city's Comptroller. The New York City Housing Authority typically contracts for goods and services including repairs and maintenance, building and electrical supplies and other goods that affect the provision of housing for thousands of New Yorkers. NYCHA residents rely on the performance of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS such contracts to ensure both habitable and safe dwellings. Failed or sub-par performance could mean that tenants are forced to live with inadequate heating, leaking roofs or dark and unsafe hallways, and we're not just talking about for one day. We're not just talking about weeks. We're often talking about months and possibly years. Currently, on the Upper West Side, Amsterdam Houses has had scaffolding erected for years waiting for roof repairs that have only just now secured contracts. Since NYCHA does not follow the city's procurement rules, my colleagues and I are eager to learn about what safeguards in place to ensure an effective contracting process free of fraud and mismanagement of funds. We look forward to comparing and contrasting the city's procurement rules and processes through MOCS and those held by NYCHA. Ιt is our hope that such comparison will help NYCHA achieve a more effective and transparent contracting process that will minimize and hopefully avoid issues such as those we will discuss at today's hearing and those that are pictured, evoked in the pictures right behind us. As always, the goal is to work together to provide a more safe and efficient city for all New

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 10

Yorkers. Thank you Council Member Torres and thank

you everyone who is here today to testify.

2.2

2.3

Member Rosenthal. As I noted earlier, there is an online transparency tool known as Checkbook NYC which enables the general public to track the expenditures and contracts of every citywide institution except the Housing Authority. We are intent on changing that and the person who's administering that initiative and who's also intent on changing that is our Comptroller, and I would like to invite him to say a few comments about the lack of transparency.

Oh, and I also want to acknowledge my colleague from Costa Constantinides.

COMPTROLLER STINGER: Well, good morning, and I want to start out by thanking Chairman Torres for calling this hearing of the New York City Housing Authority and for inviting me to testify. I also want to thank Council Member Helen Rosenthal, Chair of the Contracts Committee for holding this hearing with you, and I want to acknowledge Public Advocate Tish James for being here this morning. I thank you for that and as well as Council Member Peter Koo and Council Member Costa Constantinides. I want to thank

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 11 all the members of the Committee on Public Housing and the Committee on Contracts for participating in what I think is a very important hearing, and I'm really glad you gave me the opportunity to testify. You know, as we gather here today our city is confronting a crisis in homelessness and affordability, even during a time during great prosperity. All across our city we see cranes building gleaming towers to the sky, and that's actually a good thing, but we also see too many families getting left behind in run down shelters and too often in NYCHA developments that have become a symbol of urban decay. The contrasts weren't always so extreme. When I was a kid growing up in Washington Heights there wasn't very much difference between Hillside Avenue or Dyckman Houses. We were all just families striving to get ahead. For many moving into NYCHA was a ticket to the middle class and that's the way it was meant to be. As Mayor La Guardia proclaimed in 1938 as he pressed his case for creating NYCHA, "Down with the Hubble's, down with disease, down with firetraps. Let in the sky a new day is dawning. Instead of that new day, NYCHA has become a collection of broken windows, mold and roofs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 12 that never get fixed like at King Houses. So I am here today because Council Member Torres understands this. He understands we've got to have a discussion about real fundamental reform. The reason this hearing is so important is because it speaks to accountability and transparency, something that has been lacking at NYCHA for decades. Year after year we get plan after plan promising on paper how the agency is going to improve, but over and over those promises are broken. Let's just take a quick look In 2006, NYCHA unveiled the plan to preserve public housing and nothing changed. In 2011, it was Plan NYCHA, a roadmap for preservation, and nothing changed. In 2012, the authorities spent millions on a report by the Boston consulting group and nothing changed. And earlier this year, the Administration unveiled NextGen which declared, "We can't solve today's problems with yesterday's solutions." But that is precisely what NextGen offers. In fact, only six of NextGen's 26 strategies didn't appear in NYCHA's earlier plans or in the Boston consultant group's recommendations. So, think about this, 20 of NextGen's 26 strategies and objectives are essentially identical to those that appeared in one

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 13 or more previous plans. So, you can forgive NYCHA residents for hearing NextGen and thinking Old Gen [sic]. My office has conducted more audits than any other Comptroller's office combined in the last 15, 20 years. We've conducted six audits of today's NYCHA and revealed a litany of problems. agency's failure to secure 700 million in federal funds that could have replaced windows and boilers. We dug into NYCHA's mismanagement of vacant properties and found units kept off the rolls for Eighty apartments vacant for a decade and more than 160 for three to ten years. We exposed NYCHA's scandalous inventory system where were found the mysterious X-men accepting deliveries at one warehouse in the Bronx. We still are searching for X-men. Most recently, we looked at NYCHA's maintenance and repairs program and found a backlog of 55,000 requests. We also found that NYCHA took an average of 370 days, more than a year, to fix critical safety violations. Now, I know Chairman Torres, I know our audits upset some people in city government, but as we all know, sunshine always disrupts the darkness, and when people ask me what government oversight looks like I'm going to tell

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 14 them about this hearing today. So, the real question for this Council is trying to answer how do we finally break the cycle of dysfunction at NYCHA? I want to present to you briefly what I believe must happen based on our audits. First, it's time for NYCHA to be treated like every other agency when it comes to budgeting, because for far too long they've flown under the radar. Right now the agency provides no quarterly reports of their planned budget, no actuals, cash flows or headcounts, and no four-year financial plan. Now, this is budgeting 101. what happens at other agencies if you point it out, and NYCHA should be providing us all of that. Second, NYCHA should release its physical needs assessment, a critical document that should be tied to its capital plan. The needs assessment is like an x-ray of every building in the system, showing exactly where roof, boilers and other critical systems are in need of repair. Yet, for years NYCHA has hidden this document in a drawer where no one can Now an updated version is due next year. NYCHA should commit today to releasing the needs assessment now and in the future. Next, NYCHA needs to lift the lid on all the maintenance repair records

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 15 and release information about mold, peeling paint and other problems by building. I've called on them to create NYCHA Stat, which like the NYPD's CompStat would track work orders in real time and post information online for all to see. Under this plan, repair requests for each building would be organized by the four major work order types, and they would provide weekly, monthly and yearly comparisons. key is to make sure it's not just another transparency tool, but a management tool, because when you have the information you can actually manage a solution. The whole point is to have managers held accountable for failings in their area, just like CompStat did for NYPD and what ClaimStat does in the Comptroller's office. Now, understand something, the police today could tell you in real time where every misdemeanor, every jay walking ticket is issued at every NYCHA development. So, the police can know your business at NYCHA, but the repairman can't. NYCHA will no doubt testify that they are more transparent than ever, but it's not a reality. agency's metrics page only allows residents to see some information on certain work orders, but not on others, and likewise, their new My NYCHA app allows

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 16 individuals to track their own work orders, but not see the big picture within their building. Imagine if CompStat ran like that. It would like letting you track crimes committed only against yourself without ever seeing what's happening in your community. And today I'd like to add a new priority to the agenda, and it's actually an idea from Council Member Torres that I think ties everything together. It is an idea that is so sensible I wish I had thought of it first. We have a transparency tool in my office as you talked about that could provide a new window into NYCHA and its spending. It's called Checkbook, and it's a website that shows contracting and spending in real time at every agency. In Checkbook, you can see exchanges of money between NYCHA and other city agencies, but how NYCHA spends the bulk of its money and with whom remains all invisible. It's a secret. We need to change that now, and there is a precedent. Just last year, the New York City Economic Development Corporation, which like NYCHA is technically not a city agency, agreed to integrate its contract information into Checkbook. The agency placed over one billion in spending into the system and agreed to update it regularly. I commend EDC,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 17 the Mayor and all officials for getting this done So, today with Council Member Torres and the rest of this council I how we can make a unified call for NYCHA to wake up and join Checkbook. we cannot do is support the status quo or listen to the apologists who insist that all is well. Many of us are near the halfway point in our terms, and if we are going to move the needle on real change, the time to act is now. We need to stand up and do what no Administration has been able to do for decades, and that is to provide meaningful reform to the parents, grandparents and children who call NYCHA home. let me stress, I look forward to partnering with NYCHA's Chairwoman Shola Olatoye. She is already working with our office to reform the agency's inventory system in line with our audit so cooperation happens. We need to make sure that she has all the tools she needs to realize the next generation at NYCHA, because remember, this is not about only brick and mortar. This is about people's lives and ultimately preserving the single greatest source of affordable housing in our city. That's what La Guardia was fighting for when he called for a new day in 1938. He was demanding sunlight for all,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 18 fairness for all, decent living conditions for all, and that's what we have to fight for today. Thank you for this hearing, Speaker Mark-Viverito and all of you present today.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you, Mr.

Comptroller. Do you have--okay? Okay, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Mr. Comptroller,
you summed it up so beautifully.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And thank you so much. I know you had, you yourself coming from the Upper West Side have for years been devoted to this issue, and you know, I know the residents who appreciate you.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you. Thank you.

mean, and I agree with you 100 percent about where the transparency can be in order to bring some of these issues to light. Given your experience in working this, and this is my fundamental question, we know who we work with every day, and we know they're well-intentioned, and we know that the tenants are well-intentioned, the tenant leaders who we work and

the tenants who we see. Where's the disconnect between, you know, the leadership who sincerely wants this changed and those responsible for making repairs or seeing the oversight, or you know, in the situ—in the example of Amsterdam Houses putting up communication on the walls saying that roof repairs are coming, you know. When the tenants call me and say, "Why is scaffolding going up?" and I have to be the one to say, you know, did no one flyer the buildings? Where's the disconnect between the two? Thank you.

2.2

2.3

I think that's the bottom-line questions. You know, we--I started off the testimony because I wanted to sort of talk about all the good intentions, and for many years the people who have had a role in NYCHA have really struggled to put forth reform plans. So, we spent a lot of time looking at the audits and saying, okay, you can't just complain. You need to figure out where's the solution. Where is the tipping point that we do have this fundamental change? And I think it is about transparency not for transparency's sake, but in this particular case, transparency creates a management tool that allows us

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 20 to track in real-time repairs by development, by building, by apartment, and then that gives those of you in the Council who do oversight, that gives my office that has an oversight component as well, gives the Public Advocate the ability to look at data and create their own view of what their recommendations ought to be. Right now we do that in every agency, but we don't do it in NYCHA. So, the old excuse is that well, we're not really a city agency. We're kind of federal. We're kind of state. Throw that all out after EDC, and EDC didn't walk in and say, "Oh, Checkbook's here. I can't wait to put all of our billions of dollars of public projects online for, you know, the press and the Council and everyone to see." But over time and discussion they realized it was a useful management tool for them, and I think we've got to get NYCHA to that point. The second, there are documents about needs assessment in every building that's sitting in someone's office safe, and it's not transparent. And finally, if we could get this out there that NYCHA doesn't have to be reactive every time there's an audit. So, we audit. know the audit's coming. They immediately throw out two or three proposals, see what sticks. I come to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

testify, suddenly they have a PDF that talks about, you know, all of their transparency, but it's not really transparency. It's just to muddle things.

And I think you're right, if we have this tool that's never been used before, we could in fact help them build into a real fix-the-problem metrics that's missing.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And I have one more comment and question. I think, you know, I think you believe as I do that there's no inherent conflict between supporting NYCHA and holding NYCHA accountable, that those are two complimentary goals and that's a point--

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: [interposing] By holding NYCHA accountable, we're supporting NYCHA residents.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But having said that, you know, we should recognize that NYCHA has probably seen a level of disinvestment affecting no other agency. It could be the case that NYCHA's lost five to 10 billion dollars over the last 15 years. Imagine the NYPD losing that level of funding. Imagine DOE losing the level of funding. It would have a devastating impact. So there are some

committee on Public Housing & Committee on Contracts 22 challenges affecting the Housing Authority that are so deeply rooted that it's you couldn't reasonably expect the Chairperson, the new leadership to overcome it, but this is different. This is about contracts, and this is something that NYCHA could do very easily. They could just release the contracts to the Comptroller's Office, and I guess what are your thoughts on that?

important to lay out that NYCHA does have challenges, and NYCHA's operating deficit in 2015 was 98 million dollars. The projected 10-year operating deficit was 2.5 billion. NYCHA has a 918 million dollar executive capital commitment plan for 15 to 19 and the outstanding capital needs projection is nearly 17 billion. Yes, there is a state and federal divestment of NYCHA the likes we've never seen, but let's get creative. So, we as elected officials also have to be held accountable to our ideas and recommendations. So I think you separate out for the purposes of this hearing the budget deficit with transparency and management tools.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Right.

2.2

2.3

1

25

2 COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But I also think 3 that we should look at creating a new revenue stream 4 for the New York City Public Housing Authority. Why 5 not take the excess money from the Battery Park City Authority that traditionally flows through our city, 6 7 and why don't we commit 400 million dollars over 10 8 years to NYCHA, 40 million dollars a year for 10 years, the first ever new revenue stream identified. Move that to NYCHA. That 400 million could be bonded 10 11 turning it into billions, and it would send a signal 12 to the state that we and them by a vote of the 13 Governor, the Mayor and the Comptroller could change that revenue stream right into NYCHA. So we should 14 15 consider out of the box ways of helping identify 16 revenue streams. I think if we did that, I think people would be shocked. Okay, now we're all putting 17 18 our money where our mouth is. Let's go. It won't' 19 obviously deal with the huge deficit, but it would 20 help us. It would position ourselves as critical 21 thinkers about how to lift up NYCHA. You see, if the 2.2 status quo continues, we're going to see the 2.3 dismantling of the most successful public housing program devised and NYCHA is the best in the country, 24

and I worry every day like our Mitchell-Lama program

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 24 which basically we allowed to, as Helen knows on that point, so we allowed it to expire. We cannot allow this to continue much longer.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I agree with you, and
I think the Battery Park Authority would provide a
substantial and bondable revenue stream. So, it's an
idea--

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: [interposing] It could.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: that I whole heartedly support and it would create a partnership between the city and state. I know the Public Advocate had a few comments.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. One is a request and one is an inquiry. Based on conversations with residents at Richmond Terrace and West Brighton Houses as well as Nostrand Houses.

They've complained about shoddy construction. My question is whether or not the Comptroller can conduct an audit with regards to contractors who they are alleging violated health and safety standards at some of these public housing throughout the city of New York based upon our visits to these developments?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 25

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Let me--do you

want to? Could I have our Deputy Comptroller-
PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]

Sure.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: talk about that?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: talk about that? Maybe there's a way we could collaborate.

2.2

2.3

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Sure.

DEPUTY COMPTROLLER: We do actually have an audit open right now of Capital Construction, and you know, we're looking for information. We're just in the survey phase of the audit getting basic information. That information will be useful for us.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. So based upon our town hall meetings at public housing we've been hearing over and over again of shoddy construction which has led to leaks and we would love to join with your office to conduct an audit with respect to those health and safety standards. My other question is, I know that NYCHA gets 88 percent of its funding comes from Federal Government. To what extent is—and I support your idea on making, on having NYCHA comply with Checkbook. My understanding is that there's different financial systems. Is it easier to coincide the two different systems so that

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 26

the software that NYCHA uses and the city uses can be

compatible so that you can--so they can utilize

Checkbook?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So let me just say, so for the first question on the--some of the construction issues, it would be very timely for our offices to talk because part of what informs the audit are the experience of the tenants. So, to the extent that we could know that would help, I think shape the audit that's going on now, and as you know, these audits take a year or more. And, I just want to say, the audit is never a gotcha of a city agency, because at the end of the day before the audit's final, we have sat with NYCHA or every agency at nauseum going through the recommendations. So this could be something that could be very helpful. terms of the question about FM [sic], you know, about the systems--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] Yes.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: NYCHA doesn't run our FMS system, that is true, but NYCHA money could run through Checkbook. And maybe David you want to just give a guick 30 seconds on how that would work?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DAVID: Well, I would suggest that EDC is again the model. Many of EDC's dollars also do not flow through FMS, but they found a way to integrate their spending in Checkbook. So, it's complicated.

It would take time, but it's absolutely achievable.

7 PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: But it can be 8 done.

DAVID: Absolutely.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: That's it.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And by the way, we're willing. We're willing like we did with EDC, it didn't happen overnight, Public Advocate. We really had to drill down. They have legitimate issues that we had to deal with. We obviously had FMS issues.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Right.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But it got done.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yeah, the bottom

line is—and to summarize, it can be done, and that

really is the message. In regards to the first

point, it was Nostrand Houses. They blame leaks on

the fact that the bricks on the façade were repointed

with silicone rather than cement, and on Richmond

Terrace and West Brighton in Staten Island the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 28 contractors hired to remove asbestos violated health and safety standards. My office is here and they'll be in touch with your staff as well. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And part of the hearing that the Council's doing is, you know, we all have--you go into NYCHA and you do a good town hall meeting and you hear resident complaints. And wouldn't it be great if the complaints that you got from taking the time to go to the development, that your office can then go online, right, and say, okay, there's a management tool in place, I now can access information that will allow me in your capacity as someone who looks at these issues to then have the tools sitting in your office to say, okay, I'm going to track this development, so when I go back in six months I'm going to be able to either hold the agency accountable or say to the tenants, "I can tell you this is going to get done." That's what Checkbook and these tools are all about.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Mr. Comptroller, I want to thank you. I think the Public Housing

Committee has a way of bringing people together. So, thank you for coming.

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
 1
                                                        29
 2
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You are truly
 3
    visionary. I want to seriously just thank everybody
 4
     for this hearing. This really--
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Sorry,
     I think Council Member Koo actually had a question. I
 6
 7
     do want to give him the opportunity.
 8
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you,
 9
     Comptroller. My question to you is that you
     mentioned NYCHA is not technically a city agency, so
10
11
     do you sign the checks every month for them, for the
     employees? No?
12
                     Yes?
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: No.
13
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Not under your name?
15
     Oh.
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But I get a lot of-
16
17
     -I send a lot of checks.
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So who signs the
19
     checks, the Chairwoman? Oh, okay.
20
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: That's right.
21
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So you have no
2.2
     authority over there? So you have no authority over
2.3
     their workers?
24
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, we, you
```

25

know--

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing] But you 3 can audit them?

2.2

2.3

think the issue——I don't think you can separate while authorities are certainly different than agencies, I think the point of this hearing is to see the oversight role that we play with NYCHA developments, and look, NYCHA has Federal buildings, State buildings, city buildings, so it's a combination of many different things. What we are arguing today, Council Member, is that there are tools, management tools in place to break the cycle of dysfunction, and that we have to bring, shine the light of day on tense issues. Again, it's a management tool. That's how we can track improvement and standards, and that's what we have to get to.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So what is the total budget, operating budget, for NYCHA?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know what, I don't have the exact dollar amount.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Oh, [inaudible]

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I could tell you.

I could tell you what we mentioned--

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing] No, my

3 question is what's the total budget, and then how

4 much each level of government contribute to the

5 | budget, the federal, the state and city?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I don't have that.

7 I don't have that information today.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: And then what is their deficit?

what the deficit was. The deficit was 98 million according to our data in 2015. The 10-year operating deficit is 2.5 billion according to our office.

NYCHA has a 918 million dollar executive capital commitment plan for FY 15-19, but the outstanding capital needs projection is a whopping 17 billion dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So what is their income revenue from [sic]? For NYCHA, just from the state? Different government?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: There's a lot of—
there's a lot of different revenue streams, state,
federal, obviously not enough city. So, the tenant's
rent, parking revenue—

2.2

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
 1
                                                        32
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] I'm
 2
 3
     going to interject. In the interest of time I want
 4
    to move on. So, thank you, Mr. Comptroller.
 5
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you very,
    very much.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [cross-talk]
 8
                COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you
 9
     everybody, thank you.
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you.
11
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, I would like to
12
     call up the New York City Housing Authority. Okay,
13
    please raise your right hands? Do you swear or
14
     affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing
15
    but the truth today?
16
                SHOLA OLATOYE: I do.
17
                   I do.
18
                   I do.
19
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: You may proceed.
20
                SHOLA OLATOYE: Chairs Ritchie Torres,
21
     Helen Rosenthal, members of the Public Housing and
     Contracts Committee and other distinguished members
2.2
2.3
     of the City Council, good morning. I'm Shola
     Olatyoe, the New York City Housing Authority's Chair
24
```

and CEO. Joining me today are David Farber,

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 33 Executive Vice President for Legal Affairs and General Counsel, Brian Clarke, Senior Vice President for Operations, Farhan Syed, Vice President for Construction, and other members of our executive and capital projects leadership team. Thank you for the opportunity to explain the circumstances that led to the unfortunate incident at the King Towers development in Harlem. To put that incident into context, I will discuss the scope and success of NYCHA's overall capital program, which will deliver about 2.4 billion dollars of infrastructure improvements, major modernization and Hurricane Sandy related repair and resiliency work over the next five years to benefit more than 250,000 residents. also provide an overview of our contracting and procurement processes and our efforts to increase transparency as part of our long term strategic plan Next Generation NYCHA. August 12th, the Daily News published a story on a situation at King Towers that should never have happened. Building number 10 at that development was undergoing roof repairs while its roof drains were clogged. As a result, residents living below experienced major leaks into their apartments. While repairs can sometimes unfortunately

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 34 become disruptive, they should never diminish the quality of life for residents as they did in the case of King Towers. It was preventable and it was unfortunate. King Towers was the perfect storm, one of the worst roofs in our portfolio, years of deferred maintenance led to rotting through its sub roof, a previously known uncondition--previously unknown condition that was discovered during construction. Secondly, operations procedures for NYCHA to clear the drains on the roof before construction began were not followed. Lastly, the weight of the repair equipment on the rotting roof led to additional seepage. All of these unfortunate circumstances contributed to leaks into resident's apartments when it rained. Furthermore, the lack of responsiveness by staff was simply wrong and unacceptable. The ultimate responsibility lies with NYCHA to prevent outcomes like this. In this case, procedures regarding clogged drains were not followed and disciplinary action was taken. I think it would be helpful to take you through the details of this incident. In March of last year we awarded the King Towers façade and roof replacement contract to Technico Construction. We also selected a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 35 construction manager, Jay Schipiro [sp?] and Associates, based on their experience and qualifications. King Towers was chosen for these repairs because its roof is one of the worst in our portfolio according to our portfolio-wide assessment. It also had façade conditions that needed to be corrected per the city's Local Law 11. In April of 2014 we kicked of the resident engagement process by notifying residents about the upcoming work through a preconstruction meeting that introduced the resident leadership to the construction manager. From July 2014 to the present we held 46 construction meetings with the construction team, 12 of which were attended by NYCHA property management staff and five meetings with resident leaders to discuss how the work might affect residents. King Towers' roofs are in bad shape. When construction managers work on roofs that are in such poor condition it's not possible to entirely ascertain what's "beneath the surface," that is the extend of the deterioration. This reality isn't an excuse for what happened at King Towers, it just means that our capital projects are more complicated than those at buildings where the elements have had a regular repair or replacement

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 36 schedule. Our protocols help manage the scenarios that can occur when working on old buildings. construction even begins, we conduct surveys of the worksite, documenting existing conditions, identifying any needed work and responsible parties, and checking for potential problems. We conducted the survey at building number 10 in April 2015, during which time three clogged roof drains were identified. Even with these surveys, unforeseen field conditions can arise. For instance, we discovered in June 2015 that the roof slab above apartment 13A needed to be replaced. thereafter, senior NYCHA staff visited the development to assess the roof and the apartment ceiling. We immediately issued a change order to the contract to fix the roof slab and the apartment beneath it. In addition, we coordinated with the two residents on the necessary permanent relocation. building survey was where we failed to follow our own protocol. While the appropriate property management staff did participate in the survey, the staff failed to ensure that a work order was created regarding the clogged drains and that they were cleared before construction began. Furthermore, NYCHA staff allowed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 37 the contractor to move ahead with construction prior to confirming that the drains had been cleared. Roof work on building number 10 began on April 16th, 2015 with asbestos removal. I want to note that a temporary roof was always used to cover the roof during the repair work per our policy and as part of the contract. Following heavy rainfall, top floor residents reporting leaking in their apartments, which NYCHA staff and the contractor investigated. We determined that the leaks resulted from the construction work and addressed them as conditions allowed. However, we could have done a much better with respect to this. The article about the leaks at building number 10 was published on August 12th. Senior NYCHA staff again visited the site to investigate on August 13th, determining that the clogged roof drains, heavy equipment on the roof, and the need to lower the roof drains were the main cause of the leaks. We immediately cleared and lowered the drains. Since then, residents have not reported any leaks due to heavy rainfall. We acknowledge that we could and should have done a better job communicating with residents on what we were finding and how that could impact them. We should have also responded

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 38 more adequately to their complaints. As a result of our review of this matter, we are updating our protocols to more clearly specify which parties from NYCHA, the contractor and the construction manager need to participate in pre-construction building survey and to clarify that construction work cannot begin until all clogged drains are cleared. implemented improved processes for escalating issues regarding clogged roof drain portfolio-wide and for deploying staff to remedy any conditions that arise due to severe weather. We are also convening a group of industry experts, including certified roof manufacturers, architects, certified roofing contractors and construction managers to help us develop additional best practices for working on old buildings. The roof work at building 10 is nearing completion. We finished several stages of the work in September without further incident and are currently working and metal railing installation. This unfortunate incident should not characterize all of the good work we're doing on behalf of our residents. While an incident or two may make headlines, our staff worked hard day and night to make our community safe, clean and connected. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 39 roof replacement project at King Towers is part of our 500 million dollar exterior rehabilitation of 319 buildings citywide that are home to more than 30,000 residents. In the past two years we've successfully completed construction at 83 buildings as part of this program, and construction is underway at an additional 173 buildings. Residents across the city can attest to an improved quality of life as a result of this vital roof and façade repair program, which we expect to complete by 2016. As we've heard before, NYCHA's buildings are old. Sixty percent of them are more than 50 years old. As buildings get older their problems increase. The entire NYCHA portfolio currently has a 17 billion dollar--has 17 billion dollars in unfunded capital needs. Our buildings need more investment more than ever at a time when federal funding for them is scarce and declining. We are very grateful for the Mayor's 300 million dollars investment in roof replacement, which is a great start to an immense problem. replacement delivers significant benefits and dramatically improves quality of life for residents. It prevents leaks that cause mold and reduce leak related work orders, freeing us to focus on other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 40 important issues. In August, we began an 87 million dollars capital project at the Queens Bridge Houses, which includes 60 million dollars of city funding for roof repairs at all 26 buildings as part of a threeyear roof replacement initiative. We hope that the state will follow the city's lead and invest 100 million dollars in state capital funding to replace significantly deteriorated roofs at an additional 100 NYCHA buildings. The vast majority of funding for NYCHA's regular capital program is federal, about 88 percent in recent years. From 2001 to 2013, annual federal capital grants have declined, 162 million dollars or by 36 percent, from 420 million dollars to 259 million dollars. As a result NYCHA has experienced a cumulative federal capital grant funding loss of more than one billion dollars since 2001 on top of previous years of state dis-Even in the years of flat appropriations investment. of to the capital fund rising costs have resulted in very real cuts to the program. This chronic funding gap severely constraints NYCHA's ability to make necessary repairs and upgrades to brick work, roofs, elevators, building systems, including heating, plumbing systems, and apartment interiors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 41 Modernization is crucial for NYCHA to maintain its housing stock in a state of good repair and improve service levels and quality of life for the next generation of New Yorkers. In spite of the significant challenges of aging infrastructure and declining federal funding, NYCHA has established a track record of completing quality construction projects in a timely manner. Over the past five years, NYCHA has implemented a series of improvements to the way we plan capital projects, incorporating industry best practices into our policies and procedures. Those improvements included organizational changes such as creating a capital planning unit to collect and analyze technical data, to make better decisions about which projects get funded and when and procedural changes such as assigning a single point of contact for every project to increase accountability and provide a clear line of responsibility. This strategic focus enables us to complete projects more quickly and efficiently, which is vital considering the age of our buildings and the deadlines associated with federal funding. HUD requires public housing authorities to obligate 90 percent of capital funds within 24 months and to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 42 expend them within 48 months. NYCHA obligated HUD's latest capital grant in only eight months, well ahead of the 24 month deadline, and NYCHA beat HUD's deadline in expending 311 million dollars on critical infrastructure, including facades, roofs and elevators and heating and plumbing systems that improving the quality of life for about 48,000 families. We're also completing more projects. Last year, we obligated over 740 million dollars on major modernization projects including the entire proceeds of a 500 million dollars bond, which was obligated about a year under deadline. This is three times the usual 232 million dollar NYCHA obligated in 2012. Another indication of our progress is the fact that the number of construction change orders has gone from about 600 in 2012 to just over 200 last year. Despite all the progress NYCHA has made in overhauling the way we do business, obligating money faster, cutting the number of construction change orders by more than half and seeing reductions in leak related work orders at buildings with replaced roofs, there's still a sense that NYCHA operates in the dark. The only way to dismantle this assumption is to shine a bright light into our capital program.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 43 Within the first 90 days of my tenure we launched NYCHA Metrics on our website which feature a range of current and historical information pertaining to work orders, service wait times, apartment vacancy rates, rent collection rates and more. More recently we've made all of our active contracts available online, similar to other city agencies. We're also planning to post online all awardees of RFP's and contracts moving forward. We just posted online a summary of the most recent physical needs assessment, the P and A as it's called, which is performed every five It's a comprehensive overview of the major infrastructure needs of our developments. doing this because transparency is the best form of oversight, fostering accountability and efficiency without hindering our efforts to better residents. A number of agencies are responsible for NYCHA's oversight. NYCHA abides by a comprehensive set of federal, state and internal procurement statutes, rules and regulations known as a contract procedure resolution. Again, about 88 percent of NYCHA's regular capital funding is federal and it is overseen by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development. HUD procurement standards are outlined

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 44 in the code of federal regulation, primarily 2CFR200.317-326. Federal regulations stipulate, for example, that Public Housing Authority's contracts comply with Davis Bacon Act provisions regarding prevailing wages cannot exceed five years and must abide by Section Three Resident Hiring requirements. Section 151 of the State's Public Housing Law requires NYCHA to procure via field bids. All construction contracts over 50,000 dollars and contracts for materials and supplies over 25,000. The contract is awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. All other contracts over 150,000 dollars may be procured via RFP's or sealed bids. The same procurement rules apply to city capital funding, a small percentage of our funding with several agencies and entities overseeing its expenditure. All contracts funded through the New York City office of Management and Budget are registered with the City Comptroller. Additionally, through the city's Department of Investigation and Housing Development Corporation and independent auditor monitors contracts for capital projects that are funded by a bond issuance at a cost to NYCHA of approximately 6.5 million dollars. NYCHA uses the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 45 city's vendor information exchange system known as Vendex to verify the real liability and integrity of the vendors we select regardless of the funding source. NYCHA also has a process for evaluating contractors at the end of the contract as well as different milestones during the life of the project on criteria such as timeliness, completeness, safety, and quality of work. in addition to HUD and the Comptroller's Office, NYCHA reports regularly to the Mayor, the City Council, the New York City Office of Management and Budget, the IRS, the State Comptroller, New York State Homes and Community Renewal, the New York City Housing Development Corporation, the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development, the New York City Department of Finance, the New York City Department of Investigation, the State's Department of Taxation and Finance, the State Attorney General, and more than a dozen other agencies and entities. Dozens of NYCHA staff spend thousands of hours working to promote accountability and engage our partners through these more than 100 reports. We take very seriously our responsibility to the public. Clearly, the issue at hand is not creating additional layers

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 46 of unfunded reporting, but more transparency for proper oversight and accountability. We want to make sure our capital dollars are spent as effectively as possible, on time, within budget, and to maximize benefit of residents. And so we are building upon that work we've already begun to become a more transparent organization. Unlike private landlords who can raise rents to fund major capital improvements, NYCHA relies mainly on government funding to make the best use of scarce federal dollars. We have been working to improve our operations and become a more--become a better and more efficient landlord through our long term strategic plan, Next Generation NYCHA. Every year that repairs and upgrades are not completed increases the risk for further deterioration to our buildings. Through our capital program we're making critical timely improvements that will prevent further deterioration and undo decades of dis-investment, such as beginning the restoration of 66 roofs this year with the Mayor's 300 million dollars investment. However, hundreds of roofs that are not included in this work are also in dire need of repair. So we must continue talking about how we get that work

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 47 funded. Thank you to the Council for your support as we move forward to address NYCHA's challenges. I am happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: As always, thank
you, Madam Chairwoman, and NYCHA is cooperative with
the committee, and I do believe that you've made some
genuine strides for transparency. You noted that
you've released all the contracts recently. How
recently did you release all the contracts?

SHOLA OLATOYE: We've began that process actually earlier this month and we just completed it I believe earlier this week. So, they're now available on our website.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So earlier this week all the contracts were available?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Yes, they should be available now if you download you can go to the website.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Great. I'm going to bring up a sensitive topic. I am not going to ask about, obviously your Executive Vice President for Capital Projects was suspended. I'm not going to ask about the details of the suspension because we are

not the Department of Investigations, but I do want to ask about the impact it will have on the capital program. Can you give us every assurance that the capital program, at a time when it is probably—you have more projects than you've ever had in the history of the authority is going to continue operating as smoothly as it has in the past few years?

2.2

2.3

SHOLA OLATOYE: Like any organization, but certainly at NYCHA we are more than one person, and I'm pleased to say that we have a deep and experienced bench of professionals who are committed day and night to executing on our regularly federal capital program as well as our Sandy program, etcetera. We have no time to waste. We have no—we cannot miss a step. The risks are too high. So, our commitment is to continue day and night the work that we have before us.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Now, I heard you earlier characterize we don't need, what was it, layers, unneeded layers of reporting, and then you mentioned a litany of agencies to which you report regularly. My understanding is that city contract requires the approval of a whole host of regulatory

agencies like MOCS, the Law Department, DOL, DLS, and then ultimately registration with the Comptroller's Office. Do you have to secure the same approvals?

Does NYCHA have to secure approval from MOCS for its federal—most of its contracts is federally funded?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHOLA OLATOYE: So, we are as part of our--the resources that we receive from the city, so city capital dollars, that is we are part of the Checkbook system already and we are required to go through all of the layers of reporting and compliance that you In addition to our--for the rest of just mentioned. our work, the Department of Housing and Urban Development as well as the Comptroller and others have an oversight on those. Our system currently for federal programs does not speak to the city system, but we are certainly interested in learning from our ECD colleagues. I was just with our Commissioner yesterday talking about this as to how they were able to make that switch, and we are interested in pursuing that further with the necessary financial support ultimately to improve accountability and transparency.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, are you willing to integrate all of your contracts including your

2.2

2.3

SHOLA OLATOYE: We are certainly willing to begin that process to understand what that would entail for the agency, what the potential cost and implications would mean for us, and we look forward to working with you and the Comptroller on that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And I appreciate
that. But would you acknowledge at some level that
your federal contracts, which are the vast majority
of your contracts, right, the vast majority of your
funding comes from the federal government are
subjected to dramatically less oversight than a city
funded contract would be? You don't require the
approval of MOCS. You don't require the approval of
the Law Department. You don't require the approval
of the Comptroller? You have just dramatically less
oversight for federally funded contracts?

SHOLA OLATOYE: I don't--I don't agree with the premise of your question. I believe that we actually have a significant amount of oversight and organizations that are--that look at and review our contracts, but I think what we're seeing here is NYCHA and what's something that is a part of Next

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 51

Generation NYCHA is really bringing NYCHA more in

line with the city, really removing it from its

island status. So we're really looking forward to

working with you and others to figure out how we do

that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So if you disagree with the premise of my question, what front end approvals do you have to obtain for federally funded contracts?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Our entire capital program is A, submitted to the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Any contract that eventually comes to—is approved, goes through our own internal process as well as our legal, our process and we are consistent with the contract procedure resolution as I indicated in my testimony, and then also requires a formal board vote and approval.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Well, apart you're your internal--

SHOLA OLATOYE: [interposing] Anything that--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Apart from your internal process, every agency has its own

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 52 internal process, what external front end approvals do you require for your contracts?

2.2

2.3

SHOLA OLATOYE: Well, as we--like, all of our contracts, our contracts--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Your federally funded contracts, which are the majority.

SHOLA OLATOYE: All of our contracts are we participate in the--we utilize the city's Vendex system and so anything that which is consistent with all of the other city agencies as well. So that certainly is a front end approval that we look at and utilize on all of our capital contracts.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Speaking of Vendex, under federal and state law you're obviously required as all institutions are to award contracts to responsible vendors. What quality controls do you have in place to ensure that contractors you select are in fact responsible?

FARHAN SYED: Good morning. Farhan Syed,
Capital Projects Vice President for Construction
Program. We do our own evaluations of the contractor
as well as go through when we select contractors, we
do their reference checks. We also have our
contractors go through the Vendex check, through the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 53 city's MOCS program. As a result of that we have checks in place for the contractors that we select and award contracts to.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And you rely on Vendex as well as DOI, is that?

FARHAN SYED: [off mic] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Now, I want to ask you a question about Metropolitan Bridge and Scaffold Corporation. According to a Daily News report dating back to September 20th, the Housing Authority awarded eight million dollars of contracts to a firm whose owners had been arrested for bribing a public official. Is that report accurate?

DAVID FARBER: I'm not aware of the specific details of Metropolitan's background, but yes, we did award a contract. We awarded contracts in prior years to Metropolitan. Subsequently, we discovered that through background investigation information that they are a contractor that we should not continue to business with. We have not awarded them any contracts subsequent to that, and we are pursuing them for fraudulently inducing us into originally entering into the contracts by failing to

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 54 disclose the information that they were obligated to 2 3 disclose. 4 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So you're claiming 5 that you did not know about the allegations of bribery at the time of awarding the contract? 6 DAVID FARBER: I will--I'll have to look 7 into those specific details. Vendex was done on 8 Metropolitan, but I will have to--I'll have to get back to you on exactly what the details that were 10 11 provided to us form the Vendex system. 12 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Was there a 13 DOI background check? 14 DAVID FARBER: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And--16 DAVID FARBER: [interposing] So the way 17 Vendex works is that the forms are completed by the 18 contractors and subcontractors, and they go to DOI. 19 DOI does the actual background investigation analysis and then provides any cautionary information to the 20 agency. That's how it works for city agencies. 21 That's how it works for NYCHA as well. 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Just given all the quality controls that you have in place, I just 24

consider it a colossal failure that NYCHA awarded

committee on public Housing & committee on contracts 55 eight million dollars of contracts to a questionable firm. Did the Housing Authority conduct an internal investigation, and if so, what were the findings of that investigation?

DAVID FARBER: One of the--so, over the past year we are, I think, taking great strides in our procurement advancing forward in our procurement expertise. We, in connection with other matters, we've replaced the staff, our leadership of procurement, and one thing we are ensuring is that background investigation decisions are being made in the procurement area, not at the program area to ensure that there's no influence of program shopover. Well, this is a good contractor we'd like to do business with, and if there's background investigation that says this contractor has no integrity and that we should not be doing business, then procurement will be in charge of making those decisions.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But you had those quality controls with respect to Metropolitan, and you still awarded the contract.

2.2

2.3

2 DAVID FARBER: The last contract--the

3 last contract awarded to Metropolitan I believe was

4 in 2010, so.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. DO you know more about the mistakes? Were there any mistakes that you were aware of leading up to the decision to award the contract? Any lessons learned from those mistakes? Any policy changes since the awarding of the contract?

in terms of, as my General Counsel just referenced, you know, the lessons learned broadly with regard to supply management and procurement processes is A, started with, you know, really changing the leadership of the team, actually bringing in some industry experts to advise us on supply management and procurement practices broadly. And then in—and then incorporating, begin to incorporate a new approach, not only in supply management, but in procurement really looking to our overall procurement process to being to move to a process of job contracts, really analyzing where we have the opportunity sort of issue fewer contracts and really have larger contracts that are based on need that

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 57 allow us to really manage very tightly the actual contractors who are working with us.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Do you evaluate the performance of your contractors? Do you have a rating system at NYCHA?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Yes, we do. We have our staff, our capital project staff, and actually I'm going to let Syed talk about a little bit more about that.

contractor's construction and post-construction

phase, and we evaluate them with the rating system.

They're evaluated on safety, completeness of work,

responsiveness, general quality of work, and

provision of resources, and those basically are

recorded with a scoring system. And if they do

repeat business with us, that evaluation is actually

taken into consideration in any future contracts that

they're awarded.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What does that scoring system look like?

FARHAN SYED: It's basically unsatisfactory, average, good work, and excellent work based on certain levels of scoring.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 58

2 SHOLA OLATOYE: And I would add--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Please.

SHOLA OLATOYE: Chairman, that in the approval of contracts when staff come to the board with contracts, that evaluation is off--if it is a repeat contractor, that evaluation is often cited as one of its--one of the indications or one of the reasons why this contractor was selected again. So we do sort of use it to inform future decisions, not just to file away, and we only are approving contractors who meet at least the minimum, the standard that Mr. Syed outlined.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So which of those ratings is a disqualifier? I imagine the unsatisfactory rating would disqualify you from securing a future contract, would that be a fair assumption?

FARHAN SYED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And an average rating you could still qualify for a future contract?

FARHAN SYED: We usually look for a satisfactory evaluation.

2.2

on a case by case basis in awarding these contracts.

3 a good record would be reflected in the score?

FARHAN SYED: Yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, how low is too

6 low? How low?

1

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FARHAN SYED: Anything that would be either below average or unsatisfactory.

DAVID FARBER: I think the key here is there's a non-responsibility determination, responsibility or non-responsivity that is made in the same way that the city makes the determination. So, we say we do Vendex checks, so similar to very much in line with the City's PPB rules. We look at evaluations of performance and looking at the PPB rules right now. We look at evaluations of performance. We look at violations, history of violations, history of non-compliance with law. We look at the DOI memos that are provided with cautionary information, whether, you know, criminal prosecutions, fraud, etcetera, and we make a determination whether contractor's responsible or non-responsible. The challenge, and my understanding is that the city has the challenges, that if one determines that a contractor is non-responsible,

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 61 therefore not eligible for the contract for which they bid, that is a very lengthy and difficult process for an agency or NYCHA to go through. You issue a non-responsive determination. There's an That takes time. There's another appeal. appeal. That takes time. I believe there's a third appeal. So, doing that it's a Draconian measure. So, while we absolutely take into account all the information about performance history and other relevant information, it's a balance between being able to move forward with your contracting process and being careful not to award contracts to vendors that you really do not want to be in business with. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I do have a number of questions, but I see my colleagues are here as

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I do have a number of questions, but I see my colleagues are here as well, so I do want to--I just want to acknowledge a few colleagues, Council Member Laurie Cumbo, Council Member Chaim Deutsch, Council Member Donovan Richards, and I know the Public Advocate has a few questions. And we have five minutes for--yeah, in the interest of time, we have to be out of here by one o'clock. So, five minute timer.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, I thank you.

I thank you, Mr. Chair, the Chairs for an opportunity

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 62 to ask a few questions. Madam Chair, you last summer I held a number of town hall meetings all throughout the city at NYCHA developments and what became evident from the feedback and from the phone calls to our office is that too many residents in public housing are spending too much time living in substandard conditions, and repairs are obviously needed, and unfortunately some of the repairs frequently fall short of what is needed and tenants often end up having to pay for expensive repairs out of their own pockets. I recognize aging infrastructure and shrinking funding from all levels of government can be blamed for creating this problem, and I know that with King Towers it appears that NYCHA's free-wielding system of contracting and oversight often makes it hard to correct these problems, but I do know that as you mentioned earlier that sunshine really is the best disinfectant and the best form of oversight. My question is, I know that NYCHA is--first of all, let me just go to the immediate. We are anticipating a Hurricane called Joaquin--Joaquin, okay, Joaquin. Joaquin is coming this evening allegedly, and so my question is how will--how is NYCHA going to fare if Joaquin hits New

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

York City? Will the roofs hold up all throughout the city of New York? Will we have what happened at King Towers happen in any other NYCHA developments? What are we doing to coordinate with other agencies to make sure that the residents are safe and that their apartments do not leak in light of Joaquin, or Joaquim, or the hurricane?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHOLA OLATOYE: Thank you for your question, Public Advocate. Hurricane Joaquin is actually I think estimated to be in route to hit the Bahamas or is actually hitting the Bahamas as we speak, and so we are closely watching its potential trajectory. I will just--I'll answer some sort of general preparation activities that we're involved in and then allow my Operations and Capital colleagues to speak specifically about what we're doing with our buildings as well as our ongoing active construction sites. We learned a lot from Sandy. The first thing we did was to actually identify and bring in an Emergency Preparedness professional to prepare the agency, to prepare an agency-wide plan of which we have. We have immediately as of yesterday activated our incident command system, which is an industrywide approach where you have dedicated staff who are

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 64 now focused from eight to eight and beyond on nothing but hurricane preparation activities. We are also and have--and when--and have already begun coordination via the First Deputy Mayor and the Office of Emergency Management and their regular conference calls where we are both identifying where our most vulnerable residents live, ensuring that mobility equipment, etcetera, is both identified and functioning, as well as making sure that we have begun to work with vendors around particular emergency equipment and infrastructure that one might need in the event of an emergency. And then the fourth piece is actually beginning to stage the equipment that's within our possession, stage it in a safe way so that it can be deployed quickly should the event actually occur. So, while we hope it doesn't hit New York, hope is not a plan. We have a Our plan is closely with coordinated with the plan. city, and I'll first let Mr. Syed talk specifically about what we're doing with our active construction sites in advance of the storm.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And can he also answer the question, are there any temporary roofs on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 65 any developments, and if so, what developments throughout the city of New York?

FARHAN SYED: So, in preparation of the storm we have activated CPD staff from all of our construction sits with pre-inspection to sweep all of our sites that are active and do an overall check on buttoning down and safing [sic] off those locations including temporary roofs. If there are any deficiencies that are found, they are corrected immediately. We do a sweep of post-storm to make sure that if there were any damages that were done as a result of the event, they're immediately repaired. We have activated staff on all of our sites, and as we speak, they're actually—they have started to do the button down of sites that are in construction.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. I appreciate that. Now, getting to the issue at hand. I know that NYCHA is subject to local, state and federal laws, and based upon my experience working in the city and in the state, I know that there are several types of ways that you can bid on projects. There's a sealed bid. There's a competitive bid, and there's a negotiated bid. How often does NYCHA use

2.2

2.3

committee on public housing & committee on contracts 66 sealed bids which are subject, which are open to the public or subject to public review I should say?

DAVID FARBER: Pursuant--I am David

Farber. I'm General Counsel, Executive Vice

President for Legal Affairs. According to New York

State Public Housing Law--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
Yeah.

DAVID FARBER: we are required to use publicly advertised sealed bids for all construction work over 50,000 dollars.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So all construction work over 50,000 is sealed, and how often have you used sealed bids since you took office?

SHOLA OLATOYE: So, all of our capital contracts are subject to--we are compliant with that law. We have--last year we obligated more than 704 million dollars in capital. We can--and you can now see on our website all of those contracts that were both awarded and subject to that procurement process.

2.2

that would be emergency circumstances. So for

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 68 instance, you know, hurricane or scaffolding 2 3 requirements, you know, immediate repairs that are necessary to building facades, etcetera. So, we still 4 would do, we would try to do some competition in that 5 circumstance. So, it might be a bid that is just 6 7 issued to several contractors who've we've done 8 business with in the past, but the emergency takes precedence over the bidding requirement, but that's in very limited circumstances. 10 11 12

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, it's very--so, your definition of emergency is very, very limited. It does not include all of the work that is needed that has been ignored for years at NYCHA--

DAVID FARBER: [interposing] Correct.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: that's not

emergency.

DAVID FARBER: Correct. We're not allowed to create our own emergencies, that's correct.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. And once a sealed bid is opened, is it then subject to the approval of a contracting officer, or is it just the highest bid and you go with the highest bid?

DAVID FARBER: The low--the lowest bid--

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 69

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] The

3 lowest bid.

2.2

DAVID FARBER: Right, we award to the lowest bid subject to--so, first, has to comply with all the bid-related documents have to be submitted. So you have to submit your bonding and your insurance, etcetera, and we do the background investigation which is you know, the Vendex check.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And is all the criteria that once the bid is open, is any of that subject to any discretions by the contracting officer?

DAVID FARBER: That is--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing] It's all objective.

DAVID FARBER: It is objective. It is not a discretionary process. There is a very limited exception that under Public Housing Law with unanimous approval of a board, of our Board of Directors, you could award it to other than the lowest bidder, but I don't believe that we've ever done that. So, we've never done that in my--during my tenure.

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
 1
                                                        70
                PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And last
 2
 3
     question. Is NYCHA subject to PPB?
 4
                DAVID FARBER: NYCHA's not subject to the
 5
     PPB.
                PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: You are not
 6
 7
     subject to it?
                DAVID FARBER: That's correct.
 8
 9
                PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So do you have to
     fill out a Vendex questionnaire form?
10
11
                DAVID FARBER: We abide by -- we use the
    Vendex system in the same way that city agencies do
12
     that. We are not subject to PPB rules, but
13
14
     notwithstanding that we abide by the Vendex system.
15
                PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And do you
16
     consult with Vendex to determine a contractor
     responsibility, contractor's responsibility?
17
                DAVID FARBER: Yes, it's essentially it's
18
19
     the core of what we consult.
20
                PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. And I'm
21
     not sure if you were here when I asked the
     Comptroller with respect to Richmond Terrace, West
2.2
2.3
    Brighton Houses as well as Nostrand Houses where
    there were concerns by residents as a result of our
24
```

town hall meetings that the work that was done was

committee on public Housing & committee on contracts 71 shoddy and it also violated health and safety standards. Could you, could someone from your staff look into those allegations and get back to my office? I would greatly appreciate it.

SHOLA OLATOYE: Absolutely.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you, Madam

2.2

2.3

Public Advocate. I want to follow up with one question from my previous line of inquiry. I think you noted that you rate contractors on a scale of one to 100, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, average, and you seem to suggest that if a contractor or a vendor were to rate too poorly, had a score of 70, that you could reserve the right to deem them non-responsible, but then you went on to say that the process of deeming them non-responsible is so lengthy and Draconian. The impression you gave me is that there's no incentive to declare a contractor non-responsible. Could you elaborate on that?

DAVID FARBER: Sure. And I, again, I don't believe that this is strictly a NYCHA issue. When you do sealed bids you take the lowest bidder, and then you determine if the contractor is responsible or not. And so part of that

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 72 determination is based on their past performance, but if their performance was satisfactory or needs improvement there's a, you know, there has to be a determination of whether that will qualify as nonresponsible. Non-responsible means that the contractor can't, you know, can't be awarded that contract, and then it becomes a caution in the Vendex Then every other agency looks at it and generally speaking those contractors don't get any more contracts. So, it is such a severe determination that it is subject to layers of appeal. So, again, I don't want to suggest that when there's contractors who--so if there's a contractor whose performance is so bad that we default them, that default goes into our system and it goes in. upload it into the Vendex system and that likely becomes a basis for finding contractors, that contractor non-responsible. But if their performance is less than desirable, then that, you know, that speaks to the challenge of how do we--what do we do about non-responsibility determinations. And again, it's not a-- my understand it's not just a NYCHA issue. It's a citywide issue.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 73

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And you have no like clearly defined score, clearly defined point at which, you know the score is just too low, we have to declare this contractor non-responsible even though the incentive is to do otherwise?

DAVID FARBER: It's a case by case analysis. You look at—so you would look at what the performance evaluation was the last time. Maybe there was a particular staff members of the contractor who was involved. There's no long—and you say that you can take other measures to address that. So, you—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] I don't mean to interrupt. That just can't--that can't be the case, right? From zero to 100 there has to be some score that's an automatic disqualifier. If you have a 40, I would hope that's an automatic disqualifier. It's not always a case by case basis. There has to be some clearly defined rule that we apply uniformly in every case.

DAVID FARBER: I would say that that level of detail I would like to investigate it and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. I look forward to hearing back from you. Council Member Rosenthal?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 74

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I'm afraid

I'm going to go to that level of detail.

DAVID FARBER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And perhaps we should just plan on having a follow-up meeting about this or hearing. When--who is it that does the review of a contract? Let's say a contract has happened and been executed, contract's over, who does the review of the work?

FARHAN SYED: So, for each one of our contracts we have a dedicated project manager-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Yep.

FARHAN SYED: who are supported by their own in-house staff as well as construction managers in the field to do day to day monitoring of the projects. They are the single point of accountability and carry the project from inception through completion.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Uh-huh.

FARHAN SYED: And as a result, they are also responsible for monitoring the quality of work, safety, as well as keeping them on schedule.

2.2

manager, so I'm sort of talking about after that happens, right? So, the project manager has worked hard to keep it on schedule, within budget, that it goes well. As is the case with any project there are a number of hiccups along the way. At the end, who sits down and writes the review that then goes into Vendex for the next person who possibly comes upon that contractor?

FARHAN SYED: So, as I described earlier, we do a performance evaluation for each one of our contractors.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Who's we?

FARHAN SYED: The project manager with the assistance of their field team who are monitoring the contractor on a day to day basis, and--

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] And when--do they have any incentives in any direction, you know, positives, negatives? Are there any motivations for them to rate a contractor one way or another? It's my first question. Anything that would drive them to rate them in a positive or negative way? And secondly, do you have written,

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 76 that you could share with us, what it is they're 2 3 exactly looking at for the performance indicator? 4 FARHAN SYED: Sure. As I described, it's 5 basically--CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] My 6 7 apologies for stepping out, I had another meeting. 8 FARHAN SYED: Basically they are rated on 9 their responsiveness, their quality of work, the safety of work that they conduct, as well as the 10 11 completeness of work. So, the board--12 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Does 13 the property manager have any incentive not to do 14 that accurately? 15 FARHAN SYED: It's basically the project 16 manager who conducts this. Property managers are not 17 involved in doing the contract. 18 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. So, then 19 that goes into Vendex, that information, right? 20 FARHAN SYED: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Whatever the 2.2 review was, I'm assuming there are details, it goes 2.3 into that person's record. Last year how many contracts got a performance review? I don't care 24

about the exact number. Are we talking about over

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 77 100, over 1,000, around 200, around 10, about how 2 3 many contracts got a performance review last year? FARHAN SYED: So, all of our contracts 4 that recently got completed and were closed out, they 5 all had their--6 7 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Of course. How many are those? 8 9 FARHAN SYED: I believe the number would be anywhere between 50 and 100. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Fifty and 100. 12 FARHAN SYED: Right. 13 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That's fine. I'm 14 not looking for a specific. I just want to get a 15 sense of how many. So, between 50 and 100 got a 16 review that went into Vendex. Of those that got a 17 review what--18 SHOLA OLATOYE: [interposing] Can we just 19 correct the one thing? 20 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Please. 21 DAVID FARBER: So, my understanding is 2.2 that our performance reviews, we do them, but they 2.3 remain internal, and we are not submitting our

performance reviews into the Vendex system.

and emergency whatever went into your system--went

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 79 into Vendex to research a contractor. The only one 2 3 where they would get a red flag is on that one guy 4 who hit zero, you know, that you did the default on. SHOLA OLATOYE: Right. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Now, let's say 6 somebody didn't get a default, but was, you know, 7 8 this close, but you're giving them one more chance because maybe they have promised to do a whole bunch of things to fix it. Does any other agency know about 10 11 that in any way when they look at Vendex? 12 SHOLA OLATOYE: 13 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. How many of the 50 to 100, how many do you think got a 14 15 superior rating, a very good rating? Roughly half? 16 SHOLA OLATOYE: Okay, we can follow up. 17 We can provide that as a follow-up to you, Council Member--18 19 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] 20 Okay. 21 SHOLA OLATOYE: to give you--in 2015, I 2.2 guess those that would--what the ratings were. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Is there--one of the things that I've learned about as 24

Contracts Chair is that HRA has an enhanced review

list that they put on their website--HPD, sorry.

Thank you. HPD, so on their website they're

basically signaling to anyone else who might want to

use these, any other agency that might want to use

these contractors, these folks are on an enhanced

review list. Do you have that system internally,

because internally you're keeping the information

about the vendors and how they did?

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DAVID FARBER: So, first, thank you for bringing that system to our attention earlier this year. So, we have looked into that, HPD enhanced review system and process. So, first of all, the -- we have compared HPD's list to NYCHA's list, and we have no current contracts with anyone HPD's enhanced review list, and the last time we approved any contractor for any prime or subcontractors in 2010. So, our understanding of HPD's enhanced review is that the purpose of it--again, we're not HPD, so we're still exploring, but is that HPD provides financial assistance to projects that might not otherwise--that wouldn't necessarily get picked up by the Vendex process because they're not contracts for goods or services, and they're not franchise agreement or concession [sic] agreement, so that--

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Got it. Can I ask the question the flip way around? Did you check to see if any of your contracts were-contractors were on the HPD's enhanced review list? DAVID FARBER: That's what we checked. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh, that's what you--

DAVID FARBER:

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And how about the flip? Sorry, what I meant to say was did you notice if any of the contractors that are on your internal, not so good list, were on— are getting HPD contracts either on their enhanced review or—well, they wouldn't have been, but through Vendex? In other words, how could other agencies benefit from your knowledge?

That's what we checked.

DAVID FARBER: So, I think we have to explore. So, when we said we've done--we used Vendex, that's absolutely true. We use Vendex for all contracts. I think the question is we use Vendex, but that hasn't translated into us providing information into Vendex.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right.

2.2

2.3

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

DAVID FARBER: So, that's something we just recently discovered and we have to look into that and figure out whether there's more information we should--

82

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

DAVID FARBER: providing it to Vendex.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: What's held you back historically from not wanting to put that information -- or what holds the agency back? What makes it challenging?

DAVID FARBER: I think city agencies have a very formal relationship with MOCS, so they make a determination. They send it to MOCS. MOCS reviews it. There's a whole process there. We don't have that stark [sic] of relationship with MOCS, right? So we use the Vendex system. We go through DOI. We get the background check. So, I think we have to figure out who we would, right, formalize our process of determining what performance evaluations go into the system, how that works, how what's supposed to go into the system, etcetera.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Can I make one unsolicited suggestion? That when you do that, don't COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 83 make it harder than it has to be. I think this could be something that, you know, just sort of try to think about it from the perspective of how can we make this information available in a transparent way that could be valuable to other agencies.

DAVID FARBER: Okay.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I know the people at MOCS. I know how smart they are. They can be very helpful in making this a straightforward simple thing.

DAVID FARBER: Sounds good.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't think it has to be complicated. Thank you. Thank you very much, Chair Olatoye.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I actually want to follow up on that. Maybe I'm going to repeat the same question, but you have these internal evaluations. You do not share those evaluations with MOCS? It does not go into the Vendex file?

DAVID FARBER: Correct, the performance, the contractor performance evaluations, right, good or bad, unless we are defaulting a contractor or unless we're defaulting a contractor or we find like criminality or something to that effect, we are not

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 84 currently providing our performance evaluations into the Vendex system.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But that's something you're willing to rethink?

DAVID FARBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. I have one more question and then I will proceed to the next questioner. Obviously NYCHA is subject to a complex structure of federal and state and procurement laws. Are you bound by the rules of the Policy Procurement Board, Procurement Policy Board Local Law?

DAVID FARBER: No, no, we're not.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Because I have the handbook right in front of me. I just want to read what it indicates here. It says PHA's are also required to follow applicable state or local laws on procurement depending on their location. In some cases the federal standards are stricter than the state or local law. In such cases, the PHA must comply with the applicable federal law and the rules if the state or local law is stricter than the federal standards, then state or local law will apply. So, the handbook that governs procurement

2.2

2.3

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
 1
                                                        85
 2
     seems to suggest, you know, the applicability of
 3
     local laws to NYCHA. Am I mistaken or?
                DAVID FARBER: The PPB rules on their
 4
 5
     face don't apply to--they say what they apply to, and
    they don't apply to NYCHA.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But could--
                DAVID FARBER: [interposing] So that's why
 8
 9
    they don't apply to us because they don't apply.
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I'm sorry? [off mic]
10
11
    My understanding is that the PPB rules apply to
12
     entities whose appointees are mayoral in nature,
    which would seem to characterize NYCHA.
13
14
                DAVID FARBER: I'd have to explore that.
15
     I'll have to look into that.
16
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Because this
17
    handbook is the definitive guide on procurement, this
     HUD handbook.
18
19
                DAVID FARBER: The HUD--
20
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] And it
21
     seems to suggest that you're--
2.2
                DAVID FARBER: Yes, yes, yes. I have to
2.3
     look into that--
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] And it
24
25
     seems to--
```

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, one-third of it.

development has sort of a couple of different pieces.

One is our commitment to more affordable housing.

The second is to actually build housing where we are—
can actually realize some additional revenues to the authority, and the third is actually looking at some of our properties that are most expensive to operate, and actually brining in some federal tools to reduce our overall capital need and operating cost for those properties. In addition to looking at other forms of revenue like commercial revenue that we have the ability to increase to help us with our annual operating deficit.

2.2

2.3

have one more question before I leave. You know about one year ago, innocent [sic] African-American was killed in stairway of the housing project by rookie police officer, and one of the reasons the incident happened was because the total darkness in the stairway, and you're--they said that it has been like that for a long time. Now, until that incident happened they fixed the light again, no? So, I want to ask you or your management team, all the houses under all the housing projects, are the stairways they're well-lit now? In case there's a lightbulb burned, how long it takes for you guys to replace it?

2 SHOLA OLATOYE: So first I'll say, you

3 know, as you know that's a on--subject of an ongoing

4 legal matter, so unable to comment at that time, and

5 | then I'll defer to my Operations SVP.

BRIAN CLARKE: Good morning, Council

Member and Chair. My name's Brian Clarke. I'm the

Senior Vice President of Property Management. And so

we do daily inspections of the, you know, the public

spaces, and if it's a simple repair such as a

lightbulb out, our caretaker who performs the

inspection will replace the light. If it's more

13 complex requiring a maintenance worker or an

14 | electrician, a work order will be created.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So how long it takes you? So, suppose I saw there's a lightbulb need to be replaced. I call up the, what, usually call the super or?

BRIAN CLARKE: Yeah, so the work--so that report is turned into the supervisor who would create the work order, and that work order we actually increase the, you know, the priority. So, it should be, you know, it should be fixed within 24 hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, 24 hours.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BRIAN CLARKE: Yeah, for the internal public spaces. Sometimes the exterior lighting requires more extensive repairs we have to do trenching and things along those lines when it's more complicated. But if it's a simple repair, it should be fixed within 24 hours.

SHOLA OLATOYE: But Council Member, I'll

just state the obvious to say that is the certain-the expectation that interior hallway lighting is, when found to be deficient, is replaced within 24 hours, unless, and I think Mr. Clarke would agree, unless there's some more complicated systematic problem where you might need a part, etcetera. But the reality for a number of our residents is that that isn't the case, and one of our struggles is, you know, when our staff leave at four o'clock in the afternoon, you know, they are responsible, as Mr. Clarke said, to check to make sure all of those various systems are working. What happens between four o'clock and 8:00 a.m. the next morning, we don't have staff on site to address those issues. So, for residents, they may often say that, you know, and experienced lighting being off when they're home and when they're in their developments, and we often

committee on public Housing & committee on contracts 91

times have to wait until our staff are back

physically on site to address that. So, I just--I

think it's important to state what the policy is, but

I know that there's a reality for hundreds of

thousands of residents who experience something

different.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Before I hand over the mic to Council Member Richards, I just want to reiterate, because I want to follow up on the question about the handbook. The handbook seems to indicate that NYCHA is bound by local, state and federal law, and the strictest requirements among those laws, and then I have both a provision from the Charter and the PPB rules saying the rules shall apply to the procurement of all goods, services and construction by entities, the majority of whose members are city officials or individuals appointed directly or indirectly by city officials unless otherwise provided by law. So, I'd be curious to--I know you want some time to investigate the matter, further, but in light of those, the handbook as well as the Charter and the PPB rules, I'd be curious to know NYCHA's official position on its relationship to

- COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 92

 MOCS and to local procurement law. With that said,

 Donovan Richards?
 - COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you,

 Chairs, and thank you Chairwoman for being here

 today. So, I had a few questions. One, I'm very

 happy Long Island City's roofs are being piloted and

 fixed up. When can we expect this in the Rockaways,

 or where are we at in the Rockaways?
 - SHOLA OLATOYE: Are you asking about Sandy specifically?
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Yeah, so roofs.
- 13 SHOLA OLATOYE: Okay.

2.2

2.3

- COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: In particular the roof, roof replacements. So, Carlton [sic]

 Manner in particular, and I know you've been doing a lot of work in particular in Carlton Manner, and I know we toured that particular facility. So, I just wanted to know where we're at.
- SHOLA OLATOYE: Sure. So, as you know, we have been working very closely with your office, Councilman, and with the team to position ourselves to be ready when the federal dollars would begin to flow to the Housing Authority to begin all of the Sandy-related rehabilitation. I'm pleased to say that

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 93 we have--we have officially signed and have all 40 letters of understanding or LOU's with our FEMA colleagues. Just this--just yesterday at the Board we began executing contracts for architects and engineers, engineering. You know, as we have--we now are able to execute those contracts. I think we're actually in design in most of these areas. We expect resources to begin to flow my hope is before the third anniversary, and I know that that's certainly been a priority for this Administration as well, and we've also appreciated working with your office around ensuring that residents know about future employment opportunities, etcetera. So this is real work happening right now. All of the work has been designed, and as soon as the resources flow to the Housing Authority, we can begin actual construction. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Well, thank you,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and it's good to hear God willing before Sandy we are definitely going to start to see that money flow, but definitely grateful for the partnership with your agency and look forward to continuing that conversation. I wanted to go to Section Three for a second. So, and I'm glad you brought up employment, because that's something that's always on my

resident's mind and certainly a lot of NYCHA resident's minds. So, can you just go through how readily available is information on NYCHA's hiring in terms of contracts, or how many NYCHA hires, people from NYCHA developments do we have working on a lot of these particular projects? Is there readily available data to the public and to the Council on how we're doing in that particular area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHOLA OLATOYE: Sure, well certainly as part of our--the Mayor's MMR report, our numbers are in there, I believe for 2014. So, last year we were able to provide more than--or place more than 2,000 NYCHA residents, approximately about 1,000 via the Section Three program, and another thousands through our REES program, Resident Engagement and Economic Sustainability Initiative. In terms of your question about how readily do people find out about this information, we have extensive, your office being part of it, outreach forums both in development with community partners, zone partners as we call them, that both provide classes so that we can have a pool of trained residents when these jobs become available. We have fairs. All of this is actually There's actually a REES website. There's a

certainly provide, without providing sort of personal

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 96
2 information, certainly provide you perhaps where sort
3 of origin, zip code origin of those residents in-4 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]

And how often is that website updated?

2.2

2.3

SHOLA OLATOYE: The REES website is updated if not monthly certainly weekly, but certainly on a monthly basis. There's also a monthly email that REES sends out with listings of job training opportunities, placements and where there are various job fairs happening throughout the city.

council Member Richards: And let's just go through. So, I know that, you know, obviously it has main contractors and then subcontractors. How do you ensure that these subcontractors are actually abiding by wage requirements and have we seen instances in particular where contractors have not been paying proper wages in particular to their workers or their—or have they been discriminatory in any way? Have we seen cases of that along with your contracts, and how do you track that?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Well, so first of all, all of--once a contract is executed contractors on a monthly basis are required to submit certified payroll and hiring summaries to NYCHA as well as part

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 97 of the regular sort of invoicing process. Three is the law of the eland. It is the requirement. When, and when we are--and when we-when there--upon review of this documentation, if we identify any issues we certainly work with the contractor to rectify those. We also, and I think it's important to note as I had mentioned earlier, we made some pretty significant changes in our supply management and procurement leadership earlier this year, and we use that as an opportunity to also take a fresh look at Section Three. We, historically had had Section Three compliance in sort of multiple places within the agency and realized that that was not the most effective way to ensure compliance to this important rule. So we're actually centralizing that, centralizing that function within our procurement department where there is a sort of clear line of responsibility from a staff perspective around compliance. Also, frankly to ensure that contractors and people who do business with us have a clear point of contact if there are questions. As you know, we also last year entered into a project labor agreement which allow us to have direct conversations with contractors about NYCHA employee opportunities,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 98 and we are working very closely with the trades to 2 3 actually not only have an opportunity to go in and talk about Section Three and ensure that those 4 contractors understand the full extent of Section Three and what our role is in ensuring that NYCHA 6 7 residents have access to employment opportunities. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 9 Last question. Thank you Chairs for being patient with me. Can you go into the labor versus nonunion 10 11 contracts? 12 SHOLA OLATOYE: Say more [sic]. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So, in particular, how do you choose in particular whether 14 15 you're going to utilize labor union--SHOLA OLATOYE: [interposing] Yeah. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: work verse nonunion? 18 19 SHOLA OLATOYE: So, all of our--first of 20 all, as a federal agency and we are--all of our 21 dollars are subject to Davis Bacon rules, period. So that's the first thing. As I said, we entered into 2.2 2.3 our project labor agreement at the end of last year. So that applies to our entire capital program. So 24

that means, you know, all of that work is subject to

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 99
the PLA and working with our colleagues with the
Building Trades.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you,
Chairs, for allowing me to raise these questions.
Thank you. Thank you, Chairwoman.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Chair, if I could just sort of continue for a minute. I want to go back to the contracting process, because I'm trying to just get a better sense of what's going on when NYCHA puts out a request for proposals. Is there a robust pool of contractors who want to bid on your jobs?

SHOLA OLATOYE: I would say we have a historic--there is a large number of contractors who bid on our work. There are certainly areas in Mr. Sayed can speak specifically to some of the areas where there may be fewer eligible contractors that might respond. I think the other thing to note, we just did this at board meeting yesterday is, there's a lot of work happening in New York City and prices are coming in incredibly high, and so we have actually begun to reject--not begun. We've, on a more consistent basis been rejecting bids because prices have been coming in at more higher than what

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 100 our professionals tell us is actually due [sic]. So, I think that there's a very competitive industry.

There are probably some areas where there are maybe fewer, but I'll let Farhan speak.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FARHAN SYED: So, we have--most of our bricks and roof contracts for exterior work. have a certain pool of contractors that do work for us repeatedly, but we are highly encouraging new contractors to come into the pool, and we are reaching out to the industry and getting more new contractors to actually bid for NYCHA contracts. we are encouraging more contractors to come in and work for us. However, there are certain areas like closed circuit TV cameras, layered access control, electrical, those are the -- asbestos abatement work that's performed, those are specific areas where there is a pool of contractors that is shared with other areas, and depending on the amount of work that we have out there, we have limited pool of contractors that come and work for us.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: so you feel that-I think it would be helpful to see of the 50 to 100
contracts that were--that got a performance review
last year, would you be able without giving any name

committee on public Housing & committee on contracts 101 or anything that's private or confidential? I'm not looking for that, but sort of tell us how many fell into the different categories of review, satisfactory, below satisfactory, above satisfactory, awesome and then under default there'd be a number one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

 $\label{eq:shola_olatoye:absolutely, we can provide that to you. \\$

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, great. That would be really helpful. And then I'm wondering how do you capture information about a project after it's done if it then falls into disrepair? So, here's what I mean, and I'm going to give just one example. I'm trying to make it very specific so it's--to give an example, but I'm sure you're going to think of lots of other situations. So, help me out here. But, we had a situation in our district with a layered access door where during the time that the contractor was there everything went fine, but after the contractor left the door was broken or jammed, whatever it is, and you know, I'm willing to hazard to guess that there are some unscrupulous people who want that door to be broken and break it on a regular basis. So, even if a repair guy comes

in and fixes it, to some degree it's going to be broken again the next day. To what extent do you capture that information and possibly go back to the original contractor say, you know, we're going to need a longer guarantee, you know, warranty on the door? Or we're going to have to change our specs because this door always breaks and there's a reason it always breaks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHOLA OLATOYE: So, the first thing I would say that if once the sort of work has concluded the way that we know about what's happening at our developments in addition to residents sharing, calling and etcetera is through our work order So if either residents file a work order a staff file the work order. So that's the sort of easiest way to answer that question. I think the second piece is once it's--if it's determined that the malfunction is, you know, not at the -- not the result of vandalism, which is a major challenge for us, but it's something to do with the mechanics of the, for example, door, we would look at the warranties to understand what is covered, and we would have a conversation and work with that contractor per the warranty. After the warranty is

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 103 done, obviously that sort of represents a different challenge and it becomes another operational expense, but if it's within the term of the warranty and it's determined that the issue is mechanical or technical in nature, not the result of people popping the doors or other things which we know is a reality far too often, we would work very closely to make sure that we would have the ability to rectify the issue within the term of the warranty.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: When you issue a contract on doors, do you put in the wording that the door needs to be--that it will be subject to van--it's most likely to be subject to vandalism, and are there new ways of--I mean, especially with the layered access where it's such a particular key fob and particular system. Have you had any success of finding a door vendor who can address those challenges?

SHOLA OLATOYE: I--right?

BRIAN CLARKE: If I can. So the specifications for the layered access control came out of our safety and security taskforce. So, it was a combination of resident leaders, NYPD, our IT, and as well as our security folks and operations folks,

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 104 and I was actually part of it. and so we went out, we looked at different, you know, Housing Authorities, brought in a series of different vendors, and felt that the door that we selected, the stainless steel ruggedized door was, you know, was a good solid door. The technology that we're putting in now is, you know, light years from where we were, right? So we had really kind of basic magnets that were put in just one thing, one, just one layer of protecting the interior, right? The magnetic doors which were very easily compromised, vandalized, putting a bottle cap or duct tape across, you know, to compromise they system. So now we have--and we think that, you know, the key fobs have worked out really well. We like the direct dial intercom system, but we have--you know, we're learning with that as well. And in particularly the intelligence with the door, this is something new for us. about 30 sites with it, and we're really learning to use that information, you know, better. The one thing to your point of have you learned some things that as we moved along with this new technology, and for example, the lever that's, you know, the--you know, the vandal lever that you can push down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 105 Actually, when we first installed it we actually experienced some issues with it. Went back to the vendor and the vendor actually, you know, corrected it, made an enhancement to the -- you know, to the components of that naturally upgraded it. was, you know, there was that piece there. But I think the notification and in particularly the issues that we're having up at the hostess, you know, are an example of where we really need to kind of improve. One of the things that's going to help us is we bid out a contract specific to the technology to help us when we do have maintenance repairs that are beyond maybe the skillset of our folks on the front line, and that contract was--were in the awards stage. bids were opened. So, we should have that contract in place shortly to help us with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [off mic] about the nature of this problem is because the door just opens and closes, no key necessary, you can just open it and close it. So, they don't need to keep it open, and one of the beauties of layered access is one it's kept open for a period of time an alarm goes off in your office. You can contact the property manager who sends somebody to go look. That safety

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 106 measure is no longer helpful because you're never going to get an alert on this. The door opens and closes, closes just fine and it opens just fine, no key necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BRIAN CLARKE: One of the faults, and this is another thing that we have to adjust is the -- when I say faults, it's the alert, is for when the door is held open more than four minutes, but we will prop the door open actually for, you know, when we're actually mopping the lobby, you know, to air it out, and we get a whole lot of faults, you know, a few thousand a day, you know, for the entrances. And so, I think what we need to do is kind of refine it so that we just get the really important faults, and like the input that, you know, the feedback that you've given us, you know, we're learning from, and that's an area that we really--there's--and I know you're familiar with the system. One of the areas, things that we're looking at is we want to federalize it. This is kind of a technical term specific to the software, where instead of, you know, just kind of going in and seeing just one system at a time, we actually can see all of them at the same time, all 30 locations. So we are looking at that and we think

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 107 that it's going to help us with that, with that issue.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. And if I could get back to the contracts just one second that are reviewed. Do you ever take input when you're doing the review? Do you ever take and put from the residents in terms of their experience with the contractor?

SHOLA OLATOYE: So at the end of a construction there is a post-construction meeting with the property management staff, with our staff, etcetera, and at this time there isn't a formal way in which resident input is included in that. And I think based on both experience and also sort of input from our residents, we're seeking to change that process.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh, okay.

SHOLA OLATOYE: So that it is—but we want to make sure that it's not input for input's sake and that it actually is meaningful. So we'd love your thoughts or others about sort of how to do that. But more importantly, we want to make sure that we are able to close out jobs, get work done, and make sure that whatever issues are addressed,

whatever issues arise in an addressed in a timely fashion, but we do wish to include resident input into that process. I think the other thing that's important to note is one new, particularly in our OP [sic] MOM [sic] developments, the 18 developments we're moving to more decentralized property management approach. We have included in the overall performance evaluation resident and customer feedback so that we're looking where we--where can we strategically utilize and ensure that resident voice and residence experience informs the work.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, and a proxy for that, if you--I'm wondering if as a proxy for that you've looked at perhaps the work orders that come in either prior to the job being completed or right after regarding the exact job. So, in other words, if a resident isn't thrilled about what's going on with the how the work is being done in their apartment, and we can all think of examples of that. Perhaps they're submitting regular work orders and that could be a proxy for feedback on that contractor's work when you're doing those performance reviews I'm wondering if you look at those yet or you would consider looking at those?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Certainly it's something that we need to look at, and I think where in our pilot, you know, really utilizing our data, work orders being one, proxy is certainly one of the things that we're getting better at.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Alright. Thank you so much.

a few more questions. So, I know-- I have a question about micro purchases. My understanding is that contracts at 5,000 dollars or less are subject to no competitive solicitation, is that?

SHOLA OLATOYE: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, so what are the mechanisms in place for ensuring that the selection of vendors at that level, at the micro purchase level is done properly?

DAVID FARBER: Those purchases are through I-Supplier, and those--that is tracked on a routine basis to analyze whether there are--there's a pattern of contracts going to particular vendors, if they're repeated contracts in a short period of time, etcetera.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So you're checking whether it's broadly or equitably distributed, is that what you're looking for?

DAVID FARBER: Correct.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Are there evaluations of contractors at the micro purchase level, or?

DAVID FARBER: No, the HUD rules say that micro purchases are intended to enhance efficiencies to be simple and fast, and you're not supposed to spend a lot of time on the paperwork or maintain a lot of paper work. It is the balance of these are small purchases, these are small amounts, so just use them to get the work of the agency done as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What kind of work is done at that level typically at the 5,000 dollar or less level?

BRIAN CLARKE: So, Chair, these

typically--typically these are things that like

urgent work where we don't have a requirement

contract in place. So for example, ironwork for

repairing, you know, fences. It can be, you know,

door repair if we don't have a contract in place for

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 111 that. We've used it for painting apartments if we don't have a requirement contract.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But since--it seems like at the micro purchase level since speed is of the essence, there's no actual inquiry into whether the contractor selected is insured or is licensed or-

BRIAN CLARKE: Well, actually, yeah, there is an insurance requirement.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Or is there, okay.

BRIAN CLARKE: So the insurance—so all these small, the micro purchases do go through our procurement department. So, the bid would be, you know, required locally, you know, by the property manager or superintendent in the case, you know, for operations and the bid and the contractor information is then submitted to procurement with the insurance information, and if the insurance information is appropriate, you know, the micro purchase is executed.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And you might have answered this, but you do have within your agency a database of all the micro purchases so you're able to track them?

2 BRIAN CLARKE: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I do want to ask a few questions and then we'll wrap it up about King Towers. I think you seem to suggest that the failure was not on the part of the contractor, but on the part of the Housing Authority. Did I interpret your-as far the roof, did I interpret your testimony correctly?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Yes, I think we said there was sort of a perfect storm of activities. One was the age of the roof, really not--and then not understanding some of the existing conditions. Two was the sort of lack of appropriate protocols around clearing clogged drains before construction was allowed to proceed.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Right. So when there's a rainstorm instead of the rain drain taking up the water, it's obviously leaking into the apartments more heavily. So who's responsible for clogging and de--unclogging that drain?

BRIAN CLARKE: So, the way it should work is that, you know, prior to work starting there's a survey that's done. If there was a--we discovered that we have a clogged drain, it would be cleared and

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 113 work would not start until that—till the drain was cleared. Once work starts, then the contractor would be responsible for maintaining the drain moving forward. In this case, you know, as the Chair explained, this was not followed.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And what's the process for unclogging the drain? Do you submit a work order?

BRIAN CLARKE: So, I would say--so it's the--we've put in place now as a result of this, you know, we have a written procedure in place. You know, prior to this there was a survey done. It was drawn out on a map, and management should create a work order and then staff would be dispatched to clear it. moving forward, we're making sure that the survey is conducted well ahead of the start of work, that this is completed prior to any work starting, and if any issues occur that where the work isn't completed properly or there was complications, that it is properly escalated up to--in the case of for property management staff to me, and in the case of capital projects, it would be to Mr. Syed.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Because obviously one concern, you know, NYCHA for reasons of dis-

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
 1
                                                        114
     investment has notoriously long waiting times for
 2
 3
     skill trades and repairs, and so if a roof needs to
 4
    be unclogged, if there are several things that need
     to be done before we can initiate roof construction,
     is that assigned higher priority or does that go
 6
 7
    through the normal process?
                BRIAN CLARKE: The -- it would depend upon
 8
 9
    the timing of the work. So, as I said--
10
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] So,
11
    here's my concern is that we need to construct a
     roof, but a plumber's not available until two months
12
13
     from now. We're going to wait two months for the
14
     roof to be unclogged and then--
15
                BRIAN CLARKE: Then we would
16
     reprioritize.
17
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: You would
18
    prioritize?
19
                BRIAN CLARKE: Yes.
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: SO how long does it
20
21
     typically take in your experience?
                BRIAN CLARKE: So, the roof, clearing
2.2
2.3
    roof drains can be complicated. Happy Path [sic],
     it's very simple. It's locally. It's right just up
24
```

front. It's just moving away some of the ballast away

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 115 from the drain. The more complicated path is that, you know, typically in the case, we'll use King Towers as an example, you have a 150 foot vertical You have to locate where that clog is within that run and see if you can clear it with typical drain clearing equipment, you know, such as a--you know, a snake. Our mustang if we can do that. in many cases what we'll have to do is once we find where that leak is we have to actually go where that clog is. We have to go actually into apartments, open up walls, and actually cut out sections of pipe to replace it. But as I said, we would--if it's delaying a job it gets escalated, reprioritized and we'll get the work done before the work starts and we won't hold up the job. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And again, you might have said this, and the property management office is responsible for unclogging the drain, or? BRIAN CLARKE: Prior to the start of work it is operations. It is property management's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So the property manager, and the property manager is supposed to verify it once it is unclogged?

responsibility to clear.

BRIAN CLARKE: Yeah, so the--yeah, so we want to verify it and we want to verify it in the presence of the contractor and our CPD staff to make sure everybody acknowledges the drain's flowing, there's no issues with it now. It's the contractor's responsibility to maintain it through construction.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And since you oversee operations, did you receive verification in the case of King Towers that the roof had been unclogged?

BRIAN CLARKE: So, for the--for building

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yeah.

BRIAN CLARKE: As I said, protocols were not followed, so I was not notified in that case.

Moving forward we have a written process in place so that it is escalated to my office in case it's holding up a job and not done properly.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I just want to press this point more, because capital ultimately--does capital make the final decision about whether to move forward with the roof construction?

DAVID FARBER: Yes, we do.

2.2

2.3

10?

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And so it would seem to me that you should receive a notification that the roof was unclogged, roof drain was unclogged before you authorized work.

FARHAN SYED: Work, yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Did you receive that verification?

FARHAN SYED: No, we did not in this case. Like Brian said, proper procedures were not followed and lesson learned. We are making sure that CPD coordinates well ahead of time with operations and we get a clear indication and a sign off on roof's drain being cleaned before we authorize the contract to do work.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And because it looks like you do the survey. There's several maintenance repairs and skilled trade repairs that have to be done in order to begin construction. Capital oversees construction. Operations is in charge of those groundwork repairs. I worry about the lack of communication. Is there going to be an attempt to--

SHOLA OLATOYE: [interposing] I think you're--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 118 2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] create 3 greater coordination? 4 SHOLA OLATOYE: I think you're tapping on, 5 you know, very real challenge at any large agency--CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Fair 6 7 enough, I know. 8 SHOLA OLATOYE: not specific to the 9 Housing Authority, and you know, but it's something that we have -- we are and continue to work very 10 11 diligently on sort of breaking down those silos. 12 think the new policy that Mr. Clarke referenced is 13 both require some retraining, requires I think greater coordination between these two vital parts of 14 15 the agency and something that we're committed to 16 going forward. Look, I cannot sit here today and say 17 that there aren't going to be future problems or 18 future challenges. I think that would be--19 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] We need 20 that commitment right now, so. 21 SHOLA OLATOYE: Sorry, not going to get 2.2 But I think that the reality here is we, an 2.3 agency of this size lives and dies by our protocol, and they weren't followed here. We own it. We own 24

that. We acknowledge it. We have revised it,

```
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
1
                                                        119
2
     revised those policies and protocols and made sure
     that that information is widely disseminated and
3
4
    understood by staff responsible for such things, and
     we move forward with this experience under our belt,
5
    understanding that it has to be something that we are
6
7
     laser-like focused on as we initiate so much work
8
    across the city.
9
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. I just want
10
    to reiterate some of the follow-ups, and then we
11
     should be done. So--oh, yeah, I do want to--so I've
12
    been critical of obviously the lack of what I
13
    perceive as the lack of transparency around NYCHA
14
     contracting, but if there's one thing that's less
15
     transparent than NYCHA contracting its Albany funding
     for roof replacements. So, any update there?
16
17
                SHOLA OLATOYE:
                                No.
18
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES:
19
                SHOLA OLATOYE:
                                Actually.
20
                CHAIRPERSON TORRES: No communication
     with the Governor's office or DHC or all those
21
2.2
     agencies to which you regularly report?
2.3
                SHOLA OLATOYE: We have--there's a new
```

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Yeah.

head of DHCR who--

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 120										
2	SHOLA OLATOYE: who we welcomed when he										
3	started. We had the pleasure of working with him in										
4	his former role. He certainly understands that this										
5	is an issue that we're very interested in learning										
6	about. We've re-shared our plan with him and his new										
7	staff, and we await further information.										
8	CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And when was your										
9	last conversation with him?										
10	SHOLA OLATOYE: Approximately two weeks										
11	ago.										
12	CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, that's going to										
13	be a challenge, I'm sure. So you are open to										
14	entering into a collaboration with the Comptroller										
15	around Checkbook NYC?										
16	SHOLA OLATOYE: We are certainly willing										
17	to work with you and the Comptroller										
18	CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Okay.										
19	SHOLA OLATOYE: to investigate that										
20	further, and also to identify what additional funding										
21	might be needed in order to do that.										
22	CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I mean, that's										
23	always a fair question.										

2 SHOLA OLATOYE: Have to very--I just want

3 to be clear about sort of the importance of unfunded

4 mandates.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Fair point. And you're exploring the--you're going to explore the possibility of sharing your internal ratings with MOCS with the Vendex file?

SHOLA OLATOYE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. And you're going to look into whether there's a cut-off when it comes to declaring a contract or non-responsible? And of course, my question about the--whether local procurement law applies to NYCHA. So, I look forward to hearing your answers on all those fronts. As always, I thank you for your cooperation.

SHOLA OLATOYE: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yeah, if someone from the Housing Authority could remain with—the President of the TA is actually going to testify.

So, we'd like someone. So I'm going to call up the next panel, Ruby Kitchen [sp?].

UNIDENTIFIED: [off mic]

2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Could we accommodate

3 her?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: We want it to be on the record, so if you could hold off for just a second, but we will accommodate you the best we can.

And ma'am, I need you to identify yourself.

UNIDENTIFIED: You can hear me? Okay. However, you know, it's a situation where 250 is not uptown and don't know exactly what's going on, and they have to listen to what's being given to them. just learned a lot of stuff this morning that property management should be doing and evidently y'all have not got this information. The reason that we're here today is because of 1350. We've had a solution, a problem in 41 when they first started on the 14th floor and we had to move a residence out of Brian, I think you are aware of it. If not, but we did have that situation, and as we're speaking today I had several residents that could not come to me because we had a meeting last night, and I had it outside in the amphitheater so everybody would know that we was having a meeting, and only to find out that I got nine apartments that still have leaks in

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 123 their apartments. Now, we're talking about this rain, and the things that's happening. Now, all of a sudden we got the amount of people that need to be working on that job that should have been working on They have triple the amount of people that that job. was working on that job from just since the last week, and that's only because they said that we was going to have rain. So they've been saying and praying all day long saying I hope we don't have any rains so that we won't have leaks, but the only way that they will know that they will have leaks is through rain. If it rain, it's going to rain in those folks' houses, but evidently something is going on now because we haven't had rain and I got nine apartments here, and I also told Mr. Lee--told, what's his name just a few minutes ago, and he's calling to make sure and check. I want you to check me out. I would not ever give out any erroneous I only want what's best for us. I don't information. want these half behind people coming in here, these contractors. They need to be checked more often and before and afterwards. We have a development that's two doors down from us who just got their roofs repaired two years ago. She called me up and told me

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 124 would I believe that they're getting ready to put some scaffolding back up over her buildings. I don't want you back in King Towers in two years with the same work that we thought we had. Problem is, King Towers is 63 years old. When they put the roof there it was only a 10-year warranty on that roof. This is the first time that we're getting a roof. Why wasn't after those 10 years or 15 years that they wasn't checked? When you walk on the roof, I was told by the contractor, when they walked on the roof the roof was so worn that you could hear it cracking, and if we did not get the work that we're getting now it would have been a big mess. It would have been even worse than what it is now. I appreciate them fixing the roof. I want them to fix the roof, and I hope that you've given us a good job that we will not have to come back and that my residents will not be suffering from it, but we've had residents that we had to put out before that article hit the newspaper, and I knew it would hit the newspaper and I not rebel about it, because I knew what they was saying was true. other part about this gang [sic] stuff, they should have asked us. We would have told them what would That wasn't even about that. It was--it's

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 125 what someone interpret it to be, but that's not here or there, because that's not helping all of my residents. I'm interested in helping the bulk of the residents and not interested in this petty stuff that's going on. As far as jobs, they did not give us zero jobs. I personally paid for three sessions for them to get OSHA [sic] cards out of the money from our TPA that was given to us, and once they got the cards, the fellows got the cards because I want to see them off the streets. I want to see them work, and then the union come up with some stuff about you had to be a union member. How could you be a union member if you don't have a job? How could you be a union member if you never worked? Why waste our money and our time to train those kids for OSHA cards, and they was trained right in their neighborhoods. Housing Authority is training them, but they're training them way out in Brooklyn or Long Island City. That's the only training the Housing Authority is giving them. Number one, half of them don't even have car fare to get out there. And when they get out they can't -- they don't know the directions and it's not good. We got to put some stuff in each area, each borough so all these kids

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 126 could be able to do something with their lives. 2 3 is not good seeing a bunch of people standing up 4 against the wall with a brown paper bag trying to 5 make ends meet. Some of them, that's the way they feed their children, that's the way they feed their 6 7 family. 8 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Ma'am--9 UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] I feel them.

UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] I feel them.

I know what you're saying. I know about the timer,

because that's all I been--all of our life, that's

what we've been doing.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Ma'am, I appreciate your comments. We actually are going to--

UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] You've been giving them the free 35 [sic] years of service. We always get cut off and the message never get to you. But anyway, thank you anyway for that few minutes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And thank you for your comments. We're going to be removed in 10 minutes.

UNIDENTIFIED: [off mic] The Chair inherit all this stuff. This is not stuff that's been going on, that just happened. It's been going on for years--

2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] It's decades in the making.

2.2

2.3

UNIDENTIFIED: and years and years. And I'm glad that she's here. Maybe she can make some dents and some changes, because I am tired of being tired, and I'm going to give it to y'all the end of the year and let you go backwards. You can't go through a new something if you haven't done with the old something. Alright? So you get a new generation, and if you haven't trained the new, if your momma don't train you when you was born, then when you get up, you not going to be trained, and how you going to train somebody if you haven't had the training.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you. God bless you. Thank you. We have George Geller, and that will be the end of our-- thank you, George. And you're testifying on behalf of Gregory Floyd Teamsters Local 237?

GEORGE GELLER: How am I doing now? You have his written statement. I will give a brief summary of it. It's been a long morning for you.

Our points are relatively simple. As you know, Local 237 represents 8,000 employees of the New York City

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 128 Housing Authority blue and white collar employees. Our concern today, the point of emphasis that we wanted to bring is that the issue of subcontracting, bringing in outside employers, subcontractors to do work should be seen in a context. We consider it to be an alarming context of privatization of basic functions of housing. You have this Next Generation NYCHA plan, a 10-year plan premised on massive transfer to private developers of housing property. The partners in the Next Generation plan included 46 private developers, building management companies, public relations firms. There was no involvement of the union at all, and as part of the new thrust of NYCHA to privatize functions, we now have the Op-mom [sic] plan, little known plan that will allow up to 18 managers at developments around the city to bring in private subcontractors to do work previously performed by public employees. This trend threatens to undermine an 80 year tradition of the management of public land for public purposes, not for private profit, and the sweeping premise of Next Generation NYCHA that this is going to be a financial windfall we think is misplaced. So that's the context in which we express concern about contracting,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 129 subcontracting practices of NYCHA. We're all aware of the failures of Metropolitan Scaffold, L&M, Triborough, the practice of contractors to avoid wage obligations, prevailing wage, and the private sector Davis Bacon is now well demonstrated. We've had lots of testimony on this. Our concern is that at a minimum as the Comptroller expressed today, now is the time for some stringent transparent oversight of what NYCHA is doing with these contracts. should fulfil the same obligation to the public in terms of public information on subcontracting that other city agencies do, as Scott Stringer emphasized. It's that simple. Health and Hospitals Corporation Why shouldn't NYCHA? Why should we have to rely on their protestations [sic] and their claims that they're doing their very best? Why not let the public simply scrutinize these arrangements for itself, and through that transparency guarantee that we're getting what we asked for? Of course, Local 237 is self-interested in all this. We see all of these plans as a shoe horn to deprive our employees of work, but we emphasize that our employees do a pretty good job when they're given competent repair systems, the proper equipment. We believe, and we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 130 believe public disclosure would show this, that our people do the work as cheaply, as productively and as well as any outside employer who brings in their employees. We are confident of that, and also we emphasize that the same values are at stake there as in numerous municipal employment questions. Forty percent of our employees live in the developments, and that number is going up every year given new recruitment practices, especially of janitorial employees, the co-called caretakers. The fury of residency requirements, the thrust of city policy all along has been that these are the employees who will do the best job, and so while it may appear that our interest in this is purely self-interest or the interest in maintaining our membership roles, we believe that the best progressive policy of this city for years has been that city employees, public employees accountable to the public, residing in the city in this instance, residing in the very projects in question will provide the best work. So, that is our simple stance here. We take the purpose of these hearings to be some attempt at greater public oversight. We call for it. We endorse it, and we commend the committee for bringing this attention,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 131 this issue to public attention. 2 That's our 3 statement. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Actually, Council Member Rosenthal has a question for you. 5 GEORGE GELLER: Please. I'm a little 6 7 deaf, so don't feel that you're insulting me by 8 talking loud. 9 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: not at all. And may I ask you, do you have a T-coil in your--do you 10 11 have a hearing aid? 12 GEORGE GELLER: No, I don't. 13 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh, okay. Because 14 we're--15 GEORGE GELLER: [interposing] Everybody is imploring me--16 17 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing] We 18 have a hearing loop. 19 GEORGE GELLER: to get one. 20 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Well, we have a--21 we're trying to get a hearing loop so people with 2.2 hearing aids could actually hear crystal clear. 2.3 GEORGE GELLER: You're doing fine right

now. I hear everything you're saying.

want to thank you for coming and testifying. I think it's incredibly important that your union be represented today. So I want to thank you for that. And I'm hoping you might have some answers that the NYCHA Administration didn't necessarily know in a very concrete way. I'm wondering about the contract review process, and I'm wondering when an outside contractor is brought in, and of course there could be any number of jobs so it's hard to generalize. But last year they said that they had between 50 and 100 completed contracted projects.

GEORGE GELLER: Projects.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Is anyone from your union involved in the review of how the work was done?

aspect of this is that at least in part our contract provides that there should be colloquy with us before they run and give jobs to outside contractors. They give us a chance, if you will, to show that our employees can do the work. We don't even feel that that is done satisfactorily, but we have no review

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 133 rights. We have no power to scrutinize what contractors are doing, nothing like that at all.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, it might make sense for someone who's a regular maintenance worker to be included in the review team.

GEORGE GELLER: So we think. So we think.

GEORGE GELLER: [interposing] We represent everybody. We represent janitorial, cement masons, roofers, brick layers, elevator maintenance, much of the work that's done by outside contractors is conventionally performed by our members, and we think they do a pretty good job. I agree with you. We feel that we should be involved. We should be involved in things like Next Generation NYCHA. We're rarely consulted.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, in other words if there's a building that has an elevator problem that your guy needs an outside contractor to come in, and then the outside contractor does the work, does your on-site--oh, I guess the elevator, your elevator guy would be called in and wouldn't be there every day.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 134										
2	GEORGE GELLER: No, he inherits whatever										
3	repair they did or didn't do, but he has no authority										
4	over the Mayor [sic] employees, none.										
5	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Does he get a										
6	opportunity to learn from the outside contractor wha										
7	work was done in order to change the ongoing										
8	maintenance of the elevator?										
9	GEORGE GELLER: I suppose in individual										
10	instances through his superintendent they know										
11	something of the work that's going on.										
12	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Is there a										
13	formalized										
14	GEORGE GELLER: [interposing] We have no-										
15	_										
16	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: [interposing]										
17	process?										
18	GEORGE GELLER: We have no systematic										
19	relationship at all to this work, none at all.										
20	CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.										
21	GEORGE GELLER: And often we only										
22	discover it happenstantiallly [sic] or people find										
23	out that work is being done, they report it to us.										

They feel they could do it, but we have no authority.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 135 2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And can I ask 3 you--GEORGE GELLER: [interposing] We're not 4 5 partners in this process. CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. In 6 7 this particular situation with what happened at King 8 Towers--9 GEORGE GELLER: [interposing] King Towers, 10 yeah. 11 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry I'm 12 not--it's not in my district and I'm not personally 13 familiar with it, but it's my understanding that one of the things that slipped through the cracks was 14 15 whether or not there was a work order for someone to 16 clean out a gutter system. It raises in my mind two 17 questions. One is, how work like routine maintenance 18 gets done, does it only get done when a work order is submitted or is there room for individual workers to 19 20 just know what needs to be fixed and fix it? 21 GEORGE GELLER: That's a broad question. 2.2 Every repair is coupled with a job ticket. You know, 2.3 I--it's hard for me to answer your question. Look, our staffs do all sorts of things on their own, if 24

you will, to the degree that some of our janitorial

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 136 people are buying their own cleaning supplies sometimes. It's pretty tough. We've had the massive reduction of workforce in NYCHA. I'm sure that individual employees take initiatives and spot things, but fundamentally all work is accomplished is assigned through tickets, and there have been hearings of the Council on backlogs of tickets, all sorts of things. Look, the proper administration of the workforce, of our workforce is something we've complained about for a long time. There were instances where maintenance men were sitting around on weekends and the union was imploring NYCHA to give them tickets to do work, and instead the policy was adopted that they should just sit around and wait for emergency services to call them, and then NYCHA reacted angrily at a certain point and said, well we're not getting the work done by these maintenance men over the weekend. We had been imploring them to do that. We argue with our own people to have reasonable expectations. For example, exterminators would like to do the work that outside contractors are brought in to do on various clean-up projects, bed bug projects, and we argue with our own workforce, that they should have a reasonable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

expectation of the amount of pay they're going to get for this and productivity requirements put on them.

NYCHA characteristically ignores what the union has to say and the experience that we think we can bring to bear. Again, though, the simplest solution to all this would simply be to put NYCHA under the sort of regimen that other city agencies are under. Let the public look. Let the City Council look at the contracts. Let them guarantee that subcontractors are meeting prevailing wage. It seems to me that's the solvent that cleans up a lot of these problems.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I really appreciate your testimony. I appreciate your patience and staying here to the end and hearing the rest of it. I would love to continue the conversation offline. I think this has been actually incredibly informative. I wish you had gone before NYCHA as usual.

GEORGE GELLER: We're available.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: They could hear what you have to say, but I think that concludes the questions and concludes the hearing for today. So, I want to thank everyone for their input and patience, and I call the hearing to a close.

1	COMMITTEE	ON	PUBLIC	HOUSING	&	COMMIT	TEE	ON	CONTRACTS	138		
2	[gavel]											
3			GEORGE	GELLER:		Thank	you	•				
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 5, 2015