CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----- X

June 19, 2015

Start: 10:42 a.m. Recess: 11:52 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

16th Floor

B E F O R E:

MARK LEVINE Chairperson

ANDREW COHEN

Acting Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Darlene Mealy
Fernando Cabrera
James G. Van Bramer

Andrew Cohen Alan N. Maisel Fernando Cabrera

Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Liam Kavanagh
First Deputy Commissioner
NYC Department of Parks and Recreation

Matt Drury Director of Government Relations NYC Department of Parks and Recreation

Tupper Thomas
New Yorkers for Parks

Brielle Kimmer Eng [sp?]
Law Office of Carter, Ledyard and Millburn
Appearing for Christina Taylor, Executive Director
The Friends of Van Cortlandt Park

Roland Lewis, President Metropolitan Worker Alliance

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Good morning,
3	everybody. Welcome. Thanks for coming here on a
4	summer Friday. I'm Mark Levine, Chair of the
5	Council's Committee on Parks and Recreation. I'm
6	happy you're here for our hearing on proposed Intro
7	154-A, whose lead sponsor is our colleague Council
8	Member Brad Lander who will be bounding up the stairs
9	any minute now. This bill require the Parks
10	Department to provide an annual report to the Mayor
11	and Council on maintenance on a park-by-park basis,
12	and it will require regular online posting of updates
13	regarding capital projects. To achieve equity in our
14	park system we need information. Here he is.
15	Welcome to Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [off mic] Thank you.

need to answer two critical questions: (1) What is the amount of private money flowing into those parks, which are fortunate enough to have well-funded conservancies, and (2) How much does the amount-how much does the city-how much does the amount of city resources spent vary from park to park? In February the Council passed and the Mayor signed Local Law 16

2.2

in order to answer the first question, which Council Member Lander and I co-sponsored, established regular uniform reporting for conservancies of the amount of private funding they receive. The legislation we're taking up today seeks to answer the second critical question. The Parks Department has steadily improved the overall level of maintenance of our city's parks in recent years. A Particularly impressive fete in light of the department's stagnating expense budget. But anyone who has visited a variety of parks across the five boroughs knows that the quality of conditions varies widely from park-to-park. There are many possible explanations for this, including variable rates of usage, different types of terrain, and different histories of capital investment.

another possible explanation for the variation in parks conditions, different level of maintenances expended by the Parks Department. Specifically, this bill would require DPR to submit an annual report to the Council on maintenance work performed at each property under its jurisdiction on or before December 1st of each year. This report would include whether each park has prominent or local maintenance staff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

assigned to it; the weekly average in dollar value of work hours performed by maintenance staff on each property on a quarterly basis; and the total weekly average dollar value of specific maintenance services provided at each property. We understand that the challenge of determining cost allocation in any organization is significant. Even well funded corporations often struggle with this, and we know that the Parks Department is still developing its system for tracking and analyzing expenditures on a per-park basis. So, Intro 154-A would allow the DPR Commissioner upon 30 days written notice to the Mayor and Council to amend the data included in the report as its systems evolve. The bill will also allow DPR to phase in reporting over the next two years. Finally, Intro 154-A would give the public additional information on parks capital projects to be posted at least quarterly on the department's website. forward to our discussion of proposed Intro 154-A, and to moving this important legislation forward. And now, I'd like to invite Council Member Lander to present opening remarks on the bill. We would not be here today if it hadn't been for the leadership of Council Member Lander on this issue for I think three

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

years or more. He's really carried the torch on
this, and we're grateful that he's brought us today,

and now I'll pass the microphone to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much Chair Levine first of all, for convening this hearing on a--on a June Friday. And I also want to say thank you to Council Cohen for being here. [laughter] I was very relieved yesterday when the Budget Delegation meetings got added today so council members had to be here in any case? So we weren't dragging them in. But I--I want to thank you for convening this hearing, and for your leadership in your still relatively short period of time as Chair of the Parks Committee for really driving this set of issues forward, and it's great to work with you on that conservancy transparency, and that information now on this bill as well. I want to thank the Parks Department for your work with us so that even before today's hearing, we've been starting to work together to make sure we get this right. And to the advocacy community both parks advocates, and some of the folks involved in the conservancies for helping us really think this through together. The Council has been working both in the lean year, and now in the

equity, and where the conservancy dollars are going.

25

2 And what became clear to me as I was talking to people is people have the idea, and perfectly 3 4 understandable, that all the parks were getting an 5 equal amount of money, almost like a per-acre issue. 6 And then the conservancy money was coming in on top 7 of that so that those parks that had conservancies were getting their fair share, plus a whole lot more. 8 And I said that is what happens in our schools where 9 there's a fair student funding formula. Everyone 10 gets a fair share, and schools as well as PTAs are 11 12 able to have more money. That's not actually it turns out exactly what's going on in our parks 13 14 systems because in some of those parks that have 15 conservancies. They're actually spending some of the 16 operating dollars, and Parks Department at least in 17 Central and Prospect Park is spending less tax 18 dollars on those parks because the conservancies are spending some of their money on those things. 19 20 is in a certain way parks equity. We're glad those private dollars are coming in and helping us do more 21 2.2 elsewhere. The only problem is it's almost 23 impossible for the public and Council and I think 24 even the Parks Department to really know how much that is happening. And really understand where the 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

public dollar is going, where the private dollar is going, and are we achieving a kind of equity in parks dollars that we can feel is appropriate and have that conversation. And that's what we've got to be able to do in our budget conversations, but without the information in today's bill that's really been difficult, if not impossible. And, the idea going forward is to be able to see that where, as much as possible are the expense dollars are going, the Parks Department is spending. Where, thanks to your earlier bill, the conservancy dollars are going, and then we can have the real conversation about is that fair and equitable and how we want to do it. And I'll just add that this has been a challenge on the capital side as well. New Yorkers for Parks a couple years ago put out a study that showed Brooklyn parks were getting much more capital than the Bronx parks were. Now, that capital is largely coming from council members, from borough presidents at that point, and not from the Parks Department or the administration as well. But it led to an important conversation that we've got to be able to have about how our money collectively as a city is being spent. This administration has already started work to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

address the equity issues through its Parks Equity Initiative that the department, the Commissioner, the Mayor and the Chair have worked together on. And I appreciate how much work has already gone into building the infrastructure and systems that will help report on these things through the parks capital track or the Ops 21 work. And I understand how difficult it is to explain or describe or put forward exactly how much money is being spent in every park since our parks are so different in nature and kind in the staffing. It's not like the fair student funding formula where you can run a calculator, you now, use a calculator and say oh, here's how much money we're sending to that principal. But we have to find some way to do it, and I want to--appreciate the work you've done so far, and Mr. Chair, you've done so far to get us here, but we're-- I look forward to finding a way to having this hearing today figure out exactly what this law should look like leaving enough flexibility for the department to do its work going forward. But providing enough information that we can have the conversation that we're required to have as a Council on behalf of the public, and how the money is getting spent in our

Matt Drury, Director of Government Relations. I want

25

2.2

to thank you for inviting me to testify today regarding Intro 154-A, which would require the creation of an annual report on park maintenance and capital expenditures. Thanks to the leadership of Mayor de Blasio and Commissioner Silver and a strong partnership with the City Council, New York City Parks has made real progress toward a more equitable and transparent park system. We are dedicated to distributing resources to our parks in a fair and equitable manner, and making sure that all parks are held to a high standard of cleanliness and overall quality. As an important step towards creating greater transparency, in October 2014 we launched a New Capital Projects Tracker on our website.

As you know, New York City Parks oversees hundreds of capital projects at any given time, and the capital process can be complicated and difficult to follow making it a challenge to keep the public and elected officials informed of the status of individual projects. The Capital Projects Tracker is updated weekly, and outlines the current status of each capital project as it makes its way through design, procurement and construction. In addition to a completion date, the tracker includes background

2.2

information about the funding of the project, and a designated staff liaison who can be contacted for more information. The tracker is emblematic of our focused effort to significantly reform, streamline and improve our capital processes so that we can deliver park improvements to your constituents more quickly and efficiently. And I'm happy to report that the Capital Track—the Capital Projects Tracker has been a tremendous success already, receiving close to 60,000 hits since going live in October.

We're dedicated to the spirit of transparency and openness, and we're glad that the public has shown tremendous interest in the progress of the neighborhood parks. To help guide our strategy for future capital improvements, we were pleased that the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2016 included \$200,000 in initial funding to begin the important—the development of important ongoing capital needs assessment, a data driven process to identify specific necessary capital improvements for parks throughout the system. New York City Parks looks forward to working with the Council to provide the public with useful and accurate information about our maintenance

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 operations, and we agree that the proposed report

3 | will make an important step towards even greater

4 transparency. We're hopeful that the Council will

5 find additional data and information about our

6 operations helpful. But it should be noted that on

maintenance efforts in our parks are results driven.

Our maintenance crews are tasked with cleaning a park for as long as it takes to make the park clean as attractive as possible. A task that may take several workers several hours, or a single workers a single hour. And this circumstance may be difficult to glean from a report based--based solely on work hours. It's also important to keep in mind that our resource allocation among parks depends largely on a variety of factors. Many of our parks are more heavily utilized than others, or utilized in a manner that requires additional maintenance resources. For example, a park where weekend barbeques are popular will require a different approach for maintenance than a field that's used for active recreation.. Or, one used for quiet reflection and appreciation of nature. In other words, not all parks are created equal. It is important that the eventual results of the annual

2.2

2 report that the legislation would mandate would be 3 seen through this filter.

The volume and type of usage that a park experiences is central to the maintenance efforts and related spending required to keep it in good condition. We currently have a rich anecdotal understanding of who uses our parks, and how they use them. But, we hope to measure park usership in a more systematic and consistent way. With \$175,000 in funding provided in the Mayor's Fiscal 2016 Budget, we will be able to begin the initial stages of preparing a citywide park usership survey. With more detailed information, New York City Parks will be able to better understand how many people are using our parks, and what they choose to do while visiting. This comprehensive information will allow us to allocate our resources more efficiently.

When it comes to keeping our parks in good condition, New York City Parks and our Maintenance and Operations Division have always been committed to using our resources in the smartest, most efficient way possible. With that in mind, we've launched a new agency division focused on innovation and performance management, which will

work closely with all of our other divisions. To significantly improve our service to the public, this month we are nearing the completion of phase one of our Ops 21 program, which increases the efficiency of our mobile work crews' tasked with cleaning—for daily cleaning of parks. By establishing clear guidelines, optimizing travel routes of our cleaning crews, we've been able to save an average of 30 minutes per crew per day. The productivity equivalent of adding 63 full-time maintenance staff. This effort will result in cleaner parks, and smarter use of all resources.

Where suitable facilities were available, maintenance and operation hubs were created as part of Ops 21 at Mill Pond Park in the Bronx, Kosciusko Pool in Brooklyn and Forest Park—Forest Park in Flushing Meadow, Corona Park in Queens. These hubs allow the pooling of staff, vehicles and equipment reducing the amount of time spent traveling, reducing down time for vehicles and equipment—equipment repair and increasing the number of staff available for mobile crews and special projects. We are currently assessing additional hubs that can be

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

crated through--through the modification of existing facilities or construction of new ones.

We're also developing new outward facing performance measures and metrics that can offer insight into the impact of the New York City--impact of the New York City Parks--Park system, the health and condition of the system on an individual park basis, as well as on internal operational efficiency and effectiveness measures. Additionally, earlier this year we instituted a success--a successful pilot program in five park districts to test the use of handheld devices and tablets by mobile chiefs to help track our mobile crew cleaning efforts. The results were very encouraging with a positive response from our personnel and a very low error rate for data entry. We are exploring options to introduce a longterm citywide system, which will allow us to implement real time assessment in the field to increase our productivity even further.

Continuing our efforts to greater equity and transparency, New York City Parks looks forward to working with the Council to provide the most useful and accurate information possible about our maintenance operations. As such, we'd like to offer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a brief overview of how we approach the maintenance and cleaning of our properties. Our work is divided by a clear goal to provide clean, safe, attractive and functional park and playground facilities for all New Yorkers to use and enjoy. New York City Parks is responsible for maintaining 2,500 green streets and 1,941 parks and playgrounds; 1,800 basketball courts, 800 athletic fields, 682 comfort stations, 700 miles of hiking trails, 602 tennis courts, 67 pools, 60 dog runs and 148 miles of waterfront parks including 14 miles of beaches. We use a maintenance and operations strategy that is employed seven days a week, 365 days a year. The Maintenance and Operation division of the agency includes the borough based Maintenance and Operation functions, Central Forestry and Horticulture, and Natural Resources, Citywide Service and the Urban Park Service, and the agency's lifequard program. Parks Borough operations have the primary responsibility for the daily management, operation and maintenance of the city's parklands. The boroughs have similar organization structures with each borough divided into districts that correspond with the city's community boards as mandated by the City Charter. Plus a varying degree

of additional districts used to manage larger parks

such as Flushing Meadow, Corona Park and Van

Cortlandt Park. The boroughs all have borough

commissioners, chiefs and deputy chiefs of

operations, forestry directors, park managers, and a

7 variety of supervisors who direct day-to-day

8 activities, with an array of field titles who perform

9 different work functions. Each borough also has

10 centralized units for forestry, skilled trades,

11 horticulture, heavy equipment operation and

12 specialized crews that operate as needed throughout

13 | the boroughs.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

Caring for parks is a complex and dynamic operation. The boroughs all share the challenge of maintaining parks through a high level of quality and participate in initiatives designed to make the agency more efficient, effective and analytical for both planning and operational decisions. These initiatives include effectively harness—harnessing information technology through an asset management system, called AMS, and standardizing daily operations to make them more consistent, accountable and comp—comparable across the entire agency. We have a full-time year—round staff, a seasonal staff

and job training participants who were referred to

the Parks Opportunity Program by the Human Remorse-
Resources Administration. Staffing patterns match

the seasonal nature of our operation with the peak

season starting in the spring when ball fields and

picnic areas become active and gardens start to

8 bloom. They continue through the summer with the
9 opening of the beaches and pools through Labor Day,

10 at least for now.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: [off mic] That will be quieter. [sic]

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: [laughs] Well, somewhat quieter. Actually, the fall isn't much quieter. The early part of the fall is--is often as busy as the summer, but in winter seasons they still bring many visitors in parks, and we--where we focus on leaf collection and snow and ice removal. At parks and playgrounds where there's a comfort station building that can support the staff, fixed post staff can be assigned, if available, to one location for its full shift of daily maintenance. Deployment is based on the availability of operable comfort stations, appropriate staff and usage patterns at individual parks. As staffing increases in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

spring and summer months, borough operations have additional seasonal and JTP staff to assigned to fixed post locations. Fixed post staff primarily maintain comfort stations, and their amenities and clean the surrounding playground park area. properties are close by one another, often in walking distant, split post assignments can be made for staff to be assigned to one location and may maintain one or more other sites. Many sites, however, lend themselves to be more readily serviced by mobile crews in which staff are assigned to a vehicle and work on a crew responsible for maintaining a lot of assigned sites. Crews, which include a crew chief and a number of JTPs gather at a hub location in their district or sector, receive route assignments, and park supervisors mobilize with cleaning tools, supplies and travel from site to site on a daily basis to clean parks on their route. Packer drivers, those are the trash collection vehicles, also have routes within a district or sector to pick up bagged garbage or empty garbage cans. Staff assignments are guided by internal service level agreements, which organize properties into three main categories generally based on frequency of use. The A level,

which require five to seven visits per week. B requires three to five site visits per week and C, which requires one to two site visits per week. For example, many of our playgrounds are prioritized for nearly daily visits in category A. Busy locations that see large lunch time crowds that often—that—and the litter that comes with them also fall into this category. Green streets, however, are usually smaller in size with less—less trash, and can be serviced one or two times a week while still maintaining, you know, an acceptable appearance.

In addition to our daily cleaning work, our park supervisors conduct inspections of park conditions in align with the internal standards—in line with our internal standards, and create work orders for repair by skilled trades or other centralized units with specialized equipment such as forestry. But our forestry incorporates these requests for park work into their work plans, which involve responding to conditions received through 311. These requests are primarily related to street trees, but also an avocation [sic] to folks on parks in high usage areas within parks.

2.2

24

25

2 While our forestry staff are often 3 literally up in the trees pruning dead or dangling limbs, our horticulture staff--horticultural staff 4 are taking special care of our green spaces on the 6 ground. As I'm sure you've seen through the past couple of months, springtime in New York City parks just can't be beat, and the work of our gardeners 8 really makes the city shine. Beyond the spring 9 10 blooms, greeting gardens at park entrance that welcome the public and other planned horticultural 11 12 areas of parks or on green streets regular 13 maintenance of their own, including watering, greening and mulching. As well as staff trained in 14 15 horticulture to care--to care--horticulture care to 16 stay healthy throughout the entire year. During summertime, many staff are promoted from district 17 18 operations into seasonal step-up positions and to oversee operations of beaches and pools. Often, 19 20 these are our most experienced field staff who are trained in operating these seasonal facilities 21 2.2 including oversight of pool mechanical systems, and 23 can handle the demand of large summer crowds.

We internally rate and track the conditions of city park properties through the Parks

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Inspection program. The Parks Inspection program or PIP is administered and managed by the Operations and Management Planning Division in parks. PIP is a comprehensive and objective outcome based performance measurement system that generates frequent and detailed inspections of our parks and playgrounds, which are randomly selected. Originally, when PIP was established in 1994, the program focused exclusively on neighborhood parks and playgrounds. Over time, the program became more comprehensive and continued to expand the number of ratable properties by including large parks and green streets. Today, PIP inspects more than 3,200 properties in over 6,000 annual inspections. The program has been designed to reflect conditions encountered by the public when using public facilities. PIP ratings provide park management with a broader rate--a broad indicator of the condition of New York City parks. Inspection data is published annually in the Mayor's Management Report and posted monthly through the Citywide Performance Reporting Tool. Additionally, rating information for each individual -- individual park is made publicly available and can be found on the Parks Department website.

2 In Fiscal Year 2015 to date our overall 3 condition rating is 86% acceptable and our 4 cleanliness rating is 92% acceptable, currently 5 meeting our MMR targets in these two categories. each round of inspection 250 sites across all five 6 7 boroughs are selected randomly based on pre-set Through the use of a comprehensive 8 parameters. program standards manual the team of trained 9 inspectors assesses the condition of as many as 16 10 park features such as the presence of glass or 11 12 graffiti, and the condition of play equipment, safety 13 surface and athletic fields. And assigns an 14 unacceptable -- acceptable or unacceptable rating for 15 both overall condition and cleanliness at each site. 16 Our PIP ratings help us allocate staff and other 17 resources properly, and ensures that we maintain 18 consistent standards among our many parks. Additionally, any hazardous conditions encountered by 19 the inspector are flagged as requiring immediate 20 attention and shared with park managers for immediate 21 2.2 correction. In February 2008, the Daily Immediate 23 Attentions Application was created to provide an interface for Parks staff to view and track the 24 resolution of hazards found by PIP inspectors. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Keeping our parks--keeping our playgrounds in top shape is a priority for New York City Parks as we work to ensure that these playgrounds are safe and clean for the children who visit them. maintain our playgrounds, we are pleased that the Mayor's Executive Budget for Fiscal Year 2016 increase baseline funding to \$700,000 for playground assessment and repairs as well as new tools to be utilized by borough playground managers. We are very proud of our efforts to keep our parks clean, safe and attractive. Our maintenance crews are dedicated and hard-working employees, but we recognize there are always improvements that can be made. We have the responsibility to be thoughtful stewards of public dollars eliminating waste and inefficiency whenever and wherever possible.

Our Maintenance and Operations Division working with our new Innovation and Performance

Division will lead the charge on these efforts, and we expect to share continued progress with the

Council over the coming months. And the Parks

Department is deeply committed to ensuring our operations are as transparent as possible, and we look forward to working with you to obtain the most

2.2

accurate information that reflects the work being done in Parks. Our staff works incredibly hard to maintain our parks and implement capital projects, and we hope to provide the public with a full and accurate of those—of those operations and all of that great work that's being done. We will update you as we make progress in our borough efforts to ensure that all of our parks and facilities are in the best condition possible for all New Yorkers to enjoy. I thank you for the opportunity to testify before you this morning. My colleagues and I will be happy to answer any questions you may have.

[background noise, pause]

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you,

Commissioner. I'm going to open the questioning up

to Council Member Lander.

much Acting Chair Cohen, and I appreciate that we've been joined by Council Members Treyger and Van Bramer and Cabrera as well. Thank you guys all for--for being here. And thank you Deputy Commissioner for being here and for all of your work on everything that you talked about and described for a long time as well as the current efforts to strengthen the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

operating system through Ops 21 and provide the additional dial [sic] in transparency. So let must start broadly on the--on the bill itself. The--the--the department is open to working with the Council to finalize the details of this bill and move forward on a reporting system either with--much like the one described in the bill.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great. All right so that's a--that's a very good starting place, and I'm looking forward to working with you. And I also want to appreciate we've had conversations and been working together prior to today to really try to make this achieve what the Council is eager to get. recognize the work that is -- has been done, and still needs to be done by the department to be able to do it. So, let me ask a couple of questions just about that. I guess I'll start with can you describe--I think the way you described it helps explain some of the challenges in getting what we want. But let me just start by do you have a s sense of either what number or percent of parks have fixed post staff versus the mobile crews as just as sort of a beginning? A kind of rough estimate.

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: It's something
3 that varies by season. During the summer there are
4 more sites that have fixed post staff, and in the
5 winter there are fewer sites. But roughly during the
6 summer it's about 23% of the sites have fixed post
7 staffs.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, and I would say that's--that's either--that's fewer in the winter.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes, it's--it's-I think it drops down to about between 17 and 18%.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So most of the parks are being maintained by the mobile, primarily by the mobile crews?

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Now, obviously the bigger parks are more likely to be the ones that have fixed post staff. So that's not an estimate of what percent of staff time is spend there since the big parks have—have fixed post staff.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: The fixed post are--are a combination of fixed and mobile crews for the most part. We are--you know, there's a heavily used playground with a comfort--an active comfort

2.2

services.

station. You might have fixed post person there, but given the nature of the larger parks, you need mobile crews to roam through the park on a regularly scheduled route to, you know, provide maintenance

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And talk to me about what--what terrain a mobile crew will cover.

Are they all assigned within a single parks district?

So how are those mobile crews organized?

commissioner KAVANAGH: Our basic organizing principle is the community district, or the community board line. We--we--you know, we're coterminous with them. We group districts together to form sectors, you know, to take advantage of some, you know, geographic benefits of having things close together. We try to work in a sectors, you know, to take advantage of some, you know, geographic benefits of having things close together. We try to work in a sector framework so, you know, our crew can cross-district lines if the geography makes sense. But, for the most part, they largely are district based. So, you know, there will be a number of sites that are grouped geographically. Within a given community board, a crew reports to a central location, receives

there are, probably about 120. Other community

25

commissioner essentially has some oversight or

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 responsibility and relationship to the sectors in their borough.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. So have you previously or do you currently look at how resources are allocated at sort of any of those levels? The bill obviously is trying to get down there as much as we can to how are resources allocated, you know, in terms of dollars spent by -- on maintenance personnel roughly by acreage. But obviously, you know, there are-- Well, let me just ask the question that way. Do you look at either the park, the district, the sector or the borough level as meaningful management indicators as you're thinking about assignment of dollars, staff, specialty crews in your efforts to manage the Parks Department?

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: We look at both the district and the borough level to, you know, because of the nature of our workforce it fluctuates over the course of a year. And there are sort of additional nuances that due to the nature of the-of the job training participants, and how-how they are assigned to the Parks Department. So we--we

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | constantly look at the distribution of staff at the

3 borough sector, at the borough sector and division

4 level. We don't typically look at the--at the

5 | individual park level, however.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Go it. any of the--and of the--so the--so that's helpful and I think, you know, it helps me understand once we start getting these reports, there--you know, the bill, you know, imagines the reporting as much as possible at the park level. I'm wondering--well, but I guess actually it's, you know, it's both at the park and then the regional district and the borough wide level. So we'll be able to see that. Is there information that you guys have been tracking already that you have that you might be able to share with us at any of those levels even prior to the reporting coming out that just gets at some of what you just described? Either that you already made public, and we just haven't seen or used in that way? Or, that you might be able to share that you already have that doesn't, you know, that won't even prior to December 1st, 2015 when these reports--?

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Some--some of that we--we definitely have. Certainly at the

borough level we every other week we look closely at
staffing levels from the borough wide perspective and
look at trends, you know, in comparison to previous
years. We are as part of our Ops 21 program, we're
developing more information aboutabout how those
resources are used on a daily basis. So the service
level agreements that I mentioned in my testimony are
something that we're developing. We'rewe're
tracking how often we visit parks on a daily basis.
And, you know, it's been a challenge to aggregate all
of the data effectively. You know, thethe mobile
device that I mentioned in my testimony, we think is
going to help us do that much, much more efficiently.
But we are accumulating that information. We analyze
it again on a biweekly basis through our regular
management meetings. We look for trends, we look for
improvements, we look for thing that areyou know,
one of theone of the goals is to look for, you
know, possible imbalances in resources that are
preventing us from meeting the service level
agreement. We haven't gotten to that level of
analysis yet, but that's ultimately something that we
want to do with that tool

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's helpful.

So let me just ask this, and then I just have one question on the capital side, and then I'll turn it back to the chair and other colleagues. We--we're giving you I think for good reasons a long time until December 1st, 2016 to get this in place because you're just--well, you'll start the new fiscal year July 1 and, you know, what that will mean as starting. You know, in some ways I'm thinking of this bill as starting July 1st, 2015 because that's the fiscal year you'll report on by December 1st, 2016, and really be able to just get down to the park level. And I get that that's a big new system that's taken a lot of--

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: [interposing] Uhhuh.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --work in transition. It would be great if you could think about it by saying, you know, we need to put this in the bill. But, you know, give us what reporting you can on the fiscal year that's jut concluded with the information that you already have. And whether that's at--how detailed you can go between boroughs or district. Help us start to move in this direction

2 by giving the information that you can. I don't

3 think we need--that will be different than the annual

4 reports to come out, but I think whatever you can

5 share with us sooner would be very--would be very

6 helpful.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: We'll be happy to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great. Thank you, and then just one question on the capital side. I have become -- I am an enthusiast to Parks Capital Tracker. I use it in my office. My constituents like having it. I'm clear, though, from the way we've drafted the bill that the annual report you'll start to provide will go in the city's open data portal, and--and therefore be downloadable and usable by some of the advocates in the room who then want to conduct their own analysis and come and testify. It's less clear to me from my Use of Parks Capital Tracker whether you're sharing it into the City's Open Data Portal, and it is -- it becomes, you know, it is at points in time down, you know, downloadable in that way. So that you go to it because you care about one park. And, you know, and I want to know about when that park is going to go into design, when

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 its construction is being--is going to be completed. It's very useful for that purpose. There should also 3 4 be a way for people who want to then take all of that 5 data and be able to say, you know, I want to make 6 sure that the Bronx isn't, you know, isn't getting 7 short changed as the New Yorkers for Parks Report showed that it was a few years ago. Just to be able 8 to kind of look and analyze across all that data. 9 I just--do you know if it's being shared with the 10 Open Data Portal or there's a way that it can be 11 12 downloaded in aggregate, and then used by researchers
 - COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: I--I--

who would want to do that?

15 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] It
16 looks like we don't know today.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: I--I--I don't--I
don't know if it--I don't think right now it's in the
Open Data Portal. I would have to think that, you
know, given the goal of the Administration to be more
transparent and provide access to--to government
information that we'll be moving in that direction.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So that's great instinct. If you guys could just follow up and let us know, you know, is there a plan in place to get it

2.2

to the Acting Chair.

there? And if not, I guess I'm asking that there be a plan, but it sounds like you share that goal as well. Because that will help them make sure not only is it real—I like it like it is so I don't want you to make it so it's not very user—friendly with a person who wants to see one park. But, you know, move towards us making all the data available to researchers and organizations who can then take it and look across the system. That's great. Thank you. Those are my questions. I'll turn it back over

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Cabrera.

much to the Acting Chair. Just a couple of things.

Council Member Lander mentioned the Bronx. So if I could peel back a little bit on that, and I--I truly believe that the reason why the elected officials in the Bronx have refrained from putting funding is because it takes so long to get the project through.

Case in point is the Grant Avenue Playground. I put money in 2011. I went to the Tracker just right now, and it says that it was supposed to be completed in 2014. It then says all right now it's going to be

this information or something changed?

2.2

finished in January of 2016. But very last time that the Commissioner spoke, I asked the Commissioner. I asked him when is this park going to be finished, and he said in 2017. And this, which is updated on 6/17. So either we're not getting accurate information into the Tracker or something just changed between the—the very last hearing. Can you explain to me are we getting good data on the Tracker, or is it that I got

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Council Member, I can't explain, you know, the difference that you brought up here. I know that we focus on providing accurate information in the Tracker. It's updated on a weekly basis, and our capital staff takes very seriously the responsibility to update it on a regular basis, and ensure that accurate information goes into the Tracker. I--I can't explain why, you know, you heard different information in another setting, but I promise you that after this meeting I will get you the accurate information and explain what--how the discrepancy occurred.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes, if you could get back to me and so I can know. This project is just literally taking too long. I mean it's just-

posted at the sites?

2.2

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes, all of our

parks and playgrounds and sitting areas and triangles

should have signs with the name, the rules and

regulations that pertain to that particular park or

6 playground and the operating hours.

problem. My problem is we have a group of people who came from the Post Office. They—they had camped out there, you know, homeless, and now they decided to camp on Devanney Triangle. So we called Bronx Works. Bronx Works tried to work it out, you know, to see if they would go into a shelter. They refused. So they called the Police Department. The Police Department said we can go in only if there's a sign. So we called the Parks Department, and we still have yet to get a response back and it's been days. I mean I have the whole community complaining about—

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: [interposing] Uhhuh.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: --you know, them taking over the park and want to use the park. And it--it just, you know, there's a lot of garbage now. I mean it was on New 12 of this week. And so, can you explain to me what's the process when it comes to

the signs? From the moment you put in a request is there a time that you're supposed to get it back or

4 put a sign up or--?

2.2

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: If there is no sign on Duvanney Triangle right now, and I'm sure based on your question that there isn't, we will have one up by the end of the day.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much. I really appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: And I just want to say in response to your, you know, your question about Grant--Grant Park, it has taken a long time.

Commissioner Silver shares your, you know, sort of frustration over that. As you know, from previous testimony that he has made before this committee, he's committed to improving that process. And, you know, he's working. He directed us to work to get--at least that, you know, that street open in Grant--that will become part of Grant Park open this summer as a play street, and we're working towards accomplishing that. But I will get back to you, but the difference in the--in the dates for the --for the completion of the park and we'll confirm that the sign is up in Devanney.

2.2

appreciate that. I'm looking forward to the young people playing there. I--I just allocated \$2.7 million. So, you know, it's not chump change.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: [interposing] And thank you for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: No, that is the people's money. I just [laughs] made sure to give that. [sic]

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: But it could have gone some place else.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes, indeed.

Thank you so much Commissioner. Thank you so much.

Thank you so much.

Just a couple. One, I guess I'm still, you know, a new council member I really enjoy giving capital money to my parks and I--I hope that my faith is rewarded someday. One, the first thing I'd like to do is acknowledge that we have a new borough commissioner, Iris Rodriguez Rosa, and we're very, very happy that we have a new borough commissioner, Iris [sic], and we are grateful and we look forward to her. I think her first day is--I mean she's on

on parks. Thank you Chair, and I also thank my

25

2.2

colleague Council Member Lander. I think it's a really great bill, and I would like to definitely add my name to it as well. So the question that I have for you, Deputy Commissioner, is that the Parks in—in my neck of the woods are really—border the coast. I know that we have a Parks Tracker. Does the Parks Tracker take into account pending FEMA projects as well, because we've been waiting fro quite some time now on projects or Army Corps to move forward. So for, you know, there are—we have a lot of damaged parkland and Parks property. So does the Tracker take into account pending FEMA work or Army Corps projects?

they're funded projects. You know, for example, when the Army Corps has a project in either design or construction that's funded where the city and state are their local partner and provide some of the funding for it, it would be tracked through the Capital Tracker Application. If it's—if it's a project that is still sort of in the planning stages, it wouldn't show up in Capital—in the Capital Project Tracker until money is actually allocated to the project.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: The reason why I
3 asked is that certainly Parks are a vital
4 recreational public space and a use for many

5 communities. The park--the parkland in my district

and other districts also serve as infrastructure.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Really the first line of defense against, you know, coastal events, coastal storms. So we, you know, this is an issue that I know that I know that for example in the beaches in Southern Brooklyn the Army Corps had replaced some sand that had eroded as a result of Sandy, but where I'm hearing talks that -- because I've been pushing for another resiliency study for Southern Brooklyn. But I--I just--I think it's critical that we--we coordinate with obviously the Parks Department and the Mayor's Office of Recovery and Resiliency on prioritizing capital maintenance of parks, but not just for recreational purposes, but really for public safety purposes as well. And, for example, there's a study underway I'm aware of, of Coney Island Creek. And I'd just like to just to hear what types of coordination does the Parks Department have with EDC and the Mayor's Office for

2.2

Recovery and Resiliency on that? Because that creek borders both Kaiser Park and Calvert Vaux and Dreier Offerman Park. Where in the previous administration committed a lot of capital to-committed our capital to Dreier Offerman and it never actually materialized. It's a separate conversation. But, I'd just like to know how does this factor into prioritizing capital spending in the Parks Department. We're looking at not just recreational

purposes, but actually public safety purposes.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Well, I can tell you that we're very much involved in the planning for Coney Island Creek with both EDC, the Office of Resiliency and Recovery. Our Natural Resources group has been involved in the details of—of how that project might be executed. And we work closely with the Army Corps of Engineers not only on—on that planning project, but others throughout the entire New York City. We have a bi—weekly call—a standing bi—weekly call with the Army Corps, the OLR, the State Department of Environmental Conservation, to review projects that are either in planning, design, construction. And, you know, we—we do our best to coordinate as closely as possible. In fact,

2.2

following last hearing where you raised the question about, you know, comprehensive study for Coney Island, that was one of the--the topics we discussed with the Army Corps in our call. And they are very open to reopening the--the Coney Island planning, the feasibility study that, you know, led to the original

reconstruction of the beach back on the '90s.

aware of that. I am--I appreciate that because it's-it's an entire coastline beyond my district that's
really from Sea Gate to Coney Island, to Brighton
Beach, Manhattan Beach, Sheepshead Bay. It's an
enormous swath of land. I would just like to say
that Council Member Cabrera mentioned his frustration
with sometimes I guess time. My issue is also cost.
When you look at some of the park land that's been
damaged, you know, for example the Promenade by
Bensonhurst Park--

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: [interposing]
Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: --and others,

it's much more than--you know, that's Council Member

Gentile's district. Even if him and I combined our

pots of capital together, that's still not going to

be enough to cover the full damage and the scope. So I'd just like to really double down on making sure that these FEMA applications and Army Corps projects are actually moving. Making sure that there are additional funds. We have to push the federal government in order to do so because that's been our biggest frustration, not just the time but also the exorbitant costs. And I'd like to continue working with your department to finally get these things done.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH:} \ \mbox{We are prepared}$ to do that, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Commissioner,

I just thought of one more. In--in terms of the

staff level, I guess maintenance that's your biggest

category of staff, I would imagine is maintenance.

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Yes, it is.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: But I guess you—there are other categories. I mean administration comes to mind. Can you share with us what are the categories that the staff falls into?

2.2

COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: Well, the major 2 3 categories are Maintenance and Operations, 4 Administration as you noted. It's a large organization. We have budget personnel, benefits, 5 6 all of those things that have to--have to happen in 7 order to make the -- the organization work. We have our programming, a recreation division, which does 8 tremendous work operating the recreation centers and 9 10 providing programming in parks and playgrounds around the city. In fact, we're--you know, we're--as part 11 12 of the Community Parks Initiative, we're expanded pretty dramatically. The Kids in Motion Program so 13 14 that there are playground associates and more 15 playgrounds in the CPI zone this year than there were 16 last year. We direct a lot of our programming in 17 Shape Up, Zumba and things like that into the Parks 18 and Playgrounds during the summer months. there's--there's a whole programming division. 19 20 have our--our I quess public outreach, which includes the Partnership for Parks and Green Thumb and 21 2.2 divisions like that, which interact with the 23 community on a grassroots level. And they really do terrific work in helping people help their parks. 24 25 And then, of course, we have the Capital Projects

- 2 Division, which includes, you know, professionals,
- 3 engineers, architects, landscape architects,
- 4 surveyors and all the big professional skills that go
- 5 | into planning, designing and building parks and
- 6 playgrounds.
- 7 ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN. Is it possible
- 8 | while we're looking at maintenance that we could also
- 9 track recreational resources?
- 10 COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: We--we do, of
- 11 | course, track them. Not in the same way as we're
- 12 | tracking maintenance because they have sort of the
- 13 different sort of a--they do things differently than
- 14 us. The deliverables are different. Let me put it
- 15 | that way. And so, we're looking to have a clean
- 16 park, a safe park. They're looking for the number of
- 17 kids engaged, and the number of programs delivered
- 18 and stuff like that. But yes, we can provide
- 19 | information on where and how we're delivering those
- 20 services through recreation.
- 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: I think we
- 22 | would be very interested in that, too. Okay,
- 23 anybody else? Thank you, Commissioner.
- 24 COMMISSIONER KAVANAGH: You're welcome.
- 25 Thank you.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you.

Now it's on. Okay, Tupper Thomas. Brielle and Roland Lewis.

[pause]

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Tupper,

please.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TUPPER THOMAS: Well, good morning. [laughs] I'm Tupper Thomas, Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks. Thank you for allowing me to speak to you today. We are really, really excited about this bill, but really about the work that the New York City Department of Parks is doing to track all of this information. It makes a huge difference for all of us who are--who are trying to be sure that the Parks Department actually has enough money and resources to do all the work that they need to be doing. And we feel that this is really going to be a great addition as well. So that one of the things we're all looking for is what's that magic number? How many maintenance workers. How many other--how many PEP officers and so on and so forth. So I think this is going to make a big difference in helping all of us look at not only how are they allocating, but what more do they need in order to really make every

25

2 park equitable and be able to do that work. little concerned that the bill as currently written 3 4 may or may not capture all of the--a full 5 understanding of the resources as allocated. Hopefully, the -- the largest hundred parks is really 6 7 not maybe what--what you're looking for. Some of those are all natural areas. Other than other 8 things, it might be interesting to look at CPI zones 9 as a way to look at this. There may be a number of 10 ways to look at for your first collection to work 11 12 with Parks on what is it that you're really looking 13 for in the -- in this. And then, understanding how 14 that works. Is it the CPI zones or is it comparing 15 large parks that have conservancies with large parks 16 that don't. And so, if you were comparing large 17 parks with conservancies versus the ones that don't 18 then this is a good measure. But is that all you're looking for. So I--I think that there should be, and 19 20 there seems to be terrific give and take between the Council members and the Parks Department. So it's 2.1 2.2 really just so that both of you understand what the 23 purpose of the bill is in the long term. other thing that I would like to say is that--is 24

really all of that. But I'm very interested also in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the concept of PEP and CPI and how we can best trace the money that's now being put into those very significant things. So we have now already had an addition we know has been put in for the PEP program. Where are they--where are they going, where are they allocated? How will that—how will that be measured in terms of whether it's producing better quality of life for those parks? So I think measurement is a really important thing again. So that, you know, if the PEP forces need more people, how will we--how will we know that? What are the numbers that can help us trace--sort of track that? So I think it isn't just maintenance, because boy PEP is very, very close to how we help do maintenance. So I think maintenance is -- is greatly helped by having enforcement around. And so it's--it's important to look at all of the aspects of the Parks Department. And In think that's what Council Member Cohen was just bringing up is that these are the kinds of things that really all fit together. It isn't just maintenance, and capital is important. All of those things are being tracked. But as new money is being allocated, it seems to me that it's useful to see what the effect of that new money has been, and is

2.2

there a way to measure. But in general, I am so excited about the usership study that's going to be done, and very--we're very, very excited about the needs assessment. I think all of these things in the long term are going to lead us to a real--a strong answer to that question. Is it a One Percent for Parks or is it--is it a real number of what we need to really be able to make every park as good as every other park. Thank you.

[background comments]

everybody. My name is Brielle Kimmer Eng [sp?]. I'm here from the Law Office of Carter, Ledyard and Millburn, but I'm speaking on behalf of Christina Taylor, who is the Executive Director of the Friends of Van Cortlandt Park, the community based organization. It's very concerned with park equity and works very hard to improve parklands in the Bronx. So I'm speaking on behalf of her today, and so her testimony states that on behalf of the Board and the staff of the Friends of Van Cortlandt Park. I am writing today in support of Proposed Intro No. 154-A to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to an annual report on park

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

maintenance and capital expenditures. The Friends is an independent community based organization, which actively promotes the conservation and improvement of Van Cortlandt Park. We believe that there is a parks equity issue among neighborhoods and parks in New York City, and that parks are not equitably funded and funded and maintained at a level that is consistent throughout the city. The Friends was founded in 1992 in response to declining budgets for parks like Van Cortlandt that lacked wealthy benefactors. But over 20 years later, the Bronx Parks Department is still not adequately funded. With the largest New York City Park Pellham Bay and the third largest park Van Cortlandt, the Bronx has more parkland than any other borough. But we often wonder if we are getting our fair share of the Parks Department's budget to maintain these parks at the level that Bronxites deserve. In 2014, New York City Parks published the first ever master plan for Van Cortlandt Park. The Friends has joined New York City Parks, the Parks Conservancy and others in exploring ways to fully implement the plan. Currently, however, it's very difficult to determine how much the Parks Department is spending in Van Cortlandt

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Park, which is critical to our addressing shortfalls in its funding. This legislation would require 3 4 reporting, but would allow expenditures to be 5 reported in a fashion that is clear, and easily 6 understood. This report should be made available to

7 the public, and allow a fuller public debate about

equitable park funding. Therefore, the Friends of 8

Van Cortland Park fully support Proposed Intro No. 9

154-A. Thank you so much for having me. 10

> ROLAND LEWIS: All right, my turn. Roland Lewis, President of the Metropolitan Worker Alliance, an alliance of over 800 community groups and businesses and civic organizations dedicated to an open and accessible and healthy harbor for all of We're happy to support Intro 154--1-5-4-A to update information by the staff on the capital projects. But, I'd like to focus on something closer to my home or to what we do, which is the -- the ability to fix and fund things on a--on a timely basis that the Council Member was referring to a little while ago. We have--you know, we have a poster child for this--for this issue, which is eco dock that Councilman and your colleague Councilman Gentile in partnership with the Parks Department and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Borough President in Brooklyn helped established. Ιt was a--I'm an optimistic guy, but it was a success even beyond my imagination. In paltry city of 3,000 young people everybody from South Brooklyn got to use that dock. Dozens of boats came there. It was an outstanding success. So, now as often happens in the -- in the maritime world, again they give way, and it gave way under harsh conditions in the off season. So we have a built-in gangway. So, we normally fix in a marina in other situations, re-engineer and fix For the Parks Department right now it's fallen into this middle world. It's not a major capital improvement. We're not rebuilding the dock. dock is robust and going to stay there. When it's now a minor repair we can just send someone out to tighten a screw. But it's something it's in between, and so we hope there could be a -- a standing -- a standing contract -- the ability for the Parks Department to do what EDC does when a--a bulkhead breaks or what other, other agencies can do when they're--when it's not completely construction and not a minor repair, a way to get something done quickly. Where you've--where we've lost a season already, and I'm afraid if we go down the normal path

or route, we now lose multiple seasons, which is just a crime given the fact that it's sitting right there for that community to use. Eco docks are now to be established -- well we have one funded up in Inwood in Ydanis Rodriguez's district. Costa Constantinides is going to have one in Astoria. There's a bunch of I'm hoping also that the Parks Department will consider a--making this into a program that instead of a one-off each time we go--they--they've proven to be tremendously exciting and successful for the communities. And just for the private developer, actually on the -- on the South Bronx Waterfront he wants to build one on his own as part of an industrial development down there. So it's an inexpensive and great way to open up waterfronts. But the point of my testimony besides the part for the Intro is to talk about that third way. Find a way for the Parks Department to efficiently, quickly not close the playground down because a swing is broken or a jungle gym cannot be fixed. We must be able to find a way do these repairs whether it's a gangway or a--or swing set or whatever. So thank you very much for the opportunity to discuss this issue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on eco docks, and I'm open to any questions you might
have or I'll defer to Tupper probably.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. first want to thank all of you guys for being here, and not just for your support of the legislation, but for the partnership over a long period of time that has helped us understand the issue. And both its importance on the ways you spoke about on equity and also the -- the complexities of getting it right. have been talking with Chair Levine for some time about, and he's been really pushing and working very proactively with the Administration and the Commissioner on the capital issues that council members mentioned. I think that at some point before too long, it would be great if we can have a -- have a hearing that sort of brings forward where we are. Just the progress that we've made on those issues. think there is actually a lot of progress to a report that I've been seeing on my projects in my district not only on the transparency on the Tracker, but really moving it forward. It's a big--it's a lot of work that they're doing. So I think at some point it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would be great if we can kind of update, you know, the Council and the public on those--on those systemic changes. I'm mindful of these and in looking at the bill and in hearing Council Member Cohen's questions and then Tupper yours as well, that there might be--you know, the bill focuses on maintenance and getting down to the park level, which I think is important and hard. It may be that there's some other things we want to capture that we have to get at the district or sector or borough And I guess I just want to ask Tupper. levels. we've heard PEP officers, which is another thing I guess we can follow up on and try to figure out in a way that's manageable for the system, but meaningful how that's captured and tracked the programming. You know, that's the summer, folks that are in the--the seasonal employees that do programming. Are there other categories like that that we should just explore and talk to the department and see if at one of those levels it's possible to get that information where it would be meaningful, you know, without, you know, a whole new Ops 21 level of--of effort.

TUPPER THOMAS: [off mic] Well, it's--I think they certainly can answer that--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Tupper, could3 -could use the microphone, please?

I'm sorry. TUPPER THOMAS: [on mic] Okay. All right. Plumbers, you know, all of those electric -- those electricians, all of those people that are behind the scenes are--are very much an important part of getting everything ready in the spring and turning all the drinking fountains on and all that kind of stuff. How they're allocated, what they do, how many of them are there? Are there enough to take care of all of those things? The park rangers, the natural resources crews. Certainly, if you start looking at the large parks, you'll really need to be able to look at the maintenance of those natural areas, an area that we had a hearing on earlier in the year that I think very much points out the--how many more people are probably necessary if we're going to maintain our natural areas. And the Bronx has an enormous number of acres as does Queens in those--in those things. So I think all of those things if we--if we get started with this maintenance and which I think is the most obvious and out there topic. Then I think once you all have that going, the Parks Department is going to be really ready to

TUPPER THOMAS: Yes.

24

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So you have to

track and, you know, as the Deputy Commissioner said,

they look at outcomes obviously comparing

expenditures and outcomes. That's what we're

supposed to do with all this information.

TUPPER THOMAS: Yes, we are.

of that. It might make sense to go back and look—and this is our job as well—at the Mayor's

Management Report and make sure we are getting in the

MMR the outcomes type of data that helps us sort of

look at and compare. So we welcome your continued

partnership in doing that. So thank you.

TUPPER THOMAS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member. Council Member Cabrera.

council Member Cabrera: Yes, thank you so much. I just wanted to be asked to be added to the bill and congratulate Council Member Brad Lander for such an excellent piece of legislation and looking forward to it passing in the Council. Thank you so much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you very much. This concludes our hearing.

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND	RECREATION	66
[gavel]		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____ June 23, 2015