CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

June 1, 2015

Start: 10:17 a.m. Recess: 5:20 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

JULISSA FERRERAS-COPELAND

Chairperson

ELIZABETH S. CROWLEY

Chairperson

YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

James G. Van Bramer

Vanessa L. Gibson

Robert E. Cornegy

Laurie A. Cumbo

Corey D. Johnson

Mark Levine

I. Daneek Miller

Helen K. Rosenthal

Vincent M. Ignizio

Mathieu Eugene

Fernando Cabrera

Rory I. Lancman

Paul A. Vallone

Daniel R. Garodnick

James Vacca

Margaret S. Chin

Stephen T. Levin

Deborah L. rose

Mark S. Weprin

David G. Greenfield

Costa G. Constantinides

Carlos Menchaca

Antonio Reynoso

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Daniel A. Nigro Commissioner New York City Fire Department

James Leonard Chief of the Department New York City Fire Department

Robert Sweeney Chief of Staff Robert New York City Fire Department

Steve Rush Assistant Commissioner Budget and Finance New York City Fire Department

Michelle Maglione Assistant Commissioner Recruitment and Diversity New York City Fire Department

James Booth Chief of Emergency Medical Services New York City Fire Department

Joseph Ponte Commissioner New York City Department of Correction

Frank Doka
Deputy Commissioner and Chief Financial Officer
Budget Management and Planning
New York City Department of Correction

Polly Trottenberg Commissioner NYC Department of Transportation Joseph Jarrin
Deputy Commissioner
Finance, Contracting and Program Management
NYC Department of Transportation

Jeff Lynch Assistant Commissioner Intergovernmental and Community Affairs NYC Department of Transportation

Tom Prendergast Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Robert E. Foran Chief Financial Officer Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Meera Joshi Commissioner NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Midori Valdivia Assistant Commissioner Administration and Operations NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission [gavel]

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Quiet please.

Welcome to the City Council's eighth day of hearings on the Mayor's Executive Budget Fiscal 2016. My name is Julissa Ferreras-Copeland and I am the Chair of the Finance Committee. We are joined by the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice chaired by my colleague Council Member Elizabeth Crowley. This morning we will hear from the department—the Fire Department and the Emergency Medical Service and the Department of Correction. Later this afternoon, we will be joined by the Committee on Transportation to hear from the Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Transportation Authority and then the Taxi and Limousine Commission.

Before we begin, I'd like to thank the
Finance Division staff for putting this hearing
together including the Director Latonia Kinney, the
Chief Counsel Tanisha Edwards, Assistant Counsel
Rebecca Chasen, Deputy Directors Regina Poreda Ryan
and Nathan Toth. Unit Heads Ayisha Wright, and Chima
Obichere, Finance Analyst Steve Riester and the
Finance Division and Administrative Support Unit,

2.2

Nicole Anderson, Maria Pagan and Roberta Caturano who pull everything together. Thank you for all of your efforts. I'd also like to remind everyone that the public will be invited to testify on the last day of budget hearings on June 9th, beginning approximately 1:30 in the hearing room next door, in the Council Chambers. For members of the public who wish to testify but cannot attend the hearing, you can email your testimony to the Finance Division at fiancetestimony@council.nyc.gov and the staff will make it part of the official record.

Today's Executive Budget hearing kicks off with the Fire Department and the Emergency Medical Service. The department's Fiscal 2016 Executive Budget totals \$1.82 billion, which represents a \$42.4 million decrease from the Fiscal 2015 Adopted Budget. I'd like to begin by saying I am pleased to see that the Executive Budget includes increased capital funding for firehouse renovations, as requested by the Council's Budget Response. This funding should allow the FDNY to speed up its renovation scheduled for firehouses—houses, which is important since nearly all of the 218 firehouses are in need of some level of renovation. I'm concerned,

2.2

however, that with this--[coughs] excuse me--with this increased capital funding there needs to be a plan in place to ensure that dollars can actually be spent in a timely and effective manner. I look forward to learning more about how the agency proposes to implement its Expanded Capital Plan. The budget also includes funding for a new position to coordinate outreach to prospective female firefighter applicants. I look forward to hearing testimony regarding the exact roll of this new position, and how the FDNY expects to increase diversity within its ranks.

my colleagues that the first round of questions for the agencies will be limited to five minutes per council member. And if council members have additional questions, we will have a second round of questions for three minutes per council member. I will now turn the mic over to my Co-Chair, Council Member Crowley for her statement, and then we will hear from FDNY Commissioner

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. Good morning. My name is Elizabeth Crowley. I am the Chair of the Fire and Criminal Justice Services

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Committee. It is a pleasure to join Chair of Finance, Chair Ferreras-Copeland and my colleagues 3 for today's hearing. The Fire Department's budget 4 for Fiscal Year 2016 totals \$1.82 billion in expense. 5 \$42.4 million more than the Fiscal 2015 Adopted 6 7 Budget. In Fiscal Year 2016, Executive Budget requests from the Fire Department includes funds to 8 replace bunker gear, and a proposed \$9.7 million in 9 Fiscal Year 2015 savings because of an expansion in 10 uniform positions and an expected--and expected 11 12 reduction in overtime. Such savings aren't to be achieved without service cuts. The Fiscal 2016 13 Executive Capital Commitment Plan includes \$778 14 15 million from Fiscal Year 2015 through Fiscal Year 16 2019. Included in the plan is \$80 million to upgrade the department's 911 system, \$18.2 million for a new 17 18 firehouse in Brooklyn, and \$167 million for the replacement of firefighting apparatus. 19

The committee would also like to know what budgetary measures will be taken to reduce the response time to life-threatening medical emergencies. Has the department budgeted enough to increase the number of ambulance tours that it operates? Reducing response times is a priority, and

2.2

we want to make sure that the department has the resources it needs both in personnel and equipment.

Today, I'd also like to know where the department in providing the capital to outfit all firehouses with women's bathrooms and changing facilities; an update on the budget for improving the city's fire alarm call box network. I look forward to hearing from the commissioner and I will—and the department on their vision for next year, and—and I have—that completes my opening statement. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you Chair Crowley, and my counsel will swear you in, and then you may begin your testimony.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, good morning

Council Member Ferreras-Copeland Council Member

Crowley. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with

you today about the Executive Budget for Fiscal Year

2016 for the FDNY. I am joined this morning by Chief

of the Department James Leonard, Chief of Staff

2 Robert Sweeney and Assistant Commissioner for Budget and Finance Steve Rush. I would first like to take a 3 moment to recognize two major tragedies that have 4 impacted our city this year, the Midwood fire where 5 the lives of seven children were lost and the East 6 7 Village building explosion where two lives were lost. These tragedies are a remind to us all that even as 8 fire deaths continue to decline in New York City, we 9 must remain vigilant about fire and life safety 10 education. With the support of our men and women in 11 12 uniform, the FDNY's Fire Safety Education Unit gave almost 9,000 presentations to more than 600,000 New 13 Yorkers in 2014, including the distribution of 14 thousands of batteries and smoke alarms. They have 15 16 continued at this pace in 2015, and in the aftermath of these latest tragedies, I hope that the Council 17 18 and all city agencies will continue to partner with the FDNY in ensuring that a message about fire and 19 20 life safety is delivered to the communities across the city. As the city grows everyday, we must 21 2.2 continue preparing ourselves for any and all emergencies to better serve the increasing number of 23 24 people who call the city home and those who visit. 25 The intricacies of emergency response in an ever-

2.2

than ever. From answering thousands of gas and unknown odor calls, mobilizing a Hazmat plant for the Ebola virus outbreak, providing firefighting search and recovery at the East Village explosion site.

Responding to millions of emergency medical calls, rescuing hundreds of civilians from thousands of structural fires, and hiring hundreds of EMTs and firefighters so that the department has a robust and diverse force to respond to these challenges.

The Executive Budget for Fiscal Year 2016 reflects this reality, and we applaud the Mayor for providing much needed support for the FDNY as it meets these many and varied challenges. Some of the support I've mentioned includes funding for a woman's outreach coordinator to coordinate our many initiatives to recruit women from throughout the city, and encourage them to become of the bravest. Funding for a veterans coordinator so that we can continue our work with Commissioner Sutton to bring these brave men and women into our department, a relationship we both benefit from .

\$5 million in funding to replace bunker gear and support vehicles, which are crucial elements

to maintaining our level of service and the safety of our members now and for years to come. Funding for four special enforcement inspectors and fire prevention to continue to expand their important prevention work, as well as additional vehicles for current inspectors.

\$11.3 million to add 45 additional BLS tours primarily in the Bronx, Queens and Staten Island in our efforts to reduce response times citywide. These 45 tours will be in place by July 1st of this year. \$6.7 million to 149 new emergency medical dispatch personnel including four EMS decision dispatcher posts. These dispatchers oversee the distribution of resources at a borough level ensuring all FDNY resources are being utilized efficiently. The hiring and training of these dispatchers is already underway, and has already caused the efficiency of the system to increase even as call volume has gone up. This is just one of the recommendations that came out of our efforts along with the Mayor's Office, DOITT and NYPD to continue improving our 911 call system. And it is already yielding positive results.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

Finally, we received 24 additional lieutenant positions for EMS. These lieutenant positions have allowed for an important operational change that EMS has been seeking for many years. end result is that the entire Bureau of EMS is now following this station-based model of deployment. Which will improve our administrative effectiveness and ensure greater flexibility among supervisors and greater management oversight in all aspects of EMS operations. We have been looking to make this change for many years, and are very pleased that the Mayor has supported it. As I mentioned when I was last here, this allocation for emergency medical response is truly historic, as it is the most support any mayor has given to the FDNY for medical response since the FDNY and New York City EMS merged in 1996. However, this is only the beginning of our efforts to ensure emergency response keeps up with our growing city. We will implement and evaluate these new resources, and will request additional resources if we determine they are needed.

Lastly, this year marks the 150th anniversary of the FDNY. We recently celebrated our 150th birthday to the day by opening our firehouses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and EMS stations to the public. Thousands of New Yorkers stopped by our firehouses and the EMS stations that day, including many members of the City Council. This Mayor and Council have set new precedents by taking the closing or firehouses off the table, and we thank you for your support. will continue to hold open houses throughout the summer so New Yorkers can meet their local first responders. While we are most well known as an emergency response agency, the FDNY offers a wealth of vital services for New Yorkers from fire safety education to CPR training to well paying jobs that can become lifelong careers. We will continue celebrating our 150th year by sharing all that the FDNY has to offer with each and every New Yorker. The men and women of the FDNY look forward to continuing to provide exceptional service to all New Yorkers in every neighborhood as well as the millions who visit the city every year. We thank this committee and the entire City Council for their ongoing support for our mission. My colleagues and I would be happy to take your questions at this time.

25 you, Commissioner. I'm going to focus on agency

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

2.2

department identified program efficiencies totaling \$9.7 million in fiscal 2015's budget and decreasing to \$2 million in FY16 and the out years. One of the ways it's proposing efficiencies is by reducing uniform discretionary overtime by 5%. This Council any time we hear that you're reducing overtime, we get very excited. However, the department's Fiscal Year 2016 Executive Budget actually added an addition \$11.7 million in uniform overtime. How is the FDNY reducing overtime, and adding to the overtime budget at the same time?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [off mic] Steve.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Good

morning Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Good morning.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Assistant

Commissioner Steven Rush. There are several things

going on. Number one in the budget, we did money for

our Recruitment Program, which was put in--in the

January plan. So we needed to add money to the

Recruitment Program because of the--the program I'm

working on the Mentorship [sic] Program, we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

implementing, as you noted, an across-the-board reduction in uniformed overtime. We're also going to extend that on EMS and civilian. This is in the discretionary overtime area--area that we control. A lot of the overtime is contractual in nature. We're forced to fill posts that are vacant on overtime, and that should reduce -- that savings should result next year as well as we hire more classes. But right now, Chief of Operations Sudnick has put out an order on reducing uniformed overtime. We are setting up a program for each unit to manage their overtime comparing it on a biweekly basis from year to year. So we think we can achieve the \$2 million plus savings in discretionary overtime, but there were needs in overtime that needed to be met in other areas.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, is there a potential of maybe just hiring more recruits, or is this a call. Because whenever we see overtime, from the Council's perspective—and help me understand how this doesn't, how this won't mitigate this. But we see overtime on the NYPD side, and it raises a flag for us that perhaps we need more officers. Is that the same when we see it from the

2.2

FDNY side is it that we need more officers, or are
there need--is there a need for more staff to do
recruitment so that we don't have to do the overtime,
or can you walk me through that?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Primarily-primarily, the bulk of uniformed overtime is for the field coverage.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Field coverage.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: And that's because we have over 500 vacancies in the firefighter rank because of the longstanding issues that involve the—the diversity in hiring with the—with the federal court. So, as we being to hire more and more firefighters, we should have at least two to three classes coming up over the next 12 months. We should put a big dent in the field overtime, which is the primary cause of overtime. In addition, we are implementing a mandatory discretionary overtime program unrelated to the overtime we should realize in the field in the next fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay, and I just wanted to ask you to share with my committee and we'll follow up with a letter, the other

efficiency proposals that you may have submitted to OMB.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So we'll-we'll follow up with that. The Executive Budget
added \$556,000 for diversity in firefighter hiring
and a new position to help the department coordinate
women's outreach. What new initiatives has the
department implemented that you can share with us
regarding the department's recruitment and diversity
efforts. And can you explain how you anticipate the
new position, outreach coordinator will improve the
diversity of the department.

that we've been able to add a woman's recruitment coordinator. She--that coordinator will not be the only person working on recruiting women, but will certainly coordinate that activity as will the veterans coordinator that--that we will hire. So that we can focus on these two groups, which we feel will bring us the diversity we need. We also now have a Deputy Commissioner for Diversity and Inclusion, which that position will oversee our

2.2

2.2

2 entire recruitment effort for the department. And 3 these folks will be working for her.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: From your perspective or who's advising on--what's the expertise that you need to recruit more women in the fire department? Is that like a national call or what do you--what do you--from your perspective, what is it that we need to strengthen, or what does--what are the characteristics that this person has to have or skills?

would need some experience in recruitment. It could be internal in the department. It could be—be elsewhere, but we're seeking someone who understands what the job is because we're trying to recruit not only people to take exam, but those people who we feel will successful pass exam and can successfully be firefighters. So it's a very focused effort on recruitment, not simply a wide net being cast to—to take in candidates who may not have what we feel it takes to be successful. And that will be the focus of the women's re—

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] So I guess this person will do the

2.2

marketing strategy and help and influence in that way.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I think we'll work with--we--we have a--a firm coming on to help us with recruitment with our advertising, with our marketing strategy. We certainly have a good team in place, and this is an added post in order to coordinate specifically for women, specifically for veterans inside that umbrella of recruitment that we have now.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

And kind of in the stream of pipeline building, I

know that the FDNY has a high school for Fire and

Life Safety in Brooklyn, which exposes students to

potential careers in the Fire Department as

firefighters, EMTs or Paramedics. How many graduates

from the FDNY high school have successfully gone on

to become firefighters or EMTs?

[pause]

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Excuse me.

[background comments]

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It would be--

Michelle I think has the numbers a little more accurate than I do.

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

3 Sorry for the awkward set up.

2.2

MICHELLE MAGLIONE: That's quite all right. Good morning.

6 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Good
7 Morning.

with Recruitment and Diversity. We have 90 graduates of the high school become certified EMTs. Of that, we now currently have more that—we have 28 working for the department. Two have been promoted at—to firefighters. Seven are currently working as dispatchers, and the rest are working as EMTs.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Great, well this looks like at--

MICHELLE MAGLIONE: [interposing] We also have an additional graduates of the FDNY High School who are on the current hiring list who have already—for EMT who have already passed their physical agility test and this is the first step in their hiring process.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So is-can I assume--well, I don't know if you have the

2.2

2 numbers, but how many of those are girls, young 3 women?

MICHELLE MAGLIONE: I don't know that I have it broken down that way. The--the high school is 76% and 25% female. So the numbers shake out accordingly with our EMT pre course. We also work with the Exploring Program, and we now have a pipeline for those young people to also go into the EMS Academy. That program is more than 40% female. So that's why we want to be able to continue to pipeline those young people into the art--youth workforce EMS Academy program as well.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Well, I just would urge you, Commissioner, with some proven success of the high school that perhaps your recruitment expert can also figure out a way to work with the high school to get more young girls in the pipeline from high schools straight up. It just seems like it will be a potential great feeder program for the DOE into the Fire Department.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [off mic] Well, we think it's--[on mic] We do believe that it's a--a good pipeline certainly to EMS, which they then can subsequently get promoted into Fire. It is a small

group, but nonetheless a good--a good group for us to bring in. And we're always looking for ways to enlarge it.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Is there any future thinking on expanding this outside of just this high school, but perhaps in the replicate program throughout the city?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: That—that discussion has been ongoing with the Department of Education to see if we can expand it, but it's—we have no immediate plans to do that. No.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

Well, this Council is going to follow up on that as we discuss the—the rest of the budget. I'm going to ask my co—chair to ask her questions. But again, we can't urge you enough from the perspective. We have less council members in the Council. So this is, you know, recruiting women is across the board. We need more women elected. We need more women in the Fire Department. So I am—you know, we're kind of in this together, and we want to make sure that we get more women involved in and on both sides of this table.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I certainly agree on

that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Chair

Ferreras-Copeland for your leadership today, and for promoting women in the Fire Department. Good morning again. I have a number of questions. First, I'm going to start with the Fire Prevention Units. What does it cost for the Fire Department to run the Fire Prevention Unit in a given year?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: I think we discussed this at the last hearing. On the operating budget side, there's \$5 million approximately to run fire prevention. On the capital side right now, we have an investment to really improve--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [off mic] Steven

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Just looking at expense number?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: \$49 million.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: \$49 million. Yeah,
I know because when we discussed it at the
Preliminary Budget we had different numbers. And
now, the budget that I'm looking at for Fiscal Year

2.2

2014 there was a revenue of \$65 million. Is that correct?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: I think--I believe it was around \$63, but I could be mistaken. We're in the ballpark.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We're getting our numbers from you at \$65 million, which was a decrease from the previous fiscal year. Can you explain why it went down?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Inspections are cyclical. We do bi-annual inspections of fuel burners. So if those inspections happen to be on--on more proportion to one year than the other that will drive revenue numbers down. We do have--we do have some issues including vacancies in the inspector ranks. The Civil Service list does not produce enough--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]

That's what I was getting at. There's vacancies. So when you're not able to do the number of inspections-

## ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH:

[interposing] There are--there are vacancies. We

2.2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, maybe you're not offering enough to recruit the right kind of candidates.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Those discussions would be underway with the Office of Labor Relations in the current round of arguing.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But one would say since you don't have a very large pool, and you need a certain amount of experience that \$40,000 may not be the right number to start off with a Fire Prevention Officer.

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_rush:} \mbox{ One could} \\ \mbox{argue that.}$ 

know, when we're looking at areas where our city is generating funds, certainly in fire prevention—prevention, we're generating at the same time we're making the city safer. And in your budget, you're putting funds—you're requesting funds for additional firefighters officers, too. So you need 20, but is that including the four Special Enforcement Inspection Officers that you have listed.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: No, those are--that's an additional four positions that would

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

need to be filled. They would be working as part of a task force with the Mayor's Office and other city agencies.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Would they be starting at \$40,000, or would you be expecting them to want more.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: I believe those would be the--the second or the associate level inspector, which is a higher level of pay.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Which would be about what?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: It's in the 50 range.

15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But if you--

16 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH:

[interposing] I mean on the level. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So in order to raise the minimum wage for a fire inspector you would probably raise that one, if the Office of Labor relations allows you to.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: The entry level, we're--we're evaluating a proposal with the Office of Labor Relations, and it would have to obviously with the union as well.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do we have a backlog of complaints because we have a shortage of fire inspectors?

[background comments]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: No, no we do not.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You're just not able to be as proactive as you would like to be?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Generally, the biggest area where we have is the Fire Alarm Inspection Unit because of the fact that that involves construction of buildings. There is a heavy demand in that unit, and a lot of pressure. But we have—we do allow a lot of overtime in that unit to keep up with the needs in that unit. And additional staffing actually will be requested in the fall. We met with the Chief of the Fire Alarm Inspection Unit. He's looking for another six positions and those would probably be funded as part of the November plan.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So that's an area where we could work together to help you get those qualified candidates so that we can do more inspections as timely as possible. I'd like talk

2 about your EMS tours. How many tours is the
3 department running overtime everyday right now?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Let's see.

5 [background comments]

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_rush:} About \ a$  dozen is what the Chief says.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I've heard complaints from the rank and file that it's much greater than that. How accurate are your abouts?

11 CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: Good morning, Ms.

12 | Crowley. I'm Chief Booth-

13 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Good
14 morning.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: --Chief of the Emergency Medical Services. We run about a dozen--a dozen tours citywide on overtime, and they vary from day to day.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Is that at--at any given timeframe? Does that mean that if you have two different tours per day you're running 12 in the morning and 12 in the evening?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Oh, okay. So

25 you're running 24 tours a day?

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 31 2 CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: When we speak on a tour, we speak on eight-hour tour. I may have 3 4 misunderstood your question. [sic] 5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] So it may be more than that, it's three times? 6 7 CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: I misunderstood your questions. The tour--the tour--the midnight tour we 8 do not run a significant amount of overtime. Just 9 the day tour and the afternoon tour. 10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, you have that 11 12 minimum 24, not including the night? 13

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: When we spoke on the tour, ma'am, I misunderstood your question. I was speaking on an eight-hour tour. I apologize.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. When looking at the budget, how many new tours are we funding on the budget?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Forty-five.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So we're funding 45 tours in the budget, but we're already utilizing greater than 24 tours every single day?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Yeah, and we're not going to cut those tours. This is 45 on top of the tours today, which include the overtime tours.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So in Fiscal Year 2016, you're planning to continue to run that many tours every single day on overtime?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Does that make fiscal, financial sense? Why would we be running so many tours on overtime?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: We run tours--we run tours on overtime where there personnel are either out sick or in training or something along those lines.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You're not running tours on overtime—on overtime just to try to reduce the response times? Are these tours in areas where the response time is greater than ten minutes.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: We do run additional units on overtime when call volume dictates that we do so. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What I'm trying to get at here is that we have funding for 45 tours, which is supposed to go a long ways at reducing response times.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [interposing] We believe so.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But I don't feel that response times will come down significantly with those tours if we're already at record level high response times running greater than 24 tours a day on overtime.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, I said we don't plan to do away with overtime tours. The 45 tours additionally budgeted do not take away the overtime tours, which vary depending on call volume. So they may not be everyday. It depends on the situation, but it's not an elimination of overtime—ambulance overtime tours.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner, can the Chief of EMS state whether it's every single day they're running this many tours.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: No, ma'am, we don't run them every single day. We run them, but we don't run them every single day. Some days we're more well staffed that other days, and some days the call volume is less than other days.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Some days it's greater than 24 tours, and some days it's less?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: I would have to look at the number, but I would not challenge you on that.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But you would say on average it's greater than 24 tours.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: If we're talking about an eight-hour tour, I would say we're running about a dozen in an eight-hour tour. And if you're speaking two tours it's 16.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what about in the evening, what are you running?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: The evening tour those were the tours, the day tour and the afternoon tour is what I'm speaking now. The midnight tour--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] The midnight tour.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: --actually, the midnight tour we actually do very well on the midnight tour. We adjust our staffing accordingly also.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what is your staffing shortfall for EMS right now?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: We're still looking to fund the--I'm starting to fund--11 of the remaining of 45 tours need to be put into place.

They will be into place July 1, and we will look at what the 45 additional tours will do for us, and we

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --a realistic time where it's a safe time to get your apparatus to an emergency or it becomes a time where it's, you know, it's not really fair that our city is putting New Yorkers in danger by having a response time greater than nine minutes.

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: All right, and we're--we're looking at our average response time for '14 was 9:48, and we're at 10:22 now. And we're trying to reduce those numbers with the allocation of the 45 tours.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I would like to add, too, as we look at these response times, please note that the department, and we--in my statement I refer to department resources. And we try to look at our ambulances and our fire engines as resources. We have over 200 fire engines that respond about 800 times a day to life-threatening emergencies. So what we're really talking about is how fast does the Fire Department get help to the citizens of New York. Whether it is trained and competent and staffed and equipped members on a fire engine or on an Ambulance, it someone from the Fire Department arriving at--at your home. So the numbers we're working out to give

2.2

to you more clearly should be when does help arrive at our citizens' homes? So the number if it's 9 or 10 of our fire engines to get a much better response time on the calls they go to. They don't go to all calls, but we will have numbers on that that show that trained personnel reached the citizens of New York in less time on average when you factor in the responses, over 800 a day now of fire engines to life threatening emergencies.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] In all due respect, Commissioner, if I'm in need, in great, life-threatening need of a medical professional, I want to make sure a paramedic is with me by my side as quickly as possible and taking me to a hospital as quickly as possible. And over nine minutes is unacceptable.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, as I said before--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] And not only--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: --there will be a fire engine that's coming. It's coming with trained personnel and equipment including defibrillators, oxygen---

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]

3 They're--they're still not--

4 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: --to treat the

5 patient.

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --they're not paramedics, and they're not able to take--

8 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [interposing] Paramedics are not doctors but someone--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: -- an injured person to a hospital.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It is a level of care that's quite high, believe me.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: All right, just the--the Chair of Finance would like to know the exact response times or the average response time for each borough.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [off mic] Average times.

ROBERT SWEENEY: [off mic] I think we have those to date. [on mic] Well, good morning.

My name is Robert Sweeny. I'm the Chief of Staff.

Preliminary year-to-date response times [coughs] for life-threatening emergencies for EMS is 9 minutes and 13 seconds at this time.

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Say that again.

3 What borough is that for?

ROBERT SWEENEY: This is citywide

5 preliminary fiscal--

1

4

8

9

6 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Okay.

7 | Let's start with the Borough of Queens.

ROBERT SWEENEY: Fiscal year to date?

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right.

10 So I know that we had a--the Bronx were the numbers

11 | that were just given. Do we have Queens.

12 ROBERT SWEENEY: I don't have that broken

13 down by borough. I'm sorry.

14 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Oh, you

15 | don't have that broken down by borough?

16 ROBERT SWEENEY: I just have the

citywide.

18 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So we

19 | would like it broken down by borough, and I know that

20 you're putting these numbers together, but if we

21 | could have it broken down by borough for the

22 committee before the end of today at some point that

23 would be great.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: We can do that.

24

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 40
2	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
3	you. Chair, you may continue your questions.
4	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do you have the
5	average response times in the areas where you're
6	putting the additional tours?
7	COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Where they're going
8	to be placed Bronx, Queens, and Staten Island
9	primarily were the areas with the highest response
10	times. So this is where the majority of where these
11	additional tours will be placed. And then we'll
12	measure how that does before we look into additional
13	resource needs.
14	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And just
15	Commissioner, what are thoseBronx, Queens and
16	Staten Island? Are those high areas?
17	COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Those were the
18	highest of the five boroughs yeah.
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And you have the
20	numbers there?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, I only have then numbers for the January to April 15.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We'll take them.

24

23

21

2.2

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Which was 941 on Staten Island, 1,024 in Queens and 1,022 in the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And now, does the Homeland Security or is there any professional agency that gives you a window of time that you should hold your numbers to on what is a good response time to try to meet? Like if I'm in cardiac arrest, and I need to get help as quickly as possible or I've been in a horrible car crash and I need to get to a hospital, what's the goal? What should we have our city response times look like for an accident?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: And again, and there are those response times, and they do include the response times of CFR units or fire engines. Those agencies whether it's NFPA, whether it's a medical authority that's say response times to life—threatening emergencies say it should be nine minutes that includes the response of a firehouse.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So it should be nine minutes.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It could be and we do with the addition of our fire apparatus meet that when that is factored in. One of the problems with

these numbers is they do not factor in— And recently as a consumer that called 911 for an overdose, the first unit of the scene offering oxygen, suction and skilled care was my local fire engine, and this person is fine today. Followed up just as the system is designed by BLS and ALS care, but on the scene in about five minutes time from the time we made the phone call in Queens was a CFRD unit that immediately went to work. So this—the system is designed to utilize these 200 fire engines, which is why we started CFR 20 years ago to begin with.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And in addition to having fire suppression and the emergency services that your fire apparatus provides, you now run Emergency Medical Services at a greater extent than you've ever done before. You mentioned that in your opening statement, and it looks like the department will one day run the entire EMS response in terms of all the operating or the apparatus. And I need to know where you intend to go with your goal. Do you intend to go with your goal for a response time that is safe for this city? So, if it is nine minutes, then in Queens there's a minute and 24 seconds that

2.2

you need to close a gap for the people who live in Queens who deserve that medical service. If--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [interposing] Well,

5 | we are--we are

2.2

Island it's 41 seconds, and if it's the Bronx it's one minute and 22 seconds. We don't know the response time for Brooklyn and Manhattan because you haven't provided that. But, in those three areas it's--it's different in itself. And, at this rate if I need EMS services, I'd rather be in Staten Island than be in Queens or the Bronx.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: And again, I think you're leaving out that crucial element that the city provides of CFRD engines. But we are--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]

Commissioner can you--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: --working towards reducing the response times or ambulances I can say.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I respect that, and I do appreciate that the Mayor has additional tours in the budget. What I don't understand is why so much money is being spent on tours being run on overtime when the real need is to put more than 45

2.2

tours on because you intend to put those 45 tours, and still run 24 tours on overtimes. And there's no guarantee that those additional 45 tours are going to anywhere at bringing the response time near the nineminute goal that you stated earlier. But I don't want to talk any further about EMS. I think we need to continue to work together over the next couple of weeks to make the department gets the resources it needs to bring that number down—

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [interposing] Thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: } --\mbox{to a respectable}$   $\mbox{time.}$ 

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. I'd like to talk about the 911 system and the \$80 million that you currently have in the budget for the department to do upgrades. What type of upgrades are they? Are they on your existing Metrotech or is in building your PSAC II.

[background comments]

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Do you know what the \$80 million specifically is for? The \$80 million capital for the--?

2.2

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: This is all part of the piece--the development of the Next Generation CAD System for the Fire Department, and--and in reference--conjunction with that PSAC II.

[background noise, pause]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Are you replacing any existing components to your 911 system in your budget that you have at Metrotech right now, or that you have in any of your response--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH:
[interposing] The city--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --commanding offices.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: I'm sorry, the last part I didn't understand.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You have offices in boroughs right? You have--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: Right, the plan--the plan is to eventually as when PSAC II opens is to have two consolidated PSAC offices, one here in Brooklyn and one in the Bronx. And those buildings are being outfitted. What we're working on right now with DOITT is the plant to roll out the Next Generation dispatch system for Fire and EMS.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what does that 3 look like?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: The computer—
computer assisted dispatch systems for Fire and EMS,
which are—which will be integrated completely
replaced the Star Fire System for Fire and the EMS—
Emergency Medical Dispatch System in place now. So
those contracts are in place, and those products are
being produced. But, we're still some time away from
using them.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Is there any funding in this budget for training 911 call takes, those that are your Fire or EMS call takers.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER RUSH: There is.

There is funding in the budget for training once the dispatch system rolls out.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And is there any change in process to how a 911 call questions are asked as it relates to your emergencies?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Okay, well, that's-three things have taken place so far in that regard.

One was a total investigation of the--how the

operation works. Secondly, hiring 100--over 100

Emergency Medical dispatchers and third is a working

group that's looking at this whole process. So those three things are going on now, and this working group will decide what the next step is in deciding the issue of what versus where, which I think is where you're going.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How long has group been working on--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: [interposing] Well, it's been--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --whether to ask them what versus where?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It's certainly taken longer than you and I thought it would, and one of the main reasons was when they were ready to go forward with it, Emergency Medical Dispatch was so under-staffed that had the calls been transferred more quickly to the Emergency Medical Dispatch side, there would have been no one there to answer the phones. So that's why it took us a while and today is June 1st. Today is the day that we are fully staff in Emergency Medical Dispatch and this working group can now look to move forward because we are now capable of doing what we wanted to do quite some time

2.2

ago. But this process has taken longer than we first believed.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Does that change when you ask the where versus what question?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It could, but now that has to be investigated how that—how that will be looked at. How it will be tested.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How much money has been spent on investigating these questions?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, the main part of the money has been spent on hiring new Emergency Medical Dispatchers.

Study going on as to whether you ask the question
"What is your emergency" versus "Where is your
emergency" first. And you've had those members, the
rank and file that work in the dispatching say that
all you have to do is ask what first and then
immediately transfer over to your dispatchers.

Instead of where and throw away critical time when
responding to emergencies. But the City of New York
has been spending well over a year to figure out
whether it makes sense to ask what question first, is

2.2

2.2

what I'm trying to figure out. Now, how much money has been spent in the process?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, the people that have been investigating that and have been doing that work are members of the NYPD of the FDNY of DOITT. These are all people that are on our payroll that are doing this as part of their job so--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And there's no timeline as to when that investigation is going to be finished?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I think the working group is ready to move forward very soon as to how they're going to do it, what the mechanics will be, I don't know. But something will move forward very shortly now that we're fully staffed.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We had a hearing on Friday where we analyzed whether it made sense to give-- Well, we had a hearing on the pension parity that many of your uniformed officers that have been hired in recent years do not have compared to existing officers. What is your feeling on this? Do you think it's unfair that some officers have a greater level of protection versus existing long-time serving officers?

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 50 2 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I think it certainly 3 has an impact on the more recently hired firefighters 4 yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What type of 6 impact? 7 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Excuse me? 8 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What type of 9 impact? 10 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, it's less of a benefit for them certainly. For the newly hired 11 12 people get less of a benefit right now from line of 13 duty injuries than a more senior member. 14 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do you think that's 15 fair? 16 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: But we do recognize 17 that. I don't think it's fair, and I think the Mayor 18 didn't think it was fair, and I support his efforts on that area. 19

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But the Mayor has a plan that is different from the plan that the State has.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: It is different.

24

20

21

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And in a lot of
3 ways, it takes a plan that isn't fair and makes it
4 even less fair.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Well, I think the Mayor recognizes that it's not fair, and I applaud his efforts to straighten it out is what I do. So how it gets done is—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Do you think all your members--

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I don't think I have a rule in deciding that.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: All your firefighters that are responding to all the emergencies that they respond to, which are the same whether they're in for two years or in for ten years should be afforded the same protection just like all your EMS workers are afforded?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: No, I--I think a correction has to be made. What that correction is hasn't been decided yet.

22 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: But I, you know, I certainly feel there's a need to look at it further.

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: thank you, Commissioner. We've been joined by Council Cornegy, Lancman, Vallone and Rosenthal.

We'll hear from Council Member Vallone and then we're doing to do a second round. Council Member Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Good morning, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: First, I want to congratulate you on the amazing 150th anniversary. I think the firehouses were in full glory. I know in our community they were fully attended, and the children--before you make that an annual event [laughs] that would be more course on that. But in going there, you could see when you visit through, you know, the -- the extreme I guess capital needs of the firehouses. So I'm looking at the Ten-Year Capital Strategy and the Capital Commitment Plan. Are you confident that the numbers there will--will be enough to address the needs of firehouses?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: We are. I think we believe that -- as you know how -- the lengthy process of doing these repairs. And certainly there's a limit to how much can be done at once, but I think we have

2.2

a plan that keeps our houses in--in good condition and makes the repairs. We always re--we re-evaluate each year, and if we need more, we will seek more. But certainly we have an aging stock of firehouses that is in constant need of work.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Is there a partnership plan that we could work with borough presidents and council members to target certain houses that maybe we could expedite some of the critical repairs?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: We have in the past asked for support form the borough presidents, from council members, and we'll continue to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Is there a timeline when--when a particular engine has to be retired? Is it ten years or is it just continual use?

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Fire apparatus, first line fire apparatus, not spares have to be replaced after ten years, 364 days. That's a requirement.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: How many? Do we know which ones are targeted to be replaced in the--within the next--?

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER NIGRO: We have a schedule.
3 I think we're running slightly behind about--

[background comments]

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Our truck companies are all up to date. Our engines--10 or 12 right now are past that date. We receive at least one a week from our contact, and we hope to catch up at some point this year. So that every one of engines is--is within those guidelines.

that we could be of assistance in helping bring some of the estimates down. Because we've taken a look at some of the estimates in—in my district, some of the numbers for even just a simple kitchen were astronomical. So I mean that's across the board whether it's a Parks Department request or a project quote or anything. It seems to be very, very high. But if there's a way to help those numbers down and somehow open the process, we'd be willing—willing to help you with that.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[background comments]

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: two questions, but I'm going to--I have to step out 3 4 and grab an urgent call. But I want to talk about 5 Elmhurst Hospital and Rikers. Currently, you make a 911 call it means you're taken to Elmhurst Hospital's 6 7 Emergency Room. Which is creating havoc at Elmhurst 8 Hospital, and I've been--you know, unfortunately, I've been in the Emergency Room and the inmates are 9 in rare form mixed in with constituents throwing 10 things and it's a disaster. In some conversations 11 12 we've had, there is a better option by taking inmates to Bellevue for care. Understanding that female care 13 14 or people that need to be admitted can stay at 15 Elmhurst Hospital. There's a challenged that we're 16 having, and I would like to know if you can commit to sitting down to find a solution to this problem? 17 18 Because I'm currently funding in this Fiscal Year in the Ten-Year Capital Plan an expansion for the 19 20 Elmhurst Hospital Emergency Room. This will not mitigate the issue that we have with the unexpected 21 2.2 influx of inmates from Rikers and the fact that you cannot separate the two populations. And I don't 23 24 think if I'm there to get care--emergency care for my

mother and there's inmate next door that literally is

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

cursing and throwing food at my father is an

experience that I should have at any agency. So, how

can we work together with all the agencies to help

mitigate this issue?

CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: Well, I apologize for your experience at the hospital. When inmates are transferred to Elmhurst General Hospital from Rikers Island, they're under the care and custody of the New York City Department of Corrections. So inmates that behave in the manner that is less than conducive for a emergency department would be the responsibility of the corrections officer to maintain control of their inmate. As far as us bringing patients to further hospitals, the creates an operation dilemma when we're taking ambulances out of the neighborhood, Astoria or the neighborhood around Rikers Island to make long distance trips to an alternate facility that might be better housing inmates. So for us the nearest facility in order to get the patient to the physician, to get them the medical are and to get the ambulance back in service so the rest of the community has the medical care as our borough.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: What's the difference between Elmhurst and Bellevue in time?

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 57
2	CHIEF JAMES BOOTH: Depending upon the
3	time of day, it could be significant. The traffic
4	and things along those lines cancan definitely
5	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:
6	[interposing] Well, I just want toI think this
7	merits a bigger conversation.
8	COMMISSIONER NIGRO: I think we will and
9	I agree with the Correction Department, and EMS to
10	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:
11	[interposing] HHC, EMS yourself
12	COMMISSIONER NIGRO:try to find a
13	solution.
14	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:and
15	everyone at one table. I would appreciate. Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Exactly.
17	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And Chair
18	Crowley will be direct things.
19	[background comments]
20	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So
21	Commissioner, we have a couple of other questions
22	we're going to follow up with you in a letter. If
23	you can get back to us expeditiously because we're
24	using them to negotiate.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you and we're going to call this part of the hearing adjourned.

COMMISSIONER NIGRO: Thank you very much.

[pause]

2.2

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: We will now resume the City Council's hearings on the Mayor's Executive Budget for FY 2015. The Finance Committee is joined by the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services chaired by my colleague Council Member Crowley. We just heard from the Fire Department and the Emergency Medical Service, and now we will hear from the Department of Corrections Commission Joseph Ponte. In the interest of time, I will forego making an opening statement. But before we hear testimony, I will open the mic to my Co-Chair Council Member Crowley.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you Co-Chair

Council Member Ferreras-Copeland. My name is

Elizabeth Crowley. As we continue our hearing of the

Mayor Fiscal 2016 Executive Budget, we will review

the Department of Corrections both Executive and

Capital Commitment Plan. For Fiscal 2016 the total

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

executive expense is \$1.2 billion, \$120 million more than the Fiscal 2015 Adopted Budget. The \$120 million increase includes \$36.4 million to fund the 14-Point Anti-Violence Reform Agenda, additional staff for young adult and adolescent facilities. Increased staff in associating with--in association with additional cameras and the new collective bargaining costs. The Fiscal Year 2016 Executive Capital Plan includes \$1.6 billion, which will be spent over 2015 through 2019, \$1.02 million of which is for new projects associated with the 14-Point Anti-Violence Reform Agenda. \$558 million is for a new facility. The committees would like to further review the detail on the funding allocations for the 14-Point plan and for a future fitness facility.

While I applaud the Administration and the department for funding an Anti-Violence Reform Agenda, I remain concerned the department is relying too much on overtime, and the department does not lack—the department does not have a proper academy training facility. In exploring the department's plan to fund a new training facility, I would like the department to consider increasing physical standards to become a correction officer, and compare

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I do.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [off mic]

7 Excellent.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Sorry. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [off mic]

That's okay. I think that first part was wrong.

[sic]

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I am Joseph Ponte,

Commissioner of New York City's Department of

Corrections. I have now had the opportunity to

address the Council on a number of occasions since I

started in April of last year. My first testimony

before the Council was last year's June budget

hearing, which was a year ago tomorrow. At that

time, I told you that it was clear that despite the

tireless everyday effort of many of our fine men and

women, New York City Department of Corrections was a

deeply troubled agency. I am happy to be able to

tell you today, one year later, our team has made

significant and important progress in recommending

the reforms necessary to make meaningful and last

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

change. We have eliminated punitive segregation for 16 and 17-year-olds. We plan to eliminate it for 18 and 21-year-olds by 2016. We are reforming the use of punitive segregation overall minimizing its use and ensuring we have this useful tool in keeping that jail safe. We have opened up and enhanced the division of housing units to house those inmates who thrive on violence and separate them from our general population. We are rolling out new programming such as Rikers Rovers our program in the Adolescent unit and partnering with CUNY to develop appropriate skill development programs to reduce idleness, increase services and keep our staff and inmates safe. reforms are critical, but there is still significant work to do. We are not done. As you know, the department is aggressively the 14-Point Anti-Violence Reform Agenda to immediately combat violence and promote a cultural of safety within our agency. agenda is aimed at reducing violence within our facilities and improving the quality of life for staff and inmates alike. The five major initiatives at the heart of the plan focus on reducing violence. The other nine initiatives move the department toward a safety focused culture by creating and expanding

2 common sense practices to strengthen performance, accountability, ownership and transparency. I have 3 shared and overview of this agenda with the Council 4 5 in the past, but would like to take this opportunity to update you as well as it is the central focus of 6 7 this Executive Budget. Recent efforts relate to the five--five of our major anti-violence initiatives: 8 Keeping weapons, drugs and contraband out of our 9 jails. This initiatives -- initiative encompasses a 10 number of reforms including reforms to visiting 11 12 procedures. Since we last spoke, DOC has asked the Board of Corrections to revise the current visitation 13 14 rules to redefine the scope of contact visits, 15 establish a visitor registry and broaden the criteria 16 for restricting certain visitors who present a concern. I want to reiterate that I, the Department 17 18 of Corrections understand the importance of visits to maintain family connections, which are critical for 19 20 our inmate population. The department is not looking to impede these connections. We actually strive to 21 2.2 promote them. We are creating a working group to 23 improve the entire visitation experience including plans to improve wait times, steady visit posts, 24 [sic] and increase transportation options. We are 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

simply looking to create a common sense approach to visits—to the visit policy that empowers the department to limit the opportunity for contraband particularly weapons and drugs to enter our jails.

In addition to the changes to visit policy, the department is also enhancing searchers for both staff and inmates. We are training our fundamental staff in TSA style search procedures. We are starting to utilize K-9s for staff and inmate searchers. Furthermore, we are pursuing state legislation that would permit the use of the most effective scanning technology available to screen inmates for contraband. Creating a integrative classification and housing strategy, the department has comprehensively reviewed the current classification system and has developed a new system that aligns with the revised housing system that respect will reduce violence. We have just begun implementing this new system in one of our jails. The lesson from that model will allows us to further improve the new plan, and ensure that it will work in all of our facilities before we implement it department. We will be implementing this model in conjunction with several other key efforts such as

2 increased programming, leadership and coaching development -- coaching for our senior staff, enhanced 3 4 training, improve staffing ratios and improve classification. Providing comprehensive--5 6 comprehensive security camera coverage, the 7 department is committed to increasing our camera coverage to 100% for all inmate areas in all of our 8 facilities. We are striving to achieve this as best 9 10 as we can. The department is committed to having this complete camera coverage in priority housing 11 12 areas including adolescent and young adults by the end of 2016. Camera coverage is critical to protect 13 staff and inmates because it allows to watch the 14 15 areas in real time, and to review past incidents for 16 investigative and intelligence purposes. Designing effective inmate programming activities to reduce 17 18 idleness and improve skills, education and rehabilitative programming are critically important. 19 Not only does the program reduce idleness and 20 propensity for violence, but it enables inmate into 21 2.2 reintegrate society. And robust adolescent and young 23 adult behavior modification strategy has been developed and will be implemented in the coming year. 24 25 After which the department will focus on more

1 2 programming for the rest of the adult population. are working to designing inmate stays around five 3 hours of programming. Currently and as I've said 4 5 before, we are--when we implement our new housing and classification models, we will also be providing 6 7 broader programming offerings within those models at all of our facilities. One example of this 8 groundbreaking programming we are creating other 9 programming units the first of which is known as 10 EPIC, Empowering People to Initiate Change has opened 11 12 at BCBC. The unit houses only inmates with high needs for additional services. Program participation 13 14 is mandatory for each inmate in the house making the 15 treatment a community effort. Like we have done for 16 adolescents and the mentally ill, we are managing this population uniquely to serve their needs. 17 18 Redefining our fast land--first line of instant response, we are training staff in forced de-19 escalation and intervention techniques to try to 20 reduce uses of force. To prevent--to prevent the 21 2.2 need for force, we are working with our partners 23 DOHMH to implement crisis intervention teams. multi-disciplinary teams are specially trained staff 24 will respond to inmates in mental health crisis to 25

2.2

safely and non-violently de-escalate the situation and remove these inmates to appropriate locations for continuing treatment. In some cases force will be necessary. To better respond in those circumstances, we are increasing the effectiveness in our Emergency Services Unit by placing them in key facilities for rapid response instead—instead of having them centrally located on the island to be dispatched as needed.

The five initiatives are the five that directly tie to violence reduction. The final nine tie to violence indirectly. These initiatives are focused on driving the department's cultural change, improving leadership, redefining investigations, designing improvement in hiring in the staffing selection plan. Designing a staff performance management plan; implementing operational performance metrics and analytics; creating a well defined supply distribution process; improving custody management processes; expanding and targeting training for our staff, and raising facilities standards to a good state of repair. All of these 14 initiatives are now underway. You might have read this week for example that on May 27th we began piloting our new

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

classification system with approximately 600 adult inmates at GMDC. We plan to expand this program through the department facilities after gaining capital insights from the launch at GMDC.

Staff recruitment and performance management are both critical for our scope of change. The department is reinstituting a dedicated recruitment unit that is designed to recruit, hire and staff selection -- recruit -- excuse me. dedicated recruitment unit that is designing the recruitment and hiring and staff selection plan to ensure not just quantity but quality of staff. Once we have people in place, we'll also be utilizing a performance review for all staff [coughs] to make sure standards performance are clear. Our efforts will create a safe environment for staff and inmates. The department will conduct and agency wide survey to identify areas of strength and improvement. I have so far held 29 focus groups, five town halls and one open forum meeting with over 1,300 members of staff hearing directly from them about what is most important as we move our department forward. I'm not done listening to my staff, more town halls, more focus groups are in the future. Our staff wants real

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 69 2 meaningful change and the department, in this agency and we are putting their suggestions into action to 3 4 shape our future. Some of these initiatives can be tackled in a short term within just a few years. 5 6 Others such as capital improvements will take longer. 7 As each initiative rolls out, we'll continue to see improvements in our agency. The department faces a 8 good number of challenges, but our staff is dedicated 9 and capable, and given the right tools can turn this 10 agency around. I would now like to introduce our 11 12 Deputy Commissioner for Administration Frank Doka, who will go over the FY16 Executive Budget. 13 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: You going to 15 swear me in? [laughs] 16 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Yes. 17 [pause] 18 LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your 19 20 knowledge, information and belief? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: 21 I do.

2.2 LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Good morning Chairpersons Ferreras-Copeland and Crowley, council members and staff. The department Fiscal Year 2016

2.2

Expense Budget is \$1.21 billion. The vast majority of this, 86% is allocated for personal services and 14% is for other than personal services. The Fiscal Year 2016 Budget is \$73 million more than this year's budget of \$1.4 billion. This increase is mainly due to additional headcount and funding to support the department's Anti-Violence Reform Agenda. Including the Fiscal Year Executive Budget with additional funding of \$3.8 million and Fiscal Year 2015, \$51 million in Fiscal Year 2016; \$44.7 million in Fiscal Year 2017; and \$41.8 million beginning in Fiscal Year 2018. The following are some highlights of the major programs that we're funded.

Anti-Violence Reform Agenda: \$36.4 million in Fiscal Year 16, \$36 million in Fiscal Year 17, and \$33.1 million beginning in Fiscal 18 was provided for 85 civilian and 127 uniform positions in Fiscal Year 15, increasing to 152 uniform positions in Fiscal Year 17 to enable the department to combat violence and promote a culture of safety in its jail facilities. The funding provided will support the reform plan to ensure weapons, drugs and other contraband are kept out of the jail facilities; create an integrated classification and housing

2.2

strategy; design effective inmate education

opportunities and program services to reduce idle

time and to redefine first line incident response.

In tandem with the work to be done providing enhanced

safety and security for DOC staff and inmates in our

State of Good Repair for Staff Common

Areas and Jail Facilities: \$8.2 million Fiscal 16;

\$3.1 million in--beginning in Fiscal Year 17, which

provided for the replacement of lockers, food and

recreational equipment, furniture and renovations of

common areas used by officers to eat, change and

exercise. Thereby providing a cleaner safe--safer

and healthier environment. This funding also

includes the building of a centralized fitness center

on Rikers Island. To complete these projects,

funding was allocated for additional staff including

trade, procurement, project managers, fitness

instructors and IT titles.

Light Duty Vehicle Replacement Plan:
\$3.4 million Fiscal Year 16 and \$2.4 million
beginning in Fiscal Year 17 was provided to establish

2.2

a life cycle replacement plan for light duty vehicles, and hire additional mechanics and service technicians in order to maintain and support fleet operations.

Forensic Testing: \$773,248 beginning in Fiscal Year 16 was provided for contractual services to test department uniforms as a result of inmates splashing incidents.

Facilities Repairs and Maintenance: \$679,800 in Fiscal Year 17; \$745,136 beginning in Fiscal Year 17 was provided to fund facility related work performed by in-house staff.

Training Expansion: \$662,600 in Fiscal
Year 15 and \$1.7 million beginning in Fiscal Year 16
was provided for ten civilian instructors assigned to
the Correction Academy to aid the department in its
training capacity, as well as process larger recruit
classes going forward in order to reach and maintain
budgeted authorized staffing levels. Funding is also
included to extend recruit training due to the
introduction of scenario assessment training.

Capital Funding: Regarding Capital

Funding in the Fiscal Year 2016 Executive Capital

Budget and Commitment Plan totals \$1.9 billion, which

covers Fiscals Years 2015 through 2025. As part of the Executive Budget, the department received an additional \$4--\$404.6 million over the course of the Ten-Year Capital Plan. Of the \$404.6 million, \$102.4 million was allocated for the Anti-Violence Reform Agenda. The department is diligently moving forward with the camera expansion initiative with \$4.--\$41.9 million provided in '15 through Fiscal Year 17 for vendor design and installation costs of 4,800 additional cameras for a total of approximately 10,500 cameras system wide. [coughs]

As a result of the investigations conducted by both DOC and DOI, it is evident that the front entrances at our facilities are a prime location for the introduction of contraband. To further limit the ability for drugs and weapons to make their way into our facilities, \$40 million was provided for Fiscal Year 15 through Fiscal Year 17 to build office or locker rooms on the external section of the jail facilities, and for the renovation of front entrances of the jail facilities. As well as \$1.2 million in Fiscal Year for 20 dual-view entry point search X-ray Machines.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Continuing the mission or limiting contraband into our facilities we are also embarking on expanding the use of K-9s by both our Emergency Service Units and our Investigations Division. loyal animals perform a critical service to the department through their abilities to detect narcotics and cell phones and to enhance investigations operations within the Investigations Division. Expansions of these value resources will support our line staff during facility searches as well as outside the facility parameter. department received an additional \$7.5 million in Fiscal Year 16 through Fiscal Year 18 to replace our K-9 facility. Which is beyond its useful life and cannot support an expansion of up to 80 K-9s as well as increased staffing.

Given the existing space on Rikers, it is limited to support the expansion of the Investigative Division, K-9 Unit and Program Services, the department will purchase trailers to be located on Rikers Island to house the additional staff and resources at a cost of \$1.8 million provided in Fiscal Year 15 through Fiscal Year 17. While evaluating the deficiencies the department had with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

regard to staffing and resources that had been addressed largely in its financial plan, we also began to look at data we collect and how we could utilize it to our advantage. Through the development of the Reform Agenda, it is apparent that the department is in critical need of enhanced IT systems and applications to begin to properly utilize data currently collected and housed in an inefficient manner. As a result, \$10 million was provided in Fiscal Year 16 for the development of a data analytics system to pull together different data sets the department collects, and utilize it for predictive behavior patterns amongst our inmate population. The ultimate goal with this data mining would be for the department to begin to be able to predict hot spots in housing areas where a use of force can arrive and mitigate it before it occurs.

In addition to the funding received to support the department's Reform Agenda, an additional \$302.2 million was provided was provided in Fiscal Years 2015 through 2025 for critical capital improvements to support our mission. \$108 million was provided for Fire Life Safety Phase 2; \$85 million for electrical facade, window, roof and other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

structural work. \$43 million in infrastructure upgrades for Rikers Island such as gas, sewer lines, storm water, water and fire mains and replacement of the existing methane monitoring system. \$40 million for heating, ventilation and air condition upgrades. \$26 million in life cycle replacement of our aging fleet and radios.

In regards to staffing, the full-time civilian authorized headcount was increased by ten percent--ten percent--ten positions in Fiscal Year 15, and another 146 positions beginning in Fiscal Year 16. The number of authorized full-time civilian positions is now 1,747 in Fiscal Year 2015, 1,891 in Fiscal Year 2016 and 2017, and then dropping to a baseline of 1,876 beginning in Fiscal Year 2018. decrease of 15 positions beginning in Fiscal Year 2018 is associated with a drop in the number of maintenance staff necessary for the locker room replacement project. The uniformed or otherwise headcount remains at 9,537 for Fiscal Year 2015 increasing by 116 positions to 9,653 in Fiscal Year 2015 and by an additional 25 positions to 9,678 beginning in Fiscal Year 2017. The upcoming annual increases are directly related to the expansion of

2.2

Agenda. Ninety positions for the Special Operations
Division, K-9 Unit and ESU; 23 positions for our
Program Services; 12 positions for Investigation
Division; and two positions for the Office of the
Deputy Commission for Public Information. The
average uniform headcount is estimated to be 8,811 in
Fiscal Year 2015, which represents a decrease of 189
compared to an average of 9,000 in Fiscal Year 2015.
Thank you for your support, and now I return the
floor to Commissioner Ponte to speak about our
incoming recruit class.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'll conclude. The recruit class that we currently have in the Academy that will graduate at the end of June is 370 officers. We started with about 300--402. It's the largest recruit class we've ever run as an agency. We plan in August of this year to have a class in place of about 600. We are committed to an aggressive improvement plan for FY16 and we're hiring 1,800 new correction officers by the end of June of 2016. We are on--are on track for 600 to enter the Academy this August. Although we are working tirelessly to hire up our authorized headcount, until

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we reach that point, existing posts will continue to be pulled on overtime.

This is both an exciting and challenging time at the Department of Corrections as we undergo unprecedented transformation. I want to take this opportunity to thank our officers for their tremendous dedication and patience as we work towards the end of our--of our end--we work toward the light at the end of the tunnel. I am very optimistic about the future of the New York City Department of Correction, and the direction in which we are headed. However, we aren't--we could not accomplish these goals if we stood alone. The continued support demonstrated by the Mayor in commitment of funding and leadership along with the backing of the City Council, unions, external oversights and community is paramount to our success. Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today, and for believing in our agency. Our shared goals of providing safety and security for our -- for all of our staff and inmates, and set for re-entry into society as well as once again make our department a national leader in correctional practices. At this point, I'd be happy to take questions from the committee. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

2.2

you, Commissioner. In March 2015, the department released it's 14-Point Plan, which you highlighted throughout your--your testimony. Can you describe in detail how McKinsey is helping to implement the plan, and is two years long enough for them to complete their role?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I have a hard time hearing with the--with the echo.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Oh, sorry. Well, I just wanted to say that the plan you have in place, do you think that two years is enough? I know that that was the intended rollout. Will they be able to complete the role in that time?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Two years is not enough. We believe it--it may be longer than that. We're doing everything we can to make it less than that, but there's several moving parts that we're really not--not able to commit to at this time.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So would you--in your role with the McKinsey part of this, do you engage with them for an additional two years, or what are your thoughts?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We--we hope to kind 3 of ratchet down over time. They helped us immensely in--in developing our plan. They're working day-to-4 5 day, day in and day out as our staff as we go through 6 implementation. We believe we'll be using less of 7 their support by the--by the end of the two-year

8 period. We're just not positive as to where we're

going to end up. It really is for us a skill 9

10 building in the agency that we haven't had before.

So working directly with wardens, deputy wardens, 11

12 facility managers developing plans to really

implement all of the 14-Point agenda. 13

biggest challenge will be?

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And I know this is probably a bigger conversation and one that would probably require more oversight from the committee, but is there one part of the 14 points that you see as your biggest challenge? That if you could look two years from now, what do you think your

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's so--all of them are so tied together.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [interposing] Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

us.

contraband from coming into the facility would be one piece. Developing programming and reducing idle is another piece. They all fit together. As we do one, the other becomes more doable. So I'm not sure if there's one that's more difficult than the others. But if they aren't tied together, we'd don't reduce violence and programming doesn't get better. If we reduce violence and improve programming, the other things become better like classification, housing and all the other pieces. So I'm not sure if there's one we could pick individually. They're all—all difficult, they all take a lot of work, but I'm confident collectively they'll work—work fine for

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I just wanted to say we've been joined by Council Members Lancman, Vallone, Eugene, Cumbo and Gibson. Now, I want to talk about recruitment. We understand that you have an issue with overtime, and you have an issue with overtime because of your headcount. Now, you just stated in your testimony that this has been your largest recruitment class that's coming at 370.

Can you help me understand how you're going to get to 600?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we--we didn't know that we can get to 400. So, we--we're--we're pretty confident we'll run a class in August of 600. For us, it's--it's--at this point it's not the recruitment and on-boarding it. It really is the capacity to run classes in the numbers that we need. Those--those are going to be the more significant limitation on us than--than people coming in the door at this point.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right.

So I guess from our perspective, your help--you're hoping to mitigate overtime the overtime issue by hiring more people. We have seen publicly that there's a challenge with hiring people or your process. So, help me understand in your hiring system, if you're currently--if your capacity on average is about 300 to 370 now, how do you ramp up to be able to have a class by August--I guess August of--August--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing]

August of this year. That's correct

2.2

2

3

at 600?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --of '15 I don't--I'm--I'm trying to see how--how you have the capacity to do that with background checks

and everything else that this Council has asked for.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, we've--we've about doubled the capacity of our applicant investigative unit, hiring additional or signing additional officers and civilians. We've haired additional psychological reviewers. So, we've--we've increased capacity of the unit over the last six months, and that's enabled us to get to the 6--the 400 for this class, and will enable us to get to 600 in August.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: this is the same investigative unit that hired the last class, and maybe hired some of our other troubled issues that we had. So what has happened in training with the unit that you have that hired people? Or what has changed for us to be confident that as you expand the unit, it's going to be--it's going to have all the tools necessary or the time that they need to be able to do background checks? Or everything that they need so that we understand

2.2

that this class of 600 will--will be ready to start when you need them?

you know, there as not a lot of automatic cutoffs.

So we were processing people through the process that on step two we knew should not have been candidates for the agency. We were taking people who had failed other Civil Service exams like PD entrance exams.

We--we applied a lot of automatic cutoffs. So if you failed in the Parks Department, you can't come and work in the Corrections Department. We also look at other screening tools like felony arrest or other arrests that--

## CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] Are you saying that's happening now as opposed to before?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's happening now.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's happening now. It's happening today. So, we--we gave our investigators much more training, much more focus with very specific criteria on who they can recruit hire. And so, we've--we've gone through a lot of

2 applicants in that process, and I believe we're

3 turning out a much better candidate than we did

4 before.

1

5 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So,

6 Commissioner, when you say we're going to have about

7 | three classes of 600 recruits, I'm assuming you've

8 seen how many people have applied. So on average,

9 what is the number of people that have applied to

10 date to be a correction officer?

11 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, I don't have 12 that. We're looking at about 4,000 people who have

13 taken the exam. So when people apply, they take an

14 | exam--

15

16

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] Right.

17 | COMMISSIONER PONTE: --and get put on a

18  $\parallel$  list. So those are about 4,000 current on the list.

19 We hope to give another exam I believe by the

20 beginning of the next calendar. Which will then, you

21 | know, re-supply those names.

22 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay, the

23 department received funding of \$3.7 million for

24 | additional training to uniformed staff. So when we

25  $\parallel$  were looking at this, we saw that the uniformed staff

2.2

is below budget headcount by about 96 positions. And I know that last year testified to the--the need for intense retraining of staff, correct?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: How do you plan on training staff if they're actually working? So it just seems to me that you have--there's an issue with training. How do you pull people out to train them if you need them in the jails? So--

is it 40 hours of training a year? There's already
40 hours scheduled training a year. So we would
include this intense training or new training as part
of that 40-hour annual training. We're also going to
require all of our facilities every day to do some
level of training. We'll have facility level
training as an ongoing program also so it's a--

[interposing] So what happens at facility level training and how is that? What does that look like?

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, so it's probably about 30-minutes a day, you know, during or after roll call. It could be on any topic. It could

2.2

be anything from pat searches to emergency response
to de-escalation techniques. So, it's--each facility
has kind of a different method and different topics
but it's re--reinforcing training that's already been
delivered.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, only after the 40 hours have already been delivered, and how--how do the 40 hours break out?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Roll call training is anybody everyday.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The 40 hours happens annually. So you get pulled off a post and sent to the Academy. Is it 40 hours a year, Chief?

[background comments, pause]

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Oh, excuse me. It's 24 hours annually.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So last year you--you testified to the need for more training and I think we also--and, you know, the staff could look back. But I think one the issues that we kind of went back and forth is the 24 hours perhaps isn't enough, right? Because you need to train, retrain. It's people that have been on Rikers for many years,

2.2

and we're trying to get all this information that you're putting together kind of supported by the training. So what are you doing now in this fiscal year to help support everything that you're doing by training your staff, and it seems that 24 hours isn't enough.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. So, we're looking to expand that. I mean it is a challenge. I think Ms. Crowley has mentioned to us the capacity of our Academy to do 600--recruit training.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Oh, she's going to go into that in a minute I'm sure. [laughs]

know it's going to come up. To do all of our inservice training it's really a challenge for us.

We've created a training space on the Island where rather than send people to the Academy we get to train some of them. But we're using—training people in Queens House, which is our vacant training facility. And we're using as many other pieces of the organization as we can to get this training in.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So you find that--so you have two challenges, right? You have the staffing challenge to be able to get the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bodies of the island, out of the jails to actually
get trained, and then you have a facilities

challenge?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: we're saying is we would hope through this process that you're able to help address that through the budget process. And while I know that you're going to be hiring more people, and hopefully that addresses the -- the site, actually getting people off the island to get trained. But also the ask of this new training facility that could possibly help mitigate this. I just--I think in the 14-Point Plan that you wanted to implement in two years or more, you undercut yourself or you're not necessarily supporting every point and trying to implement. a Commissioner's perspective, you don't have enough time to train people. Like that--there's just no communication. I'm going to give my--this opportunity to my Co-Chair to ask her questions and then I'll come back for a second round of questions. We've been joined by Council Member Johnson.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Madam

Chair. Good morning, Commissioner. I have--I don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have all that many questions so it should be quick. But first, Commissioner, your--you've done a lot in a year. I appreciate your commitment to the department, and you've implemented a significant amount of change. I want the committee to keep in mind, however, that the violence is still out of control on Rikers Island. And the only area that we've seen great improvement is for 16 and 17-year olds where staffing has been significantly-significantly increased. So what we have to do is make sure that you have the tools first to keep weapons and drugs out of Rikers Island. So I want to talk to you about your screening when you have visitors coming in, and how it's going to change with this new plan.

don't have the actual program in placed with our--at this point what we asked for will change with the Board of Correction. We would use as much information as can gather on background or anybody coming to visit to make sure one that there's a relationship that we can validate if possible. Make sure what past criminal history this visitor may have been involved in. And then also look, you know, for

general intelligence in community. What they are doing the community. Again, it's a jail operation. So for many people are coming in frequently, so the effort would be to really manage those visitors that—that we have the most opportunity to—

I'm sorry to cut you off there, Commissioner, because I know that we're looking to get transportation on that unit and it doesn't look like it's going to happen. But, I wanted to ask you a little bit more about your general intelligence. How much time does your unit need to research somebody who is coming to visit an inmate?

initially, we're not going to have much. We're going to be looking at criminal histories, but it would be that inmates that are driving the violence on Rikers Island, the inmates that are bringing typically their drugs and weapons on the island, are those people that have stayed with us for awhile. So the average length about 230, 240 days. So we'll have more time when we look at people who are coming back to visit frequently with those inmates who we know are driving

2.2

2 a lot of the drugs and contraband coming into the 3 facilities.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right, and the news was reporting today that Commissioner Bratton identified 300 people who are responsible for the majority of the—of the new increase in violence happening on the streets. He said that there is a correlation to what's happening at Rikers Island. Are you working with his office to work together to make sure you could stem that violence?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I want to talk about the screening machines. I understand that there are machines that have been purchased by the Department of Correction that have not been implemented yet. There is some rule that is preventing us to. Is it true that these machines have already been purchased?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, body scanners that they've used about a year or ago or two or there about for a period of months, and then there was a-somebody pointed out a state law that would have to get changed in order for us to being using them

2.2

- 2 again. We have not used them since I've been here.
- 3 So it's been slightly over a year.

15

16

- 4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, why would is it
  5 that the airports are able to use these machines and
  6 you're not?
- COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's a federal--it's a federal--yeah, so it's a State Law change that we have to see.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But these machines

  11 are sitting somewhere, and that's part of the plan to

  12 use these machines--
- 13 COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing]
  14 Absolutely.
  - CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --but until you put the State Law into place, you cannot use these machines?
- 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's correct.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Which is hurting
- 20 your violence?
- 21 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I mean it's
- 23 | hurting, it's creating more violence because you
- 24 can't use those scanning machines. I want to talk
- 25 about your centralized fitness facility that is

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 94
2	planned for in this budget. So now does that have
3	anythingwill that be used by anybody who is in the
4	Academy? Or how are we looking at fitness? Are we
5	going to evaluate fitness from year to year? Is
6	there any level of evaluation for your correction
7	officers in terms of fitness?
8	COMMISSIONER PONTE: There is a fitness
9	requirement on entrance, but there is not a
10	longstanding fitness requirement on
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Is
12	that a new requirement you just implemented?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, it's awhat do
14	you call the entrance test? The
15	[background comments]
16	COMMISSIONER PONTE: The agility test
17	that's done on entrance.
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Can you go into
19	detail on that? We want to make sure that your
20	physical standards are high enough.
21	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, and we want
22	to raise those standards also. So it's currently
23	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] But

they're not right now. They need to be improved.

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: They need to be 3 improved.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, good. Now, you have funding in the budget to improve the facilities on Queens and in the Bronx. Yet, Queens is not used for detainees. Are you looking to use that again as a jail or are you using funds?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We currently have no plans to use that as a jail at this point in time?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, back to the-to the centralized fitness facility. Now, why is the
department putting funds into this facility--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --or building a new facility?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, it--it's an existing facility.

[background comments]

them sprongs. [sic] So it's a temporary building that's been there for a while. So we're not building a building. We're actually putting the equipment in a facility. Each jail has some semblance of an exercise room, some better than others. Most of the

2.2

equipment is not in good shape. The rooms are not in good shape. So we're really trying to do something centrally that will be available to all the staff.

5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Would this be while 6 they're working or before or after a tour?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Typically, it would before or after.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay and is this to help increase morale?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think it helps morale. Obviously, fitness is a major player in high stress environments. So we believe it helps--[sic]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What are your officers averaging in terms of service to the city? When are they retiring? After 20 years, 25? What's the average?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm sure what the average is. Chief, do you want to answer on the years of service to retire?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: The majority of staff do leave after 20, you know.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The majority leave after 20. In comparison to the Fire Department, there are a lot of firefighters that stay beyond 30.

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Yeah. We do have several people that do stay past 20 and myself, 28 years.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But your department is different than other public safety agencies, and more of your members are retiring as quickly as they can get their pensions registered.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: May people leave after 21 years.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, that says something. Now, the Parks Department recently evaluated morale within the department. They have statistics. Do you have any statistics as to what would keep your correction officers more satisfied in their line of work? Have you done any plan? Is the McKinley Plan or any outside agency that's helping you?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, we--we did the staff survey that was obviously very core. It's the organization at its core. It's part of what we're trying to address in the 14-Point Plan to improve safety, to improve staff morale. We know--we've done a lot of work with looking at the years of service and when people would be eligible to retire. In the

Go ahead.

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 99
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: We're having
3	free classes of 600. So that's 1,800. So that's
4	covers your attrition for the year. It also covers
5	the number of recruits that are at the Academy.
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right, where are
7	you going to train your 1,800 recruits.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Well, we both
9	have the Queens, as the Commissioner mentioned. We
10	both have class space in Queens temporarily, you
11	know, just to get us through to get a new facility i
12	we get one.
13	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Has the department
14	reached out to the Mayor's Office to ask about the
15	old NYPD training facility now that they have a
16	billion dollar training facility out in Queens?
17	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, wewe do
18	havewe radio it on being that we looked at a
19	feasibility study on our needs for training.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just in terms of
21	contraband and the scanners, how many arrests are we
22	doing on average everyday there with visitors and/or

COMMISSIONER PONTE: How many arrests?
[pause]

23 staff?

24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: [off mic] Like
3 284 last year.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, so the chief said about 284 last year. So possibly around one a day or thereabouts.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So how frequently do you have a situation where a staff member is bringing in contraband?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, you know, DOI usually conducts those investigations? Do you know the number.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: [off mic] I

don't know the number. There's been several. [sic]

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, so there have

been several. There's probably been three or four

since I've been here.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But not--not many in terms of the overall number of staff?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Typically, not many.
No.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. No, further questions at this time.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: you, Chair. We will hear from Council Member Johnson followed by Council Member Cumbo, and in this room, the clock is just here to the left, my left. I guess some of your, you know. Council Member Johnson followed by Council Member Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Good to see you Commissioner. Thanks for being here. I wanted to ask a bit--the last time you were here [coughs] we talked a little bit about Corizon, and there wasn't much to say because I know that the Department of Corrections, the Law Department, DOHMH and City Hall are trying to figure out a plan. But I wanted to see if there was any update on when we think a reasonable timeline is when it will be announced, if the contract is going to be renewed. As you know, it expires on December 31st, and I think you know that Washington, D.C. did not renew their contract with Corizon because of the issues that have presented itself.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, I can't give you a time frame now. As you know, the contract expires at the end of the calendar year. City Hall

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 is working on some type of decision as to the case of Corizon.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Do you think it should be renewed?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think their performance, if you look at just their own metrics, where they've never improved from fair, I would say that there's concerns.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Well, I have concerns. I don't think the contract should be renewed. Fifteen preventable deaths in the last five years. I think that we can and should do a lot better. It's a \$440 million contract, and I don't think they are providing the services that they're being contracted to provide in the best way possible for the inmates that are supposed to be in their care. I wanted to [coughs] ask you about -- I know there's a transgender unit on Rikers, and the last time that I asked about what the policy was on people being admitted to that unit -- I want to thank you because your staff followed up, and got me answers on that. But one of the complaints that we have received from inmates and from advocates is that the reason why people don't want to be transferred to

2	that unit is that there's no programming. There's no
3	programming that exists in the unit. So, could we
4	work to get programming in that unit like we have in
5	other units?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There--there is some programming, but we're willing to talk to you or anybody who is interested to see what programming you may believe is more appropriate, but there is some programming.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: What--what programming is going on there?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not sure. I can't just off the top of my head. I do not know what that is.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. [coughs]

And I believe Chair Ferreras asked about this, but

how much money has been designated to--to go towards

building a new facility, a new jail facility?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's about a half a billion dollars at this point, yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, \$500 million and what would the capacity of that facility be?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: 1,500 beds.

2.2

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And when do we think it would be done?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm guessing probably five or six years.

council Member Johnson: But don't the existing jails on Rikers Island need a significant amount of capital upgrades? I know that we're doing stuff on security cameras, but isn't this a pretty huge amount of money? Why spend money on a new facility instead of putting—instead of putting money into critical repairs and existing facilities?

two reasons. One, it takes a long time to design and build a facility anywhere. And in the meantime, that four, five or six years, we need to operate these jails in the best way we can. So again, we can't ignore the capital improvements that are necessary for both fire safety, air conditioning, ventilation. All those are real critical for us as we move forward as an agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So the--the

Executive Budget I think did not have much of an

increase for the Board of Corrections. I think less
than the one full-time staff position, and given all

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the issues that you've been tackling, and I know the support that you received from the BOC, do you think that the BOC has an adequate amount of staff to be supporting everything that's needed right now?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm really not in a position to answer. I just don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Well, I--I again Commissioner, I want to--as I think I've done at every hearing that we've had over the last year, plus I want to thank you for your continued hard work in really turning around an incredibly difficult situation. And I want to thank the Mayor for putting in tens of millions of dollars to really try to get this back on course. I also want to weigh in, as I think I've done at all these lat hearings, and just say that I believe solitary confinement is cruel and unusual punishment. I hope that one day we can move away from the use of solitary confinement. I do not think it is best for inmates to be put in a cell for 23 hours a day, or whatever the number is, even if it's 17 hours a day. I think it's cruel and unusual. It does not help people get better. [bell] I know you and I don't entirely agree on that, but I'll say

2 it every opportunity I can. Thanks for your service.
3 Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member. We'll have Council Member Cumbo
followed by Council Member Miller, and we've been
joined by Council Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you for your presence here today. Wanted to in my capacity as Chair of the Women's Issues Committee, wanted to talk about the Rose M. Singer facility. I had an opportunity to visit last year, but [coughs] as you know, recently in the newspaper it's come to our attention several issues of sexual misconduct at Rose M. Singer. And it's reported that it has one of the most serious issues regarding rates -- issues of sexual misconduct. They say nationwide 3.2% of jail inmates reported sexual victimization, but at Rose M. Singer the rate was approximately 8.6% according to the Department of Justice. So, wanted to really understand I mean in my own mind. From my opportunities of visiting, I don't even see how it is possible for such heinous incidences to happen. But want to know what was the

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

plan or the strategy in order to address this issue

of sexual misconduct throughout the system.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [coughs] So the-the--the previous [sic] survey is a--is just that. It's a survey. So it's not validated facts, and I wouldn't offer anything in defense of--it's very high, very concerning and we need to do something about that. We changed the leadership in that facility I think six months ago now. We have Warden Clifford, a female warden in charge of that facility today. We're looking at all of our programming to be gender specific. So in a lot of jails across the country we had one model. It was model for managing male inmates. And as you see across the country now, most of us are changing on understanding managing female inmates. It's a much different process for us than males. So all of our policies, procedures, classifications, all the things that we do with female inmates is changing. So it's changing under the leadership of Warden Clifford, but changing as an agency. We'll be assigning a full-time ICO there from ID to handle any complaints on the ground full time. Either this week or next she starts there, and we'll assign and an Investigative unit from ID once

2.2

their staffing get appropriate to make sure that inmates have somebody in the building they can go to any time that they have a concern. So--so we're--we're moving forward on--on many fronts in that facility to make sure that inmate and staff safety is a high priority for us. But it's a--the survey was back about a year, and the results are as concerning for me as they are for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: One of the things that was reported in this is the fear of retaliation. So if a woman wanted to report a circumstance or a situation like this, how would she be protected from retaliation either from other inmates or other correction officers?

report anonymously with a--with an ICO in the building. There's someone they could talk to directly, but no one else in the facility would have to know that. The ICO is an independent person, and does not report to the warden or in the rank structure. Reports to the Deputy Commissioner in charge of investigations. So there's opportunities. They could go to a family member. Contact DOI and DOI would do their own investigation. So there are

2.2

opportunities for somebody to be contacted and not put the inmate in jeopardy. And also, we'd make every effort to anybody who does report to make sure they're safe in that reporting.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I know that Chair Crowley and I both shared serious concerns about this, and—and look forward to hearing to discuss more, to find out more about the safety. Wanted to ask you overall the department received additional funds to improve leadership and the department's culture. Can you tell the committee how the department plans on spawning a culture of change?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, part of that is developing the skill levels of our staff at every level. So there's--there's no training beyond Assistant Deputy Warden, which is a tour commander.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Uh-huh.

deputy warden training. There's no warden training. There's no training for chiefs. If you went to the Police Department, there would be training at every level. I think the last level is the Executive Training Program. Those are the people that are going to be the future leaders of NYPD. We're

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 110 2 looking to establish those programs in--in our department. So there's training at every level, and 3 4 to really recognize those future leaders, get them up 5 to the task before we put them in the jobs, and we 6 think that will help the organization tremendously 7 going down the road. COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Are there mental 8 evaluations or psychiatric evaluations for the 9 correction officers as well throughout their time 10

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes there are.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: How often does that happen?

during the 20 plus years?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There's--well, they can be referred. [bell] They were evaluated when they were hired, and they can be referred for evaluation while--while in service.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So they can be referred, but it's not a mandatory. Maybe ever five years there's an evaluation of sorts.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, she tells me no. 2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you Council Member Cumbo. Council Member Miller followed

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2.2

by Council Member Gibson, and Council Member Vacca has joined us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Madam
Chair and then to Co-Chair and Commissioner. Thank
you so much for being here. My questions is aboutfirst of all, I want to thank you for all that you've
done over the past years, and there is lots obviously
to do there. I want to talk a little bit about this
ambitious and basic reform that's you've takenundertaken in terms of staffing and as well as the
services that are being provided to the inmates. In
terms of training, how involved are the--the union
involved, if at all involved in terms of correction
officers and captains union as well. Do they have
any input in the training and/or operations and
planning of any of the reforms that are current?

develop a plan with McKinsey and our staff, we involved all the unions in developing that plan. We probably would not go very far if we didn't get some agreement on the things that were necessary. We involved them as we developed training. There's a representative of the people that we're trying to train and improve. So I think they've been involved

2 every step of the way. All of us understand there's

3 a substantial need for us as an agency to get better,

4 and that's--that's really helpful for our member.

5 You know, as they get better, their skills get

6 better, the organization gets better, it's a

7 | healthier, more productive place to work.

terms of staying on—on the training aspects and—and the reforms here, I think that statistics will bear that there's been a significant turnover in the leadership of the upper level leadership since you've been there. Do you think that these folks were not qualified to implement the—the reforms that you were looking to implement. Or do you think that they were somehow complicit in what was taking place, and found it then necessary for a major change?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Probably a little bit of both but, you know, as you're looking for new ideas and new concepts to move things forward, you're getting new eyes—new eyes on—on the issues is beneficial. But at every level we have to improve our training and skills and our staff for us to get better in our organization.

2.2

2.

2.2

terms of--let's talk about--let's talk about the budget a little bit. The department--you have--I noticed that you have a lot of upcoming contracts as well as some expiring contracts. Do you comply with Local Law 63 when--how--how have you looked at that, and what are you doing to determine that you can do

work internally as opposed to contract out?

of both. So some of it is the skills we want to bring in. Some, you know, for a private vendor sometimes the skills they can go out and get people quicker than we can. Our preference on most of our programming would be eventually to have those people in house doing that. Not--not contracting the best we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Do--do you prior to contacting out do a cost analysis to ensure that the work can be done in house prior to contract out?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think, you know, for some of these programs they're new and they may be copyrighted to a point. We will move and continue to move to do much of that programming by our staff in house. Some of the stuff because of the speed, we

2.2

want to get programs in place. We'll probably start with contracts, but eventually hope to move off of them at some point in time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Doesn't Local Law
63 require that you prior to implementing a new-going into a new contract that you do a cost analysis
and an analysis of whether or not it can be done
efficiently and/or as expeditiously in house?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not aware of
that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: If that's a requirement, obviously we'll do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. Okay, that's it. Thanks. Thanks again for your time.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Council Member Miller. Council Member Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you, Madam
Chairs. Good morning to all of you. Good morning,
Commissioner to you and your team. Thank you for the
work that you've been doing on an incredibly
ambitious plans around reform, intervention,
prevention, efforts to train more of our correction
officers. So I appreciate all of the work that's

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPO

2.2

been done. I chair the Committee on Public Safety here. I want to ask a question about the crisis intervention, and how we're looking at de-escalating situations. I asked this question of you before in terms of looking at some of the practices that the Police Department has started to do in focusing on de-escalating, focusing on mental and behavioral health. Has that started to roll out yet? Do you have any final details to share?

of that already in the Academy. We're--we're really looking to refine that in a way that makes it more effective. So, we're--I think as everyone is aware, we're in the process of negotiating a settlement with the Department of Justice and new ISO [sic]. Part of their interest is those same things. So, it's a little premature to say okay this is going to be the plan. But we're actively working on a plan of how do we get crisis intervention as part of everybody's job, and hopefully de-escalate our violence rather than uses of force.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right and I remember mentioning that I guess most of the situations where this arises is due to the cell

extractions where I wanted to make sure that we were looking at issues like that to de-escalate many of those situations.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, that would be part of that. Our cell extraction rates are very low--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Okay

COMMISSIONER PONTE: --on this agency.

It's not as much of a problem as the kind of

instantaneous incident where there's a use of force.

testimony you talked a little bit about the Enhanced Supervision Housing Units. So, number one, I wanted to know where we are in terms of capacity, and then also some of the strategies that you're using at DOC to identify the population that is the most violent. And then in addition, is it based on the charge that the detainee is facing? Or is it based on the violence that's within Riker's Island in terms of determining who's most violent and who's not?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Okay. I'm probably going to forget the first half of your question, but I'll answer the second half.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I'll repeat it.
6 [laughs]

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The--yeah, so whatnormally in jails your original crime would put you at a very high classification.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So if you came in for murder, you know, assault and batteries.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: What we found is we looked who was driving the violence in our jails, original was not--not much of a factor. So this new classification strategy we've developed really uses other pieces to--when you put them together is a better predictor of violence than crime. So we've not walked away from crime, but typically once it came in that you had a murder charge, you go on high classification, and there's nothing that would

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: --for us. You can actually come in with that kind of charge and end up in a lower classification--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Right.

these other pieces that we look at. Age is a factor only if your MO and security risk are again affiliated. Age is not a factor when you look at it by itself. Typically in most jails young offenders usually drive a lot more of the problems so it's--it's--our new classification tools is actually pretty unique in how we're looking at the inmates and who's causing most of the violence in the jail. Which I think will get us to very low outcomes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: And what's the capacity? Did you mention the capacity of the unit itself? How many?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Oh, capacity right now is 100. I believe today we had about 50 in--in two units.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: And the maximum 3 is what, 250?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: 100.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Oh, the maximum

6 is 100?

1

4

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

7 COMMISSIONER PONTE: In the two units we 8 have open, the capacity is 100.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There are 50-bed

11 units.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So if we opened all

14 of them, it would be 250.

there any strategies that we're looking at in terms of identifying? We have a serious challenge on Rikers with the level of gangs. And, you know, I use that term because I know that's the broad word, but for me in my community, I mean we have what we call crews, right. They're not really formal gangs. Are you looking at ways in which we can identify that on Rikers so we can reduce the level of violence?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, you're right.

25 | It's a very fluid designation.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Yes.

2.2

Tulon [sp?] our--our gang expert, his--his team actually is a gang expert--work closely with the PD. And so as we look at neighborhood crews, which may come under a title or broad title of a gang, it could be people in the same neighborhood are or in some cases the same housing project with the same gang title. But, are not, you know, they come. So, again, we're better at identifying in real time who is coming in the door to make sure that we caused the right separations.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. I wanted to ask if you could expand a little bit on the Rikers Rovers Program, and what you're looking to do in partnering with CUNY? And, how is that different from any other current educational services that are being offered [bell] there?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, the--the K-9

Program or dog programs we use them in many prisons

and jails across the country. So it teaches

responsibility to young people, and that's--that's-
for us that's the game. So taking a young person,

giving them a pet. I think we've all had kids with

pets, and sometimes that just doesn't work out very well. But, teach them responsibilities with pets, and somebody has to take care of more than think about just think about themselves is a very rewarding experience anywhere where we put it in place. And we've had two K-9s go through the program here at our RNDC, and we're just shifting to another vendor, so to speak, to get more K-9s in. So we've only had--we'd like to probably have them both at Rosie's, RNDC, GMDC. We want to expand that program, and that's our effort to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

you, Council. Commissioner, I just wanted to follow

up. You mentioned that DOA--DOI maintains the data

regarding correction officer arrests and that

Corrections does not have the data. Without knowing

the critical--that--this piece of critical

information, please--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] I don't have it off the top of my head. I mean, you know--

2.2

this question was asked of you, and your response to

25

2.2

2 the question in a letter dated May 21st indicates
3 that the department does not maintain this data.

This information is under the purview of the New York City Department of Investigation.

everybody that's been arrested. So, I mean we don't know everybody they're investigating because it's an independent investigative unit. But I know there's people in the organization that knows every officer that's been arrested because they're also going to go through the HR process and get terminated. So when we say we don't maintain the data, we probably don't maintain it as a separate list. But, I guarantee you I can get you the names of everyone that's been arrested as a result of a DOI investigation that we required.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, if you're trying to weed out and clear up the Department of Corrections of--of the bad apples--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And there's investigations that are happening, how do you do that if you're only taking the--if you're only dismissing or firing or terminating those that are

2.2

arrested? How do you figure out--are you just

leaving it up to DOI to investigate whether they have

other relationships with other people inside? I know

that there's two parts, right. Those that are

arrested that happen outside, and then those that are

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah.

arrested that borne out of the--from with Rikers.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, can you tell me how you handle those two different cases currently?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, if it's a criminal act on the outside, our ID staff, our Investigative Internal Affairs Unit would normally handle that. If it has to do with integrity bringing in contraband, DOI would be the agency that particularly would hand it, even if we observe something. If one of our staff persons observes somebody who we thought may be doing something inappropriate, there's an obligation to report, and DOI would conduct that investigation. We may or may not know about it, but they would be the responsible person.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: But as the Commissioner, how do you-how do you know-so

you're just leaving it up to DOI to figure out and identify who else may be involved and everything is investigated through DOI. It has nothing to do with your agency?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, again, we may being investigations. If somebody may have done something, we may get into them. We may get inmate phone recordings. We would look at those, but we have an obligation to refer those to DOI. Most time, if we begin the investigation, we would be in partnership with them as they conducted it. They may be doing some of their own independent investigations that we don't know until it comes to the end whether they're going to make an arrest.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [off mic]
All right, give me a second.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, I know that you know the number. You don't have it with you today. Can--I'd like to have it before the end of the day. So whoever is here start figuring it out so that we can have it because we need it to make our assessments through this--

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing]

Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --budget

process. Thank you, Commissioner. I have one other question and then I'm going to give it back to our Chair. I see the Commissioner of DOT here. So we want to keep the hearing moving. The budget includes \$20.4 million for staff training, which we talked about before. How much is included for inmate programming, and what types of programming are included and is this going out for an RFP?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, there's a lot of programs. Some of it is being developed as we speak. We, you know, are talking with agencies of who has the ability to bring in some of the state programs. Some of the programming is idleness reduction. So it's not--you know, we're not going to change behavior. We're just going to give people something to do other than--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: --criminal activity.

But, I'll ask Frank to talk about specifically what
we have.

2.2

Agenda is \$36.4 million in total. There—there is programming that's going to be provided to the inmates in the facilities. Some of these will have to go out for bids, and we're also reaching out to other agencies if we could piggyback on some programs. If they already have a contract, piggyback on the contracts. So there—there is a lot of work to do—to do with this and we still have to iron out the details and tying up the scope of work and then we're going to push it out.

thing that we're asking for is that you have measurable goals, right? So for accountability when we're back here next year, you can say these were the programs that were successful. These are the programs that we're going to do away this. This is what didn't work, but for us to do that, we need a list of those programs. I know that you might not have that with you today, but we--this committee needs a list of programs as soon as possible before the budget is voted out. So, if you can put all of that together so that we can do a cross-reference, and obviously a list with an explanation of what

2 those programs are would be greatly appreciated. wanted--I asked Commissioner Nigro before. We have 3 an issue at Rikers--well the issue is really Elmhurst 4 Hospital where detainees are taking to Elmhurst 5 6 Hospital. I happen to represent Elmhurst Hospital, 7 and happen to have a horrible experience because the emergency room is completely just at its maximum 8 capacity. So we're working on the capital to 9 increase capacity. But it won't help address the 10 issue of inmates and the general public, right. And 11 12 in this case myself with my family there, and having to be exposed to--have my father cursed out, and food 13 14 thrown at my father while we're in the emergency room 15 taking care of my mother. In this case, they did it 16 to council member, and yes, the HHC responded. But I've got to believe that that happens quite often. 17 18 So, what happens in the emergency room experience in my local areas is there's an inmate with two or three 19 correction officers, and already on top of an 20 overburdened emergency room. I'm not asking for an 21 2.2 answer. What I need is for us to sit down at a table and address and mitigate this. I understand there's 23 24 response issues, but I have been told by HHC that 25 Bellevue is a better place to help build out a

\_

facility that can accommodate inmates from Riker as opposed to a very small over-stretched emergency room at Elmhurst Hospital. So, can you agree to sitting down with us to help us find a solution to this?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: All right, thank you, Commissioner. Chair Crowley has a question, and then we will adjourn this part of the hearing.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: My last question is about civil—civilianization. There are roughly 100 positions within DOC that are manned by correction officers whose salary is—is much greater than that of clerical staff. And I wanted to know what the department is doing to hire civilians to do this work rather than paying correction officers, as associate staff of correction officers?

a--there's a great opportunity for us to get uniformed staff out there on the line rather than in the office. So Frank can address what we've done so far and where we're headed.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --possible

positions. There's no other positions within the

department that could be civilianized?

25

21

2.2

23

24

Right.

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 131
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Therethere
3	could be more. We're actuallywe're currently on
4	the reviewing the rest of this
5	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Oh,
6	okay. So at this point you've already identified 98.
7	When we meet in a few months, you might identify
8	another 98?
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Correct, yeah
LO	it could be that.
L1	COMMISSIONER PONTE: And we're looking at
L2	things likemost of our uniformed staff sign in and
L3	out on paper. They're not on a computerized time
L 4	system. So, some of those things will help us with
L5	staffing in general once we're able to roll out those
L 6	plans.
L7	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And when can we see
L8	the 98 positions that you've already identified no
L 9	longer be staffed by correction officers?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Well, that's,
21	you know, that's a lot of recruitment and hiring, and
22	it will take a little while for that.
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: We canwe can give
2.4	17011

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Priority.

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: [off mic] I didn't 3 hear your question.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Is it as much of a priority recruiting those civilians as you're recruiting regular correction officers?

as a priority. It is a priority for us because it puts officers back online. The issue is—is a selection and training. We have not done a good job training our non-uniformed staff in these roles that are in our facilities. So part of it is designing a—a proper training program to make sure that when they do get there, they're in a position to—to—to function inside the jails. A lot of our hiring was for not—people put them in these positions and staff wouldn't stay. So we're trying to set it up so people feel comfortable in the environment we're hiring them into.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So it is a priority.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: All right.

24 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Just to

follow up to the Chair's question, we have a term and

the report, that's never been said.

2.2

condition that we submitted with last year's budget,

and the agency committed to giving us the number—

that—the number, right? Now, you're saying that

there is a problem with that number? So we get this

report quarterly. What have you been reporting on?

That I'm having an issue because we're basing this on

the report you send us. So, if there's an error with

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: I don't think there's a problem with the number. This is just basically what we know as of last quarter that there are 98 positions that could be civilianized.

However, we were under review. We are looking to see if there are any additional positions that could also be civilianized so---

I'm--I guess we never understood that there was any question that you would need more. So we're--that is where the discrepancy is, and it's not--it's not a space that you would identify it in the--in the term and conditions. So, we're probably going to have to figure out a way that we can expand the report that you currently give to speak to future expectations on what you have now.

2.2

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOKA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner for coming-Council Member Cumbo.

wanted to have one follow-up question to the discussion we're having. In terms of issues around sexual assault or in terms of issues around correction officers bringing contraband, drugs or any of those other sort of elements. how often or annually does an officer get arrested, prosecuted and serves time for any of those sorts of issues a year? How often does something happen with some level of some punishment or punitive that they actually wind up becoming someone behind bars on Rikers as a result?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So we can get you those numbers. We have those numbers on officers that have been arrested. And some of those arrested for out--outside criminal activity, not something to do with the facility but we have all that data.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Does it ever happen as a result of activity that's against the law within the facility, or does that rarely happen?

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It happens.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay, I would appreciate those numbers because I want to understand if we've created an environment particularly in Singer of people thinking that there are no real repercussions behind sexual assault and activities as such. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Okay, you're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

you, Chair. This portion of the hearing is now

adjourned. We will take a 10-minute break and resume

here with the Department of Transportation.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: We will now resume the City Council's hearing on the Mayor's Executive Budget for FY 2016. The Finance Committee is joined by the Committee on Transportation chaired by my colleague Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez. We just heard from the Department of Corrections, and now we will hear from the Department of Transportation, Commissioner—Commissioner Polly Trottenberg. In the interest of time, I will forego an opening statement, but before we hear testimony, I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

will open the mic to my Co-Chair Council Member
Rodriguez.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon and welcome to the City Council Finance and Transportation Committees hearing of the Fiscal 2016 Executive Budget. My name is Ydanis Rodriguez and I am the Chair of the Transportation Committee. Before we begin, I would like to acknowledge my colleagues who's here, Council Member Chin, who is also a member of the Transportation Committee. Today, we will start by hearing testimony from the City's Department of Transportation, DOT. The DOT will be followed by the MTA and the MTA followed by the Taxi and Limousine Commissioner. DOT's Executive Expense Budget for Fiscal 2016 is approximately \$875 million. In addition, \$2 billion is budgeted in Fiscal 2016 for the department's capital program. I am particularly pleased that the Executive Budget includes funding for the department to resurface more lane miles on other city streets; increase ferry service; maintain public plaza; and to expand Select Bus services by an additional nine routs. However, I am deeply concerns that the Executive Budget did not include significantly

2 increased funding for the educational component of Vision Zero initiative, and the new Vision Zero great 3 street program, which was called for in the Council's 4 5 Response and the Mayor's Preliminary Budget. We must 6 heavily invest in the public education campaign 7 surround Vision Zero as the city doing a small campaign. [sic] Further, those \$250 million was a 8 great start for the great--for the great streets. 9 10 stand in regard that we advocate through our city calling for more funding for this great program. 11 12 cannot put a value on the potential lives saved by each street redesigned. I look forward for the 13 14 Commissioner updating the committee on the 15 department's effort to maintaining for the city's 16 roadway infrastructure. In particular bridges and highways including asphalt [sic] remediation, the 17 18 department's efforts to improve pedestrian safety and implementation of Vision Zero Action Plan. 19 20 Additionally, the department's proposal--proposed capital budget for Fiscal Year 2015 to 2019 total 21 2.2 approximately \$8.2 billion, an increase of \$1.1 23 billion or 50% since the Preliminary Plan. We will examine same--some of the key projects, and other 24 changes in the plan, particularly in terms of its 25

2.2

goal and priorities for the next four years. The scope and the progression of work on the East River bridges, especially the Brooklyn Bridge; the plan to purchase a new ferry boat; the reconstruction of pedestrian ramps and roadways citywide as well as an update on the department's PlaNYC initiative. Now, I yield the floor to the Chairman, Council Member Ferreras-Copeland.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

you. I still smile at Copeland. I'm getting used to

it. We've been joined by Majority Leader Van Bramer.

Commissioner, my counsel will swear you in and then

you can begin your testimony. And Council Member

Rose.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: [off mic] I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Great. You may begin.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Thank

you. [coughs] Good afternoon, Chairman Rodriguez,

Chairwoman Ferreras-Copeland and members of the

Transportation and Finance Committees. My name is

2.2

Polly Trottenberg, and I'm the Commissioner of the

New York City Department of Transportation. Today

I'm joined by Joseph Jarrin, Deputy Commissioner for

Finance, Contracting and Program Management and Jeff

Lynch, Assistant Commissioner of Intergovernmental

and Community Affairs. On behalf of Mayor Bill de

Blasio, I want to thank both committees for inviting

me to discuss DOT's proposed Fiscal Year 2016 Expense

Budget and our Ten-Year Capital Plan. I also want to

thank you for all the support and partnership during

the first year and a half of this administration. I

look forward to continuing our work together.

The Mayor has proposed a budget for DOT that will improve the mobility, safety and quality of life for all New Yorkers while enabling them to better connect to jobs and opportunity. In this challenging fiscal climate at all levels of government, the Mayor's Budget provides DOT with the resources, personnel and equipment we need to improve and maintain a transportation system that is essential for New York City's long-term economic prosperity. With a proposed Ten-Year Capital Plan of \$12.6 billion, DOT is the city's third largest capital agency after the School Construction

24

25

Authority and the Department of Environmental 2 Protection, and manages a broad portfolio of key 3 4 infrastructure that New Yorkers rely on everyday. 5 Roads, sidewalks, bridges, street signs, signals, lighting and the Staten Island Ferry. The Mayor's 6 7 Ten-Year Capital Plan will enable DOT to execute our five priorities for this infrastructure: Safety, 8 state of good repair, innovative project delivery, 9 mobility and livability. The plan also reflects a 10 collaborative effort between OMB, city planning and 11 12 the key capital agencies including DOT, DDC, DDP and Parks to create a more equitable and sustainable 13 city, significantly improve project delivery and see 14 15 a greater return on taxpayer dollars invested. 16 Mayor's Plan funds some remarkable DOT projects including the construction of the BQE triple 17 18 cantilever; our Vision Zero Great Streets Initiative; key roadway reconstruction projects in all five 19 20 boroughs; a greatly expanded citywide sidewalk repair program; and a much needed; and a very welcome 21 2.2 expansion of our street resurfacing program. 23 More specifically, DOT's \$12.6 billion

Ten-Year Capital Plan includes \$8.6 for bridge reconstruction and rehabilitation; \$3.6 billion for

2.2

street reconstruction and resurfacing; \$480 million for the Staten Island Ferry; \$649 million for street lights and signals; \$523 million for sidewalk and pedestrian ramps repair and reconstruction; and \$290 million for the facilities and equipment needed to support DOT operations. The Mayor's commitment to Vision Zero is backed with renewed capital investment in this plan. In addition to the \$250 million Vision Zero Great Streets Initiative that was funded in the Preliminary Budget, this budget adds \$79 million for additional Vision Zero street reconstruction projects citywide. Including \$13 million for school safety projects; \$14 million for beautiful neighborhood plazas; and \$15 million for our popular Waterfront Greenways Program.

As the Mayor announced two weeks in

Staten Island, the budget also contains an additional

\$242 million for DOT to resurface 1,200 lane miles in

Fiscal Year 16 and 1,300 lane miles in Fiscal Year

17, up from the thousand that we did in Fiscal Year

15. This funding includes resurfacing of the FDR

Drive as well as major thoroughfares like Kings

Highway, Hillside Avenue, Westchester Avenue and

Arthur Kill Road. New York City has not seen this

2.2

level of investment in our roadways since the early 1990s, and I'm deeply grateful to the Mayor and to the Council for their support. At DOT, we are now ramping up our roadway operations, hiring additional crews, buying new equipment, and working to map out resurfacing schedules. Over the next couple of resurfacing seasons I believe we will see a real improvement in roadway conditions, and that mean fewer--fewer potholes and a better ride for all city travelers.

I'm also proud that the Mayor's Budget more than doubles DOT's annual funding from \$20 million to \$46 million over the next four years to repair and improve the accessibilities of our sidewalks. This additional funding will allow DOT to repair many more sidewalks throughout the city and eliminate the residential sidewalk repair backlog in approximately ten years. And I'm especially pleased to announce that with these new funds, DOT is tripling its commitment from \$1 million to \$3 million per year to fix sidewalks around NYCHA developments. We will work closely with the leadership and residents at NYCHA as well as local elected officials to select these projects over the coming years. We

\$11 million in new funds.

2.2

will also continue to install pedestrian ramps

improving accessibility for all and tackling the

toughest complex corridors, which have subway

entrances and other subsurface infrastructure with an

additional \$40 million the Mayor's Budget. We will

also improve pedestrian ramps in Lower Manhattan with

Let me turn to bridges, which is the largest portion of our Ten-Year Capital Plan. The Mayor has committed an additional \$3 billion to fund the state of good repair of DOT's 789 bridges for a total of nearly \$8 billion over the next ten years. This funding will allow DOT to invest \$1.7 billion the BQE Triple Cantilever; \$300 million each for improvements to the Brooklyn and Williamsburg bridges; \$84 million for the 79th Street Rotunda, and it will supplement existing funding for the 22 bridges including \$41 million for the Grand Concourse East 174th Street Bridge, and \$51 million for the 49th Avenue Bridge over the Long Island Railroad.

As I mentioned when I appeared before you in March, DOT could deliver even more bridge projects with those funds through the use of Design Build, which could save tens of millions of dollars and

2.2

bring innovation and faster project delivery. Design Build can eliminate the costly, time consuming and often litigious process of procuring bridge design and construction work separately. I was just in Albany last week advocating the authority for the city and hope that the Council can be a strong—can be strong supporters of this effort. It would help every major capital agency in the city to better deliver projects to build and reconstruct roads, bridges, schools, water and sewer facilities, fire and police stations, libraries and NYCHA developments, where we all know so much urgent capital work is needed to improve the living conditions for over 400,000 residents. [coughs]

Now, turning to DOT's Expense Budget, the Mayor is proposing \$875 million for Fiscal Year 16 providing for all of our operations and containing some critical new funding. \$238 million for traffic operations including signals, street lights and parking; \$205 million for roadway maintenance; \$143 million for DOT operations including sidewalk management and inspection; \$94 million for ferry operations and maintenance; \$102 million for bridge maintenance and inspection; and \$93 million for

transportation planning and management includinginstallation of street signs and road markings.

The Mayor's Expense Budget recognizes funding for vital new needs that will increase mobility, equity and safety in the city. The Budget includes \$4.9 million to expand the Staten Island Ferry Service to every half hour, 24/7 as directed by the Council two years ago. We launched 12 new trips beginning on May 1st, and we will eventually provide--be providing 62 new trips per week. We expect that the expanded service will be fully implemented by the fall. And both the 10-Year Capital Plan and the Expense Budget fund DOT's new initiatives laid out in One New York, the Mayor's bold proposal to create a more equitable and sustainable city including improving and expanding transit services like Select Bus Service. Expanding the city's growing bike network to provide New Yorkers with more transportation choices, and help reduce carbon emissions. Reducing pedestrian traffic fatalities by implementing the Vision Zero Action Plan, and ensuring the city's infrastructure is in a state of good repair.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

goals of improving and expanding transit service, DOT
is already hard at work on four new Small Business
Service routes; 86th Street in Manhattan; Utica
Avenue in Brooklyn; Flushing--in Flushing, Jamaica
and Woodhaven, Cross Bay Boulevards in Queens. In
order to provide more transportation choices and
achieve One New York's target of reduced emissions,

As part of fulfilling One New York's

bike lane network. Currently, we're working closely with communities in Bushwick, East New York, Long
Island City and Ridgewood to build bike networks

tailored to their needs.

we're also continuing to improve and build out our

This year, Motivate and DOT are working together to overhaul and improve Citi Bike, and oversee its expansion into Greenpoint, Bed-Stuy, Williamsburg, Long Island City and the Upper West and Upper East Sides of Manhattan. By the end of 2017, Citi Bike will stretch further into Brooklyn and Queens and up to Harlem, and will be expanded to more that 700 stations and 12,000 bikes. We're also continuing to redesign our streets to improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety at high crash locations. In August, we're scheduled to begin Phase

2.2

1 of the Tillary Street reconstruction. This project will include new wider planted medians, wider sidewalks and new curb extensions, which will calm traffic, reduce pedestrian crossing distances and improve safety.

Also in One New York, the Mayor
highlighted the expansion of our street improvement
projects. The budget provides and additional \$5.1
million in FY16 to help meet the Vision Zero goals of
redesigning 50 priority corridors and intersections,
retiming traffic signals and continuing our Safety
Education programs. These funds will also allow DOT
to green and beautify neighborhoods in the city
currently not served by Business Improvement
Districts, often lower income communities with fewer
street trees in planted areas. The Executive Budget
provides \$270,000 in FY17 and 18, which grows to
\$600,000 in Fiscal Year 2019, as we design more
projects with planted medians. [door bangs]

The Budget also includes \$1.4 million to establish a public plaza maintenance program, which can support plaza maintenance in community organization in capacity building. This is also important for lower income neighborhoods without

2.2

large well-funded BIDs, and gives DOT a path to a more sustainable plaza program throughout the five boroughs in the long term.

In conclusion, the Mayor's Budget for DOT provides us with the vision and the resources we need to continue maintaining and improving our city's transportation infrastructure, as well as advancing our important priorities like Vision Zero, SBS, Great Streets, expanding cycling and creating livable streets and public streets. To achieve the Mayor's ambitious agenda, we are going to need broad political and community support. Therefore, we ask for the Council's continued leadership and partnership. We cannot succeed in our work without you. I thank you again for the opportunity to testify today on the Budget and look forward to your questions.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [coughs]
Thank you, Commissioner. So, we've been joined by
Council Members Greenfield, Reynoso, Constantinides,
Miller and Gibson. So, I'm going to ask a few
questions and then I'll come back on a second round
after the first round. So I wanted to talk about the
citywide savings from bus lane and speed cameras. As

part of the Citywide Savings Program, the Fiscal Year 2016 Executive Budget recognizes additional revenue of more than \$22 million in DOT's Fiscal 2006--2016 Budget. Can you explain to the committees why you anticipate additional revenues from the cameras, and why is revenue should be considered a savings?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: -to the first part of your question, last year we got legislation up in Albany that authorized to go--that authorized the City to go from deploying 20 speed cameras up to 140. And so, we are in the process of doing that. We are as of today up to 93 cameras, and we expect to be to the full 140 by fall of this year. And so, as we install more cameras, they generate more revenue. But, as I have often said, one bit of good news is as people learn where the cameras are, they tend to slow down. And we have seen in areas where we have deployed fixed cameras that even in the course of just a few months, the number of tickets that get sent out for those cameras can drop by as much of 60%. So in the long run they become a learning tool, and as I've often said if in the end they stop generating revenue, I will consider it a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: targeted in terms of they have to be near schools, and they can only be deployed at times when school is in session or activities are happening in school. have so far installed 93 of those 140. [door bangs] The bus lane cameras we were authorized to deploy as many cameras as needed in six Select Bus Service routes. Five that were specifically listed in the legislation, which were basically the first five Select Bus Service Routes the city put up. And then one just to be put in Queens. We have not made use of the Queens authorization yet because we haven't had a project that fit the bill. And--and we are seeking right now in Albany to get that authority expanded because even this year we're looking at putting up three more Select Bus Service routes. so we're already -- the number of routes we have is greatly exceeding the authorization for cameras that we have. For red light cameras, we have the authorization to deploy 190 of them. We've had them up for some time, and Albany just re-authorized that existing number for us, I believe for the next five-five years, four or five years.

or we have 300 or--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Now, areare there like dummy--do we just have 190? Are
there dummy cameras with the capacity revolve. Like
we've heard all types of options that are used in
other states. Like what is--what does our program
look like? Are those 190 always working or is there
another strategy where there's 190 working at a time

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, the--the--I believe we have the authorizing--Jeff will correct me if I'm wrong on this. It's 190 intersections.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYNCH: [off mic] They're fixed cameras.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Right, they're fixed cameras. We don't move them around. With the speed cameras, we currently have a number of mobile cameras. And one of the things we've started to do, I think which has worked well and helped us refine how we do the speed cameras is before we put in a fixed camera, we'll bring a mobile camera to a site and investigate the site and see if it works well. See if we feel like we're going to get safety

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

gains from the site. And I--I just want to clarify I'm proud of the way we're doing it here in New York on the speed cameras. We are different than other jurisdictions. One my might have heard of, Nassau County we have tried and then, you know, I'd say once in awhile we have a disagreement. But, we have tried to be thoughtful and careful to look at the crash data. We do not -- a private contractor doesn't make money the more money they take in. All the money goes to the city, and for the record, it doesn't come to my department. It just goes to the City's general coffers. So we've--we've tried to make the program as data driven and as careful as we can. And I-we've--we've been getting good results in terms of seeing people--seeing summonses go down where we have the speed cameras, and seeing people driving safer. We're going to have them in longer to see in the long run how much of an affect they have on, you know, actual crash data on the corridors where we've installed them.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay. I want to talk about neighborhood plazas and LEDs, and then we'll open--I'll give it to my Chair. The Executive Budget includes a baseline fund, which you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

speak of in your opening testimony of \$1.4 million in Fiscal 2016 in the out years for plaza maintenance. Now, having worked with you and the prior administration on the Corona Plaza, which to me is my crown jewel in the district, I understand first hand what a challenge it is for communities that don't have a BID, that don't have a local development corporation, almost it's been discouraging to create plazas. My question is do you think this is enough-that \$1.4 million is enough? How do you determine which plaza gets how much? Because I guess -- and I know this -- not all plazas are created equal. So how--how do you find--how will you kind of create an opportunity for people to apply for plazas and for members know that they have the support? But how do we know how much money you will be able to put into a plaza?

commissioner Polly Trottenberg: Yeah, no, these are very good questions. And—and when I came into this job, and I got really understand the plaza program, I immediately saw the issue that you have identified. But obviously the—the big plazas here in Manhattan that have, you know, big BIDs and very deep pockets is one situation. And then plazas

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

as you move out into other parts of the city, Corona or even Pitken Avenue in East New York, places where the local communities don't have so many resources on the ground. But, we want to make sure they, too, can have beautiful plazas. So I'm actually very, you know, pleased and grateful that we got this first bit of maintenance money in the Plan New York. Look, I think we could always say we wish it could be bigger, but for now this is an exciting new program, and we are just putting together the details. And I think it's something we'll want to talk to a lot of Council Members about, about how we allocate the funds. type of, you know, what type of an allocation would make sense. We obviously want to spread it as far as we can and help, you know, communities in need use these fund for--for cleaning the plazas, for security for capacity building for community groups. For all the things that, you know, make the plazas so special.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right.

Well, you know, programming is always an important point, and sometimes when you look at the cultures and organizations or non-profit organizations to partner with you in those conversations. But DOT has

2.2

been very thoughtful in that way in the plazas in my area, and I'm sure in areas across the city. So, continue that. It's nice to hear that you're actually going to put some money behind it, which is very supportive.

article some—some people don't necessarily like them in Brooklyn. We love them in Corona on Roosevelt Avenue. We need the bright light. Are you looking to mitigate the lighting issue that has been expressed, but understanding that there are neighborhoods and areas that require the additional lighting?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes, and--and your colleague Council Member Lander said that it was particularly--

[interposing] I'm sure. He's on queue. I'm sure he
will--[sic]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --in
Windsor Terrace that we had some very unhappy--I
think one thing we determined is on some of the very
narrowest streets the light seemed very bright. And
we've actually come up with a fix of kind of a shield
device, and then there is an ability to lower the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 wattage somewhat but still keep to our lighting standards. So we are--again, we're going to go 3 4 neighborhood by neighborhood, but some neighborhoods have loved it. Some have not. So where people are 5 6 unhappy, we're-- And I think in the case of Council 7 Member Lander's--people--so many people that were 8 unhappy there, we fixed the light. We have one of the constituents go lie on her bed in hear apartment 9 and look out the window, and she said it was okay. 10 So--11

## CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] All right.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --that's how tailored our solutions will be.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Well,
thank you for that, but understand--I just want to
make sure that, you know, we consider everyone and,
of course, this is a perfect example. He's in
Brooklyn. I'm in Queens. We need the lighting. We
need it that bright, and in--in this other case
that's not so. So I'm glad that you're resolving it.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: So we will--we will get and I will just-just to remind council members, the reason we did this is tremendous

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

energy savings, and real saving in the city's budget.

So we--we want to make sure we get the lighting in a way that makes people happy, but the program we're very proud of it, and it's going to save the city a lot of money.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right.

It's like a dimmer switch maybe, right. Okay. And it's \$4.2 million. Can you walk me through the Conversion Program. So you're in Brooklyn and Queens. Where else can the members--what's the roll out of the--of the LED lighting conversions?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, we are——I think we are in the process right now of having that discussions and looking for where we're going map out in other boroughs, and——and stay tuned. I think that's something we're going to be rolling out in the coming months.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay, well if you can engage with members through that process, we would appreciate it and also to prepare our constituents. And maybe if we see ahead of time that there's going to be issues like that of Council Member Lander, they can get ahead of it.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

avenue.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: well, again, now I think we've learned in yes Brooklyn, that part of Brooklyn you have some very narrow streets. I think we've learned when the street is very narrow, the -- the lights can seem potentially much brighter than on a -- on a wider

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Right. So I have a question on the Cantiliever, and then I'm going to give it to Chair Rodriguez. We were trying to figure out where the Cantilever was and understand. And we agree that the Cantilever probably needs this \$1.7 billion. However, you know, when we're doing the Koscuszko Bridge, which has created about 30-minute add-on to my travel here to City Hall, to do that same type of construction, maybe a mile away or maybe two miles--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] Probably about a mile and a half.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: -- of the Cantilever, how do you do this huge immense capital project with the least bit of interruption in traffic?

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
2 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

good question and I will just take a minute because it's--it's a fair point that for some people you may not even sort of have been able to wrap your mind around the project. It is the --it is the stretch of the Brooklyn-Queens Expressway, which basically runs past Cobble Hill and Brooklyn Heights and Downtown Brooklyn. It snakes around the Brooklyn Bridge and the Manhattan Bridge. For DOT it is actually 21 separate bridge structures. It carries around 190,000 vehicles a day including many of the trucks that service Brooklyn, Queens, the airport. the only interstate route through Brooklyn. And it was built, you know, in the Robert Moses era to very weird and substandard designs. And it's going to be a very challenging project for us, but we're very grateful that we have the funds in the budget to get it underway. And we do recognize we're going to need to coordinate with that State and their work on the Kosciuszko Bridge. But we're just in the early stages now of thinking about what the design will be. How we will handle the traffic impacts and it's quite complicated. But the Triple Cantilever Structure is each roadway is stacked on top of the other.

2.2

we're looking at ways that we could potentially build temporary structures to divert the traffic, but we're--we're just in the early stages, and we hear you. We recognize--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] It sounds like construction
nightmares.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: It's-it's going to be a challenging project, but I think
we need--we've decided it's something we need to get
started on. It's 70 years old and had not actually
had serious rehabilitation work done on it ever.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: And it's arguably certainly in the top five of the most important arteries through the city.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Well, we look forward to engaging with you as you work with figuring out how you mitigate the traffic through this process with the Brooklyn Delegation. But in reality, it really is a citywide issue because we're all going to be impacted by that. Chair Rodriguez and then we'll have that followed by Council Member Greenfield.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Chair. Commissioner, I have a question related to pothole Vision Zero, but I would like for your team to start looking for information about CEMUSA, who is going to be in my third round of questions, and I have a few questions of what I hope to get some answers about how CEMUSA has been doing business with the city, areas where CEMUSA has failed and what is DOT doing to correct any area of how they should be doing better. That's my third round, but I wanted for your team to know that I expect a good answer around CEMUSA, but first I want to start it with Vision Zero and potholes. First of all, thank you for being a partner when it comes to improved transportation in our city and working together to make transportation safer and more efficient. To be a voice at the state and also as someone that is number one in the transportation nationwide leadership. You are very important for us at the city level. How much--how much money have we been investing on education for Vision Zero. And as you know, this is like one of the areas where I expected to see some new money added. Therefore, I would like to get some answers.

2 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: 3 -I know that this is an area of concern for the Council, and as you know, we've spent I think--yes, 4 we spent \$4 million so far on our Vision Zero 5 basically our advertising campaign. And I'm hoping 6 7 a lot of you have seen the ads particularly I think the ones we're running this summer are very powerful. 8 I think the campaign is called Choices, and there's 9 one of a little boy on a bike and then there's one of 10 a man carrying his groceries. But I think we're 11 12 also, you know, per discussions with our City Hall wanting to evaluate this summer as this campaign 13 wraps up, what kind of an effect we've had. And then 14 15 I think going forward a discussion of how many--you 16 know, what type of resources we should put in the future. I know they'll also be interested in hearing 17 18 the Council's view on it. But I think so far we've gotten at least sort of anecdotally I feel like we've 19 broken through and made a lot of--gotten a lot of 20 public awareness out of the campaign. 21

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thanks and how much--how much should we be expecting to spend on education for the next fiscal year?

2.2

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Well, I

think we have--it's budgeted at \$330,000 for FY16,

but again I think somewhat pending taking an

5 assessment of the funds we've spent so far.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I--I--I just--I just think that we need to--at the beginning, we talked about the \$5 million that we talked about in education. It was educational and buying air on the TV and the radio, and run the same type of campaign as we did on the anti-smoking campaign. As you know, buying air right or ad in newspapers is too expensive. And I think that one particular good element that we saw in the anti-smoking campaign is that people were educated. And as someone very committed to working with the administration and Families for Safer Streets, Transportation Alternatives and others, I think that half a million dollars is nothing if we want to really bring the message, and try to change the culture on how drivers, cyclists and pedestrians interact.

So, you know, like we've been a little bit going around in this particular case and I would like to see real dollars on the education. And I just hope as the conversation continues that we

2.2

should be able to put more than \$5 million in a real educational campaign. I think that some of those—some of those dollars that are—are allocated so far for Vision Zero are money that we should be able to cover in the capital expense. And we should release this money, and have a plan to invest at least \$5—\$5 million or more on act, educating of cyclists of pedestrians, our drivers. I think that the radio, TV and newspaper campaign make a big difference. People should be educated, and I just hope that, you know, that we can continue. But for me, even a million or two million dollars is nothing. It would not have the impact that I believe we should be able to have to take Vision Zero to a higher—to a higher level. [coughing]

On potholes, since we have a tough winter, how--what is the experience that DOT have-the DOT have to deal with a larger number of potholes. How many have done--have been done so far? What is the comparison to those--how many we had last year. How many was DOT able to do? How are we doing with that?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I've got--I've got my pothole numbers here and I--I cannot

tell a lie. It has been a tough season for potholes. Citywide last year you may remember by the end of last year we had--we were up to 462,000 potholes. far, in calendar year 2015, we're already up to 326,000 potholes, So we are filling them at a fast and furious rate, but I'm happy also that we are now getting into the resurfacing season. I have to say once again with the new resources that we have in this budget to add over the next two years an additional 500 miles of resurfacing, I'm really hoping that that is going to make the streets in better condition overall. And to some degree reduce the need to keep filling potholes. That's part of the goal here of the--of the \$242 million that I now have in the budget for additional resurfacing.

another topic about on how the city will be hurt if we lose the amount of money that we projected to receive from the federal government? And what is the expectation we have to--to see the support in D.C. for a not only New York State by a state for all the nations to be able to continue receiving the federal dollars that we need?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: It's a-it's a good question, Mr. Chairman and--and those of us who follow what's happening down in Washington know our -- the -- the current Federal Transportation Authorization that funds roads and bridges and transit was set to expire just at the end of the There was a lot of talk about whether they could come up with a bigger solution that would involve reforms and other things to come up with a newer source of revenue. They were basically unable to do so, and they have just punted and extended the program just for two more months. So we're in a climate of treat uncertainly right now, and it definitely is a challenge for the city and the state and the city and states all over the country as we're looking at our big capital plans to make assumptions about what kind of federal revenue we're going to say. I can't say right now that I'm optimistic in the next couple of years that we're going to see, you know, any really increases. And that's--that's certainly a challenge for the city.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: What about on-Now, let me get into CEMUSA. DOT proposed citywide
savings to include \$1.7 million in Fiscal 2015 from

damages as against CEMUSA for their failure to comply with contractual maintenance requirements. Can you provide us with something specific as the nature of the requirements that CEMUSA did not comply with.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I'm actually going to turn that one over to Deputy Commissioner Jarrin who has been very involved in all this the CEMUSA work.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Mr. Chair, to answer your question, the--the--that \$1.7 million is--is basically 77 shelters that CEMUSA was required to install by last year that they--they failed to do so under their contract. And their contract provision had it that they--they would be charged a certain amount per--per week--\$200 per week where a shelter was not installed. So we were able to recoup those funds from them, and that's what that reflects in the budget now with interest actually. So that they basically followed what the contract requires, which is that if they failed to install the shelter they would provide the funding to make up for that.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How many shelters—those shelters that they did not clean?

2.2

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: There were 77.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 77?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: In which borough did they fail to? Can you provide the breakdown of which borough?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Sure, we'd have to get you the breakdown. Sure.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But based on your information, which borough was the one hurt the most by CEMUSA not cleaning.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: I-unfortunately, I don't have the borough breakdown
with me right now, but we can get that to you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. To your knowledge, has CEMUSA taken a step to ensure future compliance with all their contract requirements?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yes, I--I think that at this point they've--they've been very cooperative on--on the next steps on their contract. We see no reason to expect otherwise.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And do you have a measure in place to verify that they are complying

with all of the requirements so that we don't have to and wait for, you know, for them not to be fine or being forced for them to give the money--provide the money back to avoid a similar situation to happen in the future?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Absolutely.

Our Legal Division works closely with CEMUSA, and

given what's happened over the last couple of years,

I think they've engaged much more frequently on

compliance, and I know CEMUSA is very interested in

not having this happen again.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good. What is the total anticipated revenue from CEMUSA contracted in Fiscal 2016 and how much is project in 2015?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Right, he's digging up and he's--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yeah, I have it right here. The fee for CEMUSA revenue projection for--well, our Fiscal 15 plan has \$51.3 million in the target for CEMUSA revenue and Fiscal 16 it's \$51.1 million.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Does DOT have any staff position that is dedicated-dedicated

2.2

2.2

2 to monitoring and ensuring the city interest in 3 regard to the CEMUSA contract?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yes, we do.

We have the Street Furniture Franchises Unit that

dedicates themselves to monitoring CEMUSA. It's--I

want to say it's about ten people or so, but I can

get you the exact number.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. What--what is the percentage of space for advertising that CEMUSA by contract had to provide to the city?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: You mean, the-the advertising that goes to New York and Company?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, on buses and bus shelters. You know there's a percentage that they have--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Oh, oh, you mean--I think--I think they give us--it's 25 or it's 50 bus shelters and it can be used for New York City public--I believe it's public service related advertisements.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: I'll just add right now we're dedicating all of that public service space to Vision Zero advertising.

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 172 2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But as far as I know--I don't have the exact figure right now in 3 front of me right now, but I think that there's a 4 specific -- a specific percentage of space in buses and 5 bus shelters that CEMUSA has to provide to the city. 6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: I think that 8 percentage is what give the 50. I will have to calculate what that percentage is. We can get back 9 to you on that specific percent, but it's 50 shelters 10 is what that results in. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okav. Yeah, 50 bus shelters out of how many? 13 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Out of--15 [pause, background nose] 16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: We'll, get you the exact number, Chair. We'll-we'll--17 18 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] I just think Commissioner that you did a great job 19 20 fixing Bike New York, and I believe that CEMUSA, you 21

know, the sprint that we have every year on the number of phone calls from many of my colleagues at the Council, they are not keeping the bus shelters clean. And, also I would like to, you know, look at what is the percentage. I'm almost sure that it's

2.2

23

24

how much more can we do?

more than 10% of the space or advertising that CEMUSA has, that by contract they have to provide it to the City. I would like to know how much--I mean for later if you can give me that information--what is the accurate percentage? How the city has been using those percentages, has been using that capacity, or

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I
I think what--let us come back to you, Mr. Chairman with more details on that. It's actually--it's a good analogy because just like we found with the old Citi Bike company Alta [sp?] they weren't doing a good job and they were losing money. I think CEMUSA is in a little bit of the same situation. It's--it's not clear that they're doing very well economically with this contract. So let us come back with some of the details. Maybe we can even give you a briefing on it.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Commissioner. We will hear from Council Member
Greenfield followed by Council Member Van Bramer
followed by Council Member Rose.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 174 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you and good afternoon, Commissioner. How are you today? 3 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: 4 5 well, thanks. COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Wonderful. 6 7 It's good to see Jeff back in Manhattan where he 8 belongs. Welcome back, Jeff. FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic] He wasn't 9 10 happy living in Queens. [laughter] COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well, as a 11 12 Brooklynite it's good to see Jeff back in Manhattan where he belongs. 13 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: He's 14 15 back to the five boroughs. That's where we need him. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: There you go. Absolutely. So, you know I'm--I'm a big fan of 17 18

Absolutely. So, you know I'm--I'm a big fan of
Vision Zero, an early proponent and wrote the
legislation on 25 miles an hour. A big fan of your
work. I routinely say that you're one of the best
and most competent commissioners in New York City.

Despite that, even wonderful people make mistakes
from time to time. And myself, my colleagues in the
City Council, my local community boards, my

neighbors, the people who are call me, emailing,

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Tweeting me are all convinced that you have made a mistake in lowering the speed limit on Ocean Parkway from 30 miles an hour to 25 miles an hour. And the reason is that it seems like a few things went unaccounted for. First of all, it doesn't seem like the lights were timed to deal with the new traffic timing. So we have a major backup in traffic going all the way down now to Prospect Expressway close to the BQE, and that famous Cantilever that you speak of. Also, what's happening is that traffic now, you know, there's a very popular application called Waze. Waze is rerouting folks off of Ocean Parkway onto residential streets. So now folks who live on quiet residential streets where their kids are playing and running they're getting thousands of cars a day. They're now coming through those streets, which have the advantage of having none of your speed cameras. And finally, part of the issue that we've had over here with 25 miles an hour is that was--there was no notice. There weren't any signage that went up and said hey, we're lowering the speed limit. And so, the first day--literally I saw this myself--the first day that there was a 25 mile an hour signage there were individuals who were getting pulled over for

exceeding the speed limit that they didn't even know had been reduced until hours earlier. What say you, Commish?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Thank

you for that intro and the question. And look, I--I-I--I will freely say that Ocean Parkway is a

challenging roadway because it serves two purposes.

It is definitely a throughway through the Borough of

Brooklyn. But it is also a very residential area, as

you know, with beautiful median areas where people

cross. People are riding bikes. Kids and seniors

are there, and I think--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]

I grew up two blocks away my whole my life. I'm very familiar.

-you know it. You know it very well, and one of the things when we this year released our Borough Pedestrian Safety Action Plans, we knew that the--we were going to find some things there in the data that would--that would challenge us. And we discovered about Ocean Parkway that it was the fourth most deadliest roadway in Brooklyn.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Why--why,

Commissioner? Why is it the fourth most deadliest

roadway? You determined the cause, and I don't mean

to be flip, because you know I'm very concerned about

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] I know that.

public safety.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: But as--as someone who's lived--lived there my entire life it doesn't appear to me that because people are going 30 or 40, it appears to me because it's dangerous to cross the roadway, which is something we're working on with the State. We're trying to work on things like pedestrian eye lines--islands. I'm sorry. Pedestrian islands, and the other thing that appears to me is that there are cars at very high rates of speeds that are getting into accidents that are killing people. And the law abiding citizens who are just trying to get to where they have to go, are forced to slow down when they're, in fact, not the threat. So can you pinpoint to me that the reason that people are getting injured and killed on Ocean Parkway, which believe me I'm as concerned about as you are, is because of that five miles per hour?

2	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: No, I
3	can't say that it's the five miles per hour. I can
4	say on Ocean Parkway and actually many of the streets
5	around the city speeding is frequently a contributing
6	factor for the obvious reason. Which is all other
7	things being equal, a child runs into the street.
8	You the driver have a moment of inattentiveness,
9	whatever it might be. You're coming around a turn,
10	and you don't have good visibility. If you're going
11	at a slower speed even if there is a collision
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]
13	We agree.
14	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:less
15	likely for there to be a fatality. And just again to
16	go over the statistics. So in the five years
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]
18	II apologize
19	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:on
20	Ocean
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Commissioner,
22	I apologize. I'm just on a clock. I concede to you
23	the statistics that it is important.
24	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: The question I still come back to is do we know that that is tied to the speed? Because Ocean Parkway is not a typical street as we point out. It's effectively a highway, and residential neighborhood, and so crossing is a concern. And also there's a question of how much enforcement there has been on the higher speed cars, and I would venture to say there hasn't been a lot of enforcement and to me I think is the critical part. But the most important part of my question is did you guys consider the implications of putting now thousands of new cars on side streets, which were never intended or equipped to have these cars because these cars are either being rerouted by Waze, which I was--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: -[interposing] Well--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: --on several occasions or people are logically saying, I can't get down Ocean Parkway because it's too slow, and I'm going to take one of those side streets.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: It's interesting because after your call we actually had our engineering folks go out, and we have an ability

2 to look at the speed data on roadways. We look at the profile all day long. I also had them go out and 3 4 drive it. I also had them go out and look at Waze 5 where Waze was routing them. I have to say we 6 didn't--we didn't find that it really totally bore up 7 what you were saying. But that said, we're going to 8 keep looking at the roadway. One thing is, too, it's only been a couple of weeks. You have to let it 9 10 settle a little bit. But just I would say in general this is--you know, people will say when you lower the 11 12 speed limit from, you know, 30 to 25 that it's slowing them down during rush hour. But during rush 13 14 hour in Brooklyn, the average speed is like 14 miles 15 per hour. So, what--what generally slows you down on 16 New York City streets [bell] as opposed to limited access highways is the volume of traffic and the 17 18 stop--and the stop lights. Those are actually your limiting factors. You can't usually go for super 19 20 long stretches in New York City on a street that signalized at any speed. But we--we're going to 21 2.2 continue to monitor the street and work with you. 23 I'm hoping that the dust will settle and people will get used to the traffic pattern, and it will be a 24

2 safer roadway. But we'll continue to talk to you 3 about it, and see how it works.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: My final point is I'm still very concerned about the overflow of traffic that has been coming onto residential streets. We've heard from people who live there. This isn't--this isn't just me, although I've seen it myself, and I have been redirected by Waze a lot more since the speed limit has changed, since before. But we have heard from constituents who are calling us and saying that they're very concerned that suddenly there's a lot of traffic coming down their quiet residential streets. So while we may lower the accident rate on Ocean Parkway, I'm going to raise it on these side streets. The so-called butterfly effect, and what that will do for the safety of those neighborhoods. So we'd ask you to continue to take a close look at it--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] We--as I say--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: --as we are.

absolutely will. I had my engineers look at the speeds. We've been driving it. We've been looking

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --we

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

3 [interposing] No more than any other member.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Good answer.

Let's talk about Bike Share. It's coming to lots of different neighborhoods in the City of New York this summer. Do you have a date? Do you have an approximation? Are we able to finally talk about the expansion of Bike Share?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: T ' m going to say this summer. I don't have a final date yet, and I'll tell you why, Council Member Van Bramer, and thank you. You've been such a big supporter on the program. We've been working very closely with Motivate, and I think we've had a good summer, but there's been a lot of work to do. Any of you who have gotten to talk to Jay Walder, he came in and freely admitted that when he took over and really, you know, took the lid off the operation, he found a lot of problems. And they have been hard at work refurbishing every bike. Now, they're going and reprogramming and fixing every docking station. have brought in bike engineers, and IT experts both people that Jay had worked with at the MTA and other parts of Motivate's operation around the country. So

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 184
2	I've been pressing him as you have. I want to know
3	dates, but his answer to me is I want to make sure
4	before we expand I've got the system really working.
5	They've made a ton of progress, and we will get there
6	this summer, and obviously, we will be working
7	closely with the elected officials when we can do an
8	announcement. But, I don't have a final date year
9	because again, they're wanting to make sure that
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: [interposing]
11	So Jay will make the final call on the exact date?
12	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah.
13	Well, I mean Jay will let us know when he thinks
14	they're absolutely ready. Then obviously we'll
15	we'll talk to our partners about when webasically,
16	we're going to go public with that
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: [interposing]
18	Right.
19	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:but
20	heYou know, I've been trying to pin him down on a
21	date, and he says I don't want to give you a date yet
22	because I want the system toBefore we expand, I
23	want the existing system to be operating pretty

flawlessly.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Sure. ends September 21st. Obviously, the sooner we can do this, the more people will be able to enjoy it---

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] I totally agree.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: --in the To our arterial slow zones we were able to summer. make that announcement on Northern Boulevard in my district with you. Thank you for that. I was wondering about the progress on your arterial slow zones on Northern Boulevard and Oueens Boulevard obviously incredibly important to people in my district. But are you seeing success? What--what are you numbers telling you just yet?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: and I mean to some degree I'm--I'm happy to report and although, you know, not--not without its bumps. Certainly Ocean Parkway is one of the areas we're still struggling with a little bit. But to some degree the speed limit change that we got up in Albany really helped us, you know, basically leap even beyond the arterial slow zones. And we have been, as you know, installing signs all over the We're going to have by the end of the year

2.2

3,000 new signs up with the new speed limit on the arterial slow zones and in other places around the city targeting particularly high crash areas. But, you know, we're also working through some of the growing pains. It is a big change in some of these communities to lower the speed limit, and we're trying to do it thoughtfully again really based on the crash data that we've analyzed. 

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Are you seeing success on Northern and Queens Boulevard? Is there any data to indicate it?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I mean,

I--I can tell you--this is what I can tell you. In

places where we have cameras, we've seen that

summonses drop off pretty dramatically, which is a

proxy for a reduction in speeding. But, I think

we're going to use a couple of years of data, two or

three to really see what kind of effect it's going to

have citywide. And I think it's something people are

still learning about, and adjusting to. And it is I

guess a piece of our culture change, and it's going

to take some time.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Well, I'd like to follow up with you on some more specific data

2.2

as it relates to Northern and Queens Boulevards. But moving along, the city obviously has a lot of plans to rezone, and up zone certain neighborhoods.

They're said they're working on a multi-agency platform to make sure that the needs of the constituents are met. My district, Long Island City is--has got a significant proposed rezoning not to mention another idea that's been floated about Sunset Yards. Is your agency being engaged by the Department of City Planning in terms of what the transportation needs will be for Long Island City? And meaningfully making sure that folks can get to and from work?

we really are, and I'm happy to say that I think for DOT and City Planning with--with--with, you know, Chairman Weisbrod, we've really tried to forge a fresh approach and a fresh relationship. Which is rather than wait for what was basically the tail end of the process when we would be looking at the EIS and we would be getting very into the weeds, we're engaging much earlier. They've been very good at sharing information, including us at the outset. Discussing the bigger picture for a Long Island City

2.2

or a Flushing [bell] and will ask what's going to be needed big picture in terms of transportation and investments. Including also sometimes also working with the MTA for that discussion. So I think we're—we're really trying to get to smarter, more holistic planning as these—as these neighborhoods get up—zoned.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Well, the MTA is coming up a little bit later, and I anxiously await that discussion, but I just want to reiterate, and I'm sure a lot of my colleagues agree, it's--it really is a pleasure to work with. And, you are truly exceptional, and your new Queens Commissioner Nicole Garcia has hit the ground running as well.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Oh, good. Glad to hear that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member Van Bramer. We have Council
Member Rose followed by Council Member Reynoso
followed by Council Member Miller. I just wanted to
note that we're about an hour behind. So if you
don't have to use your whole five minutes, you don't
have to. All right. Thank you.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you, Madam

Chair, and I--I only have one question. But I first

want to say I wholeheartedly agree with Council

Member Greenfield and Van Bramer about the job that

you're doing, and it's really been a pleasure working

with you.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Thank you.

you a hard time. And I--I have my favorite subject to ask you a question about, which is trains. No, the ferry. [laughs] And the Executive Budget included \$311.8 million for reconstruction and replacement of ferries of which \$210 million is for ferry boat replacement on the Staten Island ferry. So do you have a timeline when the contracts will be let, or when the new boats will begin passenger service? And have you determined how many votes that funding will provide?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes, and first of all, I want to say again how pleased we are that we have the funding as the Council directed for 24/7 half-hour service. I know that's--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

timeline?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --such a priority of yours. And, you know, we look forward to working with you all to get a--hopefully we're going to serve a lot of customers with that service. are proceeding now with the planning part of the contracts for the new ferry boats. And I--I will just note it is not entirely resolved whether we're going to be going out for three or at first two with an option for the third. We're still in some discussions about that. You know, look the -- the -- I have to say I--I think with, you know, OMB and the Mayor treated DOT very well in the Capital Budget and helped fund a lot of our priorities. But, you know, they--they've had to balance a lot of competing priorities with other agencies. So, we're still working out how that's going to work, but--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Well, the timeline, yes, I've got the timeline right here. Okay, we're looking by June of 2016 to have the bid. So right now again we're working through what the

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Do we have a

procurement is going to look like. We're also
actually trying to engage with shipyards around the
country so that we can walk them through the New York
City biddingbidding process, which can be
intimidating and daunting and hopefully get We
really want to make sure we get a lot of shipyards
bidding because we're thinking that way we'll get the
most competitive prices and hopefully the best
quality for the boats. And then, you know, we're
looking. The bids are opening June of 2016. If all
goes well, the boats will be in service early 2019,
which seems like a long time. But, if, you know, as
you know well when you see those boats they're
enormous boats. And, it's very important to have a
safe design, to have all the modern features, to meet
all the Coast Guard requirements. So this isfor
DOT this is a big procurement, but we're very excited
about.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And so by June 2016, when you put the bid in you will have determined the number of boats that will be--

23 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] Yeah, we'll--we'll have determined it

2.2

before then I believe, but it's still a bit of an
ongoing discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: All right. Can we have an offline conversation about that?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes, I'd be happy to.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you Council Member Rose and I duly note that you only took three minutes. Council Member Reynoso followed by Council Member Miller followed by Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, and I will, and I will. I just want to say thank you for everything you do for my community when it comes to transportation given the increase in population and the lack of infrastructure that we currently have, you have to do a lot more with a lot less in this instance. But I do want to talk about resurfacing, and specifically corridors that enter bridges like the Williamsburg Bridge. I'm also talking about the Meeker/Morgan entrance onto the BQE onto Kosciuszko Bridge, which is also doing-- It seems like you're shying away from resurfing--resurfacing those

2.2

immediately I guess because they're highly used corridors. They're pretty--they're the worst ones in the community. And how--how do we play so that can get done responsibly and not I guess for traffic?

I--I will readily concede those are some of the--some of the worst stretches that you see in the city's roadway network. Some of those we have to work with the state. Some of them are city-owned. Again, I'm happy now, you know, with the new resurfacing funds that we have in this budget. We'd like to engage will all of you, and obviously get input on where we think some key spots are. Bridge approaches are challenging because yes you have tremendous--you have tremendous traffic management challenges, but I--I agree that's certainly an area we should take a look at.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay. The Citi
Bike program just how--how comfortable are you that
its long-term sustainability is something that we can
be sure of? It's expanded to several communities.
It's not expanding further into my community, but I'm
so happy to be a supporter overall of the--of Citi
Bike. I just want to know if you guys feel

comfortable with this expansion that there's going to be enough money coming in to maintain it appropriately?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: mean I--I--the things I was saying to Council Member Van Bramer I mean I'm--I'm very pleased so far when I--I've been to visit out to visit Motivate's Office out in Sunset Park a couple times and really seen a lot of the work they're doing first hand, and talked to Jay Walder a lot. We're talking very regularly. I think they are doing a pretty thorough and careful job of trying to get the operation running. again, I think Jay uncovered a lot of things that surprised him, and--and not necessarily in a good way. And I think he's being thoughtful and careful. Again, not over-promising here's the date we're cutting the ribbon on the expanded service in Queens until he really knows he can deliver. But that said, you know, we're--we're going to have to have to watch this play out over time. They're a contractor to the City. You know, they--we've--we've allowed them to raise the rates, but we're not giving them city dollars.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: And it's going to be up to them to manage carefully, and—and hopefully come up with a business model that's going to prove—that's going to give us a great operation, and—and enable them to turn something of a profit.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Great. Now, I want to ask a question and the Women's [sic] Caucus has several priorities that they're pushing and one of them is the Citywide Bus Rapid Transit, and seeing that move forward and where do you--where do you think we can be, or where we are and what you envision the future of that looking like.

many of you know, actually when the Mayor ran, it was in his platform to expand over the course of his first term to 20 Select Bus service routes. We are up to seven. The last one we did was 125th Street over to Queens. We are currently working on four additional routes, 86th Street in Manhattan; Utica Avenue in Brooklyn; Flushing-Jamaica in Queens; and the one that is our biggest and most ambitious the Woodhaven Cross Bay Boulevard BRT also in Queens. If

2 any of you have seen, we have a Bus Rapid Transit Phase 2 Report, which lists a bunch of different 3 routes that we could potentially consider. We are 4 5 going to start coming this fall with the more 6 detailed planning for what is going to be our next 7 set of routes. And I'm happy to say in my budget I 8 have the funding I need. We have to partner with the MTA and they've been a good partner, and you'll have 9 10 them here, and you can talk to them about it. they also need to come to the table, and we do face a 11 12 couple of challenges. One is that at the moment another issue up in Albany with cameras. Our bus 13 14 lane ability to enforce with cameras in bus lanes is 15 set to expire at the end of September. We need to 16 renew that legislation. When we were up in Albany last week it's another thing we talked to the City's 17 18 Delegation about. And we're--we're going to also continue to work closely with communities to plan the 19 20 next set of routes. I know people today may want to know which ones are we going to do next. I don't 21 2.2 want to answer that question yet, because that's 23 going to be an iterative process that's going to 24 involve all of you and the community board and local 25 communities. But if you look at our list, you can

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 see different routes in actually all of--not on

3 Staten Island, but in the other four boroughs

4 potential next routes, cross town routes in

5 Manhattan, East/West routes in Brooklyn and Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Especially in
Queens. I just want to see in the outer boroughs
just focusing on that.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: But thank you for--for your time, and continue the good work expanding bike lane infrastructure. Thank you very much. Nine seconds. Back to you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: That kind of doesn't count. Council Member Miller followed by Council Member Chin followed by Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Madam
Chair, Co-Chair and good afternoon, Commissioner and
Team Trottenberg. I appreciate all the work that you
do, and I want to say that I was going to start by
Chair Rodriguez so eloquently articulated to me for
education, which lends itself to less legislation and
ultimately our city--all of our cities that--citizens
that share the road can do so safely. So with that

2.2

role will be?

being said, I--I would like to see more money, more

funding for education. In Southeast Queens, DEP has

a pretty ambitious plan about \$1.2 billion in

infrastructure. Have you coordinated with DEP and

DDC around street re-pavement in--in whatever your

and—and certainly you—Council Member Miller you bring up something, which is a high priority for the Mayor and the Administration. We have been meeting pretty regularly with DEP and DDC to talk about how the work is going to unfold and the resources we're going to bring to bear, and actually I'm going to ask if Joe wants to elaborate a little bit. But we're very excited about this work. It's a high priority of the Mayor's and we know something very necessary for that community, which has suffered through so much flooding.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yes, we've already engaged with DEP and DDC on--on sharing map locations for our planned work in the--in the region in Southeast Queens, and DEP as they roll out their plan will be reacting very quickly on--on--on where we need to prioritize funding for the street surface

2.2

work that will match with their work. And it will be a process, and it will be going on for the next few capital plans. We'll be making adjustments in between contestants [sic] as their plans materialize further, but we look forward to working very closely with them and DDC.

noticed in my district but throughout the city a major problem with truck enforcement off-street parking during the evenings and particularly on the weekend. Is there any enforcement--additional investment in enforcement and equipment such as tow trucks and boots that would address this. And/or could we also address this by increasing the numbers of--the amount of fines because it appears just the cost of business there.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, that's a good question and I know the--the Council has previously had a hearing on this, and is going to pass a couple of pieces of legislation tasking DOT with taking a closer look at truck safety around the city and looking at the truck routes. One thing we're going to do is we've heard loud and clear we're going to coordinate more with the NYPD. Truck

2.2

enforcement has definitely been a challenge in the city. We acknowledge that. It's one of many things the NYPD is responsible for, but we're hearing loud and clear that it's an area we need to have a better focus on.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: And while we talk about parking and off-street parking, are we prepared to--I see some funding for around zoning, and I know Community Board 12 we're going to lose some parking. How do we--how are you prepared to address that?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Parking

for?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: With the new zoning in Community Board 12. So off-street parking.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Oh, you mean build more parking lots?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I don't-I can't tell you that that's yet be on the list of
plans, but I also think, you know, as you know as
these re-zonings are taking place throughout the city
all the transportation needs and community concerns
are going to be part of the discussion. And I think,
you know, that again will be spearheaded up by--by,

2.2

you know, the City Planning Chair Carl Weisbrod, and you know, to some degree what we do will be--will be informed by the plans he--he puts together.

obviously we lost some of the required--local requirements around providing parking. So we want to make sure that we address that. So, you mentioned earlier about some of the plazas that we opened up with the Pedestrian Plaza and so forth. There was some--one to be built at Jamaica Avenue and Parsons Boulevard a few years back. It has yet to come to fruition. I know that my office through our capital funds had allocated some resources for kiosks and lights that--back in 2015. And we want to know where we are with that project.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I
-I think and my understanding is that is one actually
that is being overseen by EDC not by DOT. Is that-is that correct? So, happy to check in with them and
see where things stand and, you know, see if we can
be of any assistance on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, and finally and, you know, you can answer this offline.

Obviously, you know I have a great deal of concern

2.2

around the Jamaica to Flushing Bus Rapid Transit, and
the communication of the lack thereof with the
community. So, I'd just like to know when, and I
know you did a recent Flushing [bell] town hall.

6 When can we get together about Jamaica?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: And I think we're --we're scheduled to sit down, and that's tops on the list of things we want to talk to you about and get your input on.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member. We will now hear from Council
Member Chin followed by Council Member Lander
followed by Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Madam
Chair. Thank you to Chair Rodriguez. I have three
questions. So the first one is that Council has
passed legislation about installing speed humps near
the schools. So the progress on that and how can we
add more schools onto the list, and whether you have
capital funds allocated for that. The street repair
resurfacing that's going on in my district, I have a
lot of historic district. And I have a lot of
cobblestone streets. So are there money in the
capital budget to start fixing up those cobble--

2.2

cobblestone streets, and really putting them back in good repair? Like in my district, for example,

North--North Moore Street, we only got a pave-over,
but we really need to get it reconstructed. The

third question is about the Plaza Program. I didn't

see any capital dollars being put into that program,
and it's such a successful program. I think not just

Manhattan, but throughout the five boroughs. And

even in my district we go more suggestions for plaza.

So it's good that you have put money in for

maintenance, but I want to see if there's a

possibility of really expanding that program so that

we could put new requests in.

I'll try and take those questions in order, and I will say as a--as a New Yorker, I love the beautiful historic cobblestone streets. As the DOT

Commissioner, I don't love them quite so much. They are a huge challenge to maintain unfortunately, and particularly in Lower Manhattan. You know, we have a lot of challenges there with all the still post-911 work as well as post-Sandy work. We are--we are actually trying to--we were just meeting with the Federal Highway Administrator on Friday and pitching

him on potentially some more federal funds via the Sand Emergency Relief money to help do some work on the streets of Lower Manhattan. But also happy to sit down with you, and talk about, which of--you know, which streets are really high priorities for you and see what we can do. The cobblestone streets it's no question they are a challenge for us.

And your next--And I'm trying to remember your three questions. Next was, oh speed humps yes. You know, we're continuing always to take in requests for them. We do as many of them as resources allow in a given year. One thing this year as we do a lot of resurfacing, one challenge is when you resurface a roadway, you have to take the speed hump off. Do the resurfacing and then rebuild the speed hump. So again, if there are particular locations that are high priorities, you know, happy to take that in and see what we can do about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Are there progress in terms of there was a specific number that DOT had to put in from the legislation.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I think--I think we did. Yeah,

[background comments]

2.2

Oh,

2 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we've got the number here. We dif 400 last year and I think we're committed--I think that's what we're committed to and--that's what we're committed to annually through the Vision Zero--the Vision Zero Action Plan. And--and that for us is, you know, that's--speed humps are surprisingly a bit labor intensive. So it takes a bit of work to do them. On the -- on the plaza question, maybe Joe will dig up what--there is a capital number that enables us I think to do a couple more plazas each year. But then again for us, part of the reason we're very pleased about the maintenance money is it's prompting us to have the discussion we need to have. Transportation one thing we very famously do is we love to keep building and building and building. But at some point, we have to come up with a sustainable model, which is how many do we build, and how do we ensure that we have the resources in place to maintain them. And again, that's a particular challenge as you get into outer borough areas. So that's part of the discussion we're having now. have six--\$6 million a year to continue the program. But also again, we're going to start to put together

2.2

what we hope is a sustainable maintenance plan where
we'll have the number of plazas, and we'll have the
maintenance money we'll need to keep them in
beautiful condition all over the city.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you Council Member Chin. Council Member Lander followed by Council Member Rosenthal followed by Council Member Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Madam Chair, and Commissioner great to see, and I'll continue briefly on the plazas, which it's wonderful to see so much council member love it. I assume that that is being or will be worked out in partnership in some way and in dialogue with the Neighborhood Plazas Program or the Horticulture Society, who I know have really been working with a lot of us to try to develop that model.

## COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] Well, we--we will be talking to them, but one thing I want to be careful is, you know, we may have other partners, too. I don't want to prejudge exactly how that conversation will go. But obviously, they have been doing great work, and we'll be talking to them and all of you and all the wonder

2.2

plaza partners we have around the city. We're--we're excited for this new model and--and going to try and see all the great ideas about how we can do it most intelligently.

council Member Lander: So, what's there so far sort of a placeholder to figure out some resources. We know we need maintenance. We're going to talk to a lot of partners including the Council and existing partners, and develop a model for how to do it?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes, yes, exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Good. We look forward to being a part of it. Thank you. I'm also very pleased to see a lot of the things in this budget, the expansion of the street repair being the—the Great Streets Program. I'm very happy to hear, and I think it's nice to do in a way that's flexible by neighborhood. So, on those wide streets in neighborhoods that are really eager for more light that's great. On the narrow ones where people can't sleep at night, we've got the beginnings of a solution. And I will confess a lot of anxiety to the Triple Cantilever rebuilding. On the other hand, I

2.2

also acknowledge there's no choice. We--we have to do it. That's going to be a really very challenging infrastructure problem, and I think your commitment to work with us carefully. And some pieces of that are going to be citywide traffic management, and some pieces of that are going to be very local. And both Council Member Levin and I, I know in particular I know will look forward to your strong partnership in making sure you manage it thoughtfully.

Just a couple other quick questions.

First, I was glad to see some money in the budget from the Administration on bus clocks. As you know, council members have been pushing for that and in several cases through participatory budgeting and member items allocated some money for it. So great to see now that it's going to be something the Administration is thinking about as a system, which is a lot better than one-offs. Can you just say a little more about where that is, and you're imagining rolling it out?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, and—and I—I have to say there we are—we are—because we're trying now to make it a more systemized citywide approach, we are, we'll admit, working

2.2

through some of the technical issues. For example, the best of—now that we want to standardize this, what are the kind of poles we can use that fit in our standard maintenance protocols? And also one thing that has not been tackled previously, that we are trying to tackle now, they had not had an audio component, which is important for those that have—are visually impaired. So that we are trying to get the—the technology right on that as well. So, I—I think on that one we probably will want to come back to the Council and those members that have, you know, supported it financially and talked through where the issues are and what the time table looks like.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great. That would be super, and I mean obviously we want it to be a system that works--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --we can't really develop the technology ourselves, and there are some real challenges here as we saw when Council Member Levin put the--put an LCD display in his office and it got stolen. So, we, you know, we're mindful that it's go to work technologically. It's got to able to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

be kept there and not stolen. We want it to work,

and we definitely appreciate making it audible as

well for people who are visually impaired.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: We--we-that's something important that we need to do, and
we're, you know, again working through the technology
questions there.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Good, and then my last question then, you know, you may beg off it because it actually relates to Chair Gibson's Committee and the NYPD, but Council Member Gibson and I have been working very actively on school crossing guards. They are in the NYPD budget but, of course, we believe and are pushing them because we believe they're central achieving Vision Zero goals, and some of that is about money for more guards. Some that is about addressing job quality issues so the ones that we have don't--have jobs that they could stay in and keep them. We don't have so many vacancies, but some of that is working with building better partnership between the NYPD and DOT. So, we're really looking at what intersections need and merit them, and making sure we're keeping those intersections safe. So, I just want to ask if you've had a chance to look at

this at all, and if we can work with you together as we're--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:

[interposing] Yeah. No, and I--I--I--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --trying to do this.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: to recall being in a town hall meeting with you in which you were very eloquent on some of the challenges that you have in getting people to do the jobs, and the fact that you really want them to be people actually who generally live right in the neighborhood. Because they have to come in the morning, and then go away and come back again. We work very closely with NYPD as we do our work around the city, and we either ourselves see places where we think crossing guards would be important. Or, you know, as is more generally the case, we hear from elected officials. We hear from community boards. We just hear from regular residents. And, you know, we share all that information with NYPD. I think they--they are responsive when they can be, but there are certainly bigger issues there that it sounds

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 like, you know, [bell] between you and the NYPD we're going to have to tackle.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member Lander. Council Member Rosenthal
followed by Council Member Gibson and then Council
Member Constantinides.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much, Chairs. Great to see you Commissioner, as I want to ask three quick questions. I'm always. going to start with the crossing guards follow-up question. Would it be possible--also in my district we had an issue where we were asking for a crossing guard at a particular location for the full school year and never got one. And, you know, two months ago, of course, a kid was hit by a car. So, I was wondering if there were a way to prioritize those locations for improved street safety around signal timing, other things that your street engineers work magic on. We were trying to get that done, and it didn't happen this year, and--and that was really frustrating. So I was wondering if you would consider putting together a plan where you would

2.2

coordinate with NYPD given the lack of school
crossing guards to prioritize those corners?

no, that's an excellent question and something that I--I have thought about. I laugh a little bit. I live in Cobble Hill and I live right near P.S. 29 and the streets are incredibly narrow and very congested. And people don't usually get up much of a head of steam, and it has a very low crash rate. And we're fortunate to have many, many school crossing guards, and I've been to parts of the city where the roads are wide and scary and much more dangers and there are no school crossing guards. So, certainly even just anyone's observation around the city is they're not always exactly where--and I'm saying taking them away from P.S. 29, but I can certainly see--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Oh, no, no, no, so on neighborhoods--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: -certainly see neighborhoods where you want them.

Part of what we're doing now is again these borough
pedestrian safety action plans have given us—and we
worked together with NYPD on this—have given us
priority corridors and priority intersections around

2.2

the city where, you know, we plan to tackle enough of them in the next few yeas that they're the areas that may account for as much as 50% of the--

Yeah, I'm really--I apologize for cutting you off on this silly five-minute clock thing, and I have two other questions. But the--I understand the need to do it from a larger perspective. I think the situation that we're stuck in is sort of these immediate hot spots. And--and that's how I would like to tweak your thinking about it. Perhaps we could follow up on it another.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I mean when--when we hear of hot spots, we're usually happy to go to the NYPD and talk to them about it, but again--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
This didn't happen, this timeline.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I mean again, in the end that one is their fault.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

I know, but I'm not asking for another crossing

guard. I understand that problem. I'm asking NYPD

for that. What I'm asking you to do is tweak the

signal timing to help out at those corners given that for this period of time until we get a crossing guard, we know that that is a corner that isn't safe.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I mean,
you know, again, happy to take a look. You know,
when we change signal timing, we do need to do-COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
I get it.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --some study work. So, but, you know, again always happy to--

It's a unique situation at this point in time in history given that we have so many vacancies in school crossing guards. So I'm just wondering if there can be a collaboration between the agencies given the unique situation. Oh, I move on. You get it. The second thing I just wanted to ask is about Vision Zero education. Have you looked at the number of pedestrian crashes since you implemented the new education, the new street changes to see what the impacts are? Would you consider working with the a school of public health like an epidemiologist who

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 216
2	really understands this as a public health issue to
3	study the impact of the safety changes you've made.
4	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I think
5	that's a great idea. We have had some discussions
6	withColumbia has a center on pediatrics
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
8	Yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:I
10	think it's pediatric injuries and fatalities. We've
11	been in some discussion with them and with our own
12	Health Department here in the city. I do want to be
13	careful, though. I don't want to say that You
14	know, many of the things we're doing in Vision Zero,
15	we're still in the early months of rolling them out.
16	And I don't want to
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
18	Well, I guess
19	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:I
20	don't want to over correlate anything until
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
22	Sure.
23	COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG:we've

had a chance to look at the data.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] I mean I guess I'm just trying to continuously weave 3 4 into the discussion looking at this from a public 5 health perspective. I really haven't seen 6 coordination with the Department of Health in terms 7 of looking at this as a public health campaign. There are so many ways I think that [bell] that if 8 those dialogues were real and depthy, I think that 9 for example we were talking earlier about the number 10 of CEMUSA locations. Perhaps you could be using the 11 DOH CEMUSA locations for Vision Zero education. 12 just don't see a dialogue there that I'd like to see. 13

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member Rosenthal. Council Member Gibson
followed by Council Member Constantindies followed by
Council Member Menchaca.

Thank you so much for the extra minute, Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you, Madam

Chair and thank you Chair Rodriguez and good

afternoon Commissioner to you and your team. I'm

super, super proud of the work that we've been

collectively able to do. You have been so

responsive. I appreciate it, and because you've been

so responsive, I don't have a lot of questions.

2 Because I speak to your agency almost everyday. just wanted to ask just two very quick questions. 3 see in your testimony that we're looking at \$53 4 million of the reconstruction of the Macombs Dam 5 6 Bridge. I'm very excited about that. So I wanted to 7 know if you had a timeline on when that construction 8 would begin. Only because the Macombs Bridge is connected with Yankee Stadium. So on Yankee game 9 10 days that bridge is used heavily. So I just wanted to know a time frame, if you have one. 11

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Hang on. I think Joe has it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Council

Member, the--the scheduled right now has it that the

construction contract is actually already very far

along in the registration process.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: We're about to--we're maybe a month away from registering with the Controller's Office. We'll be able to start work this summer, and--and then the work is expected to be completed by December 2017. It's--it's two and a half years. [sic]

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, got it a 3 couple of years. Okay. And--

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: But I will say when we do a project like that, we're very careful about the traffic management plan and looking at like if there are Yankee games making sure that we COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Right.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --take that into consideration when we schedule the work.

with you at the Bronx Pedestrian Safety Plan
announcement, and I'm very happy that we're looking
at a lot of the major dangerous intersections in the
Bronx. And I wanted to ask about a timeline on the
capital work that we're doing for the Grand
Concourse. I represent most of it. We have a new
neighborhood slow zone. It's 25 miles per hour on
the Grand Concourse. So can you give me an update on
where we are with some of the work that will be done
on the Grand Concourse?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah.

Hang on. I think we actually have a detailed

schedule here. I'm hoping Joe can--

2.2

Great.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]

4 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: --can
5 find in his hundreds of pages of material.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Oh, sure. So I'm just move forward with my next question as you're looking.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Ask me your next one. He'll find it

we're looking to expand Select Bus Service. Have we done an assessment on the existing SBSs in terms of client feedback. I have one on Webster Avenue. I know there's also one on Fordham Road in the Bronx.

Do you know how it's been received by our commuters as well as many of our small businesses along these intersections? I know many of them were concerned. Webster Avenue in particular because we install muni meters, and many of the businesses were concerned about a disruption in their services from customers.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, we did a study that we put out last year that looked at particularly travel time savings, bus ridership, some anecdotal information. And I would say personally

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

particularly up in the Bronx I've gotten very good feedback on the feedback on the Select Bus Service routes. But, you know, if there are less so maybe in Staten Island for example, but if there are particular—You know, we—we—we also do continue to monitor the routes to make changes to curbside parking regulations and other things as needed. You know, loading zones or whatever might be of concern to merchants. So if you're hearing particular concerns, happy to take a look at those.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Oh, maybe Joe has the--Okay the Grand Concourse.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Okay. For-for Grand Concourse, just--it's actually two
different phases that were funded on the great
streets. What we call phase 3, which is from 168th
Street to 175th Street. That project is actually
being designed right now, and will be completed in
design by this time next year and for construction to
start in Fiscal 17. And the--the phase that follows
that, which is what a lot of that money that came in
January is going towards-- We're just starting design

2.2

2.2

on that right now, and that will be maybe a year after that schedule.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, and in this plan are we also looking at some of the countdown crosswalks? I have quite a bit on the Grand Concourse. They're very popular. Many residents love them. Are we looking to also incorporate that in the long-term plan? Because I know eventually the Grand Concourse will be reconstructed with some of the medians and dividers all the way up to Mosholu Parkway eventually, right. So we're gradually getting there.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: Yes, Phase 4 right now our hope is to get us as far north as we can with the money that's available. We may not make it up to Mosholu, but we'll try very hard to get as far north as we can. In the interim, I know the countdown clocks are being installed at every intersection that can take them. That is the program that includes that full strip there.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, great. And just as Council Member Van Bramer talked about the rezoning, I also have a zoning. It's called Jerome, Jerome Cromwell, whatever we want to call it, but I

want to make sure DOT is an active stakeholder in the conversations. I meet with City Planning twice a month, and I want to make sure that all of the agencies that are relevant are at the table. Because in my community, we have the reopening of the pedestrian Highbridge that's coming in that neighborhood and Highbridge we've done some streetscape work. [bell] We've enhanced opportunities for bicyclists. So, I want to make sure that you guys are a part of that conversation.

happy to say we have been in--you mentioned earlier our--our Borough President Commissioner Connie Moran has been attending many of the--the--the City Planning meetings on that. And again, if there--if there are questions or concerns you want to bring to us, please do. We're very pleased so far with our partnership with City Planning. We feel like we have a seat at the table, and we're going to get some good transportation planning in as we do these rezonings.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Great. Thank you very much, Commissioner and thank you Madam Chair and thank you Chair Rodriguez.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: you, Council Member Gibson. Council Member Constantinides followed by Council Member Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

you, Madam Chair and Chair Rodriguez. Commissioner, it's great to see you. I want to thank you again for all of your great work in Astoria and District 22 especially on 21st Street. We're very excited about seeing a lot of the things implemented there. Just a very--a few quick questions. One, when it comes to rezoning, we just had Astoria Cove. We have Hunts Point coming down the road very soon. We see a ferry coming to Astoria hopefully within the next two years. I'm hoping that DOT, just to reiterate my colleague's point, you know, with the new traffic patterns created by the ferry service that DOT is going to have a strong seat at the table to make sure that, you know, that there's going to be a ferry at a particular block. That there will be a crossing there where there isn't one now, right?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: certainly there's--there's no question that your district is about to get a lot of new activity, a lot of growth and, you know, that's going to have a big

been talking a lot to City Planning. We're also going to continue our discussions with EDC. But I would also again invited you if there are meetings or discussions you think we should be a part of and you want to make sure that we're having the right input, then please let us know. Because we--we're--we're thinking keenly about what's going to be happening with Astoria Cove and Hunts Point and the big new developments that are coming. It's going to definitely bring a lot of new transportation to the fore.

really exciting. That's part of what I wanted to talk to is also is the Department of Transportation—the Department of City Planning put out this Western Queens Transportation Study last year. And I don't know how much coordination there was, you know, with DOT.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Some.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: [laughs]

Would have loved to have more.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yes.

2.2

2.2

because there are a lot of things in that study whether it's light rail or whether it's SBS service. There's a lot of opportunity on 21st Street going beyond a lot of the great things that we're working on to better connect us with other parts of the city along the Tech Corridor. How do we go about sort of connecting DOT with a lot of those—those ideas?

Because a lot of people were excited, and then, you know, they hear about that these things may not be happening.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Again,

I--I think it's--it's really been a work in progress,

but you know, something we're continually getting

better at. You know, as part of this capital plan

again City--you know, City Planning really was the

convener of a lot of these discussions. And I think

it's been to their credit really thinking as we've

put out the Capital Plan what are we going to need in

terms of transportation infrastructure, water

infrastructure, schools, you name it. But again, you

all elected officials can also help make sure that

all those connections are being made.

COUNCIL MEMBER

1

2

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And then

3 the last question I have. I know I'm on the clock.

4 I don't want to go over. On the street repaving,

5 very excited about it. I think 21st Street, I know

6 | it's in procurement, but I know that we-- How is it

7 | sort of prioritized or how do we--because last year

8 we saw right at the, you know, right at the exit to

9 the Triborough Bridge got repaved, and White Avenue

10 | South. But White Avenue North, which is the entrance

11 | wasn't repayed, and 31st there wasn't done. 21st

12 | Street one block got done, but one block didn't get

13 done. How do we sort of prioritize with you to make

14 | sure that the streets that really need especially on

15 | those high trafficked areas get done in a timely way?

16 COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, we

17 | really want your input, and--and one thing I've

18 | learned, of course, the resurfacing you'd think it

19 | would be simple and straightforward. And, of course,

20 | like many things in New York it's super complicated.

21  $\parallel$  In some places we know that other work is going to be

22 done on the street, we try to do it in such a way as

23 | to maximize the efficiency of the crew so they're not

24 jumping all over the city. But, definitely within

the new lane miles that we now have we want to make

sure that we're hitting the key priorities in every borough. And part of that is hearing from you, and look, if there are places that we didn't do it right, tell us and we will--we will try and fix it. COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I mean definitely you guys, you know, definitely appreciate the work that you're doing and we look forward to working with Nicole and with all of you.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Good.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So thank

you.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Thank

14 you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Council Member Constantinides. Council Member Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you,

Chair, and I'm just going to echo all that sentiment.

I think there's been a lot of work in the last year,

and if it's bike lanes or ferry service, or the

street reconstruction or the potholes, the money for

the potholes, thank you on so many different levels.

I'm going to focus a little bit on that \$1.6 billion

particularly in the bridge work. And really what I'm

2.2

curious about if--if there's any kind of spelling out of work underneath the BQE and the Gowanus. That stretch from, you know, Council Member Cumbo's district to mine in Sunset Park. I'm thinking activating underneath the--the bridges and really thinking about the upland connectors to the waterfront. In Sunset Park in particular we're moving through some big development questions on the water side looking at reactivating our manufacturing. So that's people walking to work and then we have that new beautiful park, Bush Terminal Park. I hope that--that you visit.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: I have gotten to visit.

know how gorgeous it is, and—and more and more people are—are going to start moving through. We know that there's some studies in the works for that, but wanted to see if there's any kind of capital commitment that you're seeing in the budget that you can tell us about today.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, it's a great question, and it's a part of the city that actually I think we are very excited about and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there's a lot of potential. I did get to take a tour of Industry City and go see that beautiful park, which you pop into it and it's quite surprising. And it's interesting. I've actually also been talking to your State Senator, Senator Goldman. I've seen him up in Albany a couple of times and he, too, has a lot of great ideas about how we connect that waterfront, and what we do under the Gowanus. And I think we--I think--I've got a note here we have--we have a small amount of federal money to start doing some work around Industry City. But I do think it's probably something we need to sit down and have a more thoughtful plan. And definitely think it's going to take some bigger capital dollars than we have in the budget right now. Eventually, too, I think make that neighborhood--it really could be I think very, very spectacular. So let us--let us pledge to--to sit down with you and EDC and all the affected groups and do some deeper brainstorming on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great. And I know that we're working with UPROSE and your office on some of the connector questions already with some funding dedicated. But we're going to continue work with UPROSE and other organizations that can help us

2.2

do that. Switching over in relation actually in all that activity and the studies. There's some money for studies, and looking at good transportation planning. Is it—is there sufficient funding for the commercial and industrial truck activity that I know we're already seeing kind of ramp up both in Red Hook and Sunset Park. Do you feel citywide you have enough funding for that?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah, well I--I, and maybe Jeff will correct me if I'm wrong, I think we now have committed to a couple of new studies on looking at trucking routes and looking at their safety and looking at how tolling policy affects them.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JARRIN: [off mic]

And the New York City Freight Mobility Study. [sic]

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Right.

Oh, yes and then next year, right, we're doing the big--the New York City Freight Mobility Study. And I'll just tell you actually because it's of relevance in particular in your district. As we're staring our planning work on the BQE Triple Cantilever part of

that is actually going to be to take a pretty

comprehensive look at the traffic along the whole

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

corridor and particularly where the freight traffic is going. And determining how much of it is destined actually Brooklyn specific, and how much of it fans out to other parts of the city. So I think we're actually going to be doing a lot of work on that, and I think we have the funds in place for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I think in a lot of ways, getting-getting the questions right and the planning right on the--on the truck issues are going to be -- are going to be important. Both on the safety--on the street safety but pollution, and really looking for a multi-agency approach to this. And as all the rezonings have pointed out, the coordination is going to be really important. So I'm looking forward to continuing that. And then finally, on the education piece, I--my analysis anyway, my quick analysis is that dollars for the education piece under Vision Zero were a little bit lower than we anticipated, or wanted. One of the things I'm going to point to, and something--this is very important both to the Chair and myself and to members is getting education out into our immigrant communities. That's going to require a little bit more on the dollar for education. These are non-

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

English residents. And so, when I think about the people that are going to be using our subways, our trains, our streets, the bicycles not just for recreation but for jobs, I'm looking at our immigrant community. So how--how can you reconcile what I'm seeing as a huge need not just in my district, but citywide in that kind of education dollar?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: is a huge need in your district, which is an area that definitely has a high crash rate and obviously an enormous population of non-English speakers. again as I said, this is what we have so far in our budget. Part of it is we're trying to do an assessment now as we do this campaign this summer, [bell] but certainly I'm hearing loud from--loud and clear from council members today we think that number should be higher. And I think that's something I will, you know, take back to City Hall from today's hearing. And again, we're continuing to have that discussion about what's the right dollar amount, and how do we assess the value of the education campaign.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great. you so much for all your work, you and your team. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Than

you, Commissioner. Both committees want to follow up on the CEMUSA conversation that we were having, and on the Chair's education piece. So we're going to follow up. We have additional questions that we're not going to ask. We're going to follow up with a letter. If you can respond to them expeditiously, it would help me during budget negotiations.

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Yes?

COMMISSIONER POLLY TROTTENBERG: Thank

you all.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And thank you for coming to testify. We're going to take a two-minute break before we hear from MTA.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: We will now resume the City Council's hearing on the Mayor's Executive Budget for FY 2016. The Finance Committee is joined by the Committee on Transportation cochaired by my colleague Council Member Rodriguez. We just heard from the Department of Transportation, and we will now hear from Tom Prendergast, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Metropolitan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Transportation Authority. I the interest of time, I will forego making an opening statement, but before we hear testimony, I will open the mic to my Co-Chair Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon. The MTA' Calendar Year 2015 Adopted Operating Budget is balanced and included -- include approximately \$1 billion in city funds. However, I and many of my colleagues are especially concerned by the alarming gap that still remains in the MTA Proposed Capital Budget. The Authority's \$32 billion Proposed 2015-2019 Capital Program was vetoed by the State's Capital Program Review Board for not being fully funded. The gap that remains we estimate totals more than \$14 billion. We hope to hear from the MTA's resolution to this issue pointing out ways to fully fund the Capital Plan. This need could not be bigger. [sic] The MTA is the engine that keeps New York City's economy moving and sustained, and by extension the entire State of New York. We hope to hear from the MTA on the effort to close this gap. We will also hear from the MTA--from the Authority on all the matters of importance including how they will be--how will our--how will the trains be more safer

and run faster? How can we have cleaner train

stations, and updated on ongoing projects such as

Second Avenue Subway East Side Access and the No. 7

5 | Line Extension.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Chair Rodriguez. You may begin your testimony.

TOM PRENDERGAST: [off mic] Good afternoon Chair--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Just--Correct.

afternoon Chairs Rodriguez, Ferreras and all the members of the Council. I'm Tom Prendergast Chief Executive Officer to the MTA. I know you've asked us here to discuss the Mayor's 2015 Executive Budget. But I wanted to be here and needed to be here to sound the alarm, if you will, about a crisis facing the MTA and our city, the severely under-funded 2015 to '19 MTA Capital Program. Because just about everything you want to talk about today; safety, service, technology, train capacity and delays, countdown clocks, the Second Avenue Subway, you name, it, it all starts with the Capital Program. The Capital Program, as you know, is a series of five-

2.2

year investments beginning in 1982 that allows us to renew, enhance and expand our 5,000 square mile network. Over the past 30 years, we've invested some \$115 billion through the programs in all the vital transit infrastructure that keeps New York moving. These investments brought our system back from the brink of disaster in the early '80s, revitalized our region and enabled improvements that brought customers back to the system in droves.

For the clearest picture of our ridership growth, let me tell you what I've seen in my own career with my own eyes. In 1994, when I was Senior Vice President of New York City Subways, we carried 3-1/2 million customers a day. We ran advertising campaigns begging people to ride the subway. And now I'm fast forward two decades later, and in the last four months of last year, we had 29 days where we saw over 6 million people use the New York City Subway. Since 2006, we've added as many customers as the Washing--as Washington Metro carries every day. And since 1999, we've added as many customers as the Chicago Subway System 2, and we've done this all without adding stations or tracks or trains. Just hundreds of thousands of more customers. Record

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ridership is good news overall for the economy and for our city, but it also means the network is stretch almost to capacity. Subways are more crowded than ever, and commutes are more difficult. A minor delay on one subway at rush hour can have a massive ripple effect, as you know, leading to overcrowding of the platform, doors being held open at every station, and spiraling delays for every train that follows. If that happened on a regular basis, the impact would be severe for millions of our customers, their employers and for the region's economy. We can avoid this fate by funding the system that keeps New York moving--moving, but that is going to take a concerted effort from all three levels of government, federal, state and local. At the federal level, congress must address the need to fund transportation infrastructure, including public transit. At the State level, we are continuing to press for funding from Albany. And as soon as I leave here, I'm headed back to the State Capitol to continue meetings with the Legislature and the Governor's Office on how to fund the MTA Capital Program. The City has a role to play, too, which is why I'm here making this case to you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

New York City government supplies about 7% of our total operating funds and 2% of our capital funding. I believe the City can and should do more to support its transit network, and now is the time to stand up and do something. It's easy to ask for more service on a subway or bus route. It's easy to wait for someone else to act. It's harder to step and provide more funding, and I'm not just saying this to you. I'm saying it to every group I meet with, every stakeholder I can find, developers, contractors, businesses, state, local and federal government. If you agree that the MTA is indispensible to our city, you must accept that our underfunded Capital Program is a collective problem to solve. It's not exclusively a state, city or MTA problem. We collectively have to reach into our pockets, and frankly we're doing--we're doing that at the MTA. For starters, we've already cut more than a billion dollars out of our Operating Budget every year. That's more than a billion dollars saved every year--a billion dollars every year for which we don't have to turn to government at any level or to our customers. We did it by eliminating more than 3,500 positions, negotiating contracts with our suppliers--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

renegotiating with our suppliers, consolidating administrative and operating functions and moving and consolidating our headquarters to monetize the three buildings that comprise our former headquarters at 345, 347 and 341 Madison Avenue. The list goes on and on, and the cost cutting continues to this day, which is why by 2018, we'll be cutting \$1.7 billion annually out of or Operating Budget. Besides allowing us to keep fare increases to a minimum and invest \$129 million a year into services since 2012, these savings helped us make a down payment on a new Capital Program. In other words, before asking you or the City or Congress or Albany to fund the program, we've identified ways to contribute funding from our own efficiencies and creative thinking. We've put a total of \$290 million a year into a pay as you go account that would generate up to \$5.4 billion for projects included in the 2015 to '19 program. That's a lot of money, but still well short of our extensive capital needs.

When you consider what our city gets in return for the funds it invests in the MTA, you could say it's quite a bargain. All of you know why.

25  $\parallel$  Transit is the engine that powers New York. It's

2.2

2 fundamental to the economic wellbeing of our city.

It's the very foundation of our economy. It's what allows New York City to have four times the job and population density of the next largest U.S. city. It enables the most valuable real estate market in the country, and with regional ridership at 8.7 million people a day, it opens up countless job opportunities for million of people across the city. It is quite

regional economy, second only to Tokyo comprising 11%

simply the fuel that powers the \$1.4 trillion

of our nation's gross domestic product.

Without a healthy Capital Program, any discussion about service is beside the point.

Without a healthy Capital Program we won't be able to replace signal systems dating back to the 1930s, systems that could jeopardize the reliability of our network, if they remain too much longer. Without a healthy Capital Program we won't be able to accommodate our city's current population much less plan for the customers of the future and the increased growth we know we're going to see in the city. Crowding and capacity related days will only increase. In short, without a healthy Capital Program the MTA is in deep trouble, and that means

2.2

deep trouble for New York City, too, and the millions

who depend on the system to get to work, to school,

to the doctor and everywhere else they need to go.

To wrap up, let me just say that we're committed to working with the City Administration, the City Council and all the region's stakeholders in every way possible to fill the gap in our Capital Program. Once again, thank you for the inviting us here today. My colleagues and I will now try to answer any questions that you might have.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you for coming to testify before our committee. So we're just going to get into the detail in your call to action from the Council. At the start of the budget process, the MTA asked the city for \$657 million in capital funds in Fiscal 2016 for a total of \$1.5 billion over the Five-Year Plan. The city fully funded your request, but just before the Executive Budget was released, the MTA increased their request to a billion. Why didn't the MTA make this request initially?

TOM PRENDERGAST: At the time we put together the Proposed 2015 through '19 Program and submitted it to the Capital Program Review Board,

2.2

2 that's when we had the ask in for \$657 million.

After continued dialogue and a number of different efforts that were doing on, the Reinvention

Commission, the Citizens Budget Commission Report, and the Urban Land Institute Report in terms of the value and worth of the MTA's system and the network and the challenges we had, especially in relation to the unique relationship the New York City Transit and that part of the city, part of the MTA system played, we found ourselves in a position with having to increase the size of the ask. Could it have been more timely and earlier? Yes. But at the end of the day we felt very strongly we needed to make an ask

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Well, how do we know that this number won't change at any other point in time while we're having these conversations? I mean it's incredibly frustrating for us from this perspective. We're going through a preliminary process. The ask is a big ask. We understand and we understood, the Council understood we needed to give you full ask. We gave you full ask and on the day of the Executive Budget, you say wait. So, how are we

more in line with what the size of the ask that is

and why we needed to ask for that money.

2.2

supposed to engage in a conversation or in a
negotiation when you keep moving the goal post? So
what's the difference between what you're asking me
for right now and what you may ask me for in a week
or next year, if we're talking about a five-year
plan?

this point because we need to get to the number that we have right now, which is a \$15 billion unfunded plan. And the size of the ask we believe is a reasonable one for the size of the asset. The MTA asset is a trillion dollars. Of that trillion dollars, \$800 billion is related to the New York City portion of the asset, New York City Transit, et cetera. So with respect to the needs that we have, that's why the ask was made. I certainly understand we can't be changing the numbers, as you say moving the goal post, but that's the number we have out there right now.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And has the MTA made any additional budget requests from the State government? I know you said you're going to go back up and continue your conversations, or with any other local governments within the MTA's district?

2 TOM PRENDERGAST: At the time we

2.2

submitted the plan, October 1, 2014, we said that the size of the ask was on the order of magnitude \$15 billion to fill that gap. We said there were four parties that needed to come to the table to deal with that, the federal government, the state, the city and those people who directly and indirectly benefit from the network, the entire MTA network. So that's the real estate developers. That's the businesses. So those are the people who actually do business in New York and benefit from the system. And we're making similar asks of all of those folks. It's a tremendous need that needs to be filled, and we need to find a way to do that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Now, in the present Congress that we have, what is your belief, experience or what do you think--what are chances of us getting what you're requesting on a federal level to support this gap?

TOM PRENDERGAST: What we have in the plan is assuming a steady state appropriation of monies that we have been seeing on the Capital Program side historically over the years. If you look at Congress the same way I and a number of

people in the MTA do, it's in a place right now that infrastructure across the country is not being dealt with. It's arguable whether this administration will be able to do it, and that's not casting blame toward any—any part of it. Other than the fact that I believe on a national level there will be problems at state and local levels that the federal government is going to have to step up that will likely happen with the next administration in 2017.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So I'm going to take pivot from your Capital Plan and talk a little bit about a report that came out last week.

The City Controller released a report to show that the city residents and business contribute more than their fair share to the MTA's Operating Budget through fares, tolls, taxes and direct city subsidies. That in effect amounts to what he's terming an invisible fare. The equivalent is about \$130 per month for every city household. How would you respond to the Controller's argument, and do you agree with the Controller's finding that New York City and its taxpayers have disproportionately subsidized the MTA?

2.2

2 TOM PRENDERGAST: Without getting into the details of the report, I'm having a hard time 3 4 understanding the context in which that statement was 5 made where someone says they're paying more than their fair share. Well, back to the answer I gave to 6 7 a question before, the MTA asset is worth a trillion dollars. A trillion dollars is a lot of money. 8 takes 11-1/2 days to go a million seconds, 23 years 9 to go a billion seconds, 23,000 years to go a 10 trillion seconds. That's not a play on words. 11 12 That's trying to show how large the asset is. \$800 billion of that asset is New York City Transit 13 14 subways, elevated structure, depots, shops, 15 equipment, rolling stock. And so, the overwhelming 16 majority asset is New York City based. And so, I don't understand the context when somebody says or 17 18 that--that hidden \$134 fare that they're paying a disproportionate share. I'm having a hard time 19 20 understand that. Is there a need for a dialogue to make sure people understand across all four parties 21 2.2 in terms of the size of the asset? Yes. Because 23 there isn't anybody who has embraced the \$29 million and said absolutely, positively that needs to be 24 25 there, and we expected that dialogue to occur. But a

2.2

trillion dollar asset if you take a look at the average life of the different assets, rolling stock, buses, cars, signals, track systems, you should be spending somewhere between \$35 and \$40 billion every five years for state of good repair alone. So, I don't--coming back to your question, I don't understand the context in which someone says or concludes that they're paying more than their fair share when 80% of the assets or \$800 billion is New York City based.

I'm going back to the Capital Plan, and I'm going to follow up with you on this topic offline. Currently the MTA's 2015-2019 Capital Plan remains partially unfunded with a projected gap of \$14 billion. What is the MTA doing in order to plug this gap? Because I know that we're talking about your four-pronged approach for the immediate urgency, right? Sounding the alarms. What is the process to get us out of the \$14 billion, and in the absence of a fully funded Capital Program, what percent of the proposed plan is likely to be advanced? And how would the decision as to which projects proceed and which are cut or delayed?

2.2

government.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Right now, the focus is on the City and the State level because there are budgets and there are issues that need to be dealt with there. As I said in response to your other questions, we'll deal with the federal government at a later date, but we can't lose the federal

## CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] I hear you.

TOM PRENDERGAST: And we need to talk to the stakeholders as well in terms of what they can do, and I'll come back to that in a minute. In terms of what we need to do, it's pretty clear that of the \$29 billion, approximately \$20 billion is for what we call renewed state of good repair making sure that assets before they totally fail and end up their useful life, they're replaced. And that also would cover safety and legal requirements. A safety requirement is part of the train control on the two commuter railroads. An unfunded safety mandate from the federal government we have to find ways to fund them. We've got a RRIF Loan from the FRA to be able to do that at a very low attractive financing rate.

On the legal side, we have a number of unfunded

funding to be able to do that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 mandates as well, Americans with Disabilities Act.

We do not argue with the--the intent of that law, nor do we argue with the need to be able to come into compliance with it at all 468 stations as soon as possible. There were no means provided in the way of

So the first \$20 billion is focused on renew state of good repair, and safety and legal requirements. The next \$4 billion is approximately-approximately \$4 billion is spent on investments we can make to improve the quality and level of services we provide, not necessarily state of good repair. And then the last \$5 billion is for expansion of the system. So it would be for further phases of the Second Avenue Subway. It would be for Second Avenue Subway, and it would be a continuation of East Side Access, and also a project to bring service to the Southeast Bronx through allowing--once East Side Access gets completed -- Metro North will be able to enter. There will be capacity for Metro North to come through the Hell Gate crossing and into Penn Station. And provide what was promised back in the '60s, with Second Avenue Subway service in the Southeast Bronx. So depending upon what the size of

2.2

the program is, and we need to resolve that issue first. So is it 29, is it 27 or is it some other number? We take care of with the money that's available, and we have already agreed to pay, state of good repair first. Then we move into enhancement, and then we move into expansion.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

you, Chair. Before I give it over to my Co-Chair and
then we'll come back for a second round of questions.

What is the MTA's current outstanding debt, and how
would the impact—and how would that impact the
agency's ability to borrow in order to finance the
Capital Plan?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Bob Foran is here, but I think the order of magnitude of that is about \$34 billion?

BOB FORAN: \$34 billion.

TOM PRENDERGAST: \$34 billion and we have a bond capital of \$42 billion. We are concerned about the--the amount of money that we have on bond finance, and with this program we may need to even increase the bond cap. But that's been, you know, a perennial problem in terms of the degree to which we have on debt finance versus direct revenues. But

2.2

we've managed that fairly well, but it is a concern.
We agree.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And do--would you have the--do you think you have the capacity for additional borrowing?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Well, we'd have to--if we would--as we approach the \$42 billion bond cap we'd have to get approval to--to increase that. In terms of bond rating, we have very good bond ratings. So, I--I believe we have the capacity, but there's this constant pressure between that which we put on, you know, the direct revenue budget versus that we put on debt finance.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Of course. Thank you, Chair. We will now hear from Chair Rodriguez and I will have additional questions in the second round.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: First of all,
Chairman, thank you. One, we know that you and your
team are running one of the most important
transportation in the world. We know that we as a
city rely on you. So we are here to work together to

2 provide the support you need knowing that the MTA is very important for everyone. At the local level I 3 4 would also like to say thank you because I know that in Northern Manhattan we have seen major improvement in the 1-Train from 225th to Darwin [sic] Street. 6 7 hope that also the fact that I leave--we've been leaving the -- the discussion open to the opportunity 8 to see some building of other rail yard at 207th, 9 Street. But I see the opportunity for--for us also 10 to use the A-L [sic] Rights. and build us--we have 11 12 built the Hudson Yard. For us as a city and especially for Northern Manhattan that's important 13 14 since that rail yard is in the center of the north 15 and the south part of Inwood where together we have 16 more than 100 acres. But I see the opportunity to build jobs, create affordable housing and for MTA 17 18 also to see opportunity to get some revenue there. So thank you just for, you know, leaving the 19 20 discussion open, and that's important. I also sent a letter, as you know, asking the MTA to include in the 21 2.2 next Capital Plan even though we are talking about 23 deficits and the need to close the gap. But one thing that I have learned in this business at the 24 25 Council is that unless there is something -- some study

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

has been done, even though the project will be done 20, 40 years in the future, it is important to do some study. So I have made a request for the MTA to include in the next Capital Plan to build a new shadow train connecting from 207 and Broadway all the way to Fordham and connecting with the Metro North at Western and Fordham. The residents of this community are more than 20 elected officials from both Congressman Rangel and Serrano, the Senator Assembly Member. We believe that with--if that train is built in the future, we can connect a hub that connects residents from Yonkers to Westchester. That should be able to move faster to work in those areas. that's something that I would like to see something happening. I don't know if that's a decision of what will be included in the Capital Plan. Have you made the decision or are you still in the process of analyzing what will be included in the Capital Plan?

TOM PRENDERGAST: We have what we have what we have submitted in the Capital Plan already in October. That would be it, and add to it. One of the things we did address, or trying to address in the submission that we made to the Capital Program Review Board were the changing demographics in the

region. We need to be in a position where we're making investments now to accommodate the needs of what we expect the ridership to be looking for 20 years out. What we've seen in the 40 years in my career when I started back in the 1970s, it was historically the case that in all the transportation systems in cities, people came from the outer parts of the downtown into the downtown where the outer parts of the region and suburban is into the downtown. And those patterns have changed over the -over my 40 years, and we expect them to change even more. A greater percentage of people are living in outer boroughs. A greater percentage of the people are going from outer borough to outer borough or intra-borough from an outer borough and not coming into Manhattan. So the proposal you talked about it fits that category. And we need to make sure that we're making the right investments to be able to accommodate that because there's a far greater number of people for example that going intra-Queens or intra-Bronx or intra-Brooklyn or from Bronx to Queens or from Queens to Brooklyn. And the transportation system we have today isn't ideally suited to take

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

care of that. So the proposal you have would fit in that category and it would be looked at that way.

know, one concern that we have, and I know that you understand is that we know that everyone has to increase the contribution and help the MTA and be on the same table that we need to close the deficit.

And that we should be committed to do whatever it is in our ability so that you have the money that's needed to do all the capital projects for our city and for the state. Our problem, my problem is that when I look at who benefits, who contributes from Long Island to Upstate, that's where I'm coming with some of my concerns. And in that direction like one of my questions that I have is about how many jobs are created by the MTA for people who live in Upstate New York?

TOM PRENDERGAST: The Capital Program?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We could give you those numbers, but I believe over a full five-year period it's in the hundreds of thousands of jobs, like 400,000. And one of the things--

2.2

2.2

lot of that money stays in New York State benefits

from it. And it's New York State people that live in

New York City, and it's New York State people that

live in Long Island. It's New York's people—New

York State people that live in the Hudson Valley, and

New York State people that live outside of the MTA

service network.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So we are in the-in the same direction. We are creating 50,000 jobs
for people who live in Long Island? That's under
MTA--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --our numbers here. So how much does Long Island contribute to the MTA?

recovery ratios, those are the number I know, the subway side probably is the highest, but probably the highest in the country. For every dollar it costs us to provide service, it's about 70 cents--70% comes out of the fare box for every 70 cents. On the bus side it's a lower number. It's closer to 40%. Long Island Railroad it's in the 50s and Metro North is in the 60s. So, in terms of overall revenues that the

MTA takes in and redistributes, there are benefits
that the suburban regions get. There's no doubt
about it, but there's costs that we pick up in the
city as well. Paratransit, for example, we were
picking up \$300 million cost repair transit, which is
a totally New York City service. School fares we're
picking up and subsidizing, you know, a great share
of that. And when you take a look at the funding
streams that come in and how we distribute them out,
it depends on how you look at them in terms of who
gets a benefit and who doesn't get a benefit. But I
think that's why the MTA was created in 1968 to try
to look at transportation across the region, try to
make sure the investments are being made in those
areas that need them depending upon the state of good
repair, and that the quality of service comes in. But
in any given area, you're going to see somesome
money going more to one area to another area and vice
versa.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But do the--do the counties in Long Island City contribute-[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry. Not Long Island, not Long Island City. I'm sorry. Not

Queens. Does the counties in--in Long Island--in

Long Island contribute to the MTA like New York City?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Most of the funding

outside of the city comes from the State.

6 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, in--in--in
7 that--

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] There's a station maintenance charge that counties pay. And the station maintenance charges by, you know, the number of stations they have in their particular jurisdiction, and how the funding for the operations and maintenance of those stations. I believe it's the maintenance charge.

ROBERT FORAN: [off mic]

TOM PRENDERGAST: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: It--but we don't have all the findings of the Controller's Audit Report, but we--I assume that we can agree that New Yorkers who live in the city, contribute in different ways and sources to the MTA.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Everybody does across the region. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But New York--we in the city contribute much more than anybody else?

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 2 TOM PRENDERGAST: I think so, but the overwhelming majority of the customers occur in New 3 York. Of the nine million people a day we carry, 8-4 5 1/2 million people are in New York City. 6 million people on the subway, 2-1/2 million people on 7 the bus system, but yes, absolutely. Absolutely. 8 argument there. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Can you tell us 9 10 like exactly the -- the other? TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] I can get 11 you the exact numbers. We'll provide them to you. 12 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: What is it? 13 14 TOM PRENDERGAST: I said we can provide 15 them to you. I don't know the numbers off the top of

my head.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So I believe that based on the Controller, we're talking about that the city, you know, New York City residents and businesses are contributing more money to MTA than previously thought through what the city--through the \$134. We're talking about a contribution of more than \$10 million. Is that number accurate?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Yes. If it's includes fares it's probably accurate, but we need to go

2.2

through the number. But still in the context what does that mean? I'm a little confused because if I go back to the issue that 800--80% of the trillion dollar asset is in New York City, that hidden \$134 I don't know if that's too high or too low. The majority of the asset from the state of good repair standpoint is New York City; its underground subway structures; its elevated structures; its four-track right-of-ways; its 468 stations. There isn't any system in the world that has 468 stations, and we're not recommending that we close stations because they're a tremendous benefit. 71% of the population lives within a half mile of a subway station.

know that you highlight where the asset is, but what we're trying to help get across is that just because the percentage of the asset is here, doesn't mean that if I am in Long Island and I'm not part of the asset and I'm a New York City resident, and may not use the train, in different ways I'm helping for it as a user and a non-user just being a city resident.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So that is what we're trying to say. If I lived anywhere

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

263

else, I wouldn't have to contribute at the rate that
I have to contribute just being a New Yorker. Not a
rider, just a New Yorker.

[background comments]

TOM PRENDERGAST: Okay. I mean there's a whole host of revenue sources. There's the Payroll Mobility Tax, and like I said earlier, if you take-it depends on how someone wants to look at the sharing of revenue. And what the MTA has tried to do over its entire existence is not just send the money back on a pro rata basis, but from a standpoint of where the need is. And yes there's shared costs because suburban residents use city stations. There's no doubt about it. I don't know if they use all 468. They may use 20 stations more than others. But there's costs for the stations out in Long Island that aren't picked up by the City either. To go through a detailed accounting of all the different revenue sources we have and all the different costs we have, and how we try to apportion them, is something I'd be glad to have people sit down with and go through.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And just to follow up with the Chair, I think it's something

that we need to do because, Chair, if you come to us ringing the alarm and sounding the alarm of the crisis, we need to understand--

5 TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing]
6 Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --what the mathematics is that go you there.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So that is what we're asking for, and that is what we need as soon as possible. Because if you're coming to us now where our budget process is almost over June 30th, this is information that we need so that we help understand what's the mathematics that you have.

Because the mathematics that we have, and what you told us in the beginning was one number. So I need to understand how did you get to where you are now, and we need to know the revenue sources of which got you to the number where you are.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We can--we can--I can put the detail people with you and go through those numbers.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

25 you.

2.2

2.2

TOM PRENDERGAST: Be glad to do it.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Again, you're doing your job. As the Chairman, you're fighting for the resources for the MTA. We understand it. We respect it. But the math from my end, it's about are you putting the same energy to be sure that the accounting in those places that does benefit from the MTA or the Metro North or the Long Island Railroad? Those who live in Westchester, those who live in Connecticut, who live in Long Island, are you also going with the same energy asking those representatives you need to increase the contribution to the MTA?

TOM PRENDERGAST: We're making that ask of the State, the City and we will do it of the federal government. As I said, the other parties that directly and indirectly benefit from the subway-from the system, from the MTA system at large. I apologize. [door bangs]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Many complaints from many New Yorkers about some of the trains not moving faster. I can tell you A-Train is one of those. I live in Inwood and--and even A-Train, you know, I can say is clean, and many improvements in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the whole train system. But how can we, and I know that you have the technology. You've been talking about the technology that has to be put in place to be sure that train moves faster. But what are you planning to do to move trains such as the A-Train faster than what they're moving right now?

TOM PRENDERGAST: The most important thing we have to do to be able to respond to the surging growth that the subways system is doing is to provide additional capacity. It is too costly and almost impossible to lengthen station platforms and lengthen trains. The best way to increase capacity is replace the existing wayside block signal with a Communication-Based Train Control. So instead of running 26 or 27 trains an hour, we can run 30 to 32 trains an hour. And if you figure out a train can hold between 50 and 102,000 people, you can see the translatable improvement in terms of the amount of service that we can carry. So when we get to state of good repair, as we replace the old wayside block signal systems with the Communication-Based Train Control, we'll be able to increase the capacity of the line. We've done that already with the L, the Canarsie line. We're doing the installation right

- COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 267 2 now on the No. 7 Line. It's a very painful process because there's a lot of construction work going on. 3 And the next line to get done is the Queens Boulevard 4 Line, and we're asking New York City Transit to speed 5 up the pace of the installation of the Communication-6 7 Based Train Control. But that's the number one way 8 to increase capacity on a subway system. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] How 9 10 does City [on mic] pay for the policing to the MTA? TOM PRENDERGAST: I think the City pays 11 for the entire cost of the policing, and that was the 12
  - CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, and how much as the city paid for the debt service to the MTA?

whole issue of the merger.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- TOM PRENDERGAST: Would you happen to know off hand? Debt service?
- BOB FORAN: [off mic] Debt service. Oh, the debt, it's paid--the debt us a portion of the bill that we get. [sic]
- 2.2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [off mic] 23 State your name for the record.
- TOM PRENDERGAST: Chief Financial Officer 24 25 Bob Foran.

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 268 2 BOB FORAN: [off mic] Yeah, the--the debt service -- [on mic] -- the debt service that the City 3 pays relates to the Hudson Yard infrastructure. The 4 5 rest of the debt service the MTA pays it's on our bonds, which are picked up by just the general 6 7 subsidies that we have. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But on the 7 8 subway line from the MTA by 25--2014, didn't the City 9 contribute? Didn't the City make their contribution 10 or pay also for the debt? 11 12 TOM PRENDERGAST: You can stay here. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Come 13 14 back. 15 [background comments] 16 BOB FORAN: The question was what did the City contribute in 2014? 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How much--Well, the City has paid billions of dollars for the debt 19 20 service--for the debt service for the--BOB FORAN: [interposing] The Seven West? 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --Second Avenue 23 Subway, for the 7 Subway Line. Can you give me the

breakdown of how much has the city contribute to pay

for the debt services for those?

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our revenues.

2 BOB FORAN: As I--[coughs] excuse me--as I said, the only thing that the City directly pays is 3 for the Hudson Yards, okay. And you have roughly \$2 4 billion I believe in debt outstanding on that. 5 6 check with OMB on those costs. The rest of our debt 7 service is a system wide debt service. We have 8 proceeds that are dedicated to it, but I'd have to get back to you and say what is the actual debt 9 10 service. But again, it's paid from the totality of

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Chair Rodriguez. I remind you the members are
going to have five-minute clock for your first round
of questions followed by a three-minute clock for the
second round. We will hear from Majority Leader Van
Bramer followed by Council Member Reynoso. We've
been joined by Council Member Van Bramer, Reynoso,
Menchaca, Miller and Johnson.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much, Madam Chair, and Mr. Prendergast thank you for being here. You mentioned some of the 7-Train and some of the pain that has been experienced so I would like to get right into that. I sent you a

2.2

letter, and Carmen Bianco responded, about the disruptions. And just to put it in perspective nearly 100 weekends of closures endured in the last ten years alone with more expected. And then with those 100 weekends of closures and no service, came a fairly precipitous drop in liability between December 2014 and February 2015 where your own stats show over 10% increase in disruptions to service. And anecdotally, I would say those disruptions are actually more serious, and some of them were truly extensive, several hours of outages and whatnot. So, I just want to say do you agree because there's some back and forth between your staff and I sometimes on social media that this is a problem.

And while I certainly appreciate the magnitude of the—the problem that you're facing, and I actually think that we're all a part of the solution and not the problem. But, I think that for the Chair pointing out the number is changing, for my community the real problem is increasing. But sometimes it feels like there's a empathy gap or a credibility gap when it comes to the things that are said and the things that are done. And it's not just complaining for complaining sake, Chair. These

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are real people. These are real issues. These are real problems, and--and I for one, you know, the commuter tax to come back and I made the suggestion that--that it be allocated directly to the MTA.

My constituents who love it when I have press conferences firing away at the MTA did not like that particular suggestion. Because again there is well, what do they do with the money. So I just want to say despite the 100 weekends of closure, a real precipitous drop in performance on the 7-Train and real significant problems. And the credibility gap within the community that I represent, and for those folks who are up on those platforms on the 7-Train and meet it everyday. Without, you know, social media and name calling, what--what is--what is your response and how are we going to do better for the people of Western Queens and really all of Queens who rely on the 7-Train while you're doing this work, which everyone is sort of resigned to after ten years plus of these weekend closures. The service has to be better. You must agree with that.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Absolutely. I mean of the--I mean in my entire career, most of it's been spent here in New York, we've impacted the No. 7 Line

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a number of times. First, we rebuilt all the track, and then we had to basically take that out and rebuild an elevated structure in Sunnyside and that impacted, you know, back-to-back over a period of six years. The extensive work we had to in Steinway Tube, which is probably the most challenging on River Tube we had. And now all the work that's going on with respect to the Communication-Based Train Control. For the delays you talked about in the performance is not acceptable. We need to get it to another level. Henry Annoch [sic] and his team recognize that. It's one of the three lines they've identified in terms of this special focus to get weight assessment, and improved service reliability up on the line. It won't be there until the public says it is, and we would look at it that way. We're not going to argue about numbers. We can argue about numbers, but at the end of the day it's the public's perception. We've unduly impacted them. challenging line. Both of our East/West lines are challenging line, the Canarsie line and the 7, and a lot of it has to do with the characteristics of the line. But also the tremendous growth on both of those lines that I've seen in terms of population.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And Long Island City is so different today than it was 20 years ago. It's actually being loaded very extensively from both the West End Long Island City—Long Island City and the East End Flushing. So we need to get that project completed. We need to get it in a position where it actually can provide better service. So empathy is there. Focus is three. The proof will be in the pudding in terms of our ability to improve the service.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I'm sure you--you are familiar, certainly your team is familiar there's a Facebook group called the 7 Train Blues, and you know, it's important for the organization your organization [bell] to know where people are at and what they are really experiencing and really feeling. And--and to really take seriously what they experienced with your own numbers in terms of the drop in reliability on the 7 Train. And when you weigh the two in particular, right, the weekend closures almost 110 years that you document in your letter to me. And then the drop in reliability, which is this amazing disconnect that people--real people who are paying for the service feel. These are now people who wake up everyday and hate the MTA.

2.2

I don't wake up everyday and hate the MTA, but we all have to do better. You all have to do better for the people of Western Queens and all the 7-Train riders who are really fed up and really struggling. I am, too--and--and, you know, Lois and Adam and Rob do good work [doo bangs] but it's really important that members of your team respect the work that I do because I care about the people that I represent.

And I am not taking shots at the MTA just for shots sake. This is a real issue. It's a real problem.

They are real lives. I think you know that. I think you get that, and I appreciate Carmen and your team doing everything to get the 7-Train right.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Council Member.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Your constituents are all customers. We have to get it to a level where they save the services where it needs to be. We agree with you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you. We will hear from Council Member Reynoso followed by Council Member Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Very strong testimony that clearly communicates the urgency of

2 the need for the investment into our public systems. I just want to thank you for being here. 3 I'm--I'm 4 sympathetic-or empathetic for what's happening, and I 5 want to make sure that you know you have a partner in 6 me in doing everything I can to be an advocate for 7 more funding to the transportation system. You know, and outside of the politics I think if the City and 8 the State work together to truly want to figure 9 10 something out here, that we can do something that is significant. But politics plays a role, and it makes 11 12 this matter a little more difficult. But when it comes to our transportation system it being so 13 14 vitally important to what's happening here in the 15 City of New York, I think that it should stand alone 16 and stand outside of that. But it doesn't. So the reality is that more funds are needed, and that we as 17 18 a city should and will do more. I just want to let you know that I'm supporting Move New York, which I 19 20 think is going to be, or which can be a very helpful system in allowing for more funding to go into the 21 2.2 MTA system. So I just want to let you know that I am 23 pushing that. I also just recently passed a piece of legislation that is a resolution to the State asking 24 25 for them to also expand the MTA tax to include black

2 car and livery drivers as well so that we get more

3 funding for there. So understand that I'm not just

4 talking that you need it, but I want us to be

5 creative in trying to help get that there for you.

6 Then my big thing is or my question is--because we

7 don't have a lot of time. I apologize. Based on the

8 conversations with the administration, do you feel

9 | that there's a--there a--there's an opportunity here

10 to get more funding even though they've already

11 | committed what originally they thought was enough

12 | have been moved forward?

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOM PRENDERGAST: First of all, there is tremendous alignment between New York City Transit and the MTA for that matter and New York City DOT, and also Planning. Probably more so than ever in my entire, you know, 40-year career in my years here.

We're looking at transportation issues in the same way. The policy issues were pretty much aligned as are the priorities. We probably got off to a late start with respect to what our additional ask is, but it's, you know, I can't say because we haven't had, you know, a detailed follow-up conversation. The importance, and I do share your--your comments in the sense that when you talk to all of the stakeholders,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

they share in how important the transportation system is to the economy, to the business, and to the people in New York City. And so we're just hoping that on that we can get to a point where we convince people that we need to get this funding to the level to be able to not only do state of good repair but enhance and expand the system. If we're going to see a million more people in the system by 2025 or about 2035, we better have a transportation system to handle it.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I have many concerns over the current system, but I don't feel that it's--I'm justified to be able to criticize because I know it's an issue that you guys are obviously dealing with in a very serious way. think that the Chair made a good point that we should see the numbers. It would help us justify our actions and how proactive we can be to be supportive if you give us those numbers. So really looking forward to that presentation whenever it is--it is open and available to us.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I'll make the commitment. Bob will bring his people over, and we'll go through the numbers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

which station is this?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I have one last thing that I want to say. I've been asking for an open station on a local--Grand Street and Union Avenue, and I was told that reason they can't open that station, which is currently underground, and it is there already built out. It would just be opening it and--and doing I think minor improvements. said that because it's a new station it needs to be ADA accessible, or they need to add an elevator. After talking to several folks, they found that there's a certain percentage of -- of the money that is allocated through the Capital Program that needs to go to accessibility. That is not necessarily tied to just say wheelchair riders, right. It can be visually impaired folks or hearing impaired folks and making those type of upgrades to the station meets the requirement of accessibility I guess or the disabled community. And, it was--it's unfortunate that I heard that through a testimony, and that MTA wasn't creative in trying to let me know how I can be helpful to open that station. And I also--TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] Which--

2

3

4

5

6

7

entrance.

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: This is -- it would be Broadway on the G-Train.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Oh, okay. It's an entrance.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, an

TOM PRENDERGAST: One of the -- one of the challenges we have is dealing with the Federal Transit Administration and the Department of Justice with respect to their enforcement and oversight of the Application of the Americans with Disabilities Act. We have gotten to a point now where they're increasingly restrictive in terms of what we can and can't do at a station without adding an element of access, generally an elevator. And the average cost of an elevator is about \$14 million a station. So there's an ongoing dialogue with the Federal Transit Administration with respect to how we can go forward, and with the 468 stations, that's a challenge nobody else in the country has that we have. [bell]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: But it's just not something that -- the elevator is not the only option. Under the law, there is an option to--

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 2 TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] It is -- it 3 is basically the only option when we're talking to the people that are overseeing us and taking a look 4 at it. 5 6 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So I would like 7 to have a--TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] We can--8 we can have a dialogue with you--9 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing] 10 Fantastic. 11 12 TOM PRENDERGAST: -- and show you what that issue is. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We appreciate that, sir, and just that I'm here to support you 15 16 guys. 17 TOM PRENDERGAST: Thank you. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Anything that I can do make sure there's money coming into the MTA 19 20 system, I'll be on top of that. TOM PRENDERGAST: Thank you, sir. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, 23 thank you, Chair and Chairman welcome. Really good

to--to see you here and join you with my colleagues 24 in calling for the alarm on the incredible fete that 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we have before us. And as someone who represents Sunset Park and Red Hook, I look forward to working with you and the rest of your team to understand a couple of issues there. In general, though, I want to ask, this is--this is a well we need to fill back up. And in term--in terms of a kind of quick look at the world where Move New York and other federal and state and city funding doesn't come through, what can we expect in terms of things that we can see and feel as riders? I want to better under what I need to go back to my community with, and say this is the number one thing that we need to think about it in our community. DOT just presented and we talked a lot about the development that was happening--happening on the waterfront, reactivation of manufacturing, the new park, and the investments that we need on non-MTA infrastructure. Talk to me. What--what are the first things we're going to start seeing deteriorate and feel that I can take back. You understand this better than--than anybody.

TOM PRENDERGAST: That which is unseen is difficult to tell people there's problem with because they don't see it. So the physical line structures that make up the subway system, especially those that

are underground are ones that have been around in the
oldest form since 1904. Actually construction in the
late part of the 19th Century. And the newest form
the I-N-D, going back to the 1940s. So they're
approaching 80 years old. We need to make sure those
structures are sound, reliable and safe, but not in
that order, safe first. We did have two ceiling
collapses at 168th and 191st Street. Those are some
of the oldest portions of the system. So that's why
we're sounding the alarm on a Capital Program, but
the state of good repair first. What you're seeing
in your district is, you know, whether you have a
formal affordable housing program, an informal
affordable housing program, people areare buying
houses and locating near where the transportation
infrastructure is. And you're see that tremendous
growth along Fourth Avenue. You're going to see it
in Red Hook even though it's pretty much transit
starved. And we need to be in a position where we're
not just taking care of state of good repair, we're
making some enhancements and we're making some
enhancements to the system.

When I got out of school in 1974, the first thing I did with a paycheck is by a car.

2.2

People that are getting out of school and entering the workforce now aren't buying a car. They're getting married later. They're living in urban areas. We need--need to be able to respond to those needs. And so, the importance of this Capital Program to have enough money to go into enhance and expand is critical. It has to be above \$20 billion. If it gets constrained to \$20 billion, we'll stay in the state of good repair, but we will probably constrain the growth in New York City if we do that.

that, and—and I think what—what I think you're also relating—you're relating to is—is—and this is something that we're going to do in the follow up is understanding the price at the toll booth, and the—essentially the overall price in the system itself.

And so, those are things that we're going to—we're going to hopefully to talk about. I'd love to work with your staff. In my community there's a lot of conversation. This is a very high immigrant community. We need to get these messages out in multiple languages. And so, is there anything that's in the budget non—asset, but relating to education?

It's something that we asked the DOT as well to help

get messages out to immigrant communities, for example, to help better understand the changes and the issues that are in front of us.

TOM PRENDERGAST: [coughs] I think the level of coordination is there. The alignment is there, and our needs assessment when we go out and reach out to the community in terms of what their expectations is there. But a continued dialogue at a staff level for your staff level is something that I would definitely welcome. So--so there are times that people don't understand or are not in alignment on an issue. And if that's because we haven't communicated well, I'll just take the blame myself. Shame on us. Because we need to be in a position where at least you understand the logic we're using to make the decisions. You may agree or disagree, but in some cases we can change that alignment because we're looking at things -- We tweak it. make a slight adjustment to it. But the commitment to meet so that you understand how we're making investment decisions and budget decisions, you have that commitment from me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

needs to align. So I hear you.

Thank you, Mr.

2

1

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Right, and this is where you have all the logic and the politics just

4

5

6

3

TOM PRENDERGAST: And--and we live in a political world, and that's understandably so. Your constituents are our customers.

8

9

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Yeah, we're going to work on that. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:

10

11

12

13

14

15

Chair and Madam Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman again for coming out. It's good to see you again. It seems like only yesterday that we last met. And I want to thank you colleagues for like really insightful, really good questions, and obviously, Mr.

16

17

where we were trying to get to--not necessarily to

Chairman for being able to answers those. I think

18

quantify the Comptroller's report, but to kind of

19

20

essentially it was simply saying that there were

21

communities that were being disproportionately

figure out what that meant. And I--I think

2.2 23

being made. Obviously, about 80% of the revenue was

serviced by virtue of the -- the investment that was

24

coming from the City, and not in return the

25

investment while the state of good repair and stuff

2 like that. We understand that, but when you have a community such as mine that doesn't enjoy rail 3 service or subway service and that we are pretty much 4 5 relying buses to get us to the subways, and then 6 coming to Manhattan. There aren't a lot of options. 7 So for me to hear that there are investments in East Side Access, you know, and--and New Haven Rails and 8 Long Island Railroads and things of that nature 9 really doesn't hit home. Because for the out 10 boroughs we are truly disproportionately impacted in 11 12 the services that are being delivered. Also, the fact that we have the oldest and most antiquated bus 13 14 facilities throughout the city. And a fleet of buses 15 that well exceed their life line, and some of them 16 that had been scrapped, are now back on the road and servicing the constituents in my community. I think 17 18 basically that is what the Council was trying to get to that we are hopeful that the services that are 19 20 being delivered, the investment that is being made will be--will come back to the communities that we 21 2.2 represent. And that are currently not necessarily 23 receiving those services. So with all that being 24 said, I have a simple question and considering the --25 the--the state of the--the budget, and the fact that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it is half funded. And all these other dynamics that
we are--we have spoken about and commute--including
the loss of commuter tax and all these other ideas of
how we do this. Are you still opposed to lockbox
legislation?

TOM PRENDERGAST: The only position I've taken on lockbox legislation is I understand why it's I understand the importance of it being passed. there. So I'm fairly agnostic about the source of founding as long as the amount of funding we need to be able to maintain the system and operate it safely and reliable will come in. So, that's the only way I can answer the question. I mean there have been times in government in my career two or three times where the dire consequences of a financial situation put legislators in very difficult positions in terms of how they spread not enough dollars for everybody, health, education, transportation, everything. terms of lockbox, there's been legislation out there, and I understand the purpose behind it. I look at it from a standpoint it's my job to communicate what the size of the need is for the MTA, and what those sources are and how they get it. I'm a little bit less concerned about it as long as we get it.

Because I know there's other issues that legislative bodies have to deal with. That's the best way I can answer it, sir.

know that—that as the Chair of—of the Authority that—that you're responsibility to provide that service, and as a public authority. And obviously your responsibility is to ensure that those fundings are available and less of whether or not your responsibility is to care whether they went into education, and all those places where dedicated funding often go. So that's why we asked the question. So, in terms of human capital, does anyone—do you have the number for a WEP workers that are currently employed at the MTA?

BOB FORAN: [off mic] These are--?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: WEP workers.

BOB FORAN: [off mic] We have the--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] Well, we probably have workers--workers in this program. Yes,

22 absolute.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yeah, how many

24 | are working?

2.2

TOM PRENDERGAST: Oh, in the hundreds and that's been around probably since the last administration.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: In the hundreds?

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] And we've hired a number of people from those after they've been in that program.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So there is an HRA mandate to dissolve that program. What are you working towards? How are you working towards dissolving that program?

TOM PRENDERGAST: If--if--if the--if it's an outgrowth of the program, the city set up, if the city decides they will no longer have the program, then we'll have to do without it.

decided that they no longer want to do--deal with the program, and I'm surprised that you don't have--or are not part of the plan to [bell] move forward with that. So my question would be about transitioning not only those 1,900 provisional workers that currently exist within the MTA, what is your plan towards transitioning them. [sic]

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 290
2	TOM PRENDERGAST: Well, in terms of
3	implementing Long Beach decision to make sureI'm
4	sorry, sir. I'm sorry, I cut you off.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Oh, yeah, long
6	term, long and short-term plan to deal with those
7	1,900 provisional as well as the WEP workers?
8	TOM PRENDERGAST: 1,900 provisional
9	positions that are currently occupiedno positions
10	that are currently occupied by the provisional
11	employees, we're part of the city process to
12	implement the Long Beach decision and make sure that
13	they are no longer sitting in there as provision
14	employees. And we're working with the city to get
15	those people totally in civil service certified
16	positions.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Doesn't the
18	agency have a specific
19	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:
20	[interposing] Council Member Miller.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:responsibility
22	to come up with those?
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I can add
24	you to the second round. You'll be

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing]

3 Okay.

1

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --number two in the second round.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I told you I needed 15 minutes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I know you need 15 minutes, but you're going to get eight today.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Council Member followed by Council Member--I mean Council Member Johnson and then we will start the second round.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Good to see you,
Mr. Chair. Thanks for being here. When is the 7
extension going to be done?

TOM PRENDERGAST: We're working judiciously to get it done as soon as possible.

after Mayor Bloomberg did his very famous ceremonial ride from Time Square to Hudson Yards in December of 2013, the plan was June 2014. That was delayed to early fall of 2014. That was delayed. To November

2 of 2014. That was delayed. To February of 2015.

3 That was delayed. To May of 2015. It's now June

4 1st. A \$2.4 billion capital construction project.

5 In August of 2013, it was announced that it was 90%

6 complete. This is embarrassing. You all have a \$14

7 | billion capital gap. You're asking the City and

8 State for more money, but a plan that the City put in

9 nearly all the money for, \$2.4 billion it is

10 | continuously delayed with no real answers of when

11 | it's finally going to be open. It doesn't inspire

12 confidence of the city putting money into these

13 projects if they're not going to get done in time.

14 | And then when they're not done in time, to not get

15 | real solid answers of when we should expect it to be

16 complete.

1

testing and commission. We're training the train operators to operate through that section of track.

And it's within--we've committed to do it as soon as possible. It may be as early as a month. It may be two to three months. But we're--it will be done and it will be done in a safe and reliable way to make sure that there are no problems with the systems that

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 are there, and there will be safe and reliable train
3 movement.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: But isn't this embarrassing for the MTA?

there's a project that is delayed and has that many changes to it, yes it's embarrassing and it's \$2.4 billion projects. And our mega projects have been problematic for us whether it's East Side Assets—Access, whether it's Second Avenue, or whether it's Seven West. And I can tell you that since I've been Chairman, I've been very careful with people not to over-promise and under-deliver. Aggressively pursue a schedule, but make sure it's a reasonable schedule. When you say we're going, open. Because I truly understand the loss in credibility when we keep extending things and adding costs to a project.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So it's June

1st. You're saying that the earliest could be a

month, but potentially the longest is going to be

three months. So you, could you think that by

September 1st, by the end of the summer that station

is going to be open with trains running.

2.2

TOM PRENDERGAST: We open in September-October, yes, absolutely, and it has to be done-generally in--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing]
Maybe October and not September.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I'll give you a date because we--we review that project, and we--and we have periodic reports for the Capital Program Oversight Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay, and--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] And there's a lot of pressure being put on to have it open as soon as possible for all the reasons you state.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So I know as part of the plan the \$2.4 billion plan what wasn't included was an additional station at 40th Street and 10th Avenue that a shell was left open underground for potential future funding for an additional station at that site.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I'm not sure a shell was left. There were provisions made in the design of the system, the design of the extension. They

2.2

2.2

2 | could come back at a later--another date and add a station.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I mean, I'm saying the possibility was left for a station to be-TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Lois is shaking her head. Is that not true, Lois. Okay, but he's giving me an answer and you're sitting behind him shaking your head.

TOM PRENDERGAST: There--there's nothing budgeted for a station. It was designed in a way that they could come back at a later date and add a station. It would cost money. COUNCIL MEMBER

JOHNSON: [interposing] Yeah, yeah. No, all I'm saying is that--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah, yeah.

TOM PRENDERGAST: -- It would be

difficult to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: All I'm saying is that--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] West

Station on 59th Street on the Lexington Avenue Line
was not part of the original construction. It was
added in the '60s. So there's ways you can do that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: All I'm saying is that given the residential development and the future commercial growth over at Hudson Yards, I think it would be good to have an additional station there. I know the MTA is not in a position to come up with the money for that station. But there is a large scale development plan moving forward above where the station could potentially go. Right now, through a city rezoning process, your team has been engaged with the city on ensuring that what--whatever is built above is not going to rule out the possibility whether it be 5 years, 10 years or 15 years from now for a station to go there. And that's something that I think people on the West Side want to say is an additional station there when the money is available. It's not going to be available any time soon.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We understand and it was part of the original plan that was taken out.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing]

22 | So, I'm--

TOM PRENDERGAST: The importance of the station there is clear.

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I'm going to come back for a second round of questions, but I--I didn't want to ask what the timing is for completion of the ACNE full-line review. I know that you all were doing the full-line review.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I don't know off the top of my head. I'll have to get you an answer. We'll get you an answer, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Chair, I'm going to come back for a second round.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

you, Council Member Johnson. So I want to talk about [bell] the Five-Year Capital Plan. So I know the past five years, and since we're asking about this crisis and ringing the alarm so you can walk me through your thinking for future planning. Last year we found the funding to fund the Five-Year Capital Plan by implementing the Payroll Mobility Tax. Which is something that's going to be paid for 30 years, and I'm sure it's not going to be-- Well--well, 30 years from now they'll figure out whoever is in place about what's going--what's going to happen with the Payroll Mobility Tax. Now, some speak of the Move

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this five years. What happens if you toll via the bridges at this point? What is your plan when you come before us five years from now, and what happens with that five-year plan? How do we fund that. So how do we get to the point where we're not in crisis mode constantly, and just figuring out ways to tax different opportunities or to add on taxes to fund this project?

TOM PRENDERGAST: In my entire 40-year career, the issue of public transit being looked at as profit entity and operating in the quote, unquote black versus red in the deficit has been the challenge we've had the entire time. The level of subsidy we get in New York is actually less. We have more on the backs of fare payers than most of the systems in the country. The Payroll Mobility Tax was more than just to solve a problem with the Capital It was a structural deficit problem in the Program. feast and famine cycle of real estate revenues. need to have a capital program that is order of magnitude \$32 to \$40 billion every five years to take care of this trillion dollar asset. So one of the reasons why we've been reluctant to talk in detail about the Move New York plan is we feel very

2 strongly--I feel very strong and the Board does we need to come to terms of what the size of that ask 3 is. Is it \$29 billion for this time? Is it \$27 4 5 billion and then how do we make up the difference in 6 terms of what we bring into the table? [coughs] 7 we need to bring not pressure. We need to have a 8 dialogue. The New York Delegation and Congress understands the importance of the system. 9 So, we 10 need our federal partners to ante up more, the City and the State, across all three. But in the order of 11 12 magnitude where program in, program out it's in the order magnitude \$32 to \$40 billion. That's the size 13 14 of the asset. If we're a private sector 15 organization, and we're not, they would consider 16 selling off assets or closing assets. But we don't do that. Class one railroads years ago to deal with 17 18 their problem got rid of what they called the short lines. They turned them over to somebody else, but 19 20 they didn't have to pick up that asset any more. Some went into bankruptcy. Others were taken over by 21 2.2 other people. But we're not in the -- in the game of 23 closing some of our 468 stations. So I truly do understand the concern because the last thing to see 24 25 is State Legislature or City Legislature and us

2.2

coming hat-in-hand and saying, Every five years we need another funding sources. We need to get the sustainable funding sources, the program in, the program out give us the money we need. And a balance between that, which is debt financed versus that which is financed some place else.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So, how do we do that, Chairman?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Pardon me.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: How do we do that? How do you--are you engaging in a conversation? What are you doing now that's different from what you did five years ago?

TOM PRENDERGAST: Well, first of all, we took \$1.1 billion out of the Operating Budget, and we expect that number to rise to \$1.7 billion by 2018 so we're doing our share. And that meant shrinking the organization and redoing contracts, all of the above. We need to have a very engaged dialogue, and I will even call it a healthy tension dialogue because it's difficult. Easy issues get done easily. Difficult issues come with tension. So those need to be discussions at the State level, with Chamber, with the Governor, the Assembly and the Senate and in the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

transportation subsidy.

2 City it's the Mayor, the Executive and the Legislative. And then we also need to have this 3 4 through our -- the New York Delegation this aggressive 5 dialogue down in Congress. And we've got some very, 6 very aggressive senators and congressmen and women 7 who I'm sure will be there for us. But that will be part of that discussion most like to occur in '17. 8 Two-thirds, I believe two-thirds of all rail 9 customers are carried in New York. One-third of all 10 transit trips are carried in New York. When people 11 12 ask for farm subsidies in Nebraska and in Iowa, there's good reason for that to be. We need the 13

with you there. I just hope that one thing that we can foster is better communication where it's not just in hearing or, you know, or just engaging with the Mayor's side. The Council really needs to figure out a way where we're in constant communication throughout year. So we're not here—I guess it's June officially.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I'll take the hit for that. I--I was probably more--me as Chairman and CEO was probably more focused on the State, and I

2.2

probably woke up late because your--your cycle is outside of our cycle, and that's my fault--that's my fault. I'll take the hit for that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Good.

Thank you. So I wanted to talk about the 7-Train

because I as Majority Leader Van Bramer share a chunk

of the 7-Train. And the 7-Train is very important in

my house. My father was a car inspector for the 7
Train 30 years and he retired. So I'm here because

of the MTA.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TOM \ PRENDERGAST: & He work at the old \\ Corona \ car \ barn. \end{tabular}$ 

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: He worked at the old--he was at the Corona barn. That's right. But kind of speaking in that same neighborhood, I find that while you're mitigating and trying to expedite trains to move along. And the issues that the Majority Leader mentioned are the same as mine because it's the same constituents. It's the same flag or the same issues. Now, we know that the Willets Point Line has an opportunity to open up the Long Island Railroad. I think if you go and you ride, and I invite you. Come on in. Let's ride the 7-Train together. I'm sure Council Member Van Bramer

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would love for you. You can pick up a council member along the way. But--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] A number of them--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I'm sorry
on the--

TOM PRENDERGAST: --and--and Assembly members as well.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: There you go. Look, I'm honest. I'm really honest because I feel like you know, sometimes we follow the baseball players on the way to City Field. I would love for you to join us in that way. But Willets Point if you got--I mean the 7-Train if you go to 74th Street at a certain peak time, you can literally be pushed into the tracks because of the amount of people that are waiting for that transfer, the amount of people that are waiting to get on the train. I think there's an opportunity to mitigate that if we open the stop, which is only open during baseball games or during the tennis open. It just seems to me like this is an opportunity to mitigate an issue that we have of overcrowding on the 7-Train, and it just makes sense. And it shouldn't just be available when people from

2	Long Island or from other parts of New York City want
3	to come to watch at tennis match or watch a baseball
4	game. It should be open to everyday workers with a
5	city program that can make it a little more
6	affordable, which has been done in the past. But we
7	really should be able to open up that line.
8	TOM PRENDERGAST: We'll take a look at

it. I mean there are a number of things we need to do on the 7. The station right there at--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Queens Plaza.

TOM PRENDERGAST: No, no the station out the--out near--near Flushing, Willets Point.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Yeah, Willets Point.

TOM PRENDERGAST: That is a station that we need to be able to deal with because it's--it's got some issues.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] Yeah, and we just have--

TOM PRENDERGAST: But--but we need to take a look at it. Once we put Communication-Based

Train Control in and run more trains, we need to take

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

a look at the line in terms of what we can do to get more even loading and we'll take a look at that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I mean capacity--I--I literally lived for many years off of Roosevelt Avenue Terminal. There's a train that goes by like every 2-1/2 minutes. So the trains are active and they're moving. It just I don't even think you have the capacity really on that line to add more trains to move more people. You really need to just get the Long Island Railroad to cooperate in running more lines.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We can do that, but with Communication-Based Train Control we can actually run more trains per hour. Like I said, it would be closer to 30 or 32 trains per hour.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Well,
then I'm gong to ask you to make them quieter, but
that's a whole other conversation and I'm sure more
money. I wanted to also ask before I open it to the
second round last Wednesday A-Train riders in
Southern Queens woke up to discover that their
commute to work would have been even worse. It was
going to be worse than normal. This was due the
service suspension between Broad Channel and Rockaway

2.2

Boulevard caused by what is reported to be a massive theft of copper cable from the A-Line track near Howard Beach. Given what has happened, how vulnerable are--are all our train lines in this type of act?

TOM PRENDERGAST: My entire career we've had copper theft. This copper theft is what we call on the negative return side. So it's etched after the train--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: What does that mean?

electrical circuit, you have to have the current go all the way through and back to the source to a substation. So it leaves the substation and goes to the third rail. The train picks it up, powers the traction motors then it goes back through the train track and back to the substation. Anything past the train is called negative return. So it's not energized and there's no trains in the circuit. It's a relatively large size cable. So as you cut a yard piece, a three-foot piece you get 24 pounds of copper, and the scrap value of copper is very high, we

have cable theft in the system. We have cable theft
in the system at a number of locations where train
service frequency isn't that high. We worked very
closely with the Transit Bureau, the New York City
Police Department to identify locations where people
out there doing these types of things. And it'sI
wouldn't say it's feast or famine, but there are
peaks of when it happens. We chase them. We
basically, the police do a very good job of watching
it at the back end where they try to fence it and
sell it to scrap dealers. They arrest them and they
put them out, and the problem goes away and then it
comes back. But it's ait's a perennial problem
that is one that we work very closely with the
Transit Bureau to police. Its frequency has
diminished over the years, but they still do occur,
and in that particular case it affected us that
morning.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: So how--how vulnerable is our system. Is it anything and everything above elevated ground? Is it--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] It's most vulnerable at those locations where you can get access to the right-of-way more easily. It's tough

2

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to get access to the right-of-way in the subway. It's tough to get access to the right-of-way in the 3 elevated structure. It just so happens that going 4 across Jamaica Bay in the Flats you could have long 5 6 times between trains and you could be out there doing

and an enforcement action, and if you talk to Chief 8

this. But it's something that it's a police action

Fox, they have strategies in place that try to 9

identify those locations. It's a vulnerability but 10

it's a managed vulnerability. But, we will continue 11

12 to have them. Not that frequently, but we need to

try to get it down to zero if we can. 13

> CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: We will hear from Chair Rodriguez followed by Council Van Bramer.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. I have a few questions. One is about if the current gap for 2015 and 2019 Capital Plan is funded with additional borrowing by the MTA, what can be the impact of those additional debts with the operation of the MTA?

TOM PRENDERGAST: I'll probably let Bob--I'll let Bob talk in a little bit more detail, but in a general sense, given the size of the program, we

2.2

will always have a certain percentage of the Capital Program debt financed. I think that's a given. We don't have enough funds available. It would be too much of a strain on the fare payers to try to do something differently. And so, I think we'll always have a percentage on. I'll let Bob answer from a financial standpoint in terms of how we reach that appropriate balance between that which is debt financed and that which is not.

BOB FORAN: All right. You have to think about debt on how it's funded. [coughs] The burden to our customers of when we try to finance it through fares and tolls across the system. To the extent that we receive subsidies from the State of New York and dedicated taxes of the City through dedicated taxes, and we receive a commitment of ongoing sustainable revenues, that really doesn't put a burden on our customers in terms of having additional debt. The key is when we've reached our limit. There is no additional resources available, and you look to the option of funding it more with fares and tolls. That would put pressure. And so that's why we try very hard to avoid that if at all possible. Right now, the debt service that we pay is roughly—I

think last year it was 16% of our operating revenues.So it would be about 17% of our operating revenues

4 this year. We're projecting that 2018, 2019 it will

5 be 19%. Those are probably conservative numbers. I

6 think they'll end up being slightly less. But at

7 | this point in time, we're just trying to be very

8 careful. The debt that we have built into the '15 to

9 19 identified sources is debt that is being funded

10 by monies that we've generated through re-fundings

11 and debt service savings or we've cut significant

12 costs out of our budget. Or reduced other expense

13 estimates to justify it. So we're putting in about

14 | in about \$290 million a year aside for debt service,

15 | and that will support about \$5.4 billion worth of the

16 | funding that we have. So that will not increase the

17 burden to our customers.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. With the revenue that MTA is able to raise by advertising, I see that last year in 2014, the MTA collected \$138 million from revenue, and expect to collect \$144 million in this year. Do you think that the MTA should be—has the MTA put team together to think

about how to raise--increase the revenue that--the

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

potential that the MTA has to raise more revenue by
advertising.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We're probably moving into a new procurement on advertising, and they're generally longer-term contracts. And with the availability of new technology today such as digital advertising we can get more revenue. So we're looking at all the different ways that we can maximize those revenue streams. But they come with challenges. You've seen the First Amendment challenges we've had on the -- on the advertising that we have, and they're difficult issues to deal with. I think they're relatively straightforward, but from a legal standpoint, they're very difficult issues to deal with. But certainly areas where we can maximize rev--maximize revenue streams where it doesn't come on the backs of a toll or a tax or a fare increase, we look to do that, sir.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do you think that as someone that I'm pretty sure that you're looking not only at--you know what you are responsible for and how we--how huge is the MTA as an institution.

But, when you look at all the models and all the places in say in Europe, do you think that New York

2.2

City, the MTA that is leading the creativities or the initiative to raise money through renting through advertising. Or is there any other major transportation systems in other places that you can say they are using more technology and they are able to raise more money on advertising?

award a new contract, we're reaching out to other agencies that have similar needs, and in terms of getting advertising revenue. So we asked them in terms of what they've been able to do. The New York market is a very healthy market because of its size and its ability to attract advertising investment. So we'll do that, but by and large we have some limits that other people—that other people don't have. We do ask other people what are they doing, and what are they seeing and digital probably is the biggest area that we need to move into rather than static signs as we see them today.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I would just like to encourage the MTA, you know, to see how you look at all those potentials that you have.

Because no one--as anyone that does know my background, but just as an average citizen when I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

walk by say 207th Street you see potential for renting a space for billboard, or 207th Street and the Diamond [sic] Street. So it's like thinking about passing through spaces that MTA owns, and thinking about, you know, here MTA citywide I see the MTA being able to rent more space for advertising and be able to raise a large amount of money. Not just the average of \$100,000. How can the MTA lower the fare for New York City riders or commuter rails such as the Long Island Railroad and the Metro North. that when anyone takes -- when any New Yorkers need to take the subway for her or she to say I can take the Metro North at University at Marble Hill and be able to pay the same fare if I'm going to 34th Street. Have they--have you looked at that possibility of lowering the fare? Because I think that it will be very--you will help the city to move New Yorkers faster especially, you know, if we--if they are able to use the Long Island Railroad to move around the five boroughs paying the same far on the New York City train.

TOM PRENDERGAST: We've had that request numerous time in my career. There's a couple of requests in on that front right now. We'd have to

2.2

look to see what it would do in terms of adding
demand that we couldn't now pick up with the existing
trains that we run, and we'll take a look at that.

But generally, in the past we haven't been able to
find a way to do it without severely impacting the
services and adding a lot more costs.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, I just hope that, you know, you can get your team to take a serious look at that one. Because I think that unless we can say we cannot do it because the train they're coming full in capacity, but there is this—the opportunity is there in the capacity of the train. For someone who could take the Long Island Railroad in Queens and take it there to come to 34th Street paying the same fare that we paid for the train, I think that it would be very helpful for our city. Thank you. Council Member—

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [off mic] Van Bramer?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Van Bramer.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much to both of our chairs, and Mr. Chair, I wanted to follow up actually on something that Council Member Johnson was talking about because he,

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 too, will know the joys of the 7-Train in a major way one day. But specifically, what we talked about in 3 4 my first round was where we're sort at now. 5 history of the 7-Train closures and CBTC, the delays 6 that happened recently, the 100 weekends of closure. 7 But, the truth is we're not done, and (a) I'd like you to state for the record when you believe CBTC 8 will be finished. And then, along the lines of 9 Council Member Johnson's question the 7-Train 10 Extension and the delays and the cost overruns and 11 12 the delays. Whatever you tell us now of when this will end for the people of Western Queens, how can 13 you guarantee and to what level of certainty can we 14 15 believe that number and that date? 16 TOM PRENDERGAST: It will definitely be 17

as early as possible and it will be no later than

September or October. I can get you an answer

because we're putting a lot of pressure on the

organization to get it done.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I--I--I--

TOM PRENDERGAST: And it's reviewed at the Capital Program Oversight Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I think you misunderstood because I talked about the 7-Train

2.2

Extension, which on behalf of John--Council Member

Johnson, I certainly hope is done by that time. I'm

asking for the date when CBTC will be done.

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] The date on CBTC is either '17 or '18?

[background comments]

TOM PRENDERGAST: 2017.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Can you be more specific that 2017?

TOM PRENDERGAST: We'll get you--we'll get you the date and in that year it will be done.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: And--and--and how confident are you that when you give me and the community that date whether it's August of 2017 or June of 2017, hopefully January of 2017 that you're going to stick to that date?

TOM PRENDERGAST: When we give dates at the Capital Program Oversight Committee, they're risk-informed dates. So we will give the date and the likelihood of that date being met. And then as it gets close, the more certainty is, and so the--the likelihood increases. And so I'll get you those latest dates. I don't know it off the top of my head.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: But I--but I think you--you understand that sometimes these 3 dates change, and -- and then there is that credibility 4 5 gap right in--in terms of where people at. Because those folks who have--who have endured hundreds of 6 7 weekend closures already, and you're asking them to endure more. And then, if you say okay this is 8 painful, but it end and it will end in 2017. And 9 10 then you come back to us and says it's going to 2018 or 2019. As you know, in Western Queens we're also 11 12 dealing with East Side Access, which has been, you know, a disaster of overruns and [bell] and time 13 14 delays. We need more certainty, and -- and I'm asking 15 you for that to make sure that this is done as 16 expeditiously as possible to end the pain that people 17 in Western Queens are in.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I understand. We couldn't get it down fast enough, and that's what we're trying to do.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

you. Thank you Majority Leader Van Bramer. We will

now hear from Council Member Johnson.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, I think one of the most popular things that the MTA has

done is the countdown clocks. People really love the certainty, and in my experience, I live a block from the 1, 2 and 3 14th Street stop. And it's nearly always accurate, and you have a good sense of when it's going to come. Is there a plan for expansion of the countdown clocks and what is that plan?

TOM PRENDERGAST: There is a plan for expansion of the countdown clocks in what we call the B Division or the number—the letter lines. It will be delivered in a different way than it was on the A Division or the ROT, and it's—it's going to take a longer period of time. Do you know date?

[pause]

TOM PRENDERGAST: On a priority basis we can give you the dates, but across all the different lines on the B Division, but it is not a state of good repair issue. It's an enhancement issue. So if the program gets constrained to state of good repair, it result in that program being extended out in terms of when it's completed.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So can your team get the Council staff--

TOM PRENDERGAST: [interposing] Yes.

2.2

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: --the dates for 3 each.

TOM PRENDERGAST: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay, great.

Thank you. I also wanted to thank you all for last year I raised in the budget hearings the issue of disabling the alarms on the doors, and the kind of noise pollution that that can—that can happen from that and they've all been—they've all been disabled it seems.

TOM PRENDERGAST: I believe they have, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Which I think is great. So thank you for doing that. I wanted to ask about—I know you all are putting in a—a pilot program I think in place with regard to trash and getting rid of trash bins and cans in certain stations to see if that resulted in less trash actually being consumed through the system. And I wanted to see has that been a success? Are you going to try to expand it?

TOM PRENDERGAST: We had an initial pilot that I believe was three stations. Then we expanded it to 20 and it's had mixed results. In some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

stations the reduction or elimination of trash actually resulted in less trash on the trackway. And in other cases, it ended up resulting in more trash. It's a behavioral issues, and in some cases we have excellent results at Main Street in Flushing, which is a very high volume station, but it's a behavioral issue. And at other stations that are smaller in volume, it ends up on the track. There was a series of article in newspaper as recently as two weeks ago. We can show locations where we've got trash canisters on stations with plenty of room in the trash canister for trash to be deposited there. It still ends up on the track or in front of the station. I'm going to be in a position to blame our customers. We're going to have to do whatever we can to possibly clean it up faster. I think we're buy two vacuum trains because it's a lot more efficient to pick up trash with a vacuum train that it is with people down on the tracks in rush hour, or not rush hour, in non-rush hour service. But, it's one of the perennial challenges we've had at New York City Transit.

final question is given the terrorist incidents we saw in Spain and in the United Kingdom related to

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you and my

terrorist threat or an incident?

2.2

lose sight of.

transit [bell] and that New York City is a major

target, what is the anti-terrorism effort that's done

by the MTA in conjunction with our federal officials,

federal enforcement agencies, and the NYPD? Do we

feel like the system is at significant risk of

understand why I wouldn't go into the details of all that's done, but suffice it to say that probably the most aggressive elements of anti-terrorist approach is the New York City Police Department, New York State MTA Counterterrorism Unit. And we are constantly vigilant in terms of treating every threat in the appropriate level of seriousness and a lot of stuff goes on behind the scenes. We've increased the number of cameras on the system. We've increased the awareness of employees, and it's something we never

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I wanted to thank you. I think you've done a great job as Chair. I'm glad that the Governor reappointed you, and to have someone with your knowledge and career doing this--I know you have a very difficult job in front of you. And, I think given the questions you've

[gavel]

2.2

23

2 SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: For the 3 record, we would like to apologize for the delayed 4 5 start of this hearing. Today, was a long day, and 6 one that we had five different agencies testify 7 before us. So, thank you for your patience, 8 Commissioner and, of course, you know, in no way do we mean any disrespect to have you waiting this long. 9 We will now resume the City Council's hearings on the 10 Mayor's Executive Budget for FY 2016. The Finance 11 12 Committee is joined by the Committee on Transportation co-chaired by my colleague Council 13 14 Member Rodriguez. We just heard from the 15 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, and now we 16 will hear from Commissioner Meera Joshi of the Taxi and Limousine Commission. In the interest of time, I 17 18 will forego making an opening statement. But before we hear testimony, I will open the mic to my Co-Chair 19 20 Council Member Rodriguez. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] Give me 21

[pause]

one second here.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [coughs] Good
afternoon. Thank you, Chair Ferreras again--Ferreras

2.2

again. Commissioner, first of all, thank you for your services and especially these days as you've been working so hard to be sure that the Taxi and Limousine Commission is regulating all stakeholders of the taxi industry. So thank you. We will now hear from the Taxi and Limousine Commissioner. Over the last two years, the taxi industry and the commission have seen a marked change. We have seen how new innovation in the way the industry previously operated have brought serious concerns to the forefront about the direction, capacity and resources available. In order to ensure that all players have equal opportunity to assist and succeed as also we protect those men and women that were part of this industry back years ago.

Today, the committee seeks to learn how the--the changing landscape has affected the budget of the Commission, a budget, which is projected to be \$68.3 million in Fiscal 2016. The Commission will update the committee on efforts to implement the citywide accessibility dispatch system, initial intra borough street hail license approved by the State. Also, the committee would like to hear about the enforcement of rules and regulations by the

2.2

Commission, particularly the enforcement of service refusal and illegal street hails. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Chair. Commissioner, my counsel will swear you in and then you can begin your testimony.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I do.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: You may begin.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Good afternoon,

Chair Rodriguez and Chair Ferreras-Copeland, members of City Council [laughs] Transportation and Finance Committee. I'm sure wherever they are, they're listening. I am Meera Joshi, Chair of New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission. And I thank you for the invitation to speak on our agency's 2016

Executive Budget, and how it supports our priorities and core mission. It's self-evident and worth repeating that the for-hire industry in New York City is in the midst of incredible change. Ideas from just five years ago are now part of New Yorkers' everyday experience. Green taxis abound, accessible

2 taxis, Green and Yellow have reached and historical high number. And, although there is still much room 3 4 for improvement, they are picking up passengers who 5 use wheelchairs with a greater frequency than ever 6 before. We have the largest accessible taxi fleet in 7 the nation, and it will continue to grow as we move toward 50% accessible. On the for-hire vehicle side, 8 advances in technology have created income 9 opportunities for drivers, raised customer service 10 and expectation, and brought an explosion in new 11 12 cars. To put this in perspective, numbers are helpful. In June of 2013, we had zero Green taxis on 13 14 the road. One year later, we have over 5,000 Green 15 taxis making 44,000 trips per day. And now two years 16 later, we have over 6,700 Green taxis on the road, making about 55,000 trips per days. As the numbers 17 18 of Yellow and Green taxis have grown, so has the number of wheelchair-accessible taxis. In 2012, 19 20 accessible taxis made up less than 2% of the fleet. Today, they make up 8.5% of the combined fleet. 21 2.2 That's 573 Yellow taxis that are accessible, and 23 1,178 accessible Green taxis. And in the for-hire market as of June 1st, we licensed over 62,000 24 25 vehicles compared to 38,000 in Fiscal 2011. And we

2 licensed over 82,000 compared to 57,000 in Fiscal

3 2011. The growth is the most dramatic in the Black

4 Car sector, which grew from approximately 8,000

5 vehicles in 2011 to 26,200 vehicles today, an

6 increase of over 200%. There are no signs of this

7 growth slowing down. Each month of Fiscal Year 2015,

8 we've issued approximately 2,000 new for-hire vehicle

9 licenses and 2,600 new for-hire driver licenses, an

10 | increase of 124% and 167% respectively.

During this time of change, though, the

TLC has adopted without sacrifice--without

sacrificing our commitment to customer service,

accessibility, safety and accountability. To meet

our needs, our growing license-- To meet the needs of

our growing licensee population, we've made

improvements to our licensing process and there's

still work to be done. In 2014, we instituted the

Qmatic Queuing System at our Long Island facility

with the goal of decreasing the amount of time anyone

has to wait online so drivers can get out of our

office and back on the road as quickly as possible.

We're also moving away from paper application and

files by encouraging people to make payments as well

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 as complete renewals and initial license processes
3 online.

In the back office, we're scanning files so they can be easily retrieved, and we've also instituted a new application tracking database, which allows us to quickly identify and resolve bottlenecks in order to expedite application processing. We've already seen a marked difference in the amount of time it takes the TLC to process driver's licenses. Looking forward, our goal is to update the way we communicate with applicants by alerting them of crucial dates and mixing documents by text or email instead of snail mail, which we use today. On accessibility, to more easily connect the growing fleet of accessible vehicles with passengers who need them, last month we issued a request for proposals for a citywide accessible dispatch program. Today, our Manhattan only service gets about 200 trip requests a day, and we're eager to expand this program in service areas and in volume. We are also partner--partnering with the MTA, and have taken the first small steps towards what we hope to be a broader collaborative effort to streamline Access-A-

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ride service and create savings for both MTA and the City.

On safety, we continue to take the view that a multi-pronged approach will achieve our ultimate goal of zero traffic fatalities. We must vigorously and fairly enforcement while simultaneously educating drivers on road safety and incentivizing them to practice it. Our street safety teams have issued nearly 12,000 safety related summonses since July 2014, an increase of 106% of the same period during prior year. Since formation about a year ago, our Light-R [sp?] Squad has conducted over 78 operations and issued 394 speeding summonses to TLC licensed vehicles. Using crash analytics provided by DOT, we're consistently re-evaluating deployment of this squad. So we're certain to have a presence at the places most notorious for speeding. We continue to suspend and revoke the licenses of drivers who accrue critical driver and persistent violator points. And now as a result of Local Law 30 of 2014, a combination of both.

To protect the public against illegal operations, we seized over 4,700 unlicensed vehicles in the last 11 months, and with valuable assistance

,	1
	from the NYPD, 365 of these seizures were illegal
	commuter vans. At the airports, which hot beds of
	illegal activity, as hustlers prey on unknowing
	tourists, together with the Port Authority Police we
	began a new initiative arresting hustlers inside the
	arrivals terminals. Since inception last November,
	our joint efforts have resulted in over 34 arrests
	sending a strong deterrent message. In terms of
	safety education, we hope to bring on line our
	expanded education efforts by the end of this
	calendar year so all 135,000 TLC licensed drivers
	will get the benefit of safety training. Part of
	that training will be a 15-minute video we produced
	with the invaluable support of Families for Safe
	Streets, Transportation Alternatives and the
	Department of Transportation. Capturing tragic
	candid accounts from five families, each of whom
	needlessly lost a family member to a traffic
	fatality. And our small and incredibly efficient
	External Affairs team hosted nearly 100 outreach
	events since May of last year to educate drivers,
	fleets and base owners about the importance of safe
	driving. To recognize those drivers with stellar

2 records, in September we plan to host our second Safe 3 Driver Awards Ceremony.

Maintaining Accountability. A Smart Phone app based dispatching drives an overall increase in passenger trip volume. Maintaining accountability has required the TLC to reassess its rules. At the end of last year, we passed rules requiring the submission of trip records to equalize accountability among sectors, and we're in the midst of our first trip records collection period. There is still lots of work to be done to streamline the process of collecting records from 900 different bases of varying size. But, the public value overwhelmingly dictates that resources must be expended to ensure that this work is done and as quickly as possible. So, yes, our analysts and MIS personnel are busy. More recently, we proposed rules, many of which increase accountability for Dispatch by App, requiring additional price transparency, itemized receipts and requiring disclosure to the public that serious complaints should be filed with the TLC since it has the sole authority to suspend or revoke a driver's license.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We could not move forward on any of these priorities without a sufficient budget. With \$38.8 million in personal services and \$29.5 in other than personal services, the Executive Budget of \$68.3 million for Fiscal 2016 supports the critical priorities of customer service, accessibility, safety and accountability. Funds have been dedicated in this budget to continue improvements at our Long Island City licensing facility with \$1.1 million dedicated next fiscal year. In October, 2015, we plan to move our location to a more state-of-the-art facility to meet our demand for service. November, we were authorized for ten additional staff to support our applicant licensing and renewal units to improve processing times, and we believe onboarding staff is already starting to show dividends. To date, we have 121 authorized headcount in licensing dedicated to serving the needs of hundreds of businesses [coughs] and an estimated 135,000 drivers in the industry.

On the accessibility front, the budget supports the continued effort of increasing the number of wheelchair-accessible Yellow and Green taxis on the road. the Executive Budget reflects

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

continued implementation of the Street Hail Liver Grant Program with \$18 million in the budget. As of May 15th, 888 grants have been approved with \$13.2 million in total grant awards. This \$54 million grant program provides \$15,000 grants to eligible participants and offsets costs with the purchase of a wheelchair-accessible Green taxi. The Executive Budget provides resources to implement the Taxi Improvement Fund. This accessibility focused fund is financed by a 30 cent surcharge on all Green and Yellow taxi trips. The funds will go to support owners of accessible vehicles to defray the cost of purchase and maintenance to drivers to defray the cost of additional gasoline. The Executive Budget reflects \$465,000 and seven positions to support execution of this multi-million accessible-accessibility fund.

As previously stated, efforts to ensure accountability in all for-hire industries continue. Given the magnitude and complexity of the trip records project underway, the Executive Budget includes four additional positions for the creation of a for-hire vehicle data team. This is a brand new initiative ensuring that similar administrative

2.2

oversight found in the Yellow and Green industries is also present in the for-hire sector. The team is well balanced between administrative enforcement and information technology units. To expand our enforcement efforts, the TLC will establish a La Guardia squad for \$1.2 million to build upon the successes of the collaboration of the Port Authority. This provides for 19 inspectors, lieutenants and captains to reduce illegal activity. To support our Vision Zero goals we continue to implement the safety squad in an estimated \$1 million in addition to \$300,000 in OTPS to support continued education and awareness efforts to promote driver safety.

The Fiscal Year 2015 Revenue Budget has been adjusted to reflect a more realistic and thoughtful schedule of future medallion sales. The city will delay medallion sales until Fiscal Year 2017, with no sales in Fiscal Year 2015 or '16. The TLC will continue to monitor trends in the industry and update rules and regulations as necessary.

This year has been very active. We've seen a record growth in the for-hire vehicle industry, and have provided the administration to effectively manage that growth. We've increased

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

access for people with disabilities, implemented new programs for safety—to improve safety for the riding public, and expanded accountability measures to provide better consumer protection. I look forward to working with the Council to address a changing transportation environment. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you very much Commissioner. I want--I have two questions with the focus will be on taxi medallion sales, and the public hearing on newly proposed car service rules. But before I get into those, I want to talk about something very specific that happens in my district. I have--in my district--my district includes LaGuardia Airport, and it seems that with the culture of-- I don't want to mention one company over another but, you know, if you have an app and you're able to call a cab to come to where you are, what's it's created is we already have issues with cars idling waiting to get into LaGuardia Airport. But it also justifies to--by the business plan, that you just have drivers driving around the neighborhoods that's nearest to airports or City

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Field or the U.S. Open so when customers come out, they can just have the convenience. Now, in you establishing rules you're looking at parameters, you're dealing with this new type of way of doing business in New York City, do you take that into consideration? Because it is an undue burden on the neighborhoods such as mine, and in particular East Elmhurst, to neighborhoods like mine. And while we're talking about One New York City and making it Green and making it wonderful, I have an idling problem in my neighborhood, and I have a problem with drivers use the bathroom in a Snapple jar and leave it as gift for someone on their law. That's like an everyday occurrence. So, from your perspective, how do you mitigate this issue that this new type of way of doing business is creating?

it's--it's two-two-pronged. For the airport specifically, the rules that we have proposed the goal of them is to bring some order to the airport. So that would designate certain places where those cars are allowed to wait while they're--you know, they're likely to get a call for a pre-arranged pickup. Then it would confine where they can wait

2 while they're waiting to get that call to specific areas. Because we have seen the congestion on the 3 shoulders of the roads and clogging up the passenger 4 5 pickup areas. And, you know, the Port Authority has-6 -we're working together with them to try to identify 7 enforcement tools to help the throughput at the airports. Overall, I think your problem is a little 8 different because it's the spillover. You know, what 9 10 happens with the cars that aren't waiting on the airport grounds, but are waiting near the airport 11 12 because that way they'll be more likely to be the first one--if they accept a pickup the first one 13 14 there to do the pickup. And a lot of that comes down 15 to the fact that it's--you know, this is-- As I said 16 in my opening of my testimony, there's been an explosion of new cars. So there's good and bad. 17 The 18 good is people -- the ETA for a car is less than it used to be in the for-hire world. And the bad is 19 20 what effect does that have the community as a whole? Like, what does it mean to have a lot more cars in 21 2.2 your community? And I think you illustrated some of 23 the, you know, graphic down--downsides to that, pee in a bottle. There you go. So, that--that's--you 24 25 also mentioned one plan--One PlaNYC. It's not PlaNYC

2.2

any more. It's One NYC and in that we--we have committed to do a study of what some of the effects are of the explosion of car growth in the FHV world has had some specifically Manhattan congestion. But I think that will help us also have a greater understanding of--of what--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: --unfettered growth has on communities in general.

think that, you know, don't just look at Manhattan, please. You have to look at LaGuardia Airport. You have to look at City Field when there is a game, at the U.S. Open when there is a game. And other areas like that like the non-traditional impact that it has because, you know, while Manhattan may--you can speak to the amount of cars at an exorbitant level, it's still really not as much of a neighborhood like--You it's not at--

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] It's a different type of problem.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: It's a different type--

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: One is congestion.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --it's not someone who is like, you know paying the mortgage for the one-family home and it's a senior who's trying to keep her lawn clean, and she gets a gift left for her.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: You know, like those are the challenges that I really need you to be able to grasp. And while I think it is important that you do the study, it would only be fair that you do the same—the study at the same time in other areas of New York City that have the same level of impact.

mention our Outreach unit, which I encourage you, and we'll make sure your office has all the appropriate contact. We will coordinate with the local precincts to make sure that there is good—there's quality of life summonsing that goes on. Because much of that behavior is not things that sort of amount to a TLC violation, but they do amount to an NYP—PD violation. And we like to work with the community boards and the precincts to make sure that once we

get those kinds of complaints, we're able to direct

the--the local law enforcement--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

5 [interposing] Right.

2.2

plates--

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: --to where those hot spots or problems are.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: You know,
I'm just going to ask that the strategy be a little
bit more long term in neighborhoods like mine because
your staff has engaged and we have done that. We do
it one day, and they know that they can come back the
next day--

14 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --and it's gone. So it has to be like long term so they know--so that there's a culture that is out there that says we can't--these behaviors are not acceptable in this neighborhood. But, right now it's like oh, you know, they'll tell--one will tell the other. And this is not just New York drivers, right. This is like--like as you're coming from Connecticut, these are people--you see all types of license

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

breaking the rules in this way. But I think that it, you know, my community is very, very frustrated. It's probably right housing it's probably my number two complaint in the community. But [coughs] the big picture the taxi medallion Fiscal 2016 it shows you projected to collected \$77.4 million from the various miscellaneous revenue sources. A decrease of \$504.9 million when compared to Fiscal 2015 Adopted Budget of 618. This decrease is primarily due to the reduction in revenue forecast of \$553 million in Fiscal 2016 from the sale of medallions. Can you help us understand why the Fiscal 2016 Executive Budget does not recognize any revenue from the sale of taxi medallions. And I ask this because I think it correlates to the increase. But because it-because there is an increase in cars does not mean that there's an increase in the revenue because we have a slowdown of these medallions. So can you just speak to how you're addressing the strategy, or can you recognize that there is a problem.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So I think that one of the-one of the big parts of the answer is how the budget was originally constructed. We did get

2	authority to sell new medallions, 1,600 new
3	medallions in August or 2014. And when the budget a
4	few years ago was originally constructed, those sales
5	were consolidated into a very short period of time.
6	Never before in the history of medallion sales had so
7	many medallions been scheduled to be sold in such a
8	short period of time. And I think that although it
9	stayed like that for a few, you know, Executive
10	Budgets and Preliminary Budget sessions, ultimately I
11	think that OMB has made the right decision to make
12	the medallion sale scheduled near historic medallion
13	sale schedules. In that we spread out the costthe
14	sale of medallions over many years instead of lumping
15	them altogether into short years. We have a lot of
16	aggressive agendas for our Yellow medallion taxis
17	primarily the accessibility agenda. We reallya
18	priora top priority for the agency is make sure
19	that we get 50to 50% accessibility. And so, we'd
20	like to sort really focus the agency's assets and
21	initiatives on making sure that those medallions that
22	are converting are supported. And that that service
23	can be brought to the general public.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And to date how many approved-of the approved 2,000

24

25

2 medallions have been sold, and what is the total proceeds of those sales? 3

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: It's 350 medallions and I'm going to defer to Midori Valdivia, who I apologize I didn't introduce in the beginning. Midori Valdivia is our Assistant Commissioner for Administration and Operations.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER VALDIVIA: Hello. So to date, we have sold 350 medallions, which has resulted in about \$359 million in revenue for the city.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And how many of the 18,000 street hail licenses have been sold?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER VALDIVIA: believe we have that for the street hail licenses.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So on the first trench--tranche, 4,800 non-waves. So the standards. The not wheelchair-accessible, and 1,200 wheelchairaccessible. So the total of 6,000 was sold, and in the second wave, which we're in now, 1,445 nonwheelchair-accessible, 662 wheelchair-accessible.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The Commission recently held a public hearing to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

discuss the new proposed rules for the industry that include charging a technology fee to car bases that use an app. Can you highlight the committee's--can you highlight for this committee some of the key issues that would be addressed by the new rules. And what are the goals the Commission is seeking to achieve?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: The goal of the Commission is to make sure the same consumer protections are available for passengers regardless of the form of for-hire transportation they choose. So what's available for passengers in Green and Yellow taxis should be available for passengers when they summon a livery or Black Car via an app. So the items that the rules address are things like price transparency. If there's going to be a dynamic or variable pricing, the rules don't set a cap on what that should be. It just provides the customer with information upfront in dollar and cents about how much the cost of the ride should be. So the individual can make an--will be so the individual can make an informed decision about whether or not they want to take the ride. Itemized receipts, which break down some of the costs of the trip and safety

2.2

concerns. One Touch so that the drivers are accepting dispatches by only one touch and that the app is locked down when the vehicle is in motion. A privacy and security policy so bases are apps that have—are collecting lots and lots of—millions of data points for passenger have a privacy policy in place. We don't—we won't review the privacy policy itself. We just need to know that they have one in place. Security in place, and a requirement that we're notified if there's a breach in security. There's a state regulation that already sets up the parameters when they have to notify the state. So we likewise want to be notified if there's state level security breach.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And based on the outcome of your hearing, are you likely to seek language amendment to the proposal before it is voted on by the Board?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: There definitely will be amendments to the rule and we're thorough believers in the capital process. So we put out, you know, everything we want in the rule, and we host lots and lots of stakeholder feedback meetings. We had a really robust hearing. I think it lasted from

2.2

10:00 until 1:30. So we got lots of feedback. And we'll go through that, and we'll continue to get comments, and then three days before the hearing, pursuant to local law, we'll post an amended version of the hearing—of the rules that we plan to vote on at the hearing.

I juts want to know form your perspective as the commissioner, you have in your hands the future of New York City from Yellow to Green to black to, you know, app or whatever comes next. What do you—what do you think will happen or where do you see the industry as a whole ten years from now? Like what should people be preparing for?

should be prepared for a better service. We've got more competition, and that's going to drive up service. But people should also be prepared for that New York City is a city that has a solid infrastructure and it's that solid infrastructure that brings new residents, that brings tourists, that keeps people in the city. And that any regulatory—every regulatory system must also provide that balance. So that things like what you raised in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

very beginning community concerns are part of our regulatory framework. So that we don't have growth without a balance of what are the larger community concerns. So I think that our work going forward is (1) letting the advances that bring about, flourish and that is better customer service. More efficient ways to hook up drivers and vehicles. Better vehicles as better vehicles come online. Wheelchairaccessible vehicles. There's lots of advances that we can incorporate and are incorporating now. we're going to be balancing them, and that's part of what our app rule proposals are with protections so that the public -- the consumer doesn't lose out, the driver doesn't lose out. And things like addressing congestion at the airport so that the communities within these car services are flourishing also don't lose out.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: And, you know, I think there's something to be said about the business aspect, right. This is a budget hearing.

We want to be able to count on stable revenue. So if we're proposing medallion sales that the City is actively working on support the sale of those medallions. But also while, you know, the new

2.2

neighborhoods will see some gentrification, but
neighborhoods that have not been served for years
while there were bases in the neighborhoods, for
example, Americana [sic] Car Service or whatever that
is--that have been doing it for years, you know, I
would hope that we're not doing anything to
aggressively displace those businesses that have been
there for the neighborhoods, and have been providing
the service. But because of a nuance in how we do
business that we are really hampering the industry.
So while I understand that we have to go with growth
and go where the customers want, doing it in a vacuum
eliminates options for constituents. And we can't
just give, you know, people in Corona or Washington
Heights just one option.

New York is a city of choice and we've also seen--and I think this is, you know, another great thing for New York and for New York neighborhoods that a lot of the local car services are banding together to come with their own apps. So they're bringing the next generation in their communities online with an app that services their community. And I think that's a, you know, that's an excellent outcome from some of

the, you know, all of the change. But we're

absolutely mindful that choice is part of what makes

New York a great city. And if we didn't have choice,

you know, it wouldn't be such an energetic place to

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Great.
Chair Rodriguez.

Thank you Chair. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But in that direction what we I believe need to fix is that who have invested money with the expectation that they have an exclusive right to provide services in a certain area. So I believe that for someone that is investing in a Yellow taxi medallion they go for \$50,000 and now they--because they were working so hard they saw the value being increased. And be able to use the value to apply for a loan to send their students to college or pay for the--get into the mortgage to buy the houses. They made that investment with expectations that in places such as JFK and La Guardia they would have exclusive to be the one that pick up. And again, as a former taxi driver, I want to be fair to everyone. So what I'm saying is now putting aside what I expect should be

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

be.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the opportunity for everyone to do good in life. But
3 I believe it is a responsibility there.

So when were are saying that now we are getting rid of who had the exclusive to do the pickup at JFK and La Guardia, that is something that I believe we have to work. And we cannot -- and again if we say yes they are the ones that had the exclusive on the Yellow taxi or the one that is doing the lane, that we are scared. We know that we fix--we can make the arrangement there. But if through the app services application--app services a mile away from the airport there's a particular area where those drivers. Now they can be as waiting for someone who is landing in the airplane and from the airplane make the arrangement there I believe is unfair for those that have bought the Yellow medallion with the expectation that they were the one that have the exclusive to make the arrangement. So they had the right to do a hail.

So, you know, like for me to identify—
identify area as a solution for drivers who have been around the airport, I don't think that's the right thing to do. I think that we need to continue, and I want to, you know, congratulate you for your

leadership. And continue thinking about any other step that we have to take to regulate the industry. I believe that it is a different story if a driver or someone get into buying a car, get insurance, invest \$10,000 and here he or she is in the street as I was, to someone who is investing \$700,000. I think that those who are driving the livery, those who are driving the Black Car they should be able to do fine. And in the city as we say that we have 57 million tourists last year, that we have a million residents. Everyone should be doing fine. But for me, I think that we have to continue identifying areas on how to regulate all players of this industry. I believe in the rules that we voted, we are getting close to that. But I believe that still there's--there's much more work that we got to do.

So, you know, one of my questions is related to that like how is the solution for those drivers that are close to the--to La Guardia, it's like okay let's identify the area for them to stay. When what we are saying is all they're doing is waiting on the apps to make the arrangement with those--with the passenger.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So, I mean, one of the—as I mentioned, one of the goals of our app rules is to clarify because there have been holding lots up—there have been a holding lot at JFK, which isn't utilized nearly as much as it should be. But it provides some order to it. And quite frankly, before the apps people would go to JFK because they knew if they waited there, they would probably get a call. So I don't think that people waiting in and around airports is a—is conduct that is just because of apps, but it's certainly become more prevalent of apps.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Very much more prevalent because of apps. But the waiting area I believe used to have a fee, right? So it's cheaper to park outside.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I don't think the JFK one does, but I'll find out.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: The La Guardia Airport one does.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: La Guardia they've recently proposed at 200-lot area where I think--I'll confirm what the fee is, but I think the fee was

2.2

pretty nominal, but it may be a dollar. But I will
double check, but even a dollar--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] A dollar is more than--is more than
free--

7 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] Free, 8 right.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: --on 97th

Street or 94th Street. And then is there other

things perhaps that you--

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] I'm sorry. I just found that. It is free for 30 minutes and they came to our hearing and testified that if you want to sit in it for 30 minutes, turn around, go out and come right back in. They'll be fine with that. So, it will be free.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay. So free for 30 minutes and maybe is there a way, or have you see other cities do something to incentivize?

Maybe free Wi-Fi or something else to kind of get the drivers to go there for a reason?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Well, there are some businesses around the airport that would like the drivers to wait on their premises. They offer car

2.2

washes. They offer other amenities that they're selling. So there's a benefit for them, and we heard from some of them during the hearing. So, we're definitely going to reach out and figure out how there's-- You know, even if it's not a rules based thing, if there's a way that either the airport or the businesses can recognize--you know, help us basically. And help the city by providing a more comfortable and attractive place for them to wait.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I--I just believe that anything that we use that facilitates [sic] the Yellow taxi to be the one that provides the services to our airport is something that we should address. And instead of creating the better condition, I think that we have so much need for transportation in the outer boroughs. We have so much need for transportation in other areas. But when we see some new player in the industry that now they're coming, they're trying to get--take advantage, are those who invested millions of dollars. Because they expected that they were the ones that have the right to have the exclusive on doing the street hail at the airport. That's what I--I don't even know that the solutions that you can put on the table. I just hope

2.2

that instead of creating the better conditions for someone to--hundreds of drivers to be as waiting, and yet do the pickup at the JFK, I just hope that we can continue that conversation. And see, I mean are we getting into the exclusive right that someone that bought that medallion assumed he or she had. That's my concern there.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Does anyone who do--open any corporation Yellow --in the Yellow taxi industry or anyone in the taxi has to do any environmental impact?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Every medallion--we cannot sell an medallion unless there's an environmental study done beforehand.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So why Uber and Lyft are not mandated to do an environmental impact?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: That's statutorily required. State law does not allow me to cap on that livery or Black Car worlds. So those are open markets that the TLC as an agency doesn't have the authority to cap.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How many cars does Uber have so far?

2 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: What I know is how
3 many cars or what I can tell you I think is how many
4 cars are affiliated to an Uber base and let me see.

5 The number is around 17,000.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 17,000 of all? [background comments]

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I can give you the exact number, but I know it's 17,000 plus. It's more than there are Yellow taxis on the street.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And--and, again,
I just hope that TLC that we provide the resources
that we empower the agency for the agency to be able
to check on anyone of the players in this industry.
As I say, my wife is a customer of Uber. I have not
been a customer of Uber and Lyft. I have been
against anyone, the consumer who likes to use
technology to do the pre-arrangement. I'm all about
everyone who is part of this industry should be
subject to the same rules and regulations. And
again, if this is something that we are preempted by
the state, I just hope that we find a way. But I
believe that everyone, especially anyone that has
more than 17,000 in the street, they should be
subject to do an environmental impact so that we can

also to do the environmental impact.

2.2

have ear on how much--how those drivers are--those cars are contaminating our environment. How are we going to do better? I think that this is something that as a city we mandate so individuals in the -- in so many institutions to provide the environmental impact, and I just hope also that we get something done when it comes to also mandating not just the Yellow taxi industry but everyone in the industry 

Last week, it was reported that Mayor

Carmen Yulin of San Juan, Puerto Rico was refused

service by a Yellow cab driver who claimed that he

didn't know how to get her from Greenwich Village to

the Bronx. Can you tell us how successful the

Commission's enforcement efforts on service refusal

have been. And have you been notified of this

situation? What has been the follow up of that

situation?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So we were note--we were notified actually through social media, and we followed up immediately with the speaker and I myself spoke to the Mayor the next day. And then, our Assistant Commissioner for Prosecutions spoke to the Mayor at length to get some additional information so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we could identify. There's actually two drivers that were involved. And we identified successfully both drivers. One, the initial driver that refused-outright refused, but the driver that she--when she actually got a cab and got into the cab, the driver sort of was at a minimum very discourteous about having to take her to the Bronx. So we identified both and we've begun prosecution actions against both drivers, and we've notified the Mayor and given her an update. And I have to say I was really pleased with the open-mindedness. You know, she went through a horrible experience, inexcusable. And if that happens once, it's one too many times. But cognizant of the, you know, the difficulty drivers have making an income, and often the derivation of the refusal is the fear of a return--not getting a return fare. And commented to us that she wants us to prosecute, but she is mindful of how difficult it is for drivers to make an income. So striking that balance. Overall, we take refusals extremely seriously, and we prosecute them every time we get consumer complaints. And we have our own undercover operations where we test out, and we generate TLC cases based on our own undercover operations. We're also doing -- I think the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

other half of refusals is making sure that customers come to us, and they understand how to get in touch with us when they've experienced a refusal. And what kind of information we need in order to successfully prosecute. So we're in the midst of finalizing a public service announcement that will go through what is a refusal? That you should report a refusal because it's only through reporting that we can take, you know, exact a penalty from the driver that's refused you and hopefully spread a deterrent message. And our goal is to get that out in the public in the next few weeks. We obviously have a captive audience in the taxis, but to the extent that it's something that we could share with council members and they share with their communities in a, you know, in a medium outside of taxi TV. Because you haven't been refused if you're inside the taxi. We'd like to get to that broader audience. We'd love to work with you in disseminating that message.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good. I just believe, you know, the message have to be very clear to any drivers, every drivers. The law is the law and no one--no driver has a right to refuse to take any passenger to any destination. And I think that,

2.2

you know, no excused. We understand as the former driver that I was I--when you are behind the wheel, and sometimes spending time, wasting time and gas, you want to get a passenger and move quick. But it's not legal, and for a driver to do that. And I think that, you know, they should get--everyone should get the message. So we have great drivers, very professional. I'm very proud of the drivers overall, but we have the bad apple everywhere in the drivers industry, in the governmental, in the businesses.

And we're going to have to keep in check to be sure everyone is doing the right thing. How many summons were issued to drivers for service refusal by TLC in Fiscal 2013 and 2014, and how many have been issued to date in Fiscal 2015?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So, I don't have the 2013 numbers available right now. We'll make sure that we get them to you. But 2014, Fiscal Year 3,150 and in 2015, Fiscal Year to date 2,538. No, I'm sorry. I'm giving you passenger complaints. The ones that actually turned into summonses are for Fiscal Year 2014, 2,981 and for Fiscal Year 2015, 2,160. So that's ones where a penalty was the end result. And I think it's important to not that if

you compared and based on my prior experience at the CCRB, your complaint rate sometimes is very different

5 small differential between the complaint rate and the

than your follow-through rate, but here we see a very

6 follow-through rate. So, I think it's a testament

7 to--we have 20 prosecutors dedicated to consumer

8 complaints including refusals. And they're outreach,

9 they are immediate outreach. They call the

10 complainant right back, and their efforts to engage

11 gives us a smaller thank I think normal delta between

12 | the number of people who complain to us, and the

13 number of people who follow through and we get a

14 penalty exacted from the driver. It's a few hundred

15 between the complains and the final summonses.

16 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

taxi close to I think in the district represented by Antonio Reynoso. And he went from that part to City

Commissioner, a constituency who work here took a

20 College, and this is probably like \$50 or \$55 he

21 said. I don't know much the fare is. However, that

22 was--when we had like a little rain. Therefore, with

23 the surcharge, he was charged \$90.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: In a Yellow taxi?

24

17

18

19

1

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: In a--in an Uber 3 taxi.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How can we regulate—and as you know, I'm a supporter of a 20% surcharge, which is the average that Black, Yellow and the rest use. Can we work since you also have the authority to do it and we can do it together. Can we work to establish a surcharge that should not be more than 20%?

and I know that the Council is actively considering a bill to limit the amount of a surcharge someone could—an app. That's the most prevalent medium, could charge. What we've done, I think is an important first step in the Apples. We've proposed the price transparency. So, for example, the colleague of yours that got in a car, and expected to pay about \$50 and ended up pay about \$90 once our rule is passed, once he got in that—once he accepted the call, the \$90 figure would have to pop up away. So he could make that decision about whether he wants to pay \$90 or not before he starts the ride. And I think that's a very important first step.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I just hope that we can work together, and since have the authority to do it, be sure that no player in the industry come out with a surcharge that is more than what the rate is of what the industry is using right now. Our responsibility is to be sure—to be sure that we are for the consumer. And I think that to have a fare that moves from \$50 to \$90, you know, it is not the way of how we can keep—on how we keep transportation affordable for our working—class and for our middle—class. And I just hope that we can continue working together toward that.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Absolutely. I'm sure our staffs will be in contact, and I look forward to working with you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Chair. We will now hear from Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I appreciate
Chairs your hard work all day today. It's very
impressive. It's so good to see you, and thank you
for coming to the Council and testifying. Is it

- 2 | Director? I know I'm not supposed to say
- 3 | Commissioner.
- 4 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I don't know. I
- 5 | think you can. [laughs]
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Commissioner
- 7 Joshi, it's always great to see you.
- 8 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Good to see you,
- 9 too.

- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. I'm
- 11 looking for notes. I wanted to ask you why is it--
- 12 | could you explain. I'm a little late to the game,
- 13 | but could you explain why you're able to regulate on
- 14 | this surcharge, but not on the larger--what areas can
- 15 the TLC regulate Uber and Lyft?
- 16 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Well, we regulate
- 17 | Black Car and livery sectors, and in our charter
- 18 | authority there's a list that I don't have committed
- 19 | to memory, but I'm going to do my best to sort of go
- 20 | through. But it's Vehicle Standards. It's standards
- 21 | for licensure, and rate setting, which we've
- 22 exercised extensively in the medallion taxi world.
- 23 And there are specific parameters that we consider in
- 24 | the medallion taxi world in setting rates. [coughs]

2 And then we have the ability to do pilot projects to 3 test out new ideas.

setting, you could within that world--do the Uber-
COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] I mean

I guess--is your question could the agency cap

search. You know, I would defer to the Law

Department to finally answer that but I'm--I'm-
there's a good possibility that's within the realm of our authority.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So in rate

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So they're considered the Black Car?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So you can also hypothetically regulate vehicle standards?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes, and standards for licensure. And if you want, our--I can have our Legal Department sort of go through the relevant charter section with you, and point out, you know, the bucked within which we can regulate. So things like the standards for licensure and then whether you maintain a license, whether it's suspended, whether it's revoked.

2.2

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

with your experience how you compare the market system of regulation with Uber and Lyft where passengers are raiding the drivers. How do you compare that to, you know, prosecution or issuing a complaint, a complaint driven system through the TLC with medallion drivers.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So that's one item that we've taken up in our Apples. We have required--the Apples would require every app to disclose to passengers that the only way to actually achieve a suspension or revocation of a driver's license is by reporting through 311. And the genesis of that is there are egregious, you know, instances where a driver behaves in an egregious manner. And if the customer doesn't inform us, but the customer informs the app only or the base only because this isn't really an app-centric issue. It just is highlighted and there's more growth in the app industry. But if the customer just reports it to the dispatcher, then the dispatcher may take action. They could give the customer their money back, which for a lot of people ends it. Like, okay, I got my money back. I don't need to complain any more. And they tell them well

2.2

we've kicked them off the app. We've kicked that driver off the app. But what happens is that driver just goes a different app, and some other customer is now subject to that behavior because most people unfortunately have got things—People have habits including bad habits. So bad behavior follows. If we include in the disclosure to passengers that the only to suspend and revoke is you call 311 and you notify us. And we put into motion the prosecutorial process. Then hopefully more passengers will be willing to make the call to us, rather than to the app. So that we can take actual action that would bar the driver from interfacing with the public in a for-hire capacity again.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So they would have to go down that route in order to not then sign up with another app?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: The--we have--I mean it's the passenger's choice to contact us.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: But we need to make sure the passenger is informed of what the difference in end result is. If they report to the app alone, there may be no, you know, ultimate bar. But if they

2.2

report to us, then if the conduct warrants, there
will be a suspension or a revocation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It seems like with the information about making a complaint, your public announcement that—it might be interesting to include that that refers to the Black Car service as well.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Well, that would be something that's required on every dispatch by app and generally those--today, those are primarily in the Black Car and livery sector. So you would cover all of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right. I'd be happy to have a link to a PSA about that on my site for my website to get the world out.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposing] Okay, we're happy to talk with you. You know, our staffs can get together and talk about— Right on—right on the front page of our website it says, you know, how to file a complaint. So, you know, we like to guide people to 311 or straight to our website and try to make the process as easy as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great. I just want to ask a couple of very quick questions about

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- your contract budgets. Do you know how you are doing
  in terms of aspirational MWBE goals for your

  contracts?
- 5 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I'm going to defer 6 to Midori.
- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
  - ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER VALDIVIA: Hi. So to date, in Fiscal Year 2015 for the first two quarters we're at 14% MWBE participation, and last year we were at 18% for the Fiscal Year 2014.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And of all your contracts, could you--you don't have to do it right now, but give me a--break out for me which are professional services, which are goods, you know, the different categories and how you're doing in each of those categories.
  - COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Sure. We'll be happy to provide that information.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And do you have strategies internally for increasing those percentages.
- 23 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER VALDIVIA: So we
  24 continually work with the Mayor's Office of
  25 Contracts. You know, under Local Law 1, city

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2 agencies are required to create utilization plans that included yearly MWBE participation goals. This 3 4 often applies if the total contract award exceeds \$5 5 million. [bell] We're not quite there yet. So 6 historically, that's not been the case. TLC, though, 7 remains committed to increasing opportunities for MWBE so we continue to work with the Mayor's Office 8 of Contracts to sort of create--create utilization of 9 10 that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I'd be interested in seeing your utilization plan for how you're--what your goals are an how you'll move up, if it's possible.

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_valdivia:} Assistant commissioner valdivia: Sure.$  Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It's always a pleasure.

22 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Likewise.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
you, Council Member and Commissioner, we have
additional questions we're going to get to you. So

if you could get back the responses to us as soon as possible because we'll use them while we're finishing up the negotiations of our budget.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Sure. So these are written questions you'll forward to me. Excellent.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

Excellent. Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Of

course. This concludes our hearing for today. The

Finance Committee will resume Executive Budget

hearings for Fiscal 2016. Tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. in

the Chambers, tomorrow the Finance Committee will be

joined by the Committee on Governmental Operations to

hear from the Department of Citywide Administrative

Services, the Law Department, the Board of Elections

public will be invited to testify again on June 9th, the last day of budget hearings at approximately 1:30 p.m. in this room. For any member of the public who wishes to testify but cannot make it to the hearing, you can email your testimony the Finance Division at financetestimony@council.nyc.gov and the staff will

and the Campaign Finance Board. As a reminder, the

2.2

1	1			
1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION			372
2	make it a part of the official record.	Thank	you	and
3	this hearing is now adjourned.			
4	[gavel]			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 11, 2015