CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

December 11, 2014 Start: 10:25 a.m. Recess: 7:45 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Daniel Dromm

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile
Daniel R. Garodnick
Margaret S. Chin
Stephen T. Levin
Deborah L. Rose
Mark S. Weprin

Jumaane D. Williams

Andy L. King Inez D. Barron Chaim M. Deutsch

Mark Levine Alan N. Maisel Antonio Reynoso Mark Treyger

Ursulina Ramirez
Chief of Staff to Chancellor Farina of the
Department of Education

Ainsley Rudolfo Executive Director of Programs and Partnerships at DOE Office of Equity and Access

Robert Sanft
Chief Executive Director of DOE Office of
Student Enrollment

Toby Ann Stavisky New York State Senator 16<sup>th</sup> District

Jeffery Dinowitz Assemblyman

William Colton Assemblyman

Nancy Tong Committee Woman

Janella Hinds United Federation of Teachers

Esmeralda Simmons Center for Law and Social Justice

Jose Perez
Latino Justice PRLDEF

Lazar Treschan
Community Service Society of New York

Rachel Kleinman NAACP Legal Defense and Education Fund

Yasmin Secada Parent Leadership Project

Lisa Donlan CEC 1

David Goldsmith CEC 13

Ujju Aggarwal Parent Leadership Project

Larry Cary
Brooklyn Tech Alumni Coalition

Horace Davis Brooklyn Tech Alumni Foundation

Alyssa Stein Brooklyn Tech PTA President

Mark Williams Brooklyn Tech

Dishan Gondol Brooklyn Tech High School Senior

David Bloomfield Brooklyn College

David Tipson Appleseed New York

Linda Tropp University of Massachusetts Amherst President

Michael Alves
Massachusetts DOE

Carole Brown
Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative

Tanya Messado Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative

Kimberly Williams Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative

Heidi Reisch Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative

Triana D'Orazio Committee for Hispanic Children

Randi Levine
Advocates for Children

Mitchel Wu Coalition for Asian-American Children and Families

Jane Lee Delgado NYC Public

Liz Rosenberg NYC Public

Halley Potter Century Foundation

Dan Rubenstein Brooklyn Prospect Charter School

Miriam Nunberg Brooklyn Urban Gardens Charter School

Eric Joerss NYC Charter Center

Ayana Bahine Families at Arts and Letters K-8

Sarah Camiscoli Families at Arts and Letters K-8

Timothy Martinez Families at Arts and Letters K-8

Julissa Cruz Integrate NYC for Me

Samantha Ramos
Integrate NYC for Me

Michael Mascetti Science Schools Initiative

Carlos Guzman Science Schools Initiative

Valerie Boss Science Schools Initiative

Tendaye Watkins Science Schools Initiative

Glyn Caddell Staten Island Technical High School Alumni Association

Steve Chung United Chinese Association of Brooklyn Embrace

Michael Hilton

Poverty and Race Research/National Coalition on
School Diversity

Kamala Carmen NYC Public

Jimmy Li Brooklyn Asian Community Empowerment

Jim DeVore
Past President of Community Education Council
for District 15

Sue Schneider Former Director of Remedial Reading School for Adults with Disabilities

Elizabeth Eilaender Stuyvesant

Pamela Skinner
Blacks and Browns of the Big Three

Christina Alfonso Stuyvesant High School Alumni Association

Romeo Alexander Stuyvesant Alum

Soo Kim Stuyvesant High School Alumni Association

Keiran Carpen Stuyvesant Student Union President

Wai Wah Chin Stuyvesant School Leadership team

Stanley Blumenstien
Past Assistant Principal at Bronx Science

Doctor Ivan Kahn CEO Kahn's Tutorial

Santiago Munoz Bronx Science Student

Johnathan Roberts
Vice Chair of Bronx Science Alumni Association

Vincent Galasso Past Bronx Science Principal

Michael Weiss

David Lee
Director of Coalition EDU

Jennifer Krueger Public school parent

Faye Moore Bronx Science Graduate

Ray Feige Brooklyn Tech Alum

Heady Chappelle

Charles Varishka

Dennis Saffran Lawyer

Phil Gimm
Coalition EDU

Ying He Chin Lee Stuyvesant Student

Michael Benjamin

David Garcia Rosen
DOE Former Director of Small Schools Athletic
League

Ron Cau Representing Sonja Pablovich

Adam Feilich Bronx Science Alum

Karen Barbinell
Teacher at PS 304

Robert Gezelter Bronx Science Alum

Laura Hamilton

Ara Arem
Alum of Lehman College

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Good morning and 3 welcome to the Education Committee's Oversight 4 hearing on diversity in New York City Schools. 5 name is Daniel Dromm and I'm the Chair of the 6 Education Committee, and I'm joined by my colleagues 7 Council Member Andy King from the Bronx, Council 8 Member Mark Weprin from Queens, and other members will be joining us shortly. Today we'll also hear 10 testimony on a bill and two resolutions, Proposed 11 Intro 511A sponsored by Council Member Brad Lander, 12 and Resolution 453 Ritchie Torres and Resolution 442 13 sponsored by Council Member Inez Barron. I'll talk 14 more about these items shortly after some opening 15 remarks, and then we'll move on to hear statements 16 from the lead sponsors of the legislation we're 17 considering today. We're fortunate to live in one of 18 the world's most diverse cities, but our schools are 19 some of the most segregated in the country. Most New 20 Yorkers value diversity and would probably be shocked 21 to learn that approximately half of the city's 2.2 schools have a concentration of at least 90 percent 23 black and Hispanic students, and with less than 10 24 percent white enrollment are considered intensely

segregated. In fact, the lack of diversity in city

2	schools has contributed to New York State being
3	judged to have the most segregated schools in the
4	nation according to a 2014 report by the Civils
5	Rights Project at UCLA. The overall population of
6	students in city schools is very diverse, 40 percent
7	of our students are Hispanic, 28 percent are black,
8	15 percent are Asian, and just under 15 percent are
9	white. However, that same diversity is rarely
10	reflected in individual schools. So, why should we
11	care whether all our schools are diverse or not?
12	Because racial and economic integration of schools is
13	one of the few education reforms that has proven to
14	increase the educational achievement and
15	opportunities of minority and low income children.
16	Not surprisingly, the best way to ensure that
17	educational resource are equitably distributed among
18	all children is to allow all children access to the
19	same schools. Research shows that black and Hispanic
20	students integrated schools perform better on tests,
21	have higher graduation rates, better life
22	opportunities, and higher income as adults. Further,
23	many studies show benefits for students of all races
24	and ethnicities attending diverse schools.

Interaction with classmates of different backgrounds

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and perspectives enhances complex thinking in all students. Diversity in the classroom also improves cross-racial understanding and reduces racial prejudice, increases civic engagement, produces greater sensitivity, and a greater desire to live and work in multiracial settings. In addition, diverse schools are linked to a host of positive learning outcomes for white students, including the promotion of critical thinking and problem solving skills and higher academic achievement. Attending diverse schools can also provide social advantages for white students, such as more friendship across racial lines, less stereotyping and higher levels of cultural competence. Cultural competency refers to the ability to effectively work with and relate to others across racial and ethnic lines and offers a critical advantage in a democratic society in the multi-racial workplace of the future. The bottom line is, diversity is essential for high quality schools and effective education. And I want to make it clear that when I talk about diversity I mean all forms of diversity, race, ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, language, disability, sexual orientation and gender identity, housing status and so on. Clearly,

2	this is an important topic and we have a lot to
3	examine today regarding diversity in the New York
4	City public schools. The committee also looks
5	forward to hearing testimony from parents, students,
6	educators, advocates, unions, CEC members and others
7	on this issue. As I stated earlier, we will also
8	hear testimony on proposed Intro 511A, Resolution 553
9	and Resolution 442 today. Proposed Intro 511A would
10	require the Department of Education to submit to the
11	Council and post on the DOE's website an annual
12	report by October 31 <sup>st</sup> with data on the current
13	composition of the student body in each school and
14	district including the data for charter schools and
15	special programs. This bill would also require the
16	DOE to report on progress and efforts toward
17	increasing diversity within schools. Resolution 453
18	calls on the New York City Department of Education to
19	officially recognize the importance and benefits of
20	school diversity and to set it as a priority when
21	making decisions regarding admissions policies,
22	creation of new schools, school rezoning and other
23	decisions. Resolution 453 also calls on the DOE to
24	commit to having a strategy in each district for
25	overcoming impediments to school diversity.

2	Resolution 442 calls on the New York State
3	legislature to pass and the Governor to sign S.7738
4	and Assembly 9979 to change the admissions criteria
5	for New York City's specialized high schools. Before
6	I call on my colleagues to make their statements,
7	there's a few things I need to clear up. There has
8	been a lot of media attention to Resolution 442 and
9	proposed changes in the specialized high school
10	admissions. Unfortunately, a lot of misinformation
11	has also been spread, and we're getting emails and
12	petitions from people that is based on this
13	misinformation. This legislation would establish
14	multiple measures of student merit to be used in
15	addition to the test to determine admissions to the
16	specialized high schools. Specifically, these
17	measures of student merit would include a student's
18	grade point average, state test scores and attendance
19	records, except that approved schools absences not be
20	included as part of this analysis. The legislation
21	also requires the Chancellor to make a written
22	explanation of the weights given to different factors
23	publicly available and to conspicuously post notice
24	of the specialized high school entrance examination.
25	It's important to note that there is bread consensus

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

among the leading organizations in the area of educational test measurement that high stake test decisions with a major impact on a student's educational opportunities such as admission to the specialized program should not be based on the results of a single test. Instead, multiple measures are needed for high stakes decisions. In recognition of that, schools throughout the country have moved to multiple measures for their admission process, leaving New York City as the only place that still relies on a single test admission for specialized high school. I've heard many people express the view that a student is much more than a single test score, and I agree. Basing the entire judgment of a student on a single test score from a single day is not an exact science. There's some subjectivity even in a test, as is the case with students with the resources to purchase extensive test preparation services. They certainly have an advantage over other students without such resources and preparation. Some critics maintain that admission test scores may not always be based solely on merit, as some test prep companies teach students tricks to game the test. All the more reason why additional measures should be used. Ιf

2	there is wide disagreement with the additional
3	measures that are proposed in the State Legislation,
4	then there should be further discussion to determine
5	what the best measures would be. Hopefully, we can
6	have some of that dialogue here today. We've also
7	heard from some organizations who believe that the
8	proposed changes in admission process will not lead
9	to the desired student diversity, so they propose
10	other strategies, such as improving the quality of
11	middle schools and providing additional test prep
12	services for disadvantages students among others. I
13	certainly agree that these and other steps will be
14	needed to achieve high levels of student diversity ir
15	schools throughout the city, as well in the
16	specialized high schools. We hope to hear more such
17	ideas and successful practices today. I would like
18	to remind everyone who wishes to testify that you
19	must fill out a witness slip, which is located over
20	here on the desk of the Sergeant at Arms near the
21	front of the room. If you wish to testify on
22	proposed Intro 511A, Reso 453 or Reso 442, please
23	indicate on the witness slip whether you are here to
24	testify in favor or in opposition to the bill or the
25	resolution. I also want to point out that we will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not be voting on the bill or the resolutions today as this is just the first hearing. To allow as many people as possible to testify, testimony will be strictly limited to three minutes per person, and I must stick by that. We do have an awful lot of slips that have been turned in today. Now, I'd like to turn the floor over to my colleagues, Brad Lander, to my colleague Brad Lander for his remarks regarding proposed Intro 511A. Council Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very

much, Chair Dromm, and thanks especially for convening this important hearing. I know you've got a long docket and getting this hearing in this year in the 60th anniversary year of Brown versus Board of Education, I think is very important and a signal of your leadership. And I want to thank the Department of Education for being here and for having done a lot of work, you know, in dialogue with the Council in starting to think about this and how we can move forward. As you so eloquently stated, and as I think we'll hear throughout the day, the challenges of segregation and the opportunities of diversity in our schools are critical, fundamental moral issues. fact that 60 years after Brown V. Board, New York has

2	the most segregated schools in the country, and in
3	some places we're moving backwards are a fundamental,
4	moral problem for the city that we absolutely have to
5	face up to, and the urgency at this moment especially
6	in what we're seeing in the streets and throughout
7	the city of what it means if we fail to create and
8	build a city of diverse students and diverse
9	leadership is a powerful issue, and you know, we know
10	and the evidence says and you cited it and we'll hear
11	it as well, diversity is better for all students.
12	It's not simply an issue that's separate but equal,
13	it is inherently unequal. It is that the kids we
14	want for the future, the kids I want for the future,
15	the kids we need to provide leadership in the 21 <sup>st</sup>
16	century and the education they get, it matters if we
17	can create diverse schools. So, I really want to
18	thank you for convening the hearing at all. It is
19	obviously not a simple problem to solve. We've got a
20	heavily residentially segregated city and certainly
21	at the elementary school level. That's one of, not
22	the only, but a core driver of school segregation,
23	and thinking about the things that we can do to
24	confront that problem at the elementary, the middle
25	and the high school level: admissions itself is an

2	inherently complex process as we'll hear, and surely
3	complex in a system with 1,700 schools and a million
4	kids. So there is not a simple, single bullet
5	answer, but that cannot be a reason why we don't step
6	up to the plate and think about the ways to move
7	forward, because there are solutions, some
8	legislative and some on the ground. We've got three
9	legislative solutions before us today. I'm proud to
10	be the lead sponsor of one, but I actually want to
11	start very briefly with the one that is sponsored by
12	Council Member Ritchie Torres, a resolution asking
13	DOE simply, but importantly to establish diversity as
14	a core policy goal in admissions and other realms
15	when decision making is taking place. That may seem
16	subtle or obvious, but if we don't have it as a core
17	goal, then it can't get built into admissions and
18	other critical decision making. So, a very important
19	resolution. Then my piece of legislation, Intro
20	511A, would require the tracking of year by year
21	progress toward that goal and give us the additional
22	data needed to really see what's going on better at
23	the school, the district and the citywide level. And
24	then, as you mentioned, there is Council Member
25	Barron's resolution on the specialized high schools.

Obviously, as you can tell from outside the most in
some ways contentious issue of today's hearing. But
in addition to the things you said, I also want to
flag that that covers by State Law Three and by
additional city policy, a total of nine of our 1,700
schools. Important issue, important leaders,
important schools to be sure, but either three or
nine of 1,700, and I hope today's hearing will hold
the breath that diversity is a critical and relevant
issues across all 1,700 schools, and I hope members
of the media who I know will be here as well as of
all us, you know, work on that issue. It is
important, but also keep it in that broader context.
And then finally, I want to note that there are
things that can be done by legislation, and I'm happy
we're considering them, but there are many things
that have to be done on the ground in different ways,
both through DOE policy and practice, but there is so
much leadership being provided in the schools and
districts across the city already by educators, by
parent advocates, by students themselves, but
principals and superintendents and CEC's, and many of
them are here and we'll hear from some of them. If we
can come out of this hearing not only raising the

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 profile, the issue moving forward on the legislation, but strengthening and building the community of 3 practice together of people that are working toward confronting, moving toward ending segregation and 5 6 promoting more diverse schools, that will really be a 7 great achievement if we can empower those districts and those schools and those educators that are taking 8 us in that direction. That will be a great step 9 10 forward. So again, Chair Dromm, I want to thank you, and I also want to thank your -- the great staff, Jan 11 12 Atwell, Joan Pabloni [sp?] and Asia Schamberg [sp?] 13 for their work as well as my policy director, Ben 14 Smith, who've done a lot of work in advancing this 15 hearing. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And I also want to thank Asia Schamberg, Jan Atwell, Joan Pabloni, Medina Netzamitindine [sp?], and Norah Yaya [sp?] for all the work that they have done on this committee. And I would like to say that we have been joined by Council Member Andy King from the Bronx, Council Member Inez Barron from Brooklyn, Council Member Mark Treyger from Brooklyn, Council Member Alan Maisel from Brooklyn, and Council Member Chaim Deutsch from Brooklyn, who is also the Chair of the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Committee on Nonpublic Schools. So thank you all for being here. And now, I'd like to give Council Member Barron the opportunity--Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry I said that. Council Member Andy King is who I meant to say. We are lucky we have two Andy's on the panel today with us. Thank you. Council Member Barron, please.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. I'm glad that we're having this hearing Chair. today. It's very important, all of the issues that we'll be addressing. I'm glad to see that the panel is in place to present a response to the topic that we're going to be talking about. The resolution that I've introduced talks about not using a specialized test as the sole criteria for admission to the special high schools. Historically, we know that standardized tests have not favored those who are not in the mainstream, either in terms of race, ethnicity or class. We've known that these tests have been biased against blacks and Latinos and there's not any criteria or any explanation from the testing authority, which validates this test as being an indicator of success or admission for the high school. So we're looking to see what other measures

2	will be included as we select the students, and we're
3	looking also to make sure that there is a discovery
4	program that's implemented in the Bronx high school
5	science [sic] as well as Stuyvesant, which will allow
6	for students who are just below the cut off to be
7	placed in a summer program so that they can take
8	advantage of this. Yesterday was Human Rights Day.
9	I'm sure we're all aware of that, and one of the
10	article talks about the equity and the responsibility
11	of free education for all of the persons in a
12	society. So we're looking to make sure that there's
13	the equity of admission to blacks and Latinos so that
14	they would also be able to benefit from admission to
15	the specialized schools. We also heard testimony
16	yesterday at a hearing on College Discovery and Seek.
17	Those are programs that began 50 and 48 years ago as
18	a response to the fact that blacks, Latinos and
19	anyone who was not European, in fact, and wealthy was
20	not given an equitable opportunity to attend and to
21	participate in schools across the nation. And we had
22	testimony from several persons who had been admitted
23	to colleges and participated through the College
24	Discovery Program. One young man talked about the
25	fact that he barely got out of high school He came

2	out of high school with a 60 average, but through
3	College Discovery and through the support that he
4	gained through the counseling, the orientation, the
5	mentoring, the peer tutoring, and the general
6	financial support as well they got, he is now
7	graduating. He's an intern at one of the Wall Street
8	firms and math is his major subject. He did well in
9	Calculus One and Two. He was denied the opportunity
10	to have the instruction that would have given him the
11	ability to show what his competencies are. So we're
12	looking to move forward to bring equity to blacks and
13	Latinos in particular so that they will be able to be
14	represented. There's been a serious decline in the
15	numbers of black and Latino students at the
16	specialized high schools as well as the client in the
17	black faculty, which we'll talk about I'm sure at
18	another time, throughout the city. So I'm glad that
19	we're having this hearing today and look forward to
20	the panel's presentations. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And both my sons went to Brooklyn Tech.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Proud mom. We've also been joined by Council Member Margaret Chin from

2.2

2	Manhattan, Council Member Mark Levine also from
3	Manhattan. I think that's everybody now. So, I'd
4	like to swear the members of the Department of
5	Education who are with us here today. That is
6	Ursulina Ramirez, Bob Sanft and Ainsley Rodolfo. And
7	if you'd just raise your right hand, please? Do you
8	solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole
9	truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer
10	Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. And
11	Mr. Ramirez, would vou like to begin?

Dromm and all the members of the Education Committee here today. My name is Ursulina Ramirez, and I'm the Chief of Staff to Chancellor Carmen Farina at the New York City Department of Education. I'm joined by my colleagues Robert Sanft, the Chief Executive Officer of the DOE's Office of Student Enrollment and Ainsley Rudolfo, Executive Director of Programs and Partnerships at the DOE's Office of Equity and Access. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today regarding diversity in New York City schools and proposed Intro Number 511A. At the outset, I would like to commend the Council for bringing attention to this important and complex

2	issue. As we commemorate the 60° anniversary of
3	Brown versus the Board of Education, it is important
4	to both recognize the progress we have made towards
5	creating more equity in the public school system and
6	acknowledge that more work needs to be done to
7	achieve greater diversity in our schools. As a
8	recent report by the Civil Rights Project University
9	of California notes, far too many of our students
10	attend schools that lack racial diversity. It is
11	widely recognized that diverse learning environments
12	benefit students of all academic, racial and
13	socioeconomic backgrounds. Today, I want to share
14	with you some of the steps we have taken to increase
15	diversity across the system, the challenges we face
16	and what more we can do to address school diversity.
17	We also recognize this challenge is not unique to New
18	York City public schools, but a challenge faced by
19	school districts across the country. Our student
20	body is reflective of New York City's rich cultural,
21	linguistic, racial, and ethnic diversity. Our
22	students collectively represent over 100
23	nationalities, 190 nationalities and speak more than
24	160 languages, with 13 percent being English language
25	learners. The racial and ethnic composition of our

student body is approximately 40 percent Latino, 28
percent African-American, 15 percent Asian, 14
percent white. Furthermore, when we consider
socioeconomic status, almost 80 percent of our
students are eligible for free or reduced price
lunch. Across the system there are what we consider
to be racially isolated schools, where at least 75
percent of the student body represents one ethnicity,
and we see increased levels of racial isolation at
the elementary school level when compared to other
grade bans. One factor that contextualizes this
reality is that many families choose to send their
children to their zoned elementary school, preferring
to have young children attend a neighborhood school
located close to their home. As a result, the
demographics of most elementary schools reflect the
ethnicity of the communities they serve. Any effort
to increase school diversity, particularly at the
elementary school level is somewhat limited by the
strong correlation between neighborhood demographics
and school demographics. Increasingly, the city's
housing patterns and widening income inequality have
led to racially and socioeconomically stratified
neighborhoods, which in some cases has significantly

2	contributed to a lack of racial and ethnic
3	socioeconomic diversity in our schools. For example,
4	in six of our 32 community school districts, students
5	from one race compromise 75 percent or more of the
6	student population. This includes District Six in
7	Manhattan and District 16, 17, 18, 23, and 32 in
8	Brooklyn. This school data, mere census data.
9	District 18, for example, which primarily serves the
10	neighborhood of Canarsie, is over 80 percent African-
11	American. At the same time, many of our schools have
12	a diverse mix of students of different races and
13	ethnicities. There are 12 school districts where no
14	single race or ethnicity constitutes more than 50
15	percent of the student body. These districts are
16	located in Manhattan, Brooklyn, the Bronx and Queens.
17	While most elementary and many middle school students
18	attend their zoned schools, families in every
19	district also have other options. They may choose
20	among non-zoned district or citywide schools and/or
21	charter schools. At every level, we have increased
22	the number of high quality school options available
23	to families. We support the efforts of school
24	communities to implement new methods for promoting
25	diversity within their schools. Most recently, we

2	worked with the CEC's in District 13 and 15 in
3	Brooklyn on PS 133, a new nonzoned school that has
4	both Spanish and French dual language programs. As a
5	result of discussion with the CEC's and with support
6	from local elected officials, including Council
7	Members Lander and Levin, a unique admission priority
8	structure was created to help promote racial, ethnic,
9	and socioeconomic diversity. This school admits
10	students from both districts and gives a priority to
11	English language learners and students eligible for
12	free or reduced price lunch. There are many other
13	school communities that are committed to increasing
14	diversity in their schools. We have recently met
15	with several principals to discuss their goals and
16	ideas to increase diversity, and we are currently
17	reviewing the proposals from these schools to
18	determine how we can be supportive. New schools like
19	PS 133 present a unique opportunity to work with
20	communities to establish admissions criteria that
21	foster diversity. To this end, the DOE's Office of
22	School Design is developing new schools with the goal
23	of promoting diversity factored into the design.
24	Already, OSD has established a leadership training
25	program designed to help new leaders develop

2	strategies to engage parents and families culturally
3	relevant approaches of teaching and learning and
4	student recruitment plans that ensure enrollment of
5	diverse student population as the school grows. At
6	the high school level, the citywide admissions
7	process has introduced more equity and access to
8	schools in the system. Each year, eighth graders
9	apply to high schools of their choice and are
10	centrally matched to a school based on their
11	interests and a school's admissions criteria.
12	Consistently, more than 80 percent of eighth graders
13	are matched to one of their top five choices and
14	nearly half are matched to their first choice.
15	Because high schools are open to students from across
16	the city and families are willing to allow their
17	older children to travel a bit further for special
18	programs and academic opportunities, high schools
19	tend to be more racially, ethnically, and
20	socioeconomically diverse than are elementary and
21	middle schools. Additionally, we have many high
22	school programs that encourage academic diversity
23	through their educational option admissions method
24	that explicitly enrolls low, middle and high
25	performers in proportion to the citywide levels For

2	example, Queens High School of Teaching, Liberal Arts
3	and the Sciences serves a diverse student body where
4	19 percent of the students have special needs and
5	there is no one major ethnicity. The student body is
6	10 percent white, 19 percent Latino, 44 percent
7	African-American, and 25 percent Asian. The school
8	has an impressive 90 percent graduation rate, and the
9	vast majority of graduates go on to pursue college.
10	Our international and ELL focused schools celebrate
11	the diversity of recent immigrants. At the High
12	School for Language and Innovation in the Bronx, 78
13	percent of our English language learners78 percent
14	of the students are English language learners and
15	comprise a diverse group of multilingual students
16	that is nine percent white, 60 percent Latino, 11
17	percent African-American, and 17 percent Asian.
18	Nearly 100 percent of parents at this school
19	responded on the most recent school survey that they
20	are satisfied with their child's education, and over
21	90 percent of students are on track for graduation
22	after their first year. The shared path to success
23	reform has provided greater access to an array of
24	high school programs for students with disabilities.
25	To oneuro accose to programs, soats are reserved for

Students with disabilities in each high school
program in products to the borough's percent of
eighth grade students receiving full time or part
time special education services. Although
perspective high school students now have more
options than a decade ago when high school admissions
were primarily based on attendance zones, we know we
have more work to do to ensure that all families have
access to information and requisite guidance and
support to make informed decisions. We are
continuously working to increase access to our broad
array of schools by increasing our communications to
students and parents and making our recruitment
efforts more robust. We have revamped our family
workshops on high school admissions. These workshops
attracted over 8,000 families this past summer, 20
percent more than in 2013. This year we provided
interpreters, translated materials and piloted a
workshop delivered entirely in Spanish. In addition,
for the first time this year we sent over 3,000 hard
copies of high school directories which were
translated in nine languages to middle schools based
on the student populations they served.
Additionally, to enhance family's abilities to search

2	through information in the high school directory, we
3	have recently partnered with four organizations to
4	create admissions apps, which are currently available
5	on the DOE website. These are web and mobile
6	applications that families and students can use to
7	explore school options based on academic programming,
8	extracurricular activities, school quality
9	indicators, and location. This year, we have also
10	introduced an online open house calendar so that
11	families can easily search for the dates and times of
12	school open houses rather than having to call each
13	school individually. While fewer African-American
14	and Hispanic students attend some of our specialized
15	high schools than we would hope, the DOE's developed
16	several programs to increase access to all of our
17	specialized high schools. Through our Office of
18	Equity and Access, the DOE created the DREAM
19	Specialized High School Institute, a 22 month
20	extracurricular academic enrichment program designed
21	to help low income middle school students develop the
22	skills and strategies needed to succeed on the
23	specialized high school admissions test. Since its
24	inception in 2012, 847 students have participated in
25	DREAM SHSIwho have participated in DREAM SHSI have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

received an offer at one of our specialized high schools, a success rate of 46 percent. While we would like to expand the program to meet the demand, we are limited by funding constraints. We have also increased access by encouraging a greater number of top performing students across the city to sign up to take the SHSAT. We sent all middle school quidance counselors a list of the top 15 percent of their students and asked them to ensure that these students had the opportunity to discuss specialized high school options and sign up for the test if interested. This new recruitment strategy resulted from finding that top performing students are not equally likely to sign up for the SHSAT. example, Latino students, students with disabilities and English language learners are less likely to sign up for the SHSAT than other students, even if they are performing at a high level in middle school. While we continue to build our understanding of these disparities, we are actively working to reduce them through new strategies, and we welcome innovative ideas from others. Within this work, our Office of Equity and Access' mission is to provide every family and every child from all backgrounds and

2	neighborhoods with equal opportunity and access to
3	high school programs, high quality programs with the
4	focus on ending longstanding racial, ethnic, and
5	socioeconomic disparities. We are particularly proud
6	of our New York City Advanced Placement Expansion
7	Initiative launched during the 2013/2014 school year
8	in partnership with the College Board and the
9	National Math and Science Initiative. The New York
10	City AP Expansion Initiative is designed to help high
11	school students prepare to pursue college degrees and
12	careers in science, technology, engineering, and math
13	disciplines. The goals of this integrated are to
14	increase access, participation and performance in
15	advanced placement for under-represented students
16	from traditionally underserved communities. The
17	program is currently serving over 3,000 students
18	across 64 high schools and contributed to a 35
19	percent increase in the number of students taking one
20	or more AP exams. For African-American and Latino
21	students, the AP Expansion Initiative contributed to
22	80 percent and 69 percent of the growth respectively.
23	Creating more diverse learning environments for our
24	students is a top priority of Mayor Bill de Blasio
25	and the Chancellor. There is not one size fits all

2	solution to this complex issue, and diversity will
3	look different in each community. We are committed
4	to working with our school communities, parents,
5	elected officials, advocates and other stakeholders
6	to achieve this goal. To this end, Chancellor
7	Farina's strategic planning team is partnering with
8	the Office of Student Enrollment to take a fresh look
9	at the DOE's admissions and enrollment policies,
10	which are just some of the tools available to help
11	promote diversity in our schools. At the same time,
12	this Administration remains focused on its core
13	mission to ensure that all students have access to a
14	high quality education that prepares them for success
15	in college or careers regardless of their
16	neighborhood. In one year alone we have made great
17	progress, including the historic implementation of
18	pre-k for all, after school programs for all middle
19	school students, renewed focus on professional
20	development, the creation of a new framework to
21	support and evaluate schools, strengthening and
22	reimagining the role of superintendents,
23	strengthening and expanding the instruction and
24	programs for English language learners, establishing
25	the school renewal program, the multiyear investment

to provide targeted support to our most struggling
schools, and introduced 45 new community schools.
While we know we have more to do, we are confident
that we are heading in the right direction. Lastly,
we would like to express our support for proposed
Intro Number 511A, which requires DOE to annually
report on demographic and achievement data about our
students by community school district school and
special program within a school. While we publicly
report much of this data requested, the report
required by the proposed legislation will serve as a
valuable analytic resource for DOE, our school
communities and other stakeholders. Thank you for
the opportunity to testify today. My college Robert
Sanft will present to deck to discuss some of our
demographic data.

ROBERT SANFT: Good morning. So the goal of sharing this presentation is largely to expand on the statements that most people already understand, which is the demographic breakdown of our schools.

New York City DOE student body has a rich cultural linguistic and ethnic diversity. Our students represent over 200 nationalities and they speak more than 160 languages. As Ursulina mentioned and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chairman Dromm mentioned, the percentages of our black, Hispanic, Asian, and white students are 28 percent, 40 percent, 15 percent and 14 percent respectively, but when speaking about diversity it's equally important to understand that 18 percent of our students are students with disabilities, 13 percent are English language learners and 79 percent qualify for free or reduced lunch. But when we are talking about diversity throughout New York we thought it was equally important to share with you how those demographics look across our boroughs, and this information is available on the New York City Department of Education website. It is called our demographic snapshot, and there's information going back as far as 2007, 2008 on the website. But it compelling to understand that within the city we have wide variation in terms of the percentages of each of these groups across our boroughs, and so what we did was break up the borough information just to share with you today, and again, we are happy to make this information available. It is available for each of our schools, the districts, the borough, and the city on our website. But just to share with you some basic information about the variation within the districts

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

in each of our boroughs. So the Bronx includes Districts seven through 12. Brooklyn is Districts 13 through 23 and 32. Manhattan is Districts one through six. Queens is Districts 24 through 30, and Staten Island is District 31. As Ursulina also mentioned, within each of those boroughs we have a few districts that we consider racially isolated based on having 75 percent or more students enrolled in their schools of a single race. District six in Manhattan, District 16, 17, 18, 23, and 32 in Brooklyn are all considered racially isolated when we consider that 75 percent of the students in those schools are of one race. Since 2007/2008, what we have seen is a slight decrease in the racial isolation in our schools, but we definitely understand that we have to focus on this issue a lot more directly, and over the last several years, what we also have seen is that from elementary to middle to high school the percentage of students actually enrolled in racially isolated schools is quite different. As Council Member Lander and others have brought to our attention, the fact that families tend to send their younger children to zoned schools in their neighborhoods, neighborhoods that reflect the

2.2

$\sim$	ı	ı
_	ı	ı
	ı	ı

communities that are around them, we see that elementary school students are at 30 percent in terms of the numbers of students attending a racially isolated school compared with 16 percent at the high school level where there is greater choice for our students. Thank you.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: With that, we'll take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sure. Well, thank
you. It's an awful lot to digest there and to grasp.
Let me start out mainly just by asking you if you
think that the biggest problems of student diversity
are within or in between districts. Is it intra or
is it interdistricts?

ROBERT SANFT: I New York City, I think it's a combination of those two. Obviously there are these six districts that we consider to be racially isolated, and so therefore, the issue is something that we need to tackle within those districts, but there are districts that neighbor one another where schools on the margins of those districts suffer from some isolation, but also within the districts themselves. So, I don't know that there's a greater

problem in one or the other, but it is definitely
something that merits some investigation.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, 2014's a year in which the DOE could initiate redistricting, and I know that at least two school districts, 24 and 30 for example, that are interested in some redistricting. Some folks have explained to me as well that if we were to enlarge districts, that may be a way to look at or to solve this issue. Has the

redistricting this year, and would that fit into the creation of more diverse schools?

DOE begun to look at the prospect of doing

URSULINA RAMIREZ: So, I will touch on-we haven't taken it off the table to look at
redistricting. I think--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I'm sorry, could you speak up a little?

2.2

URSULINA RAMIREZ: Oh, yeah, sorry about that. It hasn't been taken off the table to look at redistricting, but as you know, it is incredibly complex and there are many layers to it. Rob, feel free to jump in if there's anything in terms of the diversity.

extensive amount of engagement and partnership with the Council, with our communities and our community education councils to understand specifically what local and district goals might be, and then ultimately where we could partner both across districts to decide on what the appropriate redistricting might look like, but it would be an extensive process and a complex process.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So it's my understanding that those districts haven't been really looked at since 1994, approximately 20 years ago. Do you have a plan to moving forward to begin to look at that, or is not in the works or on the table at this point?

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I would say that everything is on the table, but it is—it's very methodical in terms of the process and looking at all the policies it can potentially impact the diversity of our schools. So, it's on the table, but we just started to do this deep dive.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, can we expect at some point in the future a report back to us about what you might be doing in that regard?

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2			1	URSUL	INA	RAMIR	EZ:	We	will	defin	itely	come
<b>ک</b>	hack	<b>+</b> 0	VO11	with	011 r	2220	s smc	n+				

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And how long do you think that might be?

URSULINA RAMIREZ: We're being very methodical, so it might take some time, but we'll get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I think that's something that I personally would like to really look at and to explore further with you, and not only because the districts that I mentioned are in my council district, but because I do view it as a potentially a good way to look at the diversity issue in our schools. So, do you work with the Community Education Councils to address the problems regarding student diversity?

ROBERT SANFT: So, we work directly with Community Education Councils 13 and 15 to address the proposal around 133 in Brooklyn. We have also worked with CEC 15 on a recent rezoning proposal in order to accomplish the dual goals of looking at overcrowding across some of our elementary schools and how we could maintain diversity across schools. We have met with several principals to consider proposals that

are of interest to them in terms of maintaining or creating diversity within their schools, and we're happy to meet with our Community Education Councils if they have specific goals in mind.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, in working with those CEC's, is the diversity a part of the discussion when you consider zones within those districts, when you're creating new zones for different schools? Is the diversity question a part of that discussion? Or is it only geographics?

ROBERT SANFT: I think there are multiple goals when we would discuss any form of unzoning or rezoning with a CEC. The CEC's actually have the authority to approve and submit rezoning and unzoning proposals, but ultimately it looks at a number of things including overcrowding, diversity and how our students are going to best be able to commute from home to school.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So how much latitude to CEC's have in changing those zones?

ROBERT SANFT: CEC's have the ultimate latitude in changing the zones. They work with their superintendents to create either new rezonings or unzonings and we are happy to support them in those

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

efforts and to look strategically if there are things that they are not necessary considering when thinking about it.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I'm going to stop here and then I'll also go to some of my colleagues, because they have questions. Okay, so first up will be Council Member Mark Weprin followed by King and then Council Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, let me start out, and I don't know who to ask it to so you guys can choose. But let's start-let me start with the standardized high school exam. So, I agree that, you know, it is shocking sometimes when you see the numbers at Stuyvesant High School how few black and Latinos tend to get into that school, and you mentioned that you were working, actively working to eliminate the disparity. Can you tell me what DOE has done over the years, and in this past year in particular to eliminate that disparity? I know you mention sending out a list of who your smarter, you know, highest scoring students are, but can you elaborate on what you've done to fix it?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

ROBERT SANFT: When you speak about the disparity in terms of the diversity of the schools, is that--

ROBERT SANFT: So there are a number of

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: [interposing]
Yeah, in those specialized high schools in
particular.

things. We've, a few years back, we actually moved up the date where we shared the specialized high school handbooks with our students. It used to be distributed at the beginning of the eighth grade year, and now it is distributed towards the end of the seventh grade year in May and June to afford them the opportunity of more time to consider their options and to think about whether or not they want to take the test. We've offered up more workshops throughout the city to discuss the specialized high schools and the admissions test specifically. send out post cards to all of our entering eighth grade students to let them know not only about our fairs citywide and borough, but also about the upcoming admissions test, and we work with all of our middle school guidance counselors to make sure that they are discussing these options with families and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to also more recently alert them to the correlation
between our higher performers and kids who are not
signing up for the test.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Sound like great ideas of sending out information, but obviously that information goes to everyone, so it's just as likely even to up the white and Asian test takers as much as the black and Latinos to some degree. The numbers that tend to jump out at me are while 70 percent of our students in the schools are black and Latino, 40 something percent are actually taking the standardized high school admission exam. And I have to think part of that is the fact that there is not the same--there's more of a stigma attached in some neighborhoods where taking that test may not be something you want to announce that you want to take, or there is students who are not taking that test who should be, which is why you're trying to advertise it. Has there been any thought to mandating that these students take the test? We had a yes out there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: However, I do want to caution that we're not going to have calling out from

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

the audience today, or I will ask to have people that do removed from the room.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: So we're actually looking for the future. We're looking at both the access, the programs that both DREAM and Discovery. We're looking at the exam itself and we are looking at measures. So I want to--the Administration is taking a really deep assessment on what we want to do, and I do think that is one of the options that we are looking at when it comes to access.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Because, you know, currently for instance the PSAT is given to every students, I believe. I think you maybe opt out or something, but every student takes the PSAT and they do it during school hours in their own school. That would seem to me a lot better way to go about trying to do even this test, having people the option of opting out or at least giving it mandatory to everyone who scores a certain amount or everybody, but within their own school. Would that be something we can look at, because that seems to me would up the numbers, obviously, of test takers for sure, and we'd get equal amount of test takers compared to the percentage of black and Latino students.

2

3

4

1

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

URSULINA RAMIREZ: We'll definitely look into that, and that's definitely an option.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I mean, that seems like a logical thing to attempt before we make major changes to the test or to the admissions policy. You know, that's what sticks with me here is that, you know, these schools have been around a long Seventy years we've been doing this test and this one test, and I agree there are issues here, and obviously diversity's a problem. The problem is, you know, every year Newsweek comes out with their best, you know, schools in the country, and I'm always very proud that a lot of New York schools make that list, particularly these specialized high schools. So it seems to me a little crazy to like tinker with that without first trying other options. So mandating the test, to me, sounds like one good option. Another one, another problem and this is definitely a problem is test prep. In my neighborhood in eastern Queens it is everywhere. There are test prep places all over. Some kids start in third grade and they start doing test prep, and it's an unfair advantage for those who do that. And I realize that in some neighborhoods where they don't have the means or

2	other ways of going about doing this, they are not
3	test prepping as much as they should. Ironically,
4	I'm the one who's always been against test prep. I
5	want to be clear. I've never been against the idea
6	of test prepping when it helps that student. I
7	always hated the fact that it was test prepping to
8	help the teachers, principals, chancellors, and
9	mayors. That's what always bothered me. So, my
10	thingthere's got to be ways to mandate students
11	before the standardized high school exam comes out to
12	not only mandate they take it, but we're going to let
13	you know how to take it and prep you for it. And
14	there are ways to do it, whether it's in school or
15	online. I'll give them a shout out. The Conn Academy
16	is one you see a lot about lately where it's an
17	online course you can take, and the kids can learn
18	how to take those tests. So, I know I got beeped
19	here, so I'm going to end. But to me, it just sounds
20	like quite the risk to mess with something that's
21	worked so well through the years without first trying
22	to up that diversity numbers in what I think are more
23	logically ways. Because I get the feeling we're
24	attacking the symptoms and not the cause, which is
25	less kids are taking the test and they're not prepped

/

\_ \_

properly for the test. So, if we should try that first, we should try that first before we go about changing the standard, in my opinion. And the last thing--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Thank you, Council Member, I'm going to have to limit you here.

just--Queens High School for Teaching is in my district and we love it. It just happens to be a little bit of an anomaly to where it's laid out in that it feeds from two schools, one from District 29, one from District 26, which happens to be two completely different ethnicity schools and it works out great. I was there the other day. It's a great school. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very much. And now we'll hear from Council Member Andy King.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Good morning.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I want to thank you all for your testimony this morning. Like Mr. Chair said, yes it's a lot to digest, but I want to thank you all for at least coming here and giving us some

information about what you've attempted to do, what
you plan on doing and still there's a lot that needs
to be done. While I am one that supports fairness in
the education system, I am somewhat reserved when we
start trying to figure out how do we diminish the
standards that we know that every child needs to be
successful adults. With that all being said, I'm
hearing the conversations outside of not wanting the
change in the testing, not only using the test as the
sole entry point for our students. But I want to
thank my colleagues for actually putting these three
thoughtful, three pieces of legislation on the table
so we can have this dialogue in diversity, and I
would even ask those who are fighting outside saying
understand what this resolution is asking of us and
how do we make sure that institutions have
historically educated children, that all children
have access to that same education. Poverty doesn't
mean incompetency, and I want to make sure that even
though my children in our communities may not be
rich, but their brains are functioning as well as
those who might have a better chance because of the
financial status of their families. So, I want to
ask you a couple of questions based on this.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Depending on these resos and the intros that are introduced today, do you and does the Administration support them, and if you do not, what would you add or tweak so you can support them in that frame? Second question is what would you say to anybody who is out here listening about making sure that we are careful when we start talking about the environment, because when I look at some of the groups that are sharing it's not a mixed group who are sharing their displeasure. So, I want to make sure that we don't disrespect one group for another group as we--because again, we're still talking about children, and I don't want adults issues to fall down on children, because children--discrimination and prejudice is a learned behavior, and I want to make sure that when you go in the school that you're taught the right thing, because we are a mixed melting pot in the city of New York in education system. So that's my second question. And going back into this test, Council Member just mentioned that about how do we test, what's--I want to know what has been the feedback from some of the families that you've reached out to on what challenges they might have had, middle school families, when it comes to having access to this test

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 54
2	or even taking this test, or prepping for this test?
3	Is there that communication with these families of
4	what's expected of them? And I'll stop right there.
5	URSULINA RAMIREZ: So, I'll address your
6	first question. I might have to ask you to repeat
7	your second question, but I think I got the third one
8	down. On your first question, as the City Council
9	knows, we generally don't comment on resolutions, but
10	we do support the Intro 511A in terms of providing
11	data to the Council. We think that this will be a
12	useful tool for the communities and the Council. In
13	terms of question number two, Council Member, I might
14	ask that you repeat it.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Well, you saidyou
16	say you support the Intro, so
17	URSULINA RAMIREZ: [interposing] Yeah.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: So it doesn't mean
19	that there was anything that you would tweak or
20	adjust, but I would like to know, what would you say
21	to anybody who is not in support of any of that piece
22	of legislation?

URSULINA RAMIREZ: The intro?

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Yes.

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I think first and

foremost, a lot of the data that we will be providing is available on the DOE's website, but I do think it provides an opportunity for school communities and for both parent advocates and all stakeholders to look at the analytics of our districts. And I think Rob provided a lot of detail that I think is useful for this conversation when we talk about diversity. So, I think that it will be productive. It'll be useful and productive for the dialogues that we have in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. There is a third question, and I was asking about what feedback have you had from the families of color that you've reached out to the middle school, and what challenges have they relayed back that they're having?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: So, I think in terms of a couple of things. The first thing is in terms of Council Member Weprin. We haven't seen any kid or any family who has a stigma in taking the test. Every kid or family that we've interacted with around the DREAM Program, which is our primary prep program for the test, has welcomed the DREAM and wants to take the test. So we haven't really seen folks

2	saying, "Hey, I don't want to take the test." Right?
3	We've seen some discussion of parents saying, "Hey, I
4	may not want to send my child to Stuyvesant once they
5	get in because of, you know, the relief that
6	Stuyvesant isn't fully integrated." But we haven't
7	seen anyone saying, "We don't want to take the test."
8	What we have learned in terms of DREAM, and we've
9	been doing DREAM for about three years now, which as
10	I said is our primary prep program for the test, is
11	in some geographic districts in the city there's
12	issues with getting the information out to students
13	who qualify for the DREAM Program and keeping them
14	enrolled in the duration of the DREAM Program, which
15	is 22 months in length, that test prep program. So
16	we've seen a lot of issues particularly in some parts
17	of Brooklyn, in the south Bronx, in central Harlem in
18	terms of continuity of the 22 months of parents
19	[sic]. And of course, you know, the normal
20	activities come with in terms of daily living, right?
21	Parents are working. Kids are taking care of their
22	siblings. Some transportation issues which we can
23	mitigate in terms of bussing and metro cards, but
24	life has been getting in the way a lot of times for
25	some of those kids in those areas. That's the first

2	thing. The second thing that we are seeing is
3	unfortunately, some of our kids are coming in
4	underprepared for the level of instruction that's
5	taking place in the DREAM prep program. And you
6	know, I like to say that unfortunately all middle
7	schools are not created equally, and we're doing a
8	better job of leveling the playing fields in terms of
9	middle school instruction and elementary school
10	instruction, and the Common Core hopefully is going
11	to do some of that for us, but it's a fact. We have
12	some kids coming into the program at different levels
13	of functioning in terms of academic functioning, and
14	we have to play catch up a lot of times with those
15	kids. So there's some frustration built in there,
16	right, and we're working through that. And then the
17	third piece that we are seeing at times is the
18	communication between school and parents, and you
19	know, we have to do a better job than that. For
20	example, if you are a six to 12 school, right, and
21	you have level threes and fours, it's inherent the
22	principal won't want to lose those kids to
23	Stuyvesant, right? You want to keep the level threes
24	and fours in your school, right? So we may see some
25	situations where the kids are not getting the

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 30
2	information, and we've been doing a pretty good job
3	of really ensuring kids are getting the information.
4	We are sending out, as Rob said, post cards to kids.
5	We are working with CBO's in the community to get the
6	word out and working directly with families, and of
7	course, sort of strongly saying to principals, "You
8	need to get this information out to kids." So we are
9	not mandating it, but we are strongly suggesting that
10	you've got to get this information out to all the
11	kids.
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. I'm
13	sorry.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Didn't mean to cut
16	you off. I thought you were finished. Thank you
17	very much. We've been joined by Council Member Peter
18	Koo, Council Member Antonio Reynoso, Council Member
19	Jumaane Williams and Council Member Debbie Rose.
20	Now, turn it over to Council Member Levine for
21	questioning followed by Lander and Chin. Oh, and
22	Council Member Gentile. I'm sorry. Vinnie Gentile
23	from Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, chair Dromm, and thank you all for being here. You--I'd

25

24

like to ask some follow-up questions on this very
important DREAM Program. As Council Member Weprin
laid out, if you're a child who's lucky enough to
have parents who have the financial means and the
motivation, then you're more likely to get into a
program to prepare you for the test, and the idea of
the Specialized High School Institution, AKA DREAM
Program, is exactly to compensate for that. So that
even a kid whose parents don't have a penny to put
towards this can get top notch training. That
program's been cut-had been cut dramatically in the
last few years. I'd like to hear what the current
funding is. Have the previous cuts been restored,
and how many kids are you serving, and how long is
the program now? That also had been cut
significantly.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: So, I--there are two things. Remember, the DREAM is not the only prep program that we have in this city that's free, right? Schools do prep program also. So principals have the option to pay for prep programs out of their budget, and we have tons of schools that do that. So there's much more kids than DREAM get in true prep free, right? In terms of the funding, I'll let Ursulina

pick up on the funding, but in terms of the numbers, right, we have this year we have about 6,000 kids that are eligible that qualify for DREAM based on the eligibility criteria and we have about 1,450 seats, right, about 1,450 seats in the program.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I'm going to have to get back to you on the funding piece.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: But the program used to begin in the summer of the sixth grade and then there were budget cuts and you pushed it back until sometime in the seventh grade, maybe summer of the seventh grade. Where are we at now?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: No, no, we're back in the sixth grade. So we are starting kids in the current cohort is in the sixth grade, right? And the incoming cohort will be in the sixth grade. We only started in the seventh grade one year. That was a transition between, I guess, Cline [sp?] or Cathy Black and Dennis. When we had that transition there, we started the program in the seventh grade where we ended the institute, the old specialized high school program and trans—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] Got it. And given that the core mission of the program is

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2.2

2	to increase enrollment among African-American and
3	Latino students, what portion of the participants are
4	African-American and Latino?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: So in terms of Latinos we have about 27 percent and black 20 percent, and of course--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

Okay, so less than half. I understand there was a lawsuit--

ROBERT SANFT: [interposing] Council

Member, the actual mission is for disadvantaged or

primarily low income families, and so it is not

specifically about our black and Hispanic students.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: This is complicated terrain and there was a lawsuit that has influenced the design of the program and the language in which you talk about that. I understand, but this issue is front and center in part because of the very low enrollment of African-American and Latino students at specialized high schools. And if the main citywide tool that we have is not directly addressing that, then I think we need to redesign the program or the admission criteria, and I believe that it could be done in a way that pass constitutional

muster and that was fair and didn't explicitly target based on race, but that perhaps got more directly at under-represented groups.

ROBERT SANFT: I think like most of the things that we will discuss today, we're definitely open to conversation about that and discussing with folks.

have only a little bit of time left, I want to shift gears. You didn't mention a lot of solutions. You didn't endorse a lot of solutions to the broader diversity problem in New York City, and that's okay, I know--we're not expecting you to come with all the answers today. You did, however, mention one program you like which is dual language schools, which I'm also a very big supporter of. Almost by definition they bring a diverse group of students because its part native speakers of the language being taught and part non-native speakers. Great model. How many dual language schools do we have? Are we increasing them? At what pace?

ROBERT SANFT: I think we need to get back to you with the specific number of solely dual language schools. We have international schools. We

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have schools that are focused on our English language learners that are not specifically international schools. We also have a large number of schools that have dual language programs within them that are not entirely dual language. So we definitely get you those numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay. And lastly, you referred to the idea that when you create a new school you've got the opportunity to design admission criteria, zoning, etcetera in a way that can promote diversity from the outside. What are the tools that you use in that scenario? What are the -- what does good admission criteria look like if we want to promote a more diverse student body?

ROBERT SANFT: I think much like the data around individual districts, we would need to partner with the local community as we are doing on the Upper West Side with respect to West Side Secondary School, but ultimately, to look at what the goals in terms of diversity and academic outcomes, the number of different things with that community to determine what the best admissions criteria might be. It might not be a cookie cutter approach to doing things, a one size fits all doesn't seem to work district to

2.2

district, borough to borough. We'd ultimately want to work with the community to decide what their goals are for diversity, not only within the new school design, but with respect to what the impact of opening those new schools might be on the surrounding schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Before I go to Council Member Lander, I wanted to ask, from what I've heard a lot of the questions that are on the test, the specialized high school test, are questions that are not necessarily part of the curriculum of the Department of Education. Is that true?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Well, the test as you know is done--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Can you speak into the mic?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: A third party designed the test, and there's been some discussion that the test doesn't reflect the middle school curriculum, right? So people say, "Hey, the test is a--to test the test." I'm sure--I'm not sure that's 100 percent

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 65	
2	accurate that everything on the test is not covered	
3	in middle school.	
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And that's why I	
5	understand that the preparation is so important is	
6	because a lot of that is not really covered in the	
7	schools itself. I'm right. Thank you. And then who	
8	actually writes the test, or how do you get the test,	
9	or where does the test come from?	
10	URSULINA RAMIREZ: The test is developed	
11	through an RFP process. Sorry, it predates my	
12	joining the DOE in January, and there is a current	
13	RFP process happening right now to look at	
14	alternative exams.	
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you know the	
16	company? You don't know the	
17	ROBERT SANFT: [interposing] The current	
18	test is Pearson [sp?].	
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry?	
20	ROBERT SANFT: The current test is	
21	Pearson.	
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Pearson? The Pearson	
23	test, okay. Thank you. Have you all seen that test?	

ROBERT SANFT: I have seen the test over the years and reviewed the specialized high school handbook that we issue to all of our students.

unfair if we are testing students on stuff that
they're not being taught in the schools, which is the
prerogative. You know, I'm not arguing that one way
or the other, but just doesn't seem right that
they're going to be expected to have to get it
somewhere else. Anyway, that's in a further
discussion I would like to have with you as well as
we move along down this path. So Council Member
Lander?

much, Chair Dromm, and thanks again to this panel for your testimony, and I just—I really do want to appreciate the work forward so far. I have tried in the previous Administration to push some of these issues and was not able to achieve even a dialogue, much less concrete steps forward. So, while there is a long way to go, I really appreciate what you've done, and I'm gratified by your support of 511A and look forward to working with you to finalize it and move it forward. I know you don't comment on

2.2

resolutions and so you don't speak to Council Member			
Torres's, but I guess I would just like your			
thoughts. The DOE's diversity and inclusion policy			
currently focuses on equal opportunity and			
nondiscrimination in employment and procurement. I			
just wonder if you've thought at all about			
establishing a specific chancellor reg base or other			
policy that established diversity as one of the			
goals, which obviously you're incorporating in many			
places, but at least as I see doesn't exist as, you			
know, a policy of the DOE as a broad goal and whether			
you've looked at moving in that direction.			

URSULINA RAMIREZ: The DOE and the Chancellor recognize the importance of diversity and are continuing to make it a priority within our schools. We're considering what a policy might look like, because every community is different and so having a blanketed policy might be not in the best interest of our schools. So we are looking at that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great,
understood, and I think you hear in the spirit of the
hearing as a recognition that there's not a one size
fits all model, but still a goal of moving in that
direction is valuable. One more policy question. I

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

think one challenge here, and Rob, you and I have talked about this, is that the admissions policies of our 1,700 schools are all over the place and sometimes hard even to know what they are. I know you've talked about trying to create some additional transparency so New Yorkers, students, parents, you know, everyone would have more clarity just school by school on what the admissions policies are. Can you give us a quick update on that?

ROBERT SANFT: Sure. So, I think you're referencing specifically the admissions criteria for our screened and auditions schools, primarily. Our screen schools are comprised of screen schools that use academics as the basis for their selection criteria and others that are screened specifically for language or language plus academics, and ultimately what we are doing is we are working individually with each of the schools at the middle and high school levels to document the rubrics that they use when they are considering all of the applicants to their programs so that we can put those online for families to use in addition to the brief amount of information that we supply to them in the directory. Another thing that we have been doing is

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

at every level trying to refine our directories that we publish for elementary schools for kindergarten and pre-k, but also for middle and high school so that families understand specifically what the threshold admissions criteria are and then ultimately these will refine that to say, "and here's how we are considering those in different weights for our programs."

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So that's very helpful, and I would just urge--I would urge continuing that across the system as well. It's lot of schools. They, even at the elementary level as we're talking about, have different admissions models and criteria, and you know, doing as much as we can to make sure everyone is clear school by school what they are is valuable and helpful to parents and kids considering those schools, but also in the broader goals here. I thought you did a very good job in the testimony talking about a few of the school based models that help us get at diversity, educational option models like PS 133. We're going to hear from some people later really focused on the district based models, especially advocates in one, three and 13 advocating this model of what they call controlled

_	_	
$\neg$	$\sim$	

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

choice, moving away at a district level from zoning,
you know, with CEC's working on this together and
toward models that involve a mix of choice and
balance and inclusion. Can you comment on the DOE's
thinking about and dialogue with those districts and
how you're?

ROBERT SANFT: We have had some preliminary conversations with CEC leaders and members form those districts regarding controlled choice in the past, and we are happy to engage them going forward to discuss what they'd like to see as the goals for their districts, and as you mentioned, a balance of choice and controlled choice.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, thank you. I'll go back on the bottom and ask one or two more.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sure. Council Member Chin?

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair

Dromm. Thank you to the panel. My question is that,

did the DOE have statistics on what are the, you

know, the student that got accepted to the three

specialized high school, do you have statistic of

which middle school that most of these students come

25 from?

2.2

ROBERT SANFT: Yes, we have data on the feeder patterns for those schools in terms of where the students are coming from middle school.

analysis, do you use that analysis to see? Like, most of the school that they're going to Bronx High School Science, are they coming from Bronx or they're coming from middle schools in Queens?

ROBERT SANFT: I don't have the data in front of me, but we would be happy to pull some data together that would summarize specifically where the students are coming from their middle schools, and we'd be happy to share that.

important to really look at those data and see which are the middle school that are sending students to these specialized high schools and why that. And maybe that will help you focus on really improving the quality of the middle schools in our city.

Because in your testimony you talked about you send the list of tops students in the middle school to the high school, I mean, to the counselor, and but I don't think that's enough. You know, you could send them a list, but what about the resources that they

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

need to really kind of educate these students that there are all these opportunity out there, and at the same time, there are a lot of great public schools in the city, and not just those three specialized high schools. So, that in certain community, like for example, Asian communities, yeah, I mean, parents sacrifice to send their kids to prep school and they think that those three schools are the best and that's it, but we have other really good high schools that parents don't know about. So with the high school directory, I think that process needs to start earlier to really educate parents, immigrant parents, low incomes parents in terms of the school choice that they have. And I know that in your testimony you're talking about apps and all those things, those are for parents who are more active. I mean, they know how to use a computer, knows to use an app, but I think for a lot of immigrant parents, low income families, they need to get that information. That's important as anyone else. So, I think that's something that DOE really needs to look at, because if you know the top students across the city in the middle schools, then it's really--we need to put the resources to help them. And I'm not sure that we're

J

doing that now, because in your testimony you still talking about funding. Everything is limited by funding restraints. So, I think that's the part that we have to look at. How do we provide enough funding so that we can help these high achieving students to get into the best schools?

Open to your idea of how we increase access and information to communities, in particular communities of color to make sure that our students are taking the exams. So, but we've given this information to our guidance counselors, and we're always looking for other ways to make sure that we're providing them information so that we can make sure that students are taking the exams.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I mean, my colleague, you know, Council Member Weprin talked about, you know, giving the test to every student that want to take it in the school. I mean, it's like, it may not be a bad idea to open that up to everyone, because some students don't even know about the test, because their school don't talk about it. But, also we also have to sort of publicize all the good high school that we have. Like in my district,

Response

I have the Harbor School on Governor's Island. It's a

great school, and we need to--and we're expanding it,

but we have a lot of great schools throughout the

city and we really need to get that information to

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Well, I think, you know,

students and families. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

or no?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

we--I agree. We have 1,700 great schools in the city. All of our schools are great schools, and we are doing a pretty good job of getting the word out about other high schools other than the specialized high

schools. We have seen where kids have gotten an offer to the specialized high school and turned it

down to go to a non-specialized high school. So, you

know, your word is taken, but I think we're doing a

much better job.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I think with that it'd be good for DOE to also capture some data, because I think what, over almost 30,000 students take the test. Not everybody gets in, right? So where do these students go? I mean, a lot of students still end up in other high schools, so it

might be good to really have some data in terms of, you know, the schools that our student ends up in.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Okay, now we're going to have Council Member Rose followed by Koo, and then Council Member Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Good afternoon. I have--I looked at the demographics, the borough demographics, and I wanted to know, Staten Island has one school district, so wouldn't this skew the data that--

ROBERT SANFT: [interposing] How so?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: that you presented in terms of racially isolated districts. Since we have one, only one district, wouldn't it be more appropriate in the case of Staten Island to talk about racially isolated schools since we only have one district?

ROBERT SANFT: So the purpose of this data was really to start to look at specifically when we're talking about the city, the variation first within borough and then yes, within district, but because Staten Island is only one district, ultimately we are looking at borough as district.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Right, so that data would be skewed? It wouldn't be accurate in terms of by school. Do you have a breakdown of by schools, and—okay.

ROBERT SANFT: Absolutely. On our website we share, and again, per the support for the Intro we'll be looking at how we refine the data that we are sharing, but we do share a school level look at the demographics that then rolls up to a district level, a borough level and ultimately the citywide level and it provides data over the last seven years.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And do we have on Staten Island any racially isolated schools?

ROBERT SANFT: I would have to get back to you, but I could look to see whether or not there are. And again, what we're talking about in terms of racial isolation is above 75 percent for one specific race or ethnicity. I do believe there are a handful, but I don't know the number off hand.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Well, I'm going to say yes, we have some racially isolated schools in my district, and I'd like to know what triggers and who triggers the discussion on zoning?

ROBERT SANFT: Generally, it can be a number of folks. The CEC's can trigger the discussion on rezoning and zoning. The superintendent can trigger that conversation, and the Department of Education can come to a CEC and discuss rezoning if they think it's in the best interest with respect to overcrowding conditions or a number of different issues that any district might be having.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, what would get them to look at it? What would sort of be the impetus for them to look at it, at rezoning a school?

ROBERT SANFT: There are a number of things that could potentially trigger it. If ultimately a new school was opening in the district and they wanted to figure out how to change the zone lines to accommodate the new school. If the district was interested in exploring choice either in certain schools in the district or throughout the district, they might consider unzoning. If diversity was a goal of the district, they might consider utilizing rezoning as a way of changing the specific lines around each of our schools. So, there could be a variety of reasons for why they--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] Do they ever look at school performance in terms of rezoning?

ROBERT SANFT: I can't speak specifically for each of the CEC's, but I would gather that most of them would look at school performance in terms of how they can address school performance from school to school within the districts, but ultimately you could use rezoning as a tool to--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] So

DOE doesn't look at school performance. They don't

look at the ethnic breakdown in schools and then

determine that they, DOE, should make some moves in

terms of rezoning?

ROBERT SANFT: There have been instances where DOE has worked with CEC's to recommend changes in zone lines to address issues of performance. In district seven and district 23 in the Bronx and Brooklyn respectively, DOE has worked with the CEC's to unzone the schools to create choice opportunities for the families within those district.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I'm concerned that we have low performing schools, chronically low performing schools and we're not looking at rezoning

2.2

2 them to sort of change the demographic. That there's
3 nothing that pushes or propels DOE to look at low

4 performing schools, the number of schools that are

5 getting students into specialized high schools.

6 They're not looking at all of the data and then

7 saying that maybe this school needs to be rezoned

because of they're not able to meet the criteria

9 chronically.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I definitely think
we're taking a look, you know, with our school
renewal program and just looking at our
underperforming schools to look at the academics
within the schools, both the pedagogy, the curriculum
and rezoning is obviously an option and it's a tool.
I think right now our primary focus for under
performing schools is on the curriculum and teaching
and the leadership within the school. But if CEC 31
is interested in having conversations about rezoning,
we're definitely open to having that discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I'm sitting in for a moment for the Chair, but I do think that this intersection that Council Member Rose is proposing, which is where, you know, low performing schools or renewal schools overlap with some of the other, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

know, creative models we're talking about here today might well make sense to think about how one would look at them. That's certainly not going to be the case everywhere, but there might be some promising opportunities. Thank you, Council Member Rose. Next up is Council Member Peter Koo. Then he'll be followed by Council Member Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. I want to thank the panel that's here for testifying before us. I'm here to talk about Reso Number 442. We all know specialized high school are specialized. I think most of us in this audience if we were asked to take the test we wouldn't pass. But this is a special high school. They design specially for high achievers. Well, for people who want to go be scientists or in healthcare related engineering, all those fields. So, while I was sitting here next to Council Member Williams, I recall an episode I saw on channel 13 many, many years ago about the rise of the Williams sisters, the tennis players. Remember Venus and Serena Williams? On channel 13, it was many, many years ago. Based on how the Williams get into play tennis. One day they were on vacation somewhere The Williams father was watching TV in a motel room.

2	about US Open, aboutand then he find out, wow, if
3	you won in US Open you can win a couple million
4	dollars. This is amazing, he said to himself. I
5	better train my daughters to play tennis. He,
6	himself, was not a tennis player. So he went out all
7	the way, spent a lot of time, every weekend took the
8	two daughters, go to tennis fields to play tennis.
9	And later on, they found a coach to help the two
10	sisters to train sisters, and eventually they the
11	stars. Why did I tell this story? Because the story
12	behind is, the moral is we have to prepare for
13	anything you want to do. And you have to be
14	involved, the parents. As a little kid, they don't
15	know. I mean, they go to play tennis and they're
16	three or four years old, right? So, aswe have to
17	get the parents involved, you know, for their
18	children's success. Council Member Weprin said
19	before people in his neighborhood prepare kids to go
20	to the special high school, because by going to
21	special prep schools even when you are three or four
22	years old. He say it was a disadvantage for other
23	kids. No, I think this is a fair playing field. Why
24	would parents spend their own money to let their
25	children go to academy to learn? I mean, it's not

2	the government money. Their hard earned money.
3	Rather than going on vacations, they go to special
4	schools. So, my point to all, all of you all is we
5	have to involve more parents, and then we have to
6	inform the parents we have such high school in New
7	York City, and then we have to inform them in life we
8	all have 24 hours a day no matter how much money you
9	have or how much you don't have. So we all have to
10	make choices. Choices are not easy. Some people
11	choose to sacrifice time studying. Come people
12	choose to play basketball. Then they become
13	basketball stars, the NBA players. Some people
14	choose to become musicians. They practice the violin
15	or piano all day long. Specialized high school is a
16	profession. You have to practice, practice,
17	practice to get in. So what my story is, encourage
18	all the parents we have such high school in New York
19	City. They're very good schools. They're the top
20	schools in the nation, and we don't want to lower the
21	standard by eliminating the test. The test is not
22	discriminatory. If it's discriminatory, if it's
23	racially discriminatory, how come second generations
24	of immigrants can get in? I mean, people from India,
25	from Caribbean, they have dark skin. They get in.

2.2

2 It's because they spent time in preparation.

want to know how are you guys doing informing the

4 students or the parents of the students to prepare

5 | them to make sure they have equal opportunities to

6 take the test and all these things? Can you answer

7 those?

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: As Chair Dromm has said, you're welcome to use your enthusiastic fingers, but we try to keep the spontaneous outbreaks of applause to a minimum here. Thank you.

Ainsley discuss specifically some of the outreach that we do to parents, but I do want to mention that Chancellor Farina has placed, you know, parent engagement as one of her four pillars and has talked about it immensely, and we are doing—we are doing a lot of workshops and outreach on how we get more students to take the test and more parents involved in their child's education, but I'll let Ainsley talk about the specifics.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: In terms of outreach, all our outreach are to parents. You know, we don't do outreach to middle schoolers, right? Parents make

2.2

the decision for middle schoolers. So when we do
outreach, even if it's through the school, we direct
schools to reach out to parents, guidance counselors,
principals. When we do direct outreach it's to
parents. So we are fully engaging parents. There
are some districts, as I said earlier, that we need
to do a better job at for whatever reason and we are
looking at those district. We are, you know, going
deeper into those districts and really seeing what we
need to do to enhance our outreach to parents, but
all our outreach are to parents.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you. Next up is Council Member Gentile followed by Council Members Williams and then Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I want to refer to Reso 442, and if you
look at some of the specialized high schools and
those who attend that are economically disadvantaged,
by that I mean those who are qualified for reduced or
free lunch under title one, if you look at

Stuyvesant, Bronx Science and Brooklyn Tech, about 60
percent of those student bodies in total are
qualifying under title one for free or reduced lunch.
So, we have those poor families in those schools, the

2.2

2	students are going to those schools. Now, we have
3	if we were to change to a multiple criteria
4	admissions policy at those schools, wouldn't you
5	agree that those bright students then would be put at
6	a disadvantage if those students you would assume are
7	working to help the family, the poor family make
8	their budget every month, that if that's the case and
9	they don't have time for these extracurriculars or
10	other things, but otherwise would get into a school
11	based on a single exam, wouldn'tare we now putting

ROBERT SANFT: I don't know that we would necessarily be putting them at a disadvantage. I think it would depend on the criteria that was selected and how they were weighted, and that's analysis that we would have to do in conversation that we would—

those students at a disadvantage if we were to change

to a multiple admissions criteria?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [interposing] We could kind of guess what that criteria would be, right?

ROBERT SANFT: I mean, there are specific criteria referenced in the State and Assembly bills, but ultimately we would need to discuss within DOE

and within communities what the weighting of that looks like. Probably first and foremost to look at how we don't disadvantage specific students, given that the goal of this is specifically to level the playing field.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: I think the follow-up, the goal--this issue with multiple criteria is we have to careful about subjectivity that we--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [interposing]

Can you speak into the mic, please?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: That we don't include any subjectivity into it, right? Because then you have an individual making a decision on a particular multiple criteria, and that may come kind of fuzzy. So, as Rob said, it really depends on what are the criteria you're looking at.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: As we do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Well, if--that's interesting you say that, because a recent city comptroller's audit indicated that the schools that use multiple criteria, the possibility, and this is from the report, the possibility of inappropriate manipulation of student ranking, favoritism or fraud

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

could not be ruled out, and that's what you're saying you're trying to avoid, but they're saying that in fact that could happen. In fact, the comptroller indicated that several of the schools that they looked at failed to rank a portion of the applicants, up to a third in some case of the applicants weren't even ranked in the admissions process. students never had a chance to get in under multiple criteria. So, in--wouldn't you agree, then, that the sole criteria of the test actually increases the-shows no lack, there's no bias, no favoritism, and frankly is more transparent, because if you take the test you'll either get in or not, but if you submit to one of the multiple criteria schools, there's no quarantee we'll even be ranked or considered as the comptroller has indicated.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I want to touch—there are pros and cons to whatever decision is made on how we do this work. So, I want to just call that out because I do think that, you know, as we look—we are looking both nationally and doing a lot of research on what is the best method to implement both exams and programs and the admissions. So I want to say that we're just doing a lot of research on that right

1

now and that there are--there's risks in any decision

that we make for both pieces.

4

5

6

7

to the schools that use multiple measures right now, part of the outcome of that audit was that we would start to collect the rubrics for these schools so

that we could hold them more accountable to who they

ROBERT SANFT: I also think in response

9

8

are ranking and what the outcomes are with respect to how they are ranking their applicant pool.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, okay. basically it proves, it underscores the point that there's really no criteria for the multiple criteria admissions. Some could be ranked. Some couldn't be ranked. Some could be considered. Some wouldn't be, are not being considered right now. You're saying that should change, but right now that's the way it

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

exists.

ROBERT SANFT: What I'm saying is much like a lot of this, we have a way to go to improve and we try to do that annually, and we'll look at how we can ensure that our screened and auditioned programs are ranking according to their rubrics and ensuring that we're adhering to what the outcomes of the audit were.

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr.

3 Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Williams followed by Treyger, Maisel, Barron, and Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Thank you for the testimony. You can only get so much done in four minutes, so I'm going to try to save the world in four minutes. But I did want to say, one, I'm very glad we're having this conversation as we're nationally discussing a lot of conversations right now. They seem to be solely focused on police reform, which is very important, but there are reforms in multiple institutions that we have to deal with to really get to the heart of the problem, and if we don't, we're going to miss the boat on this moment. So I'm glad that we're having these discussions, but thank you for the work as was mentioned that you've already been doing. It's more than, I think, the last administration, definitely the dialogue, but still I think we're not doing enough. I think your own data says 68 percent are black and Hispanic, 80 percent are eligible for lunch, and I know we said it's a national problem,

but New York City should be leading the way. If 68
percent of the people are black and Latino, 80
percent of the people are eligible for free lunch,
then those are the people that we should be serving
the most. Unfortunately, those the people we are
serving the least, and that's very unfortunate to me,
so we have to. And then, we alwaysI have no
problem saying that I'm concerned about everybody,
particularly black and Latino. We have a problem.
We havesome people get nervous when we talk about
the solution in terms of race, but we have no problem
discussing the problem in terms of race. So I hear
all the time about black on black crime and all the
issues in those communities, but the minute we talk
about solving those very same problems with solutions
that include targeting race, everybody jumps up and
down, which doesn't really make any sense to me. So
I'm glad we're talking about this right now. And
also, I graduated from Brooklyn Tech. It's hard to
see, but I used to be a black teenager. I also
[laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Single parent.

I had Tourette's Syndrome and ADHD, so what concerns
me and I understand we have to get to the diversity,

2	but some of the solutions that are being suggested, I
3	don't know gets to that. Because I look at some of
4	the data with the multiple criteria such as Townsend,
5	Bard [sic], Eleanor, Beacon, Lab School for
6	Collaborative Studies, they are actually whiter and
7	wealthier than some of the testing schools. And so
8	what concerns me that we're not getting to the core
9	of the problem, even if we use multiple criteria. In
10	addition, a lot of that diversity is with the Asian
11	population, which is good, but not with the Latino
12	and black population, particularly with the Latino
13	population. Black and Latino population
14	unfortunately or fortunately is actually low on both,
15	90 percent on one, 60 percent on the other, and so my
16	question is, entrance pointsby the way, I think you
17	said all of the schools give quality education.
18	That's probably not true. If it was true, we
19	probably wouldn't be here because everybody would be
20	getting quality education at any school that they
21	went to. But, my question is, access points to the
22	education because people learn and communicate what
23	they've learned differently. If I was trying to get
24	into a multiple criteria school, I would not have got
25	in because my grades were pretty bad, and I often had

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we would like to see?

2 issues in the classroom. So, the only thing that 3 actually got me into these schools was testing. 4 was the only thing I was good at, testing, Regents 5 and all the other stuff I could get tested. I had 6 good recall and the answer was there in the multiple 7 choice, so that was very easy. So, the--but so for me, does it make sense to have schools where people 8 can use what they can do best? So, not all of these 9 specialized schools and not all of these gifted 10 11 schools test in. Some are multiple criteria. Some 12 are tested. Does it make sense to have access to 13 those two points? And why is the multiple criteria

question, Council Member. I think there are a lot of things that contribute to how individual families rank and choose their schools based on student interest, based on family interest, based on geography and transportation corridors, based on academic quality of the schools, based on academic history of the student, based on interest in the specialized high school versus a non-specialized high school. So it is a very interesting question and

schools still not yielding the kind of diversity that

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	something	we'd b	e very	interested	in	exploring	with
3	VO11						

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Sounds like you don't really know.

ROBERT SANFT: Why certain families are choosing specific schools?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah. I mean,
I think we do understand that certain families
individually value different things, and each of the
outcomes of our conversations during workshops and
during counseling sessions with families, but it,
again, it's not a one-size fits all model.
Individual families are choosing for themselves.

up, I know, but I had a second question which I didn't hear a response. Does it make sense to have schools or multiple entrance points to the more quality education that have different test how you learn better? So some might be tested, and some might be multiple criteria. Some might be something else, does that make sense?

ROBERT SANFT: I think looking at how schools weight their criteria and whether or not they can be flexible in that waiting is something that is

definitely interesting to explore, but I think it is counterbalanced by how we are or attempt to be transparent with families about households who are actually considering individual students to ensure that they understand fully what it is that the school is considering when they are considering that particular student.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I mean, it's definitely an issue. I know

that, actually Brooklyn Tech where I went is less

diverse now than it was when I went. So, that's

definitely a problem that we have to address, and I

want to make sure that we don't try to do quick fixes

and get to the problem, but actually get to the core

of the problem. So thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Treyger?

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chair, and I thank you for holding this very

important hearing. My question is, looking at these
six racially isolated districts, do you have data

with you today that says how many schools in these
districts have certified career technical education
programs?

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

URSULINA RAMIREZ: We do not have that data here today, but we can get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I would

appreciate that data. I appreciate that data actually for all of our schools, out of the 1,700 how many of them have certified CTE programs. Let me explain why this matters. And I speak as a proud former public school teacher as well. One of the shortcomings in the DOE has been the push to apply real life learning application in our school system, and CTE opens those doors. I don't believe middle schoolers wake up in the seventh, eighth grade and say, "I feel like going to Stuyvesant." This is something that is embedded in them through earlier years and elementary school years, obviously with family support, obviously with community support and school support. And many of the feeder schools pay attention to the fact that -- and what I appreciate about schools like Brooklyn Tech and Stuyvesant is that they teach to the whole of the child, not just simply academics. There's engineering, technical education, computers, art, music, you name it. But if we are not providing our kids at the earliest ages possible and exposing them to real life application

2	of learning at elementary grade school grades, then
3	it is the system, not the test, that this failing
4	these children. That is the issue. And I'm very
5	sensitive to when people say if you're not a
6	specialized school, then you're not special. I was a
7	graduate of Murrow High School. I'm very proud of
8	that. I was a teacher at New Utrecht High school.
9	We had some great programs that I'm very proud of.
10	But understand, that when you do not provide the
11	support to schools at the earliest grades possible to
12	expose our kids to real life application of learning,
13	then this problem is systemic. And I also take issue
14	with the fact whoever controls the levers of
15	measurement controls the discussion of what's
16	performing and what's not performing. So we have
17	kids, amazing kids in southern Brooklyn who are
18	building homes, who are programming on computers, but
19	the DOE historically has labeled them failures, and I
20	take issue with that. So the issue is, and with all
21	due respect, the greatest challenges in our school
22	system do not reside in the hallways of Brooklyn Tech
23	and Stuyvesant. It resides at the policy making
24	level, and we have to make sure that we are
25	addressing these inequities and this perpetuation of

2.2

this myth of failing schools when in reali	ty we've
been subjected to a failing system. And h	ow do we
duplicate the success that some schools ha	ve had, and
share that across the board. And I asked	for that
data out of the 1,700 schools in our syste	m, how many
have certified CTE programs Thank you	

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I guess we'll now be hearing from Council Member Alan Maisel followed by Barron and Levin. I'm sorry, Council Member Barron?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. In your testimony you talked about the DREAM program that you have. It's a 22 month program, and you said that 847 students were offered spots as specialized high schools. How many students were in the program in its entirety? And you talked about needing more money to expand the program, so what is the funding stream, and how much money is that?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: So, since we started the program, we had a little over about, available seats about 5,000 available since the life of the program, right? We've had an average about 1,400 per year for the program, and the program has been in existence about four cycles now, so a little over

_	COINTILIED ON EDOCATION 90
2	5,000 seats. In terms of who took the test from the
3	DREAM program, we have about a little over 3,000 plus
4	kids took the test who attended the full 22 months of
5	the DREAM program, with the exception of the first
6	year, which I said was a truncated year. We started
7	in the seventh grade. So not all kids who are
8	enrolled finish the program, and not all kids who
9	finish the program did the test.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, how many, do
11	you have the number?
12	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: How many in the program
13	now?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes.
15	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: In the program now we
16	have 1,450, 1,450 currently sitting in a DREAM active
17	program now.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And how did you
19	select the schools for the students to participate,
20	or how did you select the students?
21	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: So there's a criteria,
22	but the main criteria of course is a cut-off on the
23	ELM [sic] fifth grade score and then attendance,

which is about 90 percent for fifth grade are the

main criterias for eligibility.

25

\_ 0

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Sit down please.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. And then we go out to the advanced placement program that you have. You said it's for STEM, students interested in STEM and for students who are under-represented and underserved. How did you target the schools? You indicated there were 64 high schools throughout the city. How did you target those high schools, and can you give us a list of what those schools are?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Yeah. So, just before into the AP program, I'm assuming you made the assumption that all the kids in DREAM are free and reduced lunch and are--

 $\label{eq:all_all_all_all_all} \mbox{AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Well, they all are title one kids.}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay.

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: In terms of the AP expansion, we basically looked at districts that had little or no STEM AP courses. So we did a look at the entire city, looked at what schools where they were clustered in particular districts that had at

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 least one or no STEM AP, and invited those schools to participate in the AP expansion project. 3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And what has been the success? You've talked about the increase in the number of students that took the test, that took the AP exams. What has been the increase in the number of students who passed?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: It's been a mixed batch. So, we saw success in terms of participation and in terms of performance, we didn't lose any ground in that usually the general prevailing idea is as more kids take the AP test, you would see a reduction in performance. The performance remained flat, but we then see an uptick in kids passing, particularly the STEM subjects.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So what is--was it an AP class that you instituted at the high school itself, where students now had an instructor who trained them, who prepared them for the AP?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You added a

23 class?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: We added classes. added courses at the participating high schools.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 101
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And finally, what
3	are the various methods that are currently used for
4	high school admissions across the city?
5	ROBERT SANFT: We're talking about the
6	admissions methods for each of the schools or the
7	programs within the schools?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, across the
9	city.
1,0	ROBERT SANFT: There are nine of them,
11	and so screen for academics, audition, screened for
12	language, educational option. There's a limited
13	unscreened, zoned and the specialized high school
14	test.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And Mr. Chair, if
16	you would indulge me, the shared path to success
17	that's for students with disabilities and there's a
18	set aside for each of the high schools across the
19	city so that they could be a part of that, is that
20	correct?
21	ROBERT SANFT: Correct. It is reflective
22	of the borough percentage for those students within
23	the boroughs where the schools are located.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Council Member Levin?

And we'll have a second round from Lander, Weprin,

Rose, and Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, and thank you to this panel. I want to thank you very much. I apologize for not being here during your testimony, but I want to thank you for the shout out in regard to PS 133, and I want to just acknowledge the work of the CEC's that they played in that process. David Goldsmith is here from CEC 13, and it was a joint venture that the CEC's along with my office and DOE had, and what was interesting about that process with PS 133, which we eventually came to a place where we have an admissions process that's going to promote diversity in an affirmative way. That took a lot of candid discussion between the various interested parties, and we talked about these issues in a thorough way and in way that was straight up with each other, and we did not pull any punches and it was like a robust conversation that happened over a course of several years and that was for one specific schools. And it wasn't always the easiest process and at times it was somewhat painful, but we felt like we got to a place

that is a good place to be in and could serve as a
good model for the rest of the city in a lot ways,
and so I want to just acknowledge their hard work on
that and point you to the work that the CEC in
district 13 has been doing now over the last few
months, because they're taking that process and
they're looking at how to address the issues of
segregation throughout that district using this as a
model. So, I just want to acknowledge their hard
work and kind of point everybody towards the good
work that they've been doing. With regard to Intro
442, I just wanted to ask, and this might have been
covered already, in all of the universities, you
know, top universities in the country both private
and public, do any of them use just the SAT as an
admissions criteria? Is there a single major
university, Harvard, Yale, Stanford on the private
side, Berkley, or Chapel Hill, or University of
side, Berkley, or Chapel Hill, or University of  Michigan on the public side that just uses the SAT's  as a single criteria for admission?
as a single criteria for admission?
URSULINA RAMIREZ: Based on our research,
no

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And do those schools, Harvard, Yale, Stanford, Berkley,

8

9

11

Michigan, Chapel Hill, the best public, the best

3 private schools in the country, MIT, Cal Pack [sic],

do any of them, do they suffer as a result? I mean,

5 has it been a positive, a net positive for them to

6 expand their admissions criteria so it's not based on

7 a single standardized test?

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I can't--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] You

10 don't have to speak for them--

URSULINA RAMIREZ: speak for the

12 universities. I'm sure they would say no.

13 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. I mean,

14 | it's become the norm throughout our education system,

15 our higher education system, that we look at various

16 criteria for admission to these, you know, venerated

17 | institutions of higher learning, and you know, it's

18 | been a good thing for our universities across the

19 | country that we're looking at--you know, young

20 people, students are more than just a test score, and

21  $\parallel$  I think that we as a city need to acknowledge that,

22 | and it seems like it's the appropriate thing to do at

23 this point. To me, it seems like this is an

24 | antiquated system that would reduce our students to

25 merely one test score on one day, and so I'm in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

support of 442, and I would like to see my colleagues vote for this. I think it's a step in the right direction. So I just wanted to, you know, make sure that it's--this is bringing us into the norm, not bringing us outside of the norm. Thank you.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Lander?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, for making a second round possible. Two hopefully quick questions. You mentioned in the testimony, educational option high schools as a good model which used academic achievement, that are specifically designed to have diverse, students of diverse academic achievement who apply to those schools, and that produces in many cases diverse schools across the range of criteria that you were looking at earlier. Do you know how many roughly there are out of our high schools?

ROBERT SANFT: I actually might know that off the top of my head if you give me one moment. makes up 21 percent of our schools and program at the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

high school level. We only have a couple at the middle school level if any.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And it's my understanding that in the prior administration there was appetite, you know, for these schools that, you know, they were ranked well on the, you know, middle school students applications for high school, but that there was resistance to creating more of them or sort of meeting that desire, and that in some cases there was even a desire to have fewer of them. don't have data. This was just a thing I was told, but I guess I wonder whether you see appetite for that, because as you rightly said, parents and families are looking for a wide range of things, that model. Obviously some people who are here are looking for, you know, and elite high school with the best possible students in it. The families applying to educational option high schools are looking for an option that's got a diverse range of students. Council Member Treyger points outs, there's people who want schools that emphasize, you know, CTE. can, you know, go on and on, but I just--that's a model in which people hungry for diversity would presumably choose, and so I just wonder whether you

\_ -

have some sense of, you know, of who's, you know, what the volume of people seeking to be in those schools are and how we're meeting that demand.

ROBERT SANFT: Alright. We can definitely pull demand data for you for our educational option programs in schools. While, I think the last administration focused largely on opening schools with a limited unscreened admissions method, absolutely, we would be open to exploring additional educational option schools, which to your point is that much more of the diversity conversation, academic diversity in addition to racial, ethnic diversity of our students with disabilities, language, culture.

what I hope the intro will show as well. It makes intuitive sense. You would get more diversity in educational option models versus limited unscreened models. I don't know. I'd like to see the data and understand it better together and see what we could do to build on it. So, thank you. And then my final question is just about the support schools need to succeed if they're diverse, and this gets in some ways to Council Member Treyger's issue of just

providing strong supports where they're needed to
every school, but I think it also makes intuitive
sense that it's in certain ways easier to educate a
homogenous group of students and that more is needed
to support a diverse and heterogeneous group of
students. You need people who speak more languages.
You need to be able to pay attention to a broader set
of learners. If you're paying attention to English
language learners and students with disabilities, you
need to provide the resources, whether those are
teaching or physical instruction or support or
transportation or outreach to make all of that work.
And I hope there'll be, I wonder if there is and I'll
hope there'll be some reflection as you think about
these issues on not only the admissions policies
which are critical, but on the supports needed to
enable schools to succeed as well, which something
I've heard a lot from the parents and advocates in
those schools afterwards.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: And just really quickly, I think the chancellor would agree, and you know, with our announcement of our new superintendent in addition to the some of the expansion of our professional development teams in addition to our

department, we're doing our best to make sure that

there is targeted interventions and supports for

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:

Weprin followed by Rose, and then we'll wrap it up

expansion of our English language learners

particular needs in schools.

correct, right?

with Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: So, I know that

most people in this room know this, but just in case

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, Council Member

Thank you.

people watching don't know, when a child takes the standardized high school exam, specialized high school exam, they have to rank the schools in order of preference for which one they would want. So if a kid wanted to go to the Brooklyn Latin School as their first choice and put it first, they would get that if they ranked high enough to make it into Brooklyn Latin. They, even if they ranked high enough to get into Stuyvesant, they wouldn't have the option of going to Stuyvesant, they would go to

ROBERT SANFT: Correct.

Brooklyn Latin as their first choice.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Do you have the statistics by race of which schools the students list and in what order they list them?

ROBERT SANFT: We would have to get back to you on that, Council Member. I would have to take a look.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: That seems like an important piece of information, because let me--where I represent a large Asian population. That's not-that's according to census. And no doubt in mind that most of those Asian families are listing Stuyvesant first. Many are looking at Bronx Science first. As a matter of fact, a lot of them call it the Stuy test. I hear that from a lot of my friends who have kids going there and they call it the Stuy test. So, overwhelmingly, you know, that's what their first choice is. I would be curious to see, you know, this is just anecdotal, but I did do open house circuit on these things, and at Lehman College Academy of American Studies or American Studies at Lehman, it didn't seem to be as popular with the Asian parents, just as I'll just be looking around the room as Bronx Science was or Stuyvesant was. that, I think is an important statistic because

2	obviously that might be another factor here that's
3	driving this. If you look in Brooklyn, you might put
4	Brooklyn Tech first. You might put Brooklyn Latin
5	first and not want to travel up to the Bronx. I
6	mean, those are factors I think are important,
7	because we're dealing here with a science. We
8	understand the problem. And Council Member Jumaane,
9	I would have kissed him if was sitting next to him,
10	because he made a great point, because the additional
11	criteria that was cited here earlier where, you know,
12	we bring GPA, test scores, attendance in, and I don't
13	think we have any idea whether that would help. We
14	don't know if that even would help up black and
15	Latino students. The problem is we don't have enough
16	black and Latino students going to certain
17	specialized high schools. So, he made a point that
18	there are schools that have these additional criteria
19	already that are still overwhelmingly white. So, we
20	don't knowmy big beef here is, we are trying to
21	address a problem by just looking at the results
22	without figuring out what the problem is, and the
23	very first thing we need to do before we do this
24	dramatic thing, which a lot of people seem very
25	sensitive about. People have been going to these

2 schools for many years, including my father-in-law who's been driving me crazy on this one issue, that 3 they want to know--like, make sure that whatever 4 solution we come up with actually works. You know, 5 6 that would be the nice thing to start, and that's why 7 I say--my initial testimony, my initial question was the idea of you mandate that they take the test. 8 More kids take the test who are black and Latino, get 9 that number higher, you're going to get more kids 10 into those schools. I think that works. If you were 11 12 to give more test prep, test prep helps. Like it or 13 not, test prep helps, and a lot of kids in other 14 communities are not getting it enough, outside of 15 school or inside of school, wherever you want to do 16 it, but that will help, those numbers. Those are two positive steps, but without knowing whether they're 17 18 asking to go to Stuyvesant and not knowing where they're actually listing as choices and not knowing 19 20 what's making them not take the test, and then who's letting--where are they falling on the criteria, too? 21 2.2 Is there a disproportionate amount of black and 23 Latinos just missing the cut-offs? Because that would be significant if that was true. I don't know 24 that to be true or not. Then, you give them a little 25

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

bonus for something else, maybe that makes sense, but we may be looking and that's not even true. I don't know. So, we got to know first before we start making major changes. That's my point. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And

Council Member Rose has agreed to allow Council

Member Williams to speak before here. Then she will

go and then--[off mic] [laughter] Then Council Member

Levin also has a follow-up.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Council Member Rose. Thank you to the Chair. One thing I wanted to mention, I wanted to piggy back on what Council Member Treyger was saying, just in case there are any students and people listening that they understand that if they apply themselves they can learn in the public schools system. Sometimes we talk about this and don't realize the effect it may be having on young people who are hearing all this doom and gloom, but I want to make sure they understand that they have an opportunity to get a very good education if they pay attention and apply themselves. Also, so I know one of my colleagues was talking about college and universities, but one of issues there, I think, CUNY, which has its own

2	problems, but I think one of the successes there is
3	there are a lot of access points depending on how you
4	learn and what you can do. And so I thinkI don't
5	know if the college is the best example. And I got
6	into Brooklyn College solely because of my SAT score.
7	Again, I get concerned because I want to make sure
8	that people like me will have an opportunity. So, I
9	probably, not to disparage special education, but
10	most black young people who have the issues I had get
11	steered there, and if it wasn't for my mother, that's
12	probably what would have happened. And so whether it
13	was going to the junior high school or going into
14	Brooklyn Tech, it was a test that saved me. So all
15	these problems, I was always fidgety, couldn't pay
16	attention in class, very noisy. Not much has
17	changed, but I've been able to kind of hone that into
18	a skill set that I think makes sense. So, I still
19	get worried about taking this away completely, as was
20	mentioned, without having the right combination,
21	because we still haven't answered why the multiple
22	criteria schools aren't yielding the results that we
23	want still. This is not to get away from the
24	diversity in the schools, and I believe if those
25	schools, specialized high schools don't come up with

2.2

a plan themselves, we are going to have to definitely
do something. And also, I think we have to pay
attention to the fact that the education received in
some of these young people in some of these schools
up until they take the test are wanting, and that's
one of the problems here. And so, we can't pretend
like that's not a problem and we have to figure out
how to address that as well. I know that I got a
comprehensive plan from the specialized high school
alumni organizations. Have you seen that plan? Did
you have any response to the plan?

ROBERT SANFT: Seen the plan--

URSULINA RAMIREZ: I have not seen the plan.

ROBERT SANFT: I have not seen the plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, well I'm hoping that the folks out there who represent a specialized high school alumni associations will get that to them. We really do have a problem, but I want to make sure everybody has an access point, and mine was the test. And I think it—I think it would be different if those were the only schools that provided a very good quality education, but we have a list of other schools that also do that you can get a

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

very good quality education, that already have

multiple measures. And so, I just can't get past 3

4 that, that we have these different access points for

different folks and both of them are not working. 5

So, that is one reasoning that I haven't been able to 6

7 get past to support the measure that's before us, but

the issue is very real, and we need to do something 8

about it. And thank you, Chair, for that. I 9

10 appreciate it.

> CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I just wanted to ask you if the gifted and talented programs in our elementary schools are deemed a part of the pipeline in terms of preparation for our students to be ready and prepared to go to specialized high schools?

ROBERT SANFT: I don't think that we've ever considered them specifically a pipeline to our specialized high schools. It's just another form of instruction that many parents and families covet and historically they've been implemented throughout the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But do you think that, you know, they're early access to a program

such as gifted and talented raises their ability to qualify for specialized high schools?

ROBERT SANFT: I think high quality instruction is of paramount importance for all of our students. I think that to the point that was made earlier, we have to improve school quality throughout the city. I would imagine that from one gifted and talented program within a school to another, there is some variation in the quality of the instruction that the children are receiving and ultimately the outcomes for those students. I think it's something that we need to look at.

talked an awful lot about preparation, begin prepared is one of the values that prepare, you know, make sure that our students can sort of qualify for a specialized high schools. And I'm wondering about opportunity. We were talking about diversity and I find that the gifted and talented programs are often not in schools where the, you would call, racially isolated schools, and so I was wondering if there's some correlation and if there's something that can be looked at in terms of gifted and talented. I know in my district I don't have any gifted and talented

programs, and we had to fight to try to keep one, and
that too was moved to the other side of the island.
And so, I feel like the students in my district are
not being given the opportunity to have access to
that level of education or preparation. And so, when
we look at diversity and zoning preparation and
academic achievement, I think they're all tied in, in
that there needs to be some sort of barometer by
which all schools can have a fair shake at this. You
know, I'm tired of my schools in my district not
being prepared to compete, and I think that, you
know, there's a lot of elements that go into that in
terms of zoning, in terms of access programs,
opportunity programs, gifted and talented starting,
you know, very early on, and the fact that a
principal can determine whether or not she's going
to, he or she is going to have the option to provide
preparation for a test like that. Is that something
that the principal looks at only in terms of her
budget?

ROBERT SANFT: Are we talking about gifted and talented or the specialized high school admissions--

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 113
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] Now,
3	I'm talking about the preparation for
4	ROBERT SANFT: [interposing] that Ainsley
5	was referencing
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing]
7	specialized high school. You were telling us earlie
8	that the principal has the right to determine whether
9	or not they would provide preparation for the test.
10	So,
11	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: [interposing] A big
12	piece of it would be budget priorities.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: is this a budget-
14	driven decision?
15	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Not entirely, but a
16	piece of it would be budget-driven, and of course, in
17	terms of values and instruction, I'm sure all our
18	principals want our kids to excel, and you know, but
19	part of it would be budgeted.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But then shouldn't
21	it be that budget not be an issue for the principal,
22	that if we're talking about providing a quality and
23	equal type of education for everyone, that budget
24	should not be a part of that equation? Because

you're now telling me that budget has something to do

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 120
2	with the quality or the ability for a school to
3	provide the preparation that might make the
4	difference between a student being able to qualify
5	for a specialized high school or not.
6	URSULINA RAMIREZ: I just wanted to
7	comment. I mean, we do have, obviously principals
8	have an option in prioritizing their budget to have
9	these kind of programs, but because we have the DREAN
10	program which is centralized and it is free for all
11	students, we think there is an option that families
12	can utilize.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I'm sorry, the free
14	program is available to everyone?
15	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: To those who qualify.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: To who qualify, and
17	that's not in theirthat wouldn't be in their
18	school, but there's sort of some off-site free
19	preparation program?
20	AINSLEY RUDOLFO: It's district based.
21	It's within particular districts. We have 20 sites
22	across the city within particular districts.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. And the

school lets them know that they're qualified to be a part of that program?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Central and the schools. So we communicate directly as we said with parents through mail in, but we also communicate directly with guidance counselors and principals. So there are multiple ways that we let kids know that they are eligible to participate.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Levin?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I just have one last follow-up question.

It's along the line of Council Member Rose's question. Are there—and this may have been covered before, are there private test prep agencies that helps students prepare for the specialized exam?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Yeah, if you pay for it, you can go to a private place.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So there is--but they exist?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There's essentially

23 a--

2.2

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: [interposing] An industry of them.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry?

AINSLEY RUDOLFO: There's an industry out there around--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]

There's an industry of test prep, because I-- and that costs money, obviously, right? So, I just--just to share, I mean, when I was preparing to go to college I took a test prep course for the SAT's. My parents paid for it, and it cost a lot of money, and it raised my score about 150 to 200 points, somewhere in that range. And I did better on my college, you know, admission than I would have otherwise, and so I just wanted to--but, so the same type of thing that exists for SAT's exists for the specialized high school exam? Okay, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. I think with that, I'm going to say thank you to the Administration for coming in. We're going to move onto our next panel. There remains an awful lot to be discussed. We can't solve all the problems today, and I do thank you for coming and participating in this hearing.

URSULINA RAMIREZ: Thank you, and we look forward to discussing in the future.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Now, I'm going to call my next panel, State Senator Toby Ann Stavisky, Assemblyman Jeff Dinowitz, Assemblyman William Cotto--Colton, and State Committeewoman Nancy Tong. And we've been joined by Council Member Dan Garodnick. Thank you for being here. Swear everybody in, so if you'd raise your right hand, please? you solemnly swear to tell the truth--Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Okay. Thank you. Senator Stavisky, should we start with you? [off mic]

good morning, but I'm glad it's not evening also.

Good morning. Good afternoon, ladies and gentleman.

My name is Toby Stavisky, and I'm a graduate of the

Bronx High School of Science, the mother of a science

graduate and a former teacher at Brooklyn Tech. As a

State Senator, I currently represent many communities

in Queens including Flushing, Elmhurst, Forrest

Hills, Regal [sic] Park, Woodside, Bayside,

Elechester [sic] and Pomenac [sp?], and I'm here

today to speak on two City Council resolutions, 0453

and 0442, which address diversity in our city's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

public schools. As a lifelong New Yorker and current representative of some of the most diverse zip codes in the nation, I feel very strongly that diversity is our city's greatest asset. This was true when I was a student at science, when the school drew students from all five boroughs, rich and poor, native and And today, we are here to address immigrant. concerns that the city's specialized high schools do not fully reflect our city's racial and cultural diversity. Sadly, this is a serious problem that applies not only to specialized high schools, but to many neighborhood schools as well. I am in full support of Resolution 453, which calls on the Department of Education to make school diversity a priority when making decisions on issue such as admission policies, creating new schools and school rezoning. I must, however, voice my strong opposition to Resolution 442, which would eliminate the specialized high school admissions test and replace the exam with multiple admission criteria. I believe that eliminating this test is a short sided solution to the problem of diversity in our specialized high schools. Pointing the finger at the SHSAT as the reason for the lack of diversity in these schools is

overly simplistic and ignores the truth. The fact is
black and Latino students are not being failed by a
single test. They are being failed by a system in
which last year only 18.6 percent of black three
through eighth grade students tested proficient in
math, and only 18.1 percent tested proficient in
English. Some argue that replacing the exam with an
admissions system that considers multiple criteria
such as extracurricular activities and
recommendations will help diversify the student
bodies at these schools, but a study of the student
population of specialized high schools that use
multiple criteria reveals that schools that are
actually more white and more wealthy than schools
that use the exam. These deficiencies were also
noted in a report by the New York City Comptroller.
Let's not do away with a rigorous test that for
generations have blindlythe test scorer or the
machine doesn't know the ethnicity of the test taker,
that falsely identifiedthat fairly identifies the
city's most advanced students. Instead, lets offer
practice SHSAT's so that students can gauge their
performance and prepare for the actual exam. Let's
significantly improve access to universal pre-k to

give all children the opportunity to start succeeding
early. Let's create more gifted and talented and
enrichment programs in elementary and middle schools
in black and Latino neighborhoods. Let's provide
free test preparation in schools serving African-
American and Latino communities. Let's grow and
improve the discovery program to include all four
specialized high schools, reconfigure it to target
these minority students on the cusp of eligibility
and give them extra support. Let's improve outreach
programs so that African-American and Latino students
are aware of the opportunities available at
specialized high schools, because as a former high
school teacher, I fervently believe that every child
can learn. I am astounded by the fact that eight
Nobel Laureates graduated from science, more than
many countries. Let's offer all students the
opportunities that those graduates had, and let's
continue the tradition of opportunity for all
regardless of ethnicity, race or religion. Thank
you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Senator, and Assemblyman?

2 JEFFERY DINOWITZ: Good afternoon. 3 State Assemblyman Jeffery Dinowitz. I represent the northwest Bronx, and I am a graduate of the Bronx 4 High School of Science, and both of my kids made 5 Bronx High School of Science, and the passed the test 6 7 not because their father was an elected official and not because they had a rich daddy who went there who 8 could be nice to the school. They passed the test 9 because they passed the test, and it was an objective 10 test, and in about 13 years I'm hoping that my son's 11 12 two sons will also take the test and pass the test, 13 and hopefully the Bronx High School of Science will 14 be the school that it was when I went there and when 15 my son went there. But let's be clear, there is 16 something wrong when only 13 percent of the students 17 of New York City specialized high schools are Latino 18 or African-American. However, I do not believe that the problem lies with the specialized high school 19 admissions test, which the eight specialized high 20 schools, two of which are in my district, Bronx 21 2.2 Science and the School of American Studies, those 23 schools use as their only criteria for admission. The under-representation of some minority populations 24 in these schools is indicative of much larger set of 25

2	challenges facing the city's education that does not
3	begin in eighth grade, but begins in kindergarten and
4	probably way before kindergarten. Those who advocate
5	for a more complex admissions process do a disservice
6	to the students they want to help and to the premise
7	of objectivity upon which these specialized schools
8	were founded. Of the hundreds of schools in New York
9	City and the many, many dozens of high schools, only
10	eight base their decisions on this particular test.
11	Though no test is perfect, the SHSAT seeks to be
12	entirely objective. It is meant to identify New York
13	City's best and brightest young minds so they can
14	learn alongside their peers. So, political
15	influence, athletic prowess, family legacies, money,
16	none of that plays a role in the admissions exams for
17	those schools. The myth that the specialized high
18	schools exist exclusively for the privileged elite is
19	just that, a myth. According to the Department of
20	Education statistics, over half the students
21	currently enrolled in these eight schools are
22	eligible for free or reduced lunch. A significant
23	percentage of the schools population are either
24	immigrants or the children of recent immigrants, and
25	less than a quarter of the student body is white.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Those advocating for additional criteria to determine admissions want to use standards that are prone to manipulation and subjectivity such as grades or, if you can believe it, attendance. And as a Bronxite, I can tell you that perhaps attendance in some our schools may not be as good as in other areas because we have the highest asthma rate of any county in the state, and using attendance as a criteria can in fact penalize students in our borough rather than help them. And while a good attendance record should be the goal of every student, because qualified applicants may miss for days for whatever reason, that should not be what determines whether they get Merely showing up should not be a factor in determining whether a student is qualified to be in a specialized high school. To be sure, there are aspects of this application process that can be improved and that should be improved. One critique of the process, which I think is very reasonable, is that not all students have equal access to prep classes and tutoring for the SHSAT, and this has to be changed. Right now, some kids take the prep classes, some kids don't. Some kids don't even know about it. I believe that every student should have

2 free test preparatory classes available to them whenever they want it for as long as they want it, 3 and that should be available in every single school. 4 But in addition, the SHSAT is an opt-in test, and 6 that means that you have to specifically register to 7 take the test, and what that means is that students across New York City, including many, many in Latino 8 and African-American communities do not take the test 9 or don't even know that the test exists, and many of 10 the people running the schools in those districts 11 12 don't inform them of that. Instead, I believe that the test should be an opt-out test. Students would 13 be registered unless he or she chooses not to take 14 the test, that way many, many more people will take 15 16 the test. It should be incumbent upon the Department of Education to inform every family of the 17 18 specialized high schools, because I quarantee you, many, many people don't even know they exist. 19 20 should be informed of the SHSAT, of the free tutoring opportunities that should be made available to them. 21 2.2 You know, the eight specialized high schools that use 23 this test to determine admission are among the best schools not only in the state, but they've earned 24 national reputations for excellence, and my own Alma 25

2	Mater, Bronx Science, as we know, because we like to
3	say because it's true has eight Nobel Prize winners
4	amongst their alum. These effective educational
5	institutions should be cherished and protected. Don't
6	get me wrong, the non-representative demographics of
7	these specialized high schools are beyond troubling,
8	but adjusting the application process to include
9	factors behind the SHSAT would simply introduce bias
10	and subjectivity to an objective and fair process.
11	The free prep class is an opt-out process format
12	would be big steps forward, and there are other
13	things we can do to get more people to take the test
14	and to get more people to be as prepared as possible
15	for the test, but still, we know the reality is that
16	these measures are only part of the solution.
17	Together, we have to work to make sure that our
18	school system as a whole improves because the truth
19	is we cannot use what I'd consider simplistic
20	solutions to a problem, the problem of skewed
21	demographics. The fact is kids don't just become
22	better students or poor students in eighth grade.
23	This starts in Kindergarten or before. Thank
24	goodness Mayor de Blasio's universal pre-k, which the
25	state legislature funded is now in effect, but the

2	fact is there are some kids that go into kindergarten
3	knowing how to read and there are some kids who go
4	into kindergarten not even knowing their ABCs, and
5	those kids are going to be a disadvantage for many,
6	many years to come. So if you really want to resolve
7	this problem, we have to start resolving that problem
8	many years before the kids take these tests in eighth
9	grade. And also, as was shown by the recent study by
10	Comptroller Scott Stringer, the use of thethe
11	multiple criteria schools, the possibility, the
12	strong possibility exists, and I quote, "of
13	inappropriate manipulation of student ranking,
14	favoritism and fraud." There is no guarantee that
15	using multiple criteria will change the results. In
16	fact, the demographics in those schools is whiter
17	than they are in the specialized high schools and
18	they're less Asian-American. Those are the
19	demographic statistics that we have. In my borough
20	there are no gifted and talented classes in most of
21	the school districts. All of the school districts in
22	the south Bronx, as far as I know, there are no
23	gifted and talented classes. So there are so many
24	different things that we could do to change things
25	way before eighth grade, way before kids take the

test. The fact is that given the overwhelming majority of the kids who are at the specialized high schools are minority, and the majority of them qualify for free lunch. I think we have to really identify what the problem is, and the problem isn't the SHSAT. The problem is that the education system has failed them from day one.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Assemblyman?

WILLIAM COLTON: Good afternoon. My name

is Assemblyman William Colton. I represent the 47<sup>th</sup> Assembly District. I've come here together with Nancy Tong who serves the 47<sup>th</sup> Assembly District as the first Asian-American in Brooklyn to be elected a democratic district leader, to present testimony against the passage of Reso 442 and in favor of Intro 511 and Reso 453. The specialized high school admissions test provides a transparent and unbiased test for admission to New York City's specialized high schools. These specialized high schools have been overwhelmingly successful in providing a rigorous and high quality education for high achieving students for many years. They have provided the pathway to success for countless

2	economically struggling families, especially
3	immigrant families. As such, these highly regarded
4	high schools have enabled many immigrants from all
5	over the world to obtain the opportunity to achieve
6	the American Dream, overcoming many obstacles these
7	families continually face. They are not populated by
8	children of the most wealthy but rather a large
9	portion of their students come from lower income
10	families. For example, over 60 percent of the
11	children attending Brooklyn Tech and over 30 percent
12	of those attending Stuyvesant qualify for Title One
13	free school lunches. It has been claimed that these
14	specialized high schools serving the needs of New
15	York City's highest achieving students lack
16	diversity. In fact, if you look in an elevator full
17	of children from these schools, you would see the
18	faces and colors of high achieving children and of
19	immigrant families from all over the world. What is
20	seen as a lack of diversity is more accurately an
21	underrepresentation of proportional ethnic groups in
22	the city. This underrepresentation is not caused by
23	the test discriminating against nay children in the
24	underrepresented groups, but rather by long and
25	continuing failure of the New York City public school

2	system to provide a quality education to all its
3	children. A careful analysis will indicated that the
4	scores of such children in New York State math tests
5	show that with African-Americans, less than five
6	percent have scored a four on these statewide tests.
7	The SHSAT, which is an admission test and which
8	admits students by their score ranking provides an
9	objective and unbiased and transparent process, which
10	is not influenced by who you know. I believe that
11	the lack of confidence in the New York City public
12	school system, as a result of that, the parents of
13	high achieving children from many of the
14	unrepresented groups have been removing their
15	children from the New York City public schools system
16	and sending them to charter schools and private
17	schools, which have been aggressively recruiting
18	these children. Eliminating the SHSAT as the sole
19	criteria for admission to our specialized high school
20	will not solve this problem but rather will
21	discriminate against those high achieving children of
22	those groups deemed to be overrepresented, many
23	including economically disadvantaged immigrant
24	families who have earned a seat in the specialized
25	high schools and thereby have found a pathway to

2.2

2	success and to the American dream. I support greater
3	representation of the underrepresented groups by
4	directing more resources to increase the levels of
5	achievement for these children and to better prepare
6	assist and encourage these children to meet the
7	rigorous objective standards of the SSHSAT. And I'd
8	like to associate myself with the remarks of my
9	colleague Senator Stavisky and Assembly Member
10	Dinowitz in terms of specific ways of dealing with
11	that. I support Intro 511 and Reso 453, which deal
12	with studying the issue of diversity and committing
13	to finding ways in which we may truly facilitate and
14	implement better school diversity. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,
Assemblyman, and our State Committeewoman next.

NANCY TONG: My name is Nancy Tong. I am proud to be the mother of a child who has attended Brooklyn Tech. I am also honored to be serving as the first Asian-American in Brooklyn to be elected a democratic district leader and State Committeewoman. I came to America as a child where I first lived with my family on Elizabeth Street in the neighborhood known as Little Italy. About 12 years ago I moved to Benson Hurst. I have always taught my son the

2 importance of a good education and the value of studying hard and doing his best in school. 3 worked hard and through this specialized high school 4 5 admissions test, he earned the right to attend 6 Brooklyn Tech. He says he wants to be a doctor, but 7 whatever career he may eventually enter, he is now on the path to achieving the American dream, which 8 motivated our family to come to America. 9 The process of an objective and unbiased test for the admission 10 to the New York City's specialized high schools has 11 12 enabled so many immigrants from all over the world to 13 obtain the opportunity to achieve the American dream 14 despite the many obstacles these families have faced. 15 Many of the children who are admitted into the 16 specialized high schools come from these lower income 17 families. For example, over 60 percent of the 18 children attending Brooklyn Tech and over 30 percent attending Stuyvesant qualify for the Title One free 19 20 lunch, free school lunch. If the admissions process were changed to include subjective factors such as 21 2.2 interviews, school grades, recommendations and so 23 forth, how could I honestly tell my son that by working hard, studying and being the most qualified 24 25 he would be able to earn his seat at Brooklyn Tech?

2 The SHSAT, which admits students by their score, provides an objective, unbiased and transparent 3 4 process, which is not influenced by who you know. 5 support diversity in our schools, but when you look at the school population of the specialized high 6 7 schools, you will see students of all colors, nationalities and economic levels. I believe there 8 is not a lack of diversity in these specialized high 9 10 schools, but rather an underrepresentation in groups, which the New York City school system has been 11 12 failing to provide a quality education for many 13 years. The parents of the highest achievers of these 14 children have been removing their children from the 15 New York City public school system and sending them 16 to the charter schools and private schools which have 17 aggressively recruited these students. We do not 18 need to eliminate the SHSAT as the sole criteria for admission to our specialized high school, but rather 19 20 must provide resources for the New York City Department of Education to better induce, prepare and 21 2.2 assist its children to meet the rigorous objective 23 standards of the SHSAT. These specialized high schools have won national renowned for their high 24 standards and they have successfully enabled many 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

children of immigrants and economically struggling families to archive a pathway to success. We must not tamper with this pathway, and thereby risk denying the high achieving children of these families their opportunity to escape from their economic disadvantages. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you very much, and thank you all for coming in. I do have just a couple of comments and/or questions, and I'd like to ask you to respond maybe as you see fit. There is some confusion perhaps or maybe it's not clear to even myself when I read the legislation on the state level regarding the specialized high schools as to whether or not that legislation actually eliminates the use of the standardized test. From what I see here it doesn't seem to eliminate it, but it does say to make multiple measures. Are you advocating, and you can answer this individually, for only using the specialized high school test as the sole determinate for admission to the specialized high schools?

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I'll speak. I'm advocating not changing the Hecht Calandra Law, which was passed in 1971. I'm advocating leaving it as is

2	in terms of the SHSAT being the sole criteria,
3	because any criteria which is subjective is open to
4	manipulation. It's open to corruption. It's open to
5	political favoritism. We know that. That's a
6	reality, and we have many, many high schools in this
7	city that have various forms of admission. We have
8	many schools that have multiple criteria that allows
9	people, and I made reference to that with respect to
10	the statements of Comptroller Scott Stringer, and I
11	would bet you anything that if we did that with this
12	test, you will not archive your desired result. The
13	population probably would be similar to those of some
14	of the other multiple criteria schools and at the
15	same time you will deny qualified students the
16	ability to go to the specialized high schools. So, I
17	guess, speaking for myself, I would say that there
18	should be one objective test. I'm not saying the
19	test is perfect, but I'm saying that it has to be 100
20	percent objective and not subject to any kind of
21	favoritism.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The legislation reads that state test scores should be used as part of the multiple criteria. I don't think you would argue that the state tests are not objective or subjective.

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I would argue that any 3 test that is set up in such a way that different people marking it can come up with a different result 4 such as other Regent's exams, such as essay exams. 5 You know, the SATs for several years added a third 6 7 component of essays, and I believe they eliminated that and perhaps that's one of the reasons. 8 Different people can look at the same essay, for 9 example, and come up with a very different grade. 10 11 Different schools have different grading systems. 12 A in one school may not be the same as an A in 13 another school. This test treats everybody exactly 14 equally. 15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But I don't know if 16 that's what the legislation says about essays. I 17 don't--18 JEFFERY DINOWITZ: [interposing] legislation talks about multiple criteria. 19 20

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Multiple measures, right.

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: And--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] The

24 multiple measures are--

21

23

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: to what you're supposed to show up at in the first place.

24

the argument that often times standardized tests are inherently culturally biased?

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I don't--I'll fin--I

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What would you say to

don't know that I've ever heard an allegation that this test is culturally biased. The only allegation that I've heard is that some people don't like the results of the test. So we should take steps to try to change the results by making sure everybody gets a better education, but I don't know that there is any allegation of cultural bias in the test.

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I took the test, and I passed the test, and my kids took the test. And I'll say one other thing, is the test culturally biased in favor of Asian-Americans, I don't think so.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Have you see the test?

similar. There's no evidence that has been demonstrated, and in fact, just the opposite, that adding criteria for admission is going to provide a more diverse student body. I think my position is exactly the same as Assemblyman Dinowitz, that the Hecht Calandra bill is fine the way it is. And the problem, though, is not the test. It's the prepare—

2 it's the fault of our educational system. And you asked about attendance. I must say, what--the first 3 4 thing that popped into my mind was Woody Allen's line 5 about 90 percent of life is just showing up. showing up doesn't mean a child is learning. 6 7 may be other issues involved. For example, the child may be an immigrant and goes back to the country of 8 origin with their families. There are a lot of 9 reasons why attendance should not be a question here. 10 But my position is that quite frankly until you give 11 12 us an alternative, this is what we should be doing, and subjective questions, interviews, examinations of 13 portfolios, that may be fine in some schools, but not 14 15 in the specialized high schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, I think in the questioning that occurred here before, I don't know if you were here, it was brought up that Harvard and other top schools across the country do use multiple measures for admittance, and actually it's probably the practice for most of the country, that New York City might be the only school district that uses only the test for admission into the specialized—into specialized programs at all. How would you respond to that?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Yes, I think the New

WILLIAM COLTON:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

. \_

17

1819

20

21

23

24

25

York City specialized schools are schools dedicated to challenging and encouraging and bringing out the most highest achieving students who have achieved the highest levels. High performing children also need to be challenged. They have special needs. One of the things that I think is good about the New York City public schools system is that we at least are trying to provide choices, different choices. Children have different needs. They have different skills. They have different abilities, different strengths, and we need to make sure that we provide schools that deal with all of those. Now, the specialized high schools are specifically dealing with the highest performing of children. has to measure who are the highest performing children. For example, when you're dealing with colleges such as the Ivy League colleges of Harvard and so forth, their criteria is not based upon the highest performing children. They have other -- these are private institutions and they have other agendas, like for example, making sure that there are students

there whose parents are very wealthy and who will

make sure they give big donations to those schools.

2	So they have a subjective criteria which allows them
3	to pick and choose. I think you'll find in many of
4	the Ivy Leagues that many children who attended
5	Harvard, it's end up their children or grandchildren
6	also attend Harvard. It's not an objective criteria.
7	I don't think that's what we want to do with the
8	specialized high schools, and I think, you know, I
9	don't know whether how many other systems use a
10	specialized high school approach, but I do know that
11	clearly New York City is renowned for its approach.
12	This has been one of the most successful education
13	programs not only citywide but nationwide, and if it
14	isn't broke we shouldn't be changing it. So, I too
15	agree with my colleagues here that we should not be
16	changing the criteria that the law currently has set
17	because it has worked, and I think what we must do is
18	we must encourage and we must provide resources. We
19	must provide an expansion of the DREAM program. We
20	must encourage and make people aware that this
21	exists. One of the problems here, which I mentioned
22	in my testimony, is that many parents of the groups
23	that are unrepresented have been taken and their
24	children have been enticed to go to charter school
25	and private schools instead of going to a school like

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 147
Stuyvesant, and one of the reasons for that is the
lack of confidence in the public schools system.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, what's the
solution? Obviously all of you on the panel agree
that the racial makeup of these specialized high
schools is not an ideal situation. I know
JEFFERY DINOWITZ: [interposing] I think
we've all
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] you're
state legislators. What about funding for the New
York City public schools?
JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I think we've all made
references
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Are you
committed to providing that
JEFFERY DINOWITZ: to solutions to that.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: additional funding?
I'm sorry?
JEFFERY DINOWITZ: I think we all made
reference to solutions. First of all, the state
should fund the schools more, but the state this past
year as you know, put up a substantial amount of
money to fund universal pre-k, and as I said earlier,

that's really where all this starts. It doesn't

start in eighth grade. And you know, Assemblyman Colton really said it right. When I made reference earlier to the fact that these schools, you don't get in because you have a rich daddy. What I meant was exactly what he said. The criteria—

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So, what you're proposing, though, Assembly Member--

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: is very objective.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What you're proposing is that we have preparation programs, we have other things. What can you do on the state level to ensure that that will happen? Can we work together to provide CFE money? What can we do together to make sure that the solutions that you're proposing actually happen?

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: We should be working together, and I think the city and the state do work together. The state has significantly but not enough increased public schools funding in recent years. It just has to go into the things that it should go into. We shouldn't be spending hundreds of millions of dollars setting up a phony grading system and other stupid things at the--under the previous Administration, the Department of Ed spent its money

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

But the fact is, we have money, we just have to direct it in the right way. The specialized high schools are unique perhaps in the country, and we don't have to change everything in every single school that exists. And you know, I mean, this may make some people feel a little uncomfortable, but I was reading a story, I think it related to maybe Princeton or Har--I think it was Princeton in the Times maybe just in the past week. And there are suggestions that some of those schools have, you know, informal quotas against certain populations, kind of -- in this case, Asian-Americans. Kind of like what happened with Jews, you know, in the last century. And you know, the results are what the results are. We can't change how well people do on a test, what we can--a week before the test. What we can change is making sure that we really put up the resources from day one and even before so that when kids start out they have an opportunity to do well so that a kid who starts out in kindergarten not reading may have an opportunity to get into Bronx Science, just like the kid who starts out in kindergarten reading.

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOBY ANN STAVISKY: Let me also add a couple of things. Number one, the state legislature has for as long as I can remember each year increased the amount of aid statewide and to New York City. Secondly, we're going to--the mayoral control issue is going to come up. It expires in June, and perhaps the City Council will take a look at some suggestions so that there can be a better division of funding. Assemblyman Dinowitz was referring to an op-ed article from November 25<sup>th</sup> of this year in the New York Times, and it said, "Is Harvard unfair to Asian-Americans?" I hate to say this, but picking up on what the Assemblyman just said, when I went to Science it was heavily Jewish, and particularly the elite colleges, the Ivy League colleges discovered-and that's mentioned in this article, that they had a disproportionate share of Jewish students, and that's when they started initiating quotas, which are obviously unconstitutional according to the federal courts, but there are exceptions, and one of the exceptions is to improve diversity. We can't have a system where we set aside certain percentages. was anti-Semitic in the 1940's for the returning

service people, and it's wrong to do that to the

2.2

2	Asian-American community today. And I say that as
3	somebody who represents a large percentage. My
1	district, as you well know, is probably two-thirds
5	Asian-American. They don't deserve the
5	discrimination. On the other hand, the minority

students, the African-American and Latino students
deserve better, and they deserve to have enrichment

programs, etcetera, that we've spoken about.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I was--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry, yes.

NANCY TONG: I have something to say.

Yeah, when they're saying the Asian-American, but
this test was made 40, over 40 years ago. It wasn't
made for the Asian. I remember when I came in the
60's, my brothers, they also went and they got into
Brooklyn Tech and Stuyvesant. Then my son went into
Brooklyn Tech. These tests were not made for the
Asians. They were made long time ago, and when they
do work, I don't see why we have to--because the
Asians have a big percentage of getting into the
specialized high school they need to change it? I
don't think that's fair for the Asians.

J

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I don't think that's the argument. The argument is that--

NANCY TONG: [interposing] The resource that they need.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Excuse me. Excuse me. The argument is that other minorities are not equally well-represented. So I don't want to--I don't want to just boil it down to that. So that's a little point of difference that I would disagree with you on. Let me have Council Member Treyger ask a question.

Thank you,

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER:

Chair. I would just like to say that I think that the biggest attack on diversity and the biggest attack on urban school districts like ours has been the broken state formula to distribute funding across New York State, which I actually have to say thank you to the Assembly Majority, and thank you to the Senate Minority for constantly reminding the governors, whether it's the present governor or prior governors that the way they equate the funding that we're one school district, and they equate us with some school districts out in some of the suburbs with only a few thousands kids, and they get per capita

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	even more funding than us. So I actually want to
3	thank you for speaking up for the school district
4	here in New York City, and urge you to really mount
5	an aggressive fight to address inequities that still
6	exist in our funding streams to New York City. And I
7	know, absolutely, Iand I know it's a battle to even
8	get more monies into the city, and I applaud you and
9	the Assembly Majority, Senate Minority for waging
10	that battle against people who really don't like New
11	York City very much. But, quite frankly, this to me,
12	the broken formula of funding, that has been the

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I couldn't agree more, and I think that two billion dollars that we're owed in CFE money is vitally important to the city, vitally important.

biggest attack on diversity that we must address, and

working together we'll get that job done. Thank you.

TOBY ANN STAVISKY: The formula has improved over the years. I mean, we've established the foundation aid, particularly. It has gotten better over the years. At one time there are frankly too many school districts. We've got 700 and some odd school districts in New York State, and the city is considered one school district. At one time you

\_

had what was called Save [sic] Harmless, where you got the same amount as you did last year, even though you had a decline in enrollment. We've gotten away form that and we're coming back to the formula, but the real issue, as far as I'm concerned, is the court decision, the campaign for fiscal equity lawsuit where we've got to start bringing that money back to the city.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Couldn't agree more.

that we have to make a commitment in the state. We have been improving. We've been doing more and that's good, but we have to get to full CFE funding. We have to really work together with the city and the City Council and all groups, because that money is needed to make sure that our children get the resources that will eliminate a lot of the underrepresented groups that it is appearing, but we also must get, you know, strong support from the city in terms of using those monies well. The DREAM program is a program that should have a lot more seats than it has right now. We should be making parents, a real consorted effort, making parents aware that their children should take the SHSAT

2.2

unless they choose to opt out from it and make their
own decision that they don't want their child to go
to that particular or to try for that school. We
must provide resources for smaller class size. We
must provide those things, and sometimes in the past,
and you know, this administration I trust is going to
be different, but in the past administrations have
used these monies and spent them on consultants, and
then we face a difficult task in the legislature to
convince our colleagues from other parts of the state
why we should be changing the formula and giving more
monies to New York City. So, we really have to make a
strong, consorted effort at correcting CFE.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, I think that's a great challenge and I would like to work with you together on that. Maybe we can do a hearing up in Albany on CFE money to draw attention to the fact that we're not getting our fair share of tax dollars. I want to thank you all for coming in, and I'm going to call the next panel. Thank you, very, very much.

JEFFERY DINOWITZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Janella Hinds from the United Federation of Teachers, Rachel Kleinman from the NAACP, Esmeralda Simmons from the Center for Law

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and Social Justice, Jose Perez, Latino Justice, and
Lazar Treschan, Community Service Society of New
York. Alright, would you please raise your right
hand so I can swear you in? Do you solemnly swear or
affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing
but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions
honestly? Thank you. And, Ms. Hinds, you want to
start?

JANELLA HINDS: Good afternoon, Chairman Dromm and the members of this distinguished committee. My name is Janella Hinds, and I am Vice President for Academic High Schools for the United Federation of Teachers. On behalf of our union, I want to thank the Council for holding this hearing today, and for allowing us the opportunity to share our views. As we know, New York has been a gateway city for immigrants from across the globe and is widely considered a beacon of diversity like no other, yet studies have shown that our schools are considered among the most segregated in the state. The problem is especially prevalent in our specialized high schools, and I'm going to spend my time today discussing those issues and solutions that we propose. We commend the City Council and the

2	members of this committee for bringing us all
3	together to begin the conversation, this very tough
4	conversation about diversity and admissions to
5	specialized high schools, and we thank Council
6	Members Lander, Torres and Barron for introducing the
7	important pieces of legislation. We are looking
8	forward to working together with the council, and we
9	believe that we can make important policy changes to
10	fix what is broken as well as to expand access and
11	achieve greater equity for high achieving talented
12	students in neighborhoods across the city. So we
13	support proposed Introduction number 511A 2014 and
14	Resolution 453-2014, and we also support Resolution
15	442-2014. This state legislation S7738A9979, which
16	is one of our top legislative priorities in Albany
17	enacts a series of changes to the admissions process
18	for the city's specialized high schools that will
19	extend opportunities across the city to a larger pool
20	of deserving students by removing their barriers to
21	access. The members of our task force believe that
22	there are talented students across the city who are
23	not getting the opportunity to be effectively
24	prepared for this exam, or to take the exam in large
25	numbers. They also don't believe that this exam is

2	best aligned to the work that they need to know and
3	do in these schools, and so they challenge the
4	validity of the specialized high school's exam,
5	admissions test. The taskforce comprised of
6	educators representing all of these schools arehad
7	diverse opinions but engaged in vigorous debate,
8	expressing views on all sides of the issues and came
9	to consensus in recommending a couple of elements
10	that are especially important for this legislation,
11	creating language to broaden the definition of what
12	constitutes the highest performing scholars,
13	specifically that there be a power score pathway
14	using a combination of grades, state exam scores,
15	attendance, and some version of a revised specialized
16	high school admissions test, expanding the applicant
17	pool by better publicizing the specialized high
18	schools admissions procedures, leveling the playing
19	field by providing free electronic preparation
20	materials and changing the Discovery Program for
21	applicants who narrowly miss the admit score to make
22	it mandatory for all schools, resulting in an
23	intensive summer program for scholars and aligning
24	each Discovery program with the skills needed for
25	incoming ninth graders specific to each school. We

believe that underrepresented students, particularly
black and Latino students deserve a fair and
equitable opportunity to succeed at the highest
levels, and if that is the case, then it's crucial
that we support policies that expand access to
talented middle school students across the city. We
are not confident that the specialized high school's
admissions test that is in place today is the same
exact test that was taken by people who have
previously testified before this body. We believe
that the specialized high school's admissions test
needs some revision. It needs to be reviewed, and it
needs to more successfully align to the work that
students need to know and be able to do in the
specialized high school of New York City. And so, we
commend you for bringing forward these resolutions
and these introduction items, and we look forward to
partnering with you as we address this very important
issue for New York City's high schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next please.

RACHEL KLEINMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Rachel Kleinman. I'm Assistant Counsel at the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund. I would

2	like to thank the City Council for affording LDF the
3	opportunity to address the current proposals to
4	improve school diversity. The reforms advanced by
5	Council Member Torres, Lander and Barron are
6	consistent with LDF's ongoing efforts to ensure
7	diversity in New York City schools. In 2012, LDF
8	along with Latino Justice and the Center for Law and
9	Social Justice at Medgar Evers College first called
10	for a change in state law regarding admissions to the
11	New York City specialized high school in federal
12	civil rights complaint. The complaint was filed with
13	the US Department of Education's Office for Civil
14	Rights on behalf of a broad coalition of New York
15	education, civil rights and social justice
16	organizations challenging the admissions process at
17	New York City's elite public specialized high
18	schools. A complaint, which is currently being
19	investigated, alleges that in addition to being bad
20	education policy, the single test admissions policy
21	has an unlawfully, racially desperate [sic] impact.
22	The 11 complainant organizations on the complaint
23	represent diverse constituencies including African-
24	Americans, Latino and Asian-American community
25	members. In addition, the complaint has received

2	broad support including written statements from among
3	others, the Asian-American Legal Defense Fund, the
4	New York Urban League, Advocates for Children, and
5	the Coalition for Asian-American Children and
6	Families. The New York City Departmentsorry.
7	Currently, admission into specialized high school is
8	based exclusively on the result of a single test.
9	This kind of policy, education experts agree is
10	arbitrary, inaccurate and an unfair measure of merit.
11	The New York City Department of Education has
12	admitted that it has never studied the specialized
13	high school admissions test to determine whether or
14	predict success of specialized high schools.
15	Amending the single test admissions policy to allow
16	for additional measures of academic measure, merit,
17	will make the process fairer for all students. This
18	is not about lowering standards, it's about raising
19	standards to look at performance across multiple
20	measures. The current admissions policy has a
21	particularly devastating impact on black and Latino
22	students who have startlingly low admissions rates.
23	Of the nearly 12,000 black and Latino students who
24	took the Fall 2012 SHSAT exam, just over 600 were
25	offered admission to any of the high schools. Out of

2	the 952 eighth grade students who received offers to
3	Metriculate [sp?] and Stuyvesant High School, seven
4	were black, 21 Hispanic. Resolution number 442 calls
5	on the state to change the law to allow the
6	specialized high schools to open up their admissions
7	policies to include multiple measures of merit. The
8	resolution does not, however, on its face recognize
9	that Mayor de Blasio and the New York City Department
10	of Education can on their own change the admissions
11	process for five of the eight specialized high
12	schools that are not named in the state law.
13	Therefore, that we ask the City Council amend
14	Resolution 442 to include a call for change at the
15	city level, and to urge the Mayor to use his
16	authority to immediately change the admissions policy
17	for the five newest specialized high schools in New
18	York City and to join community advocates in calling
19	upon state law makers to help change the admissions
20	policy with the city's three oldest specialized high
21	schools. LDF urges the advancement of all of the
22	proposed measures seeking to address and remedy the
23	racial segregation and racial isolation so prevalent
24	in New York City's public schools. LDF stands ready
25	to work with law makers and others to advance these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

measures and we continue the work to achieve racial diversity in our public schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

LAZAR TRESCHAN: I'll be quick. I'm Lazar I'm from the Community Service Society. Treschan.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you speak just a little louder for me.

LAZAR TRESCHAN: Lazar Treschan from the

Community Service Society, proud public schools student graduate in New York City and child of public schools teachers. I won't read my testimony. You have it. I want to just echo what Council Member Lander said earlier. Studies have shown we have the most segregated schools in the country, and Council Member Lander pointed that look, there's a moment in time where our public institutions are failing us. And one of the big challenges, I think what we've seen with recent events is that the systems aren't failing, right? The systems are actually working. They're just working to protect certain types of people in those systems, and the same is true in education. This law was created to address a completely different set of circumstances in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

early 1970's, principally known as white flight and a lot of challenges the cities were having to create an end [sic] around the school system for certain types of families, because the dynamics of New York City were completely different. That's what Hecht Calandra's about, and we have an opportunity as a city to reflect on our public institutions. This institution of the specialized admission test, the Community Service Society has recently gotten data from the DOE, a much more open DOE than the last administration and is looking at the results of the specialized high school admissions test compared to middle school performance and they're not really that related. Our kids are taking plenty of tests already. The state exams, which the Chairman referred, are perfectly good exams, and everyone studies for those in school. We spend so much time now complaining that our students are spending too much time cramming for those test in school, but at least they're all studying for them. At least students are paying extra to study for them outside of the school. Those are objective exams, and there are a lot of different ways to use those. Those are exact -- those are connected to school standards.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

specialized high school admission test is not connect to school standards. There has been no validation of it. Math, and even the way it's scored, if you do the 90th percentile on both sections you will not get in, but if you get the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile in one section and the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile or 55<sup>th</sup> percentile in another section, you will get in. What does that mean? can game the test. That is not the case with the state test scores. So what do you do when you go into a prep course for the specialized high schools? They tell you, we're just going to find the one section you're good at, and you're just going to kill that section and just going to do okay on the other one, right? That is not a fair system, because you only are figuring that out if you have the resources or a family. You know, someone--some talked about the parents. You know, who you parents are is a roll of the dice, so it's not -- not everybody gets the same parents that are going to push them into the same type of programs. So, let's at least let our schools do their job and prepare kids, and admissions to the specialized high schools needs to be a much better reflection of what's happening in the schools. We have state exams. We have grades, and we're looking

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 forward to talking to Council bout proposals to use

3 those in a much fairer way.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next please?

JOSE PEREZ: Good afternoon, Council Member, Chairman Dromm, Council Member Lander. Thank you for holding this hearing and inviting Latino Justice PRLDEF. My name is Jose Perez. I am the Deputy General Counsel and Legal Director at Latino Justice. We were formed in 1972 as the Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund. From the days that we opened our doors to our first lawsuit against the city Board of Education about bilingual education, the Espida [sp?] case, to today, we are still litigating and fighting against segregation and the deprived right of Latino children to a fair education from, again, bilingual ed in the 70's to unaccompanied minor children being denied the right to a free public education in places like Long Island, Hudson Valley and down south. We're talking about -- it is -- the report that's been cited from UCLA, again, that you know, when we talk about segregated schools, we think about that this is something that's happening in the south, Alabama, Mississippi.

2	folks, it's happening here in our own home town back
3	yard. New York City, known as the gorgeous mosaic,
4	yet that it still encounters and deals with this on a
5	daily basis. Latino justice supports the two
6	resolutions and the intro that have been introduced,
7	and we call upon our city and state legislatures to
8	ensure diversity in New York City's K through 12
9	public schools and make that become a reality. I
10	think adopting the intro and the resolutions is a
11	positive step forward towards a commitment to
12	diversifying New York City's public schools. Let us
13	wake up to the realities of current state of
14	segregation in our city and particularly its harmful
15	effects on our school aged children. The comments
16	that, you know, it ain't broke don't fix it. Well,
17	folks, it's broke. The time when we filed our
18	administrative complaint that you heard my colleague
19	Rachel form the NAACP Legal Defense Fund, and my
20	colleague who to speak from Medgar Evers Center for
21	Law and Social Justice, the admissionthat complaint
22	was filed with the US Department of Education in
23	September 2012. The numbers at that time, the impact
24	when you talk about numbers, at that time the
25	admissions for the 2012 school year, again, of the

2 967 eighth grade students offered admission to 3 Stuyvesant for that year, just 19, two percent, were African-American, 32, 3.3 percent were Latinos. 4 5 is--the numbers speak for themselves, and you heard 6 my colleagues say, this is evidence of disparate 7 The most recent numbers announced by the Department of Education in March of this year for the 8 current 2014 school year, the percentage of African-9 American test takers offered admission to the eight 10 elite high schools is only five percent, and the 11 12 percentage for Hispanic students were seven percent, 13 worse than the numbers over the past several years. 14 Of the 5,096 students accepted into the city's eight 15 specialized high schools for this current school 16 year, only 350 were Hispanic, and this according data 17 from the Department of Education. Last year they 18 admitted 375. So what does this signify? numbers are going down. The sharpest declines came 19 20 at the city's most selective schools. Out of the 952 students accepted to ultra-elite Stuyvesant, just 21 2.2 seven are black and 21 are Latino. Last year, they 23 accepted 33 total black and Latino. At Bronx Science in 2014, 18 blacks and 15 Latinos were accepted out 24 of 968 students. Last year in 2012-2013, 25 blacks 25

and 54 Latinos. Folks, the system is broken. The		
legislation up in Albany to reform and amend the		
Calandra Hecht Act, doesn't call for the elimination		
as has been misquoted of the specialized. It calls		
for a test. What's amazing is that in all these		
years of the use of this test, it has never been		
validated as an accurate barometer of academic		
success. So, howwhy does the city continue to		
utilize this exam and why does it continue to pay,		
expend exorbitant funds for a private testing		
company, Pearson. That's perhaps something for this		
committee to examine and to examine the current RFP		
process to the Department of Education. The		
Department of Education can take immediate steps to		
reform the process. It doesn't have for all your		
counterparts in Albany to act. The city, the		
Chancellor could immediately make multiple measures		
and appropriate, including some form of validated		
test and admission criteria for the five additional		
schools besides the big three. I would hope and urge		
this committee to monitor the Chancellor and the		
Department of Education's efforts in this regard.		
Thank you.		

25 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

2 ESMERALDA SIMMONS: Good afternoon. name is Esmeralda Simmons. I'm the Executive 3 Director for Law and Social Justice at Medgar Evers 4 College of the City University of New York. 5 here with my colleagues who have joined, we have 6 7 joined together and filed the historic administrative complaint with the Office of Civil Rights for the US 8 Department of Education, and I'm happy to say that I 9 think that that filing of that complaint has set this 10 11 major ball rolling. Two years ago, there was no hue 12 [sic] and cry about what was going on at the 13 specialized high schools and the admission process. 14 Look at the discussion today. I thank the City 15 Council, Chair Dromm, as well as Council Member 16 Lander, Council Member Barron and Council Member Torres for bringing these resolutions before us 17 18 today. But I will also ask everyone here to be very, very aware that it is indeed our New York State 19 legislative representatives that are going to have to 20 make most of the movement in sort of eliminating the 21 2.2 test as a sole criteria for the three oldest standing 23 specialized high schools, but as has already been stated, right now, today, New York City has the power 24

to change the criteria it is using for the remaining-

- I'm going to say five, but I heard today it might
be sixthe remaining five specialized high schools
that was expanded under the Bloomberg Administration
to also only use this sole test. Now, since the City
Council, this Oversight Committee/Education Committee
has so much influence, and since we know that the
administration has already pledged to make major
strides, and we are working, partnering with them in
an advisory capacity to change this situation. We
urge that it be changed not next year, but be cha
I'm sorry. Not after the test is taken for another
time and we have another set of abysmal statistics
and so many young black and Latino students have
their aspirations dashed, we ask that you change the
criteria for the remaining five specialized high
schools now. We applaud this committee and the
Center for Law and Social Justice supports each of
the resolutions that are before us today. We applaud
this committee and we urge that you continue to press
the Department of Education on the diversity
statistics and on diversity implementation because we
know that we have had laws on the books forever
regarding diversity and I might dare say equal
educational opportunity as we "celebrate" the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

anniversary of Brown versus Board of Education. Ι'm going to end by simply saying that New York City is not just now beginning its very sad route down the road of segregation and education. The Office of Civil Rights in the United States Department of Education has already cited the New York City Department of Education all the way back in the 1970's for having the most segregated school system in the country, and the city supposedly took steps to change that. Well, we now know, based on that report from UCLA, that in fact we are exactly where we were 40 years ago and things are not getting better. fact, they are getting worse. We urge you to act We applaud the action of this committee. now. you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, and thank you for that impassioned testimony. I appreciate it very much. Let me just say, talk about passion. You know, I was a New York City public school teacher for 25 years before I got elected to the City Council, and education's always been my passion, and throughout my whole career as an educator I have always said that I do not believe in single test scores, standardized test scores as being

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	the sole determining factor in how a child is going
3	to turn out to be no matter what. And I have to tell
4	you, going into this discussion I hold that belief,
5	that educational belief as well. So, and I am one of
6	the co-sponsors of the legisof the resolution in
7	the council here as well. So, that is where I'm
8	coming from. And I want to go to Ms. Hinds testimony
9	as well. It says that you support using a
10	combination of grades, which I would assume is
11	teacher grades,

JANELLA HINDS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: state test scores.

JANELLA HINDS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Fair objective?

JANELLA HINDS: Yes. Well--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] To a certain extend.

JANELLA HINDS: as objective as any test.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: As a test, right, as a test score could be. Attendance and some version of a revised SHSAT score. So, to me, I mean, I don't understand what the opposition to that would be. I really just don't understand that. And, you know, if in fact, as one of the people who gave testimony

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

before said that the state, that the test is the same as it's always been. Then shame on that, because that test hasn't changed in 40 years, then I don't know what we're putting out an RFP for, number one. And number two, I think change -- time has changed and technology has changed and there's just so much more. And then the other disturbing factor that I find in many of these arguments is the fact that much of the tested material, much of the questions on the test is not information that's taught in the public schools So if you don't have money to get the test system. preparation or in some way to get that knowledge, you're not going to be able to do well on that test. And I still just cannot get around that argument. just--that's my observation from having heard all of the testimony on this panel.

JANELLA HINDS: Yes, Chairman Dromm, and the members of the taskforce—that taskforce was comprised of educators in the specialized high schools. We had representation from all the of schools, came together and really thought about a proposal that might take into consideration all that a middle school students brings to this admissions process. That's where we came up with this proposal

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 for the idea of a power score, bringing together not only their performance on a revised and aligned and 3 4 validated specialized high schools admissions test, but their performance on state exams, their 5 performance in classes, and attendance. And I know 6 7 there's been a lot of joking around attendance today, but as a high school educator, attendance is 8 critically important to my student's ability to 9 perform in the classroom. Student's work ethic is 10 developed by how they engage in the classroom. So 11 12 we're not talking about just being there. We're 13 talking about using that measure as one of several 14 other measures to take into account how a student 15 would perform. 16

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I also want to say
that I was impressed by the NAACP's list of
statements of support for your testimony, American—
Asian—American Legal Defense Fund, Advocates for
Children, Alliance for Quality Education, CAV [sic]
Organizing, Asian Communities, Coalition for Asian—
American Children and Families, Committee for
Hispanic Children and Families, and it goes on and on
and on. It seems to be a broad coalition of
interested parties in this that have come to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

\_ 1

25

understand why creating—and I wanted to correct some of the other testimony. This is not about taking away opportunity from some people, but opening opportunities for all children, and I think that's the focus of what we wanted this hearing to be here today.

RACHEL KLEINMAN: I just wanted to add we did have a very broad range of support in filing the complaint, and we did a lot of outreach before filing it because we were aware of some potential opposition that might come up and we wanted to make sure that that was taken into account. I think that's why we agree with people who say it needs to be studied first. We need to figure which measures make the most sense. There are objections to certain kinds of measures, and certainly some of our allies brought up some of the same concerns, including things like recommendations or interviews. That, you will not see that in the state legislation and we're not recommending that. You know, we agree that they should be objective measures, and I think, you know, that's what the -- the state legislation reflects that, and the state legislation can still be amending, before passing this. You know, we need to look at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

which measures make the most sense and which make sense to a broad range of community members, not just African-American, Latinos, but to all students and families in the city.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And in my opening remarks I alluded to some of the misinformation that's been put out there, and I really would like to make sure that people stick to the facts today. going to hold them to those facts when they come up to give testimony. So, I--no?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Just one question which I think is obvious from your testimony, but I do want to--first, it was helpful to hear that point I was going to ask about, the process of thinking about and developing the measures, because we heard concerns that I think we share, that obviously the goal is to address, you know, the abominably low percentage of African-American and Latino students in these outstanding schools, and so we want to be thoughtful about having a process which achieves that. No one's here to feel good about--you guys of engaging this litigation for far too long, and the, you know. So, but I think it must be obvious, but several of you spoke to agreeing with some of the

things that opponents of the legislation have said
about expanding access, but it seems clear to me you
must have looked and concluded that those are likely
to be insufficient, that a set of things have been
tried over the years that simply have not succeeded.
So, I guess I do just want to ask that. I, you know,
I thinkI assume since your goal is to achieve
increased representation of African-American and
Latino students in those schools, if you believed it
could be done simply with, you know, an array of sort
of outreach, more students taking the test and some
additional test prep, you would have been satisfied
to propose that as a remedy and that therefore you
don't believe it'll be sufficient based on your
research and data, but it would be good to make sure
we hear and understand that.

something out within the next couple of months, but in response to, you know, more prep in middle school, the data that we've gotten from the DOE shows us that middle school has no influence on whether or not you get into a specialized high school. What you do in middle—and all the sorting is happening long before. And it's not that kids are smarter or less smart,

it's the families they come from. How you, again,
how you do in middle school does not really relate to
what you do in the specialized highwhether or not
you get into specialized high schools. Eighty-eight
percent of kids in specialized high schools were
screened for their middle school. So, basically,
you're being screened at fourth grade or earlier and
that determines whether or not you're going to have a
chance to get into a specialized high school. All
the middle schools are sorting mechanisms, and that's
what the specialized high schools are as well.
They're sorting mechanisms right now for families who
are getting their kids intowhose kids are screened
in fourth grade or earlier, and we'veand we begin
to see that's continually ridiculous, this idea of
well we need to expand test prep. Well, we're
basically going to get to a situation, "Well, why
should we have even have school? We should just have
test prep." You know, and that's not what school is
about, needs to be about. So, we would like to
explore how someone who performs really well and
overcomes obstacles in sixth, seventh and eighth
grade. You know, we take all these exams already.
How that can actually influence whether or not you

2.2

get into specialized high school, right now it has no influence. You could finish top of your class, get fours on the English and math ELA and that doesn't guarantee you anything. In fact, there are many kids who are doing that, but because they don't have the resources—and getting 90 percentile on both sections of the SHSAT, but because they don't have the resources to figure out how to game the test, they are not getting in, and that's a shame.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright. Well--yes?

ESMERALDA SIMMONS: Thank you so much for raising that very significant question. There—the members of our coalition are not against some of the recommendations that have been given by prior persons who have testified or organizations. We applaud expanding opportunities, the DREAM. How about giving public schools the money that we deserve. We all applaud that. However, when it comes to admission to the specialized high schools we are saying there needs to be very significant study on what would actually effect, get the effect that we want of having it be a truly open process. When those number are skewed that way, it shows it is not truly an open process. So, what we're looking for—

2.2

and there are those amongst us that have ties to those same high schools. My sister went to Bronx Science. My General Counsel, Joan Gibbs [sp?], whose in the audience today who is part of this lawsuit went to Bronx Science. We're not against Bronx Science or Stuyvesant or whatever, but we--even then, and my sister told me, she told me, "Go and get this fixed. I don't want my children to face the isolation I faced going to Bronx Science in the 1970's." And that's gotten worse. It's gotten worse, and it's not just Bronx Science. So, folks, we need to fix it, and we need to fix it in a way that will actually have the effect that we want and at the same time continue to have equal opportunity for all students.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And quickly, Ms. Hinds.

JANELLA HINDS: There's a perception that students who do not get into the specialized high schools are somehow not intellectually—have not met intellectual standards. We do not believe that that is true. For all of the reasons that have been stated by my colleagues on this panel, we know that there are talented students all around the city who

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	are not getting the opportunities to attend these
3	schools for a whole host of reasons, and we hope that
1	we can work together to address that issue.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, again. Thank you all for coming, and I'm going to call the next panel. Lisa Donlan, I believe, from CEC One, David Goldsmith from CEC 13, Yasmin Secada, Parent Leadership Project, Ujju Aggarwal, Parent Leadership. And I would like to say that we have a delegation here today that is joining us from Beijing. They've been invited by Council Member Donovan Richards, and so I believe that they're up there. Would you please stand so we can say thank you for coming and visiting and hearing about our education system. Thank you for joining us today. Alright, now over here I'd like to swear you in, please. If you'd raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. And would you like to start over here?

UJJU AGGARWAL: Yeah, thank you so much. Good afternoon. My name is Ujju Aggarwal, and I'd like to thank the council for organizing today's hearing. For over a decade I've worked as a

community organizer and advocate in community school
district three, and I'm pleased to be here today to
share the work that we in District Three, along with
our partners in Districts One and 13 have been doing.
In addition to my long time work in District Three,
I'm now a professor of education and public policy
and my research examines the post Brown education
policies and mechanisms that continue to produce what
some have called our apartheid education system, a
term quite applicable to New York City's public
schools. As several have noted, New York State's
public schools have been documented to be the most
segregated in the country. New York City's public
school system is now ranked the third most segregated
schools system in the entire country. This is 60
years after the US Supreme Court determined that
separate but equal could never be so, and the
separation of New York City's students based on race
and income continues to impact the futures of over
one million students. This is not a matter of mere
diversity or multiculturalism, rather, it is a matter
of racial justice. The separation of students based
on race, class and language is directly tied to
unequal learning environments, resources, curricula,

2	school facilities, personnel, and more, which in turn
3	impacts student's academic achievement levels and
4	life outcomes. The federal guidelines issued by the
5	US Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights
6	just recently this past year argues just the same,
7	that disparities in educational resources have
8	negative effects on student learning. Today, we've
9	come here to share with you our joint policy
10	initiative that we believe will successfully
11	desegregate our schools in Districts One, Three and
12	13, three districts that are at once extremely
13	diverse, and yet, horribly segregated and strikingly
14	unequal. As we well know, understanding that the
15	ways that race and class based inequities manifest in
16	different context is critical to knowing how to
17	dismantle the structures of segregation. Those
18	rooted in local communities provide invaluable
19	expertise that must not be underestimated. Based on
20	this understanding, in our districts we have engaged
21	in the long process of dialogue, discussion and
22	research to build consensus in our communities about
23	the problems we face that our locals schools face and
24	what can be done to fix them. In each of our
25	districts we've come to the conclusion that a

2	districtwide controlled choice policy is the best way
3	forward. As many of you know, controlled choice is
4	an acclaimed and successful students assignment
5	methodology that was developed in the 1980's by
6	Michael Alves who joins us today as well as others in
7	Cambridge, Massachusetts as a way to voluntarily
8	segregate schools and avoid the imposition of a court
9	ordered student assignment policy. Controlled choice
10	has been implemented in over 30 school districts
11	across the United States to respond to systemic
12	segregation. Based on our research, we have found
13	that controlled choice is an educationally sound,
14	transparent and equity driven method of assigning
15	students to public schools. Within five years of
16	implementing a comprehensive transparent and equity
17	driven controlled choice assignment plan, all schools
18	within a given district do three things. The first,
19	they provide high quality educational opportunities
20	that encourage every student to thrive. Second, they
21	meet benchmark goals for diversity, and three, they
22	ensure that all schools are well utilized and
23	resourced. We're confident that with the proper
24	support our districts can prove to be an effective
25	pilot project that demonstrates a capacity for public

2.1

2.2

schools to equitably serve and reflect diverse student populations. We were happy to share this proposal with the Office of the Counsel to the Mayor earlier this year. Representatives from our districts will now share updates with you on the groundwork we have established in our districts. Thank you for your time. We hope you will join us. The need for change could never be more clear in our schools and on the streets. It is now our responsibility to make that happen. 

LISA DONLAN: Thank you, Ujju, for that great introduction. My name is Lisa Donlan. I'm the President of CEC One, which is the lower east side East Village of Manhattan, and I'd like to thank the Education Committee today, particularly Chair Dromm and Council Member Lander and all of the other Council Members who sponsored the bills. District One has a long history of fighting for diversity and equity in education. Back in the 90's, the community school board removed all of the catchments in our community, creating a diversity and equity based assignment plan that was choice based, but that controls for fairness and equity. And we did a data study commissioned by CEC One last year with some

2	urban planners, and it took a look at whether or not
3	admission policy matters, and in deed it does. When
4	that policy was in effect, our schools were becoming
5	more like the entire neighborhood, which was the
6	intention of the policy. All of our neighborhood
7	schools should serve and reflect the entire
8	neighborhood. That policy was slowly working to
9	integrate our schools. However, with the onset of
10	mayoral control and the centralization of the
11	admissions policies and processes, the removal of the
12	school boards and the district offices the
13	centralized admission policy turned our diversity and
14	equity based policy and plan into one that was choice
15	based. We lost the controls and we kept the choice,
16	and I think there's reams of research across the US,
17	and we certainly have been able to verify it with our
18	data study in District One. Choice alone segregates.
19	Market-based choice does not address the problem of
20	diversity and equity. In fact, it exacerbates it.
21	Markets tend to lead to winners and losers, and we
22	don't want that as a way of assigning students. The
23	one thing that we've been able to do in District One
24	in response to that is to work hard for the last 10
25	years to advocate as a community, to ask for the

2	controls back on our choice plan, and we have been
3	able to work effectively with the DOE and the Office
4	of Student Enrollment on some minor changes, things
5	like preference for siblings, pre-k articulating the
6	K, but the one thing that we were never able to get
7	any traction on with the past administration was to
8	bring the equity and diversity piece back, and that's
9	extremely important to us. The Bloomberg
10	administration said choice is equity, but as our data
11	shows, that's not true. If you look at, and I handed
12	you lots of data guide, if you look at some of the
13	links or the data I provided, you can see incredible
14	stratification by race, class and socioeconomic
15	status that is growing in District One. So we've
16	come together now with a new administration in place
17	with the hopes that as a community we can build
18	consensus with what diversity looks like. And so
19	we're doing monthly workshops where we bring in
20	diverse groups of parents, students, teachers,
21	educators and administrators, and we're working
22	through a hands-on workshop that creates a safe
23	environment where we can really delve into these
24	difficult issues and say, "What does diversity look
25	like? How would we measure it? How would we know

2.2

when we got there?" And we're hoping that by June
we'll be able to present something to the Department
of Education that says this is what our community
wants, please work with us on it. We know what we
want and we know this could be sound, and we can do

7 this together, because we must address from community

the citywide problem of segregation that is untenable

9 for all of us.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

YASMIN SECADA: Hi, I'm Yasmin Secada, and I'm--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Mic, please, yep.

YASMIN SECADA: Oh, there we go. Hi, I'm Yasmin Secada and I'm Co-coordinator of the Parent Leadership Project and a Theory [sic] Committee Member of the District Three Equity and Education Taskforce. I'm pleased to be here today to share with you the work we've been doing in community school District Three. District Three, which stretches from 59th Street to 122nd Street, mostly along the west side of Manhattan is one of the most racially and economically diverse districts in the

2	nation's largest school system. It is also one of
3	the most segregated and unequal. Although our
4	district's public school population averages 66
5	percent students of color, many of our elementary
6	schools do not reflect this reality. According to
7	the criteria put forth by UCLA's civil right project
8	recent report, intensely segregated schools are
9	schools of less than white student enrollment and
10	apartheid schools are schools with less than one
11	percent white student enrollment. Based on these
12	criteria, nine schools in District Three are
13	intensely segregated, and of those nine, two are
14	apartheid schools. According to the taskforce
15	findings, District Three current admission policies
16	and criteria have resulted in uneven access to the
17	district schools and an uneven distribution of
18	students. The combined average economic need index
19	for District Three public elementary schools is 61
20	percent. However, some schools range from under 15
21	percent while others range as high as 97 to 100
22	percent. English language learners comprise 8.8
23	percent of District Three students, yet the
24	percentage of ELL's at District Three schools ranges
25	from a low of 0.2 percent to a high of 18.9 percent.

2	Approximately two-thirds of District Three students
3	are black or Latino. Some schools, however, are
4	comprised of 95 to 99 percent black and Latino
5	students while our other schools, less than 30
6	percent of the student body is comprised of black and
7	Latino students. For many years, a member led social
8	justice organization, the Center for Immigrant
9	Families now PLP, challenge inequitable admissions in
10	segregated and unequal schools. CIF documented the
11	stories of over 300 low income parents of color and
12	identified disparate treatment and mechanisms of
13	exclusion at work in District Three. At PLP, we are
14	committed to organizing through the lens of racial
15	and economic justice and our community has to include
16	all segments of the community. As we think about our
17	schools, we must always ask who has access and who
18	doesn't. In 2012, PLP joined forces with the
19	educational leaders in District Three to spearhead a
20	districtwide taskforce to examine the inequality in
21	District Three schools. Amongst other reasons, the
22	taskforce responded to a need for new and innovative
23	policies. Other policy measures have not worked. The
24	2009 Federal Magnet Grant recognized the racial and
25	socioeconomic disparities amongst schools in District

2	Three and awarded the district an 11 million dollar
3	grant to address the high rates of racial isolation.
4	Despite best efforts and good intentions, the Magnet
5	Grant had limited impact. The taskforce includes
6	educators, community leaders, parents, and education
7	activists with the common goal of furthering
8	equitable access for all students to all schools in
9	our district. Over the course of two years of
10	meetings we came to a consensus on a framework for
11	creating a fair and equitable admissions policy in
12	District Three, which we have recently published and
13	have begun to share with others. As our findings
14	demonstrate, a control choice policy can effectively
15	achieve equity in District Three and ensure that our
16	public schools reflect, respect and serve all
17	families in the district. We look forward to
18	continuing our work together, work that is rooted in
19	our communities. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Very good. Thank
21	you. Next, please?

DAVID GOLDSMITH: Hi, my name is David

Goldsmith. I'm the President of Community Education

Council for District 13 and Co-chair along with

Barbara Freeman, our district superintendent of the

2	District 13 Taskforce for Equal Access to Academic
3	Excellence and Diversity, a short name. Thanks for
4	inviting representatives from District One, Three and
5	13 to share our experiences of the work we've been
6	doing in our schools on diversity and equity. The
7	District 13 taskforce includes parent leaders from
8	the PTA's, school leadership teams, the CEC, as well
9	as our superintendent, principals, school staff, and
10	members of the community at large. Our taskforce
11	initiative was born from the experiences of that
12	famous PS 133 taskforce. The taskforce had been
13	createdthat taskforce had been created to help
14	identify consensus on what would be an ideal
15	enrollment plan for Rising Start District 13
16	Elementary School that faced the possibility of
17	losing the diversity so valued by all in its
18	community. Those at the PS 133 taskforce table
19	included both district superintendents,
20	representatives from both CEC's, I see a former
21	president right here from 15, president's council,
22	elected officials, parent leaders, principals
23	representing at least 10 different schools from both
24	districts as well as community organization. I'd
25	like to share with the Council here the District 13

take away from this planning experience. The PS 133
plan, number one, should be seen only as a well-
intentioned first step. From the moment we reached
an agreement with the Department of Education to
implement the student enrollment plan we understood
that the plan had many inherent flaws. Given the
unwillingness of the Bloomberg Administration to even
begin to tackle this issue, we felt that it was at
least the best first step that we could take, but why
a first step and not a long term solution? Because
supporting what we found out was that supporting
diversity and academic excellence in one school while
leaving other schools to fend for themselves in our
highly segregated school system can in fact have the
unintended and quite negative effect of increasing
segregation in surrounding schools. The 133
taskforce came together. We understood the importance
of impact that one school had on another, and that
planning process proved to us that the enrollment
policy or pattern of one school has a very large
impact, and that impact cannot be ignored when we
talk about diversity. We formed a district-wide
diversity taskforce because we learned that the only
viable approach to creating the diverse and highly

2.2

successful schools we all want must be one that considers the challenges facing all the schools in our district. This dictates planning on a district—wide level. I'm here to report to you that we in District 13 know we must and can do better than a single school diversity plan that we helped at 133, and we in District 13 joined with those districts in one and three in asking the Mayor and the Chancellor to support our communities in our efforts to create viable, practical and fair districtwide solutions to the well documented extreme levels of segregation that cripple schools and indeed harm our children. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Council Member Lander?

Dromm. Well, first of all, I really want to thank this panel for the real leadership that you've taken. I know in some places like District One it's been decades at this point, but for all of you it's been years and that it reflects real patient organizing. These are complex issues as we've heard this morning, mostly in the specialized high school context, but obviously in every school and district. They're

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

challenging and tough issues, and just bringing people together, facing up to them, naming them out loud, building consensus to do something about them, and then pushing to raise them when--especially during the Bloomberg Administration, there was just no receptivity. You guys get real credit. the work to push this has been happening on the That's what pushed me to get more involved ground. here, and so I think in many ways this hearing is a testimony to your work and of other parent, you know, leaders and advocates in the room. So, first, thank you. Second, it was welcomed to hear from the DOE that there's an openness to talking with you, but I do know that, you know, you've seen some challenges there, and I just wonder if you can reflect a little bit on, you know, what you think, you know, we need to work together to persuade the DOE. We'll work about these models to address concerns they or others may have, and see how they can move forward.

DAVID GOLDSMITH: You want to take that or should I?

LISA DONLAN: Is the question, do we need to we need to work together with the DOE? I'm not clear.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know, it seems like we still a have some work to do to persuade.

LISA DONLAN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I mean, there is an openness that we'll work together to take advantage of.

LISA DONLAN: Right.

also--there must be some remaining challenges, and you're talking about doing a process that's going to take 'til June to have something more concrete on the table, but you know, what do you see as the challenges and barriers we have to overcome together to try to move forward on this model?

LISA DONLAN: Sure. I mean, I think that it's very clear that the DOE legally needs to really re-examine what is possible. We are very convinced and we have lots of legal support behind us saying that the measures that we're talking about are completely legal and permissible, and that there is plenty of guidance written out there, and the Department of Education has to brought along to understand that to be true. They're understandably

2.2

risk averse to any kind of legal action, but we think that there's a much stronger chance of a legal action on the side of people who are experiencing the disparate impact that is unjustified from the current segregation. I think that's a much bigger threat and risk that the DOE should think about and think about proactively coming to solutions rather than letting those problems hit them in the courts is one thing. I think that the bill for data is very important. I don't know if anybody wanted to talk about that.

DAVID GOLDSMITH: Well, absolutely. You can't solve a problem that you don't fully understand, and it's baffling to--there are two things that baffle us continuously. One is the level of--well, the lack of familiarity with proven methods that are used all over the country, you know, that are court tested. There are school districts all over the country that use viable and legal means to desegregate and bring equity, and there seems to be a lack of willingness to investigate which is already out there. I mean, the good news is that New York City doesn't have to completely reinvent the wheel. There are models out there that work, and it's been very difficult to get people to even talk with us

about these models. It's quite baffling. The other
thing is of course, youit's been difficult for well
known, you know, people, the experts that have been
doing whatpeople like Michael Alves who've been
working for 40 years on this issue. They can't even
get data. I think the data onyou know, we don'twe
can't fix what we don't know, and it has been almost
an unwillingness to discuss the data. We had a very
difficult time toit took us two years to get the
enrollment data for the plan that we tried to devise,
you know, that we tried to create at 133, and
assessment is so important. So, I think the data's
the really first, great first step, but clearly there
are models out there that work. There are experts
that have been working on this for decades, and it's
really time to bring those people and to bring that
knowledge to New York City so that our separate
communities and ourand generally, our whole New
York City community can begin to tackle this issue.
UJJU AGGARWAL: Yeah, just to reiterate

and echo what David and Lisa were mentioning regarding data. In District Three, we have established a taskforce for equity and admissions that has been meeting together over the last two

2.2

years for a very long time. As part of that process we establish consensus about the problem and what might be done about it. We also went about the task of gathering data. It shouldn't have taken us that long to gather the data that we did. So again, it would be really significant to push forth the access and transparency that as, again, we need about our public schools, their public entities and their data should be accessible to all of us.

are no mechanisms right now for the DOE to really work with community, to come up with community based solutions. It's one of the negative aspects of mayoral control and centralization. I know that this administration is looking at ways, structural ways of changing that. So, I think that when we start looking at local communities providing solutions to local problems, even if they are shared problems across the city, they look different in different communities. So, I think there really needs to be a way to work together on the community level.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And that just goes to my second and final question. You're each discussing districtwide solutions. DOE spoke about

2 it. As you know, I've been helpful in a couple 3 situations in school based solutions. You know the changes we're talking about provoke a lot of anxiety. 4 5 People have gone to schools for a long time. 6 bought their homes based on where a schools is, and I think we'll need to have some confidence building and 7 see that it works to have diverse excellence schools, 8 and one thing that I think you're all saying, but I 9 just want to make it explicit is, you know, you're 10 proposing models where people work together, come to 11 12 propose and choose these models. Whether that's 13 through CEC structures or other collaborative efforts so that the opportunities both to build support and 14 15 leadership, but also buy-in are essential to what 16 you're proposing. You know, obviously there's a 17 history of mandatory, you know, court imposed busing 18 where the injustices were so big that judges said something had to be changed, you know, and at that 19 20 level of injustice it's why we have a court system. At the same time, one thing that's quite appealing 21 2.2 about the model that you're proposing is that you 23 build that consensus, you bring it up and you know, whether you could archive it through a CEC vote as 24 25 currently constructed built on the zoning lines, or

\_

some changes would be needed to enable districts to choose it, a model that districts in fact would choose it in collaboration with their communities.

DAVID GOLDSMITH: Absolutely. I mean, people want--people in our districts want diversity in our schools. I mean, our stakeholders want diverse school environments, and so it is--it's only through a community driven process that you can really create that consensus to get what everybody wants. People want excellent schools for everyone and people want diverse school environments. And the only way to really make it happen is to build it from the ground up. I think that's the lesson learned all over the country, and if we would just start looking at what's going on, the rest of the country could see that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

much. I'm going to call the next panel, and that would be Larry Cary from the Brooklyn Tech Alumni and Alumni Coalition, Horace Davis from the BT, Brooklyn Tech High School Alumni Foundation, Alyssa Stein, Brooklyn Tech, Mark Williams, Brooklyn Tech High School, and Zayshawn Gondoll [sp?] from Brooklyn Tech. Okay, and I'd like to swear you all in. If

Thank you.

2.2

you would raise your right hand, please? Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Okay, Mr. Cary, would you like to start?

LARRY CARY: Good afternoon.

LARRY CARY: Is this on?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you just grab that mic and turn that on? I want to make sure we get you on tape?

Good afternoon Councilman Dromm. I know how passionate you are about these issues, as am I, and I sincerely and respectfully thank you for this opportunity to speak, and I mean that. That's not just words. I am President of the Brooklyn Tech Alumni Foundation. I'm also Chairperson of an alumni coalition representing 120,000 graduates. We oppose Resolution 442 because we believe in diversity, fairness and merit. There are a number of documents attached to my written testimony previously provided the committee, which I will refer to. They should be part of the record. One of them is our proposed action plan for improving diversity. It could be adopted by the city without changing state law. We

2	have 1,900 Latino and African-American students
3	currently attending the specialized schools. By
4	putting resources into improving schools serving the
5	African-American and Latino communities, by
6	lengthening the time the test is administered, by
7	funding free test prep for every student who wants
8	it, by increasing funding for the DREAM program, and
9	by reconfiguring the Discovery program and mandating
10	its use. Additional numbers of students from these
11	underrepresented communities would be admitted. The
12	supported legislation if adopted will likely result
13	in less diversity, not more. A white student seeking
14	admission to the top performing schools currently
15	using multiple criteria is about twice as likely to
16	be admitted as he or she would be to the schools
17	using the test. The majority of students at our
18	schools are from the Asianare from Asian-American
19	families, most of them poor, and like the students
20	attending our schools from other communities, they
21	are likely to be from first and second generation
22	American families. The test does not permit bias,
23	favoritism or fraud in the decision to admit a
24	student. By contrast, according to an audit report
25	by the City Comptroller, at 80 percent of the audited

2	multiple criteria screened schools, "The possibility
3	of inappropriate manipulation of student ranking,
4	favoritism or fraud," in the process could not be
5	ruled out. Resolution 442 supports a seriously
6	flawed bill. It radically changes the current system
7	and opens the door to unknown risk. A child's
8	academic ability could be considered by the DOE to be
9	far less significant than the combined weight of
10	other more subjective criteria, which the DOE is
11	permitted under the bill to include in the
12	admission's rubric. There's a typo here. Those
13	first three words should be "admitted." Good
14	attendance, letters of recommendation, performance on
15	an interview or an evaluation of a student's
16	extracurricular actives or participation in sports
17	and other factors could outweigh the child's score on
18	the test. The underrepresentation of African-
19	American and Latino children in the specialized
20	schools is an indictment of our segregated school
21	system which offers unequal educational opportunities
22	based on where a student lives. The answer is to
23	aggressively upgrade those opportunities for our top
24	performing students from every neighborhood so the
25	specialized and other performing schools better

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

reflect the population of our city. Please reject Resolution 422, and of course, I'm available to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

MARK WILLIAMS: Question is, what is the

My name is Mark Williams. I'm an alumnus of Brooklyn Tech. I'm an Assistant Principal of English at Brooklyn Technical High School, and I owe a great deal of my success to my education at Brooklyn Tech. And the greatest way that I give back is when I lead school tours, especially to young black and Latino children. And when I'm on those tours, I'm reminded of how my journey began. My aunt told me to take that test. The children who I went to middle school with talked about applying to specialized high schools. The teachers in my middle school walked us through that process. I was surrounded by people who not only had access to the information, but also encouraged me to apply. Fast forward 20 years later, I'm sitting on a panel for a summer workshop for parents about the specialized high schools, and a mother approached me. Her son was an eighth grader. She barely knew anything about the specialized high

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

schools. She didn't know anything about the SHSAT, and there were other parents who expressed to me the same exact thing. Greater access to information is essential. If we want to increase diversity in our specialized schools, we need to increase our efforts to provide information, not only during citywide workshops that are offered in central locations, but by being proactive and taking that information into the underrepresented communities. I've had the privilege of doing outreach in underrepresented communities, and two of the most common requests that I've heard are about getting more information and providing test preparation, and I'm always happy to hear about the desire to get test preparation, because I believe that the SHSAT is an objective means of offering an equal chance to every child, and every child should have this opportunity. When I walked through the halls of Brooklyn Tech the first time after I passed that test, what I witnessed were children who have an equal chance, not because of the color of their skin, not because of the neighborhood they lived in, not because of the school that they previously attended or the people that they knew, not because of any other measure, but because of the

2.2

The test is fair. If our children want to score high enough they need to prepare, and we need to help them to prepare. What should be offered are more middle school enrichment programs like the STEM Pipeline Program that we actually offer at Brooklyn Technical High School to 36 middle schoolers, and what we should do is we should offer more after school enrichment programs that focus on test preparation. Academic enrichment, Pipeline Programs, access to information, and measure by examination are the answers. Resolution 442 is not the answer. 

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

ALYSSA STEIN: Hello. I appreciate the opportunity to speak here today. My name is Alyssa Stein. My daughter's a junior at Brooklyn Technical High School. I'm current Co-President of the Tech's PTA. I also have a son in eighth grade. He turned in high school high school application last week. It's after the specialized high school test in October. So we've been living this admissions process in real time this past fall. While race has been at the forefront of many heartfelt conversations these days, it isn't and shouldn't be at the center

2	of every issue. A New York City student shouldn't be
3	penalized by the City Council, the New York City
4	Department of Education and potentially the New York
5	State Legislator for making it into an issue when it
6	isn't one. As this debate about the specialized high
7	schools has been unfolding, I've been frustrated when
8	people call the test or the admissions process
9	racist. Admission is based solely on the results of
10	a single test made of 100 questions, 50 math and 50
11	verbal. Earning a seat is based solely on merit.
12	Color, race, gender, sexual preference, family income
13	levels, neighborhood borough, native country aren't
14	part of the process. The test is biased though.
15	It's biased towards kids who work hard to understand
16	and learn content that's not necessarily taught in
17	schools, content like scramble paragraphs were kids
18	are given five sentences they have to reconstruct in
19	logical order. That ability to read, to comprehend,
20	to interpret is just the kind of critical thinking
21	and problem solving ability that I've heard the
22	principal of Brooklyn Tech say that he and his
23	teacher work to instill in their students. Those
24	kids who have dedicated and challenged themselves
25	above and beyond their regular workloads should have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the opportunity to earn seats at these rigorous specialized high school as students have done for decades. The issue shouldn't be about race, and it shouldn't be about changing the test or admissions policy as a cover up for bigger challenges in our educational system, which doesn't serve all students equally. The issue is working with elementary and middle schools, which currently don't have many kids on the specialized path to help them improve their test scores and level of academic achievement. educating all New York City families and communities early enough in the process so students have plenty time to prepare. It's providing test prep and resources for kids who otherwise wouldn't have them. In the end, it's not about the test or admissions criteria. This is a bigger conversation about giving every single kid in New York City the opportunity to soar no matter who they are. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Next, please.

HORACE DAVIS: Good afternoon, and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Horace Davis.

I'm an alumnus of Brooklyn Tech and Secretary of the Alumni Foundation. I'm honored to participate in this discussion about the specialized high school

2 admissions test. I believe strongly that eliminating the test absolves us of our responsibility to address 3 where we are currently failing our black and Latino 4 students. My admission to Brooklyn Tech through the 5 6 Discovery Program and the success that I have 7 achieved was a direct result of the quality of education I received at PS 181 and Walt Whitman 8 Junior High School in Brooklyn. At Brooklyn Tech I 9 majored in electoral engineering. After graduating 10 from Brooklyn Tech in 1984, I attended Lafayette 11 12 College in eastern Pennsylvania on a football scholarship. At the beginning of my freshman year, 13 14 my academic advisor informed me that I would need to 15 make a choice between football and engineering as he 16 did not believe I could successfully pursue both. 17 This pronouncement from my advisor as devastating. 18 was dependent on my football scholarship to finance my education and was committed to pursuing my dream. 19 20 The strength of my academic preparation gave me the confidence to know that I could succeed at both 2.1 2.2 football and academics. In 1998, of the more than 20 23 freshman who started in the engineering program and played football at Lafayette, I was the only who 24 graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in 25

2 engineering and played all four years of varsity 3 football, which culminated in my participation in the NFL Draft and an invitation to try out with the 4 Pittsburg Steelers. I am immensely proud of my accomplishment as a student athlete, and fully 6 7 recognize that those achievements would not have been possible without the preparation I received at 8 Brooklyn Tech. The underrepresentation of blacks and 9 the Latino students in New York specialized high 10 schools is a complex issue. One that cannot and 11 12 should not be resolved by the politically expedient 13 elimination of the specialized high school admissions 14 test. Subjective admission criteria will lead to 15 bias, favoritism and possibly worse. It is my 16 opinion that we should direct our efforts towards 17 improving the quality of the elementary and middle 18 schools in black and Hispanic communities. Over the past three decades, I have been involved with 19 20 numerous organizations committed to helping children in the black and Latino communities achieve their 2.1 2.2 dreams. I have been a member of the National Society 23 of Black Engineers, the American Association of Blacks in Energy, and I am the Founder and President 24 of the Caribbean-American Society of New York. 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

organizations alone cannot address the fundamental issues with the deterioration in our elementary and middle school education, but working together we can address this issue in a thoughtful and constructive manner. I look forward to your questions and dialoque.

DISHAN GONDOL: My name is Dishan Gondol [sp?], and I'm a senior at Brooklyn Tech High School. I am part of a working class family from Benson Hurst, and I qualify for free lunch. In middle school, my local neighborhood improvement association gave out free SHSAT prep to students who were interested. I studied on my own using that book, learning the format of the test and basic techniques. We couldn't afford test prep. Ultimately, I scored well enough, and making the choice to go to Brooklyn Tech was probably the best decision I ever made. I've had the opportunity to take and succeed at courses that are part of the specialized high school experience. Every single AP course is offered at Tech, and the students use that opportunity. Tech students take the most AP exams out of any school in the nation. There are no remedial math or English classes, and I'm in classes as diverse as

2	anthropology and sociology. I am one of the first
3	students to take the new AP Cambridge Capstone
4	Research Course. I can even chooses courses beyond
5	the AP level like multivariable calculus, which is
6	the third level of calculus in college math classes.
7	One of my closest friends went to private school
8	before deciding to come to Brooklyn Tech. He tells
9	me if he hadn't come to Brooklyn Tech he would never
10	had been exposed to those outside the rich white
11	population in his private school. Just as our
12	curriculum is diverse, so is our student body. We
13	have students of every ethnicity at Tech. As a
14	student and member of the Championship Cross Country
15	Team I've had the privilege of interacting with
16	teammates of all race and socioeconomic backgrounds
17	each and every day, students who earned admission
18	through their individual merit, not income or race or
19	connections. Diversity is a virtuous cause, but this
20	isn't about racism. This isn't about politics
21	either, it's about education. For over 40 years the
22	SHSAT has served as an objective and unbiased way for
23	students of all backgrounds to receive a world class
24	education. There is no reason to rush for a

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

diversity solution at the expense of logic. I urge the council to oppose Resolution 442.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Let me just ask a couple of questions. Ms. Stein, you said in your testimony that while race has been at the forefront of many heartfelt conversations these days, it isn't and shouldn't be the center of every issue. Do you deny that having only 18 African-American students in Stuyvesant High School isn't in some way an issue?

ALYSSA STEIN: I think it's hard to loop all the specialized schools together. The racial div--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I'm asking you specifically about Stuyvesant, and the facts and numbers that we got today at this hearing.

ALYSSA STEIN: I think that the issue isn't' about the students that are there now. issue is not preparing students for the future. I think that the solution is not changing a test, which in the short term, I honestly don't believe will make that big of a difference. I think that kids in elementary school and middle school need to be better prepared. I think families need to be better engaged.

2.2

I think the Department of Education needs to do a much better job of communication. When my son brought home his high school application in November, there was a blurb on it about the specialized high school test. Only the test had already happened. parents don't get the information early enough, they can't support their kids on this journey, and so I think that--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So you're not answering my question though. And in your testimony, you seem to deny that that is an issue.

And I'm asking very directly, do you believe that only having 18 students in Stuyvesant High School who are African-American is an issue or is not an issue?

ALYSSA STEIN: I think--I honestly believe the kids who earn those spots deserve those spots, and I honestly--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Okay, so you don't see it as an issue.

ALYSSA STEIN: I see the education--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] In the other parts of your testimony you said that the test is biased, though, and it's biased toward kids who work hard to understand and learn content that's not

get their information, these kids who work hard is

necessarily taught in schools. So, the way that they

\_\_\_

what?

2.2

through test prep that they pay for basically.

ALYSSA STEIN: No.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How do they get that?

ALYSSA STEIN: You can get a Barron's

[sic] book. This--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] You can

ALYSSA STEIN: You can get a Barron's

Book. The DOE supplies a specialized high school test

prep. I've known plenty of kids who did the work on

their own. My son started in August doing practice

tests every Saturday through the test so that he could get familiar with the format and with the content. Test prep isn't necessary, and when you look at Brooklyn Tech where there are 64 percent of kids who are coming from underprivileged homes, they can't all afford expensive test prep programs, but

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you differ from all your colleagues that test prep isn't necessary.

Because they all said the test prep isn't necessary.

they do the work to get into the schools.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 218
2	ALYSSA STEIN: Test prep is necessary.
3	Paid test practicepaid test prep is not in the
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So how
5	do you get the test prep? You mean, you think a
6	student could sit with a book and prepare by
7	themselves?
8	ALYSSA STEIN: He just said that he did.
9	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: On their own?
10	ALYSSA STEIN: He just said that he did.
11	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is that how your
12	children did it?
13	MARK WILLIAMS: Chairman, may I address
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I'm just
15	wonderingno. I'm just asking Ms. Stein.
16	ALYSSA STEIN: My son attended a test
17	prep program.
18	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: He did.
19	ALYSSA STEIN: He also worked by himself
20	every day for at least half an hour on test prep
21	above and beyond, and he did practice tests every
22	weekend starting in August because he wanted to
23	comfortable with the material.
24	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. And then I

wanted to ask the Assistant Principal, you said that

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 you believe the single test is the--should be, remain

3 as the determining factor for admissions to

4 specialized high schools. I guess you would then

5 | believe that the SAT should be the only factor for

6 children going into college. That would be the next

7 line of thinking.

HORACE DAVIS: I think in theory that sounds logical, but I think the issues with the colleges is completely different from the high schools anyway because I think the colleges have a completely different machine to even handle all of those multiple measures. We don't have the machine to handle all of those multiple measures. So, I think—

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So, if we had the machines, you'd be--you'd say multiple measures were good.

MORACE DAVIS: I think if we had the machine for multiple measures that were objective, then I think that would be fine. I think our stance collectively is not so much that it's the test or nothing, it's just that right now the test works and we are open to a solution that works that is objective that helps to increase diversity, but we

ΤĆ

2.2

just feel that right now all of the other multiple measures that are being discussed are not going to result in the diversity that we are a looking for.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I believe in your testimony you also said that it was subjective evolution to students that you were opposed to, or somebody's testimony in the panel. I was wondering what do they find to be subjective.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What piece of the legislation is the subjective criteria that you object to?

LARRY CARY: The legislation is an openended invitation to the City of New York to add whatever criteria--

[interposing] CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But there's nothing cont--nothing contained in that legislation right now that is subjective.

LARRY CARY: That legislation allows the City of New York to add anything else it wants to, to the criteria that are set forth in that legislation.

If you read it carefully, sir, it says, "shall include." When you use such language in a statute, it means there are other things you can do besides

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 221
2	the things that are listed, and that legislation
3	says, "shall include the following."
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] It says,
5	"shall consist of multiple measures of student merit,
6	including"
7	LARRY CARY: Correct.
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: "the point averages."
9	So you would like to
10	LARRY CARY: Correct.
11	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: see more specific
12	language.
13	LARRY CARY: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You would be fine to
15	have four multiple measures?
16	LARRY CARY: I thinkyou know, let me
17	endorse something that was said by a previous member
18	of a panel that was speaking contrary to the position
19	that I have, and I don'tand I apologize for not
20	remembering her name and what organization she was
21	from. What she talked about the need to study what
22	could be done objectively to create multiple
23	criteria. I endorse that approach. I amwe're not
24	Neanderthals. We think that given the current

situation, right, the test is the best of

25

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

alternatives, but we're not saying that this is the only thing that could ever be, but we think that its important enough to what you're doing before you get there, and this bill doesn't do that. Let me also add one other comment about his bill, because the folks who spoke in favor of the bill also expressed to the Council their request that the council seek an amendment of the bill to eliminate certain aspects of the bill, or I should say they urged you to talk to the city or urge the city to take the five schools out that were added administratively by the school. The proposed legislation that your Resolution 442 supports writes into the state legislation those five other schools. In other words, it removes from the discretion of the city. It removes from the discretion of the Mayor, the ability to take those five schools out if that's a decision that the school system here wanted to make. We're not suggesting that you have to add them in. We're not saying that. We're not saying you have to exclude them, but it's a point of fact that the legislation as written that Resolution 442 supports we think is flawed. One other element of it, and that is it's true that the legislation provides for a test. Hecht Calandra

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

specifically says that the test must be, and these are the words of the statute, "competitive, objective and scholastic." That's a standard by which to measure whether the test is properly being developed and instituted, and in fact, if there was a question about whether the test was not objective, not scholastic, that would form the basis for an action, in my opinion, against the Department of Education for not abiding by state law. The bill in Albany that Resolution 442 supports only prescribes a test. There is no standard in that legislation for what kind of test it would be. For all possible reasons that test could be reduced to a competency test. could be reduced to something that, you know, shows a certain level of proficiency as opposed to a competitive, objective, scholastic examination that's rigorous and which does differentiate in a meaningful way capacity of the student. And so, there are a lot of things about this bill that we think are flawed that are worthy of consideration and worthy of study, and we think that -- I don't know why the City Council would want to urge legislation in the state to take away from the city, the right to control, at least in CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, as you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LARRY CARY: As long as they're

objective, we would be--

those five schools, whether those schools are obligated to use the test or not.

we're in the process of figuring that out. will be amendments before anything is passed, number one. But I do want to say I'm glad to hear that you do acknowledge that there is within the legislation the requirement for a test to be used. Some of the information that I had received prior to this hearing, in fact, stating that the test would be eliminated, and that's not necessarily the truth. Let me also compliment you on some of the suggestions that you've made here in terms of putting more resources into improving the schools, serving African-American/Latino communities, lengthening the time of the test, funding free test prep, these are all things that we want. So, I want to compliment you on that, but I do believe that we should be looking at multiple measures. You know, I think you're not too far if you're saying right now that if we had a competent test, you would also be willing to look at other measures of evaluations.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 225
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So, the
3	state test scores are objective, right?
4	LARRY CARY: Well, but you know, the
5	problem is
6	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Our
7	state tests
8	LARRY CARY: [interposing] The answer to
9	your question is yes, they're objective, but the
10	problem of using the state assessment scores is
11	because of the disparity, the demographic achievement
12	gap on those scores, that I don't think that promotes
13	diversity to use those tests. So, you know, there is
14	ain this debate
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Right,
16	so you're making my point.
17	LARRY CARY: Yeah, there is in this
18	debate a conflagration of multiple criteria and
19	diversity. There is no automatic connection between
20	multiple criteria and diversifying the ethnic makeup
21	of these schools. That is a leap of logic, which is
22	not, in my opinion, born outyou can have all the
23	multiple criteria you want. It doesn'tTownsend
24	Harris uses multiple criteria. It's mostly Asian.

Eleanor Roosevelt uses multiple criteria. It's

2	mostly white. So just because you use multiple
3	criteria doesn't necessarily have the effect that I
4	think you and I share. I do believe, I sincerely
5	believe that there is a problem if only 16 kids at
6	Stuyvesant come from, you know, the African-American
7	or the Latino community. That's appalling. It's
8	outrageous. I can't begin to add all the adjectives
9	in terms of how I feel about it. It's politically
10	indefensible, which I think it is. And that'sI
11	applaud this debate. I think this debate, while I
12	think the bill in Albany is not the right bill, and
13	while I think your resolution isI would hope it's
14	defeated. The fact that we are talking about the
15	issue of race and achievement in New York City, I
16	applaud, because it is appalling how bad the
17	educational system in New York City is, especially
18	for the African-American/Hispanic community, and I
19	think the debate is a healthy debate, and I think
20	it's a good debate, and I think diversity is an
21	important issue, but I don't think this is the way
22	you go about achieving it. And at the same time, in
23	my remarks I said diversity, fairness and merit. I
24	think you have to consider all three issues when you
25	are creating public policy that will work, and I

2.2

think it has to be the product of data and analysis and not emotion. There's an awful lot of emotion in this debate on both sides of the aisle, myself included.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. On both sides, and I must say—right. The objectives, the scoring and the pieces that are contained in the legislation seem to me to be fairly objective, but we'll continue to argue that I'm sure. Let me turn it over to my colleague Brad Lander who has a couple of questions.

think that Q & A was actually helpful and instructive, and Mr. Cary, I too well--I was going to say the panel in general. I think Ms. Stein--well, let me just say, Mr. Cary, I think that the testimony you gave the conversation and meeting that we had separately on this Q & A is indeed really helping to push this conversation forward in a serious way, and to the extent that there is a real shared consensus and passion for doing something about the problems that you identify, and I think which we share a substantial analysis of the problem. That's what we try to do in hearing and the legislative process, and

You know, I

2

3

4

5

\_

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

I appreciate your engagement and your passion, and I think it is meaningful and that we are working together to do something important. So, that's really useful. It was a little frustrating to hear, and I apologize, I don't remember your--

HORACE DAVIS: Horace Davis.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yes.

think the Chair and I have both made clear that there's no elimination of the test contemplated, and that we're not looking at subjective measures. that's not to say that legislation in Albany doesn't have to be tightened and corrected or thought differently, but you have a set of allies on those goals and so passion is wonderful, but we'll try hard not to mischaracterize your arguments. Please, be careful not to mischaracterize ours. But I do want to ask one question. I appreciate that you've separated out the issues of diversity from the issues of a reliance on standardize testing, which may or may not have something specific to do with each other. But the two panels ago, there were sort of two students imagined, one who had fours on, you know, all their state tests, perfect attendance, fantastic grades, you know, had clearly showed up and worked

2.2

hard every day and scored a 90 on each section of the SHSAT, and another student who had not attended, did not have good grades. I don't really care how they did on the state tests for the purpose of this example. You can make them high or low scores. Got a 99 on one and a 55 on the other. And I'm going to-we'll have to rely on their analysis that the latter student would have been above the former student on the SHSAT. If that's true, I mean, do you think that's fair? Do you support that latter student getting the one scarce slot over the former student? We don't know their race. They may or may not-you know, this may or may not have anything to do with diversity at all. It still doesn't seem to me the fairer choice to make.

LARRY CARY: What you're describing with the hypothetical is based on, is the fact that the test composed of one section of mathematics and the other section in language arts. Those two sections are scored separately, and then they are standardized, and then the standardized scores are added together, and all standardized scores have the effect of creating a tale. I mean, if you know statistics. And what they're describe is the

significance in terms of how many points you get for
being at the tale of a statistical distribution. In
point of fact, there are very, very few children who
fall into the category that you've described. There
is some literature on it. I can't remember the name
of the fellow, but a few years ago he did quite an
interesting analysis of the SHSAT and the statistical
elements in it, and the actual numbers of kids who
fall into that category of getting the very highest
tail in one section and then not doing extremely
well, but sort of generally well in the other section
falls to about 30 or 40 to 50 kids out of the 30,000
who take it. So, it does exist, but it's not a huge
component to the test. More troubling, quite
honestly in my opinion, not part of this debate
because it's really the esoterica [sic] of the
examination, more troubling of this fellows analysis
was the fact that in order to prevent cheating, the
Department of Education administers at the same time
really what amounts to four different math tests that
administration, four different English tests that
administration, which are all mixed and matched. And
so the idea is that this way you prevent a kid from

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 231
2	looking at the next kid's answer and so on and so
3	forth.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, it sounds like
5	we already using multiple measures.
6	LARRY CARY: Those scores are standard
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] We
8	have four different tests.
9	LARRY CARY: Those scores are
10	standardized. Each one are standardized.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But they're four
12	different tests.
13	LARRY CARY: Well, but the point he made-
14	-the point he made, and it isI said this is the
15	esoterica of it, and I agree with his concern. The
16	point he made is that the randomness associated with
17	which four, which two out of the eight
18	administrations, he could detect a slightly
19	significant statistical advantage if you happen to
20	get the right mix of those two tests. And I would
21	agree that that's a problem, because I think the test
22	should be the best test that can be administered.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But

LARRY CARY: For the same reason--let me just finish my remarks. For the same reason I applaud

24

25

2 it, and I did this publicly. We got a little bit of 3 press about it. I applauded the recent RFP of the Department of Education with regard to the 4 examination, and not all of it, but there are two 5 aspects of it that I thought were very important, and 6 7 I applauded them for it. one was they are requiring that the people who put the test together test every 8 question for bias against four cells, whites, Asians, 9 African-Americans, and Latinos. The current test, as 10 I understand it, really only tests for bias white 11 12 versus non-white. So that the RFP that this 13 administration has propounded will be more sensitive 14 to those possible differences that exist, which may 15 not be revealed in a black, excuse me, in a white 16 versus non-white test. So I think that's a good 17 thing, because I think we want an examination that is 18 not biased in favor against anybody. The second thing that the RFP requires is that the questions 19 20 used on the test have to be based on, the content has to be based on the Common Core curriculum, which is 2.1 2.2 supposed to be taught. I don't think it's fair to 23 test kids on material that's not taught to those kids, or at least if they showed up to class they had 24 25 the opportunity to learn. I think the test needs to

2.1

2.2

be based on that. I don't support an examination that requires that you go get test prep. One of the biggest problems my generation, his generation, the generation of Assistant Principal Williams, we all went to schools in New York City that had enrichment in the middle schools. Today, 15 percent, only 15 percent of the middle schools in New York City account for 85 percent of the students who are admitted to the specialized schools. There are—so you're talking about less than 75 middle schools out of 400 that account for most of what we have. We think that has to be changed. We think that there need to be, and there were—there were when Horace went to Tech—

HORACE DAVIS: It was almost 50 percent. I think it was 48 percent.

right. I mean, we had feeder middle schools

providing huge numbers of kids to Brooklyn Tech who

were coming from those communities. They don't exist

anymore. We don't have those feeder middle schools

and that's a real problem. That's changed. The other

thing I wanted to mention, because I know in our

conversation you wanted me to talk about it, is the

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	Discovery issue.	Discovery	exists	in He	echt (	Calan	.dra.
3	It pre-dated Hech	t Calandra,	and it	was	codif	fied	in

4 Hecht Calandra. Discovery is a program that was

5 intended to promote diversity. That's its purpose.

It takes kids who score below, slightly below the
entering score, and gives them an opportunity through

encering seers, and gives enem an opportunite, enrough

preparation and summer school to be prepared to do

9 the work that's required when you get out and

10 running. At Brooklyn Tech, you're doing college

11 level course work the second day. The first day

12 you're wondering around trying to figure out where

13 you are. The second--because it's a big building.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I've been in there more than once. The second day, the--

16 LARRY CARY: [interposing] You know.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: third day you're still trying to figure your way out around.

LARRY CARY: So that's the first day, just find where the classes are. The second day you're doing college level work, every single kid of, you know, of the 12-1,300 who are admitted is doing college level work form the second day they're at Tech. Now, Discovery has fallen into disuse.

There's not much data on it, but I will tell you I

2	have found data from the original Hecht Calandra
3	jacket, in terms of its passage, and Discovery back
4	in 1970 accounted for 15 percent of the students at
5	Brooklyn Tech, accounted forI have it here. I don't
6	want to misquote the numbers. 21.1 percent of
7	Brooklyn Tech, 13.9 percent at Bronx Science, 15.5
8	percent of Stuyvesant was admitted through Discovery
9	in 1970, not small numbers. What's changed? What's
10	changed is you no longer have the right to bump up.
11	Originally, if you were somebody who got admitted to
12	Brooklyn Tech, you had missed the cutoff score for
13	Stuyvesant. Originally in Discovery, if you
14	qualified for eligibility you could participate and
15	bump up into Stuyvesant, and a kid who had just
16	missed the category of getting into Tech could bump
17	into Tech. That was eliminated by the Department of
18	Education over 10 years ago. Part of the reason that
19	was eliminatedalright, okay. Well, he asked me.
20	He wanted me to talk about it. Part of the reason it
21	was eliminatedI'm only doing what the man asked me
22	to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But I do--look, I would love to continue this dialogue offline. We have a ton of people who signed up to testify.

LARRY CARY: Fine, I apologize. The point is that it's a useful tool for promoting diversity if it's examined and thought about and changed.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So you--it's a--

LARRY CARY: And we're in favor of that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You're in favor of

changing the test?

LARRY CARY: No.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Excuse me. You said that you didn't think it was the fair, the test as it currently exists.

LARRY CARY: Oh, I--no, what I said was--what I said about the test is that I think a statistical analysis--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] You said that it doesn't cover material that's taught, and you think that has to change.

LARRY CARY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So then that means we need a different test, because right now the way the test exists is that it doesn't cover material that--

LARRY CARY: [interposing] Well--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It covers--the test

25 has material--

24

you.

LARRY CARY: [interposing] I have to retract what I said, because I don't know, I don't know if scrambled paragraphs is taught in the middle schools, I don't know.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright. Alright.

LARRY CARY: If it's not taught in the middle schools, I think that should--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I just--I think

we can let this panel go if there's gratitude. But I

guess I do want--in the time that--and I want to reemphasize that I think it's been constructive and

that our goal is to improve what's going on across

all of our schools in terms of the--or both diversity

and quality. I will say that in the time that you've

been testifying, someone passed me the study, and I

actually think that we could do a lot more about

understanding the challenges narrowly within the--I

mean, I didn't realize there were actually four or

eight different tests, which you've acknowledged

creates some concern. This, you know, ELA side versus

math side, a bias problem. However, big or small

that problem is, so I think there's a lot more

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

drilling down that we could do here, but I think we've opened up a dialogue. We could continue to do it after today, and I want to--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I agree, and I want to say thank you to the panel for coming in, and we're going to call up the next panel. Thank you all.

LARRY CARY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: David Tipson from Appleseed New York, David Bloomfield from Brooklyn College, Michael Alves, Linda Tropp, and we do have some testimony for the record from Amanda Rob. have testimony from the Council of School Supervisors and Administrators, and we have testimony from the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Center. And also testimony from Summer Bloom. We also have testimony from Dora Gelactos from the Ferrick Center for Social Justice as Fordham University. Testimony from Donna Helman, the Program Director at Goddard Riverside Community Center, and testimony from Equal Rights Advocates, François Jacobson. Testimony from Carolyn Satenbaja [sp?], I believe for herself. Alright, so I'm going to swear this panel in. If you would raise your right hand, please? Do you solemnly

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. Would you like to start?

LINDA TROPP: My name is Linda Tropp. I'm

a social psychologist and professor at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, and I wish to thank the Education Committee for this opportunity to share research evidence on the benefits of racial integration for reducing prejudice and promoting positive relations between racial and ethnic groups. Among other studies I've conducted what's known as a Meta-analysis for research on intergroup contact. It's essentially a quantitative integration of research studies, and our analysis concern the outcomes of contact between groups including over 500 studies with more than 250,000 participants in 38 different countries. Our results overwhelmingly show that greater contact between groups significantly reduces prejudice with the most rigorous research studies showing the strongest effects. Details of our analysis are included in my written testimony that I've just submitted, but just to highlight a few points. First of all, contact reduces prejudice

2 largely through the mechanisms of reducing our 3 anxieties in relation to other groups and enhancing our ability to emphasize with those who are different 4 form us, two factors that have major implications for our ability to live together in a shared society. 6 7 Contact also leads to especially strong reductions in prejudice when it occurs under optimal conditions 8 such as when there are institutional norms and 9 authorities that explicitly support a quality 10 diversity and cooperation between groups. We find 11 12 this both in our general analysis and also in a 13 separate analysis where we include only studies of 14 racial and ethnic contact between children and 15 adolescents in K through 12 schools. Contact is also especially likely to reduce prejudice when the 16 17 contact involves friendships between members of 18 different groups. Other research also shows that cross-group friendships typically increase with 19 20 greater racial, ethnic and diversity in schools and classrooms, and also longitudinally greater numbers 21 2.2 of cross-group friendships predict more positive 23 attitudes toward different racial and ethnic groups over time. There's also research evidence showing 24 that simply knowing that members of our groups have 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

friends in the other group can actually promote more positive attitudes and a greater willingness to engage in future intergroup contact, such that racial integration can be beneficial both when children themselves have cross-group friendships and when they observe others cross-group friendships within their social environment. We also have evidence from ethnic minority and majority students both in New York City schools and in other school context showing that when kind proceed inclusive norms from their peers as well as support for intergroup contact from their teachers and principals that they report more positive intergroup attitudes, more comfort in crossgroup contact and a greater willingness to develop cross-group friendships. Having racially integrated schools in classrooms can play critical roles in promoting positive effects of intergroup contact by providing opportunities for children from groups that are different to interact and become friends and by establishing norms that support diversity and inclusion of cross-groups. For these reasons, I encourage that New York City Department of Education to officially recognize the importance and benefits of school diversity and to report annually on

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

progress and efforts toward increasing diversity within its schools. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Next please?

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: Yes, good afternoon.

My name is David Bloomfield. I'm a professor at Brooklyn College and the CUNY Grad Center. Thank you for this opportunity to address the committee. is no more important factor than classroom diversity to assure quality education and a just society for our children. I commend the council for its courage in addressing this issue, since we know that actions to correct segregation are almost as painful and politically dangerous as a failure to act. written on diversity in three recent pieces appended to my written testimony, so I will keep these remarks short. I whole heartedly support Intro 511 and Reso 453 as necessary steps to focus the Department of Education's attention on schools and school processes that limit diversity. Every time a selection procedures fails to provide for diversity of academic, economic, geographic, racial, linguistic, gender and ethnic populations, the DOE should a have to justify a rational basis serving a state interest

2	for disproportionate inclusion of certain groups and
3	exclusion of others. We know from studies of student
4	progress that all gain from exposure to difference.
5	Even if in a given school test scores go up or down,
6	individual student scores do not decline and the
7	humans behind those scores infinitely profit from
8	diversities cognitive, effective and social benefits.
9	When we ignore that in the privilege or identity, we
10	take a step backwards in fulfilling the American
11	promise. Current crisis of racial polarization,
12	income inequality and sexual predation are tied to
13	the limited opportunities and demographic isolation
14	inherent in segregated school settings. Intro 511
15	and Reso 453 put the council squarely on record
16	promoting these goals. In qualifying my support for
17	Reso 442, I note my long and vigorous involvement in
18	the federal complaint against the current specialized
19	high school exam, which has a clear shameful
20	discriminatory impact against black and Latino
21	students. The test also fails to meet modern
22	standards of merit based admission practiced by other
23	selective high schools and colleges nationally. The
24	exam's single great appeal is that it sorts quickly
25	and numerically, inducing a test centered culture

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mired in racial bigotry. But to my mind, the answer is not to amend education law 2590H1B, but to repeal it. Why should the state legislature be dictating selection procedures at all, setting in stone criteria which will always be at best imperfect? I prefer to devolve selection procedure to the city without this strange legislative strangle hold established by Calandra Hecht in 1971. Thank you.

DAVID TIPSON: Chairman Dromm, members of the Committee on Education, thank you for inviting me to testify on the critical issue of segregation in New York City public schools. My name is David Tipson and I am Director of New York Appleseed. York Appleseed is one of 17 Appleseed Justice Centers around the country and in Mexico. Appleseed Centers work with probono professionals to address structural barriers to opportunity injustice with systemic solutions. New York Appleseed and its probono partner Orrick, Harrington and Sutcliffe have studied and advocated around the issue of school segregation in New York City for nearly four years. I am also the parent of a first grader in the school system and serve on the Steering Committee of the National Coalition on School diversity which is separately

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

submitting testimony today. Because of the range of expertise represented in the oral and written testimony for this hearing, my testimony today will focus on the importance of leadership from our DOE, the subject of Resolution 453. Over the last four years, New York Appleseed and Orrick have interviewed scores of experts across the city and nationally. Our goal is not to prove that the cities were intensely segregated nor to demonstrate the harms of segregation and benefits of diversity, rather we sought to understand how it is that one of the most diverse places on the planet has the third most segregated urban school system in the country. series of three policy briefings we examined the mechanics of school segregation in New York City. What we found ran against some of the conventional wisdom. First, although we found that housing segregation was a primary driver of school segregation, and we continued to insist on the critical importance of strong neighborhood integration policies, we found that housing segregation alone does not begin to explain the extreme levels of segregation that we see in all of our schools. Second, we found in our research and in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our advocacy that parents of all backgrounds want more diversity in their schools. What this suggests is a golden opportunities for leadership from the One of the things we heard consistently from the people we interviewed was that strong leadership on this issue from DOE would in fact dramatically improve the situation. What might this leadership look like? A clear statement of departmental policy favoring diverse schools along with a accountability standards will require principals and DOE officials to consider how each of the myriad administrative decisions they make each day lines up against the goal of school diversity. Behind the seemingly rational and objective series of school admissions priorities laid out in official DOE publications lies a wilderness of discretion in which principals and schools officials grapple with questions like whether and how to recruit underrepresented populations, when to cap enrollment, how to administer wait lists and over the counter admissions, how to market a school and to whom, how to choose between progressive pedagogues often assumed to be favored by middle school parents and those of rigor often assume to be favored by parents of low income and of color,

2	whether and how to value parents of all backgrounds,
3	how to respond to the demands of middle class parents
4	for more conveniently located schools and programs
5	tailored to their preferences. This is why
6	Resolution 453 is so important. A strong statement
7	from DOE represents a simple practical step the DOE
8	can take to give principals, educators, Department
9	officials and all members of our school communities
10	the confidence to aggressively pursue strategies to
11	increase and maintain diversity in our schools and to
12	bring the proven educational benefits of diversity to
13	all of our children. Thank you, again, for
14	considering this critical issue. Please know that
15	New York Appleseed is standing by to work with the
16	education Committee and the Council as a whole.
17	MICHAEL ALVES: Good afternoon,
18	Councilman Lander and other Council Members. My name
19	is Michael Alves and I'm honored to be here today. I

It's kind of inside joke. Anyway--

23

22

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] So you know the Mayor shares your opinion.

came down from Boston, and I'm glad John Lessor [sic]

didn't go to the Yankees, but he went to the Cubs.

24

2 MICHAEL ALVES: Oh, yes, yes. You got 3 Babe Ruth so you can't complain. Anyway, my name is Michael Alves. I'm an educational planner. I was the 4 former State Director to Desegregation Assistance at 5 the Massachusetts Department of Education. 6 I worked 7 as a Senior Equity Planner at Brow University's Educational Alliance for years, and I also own an 8 educational planning company, and of course we 9 specialize in the design and implementation of 10 diversity conscious student assignment plans, most 11 12 notably, what's called control choice. And what I'd 13 like to emphasize today is I had the opportunity and 14 the privilege in being able to work with Community 15 Districts One, Three and 13 who you heard earlier 16 today, and over the past three years collaborating 17 with them with some of my other colleagues, Attorney 18 John Britton and others around the country. And what I want to emphasize here is that my experience with 19 20 these three community districts and other community 2.1 district who come to the various meetings is that 2.2 absolutely that there is -- you can design and 23 implement a more equitable and fair way of assigning children to New York schools than you have now. 24

There's absolutely no question about that. You were

2	right earlier, Council Member Lander, and this is no
3	one single silver bullet. In fact, what is needed
4	here especially at the elementary and middle school
5	level is a community engagement planning process
6	where you're working within a proven framework where
7	you're able to analyze student assignment and all the
8	implications certainly in terms of school
9	improvement, student achievement and multifaceted
10	diversity, and you work within that framework and
11	then you seeand you also be able to define what you
12	mean by diversity and set diversity goals. And
13	that's precisely what these three community districts
14	are attempting to do, and I am convinced that that
15	process, because of the attention that they're going
16	to pay to it and the kind of diligence that they're
17	going to commit to it, that they will come out with a
18	more equitable recommendation for assignment than
19	what you have. Because the biggest sin I think here
20	in New York is neglect. It's stunning to me decades
21	and decades have gone on here with these issues and
22	everyone feels, well, we can't do anything about it.
23	And I guess if you fly over New York, which I've done
24	many times, and you look down on New York and you
25	say, "Oh, my goodness." But when you get down to the

2	level I've been, walking the streets of Brooklyn and
3	lower east side and the upper west side, and I'm here
4	with real people in the neighborhoods, it all
5	changes. In fact, when I went to Brooklyn I fell in
6	love with it because I thought I was back at the
7	north end of Boston. What I'm trying to say to is
8	that what was spoken earlier today, absolutely, in my
9	opinion makes perfect sense for a prudent next step.
10	While we try to struggle and come up with policies
11	that could impact the entire city, I think it's
12	incredibly important to have on the ground right now-
13	-you have three community districts, I know there are
14	others who'd like to do this, actually pilot
15	projects. You mentioned earlier about how there are
16	other ways to do rezoning. Well, let's find out.
17	Let's find out if they're in choice based schools is
18	there more equitable ways of assigning students to a
19	choice. It's essential as a professional educational
20	planner that before you commit something to the whole
21	system, that you're able to at least pilot or bait a
22	test what it is that you want towhat the innovation
23	is. And I think the three community districts that
24	have come forward today absolutely need the support,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

official support of the school district and the city to continue the work that they want to do. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, as I said to the panel of those districts, I want to say to you as well, because you've each contributed a lot not only by coming today, and I appreciate those of you that traveled to be here, but in the work that you've done. Obviously the volumes that you produced we're not going to be able to brief panel to go through all of, but they, to me, they show, you know, thoughtful research on the need and importance both from inequity point of view and a real clear opportunity and quality point of view. That while we have imperfect a good understanding of what the drivers of segregation are, and that also an imperfect but you know, meaningful understanding of different models and how they work and how you can develop them. It sounded to me from the Department of Education this morning like there was a desire to move forward in this direction. So, we'll of course, share with them. I know you in some ways already have, but we will reshare with them these materials, and I'm hopeful that the council and the administration working together can then, you know, really in

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 digging in put this to work in both exploring beyond 3 the ground models of an array of sorts, and moving 4 the whole system forward toward that more equitable 5 system of assignment. Maybe just one or two quick questions. We still have so many people. It's 6 7 wonderful we have so many people, but your expertise I don't want to entirely miss. Just say a little bit 8 about the barriers that we'll face in doing that. 9 These are complicated and I just think it's worth 10 facing them head on. We will face some barriers and 11 12 challenges moving forward through this together, and 13 I think it's worth your talking about what you've seen and good strategies for addressing it. 14

MICHAEL ALVES: Well, that's--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing]

Broadly, I mean, controlled choice being one model,

but the range of other solutions we're talking about.

MICHAEL ALVES: Well, again, that my experience is almost 40 years now, and I've worked with well over 35, 40 school systems. We've written books. Last time I googled we're at over eight million hits. So we have broad experience. We have very battle tested and quite frankly, I think the key to what we're talking about here overcoming barriers

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is to actually have this type of community engagement planning process and also to collaborate with DOE and other stakeholders, because what's important as you go along, I use the traffic light analogy. We have a green light, an orange light and a red light. Green light is when people have a lot of consensus, and so as you move through a framework, people like for example, control choice, we grand mothered/grandfathered everybody in. Siblings go to the same school. We only deal with children who need to be assigned, and that's just a good example, and then you go through other elements of what a new policy would be, and then there could be red lights. And red lights means, uh-oh, we got an issue. going to have to work together. What I'm saying is, I think absent federal courts moving into the city, which is unlikely, I think you need to commit to a process where you're trying to achieve as much community consensus as you can. A good planning process is a mediating process. As you mentioned earlier, some parents bought the house or the condo they figure they got the school. That's an important interest that has to be represented during the planning process, and then the good planning process

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 is a principled planning process. My experience has been if you go through that type of process, you're 3 going to come out with a better outcome than some 4 just dictated central office command, which is what has gone on too long in New York City. So, by having 6 7 this community engagement process, but within a framework. It can't just be everyone go off and do 8 what they want, and of course, we have experience in 9 being able to how to facilitate those processes. 10 That's how you deal with the difficult issues. 11 12 gives you the best opportunity to come out with a 13 potential solution that best meets the interest of 14 everybody.

for that, and I appreciate that, and having worked just on two schools, which I'm going to ask David about, PS 133 in a minute, but the process around PS 133 and around more recently the school in Kensington, new PS 437, just on those two schools the work to do good through and process and touch as many people as possible takes a long time. So I really appreciate what the district work has done, but I think it's important for DOE as well in developing its policy to think about engagement. I'm mindful

2	that most of the people sitting in the audience are
3	parents and educators. And of course, the way our
4	hearings work, they speak later in the day. If this
5	is going to be real, DOE needs to come out and do
6	some kind of town hall and civic engagement in ways
7	of incorporating a lot more people into this
8	dialogue. For the last question for this panel,
9	David, I just do want you to elaborate a little more,
10	because we got some data on PS 133 and how that
11	model's working, so it's been touted in, you know,
12	that DOE mentioned, it's been in a news article.
13	David Goldsmith got his shout out before, but Jim
14	Devore [sp?] who at the time was the Chair of CEC 15
15	is here, so I want to give him his for his strong
16	role. That would not have happened but for strong
17	CEC leadership. At that time, we did not have a DOE
18	that was embracing these values and models, and it
19	was just the threat of the CEC rezoning power that
20	made it possible. But say one, a little bit more
21	about that model and, you know, the evidence so far
22	that it's working in terms of how it influences
23	offers that get made, but to me it also points to
24	some of the other issues I was trying to get at in my
25	question to the DOE about what kind of additional

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

supports, outreach, transportation you have to also provide if you want the schools actually to work.

DAVID TIPSON: So--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing]

Before I do that, I actually just want to thank you because Appleseed has been enormously valuable to me and my office and other members of the Council in understanding this work.

Thank you, Council Member DAVID TIPSON: Lander for your leadership. So, there's actually an article in the paper about this today, but it's the-you know, it's confusing because one thing we didn't know two and a half years ago when we started or when we were talking about all this was that four of the six kindergarten sections in PS 133 would become dual language programs, and that has made I harder, I think, to asses exactly what's going on, but my reading of the DOE data that was linked to in an article this morning is that the plan is working. The plan is increasing the number of offers to low income students and to English language learners, and its holding the door open for those students over a period of time, and that's what it was designed to It was always designed to be paired with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

recruitment and outreach. It is, in fact, a way of ensuring the return on you recruitment efforts, because you know that if you go to a neighborhood where you -- where there are a lot of low income children and the English language learners and you spend a lot of time trying to convince them to apply, that they won't get crowded out in the application process by more affluent parents who apply in greater numbers. So, you know, I think that we should be careful not to ask too much of one plan. I think it's doing basically what the leaders of the taskforce hope that it would do, but I completely agree with David Goldsmith and others that a districtwide plan is preferable to a school by school plan.

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: If I could add one point there. I think we have some hope now with the de-emphasis on test scores. There was a great premium for principals in the past 12 years looking for those kids who they could cream so their scores would go up. If we de-emphasize test scores, then I think principals will be more open to a diverse student population.

2	

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I just want to clarify that, especially in light of today's hearing, you mean that in the broadest sense.

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: In the broadest sense.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: There is an important conversation that I, you know, to be clear on one side of on the high school question, but at the broader systemwide level--

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: of our elementary schools and middle schools--

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{DAVID}}$$  BLOOMFIED: The other two, the Intro and the Reso.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: the appetite for a de-emphasis on high stakes testing, at least as I talk to parents in New York City is overwhelming, and the appreciation to the Chancellor for addressing that systemically is quite clear.

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: We talked earlier about the number of selective programs and the various different school based plans for moving selective kids into those programs. I think we can do something about getting rid of those and getting some more uniformity and fairness and equity because

\_

principals won't have to be looking for that kid who's going to boost their test scores.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Though I will note that this is a challenge because, you know, if you—there are all the many downsides of overreliance on high stakes testing. Coming up with clear, transparent and non-subjective admissions sorting criteria is hard, you know, gets harder when you are using more—

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: I want to speak to that for a second if I might. There's been a lot of talk on the Stuy test side, but also on the other Reso and Intro as well about objectivity. Sometimes it's our job as educators to make subjective judgments. I'm not against subjective judgments. I'm against cheating. I'm against people who have some leverage getting in, but subjective judgments per say are very often what educators are expected to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Right. Good writing is hard, for example, to make an objective measure out of, and yet, we know it's pretty important for our young people to be able to do that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAVID BLOOMFIELD: But we shouldn't back down from that responsibility. We should police it, but we should make responsible judgments.

LINDA TROPP: Just speaking to the issue of the testing for a second. You know, there's the issue of the validity of a test, you know, does it test what's actually intended to measure, but then there's also broader social environment surrounding the test, and I think that's often overlooked or mistaken such that given really subtle biases that maybe people are unaware of or may not even intend, some students may be less likely to be encouraged to take a test. Some students or communities might be less aware of the guidelines to which they can prepare for a test. And so, I think the issue of outreach, which I've heard a fair amount today is extremely critical in these discussions, and that's something I would also recommend moving forward is trying to clarify both the test itself, the material that is being tested upon and then the broader social environment that surrounds the administration of that test.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you all very much. I wish we could go on further, but it's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

wonderful we still have so many people here who want to get their time in, and we want to hear as many of it as we can. So, thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And thank you, Council Member Lander for covering me for a while. I had to stop into the other hearing for attendance purposes. So, thank you. Tanya Messado from Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative, Carole Brown, Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative, Kimberly Williams, Stuyvesant High School Black Alumni Diversity, and Heidi Reisch [sp?] Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative. Okay, if you could raise your right hand I'll just swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. And let's start over here.

HEIDI REISCH: Yeah, here we go. Good afternoon. The Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative is not taking and official stance on Resolution --

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Can I just ask you for your name? Just state your name for the record.

HEIDI REISCH: Oh, sure. My name is Heidi Reisch.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, Thank you.

HEIDI REISCH: We are not taking an official stance on Resolutions 442 and 453, nor on bill 511A. We offer instead four recommendations to improve the specialized high school admissions process, a lot of which supports what some people have said today. My name is Heidi Reisch. I am a member of Stuyvesant High School's Class of 1985. have taught math at La Guardia High School for 15 years. I am a Math for America Math Master Teacher and am also a Doctoral Candidate at Columbia University's Teachers College in the field of mathematics education. Although I am not black, I support the goals of the Stuyvesant Black Alumni Diversity Initiative because it pains me that the current student body at my Alma Mater has such an embarrassingly and shamefully small proportion of black and brown students, and because I firmly believe that as a society we have a deep

2 responsibility to find and nurture real intellectual talent, which can be a difficult task as opposed to 3 4 granting admission to those with access to resources, 5 which is relatively easy. For this reason, I have 6 worked closely with the Stuyvesant Black Alumni 7 Diversity Initiative to help increase the number of black and Latino students who are admitted Stuyvesant 8 and the city's other specialized high schools. 9 2011, I recruited colleagues to provide instruction 10 to nearly 100 black and Latino students as part of a 11 12 free test prep boot camp, with the group offered at 13 the school. As a result of this experience I became 14 very familiar with the SHSAT and developed concerns 15 about its fairness to test takers. I will be 16 addressing these concerns and the need to address 17 these issues if the new test is adopted. 18 recommendation one, establish the fairness and validity of any test that is part of the specialized 19 20 high school admission. As is the larger alumni community, our group is divided on whether a single 21 2.2 test should continue to be used, and so as a group we 23 have not taken a position on that issue. Regardless, however, of whether a single test continues to be 24 used or the admissions process is changed to allow 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

consideration of more information about applicants, any test which is part of the admissions process must be fair to all applicants. As Janella Hinds noted, and Council Member Dromm reiterated, the test has changed considerably over the years, and also as Council Member Lander noted earlier, the test should not contain elements that give an advantage to students who have had access to advanced curriculum and/or to test prep. We feel that the inclusion of this scrambled paragraphs in particular favor those with access to test prep, since that is not part of any school's standard curriculum. We feel strongly that it is important to align the content of the test with what is being taught in public schools. believe that it is possible to create a test that measures academic potential and critical thinking skills effectively without watering down the content of the SHSAT. It will be important to evaluate both the new test and its scoring methods to ensure that it actually measures what it purports to measure. the appendix provided, I have included questions from the SHSAT student handbook that I believe are unfair with the reasons why I believe them to be unfair. In general, they either test knowledge of material,

2.2

which a seventh or eighth grade student on grade level would not know, or contain vocabulary to which a student on grade level would not have been exposed. As such, they do not test ability nor potential, but rather exposure to concepts and/or vocabulary. I have some examples, but I'm not going to read them. am a math teacher, I consider myself qualified to critique the math questions. We applaud the DOE's RFP to develop a new SHSAT as an effort to address these serious concerns which impact opportunities for students each year. Thank you very much for your

time and attention to this issue.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Next, please.

RIMBERLY WILLIAMS: Good afternoon. My name is Kimberly Denise Williams, and I graduate from Stuyvesant High School in 2003. I'm here today as a member of the Stuyvesant High School Black Alumni Diversity Initiative, a group formed in 2010 to increase the number of students—to help increase the number of students—to help increase the number of students of African—American descent who are admitted to Stuyvesant and the city's other specialized high schools of African descent, excuse me. Out of the approximately 40 black and Latino students in my class, nearly half attended the 18

2	month long MSI program sponsored by the Department of
3	Education. Collectively we represented schools that
4	typically did not send students to the specialized
5	high schools and we came from neighborhoods where
6	students typically did not attend Stuyvesant. The
7	fact that almost half the black and Latino students
8	in my class came to Stuy by way of this program
9	stands at the testament to its effectiveness.
10	Unfortunately, MSI has been replaced by DREAM SHSI,
11	which does not target the same underrepresented
12	communities, but is instead open to all qualified
13	students who meet its income requirements. In
14	addition, despite provisions and state law which
15	authorize the use of a Discovery Program to provide
16	admission to specialized high schools to
17	disadvantaged students, neither Stuyvesant nor Bronx
18	Science has offered this option for many years. We
19	believe that city sponsored prep programs like DREAM
20	and Discovery need to be reinstituted at Stuy and
21	Bronx Science and expanded at the other specialized
22	high schools and refocus on the communities
23	underrepresented at these schools. MSI, the program
24	which paved the way for me and many students like me,
25	was created in 1995 to help black and Hispanic

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

students. Enrichment courses, free transportation or rigorous lesson plans, free books, and innovative science labs were highlights of the program. Blacks and Hispanics who attended the program were more likely to get in than those who did not have this prep. In 2007, a lawsuit was filed by an Asian parent alleging the program was discriminatory because Asians and whites were held to income standards that others were not, and subsequently, aspects of the program changed. Instead of providing access to students from communities underrepresented, the program focused on students who were economically disadvantaged. After these changes, black and Latino students became a small fraction of those who participated. Black enrollment at SHSI decreased to less than 90 percent of its numbers the year before. Hispanic enrollment in the program was decreased by more than half, while Asian enrollment more than doubled. One of the new qualifications for students was free lunch, and having been through the program as a student, a volunteer and an employee, I know that there were several students whose household income was slightly over the free school lunch threshold who need extra help. They're in the same

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

neighborhoods and schools as free lunch recipients receiving the same limited resources. Those in the middle who needed help and would have benefitted were subsequently left out. The current format of DREAM is still new so there aren't years of results to analyze, but it's imperative that we glean lessons from the early years. Discovery is another program that needs to be re-evaluated. Students who miss the cutoff scores could be prepped during the summer before 9<sup>th</sup> grade, but since the program's been altered and eliminated at Stuy and Bronx Science because of an inability to select the most competitive students, it's become a huge problem, allowed the schools to pick students that only missed the admission by a few cutoff points. It would be hard to argue that a student falling a few points short who could attend Bronx Science is not fit to attend Stuyvesant. My experience at Stuy and with the various prep programs with the school are anecdotal but representative. I graduated from Harvard College in 2007, and yes, admissions policies there have changed, but they still have noticeable tendencies. Of all the New York City schools represented in my college class, there were only two

2.2

dominating public schools, Stuyvesant High School and Brooklyn Tech. There were less than 30 students accepted from these schools and four were members of my contingent three of the Math Science Institute at Stuyvesant High School. By today's standards, it's very likely we wouldn't qualify for the DREAM program or have the opportunity to go through Discovery. Our attempts to make progress should not leave students The rest of my testimony's in the package. behind. 

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please?

Messado. I like to first thank you for allowing us to provide testimony. I am a graduate of Georgetown Law School and Yale University, but before that, I was a graduate of Stuyvesant High School, Class of 1993. The African-American and Latino student representation at that time was roughly 10 percent. Now, it is a fraction of that today. The number is now three percent. Like many of my Stuyvesant classmates, I grew up in a working class family. Mine in particular immigrated to New York from Jamaica in the 60's. My mother was a single parent who spent her days teaching at a preschool in Crown

2	Heights and her evenings commuting to Long Island to
3	attend college courses. Mine is a familiar story.
4	When I arrived at Stuyvesant in the fall of 1989, I
5	knew that was effort to have the potential to open
6	doors but I never realized how impactful the
7	experience could be. In the spring of 1993 I received
8	a full scholarship offer to Yale University and my
9	other classmates of color would in turn receive
10	offers to Cornell, Harvard, Barnard and NYU. We are
11	now lawyers, doctors and entrepreneurs. The list of
12	notable Stuyvesant alumni is extensive. The United
13	States Attorney General Eric Holder, Deputy Mayor of
14	New York Richard Buery, activist Lucy Liu [sp?], Tim
15	Robbins, Paul Visor [sp?], political advisor David
16	Axelrod, Success Academy's Eva Moskowitz. I now
17	reside in Crown Heights, District 17, and I have a
18	two year old daughter who will be entering pre-k in
19	the fall of 2016. I would like for her to have the
20	same educational opportunities as I was afforded,
21	however, District 17 historically one of the lowest
22	performing school districts in New York City. The
23	options for gifted and talented programs in the
24	neighborhood are few and far between. Although
25	gifted and talented programs are a pipeline into the

2 specialized high schools, there are limited numbers 3 in African-American and Latino school districts. an ideal world, all neighborhood middle schools would 4 adequately prepare the students to compete for spots 6 in the city's top high schools. The reality of the 7 situation is starkly different. Only a small number of public schools in the city labeled by some as 8 feeder schools send hundreds of students to 9 Stuyvesant and the other specialized high schools 10 each year, while many public schools send none. 11 12 years ago there were no gifted and talented 13 kindergarten classes in all of district 17. If your 14 child happened to win a spot in a program, you had to 15 try your luck finding a seat for them outside of the 16 districts. This year in District 17 we still have 17 only one. In comparison, District Two has 12 gifted 18 and talented programs. It is no surprise then that District Two counts five feeder schools, while 19 20 District 17 counts none. The majority of Stuyvesant students arrive from feeder schools are concentrated 2.1 2.2 in a handful of neighborhoods through the city, and 23 none of those feeder schools are located in historically black and Latino neighborhoods. 24 disparity in applications to the gifted and talented 25

2	program by race and socioeconomic status is dramatic.
3	As of 2011, roughly 70 percent of all New York City
4	public schools students were black and Latino, but
5	more than 70 percent of kindergarteners in gifted and
6	talented programs are white or Asian. If we continue
7	to use the example of District 17 in Brooklyn
8	compared to District Two in Manhattan as an example
9	of this disparity, District 17 covers predominantly
10	African-American or working class neighborhoods of
11	Prospect Heights, Crown Heights and East Flatbush.
12	District Two, on the other hand, encompasses some of
13	the wealthiest neighborhoods in New York City, the
14	east side south of 97 <sup>th</sup> Street and the wet side south
15	of 59 <sup>th</sup> [sic] street. In 2014, only 300 students in
16	District 17 sat for the kindergarten gifted and
17	talented test. In District Two, that number was over
18	1,800. Of that number, 449 students in District Two
19	were given offers compared to only 37 from District
20	17. The low number of students who sit for this test
21	in African-American and Latino neighborhoods can be
22	attributed partly to the fact that parents are solely
23	responsible for navigating the gifted and talented
24	process on their own. In contrast, before the
25	current day setup, gifted programs relied primarily

2	on teachers and in-school testing to identify these
3	academically talented students. Unfortunately,
4	information regarding gifted programs is
5	insufficiently disseminated to parents in lower
6	income and minority school districts. As a result,
7	low income and non-white students are severely
8	underrepresented in these feeder schools and in
9	gifted and talented programs citywide. I want my
10	child and all children living in African-American and
11	Latino neighborhoods to have the same opportunities
12	for educational achievement as their white and Asian
13	peers. The gifted and talented program as currently
14	set up has created a segregated, two-tier public
15	schools system which effectively predetermines a
16	child's chances at success, based solely upon the
17	school district in which their families reside. We
18	need to raise that bar so that challenging programs
19	for gifted children are available in all school
20	districts and not just a few. Thank you.

CAROLE BROWN: Hi, my name is Carole

Brown. I'm a Co-founder of Stuyvesant's Black Alumni

Diversity Initiative. We've coordinated information

sessions in seventh grade test prep scholarships

since 2010. I grew up in Ms. Cumbo's district. My

2	children attended kindergarten through eighth grade
3	on variance in Mr. Levin's district, District 33.
4	Black communities have a couple of obstacles on the
5	road to specialized high schools, lack of awareness
6	and decreased access to accelerated middle school
7	programs. When I entered Stuy, coming from an SP
8	class that no longer exists, nearly everyone knew a
9	specialized high school alumnus because of the
10	numbers. I was one of 80 seniors of African descent,
11	10 percent of the graduating class. My Brooklyn Tech
12	friends were among 40 percent graduating there, but
13	when my children recently graduated from Brooklyn
14	Tech themselves, they're at less than 10 percent.
15	During outreach, we in the Diversity Initiative are
16	asked the same questions over and over. What's so
17	special about Stuyvesant? Where is it? I don't want
18	to go to Bed-Stuy. Are there AP classes. Do
19	children go to good colleges like the private schools
20	go? Is there a fee to take the test? Black
21	Stuyvesant student who just recently graduated said,
22	"I didn't even know that you could get test prep for
23	the SHSAT, I just took the test." A couple of
24	parents, "When my child finishes DREAM program, they
25	automatically get a seat in Stuyvesant." From

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

principals that we've contacted, "I don't have any students to refer to you. You know, we go from grade six to 12, don't you?" More questions, "What is the website address for the Department of Education? What test scores do you need for Bard and Midwood? My guidance counselor just mentioned this school yesterday, do you need high grades? My guidance counselor won't let me register for the test, what can I do? How much is Stuyvesant's tuition each year? My child's uncle says that blacks are not allowed at Stuyvesant." These are not questions that you would ever hear from families at the five middle schools that eventually make up 30 percent of Stuyvesant students, but thanks to private schools diversity push, our target families certainly know all about Exit [sic] or Dalton [sic] Shote [sic] and other private schools. Thus, the conversations in some communities are different than in other communities. Most don't know that just two gifted and talented schools feed 200 freshman into Stuy, 200 out of 900 freshman, and these feeders are not diverse in regards to African-American and Latino-Americans so they would not know. They don't know that these feeders offer high school Regents biology,

2 history, languages, algebra, geometry, Regents in 3 middle school. Feeders send advanced students to 4 Stuy ready to jump into AP and unique challenging 5 classes that give Stuy that great reputation. 6 lack of awareness why all the best high schools 7 specialized and selective non-specialized all experience the five to 50 percent drop in black 8 students just since 2008? Our target families don't 9 know these non-specialized high schools either 10 because the highly selective non-specialized high 11 12 schools picked from the same less diverse middle 13 school feeders. My children's middle school in 14 District 15 was not a gifted and talented program, 15 but it had a Regent's algebra program, and the kids 16 in their classes talked about the best high schools 17 all the time. You got to know to apply. And you 18 know, competition for a good middle school is intense when you see 3,000 gifted students apply for 66 sixth 19 20 grade seats in the Brooklyn School of Inquiry, but those seats will be filled by those who have already 21 2.2 been in Inquiry since kindergarten. Many gifted and 23 talented schools fill their seats in kindergarten, and there are not enough GNT seats in the entire city 24 for gifted kindergarteners. Mark Twain is a great 25

2.2

informed.

six through eight school, but its science program accepts only three percent of its applicants. these two examples can be a burden to commute to everyday from most black communities. Our few current black Stuyvesant students were among the few in a gifted and talented feeders or private and parochial schools. We communicate with middle school parents who check homework, limit TV and electronics, 

pay for arts classes and athletics, put children in

church groups every Sunday, but they're still under

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: well, thank you. I'm going to have to cut it a little bit short because I have 15 panels after this, so but I don't want you to think that we haven't heard what you had to say. I look at your recommendations, the fairness and validity of the test, the opportunity for exposure to GNT programs, the Discovery Program as well, and outreach to families in underrepresented communities. So, we will make sure that that is included in our discussions as we move further, and I just want to say thank you for coming in and giving your testimony today. Thank you very, very much.

CAROLE BROWN: Thanks for having us.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 278
2	KIMBERLY WILLIAMS: Thank you.
3	HEIDI REISCH: Thank you.
4	TANYA MESSADO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, the next panel
6	will be Triana D'Orazio, Committee for Hispanic
7	Children, Randi Levine, Advocates for Children, Mitch
8	Wu, Coalition for Asian-American Children and
9	Families, Liz Rosenberg and New York City Public Org,
10	Jane Lee Delgado, New York City Public. Okay, I'd
11	like to swear you in. If you could raise our right
12	hands. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the
13	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and
14	to answer Council Member questions honestly?
15	UNIDENTIFIED: I do.
16	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, very good.
17	Where should we start? Okay.
18	TRIANA D'ORAZIO: Good afternoon. My
19	name is
20	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Good
21	afternoon.
22	TRIANA D'ORAZIO: My name is Triana
23	D'Orazio, and I am the Policy and Communications
24	Associate for the Committee

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Can you move that mic a little closer to you so we can--yeah. Alright, great.

TRIANA D'ORAZIO: Certainly. For the Committee for Hispanic Children and Families or CHCF. I thank the Committee Chair and the other members of the Committee on Education for giving me the opportunity to participate in this hearing. Since 1982, CHCF has combined education and advocacy to expand opportunities for children and families and strengthen the voice of the Latino community. work to involve families in all aspects of their children's education by providing workshops on the Common Core standards, college access, school partnerships, and by implementing program activities that build and foster positive relationships between families and their children. CHCF believes that the most effective way to support Latino families is by building upon their existing strength and fostering self-sufficiency, but self-sufficiency can only go so far when hindered by both overt and nuance discrimination. This is why CHCS supports the proposed Introduction and both resolutions. Gathering and posting data by grade level as proposed by

2	Introduction 511 would help to accurately track the
3	number of Latino children and English language
4	learners, the number of homes where a language other
5	than English is spoken, their socioeconomic
6	backgrounds, their progress, and the supportive
7	services they receive but still lack. The data
8	collected would also help determine the exact numbers
9	of enrolled students in charter schools through
10	admission criteria and methods of enrollment, their
11	ELL student population and their wait lists among
12	other issues. While CHCF is not against charter
13	schools, they are privately run schools using public
14	funds and public spaces. It is necessary that they
15	be held accountable for inequities in enrollment for
16	more bilingual and dual language programs and provide
17	transparent financial structures. While data
18	collection is a necessary and useful first step, the
19	information gathered must be used to create and
20	reinforce programs that work for and reach all
21	children. On Resolution 453, we believe that our
22	children need to be exposed to other ethnicities,
23	experience cultural diversity, learn other languages
24	and know that there is a greater world beyond their
25	boroughs. This exposure can only strengthen the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bonds among our students and increase trust in levels of engagement within communities, mollifying any existing or potential racism. We must include civil rights standards and acknowledge that education is a basic human right. CHCF agrees also with Resolution 442, that the city's specialized high schools admissions test are inherently unfair and exclude a major section of the city's student population, mainly African-Americans and Latinos. Admittance to these specialized schools must revolve around other factors such as overall performance in school, teacher input and student interviews. We need to elevate our children above whatever socioeconomic barriers impede them from overcoming an admittance policy exemplified by a single potentially racially discriminatory entrance exam. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

RANDI LEVINE: Good afternoon and thank
you for the opportunity to speak with you. My name
is Randi Levine, and I'm Policy Coordinator at
Advocates for Children of New York. For more than 40
years Advocates for Children has worked to promote
access to the best education New York can provide for

2 all students, especially students of color and 3 students from low income background. Recent events, including those in Ferguson, Cleveland and here in 4 New York City have reminded us of the need to come 5 together as a community to address the racial 6 7 disparities that exist in public education and in our public lives. We recognize the potential of public 8 education in New York City to bring together 9 10 different groups of children and promote the values of diversity, inclusion and opportunity. Among the 11 12 benefits of integrated schools is the ability for 13 children to learn firsthand at the earliest ages that 14 all lives matter. Advocates for Children works on 15 behalf of children who are at greatest risk for 16 school-based discrimination or academic failure due 17 to poverty, disability, race, ethnicity, immigrant or 18 English language learner status, sexual orientation, gender identity, homelessness or involvement in the 19 20 foster care or juvenile or criminal justice systems. We thank the sponsors of proposed intro 511 for 21 2.2 broadening the bill to include many of these groups 23 of students. We are alarmed by the disparities in educational outcomes for the groups of students 24 included in the bill. For example, on the 2014 ELA 25

2 test, while nearly 50 percent of New York City's white and Asian students performed proficiently, only 3 18 percent of black and Hispanic students preformed 4 5 proficiently, only 6.7 of students with disabilities, four out of five of whom are black or Hispanic 6 7 perform proficiently, and only 3.6 percent of English language learners performed proficiently. 8 proposed bill will give us important data about which 9 populations of students are accessing which schools 10 and which programs and will help inform 11 12 recommendations for policy change. Ensuring that 13 students from diverse backgrounds have access to high achieving schools and programs is critical, but it's 14 15 only one step. As the City Council strives to ensure 16 that every school and program in the city serves a 17 diverse group of students, the city and DOE need to 18 prepare schools to provide an excellent education to Schools need resources, training, 19 these students. and the development of specialized programs to meet 20 the needs of all students, including English language 21 2.2 learners and students with disabilities. Just this 23 week, we received a call from a parent of a kindergarten student of color. The student has a 24 25 disability and is living in a shelter. The student

2.2

is enrolled in a popular school that has been touted as high achieving, but the school was not prepared to meet the student's needs, and placed the student on a truncated schedule, allowing the student to only attend school for three hours a day since September. To improve school outcomes, we need to make sure that we do more than just give students access to different schools, we need to change what is happening inside those schools to ensure they are prepared to serve diverse groups of students. Thanks for the opportunity to speak with you today, and thanks for focusing on this important topic.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please. Mr. Wu?

MITCHEL WU: Good afternoon. My name is
Mitchel Wu, and I'm submitting testimony on behalf of
Sheila Fineberg [sp?] the Executive Director of the
coalition for Asian-American Children and Families or
CACF. For 25 years CACF has been the nation's only
Pan-Asian children's advocacy organization and works
to improve the health and wellbeing of Asian Pacific
American, or APA children and families in New York
City. I would like to thank Chair Dromm and members
of the Education Committee for holding this important

oversight hearing on diversity for public schools 2 3 We believe that all the bills and resolutions scheduled for today's hearing is a step 5 toward a stronger diverse and equitable learning environment for all of our youth. Often now, when 6 7 APA students are mentioned in discussion in public schools education, it is to praise them for being 8 smart, successful, for attending specialized high 9 schools, for being self-sufficient, and therefore do 10 not require additional support or assistance. While 11 12 this perception of APA students as high achieving 13 minorities continues to prevail in certain circles, 14 these beliefs are far more from the reality in which 15 many APA students live. They face a multitude of 16 challenges that decrease their ability to compete 17 with their peers academically. I think about 14 18 percent of New York City's public school education system at risk APA students often come from immigrant 19 20 and low income families, face language barriers and are the first generation in their families to attend 21 2.2 American public schools and pursue higher education. 23 Noting this achievement gap that exists within our community, more specifically7 while only five percent 24 APA students in New York City attend the top 25

2 three specialized high schools, there are many more 3 APA students who failed to meet these educational standards and struggle throughout their academic 4 careers. These students find themselves isolated and 5 6 marginalized and often lack the necessary support to 7 navigate the education system and access services critical to becoming competent, well adjusted, stable 8 [sic] minded adults. Consider these facts. 9 Currently, one out of four APA students in public 10 schools education does not graduate on time or at 11 12 all. CACF will be testifying today on the need to 13 improve education equity for all students in New York 14 City public schools while highlighting the challenges 15 that APA youth base. Regarding Intro 511, the CACF 16 supports the reporting of racial and socioeconomic 17 data, particularly on the crucial need to include the 18 disaggregation of data. Thank you Council Member Lander. We are pleased to see that the specific 19 20 mention to report the segregation of language is spoken, place of birth, as well as the over counter 21 2.2 status. Currently right now the APA community New 23 York City, we are the, by percentage, the fastest 24 growing group, nearly doubling in size every decade since 1970. Now, it is very important to track our 25

2	dynamic and growing population so we assure that each
3	emerging communities is receiving the proper
4	linguistic and culture appropriate services for
5	families to support their children to succeed in
6	schools. The reporting of such data will also
7	contradict prevailing notions that APA is a
8	homogenized well to do group. CACF supports
9	Resolution 442 for Albany to consider additional
10	terms and measures to increase the diversities in
11	these high schools. CACF promotes accessible and
12	structure free academic support programs for all
13	communities in New York City to be able to
14	participate if the youth have interest in applying
15	for specialized high schools. CACF has signed onto
16	NAACP's LDF complaint back in 2012, citing that we
17	believe in promoting more equity in the specialized
18	high schools, which the current SHSAT process is not
19	providing. We do however, urge that before
20	determining what better terms and measures are, a
21	taskforce of experts on education diversity and
22	testing should be brought together to help inform the
23	council and the Department of Education on their
24	recommendations. I just want to take a quick moment
25	to talk about the impact of specialized high stake

testing on the community as well. As been mentioned
before about all the test prep academies and for
profit Cram [sic] schools that had been popping up in
our various Asian-American communities, and for many
low income immigrants, they have been kind of
anthologized [sic] and conditioned to believe that
that is the way to go, and we also feel like been
it's been preying [sic] on these inner [sic]
communities in which they have to take on additional
incomes just to pay for these expensive, you know,
preparatory classes. It is also detrimental and not
promoting a well-rounded college readiness atmosphere
for our immigrant youth as well. And lastly, we
support Resolution 453 with one recommendation. I'm
also including a ethic studies curriculum in order to
promote that diversity environment, with the
inclusion of these different histories, experiences,
and contributions of our historically minority
communities including the LGBT community and women's
studies. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

LIZ ROSENBERG: Hi, I'm Liz Rosenberg, and I'm the Director of NYC Public. I would like to testify in support of all the resolutions and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

intro before us today, and I thank the Council Members who are sponsoring these bills and resolutions very much, and now that I see how your work lives really go, wow. Thank you for being here now. Okay, I had the pleasure of teaching at Brooklyn Tech during a period when it was far more racially diverse. I was trying to find the exact numbers to give you a snapshot of Tech from 1996 to 1999, but I did not, but I did find one statistic. When I was teaching at Brooklyn Tech, 37.3 percent of my students were African-American. Now, only eight percent of the students at Tech are African-American. So, I want to absolutely dispel any myth that African-Americans cannot excel on the SHSAT. do. They have, and they can certainly excel at schools like Brooklyn Tech and the other specialized schools. But something has shifted and I cannot fully explain exactly what, and whatever that shift is, a preponderance of paid SHSAT test prep, focus on state ELA exams and so much test prep that some of the students that might have excelled on the SHSAT just don't want to take another exam. And I think when people talked about feeder schools, I think looking very specifically at the feeder schools that

2 used to feed into Brooklyn Tech and Bronx Science and Stuyvesant, what's going on there? Is there tons and 3 4 tons and tons of test prep for the ELA and math 5 exams? That's a question I have. Or, is it perhaps that the elimination of the Discovery Program which 6 7 came out today as a really big thing, and that was 10 years ago. And was talking to you about when I was at 8 Tech 15, 16 years ago. This students I had in the 9 late 90's were not overly tested. My point is that I 10 cannot identify the reasons that 1,000 African-11 12 American students--just get that in your head, 1,000-13 -just imagine them. They just kind of disappeared 14 from the hallways? They're not there anymore, and 15 I'm sure that there has been a big decrease in the 16 Latino population at Tech too. I just couldn't find 17 those statistics today of the exact numbers, but 18 literally that could be another 800 students that are just not walking those halls anymore. With very few 19 20 exceptions, all my Tech students regardless of race and class were up to the challenge of the work we all 21 2.2 put in front of them. They went on to be successful 23 in college, and they had an opportunity to see how far their minds could stretch. I quarantee you that 24 if specialized schools admit students who are ready 25

for tough work as determined by grades and actual
student work. Those students will excel at Tech.
They have in the past and they will again. The
criticism I have heard today that multiple measures
can equal nepotism and/or that it could lead to
whiter more wealthier student bodies is a serious
caution. Those who are charged with fixing the
broken specialized high school admission system must
also take this into consideration. I'm going to go
really quick now. I also encourage the very powerful
alumni associations of these three specialized
schools powered by Nobel Laureates and billionaires
to use the full amount of their social, political and
economic capital to address the issue that they have
said are so important today, improve educational
options for African-American and Latino students.
They have had years and years to work to address
these issues of inequity. They have seen the roughly
1,000 African-American students leave the building as
it were every year and disappear from the hallways.
In a recent book, howshould Ican I go on a little
bit longer? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just a little bit.

LIZ ROSENBERG: Okay. In a recent book, 2 3 Carol Buras [sp?] right, "without deliberate attempts to include policies that diversify schools by race, 4 5 class and achievement as some magnet and EDOP [sic] schools do, choice is a little more than tracking of 6 7 at large." Given the segregation that we see in our city, it's clear that Buras is not exaggerating. 8 points to unequal access to guidance around middle 9 and high school admissions processes and the actual 10 structures of individual school methods for accepting 11 12 students. She goes on to day, "By 2010, screened 13 schools and limited unscreened schools which have no academic balancing requirements dramatically rose 14 15 while EDOPs declined by 25 percent." And I think that 16 decline has continued. It was a very, very important We heard Ms. Ramirez today state that EDOP 17 point. 18 schools are an important part of the strategy to ensure that schools are more diverse, but there are 19 increasing--but they are--but are they increasing the 20 number of EDOP schools? Are they restoring EDOP 21 2.2 admissions to the 25 percent or more that change 23 their admissions policies? One other strategy I would encourage the DOE to consider is a public 24

deliberative democratic new school design process

that engages community members and parent from all
backgrounds. Our organization, NYC Public, held a
community engagement lab/charrette with CEC One in
which the community members came together to dream up
a new school. It was an incredibly diverse group of
parents and they all agreed that they wanted to see a
new school with a controlled choice diversity
admissions policy. These community members now feel
ownership over this school. These types of true
community engagement processes for new schools can
create a context whereby a diverse body of parents is
invested in the school from the get-go. My testimony
is a bit of a hodge-podge, but my overall message is
that there are many more things the DOE can be doing
to ensure that all of our schools become more
diverse. More students must have access to engaging
and enriching education which theywhere they meet
and learn from a diverse student body and a diverse
group of teachers and administrators.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

LIZ ROSENBERG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Last but not least.

JANE LEE DELGADO: Thank you very much

for your patience. My name is Jane Lee Delgado. I'm

2	a social science researcher and an organizational
3	psychologist. My background is in large scale
4	assessment and evaluation. Ten years ago I moved to
5	New York City to work as a research scientist at the
6	College Board. For the last five years until very
7	recently I was the Dean for Institutional
8	Effectiveness and Strategic Planning at a CUNY
9	Community College. Currently, I'm working with NYC
10	Public, and I am studying the New York school system.
11	I know I know very little. What I would like to do
12	today is speak to particularly 442 and I may be able
13	to shed some light on the issue of attendance. My
14	particular area of expertise is data and research
15	evidence, so that's mostly what I'll be talking about
16	there. At the college level, study after study has
17	shown that high school GPA is a better predictor of
18	college performance than the scores from standardized
19	tests. Colleges know that they must look at multiple
20	indicators for making admissions decisions. At the
21	high school level, researchers have looked at key
22	middle school performance measures to predict high
23	school grades and graduation. They have found that
24	opportunity to take algebra by the eighth grade,
25	attendance and middle school GPA are all significant

2 predictors, and there's a list of the researchers there. More recently, the University of Chicago 3 Consortium on College and Chicago school research in 4 2014 compared multiple middle grade indicators of 5 readiness for high school success. I think they had 6 7 about 20. They found that earlier test scores were strong predictors of high school test scores, but 8 they were weak indicators of high school grades and 9 completion. The best single predictor of high school 10 achievement and graduation was course grades or GPA. 11 12 GPA was more important than test scores and 13 background factors such as race, SES or gender in 14 making a prediction. "Eighth grades core GPA was the 15 strongest single predictor of on-track status and 16 earning high grades in high school." Alansworth 17 [sic] 2014. The Chicago study also found that middle 18 school attendance was more predictive of high school passing rates than were test scores. 19 It was more 20 important to improve attendance rates during middle school time than it was to improve test scores during 21 2.2 that period for subsequent success. When attendance 23 rates and GPA were combined, the two indicators together provided the optimal prediction. They had a 24 lot of indicators in the pool, and those two together 25

2	provided the optimal predictive power. Adding
3	additional indicators did not provide more
4	information. According to the 2014 SHOT [sic]
5	Foundation report by Holesman [sp?] in almost half of
6	New York City community school districts students
7	have little opportunity to learn in a high performing
8	school, but in every middle school in New York City,
9	grades still matter. Grades reflect effort,
10	persistence and study skills. They reflect the
11	academic behaviors and habits of mind required to
12	"Come to class regularly, get assignments completed,
13	participate, study, and deliver high quality work day
14	after day." In my position as a research and
15	planning dean at a CUNY Community College, very
16	diverse and almost exactly representing the
17	proportions of the population of New York State, I
18	saw repeatedly that even if some students got low
19	scores on the entrance exams if they saw themselves
20	as good scholars with good GPAs in high school, they
21	would work hard to make up the opportunity gap. You
22	could see the differences in one semester in the
23	data. I urge you to give all good students a chance
24	to catch up and excel in a specialized high schools.
25	They'll show you what they can do Please support

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this resolution. And support of resolution 453, I'm going to skip this. You've seen it. I thought the resolution effectively summarized the research. I was very impressed. I'm going to skip to the situation of choice in New York City. Because opportunities without preparation, entitlement and engagement is meaningless. Families shouldn't have to choose to attend good schools that meet the needs of the children. A good education is a human right to which all New Yorkers are entitled. Shouldn't be a scarce resource available only to those who can successfully navigate a complex market based system. resolution adds an important strategic objective to the mission for education in New York City. We know how to reach all students. The research is in. just takes the political wheel and the strategic allocation of resources. We also know how resources follow savvy parents, and we know that we have to make a commitment to every student in every community district that will ensure that each school has equivalent advocacy. When we embrace ambitious public goals such as those presented in this resolution and then demand a plan of action, we raise the potential for significant and lasting social

change. We are lucky to be New Yorkers. I am particularly lucky to be a New Yorker. We have the opportunity to utilize the unique strengths of the most amazing city in the world and demonstrate how the future can work for everyone. Please support Resolution 453. Thanks for bringing it up [sic].

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you and thank you to all of the panelists, and thank you Ms. Delgado for focusing on the issue of attendance, and certainly I'd like to talk more with you about that, and the importance. I think some people had a little giggle when the state legislators were here--

JANE LEE DELGADO: [interposing] It's empirical [sic].

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah, and don't really fully understand how important that really is to as a predictor of student achievement. And I also want to take the opportunity to say I couldn't agree with you more on the issue of choice. When you don't have much to choose from there's really no choice, unless you make all schools good schools, then we're not really succeeding with our children. I want to say thank you to all the panel. I also want to thank Mr. Wu for mentioning LGBT, because that is also a

big part of diversity, and I didn't get the opportunity to say that today, but I'm glad that you did. So, thank you to all the panelists, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mr. Chairman, as this panel is switching over, let me just--you guys can go. Oh, call the next one and I'll say this--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, very good. So,
Halley Potter from the Century Foundation, Dan
Rubenstein from the Brooklyn Prospect Charter School,
Miriam Nunberg from the Brooklyn Urban Gardens
Charter School, and Eric Joerss from the New York
City Charter Center.

panel, I want to flag one thing sort of in some ways lost between the district level elementary school work and the specialized high school conversations.

We've probably underdeveloped the conversation about middle schools here, and as part of the long term or obviously that's a place where choice without very clear attention to what's driving choice and screens, I think, are a big driver of the lack of diversity and one thing that we should be paying attention to.

And also for folks who may find it opaque, many of you know this, but the Council's powers in

2	relationship to the DOE are quite limited. So, it's
3	not that we've chosen not to have a piece of
4	legislation here that would require or demand a
5	particular set of steps. That power does not belong
6	to us as result largely of mayoral control and hence
7	the resolution calling for the goal of the bill,
8	calling for the data but not something that
9	establishes a set of steps that we are not legally
LO	permitted to require. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
L1	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, and also just
L2	aif I can read it into the record, Adam Stern has
L3	submitted a testimony. Michael Weiss has also
L 4	submitted testimony, and the Bronx High School of
L 5	Science Parents Association has submitted testimony
L 6	for the record. And with that, I'm going to swear
L 7	you in. if you'd raise your right hand, please? Do
L 8	you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
L 9	whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer
20	Council Member questions honestly?
21	DAN RUBENSTEIN: I do.
22	ERIC JOERSS: I do.
23	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. So who

would like to begin? Alright.

24

25

2 DAN RUBENSTEIN: Thank you, Council 3 Members for hosting these hearings. My name is Dan Rubenstein. I am the Co-founder and Executive 4 Director of Brooklyn Prospect Charter School. These 5 meetings are especially poignant given the times and 6 issues surrounding cultural understanding and 7 creating more inclusive communities. I'm sure what 8 is apparent by the conclusion of these hearings is 9 that school integration is challenging and complex, 10 thus, there is no one solution. If anyone tells you 11 12 today or at any time that there is one solution to 13 integrating schools, they're most likely not working 14 in schools. Today, I am speaking as the leader of 15 Brooklyn Prospect Charter School, a school that was 16 founded on the idea that students should sit side by 17 side in classrooms that come from different 18 backgrounds. There are numerous well documented social and academic benefits, we've heard from some 19 of those people today, of a purposefully integrated 20 classroom. Brooklyn Prospect Charter School is also 2.1 2.2 a member and a founding member of the National 23 Coalition of Diverse Charter Schools, which was established because charter schools can and should 24

contribute to solving the historic challenge of

2	integrating public schools. Currently there are 15
3	charter schools in New York City which are working
4	together to promote policies of school integration.
5	Diverse charter schools generally see getting an
6	integrated student population as only half of the
7	solution. The other half is succeeding with an
8	incredibly diverse population. No small feat, as any
9	of these schools can tell you. Given the current
10	level of racial and economic isolation in general, I
11	will focus on reducing the racial isolation in public
12	schools, all public, all schools public and charter,
13	district and charter, excuse me. Number one, there
14	should be less emphasis on where a student lives and
15	their academic background in choosing a school. In
16	New York City all public schools are assignedassign
17	their students in one of three ways, geographic
18	zones, where a student lives determine where he or
19	she goes to school, academic achievement, how a
20	student performs on a test, audition, interview or
21	grades determines where the student goes to school,
22	or lottery, random assignment. I would recommend
23	more emphasis on the third method of student
24	assignment lottery with less emphasis on the first
25	two, rigid zones and student achievement. The most

2 racially and isolated--economically isolated schools 3 in the city tend to draw students from a small geographic zones with narrow academic backgrounds. I 4 found it interesting today that we're talking so much about specialized schools, which is actually a very 6 7 small percentage of the overall student population here in New York City. it is possible under state 8 law to preference a lottery for the purpose of 9 increasing diversity among this student body. It's 10 also federally legal as well. Some charter schools 11 12 currently reserve seats for students who live in 13 public housing. Others give lottery preference like 14 mine, like the one that I run to students who qualify 15 for free and reduced price lunch. There are both districts and charter schools which affectively use 16 17 weighted lotteries to integrate their student 18 populations, and this option would be available and encouraged at more schools, charter and district. 19 20 This is an area that charter school leaders, myself included, and the DOE administration, the current 21 2.2 administration and the previous administrations have 23 begun to collaborate, and I look forward to continue collaboration. And finally, nothing changes in 24 public education without public data. For better or 25

for worse, little changes in public education without
transparent and published data, similar to how a
school's test scores are published today, the New
York City Department of Education and the State Board
of Regents should create a statistic for racial and
economic integration similar to the methodology used
by the Civil Rights Project of UCLA, a study that was
discussed much today and has been much publicized in
the press. It also should be noted that Nashville,
Tennessee public schools has recently taken the lead
nationally in incorporating this type of statistic
into all their public schools, district and charter.
By using a diversity index, school leaders and
communities will know where they stand. It would
also send a message that we do value students sitting
side by side in the classrooms who come from
different backgrounds. We can understand better what
methods are working for different types of schools
and the public will be more informed about which
schools are succeeding in increasing and maintaining
diversity. Thank you.

MIRIAM NUNBERG: My name is Miriam

Nunberg, and I'm the Co-Founder and Co-leader of the

Brooklyn Urban Garden Charter School. We call

2	ourselves BUGS. Thank you for the opportunity to
3	testify today on such an important civil rights issue
4	facing the New York City schools. I'm speaking to
5	support the passage of resolutions 511 and 453. BUGS
6	is an example of a school with lottery based
7	admissions, a diverse and vibrant student body and an
8	academic program designed to support all learners.
9	We believe that the use of lottery is an effective
10	method of ensuring a student body reflective of the
11	multifaceted population of New York City. We founded
12	our school to address the need for additional high
13	quality middle school seats in our district. We were
14	committed to developing a school based on equitable
15	access, especially since we are located in a district
16	where the DOE middle school application process is
17	dominated by competition for a few selective schools.
18	The demographic study that we conducted as part of
19	our chartering process demonstrated that the local
20	middle school with selective and subjective entrance
21	criteria such as interviews or auditions were all
22	disproportionately white and high income when
23	compared to the district's population. We did not
24	want to contribute to that problem, but rather aim to
25	provide a high quality education to a heterogeneous

2	student body without regard to past performance.
3	Admissions by lottery seem the most direct way to
4	ensure equal access for all applicants and we chose
5	that charter route in part due to the legal
6	obligation that charters accept students via lottery.
7	As a charter, we are required by the state to
8	document our efforts to attract and retain high needs
9	students. We report on our progress in this regard
10	annually and actively seek out students who have
11	disabilities or English language learners or come
12	from backgrounds, from low income backgrounds. We are
13	proud of the fact that we fully welcome students from
14	these categories and serve them well alongside high
15	performers from more privileged backgrounds. The
16	Brooklyn Urban Garden Charter School is currently in
17	its second year of operation. Our student body is 18
18	percent white, 32 percent African-American, 26
19	percent Hispanic, seven percent Asian, and 17 percent
20	mixed race. Approximately 50 percent of our students
21	qualify for free and reduced price lunch, and 27
22	percent receive special education students. Our
23	students reflect a wide range of academic performance
24	levels and run the gamut from very high performers to
25	those below grade level. As educators, we consider

the obligation to differentiate instruction to be at
the core of our professional responsibilities to
students. We designed our program to challenge and
support our vastly different learners in an
integrated, heterogeneous and engaging environment.
As a result of the heterogeneity of our school, a
number of our parents have expressed a real
appreciation for both the diversity of our student
body and our capacity to meet our students where they
are academically and socially. A number of families
with a variety of racial and ethnic compositions have
found a home at our schools, as have those who come
from less traditional family structures. The variety
of backgrounds represented by our student body means
that accepting, appreciating and respecting those
with vastly different life experiences and
perspectives is frequently discussed in our school
community. Harmonizing these perspectives is not
always easy, but we would not have it any other way.
In closing, the Brooklyn Urban Garden Charter School
fully supports the City Council's efforts to promote
increased diversities in the public schools and can
attest to the henefits offered by the use of lottery

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 based admissions and a genuine commitment to serving

3 | all learners.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please?

HALLEY POTTER: My name is Halley Potter, and I'm speaking to you today as a researcher and advisor to the National Coalition of Diverse Charter Schools. Thank you for holding these discussions and bringing much needed attention to diversity in our schools. My colleagues in diverse charter schools and I would like to share our support for Proposed Introduction 511. We believe that having better data on enrollment and diversity is an important first step toward creating integrated schools across the city. However, we encourage the Council to go farther with this legislation is possible. addition to requiring data reporting, we would like to see the Department of Education to define goals for a diverse enrollment and rate every school against those definitions. One district that has developed this sophisticated diversity plan of this kind in recent years is Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools in Tennessee. Nashville now evaluates every school whether district or charter on meeting

2	diversity goals for race and ethnicity, income,
3	language and disability. We also heartedly support
4	Resolution 453. A large body of research shows the
5	academic, social and civic benefits of integrated
6	schools. We would welcome affirmative strategies in
7	each community school district to encourage school
8	diversity. We also hope that these strategies would
9	include providing ways for charter schools and
10	district schools to work together in offering more
11	students the chance to attend integrated schools.
12	New research being released today from the Tapestry
13	Project shows that as a whole, the city's charter
14	schools have a greater level of economic integration
15	than district schools as a result of the random
16	admissions lottery process used in charter school.
17	Two-thirds of charter schools are mixed income,
18	falling within 15 percent of the citywide average for
19	low income enrollment compared to just one-third of
20	district schools. Any new school diversity
21	strategies must address the role that geographic and
22	academic admissions requirements play in perpetuating
23	segregation, and they should provide more
24	opportunities to use lottery based admissions with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preferences aligned to diversity goals when necessary in public schools of all kinds.

ERIC JOERSS: Good afternoon, Mr.

Chairman, Council Member Lander. My name is Eric Joerss. I am the Deputy for Government Affairs at the New York City Charter School Center. interest of time, I won't read my testimony, but will just say a few quick remarks. The Center is supportive of both 511 and 453. We would like to see in 511 a couple of additions. Charter schools are in there, which is perfectly appropriate. Charter schools should be in there. We would also like to see the bill expanded, though, to require the reporting about policy details about the admissions criteria to individual districts and charters. The steps the DOE is taking to prevent socioeconomic bias or favoritism in subjective admissions decisions, and the total estimated time and commitment and time spent and pages submitted required to participate in the admissions process for these given selected schools for this elective district schools. We say this because we know the charter issue is contentious. As charter folk, we hear a lot about our student populations and who we take in. Most of the

2	conversation we've heard today about district schools
3	and how you get in, whether it's the selective,
4	whether it's the middle schools would be absolutely
5	illegal for a charter to do it, right? Charters take
6	in kids who knock on their door, fill out a one page
7	application. That is the law. It is unlike most of
8	the district. That's not to say not since that we're
9	better than you, but simply that we are a more
10	accessible option particularly in lower income
11	communities than quality district schools, and we
12	think that the reporting coming out of your bill,
13	Council Member, would actually show some evidence
14	that way and let us deal a little bit more in data
15	and fact and a little bit less in the kind of
16	slinging and innuendo that too often mark the debate
17	that we oftenthat mark the debate. We also think
18	Council Member Torres's Resolution 453 is a really
19	good idea particularly in that the idea of looking at
20	diversity in rezoning. That's an issue with this
21	council zoning has quite a bit of power, your land
22	use power. That's something that could potentially
23	do a lot of good because we know as people have
24	brought up, segregation in New York City schools is
25	largely real estate driven, and charter schools being

2 unzoned schools are part of the solution to that and don't fall into it. It doesn't matter where a 3 4 charter in, it's accessible to any kid that applies 5 to it and winds up getting in from the lottery, which brings me to the last point, which isn't on the 6 7 legislation, but more of a request. Where we often see Council Members is standing on the other side of 8 a UFP or AQE rally explaining again whey a community 9 does not want a charter school to come in. 10 These are often communities of more means than where the 11 12 average charter schools are, which tend to be in poorer communities, and obviously it is meant that 13 way. This is New York City, but if you look at it 14 15 from the point of view of the parents that do want to 16 attend charters, the people that are running these schools, seeing a bunch of parents stand up and say 17 18 basically, "These kids aren't from our neighborhood and we'd like to keep our building for our kids, 19 20 thank you very much." Doesn't look very nice to those people. It doesn't do a lot of good once those 21 2.2 schools, if they do wind up getting co-located and do 23 have to integrate and share space. This is not to say we are without our warts, that we do everything 24 25 right, that we are always on our best behavior, but

2 there's a role that I think the Council and community
3 leadership can play in making diversity an easier

4 goal to achieve between charter and district and

5  $\|$  within the system as a whole, and we would love to

6 see--we would love for you to keep that in mind when

7 you do stand up against some of these co-locations or

8 | even before co-location, just when the charter does

9 want to come into a neighborhood. Thank you very

10 much.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Mr. Joerss, that is one of the most bizarre twists of statistics that I've heard yet in my Council Committee hearings. By your own admission, you're working in districts, and this is what I hear on the advertisements on radio and television, with mostly black and Latino students.

ERIC JOERSS: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Now you're saying that you're working or you're trying to get into community--I don't get what you're--it is that you're actually saying.

ERIC JOERSS: I can explain it to you if you'd like.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 314
2	ERIC JOERSS: Okay, charter schools
3	tended to start out in Harlem, in the South Bronx and
4	in Central Brooklyn where the districts
5	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So what
6	have you done to increase the diversity in those
7	schools?
8	ERIC JOERSS: where the schools tended to
9	be failing.
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry?
11	ERIC JOERSS: Where the schoolwhere the
12	district schools tended to not be up to the par that
13	a lot of parents want, hence the popularity of
14	charters in those districts. As charters started to
15	look at neighborhoods that weren't traditionally
16	serving those same low income kids we have seen a
17	push back, be it
18	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Not
19	because of the diversity issue
20	ERIC JOERSS: Bay Ridge be
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Wait a
22	minute. Not because of the diversity issue, but
23	because of the overcrowding issue. That's the heart
24	of the push back. You're twisting

ERIC JOERSS: Except--

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 313
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: this argument in a way
3	that's really not statistically true or
4	ERIC JOERSS: You can say that, but the
5	opposition to colocations and to charters coming in
6	has come in schools where the blue book showed there
7	was a lot of room and it has been in fairness in one-
8	_
9	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Listen,
10	it's very hard to get
11	ERIC JOERSS: But the blue book showed
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]
13	statistics to begin with from the Charter School
14	Center on anything.
15	ERIC JOERSS: I don't believe you've ever
16	asked.
17	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It really is. I mean,
18	I can't get numbers. They don't come in. They don't
19	really give testimony here. You know, I'm talking
20	about, you know, especially some of these charter
21	networks, and then to come in and twist this around-
22	let me ask you this question. How many of Eva
23	Moskowitz's kids get into specialized high schools?
24	ERIC JOERSS: That you take delight in

the fact that her kids didn't make the specialized

2	high schools is very bizarre, Councilman. Nobody
2	
3	here is talking
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Excuse
5	me?
6	ERIC JOERSS: about Success Academy. We're
7	talking
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] This
9	hearing is on diversity in the public schools, and
10	it's about a resolution
11	ERIC JOERSS: [interposing] Why would you
12	want to brag about her kids not getting into
13	specialized high schools?
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm asking why.
15	What'syou can help to tell me now why, what we
16	should do to help Eva Moskowitz get her kids into
17	specialized high schools. I think that would be an
18	admirable goal. Why aren't her kids able to get into
19	specialized schools?
20	ERIC JOERSS: I think we've all been in
21	this room long enough to not turn this into a farce.
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What?
23	ERIC JOERSS: So, I'll respectfully

decline to answer that.

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: A farce? Well, I
3	don't you know, that's the first time I've been
4	called having a farce. So, I think you really should
5	reconsider your words, and I think you should
6	reconsider your testimony because much of it is
7	untrue. Thank you very much.
8	ERIC JOERSS: Thank you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: First of all,
12	what I want to say is though indeed you may often see
13	most on the, you know, on the lines
14	ERIC JOERSS: [interposing] And I'm sorry,
15	I didn't mean you specifically.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: UFT, the
17	ERIC JOERSS: Bigger picture.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: The Brooklyn
19	Prospect and BUGS probably see me most at their
20	schools, which I go to regularly in part because they
21	do believe in the diversity goals that they described
22	on the panel, and because they engage with our CEC
23	and with our community in developing their goals,
24	their school, and their integration and inclusion

with our process. On the other hand, at least for

2 mem, it's like a tale of two kinds of charters 3 because at the same time the charter that's proposed next year for District 15, 100 percent of the people 4 that came to testify at the hearing were opposed to 6 They didn't even bother showing up at this one 7 this time. They have targeted the two spaces in the district that we would like to use to create more 8 inclusive diversity and that space will be taken if 9 they seek to enforce their rights under the new state 10 policy that they achieved with some help, and so I 11 12 think you have to understand there is openness, on my 13 part to be sure, and I think your members will attest 14 to it to supporting charters that are part of a 15 community process and value the goals of diversity, 16 but you--the biggest threat in my opinion to the 17 space for inclusive and diverse schools in the space, 18 the scarce space that we have in District 15, is coming from Success at possibly at the expense of one 19 of your own members, but certainly at the expense of 20 the space we need to build out that diversity. So, I 21 2.2 think there really is room for a partnership here, 23 but the broader policy context is a troubling one, and I don't think that's because of the advocacy of 24 Chair Dromm. So, I just want to be clear. 25

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

panel.

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And ditto here. I 3 attended a meeting of District 24, 28 and 30 where Success Academy didn't even bother to show up to 4 explain why they wanted space in the district. So, 5 there's a definite tale of two charters going on 6 7 here. I have a good charter school in my district. This is not anti-charter. It's the Renaissance 8 Charter School. I've spoken with you about that, but 9 you're continued insistence on twisting the facts for 10 many if not most of the charter schools is something 11 12 that I don't think you should really come into this 13 committee and do to be honest with you. I just don't 14 see why you do that. Thank you. Anyway, I 15 appreciate the fact that the panel has come in. 16 Thank you very much. We're going to call the next

ERIC JOERSS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Ayana Bahine [sp?],
Families at Arts and Letters K to Eight, Sarah
Camiscoli, Integrate New York City for Me, Timothy
Martinez, Integrate New York City for Me, Francisco
Correjo [sp?], Integrate New York City for Me, and
Julissa Cruz [sp?], Integrate New York City for Me,
Samantha Ramos, Integrate New York City for Me.

3

4

5

6

O

7

8

9

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alright, good. Let me swear you in. If you'd all raise your right hand, please. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, who would like to start?

SARAH CAMISCOLI: Hi, my name is Sarah Camiscoli and I'd like to thank you for inviting us all to speak today. I'm the Coordinator of Integrate NYC for Me, and I'm an ESL teacher of six through 12<sup>th</sup> graders at Bronx Academy of Letters. possibilities that I believe in for New York City schools are wholeness, inclusion, integration, and equity, and I believe that every individual in this room has a leadership role in achieving those possibilities. To give you some background, Integrate NYC for Me is a project that emerged from these lovely people in my tenth grade advisory. Each week we would meet and students would share what occurred to me as the impact of segregation of people and resources in the New York City Department of Education. They complained of oversized classes,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

teachers with back to back schedules unable to meet regularly for extra help, lack of free space in collocated buildings with both public and charter schools, student's schedules filled with Regents prep classes and requirements and alarming numbers of disciplinary referrals and an overall feeling that they were being treated unjustly. And I, as a teacher who teaches oversized classes and a teacher with back to back programming across six grade levels, as a teacher who struggles to make extra time for help, as a teacher who shares a classroom with four other teachers, and who sometimes feels like referrals are my only option, I felt like they were resisting powerfully against injustice, and I wanted to stand with them and knew I had administration that would support us in doing so. Today I stand here with five powerful, creative, warm, brilliant and young individuals, their amazing parents, and an endlessly supportive administrator. Standing with these brilliant leaders, I can ensure you that the inequities you are reading about in your data are much more about how the abundance of resources and individuals are currently being segregated in the Department of Education rather than any imperfection

or deficiency that may be believed that our schools		
may have. Or, as some have suggested today, lack of		
test prep in our middle school. My hope today is		
that you hear the possibilities and the words of each		
of our student leaders here. I ask you to listen to		
them as you would any Council Member, a policy maker		
or policy leader, and you consider their wisdom as		
you discuss the need for the DOE to prioritize		
diversity, for there to be explicit data reported on		
that progress and for specialized schools, those with		
the most resource and innovation to be made more		
accessible to them and their families. I hope our		
work today provides you with a new framework to		
understand the data, the campaigns and the requests		
that are emerging of you in the wake of our city		
being named the most segregated in terms of our		
educational mechanics. I hope that you can see it is		
in the wisdom of these students and their experiences		
and what they will share today that you will find the		
possibilities of wholeness and integration and a new		
future for your schools. Thank you.		

TIMOTHY MARTINEZ: Good afternoon Council Members and thank you for inviting me to speak. My name is Timothy Martinez. I'm a sophomore at Bronx

2 Academy of Letters. I'm here to represent Integrate 3 NYC for Me. The possibilities I believe in for New 4 York City schools is totally equality across race, 5 class and gender. The reason is because I feel that 6 everyone should have a lot of exposure to many 7 opportunities and people. Why should some schools have more advantages than others? Why should it be 8 up to me to look for extracurricular activities 9 outside my school? Why should other kids have 10 opportunities such as go down their hall and see all 11 12 these opportunities, and I have to travel to get the 13 exact same things even if I get them? There are many 14 reasons to provide total equality across race, class 15 and gender in schools, but the one that I select to 16 talk about today is nutrition. The topic I selected 17 to research on the inequality in New York City 18 schools is nutrition because I will have to say, it is one of the most biggest problems in New York City 19 20 public schools. I sometimes ask myself, why do I have to wake up in the crack of dawn to be in school when 2.1 2.2 I know I won't get provided with a decent meal? 23 is that other students get provided with hot meals and we get provided with frozen and reheated meals 24 for breakfast and lunch? Throughout my research I 25

2.2

opportunity.

found that public schools like mine in the South Bronx have terrible lunches. The free and the reduced lunches that student receive are frozen and defrosted. Many students in my school feel like the food is not fully cooked. Is that right? effects how we can learn in class because the food is not nutritious. We cannot focus. This is a huge issue of inequality. I hope my research and opinion shared today have helped to think about how important it is to address equality of school for all students across race, gender and class. Thank you again for this

SAMANTHA RAMOS: Hello. Good afternoon,
Council Members, and thank you for inviting me to
speak. My name is Samantha Ramos and I am a tenth
grader at Bronx Academy of Letters, and I am a
student intern with Integrate NYC for Me. I believe
that NYC schools are the bedrock for the future of
this nation. The way you raise a human being is the
way that they become. When an educator is teaching a
class they are teaching doctors, presidents,
policeman, lawyers. I dream that we can share the
future of our nation because the future of our nation
is us. I researched music and art. Music and art is

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

what keeps us New Yorkers sane. Music is what the people turn to and art is the way to express. teaches creativity, confidence, perseverance, focus, and collaboration. I live in the Bronx and my school is only two blocks away from where I live. another high school that is one block away from where I live. I did some research on it. My school has two pianos, but we still have no music classes and no band room. The other school I researched did in fact have instruments used and a band room. I believe all students should have music and art. I also think that it is important for New York City Department of Education to make diversity and equality a priority in terms of Resolution 452, because as the future of NYC, we need to practice equality and maintain diversity. The New York City--the future of New York City shouldn't be a society that is composed of hatred and animosity. It should be a society that's used to other people who are like them. To address proposal 442, I feel that it is essential for New York State to change how students are accepted into specialized schools with an abundance of resources and guidance because some kids are rejected, and in the end, all children, all students should have

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

proper resources and guidance. I hope that my assessments and research shared today has impacted and brought us all to a semblance of perception and gratification. Thank you for this opportunity.

JULISSA CRUZ: Good afternoon, Council

Members and thank you for inviting me to speak. My name is Julissa Cruz. I am a sophomore at Bronx Academy of Letters and I am a student intern with Integrate NYC for Me. The dream I have for New York schools is total equality across race, class and gender as well as acceptance in schools of many different types. The topic I selected to research on the inequality in New York schools is girls sports Through my research I found that public schools like mine in the south Bronx don't have many sports teams, especially female sports teams. sports teams that you will find in many schools are basketball, volleyball, baseball and finally, softball. South Bronx public schools also have a lack of funding for uniforms and lack space as well. This is mostly because so many schools have to share their gym. In my school's case, we have to share a whole building, not just a gym, with six different schools. If the Council Member and Department of

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Education want to make schools more equitable [sic], 3 they can improve young women's sports teams by not only having just three sports teams young women can 5 join, but by having other sports teams as well. example, cheerleading. There is not that many cheer 6 7 leading teams in the south Bronx public schools. can also help by giving us funding for new uniforms 8 or spaces to practice so that teams don't have to 9 wait for other schools in the building to get out or 10 finish using the gym. I hope my research and 11 12 opinions shared today have influenced your decisions 13 on whether or not you will change New York City's 14 sports-- New York City south Bronx public schools for 15 the better of all the students, their education and the student's involvement in school. Thank you again 16 17 for this opportunity.

FRANCISCO CORNEJO: Good afternoon, Council Members, and thanks for inviting me speak. My name is Francisco Cornejo [sp?]. I'm in the 10<sup>th</sup> grade at the Bronx Academy of Letters, and I am a student intern with Integrate NYC for Me. possibilities, I believe, for New York City schools is for students that have total equality across race, class and gender. This is important to be because

2	every student should be entitled to the same access
3	and great education. The topic I selected to
4	research on inequality in New York City school is how
5	certain schools have many options for classes which
6	students can take and have are very few. Through my
7	research I found that public schools like mine in the
8	south Bronx, students don't have access to classes
9	that they want to take. I would like to take, for
10	example, music, theater and writing. Instead, almost
11	my whole day is filled with Regent where requirements
12	in Regents prep. Every day I feel useless because I
13	don't get to explore what I want to explore. If the
14	Council and the Department of Education want to make
15	school even more equitable, they can createsorry.
16	They can improve by giving students all options for
17	classes they're interested in. In terms of proposal
18	511A, I think it's important for schools to report
19	their improvement so is increasing diversity and
20	equality because students don't get to explore
21	classes they can't figure out what they want to be in
22	the world. I think it's important for New York City
23	Department of Education to make diversity and
24	equality a priority, because people who don't get
25	this opportunity feel tired in school. It actually

gets in the way of them wanting to do anything. I think it's important for the New York State to change how students are accepted into specialized schools with a lot of resources and support because all students should be able to feel [sic] attracted [sic] to school. I hope my research and opinion today have change the way all you are thinking about giving students the opportunity to have more selections and choices in their education. Thank you for this opportunity.

AYANA BAHINE: Good afternoon and thank you for this opportunity, and thank you for choosing to sit me in a panel with these great children and students. My name is Ayana Bahine [sp?] and among other things I'm a parent of two children at Arts and Letters K to Eight Public School in Fort Green Brooklyn, and I'm here to ask the City Council to work with Arts and Letters and other schools in New York City school system to increase diversity in our public schools. First, by giving Arts and Letters permission to set aside seats for 40 percent low income students in the incoming kindergarten classes after sibling and inclusion preferences are taken into account. And second, that you allow the sibling

2 preference to extend to the current Arts and Letters 3 Middle School students. Today, Arts and Letters is 4 one of the most diverse schools in the city, and we appreciate this diversity and what it gives to our 5 children daily in understanding of themselves and the 6 7 world as well as in their accumulation of skills as classroom learners. We don't want to lose this 8 diversity that we value so highly, and we have to 9 take steps today to make sure that it's diverse 10 tomorrow. The rapidly changing demographics of our 11 12 neighborhood require us to make a conscious effort to 13 keep our school diverse. Arts and Letters is in 14 District 13 and we've seen the success of PS 133 and 15 our CEC in using this set aside to deal with issues 16 of segregation in our schools. We too want that 40 17 percent conscious choice of the K to eight school. 18 We need to make sure that the sibling preference applies to the entire K to eight population. 19 20 already know how important diversity is in a school and in the classroom to boosting achievement for all 2.1 2.2 students, exposure to other races, socioeconomic 23 levels, skill levels, physical abilities. This is the one thing that has always given this city an edge 24 in the world of ideas and problem solving. Arts and 25

2	Letters has 493 students or close to 300 families. We
3	sent a petition to our families yesterday, and we now
4	have 132 signatures, and petition reads in part,
5	"Thank you for undertaking a hearing on resolution
6	proposed to address the critical issue of diversity
7	in our city schools. We, the undersigned parents of
8	Arts and Letters community, are extremely eager to
9	see increases in the movement at the New York City
10	Department of Education to ensure that all New York
11	City schools reflect the diversity of the city in
12	their enrollment and that they be supportedthat we
13	be supported in our efforts to reserve space for
14	black and Latino students, students eligible for free
15	lunch and reduced price lunch." We're eager as a
16	community, as a school community to educate and
17	engage our families in understanding how such
18	policies can benefit the learning of all students
19	enrolled in our school, and with your permission,
20	we'd like to submit our petition along with a paper
21	by the Century Foundation called Boosting Achievement
22	by Pursuing Diversity. Thank you, again for this
23	opportunity to talk and I'm sure you will have no
24	questions for me and focus more on these great
25	students. Thanks.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you. And it's not that we don't have any questions for you. I appreciate your time coming in and stuff. We have like 10 more panels, and that's really the issue for me for time, but I do want to compliment the students for coming in. Where--what school--where is your school located?

TIMOTHY MARTINEZ: 339 Morris Avenue.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In the Bronx. Okay, well I hope that this has been an educational experience for you and that you've gotten to see how the Council works and the connection between politics and education, which is something that took me until I was an adult to really fully realize decisions are made here. Funding decisions are made here. Policy decisions are made here, and I appreciate you coming down and sharing your experiences, because ultimately it's about the students. It's about you and your lives that we're all sitting here now trying to discuss this issue of diversity and hearing firsthand how diversity and issues of diversity impact you in the schools is really very important to me. And I want to say thank you all for coming in. Thank you.

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mr. Chairman, I'd
3	just like the record to reflect this is my favorite
4	panel of the day so far, with respect to all the
5	other excellent panels, really, but not just because
6	you came down, not just because you care about the
7	issue, but to have done the research, to have looked
8	at what it means, to be doing it together, and to
9	think about how to make change. So, thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Okay,
11	Michael Mascetti, Science Schools Initiative, Carlos
12	Guzman, Science Schools Initiative, Valerie Boss,
13	Science Schools Initiative, Tendaye Watkins [sp?],
14	also Science Schools Initiative. Okay, would you
15	raise your right hand so I can swear you in, please?
16	Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth,
17	the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to
18	answer Council Member questions honestly?
19	[off mic]
20	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Who would
21	like to begin?
22	MICHAEL MASCETTI: I'll begin.
23	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

MICHAEL MASCETTI: Good afternoon Council

Members and staff. My name is Michael Mascetti, and

2	I am an Elder Law Attorney and Executive Director of
3	a 501C3 not for profit organization called the
4	Science Schools Initiative. I was born and raised in
5	Queens. I am a graduate of Stuyvesant High School,
6	class of 2002, Fordham University and the CUNY School
7	of Law. I have come here today to speak about my
8	passion, teaching algebra to 12 year olds on Saturday
9	and Sunday mornings. In 2006 and 2007 I founded the
10	Science Schools Initiative with a fellow Stuyvesant
11	High School alumnus. Having privately tutored for
12	the specialized high school admissions test for many
13	years prior, we set out to start a program targeted
14	at families who could not afford high quality
15	preparation for the SHSAT, but who had children with
16	the potential to do well on this exam with eight to
17	ten months of tutoring. All of our tutors are
18	graduates of the specialized high schools and have
19	had years of experience preparing students for
20	difficult exams. All of our tutors have had the
21	unique experience of preparing for this exam at 12
22	and 13 years old and know how to inspire kids to
23	attend their Alma Maters. Former Council Member
24	Robert Jackson, Mr. Dromm's predecessor as Chair of
25	the Education Committee had a daughter who attended

2	the Bronx High School of Science. When we met with
3	Council Member Jackson in 2007, he immediately shared
4	our view that there is many intellectually gifted
5	students on 177 <sup>th</sup> Street as there are on East 86 <sup>th</sup>
6	Street. Council Member Jackson helped us obtain space
7	from Columbia University Medical Center where we have
8	held classes for the last seven years. He also
9	helped us print us our books and gave us substantial
10	discretionary funding every year that he served on
11	the council since 2007. We have since received
12	funding from Council Members Ydanis Rodriguez, Mark
13	Levine and Melissa Mark-Viverito. These funds have
14	been the lifeblood of our small but determined
15	tutoring organization. This past October we finished
16	two programs with 40 students at our Washington
17	Heights location and 30 students in Brooklyn where we
18	tutor students at the Brooklyn Tech Alumni Foundation
19	STEM pipeline program. Because we draw students from
20	neighborhoods that are composed of predominantly
21	African-American and Latino families, the
22	overwhelming majority of our students are African-
23	American or Latino. Every year, at least 41 percent
24	of our students have been admitted to the specialized
25	high schools. Although we are a small program, every

2	year African-American and Latino students who
3	participated in the Science Schools Initiative
4	Program have entered Stuyvesant High School, the
5	Bronx High School of Science, Brooklyn Tech, the High
6	School for American Studies, and the High School for
7	Math, Science and Engineering. In addition, we have
8	learned that our families need extensive support to
9	guide them through these screened public high
10	school's admissions process. So we now spend almost
11	entire year educating our families on this
12	complicated high school admissions system. Our focus
13	is on getting kids into and more importantly
14	preparing kids for top public high schools where we
15	know they will succeed and eventually move on to top
16	colleges. While we educate students and their
17	families on high school admissions and teach students
18	reading comprehension, logic, time management, and
19	study skills, the majority of our program focuses on
20	developing our student's understanding of mathematics
21	so that they are prepared for the rigorous
22	mathematical problem solving skills demanded by the
23	SHSAT and by the specialized high schools themselves,
24	which are most all specialized STEM schools. Over our
25	eight to 10 month program, we provide advanced

2 enrichment for students who want to soar, and we identify and support students who need extra one on 3 one and small group tutoring support or even testing 4 5 accommodations. Our program is very long compared to 6 most private test prep centers because we need the 7 time to look at what kids have learned and address their fundamental deficiencies in essential academic 8 knowledge. One year we were invited into a school 9 which was forced into a turnaround model because of 10 low student performance on the state math and ELA 11 12 We spent Saturdays providing enrichment to 13 the honors class at this school in Norwood in the Bronx. What I saw at this school was shocking. These 14 15 students had 90 plus averages and were bright 16 students, yet they struggled to do simple arithmetic 17 problems like adding two-sevenths and four-ninths. 18 These students were not being challenged in a way that matched their innate level of high ability. 19 20 Even more troubling, the students and their parents did not know how far behind they were from middle 21 2.2 school students in other parts of the city. Math is 23 a particularly sequential subject. If you do not master a concept in the fourth grade and no one 24 addresses that knowledge deficiency, that deficiency 25

2	will haunt you as you struggle in the ninth grade and
3	throughout high school. I believe that math is a
4	subject where many kids begin to fall behind, feel
5	stupid and lose confidence in their talents and
6	abilities. Middle school math is particularly
7	critical. This is when students learn about algebra,
8	and it is when many kids begin to approach a point
9	where their cumulative knowledge deficiencies become
10	too great for most of them to overcome. Diversity is
11	a very important goal, but it is also important that
12	we do not set up students for failure. The reality
13	is that there are many middle schools throughout the
14	city that have no students who are prepared to do the
15	type of school work demanded by the specialized high
16	school. In 2004, only 2.1 percent of African-
17	American public schools eighth graders and 2.8
18	percent of Latino eighth graders were high performing
19	scorers on the state math exam. That's from the
20	Department of Education website, by the way. This is
21	appalling, and it receives virtually no attention.
22	We need to support all students who have high
23	academic potential. Instead, we focus most all of
24	our attention on preventing drop-outs and focusing on
25	not leaving any child behind, leaving families to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

fend for themselves if they have an intellectually gifted but unchallenged child. The city government should make a decision that additional academic support for academically gifted students is important. I implore you to focus greater resources on supporting extracurricular academic programs in underserved communities. I have learned over the last seven years running the Science Schools Initiative that there are hundreds of students and parents in upper Manhattan alone who are thirsty for a rigorous extracurricular academic program, but such programs are few and far between. Kids want to be supported but also challenged. Preparing for that specialized high school admissions test is a way for students to strive to improve their academic abilities. I ask each of you to stand up and support additional educational opportunities and to vote no on Resolution 442, which is a false solution to a significant educational problem. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

VALERIE BOSS: Hello. My name is Valerie Boss, and I am a parent of two children that have gone through the specialized high schools. The

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

women even less, and my daughters will be two of the ones to go forward. Thank you.

CARLOS GUZMAN: My name is Carlos Guzman.

I have two sons. They went to Brooklyn Tech. first son went on 1980's. Here after [inaudible 06:36:17] from Columbia. My second son is at present time is in 12<sup>th</sup> grade in Brooklyn Tech. As a Latino I never feel a victim of the system. I always try to teach my sons to be winners. So, I think that you trying to help us, the Latinos, to improve in the education system, but I think we need to ask the parents, every parents, to participate in the education of the kid's. Today I found an article from November 4, where the wife of the Mayor saying here that Bill de Blasio, the decision [sic] for him to get a classroom solving problems is what's to be a partners [sic] of the education of the vision [sic]. So what I'm trying to say there is that because of the parent has to be a partner in the education of the kids, not only the systems try to put--making them as the victims of their situation or the result of the exams. So my present time is that I am opposed to what you are trying to do to this test because we are Latino or because we are African-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

American. I don't believe that you feeling sorry for myself because I'm Latino is make me better education for my sons. Thank you.

TENDAYE WATKINS: Good afternoon to the

Council Members. Chairman, I applaud your stamina for today, it's been an incredibly long one. My name is Tendaye Watkins [sp?], and I'm a parent and an education advocate. I have come here to ask each of you and even your Council Members that are not present today to vote no to Resolution 442. I bring the story of a young man named Teresi [sp?] whose an eighth grader at Excellence Boys Charter School in Bedford Stuyvesant, Brooklyn. He spend the last nine months from March 2<sup>nd</sup> to October 19<sup>th</sup> of this year traveling alone, round trip two and a half hours for a three hour class. He sacrificed 30 Sundays and spent 90 class hours, not counting study time, preparing for the SHSAT. He did this with no summer break and concurrently maintained his regular school workload, earning strong marks and going to school essentially six days a week. This scholar is motivated. He's high achieving. He's quick witted and he has a very strong work ethic. He's also 12 years old. He scored fours on his math and English

2	state exams two years in a row. I'm talking six and
3	seventh grade. And he lives in District 16, which
4	has no gifted and talented programming, has no
5	rigorously academic challenging academic enrichment
6	programming, and as far as I know, no magnet
7	programming that prepares students for college
8	preparatory work. What he did have was a persistent
9	mother, one who scoured the internet to find
10	affordable test preparation, but there was none. But
11	what I did find was a one page or two page website
12	for the Science Schools Initiative Program. This
13	program serves Title One students in upper Manhattan.
14	Remember, now, I lived in Brooklyn. And it relies on
15	donations and has no permanent site, so it's
16	switching between Columbia University Medical Center
17	all the way on 168 <sup>th</sup> Street and between a public
18	schools on 135 <sup>th</sup> Street. This program is run by Mr.
19	Mascetti and a small group of dedicated specialized
20	high school alumni and current students who believe
21	in equal access for well qualified and deserving
22	students from low income backgrounds. My son,
23	Teresi, was blessed to be one of the 40 kids to
24	successfully complete this program and sit for the
25	SHSAT this past October. And he sat for it with

2	confidence, ready and prepared to meet the challenge
3	that is the three hour exam for one shot at a free
4	selective education. This program, the Science
5	Schools Initiative, was critical and met my son at
6	his current academic abilities and elevated his
7	skills, strengthening his capacity to be strategic
8	and focused during a high stakes exam. The demand
9	for programming like this in Bedford Stuyvesant,
10	Brooklyn is incredibly high in District 16, but there
11	is no one to meet the need. I implore the City
12	Council Members present here today to understand that
13	a commitment to diversity is appropriate, but
14	enacting legislation that funds proven, scalable and
15	sustainable programs like the Science Schools
16	Initiative will have a longer lasting and far greater
17	impact on students and the families that you each
18	serve. Changing the criteria for admissions to mimic
19	selective boarding or private day schools where other
20	factors beyond test performance are taken into
21	account, in my estimation as a parent of 25 years, is
22	a grave mistake with far reaching consequences, and I
23	appeal to each one of you to recognize and change
24	what is unequal in our educational system, which is
25	equal access. Black and Hispanic children when

2 provided with the same level of quality resources of 3 teachers, curriculum, materials, and funding, technology can become well qualified students and 4 will not just show incremental gains in performance 5 for significant and sustained performance, but again, 6 7 it requires equal access and consistent supports. all know that New York City Department of Education 8 operates the largest school system in the country 9 with a 20.6 billion operating budget, and we're in 10 the Empire State where citizens in the five boroughs 11 12 pay disproportionately higher taxes than other 13 municipalities in our state, and our children all 14 across New York City do not receive equal school 15 funding so that truly no child is left behind. I know 16 y'all know this. I know y'all agree. I'm not telling 17 you anything new, right? But currently as it stands, 18 the majority of black and Hispanic children are at a marked academic disadvantage to their Asian, Indian 19 20 and white peers. It will become increasingly hard for these students to be academically competitive 21 2.2 unless all Council Members do something today, work 23 together, develop a comprehensive and a cohesive plan that utilizes existing infrastructures and resources 24 25 and implements it in a phased approach to equal

_	-	
$^{\circ}$	Λ	1
. )	4	١

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 346
2	access. That doesn't require a study. Take
3	actionable and quantifiable plan to Governor Cuomo,
4	and please remember that today's high school
5	experience is unlike anything else that anyone in
6	this building has ever experienced. It's longer, it's
7	harder. It requires more. I firmly believe that
8	education is the best long term economic investment
9	that anyone can make and that a high quality
10	education is the foundation for every child to grow,
11	prosper and contribute to a positive society. I
12	think that a five point plan, which I've outlined
13	here, I won't go into it in the interest of time, is
14	appropriate. And I thank the City Council, Chairman
15	and members for their time and willingness to hear
16	the voice of the people today. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you very
18	much to the panel, and also Mr. Mascetti, did I say
19	that
20	MICHAEL MASCETTI: It's Mascetti.
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Mascetti.
22	MICHAEL MASCETTI: Yes, silent C.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, silent. Thank you for your testimony also. I could relate to it, although I don't agree with your conclusions,

23

24

obviously, if you heard my statements prior, but you
do bring up one thing, "Math is particular sequential
subject. If you do not master a concept in the fourth
grade and no one addresses that knowledge deficiency,
that deficiency will haunt you, struggleit will
haunt you as you struggle in the ninth grade and
throughout high school." And then you said a little
bit further down in your testimony, "It's also
important that we do not set students up for
failure." Now, I have to tell you, I was teaching
when the Bloomberg Administration was in office, and
the math program that they were using, Everyday Math,
did not allow you to go back to do review, and so if
a child did not get a concept, you had to continue to
move on, and that was the end of that, and that's
also a big reason why students don't have theif you
lose it in fourth grade, you're not going to be able
to do it in ninth grade, and I just want to thank you
for pointing that out, and I believe that is part of
the set up for failure as well.

MICHAEL MASCETTI: Councilman, I thought about being a math teacher instead of a lawyer at various times throughout my life, and I think that would be one of the biggest frustrations that I would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to deal with, seeing kids who have missed something in the earlier grades and not being able to go back and address those deficiencies because, you know, they're tied to a curriculum that's planned down to the day what they need to teach. So, I agree with you on that point.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And that's exactly the way it was under the former administration, which is why teachers need to be allowed to have some professional discretion in terms of how they address teaching of materials in the classroom. So, I want to thank you for coming in, and we need to move onto the next panel. Thank you everybody for all your comments. Michael Hilton from Poverty and Race Research Action Council, Kamala Carmen [sp?], New York City Public, Jimmy Wah [sic] Lee from Brooklyn Asian Community Empowerment, Steve Chung from United Chinese Association of Brooklyn Embrace, and Glyn Caddell from Staten Island Technical High School Alumni Association. Okay, if you'd raise your right hand I'd like to swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSO

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, who will start?

Let's let our ladies start.

KAMALA CARMEN: Hi, my name is Kamala Carmen, and I'm a parent of two public school children, and I'm also the Deputy Director of NYC Public, which is a parent advocacy group. preparing to write this testimony, I decided to look up the demographics of my zoned elementary school, which also happens to be in Council Member Landers. In advertently I clicked on an elementary school with the identical PS number, but in a different borough. By totally random match up yielded a picture of such stark differences. One school had a population that was 72 percent white, while white students made up just one percent of the other school. Nine percent of the children at one school qualified for free lunch as opposed to nearly all students, 98 percent at the other. I grew up on the south and started public schools in the 60's. My elementary school back then started out as racially isolated as the schools in this example, but by the time I graduated, court ordered busing had begun. As a result, the schools I attended in the deep south for most of my

2	pre-college life were more integrated than schools
3	most New York City kids attend in 2014. This is
4	scandalous for any number of reasons. For starters,
5	and as I'm sure it has been mentioned here before,
6	research shows that student academic performance for
7	all students rises in integrated settings. But
8	striving for diverse schools goes beyond academics.
9	The racial tensions that we're seeing in our judicial
10	process and in our streets will certainly not be
11	eased if we cannot even bring the youngest of us
12	together. So while I am glad that the de
13	Blasio/Farina DOE has decided that it's better to
14	support so-called failing schools than to close them,
15	partially because closing schools has often caused
16	harm not only to those schools, but to other schools
17	who are overwhelmed by the influx of needy students
18	the shutter schools pass along to them, I do think
19	the community schools model is enough if it means
20	that those schools remain racially and
21	socioeconomically isolated. There must be a
22	consorted effort to think about how to make schools
23	more diverse, even if this means shaking up the
24	status quo and moving away from a zoned only view of
25	how to assign students to elementary schools. At

2	this juncture, you'd be correct to say, however, that
3	moving away from zones at the middle and high school
4	level, a practice instituted in New York City during
5	the Bloomberg years, has not resulted in more diverse
6	schools. I would argue that it's because the
7	Bloomberg Anti-zoning [sic] was set up as a blind
8	choice model, and that model in which parents are
9	charged with negotiating a bewildering complex
10	admissions process favors those families like my own
11	with the time to tour multiple schools and the savvy
12	to figure out the optimal way to rank their choices.
13	In practice, this is meant that those in the know who
14	tend to be better off financially have concentrated
15	their sites in a narrow band of schools. These
16	schools then become pockets of the middle class,
17	which is often correlated to race, while other
18	schools remain places of concentrated poverty, which
19	also frequently correlates to race. It is a vicious
20	cycle as those schools with the neediest students
21	find themselves over taxed and that's less appealing
22	to the better off, and those with the least needy
23	populations become even more attractive because they
24	are able to build up their schools through
25	fundraising volunteer time, etcetera. This

2	stratification also sadly true of existing unzoned
3	elementary schools. Before the Bloomberg years,
4	Community Education Council One, as Lisa Donlan spoke
5	about earlier, which is unzoned had fewer racially
6	isolated schools. Blind choice changed that. My
7	child's schools, PS 146 The Brooklyn New School, an
8	unzoned school that draws from several Brooklyn
9	districts had a more diverse student body before the
10	city's introduction of the blind choice pre-k lottery
11	in 2008. More recently, the blind choice
12	kindergarten connect process, which was rammed
13	through in the last month of Bloomberg's tenure
14	without so much as a public hearing and implemented
15	for the first time under Carmen Farina's watch
16	appears to have eroded diversity at BNS even further.
17	Kindergarten Connect, a massive student assignment
18	vehicle which falsely promises city parents 900
19	choices for kindergarten was not designed with an eye
20	towards mitigating the city's growing segregation.
21	There are ways to remedy this. I'm not going to go
22	through them all because other people have talked
23	about them, but they range from keeping the blind
24	choice, but then helping people with the choice
25	process through maybe providing navigators like Obama

2	Care provides for healthcare to controlled choice
3	like Michael Alves talked about to individual school
4	plans. Like, BNS has one. Now my daughter's school.
5	Middle and high schools could also improve diversity
6	by become EDOP [sic] schools, EDOP schools which were
7	once more abundant in the city than they are now have
8	admissions formulas that reserve some spots for
9	academically [sic] high, low and on-target achievers.
10	In closing, I would like to come back to my own
11	education. I said that the schools I attended were
12	more diverse than New York City schools, but it would
13	be false to infer from that that I sat in classrooms
14	that were integrated. Tracking was so intense that
15	it would all been ensured that kids remained
16	segregated by race and class and their "honors or
17	remedial classes." Rather than expanding gifted and
18	talented programs or other screened admissions
19	schools, New York City DOE should be encouraging
20	school leaders to adopt curricula and methodologies
21	that allow all children to succeed. This means that
22	schools may have to give some extra thought about how
23	to work with students who are coming in with
24	different strengths, meeting them where they are,
25	cultivating those strengths and addressing their

2.2

deficits. The schools at the New York Performance
Standards Consortium provide a good model in this
regard. They have an excellent track record of
educating a diverse student body via inquiry and
projected based learning and using rigorous but nonstandardized forms of student assessment. It's
schools like these not the no excuses charter chains
whose rigid disciplinary codes and test focused
classes require massive advertising campaigns to draw
the middle class that we should be looking to raise
the votes [sic] in which all our children fail.

GLYN CADDELL: Thank you. Thank you for letting me speak today and for taking the time to listen. My name is Glyn Caddell, and I'm representing the Staten Island Technical High School Alumni Association. As a graduate of Staten Island Tech and active Alumni Association member I can offer some valuable insight into the effects of using multiple criteria as opposed to an objective entrance exam, the SHSAT. Staten Island didn't always use SHSAT for admission into the school. Prior to 2005, admission was based on multiple criteria. Using multiple criteria, the 2002 freshman population was 82 percent white. Today, using SHSAT the freshman

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

class is only 57 percent white. Also, according to the education website Chalkbeat.org, Tech had 13 students who had individualized education plans or required special services out of the total of 1,100 students. When multiple criteria was used, that number was zero. The use of the SHSAT actually resulted in a dramatic increase in diversity. I'm also proud to say that as a result of the use of SHSAT and the hard work of the teachers and students, Staten Island Tech was recently ranked number six and number five in the country by Newsweek and Needs [sic] respectively. The current students prove on a daily basis that they deserve to be at Staten Island Tech. The students voluntarily fill their schedules with AP classes, theater projects, sports, after school clubs, and even internships. The use of the objective SHSAT has contributed to an increase in academic achievement by the school. The admissions process works. We should not compromise recent successes of the school by altering the admissions process. Increased representation of black and Hispanic in Tech is something I would like to see done, but we shouldn't rig the admission process in way to get that result. The right way to do it

2	involves a little bit of effort. We should improve
3	education in failing elementary and intermediate
4	schools that are predominantly black and Hispanic.
5	We should raise awareness of the test and the
6	specialized high schools years before the students
7	have to take the test. We can make the test
8	mandatory and offer it on a school day rather than
9	over the weekend, and we could expand the DREAM SHSI
10	program, which offers free SHSAT preparation for
11	qualifying students. Let's not make changes that
12	would damage the integrity of Staten Island Tech and
13	the other specialized high schools. I would like to
14	end with an excerpt written by another Staten Island
15	Tech Graduate, Maggie Fox. She's a proud Hispanic
16	graduate and asked me to present this to you. Here
17	are her words. "The Mayor's opinion that the process
18	needs to be made easier for the underrepresented
19	population is insulting. The Mayor's implying that
20	blacks and Hispanics need extra help to get into
21	these schools and the lack of test prep creates an
22	uneven playing field. The idea of making a process
23	like this one easier for a student because of race
24	undermines the accomplishments of students of these
25	underrepresented races that are accepted. This plan

2.2

has the appearance of a handout and ignores the
actual problem. The key to understanding why out of
all the students who took the SHSAT last year and
were admitted into specialized high schools, only
seven percent were black and five percentseven
percent Hispanic and five percent black is not
analyzing admission process or the test. The key is
seeing why these students are not making it at these
schools requires going back to the educational
beginnings. Students need to have a strong
educational foundation for success. It's clear that
many students in low income and highly minority
populated areas are not getting a fair education."
Okay, and I'll just cut it short for purpose of time,
but thank you.

MICHAEL HILTON: My name is Michael

Hilton, and I'm a Policy Analyst at the Poverty and

Race Research Action Council, and I'm here today to

speak on behalf of the National Coalition on School

Diversity. The National Coalition on School

Diversity is a network of national civil rights

organizations, university based research centers and

state and local coalition working to expand support

for government policies that promote school diversity

2	and reduce racial isolation. We also support the
3	work of state and local school diversity
4	practitioners. Our work is informed by an advisory
5	panel of scholars and academic researchers whose work
6	relates to issues of equity, diversity and
7	desegregation. I encourage you to check out our
8	website at www.school-diveristy.org. It has a wealth
9	of resources. The ongoing re-segregation of United
10	Statesof school in United States has resulted in
11	increasingly unequal distribution of educational
12	opportunities throughout the nation with the academic
13	performance of low income and minority students
14	suffering as a result. Taking steps to understand
15	and increase racial and economic diversity in schools
16	can be an effective method of countering this
17	disturbing trend. A significant body of academic
18	research indicates that low income and minority
19	students exhibit better academic performance in
20	diverse rather than in segregated school settings.
21	Economically diverse schools may also have greater
22	access to fundraising resources as well as greater
23	distribution of political influence, which can
24	potentially result in a more equitable distribution
25	of educational resources and greater gains for

students. Furthermore, the benefits of diversity in
schools are not restricted to minority students.
Research shows that a diversity educational setting
can lead to improved critical thinking skills and
better academic performance in non-minority students.
Nationwide, racial and poverty concentration in
schools has been on the rise with the average student
experiencing a greater degree of racial isolation
than was seen as far back as 1970. In particular,
schools in New York State and New York City have been
extremely segregated. The high rates of segregation
in New York City schools are particularly disturbing
since New York is such a diverse city. Fortunately,
New York City can use this enormous diversity to
better serve its students, and the National Coalition
believes that the three items being discussed today
are a good first step in doing so. Thank you for
your time.
JIMMY J.I. Good afternoon My name Jamie

Lee. I'm the Executive Director of Berber [sic]
United Association, a member organizations of
Brooklyn Asian Community Empowerment, BRACE. BRACE is
an umbrella organization that consists of 30
nonprofits, [inaudible 06:59:10] Association,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 so every student is special for our kids. So, thank
3 you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

STEVE CHUNG: Hi, good morning. Oh, actually, it should be good evening now. My name is Steven Chung. I represent United Chinese Association of Brooklyn, a association consisting about 2,000 members and also speaking for BRACE, which is umbrella organization with more than 30 community based organizations. I'm here to oppose the Resolution 442, which is to change the current SHSAT test system. I agree that our current specialized high school are severely underrepresented with Latino and African-American student, yet the school are amazingly diverse with students coming from all over the world with different religions, speaking different language and drastic difference in economic background. I agree that the current test is not the best method. Never the less, it produce 14 Nobel Prize winners, most among our country. [inaudible 07:02:07] the current test system results are totally transparent, no favoritism involved, and students selection are solely based on merit and performance.

2 And the specialized high schools are not for rich 3 students. And based on Board of Education data, since 2006, low income student in Brooklyn Tech shot 4 up from 29 percent to more than 60 percent and 5 Stuyvesant is from 18 percent to 29 percent. It is 6 7 the poor student who value education as the best path to success and work hard to earn their privilege into 8 these specialized high school. And then why Latino 9 and African-American are underrepsented in the 10 specialized high schools is because our junior high 11 12 school system fails to educate them. In 2013, New 13 York State exam English, English exam result show 14 that less than four percent of Latino and African-15 American eighth grader are at level four, and the 16 math exam is less than three percent, and the data 17 clearly explain their low enrollment percentage, and 18 the real solution is to increase the admission rate is to push up the academic proficiency. Let's forget 19 20 about the competition among our student racial 2.1 background in the admission test. We must face the 2.2 fact that we are living in a world of globalization, 23 and our students are not only competing locally but are competing student globally. Our high school 24 performance had already fallen behind two countries 25

like Singapore and Belgium, and we are losing many of
our high tech job overseas. And New York City is the
most important city in our country, and the education
now our children are the foundation of our nation's
future, and this is the time that our legislator and
leader must take action to regain our world's
leadership in education. We must reform our
education policy, retrain our teacher and provide
equal access education to our children and motivate
them to work harder, and convince our parent that
education is the road to success. We must expand the
current test system by building more specialized high
schools to accept more student. So, don't change it.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I just want to--I want to say thank you to the panel. Thank you for coming in. We still have an awful lot of people to get to. So, thank you. Thank you very much. Our next panel is Jan DeVore--Jim DeVore, Elizabeth Eilaender [sp?], sorry if I'm not saying your name correctly, V.J. Argawalla [sp?], Melanie Farrah [sp?], Coalition Bronx Science Alumni, Pamela Skinner, Black and Browns of the Big Three Inc., and Richard Young. Okay, I'm going to bring up Doctor

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Ivan Conn [sp?]. Is he still here? Okay, and Stanley Umstein [sp?], Bronx High School of Science.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright, while we're getting--no, because I have to swear everybody in at the same time. Is Samuel Rob here? Sammy? Gone, okay. Frank Robitazi [sp?]? Gone. Sue Schneider? Okay, great. And Deborah Carland [sp?]? She's gone. Leah Silverman? Alright, so we're going to hold onto that. Sue Schneider is here, right?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, alright. onto that. Alright. And who are you representing, sir?

UNIDENTIFIED: Deborah Carland.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So the person who's representing Deborah Carland, I want to ask you to fill out a slip as well as a technicality. For your own--with your own name. And what's your name, sir? Alright, okay. George Lee, thank you. Alright, so let's start over here. Let me swear you in. If you'd all raise your right hand. Do you solemnly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Okay, thank you. Yeah, you can begin.

JIM DEVORE: Okay, my name is Jim DeVore.

I'm a past President of Community Education Council for District 15 and except for a reference from Councilman Lander, apparently the anonymous founder of the PS 133 plan, but be that as it may, that's not what I'm here to talk about today. Let me tell you a little bit about myself, who I am, my family is, and where we are. I am probably the oldest graduate of Stuyvesant testifying here today. I am also the proud parent of a daughter whose SHSAT scores would have given her entry into every single SHSAT school except for Stuyvesant. So, and furthermore, I believe in the efficacy and appropriateness of rigorous academic high schools that are selective. Having heard all that, let me tell you why I'm here-what I speak here today about. I am here in critical support of 442 and a full-throated of David Bloomfield's position, that is the abolition of the SHSAT. I, like David Bloomfield, am somewhat worried or skeptical about creating specific criteria on the

2	law because in point of fact, what we have found is
3	every time politicians have gotten involved in this
4	process, they've botched it up. I'll just give you a
5	simple example. One of the mostwhat I wanted to
6	discuss today more importantly is New York knows how
7	to create outstanding public schools high schools
8	that are selective, that are academically rigorous
9	and diverse. And for example, the school that my
10	daughter attends, Bard [sic] High School Early
11	College is approximately one-third black and
12	Hispanic. By the way, in contrast to the prior
13	panel's Staten Island Tech person who indicated what
14	a successful diversity operation, I would dare say
15	Staten Island Tech is probably the most racially
16	segregated in the city of New York, at least relative
17	of the black and Hispanic populations. It is under
18	three percent there. That is simply just not
19	acceptable. The main villain in this piece it seems
20	to me is Stanley Kaplan [sp?], and I'm fairly serious
21	about that. When the law is passed, when Hecht
22	Calandra was passed, there was no industry creating
23	specialized high school test taker population. There
24	is now, and Kaplan improved, for example, with SAT
25	scores that he raise them, not bywhich are

2	purportedly aptitude tests, that he could raise them
3	considerably just as the mills in Flushing have
4	proven that you can train children how toor the
5	Mills private tutors, you can train a child how to do
6	well on the SHSAT. When that is true, it is not a
7	measure of aptitude. It's a measure of something
8	else. Hecht Calandra was not intended to have the
9	smartest kids or the highest achieving kids, let me
10	rephrase that, get into the specialized school. It
11	was "the smartest kids," the ones that had the best
12	aptitude. That is noit can no longer fulfill that
13	mission under an SHSAT exam. Where there is some
14	degree ofwhere you can use and exam, for example,
15	would be like ELA and math scores. As I said, one of
16	the examples that I would give is Townsend Harris has
17	aTownsend Harris is basically the identical
18	achievement population as Stuyvesant has a black and
19	Hispanic population five times greater than
20	Stuyvesant, five times greater. Schools like Bard
21	are one-third black and Hispanic. Beacon is 39
22	percent black and Hispanic. Schools like Scholars
23	Academy in Rockaway are approximately 30, which are
24	very high achieving schools. The Global School for
25	excuse me. The Baccalaureate School for Global

2	Education, which I believe is in your district, Mr.
3	Chairman, also have very substantial populations of
4	black and Hispanic students and they are high
5	achieving schools by any definition. Given the
6	failure that the SHSAT's demonstrated, that it cannot
7	come up with a equitable means of selecting children
8	based on their talents, it should be abolished. And
9	furthermore, I would suggest that even as Bloomfield
10	has argued that leaving it to the sound educational
11	judgment of the administrations of those schools is
12	far better than almost any other alternative. I look
13	at the political background, the egregious
14	segregation that takes place in District Two, which
15	is a politically determined segregation system that
16	is most outrageous has Baruch with a 85 percent black
17	and Hispanicexcuse me, 85 percent white and Asian
18	population and a 15 percent black and Hispanic
19	population where three blocks down the street Village
20	Academy, which is also a selective school is 90
21	percent black and Hispanic. That's a function of the
22	politics that said that those district were
23	politically connected and could maintain their
24	segregated status. Get out of it. Stay out of it.
25	Just givemaintain high performing schools, and let

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 369
the local administrations determine how they can
admit them. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next,
please.
SUE SCHNEIDER: Okay. I'm Sue
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Get that
mic
SUE SCHNEIDER: I'm Sue Schneider, former
Advertising/Creative Director, Director of Remedial
Reading School for Adults with Disabilities, and my
daughter attended Stuyvesant. And you and will
remain friends even though we're on opposite
diametrically opposed sides. When Stuyvesant's
former Principal Stan Tytell [sp?] asked me to create
new recruitment handouts, one of his primarily goals
was to attract black and Latino students. He knew I
was passionate about wanting to expand diversity at
Stuyvesant. He called me in. His Assistant
Principal, Eleanor Archie, made sure that we
connected with underrepresented minorities. We
created literature that was specifically targeted to

try to reach out to students of color, and working

with Stuyvesant's alumni group we produced several

pieces. While we were doing this, we were focusing

23

24

2 on minority recruitment, the press criticized the 3 elitist SHSAT schools for low minority admissions. The NAACP sued. The DOE cut test prep. Only 4 selected students could participate in the DREAM 5 6 program via lottery. The Discovery Program's 7 parameters were changes. Five additional schools recently added to the three Hecht Calandra schools 8 complicated choice should a student risk choosing 9 Stuyvesant as a his number one school or choose a 10 safer less selective school. At the high school 11 12 fairs we learned many middle school counselors 13 weren't identifying or counseling bright students to 14 apply to specialized schools. Often, students 15 weren't even told about the SHSAT. Consider the 16 proactive, well-informed approach to SHSAT prep and 17 the application process that's prevalent in white and 18 Asian dominant middle school. Is it equitable? but before you blame the SHSAT and change the policy 19 consider that the vast majority of predominantly 20 black and Latino lower and middle schools don't 2.1 2.2 prepare students to qualify for or survive four 23 excruciatingly challenging years at Bronx Science, Brooklyn Tech, Stuyvesant, or any of the SHSAT 24 These schools are not right for everyone, 25 schools.

2 and when they're the wrong fit they can be painful.

3 I never could have survived Stuyvesant, but the SHSAT

4 isn't the enemy it's portrayed as. For measuring

5 whether eighth graders have skills needed to navigate

6 these highly competitive schools, I believe the SHSAT

7 | is actually quite a successful tool. So why blame

8 the test for the high school's racial imbalance

9 rather than fixing the middle schools so they teach

10 capable eighth graders geometry, algebra and critical

11 | thinking. I'm going to skip over some of this

12 | because we're all tired, but my fear is if we go in

13 | and we change the policy right now, we lower the

14 difficulty of the test, we add additional criteria,

15 the schools as we know them for decades and for

16 generations will no longer exist, and that would be

17 | just a travesty. Please don't replace the SHSAT.

18 | Improve the middle schools so our children of color

19 qualify for the education all of our children

20 deserve, and don't cheat the children.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next,

22 please.

21

23 | ELIZABETH EILAENDER: I had good morning.

24 | I switched it to good afternoon, so now we're at good

25 evening.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 372
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It may be goodnight
3	soon.
4	ELIZABETH EILAENDER: Chair Dromm and
5	Council Member Lander, thank you for staying at this
6	late hour. I have to say this is my first time here,
7	and I'm a little disappointed. I didn't realize that
8	the full committee or at least one other committee
9	member besides the Chair would be here, but be that
10	as it may, thank you. I am disappointed by that, but
11	nevertheless, I'm here on behalf of my grandfather
12	who graduated from Stuyvesant in 1938. My father

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Oh, and can you just state your name?

graduated from Brooklyn Tech in 1960.

ELIZABETH EILAENDER: Elizabeth Eilaender [sp?]. I apologize. And my daughter is a senior at Council Member Chin's Alma Mater, Bronx Science. I just went to some nondescript suburban high school in New Jersey. In any event, it was good enough. I ended up going to Dartmouth, but anyway. I'm here to talk about the proposed bill in the state legislature, which seeks to overturn the current SHSAT admission requirement. Notably there is scant input here from any current administrators or faculty

2	from the specialized high schools clamoring for
3	change in the admissions process. In fact, they have
4	been conspicuously silent. The proposed changes fail
5	to address the root of the problem, which as we've
6	heard repeatedly today, unfortunately is the
7	systematic failure in K through eight, particularly
8	in the middle schools. Change in the admissions
9	process to include multiple measures in an attempt to
10	correct the low numbers of black and Hispanic
11	students is attacking the issue from the wrong end,
12	and in doing so, it discriminates against Asian
13	students and may in fact be illegal. What is going
14	on in K through eight? Why is it that black and
15	Hispanic children in many communities cannot perform
16	well on the SHSAT? Those are the questions that are
17	being asked by teachers and administrators that I
18	have spoken to at the specialized high schools. They
19	tell me that the enrichment programs to the extent
20	they even exist, and I was actually quite surprised
21	to hear from Council Member Rose that she has zero
22	gifted programs in her entire district, which I also
23	learned today is the entire island of Staten Island.
24	How is that possible?

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] In her council district, she was referring to.

ELIZABETH EILAENDER: But nevertheless, in her entire district there's zero gifted programs. But I've heard from guidance counselors at the specialized high school that in the programs that do exist, sometimes they will identify the gifted kids, and they end up teaching the other kids in the program, which is a shame for those kids who have been identified and a waste. And unfortunately, I've also been told, because I did a little bit of anecdotal research, that some of the kids are even bullied for being in these gifted programs, as it's "not cool to be smart." That has to change. must be done for enrichment and test preparation. The effect of changing the admission requirements without first addressing failures in the elementary and middle schools will have a ripple effect that will not only stigmatize those students who would be accepted under the new system as it can always be questioned, why did they get in? How did they get in? Who did they know? Who did their parents know? Moreover, it may affect the college admissions process. Currently, a degree from Bronx Science or

2	Stuyvesant or any of the specialized high schools has
3	a prestige, a regard, a value. It's a badge of
4	honor. Professionals, CEOs, Nobel Prize winners,
5	they all have specialized high schools on their
6	resume. As my daughter tells me, "It's a thing, mom."
7	In addition, the proposed process is woefully
8	vulnerable to manipulation, cronyism and fraud. Can
9	you imagine, Chair Dromm, getting a call from a
10	constituent saying, "Can you make a call? Who do you
11	know? Can you help me out here?" With the SHSAT, we
12	don't have that. What the current admissions policy
13	does is exposes a systemic and injustice served to
14	black and Latino students by our administration and
15	it may be a violation of their own civil rights.
16	Don't destroy something that exposes and injustice so
17	as to keep it hidden. Instead, demand that this
18	injustice itself be righted. Demand that the
19	administration and the UFT provide an equal and
20	equitable education and a superb one for all children
21	regardless of race so all can excel in whatever path
22	they take. If they finally provide black and Latino
23	children with a high quality and inspiring education
24	starting when they enter the system, the halls of our
25	specialized high schools will soon reflect the makeup

of our city, and everyone will be there because they deserved to be. The decision whether or not to dismantle the crown jewels of the New York City public school system is not even a close call.

Please vote no on the Resolution and please do not support the current bills pending in the State Legislature.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

PAMELA SKINNER: Good evening Chairman

Dromm, Councilman Lander and guests. My name is

Pamela Skinner. I am the CEO and Founder of Blacks

and Browns of the Big Three, a 501C3 nonprofit

organization of more than 900 alumni from Brooklyn

Tech, Stuyvesant and Bronx High School of Science who

are dedicated to having more black and Latino

students admitted to and graduate from our Alma

Maters and the five new specialized high schools. I

am also a member of the Brooklyn Tech Class of 1980.

I am here today to offer my testimony on Resolution

442k. I am concerned and appalled that in 2014, only

seven black students made it into Stuyvesant High

School out of 952 available seats. Some believe that

the addition of multiple measures for the specialized

2	high school admission process will yield better
3	results. Before we reinvent the wheel, I want to
4	share some data to offer some perspective on the
5	past. In 1975 one middle school, IS 59 in District
6	29 southeast Queens sent 11 black students to
7	Stuyvesant, 10 graduated, one was my brother Greg
8	Skinner. A single test determined their admission.
9	I have to ask, how did one middle school send more
10	black kids to Stuyvesant in 1975 than the entire New
11	York City public schools system did in 2014? Let me
12	repeat that. How did one middle school send more
13	black kids to Stuyvesant in 1975 than the entire New
14	York City public system did in 2014? What has
15	changed in the years between 1975 and 2014? In 1975,
16	the black and Latino communities were aware of the
17	specialized high schools early on. My brother's
18	fourth grade teacher recommended him that he go to
19	Stuy. Today, families have not heard of the
20	specialized high schools. In fact, I've been told
21	that they believe they're for Asian and white
22	students only. Gifted classes such as EGC, IGC, SP
23	and SPE in our communities created a pipeline to the
24	specialized high schools. Students were exposed to
25	advanced curricula. Today, there aren't enough

2	gifted classes to meet demand, and they are
3	nonexistent in black and Latino communities.
4	Students are not exposed to advanced curricula. Test
5	prep was available at IS 59 Queens after school. The
6	DREAM Specialized High Schools Institute Program is
7	promising, but families don't know about it. The
8	pipeline from black and Latino communities to the
9	specialized high schools is broken. How do we fix
LO	it? When whole communities are lacking information
L1	about school choice, how can they plan a different
L2	course of action? We have learned from the medical
L3	community that early detection is key to successfully
L4	treating disease. Similarly, access to the
L5	specialized high schools requires early communication
L6	and intervention. So, where do we go from here?
L7	Don't reinvent the wheel by adding multiple measures
L8	before examining the past and learning what worked.
L9	Let's work together. I am happy to offer my service
20	to examine and evaluate proposed solutions. I'd like
21	to leave you with two thoughts. The same year that
22	IS 59 sent 11 black students to Stuyvesant it also
23	sent 15 black students to Brooklyn Tech. Ask your
24	constituents and the group here, your family,
25	friends, and neighbors, would you be interested in an

3

\_

4

J

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

opportunity to get a superior world renowned high school education for your child for free? The time to begin the conversation about specialized high schools is not in the seventh grade. It is now. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

UNIDENTIFIED: I'm going to read from this. My name is Deborah Crowland [sic]. daughter's a freshman at Stuyvesant high school, and I urge you to please vote no on Resolution 442. believe strongly that the SHSAT is unbiased, objective and transparent. It does not take into account race, religion, ethnic origin, gender, economic background, or sexual orientation. Using the SHSAT as the only entry criteria ensures that the enrolled students will meet the criteria for being successful at the schools. If the standards are lowered and the schools kept the same, high standards, then some students may not be able to perform well at the school. This would not be good for student's success or for becoming productive adults. The city needs to better prepare students for entry into these specialized high schools if they

2	would like to change the racial demographics of these
3	schools. The city should focus on improving academic
4	performance at the lower performing elementary and
5	middle schools. For many students, this is more than
6	just offering test prep on eighth grade. The changes
7	need to start in kindergarten. There are currently
8	other good public high school choices in New York
9	City besides the specialized high schools, La
10	Guardia, Beacon, Bard, Millennium, Townsend Harris.
11	Rather than changing the entry criteria for the
12	specialized high schools, I believe the city should
13	focus on improving education at the other schools.
14	Not all students are mathematicians or scientists.
15	Some are writers, artists, mechanics, plumbers, or
16	electricians. If the city had more vocational high
17	schools and more good high school choices that can
18	nurture student's diverse interests for both academic
19	and nonacademic subjects, then all students in the
20	city could benefit. By having many types of good
21	school choices in the city, some using the SHSAT as
22	the only criteria and some using other criteria it
23	ensures that all type of students can receive a good
24	education and become productive citizens. Please
25	vote no on Resolution 442.

2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you all
3	for coming in. I'm going to move right to the next
4	panel because we still have an awful lot of people to
5	come up. I told you the other night I'm going bring
6	it for you. Alright, thank you for coming in.
7	Christina Alfonso, Stuyvesant High School Alumni, Soo
8	Kim, Stuyvesant High School Alumni, Keiran Carpen,
9	Stuyvesant panel, Romeo Alexander, I believe,
10	Stuyvesant, Wai Wah Chin [sp?] also Stuyvesant.
11	Okay, alright. So let me ask you to raise your right
12	hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the
13	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and
14	to answer Council Member questions honestly? Okay,
15	thank you. And who would like to begin? Alright.
16	CHRISTINA ALFONSO: Good evening
17	everyone. I applaud everyone for being here still.
18	I know it's been a very long day. My name is
19	Christina Alfonso. I'm a Stuyvesant Alum, and I'm one
20	of the Directors on the Stuyvesant High School Alumn:
21	Association, and for the past two and a half years
22	I've also served as Chair of the Diversity Committee
23	My fellow alumni at this table and I would urge you
24	to vote against Resolution 442, and I'm going to

provide you with some reasons why. First of all,

2	changing the admissions criteria to include grades,
3	state test scores and potentially more subjective
4	factors won't necessarily lead to the intended racia
5	outcomes, because the reality is that disparities in
6	academic outcomes start very early on. We've heard
7	this from several other speakers today. Therefore,
8	the disparities need to be tackled at their
9	inception, and this leads me into my second point,
10	that there are many more effective ways the city can
11	improve diversity at these schools including by not
12	limited to targeted outreachwe've heard this
13	multiple times todaymaking sure that students are
14	aware of the SHSAT and the specialized schools well
15	before the eighth grade. Advanced and SP classes in
16	every middle school, this is something that many
17	alums have had the opportunity to take in the 1980's
18	and 90's, and then these programs were discontinued.
19	Free after school test preparation to anyone who is
20	interested, and restructuring of the Discovery
21	Program to focus on students in underrepresented zip
22	codes. We heard a lot earlier today about the need
23	to open opportunities for all, and by taking these
24	steps, that would certainly be a step in the right
25	direction. It's also imperative to not change a

system that has worked for so many years. Having
students who are ill prepared to handle the extremely
rigorous coursework will not benefit them or the
other students who are academically ready, and can
even serve to tarnish the reputation of these
schools, which have been the gems and shining light
of the New York City public schools system for
decades. And also, as a way for immigrant children,
many of whom are from impoverished backgrounds on a
path to upward mobility. Finally, I'd like to add
that for many black and Latino alums, Stuyvesant and
the other specialized schools were a place where
diversity and acceptance were intertwined because
everyone overcame the same hurdles for admission.
One alum, named Lisa Jones, who submitted testimony
wrote something that I'm going to quote right now.
She said, "My Stuyvesant experience let me know that
something better is possible. The experience of
diversity with acceptance has caused me and all of my
fellow alums to show up carrying that possibility to
the world, and I think it's important for the next
generation of leaders to be able to experience the
same." And with that, I would like to introduce one
of the next generation of leaders, Keiran Carpen

2.2

[sp?], who was elected Student Union President at

3 Stuyvesant High School, and just about an hour ago

4 learned of his acceptance to Harvard. So,

5 congratulations.

[applause]

KEIRAN CARPEN: Thank you. Okay. Hello, my name is Keiran Carpen, and as announced before, I am the Student Union President of Stuyvesant High School. I'm a current senior. So, basically, I was born and raised in South Ozone Park, Queens, a very small environment. I went to school of a graduating class of 60, and being transitioned—oh, apologize.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] We're not usually open this late. So we have to stop for construction. Alright, let's try this again. Oh, no.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do be careful what you say, because it's still recording and it picked up your voice. Do you want to proceed? Okay, just speak as loud as you can.

KEIRAN CARPEN: Okay. Okay. So, I'll start over. My name is Keiran Carpen and I'm the current Student Union President at Stuyvesant High

2	School, and basically I was born in a not as affluent
3	area as Tribeca in which I go to school and now in
4	Southern Queens. And one that I've noticed is that I
5	didn't hear about the SHSAT until two months before
6	the exam was actually administered, and basically I
7	had one of those cram sessions, which I had to
8	purchase a book. I was fortunately able to have a
9	prep course that was able to prepare me sufficiently
10	enough that I was able to get in. However, amongst
11	getting my acceptance letter into Stuyvesant High
12	School I realized that I was only accepted by three
13	points, and me being of an African-American descent
14	was already aware of, you know, the large discrepancy
15	and the disparity in the ethnic breakdown at
16	Stuyvesant. So that caused a lot of anxiety, and it
17	was definitely troublesome, and it caused a lot of
18	apprehension in terms of whether or not I was willing
19	to go there, whether I was willing to commute from my
20	small school in southern Queens and go all the way to
21	southern Manhattan to, you know, seek this
22	opportunity. And one thing that I realized at
23	Stuyvesant is that the true lack of diversity at
24	Stuyvesant originates from the lack of dissemination
25	of information. I came into the school only getting

accepted by three points, and for those who aren't
exactly familiar with the SHSAT it's out of 800
points. So, whereas the cutoff in my year was 565, I
received a score of 568. And although as an African-
American descent, what I realized is that that was in
no way indicative of the success that I was destined
to or that I could have attained being a student at
Stuyvesant. It still provided me with the same
opportunities as my other cohorts that weren't of the
same ethnicity. And I realized that it's not that we
need to promote diversity through other methods
that's not this meritocracy that is created by the
SHSAT, but there's just a sheer lack of
understandance and ignorance that is spread, that
isn't shared throughout these schools and the middle
schools throughout New York City. And if I, a
student who only scored three on this, three points
high enough to achieve a spot in Stuyvesant, was able
to become the Student Leader President and was able
to, you know, be able to get accepted into Harvard,
and was able to achieve this success, I do not think
that it is becausethat is necessarily diversity
should be promoted based on other factors that are
not the test. I feel like there's a sheer lack of

2	information that goes out to these schools, and I'll
3	leave you with two statistics. One statistic that I
4	confirmed yesterday was that thewhen you look at
5	the ethnic breakdown of our middle school and our
6	elementary schools, it is not at all correspondent to
7	the ethnic breakdown of students that take the SHSAT,
8	and I feel like if you were to even do another
9	statistical analysis and see how many of the students
10	that take the SHSAT were actually prepared, were
11	actually notified that there is an exam, that there
12	is a specialized high school, you know, months before
13	the exam, such as the Asian-American Cohorts and some
14	of my classmates who have had adequate time to
15	prepare, it's shockingly alarming, and it'sthere's
16	a huge discrepancy in terms of, you know, the more
17	impoverished areas and the affluent areas and the
18	schools that are privileged to have this information
19	known, and these schools unfortunately aren't as
20	privileged and do not have that opportunity. So, I
21	feel like the reason that the test should still
22	remain is that it does create this fair meritocracy
23	in which students are allowed to be administered
24	based on their intellects and based on the fact that
25	they can succeed a specialized high school. However,

the lack of diversity is more systemic and it is a
problem of a lack of information that is being shared
amongst these students in the middle schools. And
sorry, I have one more point. Earlier it was
mentioned on a previous panel that there are
diversity initiatives at Stuyvesant, and as the
Student Body President I have attended some of these
initiatives, and of the 600 parents of African-
American and Latino descent, not many of them knew
what they were coming to Stuyvesant for. They did
not know what the SHSAT stood for. They didn't know
what was the nature of the test, where they can
apply, if there was a fee, and I realized that
there's a lot of information that has been shared
today that there is just sheer ignorance throughout
New York City in terms of theirnot all students and
not all schools receive the same information. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

SOO KIM: Thank you, Keiran. And thank you counselors. My name is Soo Kim, and I'm a proud graduate of the New York City public schools system.

I immigrated here when I was five years old. I

2	learned English watching Sesame Street and attended
3	public schools throughout Queens. I graduated from
4	Stuyvesant in 1993. After graduating from Princeton,
5	I came back to live and work in the city that I love.
6	I started my Wall Street career here at Banker's
7	Trust, and seven years ago I started my own
8	investment management firm, which directly employs 14
9	other people here in New York. I currently live on
10	the Upper West Side with my wife and my young
11	daughter. I come to you as the President of the
12	Stuyvesant High School Alumni Association. We
13	appreciate the time that we've been given to share
14	with the City Council some thoughts before you vote
15	on Resolution 442. The SHSAT results when viewed
16	through a demographic lens paint an unacceptable
17	picture. The number of black and Latino students
18	that qualify for the top specialized high schools is
19	a travesty. There is clearly a serious achievement
20	gap for certain minority groups in neighborhoods, but
21	don't shoot the messenger. The results on the
22	admissions test is not unlike the results evident in
23	city and statewide tests given at elementary and
24	middle schools. Similar achievement gaps are evident
25	long before the student sits for this exam. The

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

solution cannot be to effectively eliminate the objective measure. Throwing out a thermostat that tells you how cold it is in the room will not heat the room. Even if this measure effort were to succeed, at some point in one's life you will face objective measures. Perhaps it'll be in the process of getting to college, since most colleges still require the SAT, or it will be your first steps after graduating from college where, you know, many fields require testing for admissions to academies and further professional schools. Eventually, each and every graduate will be measured objectively. citizens of New York should all be outraged about the demographic achievement gap, but we would suggest tackling the problem directly in the schools and the neighborhoods that are failing a large portion of these communities. Instead of spending time debating a state law in City Council, let's work with the city and the Department of Education to address the root causes and change outcomes. Thank you.

ROMEO ALEXANDER: Hello? Hello, my name is Romeo Alexander, and I am currently a PHC student in mathematics at NYU Courant Institute. I am an alumnus of Stuyvesant Class of 2007, and I'm here to

2	urge you to vote no on resolution 442 and keep the
3	exam as a sole criteria for admission. The
4	experience of preparing for that exam was one of the
5	most productive and intensive experiences of my life.
6	I learned more math than in many other periods of my
7	life, and if the exam wasn't the sole criteria I'm
8	not sure I would have gone through the same amount of
9	preparation. And then, once I got to Stuyvesant, one
10	of the most unique and best things about my time
11	there was that all of my classmates had also gone
12	through that process and I was surrounded by other
13	very talented people and they are some of my best
14	friends now, and they continue to inspire me. When I
15	was applying to the specialized science high schools,
16	they were specialized science high schools, and I
17	also participated in the Math Science Institute, and
18	for some reason the science name got dropped out and
19	they're not referred to as a specialized high
20	schools. I'm still not sure why, but for me, the
21	fact that Stuyvesant is a science high school was
22	always important to me, and I feel like it's
23	precisely because it's a science high school that an
24	exam that focuses on math and logic is precisely a
25	very appropriate way of determining admission. That

I was only one of a small percentage of black
students at Stuy definitely does concern me, but I
feel like there are other ways of addressing the
problem. Everybody experiences this differently. It
definitely would have been better. My father
definitely was a lot more affected by the lack of
other black students than me. It took me a while to
realize it, but if anything, I could say that my time
at Harvard, which has a more open admissions criteria
you could say, I possibly experienced more racism
there than at Stuyvesant. So it's not always clear
to me that the correlation between the prevalence of
racism and the negative aspects of discrimination
can't always be clearly went to the presence or
absence of an exam. Now, I'm doing what I love,
doing math, pursuing a PHD, and I total attribute
that to my time at Stuyvesant, and I think the exam
was an important part of it.
QUATRRERGON RROWN. There's west

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

WAI WAH CHIN: Thank you. I'm Wai Wah
Chin, I'm a parent at Stuyvesant and a member of the
School Leadership Team, and I was also a former CoPresident of the Parent Association. I'd like to

2 point out again that our schools are really communities that are built not just by the students 3 and the alums and the staff, but also by the parents 4 and the family. And just as the Parents Association work with our kids on the extracurricular and 6 academics inside the school, outside we also have that same active duty and responsibility as well as 8 love of doing that for our children. So, at the 9 Parents Association the general membership as well as 10 the Executive Board overwhelmingly, nearly 11 12 unanimously elected to support not changing the 13 SHSAT, and we did it because we believe very much 14 that this test serves our students and it serves the 15 schools, and we want to encourage different people to 16 come into the school. We want it to be diverse and 17 open, but at the same time, we want to make sure that 18 the process is good, and so that's why we oppose Reso 442. We believe that keeping to a single, uniform, 19 objective academic test is the fairest way to admit 20 the brightest and best prepared students in to our 21 2.2 specialized high schools, and the test covers basic 23 skills. I know that somebody had said that, "Well, this doesn't really cover things that we need to 24 know." but that's not true. If you look at the test 25

2	it covers basic math. It covers basic English, the
3	skills that are learned over years, so it's not just
4	in a cram course. If you look at Keiran, he didn't
5	really need it, you know. If he took it a little bit
6	earlier, he might have gotten many more points, but
7	he was already prepared through school. If you fail
8	to meet the cutoff for one school, you could get to
9	another school. We should have plenty of schools
10	that the kids could go to. It's not a one day, high
11	stake, high stress test. And the test is objective
12	so that money and connections don't count. I think
13	that other people have talked about that. A lot of
14	our parents speak no English and they work multiple
15	jobs because over half of our kids are on free or
16	reduced lunch. So we serve the poor and the
17	underprivileged. So, I think that what we all agree
18	on is that we can do more outreach. We, a lot of the
19	Stuy Alums already do outreach. Our staff and
20	students go out and provide free tutoring for a lot
21	of students, but I think we really face still the
22	basic problem that we have a test that is our friend.
23	It is not our enemy. It is actually confirming what
24	the state assessments have. We have four levels
25	there. There's one, two, three, and four. Level one

2.2

and two are fail. Number three is pass. Number four
is high pass, and when you have 2.1 percent being
high pass we have to change that. And we all here at
Stuyvesant would welcome that because if you solve
the problemand bring the parents into this
equation. We're part of the solution. Then, what we
can do is because the test is objective, it will
ensure that more blacks and Latinos will be able to
come into Stuyvesant and the other schools. And it's
not the test. You know, we have to fix K to eight.
We have to raise that 2.1, and then I believe the
entire city would benefit, and that's why we all urge
you to vote against 442. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, and I'm going to call up the next panel immediately. We only have until 7:00 p.m. in this room, so I hope that we can get through the people who have remained to testify. I'm going to have to really ask everybody to stick to that timer. Stanley

Lumenstien, Doctor Ivan Kahn, Santiago Munoz [sp?],

Vincent Galasso, Jonathan Roberts. Okay, would you raise your right hands, please? Raise your right hand please. Thank you. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing

but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. Would you like to begin?

JOHNATHAN ROBERTS: Sure. My name is

Johnathan Roberts. I am Vice Chair of the Bronx

Science Alumni Association. I'm going to give you
the very short version. We live in the world's
greatest city.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Any person that gives a short version gets extra credit on the standardized test.

JOHNATHAN ROBERTS: Yes. Thank you. We live in the world's greatest city, but we are facing a crisis in pre-high school education, pre-high school education. Eighty-four percent of our black and Latino seventh graders, our black and Latino seventh graders just failed the New York State proficiency standards in math and the English. That's outrageous, but changing the admissions criteria for the specialized high schools does absolutely nothing to prepare kids for high school. Changing the admissions criteria for the specialized high schools does absolutely nothing to prepare to help these kids. The specialized high school admissions test is a spot light shining on these

\_

3

4

\_

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

inequities in pre-high school education. Please keep that spot light on so we can fix the inequities and raise all children up to meet these standards.

That's the only reasonable way to get more of our black and Latino students into the specialized high schools. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

IVAN KAHN: Good evening everyone.

name is Doctor Ivan Kahn, CEO at Kahn's Tutorial and a graduate of the Bronx High School Science, Class of I'm providing this testimony in opposition to Resolution 442. Over the past 20 years our team at Kahn's Tutorials helped over 1,625 low income New Yorkers across the outer boroughs get admission to New York City specialized high schools. The vast majority of them took their training two blocks away from your office, Chairman Dromm, and we appreciate all the work that you do in our community. Over the--in March of 2014 we helped a record number of 185 students get admission. After personally working with low income New Yorkers for the past 16 years, I speak before you today to share our vision for increased diversity of New York City's specialized high schools while maintaining and objective

2	admissions criteria. Firstly, I would like to state
3	that an objective single test admissions criteria has
4	proven to increase diversity of the specialized high
5	schools. In fact, as someone mentioned before, at
6	Staten Island Technical High School, the number of
7	African-American and Hispanic students increased when
8	changing from a holistic admissions process to a
9	single test admissions method about 10 years ago. A
10	holistic admissions process already exists as many of
11	you found out today. With holistic screening
12	approach led to schools such as Townsend, Harrison,
13	Queens, or Beacon in Manhattan where the median
14	family income is much higher when compared to that of
15	a student from Stuyvesant, Bronx Science or Brooklyn
16	Tech. Ultimately, the percentage of Caucasian
17	students is generally higher in New York City
18	screened high school than at a specialized high
19	school, and the student body happens to be much
20	wealthier at a screened high school. The inclusion
21	of subjective criteria such as essays,
22	extracurricular activities, interviews and even GPA
23	places poorer, less privileged 12 year old students
24	in a much worse battle than their wealthier
25	counterparts. By the admission of the Department of

2	Education themselves, public schools receive
3	different grades from the DOE, making it impossible
4	to compare GPA's across the city. An A minus in
5	district 10 in the Bronx is very different from an A
6	minus in District 26 in Queens or District 20 in
7	Brooklyn. An A minus in District 26 in the Bronx
8	where less than 20 percent of students are reading at
9	grade level and where passing rates on certain
10	reading start as low as 30 percent is very different
11	from an A minus in District 26 where the lowest
12	passing rate is 65 percent. The sad reality is that
13	New York City's public school is failing in many
14	communities. That's been talked about to death
15	today. Since 1994, the vast majority of students
16	gaining admissions have been new immigrant families
17	from Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Trinidad, more
18	recently African-American and Hispanic students.
19	Similar to generations of New York's before us, we
20	all came here to archive the American dream. Many
21	people spoke about that. I have a wonderful young man
22	next to me who we'd love to hear form in a few
23	minutes, but before I hand it over to him I'd like to
24	reiterate please do not change the admissions
25	criteria. Instead, work towards improving our middle

2	schools, work towards providing free tutoring in
3	underrepresented communities, eliminate the
4	registration process so that every New York City
5	public schools eighth grader can take the SHSAT,
6	offer the exam twice to reduce test anxiety, and
7	overall, increase awareness about the exam and the
8	different opportunities suited for different
9	families. This past spring, Kahn's Tutorial awarded
10	18 scholarships totaling 100,000 dollars to provide
11	free tutoring for the SHSAT to 18 students from
12	African-American/Hispanic families. I'm sorry to
13	say, Councilman Dromm, only three out of ten junior
14	high schools in your district, the neighboring
15	district decided to participate in the offering that
16	information to the top performing African-American
17	and Hispanic students. We are relaunching that
18	scholarship opportunity again and the main reason is
19	we want to increase awareness for underrepresented
20	communities and we plan to announce our 20 winners,
21	or new winners for 2014 on Martin Luther King weekend
22	next month. I leave you today urging you to hear our
23	voice. Preserve the SHSAT. Please increase
24	diversity while maintaining an objective admissions
25	criteria. Thank you.

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next,

3 please.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SANTIAGO MUNOZ: Hello, my name is Santiago Munoz, and I'm a current student at Bronx Science. I support efforts to raise diversity at NYC's specialized high schools, but I don't believe that altering that criteria is the right way to proceed with this. In my opinion, the problem causing a lack of diversity in these schools such as Bronx Science, which I attend, or Stuyvesant or Brooklyn Tech isn't the SHSAT, it's the unequal educational resources and opportunities given to different sections of the city. Most Hispanics and African-American live in low income neighborhoods where educational resources and opportunities are difficult to obtain compared to other parts of the city such as like the upper west side of Manhattan or lower east side, I mean upper east side. example, a student [sic] in Far Rockaway, my sister who attended Brooklyn Tech, when she was preparing for her SHSAT, she only found out two months beforehand. And my dad, my family, we couldn't afford tutoring so she had to do it herself. Thankfully, she was able to get to Brooklyn Tech and now she when

2 onto college where she attends Yale. Also, me, like, 3 it's difficult for people like me who live in low 4 income neighborhoods, because in addition to having inability to afford tutoring, we also don't know a 5 6 lot about the schools. When my sister got into 7 Brooklyn Tech, she didn't even know it was 5,000 kids. I remember when I was preparing for the SHSAT 8 I had to borrow a book from the library for an entire 9 year, and a I accumulated a huge debt, but that was 10 the only way I could study, and that really shouldn't 11 12 happen in a city like New York. I think that people 13 are focusing on the wrong solution to fix this 14 diversity problem. Instead of changing how students 15 are admitted to the school, we should change how 16 people prepare for the admissions, and I think we 17 should reform the middle schools before we try to 18 reform the high schools. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

VINCENT GALASSO: Good evening. My name is Vincent Galasso. I served at the Bronx High School of Science for over 30 years, including more than four years as principal.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is your mic on?

19

20

21

2.2

23

\_

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

VINCENT GALASSO: Oh, sorry. Should I start again? Please start again.

VINCENT GALASSO: Okay. My name is Vincent Galasso. I served at the Bronx High School of Science for more than 30 years, including more than four years as principal. I am here to speak against Resolution 442, specifically its conclusion that the New York State Legislature pass and the Governor sign, and you know, the Assembly A9979 Senate 7738A [sic], to change the admission criteria for New York City specialized high school. The suggested plans for utilizing multiple criteria for selecting students for the three original specialized high schools are flawed. I can only talk about the three because that's been my experience. Using GPA's from lower levels would not be fair, since there is no uniformity from school to school in either the curriculum or the grading. The use of essays as part of the selection process, assuming 40,000 candidates would be time consuming, expensive and extremely subjective. Attendance data can be tainted by judgment decision regarding what constitutes excused versus unexcused absences. Even using the statewide exams can be flawed. There have been a number of

2	well publicized cases where there's been serious
3	breaches of security and deliberate actions by
4	teachers or administrators to improve test results.
5	As all past principals at Bronx Science can attest,
6	we have been asked to do something about accepting
7	students who did not make the cutoff for the school.
8	Our response was to simple say that New York State
9	Law dictates that the SHSAT determines who is
10	accepted directly or offered a position in a
11	Discovery Program. We had an active Discovery
12	Program when I was principal. Therefore, principals
13	had the means to avoid undue pressure and time
14	wasting tactics of parents, elected officials and
15	other dignitaries. One of the unintended
16	consequences of Resolution 442 if enacted would be to
17	shift a Singular pressure that of acceptance from the
18	specialized high school principals to multiple lines
19	of pressure, grades, attendance, statewide exams to
20	large numbers of teachers and administrators across
21	the city. The collective time laws dealing with
22	these issues will be monumental and likely lead to
23	inconsistencies and possible illegal activity. The
24	success of the Bronx High School of Science program
25	is dependent upon its students, its faculty, its

2	curriculum, parents and over the last two decades,
3	its alumni. The success of the school is undeniable.
4	Each year, virtually 100 percent of the senior class
5	graduation goes on to higher learning. More than 50
6	percent eventually wind up working in science,
7	engineering, law, etcetera, and as we all know, eight
8	of the graduates have won Nobel Prizes. I'd like to
9	divert from the written testimony and just say a
10	couple of things quickly. One is that what is it
11	that makes Bronx Science a specialized high school?
12	Well, your kids and the curriculum and so on, but
13	more than that, you have to be there to see a ninth
14	grade biology class in action to understand that the
15	qualities that the entrance exam test for is what we
16	need in that classroom before us. I've taught lessons
17	when I was a biology teacher that the students could
18	actually deduce experiments, results that led to
19	Nobel Prizes and that is why if you had gone to Bronx
20	Science two nights ago, you would have seen more than
21	100 students demonstrating their individual
22	scientific projects. It's this kind of work that
23	makes the school a specialized high school, and it's
24	the exam that brings us the students to carry out
25	these activities. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

3 STANLEY BLUMENSTIEN: Hello, my name is 4 Stanley Blumenstien. I am a graduate, a former Assistant Principal, and the fifth principal now 5 6 retired of the Bronx High School of Science, and I 7 greatly thank you for this opportunity to speak before you. I am testifying in opposition to 8 The specialized high schools in the 9 Resolution 442. city of New York are truly the crown jewels of public 10 education, recognized not only for their 11 12 extraordinary success on a city level, but on a world stage as well. We know that there have been eight 13 14 Bronx Science alumni receiving the Nobel Laureate and 15 six having won the Pulitzer Prize, and our school has 16 more Westinghouse semi-finalists than any other school in the nation. So therefore, to tamper with 17 18 the admission process in any way whatsoever, short sided and an invitation for disaster. People have 19 20 asked for the validation, a validation of the test. The validation of the test is seen in the illustrious 2.1 2.2 and outstanding world class success of its graduates. 23 Clearly, the founding fathers of Bronx Science and the other specialized schools designed schools that 24 work at the very highest levels, a school that not 25

2	only has realized its mission, but has surpassed even
3	the wildest dreams of those who created the school.
4	And what was that mission, the mission of Bronx
5	Science? Its mission then and today is to create
6	opportunities for the city's brightest and for those
7	who are the most gifted and talented to prosper and
8	develop into the nation's leaders. Let's go back in
9	history a bit. The clouds of war were on the horizon
10	when the Bronx Science was formed. It was conceived
11	in 1938, and school at that time helped the nation at
12	war's need for an inventive, creative scientist and
13	engineers. The dangers to our country today are no
14	less than they were back in 1938, and so the school's
15	mission is as important today as it was 76 years ago.
16	We must not change the formula for success that has
17	helped mold the leaders upon which our nation
18	depends. Now we're all dismayed about the lack of
19	diversity in the specialized schools, but diversity
20	that does not match the ethnic and racial makeup of
21	the city. And while we all support diversity, that
22	is not the goal of the specialized schools. The real
23	question then is can we increase the number of
24	underrepresented minorities in the specialized
25	schools without effecting the school's primary

2	mission? I believe there are many ways, but not by
3	circumventing the objective exam. Let me give you a
4	little bit of my experience as principal. When I was
5	principal, I received numerous phone calls from
6	elected officials of all areas of government
7	requesting that I do them a favor by accepting a
8	child from one of their constituents, a nice boy, a
9	nice girl from a nice family. Of course, I could
10	not. Could you imagine a system in which the test
11	was not sacrosanct? The integrity of the admission
12	process would be destroyed. It would become porous
13	and open to all kinds of unholy pressures. Is that
14	what we want with students who could not score well
15	on an exam testing mathematical and verbal acuity be
16	able to succeed on the advanced placement and college
17	level curricula that are Syne qua non [sic] of the
18	specialized high schools? I'm afraid not. We must
19	look at the bigger picture, and of course, any honest
20	appraisal would point to the lack of preparation for
21	students in grades K through eight. Again, when I
22	was principal we conducted, and Vince as well, a
23	program with various districts in the Bronx and in
24	upper Manhattan to train with our teachers the middle
25	school teachers from these districts, most of whom

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

O

some students receive, and that is where our efforts and our finances should be directed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Just wish you hadn't attacked teachers, but that's okay. Thank you very much.

STANLEY BLUMENSTIEN: It's true.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I've met a number of doozy [sic] principals myself, so. Unbelievable.

Heady Chappelle [sp?], Faye Moore, Edward Lagrassa
[sp?], Michael Weiss, and Ray Feige. And by the way,

I'm cutting everybody down to two minutes, Sergeant,

because we have to leave.

HEADY CHAPPELLE: Are we supposed to sit in that order? I'm Heady.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I'm going to add to this panel Jennifer Krueger [sp?], Mark Schulty [sp?]. Mark Schulty here? Lisa Cangrow Temperberg [sp?], no? Sammie Rob? Frank Robatazzi [sp?]?

Dennis Saffran? David Lee [sp?]? Is Phil Gimms [sp?] still here? Okay, you're going to be on the next panel. Michael Benjamin? Alright, you'll be on the next panel. Dennis Saffran? Okay, that's our next panel. Alright. Did I hear David Lee is here?

Oh, come on up. Come on up. Okay, would you all

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

raise your right hand, please? I'm going to swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. Who would like to start? Yeah, over there.

DAVID LEE: Thank you, Chairman. is David Lee. I am the Director of Coalition EDU, an organization of supporters advocating for keeping the single examination admission policy for specialized high schools of New York City. I am also an alumnus of Brooklyn Tech and a parent of an alumnus of Bronx Science High School. I'd like to show you that we do have 600 names on a petition that we collected in one day walking down the streets of what they call Brooklyn China Town. I am opposed to Resolution 442. For the last nine months as a volunteer I have been immersed in the specialized high school admission issue daily. In that time I've garnered the support of thousands and have had an open dialogue with the press, leaders of the NAACP, the UFT, and numerous politicians who are willing to listen. The other testimonies today will no doubt explain all the reasons why the SHSAT should remain the sole criteria for admission. Of all the facets of this issue I take

2	away two glaring points from my experience so far.
3	First, the initiative to increase underrepresented
4	minorities at these schools is indeed a worthy and
5	admirable cause. However, A9979 will cause
6	devastating collateral impact by the displacement of
7	an economically disadvantaged minority from these
8	schools. Today, that minority happens to be Asian-
9	Americans. If A9979 was enacted in 1976 when I
10	attended Brooklyn Tech, the collateral impact would
11	have unfairly displaced African-Americans who are
12	almost 50 percent of the school at that time. The
13	point is that the enrollment is a zero sum gain.
14	Enrollment should be based on an unbiased merit
15	rather than at the whim of a politician or a special
16	interest group. The intention is good, but the
17	solution is wrong. My second takeaway is the abysmal
18	state of public education for the majority of K
19	through eight students. When only 15 percent of
20	black and Hispanic middle school students are high
21	school ready, according to the New York State
22	assessment test, and less than three percent are
23	highly proficient, what opportunity is being given to
24	these students for entering the rigorous specialized
25	high schools. There are a number of proposals that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were mentioned before and I support them for improving access to the schools. The specialized high schools are renowned for their rigor and accomplishments of their alumni. I believe that the resolution should not be voted on to pass. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please?

JENNIFER KRUEGER: My name is Jennifer Krueger, and I'm here to advocate in my role as a parent of two public schools children. I agree that there's an embarrassing lack of black and Latino students of the specialized high schools. reflects the shameful failure of our city's public, elementary and middle school to appropriately prepare these students to be successful on such a rigorous and objective examination. What I fail to see is how making changes to the selection criteria does anything to address those failures. If our student-if our schools are failing to equip students of all backgrounds in all communities with the specific skills necessary to be successful on the SHSAT, it strikes me as odd that we're discussing doctoring the measure rather than seeking to correct the problem

2	the measure is highlighting. The SHSAT is a wholly
3	objective, equal access measure that quantifies
4	student performance in a way that cannot be tweaked
5	or exploited. Moving from a purely objective measure
6	like the SHSAT to a variety of subjective factors,
7	report cards, attendance, punctuality, perhaps
8	community service as Mayor de Blasio had mentioned or
9	other increasingly nebulous measures will not address
10	the failures of elementary and middle schools across
11	our city to fully prepare all of its children. The
12	problem is not the selection criteria and until those
13	failures are addressed, no set of criteria will yield
14	a meaningful difference in the admissions rates at
15	those schools. Make no mistake, subjective measures
16	like report cards, attendance or community service
17	will be easily gamed by families of means. As the
18	Comptroller's report reflects screened schools in New
19	York City are currently whiter than the testing
20	schools we are discussing. Standards like
21	punctuality and attendance are factors that are far
22	more reflective of poverty or poor transportation
23	options than of ability to succeed in a specialized
24	school. No child should be shut out of a specialized
25	school because they are absent more than is common

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because of poor healthcare or a poverty diet or late more than common because they rely on public transportation to travel to a far school. Fungible [sic] measures like citizenship or community service are absolutely more likely to benefit the children whose parents can afford to facilitate those opportunities. My boy's classmates spend their after school hours working in their family's restaurants, doing their homework at the tables, and then helping in the back until late into the night. Those kids do not have the opportunities to participate in scouting, volunteer to walk dogs at the local shelter or otherwise spare time and resources to have their citizenship resume or form their teacher recommendations. Even report card standards vary widely from school to school. It is impossible to meaningfully compare a greater --

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I'm going to have to ask you to wrap up.

JENNIFER KRUEGER: I'm literally almost done. Report card standards vary widely from school to school. It's impossible to compare a grade of 90 from a citywide middle school to a 90 from a school with less rigorous standards. Moving away from the

2	SHSAT in favor of subjective criteria will not
3	positively admit, effect admission rates of black and
4	Latino students in the specialized high schools, but
5	it will likely significantly affect admissions
6	disparities between white and Asian students. The
7	admissions rates for Asians currently at the
8	specialized schools if 53 percent while admission
9	rates for whites hover around 26 percent. To this
10	parent, this resolution does not read as a meaningful
11	effort to increase the number of black or Hispanic
12	students at the specialized high schools. It reads
13	as a measure that will ultimately increase the number
14	of white students while decreasing the number of
15	Asian students at those schools. Abandoning
16	objective criteria like the SHSAT in favor of
17	subjective measures far more easily gameable by
18	parents of means will absolutely lead to a marked
19	increase in the number of white students at the
20	expense of every other group. Any move away from a
21	single standard criteria that is equally accessible
22	to all kids is one that will hurt the specialized
23	schools and the students applying to attend them.
24	Please don't participate in an endeavor that allows
25	the city and the Department of Education to ignore

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

its own failings to prepare all students with the skills necessary to succeed at a standard measure. Changing the measure only hides the problem. I urge you to oppose Resolution 442.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I'm going to have to ask again that everybody please keep the testimony to the allotted time so that we can give everybody an opportunity who has waited to have a turn to speak.

FAYE MOORE: Good evening. My name is Faye Moore. I graduated from Brooklyn Technical High School in 1976. I am here to speak in opposition of the City Council Resolution 442. The Resolution provides support for bills pending in both the State Senate and Assembly that add multiple objective criteria for admission to the specialized high schools. The bill speaks of grade point averages, attendance records and admission test and state test scores as better criteria. I submit to you that these additional criteria will not diversify the student body. Grades are by their very nature subjective measurements and affect different students in different ways. A talkative student may be seen as having poor self-control and lose points in a

final grade. A student that doesn't speak in class
may be in crisis at home and be penalized in a class
that encourages participation. Attendance can be
effected by external pressures like housing,
employment and help of caretakers. State test scores
can be impacted simply by the resources available in
the school. The new criteria places the burdens of
an overwhelmed educational system on the shoulders of
13 year olds. It will hold them responsible for
grades obtained in crowded classrooms and attendance
based on external factors beyond their control. The
addition of these factors does not guarantee an
increase in the population of African-American and
Latino students. It does guarantee a magnification
of the shortfalls in the New York City public schools
system and the very children you seek to assist will
see more barriers, not less. I should say that I am
a civil servant and have been for my entire career.
I am a firm believer that merit and fitness are best
measured by examinations. Additional criteria tend
to help those who have more access to resources and
encourage bias and criteria that may appear impartial
in its language. An example would be a civil servant
being promoted based on a political connection and

24

25

Τ	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 419
2	not through competition on a level playing field.
3	For a middle school student it could mean a student
4	gaining a coveted high school seat because his GPA
5	reflects his extra credit submission as opposed to a
6	student residing in a shelter with barely enough room
7	or quiet to complete her homework. Rather than
8	burden children with this new admission criteria, I
9	feel the Council's energy is best placed in enhancing
LO	the middle school experience. Appropriate
L1	allocations to middle school for math and science
L2	help enhance reading comprehension
L3	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I'm
L 4	going to have to stop you here.
L5	FAYE MOORE: and social service supports.
L 6	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next,
L7	please.
L8	RAY FEIGE: How you doing? I'm Raye
L9	Feige. I'm a Brooklyn Tech graduate Class of '94.
20	I'm also a parent of a recent Brooklyn Tech graduate.
21	I'm come to you tonight as a middle class white guy
22	from northeast Queens. Good evening to you all.

race, color, religion, creed, gender, sexual

Thanks for the invite. By law, we say no child shall

be denied access to any school because of his or her

2	orientation, or economics. I threw that last one in.
3	Live by that law, and it should be fair to say no
4	child shall be admitted because of his or her race,
5	color, creed, gender, sexual orientation, or
6	economics. For that reason, your proposal should
7	fail. Keep the test as it has always been. It is
8	simply not a good idea to take decades of old
9	admissions standard, which is a straight forward,
10	color blind aptitude test, and now propose to put in
11	subjective factors just to fulfil some diversity
12	matrix. It's gaming the system and it invites
13	corruption while taking equality and want to make it
14	unequal. This is misguided legislation. It is
15	offensive, and clearly sends a wrong message. But
16	here are some suggestions to increase enrollment in
17	communities without altering the admission standards.
18	High schools have become so competitive at the
19	emphasis on educational excellence has to begin
20	practically after birth. Many Asian and eastern
21	European communities understand this. Parents impose
22	long hours of study and not a lot of playtime,
23	including sports. They show up with the schools and
24	meet with the math and English teachers. Parents
25	also seem to spend a lot of time and money in prep

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 courses for these tests. By all means, keep the Discovery Program. Tweak it. Expand it a few years 3 4 even. Maybe even set up a handbook for all parents of incoming kindergarten students on how to navigate 5 the educational system. Don't take this wrong way. 6 7 Every community should have armies of Tiger Moms. Also, make it more economically and logistically 8 viable for kids in far reaching communities. Public 9 transportation may be free for them, but it can be 10 long. The kid who lived in Far Rockaway and went to 11 12 Bronx Science a year or two ago made headlines with 13 his commute. Ironically, Bronx Science has an 14 express school bus form various locations in Queens, 15 but it costs upwards of about 300 dollars per month. 16 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you wrap up

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you wrap up please.

RAY FEIGE: Yes. The Long Island Railroad is reduced for regular monthly to around 150 per month. Not everybody could afford the time and money. Finally, build more specialized high schools. The number of kids taking the test every year is in record numbers. Those who miss the cut off by a few points, those who choose not to go at all probably number in the thousands. They are still very smart

2.1

2.2

kids who are now basically relegated to their zoned schools, which may only have a limited number of honors program seats. They are also lumped in with the general education students. Give them schools they can take pride in and call their own. The four to 600 seat boutique specialized high schools collocated in CUNY campuses are good, but small. I suggest next time you close a failing high school, reopen it as a specialized high school. Take these suggestions and they will come from all communities in droves. Thank you.

Chappelle. Thanks for allowing me to express my opinion. I differ in some instances. I do not believe that the standardized test, the entrance exam, is difficult. I hardly studied for it. I went to--my first choice was Brooklyn Tech, even though I lived in Manhattan, and maybe I put maybe six hours in and I passed the test. And most of my friends that went to Tech, it was the same thing. We did not find the entrance exam difficult, and that is because we had a solid K through eight educational foundation. So, I disagree all this time with a lot of people saying how difficult the test. It's

2	difficult if you don't have, you know, a standardized
3	or decent K through eight education. I'm totally
4	against this Resolution 442 because I believe it
5	burdens the poor, immigrants, people that might not
6	have a lot of money. And school teachers and parents
7	are going to be scrambling to help create some sort
8	of portfolio for their students. They're going to
9	try to find computer software courses that are free
10	to register their students in, to create something.
11	And the test is just a much simpler way of gaining
12	admission. I was in a unique position because I,
13	when I entered Tech in '78 there was a 50 percent
14	drop-out rate from '78 to '79 from the specialized
15	science high schools. I was on the honor roll every
16	year, so my sophomore year, they asked me, Stuyvesant
17	high school went to Tech and asked if I wanted to
18	transfer because I lived in Manhattan. No, I wanted
19	to stay at Tech. Well, they asked a lot of people
20	that. Some came from the Bronx to go to Tech. NO,
21	they didn't want to go to Bronx Science. So that's
22	how important the test is. Fifty percent drop-out
23	rate. The people couldn't do the curriculum. They
24	passed the test, but they couldn't handle the
25	curriculum. So what difference does it make if you

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you.

2	have more and more and more and more criteria
3	if the students can't perform and handle the
1	curriculum. It's just another way of degrading the
5	curriculum so people can enter, and I think there's
ĵ.	really something strange about what's going on with F
7	through eight. This needs to be investigated. Thank

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very much. And I don't mean to be rude, but I do have to do this time constraint, and I apologize to people for having to cut you off. The next panel, Michael Benjamin, Dennis Saffran, Phil Gimm, Charles Varishka [sp?], is he still here? Okay. Ying He Chin Li [sp?]? Is Ying He Chin Li here? That's you? Okay. Sylvia Ramos [sp?]? Who would like to start? Oh, I have to swear you in, please. Raise your right hand? Do you solemnly--would you all please raise your right hand? You're not going to raise your right hand?

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: No.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's a procedure here to testify.

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Yeah, I know, but it's improper.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 425
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's the rules of
3	the Council, so
4	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: But it's improper.
5	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Then I may not be able
6	to let you testify.
7	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Why not?
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Because the rules of
9	the Council state that you need to be sworn in
10	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: [interposing] But you
11	wouldn't want to rely [sic] swearing in, would you?
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Excuse me?
13	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Would you want me to
14	lie in swearing in?
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I don'tI'm sorry, I
16	don't hear you.
17	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: If I raise my right
18	hand I say something I don't really believe in,
19	that's lying. I'm not going to do that. You're not a
20	court of law.
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, okay. So then
22	would you affirm that what you're going to say is the
23	truth?

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: The very fact that I'm here proves I want to tell. I will give testimony, period.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you're not going

to affirm that what you're going to say is the truth?

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: I'm testifying without
a court of law. The very fact that I'm offering
testimony, because you are not a finder of fact. So

there's no reason for me to be sworn in.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, so what we'll do is we'll start down here, and we will get over there, and I will make a decision. The rest of the people, would you please raise your right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. Mr. Varishka?

CHARLES VARISHKA: Yeah, I want to thank the Council for letting me speak today. I'm Charlie Varishka, and I want to say that I think that every child in this city should get the education that they need. If children need extra help, they should get that extra help. If children have special needs, those needs should be addressed, and if children are

gifted, they have to be challenged. It's incumbent
on us to make sure that every child in the city lives
up to their God-given potential, and we need to do
that by raising everybody up, not by pushing certain
children down. This city has a long history of
gifted education. We've seen children come from
meager circumstances. We've seen children come from
immigrants coming off the boat with nothing, come
into tomorrow public schools children, public schools
system, and based on their merit go on to achieve
great things for themselves and for us. And this
really fits in with what this city is about, because
we're a beacon for people around the world who can
come here and through hard work and exploitation of
their talents can go on to achieve great things. They
know that, and that's why they come here. And I
would say that these principals are also consistent
with American ideals with the American dream. And I
know that these days those things are not looked upon
highly, maybe, and maybe in some circles they're
mocked, but I would ask this council to look past
that cynicism and to vote no on the Resolution on the
specialized high schools, because an objective, merit
based system is the best thing for these children,

\_

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and it's the best thing for our country and for this great city. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next, please.

DENNIS SAFFRAN: Good evening. My name is Dennis Saffran. I'm a lawyer and public policy writer whose written about the specialized high school test. A copy of my article about the test in the summer edition of City Journal is attached to my written testimony. I'd like to tell you a success story about diversity and progressive values. racial minority group historically victimized by discrimination begins coming to America in greater numbers in the 1960's due to an immigration reform sponsored by Senator Edward Kennedy. Though many remain in poverty, they take advantage of several free, world class public high schools established by progressive New York City governments to provide smart, poor and working class kids with the kind of education that was once available only at Shote [sp?] and Andover. And by dint of hard work they totally best the dominant whites for admission to these schools. The group, of course, is Asian-Americans who now account for 60 percent of specialized school

students, and their story once would have been the
stuff of liberal dreams. Now, it's the source of
acute liberal discomfort since while their success at
these schools has in fact come overwhelmingly at the
expense of more affluent whites, it has also been
accompanied by a troubling decrease in the small
number of African-Americans and Latinos at the
schools. But, and I can't stress this enough, the
bill endorsed by Resolution 442 would do very little
to increase black and Latino enrollment at these
schools. I'm going to talk over this. Rather, it
would primarily benefit the privileged children of
the affluent white elite at the expense of poor and
working class Asian immigrant kids. And that's
backed up by both common sense and by the facts. The
so-called holistic admissions standards favored by
opponents of the test include such resume builders as
extracurricular activities and community service.
But as a parent leader pointedly noted, "The kids
with the best resumes in eighth grade are the kids
with money." The Chinese and Korean kids who have to
help out at their parent's stores after school aren't
going on the service trips to Nicaragua with the kids
form the fashionable neighborhoods. The winners in

2	this holistic system would be the children of
3	privileged parents who can came the system by buying
4	their kids the tokens of impressiveness. And this
5	common sense logic is borne out by comparing the
6	specialized schools as others have done throughout
7	the day with the screened high schools which use
8	these multiple admissions criteria. Yes, the
9	screened schools are somewhat more black and Latino
10	than the specialized schools. But they are also
11	considerably whiter, considerably wealthier and
12	substantially less Asian. While the black and
13	Hispanic share of the population at the top screened
14	schools is 14 percent higher than at the specialized
15	schools, the white population is 22 percent higher.
16	And the Asian population is an incredible 34 percent
17	lower, 26 percent only compared to 60 percent.
18	Somebody earlier from the NAACP spoke about a
19	disparate impact. Let me tell you, 60 percent versus
20	26 percent, that's a disparate impact, and it's the
21	kind that civil rights lawyers sue about and courts
22	award damages for. Moreover, as has been noted,
23	there's also a striking class distinction between the
24	specialized schools and the screened schools. The
25	kids with the specialized schools are a lot poorer.

I just got to it.

Fifty percent qualify for free or reduced price

screened schools do. So this--

DENNIS SAFFRAN:

lunch, while on 36 percent of the kids at the top

Saffran, if you could just wrap up, I'd appreciate

This leaves me with two messages for the Council. For

against this Resolution. The bill at facts will not

substantially help your constituents, but will only

pit them against them another disproportionately poor

minority group while benefitting the most privileged

children in the city. And for those who represent

the affluent white areas in Queens and parts of

will benefit your constituents. I concede that.

those of you who represent African-American and

Latino constituencies, I ask you to please vote

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Mr.

I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

it.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

maybe--

2.2

23

24

25

so by one theory of what a representative should do,

Brooklyn, I have a more difficult message. This bill

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Mr.

DENNIS SAFFRAN: you should support it.

But if you do support this Resolution, don't style

Saffran, if you could wrap up, please.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 yourselves as champions of diversity and 3 progressivism. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next please.

PHIL GIMM: My name is Phil Gimm. I'm one of the Founders of Coalition EDU and an alumnus of Brooklyn Tech. I also ran for the Assembly in northern Queens this year. I have two concerns. has to do with the impact of A9979 on Queens, and the other has to do with the representation of Asians in this issue. It is obvious that the intention of bill of A9979 and the proponents behind it are to evenly redistribute the seats at the specialized high schools. However, this will severely impact the Queens high school students and their families negatively. Queens sends the most students to specialized high school every year, with about 1,900 students, which is 36 percent of all the specialized high school students. In fact, 60 percent of Bronx Science students come from our borough. The redistribution of specialized high school seats will reduce the number available for Queens's students and will send them back to the borough to look for seats. Queens, however, is uniquely short over 7,000 high

2	school seats. No other borough is like this, and it
3	would devastate the already overcrowded high school
4	situation in our borough. Queens should not be a
5	dumping ground for education. Queens has another
6	unique statistic, the most recent census in 2010
7	indicated that 100,000 Asian immigrants move into our
8	borough. Also, Asian-Americans have the highest rate
9	of poverty among all minorities at 29 percent. The
10	specialized high school reflect these statistics.
11	About 60 to over 70 percent of the students are
12	Asian-American depending on the school, and about 60
13	percent are economically disadvantaged. The
14	portrayal of Asian students at these schools as
15	wealthy and privileged test preppers is absolutely
16	inaccurate and is a stereotype. Certainly, when you
17	have a discussion about diversity at these school,
18	the Asian-American presence must be recognized. Yet,
19	among dozens of articles that have been written over
20	the past few months, many mention a lack of
21	minorities at these schools. Writers and even
22	politicians seem to ignore the fact that Asian-
23	Americans are also a minority, and the word Asian is
24	rarely mentioned. To the best of my knowledge, no
25	Asian-American community based organizations were

approached when Resolution 442 was drafted. Asian-American immigrant families in New York have limited choices when it comes to education. Due to the language barrier--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir, could you wrap up, please.

PHIL GIMM: due to the language barrier and familiarity with navigating the educational system to help hone in on specialized high school as their school of choice or high achievers. They see these schools as an opportunity for the children to get a head start--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir, would you wrap up, please.

PHIL GIMM: toward success and achieve the American dream. 9979 have ramifications that will adversely affect New York City's Asian-Americans who already have their own socioeconomic problems. With limited representation in government,

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir, would you wrap up, please--

DENNIS GIMM: [interposing] Last sentence.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm going to have to} \\ \mbox{cut your mic.}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

DENNIS GIMM: Last sentence. Legislation need to thoroughly consider the impact of the--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Cut the mic, please.

DENNIS GIMM: policy on the city's fastest growing minority.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Please cut the mic. Thank you. Next, please.

YING HE CHIN LI: My name is Ying He Chin Li, and I'm a junior in Stuyvesant High School. I oppose Resolution 442. I've read and heard what is said by those on the other side, and I feel that what they said is false, misleading or irrelevant. Most offensive to me is when they call us test robots. find this racist. Just because I'm Asian, they judge me as having going to cram school since first grade and is good at nothing but taking tests. They don't know what they're talking about. I never went to cram school. I borrowed a practice test book from the public library, and I did practice tests, that's all. I am a person. I'm alive. I day dream and have hobbies. I crack jokes and do silly things with friends. Yet, those who call me test robot dehumanize me just because I am smart, just because I

2	worked hard in my K through eight education. Is this
3	really what our education leaders want to do? At
4	Stuyvesant, some of my friends did go to cram school,
5	because their public schools didn't prepare them for
6	a rigorous high school. Previous panelist complain
7	that test cram resources were only available for the
8	privileged, but some of my friend are poor. Cram
9	school is not expensive. Some test prep is even
10	free. Math and Science Institute offers free test
11	prep, and Kahn Academy gives scholarships. Do our
12	education leaders really want to scorn those who try
13	to catch up weekends what they are not learning
14	during the week from lousy K through eight public
15	schools? When kids practice hard at basketball so
16	they can play professionally, do they get racist
17	epithets? No one thought Jeremy Lin got on the NBA
18	because of race. It takes hard work plus talent to
19	earn a place at the NBA. It takes hard work plus
20	talent to earn a place at Stuyvesant. Neither are
21	entitlements. Every one of us at Stuyvesant earned
22	his place, just which one of us to give up its place
23	for someone who did not work as hard or is less
24	talented. I urge you to vote against Resolution 422.
25	Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

3 | Council Member Lander?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Ms. Chin Li, first I want to thank you for your testimony and for being here, and I entirely agree with you that the-to the extent that anyone walked away with a -- and I don't think you heard it from any of us, the sense that that's how we think about you or your classmates. I, you know, I sincerely apologize. I don't think anything that we did indicated it in anyway, and I don't doubt for a second anything that you said about how hard you worked, about who you are, about what your dreams are, and I don't doubt it about any of your classmates, either. Whether they spent more or less time studying for the test, you're absolutely right that their hopes and dreams are the ones that we want to see flourish, and I really appreciate that you came down here and you stayed this late into the evening. I do disagree with you on the impact of the testing. I'd be glad to share some of the data that we have on what it does and what it achieves, and I do believe that Stuyvesant would be an even better school with a more diverse student population. I'd be thrilled to have that

ш			
	COMMITTEE	ON	EDUCATION

2	conversation another time, but I mostly just want to
3	say thank you because to the extent that anybody
4	things, and I will note to the prior testimony,
5	Asian-American Legal Defense and Education Fund,
6	Coalition of Asian-American Children and Families
7	either came to or submitted testimony in support of
8	this resolution, which is not to say that they're
9	right and you're wrong, only to say that there are a
10	diverse range of viewpoints amongst people of all
11	races on this point of view. And you know, we can
12	agree to disagree on this issue. I'm thrilled that
13	you're a representative of New York and that you're
14	working as hardly as you are at Stuyvesant and that
15	you came here to tell us what you think, and I
16	respect that I won't change your mind, but I wanted
17	to make sure that you know that I'mthat we're
18	listening and that we heard you. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Same here.
20	So, last but not least have you made a decision?
21	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: I'm sorry?
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Have you made a

decision?

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 439
2	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: I don't work for the
3	City of New York, and power to swear us in is only
4	regarding employees of the city who
5	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir,
6	when I have my committee
7	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Oversight, you have
8	no
9	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I swear
10	everybody in as I've done before.
11	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: You have no oversight
12	over me. I'm allowed
13	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Alright,
14	what I've decided to do then is allow you
15	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: [interposing] as a
16	citizen I'm allowed to offer my testimony.
17	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What I've decided to
18	then
19	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: [interposing] I'm
20	allowed to petition my government. If you insist on
21	preventing
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]
23	Sergeant

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: a former state

legislator who represented people as much as you do

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

from stating his thoughts on this resolution, on these bills, you're acting improperly.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The panel, thank you very much for coming, and I will--I'm sorry? You didn't have an opportunity yet? You didn't speak yet? Okay. So, yes, you may.

SYLVIA RAMOS: Hi, I submitted some written comments, so I'm not going to reiterate what a lot of people have said better here today. My name is Sylvia Ramos. I'm a parent with a child attending Stuyvesant High School. Thank you very much for hanging in there all day. I've been here since nine this morning, myself. I urge the City Council to support diversity, fairness and merit by supporting the SHSAT test for Stuyvesant High School, Brooklyn Tech, Bronx Science, and the other four specialized high schools by opposing Resolution 442. I want to share one story from my family, my husband who couldn't be here tonight. He grew up in Allerton Avenue in the Bronx. He attended PS 41. There was no gifted and talented program there. He was bullied. He became a discipline problem. Teachers, therefore, did not support him. They saw him as an issue. There was no test prep for the Hunter School

to enter sixth grade, so although he took it, he did
not pass. He went onto junior high school 113 where
there was a lot of violence in the classroom. At
this school, there was certainly no program to prep
for the SHSAT. There was more bullying. They had no
means. They were lower middle class. They had no
means for private school. His mother's efforts
helped get him transferred to JHS 135, which had a
volunteer program to help students test prep for the
SHSAT. Although he had no encouragement from a
teacher, he attended this class. He went to the
library. He checked out test prep materials from
there and studied diligently for two years. He
passed the SHSAT. He attended Bronx Science. He
joined the debate. He improved some communications
skills. He ended up applying and was accepted to
Harvard. I speak out, again, please oppose 442.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And thank you. And you caught me eating a chocolate.

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Mr. Dromm, my name is Michael Benjamin. I'm a former state legislator.

I'm a proud graduate of Bronx Science and a

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 442
2	recovering politician. But distinctly, I oppose
3	resolution 442. The test itself is neither racist
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir, as
5	I told you, you cannot speak until I've sworn you in.
6	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Sir, you are wrong.
7	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I'm going to
8	have to ask you then to take
9	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: [interposing] you have
10	no jurisdiction over citizen
11	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: a seat in the
12	audience, and I what I will do
13	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: petitioning their
14	government.
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: is I will allow you
16	to speak
17	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: If I were a member of
18	the Department of Education, then
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Sir, I
20	will allow you to speak at the end of the ceremony
21	MICHAEL BENJAMIN: But I am notI am not
22	a city employee, sir. I'm a resident citizen of New
23	York City, and I'm allowed to petition my government
24	to testify.

.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Officer, would you please address the issue. Thank you to the rest of the panel. Thank you for coming in.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I want to apologize

MICHAEL BENJAMIN: Sir-- [off mic]

to everybody for the interruption, but quite frankly, I've not had a situation like that before where anybody approaches the dais. Let's see if these people are remaining, Phillip Li or Lie? Adam Freilich, Ron Cau [sp?], Carla Bobinell [sp?], David Garcia Rosen? George Lee? George Lee still here? Oh, you testified, okay. Sorry. Robert Gezeldel [sp?], yep. Alright. Alright, would you please raise your right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Thank you. David, would you like to start?

DAVID GARCIA ROSEN: Sure. My name is
David Garcia Rosen. I've worked for the New York
City Department of Education for 16 years as a
teacher, dean, SSAL, founder, coach, and student
advocate. Not only are New York City high schools
separate, but they are also unequal. One stark
example of this is the public school's athletic

2	league, which continues to be one of the most
3	separate and unequal sports leagues in the country.
4	I've entered into evidence here a copy of the civil
5	rights complaint I field with the Office of Civil
6	Rights of the United States Department of Education.
7	Through a detailed analysis of data publicly
8	available on DOE websites, it paints an infuriating
9	picture of a tale of two cities. In one city, you
10	have the 68,708 high school students that attend a
11	school with a diverse student body. These schools
12	have anywhere from 21 to 82 percent white students
13	and incredible access to the public school's athletic
14	league. The average number of teams at these schools
15	is 18 with 15 percent of the students attending a
16	school with more than 40 teams funded by the
17	Department of Education. Fifty percent of these
18	students attend a school with more than 30 teams, and
19	70 percent attend a school with more 20 teams. In
20	the other city, you have the 72,000 students who
21	attend a high school with 99 to 100 percent students
22	of color. My high school, International Community
23	High School in the Monthaven section of the Bronx is
24	one of these schools. In the segregated part of the
25	high school system, the average number of PSAL teams

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

is seven compared to 18 at the most diverse schools. Not one of these students attend a high school with access to more than 30 teams compared to 50 percent at the schools with most white students. percent of these students attend a high school with more than 20 teams compared to 70 percent at the high schools with the most white students. Six thousand of these students of color attend a high school with no PSAL sports at all. The DOE is denying my students the opportunity to transform their lives through the power of sports while distributing disproportionate amounts of sports funding to our whitest high schools behind closed doors in a system filled with cronyism and maleficence. The United States Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights has made it clear, there is no excuse for violating Title Six of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. They have made it clear that you cannot use the excuse, lack of fields, lack of funds to deny students of color equal access to the diverse range of PSAL sports. As the former Director of the Small Schools Athletic League, I can tell you we have enough funds and we have enough fields to bring equal access to all students. we don't have is leadership at the PSAL and the DOE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

1516

10

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

that has a vision and desire to make sure every student in New York City has access to high school sports. In a month that we have been chanting "Black lives matter," We should also be in front of Tweed [sic] telling the DOE, "Black students matter."

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, David. Next, please.

RON CAU: Hi, my name is Ran Cau [sp?], a former Stuyvesant [sic]. I'm here to read a statement of a current Stuy parent Sonja Pablovich [sp?]. Here is here statement. "My name is Sonjau Pablovich. I am the parent of the senior at Stuy High School. I'm here to oppose Resolution 442, which is the state legislation to pass and the Governor to sign S7738 A9979, changing the admission criteria for New York City specialized high school. Resolution 442 [inaudible 08:57:42] on the premise mainly that is a current identity and the [inaudible 08:57:49] is failure to admission that serves to exclude a student [inaudible 08:57:55] strive at the specialized high school and the limited [sic] opportunity as result. That is the same a primary admission hurdle and let me tell you the reason why.

2	First, the SHSAT recognize [sic] the grammatical
3	[sic] choice test that would be most of the entirety
4	of what our student are doing in high school. A
5	student who doesn't naturally demonstrate a mastery
6	of academic content in your high pressure multiple
7	choice test will find four years of hell waiting.
8	Secondly, spending. School based expectation of the
9	parts for most of the year are right up on the DOE
10	website 2011 to 12 for the strict [sic] high school
11	average of per capita spending per student in the
12	17,722 dollars. Average spending per student at
13	Stuyvesant is 13,341 dollars. In fact, there is only
14	one high school [inaudible 08:59:02] per student.
15	Watching or reading high school [inaudible 08:59:09]
16	a night school where the [inaudible 08:59:12] is
17	spent. Third, the opportunities are relevant [sic]
18	to student based on family resources. There is a
19	clear divide at Stuyvesant. Forty-seven percent get
20	a free lunch. Some adversely [sic] [inaudible] that
21	half of the student body that is living near or on
22	the property line. Fourth, teachers. The students
23	take a test to get in, not the teacher. Stuyvesant
24	teacher are representative of New York City high
25	school teachers as a whole. Some are stellar, others

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

0.4

24

25

indifferent or feel codified. Please, the proposed changing the admission framework do not address the problem of racial diversity directly. Thank you."

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Next, please.

ADAM FREILICH: Good evening. My room is Adam Freilich and I'm a Bronx Science Alumnus of the class of 2013. We've come to a crossroads in today's culture where race is once again surfaced on the forefront of our media, our justice system and now our education. The statistics are irrefutable and there's an alarmingly small percentage of black and Hispanic students in our most elite secondary educational institutions, and this number only becomes more jarring when compared to our fine city's demographic complexion. However, our city's diversity is precisely the reason that we must not reform the admissions process for specialized high schools. Today, I will address why the proposed reform does not create new roads to success and how this shift in focus entrenches the system of discrimination. I stand here today this day in speaking with the hopes of bringing forth a future which looks to be void of privilege and thrives in

2 equality. The next paragraph in the written 3 statement addresses issues of poverty which most people have rehashed, so I'm going to brush over it 4 in the interest of time. But eliminating a Singular test in favor of a system that mirrors our college 6 7 admissions process seems to me a regressive step for inclusivity. In light of the recent Supreme Court 8 decision in Shuitt [sp?] the Coalition to defend 9 affirmative action, many news outlets published 10 updated data on racial enrollment and achievement 11 12 disparities at the collegiate level. We've done a 13 great deal to address our racial admissions gap as. 14 Of 2011, black enrollment trails white enrollment by 15 only five percent at the collegiate level. 16 Unfortunately, enrollment does not necessitate the 17 same academic success. The current population survey 18 notes that graduation rates have become stagnant with 40 percent of white students obtaining a bachelor's 19 20 degree on time, while only 20 percent of black and 15 percent Hispanic students can boast the same merit. 21 2.2 So my question then becomes why should we invite this 23 same disparity between enrollment and success at the high school level. Ms. Schnieder and Ms. Alfonso, 24 and especially Mr. Roberts all testified to some 25

degree of this same thought. Why should wewhat
would admissions do if they're not equipped to
succeed in the system that we're placing them in?
Ultimately, the logical solution then just becomes
reform as a solution. We turn our cheek and side
step the neighborhoods in districts that truly need
our assistance. If you really want to level the
playing field, you should do so long before the high
school level. The New York Times reports that
disadvantaged gain the most from preschool level
education seeing that they fall behind at a young
age. They have no means to catch up. Julia Isaacs
of the Brookings Institute notes that preschools
offer most promise for fixing this gap, making
children nine percent more likely to be school ready
by kindergarten age. To ignore schools in need is to
deny progress and to eliminate this test is to say we
are content with how this system stands, ignoring
those in need. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Next, please?

KAREN BARBINELL: Hi, my name is Karen

Barbinell [sp?]. I'm the parent of a current

Stuyvesant student. I'm going to skip right on, so

2	this might be a little less. So, 60 years ago,
3	Stuyvesant was too Jewish. Now, it's too Asian, a
4	code word for Chinese. So many of the "Asian kids"
5	at the specialized school are from so many places,
6	speak so many languages, not just Mandarin or
7	Cantonese, not just Korean, Pushtu [sp?] or Russian.
8	There are so many subsets within the populations of
9	specialized schools. These kids are from the poorest
10	countries, the Indian subcontinent, and many are
11	mixed race. They have a lot to put up with at home
12	as well at school. So it's not just poverty, it's
13	not. The test hasn't changed much. The process not
14	at all. Why are the results so different? There are
15	assumptions that kids who are successful students
16	were pushed, prodded and prepped, and these derive
17	the efforts of these children have made on their own
18	in the sheer doggedness so many of these children
19	demonstrated to attain a spot at these schools. Many
20	students are really angered by the proposed change to
21	the SHSAT. Individual examples don't translate to
22	statistical majorities, but one family's child is
23	emblematic. His parents did not want him to go to
24	Stuyvesant. They would not pay for prep work. They
25	specifically worked against this dream. This child

2	studied on his own, signed up for the test on his
3	own. I'm not going to say how we got a parent
4	signature there, because that might get the kid in
5	trouble. Nevertheless, he did well. His essay would
6	have been as heart stringing as anybody's, but he
7	didn't have that. He had the drive. He's at
8	Stuyvesant. His mother, frankly, I think would
9	rather he was working in the restaurant. Here's the
10	other thing, and this is from my heart about my own
11	son. So, most of the kids whose tiny and mixed race.
12	He can't get a cab in New York City. So most of the
13	kids at the specialized high schools came from
14	neighborhood grammar and middle schools. Often,
15	these kids were teased and bullied for being lost in
16	books, wanting to spend their time building computers
17	along with playing video games, and generally being
18	interested in different things. The bullying started
19	young. Why? It bears repeating. These children have
20	a different definition of play. Their favorite toys
21	were often books. Their drive is often more
22	academic. So there are all these things. I know that
23	time is limited, but I want to say last is you're
24	setting up kids to fail. In these schools, a kid is
25	really challenged that has done well but is at the

2.2

edge of his or her abilities. Put that kid in a
place where they start unprepared at day one, expect
that kid to not only make up the knowledge they don't
yet have, which you said you can't do, but learn new
material at a blistering pace that builds on top of
the information they're just being taught. How can
you do that to a child? It's cruel. They need to
prepared when they're little, and if not, oh my
goodness, what you're doing to them breaks my heart,
because then they're going to think they can't
succeed in college. Don't do this to these children.
Let the test stand and give these kids the help they
need. Just so you know, I teach at PS 304 in Bed-
Stuy.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Next, please.

ROBERT GEZELTER: I'm Robert Gezelter.

I'm a 1977 alum at Bronx Science, and I'm a--I went
to school, to college at NYU for a Bachelors, a

Masters, and most of a PHD in Computer Science. I am
against Resolution 442. Why? The goal is laudable.

There are more black and Latino students at the
school when I was there in the graduating of '77, but
jiggling the admissions process and making it

2	nonobjective is not going to fix the problem. It's
3	just going to create a lot of problems for the school
4	and for the students. The exam doesn't discriminate.
5	The differential outcomes appear to be more
6	correlated with the differential qualities of the
7	underlying K to eight experience. The exam is
8	therefore is race, gender, orientation, religion
9	blind. If you pass the test, you pass the test.
10	When I was there, that was a binding factor.
11	Everybody knew there was no games involved in getting
12	into the school. You pass the test. What you do
13	from there is what you do from there. The decision
14	to go to a top three school mainly Stuyvesant, Tech
15	or Science is a very strong commitment. You're going
16	to spend, and I did spend, an hour and a half each
17	way to an hour and 45 each way getting there and
18	back. My school day started at eight in the morning
19	and ran 'til four in the afternoon, and then I had
20	homework. That's a much higher and much more intense
21	course load than any other school in the system,
22	except perhaps Julliard or one of the other
23	performing schools. That's not an easy commitment.
24	It's not something that somebody can make up on
25	shortcomings. It was noted earlier that you start

COMMITTEE	ON	EDUCATION

doing the equivalent of college work on the first or
second day. If you come in behind the curve, you
aren't going to make it up. All you are doing is the
predecessor noted, is setting somebody up to fail,
which is destructive to him or her and it doesn't
help the school either. And that'sI'm going to
stay on time and basically conclude with that fact.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So I'm going to say thank you to all of you as well, and I appreciate it. It's getting very, very late now, and I'm going to call the next panel. Laura Hamilton? Jurie O'berg Harrell [sp?], I believe? Is Jurie here? I guess not. And Karen Barbinell? Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. You filled out two slips? Okay.

LAURA HAMILTON: Do I get to speak by myself?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are you the last one?

LAURA HAMILTON: Yeah. Save the best for last.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Wow, save the best for last, and one--and all alone, too.

LAURA HAMILTON: I do.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

24

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 2.2

23

24

25

LAURA HAMILTON: Okay. Should I start? CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes, please.

LAURA HAMILTON: Good evening. My name

is Laura Hamilton, and I am a parent of two children in New York City. I am opposed to changing the admission process to the specialized high schools because I feel it is premature to do so. Please vote no to Resolution 442. In 2013, over 69,000 eighth grade students took the math state test in New York City. Approximately 28,000 students took the specialized high school exam. Why did 40,000 students not take the test? If we are committed to diversity, then a blind test is the answer. However, many students do not have access to the test. I can tell you that many students did not receive those post cards that the DOE representatives discussed earlier today. If the test was mandatory, we could truly understand what is happening our school system. Multiple measures are often arbitrary. Grades from one school to another are not uniform. As councilperson Williams explained, the screened schools which use multiple measures are even less diverse than the specialized high schools. now, a blind test is the only way to eventually reach

COMMITTEE	ON	EDUCATION

the level of diversity that we are striving for. If
all eighth graders were mandated to take the
specialized high school standardized test, all middle
school would have to work towards elevating their

to make the specialized high schools more diverse is
to mandate the test for all eighth graders. Thank

curricula and preparing their students. The only way

9 you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Short and sweet.

LAURA HAMILTON: Thank you. I am short and sweet. I'm five feet.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [inaudible] testimony very much. Thank you.

ARA AREM: Hello, my name Ara Arem [sp?], and I'm an alumnus of the High School of American Studies at Lehman College, also known as HSAS. I'm one of the eight specialized high schools that use the SHSAT as the sole factor in determining admissions. When I applied to HSAS in 2010, the school was 44 percent black and Latino, about as racially diverse as the city it serves. But by the time I graduated, the freshman class was substantially lower, 14 percent black and Latino. I

2	witnessed essentially the gradual segregation of my
3	school. Having seen this trend play out, I set out
4	to better understand the high school selective
5	admission policies. During my senior year I devoted
6	a large amount of time to a documentary film project
7	that explored efforts to reform the admission system
8	for specialized schools. This film, entitled Reform
9	the Admissions, is now available on YouTube. Each
10	week I spend several hours discussing the
11	complexities of reforming the admissions systems with
12	my teachers and listen to student's experiences and
13	challenges with issues pertaining to diversity in an
14	increasingly homogenous social environment. I also
15	distributed surveys to SHAS teachers and students
16	attempting to analyze several topics of interest
17	relating to demographic change and efforts to promote
18	diversity within the specialized high schools. I
19	want to take this opportunity to share some of the
20	findings from these surveys. First, my findings
21	demonstrated a direct correlation between the decline
22	in racial diversity and the decline in socioeconomic
23	diversity at my school. For example, the class of
24	2014, 29 percent of students identified as black and
25	Latino, and 29 percent identified as working or lower

2	middle class. In the class of 2017, 14 percent of
3	students identified as black and Latino and 15
4	percent identified as working or lower middle class.
5	My findings also revealed the significant disparity
6	in the methods by which students of different racial
7	backgrounds prepared for the SHSAT. While 77 percent
8	of white students at HSAS paid for test prep, only 18
9	percent of the black and Latino students did. I also
10	found that teachers at my school were overwhelmingly
11	supportive of efforts to change the admissions
12	process at specialized schools. Eighty-two percent
13	of HSAS agreed that the specialized high school's
14	admission system should be reformed. The majority of
15	teachers also supported pre-registering all eighth
16	graders to take the SHSAT, expanding the Discovery
17	Program, offering seats to middle school
18	valedictorians, and several other reforms. While the
19	purpose of my project was not to advocate particular
20	policies, so much as to support a constructive
21	dialogue on reform. I'd like to this opportunity to
22	draw attention to two fairly commonsensical reforms
23	that have not garnered significant attention in the
24	public discourse. One of these we have discussed a
25	little bit today, and that's pre-registering all

2 eighth graders from New York public schools to take

3 | the SHSAT. This is the very least we can do. But

4 | additionally, I believe we should give more

5 consideration to the possibility of admitting

6 valedictorians of public middle schools to

7 specialized high school. This would be a guaranteed

8 way to increase diversity, and it would value

9 perseverance in the academic setting as well as

10 performance in high stakes examinations. And by no

11 means, the first one to support this policy. The UFT

12 | taskforce originally suggested this reform. It's

13 | unclear to me why there's been so little discussion

14 of this possibility today. I'd like to also ask

15 permission to present my film as testimony to the

16 council.

17 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sure we would love to

18 | have that. I don't think we have the ability to be

19 able to show it right now.

ARA AREM: Yeah.

21 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Obviously, but I will

22 definitely look at it. Is that the end of your

23 testimony?

24 ARA AREM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, I just want to

say thank you and I'm sorry that we somehow misplaced your slip. I know that you've been waiting here. You approached me even before. You've been through here. You sat through the whole hearing, and that shows really great dedication, and I'm very grateful that you did that, and I thank you very much for your testimony and for your suggestions. You are making the suggestion that we take the valedictorian from

ARA AREM: The idea would be to grant a spot a specialized high school for every valedictorian of public middle school.

each school and test them? Is that what your

recommendation was, the second recommendation?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In every--from ever middle school.

ARA AREM: Yeah, this would account for one-sixth of the seats for specialized high schools, not factoring the students who already would be placed into specialized high schools based on the SHSAT. So it really would come down to around 10 percent.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And that would be after having them taken the test as well?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ARA AREM: Yeah.

3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah. Okay, alright.
4 I just wanted to be clear on it. Thank you. Council

5 Member Lander has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, first I do want to thank you guys, I mean, everyone who's still here. I want to thank you for your patience and you stuck around. We do appreciate it, and especially to the two of you for closing it out. And I do want to say to you, you know, we've heard from a lot of people who are a great testament to all the specialized high schools and many of the other New York City public schools as well, you know, and who take these questions seriously, try to bring analytic and research and creative approaches to them. thank you. I'm going to tweet out your film, as I've been live tweeting a lot of the hearing, but I think, you know, it's this sort of approach and thinking that we need. The model that you're proposing, although it would only select the valedictorians, in many ways similar to essentially sort of what I think of as the Texas model, which takes the top 10 percent in--University of Texas takes the top 10 percent of

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 464
all high school students in Texas, and guarantees
them a slot in the UT system essentially.
ARA AREM: yeah, it'd be similar to that
in a way.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, it's one that
we, you're right, we didn't talk about today, and you
know, I was hoping we'd get a little more diversity
of thinking about models and approaches. We had some
of that earlier as supposed to just quite so polarize

set of testimony, but I look forward to watching the 11

film, and I appreciate having your contact 12

13 information in case we have any more questions about

14 it.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ARA AREM: Yeah, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Let me excuse the panel. Thank you for coming in and for waiting so long today to give your testimony. And so I don't think there's anybody else that wants to testify. Am I right? Okay, after nine hours, excuse me, nine hours and 45 minutes this meeting is adjourned at 8:45 p.m. in the evening. Thank you.

[gavel]

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 23, 2014