CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON COURTS AND LEGAL SERVICES

----- X

September 29, 2014 Start: 1:26 p.m. Recess: 5:30 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca

Chairperson

Rory I. Lancman Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mathieu Eugene Daniel Dromm Peter A. Koo

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Ben Kallos

Vanessa L. Gibson

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Weisel
New York Immigration Court Judge

Elvis Garcia Callejas Catholic Charities Community Services of Archdiocese New York

Levon Garcia

Nisha Agarwal Commissioner for the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Monsignor Kevin Sullivan Catholic Charities

Robert McCreanor Catholic Migration

JoJo Annobil Legal Aid Society

Anthony Enriquez The Door

Anne Pilsbury Central American Legal Assistance

Lenni Benson Safe Passage

Milady Baez Department of English Language Learners and Student Support

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tanya Shah Assistant Commissioner New York City Department of Health and Mental Health

Jeremy Kohomban Children's Village in Harlem Dowling

Victor Clauder

Elizabeth Olsson
Internationals Network for Public Schools

Deborah Lee Sanctuary for Families Immigration Intervention Project

Betsy Plum
New York Immigration Coalition

Katherine Russell Brooklyn Defenders Services

Stephanie Taylor Immigrants' Rights Projects

Irina Matiychenko
Immigrant Protection at New York Legal
Assistance Group

Elizabeta Markuci Immigration Project at Volunteers of Legal Services

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Abraham Barranca Committee for Hispanic Children

Meredith Fortin Lutheran Social Services

Rachel Tzimororatas Forestdale, Inc.

Nicholas Katz Make the Road New York

Pablo Gomez

Lori Adams Human Rights First

Lorraine Gonzalez-Camastra Children's Defense Fund New York

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Hello everyone. 3 I'd like to start this Immigration hearing. 4 tardes. I'm Carlos Menchaca, Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Immigration. I want to 5 6 welcome Council Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito to the 7 hearing, thank her for her leadership and for efforts 8 to address the humanitarian crisis being faced by many unaccompanied immigrant children. I also want 10 to thank Council Member Lancman for Co-Chairing this 11 hearing and congratulate him on being appointed as 12 Chair of the recently formed Committee on Courts and 13 Legal Services. I look forward to continuing to work 14 closely with the Speaker and Chairman in addressing 15 the myriad of need of these children. I also want to 16 acknowledge my colleagues who are here today, Council 17 Member Gibson, Council Member Kallos, Council Member 18 Koo, Council Member Eugene, and Council Member 19 Thank you for being here, and we'll be Espinal. 20 joined by other members as the hearing moves through. 21 Buenos tardes. Mi nombre es Carlos Menchaca. 22 [speaking Spanish] Melissa Mark-Viverito [speaking 23 Spanish] Rory Lancman [speaking Spanish]. Today's

hearing is tremendously important to us and is

another opportunity to understand and address the

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES unique needs of immigrants in our community, in our country, in our city. When I had my first hearing as Chair of the Committee in the February, I made a pledge to do everything I could to learn about the obstacles immigrants are facings and help craft solutions to help overcome them. At that time, we were examining the Council's funded pilot project, New York Immigrant Family Unity Project. That was the nation's first government funded universal representation public defender program to offer legal defense to those facing deportation in the city's immigration courts. I'm very proud to have played a role in groundbreaking this pilot programming, and in working with the council colleagues under the leadership of our Speaker and our Finance Chair, Julissa Ferreras, in allocating the 4.9 million dollars in this year's budget to expand the program and provide the legal representation to those who need it. However, today, we are faced with a complex crisis, one that has reached an undeniable tipping point. Tragically, nearly 3,000 unaccompanied immigrant children who came to the United States and to New York City seeking a better life have found themselves in Immigration Court without legal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES representation facing the possibility of being deported to countries they risk their lives to flee from for reasons like violence, climate change, or to reconnect with family members that are living in United States. We have families from within and from outside our district that are coming and approaching our office with questions about the complex process that they find themselves in. They have questions about how interacting with Immigration Court will affect their status and that the status of their loved ones. There needs to be clarity around this process and I'm hopeful that this council funding will help in bringing more awareness to these families. While I am happy to say that once again the city is coming together to address the needs of these children, there is so much more that we can do and that we must do. In addition to the efforts of the Council and various community organizations and not for profits, I want to acknowledge the efforts of the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs for creating an interagency task force to address a variety of social needs of the unaccompanied immigrant children such as education and healthcare, but today we are calling on the Administration to continue to explore

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES creative ways that they can focus and refocus priorities and potentially increase resources in much the way the City Council has done to ensure that wrap around services are expanded into the neediest communities who are incredibly difficult to reach. I also want to acknowledge the leadership of our city's Public Advocate Tish James, who early on called for legal community to come together to help these children in Immigration Court. She herself has been part of this process in getting trained and representing these children. After observing the plight of these children in Immigration Court, the Speaker of the City Council, Melissa Mark-Viverito and the Council recently announced one million of City Council funds and 900,000 dollars of private funds that have been allocated to legal services providing the needs for these children. And again, while I applaud the efforts that have been taken so far, this is a crisis that requires continued collaboration and commitment of public and private entities. This afternoon, I look forward to getting a better understanding of the extent of the problems these children are facing, learning about the efforts that those committed in helping them and what

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

additional efforts need to be taken to help these children realize their dream of a better life, a safer life. Before hearing those directly impacted by the crisis in immigration, Judge Robert Weisel, Chair Lancman and Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito will have some open remarks, but before I turn it over to them, due to the large number of people that want to testify today, we will be limiting everyone's testimony to three minutes and will provide translation services as I mentioned. [speaking Spanish] With that, I would like to thank everyone for attending this afternoon's hearing, and I will hand it over to Chair Lancman.

2.2

Thank you, Chairman Menchaca and Madam Speaker for giving the Courts and Legal Services Committee the opportunity to participate in this important hearing.

And in deed, what could be more important than ensuring that young children, most under the age of 13, most here without their parents, most here after a long and dangerous journey have their legal rights protected by a fair and efficient adjudication system where they are represented by experienced, competent council. And I emphasize, these children have legal

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 11 rights. The law provides various grounds for these children to remain in the United States. They may claim asylum if they have a reasonable belief that they are being persecuted for their race, religion, ethnicity, nationality, political opinion or membership in a social group. They may claim special immigrants juvenile status if they have been abandoned, abused or neglected by their parents and have no one to care for them at home. They may claim U-Visa [sic] derivative status if they, their parents or siblings are the victims of a crime committed in the United States. They may claim T-Visa [sic] status of they are victims of human trafficking and/or subsequent indentured servitude or prostitution to pay off their debt to the trafficker. And children with family members who are US citizens or parents who are green card holders can be sponsored by their family members or parents. This Council has made extraordinary exertions to ensure that these legal rights are not mere empty promises, but are in fact, fully vindicated. The New York Immigrant Family Unity Project begun last year and expanded this year creates a right to counsel for detained immigrant New Yorkers facing deportation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 12 proceedings. Other Council initiatives provide legal assistance to immigrants seeking US citizenship and protection from domestic violence. And just last week, Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito and Chairman Carlos Menchaca announced the Council's newest initiative, an additional 1.9 million dollars in public private partnership to provide additional legal representation to unaccompanied immigrant children. We will hear today from some of the providers of these legal services as well as the Federal Executive Office of Immigration Review and the Administration. I look forward to examining how effective have been our efforts to ensure that these children have a full and fair opportunity to press their valid legal claims. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair.

And now we're going to hear from our Speaker, Melissa

Mark-Viverito.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Want to thank both Chairs Menchaca and Lancman for holding this very important hearing and I want to say good afternoon to everyone that is in this room. We see a lot of friendly faces, a lot of people that will testify to the important actions that we are taking

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 13 as a city to address this very challenging situation. So, again, thank you for holding this hearing on equal access to justice of unaccompanied immigrant minors. As Councilman Menchaca mentioned, the Council has created a new committee on Courts and Legal Services in an effort to promote innovation and discuss ways to provide marginalized populations with legal representation. So I'm happy to have this new committee be part of this crucial hearing, and this topic is a good example of how this new committee chaired by Council Member Lancman will add to access to justice conversations moving forward. Today, we will shed light on a humanitarian crisis facing our country. It is heartbreaking to hear about the apprehension and detention of unaccompanied minors at our southern border. We've all seen the reports of a recent and substantial surge in the number of child refugees fleeing violence, human trafficking, poverty and human rights abuses in Central America. In fiscal year 2012, 14,000 unaccompanied children arrived at the border, double the amount of previous years. fiscal year 2013, this number climbed to 23,000, and since 2013, over 63,000 unaccompanied minors have been apprehended at the border. These numbers are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 14 staggering, but I've said consistently that we have a moral obligation to address this and to work and provide services to these children. We've seen some of the ugliness at the border, how these children are dehumanized, are criminalized. It's just really something that I don't think this country should be proud of and that we should not in any way support. So in the absence of any sort of true leadership at the federal level, it leaves cities just like New York City and others to figure it out for ourselves, and so we took a very bold step to fill in the void, and that is why we're having this hearing today. Recently, the federal government announced that it would accelerate the removal process for these children. On August 13<sup>th</sup> this year the Immigration Court commenced a daily docket for these cases. visited, as did Carlos and others, I visited a search docket personally, and it is to say the least distressing. I think actually Chair Lancman went this morning. Traumatized children standing before a judge without a lawyer. Their most urgent need is legal representation without which these children may be forced to return to dangerous and unstable conditions. But the Council has taken action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 15 Tuesday, September 23<sup>rd</sup>, I announced that the Council will provide one million dollars to fund a new program, the Unaccompanied Minor Children Initiative, which Council Member Menchaca mentioned. initiative will focus primarily on increasing access to legal counsel for children in removal proceedings, where there is the most critical and urgent need. The organizations funded will build on the current model of direct representation, leverage high quality pro-bono representation and integrate social services to lessen the growing back log of children appearing on the search dockets to assure due process for minors who are struggling to maneuver the immigration system alone. I want to thank all of the legal service providers. I know many are in this room, advocates as well for the hard and exemplary work that you do in our community each and every day. They play an instrumental part in protecting the city's unaccompanied immigrant children. We look forward to hearing more from them on what they have to say, and I thank and I welcome the Judge who is here with us today. Thank you very much for your efforts as well, and we'll hear, I know we're going to hear from you in a moment. Thank you, Chair.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 16 2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Speaker. And without further ado, we're going to ask 3 Judge Weisel to speak and before we do that we're 4 5 going to ask you to affirm for an oath. And we have--great. So we're going to have some folks join you. 6 7 Okay. Levon Garcia [sp?] from Legal and so the -- a Legal Aide Client, Levon Garcia, Elvis Garcia [sp?] 8 and Robert--oh, sorry, we got the Judge up here too. 9 If you can join the Judge up here at the desk. 10 you. Okay. We're going to begin with Judge Weisel. 11 12 JUDGE WEISEL: Good afternoon. My name 13 is Robert Weisel, and I am the Assistant Chief 14 Immigration Judge who oversees the Immigration Courts 15 in New York and New Jersey, and I am one of the 27 16 Immigration Judges who hear cases in New York City 17 Immigration Court. Thank you for inviting me to 18 testify today about the United States Department of Justice's Executive Office for Immigration Review's 19 20 role in processing the immigration case of unaccompanied children who appear in Immigration 21 2.2 Court. Over the past several months I have hosted 23 presentations at the New York Immigration Court for members of the New York City Council, their staffs, 24

the Speaker of the New York City Council and her

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 17 staff, members of the Mayor's Office, and the New York Secretary of State. When visiting our Immigration Court, our visitors have the chance to observe our priority unaccompanied childrens dockets, and I hope gained a better understanding of how EOIR's Immigration Courts operate. There are currently approximately 408,000 pending immigration cases before the Immigration Courts nationwide. About 55,000 of which are in New York City. Of those, approximately 6,500 were cases our staff coded with a juvenile identifier code. Statewide, there are another approximately 4,200 pending cases at about 100 of which are coded as juveniles. Each of these cases starts when the Department of Homeland Security serves and individual with a charging document called a Notice to Appear, and files that document with one of EOIR's 59 Immigration Courts. It is very important to note that EOIR is part of the Department of Justice is completely separate from the Department of Homeland Security. Removal proceedings begin with what is known as a Master Calendar Hearing, and typically continue with an individual hearing during which both parties present the merits of the case to the Immigration Judge. The outcome of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 18 many removal proceedings depends on whether the individual is eligible for protection or relief from removal. Immigration law provides these options to individuals who meet specific criteria. In many removal proceedings, individuals admit that they are removable, but then apply for one or more forms of relief. In such cases, individuals must prove that they are eligible for a remedy that immigration law provides to include asylum. As you know, this country recently experienced a surge of individuals crossing the southern border. It responds to the President's directive. EOIR has taken a series of recent steps to help address the influx of people crossing the southern border of the United States. These steps include making docket adjustments, reprioritizing the schedule of certain case types, and refocusing EOIR's immigration court resources. EOIR's response to the evolving situation on the southern border will continue to adapt appropriately and to concentrate on fair and expeditious hearings with due process for all respondents who come before the court. We are providing timely and fair adjudication of the cases before the agency, and providing shorter wait times for a first hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 19 before an immigration judge for those in the defined priority groups. EOIR has redefined its priority case groups to focus primarily on those who cross the southern border on or after May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2014. prioritize the scheduling of cases of individuals who recently crossed the border, and continue to hear cases of those who are detained. The cases of individuals that do not fall into those priority categories will take longer to resolve. Additionally, beginning on July 18<sup>th</sup>, 2014, EOIR realigned its dockets to ensure that each unaccompanied juvenile respondent identified by DHS receives a first Master Calendar Hearing no less than 10 days and no more than 21 days from the DHS's filing of notice to appear. Beginning June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2014, EOIR additionally deployed seven Immigrations Judges on detail to detained immigration port sites in Texas. Some of the New York City Immigration Judges are actually serving details to assist with the influx of priority cases. The concept of the juvenile docket is not a new one. In 2003, the EOIR initiated a juvenile docket at the New York City Immigration Court to identify all respondents who are under 18 years of age and came to the United States without a parent or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 20 legal guardian. Legal services providers are present to interview the children and to undertake their representation where possible, or to assist in finding other pro-bono representation for the children. This has evolved to the current system that is in place today due to the growing numbers of unaccompanied juveniles in proceedings. The New York City Immigration Court now has four Immigration Judges dedicated to those juvenile dockets. To date, we have scheduled and heard approximately 11,600 juvenile cases and still have approximately 6,500 children's cases pending. With the commencement of priority unaccompanied children's cases of which we have approximately 1,130 pending, we continue to work with legal service providers. We also have a legal orientation program for custodians of unaccompanied children or LOPC in New York City. Since 2013, the LOPC has operated the LOPC National Call Center out of New York City to assist in making appointments for custodians at one of the LOPC provider locations, and to provide telephonic assistance to custodians who live outside the geographic areas in which LOPC is currently available. This telephonic assistance includes legal orientation on the Immigration Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 21 process as well as guidance in filing basic court forms, such as the change of address and motions to change venue. EOIR recognizes the value attorneys and credited representatives presence can bring to Immigration Courts. In addition the increase deficiencies, a representative of record can provide for a more effective hearing as well. Various stakeholders including public/private collaborations have worked to increase representation rates of the cases in the Immigration Courts. Though EOIR's 59 Immigration Courts all operate under the same laws and quidance, one thing that is unique about New York City is that due to the large immigration bar in New York, there are more legal representatives available to assist respondents than in other immigration locations, Immigration Court locations. And with the deployment of video teleconferencing, those representatives are able to assist respondents nationwide. Further, there are several government initiatives that encourage legal access and work toward improving the effective and efficient adjudication of immigration proceedings. Two weeks ago, the Department of Justice and the Corporation for national and community service announced grantees

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 22 under justice AmeriCorps, a grant program that will enroll approximately 100 lawyers and paralegals as AmeriCorps members to provide legal services to children. EOIR also continues to operate the recognition and accreditation program which the agency established to provide low income aliens with access to representation by individuals with adequate knowledge, information and experience in immigration and nationality law and procedure through nonprofit institutions. We hold informational events about this program several times per year, and most recently last Friday, that was on September 26th, we held an informational event in the hopes of building the capacity of that program. That's through webinar. For all of the positive representation happening nationwide to include in New York City I must also note that EOIR is actively involved in combatting immigration services scams through our fraud and abuse prevention program. EOIR looks forward to continuing its partnership with the New York Attorney General's Offices and other in law enforcement to continue the fight against Notario fraud. We also recognize and appreciate the engagement of the New York City community, including the 1.9 million dollar

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 23 initiative, the City Council recently announced in providing legal and other services to unaccompanied alien children in New York. We look forward to continuing to work with you to address the legal needs of the children, and I thank the Council for your invitation.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much. And we're going to be putting the clock, so if you all can make sure that we put it at three minutes, the Sergeant at Arms, and we can have Elvis Garcia next. Make sure that the red button is on. Thank you.

Chairman Menchaca and members of the Committee on Immigration. Good afternoon, Chairman Lancman and members of the Committee on Court and Legal Services. My name is Elvis Garcia Callejas and I am a case manager at Catholic Charities Community Services of the Archdiocese of New York. I am pleased to speak about the Catholic, about the services Catholic churches provides to the Legal Services for Custodians to the unaccompanied minors and their caregivers here in New York and to provide you with a personal perspective on why this and other programs

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 24 are so important to this very vulnerable community. Since 2011 Catholic churches in partnership and with the support of the Executive Office of Immigration Review has provided a lot of informative sessions to the caregivers and unaccompanied minors through the Legal Orientation Program for Custodians, LOPC. LOPC is a presentation that includes discussions of the immigration system, the court procedures and a review of the different legal relief available to many of the children and some of the adult custodians, an explanation of the custodian's rights and responsibility with respect to their children. Catholic Charities is the only person in LOPC providing in the New York State. The audience for this presentation are the parents and other relative or a family friend with whom minors are unified after they have been released from ORR, or Office of Resettlement--Office of Refugee and Resettlement Shelters. Many of the custodians are unfamiliar with their rights and their responsibilities with the federal immigration system or with the state and local school system, or with the different services available to them. A majority have not lived with their children for many years. The LOPC presentation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 25 tend to orient the custodians with respect to their new responsibilities and to reassure them about their roles as custodians and the legal process ahead. addition to providing legal and relate information, LOPC presented a list of legal services providers and information in contact for schooling and healthcare counseling, food pantries and other services. presenters assist with custodian completing change of venue, change of address which are forms that we use to relocate court hearings from one state to another state. Until now [sic] that presentation were held at Catholic Charities Office of 80 Maiden [sic] Lane in lower Manhattan and some juvenile immigration court dockets. Three or more group presentation are scheduled each week in the daytime and evening. than 70 families attended the LOPC presentation each month. Custodians from far away as Connecticut and Upstate New York make their trip to Manhattan for the opportunity to have an informative individual presentation. Since August 2014, Catholic Charities has given an LOPC presentation at each expedited juvenile docket. All caregivers and children are invited to attend the presentation before the hearing begin. The Immigration Courts provides space and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 26 time for that presentation. After the presentation, custodians and minors are encouraged to ask questions, which many of them do. Catholic Charities has worked with closely with other I Care's [sic] providers to make sure that the presentations and the screenings are not interfered with each other. than 500 custodians, one of them core presentation, Catholic has committed to presenting at each of the expedited dockets. The LOPC session has proven to be powerful and successful tool for educating and orientating roles [sic] that caregivers and the children. As a former unaccompanied minor, I know firsthand the importance of the LOPC was for the information provided and for the clear explanation of a complex immigration system. I arrived from Honduras when I was 15 years old, having traveled alone by foot and on tough terrain [sic] through Mexico. When arrived to the United States and even after I have left the Office of Refugee Resettlement Shelter and I was living with an American family, I was very confused and overwhelmed by the immigration process. Fortunately I had a public interest attorney to help me with a family court proceeding in Texas, and another free lawyer who helped me to get

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 27 immigration status in Chicago, Illinois. I will not have been able to pursue my case on my own without the help of an attorney. That's what I tell a lot of the--that's what I said at the LOPC presentation to the custodians of the minors. I also understand why so many of the children are leaving their countries. My life in Honduras was surrounded by violence and poverty. When I was eight, eight years old I began working full time on public [sic] basis in order to help support my family. My sibling, my friends and I did whatever we could to avoid being confronted by gang members. When I returned to Honduras after living for some time in the US, I realized how accustomed I had been during my childhood to seeing dead bodies unlike many of the minors that I encountered to the LOPC work. I had no family in the United States when I came here. I was incredibly lucky to have been sponsored by a wonderful American family who helped me restore my education, become a United States citizen and eventually graduate from college. I want to thank you Catholic Charity for giving me the opportunity to work for them and to provide these services to the unaccompanied minors growing up, and I want to thank the Executive Office

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                        28
 2
     of Immigraiton Review for providing all of this
     support. Thank you.
 3
 4
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much
 5
     for that testimony and sharing your personal story,
    too. This really helps, this helps us understand at
 6
 7
     that personal level what you went through. Thank you
     so much. Senior [sic] Garcia?
 8
                LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]
 9
                TRANSLATOR: My name is Levon Garcia
10
     [sic].
11
12
                LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]
13
                TRANSLATOR: I am 22 years old.
14
                LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: I came to you as 16 years
16
     old to New York City, when I was 16.
17
                LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]
18
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you speak into
     the mic, too, actually, if we could bring both mics.
19
20
     Sorry to interrupt. I just want to make sure that
     this in the record.
21
2.2
                TRANSLATOR:
                            Okay.
23
                LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]
24
                TRANSLATOR: I came running away from the
     problems from Guatemala.
25
```

| 1  | COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 29 |
|----|---|
| 2  | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 3  | TRANSLATOR: Like gang, threat and abuse.                |
| 4  | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 5  | TRANSLATOR: I've been since the second                  |
| 6  | day I came to the United States, I was working in       |
| 7  | cleaning and maintenance.                               |
| 8  | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 9  | TRANSLATOR: I couldn't study because my                 |
| 10 | father didn't let me.                                   |
| 11 | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 12 | TRANSLATOR: He had me locked up.                        |
| 13 | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 14 | TRANSLATOR: I bought my food with the                   |
| 15 | money that I saved, and I send money away to my         |
| 16 | mother in Guatemala to help her with home stores        |
| 17 | [sic].  |
| 18 | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 19 | TRANSLATOR: So she could buy food for                   |
| 20 | herself and my brothers.                                |
| 21 | LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]                        |
| 22 | TRANSLATOR: Then my father came back to                 |
| 23 | Guatemala. My father treated real bad my mother, and    |
| 24 | then my father left and I was the one who supported     |
| 25 | my family.  |
|    |   |

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

2.2

TRANSLATOR: Gang members killed my father in front of my little sister and my sister.

My sister is already has—is 11 years old and she came to United States when she was nine years, running away from the members, from the gang members who killed my father.

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: When my sister was nine years old she came to the United States, but they stop her. They call me to see if I could go for her, but I was a little bit afraid. I was afraid because I didn't have papers, but I decided to go, and then I went even though I didn't have any documents, legal documents, but I went to court and in court I met Christina.

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: I met Christina through my sister. I didn't know that I could obtain my documents without—I didn't know that I could obtain my document without trying to have my documents until I met Christina. She told me that I could obtain my documents, and thank the Lord, we worked for the documents.

TRANSLATOR: Christina explained to me that she could have the juvenile visa, that I could have the juvenile visa since I came to the United States when I was 16 years old and because I didn't have any father and mother and because I was abused by my father. She explained to me that eligible to obtain the visa and my sister is also eligible to obtain the visa because we are daughters from the same father and mother and because she was underage.

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Christina explained to me that when I got-- I'm sorry. Christina started with my case when I was 20 years old, and she also started my sister's case. Thank God I have my green card. Then she proceeded with my sister's case, and now my sister has her juvenile visa and we are counseling the deportation case.

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: My mother is with her lawyer in Immigration Court. That day that we met

Christina, she counseled us to go to the help center for immigrants where my mother is.

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

2.2

LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]

Christina, my life would have been worse or the same

as before without documents and living afraid.

2.2

23

TRANSLATOR: The same way my life has

changed once I obtained my documents, I would like

for other people in the same condition that I was to

obtain their document so their life also would change

for the better and to improve the quality of their

7 family and move ahead the same way I did.

LEVON GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Muchas gracias [speaking Spanish] Muchas gracias. And so thank you. This was the first panel. [speaking Spanish] We have questions for you all, and so we're going to--I'm going to start off the questions, and then I'm going to bring it back to the colleagues. I'll ask a couple and then I'll come back to fill in some of the things that we're going to be needing for the court. I'm not going to ask all the good questions. But the first question that kind of highlighted some of the work that is needed in the courts, is I want to really point to fraud in the Notarios that are out in the community and really understand if this is something the court is looking at as well in the midst of not only the crisis, but the increased docket and the increased number of judges. Can you

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 34 talk a little bit about what you're doing to kind of combat that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, certainly. I'll be very happy to. EIOR's Fraud Prevention Program makes referrals for investigation of immigration fraud, complaints that have--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you get closer to the mic? Just pull it closer to you. Thank you.

ROBERT WEISEL: The EOIR Fraud Prevention Program is quite robust. We have a disciplinary council in False Church, Virginia. She's part of the Office of the General Counsel in headquarters at the Executive Office for Immigration Review. Judges may refer cases where there is a suspicion of fraud or deceit to this particular individual who is an attorney, and the Executive Office for Immigration Review conducts an investigation. And as far as attorneys are concerned, what we do is we investigate instances where there may be allegations or suspicions of criminal, unethical or unprofessional conduct, and that's not only at the Immigration Court, but also appearances before the Board of Immigration Appeals as well. We also assist federal and state law enforcement agencies in investigating

and prosecuting immigration related fraud and the complaints and request for assistance. The Fraud Prevention Program receives each year involve fraud perpetrated against the government as well as the unauthorized practice of immigration law. So there are a variety of ways in which fraud can be perpetrated. So the judges know that when they have a suspicion they can refer individuals or cases to the Disciplinary Council in Washington.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and it'll be important for us to kind of get that feedback as things change. This is new to the docket with the new judges and making sure, like you said, that they are trained to understand that this is a component of it. In the same line of questioning for the kind of quality of service and quality of justice system, with the increased speed and the expedient nature of this process, including a kind of web casting teleconference component, and I want you to address that directly, but how does this potentially or does this potentially compromise the process itself or due process?

ROBERT WEISEL: I think that's a very good question. I want to distinguish between our, the

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 36 court's obligation to put these cases on within 21 days of when we receive a notice to appear and then what happens afterwards. What happens afterwards is the regular court process. We do not speed the process after the case is on the initial master calendar. We, the judges who I have selected to preside at the priority children's docket for unaccompanied children, treat these cases just like any other case, and what do I mean? We are required and it is our intent to ensure that attorneys obtain the necessary evidence that attorneys get the necessary time to investigate a case. continuances are married up to those kinds of requests. We do not rush those cases after the cases get on that first Master Calendar. In fact, they're treated no differently than any other case, and continuances are given in accordance with each judge's discretion, but we hear closely to what the attorney is requesting in terms of the time that they That's the key. What time, what kind of time do they need to marshal the evidence, and the judges consider those requests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm going to hand it over to you, the Speaker.

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                       37
                                        Thank you, Chair.
 2
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO:
 3
     I have--Judge, thank you for being here.
 4
                ROBERT WEISEL: Thank you.
 5
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I want to just
 6
     dissect a little bit in terms of the testimony of the
 7
    numbers that you provide.
                ROBERT WEISEL: Sure.
 8
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Particularly when
 9
10
     you're talking about the juvenile docket. So, on the
     second page, I think the second paragraph.
11
12
                ROBERT WEISEL: I know. I want to
13
     correct the record. Are you talking about the 1,300?
14
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right, because
15
    you talk about, you said--I know you said 1,100 or
16
    whatever, but yeah.
17
                ROBERT WEISEL: Right.
18
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I picked up on
     that. You said that to date we have scheduled and
19
20
     heard approximately 11,600 juvenile cases, still have
     approximately 6,500 children's cases pending.
21
2.2
                ROBERT WEISEL: Right.
23
                SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right.
24
     those are not all unaccompanied minors situations,
25
     right?
```

juvenile dockets that have existed for several years.

but I'm sure that we can get those figures, that data

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 40 for you. As a matter of fact, we have a website that I can give you. It's PAO, which is, .EOIR@qsdoj.gov. And they would be able to give you that data.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. And I'm sure when we get to the advocates, I'm sure that they give us, but in terms of, you know, the rationale for us coming together and putting these additional resources was to try to get to as many of the cases as possible at the city level and provide every child with some type of, you know, with representation. Okay, I think those were--I just wanted to clarify on those numbers. And then, if I could as Mr. Garcia a question. First of all, thank you for your testimony. It's impactful as it is with Ms. Garcia, right? So I wanted to thank you for that. sure if you can answer this, maybe. I know there's other reps from Catholic Charities coming up as well, but you were talking about your particular situation, that you were sponsored by an American Family.

ELVIS GARCIA CALLEJAS: That's correct.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right. Do we have a sense of these children, what percentage are actually being sponsored by someone other than a family member, and what percentage are being

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 41 2 sponsored by maybe extended family? Do we have a sense of that? 3 ELVIS GARCIA CALLEJAS: I do not have 4 that number right now, but I'm sure the next league, 5 the other organization will have, will provide you 6 with that information. SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right, because I 8 mean that's an interesting in terms of to know that 9 in some cases they are here with ex--they are here 10 11

with extended family, but not all of them are. They could be in a sponsorship situation, right, like you in terms of your case was similar to that.

ELVIS GARCIA CALLEJAS: That's right. I

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ended up with an American family who sponsored me and some other children and that being foster care too.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And you didn't mention this and I am curious, how's your family, your parents?

ELVIS GARCIA CALLEJAS: Well, it's a very-my city's a very dangerous city and their situation is still very--it's a very difficult situation.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: No, I just was curious. Thank you very much for--I know these are

not easy to put yourselves out there like that and share your experiences, but it really is significant and it helps give us context to what we're doing and the importance of it, and I think putting a human face to it is important, so that is very much appreciated. [speaking Spanish] Thank you very much.

ELVIS GARCIA CALLEJAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Chair Lancman?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Judge, good

afternoon.

2.2

ROBERT WEISEL: Good afternoon Councilman.

earlier and I had the chance to speak with you before we started the hearing, I had the opportunity to visit the court this morning and was very impressed with what I saw, both in terms of the way the court has organized its proceedings. Judge Mary Cheng [sp?], I sat in her court room for about 45 minutes, and saw her handle a number of cases efficiently and with empathy. And then I was also with some lawyers from Legal Aid and Catholic Charities, and I could see how committed they are. But as the Speaker said

in her opening remarks, you could also see for the children involved what a distressing situation it is. And I could only imagine what it must be for them after all that they have gone through to get to that point, but from what I saw, the court is handling it as well as can be. Let me ask you some particular questions about the legal obstacles that some of these kids are facing. The 1,300 children that are in the surge docket, is that correct, 1,300?

ROBERT WEISEL: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: How many of their cases have been heard or at least, you know, have appeared on the Master Calendar?

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, as I said initially, we are committed to putting these cases on within 21 days of when the Department of Homeland Security files the charging document. So many of these people have—many of these children have been heard at a initial Master Calendar starting in August, and this is going to be continuing. The immigration judges who I've selected to preside at the priority docket, so doing Master Calendars in the morning and the afternoon, we are doing about 30 cases in the morning and 30 cases in the afternoon,

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 44 2 and this is all they do. So and the reason why we're doing this is to ensure not only that the cases get 3 on the calendar within 21 days, but that afterwards, 4 5 these judges are committed just to doing these cases. So they're committed to giving reasonable 6 7 continuances, so that they can find counsel, and also it's done in such a way that the LOPC integrates with 8 us as well. So, as I said in my remarks, other cases 9 are being, are not being done because we're 10 prioritizing these priority cases. So, I can't give 11 12 you an exact number, but I would be happy to provide that to the council later on. 13 14 Alright. Have you CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: 15 been able to get a sense of what percentage of kids 16 are asserting which claims? Like, how many asylum claims are being asserted and how many U-Visa, and 17 18 how many T-Visa and how many--ROBERT WEISEL: [interposing] That's a 19 20 good--CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: juvenile status? 21 2.2 ROBERT WEISEL: Right, that's a good 23 question too. At this point I would say that we can't determine that because we're at the very 24

beginning stages of this process.

To reassert

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 45 affirmative relief it would be, you know, in may cases, it's a good idea to have an attorney. So, these children may be entitled to different forms of affirmative relief under the immigration and nationality act, but where we are in the process is very--is at the very beginning. So, at this point, we can't--I don't think that we can establish the different kinds of relief, but there are different kinds of relief that potentially they may be entitled to, and that's why we're committed to structuring the calendar in such a way that these children are given the opportunity not only to obtain counsel, but also to give those attorneys the opportunity to investigate to see what kind of relief they're entitled to. CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And I would assume

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that it's also too early to give any kind of real sense or estimation of how many kids are ultimately able to retain counsel. I mean, just from my observation today. Most of the kids showed up without counsel.

ROBERT WEISEL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: They were all approached by a Legal Services attorney, whether it's

Legal Aid or Catholic Charities or whomever. Those without an attorney were given an adjournment or continuance. Do we know yet how many are coming back at that first adjourn date and saying, "Hey, I still don't have an attorney." I would guess that many return without counsel and then the judges will in all probably grant another continuance so that they can obtain counsel. The LOPC, one of, part of their role is to make referrals where appropriate. So, I can't tell you how many children are without counsel on the second Master Calendar, but I'm sure that data can also be obtained as well.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: The--and I did notice that there was in several of the cases, the court was very mindful that kids--they're kids, and they're in school, and in a couple of circumstances, accommodation was made that the kids themselves don't need to return on the next date if it's not necessary, as long as they had their guardian was present. But something did happen this morning which was a little awkward, and I'm not sure how this jives with immigration law in terms of who can and can't be a custodian of a child, but one child showed up, she was by herself and was asked, "Well, where's your

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 47 guardian? Where's your parent?" And it was explained through the interpreter that her guardian, and she didn't use the term in the legal sense, but the person who was responsible for her was outside and was undocumented themselves and was uncomfortable going into 26 Federal Plaza.

2.2

ROBERT WEISEL: Certainly.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And I know when I went in, even with my big shiny City Councilman pin, I had to show identification. So, is that a problem? What can be done about it?

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, certainly we can't force people to come into the court, but it's our goal to ensure that the children have appropriate guardians using that in a figurative sense, not a legal sense, and appropriate sponsors. What we try to do, we're obligated reporters. So you mention the issue of school. So, the judges do grant—there are situations routinely where the judges might tell the child that they don't have to come back as long as they are with a sponsor so that they can attend school. So, we do that.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: My last question for you. You know, I had not realized and I think

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 48 most people don't realize that Family Court, State Family Court, actually plays an important role--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT WEISEL: [interposing] It does.

in these

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: proceedings for the kids who are looking for the juvenile immigrant status. Have you had any dialogue or coordination with the State Family Court in terms of if there's a surge in your docket that is going to translate into a surge in their docket and whether or not they are equipped in ramping up and if they are or not, whether that's effecting your process?

ROBERT WEISEL: Right. That's a very good question. I think the advantage that the New York Immigration Court has is that we have experience with the juvenile docket, and we've been doing this for quite some time, and we have four juvenile judges who've been doing this on a regular basis for a Some of the judges have met with Family Court while. judges to have a -- to conduct a dialogue with them, and that's done so that we all understand that this is a combined process, and that while the Immigration Court does not have legal authority or judicial authority to establish the status of a quardian in Family Court. The reason why we do or we have had

meetings with Family Court judges is to acquaint them with the fact that our proceeding is in some way dependent upon theirs, because the special immigrant juvenile visa cannot be granted unless the family court acts as well, and so the Family Court judges understand that role and we've maintained a dialogue with them over the years. So, and that's the advantage that we have in having four permanent juvenile dockets that we have maintained or established relationships with them as well on a professional basis.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thanks. And just one question for Mr. Garcia. The LOPC, you know, when I was there this morning, the practice seems to be that for the kids who are not represented by a lawyer, they're invited to the ceremonial court room where Legal Aid or one of the other legal service providers gives a presentation about what's going on and what you can do. Are you involved in any way in giving presentations or arranging these educational session at 26 Federal Plaza? Would that be practical or would it be impractical for the reason I discussed earlier that there are a lot of undocumented folks that don't want to go into 26 Federal Plaza? But it

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 50 is an opportunity where at some point or another everyone's got to go through that building.

ELVIS GARCIA CAJELLAS: Yeah. We do

LOPC's at 26 Federal Plaza and we do other LOPC's

outside of Federal Plaza. So, for the people that do

not have the chance to assist one LOPC, they can have

the opportunity to attend to the LOPC in 26 Federal

Plaza, but we also see other custodians outside of 26

Federal Plaza when we have our LOPC at 80 Maiden

[sic] Lane in Lower Manhattan. I noticed that people

that have received the LOPC before they go to 26

Federal Plaza they feel less—they have less anxiety.

They know what's going on. They know that they're

not going to be deported on that first hearing, and

they look more comfortable. They feel safer in a way

then when they are at 26 Federal Plaza and they

haven't received the LOPC.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We have a couple more Council Members. We're going to put them on a three minute clock for questions, and we'll go with Council Member Espinal first.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 51

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you,

3 Chairman. Question for the Judge, how crucial is it

4 for the individual for the child to have legal

5 representation when he sees a judge in court?

6 ROBERT WEISEL: Well, it's very, very

7 | important because first of all, it's a child. A

8 child lacks capacity. Secondly, a child is

9 vulnerable. Thirdly, a child doesn't know anything

10 about the law. So, there's significant, significant

11 | hurdles and impediments. So that's why having an

12 attorney is very, very important. It--an attorney

13 satisfies many, many different things. For example,

14 | an attorney's is an obligated reporter I think an

15 attorney, as an officer of the court, if the attorney

16 suspects that there's abuse or trafficking, the

17 attorney is affirmatively obligated, I believe, to

18 report that. The attorney also works with the

19 | sponsors to ensure that the child is going to school.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Can I just jump

21  $\parallel$  in real quick then just because of the clock. But

22 | would you say, with the majority of the children will

23 comes see a judge, doe they go in for the same

24 | issues? Would they ask for--would they ask to stay

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 52 in the country just because of the same issues they're experiencing at home?

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, as I said in my remarks, there are maybe various forms of affirmative relief, and as a matter of fact that is the principal reason why a child should have an attorney, so that the child can be represented, and if the child has a legally definable form of affirmative relief, he'll have an attorney of law who will be representing the child and making the best case for why the child should remain in the United States. So, asylum may be one form of relief. A child may also be entitled to obtain a visa through the Special Immigrant Juvenile Visa Program, and that is a extended process, and attorneys work in coordination with Family Court attorneys to achieve that end as well. So, having an attorney is crucial.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: How often do you see--how often are children represented by an attorney when they visit Immigration Court?

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, I can't give you the data. I don't know how many, but I am heartened by the 1.9 million dollar initiative, and hopefully

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 53 we will be able to see more and more attorneys represent kids in court.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Espinal, and I want to welcome to the hearing Council Member Dromm, former Chair of the Immigration Committee and a true partner for us all. Go ahead and ask your question.

Menchaca. I had an immigration, an education, excuse me, committee hearing earlier, and of course, you know I Chair that and I couldn't get over here before then. I don't know if this issue has been addressed before in the testimony. I was just trying to glimpse through the papers, but what type of immigration relief is available to the Majority of these children?

ROBERT WEISEL: That's a difficult question to ask, to answer, because each case turns on its own facts, and until you see the case itself and you can't determine what relief is available, but the kinds of relief that I mentioned before, typically are perhaps the kind of relief that a child would be entitled to apply for like political asylum and also that the Special Immigrant Juvenile Visa.

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 54

And then, ultimately, the child would become a lawful permanent resident, but in the abstract, with all due respect, I can't tell you until I see, I would see a case itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So one of the things that I'm interested in is the possibility of relief on a basis of asylum, particularly for lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth who may come into the country, and often times due to their young age or because of the conditions within their home countries, youth are not always aware that that type of asylum is a possibility, and I kind of wanted to point that out to you as the Assistant Chief Administrative Judge also in the court system, but also to kind of pose it to the advocates who will be coming forth to present a testimony today. I had a case where I had a 16 year old former student of mine come into my office after having first been elected to the City Council, and he closed the door behind him and usually, being trained as a teacher I don't like to ever have the door closed with a youthful person behind me, because it's always two in a room, you know, but I let it happen, and what he told me was that he was bisexual at the time and that he had

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 55 been thrown out of his home and that he was seeking asylum, and I was really pretty amazed by that, but you know, and then the statute around asylum has to be that you have to apply for asylum within the first year, I believe, of seeking that type of relief, and this young man had lived in the country for a number of years. He was brought here when he was about four years old, and he hadn't come out of the closet. he had a very difficult time dealing with his sexuality and didn't know, and then there were a whole host of issues around his having known prior to the time when he was 16 years old and he didn't know that he was gay. And I'm just wondering how cases like that are handled in the court system. Is there room for those youth who are just coming out to be considered for asylum?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT WEISEL: Well, you mentioned several factors that in fact under the law may qualify for an exception to the one year filing requirement, the person's age. The person may have a disability, or some other type of impediment, or there may be other factors under the law that would prevent the person from timely filing an application. So, those factors exist in the law, but chief among

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 56 those is that if a person is under 18 years old, that might actually qualify as an exception.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, I visited 26 Federal Plaza a number of occasions in my former role--I'm sorry, I'm probably over the time limit. Just this last one.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: But there are varying degrees of understanding, let me put it that way, with immigration judges. In terms of one, their experience even in the field, but certainly around this issue. Is there anything that your administration is doing to ensure that justice is handed out evenly in regard to especially the plight of LGBT youth?

ROBERT WEISEL: Sure, certainly. The US
Immigration Court, the Executive Office for
Immigration Review and the Immigration judges receive
training. We attend conferences, and at those
conferences, we receive lectures on the types of
issues that you mentioned to sensitize us to these
issues. So, this is an ongoing process for us
through the Department of Justice, and this is not
something that's new for the Immigration judges.

Member Dromm, for bringing that into focus for us

here as well and this affecting the LGBT community.

And we're going to hand it over now to Council Member

2

1

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council

3

4

5

6

Gibson.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

would have to get data for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON:

Thank you very

Menchaca and Lancman and our Speaker for being here,

Good afternoon everyone. Thank you, Chairs

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON:

and thank you all for coming and your testimony.

truly appreciate it. My question is for you, Judge,

I know that when we try to look at the faces of the

1,300 cases of unaccompanied minor children that you

ethnicity of the children. I know many of our minor

described, for me it's important to understand the

children come from Central America, South American

countries, but I'm interested to know because I have

a community of West African immigrants as well, and I

just wanted to find out, do you see a sense of

children coming from West Africa as well as many of

ROBERT WEISEL: Councilwoman Gibson, I

Okav.

our Caribbean and West Island countries? Are you

seeing that diversity?

2 ROBERT WEISEL: I'm not able to tell you

at this point the demographic diversity of the children who appear on the priority docket, but perhaps we do have data--

6 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
7 Okay.

ROBERT WEISEL: that may in fact—

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: And I mention

that because I've had several cases in my office that

have come to me in the Bronx. To what extent do you

see any cases where there are siblings that are here,

brother/sister, brother/brothers that are coming? Do

you see that a lot as well?

ROBERT WEISEL: Oh, certainly, certainly.

We have brothers and sisters. What is especially touching is the age of the children. Some of these kids are very young, very young, and it's heartbreaking. What I try to do as the Chief

Immigration Judge is to set a standard and, you know, it may be symbolic, but it's important. The judges don't wear robes. The judges speak in a conversational tone to the kids. My, our objective is to lower the level of anxiety, lower the level of

2.2

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                        59
 2
     fear, because after all, symbolically, if you see
     someone in a black robe, it's very scary.
 3
                COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON:
                                         Intimidating,
 4
 5
     right.
                ROBERT WEISEL: And very intimidating.
 6
 7
     So we try to take that out of the environment.
                COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Now, you said
 8
     young children, are we talking about younger than
 9
10
     five?
                ROBERT WEISEL: Yes.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Babies? Toddlers?
13
                ROBERT WEISEL: I've seen younger than
14
     five.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: More prevalent
16
     than teenagers, pre-adolescent?
17
                ROBERT WEISEL: I can't tell you exactly,
18
    but to answer your question about younger than five,
    yes, we have seen children younger than five, yes.
19
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. So would
     you say then in order to help a lot of these
21
2.2
     children, since they're much younger, and that you
23
    know, feeling scared to see judges in robes, are
     there things that we could do from the Council to
24
```

offer more services so that you have people that are

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 60 2 able to connect with children versus teenagers? you think that would be helpful? 3 ROBERT WEISEL: Well, I think the LOPC 4 provides that necessary role. We've had Legal 5 Services providers performing this role for years, 6 7 and they've worked with children who are very young. So, hopefully, we'll be able to expand that to 8 include more children. Our ceremonial court room is 9 where we have our LOPC and it looks like a daycare. 10 And I'm saying that positively. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Comfortable 13 setting. 14 ROBERT WEISEL: Yeah, it looks like a 15 daycare in certain respects, and you know, 16 intentionally so. So, the LOPC meets there, and they 17 provide that necessary guidance for kids, and these 18 people have been trained to do this for an awfully long time. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. And just one final comment. I know my time is up. I really 21 2.2 want to applaud the efforts that you are doing 23 working with the Attorney General and many of our law enforcement around many of our scam artists--24

ROBERT WEISEL: Yes.

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: that pose as immigration service providers that really prey on many vulnerable families and children. So I know there's been legislation passed in Albany that would raise some of the penalties on many of these companies, so I applaud the work you're doing and encourage you to continue doing that.

> ROBERT WEISEL: Thank you. We will.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you.

ROBERT WEISEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and before you leave, and I just want to thank all the panel, I have one question for the judge and for Ms. Garcia. Is there one thing that we're not doing right now that we could be doing that you can just highlight for us so we can start working on it? [speaking Spanish] Judge, if you want to go first.

ROBERT WEISEL: Thank you. I have to state on behalf of the court my sincere appreciation for the work you have done for your interest. I was very happy that you came over to the court to give me the opportunity to explain the process and that also you were able to get a three dimensional view of what's going on by the standing with the

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 62 2 Speaker and the sheer numbers of children. It really is almost literally overwhelming. So, you're doing 3 quite a lot, and with that 1.9 million dollars, that 4 translates into a commitment for the future, and I 5 look forward to--we look forward to that bearing 6 7 fruit. 8 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. ROBERT WEISEL: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And Seniora [sic] 10 Garcia, [speaking Spanish] ? 11 12 LEVON GARCIA: [speaking Spanish] 13 TRANSLATOR: You can help them. The kids need help from the ages of five to six. In my 14 15 country, kids work and they ask for tips, whatever 16 you can do to help. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Muchas gracias 18 [speaking Spanish] Muchas gracias. Thank you so much to the panel, and again, just thank you for your 19 20 testimony. We're going to bring the next panel up, and this conversation will continue, of course. 21 have a lot of work to do, and this is an incredibly 2.2 23 dynamic situation and crisis. So thanks again. next panel will be with the Administration 24

represented by Ms. Nisha Agarwal, Commissioner for

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 63 the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, and I will also just cue up the next panel. Just be ready to come up after Ms. Agarwal speaks, and it's the Ms.-these are all the legal service providers. is Monsignor Sullivan, Catholic Charities, Robert McCreanor from Catholic Migration, JoJo Annobil from Legal Aid Society, Anthony Enriquez from The Door, Anne Pilsbury from the Central American Legal Assistance, Lenni Benson, Safe Passage, and we'll have you all next after Ms. Nisha. And so, Ms. Nisha Agarwall, can you please affirm for us? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to the Council Member's questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NISHA AGARWAL: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much. Okay, and we'll begin with you.

NISHA AGARWAL: Great. Thank you to

Speaker Mark-Viverito, Committee Chairs Menchaca and

Lancman, Public Advocate James and the members of the

Committee on Immigration and Committee on Courts and

Legal Services. In my role as the Commissioner of

the New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 64 I work on policies and programs to improve the lives of immigrant New Yorkers on behalf of Mayor de Blasio. My office does that work both out of the Mayor's office directly as well as in concert with many of the city's agencies, including many who are here with me today, the Department of Education, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, the Health and Hospitals Corporation, the Administration for Children's Services, the Department of Youth and Community Development, and the Department of Homeless Services. Among the most important aspects of this work is to provide support to the most vulnerable immigrant residents of our city. This is a group that includes the fast growing population of young people, largely from Central America, who recently arrived in the United States after fleeing terrible violence in their home countries and undertaking a dangerous journey to seek safety and reunification with family. Mayor de Blasio and the entire Administration are firmly committed to supporting these children and their families and sponsors. pleased to be here to describe the work that the city's agencies have done as you consider the role of city government in general in responding to this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 65 crisis, and partly due to time constraints, I will cover portions of the testimony focused on New York, but the full testimony is provided to you in written Of the tens of thousands of children who have arrived unaccompanied at the border so far this year, 4,799 were placed with family members or other sponsors in New York State through August 31st, making New York the recipient of the second largest number of these children behind only Texas. This number, as well as the thousands more we expect to arrive in the coming months and years and the children who arrived with a parent or other adult and have also been placed here is a reflection of the large Central American communities across the state, particularly in parts of New York City and Long Island. The Administration worked hard to acquire localized data on the placement of these children through the federal government, which were made available in late August. These data show that the largest numbers of children in the state are in New York City with about 1,550 arriving in the city the first eight months of this year alone. The largest numbers are in Queens and then in Brooklyn in the Bronx, as well as in Manhattan, and fewer than 50 in

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 66 Staten Island. Large numbers have also been placed in Nassau and Suffolk counties with over 1,200 arriving in each and several hundred children in the lower Hudson Valley. As this crisis deepened earlier this year, the Administration convened a meeting of city agencies coordinated by the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs and including representatives from DOE, DOHMH, ACS, DYCD among others. The agency's first work to determine the needs of this population of children and to assess both the range of government service that the children needed access to, and the mechanisms by which the city could connect the children to these services. In the area of education, the Department of Education has worked to ensure that no barriers exist that would prevent school enrollment by undocumented children who may lack traditional evidence of identity and residency, to vindicate children's rights to school enrollment quaranteed by the federal and state constitutions and the Chancellor's regulation A101. Also, the Department of Youth and Community Development has worked to identify afterschool and literacy programs. In the areas of health and mental health, the Department of Health of Mental Hygiene is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 67 facilitating enrollment in Child Health Plus or CHP, a state funded public insurance program for which all residents are eligible regardless of immigration status. The Health and Hospitals Corporation has worked to ensure that these children will have access to care in the form of primary and specialist pediatric healthcare services and to identify appropriate methods of providing mental health trauma screening, given the high incidents of trauma and anxiety for these children. Doctor Bassett, the Commissioner of DOHMH and Doctor Raju, the President of the HHC, are finalizing a letter to be issued soon to the city's pediatricians to provide information about immunization, mental health screening and available medical and behavioral health resources. The Administration for Children's Services is ensuring the availability of child and family welfare services with the Department of Homeless Services to identify homelessness prevention services available to families and with other agencies to prepare them to provide appropriate services and referrals to these children. Throughout this process, the Administration has endeavored to connect all of these hard to reach children and families to the city's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 68 services. That is why New York City has for the first time placed government representatives at the federal immigration court to provide services directly to the children. Since September 10<sup>th</sup>, representatives of the DOE and DOHMH have been working at the Immigration Court Priority Juvenile Docket every day to connect the children appearing before the court to the school system and to help them access health insurance. We began this unprecedented program in recognition of the immense challenges these children and their families face in integrating into the community while they struggle through the newly accelerated Immigration Court procedure. From September 10<sup>th</sup>, when DOE and DOHMH began their work in the court through this morning, about 400 children, plus about 100 parents and relatives have passed through the court, about 40 percent of whom are New York City residents. Agency representatives have provided assistance and referrals to all those with education and health needs. Initial DOHMH data show that about three quarters lack health insurance, and we have provided information and referrals for CHP enrollment in those cases. For children living in New York City, the DOE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 69 has found that the majority are already enrolled in the process or in the process of enrolling in school, and is providing assistance connecting children to the appropriate English language learners programs and other resources. For children living outside of New York City, the DOE is also assisting children to connect and navigate the enrollment processes in their school districts. The DOE and DOHMH employees at the court are making truly remarkable efforts to assist all the children who come before them, both city residents as well as residents of other counties. MOIA, my office, is also working closely with our agency partners to produce a resource and referral guide and a public website to share information with families and providers about city government and non-governmental assistance in the area of legal services, healthcare, mental health, education and child and family welfare. information will be available this week and will be accessible online on the website of the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, and VF311. We look forward to working with the City Council to help distribute this information in your districts across the city. In a similar vein, to our effort to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 70 connect with this hard to reach population at Immigration Courts, the city will soon be launching community based clinics to provide services directly to these children in the communities where they live. We have been supporting nonprofit community, nonprofit organized community events for immigrant youth, including an event at PS 24 in Sunset Park yesterday. The Administration and the agency's work to support these unaccompanied children and their families is of a piece with oru deep commitment to supporting all children in New York City regardless of immigration status and background. Administration has already overseen a historic expansion of services for all children and young adults including the establishment of universal access to pre-kindergarten and expansion of middle school afterschool programs, the creation of a new community schools program to match high needs schools with community based social services and the creation of a new center for health equity to reduce health disparities among others. The city's worked to help these newly arrived immigrants is entirely consistent with our overall approach to advancement of young New Yorkers. Concerning the children's need for legal

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 71 assistance, it is crucial to acknowledge the hugely valuable and difficult legal work undertaken by a number of legal services providers, community based organizations, religious institutions, law firms and volunteers to provide legal screening to all children who lack representation and to work to connect them with attorneys who can take their cases before the Federal Immigration Court and the State Family Courts. The Administration's been working closely with these legal services providers, and they have done incredible work in difficult circumstances, despite being stretched beyond, far beyond, their normal capacity. Their ability to do this work going forward would be impossible without support from the City Council. I would like to thank Speaker Mark-Viverito, Chair Menchaca, the City Council and the Robin Hood Foundation of the New York Community Trust for recently awarding 1.9 million dollar in grants, take an excellent group of nonprofit organizations to provide legal and other services to these children. The need for representation is great, and the Council and its private partners new funding is an immensely important step toward meeting that need. In closing, I simply want to recognize the incredible efforts of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

New York City's agencies, the City Council as well as private funders, community based organizations, legal service providers, and many others. In the face of a heartbreaking situation that has been politically charged in much of the rest of the country, we have appropriately recognized this is an issue of child protection and humanitarian need and reacted accordingly. These children need our help and the de Blasio Administration and others are here to help them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you,

Commissioner for that testimony, and we're going to

be having--we're going to have a round of questions

now, and what I wanted to start with, in the kind of

moment that we're in as a city in trying to

communicate about all the work that's happening, and

thank you for highlighting some of that. We're going

to kind of walk through some of that work. In this

interagency kind of task force that you've created,

can you tell us a little bit about that, how often it

meets, what kind of conversations are happening that

are important for us to know about and how can we be

helpful?

2.2

NISHA AGARWAL: Sure. So the task force has been meeting periodically, I would say every few weeks since the kind of initiation of this situation, initially focused on identifying the sort of scale of the issue in New York, and then really focusing now on how to best coordinate services. And some of the activities that I described, the city agencies being involved and really came out as recommendations of the work group, the immigration court and the community based clinics in particular as well as the resource and referral guide. So now, what we're really focused on is ensuring implementation of those efforts successfully.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And in the effort for really this kind of wrap around services, can you tell us a little bit about those outcomes, including potential increase in new funding streams that can augment some of that work that is needed. Clearly, we keep on hearing from advocates that it's not enough that we kind of reallocate the current resources, but that we actually need to increase the number of resources. So how, what are the out—what are the kind of objectives of this task force, and does it

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 74 include increasing the actual number of dollars in the world that we're talking about?

2.2

NISHA AGARWAL: So, at the moment we have been able to meet the current need based on reallocating existing resources at DOE and DOHMH in particular, but where the work is keeping a very close eye on the evolving situation and we'll explore if additional resources are needed moving into the future absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Tell me your involvement in--I was there this weekend in Sunset Park, the New York Immigration Coalition amongst the Mexican Consulate, the different departments. Tell us about your role and how you kind of see this growing and from your perspective.

NISHA AGARWAL: Our involvement, and it's really the agencies that are here with me, the involvement has been to provide essentially the same kind of staff and support services as we're doing at the immigration courts. So, the Department of Education will be there to help with school enrollment, English language learner resources. Similarly, the DOHMH will be there to help connect, to help insurance services, and we feel that it's

valuable to continue doing that, to have our agencies in the community connecting not only to these children, but also to their family members, and the two places they are likely to be are one, the Immigration Courts and two, of course, the communities where they live. So that is certainly the ongoing work that we plan to do, alongside distributing the resource and referral guide that we're developing.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. And the success of that event was incredible, the multiple agencies, the kind of coalition effort from New York Immigration Coalition, but also the different impacted communities, like the Chinese community and the Latino community were definitely present there. So we want to continue to grow that.

NISHA AGARWAL: Great. And I'll just say that there was one similar event in Manhattan in July and then in the Bronx in August, and also very, very successful and well attended.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Great. We're looking forward to expanding that. I'm going to hand it over to the Speaker for her questions.

2.1

2.2

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 76 2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Chair, 3 and thank you, Commissioner for being here. Maybe you could share with us a little bit in the time that 4 you made the announcement of the resource tables being in the Immigration Courts. Like, how has that 6 7 gone, the level of interaction? Are you the first people that they contact, meaning the staff at the 8 tables, or is it first going to the providers that 9 are providing the orientation, and then they can--I 10 mean, maybe talk a little bit, and maybe how many 11 12 families or individuals you've been helping? 13 NISHA AGARWAL: Sure. So I'll actually turn that over to the folks at DOE and DOHMH that are 14 15 on the ground to respond. 16 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you introduce 17 yourselves before you speak? 18 MILADY BAEZ: Good afternoon. In terms of the Department of Education, we have dedicated--19 20 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Can you introduce yourself, first? Sorry. 21 2.2 MILADY BAEZ: My name is Milady Baez and 23 I am the Senior Executive Director of the Department of English Language Learners and Student Support. 24

So, the Department of Education has taken actions to

address some of the needs. In our Department, we have dedicated members of our staff to be at the Immigration Court in order to assist the minors as well as their families with their legal rights and different educational programs that they should be enrolled in. We have been doing that for the past three or four weeks, and we are planning to continue with that kind of support.

2.2

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Are there other representatives from other agencies at the referral table?

TANYA SHAH: Yes, hello, my name is Tanya Shah. I'm Assistant Commissioner with New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. We are also stationed at the court. We are clearly visible upon folks attending the hearings can stop on their way in, on their way out. There is no mandate for them to stop at the table, but we do try to make our services visible and clear so that they can and receive information specifically on health insurance eligibility and services. We have DOHMH staff located at those tables that are enrollers, as well as outreach staff both in English and in Spanish. They are given an appointment to sit down with an

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 78 enroller, one of the DOHMH enrollers if they are a New York City resident. We are seeing more than half of the immigrants coming through are outside of New York City, so we then partner them with an enrollment navigator or enrollment assistor in their areas that they may be coming from in Long Island.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: How many individuals have you worked with?

2.2

NISHA AGARWAL: Approximately 400 since September  $10^{\rm th}$ .

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: This is relatively new initiative. And on a separate note, just what is the level of interaction and collaboration with the feds, you know, because I know that there are some children that are being housed in some sort of a shelter capacity in some way. Do we know that? Is there a level of collaboration? Just trying to understand the dynamic between the federal government and then us as a city and where that intersects.

NISHA AGARWAL: So, in the--initially, when this situation emerged, the federal government was requesting of localities, shelter space, potential shelter space that they would fund, and we

at the Administration started exploring what the options would be in New York, but then soon thereafter, the federal government said they were not looking for municipal space to shelter these children. So to that extent, there are no federal shelter facilities for the children in New York. To our knowledge, there are some private or nonprofit shelter facilities that are supported by the federal government. We'd be happy to provide that information to you afterwards in terms of where they are. They're not necessarily made public, they're private facilities.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Before I hand it over to the other Council Members, I want to ask, how long are you ready to be in these courts, in the courts? And secondly, were there any other programs that were cut and discontinued because you moved and reallocated funding? I want to understand those two dynamics.

NISHA AGARWAL: Sure. So we're preparing to be in the Immigration Court for as long as these priority dockets remain. And no, the city has not had to cut resources from other programs in order to be able to meet this need. It's actually been a need

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 80 that we've been able to accomplish, DOE, DOH, others within their existing budgets and staffing, but again, we're keeping a close eye on this situation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that. We're going to hand it over to Council Member Dromm first. Three minute.

and it's good to see you. Commissioner Agarwall, I also wanted to follow up on questions I had asked the judge prior to this, and my concern is around how we're going to screen LBGT youth for possible relief based on asylum. Have you--well, first, maybe if we could just off by--with the 1.9 million, what organizations are getting the money?

NISHA AGARWAL: That's a good question. I believe it's, and I may get some of these wrong,

Legal Aid, Catholic Charities, Make the Road New

York, Central American Legal Assistance, and I'm

afraid I can't remember the other.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So has a criteria been set for the screening of these youth for the use of our money, and will there be any tracking done in terms of the types of relief that they're seeking?

2.2

-

NISHA AGARWAL: So that's an excellent question I actually don't know the answer to. I don't know if other folks here from the Administration do. It's possible the legal services providers would know the answer to that question who are testifying later.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. In terms of our oversight over those organization in terms of the Council dollars being used as well, I think one of the things that I would particularly be interested in, and we'll talk further about with Chair Menchaca, is particularly looking at the LGBT component of it and how many youth are applying for asylum under that type of relief. Is there any effort by your office to work in that direction or to explain or to support education around that issue?

NISHA AGARWAL: Absolutely. So one thing
I should mention is that these funds will be sort of
distributed through the Human Resources
Administration. We're working closely with HRA on not
just this sort of pocket of immigration legal
services funding but also the Family Unity Program
and others. And so some of these comments around
screening and ensuring that we're properly tracking
how a number of different immigrant young people are

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 82 getting the services and who they are is really useful feedback for us to take into our process, certainly for this. And we'll be sure to do so and continue to keep you apprise as we develop that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So I thought I heard in the Judge's testimony, if I'm not mistaken, that he was talking about Special Immigrant Juvenile Status as being a possible way to get relief. That's possible for somebody who has just arrived here and their parents are not necessarily here in this country?

NISHA AGARWAL: Yes, my understanding is that the way you establish Special Immigrant Juvenile Status is by first being able to go to Family Court and sort of being certified as having been abandoned, abused or neglected. And if you're able to do that, then you can file a petition for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status. I'm not an immigration lawyer myself, but that's my sort of understanding of the process and it's been estimated in studies that between 40 to 60 percent of these unaccompanied children will be eligible for some form of legal relief including SICH [sic].

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And the children

3 that are currently here are in the custody of 4 relatives and/or foster care?

2.2

NISHA AGARWAL: They're in, largely in the custody of relatives or other sponsors, not in foster care.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Council Member Dromm. And Chair Lancman?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good afternoon Commissioner.

NISHA AGARWAL: Good afternoon.

things that I learned this morning on my visit was the challenge that the legal services attorneys, whether it's Legal Aid or The Door or Catholic Charities or what have you have in gaining the trust and understanding of the potential child client, and not just for decency's sake, but in order to be able to develop the facts that might assist the lawyer in presenting a claim of abuse or neglect back in the home country or trafficking or any of the basis which might be traumatic and difficult for a child. I had

an opportunity to say hello to the Department of
Education and Department of Health folks who were
manning the table there. Has there been any
consideration about working with the Legal Services
attorneys to provide social workers or other
professionals who have the particular expertise of
relating to these children and being able to get them
to speak candidly about very very painful subjects,
but subjects which might be the ticket to their entry
or their being able to remain in the United States?

NISHA AGARWAL: Yeah, so there have certainly been conversations about the need for social workers, and I think it's a great suggestion and as we think about what more we can do or what other options there are to assist these children to really engage the social work community in doing this work. I think that's critical and, you know, I think this is an opportunity to think about the Immigration Court as a community court, where it's a point at which many different services come together to assist not just this population, but hopefully other immigrant youth coming through the Immigration Courts as well. So it's a wonderful suggestion.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair, for that. And some final questions as we move forward. It's clear that the Department of Education and the Department of Health have presence in these courts. Question about ACS and some of the other agencies, are you brining any of those online to have that kind of interaction? And then the second piece to this is housing, and whether or not this task force has had any conversations around housing plans

and what is your understanding of the kind of

Administration's response to housing?

NISHA AGARWAL: So, with respect to ACS,

ACS is providing information, not necessarily staff,
but information about family programs and

preventative services that are available to these
families and sponsors, many of whom are sort of

receiving these children, you know, somewhat

unexpectedly and so the ACS is definitely supporting
the initiative in that way. And then in terms of
housing so far, our understanding is that most of
these children are placed with sponsors who are
housed, but we're continuing, Department of Homeless
Services is engaged and continuing to observe the

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 87 situation to make sure if there are any housing needs that we're prepared to be able to address them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. for that. Any other questions from the Council Members? Okay, thank you Commissioner so much for being with us, and we'll follow up, and if we can get the other panel to come on up. I'll repeat those names again. If you can head over to the table, Lenni Benson, Safe Passage Project, Anne Pilsbury, Central American Legal Assistance, Anthony Enriquez, The Door, JoJo Annobil from Legal Aid Society, Robert McCreanor, Director of the Legal Services and Catholic Migration, and Monsignor Sullivan from Catholic Charities as well. If we can have you-- If we can begin with JoJo, Legal Aid Society, please, and then we'll go down the line. And make sure you speak into the mic and make sure that the button's opened. And the three minute clock will begin. you so much.

JOJO ANNOBIL: Okay, thank you. Good afternoon, Chairs Menchaca and Lancman and Madam Speaker, good afternoon to you as well. I'm from the Legal Aid Society. My name is JoJo Annobil, and first of all let me say a big thank you to the

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 88 Speaker and also to Chairman Menchaca for an outstanding work in being able to recognize a humanitarian crisis and being able to come to our aid and to help us be able to help the children. humanitarian crisis we're facing is really complex. It's layered. It's nuanced, but at its basic is the safety of children. So for some years now, 10, 11 years now, a couple of us legal service providers from the American Immigration Lawyers Association, Legal Aid, Catholic Charities, The Door, and Safe Passage have made it our business to make sure that we can protect these children, and I just wanted to go through a bit of what we've done with the assistance of other legal service providers and some law firm associates and some volunteers. What we've done since October 13<sup>th</sup>, sorry, August 13<sup>th</sup> of this year. Phased with a prospect of thousands of children coming into our city and going into immigration court with our lawyers we banded together and came up with a immigrant children's advocacy effort, relief effort, ICARE [sic], which basically brought a lot of these legal service providers together to be able to protect these children to be able to go to court. And I'm proud to say that we

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 89 have been able to staff the juvenile docket, the Sage [sic] docket every day since August 13<sup>th</sup>. Since August 13<sup>th</sup> we've seen 1,077 unaccompanied minor children have been scheduled to appear before the New York Immigration Court. The majority of these children are coming from El Salvador, Honduras and Guatemala. Approximately 900 unaccompanied minor children and their parents and custodians have attended daily Know Your Rights presentations to be able to meaningfully participate in the removal process. I Care volunteers have carefully screened approximately 400 unaccompanied minor children appearing in court without a lawyer for possible forms of relief. Approximately 51 percent of the children have been on the docket have retained counseling. Of the children that we have screened, 60 percent are eligible for a range of statutory protections including asylum for those fleeing past and future prosecution, persecution, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status for children who have been abused, neglected or abandoned, U and T-Visas, and we also look in our personal [sic] discretion as a form of relief. Three children that we've screened have proven to be US citizens and should never have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 90 detained or been placed in removal proceedings. one such case, we've been able to get the government terminate proceedings against the child. About 12 children in the last 16 years have been ordered removed in abstention [sic], and in all those cases we made strenuous arguments in court why the judges should not order them removed. We've also been able to ensure that children are enrolled in school and have health services and those who have even those who are enrolled in school have gotten DOE to be able to talk to them about being able to access special Ed. facilities, facilities for immigrants with special needs, and so we've done that. I thank you again. My time is up, but if you have any questions as to how we intend to use this money, I'm more than happy to answer them. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and we'll definitely go into that in the questions, and if we could kind of build on that narrative and really kind of talk about each of these organization's work and kind of help build a full picture, and again, really focusing on seeing if we could kind of differentiate the different roles for the organizations. And I know all of you are working

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 91 on Legal Services, but from this panel, we can maybe pull out the differences and the different unique relationship that you're having with this docket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LENNI BENSON: Do you want me to go next?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes.

LENNI BENSON: So, I'm Lenni Benson. a professor at New York Law School and for many years now I've run something called the Safe Passage Project. And we are partnering with the I Care Coalition because we've been an experienced juvenile provider. Our model's different. We come to the court as law student, law professors and experienced immigration attorneys, people that we've trained and some recent new volunteers who are stepping forward. We screen every child that appears on the surge docket or the juvenile docket, and then we find probono representation for the children. So, rather than being a direct service provider, we do what's called Closely Mentored Pro-bono. So I've given you a ten page typed testimony, and in it I think if you have time later, you can reflect between pages three and pages six, and that is the story of a child that we were able to assist. And it tells you all the steps of a Special Immigrant Juvenile Status case. I

```
COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                  92
know earlier several of the Council Members were
asking how do you get to Family Court, how long does
it take? All of that's described there. So, going
forward with the assistance of the money that the
Council's been able to identify and philanthropy has
come forward, we'll be able to expand our team.
Because I do this work pro-bono on top of my fulltime
job, now I'll be able to have some staff, and we will
continue to mobilize. The high points are to say, as
you, some Council Members asked, we do also work and
identify children who are gay, lesbian,
               That usually takes more time than a
transgendered.
fast screening at the court to build that kind of
        We also will be working with a lot of new
lawyers who are coming forward to volunteer, and just
in the last month and a half we've trained between,
well I know over 1,000. We hit over 1,000 people
making their first appearance at a training.
we're going to partner with the groups that you're
funding here and that you're supporting to continue
to add the pro-bono element, which cannot be a
complete solution, but can be a partnership.
```

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for

25 that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

93

KEVIN SULLIVAN: Council Member, thank you. Thank you, Chairman Lancman. I'm going to build very much on what was said by the Commissioner of Immigration Affairs, and the question that Chairman Lancman gave. When I ask our attorneys at Catholic Charities what is the thing that they want, they tell me all the time they want social workers and case workers. Because, while this is very much a legal issue and the council should be tremendously praised for the work you've done in expanding legal services, and I want to compliment the Administration on the information that it's providing down at Federal Plaza on all of those things. What I also want to talk now just very briefly about is we need to go one step further. We need to go a step further because information is great, but the trauma that many of these children have suffered, meaning they don't only need a list or a piece of paper. need somebody who can work with them, work with their parents to make sure they do the things that they want to do. That even though our Education Department is very open to immigrants, but we got to make sure they go to school. We got to make sure that they do their homework. I know stories of 16

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 94 year olds who have been suicidal. A child came here who couldn't hear, and through intensive amount of work enrolling him in a special school for the deaf, now he can communicate with his parents. That makes social workers who are going to be able to do that. We've partnered with Montefiore Hospital in a program called Terra Firma to make sure that a combination of legal, medical, psychiatric, social services available so those kids can make a step forward. also have a Safe Passages program which also deals with the social service needs of those families, those kids. So my request and my presentation to you today is the legal services are great. We're in the midst of doing it. You've done a great job, but we can't forget that there are more to an individual than those legal services. And the other fact we learned today that many of those kids, most of those kids will have remedies that will permit them to stay here in New York long term. So when we provide those social services to make sure they're going to school, they have good health, they learn English so that they can work here, what we're doing is investing in our future of New York City as an ongoing future

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 95 great metropolis. So pay attention to that in addition to the legal services. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANNE PILSBURY: Hi, I'm Anne Pilsbury, Director of Central American Legal Assistance. We're one of the direct providers. We've been representing this population for over 25 years. We've been representing adults as well as kids. So none of this is new to us. It's just a greater number. Let me just take the opportunity to just deal with a couple of specific things that have come up that I want to make sure everybody understands. First, the title unaccompanied minor is a little confusing, because most of the kids have been reunited with somebody, an aunt, a parent, a brother, a sister. They're really not floating around like we picture orphans. were designated as UAC's at the border, but they have been reunited here with an adult, and very often, the adult they came with and many of them did come with adults, although maybe not a parent, is also in proceedings. Now, I know the council's focus is in funding legal services for the UAC's, but think about it. We've got to be smart about how we use this

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 96 money. There are legal impediments to a deportation order against someone who's under 14. There's a problem with the validity of an MTA served on someone under 14. It may make more sense to represent the parent or the adult in the proceeding than just the kid. So we do both, and I want the, you know, you all to be aware that in many cases, protecting the parent may be what's needed to protect the child. Some of the kids are in proceedings by themselves. Sometimes their adult person they came with is in proceedings, but the cases aren't joined, and there's a lot of tactical work that a lawyer has to make in their head to decide whether it's good to join the cases or not. So, the real need is for, obviously, funding legal services, lawyers. The Know Your Rights, the legal orientation work is important and useful, but it's no good if there aren't lawyers on the other side to pick these cases up. And the numbers we're talking about here are huge. of your funding should go toward organizations that already have the knowledge and the track record to handle a lot of cases. Pro-bono attorneys are wonderful, but they're doing their first or second case and they can't handle a hard, a huge volume,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 97 plus they have to be fluent in Spanish. So, we hope you will, you know, heavy weight the funding towards organizations that can pick up a lot of cases and do them quickly. What Judge Weisel told you about adjournments, keep in mind that we have a wonderful bench here in New York. We really do. The Judges are great, but they generally won't give more than three adjournments. So this thing doesn't go on forever. Most people have a claim, more than half, I think we all agree. We've screened maybe 300 people in the last three months. I would say the majority do have a claim asylum or SIJ [sic], but there is a significant minority that have no claim, and that did just come because they heard some rumors about how great it was, and you could get across the border. It is not in their interest to be represented. you're going to have an in-absentia order, you're better off being Pro-se [sic]. So we want to focus on those people who have claims, and a full representation model at this point, I don't think, is the appropriate thing.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:

you for this opportunity to personally convey our

Thank you.

ANTHONY ENRIQUEZ: Council Members thank

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 98 deep gratitude for your support of immigrant youth in New York City. My name is Anthony Enriquez, and for the past years I've served as the Chadbourne and Parke Fellow and Staff Attorney at The Door, a center for youth development in downtown Manhattan. Each year, The Door serves over 11,000 young people in New York City and surrounding counties by providing comprehensive social services including healthcare, counseling, legal services, tutoring and college prep, homeless and runaway youth services, free meals, arts programming all for free and all in one building on Broom [sic] between Varick and Sixth Avenue. We invite you to please visit us. today with two objectives. The first is to thank you for your support of our work and the people we serve I thank you for your willingness to fund every day. legal services for the thousands of young immigrants arriving to New York, for the thousands of children who now have a fighting chance to call this city I thank you for honoring our city's best their home. tradition of welcoming those who have been given little and have suffered much, and yet, still have the strength to dream of something better. The Door operates on a direct service model, which means we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 99 ourselves screen the children at Immigration Court. We meet them. We agree to represent them in all either Immigration or Family Court proceedings, and we really take them from that first appearance in Immigration Court hopefully until they get some type of relief, maybe even a green card. I wanted to take a little bit of this time today to address the children's first interactions with our government as they come to the United States. Not many of us have spoken about these issues. All the children I meet in Immigration Court were arrested by the Customs and Border Protection after crossing into the United States. And we've come to learn that far too many of these children, their first contact with our government is traumatizing. There is a lawsuit, a settlement that is supposed to rule how these children are taught. It's called the Flores Settlement, and the government has agreed to treat them with dignity, respect and special concern for their particular vulnerability as minors. That's a quote. But from our hundreds of interviews, from my personally hundreds of interviews with children and from my colleagues who collectively have over thousands of interviews with children, we've learned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 100 that children have told us stories of being kept in cells for up to two weeks of cells so cold that the children call them the freezers, all of them collectively. They tell us they are stripped of their jackets, denied blankets to keep them warm, threatened with temperature reductions in their cells if they ask when they'll be released. They tell us of sleeping against walls or displayed on concrete floors piled on top of each other. A 13 year old girl who was sexually assaulted on her journey to the United States told me how the children must use an open stall bathroom without even a curtain to shield One told me he was stripped searched. And in spite of the rumors that many of the--that have been told in the press that the children might get a permit if they're allowed to stay here, many of them don't even tell authorities that they're under 18 when they arrive, because they're afraid they'll be kept in detention until they reach majority age. I'd like to ask for your help in advocating and speaking publicly about these issues with our partners in federal government to make sure that our first contact with these children isn't retraumatizing, isn't something that's making their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 101 journey even worse. That's really a space where local leaders can step in and make a big difference. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for sharing that, especially just the nature of the work that needs to happen from the second that they interact with our country. Thank you.

ROBERT MCCREANOR: Good afternoon. name is Rob McCreanor. I'm the Legal Director of Catholic Migration Services. Catholic Migration Service is a nonprofit affiliate of the diocese of Brooklyn and Queens. For over 40 years we've served the needs of immigrants and refugees in Brooklyn and Queens. Our staff of attorneys and counselors helped tens of thousands of people to become United States citizens, to reunite with family members, to obtain political asylum, and to fight deportation removal. We also have developed new programs to promote dignified housing and employment conditions for immigrants throughout the diocese. We use innovative lawyering and community organizing and advocacy to do that. Today, I just want to focus on one particular aspect of this discussion, and it's really in response to something that Chairman Menchaca

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 102 mentioned was that in addressing this issue one particular challenge is how to reach a population that can be difficult to reach. How do we do that? And I think when we consider that question, we have to think about what are our resources in the community, and I'd ask you today just to consider the importance of faith based institutions, and from our perspective at Catholic Migration Services I think it's worth noting the Catholic Church's crucial role in maintaining New York's historical status as a welcoming haven for immigrants through the provision of services to those in need. Affiliated agencies of the church such as Catholic Migration Services and our partners at Catholic Charities are uniquely well positioned to serve immigrant communities. Just to give you a sense of that, in Brooklyn and Queens there are more than 230 Catholic parishes, almost all of which include a substantial immigrant population. In my work as a Catholic Migration Services lawyer I've participated in and I've organized countless legal clinics, information sessions and community meetings in church basements, auditoriums, and parish halls. By creating these local points of access for legal services, we've reached tens of thousands of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 103 immigrants annually, including the children who would otherwise be forced to navigate complicated bureaucracies to find help. On a daily basis, our office is contacted by pastors, religious brothers and sisters and lay leaders who wish to refer unaccompanied minors to us for legal assistance. From our perspective, it's clear that the immediate need is tremendous and that the church remains a uniquely important resource for immigrants in New York City. Our doors are open to everyone. We're proud to participate in the current collaborative efforts of New York's legal services community to address the needs of unaccompanied minors in a coordinated fashion. We applaud the City Council's support for the provision of nonprofit legal services and we look forward to continued partnership with you. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Looks like that's everyone, right? So I want to start—I'm going to start with JoJo and kind of walking us through the kind of ramp up to the work that is now here and kind of speak to the kind of coalition and the uniqueness of, potentially the uniqueness of this kind of coalition of legal service providers and

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 104 where the dollars kind of come in to inject it, how helpful was that in it, and I think Ms. Pilsbury kind of talked a little bit about the kind of pro-bono component, but just to kind of hammer down how important it is that the funding at this time was for the work that is needed within this coalition of service providers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOJO ANNOBIL: Okay, definitely. before August 13<sup>th</sup> almost all the juvenile docket providers who were doing this had probably one dedicated lawyer doing this kind of work and seeing one, one each, and seeing probably about 100 cases on our regular juvenile docket a month, collectively. And out of those cases, we were only able to triage those cases and couldn't take almost all of those cases on for representation. What the funding would allow us to do is this. One, it allows us to build capacity. So in addition to the American Immigration Lawyers Association, Legal Aid, Catholic Charities, and The Door, we're able to welcome in Central American legal assistance. We are able to welcome in Atlas DIY. We're able to welcome Make the Road. These partners are important to us because Make the Road, for example, has a community based--is a

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 105 community based organization and lends that kind of support to our group. Central American legal assistance with the work they've done with Central American residents bring the asylum component to this whole collaboration. What we are hoping to do with the collaboration is to work collectively, coordinate efforts, be able to share resources. Collectively, we are all hoping to hire about 14 new lawyers, three paralegals, two social workers, which has been mentioned before, and be able to do at least-probably reach about 4,000 Know Your Rights presentations, screen probably about 2,000 of these children and take on for representation and also for mentoring about 1,000 of these cases for the next It's going to take a while for us to scale up, but we also realize that we've already started doing the work, and so there is some other work that we've been able to refer to legal, to law school clinics. We've taken on some of the cases ourselves. We've also directed some of the custodians to start the Family Court process. But collectively, we are hoping that this is what makes us, makes it possible for us to really have a coordinated effort to do We have infrastructure, the experience is also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 106 there. New York is leading this effort because we've had calls from Connecticut, from New Jersey, because there is nothing like that there. They don't have the resources to be able to do that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. And something else that kind of came up after listening to all the service providers is some models that we see in other courts, and one kind of manifestation of this kind of coalition effort that brings services into communities is for example the justice center in Red Hook, and is there any conversation within this coalition to build another kind of model where we can bring Immigration Court process, proceedings into our communities and create that kind of a model in our communities, in immigrant communities that kind of mimic the work that the Red Hook Justice Center is doing? Has that been in conversation with you all?

JOJO ANNOBIL: We haven't had that kind of conversation, but we realize that it's also very important for us to reach the immigrant communities, because it's important to let people know that they need to go to court, for example, and that if they don't show up in court, they may be other removed in their absence. And so there's also an effort, for

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 107 example, Anne talked about the women and children, and there's a lot of advocacy that's going on in the community to be able to advocate for them because even with those, that population, there's this whole idea that if you go to court you get a ankle bracelet, right? And so some of the women don't want to go to court, but there's a lot of information that has to go on. In terms of the example you just gave of the Red Hook, we are starting this coalition. This is the first time we've been able to scale up and so I'm sure when we start talking amongst ourselves and discussing how best we do this work, that is a part that we can do. We haven't done it because we didn't have the resources to do that, but I'm sure my partners will agree with me that it's something that we will talking about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

: And I think that one of the advantages of this type of funding, the, I guess, one of the ironic fortunate circumstances of someone being in removal proceedings, is that I'll meet frequently young 14 year old girl for instance who has two or three siblings at home that don't have status themselves but are not in removal proceedings and would never have come to a lawyer in the first place.

And at the end of this process, now all of those children can have status. We can take on all of their cases at once frequently. It's efficient for us to do that. This is a really cost-effective model for the city to be strengthening the legal status basis of many people in its immigrant communities, beyond just those who are called to removal proceedings.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for kind of explaining that. Almost there's an inherent outreach component with the initial connection with the unaccompanied minor in the courts. Thanks for kind of highlighting that. And on the note of the work that you're doing, can you tell us a little bit about the cost analysis per case? I know we talked a lot about that with the Family Unity Project, but for these cases, what is the average cost per case for the docket?

JOJO ANNOBIL: I believe the average docket per case when we're looking at it runs somewhere around 2,000 to 2,500, and when you look at it in terms of dollars, it doesn't really tell you the amount of work that goes into this. Because when you're dealing with children, it takes a while for

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 109 you to build trust. It takes a while for the child to open up and to be able to tell you their story, and so these cases are not your run of the mill straight forward cases where you find relief at your first meeting with the client. So the cost is around 2,000, 2,500, but the amount of work that we do, because some of these cases take at least about 18 months to completion. The number of times we have to go to court, plus under this model it's even not really talking about the cost for the passion in doing the work, because we're also doing the screening, right? We're doing screening of all these children whether they have relief or not, and we don't and can't give up on these children just because at first screening there doesn't seem to be relief available. We need to do a second follow-up screening, which takes a while to do that. And so to answer, simply to answer your question, between 2,000 and 2,500.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm going to hold on my questions and hand it over to Chair Lancman for his questions.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 110

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon everyone. Thank you for giving me the tour this morning.

2.2

JOJO ANNOBIL: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I appreciate it.

Some--let me ask you about how well you feel the

Immigration Court is dealing the surge docket,

dealing with the issue of these children coming, even

if they are accompanied once they get here in New

York, are there things that you think that the court

should be doing differently?

ANNE PILSBURY: I think we all would agree that the surge docket is inherently in conflict with due process. We would like to not have it. we understand the political reasons why we have it, and we understand that Judge Weisel's been given his marching orders so we have to live with it. But I was very gratified to hear him say that they don't intend to continue to accelerate after the person has gotten representation and indicated their prayer for relief, but what's happening is if they continue to give these cases priority, it's pushing off the final hearing for people who have waited two and three and four years. So it's a huge problem. I don't know

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 111 what else they can do, but they're kind of stuck with this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANTHONY ENRIQUEZ: I'll say there are-there were former practices that maybe in a more politically feasible environment. For instance, when we apply for relief for some of these children, we file an application with a different agency, not with the Immigration Court, but with a different government agency. The prior practice standardized used to be that the court would then terminate the removal proceedings so that the other agency would have jurisdictions, complicated legal stuff, but essentially, your application couldn't be decided without the court for saying, okay, we're going to stop these active proceedings right now. And that practice isn't happening any more, and those are cases that are just being needlessly prolonged, and in fact the surge docket, those cases could be transferred to the standardized slower juvenile docket. That would give us all a bit more of space to interview the children.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, let me ask you about that, though, because I think I saw at lest one of the cases this morning which was

administratively terminated because an application was before US CIS. And let me--we also say if the surge docket is only for the initial hearing, you know, between 10 and 21 days and then from there, the case proceeds at the regular deliberate pace and isn't expedited, what's the harm?

2.2

ANNE PILSBURY: I don't think that's exactly right. I think the case, what he was saying is the case goes on to a slower track after they've gotten representation and filed a prayer for relief. In other words, they've filed an asylum application or they've gotten a SIJ finding, and then it gets on a slower track, but getting to that step is the hard part and that's where we need more lawyers, to get people up to that. He's not saying after the first master that it goes on a slower track.

about the lawyers and the case load. I know you're ramping up. I know the money from the Council hasn't necessarily hit yet, what do you anticipate being the case load that you see each of your lawyers handling and is it appropriate. I know that some of your models are different. You don't, you know, have lawyers working for you full time the same way legal

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 113 aid might, but what are we looking at in terms of workload?

ANNE PILSBURY: Just for our office, over a period of a year, I think we had committed to take on 50 additional asylum cases. Asylum cases are very slow and labor intensive. So, you know, we could possibly do more. We have probably 300 open asylum cases a year in our office.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And so how many lawyers would be assigned to that?

ANNE PILSBURY: Two at--well, we have four lawyers now. We're getting two more tomorrow thanks to the Robin Hood Foundation and the Immigrant Justice Court, and then if we can get two more through this then that would be six, right? No, eight.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And are you comfortable, and I'd like to hear from anyone else who'd want to answer the question, are you comfortable that that's a manageable case load, that that's an appropriate case load where the lawyer assigned can do the necessary due diligence to do a good job?

2.2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Does anyone else want to address the case load question?

JOJO ANNOBIL: The case load we're looking is different agencies are doing different case loads, so we are doing about 150 cases with three lawyers and a paralegal and a social worker. And what we're hoping to do in all this is build efficiencies, efficiencies from the Family Court and if we are doing Special Immigrant Juvenile Status, so that we can either do some of the—file some of the petitions in Family Court ourselves, or be able to train 18B [sic] Family Court assigned counsel to be

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 115 able to do that piece, to take care of that piece in Family Court, which would allow us sometimes for even our paralegal to be able to do some of the immigration US CIS work, because then that means filing for surge and adjustment in before US CIS. So it's a matter of being able to build an efficiencies. That's why we've been talking about reaching out to the Family Court as well, because if we can get those petitions to be adjudicated very quickly rather than now it could be six months, it could be nine months to get there. Then we assure that we built enough efficiencies to do this work. Plus, in being able to get a social worker, it helps because before all this my attorneys basically acted as the lawyer, the social worker, the investigator, the paralegal, all the works, right? So now at least instead of doing that they can concentrate of doing some of these complex cases and do the legal work and have a social worker concentrate on social work. So if in doing that, I think we're building enough efficiencies to do these numbers of cases.

KEVIN SULLIVAN: Could I just complement

was JoJo said. When he quoted you that 20 to 2,500

dollar per case number, that's per year. Some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 116 these cases go on for 18 months or two years, and part of the way if you fund it only for a year, you can cover it for a year, but probably the true number if you take the life of the case it's closer to 3,700 or 4,000 because they have tails on them. If you add a social worker to it, it maybe can—then you have to pay for that.

2.2

LENNI BENSON: Could I just talk about Family Court?

 $\label{eq:chairperson Lancman: Yeah, just use the $$\min_{\boldsymbol{c}$.}$$ 

again, Safe Passage Project. We do do this mentored pro-bono, but as Anne talked about, if you're working with someone who isn't already experienced, where you get the efficiency with us is we're the elbow clerk, basically, the pro-bono lawyer, and the idea is to build capacity. I began the project in '06 mostly with a handful of people that I knew well who I knew could do both the navigation of Family Court and Immigration Court. And our core of pro-bono lawyers has grown. There are some outstanding pro-bono lawyers who have six or eight cases themselves and are expert in both jurisdictions in Family Court and

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 117 Immigration Court. But as I'm also the City Bar Chair of the Immigration Committee, and for three years it's been my number one priority on that committee with outstanding subcommittee members to also work with the family in the Family Courts Committee, because this number of children has been growing in our Family Courts. So on October 6th I've been invited to speak to the State Office of Court Administration and send my testimony, and the particular topic is working with the panel attorneys, the 18E's [sic], the lawyers for children. We've been doing free trainings for them on their lunch hours inside the family court, just pro-bono basis. You're staffing and adding support to Legal Aid, to Catholic Charities, to CALA, to The Door allows us to have a little bit more capacity to also do our role, which is to help educate and mentor more of the lawyers in this community, and I don't know if the Family Courts will be able to put these cases ahead of other urgent, desperate cases. So in my testimony, what I recommend is advocacy before the state, or think about the city's budget and the support you already put in Family Courts. I suggest perhaps the Pro-se [sic] office that you might staff like you do lift

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

tables or other tables in the Family Court, but if you actually work with the State Court Administration to fund a legitimate Pro-se office, many of these parents, or aunts, or uncles, or older brothers who need to navigate the Family Court would get more assistance then all of us can provide rapidly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: It was verv interesting to me to see the -- it was a Catholic Charities lawyer, she seemed to have a list of who was on the hearing for today, and she was running around just seeing who's represented and who's not. There was no science or methodology to it other than her, you know, running around and checking people on a list and speaking with them. I see from the spreadsheet that we have that a couple of the legal services organizations are hiring social workers. wonder if it also might be a good allocation of resources, and you know better than me, to hire investigators. I would imagine that some of these claims are very fact intensive, or am I mistaken? it--listen, here's the crime rate in El Salvador. These kids from El Salvador, that's our case.

ANNE PILSBURY: Yeah, we have access to a lot of documentation of the problems in Central

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 119

America. I don't think a personal investigator would add anything, and it'd be very dangerous for them to go down there, anyway.

5 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Does the state dep-

LENNI BENSON: [off mic] experts are useful though.

ANNE PILSBURY: Yeah.

LENNI BENSON: In some asylum cases, the asylum office or the judge will, as I think Anne or others could say, will ask for a psychiatric evaluation of the youth, because children's trauma cases, what is persecution may be different, but in others there are experts. Sometimes they'll do it pro-bono, sometimes they like a modest fee for testifying about the political opinion issues or religious persecution. And so, my organization, for example, which is completely pro-bono, has had to ask experts to do their work pro-bono. If the city can expand resources to have financial support for the work we do, lawyers can make judgment calls just as they do in other cases about the need for a psychiatric evaluation or an expert opinion.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

might encounter?

2 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Have you had any
3 difficulties in getting interpreters, I assume not
4 for Spanish, but for indigenous languages that you

DENNI BENSON: There's a growing population of children on this docket from the Mayan indigenous cultures, and we have reached out to a couple of language organizations, and they've offered to do some pro-bono language assistance, but I did talk with Commissioner Argarwal about maybe the city could make language line available at times for particularly children. I think Anne is probably our resident expert on Central American Language, like Ciche [sic] and Katwa [sic].

ANNE PILSBURY: Very few of the kids don't also speak Spanish. So, I mean it's occasionally it happens, but it's not a huge problem.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yeah, and the interactions that you have with the Executive Office of Immigration Review? Sorry, the Mayor's Office.

The interaction that you have with the Mayor's Office and their work at the court, has it been positive, constructive? Are there other things that can be done?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

ANTHONY ENRIQUEZ: I will also say that on a personal level, over the summer when we heard story after story of labeling these as crisis or as national security threats, or just as something that's really dangerous to the country, to have the Mayor's Office step forward and so publicly and proudly speak in the name of these children was really a boon for us, I think, for the providers.

For me, personally, at least it really means something that the city that I live in that I love will stand up and take a stance on this, and I really hope that you can continue to be public voices in this struggle.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair

Lancman, and I have a few follow up questions. My

first one on that note of how we are all engaging in

this conversation, did this coalition of

organizations reach out to the Mayor's Office for any

specific requests in funding? Did you ask for

funding from the Mayor's Office?

JOJO ANNOBIL: No, no we did not.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No? There was no official request for extra funding for anything?

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 123

JOJO ANNOBIL: No.

3 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.

JOJO ANNOBIL: Should we?

[laughter]

2.2

with a question and let them say no, you know?

That's my personal and professional duty. The second question, and maybe we can get some of the Catholic Charities, Monsignor Sullivan, to answer the questions about housing and talk to us a little bit about what's happening on that front to give us a little bit more insight into that service, particularly, and the need for more and just clarity about what's happening with, you know, especially any minors that don't have family here. And I heard from Ms. Pilsbury that most of them do already have family, but I know you're engaged in this in a very intimate way.

KEVIN SULLIVAN: Again, as you said,

Chairman, the overwhelming majority, the estimate is

80, 85 percent are settled with their relatives,

parents or friends. So it's--housing is not a major

problem, and ACS has also been very, very helpful

with those who have not. So housing is not the major

committees on immigration & legal and court services 124 need for this population. It's certainly the major problem for the rest of New Yorkers. That's--so we do need the 200,000 new units of affordable housing preserved and built, but for this population, that's not the highest priority.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And for those that are going to shelters, is there any kind of texture that you can give us? Because it's not 100 percent either, so even if there's 100 kids that are walking into a shelter--

the first term that shelter is used is when the kids are detained at the border, and then they're placed in shelters in the New York area for about a month. Then they're placed with their custodial parent. So the shelters are pretty, you know, there are places where the kids are cared for. They're educated. They're provided the ability to—so they are sheltered there, then they're placed with custodial parents.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: How effective and how appropriate are these shelters for that interim time?

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 125

2.2

think they're, the people there are very dedicated at doing—they're doing a very, very good job. They're sometimes dealing with trauma because the kids are there, but it's reputable places. Jeremy's here from Children's Village. He runs an incredibly wonderful program, Blanket [sic] Hull [sic] and Selmer's [sic], and a few places in New York City. I will tell you, though, that the capacity of the shelters is one. They number that are being used often times are much less because it's a very volatile situation, but generally, I think the shelters are a place where the kids are safe. They're secure. They're cared for. Again, I don't think that's a major part of problem.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Great. Thank you so much for that. And a follow-up question to you in really taking the connection to the LGBT community, how is archdiocese screening for, you know, gender identity and sexual orientation through the work you're doing?

KEVIN SULLIVAN: What I can tell you is that our attorneys are incredibly trained and incredibly diligent to find every single possible way that somebody who's coming for relief can get relief,

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 126 and whatever relief is available to them, they'll make sure that that person's represented to the greatest extent that they have.

or is there an understanding across the kind of gamut faith leaders? Because I understand that faith leaders and faith community is kind of big component of the outreach. Do you feel like that's across the board, is anyone else that can answer across the board that this isn't a barrier for any young, any of the unaccompanied minors?

KEVIN SULLIVAN: I can't speak for everybody. It's not a barrier for us.

that. And so are there any other questions? Okay, thank you so much for your time. This is an ongoing conversation, and we look forward to continuing working with you and getting that data as it kind of trickles down through that experience, and know that we're going to be in constant contact with you, not just from the Immigration Committee but the entire City Council.

KEVIN SULLIVAN: Thank you.

2.2

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JEREMY KOHOMBAN: Good afternoon. Thank you Committee Chairs and thank you committee. I will be brief. I've got a one page testimony that gives you more details, and I'm glad I'm here because I think I can give you some context on the back, the front end of this work. I am the President and CEO of the Children's Village in Harlem Dowling. We have been serving this community of children since 2004. We're the largest provider in the northeast. In the

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 128 Greater New York City area we provide about 200 beds, long term aftercare, and long term federal foster care. So, a couple quick points. First, New York has been a leader in serving this population for a long time. It's only this year that we got in the news, but this work has continued. This past year we served about 1,500 children and to give you some context on the work, we actually work with the families. identify the families for release. So the children come through the border. They come into the custody of the Federal Office of Refugee Resettlement. work with the Federal ORR team. We identify the families. We release the families, and then we work in our case predominantly with Monsignor Sullivan and Catholic Charities to make sure that these children have adequate legal representation. I'll make three quick points. One, is that the overwhelming majority of these children have been reunited with family. Those that haven't can go into federal foster care. These children are very capable of reintegrating into our society, no question about it. These kids are very optimistic. And despite what they've been through, they're ready to be part of our community. The families that we meet are overwhelming

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

| COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 129 |
|--|
| optimistic. They work very hard like all new             |
| immigrants. They live in the shadows because many of     |
| them are undocumented, but they love their children      |
| and they do anything to make sure that their children    |
| are safe here in the United States. The biggest          |
| challenge that we face is making sure that these         |
| families are not afraid to identify themselves, and      |
| that's one place where I think the City Council and      |
| as a city we can continue to provide the right kind      |
| of support, because the key, once released from us,      |
| is that these families have the confidence to come       |
| before the court, and we think the way to address        |
| that is to work with community providers. These          |
| children live in segregated neighborhoods, as so many    |
| of our poor undocumented families do. We can easily      |
| identify these neighborhoods by census tract and make    |
| sure that we are working with those providers in         |
| those communities to make sure that these families       |
| identify themselves and that they're safe. Thank         |
| you.   |

VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish] 

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [speaking Spanish]

VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                       130
 2
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. [speaking
 3
     Spanish]
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
 4
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. [speaking
 5
 6
     Spanish]
 7
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [speaking Spanish]
 8
                VICTOR CLAUDER: Mi nombre Victor
 9
10
     Clauder.
11
                TRANSLATOR: My name is Victor Claude
12
     [sic]. I am 16 years old.
13
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
14
                TRANSLATOR: I come from Honduras from La
15
     Colonias [sic] Empetazula [sic].
16
                VICTOR CLAUDE:
                               [speaking Spanish]
17
                TRANSLATOR: I came over here because
18
     several time they try to kill me.
19
                VICTOR CLAUDER:
                                 [speaking Spanish]
20
                TRANSLATOR: Because I didn't want to go
21
     in--I didn't want to participate in the gangs.
2.2
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
23
                TRANSLATOR:
                             I have all my people over
    here. I only have my brothers over there.
24
```

VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                       131
 2
                TRANSLATOR: I didn't have anywhere to
 3
    stay over there.
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
 4
                TRANSLATOR: I had to stop going to
 5
    school.
 6
 7
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Because several times they
 8
 9
    went to school looking for me.
10
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
11
                TRANSLATOR: They committed a massacre in
12
    one of the rural towns that I was going through. They
    killed six friends of mine.
13
14
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: And they're still killing
16
    people.
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
17
18
                TRANSLATOR: And they're still killing
    friends of mine.
19
20
                VICTOR CLAUDER: [speaking Spanish]
21
                TRANSLATOR: The last one they killed the
2.2
    day before yesterday. I saw it in the news.
23
    Gracias. Thank you.
24
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Gracias por
```

testimonio.

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 132 2 ELIZABETH OLSSON: Good afternoon. My 3 name is Elizabeth Olsen, and I'm the Manager of Policy and Advocacy for Internationals Network for 4 Public Schools. I'd like to thank Chair Menchaca and 5 Chair Lancman for holding this hearing. 6 Internationals works to ensure that recent immigrant English language learners have access to a quality 8 high school education that prepares them for college 9 and careers. We work with local education departments 10 to open new high schools serving this population and 11 12 provide professional development and technical assistance to educators working with these students. 13 We work with a network of 19 international high 14 15 school and 15 of these are located in New York City. 16 Several of our New York City schools are now 17 experiencing dramatic increases of unaccompanied 18 minors. Based on preliminary information we receive from our schools, we estimate that there are 19 currently well over 150 unaccompanied minors 20 throughout our New York City network and this number 21 2.2 continues to grow as new students continue to enroll. 23 The stories of these students highlight the variety of complex issues unaccompanied minor students face. 24

One 15 year old student in queens is a victim of

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 133 trafficking. He owes a 15,000 dollar debt to a coyote who has threatened to take his family's land in Guatemala or worse if he doesn't repay it. We've heard from many a student that they have had family members murdered in their home country due to gang violence, and some of them have seen their family members murdered right in front of them. unaccompanied minor students have experienced gaps in their education because traveling to school in their home country was too dangerous, and many are in unstable housing situations with families often doubling and tripling up in apartments. Unaccompanied minor students have a variety of needs that need to be addressed in order for them to succeed academically. Students who have experienced interrupted formal education are in need of targeted academic supports such as intensive one on one or small group tutoring. Students who suffer from psychological trauma because of the violence they've experienced or from extreme stress due to unstable living situations or uncertainty about their future are in need of socio/emotional supports such as counseling, and all students need legal services.

Unaccompanied minors are expected to be concentrated

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 134 in schools located in neighborhoods heavily populated by Central American families. These schools will likely need additional resources in order to ensure that these students receive the supports they need to meet New York's rigorous academic standards. schools may need additional resources to provide instructional support to struggling students outside of regular school hours. And some schools with very large numbers of unaccompanied minors may need additional social workers or quidance counselors to provide both onsite services and to assist with connecting students with external service providers such as legal service providers and mental health providers that can provide more intensive psychological supports for students who need it. То ensure that unaccompanied minor students and the schools that serve them receive the supports they need, New York City should consider allocating grants to school with significant unaccompanied minor populations to ensure that these students have access to the academic, legal, and socio/emotional supports they require to succeed academically, and should also consider advocating for more state and federal funds for these schools. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those recommendations.

DEBORAH LEE: We are honored for this opportunity to present before this distinguished committee. My name is Deborah Lee, and I'm a Senior Staff Attorney with Sanctuary for Families Immigration Intervention Project. Sanctuary is the largest nonprofit in New York devoted exclusively to serving victims of gender based violence, including domestic violence and sex trafficking. Our agency provides a wide range of integrated programs for our clients, including legal, clinical, emergency shelter and economic empowerment programs to help each client in his or her journey to safety, stability, and selfsufficiency. As this committee is well aware, since October 2013, over 66,000 children have been apprehended by immigration authorities entering the United States. Many of these children are under 15 years old, a growing percentage is girls and young mothers fleeing intimate partner and familial violence, trafficking and gender based violence. Over 4,000 children, half of whom are young girls, are now living in New York State and are in expedited deportation proceedings in Immigration Court.

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 136 Sanctuary for Families is deeply committed to protecting unaccompanied children. We have represented hundreds of immigrant child victims of domestic violence and trafficking, both boys and girls, including those who have recently arrived to the United States, those in foster care and homeless youth. Sanctuary has developed an expertise in providing comprehensive legal and supportive services to these young victims. Sanctuary is uniquely positioned to address the implication of gender in this current crisis. For example, when the media discusses gang violence as a factor pushing children to the United States, I urge each of you to think about such a child being a young girl, often the member of society most victimized. The girls we have met with have told us that they not only feared being recruited, but also being repeatedly raped by gang members and being forced into an intimate relationship with a gang member. These girls have well founded fears of being prostituted by gangs. This is the case of Carmen, a 17 year old Honduran girl who I am representing. Carmen's father abandoned her when she was an infant. Her mother subjected her to vicious abuse. Outside her home,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 137 Carmen suffered even more. Local gang members began stalking her, telling her that if she refused to join she would be raped and prostituted. Carmen was raped and later miscarried. At Sanctuary, we are particularly concerned about girls like Carmen. also represent Maria, only 12 years old and from Honduras. Maria was abused by her father, a gang member himself. He punched Maria, threw her down a flight of stairs and threatened her with a knife. Terrified, Maria feared worse abuse if she had told her mother who had fled to New York when Maria was Sanctuary helps girls like Carmen and Maria. We provide free high quality legal services. Our services are comprehensive and include representation in Immigration Court and family law proceedings. are also collaborating with dozens of large law firms in New York City to provide pro-bono legal representation. But legal screenings and representation in Immigration Court are not enough for these traumatized children. Sanctuary has engaged its clinical case management and economic empowerment programs to address the complex non-legal needs of these children. At Sanctuary, we know that only through coordinated services can we truly transform

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 138 2 the lives of our clients. We don't stop at a legal victory. We are committed to our clients to become 3 4 more empowered members of our community. We have a couple of recommendations --5 6 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] 7 Right, we have them at the testimony. 8 DEBORAH LEE: Sure. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We could pause 9 10 there. 11 DEBORAH LEE: Okay. 12 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We'll definitely 13 review them and we can maybe kind of have a 14 collective conversation about those priorities. 15 DEBORAH LEE: Sure. 16 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We want to make 17 sure we get through some of these panels so we can 18 get everybody's voice, and if we can have Betsy? BETSY PLUM: Good afternoon. Thank you to 19 20 the members of the Legal Services Committee and the Immigration Committee for today's hearing and for the 21 2.2 opportunity to testify. My name is Betsy Plum. I am 23 the director of Special Projects at the New York Immigration Coalition, an umbrella policy and 24

advocacy organization. Since early this summer, when

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 139 numbers released by the federal government highlighted the sudden spike in child arrivals from Central America, we the NYC have worked to convene stakeholders to identify and respond to the needs of these children and the communities that receive them. We have hosted regular meetings of providers who serve one of about four broad categories of needs that we've identified, legal, health, education and other social services. We've held bimonthly youth assistance fairs, which take place in the communities with the largest amounts of unaccompanied children and offer legal screenings as well as educational health and social services. Victor Gregoria [sp?] and Pastor Omar are wonderful community members we've met through those events. Excuse me. These are meant to also complement the legal services going on in the court. That's really ground breaking work that's happened, but we're complementing it in the community and most recently we were tapped by the federal government to launch a special justice AmeriCorps program that will bring more attorneys and paralegals to key organizations serving the children. Throughout all of this work, we've come across about four main areas and four main recommendations. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES won't go into them because they're in detail in my written testimony. They are that we need more lawyers. This is just the beginning, this wonderful investment that you have made, but it's the beginning. We need to support our schools. Elizabeth spoke wonderfully to that. Schools are important for every child, particularly for these children. We need to meet their physical, mental, oral health needs, and let children know what services and resources they are eligible for currently today. And we need social supports. are, as we've learned, reunited with their families. This does not necessitate or mean a happy ending. There are many times issues of abandonment, They are joining many times already resentment. overcrowded stressed, difficult, hard families and family situations, so we need to protect against We feel that the City Council can play a signal role in making sure that resources are available to these children. They can grow into the dynamic thriving immigrants that have built New York city from its birth, and at risk of being slightly sentimental, I think we can send a great message that the promise that lady liberty sends from our harbor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 141 is still very much alive and loud, clear, today to the rest of the world. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much. Did everyone, everyone that was going to speak, speak? So I just want to say thank you and do we have any questions? And I'm going to come back and finish off the questions, but I'm going to hand it over to Chair Lancman.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good afternoon everyone. This question is directed primarily to Ms. Lee form Sanctuary for Families, correct?

DEBOARH LEE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: You mentioned in your testimony Sanctuary is unique positioned to address the implication of gender in this current crisis. Could you just explain a little bit how that plays out in the legal proceedings, the kind of claims that are asserted and whether or not there's any triage amongst legal services providers to direct certain cases towards legal service provider like Sanctuary for Families that might have a particular expertise?

DEBORAH LEE: Yes, currently we are working with many of the I Care Coalition, other

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES service providers who are still in this room who identify gender as an issue with the unaccompanied child, and we have received many referrals. I have also received referrals from volunteering through I Care, directly screening children myself, picking up cases that way. We have--unfortunately, there's a growing number of young girls who are coming to the United States as part of this surge and with that comes, you know, from our perspective, our experiences, that there is, you know, a huge gender issue that's going on. A lot of these girls are talking about incidents of rape, rape within their family, rape, you know, by gang members, and also of course on their journey here to the United States which can be extremely dangerous. But we're lucky to have a thriving sort of advocate community and we share referrals within each, you know, amongst each other. So we're very grateful for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Have you encountered any trafficking cases among the unaccompanied minors?

DEBORAH LEE: Yes, we have unfortunately. The situation of Carmen that I mentioned. She was raped by gang members. I think if she had not fled, probably worse would have happened to her. She would

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 143 have probably been trafficked in Honduras. We do have other children that we have met with that we're providing services to who have been trafficked in their home country as well and they're fleeing those situations.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: That, the trafficking within their home countries, that wouldn't establish a trafficking claim as a defense to deportation in the United States, right? That wouldn't qualify them for a T-Visa would it?

DEBORAH LEE: Well, I think there's different issues, different legal issues that come up, I think, with the different cases. So sometimes in those situations we're exploring the Special Immigrant Juvenile Status that we've talked about before, asylum. Sometimes there's also intimate partner violence that's going on. Sometimes gang members or pimps who sort of start out perhaps trying to engage in the intimate relationship with a young girl, it sort of bleeds into full blown trafficking situations. So those can be different basis for—it sort of depends on the young person's specific situation. There may be sort of legal strategies that attorneys take to and personally one form of

relief versus another, but we are definitely hearing situations that we're very concerned about. We're also concerned, you know, as the New York Immigrant Coalition have mentioned, you know, children who are reunified with family members here after many years. They've gone through significant trauma. Sometimes they have not revealed that trauma to their own family members. They're too ashamed about it, and that's—that can make it really difficult, the reunification process.

2.2

experience with the Family Court in these cases and whether or not the Family Courts are as attuned to these issues and maybe even are looking out for them because they maybe they—the run of the mill family court case doesn't involve these issues or in much the same way? I'm just curious whether Sanctuary has done any training or advising or anything with the Family Court judges who are receiving these SIJ cases.

DEBORAH LEE: So I think with the SIJ cases, we definitely been a part of sort of a coalition of many other advocates, some of those I've mentioned in terms of through the New York City Bar,

you know, helping to train court personnel as well as 18B attorneys in court to sort of highlight these issues and sort of keep them at the forefront of their mind. I think that much more work should be done. I think with the surge there is a different demographic also of children. You know, we've talked about today, younger children coming, more girls coming. These are going to raise different issues, I think, that the Family Court should know about and sort of understanding before they're here, you know, as they're hearing these cases and they're hearing a growing number of these cases. I think it's a great opportunity to collaborate and sort of work together with the family courts.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair Lancman. And just to kind of dig in a little bit deeper about the kind of trafficking conversation, the--have there been any cases where the trafficking has followed, and I think that's kind of the second part to that question, into the United States, and what does that look like? For both labor and--

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 146

DEBORAH LEE: Sure. I can definitely--I mean, I can talk about one child who was reunited with a family member here in the United States, and it's somebody that she, you know, had not grown up with, but it was a family member. And they went through the process through ORR, and unfortunately, it just, you know, sometimes situations fall through the cracks or sometimes are not what they seem. that young girl was pimped out by her brother, and it was a horrific situation and we luckily were contacted by law enforcement actually to sort of help intervene in that situation, but that's also something that we're very concerned about and why also we want to maintain strong relationships with the other legal service providers who are coming into contact with these children and they know very well that, you know, things are falling through the cracks.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And on that note, do you feel like, in a way, that we're moving with all the testimony you've heard today do you think that in the direction that we're moving, are we solving that gap and connecting when we need to at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 147
the moment that we're needing to identify those
issues and those moments of crisis.

DEBORAH LEE: I think more needs to be done as part of recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: In your recommendations, great. Can you point to one that you want to kind of deliver as far as the trafficking issue?

mean, I think a lot of the legal service providers
that have testified already have talked about trauma
and I think there's all different kinds of trauma
that children have suffered. I think understanding
trauma more, understanding domestic violence,
understanding familial violence trends that may have
happened in their home country, and trying to help
empower young people, I think, is critical. I think
much more needs to be done. I think providing
attorney is a tremendous gift for a young person, but
I think there needs to be some understanding that
it's not just a green card. We really need to sort
of invest in these young people. They will be part of
our community, you know? They are a part of

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 148 community already. I think there needs to be a lot more done.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that. And my final question is to Ms. Plum. If I can get you back at the table. I just want to say again, thank you this weekend, walking with you and all the other providers that were offering this new model of services in Sunset Park. I just want to say publicly thank you for all their amazing work.

BETSY PLUM: Thank you for coming.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And the hundreds of people that have gone through that not just in Brooklyn but across the city are in a better place because of that access and I know there's a lot of stakeholders, but thank you so much for taking leadership on that. And on that note, what have you been able to do recently with this new interagency taskforce working group the Mayor's created, what is the relationship, what is your relationship to that taskforce?

BETSY PLUM: Well, I would say that taskforce has been incredibly helpful. I would be remiss if I did not note that we have coordinated these events, but the legal services have been

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES provided by NYLAG and in the case of Long Island, I know it's not as relevant, Make the Road, but they've been great legal partners and the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs particularly, Sam Solomon who's sitting in the front row has been an incredible partner in linking us, bringing the city agencies, Department of Education, Department of Health to these events, helping to connect us, English language learners, all of these wonderful-- as well as many other community organizations, some we already know, but every group brings their own expertise, their own connections. When we all come together, work together, and have one big event together it's all the better, but the taskforce has been a wonderful resource.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. And were there any requests for funding that you put out for kind of amplified work to the Mayor's Office?

BETSY PLUM: We have put in a few different requests for funding, I think, to City Council, not to the Mayor's Office, but we have put in a few different requests as well to private funders so that we can keep these events going. When I went to the church that Gregoria represents and the

committees on immigration & legal and court services 150 pastor behind me in the Bronx, we had hundreds of children that still needed services that we, along with NYLAG had to turn away that day. So we want to be able to continue to bring these services to the communities and it is at sort of a shaky time right now, and we'll try to do our best.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Great. And then the final note. I remember reviewing the kind of coalition effort package that included legal services, but I think you also pointed out another requirement, not requirement, but suggestion for funding around leagues, soccer leagues? Can you tell us a little bit about that, because that has some roots in Sunset Park?

BETSY PLUM: Yeah, so of course, the idea came from a great cooperative in Sunset Park called Kickin' It. There are a number--this is also something Catholic Charities has worked on. They have a couple of different soccer leagues as well. It's a really interesting idea in social services in allowing these children to first be children, to be kids, to get exercise, to get out of their apartments, to meet new friends, but there's also the idea of therapy that's involved in this. And

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 151 bringing soccer leagues together just as one example, bringing kids together in a forum that isn't quite so obviously a support group, but to be able to share in a fun activity, meet friends, and then ultimately be able to connect and work through the trauma together.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much.

And since that idea has come up we've been working through that in the City Council to figure out how we can be helpful and that really just kind of creates a different light and different access point for these kids, and that'd be great for us to continue to work on that. And we have one more question from Chair Lancman.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thanks. Just for the gentleman from the Children's Village, I see that you testified or it's in your written testimony 90 percent of the children we served in the past year were safely reunited with a family member living in the United States. Could you just tell us a little bit about the other 10 percent and what's their circumstance?

JEREMY KOHOMBAN: That being a couple of circumstances. In some cases, they were not children. They were over the age of 18, so they were

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 152 returned to federal custody. In the others, they needed a long term foster care option, and we provide long term foster care through the federal government. So these are children that may have simply made the journey completely by themselves or along the way decided that the family member they wanted to be with was not the right destination. And a lot of the forensic work that gets done by our staff, it's both clinical and we also work with the consulates and the embassies and the permanent representatives to the United States, to the United Nations in trying to build that case and understand the history. So if we feel that there's risk that the child could be going to the wrong family member or sponsor, we would deny that request.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And so if a kid ages out, becomes 18 while they're in your care, they— and they're not reunited with a family member, they're put into a—what's their circumstance? What happens to them?

JEREMY KOHOMBAN: It's a case by case situation. I'm not an immigration attorney, but I can tell you based on our experience that if they have a valid claim, they could stay in one of the URN

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 153 programs which are designed for young people who have a case and a sense that eligibility for a green card is more or less assured, so they can enter into such a program and complete the application. If, on the other hand, there is a suspicion of absolute fraud, the federal government will intervene and take over that case.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you to Chair
Lancman and thank you so much for the panel, and
again, we'll be in contact for any follow-up
questions and continue to engage us as we move
through the beginning of this new approach,
especially with the new legal, funding for the new
legal services. So our next panel, Ms. Katherine
Russell, Stephanie Taylor, the New York Legal
Assistance Group, Ms. Irina, Elizabeta Markuci,
Volunteers of Legal Service, Nina Duta--okay. The
next panel after this, just so you know that you are
next on cue, is Nicholas Katz from the Make the Road,
Abraham Barranca from the Committee for Hispanic
Children, Meredith Fortin, Lutheran Social Services
of New York, Rachel Tzimororatas from Forestdale Inc.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 154
You'll be the next panel after this one. Okay, we'll
start from the left there. Introduce yourself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KATHERINE RUSSELL: Thank you for this opportunity to testify today. I'm Katherine Russell, Immigrant Youth Project Attorney at Brooklyn Defender Services, or BDS. BDS provides holistic criminal defense services to Brooklyn residents, and thanks to the City Council's visionary leadership we also help to provide universal representation for detained New Yorkers in immigration proceedings through the New York Immigrant Family Unity Project, or NYIFUP. BDS I'm able to bring over five years of experience working with children in immigration proceedings to help some of the most vulnerable unaccompanied children in New York. These are the children who fall through the cracks, the ones who never show up to Immigration Court because they have no one to take them or because they're afraid, the ones who don't go to school because they need to work to survive, the ones who are truly unaccompanied in every sense of the word. Unfortunately, we encounter these children through the criminal justice system. I'd like to tell you the story of Antonio, an example of the critical difference that immigration attorneys within COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES a public defender system can make for immigrant youth who might not otherwise receive services. Antonio just turned 19. When he was 15 he left his home in Guatemala after enduring years of horrific verbal and physical abuse by this father. When he entered the US in 2011, he like the thousands of children being released in New York today, was caught by Border Patrol, detained by ORR in south Texas. He was released to his aunt in Brooklyn, but neither Antonio nor his aunt understood that he had to go to immigration court, and unbeknownst to him he was ordered removed in absentia a month after his 16th birthday. Antonio also never went to school, because like many Guatemalan young people, he felt a responsibility to work in order to support his younger siblings in Guatemala. From ages 15 to 18 he worked without authorization in construction in New York and New Jersey. When Antonio was 18 he was arrested by police after trying to break up a fight between two of his friends over a cell phone. When he was placed at Riker's Island he learned that he would not be able to be released even if his extended family could pay bail because of the deportation order from age 16 that he did not know he had. Even

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 156 after Antonio plead guilty to disorderly conduct, a non-criminal violation, he was immediately transferred to ICE custody. My colleagues and I are working zealously for Antonio to be released so that he can finally pursue Special Immigrant Juvenile Status and begin studying for his GED. BDS applauds the groundbreaking actions of the City Council to provide legal representation to unaccompanied minors before the Immigration Court. To go back to Antonio, however, unfortunately he's an example of a young person who is particularly vulnerable and who would have been difficult to reach if at all through the Immigration Court system. He's a stark reminder that the children who are receiving an absentia deportation order at the surge dockets will not simply disappear, who will be living lives even further in the shadows. He also reminds us that while all children eventually turn 18, that does not mean that their vulnerability disappear. attorneys through my project as well as through NIFUP work hard on behalf of vulnerable youth over 18, including many with complex time sensitive cases who are in ICE and criminal custody who may be eligible for long term immigration benefits like Special

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 157 Immigrant Juvenile Status. BDS thanks the Council for its attention to the recent surge of unaccompanied children being released to New York, and we thank the Council for its continued commitment to promoting access to justice for all immigrant New Yorkers. We ask the Council to be mindful of the need for legal counsel for these young immigrants at all stages of their lives here in the US. Not only immediately following their release from ORR custody, but for the years thereafter, when they may find themselves again facing deportation now as vulnerable young adults who have already started to build lives here in the US. I hope I've conveyed to you the tremendous importance of providing continuing services for child immigrants in a continuum of access to justice for immigrants of all ages through innovative programs like NYIFUP and holistic public Thank you for your time. defense.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you so much.

STEPHANIE TAYLOR: Good afternoon. My
name is Stephanie Taylor and I'm a Supervising
Attorney in our Family Law and Domestic Violence Unit
as well as our Immigrants' Rights Project. My office

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 158 is part of Legal Services of NYC, the largest provider of civil legal services for the poor in this country. We have offices in every borough, and each office serves thousands of immigrants each year. provide legal services and advocacy protecting and expanding immigrants' rights in areas such as employment, housing, family and income support. Our immigration lawyers assist immigrants facing complex barriers to naturalization including individuals with criminal justice history, the elderly, disabled, victims of domestic violence, trafficking and workplace crimes. We welcome this opportunity to present testimony regarding the unaccompanied immigrant children issue we are facing today. First, LSNYC commends the council on recently the groundbreaking public/private partnership providing almost two million dollars in legal aid to address the recent influx of traumatized children fleeing Central America. This is a great start in working with these children. As we all know, legal representation in Immigration Court often means the difference of getting deported or obtaining legal, a lawful status. Our office and our colleagues at various organization have had the opportunity to meet

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 159 and work with many of these children. We have heard unbelievable stories of violence. Many children have reported being targeted by gangs, forced into gangs, forced to kill. They have seen family members and friends die in front of them. Then they have made the horrific journey from their families and their homes through the desert often times with no food or no water, often times being assaulted, too often being sexually assaulted. Those who have been fortunate enough to survive that journey arrive here only to find themselves imprisoned and put into removal proceedings. The juvenile clients with whom we work require extensive services beyond street legal remedies. In our experience, our juvenile clients also continue to need our services even when their immigration issues are resolved as they experience difficulties accessing education, stable housing, healthcare, public assistance and other necessities of a stable life. Our clients need both attorneys and social workers who are experienced in and mindful of how trauma effects the brain and how it effects behavior. I'm grateful that I work in an organization which has the capacity, the knowledge and the compassion to assist such traumatized new

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 160 comers to our country with comprehensive and appropriate services. We have a few recommendations that we would like the committee to consider as part of the initiative to expand legal services to unaccompanied minors. Specifically, we're suggesting the following: Culturally and linguistically competent trauma informed legal representation and screening in Immigration Court and also possibly Family Court for such cases for all unaccompanied minors. Dedicated funding towards integrated legal and social services specifically geared towards working with this population, specializing in Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Ensuring that the expedited removal proceedings allows enough time for competent legal representation by creating a mechanism to review and assess the experiences and outcomes for the juvenile clients. And then a uniform citywide Know Your Rights presentation at schools, hospitals, and community centers serving high populations of these children in an effort to inform the children who are not actually in removal proceedings, because as we know, some of these children are not in the removal proceedings so they may not be accessing these services. The final thing

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I want to just say is just to speak to what the judge was saying earlier, the collaboration with Family

Courts I think could be a bit better. I have met with the supervising judge of Queens Family Court and I understand they're totally overwhelmed and burdened by the huge numbers of cases that are coming in. The Guardianship Judge is only part time and she has a very long delay to getting these cases before her in Queens, Jude Pock. Thanks. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for

2.2

that.

TRINA MATIYCHENKO: Good afternoon.

Thank you, City Council for your outstanding job, and thank you for giving us a chance to testify today about our involvement in the response to this crisis. I'm sorry that I missed [sic] here yesterday, Sunset Park, but actually it's what we are doing NYLAG. My name Irina Matiychenko, and I'm the Director of Immigrant Protection Unit of New York Legal Assistance Group. NYLAG is one of the ledgers provider of illegal services, and just next year they serve 76,000 clients and most of them immigrants in various immigration matters. And NYLAG has been always at front line and it is pointing to all

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 162 humanitarian and legal crisis. And in this case we also were first respond to launch program, project to respond to this crisis of unaccompanied children. And we are proud to be part of legal community who responded so quickly to this project, and we working first weeks after the establishing of search project. We were working as volunteers, just helping screening immigrants in Immigration Court, and thereafter became a new project establishing large scale community based cleaning where we actually, I think, implemented the idea of Mayor de Blasio that wanted to bring and brought together at Legal Services and social services. But this is in context of Federal Immigration Court, and we have actually we have done this in context of our immigration cleaning [sic], immigration cleaning that we handled with New York Immigration Coalition. It brought social services and it brought legal services, and our services does not duplicate services that provided the surge docket providers. And by the way, I wanted to say that while working with surge docket providers that firsthand knowledge of their outstanding job and recommend them for their job and you for funding this Also, NYLAG was not funded as part of this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 163 initiative, but we cannot stay away and not provide services that are so needed. At our cleaning [sic] to provide legal screening, and as I said, we don't duplicate surge dockets providers. We are doing it differently, and I think that we have created very efficient program, project. In context of this project, we are screening all undocu--all unaccompanied minors and not only is the risk [sic] for I [sic] in Immigration Court and out of 500 children that were screened in context of this project, only one was already in Immigration Court. But what we are doing else in addition to screening those who are in Immigration Court, what is most importantly is I believe we provide early intervention. We screen people who have not have been apprehended at the border and who would be served a notice to appear, but their cases have not been filed as Immigration Court yet. And we found many cases as one of the example cases were two siblings, one of them was about to age out in terms of SIJ, and we immediately take this case for representation. brought this case in Family Court for receiving SIJ benefits, and this way it prevented people from losing their chance to get immigration status in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

| COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 164 |
|--|
| United States. Another example of our proactive          |
| early intervention is again this checking not only       |
| children but adults and what we found in many cases      |
| that people expect most cases, the Spaniards, they       |
| don't understand the complexity of immigration law       |
| not only in English, of course, but in their language    |
| as well, and as part our screening procedure we are      |
| checking all A [sic] numbers in this Immigration         |
| Court, and we found that in many cases people were       |
| not aware of their immigration cases, removal cases.     |
| Most of hearings were scheduled already, and in one      |
| of those cases where my [sic] hearing was scheduled      |
| in Texas, we brought case immediately to the office.     |
| We filed the same day over night. We filed motion to     |
| Transylvania [sic]. Next day we had hearing of one       |
| [sic], this Immigration Judge from Texas, and this       |
| motion was granted. And by doing this we saved           |
| benefits from much [sic] that exist [sic] through        |
| children. It's eligible. Has very strong claim for       |
| asylum based on domestic violence and kids have          |
| asylum claim as well as they can benefit from SIJ.       |
| CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank                   |
| VOII.  |

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 165 2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So I'm going to 3 pause there because I want to make sure we get 4 through all the other testimonies, but also just thank you so much for the work that NYLOG is doing. 5 6 Yesterday in Sunset Park we saw again the great 7 model, and we know that there are so many stakeholders at the ground and it's been wonderful to 8 kind of think about how we do that work in our 9 communities, and your testimony today just kind of 10 11 shows that. 12 IRINA MATIYCHENKO: Thanks. 13 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank 14 you so much. 15 IRINA MATIYCHENKO: Thank you so much. 16 As of now you have screen laws [sic] in 500 unaccompanied children, and their families. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, thank you so much. 19 20 ELIZABETA MARKUCI: Thank you. My name is Elizabeta Markuci. I am the Director of the 21 2.2 Immigration Project at Volunteers of Legal Service. 23 Thank you for allowing me to provide testimony today. I come--I'm actually also a former Co-Chair of the 24

AILA Pro-bono Committee and I personally volunteered

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES my time every month for three years at the juvenile docket, and recruited, mentored and mentored lawyers, and Nita [sic] regrets that she had to leave. project that I run at Volunteers of Legal Service, which has been around for 30 years working with major law firms across the city runs school-based legal clinics in different communities such as Bushwick, Washington Heights, and the Bronx, and we provide training for the staff. We do comprehensive one hour training for the school staff members to educate them on the kinds of issues facing immigrant youth and their families. Through that process we've obtained hundreds of referrals from teachers, social workers, guidance counselors that have youth that have approached them, and so far through these school based legal clinics I'm already getting cases from the docket, which luckily I've been involved in for several years before my term as the Co-Chair ended last fall. I'm going to skip through most of the testimony because I know we're really short on time, and I just wanted to focus on a couple of the issues or highlight issues in the testimony that I saw was a pressing issue to the committee members. The first is that I recommend that the education around fraud,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 167 Notario fraud as well as the rights that an immigrant has when they have a lawyer, because some--they've observed that a lot of children are coming to their first appearance with attorney, but due to excessive pricing and other challenges when someone hires an attorney, not using best practices, other different obstacles that they face, the children that start to have attorneys during the course of representation lose those attorneys. So, I think that those numbers will drop as the cases go forward, and I think that the LOPC's should incorporate education on those issues so that people understand what their rights are so that all the youth in the proceedings and their family members are made aware of what they should be expecting from the lawyers representing them so that the quality of services that they're all getting whether it's from nonprofit providers or private council or pro-bono are much better than they have been or at least I've observed them to be. much as I want to commend the efforts of everybody, there are so many gaps that are not being filled. The second piece is that I was really happy to see you ask questions about social workers. I think the resources that you could be looking at is to increase

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 168 2 the social works services provided in schools in particular. That is a safe space where young people 3 do talk to adult figures, and especially if you 4 couple that with training for those social workers to 5 6 then connect them to the right resources, I think 7 that is a way to use funding in a way that increases social services for all students in the education 8 system, but also can train them as well. And 9 finally, I think that those resources should include 10 reproductive, domestic violence and sexual health 11 12 education and access to services. We see a lot of 13 young women and other youth who have been victims of 14 gender bias but also need more information and 15 resources on reproductive health. I think those are 16 the ones I'm going to highlight for today. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for 18 that, and even those last recommendations were, I think, part of the work that we're trying to do is 19 20 just identify all those resources--21 ELIZABETA MARKUCI: [interposing] Right. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: that need to come 23 into our community.

ELIZABETA MARKUCI: So, can I just add

one other thing? I do think that large scale clinics

24

is not an appropriate place to talk about trauma.

I've had colleagues of mine that have volunteered at big clinics and were very uncomfortable with the lack of privacy in those settings, and my experience working with DV victims in the past or just youth that have had very, very strong asylum cases based on transgender, like coming out on those issues, it takes a long time to do that, and it's just those massive clinics are not really appropriate places to do that kind of work. I know that makes it harder, and we're trying to reach as many people as we can, but just to be mindful about what we're trying to do and how we can do it better.

2.2

So much for that. Are there any questions? Well, thanks again so much for your testimony and the work that you're doing on all levels from your organization, including the volunteer work that is out there. That work is so important and is an integral part of this process, and we're excited that you continue to bring that force from each of your organizations. Thank you so much. And the next panel we have Nicholas Katz from Make the Road.

Let's hear from Abraham Barranca, Committee for

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 170
Hispanic Children, Meredith Forten, Lutheran Social
Services of New York, Rachel Tzimororatas, Forestdale
Inc., and then the last panel right after this is
Pablo Gomez, Lorraine Gonzalez-Camastra, and Lori
Adams from Human Rights First. You'll be called in
right after this panel, and that will conclude the
panels for this hearing. If we can start here from
the left.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NICHOLAS KATZ: My name is Nick Katz, and I am an immigration attorney with Make the Road New York. I'd like to thank you, Chairman Menchaca and Chairman Lancman for inviting us here today to speak about this incredibly important topic. Make the Road is a community based organization that builds the power of immigrant Latino and low income communities to achieve dignity and justice through organizing, policy innovation, transformative education and survival services. Make the Road is the largest participatory grassroots immigrant organization in New York with over 15,000 members in vibrant community centers in Jackson Heights, Queens, Bushwick, Brooklyn, Port Richmond and Midland Beach, Staten Island, and also in Brentwood on Long Island. We provide a comprehensive range of services

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 171 integrating adult education, workforce development and legal and support services in a holistic approach that increase economic security and stability. As Make the Road has expanded our services to Care for the recently entered children and their families. We're heartened to see that New York City has aggressively responded to the situation through the creation of the working group that Commissioner Agarwal is chairing and as well as the announcement last week that the Council is providing enhanced funding to Make the Road and other organizations to help deal with this problem. I think in recent months, Make the Road has taken on removal cases of many unaccompanied minors who've sought legal assistance directly from our offices. We've also been working in the youth fairs that have been mentioned here earlier, and we have been helping the juvenile docket providers do screenings in the Immigration Court, but there remains a lot of work to be done. think I want to highlight a couple of things. thing that is important is to realize that it's not just the unaccompanied minors, but also other populations that really need support here, right? think one group that hasn't been talked about very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 172 much at this hearing are the adults with children who've entered, right, that aren't included necessarily in those numbers of unaccompanied minors. Many times these adults are really young mothers, maybe 19, 20 years old, and they're coming in with young children, sometimes babies seeking refuge in the United States fleeing violence, the same violence that we've heard, you know, directly talked about by some young people in the organizations that are serving them. The Immigration and Customs enforcement isn't making a distinction between the young women and the children. I've seen an 18 month old baby that has an appearance in Immigration Court, and often times the young mothers themselves are shackled with ankle bracelets. They're required to report directly They're not allowed to move outside of a to ICE. geographic area. They encounter some incredibly difficult situations, and I think as we think about helping the young people we have to think about that population as well. The vast majority of the recently arrived adults and children we encounter through our work are potentially eligible for relief, and you've heard about the most common forms, asylum, SIJ, U-Visa, other forms of humanitarian relief. In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 173 order to get the relief, however, all these individuals have to be connected with support services in their communities. I think doing the work in the court is not enough. We have to engage community based organizations to really connect with these adults and children in the communities where they live. I just want to end by saying that I think the efforts within the Department of Education, the Health and Human Services, all of the agencies that are working in the court can be expanded, and I think we need to make sure that the sponsors who take the children in are connected with these services, and that that support continues after they appear in Immigration Court. Thank you very much for this opportunity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Nick, so much for that.

MEREDITH FORTIN: Good evening. My name is Meredith Fortin, and I'm the Director of the Immigration Legal Program at Lutheran Social Services of New York. Over the past year and a half our legal office has seen firsthand the dramatic rise of unaccompanied minors in court proceedings in New York City, and we're encouraged that the city is

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 174 interested in responding to the problem. Today I'd like to discuss our perception of the need and share our hope that the City Council's most recent investment can be expanded and also maintained over the coming years. Since 1995, Lutheran Social Services has been providing free and low cost direct legal immigration services to underserved populations in New York City. LSSNY provides representation and legal advice to individuals and families seeking immigration relief through asylum, family based immigration petitions, temporary protected status, deferred action for childhood arrivals, and VAWA [sic] and Special Immigrant Juvenile Status petitions among others. Our attorney represent clients before the immigration courts, USCIS and the New York State Family Courts. Over the past year and a half we've seen a steady increase in the number of unaccompanied minors seeking legal assistance and the demands placed on our office to respond to that need have in many ways limited our ability to take on some of the other complex matters that we've historically worked with clients on. I don't want to repeat a lot of what you've already heard today about the need, the numbers of children who will be eligible for relief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 175 through SIJ, asylum, U's and T's. I'd like to give you a little bit of the perspective of the need from an organization that's providing services not in connection with the screenings happening at the Immigration Courts. Despite the hard and good work that's been done at the Immigration Courts on the child's docket, on the surge docket as well, there's still a huge number of people who need services and aren't' getting them. In our legal office there's currently a two month wait for a consultation, and over the summer the percentage of those consultations related to unaccompanied minor cases have sky rocketed. In the beginning of the summer we were looking at about 30 percent of our consultations related to unaccompanied minors. In September it's over 70 percent. So this is slowly taking over more and more of our workload, and it's more and more difficult to respond to the need. We also see this need through a couple of different other avenues. LLSNY has a contract with the Office of Refugee Resettlement to provide shelter and temporary foster care to unaccompanied minors, and we also provide post relief follow-up services to a subset of those children who are more vulnerable. In addition, our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 176 immigration legal office is co-located with a fingerprinting office. That's fingerprinting for the potential sponsors of these minors before they're even released. So we see the need before the children are relieved and in New York City in some cases, and just over the past summer over 500 people have come to our office to be fingerprinted to have those background checks run, and in many cases they then come back once the child has arrived and they need an attorney. So, I just want to highlight the fact that there's really good work being done. I think the funding is a good initial step, but there have been months and months of people going through that screening and not being able to get the services, and we're seeing that, and we will continue to see that and the fallout from it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

ABRAHAM BARRANCA: Good afternoon. Thank you Chair Menchaca and, pardon me, and Chair Lancman for the opportunity to testify today. I'm Abraham Barranca. I am the policy associate at the Committee for Hispanic Children and Families. Since 1982, CHCF has combined education and advocacy to expand opportunities for children and families in the Latino

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 177 community. We believe that the most effective way to support Latino families is by building upon their existing strengths and fostering self-sufficiency. We run youth development programs in the Bronx and in Brooklyn, train childcare providers throughout the city, but primarily in the Bronx, and conduct policy and advocacy efforts and outreach and interact with immigrant communities in all of the work we do. We've heard by years' end up to 90,000 unaccompanied children will have crossed the US/Mexico border, mainly from the three Central American countries that have been brought up throughout this hearing, Honduras, Guatemala and El Salvador. In addition, something that hasn't been mentioned from January to August 31<sup>st</sup>, Customs and Border Protection apprehended as many as 14,700 unaccompanied children from Mexico who are not protected under the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act, which is--which provides grounds for asylum for many of these Central American children, but does not provide safe haven for children coming from Mexico under similar circumstances. Numbers for unaccompanied children from Honduras, El Salvador and Guatemala through the end of August are now 4,799

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 178 released in New York State with about 1,500 in New York City. Thankfully, as we've heard, New York City has been at the forefront of these humanitarian efforts around the country to get the children into safe living situations. We heard about the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs taskforce. We have a few recommendations for this committee and for the City Council. One, that the New York Council should inquire about the generalized use of ankle bracelets that my colleague from Make the Road brought up that are typically used for criminal offenders, but have seen widespread use primarily for adults in these proceedings, many of whom are mothers with children that they have to tend to and daily charging of these ankle bracelets and the restricted movement that this provides for is a real restriction to their ability to care for their children. Second, New York City should request additional emergency funds from New York State and the federal government for the Department of Education to effectively educate children enrolling. Many of these children will be SIFE, students with interrupted formal education, who need considerable additional supports. In addition to be English language learners we've heard about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 179 traumas that they encounter. So the DOE could really benefit from additional funding to serve those children. In regards to the placement of the children within the Department of Education, we'd like to see that they be placed in bilingual education settings. However, they shouldn't be concentrated together and isolated from general student population in order to place them in bilingual settings. So, bilingual education is the best way to educate these children, but they shouldn't be segregated from the rest of the student populations. Fourth, the DOE should collaborate with ACS and culturally and linguistically competent CBO's to get children social and emotional supports they We've heard about the good work that the interagency taskforce is doing. I'd like to see that continue. The City Council's funding to the legal services is a good step, but as we heard it may require additional funding in the coming year if children's cases outlast the first year of funding. And finally, the City Council should request that the Federal Administration for Children and Families provide more detailed information on children's backgrounds, genders, countries of origin and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on immigration & Legal and court services 180 education backgrounds, particularly for us for New York State, but the country in general in segmented by county. Right now ACF has only distributed numbers by county, sort of wholesale without breaking down that data for service providers in those counties. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Good afternoon. RACHEL TZIMORORATAS: name is Rachel Tzimororatas, and I'm the Assistant Executive Director of Forestdale Inc. located in Queens. Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony this afternoon. We're all moved by the plight of unaccompanied minors and heartened by the vigorous response of our city government and civil society. The recently announced partnership between the City Council and private philanthropy to fund legal services for the unaccompanied minors is a tremendous first step. As we know and as we've heard numerous times this afternoon, the needs of this population extend beyond the courtroom, and I'd like to take this opportunity to discuss the role that organizations like Forestdale must play in response. New York City's Child Welfare Organizations have deep experience with the challenges of immigration.

Forestdale was founded in 1854 to serve the

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 181 multitudes of orphans, many from impoverished immigrant families living on the streets of New York City. Today, Forestdale serves over 1,000 families every year through our four main program, foster care, preventive services, the fathering initiative and teen parents in action. Queens finds itself at the center of the unaccompanied minor crisis. 1,300 children who crossed the border earlier this year have come to New York City, and of these, 578 or roughly 44 percent are attending school in Queens. The city has taken the vital step of connecting with these children through representatives in Federal Immigration Court. However, the need for assistance navigating complex systems will continue long after children have gone home with family members or other sponsors. Forestdale's partnerships with schools, religious organizations and civil leaders helps us reach and support families in crisis. Understanding how to access benefits is a challenge for anyone. In all of our programs, Forestdale's staff and volunteers help both American born and immigrant families navigate the education, health, job training, mental health and youth services systems in our great city. We also know the families will need

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES expert support in areas such as parenting skills, mental health, trauma intervention and teen parenting. Parenting skills include interventions that help caregivers provide a safe and nurturing home for their children. This is one of the issues we address in our fathering initiative, a 12 week course that helps fathers increase their emotional and material support for their children. Families with infants can benefit from programs such as ABC, a home visiting program that strengthens bonds between high risk babies and their caregivers. Mental health and trauma intervention is critical for minors who have survived unspeakable violence and abuse, both in their home countries and in their journey to the US. At Forestdale, we are partnering with the New York University Child Study Center to implement trauma systems therapy, a mental health intervention that recognizes children need holistic support from case managers, therapists, and above all their families to overcome the effects of trauma. unaccompanied minors are very likely to become parents while still in their teens. Helping youth understand their bodies and prevent unplanned pregnancies is critical. At the same time, those who

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 183 2 become parents need and deserve our support. Forestdale's Passport to Parenting Program, a 3 partnership with Inwood House focus on strengthening 4 these vulnerable families through group education, 5 health services and case management. In closing, 6 7 Forestdale is ready, willing and able to do our part to support unaccompanied minors just as we strive to 8 serve all children in our home bureau. 9 excited to already be part of DYCD's outreach program 10 for docket eligible youth. We believe this work is 11 12 only the beginning of the needed services, and in partnership with the Council and the Administration, 13 14 we look forward to expanding our involvement in the 15 months to come. Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much 17 for that, and all of you for giving that kind of 18 texture on the ground, the grassroots connection to our communities, and as we move forward, the work 19 20 that you're playing is already important, but going to be integrated as we kind of create that landscape 21 2.2 of support. So thanks again for you testimony. 23 We'll be following up with any further questions.

Thank you. And for our final panel, if we can have

you all come out to the table, Pablo Gomez, Lorraine

24

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                        184
 2
     Camastra, Lori Adams. I just want to say thank you
     again for your patience in walking, well actually,
 3
     sitting here listening to all the testimony. I know
 4
     it's been a--it's been an enlightening conversation,
 5
    but one that I think has given us a better insight.
 6
 7
    So I just want to say thank you so much for the final
    panel for staying here.
 8
                PABLO GOMEZ: Buenos tardes. Mi nombre es
 9
10
     Pablo Gomez. [speaking Spanish]
11
                TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon, my name is
12
     Pablo Gomez.
13
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
14
                TRANSLATOR: And I am from the community
15
     from California--
16
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing]
17
     you speak into the mic, too?
                TRANSLATOR: I'm from the Honduran
18
     community of California. Oh, I'm sorry, Honduras.
19
20
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: I'm here today because I'm
21
2.2
    part of an organization in the Bronx of Honduran
23
    people against AIDS.
```

PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]

24

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                        185
 2
                TRANSLATOR: And as you know, our
 3
     responsibility is to help inform people of what their
 4
     rights are.
 5
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: And we found ourselves, our
 6
 7
    people are confronted by this problem.
 8
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: I'd like to talk about the
 9
     women who've come here with their children a few
10
11
    months ago.
12
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
13
                TRANSLATOR: On these mothers, they're
    placing a device on their ankle, an ankle bracelet.
14
15
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
16
                TRANSLATOR:
                             This is creating a
17
     psychological effect on these women and we are asking
18
     that this is removed from their ankles.
                PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
19
20
                             This is also creating an
                TRANSLATOR:
     impact on the children because the children are
21
2.2
     asking their mothers why this ankle bracelet is there
23
    when they go out on the street. People understand
     that those who are carrying an ankle bracelet are
24
```

criminals.

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 186

2 PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]

3 TRANSLATOR: This is my participation.

4 I'd like to thank you for your time.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Gracias.

LORRAINE GONZALEZ-CAMASTRA: Good evening. My name is Lorraine Gonzales Camastra. the Director of Health Policy at the Children's Defense Fund New York. Thank you to Council Member Menchaca and Council Member Lancman and other members of the committees for the opportunity to share testimony with you today. The Children's Defense Fund Leave No Child Behind mission is to ensure that every child have a healthy head, fair, safe and moral start in life and successful passage to adulthood with the help of caring families and communities. My testimony today will address current circumstances for unaccompanied immigrant children in New York City and provide recommendations for supporting this population in our city moving forward. Children's Defense Fund wants to applaud City Council and its partners for launching the Unaccompanied Minor Children Initiative last week, to fund free legal representation for unaccompanied minors in New York City. Additionally, Children's Defense Fund thanks

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES Mayor Bill de Blasio and Commissioner Agarwal and the city Administration for recently stationing city representatives at 26 Federal Plaza to directly address the needs of unaccompanied children undergoing deportation proceedings in schools to facilitate school and healthcare enrollment for these children. It is at this moment in which two initiatives on behalf of unaccompanied children debut that the Children's Defense Fund offers recommendations in the following areas to maximize the potential of New York City to support these children and youth. The following are specific recommendations for ensuring optimal support for unaccompanied children. We at Children's Defense Fund believe that the Federal Immigration Court is an effective gateway for educating unaccompanied minors and their families and/or sponsors on eligibility and qualification for health insurance. Through our experience as a statewide leader in developing effective methods for enrolling children in health coverage, we urge the Committee on Immigration to: One, ensure a coordinated pathway for all of children and youth at the federal court involving an intake process that merges assessments for legal service

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 188 needs with coordinated education, health insurance enrollment services. Two, quarantee that culturally and linguistically competent health insurance counselors are able to educate youth and their quardians about health coverage options and in turn provide enrollment support. Three, warrant that these health insurance counselors appropriately connect unaccompanied children to community liaisons stationed in neighborhoods for follow up on enrollment and healthcare access. Beyond the acquisition of health insurance coverage, unaccompanied minors need to become aware of healthcare providers in their communities that offer effective pediatric care. Therefore, in keeping with the use of the federal court as a gateway for connecting unaccompanied minors to social service supports, a list of New York City community healthcare access points at a minimum consisting of culturally and linguistically competent primary care and behavioral healthcare providers should be given to all families arriving at court. This should include article 28 and 31 sites located in city public schools. And there is more testimony, but I'll leave it for you to review.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. I have one question after, but I'll come back to it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LORI ADAMS: Thank you. My name is Lori I'm the managing attorney at Human Rights First here in New York. We're an international human rights organization based in New York City and have been involved in the protection of refugees and asylees [sic], asylum seekers, since our founding in 1978. So, first I'd like to thank the city as everyone has for the new initiative to support those fleeing violence generally in Central America on the new dockets of the New York Immigration Court. Undoubtedly this will help many who are in Immigration Court proceedings and have managed to find their way to the courthouse and those who are living in the five boroughs of New York City. Many of those children may have such cases, and we do handle such cases as well, but many of them will have asylum cases. Those may be based on relations with gangs or interactions with gangs based on violence within their homes. These may be domestic violence cases, and as we've, some people have touched upon, these may also be LGBTQ cases, and all of those are

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES cases that the juvenile docket providers are equipped to screen. I do want to point out that Human Rights First is part of the IRP collaborative at the Immigration Court, and we also run the ARP. These are two screening projects that are similar to the juvenile docket, but which help all asylum seekers and others who are in immigration court proceedings. The IRP in particular is running collaboration with Legal Aid, Catholic Charities and Hebrew [sic] Immigrant Aid Society and has been running since 1992 in the court system. We have had the opportunity to see the juvenile dockets and the new surge dockets in action as they are running on the same days that we are also doing those screenings in the same place. They are running very well, which is great, but just not to forget that there are other groups out there as well, and that the collaborative of the organizations working together in making appropriate referrals is really--has really been great already and will continue to be very helpful. We do hope this city's initiatives will inspire other cities and municipalities, including in Upstate New York and Long Island to do something similar. There's a huge need as we're seeing in our Houston, Texas office as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 191 well for immigrants who are coming from the southern border and not starting out in the New York Immigration Court. I would also like to thank the city for the appearances in the screenings that are happening. I was at the Sunset Park event yesterday. It was very well done, and that was a chance as well to see the city in action. So very specifically, I would like to--and this is late in the day and I know we've touched on this a bit, but bring the city's attention to the needs of the young mothers with children in the court system. I understand this new funding will help unaccompanied minors, which is a huge population, but we are looking at tens of thousands of young mothers with children being placed on a different docket. I believe the court is now calling it the AWC, the Adults with Children docket. So there's just an enormous need there as well. Those tend to be asylum seekers with slightly different needs than the unaccompanied minors. will generally not be SIJ cases, although they may be mothers with domestic violence cases with children who also have SIJ cases, and you're more likely to see those ankle bracelets and other advocacy that needs to be done for that, for those individuals to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

get those removed and to help the very young children who are not yet school aged, but might be infants, to get the services that they need as well. So, I just want to thank the City. I do have more in my written testimony, but would be more than happy to talk about the asylum and the adults with children population in particular.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Lori, Ms. Lori Adams for that work that you're doing and for the work that you pulled into for Sunset Park's big clinic. And my question to you before I actually move over to Ms. Gonzalez-Camastra, thanks again for reiterating some of the work that needs to happen with our, the adults with unaccompanied minors, and if we can kind of continue to talk a little bit about that. That's definitely been percolating with us, and like anything that we start, you know, we started this conversation this year with Family Unity Project and the kind of lag or lack of resources, legal resources, and we keep just revealing new and new spaces where legal services are needed, and one of the great things in partnering with Chairman Lancman, we're going to, I think, work in partnership to really understand and uncover that

necessity. So thanks so much and we'd like to talk to you more about that after. And my question to Ms.

Camastra, on your—one of your recommendations was speaking to the coordination of effort for the pathway for these young kids. Has there been any consideration to what that looks like specifically with information sharing and any kind of privacy concerns that might have come up in your, in the advocacy work that you're thinking about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LORRAINE GONZALES-CAMASTRA: Yeah. So, while we haven't gotten into the weeds of specific or the mire of specific recommendations for steps one, two, three, we do understand that there is confidentiality, you know, in terms of counsel representing these children, and I think that someone had made a suggestion earlier about the inclusion of social workers, and I think that could be, if there is some type of coordinated plan for intake for everyone arriving to court to really have that first encounter and that be the conduit for assessment for services, and really carefully craft the questions to ensure that, you know--you can ask a question without there being the details of potentially a confidential situation being disclosed, and then you can

1 COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 194 2 essentially just flag for a potential services. I think the ultimate goal is to really connect these 3 children to social service supports in communities, 4 5 and at that point it can get into more specifics. 6 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for 7 [speaking Spanish] that. PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish] 8 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. [speaking 9 Spanish] 10 11 PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish] 12 TRANSLATOR: Just briefly. He was 13 asking if the theme has changed. He's actually 14 responded that the theme has not changed, but the 15 amount of work has increased with this issue of 16 immigration. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I was really 18 kind of pointing to organizations in our communities that are doing something else, but are also kind of 19 responding to the crisis, and while they might have 20 been focused on one group or one organ--or one type 21 2.2 of interaction with family members, but now they're

25 | in our local nonprofits that are doing this kind of

another kind of situation that we need to think about

taking this on as well. And so I think that's

23

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 195
work that we understand that they are kind of
responding and we want to understand that too and
what kind of barriers that they might have and
resources they might need and just being able to
articulate that to us as we think about funding, as
we think about coalition building, as we think about
bringing community organizations together. So that
was my question. Gracias. Question?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Just a

2.2

clarification. Who's ordering the wearing of the bracelets? Is that the immigration judge? Is that USCIS? Is that ICE? Who is that?

So, this is not the immigration judge's decision.

The ankle bracelet, which is probably the wrong way to describe this item. It is a large electronic device that is attached to a person's ankle. It's a monitoring device with a GPS technology and it's very heavy and it tends to make ankles bleed and cause scarring. It's a horrible device. It is being considered an alternative to detention. So for those who entered the US and were placed in immigration detention upon arrival, when they are then released from detention they may have this ankle device placed

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 196 on them. They then need to charge it at least once a day, some people twice a day depending on how long the batter lasts. And the word in Spanish that you heard for this really it's to the screaming sound that it makes when it runs out of charge. So, that device is something that is seen as an alternative to detention. So this is our, basically our immigration system prior to the appearance before the judge. Others are released from immigration detention and do not have this ankle device placed on them. They are then told to report to their deportation officer here in New York City. Sometimes when they go into report, even if they are showing up for all of those appearances, they are then placed--this device is placed on them anyway. I don't know if this is because it makes it easier in terms of the officers checking in on them, but we -- I have seen some people They've come in affirmatively to me as in my office. their cases are still not scheduled for their very first hearing in immigration court. So they're not going through the system that we've been talking about today, but they show up in my office and they've been out of detention and here in the US for months and they're wearing an ankle device just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES
                                                       197
 2
    because too much time has passed and their
     deportation officer might think that they are going
 3
 4
     to become a flight risk at some point. So we haven't
     talked much about those cases that fall through the
     cracks. Most times if they fall through the cracks
 6
 7
     long enough, the detention authorities start to
     wonder if they will become a flight risk and place
 8
     these devices on them later. Sorry for the long
 9
10
     answer.
             I hope that was helpful.
11
                CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you.
12
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:
                                       Thank you.
                                                    And
13
     just for clarification for the record, I just want to
14
     correct something earlier with the translation that
15
     the gentleman was from the Gatifornia [sic]
16
     community. It's a native. It's a community of
17
     Native African slaves brought over to Central America
18
     centuries ago, and so I don't know if you want to
     reintroduce him, and--
19
20
                TRANSLATOR: Oh, okay. I heard
     California. How do you--Falifornia?
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Gatifornia.
23
                PABLO GOMEZ: Gatifornia.
```

TRANSLATOR: Gatifornia, okay.

PABLO GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]

24

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES 198

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TRANSLATOR: So he was just saying the same thing. It's a community of former African slaves and indigenous Indians from Venezuela.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much for that clarification. And we're done with this panel and with the hearing, and I don't know if there's any final words from our Chair Lancman. Thank you again for your patience. We have learned a lot more today and will continue to fight in this aggressive response as a city, and so thank you for all the work you're doing on the ground. We're going to continue to reveal the work that is needed as we move forward. Any questions, ideas, please send them to me in the committee. We will be taking this kind of testimony throughout, and we want to come back and report on what's been happening through our community organizations, but also our Mayor's Office and Public Advocate Tish James. There's some many advocates right now that are doing the work and so our work here at the council is to aggregate that and be able to respond. And thank you to Commissioner Agarwal and her team that is really assembling a work that is holistic, and we're going to continue to pressure, because like I said before, we have to ask. We have

COMMITTEES ON IMMIGRATION & LEGAL AND COURT SERVICES to ask for more, and we want to make sure that when we do ask that we're integrated into the work you're doing so that we can be asking for a very particular kind of service increase and we need more funding clearly, and we're going to be looking at this closely to make sure that we're doing the right thing throughout time. So, thank you so much, and this hearing is now adjourned. [gavel] 

## 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 8, 2014