CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

June 16, 2014 Start: 1:27 p.m. Recess: 3:21 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room

City Hall

BEFORE:

Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Anabel Palma Fernando Cabrera

Ruben Wills

Donovan J. Richards Vanessa L. Gibson Corey D. Johnson Carlos Menchacca Ritchie J. Torres

Daniel Dromm

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Letitia James Public Advocate

Benita Miller Deputy Commissioner for Division of Family Permanency Services

Jackie Roth Associate Commissioner of Central Operations

Sabine Cherry Assistant Commissioner Office of Older Youth Services and Residential Care Monitoring

Peter Nabozny Division of Policy Planning

Jessica Maxwell Youth and Care Coalition Children's Aid Society

Donald Fields NYSA

Apurva Mehrotra Community Service Society of New York

Noah Franklin Federation of Protestant Welfare Agency

Latonya Smitherman

Stephanie Gendell Citizens Committee for Children

Emicia Parker Miss Plus New York

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gary Parker

Thomas Hilliard Center for Urban Future

Priti Kataria Adolescents Confronting Transition Project

Krista Gunderson Adolescents Confronting Transition Project

Melinda Nimmons Community Voices Heard

Susan Rivers
Diverse Marketing Company

Bill Busk Community Voices Heard

Kathleen Keller Legal Aid Society

John Krinsky Community Voices Heard

	4

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning
3	everybody. Sorry for the delay. We had some
4	technical difficulties but they have now been
5	cleared up and so the public can now see this
6	hearing streaming on the web and that's very
7	much in accord with our open data that we like
8	to do here at the council and that we have
9	passed laws to ensure. So, thank you all very
10	much for your patience. Good morning. I'm
11	Council Member Stephen Levin, Chair of the
12	General Welfare Committee and I am joined this
13	morning by Council Member Fernando Cabrera,
14	serves on the committee, Council Member Carlos
15	Menchacca, also on the committee, Council
16	Member Annabel Palma, also on the committee,
17	Council Member Ritchie Torres in the committee,
18	a sponsor of one our bills today, Council
19	Member Danny Dromm, Council Member Donovan
20	Richards serving on the committee, sponsor of
21	one of the bills, Council Member Laurie Cumbo,
22	sponsor of the third bill, Council Member
23	excuse me, Public Advocate Letitia James, and

committee member Vanessa Gibson. I'm going to

read a brief opening statement, then I'll turn

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it over to Public Advocate James and Council Member Cumbo for opening statements and if Council Member Dromm wishes to as well. Good morning, I'm Council Member Steve Levin, Chair of the City Council's Committee on General Welfare. Today we are going to be conducting an Oversight Hearing on Youth Aging out of Foster Care and hearing three bills requiring the Administration for Children's Services to report on certain important aspects of the lives of young people in foster care. First, Introduction 104 sponsored by Public Advocate James requires the collection and reporting of data on youth who have aged out of foster care. Introduction 107 by Council Member Danny Dromm requires ACS to collect and report data on the success of obtaining government-issued identification for foster care youth. And finally, Introduction 187 by Council Member Laurie Cumbo which requires ACS to collect and report the high school graduation rates of youth in foster care. All three of these bills seek to collect more information on the outcomes of young people in foster care which

2	will help shape the creation of more informed
3	policy here in New York City. Although the
4	foster care census has been on a consistent
5	downward trend for the past several years, each
6	year approximately 800 young people aged 18 to
7	21 are discharged from foster care. If by 21, a
8	young person in foster care has not been
9	reunified with a family or been adopted, he or
10	she will be discharged from foster care to
11	independence. According to ACS's Preparing
12	Youth for Adulthood Plan, out of the group of
13	18 to 21 year olds who are discharged from
14	foster care annually, approximately 80 percent
15	are young people who are left to rely primarily
16	on themselves. Numerous studies have indicated
17	that young people who age out of foster care
18	tend to experience worse outcomes than their
19	peers in the critical areas of education,
20	employment, criminal justice, criminal justice
21	involvement, mental health, income insecurity
22	and housing. Given the realities of the New
23	York City housing market, it is even more
24	difficult for our young people to secure
25	permanent housing for themselves by the age of

2	21. Further, we know that even young people who
3	are able to curate New York City Housing
4	Authority or supportive housing unit often are
5	unable to maintain the rent and end up losing
6	their housing. Inevitably, these young people
7	end up homeless. In a recent report by the
8	Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies, six
9	young people who had aged out of the foster
10	care system in the city were interviewed and
11	all discussed their inability to maintain
12	housing due to a lack of education and skills.
13	ACS and its contracted foster care agencies
14	work hard to ensure these young people who age
15	out of care, that make sure to ensuresorry
16	work hard to ensure that these young people who
17	age out of care are prepared for an independent
18	adulthood at the age of 21. Today, this
19	committee's interested in learning what steps
20	are taken to prepare these young people and
21	what could be done to better improve policy and
22	practices in order to ensure successful
23	outcomes for all young people who age out at
24	21. I want to thank you all very much for
25	attending today's hearing, and again, apologies

2 for the late start and I want to turn over to

3 Public Advocate Letitia James for an opening

4 statement.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you all for being here. Before I want to--before I begin, I'd like to thank Chair Steve Levin and his staff for organizing today's important hearing. I'd also like to thank my colleagues, Council Member Dromm and Laurie Cumbo of Brooklyn as well as all the other colleagues who were here today and those joined me at the press conference earlier. I am a City Council member. I'm an ex-officio member of the City Council, so you can still refer to me as the City Council Member/Public Advocate. I'd like to thank the advocates and individuals who joined us earlier. I understand that the Administration has demonstrated preliminarily willingness to work with us on this legislation, and I thank them for that and look forward to working with you on this issue. There are 12,000 children and youth in foster care in our city, many who are struggling every day against all odds to make it. Each year

_	
2	nearly 1,000 young people age out foster care
3	only to enter back into city services because
4	they weren't given the tools to make it. Being
5	a child in foster care should not be a
6	guarantee of an adult life of homelessness,
7	dependence on welfare or prison, yet all too
8	often it is. For a long time, we have known
9	that young people aging out of the foster care
10	system between the ages of 18 to 21 confront
11	greater challenges than those who are adopted
12	before leaving the system. While 20 percent of
13	these young adults are discharged into the care
14	of an adult, the remaining 80 percent on their
15	own. Between 18 to 26 percent of foster youth
16	who age out of the system are in homeless
17	shelters. The city has set aside only about 100
18	apartments in New York City Housing Authority
19	for agefor youth who age out and
20	approximately 50 percent of foster youth fail
21	to find employment after aging out of the
22	system. Looking at these statistics, we know
23	that more needs to be done, which is why I'm
24	happy to reintroduce Intro 104, which as we all

know was a bill that was introduced by the

2	former Public Advocate, now Mayor of the City
3	of New York Bill de Blasio, and the bill would
4	ensure that we track these young adults after
5	they leave the system to better understand what
6	support and resources they are availing
7	themselves of, problems that they might
8	encounter with homelessness or law enforcement
9	and coordinate amongst a relevant agencies to
10	ensure this information is more readily
11	available. These quarterly reports would make
12	the data of foster care youth who have aged out
13	of the system available to the public, and we
14	will raise awareness. I would like to use
15	today's hearing to better understand how we can
16	make Intro 104 a better bill and move it
17	towards passage. In addition to that, I know
18	that there are a number of state laws that
19	already require alternative plan, permanent
20	living arrangements as well as databases, and I
21	would like to know how ACS is complying with
22	all of the state mandates. Resolving the issue
23	that confront young adults that age out of
24	foster care is no doubt a complicated

proposition. The first step in addressing that

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

challenge is tracking these individuals and
better understanding the problems that they
confront so we can begin to strengthen our
support network. We heard earlier from three
amazing individuals who provided testimonials
and we'll be hearing from them today at the
hearing, and I congratulate them for all that
they have done. They truly represent heroes and
sheroes [sic] in the child welfare agency in
the foster care system. They are the face of a
lot of those individuals transitioning out, and
we should applaud them here today. I want to
ensure that a child could in the foster care
system does not seal your fate, and in fact,
your past should not be a predictor of your
future, and that's why I applaud the three
individuals who testified earlier and I look
forward to hearing from them this morning.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member Laurie Cumbo?

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

Good morning. I am Council Member Laurie Cumbo
and I'm Chair of the Women's Issues Committee

2	and I certainly want to echo the sentiments of
3	our Public Advocate Letitia James and really
4	wanted to thank all of you who testified this
5	morning at the press conference. It really gave
6	us an understanding of underof gaining a
7	greater understanding of the complexities of
8	what each of you and so many others are facing
9	throughout New York City. So I thank you for
10	your bravery and your courage and your ability
11	to share your challenging stories and I'm so
12	happy that you have found a way and a direction
13	out of a challenging system and that you're
14	able to speak on behalf of others. I want to
15	thank our Council Member Steve Levin for
16	holding this hearing today and giving me the
17	opportunity to discuss such an important bill
18	that I am sponsoring today. Intro 187 will
19	require the Administration for Child Services
20	to provide annual reports of graduation rates
21	for children in foster care, many of whom
22	struggle to graduate and find employment after
23	emancipation. As elected officials, we are
24	responsible for ensuring that all the children

of New York City have the resources they need

information it needs to improve ACS and to

provide support to the young people who need it

24

most by creating sustainable pathways towards success. Once again, thank you, Council Member

4 Levin for holding a hearing on Intro 187 that

5 | will bring about much needed change to ACS, and

6 I look forward to the testimony of everyone

7 here today, and I just want to add that Intro

8 187 is simply a start. There needs to be a much

9 more intensive legislation, much more

10 | evaluation. We need to have a greater

11 understanding of how our resources in New York

12 City are impacting the youth that are in our

13 foster care agency, and Intro 187 is just the

14 | beginning to understand where we are as a city

15 | and what more we need to do. So thank you very

16 much, and I thank all of my colleagues for

17 | their support.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

much, Council Member Cumbo. Now we will hear from the Administration. Thank you again for your patience, and before we start I have to swear you in. If you wouldn't mind raising your right hand please. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 and to respond honestly to Council Member's
3 questions?

2.2

BENITA MILLER: I do.

everybody do it, just in case you are--you all have to respond. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member's questions?

PANEL: I do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much. You may proceed. Thank you.

BENITA MILLER: Okay. Good morning,
Chair Levin and members of the General Welfare
Committee and Public Advocate Letitia James. I
am Benita Miller, Deputy Commissioner for the
Division of Family Permanency Services. With me
this morning is Jackie Roth, Associate
Commissioner of Central Operations, Sabine
Cherry, Assistant Commissioner for the Office
of Older Youth Services and Residential Care
Monitoring, as well as Peter Nabozny from our
Division of Policy Planning and Management.

2	Thank you for the opportunity to brief you on
3	the programs and services we offer to young
4	people in foster care. As a system and as a
5	city, we are committed to doing everything we
6	can to ensure that young people transitioning
7	out of our care and custody have developed the
8	skills and connections they need to become
9	successful adults. I am pleased to be here
10	today to share with you the work we have been
11	doing. We are eager to work with the council
12	and with the Public Advocate's Office to figure
13	out how ACS can legally, accurately and
14	transparently collect and present aggregated
15	data about the young people in our care. As you
16	mentioned, the New York City foster care census
17	is at a historically low number. Currently,
18	11,554 children are in our care. While we are
19	happy to report that this reflects a continued
20	downward trend, the teenagers and young adults
21	who come to our attention have particularly
22	complex needs, including mental health and
23	behavioral challenges. As such, connecting
24	older foster youth with caring adults who are
25	willing to be long term resources is a

2.2

23

24

25

challenge, and a number of young adults leave foster care without a permanent resource. The bills pending before the City Council seek information about how ACS and our foster care provider agencies help prepare young people who are not being adopted and where reunification with family is not possible transition to independent adulthood. Namely, the bills seek to address the issues of whether these young people are educated, whether they are able to meet their financial needs through sustained employment and whether they are able to secure stable affordable housing. Given that these young--given that the young people who come into our care are in many cases already at a significant disadvantage before they came to our attention, ACS is working very hard to address their needs. While we do have some legal, technical and philosophical questions about some of the specific data requests, I am pleased that we have already begun to discuss some of our challenges and some potential solutions together. I want to be very clear that ACS is committed to both improved outcomes

2	and to transparency in our process for
3	improving them. ACS cannot control the
4	circumstances that bring young people into
5	foster care. However, we know that if a youth
6	that if youth who are in care, they have some
7	they have endured some level of trauma. While
8	they are in our care, we have an opportunity to
9	help them address and overcome the challenges
10	that brought them into care and worked with
11	them to successfully transmission into
12	adulthood. One initiative ACS has designed to
13	assist young people in foster care to
14	successfully transition into adulthood is
15	called Preparing You for Adulthood, also known
16	as PYA. PYA seeks to strengthen both our
17	foster care providers and our efforts to
18	achieve positive outcomes for youth exiting
19	foster care at ages 17, 18, 19 and 20
20	regardless of their permanency plans. PYA
21	involves coordination among provider agency
22	partners, community-based organizations as well
23	as other government agencies, both local and
24	state to take advantage of expertise of each

lien [sic] so that our youth can be connected

2	to services or supports. PYA seeks to promote
3	mental, physical and emotional well-being of
4	young people by setting developmentally
5	appropriate goals designed to encourage healthy
6	interpersonal relationships, educational and/or
7	vocational achievement. And the development of
8	the skills they will require to meet their
9	needs for housing, food, clothing, health and
10	safety as they mature into adulthood. ACS's
11	Office of Older Youth Services and Residential
12	Care monitoring also known as OYS is
13	responsible for permanency planning and
14	promoting shorter lengths of stay and
15	residential placement for youth and care. OYS
16	provides a number of programs and services
17	designed to meet the unique needs of our youth
18	including residential care monitor, which is a
19	unit responsible for reducing their length of
20	stay at the residential care facilities for
21	youth who are older than 17. The unit
22	currently serves 690 young people, monitors the
23	permanency meetings and the goals of those
24	transitioning from residential care facilities,
25	and assists with referrals for employment,

2.2

23

24

25

housing and mental health services. ACS's team specialist unit supports pregnant and/or parenting youth in foster care as well as in DYJ in some instances, which I'll explain. partners with external experts, professionals and internal cross-divisional partners to develop and enhance parenting skills. TSU also trains our foster care and preventive providers to develop their expertise in this area and provides information about community based resources for pregnant and parenting youth. Currently, TSU is working with 114 pregnant and parenting youth in residential mother/child blended programs or if they are cross-over youth. We work with them as well. TSU's fatherhood initiative offers support to expectant and parenting fathers. One such program which we partner with is Clairmont [sp?] Neighborhood Bay Services. Through this program, young fathers develop an appreciation for their role in the lives of their children and receive support in navigating the complexities of co-parenting. ACS recently hired a community associate in this unit, a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

former foster care youth and a young father who knows about some of the parenting challenges first hand to provide forms for young parents to learn about child welfare practices, empower other young fathers to be engaged and to facilitate conversations between young parents in foster care as well as members of the child welfare community. Our associate has become an important resource in improving the outcomes for young parents in foster care the Young Parent's Speakers Bureau engages young parents by providing a forum for them to continue having conversations with the child welfare community about their experiences in providing guidance on becoming engaged fathers. Information learned from those forums is used to inform our practices, policies and delivery of services to young parents. Our Youth Justice Unit assists and monitors cross-over youth, those who are involved in both the foster care, juvenile justice and criminal justice systems to ensure that a permanency plan is in place and that our cross-over youth are visited in detention, placement or incarceration. The

2	missing children's outreach unit provides
3	guidance to staff at the residential and foster
4	board and agencies on conducting diligent
5	searches for young people who leave care
6	without permission. Residential care reduction
7	in IPASCW [sic] also known as Intensive
8	Preventive Aftercare Services for Child
9	Welfare, provides intensive preventive
10	aftercare services in all residential care
11	facilities through the use of functional family
12	therapy, an evidence based model that helps
13	support youth ages nine to 17 years old who
14	have returned to their permanent adult
15	connection on a trial discharge. Additionally,
16	IPASCW monitors the permanency of all youth in
17	residential care settings as well as lengths of
18	stay for youth placed in residential care
19	setting. In addition to our programs and our
20	work with providers, ACS is also working with
21	other city agencies and external partners to
22	improve outcomes for young people in care. In
23	October 2013, ACS and the DOE initiated Project
24	School Success, a collaboration designed to

ensure educational stability and academics

2 success for children in foster care. Project School Success has three major components, data 3 sharing, training, and support and development 4 of curricula for provider agency staff with a focus on improving youth education outcomes. 6 7 Among our nonprofit partners is Fair Cap [sic] an organization that helps people overcome 8 barriers and works toward economic 9 independence. Fair Cap developed a program 10 called Prep Now [sic], a web-based curriculum 11 12 and interactive tool designed to enhance the 13 capacity and motivation of foster parents to 14 prepare those in their care for college. 15 Currently 200 of our foster parents use Prep 16 Now which includes interactive primers on 17 FASFA, SAT, personal essay, college visits and 18 academic advocacy. ACS and the de Blasio Administration share the City Council's goal of 19 20 improving outcomes for former foster care youth. We are committed to finding ways to 21 2.2 improve the services we provide to our young 23 people and look forward to working with the City Council and the Public Advocate staff to 24

develop and implement a methodology that will

2	lead to accurate valuable data about these
3	outcomes. In addition, we expect that ACS's
4	ongoing participation in the New York City's
5	Children's Cabinet will continue to generate
6	meaningful dialogue, foster important
7	relationships and cultivate vital resources
8	that will further strengthen our mission. In
9	particular, data sharing amongst city agencies
10	is a goal that the cabinet is already
11	addressing and will help inform ACS's approach
12	to these issues. I hope today that my testimony
13	helps illustrate ACS's commitment and work
14	toward improving and providing our young people
15	in foster care with the opportunities and
16	skills they need to become successful adults.
17	There's still much work to be done and we look
18	forward to building on our efforts. Thank you
19	for inviting us to discuss these important
20	items with you today and we welcome your

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,

Deputy Commissioner Miller. I just wanted to,

before we get to questions, welcome the

students and the chaperones from PS 527 who are

questions.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

here today including Assembly Member Dan Quart
who I understand son is in the class. Welcome
Assemblyman, how are you? Thank you all for
joining us. I hope you have a good time. Enjoy
City Hall, guys.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I told them to complain about any issues related to playgrounds.

[laughter]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, thank you, Deputy Commissioner. So I'm going to ask a couple of questions.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just kind of basic questions and then I'll turn it over to Public Advocate James.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So first off, how many young people right now are in foster care between the ages of 18 and 20? Do we have that data?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, we do.

Between 18 and 20 we have 1,040 children who are older than 18, so between 18 and 20.

to remain in care; 165 youth did not.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Does that-do most people that stay in once they turn 18,
do they stay in until they're 21 or is that-often they--throughout in that time period
decide or opt to leave?

JACKIE ROTH: Yes, so each year, they are required to continue to consent to remain in care, so young people ages 18, 19, 20 as they are approaching 21 continue to consent to remain and on average, it's around the same number that discharge to themselves. You will see 165, 100, but the majority of young people that choose to stay in, probably it's the largest number that ages out at 21, which is around 450.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 450 age out at 21? So then that 165 is just the ones that age out at 18 or age out somewhere in between the ages of 18 and 20.

JACKIE ROTH: Eighteen, that number is young people who did not consent to remain in care at age 18.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And the young people that leave at 18 or 19 or 20, are they

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 required to have a permanent and stable living 3 situation when they opt out of foster care?

them to have a permanent and stable living situation, but again it is all required consents. So we at age 18 can assist them in applying for housing subsidies and other subsidy grants that may, you know, support them while they're leaving care, but it is—we cannot allow a young person to not leave foster care if they choose to do so. We cannot oppose their non-consent. We cannot force them to remain in care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Even if they don't have a stable living situation set up at that time.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right. If a young person decides at 18 not to consent to remain in our care, we cannot require them to stay with us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But so there are--but you, ACS does help prepare them or try to prepare them?

2.2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right, that's

our goal. 3

4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: To help prepare them, but if at 18 they decide they would like to as some kids call it sign themselves out, we cannot stop them, and say, "You have to stay with us."

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, and I'm--a lot of that has to do with, I mean, I think looking at the housing options that are out there and looking at the unique challenges of New York City of the housing market, maybe we can get to that a little bit later in the hearing, but you know, three options that are out there are -- the three options are going to a private setting, right, private apartment, New York City Housing Authority, or supportive housing. And knowing that the latter two have-is a severe shortage of those options, raises some concerns about looking how to expand those and potentially have other options on the table.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Well, young
3	people who discharge, choose to discharge
4	themselves from care do have the right to
5	request re-entry into care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: If they feel like they need our support and they request through a hearing process. I'm sorry, not through a hearing. They make a request to hold a conference and at that point we work with them to make a determination, whether they should come back into care or whether preventive services can be put in place in a community to sustain them.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And how many return to care once they leave at 18 or 18 and 19?

that break down by age, I have it broken down by request. So in calendar year 2013 we received 108 requests and we approved 78 requests to re-enter. This year so far we've received 33 requests and 19 were approved.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 32
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So there are
3	those that are between the ages of 18 and 21
4	who request to go back into the foster care
5	that are not approved? Not a large percentage?
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Now, why would
8	that be if they'redo they not have like a
9	absolute right to return into foster care if
10	they've been in the system and opted out at 18?
11	COMMISSIONER MILLER: We hold a
12	social work conference and all the parties come
13	to the table and discuss what options may be
14	available in the community to help sustain the
15	young person, including an adult resource that
16	can help them and keep them in stable housing.
17	So if that's identified, they normally choose
18	not to come back into care. So we help to
19	buttress whatever's happening for them already
20	in the community. If we're not able to do that,
21	those are the young people who are likely to
22	come back into care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So if a young person applies and is denied and asks again and

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	it,	you	know,	thatthe	community	connection
2	0 ~ -					

2.2

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
Yes, they can make second request.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: family is not--is maybe, if there's maybe some disagreement as to whether that's seen as a viable option and ACS thinks it's a viable option, but the young person say doesn't, is that person, can they go back into foster care if they want?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER MILLER: They can make} \\ \mbox{another request, yes.}$

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So those--so those that are--those applications that are not--

So that doesn't normally happen. If we through the process of reviewing their record and meeting with the young person, they're present at the conference along with an adult resource and often times their advocate as well. So when that conference happens, a determination is made based on what's presented in a case by case scenario what's best for that young

1

2

3

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person. But there are times when a young person will make a request and say they decide they want to stay with their adult resource; it doesn't work out. They then approach ACS again, and that's open to them to say it didn't work out, I need to come back into care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. One other question and then I'll turn it over to Public Advocate James. For--going back to the housing question or suitable stable housing, if a young person that's in foster care until the age of 21 has not been able to secure one of those three options, what then happens after they reach the age of 21, if the NYCHA units because there's a waiting list they couldn't obtain one and supportive housing units, there's just not any out there that are available, and their income is not sufficient or there's another reason why they have not been able to secure a permanent apartment of their own, even with the help of ACS, what then happens to that young person?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: So six months prior to their $21^{\rm st}$ birthday, we ask that our

2.2

2	provider agencies submit what they call an
3	exception policy. So between that time frame
4	before they approach their 21 st birthday,
5	provider agencies notify us and make a request
6	for an exception to policy and those young
7	people stay in care beyond their 21 st birthday
8	so that we can ensure that whatever service
9	plan needs to be completed including the
10	housing component, whether applications are
11	outstanding or they're on a waiting list we
12	want to makewe check in to see where they are
13	in that process and for three month time
14	periods they're able to stay in foster care

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So then, and that's the rule. So if they, if a young person doesn't have a permanent housing--

under an exception to policy.

Yes, then we ask that the agency submit a exception to policy request. Those eight—we notify. We updated our guidance on that just to clarify some of the issues, and we reissue that in January. So yes, children or young people who are 21, between the six months prior to

their 21st birthday, we do ask that our

provider agency notify us and to begin a plan 3

4 of process for discharge.

5

7

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I'll come

back for some follow-up questions on that, but 6

I want to turn it over to Public Advocate

James. 8

9

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

10 So can you tell--can the Administration

indicate their position with respect to Intro 11

12 104, 137 and Intro 187, what is the position of

13 the Administration, pro or con?

14

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We too, support

15 better outcomes and transparency in achieving

16 the goals that are outlined in the bill, but

17 have legal, technical and philosophical

18 concerns about some of the specific data

requests and are working closely with the 19

20 General Welfare Committee staff and the Public

Advocate's Offices to come up with a workable 21

2.2 bill that achieves these goals.

23

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, you

really didn't answer my question, but I thank 24

25 you for that statement. That was pretty

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 37
2	concise and very, you know, political. Intro
3	104, could you tell me what your objections or
4	your legal or technical concerns are? Is there
5	counsel here, legislative counsel present?
6	You're not in the position to
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
8	Not in the position right now to tellto
9	clarify.
10	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And I guess
11	the same holds true on 137?
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes. Yes.
13	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: As well as
14	COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
15	Yes.
16	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: 187?
17	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
18	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay, but you
19	will continue to negotiate with our staff?
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
21	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And our
22	counsel?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yes.

2.2

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And hopefully work on some sort of resolution in support of all three bills?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We will work to get to the outcomes that we share together, yeah.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Do you support the collecting and reporting of data related to youth aging out of foster care?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We do. We want our young people to achieve better outcomes. We want to know how they're doing. We want young people who come to the attention of Children's Services to have the best possible lives as just what their peers would want and have in their own--if they didn't come into care.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So my
understanding is that there was a lawsuit and
there was a settlement and it required agency
case workers to track foster youth agencies
prior to discharge through extensive check
lists that are monitored by ACS and legal
organizations?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

2		PUBLIC	ADVOCATE	JAMES:	Do	you
3	currently	do that	?			

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We have the APLA [sic] monitoring unit, which is under Associate Commissioner Jackie Roth, yes. So we do have the checklist.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: If you have that information can you provide it to my office, or have you yet to compile that information?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We will work with our General Counsel's office to get a response to your office.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. Again, it's my understanding that there--it was a result of litigation that was filed by some legal services, and you are required by law to track foster youth progress. And so if someone could inform me of the progress of that compliance, that would be greatly appreciated.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: In addition, it's also my understanding that ACS, you have

2.2

2 your own housing unit to help youth and case
3 workers?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, we have the housing support services. About a little over a year ago we developed a more intentional process for young people aging out of foster care or seeking to apply for housing and we have the housing academy collaborative, which focuses on training young people to be better tenants, help to connect them to employment that in our view is training for things that may be beyond low wage work, and also making sure that they have educational resources. So we assess young people who do attend the housing academy to make sure that whatever we could do to fortify not only the application process, but expose them to different educational vocational opportunities and information about being a good tenant. That's what we do in the housing academy. Additionally for pregnant and parenting youth because of our role as a child welfare agency we also give them information about childcare and child well-being and child development and safety.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Just as an aside, given the pre-k applications that are coming out, have you--has the Administration set aside a certain number of childcare slots for youth who are dealing with childcare issues?

are in foster care, we have a unit within ACS where we work with pregnant and parenting young people and we help them to access childcare. So we did hold fairs. We gave information to our provider agencies to make sure that those young—the children of the youth who are in care had opportunities not only for early childcare slots but also pre-k slots, yeah.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Are they given a priority?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. It's also my understanding that you have access to NYCHA's database, which enables you to track the progress of applications for housing. Is that true?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, we work with, through the Housing Academy. We work with NYCHA to track the progress of the housing applications.

public Advocate James: Could you just walk me through the process of what you do to prepare young people? What is the process for a youth aging out of foster care? Walk me through that process. What happens? I'm about to become 21 and exit the system, what do you--what services do you provide to me?

commissioner miller: Yeah, it starts earlier than that, but it's individualized. Our ultimate aim is to make sure young people who come to our attention depending on where their starting point to give them the resources that we believe that they need and that they think that they need to get to their goals. So if you—we have young people who are on the college track. We work with them to make sure not only do they get into college, but help them to maintain being in college. If you're in high school, if you're seeking a GED, it's really individualized. It should be nuanced

because our aim is to help young people become
self-sufficient and good citizens. So we do
have the big benchmarks, which is a focus on
educational, vocational and housing, but
embedded in that we have some nuance based on
the needs of the young person.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And what age do you start working with young adults that will be aging out?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, 14.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Fourteen?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So I'm told that you have, ACS has contracts with 31 not for profits who work with foster care youth.

I'm told that some agencies are better than others. What standards, what benchmarks, what metrics do you use to determine the standards and how successful these agencies are and what metrics do you utilize?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'm going to defer to--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]

25 Okay.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Peter Nabozny who is in our Policy Planning and Management.

PETER NABOZNY: Sure. So, hello everyone. I'd say--so we try to evaluate those 31 providers consistently, transparently. With them we share the kind of methodology that we evaluate them on, you know, prior to a fiscal year beginning, and broadly speaking our evaluation system for them falls into three areas. One's a focus on safety. Are youth safe while they're in foster care? Are they at risk of repeat maltreatment? You know, issues like that. Then there's a focus on permanency. Are youth leaving care? Are they leaving care to a parent, to adoption, or are they, you know, aging out of care? And finally, we focus on well-being. So, are youth getting the educational services that they need? Are the agencies providing care in a culturally competent manner? Are siblings being placed together when they do come into care? And, you know, we in our children staying in, you know, the appropriate settings, the lowest level of care that can maintain them, and you know,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: It ranges depending on their level of care.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. And what happens to young adults that have been convicted of a crime and have been declined housing, employment or any other benefit, what happens to them? Does anyone track them?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We visit through our Older Youth Services Office or Youth Justice work. We visit young people who are on Riker's--Justice involved young people whether they're on Riker's Island or if they're involved in prisons or facilities upstate as well as the DY of J [sic] facilities. So our aim is to make sure that they have a child welfare plan that is underneath whatever sentencing that they have so that when they return to the community that we still have a plan in place and we just sort of pick up where they left off. We don't want to see young people who come out of being incarcerated come back to the community and believe that they don't have a child welfare placement, that they can't return to. Obviously, we need to put

2.2

more services in place, but it's better to do
that in a way that we've planning all along
than have to have a young person show up and we
have to start from that point. So we have a
team that visits weekly at Riker's Island, in
particular.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And do you provide assistance? What assistance do you provide youth in terms of getting support for continued education, for employment? What's the mechanism?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: What are the-you mean, are you referring to youth who are
justice involved, or aging out.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Aging out.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We provide a range of support services. We also have our provider agencies who provide supportive services. Some of them have vocational programs that they allow other children, other youth from other agencies to attend, like Children's Aide Society, Next Generation Center, Catholic Guardian Society also has the Yes [sic] Program. We have partnerships and linkages,

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

organizations like Year Up, Fegs [sic] Academy. We try to do our best to expose our young people to a range of opportunities. We just completed a five borough college tour so that young people can visit SUNY and CUNY school's two to four year programs. Throughout the

system we took them to apply for college. We 8

want our young people to succeed. 9

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, as I close, let me just say that the best way for us to evaluate your success is through data to determine whether or not in fact you are following your methodology. A number of individuals who have aged out of the foster care system, and you're going to hear from some many of them here today have indicated to me that some agencies are better than others and some have offered those services and others have offered nothing other than a metro card upon their exit. And so I, in order to determine how successful or to determine whether or not these agencies are in fact following the law, we need to ensure that the laws that have been -- the legislation that has

13

2	been proposed today is in fact adopted into law
3	so that we can follow and determine, you know,
4	whether or not in fact there's permanency
5	planning going on or whether or not the
6	children or young people are just being shown
7	the door. And I would hope that you would work

8 with my office and I would hope that you would

9 work with the City Council so that we can reach

some sort of agreement with respect to moving 10

11 these bills forward, and I thank you for your

12 testimony here today.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,

15 Public Advocate James. Before I turn it over to

16 Council Member Cumbo, I just have a question

17 about following the outcomes of young people

18 who are aging out. Do we have data of currently

how many young people that have aged out of 19

20 foster care are gone into the DHS system,

21 either in the single adult or family shelters,

2.2 you know, within a three year time frame?

23 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Let me--one

24 second please.

$^{\circ}$	

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: DHS system or the DYCD system for runaway homeless kids as well. 3

4

5

6

7

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right. We don't have ongoing data match with them about whether young people who left foster care at that point in time and then entered DHS or DYCD. No, we do not have. We did a data match

9

10

11

12

with them before.

8

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, there was a data match done in April of 2013, so about a year ago. Is there a barrier to doing an ongoing data match? Is that something you might--

14

15

16

17

13

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing] No, there's no barrier to doing an ongoing data match.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So I think that that's part of the legislation being proposed today, but that's something. So there's no--if I, you know, and we maybe we'll get into kind of exactly what in the bill 104 ACS has objections to. That portion, ACS does not have an objection to doing ongoing data match.

24 25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We collected--

PETER NABOZNY: [interposing] So we do have some--just to clarify, we had some data that we regularly exchange with DHS that's within a year of discharge from foster care, not just for youth aging out of care. We'd have to sort of--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]
Right, right.

PETER NABOZNY: tease [sic] that out, but we want to look more broadly at when families, when children leave care, you know are they—they could be discharged to their parent at age 16. Are they, you know, is that family ending up in the DHS system? Which is a different, slightly different question, but also youth who sign themselves out at 18, are they ending up in that system.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. You--a young person discharged with a goal of APLA [sic], that would be one way of--

PETER NABOZNY: [interposing] Yes, that would--yeah, that would be one way to look at it. It also, you know, it would be useful to look at, you know, 21 and then entering

subsequently. So I think that's--those are things that we have exchanged with DHS in the past and we've--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] We could disaggregate that, I think.

PETER NABOZNY: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean, we could look at it and say, you know, and say by what age and the method by which they were--

PETER NABOZNY: [interposing] Yeah, exactly.

which they were discharged from the foster care system, but that's one area where we have the ability to because there's been communication with your sister agency at DHS to exchange that data. We should be doing that on our ongoing basis, you know, more than—it's now been over a year since the last data match. Because I think that according to the FPWA report that was released on this January between 19 and 21 percent of youth who have aged out or were discharges with the goal of APLA have ended up homeless, and obviously the definition of

2	homeless is also a broader than just in the
3	shelter system. There are young people that are
4	couch surfing and do not have permanent stable
5	housing, but that isthat speaks to obviously
6	a significant challenge and problem and one
7	that, I mean, that's why we're seeking data is
8	so that we can fully comprehend and we can
9	nudge the Administration or push the
10	Administration towards adopting new policies,
11	particularly around housing and education and
12	employment services and support services. But
13	without the data it's hard for us to know. So
14	we're going off of the, you know, the report
15	that shows, you know, 18 to 21 percent which is
16	around one in five. So that's something that we
17	can count on ACS doing in the coming months
18	regardless of this legislation, is that right?
19	PETER NABOZNY: Yeah, I mean, we've,
20	again, we've traditionally looked at within a
21	year, but you know, within three years, I mean
22	there's athose things are all doable and

that's something that, you know, we can go

23

24

back--

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 54
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]
3	Okay.
4	PETER NABOZNY: and work on, yeah.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A year or two, I
6	mean I'll be interested in that information as
7	well. Okay, Council Member Cumbo?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.
9	Good afternoon. Thank you, Chair. I wanted to
10	ask in terms of the number that you gave in
11	terms of it was 168 young people that aged out
12	at the age of 18 at your last count. Is that
13	number correct?
14	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, calendar
15	year
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]
17	What year was that in?
18	COMMISSIONER MILLER: They did not
19	consent to remain in care; calendar year 13,
20	165.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Calendar year
22	13?
23	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: 165?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

25

2		COUNCIL	MEMBER	CUMBO:	So is	tha	t
3	number	dramaticall	y going	up or	down	over	the
4	years?						

2.2

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I mean, in calendar year 12 we had 151 young people who did not consent to remain in care. So it's pretty--in the last two years it's pretty in the same ball park, in the same range.

this time for either years, do you have a breakdown of, or are you able because they've signed themselves out, do you have a breakdown of what their status is currently in terms of if they're enrolled in school, if they have found themselves to be homeless or they have found themselves to be arrested, or do we have an understanding of what's happening specifically and can you give me the percentages of your reports showing what has been the outcomes of those young people signing themselves out?

PETER NABOZNY: So the DHS data that we just kind of spoke about, that's one area where we do have existing data exchanges. Other

2	areas we, you know, we're working and we'd like
3	tothese are some of those legal and technical
4	hurdles that we have to work through for this,
5	but we often don't have access to, you know,
6	information about youth and what systems they
7	ended up in, and you know, we certainly don't
8	get reports from every college that may have
9	enrolled in and they are longer in care, and so
10	there's a question, you know, as to what access
11	could we get for those youth who have left
12	care.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So currently,
a young person could check themselves out, sign
themselves out at 18 and currently the agency
would have no understanding of the future of
those young people and what their current state
is.

2.2

PETER NABOZNY: So there is a requirement for ongoing work by those provider agencies that head the child when they signed out to continue to reach out to that child to see how are they doing if they need assistance, if they need to get connected to services.

That goes, you know, it occurs immediately

2.2

after them signing themselves out of care because this has been a concern of our system for a number of years.

person found themselves arrested or they were going through the criminal justice system in any way, would there be any point in that young person's experience that someone would ask them if they have been a part of the foster care agency? Would anything like that come up so that you would also have that number or record of understanding so that potentially also there could be some services provided to that young person during that time?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: You mean, the other agencies asking whether young people were in care?

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Correct.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We don't know exactly what other agencies would ask young people who would come to their attention, but what Peter was referring to is that when a young person leaves care between 18 and 21 that we do have supervision until 21. So our

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

provider agencies are in touch with those young people. So if they do have challenges during that time, they come to the attention of provider agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: The only reason why I ask those questions is because I understand in your testimony that you seem positive of the intros, but at the same time you also have a hesitancy in terms of the level of transparency and the care to detail that we may want, but it's also challenging that for these young people that are checking themselves out that today knowing that a lot of this hearing was going to be about numbers and data, that we can't provide that information today or that you can't provide that information today really makes it more--it really makes what we're asking for even that much more important because it's very important for us to have those numbers. I wanted to ask another question. Do you have reasons or have you done surveys to find out why young people are signing themselves out at 18? Is there any way

to understand what have been the circumstances that have led them to say, "I'm out of here."

qualitatively, anecdotally, we do know from young people they may want to return to their family. They-being in foster care for young people also requires them to accept services and accept us as being part of their lives. For them at some point, young people may say what you said, "I'm out of here. I don't want to do this things anymore. I don't want a case or a contact. I don't want to come to your BYE [sic] workshop." So those are some of the things that come up. I mean, as--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]
But there's not an exit kind of survey or
understanding of--

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
We have a final discharge conference with young people where we work with them and talk to them about what is the plan going forward. So young people, 90 days before they're discharged or when they decide not to consent, we do work

with them. So those questions are addressed at that conference.

2.2

to know, I thought that was a great step that they have gone on tours of different college campuses, on the SUNY and CUNY levels as well, but outside of the tour, and I understand that you do prep work, is there any kind of real pipeline or connection or partnership with those universities in order to prepare those young people to be prepared for college and once they enter into college, is there any kind of real, real, real partnership, something that's in writing, something that lets us know what is that pipeline and tracking system to getting our young people into those institutions?

young people do--we do benefit from the relationship that New Yorkers for Children also has with our young people so they are part of the conversation for young people who are accessing or want to access college. We are working with CUNY to see what data share we

2	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

could do with them because we do want--if you

get to college, we want you to succeed. So we

4 really are--work with them and we also have on

5 our staff a college advisor, so to speak, who

6 helps young people with, some of the variance

7 for them could be, "I don't understand

8 financial aid. Where am I going to stay when

9 college goes on break?" Or, "I'm having

10 difficulty with a foster parent."

it a team, or is it--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I apologize for interrupting you. You said that you have a college advisor. Is it not a department, or is

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]

It's two people. I mean, this is particularly for young people who are 21 or 23 who are still in college and connected to ACS. We continue to support young people between the ages of 21 and 23 if they are in college. So that person because they're no longer connected to a provider agency necessarily. We have a point person right at ACS where they can call to help them navigate whatever issues come up.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: What about
3	prior to that? How many people are on staff?
4	COMMISSIONER MILLER: That's in our
5	provider agencies. That's the role of the
6	provider agency. So our college person does
7	work with our provider agency, but they have
8	case planning staff and case management for
9	that young person, up until the age of 21.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Who is that
11	case provider?
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER: It depends on
13	the provider agency. You werelet me back out
14	of it a little bit.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Please.
16	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So, between 18
17	and 21
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]
19	Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: the case
21	planner in the provider agency would be the
22	main point person for working with a young
23	person in access in college. Between
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]

So this would be, and excuse me because I'm new

- 2 to this. So this would be a whole other
 3 organization--
- 4 COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
- 5 Yes.

1

- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: that you're
- 7 outsourcing.
- 8 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Our contract
- 9 agents.
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Or you're
- 11 | contracting with?
- 12 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, which is
- 13 our 31 provider agencies.
- 14 PETER NABOZNY: So every child, every
- 15 child in foster care in New York City is placed
- 16 with one of these 31 provider agencies. And
- 17 | that agency has responsibility for case
- 18 | planning as Benita was saying, which includes
- 19 | all sorts of different activities, but one of
- 20 those activities is educational planning and
- 21 support for that young person.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
- 23 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: How robust is
- 24 | it? How expansive is it? How are they
- 25 | evaluated? Does it change from year to year? I

mean, I--when I hear of the amount of young people coming through ACS and through our foster care agency, these are tens of thousands of young people. So when we're talking about one or two people or we're talking about people that are--or agencies that are doing that and something else, really want to get an understanding of--

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
So through Project School Success, ACS also has their education unit who work—that unit works with those case planners and the provider agencies to make sure that they have accurate and up to date information about from zero to 21 what's happening for educational, the educational needs of young people, specifically for the sli—young people you've identified, 18 to 21, we do have outreach with them, and they also have opportunities to attend training workshops that are provided through New Yorkers for children.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Are you happy with this system and the results?

1

3

4

5

you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We could always work harder and do better for children.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay, thank

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Cumbo. I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Vanessa Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much, Chair Levin and our Public Advocate for your leadership and my colleagues that are sponsors of the bills. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate your testimony and information. I guess I'm a little troubled. The three pieces of legislation that we are proposing, while there is no position, I certainly hope that the agency would continue to have conversations with us, because in your testimony you've talked about so many different organizations, the Teen Specialist Unit, the Fatherhood Initiative; Claremont is in my district in the Bronx, so I know the great work that they do, the Young Parents Forum, the Youth Justice for Cross-over Youth, and I guess my question is, is we still have a major

2 disconnect. There are still thousands of young people that are in the foster care system and 3 4 for some reason many of them are leaving at 18, 5 and I guess one of the things that I've seen, I 6 represent Bronx County, and in my district 7 Highbridge has one of the largest concentrations of children in foster care. Some 8 of the conditions that they are in the midst of 9 in foster care is a reason why many of them 10 leave and just simply not having people that 11 12 really understand their needs, because so many 13 of the foster care children come from poverty 14 stricken neighborhoods and other challenges. I 15 just want to understand some of the 16 collaborations that you have. In addition to 17 the 31 contracts that you talked about with 18 providers, what other types of collaborations do you have to really get to the heart of some 19 20 of these challenges? Because although we've had a steady decline in the number of youth 2.1 2.2 aging out of the foster care system, overall, 23 we still have a high population of youth that are 12 years and older. So I feel like there 24 has to be specifics on how we get to the older 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

youth that are in foster care and what types of collaborations do you have other than just the contracts that you have?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I mean, for us,

we want to strengthen our collaborations and community, because that's where young people live, that's where young people thrive. Specifically, when we talk about young people who are in care over the ages of 12, we need-we will and we try to deepen our relationships with Department of Education, where young people show up already, right? Because before--we don't pick when young people come to us. It's our job to help them once they get there. So it matters that we have these deeper relationships with the Department of Education or child touching agencies, so to speak. We do work with community-based organizations such as Claremont, but again, it's very nuanced based on how young people show up to us. So we did see--the reason why we developed those relationships that exist now was based on what we--the profile of the young people that we were seeing and the needs and how they've

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

articulated to us what they need to be better prepared to leave our system. So we had young parents in our system. We wanted to build a network of services and support for them, because as we all talk about, those are the young people who tend to come back to us as, you know, child welfare involved parents.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: For young

people who are justice involved, it makes sense for us to deepen our work with our agencies such as DOC and cross divisionally with the Department of--Division of Youth and Family Justice to make sure if you are a young person who were in foster care and you came to the attention and became justice involved, that we had a set of services and support for you so that when your child welfare plan was--they were still happening. So we, as best we can, we're trying to meet the gaps, and we do work with community based organizations so that we're more of a an external facing agency so that our kids and our young people are getting the services and support that they need not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

1

only from us but from the people that they see every day in their communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right. So the other two questions I have relate to employment and housing. So Bronx County has one of the highest unemployment rates in the state. Jobs is one of the most important components of youth aging out to Segway into jobs. What unit or what types of collaborations do you have with getting young people employable, skillable, those that can get into apprenticeship programs so that they can build some of the technical skills that are really important because of all the development going on around the city. The Mayor's Housing New York Plan, I applaud, has a supportive housing component, but what I don't know is where that falls in on housing specific for youth aging out of the foster care system. I know that there is an allotment of 100 apartments for public housing. That's certainly not enough at all. So I know that we need to make that more available, but also the subsidy. Rents are really high across the city. How are we

2	providing the opportunities? The 300 dollar
3	subsidy is certainly not enough for any young
4	person or any adult for that matter to live in
5	the city of New York. So are you working with
6	the Mayor, with HPD to see how we can tap into
7	the supportive housing specific for youth aging
8	out of the foster care system, because I think
9	a lot of the providers are getting that that is
10	a good concept, but we don't have enough of it.
11	I also want to, you know, say in the Bronx I'm
12	really proud because we have the very first
13	grandparent raising their grandchildren,
14	keeping them out of the foster care system. So
15	it's a successful model that works and I
16	encourage us to continue to look at other types
17	of models like that because the cycle continues
18	as intergenerational cycle of poverty, and if
19	we don't provide open doors of opportunity for
20	these young people we're setting them up to
21	fail. And all of these great programs we talk
22	about, nothing gets done. It sounds good on
23	paper, by my question is how do we translate it
24	into reality.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 71
2	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'm going to
3	start from the
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
5	That's fine.
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Try to start
7	from the top.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: That's fine.
9	Jobs, economic development, yes, jobs.
10	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So our aim at
11	ACS is to help our young people move beyond low
12	wage work or those first work experiences. We
13	do use young adult internship programs in those
14	things, but to really, really sustain yourself
15	as an adult, we know that our young people need
16	access to higher wage work or opportunities to
17	learn
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
19	Right.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: a skill. So we
21	do try to connect our young people to programs
22	like Year Of [sic], Fegs [sic] Academy, through
23	New Yorkers for Children; they have
24	opportunities so that there's a program at

Walgreens so the young people can learn how to

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

be pharmacists. So we try to--if I--we can't-all of the young people don't fit neatly into boxes. Our goal and our aim and our relentless desire is to figure out where you are in your life and give you what you need. So that's what we really work to do, and that means that we have to work hard to build relationships with a range of partners both public and private so that we can get services and supports to young people. Specifically, we do work with the Adore [sic]. We do work with Job Core Co-opt, to Fegs Academy, Fair Cap [sic] and with DYCD. So those are some of our specific programs that we work And again, as young person shows up, it's our opportunity and our obligation to help explore resources that matter to them. As I mentioned, many of our provider agencies also have job training programs. Catholic Guardian Society has the Yes program, which helps young people get employment at the airport, and that's a good opportunity because it's 24 hours. So no--whether you're in school, if you're parenting, it gives you a opportunity to work. In terms of public housing, I just want

3

to state that we don't have a hundred set aside apartments, what we have is a priority code

4 with NYCHA, which allows young people to apply

for NYCHA under a priority code. What we know

6 is that our young people do have access to

7 NYCHA apartments, but there is no set aside

8 | slot necessarily. So I just wanted to say

9 that. Housing subsidy, there is a bill being

10 | introduced at the state level to increase the

11 housing subsidy from 300 to 600 by Kareem

12 [sic]--

13

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Kamara [sp?].

14 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yeah, because I

15 butcher people's names. So he's introduced a

16 | bill to increase that. But wedded [sic] to

17 | that, we do know that we still need to make

18 sure that young people are employable and that

19 they're educated and that they're prepared to

20 be self-sufficient good active citizens. So

21 that's our goal. Lastly, with the five borough

22 housing plan, we have been involved in

23 conversations with--we were part of that and we

24 are working continued with the advocate SHNY

25 [sic] as well as HYPD to get support, increase

testimony you gave and that's really two

13

14

15

16

17

24

different populations that are within the

3 foster care system, and that's the LGBT youth

4 population and immigrant, English language

5 learners that are part of this foster care

6 system. And so really, maybe I'll start there

7 and give you the opportunity to speak first

8 | before I go into some of the questions that I

9 have. But how do those two populations relate

10 | to the work you're doing and specifically about

11 | the reporting we're asking you to do and the

12 three bills that I've signed onto.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: So as you know, we do have the Office of—Rose Perry is our Senior Director or Director of the Office of LGBTQ Policy Planning and Measurement. I believe that's what his office called evolves

18 [sic]. At any rate, we do focus on making sure

19 that we're creating a firm system so that young

20 people, LGBTQ youth have an opportunity to get

21 the same set of services and enhances services.

22 So we have partnerships with Allie Forney

23 | [sp?], the LGBTQ Center, as well as with

Hendrick Martin, and also with fairs. We want

25 young people to be able to get a set of

2 services, but to also be young people while 3 they're in care. So we--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACCA:

[interposing] How does that look across the 31 agencies that are currently being contracted, and do you feel confident that that's a consistent measure of cultural competency across the system?

confident that that's our consistent message to them and our instruction to them that they will be in a firmer [sic] system. We continue to train and identify when they fall short of our expectations. So we do, on individual cases.

Rose works with a licensed clinical social worker who helps to instruct and inform our provider agencies when young people or staff people identify issues that come up.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACCA: Well, what I want to do is just go back to--I think there were several Council Members/Public Advocate questions that really pertain to the fact that anyone is opting out of services that we're providing for them as a city and just in the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELF	$T \Delta D T$

2.2

cases, the handful of cases that I've been intimately involved in and just tracking myself. There are issues with the LGBT cultural competency across the board, and so I hear you, and so we're going to want to make sure that we continue to understand what those gaps are and filling them in. Tell me a little bit about the immigrant, specifically English language learners youth that are in the system that you're interacting with.

Office of Advocacy we do have a person dedicated to helping and assist when there are issues that come up with English language learners. We do work to make sure the English language learners are in the appropriate foster care placement so that they get the services and support that they need, which requires us to be very intentional about our recruitment of foster parents as well as focusing, helping our agencies to focus on making sure that they have culturally competent services, not only culturally competent, but they also have what-for older young people what they tend to say is

one to have someone to speak with them, both in their--which is, you know, they should in their language or also know about where they come from and their set of services and needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACCA: What are the major issues that you're finding for immigrant youth in the foster care system? As they start to age out.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Anecdotally, you want anecdotally? We--

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACCA:

[interposing] Do you have any data or--so let's just say anecdotal.

what's been reported to us is making sure that they stay connected to their family. If they-their religion in the instances particularly for Muslim youth, helping us to identify homes for older youth that are culturally competent and could provide the set of services that they need. Making sure that they stay connected to their community and their culture. So that's what we really focus on making sure that our

2.2

2 agencies get the message that that is the 3 expectation.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACCA: Great.

Well, I'm going to pause there because I know there are a lot of folks that want to testify but I just want to let you know that I'm going to be fiercely committed to making sure that we move from anecdotal, which is all I have right now and what all I'm hearing right now is what you have, to move into a real sense of data crunching so that we understand what's happening to both of these populations and that the bills that we're moving forward in the committee can help address that information for both of us. And so these are--you know, when we look at that vulnerable populations that the youth, our foster youth are already compared to their peers in school in the city, there's just absolutely no reason why they should be opting out and I'm hoping we can move to a place where they want to stay in for the full, the full time and are actually receiving high quality services from all the services providers. so a lot of us are just in now from the City

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Council and we're going to be working together to make sure we can get us there. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Menchacca. Public Advocate James has a follow-up question.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I just want to close by saying -- by just providing you with some information. So, in 2006, ACS developed a plan for preparing youth for adulthood. As you know, the acronym is PYA, the goals of PYA are one, youth with have permanent connections to caring adults. Two, youth will reside in stable living conditions. Three, youth will be afforded opportunities to advance their education and personal development. Four, youth will be encouraged to take increasing responsibility for their work and life decisions. Five, young people individual's needs will be met, and six, youth will have ongoing support after they age out of care. That's what PYA--those are principals of PYA, and you've incorporated that into your policy, but the reality is that NYCHA apartments are very limited. Residents, individuals who are

2 aging out are not given a priority. Individuals with special needs are not given a priority and 3 4 in fact, based upon my research, ACS has really no established system of after care services for youth who have aged out of the system. So 6 7 notwithstanding PYA, the reality is is that there really is no aftercare program, after 8 care services for youth who are aging out of 9 foster care. I don't know if I mentioned the 10 bill that I've introduced, Intro 104 is the 11 12 same bill verbatim that was introduced by then 13 Public Advocate Bill de Blasio, our Mayor. It 14 is the same bill, and we find ourselves at a 15 difficult point in that a bill that was 16 sponsored by Public Advocate Bill de Blasio, now Mayor Bill de Blasio, his Administration 17 has raised concerns. There's--that raises a 18 conflict, an embarrassing conflict. And so I 19 20 would hope that you would work with my office. I would hope that you would work with the City 2.1 2.2 Council in moving this bill forward and finding 23 some common agreement and in providing services to these young people who are aging out, and 24 also let me just say, individuals with 25

2	disabilities, people with special needs, young
3	people with special needs, I think should be
4	given special consideration and we should look
5	at exceptions to the rule for individuals with
6	special needs who are aging out of the system.
7	Those are really my comments. I've heard a lot
8	of today. Needless to say, I'm sort of
9	disappointed and I hope that we can continue to
10	work together to address the needs of the most
11	vulnerable in the city of New York, and I thank
12	you.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Much appreciated.

2.2

Public Advocate James. So, Deputy Commissioner,
I'm going to try to keep this as quick as
possible, but there's a number of questions
that we've prepared that we want to get in for
the record here and if everybody—I know that
that's waiting to testify. Again, I apologize
for taking so long to start this morning. I'll
try to get through this as quickly as possible.
I want to actually follow up and go back to
what Public Advocate James was just referring

2	to which is the PYA report from 2006. So in
3	reading through this over the weekend, each of
4	those goals that Public Advocate James just
5	mentioned in the report is followed by action
6	plans and then measurable outcomes. And
7	looking at the measurable outcomes, what struck
8	me was how much the measurable outcomes from
9	the PYA report jive with the legislation being
LO	currently proposed. So I want to go through
L1	these measure by outcomes if we could one by
L2	one here. They're not too many of them. So
L3	within the goal number one of youth will have
L 4	permanent connections to caring adults, the
L5	first measurable outcomes was to increase the
L 6	percentage of teens discharged to
L7	adoption/reunification with family to increase
L8	that from 22 percent to 30 percent, and to
L 9	increase the percentage of teens who have a
20	mentor from six and a half percent to 15
21	percent. This is on page six of the PYA. Has
22	that been achieved at this point? Because this
23	is now eight years from when
	n

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
Respectfully, I'm trying--I'm sorting through

24

didn't give you these questions in advance. I

20

2 was going through this over the weekend here.

So those were the two measureable outcomes that

4 were sought, identified under the goal of youth

5 | will have permanent connections with caring

6 adults. So goal number one is increasing the

7 percentage of discharges to adoption and

8 reunification by 30 percent and the teens that

9 have mentors from six and a half percent to 15

10 percent. Goal number two, youth will reside in

11 stable living situations. The measurable

12 outcome, a baseline measurement of the use of

13 DHS and DYCD shelters by former foster youth

14 | will be established over the next year, and the

15 percentage of discharges you shall use these

16 shelters within two years of discharge will

17 decrease. So measurable outcome being a

18 baseline measurement which we spoke about. I

19 mean, there was a data match in 2013.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.

21 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And but working

22 | towards decreasing that percentage of children

23 that are former foster youth from using those

24 \parallel shelter systems. We can go--I don't want to go

25 \parallel all into every action that is put forward as

_	COINTITUD ON CHINDIVID WIDITING
2	part of the plan. It's onthis is on ACS's
3	website. The goal number three is youth will be
4	afforded opportunities to advance their
5	education and personal development. In
6	talking, the actions would be working with the
7	resources, working with and resource as
8	providers to improve educational outcome such
9	as attainment of high school diplomas, GED,
10	post-secondary education and ongoing work and
11	collaboration of the Department of Education
12	which you spoke to before. But the measureable
13	outcome, the baseline measurement of the number
14	of youth achieving high school diplomas and GED
15	will be established over the next year and the
16	percentage of youth achieving high school
17	diplomas and GEDs will increase. So that would
18	then speak to Bill, which bill, Council Member
19	Dromm sponsored bill to get a baseline of the
20	number. That's one thing that wecertainly we
21	need to know what the percentage is of youth in
22	foster care who have received high school
23	diplomas and GEDs in order to increase that
24	number, obviously. Baseline measurement, the

percentage of youth entering a credit post

2	secondary educational programs will be
3	established over the next year and the
4	percentage of youth entering such programs will
5	increase is another measureable outcome put
6	forward. In goal four, youth are encouraged to
7	take increasing responsibility for their work
8	in life decisions and their positive decisions
9	will be reinforced. Going through one of the
10	actions in this is actually the other billI'm
11	sorry, I apologize. The bill, it was Council
12	Member Cumbo's bill is the high school diplomas
13	bill, but this one speaks to Council Member
14	Dromm's bill, which is Children's Services are
15	required that youth must be in possession of
16	necessary records including driver's license,
17	state ID, birth certificate, immunization
18	records at the time of discharge from foster
19	care. And the measureable outcomes, baseline
20	measurement of the percentage of youth enrolled
21	in vocational programs will be established over
22	the next year. Percentage of youth enrolled in
23	these vocational programs will increase. A
24	baseline measurement of the percentage of youth
25	with work experience will be established over

2	the next year and that percentage will increase
3	and the number of youth sentence through DJJ
4	and corrections will decrease. Obviously, we
5	would need to know what that number is and
6	establish the baseline in order to measure the
7	decrease. Goal number five, young people's
8	individual needs are met. Theone thing that
9	came up actually in thisone of the actions
LO	here in terms of parenting teens is ACS is
L1	partnering with New York Department of Health
L2	and Mental Hygiene to enroll foster youth who
L3	are mothers in the evidence based Nurse Family
L 4	Partnership to ensure good outcomes for the new
L5	mother and child. That was one thing that
L 6	jumped out at me because withyou mentioned in
L7	your testimony we know the number of young
L8	mothers that are in the foster care system, and
L 9	it'syou said it was a 100 and
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
21	That's who are in our Mother/Child blended

residential settings.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 89						
2	COMMISSIONER MILLER: And then we						
3	have young women who are in treatment family						
4	foster care or family foster care.						
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. We have a						
6	Nurse Family Partnership system in New York						
7	City that could reach every single one of those						
8	young moms. Are weI mean, this is one						
9	question that I want to see happen, it's one						
10	thing I want to see happen is every young mom						
11	that has, that's either in the foster care						
12	system or was in the foster care system should						
13	have a Nurse Family Partnership case.						
14	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right. So one						
15	of theI don't want to say challenges. One of						
16	the, again, the nuance of children or youth in						
17	care, there are requirement with NFP that, you						
18	know, you have to be under 28 weeks pregnant,						
19	first time pregnancy.						
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.						
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So, sometimes						
22	our young parents don't always tell us, right,						

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] 24

until it's later term--

25 Yeah.

2.2

COMMISSIONER MILLER: where they're making a decision whether they want to enroll or they are experiencing--although we've seen a decrease of secondary birth.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right? Or they come into care pregnant already, which is why we had obtained specialist units so that we cannot only provide services. They can have NFP, but a set of services that are provided that will also meet their needs if they're not eligible for those basic requirements under NFP, the Nurse Family Partnership. But we do have a relationship with DOHMH to make sure that young people who want to enroll can enroll.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. If they
qualify, they should have that case. I mean,
that Nurse Family Partnership evidence based
has a, you know, clear outcomes and support for
young moms would be very effective in that
setting. So I'm glad to hear. I would love to
know how many of the young mothers in the

2 foster care system have a Nurse Family 3 Partnership case.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 4 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you know the 6 number off hand or?
- COMMISSIONER MILLER: I do not know that number off hand. We can find that out for you.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I would love to 11 know what it is.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MILLER: We could also
 13 give you--
- 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] And
 15 then working--
 - COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing] a breakdown of what other services if they fall outside of that, that they're having access to as well as--
 - CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great, okay.

 And then just going back to the PYA, the last goal which is aged out youth will have ongoing support, and the action is Children Services will provide technical assistance for foster care providers to strengthen the discharge

2.2

planning process including ensuring that all youth are connecting a caring adult at discharge. The measurable outcome baseline level of usage of after care programs will be established and program elements funded by ACS will be tailored to reflect the needs of former foster youth. And so that one, I think, broadly speaks to why we're having the hearing today, which is that I think we need to start looking at what we can do for youth beyond what we're currently doing for youth that have aged out and creating a baseline level of usage as the PYA says funded through ACS for after care.

say that ACS isn't saying that we are refusing to provide the data requested. We do not collect all of it, and we want to work with you to explain what we can collect and what we can share. We're not prepared to present the data requested because as I understand it, we just started these conversations. So we want to work with you to build on what we've learned today and go forward.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. But I thinl
3	one thing to go back to is go back to now, you
4	know, the PYA being the manifesto or the
5	document by which we areit's the agency's
6	document, the agency's blueprint. And we
7	should be looking, going and saying how have w
8	done in adhering to that blueprint, and if the
9	blueprint needs to be updated. Its eight years
LO	ago. It's a different realities on the ground
L1	now. You know, maybe we can update that. But
L2	I think that that's one thing we should really
L3	be looking at closely.
L 4	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.
L5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm going
L 6	to
L7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
L8	And may I just also add
L 9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Of
20	course.
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Around NYCHA,
22	again we do have a priority code with NYCHA. I
23	just want tobecause I think we've said it

do have a priority code, and for special

twice that we don't have a priority code.

2.2

2	populations who need to access services,
3	particularly to OPWDD and OMH systems. We do
4	work with those young people, and we work with
5	them beyond their 21 st birthday to make sure
6	that they are in stable living situations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. A different priority code than the NO [sic] priority.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: NO, right. For every child that's--for every young person that's aging out.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So it's not a different one for those other--okay. Because okay, so then speaking to NYCHA because I do want to actually bring up an issue that we've now encountered here. The issue of the presumptive budget letter, something we've heard quite a bit about. Young people cannot get that, it seems like, in time in order to get that priority code. So aside from the fact that we don't have--just a second. Aside from

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 96						
2	JACKIE ROTH: So, all of our young						
3	people between the ages of 18 to 21 are						
4	eligible to apply for this priority code. NYCHA						
5	actually finds them eligible and issues the						
6	priority standing. I want to gocan I address						
7	the presumptive budget?						
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes. Yes.						
9	JACKIE ROTH: So the presumptive						
10	budget letters are issued by HRA.						
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.						
12	JACKIE ROTH: We actually, in our						
13	centralized area, have a collaborative						
14	relationship with HRA where when a presumpted						
15	[sic] budget letter which is for an income						
16	requirement in order to be able to, you know,						
17	secure NYCHA housing. When they request it, HRA						
18	will issue us a presumpted budget letter if a						
19	young person is between the ages of 20 and a						
20	half						
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]						
22	Right.						

24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. So, but

23

25

if they--you know, is that--because what we've

JACKIE ROTH: and 21.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 97						
2	heard is that that's not alwaysit doesn't						
3	always come by the 21 st birthday.						
4	JACKIE ROTH: So, if it's requested						
5	and there is not a long turnaround. I think						
6	last year we requested about 110 presumptive						
7	budget letters from HRA and the wait is not						
8	long. It is usually within one business week.						
9	Is that correct?						
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So if there						
11	are challenges and I'll guess we'll hear from						
12	JACKIE ROTH: [interposing]						
13	Absolutely.						
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: the public in a						
15	little bit thatdo you know what those						
16	problems then would be?						
17	JACKIE ROTH: So it might be for						
18	younger than 20 and a half. So young people						
19	between the ages of 18 and 20 and a half that						
20	are requesting presumptive budgets do not meet						
21	the HRA requirement for the age range up to 20						
22	and a half						

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And therefore, they couldn't qualify for a NYCHA apartment?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JACKIE ROTH: They would not be eligible to get the presumptive budget letter CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And therefore wouldn't be able to get into a NYCHA apartment. JACKIE ROTH: Unless they had another source of income. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Which is --JACKIE ROTH: [interposing] So which would be either, you know, which would be stable employment or SSI. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But it presents--I mean, so if a young person wanted to opt out of the foster care system before the age of 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and a half, they couldn't--because they can't and they don't have enough income to satisfy the NYCHA requirement and they can't qualify for cash assistance because they're under ACS's care, then they can't do any of that until they reach the age of 20 and a half. So what if a 19 or a 20 year old, before 20 and a half, wants to try to do this?

JACKIE ROTH: So we've opened up the conversations with HRA regarding this presumptive eligibility. And we're trying--

2			

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] And is that an--sorry. Is that an HRA rule or is that a state law?

JACKIE ROTH: That I could not tell 5 6 you. I--it's a rule.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's a rule. It's a HRA rule. So HRA has the discretion to change that rule then?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: And we've been--we started conversations with them about this is an issue.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Now that just makes the challenge of the lack of units more difficult because there are more young people that are qualifying and there's not more units coming online. Then it makes the units more competitive. So we need to get more units at NYCHA. So one -- so then speaking to that, one thing that's come up is that NYCHA will not allow two young people, say two siblings or two friends, to be in a roommate type situation in a one bedroom or two bedroom apartment at NYCHA. So say for example you have two young people that have been in a foster home together

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 100
2	want to move out on their own together, they
3	could not qualify for a NYCHA apartment
4	together. They could only qualify separately
5	in studios.
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right. They
7	have to hold two different leases. The lease
8	they cannot share a lease together, no. That's
9	correct.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Would ACS be in
11	support of NYCHA changing that rule?
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I mean, our
13	young people would be in support of being able
14	to live together if they wanted to.
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Is that a
16	Nydo you know if that's a NYCHA rule or a
17	state law?
18	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I believe
19	because NYCHA's governed
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Or
21	a federal law.
22	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right, federal
23	law, yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So it's the

3 federal law that does not allow a roommate type

4 | situation or a apartment--

COMMISSIONER MILLER: As we

understand it--yeah, that's what [off mic]

7 Going to say that. We're going to check with

8 NYCHA.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and we'll check with NYCHA too, because—and that's one area that I think aside from just the number of units available, obviously if it's limited just to this very, very narrow apartment size studio apartment which is not the majority by any means in NYCHA units, then that is something that we'd want to look into. And that's an area that we can have clear improvement. I want to go to some of the recommendations that came out of the FPWA report from earlier this year.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, lots of paper around here. Create an interagency task force with power to address the interagency issues with youth aging out of care, specifically ACS, DYCD, DOE, CUNY, DCAS, NYCHA,

HRA, DOHMH, and DHS as well as community level grassroots organizations to take stock of the existing services that they provide. That would be an interagency task force whose mission is to address the issues of youth aging out of foster care. I know that there's a children's cabinet.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right, I was going to say--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I also know that the children's cabinet does not have community level grassroots organizations as part of it, nor does it have the New York City Council as part of it either. But--or the Public Advocates Office. So I suppose this area could fall within that jurisdiction.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But would you be willing or is the Administration willing to open up the Children's Cabinet so that perhaps a representative of the New York City Council or provider organizations, FPWA for example would probably be--

2.2

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]

2.2

We would have to--we would have to come back to you with that answer.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I strongly urge the Administration to bring in folks from outside the Administration to be part of the Children's Cabinet, particularly advocates that are, you know, in the field just in order to—I mean, I honestly believe that it's important to, you know, break up the echo [sic] chamber if you will. I mean, I don't mean to say that there's a—necessarily that that's what's happening, but it would be important to have somebody from outside the Administration in the room so that there's—so that we have outside voices as part of the conversation. I think it's very important. So, strongly, strongly urge that.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We'll take that back, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Alright. They also recommend reducing the barriers that we just spoke about reducing the barriers to housing and NYCHA, improving coordination. If

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you were to look at--if you were to look at ACS's relationship with NYCHA, where is there room, where do you think we could improve here?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I mean, we have a close working relationship with NYCHA and we meet pretty regularly to talk about issues that our young people face in accessing the apartments and how they're doing, which is how we created the Housing Academy Collaborative, to work closely with agencies, city agencies, who provide housing for young people to better understand their needs once they're there and to develop a set of services that we could offer young people that may reduce or minimize some of the stressors such as not understanding rental over yours [sic], landlord tenant relationships and access and employment. So that housing academy was a outgrowth of our relationship with NYCHA, in fact.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and then what exactly is the Housing Academy? Can you explain it a little bit to us as to what did they--what does the Housing Academy--how long does it last, what type of topics are covered?

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Is it a classroom based thing or is workshops? You know, what is the Housing Academy?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: The Housing

Academy -- the Housing Academy is designed to better prepare young people to maintain long term possession of NYCHA supportive housing or whatever housing that they have access to when they transition from foster care. We provide them with information about NYCHA, supportive housing, low and moderate income apartments, lottery apartments, landlord/tenant rights, access and eviction assistance, resume, career building, applying for ACS assistance such as obtaining the One Shot [sic] Housing Subsidy grant and ensuring Medicaid coverage upon discharge, employment and career planning, educational goal setting and planning, financial literacy, entitlement versus empowerment, in child-proofing the home and obtaining childcare. So that's the -- that is the set of services that are linked to the staff that does not only just the applications, but also having point people that can help young people navigate systems.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: They start at 16?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Uh-hm.

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Now that would be--is this considered part of the independent living plan that ACS is working with? I mean, is that part of that overall structure?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: It's in addition to.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Because it's not a mandated service, it's what we strongly encourage young people who are--to give them a extra set of services beyond just a provider agency, something that ACS because they were coming to us to do their housing applications. It was a opportunity for us to also give them a set of services.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Going back to the PWA report, another recommendation, restore, create or increase funding for housing programs that aid both youth aging out and former youth in foster care. One suggestion specifically is reinstituting the Automatic Discharge Grant of up to 750 dollars per youth. That was, I guess, something that existed in the past, is that right?

that, but this was not PEG'd just for the APLA

because I think there were certain criteria as

Right.

increased, and maybe we can work together on a

council, the council doing a resolution on that
as well.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So going off track, and I apologize.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: That's okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One thing that we're--sorry. Well, actually, no I apologize. Moving onto the next one. Building up and extending after care to young adults aged out of the care up to 25 years old through the provision of housing, employment, education, health, mental health support, what type of support and services, after care services, are available to young people after the age of 21 right now. We have, I know we have the -- with those in college, we have the ETD [sic] that you said is available to young people up to the age of 23. Are there any other services that are funded by the city with provider agencies for young people after the age of 21 right now?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Because
3	that'sthat's something I think that we
4	collectively we need to look at, we need to
5	figure out how we can prioritize developing
6	that, establishing a budget for it, doing that
7	type of support services. There's nothing that
8	prohibits us from doing it, right? I mean we
9	canwe're allowed to do programs for youth
10	that have aged out of foster care, right?

There's no state law prohibiting it?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right, upon their consent, yes. If they want to be part of a set of services, yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And we can do that through ACS, right?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: There's nothing prohibiting ACS from offering it, no.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because I think that it's clear that that -- that we need to help young people that have aged out of the system. I mean, we need resources. We need funding in place to do that. Again, just going back to the PYA report, it was a recommendation of ACS back in '06. We need to--I think that

been--we've brought to NYCHA's attention, and

1

they've been supportive in working with us on it, yes. 3

4

5

6

7

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So is that--is NYCHA's recent policy of easing the restriction on both the criminal records, is that going to affect that as well, or is that part of it?

9

10

11

12

13

8

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We will bring it back to NYCHA, but again, like I mentioned, we have a ongoing relationship and regular conversations with them, so we could address that at the next meeting.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One thing, staying on NYCHA for a second, that has come up is that young people have had a hard time, they've--young people that have come out of the foster care system that have gone into NYCHA frequently have had trouble maintaining their apartments. Can you speak a little bit as to why that is and what role ACS plays then in a young person--in a young person's relationship with NYCHA as their landlord to support them through that process, is that--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing] Yeah, I mean, what we know is that one of the

they do obtain a NYCHA apartment is that they

go into rental arrear, sometimes a lack of

challenges for young people as they age out and

employment, underemployment, so our aim is to

make sure that they have adequate employment and they also understand the process of going

to their housing manager if they face

difficulties to have those rental arrears

addressed. There is a housing subsidy available

to young people who do have rental arears, so

they have an opportunity to bring that to our

attention, where they can get a onetime payment

for rental arrears. So through the Housing

Academy, that information is shared with them.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And so the ACS subsidy of 300 dollars is that--

COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]

No, it's a separate--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Is that available to them? Is that available to the young people living in NYCHA?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2		COMMISSIONER	MILLER:	Let	me	just
3	clarify					

2.2

JACKIE ROTH: So there--you cannot have two subsidized housing options at the same time. So the One Shot grants that young people are eligible for, one for broker's fees, personalized month's rent as well as like furniture and things for the apartments. Those are One Shot grants. Those are absolutely fine. They come out of the Housing Subsidy Budget. The recurring 300 dollars cannot be applied toward another form of subsidized apartments.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because that would be like the city paying itself, is that right? Or double subsidy?

JACKIE ROTH: Yes, it would be a double subsidy.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you able to combine the subsidy for the NYCHA with public assistance, though? A young person's able to have a PA case, right?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: That's the presumptive--yes, because they can use the presumptive budget letter.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right.
3	Okay, and then I'm going totwo more questions
4	here. With one other main complaint that we
5	have heard frequently is the independent living
6	skills are not meeting the needs of young
7	people, that the trainings are notthat
8	they've described them as repetitive, not
9	helpful. And the models that are in place seem
LO	to be an issue as well. Are we looking at, are
L1	we taking that feedback and looking at best
L2	practices and seeing what's working and seeing
L3	what's not and taking young people's opinion
L 4	into account as to what's been working and
L5	what's not been working. The FPWA report has
L 6	many pages of testimony from young people that
L7	have gone through the system and have not
L8	found, you know, aspects of that to be helpful.
L9	What are we doing? What's the plan to see
20	what's working? Is one on one more effective?
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Where are best
23	practices? Are we going to national

conferences and finding out what's--what other cities are doing that are working and being

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 innovative and, you know, exploring best

3 practices.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right. So on two fronts, for residential care obviously we're in close contact with young people through the office of Older Youth Services and Residential Care Monitoring. So that is a feedback loop for us to see how young people are faring, and to assess what services are offered to them on a chill level basis, but also as part of the waiver demonstration project that we're part of, the 4E Waiver Project. We are working with case and family programs to assess what services we need to provide to young people in foster care and we did have young people as part of a focus group, so we heard directly from them about what sets of services they need to achieve independence.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then my last question has to do with providers, foster care providers who have told us that they will continue to provide services after a young person leaves their foster--that's aged out or has left foster care. They'll continue to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

provide those services but they will not—
they're not getting reimbursed for those
services. Is the Administration engaged in
conversations with the provider community on
establishing reimbursement for those services
for say six months after a young person is out
of care?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: So we are in constant conversations with our provider agencies about the set of services and about what should be offered and what they're required to do. So supervision to 21 is something that they are required to do. If a young person is on trial discharge, they are required to still supervise that trial discharge.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are they getting--but they're not getting reimbursed?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Under the waiver we've reduced their case loads and it-and we also offer the opportunity where they will be considered pay cases. So that's built into their care day rate.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 12
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so then
3	under the waiver then they will be able to get-
4	-
5	COMMISSIONER MILLER: [interposing]
6	Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: reimbursed for
8	those services?
9	COMMISSIONER MILLER: They are being
10	paid for those services.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: They're getting
12	paid for those services?
13	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I want to
15	thank you very much for your time. I want to
16	re-enforce or reiterate though that I think
17	that it's very important that we look towards,
18	and going back to the PYA and the
19	recommendations that came out of the FPWA
20	report this year, and what we all know, which
21	is that we need to support young people that
22	are coming out of foster care better than we
23	are now, that thethat it's our collective

responsibility. It's a smart and prudent use of

tax dollars. It saves our city money. It's a

24

time.

2	wise social investment, and it's the right
3	thing to do. And we should be looking towards
4	establishing protocols, establishing funding
5	streams, coming up with new money and new
6	programs and new resources because we have a
7	provider community that wants to do it. You
8	have willing partners here in the City Council
9	where we collaborate on the budget. You have a
10	Public Advocate who's leading the charge, and
11	it's really the thing that we ought to be doing
12	right. And so we lookwe very much urge the
13	Administration to start getting to work with us
14	on that because that's where I think we need to
15	go moving forward. Public Advocate, do you want
16	to add anything? Thank you very much for your

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{MILLER: Yes. We look}$ forward to working with you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. We will hear the first panel now. I want to call up Jessica Maxwell, Youth and Care Coalition, Children's Aid Society, Donald Fields of NYSA, former foster youth. Thank you, Donald, for being here. Apurva Mehrotra, Community Service

2 Society, and Noah Franklin from FPWA. Okay. So 3 we're not going to use the clock, but we

4 encourage you to keep it brief because we do

have--or you know, keep it concise because we

6 do have three panels and we have another

7 resolution to hear after this, but I want to

8 thank you all very much for your patience and

9 for sitting through the Administration's

10 | testimony and the questions. We had to get a

11 lot of that on the record, so we wanted to make

12 | sure that it was all there, but we're very

13 eager to hear what you have to say. So you can

14 | begin at any time, whoever wants to go first.

NOAH FRANKLIN: Good morning, or

16 actually good afternoon. My name's Noah

17 | Franklin. I'm the Senior Policy Analyst for

18 Child Welfare the Federation of Protestant

19 | Welfare Agencies. I'm here on behalf of our

20 Executive Director Jennifer Jones Austin.

21 | Thank you, Chairman Levin and members of the

22 | General Welfare Committee for the opportunity

23 \parallel to testify today on this very important issue.

24 | I'd also like to take a moment to recognize

25 | Public Advocate Letitia James for continued

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

attention to the needs of youth in foster care. FPWA is dedicated to advocating for and serving vulnerable New Yorkers operating 1,200 programs throughout the metropolitan area and reaching over 1.5 million low income New Yorkers annually. FPWA represents 23 child welfare agencies designed to promote the wellbeing of vulnerable children providing a variety of services such as preventive services, foster care services and residential care service for children in need of specialized care and attention. Our core belief is that every child has the right to a stable home has led to a particularly strong focus on the housing, employment, educational challenges that foster youth face after aging out of care. As you mentioned, we drafted and released earlier this year a report entitled Keeping Foster Youth Off the Streets, Improving Housing Outcomes for Youth Aging Out of Care. And as was cited before, it's estimated that approximately 900 youth age out of the foster care system each year. That's about 13 percent of the 6,700 that were discharged. And many of these youth

2 are often left without a safety net or a family to support them. I think the figure's about 80 3 percent of the youth who aren't reunited or 4 adopted. And often times for many of these 5 youth there's negative outcomes. 6 estimated and it's interesting to hear that they have--still have data that they're 8 collecting. It's often very hard to find any 9 data about housing outcomes, but between 18 to 10 11 26 percent of those who age out, 20--13 will 12 end up homeless and that turns out to be about 13 230 youth. And we are working on a report. 14 found that it was often very difficult to 15 gather basic data about outcomes from youth 16 aging out of care, such as the number of youth 17 involved at the homeless shelter system. 18 as you pointed out, Council Member Levin, just looking at the follow up data from the report 19 20 from 2006, Preparing Youth for Adulthood, they outlined a number of fairs that they were going 2.1 2.2 to be working on in trying to find out what the 23 outcomes were in those things. It was very difficult to find out. So tracking outcomes 24 systematically with youth aging care would be a 25

2	crucial step in the right direction, eventually
3	formulating policy to better improve these
4	youth's life chance at success. And as you
5	mentioned, some of the challenges include
6	ending of homeless or couch surfing, having to
7	move back in with neglectful or relatives,
8	bureaucratic hurdles and delays in the public
9	housing system, lack of easily accessible
10	general aftercare services and lack of job
11	opportunities due to educational backgrounds.
12	Given these challenges, it's very important
13	that we be able to obtain good data regarding
14	the outcomes of youth aging out of the foster
15	care system, such as the presence in the
16	homeless shelter system and perhaps most
17	importantly, the adult life outcomes of these
18	youth including mental and physical health,
19	employment status, income, education attainment
20	and criminal involvement. Tracking these
21	important outcomes is certainly possible. In
22	California, they have an effective system for
23	tracking youth aging out of care. I understand
24	they have a system they track quarterly for
25	youth aging out of care. And so the proposed

2	legislation would move us in the right
3	direction. Intro 137 would require ACS to
4	report to the council about the number of
5	youth, foster youth, with government
6	identification which is important because
7	foster youth are particularly vulnerable to
8	identify theft since their documentation
9	changes hands very often as they gothey
10	switch from foster homes. They may come in
11	contact with different relatives or case
12	workers. And there have been instances where
13	identityyouth have lost their identity.
14	Intro 187 requires ACS to report to City
15	Council the number of foster youth having
16	graduated from high school in the school year
17	prior to the one in which each annual report is
18	issued, as foster youth are known to suffer
19	comparatively poor education outcomes. Precise
20	knowledge of exactly how these outcomes are
21	characterized is a necessary step remedying
22	[sic] the low educational attainment of foster
23	youth. And then talking about Intro 104, we
24	think this a very comprehensive bill that would

allow for an increased collection of data

2 regarding the outcomes of foster youth aging out of care. It might even be possible to 3 combine the three bills, because they address a 4 lot similar things, perhaps in one large bill. We took the trouble to reach out to about 20 6 7 national experts on foster care across the country, and so we have a couple amendments to 8 the bill. We agree with the bill. 9 We just suggest a couple of areas where it could be 10 strengthened. I think particularly since the 11 12 bill, since the Public Advocate reintroduced 13 the bill from several years ago there's been 14 advanced and research about foster youth that 15 could be incorporated in making the bill 16 stronger. So we suggest an increase commitment 17 to collecting data on mental and physical 18 health outcomes rather than simply outcomes on employment, education and housing. We recommend 19 20 the incorporation of data on longitudinal evidence based interventions used to inform 2.1 2.2 clinical practice and care. This data would 23 include the effects such interventions have on homelessness and details dosage, competition of 24 treatment, perceive effectiveness and 25

2 satisfaction of services. So as you know, the 3 systems in foster care are much more focused on evidence based. So to look at how that's 4 working; how is that improving outcomes of 5 We further recommend the data 6 foster youth? 7 collected be disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, immigration status. Council Member 8 Menchacca talked about that, immigration 9 status. And there are significant difference to 10 the extent of which subgroups receive services. 11 12 This would help our understanding of whether 13 the receipt of such services leads to improve 14 outcomes for different groups of foster youth. 15 Given that youth aging out of care transition 16 from one place to another, such data collection 17 will necessitate integrated shared data system, 18 which would allow for the coordination of collection between different sites and 19 institutions through which aged out youth move. 20 I know in talking with ACS and with the DHS 2.1 2.2 there's been some efforts to kind of 23 collaborate together. I think, hopefully there'll be some more formal system established 24 where they could collect regularly. So data 25

2 regarding physical location of youth should be included as there is geographical difference in 3 4 the quantity and quality of services that foster youth receive. Data should also be kept 6 on adult permanent resources that foster youth 7 have. If these figure change and whom they're placed to. Many times we've heard about youth 8 who've aged out have adult permanent resource 9 and that turns out to be unstable. So it would 10 be good to know what's happening, data 11 12 regarding that. Let's see. Any data kept 13 related to successful or failed such as attempts or successes in finding youth 14 15 biological family members or former foster 16 parent's resources should be reported. I know 17 that at the point of discharge. While the 18 current bill focuses primarily on youth 18 and 21, we recommend a focus to include also a 19 20 focus 21 to 24. This is an important period of transition to adulthood. And unfortunately, as 2.1 2.2 the New York City Housing Authority due to lack 23 of federal funding has frozen section eight vouchers for youth aging out of care. We 24 should recommend the bill should remove 25

to have the light on.

JESSICA MAXWELL: Okay, good

3 afternoon. My name is Jessica Maxwell, and I'm the coordinator of the statewide Youth and Care 4 Coalition and also a former foster youth, and I'd like to thank Chair Steve Levin, the 6 7 honorable members of the General Welfare Committee and the Public Advocate Letitia James 8 with opportunity to testify today about the 9 10 issues facing young people aging out of the foster care system and to introduce bills 104, 11 12 137, and 187. The mission of the Youth and 13 Care Coalition is to improve the socioeconomic 14 health, mental health, housing and educational 15 outcomes and foster a successful transition to 16 adulthood for young people aging out of the 17 foster care system. The Coalition is comprised 18 of concerned providers, advocates and youth who are committed to advocating for the policy 19 20 changes and services for youth who are in care and aging out of care. The statewide coalition 21 2.2 is housed at the Children's Aid Society and the 23 research partner for the Coalition is the Community Service Society of New York. The 24 Youth and Care Coalition is the first of its 25

2 kind in this state, specifically dedicated to examining the outcomes and approved policies of 3 4 the system. The first goal of the Youth and Care Coalition is a campaign advocating for the 5 establishment of the statewide Foster College 6 Success Initiative that would include financial and supportive services necessary to help young 8 people who have aged out of the foster care 9 system enroll in college and graduate from 10 college. The Children's Aid Society is the one 11 12 of the nation's oldest and largest anti-poverty 13 organizations. We provide family therapeutic 14 and medical foster care and adoption services. 15 In 2013 alone, we served about 700 children and 16 youth and families and family-based foster 17 homes and completed 90 adoptions. The term 18 aging out foster care system refers to youth that is discharged from care without family 19 20 reunification, adoption, quardianship or permanent placement with a relative or other 21 2.2 caring adult and is therefore emancipated. Each 23 year, approximately 20,000 young people age out of the foster care system nationally and in 24 2013, about 1,078 youth aged out of the foster 25

2	care system in New York. Already burdened by
3	the experiences of abuse, neglect and
4	abandonment, young people that emancipate out
5	of the foster care system do so without the
6	adequate skills to transition to adult hood and
7	with limited support or inadequate plans to
8	achieve economic self-sufficiency and live
9	independently. Additionally, these youth who
10	age out of the foster care system often do so
11	without family support or a permanent
12	meaningful connection to positive adults. Youth
13	aging out of the foster care system are often
14	unequipped with the skills to find gainful
15	employment, often suffer from mental illnesses
16	or untreated trauma and do not have stable
17	housing options. Therefore, these youth are
18	likely to be homeless, unemployed, have
19	unplanned pregnancies or get in trouble with
20	the law. Additionally, they're less likely to
21	have a high school diploma or a GED and those
22	that have completed high school are less likely
23	than their peers to attend a post-secondary
24	institution or vocational training program.
25	Youth and foster care often experience some

2 disruption in their education. Over a third of 3 young adults who aged out of the foster care system reported having had five or more school 4 changes. While the exact data is not available, estimations indicate that only 18 to 24 percent 6 of foster youth enroll in post-secondary education after high school as compared to 60 8 percent of the general population. Even those 9 young people that are able to secure housing 10 upon discharge are at a great risk of becoming 11 12 homeless, most often within the first three 13 months of discharge. Many youth simply do not 14 possess the skills necessary to maintain 15 housing. Often, they have not had experience in 16 maintaining monthly payment plans and budgets 17 and have not seen others manage these 18 responsibilities successfully. Additionally, many youth leave care without any form of 19 20 safety net. When barriers or obstacles emerge, many don't have savings, relatives or friends 2.1 2.2 to rely on in case of emergency. 23 discharged, youth are expected to learn how to be an adult through trial and error. When 24 presented with obstacles, youth are left to 25

2 navigate the complexities of the adult public safety and benefit systems. These are all 3 crucial issues. The Coalition seeks to address 4 5 in having adequate reporting on data for the youth aging out of the foster care system and 6 7 ensuring that youth aging out have proper documents are extremely important, which is why 8 the Statewide Youth and Care Coalition fully 9 supports the goals of all the proposed 10 legislation. Introduced bill number 104 in 11 12 relation to collecting and reporting data to 13 youth aging out of foster care system. Without 14 a solid understanding of the outcomes youth 15 face when transitioning out of the foster care 16 system, the city cannot fully adjust their 17 needs. Bill number 104 is the first step in 18 beginning the necessary collection of information needed to accurately evaluate the 19 20 problems and improve services. While trying to obtain data on educational outcomes for our 2.1 2.2 first report fostering independence, the need 23 for a statewide foster college success initiative and our foster college success 24 campaign, which we launched in May of this 25

2 year, the Coalition experienced firsthand the 3 difficulty in securing data on youth aging out of care. We were not able to obtain conclusive 4 data regarding the number of young people in 6 care currently attending college with the New York State and are working with legislators on having this information and it's extremely 8 While the intent to collect data 9 important. 10 and evaluate outcomes to improve service, implementation is commendable, we are 11 12 interested in learning how this data and the 13 overlapping services between ACS, Department of 14 Homeless Services and DYCD will be collected 15 and shared between government agencies and made 16 publicly available to community based 17 organizations who also work and advocate on 18 behalf of this vulnerable population. While we are excited about learning--we're excited about 19 20 this legislation allowing for more data to be 2.1 collected on this transient population, we are 2.2 also concerned that agencies will be mandated 23 to do this without being provided the adequate resources. Creating the infrastructure and 24 hiring the staff to maintain systems is costly 25

2 and we hope that the city will ensure that the 3 legislation comes with the necessary resources to put these systems in place. Bill number 4 187; 187 would require ACS to report to the 5 City Council the graduation rates of youth in 6 7 foster care, including the total number involved in high school disaggregated by age. 8 Furthermore, the proposed legislation should 9 include the reporting number of youth enrolled 10 in high school equivalency diploma programs and 11 12 other alternative programs, the number of 13 youth that are on track to graduate, the age at 14 which they graduate. This would provide the 15 city with a more comprehensive understanding of 16 the current status of the educational outcomes 17 for young people. Bill number 137 would require 18 ACS to report that their success in obtaining government issued personal identification for 19 youth and foster care youth aging out of the 20 foster care system, in particular, need access 21 2.2 to a baseline of personal identification in 23 order to make a successful transition to adulthood. Often times, for employment, opening 24 up a bank account, educational or public 25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

benefits, applicants are required to provide at least two pieces of identification, many times a birth certificate and social security card in addition to a photo identification. Therefore, it is extremely important that the city works to ensure that every young person aging out of the system has a birth certificate, social security card and a New York State non-driver or driver's identification card. The current data available for youth aging out of the foster care system presents a bleak outlook, homelessness, unemployment, reliance on public benefits and the possibility of incarceration, and is unfortunately the sad reality for many young people transitioning out of the system. The Youth and Care Coalition fully supports the introduction of bills 104, 137 and 187 and is hopeful that the data collected will help the city advocates and providers to become better equipped to implement programs and services to eradicate these poor outcomes. We know that if provided the opportunities to develop skills and access to resources, these young people can become more resilient and succeed. We commend

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

the City Council for putting us on track to better support the city's most vulnerable young people aging out of the foster care system and look forward to working with the Administration in addressing these challenges. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

APURVA MEHROTRA: Good afternoon.

Thank you. My name is Apurva Mehrotra. I'm

with the Community Service Society of New York.

We're a 170 year old organization that works to

Yorkers. Community Service Society is a member

of the Steering Committee of the Statewide

advance upward mobility for low income New

Youth and Care Coalition, an effort just

described by Jessica, that's working to seek--

that's seeking to improve the outcomes of

foster youth in New York. So thank you for the

opportunity to testify. I'll make this really

quick. I don't think I really need to go over

again the challenges that foster youth face and

when we talk about the outcomes we're obviously

all in this room well aware that their outcomes

are quite poor in a lot of different areas. Of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

course, in New York City, we know that to be the case, but we really don't have that backed up by any data, and that sort of is a huge issue. CSS, commissioned by the Youth and Care Coalition recently completed a report looking at post-secondary education enrollment for foster youth found that fewer than one in four youth enroll in college. Center for an Urban Future did a report in 2011 showing that fewer than half of youth aging out of foster care are able to obtain to jobs. We've talked, you know, in a great detail about FPWA's work in terms of foster youth and homelessness, but really none of these reports we're really able to rely on any sort of real data. They were all relied on national studies, interviews, focus groups, surveys with practitioners, people in the foster care system and data from other sources, and that is really unfortunate and it's a detriment to the youth themselves, to the advocates, to the City Council as we try to figure out, you know, how we can best help this population. Just as one example and you know, one of the bill I know touches on this, as far

2 as high school graduation data. I can go on the DOE website right now and get high school 3 graduation data going back a number of years by 5 race and ethnicity, by gender, for English language learners, for students with 6 7 disabilities. CSS recently did a report that relied on DOE data that we requested and 8 received for every student in the DOE system 9 10 going back multiple years, high school graduation and other outcomes across many 11 12 different student characteristics. Yet, when we 13 tried to get high school graduation data for 14 foster youth, it was just about impossible and 15 would could never really could get meaningful 16 data even after many conversations with DOE, 17 with ACS, with CIDI. It just--it just wasn't 18 there, and people wanted to help, but they just couldn't because the information wasn't there. 19 So not only does high school graduation data 20 need to be reported and publicly available, but 21 2.2 also data related to employment earnings, 23 housing, and a host of other things, because 24 it's otherwise just impossible to know whether or not what we're doing is working and whether 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

all these goals that Chair Levin mentioned in
the 2006, you know, PYA, we have no idea eight
years later whether or not we've, you know,
what the benchmarks even were originally in the
first place. So how do we know if we're, you
know, making any progress. So thank you again
for the opportunity to testify and obviously I
and the community Service Society are
supportive of these bills and would look
forward to working with the council.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

afternoon. I'd like to thank Public Advocate
Letitia James and you, Mr. Stephen Levin and
the City Council membership. I'm here on
behalf of myself as well as other foster youth
that are presently in care and has aged out of
care. I'm also a member of the Steering
Committee of the National Youth and Care
Coalition. Some of the issues that you faced
are just dire and important in nature. When we
look at some of the aspects when it concerns
housing, education and especially employment we

2 can see that the numbers suggest that these outcomes are deplorable and I believe that we 3 4 must do something about them in order to fix 5 the certain trends that are happening. One, I 6 would like to touch on a couple of issues. One 7 is the housing aspect of it. I sat through and I heard the Administration testimony on 8 housing, especially the number of units that 9 are provided which is 3-400, and if each year 10 youth are a thousand or a 1,078, which was the 11 12 number that was presently by my colleague Jessica Maxwell, and there's only three or four 13 14 units. And obviously that is not fitting the 15 need of the people that are aging out. So, we 16 don't have enough resources to compile together 17 to get more aggregated data, but to understand 18 that there's 1,078 young people aging out of foster care and only 3 or 400 have the 19 20 possibility to a studio apartment in a NYCHA development, and most of the time that number 21 2.2 is skewed because it goes to other populations, 23 whether it be seniors or special need cases. 24 Also, I will propose that we look at other models for housing than just NYCHA, because 25

2 some of the--I believe that you're placing a young child that was in foster care, which is 3 4 already a traumatic experience and then transporting them to a NYCHA development that 5 is in neighborhoods that is rifled with crimes 6 7 and drugs, and we're just transporting one traumatic situation to another. I believe we 8 need to find more creative and imaginative ways 9 to engage this housing issue, and I believe 10 that we have so many luxury housing buildings 11 12 and high rises and complexes are being erected 13 all across the city. I believe that we should 14 have legislation in place where we seek to address some of the needs, especially in those 15 16 high rises that have certain apartment sizes 17 set up, set aside for youth in care. We cannot 18 seek to improve the life of youth if we continue to keep putting them in these 19 20 dehumanizing situations, which housing is. When you're faced with crime rates and you're 2.1 2.2 faced with unemployment, it just some of the 23 things that we have to address. Schooling is one. I know for me it was a struggle. Luckily 24

I was able to find other programs that assisted

2	within my furthering my education. I was able
3	to get my Bachelor's in Philosophy and my
4	Master's in Philosophy as well this May of the
5	21 st . But most people don't share that story,
6	and I know that I am not in the majority but I
7	am in the lesser known parts and I believe that
8	there's more things that could be done on the
9	educational front. I believe that oneI
10	believe the Administration should have a more
11	comprehensive approach to education, whether it
12	be tutors and secondary care providers that
13	speak directly to the issues of education. I
14	believe that we need more stringent process in
15	how we go about it. Because what the numbers
16	suggest is that only 48 to 52 percent of youth
17	that are in care graduate with a high school
18	diploma. Nationally, that average is 76
19	percent. And if we could find 76 percent of
20	the average of American students graduating
21	with a high school diploma, then what are we to
22	say about 48 to 52 percent of foster kids,
23	foster youth that are graduating with a high
24	school diploma. It is a despicable number, and
25	it is a disparity that continue to keep playing

2 out when we look at the national public, when we look at how college success rates and only 3 three percent of foster youth graduate from 4 5 college with a diploma. These are issues that 6 continually continue to face youth, and if we don't have no programs, substantial programs that seek to address these needs, then we are 8 to continue to keep seeing these numbers, and 9 it's a downward spiral. And there haven't 10 really been anything that it's introduced. It 11 12 really hit at these numbers and seek to better 13 the outcome of youth in care. And the last 14 part, trauma is a real issue in the foster care 15 system. Being ripped away from a parent and 16 being placed into a home and learning how to 17 navigate that, especially -- I can speak from my 18 own experiences. On average New York State has the worst permanency, time to permanency in all 19 20 50 states. We have the worst. The numbers support it and it has been a national study 21 2.2 where New York has bottomed out at the bottom. 23 And on average, when you enter foster care, the 24 time of length that you stay in foster care and the number of replacements that you have to go 25

2 through while you're in foster care is a big issues, which is on average is about five to 3 4 six different placements while you're in foster With that much change and then changing care. from community to community, school to school, 6 7 it creates a level of apathy with foster children, but it also creates a level of 8 mistrust because you don't know who can trust 9 and you have this mistrust of system and this 10 mistrust of people, because as soon as you get 11 12 to connect with a person you are snatched away 13 and you are put into a different environment 14 and now you have to matriculate all over again. 15 And with these types of issues it creates 16 trauma. It creates trauma and it creates PTSD 17 that goes unaddressed and unnoticed. And I 18 believe that we need, in order to really start to tip away at this problem, we need to start 19 20 looking at these issues and really finding out ways on how we can correct some of these, and I 21 2.2 believe counseling is one issue in which we can 23 better address the issue of youth that are in 24 care, and especially hit home at this, at the 25 trauma issue that we don't speak about.

are the issues that I have. Some of the things
I would like to seeI've read through the
bills, which is 104, 137 and 187, I believe. In
terms of the data sharing collection, I believe
we need to have some protocols in place to see
how we're doing that, how invasive we are in
that process and what terms are we sharing data
at between the agencies and making sure that
the youth is not compromised. So I believe that
we need to have a level of oversight in place
where it's watching how much data is being
shared between which people and how we're
effectively doing that. I do support the bills.
That is just a issue that I have, and that,
because I don't think that it really address
how that would be implemented. And that's it.
If you have questions, I'm more than happy to

20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

21 | Thank you. Public Advocate James?

answer. Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Sure. Just
one question to the gentleman who just

24 testified. How did you make it?

DONALD FIELDS: I'm lucky. And sometime luck don't fall on other people as it does others. I was blessed to have a great support system. I was--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]

Is that support system provided to you by ACS?

DONALD FIELDS: No, I found it in my church and found it on people that didn't give up on me. I was also fully aware of the finite shelf life that I had in ACS. I understood that this wasn't something that was going to last forever and unfortunately, a lot of people are not prepared because they do not have this type of mindset that I've had. It is that this doesn't last forever. Especially when you're dependent so much upon a agency that it takes away from your ability to now reinforce things to yourself.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: When were you placed in foster care?

DONALD FIELDS: Off and on since I was three weeks old until I aged out around about 21, but I left 20, a week before my $21^{\rm st}$ birthday.

agencies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

as well.

DONALD FIELDS: Whether it be Harlem Dollin [sic], I was home with Salvation Army before the close. I was Edwin Gule [sic]. I was with Graham Wayham [sic]. I was with the Jewish Board. I was with a number.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And who provided you with access to college?

DONALD FIELDS: I applied because I knew that if I didn't go to college these was the outcome that was going to continue to play out in my life at some point. You have to make a decision if you want better, you have to seek

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2	other opportunities that's going to afford you
3	that. And sometimes the information might not
4	be readily available, but I was lucky that I
5	had other people on the outside that

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
Was it a case worker or someone in the house
asked you, urged you to apply for college?

DONALD FIELDS: No, like I said, I knew that this--I knew I had to go to college it wasn't a question or it wasn't a choice. I knew that this was the only way I would--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
And where did you learn that?

my surroundings. I've been to about 12 or 13 different group homes. I've been to about nine or 10 different foster homes. So I gathered that data from just looking at the circumstances that other individuals faced and I understood that a lot of them didn't have high school diplomas, and the environment in which we're in, you had to kind of alter your personality in order to survive there, and also the funding aspect of it also led other people

shot, fired 51 shots.

25

_

J

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: You were

placed there by yourself in a studio apartment?

DONALD FIELDS: Yes. I don't believe that—I don't believe that young people, especially aging out of foster care should be just stuffed in NYCHA or stuffed in a studio apartment. I believe that we should have more creative and more imaginative ways to approach this housing situation instead of just placing them in NYCHA or other subsidies that are finite just like subsidy living. I know that they have the apartment comes furnished, but it's only a finite time with that too. It's not permanent, and it seeks to get people to have housing skills before they allow them. Most of the time there's no safety net.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Right.

DONALD FIELDS: And even just a story that ran on ABC not too long ago when they was intro--when they was interviewing youth that recently aged out of foster care, and the reality is is that some point you will become homeless because there's not a sustainability aspect to it.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1
PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Right.
DONALD FIELDS: We focus so much on
when they age out and finding them housing, but
if we don't equip them with the tools to keep
the housing that they get, then we're doing all
this for not.
PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So did you
getso your aftercare services, did it consist
of a grant or anything like that?
DONALD FIELDS: No. Once I aged out
I was on my own.
PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Once you aged
out it was over?
DONALD FIELDS: Yeah, I was on my
own.
PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: That wasyou
were on your own? And it was basically just
sheeryour constitution and your relationship
with your local church that made you, that put
you through?
DONALD FIELDS: Yes, I also

understood that in addition to myself, I have other people that's looking at me for guidance, not only for guidance but to know that they can

2 make it. And I still go. I talk every Tuesday 3 and Thursdays to children and youth that are in 4 care, and I understood at that point that if I gave up, then that gave them license to give up 6 on their dreams and their hopes as well, and I did not want to be a part of the malignant culture that exists within the foster care 8 system. So I made a priority to go to school, 9 but not only go to school, but to finish school 10 because I--there's no greater testimony and 11 12 there's no greater inspiration than to see one 13 of your own get through it, and that lets you 14 know that you can do it as well. So that always 15 stuck with me in the back of my mind and it 16 also was in the forefront in everything that I 17 do, so I do advocacy work. I was just in D.C. 18 for the National Congressional Foster Care Month and I was advocating on behalf of 19 20 Congress on certain issues that affect foster youth wide, and it just--it's one of the things 21 2.2 because I met 67 other people that face some of 23 the same issues or some even dire, more dire, more straight issues and to understand that 24 25 this is not only a New York problem but this is

thank you for your--sharing your testimony with

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

us. It's been very enlightening and just want to thank you for your inspiration to others.

Thank you.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 DONALD FIELDS: No problem. Thank 6 you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member Gibson?

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you. Wow, my head is filled with so much, but I'll keep it very brief. I want to thank all of you for being here today, for your strong advocacy and certainly to you. I wouldn't say you were lucky. I would say you're blessed and that's because someone believed in you and discovered that through all of the situations there's always a way out. And although you seem very strong, very commitment, I guess my concern is there is so many foster care children that are nowhere near the level that you are, and those are the ones that we have to capture. And as you said, sometimes we tend to be very proactive, no, reactive instead of being proactive and looking at some of the untold stories, the hidden stories. I always say the

nidden victims that struggle in private that
those stories never make the media. And I
always look at that. I am a big fan and I
certainly will work with my colleagues. We have
to push this Administration to provide housing
for youth aging out of foster care, but with
supportive services. We do that in the field
of mental illness and developmental disability.
We have all these beautiful units that have
social workers and counselors right on site. So
I don't necessarily agree with just pushing
them into public housing because you're there
by yourself, and I believe that you are a
living testament that you can survive. And so I
encourage you to continue to spread that
message and tell your story because so many
young men and women don't see a way out, and
not until, unfortunately something happens does
that come to our attention, and we as elected
officials, as advocates, we have to do a lot
more of listening because sometimes I feel like
we're making these decisions but we lose that
human aspect of it. And with all these
incredible programs we've talked about, we're

2	still at a crossroads right now and I guess
3	that's what I was trying to say. We're looking
4	at how we can track data which is good, how we
5	can get reports on how many students are
6	graduating from high school, all of that is
7	great, but we shouldn't wait for that to happen
8	while, you know, all of the children are still
9	struggling in the system. I used to serve as a
10	state elected official so I worked a lot with
11	OCFS on a lot of this work that we've done so I
12	really understand a lot of it and certainly
13	want to do more to help, but we need people
14	like you at the table, because you bring that
15	human aspect to the table. You are the reality
16	that we talk about. You are sometimes the
17	defining story that we need to share. I always
18	talk about statistics because I come from a
19	borough where we're defined on statistics in
20	the Bronx. Every bad factor, the Bronx is
21	number one. So I live this reality each and
22	every day, but when I hear stories like yours
23	of triumph and success, I know that we serve an
24	incredible God and I know that things will
25	certainly work out. And I'm a firm believer in

2.2

that. So I thank all of you and these bills in addition to other things, we have to continue to have these conversations because the time is now. Too many young people don't see a way out and we have to help these young people before something happens. So I applaud your work and thank you for coming here, and know that you have tremendous support is here at the City Council.

DONALD FIELDS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,

Council Member Gibson. Both Jessica and

Donald, I want to thank you very much for your

testimony. I thank the entire panel for your

testimony, but you two in particular for really

first inspiring us, inspiring everybody in this

room, and you couldn't see while you were

testifying, but there--I saw a lot of people,

you know, tending to their eyes because they

were tearing up. It was very moving, your

testimony, and I would ask you as you are

moving forward, first to work, to work with us.

25 We would very much welcome your input as we

2	advance policy and we want to see what's going
3	on as we're moving forward to changing the
4	system and working with the Administration, and
5	as we kind of look towards what we could do in
6	the system at large, we would very much welcome
7	your input and in your collaboration. I want to
8	commend you for your dedication to making sure
9	that other youth in foster care have an
10	inspiration and that they know that they can
11	achieve great things, and that there's a mentor
12	out there for them, and providing them with the
13	support system that unfortunately the city is
14	not quite providing right now, and for filling
15	that need and working towards filling that need
16	is something that we commend you on and we
17	thank you for, and I look forward to working
18	with both of you, and again, you're very
19	inspiring to all of us truly. And we want to
20	thank you very much for your testimony today.
21	DONALD FIELDS: Thank you. I

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Next we will have Emicia Prancer [sic], Parker, sorry,

appreciate it.

Latonya Smitherman [sp?], and Stephanie Gendell. Okay, whoever wants to go first?

LATONYA SMITHERMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Latonya Smitherman.

Grand Wyndham [sp?] Agency. I'm here to testify

today on my belief and in support of these

bills. I wanted to touch on what Deputy Miller

said. She says, "They don't choose when kids 10

come to us." I find that a false statement. At 11

12 the age of nine or 10 I was taken away from my

13 Great Aunt who was my provider at the time. My

14 mother and father weren't--they didn't really

15 raise me and I always with my aunt. I didn't

16 choose to go into foster care, obviously, and

17 my grandmother very much wanted me to remain as

well my siblings. At the time it was eight of

us that were in her care, and we were in 19

20 unstable living conditions, and I literally

have the documents from that case in the 90's. 2.1

2.2 Like, I don't know how, but I kept those

23 papers. So now that I'm older and I'm able to

understand the terms, there was so much false 24

information given, because I know for a fact. I

2 remember very much clearly that time during my 3 life, and I know that my grandmother was a great provider. I know that she did fulfil the 4 requirements to move the eight of us into a 5 bigger house, actually from an apartment. 6 7 didn't choose to go into foster care. My grandmother did not choose to put us in foster 8 care. We were taken from her, and she was a 9 very fit provider. She also said, "We can 10 always do better." So fast forwarding, I don't 11 12 see how much ACS has supported the agencies that I've been in. I've been in a number of 13 14 agencies as well. A lot of what Donald had to 15 say I could definitely agree with and I am in 16 support of. They can always be doing better. 17 They can always be doing more. To not--to say 18 that they start planning after care at 14 until 21 means there's six or seven years that you 19 guys are planning and the number of people who 20 age out and are in need of support are 21 2.2 ridiculously high. So with six or seven years 23 of planning for that child to eventually be on their own and to not have a solution, that's 24 just ridiculous. I can say that I was fortunate 25

2	enough to have the support of Grand Wyndham as
3	my final provider and school and assisting me
4	with getting into college. Literally, or
5	specifically, Harry Bavrian [sic] iswhich is
6	a member of Grand Wyndham who has become my
7	great mentor and I don't know where I would be
8	without him, but you know, just having that
9	support of that one person and his personal
10	passion for myself and youth who age out who
11	don't have the support at all. Just, like you
12	said, just pushed out into where I am now in my
13	studio apartment in NYCHA, which I've been and
14	I've been able to maintain that through the
15	support and constant passion of Harry's
16	support. You know, him personally advocating
17	for me for Grand Wyndham, which who's also
18	funding my schooling, because I'm now currently
19	in Hunter College. So having that support of
20	thank youof that one person meant the world
21	of difference. I have 11 siblings, and not any
22	of them have their high school diploma, college
23	credits, stable job or their own house. So I am
24	a success story for sure. Don't make me
25	emotional. But I find myself to be a success

2 story because of the support. And I know that a lot of people don't -- they are turned away and 3 they choose to go back home because they can't find that common ground is very much needed. Like, we want to be back with our families, of 6 course. We want to be with them, but to not--to have the option to go home or to have the care, 8 to have the assistance of aftercare shouldn't 9 be an option. It should be something that is 10 mandated to have these families work together 11 12 with the system so that prevention, which is at 13 all costs should be at firsthand. So let's have prevention to not have these kids in foster 14 15 care. Let's have these people who want their 16 kids to--and need understanding and guidance to 17 how to keep their kids and keep their 18 apartments and keep jobs. Let's have those programs institutionalized as opposed to what 19 20 Let's not wait until it's too late to happens. say, "Oh, now they're homeless." Or "Now, they 21 2.2 didn't graduate." Let's prevent that at all 23 costs. Let's prevent them even having to go into foster care, and once they are in foster 24 care, that shouldn't be a penalty for them. 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

They shouldn't have to suffer to be taken away.
They shouldn't have to continue to suffer to
find an apartment or education or employment,
which happens. I never have to look far because
I look at my siblings and they're struggling
all around me always. So I just wanted to say
on my personal testimony that it makes the
world of a difference to have the support
beforehand so to not have to suffer, because
there's suffering all around, and if we can
prevent that, that would be the best thing to
do prevent it, to not even have to worry about
aftercare and these high rates of unsuccessful
stories. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Latonya. Thank you.

LATONYA SMITHERMAN: Thank you.

EMICIA PARKER: Thank you. First of all, thank you guys for giving us the opportunity to testify. I wanted to preface my statements with saying that it was very disappointing to sit through the hearing and to know that this hearing about data and about numbers, and to see ACS so ill prepared. They

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

had no data, and that to me, showed how urgent this bill it. So as far as my own story. My name is Emicia Parker. I am the current reigning Miss Plus New York and my platform is empowering underserved youth. One thing that a lot of people don't know about me is that I actually was that underserved youth that I am now seeking to empower. I was placed in foster care here in New York when I was two months old. I was placed in foster care because both of my parents were addicts, and a result of their illness they would abandon me into the system. They would never come back for me. I was blessed after being shuffled around a little bit to find a loving adoptive home with two parents who were really awesome and amazing. They went on to adopt four more children after me, and they kept about 19 foster children in total at different times. They didn't believe in breaking up families. So if there were four kids to be placed, they would take all four. But unfortunately due to my parents advancing age, they would both die before finishing the task of raising me and my

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

siblings. At the time that my last surviving parent, my mother died, I was able to fend for myself, although just barely. My three younger brothers were not as lucky, and as a result of the timing, they would find themselves in the care of ACS until they would eventually age out of the system. They would tell you emphatically that this was the worst period in their lives. My brothers recount stories of not receiving adequate help in finding housing, not receiving adequate help in finding jobs, not receiving adequate help pursuing education, and not receiving adequate help with the basic skills that they would call life skills. They straddle instability in group homes and also in foster care, and then at 18 they were just released kind of like balloons flying in the air to find their way in the world. ACS seemed to believe that somehow at 18, like by osmosis or something, they would just become adults and know everything that they were supposed to do without receiving that guidance, and unfortunately that's not the case. So I watched my brothers who I once enjoyed school drop out

2	of school. I watched my brothers become
3	incarcerated, all three of them. I watched my
4	brothers face homelessness, and then out of
5	desperation to have some place to stay, they
6	would reunify with their parents, their
7	biological parents who unfortunately were still
8	abusing drugs and who would end up stealing
9	from them. When my teenage brother, teenaged
10	brother had a stroke, that's when I knew that
11	the weight of this was too heavy for him. I
12	knew what was going on because I'm their
13	sister, but what's deeply troubling is that the
14	agency that just sat here had no idea that any
15	of this was going on because they just
16	unceremoniously ended contact with my brothers
17	after they aged out of the system. That ought
18	not to be the case. As Miss Plus New York I
19	have had the opportunity to tour many schools
20	and to speak to thousands of students here in
21	New York, and unfortunately this story is more
22	common than it should be. At a recent stop I
23	was able to share with some youth who were
24	formerly incarcerated through Boy's Town, and
25	when I looked into their eyes I just say my

15

25

brother's eyes. So I really urge for this bill
and any of these bills that will really hold

ACS accountable to be fully supported because
they are responsible for these lives. So we
must hold them to task because ultimately our
children's futures are our own futures. And if

8 our children are failing, we're going to fail

9 as a society. So while other kids may share my

10 brother's fears or they may share my brother's

11 concerns, I really want to make sure that my

12 voice is heard to ensure that these kids will

13 not share my brother's outcomes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much for your testimony.

16 STEPHANIE GENDELL: Good afternoon.

17 I'm Stephanie Gendell. I'm the Associate

18 | Executive Director at Citizen's committee for

19 | Children. I just want to thank all of the young

20 people who've testified today because it's

21 | their voices that really make a difference,

22 | much more than anything I'm about to say. I

23 | often find when sitting on panels with youth

24 people who've been in foster care, what we

learn is that they succeed despite the system

2.1

2.2

but not because of the system, and I think that
we need to change that. This hearing also feels
a little like Déjà vu. Some of you know my
prior life was at ACS. One of the last things I
worked on there was the PYA plan, and what we
wanted to do when we developed that plan was
actually come up with both reforms for the
agency as well as items to track to show
whether or not we were making improvements, and
as you've heard today, we haven't really done
that yet.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]
Those were the measurable outcomes?

outcomes, yes. I believed the measurable outcomes. And so did people at ACS. But actually just to put them, you know, 2006 was a rough year for ACS. It's also the year Ms. Mary Brown died. So it was a tough time over there. But in preparation for this hearing I also reviewed—I remember testifying on this issue before and I found my February 2008 City Council testimony that was in front of then General Welfare Chair de Blasio, where I gave

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recommendations on how to help young people who are about to age out of foster care. And I'm going to essentially reiterate almost all of the exact same recommendations from 2008. The first thing, though, I did want to address the local laws that were introduced today. CCC has always supports increased data. We are concerned that the laws may be overly burdensome on ACS and we'd love the opportunity to work together to try to come up with ways that ACS can report on all of the items that we need to know so we can understand the outcomes for youth aging out of foster care. We suggest one way that might be helpful is to look at whatever it is that they're already reporting and collecting. We know that the State Office of Children and Family Services is required to submit data to the federal government on youth who age out of foster care and conduct a youth survey. I do not know what the city is giving to OCFS for that, but it would be interesting to see what and also OCFS is required to when youth turn 17, tell them they're eligible to participate in the National Youth and

2	Transition Database, and then they reach out to
3	the young people again on their 19 th and 21 st
4	birthdays. They tried to create a 2010/11
5	baseline survey and they tried to reach 1,100
6	seventeen year olds, but only reached 286 young
7	people. So it would be also great to see how we
8	could help OCFS find more young people to
9	participate in the survey so we can track
10	better data about young people over time. Some
11	additional recommendations we have to help
12	young people in foster care are to strengthen
13	the preventive service system that we have. The
14	best way to prevent young people from aging out
15	of the foster care system is to prevent them
16	from ever entering the foster care system and
17	maintaining them with their families. As for
18	foster care itself, we think that there's a lot
19	that can be done to try to improve the time
20	when children are in foster care to also
21	prevent them from aging out. What every young
22	person really needs is a family and somebody in
23	their life who will be there for them, and
24	that's what helps young people succeed. And so
25	we really need to do better by the young people

2 and try to help them find families before they 3 leave and not age out. If we have 12,000 children in foster care, we shouldn't be having 4 5 1,000 age out. That's a huge percentage. Along 6 those lines, hopefully the state senate and 7 assembly are in the process of introducing a bill today to increase the number of Family 8 Court judges. That has to pass by the end of 9 session on Thursday. It needs to be introduced 10 today to age. That will help decrease the 11 12 length of time in foster care. On the education 13 front, we believe as everyone, that's 14 education's critical to successful outcomes. 15 For children youth in foster care who have suffered trauma and often times multiple moods 16 17 and school changes, it can be really challenging for them in school. There needs to 18 be much more work done to ensure that when 19 20 children first enter foster care we prevent disruptions to their education by maintaining 21 2.2 more educational stability when they first 23 enter care whenever it is safe to do so. Similarly when they're--when foster placements 24 change we should really do the best we can to

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

not disrupt school. And as others have testified we are supporting college and free tuition for young people in foster care. In terms of housing, we support the increase of the housing subsidy as we have. We'd also like to go up to age 24 instead of ending at 21, and I appreciate Council Member Levin asking ACS about the idea about having roommates. Most 20 year old young people living in New York City on their own, paying their rent, trying to go to school have roommates, and we believe youth in foster care should have access to that same support system. And then lastly, we think that youth in foster care, while they have a lot of needs that need to be met, they're youth at the end of the day. We want them to be treated as youth and have access to all the opportunities of youth programs that every other youth in New York City should have access to like after school programs, summer youth employment, etcetera. And I just wanted to address two items that came up earlier. One is with regard to the re-entry of young people who leave foster care between 18 and 21 and then re-

2	enter. ACS said that, because there's a law, a
3	state law change to allow that, and they said
4	they had 108 young people apply and they
5	approved 78, and then this past year 33 applied
6	and they approved 19. That seemed very low, and
7	I worry that they've put in more barriers than
8	this statute has, and they talked about
9	offering preventive services to maintain the
1,0	young person, but preventive services end at
11	18. So these young people between 19 and 21
12	wouldn't be eligible for those services. And
13	then the other item is the discharge grants.
14	This will really age myself, but Council Member
15	Debreenza [sic] used to have a City Council
16	initiative which is where the discharge grants
17	initially started from and they were paid for
18	by the Council, and then additionally they got
19	base lined, and ACS paid for them and now ACS
20	has cut them and now here we are trying to
21	figure out how to get them back. It's probably
22	too late for this budget season, but I don't
23	know if that's something we want to consider.
24	It's also something to keep in mind for all the
25	items that have just been base lined, that it

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

gives the agencies the opportunity to cut them.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Stephanie. Thank you very much to this panel. Public Advocate James, do you want--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: No. Really great initiatives and again, I congratulate those who have made it and obviously we've got a lot to do and I look forward to working with all of you.

Emicia, thank you very much. Truly inspiring.

You really make us all want to be better people
and be a better city and your strength and
fortitude like Donald and Jessica really show
us that, you know, we have a lot of strength
within us, and what you've shown us is that you
have really what it takes and that you've been
able to rise to the occasion and may you
continue to inspire us. Thank you.

LATONYA SMITHERMAN: I just wanted to say thank you for touching even on such a critical issue because if you guys hadn't brought it to light, or stories would mean

3 So thank you.

2.1

2.2

much. Okay, and our last panel, Thomas

Hilliard, Gary Parker, Priti Kataria and Krista

Gunderson. We're going to take a minute break

and switch to the Web Press Oaks [sic]. I know

that they have that as well. Okay, whoever

wants to go first?

THOMAS HILLIARD: I'll go first. My name is Tom Hilliard and I am a Senior Fellow with a Center for an Urban Future. We are a think tank that conducts research on important issues concerning economic workforce development and social policy for New York City and we are committed to a broad-based prosperity for the City of New York. So the experience of the Center for an Urban Further with Foster Youth goes back to 2010 and 2011 when we researched a study on foster youth called Fostering Careers, in which we examined what's happening with foster youth in New York City and why they have trouble connecting with the labor market, getting jobs, starting

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

careers and paying the rent. And I won't go into all of our findings because it's late in the day, but I will say that I got tremendous sense of Déjà vu from listening to the Administration to listening to ACS leadership and to the witnesses because we ran into all of these things. So we found the data on foster youth to be fragmentary and difficult to obtain and if I can offer a theory about that. You know, people are conditioned to think of the child welfare system and neglect in the same sentence, but this is not really about neglect. Everybody is working as hard as they can, as well as they can. It's really about the fact that this used to be a child welfare system of children and that as the number of children have dropped precipitously over the last two decades is become increasingly a system of teens and young adults, but the system has not yet caught up to this new reality. And as a result, the foster care agencies and ACS and the city have not adjusted to creating a citywide governing focus on foster youth. So one thing I would add is that it is not just ACS's

2	job. There's a natural feeling that ACS need
3	to do better and needs to take charge, but many
4	of the things that we want will not come from
5	ACS. They will come from HRA. They will come
6	from the Department of Youth and Community
7	Development or Small Business Services and I
8	think the legislation that we are looking at
9	here today reflects that and therefore I think
10	you're called to open up a task force or a
11	children's cabinet with outside input is well-
12	timed and well-aimed because we very much need
13	a broader discussion and the center researched
14	this report. We researched a follow-up report
15	with more recommendations. We created a
16	symposium at which the Commissioner of ACS
17	spoke and we created a task force meeting of
18	city agency Commissioners and Deputy
19	Commissioners and outside stakeholders to talk
20	about how they could better collaborate to
21	serve foster youth. So people are having these
22	conversations. But they're still not producing
23	the data that enables us to create evidence
24	based policy. So I think that the legislation
25	nresented here today is a good first sten and

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I would say that at least in one respect, collecting data on post-discharge adult outcomes of foster youth is actually a little short of revolutionary. So I will come back to that in just a moment. Here's my biggest concern about the legislation that we're seeing here today. What it would mandate in general is the reporting of raw numbers on the experience of foster youth. And we should think more about rates, percents and longitudinal trends over time. That's how we are able to make comparisons and that will provide better information. To illustrate, I would look to 187 which would require ACS "to provide information regarding high school graduation rates of youth in foster care." But in fact, it wouldn't actually do that. What it would require is raw numbers of youth who graduate from high school. This is actually the same information that we got from ACS because I looked at that 2006 PYA report and said, hey, that sounds like something we ought to have. We needed graduation rates for foster youth and what I got you will see in appendix A of our testimony

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

here is just a list of foster youth, 18 to 21 separated by their outcomes, which tells you very little. So what you need is the cohort definition of graduation rates that we provide for every other subgroup. I assume ACS is saying that they have some trouble getting this information together. That's what CSS seemed to be saying, but they need to get over that. They want to come and tell us about how great their collaboration with DOE then it ought to be possible to make this happen. So I would say that it is a matter of common sense that in a developmental program that is a child welfare system, the acid test for whether the supports we provide foster youth are working are how they fare as adults. And so sections 2C, 2D and 2E of intro 105 deserve special praise because they would pull aside the curtain and enable us to find out what's going on in adulthood. I spoke with the policy director for the Jim Casey Youth Opportunity initiative which works with states all over the country on foster youth issues. And her view was that there's no locality anywhere in the country that's doing

2.1

2.2

this. So New York city would be the first, and I believe it's imminently practical that we can do it, and I would actually encourage us to look at also obtaining employment data using the wage reporting system and educational data, high school graduation rates, college going rates and college graduation rates from the state's student union record system which is now online and available. So in closing, I would simply say that we support the legislation. We hope to be helpful in making it the best legislation possible, and I thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairperson levin: Thank you very} % \end{substitute} % \e$

PRITI KATARIA: Good afternoon. My
name is Priti Kataria and I'm an attorney with
Lawyers for Children here in Manhattan. I'm
also the Director of the Adolescents
Confronting Transition Project. Excuse me. So
that means that my entire job is representing
those youth 16 to 21 years old aging out of
foster care. These are my kids and that's why
we're here today. Lawyers for Children is a
not for profit organization and we're lucky

2 enough that the Robin Hood Foundation has funded practice in our office that we call the 3 Adolescent Confronting Transition Project that 4 also features a Housing Specialist who is 5 Krista Gunderson who's here to speak more to 6 7 Intro 104, but just one thing that I want to make sure is also out there for the committee 8 and thank you for giving us the opportunity to 9 10 testify is not only are we looking at young people who are the subject of abuse and neglect 11 12 cases, but there is another cohort here in New 13 York City that does represent a large number of 14 these youth who are transitioning to their own 15 independence, and that's children who have been 16 placed through the voluntary placement process. 17 And I'm not sure how familiar anyone on the 18 committee is, but just briefly, if a family does present themselves to ACS asking for help, 19 20 asking for services and saying that they can no longer care for their child, they can 21 2.2 voluntarily place them up until the age of 18. 23 So if you can imagine what a 17 and a half year old who realize their parent is putting them 24 into foster care and then ask them to work with 25

2 the system between 18 and 21, I promise you 3 it'll be okay. You're looking at young person 4 who already is set up to be mistrustful, to have that trauma again and to also not know what the system is that they're supposed to 6 7 navigate, where ACS is saying that they'll start at 14 if you don't even have them until 8 17 and a half. It's difficult to start that 9 planning with a child who isn't in your care. 10 11 And so that's one thing that I want to make 12 sure that everyone also has on their radar, 13 because it does make a difference, especially. 14 I'm only going to speak to Intro 137 and 187, 15 but specifically, in terms of the 16 identification and we'll hear about it a little 17 bit more in terms of housing, it is so 18 important for ACS to report on that data, but we'd also ask that the Department of Health, 19 20 the Office of Vital Records also report on how 2.1 many birth certificate requests they're getting from ACS. 2.2 I can tell you from one of my 23 clients, he entered care in March. We asked ACS to request his birth certificate in March. 24

We're now in June and he still does not have

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

happens.

his birth certificate, which means that he can't apply for his social security card. He can't apply for his state ID and he also can't access the Summer Youth Employment Program.

ACS hasn't requested it or DOH hasn't given it?

PRITI KATARIA: It's been requested

so far as the agency represents to us. So we're

not sure where exactly the breakdown is, which

is why we're asking for DOH to also get

involved just to see where that breakdown

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is that because

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure. Okay.

PRITI KATARIA: Excuse me. And especially with our older youth because we need that identification so quickly and so urgently to allow them to access any of the system that we're relying on to help them leave foster care successfully. We do need to figure out whether it is ACS, DOH, some combination thereof, the agency, some misunderstanding because whence you have those documents then you can actually figure out where the plan is from there. As to intro 187 when we're talking about our

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

undereducated and underemployed foster youth which we all know is the case, we--the testimony does contain more suggestions, but the one that I do want to make sure is highlighted is that we also need data specifically on what diploma track these young people are on. Not only are they graduating, but what are they graduating with, because a young person who graduates with an IEP diploma cannot access the same programs, colleges, jobs, licensing programs, vocational programs. That diploma unfortunately does not necessarily guarantee any of the success that graduation per say would yield. The other data that really should be collected is also the GED programs because we're not just talking about high school diplomas. A lot of times you have youth with all of the moves that we've spoken about who now have so few credits that they agency's saying, "You know what? Why don't you just get your GED?" Referring them to a GED program, but that doesn't necessarily actually ensure success. That just means that they're being funneled into another system. They may not get

that GED. Once they're in a GED program, it's less involved by the agency. It's now another agency provider, and so we need data on that as well. So, between the Department of Education and ACS, we do need more information about where our youth are going with what credentials and making sure that the agency realizes that graduating per say is not the end of the story.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

KRISTA GUNDERSON: Good afternoon.

My name is Krista Gunderson. I am the Housing

Specialist Attorney on the Adolescents

Confronting Transition Project along with my

colleague Priti Kataria, and so my client base
is primarily made up of 16 to 21 year olds, and

my primary role is to assist my clients as well

as all of Lawyer's for Children's clients that

are aging out of foster care with obtaining

housing. And so as you can imagine, most of my

job involves delivering bad news, and it's very

unfortunate situation. So, as a result, we are

supporting the Intro 104, but we do have

additional recommendations with respect to the

data that should be collected and mainly that

2 has to do with the collaborations with other 3 agencies. You heard ACS before testify that, 4 you know, they love collaborations and they're constantly working on collaborations with other 5 agencies, and so we would be asking that in 6 7 addition to the data that the bill calls for, that NYCHA be required to report on 8 particularly data involving evictions and 9 10 eviction rates for the youth that are getting, obtaining apartments successfully through the 11 12 NO priority, but then are subsequently being 13 evicted or cannot maintain those apartments. 14 Specifically the reasons for those evictions as 15 well as the length of time that they are able 16 to hold the apartment until they are evicted. 17 And then also the -- specifically related to the 18 number of proceedings, the evictions proceedings, how they are resolved. Are they 19 20 resolved because the tenant is able to overcome the proceeding and remain in the apartment? 2.1 2.2 Are they formally evicted or did they 23 voluntarily vacate the apartment because they 24 are just unable to manage a system on their own. And then finally, with respect DHS, the 25

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Department of Homeless Services and the entry of youth that have transitioned out of foster 3 care into the shelter system, although there is 4 a state regulation that prohibits ACS from discharging youth to homelessness or even 6 7 nonpermanent housing, what we are finding from anecdotal evidence from our clients is that 8 many times they are discharged to permanent 9 housing, but then that permanent housing plan 10 fails and they then enter the shelter system. 11 12 So that permanent housing could be a relative 13 or a family member or some other kind of 14 arrangement but that arrangement isn't 15 permanent in a sense that it doesn't last and 16 then they are re-entering the shelter system. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In your 18

experience does that include like essentially couch surfing?

KRISTA GUNDERSON: Correct. I mean, you know, of course ACS doesn't want to publicize this, but there is a lot of encouragement by foster care agency staff, the contract agencies as well as some ACS staff towards these youth to make these personal

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	arrangements that don't have any lasting
3	guarantees, such as couch surfing, such as
4	reunifying with relatives that they may have
5	beenthe relationships were broken previously.
6	I've actually at Lawyers for Children on behalf
7	of one particular client had to file article 78
8	proceedings against both ACS and NYCHA on
9	behalf of the same client because ACS was
10	trying to force her to reunify with her mother
11	and stepfather as she aged out of foster care
12	and this was a stepfather who she had alleged
13	had sexually abused her, and that was ACS's
14	idea of a permanent housing plan to avoid
15	having to keep her in care on an exception
16	policy. So that's just one example.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: See, but I
18	sorry. I asked about that previously and they
19	said that anybody that seeks it
20	KRISTA GUNDERSON: [interposing]
21	That's
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] The
23	exception gets it, right?
21	KRISTA CHNDERSON. That was a

misleading answer. They do allow anyone to seek

it, but there is a strong push back against

3 exceptions policy because it involves money and

4 it involves them paying for these youth beyond

5 the age of 21 to continue in care and they

6 don't get reimbursed from the federal

7 government for those placements, so of course

8 | they want to minimize that effect. And so I

9 mean, there were several statements that were

10 made that we scoffed at a little bit in the

11 | audience, but the idea that any youth over 21

12 | who doesn't have a viable housing option is

13 | automatically gifted and exception to policy is

14 just utterly false.

PRITI KATARIA: And just to add one

16 more piece to Lawyers for Children's pedigree,

17 | we were part of that class action lawsuit

18 ∥ against ACS. So we're very aware. We do still

19 \parallel participate in the monitoring meetings as well,

20 | so there is some data being collected, but it

21 \parallel definitely is not as reliable or in as robust

22 of source as any of us would hope coming out of

23 a class action.

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well thank you

25 \parallel very much for your testimony. My--is there a,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

on a policy level, is there a dialogue between your agency and ACS and so that ACS is taking into consideration what your suggestions maybe, and outside of the litigation setting?

PRITI KATARIA: Well, we--actually, the litigation came after a period of time where we were trying to do work group meetings and trying to address this more as a congenial policy. At this point we do still have those policy meetings with ACS, but we also do have our own internal discussions whether there needs to be any further action taken. I've had to at least on my own cases, couple of times gone to the actual members of ACS that are monitoring to report a specific client who needs exactly the kind of housing intervention that the class action was supposed to take care of, but it's still happening. We still have-every day, it still happens that we have a client whose been told that they have to reunify with someone that they haven't seen. ACS has been very willing to send my clients to Colorado, Florida, North Carolina to find

family members as opposed to maintaining them on an exception to policy.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What happens if the family members aren't like there when they get there?

one of my young men return to New York saying that he got there and as soon as he got to the family that ACS had said that they had spoken to and were ready to take him in, that they weren't really ready. The expected him to be able to pay rent, which he couldn't at that point. He had just arrived in the middle of nowhere that he recognized, and he actually did return to New York.

much for your testimony. Clearly, we have a lot of work still to do, and I want to thank everybody for being here today. Again, in particular the young people who testified in sharing your experience with us is again very moving, very inspiring and I think that we have a collective responsibility to start working on this, you know, and hopefully we have an

Administration now that is committed towards reform and you know, the reality of that is

4 that will cost funding. It's not going to be

5 free, but it's--again, it's a collective

6 responsibility that we have in this city. So I

7 want to thank everybody that was here to

8 testify. Thank you for this panel. We're going

9 to take a one minute break and then we will be

10 | hearing the Reso on the WEP program. Thank you

11 very much.

12

[break]

13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Hi everybody.

14 | Welcome back. I am Council Member Stephen

15 | Levin and we will be hearing now Resolution

16 | number 257, ending the WEP program sponsored by

17 | Council Member Mealy, Dickens and King. This

18 Resolution calls on the State of New York and

19 | the legislature to pass and the Governor to

20 | sign legislation that would amend the social

21 services law in relation to prohibiting the

22 | work experience program in New York State. The

23 work experience program, also known as WEP, is

24 | a works program in New York City administered

25 by the Human Resources Administration designed

2	to place public assistance recipients in work
3	experience assignments in order to receive cash
4	assistance and meet the state's mandated work
5	engagement requirement. In order to receive the
6	maximum temporary assistance for needy family
7	block grant from the federal government, the
8	state must meet a 50 percent work activity
9	engagement rate for all households receiving
10	public assistance. As of June 2014, 10,879
11	people in New York City were enrolled in WEP.
12	The WEP program has been sharply criticized by
13	advocates, public assistance recipients and
14	elected officials for a great many years. WEP
15	participants are not considered employees, do
16	not receive a paycheck and are not eligible for
17	an earned income tax credit, collective
18	bargaining, unemployment or social security
19	benefits. WEP workers in New York City work
20	alongside and arework alongside and are doing
21	the same tasks as municipal and union employees
22	for below poverty wages. WEP workers serve the
23	people of New York City, conduct essential
24	services at agencies such as Department of
25	Sanitation, Parks Department and the Department

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	of Citywide Administrative Services among
3	others. Resolution number 257 supports
4	Assembly bill 7119A and Senate Bill Senate
5	5120A which would prohibit WEP in New York. The
6	proposed New York State legislation aims to end
7	the practice of forcing New Yorkers to fulfill
8	their work requirements through working without
9	a paycheck and additionally stops the practice
10	of providing agencies and not for profit
11	organizations a pool of unpaid labor. Again,
12	wouldexcuse me. Wouldthe proposed
13	legislation ends to aim the practice of forcing
14	New Yorkers to fulfill their work requirements
15	through working without a paycheck and
16	additionally stops the practice of providing
17	agencies and not for profit organizations a
18	pool of unpaid labor resulting in the
19	displacement of full time workers. Thisthe
20	bill maintains the work activity requirements
21	and the other existing worker activity options
22	for recipients of public assistance. These
23	other work activity options including
24	education, training, and transitional jobs
25	provide more meaningful opportunities for

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 public assistance recipients to transition off

3 of assistance and into secure jobs that pay a

4 living wage. I now welcome any testimony on

5 Resolution number 257. I'll call up the first

6 panel, John Krinsky, Kathleen Keller, Susan

7 Rivers, Bill Busk and Melinda Nimmons [sp?].

8 Whoever wants to go first can go ahead. Thank

9 you very much to this panel for your patience.

10 | I know you've been waiting a long time. Make

11 | sure the microphone is on and please say your

12 name for the record.

much for your patience, Councilman Levin and your very patient assistant. We thank you very much for waiting. You know, you saved the best for last, right? Okay. Good afternoon and happy belated Father's Day if you are a father to the dads present today. My name is Melinda Nimmons, a member of Community Voices Heard. I am here to ask for your support of Resolution 257 to support legislators and the Governor to pass and sign bill A7119A and S5120A to prohibit the work experience program. The bill has been written and introduced in Albany. It passed the

2	Assembly, Social Services Committee and we are
3	hopeful it will pass the Ways and Means
4	Committee and the Chamber of the Assembly by
5	the end of the week. CVH members have fought
6	almost two decades to have public assistance
7	recipients fairly compensated with salary for
8	their equal labor and additional contributions
9	in the workforce flow as WEP workers. After
10	carefully preparing and planning continuous
11	days of hard work figuring out the dos and the
12	don'ts of the law, countless lobby trips to
13	Albany as a team, that we have put into
14	arriving at this stage in our intended goal.
15	Our efforts have now reached a high point and
16	we are grateful and relieved to know that
17	someone cares. We take pleasure in expressing
18	our thanks to any and all for efforts that have
19	been previously and recently put into actions
20	by New York legislators and in Albany and
21	Council Members involved in the vital mission
22	geared towards reaching such a huge improvement
23	for thousands of ready, willing and able
24	Americans in need of financial stability. It's
25	good news to sore hears to hear that our bill

2 will finally be seriously considered and put on 3 the floors of the chambers to be passed and 4 begin to change the world. It will be a historical moment that will profit a great deal of individuals, people from a diverse range of 6 7 ethnic backgrounds, some young, some older and a better opportunity for individuals with 8 little to no previous work experience. Once 9 the final step has been completed and we have 10 the right people on our side, like you Council 11 12 Member Levin, there will still be a need for 13 everyone to work together and make this moment 14 that will go down into history as a prosperous 15 starting point for to last a lifetime. It will 16 show Americans that the government really works 17 hard to improve the lives of the citizens and 18 other countries will respect us and know that we are a united country that gets things done 19 20 for our people in need as well as the wealthy. This doesn't mean that we can just stop at this 2.1 2.2 point and let things linger, because immediate 23 action will be required as soon as possible on bill A7119A, S5120A is officially passed. 24 must work together and listen to each other in 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	order to plan the necessary actions to create
3	this vital long in the making change of a
4	richer economy and a stronger, as well as a
5	better country to live in for all. Making this
6	change will most definitely get the attention
7	of millions of people and they'll be open to
8	listening more attentively to what our leaders
9	in the government have to say. It will also
10	create a large outcome during the election time
11	of new supporters of the government that will
12	be more inspired to vote on a regular basis.
13	This is a new beginning. Procrastination and
14	words alone will not be enough. We must take
15	action immediately and we could start with Ms.
16	Nimmons, assign her to her job.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very
1.8	much. Ms. Nimmons for vour testimony.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MELINDA NIMMONS: You're welcome.

SUSAN RIVERS: Good afternoon. My name is Susan Rivers. Good afternoon, Councilman Levin and thank you for the opportunity to share my testimony with the committee on Human Services. I'm originally from New York and raised four children here as

2 well. I'm divorced. Their dad still lives here 3 and together we're helping our adult children. I worked for the legal industry as a document 4 5 specialist for more than 25 years. I was also 6 self-employed part time and certified as a 7 disadvantage business enterprise with the MTA. My company, Diverse Marketing Company, is 8 currently registered with the system for Work 9 Management, aka SAM, and with the city and 10 11 state of New York as a vendor. I've been a 12 member of CPH since February 2014 and after 13 lobbying with them in Albany and here in New 14 York was empowered with the realization that I 15 have choices, especially after meeting members 16 of this new Administration. The previous 17 Administration's one size fit all WEP program 18 must be completely dismantled. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to realize if people have 19 20 the opportunity to perform work in their area of expertise or obtain training and/or work 2.1 2.2 experience from apprenticeships and internships 23 in sustainable industries, that they will eventually result in transitional jobs and that 24 they'll have a chance to earn a living wage and

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

get out of poverty. So that I would not lose my apartment because I was not one of those who ever received section eight and I raised four children here who are now 35, 33, 30 and 27. I was forced to apply for public assistance in November 2011 after finishing school. Since then I have been seeking employment, but was only able to obtain seasonal employment as a computer instructor with the 32 BJ training fund last year. Although IT recruiters contract me regularly, the positions always require a Bachelor's degree. When much of the legal work I used to perform was outsourced by the legal industry in 2009, I went back to school and graduated from the University of Phoenix by October 2011; I was 52 then, with an Associate's Degree in business and a GPA of 3.32. I also attended SUNY's A plus computer networking technology program which I completed in November 2011 and became certified as a Microsoft certified trainer with multiple Microsoft certifications. I was told to report to a maintenance WEP assignment which I--it was a by a conciliation worker in May 2014, which I

2 rejected, since I feel that a work assignment should align with my work experience, and I 3 felt New York City should be able to find some 4 other aligned work for me to do besides maintenance with the skills that I have and my 6 work experience. Not one of the job vendors I have been sent to has ever, not once have they 8 ever made any attempt to help me find work in 9 any of my areas of expertise. Yet they're 10 receiving a 153 million dollars a year, and 11 12 there's about 11 job vendors. It just doesn't 13 make sense to me. I decided to find my own work activity, which has been supported by HRA's 14 Director of Work Experience Management, Ms. 15 16 Cynthia Screen [sp?]. I visited the Department 17 of the agents [sic] website and learned of a 18 website called Volunteermatch.org, and signed up to provide the Catholic Guardian Services, 19 20 an agency of Catholic Services, of Catholic Charities, with onsite computer tech and help 21 2.2 desk support, training and database development 23 services as their agency was seeking assistance in these areas. I am waiting for their 24 extensive background check and other 25

_

O

particulars to complete before I can begin working with them. I am registered with CUNY for Fall 2014, thank God, to pursue my Bachelor's degree in information technology and project management. I plan to pursue small government contracting opportunities as a DBE, which I did in the past with the MTA while in school and believed that once I complete my education I will then access gainful employment and perhaps will be able to provide that to a few others as well. Thank you for allowing me to testify before this council today.

 $\label{eq:chairperson levin: Thank you very} % \end{substitute} % \e$

am a member of Community Voices Heard. I wish to testify in support of Resolution 257 in favor of proposed New York State Legislation S5120A, A7119A, the bill to prohibit work experience programs in New York. I have waited so long to say those words in this chamber. WEP or work experience programs currently the way the city chooses to satisfy the work requirement for anyone who needs safety net

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

benefits. Almost 12,000 people every day across the city and another 10,000 people across the rest of the state are cleaning office, subways, streets and senior centers without a paycheck. Even military veterans who need assistance are required to do WEP, no matter how many years or how many tours they served, and no matter how often they had been wounded in service of our country. People with mental health problems, physical injury or health barriers to getting employment are forced to do WEP. Mothers of small children and victims of domestic violence have to do WEP if they need assistance for themselves or their families and many members of Community Voices Heard are these people. I've been working since I was 12 and paying income tax since age 16. I commuted to work into New York City from out of state paying the commuter tax back then, New York City and New York State taxes. I worked and lived in New York for 15 years paying city, state and federal taxes. I currently reside in the Bronx. After working and paying--after working and paying taxes for 32 years. In 2009, the worst

2 year of the recession, I was out of work, and I was illegally evicted, and I was in need of 3 4 surgery with no medical coverage. I ended up in the homeless system for two years, in the back 6 to work program for almost one year, and in We 7 Care program doing WEP for almost two years while I dealt with post-surgery medical 8 problems. Human Resource Administration, HRA, 9 10 told me I had to earn my benefits, even though I had worked and paid taxes for decades. In 11 12 Back to Work I found a temp job with no help 13 from the Back to Work vendor, even though that is what their job is. That is what you heard 14 15 earlier testimony that they're getting 150 16 million dollars for. I eventually was declared 17 disabled and won my social security case with 18 no help from the We Care vendor, even though We Care is supposed to screen clients for 19 20 disability and help them file their social security case. Once again, you heard testimony 21 2.2 over 100 million dollars and they're not doing 23 their job. Before I received a single cent 24 from my social security disability award, HRA 25 took money equal to the amount of money paid

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for my public assistance cash assistance, food stamps and storage rental fees. So, I worked for two years doing WEP to earn my benefits, which I had already paid for with three decades of taxes. Then I paid for the same benefits a second time from my social security disability money. And I worked two years for WEP for nothing, un gots [sic]. New York City wastes hundreds of millions of dollars on wasteful fraudulent job search center contractors. That money should be diverted to subsidized child programs like the Park Opportunity program, which Community Voices was critical to creating back in November 2001. Numerous studies shows that WEP does not work or you could just ask me and the member of CVH because it never have worked for none of us. Subsidizes job programs are very successful at getting people a real job where they can pay into their social security taxes, become eligible for an earned income tax credit and get a real paycheck. Bill A7119A S5120A will stop this exploitive work experience program. Supporting Resolution 257 is one step the City Council can do to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 help. Mr. Chairman, we need to end WEP now.

3 | Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Mr. Busk. Thank you for your testimony.

Hi. I won't take KATHLEEN KELLER: long. My name is Kathleen Keller, here from the Legal Aid Society, and I can't say it any more eloquently than the previous speakers. The Legal Aid Society supports the Assembly and Senate bills to end workfare in New York City, and we see it every day in all of the clients that we represent. What they all want to a person is an opportunity to get off of public assistance with a real job that pays a living wage, and if they can't do a job, then to get disability benefits to which they're entitled, and WEP gets in the way. So I'm just going to say three things that aren't in my written testimony. The first one is that the bill is perfectly legal. TANIF is a federal statute with requirements that are imposed on states to set up certain public assistance programs within certain parameters, but WEP workfare is not a requirement. It's an option. So the bill

2 is perfectly legal. Somebody asked me that question earlier, so I just wanted to make sure 3 4 that I got that in the record, and it's not in 5 my written testimony. And the other two things that I wanted to say is I saw in the City 6 7 Council Resolution supporting passage of the bills that there's reference to the required 8 participation rate that the state has to 9 achieve to get federal dollars without penalty 10 from the federal government for, you know, 11 12 putting on the public assistance program, and 13 it references the 50 percent participation 14 rate, but what I just wanted to make sure that 15 was on the record was that the participation 16 rate is adjusted by what's called the case load 17 reduction credit. And the case load reduction 18 credit is all about all the people that have gotten thrown off of public assistance over the 19 20 years that in prior Administrations that was considered a smashing success, that while our 2.1 2.2 economy was faltering, we were cutting people 23 off of public assistance not helping them. so because we were so successful at that, our 24 25 participation rate in New York State is much

2	lower. I didn't look up the number before I
3	came today. It's below 15 percent. I think it's
4	13 or 11. I'm sorry I don't have the number
5	right on me, but I just wanted to make sure. I
6	hadn't realized that that was in the
7	resolution. I just wanted to put that on the
8	record. And the last thing I want to say is
9	that one thing that's been an interesting issue
10	at the legal aid society is that we represent
11	people on public assistance and people who are
12	trying to get different kinds of benefits,
13	including disability benefits. We also
14	represent low wage workers, people who are
15	trying to get unemployment insurance and people
16	who have wage and hour claims and
17	discrimination claims against their employers,
18	and so we have seen a number of cases recently
19	where WEP workers who worked at the MTA
20	successfully cleaning subways were not even
21	permitted to apply for paid work at the agency
22	because of the agency knocked them out because
23	of an illegal, I might add, criminal record
24	check. And what's so interesting is that,
25	isn't it interesting that as an unpaid worker

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

they were absolutely just fine to clean the
subway cars, but as soon as the MTA needed to
cut them a paycheck they wouldn't even take
their application. [applause] So thoseI just
wanted to get all those things on the record
and to say that the Legal Aid Society wholly
supports this legislation and hope that it
passes, and we were glad that you support the
resolution.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: They had no problem with the work being done, they just-
KATHLEEN KELLER: [interposing] No, no problem with the work.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: had problem with compensating them for the work.

KATHLEEN KELLER: No problem.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

Thank you for your testimony.

JOHN KRINSKY: Hello, Chairman

Levin. My name's John Krinsky. I don't actually really represent anyone. I am a solidarity board member of Community Voices Heard and I'm an Associate Professor of political science at the City College of New York, and I've very

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

pleased to be able to testify in favor of the resolution before you to urge the State Legislature and Governor to amend the state's social services law to abolish WEP. testimony is my own--does not reflect an official position with my institution. I'm the author of a book Free Labor, Workfare and the Contested Language of Neo-Liberalism. It's about the debates over workfare in New York City in the 1990's, and during the course of my research for the book which began as a doctoral dissertation at Columbia University I came to meet many WEP workers, many of whom described the program as slavery. Though in the course of debates over workfare, this claim was hotly contested mainly by workfare supporters. I want to suggest that from a formal point of view, it's not the worst analogy. WEP is a compulsory work program, and I'm actually not adding that much more to even the Council's own intro here. The conditions of work in the program are below standards set for regular workers, abuse of workfare workers is common, along a range of petty to serious abuses. It's unpaid. One

2 works in return for basic assistance that is 3 significantly below the generally accepted standard for a decent life. It's very structure 4 makes it difficult for WEP workers to escape and it provides all kinds of reason for those 6 who use WEP labor to continue to use it. Very few other kinds of work in our economy share 8 these formal attributes. Just about the only 9 other ones that come to mind are the indenture 10 of trafficked workers and prison labor. This is 11 12 not the kind of thing we want enshrined in our 13 public policy and that we have had it for so 14 long is a terrible stain. Fortunately, we now 15 have a chance to get rid of it, and it also 16 gives me great pleasure to be able to say that. 17 I just want to--rather than going through my 18 entire written testimony, I just want to point out that what it really consists of is sort of 19 20 five things that you might hear from opponents of this resolution and what's wrong with those 2.1 2.2 five things. So one is that there's no other 23 good way to meet the work requirements under 24 federal law, and clearly there are and we just heard about some of them. And it's not just 25

2	the transitional job program that we already
3	have, but thereyou know, there are lots of
4	states around the country that have had or have
5	subsidized work programs. Some of them were
6	created under Era [sic], but and then some of
7	them have actually continued even after Era
8	hasEra funding disappeared. Second one is
9	that the city does not put WEP workers in make
10	work assignments but rather uses them to help
11	meet basic needs. This has been a sort of
12	problem throughout the program, that on one
13	hand the city wants to say, "oh, well they're
14	not really displacing anybody, they're not
15	really doing any work that we really need to
16	get done," and then on the other hand, of
17	course, they say, "Oh, well, it'swe need
18	them. You know, we need them." And you know
19	for dependent on WEP, then we flipped around
20	the whole idea of welfare dependency so
21	thoroughly the comedy would write itself if the
22	irony weren't so awful. If we can't have city
23	agencies that depend on WEP and for variousin
24	various agencies throughout the program have
25	done so.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Or not for

3 profits for that matter.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN KRINSKY: Or not for profits for that matter, that's right. Often, of course, with contracts from the city. So it's--it gets tricky there. Then--I mean, I guess one other thing that I want to mention just for the record is that I'm also doing a research project on parks workers, parks maintenance workers. The one thing that we found pretty consistently is that WEP is really hated by frontline staff, and it's not simply that WEP's displacement effect displeases regular municipal workers, it's also that WEP workers as opposed actually to job training participants, come with all kinds of regulatory problems and specifically because work hours are set by the size of the grant. WEP workers have different work hours from each other. In parks, for example, this means that if you have--if you send out WEP workers on a mobile crew, they may have to be returned to headquarters at different times, meaning that a paid parks worker is really being used as, I

rights.

2 mean this is an endemic problem anyway, but it's basically being used as a taxi driver. 3 4 And that's just not a good use of our resources. A third objection might be that WEP 5 has been responsible for reducing the welfare 6 7 roles in getting recipients into work. Well, it certainly has chased a lot of people off the 8 welfare roles, as for its ability to move 9 people into work. I think you heard from 10 Commissioner Banks not long ago about the 11 12 massive data problems in this regard, and it also again has to do with tracking beyond the 13 point where the agency doesn't feel responsible 14 15 for you anymore. It's very similar to the kinds 16 of testimony we just heard about ACS. 17 soft skills issue, this is a lousy way to teach 18 soft skills, and we'll just leave it at that. But then, I just--I won't get into it now, but 19 20 the fifth reason in my testimony is a particularly cynical one, and I'll just leave 21 2.2 the--it's that WEP converts welfare recipients 23 into workers, and therefore, is good policy for 24 progressives because we can argue for worker's

And the depths of the cynicism of that

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | are just--I mean, are--I mean, it's profound.

3 So I'll leave it at that, and thank you very

4 much for considering this resolution which I

think is one of the--is of central importance.

6 Going forward not just because we actually have

7 | fewer WEP workers now and we have an

8 opportunity to kill off the program, but also

9 because if we leave WEP on the books, it can

10 grow again, and we've seen cycles of that since

11 | the, really since the mid 80's, and its time to

12 | end that cycle. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Alright. And I would add to that that if we leave WEP on the rolls, it does not incentivize us to developing better transitional jobs programs.

JOHN KRINSKY: That's right. That's right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I want to thank this panel very much for your testimony.

I want to thank Community Voices Heard for being such strong advocates on the issue in general, but its been very profound in terms of your advocacy in recent months and I can't predict the future, but I think that we have an

2 Administration now and a Commissioner now at HRA that feels strongly about this issue. I'm 3 not--I don't want to speak for him, but and so 4 we look forward to working the coming months. I 5 6 hope to actually have an oversight hearing at 7 some point in either late summer or early fall on HRA's jobs programs, plural, and what the 8 Administration is going to be doing to reform 9 the system if there's any--you know, I guess 10 there's a lot of areas of social services that 11 12 this committee has oversight over that are in 13 need of reform as we heard in the previous 14 hearing today, but jobs programs is near the 15 very top of the list and certainly taking this 16 action of having the Council on record saying 17 that the WEP program must end and support of 18 state legislation is, I think, important to do for this council in making sure that we're 19 20 clear and unambiguous in terms of where we stand. I want to thank this committee, because 2.1 2.2 otherwise -- I mean, this panel because otherwise 23 we would not be, you know. You are the ones 24 that are leading the charge and I want to acknowledge that and thank you very much for 25

COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE	221

that. Unfortunately, we don't have a quorum
here to pass this, and obviously with in light
of the schedule in terms of the state
legislature in session, we have to kind of see
how we're going to proceed, but I thought it
was important to get this hearing in today. I'd
like the council to be on record with a
position here and we're working very much with
the Administration because the day can't be
soon enough when WEP is a thing of the past.
Thank you very much for your time. And have a
great afternoon. Thank you very much for your
patience, and this hearing is adjourned.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is no interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 06/27/2014