1	committees on finance, education, environmental protection and parks & recreation $1$
2	CITY COUNCIL
3	CITY OF NEW YORK
4	X
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
6	Of the
	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
7	Jointly with
8	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION
9	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL
LO	PROTECTION
ll	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION
L2	
L3	X
L4	May 28, 2014 Start: 10:35 a.m.
L5	Recess: 1:28 p.m.
L6	HELD AT: Council Chambers
L7	City Hall B E F O R E:
L8	Daniel Dromm, Julissa Ferreras, Mark Levine, Donovan Richards
	Chairpersons
L9	
20	COUNCIL MEMBERS:  Margaret S. Chin
21	Deborah L. Rose
22	Jumaane D. Williams Andy L. King
23	Mark Levine Alan N. Maisel
24	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)
25	Council Members:

2			
$^{\circ}$			

COMMITTEES	ON	FINANCE.	EDUCATION.	ENVIRONMENTAL.	PROTECTION	AND	PARKS	& RECREATION	J

	COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION Z
2	James G. Van Bramer
	Vanessa L. Gibson
3	Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.
4	Ydanis A. Rodriguez
<b>-</b>	Stephen T. Levin
5	Mark S. Weprin
	Vincent Ignizio
6	Vincent Gentile
7	Laurie Cumbo
′	Corey D. Johnson
8	I Daneek Miller
	Helen K. Rosenthal
9	Inez D. Barron
LO	Mark Treyger
	Antonio Reynoso
ll	Daniel Garodnick
	Eric A. Ulrich
L2	Costa G. Constantinides
L3	Fernando Cabrera
	Brad S. Lander Andrew Cohen
L4	Andrew Conen
L5	
נו	Melissa Mark-Viverito
L6	City Council Speaker
L7	Carmen Farina
L8	Department of Education Chancellor
L9	Kathleen Grimm
20	Department of Education Deputy Chancellor
20	
21	
	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
22	
23	Ray Orlando
-	Department of Education Chief Financial
24	Officer
,	
25	Sophia Pappas
	Department of Education

1	committees on finance, education, environmental protection and parks & recreation 3
2	
3	Mitchell Silver
4	Department of Parks and Recreation Commissioner
5	Liam Kavanagh
5	Department of Parks and Recreation First
6	Deputy Commissioner
7	Larry Scott-Blackmon
8	Department of Parks and Recreation Deputy Commissioner for Community Outreach
9	
10	Robert Garafola
10	Department of Parks and Recreation Deputy  Commissioner
11	Commissioner
12	William Castro
	Manhattan Borough Commissioner
13	
14	Michael Dockett
	Department of Parks and Recreation
15	Assistant Commissioner
16	Emily Lloyd
17	Department of Environmental Protection Commissioner
18	
19	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Steven Lawitts
21	Department of Environmental Protection Chief
22	Financial Officer
23	Joseph Murin
	Department of Environmental Protection
24	Commissioner for Bureau of Water and Sewage

Joe Singleton

Department of Environmental Protection Deputy Commissioner

Jim Roberts

Department of Environmental Protection Deputy Commissioner

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Good morning,
3 and welcome to the eighth day of the City
4 Council's hearing on the Mayor's Executive
5 Budget FY 2015. At this time we will hear from

our Speaker, Melissa Mark-Viverito.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Madam Chair, and good morning to everyone that is here. Good morning, Chancellor. morning to everyone on this joint hearing of the Finance and Education Committees. Today we will hear from school's Chancellor Farina on the Department of Education's expense budget for fiscal year 2015. The DOE is a massive agency with an expense budget of more than 20 billion dollars, which funds classroom instruction, special education services, bussing, pre-kindergarten, school food and many other critical programs for more than one million children. At the preliminary expense budget hearing for the DOE, Chancellor Farina spoke about her vision to support teachers, principals and school staff, improve student achievement by ensuring students receive all the support they need to succeed, engage

```
COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION
families and support innovation to move our
school system forward. The Council's response
to the preliminary budget, we called on the DOE
to support schools, their staff, students and
families by increasing funding for school
budgets, restructuring school support to
achieve savings that could be used for direct
student services or equitably allocating
funding for schools sports to provide all
students with opportunities to participate, and
eliminating school lunch fees that are a burden
on many struggling families that do not qualify
for free lunch. I applaud the administration
for supporting the Council's call for increased
arts education, the 23 million expanded arts
instruction initiative. The Department's
addition of 13.3 million dollars to support
English language learners is also welcome.
These programs will provide more opportunities
for students to develop and achieve academic
and personal success. However, the
administration did not heed our request to
reserve the state's increasing and foundation
```

aid for school budgets. When the Governor's

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
7
```

COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget was released, I went to Albany to fight for the 2.7 billion the State owed New York City and campaign for fiscal equity funds, as did the DOE, as did some of my colleagues here on the DAIS [sic], so we could increase funding for schools. The state budget includes a 195 million dollars increase in foundation aid, but this money won't go to our district schools. The Administration plans to transfer most of the school aid increased to DYCD for after school programs. The remainder will go charter schools. The Executive Budget Plan, no increase for school budgets next year. I am also disappointed that the Administration did not find 24 million dollars in the city's 73.9 billion dollar budget to eliminate school lunch fees. In order for a child to qualify for free lunch, a family of three must earn less than 36,000 dollars annually. This means there are many, many struggling families who must pay \$1.75 for school lunch. while this may not seem like a lot to many in this room, many--it's just not affordable for many New Yorker living just above that threshold. And as we heard

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

directly from several students who testified at the preliminary budget hearing, many students who do qualify for free lunch often do not take advantage of it for fear of the stigma they face. Universal free lunch would eliminate that stigma and help ensure that all students have access to a nutritious meal at school. As I mentioned earlier, the Chancellor has said she supports innovation to move schools forward. Universal free lunch is not that. It's--at it's best practice that has been replicated at Boston, Chicago, Syracuse, Philadelphia, Rochester and many other cities across the country. These cities have all found success providing universal free lunch through the community eligibility option, which uses direct certification for free meal eligibility, a computer matching of files from the DOE and human resources administration, indicating participation in either Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, SNAP, or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, TANF. The DOE has already implemented CEO in District 75 schools, and I urge the DOE to expand it to the

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rest of the system. If so many other cities have been able to successfully implement the program, I have no doubt that our great city and this Administration can do the same. I want to thank my colleagues and the finance staff for their hard work on our preliminary budget response, and for the hard work and long hours I know they will put in over the next month as we prepare to adopt the fiscal 2015 budget. I would also like to thank chancellor Farina for testifying today, and Chancellor I look forward to continuing to discuss with you the DOE's 20.6 billion dollar budget and how it reflects your priorities and I look forward to working with you throughout the budget process in the coming years so that we can provide New York City school children with the high quality education and services they deserve. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Madam Speaker. My name is Julissa Ferreras and

I am the Chair of the Finance Committee. This

morning we are joined by the Committee on

Education chaired by my colleague Council

```
10
```

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

Member Daniel Dromm to hear from the Department

3 of Education. We've been joined by Council Members Treyger, Rodriguez, Gibson, Miller, 4 Maisel, Rosenthal, Chin, Ignizio, Rose, Dromm 5 6 and Cornegy. We will kick of the hearing with the Department of Education, then we will hear from the Department of Parks and Recreation and 8 then the Department of Environmental 9 10 Protection. These hearings are a lot of work and I want to thank the Finance staff for 11 putting these hearings together. I want to 12 13 thank acting Director Latonya Mckinney, 14 Division and Committee Counsel Tenisha [sp?] Edwards, Deputy Director Regina Perada-Ryan 15 [sp?], Deputy Director Nathan Toth [sp?], 16

Perodi [sp?], who covers the Department of

Education, Unit Head Chima Obicheri [sp?] who

covers the Department of Parks and Recreation,

Senior Legislative Analyst Kate Sealy-Kirk

[sp?], who covers the Department of

Senior Legislative Financial Analyst Christina

17

23

24

Environmental Protection and the Finance super stars, Nicole Anderson and Maria Pagon [sp?],

25  $\parallel$  who pull everything together. Thank you for all

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your hard work. Before we get started I want to remind everyone that the public will be allowed to testify on the last day of budget hearings on June 6<sup>th</sup>, beginning at approximately 4:00 p.m. The public session will be held in this room. For members of the public who wish to testify but cannot make the hearing, you can email your testimony to the Finance Division and the staff will make it part of the official record. The email address is financetestimony@council@nyc.gov. Today's executive budget hearing kicks off with the Department of Education. The DOE's fiscal 2015 budget totals 20.6 billion dollars, which reflects a 759 million dollar increase from the adopted budget. The state aid portion of the budget totals 9.33 billion dollars and reflects an increase of 669 million dollars of which 300 million dollars would be provided for universal pre-k, and 145 million dollars for after school programs for middle school students. DOE's budget also includes 260 million dollars in new needs, in addition to funding for the after school programs. New needs, 23 million dollars

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the expanded arts instruction, 13.3 million dollars for English language learners, 9.5 million dollars for the new district schools, 4.2 million for the Close to Home program, and 1.3 million dollars for school nurses. I'm happy that these new needs were funded in the budget, particularly since we were--sine they were expressed in our council budget response. However, I am not happy that other areas of concerns that were expressed in our budget response were not included in the executive budget. As the speaker mentioned in our budget response, we called for an elimination of school lunch fees, funding for small schools athletic leagues, restricting of school support structures to achieve savings, funding for instructional support for community based organizations providing after school programming, budget transparency in the DOE's budget by restricting it's U of A's including the creation of a separate U of A for UPK. It is my hope that after this round of budget hearings, the Administration will take the concerns expressed by Council Members and the

2.4

public regarding DOE's proposed budget and work with the council to ensure that fiscal 2015 adopted budget reflects all of our priorities and values. I look forward to hearing from the Department of Education to learn more about how this executive budget affects its agencies operations. Before we hear from the DOE Chancellor Carmen Farina, I will turn the mic over to my co-chair Council Member Dromm. We've also, of course, have been joined by our Public Advocate James. Council Member Dromm, you may begin your statement.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning everyone and thank you for attending this hearing, and thank you

Chancellor Farina for coming with your staff to testify today on the DOE's 20.6 billion

executive budget for fiscal 2015. I hope we have a productive discussion today and gain some insight into the details of the budget and its implications for schools, teachers and staff, and of course, the students. The DOE's 759 million dollars projected budget increase compared to the fiscal 2014 adopted budget

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

stems primarily from the 669 million in additional state funding. The enacted state budget provides 300 million for full-day UPK expansion, 20 million for charter schools and 359 million more in foundation aid. This influx of state funds, a 7.7 percent increase from last year is a victory for New York City, but not necessarily for New York City public schools. Of the additional 195 million in foundation aid since the city's preliminary budget, 145 million is allocated for after school programming and the remaining funds are budgeted to support charter schools. The DOE does not intend to use any of the funds to increase school budgets, despite the council calling on the DOE to do so in our preliminary budget response. Even with new funding for English language learners, arts instruction and several other initiatives, school budgets will likely remain flat next year. At the preliminary hearing, the DOE voiced their support for increasing the number of guidance counselors in schools. Is there funding for this? How will schools improve or even maintain

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

service levels if school budgets remain flat while staff salaries and other costs increase. Why isn't the DOE using some of these funds to increase the fair student funding level so principals can have a larger flexible budget for their school's needs? How much will class While school budgets are sizes grow? increasing, the executive budget includes a 247 million dollar or nearly 24 percent increase in funding for charter schools compared to the fiscal 2014 adopted budget. I understand that the DOE must provide funding for charter schools per state law, and I acknowledge that many charter schools offer an alternative where there are no or few other options for high quality education. At the education committee's recent hearing on charter schools, I heard repeatedly that charter schools offer school choice to families, but when neighborhood schools are not adequately funded and have large class sizes, no guidance counselors or social workers and insufficient supplies and technology, what choice to parents really have? I would like to see the DOE to more to improve

district schools so families have a real choice and several good options, and so those children who do not enroll in a charter school have the same opportunity for high quality education. This starts with adequately funding school budgets. I'd also like to talk about universal pre-kindergarten. I'm a big believer in the importance of early childhood education and I am grateful the state has provided us with 300 million dollars in additional funding for full day high quality UPK that will benefit tens of thousands of children. I hope to get an update today on the UPK expansion plan, including how the DOE will spend this money, address pay parody among public school and CBO teachers, and create seats where there is the greatest need, including in my own district. I am sure that my colleagues and I will bring up many other topics today and I look forward to the discussion with the Department of Education. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you, Co-Chair Dromm. You may begin, Chancellor.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Good morning, 3 Speaker Mark-Viverito, Public Advocate Letitia James, Chairs Ferreras and Dromm and all 4 5 members of the City Council Finance and 6 Education Committees here today. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss Mayor de Blasio's proposal fiscal year 2015 executive budget as 8 it relates to the Department of Education and 9 10 our public schools. Seated with me are Kathleen 11 Grimm, Deputy Chancellor for Operations, and 12 Ray Orlando, our new Chief Financial Officer. 13 Ray comes to the DOE after serving 14 years at 14 New York City's Office of Management and Buget, OBM, most recently as Deputy Director for 15 Budget Administration Capital and 16 Communications. He's going to be an integral 17 part of our team task to ensure that a 18 strategic fiscal initiatives are moving DOE in 19 a positive direction. I am delighted to have 20 21 someone of Ray's caliber on my Senior Leadership team, and it doesn't hurt that he 22 also has a great sense of humor. This morning I 23 24 would like to provide an updated overview of our fiscal condition and highlight some new

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developments which have occurred since I last appeared before the Education Committee in March. The 2015 executive budget includes an allocation of approximately 20.6 billion dollars of operating funds and another 5.3 billion of education related pension and debt service funds. This includes an increase of 300 million for high quality, full day universal pre-k, an additional 145 million for expanded after school programs and an increase of 424 million in pension and debt service costs. Our funding is a combination of city, state and federal dollars with city levy dollars making up the largest share at 55 percent, state dollars at 38 percent and federal dollars at seven percent. The Mayor's budget makes an unprecedented investments in education, which reflects this administration's deeply held value that children are our top priority. The budget also reflects the core values that have formed my 40 year career as an educator and the four pillars that are guiding my work as Chancellor. I shared the pillars with you in March, and briefly they are to number one,

return dignity and respect to the teaching 3 profession, and I believe the about to be voted on UFT contract does that, improve student 4 achievement by aligning all instruction to the 5 Common Core standards, and as we have initiated 6 a professional development department; I believe we are on the road to doing that, 8 engage parents in every aspect of school life; 9 10 I have completed about ten town hall meetings and continue to meet with parents in different 11 12 configurations and I think we are on the way to 13 accomplish that, and create new collaborative 14 innovative models within our city and schools. This year's budget gives us the unique 15 opportunity to transform our values into 16 historic gains for our public schools students 17 by ensuring that they have access to early 18 education and high quality after school 19 20 programs, particularly for the middle school 21 grades. Early education and middle school, particularly seventh grade are two important 22 stages in a child's academic growth and 23 24 development, and strengthening those programs will help to ensure that our students graduate 25

ready to compete in the 21st century economy. The universal full day pre-k initiative is 3 phenomenal and will be our foundation moving 4 forward. I have seen the impact of full day 5 pre-k on children's learning, and beginning in 6 September, it will be a reality for record number of New York City families. To make this 8 program possible, the 2015 executive budget 9 includes and investment of 300 million to fund 10 53,000 high quality full day pre-k seats, 11 12 moving up to 73,000 seats the following year. 13 As you've heard me said before, critical growth 14 in language and speech occurs before kindergarten. Children grow through significant 15 phases of development between the ages of three 16 17 and five. So getting them into language rich environments as early as possible is critical 18 to the academic success. We will offer high 19 20 quality programs aligned with Common Core 21 standards that emphasize science. The first phase demonstrates significant demand for pre-22 k. We saw a 36 percent increase in enrollment 23 24 compared to public schools applications submitted last year. Beginning in June,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

families will be able apply for thousands of additional full day pre-k seats in community based early childhood centers. And I just came from a meeting where our district family advocates are actually going to be meeting with parent coordinators to make sure the word is out that parents know that CBO's are part of their choices. After school programming is another priority and I'm thrilled that next year's budget includes unprecedented 145 million to fund 34,000 new middle school after school seats for a total of approximately 100,000 children. Additionally, this funding will support the expansion of summer programs that serve approximately 33,000 students beginning in 2015, up 48 percent from the previous year. One of the summer programs that has been most successful, Summer Quest, will be expanded from the Bronx to Brooklyn and I really anticipate that East New York will be one of the recipients of a very special program that runs from 9:00 to 6:00. After school programs will offer enrichment activities such as arts, leadership development and academic

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

support, including something that is sadly missing today in schools, independent reading, as well as physical activities geared towards the promotion of healthy living. These after school programs will serve as a safe haven for many children who with otherwise go home alone at the end of the school day. Middle schools students will also be able to take advantage of the extended learning time, which will supplement their class work, as well as hone non-academic skills essential to high school and beyond. We work closely with our partner, the Department of Youth and Community Development in the design and development of the after school expansion and will continue work closely with DYCD to implement and evaluate these programs and support our middle schools. I want to express my gratitude to Speaker Mark-Viverito an members of the council for being our partners in this extraordinary achievement. Overall, school budget funding will remain stable. We will release the school budgets next year, keeping in mind that many of the things that are now paid for in the

contract and as part of this initiative will 3 also give principals more flexible money. Principals have traditionally paid for the full 4 day pre-k out of their budget's professional 5 development and well as extended time which now 6 is included part of the budget. While the increased funding from the State has allowed to 8 expand full day pre-k and other initiatives, 9 10 the State still falls short in fulfilling its 11 obligations in other areas. As you know, in 12 2007, the New York State Legislator and 13 Governor acted on the campaign for physical 14 equity court of appeals ruling. State's obligation to ensure every student's 15 constitutional right to a sound basic education 16 should have ended the unfair distribution of 17 State aid to local school districts, and yet, 18 since 2009, the State has not met the court 19 ordered obligation to our city and other school 20 21 districts elsewhere in the state. In fiscal 2015 alone there was a shortfall of 2.5 billion 22 dollars of outstanding additional foundation 23 24 aid to New York City schools. We need this additional school aid to reduce class size, to

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

provide academic intervention in the early grades and to increase school budgets. We will continue to fearlessly advocate for our student's fair share. Due to projected enrollment increases associated with both new and existing charter schools, we will increase funding to charter schools by 93 million, an amount which will help offset the State mandated increase of 250 in the tuition we're required to pay the state enrolled students and other facility costs. As you know, these expenditures are driven by state law, which sets the per capita tuition rate for New York City students enrolled in charter schools. The budget will also allow us to focus on art education, which has sadly been placed on the back burner in many schools, behind content area such as math and English language arts. The 2015 directs 23 million to increase arts education. I want to thank Comptroller Stinger and Chairs Dromm and Van Bramer for the work they have done to highlight this issue and who share our commitment to increasing arts education in our schools. I am a proponent of

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arts education. Not only because it enables students to showcase their students, because it teaches them how to think critically, master the complexities of language and community, skills that align beautifully with the Common Core. Make no mistake, we are committing to providing every child with an education that includes chorus, drama, band, dance, the visual arts. These are the kinds of experiences that will help level the playing field and enhance student's ongoing success. These areas also return join to the school day. Nothing makes me happier when visiting a school where I am greeted at the front door in the lobby with a chorus. Very telling, one of the chorus's that greeted me in District 24, greeted me with the song, "I am a Survivor." I will carry that as my theme song. A major goal is to integrate the arts with other content when and where appropriate. Social studies and American History are natural partners to the arts. Our new teen Thursday's museum after school program will expose seventh grader to arts education that emphasizes American History. They'll learn

in small groups under the instruction of a trained docent [sic], who started this program 3 about two weeks ago, and last week I attended 4 5 the--there's one in every borough, and I 6 attended the one in Queens, Museum of the Moving Image, a place I had never been to, and it was wonderful to see students who will be 8 going for a period of six weeks, the same 9 10 students from IS 10 there in a small group, 25 11 children with their principal and a teacher 12 enjoying the museum in a way that's not often 13 possible during the school days when there are 14 lots of crowds. And for someone whose lived as long as I have, one of the outstanding 15 exhibitions there is the New York Fair from 16 1964. For many of the kids that have never even 17 heard of it. So there's a lot of way to do 18 history and experiencing the museum is a very 19 20 unique thing. We also want to ensure that all 21 students including those who do not enter schools as native English speakers, have as 22 much of a chance to succeed in school as their 23 24 native English-speaking counterparts. Our English language learners account for over 14 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

percent of our total school population, or more than 150,000 students. As a former ELL, I'm committed to building inclusive school environments that honor the contributions of our ELLs and ensure that they have access to rigorous Common Core aligned instruction. The addition of the 13 million in the 2015 budget will allow us to expand central supports for our ELLs in critical ways, including the number of the bilingual general and special education programs at all school levels, expanding professional development opportunities to support teachers and central staff in deepening the understanding of second language acquisition, literacy development and how to design Common Core aligned lessons that meet the diverse linguistic needs of ELLs. We're expanding our dual language programs, K to 12 and this is as a request from principals, something that really has not happened before. While we support Speaker Mark-Viverito's and Public Advocate James' goal to increase our student's daily access to a healthy school lunch, universal free lunch program changes the

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way kids are counted for federal title one funding. While this would not affect the total amount that title one funds for received by the city, the Department has concerns about how the implementation of this program will affect the distribution of funding among schools serving many low income families. The Administration will continue to work with the City Council on this issue and is looking into other options as we look forward. We are convinced that the ground breaking initiatives made possible by the 2015 budget will create greater opportunities for our city's children to thrive. However, we must not discount the crucial role teachers play in improving our school. I am thrilled that the preliminary agreement the city has reached with the United Federation of Teachers on May 1st did gratify, will provide educators with the support they need to enhance teaching and learning. As with the Mayor's education budget, everything in the contract is aligned with one of the four pillars. This was done very consciously and deliberately. We want teachers, administrators

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and parents to understand this Administration is totally committed to putting educators at the forefront of our work. In order to continue developing as professionals, teachers need time to learn from and collaborate with one another. This contract reconfigures professional development time to ensure that teachers have a block of time for professional learning every week. On Mondays, teachers will have 80 minutes of PD at the end of the school day to engage in a variety of professional learning activities. Partnering with parents is critical to ensuring that students have the continuous support necessary to succeed. This contract will build additional time--this contract will build additional time for teachers to communicate with parents, including 40 minutes every Tuesday to contact parents through emails, phone calls, meetings or a class website or newsletter. There will be two additional parent/teacher conferences during the school year, something I've long advocated, and I'm encouraging schools to set up individual parent/teacher conferences of minimum by

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

appointment for a minimum of 15 minutes over a period of time so every parent will feel that they will really understand what their child has done and what that child needs. We will also harness teacher's knowledge about how to serve our students well by developing a new career ladder that will create more opportunities for effective teachers to grow. Through a provision in the new contract, we will introduce teacher ambassadors who can change school assignments for a year to support and engage in activities to share the implementation and development of instructional best practices. Model teachers will take additional responsibilities to support the instructional practice of other teachers in their schools and in partner schools. We have initiated a program called Learning Partners, and I would say two of them that, you know, all working beautifully, but two in particular. One of the Learning Partner schools is housed at the Kennedy campus in the Bronx, which has been one of the schools that we have had difficulty over the years, and this school has now begun

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sharing practices across schools on the campus and the teachers have actually found it extremely worthwhile and all of them are learning from each other. In addition, we have a middle school in Brooklyn that is sharing best practices with a wonderful middle school in Staten Island and another one in Queens and these three principals are actually sharing best practices with each other across three boroughs. In only a few short months we have instituted several meaningful reforms that will make real difference in our student's lives and we have an aggressive agenda for going forward. We will soon release a strategic plan to support struggling schools. This will be a C [sic] change for our students and represent a new positive approach to public schools reform. Over the summer we will finalize additional initiatives that we plan on launching during the next school year. I will continue to meet regularly with Speaker Mark-Viverito and Chair Dromm to discuss the Council's priorities and additional ways to collaborate with the Council. The more we empower our stakeholders

with information to our education policy, the better the results for our kids. To that end on Friday we'll be holding another training session for elected officials, this time on career and technical education. Programs that need to better understood by parents and students. I testify before you today, confident that our school's children's futures have never looked so bright. As I visit schools I am constantly uplifted by the energy and commitment of our teachers and principals. Our new teacher's contract and our target investments in our universal pre-k, after school programs, arts education, programs for English language learners are nothing short of transformational and will put New York City on track to becoming the premier education system in the nation, if not the world. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Chancellor Farina. We will have questions from
the Speaker followed by our Public Advocate.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've been joined by Council Members Garodnick,King and Williams.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Madam Chair. So, Chancellor, thank you very much for your testimony and there's going to be a lot of questions I know that'll be asked by my colleagues, and I do just want to focus maybe on two in particular. One has to do obviously with one of the areas that is a priority for us and is in our budget response and we just had a press conference earlier and it is the issue of the universal free lunch, and you do mention in your testimony the concern regarding the universal free lunch. You say, and I can just look at it right here, "The implementation of the program would affect the distribution of funding amongst schools serving many low income families." Can you speak a little bit more about what some of these concerns are? I know that in looking--let me just say, I mean, when we look at, and I really commend you and I commend the Mayor for the commitment to look at issues around social equity injustice and access. Obviously,

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

universal pre-k speaks to that. The more barriers we eliminate to a child begin able to learn, the achievement gap we can lessen. It creates better equity. After schools programs I understand is a continuum, but clearly if children, and you know this, you know, are not being able to focus in school, that also will impact their educational -- the quality of the education they receive. So that's why there's been this clamor and push for the universal free lunch. Understanding that we're doing it on a local level in the school system with district 75 schools, the community option, what are the concerns? If you could just elaborate that we can really get to the heart of the concerns that DOE has around implementing it system wide.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think,
obviously, one of the major concerns we have is
how does this affect title one funding to
schools as a whole and we haven't--we're
exploring that. We want to see if it means that
in some schools the money that is generally
meant for lunch will be just taken out of

something else. So this is one of the things that we're looking at. We also now are serving about 75 percent of our students free lunch as it is, and 22 percent of our schools are universal free lunch. So we're looking at what's being done in those schools, and then how do we translate it to others, but our major fear is how using this money will affect other title one funding. 

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Now, have you seen that be an issue in other municipalities that have been implementing the community--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing]
Well, we're looking at the municipalities, but
at the last time we were looking at this into
New York, there was a definitely a fear of
losing some of that money.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: No, understood, but I'm saying that there's a lot of other examples of other places that have implemented and does not--that's a concern to us.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

and that is--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Yeah. Yeah. And
we are pursuing that, including having
additional meetings with members of the council

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Yeah, well as the Chancellor says, we are very interested in it and we are pursuing it. We have contacted other cities. We still have not been able to get from them any information about the impact on individual schools, because what would happen here is that the amount of title one money stays the same, the overall amount, which by the way is shrinking, but this would have no impact on the amount the district got. It would have an impact because the school forms these ugly hideous federal forms that parents have to fill out, are used for each school to determine title one status, and we know that some schools would be winners and some schools would be losers. Now, we have a process in place every year, because that does happen at the margin every year, not in the way it would happen if we instituted the free lunch right away. have a policy of holding a school harmless for

one year to give them time to plan not to have that title one money available to them. So with this larger impact, we are looking at that. We are looking at our own ind--our own food cost in terms of staff, equipment and that sort of thing. We would love to have free lunch. We would love to get rid of the forms. We're really disciples of this, but we are still working out how we can manage to do that.

I'm a little, to be honest, I'm--I'll just say this, I'm a little shocked at this point to say that maybe there hasn't been that level of engagement with other municipalities to see how it's impacted them, and I would think that being in New York City with as much creativity and innovation that we have that we could find other ways other than the forms to figure out how we capture the information so that title one is not impacted, in terms of the number, the amount received by schools. So, you know, I think that those are things, and I know that the public advocate when she speaks, will speak a little bit about some of her interactions

but, you know, I would think that we could really find a solution to this.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: We have been working with Washington because we are working under federal rules here in terms of the federal food program and to date, we have not been successful but we will not--we will persevere.

I mean, understanding that our request obviously is system wide, but has there even been any thought considering that you're doing it on a smaller scale? Was there any even thought of maybe ramping it up even further to maybe include a grade or cohort [sic] of schools.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Actually, we have had conversations in terms of focusing on a particular grade level, perhaps as the beginning initiative plan. We had discussions whether we should focus on middle school as an initiative, and we're still going to continue to focus and see if we can do that to see if Washington, A, will help us, and also how an experiment at the grade level where it seems to

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be most productive given the fact that if
there's a stigma attached to it, it's more of a
middle school issue than it is an elementary
school issue. So yes, we are pursuing that and
we will continue to pursue that this is an open
door discussion and we will continue to look
into other ways to implement it.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And what, I mean, obviously there's a commitment on this side, you know, to engaging and partnership and to the extent that any sort of advocacy is necessary at the federal or state level, more probably we're talking about federal level, to figure out different way of capturing the information so that we're not negatively impacted in wanting to implement this system wide. I think that definitely is something that we can lend our voice to as well. So I appreciate that. The other issue just quickly, and then I think my colleagues probably could more into deeper detail on that, is the issue of the school aid, the amount that we're getting from the state and the fact that none of that money is really being brought back into

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the school budgets, but being diverted, right, for the after school programs and for the charter schools. Could you talk a little bit more about that? Was there, you know, was the exercise, mathematical exercise done that if that 95 million was allocated to school budgets, what increase that would mean?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I'm going to let Ray answer that, but I want to make it very clear that schools will have more disposable money because of what we're paying for now that they paid for in the past. For example, as a principal, if you had an all day pre-k, you paid for the all day pre-k out of your existing school budget. That did not -because the state only paid for half day, they never paid for full day. So if you wanted full day pre-k, you as a principal had to pay for the coverage, teachers, and for all the other things associated. So that money that those schools had will still remain in their budget, because now they don't have to pay for that. The other things that principal paid for and depending on the size of the school ranged

anywhere from my discussion with principals from 25,000 to 50,000, could be as much as 100,000 for professional development. There was no professional development time built into the school day. So for principals who valued this, this was money they had to pay out of their flexible funds to be able to pay teachers per session. By putting that money in the budget through the contract, that allows principals who have that money to move it over and use it in other ways. And the third thing particularly in middle schools is that most middle schools figured out a way to fund extended day programs out of their existing school budgets, particularly if they had band and art and chorus, and now that money they would have paid for that can be moved over and be spent in other ways. So I do think that there was in certain schools there'll be more money, but I'll let Ray answer the rest of it.

RAY ORLANDO: Good morning everybody. Can you hear me? Okay, thanks. So one thing I--

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing]
And actually, we hear you speak. In your other
capacity as often as you were here, I don't
think I ever heard you say a word, but okay.
Yes?

RAY ORLANDO: Yeah. So, yes, it's a new territory for all of us, yes. So on the question of the school aid, so as you've noted, the school aid is going largely for the after school, and I guess one thing I'd like to note for--just so everybody has context, is you know, looking at -- we had a great victory in Albany in getting additional state aid, and the state aid, we got it for universal pre-k, which is great. We're using 145, as you noted, for expanded after school programming, and there's additional funding for mandates. Special Ed grows every year. As you know, charter schools are having increasing grades and the tuition is going up. So when you look at the pile, it gets spent pretty quickly on these Mayoral and Chancellor initiatives as well as state mandates. Just as for context, if you look at sort of total state aid over the whole sort of

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last couple of years, gone back to 2009, this is actually the first year that state aid overall in total for education exceeds the 2009 funding level. So in 2010, 11, 12, 13, we weren't at the 2009 level, which kind of goes to the Chancellor's point about the CFE and the lack of the two and a half billion dollars that you noted in your testimony as well. And unfortunately, what's happening to us is we're funding Mayoral, Chancellor initiatives, state mandates, and then we don't have enough left to take the next step, and I think we're all committed to working in the future to achieve, you know, putting more money into the schools. I think that's one of our top priorities, and I think you'll see that going forward.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you.

Chancellor, if I can get clarity on one thing you indicated, right, because you indicated that there are certain things that are going to be paid centrally. So some money will be freed up in school budgets. Now, is that impact, are you projecting that to happen for every school, but not every school's doing pre-k now. You

know, there's certain aspects of--obviously
every school hopefully is doing professional
development, so that would impact every school.
So do you see a benefit to every single school
in our system, that they'll have some money
freed up and additionally be able to--that they

can reinvest in school?

2.4

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I think professional development should be every single school.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: It hasn't been in the past, but it certainly should be. The fact that we will be doing some of that planning for them, I think, you know, and they can take advantage of it to the degree they want to. Yesterday we offered an all day professional development for every middle school principal in the city of New York, and that's coming out of the DOE. So I think there's going to be a lot more offerings like that. So I do think everyone would benefit. I think our ELL initiative is very much going to be spread out city wide, and also keep in mind

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the ELL initiative is not only for newly arrived students into this country, but are also more and more parents around the city are wanting dual language programs. So it's going to be not only ELL students, but students who are monolingual who want to be part of those programs. To the degree, I want to, you know, second something Ray said. You know, and again, this is just an explanation. This is the fifth month. Our hope going forward is that more moneys will actually be going to schools in the coming year and beyond, because that is always where my head is as a former principal and certainly as a teacher that the money should be in the schools, but right now we have to catch up on certain issues and I think we're focusing very much on what do we need to put in place that schools can benefit from us and then we can give back to the schools. So the answer is yes.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. And I'm going to--I'll end there because I know my colleagues have a lot of questions. Obviously, you know, the CFE and outstanding amount is

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

something is incredibly--it's an imperative that we resolve in some way, that money coming into reduced class size and other things is obviously critically important. And then having to, you know, the idea of the school aid, foundation aid really being allocated to schools ideally is where we would like to be and that's what we had indicated in our budget response. But I am pleased, you know, to hear about what other measures are being taken to try to provide greater assistance to schools and freeing up more money in the budget so that money could be reinvested to quality educational needs of each school. So I appreciate your testimony. Thank you for being here and I'll hand it back to you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Madam Speaker. We will now hear from Public

Advocate James.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you.

Thank you to the Speaker and to the Chairs.

Before I begin my comments, I would be remiss

if I didn't mention the fact that we lost a

giant today, Maya Angelou was a world renowned

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

literary legend and influential figure in the civil rights movement and an inspiration to countless Americans including this one before you, which is why I'm so happy as I segway into the budget that we provided an increase to arts and culture to our school's budget. It's really critically important. I found my voice through arts and culture and I'm one of the countless number of children the tradition of Maya Angelou will find their voice. Other than food and shelter, a quality public education is the most important tool we can bestow upon children, but we are still struggling with providing schools with books and staff or school libraries to stay open, smaller classroom size, physical education and free lunch for all students just to name a few. I want to thank the Mayor and the Chancellor and the Deputy Chancellor for your UPK initiative for providing 300 million dollars, your funds for English language learners, technology and of course, arts and culture. But I want to echo my--echo the sentiments of the Speaker in saying that I'm very, very disappointed that we

the state is still 2.5 billion dollars short of meeting its campaign for fiscal equity, for our public schools systems, yet we are faced with an unfunded mandate that will divert from the budget to provide charter schools the space, leaving 94 percent of our children with less. Again, we have a unfunded mandate. New York City has yet to receive 2.5 billion dollars for traditional public school children, and yet we have a unfunded mandate leaving 94 percent of our children with less. And it's--and that is very unsettling and disappointing. And so with that, the question really is, what is the fiscal impact that the Department of Education will face because of the funding for charter schools?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, obviously, when you spend money in one category, you don't have it to spend in the other, but on the other hand, all children belong to us and to the degree that these children are getting an education and are learning to read and write and do the things they need to do in schools,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that is part of my responsibility as chancellor to ensure that we support them in that way.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I just hope that we have fair funding in the State of--in the City of New York for all children, and I support your statement. What percentage of DOE's budget will be spent with outside consultants?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I mean, at the moment, we're doing almost everything that has to do with teaching and learning in house. We are--we now have a new mandate on social studies frameworks, and rather than purchasing anything from an out source, we're actually developing it ourselves with our own staff and our own teachers. We'll be doing that over the summer, and in the past, a new curriculum costs us on the average of 16 million dollars. So I would say that, you know, not having to spend that money on outside people is going to make a big difference, and also we can then control how this is distributed to schools. So it'll get there in a timely manner. And we will also

control the professional development of the
curriculum.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I applaud the fact that the executive budget includes 1.3 million dollars to place 25 nurses in schools that do not currently have a nurse. As you know, under the previous administration I fought to make sure that we had dental clinics in our schools, and I know that there is a private initiative to provide vision for children. What are we doing to restore dental services and vision services for children in the public school system?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I think to a large degree, we are—our initiative on community schools which wasn't mentioned today is going to provide some of those services and the community schools don't necessarily just provide services to the kids in that building but to that community and by expanding more of those programs we'll be able to provide that. Vision services in the past, some of them their supports have been done in schools, and dental services we have some, but we also have special

initiatives that we do in schools to encourage
parents to visit. So I do think those
initiatives will be in place, and having a
nurse in every school will also help us in that

direction.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. In regards to the rebidding of bus contracts, the executive budget recognizes 62 million dollars in savings. Will the bus contracts have EPP, otherwise known as Employee Protection

KATHLEEN GRIMM: The savings you referenced--

Provisions within those contracts?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]
Yes.

that are in play now, which do not have the EPP. As you know, we have a massive RFP out on the street waiting response, which will happen on August 1<sup>st</sup>, and that issue is under discussion right now.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So EPP is under discussion?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Yes.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. In regards to fringe benefits and health savings, my understanding is that in the budget you recognize 105.4 million dollars in healthcare savings. How is that achieved?

RAY ORLANDO: Those are savings in the fringe benefits of the city. They're in each of the sort of categories. The--we do a projection every year of fringe benefits. The fringe benefits projection is a multi-billion dollar figure and when you miss by a small amount, it ends up with a savings or a cost, and in this case we had a savings of approximately 100 million dollars off of what we were projecting. If only I had the crystal ball and could get the number right each time, it would be incredibly helpful in my business.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: But isn't it true that there's going to be a 64 million dollar increase for health insurance compared to last year? Health insurance is projected to cost 1.8 billion dollars compared to--which is a 64 million dollar increase compared to fiscal year 2014.

RAY ORLANDO: And again, this is the, again, a projection. So I'm trying--I think what we're trying to do is we were too-we're trying to get the number right. So this year there was a savings, and so we right-sized the budget to the current year number, and next year we're looking at a slight increase and we'll see if we get it right or not. I think as you'll see we'll come back to you again after adoption in November and January and we'll sort of--we'll be in a better position to say it went up, it went down, it went sideways. PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Something tells me you'll be back in January. RAY ORLANDO: No doubt. CHANCELLOR FARINA: Tish, can I just

say one thing?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yes.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Because you know, when you asked the question I had time to think. One of things I wanted to say about the contracts--

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: [interposing]

25 Yes?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

interviewed Ray, one of the questions I said to him is how comfortable does he feel reviewing all the contracts and figuring out which ones we can do in house versus the ones that have to go out, and since he's taken over and we've been looking at all the contracts carefully, we have actually stopped issuing some of them, and one of the ones also for example by changing the promotional policy this year. We did not pay for an end of summer test, which was also in the millions of dollars. So we're looking at every single penny that we can save so eventually going into next year we'll have that pot of money to go to schools.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you,
Chancellor. My last question and/or point is
the following. We've had several meetings with
your office, particularly Mr. Shera [sp?] and
I'm very disappointed that we can't come to
some agreement on universal school lunch. My
office has interviewed school representatives
from Chicago, Detroit, Kentucky, Boston,
Syracuse, Poughkeepsie and Rochester who have

all successfully implemented universal school 3 lunch using the community eligibility option. My office has also spoken with the United 4 States Department of Education, United States 5 Department of Agriculture, United States 6 Senator, Senator Jill Lebran [sic], everyone has come to the same conclusion. You just 8 don't get it. We can implement universal free 9 10 lunch in the city of New York by using the 11 universal option program. In addition to that, 12 we've commissioned a study with the IBO, 13 Independent Budget Office. They too come to the 14 same conclusion, that in fact we will not jeopardize any title one funds, any federal 15 funds, and that we can implement it throughout 16 the city of New York, and it would not 17 jeopardize any food to low income communities 18 compared to others. I just think that the 19 Department of Education has a fundamental 20 21 misunderstanding of the program. I would love to sit down with you again for the fourth or 22 fifth time, perhaps with Mr. Rivera--23

RAY ORLANDO: Mr. Orlando.

2.4

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Mr. Orlando, 3 and perhaps we can come to some agreement since you're new, with respect to how we can provide 4 5 free lunch to all children in the city of New 6 York, removing the stigma of poverty. Chancellor, you said you are survivor. Are you the Gloria Gaynor "I'm a Survivor" or the 8 Beyonce "I'm a Survivor." 9 CHANCELLOR FARINA: I don't think I 10 fit into either one of those categories. 11 12 PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. 13 CHANCELLOR FARINA: I -- it'd have to 14 be someone like Ella Fitzgerald. PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay, very 15 16 good. CHANCELLOR FARINA: I would say I 17 know that there's a meeting that our staff is 18 19 having with your staff, and I would certainly encourage Ray to go along and we'll see--20 21 continue the dialogue. PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I want the 22 children to survive and I want them to be a 23 success and we really need to implement 24

universal free lunch and I want bureaucrats to

be removed from the room and I want this to
happen in the city of New York, and I hope that
you would work with my office to make it
happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you, Public Advocate. We have been joined by Council Members Johnson, Levin, Reynoso and Gentile. I'm going to ask some U of A questions, always very exciting. The Department of Education's 2.6 billion dollar budget is organized into 26 units of appropriation. Nowhere in this structure can I see either of the Mayor's most notable initiatives, a 300 million dollar full day universal pre-k expansion and a 145 million dollar middle school after school program expansion. Approximately 128 million of the funding for after school program is a U of A 402, general education instruction and school leadership. Yet, the money will not go to schools. It will go to DYCD contracts with service providers for after school programs. Why is this funding for after school expansion scheduled in U of A 402?

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

RAY ORLANDO: The after school programming will be being provided by DYCD's contracted providers, which is why the money's there. It's just going to be an interest city with DYCD, basically. And they're already providing program services in the schools as well and this is an expansion of that. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Right.

RAY ORLANDO: I hear you on the U of

A--

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]

13 Yes.

14 RAY ORLANDO: It's loudly and

clearly. 15

> CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: But, you know, just for clarity, after school programs isn't part of school funding and it would be -- it is in our best interest. You know, the Council, as I've said before, votes on U of A's. When we look at the budget, we're just looking at U of A's, we're not looking at details. These hearings allow for that opportunity.

> > RAY ORLANDO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: But anyone from the public should be able to open up the city's budget and understand what that is, and we have two very large initiatives. I'd like for us to work together with OMB. I believe that both of these initiatives deserve their own U of A.

RAY ORLANDO: We are happy to work with you at OMB and anyone else on these, absolutely. As you know, you know, the Mayor is a big supporter of budget transparency as you are, and we look forward to talking about that and getting you the information you and the public need.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Perfect. So and I just want to reiterate that's for both UPK 'cause of its size and after school.

RAY ORLANDO: Got it.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay. When we talk about the middle school expansion, when I spoke to commissioner over at DYCD, I asked about why a percentage of the after school dollars was remaining at the DOE budget, and he clarified that it was because of district 75. I

want to make sure that that is the case, and if that is the case, how many school and students do you anticipate this funding will serve and the cost of providing after school programs for district 75 schools? Is there a difference in cost of actual programming and will there be an increase or an evadable budget line for transportation, because clearly district 75 students also have a transportation component, and many of them have to travel within the borough and great distance. So now we're adding hours and will those buses be there to pick up those young people after school?

Yes, and we're working on that, and again, one of the issues is that we want to ensure that whatever services are offered to regular education students are offered across the board and because of the busing issue and many of them, you know, handicaps, they're included in all the after school programs and their schools, but they need different kinds of buses and transportation to get home.

Wanted to know the cost. Did we see this at a different cost? And also again, there's already challenges with getting those schools and the students home from after school, from 2:45 to 3:00. Now we may be dismissing students at a much later hour, so are we going to also be observant to ensure that young people get back to their homes?

MATHLEEN GRIMM: We, of the 145 million, seven million is for district 75 spots, 3,690. There's an additional five million slots at not public school locations, and there is money in the budget for busing of special ed. children who require that busing will be on time so that we can make sure that we get everyone home timely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. I want to talk about budget risk and relation to universal pre-k. According to the state budget legislation, the state will allocate UPK funding as a formula aid on a per pupil basis, its 7,000 dollars per student, being taught by a teacher without certification in early

2.4

childhood education, and 10,000 dollars per student being taught by a teacher with certification. The DOB and OMB--DOE and OMB are still waiting for information from the state regarding how UPK spending will be reimbursed as highlighted in the City Council's preliminary budget response. It is still unclear if the state funding will cover all the costs associated with UPK expansion such as Administrative costs and DOE spending on quality improvements. Based on the State's per student reimbursement formula and your enrollment projections for next year, how much of the state's full day UPK revenue stream do you expect to claim?

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Hi.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Hi. If you could just state your name for the record.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Sophia Pappas is our Specialist on all things UPK.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay. So you should have all answers, Sophia.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Sure. So while we're still awaiting some guidance from the state in

looking at the bill and our plans for next
year, we think that we will have sufficient
resources to cover our costs. Certain things
are not verified yet, such as the certification
of all the teachers in our system, but based on
preliminary estimates and what we'll need to
provide oversight and support, we think that we
would have sufficient resources.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Can you provide to this committee the breakdown of certified teachers and non-certified teachers once you get to that level so that we have a better understanding?

[cross-talk]

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Sure, of course. One of the things that we did was invest in a workforce registry called Aspire. That's already established, but that we are making sure that our teachers in our community-based centers can use to input information that will be verified with the state certification database.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Now, the award to the actual UPK location, would that vary

based on certification? So will some schools, if they're not certified get 7,000 as opposed to other--or other organizations that may have all certified and everyone gets 10,000 match? Or will the city--or will this potentially create a deficit for us and we're going to handle that or will it be passed on to the non-profit or the partner organizations that we partner with?

that we should be okay with that. I mean, when we look at cost going directly to programs versus what we do centrally, that's one thing, and then what's reimbursed from the state per child is another. Some costs go directly to the programs, but we also use some of what we get per child for things like coaching to go to sites or our summer institute that we'll be having. So it's important to look at how the full picture shakes out, and once we have all those details we'll provide them.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Yes, if you can provide that for us, I would really appreciate. I wish you could get it before June

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30<sup>th</sup>, that'd be awesome. How do you expect to achieve pay parody among DOE early childhood education teachers or DOE contracted and CBECC's and the ACS contract at the CBECC's?

SOPHIA PAPPAS: This issue of making sure we have resources to attract and retain the best talent has been at the forefront of our planning from the beginning and so we're really pleased as a key part of this plan to be able to offer in the community-based centers salaries for depending on--higher salaries depending on where the teacher is in their certification. So what we've said is that there is an opportunity in community-based centers for teachers who have a bachelor's and an initial certification to make 44,000 and then for teachers who have a bachelor's and a professional certification, meaning they also have a master's degree to make 50,000. And so in the context of the rate per child going to this site, that's factored in, and so on the DOE contract it said when we're negotiating rates with sites, this was part of the conversation. It -- we are encouraging it and we

really want as many programs to participate as possible. It is an opt-in, but we are doing everything we can to encourage that and we're very clear that if programs get these resources, that they have to be used for this specific reason to go towards these salaries.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Have you--in your research, have you seen it challenging for programs in the outer borough or in low income areas to find the certified teachers to provide the services and how are you bridging that gap?

SOPHIA PAPPAS: We haven't done an extensive analysis to draw correlations like that, but what we are doing is a lot to make sure that any program that may have challenges getting certified teachers or teachers who are close to certification has support for doing that. So for example, we are--our DOE system for helping principals find teachers, we have extended for community-based providers to do so. So, there's a new teacher finder tool where basically an applicant can submit their resume and indicate that they would like to be considered by a community-based center, and

then the community-based directors can essentially get a password to be able to access those resumes. And we are see--continue to see record numbers of early childhood certified teachers applying through that system and we will be having hiring events in the coming months so that candidates can connect with community-based providers, and that'll go for various communities, but certainly if we are seeing that there are struggling cases we will make sure to do targeted outreach.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Right. I think that that's something that we definitely have to measure. It's a challenge in our--within the DOE it's a challenge, right? So if we can continue the conversation, I'm sure the chair will also follow up. And speaking to the Chair just now, one of the challenges that we face in particular I have one of the most amongst, I'm sure there's others, but most overpopulated elementary schools, some say in the country which is PS 19 or Roosevelt Avenue. And all of our other schools are equally overpopulated.

The reality is that we don't have space within

the school to have UPK seats, and then we don't--we equally don't have strong nonprofits that are ready with their infrastructure to receive these UPK seats. So what happens in the districts like mine in our communities where we hear this great program and where parents line up at five in the morning to try to get the few UPK seats that are existing? What are the options? What are we telling those parents at this present time where their neighbor may be in the city or in downtown Brooklyn already has their seat?

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Thank you for that.

So, first, I think, you know, we are definitely committed to making sure that families in all communities have really high quality convenient options and some of that will happen over the course of the next two years, but we know that there are families with four year olds right now who are starting in September and we want to be responsive to that. So first I will say that while there are certain communities that have significant space challenges, we are optimistic that between our district school,

community-based center applicants and soon will have some charters offering pre-k, that we will be able to provide a lot of options that weren't there before, and it may not be exactly around the corner, but we also want to highlight for parents where there may be options, for example, that are convenient for where they work or where they may have a relative or someone else who can pick up the child. So we want to do everything we can to provide close options for families this year and where that there are challenges, really connect with families through maybe many of you and--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing] Right.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: through local organizations to make it clear where there may be additional options, and the other things is that we are being very proactive about finding additional spaces even for this September. So we ran an additional spring solicitation for the community-based centers. We had info sessions that were very well attended centrally

2.4

here, but we also had--I think we had one in Jackson Heights, which is an area where we have some constraints and we're excited to see the proposals that come out of that, and then we continue to look in our district schools. We'll be making additional decisions in the coming weeks, and was thrilled to have the chancellor reach out to principals. I think it was just last week.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: One on one.

know, if they had missed the initial deadline to reach out to us, and to also take another look in their buildings and maybe they didn't think they had available space, but we can make it work. So I totally acknowledge that there are certain challenges, but I think between our pro-active approach to finding available locations across these different settings and our outreach to families to help them find convenient options, we'll be making a lot of key strides even for this September.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: And I have to say that in all the years that I've been in

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education, I have never seen an effort like this one. We have gone across the board to tell you that I am now doing spots in Spanish. I'm meeting with Spanish press. Every meeting I go to, I say, if you know anything. I mean, Danny has actually said he's going to look for spaces. Yesterday at the CEC, every member of the CEC was going to go out there and scout and this is in Corona where there's a tremendous need. So I don't think we've ever actively looked to really offer a program where we want to succeed so badly, and we want everyone's help in getting it done. So I think this is going to really be an initiative that's going to change the nature of what school looks like and what it looks like particularly for parents and young kids.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Well, clearly, you know, this is something that we all want you to succeed at, and we're doing this to get it. So we went up to Albany and advocated and it wasn't fun and it was cold, and we were all there and you know, it was something that we want to claim and we want you to succeed at

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this. So every step of the way, when you think you're doing enough with us, do a little bit more. So right when you're like, "Oh, we've engaged them ten times." You got to do it five more times, because that's going to make the difference in our neighborhoods, and it's going to make a difference. And you know, I'm just sitting here. I know that you said looking at areas around your job as options. I have an eight month old, getting in the car is a production with him, so I can imagine for families that have to travel with a four-yearold on the train to get to the job, and yes, it might be an option for some, but creative thinking is welcomed. Any time that we can keep our young little babies close to home I think is really important. I have some more questions, but I will have them in the second round. I want to give our Co-Chair the opportunity to ask his questions. Chair Dromm? CHAIRPERSON DROMM: A little technical question there. I generally swear people in, and it's probably going to be that

policy. We didn't do that. So I'm going to ask

you to raise your right hand. And do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I do.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Alright, now that--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]

I hope that didn't mean that everything before that was--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing]
Listen, it's on TV, how could we not?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I just want to be consistent so that I--people can say I've done that with everybody who I've asked to give testimony. Alright. So we--I just want to go to the question about the 300 million for UPK. We had originally asked for 340 million if I'm not mistaken, going up to the State. Do we feel confident that the 300 million's going to be sufficient to cover what it is that we were originally planning to do and if not, where do we see the extra funding coming from?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SOPHIA PAPPAS: We feel confident in it. You know, there were costs, certain costs related to start up for the 340, and as we look more closely at the programs coming before us in terms of facility readiness, we were able to shift those costs around.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So one of the things that I've been thinking about, and maybe I shouldn't think aloud in a hearing like this, but I'm going to do a little bit of that right now, is that there should be in my opinion some type of a cost saving to the Administration for Children Services, because now that we're providing UPK seats, it would alleviate them of some of the burden of providing their UPK Early Learn seats, etcetera, so forth and so on. I think that the figure for that is about 96 million dollars, and I'm wondering if in fact a savings couldn't be had from that that would help us with our UPK implementation and then furthermore and follow up to what my co-chair was talking about, the issue of pay parody and the issue of pay parody not only as it relates to the four-year-old teachers, but in some of

these day care centers and early childhood centers where there are three year olds and two year olds, etcetera, having a situation where the teacher in a four year old is making 40 to 45,000, close to the director actually. I think the director salaries are about 50, and then teachers in the three year old or the two year old rooms making a lot less than that. I don't even know really what it is at this point. I think we need to try to find some way to have savings in order to be able to support those other teachers in the building. And one way that I was looking at that savings might be from ACS. Have you thought about that at all?

about the savings part. That may be a question for ACS, but in terms of how we're partnering with them and what the additional resources are going towards there is the having resources for the higher salaries. There's also a lot of additional professional development. We want to make sure whether you're in an ACS--whether you're in a UPK program within an ACS site or a

DOE, that you get comparable professional
development.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sophia, I want to--when I was in daycare prior to being in the Department of Ed, I was a certified New York State licensed teacher, and actually one of the reasons why I switched over to DOE was the salary concern. So I see it as potentially a problem with the teachers, because you're going to have different levels of pay basically for doing the same thing in the same building. And so that's one reason why I'm asking that perhaps the Department can work with the Administration for Children Services on this issue, because I do see that there potentially could be some savings in the money that they are getting for essentially services that the DOE will eventually take over.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think that's something we certainly will look into, but the reality is up until now we haven't, but there's always been disparity. You know, I remember years ago, high school teachers made different money than elementary school teachers. So

there's always been an element of that, but I do think that this is something that everybody wants to be part of one way or the other, but I can get back to you on that one.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So let me go onto the issue then of guidance counselors. In the preliminary hearings that we had, we did say that it would be a priority for the DOE moving forward to hire a guidance counselor. Is there any provision in the exec budget now to provide for those additional guidance counselors?

asked schools to look at hiring more guidance counselors. We've actually started. We have now an additional 89 guidance counselors in schools than we had just four months ago. So that certainly would be something that would be within the existing school's budget. Many principals chose for a number of reasons not to do that hiring. We are strictly—we're actually actively encouraging them to go back and look at hiring guidance counselors. And we have over 4,000 presently in the system, but

certainly middle schools in particular and depending on neighborhoods, we certainly encourage them to do more. Keep in mind also that many schools also are using social workers as well as guidance counselors. I was in a school the other day where they have two guidance counselors, two social workers. So, a lot of it is how schools use their individual budgets.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is there--so it's based on this, on the school budget itself rather than the overall?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Networks and clusters. In your testimony today you spoke a little bit about savings in school budgets from various other means. It's something that I've been thinking about and talking with you about as well. Is there any potential savings in the number, the head count for the networks and the clusters? Basically, I see a lot of it as being duplicative of work. You have a superintendent. You have a network leader. You have a cluster leader. Can you give me some

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thoughts on that and is there any potential savings moving forward regarding that?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: We presently have a strategic planning committee that's head by Josh Wallack, and he is busy interviewing and actually talking to the community at large about what are the services that have been most helpful in the last few years and what are the services that may be redundant, and I expect that a lot of our summer work is going to be around how we look at all those answers and rethink how we are opening in September and beyond. We have always said from the very beginning that we are committed to looking at the system where one phone call is going to get you the answer. And we're still thinking that way, but in the system this large we have to go very carefully and judiciously to ensure that all services are provided on an ongoing basis. So yes, stay tuned.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. The Chair, my Co-Chair also asked about units of appropriation. In the past it was clear to us how the funding is allocated within units of

procreation. I believe that they're in 401 and 402, but we would like to have that separated out a little bit more so that we can see the exact amount of money that's being spent for the clusters and the networks. Is that a possibility moving forward as well?

RAY ORLANDO: As I said to Julissa earlier, we would be happy to continue that discussion with you and OMB and get you and the public the information that you need.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So the budget for clusters and networks is about 100 million dollars total for the schools?

RAY ORLANDO: Yeah, that sounds about okay to me. I'm trying to find it in my thing. I can't find it. I'm sorry. Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's a huge savings if we were to either reconfigure how that looks or how those people distribute it within the system as well. About 100 million dollars, okay.

RAY ORLANDO: Yeah, it's about 100 million dollars, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright. Let me go to the UFT contract. In the new contract, the 37 minutes that is currently being used by teachers for the small group work with the students will now be able to be used for meetings with parents, and the Chancellor highlighted that in her testimony. My concern is Academic Intervention Services, or AIS services. How do you view that happening under this new contract?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Okay, well several ways. Number one, we have reinstituted our intervention department at TWEED [sic] which has not existed in the last I guess nine years, since I was Deputy Chancellor. We expect that department to be very actively working with schools throughout the system. Secondly, we have very clearly stated that, and if you think about it Danny, 37 and a half minutes, by the time you bring the kids down really whittles down to 20 minutes. So we thought that amount of time didn't necessarily help all the kids, and not all the teachers were involved. So we have clearly stated that as part of

family engagement, you know, 40 minutes. If part of it is showing a parent how to work with their child, perfectly acceptable. It makes sense. If you're talking about professional development, having teachers work with young, with some children showing other teachers how an intervention works, makes--again, I'm going to go back to use the word common sense. We need to use common sense in how we interpret all these and how it serves the needs of kids. We're also saying that a lot of the money that principals may have spent on professional development, they can now target more specifically for students who need extra support and pay the teachers procession for those purposes. So I think there's lots of ways to do this, but we're just trying to untie principal's hands when things were told in mandate service this is what you must do, we're saying these are the choices you have in how you do this.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So the, if the teacher--if the principals were to go the route

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of the procession, it's going to impact their school budgets, though, correct?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: But that's what the procession money's all about. You can use procession for a variety of reasons, and I think using it in a targeted way to help the kids who most need it. It certainly as a principal, it was one of the things that I held very dear. There are lots of ways also to do scheduling different. We're actually doing a lot of workshops for principals on how you can use what we call more flexible scheduling, so the teachers who may be intervention teachers in the building can see kids before school starts or after school starts. There's a lot of things we can teach schools on how to work with the needlest kids.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues to ask questions as well, and then we'll come back.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. We will--a reminder to all my colleagues, we'll be on a five minute clock, and if needed, we'll do a three minute second round. We will now have

2 Council Member Rodriguez followed by Council
3 Member Gibson.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chair. As we say, you know, when you took this position that we are so proud that we have a educator in charge of the DOE, but now you know, like, we also know that you bring a new leadership, but also you inherit, you know, a lot of things that we're doing for so many decades, things that we can--a system that made some progress but also a system that was broke in some pieces. Like, when I look at the percentage of the students who are the ELL's, citywide, we're saying that there's 14 percent of student who are ELL citywide. In my district that number goes to 60 percent. How and therefore, it also increase the number of student who needs some level of intervention, intervention that we know that in a good school that they have all the services and they have all the resources, that we have all the services in place. So what I--how are we treating--how will we treating district-district six that is not 14 percent, that is

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2 you know a 60 percent or higher the number of
3 student who are ELL in population?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I think there are many answers to this. Number one, is first of all to acknowledge that there is a situation that needs to be faced. I think for many years we have marginalized ELL students and special needs students. It was almost like they didn't exist. The departments didn't exist, support services for them didn't exist, and I think just saying, look this is a situation we have to deal with. Ta the degree that we have also looked at our compliance issues in these two areas, and said we are going to be in compliance and we're going to provide these services I think is also a whole other approach. I think what is extremely important to understand is that we now know that there are certain strategies that work. I went to a school the other day where all new immigrants to this country and the principal said he had to use special monies to buy the materials because the materials that would help these students were not part of the approved

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

list of materials. So we are looking carefully at what are some of the materials that would best serve ELL kids and we're letting all the principals know through my principals notes that these are things that are now able to be purchased to help these students. The other thing is that when we looked at parent, the 40 minutes, we're actually asking all principals in the month of September and into mid-October to make a priority of meeting with newly immigrant families, that 40 minutes, and also parents who children have IEPs. In the past, many of the IEPs did not get looked at until sometime mid-year. So we're keeping that in mind. We're looking at training and we're also looking at how do we make sure that the people who are the heads of these schools understand what the needs of those kids are and how to best approach it.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And then I have a--one suggestion because of the timing, is encouraging the DOE to continue expanding the same program to our elementary school. We know that any--the top school in the city or

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any part of the nation, they are investing a lot of resources. So when we talk about inequality, also sometimes student of color and female, and the girl are the one that we are also leaving behind when it comes to STEM. So I would like to encourage the DOE to continue putting more attention. It's because of the timing. And my question is, one, and I appreciate what you're doing. Like, you know, I know that in my district, like we are creating new schools nine to 14, focus on HUB [sic] and technology is a great model. My question is-my next question is about one on the -- the percentage of student in New York City public schools committed suicide, especially among Latino. You know, last week at four years old [sic] in one of the best school in my district in Wale [sic] committed suicide. Last year, another one committed suicide, young Latina teenager, and Latina, they are leading the percentage of being committed--attempting to commit suicide and committed suicide. What are we doing the DOE together with Department of Health to be sure that the Latina -- all student,

but especially in the Latina leading the number, get the support they need, intervention they need so that we don't continue having that statistic. This year I haven't had a homicide. In my hall, police present. However, we had the first number of Latina girl 12 years old committing suicide in a school that is almost 100 percent sending the student well [sic], graduating from the high school and sending the student to go to college. So what are we doing to prevent?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: This is a big concern to me. I have to say that anytime we lose a child in New York City through anything, but particularly through their own hands.

Kathleen sends me the message and I get a little hysterical, 'cause it's something that's very sad for the family and for all of us. We have now put out a special guidance to all guidance counselor and to all principals citywide. We actually did a whole write up in Principal's Notes. We are very cognizant that this is an issue. It's unfortunately a societal

issue and we're looking at it. We're also making sure it's part of our teacher training.

with the BP [sic]. My last concern is that I know that my time went over. In the last--Mayor de Blasio committed that he will sit down with the unions and the company and the negotiate a good contract for the school drivers. What can we say that the school drivers have some hope that there's going to be a EEP in place in the negotiation that should be taking place in June?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: I can't answer that fully, Council Member. I can only say that that topic is under discussion with City Hall.

 $\label{eq:council_member_rodriguez:} \mbox{Thank}$  you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Next up is Council Member Vanessa Gibson from the Bronx.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and Chairwoman

Ferreras. Good morning and thank you

Chancellor. Deputy Chancellor thank you for

being here, for your testimony. Chancellor, I certainly thank you very much. You have been refreshing for the Bronx, for school district nine, for High Bridge. I know we complain a lot, but we appreciate the attention that's been given by you, and the numerous visits that you have made to the Bronx. It's certainly a great day for me as a member. Being that I represent the Bronx and Upper Manhattan as Council Member does, we have the highest rates of asthma, and in my schools, in school district nine, we have a lot of community-based health centers, and I guess my question is how are we working to address a lot of those health disparities? What are we doing around healthcare delivery and what are we doing to recognize that beyond education, there's a huge social health component that we must continue to address in our school system?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: And I'm so glad you're not whining about District Nine. It's really been wonderful to visit some of the schools there.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Yes, and please keep coming back.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: As you know, in the cab--excuse me. In the Capital plan we funded additional school based mental health clinics, which we're in the process of creating right now. And we're working very closely with many of the city hospitals who have really been terrific in terms of actually coming in and providing these services. Obviously that's not in every school, but we are focused on also to training mostly within the school buildings themselves to help principals and teachers cope with situations. The provision of health services will also be addressed as we move forward with our community school effort, because we find when talking to principals one of the biggest things they say is that I try to get help very often, whether it's physical health or mental health services for children, they spend all day on the phone. So we're working. It's a citywide effort, not just the Department of Education effort on these

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

community schools to make sure children have
access.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Another thing I should say is that the Mayor put together a taskforce called the Children's Cabinet under Deputy Mayor Buery, and for the first time there's a person from every single department in New York City around the table discussing how do we work together. And we also--and it goes back to the issue of suicide and mental health, all of it. So it's not that each of us have to deal with this individually, because some of the problems in the past have been that we don't talk to each other and we don't share resources. So one of the things hopefully that will come out of this group will be that in a couple of months we'll be able to say these are the things we're all doing together, these are the services. Why shouldn't I be able to call up one of the other Commissioners? And I'm, you know, working with two of them closely right now, and say, "What are you doing that you can help me do my job better?" do think that kind of Children's Cabinet that

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're part of is going to be the answer to a lot of these and it started unfortunately as a result of some child abuse issues, but we need to all be on the same page in how we deal with all children's issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right. I also share Council Member Rodriguez's sentiments on the suicide prevention, in addition focusing on bullying, which happens every day across our school system. I wear a button today of a young nine year old named Justin who took his own life on May 1st in my school district in the Bronx, and we recognize that so many young people face every day struggles in their communities and sometimes they are private victims struggling in our society. So I served as an Assembly Member, and we always fought for SAPIS [sic] funding for SAPIS workers, which I know we have, but what are we doing to increase funding or is there funding for more SAPIS workers? Bullying, cyberbullying, suicide prevention, which is key, and then the other thing I wanted to focus on in the Bronx in District Nine, we had a high

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I thank you again.

distinction of students that were arrested and suspended, and we've been working an incredible amount of time with Chief Conroy and School Safety. They've been very engaging and responsive to drive those numbers down, but on the back end of it, the policy conversation that has to happen around some of the regulations that almost create this prison-like environment in our schools with metal detectors, conflict mediation, and what are we doing to prevent these cases from getting to the local precinct? And the other thing I wanted to mention while I have a little bit of time is the 23 million for arts and education, incredible, but what are we doing about certified art teachers in our school system?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, first of all, I think in terms of bullying, one of the places to start is with more parent education, and one of the recommendations we're making for how principals should be using some of the time with parents in September is to do more workshops on how to find, how to think about

whether your child is being bullied, how do you 3 talk to your child about these issues. Certainly would fit into all the other issues 4 you're talking. So how does a guidance 5 counselor do a workshop for parents and bring 6 some of those discussion up to levels. I think 8 the other thing that we're very conscious of is that we--it's time to look at our discipline 9 10 code, and we are looking at it, and we have had 11 meetings throughout the city with Brian Conroy 12 and Ben Geraldi [sp?] and actually Donna 13 Lieberman. You name it, we've met with them. 14 And we will be coming out with draft proposal that we certainly want the public to attend 15 hearings on and give us feedback on. So this is 16 17 an ongoing process and that will be coming up shortly. As far as--18

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Art teachers.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: arts, the arts programs that we're putting on in arts teachers, they will be cert--there will be more certified arts teachers being hired. We also will be looking at arts programs that people

can apply for that fit different needs. For example, one of the approaches will be, how do we get an elementary school and the middle school to apply together so that we will have a stream of a specific art form that goes from it's possible, K to eight, because you know it's--you're going to have a much better core-band experience, any of those experiences, and also encouraging more middle schools to put theater arts and drama in their programs, which I think add a whole dimension [sic]. We also know the arts are a great way for kids to feel good about themselves and bring parents to school. So we really want to encourage that aspect of the arts as well as other aspects.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much, and as Chair for Public Safety I certainly look forward to working with you and Chief Conroy and the entire School Safety Division. Thank you so much. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Gibson. We will now have Council

Member Cornegy followed by Council Member

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Rosenthal. We've been joined by Council Member 3 Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good morning, Chancellor. Good morning, Deputy Chancellor. So I sat here trying to determine these two important points, how I was going to get them out in five minutes, so I'm going to do the best I can and talk very quickly. As we're celebrating the landmark Brown versus Education there's still some inequity that manifests itself in my district and the inequity between having districts in Brooklyn that have an enormanent [sic] amount of gifted and talented programs, and having my district that has zero, is there a commitment in this budget to expand gifted and talented programs to areas where they could certainly use them and give a competitive advantage to those students who are prepared to accept that challenge?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, first of all, we have just reinstituted a department to work with gifted and talented principals and teachers. I think we're looking at the whole

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue citywide. My major concern is to make sure that every local school has a component of good teaching that can really deal with a wide variety of student ability. So this is something that I think is very important, and that I think in all parts of the city that's going to be one of our emphasis.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you. And at the preliminary budget hearing Chairman Dromm asked some questions about the audible alarms bill which I introduced in March. hearing on that bill has graciously been scheduled by the Chair for June 12th, and I'm looking forward to hearing detail testimony from the Department of Education, parents and concerned advocates at that time. My goal is obviously to see the bill passed and that critical safety implemented before the start of the next school year and the influx of new students into the universal pre-k system. With that goal in mind, I just ask--I'd like to ask a couple of questions related to the audible alarms bill and the impact on the DOE budget today. One of the questions I have is do

2 school's principals have a safety budget as
3 individual principals?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KATHLEEN GRIMM: No, anything like that would be handled centrally. Schools maintain their own safety budgets.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: However, every principal is expected to develop a safety plan and it's something that is actually signed off by the SLT's in every school. So it has to be reviewed and it has to fit the needs of that particular school. I will tell you that one of the major initiatives out of an unfortunate situation is that we are now having a new retraining. We have a new Director, Commissioner at the Police Academy and he's re-training school safety officers as are all the others, and to look at schools differently in terms of door exits and we're looking at video cameras in schools. So there's a lot of things looking at--are being put in place to ensure student safety.

## COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY:

Understanding protocol and that this is a hearing around the budget, I'm going to reserve

some of my questions for the hearing that I've graciously been given by the Chair, because I think that it's more important to delve deeply into the bill in its entirety at that time, but I definitely wanted to know about whether or not schools had any latitude themselves to institute safety measures, and thank you for clarifying that for me.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Cornegy. Now we will have

Council Member Rosenthal, and we've been joined

by Council Member Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you Chair Ferreras and thank you Commissioner,
Deputy Commissioner and all the others. One thing I want to just mention very quickly is how much I appreciate the schools in my district appreciate your moving away from the public rating system. That's something that really hindered some of our schools that I think are proud C schools, and should not, you know, the letter grade should not deter anyone from coming to our schools. I think there are schools that have been unfairly and unjustly

hindered by that. I want to ask some just very 3 technical questions. I'm wondering if you know for UPK internally the demand and the capacity 4 for each council district. The reason I ask is 5 because in my council district, for example, we 6 don't have any -- it's my understanding that 8 there's no public school capacity for additional pre-k seats, and I haven't heard 9 10 about any movement with CBO's. So I'm just 11 wondering where you are with my district.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: So I'm not familiar exactly where your district is--

## COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] Upper West Side of Manhattan.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Okay. So, but more broadly, we currently are doing a lot to make allocation decisions and so we allocated our first wave in the public schools it was about 4,200 back in April.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, and we got nothing.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: Okay. So just to--and then we are allocating several thousand more

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 starting this Friday because we're going to the
3 May panel meeting.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great.

SOPHIA PAPPAS: We'll be allocating more in June. So because we're basically in the middle of all of those allocations, we don't have--

## COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] So as soon as you have an allocation. I'm on a time clock. As soon as you have an allocation for my district, could you send me a note?

SOPHIA PAPPAS: We'll work on getting you that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, I really appreciate that. I'm wondering--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] I think we'll send it out to all the city council members.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I would imagine everyone's interested. So the after school RFP's--oh, do you have a sense of timing of when we can expect that for all of our districts?

```
1
                                                      103
    COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION
                CHANCELLOR FARINA: Really quickly.
3
                SOPHIA PAPPAS: We should get back--
    yeah
 4
5
                [cross-talk]
                SOPHIA PAPPAS: information needs to
6
    be in the coming month.
7
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
8
                                             I'm
    sorry? By the end of June?
9
10
                SOPHIA PAPPAS: We'll get back to you
    on that because there are multiple
11
12
    solicitations, so we need to figure out.
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, and
14
    I understand that. I guess what I'm saying on
15
    behalf of the Council is I'm not interested in
    seeing this in September. I'm interested in
16
17
    seeing where you are now as a baseline, like
    today. And then I'm interested in seeing your
18
19
    update, and then after that wave I'm interested
    in seeing that update. I really don't want to--
20
21
    I'm not interested in seeing the end of the day
    because you guys are going to succeed. So the
22
    end of the day--
23
```

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing]

25 | Well, we'll share--

## COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] is going to be--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] We'll share what we have.

very much. So if I could get Robert Cornegy's minute and half, so I helped with our--no?

Okay. The responses to the DYCD responses to the after school RFPs that they issue, will you be reviewing those at all? Because I know you basically moved that money over to them and I raised a couple of concerns that I think DOE would have about the programs. Are you going to play a role in reviewing?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So my
main concern and the concern again in the upper
west side is that the local after school
programs are going to lose out to citywide
programs that won't know our district, and we
have as, you know I always talk about pockets
of poverty, pockets of need where there are
specific providers that really know our kids,

2 and I'm sure that's true for everyone's
3 district.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, first of all, principals made decisions based on the needs of their specific schools, and they interviewed people. They did not accept everyone who applied. They, I think, were extremely careful. I had several principals who called me specifically to see what we knew. So I do think the decision was made school-based.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, great.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: To a large degree. So and we encourage principals to do that. We did not package a program and say you must take this. It was really done that way, and so I'm very, you know, convinced that this is going to work, and I think as we move forward we'll have lessons learned and one of the things we're going to do in the fall is have a fair going forward so that the people who are particularly proud of what they've done and the pieces they put together can share with other people.

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry. 3 I just had to watch that happen. I'm going to ask my last question and if Ray could get back 4 to me with the answers. I'm interested--Public 5 Advocate James asked about outside consultants 6 in central office and we never really heard an answer to that question. So if you could just 8 let us know the number and dollar amount for 9 10 yearend '14 and what your project number and dollar amount is for '15, and then also your 11 12 headcount, your central office headcount for 13 yearend '14 and your projected number for '15, 14 and then on Medicaid reimbursement, if you could separate out speech therapy and 15 transportation billing, what your yearend 16 number was for '14 and your projected number 17 for '15. Thank you. 18 19 RAY ORLANDO: You bet. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Council Member 20 Chin? 21 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, 22 Chair. Thank you Chancellor, Deputy Chancellor 23 24 and everyone. It's great to see you, and it's

such a breath of fresh air with an educator at

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the helm. And I really love hearing from you about, you know, the objective, the budget is really to get more money back into the school, and that is really critical. On the UPK issue, some of the community providers are meeting with us and letting us know that they are really concerned about the reimbursement rate to see whether it is feasible for them to provide the program and also they're very concerned about losing their teachers to Department of Education, to the school because of the salary. Even though you're saying that it should be comparable, but a lot of parents, especially immigrant parents want to do UPK in the CBO because it's a longer extended day. So how are you working with the CBO to make sure that they are willing to take on this program? CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think to some

degree competition is healthy. It makes
everybody work a little harder, and I think in
this particular area we're willing to work with
CBO's. I think in the past, CBO's did not work
with the DOE, not because they didn't want to,
but we're providing the training for their

2.4

teachers, which is a cost factor. We're also giving support which is a cost factor, and we're giving them a curriculum that they can follow, which, you know, is at no cost to them. So I think, you know, we used to call money real money and in kind services. I think they're getting a lot of in kind service to provide better services. So our ability to put them front and center to offer services, I think, is really good. And there are enough children in New York City, I think, to give everybody what they need.

mean, we're willing to work with you and we are also encouraging more CBO to apply and we send RFP to them and encourage them to really participate. So if you can keep us informed of the progress and see how, you know, we could be helpful, because we want to make sure the kids get the education, and also because part of my district, especially lower Manhattan is overcrowded and I'm sure you heard from Speaker Silber [sic] his overcrowding taskforce, and I know that work with him to make sure that we do

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

have some pre-k opening. By going forward, I mean, we are very concerned about class, you know, class size getting bigger to accommodate the pre-k and also not having the pre-k in specific part of the community, because the schools there are overcrowded. One of the other question that I want to ask is that looking at the opportunity of really expand the universal pre-k, and in your testimony you're talking about parent engagement. It is such a positive first step to look at, you know, engaging the parents, having more parent/teacher conference, having more meetings with parent. I love what you talked about, you know, meeting with parents who have kids with IEP or the English language learner. It's such a critical step to engage parents and I think there's also an opportunity to really look at parents who needs English language learners themselves and adult literacy to really give them the tools that they can help their kids. And I know that the Mayor has base lined some money for adult literacy and also is moving the right direction, but still not enough. So going

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

forward, how do you see the DOE really taking a lead in terms of adult literacy without parents and also the kids who are overage, but definitely on the parent's side?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think for the parent's side, one of the things that we're going to be putting out in the next Principal's Notes is a program where free of charge, principals and districts or even networks can apply to have ESL training for parents free. There's going to be a--there's a department that we have which is not additional budgets; it's in there where we going to be listing the phone numbers people can call if they wanted to have ESL training for their parents, and I particularly want to do it for parents of children pre-k to K, because they're the ones who tend to come to school more often, and I think if they can get this training while they're in school, we can do the training right in the schools, and I think this is going to be very, very beneficial.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So that's not coming out of the school's budget?

2	CHANCELLOR FARINA: No, this is an
3	already an existing department within the DOE
4	that might not be as fullyhave been as fully
5	utilized as it could be. So I was very excited
6	to discover it myself, and we're going to be
7	putting it out there for schools to use.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Do you have a
9	total budget amount on that?
10	CHANCELLOR FARINA: Iit is a
11	department. I don't have a total budget, per
12	say, but I can get that for you.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yeah, I think
14	that would be good to look at to really all the
15	money that is put into
16	CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] It
17	actually has its own superintendency.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Great.
19	CHANCELLOR FARINA: So I will
20	definitely take care of it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Alright, thank
22	you very much. Thank you, Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Chin. We will now have Council

24

Member Miller followed by Council Member
Treyger.

Madam Chair and Co-Chair, and it's so good to see you again, Chancellor. And so I have gone and I've returned. I'm sure my colleagues asked so many great questions already, and Margaret as usual, as I walked in she was talking about the adult ed, and which was something that obviously is important to us as well, and so I'm glad to hear that there is that investment. But I know it was asked, but I just for my clarification, I want to talk a little bit about or hear you talk about the Employee Protection Provision and the savings on the rebidding of the school bus contracts.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Is that you?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Thank you. Well, as you know, right now we have a very, very large RFB [sic] that is out, and those responses are not due until August 1st. And the--I really don't have any light to shed on the EPP at this point. The EPP is not in those RFB's, but that is under discussion with City Hall right now.

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: That is 3 great to hear, and I'm not going to stay on that any longer. I would just quote one of the 4 parents by saying that that job of caring for 5 6 disabled children should not be a low wage job, and without the employee protection provision, that'll happen. So in my last three minutes I'd 8 like to talk about something closer to home and 9 that is the co-locations of schools and IS 59 10 and that we in District 29 was a little 11 12 disappointed when we had to reschedule and were 13 unable to have our hearing on the school co-14 location, which we did ourselves and myself and Chairman Dromm and other Council Members from 15 Southeast Queens, and the community came out 16 and overwhelmingly with overwhelmingly 17 disapproved of the co-location of success at IS 18 59, and but I would--what I would like to say 19 is I know that I've had some conversation with 20 the Administration on both sides and there was 21 willingness from myself as well as others to 22 find another location or to deal with that 23 24 situation in another way, and was not given

that opportunity, and so I'm disheartened to

see that there was other options available that was taken by other communities and we're still left holding the bag of success at IS 59. So I would hope that we are--there's still opportunities for us to address that issue moving forward.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I would say that Kathleen Grimm has done an unbelievable job of visiting any location that asks for support from the campus squad, which is what we call it, to make sure that the space that is shared is done in an equitable manner and in a way that makes sense to everybody. So I'm--she'll put it on her calendar. You can speak to her afterwards and we'll make sure we visit.

1:23, I do want to say that while there is a small amount of additional space with the programs that the principal has put in place and some of the activities that we take the infrastructure to full capacity and does not allow for that program, those programs to exist and the real learning to take place in that building when we stretch our capacity in that

way. So while on paper, you know, we may have additional seats when we take those rooms back, we lose science labs and other things, so.

redoing the blueprints. We have a co-location committee and some of this is, as mentioned before, the past, and we're trying to move forward to the future, but we will certainly come and visit. And no loss of science labs, guaranteed.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Council Member Miller. We will have Council
Member Treyger followed by Council Member
Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Welcome,
Chancellor. Thank you very much. Does the DOE
have a plan to expedite repairs to damaged fire
alarms and boilers in Sandy, in all Sandy
impacted schools, and what is the time table to
do so?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Yes, we have 33 schools that currently still have temporary boilers. Eight of those schools we have bids out, so we'll be addressing those very shortly,

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and bids for all 33 schools will be out by the end of this calendar year.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And could I have a listing of those school? I mean, 'cause many of them are in my district, and I represent--

KATHLEEN GRIMM: [interposing] Many are, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Coney Island's as a matter of fact, I had the Mayor's Senior Advisor on Sandy recovery, Bill Goldstein visiting your PS 188, which is a community learning school, and they were mentioning how warm it is, and they can't regulate the heat because of the temporary boiler, and it is very uncomfortable there. So I would really appreciate a listing of all the schools and exact time frame because Chancellor, as I read your book in class, I remember the importance you mentioned of environment, and those things, first of all, they are very uncomfortable inside the schools, and they have an impact on instruction. They're also major community eyesores. And so that is--

2 I strongly urge the DOE to expedite this as 3 fast as possible.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Council

Member, I just want to let you know that

Tuesday, June 3<sup>rd</sup> we'll have a capital

conversation, so you can also bring that up at

one o'clock's hearing on Tuesday.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I certainly will. Thank you. Thank you, Chair. Just to get clarity once and for all, is the budget freeze over to hire new teachers and new guidance counselors? Especially in light of the addition of thousands of children in the UPK program.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: There are certain categories where the freeze will be lifted within the next two weeks, guidance counselors, certainly one of them are teachers as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Right, and I heard your testimony before, Chancellor, about how principals do have the authority to hire guidance counselors, but we know historically this term empowerment was, in my view, a mirage because principals really align their budgets

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to address progress reports and those report cards, and so when they said that they were given flexibility, in reality it was a mirage. It was all about making sure that they were aligning every dollar to meet the issues raised in any--or needs of a progress report. And I would like for you to comment on the future of progress reports in our school system.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, first of all, there will be no letter grades. Secondly, we are in the midst of redoing the quality review, which I think is actually a better format, and one of the major changes in the quality review is we now have a category which says including the arts, which was not there in the past. So that means when a principal is evaluated that will be one of the things he'll be evaluated on. There are other things in the quality review which we're certainly instructing principals to be very careful about. We'll also be reviewing schools on a more regular basis. It won't be seven years before you're reviewed. But I do think that the message is clearly out there that schools will

be evaluated as a whole by how much support they give kids, and certainly that's a 3 conversation. Two weeks ago we had an all-day 4 meeting at Brooklyn Tech and we had close to 5 1,000 principals show up. And that, I gather, 6 was not true in the past. So you have principals coming to hear what this 8 Administration is advocating for, and of 9 10 course, we advocated for the arts. We advocated for quidance counselors. We advocated for all 11 12 the things that we consider priorities, and a 13 lot of principals thanked us for putting that 14 front and center. So I do think the message is getting out there and I look forward to having 15 a system that is definitely student friendly 16 17 and parent friendly.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you.

Thank you, Chancellor. Chancellor, do you

believe that superintendents have enough

resources to adequately support all the schools

within their jurisdiction?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think that, you know, it depends what the interpretation of support is. I think we have been particularly

surprised, I would say, by how much people are able to cover it considering, you know, what they're doing. We have received a half a million dollar grant from the Wallace

Foundation to spend this summer on working with superintendents in New York City, and being able to set an agenda for the future, what their responsibilities might be and how they might support schools, and we're looking forward to as part of that training to be able to ensure that for the first time every that there will be a universal message to superintendents about what's expected of them.

might just 30 extra seconds, very briefly.

There's a difference, Chancellor, as you know,

I was a teacher, between covering and learning

and teaching. So as—and I appreciate you

account about how much they have to cover, but

support, I think we know, is to make sure that

they're adequately there, visible, mentorship

modeling, you know, all those things. I do hear

feedback that they are stretched thin, and that

is something that I would hope that we can

bolster. The last thing I just want to say very briefly. It was my understanding of the previous state mandate with regards to the teacher evaluations that was passed down from Governor Cuomo by the previous Administration that 60 percent teacher evaluations were based on observations, 20 percent state exams, 20 percent local assessments. In the past, many schools absolve, you know, they did not come up with local assessments, so 40 percent became the state assessment. Are there any plans to change that? Will there be local assessments, and how much flexibilities will local schools have in shaping those local assessments?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: We're in constant communication with Commissioner King. Deputy Chancellor Phillip Wineberg [sp?] talks to him at least once a week, and we have put a lot of suggestions on the table. I think the new UFT contract is giving us an opportunity to look at what we consider the most important aspects of teaching by going from 22 components of teaching to eight so that principals can more carefully focus their professional development,

and teachers can hone their craft. You can't do

2 things well the first year that you're a

4 principal--

## COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER:

[interposing] Thank you.

2.4

CHANCELLOR FARINA: and a teacher.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Treyger. We will now have

Council Member Cumbo followed by Council Member

Deutsch.

Again, always so good to see you and thank you to our Co-Chairs. I wanted to start.

Specifically, I was at the Brooklyn Tech meeting and was very excited to see you there and the throngs of energy from all of the principals there, and Brooklyn Tech is also my Alma Mater and it's also in my district, and I've had conversations with Council Member Dromm about this as well, but have a lot of concerns in regards to the diversity of our specialized high schools, and so there has been a lot of discussion in terms of changing the test, eliminating the test, fortifying the test

with essays. Also an idea that I'm excited about would be the opportunity for each of the council districts, let's say hypothetically to have the opportunity to have the best performing on each of those tests to participate in the ability to attend one of the specialized high schools. So I wanted to talk about the Administration's plan or approach to very seriously diversifying so that students such as myself would have the opportunity to attend one of these incredible specialized high schools.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: That is certainly something we're exploring. We have actually called a committee of all the principals, of all the specialized schools in New York City to discuss what might be some of the other ways we could approach this. One of the things we're actually doing is also putting in place more after school support for middle school kids who are in the range of being able to get into these schools, Brooklyn Tech for example has started a discovery program that the--

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]
3 Correct.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: the teachers,
yes, in the high school are actually working
with middle school kids to sort of get them
ready but also prepare them for that. We have a
dream program that also does that for kids in
other parts of the city. This is definitely on
our radar and we're meeting on a regular basis
and I hope to be able to have more information
the next time.

funding supporting this initiative so that this level of after school programming, whether it comes through UPK or other middle school initiatives so that—because for me to get into Brooklyn Tech, I had to do a dual Kaplan and Princeton review, simultaneously. My parents put money into both because it was so important to them. Will there be resources that are currently allocated in this budget in order to have a substantial turnaround in terms of how students are admitted?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: The answer is yes, the money is in the budget, already has existed in the budget for the last two or three years, and there's also additional money that's being put in there because we're doing one of the things that when we did our research, we found that one of the biggest needs was or success rates is in schools that have algebra programs. So we actually are having an algebra institute this summer.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: For schools that may not have algebra certified teachers or trained that we can actually--that can actually come to summer programs to learn to do that.

So, the kids will have that advantage.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I appreciate that and just want to add one of those things. Those are great programs for those young people who express the desire to want to do that, but somehow it's reaching out so that those young people that haven't gained the maturity to know that this is something that they need to do, somehow some sort of mandatory or mandation

[sic] of it needs to happen in that way, and that might be a made up word. The second one is in regards to sex education. So as Chair of the Women's Issues Committee under Chancellor Walcott, he was able to mandate a semester of sex education on the middle school as well as on the high school level, but the challenge has been when speaking to affiliates that that hasn't really been mandated, excuse me, evaluated in terms of its effectiveness. Has it been mandated? Are people actually--are schools providing this curriculum on the middle school because our young people are making very serious decisions that are often very detrimental to their educational career because they don't have that value of sex education in the schools.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: We couldn't--I couldn't agree with you more. There is a mandatory component of our--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]

Enforcement was the word I was looking for yes.

Go ahead.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

at how well that is being provided in the schools. We have done several things to be able to monitor that, and that is to enhance our ability to sort of watch the programming that all the schools have. In addition, we run a special professional development to train teachers for this, and we have a recommended curriculum which includes this component which we actually will give to the schools, to the teachers or the other staff people who come and take the professional development.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Is there funding in the budget to support this mandation [sic], my new word?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: I like that word.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Is there

funding in the budget to support this--

KATHLEEN GRIMM: [interposing] There is money in the budget to monitor this mandation.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

Thank you. I'm going to see it in Webster's

25 | next year.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Cumbo. We will now have Council

Member Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon, Chancellor. I have a school in my district, Sheep's Head High School that's being phased out and this all started from the previous administration and I found out about it because I had meetings and I met certain parents from the school, and I happened to meet the principal. What is, number one, is how many schools across the city are being phased out and how are the Council Members in those districts being briefed on what schools are being phased out and why they're being phased out, and also if we could take a second or third or fourth look to see if we can make those schools succeed?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, first of all, just to let you know, we're not closing any schools this year at all, and we are very carefully looking at schools that are struggling. We'll be coming out with a plan soon around that. The ones that are phased out

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are generally already too far in the process to resuscitate, but we're certainly encouraging teachers and principals to think if there's another way they want to start a small school. One of the things we're going to be offering to you is a whole thing on CTE schools, what they look like, what they really are, what they're really not. So for people who want to reinvent themselves and work with some of those programs, we certainly encourage them. We have actually visited some of the phase out schools and one of the things we're trying to do is ensure that the kids have the best possible education, especially in high school when they have proms and senior trips and all, so they don't lose those things when they're in phase out schools, but in terms of stopping that process from going forward in most cases already too far into the process.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Well, I would like to ask the Chancellor, I visited the Sheep's Head High School already four times since I got elected, and I cannot go back to the children that it's too late in the game,

but I wanted to see if the chancellor can come down and if we could speak to the principals and the parents and the children as well, and if we could get them back. Those children are very special and I want to do everything in my power and the Administration's power to see if we could get them back on board. So I would like to ask you--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing]
Well, I'm happy to visit. I visited other
schools in the same situation, and the best
promise I can make you that this is not going
to happen under my watch. Not the Sheep's Head
bay [sic], but going forward.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay. So we're going to start the second round, which is the three minute round. I wanted to ask specifically, earlier this morning I had a meeting with some business leaders from our city and actually was hosted over at NASDAQ, and in the conversation which was obviously about our city's finances what came up was our STEM research and our STEM partnerships, and I know that the Administration has for many years

we've been working with the urban advantage 3 program in our wonderful partner at the American Museum of Natural History and the incredible impact that that makes on young 5 6 people. So I have two questions. We know the program works. It changes lives. Why hasn't the Administration base lined it? The Council has 8 always put in the 2.5 million dollars 9 10 necessary. Also, the Administration has really been forward thinking in partnering with CUNY 11 12 at 18.9 million dollars to do STEM research. 13 How can we ensure that our young people are 14 ready when they go into CUNY and other organizations to be able to do the STEM, that 15 the STEM that we're preparing them for is the 16 17 accurate and up to par so that we're training our young people for the jobs that are out 18 there, and not training them on a system that 19 20 may be antiquated? And as we all know, 21 technology moves at the rate of our IPhones, right, every time you take a new one out. An 22 example of this where it seems to be working, 23 2.4 we had an executive at this meeting from Jet Blue and he spoke of his partnership with 25

Aviation High School. Are there more opportunities where we can see more industry partnering at a very young age with our young people to have the experience through internships? So my urban advantage question, our opportunities, and yes, we're partnering with higher education on STEM. Are our children going to be ready to step into those new roles? 

CHANCELLOR FARINA: That in two minutes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Well, no you can take as--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] Let me start with one thing at a time. The STEM program is actually alive and well. We now have a Director of STEM. At Tweed [sic], Linda Curtis Bay, and she's leading this initiative and she is really well-known throughout the city for her work in this effort. We are also aligning ourselves and have asked the support of Kathy Whyle [sp?] in Partnership for New York City who actually put the CO's together. And one of the things we're working to do is to

2 see and actually that's part of the meeting
3 that we're having this Sat--this Friday, to--

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]
That's actually who I was meeting with this
morning.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Oh, okay. one of the things that we ask is to make the CTE, which many are STEM based, more meaningful is to ask CEO's in related themed schools to partner with our themed schools. So if your school, for example, is media and advertising, is there a CO who will kind of adopt that school? And not just adopt for advice, but also provide internships going forward in that area, and Kathy and I have met several times on this issue. I spoke to CO's, you know, at her behest and explained what we're trying to do. I think one of the situations we came up against is that many people still think that career technical and engineering schools are vocational schools, and they're anything but that. They are real serious math. P-Tech is a serious math, science curriculum and it requires kids who have high abilities be able

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to get themselves into CUNY and other colleges. So I think the first thing is to really get up front and center and be able to present to people including yourselves what do we mean by CTE, and then translating it to parents. When I go out and talk to parents many parents still think CTE's are inferior schools and it's quite the opposite. You know, we have urban assembly all of a sudden opening a lot more CTE schools and because there's a real need for kids to be occupation ready, but occupation ready doesn't mean that's not college ready as well. So I think that's important. I think aviation is probably a prime example of a relationship with a company and a school. I was there recently and I was blown away. I mean, those kids are there 'til six o'clock at night. They go in on weekends. It's a really very strong community and I think he said 99.9 percent of the kids have jobs when they leave that schools. That's what we'd love all our CTE schools to be able to do. I think in terms of Urban Advantage, I was the Godmother of Urban Advantage with Marissa McDonald ten years ago. I think that's

something we'll explore further, but certainly it's a concern that to the degree possible that schools also fund their relationships with the Museum of Natural History to some degree, but it's something on our agenda to keep investigating.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Well, and this is something that I'm going to bring up to Dean Fuleihan, and as we begin our negotiations. I think Urban Advantage as the Godmother, again another example of it works. And then also equally is MOUSE [sic] and that program and the 275 that we would love for it to be base lined, and then we could think about enhancements from the Council perspective, but this is a program that makes sense. I'm now going to pass it over to my co-chair Council Member--Chair Dromm.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Thank you again Madam Chair. So I just have a few questions to follow up. The first one is on Medicaid and the executive budget includes 67 million in Medicaid revenue. This is a 70 million dollar reduction from the preliminary budget projections, and so can you give us or

provide us with an updated break down of the anticipated revenue by Medicaid claiming by each category transportation, occupational therapy, physical therapy, speech therapy, contract schools and charter schools?

RAY ORLANDO: I can give you some of that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

what I can give you. Let's see. Okay. So OT, about 29 million is the projection for fiscal year—these are all projections for fiscal year 15, okay? Twenty—nine for OT, about 15 for PT, about three for speech language, and I'm sure you'll want to follow up on that, about four for charters, and the balance for easy track.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, on the speech therapy--

RAY ORLANDO: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Where do we stand with that with the UFT? I didn't see it in the contract, and what's going on with that?

RAY ORLANDO: We are continuing to work with them on the SLPs and we are hopeful

that this is the fiscal year, in fact, when we manage to land the agreement and start collecting the money for the over 100,000, you know, speech language services that we provide each year and those related services. We're hopeful.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: SLPs are?

RAY ORLANDO: I'm sorry, speech
language--sorry, pathologists. Thank you.
That's--we need the--we need the license

2.4

number.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It's a part of ed speak [sic] I wasn't even all that familiar with.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So just for a follow up, how much moneys would that total that we're leaving on the--but the state-
RAY ORLANDO: It's tens of millions of dollars.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: I'm sorry?

RAY ORLANDO: It'd be probably tens

of millions of dollars. I don't have a-
CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]

Of course this committee's going to want the

2 specific number to that, so you can get back to us.

you.

RAY ORLANDO: I'd be happy to look into whether we have an idea of x times y equals z, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay, thank

9 RAY ORLANDO: Happy to look into it,
10 yep.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, so middle school quality initiative, I don't believe that was addressed in the previous questions in regard to urban advantage. How much funding is included in the DOE's executive budget for middle school quality initiative in fiscal 2015?

RAY ORLANDO: I'm looking.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: We're actually expanding MSQI [sic]. I can't tell you exactly, but it's been a pretty successful program and we have a new director coming into manage it who's a for--yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you're going to expand that into the CBO after school programming?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: No, we're going to expand into more schools

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, and is the--are you looking at that as a model for the after school programs?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: To some degree, if principals want to include that in their after school. It's a school by school decision. It is pretty much in the schools that it's in. The ones I've observed pretty much integrated into the school day, and I think because of the way it's done it's probably better off there, but we certainly wouldn't exclude it from after school. The principals chose to do that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Custodial operations carried out by the DOE and the contracted workers, it appears that the contracted workers actually perform the same work for the--for more pay than the DOE custodians do, and what does the DOE consider

2 to be the prevailing wage for custodians, and
3 also, when does the contract expire?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: The contract expired a long time ago. They were probably, or they probably missed the last round when everything closed down on contract negotiations. It's okay.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So the issue of pay parody is--remains an issue? Can you address that?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Let me say back to you what I think you're asking me. With the custodians, there's no issue of prevailing wage. Recently an issue came up with the 32 BJ employees. They are not our employees, and that issue has not been resolved.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So that's because--can you explain further why that has not been resolved?

21 KATHLEEN GRIMM: Because--do you 22 know? No, but I'll get back to you.

23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright. So let's 24 follow up on that after this hearing.

25 | Alternatives to suspension, it's a discussion

```
141
```

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

2 | we've had outside of hearings. It's an issue of

3 | major importance. Is there anything in the

4 | budget that's going to help to address this

5 | moving forward? Restorative discipline

6 programs, collaboration with UFT, etcetera, so

7 | forth and so on, are there anything--is there

8 anything that you're looking at in regard to

9 | that?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: We're actually looking at everything in regard to that. And if you give me about another two weeks I think you'll have much fuller answers.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is it going to be something that's going to cost us there as well? Is that?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Not really. It's a change of thinking.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. High stakes testing, how much do we spend on those tests? I think you mentioned it briefly before in your testimony and you said there would be some savings in testing.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, the savings came in creating our own testing for the end of

summer promotion policy. We always paid extra for a test. We're creating those assessments in house, so that's the money we saved. In terms of the statewide testing, right now it's a mandate. So do we have a price on that? We'll get you the cost on that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Class size, huge issue especially in districts like the Chair Ferreras said and in my district as well. I'm concerned that the school budgets because they're flat are not going to address the issue of class size again and we're going to continue to have similar class sizes to this year or maybe worse. What is your anticipated class size moving forward, how are you going to address that issue?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think it varies so much from one part of the city to the other. It has a lot to do with space. It has a lot to do with other issues that are school specific. So I know what the contract says is the recommended class size, and I think that to the extent possible, principals make decisions based on where they think within a school the

```
143
```

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

greatest need is. I know I'm not answering your question directly, but because I don't think there was one answer that really fits all that. And I think one of the things when we start meeting with principals, which we'll be doing shortly and thinking about how they use their budgets that there's a lot of things they need to think about a little differently because it's not--you know, it's possible in some places to get extra support in certain classrooms. I know as a principal I made some really interesting decisions that was good for my school, but it isn't something I would necessarily say would be good for all schools, so.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So probably in the capital hearing we're going to talk a little bit more about that as well, so I'll have some follow up questions on that at that time.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And just to add, that conversation, you know, I'd like to have a better understanding of the relationships between the Department of

Building and our schools and certificate of occupancies, because many of our schools have overcrowding, and I know the principals are trying to do their best in accommodating all these students, but I got to believe that our certificate of occupancies can be a challenge in many of these cases. So we'll follow up on the following capital hearing.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, and then Junior ROTC--

KATHLEEN GRIMM: [interposing] Could I say--Chair, could I say one thing?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes, go ahead.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: We'll be happy to discuss to class size at the capital hearing, but class size is much bigger and broader than the capital plan. That's one very small aspect of it. It has to do with programming. It has to do with the 2.5 billion dollars that New York State owes us. It has to do with a lot more than capital. So--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I agree with you, but I also believe that part of the reason for the issues with class size have

2 | to do with the construction of schools and

3 | that's why I said I would defer it over there,

4 but if you want to talk more about that now,

5 | that's fine too.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I think, I mean, the latest statistic I saw was, you know, an average of 25 in most schools. That doesn't mean that in some schools in Queens you don't have 30, but--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] And actually, Chancellor, it's--for my whole career--

CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: it was always 34 and in some cases, 38.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: And I think those are the other issues to look at because it's also do they get enough money to do part time teachers in classes that are oversized. So, you know, definitely give me a chance to look into that because that is in the past what happened, but it also had to do with UFT contracts. So we'll look into that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So the last question that I have and then I think we have one more person after that is in regard to the Junior ROT--oh, we have a few more after that, okay. Not off the hook yet. How much federal funding does the DOE receive for the Junior ROTC program and how many students does this serve?

have all the answers for you. We have 14 Junior ROTC programs citywide with over 40 instructors. These are UFT members. I do not have the amount of money that we are paying them, but I can easily get that for you. We at DOE cover half the salaries and the military covers the over half. We'd actually have had meetings with the military who are oppressed as we are, so I don't anticipate any immediate expansion in this program.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I have philosophical problems with the Junior ROTC, and the fact that they're even in our schools.

I think that, you know, probably outside of the realm of the budget per say, although I know

there's a budget impact on it, but I always
wonder why these programs are in many schools
where we have youth of color and immigrants and
we prepare them for a war machine basically,
and I find that to be problematic and that's
why I wanted to just touch upon this in this
hearing today.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: That's fine and I would appreciate an opportunity to take you out to see one or two of these programs and meet some of these children.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I've actually seen the one at Francis Lewis [sp?], and you know, there's an awful big recruitment effort then to join the ROTC program and then even into the armed forces of the country.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And I have deep concerns about the way in which they go about their recruiting and it just--it contributes to what I see as a war machine and I have ethical problems with that about preparing our students in that way to participate in that type of a program.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: That's a different topic and you should share with us your concerns, because we have rules around recruitment.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I know that they don't do it on the campus, but by virtue of them being there it's sending a message to those kids about the armed forces and their recruitment policies and then what it means for them moving forward into college and then thereafter. So, let me leave it at that, because this is more of a budget discussion, but I just needed to state that. Yes, the Chair is going to cut me off here if I continue to go on that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Chair Dromm. We now a five minute clock for two
members who have joined us. I know we were part
of the second round. Council Member Levin
followed by Council Member Barron, and part of
the three minute second round will be led by
Council Member Miller, Treyger and Cumbo and
then we will be done.

1 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, 3 Madam Chair. Hi, Chancellor, nice to see you. Hi, Deputy Chancellor. So I'm going to ask 4 about two topics that I ask about frequently, 5 but in the context of the executive budget. 6 The first one is around the charter budget as proposed in the executive budget. Now we see a-8 -there's a 246 million dollar increase from the 9 10 previous year's budget. So that's a year over year increase of, I don't know, 18 percent or 11 12 something like that. And that is a 98 million 13 dollar increase from our preliminary budget, 14 and so I brought this up at our preliminary budget hearing. I bring it up at every 15 preliminary budget and executive budget hearing 16 17 that every year this happens over and over again where there's a tremendous increase from 18 preliminary to executive and I know why that 19 20 happens, because the preliminary budget does 21 not take into account newly cited charter schools, and I understand that the Department 22 of Education is mandated to fund every pupil 23 that is in a charter school and so that the 2.4

funding follows the child. I understand that,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

but my concern is that it does not give us a clear picture at the preliminary budget of what our actual expense is going to be in the coming fiscal year, and so what I would ask--I know that it's happened this year and there's not really much we could do about that, but in next year's preliminary budget, if we could include what an estimate of what--and we know how many schools are cited. We do know that how many schools got -- we know how many schools have been approved, charters been approved and how many are likely to be cited. If we can get a more accurate picture in the preliminary budget I think that that's better for the public so that we understand what the fiscal impact is going to be, because as I said in the preliminary budget hearing, a year over year increase of 20 percent in any budget line raises concerns and this is kind of a, you know, obviously a hot button issue, but that type of increase is alarming and if we as a city understood what that means in terms of a budgetary perspective, I think that that's one way to look at it. At least that's the way that I look at it because

as you said Chancellor before, every increase in one budget line kind of comes out of another one. So that would be my recommendation for next year.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I would say that, you know, we get smarter every year about how we do this and that's certainly something we're going to put on the agenda and we now have extra support in Ray Orlando, so that's something he's going to be committed to, right Ray? Absolutely.

RAY ORLANDO: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

RAY ORLANDO: What she said.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Thank you, and I'd like to work with you on that moving forward. My other issue that I've focused on a lot is breakfast in schools, and this year we're bottom of the pack again. We are rank last out of 63 major school districts in the country in terms of the percentage of children who qualify for free or reduced lunch who are eating breakfast in the schools. So we have a participation rate of 34.8 percent this

year according to the Food Research and Action Center annual report. That's 63 out of 63 school districts. You know, Boise, Idaho has a 92.4 percent participation rate. Newark, New Jersey, 91.2 and so on and so forth. We're down there with the Elgin's school district in Illinois, the Jeff Co [sic] public school system in Colorado, Oakland in California, Miami Dade in Florida. Again, we're 63<sup>rd</sup> out of 63, so I've mentioned it before. I've sponsored resolutions on this matter. If we could work together, this is an area that could really have an impact. Speaking to my aunt who is a--she came out of retirement to be a school nurse in Bound Brook, New Jersey and they just started in that school district breakfast in the classroom this year, and so more kids are eating breakfast in schools, and she said that from a school nurses' perspective it's had a major impact, and so it's something I would like to work with you on.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I think this is an issue that I really believe has not

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

been advertised enough. All schools provide
free breakfast.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I don't think we've done a good enough job of advertising that to parents. So I do think that one of the things we're going to do starting, I guess in June when we start meeting with principals is really making a much bigger deal about it. They can also grab and go breakfast. It doesn't have to be that they have to eat in a specific place, but I do think in working together we can make this much more public, and asking principals like within the first day of school now that we're going to have all this extra time with parents to send a letter home reinforcing, because I know a lot of parents that I spoke to didn't even know that that was a possibility. And I think encouraging parents to have breakfast in the cafeteria means they have to get the kids to school on time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Which would not be a bad thing. So we already have 350 schools

that provide free lunch, you know, in the classrooms, but I would love to see this more as a community activity, and having it in the cafeteria where kids can come early and sit with other kids. So yes, and I think we can work together to make this more public.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, and there's various models that have been successful in other school districts, and so you see--and this report details, you know, there are certain school districts that have had tremendous increases in participation of, you know, 28 percent or 35 percent, or one school district had 40 something percent increase in a single year, and it's--they're using a combination of models and seeing what works the best. Obviously, New York is the largest school district in the country, and while that presents a particular logistical challenge, it also presents a major opportunity in terms of getting nutritious food to school to children. So thank you very much, Chancellor.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member Levin. We will now have Council

Member Barron followed by Council Member Miller

on our three minute clock.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam Chair and to Chairman Dromm as well. I want to thank the panel for coming and I know you've been here for quite some time, but we all know that education is certainly critical and we appreciate the time that you're taking to talk with us. I'm not going to ask questions that may have possibly been asked before I came. I'll just review the record, and should I have other questions, I'd like to be able to submit them for consideration. But you do have in part of your testimony or in part of the description of the funding is the Close to Home program, and I wanted to know how many students are a part of that Close to Home Program and where in fact they are located.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: This is a special program where in lieu of sending children up state to be incarcerated, they are placed with CBOs in residential facilities here in the city

to provide you.

and they go to some of our special schools, the Passages. And if you need the locations and the names of those schools we can provide them to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, I'd like to have that. Approximately how many students are serviced by this Close to Home program?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: That too I'll have

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay.

 $\label{eq:KATHLEEN GRIMM: I think we'll have to provide to you. \\$ 

part also of the concern of the Department of Education is the services, the additional services that are required by students who are in homeless shelters. We have a oversaturation of shelters in East New York and there's another one that just opened about three weeks ago located directly across the street from the school, but I've been told the families do not have to notify the school that they are in fact a family that is temporary shelter, but we know that that brings a real burden on the school to

be able to provide services in terms of even just attendance because of requirements that parents may have to go to certain appointments and they're afraid they won't be back in time. So they don't send their children to school for that particular day. So what kind of support services can we give to those schools where we know there are families that are in temporary shelters and then where we suspect based on other factors that we see that there are families in shelter and in additional need in terms of academic support and other social services.

things, and this is not to say the situation is perfect. There's always more that can be done, but in some shelters we actually have staff that are there to assist children with their homework. We, of course, transport any child who is in a homeless shelter to its original school. It doesn't have to change schools, even though their home base may change. Do you want to--

21

22

23

24

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I'm actually 3 meeting with Commissioner Taylor to see how we might better coordinate. We know there's 151 4 5 shelters that now shelter children and one of 6 the issues that was brought up is that none of them have books. So we're actually working with other agencies to see we can make sure that 8 there are books in these particular shelters. 9 10 We also are looking to see how to the degree possible we have uninterrupted education for 11 12 parents who wish to send their kids to the 13 schools they started off in. So I would say one 14 of the things that we're trying to do, which I don't think has happened in the past, closer 15 coordination between the different 16 commissioners and the DOE to ensure that these 17 kids either don't feel any stigma, but to the 18 degree possible that their education continues 19 20 uninterrupted.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And then in terms of the TCU's, perhaps that's a question that would come later when we do the capital, but certainly you can expect that there are going to be lots of questions about that.

There's a school, a high school in my district that has 12 portables, TCU's, and there's no way that they could accommodate even one additional classroom. They're all filled with classroom students, all 12 of them, and there is no space at all in the building because it is not a traditional building. So we certainly have a grave issue there in terms of the removal and closing of those TCU's and where those students would go. So please come prepared. I'll tell you know, it's the East New York Family Academy.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member. I just want to acknowledge that
the Chancellor has to leave at a hard 1:30, so
we're having follow up questions of three
minutes. If you can get your questions out so
that she can respond and we can get--and we
also have the Parks Department following this
hearing, so we don't want to leave them
waiting. We will now have Council Member Miller

2 followed by Council Member Treyger followed by 3 Council Member Cumbo on a three minute clock.

afternoon again, Chancellor. I'm going to put my questions out there and you can kind of answer them, and one, you could probably—you're probably have to get back on. And that first one is about facility maintenance or custodial budget. We've heard a lot in the past about funds, custodians running out of funds, teachers, parents and others having to bring supplies in in order to maintain the buildings. Have the custodial services budget for custodial services been increased?

KATHLEEN GRIMM: There is actually a small increase in the custodial budget. I think it goes from 603 to 607, 604, 607, something like that, but very often teachers in schools will ask families to bring in various things that the teacher and the children will be using in the classroom. No one is ever asked to bring in custodial equipment, and if you know of a situation where that's true, I'd like to know about it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I've been told that staff has brought in things like toilet papers and things and other supplies.

KATHLEEN GRIMM: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Over the years, so we would hate for that to be the case and/or that it's impacted by some of the contracts that have been farmed out. So something that we want to take a look at, make sure that they have adequate resources, because we have to have clean schools. And then in terms of the CTE's, I know--you guys were out to campus magnet and we're being co-located for the fifth time, and what I would like to say about that, that CTE has never really been a real conversation, alternative conversation and we brought it to the attention to the past DOE. We thought it was a magnificent idea for the young men and women to be trained for 21st century jobs, and so certainly something that I'd like to have further conversation about and in terms of the real investment, hard numbers that's being made, because certainly is something that our community would look forward

to having. And then finally, I would like to know the hard numbers for the start up implementation first year for Success Academy at IS 59. Okay. Thank you.

in the interest of time, I'll just the one question for now and then we could follow up afterwards. And I could stress this not just from a Council Member's point of view, but from a former teacher's point of view. Teacher's Choice, what is your--where do we stand with Teacher's Choice? I cannot stress to you how critical that has been and I'm sure I don't have to convince you of how critical Teacher's Choice has been, but where do we stand as far as the budget and making sure that we provide teachers with the resources to provide their classrooms and their students?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, I gather the council funds this. I'll be honest with you, it's been a number of years since I've heard that teachers go back to need that, but I'm happy to review it. As far as I know we have asked principals to ensure that teachers

- 2 | have what they need. There's a whole new way of
- 3 looking at things now. There's a lot more
- 4 technology in schools. There's a lot more
- 5 | things that people now use Smart Boards.
- 6 There's a whole different way of teaching in
- 7 | many places, but I'll look into that.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Yeah, and
- 10 | there's certain pieces you have to buy to
- 11 | supplement--
- 12 | CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing]
- 13 | Right.
- 14 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: that
- 15 | technology. That comes out of teacher's
- 16 personal budgets, so I will say that--
- 17 CHANCELLOR FARINA: [interposing] I
- 18 remember that well.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: It is
- 20 crucial that we really support this for
- 21 | educators and for classrooms.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you
- 23 | Council Member Treyger. Council Member Cumbo?
- 24 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you,
- 25 and I will be brief. I'll just read my

questions out and of which in the time frame you can answer would be great. I know Council Member Gibson referred to this already, but I really wanted to get more information about it. Coming from an arts background, I wanted to know in terms of the arts piece, my questions go in series, how has the recruitment process gone thus far? Where are we in securing the teachers? Is there a track to get artists certified in order to become teachers? Are we reconfiguring how we determine space in schools that there is a specifically designated classroom for the arts so that way we make sure that the arts are not something that is a-something that can be done away with? And also wanted to talk about had there been any discussions around creating more of a culturally relevant curriculum so that it more expresses the view points, the histories and the cultures of all of the children in the New York City public schools system? That was just my brief question.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: In two minutes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: In two

3 | minutes.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Well, very quickly, once the contract is ratified we will proceed on the recruitment for the specific needs that we know we have. We are also looking at not only certified art teachers but art residencies and we have a lot of the art residencies that are tied exactly to what you're talking about, which is culturally. For example, I was just at a school where in third grade global studies, also in ninth grade and high school, they have hired residencies that are going to focus on the arts of China, Mexico and Africa, because that is the population of the kids in their school, and we're going to encourage a lot more of that. I think in terms of dedicated space, you know, it depends on the art form. In the new blue print, an art room is an art room is an art room, and it will be counted as such, but a lot of the art--I was just in a school in the Rock Aways where they want a dance floor, and that's something we're looking into. We're also looking to encourage

schools that are co-located, particularly high schools, to share art, certified art teachers so that one school may not have enough in their program, but three schools together will do it. So I think there's lots of ways to approach this and certainly we have a commitment from all our cultural institutions to also help us in terms of developing curriculum in the arts as well as exposing more of our kids to their services.

wanted to follow up, because Brooklyn and specifically my district in the Bronx were the two areas where that mandation was not happening, and want to make sure that in September that what was mandated is actually implemented. Will we be ready in September to have a fully rolled out arts and education program in every school, particularly in Brooklyn and the Bronx that were severely lacking in the previous Administration?

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I can't promise you every school. More schools than have it now, absolutely. And if you could be very

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION 167 specific about which parts of Brooklyn, because the ones, the schools I have been to and 3 certainly the Bronx, I have seen arts 4 everywhere. So if you give me specifics, I'll 5 be happy to follow up. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay. Thank 8 you very much. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you 9 10 Council Member Cumbo. Thank you Chancellor. 11 CHANCELLOR FARINA: Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And we may 13 have some follow up questions. 14 CHANCELLOR FARINA: By all means. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: If you can get 15

them to us in writing or response so that we can make them part of the budget negotiations.

CHANCELLOR FARINA: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: I'd appreciate

it. 20

16

17

18

19

21 CHANCELLOR FARINA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. 22

CHANCELLOR FARINA: I'm actually 23

leaving here smiling. Feels good.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Good, thank

you. We will take a five minute break before

we start our next portion with parks.

[break]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: We will now resume the City Council's hearing on the Mayor's executive budget for FY 2015. The Finance Committee has now been joined by the Committee on Parks and Recreation, Chaired by my colleague Council Member Mark Levine, to hear from the Department of Parks and Recreation. Before we hear from the Parks Department I will turn the mic over to my Cochair for a statement.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon everyone. I am Mark Levine. I'm Chair of the City Council's Parks and Recreation Committee. Want to welcome everyone to this joint hearing of both the Finance and Parks and Recreation Committees regarding the Fiscal 2015 executive budget for the Department of Parks and Recreation. I want to take a minute and go off script and extend the warmest of welcomes to our new

2 Commissioner, Commissioner Mitchell Silver.

Let's give a big round of applause for Mitchell Silver.

## [applause]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I can say I've already enjoyed working with you your first couple of weeks on the job and I tell you, I think your background for this is ideal as someone who has worked in parks in my district over the years and someone who understands urban planning from a professional perspective, you're going to help make sure we always integrate parks to the broader neighborhood. That's what makes for great parts and great neighborhoods, and I think you're going to be a really good advocate for the system. So, thank you. Today we're going to hear testimony from the Department of Parks and Recreation about their executive expense and capital budgets and general agency operations. Before we begin, I want to clearly state that I'm pleased that the proposed budget does not include any programs to eliminate the gaps, so-called PEGS [sic], unlike in past years. This means that all of

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the funding restorations made by this council in the last budget adoption are now base lined, including restorations for pools, playground associates, tree stump removals, the JTP program and seasonal workers, but despite these restorations and despite an increase in the total budget of about three percent in nominal terms, funding for our parks system as a portion of the total city budget remains far below historic levels and far below other major cities around the nation. As we discussed in our previous hearing, this budget shortfall has most seriously impacted parks in low and moderate income neighborhoods of New York City, areas which have not been able to compensate by raising significant amount of private funds. To begin to tackle the resulting parks inequity in our city, the council has called for 27.5 million dollars in additional funding in our response to the Administration's executive budget. These funds would allow the Parks Department to provide a much needed boost in staffing for neighborhood parks, specifically paying for gardeners, maintenance workers and

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PEP [sic] officers. My follow council colleagues and I are disappointed that these items were not included in the executive budget and we are committed to continue advocating for these increases. I would also like to see increased funding for other essential programs that we've discussed at recent hearings and that park users around the five boroughs have repeatedly asked to be funded at a higher level this year. This includes expanding playground associates, which offer educational, fitness and artistic programming, but currently reach only 130 out of the more than 1,000 playgrounds in the city. Likewise, we need to act decisively to protect the Green Thumb program, which supports approximately 300 school gardens and a similar number of community gardens. This program is under threat from cuts by the federal government and its critical that the city step in to make up the shortfall of these vital community green spaces. We also should increase funding to Partnership for Parks, which supports local friends of groups mobilizing volunteers and raising funds for

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighborhood parks. Empowering such groups in low and moderate income neighborhoods will be critical to closing the park equity gap. I also hope the Administration will fund tree pruning at a level that allows us to prune trees more frequently. Currently, the Department only has funds to prune trees once every ten years. Park trees are pruned even less frequently, except of course, for those in parks with well-funded conservancies. With an additional two million dollars, we can at least prune street trees at the recommended frequency of once every seven years. The Department's proposed capital budget for fiscal years 2014 to 2018 totals 2.6 billion, an increase of 78.8 million or 3.1 million since the preliminary--excuse me, 3.1 percent since the preliminary plan. numbers sound impressive, but they are just allocations. The actual amount spent on capital projects will likely be far less since the Parks Department has not had capacity in recent years to complete much more than half of its budget in capital projects in any given year. New Yorkers know this because even the smallest

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

capital parks projects can take three or four years to complete. In part, this is due to the fact that the Department's capital division is understaffed, considering the scale of the park system's capital needs. That's why the council has advocated for adding 55 new staff positions to this critical team. Unfortunately, the Administration's executive budget did not include funding for these positions, but we will continue pushing for this critical need in the coming weeks. The committee looks forward to hearing from Commissioner Silver on these issues as well as on other fiscal 2015 executive budget actions and their impact on the agency's ability to carry out its various missions, including parks maintenance, forestry, and recreational activities. And of course, we look forward to discussing with you, the members of the public and the leadership of the Parks Department how we can work towards the goal of creating a system that offers great neighborhood green spaces to every New Yorker, whether they live in a low, middle or upper income area. Without further ado, we're going

- 2 to hear in a moment from our Parks
  3 Commissioner. First I want to introduce my
  4 colleagues who are here including Council
- 5 Member Brad Lander from Brooklyn, Council
- 6 Member Andy Cohen from the Bronx, Council
- 7 Member Chaim Deutsch from Brooklyn, Council
- 8 Member Alan Maisel from Brooklyn and Council
- 9 Member Fernando Cabrera from the Bronx.
- 10 | Commissioner Silver, take it away.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, thank
you, Chair and good afternoon City Council
Finance Committee Chair Julissa Ferreras, City
Council Parks Committee Chair Mark Levine and
members of the council Finance and Parks
Committees. I am Mitchell Silver, the new Parks
Commissioner of New York City Department of
Parks and Recreation. It is a joy and a
pleasure to appear before you for the first
time today. I am joined here today by a number
of Parks Department Staff. With me on the
panel our First Deputy Commissioner Liam
Kavanagh and Deputy Commissioner for Community

Outreach Larry Scott Blackmon. Additionally,

I'm joined by key staff of our agency including

```
175
```

- 2 Deputy Commissioner Robert Garafola, Assistant
- 3 | Commissioner Michael Dockett, Assistant
- 4 | Commissioenr Alyssa Cobb Konon, Assistant
- 5 | Commissioner Arthur Pincus, Assistant
- 6 Commissioner David Stark, Manhattan Borough
- 7 Commissioner William Castro, Brooklyn Borough
- 8 | Commissioner Kevin Jeffry, Queens Borough
- 9 Commissioner Dorothy Lewandowski, Staten Island
- 10 | Borough Commissioner Adena Long. We have
- 11 | representing Capital, Jonna Carmona-Graf. We
- 12 | have Bram Gunther of Forestry and Horticulture,
- 13 | Charlette Hamamgian, Chief Management Services
- 14 and Nicholas Molinari, Chief of Planning and
- 15 Parks Land. I want to publicly thank the staff
- 16 of the agency for the work they've done to
- 17 date. Today marks my 16<sup>th</sup> day as Commissioner
- 18 of the agency and I'm learning a great deal. I
- 19 want you to know that while I may not be able
- 20 | to address every issue personally today, I look
- 21 | forward to meeting with you to better
- 22 | understand your concerns. Additionally, I look
- 23 forward to working with you to make our
- 24 districts and New York City parks better for
- 25 | all New Yorkers. I come to you by way of

Raleigh, North Carolina, where I served as 3 Chief Planner for the city. In Raleigh we built a government that was efficient, innovative and 4 most importantly responsive to the citizens and 5 the city. We resolved issues before they 6 became major problems. We cut down bureaucracy. 8 Those items were important to me in Raleigh and 9 they are equally important to the Mayor Bill de 10 Blasio and me. We will work to provide similar 11 experiences for the people of this city during 12 my tenure at Parks. Mayor de Blasio and I are 13 completely aligned as it relates to our goals 14 for the agency. We will work to continue to build a 21<sup>st</sup> century parks system using 15 innovation, transparency and technology to 16 improve efficiency. I have not waited. In my 17 first week I convened a Senior Management Team 18 to discuss my goals addressing the parks 19 20 capital process, that as a number of you had 21 already shared with me currently takes far too long. I share your view that when you fund a 22 project, you want it built quickly and our 23 24 review of the capital process is underway. As I've mentioned I believe in transparency and 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

understand that a number of you have asked for the ability to know where publicly funded capital projects stand. In an effort to improve communication during the capital process, we're going to create an online database where individuals can track the progress of projects. This database will be accessible to the public so they can keep abreast of park developments in their communities. While much of the capital process involves our partners in government, we will do our part to address this issue with a fresh approach, increasing communication through the capital journey. Park equity is important to Mayor de Blasio and is important to me as is to all of you. will work to address these concerns, ensuring that there is an equitable distribution of resources throughout our parks system. In ensuring an equitable distribution of resources, we wills specifically examine the allocation of parks maintenance, operations and programming. Parks are vehicles for healthy communities and I look to incorporate our many growing partners in our plans. As you know, a

healthy community also involves active 3 recreation, and I want to make certain that individuals are aware of and have access to our 4 recreational facilities where we provide 5 attractive indoor and outdoor programming that 6 is cutting edge and fun for our patrons. Resiliency is also an important element of our 8 plans and our parks and facilities must be 9 10 built to withstand current and future changes in our environment. I will share a few of our 11 12 resiliency highlights later in the testimony, 13 but as resiliency is important to the de Blasio 14 Administration, our agency will be on the forefront of resiliency efforts and continue to 15 push forward. As you can tell I have a fairly 16 ambitious agenda and I'm looking at initially 17 addressing a number of these issues as soon as 18 possible, understanding that a number of these 19 20 items require continued dialogue, I am most 21 interested in meeting with you in upcoming weeks in furthering these conversations. For 22 the purposes of today's hearing, I would now 23 like to take a moment and review elements of 2.4

the executive budget for fiscal year 2015.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FY 2015 executive budget provides for operating expenses of 392.3 million dollars. This represents an increase of 12 million over FY 2014 adopted budget of 380 million 0.3. the preliminary FY 2015 budget, seven positions were added at our parks the five shops, five borough shops office. This will allow for the expansion of our maintenance operations. I want to take this opportunity to thank Mayor Bill de Blasio and the City Council for ensuring that much of the Parks Department funding remains base lined. The guaranteeing of funding for our pools makes certain that all of our swimming facilities remain open for every New York City resident without the threat of shortening the season. The assurance of funding allows parks to plan for full staffing of its facilities rather than making contingency plans to operate our facilities on a ad hoc basis. I also want to thank the Mayor for ensuring that the fiscal 2015 executive budget preserves staffing to the parks department ranks which has allowed us to improve service and launch new initiatives.

Park and playground conditions as measured by

25

the Parks Inspection Program currently stand at 3 87 percent acceptable for overall condition and 91 percent acceptable for cleanliness, 4 exceeding the targets set in the Mayor's 5 management report. We continue to use 6 technology to maintain that standard as we 8 started issuing new mobile technology for the field staff as part of our OPS 21 initiative to 9 10 modernize daily parks operations. During fiscal year 14 we received additional allocations to 11 12 hire parks enforcement officers, which I 13 believe you now call PEP. The fiscal year 15 14 executive budget maintains its increase of PEP officers staffing level. PEP officers are often 15 the public face of parks and special peace 16 17 officer status. Their visible presence acts as both a deterrent to criminal activity and a 18 source of information, education and security 19 20 for park patrons. PEP officers and the 21 individuals of our urban park service immediately address unsafe conditions, 22 encourage park stewardship, provide equal 23 24 access to all park goers and develop new ways

to improve their service to the public.

```
181
```

COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to maintain the level of PEP officers that we now have, Parks is making great strides in increasing the safety of our parks. In terms of capital, throughout the last decade there's been over six billion in capital investments made to parks citywide. The fiscal year 2015 capital budget moved parks forward by providing 537.8 million in capital investments. Highlights of the FY 15 capital plan includes 12 million for the renovation of Columbus Park which is adjacent to Brooklyn Borough Hall, 12 million for the expansion of Starlight Park in the Bronx, and 82 million towards rehabilitation of park owned bridges. A major project underway in Washington Lighthouse link [sic] in Manhattan where three million has been allocated toward this project. The link will allow the public greater access to Manhattan waterfront greenway by providing a one mile connection at Dikeman [sic] street and continuing along the Hudson River. We're also excited to tell you that we began the renovation of the Lance Corporal Thomas P.

Noonan Playground on April 14th of this year.

When the renovation is complete, Noonan 3 Playground will have an upgraded facility including a new spray shower and play 4 equipment. Parks is also taking steps to 5 perform construction at Noonan and stages so 6 they can remain open for the entire summer season. I would like to this opportunity to 8 publicly thank Deputy Commissioner Therese 9 10 Braddick and the staff at the Capital Vision for their work to date. First Deputy 11 12 Commissioner Kavanagh reviewed many of our 13 agencies highlights at the preliminary budget 14 hearing in March, but I thought I would take a few minutes to share a few items that have 15 transpired since that time. In terms of 16 17 resiliency, as we have mentioned previously we have continued to work to repair our beaches in 18 the aftermath of super storm Sandy. 19 20 recently, the Sand Replenishment Project we 21 have worked to deliver in partnership with the Army Corps of Engineers began with the 3.5 22 million cubic yards of sand being pumped onto 23 Rock Away Beach. In Brooklyn, another 600,000 24 cubic yards of sand were pumped onto Coney 25

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Island. One of our largest recovery projects has been the rebuilding of the boardwalk at Rock Away. Parks has worked tirelessly with the community to make the boardwalk a feature that protects their homes as well as provide access and recreational opportunities for all. is committed to spending 274 million towards a new Rock Away boardwalk that is stronger, more resilient and integrated to a multi-layer system of coastal protection. The Parks Department's commitment to resilience in the aftermath of super storm Sandy is evident with the rebuilding of the Midland Beach Promenade Plaza. This includes a Splaza [sic], an interactive water feature that is flush with the pavement. The Midland Beach Promenade also has new ornamental fountain with a mechanical infrastructure that is more resilient to storms. Additionally, there is a multitude of family friendly amenities on the promenade. This renovation has a budget of 5.8 million and will open for all New Yorkers to enjoy this summer. In terms of recreation, we are pleased to be joined by several community stakeholders,

including Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez for 3 the unveiling of the improved High Bridge Recreation Center on May 5<sup>th</sup>. This renovation 4 allows us to operate the facility uninterrupted 5 by the elements. Additionally, we are 6 fortunate to equip the center with new high 8 quality fitness equipment. We are more than certain that our patrons will enjoy the 9 10 modifications, especially when the pool season 11 opens, begins in a month. We thank the Council 12 Member Rodriguez for his continued partnership. 13 The executive budget also provides to operate 14 the staff at the Ocean Breeze Park Track and Field, our new indoor track facility that will 15 be opening in Staten Island. We want to thank 16 the team at the Department of Design and 17 Construction who have been working diligently 18 to deliver this world class facility. In terms 19 of the community, community engagement, 20 21 partnership and volunteerism are thriving at parks. Throughout the month of May and 22 specifically on May 17<sup>th</sup>, we celebrate our 23 annual "It's My Park Day Event." Partnership 24

for Parks, our volunteer program that is

25

jointly operated by New York City Parks and the City Parks Foundation, led our efforts. As you may know, this bi-annual event allows for the mobilization of parks volunteers throughout the city via exciting volunteer opportunities. Kaiser Park there are more than 150 volunteers who pitched in to weed, turn soil and remove damaged tree branches. Kaiser Park was heavily damaged by super storm Sandy and these volunteers were extraordinary in their dedication to help their local park recover. In all, there were over 4,000 volunteers who assisted parks during "It's My Park Day" events thus far, and we look forward to another exciting season. In closing, I am pleased and honored to sit here before you today. These past few weeks have been extremely pleasurable and revealing. I will have conversations with the Administration about agency needs as the process develops. Thank you all for all you've done to date to assist the agency and we rely on our partnership and again, I look forward to working with you. I thank you for your time,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 and I look forward to your questions that you 3 may have.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you, Commissioner Silver. I really am appreciative that a lot of the issues that are going to be brought up and were going to be discussed in this committee, you've addressed in your testimony, meaning that you and your--while your team was listening to prelim and had you prepared wisely. I want to talk about, and I know that you mentioned it in your testimony, but we've had a lot of discussions about park equity from different perspectives, from PEP officers to maintenance assignments to projects being funded to even visioning for different parks that we have in our district. Can you tell this committee your thoughts and plans on how to ensure equal services and access to parks for all New Yorkers?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, first let me say that over the summer within the first three months we'll be meeting with staff to dive deeper into the whole issue of the park equity. So we're very excited about those

conversations that will happen this summer. But there certainly have been a number of promising plan to propose legislation is recent years and all have been aimed at a clear problem in our city, maintaining and improving smaller parks in less wealthier neighborhoods. I support this worthy goal and look forward to working with the Mayor de Blasio to ensure that we supply resources and maintenance fairly throughout all the 29,000 acres of our city. So we're very excited that we'll be rolling up our sleeves and addressing this issue. We recognize how important it is to all New Yorkers and is one of the challenges that we're ready to step up to and address.

eager to be able to continue our conversations and for you to speak to our colleagues, but there is something to be said and hopefully in next year's budget we can have more reflected where the budget reflects the needs of our parks and it would be great to see, and I know that there's been some support and some work the PEP officers and JTPs, but if you think

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's enough, we're here to tell you it's not And even when you get to what you enough. think is enough, think again. It's still not enough. Our communities need presence. need change. Our parks need the dedication that a lot of other parks have been getting. And you know, we can sit here and we can debate whether it's the existence of a conservancy or not, whether it's the existence of millionaires living around the park or not, but at the end of the day a young person doesn't know what their environment. They just want to be on a safe slide. They just want to play baseball in a field that's not going to flood, and I think that's what we owe to the young people of New York City. I want to talk about transparency in particular on projects implementation and cost over-runs and this is very specific to the budget and although you alluded to it and you discussed it in your opening statement, we have issues with capital and we have issues with getting our systems out, so I'm really excited to hear that you're actually aggressively looking at how to improve that. We're your

partner in this, so in any way that we can help to figure out how we can bring more transparency but also expedite the process, because there's nothing more frustrating for us to commit dollars on behalf of our community and not have those projects turned over. What is your agency's procedures for handling cost over-runs which we have seen in some cases when projects take five, six, seven years, the cost changes and differ? Who makes the decision to authorize over-run expenditures and how are they approved?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Let me take the first part of the question and then see if the First Deputy Commissioner can handle the second part. In terms of the overall capital process, we are going to take a fresh look at each step of the process, from the point in time that OMB authorizes the budget until it goes throughout the RP [sic] procurement process and then a construction phase. I don't have answers at this time, but we want to take a look at all those projects. The permitting process, when they're--whether state or federal agencies

involved, other departments involved and take a hard look at each step of the process along the way, and then we'll do an evaluation about where we can save time, where things can be streamlined, where efficiencies can be found, and that is our hope that we'll be able to move the projects along a lot quicker. Of course, a staff resources in terms of making sure we have enough to move those capital projects in a timely manner, but overall our goal is to take a fresh look at the entire capital planning process to examine to see where we can streamline and save some efficiencies in terms of time.

LIAM KAVANAGH: Good afternoon. I'm

Liam Kavanagh, First Deputy Commissioner of the

Parks Department. Cost over-runs usually fall

to three categories. There's an increase above

the estimated cost of the project. We

constantly update our construction estimating

tools to make sure that we're current with

labor costs, with material costs, with

transportation costs, but even with that we are

occasionally surprised by the bids that we

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

receive for some of our projects. Depending on the gap between what the estimates was and what the bids are, we may do several things. We may rebid the project because we think that our estimate was accurate and aligned with what the project should cost or we attempt to find additional monies to fund the project and move it ahead. In some cases we have -- in all cases, rather, we have contingencies built into our budget. In some cases we're able to cover the increases with that contingency. In other, however, we may have to go back to the entity that fund it, whether it came out of the Mayor's office, a Borough President or a council and see if there are additional funds available to bridge that gap. The other two issues that drive change orders, which is what the process is often referred to are unanticipated environmental conditions, and while we do do surveys in advance of any project being defined, there are lots of conditions that exist in New York City that you would not expect to find, and occasionally they come up in our projects and they can cause

delays and additional costs are not anticipated in the original design or the original estimate. Again, the process is the same if we can cover them within the contingencies that exist in the budget, we do so. If not, we may have to seek additional funding in order for the project to move forward. And the last case, which does happen and is actually a happy incident is when there is a movement to increase the scope of a project. There may be-you know, we may not be addressing the entire site. Some additional money may become available, but you have to integrate that new scope into the design and that occasionally causes delays in the project. But again, it really comes down to we cover it within the contingency of the project or we have to seek additional funding in order for it to move forward.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: What percentage of your cost over-runs is due to the delay in the actual project?

LIAM KAVANAGH: I--

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing] 3 And I say this with a perspective of members that sometimes we put money in, four years 4 later it's like, oh, can you put in another 5 half a million dollars. 6 LIAM KAVANAGH: I would hesitate to 8 give you an answer off the top of my head. I haven't--I don't have the--9 10 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing] Can you get it back for the --11 12 LIAM KAVANAGH: [interposing] I 13 would, but in general, you know, you do see an 14 increase of about, you know, four or five percent in construction costs on average in a 15 typical year. A few years ago it was--the rate 16 17

was much higher, but it has seemed to have calmed down. Over a three or four year period, you might see an increase of 15 to 20 percent in the estimated cost, but I'll--we'll do a little bit more research and get you a much better number on that.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. I want to have--I have two questions and then I'll open it up to my colleagues and we can

Good evening.

come back for a second round. So one of them is public/private partnerships and how is Park's benefitting if at all when we talk about providing free Wi-Fi access to our parks? How can our communities, when we talk about equity, how can we have more communities from a lower economic structure be able to take advantage of free Wi-Fi when they may not have access to it?

LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON:

Good afternoon, Council Members. Larry Scott-Blackmon, Deputy Commissioner for Community
Outreach for Parks. When the initial
discussions began regarding Wi-Fi in parks that
was equity and the availability of Wi-Fi was
something that we took great deal of time and
researching. Commissioner Robert Garafola and
his team led that effort. We are proud of where
we have Wi-Fi in parks, so everywhere from East
Harlem and Thomas Jefferson Park all the way
out to certain areas of Brooklyn and the Bronx.
It's an endeavor that we would love to expand
and we're interested in having additional
conversations to that effect.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Well,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

definitely something that this council is interested in seeing more of, especially in the outer boroughs or the other boroughs, as we're changing our language. And then my other question was is there any synergies or communication interagency-wise with DOT? Many--what we've seen is in some communities, especially I think in Queens and in the other boroughs is some bike lanes. In particular, in my district, my bike lanes don't end up anywhere. They kind of start nowhere and end up at the end of another--just actually they pour into the highway, right into the Grand Central Parkway. So is there any communication between the agencies to have better coordinated systems with our park riders, with our bicycle riders and our park system?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm not familiar with what has taken place to date, but I can say that almost all the places where I've worked, a collaboration with other departments are key, and so Commissioner Trottenberg [sp?] had already reached out to me. We'll be meeting

very soon to find out how we can collaborate. 3 I'm very familiar with working with multiple departments, particularly in fact in my 4 previous position, Transportation Planning was 5 under my purview, and so to have planning as 6 well as transportation planning, there is, you're correct, a lot of great synergy. I'll 8 let others see if there are some specifics 9 10 about key projects, but I look forward to not only working with Commissioner Trottenberg but 11 12 other Commissioners where there's great synergy 13 for Parks, because Parks, they'll sit in 14 isolation. They're connected to neighborhoods, to culture, to traditions, to memories, to 15 transportation networks, to economic 16 17 development, and so our goal is make sure is that we look at the Park's system. 18 It is, if it's a piece of infrastructure that is 19 20 connected to other parts of our city, and so 21 I'm eager to have those conversations and

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And I appreciate that comment, and I would love to help or be involved in any of those discussions

establish those relationships.

22

23

24

25

as we move forward. As you stated, you know,
for many of our young people, the park is our
backyard. We live in apartments. In my
neighborhood some families live just in a room,
and being able to go out and run to a
playground is how our young people can burn
energy and just be able to have a positive
experience is really what we're trying to get

at here in the Council. I'm going to now open,

pass it over to my Co-Chair for questioning,

Chair Levine.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you,

Madam Chair. First, Commissioner, I just wanted

to remark on the unfortunate incident that

occurred yesterday afternoon in my district

where a six year old boy who was riding a

scooter was hit by a Parks Department van. He's

recovering as I think some fractured bones. Do

you have any updates on that or any news on an

investigation related to that or someone on

your staff?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Borough

Commissioner Castro may have an update for you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.

WILLIAM CASTRO: Yes, I went up to the scene last night, met with the police and then to the hospital and met with the parents and also the young boy. And he does not have a fractured leg, though, no broken bones. So that's good news. So, the investigation is underway by the police. So far, the employee passed the Breathalyzer test. There was no indication of that. And so we're working with the police on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, please let us know if that investigation turns up anything noteworthy. Thank you. Great. This past weekend was a busy one for New York City Parks. It was a holiday weekend, a three-day weekend with great weather and I think it ended up highlighting many of the challenges that we're discussing here today. There was intense park usage, which resulted in a great deal of refuse left in parks. Because we have limited PEP officers enforcement on cleanliness in minimal and because we have, in my opinion, insufficient maintenance staff, cleanup was slow. For example in parks like Fort Washington

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Park along the Hudson, Inwood Park, Cortona Park in the Bronx, we've had reports that a day and a half, even as late as this morning after the weekend some of the parks did not get cleaned up and to me that's a clear indication of the shortfall in staffing in the agency. Compare that to the situation in some of the well-funded conservancy parks where they have the ability to staff up on holiday weekends and cleanup is done very quickly. In that context, to me, there is an incredibly compelling case to add additional maintenance workers to the Department's payroll, something that we've advocated for here in the council. So, one of the priorities that this council identified in its response to the Mayor's preliminary budget. And I wonder if you could just comment on your sense of the connect between staff capacity and cleanliness and maintenance in general in the parks system.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well first, as you can imagine we're always seeking cooperation from our citizens to be as helpful as possible, particularly on a weekend where

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parks are going to draw large crowds. of the maintenance, I am aware as part of the FY 14 budget that we receive funding for over 400 new positions. We're trying to assess the impact those positions have had on the parks system so we can determine some of the needs of going forward and I'll be meeting with all the borough commissioners just to take a review of the entire operation, specifically on maintenance. So that is something that we will certainly take a look at, but I'm trying to understand how the full 400 positions are being absorbed and deployed and how we can take an examination of our maintenance needs based upon that commitment from the prior year, but also OMB in parts have discussed additional funding for seasonal park workers and playground associate positions. So we do recognize this is something we have to constantly monitor and evaluate, but we do want to thank all those staff that did some very hard work, particularly started doing work right after the holiday weekend to start to clean up a lot of what was left behind in our city parks.

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, we 3 certainly celebrate the bump up in staff that was implemented last year, but I want to put 4 5 that in context. Even after the elevation, I think headcount, full time headcount in the 6 department today is maybe 3,700, 3,800 full 8 time workers, and by comparison that's not much more than half of figures that we saw for the 9 10 department in decades past, at a time when 11 system had fewer acreage and city had a lower 12 population. So I think we need to keep the 13 learn time perspective in context there. 14 similar related issue is the challenge of PEP officers. The city after a slight rebound last 15 year that once again didn't bring us up to 16 historic levels has I believe about 160 PEP 17 officers. These are the police for the parks. 18 They don't carry firearms, but otherwise they 19 20 form--the perform every duty that a police 21 officer would, and not just telling people not

incredibly important to us as New Yorkers. This

is 161 officers for a system with 29,000 acres, 25

to litter. It's also they can intervene and

then preventing crimes in progress. They're

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2,000 parks, a couple of thousand other smaller properties. I think it's pretty easy to see it's insufficient for such a vast sprawling system, and here again there's a contrast to what some of the privately funded parks are able to do. Many of the parks have hired additional PEP officers funded with private contributions. So to try and level this playing field, to try and get the broader system up to the level of some of the better funded privately funded parks, the council has prioritized adding additional 150 to be precise, PEP officers as part of our response to the Mayor's preliminary budget. Neither this request nor our request for staffing more generally including gardeners and maintenance workers. As I mentioned in my opening remarks, we're included in the Mayor's executive budget, and I wonder if you can comment specifically on your sense of the system's need for PEP officers, the extent to which we're meeting that need adequately now. Actually, I don't know the figure of the number of privately funded PEP officers, but if someone on your

team had that number for comparison sake, that'd be helpful as well.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SILVER: In terms of numbers you are correct. There's roughly about 160 PEP officers. In terms of, I guess the grant funded, that would be roughly about 84. In terms of your question, these are questions I'm asking as well. We're doing assessment of all the resources citywide. I believe just as 400 positions were added last year, there were, I believe, 80 positions of PEP officers also added last year. So it was doubled and we're trying to assess the impact of adding, of double the PEP officer workforce. understandings are also looking at some mobile units so that they can cover more territory. So this is something that I'm going to evaluate to see what impact that doubling of the PEP officer workforce has done and we can measure it to see going forward what we can do. So it's still premature to determine. Clearly, 160 for an entire city, when you measure that number there were different park lands, some in natural areas, some are active recreation

facilities. Each place maybe a little bit different, so we're just going to see how we can best use those 160 officers and their

determined needs going forward. 5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I want to ask you about the private money that's coming in through concessions. This is money earned when the city leases out space to private businesses that generally return a portion of their revenue to unfortunately not generally to the parks department. They return it to the city's general treasury. I believe this is now up to close to 70 million projected in the coming year. It's a significant amount. Maybe it's about a fifth of the total budget relative to what putting it in tax dollars. I wonder if you have thoughts about whether and how some of that money could be redirected into our parks directly, as is done at least now in Central Park, where there's a contractual agreement which directs some of that revenue back to the park itself and whether we can and should direct more of that income directly to our green spaces.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm glad,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Member, you brought that up. I've already asked for a full briefing on all of our concessions and I believe the number's around 77 million. To understand what opportunity does exist, I believe there may be some charter mandated requirements about where those funds go, but we certainly want to explore possibilities. There are many examples across the country. We want to make sure those can work here, both in New York City and New York State, but we are going to have a full briefing on concessions and then we'll just take a fresh look. So that meeting had not occurred yet, but it's something that is definitely on my radar screen as something we going to just talk more in-depth with staff.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: But this is a balancing act because no one wants one more inch of public space used for private commerce than is necessary. On the other hand, the revenue is worth significant amounts of the city and at times these concessions are probably providing valuable services to park

users. So could you share your thoughts on how to find the right balance here?

are number of parks that—parks are public spaces, and it's not uncommon whether it's a zoo or other use within the park that provides a public service such as a concession operated in our parks. So it's something that I will be taking a look at. I don't have a response immediately. I do know there are some concerns about concessions and the perception of concessions within some of our parks, but this too will be part of that conversation I want to have with staff going forward about concessions, the type of concessions that are in our parks system.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: While we may have mixed feelings about permitted commercial activities in the parks, there is illegal commercial activity in parks which I think universally we would all denounce. One example of that is the bike rental business in Central Park, a booming business for which the parks system is granted single concession to a

company called Bike and Roll, but anyone who just walks by Columbus Circle recently has probably experienced hawkers [sic] from a wide variety of unlicensed unpermitted businesses, and to the extent that they're doing business that's money we're not receiving as a city, as a park system, not to mention consumers being put at risk. A report today in the New York Post highlighted cases of stolen bikes being rented back to a suspecting tourist and other users. I wonder if this issue is on the radar screen of the department and if you have any plans to crack down on it?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I believe I heard it for the first time earlier today.

Thank you for bringing it to our attention.

It's something we'll certainly follow up and work with law enforcement to find out exactly how we can start to address that issue, but thank you for raising that point.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you,

Commissioner. I want to acknowledge that we've

been joined now by Council Member Daneek Miller

and Council Member Darlene Mealy I believe is

with us, and Council Member Mark Treyger as well. And I'm going to open up to my colleagues. I'll pass it off to--

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]

I just want to remind my colleagues that we're on a five minute clock. This is in consideration of all members so we all get a chance to answer questions and then we will have a follow-up three minute if needed, a follow up three minute clock for the second round.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Great. So we have Council Member Lander with the first question.

very much to both Chairs and for your strong leadership on parks issues, and Commissioner, welcome. We're thrilled to have you hear in your first hearing. I'm a long time fan of your work and your history and your Twitter feed, and I think your commitment not only to stewarding this wonderful treasure of our parks, but to neighborhoods and to involving communities and to planning and the work you've

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done really bodes well for the future. As you know you're joining an extraordinary team, and we're proud to get to work with all the members of the department and you're really hitting the right notes in your opening testimony focusing on these issues of capital and capital planning and parks equity, and I know you're new, so it's fair to have this coming up to speed period, but I guess I'll just underline a couple of things and ask a couple of questions. First, on the capital process, it's great to hear it's a priority of yours. Really wonderful to hear that commitment to an online database, something I've been asking for for a couple of years now, so I'm glad to see it. I'll have some questions in follow-up about what we'll really be able to see on it. You know, it is a wonderful agency. It's a broken system that doesn't achieve either input or efficiency, doesn't achieve good planning centrally. Doesn't enable you to do what you need to do. Doesn't enable us to know what we need to know. So it's really--it's not just tweaking. It's fundamentally broken, so much so

that last year some of us used our discretionary funding to pay New Yorkers for parks to commission a report to say please get to work. So, I guess one, I want to make sure you've seen that report, and two, do you anticipate developing a plan or something that you can share with the council in terms of forward motion on improving the parks capital process.

absolutely yes. Both the Mayor and I share that the city needs a 21<sup>st</sup> century vision for a 21<sup>st</sup> century park system that talks about equity, innovation, healthy communities, economic development. That—so there will be a vision going forward of how we're going to take a fresh look at our parks system. In terms of technology, so the database you mentioned, in terms of JAS [sic] technology. That's quite simple to put together where it could be a map interface which is easier experience for the user to find out what's going on versus just having a database. These are quite inexpensive and can all be done in house, and so we'll be

taking a fresh look at innovation of how we can bring that to bear to New York City, which is the greatest city in the world. So that is the vision, and in terms of streamlining the capital process, in terms of our operations, looking at the current technology to see how we can enhance it with other technology. And so we hear a lot about some of the positions, we want to see how we could enhance some of the technology to improve our efficiencies. So we're going to take a fresh look, but we do want to put forward, both the Mayor and I agree, that we need a 21st century vision for a 21st century park system.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's great.

And have you seen yet, I'm sure you've got a

lot to look at, that New Yorkers for Parks

report on the capital process?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I have--I have heard and have seen some clear points, but after this I'm sure my staff will get me a copy.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Let's make sure.

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSIONER SILVER: I will read it 3 and I'm meeting with--COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] 4 5 And to be--6 COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] 7 Tupper [sic] Thomas very soon, so I'll--COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] 8 Very good. And to be clear, this is not only 9 for us. The lack of like a central capital 10 budget that enables the parks department to do 11 12 what it needs to do, this is a shared goal of 13 acting as stewards. My time is moving quickly. 14 It's good to hear you talk about this sort of GS and information. I'm going to, I guess, lump 15 two parks equity issues into one question in my 16 remaining time. One is about information, 17 because I think one challenge in the parks 18 equity debate has been being able to see 19 20 clearly how much is being spent on the expense 21 and capital side down to the park district and preferably park levels so we could actually 22 have a conversation about equity, which is 23

currently from my point of view impossible to

have. It's why the Chair and I have co-

24

25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sponsored legislation that would require you guys to do it for yourselves and for us, and I wonder what you've seen so far and what plans you have, because it seems to me we've got to have that baseline. And then second, your history includes a lot of work to tap the kind of deep wells of stewardship that people feel about the park system in a lot of places, and I think equity and funding and increased funding is an essential issue, but I think--I don't want to lose sight that part of what the conservancies have been able to do is tap a model of stewardship, and you can do that. We've got this wonderful thing called the Neighborhood Plaza Partnership on the DOT side trying to take the model that worked at Bryant Park and bring it to outer borough plazas that don't have wealthy neighborhoods, and so I hope in that is not only attention to equity and funding, but also to new models. It's something we should be able to do a lot better at and I look forward to hearing your ideas about it.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: In terms of the metrics, which is your question, that will take

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some time to develop, but I understand you need metrics to make comparisons to make decisions, but at the same time there's a variety of park assets from natural areas to active parks, and so we'll have a conversation about as we develop those metrics, how do we put it in a format that is both understandable and usable, and so that is something we're going to have a conversation to see how we can bring that forward. There is no question that people have an affection for their parks. It means different things to different people. As the Council Member said, someone's front yard or back yard. It's a place where people have a spiritual connection, emotional connection, so it is our goal to try to provide those spaces, and it's public space, not just parks for all New Yorkers in all neighborhoods. So that will be part of the vision about how we deliver that for all New Yorkers, but specifically for those areas that may not over the years had the best quality parks over time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much.

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
3 Council Member Lander. We'll have Council

1

4

5

Council Member Lander. We'll have Council Member Deutsch followed by Council Member Treyger.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you,

7 | Madam Chair and thank you, Chair. I want to,

8 | first of all, I want to congratulate you on

9 your new position, and any questions that I do

10 | have is already being worked on with the Deputy

11 | Commissioners in my districts, and I just want

12 to say thank you. Thank you for installing the

13 | Mobi [sic] Mats in the beaches that are joining

14 | my district. This is something myself and

15 | Council Member Mark Treyger [sic] have been

16 asking for since we were elected. So, I really

17 | want to say thank you for installing them, for

18 placing them in the beaches for our senior

19 citizens and people with disabilities. And I

20 am a strong supporter of our parks, anything

21 | that I need to do as a Council Member to

22 | support during this budget process to increase

23 maintenance workers I will do. I will be

24 | working with our Chair Mark Levine. So once

25 | again, I want to thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank youCouncil Member Deutsch. Council Member Treyger

4 | followed by Council Member Miller.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Welcome. Welcome, Commissioner. Thank you very much for being here, and First Deputy and others from the Parks Department. I'd like to echo the comments from Council Member Deutsch with regard to the Mobi Mats. That has been a huge, huge need for accessibility for all New Yorker to access their beaches. I will say that one location that was mentioned that would be covered has not, it's Bright and Sixth [sic]. You know, that's something please I'd love for your staff to follow up on, because that was mentioned as one location that would be covered and I would greatly appreciate that if we could just follow up on that. And I also like to--I see Brooklyn Commissioner Kevin Jeffreys. I want to publicly thank him for his responsiveness to my numerous office's inquiries over time. I would like to just go right into the discussion of do we know what is the current number of PEP as we call them, the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parks, you know, enforcement police assigned to the two and half mile Coney Island and Brighton Beach and Boardwalk? Do we have any data on that?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: We'll have to get back to you on the specific locations. We have the number by borough, but we'll have to get back to you on specific location.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, Commissioner, I'd appreciate a follow up on that, because it is a public safety issue. So I represent Coney Island and but I have also the entire the beach and boardwalk that goes into Brighton Beach, and there is a strain that is placed on the local police department to be responsible, especially now during the seasonal months to cover two and half miles of beach and boardwalk, the entire amusement area, and in addition to that, the residential neighborhoods of Coney Island into Brighton even up to Bensonhurst, and even with the additional summer detail that they get, they are all assigned to cover the beach and amusement area. It's not enough to protect the residential

```
218
```

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

precinct. Someone--yeah, please.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

areas. So I would urge the Parks Department. I see that there is some figures here showing an increase in PEP for the upcoming budget. I would encourage and I would ask the Parks Department to assign additional PEP, whatever the number is now to increase it. So to alleviate the burdens placed on the 60 police

MICHAEL DOCKETT: I'm Mike Dockett, Assistant Commissioner at Parks. I oversee the Park Enforcement Patrol citywide. So we have about 32 officers assigned to Brooklyn. They work out of Prospect Park and Bushwick inlet, and they patrol the boroughs from there. Seasonally, we place staff at Coney Island, so we have four PEP sergeants there that are accompanied by people that we recruit. Those are called our Park Security Service. So we bring in about 38 officers to place at Coney Island. They don't have arrest powers, but they do control the Coney Island Pier to prevent people from jumping off. They patrol the beach. We station them on the sand where lifeguards are not present. If there's a closed section,

they keep people out of the water during the day, and then we patrol Coney Island sand from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at night all summer long while the beaches are open.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So are you saying that there are four seasonally?

MICHAEL DOCKETT: There are four PEP Sergeants that oversee the 39 officers, 38 security officers that we place on the beach during the summer. They're called city seasonal aides.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So 30, you're saying there's 38 security personnel from the Parks Department on the beach and boardwalk?

MICHAEL DOCKETT: Correct. These are not PEP officers, though. They don't have arrest powers, but they are like security officers.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: But how many PEP do we actually have?

MICHAEL DOCKETT: We have four that are assigned there seasonally.

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I would 3 directly ask for an increase, Commissioner, because four to cover two and a half mile of 4 beach boardwalk and the amusement area is just 5 to me not enough, and there's a strain in the 6 local police department, and we've had a spike in shootings recently. As a matter of fact, 8 when we had the Brooklyn half marathon that 9 10 ended in Coney Island on the boardwalk, at the same time there was double shooting in the west 11 12 end of Coney Island when, you know, and again, 13 I would strongly urge the Parks Department to 14 consider adding additional PEP to cover, to help alleviate the burdens on the police 15 department. I would like to also discuss and I 16 17 appreciate your comments about resiliency. I've learned that the Parks Department makes up 18 about a third of the city's shoreline, and I 19

20 think when we discuss about resiliency, I think 21 the Parks Department will play an integral role in making sure that we are resilient moving 22

forward in the face of climate change. You

know, having said that, I think that I've 24

23

mentioned this a number of times and I will 25

mention it, you know, to you for the first time, Commissioner, that to me the beach and boardwalk are recreational areas for tourists and many residents, but it is a vital piece of infrastructure for the local residents who cal. Neighborhood home, and I would urge that the Parks Department, and I've asked this before and I haven't gotten data yet as far as the maintenance of the boardwalk, maintenance of our beaches because it is critical that we properly maintain this vital piece of infrastructure, and I'd like if there's any data that you could share with us about the maintenance of that boardwalk and how important it is to our communities in Southern Brooklyn.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: We can follow up with you about specifics about the maintenance, unless Deputy Commissioner, you know?

LIAM KAVANAGH: I think the issues that you're raising, they're very important. They are things that are important to us, but as the Commissioner said, I think it would better if we sit down with you and discuss it

2 in more detail. We'd be able to do it. [off
3 mic]

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Alright, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Council Member Treyger. We will now have
Council Member Miller followed by Council
Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Madam Chair, Co-chairs and Commissioner. Thank you and welcome to you and your staff for coming out and answering questions for us today. I have one from representing the community with some of the most beautiful parks in the city of New York in southern Queens. We really appreciate the work that you do, and obviously we're here to see if we can get some more done. But my initial question speaks to your staffing and the overview of that, those titles that represent and service the parks as well as who they are represented by, and then speak to the WEPs and the JTP programs that exist as well.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMISSIONER SILVER: In terms of when you say the questions about?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Well, I want to know how many--

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing]
Oh, how many?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Well, yeah.

If you have the overall number of employees
that you have, what titles they are and if they
are represented to whom are they represented
by.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: We have--we could follow up with that because I think we have some of the information. There are roughly a little over 2,000 JTP's. In terms of the other titles and specifically which units they have belong to, I don't think we have that information right here, but we could certainly get that to you, but I'm looking right here in terms of the 2,000 JTP's. I don't have the other unions for the other titles you had mentioned.

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. Do you 3 know of the unions that represent any of the titles there? 4 LIAM KAVANAGH: Council Member, the 5 6 job training participants are represented by District Council 37. There are blue collar representatives within District Council 37 that 8 work with us and with the job training 9 10 participants to make sure that they're receiving all of the benefits that they are 11 12 entitled to as employees, but work experience 13 participants, however, are not paid employees 14 and are not represented by our unions. It's approximately 350 of them assigned to the Parks 15 Department throughout the entire city. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: And you don't know your overall headcount. 18 19 LIAM KAVANAGH: I'm sorry? COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: The overall 20 headcount? 21 LIAM KAVANAGH: Oh, it's a little 22 over 2,000 on job training participants right 23

now. It fluctuates and it grows during the

24

25

summer.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Not just the 3 job training.

LIAM KAVANAGH: Oh, okay.

7 LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes, we do have that, 8 sure.

those numbers by titles or want us to--I could read that now for the record or I could just send this to you; admin managers 136, clerical associates 79, committee associates 154, committee coordinators 150, PAA's 71, recreational directors 34, recreational specialists 76, recreational supervisors 84. In total we have here 2,521.

council Member Miller: Which is—
okay, thank you. Which is pretty close to the
number of job training participants in there.
How many—I don't see your contracts, your
outstanding contracts out here as well. How
many outside—how many duties are performed by
outside contractors?

2 LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON: Good

afternoon, Council Member. Larry ScottBlackmon, Deputy Commissioner of Parks. Our
maintenance work is not contracted out. The
only thing, the only item that we do contract
out is our tree pruning contracts. That's it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. Okay, good. That's good to hear. And between that and some of the administrative services, could you speak to the NWBE opportunities?

proud of our NWBE numbers. We have some of those here that we could share with you. Most recently several years ago we were actually recognized as the--as one of the city agencies with the highest NWBE performance numbers. So we're very proud of our track record. As reported in FY 12 and a matter of fact which is in--we're still pulling this together for 14 because the data has not been released yet. Preliminary quarter one and quarter two data indicates that Parks awarded 1,162 contracts which totaled roughly 97.65 million of which

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28.66, which is 29 percent, close to 30 percent went to NWBE prime contractors.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so much, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

Council Member Rosenthal followed by Council

Member Cohen.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Council Member Miller asked my first question. So thank you for taking care of that minute of my testimony. I actually want to ask you a few questions and welcome to New York City. It's great to have you. It's great to meet you. I have to say I've worked for a long time with your borough commissioner in Manhattan, Commissioner Castro and with Steve Simon. They're just a pleasure. So you've inherited some great employees. I'm sure you're learning that. It's always a pleasure working with the Parks Department, but I am going to ask you a couple of questions. I'm Chair of the Contracts Committee, and there was one contract in particular that really has leapt out at us over the years, and that's the contract for the--the

that's a lot.

ongoing contract with the golf course at Ferry

Point Park. So I guess my first question is,

on average, just on average, citywide I guess

to your Deputy who does the numbers, how much

do you spend in capital on average per acre?

LIAM KAVANAGH: It varies greatly depending on the--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure.

LIAM KAVANAGH: type of project you're dealing with. In broad terms for what we call site work, typical park construction work in a park or playground it's about a million dollars an acre is a good rule of thumb.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: A million dollars an acre?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Wow,

LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes, that is.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well, it sounds like Ferry Point Park has hit your average and gone beyond. The number I'm seeing is around 1.2 million per acre. But let's--so let me ask you, this is a project. So this is

more--I'm going to ask you these questions with the hope that I could get back from you some answers to these questions. I'm not expecting you to have it today. I know you just walked in a minute ago. But it would be instructive to understand how a project that starts in the year, I guess, 2000.

LIAM KAVANAGH: 1998.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: 1998 at roughly 20 some million dollars, could now in 2014, the latest reports I'm hearing are 236 million dollars including the 30 acres surrounding Ferry Point Park. So I'm interested in knowing, understanding that story line so we can do a good job not letting that happen again. And I'm pleased to hear in your testimony that you mentioned that you're creating an online database to track the progress of projects. I assume that that would have allowed us had we had that in place since That would have allowed us to maybe stop 1998. this project at 50 million dollars. think that might have been the case? Do you

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 have feelings about how much money this project 3 ended up costing, and where does it stand?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Well, the project is almost complete. We're actually wrapping up construction in the next month or so. It'll open for play officially next spring. It's a very complicated project. It's the initial plan was--turned out to be completely unrealistic from a financial perspective and did not account for very significant environmental conditions at the sight, and when the city made the decision to assume responsibility for constructing. All of those costs became much more obvious and had to be dealt with in the final budget for the project, but what you--and I'm not saying it's the right choice or not, but when you look at the cost for this project in comparison to similar projects, converting landfills into public golf courses. The costs are very similar, and in fact they are somewhat less in some of the examples that we've seen across the country. So I'm not saying --

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] What's the final cost?

all projects associated with Ferry Point Park is 236 million dollars. The golf course itself, however, is about 180 million. There was a 30 acre community park built as part of the project and there is a waterfront esplanade that has yet to be built. It has to be redesigned because of the impacts of hurricane Sandy, which is budgeted at about 10 million dollars. And there was also some incidental work in the surrounding areas. It's part of the overall project, but the golf course itself was about 180 million when you consider all of the previous cost, design, environmental monitoring and construction costs.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So I'm going to ask one quick question, then I'm going to ask to continue in a second round. So the report that the City Council gets, the cost over-run report, in the first quarter of fiscal year '14, so that would be June--

LIAM KAVANAGH: [interposing] July  $1^{\rm st}$  to September, through September.

million. So from then to now it's gone up to

180. I'm hearing you say 10 is attributable to

Sandy. So there's 50 million in incidentals?

And I'm interested to know you call that 50

million incidentals?

LIAM KAVANAGH: I don't know that I used the word incidentals, but as I said, this is a very complicated project. I'd be happy to go over it with you in detail. I don't have notes about all the budgeting, but I think some of what you may have seen in the first quarter were payments that were made to date and didn't reflect what will be the final cost of the project, which is what I'm referring to.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I would like to continue this, Chair, in the second round. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Council Member Rosenthal. We will now have
Council Member Cohen.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you
Chairs Ferreras and Levine. Welcome
Commissioner. I have a little bit of a head

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

start on you. I started in January as a new Council Member, but I want you to know that Commissioner Blackmon and Kavanagh had been taking good care of me in my short tenure. So I think we're in good hands. I don't want to belabor the point about the capital projects, but it's--the duration as well as the cost, the cost is an issue. I was startled when I took office and I discovered my predecessor had funded a dog run that 250,000 dollars, you know, going to--advocating for funds for a tot lot that's 1.3 million dollars. The numbers seem very large to me, and so I think that as we're examining the whole capital process, it's really--it's not just the duration that it takes to execute the projects, but it is the costs that they seem--you know, and I've been to, I've seen schools, new schools built in my district that seem to built that cost--that seem more in line with that you'd expect, and I think that Parks projects seem to be expensive. So I think that's something that we should keep an eye on. And I do want to say also regarding the expense budget, I think that like I go to a

3

4

8

9

10

playground. The playgrounds look great, but a lot of times the, you know, the associated fields, they do need maintenance. If they're not mowed as regularly now--it's particularly 5 noticeable now that everything is growing like 6 crazy. You can really see that maintenance, that there are maintenance needs. You know, the focal point is well-maintained, but as you get further and further out from the center, you-the maintenance really shows. My district, I 11 12 often tell people, is one third park land, and 13 so that when maintenance is deferred it really 14 shows and it has an impact community-wide, not just on the park. So I would, you know, I think 15 all of us advocating for additional maintenance 16 funds, I mean we really see it out on the 17 ground and it's--you know, and like on the flip 18 side I was in Van Cortlandt Park over the 19 20 weekend. The parade grounds looked amazing. The 21 field's really finally, you know, after all that work they really come in and they looked 22 gorgeous. So there are a lot of good things 23 24 going on in our parks and I don't want to make light of that, but I do think that we--there is 25

just a shortage of maintenance funds. There's-I do call parks and you know, you know, whether
calling my Van Cortlandt park administer or
anybody at--people want to help, but a lot of
times it is very--they just don't have the
resources and so there is an issue there. And
also, I just want to--you know, Mosholu Parkway
in my district also. It's mid--you know, I
can't say it's in a crisis, but it does not
look as well, as good as it could look, and
it's--I think it's partly maintenance. So, I'm
not sure there was a question in there, but-COMMISSIONER SILVER: We're taking
notes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member Cohen. We're going to now start

the Second round which goes to a three minute

clock. I just wanted to ask a few questions.

What about the 760,000 dollars noted in fiscal

'15 and 600,000 in fiscal '16 for the

waterfront inspection program. Wanted to have

more information on this, and if you have any

specifics on the implementation. Not sure if

that means that you oversee all waterfronts across the city.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LIAM KAVANAGH: It's an EDC--

COMMISSIONER SILVER: It's an EDC project, but I'll let Deputy, First Deputy

Commissioner--

LIAM KAVANAGH: As Commissioner Silver mentioned, resiliency is going to be one of our, you know, focuses going forward and as was pointed out, much of our--much of the waterfront is park land. Approximately 148 miles of the city's 520 some odd miles of waterfront are park land. In looking at, you know, what we owned and operate, it became very apparent to us that we need to do better planning, particularly on the built infrastructure, the bulkheads, the piers and things like that, and we did request this funding to help us do that very specialized inspection processes. At the same time, other agencies like EDC and DOT have significant holdings on the waterfront as well and EDC has been sort of the lead agency for overseeing this type of inspection work for the last few

2.4

years. So we will be working with both of those agencies to make sure that all of our funds are used as effectively to understand the true state of our built infrastructure. At the same time we're going to be looking at the unbuilt part of the park waterfronts as well to take an integrated planning approach in terms of looking at those assets as part of our resiliency planning as much as Council Member Treyger pointed out, that you have to look at them through that perspective as well as the recreation values they provide.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Agreed, and I think in much of what Council Member Treyger said also effects the areas of park land like you mentioned that are part of parks and finding some way of communicating and doing interagency work for example in Flushing Meadows Corona Park there's a lot of water connections but much of that water is contaminated. It smells putrid. There's issues and DEP's right behind you, so I'm planning to focus in on them too. But to be able to have a better, a more effective conversation working

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

with parks and their waterfronts and also our water currently around our parks, because the whole point is that you want to go out to the promenade in East Elmhurst and go for a walk. And you know, commissioner Lewandowski worked very closely with the community to make sure that it's open and its clean and we could participate, but if the place smells because there's sulfur scents coming, emanating from the water, there's a problem. So you are partner with that. We also have a marina and we're talking about building a new community called Willet's Point, and if we don't really pay attention to this it's going to be a very big issue for us to be able to get people to actively participate and enjoy their life. I live up in East Elmhurst which is quite a ways away from the Marina, and there are mornings when I wake up that you can smell. You can drive from the Grand Central and go through the park and you can smell the waters of Flushing Meadows Park and the bay. So we really need to work a little bit better with a multiagency approach to address this issue. I have one

2.4

additional question. [off mic] We have so many great questions for you. I'm going to pass it over to my Co-chair while we find my--

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Commissioner, you've heard a lot of talk today about the capital process and you've made very encouraging statements about your commitment to improving it. We focused here in the council as I mentioned on the bump up in staff needed for the Division, 55 additional staff members. If that doesn't come through, and again, it's not currently in the Mayor's budget, are you confident that the Department can execute on the capital plan that is laid out for the coming year?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I am confident but it's also part of that exercise of where I want to see. There are, I believe, well over maybe about 400 projects and clearly look at the size and scale of those projects also through their process to see where we can find some efficiencies in moving the capital process forward. So I look forward to that exercise. Quite frankly, it's something I get very

excited about to see how we can look at each stages of the process and have conversations with staff to see how we can begin to move all these projects forward. So I do know there's a lot of Sandy work also involved, and I was told that staff was trying to move things forward, but we still have to deal with some work related to super storm Sandy, but it's something that I'm committed to taking a fresh look at to see how we could move those projects forward.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, I would submit that it'll be a tremendous challenge to meet your target without adequate capacity in the division. You had mentioned earlier that there was a bump up of about 400 positions in last year's budget, but that they were still coming on lines. The positions were still being filled. Did I have that right? Are those positions currently filled, all 400 of them?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: All those positions are filled. The point I was making is that we want to assess the full impact of those

2.4

positions coming online, but all those
positions have been filled.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And how long does it generally take to fill new positions?

Is it--

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm told it could be roughly about at least two months to fill those positions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Alright. The Mayor's Managing Report recently highlighted trends in crime in the parks, and this report only looked at the 30 major parks, excluding central park. We don't have data on crime on a park by park basis throughout the system, and this is something that the council's concerned about. In fact, my colleague Council Member Lander and I introduced a piece of legislation that would require the NYPD, not you all, but the police department to report on crime on a park by park basis, and in an age of GPS and geocoding, there's no reason why we can't do that. But we do know from the Mayor's Management Report a little about the trends in these 30 major parks, which do show a decline

in major felonies in the first four months of year as compared to last year which is great news. I believe a decline of about 30 percent, but they also show an increase, what I think could be accurately called a spike in property crime, an increase of about 50, I believe about 56 percent on year over year comparison. Do you have thoughts about what might be the cause of such a steep rise in property crime in our large parks?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I do not, but certainly as we approach this problem I'm told there has been an increase, at least what we called it down in North Carolina, apple picking, which is when you try to take an Apple device from someone, but certainly A--NYPD is the agency that is really focused to address crime in our parks and we want to work with them from our perspective. We're going to start to look at the site lines of our parks to see whether the canopies at appropriate height where people could actually see into the park. Again, that may vary in some of the smaller parks. The larger parks, that's more a bit of a

challenge. We certainly want to work with NYPD to find out exactly what's going on and form our perspective, is there any design solutions that can come into play that can help address this problem. Having visibility in the parks, having the appropriate height of a canopy, having those site lines where people feel a sense of safety, something that we'll certainly take a look at, but beyond that I do not know why there has been an increase in crime.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Very interesting to hear your thoughts on physical improvements to the parks that could reduce crime, which we love to hear more about. I'll note that if overgrowth is a challenge, then more money for tree pruning would help that, and to state the obvious more PEP officers can only contribute to a feeling of safety in the parks. I want to ask you about life guards which of course the park system employs for beaches and pools. What is the total number of lifeguards?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, we had over 1,400 lifeguards assigned to our pools and beaches last summer.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right.

commissioner silver: And so we're starting our annual recruiting effort right now and looking, in December we had over 300 candidates that are currently in training, but as of last summer there were 1,400 assigned to both beaches and pools.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, I just want to understand this, so you're starting the recruitment for the 2014 summer season?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: No, that started in December, and right now there are over 300 candidates that are in training that'll be deployed.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So, of the 1,400 positions that I saw were budgeted for, how many are currently in place in the pools and beaches?

LIAM KAVANAGH: There's a little over 500 that have been hired to date. They're all at the beaches. The hiring occurs in two phases. Obviously, Memorial Day weekend is the start of the beach season, beach lifeguards.

25 | Either the new recruits or the returnees report

for duty. They go through their training and recertifications and are assigned for that weekend. The pool lifeguards report later in June and we anticipate having at least the same number if not more lifeguards this summer than we had last year.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right, but since last weekend was probably one of the busiest beach weekends of the season--

LIAM KAVANAGH: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: If you have 500 lifeguards in place, does that represent the full allotment or were there some vacancies?

LIAM KAVANAGH: No, we will ramp up to a little over 600 closer to the fourth of July at the beaches alone.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And the shortfall of about 100 is a recruitment challenge?

LIAM KAVANAGH: It's not so much of a recruitment challenge. It's just that the new lifeguards tend to be high school students.

They one, don't complete all their training until June. They have to be certified to work

in the ocean environment which takes a little bit of time and usually they don't want to begin work until they finish their classes.

Thank you. Finally I have a little more of a technical question. You've got included in the budget two million dollars. Actually, there was two million in fiscal '14 and now it's 4.4 million in fiscal '15 for a line which is described as technical adjustment to cover personnel services costs. Could you explain what that is and why it's needed?

LIAM KAVANAGH: There are a number of job titles that have annuities and payments in addition to their salaries that are simply due to them as a result of collective bargaining.

And you know, occasionally our budgeting doesn't match up with those payment requirements. The technical adjustment is just putting the money in our budget to meet our contractual requirements.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Is this like an actuarial analysis?

2.1

LIAM KAVANAGH: Well, not quite an actuarial analysis, but there are supplemental payments that are due by contract to certain job titles, primarily trades workers who receive annuities and other benefits as part of their compensation.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Do you consistently have to adjust up in which case you might just want to generally budget more, or was this an aberration the last two years?

LIAM KAVANAGH: It's somewhat of an aberration. As Commissioner Silver mentioned, we added staff. We added trades people in addition to the regular maintenance people and you know, the budget has to sort of catch up to reflect the payments that are required due to the increase in head count.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass it back to Chair Ferreras.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. And I know that we've been joined by the DEP staff and I believe the Commissioner may be here or outside. So I just want to thank you for your

consideration. I know that we're running a little bit--well, 45 minutes behind. The question that I wanted to ask was about tree pruning. What's the current budget for tree pruning in this proposed budget? And that's street pruning and stump removal, and what has been the funding pattern for pruning and stump removal over the last four years?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: For pruning, roughly about 3.5 million. For stump removal it's two million. Over time it looks like this has been an increase. Well, in FY 09 stump removal was 2.3. Today it's two. In terms of pruning it was 1.7 in FY 09. It's now a 3.5.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Well, I'm sure you've been abreast, but we've had some tragic loss due to the maintenance of our trees in our parks. So are you working actively with updating training protocols for your staff to identify dangerous situations in our parks?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, we did an extensive retraining of all of the parks supervisors who were responsible for inspecting parks including trees, and we have integrated a

more comprehensive system of evaluating trees.

So that—if there's any concern on the part of park supervisor or any other employee, they can elevate that concern to a more experienced forester who can make a professional judgement on the condition of the tree.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So in some cases of the other boroughs when we have strong storms, heavy rains, heavy snow storm and a lot of our electrical wiring and our wiring is above ground and it becomes a problem with the more, the older or larger canopy trees, what's the process or how long does it take between a constituent or someone filing a concern about a tree outside of their property? I know the ones on their property they have to prune, but outside of the property, how long does it take for them to get a response on the condition of their tree and what is the cycle of pruning in our communities? I think at some point we said it was like every 10 years. Have we been able to shrink that response or is that average in the industry? Can you speak to pruning?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

LIAM KAVANAGH: Based on our current 3 funding, we're projecting a 10 year pruning cycle. That is every mature tree on the streets 4 5 of the city would be pruned every 10 years. The 6 industry standard tends to be approximately seven years as a goal for a block pruning cycle in an urban environment. So we are slightly 8 ahead of that. There have been years when we 9 10 were way behind the 10 year--the seven year cycle, but thanks to the funding we received 11 12 from the Council last year and the baseline 13 that was in our budget from the Mayor's office. 14 We're on a 10 year cycle right now, which means approximately 55,000 street trees will be 15 pruned this fiscal year and next fiscal year 16 based on the funding and the budget. In terms 17 of the response to a citizen complaint it 18 varies greatly depending on the nature of the 19 20 service request. So for example, if a member of 21 the public is reporting a dead tree or a tree 22 that they believe that needs to be removed, we'll inspect that within two weeks, and if we 23 24 do agree that it needs to be removed, we have a

standard of removing it within 30 days, and we

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

track that very carefully and it's measured in the Mayor's Management Report. If it's an emergency condition, a broken limb in a tree that's potential -- that can fall or some other, you know, obvious safety issue, we will address that as quickly as possible. And just to give you an example, the council passed legislation a few years ago requiring trees that are blocking traffic lights or stop signs get inspected within four days, that if it's blocking a traffic signal or a stop sign itself we have to address the condition within five days. If it's a less serious traffic sign that's being obscured, we have 10 days to do that. We track that very carefully as well. But if it's routine pruning, we largely refer it to the block pruning cycle in order to address that kind of request.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So to get us to the seven year industry, because we want to be--we're about excellence here in New York City, right Commissioner? And to get us to industry pruning levels, what would those

budget dollars look like? 25

LIAM KAVANAGH: It would cost

approximately two million dollars to prune the

additional 20,000 trees it would take to get us

to the seven year cycle. That would be about-
CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing]
So it would be taking us from 3.5 to 5.5, is
that correct?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay, thank
you very much. Now we will have a second round
of three minute--sorry, Council Member
Greenfield will be on the five minute clock and
then we'll start the second round where we have
two members.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

you very much. Quick question for you. First of
all, congratulations and welcome. We're all

very excited and pleased that you're here. I

just want to follow up on the Chair's question

regarding stumps. How many outstanding stumps
do you currently have, and what--when will they
all be removed? And I ask because it's become
a very large public safety issue. In many of
our communities people walk down the street,

they trip over them, they fall over them. The cars bang into them, etcetera. So do you have a list of stumps in the city and how long will it take for us to get rid of those stumps?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: We'll have to get back to you on the number of stumps that are out there, but on average, the Department removes about 3,300 stumps, but we'll just have to get back to you on the full inventory of--

## COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] I think especially post the many storms that we've had, we're seeing an increase in stumps, and I think that if we could look at perhaps more resources to removing them, that would be significant as well. I just also want to add, you know, I know that this has been a large topic of conversation but like my colleagues, I feel very strongly about this. I also want to add regarding our concerns about the capital process. I think it's especially pronounced and I know that you're someone who's worried about inequities within the parks. I think this actually creates more pronounce than equity. For example, what happens is the parks

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that have conservancies like Prospect Park Alliance, they do the capital on their own, they're able to do it for a fraction of the cost. So ironically, they get more money from the City and they can do it for literally one quarter of the cost that the Parks Department is actually able to do that. I just wanted to make sure that you're aware of that when you're doing your internal study to compare how it is that someone like the Prospect Park Alliance can literally build a building for one fifth of the cost that we would have. The second thing, I just also want to recommend is that for minor changes in the parks, for example creating a pathway or smaller changes, I think you might want to look at the potential of streamlining that process as well because right now if we want to just create a path in the park in the middle of a field, that requires a design and a bid in the process, and you know, could be a million dollars just to put out some pavement just so that we can walk somewhere. That doesn't really make sense when you look at And I actually just want to reiterate and

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you come with some very, very high marks and recommendations, Commissioner. I just want to tell you from my perspective, regardless of what you do as commissioner, no matter how wonderful you are, if you do not reform the capital situation, in my opinion you will have been a failure, because it is the biggest scandal, and I say this publicly knowing that there are folks in the room, the biggest scandal in the City of New York, and it's not your fault happily, you're just inheriting, is the scandal in related to the extortion that Council Members have to get funding for insane amounts of money to pay for improvements in their local parks. And the reason it's a scandal is because as you well know, there is inequities. So if you're in Manhattan or if you're in downtown Brooklyn or next to Prospect Park, you're getting millions of dollars. Meanwhile, us local Council Members we spend almost of our entire discretionary funds. My number one discretionary expenditure is on parks and five years later nothing's happening. And honestly it's a scandal of great

```
256
```

COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proportions and I just want to encourage you. I know it's a big portfolio and you're very popular and you'll be out and about. This in my opinion is the number one issue that needs to be addressed by the Parks Department, and I hope you take that equally seriously. then, finally, I just want to specifically thank the Brooklyn Parks Department. Parks Commissioner Jeffreys is very responsive on many of our issues that we have and is always there for us in a very professional manner, so we're certainly grateful for his leadership as well. And in terms of just the capital time, because I am somewhat focused on this for my remaining time. Can you tell us what you will be doing in terms of big picture? Will you be doing a top to bottom review? Will you have an audit? Will you be comparing it to other agencies? For example, we find that the parks to playgrounds happen much quicker than the regular parks, and part of that belief is that because SCA is involed and they're able to do it more quickly. So what steps will you be taking as a Commissioner to come back to us and

say, "Hey, here's what I got. Here's the recommendations. Here's how actually we're going to improve the situation." And I'll just add finally, the reason I'm so concerned is because it's come to a point where some Council Members honestly don't even bother giving money to parks anymore because they know that by the time the money comes through they'll likely be retired or dead, and that's just not an acceptable situation. Thank you.

what we're going to do as I've stated starting next month we're going to sit down and go through the entire capital process from the beginning until the permits are issued and it opens. And so we're going to take a look at each segment drill down, understand all the different procedures. There are regulations. There are requirements. There are permitting. There are staffing issues. We're going to look at each step of the process and ask some very hard questions. I don't know what we're going to uncover, but we're going to drill down very deep and then we're going to come back and

recommend a way forward of where we believe some efficiencies can be found. There may be certain things such as regulations and commissions and some outreach involved in those process, but we want to be able to break down each step of the process and have a conversation because over time people have requirements and the impact and implications certain requirements is that it adds time onto the process. So the approach is to sit down with staff, ask the hard questions, go through the entire process and find out where we can start finding out those efficiencies as well as specifications and cost estimates which is also part of that process. So beyond that, I can't get any more specific, but it's something--

## COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] Can you just tell us by when this will be done, just so that we know that we have a time frame here on the council?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yeah. My hope is that we're going to start the work in June, and maybe by the end of the summer we'll be

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

able to have some recommendations about how we
can possibly streamline.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Looking forward to that. Thank you and we wish you the best of luck.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member Greenfield. We'll now have

Council Member Rosenthal followed by Council

Member Treyger, part of your three minute

second round.

very much. So I just want to ask two more questions looking back, and then I'd really like to focus on looking forward. How much of the 260--236 million dollars was for cleaning up the Brownfield component of Ferry Point Park?

LIAM KAVANAGH: I'm sorry, I don't have that information with me right now, but we'll be able to provide that to you after the hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Is that something that you--you track that?

2 LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I mean, it's not--you just have it at your desk?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. And do you get super fund? Do you get federal money to reimburse on that?

LIAM KAVANAGH: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do--have you ever tried? Is that a option, opportunity, or just not something that's successful?

project like this which was a municipal landfill that is being converted to other uses would qualify for federal funding. It certainly was never deemed a super fund site, eligible for management by EPA. But it is a, you know, a situation that occurs all over the city.

There are these landfills that were developed in some cases to create new parks in the 30s and 40s and 50s and, you know, the chickens have sort of come home to roost. There are several of them around the city that cost

2 enormous amounts of money to convert them into
3 some beneficial public use.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Let me see if I have this right. The 190--so looking forward, the 190 acres will be luxury golf course and then the 30 acres surrounding will be open to the community for like a water park or how is that going?

LIAM KAVANAGH: It will be a public golf course, you know, open to anyone who wishes to play there, as our--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] What's the cost?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Excuse me?

16 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What's the

17 | cost?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

LIAM KAVANAGH: I don't remember what the rates are off the top of my head. It is higher than our other 12 golf courses, I do know that. It reflects the cost of operating a new facility.

23 LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON: Council
24 Member, Larry Scott-Blackmon, Deputy

25 | Commissioner.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aware.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON: How are you? It's important to note that the landfill was closed in the 60's. The community first proposed this project in 1972/1973. When the project started in 2000, this is a project that the community is strongly in support of. The community board, the district manager, the Council Member, the current Council Member, they all stood with the Parks Department at the PACB hearing and all voiced their support for the project and we understand the issues related to the cost, and in fact we've gone so far as to meet with the tenant association leader of the Throgsneck [sic] Houses, which is located right across the street, who again, wanted the project and would love to be a part of it. I personally met with her. Our Bronx Commissioner has met with her. They've been involved and they've been a part of this process. So Council Member Vacca is strongly in support of this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, I'm

toured the site with him, his staff and the community several times. This is a public golf course that will be open to the community, and we look forward to its ultimate completion sometime soon.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are there special considerations for the tenants living across the street in terms of access to the golf course, or will they too pay the 125 dollar admission fee?

the tenants across the street will be involved. Again, we've met with the tenant association president. That's something that we would do. The community park, which is right adjacent to the golf course is beautiful, and it's something that they clamored for and there are additional plans for that area.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Could you send me the specifics of the deal, the contract or the concession, or the franchise whatever it is with Trump, because my understanding is he'll be running the golf course for the next

2.4

five years and getting all the proceeds from it for the next five years, and then five years from now we, the city, starts to see our money coming back or no?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes, that is the case.

license agreement. We'll be happy to share it with you. The first five years of the payments are deferred for maintenance that the concessionaire Trump is performing on the golf course to establish the turf, which is something that we would normally have done through the capital project, but because of the timing of the concession agreement and their expertise at managing golf courses. We structured the deal in a way that makes that payment to concession—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
[interposing] Well, we have other golf courses-

LIAM KAVANAGH: Excuse me?

-	CONTITIBLE ON TIMES, BECCHION, BAVIRON BATTLE INCIDENTAL TRADITION & ABSOLUTION
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: in the
3	city. We have other golf courses that we
4	maintain.
5	LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes, but they're all
6	operated by concessionaires.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And-right, I'm finishing. Last question. So you're
going to send over the agreement, and shoot--I
may have to go to a third round. Go ahead.

LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON: And just to-COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

LARRY SCOTT-BLACKMON: Just to add to add to that, the Trump organization contributed a little over 10 million dollars towards the capital cost of the course. Just for the record.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Out of the 236 million. I don't think that was good.

 $\label{eq:limits} \mbox{LIAM KAVANAGH: No, that was in} \\ \mbox{addition.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: What I would suggest is that you reach out to Council Member Rosenthal and have a more informative meeting

with her on these details. Again, now we willhave our second round, Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you. 4 Commissioner Silver, at previous Parks 5 6 hearings, we had heard testimony that park land sustained over 700 million dollars in capital damage from super storm Sandy, to which I was 8 informed that little to none have been 9 reimbursed. We've been reimbursed to my 10 knowledge expense items, but to date are there 11 12 any figures that you have as far as 13 reimbursements for capital damage to park land? 14 COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, year to date we received reimbursement approvals of 15

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So you've received how many, 30?

72.4 million in expense cost. We've already

received 33.5 million of that 72.4 million.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: 33.5 in reimbursement, but we're approved for up to 72.4 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And this is for capital?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 COMMISSIONER SILVER: These are for 3 in expense. These are expense costs. COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: They're 4 reimbursements for capital damage as well? 5 COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm told the 6 7 answer is yes. COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: How much 8 have you received so far from capital 9 reimbursements? 10 [off mic] 11 12 COMMISSIONER SILVER: As--we haven't 13 received the reimbursements as of yet for 14 capital. That's expense. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay. So over 700 million dollars in capital damage to 16 park lands, zero dollars reimbursed for capital 17 18 damage. Is that correct? 19 COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, we'll double check it and we'll follow up with you. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay. I 21 mean, that is a critical issue and we're 22 talking about equity and fairness. It is my 23 24 expectation that when we do hopefully we do

receive this money, and my committee separate

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from this committee's will be following up on this making sure that those monies go back to the communities that sustained severe damage from super storm Sandy, particularly like Coney Island and parts of southern Brooklyn, other parts of this city as well, Redhook and other neighborhoods. I'd like to also just, you know, point out that something that we learned from the storm, there's Kaiser Park as you mentioned -- by the way, I applaud the Parks Department. They did a great job on the It's My Park Day. We had a lot of volunteers. It was great, but there's a park house there, and one thing that we learned from the park house is that it did not flood during super storm Sandy, and this is where I think coordination with the Parks Department and OEM could be critical because the evacuation center for Coney Islanders and Sea Gate and people from Southern Brooklyn actually is in Councilman Greenfield's District, FDR High School, which is almost near Borough Park, and they don't have the capacity to accommodate all southern Brooklyn to go into one school. If there are locations that we

could look at, maybe looking at turning the park house or some area in that neighborhood that did not flood into possibly a Parks and Recreational Center, one of which--I don't know of any in southern Brooklyn, a Parks and Rec center. And so that could serve a multipurpose in being a recreational facility affordable for families in southern Brooklyn and serve as an emergency center in the event, heaven forbid, of another storm. And this is where I really believe that coordination between your department, OEM can play a crucial, and I'd love to maybe hear any thoughts on that proposal and idea. I don't know of any recreational centers run by Parks in southern Brooklyn. Am I correct on that?

LIAM KAVANAGH: Yes, you are correct. We have the nature center and Marine Park, but we don't have any formal recreation centers in southern Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you, and I look forward to following up on these discussions. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you Council Member Treyger, and Council Member 3 Rosenthal has a 15 second questions. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It's 6 middle age muddle, you know. So, you'll get there, Julissa. And just real quickly, so you 8 say it's going to be, the golf course is going to be completed in two months, roughly August? 9 10 LIAM KAVANAGH: The construction will be completed. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: The what? 13 LIAM KAVANAGH: The construction will 14 be completed within two months, but the course will open for play next spring. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Why can't 16 it take advantage of the fall right now? Get--17 start the revenues. 18 19 LIAM KAVANAGH: There's a grow-in 20 period that you have to let nature happen in 21 order for the course to be fully established and--22

[interposing] And when does the concession start, then? When they open the door or does

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

23

24

it, does the concession contract--I'm anxious to get the five years completed so the city can start getting some money in the door. When does the contract--

LIAM KAVANAGH: [interposing] The payments begin when the course opens for play.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you

Council Member Rosenthal. And I'm sure she'll

have some more follow up questions, so don't go

anywhere. Thank you again. We're going to call

this part of the hearing to a close. Thank you

Commissioner. Congratulations, welcome aboard,

and I hope that we're able to get you any

additional questions, if you can get them back

to us so we can have them be part of the budget

negotiations, I would appreciate it. We're

going to take a two minute break, and we will

start with DEP.

[break]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: We will now resume the City Council's hearing on the Mayor's executive budget, FY 2015. The Finance Committee has now been joined by the Committee on Environmental Protection chaired by my

colleague Council Member Donovan Richards to 3 hear from the Department of Environmental Protection. I want to thank this moment to 4 5 thank the consideration of the Commissioner and her staff. I know that we're running now 6 officially an hour late, so I thank you for your patience. In the interest of time I will 8 forgo an opening statement and turn over the 9 10 mic to my Co-chair for a statement.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Thank you, Chairwoman Ferreras. Good afternoon. I am Council Member Donovan Richards, Chair of the Committee of Environmental Protection. This is a hearing on the fiscal 2015 executive budget for the Department of Environmental Protection held jointly with the Committee of Finance. Today, we will hear testimony from DEP about its 1.2 billion dollar fiscal 2015 expense budget, 9.2 billion dollar fiscal 2014 to 2018 capital commitment plan and general agency operations. The Committee looks forward to hearing about such important issues as an update on the plan Delaware Aqueduct Bypass Tunnel and preparations to minimize service

```
273
```

1 COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

impacts, including restarting the Queens ground water system, the status of building out new sewers and replacements of old sewer lines and the 1.2 billion dollar fiscal 2015 expense budget, including 160 million dollars in upstate property taxes. As a reminder, during the executive budget hearing cycle all public testimony is to be given at one hearing. This year it will be held Friday, June 6th starting at 4:00 p.m. to allow time for people to come after traditional work hours. I would like to introduce my colleagues in the Council who have joined us from the committee, Council Member Ulrich, Costa Constantinides, Miller, Council Member Miller, Council Member Cohen, and Council Member Rosenthal, and of course, Council Member Ferreras. Thank you. We will now--oh, I will let--do you do this or do I do it?

21 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: You can do 22 it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. I will take pleasure in doing it. We will now hear from the administration. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Thank you, and 3 good afternoon, Chairpersons Ferreras and Richards and members of the committee. I'm 4 Emily Lloyd, Commissioner of the New York City 5 Department of Environmental Protection, DEP, 6 and I'm joined today by Steven Lawitts on my left, DEP's Chief Financial Officer, Joseph 8 Murin on my far left, DEP's Assistant 9 10 Commissioner for Budget, and on my right, Deputy Commissioner Jim Roberts who is Deputy 11 12 Commissioner for the Bureau of Water and Sewer 13 Operations and we have other Deputy and 14 Assistant Commissions here as well so that when in my state of relative newness when words fail 15 me, I can ask others to jump in. Thank you for 16 the opportunity to testify on the fiscal year 17 2015 executive budget. Before I review the 18 expense and capital budgets, I would like to 19 review with you briefly the water rate increase 20 21 adopted for fiscal year '15. Although we understand that no increase is ever welcome, 22 the need to maintain and improve the delivery 23 of water and sewer service is critical. In the 2.4 absence of state and federal assistance for 25

8

9

10

drinking water and waste water infrastructure 3 water and sewer rates paid by our customers are the only source of revenue to support this 4 service, which is essential for local public 5 health, quality of life and economic 6 development, and which is highly regulated by state and federal governments. On April 23rd, DEP proposed to the New York City Water Board a 3.35 percent increase in the water rate to take effect on July 1. At its annual meeting on May 11 23<sup>rd</sup>, the Water Board adopted that rate 12 13 proposal. The adopted rate represents the 14 lowest increase in nine years and is less than half the published projection of 7.8 percent 15 anticipated for fiscal year '15. We were able 16 to reduce the fiscal year rate increase by 57 17 percent compared to the projection last year 18 for the following reasons: Mayor de Blasio's 19 return of an additional 10 percent or 22 20 21 million dollars of the fiscal year '14 rental payment to the water and sewer system. 22 combined with the 14 million dollar that will 23 24 be returned as part of the rental return pilot of the past three years that will bring it to a 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

total of 36 million dollars or 16.4 percent of this fiscal year '14 rental payment. Strong revenues; as of April 21, revenues were 166 million dollars or six percent ahead of projections due in part to the substantial completion of the automated meter reading initiative. Negotiations with our regulators have enabled us in some cases to better control our capital budget. Debt service payments that are 153 million dollars lower than projected for fiscal year '14 due to continue low interest rates, and finally our continued commitment to efficiency and cost cutting while improving the quality of services we provide to New Yorkers. Under the adopted rate, a typical single family homeowner will see an increase from 992 dollars a year to 1,025 dollars a year for water and sewer bills in fiscal year '15, less than three dollar a month increase based on an average consumption of 80,000 gallons of water per year. A typical multi family unit in a building with metered billing will see an increase from 645 dollars per unit per year to 666, less than two dollars a month based on an

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

average consumption of 52,000 gallons of water per year. Customers who use less than approximately 100 gallons per year are billed at a minimum charge of one dollar and 27 cents per day, which has been frozen from fiscal year '14 rate and will not increase at all in fiscal year '15. Approximately 25 percent of single family bills are issued at the minimum charge. So a large percentage of our customers will benefit from the freezing of this rate. DEP is also implementing a number of additional measures to improve service and make it easier for rate payers to pay their bills, including creation of a low income assistance program, expansion of the leak forgiveness program, freezing of the minimum charge, extension of the deadline for customers to file an appeal with the Water Board, halting the lien sale process for customers with a pending appeal and suspension of interest for customers with a catch-up bill after automated meter reader installation. The projected expense budget for the current fiscal year, fiscal year '14, is one billion--1.57 billion dollars. This

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

includes approximately 372 million dollars in community development block grant funds for the Build it Back program and 84 million dollars and other Sandy related funds for which DEP serves as the contracting entity for the city. For fiscal year '15 we expect DEP's expense budget to be 1.12 billion, a net increase of 60 million from the preliminary budget estimate. Highlights of the net changes to the preliminary budget include the following: an increase of 13.3 million dollars to the Water for the Future Water Conservation Program, which is related to the Delaware Aqueduct shutdown that is planned as part of the repair of the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel; increases totaling 11 million dollars for equipment repairs at the wastewater treatment plants; in maintenance contracts with the Croton filtration plant and the Third Water Tunnel; and for costs related to the capacity management operations and maintenance or CMOM program; an increase of 8.3 million dollars to fund investigated studies related to the EPA listed super fund sites that the Gowanus Canal

and at Newtown Creek; a 7.3 million dollar 3 increase related to items that now have to be listed as expensive based on the Comptroller's 4 Directive 10, which governs capital 5 6 eligibility; projected increases of 4.6 million dollars in property taxes for land owned in the upstate water sheds; savings of 12.5 million 8 from re-estimates of the heat, light and power 9 10 and fuel budgets for the Agency; and finally, savings from biosolids of three million dollars 11 12 as a result of new, lower price contracts. 13 expense budget breaks down into the following 14 large categories: Personal services; the fiscal year 2015 budget projects 455 million 15 dollars, 39 percent of the total in personal 16 services to pay the salaries of our nearly 17 6,000 funded positions. As with other agencies, 18 changes in employee benefits are not shown in 19 20 individual agencies. Taxes on upstate 21 watershed lands make up the next largest category, accounting for 160 million dollars or 22 nearly 15 percent of the expense budget. The 23 24 ownership of watershed lands represents a critical investment in maintaining the high 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

quality of New York City's drinking water by protecting it as the source and ensuring that it does not require more expensive treatment such as filtration. I am pleased to report that we have successfully negotiated agreements with upstate jurisdictions to make our tax obligations more stable and predictable and in some cases to reduce them. Heat, light and power, DEP's energy costs account for 101 million dollars or 10 percent of the fiscal year '15 expense budget. DEP is the third largest municipal consumer of electric power in New York City after the Department of Education and the Health and Hospitals Corporation, and our consumption will grow as we bring new treatment facilities online for both drinking water and waste water. To control energy costs and reduce our greenhouse gas emissions, DEP is investing in projects to reduce energy needs. One of these is a co-generation plant at the North River Wastewater Treatment Plant that I will mention shortly. Chemicals such as chlorine and fluoride that are used in the treatment of drinking water and other chemicals

25

used during the wastewater treatment process account for 53 million dollars, which is five 3 percent of our total budget. Finally, 4 management of 1,200 tons per day of sludge is 5 projected to cost about 37 million dollars in 6 fiscal year '15, or about four percent of our projected fiscal year '15 expenses. DEP's 8 fiscal '15 capital budget is 7.6 billion 9 dollars for fiscal years '15 through '18 as 10 presented by Mayor de Blasio on May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2014. 11 12 Highlights of the four year plan are as 13 follows: The four year plan projects that 2.7 14 billion investment in wastewater treatment projects, 2.2 billion of which is for the 15 reconstruction or replacement of components of 16 17 the wastewater treatment plants and pumping stations. The remaining 525 million dollar 18 investment will be used to mitigate combined 19 sewer overflows with 360 million dollars for 20 21 green infrastructure such as green roofs and bioswales and the reminder for gray 22 infrastructure such as improvements to aeration 23 24 systems at English Kills and regulator

modifications in Newtown Creek and Flushing

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bay. In addition, 175 million dollars is budgeted for the construction of a new cogeneration plant the North River Wastewater Treatment Plant. The new co-generation plant will use renewable digester gas produced by the wastewater treatment process to both power equipment and heat the facility. It will help us reduce our energy use and help the city meet the ambitious greenhouse gas emission reduction goal of PlaNYC. Over the next four years, the Administration is proposing to invest an additional two billion dollars in protecting the quality of our reservoirs and the integrity of our dams and maintaining and repairing the water main system conveying potable water to all New Yorkers. We have budgeted 253 million dollars for the reconstruction of dams in our three watersheds and 16 million dollars to pressurize a two in one half mile segment of the Catskill Aqueduct, which will increase the volume of water available to the city and reestablish DEP's ability to bypass Kensico Reservoir when necessary to access the highest quality water. For the continuation of our

current Filtration Avoidance Determination Program, the fiscal year '15 through '18 3 capital plan includes 194 million dollars 4 5 covering all our capital needs for the current Filtration Avoidance Plan or FAP, including 90 6 million dollars for land acquisition upstate. Storm sewers either new or reconstructed 8 account for 521 million dollars of projected 9 spending of which 163 million dollars is for 10 high level storm sewers including Third Avenue 11 12 in Brooklyn, and 192 million dollars of the 13 total is for both the conventional sewers and 14 the lands necessary to create Bluebelt systems which are being extended beyond Staten Island 15 to Springfield Lake in Queens, Van Cortlandt 16 Park and the New York Botanical Garden as well 17 as other locations. I'd like to mention a 18 couple of important capital projects planned 19 for each borough. In Queens, the executive 20 budget allocated a total of 1.2 billion dollars 21 for projects of all types. Sewers account for 22 272 million dollars. Work on two shaft sites 23 24 connected with the Brooklyn/Queens section of City Water Tunnel Three is budgeted for 43 25

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

15

16

21

24

25

million dollars. We project 143 million dollars to evaluate, assess and restore ground water wells in southeast Queens for the purpose of providing additional water during the Rondout Bypass Construction and during any drought or other instances in which the city's surface water supplies are not adequate. In Staten Island, the executive budget projects a total of 492 million dollars of which 321 million is for sewers and 182 million for the 11 Bluebelt program. The Snug Harbor Bluebelt 13 Project is budgeted for 23.9 million dollars. 14 Repairs to the Oakwood Beach Wastewater Treatment Plant and to the Hannah Street pumping station are projected to cost 79.6 million dollars. I note that the executive 17 budget does not include the cost of repairing 18 damage to the Staten Island siphon project 19 20 caused by Sandy. The cost of the damage to that project which will replace the two existing underwater siphons that supply 22 drinking water to Staten Island are under 23 discussion. Some of the cost may be covered by the contractor's insurance. We are expecting

that the federal government will cover any 3 uninsured costs. In the Bronx, the executive budget projects 533 million dollars of capital 4 spending from fiscal year '15 through '18. 5 6 Approximately 143 million is budgeted for the Hunts Point wastewater treatment plant including 50 million dollars for new 8 centrifuges and 91 million dollars for new 9 10 digesters. Restoration of the Mosholu Driving Range Club House and related work is budgeted 11 12 for 46 million dollars in fiscal year '15. To 13 reduce combined sewer overflows in Pugsley 14 Creek and on the Long Island Sound, DEP has budgeted 72 million dollars in fiscal year 2015 15 for construction of the parallel sewer that 16 will divert flow away from the creek. In 17 Manhattan, the executive budget allocates 720 18 million dollars between fiscal year '15 and 19 20 '18. The largest single project is the 175 21 million dollar co-generation project at the North River Wastewater Treatment Plant. As 22 mentioned above, the cogeneration project will 23 24 replace existing equipment for recycling

digester gas with a more efficient system that

```
286
```

```
COMMITTEES ON FINANCE, EDUCATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND PARKS & RECREATION
```

1 will allow more of the plant's energy needs to 3 be generated by the plant itself, thereby reducing energy costs and air emissions. 4 Another 270 million is for the several projects 5 at the Ward's Island Wastewater Treatment 6 Plant, reconstruction of final tanks, reconstruction of the boiler complex and 8 installation of new de-watering centrifuges. In 9 10 addition to the funds budgeted for City Water Tunnel Number Three mentioned previously, 160 11 12 million will fund the construction of water 13 mains connecting two of the City Water Tunnel 14 Three shafts with a local water distribution system. In Brooklyn, the executive budget 15 includes 860 million dollars of planned 16 commitments, the 26 Ward Wastewater Treatment 17 plant, and associated sewer work to reduce 18 CSO's into Fresh Creek account for 282 million. 19 An additional 102 million is projected in 20 21 fiscal year '18 for Coney Island sewers. I want to take this opportunity to remind the 22 committees that DEP remains concerned about 23 unfunded state and federal mandates that 24

threaten our ability to provide real value and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services for every dollar we receive from our rate payers. As you know, we've expressed doubt about the timing or need for certain federally mandated projects that place enormous pressure on the rates. For example, we do not believe that building a concrete cover over Hill's View Reservoir in Yonkers at the cost in excess of a billion dollars is necessary to protect our drinking water, but a blanket EPA rule that we do not agree applies to our system says otherwise. We are very involved in sponsoring scientific research and in promoting sensible solutions and we are certainly committed above all to protecting public health. I do not want to close without saying a word about our plans to become more resilient. In October 2013 on the first anniversary of hurricane Sandy, DEP released the New York City Wastewater Resiliency Plan, a comprehensive assessment of the risk that climate change poses to our wastewater collection and treatment system. The study initiated in 2011 and expanded after hurricane Sandy was based on an asset by asset analysis of the risks from storm surge under

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

new flood maps at all 14 treatment plants and 58 pumping stations representing more than one billion in infrastructure. If no action is taken, we project that damage to the equipment from repeated coastal flooding at projected rising sea levels could exceed two billion dollars over the next 50 years. We estimate that if we invest 315 million dollars now to protect valuable equipment and minimize disruptions to critical services during future storms, we will help protect this infrastructure from the two billion dollar plus in repeated flooding losses. We anticipate that we will receive approximately 200 million dollars in combined zero interest loans and grants through the storm mitigation loan program being administered by New York State to support this effort. DEP will coordinate this work with the broader coastal projection initiative such as engineered barriers in wetlands described in the 2013 report, "A Stronger and More Resilient New York," and continue to implement the drinking water and drainage strategies identified in the report to

2.4

mitigate the impacts of future extreme weather events and climate change. On behalf of the almost 6,000 employees of DEP who make a difference each and every day for our environment, I want to thank the Chairs for their support of our agency and express our continued commitment to work with the Council and your committees going forward. That completes my prepared statement. Thank you so much for the opportunity to present testimony and for your patience in listening and I look forward to answering your questions.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Commissioner Lloyd. I'm going to ask two

capital questions very specific with budget

details and then something on my dear Flushing

Bay. And we'll then pass it over to my co
chair. So I want to talk about the accuracy in

forecasting in the capital commitment plan. You

know, I understand that you've engaged in some

very positive steps forward. The DOP rolled out

some capital funding from fiscal 2014 to fiscal

2017 and 2018 and not all--you didn't front

load it into fiscal '15, and I know that's part

of the Administration's vision moving forward with capital plans. This in line with transparency measures that the council called for, to move commitment forecast to the outer years. How confident are you seeing within your five year plan the accuracy represents timing of capital dollars? However, DEP's fiscal 2015 allocation of three billion dollars and 316.3 million dollars of which was rolled to fiscal-from fiscal 2014 still seem quite large. How confident are you that you will be able to commit most of the three million--of this three billion dollars which is doable, the 1.4 billion that DEP was able to commit to in fiscal '13? So that was a lot. How confident are we that you're going to spend--obviously your capital investments are huge. probably one of our larger agencies with your capital commitments. We weren't able to successfully spend down in 2014. Now I know that you're pushing things out. How confident can we be in this council that you will spend your 1.5 billion in 2015?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Well, I'm sure COMMISSIONER LLOYD: 3 you know we are as concerned about this as you are, and we recognize three billion dollars as 4 a very large number. I think that our goal is 5 always to achieve as close to 100 percent 6 commitment as we can, but we know historically we've committed about 75 percent of our capital 8 plan. In fiscal year 15 we very optimistic 9 10 because one-third of the capital plan consists of just six big projects, and we feel confident 11 12 that those projects are in good shape to get 13 committed. I'll just mention a couple of them. 14 They may sound familiar to you. One, I've already mentioned the Delaware Rondout Aqueduct 15 Tunnel. We're already starting work on that. We 16 17 feel that that is going to move ahead at the rate that we have projected so far. Another is 18 the 26 Ward primary settling tank and solids 19 20 handling. Again, we're quite comfortable that that project--well, I wouldn't--I don't ever 21 like to say comfortable. We're very optimistic. 22 We're quite confident that that project that we 23 24 know was going to--is going to entail and that

we would be able to get it committed and keep

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moving it ahead. The parallel sewers that we've been doing are actually less complicated projects and we are able to get those out more quickly than in some of the past projects. And another big one is this wastewater cogeneration project at North River, which we're giving a lot of attention to. So for that, for those projects we feel and speaking as someone who was a DEP before and now and away and then back, when we had those mega projects that we were trying to get done at the fever sheet [sic] of construction where we were actually competing against ourselves to get contractors and to get the work done, we had at times a hard time keeping them moving forward at the pace we wanted to. Sometimes we rebid a contract trying to get a lower price for example, but with these projects, we feel that the size is such that we really have our brain around them. They're not as complex as perhaps the Croton filtration plant was, and that we are really well on our way to having those in hand and ready to be committed. The other projects are numerous and smaller, and on those

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it's really a question of our marshalling our resources to make sure that we are working with all of our sister agencies to make sure that they don't get stuck along the way to getting approved by OMB or signed off by the Mayor's office, contracts all of which are important things to do, but they have to be followed every step of the way so that they don't get slowed down. What we are planning to do, we feel that we have a lot of capacity now to focus on that, but we want to make sure that we calibrate. We are going to be looking at the capital plan every quarter and making sure that we're on top of things, and we will know early if there's any problem we think, if there's a problem developing with a significant number of projects. But at this point we feel optimistic that we can move ahead with a significant portion of them.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And I know that you highlighted some, what was your process in choosing one or, yeah, choosing one project over another and what was your priority? What was your measurables? Because

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we all have to respond to the community and I know that DEP isn't always a thing that people see, but when it breaks down it's the first that people feel.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Right. We over the past, I take no credit for this because it was not while I was there, but DEP over the past few years did an asset evaluation process. They looked at all of the parts of all the equipment they have, whether it's at a wastewater treatment plant or it's a regulator in the water supply system, and they evaluated the condition it was in, the risk that it would fail within a certain amount of time, and the amount of disruption that would come with that failure, either to a treatment process or service to customers. And based on the likelihood of failure and the magnitude of the negative effect of a failure, they ranked all of the assets in descending order, from most urgent to slightly less urgent, and so that's been the basis for what goes to the top of the list and we're happy to share that information, but it's actually been done in a pretty

2.4

systematic way to try to both get at the things that are most likely to fail and the ones that are most likely to really cause a lot of problems.

Share that with the committee we'd appreciate it. Now I want—and thank you for addressing the water rates. It was actually something that I was going to ask, but you very clearly spoke about it in the testimony, the only anxiety that we have is with such a large capital investment plan do you foresee any opportunities to provide relief in perhaps being able to waive the rate or, you know, having something kind of going the other direction to relieve or homeowners. I find it a little bit challenging with the capital needs that we have forecasted.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And we worry about that as well. The rates have gone up quite a lot over the past few years and I have to say that I advocated for that and really set it in motion because I felt the agency was underfunded for both the capital work it had to

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do and to operate, to clean out the catch basins more frequently, to make sure that we had the staff to respond to water main breaks as quickly as we wanted to. I really felt the agency was not as strong as it needed to be and I think we made a case and were able to convince people to let us increase rates. That is tapering off now. A big piece of what has driven the rate increases has been the debt, and that has been driven by these very, very large mandates coming from the two basic pieces of federal legislation that drive all of the wastewater and drinking water operations. as the borrowing [sic] from those huge projects went up in the mid oughts [sic]. That's now really continuing to grow out, because the old debt that we're retiring from the early days of the water board 30 years ago are maybe 500 million dollars a year, and what we were adding back in the mid oughts [sic] might be--what was the most a year? 3.7 billion dollars. So that is still--that's still gaining on us and will be for a while. The position we're in now is that we have a bit of a break. I never--it

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

won't go away forever, but we have a bit of a break in the mandates. We've caught up a little bit, but now we have to play a lot of catch up on other things like drainage problems in areas that are under sewered, and so we don't want to say, "Oh, thank goodness we've finished Newtown Creek. Good, we can, you know, not increase the water rate and we just won't do those sewers." Because they've been waiting to get done. We now would like to pick up the pace on some of those things, not that we stopped doing them altogether, but we weren't able to do as much as we would have liked to have done. So now we feel that it's an opportunity to play catch up. I think it would be great if we could have a sit down and really talk through the projects and the ones that we feel urgently we want to keep funding our capital project, in our capital program that keeps it as large as it is and why we're so reluctant to drop them or defer them for much longer. Because we also, we do very much worry about the affordability of the water rate. We've looked across the country at things that other people do. Let me

just mention a couple things that I mentioned 3 very quickly that goes to your question, I think. One is a program that we are going to 4 5 roll out similar to the HEAP [sic] program. 6 It's the homeowner water assistance program. We are going to ask HRA to use the exact same criteria for certifying homeowners to be 8 eligible for that program and then we will 9 10 through the city budget provide a way for people to get a credit against their water bill 11 12 so that that will bring down their water bill 13 if they can register and show that they have a 14 real need, and we think that that will be a significant number of people and many of them 15 will be single family homeowners and many of 16 them will be elderly, which are many of the 17 people that have trouble paying their water 18 bills. They're on a fixed income. They're not 19 using that much water but sometimes they'll 20 21 have a leak in the house, and, you know, they're all--all the problems that go with 22 that. So we think--we're very eager to see how 23 24 that's going to help. We think that's going to make a difference, and then the other is the 25

expansion of the leak forgiveness program. 3 About 25 percent of the inquiries we get typically saying there's something wrong with 4 5 my water bill. Go to leaks in faucets in toilets. Here to for we would not reimburse 6 people for those costs or forgive those costs because we thought the homeowners should be 8 aware of that happening. You know, you hear the 9 10 toilet running. You can see the leak, but in 11 fact that doesn't happen. People, you know, 12 until they realize how much it's going to cost 13 them may not pay close attention to it. So now 14 we are putting in place an expanded program that those leaks as well, if people will just 15 show us that they've had it fixed, we will then 16 forgive the cost. And so we think that's also 17 going to help out a lot, especially in some 18 older properties. So I'm probably going on too 19 20 much. That's too great a length.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: No, not at all.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: But we share your concern and are trying to find ways to

21

22

23

2 make it, to give some support to the property
3 owners who are having a hard time.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: I mean, you've delivered fantastic news, and you know, we'd probably be jumping in our chairs if it wasn't so late. But the homeowner's assistance program I think is going to be a huge relief and the forgiveness program, because it is overwhelming for people to find out that they have a leak by their bill and not understanding, you know, we have old homes and a whole host of other issues. I would just urge you and seeing in ways how we can work with some families that may be on the brink of getting into the lien because of their water rates, and perhaps, I know that you're working with HRA, but seeing how we can find some opportunities working with the Department of Finance to see we're able to help some of those families before they go into a lien sale or get into further trouble and the potential of them losing their homes because they didn't pay a water bill.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And we share that interest. We think we don't have the skill 3 set to make judgments about --4 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing] 5 6 Right. COMMISSIONER LLOYD: you know, who should--8 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: [interposing] 9 10 Who's what, right. COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So that's why we 11 12 turn to HRA to say you're good at doing this, 13 help us out. And I think it might be them. It 14 might be somebody else like finance, because they also have programs, but I--we agree that 15 that's the next round of things we should look 16 17 at. CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Great, that's 18 19 totally making sense. I'm going to ask my last 20 question which is very important to my 21 constituents, and I think anybody flying out of La Guardia airport or at City Field watching a 22 game or at the USTA or walking in the 23

promenade, and that is the putrid stench that

come from Flushing Bay. And I know that you

24

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were at DEP so I'm sure you heard this already, and the attempts and the cost of fixing the situation. I had spoken with the former Borough President Claire Schulman, former Borough President Helen Marshall, and they've all tried to take a stab at this issue, and now I'm challenging you and everyone else that's involved with helping. You know, there's some stories that was created by the Port Authority because of the famous finger and the expansion of the runways; that the Army Corps of Engineers has something to do with it. There was an investment of creating something to help mitigate the sewage, but the reality is we have raw sewage spilling from all directions into the bay and for homeowners they don't understand, including myself, we don't understand nor do we want to figure out how it got there. We just want to know how it's going to be fixed, because after a storm I wake in my home, which is about maybe two miles away, and I can smell that coming in through the sewer system. I can smell the bay. Or just driving,

or going for a walk in the promenade at our -- in

Flushing Meadows Corona Park and you can't walk because of the stench. And it has to do with low tide, high tide. I'm sure you can speak to the complexities of it. How much is this going to cost to fix this? Do we know how to fix this? And I would hope that that's maybe one of your priorities coming up the pipe.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay.

not only caused by CSO's but certainly
contributed to significantly, and the project
that is underway to try to address that is a
dredging project, to dredge sediment because
now there are mounds of sediment, and the
sediment may not all be CSO material, but the
CSO permeates it, and it traps the odors. And
so--and Flushing Bay does not flush because of,
you know--I'm always happy to have the
opportunity to blame the Port Authority for
something, but--my former employer, but I think
that in fact the dredging is going to be the
most immediate thing we can do. If that does
not solve the problem, we'll have to go on to

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the next thing, but that is currently being designed. It's at about 30 percent of the design. We think it's going to cost about 50 million dollars to do the dredging, and the schedule we have right now is that we are meant to have. It's slightly more complicated than one would like to think to do this properly, but we'll have partial design of the dredging operation by spring 2015. We think we'll have design by that fall, and then we are meant to have--I don't have the exact date, but we're meant to get the notice to proceed out within a fixed amount of time after that. Now, during dredging there will be odors as well. So, you know, it gets--stays as bad and maybe even gets a little worse before it gets better, but we think that is going to go a very long way to solving this problem.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Which is absolutely fine as long as I'm able to give communication to the residents and so that we can tell people ahead of time that there's a light at the end, or there's, you know, fresh air at the end of the tunnel. So I'd love to

work with you to make sure that we're giving notice through this process, and this is something that's very eagerly awaited by my constituents, so I will--

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing]

And we will be happy to share all that
information with you so that you can share with
your constituents.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Excellent. I am now going to give it over to my Co-chair, Council Member Richards.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, good afternoon. I think we heard a lot of good news today.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So far. But we're going to try to get into a little bit more specifics. So the first question I will raise is on the catch basins, and wanted to know have you guys allocated more money into catch basin cleaning? In particular especially in the event of the last few storms that we've had. I know Council Member Ulrich is here. I know that he had some major flooding in Howard

2.4

Beach and I know that we in particular get

flooded a lot in particular areas in Rosedale

and Springfield as you know and Saint Albins

[sic] and other places, and wanted to know what

are you guys looking to do differently in this

budget in terms of catch basin cleaning?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So I am going to ask Deputy Commissioner Jim Roberts to respond to your question because he will be much more complete than I'm able to be.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

On the question of allocating additional funding to catch basin cleaning, we really don't have a direct line for catch basin cleaning per say. It falls under the general funds for all the maintenance work that we do. What we have done or what we have seen over the last several years really is that the number of complaints relative to catch basin flooding have really trended down, and we attribute that to a number of things, not the least of which is a more robust sort of programmatic sewer cleaning and just really paying more focused

attention to the areas of, you know, concern. As relates to the Lindenwood [sic] area in 3 Council Member Ulrich's district and also on 4 5 the Brooklyn side as well, that was a problem not associated really with the, if you will, 6 the sewer infrastructure in the street as much as it was a malfunction with one of the CSO 8 plants that is in Spring Creek there. So that 9 10 was an issue with the computer hardware there at that. So the catch basin per say wouldn't 11

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. So the city was liable for that in other words?

have anything to do with that.

JIM ROBERTS: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: The city was liable for that issue?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I think what we're supposed to say to answer that properly is that we have--our indication was that there was a malfunction. We will send--we're completing that report. We will send it to the Comptroller. They make a determination--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]

25 | Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: of that. 3 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, catch--so I understand in the general budget, you're 4 saying that catch basin clean, and I under--I'm 5 going to differ with you on the catch basin 6 issue, because a lot of resident, quite frankly, who have catch basins are sick and 8 tired of calling 311. And we get a lot of that 9 10 in our communities, matter of fact. And I want 11 to thank you guys. I was just at a 12 constituent's home in Far Rock Away, in 13 particular, a few weeks ago and they were 14 calling to get their catch basin cleaned out, and unfortunately it was not cleaned out in 15 time and it caused a major issue with their 16 17 line and their home was flooded. So, you know, I'm going to differ with you because a lot of 18 our constituents, in particular in southern 19 Queens in particular I know for sure are tired 20 21 of calling 311. So I would hope that we're really going to look at catch basin maintenance 22 more than we have in the past, and I think, you 23 24 know, right now that's unacceptable because we

understand that although 311 complaints may

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

have decreased, we know our constituents are calling us any time there's a major rain and complain about catch basin clean up.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I think that the thing that has improved is that we have allocated more resources. There's not a line in the budget, but we--ten years ago the cycle on which we actually went in and inspected catch basins and cleaned them out if they needed it. They don't always need it, sometimes they just function perfectly or almost perfectly, was almost laughable. Now, we do get around to all of them to check them on a regular time basis so frequently. We do think in some areas we're having a lot fewer problems with the catch when there's a lot of rain with the catch basin not working because it's actually clogged in some way. One problem that we are having, but I think we have to continue to improve that and continue to--I'm very eager if people are capable of going online to have them go online with complaints rather than call it in, because then there's a record of it even if sometimes the operator says we already have that one, and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they don't wait to get a number, and I do like to have all the numbers of how many people actually had a problem. But the other thing that we're getting and I think it's--we're thinking about how to do an effective outreach that doesn't appear, doesn't seem to say that we're trying to slough off our responsibility because we're not, but one of the problems that we do have with the kinds of storms we're getting, these more intense storm cells, they really scour the street and they wash any debris from the trees, which there is nine months out of the year usually, plus any other trash that's in the street, and they wash it down and it mats on top of the catch basin, and then that impedes how much water can go into the catch basins. So one of the things we really, I really hope to do, I'm not sure anyone agrees with me yet is to really do some outreach around having people in neighborhoods just, 'cause we can't go out every time it says it's going to rain and check 180,000 catch basins. We can go to the trouble areas, typical trouble areas and check on them and try to make sure there are no problems, but if we could

just get people routinely if they live near a

catch basin to have a look. It's not that hard

to just take the stuff off the top, and you

know, put it in a bag and put it in the

garbage. That can make a huge difference.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. I will--we'll speak about that--

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] We'll talk about it more.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: in terms as we move on, but I'm hoping that, you know, we're certainly going to make a bigger effort in terms of catch basin maintenance. Second question I had was on the clean heat program, which was funded in I believe '14. Are you guys moving forward with that particular program?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Yes, I'm going to--yes, we think we are. I'm going to ask

Deputy Commissioner Lawitts to answer.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Thank you. Yes,

Mr. Chair, we have a contract for approximately

1.4 million dollars with the Environmental
Defense Fund to provide outreach and advisory
services to building owners for conversion to
clean heat. That contract expires at the end of
this fiscal year, but we are consistent with
the Mayor's announcement last week that the
program is being extended. We are looking to
renew that contract as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, great.

That's good news. The question I had was on enforcement, and I know that I've brought this issue up before in terms of really working with building owners in particular in neighborhoods like East Harlem and The Bronx to make sure that they're really moving to convert from number six to four or four to two. And are we seeing progress with that, and are we going to see more enforcement from DEP on that particular issue? In those particular areas especially where air quality, where we have high asthma rates.

STEVEN LAWITTS: So in terms of the resources devoted to air enforcement which also includes noise enforcement, we have 44

2 inspectors, and we can provide you specific 3 numbers of notices of violations we found.

2.4

things I raised at the preliminary budget
hearing was my concern with the low amount of
enforcement agents that we have. I mean, we
have eight million New Yorkers or over that,
and divide 44 into five boroughs, how are they
effectively being served with 44 enforcement
agents? And you know, Commissioner, I know you
said that we would look to do better. Are we
really going to look to do better?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Well, I think
that the numbers we've been looking at is how
many have been converting and we're seeing a
not--you know, we're seeing a steady trend
towards conversion, and I think we mentioned at
the last hearing that the--we have made an
agreement. I'm not exactly sure the legal way
to describe it, but with the environmental
control board that if, rather than having to
issue three notices of violation before they
will issue a cease and desist, they will do it
after one if it's not cured after that one

notice of violation. So that's shortening the amount of time it takes to get people to come into compliance significantly. So we think we're seeing an acceleration in the pace of people coming into compliance. The other thing we looked at is the buildings that are in noncompliance are fairly concentrated. So that's actually an advantage to us in terms of enforcement because it's much more efficient to get around in a relatively small geographic area. So at this point we have not added slots for enforcement, but we're watching it very closely and we'd be glad to share those numbers with you in the terms of the number of buildings that have come into compliance and the numbers of buildings still to go and how many violations we've done because I think you'll be encouraged. You may not be satisfied, but I think you'll be encouraged that we're making progress.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So you're saying the 44 enforcement agents do both air and noise?

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2	STEVEN LAWITTS: That's correct, and
3	I forgot to add earlier that we were also
4	funded, the Mayor funded us for about 1.2
5	million dollars in overtime for this group of
6	agents, so that provides the equivalent number
7	of post to about 10 additional agents. So
8	almost at 25 percent increase in output.
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, how many
10	noise complaints did we get last year in New
11	York City? Do you have those numbers?
12	STEVEN LAWITTS: Yeah, as I said
13	earlier, we'll provide you with the details of
14	the noise complaints and air complaints.
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I believe
16	noise comwhat'd you say, noise complaints
17	were the number one 311 issue?
18	STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So do you
20	think 44 enforcement agents are a sufficient
21	amount of agents to deal with noiseto deal
22	with noise? If it's the number one complaint.
23	COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I do think that
24	we have, and I apologize I don't have the

numbers with me today, but we do have fairly

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ambitious goals in terms of how quickly we respond to noise complaints. As you know, many of them have to be scheduled responses. It's-you know, if it's a night club we have to go on a Saturday night, we can't go on a Tuesday afternoon, because it's going be quiet as a mouse. And so the noise complaints frequently can be and have to be scheduled at very odd hours. So that makes it easier during the business day if we have overtime for the inspectors to go around and be doing inspections of the building. So we thought -- we think we're making good progress, but you know, we'll be willing to hear that we're not, that you're not satisfied with that. If you don't feel like you're starting to see numbers that seem like we're making--gaining ground as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, I don't want to beat a dead horse, but 44, and I understand overtime, but I cannot remember and Daneek probably can when he speaks can raise this too, I've never seen a DEP noise enforcement agent in my community, and I worked

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the Council for almost 11 years. So, and my constituents also, because this is a big issue in particular especially as we approach summer in southern queens because we got a lot of back yards. We get the house parties. We've never seen an enforcement agent from DEP. So I'm wondering where are the concentration of these agents at and whom are they particularly serving when it comes to noise? So I don't want to keep going back and forth. I think you get my gist, but I think that we really, if we're serious about addressing noise which is a huge quality of life issue for homeowners, then we should see an increase in agents. We must see it, and then, you know, PD, police department, is--you know, we're overwhelming them because to me there's not a coordination between the two as well. So maybe I think DEP can do the strive to really address this issue to aid PD at the very least, because they're overtaxed in the summer too. So I'm, you know, I'm not happy that I don't see it in this particular budget, but I'm hoping that, you know, by the time we pass budget you'll come

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back to us with more enforcement agents. I know we're going to get to other rounds of questions and I don't want to take up all the time yet. I'll come back later. I wanted to touch on the 143 million for Southern Queens, and just wanted to hear a little bit more about what your plans are in terms of the wells. Are they pumping now? Are you guys looking to pump This next, I think next fiscal year I them? see 75 million dollars I believe in the budget that's allocated towards that, or I could be wrong with the number because your testimony reflects something different than you sent us. So I was just wondering what--can you just give us a little bit more information on that?

JIM ROBERTS: So I can. So we are currently in the process of reviewing what we call site specific basis of design reports, SOBDR's, which will formulate the basis for the upcoming design projects that will go before construction. You're probably two years or so out from actual construction, but they are making, I think, significant and productive progress with the assessments that we've done.

I think the good news is we've to date we haven't really seen any sort of odd balls or curve balls. There's been nothing that's sort of caught us off guard. So as we work through that process we can obviously keep you up to date, but it's basically the continuation of these design reports, then the design process and then they'll go out to construction.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I know there was an article in News Day yesterday that raised the issue of Nassau County's objection to the Water for the Future Plan. Can you touch on that, or are we moving ahead full steam regardless of how Nassau feels?

not a lot to talk about specifically. We are aware of the article. I think there are some, you know, views in there that we might not necessarily agree with and as the process moves forward we'll sort of take on the questions and the challenges from there, but I don't see anything that's going to deter us from where we're headed.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And we anticipate we're going to spend all of this money in Southern Queens. So we're not going to see this money moved out.

JIM ROBERTS: This money is budgeted for that purpose, and again, it's in support of a big program initiative, you know, as the Commissioner mentioned earlier. One thing is dove tailed with the other.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I want to applaud you for that, too, by the way. We are very grateful and, you know, this is a long time coming and we're really hoping that we're going to see relief for many of these homeowners and communities that are under water every time it rains. My last question before we go to my colleagues is on the automatic meter readers, which I think you touched on a little bit earlier. So can you just go through that process again and how soon do we believe that that process is going to be in place for homeowners to be forgiven if they fix, you know, their particular leaks so that they're not overcharged, you know, tremendously. I'm

very concerned about the lien sales that are happening, and one of my biggest concerns as well is, you know, we had a lot of families who went through super storm Sandy, and they may not have been home to know if something was leaking and might have ended up with a lien on their property because of that, so I'm very concerned about that and want to know how soon are we going to really work to ensure that this program gets up and running so that we're not putting people out of their homes.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I'm going to turn it over to Deputy Commissioner Joe Singleton [sic].

JOE SINGLETON: Good afternoon,
Chairman and members. I'll address the most
pressing issue first that you raised which was
homes that might have been in the super storm
Sandy that have had damage. Anything that was
in those flood zones that had delinquencies
were pulled out of those lien sales. That was
done holistically, anything that was red,
anything that was yellow. So that was--

1 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] 3 Sorry to cut you off. So during the storm--JOE SINGLETON: [interposing] So, if 4 a home suffered storm damage and it was coded 5 6 for instance as a red or a yellow during original assessment, those properties were pulled out of the lien sales. 8 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So if they had 9 10 a problem now, would they still be considered in that specific --11 12 JOE SINGLETON: [interposing] I'll 13 bring you through what else we do. 14 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. JOE SINGLETON: If I can. A home 15 that may have had its service terminated for 16 leaks or needed to have it, we brought that 17 termination back all the way to the date of 18 19 event. So even if that termination happened 10 months after the event, we treated that 20 21 property as terminated as the day of the storm. 22 So any leaks beyond that were essentially abolished. Anybody that was in a yellow coded 23

property, we went through and flattened out all

those leaks and forgave those leaks. So we

24

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think the majority of the properties that were damaged in that storm we addressed most of any financial impact, and we had pulled them out of the lien sale. So that was the most pressing. Going to the leak forgiveness issue, what we're seeing, we do two things. You can sign up and get an email alert, which if your consumption goes up 300 percent for five days we email you. And we've got over 200,000 properties that are now receiving those emails. For folks that are not computer enabled, we mail them a letter. So we sort of got both ends covered and the reason we were able to move out, to forgive toilets, things of that nature, I can almost tell you, you know, if you started leaking on December 1st, and yes, I see that you fixed that December 6<sup>th</sup>. When we had four data points a year, that's not a discussion we could have. With the data density that we now have, we're very confident that we can make that process a lot less painful for everybody, and it'll help the homeowners. It'll also help the customer service issues. So I think most of that is well covered, and we wouldn't be able

to do that without that technology. Going forward, there are some other initiatives that the Mayor announced. There is going to be a water credit that's going to come from Build it Back. So folks that were on a minimum charge, for instance, from the day of the event to now, they may be out of their homes. Our bylaws sort of require if you're hooked to the city's system, even if you're not using water, you pay a minimum charge. A, that's one of the reasons we froze it. The Mayor is making money available to those properties that are now in the Build it Back database, about I think 20,000 accounts. When that triage is done we'll be pushing a credit out to those accounts sometime this summer. So I hope I've addressed most of your concerns, but listen, there's always outliers. There's things that happen later. You know, we have an ombudsman, you know. Kate [sic] knows all the direct contacts with our ombudsman if you do not. I think you may have met him, Patrick Genicalva [sp?], but feel free to reach out at any time you think a

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

constituent's not getting the service they
need.

2.4

GHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Great. And you guys have been good with that, when we got those particular cases. One of the things I would also hope, and maybe this is something we can think out of the box, because maybe you know, obviously if people are getting letters they may look at it and say, "Oh, I owe." And you know, may not want to open them or may not look at their emails. So maybe looking at automated calls as well may be something you guys may want to do as well so that you're covering three bases in case--

JOE SINGLETON: We'll certainly take a look at that. We don't have all phone numbers in our database, but where we do have a call, that's something we'll take under advisement, take a look to see what we could do.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, great.

Alrighty, I'm going--I'm going to hand it over to Chairwoman Ferreras.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

Thank you, Chair Richards. We will now have

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Member Levin followed by Council Member
Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Madam Chair, Mr. Chair. Thank you Commissioner. Nice to see you. I just want to first thank your staff who has been very receptive whenever we have a constituent issue and have been--you know, handled the--whether it's a backup issue or problems that they're having with the sewer system. It's always--it's been consistently excellent working with your staff as recently as last week. So I want to thank you very much for that. And I wanted to ask a couple of questions, more parochial questions about my district. I represent, as you know, Newtown Creek Wastewater Treatment Facility, and I wanted to ask about the capital, the plans for the rest of the capital build out at that facility in particular. The community amenities that were all kind of put into the last contract for that facility and if that's going to be added into this current capital plan or in a future capital plan. things that are non-essential.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: So I think

you're referring to the completion of the

nature walk. There were three parts to it, and

so its parts two and three, and they are fully

funded. We are still having conversation with

the Parks Department about a contract to manage

that. I've spoken to them and we are on both

sides eager to get that resolved and done, and

I think we'll be able to do that shortly, and I

think that' the only thing that impedes it

getting all the approvals it needs so it can go

under construction.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And also there's the perimeter fence, I think, and not sure where that's--

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] I believe--my understanding from a conversation with the public design commission was that there was not a problem with the design of the substitute fence that it was really that they didn't want to approve anything until the nature walk maintenance contract got approved.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I see.

2.4

1 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: That was my 3 understanding. Now there will be a new PDC Chair, a new PDC staff person, so--4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] 6 Okay. COMMISSIONER LLOYD: I think that -- I 8 hope we don't have to start all over again on that, but as you remember, the monitoring 9 10 committee supported the fence that we finally 11 arrived at that was expensive but not 12 catastrophically expensive. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. 14 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And we all liked it. So I'm hoping we'll be able to get that 15 approved as well. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Great. At PDC--18 19 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing] 20 And there is money for that. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And if I 21 could, you know, help or make sure that the 22 public design commission knows that there'll be 23

Council Members in support of. I think it's--I

24

forget the two different types of steel,
whether it's stainless steel, or--

4 COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing]

5 Whatever it is. I forget as well. Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So I'd be

7 happy--

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: [interposing]
Alright, good. That may be very helpful.

just wanted to ask about also Greenpoint issue, the area in northwest Greenpoint where the sludge tank is that is scheduled to come down.

Wanted to kind of see how that is in terms of the time frame and whether that's on track, and also with that area along Commercial Street, that is to be the site of 2,500 units of housing or something like that in the next 10 years, and whether new, you know, capital infrastructure is required there and if that's reflected in the capital budget at this point.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Well, I very much hope that one of my colleagues here can answer that, because you have just hit an area that I am not up to speed on yet.

2.4

STEVEN LAWITTS: Council Member, let me take the first part of your question about the sludge tank. We received one of the three new sludge boats that were specifically built to fit in Newtown and Wale [sp?] Creek.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, by the way. That was not inexpensive, so.

the delivery of those three sludge boats was our largest stimulus funded project from 2009, approximately 84 million dollars. And the other two boats are on their way to being completed and then on their way from Louisiana to here. And so when we have that fleet fully in service and we can fully take advantage of the internal sludge loading dock in Newtown Creek, then we can begin the demolition of the existing sludge tank on the waterfront and make that parcel available for development.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So all three sludge boats have to be up in New York before the tank comes down, or is that--

STEVEN LAWITTS: Well, I think we at least want to have two out of the three and

like any new large piece of infrastructure know that it's operating reliably before we demolish our only other option for loading sludge from Newtown Creek. And then I'm sorry, the second part of your question was--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]

So along Commercial Street, north of the sludge tank or northeast of the sludge tank, 'cause Commercial Street comes down diagonally, I've heard that from honestly the developer of the parcel which is a 22 acre parcel, that there's going to be, you know, need for additional infrastructure, sewers and just wanted--I'm assuming we've done an assessment of the capacity at this point and what's going to be needed in order to handle the type of development that's been zoned since 2005, and it looks like they're probably going to start getting under way and what they build as of right, which is like 2,500 units.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Right. And I'll have to confirm this and we'll have to get back to you, but I think that is not funded in the first four years of the capital program, and

2 we'll have to confirm when it is in the out
3 years and get back to you.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, that'd be great. Okay, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member Levin. We will now have Council

Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you Chair Richards for all the work that you've done on this budget hearing in particular, all the work that you've done along with DEP and trying to relieve some of the flood issues that we have in southeast Queens and some of the issues that have been addressed by my colleagues here today. To that note I would like to speak about DEP's commitment to southeast Queens, its flooding as well as contamination over the next few years, short and long term. I know we've had some private discussions, but I want to get a broader understanding about what is going to be done in the district to which who has flooding and contamination in the near future, and more

2 importantly, those big long term sustainable
3 projects?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Well, I think that there are a couple of aspects of this. One is that that area is under sewered in terms of storm sewers and there is a very long term and massive capital program underway that has money in it allocated every year to build out the storm sewers. When the area was built after World War II, it was built quickly and storm water wasn't as much of a problem and it wasn't very densely built up. So there was a lot of just pre-existing green infrastructure around to soak up a lot of the storm water. So that problem has really developed as being more serious over the past 25 years as there's been more development, more paving of areas, more, you know, people put in patios, driveways. lot of the green has disappeared. So that's part of the problem, and then of course, another part of the problem is that there are times when the water table rises. This is a-we're in a green slice of climate change, which in many ways is a fortunate thing, but it does

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean that the water table has recharged fairly quickly into a point where you're now after there's been a rainy period it is a problem for people in some houses depending on where they are. And the third problem that typically happens is that the way that, and the period of time when it was developed, many houses were set a little below. The driveway goes below street grade and it becomes basically a drainage area for the street. If the street is not paved perfectly to direct the water to a catch basin or if there is not a good catch basin there, because there's not a storm sewer there yet, then there are real problems associated with that as well. So there's the big design, big sewers and have them run all the way down through that area and that is underway and Jim Roberts can speak to that. I would also like him also to speak to some of the other things that he and his staff have been trying lately the past two or three years which is to take areas that have very serious spot problems with pooling and other kinds of flooding and trying to where we do have

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somewhere within a reasonable distance a storm sewer built, instead of waiting until the new one gets built. Run a sewer line from a catch basin into that existing storm sewer to give a little relief to that area, and I think he's now tried a couple and I think they've been quite successful. There are other things that he's been trying, seepage basins. We were just talking. We have not done a significant amount of green infrastructure in that area because we've been looking at it as primarily a tool in areas that have combined sewer overflows which is not the primary problem here. So I think that's an area that you would like to see us really look at and get into testing to see if that can provide some relief as well, and I think that we're very open to doing that. I think that would be a very helpful thing to explore in terms of the kind of problems people are having. And then I think the last thing you mentioned when we were--one of the times we were discussing this informally is what can people do to protect themselves, and we do have--do we have it handy, the homeowner's

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guide? We've just developed a homeowner's guide to try to tell people who may not know because they may not have had problems here to for, before everything got so wet. Does that mean I'm talking too long? That how things -some of them somewhat expensive, many of them quite small that they can do to provide themselves with some protection if they are building, if their home is below street level or there's not very good--the design of that street doesn't work very well, whether it's our catch basin or it's the street design if they have a driveway that goes down. The things that, you know, this was fine 30 years ago, now it's a problem. How can--we have to work together. We can do what we can do, and then homeowners need to have people who are, I hate to say the word experts, but people who spend all their time thinking about these things, available to talk to these people about things they can do to offer themselves a little protection in addition to what the city can do. And I think we--okay. So we will make a large number of these homeowner's guides available to

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

your office, but that's the basic outline. Do

you want to talk a little bit about the seepage
basins and the high level sewers?

JIM ROBERTS: Right. And so as the Commissioner was saying, in addition to what I think has been historically the agency's approach and for those on the committee that are unfamiliar with sort of sewering, if you will. You generally want to go from the bottom of the system to the top of the system because you always need some place for the water to get out, right, the outlet. While we continue to aggressively build out that long term capital program, we've begun looking at opportunities to knock of an area that's been, you know, problematic, whether it's an intersection that floods. 183<sup>rd</sup> Street, I think there was one when we were at the Borough President's office that was adventurous [sic]. So and we've found the ability to do a couple. I think we've done about three. At this point we have about two or three that we've identified and we continue both with the input from the communities and, you know, the elected officials and the groups

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are active as well as our own engineering staff. I have a team of engineers that are out there looking for these opportunities to sort of shorten the approach. So they hit it from more than one way. And we're also as I know Council Member Richards is aware, we've piloted the idea of what we call reverse seepage basins, which essentially basins we put in the ground that allow the ground water to hook up to the storm system, where the storm system's available and while it is not raining to avail itself of that storm system and let the water out. Our partners with the Department of Environmental Conservation have been very helpful in collaborating with us, right, because we need their assistance in doing that. We put three in. One is still actively taking water on the order of a quarter to a half an MGD a day, which is, you know, not an extraordinary amount, but it's really an incremental thing. The other two have sort of equilibrated [sic] which means that the ground water table has basically leveled off at the, you know, the highest of the lowest level that

2.4

we can get it to. We have a report I was reading a draft copy recently that we should have out by the end of June that talks about other opportunities. I think we've identified about another 10 locations that might be possible, and now we have to do sort of that next level of triage to see that they're going to work. So it's multiprong, multifaceted approach and, you know, we can never go fast enough obviously if it's flooded your area, I understand that. But we really are trying to take it, and the Mayor's office has asked us to really try and look at it through a fresh set of eyes and to seize any opportunities that are out there.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

That was pretty comprehensive and that's what we normally get when you guys come out. What I would appreciate if we could also commit to your team coming out and doing your normal town hall but in a more, far more instructional way than we've done, kind of like the throwing darts back in the forth. In the past I think that we've gotten to the place that we know

that everyone is committed to solving this problem and that everyone can play a role. So those small things that you talked about when we do our homeowner workshop, a real component can be how do you safeguard your home from the floods in this, and you know, we all should be able to play a role. And I know that we're all along in this one, but I want to take the privilege in asking. Now, there's been some long term DEP studies going on and one of your counterpart agencies is always blaming the lack of completion of this study on DOT projects that can't move forward. There's about 10 DOT projects that cannot move forward because of the drainage study that has not been finished and passed on inner agency.

JIM ROBERTS: Council Member, I apologize. I'm not--I know there are a couple of projects that we are actually looking to do that are running up against some budgetary constraints on the DOT side, but I'm not aware right--I mean, if you have the details we'd certainly be able to look at it.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.4

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yep, I'll pass it on. It's called the Trunk [sic] Drainage Study, and it's been a number of years, and they often say they can't complete projects because they need to have some information from you guys to make sure it's consistent in what needs to be done. So there's--you know, we can back and forth. I certainly appreciate you guys coming out and providing us with this information and I'm sure we could -- I thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Thank you. And we'll be happy if you'll--you've had some ideas about how you'd like to set up a meeting. We'll be happy to work with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So I am-wanted to just piggyback and I know that you
talked about some new technologies or some
nuances to how we handle catch basins, but when
we heard from Commissioner Garcia, she talked
about street sweepers being generators, and
thinking about innovative ways and multiuse for
some of our infrastructure. Have other cities
or have we seen opportunities where we have

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more efficient catch basins? Because it seems like our systems, and clearly you know we're an old city. We're dated. Are there other cities that are doing better with the different type of catch basin?

JIM ROBERTS: To my knowledge that there really aren't. I think one of the challenges frankly that we'll be facing over the next several short term years is really the sort of finalization of some of the other enforcement or clean water act provisions, what we call MS4, which deals with storm water and how that gets managed, and there are I think some pretty lofty expectations about using those catch basins potentially to clean the water before it gets to the water bodies, and it becomes frankly a little bit critical. Catch basins by themselves are relatively simple devices. They just allow for, you know, the water to flow and to keep the floatables, you know, the cups and those types of things out of And by in large, you know, the water stream. when we have major problems, the issues tend to be these, you know, where the debris on the

street has sort of clogged. I always use the analogy of hair on the drain in the tub, and you know, not that we don't have basins that need to be cleaned and we certainly do clean, you know, thousands of them, tens of thousands of them a year, but they're relatively simple and frankly, the simpler the better. As we find out every once in a while technology can sometimes be not your friend. You know, so these work by gravity and they tend to be pretty reliable.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you. I'm going to give it over to my Co-Chair.

I wanted to touch on PlanyC, and wanted to know are you guys still looking? I know in your testimony you mentioned PlannyC. Just wanted to know is there office of long term planning and sustainability, is that still going to be a function that your office works with and are you guys looking to follow through on many of those particular initiatives that the Bloomberg Administration came up with to their credit which were good ideas. So I'm wondering what

2.4

role the P is playing in that, and wondering is
the office staying in your, you guys, in your
catchment or what role are you guys playing
with them, for that office?

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Well, the
Mayor's Office of Long Term Planning
Sustainability was part of the Mayor's Office,
and my understanding is that it will probably
stay there.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LLOYD: And they have had some turn over in staff, but there's still staff there working and we work with them.

Particularly, we have been working. They are working side by side with the group doing resiliency and that's an area that particularly interests us because of our wastewater treatment plants and our pumping stations that were so badly hit by Sandy, and so we have a plan that we developed that we released internally and externally last fall 2013 that lays out what we need to do with our infrastructure to make it able to withstand the significant storm surge, and we are moving

ahead now that we have those standards to start to apply them to our wastewater treatment plants and develop. Some of them we already have underway actually. Some of them we--at Rock Away, we just completed a project to raise the electrical system for example, if I have it right, and so we're moving ahead with some of them. Some of them are much more significant and will take longer planning because we'll have to keep the plant operating around major construction. But we are moving ahead with all of that and putting that in our capital budget.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Can you just talk on water main breaks? That's another big issue. What are we looking to do with water mains? Are we, you know, investing more in that particular infrastructure? Because we've seen a lot a breaks and one just last week, and it just keeps happening. So are we looking at investing more in our water mains?

JIM ROBERTS: Okay. Yeah, and we have seen un uptick in water main breaks this past year, but frankly, the trend over the preceding years was and really continues

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

downward. We think we made significant progress in that area, notwithstanding that we are and the Mayor's Office has supported us in additional funding to accelerate our ability to replace on top of our normal capital expenditures, about 100 million dollars worth of sewer and water in areas where we know that the infrastructure's older, where it has a break history and, you know, so we're actively moving forward with that. And it might interest you to know that when you compare New York City's sort of break statistics, water main break statistics, which they look at nationally on a hundred mile basis, we range somewhere between four and a half to six breaks per hundred miles, and the national average and very much accepted is somewhere between 22 to 27 breaks per hundred miles. So we're really, while one break is sort of a bad day and usually wakes me up at night, you know, we do think that we've made some progress on it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Then my last question is on the rapid repairs program. So there's still 30 million dollars, I think, that

has not been paid out to contractors and was wondering what is the--are we going to see progress in that as we move forward?

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes, Mr. Chair. So there is still ongoing dialogue between us and a number of the rapid repairs contractors because they submitted their invoiced and you may know the total size of that program was approximately 650 million dollars. So as you just pointed out there's a little bit more than 30 million dollars that is still caught being negotiated between us and the contractors. In many cases we're requesting additional documentation from the contractors so that we can assure the city and ultimately FEMA that we're getting everything that we pay for. example is a contractor might have submitted an invoice for replacing 400 feet of electrical wire in a house which for the size of that house seem excessive. So, we ask for documentation and when we get that documentation and it's satisfactory, we'll release additional payments.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: That's--that

3 was my last question. Just wanted to lastly say

4 that on the green infrastructure I'm very happy

5 to hear that we're going to really look at ways

6 to be creative for all communities. So we look

7 forward to having that dialogue. Thank you. I

think we heard a lot of good things today.

Thank you.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you, Commissioner, and congratulations. I think this city's headed in the right direction and I'm so happy that you came bearing so many great pieces of news for us and the council that we're able to update our constituents. I really thank you for testifying today. This concludes our hearings for today. The Finance Committee will resume executive budget hearings on Friday, May 30<sup>th</sup>. Tomorrow is our general stated council hearings. Friday, May 30<sup>th</sup> at 10:00 a.m. the hearings will be held in this room. On Friday we will hear from six agencies. We will hear from DCAS, the Board of Elections, the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings, the Law Department, the Campaign

$\neg$	1	$\sim$
۷.	4	ч

- I									
1	COMMITTEES O	N FINANCE,	EDUCATION,	ENVIRONMENTAL	PROTECTION	AND	PARKS	&	RECREATION

Finance Board and the Financial Information

Services Agency. As a reminder, the public

will be allowed to testify on the last day of

budget hearings on June 6<sup>th</sup> at approximately

4:00 p.m. The public session will be held in

this room. For members of the public who wish

to testify but cannot make the hearings, you

can email your testimony to the finance

division and the staff will make it part of the

official record. The email address is

financetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you.

This hearing is now adjourned.

[gavel]

 $\label{eq:commissioner_loss} \mbox{COMMISSIONER LLOYD: Thank you Chairs} \\ \mbox{and Members.}$ 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is no interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_06/11/2014\_\_\_\_\_