CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

----- X

March 17, 2014 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 11:34 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

Darlene Mealy Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mathieu Eugene Daniel Dromm Deborah L. Rose

Andy King James Vacca

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gale Brewer Manhattan Borough President

Cliff Mulqueen New York Commissioner on Human Rights

Rachel Bien
Outten and Golden LLP

Craig Gurian
Fair Play Legislation

Peter Walsh Intern Labor Rights

Michael Franklin Fair Pay Campaign

Maurice Pianko Internjustice.com

Christina Isnardi NYU Student

Christopher Zara International Business Times

2	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Good morning. I
3	am Councilwoman Mealy, and I am the chair of
4	the Committee on Civil Rights. Today we'll be
5	hearing Introductory Bill Number 173, a local
6	law to amend the Administration Code of the
7	City of New York in relations to prohibition of
8	discrimination against interns. I am pleased
9	to be joined today by the bill sponsor, Council
10	Member Vacca, as well as my fellow Committee
11	Members and that's Danny King and we haveAndy
12	King. I apologize. I have a friendour
13	Council Member Andy King and we have in our
14	audience our Borough President Gale Brewer of
15	Manhattan. This bill was introducedand we
16	are joined by Council Member Mathieu Eugene of
17	Brooklyn. This bill was introduced in response
18	to the press coverage of the recent court's
19	finding that protections against work place
20	discrimination under the city's human rights
21	law do not extend to unpaid interns. The
22	purpose of this bill is to ensure that unpaid
23	interns are protected from discrimination and
24	harassment. I'd like to thank everyone for
25	joining us today and I look forward to hearing

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

All of your testimony on what I believe is a very important piece of legislation. With that, I would like to give my colleague, Council Member Jimmy Vacca, the opportunity to say a few words, his opening.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you. Thank you, Chair Mealy for holding this hearing on this important piece of legislation, and I want to thank my partner, Borough President Gale Brewer, who I'm introducing this legislation with today. We're going to hear testimony on this legislation that would amend New York City's human rights law to define the term intern in order to prohibit employers from discriminating against interns on the basis of protected categories. Intern programs are extremely beneficial to both employers and employment seekers, in both the public and private sector. We have thousands of interns serving throughout our city in various capacities, public, private, and nonprofit. An intern has the potential to gain invaluable experience and skills, and in many cases, internships lead to jobs. Internship programs give employers the opportunity to cultivate talent while potentially increasing workplace productivity. Both Borough

_	
2	President and I can speak from experience, internship
3	programs in our offices have yielded incredible
4	results. In fact, two of my staffers with me right
5	now are former interns in my office. Interns are
6	valued members in the workplace and the law should
7	reflect that. While many places of employment have
8	detailed anti-discrimination and sexual harassment
9	policies that are meant to also cover paid and unpaid
10	interns, there is a glaring hole in New York City's
11	human rights law that may be interpreted to exclude
12	unpaid interns. In fact, in one recent high profile
13	case, an intern for a television network, Ms. Wang
14	[phonetic] was subjected to sexual harassment by her
15	employer. When she attempted to bring an employment
16	discrimination claim under New York City's human
17	rights law, the judge found that the current laws
18	protection of employees does not extend to unpaid
19	interns. In other words, Ms. Wang was unable to
20	bring a discrimination claim under current human
21	rights law simply because she had not been
22	compensated for her work. The hole in this law is so
23	big you could drive a Mac truck through it. That's
24	why this Council needs to act. Like most people who
25	read about this case, I was dumbfounded by the

2	decision because I know that interns can be
3	vulnerable if not more vulnerable to harassment than
4	any other employee and this decision drove that
5	possibility home. No employee, paid or unpaid, should
6	have to feel that they're not equally protected by
7	the law if they are subjected to a hostile work
8	environment. Intro 174 is intended to expand these
9	protections to unpaid members of the workforce. The
10	bill would simply define the term intern in New York
11	City's human rights law in order to prohibit
12	employers from discriminating against interns on the
13	basis of their actual or perceived age, race, creed,
14	color, national origin, gender, disability, marital
15	status, partnership status, sexual orientation,
16	alienage or citizenship status, or status as a victim
17	of domestic violence, sex offenses or stalking. The
18	state of Oregon is the only municipality to currently
19	define intern in its human rights law, and there are
20	similar pending laws in the state of New York and
21	California. If passed, New York City would be one of
22	the first local governments to enact this law, making
23	us a trailblazer in the area of intern rights. I
24	look forward to today's testimony. I hope to work
25	closely with the Administration and the Council

2 leadership in advancing this piece of legislation and
3 I want to thank again Chair Mealy for her hearing

4 | today. Thank you, Chair.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. We will be--we will have the next testimony by our Manhattan Borough President, Gale Brewer.

GALE BREWER: Thank you very much. I am Gale Brewer, the Manhattan Borough President, former member of this great body, and it's an honor to be here. I want to thank Chair Mealy and certainly my buddy and colleague Jimmy Vacca who has just given an eloquent example of why this bill is needed. You both have, and I thank you. So I'm going to talk about Intro 173. Some of you know I have about 30 years of public service. I've had thousands and thousands of interns, and I have to say they're fondly referred to as the Brew Crew, but more importantly, with their participation I think as your interns have we've saved lives, we've kept tenants in their homes. obtained one shot deals to do that. We've created an AIDS friendly neighborhood. We've organized associations and NYCHA developments. We've helped seniors in different ways. We've mapped the condition of street trees. We've helped people get bed bug

2	exterminations. We've dealt with taxi issues and
3	claims. The list goes on and on as to what interns
4	do and learn as a result of the opportunities that
5	any good management situation gives them, and yet, we
6	have learned that not every intern work in a
7	supportive environment as we learned and Council
8	Member Vacca mentioned, and others. So I ask that we
9	pass Council 173, Intro 173. As was stated, this
10	bill would explicitly protect interns from
11	discrimination or harassment in the workplace.
12	Currently the federal judge's interpretation of the
13	city's human rights law leaves unpaid interns
14	unprotected because they are not legally defined as
15	employees. When an unpaid intern in a New York City
16	media office was sexually harassed by her boss
17	starting in 2010, she filed suit, and the court
18	ruledthough, however, the court ruled that she had
19	no legal claim due to her unpaid status as was
20	mentioned earlier. This exception in the New York
21	City human rights law violates the spirit of the law
22	protecting employees from discrimination and
23	harassment on the basis of factors including gender,
24	race, age, sexual orientation, disability, marital
25	status, military status and domestic violence. It

fails to protect those often students working at a
business or agency in furthering of their education
from discrimination or harassment, and interpreting
the law to allow discrimination or harassment of
interns could lead to a less supportive and failed
working environment for all employees. We must
ensure that unpaid interns have the full protection
of the New York City human rights law as all other
workers do. And I noted that just recently in the
Times there was a discussion about colleges and
unpaid internships. So there's a lot of importance, I
think, in terms of this issue because we need to make
sure that as colleges re-evaluate how they place
students in internunpaid internships, that it's a
safe environment when students are in these
internships. And I think it's a very competitive
world out there, as we know only too well. And it's
my impression that more and more grad schools,
colleges, and workplace environments are looking for
somebody who has done and internship, but if it's not
safe and free of harassment, then I think people will
think twice about doing that and that will not give
them a fair advantage in the future. So thank you
very much for this opportunity. I'm very honored to

\sim	
')	
/.	

be co-sponsoring this with my colleague, Council 3 Member Vacca, and I look forward to the testimony

4

from others. Thank you so much.

5

6

7

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Cliff Mulqueen, New York City Commissioner on Human Rights will be our next statement.

8

9

10

11

12

13

CLIFF MULOUEEN: Madam Chair and members of the Council, good morning. Thank you for the opportunity to speak regarding Intro 173, which would amend the New York City human rights law to include interns among the group of individuals protected from employment discrimination under the city law.

14

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Is your mic on? CLIFF MULQUEEN: It is on. Is that better? CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay.

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

15

CLIFF MULQUEEN: The Commission and the Administration welcome this effort to address the effects of the district decision in Wang versus Phoenix Satellite Television which brought this issue into the limelight. We support the Council's effort to protect this class of vulnerable individuals and we look forward to working with the Council to ensure that interns are not subject to discrimination. this end, we would like to work with the Council

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

staff on the definition of intern to ensure that it will further the intent of the legislation without creating difficulties of proof that would impede enforcement. In addition, we believe the draft does not provide as much protection for interns as the council might intend. For example, subdivision D of the introduction lists several areas of the city's human rights law where the protection would apply, which creates the implication that other provisions of the law will not be applicable. For example, protections against retaliation are not included in the draft as it exists. We recommend instead the use of general language about interns that triggers their coverage by all relevant employment discrimination provisions of the law. We look forward to working with the Council. I'll be happy to answer any of your questions.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes, I--my colleague Jimmy Vacca would like to ask you a question.

much. I'm open to making the law more inclusive. I do want retaliation covered in it. That's wording that we have to look at that I'm willing to look at that in the days ahead. Let me talk to you about

2.2

education and outreach. Hopefully, upon passage of this law, what do you propose to do in so much as education and outreach? How do you think we can put together and education and outreach program so that the interns are aware that this law does exist should we be successful in enacting it.

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Well, as we do with all the protected classes of the law, especially we've had three new enactments last year. We include and focus on those asp--or those changes in all of our presentations. We do hundreds of conferences and workshops throughout the year and this information would be included in all of those. We do a lot of work in schools, so again, this change in the law should have come to fruition, will be included in those. We can go to places like colleges or other places where interns come from essentially, so high schools, colleges. We would make an effort to speak in those venues to reach as many people that may be effected by this new law.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I know in New York
City, as I indicated in my testimony, we have tens of
thousands of interns. Do you have any more exact

,

estimate of how many interns we have in the public and private sector, total in New York City today?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: I don't have that number and I believe the Administration was trying to come up with that number prior to the testimony and I'm not sure that they were successful.

us whether or not there have been interns who've come to the Human Rights Commission complaining about instances as per my legislation, the areas my legislation talks about, and where the New York City Commission may have said that we don't have jurisdiction or you may have referred them somewhere else because of a jurisdiction issue?

instance where that occurred. I do recall an instance where an auxiliary police came, you know, volunteer and we turned that individual away because they were not an employee of the city. I don't recall an instance of an intern, but that doesn't mean it hasn't happened, and the records that we keep for people that we turn away are paper records, so we would have to go through thousands of pieces of paper to figure out whether that ever happened.

1	

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Your complaints are on paper still? They're not in a computer program?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: The complaints are on a computer program. If you file a complaint, then those records are kept on paper. They're not in a computer database anywhere.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: The complaint is on paper.

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Of course, the complaint is on paper, but then it's also kept in a database that we can search.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: It is?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Yes. If we don't file a complaint, if someone comes to us and we can't assist them, we don't file a complaint on their behalf.

Those records, the details of those records are kept on paper. They're not kept in any computer database anywhere.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay. I mean, the question may be academic because interns are not aware that the Human Rights Commission is now a recourse for them, which probably it is not right now because they're not covered. At a certain point in time there would be a recourse for them that—

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

2	CLIFF MULQUEEN: [interposing] Correct,
3	and then we would have to make a huge effort of
4	education, go to the places where these interns are

6 we're available to assist them.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

to let them know that they do have rights and that

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. We were joined by Debbie Rose of Staten Island. Good to have you. And you said a lot of interns, what is your agency doing in regards to social media to start informing them when this bill passes.

Our budget testimony last week we have a Facebook page. We have a Twitter account that we use. We've tried to make our website more friendly to younger generations by putting videos on there that they can look at, make it more interactive and easier to navigate. So those are the things that we're doing right now.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Do you know any other jurisdiction that had problems with--well not--tried to come back discrimination against interns?

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 17
2	CLIFF MULQUEEN: I think as Council Member
3	Vacca mentioned, Florida has a law now and there are
4	other jurisdictions that are looking at passing laws.
5	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Have y'all saw
6	anything that y'all could take from those
7	CLIFF MULQUEEN: [interposing] I'm sure
8	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: other cities?
9	CLIFF MULQUEEN: as we're working together
10	on this introduction we could look at some of those
11	laws and see what works and what doesn't work.
12	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I think by now we
13	should be looking at them already. We know this is
14	coming in to play, so we should get ahead of the cart
15	and start seeing how we can make sure our interns are
16	really covered.
17	CLIFF MULQUEEN: The Administration is
18	already looking at some of the other jurisdictions
19	that have these protections or are working on these
20	protections. So again, as we move forward and we're
21	working together on this, we can look at those and
22	see how best to proceed and see what's working, see

what's not working.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay, I have to piggy-back on my colleague. If someone takes a case,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2 come in and put a claim in and they have to look back 3 to see if they ever did file with the Human Rights

Commission -- so you're saying you would have to go 4

5 back and look through thousands of papers in regards

to get the information, because that is--that may 6

7 help someone's case. So you would have to manually go

back through that -- are y'all thinking about updating

any of that information? 9

> CLIFF MULQUEEN: Again, as you know, we have that -- we've developed a inquiry software so that we can report on inquiries. So if someone--if an intern, for example, came to our office in 2010 and tried to file a complaint with us and we said that we're sorry the law doesn't protect you and, you know, we tried to refer them somewhere or just said sorry there's nothing we can do. We would have their name, for example. So if someone came in after this law passes, I could do a search of their name and I would know that they came in. The actual--I don't know that we're able to search in the comments box. We're able to search for key words, for example, in that program. That's something we'll have to work on so that we can search key words in the details of

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] Keep up the good work.

Your green outside, we know you have green in your pockets. So you're represented. So, thank you. But I wanted to know, first of all, I'd like to know from your office, how many interns do you have in your office?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Right now we have three. Yeah, three right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. We had a hearing, I believe a couple weeks back and Commissioner Gatling was here, and one of the questions and the challenges that was discussed about how large your agency is and how you're able to cover the work assignments that come through your office. I'd like to know, you talked about changes in subsection D, is there any other changes other than subsection D that you would like to see implemented or adjusted in Intro 173 that would make your job that much more simpler or that would eliminate any obstacles that you have that can put more teeth in it if you deem necessary?

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Again, I think I

mentioned in my testimony the actual definition of what a intern is. There might be some issues, for example. There seems to need to be a relationship between the internship and the course of study. I'm not sure why that's necessary, and that's just--that creates another fact that the Commission would have to overcome in proving our case. So if it's not really necessary to be in the definition, why create that hurdle? There were several other sections besides retaliation, which I think arrest and conviction record are not mentioned in your list of sections that are covered. So there were some other sections where, you know, if you want to have the full coverage then you might want to consider, but again, we can talk about that as we're moving forward and working together on this.

think the community would love to hear some additional suggestions that you might have. That would, again, put the teeth in that you need so when you have to implement it with how big a staff or how small a staff or with the interns that you have and making sure that you know we get this right the first

time. I don't think there's anybody from the

Administration or from the Council or yourself or

anyone sitting out here that would disagree that this

is necessary. It's the right thing to do, and I'm

looking forward to us having 100 percent compliance

with everyone who is in the room to have the right

conversation. I do have another question. Has your

office ever had any harassment complaints against it

from interns?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: No, at least we know we're on the right page. Well, I just want to thank you again for your time and again, Happy St. Patty's Day.

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you for your testimony, and thank you Madam Chair again for leading this conversation.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. We have one more question from our colleague, Debbie Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Hi, Deputy

Commissioner. I have a couple of questions. When we say unpaid interns, would that preclude an intern

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

2	that	was	getting	maybe	a	stipend	for	car	fare	or
3	somet	thing	a ?							

2.2

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Again, you use the term wages in the introduction. There's no definition of what wages are in the human rights law. So again, that should be a conversation we have moving forward. When you say does not receive wages, what exactly do you mean or what constitutes a wage?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So that might be-that's another suggestion for us in terms of this
legislation that we should look at. And are you
aware of any statistics that are available about
discrimination complaints that were filed by interns
but dismissed besides Wang versus Phoenix Satellite
TV?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: I am not, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And so if an effected intern works outside of the city, but the intern decision was made within the city of New York, would this proposed legislation apply?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: We have that issue also with, you know, the regular paid employee so it's a-there are different opinions in the office. I'm not sure that the law is clear cut, but it would seem

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

that if a decision was made outside the city that the city would not have jurisdiction. I have, you know, I have--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] No, no, no. The decision was made within the city, but the intern works outside of the city.

CLIFF MULQUEEN: There are cases--the Hoffman case that deals with a situation like that, and again, it would depend on whatever the nexus to the city was. If there was no other nexus to the city, it's possible that the city's human rights law would not protect somebody. And situations like that, we would sometime recommend that the person go. For example, of the person--if the decision was made outside the city, but in New York State, that they would go to the state division or that they would go to the EEOC just so that we're not spending all of our time fighting a jurisdictional issue and we can right to the discrimination, but for example, in a case like this, if we're dealing with an intern who doesn't have the ability to go to the EEOC or go to the state division on human rights, then we would take the case. We would push the issue. We'd see if

_

we could make some law or make the law clearer on those jurisdictional issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So there would be that option available?

 $\label{eq:cliff} \mbox{CLIFF MULQUEEN: I would take the case and} \\ \mbox{push the issue, yes.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay.

 $\label{eq:cliff} \textbf{CLIFF MULQUEEN: Unless the law was}$ clearer that we had no jurisdiction.

States that unpaid interns could either bring an action in court or make a complaint on the Commission on Human Rights, which would do several things. They could issue a order to cease and desist, require an employer to pay award back pay or front pay or pay compensatory damages. If that person decides to file a complaint with the commission, what standard would be used to determine what types of damages would be appropriate?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Whatever damage the individual suffered would be the damages that we would seek to award them. So compen--you know, there may not be any compensatory damages if they're not compensated for the actual work. Let's say there

_

_ ¬

wouldn't be any back pay or front pay, but there are other types of compensatory damages. There's mental anguish. Anybody that gets discriminated against suffers some mental anguish, some more than others. There may be expenses related to that. There's civil penalties available, the same that would be available at any employment discrimination case.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So there would be no monetary amount attributed to the intern's work.

CLIFF MULQUEEN: No, there could be monetary damages. Mental anguish, for example, or pain and suffering, those would be in terms of money. Those would be monetary damages.

the legislative history that makes it clear that claims under the New York Civil Rights Commission of Human Rights law to be analyzed separate from federal and state discrimination claims and that the federal courts must construe the New York City Commission on Human Rights law provisions broadly in favor of discrimination plaintiffs. The court elected not to do so in Wang's case. Consequently, if enacted, how will this new legislation ensure that the courts will

the same as we would treat any paid employee.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 28
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay.
3	CLIFF MULQUEEN: As far as discrimination
4	is concerned. That's what I see this introduction
5	doing.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. Thank you.
7	Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay. I only have
9	one kind of question from the last hearing we had.
10	How many bilingual people you have on your staff to
11	advertise about laws that are put in place in regards
12	to discrimination. You say you're in schools, so how
13	muchhow many bilingual you have?
14	CLIFF MULQUEEN: We have Spanish-speaking
15	people in each of our offices, and we speak seven
16	other languages in our office.
17	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: How do you advertise
18	that? What is your advertisement budget?
19	CLIFF MULQUEEN: Well, I don'twhen you
20	say advertise we advertise it by having our
21	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] Let
22	people know that laws are in place now, how do you
23	let
24	CLIFF MULQUEEN: [interposing] All of our

literature is available in the seven or eight top

languages spoken in the city. I believe there was an executive order that required all city agency literature to be in these certain languages. All of our literature is available in those languages.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Where do you put that literature?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: When we go out into the community and we speak to people depending on the neighborhood we're going in, we would put--you know, for instance if we were going to Borough Park or we're going to be in China Town, we would have the literature in Asian languages. If we were going to be in Brighton Beach, we would have the literature in Russian.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: So you don't put anything in papers or any small local papers?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: We're in the local papers

all the time, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Someone has brought up to me where, where would you--in which languages would you put all the--some people speak creole. Some people speak Mandarin, different ones. If you're not in those papers that they read, how would they know about the laws?

_

them?

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] Who of

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Off the top of my head, no I can't. I mean, I--

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] I would really like to know, because someone came up to me at the last hearing and it was very important, because how could you reach the young people if they're not reading the papers that you advertise them in?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Okay. I will give you a list of every foreign language newspaper we were in last year. Our website, though, also is translatable into different languages. We have paper literature that we hand out in various neighborhoods, and depending on the neighborhood, we'll put that language literature out for people to take, whether it be in a library, whether it be in a business. So we are reaching the other than English speaking people in the community.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: But was it an uptake in that legislation that just passed recently?

statistics, though, the types of discrimination were

consistent as we stated last week with 69 percent

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

were employment. I think 18 percent were housing. One percent were bias related harassment.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay. Anyone else have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: To sort of clarify-to try to get clarification on the chair's question about the outreach efforts, are these outreach efforts in the various communities, are they proactive or are they reactive? Are they where you go out and make the communities available of the changes in law without being invited or without it being a community forum, because you do a community education forums, but is it proactive or is it in reaction to a solicitation for information?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: It's both, but we're out every day. Our employees are out every day doing presentations, speaking to people. They go to meetings to network to get leads of other groups of people they can speak to. Wherever there's a group of people gathered together, we try and go there and speak to them, but we also react. So when people come to those meetings and we make connections with them or they come to a presentation, they may come to us and say, "Hey, can you come to my group and give a

_

similar presentation?" So we do that as well. So it's both, but we're out every day looking for groups of people anywhere we can find them to talk to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. But do you plan some things to get the word out, as opposed to looking for events that are already planned that you can piggy-back on, be a part of? How do you roll out a new bill? You know, once the law changes, how do you roll that out?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: Again, by including in the presentations that we give to hundreds of thousands of people a year.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So the presentations that you give are--when this law comes, becomes a law, you're going to plan in each of these communities or at all of the schools? What is it that you or the agency itself is going to do to get the work out?

of the Chambers of Commerce and all of the business improvement districts. So obviously, this is going to affect those individuals and we're going to let all of them know about this if it's enacted. We're going to-we speak at many of the back to work type

1	
2	(
3	7
4	-
5	7
6	†
7	(
8	6
9	1

2.2

organizations, whether it be Goodwill or Fegs. So we're going to speak to all of those people about their employment rights, so we do it anyway, but we're going to include this in there as a protection that they have. We'll probably—we will probably—we don't do so much work with university students, for example, but we would probably make an effort to do more presentations at the university level or at the high school level to let those individuals know that they're protected.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So every time

there's a law that—a change to the civil rights law,

do you have a procedure or a check list? These are

the people, this is how we roll it out? Is there

something that's structured?

CLIFF MULQUEEN: I'm not sure that there's anything formalized like a check list--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] That's what I'm trying--

CLIFF MULQUEEN: that says this is what we do first.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: to get to. Thank you.

Dromm, I think when we last spoke it was about co-op

secrecy and how that so terribly impacts on the

24

2	ability of hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers to
3	have their housing rights protected. I'm here today
4	on behalf of Fair Play Legislation. Some of you may
5	know me as the long time Executive Director of the
6	Anti-discrimination Center or as an adjunct professor
7	at Fordham Law School, the principal drafter of the
8	comprehensive 1991 revisions to New York City human
9	rights law and the principal drafter of the 2005
10	local civil rights restoration act. I know there were
11	a variety of questions that were not specific to this
12	bill. I have been working on this for 25 years non-
13	stop. If you have any questions about that stuff I'm
14	happy to answer that. I should point out first of all
15	it is certainly true that the city Commission on
16	Human Rights should be an important resource, but
17	since the Dinkin's Administration, city funded staff
18	for the Human Rights Commission has been cut, not lo
19	percent or 15 percent, but more than 90 percent. You
20	may have heard this at the recent budget hearing. So
21	the Commission currently is an absolutely no position
22	to do anything even independent of what their goals
23	have and haven't been. We're lucky that under the
24	city human rights law, it's not a city Commission
25	law. It's a city human rights law. There's a private

2 right of action. People can go into court without dealing with the agency and we have since the 3 restoration act made very good progress in court. 4 This is a very well-intentioned bill, and I could 6 tell you that there is nobody on the planet who wants to have more extensive coverage than do I. However, the language currently may undercut the 2005 8 restoration act, which is perhaps the most important 9 piece of civil rights legislation passed by the 10 council in decades. So let me speak about that for 11 12 just a moment. The restoration act was a response to courts narrowly interpreting the human rights law, 13 14 and it insisted that all provisions, not some, all 15 provisions be interpret to accomplish what were 16 called the uniquely broad and remedial provision of the law. You have--I've had sent up to you three 17 18 things. One is a return to Eyes on the Prize. the heavy item that describes in great detail the 19 20 intent and intended consequences of the Restoration Act that's been cited by many courts. You also have a 2.1 2.2 paper that's being included in a forthcoming volume 23 from the University of Michigan Press, and it describes among other things some of the more 24 important judicial developments under the Restoration 25

2	Act. For example, New York City because of the
3	Restoration Act is now the only place in the United
4	States where victims of harassment cannot be kicked
5	out of court because a judge describes that the
6	harassment is not severe or pervasive enough. This
7	over 20 years has been a way that judges have not
8	shown the proper respect the victims of
9	discrimination. We made it clear through legislative
10	history of the Restoration Act and in enhancing the
11	liberal construction provision that we wanted there
12	to be no tolerance for discrimination and that's been
13	accomplished. Finally, thewhat I hope is short and
14	sweet, the item that's titled Fair Play with a Fair
15	Play Legislation letterhead. I've taken the liberty
16	of drafting some specific language that I think
17	accomplishes better the purposes of the council here,
18	and there are a couple of options on how to proceed
19	and then some suggested principles for the committee
20	report. Now, it has been said publicly that the
21	council didn't contemplate interns being covered or
22	that there's a glaring hole and with the utmost
23	respect I disagree with that. It's incorrect, and
24	that position may well be used under cut in court
25	attempts to argue otherwise in front of judges

because the reso, my position today is that today,
under the law, a proper interpretation of the human
rights law does include interns. The court in the Wan
[phonetic] case or Wang case said that this was an
issue that other courts have decided against interns,
but the court repeals had a case before it a couple
of years ago, and in that case, the question was what
is the scope of the provision against retaliation?
What does the word oppose mean, opposing
discrimination? That was not a new word in the law,
and the New York Court of Appeals, the states'
highest court held that that provision like any other
was required to be interpreted in the manner most
favorable to discrimination plaintiffs. And there's
no reason that the word employer or employ should be
excluded from that. The City Council didn't write
that it's illegal for employers to fail to hire or
employed paid people. It didn't say you can't
discriminate in the terms and conditions of paid
employment. There wasn't that kind of limitation. In
the proposed committee language section I have a more
detailed description of some of the problems of the
Wang decision. In addition, the city human rights law
already covers interns in two other ways. There's a

2	separate provision of the law, 81072 that deals with
3	training provisions and 81074, which is the public
4	accommodations provision. That provision extends to
5	the provision of goods, services, facilities,
6	accommodations and privileges of any kind. If a
7	covered entity is providing some benefit to an
8	intern, like we're going to, you know, we're going to
9	train you. We're going to help you. We're going to
10	give you exposure. That counts as being a provider of
11	a public accommodation. In the end, though, I think
12	now Borough President Brewer and Council Member Vacca
13	are entirely correct that we do need to proceed here,
14	but as we proceed, the most important consideration
15	isn't how you're technically going to define intern.
16	It's something that the council has previously
17	recognized in 1991 and in 2005, that the purpose, the
18	broad purpose of the law is to prevent discrimination
19	from polluting workplaces. It's not just a particular
20	employer or employee. It's the workplace that's
21	supposed to be completely free of discrimination, and
22	where this particular court's decision to stand, the
23	Wang Court, the largest workplace in New York City
24	could openly tell perspective interns, "We don't take

applications from African-Americans." Wouldn't be

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 41
2	anything you can do under that interpretation. "If
3	you intern here, you will be sexually harassed."
4	That's an absurd way to construe the current law. So
5	in fashioning an amendment, we have to keep in mind
6	that the court only found the way it did because it
7	failed to engage in the analysis required by the
8	restoration act already. It didn't say what are the
9	broad purposes of the law. This is not the first time
10	this has happened. We've seen over the course of the
11	last eight years, some trial court judges do this,
12	and then appellate courts correct it. So when the
13	Council acts we have to understand, and this is
14	similar to something that the Commission's general
15	counsel said, "Defining interns as persons for some
16	purposes could be construed as excluding them under
17	other provisions like public accommodations or
18	housing provisions." And remember, the court did not
19	have a problem with a definition of person. It said
20	okay, but there's still not an employment
21	relationship. Two, I think it's a mistake to focus a
22	definition of interns on having to do with training.

Aside from the fact that there's already a training

provision in the law, would it be okay to

23

24

25

discriminate against a volunteer? Like that's--

2	that's not okay either. So I think we need the
3	broadest possible language and that language should
4	focus on the overriding goal of preventing
5	discrimination from polluting workplaces at all. So
6	the legislation should make clear that the council
7	believes that the intern decision was wrong under
8	existing law, and it should also make clear that the
9	legislation provides an illustration of the
10	application of the restoration act but does not in
11	any way relieve courts from the obligation to engage
12	in such analysis itself, and it doesn't accept the
13	proposition that the court put forward that the
14	Council's failure to act can be interpreted as an
15	acceptance of existing law. This is something that
16	when the restoration act was before the Council, you
17	had witness after witness coming forward. We were
18	tearing our hair out of our heads because courts
19	would keep on building on past wrong decisions. And
20	the restoration act said you can't do that. So it
21	would be a bitter irony if very narrow corrective
22	legislation were misused by courts to undercut the
23	broad sweep of the law. As you see, just to conclude
24	at this point, the first option, option a, which I
25	think is the best goes ahead and defines employee and

2	employ and employment, and it doesn't matter if it's
3	temporary or permanent. It doesn't matter if it's
4	paid or unpaid. It doesn't matter if it's volunteer.
5	Remember, no one's going to be held liable unless
6	they've engaged in discrimination. So it's not as if
7	there is any reason why anybody who was in that
8	workplace, regardless of what you call them, should
9	be discriminated. The second way of doing it, option
10	B on page three, would put in a provision to the,
11	what's called the construction provision of the law
12	just making clear that these three sections already
13	cover interns. You'll see two additional sections
14	which I think are really important to get into the
15	law explicitly. One, to make sure that everyone
16	understands that exceptions to the law are supposed
17	to be interpreted very narrowly, and finally, that
18	last point, which I know may read a little too lawyer
19	like, but the point of it is that when council, the
20	council makes effort to expand some things, that
21	shouldn't be misused by courts to say the council was
22	fine with everything else. This, I think the prime
23	sponsor would agree, was not an attempt to deal with
24	every problem under the sun. It was an attempt to

deal with one problem and we have to make sure that

3

4

5

1

courts don't misinterpret that. So, I appreciate you taking the time to hear my testimony. I'm always at the disposal of the committee to talk about this or anything else, and I'd be happy to take any questions

6

7

you may have today.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

8

RACHEL BIEN: Can you hear me?

9

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I can hear you now.

Thank you. My name is

10

Rachel Bien, I'm a partner at Outten and Golden LLP,

12

11

a plaintiff's employment law firm in New York City.

Our firm has brought several lawsuits on behalf of

RACHEL BIEN:

13

14

unpaid interns, including Glatt [phonetic] versus Fox

15

Search Light Pictures, in which a federal district

1617

intern's finding that they were employees entitled to

18

19

minimum wages and overtime under the Fair Labor

court granted summary judgment in favor of the

20

Standards Act and New York labor law. We've also

_ .

brought several other cases against major private

21

2.2

employers, including the Hurst Corp, Kande Nast

23

[phonetic], NBC, Universal and the Charlie Rose show

all on behalf of unpaid interns. The City Council's

24

proposal to amend the New York City human rights law

25

to protect interns is an important step in the right

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

direction. However, with my colleague Mr. Gurian, we do not believe that the amendment as it is currently drafted will achieve the Council's goals. First, by requiring an intern to show that she meets all of the criteria in the proposed amendment, in particular the criteria in subsection C. The proposal places the burden on the wrong party. The criteria in that section were developed by the US Department of Labor as requirements that employers must meet to show that their interns are part of a bonafide training program and are not employees entitled to be paid under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act. Interns should not have to prove that they are not employees in order to be protected from discrimination under the New York City human rights law. Second, the proposed amendment as drafted would achieve only a small part of its purpose because it would exclude a large number of interns from protection. In our experience, most interns are not participants in bonafide training programs as the amendment presumes. Many interns do displace regular employees, do not work under close supervision and do perform work that benefits the employer. The proposed amendment would not protect these interns even though they are among the interns

2	the council seeks to protect. We believe the
3	amendment should make clear that all interns are
4	covered regardless of whether they can prove that
5	they participated in a bonafide training program.
6	Third, the amendment does not include workers whom
7	employers have classified as volunteers, even though
8	they perform work that benefits the employer.
9	Increasingly private companies are using volunteers
10	as substitutes for paid employees are temporary
11	workers. For example, our firm recently filed a law
12	suit against Major League Baseball, which relied on
13	thousands of unpaid volunteers to staff its all-star
14	events in July 2013 and July 2008 in New York City.
15	These workers should also be protected from
16	discrimination and harassment on the job. In my
17	written testimony I've made two proposals for
18	clarifying that the law applies to a broader swath of
19	interns that are in the current amended definition,
20	as well as making clear that you don't have to be
21	protected from discrimination. You don't have to be
22	paid in order to be protected from discrimination
23	which was really the point of the Wang case. The
24	court said that well because these interns were not
25	naid than they can't qualify as employees because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

there's no employer/employee relationship, and so it's important for the council or the amendment to clarify that. And I think that Mr. Gurian's proposed language does that and does more. I think we are in agreement in terms of some of the changes that really need to be made here. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Mr. Walsh?

PETER WALSH: Good morning. My name is Peter Walsh and I live in the Kensington neighborhood in Brooklyn. Brad Lander is my Council person, and thank you committee members and Council Members for allowing me to speak here today. I also work with the grassroots group, Intern Labor Rights, and we have many of our activists here today that I would like to acknowledge, but I'm speaking here today as an individual, not on behalf of the group. Extending anti-discrimination protections to all interns, trainees and volunteers is very important and I'm thrilled that you are taking on this task. We're very excited. I'm very excited. However, I would respectfully like to suggest that the wording of the proposed local law needs to be changed. The current version risks asking interns to give up their ability to make wage and employment claims and puts an undo

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 48
2	burden on interns by asking them to unnecessarily
3	prove details about the natures of their internships.
4	None of that is needed to safely prohibit
5	discrimination against interns. Imagine an intern
6	finds herself in a bad internship. She is learning
7	nothing. She's receiving no supervision. Her school
8	is adding no academic training to the internship, and
9	she discovers that she has replaced a paid employee.
10	Is it now okay for her to be discriminated against?
11	No, of course not. But those criteria, right now,
12	are all included in this law. So respectfully I would
13	like to suggest that clauses A, B and C of the
14	proposed law should simply be removed and we should
15	expand this to cover the widest group of people
16	working in the workplace that we can. And I also
17	have, as my colleagues have, provided a simple
18	suggestion of the way in which possibly this law
19	might be revised. Thank you for your time.
20	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Everyone
21	is on the same page.

UNKNOWN: No questions.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Any questions? I'm looking forward. It's very rare. Thank you. No questions? You have Debbie Rose? No.

1
_

Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you for the [off mic] of the term employee, intern, and person. I think it's very important that the intent of this law actually be written into the amendment and I appreciate that, and I thank you for clarifying it, because we would hate for the very persons we're trying to protect not to be protected and—

CRAIG GURIAN: Thank you Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Madam Chair, thank you.

CRAIG GURIAN: I would just add if I may for a moment. You know, I've seen over time and this morning very sensible questions posed by members of the committee where there haven't been clear or direct answers coming from the Commission on Human Rights, and the reality is that all of these things do have answers to them, you know. There are in court, the state of the law is what the state of the law is, and we can describe that to you on the Commission and what was being described there in fuzzy terms is that actually even though the law is written to permit people to file a complaint as of right. You know, if you believe that you're supposed

1	
_	

25 your testimony.

to be able to go there, get it stamped like it is in a court clerk's office, and then an investigation has to be done. That is not the way it has been done in the last 12 years. In violation of the law, the agencies policy has been to decide whether somebody is going to be able to file a complaint. And exactly what has been mentioned today has happened, that is people want to file, are ultimately not allowed to file, then they go to court and they can't show that they've done it in time, and there is no mechanism. There's no audit trail to be able to look at all these thousands of inquiries that didn't turn into complaints. I'm sure many of them are—

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] I did
mention a little bit of that for time. Definitely got
a--but I did say that in regards to that. So you and
I should talk more, that we could maybe get a
comprehensive plan, 'cause it's really about
enforcement. I don't believe enforcement is really
going forward in these agencies. So we can talk about
it and come back to the table again.

CRAIG GURIAN: Very good.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: So I thank you for

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 51
2	CRAIG GURIAN: If it were any kind of
3	law, other kind of law enforcement, people would
4	understand it, that you have to have a strong
5	deterrent. Civil Rights shouldn't be treated as a
6	second class law. Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I'm so with you. Just
8	from looking from David, the past Mayor David Dinkins
9	to now, it's almost 90 percent of the funds are gone.
10	So, this new Administration, our Mayor, I hope he has
11	a priority with Civil Rights. So we're going to talk
12	to him in regards to that. And thank you for your
13	time.
14	CRAIG GURIAN: Thank you so much.
15	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: And thank you for all
16	your great work. It was very heavy. Thank you.
17	[laughter]
18	GRAIG GURIAN: Just look at the short
19	piece, you know, the other can be a bookend.
20	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I'm looking forward.
21	We have our next panel, Michael Franklin, Fair Pay
22	Campaign, Christina Isnardi [phonetic], Maurice
23	Pianko [phonetic]. That's our last panel. While
24	you're getting set up, we have Rose Perlin Authur

[phonetic], he put a statement in for the record.

L	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 52
2	Just wanted to state that. Anyone could go first.
3	Please state your name for the record. And Roy Paul
4	also put in a statement for the record, that it is so
5	noted. Thank you. You may begin.
6	MAURICE PIANKO: Thank you very much for

the opportunity to address you. My name is Maurice Pianko. To my left is Christina Isnardi, Mikey Franklin and Christopher Zara [phonetic].

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Could you put the mic a little close to you?

MAURICE PIANKO: Should I start first? Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes, you may.

MAURICE PIANKO: I'm the founder of the website Internjustice.com. It's a website that provides a lot of information and legal advice to perspective former and current unpaid interns. get about 500 unique viewers every week. I've personally been responsible for filing over 12 unpaid intern lawsuits across the country. I deal with many unpaid interns on a regular basis. I've asked to au pine [phonetic] about various unpaid internship issues by academic scholars, but students and I' ve developed a lot of knowledge about it. In terms of

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 53
2	the bill, Ifrom what my colleagues said previously,
3	I think it needs to be expanded, but I did just want
4	to mention that what I think is crucial over here is
5	that we need definitely greater enforcement. I deal
6	with interns all the time. Many of them are afraid to
7	come forward for wages. So could you imagine if they
8	want to come forward for harassment? Sometimes I tell
9	them not even to come forward for wages, it's not
10	worth. For harassment I'd probably be even less
11	likely to bring it on their behalf because I don't
12	want them to have the repercussions. So I think that
13	that is really the goal here should be to encourage
14	people to come forward and there should be certain
15	protections there for them. That's what I think is
16	the most important thing. As of right now, I've
17	developed a way to basically counteract the lack of
18	the human rights protections for unpaid interns,
19	which what I do right now is file a wage an hour
20	lawsuit simultaneously file a complaint with the EOC,
21	and then onceand basically what I would do is say
22	that just because an employer turns, calls the worker
23	an intern it's meaningless. Under the law, it's 100

percent meaningless. It's based on what was

performed. So, I think even the Wang case what they

24

2 could have done was filed it as an wage an hour case 3 and then brought to the attention that this was not -and therefore, the intern should have been covered 4 under the human rights laws. So that's pretty much 6 all I have to say about that topic. I mean, 7 everything else is in my written testimony that the committee has. But enforcement, I think is the key. 8 As one of the Council Members mentioned about 9 advertisement. I think that's crucial. I think the 10 word needs to get out and I also think that, you 11 12 know, based on my experience with interns, none of 13 them have had ruined careers by filing a lawsuit, and 14 I think that that needs to be brought out, and I also 15 believe that there's a distinction between nonprofit 16 and government versus for profit. I think for profit, 17 the law is 100 percent clear that 99 percent of the 18 time, the interns need to be paid, they should be paid. Whereas with government and nonprofit, it's not 19 20 so clear, and the issues that I'm involved in is really only related to the for profit sector and that 21 2.2 might be for the committee to decide on. Maybe they 23 can create broader rules related specifically to for 24 profit organizations. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

_ _

21

22

24

25

CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Good morning Council
Members and members of the committee. My name is

Christina Isnardi and I'm an undergraduate at NYU and a self-proclaimed intern advocate. I'm honored to be standing before you all today to express my approval for extending the civil rights protections to interns while also urging the committee to eliminate section C in the new subdivision 28, broaden the definition of intern in the amendment to local law. For the past year I started a successful petition targeting NYU's career center to remove postings of unpaid internships that violate the Fair Labor Standards Through this campaign I spoke with thousands of students about this issue on campus and they shared with me their experiences. I've also had the pleasure to work for unpaid--I've had the pleasure to work for unpaid internships on my own, and after speaking with thousands of students on campus and through my own experience, I can assure you that harassment and discrimination at internship are tremendous issues. I think I've had a dozen interns who've came up to me saying that they had experience with either unwanted sexual advances or discrimination against them based on their race or sexual orientation. So but also I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would like to say that the definition of interns under section C in the proposed amendment inaccurately describes our position as interns. So under section C, specifically subdivision two, a person is considered an intern if she is performing work that provides experience for the benefit of the intern performing the work. However, personal experience and the National Association of Colleges and Employers dictate that unpaid interns spend the majority of their time doing work that benefits the employer as opposed to the intern because interns perform more clerical and nonessential tasks that develop -- instead of tasks that develop employable skills. Section C, subdivisions one, three, and four are also regularly discarded at internships. I can tell you that I've displaced many workers. I have done the work of two or three employees at some point I would like to say. So I've also--the amount of training and oversight, I can tell you I could just go out and get three cups of coffee for myself if I wanted to. There is not a lot of supervision over interns. So I would say all the subsections in Section C, they are regularly discarded at these internships and my experience is just one in hundreds

2	of cases like this, maybe thousands. So when they
3	argue that interns who do actually perform the work
4	for the benefit of her employer is thus a
5	misclassified employee under the Fair Labor Standards
6	Act, whichand then they should enjoy workplace
7	protections. However, the Fair Labor Standards Act is
8	really only applicable for for-profit companies that
9	make 500,000 dollars or more annually. The Fair Labor
10	Standards Act then makes exemptions for small
11	businesses, most nonprofits, and many parts of the
12	government, so interns working in these sectors
13	cannot make this claim that they are misclassified
14	employers, and at the same time, these interns will
15	also fail the definition of intern defined in this
16	proposed amendment since they do perform the work of
17	employees, so therefore, interns working maybe for
18	you Council Members, they may fail the amendment's
19	definition of intern while also failing the FOSA's
20	definition of employee. So there's this hole that a
21	lot of interns fall under, if this proposal as is it
22	put forth. So I ask the Council to remove section C
23	in the subdivision 28, so all interns are included in
24	the definition and may receive civil protections at
25	their work. Thank you.

your fellow students at NYU and other schools are out

there. Many students get credit for the internship

24

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

_	COMMITTED ON CIVIL REGILD
2	based on their major. Many other students will learn
3	and have a good work experience. Many will end up
4	working for the place they intern in. So it's a
5	learning experience, but it's also an introduction to
6	the work world for a lot of undergrads, and what I'm
7	trying to do in this law is to make it as much of a
8	welcomed atmosphere if I can, and to remove any type
9	of possibility that interns would be treated in a
10	disrespectful or inappropriate way. So I appreciate
11	you coming with recommendations. We're going to
12	consider your recommendations and all the others, but
13	I'm very honored to have you.
14	CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Thank you. I'm
15	honored to be here.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I thank you very
17	much.
18	CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Same. Looking forward.
20	Any other questions. Alright. Our next panel?
21	MICHAEL FRANKLIN: Council, thank you so
22	much allowing my testimony. Please let me apologize
23	for not wearing something green. I failed. Purple
24	ties. A great failure, I apologize. My name is

Michael Franklin, I'm the Executive Director and Co-

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

founder of the Fair Pay Campaign. We advocate for the rights of interns. We have members nationally, including thousands here in New York City. While we are chiefly concerned with the issue of fair pay for interns, hence our name Fair Pay Campaign, we also strongly support this bill. We support the suggested revisions proposed by previous speakers, but I won't dwell on those because I think they've been discussed at length already. There are a handful of things I wanted to say are a number of you Council Members mentioned the challenge of educating interns about this issue. I speak to interns pretty much every day through the course of my work, primarily again, about wage issues, but every week I speak to at least one or two interns, largely female interns because women are 77 percent more likely to do unpaid internships according to a 2010 study by Intern Bridge who had received unwanted sexual advances, harassment or other forms of discrimination. I also speak to young people of color who have been discriminated against in their unpaid internships. None of these people presently have recourse. Because of the lack of legal structures, there is -- it is incredibly hard to know how many people this affects. Purely on the basis of

rights. They believe that they have no protection,

no right to speak up. I'm thrilled to be joined at

24

until you give it to the--the slip in your hand.

_

3

4

5

6

./

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHRISTOPHER ZARA: Well, first of all, thank you for hearing me and I'm not going to dwell too much on pretty much everything that was already discussed I--My name is Christopher Zara, and I'm a Senior Reporter for International Business Times in the Financial District. I cover the unpaid internship issue extensively through my job. I'm here today, though, not as a represented of IB Times, but as someone who cares about the issue. I've spoken to many, many interns over the last few years as I've covered this, this movement is how I like to describe it, including Christina over here and Maurice who have been quoted in my articles. I also speak to a lot of people who are afraid to speak on the record about this issue and I think one of the things that hasn't been discussed is, you know, just the inherent fear that a lot of interns feel when they, you know, they are discriminated against at work. They feel that they're on what's maybe the lowest rung of the totem pole, and I think part of that is perpetuated within the culture at some of these companies. In particular, the glamour industries, media and journalism, arts and entertainment, these are industries that are highly competitive and

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 64
2	unfortunately, the number of paid jobs just is
3	eclipsed by the number of people trying to break in.
4	It's a sad reality that just sort of creates this
5	kind of supply and demand system where a lot of these
6	interns simply won't get paid jobs in these fields.
7	So there is a desperation among the interns to sort
8	of make any professional connections that they can,
9	and I know that they will put up with things that
10	paid employees will not put up with at their jobs,
11	just because they feel that they're making some sort
12	of headway in their career. I think there's a more
13	fundamental question about whether or not these
14	interns deserve to be paid for their work and, you
15	know, we could debate that, but I think what's not
16	debatable is that interns do deserve basic
17	protections and to deny them that is really to deny
18	them the dignity of honest employment. So I do
19	support the proposed amendment, and it won't' settle
20	those fundamental questions but it will go a long way
21	in recognizing and establishing that the people who
22	contribute to what is really an invisible economy are
23	entitled to the same legal protections afforded to
24	every employee that works for the city of New York.

So I thank you for your time.

/

25 or so?

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. You have a question? Okay. Jimmy Vacca.

mentioned that you knew of cases yourself, I think you mentioned six was the number, you knew of interns who had endured discrimination or harassment. Were those cases brought to your attention, or those cases that you've heard about on campus, or can you describe a little bit in a generic way what you know?

CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Yeah. So I talk to a lot of interns or students at NYU who came up to me. They don't want to file a lawsuit because they feel like they'll be black listed from their employer from their industry. So they've come up to me and said, you know, I was treated like this. I was cat called at work by other employers—employees, I mean. So the majority of the people, those people that I was referring to, yes, they were students at NYU or students in the city that I've talked to who came up to me and said that they were harassed or discriminated against at their internships.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: They--these are at least six cases you've heard about in the last year

sought after?

25 to sit.

CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Oh, they are very sought after, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Or in demand?

CHRISTINA ISNARDI: Yeah. It's a rung in the ladder of success, between college and getting that job. So it's definitely a foot into the door.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I'm interested in that, because you know, a lot of us in the Council try to get interns and we do. Gale Brewer is the classic--she's the classic example of using interns and maximizing interns. But I have to--

CHRISTINA ISNARDI: They're useful, aren't they?

fantastic. We all use them, but Gale is the poster lady for interns. But I have to say that there was a time maybe a year or two ago where we just couldn't take anymore one. There was some——I think many are looking for internships because it is a way for them to learn. It is something that looks good on their resume. They want to get back to the public sector, but many of us had a situation where like our DO's and our 250 offices we had no more space for people

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Oh, she's

think--what impact do you think the legislation would

have on business owners?

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 CHRISTOPHER ZARA: Are you talking about 3 the people who hire the interns?

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes.

CHRISTOPHER ZARA: Well, first of all, like we talked about awareness. Part of that would be my job and the media's job to get this information out there.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Media?

CHRISTOPHER ZARA: The media's job to get this information out there to let employers know that interns are employees. I mean, they're basically--you can't treat them as people who aren't, you know, technically working for you. I think that's sort of the mindset of a lot of the higher ups who do hire the interns, especially in media, so it's sort of like--it's like, well you know, they're interns. We can do whatever we want with them and they have no recourse.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: You say you would do the media, but how would you get the employer to deal with the compliance of this law?

CHRISTOPHER ZARA: Well, I think just--

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing]

Themselves. 25

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: How about social media? Facebook?

J

2.2

CHRISTOPHER ZARA: Of course. Yeah. Of course, and social media as well. I mean, these things are huge on social media.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay.

MICHAEL FRANKLIN: May I interject?

MICHAEL FRANKLIN: There's also a role, I

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: One last.

think, for colleges to play in the enforcement of this. Christina and I work together on the campaign at New York University to change their internship posting policy, 'cause many students who are undergraduates find their internships through career centers at their campuses. Thanks to the campaign at NYU, they've completely changed their criteria around internship postings with significantly more oversight, and that's definitely a role that campus career centers can play in ensuring that the internships are posted abide by standards like those that are going to be passed in this law.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. And I'm looking forward to this legislation. I thank our sponsor of this bill. I know we going to put some more meat in it, and looking forward to bring it out and y'all getting the word out. And I will be meeting

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

with a lot of you to make sure that I'm fully aware that empower me, that we can empower the city. And thank you, and this meeting is now adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is no interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ___04/14/2014