CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----- X

December 04, 2013 Start: 10:20 a.m. Recess: 02:55 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

14th Fl.

B E F O R E:

MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

INEZ E. DICKENS
MATHIEU EUGENE
JULISSA FERRERAS

ROSIE MENDEZ JOEL RIVERA

DEBORAH L. ROSE

PETER F. VALLONE, JR.

JAMES G. VAN BRAMER

ALBERT VANN

2 [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: GOOD MOTHING. INDIK
you all for being here My name is Maria Del Carmen
Arroyo. I chair the Committee on Health in the
council and today the committee is conducting a
hearing on a bill to include electronic cigarettes
in the New York City Smoke-Free Air act sponsored
by Council Member Gennaro, Council Speaker
Christine Quinn, and myself. This legislation would
prohibit the use of e-cigarettes wherever smoking
is prohibited in the city. Electronic cigarettes
are electronic devices that deliver nicotine,
flavor, and other chemical, chemicals typically
through vaporization. Some argue that electronic
cigarettes are a safer alternative to smoking
cigarettes while others argue that they contain
nicotine and other potentially harmful substances
may serve as a gateway to smoking and are often
targeted towards our youth. What we do know is that
studies to smoking, to, to, studies on these
devices are few and regulations regarding these
devices is nonexistent. The use of electronic
cigarettes in the US commonly referred to as vaping
and I'm sure that we will hear from the industry on

2	their feelings about this has grown to a, at a
3	rapid pace with sales for the year 2013 projected
4	to be at 1.7 billion dollars. As the popularity of
5	these devices grow so does the likelihood that
6	their prevalence in areas where smoking is
7	prohibited will increase and we may see some of
8	that here today. Many brands of electronic
9	cigarettes are designed to look very much like
10	cigarettes and are used just like them. And the use
11	of these devices where smoking is currently
12	prohibited makes enforcement of the city's smoke
13	free act extremely difficult. And those charged
14	with enforcing it may not be clear on whether the
15	user's breaking the law or not. This can lead to
16	confusion, confrontations, and conflicts in our
17	establishments that I would not like to see happen.
18	We can't allow electronic cigarettes to undermine
19	the Smoke-Free Air act which has been the boom to
20	businesses and] a huge public health benefit to our
21	city. We expect many witnesses to testify on this
22	legislation in favor or against. I look forward to
23	hearing all sides on this issue. And while I, I
24	understand that many are passionate about the topic
25	we will be respectful and appropriate and have a

₹.	OM	HEALTH

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 5
2	dignified conversation. And as chair of this
3	committee I am pretty comfortable hearing opposing
4	sides as long as we do not get disrespectful. I
5	expect that we will conduct ourselves accordingly.
6	And before I turn over to Dr. Farley I want to
7	thank the committee staff for their work in
8	preparing for this hearing and I'm going to cheat
9	because I don't have all their names. Dan
10	Hafitz[phonetic] council to the Committee on Health
11	who might have to leave us on a moment's notice
12	because his wife is about to give birth to their
13	first baby and she's a week overdue. Kelly Taylor
14	to my left who is flying as the wingman on this
15	committee hearing because Dan's unexpected
16	departure may happen and we hope that that happens
17	soon and wish her well.
18	[laughter]
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Because I hear
20	she's miserable.
21	[laughter]
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Crystal
23	Pon[phonetic] who's always in the background
24	somewhere policy analyst for the committee. And

Crilliam Francisco [phonetic] also in the

background usually fiscal analyst to the committee.
I want to acknowledge the committee members that
are here. Council Member Vallone who's trying to
lobby me for a job after he leaves the council,
Council Member Gentile, Council Member Van Bramer,
and Council Member Debbie Rose stepped in and
stepped into the other committee next door but I
expect that she'll be back and she's here oh hi,
with the nicest sweater I've seen this year. And we
are going to hear from the prime sponsor of the
bill. He's stuck in traffic as soon as he gets here
we'll interrupt the proceedings to give him the
opportunity to say a few words. Dr. Farley thank
you for being here and we look forward to your
testimony.

much. Good morning Chairperson Arroyo and members of the New York City Committee, Council Committee on Health. I'm Doctor Thomas Farley Commissioner of the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this pre-considered introduction, a bill that would amend the Smoke-Free Air act by prohibiting electronic cigarette use in places where smoking

2	conventional cigarettes is prohibited. This
3	legislation will help address electronic cigarette
4	use which is growing rapidly among both youth and
5	adults and poses a threat that we have, to the
6	progress we have made in reducing smoking in New
7	York City. Since 2002 the Bloomberg Administration
8	and the City Council have worked hard to reduce
9	smoking and protect all New Yorkers from the
10	harmful effects of tobacco. Perhaps the single most
11	effective policy change that has been made has been
12	passing the Smoke-Free Air act which has not only
13	protected nonsmokers from second hand smoke but
14	also radically changed the social acceptability of
15	smoking. We've also made cigarette taxes the
16	highest in the nation, produced public awareness
17	campaigns warning about the risks of smoking and
18	offered direct assistance to tens of thousands of
19	New Yorkers to help them quit. With your help last
20	month we became the first major city in the United
21	States to increase the age of sale for cigarettes
22	to 21 in one of the first jurisdictions in the
23	country to prohibit discounts on tobacco products.
24	To address the growing trade in illegal cigarettes
25	we have also increased our ability to enforce

2	against tax evasion which will help ensure high
3	cigarette prices and level the playing field for
4	honest retailers. As a result of these efforts the
5	smoking rate of adult New Yorkers has fallen more
6	than a quarter and the smoking rate among teenagers
7	has been cut in half. We have estimated that this
8	decline of smoking is preventing thousands of
9	unnecessary deaths in New York City contributing to
10	our significant gains in life expectancy. However
11	our adult smoking rate has leveled off in the last
12	two years and the youth smoking rate has remained
13	stagnant for several years and smoking is still the
14	number one cause for preventable death in New York
15	City. For these reasons we are constantly seeking
16	new ways to discourage youth from starting to smoke
17	and assist adult smokers in quitting. Even more
18	important we feel we must protect the gains we have
19	worked so hard to achieve and prevent smoking rates
20	from rising again. Electronic cigarettes commonly
21	called e-cigarettes are nicotine delivery devices
22	that emit vapor and are designed to look like
23	conventional cigarettes. Among United States high
24	school students electronic cigarettes use more than
25	doubled between 2011 and 2012 a 4.7 percent to 10

2	percent. In 2012 more than 1.78 million middle and
3	high school students nationwide tried electronic
4	cigarettes. Sales of these products have doubled in
5	just two years from nearly 300 million in 2011 to
6	600 million in 2012 and are expected to reach 2
7	billion in 2013. All of this country's big
8	cigarette companies are now producing and heavily
9	marketing on e-cigarettes. The key point for the
10	hearing today is that e-cigarettes are so new that
11	we know very little about them. We cannot answer
12	many of the important questions that health experts
13	have about their short term, long term, and
14	indirect effects. Electronic cigarettes are not
15	regulated by any federal agency because there are
16	not government reporting requirements for e-
17	cigarettes there is no way of knowing the levels of
18	nicotine or amounts or kinds of other chemicals
19	they deliver to the lungs of users. The Food and
20	Drug Administration or FDA has found that some
21	electronic cigarettes contain toxins and
22	carcinogens and has expressed concern about their
23	safety. Some studies suggest that electronic
24	cigarettes release admissions containing volatile
25	organic compounds and fine particulate matter which

2	are associated in large enough concentrated with
3	respiratory problems. Electronic cigarettes have
4	not been subjected to any long term scientific
5	studies and their impact on health over time is
6	unknown. What we do know with certainty is that
7	most of these devices contain nicotine a highly
8	addictive substance. And many electronic cigarettes
9	look virtually identical to and mimic the action of
10	smoking a conventional cigarette. I'm sure you'll
11	hear later today from e-cigarette advocates that e-
12	cigarettes by delivering nicotine to addicted
13	smokers help those smokers quit. Based on this
14	argument they believe health experts should condone
15	or actually promote e-cigarette use. And initially
16	the FDA tried to regulate e-cigarettes as drug
17	delivery devices but remarkably it was the
18	electronic cigarette industry itself that sued the
19	FDA over this arguing in court that electronic
20	cigarettes were not drug delivery devices but
21	instead were tobacco products and should be
22	regulated as tobacco products and in 2010 the
23	federal court agreed with them. In the associated
24	press article on this legislation last week a
25	representative of Tobacco Vaper Electronic

۷	Cigarette Association relterated this position
3	saying that e-cigarettes should be regulated as
4	tobacco products. By the industry's own logic then
5	each cigarette use should be discouraged by health
6	experts and prohibited in places where use in
7	conventional cigarettes is prohibited. In addition
8	there are reasons to question the claim that e-
9	cigarettes help smokers of conventional cigarettes
10	quit. The use of electronic cigarettes particularly
11	in places where smoking is prohibited may actually
12	have the opposite effect helping smokers avoid
13	quitting by acting as a bridge. That is helping
14	them maintain nicotine levels in their blood until
15	they have an opportunity to smoke a conventional
16	cigarette again. Several e-cigarette companies are
17	now running advertisements including as on
18	television with attractive models and celebrities
19	glamorizing the act of smoking in a way that we
20	have not seen since conventional cigarettes were
21	banned from television in the early 1970s. I view
22	this marketing as highly irresponsible and
23	dangerous because it may entice children to
24	experiment with smoking. Allowing the use of
25	electronic cigarettes in places where smoking's

2	prohibited could accentuate this problem making the
3	act of smoking conventional cigarettes socially
4	acceptable again and undermining the enormous
5	progress tobacco control over the past few decades.
6	The impact of a social acceptability of smoking
7	should not be underestimated. Children and young
8	adults are heavily influenced by whether they feel
9	a behavior is viewed positively by their peers. If
10	smoking becomes socially appealing or even
11	glamorous again we can be virtually certain that
12	smoking rates in teenagers will rise. Another
13	concern is that young people who experiment with
14	electronic cigarettes may become addicted to
15	nicotine and then switch over to smoking
16	conventional cigarettes. Finally allowing the use
17	of e-cigarettes indoors may make it difficult to
18	enforce the Smoke-Free Air act against conventional
19	cigarettes because e-cigarettes and conventional
20	cigarettes look so much alike. Just to give you an
21	idea I got one here somewhere. So this is an e-
22	cigarette and if you can see it's indistinguishable
23	certainly from the distance you're at from a
24	conventional cigarette. New Yorkers have come to
25	enjoy and greatly benefit from smoke free

restaurants and bars. We do not want to return to a
day in which smoking conventional cigarettes in
these places is allowed simply because restaurant
and bar staff can't easily distinguish them from e-
cigarettes. Various jurisdictions from around the
country have prohibited the use of electronic
cigarettes in areas where smoking's prohibited
including New Jersey, Utah, North Dakota, Boston,
and various counties in New York, California, and
Kentucky. Last week Chicago announced that it is
perusing similar legislation. Because of these
concerns prohibiting the use of electronic
cigarettes in areas where smoking is restricted is
a prudent step. While more research is needed on
the health effects of electronic cigarettes waiting
to act could jeopardize the progress that we have
made over the past 12 years. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify and I'm happy to answer any
of your questions.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you

Commissioner. I have one question and then I'll

turn it over to my colleagues. What studies are

underway? Do you know of any that are currently

2	bein	g cor	ndu	icted	to	get	us	soli	d in	forma	atio	on	so	we
3	can	make	a	dete	cmi	nati	on	about	whe	ther	or	nc	t?	

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: You know I don't know what studies are underway. I'm sure there are studies of the health effects on individual users. The question about the long term effects though is going to take some number of years to, to learn.

Because it's not a, if you give an e-cigarette to one person and what that one person does is less important than understanding if these are used broadly across the population. Do they help reduce or increase smoking rates? If they act as a bridge where it helps conventional cigarette users not quit then, they, that indirect effect could be very negative. We're not going to know that for some number of years.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Are you encouraging any studies to be conducted?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: You know the, the New York City Health Department isn't a research institution.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I recognize that. That's why I used the word encourage.

think that more research needs to be done on exactly what chemicals come out of these, what effect those have on the lung, what happens to quit rates who people who are using these, what the long term effect is across the population, what happens to children when they start using these, and seeing how many of them then switch over to conventional cigarettes. All those studies need to be done. I'm sure that there are many institutions around the country that are either doing research or seriously considering this but it takes a while to get that sort of information and these are such new products that we're not going to have it anytime soon.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you Madam
Chair. Thank you Commissioner for your testimony. I
am one of those who are undecided on this bill and
I'm really looking forward to hearing as much
testimony as I can on both sides because there are
clearly responsible people, well intentioned
people, on both sides of this issue based on the
research I've done already. In fact I put it out on

my racebook page a couple days ago to get opinions
and the page just blew up with people on both sides
of the issue, a very civil debate. Everybody's
citing in a study to say their side is right which
I think proves your point that so little is known
at this point. If there were studies on each side
of this issue. One of the studies obviously you
mentioned is the FDA, or, or one of the things you
mentioned with the FDA, FDA's warning about, about
e-cigarettes and, and you said, and then the
argument that the other side makes, and it's a good
argument, is that they only tested some cigarettes.
And they say well if you regulate how the
cigarettes are made then you could get around the,
the potential toxins in, in the vapor. When I read
the study it did, it did seem to me like they
studied the two largest brands. Can you, you're the
health expert you've looked at the FDA warning what
is your opinion on that?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: I'm not sure exactly which studies you're talking about. I would say this. Right now there's this no regulation about what could be put in something like this. And so, and, and what the companies are doing is

changing what's in there. So even if we tested
today what all the different e-cigarettes have in
them and what sort of vapors or particles they put
out that might change tomorrow. It really is the
wild west right now with these. Now we are hopeful
that the FDA will do some sort of regulation on
this soon but they've been saying for a while that
they're going to do that. And it's unclear if their
regulation will put any limits on what sort of
chemicals can be in there or, or whether they'll be
even reporting of what's put in there. So we do
know that the e-cigarettes do put out some
chemicals. We do know that it put out some fine
particles but the amounts that they deliver to
users and the effects on health are just unknown.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You make an interesting point which I also learned about just recently. Is it, I'm told again on line, that people are using or are able to use and are using these cigarettes to, for purposes they weren't intended for, to fill up with illegal substances. Is that possible?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: It is possible certainly. It, it's, you take the chemicals in

there you could add other drugs and use them to deliver them to your lungs. I don't know how widespread that is but there's no reason it couldn't be done.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay. Most of my questions are going to be for the other side to have them respond to your arguments because you obviously anticipated most of the arguments and, and spoke about them in your testimony. I'm trying to go through some of the paperwork that I was handed by the other side to ask you but... Do you believe there's any type of regulation less or below what you're proposing that might also be effective?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Yeah the, the,
this is a particular piece of legislation is around
a Smoke-Free Air act which is that I think probably
the single most important thing that we have done
to reduce smoking rates in New York City. If we
can't enforce the Smoke-Free Air act because people
can't easily distinguish an e-cigarette from a
regular cigarette then that's a problem wherever
the Smoke-Free Air act is in place. So I, I think
that that's, that's something that the committee

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

_	COMMITTEE ON HEADIN
2	should look at very seriously that Imagine if you
3	you know were in a bar and half of the people there
4	were smoking something that looked like that it
5	would be awful had to tell how many of them are
6	smoking a conventional cigarette how many are
7	smoking an e-cigarette. And I don't think we want
8	to go back to a situation where bars are, are
9	filled with smoke.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well the
11	argument obviously is it's not smoke it's vapor.
12	How would you address that?
13	[applause]
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay quiet. No, no,
15	no, no, no.
16	[gavel]
17	UNKNOWN MALE: Quiet please!
18	[gavel]
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay so we're going
20	to do something else. Instead of make noise just
21	We can show support in different ways. Let's do it
22	quietly okay? Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: No, I, and a

couple responses to that. One is that the whereas

these don't put out smoke in the quantities that

24

you see from a conventional cigarette. They do put
out fine particles, they put out chemicals, and I
certainly can't guarantee that that is safe. But
the, the other thing is that this enforceability of
the Smoke-Free Air act is really really important.
If people can't distinguish then that opens the
door for smoking conventional cigarettes again in
all sorts of settings. And, and as you know there's
no city enforcement 99 percent of the time of the
Smoke-Free Air act. It ends up being self-enforcing
by other people in a bar or restaurant or the wait
staff. And if they can't distinguish this then
that, that could really fall apart.

valid point also. It was my father that put in the first smoke free act and back then it had a smoking section in restaurants and people said how outrageous that was and it would end the restaurant industry as we know it but you've been doing great work when it comes to stopping our kids from smoking and obviously makes some points that are well worth considering and I can't wait to hear the, the other side also. Let me, let me say this though. The way not to win this argument is to keep

blowing up our twitter pages with tweets okay?

3 Whoever tweets us the most is probably going to

4 | lose because it's very annoying.

[laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But I do welcome the input from all sides and I look forward to hearing the rest of the testimony. Commissioner thanks for your great work.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you Council Member. Now we're going to hear from the bill's prime sponsor Council Member Gennaro.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you Madam Chair. Thank you Commissioner for being here. I, I give my apologies for being late. There was some place that I had to be and that was locked in place many months ago and I couldn't get out of it. So sorry that I was late. Sorry that I missed your good testimony Commissioner. Yes, no, no, no I didn't, well anyway. That was, and, and I'm going to dispense with my opening statement. I, I, I feel like I lost the right to make a long opening statement because I got here late but I will just mention a couple of quick things. You know, other

2	than to thank you for your work Commissioner and
3	what we did on 21 and all the, and all the steps
4	we've taken over the last few years. The, the
5	statement from the Tobacco Vaper Electronic
6	Cigarette Association which is not just a national
7	trade organization for the industry but is
8	international. When they were asked about my bill
9	you know Mr. Thomas Kiklas[phonetic] who is the
10	cofounder and CFO of that organization indicated
11	that "It's been our position meaning to get Tobacco
12	Vaper Electronic Cigarette Association, it has been
13	our position that e-cigarettes should be regulated
14	as a tobacco product." So this is from the industry
15	itself and you know notwithstanding all the
16	attention that this hearing is getting. I don't
17	know why this is such big news and add about in
18	between 17 and 20 million people in this country
19	live in either states or you know local governments
20	where e-cigarettes are regulated in the same way as
21	tobacco products. You, you might have listed that
22	in your, in your statement. And, and, and I, I wish
23	to add my voice to what you mentioned about
24	undermining enforcement and you know kind of like
25	re-normalizing the, the act of smoking. And you

2	know for all the attention that this bill is
3	getting no one has called me. Not, like nobody has
4	called me about it. And I do bills all the time and
5	people that have a big problem, they, they tend to
6	call me about it. Of course they have the
7	opportunity here to come in a formal setting you
8	know to make their voices heard and I'm, and I'm
9	you know happy to hear that but I don't think these
10	are very big news. We already have almost 20
11	million people in this country you know living you
12	know within jurisdictions where you know there is
13	parody you know between the regulation given to
14	tobacco products and to e-cigarettes and the
15	statement from the industry itself, it, it has been
16	our position that e-cigarettes should be regulated
17	as a tobacco product period. And so I thank you for
18	your, you know for your, for your presence here
19	today, you know for your great advocacy. And all
20	the good things that the Bloomberg Administration
21	has done in concert with us you know to bring the
22	smoking rates down and to do what we can to
23	decrease the amount of people who smoke cigarettes,
24	decrease the amount of people who have a tobacco
25	addiction, decrease the amount of people who have a

2	nicotine addiction. I think this is, you know I
3	think this is certainly a very prudent step and I
4	think the city is kind of like behind the times a
5	little bit and I think it's time that we caught up
6	and that will be my statement Madam Chair and I
7	have no further questions for the Commissioner
8	because we have spoken a lot on this issue and I,
9	and I thank you. I thank you Commissioner and I
10	thank you Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Council Member Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you Madam
Chair and Commissioner thank you for your
testimony. I'm, I'm just wondering if we're here
today based on, on your testimony trying to fit a
square peg into a round hole. Based on the
definition that we have for the Smoke-Free Air act.
And the fact that the Smoke-Free Air act addressed
the issue of secondhand smoke. And as you said in
your testimony who has been pointed out in, in, in
the presentation is there is no traditional
secondhand smoke with, with e-cigarettes. So are

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 you suggesting that we redefine the, the, the

3 Smoke-Free Air act because the, the basic

4 definition was to protect secondhand smoke.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: No, it's the, the way that the bill is written as you noticed that electronic cigarettes are listed separately. And the reason for us supporting this is as I put in my testimony that while we don't really know what's, what's in the vapor there... You know some studies indicating there's some chemicals, some studies indicating particles in there, the primary concern of the Health Department is the enforceability of the Smoke-Free Air act against conventional cigarette smoking because we know that that is, it has been enormously important not just from protecting people against second hand smoke but also reducing smoking rates overall by making it less socially acceptable. If allowing e-cigarette use indoors undermines that then we've lost this incredibly important tool. I should just point out right now that there's no law right now that says a teacher couldn't use these cigarettes in front of his or her classroom in school. And that makes this, should make you wonder a little bit about

what the effects could be if this got to be very very popular on young children picking up either ecigarette use or conventional cigarette use.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So it really has nothing to do with keeping the air smoke free?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: I, I didn't say that. I, I would say we don't know what is in these because they change all the time because there's no reporting what's in there. And so the, I cannot guarantee that what comes out of these things is safe. I don't think that's the primary reason but I cannot guarantee that it's safe.

just, this is something that came from the material we were given today. I'm not exactly who, who submitted it but it indicates here that a, a Doctor Michael Spiegel a public health professor at Boston University and an activist antismoker links and he's quoted here to a New Zealand study that quote reveals again by actual measurement that the toxic emission score which is a score based on levels of 59 priority toxicants was zero for electronic cigarettes. And he further sites a study which concludes that the exhaled vapor from electronic

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2'
2	cigarette smokers contain mainly propylene, glycol,
3	glycerin, and small amounts of nicotine. It does
4	not appear to be any major concern regarding any
5	dangerous exposure to passive vaping. That comes
6	from the health professor at Boston University like
7	your reaction.
8	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right and as I
9	said it is not the primary reason why we are
10	supportive of this bill is not the exposure to the
11	peoples' secondhand. But again I cannot guarantee
12	it's safe. The primary reason is that the, the
13	potential loss of enforceability of the Smoke-Free
14	Air act against conventional smoking.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Well wouldn't
16	that be just as easy as touching the tip of an
17	electronic cigarette to see if it, if it's cool?
18	[laughter]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: If it's cool
20	that means that's an electronic cigarette.
21	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: If, if, if you
22	were… [interpose]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: If the end's

25 [laughter]

hot you get burnt.

2	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right. If you're
3	right next to one person that's true. On the other
4	hand if, right now 15 percent of people are smoking
5	conventional cigarettes. If these become so popular
6	to where 15 percent or 30 percent of people are
7	using these and you're in a crowded bar and half
8	the people there are pulling on one of these things
9	it's going to be awful difficult for the, the bar
10	staff to recognize who's smoking a conventional
11	cigarette and who's smoking an e-cigarette. And
12	then the bars could be that way. There's nothing
13	that would prevent that that from happening.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. I imagine
15	it's not impossible but you're saying it's
16	difficult?
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member if
18	you… [interpose]
19	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I'll just, let
21	me just… [interpose]
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: No, no I want to do
23	a follow up on [interpose]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Oh.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:on your last
3	question. What's the consequence to the
4	establishment if they are enforcing the smoking,
5	the free… [interpose]
6	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: So [interpose]
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:Smoke-Free Air
8	act?
9	COMMISSIONER FARLEY:when we inspect
10	restaurants and other clubs [interpose]
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Sorry Councilman.
12	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: When we inspect
13	restaurants and other clubs we check to see if they
14	have a sign that says smoking is not permitted. We
15	also see if there's evidence that smoking is being
16	permitted despite that. And so they can be cited
17	with a violation if smoking is permitted. So there
18	is some risk if, if a restaurant doesn't enforce or
19	can't enforce against smoking of conventional
20	cigarettes that they would be you know cited with a
21	fine.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: What if, if the
23	regulation might otherwise be making electronic
24	cigarettes look different than conventional
25	cigarettes so that your concern about enforcement

would be much easier and, and frankly removed if	- ,
and I saw some the audience holding up some	
electronic cigarettes that don't look like	
conventional cigarettes. So what if the, what if	=
the regulation were to make or to require	
electronic cigarettes to look significantly	
different than conventional cigarettes.	

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: You know the council could try to do that if, if the council wants to. I, my guess is that you would find it difficult to figure out how to create a definition that excludes this but doesn't exclude some of the other ones that look, having different shapes and sizes.

council Member Gentile: Well that's something I think we need to consider as a, as a, as a measure short of complete banning. But I, you and I have had this discussion many times before when we talk about indoor smoke and, and second, secondhand smoke being dangerous and, and the, a Smoke-Free Air act. We totally in this city overlook the secondhand smoke and the smoke that's going on in hookah bars across this city. And, and that smoke as we have discussed before many times

herbal shisha.

can be, has been shown to be as dangerous if not
more dangerous than cigarette smoke given the fact
that there is nicotine very often mixed in with the

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: As well as the tarb at the bottom of the hookah pipe. And that, that is a real danger to not only those smoking but those in that bar who are inhaling that secondhand smoke. I'm just, I, I'm, I'm just a little bit amazed that we're rushing to this one and yet we haven't really seriously dealt with and you know I have a bill concerning that, but we really seriously have not dealt with the, the, the secondhand smoke which is a clear violation of the Smoke-Free Air act if we were to bring the hookah bars under the Smoke-Free Air act.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right. The, I, I view we are also very much concerned about hookah smoking which we, was once a, a small loophole of the Smoke-Free Air act which it looks like it's getting bigger now. And we are happy to work with the councilman addressing that. To me these are two

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

	COMMITTEE ON HEADIN 5.		
2	separate issues. One of, addressing one is not a		
3	substitute for addressing the other.		
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Oh it's, but		
5	it, but the administration drives this a lot of		
6	times and, and, and you know there is a bill in the		
7	council but has the administration taken any steps,		
8	or any initiative on the hookah smoke?		
9	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Let me say if, if		
10	the council came up with a bill and, and announced		
11	hearings on this we would be happy to work with the		
12	council in coming up with something that, that		
13	would address that citation.		
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I guess it'll		
15	be the next administration then. [laughter] I thank		
16	you Commissioner.		
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Or not.		
18	[laughter]		
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Or not.		
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Just or not. Thank		
21	you Council Member. We've been joined by Council		
22	Member Eugene member of the committee. Council		
23	Member Rose.		
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.		

Commissioner E-cigs have, e-cigarettes have been

2 touted as a smoking cessation device. What is your,

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 are you saying that there, it's not value in terms

4 of smoking cessation with e-cigarettes?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: No, individual users would make that claim but you know there is a way for manufacturers to develop, market, and be able to make a claim about something as a cessation device. We have nicotine patches and gums, we have medications which are cessation devices. The ecigarette industry has chosen not to do that. They do not market this as cessation devices. They do not make claims that they are cessation devices because they would prefer to have them be regulated as tobacco products. And so they, they have not done the study to determine whether they are effective for cessation of not. And so you'll hear a lot of claims from individuals who say this is a cessation device but the industry does not make that claim and so we don't treat it as such.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So other cessation devices include nicotine as well as the e-cigarette. Are you opposed to the use of nicotine in the e-cigarette? Is that one of the values that you are opposed to?

۷	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: The, well the other devices that, or the other products that are put out there to assist people in cessation have undergone very rigorous testing to demonstrate not only their effectiveness but also their safety. The FDA sets a very high bar for something to be put out there as a medication to assist with cessation. And, and so they've demonstrated there that the nicotine as delivered in those products is safe. How the nicotine is delivered in here and what sort of other things go along with that has not been studied and demonstrated to be safe. And so we're concerned about the entire package. Nicotine is in there but there are other things in there as well. And again we don't know everything that's in there. So it, it, again it's, it is an inappropriate claim for anybody to call these cessation devices because if they wanted to market such thing they could have done that rigorous testing and if it were safe and effective then they could make that claim.

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Is there documentation that says that these e-cigarettes do have smoking cessation value?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: There was one				
study which I'm sure you're aware of in which e-				
cigarettes were compared to patches. And that they,				
the two groups ended up being about the same as far				
as the likelihood of quitting over six months. But				
the patches are something which are a proven, safe,				
and effective cessation device where as e-				
cigarettes we don't know about their safety and we				
don't know about their long term effectiveness. So				
that, that is the best study that I'm aware of. And				
other studies beyond that I don't think are, are				
nearly as strong. So you know the question about				
whether they assist people in quitting they can't				
make that claim so that there is proof to that.				

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So this legislation will now mandate that e-cigarette users have to go outside and smoke with regular cigarette, people who are smoking cigarettes right?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Correct. If people want to use this they can continue to use these products but they couldn't do it indoors wherever the Smoke-Free Air act applies.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And so is there any fear that by doing so, by throwing them back

into this group that now has to go outside or a dedicated to smoke that it will then influence them to return to smoking cigarettes as opposed to ecigarettes.

encourage conventional cigarette smokers to quit.

That's the best thing for their health. The question is as they, as this product is used now it doesn't help people quit or does it help people not quit. And we think that there's a very legitimate reason to be concerned that it helps people not quit. If they use this when they're indoors, when they're at work to maintain their nicotine levels then that may just tide them over until they can go outside again and smoke a conventional cigarette.

What effect it has on peoples' quit rates over the long term is simply unknown and so there's, there's reason to be concerned about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: When you passed the other no smoking clean air bill were E-cigs, not a cigarettes not a, an entity?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: The, the Smoke-Free Air act has had a few changes to it but the CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Commissioner do you have any reports of business owners expressing concern or frustration regarding enforcement of the Smoke-Free Air act and the use of electronic cigarettes in restaurants and bars or areas where it's not prohibited?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We, we've gotten questions. What should we do? You know someone brought one of these out at a restaurant and we didn't know if this applied or not. And so certainly clarity is important.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And the advice is given to establishments now?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right now it, we say what the law says that there's nothing that prohibits them from being used.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Gennaro you have some follow up questions?

Based on some of the back and forth since I, since

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Yes thank you.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	I last spoke. With regard to the second hand smoke
3	issue let's have an office with you know 20
4	cubicles and 10 people smoking e-cigarettes is it
5	fair to say that the people who aren't smoking e-
6	cigarettes are going to be breathing nicotine? Is
7	that, is that fair to say?
8	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: No, the honest
9	answer is I don't know. We don't know what kind of
10	nicotine levels come out on a side stream there.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We don't know how
13	much nicotine is in these products. We don't know
14	what happens if you have 20 people there versus
15	one. We just don't know.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Okay. And with
17	regard to this being marketed as a, a smoking
18	cessation device that would involve a whole process
19	with the FDA. Is that, is that correct?
20	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Correct. The FDA
21	sets a very high bar where you have to do very high
22	quality studies to look at the effectiveness of any

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Right.

drug that's being marketed.

23

24

2	

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: To demonstrate both its effectiveness for what it claims and its safety. And, and so you know the, the industry has chosen not to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Right.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: And so they cannot make that claim and they should not make that claim.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Okay thank you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We've been joined by Council Member Rodriguez from Manhattan. Thank you for joining us. So we adopt this bill, mayor signs into law hopefully before December 31st I would imagine. Implementation what would be required of businesses and is there any additional cost to the establishments regarding signage etcetera.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right. If, if this bill is signed into law it would go into effect in 120 days. The, the main enforcement around the department is to check to see that businesses have

signs that say that smoking is permitted. Under
this bill they would have to have a sign that
would, either have a second sign that says no
electronic cigarette use is permitted or a single
sign that says no smoking or electronic cigarette
use is permitted. And so they'd have to change that
sign and the department would give ample time for
restaurants and other clubs to do that. We set up
to a year. And so we think that the cost of this
would be minimal.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And the so implementation is 120 days for them to have signs up in order to... [interpose]

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: When the law says they would but we wouldn't be citing them for violation for not having a signup right of that, as of that day because we understand it takes a while for the word to get out and people pick up their signs. It's, it's never the department's wish to fine restaurants. It's our wish to educate them so that they just come in compliance with the law.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And before you go I wanted to get something on your radar that I learned yesterday in a meeting with several

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	restaurant owners in my district. That letter
3	grading system, the letters are being falsified
4	after the inspections. It just grew another head.
5	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We, we hear
6	periodically reports about that. We can check and
7	do check restaurants and some of them they get away
8	with a, a fraudulent card here but if they have a
9	fraudulent card or no card and we find it and we do
10	find some we cite them with a violation and that's
11	a fine that's pretty steep. So they may get away
12	with it for a time but I wouldn't recommend that
13	they do that over the long term.
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So we'll have
15	follow-up conversation about… [interpose]
16	[crosstalk]
17	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Yeah be happy to
18	thank you
19	[interpose, crosstalk]
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:make the system a
21	little bit more safer and consistent because the
22	businesses are complaining that the other players
23	in, in the industry are not playing fair. Council

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Okay thank you.

Member.

25

_

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Just quickly
Madam Chair. Based on the fact that there is so,
there's so much lack of knowledge on the effect...
[interpose]

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: ...or, or the danger of the e-cigarettes couldn't your department do that, some type of testing to get some kind of basic information that you could present to us. For example you got the New England or the New Zealand study that I just quoted to you... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: ...before.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Right.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: You know I think there are a whole range of studies that need to be done. There are studies about what this delivers to the lungs of users. There's about what the, it delivers to people nearby. There's a question of what it does to quit rates among users individually given these there's a question of what happens when it's across an entire population. The department does not have the resources to do all that research. There are people I'm sure around the country and around the world who are doing this

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	because this is an issue all over the world right
3	now. These, these things are really exploding
4	around the world. We will have more information in
5	the future. I think the question for the committee
6	today is do you want to wait until we have more
7	information five years from now and we may find out
8	that the health consequences are quite bad or do
9	you want to act now, five years from now this
10	industry will be a multibillion dollar industry and
11	it will probably more difficult for the committee
12	to act.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: So it's your
14	testimony though that, that the, the department has

no ability to do any type of testing?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: That, that

would be helpful in this regard?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: It would be very difficult for us to do that. That's, that's not, you know we, we don't in general do toxicology testing and testing of you know what something like that puts out. I would rather have it be done by a laboratory that has the expertise to do that. And, and there's a cost to doing that and I think if we

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 44
2	were to try to divert some of our budget for that
3	whatever we're cutting to do that also would not be
4	very popular.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: So you are
6	aware of the studies that are out there
7	[interpose]
8	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:and you've
10	looked at those or, or… [interpose]
11	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We have looked at
12	the studies that are out there. We still feel that
13	as I said the most important questions are still
14	unanswered.
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Commissioner is
16	this bill precedent setting as it relates to
17	prohibiting the use of electronic cigarettes in
18	public places.
19	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: No there's many
20	other jurisdictions and several states, many other
21	cities and counties that have taken action like
22	this. As I mentioned city of Chicago is considering
23	this virtually the same legislation right now. So

because these are so new this is happening all over

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	the place.	But	in	many	ways	we're,	we're	behind	in
3	other place	es or	ո + Դ	nis					

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Are you aware of any problems with the implementation of the regulation?

any problems that places that have implemented and I believe it may be some very nearby places done I believe New Jersey nearby counties have implemented this. I should also say that the, the MTA on its own has interpreted its own policy, smoke free policy is already applying to this. So they are already enforcing this on subways and the transit system.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay thank you

Commissioner for your testimony. We look forward to

continuing the work of this committee as it relates

to how we can improve the health of our city's

residents. If I don't see you again have a

wonderful holiday.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay we're going to go into the public portion of the testimony that

2	we're going to hear where I, as much as I hate to
3	use a clock on those testifying we're going to do
4	so. We have 60 people signed up and they continue
5	to come in. We want to make sure that we hear from
6	everyone So we're going to put a three minute clock
7	on. I'm going to ask that you please if you have
8	written testimony summarize it, give us the meat
9	and potatoes of it and when you speak from the
10	heart is probably the best testimony that I've ever
11	heard here in the council. So please do so
12	respectfully. And we're going to start. We're going
13	to alternate panels in opposition and in favor and
14	we'll continue that approach throughout the
15	hearing. We expect to be here all day and I will be
16	here to hear testimony from everyone who has signed
17	up to testify. So if we adhere the clock and we
18	keep some kind of order well we should be out of
19	here for dinner. Okay. Gilbert Ross, Dr. Ross, A,
20	ACSH and you're going to tell us what that is when
21	you speak. Spike Babaian, Vape New York National
22	Vapers Club, and Gregory Conley and you don't
23	indicate who you represent so Conley are you here?
24	Okay. And the, batting up, Dan, the next panel. Did
25	they call him? Dan. Okay.

2 [pause, background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay so you can choose the order that you go in. I think you've done this before. We're going to, the clock is on that side. So not to make you nervous or anything. Just be mindful of it so that we can keep on time. If the light is on at the base of the mic it's working. Pull it close to you otherwise the sound may not come through for those who are in the audience. And you may begin when you're ready.

GILBERT ROSS: Thank you very much Madam Chair and other members of the council, staff, and, and the guests. I represent the American Council on Science and Health. We're a nonprofit consumer education advocacy organization 35 years in the nonprofit field of public health. We've been in the forefront of antismoking activity, antismoking education since our founding in 1978. In the last few years we've been vigorous proponents of harm reduction techniques for helping addicted smokers quit as you all probably know. The approved methods to help smokers quit work very poorly 10 to 15 percent tops. So e-cigarettes seem to be a potential public health miracle if we can get the

2	over regulation out of the way. The discussion so
3	far seems to have three general topic hitters e-
4	cigarettes do they work, are they going to help
5	smokers quit, what about the toxicants in the
6	vapor? This is specifically as far as the, this law
7	is concerned, the proposed bill. And three the
8	problem with enforcement differentiating e-
9	cigarettes from regular cigarettes. Well we're not
10	here of course to discuss where e-cigarettes
11	actually help smokers quit. As every, Dr. Farley
12	had said that those data are being collected now
13	and we'll find out. But millions of smokers around
14	the world have voted with their feet. And the
15	market has doubled every year as you mentioned.
16	These smokers must know something about how
17	effective they are I think. The toxicants in the
18	vapor Dr. Farley said numerous times we don't know
19	what's in the vapor. We don't know what's in these
20	things. We sure do know what's in the vapor and
21	nothing harmful is in the vapor. Studies done by
22	Dr. Igor Burstein and Drexel published in a journal
23	clearly demonstrated that there were no significant
24	health threats from vapor toxicants. Dr.
25	Gronowitz[phonetic] and others including Dr. Neal

Benowitz who was on the FDA panel on cigarettes on
smoking and tobacco was a coauthor of that study
found that the toxicant levels in the vapor were 50
to 500 times less than an E, than a regular
cigarette smoke. So there's no reason for this law.
It'll, it'll force vapers that is e-cigarette users
out into the cold with smokers. To tell the
difference between a cigarette and an e-cigarette
is quite simple. I'm sure Dr. Farley could figure
this out quite simply as restaurateurs will as
well. The law is unnecessary, it's hyper regulatory
and it really will accomplish nothing except to
make more former smokers return to actual toxic
cigarettes. Thank you very much.

SPIKE BABAIAN: Most of you know who I am already. My name is Spike Babaian. I am a founder of National Vapers Club and I also co-own Vape New York a cigarette store and I'm also the coauthor on one of the studies that I just handed out to you. The other one is actually Dr. Gronowitz study which Dr. Ross just mentioned. Those two studies are studies on indoor air quality when ecigarettes are being used. You also received a copy of them back in May during the flavor ban when I

2	handed them out and said you don't worry we'll be
3	back here in a couple months for the indoor ban and
4	here we are. I'm coauthor on that paper. The paper
5	discusses the difference between tobacco smoke and
6	e-cigarette vapor and it tells you the levels of
7	toxins for the VOCs that Dr. Farley mentioned PAHs,
8	nicotine, nitrosamines, and all of the big major
9	toxicants in cigarette smoke. And they showed that
10	the levels In fact I would like to read it to you
11	but since I gave all the copies to you I'm not sure
12	I actually have one. Okay and the conclusion of the
13	study was for all byproducts measured electronic
14	cigarettes produce very small exposures relative to
15	tobacco cigarettes. The study indicates no apparent
16	risk to human health from e-cigarette emissions
17	based on the compounds analyzed. You will also find
18	the same conclusion in Dr. Gronowitz' study which
19	found the same levels and same numbers of all of
20	those constituents. For people to say that there
21	are no studies especially while I sit here after
22	I've been doing these studies since 2009 and
23	raising funding to this study and trying to find
24	indoor air quality experts to complete this study
25	since I'm not a scientist so I couldn't do this

study. Kind of makes me a little bit upset
especially since the whole Health Committee had a
copy of the studies that were already completed in
May. There are studies. There are not just the two
that I handed out. You saw the box drop when I
walked up because there are about a half a dozen
more but I couldn't print them all because it would
have killed too many trees. So briefly since I
don't have an awful lot of time left I just wanted
to very quietly ask we could have all the people
who are vapers put your hand up in the air please
or your e-cigarette up in the air? How many of you
never… Put your hands for a minute. How many of you
never smoked a cigarette before you started vaping?
Okay. How many of you don't smoke cigarettes now
and continue to vape? Okay. So all of these people
used to smoke and all of these people except maybe
one don't smoke anymore. We can say there are no
studies but I mean if you do that, if you count
that as a survey, that's a little miniature study.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay so Spike talk
to us.

SPIKE BABAIAN: Briefly what your bill is trying to do is to de-normalize smoking. These

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people quit smoking. What you're trying to do and what this bill does is de-normalize quitting. Okay?

Bare that in mind when you put this legislation through. You're trying to de-normalize quitting. We don't ban water because it looks like vodka we

educate people and tell them it's not.

GREGORY CONLEY: Good morning. My name is Gregory Conley. For approximately three years I served as the Volunteer Legislative Director for the Consumer Advocates for Smoke-Free Alternatives Association a nonprofit organization that is the leading US advocate for consumers of vaper products which are commonly referred to as electronic cigarettes and other low risk alternatives to smoking. In my position at CASAA I helped expose the fact that the tobacco ordinances heard before this committee in May would have enacted a de facto ban on the sale of flavor e-cigarettes and folded e-cigarettes into New York City's existing tobacco laws. At that hearing Dr. Thomas Farley of the Department of Health told the committee that it was not the intention of the Bloomberg administration to regulate e-cigarettes. None the less four months after the hearing we were sent copies of new

2	versions of the ordinances that originated with a
3	source in the Bloomberg administration. After
4	leaking the proposals to the Gothamist media
5	coverage and numerous New York newspapers, local TV
6	news, Fox news, and Morning Joe followed. In the
7	end the council and the Health Committee wisely did
8	not take up the bulk of Bloomberg's proposal with
9	regard to e-cigarettes and that was a benefit to
10	public health and I applaud the committee for that
11	decision. Having seen the council largely abandon
12	plans to treat e-cigarettes like other tobacco
13	products it came as a surprise to receive a notice
14	on the afternoon of the day before Thanksgiving
15	that this hearing would be taking place. I was
16	especially surprised when I learned despite the
17	fact that the council and the members here have
18	known about the e-cigarette issue since April or
19	May that the sponsors waited until a holiday
20	weekend to announce the introduction of an
21	ordinance that they hoped to pass in just three
22	weeks. This comes after the tobacco related bills
23	such as the raising the age to 21 sat for more than
24	six months before there was a committee hearing.
25	Having said that I would first urge the committee

to wait to vote on this ordinance until 2014 at the	
earliest which would afford the council time to	
seriously consider the science on the matter and to	
most importantly to hear from constituents. However	
regardless of when this ordinance is brought for a	
vote my recommendation and strong urging is no! All	
existing evidence shows that e-cigarettes likely	
present about $1/100^{\rm th}$ the risk of smoking, pose no	
harm to bystanders, and have helped millions of	
smokers quit worldwide and are not creating	
nicotine dependence in nonsmoking youth, and are	
not creating enforcement problems for businesses. I	
was shocked to see Dr. Farley essentially admit	
that they're not here to tell you that e-cigarette	
vapor definitely poses a threat to public health	
and that's why we should ban them. They're using	
this absurd reasoning that e-cigarette vapor could	
confuse people and lead to cigarette smoking. And I	
would say you could be in the darkest dingiest bar	
in New York City with the smelliest consumers of	
any bar here and if somebody lit up a cigarette in	
a roomful of people using e-cigarettes you would	
know	

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. So for
the, for the remaining public that's lining up to
testify you can see that your statement can be,
your sentiment can be conveyed in three minutes
appropriately so thank you for setting the, the
tone for that. Don't, no, we have some questions.
Councilmember Vallone.

said there are well meaning very smart people on both sides of this issue so I want to ask you some of the same questions that I asked them. I'm watching puffs of vapor go up in this room and it is confusing number one. And number two I smell it. It doesn't bother me. It smells good. But it might bother me if I were in a restaurant and I smelled that. How do you deal with that?

SPIKE BABAIAN: I think the person next to me that's wearing perfume that's horrendously overpowering bothers me too but we don't ban people from smelling.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We don't but we also don't, don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good when it comes to legislation. We deal with what we can. If there were a way to deal with

2 smelly people with perfume I, we would probably do
3 that.

[laughter]

GREGORY CONLEY: I would,

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Because I agree with you on that.

GREGORY CONLEY: I would also add that restaurants remain free today to ban e-cigarette use and many restaurants and private businesses don't, I mean do ban e-cigarette use and that's fine with us because that's the private business, business deciding but because one customer may not like it that they will ban it. And that's fine because it's a private business. It isn't the government stepping in and saying despite the fact, as Dr. Farley admitted that we have no evidence par that we need to ban it and fine people in find businesses who dare to allow somebody to use a smoke free product.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's, that would have been--fair good argument. Dr. Farley didn't say there weren't studies saying on both sides of this issue. I think he there weren't conclusions because there are studies that come out

on both sides of this issue. How do you deal with the FDA warnings?

SPIKE BABAIAN: The FDA's study was not actually a study. It was never published. It was never peer reviewed and it was never replicated. It was actually a preliminary review. It was done in 2009 and what they found was that the levels of toxicants that they found were not toxic. However that's not what the press release said. And all of you received a copy of that in May with a sheet that said this is what they found, this is the levels of toxicants they found, this is what they said about it even though the toxic risk level was below toxicity. It was not even readable.

GREGORY CONLEY: That press conference was orchestrated by the acting Deputy Commissioner at that time Josh Sharfstein and his goal apparently was to scare people off of e-cigarettes. The fact is that the levels of toxicants found were lower than those found in nicotine patches but they didn't, they didn't want to discuss that. Plus of course they were using a primitive e-cigarette. The levels of toxicants were low then and they're much lower now anyway.

[crosstalk]

GREGORY CONLEY: So the, we're not disputing the fact that there were toxicants in the smoke. We're just saying it's at level that was not harmful which is what they say about fluoride and they're 100 percent wrong about that too.

GILBERT ROSS: There's no smoke by the way. That's vapor and there's tognito[phonetic] when you cross Broadway. You're getting a load of toxicants as well.

GREGORY CONLEY: And just to be clear the FDA tested the liquid that went into the ecigarette and they found when they put out the press release they said that they found tobacco specific nitrosamines aka carcinogens. What the press release failed to leave out is that their test and every other test on e-cigarette liquid has found trace levels of carcinogens. And what these fine people that will speak today won't note is that the nicotine patch, gum, and lozenge, and inhaler all expose users to trace levels of carcinogens that are around 1,000 times less when they're consumed.

2 COT

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We're not

3 concerned about the users. They can do what they

4 want. I'm concerned about the vapor that other

5 people are inhaling.

6 GREGORY CONLEY: And those nitrosamines

7 have never been found in the vapor just to be

8 clear.

what we're concerned about. You can, you feel free to put your cigarette-- you shouldn't actually. I'm as antismoking as you can possibly be which is why one of the reasons that I'm, I'm taking this seriously is because I have people work for me who have quit using these things. So I don't need studies to tell me that. Last question then because I do want to get to a lot of people. The commissioner said that you can change what's in this on a daily basis. I don't know what's in that puff of smoke. He may have bought it legally and put whatever he wanted in that puff of smoke. How

SPIKE BABAIAN: It's not smoke, but if it were a vapor and the vapor were to have something in it that was an illegal substance

do you deal with that argument?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 60
2	almost everybody in this room even if you never
3	smoked an illegal substance would know what that
4	smells like. And you just said I can smell the e-
5	cigarettes they smell pretty, like apples, fruit.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah but we
7	couldn't do anything about it.
8	SPIKE BABAIAN: Well you could because
9	illegal substance and I've seen people searched by
10	the police and made to blow out a puff and then
11	arrested for using illegal substances in an e-
12	cigarette. None of the consumers You can't say
13	that because you can If I took an apple and I
14	carved a hole in it you know you can make like a
15	pipe out of that to smoke marijuana in but we don't
16	ban apples just because you can do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Again you're going to the absurd example. It doesn't mean we shouldn't do what we actually can do.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But I, again I'm just, I want you guys to deal with the arguments that they made so that we can take, get all the information and I thank you guys for coming out today.

GILBERT ROSS: My roommate in medical school used to empty his Marlboros and put marijuana in them but we haven't banned Marlboros yet although that might be something to talk about.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay.

GILBERT ROSS: We do regulate...

[interpose]

Gentile.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you and thank you for your testimony. I'm just wondering the, the, the people flocking to e-cigarettes from traditional cigarettes could, could it be just as much an issue of convenience of going to e-cigarettes rather than the, the march to, to, to not smoking, the fact that you can smoke an e-cigarette inside, that it has nicotine? So this flock over to e-cigarettes couldn't it just simply be an issue of convenience.

GREGORY CONLEY: Sure maybe. But the fact is that the fewer cigarette smoke the better public health will be. Whatever the reasons are for smokers switching to e-cigarettes we don't frankly care. More e-cigarettes, fewer cigarettes. And by

23

24

25

cigarettes when they went outside? COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Let me ask you. You also had people raise their hands here at the, during your testimony, do you consider those people nonsmokers or on their way to be nonsmokers. SPIKE BABAIAN: A nonsmoker doesn't inhale smoke and none of those people inhale smoke. COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: But they SPIKE BABAIAN: You guys keep calling it smoking but it's not, it's vaping. COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay it's vaping but there is nicotine involved here. SPIKE BABAIAN: But you inhale nicotine when you use a nicotine inhaler and we don't call them smokers. And we don't tell people who chew

hand smoke.

nicotine gum they're smokers. They're nicotine...

There's a difference between nicotine user and a smoker. And what, what this clean indoor air act does is to benefit the public by reducing second

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.

SPIKE BABAIAN: Okay? So to tell someone who uses a nicotine inhaler which is essentially what this is that they need to stand outside in the smoking area and inhale secondhand smoke to use a smoke free product is insane.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, so, so you're saying, you're not saying that it, that the nicotine in these e-cigarettes aren't, is, is not harmful, it may be harmful but it's harmful to the person using it potentially but it's not a harm to anybody else by a secondhand smoke.

out has a book in it, that little monogram for the nicotine and health and, and it discusses all of the physiological effects of nicotine. Nicotine at the exposure levels you get from cigarettes or ecigarettes is not harmful to your health. It's addictive. And of course it's a myol[phonetic]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stimulant. I wouldn't want anybody to start using nicotine that wasn't on it already. But nicotine is not a toxicant really. A lot of people think it is including some physicians but it's not.

SPIKE BABAIAN: The study that I handed out that I am a, was a coauthor on show that the levels of nicotine produced in the e-cigarette vapor was so low that it could not have a physiological effect on the people around the user.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right but for the user itself you're saying it's addictive but not necessarily dangerous?

SPIKE BABAIAN: So is coffee.

GREGORY CONLEY: And I'll add that researchers at Virginia Common Wealth University about two years ago published a study assessing the abuse liability of e-cigarettes i.e. non users picking it up and becoming dependent on nicotine and they found that because e-cigarettes only contain nicotine and don't contain a host of other chemicals that may contribute to dependent cigarettes and also that e-cigarettes deliver nicotine at a lesser rate than conventional

2 ciga

that.

cigarettes that the abuse liability was far far lower than for conventional cigarettes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Conventional cigarette. Well then how, how do you respond to the issue of the industry itself saying that they should be regulated and seen as tobacco product?

GREGORY CONLEY: I'm so glad you asked

SPIKE BABAIAN: Yeah.

GREGORY CONLEY: Because Dr. Farley said that because TVECA which is a small industry trade group supports regulation of, of e-cigarettes as tobacco products that that means that they were somehow endorsing treating e-cigarettes like smoking. And that's not true. I know for a fact TVECA does not support banning e-cigarette use where smoking is banned. And by saying we support regulating e-cigarettes like tobacco products well smokeless tobacco, dissolvable tobacco, oral forms of tobacco, those are tobacco products but no one in this room has proposed banning the use of smokeless tobacco in restaurants and bars.

SPIKE BABAIAN: I believe it was twisted a little bit by a statement that was read from

TVECA was made in reference to not allowing ecigarette use to underage people, was nothing to do
with indoor use.

person in one organization as an industry's, that the industry says this so the industry says that.

One of the beauties of the e-cigarette industry is that it's so widespread there's hundreds of e-cigarette makers and marketers. Until big tobacco takes over there's no spokesperson for the e-cigarette industry who could be said to be authoritative.

GILBERT ROSS: And god willing big tobacco and thanks to a whole lot of people in this room who, who use advanced products big tobacco won't be taking over this market any time soon.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you Council
Member. We've been joined by Council Member Rosie
Mendez from the committee. Council Member Gennaro.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Yes hi. Just getting back to the, to the point about the Tobacco Vapor Electronic Cigarette Association. Looked at their website they are internationally appear to be

2	to be the largest organized entity that speaks on
3	behalf of the industry and the, the, the quote that
4	you attribute to Mr. Kicklack, Kiklas as saying
5	that it was only the people under 18. I mean this
6	was, you know this was said in response to my bill.
7	You know when the associated press talked then
8	about that bill he said I quote it was reported in
9	Associated Press, in the Wall Street Journal it has
10	been our, meaning the Tobacco Vapor Electronic
11	Cigarette Association, it has been our position
12	that e-cigarettes should be regulated as a tobacco
13	product. My question is have you reached out to
14	this association to tell them to stop saying that?
15	GREGORY CONLEY: No because Thomas
16	Kiklas has repeatedly said that they support FDA
17	regulating e-cigarettes like a tobacco product.
18	They support adding e-cigarettes to youth access
19	laws for tobacco products but Kiklas as well as
20	myself have been interview repeatedly for different
21	news stories. I've been misquoted before. I've had
22	quotes attributed to me that were [interpose,
23	crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: So you're saying that e-cigarettes, so you're saying that the

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH
2	Associated Press and the Wall Street Journal
3	misquoted Mr. Kiklas and now he… [interpose]
4	SPIKE BABAIAN: Yes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:and that, and
6	that, and that, and that he did not say it's been
7	our position that e-cigarettes should be regulated
8	as a tobacco product. You're saying he didn't say
9	that?
10	GREGORY CONLEY: Mr. Kiklas may have
11	said that they support being regulated like a
12	tobacco product but again Mr. Kiklas does not
13	support banning e-cigarettes wherever smoking is
14	banned nor [interpose]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: That's what he

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: That's what he said when, when, when they asked him about my bill. This is what he said. He said that it's been our position that e-cigarettes should be used, should be regulated as a tobacco product and you can dance all your offerings from, from the other end of that table but like that's what he said. That's on the record. That's what the AP has and that's what the Wall Street Journal has.

[crosstalk]

SPIKE BABAIAN: Chewing tobacco is also

a tobacco…

[crosstalk]

Ü

a

_ _

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: And so, so you should take it up with Thomas, with Thomas Kiklas who purports to represent a broad spectrum and, and international consortium of very big players in this whole international marketplace and you know indicate to him that perhaps you should stop saying that and you have a different viewpoint.

SPIKE BABAIAN: He, he was talking about smoke free tobacco products and he probably should have said smoke free tobacco products. He did not say cigarettes.

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: He was interviewed about my bill and that's what he said in response to my bill no matter what you say it's, you know, it's just not going to change that fact.

SPIKE BABAIAN: Okay he did not say cigarettes. He said tobacco products.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay given that the… Wait, time out. We're not going to go back and forth. The individual quoted is not present to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

ideas. 25

dispute or support Council Member Gennaro's position. So let... [crosstalk] Council Member please?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Yep.

GREGORY CONLEY: I'm just going to say that I'm going to do, make every effort to call Mr. Kiklas and I will ask somebody who else is coming up to speak to make his position clear because I can assure that TVECA which by the way only represents about one or two percent of the US market I can guarantee that TVECA does not support adding e-cigarettes to the New York... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: When you go on their website they say that e-cigarettes are tobacco. That's what they say. Go to their website right now, look up their website right now and see what that association says about this product that says we are tobacco.

GREGORY CONLEY: With all due respect sir smokeless tobacco is also tobacco so where is your bill to ban smokeless tobacco wherever smoking is banned.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Don't give us any

	COMMITTEE ON HEADIN /.
2	[laughter]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: First of all
4	you know I do not
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Wait, wait, wait.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I'm not here to
7	answer questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Wait. Council
9	Member.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I'm here to ask
11	questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member
13	please let's I've, I'm asking that we have this
14	conversation respectfully on both, both sides. So
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: But it's
16	important that the truth not be distorted.
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I, I appreciate
18	that. So
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: And anyone else
20	that comes up here that, that, that purports to
21	speak for Thomas Kiklas I'm looking at the
22	Associated Press and at the Wall Street Journal
23	anyone else that comes up here that says that I
24	just spoke to Thomas Kiklas I'm going to disregard
25	that testimony.

\neg	\sim
1	1.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 72
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I, I [interpose]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Because that's
4	[interpose]
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:and I will too.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:how it was
7	reported.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Absent of him
9	coming here and making a statement everything is
10	hearsay.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Fine.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay thank you.
13	Anymore questions Council Member Gennaro?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: No, no I'm
15	fine. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay thank you for
17	your testimony. We… [interpose]
18	GREGORY CONLEY: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:value your opinion
20	and welcome the conversation. Thank you for taking
21	the time. Our next panel Neil Schluger World Lung
22	Foundation. If I didn't say that right I apologize.
23	Lucy Popova University of California, San
24	Francisco, Michael Davoli American Cancer Society,
25	and Pohin Vitale American Heart Association Okay

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and on deck Michael Shannon, David Graham, David Schwartz, and I think is Gaddis, G-A-D-D-I-S, I can't make out your first name so be ready for the next panel. Okay I think you've done this before so let's proceed. Thank you for being here and flip a coin, ladies first, whatever makes you happy.

Madam Chair, committee members my name

is Lucy Popova and I'm a Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of California, San Francisco where I have been doing research on e-cigarettes. Ecigarettes are a new product on the market but the science is emergent and there is science to back up two points that I'm going to make today. First we have evidence that as e-cigarettes are used on a population they're not effective smoke and cessation devices and second e-cigarettes' emissions are not harmless water vapor. In our study was a nationally representative sample of 1800 US smokers that were published in the American Journal of Public Health. We found that smokers who used e-cigarettes were less likely to be successful quitters than those who haven't tried e-cigarettes. And two of the studies tracked smokers over time and found similar results. How can the findings

from these studies be reconciled with individuals'
stories and anecdotes you're going to hear today
saying that e-cigarettes help people quit? Well for
every person in here you have one or more people
out there for whom e-cigarettes inhibited from
quitting. But the main reason why e-cigarettes
should be prohibited from using in the places where
smoking is not allowed is that e-cigarettes'
emissions are not harmless water vapors. And there
are studies out there and more coming. Lots of
universities around the country, University of
California, San Francisco's one of them. Recently
FDA funded a whole 14 tobacco control research
centers around the country where they're doing
research and those studies show that e-cigarettes
emissions contain harmful chemicals such as
formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetone, and others.
And it's true that they're less than regular
cigarettes but compared to nicotine inhalers it's
higher in e-cigarettes. Second e-cigarettes'
emissions contain fine and ultrafine particles also
called nanoparticles and the problem with those
particles is that they can penetrate in the deepest
reaches of the lung and then from there go into

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bloodstream and affect central nervous system and potentially can cause increase risk of disease and death. And finally e-cigarette exhaust including secondhand vapor that's contain nicotine. And studies shows that secondhand vapors have level of blood nicotine about the same as secondhand smokers. So clearly innocent bystanders including people with medical conditions, pregnant women and nicotine affect, affect fetuses at a greater rate, children, and health worker, I mean people in the occupational places who can't escape will be exposed to all those harmful chemicals. And so I encourage you to uptake this. It's a sensible piece of legislation that item over a hundred municipalities have taken and three states and it's a reasonable thing to do.

[pause]

DOCTOR SCHLUGER: Madam Chair and members of the committee. My name's Dr. Neil Schluger. I'm the Chief Scientific Officer of World Lung Foundation which is based here in New York City. We're engaged in tobacco control and other lung health activities around the world. I'm here to testify that electronic cigarettes should be

included in the protections afforded by the New
York City Smoke-Free Air act. One of the biggest
public health benefits of the Smoke-Free Air act is
that, is that it has de-normalized smoking in
public. And that has contributed enormously to an
overall reduction in smoking especially among youth
and has helped to cause a longer lifespan for the
average New Yorker. In fact there, a recent data
that indicate that residents of New York City have
the lowest lung cancer death rate of all the people
who live in New York State and that's a great
benefit for the Smoke-Free Air act and e-cigarette
companies I think are taking actions that threaten
to reverse this trend. Not unlike the strategies
that are used to market traditional cigarettes
these companies glamorize smoking behavior and
threaten to undermine the tremendous public health
gains that have been made especially among young
people in New York. Essentially e-cigarette
companies are selling nicotine addiction as has
been pointed out previously. If these companies
wanted to, to develop smoking cessation devices
they could have done that. They could have
developed a a a plan of research and development

۷	and gone to the FDA and said we have smoking
3	cessation devices. They have not chose to done, to
4	do that. They are selling nicotine addiction and
5	nicotine itself can have powerful negative effects
6	on the cardiovascular system including high blood
7	pressure, abnormal heart rhythms, in women nicotine
8	reduces circulating estrogen levels, has been
9	linked to early menopause, prenatal exposure has
10	been linked to obesity, diabetes, high cholesterol,
11	and high blood pressure in children. There's a
12	great deal as you've heard that we still don't know
13	about e-cigarettes and their potential harm.
14	Scientific testing indicates that they vary greatly
15	in the amount of nicotine they produce. There are
16	no standards for manufacturing and there are no
17	restrictions on other chemicals that might be
18	included in the devices. In addition we can't
19	exclude the risk of adverse health effects
20	generated by secondhand exposure to e-cigarettes as
21	you've just heard. There's been relatively little
22	research on this issue I think the facts we know
23	would certainly give me pause. The assumption that
24	something is safe is not an assumption I would like
25	to make. Seventy years ago doctors advertised

cigarettes as being healthful products. That was in
the absence of any evidence. Most worrying is the
marketing of these things to children, the increase
of uptake, increased uptake among middle school and
high school students. While the vaping industry
claims it's not marketing to children I think we're
familiar, all of us, with recent CDC data that
indicates that a large number of children have
already tried e-cigarette products and that's
extremely dangerous as well. So our strong
recommendation to the New York City Council is to
continued its leadership in this area in public
health by including e-cigarettes in this
legislation.

MICHAEL DAVOLI: Chairman Arroyo,
members of the health committee, and a, colleagues
here today. My name is Michael Davoli. I am the
Legislative Campaign Director for the American
Cancer Society Cancer Action Network. I would just
like to first of all thank all of you for giving us
a chance to speak to you today and thank all of the
council in New York City in general for its work in
the past decade when it comes to tobacco
production. As you know this past March we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

celebrated the ten year anniversary of the Smoke-Free Air act. Every single year in New York State over 9,000 people lose their lives to smoking related illness. We simply cannot go back and the smoke free air laws are one of the, or the, one of the most effective tools we have in preventing tobacco use and preventing smoking use in this state. We have made dramatic progress in a decade and we simply cannot go back. The cancer society is supporting this legislation for a few very specific reason. One, the simple fact that there, it just is not the research out there to know whether or not these products are safe. One of the studies that has been mentioned in here today, the CDC study, in that study one of the things that they found in some of these chemicals was the same chemical makeup that you find in antifreeze. So I just want to mention that and I actually have the reference in my testimony to show you exactly where that came from. There simply is not enough research one way or the other to say whether or not these products are safe. Secondly; marketing, these products are being marketed to our children. Bubblegum flavors, candy flavors, all you have to do is go online or

2	walk in any store and you'll see them mark, clearly
3	marketed with all of the flavors that the tobacco
4	industry used for 50 years to hook our kids.
5	There's no question about that and if we do not get
6	on top of this now it is, those numbers are going
7	to continue. This legislation, I just want
8	emphasize one important point, this legislation
9	will not ban the use of electronic cigarettes. I
10	feel like we're getting a, a message that's coming
11	across here that you will simply not be allowed to
12	use them anymore. All it does is say that these
13	products must be regulated in the same way that
14	tobacco use is regulated in New York City. If you
15	can't smoke a cigarette somewhere you can't use an
16	electronic cigarette. It's a very straightforward
17	approach. The tobacco industry has been marketing
18	towards our kids for years. They're trying to hook
19	more, another generation here. We've heard about
20	small cigarette, small tobacco, or small e-
21	cigarette companies. I just want, just conclude by
22	showing you a couple, a couple numbers. According
23	Wells Fargo Securities by 2023 Altria Group will
24	have over 5.2 billion dollars in e-cigarette sales.
25	Lorillard 5 8 hillion and Reymolds American 5 2

10

2 billion dollars in e-cigarettes sales in the next

decade. This is not about small manufactures, this

4 is about a big tobacco finding another way of

5 hooking our kids.

6 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Don't ruin it

7 Michael. We're on a role.

DOCTOR DAVOLI: That's it. Thank you

9 very much.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Robin.

11 ROBIN VITALE: Thank you Chair and thank

12 you to all the members of the council committee.

13 | The American Heart Association has been honored to

14 stand with you for the past ten years as our city

15 government has really been a champion in promoting

16 public health especially as it relates to

17 prevention of heart disease and stroke which is of

18 course my passion particularly. Based upon your

19 | legacy as a champion for public health it's

20 | appropriate in our opinion that the council should

21 turn your attention to this burgeoning trend of

22 electronic cigarette use and the possible impact

23 | that it could have on the enforcement of the

24 existing law as well as encouraging continued

25 tobacco addiction in our city. We talk about the

2	idea that these products will often mimic the
3	traditional cigarette. Just sitting here in this
4	room and seeing the puffs of vapor being emitted
5	into the air has caused myself moments of confusion
6	and concern and I can't imagine what that must be
7	like on a busy Friday or Saturday evening in some
8	of our restaurants or bars. I think that alone
9	really helps to crystalize where this concern lies.
10	In addition there have been numerous misleading
11	comments made about the presumed safety of e-
12	cigarettes. These devices are often marketed as
13	safe alternatives to smoking with little impact on
14	the user. These claims have not been substantiating
15	by any public health authority in our nation. The
16	American Heart Association supports the regulation
17	of these products by the FDA and looks forward to
18	the growth of scientific knowledge that can
19	achieved by such oversight. It's important to
20	reference a lot of the other tobacco products that
21	have been referenced by the opposition are being
22	regulated by the FDA and therefore have standards
23	that must be met as a result of that regulation.
24	Regardless as we currently know very little about
25	the long term effects of using e-cigarettes we

2	believe it is entirely necessary for the council to
3	alter the city's law to restrict the use of these
4	products indoors. Most recently the results of the
5	National Youth Tobacco Survey yielded some very
6	alarming news. Researchers from the CDC found that
7	the percentage of high school students who had used
8	e-cigarettes doubled in just one year. From 4.7
9	percent in 2011 to 10 percent in 2012 the most data
10	that's available. This troubling reality should
11	heighten our concern as the CDC also confirms in
12	its research that 90 percent of our nation's
13	smokers begin during their teenage years.
14	Additionally the Youth Tobacco Survey also showed
15	that one in five middle school students have used
16	e-cigarettes without ever using a tobacco product.
17	The data speaks to the potential for e-cigarettes
18	to serve as a gateway mechanism for future nicotine
19	addiction. Lastly the results don't indicate that
20	e-cigs are steering kids away from tobacco use as
21	more than 76 percent of middle and high school
22	students who used e-cigarettes in the past 30 days
23	have also smoked an actual cigarette during that
24	time. It's important to note that just recently,
25	yesterday Doctor Friedman was here in the city and

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	participated in the Population Health Summit and he
3	was asked specifically about electronic cigarettes
4	and he cited that he feels they are most dangerous
5	when they incite young people to start using them,
6	when it makes it more difficult for adults to quit
7	their nicotine addiction entirely, and when smokers
8	use both products, as well as how they have the
9	potential to glamorize smoking in general. Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council member
12	Vallone.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you. So
14	this is two doctors? You, Mr., when you introduced
15	yourself are you Dr. Popova in the middle?
16	DOCTOR POPOVA: Yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay. And the
18	Cancer Association, American Cancer Association and
19	Lung?
20	ROBIN VITALE: Heart. Heart Association.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Heart, heart.
22	Okay. Very compelling, as, as compelling as the
23	testimony that came before you and you know I have

read all the studies, not all of them but some

that, that have found the formaldehyde and the, and

25

the nicotine in the vapor and the ones that say
it's safe. I think it comes down to do we regulate
a product before all the facts are in and you have
to weigh the dangers of big government over
regulation against the mistakes made in the past
when it comes to asbestos and lead paint and
fluoride. So I wanted to know what you thought
about a ban that would not go as far as a cigarette
ban? I think many people don't want flavored vapor,
harmless or not in a restaurant but I don't think
anybody cares at a beach or a park or places like
that. Is there anything, is there any sort of ban
less than being, is being proposed that you would
support or have an opinion on? Anyone.

that one of the great effects of the Smoke-Free
Indoor Air act in New York has been the denormalization and that's another way that people
are being protected, they're being protected by not
inhaling secondhand smoke but they're also being
protected because cigarette smoking, is, is, come
to be seen as socially unacceptable behavior in New
York. And that has benefitted the people of New

have mentioned people in New York are living
longer, they're less likely to die of lung cancer
than people in other parts of New York state and,
and so if all of a sudden it looks like people are
in the park and on the beach and in the movie
theater and in the bowling alley smoking whatever
they're smoking I think there's a, a grave danger
of reversing that trend.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your testimony. We value your participation in this conversation and your leadership and, and work that you do. Thank you very much for being here and you're a long way from home. Thank you for, for taking the time to be here. The next panel Michael Shannon I think that's Blu E-cigarettes. And if I mispronounce your name you'll correct it for the record for me please and I apologize. David Graham. Another David Schwartz, David? And Gaddis whose first name I cannot make out. I apologize. What is it?

JESSEE GADDIS: Jesse.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Jesse. Okay
welcome. On deck in favor Kevin O'Flaherty Michael

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | Seilback, Seilbeck[phonetic], Sheila Feinberg,

3 Karen Blumenfeld, Bloomsfield[phonetic], and Cara

4 Murphey.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay gentleman.

Begin when you're ready.

MICHAEL SHANNON: Thank you. I am Michael Shannon and I'm testifying on behalf of Blu eCigs a leading e-cigarette company in the, in the country. This proposal is part of a larger debate that often confuses important distinctions between combustible cigarettes and electronic cigarettes. E-cigarettes contain no tobacco, produce a water vapor, have no combustion, and therefore no ash, no tobacco smoke, and no unpleasant smell noxious fumes for bystanders. Recently Mitch Zeller head of the FDA Center for Tobacco Products called ecigarettes very interesting. He added; you can look at them in the abstract from a harm perspective and say if it's not tobacco, if it's not combusting then does it, that, then does it make sense to look at it as something that might be potentially less harmful. Making less harmful products available to smokers as soon as possible should be a top

2	priority. For too many years tobacco policy has
3	been monitored in a all or nothing philosophy.
4	Under this approach smokers are presented with just
5	one alternative, quit. This attitude has hindered
6	the implementation of a public health strategy
7	designed to reduce tobacco related disease. E-
8	cigarettes are likely much less harmful than
9	combustible cigarettes and regulatory action should
10	treat e-cigarettes as separate and distinct from
11	traditional combustible cigarettes. Proposals that
12	equate the two products however will discourage
13	smokers of combustible cigarettes to switch to an
14	alternative product. One source of confusion
15	between traditional and electronic cigarettes
16	involves the constituents in vapor. The
17	accumulating evidence indicated electronic
18	cigarettes may be safer because virtually none of
19	the potentially harmful chemicals harmful in
20	cigarette smoke are present in the vapor of
21	electronic cigarettes. This year Lorillard
22	conducted its own study looking into the
23	constituents of vapor. The analysis found that the
24	harmful or potentially harmful constituents HPHCs
25	found in the vapor were at or below detectable

levels. As compared to combustible digarettes the
reduction in these HPHC classes were at or near 100
percent. With the testing equipment's detection
limits often being the only limiting factor for
reaching 100 percent. Further there was no
difference between the ambient air compared to the
vapor from electronic cigarettes. Lorillard plans
to publish this study in 2014. FDA has announced it
tends to assert authority. Lorillard stands ready
to work with FDA to develop sensible regulations,
sensible regulations that encourage smokers to
switch to e-cigarettes. Sensible regulations that
distinguish e-cigarettes from traditional tobacco
products and more importantly sensible regulations
that recognize e-cigarette, e-cigarettes are likely
the most significant tobacco harm reduction product
ever. My [crosstalk] last, last can I do mine if I
close? Sorry for running over.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Quickly.

MICHAEL SHANNON: By extending this, as it's been said before you are given no motivation for cigarette smokers to switch. Why would a cigarette smoker switch to an e-cigarette if they're going to go outside and smoke a regular

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cigarette. Why would they switch if they're going
to be saddled with that same social stigma. I thank
you for your, for your time and attention to this
and I asked that you, you reject this proposal.

6 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

DAVID GRAHAM: Chair, members of the committee. My name is David Graham and I'm Senior Vice President for International Regulatory Affairs at NJOY electronic cigarettes, NJOY is America's number one electronic cigarette company that's independent of the tobacco industry and our mission is to obsolete the combustible cigarette. And we're science based. We're committed to act in the public health interest. And personally I have worked for over 20 years in the aid of smoking cessation tobacco control policy and included within that work on four smoke free policies and I'm a global, national, and local levels. So thank you for the opportunity to talk to you today. It's no doubt that the scientific base for restrictions on secondhand smoke from combustible tobacco cigarettes is unequivocal. In 1986 the Sargent General's report concluded that secondhand smoke

2	causes lung cancer. And later in 2006 the then
3	Sargent General Doctor Carmona released a follow-up
4	report and he said then the debate is over. The
5	science is clear. Secondhand smoke is not a mere
6	annoyance but a serious health hazard that causes
7	premature death and disease in children and
8	nonsmoking adults. That was the basis of science
9	under which policy such as the New York Smoke-Free
10	Air act was passed. And it was a leader in the
11	world in establishing such science. Now Doctor
12	Carmona couldn't be present at this hearing today
13	but as chair of our scientific advisory board he
14	asked me to submit a statement which I've done to
15	you today. And I quote one part from it. In quote;
16	"I'm extremely concerned that our well-intentioned
17	but scientifically unsupported effort like the
18	county proposal could constitute a giant step
19	backwards in the effort to defeat tobacco smoking."
20	end quote. The fact of the matter is that the basis
21	for the proposal to restrict the use of electronic
22	cigarettes in public places has no comparable basis
23	of fact to the basis of fact on which smoke free
24	law was established in the first place. Now the
25	other elements of the proposal include suggestions

2	that there could behavioral effects on smokers'
3	behaviors. Consider the number of mays, mights,
4	coulds in the proposal and in the testimony from
5	the commissioner today. We had no facts. We had no
6	evidence. We only had maybes. So let me give you a
7	few alternative maybes. Maybe, potentially e-
8	cigarettes promote smokers' attempts to quit by
9	making an alternative, more convenient to use. They
10	potentially discourage smokers from smoking amongst
11	children and youth and ultimately reduce the number
12	of people smoking. Enforcement's potentially easier
13	because smokers have convenient alternatives. The
14	confusion element for cigarettes as real cigarettes
15	is implausible. There's no smell and simple
16	demonstration of a clean and cold tip by a user
17	confirms a noncombustible product. So overall
18	simply put the case has not been made that such a
19	bill is in the public health interest. It is the
20	potential to be quite the opposite. Restricting use
21	of e-cigarettes could have the unintended effect of
22	reinforcing combustion cigarette smoking in New
23	York. We encourage the committee to ensure the
24	policy is informed by and does not precede the
25	relevant evidence. Thank you…

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Before the next speaker goes we've been joined by Council Member Dickens from the Health Committee as well.

5 Thank you. Go ahead.

JESSE GADDIS: Alright Chair. Thank you council for listening to me today. My name is Jesse Gaddis and I am the founder and creator of Bedford Slims, an electronic cigarette company found here in Brooklyn. I started Bedford Slims with a sole motivation of providing an accessible smoking alternative to my community in Brooklyn. As with all products with good intentions my product has made its way all over the world and has helped thousands of people quit smoking and move on with their lives. But I'm not here really to talk about my company or anything from a company perspective. What I'm here to talk about today is the thousands of New Yorkers who rely on these things every day to get through their day, from riding the subway to their offices, to going to the park with their children. This is what this is about for me. You know, let's see where's my notes here? Secondhand smoke can travel up to 20 feet whereas vapor, it's barely even there. It dissipates in the air. My

2	thought today is more so on the facts that you know
3	lighting up a cigarette versus the regular e-
4	cigarette is just completely different in every way
5	and I think it's kind of absurd ruling to say
6	cigarettes is comparable, or combustible cigarettes
7	rather are comparable to e-cigarettes. In May I
8	started a study with the Gibbs Mansion. For all of
9	you who are not familiar with the Gibbs Mansion
10	it's a not-for-profit that helps people who are
11	living with cancers, aids, severe mental health
12	illnesses, and on top of that they're homeless so
13	they're housed in this housing development near
14	Pratt campus. And what they do essentially is they
15	live in this house and, and you know they learn to
16	normalize and live with all these problems. The
17	biggest problem being here is that they all smoke
18	cigarettes. So in May I sponsored a study with
19	Helen Redman[phonetic] and Nurse Silca[phonetic] to
20	see what the effects would be if they were to quit
21	smoking, some of these residents, whoever wanted to
22	take part in the study. And what we found in the
23	study was essentially that not only was there lung
24	improvement, lungs were improving and, and the
25	breathing getting better but overall what we were

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2	finding is even the nurses aids were starting to
3	feel better because there wasn't that secondhand
4	smoke around anymore. What they were finding was
5	that lung improvement was going from patient to
_	

6 nurse to anyone who's actually exposed to Gibbs

8 on, on the simple fact that earlier in the day we

Mansion. That said I would just like to play off

9 were talking about the... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Jesse we forgot to set the clock but we are paying attention.

JESSIE GADDIS: Oh so how much time do I have left?

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: None. You're done.

JESSIE GADDIS: Oh no! Okay. Well

anyways just to back up anything more this doesn't,

17 | I think that de-normalizing smoking has been

18 helpful in many ways but the facts are this isn't

19 smoking. This is vapor and what you're doing

20 basically is de-normalizing quitting. It's what was

said earlier. Alienating people and putting out

22 this ban just adds more stress to the people who

23 have found a way out of smoking. That's all I have

24 to say. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

2	DAVID SCHWARTZ: Morning members of the
3	council. My name is David Schwartz and I represent,
4	I'm council to Logic which is an independent e-
5	cigarette company and they have no tobacco
6	affiliation once so ever. And Logic supports the
7	Smoke-Free Air act as it regulates tobacco usage,
8	completely support it. In fact that's the goal of
9	the company is to get people off tobacco. And so
10	that's why we ask the question; how can a device
11	that's been deemed by scientific studies to control
12	tobacco usage be controlled the same way as
13	tobacco. The long term effects of electronic
14	cigarettes and ingredients are not fully known at
15	the moment so passage of this bill would be
16	completely premature. So these amendments would
17	interfere with smokers' attempts to quit. The long
18	term effects of electronic cigarettes devises
19	further study and the FDA has yet to regulate it so
20	I'm not sure how we could classify electronic
21	cigarettes the same way we classify regular
22	cigarettes at the moment. You can't treat
23	electronic cigarettes the same way as you treat
24	tobacco. They are two inherently different
25	products. And Logic is not suggesting that there

2	shouldn't be any regulation concerning e-cigarettes
3	but certainly it should be free from the type of
4	regulation that cigarettes are regulated by. You
5	should create regulations that are commensurate
6	with the harm. Just because some people now find
7	electronic cigarettes offensive I'm not sure why,
8	it's, it's water vapor. We're trying to regulate
9	water vapor. You know you walk into a restaurant,
10	maybe you don't like the smell of someone's perfume
11	or the smell of cologne, maybe you don't like the
12	smell of broccoli so maybe we should regulate that.
13	You do? You don't? I, I don't either Chairman
14	Arroyo. So maybe we should regulate broccoli. You
15	know that's, this is where government interferes
16	with private industry and, and, and the rights of
17	electronic smokers. Electronic cigarettes
18	encourages growth. I've, I've been in front of this
19	council before talking about small business issues.
20	And look at, look at some of the small businesses,
21	well we have large businesses and we also have
22	small businesses that are involved in electronic
23	cigarettes. And certainly the retailers are small
24	businesses living the American dream and again
25	being interfered with or potentially being

2	interfered with by legislation which will devastate
3	those businesses in the sales of electronic
4	cigarettes where the mission would be to get people
5	to stop smoking. So it's really, the, the bill is
6	really counterintuitive. The vapor from electronic
7	cigarettes has no smell that affects other patrons
8	or employees in any establishment. In a study
9	conducted by Professor Burstyn of Drexel University
10	School of Public Health just finished, he concluded
11	that claims regarding chemicals found in e-
12	cigarettes vapor were detected only in trivial
13	levels that, that pose no health risk and are far
14	below current workplace standards for involuntary
15	exposure. I thank you very much.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Thank
17	you for your testimony and we'll see you again at
18	some other point on some other issue. It's always a
19	pleasure to, to see you guys here.
20	DAVID SCHWARTZ: [off mic] Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: No questions? One?
22	Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Just quickly.

That was an actual toke you took when exhaled the vapor that we couldn't see.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 99
2	DAVID SCHWARTZ: [off mic] That was a
3	drag yeah.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And that's much
5	less vapor than I saw in the back. So I'm still
6	trying to learn. Why is that? Do different
7	cigarettes give out different vapors.
8	DAVID SCHWARTZ: [off mic] Yes
9	absolutely. These are more of the…
10	DAVID SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry these are
11	more of the Cigalikes so they're designed more to
12	kind of have the feel and handle of one, of like an
13	actual cigarette. However, a lot of them, a lot of
14	these devices that you might see around the plumes
15	of smoke are modular devices or bigger battery
16	devices thus will give you a bigger plume of smoke.
17	I don't want to say that there's a huge difference
18	in, in terms of delivery but you can see that
19	there's a bigger cloud of smoke with a bigger
20	battery obviously.
21	UNKNOWN FEMALE: Vapor!
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: See I'm not the
23	only one that said smoke.
	1

JESSE GADDIS: May I...

24

25 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes. So what he's talking about are these are the more

JESSE GADDIS: May I answer it as well?

_

of the disposable, the closed systems type of devices. What you're seeing back there are more of the open systems. They have much larger batteries in which you, you add the flavor yourself.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But they look...
[interpose]

JESSE GADDIS: These... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: ...less like cigarettes I would guess.

example ours is blue and you can see why when I do this. It has a blue light clearly it can't be confused with a cigarette and that's the point of this and one last point. We talked about denormalizing smoking. This is the, one of the greatest inventions to de-normalize smoking. You can see it with these people here that, that, they, they do not consider themselves smokers. They're very proud that they have been able to move over to e-cigarettes to either reduce the amount of smoking that they're doing or completely replace it, and in

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

some instances completely stopping both smoking 3 cigarettes and vaping.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay last, last question. You said it's not scented. It clearly is. They sell it in scents. I smelled it. I've smelled it before. So I assume there's different kinds of smoke you're talking about but I don't think it helps your case to say the smoke doesn't smell.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: Well certainly most... [interpose, crosstalk] Yeah. Most of the brands that Logic sells are not scented. I, you know I can't smell what he's smoking right now. And part of my testimony Councilman Vallone was that maybe there should be reasonable regulation. Maybe we should all sit down and work out reasonable regulation. But the point is, should it be regulated to the level of cigarettes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I agree with you completely.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: And, and maybe your point on flavors is, is valid.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Maybe flavors, maybe sizes, maybe you know not looking like a regular cigarette, maybe locations. Yeah I'm, I'm

trying to come up with something here. But that was

my, I wrote down reasonable regulations when you

said it.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: If I could just add one more thing. You know I think the rush to a vote on the 19th is really premature. Why don't we all sit down and work this out. That, that's my point.

There's just such, it seems to be such a rush to get this vote, to do this hearing, and when the effects are just not known at this point. Let's all sit down and work out something reasonable.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I mean we have a political reality here of the end of a term coming up and you've got some good people like

Chairman Gennaro who's, who's been leading the way on, on many health regulations and the mayor who's been you know stellar when it comes to smoking you know leaving office and you know it's just something we're up against and I don't think you're going to... You know you've been involved in this a long time so you, so you understand that. And, and every time I said I smelt the smoke I do want to point out I know a lot of vapers and they purposely don't smoke in restaurants because they're civil

2	and they understand people don't want to smell
3	vanilla or rose or whatever the heck it is in a
4	restaurant either. So I just want to say I'm not
5	inundated with these things at restaurants I'm just
6	pointing that out as a possibility. Because most of
7	the people who vape that I know are very
8	respectful.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Yes, e-cigarettes are marketed as a nicotine delivery system. Is the nicotine level that's being delivered, is it addictive?

DAVID GRAHAM: Madam nicotine itself is addictive. The, anyone that uses e-cigarettes is already addicted to nicotine and therefore they may transfer their usage from one product to the other. We don't see evidence of people becoming primarily addicted to these cigarettes at this stage.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: The fear that I heard from the commissioner was that young people might begin to use these because it looks socially acceptable. He felt that they looked like regular cigarettes. So if a, a young person was a, a

nonsmoker and picked up an e-cigarette would they

3 become addicted nicotine to smoking.

DAVID GRAHAM: There's no evidence to demonstrate that. If there were then action may have to be taken but in the absence of evidence there's no basis of action.

agree with Council Member Gentile that again one of the concerns of the commissioner that the ecigarettes look like regular cigarettes, combustible cigarettes. Would the industry be averse to changing what they look like to ensure that they did not look like cigarettes therefore removing the desire of young people to emulate cigarette smokers.

MICHAEL SHANNON: I can't speak for the, the industry but I, I'll speak on behalf of Blu eCigs and as I said we have almost about 48, 49 percent of the retail market share in the country. This clearly does not look like a cigarette, a combustible cigarette. It was intentionally designed so it would not be, look like a cigarette. So they didn't want to... [crosstalk, interpose]

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Doesn't it look
3	like one of those little cigarillo sort of things
4	like a morer[phonetic] or something. I, I, I'm,
5	[interpose]
6	MICHAEL SHANNON: That's, that's the
7	whole point of the… [interpose]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE:I'm visually
9	challenged so I, I can't see what that looks like
10	from here.
11	MICHAEL SHANNON: Well that's, that's
12	purposely a blue tip. Not a red tip.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Excuse me.
14	MICHAEL SHANNON: That's purposely a
15	blue tip not a red [interpose]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay.
17	MICHAEL SHANNON:tip to signal that
18	this is not a cigarette.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. So I, I
20	guess no you couldn't speak on behalf of the
21	industry if one of the points is that they look
22	like cigarettes, that all of them be made to
23	conform to something that does not look like a
24	cigarette and be marketed as such, as a non-

cigarette.

2	DAVID GRAHAM: I speak on behalf of NJOY
3	and NJOY deliberately designs its product to look
4	and feel like a cigarette. We do that because we
5	know that in order to obsolete the cigarette many
6	smokers will find it easier to make a transition
7	from their current product to an alternative when
8	its comes closer to their preference. So by denying
9	the opportunity for a product to appeal to the
10	appeal of smokers essentially you may not meet
11	their needs and they'll continue to smoke. My
12	colleague on the right is owned by a tobacco
13	company. Having a, a, a product that doesn't look
14	like a cigarette is a business decision that they
15	have made. We have no conflict inside NJOY in that
16	our primary mission is to obsolete the tobacco
17	cigarette. We believe by offering a product that
18	truly and directly competes with it and looks like
19	it offers the smoker the real potential to switch

DAVID SCHWARTZ: On, on behalf of Logic I, I can't comment on, on that exact question of design but certainly Logic is happy to sit down with anyone to discuss any types of concerns and

to that.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	regulations. What we are afraid of is legislation
3	being passed based on speculation and guesswork.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you again.
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for, for
6	your time and for your input. We value it
7	tremendously. The next panel; Kevin O'Flaherty,
8	Michael Seilback and Sheila Feinberg. Batting up
9	[background comments]
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Two more? Oh sorry.
11	Okay. Karen Blumenfeld and Cara Murphy and now
12	batting up Andrew Rigie, Robert, Rob Bookman, and
13	Thomas Briant.
14	[background comments]
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And that's the next
16	panel yes.
17	[background comments]
18	MICHAEL SEILBACK: Good morning.
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It looks kind of
20	crowded. You guys want to kind of spread out a
21	little bit. [laughter] You okay? Thank you. Okay.
22	MICHAEL SEILBACK: Good morning. My name
23	is Michael Seilback and I'm the Vice President for
24	public Policy and Communications for the American
25	Lung Association of the Northeast. Thank you

2	Chairwoman Arroyo and members of the committee for
3	this important hearing. The American Lung
4	Association is very concerned that we don't know
5	what's in e-cigarettes or what the health
6	consequences of them might be. The Lung Association
7	is troubled that they may be starting kids on the
8	path of a lifetime nicotine addiction. And in
9	addition to the local laws discussed here we're
10	calling on the Obama administration to take action.
11	E-cigarettes are often available in flavors that
12	may appeal to children and teens including cotton
13	candy, bubblegum, chocolate, strawberry, and mint.
14	Additionally the e-cigarette industry is using big
15	tobacco's playbook when it comes to marketing their
16	products. We see adds making their products appear
17	sexy and cool. We see adds telling smokers to
18	revolt against being pushed outside and urging them
19	to use e-cigarettes indoors. We see celebrity
20	endorsers, implied health claims, discounting, and
21	couponing. Recent data as you heard from the CDC
22	shows you that usage has doubled in the last year.
23	And of those youths 76 percent of those kids are
24	also using tobacco as well. As you've heard the FDA
25	did an initial study and found toxic levels of

2	cancer causing chemicals. We've seen initial
3	studies that show there's formaldehyde, benzene,
4	and carcinogens in secondhand e-cigarette
5	emissions. You've heard a lot from vocal advocates
6	of e-cigarette use today but let's be clear in a
7	few things. One, the FDA has not approved e-
8	cigarettes as a safe or effective method to help
9	smokers quit. There are seven therapies approved by
10	the USFDA in combination with counseling that are
11	the most effective ways to help smokers quit. Until
12	and unless the FDA approves this specific e-
13	cigarette for use as a tobacco cessation aid the
14	Lung Association does not support any direct or
15	implied claims that e-cigarettes help smokers quit.
16	Two, this legislation will not prohibit New Yorkers
17	from using this product. It will simply replicate
18	our existing smoke free law and ask them use the
19	product in places where traditional smoking is
20	permitted. Three, none of the health claims direct
21	or implied that you'd have heard today have been
22	verified by the FDA, the CDC or any other federal
23	health agency. You've heard today about the users
24	using advanced technologies but we know that more
25	and more we're seeing all kinds of these products

whether they're e-cigarettes, e-hookahs, e-cigars,
or blue, red, or any other color they're increasing
in use and we don't know what's in them. We've
heard some arguments of the health of these
products that it's just water vapor, that it
dissipates in the air. Blu is owned by Lorillard.
They were out there saying that we should look at
their Lorillard studies. Well the Lung Association
has gone toe to toe for decades against big tobacco
in that industry. I hope that this council will
once again choose to protect public health and
listen to those that understand that these products
have not been proven safe, they're, you're well
within your right to regulate them and to permit
their use where traditional smoking is permitted.
Thanks.

SHEILA FEINBERG: Good afternoon and thank you Chairwoman Arroyo and members of the health committee for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Shelia Feinberg and I'm the Executive Director of the New York City Coalition for a Smoke-Free City. We are grateful to have you all on the city council to have a health commissioner and a mayor who have demonstrated

unprecedented commitment to public health, to
improving public health. Earlier this year the
coalition celebrated the ten year anniversary of
the Smoke-Free Air act and we couldn't of been more
proud. New York City was considered a public health
pioneer when we made our bars and restaurants smoke
free and again so when we expanded the Smoke-Free
Air act to include hospital entryways, parks,
beaches, and pedestrian plazas. However with the
emergence of e-cigarettes our success may be
compromised. In fact youth use of e-cigarettes
doubled last year and we are concerned that this
unregulated product, and I just want to stress that
again, unregulated product, will serve as nicotine
starter kit for a new generation of smokers. Adding
e-cigarettes to the Smoke-Free Air act is both
welcomed and necessary. The driving force for the
original legislation was strong science and
research showing that secondhand smoke from
combustible cigarettes is harmful. Research suggest
vapor emitted from e-cigarettes is not free of
carcinogens. Beyond the uncertainty about the
safety of exposure to the vapor restaurant patrons
and workers should not have to worry about

2	determining whether a person is using an e-
3	cigarette or a traditional cigarette when they're
4	serving customers. E-cigarettes are designed to
5	mimic the look and feel of real cigarettes and
6	allowing e-cigarettes in real air, in areas where
7	smoking is prohibited we're not only complicate
8	enforcement of the Smoke-Free Air act but it would
9	also mean that smoking would be seen in places
10	where it hasn't been allowed for years. And simply
11	put when we, when a kid uses a public library,
12	because let's remember what the Smoke-Free Air act
13	also includes which is libraries, public
14	institutions. When a kid goes to use a library they
15	shouldn't get a, they should get a lesson in
16	reading, not on how to smoke and we're concerned
17	about that possibility. New York City is not alone
18	in the pursuit of limiting the use of e-cigarettes
19	to where smoking's permitted; New Jersey, Utah,
20	South Dakota, and a number of other locals have
21	done so. In closing despite the great progress
22	tobacco use still causes hundreds of thousands of
23	preventable deaths in United States. We think here
24	in New York City we can continue to be a champion
25	for public health. We think that we can continue,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the council can continue to be a leader and a pioneer in public health and to continue to reduce the ongoing negative effects of smoking.

[pause]

CARA MURPHEY: Hi. My name is Cara Murphy. I'm from Global Advisors on Smoke-Free Policy. And I just wanted to say coming in here it was interesting. Being from New Jersey where ecigarettes are already included in the Smoke-Free Air act seeing e-cigarettes really was a shocker. And if we want to keep cigarettes from being normal for children we need to include this as well. Concerns are growing on this unregulated, unknown danger. They target to children as much as anyone can say they don't. With flavors, radio and tv adds, and celebrity as endorsements on social media we need to make sure that this doesn't fall to our children. This is not a tobacco cessation device. It's been said that many people here have quit using tobacco cigarettes because of e-cigarettes. However no study has shown its actual use in helping people quit. If they want to make it a tobacco cessation device spend the money, get the FDA support. However no support is being taken and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

none, no action either. The goal is to get people off tobacco. It shouldn't be to get them on ecigarettes.

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Good afternoon. It is

12:20. My name is Karen Blumenfeld. I'm Executive Director of Global Advisors on Smoke-Free Policy. We are a nonprofit based in New Jersey, in existence since 1974, and our mission is to provide smoke free air for nonsmokers. Actually to also help people to quit smoking. But to quit smoking using federally approved FDA smoking cessation products. As I sit here today as Cara just mentioned. It's interesting that the word polite was mentioned. That in restaurants I've seen people be polite. I heard that testimony from the previous panelist. But even though that panelist mentioned that people are polite in restaurants it's disturbing that those in this room don't deserve the same politeness back that we here are exposed to e-cigarette vapors even though others in restaurants may or may not be. For that reason alone the Smoke-Free Air act was passed in New York City. Why? Because people being polite didn't work when it came to tobacco products and smoking. Some people decided that they wanted to smoke regardless

2	of whether or not it was going to harm an innocent
3	bystander. I don't think that we need to repeat
4	history again. There is enough data that shows that
5	there are health concerns with regards to the vapor,
6	with the liquid, when it combusts, etcetera. And we
7	provided our white paper that has numerous studies to
8	this effect. There is no reason to wait on this
9	measure. What I've heard today from the e-cigarette
10	industry and supporters is old tobacco industry
11	rederick. I've been doing tobacco free work for over
12	25 years. So I was around in New Jersey and New York
13	when all these laws were debated. And what did I
14	hear? The same thing. Let's wait, let's wait and see
15	about more data. Let's wait for more time so that we
16	can discuss this more fully through the council. In
17	the 1940s and 50s doctors who were paid by the
18	tobacco industry told people it was good to smoke.
19	Today we heard from ACSH. What ACSH didn't disclose
20	is that in 2012 they received 25 thousand dollars
21	from Altria. They didn't disclose that. We heard from
22	an e-cigarette user about a Drexel study, a Drexel
23	study that was paid by CASAA, C-A-S-A-A which is an
24	e-cigarette industry front group. So I think we have
25	enough information to move forward to ban e-cigarette

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 116
2	use in public places and work places. It doesn't
3	matter what form, what shape, what smell, what size.
4	We have enough information that will definitely
5	demonstrate that perhaps in the near future or in the
6	long term that this will be hazardous. And as I sit
7	here today my throat and my nose passages are
8	burning. I've been in this room many of time and it's
9	never happened.
10	[background comments]
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [shushes audience]
12	KAREN BLUMENFELD: And that's the type
13	[interpose]
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay okay.
15	KAREN BLUMENFELD: That's the type
16	[interpose]
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Come on.
18	KAREN BLUMENFELD: And that is the type of
19	response that people who go to restaurants and in
20	work places have to deal with.
21	[background comments]
22	KAREN BLUMENFELD: Remember some of these

products actually blow up. And for a workplace

something that blows up could create a fire and

24

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 that's also a concern. And I thank you very much for 3 your time.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Go ahead.

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: Madam Chair before you start the clock I just wanted to take a moment to mention you had asked some questions earlier about, from other cities who have done this. We have a letter from the Boston Public Health Commission that we've submitted into evidence today to talk about how they did this two years ago, what the experience was like for them and what their enforcement situation was like. We've got copies of it that are over there. But I just wanted to make you aware of that so that you all take a look at it. Thank you. So good afternoon my name is Kevin O'Flaherty I work for the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. The one thing that's missing from this table right now in this big room is the Aflac duck because if it walks like a duck, and it talks like a duck, and it sounds like a duck, and it looks like a duck it's a duck. Councilman Vallone the reason you're so, so confused of vapor or smoke is, is because they make it that way. They want it to be that way and, and we'll talk about that in just a little bit. I, I have to before I go to any kind of

2	prepared remarks talk a little bit about some of the
3	things that has been said here. The, the, first off
4	the gentleman who looked here, who were here just
5	testifying a few minutes ago looked, looked and
6	sounded like big tobacco more than anything I've seen
7	in a long time and, and just like they testified
8	before congress we don't know that our product is
9	addictive. You know one of the gentleman said there's
10	no evidence of addiction or leading to smoking. 76
11	percent of the kids who are using e-cigarettes are
12	using tobacco are, are using combustible cigarettes.
13	We don't know which started first but there's
14	certainly evidence because one in four of those kids
15	were never smokers when they tried e-cigarettes. So
16	for him to say that is, is, is hard for me to
17	believe in front of this, this body. They keep
18	comparing themselves to cigarettes. Come on let's
19	find a better comparison like Russian Roulette. You
20	have a one in six chance of dying if you play Russian
21	Roulette. It's one in three with, with, if you smoke
22	cigarettes. Cigarettes are the most dangerous legal
23	product out there. To say that you're safer than
24	cigarettes is like saying you're safer than being in
25	a car accident because you got like a one in 20

2	chance of surviving that. So let's be realistic here
3	and let's not try to make it seem like because these
4	things are safer than cigarettes that that means they
5	are safe. Clearly there is no evidence to prove that
6	they are safe at this point. And Mr. Shannon and Mr.
7	Schwartz think that you shouldn't regulate these like
8	it's traditional tobacco products, 46 attorneys
9	general including the attorney general of New York
10	believe they are wrong, that these should be
11	regulated like tobacco products across the board.
12	And, and, and we stand with them on that. So one of
13	the other testifiers today talked about how you know
14	if it, if it's a, just because vodka looks like water
15	we, we don't ban water we educate them to tell them
16	it's not. Well I think it's important to talk about
17	how the industry is educating consumers. They're,
18	they're basically, you know they're, they're saying
19	considering all I, this is compared to a 1970's add.
20	Considering all I'd heard I'd either decided to quit
21	or smoke True. I smoke True. Now it's; why quit,
22	smoke to Blu. And if you have any doubt at all about
23	what they're really going for here this is what
24	they're going for. This is an e-cigarette add for
25	Blu. Dear smoking ban and they're flipping you off

2	and they're flipping off public health and every
3	opportunity that we have to try to de-normalize
4	smoking and reduce smoking among youth and adults. So
5	don't allow them to fool yourself, to fool you, that,
6	that, this is about quitting. Because as was pointed
7	out earlier people can still quit. This is not an
8	individual health decision we're asking you to make
9	today or that this bill is asking you to make.
10	Individual health decisions are between doctors and
11	patients. We are talking about public health, people
12	who are exposed to products and if we re-normalize
13	this product it will undo all the progress you've
14	made over the last ten years. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Council Member Gennaro.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you. Thank you Madam Chair and I, I, I want to thank this panel for being here today and, and thank you for working for the, working with the council and working with the, with the Bloomberg administration you know to get us, you know to get us here today. I, I, I, I want to pose a question to Ms. Blumenfeld with regard to New Jersey because it's arguable that, I mean it, it, it's, I, I said it's probably the case that New

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jersey was the first state to, to, to regulate the e-cigarettes in the same way as tobacco and I'd like you to speak to the experience that you know Jersey has had, what led them to do that, and you know what the experience has been in terms of health effects, business effects, or just you know how's it going sort of like three years in or whatever it's been.

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Okay thank you. In one word; seamless. The transition from banning ecigarettes happened in 2010, 2,010. And since that time the Department of Health has issued information to the health officers. There's been information disseminated to the public. Business owners received information as well. There was a lot of news also on it. So there is good information in New Jersey on this and I think rarely, I think once in, since 2010 have I seen someone use an e-cigarette or electronic smoking device inside. So the transition from going smoke free to smoke free including electronic smoking devices was virtually seamless. There was no problem once so ever. And in fact business owners liked it because they didn't have to then mediate between patrons who wanted no e-cigarette use and patrons

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 that may have wanted e-cigarette use. It alleviates
3 that burden from the business owner so that everyone

4 is on the same playing field with regards to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Alright and, thank you. Thank you Ms. Blumenfeld and, and Mr. O'Flaherty about your position about you know safer doesn't equal safe. That was a point that really hasn't been made so far. And I, and I was glad that you made it. And you've been doing this a long time and, and, and so... Jersey did it several years ago and one of the things that you know I thought was important to, to do and, and to do soon because the, you know the, the more these devices become prevalent it will be just as... We will basically within a couple of years have the same fight we had back in 2002. And, and, and, and that's what I was sort of trying to avoid. I, I don't think there was a lot hubbub when New Jersey did this and you know we're doing it here and now I have like a lot of hubbub. If we wait five years there'll be a, a huge hubbub and you know all kinds of consequences to you know trying to restore like the de-normalization of, of smoking, vaping, whatever. What, what, what's your, what's your insight into, into that?

_

exactly right. Three years ago when New Jersey did it there was no opposition really. It just sort of happened. And Boston did it two years ago to very little fanfare. And, but as a lot of folks have referenced you know the use of this product is doubling every year. And with all fairness to Councilman Gentile we think hookah is a problem too.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I do too. I do too.

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: It's not rising, it's

not rising as fast. It's not doubling as fast. It's not increasing. You know the, the, it's not growing by leaps and bounds and it, it also you know in terms of the duck analogy it's substantially different and looks substantially different. Very dangerous, we're concerned about it but, but this really if you, every year you wait on this it will become a harder, harder fight. And again we're not talking about an individual health decision, whether this can help you quit. E-cigarettes can help you quit perhaps. If they can help you quit now they'll continue to be able to help you quit after you pass this law. You, and, and it'll just mean that the e-cigarette companies will

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

have to stop marketing themselves as cigarette

3 lookalikes and they'll have to market themselves as

something else. But if they're effective they can 4

still use them. They can still be effective in that 5

way. It just won't undermine all the great work that 6

7 you've done over the last ten years.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you. One of the points you made was regarding many of the state officials. I, I think you said there was many attorneys general that had a you know a, you know some kind of consensus opinion. Could you give me a little information on that?

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: Yes Councilman. A couple of months ago 46 attorneys general, attorneys general from the United States wrote a letter to the FDA basically saying, talking about what a huge problem these are, are becoming in their states and that they urge the FDA to regulate these things fully as tobacco products. And, and New York State's attorney general was one of the signers to that letter.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you. And, and you know and I, I'm not going to revisit the whole thing about Mr. Kiklas because I think we, you

2	know and we did that already. And I just want to
3	thank you all for your great work that you've done
4	and I, I, I think you present a you know very logical
5	perspective. And I guess one last question is with
6	regard to the 17 to 20 million Americans that are,
7	are living in jurisdictions where there is parody
8	with regard to the regulation of these devices versus
9	tobacco what's the score card? Anyone wish to talk
10	about that? Karen?

that. We track all the jurisdictions whether they're local in the United States and internationally. And with regard to that from North Dakota to Malta ecigarette use is banned. And in particular I think what needs to be looked at here is actually what's happening in Europe right now. Internationally the jurisdictions... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: When you say banned you mean they are... [interpose]

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Ban the... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: ...the, the same...

[interpose]

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Ban the, ban the sale, importation, or use of e-cigarettes. So there, there

are countries that have done even more than just ban use in public places because they are so concerned about the integrity, and the ethicasy[phonetic], and that the health hazards of electronic smoking devices.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Has that happened in this country? You said...

KAREN BLUMENFELD: That has not happened in this country.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Right.

KAREN BLUMENFELD: But internationally that has happened from Argentina, Singapore, Brazil, Israel, Panama, Jordan, Turkey, Hong Kong, and Canada have done either or all ban the sale, importation, or use of electronic smoking devices. That's how important this is. That's why we cannot wait.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Oh so they can't use them at all so they can't be sold, they can't be imported, it's an illegal product.

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Mm-hmm. Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Oh. Okay then.

Thank you. I, I was not aware of that.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member

25 Vallone.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 127
2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you. Many
3	of those countries have also banned fluoride and I'd
4	love to get my chair on boards
5	[laughter]
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Not this chair,
8	the other one.
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm pro-fluoride and
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And get that
11	toxic substance from our water supply.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member if
13	[interpose]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:if you will excuse
16	me. I'm going to take… [interpose]
16 17	me. I'm going to take… [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes.
	_
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes.
17 18	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:a break for a second
17 18 19	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:a break for a second if you [interpose]
17 18 19 20	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:a break for a second if you [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:I, I have the
17 18 19 20 21	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:a break for a second if you [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:I, I have the next panel.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

б

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: One quick, a quick question here. I can't discount the, the people I know personally and the people here who've used this to quit. But I assume what you're saying is that more people, especially teenagers are starting smoking or using this as a bridge so it, more, starting smoking or continuing smoking than the people who are using this to quit? Anyone who want to deal with that.

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: Well the, there's, it's, it's, it's complicated as all these decisions really are as all important decisions are. But, but what the evidence has shown is that there are more people out there that e-cigarettes actually inhibit their quitting attempts than, than people who where the evidence shows that e-cigarettes actually helped their, their quitting attempts. But, but when you're thinking about this and I referenced this briefly you know in terms of individual health versus public health. You know you've, when you're making a decision like this, much like the FDA has to make when they decide how to allow new, new products to come to market and whether they qualify for what's called a modified risk product they have to decide

one what's, what's good for the individual user, so
does this actually help a person quit and to what
extent etcetera. They decide that first. But then
they have to ask themselves based on how the product
is marketed does it discourage other adults who might
quit from quitting. Does it encourage kids who never
would have picked up a cigarette to pick up this
product and perhaps start. And, and all of, and, and
then does it undermine the effectiveness of the smoke
free law as, as a matter here. So all of those things
need to come into play when you are making this
decision not just whether it helps one person quit or
not.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You said one out of four high school kids who are using e-cigarettes did not smoke before?

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: In the CDC data it showed that out of, and you know the use among use had, among youth had doubled over the last year from 2011 to 2012. Those are the last years that the data's available and it doubled. And of those 76 percent who had used e-cigarettes in the last 30 days had also used combustible cigarettes in the last 30 days. Using in the last 30 days for kids is the

2	definition of whether you're a current user of that
3	product. And out of all those e-cigarette users 24
4	percent of them had never tried regular cigarettes
5	and they tried e-cigarettes. So there's got to be a
6	bleed over. We, I can't tell you how many of that 76
7	percent started with e-cigs and start, or started
8	with cigarettes. They didn't ask that detail. I would
9	imagine in coming years they will. But just given the
10	high number of kids who, who started e-cigarettes
11	without ever trying a, a, a traditional cigarette
12	there, there's, there's definitely a bleedover there.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay.
14	KAREN BLUMENFELD: I could just add one
15	thing [interpose]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Just very, just
17	very quickly because I want to get some… [interpose]
18	KAREN BLUMENFELD: Absolutely. There are
19	recent studies coming out also about dual use.
20	Meaning that people who do use electronic smoking
21	devices that may or may think it will help them quit
22	are also continuing to use regular cigarettes. So
23	there's a concern of the amount of nicotine. And you
24	know we are for helping people to quit smoking it's

just that when people do use products to quit smoking

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 those products shouldn't be imposed on those who
3 don't want to be exposed to it.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you.

KAREN BLUMENFELD: Thank you very much.

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: And Councilman Vallone
I'm, I'm asking you to indulge me just very briefly
here and I,... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Very briefly.

KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: ...I know it's important.

One of the, the opponents said you know if people are using less cigarettes that's good for public health.

That's not necessarily true. If you're a two pack a day smoker and you cut down to one pack a day because you're using e-cigarettes half the time you have not cut your health risks anywhere near in half. Nicotine you keep the heart risks period. You lose some of the cancer risks but what determines whether you live or die isn't how many cigarettes you smoke, it's how many years you have a smoker at all. So reducing your use doesn't really save us money. It doesn't reduce the horrible dreadful impacts of tobacco use and I think it's important that the council recognizes that.

- 1	_	٠,

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

	COMMITTEE ON HEADTH 132
2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I think if you
3	live next to that person you'd probably disagree.
4	But… [interpose]
5	KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: Fair enough.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: They're both two
7	sides to that but I understand what you're saying.
8	KEVIN O'FLAHERTY: Well secondhand smoke
9	[interpose]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah.
11	KEVIN O'FLAHERTY:you're [interpose]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah.
13	KEVIN O'FLAHERTY:you're right. Those
14	risks will go down.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So we are going
16	to hear from two high school kids in the panel after
17	the next one. And I want to thank you guys for coming
18	in. Our chair is back but since I have these I might
19	as well just call up the next panel. Andrew Rigie
20	from the New York Hospitality Alliance and rock group
21	WAM apparently.
22	[laughter]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Robert Bookman
24	also from the New York Hospitality Alliance and
25	Thomas Briant from NATO. Okay. And the panel after

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this will be those high school students I spoke about

John LaSorsa [pheontic] and Charles Mazzeo. Got ya.

I'm Italian so I don't know.

[pause]

ANDREW RIGIE: We set? All set? Thank you Madam Chair, council members. My name is Andrew Rigie. I am the Executive Director of the New York City Hospitality Alliance. We are a trade association that represents New York City's restaurants and night life establishments that would be impacted by this proposed ban on electronic cigarettes. Part of our job is to be on the pulse of industry. And this past summer I started receiving some calls from some our restaurant night life operator members asking about how to deal with the situation if a customer or a, actual employee begins using an electronic cigarette. And I actually wrote a blog on it, spoke with several dozen business owners from kind of large bar, night club type of establishments, to quick service, to fine dining restaurants. And it was pretty interesting to get an understanding from them how they are trying to manage you know the growing popularity of electronic cigarettes. So after a lot of this discussion we got into some of the different

ways that they were addressing this. The majority of
people that we spoke with didn't even notice anyone
smoking electronic cigarette in the restaurant or if
it was it was very isolated and they weren't seeing
it that frequently. For those restaurants where it
may have been or more bars nightclubs where it's a
little bit more prevalent there were different ways
that they were monitoring. One, they were basing
their considerations on how to regulate this within
their establishment on several considerations. One of
them is when people are smoking electronic cigarettes
it reduces the amount of people going outside on the
front of the street that are smoking traditional
cigarettes which happens to reduce the noise
complaints that those establishments sometimes
receive from neighbors which is obviously an
important issue. Then other restaurants have flat out
ban them completely. And then others have found
different unique ways in an isolated case. Maybe
someone is smoking one by the bar or perhaps an
employee in an office is using them. So at this point
they really are managing based on the situation.
Because while there is a growing popularity at least
from those business owners we've spoken with it is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not a proliferation. So furthermore we do understand especially based on the discussion here today the FDA is looking into the regulation of the electronic cigarettes as well the scientific community is conducting more research so we do feel that it's premature for the council to pass this consider ban but we do support your ongoing efforts to monitor the science of secondhand vaper effects. Thank you.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Hi. Rob Bookman, Counsel to the Hospitality Alliance in the New York City Newsstand Operators Association. Dr. Farley's stated when it came to the science we just don't know. And, but he, and he also said the primary concern of the Health Department is enforcement. So let's talk about the businesses we represent. We're not here for big tobacco. We, we have nothing to do with the, with the other side either. We're, we're, we're in the hospitality industry. We have no enforcement issues what's so ever. There is no, there, there is no problems that have been reported to us. For the most part these are being used as Andrew said in bars and clubs. It is very easy for us to tell the difference between people smoking illegal cigarettes and people vaping. As a matter of fact a common thing when, when

a bartender or server goes over just to make sure if
it's one of those that, that looks alike is, is the
people go like this to their face showing they're,
they're, they're vaping. Many have blue tips. It is
not a problem. To base a law therefore when your own
Health Commissioner says as far as the science for
secondhand exposure we just don't know. To base it on
that he thinks it's a problem for enforcement when
the Health Department I don't know the last time they
issued a summons to anybody smoking in, indoors.
Their policy is not to as you recall. We are the
enforcers of the Smoke-Free air act and we do a great
job according to the Health Department in enforcing
it and we have no problem once so ever in allowing
people to vape in our establishments and telling the
difference between smoking and vaping. Councilman
Gennaro who I rarely disagree with said what's the
big deal? What's all the hubbub. Well Councilman
when, it is a big deal when local government wants to
limit the use of a legal product without any
scientific evidence that it is unsafe, in this case
unsafe to others. That is a big problem. The issue
here is, is not, and you're hearing much too much
testimony on whether e-cigarettes are unhealthy for

the, you the user. The issue for this bill is is it
dangerous for other people in the room, me. And your
own Health Commissioner has said he has no evidence
to that effect. That was the basis for ten years ago
throwing all the hundreds of thousands of smokers out
onto the streets at night which is by the way when we
started to get complaints, and you started to from
your constituents about late night noise on the
street outside bars. We're finally seeing a product
that is keeping some of those smokers inside where
your constituents want them, where we want them,
where maybe that it's helping them quit, maybe it's
not but from our perspective it's hospitable. It's
putting less people on the street. Bars and clubs got
no children there. We're all adults. There's no
reason to ban the use of this lawful product for
lawful adults where lawful adults congregate you know
especially late at night. This passing this would
lower the bar so dramatically for what government,
the basis for what government could use to ban a
lawful product that I, that I fear that the ultimate
lawsuits, I'll just finish up, that these companies
will bring if you rush this through and pass it. And
in my opinion they will win. We'll really make it

7	7	c
	٠.	~

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	more difficult for the next council and the next
3	administration to come up with reasonable regulation
4	about maybe like we did with toy guns. Make them
5	orange or make them a color that you know, that
6	doesn't look real, that there are many reasonable
7	regulations that we can all work with and come up
8	with together. But if you just pass this and pass
9	this real quickly they're going to go to court.
10	They're going to win on the… [interpose]
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Rob.
12	ROBERT BOOKMAN:lack of science. And it
13	may make it extremely difficult for you [interpose]
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Rob.
15	ROBERT BOOKMAN:then come back and
16	regulate.
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
18	THOMAS BRIANT: Madam Chair and members of
19	the committee. My name is Thomas Briant. I am the
20	Executive… [interpose]
21	[background]
22	THOMAS BRIANT: My name is Thomas Briant.
23	I'm the Executive Director of the National
24	Association of Tobacco Outlets, a national retail
25	tobacco trade association with 28 thousand member

2	stores. The underlying basis for the adoption of the
3	New York Smoke-Free Air act in 1995 and the
4	subsequent amendment of that law in 2002 to include
5	workplaces was to protect against secondhand smoke.
6	And scientific studies on secondhand smoke were, were
7	relied upon by the council at those times to support
8	passage of the smoking restricts. However as we've
9	heard today electronic cigarettes do not emit
10	secondhand smoke. This means that, that the
11	underlying scientific basis supporting the initial
12	adoption and then the amendment of the Smoke-Free air
13	act, namely the concerns of secondhand smoke is not
14	present with electronic cigarettes. So if this
15	committee follows the precedent set by the New York
16	City Council in 1995, and again in 2002 in relying on
17	scientific studies then e-cigarettes should not be
18	included in the Smoke-Free Air act at this time.
19	Because a scientific body of scientific research has
20	not yet been compiled to support restrictions on the
21	use of e-cigarettes. And it'll be important to wait
22	for this reason. The US Food and Drug Administration
23	has now undertaken a comprehensive, two step
24	regulatory framework to regulate e-cigarettes. The
25	first step is the FDA's new regulations that are

2	being proposed and are currently under review by the
3	White House Office of Management and Budget. Once
4	approved by the OMB the FDA will issue the proposed
5	rules for public comment and then final adoption.
6	These proposed rules are a serious first step in
7	regulating electronic cigarettes nationwide. The
8	second step; the FDA just announced a new partnership
9	with the National Institutes of Health to generate
10	scientific research on tobacco products by creating
11	14 tobacco centers for regulatory science. They're
12	going to fund it this first year with 53 million
13	dollars of user fees that the FDA has collected and
14	then fund it with a potential of up to 273 more
15	million dollars to research over the next five years.
16	As Mitch Zeller the Director of the FDA Center for
17	Tobacco Products recently explained; scientific
18	evidence is necessary to support regulatory actions
19	on tobacco products. And these research centers are
20	being created to compile that body of evidence. With
21	the FDA now proceeding on this two-step regulatory
22	process involving e-cigarettes the consideration of
23	the proposed amendment to include electronic
24	cigarettes in the Smoke-Free Air act is premature.
25	Sound public policy should be based on scientific

2 data and factual information and not on

3 unsubstantiated claims. For this reason I urge the

4 | Committee on Health to seriously consider following

5 | the process utilized by the council in the past and

6 wait until the necessary scientific research and

7 factual evidence is compiled before proceeding. Thank

8 you.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you. You Sir unfortunately do sound like big tobacco and the way they sounded in 95 when my father passed the original law and every time we've expanded it since. We don't know enough yet. The, the Health Commissioner admitted that. He said we don't know enough. It's, he, he can't guarantee one way or the other. And, but he did say as the hospitality lines pointed out that the reason he's doing this or wants to do this in supporting Chair Gennaro's bill is because it hurts enforcement efforts of the, of the antismoke, of the smoking ban we have in place which is a very legitimate concern. But that's why I was very interested to hear that, you know about the enforcement efforts. The fact that an owner of, doorman of one of his clubs contacted me on Facebook and said he was very opposed to the original smoking

bill because he had, people have to come in and out of the restaurant, or, or the bar and stand outside and come in and it was a problem at the door. He said but now it works. He'd rather, it's much better for his place to be smoke-free and he supports it even though he didn't originally. But he said to enforce people to go outside to smoke e-cigarettes he said would be crazy for his business because you don't get the same benefit inside. The place doesn't smell like smoke... [interpose]

ROB BOOKMAN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: ...and, and to have that much door traffic coming in and out he thought it would be, it, it, it would be harmful. I'm not sure if you were aware of that argument from your own people but it's an interesting one.

ROB BOOKMAN: We are aware of it. And like
I said we finally found the product that is keeping
people inside that's not harming anybody. It tends to
be from our anecdotal evidence smokers. But when
they're going out at night now they're bringing their
e-cigarettes with them. Maybe they're trying to stop.
Maybe they're not. Maybe they're just using it the
nights they go out. But from our perspective that,

2	that's a positive. There are less people coming and
3	going. It reduces security concerns every time,
4	especially in the winter. Somebody goes out they got
5	to, we have to search them again because of coats.
6	You know they, they bring their coat outside. They
7	got to be re-searched before they come in. The Smoke-
8	Free Air act did increase our costs of operation.
9	This is helping a little bit moving it in the right
10	direction. And so there's less people going in and
11	out. I think it's a great thing that if every smoker
12	who goes out at night brings an e, an e-cigarette
13	with them and stays indoors all night it's good for
14	our business you know than, and, and it's good for
15	your constituents because there's not people out on
16	the street 2:00 in the morning, 3:00 in the morning
17	talking to each other, you know talking on their
18	cellphones. I, I, I think it's a win/win. So I think
19	the enforcement argument given that we, the bars and
20	clubs of the city are the enforcement agents and the
21	Health Department has publically said we do a great
22	job at enforcing it. As the enforcement agents we're
23	telling you that argument is a red herring. We, we
24	can enforce against the Smoke-Free Air act and allow

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	people	to ι	use	e-cigarettes	at	the	same	time.	We're
3	doing i	t . T	We h	nave no proble	-m .				

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I, I need to leave but I keep learning so much from each panel it's... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We have about 15 more panels.

[laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's, that's not going to happen for me at least. My, my condolences to you as chair when a public safety hearing does that I have to stay and - to stay. But I have been here a long time. I'm going to try to stay a little bit longer but thank you for your testimony. I look forward to the, our youngsters who are coming up next.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Gennaro.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Oh thank you.

Thank you. And, and, and leave it to Rob Bookman to come up with very reasonable, rational considerations and I've you know really enjoyed working with you over the years on many issues and normally we're on the same side and I can see that this has an impact

on, on your industry by everything that you just said
but you know we you know have to take a larger view
than, than you know just the hospitality industry.
And you know while the commissioner did make the
argument in his testimony regarding the confusion he
did make you know many other points in his, in his
testimony. And, and, and, and I tend to agree
with him and, and I sympathize with, with what your
industry is going to face and the calls that the next
council members are going to get from people standing
outside of establishments. That's just, that's just,
that's just reality. And, and I know what's going to
happen. I'm not, I'm not, I'm not happy about it but
I, I, I, and I'm just, for me if I can get this
done I'm just not you know willing to wait for big
tobacco to completely take over the e-cigarette
industry and then you're going to get nothing out of
Washington because Washington is bought and paid for.
Then you know people are addicted to, to, to tobacco
but you know Washington is addicted to tobacco money.
It's just, it's just, it's just reality. And, and
with regard for the comments that we've heard you
know regarding kids and you know their exposure they
have some sense that that that that, you know this

2	may be a safer way to smoke and get like a little bit											
3	of a nicotine rush and so I, I, and, and all the											
4	jurors and then you mentioned about the lawsuits.											
5	It's just like we haven't seen those manifest in the											
6	many many of states and jurisdictions. Like I said											
7	there, there are 17 to 20 million people in this											
8	country that are living in jurisdictions where you											
9	know this parody between these two kinds of devices.											
10	So I, I, I, I, I give you guys A for effort. I											
11	agree with you on like what you're going to face. I											
12	just don't agree with you today on this but I still											
13	love you.											
14	ROBERT BOOKMAN: Ditto. I just wanted to											
15	say this may be the last time I am testifying in											
16	front of Councilman Gennaro and Councilman Vallone											
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And Vallone.											
18	ROBERT BOOKMAN:and											
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Not me.											
20	ROBERT BOOKMAN:not you.											
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I'll be back.											
22	ROBERT BOOKMAN:And it has been really a											
23	privilege over the years to work with both of you											
24	when we've agreed and disagreed. You are two											
25	tremendous public servants and I know people we											

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 147
2	represent and I'm sure this institution are going to
3	miss you greatly.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, no, no
6	buttering up the members.
7	[laughter]
8	ROBERT BOOKMAN: So, so you agree with me
9	now? No.
10	[laughter]
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you so much for
12	your time. John and Charles come on up. The next
13	panel we're going to hear from Jeff Stier, Audrey
14	Silk, Daniel Corpus, Ashley Soyshingting. What is
15	that?
16	[background comments]
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Shoyshting. Be
18	prepared. Welcome thank you for being here. I don't
19	know if you've done this before although you somehow
20	look… [interpose]
21	[background comments]
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Yeah that's what I
23	thought. That's what I thought. You look familiar.
24	Okay so you know how it's done. Okay so the third

person on the panel like didn't call so...

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I'm sorry. No, no, no, no, no. The panel after them. I'm sorry. Okay. Just be ready for when they're done. That was, that was the point. Thank you. Alright.

CHARLES MAZZEO: Well, hello my name is Charles Mazzeo as explained. I'm from Reality Check we're anti-tobacco and all that but here I'm today to, here today to speak about the in your indoor air act. So I remember the whole raise hands game and how incredibly biased that was. So everyone under the age of 20 please raise your hand. Oh, okay. I did. So clearly it doesn't matter who quit over that age because we're here to talk about the youth okay. So another thing raise your hand if you quit because of e-cigarettes. Yeah you all just lied to me because your still using e-cigarettes which is smoking yeah okay vaper great. Oh okay it's nicotine. You're addicted. You didn't quit. The word quit means you stopped. You're still doing it. So another thing I'd like to talk about was the whole point of the indoor air act. You're all saying oh it's going to make people start smoking again if we can't use our ecigarettes inside. Clearly you're not a very loyal

customer if it's going to make you stop using it just
because you have to take a few steps outside because
I mean it's not a big deal. Overall it's not health,
okay so like sitting here today I've gotten so much
smoke blown in my face in like five minutes and it
smelled pretty gross. I'm not going to lie. So if
that doesn't sound like a clean air that I'm sitting
here breathing in. My mother and father have smoked
for a very long time and they switched to e-
cigarettes for a little bit. It didn't work and it
increased their addiction so now they smoke nearly
double the amount of cigarettes that they used to
smoke. So good job e-cigarettes. Really they serve no
purpose indoors. Like you're complaining about how,
or maybe if some of you want to quit smoking. Okay.
The facts that you all need to sit here and continue
to smoke throughout this entire thing even in the
faces of the people who you are trying to ask a
question like not to pass a law is pretty rude. Okay?
So I think you can wait. Everyone who's a real smoker
which you're claiming has more nicotine and is more
addictive is waiting to go outside and smoke real
cigarettes and you can't wait when it has less?
Clearly the e-cigarettes aren't doing their job. So I

mean there's always that. And so if a child starts

smoking that, that's what I'm here really to talk on

the behalf of. If a child starts with the e-cigarette

which it absolutely happens. None of you can tell me

6 it hasn't. I go to high school. You don't. So I, I

7 see it.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

[laughter]

CHARLES MAZZEO: It happens all the time and I also know lots of high schoolers who do smoke and they smoke both. I see this. I walk out of school. I see people in a group, some of them smoking real cigarettes, some of them smoking e-cigarettes. Same stuff, same poison, different name. Are they smoking? Are they vaping? I don't care you're, you're getting poison. It doesn't, it's not good for you. You don't smoke an e-cigarette and get healthier from it. Okay? You're addicted. That's all it is. It's bad for you as cigarette. I, I completely agree with the whole they sound like tobacco companies claim because I have seen it tons of times. I have spoken to big tobacco CEOs okay? They sound exactly the same. There is no difference. They are selling you poison. Okay, we're not even telling you to stop selling them.

a restaurant and smoking it and it smells but can you

be nice in here. I'm trying to listen to everybody

24

2	talk and give their opinion. I had a thing I was
3	going to say but now I'm just going to, I want to say
4	that throughout the testimonies there really haven't
5	been many talks about the use in schools. Charles
6	just brought it up. I think in schools we've really,
7	really seen it. I see kids in classes just smoking it
8	and it's ridiculous. Teachers can't yell at them.
9	Well teachers can yell at them but they won't really
10	listen. If they're in the hallway there are tons of
11	them smoking them and you can't yell at every kid
12	because we have over 1000 kids in our school. I think
13	that it glamorizes it too because we have incoming
14	freshman who are 13, 14 and they're looking at these
15	kids, look how cool these kids are. They're smoking a
16	cigarette in school and not getting in trouble. So
17	they go out, ask their friend to buy them and they
18	have one. And then they smoke it and then it can
19	trail all the way down to middle school because if a
20	kid has an older brother who's a freshman and just
21	started smoking an e-cigarette why can't I smoke an
22	e-cigarette? My brother's smoking it. It looks cool.
23	I can do it inside. I can't get yelled at and it's
24	good for me. That's what we're telling them in the
25	commercials right? It's good for them. It's not. If

you smoke an e-digarette i dan guarantee you're
probably going to want to smoke a real cigarette at
our age at least. You guys might not want to but at
our age you're smoking a cigarette and you go wait
that guy's smoking a cigarette, I smoke an e-
cigarette they look exactly alike why can't I do it?
And then you do it. Also I want to bring up the
flavors that they're doing. Why do adults want a
chocolate flavored thing? You can't have a cigarette
flavored if you're trying to get away from
cigarettes? Also I want to bring up someone before
said my cigarette looks and feels like a cigarette.
Why do you want to have a cigarette in your hand if
you're trying to kick cigarettes? I'm not done. I'll
keep talking.

[laughter]

JOHN: When will we start to protect our youth? We're trying to protect them so they can smoke inside. Why don't we protect us? I'm done. I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank, you don't have to use the whole three minutes by the way.

[laughter]

JOHN: I wanted to?

[laughter]

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 154
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member
3	Vallone.
4	[laughter]
5	JOHN: Like I wanted to.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah. First of
7	all you guys are awesome.
8	CHARLES MAZZEO: You're awesome too.
9	JOHN: We like you too.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And I, I do
11	Right. I'm a little older than you and I like
12	chocolate so adults do do chocolate. Bubblegum I
13	would draw the line there.
14	JOHN: Unless you grew out some pigtails.
15	I mean
16	[laughter]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But you said
18	something interesting. What school do you go to where
19	they allow e-cigarettes.
20	CHARLES MAZZEO: We both go to Tottenville
21	High School.
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: It's not that they
23	allow it.
24	CHARLES MAZZEO: Well they don't exactly

allow it. In any public school you can allow things

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 15
2	all you want and unless there's a solid law against
3	it. Like there's no kid who would dare to smoke
4	inside. Like as bad as a kid in high school would be
5	they won't even do that. But if they do e-cigarettes
6	or something as not a cigarette even though it has
7	cigarette in your, the name, it's not the e-helps-
8	you-quit-cigarettes, it's the e-cigarette okay? You,
9	if, it's viewed as, as bad as that then hopefully it
10	will stop in the schools at least like depleting how
11	much of it is going on outside.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Oh but you've
13	said you've seen it in the hallways at school.
14	CHARLES MAZZEO: Oh yeah. It's, I have
15	nothing against our school. I love my school.
16	Tottenville High School.
17	JOHN: In Staten Island.
18	CHARLES MAZZEO: In Staten Island.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's, that's a
20	public high school.
21	CHARLES MAZZEO: Yup. Mm-hmm.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Because the DOE,

they ${\tt don't}$ have to wait for us to act. They can ban

these things the way they've banned cell phones in

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 156
2	which I don't agree with and, and from schools
3	without waiting on us.
4	[crosstalk]
5	JOHN: Kids still use cell phones though.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But yeah.
7	CHARLES MAZZEO: We still use cell phones
8	in school. I use my cell phone.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But well I let my
10	little daughters go without a cell phone but I know
11	they can't, I don't want them used in class but to
12	and from school is a different story. We'll have a
13	hearing on that. But, [laughter] we had a few. But
14	you are saying that they are allowing e-cigarettes in
15	the hallways of public school?
16	CHARLES MAZZEO: They're not allowing it
17	but they can't necessarily stop it. We have a school
18	of over what? 5,000?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Oh, I don't agree
20	with that. They could stop it. If I were in charge
21	I'd be stopping it. [interpose]
22	CHARLES MAZZEO: There's a difference
23	between a school rule and a law. I can use my phone

outside of school and I can use it in school because

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 157
2	it's a rule. If it was a law that I couldn't use my
3	cell I can guarantee you I'm not using my cell phone
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That is a good
5	point. What is Reality Check by the way.
6	CHARLES MAZZEO: It's a youth-led group
7	red, youth-led group. One second I have it right
8	here. A youth-led group that educates the community
9	and other youth about tobacco marketing.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's great as
11	I'm term limited out it, I'm heartened to know that
12	there are people like you ready to, ready to take our
13	places. So thanks for coming in today.
14	CHARLES MAZZEO: Thank you.
15	JOHN: Thank you for speaking with us.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I'd like to note that
17	Council Member Williams is hanging in the shadows in
18	the back. Thank you for being here. No longer a
19	secret and he's not smoking. John, Charles thank you
20	so much. I recognize both of you from previous
21	hearings. Thank you for your continued advocacy
22	regardless of what side of the issue you're on it's

JOHN: Thank you.

so much for taking the time and don't stop.

important to have your voice heard here. So thank you

25

23

COMMITTEE	ON	HEALTH	158

2		CHARLES	MAZZEO:	Thank	you	very	much	for
3	listening.							

4 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Our next panel we 5 have Jeff. Jeff?

6 JEFF STIER: Stier.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It's okay you'll do
it on the record. I just want to... Audrey, Audrey?

Daniel? And Ashley. And you'll state your name for
the record when you begin your testimony. On deck we
have, we will have Michael Hernandez, Patrick

Norberto, Phil Kunningberg[phonetic]

Konigberg[phonetic], Konigsberg, and Dan, Daniel

Johnson, you're up next. When the light is on the mic
is working. Pull it close to you. You've done this
before so. Okay. You know how to do this right?

JEFF STIER: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for being here. Begin when you're ready.

JEFF STIER: I'm Jeff Stier. I'm a Senior
Fellow at the National Center for Public Policy
Research and I'm going to do my best to cover in, in
under three minutes. I appreciate all your patience.
I'm disappointed and correct me if I'm wrong please

that the sponsor of the, primary sponsor of the bill and, and Dr. Farley are not here. Is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON ARRYO: Dr. Farley is not here but Department of Health Staff is taking copious notes. And that's, that's the practice.

JEFF STIER: No I understand that. I think it's unfortunate that... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I don't like it either but it is.

JEFF STIER: Yeah, that, that this is something that they see as so urgent that this had to, this hearing had to be announced so quickly and that they're trying to pass the bill this year. We keep hearing that, well we don't really know all the facts so this is the prudent thing to do. I would caution members, especially those of you that are leaving the council. I would caution you that this is not the prudent thing to do. The prudent thing here to do is to help cigarette smokers quit. You've heard all the data. You've heard all the conversations. But rushing to judgment here could have very dangerous unintended consequences that you need to be aware of. It will stop people from quitting smoking. E-

cigarettes are not a gateway to smoking. The data

does not show that. E-cigarettes are a gateway to
quitting smoking. And by passing this legislation
especially so quickly without having evaluated the
science could actually undermine the very public
health goals that I think we all share. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Go ahead.

AUDREY SILK: Thank you because I'm going to go four minutes. I'm warning.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: No you're not.

AUDREY SILK: You know it's, Commissioner

Farley got to speak for 20 minutes one extra minute's

not... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Let's not debate how we're handling this because you're going to, don't take me there.

AUDREY SILK: My name is Audrey Silk and I'm the founder of New York City Citizens Lobbying Against Smoker Harassment. I quote from the New York City Human Rights Law, Administrative Court of the City of New York Title 8 Policy. In the City of New York with it's great cosmopolitan population there is no greater danger to the health, moral, safety, and welfare of this city and its inhabitants than the existence of groups prejudice against one another and

۷	antagonistic to each other because of their actual or
3	perceived differences. Smoker, nonsmoker. The council
4	hereby finds and declares that prejudice,
5	intolerance, bigotry, and discrimination and disorder
6	occasion thereby threaten the rights and proper
7	privileges of its inhabitants and menace the
8	institutions in foundation of a free democratic
9	state. Excerpts from the notice of this hearing that
10	one of the achieve, greatest achievements of the
11	smoking ban was to end smoking. Smoking, curb
12	smoking, nicotine sends wrong message, allowing
13	smokers, allowing? Doctor Farley's predecessor Thomas
14	Frieden on his fax sheet, the Department of Health.
15	It's online today. Question, this is America. Don't
16	citizens have a right to smoke even if it hurts them?
17	Answer, yes. Smokers are free to continue to smoke.
18	Behavior that while acceptable if it affects the
19	individual only. So which is it? But for the
20	gratuitous addition at the very end of your notice on
21	e-cig exposure it's clear by going after harmless
22	vapor your entire aim is and may I say has been that
23	smokers are not free to continue to smoke and it's
24	not acceptable. That your intolerance of it, and if
25	you're not on the approved protected list this will

not be a free democratic state. The children, the
children, the children. Adults have rights too.
Hiding behind for the children you seek to conscript
me against my will into the war on smoking army to
carry your message. If smoking a cigarette sends a
message, your words, then we are talking about my
freedom of speech. Can I stand on a street corner and
give a speech about my belief that it's normal to
smoke. I think you'd say yes. Then according to you
how is my smoking a lit cigarette or somebody using
an e-cig any different from speech that when
expressed another way you would call protected. When
it comes to the issue of smoking you are everything
you despise. You are bullies who have zero tolerance
for with your intolerance of a legal lifestyle that
doesn't fit yours. You are the stop and friskers of
the health police. As a New York City police officer
for 20 years I had more authority than either one of
you. I could have walked into this chamber and for
reasons that I decided arrested you, cuffed you,
taken you to processing. The DA's office may have
sailed[phonetic] later let them go and I might be
disciplined by my supervisor but I had the authority
to do that. But I didn't. But that is what you are

2	now hypocritically doing. Your version of stop and
3	frisk whereby you've authored to you as your
4	authority to violate someone's civil liberties
5	because you have deemed a legal behavior wrong.
6	Seeing as you would choose the NYPD of violating many
7	who have been stopped and frisked because the cop
8	felt it best and had the authority to do it.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Audrey wrap up.

AUDREY SILK: I find that very unfair.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It's been consistent so let's not do that. Okay next.

ASHLEY: Okay hi. My name is Ashley Sucdig [phonetic] and I'd like to quickly address the concern about the flavors appealing to children. Are adults not allowed to like candy? I mean it, part, that is part of the appeal for e-cigarettes to switch from cigarettes to e-cigarettes is that they have an appealing taste. And that's something that, I mean it, it's part of the cessation with it. But either way what about flavored alcohols. Is that, does that mean that they're also appealing to children? It, it's just it doesn't, that's a spurious argument that... I don't understand why that's even being taken into consideration with this bill. Also I'd, I'd like

2	to address the CDC which has reported that the usage
3	rose in the last month from 1.5 percent to 2.8
4	percent for electronic cigarette use, usage. Not sure
5	where the higher percentage that we heard earlier
6	came from but that is from the CDC website report.
7	The CDC also happened to report that the past usage
8	tobacco consumption fell from 15.8 percent to 14
9	percent. So if e-cigarette usage allegedly increases
10	tobacco cigarette I'm, I'm not sure why that wasn't
11	reflected in recent data. But again just going to F,
12	the FDA study I'd like to focus on the ingredient
13	that they allegedly found that's in antifreeze which
14	is diethylene glycol. They did find that but the, the
15	details of the study revealed that only 18 cartridges
16	from two companies were tested and out of the 18 only
17	one tested positive for about one percent diethylene
18	glycol. So it's, and no other independent lab study
19	has been able to replicate these findings which is
20	pretty important for any scientific data to be taken
21	seriously. And given this information it's reasonable
22	to suspect that the single sample was contaminated in
23	another way. But it's certainly under no
24	circumstances could it be considered a standard
25	ingredient in electronic cigarettes. And also in

regards to somebody who pointed out that Drexel	
University School of Public Health being fund, like	
the research map being funded by CASSA, that's true	
which is a e-cigarette proponent or anti-tobacco	
company I'm not really sure. But that doesn't nullif	У
their findings. I understand that it may, means that	•
we need to be more careful of the details of it but	
they still use a laboratory set, setting with normal	
scientific data, scientific equipment. So unless you	L
can prove that they manipulated the data similar to	
the FDA has manipulated their data then you'd have a	L
reasonable argument to not take those arguments	
seriously. But it's, I mean they, they still have	
been able to show that for example the contamination	L
by metals is to be, has been shown to be at trivial	
levels that suppose no health risks. And the	
alarmists claim that such contaminations are based o	n
unrealistic assumptions about the molecular form of	
these elements. That's something that that, anyway	
that's pretty much. I just, if, this bill needs to b	e
based on fact. And just every time somebody comes up)
to talk about these studies it's just, they're	
blatantly manipulating the data and that's pretty	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 easy to do. I've learned that as a psychology student

3 but that's just not...

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you Ashley.

ASHLEY: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Daniel?

DANIEL CORPUS: Hi, first of all these are some of my electronic cigarettes. These do not look like tobacco analog cigarettes. Just to be sure. I'll bring it a little personal here. Four or five years ago until I discovered electronic cigarettes I smoked three packs a day for 40 years. That's a lot. I discovered electronic cigarettes. I didn't want to quit smoking. I enjoyed smoking. Smoking was my best friend. It just happened. You'll hear that story from other people as well who are in the audience who are long time smokers. Do I care what kids smoke? Yes. But if they use an electronic cigarette and they're not smoking it's much better for them through the decades. That is proven. There's no way that you can ingest particulate matter 100 times more volatile when it's burning within an electronic cigarette and tell me they're the same, they're equivalent. I have tried with many of my friends some successfully, some not, to introduce them to electronic cigarettes. This

past week someone I've been speaking to for months
encountered some of the same speeches that were made
here today. Some of the obfuscations durgivasations
[phonetic] There are facts. We have facts they say oh
there's a study that was published four years ago
that is, had dangerous nitrosamines and my friend
said oh yeah I just read an article on three
electronic cigarettes at home. I'm not going to touch
them because they're too dangerous. That's what this
is going. Little kids will try cigarettes. They'll
try skateboarding without helmets. They'll run into
traffic. They'll do all of those things. Will they
continue on smoking? No. For fact of the matter is
smoking rates are going down. You're saying by
introducing electronic cigarettes that they will
become much more addicted. If they're addicted, if
they are prone to addiction they will become addicted
no matter what. But if they use electronic cigarettes
it will be much healthier for them. In addition
addiction in terms of nicotine is not so much a bad
thing. It's not that dangerous compared to having a
Starbucks every day with four, four, five hundred
calories in it and three times the level of caffeine
that you have in a cup of tea. So I thank you. It's

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 168
2	just leave us adults alone. We will work it out. We
3	care for the kids. We don't want them to smoke for 40
4	years, three packs a day like I did. We are not the
5	tobacco industry. We are not the, the purveyors.
6	We're not the advocacy groups. We are the users.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Daniel.
8	DANIEL CORPUS: We care. Several groups
9	who
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Daniel.
11	DANIEL CORPUS: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I like the suspenders
13	but you know we're going to be consistent. Thank you
14	[interpose]
15	DANIEL CORPUS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:very much for being
17	here, for sharing your thoughts with us today. Thank
18	you.
19	DANIEL CORPUS: Any questions?
20	[laughter]
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Thank you.
22	Michael, Patrick, Phil, Daniel. And up next Kareem
23	Montes, Kona[phonetic], I think that's
24	Kona[phonetic]. Orshansky, Peter Denholtz, and James

Sudder[phonetic], Sudderer[phonetic],

2 Su

Sutera[phonetic] sorry. Okay, okay begin when you're ready. When the light is on the mic is on.

PHIL KONIGSBERG: Thank you. My name is Phil Konigsberg.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Just a second. I'm sorry. I called four people. There's a Michael.

Where's Michael? Patrick? Phil? Daniel Johnson? Okay she's not here so let's keep it for the end. I'm sorry go ahead.

PHIL KONIGSBERG: Hi my name is Phil
Konigsberg. I'm a quarter of a century tobacco and
control and smoke-free advocate. And I appreciate the
opportunity here for having a public hearing on this.
I'd like to say first that I think we're here because
the FDA for whatever reason has been dragging their
feet on e-cigarettes, electronic cigarettes and there
is no regulation. There's a lot of questions in my
mind whether it's safe or not. I think based on the
tobacco industry now and that's just going to
increase. We have to, we have to take action to
protect ourselves and not wait as, as it's been
proposed. Wait to see if it's really harmful or not.
There's two sides of the issue both within the
tobacco control group that was strongly for

2	electronic cigarettes basically as a tobacco harm
3	reduction. And then there's also a lot including
4	myself that we don't know what we're dealing with
5	here. Just like the tobacco industry said. Secondhand
6	smoke we, we don't know really if it's bad or not so
7	let's wait. No, we can't do that. We learned already
8	from that. So we, we need to take action now and I do
9	hope the city council moves forward on this as
10	quickly as possible. Someone mentioned before that
11	these e-cigarettes explode and there was big laughter
12	in the back I presume from the people who use e-
13	cigarettes. But just the other day Fox 5 New York
14	here ran a news feature about just that, that these
15	e-cigarettes exploded, caused a fire, and the way he
16	described it, the fire, it protruded way out from the
17	cigarette into the apartment and these people that
18	they showed on the air were able luckily to
19	extinguish the fire. They smothered it. And can you
20	imagine, although I'm sure there's any product you're
21	going to have issues but if this is something that
22	has made the news I, I think it's something that it's
23	going to effect a lot more people as the e-cigarettes
24	expands quite a bit. I just want to say in closing
25	that there's nothing wrong with de-moralizing, de-

1	7	1
- 1	- /	- 1

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	normalizing excuse me tobacco and nothing wrong with
3	de-normalizing smoking and the effect of e-
4	cigarettes. It is the perception it's the same. And I
5	just wanted to, just mention one thing is I just
6	forgot her name that just was up here. I, I want to
7	congratulate her for a strong fight for 25 years at
8	the city council here even though we've been on

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

PHIL KONIGSBERG: ...you know who I'm talking about. I just went blank with your name.

opposing sides. And... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It's okay. We, we know who you're... [interpose]

PHIL KONIGSBERG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

PATRICK NORBERTO: Madam... [clears throat]. 17 Excuse me. Madam Chair, esteemed member of this

committee, and all you awesome New Yorkers here. I'm

grateful for the opportunity to address this 20

committee on what is obviously an emotionally 21

volatile issue. My name is Patrick Norberto and I am 22

23 the Summer Youth Employment Program Director of the

YM & YWHA of Washington Heights and Inwood and also

the coordinator for the Y's smoke-free NYC efforts.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

24

For nearly 100 years the Y's mission has been to
advocate for and work toward improving the quality of
life of those who live in Northern Manhattan. To that
end every program which the Y runs from caring for
our youngest children to providing summer jobs for
youth to helping our mature adults spend their golden
years actively and productively engaged offers a
significant health and wellness component that aims
to boost the quality of their lives. The Y has long
been a committed and active partner in the campaign
for a smoke-free NYC. Our Lawrence A and May L Wean
House was the first HUD funded, low income, senior
housing facility in the city to become 100 percent
smoke free. Our staff and teens successfully lobbied
a number of local retailers to remove or
substantially rearrange their tobacco product
displays so that our children would not be bombarded
with the deceitful message that smoking is acceptable
or worse cool. It concerns us that these products
that these products are currently unregulated and
being sold without full knowledge of their impact on
the health of the smoker and those who are subjected
to the secondhand smoke. More to the point is that to
permit the use of e-cigarettes in places currently

off limits to regular cigarettes would negate much of the hard won results of the Y and our partners across the city. It is critical to the continued success of our efforts to make NYC smoke-free that the council act to equate e-cigarettes with cigarettes and other tobacco products. Let's keep e-cigarettes with the regular cigarettes out of the workplaces and public spaces. Let's continue to keep the health and wellbeing of our children and seniors a top priority. And in the interest of full disclosure six weeks ago I buried my dad who was 65 year smoker who died as a direct result of his lifelong smoking. Thank you.

and members of the Council Committee on Health for this opportunity to speak to you on this very critical public health matter. My name is Michael Hernandez and I am the chair elect, president elect excuse me for the Public Health Association of New York City or PHANYC. PHANYC was founded in 1936 and essentially we are an organization which is bound to help protect and help educate New Yorkers on health. One of our aspects of PHANYC is that we do a very robust outreach for programs and policy awareness. And it's, that being the case we have spent many many

2	hours and many many years researching evidence based
3	material and have presented to the council in the
4	past. We cover a great deal of, of public health
5	issues which impact the metropolitan area. So as you
6	are keenly aware tobacco control has been a priority,
7	priority for us and it also serves as an opportunity
8	to help educate all New Yorkers and safeguard them
9	from the burdens of tobacco. And many of these
10	burdens are obviously preventable diseases such as
11	heart disease, cancer, stroke, and lung disease. So
12	while the city has been proactively working to
13	restrict and regulate tobacco use the tobacco
14	industry has been concurrently seeking avenues to
15	attract new smokers while keeping current smokers and
16	their, in their fold. Electronic cigarettes now
17	threaten to serve the tobacco industry's purpose.
18	Electronic cigarettes are battery powered devices
19	that provide users with vapor filled nicotine and
20	other addictives. Now two things which I just want to
21	draw to the attention of the council and also to the
22	members here. There has much discussion about the
23	difference between smoke and vapor and the
24	recognition of what is an e-cigarette versus a
25	combustible cigarette. The reality of the matter is

	COMMITTEE ON HEADIN
2	this. They may not look the same always but yet they
3	still provide an avenue for someone to get nicotine.
4	And as the gentleman from NJOY said nicotine is
5	addictive. As another gentleman with the great
6	suspenders mentioned only those individuals who are
7	prone to an addictive nature are going to succumb and
8	become addicted to nicotine. So why are we then
9	allowing an opportunity to introduce a, a product
10	that has nicotine in it to someone that may not
11	necessarily ever smoke. So that being said the use of
12	child friendly flavors should draw as an immediate
13	red flag to the industry as hoping to attract new
14	smokers while simultaneously maintain those who are
15	otherwise would be motivated to quit. So therefore we
16	strongly support that the council do accept and
17	recognize that e-cigarettes should be banned under
18	the Smoke-Free act.
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. And
20	Patrick our condolences on the death of your dad.
21	Thank you for being here. Kareem, Kona[phonetic],
22	ILONA ORSHANSKY: Ilona.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Ilona I'm sorry

that's an I got it. Okay Peter and James. James?

Kareem? That's you. Ilona? Peter? Not peter? James?

25

JAMES: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Peter? Okay so then we have Jean Lou Kallet, Jean? No? Okay. Jennay? Fowder[phonetic]? Jennay?

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Fowler. Okay. Is that

JENNAY: Fowler?

your handwriting? That's you? No, I asked her to come up. No go ahead. Join them at the panel. And then coming up Julian Caplan, Helain Brouch[phonetic] Brouch[phonetic], Barook[phonetic], Barook[phonetic]?, James Caulson. Tracktenberg is the last name I think. So, okay we'll do it again when we come... Okay guys. Begin when you're ready. I don't know if you've done this before. I think you have. Choose who goes first amongst yourselves. Identify

ILONA ORSHANSKY: My name is Ilona Orshansky I own Vapor Lounge New York in Williamsburg and I'm here to share with you my story. I was a 12 year smoker and this product definitely helped me. I no longer smoke cigarettes. I haven't smoked a cigarette in 10 months. I moved from San Francisco to provide this product to people in New York because I saw a need here. And I would just like to say that

yourself for the record and speak into the mic.

this bill would take a huge step back for New York
City and all of work that we have done to become a
smoke-free environment. Taking away something that
saves lives repeatedly because of the way it looks is
simply a form of racism and judging something by the
way it looks at first sight. And I think if we're
going to be voting because of the way something looks
that's silly and it should be disregarded. And I also
feel that as an accomplishment of me no longer
smoking conventional cigarettes it would be unfair to
make me go outside where all of the cigarette smokers
are smoking and be exposed to the secondhand smoke
that we know now is not healthy. And it wouldn't
personally drive me to smoking cigarettes again but
it would be very uncomfortable for me to have to be
put in that position. So I would really like for you
to take the opportunity to look at the research and
see what this would cause in the relationship to New
York becoming and staying a smoke-free environment.
Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

KAREEM MONTES: Okay sorry. My name's

Kareem Montes. I feel foolish because there's so many

professionals who came up before and experts. I'm

2	just like a graphic designer and photographer but
3	I've gotten involved with the vaping culture through
4	Ilona and currently for a couple of her companies.
5	And I find it to be a product that is, I would say
6	it's, it's a healthier alternative. Like I don't
7	advocate it as something to quit, some people help,
8	you know it helps them quit. I think a lot of talk
9	about the nicotine but you actually nicotine out of
10	the equation. You can actually start at a level of
11	nicotine and dial it down which I think is beneficial
12	to some people. I stopped smoking by accident. You
13	know like I, I was a smoker for about 12 years. I
14	started vaping a few months ago just as a
15	convenience. You know sometimes I'm on a set I don't
16	have to like leave and I saw someone there vaping and
17	I went and I bought like a Blu cigarette or something
18	and I liked the way I smelled afterwards, you know I
19	didn't have that breath. And everyone I know who's
20	doing it was telling me all these health
21	improvements; improved taste, improved sense of
22	smell, of course not having to leave their
23	apartments. Because even when I was a smoker I didn't
24	smoke inside my own apartment. I would like, when I
25	walk my dog smoke outside or go on the fire escape

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and smoke. Because at the end of the day the accumulation of smoke in any small space even if you do it sparingly over a number of years adds up. You know no one who smokes thinks that it's harmless or that it's not offensive. So I think vaping is a great way to be respectful to yourself, respectful to others. Even if my neighbor smokes sometimes you could go through one apartment to the other. So I definitely think it's an improvement of quality of life not just for the person vaping but for the people in their immediate environment and external environment. I also am kind of confused at the rush to do these bans on a element of, under reasons of not, lack of evidence, lack of studies. I didn't come here to plan to speak but within 10 minutes on my cell phone I found a number of studies from Drexel University, University of Ohio, The Onasis Cardiac Association, and a couple other places that did small studies that you know showed it definitely had a less, massively less impact on people who are smoking, and they use healthy subjects from age 20 to 24. And I also read the CDC information about underage smokers and of the people who did double and using electronic cigarettes about nine out of ten of

those kids were actually smoking analog cigarettes
prior to that. So it wasn't like they just picked up
an e-cigarette and started smoking. They're already
smokers who actually switched off of tobacco
cigarettes to e-cigarettes. I'm not advocating that
but at the end of the day our population is 75percent
above the age of 18. Most smokers, 80 percent of
smokers are above the age of 18. I think we have to
be mindful that while we want to take care of kids,
when you have $\frac{3}{4}$ of a population who this effects you
can't just minimalize their effects or their
liberties to help out the smaller percentage.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Who's

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Who's next?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Hi Committee Chair

Arroyo and the rest of the panel. Unfortunately I

didn't get to thank Councilman Vallone or Councilman

Rose who's district I'm in for being here and

unfortunately they can't hear me speak. My story is

simple and I'll be to the point in less than three

minutes. 28 and a half year smoker of Marlboro Red's.

I'm currently an 11 month ex-smoker as a result of

the use of the e-cigarettes. The indoor ban is

preposterous and so far as secondhand smoke as they

claim it is. It's a secondhand vapor. It's far less
dangerous than that of cigarettes as the studies have
shown. As my friend Kareem has said. There's been a
lot of professionals up here and doctors claiming
such. We need to save our children. I wish the ban
for cigarettes and tobacco products was raised to 21
as we saw the council do a few weeks ago. When I was
15 and 16 and started smoking. I'm currently 46 and
we were a little behind the times then. Now being in
a health conscious society the ban, unnecessary ban,
proposal to ban the indoor e-cigarette use is going
to be a detriment to people like myself who have many
decades unfortunately under their belt as smokers and
contribute the use of e-cigarettes to stopping. Two
points I'd like to make on a health issue. Your sense
of smell will return in several weeks. Your sense of
taste so far as food you're tasting, water, whatever
you drink, coffee comes back in several weeks. The
nauseating and horrible sounding cough that all
smokers have and they try very hard to conceal it by
going to the bathroom will cease. It does go away. E-
cigarettes are without a doubt in my own opinion one
of the greatest technological advances that this
country has seen in helping people get off

2 cigarettes. One thing that perturbed me and I'll

3 finish up by saying this. Someone had mentioned

4 | earlier, I believe it was a doctor, female doctor,

5 had mentioned the presence of formaldehyde in

6 secondhand vapor. That's not true.

JENNAY FOWLER: My name is Jennay. And I heard you say... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Your full name on the record.

JENNAY FOWLER: Jennay Fowler. I

apologize. I heard you say that you like to hear
heartfelt stories and I heard the opposition to what
we're trying to do here. I heard them speak on how
they're anecdotal. And I want to share my story
because I don't believe that it's an anecdote. For
nine years I smoked and I hated smoking. I was an
asthmatic child. My mother smoked. My grandmother
smoked. It was never a good thing in my family. It
was never glamorized. It was a horrible addiction and
I hid it from my own mother. If she would have known
she would have been very upset. She's a nurse and
through all of that I, I hid it for nine years. I
smoked unfiltered lucky strikes and I was desperate
to stop. I had to go outside. I was shunned. There

2	were boys that wouldn't date me. My teeth were
3	yellow. Because of the fact that I smoked I was not
4	active. I was almost 200 pounds and I was, I knew I
5	was killing myself, I knew I was I slowly suicidal
6	with every cigarette that I took every day and I
7	wanted to stop but I couldn't stop. And maybe that's
8	a personal weakness but that's a personal weakness
9	that I share with millions of people that are
10	addicted that need some kind of way out of this. I
11	would have never discovered the way out of it had
12	people not been allowed to do it in public. I, I
13	lived in the west and out there, there are some bans
14	granted but there are some places that's very
15	acceptable. I went to a coffee shop and I saw a group
16	of people that were using electronic cigarettes. And
17	I was like well they work for them maybe they'll work
18	for me. And I tried it before and I didn't think it
19	would work. And I only tried tobacco flavors and they
20	just, they didn't do it for me. And so I asked them
21	what they were using. I asked them how they did it
22	and they, they recommended some different flavorings
23	because I don't really like the taste of cigarettes.
24	They're, they're on fire. I don't know if you've ever
25	had a cigarette but it's awful. Like, it's, it's

probably, like go suck on an exhaust pipe. It's
terrible. But, so I found strawberry shortcake and
granted like kids like strawberry shortcake yeah
totally but so do adults. And that was the thing. I
stopped, I stopped wanting the cigarette and I
started going to that, that flavor. And because other
people were doing it that's what really gave me the
idea I could do it. And in all of that I didn't see
anyone get harmed. And I'm not a doctor and I don't
know if this will harm someone but I think that
banning it based on the idea that it could harm or
that it looks like something is one of the least
mature and un-American things we could possibly do.
So I'm appealing to your senses and I'm appealing to
your ability to help us. Because right now you are in
a position that could help us. You could stop those
people that are smoking on their kids on the way to
school. You can stop those kids from showing up in
school and smelling like smoke. I lost 50 pounds. I
can walk fast. I love my life. Please don't take it
away from me. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony.

8

10

11

UNIDENTIFIED	MALE:	Chairperson	Arroyo

3 just one more thing. If I could say six words;

4 ammonia, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide, three of the

5 4,000 chemicals in tobacco analog cigarettes.

6 Distilled water, artificial flavoring, vegetable

7 glycerin, three and potentially nicotine if you

choose so, four ingredients as opposed to 4,000. That

9 says it all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your

13 testimony. The next panel; Julian Kaplan.

14 | Koplan[phonetic]? Julian, [laughter]. Welcome Julian.

15 We've been joined by Council Member Julissa Ferreras

16 | with her little bundle. That's Julian Kaplan. Helene

17 | Barook[phonetic], James Coulson, and the last name is

18 | Trektember[phonetic] Timberburg[phonetic], Trom? I

19 ∥ think, I want to say, I think it's a J, the first

20 | name. Jorny[phonetic] if that's it. Jory[phonetic]?

21 | Jory[phonetic]? Is that a, is there, Jory[phonetic]?

22 | Is there a Jorrey[phonetic] here? No? Okay. Well okay

and then Johnson? Daniel Johnson? Nope, okay so we

have Helene[phonetic]. Turn on the mic I can't hear

5 you.

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEREDITH KUHN: My name is Meredith Kuhn.

3 Helene had to leave so I'm going to read the

4 testimony on her behalf for the public record.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I see. So we need one of these forms filled out for you as well. When you are done... [interpose]

MEREDITH KUHN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: ...give it to... James? That's you. Okay so no Julian? Okay. So give, give me a second. I just want to add a few more to the panel. Ivette Book, Bookner[phonetic], Buckner[phonetic]? Rachel Seika, and Brian Hoberman[phonetic]. Brian Hoberman[phonetic]? Ivette? Okay, okay so I think we, can I get one more? No that's it. Okay, alright, okay and I call Jean, Peter, Russel Wishtart[phonetic], hart? And Peter Denholtz[phonetic] you're up next. Please begin when you're ready.

MEREDITH KUHN: Sure. So again I'm reading this on behalf of Helene Barook[phonetic].

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Please pull the mic closer. It's hard to hear you.

MEREDITH KUHN: I'm here today to share with you a personal anecdote about my own experience of witnessing someone using an e-cigarette and the

level of confusion I fear they could have caused for
business owners if they remain unregulated. I was out
with friends over the holiday weekend when I noticed
someone near me inside the restaurant had started
smoking an e-cigarette. As someone who is passionate
about breathing clean air I politely asked if they
could refrain from using the device near me. The
response I received was an attempt to explain that
they were just breathing out vapor. Much to their
surprise I reminded them that these devices were
unregulated by the FDA and therefore we could not be
100 percent sure what they were releasing into the
air. Furthermore early studies have indicated that
possible carcinogens and other toxic chemicals are
found in their e-cigarettes. While the conversation I
had this past week was civil enough the potential is
great for confrontations to not end as well. It's not
fair for us to burden business owners with the
responsibility of deciphering if a patron is using an
e-cigarette or a regular cigarette. And lastly the
best proven method for someone to curb their
addiction is to seek approved cessation services
which New York City often provides via the Department
of Health and Mental Hygiene. By adding e-cigarettes

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to our city's Smoke-Free Air act we are able to

3 address all three concerns.

RACHEL SEIKA: My name is Rachel Seika. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to share my thoughts about the possible regulation of electronic cigarettes in New York City. As a recent graduate of the Sara Lawrence College with a master's degree in health advocacy and a Brooklyn resident the use of e-cigarettes in our city is of serious concern for me. And in my opinion is a detriment to our public health efforts. It is very important to emphasize that there is no independent peer reviewed research that confirms the claims of the e-cigarette industry. While they may believe there is a health benefit the greatest way to reduce your risk for disease is to completely quit your addiction through scientifically approved cessation therapies. As these devices are not currently regulated by the Food and Drug Administration they are able to be manufactured, used, and marketed however the industry deems fit. It is shocking as a public health professional to see celebrity endorsers featured in advertisements, on television, and glossy magazine covers. It seems to me that the e-cigarette industry has simply reversed

2	time and utilized the same marketing game plan that
3	tobacco used 50 years ago. These advertisements
4	coupled with the fruit and candy flavoring that is
5	available to use in many e-cigarette devices seems to
6	be directly targeting our young people. The recent
7	data analysis achieved by the CDC from the national
8	use tobacco survey submits these concerns. Of the
9	middle and high school students who reported having
10	used an electronic cigarette 76 percent of those
11	students also smoked a traditional cigarette in the
12	same timeline. E-cigarettes are clearly not helping
13	these young people to quit rather they are sustaining
14	nicotine addiction and possible introducing a new
15	generation to tobacco youth. E-cigarettes are
16	manufactured to often resemble traditional
17	cigarettes. From the lighted tip to the vapor that is
18	emitted the similarity can easily cause confusion for
19	any bar or restaurant owner who is rightfully trying
20	to enforce the existing Smoke-Free Air act. The
21	proposal being considered today would simply create a
22	level playing field among legal addictive products
23	whether they possess tobacco or just nicotine. Many
24	of these businesses should already be accustomed to
25	our tobacco control policies as Altria the owner of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Philip Morris, Lorillard and Reynolds American are

3 all investing heavily in e-cigarette manufacturing.

4 By adding e-cigarettes to the list of products

5 restricted by the New York City Smoke-Free Air act we

6 can once again prioritize our health over the

7 businesses of the tobacco industry. I look forward to

8 your support of this proposed regulation.

JAMES COULSON: Hi my name is James Coulson. I came here today because I believe ecigarettes are an amazing tool. If you want to wean yourself off some of the negative effects of tobacco I think it's very useful. And if you're really interested in taking society from smokers to something that is much better I think definitely should not be, a law should not be enacted that cuts it out like a scalpel. It needs to be much more refined than that. I think, I think there's a way for things to get along without you know rushing to judgment. And I believe in a lot of the people here today in the community that I think are good people and they're trying to do better with their lives. And I think that's what government should be about. And I don't want to cause headaches or problem for other people but I definitely don't think it's come

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	anywhere near to a huge issue and I think it for now
3	should definitely be left alone. That's all I have
4	for now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you we put you in a panel in favor of the legislation. But you're in favor of the e-cigarette. For the record. Thank you. Brian Altham. Again Jean Louis Callot, Russell Wishart[phonetic], tart, tarp, Peter Denholtz[phonetic] and I have two sheets for him. Peter? Is there a Peter? Okay so he's not here. Okay so we have to... So we have Brian Russell and Jean?, no Jean Louis Glu[phonetic]? No, I need two more yeah. Jason Formot[phonetic] Formant[phonetic] mont... Is that Jason? Yes, okay. And we have Steven Rodriguez? Steven Rodriguez, Jay Rosenberg, Jay? Bradley Rinehart? Come on up. And coming up panel; he's worried about his wife he's not paying attention. Sorry. Thank you Dan. Okay coming up Anna Garpone[phonetic]. Anna is here. William Friedman. William? Aaron Fisher, and Maureen, Mary Ann Blank, Blankensop? Good? Okay we have a full panel on deck. Thank you. Okay begin when you're ready.

BRIAN ALTHAM: Good morning everyone. I am a freshman at Pace University right across the

2	street. So it's very good to come here. It's a good
3	location. I'm Brian Altham. I would like to present
4	my case against the banning of e-cigarettes in public
5	places. Section one of this proposed bill concludes
6	with; the council therefore finds that prohibiting
7	the use of electronic cigarettes in public places
8	will facilitate, oh I'm sorry, it will protect the
9	health of the citizens of New York facilitate
10	enforcement of the Smoke-Free Air act and protect the
11	youth from observant behavior that encourage them to
12	smoke. Out of these three points I feel as if the
13	first two were very well covered by everyone else who
14	presented. Everyone presented very good arguments. So
15	I'd like to focus on youth observing the behaviors
16	and encouraging them to smoke. The first thing I'd
17	like to touch upon is the candy flavors and fruit
18	flavors that everyone has talked about. I would like
19	to say as an adult that I enjoy the taste of Peachie-
20	O's the candy and that is why I'm currently vaping.
21	Most of us vapers we don't like the taste of tobacco.
22	That is one of the reasons why we quit, it's
23	disgusting and it's horrible to sit near people who
24	are smoking. The smell is nauseating and I feel as
25	if, if I could only get tobacco flavors it would just

2	be a very unpleasant experience. It's good to have
3	options and it's a good way to quit. I'd also like to
4	address what has been brought up about using e-
5	cigarettes in public high schools. I understand that
6	Connecticut state laws are different but the high
7	school that I went to kids were heavily punished for
8	using e-cigarettes and of course that is at the
9	discretion of school officials. I think it's a little
10	silly to enact an entire citywide ban on a problem in
11	schools that could be solved by school administrators
12	and other people in the education system. Let's see
13	here. Finally I feel as though that if we're
14	protecting children from using e-cigarettes there are
15	a lot of great parents in New York City. I've met a
16	lot of great parents, parent of my friends, parents
17	of people I've met in different places, and my own
18	parents are great parents and they're very concerned
19	about my health and very concerned about their
20	children's health and parents will sit down with
21	their children and explain to them that e-cigarettes
22	are not the perfect thing. Nicotine is still
23	addictive. So I feel as if this bill is, is very
24	powerful, very heavy handed bill and unnecessary
25	because that can be solved by simply school

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 administrators changing how they run their schools

3 and parents having one on one heartfelt conversations

4 with their kids. Thank you.

RUSSELL WISHTART: Madam Chair. It does not appear that Councilman Gennaro is here but I have an important note for him. TVECA founders Ray Story and Tom Kiklas have emailed a statement to legislative council Ms. Taylor making clear that TVECA does not support banning e-cigarette use where smoking is banned. Furthermore they will be glad to forward you the contact information for the AP reporter so you can confirm that they did not say that they support your bill. Madam Chair please do pass along that message. I think there's a lot of confusion here today on a lot of different things. The kids argument keeps coming up. Protecting children from e-cigarettes. New York state has already made it illegal for anyone under the age of 18 to buy e-cigarettes. New York, the New York City Council has recently passed legislation or it's soon to pass prohibiting e-cigarettes for anyone 18, 19, or 21 in, I'm sorry Brian you won't be able to buy ecigarettes soon in New York anymore. These are the people you can thank for that. So this is not about

2	children. I don't know why we keep talking about it.
3	There's been too much legislation on that already.
4	It's not about exhaled vapor being dangerous or safe.
5	That's from the mouth of Health Commissioner Thomas
6	Farley. It's about potential confusion in enforcing
7	the existing smoking laws. We heard from the
8	businesses who told you explicitly they are not
9	having any problems. Generally what happens in an
10	establishment is they either allow e-cigarette usage,
11	they decide not to allow e-cigarette usage or the
12	most often thing that they do is say sure as long as
13	nobody minds go right ahead. This is simple common
14	sense that I implore the Health Committee to employ.
15	The majority of vapers as you probably already know
16	are extremely active single issue voters. What we
17	want to do today is make friends with you. We would
18	like to aggressively support any candidate who votes
19	for our rights. The last thing that I'm a little bit
20	confused about that I gave to all the members of the
21	press who were here earlier is I just can't figure
22	out the difference in, of what was said to us in, in
23	May of this year 'till now. It's, I find it
24	disturbing to be quite honest with you. There's a
25	document that details that which you can view at

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bit(dot)ly9(forward slash)NYCECIG. Again it's the
Bitly Links shortner bit(dash)ly(forward
slash)nycecig. Thanks for your time.

JASON FORMOT: Chairman Arroyo. My name is Jason Formot[phonetic]. I'm here to oppose the legislation on the public usage of e-cigarettes. I am for one who have been a smoker for 16 years and just recently now stopped four months ago by using these devices. Not to mention though too I've had family members of my own pass away recently because of regular cigarette usage and everybody else sitting here saying you know there's, four, 4,000 different type of chemicals and carcinogens in regular cigarettes that are, that people are dying from it every single day, over half a million Americans they say either from emphysema for heart disease or which have you. But there's not one bit of evidence that shows that e-cigarettes are actually harmful. It says hey this is what's in it. That's what's in it. People have come in here and said that oh well there's these chemicals. Well who's making the liquid for these people to sit there and, and put a bad taste in everybody's mouth if I may. A side to that though too even for the fact if, if it did pass imagine all the

2	jobs that have been created through this industry at
3	the same time. It's not so much per say saying that
4	if you go into an establishment, a bar for instance
5	and promote the, not to promote the use of it but to
6	know that you can use it. And you're bringing
7	business and money into that establishment at the
8	same time by having that freedom. And then now all of
9	a sudden restricting that and then taking it away
10	from the people when they should have the god given
11	right to make that decision for themselves whether or
12	not that they're 18, 19, 20 years old. Don't get me
13	wrong. I support the fact that being 21 to buy
14	cigarettes one thing granted. But if you're going to
15	sit there and cut it all out you're cutting the very
16	fabric of free will. And that's who we are as
17	Americans and people should have that right
18	regardless of the fact. And when I go into an
19	establishment I sit there and ask; do you guys allow
20	vaporizers or vapers or any type of e-cigarette or
21	device at that fact. And they say either yes or no.
22	that's the only thing that stops people like myself
23	and others that actually use these devices from using
24	it into a public facility. At least I am respectful
25	and I ask and I just want you to know it's not great

with what you people do in trying to overregulate it.That's all. Thank you.

BRADLEY RINEHART: Good afternoon everyone. Happy holidays. Thank you for being here and taking the time to listen to the points of view of the constituents. I'd like to open with a quote from a great American Author.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Say your name for the record please.

BRADLEY RINEHART: Bradly Rinehart. Here's the quote. Giving up smoking is the easiest thing in the world. I know because I've done it thousands of times. It's by Mark Twain who was alive from the year 1835 until 1910. I'm a third generation New Yorker born and raised, proud to be one. And I'm a member of the New York State Bar. I'm attorney and a serial high technology entrepreneur. My adventures have created jobs that include both smokers and nonsmokers very very smart people. And I'd really like to thank the council for doing what you do. You know as civil servants you know my hat's off to you. It's been an extraordinary 12 years in the Bloomberg Administration. You know my father you know he's a doctor a ENT and sits on Mayor Bloomberg's September

2	11 th Health and Advisory panel which helped survivors
3	and NYC rescue workers from 9/11 with respiratory and
4	breathing problems. He's on the panel. And he
5	couldn't be here today unfortunately. He's currently
6	Chief Medical Officer of the New York Department of
7	Corrections as well. And has been in law enforcement
8	as Chief Surgeon of the NYPD over the past 30 years.
9	And I, I, I was sitting here today you know
10	there's option A here you're giving us to you know
11	take a wonderful piece of legislation which is a
12	Smoke-Free Air act and add e-cigarettes to it. And
13	then there's option B which is to not do that. I'm
14	not here to advocate either of those positions. What
15	I'm so proud of with the Bloomberg administration is,
16	is what I've seen. When I, when I went to law school
17	and I moved back to New York you know my, my father
18	had, was a first responder at, at, at 9/11 and set up
19	all the triage centers for ENT for all the rescue
20	workers, cops, and firefighters to clean out their
21	respiratory tract and their nose and their eyes as
22	they were going into ground zero. And he won the New
23	York Post first annual lifetime achievement liberty
24	medal presented by Mayor Bloomberg in 2002 for that.
25	T was very proud of that so when I gone into all the

law schools I chose to go to New York Law School
which is in the neighborhood here because of its
proximity to ground zero. And when I moved I moved
right into this 365 South End Avenue right in,
Battery Park right across the street from ground zero
on purpose and I lived in a, in a building so that
every morning when I woke up to go to class I'd walk
over ground zero. I wouldn't forget. And this is a
year later. I'm almost done. But you know now that 12
years later the building is built you know New York
City's a beacon for the rest of the world no matter
what jurisdictions have legislated this in the past.
The legislation here you know this is a precedent.
It's New York City and what you guys do is so
important and you know Mayor Bloomberg was a
technology entrepreneur and we're building a campus
in Cornell [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Please wrap up.

BRADLEY RINEHART: Basically the ecigarette is a revolutionary device in my opinion like the personal computer. You know 130 years ago the light bulb was patented. That's it. Six billion years before that there was darkness or candlelight for innovation. We've made so much progress in the

past 100 years. You know Mark Twain that's, you have to use a candle or light a combustible cigarette.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Wrap up.

BRADLEY RINEHART: This is the future. You know strongly oppose the legislation I guess.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

BRADLEY RINEHART: Thank you guys. I appreciate.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony.

RUSSELL WISHTART: I apologize to the, to Madam Chair I, I'm being told I forgot to state my name for the record. My name is Russell Wishtart[phonetic]. I live in Manhattan and I oppose this legislation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Oh my goodness. I
thought I heard you say it. Okay. Thank you for your
testimony. Our next panel Mary Ann Blankensop. Mary
Ann? Bradley? Oh, I mixed them up okay. Yeah... Ann,
Anna? Anna? Ah sorry. William and Aaron. Aaron?
That's you okay. And the next panel Hemsay[phonetic]
Sema[phonetic], Suman[phonetic], Randy
Crensedo[phonetic], Cren, Crendico[phonetic],

Crendico[phonetic], Crennacle okay. Is he? Okay.

2	Cyrus Sulliman[phonetic]? Okay Cyrus. Hamsa[phonetic]
3	are you here? Randy is not here. Okay I'm sorry. Give
4	me one second. Henrey[phonetic]? Betsy[phonetic]
5	Longs? Betsey[phonetic] or Betrey[phonetic] Betty
6	Longs? Jared no last name, Andrew Martin. Okay you're
7	on the next panel so just stand by. Christopher
8	Micovitz, bitz? Okay John Urban. John? Okay you guys
9	are up on the next panel. Okay so we have These guys
10	are not answering. Okay William. Okay not I just want
11	to make sure I have the right… [laughter] Getting a
12	little confused here but thank you for your patience.
13	State your name for the record. And you may begin
14	when you're ready.

ANNA GRAPONE: Hi, good afternoon everybody. My name is Anna Grapone[phonetic]. I live in Queens New York too big of a loan had to leave.

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I'm sorry give me...
Start the clock again. I'm sorry.

ANNA GRAPONE: My name Anna
Grapone[phonetic]. I live in Queens. The story of
Queens and unfortunately Vallone had to leave but I
smoked for 30 years. I grew up in a household full of
smokers my parents, my relatives, I picked up the

2	habit when I was a teenager and by the time I stopped
3	smoking conventional cigarettes I was up to about a
4	pack and a half a day. Earlier in 2012 I was
5	diagnosed with Hodgkin's Lymphoma which is a cancer
6	of the lymph nodes. So naturally you get that kind of
7	news you got to make changes in your life. So I
8	totally abandoned conventional cigarettes and then I
9	started going for the electronic smoking devices such
10	as the vaporizer. And I had spoken to my oncologist
11	telling him that I went from electronic cigarette,
12	from regular cigarettes to electric cigarettes and he
13	said that the electronic smoking devices were fine
14	and he's an oncologist. So I went through six months
15	of chemotherapy and I also went for my routine pet
16	scans and cat scans. I started my chemotherapy around
17	the beginning of August. And then around the
18	beginning of April I was, I went, I completed all
19	the, all the ABVD treatments that I had to deal with.
20	And then I continued going for pet, routine pet scans
21	every three months. So right now as we speak my last
22	pet scan and cat scan were in early September and so
23	far I'm in remission. And I'm glad to be in remission
24	and then in the meantime I totally abandoned
25	cigarettes right before I, right around the time I

б

was diagnosed and I've been vaping religiously since
then. Now also I do, I do, I am in favor of the clean
indoor act but then you have people like me who like
to go out and socialize and do so in restaurants and
bars. I honestly do not want to be out on the street
vaping my vaporizer and breathing in secondhand smoke
from the smokers that are out there puffing away. I
mean I feel that their secondhand smoke is putting my
health at risk. Okay. And as for the nicotine and
everybody's like gung-ho about nicotine you, there
are different levels of nicotine in the e-juices that
you buy. So not only did I reform from smoking I am
also bringing down my levels of nicotine. I could
even go down to a point, like to a zero nicotine
level. Right now I'm at a 16. I went from 24 to 18 to
16 and I could easily, at my own pace bring myself
down to a, a zero. That's all I have to say but I'm,
I'm opposed to the e-cigarette ban. Thank you.

WILLIAM FRIEDMAN: Good afternoon. My name is William Friedman and I'm a New York City carpenter, I'm a union carpenter and I work all out, all over the city in many different buildings. Fear, uncertainty, and confusion are not reasons to make a law. The Smoke-Free Indoor Air act did not pass

because of fear, uncertainty, and confusion. It
passed because it met a burden of proof. If you ask
yourself if this bill meets the same burden of proof
I think you'll find it doesn't. Therefore I see no
choice but for you to reject this bill. It does not
meet the same burden of proof that was established
when the law was created. The, the experts have all
admitted that they do not have any evidence to show
that secondhand vapor is harmful in any way. The
motivation for this seems to be based around the
difficulties that it's going to create and policing
the existing law. Well my job is difficult too but we
don't make laws to make it easier. You just figure
out how to deal with it and you deal with it. So I'm
sorry if the job has become a little bit more
difficult to do but that's just the price of
progress. You know aside from that stuff I want to
share a little personal information. And that is in
April of this year I lost my mother to lung cancer
and COPD. I've been using an electronic cigarette
since January of 2009 and I recognized very quickly
the health benefits that I was feeling. And I tried
numerous times, numerous times I tried to get my
mother to convert to an electronic cigarette. I was

never able to do that. The reason for that was
because of the rederick that she hears in opposition
to electronic cigarettes. This bill is being added
into a public health law. There is no foundation in
health. If it's added into the public health law it's
going to say to the public that it's not healthy. And
this is what they're going to believe. If we want a
law that's going to prohibit the use of electronic
cigarettes to de-normalize smoking then we should be
sitting here talking about a bill to prohibit
electronic cigarettes to de-normalize smoking not a
bill to prohibit electronic cigarettes under the
guise of public health that's demeaning to the entire
public health system. That's all I have to say. Thank
you.

AARON FISHER: My name is Aaron Fisher. I
am a teacher and I want to share a story. So when I
was 13 years old I started smoking for the same
reason that every teenager starts smoking primarily
because they were told not to. And that's why every
teenager picks up a cigarette. It's the same reason
every teenager has ever picked up a cigarette. I
smoked for about 20 years. During those 20 years I
must have quit dozens of times. I lost my grandmother

2	to smoking related illness. My father has COPD. About
3	eight months ago I picked up a vaporizer because I
4	could take it inside. I could use it inside of
5	establishments. I'd be able to use it pretty much
6	anywhere. And you know what it didn't taste like a
7	cigarette and I wouldn't stink. I had no interest or
8	no consideration and no intention of quitting smoking
9	cigarettes but after about a month I realized I
10	hadn't had a cigarette in four days and I said to
11	myself well maybe I don't need to have a cigarette.
12	Then a week went by and I continued to use a
13	vaporizer. And I was at a party, a friends' going
14	away party and we were outside and everyone was
15	having a cigarette so I said hey can I have one of
16	those. And I tried it and it was the most disgusting
17	thing I had ever put to my face and I threw it away.
18	It was terrible. And that was a little more than six
19	months ago now. And that's where I'm at and that's
20	cigarettes for me. The fact that we have children in
21	schools with e-cigarettes offends me and I find it
22	disgusting. I agree that e-cigarettes should be
23	banned in and around school and childcare facilities.
24	I think that the administrators of that school that
25	we heard about earlier should not have jobs because

my taxes pay for them to work and it disgusts me. As
far as addressing the concerns of inside of a
business smoke stinks. These might smell for a few
seconds but a cigarette will cut straight through it.
The additives in cigarettes increase the addictivity.
The EU studies that currently exist state that of the
600 additives 100 of them directly affect when burned
the addictivity of nicotine. And many articles point
out that you will not find anyone with enthusiasm for
their gum or their patch the way that people feel
about their vaporizers. And as far as that exploding
battery goes for anyone who cares and doesn't already
know. Yeah it happened but that's what happens when
you plug a battery without a regulator into a charger
that's five times more powerful than the battery can
use.

Good afternoon. My name is Mary Ann
Blankensop. I am a mother and a lifelong city
resident. I was a heavy smoker for 47 years. After I
first vaped an electronic cigarette I quit smoking.
Although so-called vapers like me are using this
technique of nicotine delivery with positive dramatic
results there exists a fear of vaping because it
nearly resembles cigarette smoking. I'm here to extol

2	the benefits of vaping and to express my desire to
3	have an informed conversation so we can move forward
4	with reasonable legislation. That can only happen if
5	legislators accept that vaping is a new innovative
6	activity with a very real potential to eliminate
7	cigarette smoking. Vaping was invented in 2003 by a
8	Chinese pharmacist who was motivated by his father's
9	death from lung cancer. We are in the 21 st century
10	and should embrace modern technology as it relates to
11	e-cigarettes. It's troubling to me that New York, a
12	world leader is not responding to this technology
13	with enthusiasm but instead is seeking to penalize
14	vapers based on unsubstantiated fears. The
15	conversation should be about adopting a new mindset
16	and vocabulary much like London Heathrow Airport did
17	just last week when they opened a vaping zone in one
18	of their departure areas. Vapers now have a choice to
19	replace government endorsed ineffective methods of
20	nicotine delivery patches, gum, inhalers, nasal
21	spray, lozenges, drugs with devastating side effects.
22	I tried them all without success. It was an
23	electronic cigarette that enables me to quit smoking.
24	Unless and until vaping is viewed as a different
25	activity from smoking people will continue to die

from inhaling the thousands of carcinogens in
cigarettes. Vaping should be recognized and regulated
as a helpful aid for those who are addicted to
nicotine. Despite vapers testimony before the city
council on May 2 nd of this year some legislators
still want to treat vaping as smoking. But evidence
to date shows no harm to users or bystanders from
vapor in e-cigarettes. Fear based premature
legislation that forces millions of vapers into
smoking areas to inhale secondhand smoke is harmful,
unfair, and unethical. Smoking kills and that is
precisely why we vapers stop smoking. If you pass
this legislation you will be responsible for forcing
me and many other nonsmokers who vape to breathe
deadly secondhand smoke. I therefore urge you to vote
no on the e-cigarette usage ban because it is
misguided and deceitful to claim that e-cigarette
usage is smoking. After 47 years of smoking I know
what smoking is and vaping is not smoking. Thank you
for the opportunity to get this off my uncongested
chest.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your testimony all of you. Okay Cyrus, come on up, Andrew Martin, Christopher, I had a full panel I thought,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Christopher? He's here? Okay, and John Urban. John?

Is coming. Okay. On deck Antoinette Lanzo[phonetic],

Shequana[phonetic], Shequana[phonetic] Blake, Blake

are you here? Okay, Benjamin Clark, Benjamin, Gabriel

Sanchez, Roman, Roman Ticnova[phonetic], no, okay.

Phillip Resenem[phonetic]. That's you? Okay you guys

are up next. State your name for the record. Begin

when you're ready.

ANDREW MARTIN: Hello, I'd like to thank the council for recognizing me. Madam Chair, esteemed members of the council my name is Andrew Martin. I am 18 years old. I am an ex-smoker and I use portable nicotine vaporizers to control my nicotine cravings. At age 16 despite prohibition might I add I bought my first pack of cigarettes on the upper east side. I paid the prohibitive local taxes and that pack cost me 13 dollars. I chose to continue to smoke for some time and now two years later I've chosen to quit. Like countless others I found this to be a daunting task but thanks to e-cigarettes I'm successfully remaining smoke-free. I'd like to, I haven't had a puff of this in about two days. So I've been waiting for a while. What I just inhaled was vapor containing water, propylene glycol, glycerol, nicotine, and food

glade, food grade flavorings. Every one of those
ingredients may be found in typical nicotine gum.
What's more all those ingredients are found in
tobacco smoke from typical cigarettes alongside
dozens of known carcinogens, allergens, and irritants
as well as drugs other than nicotine which act
synergistically to produce addiction. I'm going to
skip this whole regulation thing because I'm on a
time crunch. Unlike cigarettes e-cigs produce no side
stream emissions. They produce only mainstream smoke
and when the mainstream smoke is inhaled minimal
secondhand vapor is produced upon exhalation. Very
importantly to me though they have been instrumental
for me to stay smoke-free. E-cigarettes are orders of
magnitude safer than tobacco no matter what kind of
fear, uncertainty and doubt these radicals are going
to try to convince the council of. They are not twice
as dangerous as Russian roulette. They are not, there
is no way that one pack a day is no better than two
packs a day of cigarettes. These people would try to
convince you to ban penicillin, insulin, indoor
plumbing, and sunlight if they thought there was
grant money in it.

2	ANDREW MARTIN: E-cigarettes do not harm
3	the people surrounding the user. I beg of this body
4	with great urgency to refrain from enacting another
5	pointless, costly, ineffective overreach of the city
6	government's innumerated powers. I, I'd like to take
7	this time to just mention a few of the other
8	chemicals found in cigarettes which are not found in
9	in vaporizers ever. These include cotinine,
10	anabatine, anabasine, harmine, harmaline,
11	tetrahydroharmine. These are very powerful drugs.
12	They also include nitronic nicotine,
13	nitrosonornicotine and other, tobacco specific
14	nitrosamines none of which are found in e-cigarettes
15	They also include polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
16	other potent carcinogens. There are dozens of known
17	carcinogens in tobacco smoke. There are zero and I
18	yield back the balance my time thank you.
19	CHRISTOPHER MICOVITZ: Good afternoon

CHRISTOPHER MICOVITZ: Good afternoon

Madam Chair and the committee. My name is Christopher

Micovitz. I live in New York. I own, co-own and

operate an electronic cigarette manufacturing,

actually we manufacture parts and accessories for the

electronic cigarette industry in New York and

distribute them globally. This industry that we came

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into, I was a carpenter with a 25 year smoking addiction. I spent probably ten years of that addiction trying to quit and I finally gave up trying to quit. I had heard in the 80s about this mystery device called the smokeless cigarette or you know it didn't come much to light until for me until 2009 personal vaporizer and e-ciq. I bought it and it, it worked. It worked better than anything else I tried but it broke so I fixed it. It worked better after I fixed it. I noticed that when people got into this industry in the very beginning the devices were very weak and you know the, the liquids that were in them weren't very good. So everyone started taking them apart and rebuilding them. Essentially what happened is this industry was built by victims. That, the technology that we use in electronic cigarettes was all designed by victims of tobacco. We forgot about that. These weren't designed just to make millions of dollars off of. These weren't designed by the tobacco company. These weren't designed by a pharmaceutical company. These were designed by the victims of big pharmaceutical companies that had failed medications that didn't work for us. They, this was built by the failure and by, this was built by the... Whoo!

[laughter]. Sorry. We're the victims. And I started
my company because I was a victim and I'm building
this company as a victim. And all of my customers are
victims and this law is doing nothing but calling it
bad and putting it back to where the tobacco
companies would love it to be. They want it to be
called tobacco because then they're going to still
make their money back. It wasn't until this past year
that a tobacco company actually purchased an e-cig
company. It wasn't until the, the companies and then
like myself and then small mom and pop shops that
started in 2009 and on started taking money away from
the tobacco companies when they got involved. They
wanted nothing to do with this. None of them. So I
ask you please to think about this bill. It is taking
it away from the people that created this industry
and just putting it back in the hands of the people
that created the problem in the beginning. Thank you.

CYRUS SULLIMAN: Hello my name is Cyrus
Sulliman[phonetic]. And I'm not as prepared as
everyone else is. I notice that everyone has some
sort of thing written down or typed out. Someone just
convinced me to come here a few days ago. And as you
can tell I don't have a button up shirt like the rest

2 of them. I'm actually wearing my pajamas under this 3 leather jacket and I usually wake up at 12 but I woke 4 up at seven today because this is something that's very important to me. I've been smoking for ten 5 years, oh, was smoking for ten years. I started when 6 7 I was 15 and I kept on trying to quit and it was something kind of oddly. My mother actually didn't 8 9 tell me to stop doing because she felt that whatever 10 I needed to do to get over my problems. I am an 11 artist. Whatever I need to do to get over my problems 12 I should do so she made me an ashtray and etcetera and etcetera. But my friends felt differently. And I 13 14 actually really didn't care until someone bought me 15 an electronic cigarette for my birthday last year. 16 And I decided to try it out because hey why not it 17 was cheaper, it tasted better, I didn't smell bad. 18 And I kept on using it and eventually I liked it 19 better than regular cigarettes. And I wanted to go 20 back because honestly I felt like I looked pretty cool and all of my peers and art community thought I 21 22 looked really dumb not smoking a cigarette. And when 2.3 I tried to smoke a cigarette I vomited so there's 24 that. I think that might be almost all I have to say other than I can run three miles now without getting 25

of cool. Alright I think that's it.

tired. I work out five days a week as opposed to once every three months. I have gained 40 pounds in muscle. I used to be a hundred pounds and now I'm 140 pounds. It's actually caused me to eat healthier as well because now I feel good and there was one other thing I wanted to mention. Oh yeah I wish that those NJOY guys and the Blu guys and the Logic guys were still here because I felt like I was in a room full of celebrities so if anyone knows them can you pass the word along that I'm a little star struck because I see your adds all over New York City and it's kind

JOHN URBAN: Hi my name is John Urban.

I'll try to keep it brief. I'm not going to give you my sob story about how long I smoked or try to state a bunch of facts. What I would like to point out though is that we've been discussing this indoor ban and I'd just like to know how exactly the council expects this to be enforced. I'm from Suffolk County and there's a law that's been in place for a few years already and every day when I go out I see people using these in public places. It is not being enforced because although you've seen some people in this room blowing out vapor there are many of us that

you.

have not been exhaling any vapor. If I hold this up to my lips and take a, take a puff for a few seconds, hold it in, when I exhale nothing comes out. So if I were to be in a public restaurant and someone sees me with this up to my lips am I going to get fines for that because I'm not necessarily using it. I may be chewing on it as if it was a pen or just nervous habit. That's really all I have to say. I would really like to know how this would be enforced. Thank

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your testimony.

JOHN URBAN: If I might briefly. I, I really just trying to speed through this but I have plenty to say and I employ you to ask any questions you may have.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay thank you. Thank you. Antoinette? Shakona? Gabrial and Phillip. This is a panel. Okay and coming up next we have Laysel Gardner, Nicholas Cruze[phonetic], Nicholas, Liase[phonetic], Lazel[phonetic], Leezel[phonetic], Lazel[phonetic], Lazel[phonetic], Lazel[phonetic], Linayel[phonetic]

Jacobson, Lenaya? No? Yes you're here? No? Okay. Why
don't you join this panel? Come, come on up join this
panel. I'm going to go through some names that I did
not get a response from. Again Lenaya. Oh that's you
okay so she belongs here. Ramone, Roman
Ticonbo[phonetic] No? Benderman[phonetic] Clark?
Okay. And then after this panel we have
Elaine[phonetic] Spinner. Elaine? So you're up next.
If I have not called your name and you want to
testify that means we didn't get one of those slips
of paper. So if you are here and want to testify make
sure you see the sergeant at the desk here so we
don't miss you. Okay begin when you're ready.

[pause]

GABRIEL SANCHEZ: Good afternoon Madam
Chairperson and City Council members. My name is
Gabriel Sanchez and I oppose the proposed indoor ban.
The e-cigarette industry has saved my life. E-cigs
assisted myself and those around me. Last month I was
gainfully employed part time in the e-cig business at
a time when I was one of 400 thousand furloughed
employees due to the government shut down. Since my
time working I have witnessed numerous customers
adopt the vaping experience. The expressions on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consumers' faces when they find that there is an alternative to smoking is priceless and it makes my job worthwhile. The product is customizable to include zero milligrams of nicotine so that nonsmokers who have never smoked before such as my girlfriend can taste and enjoy the vaping experience as well. Due to the nature of a small e-cigarette business that sells essentially a product and a service customers currently sample the product at a store. Banning indoor use threatens this current business model. It jeopardizes small businesses and impedes a growing business sector. As a tax payer and as someone who works for the taxpayer it is outrageous and unacceptable to observe legitimate small businesses going under due to policy changes which ultimately takes away tax dollar revenue that benefits our great country. On a personal level on January 1st, 2011 my older brother who is now 40 years old quit smoking. The year before his doctor told him that his lungs were in bad shape and instructed him to quit smoking. My brother took up vaping. When he did his yearly physical the next year the doctor was stunned at his lung recovery. It turns out that the vapor acted similar to steam in a sauna.

2 I

3

4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It cleaned his lungs of the tar, formaldehyde, urea, and fiberglass found in traditional cigarettes. The doctor told him simply keep doing what you're doing. That's all I have thank you for listening.

ANTOINETTE LONZA: Good afternoon. My name

is Antoinette Lonza. I wanted to thank you for staying and hearing everybody speak. I appreciate that. I've lived in New York City for 15 years. I was also a smoker for over 15 years. I've watched New York City change. I was here when the original indoor smoking ban happened. I didn't quit then. I finally made the switch to e-cigs in 2009 and I vape only fruit flavors which I enjoy and I'm 33 years old. [laughter] I've watched New York City become more environmently[phonetic], environmentally friendly. Taxis are moving toward electric cars. City bikes have been added and lots of other great things. Now I ask you to imagine for a moment New York City without cigarette butts, without fowl wafts of smoke. Imagine a city clear of all of this replaced by noncombustible vapor similar to cars being replaced by the city bikes and taxis being made to be electric. This industry is capable of achieving that.

Statistically speaking that could happen in the next

decade. Why now is this innovative revolutionary
technology not being embraced by the City of New
York? Banning out of fear and not facts is that
really in the public's best interest? Any study can
be quoted to benefit either side. I encourage all the
council members to read the complete studies that
have been submitted. Did the CDC say e-cig use has
increased in children? Yes they did. But what of
percentage of children who statistically start
smoking or smoke real cigarettes already. Not to
mention your new age regulation that's being, that's
been passed, or being passed. Those laws are in place
to keep the children away from things. Parenting,
mentoring, regulation to keep things out of
children's hands. New York City should consider
adopting a smoke-free, vapor-friendly environment for
adult smokers instead of demonizing the idea.
Education instead of demonization and regulation. For
the record I'd also like to mention that the top two
companies in the USA with over 50 percent of the
market share NJOY and Logic have no affiliation with
big tobacco. Neither das CASSA an organization
mentioned earlier to say to be backed by big tobacco.
They don't even like to take money from non-hig

tobacco vendors. They are a consumer organization run
by strictly consumers and users of the product. I'd
also love to know who is funding the spokespeople in
favor of this ban. Big pharmaceutical perhaps?
Chantix alone recently sited to have led to over 500
suicides. It's a multibillion dollar industry for
Pfizer and that's not even including the patch, the
gums, and the lozengers[phonetic] which also come in
fruit flavors. Surprising that they would be in favor
of this ban isn't it? Working and taking up their
market share with a product that's actually working
and taking up their market share. I'll close with an
Aflac duck. If it looks like a new innovation and
acts like a life changing technology then that can
change the world why not treat it like that. The
cellphone was confusing first too for first time
users. iPhone and the, was to backberry[phonetic]
users. Society will adapt. There are ways to regulate
this product without lumping it into a category that
has been around for hundreds of years. Just like you
would not regulate emissions [interpose]

ANTOINETTE LONZA: ...of electric cars the same way you would a gasoline engine. They look

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Antoinette.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 exactly the same but one has the opportunity to save 3 the world.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

ANTOINETTE LONZA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

SHEQUANA BLAKE: Thank you. My name's Shequana Blake and I took my glasses off one because when I can't see people I'm a little less nervous and two I just want you to get a good look if you can at my face. As you can see there's some scars there and that's actually from 17 years of smoking and being allergic to them, severely allergic to them and not knowing and just simply thinking oh God my face is falling off. So I'm here to oppose this legislation simple, simply for the fact that I don't want to be pushed outside around smoke again. It took me 17 years of suffering and two years of fighting and trying really hard to stay away from cigarettes to get where I am and I just don't want to have that victory taken away from me. And I'm sure vape, other vapers like me, and ex-smokers like me don't want to feel that way. That's all. Thank you.

PHILLIP ROSEMAN: My name is Phillip

Roseman. I'm the co-owner of Vape New York. I stand

In my store every day and I hear the stories of
hundreds of New Yorkers who were unsuccessful in
getting away from cigarettes. Most of them after
multiple attempts. I've talked to office workers who
work in the skyscrapers who tell me their
productivity's gotten much better now that they don't
have to wait for elevators to get downstairs and
outside, have their cigarette, and head back up to
their offices. I've talked to police, fire, MTA
workers, sanitation workers all of which need to
share vehicles and don't want their vehicles to smell
like smoke. I've also talked to new mothers who were
smokers and after they had their babies didn't want
to go back to smoking cigarettes and were looking for
another option. Yes we do sell flavor liquids in our
store not unlike Stoli vanilla and Stoli melon but
only to people who are over the age of 18. Our
industry has been self-regulating from the very
beginning and we'll continue to be so. And the
devices that we sell will never be confused with a
cigarette. They all look absolutely ridiculous is
what it comes down to. I ask to reject this proposal
on behalf of my customers who couldn't be here today
and myself.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LENAYA JACOBSON: My name is Lenaya Jacobson. I'm in opposition to the bill. Just going to jump right in. First talking about how the ecigarettes are an avenue to nicotine also are the patches, the gum, the nicotine inhaler. I mean why is it okay to blow a nicotine inhaler in the are but it, it's not okay to use an e-cigarette? And why is it okay to you know be addicted to the patches of the gum for years and years and years. I mean how long has Obama been chewing that Nicorette gum. It's been like six years. He's still chewing it. Talking about them exploding you know cell phones and other lithium battery products have been known to explode occasionally. And speaking of science cell phones might cause brain cancer. I mean we just don't know yet. People haven't been using them long enough. So shouldn't we ban cell phones too? I mean all the scientific evidence so far says they probably don't cause brain cancer but so far all the evidence says e-cigarettes don't cause problems eight. So shouldn't we ban both just to make sure. And speaking of the science there's been a lot of talk about the tobacco specific nitrosamines and the formaldehyde you know saying well we don't know what's in things. We don't

know if it's harmful. Well actually we do know what's
in them. And we do know that it's not harmful. We
know what's in them and we know that it's not harmful
in the trace amounts that you know a secondhand vapor
is going to be breathing in. About kids using them.
Well the thing is it's illegal for kids to use them.
I mean this high school kid was in here talking about
how kids are vaping in the school and you know they
don't obey a rule about vaping in the school but they
would obey a law about vaping it. Well they're not
supposed to be vaping to begin with. It's illegal for
them to use those products. So if they're not obeying
a, a law, why would they obey a law about using it in
school when they're not obeying the law about using
it at all? And one last thing and I would like to
quote Doctor Michael Spiegel on this. He is actually
an anti-tobacco advocase[phonetic], advocate who
supports e-cigarettes. And he says the purpose of
smoking bans is to protect non-smokers not to prevent
people from seeing smokers. The purpose of smoking
bans and their only justification is to protect non-
smokers from exposure to the significant hazards
associated with tobacco smoke inhalation. Is the
purpose of these laws were to prevent youth from ever

having to see a smoker then there would be no reason
not to simply ban smoking in public. If the city
council is concerned that youth might not understand
what vapors are doing and may not understand that
electronic cigarettes are not necessarily safe and
should not be used by youth or a non-smokers then the
council should implement a public education campaign
to achieve this end. This could be a great
opportunity to teach kids how addictive smoking is by
pointing out that many smokers can only quit if they
use a product that simulates the exact behaviors of
smoking. However to respond to this issue by enacting
a law that is completely unsupported by scientific
evidence is not only unjustified but it undermines
the very practice of public health. We in public
health pride ourselves in only intervening in
personal freedoms when there is scientific
justification that such intervention is necessary to
respond to a substantial public health problem.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

LENAYA JACOBSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your testimony all of you and you don't need to be nervous when you come here. This is the peoples' house. There

2 are no enemies here. We may not always agree but this 3 is a safe place to be.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Yeah. I have some tricks I can show you after the hearing. I won't do it on public, in public. Ileane, Elaine[phonetic], Elaine, Elaine. This is our last speaker. If there is anyone here who wishes to testify who I have not called. Anyone? Okay. You. Do you want to? So you need to fill out a slip and give it to the sergeant quickly. Okay go ahead. Turn on the mic.

Spinner. I'm a lawyer and I'm used to talking in court and I never need a microphone. I don't represent anybody. I had, I am in favor of the ban. I had written a little essay for the American Cancer Society. They send me an email every day telling me what they're doing and they asked us to write a little paragraph or essay about how smoking has affected our lives especially teenage smoking. And I don't smoke but I wrote them about my father. And I'm never nervous talking in court but now I'm talking about something personal. My father was already smoking two packs a day for 43 years when I met him

2	and I met him when I was born. Possibly he was smoke,
3	yes, he was smoking 43, no 30 years because he
4	started to smoke when he was 11. He smoked two packs
5	of Winston a day. He came from immigrant parents and
6	kids smoked when they were little boys. You saw
7	newsies or whatever little boys smoked. And he smoked
8	two packs of cigarettes for years and my sister and I
9	used to try to get him to stop. We drew the red
10	circles around the middle of the cigarettes because
11	we hoped that he would only smoke half. That's what
12	they told us to do on T.V. And we, we begged him. We
13	cajoled [phonetic] him. He just couldn't stop because
14	he was addicted. And he used to send us to buy him
15	cigarettes because kids could buy cigarettes when I
16	was a kid. So I used to go to the drug store, the
17	candy store and say two packs of Winston please. I
18	couldn't even reach the counter and we had a
19	cigarette machine in our lobby that my father sent us
20	downstairs to buy the cigarettes. My father was a
21	great guy and he went with me to get my ears pierced
22	and bought shoes with me. And he knew that I passed
23	the bar exam because I went to see him in the
24	hospital and I told him but the day that I got
25	admitted he had been dead five days already. Excuse

me. Because he died of lung cancer and I just don't
want to see that happen to anyone. And teenagers
should not smoke. They should not have products like
cigarettes in their hands and think it's cool because
it's really really not cool and it affects your
children and your family and it so changed my life.
The day my father died is the worst day of my life
and I don't want it to happen to anyone else. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay Brian right? So, we're going to ask you to, after you've testified to clarify your, your address on the slip okay? Thank you. Okay and state your name for the record because I can't make out your last name.

BRIAN ALLICOD: So my name's Brian

Allicod[phonetic]. I'm a lifelong New Yorker. I'm 24

years old born and raised in Staten Island and right

now I live on the lower east side. I am transgender

so I already constrict my breathing by wearing a

chest binder so when I started transitioning I gave

up smoking conventional cigarettes and started

vaping. The same things that are in conventional

cigarettes are the same things that caused my dad to

get Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma from being a first

2	responder during 9/11. 2007 my dad passed away from
3	Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma as a result of 9/11. I was 18
4	when my dad passed away. I was 16 when I started
5	smoking. And two years ago when I started
6	transitioning I gave up smoking to try and help
7	myself breathe a little better while still having to
8	deal with smoking and dealing with anxiety,
9	depression, society going against me. All the things
10	that teenagers do when they start smoking because the
11	world sucks and it sucks around us so we start
12	smoking. Our parents tell us not to do it. We do it
13	anyway. Vaping has been a way for me to still be able
14	to enjoy smoke. I like the idea of a, looking at the
15	smoke. I enjoy the idea of, of the taste of a vapor
16	opposed to a cigarette. I'm 24 years old now. If I
17	want to smoke something that tastes like strawberry
18	shortcake I should be able to. I'm an adult. I like
19	it. I'm not a, I'm opposed to kids smoking. I
20	shouldn't have been smoking. I did it because I was,
21	thought I was cool when I shouldn't have been doing
22	it. But now as an adult I should not be told what I
23	can and can't do. So I'm against the proposed
24	legislation and I thank you for this last minute
25	chance.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 233
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. Thank you
3	for your testimony. With that if there's no one else
4	here that wants to testify I will adjourn the
5	hearing. Thank you all for hanging out all these
6	hours and for your patience to give us your words.
7	[gavel]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____ December 22, 2013_____