CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK ----- X TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY ----- X November 20, 2013 Start: 01:04 p.m. Recess: 03:13 p.m. HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm, 14th Fl. BEFORE: FERNANDO CABRERA Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: GALE A. BREWER LETITIA JAMES G. OLIVER KOPPELL MARK S. WEPRIN World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road - Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 3
2	[gavel]
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Good afternoon and
4	welcome to this hearing concerning DoITT.
5	Administration of local law 11, otherwise known as
6	open data law, open, open government data is the
7	concept that data that is generated by public
8	sector entities should be available to the public
9	electronically via the internet in open raw, raw
10	formats. Adopting open government data standards
11	promote inclusion of more individuals into the
12	governmental process by making access to
13	information easy and affordable. It also promotes
14	transparency and accountability by providing data
15	in its raw form enabling members of the public to
16	perform their own data analysis and draw their own
17	conclusions. In recent years the city has made an
18	enormous amount of public data available on
19	NYC[dot]gov. However much of this data was
20	available only in proprietary or visual formats
21	thus the usefulness of this data was limited. In
22	March 2012 the city enacted local law 11, otherwise
23	known as the open data law. Among other things the
24	law requires that within one year all public data
25	sets maintain by city agencies be made available on

4

the internet through a single web portal that is 2 3 linked to NYC[dot]gov and that the data sets be made available in open formats in accordance with 4 certain technical standards published by DoITT. The 5 law also requires that DoITT submit a compliance 6 7 plan within 18 months that includes a summary description of public data sets under the control 8 9 of each agency and when and how often they will be published. DoITT released its compliance plan which 10 11 is, which it off, it refers to as the open data plan this past September. While the city's 12 implementation of the open data law has been 13 14 impressive some issues remain including among other 15 things; the type of data, that are 16 postes[phonetic], posted on the city's open data 17 web portal, the dates that certain data will be 18 released, the way it's going to navigate on the web 19 portal itself. The committee looks forward to hearing about implementation of local law 11 and 20 how DoITT is resolving these issues and now I'm 21 going to turn it over to the genesis of this bill 22 23 that was introduced and now law council member 24 Brewer.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 5
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very
3	much council Cabrera and I also want to thank many
4	of the, many of the people who worked on this;
5	certainly Colin Howell who's council to the
6	committee, Jeff Baker, and Jon Auerbach who are
7	also a part of the team in the speaker's office
8	working on this and Will Colgrove from my office
9	and the amazing transparency group which is the
10	folks coming together from good government and from
11	technology. And you should just know that it's such
12	an exciting topic that in the transition tent
13	tonight from six to eight there's going to be even
14	more discussion of open data. So it's something
15	that is on everyone's mind and I certainly want to
16	thank Mike Flowers and commissioner Merchant and
17	the many people who have made the open data portal
18	possible. And I always thank Carol Post who was a
19	former commissioner of DoITT and who was
20	instrumental. It is one of my proudest
21	accomplishments as a legislator and I think, thanks
22	to all the people I mentioned, New York City is at
23	the forefront of the government transparency
24	movement around the world. And I'm just delighted
25	that all of you, because it is a joint process have

1

this possible. I think to date we have about 11 2 3 hundred distinct data sets from over 60 agencies on the open portal data. And the plan which the chair 4 mentioned which was released in September of this 5 year contains another 434 data sets identified by 6 7 city agencies as being subject to the open data law. And I, I think that Mr. Flowers will talk more 8 9 about some others that may be available in January. 10 While this is positive we have serious questions 11 about some aspects of how the city is complying with the open data plan. Advocates have identified 12 several important data sets that agencies maintain 13 which are not on the portal. And of serious concern 14 15 it's the fact that under technical standards 16 established by the city, all qualifying data sets 17 maintained by city agencies that are already on an 18 agency website and they were as I understand it 19 supposed to be posted to the open data portal by March 7th of this year. And we believe that 20 compliance with this deadline is lacking and we 21 still do not have a comprehensive understanding of 22 23 a city's shortfall with regard to that deadline. However I look forward to hearing from the city 24 about the plans and I want to thank again the staff 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 7
2	chairman Cabrera for this hearing today and we look
3	forward to not only to date but the, the follow-up
4	and the fact that this data can be implemented and
5	used is what I think our real goal is. Thank you
6	very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much
8	council member Brewer. JONATHAN AUERBACH.
9	JONATHAN AUERBACH: Alright thank you.
10	Thank you. [pause] So the, the idea here is to
11	graphically display some of the points that were
12	just raised. So I have here a few, a few slides. So
13	the number of state and local governments with open
14	data policies is growing. This graph with data
15	taken from the sunlight foundation shows the number
16	of policies adopted each year. Nearly 25 state and
17	local governments have open data policies, 17 being
18	adopted within the last two years. So for example
19	this is a bar chart here. Here you have Chicago and
20	Philadelphia that were created by executive order
21	between the years of 2012 and 2013. As you can see
22	from this chart the colors represent the legal
23	means by which these policies were adopted. Six of
24	the open data policies were created by executive
25	order while 15 were created by legislation similar

1

to New York City. So what is, what is open data? 2 3 Open data is raw, unaltered data released to the 4 public in a useable format via the open portal. How is it different from regular data? It's all about 5 6 the presentation of the data. The ease in accuracy 7 with which users can manipulate the data and draw their own conclusions. So as an example take 311 8 9 non-emergency service, 311 non-emergency service request system. This slide is a screenshot of the 10 11 311 reporting tool from the New York City stat 12 website. It shows the 311 service request call volume over the month of October and the fiscal 13 14 year to date. For example it tells us that the 15 Department of Finance had 16,426 calls through the 16 311 system that fell under the category of parking 17 ticket lookup here. This is an example of a presentation where there is low control over the 18 19 data. That is, the data has been processed. The 20 data as presented here only answers questions concerning the volume of calls each month. Weekly 21 calls for example would require an educated guess. 22 23 This slide is a screen shot of the NYC 311 map from the city's 311 website. The tool shows us 311 24 service requests that are open or have been closed 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 9
2	within the last five days visually on a map. For
3	example we can see that on Broadway near 67 th
4	Street right here there were several [interpose]
5	[background comments]
6	JONATHAN AUERBACH: A district chosen at
7	random. There were several complaints of broken
8	meters. This presentation of the data gives us
9	medium control over the types of questions we can
10	ask. While we can filter service requests by
11	complaint, type, and location we cannot for example
12	aggregate the data and we could not reproduce the
13	results of the previous slide from this current
14	slide. And finally here is a screenshot of the open
15	portal. Like the map from the previous slide it
16	contains a filter feature and visualization tool
17	for examining the data. However most importantly
18	each individual service request is displayed in the
19	spreadsheet below. The data here can be downloaded
20	directly from the website of accessed via the API
21	and manipulated freely and therefore this
22	presentation of the data gives the user a high
23	level of control. I note highly control however
24	sometimes requires users to have a relatively high
25	degree of data sophistication. So the number of

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 10
2	data sets on the open portal has grown tremendously
3	according to a data set on the portal which
4	catalogs the open portals contents. The blue line
5	here on this side shows the growth of data sets on
6	the portal. However due to a feature of the portal
7	which allows users to generate new data sets much
8	of the data is redundant. The pink line below shows
9	the growth of datasets on the portal that have been
10	updated in the last month. From this graph we see
11	that roughly half the data sets on the open portal
12	have been updated in the last month. So here's
13	about a thousand and here we have slightly more
14	than 2,000. In addition to allowing users to
15	generate new data sets users can comment on
16	existing data sets. The portal contains 67 of these
17	comments. This slide shows the number of comments
18	each year that received a response. So we have
19	2011, 12, and 13. We can see here that 40 comments,
20	sorry that, roughly 40 comments were made last
21	year. About a quarter of these comments received a
22	response, probably more like a third. There are
23	more data sets on the portal than just 311 service
24	requests. And each data set is presented to the
25	users on the portal in a variety of ways. This
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 11
2	slide is a second example of the type of data sets
3	which can be found on the open portal.
4	The data set corresponding to this
5	screenshot contains information on the location of
6	Wi-Fi hotspots in New York City and some basic
7	descriptive information. As can be seen in the next
8	slide this data is presented to the portal, on the
9	portal to users as a map. On the next slide is a
10	third example of the type of data sets on the
11	portal. The data set corresponding to this
12	screenshot contains information on the number of
13	births by woman in New York City in 2007 in eight
14	different age groups. This data set is presented to
15	users as a pie chart. Unlike the 311 service
16	requests and Wi-Fi location data sets the
17	underlying information has been aggregated to make
18	the pie chart and cannot be filtered or broken down
19	below the eight groups represented in order to
20	additional questions.
21	This slide was made using data from the
22	Department of Information Technology and
23	Telecommunications September 22 nd open data plan.
24	The plan lists the anticipated release date of all
25	data sets covered by the open data law as

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 12
2	identified by each agency. This graph shows the
3	number of data sets to be released by quarter. As
4	can be seen a significant portion of the identified
5	data sets will be released in 2016 or before.
6	Another significant portion will be released in
7	2018, the latest time period allowed under the law.
8	And this last slide shows the number of
9	data sets to be released by the 15 largest
10	agencies. The green color represents the number of
11	data sets to be released before 2016 while the blue
12	color represents the number of data sets to be
13	released in the year 2018. This graph shows that
14	several agencies such as the Department of Finance
15	here and the School Construction Authority here
16	will release the majority of all data sets
17	identified in 2018. The office of the mayor for
18	example over here will release all identified data
19	sets before 2016.
20	[off mic comments]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Going to turn
22	it over now to Mr. Mike Flowers from the office of
23	the mayor and then if you have anybody else who
24	going to be speaking they can introduce themselves.
25	
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 13 2 Thank you so much it's all yours. Only if you like 3 to. 4 [pause] MICHAEL FLOWERS: There we go. Alright. 5 6 Sounds good. Sorry about that. I'm going to start 7 again. Good afternoon my name is Michael Flowers. 8 I'm the Chief Analytics and Open Platform Officer 9 for the City of New York. And my role as the Chief Open Platform Officer I am responsible for the 10 implementation of local law 11 the New York City 11 12 open data law. Here with me is my Chief of Staff Nicholas O'Brien, Deputy Commissioner for 13 14 Application Development of the Department of 15 Information Technology and Telecommunications, 16 DoITT, Donald Sunderland DoITT's General Council 17 and DoITT's General Council Charles Fraser. My 18 office, the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics works 19 closely with DoITT to fulfill the requirements of 20 the open data law. The open data law was signed by Mayor Michael Bloomberg in March 2012. The law was 21 the product of a collaborative effort including the 22 23 city's technology community, the nonprofit sector, the council, city agencies, and the mayor's office. 24 The mayor has referred to the law as the most 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 14
2	ambitious and comprehensive open data legislation
3	in the country. It has served as the basis for a
4	number of other policies and initiatives throughout
5	the country and has the full support of the
6	administration. I'm here today to testify on the
7	progress on the implementation of the open data law
8	and highlight some of the successes as well as
9	challenges we've had since the law took effect. In
10	April Mayor Bloomberg and Chief Information and
11	Innovation Officer Rahul Merchant formally
12	appointed me Chief Open Platform Officer. Since
13	that time my office has focused relentlessly on
14	delivering high value data to New Yorkers. In July
15	the Department of City Planning released one of the
16	most sought after data sets PLUTO which contains
17	land use and geographic data at the tax level. In
18	October we released ACRIS property records and
19	parking ticket data from the Department of Finance.
20	We have also inclu[phonetic], focused on excuse me
21	automating data sets to ensure that the published
22	data are as up to date as possible. The primary
23	vehicle for delivering data to the New Yorkers, to
24	New Yorkers excuse me is the open data portal
25	accessible at NYC[dot]gov[backslash]data. We
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY currently have over 11 hundred unique sets 2 available up from 350 in 2011. 59 of those sets 4 have been fully automated and new automations are coming online every month. In September we release the inaugural New York City open data plan which 6 7 catalogues over 460 unreleased data sets covered under the law and their planned release dates. Earlier today we launched the beta version of the open data dashboard to provide insight into the 11 progress of publishing the data sets included in 12 the open data plan. Currently we are in the process of reviewing the lists submitted by mayoral 13 14 agencies pursuant to the open data plan to ensure 15 that all qualifying data is included, that it is 16 released at the earliest possible date, and that no 17 private data is inadvertently listed. The annual update to the open data plan will be released to 18 the council on July 15^{th} , 2014. In addition to these activities which are mandated under the law 20 we have undertaken and are undertaking numerous 21

3

5

8

9

10

19

initiatives beyond what is required by the law to 22 23 increase transparency and facilitate the leveraging of open data to provide meaningful insight. In May 24 we launched the New York City Developer Portal. The 25

1

Developer Portal is designed to provide data to a 2 3 more technical audience for the purpose of creating 4 commercial grade applications. The developer portal includes application programming interfaces or APIs 5 from 311, Department of Transportation, Department 6 7 of Education, Housing Preservation Development 8 Comptroller, and the Department of City Planning. 9 This enables developers to build applications that 10 integrate directly with high value public data. In 11 June we announced the winners of the fourth annual 12 New York City BigApps Competition. The Competition hosted by DoITT and the Economic Development 13 14 Corporation is designed to encourage creation of 15 online and mobile applications that make the data 16 we release more accessible to New Yorkers and to 17 jumpstart new business built on top of open data. 18 Since 2009 NYC BigApps has helped launch nearly 300 19 new applications. In September we updated the NYC 20 open data portal to improve the user experience and to help New Yorkers navigate the massive amount of 21 data available. All data sets are categorized for 22 23 easy browsing and are fully searchable. We provide background information on featured data sets to 24 increase awareness to the high value data sets on 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 17
2	the portal. We are currently featuring the newly
3	released parking ticket data. Information about how
4	to deal with parking tickets is one of the most
5	common information requests of 311 as we just saw.
6	This data will provide New Yorkers with greater
7	access and ability to address their tickets. We are
8	also using the open data portal to show
9	visualizations built with public data. These
10	visualizations make the information contained in
11	the data more accessible and understandable as you
12	just seek to understand their city. We are working
13	with agencies on an ongoing basis to enhance the
14	public's ability to access, consume, map, and
15	visualize New York City's data. By leveraging the
16	city's GIS expertise we have enabled users to
17	create different types of maps of more than 165
18	data sets through the open data portal including
19	hurricane evacuation zones, Wi-Fi hotspot
20	locations, parks, and building footprints. There
21	are many high value data sets that are generated by
22	vendors for delivery under contract to the city. We
23	believe to the greatest extent possible this data
24	should be released as open data. DoITT aggressively
25	negotiates for the intellectual property rights on

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 18
2	all data created, generated, or maintained by the
3	city's contractors and whenever possible works to
4	provide public access to that data. We are already
5	seeing the positive impact of the city's open data
6	efforts. For example the Department of Education is
7	working with Pediacities a former NYC BigApps
8	winner and the successful startup built on NYC open
9	data to launch a set of public APIs. Previously
10	middle school students applying to high school had
11	to slog through a phonebook sized catalogue or
12	navigate a very basic search page to get
13	information on DOE's nearly 700 high school
14	programs. For next year's application process
15	students will be able to access this information
16	through nationally recognized mobile and online
17	applications including some of the application,
18	excuse me some of the same applications that high
19	school seniors across the nation used to apply to
20	college. We're also starting to develop proofs of
21	concept around utilizing the open data portal for
22	agency operational needs. For example the Taxi and
23	Limousine Commission is directing fleet owners to
24	the automatically refreshed list of licensed taxi
25	drivers to verify that their driver's licenses are
I	

2 current. Our hope is that by using the portal to
3 provide information to the public and other
4 agencies the city can save significant cost in new
5 IT projects to achieve the same ends.

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

1

One of the benefits of open data we are 6 7 working to quantify is the improvement in fulfilling FOIA requests. During the month of 8 9 October EC, or the Environmental Control Board or 10 ECB received two FOIA requests that they were able 11 to meet by directing their requesters to the open 12 data portal. This not only makes it easier for the agency to respond to requests but it also provides 13 14 faster, easier, and cheaper access to the data 15 potentially reducing the need for the public to 16 submit lengthy FOIA requests to gain access to the information. 17

18 Another data set that was previously 19 only available via FOIA request or a fee is PLUTO 20 and map PLUTO, a mash up of land use and geographic data from a range of agencies. This data has been 21 downloaded more than 579 times since it was 22 23 released at the end of July. In the year prior to the release it had only been downloaded 141 times. 24 In the coming months we are focusing on releasing 25

20

automated feeds of newly available data including 2 3 season flu vaccination locations, farmer's markets, 4 Office of Emergency Management Incidents and Notifications, and Office of Management and Budget 5 Revenue Expense and Capital Funds data. In parallel 6 7 we are working to automate existing data sets from 8 the Department of Housing Preservation, and 9 Development, Department of Transportation, and 10 Department of Environmental Protection just to 11 mention a few. We are also focusing on measuring the economic benefit of open data. We are tracking 12 aggregate usage and have begun to catalogue the 13 14 applications built on top of the data. This is the 15 first step in our effort to rigorously quantify the 16 economic impact. These benefits come in many forms 17 ranging from agency efficiencies and cost avoidance to the creation of new jobs and businesses made 18 19 possible by the release of the data. We are 20 confident that this analysis will demonstrate that the city's investment in open data makes economic 21 sense and we look forward to presenting the result 22 23 of this effort the next time we have the 24 opportunity to testify.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 21
2	I would like to thank the council
3	Technology Committee for providing me the
4	opportunity to testify today and we are happy to
5	answer any questions you may have.
б	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I just got a
7	couple of questions and then I'm going to turn it
8	over to my colleagues. The first question I had,
9	this is in terms of compliance, many agencies miss
10	their March 2013 deadline for publishing data sets
11	that were already on their websites. Can you
12	explain why this was the case and whether all of
13	these data sets have been added to the open portal?
14	MICHAEL FLOWERS: So as, as a threshold
15	matter you're right. They missed them. And when
16	they missed them, I missed them because it's my job
17	to ensure compliance. What I can tell you is before
18	I turn it over to commissioner Sunderland is that
19	it's a big city.
20	[laughter]
21	MICHAEL FLOWERS: We are aggressively
22	working with every agency and what is there 40 some

odd.

[background comments]

25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 22
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Right 45 and there are
3	a multitude of data sets that could be covered,
4	might be covered. I think there was a bar chart
5	presented by that gentleman. It actually is a
6	pretty good map as to where agencies are in
7	determining what, what data should and shouldn't be
8	released. So there's insight to be gleamed from
9	that right? And you know the fact of the matter is
10	that we, we see that document in many ways as
11	organic. I understand there's a deadline in there
12	and we try to meet those deadlines wherever
13	possible but we'd rather be right. And what we want
14	to do is continuously see that it's something that
15	we're obligated to constantly update whenever
16	possible. The dashboard that just kind of got put
17	up will help us do that in a more dynamic manor
18	than putting it on paper and then submitting a
19	report but please go ahead sir.
20	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: [off mic] Sure
21	I mean basic, I mean we agree that we… [interpose]
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm sorry if you
23	could introduce yourself [interpose]
24	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: [off mic] I'm
25	sorry.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 23
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:and if you could
3	pull the mic closer to you.
4	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: Sure.
5	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: I'm Don
7	Sunderland. I'm the Deputy Commissioner for
8	Application Development for DoITT. We estimate that
9	about 70 percent of the data that, that should have
10	been covered by March had, was covered and we're
11	working on getting the rest. In many instances I
12	mean the, the agencies have deferred as Mike Said
13	we've pursued them vigorously, Mike in particular
14	has pursued them vigorously. In many cases they,
15	they cited Sandy as being a reason why they were
16	slowed down and much of the work that they
17	delivered [interpose]
18	JONATHAN AUERBACH: Reasonably.
19	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: Yeah
20	reasonably.
21	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I would say.
22	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: Right. Right.
23	All that notwithstanding I'd like to reiterate his
24	point which is basically this is an ongoing work in
25	progress. Not only in delivering those sets but in

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 24
2	identifying new sets that would fall under the
3	purview of the law. So we really envision our
4	mission at this point as, as continuing to
5	vigorously pursue the sets, identifying new sets as
6	they arise and, and get them into the most usable
7	form possible. We are, we're, we're deeply
8	committed to that.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you have an
10	estimate as to when we will reach 100 percent
11	[interpose]
12	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: We actually
13	[interpose]
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:or close to
15	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: You want to I
16	mean I don't think you ever reach 100 percent. Our,
17	our systems are constantly changing right. And
18	point a fact you had the Department of Health and
19	the Department of Consumer Affairs recently change
20	their background systems. That generates different
21	kinds of data which is good.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Mm-hmm.
23	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: We like it a
24	lot. But those constant changes basically are
25	always going to move the goal line ahead of us.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 25
2	Right? So I don't think we'll ever reach the
3	platonic ideal of 100 percent compliance but rather
4	we are 100 percent committed to continuously trying
5	to ensure compliance.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What would be an
7	acceptable percentage for you to, to be in, in a
8	current state? If you are at 90 percent, 95
9	percent? I, I, I, I used What would be an
10	acceptable… [interpose]
11	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: I'm not trying
12	to quivel[phonetic] on this. I, I'm uncomfortable
13	putting a number on it right? I guess what I'm
14	trying to say is I'm not comfortable with anything
15	less than 100 percent.
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Gotcha.
17	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: So we'll
18	constantly have to work towards that. We have a
19	constantly shifting landscape, and a constantly
20	shifting set of actors. So as those different
21	moving pieces align the goal always remains. We
22	have to get this out.
23	
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So just help me
25	understand here. Back in March what percentage?
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 26
2	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: [off mic] 70.
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: 70, 70 percent
4	that what? That we reach or we didn't reach?
5	[off mic]
6	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: [off mic] 70
7	percent reached.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Reach. And what is
9	it now? What are, where are you at now?
10	[background comment]
11	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: [off mic] We'd
12	have to reassess it at this point. I'm sorry.
13	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: We'd have to
14	reassess it. It's ongoing workforce at this point.
15	I mean we intend to have a, a thorough breakdown of
16	it in the July plan. When we… [crosstalk]
17	[interpose]
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND:and we'll
20	give you a complete update at that point.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABREARA: But since March
22	have you checked?
23	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Well we keep adding
24	but we don't, we don't, we don't [interpose]
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 27
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: we don't recomputed
3	every month. I mean, [interpose]
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
5	MICHAEL FLOWERS:and, and, and maybe
6	that's, that's a function that could go into the
7	dashboard. I mean maybe that's, that's a legitimate
8	function to be in there and we acknowledge that
9	that probably belongs.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I just got one
11	more question and then I'm going to turn it over to
12	council member Brewer. How do you determine whether
13	an agency is compliant with the law? Is there a
14	formal process?
15	COMMISSIONER SUNDERLAND: I mean you
16	know I'd like to turn this over to general council.
17	CHARLES FRASER: I'm Charles Fraser. I'm
18	the General Council at DoITT. I think I would
19	answer that in two parts. One is the technical
20	people, the IT people at DoITT are aware to some
21	extent of what agencies have their websites because
22	they can, they can look at the websites. And so
23	they can tell if we've posted those through the
24	open data portal. Beyond that what public data sets
25	that an agency has that are not on the website we,
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 28
2	we have no way to know externally. We can only rely
3	on them and so I'm answering as general council
4	because I worked very much with the agency general
5	councils around the city to get them to identify
6	their public data sets for us so that our IT people
7	could work with their IT people to get them posted
8	or get them listed in the plan. So we're, we're
9	very much dependent on the agencies to tell us what
10	they have unless it's already on the website. I, I
11	will say one other qualification. When I say we're
12	very much dependent on the agencies; if the
13	community tells us they know of a database that's a
14	public data set and, and it's neither in the plan
15	nor on the portal we, we will follow-up with the
16	agency general councils on asking them about it.
17	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I'd say we like to
18	correct one of my earlier answers too. The 70
19	percent is to date.
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
21	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Though it's not part
22	of the dashboard at this point but it's a good
23	point that it should become part of the dashboard
24	in ongoing… [off mic]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 29
2	CHAIRPERSON CABREARA: Okay. Thank you.
3	Thank you so much. I'm going to turn it over to
4	council member Brewer.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very
6	much. I just, one thing that, not necessarily tech
7	but Mike when you mentioned the issue of you know
8	having a lot material online which I obviously
9	support, do we have like a community technology
10	centers which Time Warner promised during the
11	franchise agreements around the city so people… Or,
12	or is it they have to go to the library? What are
13	we doing to make sure the city is tech savvy so
14	that people can access all this information. And
15	that may seem like a strange question from me but I
16	am supportive of people being educated so they can
17	access.
18	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I don't think that's a
19	strange question at all because it, it really kind
20	of covers You know we don't do open data for the
21	sake of open data right?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Well I know
23	that.
24	[laughter]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 30
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: We, we, we just don't
3	have the money to
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
5	MICHAEL FLOWERS:to allocate
6	[interpose]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
8	MICHAEL FLOWERS:towards that
9	[interpose]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But what are we
11	doing to… [interpose]
12	[crosstalk]
13	MICHAEL FLOWERS:ideal. So
14	[interpose]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: What are we
16	doing on the CTC?
17	MICHAEL FLOWERS:so what we're trying
18	to do in a lot of different fronts is you know
19	library's one of them.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah.
21	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Right? We want to
22	[crosstalk] [interpose]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They're hardly
24	open though. Go ahead.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 31
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS:you know. Correct.
3	Part of it, part of the response. Right? I don't
4	think there's a single silver bullet that will get
5	us to the stage where we are converting our open
6	data into insight right. What we try and do is use
7	a multitude of the city's resources. One of those
8	is the open data portal. Others of those are, you
9	know, the interaction with the nonprofit community,
10	the entrepreneurial community, and the academic
11	community who tells us you're falling down on this.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
13	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Let's do better. So…
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright. I, I
15	won't belabor it. I'm saying Time Warner is
16	supposed to come up with 100 CTCs. I don't know
17	where they are. Somebody needs to tell us.
18	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Okay. They, they've
19	launched 10 to date and they're current
20	[interpose]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: 10?
22	MICHAEL FLOWERS: 10 to date and there
23	are 30 more coming in the, in the near future.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So it's only,
25	it's only 40, not 100?

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 32
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: That's how far we've
3	gotten so far.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Well I
5	thought it was a hundred and we need, I think the
б	city council needs to know where they are.
7	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Okay.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Maybe that's a
9	data set. Is it?
10	MICHAEL FLOWERS: [laughter] I don't
11	believe it's. Maybe it's another dashboard number.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Number two
13	is I know that there was a September plan which we
14	all have, I, I read it, I can't, It's hard to
15	sometimes make sure that what's on the September
16	plan is also on the portal. So my question is how
17	do you Is this an accurate summary of all the data
18	sets on the portal? In other words do you check to
19	see if this matches up? Again this is a lot of
20	retail work.
21	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: My name's Nicholas
22	O'Brian. I'm the Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office of
23	Data Analytics. The plan represents everything we
24	plan to publish in the future. It does not cover
25	things that are already on the portal. The progress

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 33
2	on the plan is on the dashboard which was released
3	today and is available online.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm glad we have
5	the hearing. Thank you. The other question I have
6	is what's the updating? I know that you indicated
7	that it is some point, some part reliant on what I
8	would call complaint or positive complaint or
9	complaint based system. In other words this isn't
10	there. This isn't correct. But how do you plan to
11	do the update? And how do you, I think there was, I
12	don't know if it was a slide but there was a
13	discussion about how many questions have actually
14	been answered. You getting a lot of feedback on the
15	site which is good but are you able to answer them?
16	How do you answer them? And how do you follow-up
17	when people have issues that they think are
18	corrected? So it's an update question [interpose]
19	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: Yeah.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:and how do you
21	'Cause I remember when we had the many many many
22	many meetings on doing the data and passing the
23	bill and the big issue was how do you keep it
24	updated and the answer was complaint based system.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 34
2	So if that's the answer how are we making sure that
3	their complaints are answered or the suggestions?
4	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: I, I can answer the
5	first part of your question about how, which I
6	think you directed to how we're going to do the
7	July update and [interpose]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.
9	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN:thereafter? I think
10	the biggest thing we learned in the first round of
11	this, the September plan was how complicated it is,
12	how, how challenging it is. And so we have planned
13	to convene our, our working group, those of us who
14	may remain employed in January to begin planning
15	and begin working on the update that will be due in
16	July that working group included Mr. Flowers'
17	office, my office, the law department, and we have
18	to have people from my, from DoITT. And, and we
19	reached out through general councils as well as the
20	IT staffs of the various agencies. So we intend to
21	do a sort of a full press, almost a redo of the
22	plan for 2014 because we, we know for sure, I mean
23	just given the complexity of it, the number we're
24	talking about, we know we missed some even before
25	anyone comes in and tells us; hey I know about this

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 35
2	data set that you missed, just do to the complexity
3	of it. So we're going to do a, as I say, almost a
4	full redo starting in January for, for July.
5	MICHAEL FLOWERS: On the open data
6	portal and as part of the developer community we do
7	have the ability to register comments and we engage
8	in conversations with developers and data
9	consumers. We also take conventional means. I've
10	actually gotten personal calls that I've passed on
11	and started [crosstalk] [interpose]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm sure with
13	this community you have.
14	[laughter]
15	MICHAEL FLOWERS: So, so it's, it's in
16	the, it's, it's a significantly responsive
17	community. It's a good community to work with and
18	we try to be… [interpose]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So do you think
20	that you're able to answer… I mean I know I have a
21	huge email list and I answer emails. I am familiar
22	with trying to be up to date with answering but do
23	you think… `Cause we had a, a graph, I'm not saying
24	it's correct… [interpose]
25	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Yeah.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 36
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:that said a lot
3	of them were not answered. I don't know how one
4	would determine [interpose]
5	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Yeah.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:whether they
7	are or they are not. How are you following that?
8	'Cause it was part of the reason that we, I mean it
9	was a way in which we're gaging material. It's not
10	like a frivolous system in order… You know the
11	system was put in. The complaint system if you call
12	it that… [interpose]
13	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Yeah.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:to be able to
15	keep it up, keep the, the sources updated `because
16	you know you can't as a city agency keep all that
17	information and know it all. That's why the… So how
18	are you monitoring the responses?
19	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Okay at this point I
20	will have to come back to you on how it is that
21	we're following up on that
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
23	MICHAEL FLOWERS:how we're tracking
24	it.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 37
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I don't have that
3	[crosstalk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I appreciate it.
5	The other issue in that tracking is I know the
б	public has certain data sets that they're
7	interested in. You could imagine which ones they
8	are. How do you track if they're, again, this is
9	the same kind of question to know which agencies
10	have dragged their feet on releasing data that they
11	know the public is interested in. Is that something
12	that you're able to at least think about if you
13	can't track it specifically.
14	MICHAEL FLOWERS: It's, it's, it's
15	actually something that we're integrally familiar
16	with because we end up being the middle person and
17	we
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right.
19	MICHAEL FLOWERS:receive all the
20	pressure that's coming in and all the… And we, we
21	try to transfer that pressure as best we can to the
22	agency who's engaged and there's several in which
23	we, we're continued on continuous improvement on
24	those issues.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 38
2	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: Yeah and if I could
3	just add to that. You know I mean that's the whole
4	point of having my position out at the mayor's
5	office as opposed to you know DoITT going agency to
6	agency. So, I mean there's, there's a constant
7	dialogue on that which we want to get out as
8	quickly as possible. You have to prioritize and
9	when I don't want to say the agencies have been
10	recalcitrant or not recalcitrant it's just a matter
11	of capacity. And to the extent that we sit there
12	and say okay well we'll throw some, some labor your
13	way to get this out more quickly it's really based
14	as much on public input as it is You know I noted
15	as, as an example of the benefits of the open data
16	is, is internal efficiency.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right.
18	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: So I use that data.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But in the
20	Office of Operations is excited about it also.
21	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: You know. Yeah and so
22	I mean, there are plenty of, plenty of city
23	agencies that are now looking to each other's data
24	through the portal as opposed to you know kind of
25	making a phone call. So those priorities are set by

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 39
2	a number of different values. Not you know least
3	among them is, is, is public interest.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I mean I
5	hope at some point you will track the requests for
6	information, complaints, whichever you want to call
7	it because that is part of why we passed the bill.
8	It was our only safety net in terms of compliance.
9	I mean.
10	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: That'll be our first
11	step… [crosstalk] [interpose]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You can't fine
13	an agency right? So if the issue is a complaint and
14	the fine is the public.
15	NICHOLAS O'BRIAN: Yep.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: A hundred
17	meetings on that topic.
18	[laughter]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's also our
20	understanding that you have a compiled a list of
21	data sets from agency websites. I think that
22	belittle[phonetic] bit the chairman asked this
23	question but do you have such a list? And do you
24	plan to make it available? In other words we know
25	that you're trying do what the chairman was

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 40 suggesting in terms of what's out there. Do you 2 3 have such a list and is it going to be like 4 available in maybe January? Or what's going on with this list? 5 MICHAEL FLOWERS: So we have reviewed 6 7 just as part of the process everything that is available on NYC[dot]gov. We are providing that to 8 9 the agencies for their review and, and are asking 10 them if they do believe that it is a qualifying 11 data set that it should be placed on the open data plan by the update for July 15th. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And then 13 14 the plan as you know lists 434 data sets to be 15 released by agencies by 2018. We hated that date 16 but we live with it. So is this a complete universe 17 pursuant to the, to the law or are there other data 18 sets you know such as agency performance data 19 collected by the city and there are parts of the 20 law that require that data sets that cannot be available be identified and indicated why. I mean 21 none of us want our, I, I understand when we passed 22 23 the law we were following pretty much the FOIL discussion. In other words what's available under 24

FOIL should be available here. But I just didn't

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 41
2	know if there are Are you working with agency to
3	say we cannot release this maybe because you know
4	the state doesn't let us or the federal government
5	doesn't let us or reasons why. Is that something
6	also that's part of your plan?
7	MICHAEL FLOWERS: You mean to, to make
8	those reason [interpose]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Correct.
10	MICHAEL FLOWERS:public.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: In other words
12	my understanding is that's what we were part of a
13	discussion with the open data law is to indicate
14	why you cannot release something even though there
15	may be a data sate, data set.
16	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I mean I think it's
17	certain, it, absolutely.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay so when is
19	that all going to happen? Because 2018 we might be
20	dead by then?
21	[laughter]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We're all trying
23	to get this thing done. At 2018 a lot of agencies
24	in the compliance plan, they love to put in 2018,
25	2018, 2018, and I have the list right here.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 42
2	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Well you know, it's a
3	threshold matter. I certainly hope we're all still
4	here but [crosstalk] [interpose]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I do too.
6	[crosstalk] I'm just saying I want them done
7	sooner.
8	MICHAEL FLOWERS: When we reached out to
9	agencies we didn't know exactly what we were going
10	to get back. Now that we have seen the totality of
11	the plan we are working with agencies to ensure
12	that their technical capacity to get it out and
13	DoITT's technical capacity to add it to the portal
14	in an automatically refreshing manner align. So
15	part of the things that we're looking for in the
16	July 15 th update is to smooth out that curb and
17	bring some of the ones, the data sets that are
18	listed for 2018 into a closer timeframe so that we,
19	and, and, and one that we know we can meet on the
20	technical end on, on both ends.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The other
22	question I have is do you find that, you mentioned
23	a couple of FOIL examples and I appreciate that
24	'because that was a reason to pass the bill. So are
25	you checking with all agencies to see if,

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 43
2	particularly those that get a lot of FOIL requests,
3	to see if you know their data matches up some of
4	their FOIL requests so we can actually implement
5	that idea.
6	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Yeah, I mean in
7	affect. Right? So it's not so much whether There
8	are certain agencies like ECB that get maybe, you
9	know we've mentioned that there were two requests.
10	Those were actually two massive requests right?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
12	MICHAEL FLOWERS: And so the same holds
13	for, that is absolutely part of the dialogue when
14	I'm dealing with agencies. Right.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Does anybody
16	know how many agencies get what kind of FOIL
17	requests? I mean does that [interpose]
18	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I don't know that off
19	the top of my head.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That would be a
21	great data set.
22	[laughter]
23	MICHAEL FLOWERS: The FOIL request data
24	set?
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 44
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes. I mean some
3	people are crazy and they FOIL everybody all the
4	time. I know all those people.
5	[laughter]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But, but They
7	probably live in my district.
8	[laughter]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But I also know
10	that people have legitimate reason. So I think that
11	would be a something to look at and then you could
12	compare that to where you're able to reduce that in
13	a year or so to say this is something that the law
14	was intended to do and it's a cost savings. So I,
15	I'm just saying that we did ask when the law was
16	passed, or during the discussions; could we get a
17	data set on the number of FOIL requests and xx. My
18	guess is PD gets a lot.
19	MICHAEL FLOWERS: It's, yeah, I don't
20	know.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know.
22	[laughter]
23	MICHAEL FLOWERS: I mean I, I really
24	don't know but I think what you're saying is
25	perfectly reasonable [interpose]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 45
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright so is
3	that something that [interpose]
4	MICHAEL FLOWERS:and makes sense.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:that we All
6	I'm saying. So that's the FOIL and the issue of the
7	bill would be helpful. Do you have recommendations
8	to, for the future as to how to help agencies
9	automite[phonetic], automate data posting? Because
10	I know some of them have legacy systems or other
11	issues. Are they something Do you have some
12	recommendations how to address how the data can be
13	automated for posting more regularly? That would
14	help with the updating.
15	MICHAEL FLOWERS: You know you want to
16	take that?
17	CHARLES FRASER: Yeah. You know at this
18	point it's, it's very much a function of the
19	technology state of the, of the systems of the
20	agency. In all cases without moving to new
21	platforms they're going to have to do some kind of
22	data extraction and that's always going to be a
23	manual process. What we try to do is provide them
24	with standards whereby we can automate the feed of
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 46
2	those files once they've been extracted from their
3	systems and we do have that in place.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And do we know
5	how many agencies have the kinds of, I don't know
6	if it's a Legacy, I hope it's not… I don't know
7	what kind of system it is that would make it more
8	challenging.
9	CHARLES FRASER: Well often times there
10	are things like access to data basis or, or even
11	manually kept records that have to be entered into
12	a, a spreadsheet to be set so there's a whole,
13	there's a whole panoply of approaches. And as we
14	identified the data there's no guarantee the data
15	is even associated with the system per say. So
16	there's a, there's a whole spread here. What we're
17	trying to do is create standards around the formats
18	for the data so as they do capture it it's in a
19	form in which it can be fed to us.
20	MICHAEL FLOWERS: And then just to kind
21	of add to that it's not just the technology
22	challenge there right? So the, the question that
23	becomes to what extent can we set up an incentive
24	structure right, where they want to do this anyway
25	and it eliminates some of the labor involved from a
I	

1

25

technological standpoint to bring systems into 2 3 compliance. Right? And much of the work that we've described in our, in our opening statement really 4 is about kind of changing the incentive structure 5 6 so that they want default to open so that when they 7 build these new systems they're defaulting you know to a system that allows this data to go forward. So 8 9 it's a, it's a kind of a joint technological and 10 business process.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay one last 12 question because I know we want to hear from the public. I'm just wondering, I, I'm very interested 13 14 in the comments from the public and could that be 15 posted in the data set in the future. Obviously that would take some intelligence and commitment 16 17 from staff but that's something that would be I 18 think helpful for archives and interest in general. 19 UNKNOWN MALE: So if you visit the 20 nominate website you can see in, on a single website exactly all of the data sets that had been 21 suggested. So it is in a unified view. We also take 22 23 comments on specific data sets. So if you go to a particular data set, all the comments related to 24

that data set will be there. So we've organized it

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 48
2	in a way that we are looking both at comments on
3	data sets and on things that should be there and it
4	is organized and is available in a unified form.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright. Thank
6	you Mr. Chair. I could ask questions all day but
7	there are a lot of other people who'd like to
8	testify. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You can ask
10	questions all day. You have it like that. I have, I
11	did have one question here before I let you go. Two
12	quick things. One is; is the open data law helping
13	to reduce 311 reports?
14	UNKNOWN MALE: I don't know.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You don't know?
16	UNKNOWN MALE: I mean, no I, I really
17	don't know that. We could seek to measure it. I
18	don't know, I don't know what you do to reduce 311
19	reports.
20	[laughter]
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Here's the
22	other thing. You know I was looking at the maps and
23	usually they have the little dots and then
24	sometimes it's like an overdose of dots. Is there
25	any way to like split the map? Like instead of the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 49
2	whole outer Manhattan being in one map to split it
3	in two so you know I think at one point it just
4	becomes one big blog. You know.
5	UNKNOWN MALE: I think density maps like
6	that, alright you're talking about the 311 map
7	right?
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.
9	UNKNOWN MALE: I mean density maps like
10	that are always prone to over representation of the
11	information right?
12	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.
13	UNKNOWN MALE: I mean we're constantly
14	working on it, figuring out better ways to
15	visualize that information. I think what you're
16	saying is that imminently reasonable.
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. I want to
18	thank you all, great information and looking
19	forward to a better future with this open data law.
20	Thank you so much.
21	UNKNOWN MALE: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Now we're going to
23	have JONATHAN AUERBACH Kaehny from Reinvent Albany,
24	Noel Hidalgo Code for America, Rachael Fauss in
25	Citizen's Union and Gene Russianoff from NYPIRG.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 50
2	[pause]
3	[background comments]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: These are the
5	coolest people in New York. Just want, these ones
6	coming up.
7	[pause]
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You may begin
9	whoever wants to go first. Can introduce yourself.
10	JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: Hi my name is
11	JONATHAN AUERBACH Kaehny. I'm the Executive
12	Director of Reinvent Albany and I'm also co-chair
13	of the New York City Transparency Working Group.
14	Brought along today a copy of a report,
15	recommendations from all of our groups in the
16	transparency working group, it's right here, handed
17	this out to members and hope that you get a look at
18	that. That's a more extensive background than what
19	we're going to talk about today.
20	I have a couple basic points. One, all
21	of our groups strongly strongly support the open
22	data law and think it is extremely important for
23	the future of open government in New York City on
24	par with the Freedom of Information Law. This is a
25	foundational aspect of transparency and open

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 51
2	government in New York City and we're, we're just
3	scratching the surface of the importance of what
4	this law offers and the benefits that it offers.
5	And I think that's a very important point for the
6	incoming administration and city council who we
7	strongly urge to fully fund open data law staff and
8	make sure the implementation goes ahead full bore.
9	And we credit the Bloomberg administration and the
10	city council for really pushing the open data law.
11	I mean there's been a lot of bumps in the road but
12	council member Brewer's work and the work of Mike
13	Flowers has been extremely important. So we want to
14	give them a lot of credit up front for this.
15	A couple things. The open data law is
16	working in our view and it's working well. The
17	spirit of the law is being met by the
18	administration and by the council. And I say that
19	at the onset. There's very very important public
20	data sets that Mike Flowers mentioned that have
21	been released PLUTO, ACRIS, 311 complaints, things
22	that the public had been working literally decades
23	to get out. PLUTO, since the early 90s some have
24	been trying to get out. The journalists have been
25	regularly been siting the open data law; the New
1	

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY York Times, The Guardian online, national public 2 3 radio. They're siting the flood maps. They're 4 siting other key data on from the Department of Health regularly. So if you look at the info 5 graphics in their online publications you'll see 6 7 New York City open data portal credited in the corner there. And that's a sign of success. That's 8 9 exactly what we want to see from the open data law. 10 There's apps developers that are using the open 11 data law to build lots of apps. We'll hear about that which is a way of getting information out to 12 the public in lots of different channels. And 13 that's one of the basic ideas behind the open data 14 15 law. And as Mike Flowers mentioned government is 16 starting to use the open data law and in this case the Taxi and Limousine Commission. But we know of 17 18 many many examples from agencies in which regular 19 agency workers are looking at the open data site in 20 the course of their everyday work. And this is exactly what we want to see happen. Get this 21 valuable information out. So the law is working but 22 23 we think the open, the implementation does need improvement. I commend the council for pressing the 24 administration on questions about why the deadline 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 53
2	on March 2013 was missed. All of the data that was
3	due on that is already on city websites in a closed
4	format. That's very important. So we know that data
5	exists. In fact we know it's online in a non-useful
6	format. So they should really be pressed on that
7	and that data should come out much much sooner than
8	2018. And we hope that at the next oversight
9	hearing that they're further pushed on that. In
10	fact I'd recommend this committee send a letter
11	asking them to push ahead with that because I, I
12	really don't see the excuse that they have since so
13	much of that data is in fact already in a
14	spreadsheet format or a digital format that allows
15	easy easy publishing in the open data format.
16	They're just, they're not good excuses for that.
17	I'll accept other excuses for many many other
18	things. The size of the city does matter but in
19	this particular case they've already got that
20	information on their own websites.
21	There's a couple other points. We see
22	overall a mismatch at this point. And this is part
23	of the, partly due to the fact that the law is very
24	new. But there's a mismatch between what the public
25	most wants to see and what data the city has made

1

available. And I'm sure many many people after me 2 3 will speak to specific data sets there. But much as Freedom of Information Law is about pushing, it's 4 about struggle, it's about always trying to get 5 6 better. The open data law is also a struggle. It's 7 an ongoing push between the public and the administration. And we are continuing to see many 8 9 agencies dragging their feet on data that they know that the public is extremely interested in. For 10 instance NYPD crime and traffic crash data much 11 12 much requested. Most major American cities have this data on their websites in a open data format 13 14 so apps developers can use and show. New York City 15 should do the same. That's the tip of the iceberg. 16 We mentioned about a hundred data sets that we 17 identify in the back of this report that we believe 18 should be published on an expedited basis. And 19 there're probably many more that others here after me will mention as well. But the entire back of 20 this report are priority data sets that we think 21 are technically feasible and we've identified where 22 23 they are. Lastly we have a couple recommendations for getting data out that the public is most 24 interested in. First, and council should be really 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 55
2	aware of this and continue to push on this, this is
3	part of what you could call an open information
4	ecosystem with 311, with FOIL, and with website
5	usage data from city websites which the city
6	collects using Google Analytics. All of that
7	information should inform what data sets come
8	first. If the city has limited resources it needs
9	to be picking the most important data sets first.
10	And we know we're getting tens of thousands of 311
11	requests, many many thousands of FOIL requests, and
12	we have millions of hits on city websites. So we
13	have, we know what the public is interested and the
14	data should be prioritized accordingly to the
15	public interest. The whole idea behind open data is
16	that the public can make apps, they can make
17	websites, they can use this data and spread this
18	information in ways that offload requests from 311
19	and from city websites and make it more easily
20	available. That's what this is all about.
21	Lastly we would suggest that council
22	strengthen this law by passing a new law which
23	we're calling `One Strike, You're in' and that
24	would require that data that's released under a
25	FOIL request be automatically required to be
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 56
2	included in the city's open data portal. So for
3	instance right now there are numerous numerous FOIL
4	requests and sometimes lawsuits against major city
5	agencies in which data is released ad hock and then
6	it doesn't go into the city's open data portal. It
7	would make complete sense that once that's in, it's
8	in. So if council would like to strengthen this law
9	that's a way of using FOIL in combination with the
10	open data law to strengthen both. Thanks.
11	[background comments]
12	[pause]
13	RACHAEL FAUSS: Good afternoon chair
14	Cabrera and council member Brewer. My name is
15	Rachael Fauss. I'm the Policy and Research Manager
16	for Citizen's Union a nonpartisan good government
17	organization dedicated to making democracy work for
18	all New Yorkers. As you both know we've been
19	involved in the open data law process for a long
20	time. We've supported the bill when it was first
21	introduced and the law when it was passed. And we
22	welcome the city council's continued engagement on
23	this issue and as government become increasingly
24	data driven it's important that that data be pushed
25	out to the public. So before turning to our
I	I

1

evaluation of things that are missing and things 2 3 that should be done in terms of the open data law we'd just like to reiterate some of the positive 4 5 effects that the law has had and why open data is so important. I know JONATHAN AUERBACH alluded to 6 7 them and they're in the progress and challenges report but just to, just to reiterate. High valued 8 9 data sets, many of them have already been put out. 10 There's widespread use of the open data portal. 11 Agency staff, public, academics, journalists, and I 12 would assume many council staffers as well. And there's also been a lot of commercial use of the 13 14 portal. And I think you know that really shows that 15 it's the goal of open data in creating jobs and 16 fitting in with the tech economy is actually coming 17 to fruition as well. So in terms of next steps. Something that we think is an important thing for 18 19 the council to do is actually lead by example in 20 this area and many of the council has put some data sets in the open data portal but there's certain 21 things that we think could be added and would be 22 23 important. First off being city council expenditure reports. This is something that actually the New 24 York State Legislature does. They're not often seen 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 58
2	as a model in this area but they release
3	expenditure reports that has information about
4	mailings, rent, costs of other things associated
5	with members, central staff, etcetera. And this
6	actually was supported by 31 incoming members of
7	the council in the Rules Reform Agenda. So this is
8	something that I know some of the members of the
9	council are thinking about but it's certainly
10	something that would be important to include on the
11	portal.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I agree except I
13	ask one incoming member to come to the open data
14	hearing and he said what's open data. Just so you
15	know.
16	[laughter]
17	RACHAEL FAUSS: Well that's a part of an
18	education process I think But…
19	[laughter]
20	RACHAEL FAUSS: Well I think you know on
21	that point you know I think members are interested
22	in learning information about each other. So if
23	more information about the council itself is online
24	the members themselves may learn about the value of
25	it.
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 59
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You are being
3	very nice.
4	[laughter]
5	RACHAEL FAUSS: And another piece that
6	we'd ask I know that the compliance plan has data
7	from Leister the council's portal for, for its own
8	legislation, another piece of data that could be
9	included, should be included as part of that is the
10	legislative service requests or when a member
11	requests a bill be drafted. There is a list of that
12	that from what we understand but it's not made
13	available to the public currently. Another piece
14	that we think would be important for the council to
15	do is for agencies that aren't mayoral appointed
16	ones where the council actually makes appointments
17	or shares appointments with the mayor. We think you
18	could play an important role in ensuring some of
19	their data is put out to the public. For example
20	the city clerk has a city lobbyist database. They
21	haven't reported in the compliance plan for
22	example. And we think both they should report what
23	data they plan to publish on the portal but also
24	the city lobbying database only includes basic

information. It doesn't include many of the

1

underlying data that's reported by the public. And 2 3 another agency that was not included in the 4 compliance plan that should be is the campaign finance board. The council also makes appointments 5 there. They have a very good database themselves 6 7 but there's other data other than expenditure and campaign contribution information that they have 8 9 that would be important to put on the portal. For the administration there's a few things that we'd 10 11 like to highlight as priority data sets. But 12 actually before that we agree that, and I know this came up earlier in the questioning, there should be 13 14 a list of which agencies are subject to the open 15 data law that's made available to the public and to 16 the city council. This helps with oversight both on 17 your end but also on our end as a good government 18 organization. Though of course agencies that aren't 19 subject to the law can voluntarily disclose 20 information. I mentioned some agencies that haven't submitted compliance plans; the Campaign Finance 21 Board and the City Clerk, there're few others that 22 23 weren't included that we think should be examined; Board of Standards and Appeals, Civilian Complaint 24 Review Board, Commission to Combat Police 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 61
2	Corruption, and that's among others. We look at
3	agencies that are relevant to the work we do but
4	I'm sure there are more that perhaps weren't
5	included in the compliance plan. And then the
6	council I think should also look at other
7	legislative changes to strengthen the law. I know
8	JONATHAN AUERBACH mentioned the `One Strike, You're
9	In', something that was discussed as part of the
10	bill. Negotiation was including a right of action
11	for the public for including data in there. As
12	we're seeing the rollout of open data that's
13	something we think that should be considered,
14	continued to be considered. And then as far as a
15	couple of priority data sets that we think should
16	be on a fast track, we've got them in the New York
17	City, the Transparency Working Group plan but just
18	one data I'd like to mention is the doing business
19	database. I think for a good government and
20	accountability it's a particularly important
21	database to release to the public through the
22	portal. So thank you.
23	GENE RUSSIANOFF: Good afternoon
24	chairman Cabrera and the member Brewer and staff.
25	I'm Gene Russianoff from Senior Attorney with the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 62
2	New York Public Interest Research Group and along
3	with JONATHAN AUERBACH I'm co-chair of the
4	Transparency Working Group. For the main part of my
5	testimony I wanted to recount a story that I think
6	really conveys the difficulties that many of our
7	groups' NGOs have faced and tried to get that out
8	of the city. So my story starts in 1980 and was way
9	back when. And NYPIRG wanted to do a study of the
10	fairness of city property taxes. We were told by
11	the finance department that the data was not
12	available because the selling price of the homes
13	was taken off of tax stamps and that as tax
14	information they were confidential. We were, we
15	were about to give up when we discovered in the
16	catalogue for the city planning commission that
17	exact piece of data was for sale. So, but the sad
18	part of the story is that in our haste to get ahold
19	of this data we purchased the printout because
20	everything is a learning experience in life and as
21	a result of that we had to key punch nearly 23
22	thousand home sales and it's 30 years ago but I can
23	remember the feel of the cold metal at the IBM key
24	punch card and the giant stack of mistakes I had
25	made that I had to redo. But you know with dog it

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY persistence we turned out a report called city of 2 3 unequal neighbors that documented low income 4 homeowners were wacked with higher assessments than was fair and we convinced the city of New York 5 6 under Mayor Edward Koch to reassess about 50 7 thousand homes. But it's still a painful experience. Out of these experiences groups 8 convinced the 1989 New York City Charter Revision 9 Commission to create a Commission of Public 10 11 Information and Communication. And except for its 12 first year it went for 23 years without funding. The Public Advocates Office which is a chair of 13 this commission is the public advocate you know 14 15 made real serious attempts to try and change that 16 reality but it wasn't. So my point here is that 17 it's been a severe challenge for our groups many 18 times to get data from the city. And the New York 19 City open data law should change that. The rest of 20 my testimony can be summed up in one word after Rachael and JONATHAN AUERBACH's testimony which 21 would be ditto. 22 23 NOEL HIDALGO: Thanks Gene, honorable

council person and councilors and staff. It's a 24 pleasure to be in front of you this morning. I'm 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 64
2	Noel Hidalgo. I'm a civic technologist and a civic
3	hacker. I'm the former Director of Technology
4	Innovation at the New York State Senate the
5	cofounder of Beta NYC. We're building a connected
б	New York City by the people for the people for the
7	21 st Century and we do this through weekly
8	meetings. And in this room you'll actually find a
9	number of us. We are hackers, yackers, and mappers
10	and we are grateful for the city's data catalogue
11	and we're here to outline what we need to make our
12	communities safer and smarter. So back in 2009 we
13	started meeting and we were primarily a community
14	of civic technologists. We're really passionate
15	about being the technology vanguard. Over the last
16	few years we've partnered with several city
17	agencies to explore how data and tools can improve
18	the lives of New Yorkers. We're members of the NYC
19	Digital Code Core which is supposed to be the
20	National Guard for nerds here in the city. In 2013
21	we hosted and worked with NYC DoITT, NYC Digital,
22	NYC DOT, NYC Financial Empowerment Centers, the
23	EDC, Citi Bike, and NYU Wagner School just to name
24	a few of the organizations that we're working with.
25	Because of your leadership NYC is now seen as one

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY of the premier cities for civic hacking. Local law 2 3 11 of 2012 ushered in a new era of transparency and opportunity and now I will outline three areas; 4 wins, frustrations, and opportunities. 5

1

One of the biggest win is the fact that 6 7 the New York City civic hacker community has grown 8 110 percent over the last year. We started the year 9 with 600 members and we're now a 13 hundred people 10 who are meeting on a weekly basis. We've done this 11 through 43 events. We pretty much meet as I said 12 weekly. In the spring we worked with the EDC to reframe the BigApps through advocacy we got them to 13 14 focus on building communities and companies 15 'because we see that data is an opportunity for 16 this. So out of the BigApps seven apps won 125 17 thousand dollars. 42 data providers offered their 18 data. 517 individuals participated. 120 projects 19 were created. And 54 were eligible to win. This is 20 a vast ecosystem to what JONATHAN AUERBACH was talking about a little earlier. The other big win 21 was PLUTO and ACRIS. The liberation of PLUTO and 22 23 ACRIS has fueled an explosive demand to understand the city's built environment and property records. 24 StreetEasy, a made in NYC company and one of our 25

2 hack night partners was acquired this year for 50 3 million dollars. Their liber, the liberation of 4 these two data sets will produce more of these 5 success stories.

1

Some of our frustrations. Primarily 6 7 it's around NYPD and Citi Bike. While they are independent of each other they have provided some 8 9 of the most rewarding conversations but also 10 frustrating. We have a monthly hack night with NYU 11 Rudin where 30 applications, public safety, and 12 bike apps have been created but because of the poor data formatting we're forced to scrape the data. 13 14 This is a process that decreases the data's quality 15 and goes against the NYPD's testimony of usability 16 and accuracy. We want safer and more accessible. We 17 want a safer and more accessible city. And if we have the data we could help. Some of the other 18 frustrations is around machine readability. We 19 20 continue to see data being locked away in PDFs or 'saved as' in air quotes as spread sheets that were 21 22 formatted to be printed and read by humans. When 23 they're released many of these data sets are aggregated so they're, they're synthesized for us 24 as if we're not intelligent enough to read the pure 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 67
2	data. And what we want as civic hackers is that
3	data to be disaggregated and frequently updated.
4	From our research if a report or data is hosted
5	away from the NYC data catalogue and on an agency's
6	site there is a higher likelihood of that data not
7	being machine readable and in our mind that
8	violates the spirit of the law. I, in my testimony
9	have two examples. One of them is NYPD where we had
10	a, we met in front of the Transportation Committee
11	last month and gave explicit testimony. In summary
12	they said we already have hackers working on this
13	data. The reality is we're the hackers and we're
14	frustrated with the data and we want it to be
15	better and they said no. The other example is
16	Department of Transportation. They produce a number
17	of feeds, data feeds that are human readable but we
18	can't ingest them into our system and if we had
19	this data we would be able to build better
20	notification tools for drivers and cyclists. Some
21	of our other frustrations were enumerated at the
22	very beginning around the timeliness of the open
23	data plan. Only 75 of the data sets, 75 out of 434
24	data sets are going to be updated on a daily or
25	weekly basis? And that's a frustration for us

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 68
2	because we want to build useful apps and timeliness
3	of data release helps us build useful and friendly
4	apps. Example, New York City Schools, said almost
5	all of the data that they're going to be releasing
6	is soon to be released between now and the 31^{st} of
7	December 2018 even though they just produced this
8	really awesome data set for high school students we
9	still don't know when the rest of their data is
10	going to be coming out. And the Department of
11	Mental Hygiene, Health and Mental Hygiene has data
12	sets that are coming out in 2016, 2017, and 2018.
13	We don't actually These are critical health
14	inspection data but we don't actually know when or
15	how they're going to be releasing them.
16	So improvements, specific improvements
17	to the NYC open data law. One is to improve the
18	scope of the law. We heard beforehand state
19	chartered agencies, franchise agreements, and
20	county data sets, for example Richmond County their
21	property sales and court records are file under
22	county law so therefore they are not included in
23	the data, in the city data mine. And additionally
24	we completely support the One Strike and You're In
25	policy, machine readability, disaggregation of

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 69
2	data, and preferably error reporting. This says
3	it's something that hasn't come up before but the
4	law states that we want machine readability. We
5	completely agree it's really hard to get those
6	agencies to comply with that. The disaggregate data
7	is something that we're advocating for, we really
8	really want, it's been hard to get. And then
9	finally is around error reporting we'd like to see
10	some type of policy or legal construct around our
11	ability, the peoples' ability to report back
12	inconsistent data or issues of data quality
13	control. We would like to improve the frequency of
14	the data publication as I previously stated and
15	publish the data in common data standards. In 2012
16	Code for America, Yelp[dot]com, the city of San
17	Francisco, and New York City worked together to
18	produce a commonly accessible file format called
19	LIVES, Local Inspector Value Entry Specification.
20	It's a data standard for health inspection scores
21	essentially the A, B, C, and Ds that we see all
22	around in the restaurants. Sometime last year New
23	York City pulled out. We're not sure exactly why
24	but the current NYC restaurant inspection score
25	database is overly complicated and continues to be

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 70
2	filled with inconsistencies and if we could get the
3	city to adopt more of these standards we would be
4	able to quickly turn around applications for you
5	that improve the lives of all New Yorkers. So thank
6	you for your time.
7	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
8	Quick question before I turn it over to council
9	member Brewer. Was there anything that
10	administration, you heard them speak, comments that
11	frustrated you, concerned you, any red flags.
12	JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: [off mic]
13	Well I would
14	JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: First I mean
15	we think that the mayor's office and Mike Flowers,
16	and Nick O'Brian have been working really really
17	hard and they're not going to throw any agencies
18	under the bus. They're, it's very clear however
19	that many agencies are dragging their feet on a
20	compliance and basically just refusing to, refusing
21	requests of DoITT and the Mayor's Office on this.
22	And we hear, you know firsthand account so we know
23	it's happening, we, we mentioned a couple agencies;
24	NYPD on crime and traffic, City DOT on Citi Bike
25	data Noel Mentioned but it also comes with DOE and

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 71
2	DEP and All the big main line agencies. They have a
3	lot of data sets that are of huge public interest
4	that they're really dragging on. And in some cases
5	it's technical issues with just the scale and the
б	reliability of the data. But in other cases it's
7	because they want control and they want control
8	over the release. So you know that's something
9	that's hard for them to say and go through. The,
10	it's very very important that the data dashboard be
11	effective because as Gale noted in her persistent
12	questioning we really don't have a lot of leverage
13	on the, we the public. We can't sue agencies to
14	comply with the open data law. There is no public
15	right of action on this. So public complaint and
16	public protest which has to be informed by the data
17	dashboard is very very important. And the, the
18	other piece though that we have in terms of
19	compliance and accountability are oversight
20	hearings like this one. So your questioning is
21	super important for the future success and
22	viability of this law. But I would point to basic
23	agency noncompliance as remaining a big problem. I
24	mean this is just like FOIL. I mentioned that in
<u>о</u> г	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 72
2	that some agencies simply do not want information
3	easily available to the public.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
5	And I want to recognize council member
6	Tish[phonetic] that is has join us. Thank you so
7	much future of public advocate. Council member
8	Brewer.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very
10	much for all your efforts on behalf of
11	Transparency. I think you add it all up it's a
12	awful lot of time and commitment. I, I just have a
13	couple of questions. First of all did we have any
14	discussions and maybe I'm wrong with some kind of
15	an advisory board to the agencies or is that make
16	any sense? Otherwise you know the hearings make
17	sense, the comments that are organized in the
18	fashion it was described but I think you probably
19	need even more in talking about the dashboard. So
20	you know it's something that is, is the only way we
21	have in terms of making our voices heard. But are
22	there any other suggestions? The advisory board may
23	not be it but are there any other ways you suggest
24	in terms of the public having a say?
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 73
2	JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: I'll tell you
3	what I think is by far the most important thing to
4	make this law work. Two things; one, agencies need
5	to understand, Mike Flowers alluded to this, that
6	this law helps them. It reduces their work load. It
7	reduces public complaints, FOIL requests,
8	irritation. And secondly city council needs to use
9	this law. City council staff need to use this law
10	whenever city council is asking for information
11	from an agency which is every single day in
12	oversight hearings they need to say can we get this
13	out of a, a data set that is in the open data
14	portal instead of having to go through an agency
15	and in ad hock request way. And when you guys ask
16	for it and when you are, and your central staff
17	starts using it all the time and taking it for
18	granted and council members in every single
19	committee starts saying is that in the open data
20	portal. Then this law will work. I think those are
21	the two most important things. And in, in terms of
22	council maybe there's a discussion with central
23	staff that can happen about the open data law and
24	about the opportunity it presents. Because part of
25	this law is empowering the legislative branch with

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 74
2	information because as we know dragging information
3	out of the executive, out of the mayor is a big
4	challenge for you as well as the public. So this
5	law is for you and you have to use it. And I would
6	say that's, you know if we can make you do that
7	that is more powerful than any advisory panel or
8	anything else.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Excellent. Next
10	question is, this is… Oh go ahead sorry.
11	RACHAEL FAUSS: I, I was just going to
12	add, I mean we were talking, you mentioned earlier
13	about not all the incoming members of the council
14	knowing about the open data law. I think having the
15	oversight hearing early in the next year right
16	after the next compliance plan comes out I think
17	shows [interpose]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Council member
19	Cabrera can make sure they all show up.
20	[laughter]
21	RACHAEL FAUSS: Yeah but I think it's an
22	opportunity to educate the new members about the
23	law and its, its benefits.
24	GENE RUSSIANOFF: Is this included in
25	any of the training for the new members? I don't
	l

75 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY know it was a late suggestion but you know if 2 3 they're, they should be familiar with the tool their arsenal for doing oversight of the mayoral 4 5 agencies? 6 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Actually it's not 7 because you know it wasn't a, it wasn't law but I, the new members, they haven't been briefed yet. 8 9 They haven't been trained. And, and, and the 10 various pieces that I went through four years ago 11 but it's a good idea and it will definitely pass on 12 to our, the next speaker. NOEL HIDALGO: I just want to point out 13 14 that Pediacity is going to be testifying here as a 15 BigApps winner. As a current participant of 16 improving the New York City open data law they have 17 a unique tool that actually provides that type of 18 insight. And that's something that's coming from 19 the citizens right now. If DoITT can't do it we're 20 more than happy to do it as citizens. So just give us the data and we'll build those dashboards for 21 22 you. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Final question is, this is a little bit broader but, one of my 24 concerns is making sure communities be they parent 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 76
2	organizations, community boards, not only have
3	access want to know how to work with the data.
4	Otherwise it's like the city council. We have to
5	use it. So my question would be do you have some
6	suggestions as to how the communities in the
7	broadest sense could be taught, shared with,
8	supported in terms of using that data. Obviously it
9	has to be in some cases you know mapped and shown
10	how to do overlays and how it's relevant to the
11	communities, etcetera. And I, I, you know this is
12	obviously something that I care deeply about but I
13	also think that's how the portal gets used and gets
14	improved.
15	NOEL HIDALGO: I'll take that again. So
16	that's one of the things that we do through Code
17	for America's brigade program. We do it here
18	locally through Beta NYC and we do it nationally,
19	actually internationally through 30 different, 32
20	different community groups. So here in New York
21	City we meet on a weekly basis and we're more or
22	less getting the technologists who can understand

23 this data to build platforms like Pediacity does so 24 that way you can get that insight. And then the 25 next step is to figure out that curriculum around

1

education. We hope at the, with this unique 2 3 opportunity with a new council coming in that we 4 can actually be going to all the council members not only showing that we are constituents but that 5 6 we're also building these tools that provide that 7 type of insight. Other great opportunities would be to bake it into the curriculum within education. 8 9 Education, public education either through library 10 centers, educate, like actual formal education program, having 21st century civics programs making 11 12 kids required learning on how to crunch the data, have them understand their particular community. In 13 14 boy scouts I did that. You know why can't I do that 15 now have we no computer you know? So those are steps that the next council needs to take. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. 18 JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: An incoming 19 borough president could do something cool here. 20 [laughter] COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah income 21 borough president is thinking about it but I'm 22 23 getting your ideas. JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: Okay which is 24 to get the community board district managers and 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 78
2	committees to identify what their most requested
3	data is and then to collate that into actual data
4	sets.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm hoping Code
6	for America will help us do that.
7	JONATHAN AUERBACH KAEHNY: They're going
8	to help you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.
10	GENE RUSSIANOFF: I just wanted to
11	confess that I have very little ability to deal
12	with the data. I can find it but then knowing how
13	to play with it is very difficult so various
14	educational opportunities would be greatly
15	appreciated.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Me and Gene need
17	help. Thank you.
18	[laughter]
19	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
20	GENE RUSSIANOFF: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We're going to
22	have the next panel. Ellen McDermott from
23	OpenPlans, Dominic Marro NY Tech Meetup, Nathan
24	Storey from Ontodia, and Juan Martinez from
25	Transportation Alternative.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 79
2	[pause]
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Hi, you may begin.
4	ELLEN MCDERMOTT: Well it's in front of
5	me so I have to begin.
6	[laughter]
7	ELLEN MCDERMOTT: Good afternoon. My
8	name is Ellen McDermott. I'm co director of
9	OpenPlans a not for profit technology development
10	firm based in Manhattan. We work with city and
11	state agencies, community boards and community
12	groups to provide them with better tools for
13	transportation planning and neighborhood planning.
14	OpenPlans worked closely with council member Gale
15	Brewer and others on the council to get the open
16	data law passed. We've been a member of the New
17	York City Transparency Working Group since its
18	inception. In my testimony today I will give
19	examples of how open data works, supports our work
20	as a local technology developer but I also have
21	some recommendations to strengthen the open data
22	ecosystem in New York City. This fall we have been
23	working with community board six in Brooklyn and
24	CB6 and CB7 in Manhattan to create maps showing
25	requested capital spending. With council member

1

Lander's office and the participatory budgeting 2 3 project we are helping residents of eight council districts be more involved in local spending 4 decisions. Just last week we created a map for the 5 community group Make Brooklynn Safer which they are 6 7 using to gather information on street safety issues. The data they are gathering is informing 8 conversations with the 88th precinct as they work 9 together to improve street safety after several 10 11 recent pedestrian fatalities. The examples I gave are all made easier with the city's open data. We 12 would like to see more data to enable more 13 14 community based planning. I have several 15 recommendations. First, release more data about spending and capital improvements. Community 16 organizations will be able to advocate more 17 18 effectively with access to more detailed, up to 19 date, information about capital spending data. 20 Specifically agency responses to community board requests past and future discretionary spending by 21 council members and information about planned and 22 23 proposed capital spending by the DOT, the Parks Department, and other agencies making physical 24 changes to communities. 25

2 Secondly, work with users to improve 3 the open data portal. DoITT should involve users of the data portal in design, design decision, design 4 decisions to ensure that the portal is working for 5 its intended audience. By convening a usability 6 7 clinic Do, DoITT can tap into the wealth of experience available in New York's policy and 8 9 software communities to guide decisions and make the portal even more useful. This effort needs to 10 11 be regular and ongoing. Third improve city data 12 through community collaboration. The process of opening up data so far has been one way. Data from 13 14 city agencies has been published in formats that 15 people can use. Looking into the future we 16 encourage this committee to consider how agencies 17 can save money and have up to date information by 18 using community edited data. For example community 19 mapping of street trees and the freely editable 20 open street map. The recent collaboration between DoITT and volunteer cartographers to include 21 building footprint data into open street map is a 22 23 commendable example. Implementing two way sharing of open data raises complex issues about data 24 quality and data management. And I urge this 25

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY
 committee to charge DoITT with investigating this
 topic further.

4 Finally I welcome this hearing and commend the committee for giving us and other data 5 users a forum to share our concerns and ideas. I 6 7 suggest regular oversight hearings on progress by DoITT and agencies in opening up their valuable 8 9 data. My coworkers and I are always available to 10 assist committee members with this important topic 11 to support greater community involvement in making 12 New York City a better place to live and work. Thank you. 13

NATHAN STOREY: Good afternoon honorable 14 15 council member. I am Nathan Storey, Product Manager 16 for Pediacities[dot]com encyclopedia of city data 17 made by Ontodia, a local made in New York company 18 and winner of your previous BigApps. I'm also a 19 member of Beta NYC, Code for America brigade in New 20 York City and I strongly support the city's open data efforts and acknowledge that the city has made 21 great progress thus far as other people have 22 23 testified. But I know we must do more to realize the full economic potential of open data. In a 24 study released just last month the McKinsey Global 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 83
2	Institute estimates, estimates that open date has
3	the potential to unlock three to five trillion
4	dollars in economic value per year in the US alone.
5	In New York much focus has been placed on using
6	data contests known as hackathons to unlock that
7	potential. Hackathons are intended to spur the
8	creation of projects that turn into viable
9	businesses. And while that is certainly the case
10	with Pediacities our company and my job are the
11	exception. To create more open data jobs we need
12	stronger ongoing partnerships between the producers
13	and the users of city data. First we need agencies
14	to replace their closed internal data systems with
15	open data platforms. This would create open data
16	jobs of course but it would also create better
17	feedback loops to correct errors, allow for more
18	efficient identification of gaps in the available
19	data sets, and make possible the incorporation of
20	community generated data. Second we need to invest
21	more resources in the expansion of open data across
22	the civic realm. More integration between DoITT's
23	open data team and community groups and an
24	expansion of volunteer Code Corp projects beyond
25	the disaster response are good places to start. In
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 84
2	addition to contests we should invest governmental
3	and philanthropic resources in imbedding civic
4	technology experts directly in our city's community
5	boards, city council staffs, and agency rosters.
6	Finally the city council and new administration
7	should look for ways to create and track ambitious
8	performance indicators such as early indicators for
9	risks of foreclosure, gentrification,
10	disinvestment, climate vulnerability, and the like.
11	If open data can support the creation of these
12	kinds of early warning systems the economic and
13	social impact will be profound. Thank you.
14	DOMINIC MARRO: Good afternoon. My name
15	is Dominic Marro Marrow. I'm a Staff Attorney at
16	Reinvent Albany and I'm here today to read a brief
17	statement on behalf of the New York Tech Meetup
18	which was unable to send a representative to
19	today's hearing but wanted to emphasize its strong
20	support for the New York City open data law. The
21	New York Tech Meetup is a 36 thousand member
22	nonprofit organization that supports the growing
23	and wide technology community. Our goal is to help
24	build a sustainable technology industry that drives
25	economic growth, leads innovation, and creates

1

positive high impact change for our local 2 3 communities and the world. The New York Tech Meetup 4 has been integral to the birth of New York's new 5 technology industry with nearly every notable new company making its debut at the New York Tech 6 7 Meetup's monthly event. Companies that have demoed at the New York Tech Meetup in the past include 8 9 Foursquare, Livestream, Tumbr, Vimeo, Aviary, Audible, Twilio, Aereo, Skillshare, Shapeways, 10 11 TheLadders, HowAboutWe, Codecademy, and many many 12 many others. The tech meetup strongly supports the New York city open data law. The law is a powerful 13 14 tool for opening up government, improving public 15 services, and promoting both economic development 16 as well as tech jobs. A key feature of the law is 17 that it endorses the basic values expressed in the Freedom of Information Law, that government 18 information, in this case electronic information or 19 20 data, belongs to the public. Our government curates that information but we the public own it. The law 21 recognizes that the public you, me, and people like 22 23 our members can take that data and find useful and productive things to do with it. Like smartphones 24 open data is part of a new era in which technology 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 86
2	tools are changing how we live and how our
3	government works. We think the open data law is
4	working and has huge positive potential for New
5	York City. We look forward to working with council
6	and the next mayor to unleash that potential for
7	everyone's benefit. Thank you.
8	JUAN MARTINEZ: Hi there. Thank you to
9	the chair and the committee for the opportunity to
10	testify. Juan Martinez with Transportation
11	Alternatives. We're a nonprofit, been around 40
12	years, we work to make the city better for walking,
13	biking, public transit. And I wanted to talk to you
14	from the perspective as a, as a consumer of the
15	data that you've unlocked and how important it is
16	for us to make sure that it's done well. The jest
17	of it is that local law 11 of 2011, a bill authored
18	by council member Lappin required the NYPD to
19	publish crash data and moving violations data. It's
20	a huge opportunity to encourage the agencies to
21	more efficiently focus their street safety
22	resources and to empower communities to make
23	decisions about their own streets. The, the problem
24	is that the promise of the bill has been frustrated
25	right? We anticipated that council members,
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 87
2	community board members, community members,
3	community advocated would all be able to read this
4	data and, and see what was going on, identify
5	danger patterns and trends. But the way that the
6	data's been published by the NYPD has frustrated
7	that goal. And that's a shame because the demand
8	for the data remains very high. The, the need is
9	still very high. And if it weren't for the efforts
10	of a few civic hackers, highly talented, very
11	diligent, hardworking, private citizens who did
12	what they could to unlock the data from the way
13	that NYPD published it we wouldn't see any benefit
14	from the law that council member Lappin passed. But
15	because of their efforts we have been able to get
16	some of the data out. Right? It's not perfect. It's
17	not as clean as it could be but it's usable. And as
18	a result we're able to work with journalists, work
19	with council members who are curious about a
20	particular intersection, and that kind of thing.
21	But it's very time intensive, very labor intensive,
22	and people are coming to us for the information as
23	opposed to being able to find it themselves. Your
24	staff should be able to online and find this data.
25	And I've, you know receive calls from council and

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 88
2	staff all the time. Happy to do it. Happy to do it
3	but it's not the ideal way to do it. It's not what
4	was anticipated. Now the thing about it is that
5	it's not as if we need the NYPD to do more work in
6	order to make local law 11 what it could be, make
7	this publication of the data what it could be.
8	Actually we're asking them to do less work. What
9	they do is they publish the data in a format. They
10	add formatting to it in a way that introduces the
11	errors and makes it so that somebody can't load it
12	into their computer and produce a map with a, with
13	a, with a push of a button right. They add to the
14	data. They, they, they, they obfuscate it, they
15	confuse it right. So what we hope is one of the
16	outcomes of this hearing and council member Lappin
17	has introduced a bill modeled on council member
18	Cabrera's crime mapping bill. What we're hoping at
19	the end of this is you can convince the NYPD to
20	simply do what they're doing but put a little less
21	effort into it and make it something that we can
22	use. Well and something that this community can
23	use. Because the really, the potential's immense.
24	The idea that you could have a report sent directly
25	to your email telling you where the hotspots in

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 89
2	your neighborhood are. The next time you talk to
3	DOT and you're saying I need a speed bump you can
4	tell them why you need a speed bump because you
5	know how many crashes happened at that
6	intersection. Your community board members would
7	stop, would be able to focus on the most important
8	intersections as opposed to the ones where, have
9	gotten the most attention lately. It's a huge, huge
10	benefit if they would just do a little less work.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
12	I was going to ask you. I keep hearing the NYPD. Do
13	you think, do you think this is beca Is there a,
14	do you see an intention on the part of
15	administration to present the information this way?
16	Or, or is because they don't know any better? Or is
17	it because they're getting ready to roll out the
18	crime map bill and they don't want to take you know
19	away the big day when it comes out. Because with
20	that information right now you can actual
21	divel[phonetic] up your own right? If you had, so,
22	what do you, what do you see? How do you interpret
23	this?
24	JUAN MARTINEZ: There are very
25	sophisticated agency. They are a very big agency, a

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 90
2	four billion dollar agency. They have the staff to
3	do it well and there's been an intense scrutiny
4	from council member Lappin, council member Vacca on
5	this particular point. I hope that what they want
6	There was actually a hearing on council member
7	Lappin's bill a few weeks ago. And the
8	administration's position was that people in the
9	public can map the data today. And that is true but
10	because of the errors that are introduced by their
11	formatting it's not as good as it could be. It's
12	far from as good as it could be. So I hope that
13	they take the opportunity to really hear what
14	council member Lappin and other members of the
15	councilor saying which is that you want this data
16	to be available in its purest form. That's one of
17	the things. You know they're, they're very very
18	smart people who know their stuff very well. But at
19	the end of the day what I take away from a lot of
20	it is that they just want the purest form of the
21	data before it gets mucked up by well-intentioned
22	people.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Council member
24	Brewer.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 91
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [off mic] I'm
3	just wondering
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Do you make
5	comments on the data, regarding the data, or do you
6	know authors that do? Do you think this is
7	effective? Do you get answers? Because obviously
8	we're trying to improve it and the one way we can
9	do that is by the public. We were not able to have
10	any other mechanism. So I'm just wondering if
11	you've looked at that if you, you know and if there
12	is some improvement to that process.
13	JUAN MARTINEZ: So DoITT has done a
14	great job at being at ad events where civic hackers
15	are and being open to talk about the data sets and
16	they have made these tools available for commenting
17	on individual data sets. You know sometimes I think
18	it's a resource issue of like you know you have to
19	prioritize what you're doing so they don't always
20	get around to the individual comments. But they do
21	get around to a lot of them. So I commend them
22	about that. I think there's a, there's a deeper
23	problem which was alluded to you about getting
24	community input to fix individual kind of entries
25	in a data set and that introduces a lot of data

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 92
2	quality issues and, and, you know the process for
3	that is a lot more complex. But I, I agree that we
4	should, the council should task DoITT with looking
5	further into how we can get even better input to
6	get communities to improve data sets about
7	themselves.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very
9	much.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
11	Appreciate your time and your information. Calling
12	upon the last panel; Sara LaPlante from New York
13	City's Liberties Union, Lourdes Cintron from City
14	Wide Mental Health Project, Matthew Bishop from
15	IGiveMore[dot]org. Hmm, I like that name. Patti
16	Banghart Children's Defense Fund.
17	[pause]
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Whenever you're
19	ready you may begin. Whoever feels brave.
20	LOURDES CINTRON: [off mic]I'm the one
21	with the least experience here in technology so
22	maybe I should be the one to go first and get it,
23	get it over with.
24	
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 93
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. If you
3	could just get the microphone closer. Appreciate
4	that.
5	LOURDES CINTRON: Alright.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABREAR: Go ahead.
7	LOURDES CINTRON: My name is Good
8	afternoon my name is Lourdes Cintron, the founder
9	of the City Wide Mental Health Project a graduals
10	group of recipients of mental health services,
11	friends, and relatives. And we are striving to
12	empower consumers to learn to money toward the
13	quality of services they receive in their community
14	mental health's programs among other goals. We
15	appreciate this opportunity you grant us to
16	contribute our feedback on the topic of the, the,
17	New York City open data initiative. There is no
18	data on mental health issue in that website. I went
19	there trying to test the website to see how it
20	works. Technical aspect that I notice is that the
21	website is confusing and in my view as it is now
22	useless for the purpose stated in the law. For
23	example the icons for selecting the data format, a
24	format presentation are not user friendly, meaning
25	they are not enjoyable. It requires high levels of

1

computers and research skills to figure out which 2 3 one to select and once selected the format is still 4 confusing. I could not use it even though I do have a little bit of computer skills. As it is now most 5 6 of the members in my group do not have the skill to 7 navigate the website graphical user interface so called. If they needed to access the information 8 9 supposedly at they level. This website was designed 10 for researchers not for the public in general. 11 Transparency issues; searching in the data 12 catalogue box for mental health data is an exercise in futility. The search for either mental health or 13 14 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene give you 15 both of them quote unquote use your famous babies 16 names. And food vendors without permits. Pages and 17 pages of that kind of information repeated. Also a 18 search on 311 reports shows not a single call 19 requesting information about mental health services or a single incident related to it. Almost all 311 20 reports seems to tell something. I'd relate it to 21 vermin and rats. A researcher could easily conclude 22 23 that ratting firm infestation has no impact in the city's mental health. This could matter for policy 24 and budget purposes. Finally how is transparency 25

95

2 achieved. By publishing the name and addresses who 3 commit a minor infraction. For example names of street vendor without permit, names and address of 4 people without a vending industry without permit. 5 6 But neglecting to publish the name of big city 7 company CEOs who have the defrauded the city in millions of dollar like Mark Masors[phonetic] of 8 9 City Times[phonetic] whose name is not there for 10 example. If transparency is going to be depended on 11 report that meaningless data and if quoting from 12 the law the city lost not warrant the completeness, accuracy, content, or fitness for any particular 13 14 purpose of the data publish. Then this new law is 15 nothing but a let me just call it a mistake. Let's 16 be realistic. There has been not a single 17 government agency in this nation history which 18 welcomes transparency especially since 9/11. This 19 website as it is now is the latest edition to that historical fact. And I would like to mention two 20 more things that came to mind. People talk about 21 all kind of that data here nobody mentions the 22 23 Bronx, we don't exist, we are not in the map. And 24 the other thing is that that data is not

25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 96
2	transparency to meet transparency is a point of
3	view, a desire to do the right thing. Thank you.
4	[pause]
5	MATT BISHOP: Technology issues.
6	[laughter]
7	MATT BISHOP: My name is Matt Bishop.
8	I'm founder and CEO of IGiveMore[dot]org. We're a
9	crowd funding platform for nonprofit organizations.
10	I'm also a member of the board of directors of
11	Citizen Action of New York and I previously worked
12	at volunteers of America which had about a hundred
13	million dollars in contracts with 25 different
14	government agencies so I've worked very closely
15	with government agencies in terms of understanding
16	how nonprofits are working with government agencies
17	to deliver services to the homeless and the poor.
18	I, I want to thank the city council and the
19	Department of Information Technology for their
20	leadership on this issue. It is clear that New York
21	City is a national leader on this issue for open
22	data and civic hacking. I think the largest
23	unfulfilled opportunity of New York City open data
24	is not just the ability to, for journalists or
25	agencies to or individuals to build apps or make
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 97
2	that governments data more accessible. The
3	opportunity really is for agencies to create
4	laboratories of policy analysis by which third
5	party apps can collect information and apps can be
6	built to assist agencies and policy analysis and
7	program management. I mean the phones that we have
8	in our pocket are more powerful than the cell phone
9	that you know our president used 10, 12 years ago.
10	So I think I'll, I'll just give a few really quick
11	examples of ways that, that, that could work. So
12	there are a number of devices and mobile apps that
13	provide APIs which could push health care data to
14	some kind of government agency for policy analysis.
15	For example Fitbit or 1Keeper. You know these,
16	these apps can track calories burned, heart rate,
17	and other health data and this could be used to
18	improve health outcomes for citizens. It can form
19	medical treatment by doctors by syncing with e-
20	health records like the common health portal that
21	is being created by the, the state Department of
22	Health. This, and this could be used with a, so, so
23	what would that look like. So city agencies could
24	create policy challenges by which they're trying to
25	collect certain data and then civic, and gage

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 98
2	developers and hackathons to, to basically leverage
3	the power of mobile applications to, to, to collect
4	that data. And then also APIs could be allowed to
5	push data to, to city agencies to help streamline
6	the process of applying for government programs. So
7	for example imagine you're about to submit your
8	taxes online, like on TurboTaxed or something like
9	that. And above the submit button is something that
10	says would, would you like to see what government
11	programs you qualify for? Click here to see what
12	government programs you qualify. You click submit
13	and then a page opens that lists you know you
14	qualify for food stamps, you qualify for NYCHA
15	Housing whatever it might be. Would you like to
16	submit your information to these agencies to apply
17	for these services? You know they could very
18	quickly review what government programs they
19	qualify for and then apply to them directly. While
20	that may not be the end of the application process
21	that could be the beginning process of submitting
22	that information to the agency before paying a
23	visit to HRA or NYCHA or whatever else is involved
24	in the application process. This could completely
25	streamline the, the way in which, and, and citizens

1

2 are engaging with government and streamline the, 3 reduce the amount of paperwork for these agencies. 4 And then finally I think creating an API that allows for public apps to collect data provides an 5 6 opportunity for collaboration amongst government 7 agencies not just between agencies but between levels of government. For example the white house's 8 9 innovation fellows created, they have created a, 10 open source apps that city agencies around the 11 country can use for common authentication. Right? 12 Because part of the problem of open data and, and agency collaboration is that they all operate on 13 14 different databases and systems. Right? So what the 15 white house is doing to address this is to say 16 here's a common authentication system so that 17 government data multiple agencies across government 18 levels could provide, could use common 19 authentication systems so that consumer needs are 20 met around who they are as opposed to you know I have one health, one portal by which I log in for. 21 22 You know New York City Department of Health, one 23 portal for New York state Department of Health, and 24 one for the federal government. Right? So these are, there's a, there's a real opportunity in 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 100
2	collaborating with different agencies on different
3	government levels to make government relevant to
4	you know and more, more accessible to your everyday
5	citizens. So I think these are just a few of the
6	applications that could be realized by having data,
7	having the next level of APIs pushing data to
8	government agencies not just making their data
9	accessible.
10	[pause]
11	PATTI BANGHART: Hi I'm Patti Banghart
12	and I'm the Director of Early Childhood Policy at
13	the Children's Defense Fund of New York. I guess
14	this afternoon I'll tell you a little bit about our
15	advocacy efforts and how we think the open data law
16	would help us be more effective advocates. The
17	Children's Defense Fund Leave No Child Behind
18	mission is to ensure that every child has a healthy
19	start, a head start, a fair start, a safe start,
20	and a moral start in life, and successful passage
21	to adulthood with the help of caring families and
22	communities. As part of our advocacy efforts we
23	launched the CDF Cradle to Prison Pipeline Campaign
24	which is a national call to action to stop the
25	funneling of thousands of children, especially poor

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 101 children and children of color down life paths that 2 3 often lead arrest, conviction, incarceration, and even death. The Children's Defense Fund of New York 4 office currently engages in policy analysis and 5 advocacy with regard to early childhood education, 6 7 healthcare, education, justice, and youth justice. 8 We work closely with a number of community based 9 organizations as well as faith leaders, youth 10 groups, and parent groups to ensure that our 11 advocacy is shaped by the everyday realities of our most vulnerable New Yorkers. In terms of the New 12 York City open data law and how it can help further 13 14 social change and progress for our city's children 15 and families I'm just going to outline a couple of 16 ways that the law would further help with policy 17 analysis and advocacy. With respect to early 18 childhood education and programming services in New 19 York City the administration for children services 20 currently doesn't publicize data on the child care of subsidy and early learn programs. Therefore 21 little is known about who is being served the type 22 23 of care that is chosen and the quality of service being provided. Having public data that can speak 24 to these areas, I admit it would be extremely 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 102
2	helpful for determining program access and program
3	quality. For instance while the early learn program
4	posts data on overall program enrolment there is no
5	breakdown to identify the demographics of enrollees
6	the specific catchment areas, where enrolment is
7	happening. Additionally any classroom observation
8	data that is being collected is not publicized as
9	well. As child advocates it is our hope that this
10	data and other information on program related
11	outcomes that will be collected in the future could
12	be made public. Making data collected on early care
13	and education services more transparent and
14	accountable to achieving specific measures for the
15	wellbeing of children in the earliest years of
16	development is a necessary step to ensuring that
17	all children have a head start in life. With regard
18	to the tracking and monitoring of disciplines used
19	in New York City schools by the Department of Ed.
20	And the New York Police Department, while the
21	student safety act requires data is released on
22	school safety and disciplinary issues there is a
23	significant portion of data that is redacted and
24	incomplete including incidents involving arrests
25	and suspensions of students. While the data

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 103
2	currently provided has allowed child advocates to
3	shed light on how students of color are
4	disproportionately being fed into the school to
5	prison pipeline there is more that needs to be
6	revealed. Both the DOE and the NYPD need to be held
7	accountable for the, by the community to ensure
8	that all data released is complete and accurate.
9	Concerning measuring the public health of New York
10	City's children and family data detailing health
11	outcomes of city residents is highly important in
12	determining the need for resources and the
13	effectiveness of specific interventions. For
14	example openly providing data that measures health
15	outcomes for children in youth who receive health
16	services in schools by school nurses or school
17	based health center providers allows for
18	determinations to be made about treatment and
19	program advocacy when it comes to measuring impact
20	and outcomes. With regard to youth justice data
21	currently collected on children placed in secure
22	facilities have clearly demonstrated that children
23	are regularly restrained, injured, and confined to
24	their rooms in these settings. This is a
25	significant finding that requires action. Access to

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 104
2	data that can show such a trend is key to
3	demonstrating the need for system reform. If we are
4	to have any hope of reducing these harms we must
5	not allow such harmful practices to proliferate in
6	the dark hidden from the public. Implementation of
7	the open data law supports this transparency
8	allowing for accountability and reform.
9	Finally in closing I just want to point
10	to an example of how the intent of the open data
11	law has been recognized as necessary by the New
12	York City council. In 2013 new legislation was
13	passed regarding reporting requirements for ACS
14	with regard to youth detention and placement. This
15	new legislation replaced previous legislation
16	requiring ACS to report on demographic and incident
17	related data in secure and non-secure detention
18	facilities housing children. The new legislation
19	added in the inclusion of non-secure placement and
20	limited secure placement facilities. Demographic
21	data collected now includes all four categories
22	including secured detention, non-secure placement,
23	and limited secure placement. Additionally for most
24	categories it encompasses a comprehensive spectrum
25	including age, gender, race, zip code, and charge

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 105
2	data. Expansion of data collection to include all
3	juvenile facilities under ACS jurisdiction is a
4	necessary step to monitoring systems and
5	determining how to provide optimal intervention to
6	youth who are being detained and placed. The intent
7	of the open data law calls for such
8	comprehensiveness and ultimately comprehensive data
9	is essential to providing evidence on how to serve
10	the profound needs of youth who enter the justice
11	system. Thank you very much.
12	[pause]
13	SARA LAPLANTE: Hi my name is Sara
14	LaPlante and I'm the Data Analyst at the New York
15	Civil Liberties Union where I gather and analyze
16	data to support our program initiatives. In
17	advocating for the rights of New Yorkers were often
18	rely on publically available data and records
19	provided under the freedom of information law.
20	Access to information such as the NYPD's Stop and
21	Frisk Database has led to major policy changes. For
22	example in the most recent reporting period 80
23	thousand fewer individuals were stopped and frisked
24	by the NYPD. Public debate about these practices
25	was fueled by data demonstrating their

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 106
2	ineffectiveness and unbalanced racial impact. The
3	NYCLU wholeheartedly supports the open data law but
4	we would like to see DoITT improve implementation
5	and effectiveness. DoITT has failed to compel city
6	agencies' full compliance in reporting data and
7	mandated by the law. This is particularly evident
8	with city agencies known to shirk compliance with
9	open records laws such as New York Police
10	Department. In September DoITT released its open
11	data plan that included a list of all data sets to
12	be published pursuant to the law. The NYPD an
13	agency with the fifth largest budget in the city
14	and perhaps the most public attention of all
15	government offices submitted the names of only six
16	data sources that it deemed public as defined by
17	the law. These six data sources are image and text
18	heavy reports published by the NYPD. While they
19	contain important data summaries and graphs and
20	tables submitting entire reports to the web portal
21	misses the mark of the law. PDF files are not
22	easily machine readable and the use of the embedded
23	data tables require intensive labor from anyone
24	hoping to analyze the data in a way different than
25	presented in the department's reports. Further

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 10	7
2	these reports come prepackaged with a public	
3	relations angle from the NYPD. Researchers using	
4	them are left to deconstruct messages from the	
5	provided narratives and reconstruct their own	
6	conclusions. Finally even if the reports the NYPD	
7	plans to provide under the open data law were raw	
8	data provided in a machine readable format the list	
9	they proffer is far from exhaustive. For example	
10	the NYPD already publishes its stop, question, and	
11	frisk database, a database reporting information on	
12	each terry stop made by a NYPD officer. However	
13	it's in a format inaccessible to the public yet the	
14	department excludes this database from the data	
15	sets it plans to publish on the web portal. There	
16	are a number of other NYPD data sets excluded from	
17	the open data plan that can and should be published	
18	including; the locations of crime incidents and	
19	reports, information on summonses issued for	
20	noncriminal violations, and operations of the	
21	school safety division. We include a list of these	
22	data sets, things that we would like to see, in our	
23	written testimony. The NYCLU has the following	
24	recommendations for the council today. The city	
25	council must continue to fund local law 11. Without	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 108
2	funding DoITT will not be able to maintain the web
3	portal established by the law and without the web
4	portal the law loses its best tool for sharing data
5	from city agencies. Interpret the law broadly. To
6	ensure the transparency and accountability of the
7	heart of the law city agencies should report any
8	public data set they maintain. The default should
9	be to include more data rather than reporting only
10	the minimum data sets required by a narrow
11	interpretation of the law. City agencies that
12	comply fully and broadly with the law will save
13	resources used in responding to FOIL requests by
14	proactively promoting transparency. And finally
15	DoITT and the city council must hold city agencies
16	accountable for the data sets they plan to report.
17	DoITT should review more closely the data sets in
18	the open data plan and reach out to underreporting
19	agencies to encourage a broad inclusion of public
20	data sets. This may require additional follow-up
21	with agencies that are known to obfuscate open
22	records requirements such as the NYPD and also the
23	DOE. DoITT and the council should conduct
24	compliance audits and work with the new
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 109
2	administration to usher in a new era of
3	transparency for New York City.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
5	I, I had a question. You mentioned something about
б	the Bronx. I'm from the Bronx so you don't have to
7	ask. You, you mentioned that there is no data
8	[interpose]
9	LOURDES CINTRON: No, no what I
10	[interpose]
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:from the Bronx.
12	Did I misunderstand you.
13	LOURDES CINTRON: Yes, I wasn't too
14	clear.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
16	LOURDES CINTRON: Because I realize that
17	as… [interpose]
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: If you could just
19	bring the microphone closer to you.
20	LOURDES CINTRON: I realize that as I
21	was sitting here listening to the other people
22	talking and doing presentations that all of the
23	maps that they were showing about [interpose]
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Oh I got you.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 110
2	LOURDES CINTRON:data, it was all
3	[interpose]
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:it's typical.
5	LOURDES CINTRON: Manhattan [interpose]
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah it's typical.
7	LOURDES CINTRON:and yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I, we're
9	[interpose]
10	LOURDES CINTRON: The Bronx [interpose]
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:we're are not
12	used to it either.
13	LOURDES CINTRON: You know it's like we
14	don't exist you know.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, I, I hear
16	you. I always complain about that.
17	LOURDES CINTRON: But anyway we're the
18	poorest borough in the nation so that's
19	[interpose]
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah poorest
21	congressional district in the nation.
22	LOURDES CINTRON: Yep.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We, we need to
24	give it all the attention that we need. Okay. Great
25	great I just wanted to… And then you're, you're

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 111
2	saying that there is no, I'm a licensed man to help
3	councilor and my doctorate's in counseling so this
4	is an area that means a lot to me. You're saying
5	that there is absolutely no data on mental health
6	issues that is viable to the field, that is could
7	be useful for the field.
8	LOURDES CINTRON: There is none, period.
9	[crosstalk] It's not that it's not useless, that is
10	none.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: None.
12	LOURDES CINTRON: If you know if it were
13	something useless I would have said well that's
14	something but it's useless.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
16	[laughter]
17	LOURDES CINTRON: To the program that is
18	nothing.
19	[laughter]
20	LOURDES CINTRON: There is nothing.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: There is nothing.
22	[laughter]
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just rats?
24	LOURDES CINTRON: Just rats.
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Rats.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 112
2	LOURDES CINTRON: Pages and pages.
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
4	LOURDES CINTRON: And, and, and it's
5	important to us obviously. The reason what I
6	mentioned our goals in our, in a grassroot group is
7	that we are one of those group that is trying to
8	denounce whether we can see there is a culture of
9	abusing the mental health system. And we need to
10	document what we are talking about. It's not only
11	the histories that we can bring personally. The
12	painful histories which people don't pay attention
13	to us because we are stigmatized. Whatever we have
14	to say is useless.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right. So you the
16	data… [interpose]
17	[crosstalk]
18	LOURDES CINTRON: Because we are crazy
19	people.
20	[crosstalk]
21	LOURDES CINTRON: So I need to look for
22	this and then they come and present like usually oh
23	this is beautiful come here and you go there and
24	that's nothing. The department of mental health
25	citywide or statewide they are one of the, the, the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 113
2	agencies that like to not tell you what is really
3	going in our programs. And that's why you find now
4	you go on taping, typing they are department of
5	health you find nothing, nothing, nothing.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Thank you so
7	much. Council member Brewer.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just want to
9	follow-up on that because I have a big push for
10	years getting more culturally appropriate mental
11	health in the schools and, and that list is hard to
12	come by. So it, not only is it not on the database
13	I was just checking but also it's not available
14	period. So I think, I mean it exists we just can't
15	get it. So I think we will all work on the mental
16	health challenges in terms of some of the
17	databases. What would be helpful though would be
18	either to the chair or to the comment periods in
19	the data to indicate what kind of mental health
20	data bases you might like as opposed to just you
21	know. I know I want the one from the schools as an
22	example but there may be others that you could find
23	helpful and I think if you shared that with the
24	chair that would be helpful. Okay. My other quick
25	question for generally and then that's the only one

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 114
2	I have is what do you… A lot of the databases are
3	there but not in a format that is helpful. So one
4	of my questions is it is supposed to be in a format
5	that is helpful just so you know but how much do
6	you find that to be a challenge number one. Two,
7	have you made comments that have or have not been
8	responded to. And just three what suggestions do
9	you have because you're often in the communities to
10	help the community boards, the parent associations
11	etcetera use the data? What would be some of your
12	suggestions for that? So those are my three quick
13	questions?
14	LOURDES CINTRON: To everybody?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes whoever.
16	SARA LAPLANTE: [off mic]So I just want
17	to speak quickly to the formatting for the NYPD
18	data specifically. For example things like the
19	stop, question, frisk database. That is already in
20	a raw format that's easily digestible and oh sorry.
21	SARA LAPLANTE: It's in an open format
22	already. Well I shouldn't say that. It's in a data
23	format already that they publish on their website
24	and it, the issue there is really just that they're
25	not reporting it to the web portal. But those types
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 115
2	of things it takes maybe three clicks to put it
3	into a format that's open. And certainly any of
4	their comp stat data I would imagine would be
5	easily converted into a CSV file or something
6	that's, that's more easy to manipulate than, than a
7	PDF.
8	MATT BISHOP: You know I, I think you
9	know the, I'm not going to speak to any one
10	particular agency. I'm just going to speak
11	generally about being in You want the data to be
12	all readable by apps because I think one of the
13	things to remember is not just you know what any
14	one analysis or journalist will bring together. But
15	you know some, sometimes the, these civic hackers
16	will, they'll come up with ways of playing with
17	this data that you know we just, we just never, we
18	never really thought of you know? Ways of doing
19	analysis or bringing things together in a way that,
20	so it needs to be readable by apps so JSON format
21	CSV.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Alright thank you
23	so much and let me just take the moment as we close
24	here. I want to thank all of the groups that came
25	this to be honest with you, this was very

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 116
2	informative, helpful, and we're going to move,
3	we're going to be purposed driven here, moving
4	forward here. And also I want to take a moment to
5	thank council member Brewer for really being the
б	Vanguard and the flag carrier for the open data
7	law. I don't, I was sitting here, I don't even
8	think none of us here what's going to be the
9	multiplier effect of this information that is so,
10	so neat and I saw in the hearing here the different
11	groups it just you know. It's opened up my mind as
12	to possibilities. But possibilities always bring
13	forth more possibilities. So I want to thank you. I
14	wanted to do that publically.
15	[applause]
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Commend this
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I want to thank
18	because it's a joint effort, this chair has been
19	very open. I'm very crazed on technology but I
20	think what's exciting about the testimony today
21	each testifier is phenomenal. I mean really really
22	high quality testimonies specific to the issue and
23	that's what's great about this community you're a
24	serious posse. Thank you.
25	[laughter]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 117
2	[applause]
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have a wonderful
4	day and again we are being joined by council member
5	Tish James. Enjoy your day. Thank you so much.
б	[gavel]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____ November 04, 2013_____