CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

----X

June 27, 2013 Start: 10:20am Recess: 12:42pm

HELD AT: 250 Broadway

Committee Rm, 14th Fl.

B E F O R E:

Letitia James Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

James F. Gennaro Robert Jackson Michael C. Nelson

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Doherty Commissioner Department of Sanitation

Ron Gonen
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Recycling and Sustainability

Harry Nespoli President Sanitation Workers Union

John Delgiorno Representing the Local

Christina Datz-Romero Executive Director Lower East Side Ecology Center

Robin Barton Solid Waste Advisory Board

Eric Goldstein Natural Resources Defense Council

Rebecca Lurie Consortium for Worker Education

Tanya Blay Master Composter

Katie Ferguson Executive Director Brooklyn Food Coalition

Kendal Christianson Consultant to Insinkerator

Greg Todd

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Daniel Bowman Simon Urban Planner and Community Gardener Compost Community Garden

Wendy Sheer

Vandra Thorburn President Vokashi

Ann Aust

Lisa Maylor District 3 Green Schools

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Good morning
everyone. I apologize for being late but as you
know we were here rather late until 3:30 voting on
the budget. So again, I apologize. Good
afternoon and welcome to the hearing of the New
York City Council's Committee on Sanitation and
Solid Waste Management. I am Letitia James, Chair
of the Committee.

Today we will be discussing two different topics. The first is oversight of private snow removal contracts. The second concerns Intro number 1107 and that's a bill sponsored by Council member Debbie Rose who will be joining us shortly that would put into law a pilot program for the collection and composting of source separated residential organic waste.

Beginning with the private snow contracts. In the wake of the Blizzard of December 2010, the general consensus emerged that the city's inadequate response to the blizzard related in part to the failure of private snow removal contractors to show up when the city fell behind the storm. In response both the Administration and the Council recommended

strengthening the city's private snow removal
contracts to ensure that private contracts show up
when we need them. Then Deputy Mayor Steven
Goldsmith emphasized this point in his testimony
before his committee on January 10, 2011. And
this need for firm commitments from private
contractors was further emphasized in the
preliminary review of the city's response to the
December 2010's blizzard issued by the Mayor's
offices of Operations and City Wide Emergency
Communications. In response I sponsored the
Council required DASNED to issue and annual snow
response and preparedness report specified among
other things, all private entities that act on
behalf of the city for snow event preparation
response and the inventory of privately owned snow
management equipment and resources used by the
city.

In January 2012, DASNED entered into a contract with several different snow removal contractors to remove snow from tertiary streets. Those contracts are up for renewal in January of 2014. Critics of these contracts, including the Sanitation Workers Union, opposed

б

these contracts on the grounds that they supplant
city employees and exceed the scope of the
Administration and Council's recommendations. We
will be hearing from both the Department of
Sanitation and from Harry Nespoli, the President
of the Sanitation Workers Union on this topic.

In addition, we are here today to discuss Intro 1107 sponsored by Council member Debbie Rose related to a pilot for composting residential food waste. Composting organic waste remains the holy grail of solid waste sustainability. Organics represents more than 30% of our waste stream. Aiming meaningful diversion of that materials would go a long way towards bolstering a lagging recycling diversion rate. To be sure there are many laudable efforts to compost organic waste locally. Groups such as Grown NYC deserve great credit for moving composting forward in New York.

This year for example, Grow NYC announced that it had collected one million pounds of residential food waste since the inception of the green market food scraps collection program.

And today all that composting is occurring

locally. How we advance from a community level to
a citywide program is of course the million-dollar
question. Over the last few months DSNY has taken
meaningful steps forward dedicating department
resources to pilot residential food waste
collection. DSNY stands to expand their pilot
over the course of the next year. Intro 1107
would codify this pilot and establish this set of
requirements to ensure that the pilot continues
well into the next Administration covers all five
boroughs and includes a robust reporting
requirement on the success and failure of the
program.

With nothing further, let us begin with testimony from the Administration on private snow removal contracts. Good morning,

Commissioner. Good morning. And I apologize for being late and you may begin.

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Thank you.

I don't blame you. Staying up that late. You
were very busy. Okay, good morning, Chair James
and members of the Committee on Sanitation and
Solid Waste Management. I am John Doherty,
Commissioner for the Department of Sanitation. I

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

am here to testify on the Departments private
contracting in connection with our winter storm on
operations management. With me today, to my right
is first Deputy Commissioner Buddy Sullivan and my
left is Ron Gonen, Deputy Commissioner for
Recycling and Sustainability.

I will make a brief opening statement after which I would be happy to answer any of your questions. In response to the snow events, the Department follows a long established operating guidelines and protocol for managing over 17,000 roadway lane miles. Priority must be given to these primary highways and streets so that emergency vehicles and other vehicles delivering essential goods into the city such as food and medicines are able to travel safely. Following the Blizzard of 2010, an extensive review by the Administration and City Council on the Department was undertaken to determine how to respond to the removal of snow during extreme winter storms and what measures we could adapt to enhance our operation and management of snow events.

In November 2011 and December 2012,

۷	we published and distributed copies of the
3	Department's borough based snow plan. These plans
4	serve as a step-by-step guide on how the
5	Department fights a snowstorm and are provided to
6	all Council members borough presidents and
7	committee boards. As part of this comprehensive
8	review the Administration developed a 15-point
9	plan to enhance our ability to address large
10	snowstorms. One of the point sin the is to
11	expeditiously utilize private contractors for
12	assistance to ensure that the city is able to use
13	private contractors promptly and to position them
14	before a large storm begins the city determined it
15	would need to compensate vendors to be on standby
16	for the city.

Additionally, this step of our contract structure has been successfully implemented by their Port Authority as the same type of contractors at the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. The Department issued two procurements to obtain contractor's assistance for large storms. One was for plowing tertiary streets and one for plowing and hauling and towing. These contracts did not result in a loss

of jobs or reduction in the work force. For the
2012, 2013 winter season that covered last
November to this past April, the Department had
entered into contracts with five separate
contractors who worked to provide 103 piece of
equipment for piling all tertiary streets in the
37 community districts that have tertiary streets.
The contractors are paid on a flat fee plus a snow
event lump sum and a standby fee to compensate the
contractors for committing their equipment
exclusively for the Department during the winter
season. These contracts must be activated by the
Department with an option when six inches of snow
or more has been forecasted for the city by the
National Weather Services.

Additionally, during the February storm that dumped ten inches in this city, the Department had contracted 17 contractors with a 131 pieces of equipment required for piling and hauling operations and towing. The contractors are paid an hourly rate each piece of equipment and the operator's equipment must be available on standby to supplement the Department's snow clearance and removal operations and for towing

private vehicles interiering with plowing
operations during and after heavy snowfalls as
needed. Contractors are also paid a standby fee
per piece of equipment reserved for Department
needs. The contract can be similarly activated by
the Department if there is a forecast of six
inches or more of snow. Under this contract, the
equipment if specifically assigned to one of the
seven-department city wide zones. The Department
deployed certain equipment under this contract in
advance of the February '13 snowstorm.

Prior to these two procurements the Department needed to declare a procurement emergency to obtain contractor's assistance for major snow events. Since procurement, declarations have also occurred after a major snowstorm hit the city.

Lastly, during the 2012, 2013 winter storm the city had 24 inches of snow and overall the department spent 38 million for snow plowing, road de0icing operations and overtime to handle the season snowstorms.

I am going to turn it over now. That's my testimony. I am going to turn it over

2	to	Ron	Gonen	for	his	testimo	ony	on	the	compost	if
3	+ h:	at wo	orks fo	or va	711	Okav.	Ror	1			

RON GONEN: Thank you. Good morning, Chair James and members of the Committee on Sanitation and Solid Waste Management. I am Ron Gonen, Deputy Commissioner for Sustainability and Recycling for the Department of Sanitation.

Thank you for holding this hearing on Intro number 1107, authorizing the creation of a pilot program to collect organic material from residences. The department also appreciates the opportunity this morning to share with you some of the early findings to date in our new pilot organics collection program.

Before I begin, the department would like to publicly thank Speaker Quinn, Council member Brewer, Council member Jackson, Council member James, Council member Rose, Council member Oddo and all of the participating residents and school staff and organics programs. I will make a brief opening statement after which I would be happy to answer your questions.

Organic material, which is comprised of food scraps, soiled paper and leaf

and yard waste comprises more than 30% of the
department managed daily refuse collected by the
department. Recognizing that we currently pay
over 85 million dollars annually for nearly all of
this material to be exported to out of state
landfills for disposal and that landfills are one
of the largest emitters of greenhouse gases, the
department began to taking steps to divert organic
material from the waste stream going to landfills.
We began with supporting the green market drop off
programs where residents can drop off their
organic waste for collection. And now exists in
all five boroughs.

In September of 2012, the department partnered with the Department of Education to establish a school food waste collection program that began in select schools in Manhattan, Brooklyn and Staten Island. We hope to have all of the city schools participating by the end of 2014, 2015 school year.

The Department is also conducting a pilot program in high-rise residential buildings.

The first two buildings to participate in the program are the Helena high rise building in

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Midtown and Morningside Gardens, a large apartment complex in Morningside Heights. In the coming year, we will continue to expand the high-rise residential pilot program in all five boroughs.

Most recently, the department initiated an organics collection pilot program for single-family homes.

The first neighborhood is the Westerly neighborhood on Staten Island. program will expand to neighborhoods in all five boroughs this fall. In the Westerly neighborhood there are presently 3,215 households participating on a voluntary basis. Since the inception of the pilot through June 22nd. We have collected more than 52 tons of organic material for participating households. During the pilot program for both schools and residential properties, organic material that the city would have paid to export to landfills will instead be converted into either compost and organic fertilizer that is donated to local parks and gardens are sold to local landscapers or converted into natural gas via the anaerobic digesters at the Newtown Creek wastewater treatment facility operated by the

2 city's Department of Environmental Protection.

As part of Mayor Bloomberg's ambitious and comprehensive sustainability strategy under PlanNYC. We aim to double the amount of the department-managed waste that is diverted from landfills to 30% by 2017. Our goal is to promote and support a system of sustainable solid waste management that minimizes waste and maximizes recycling. At the forefront of the department's plan to reduce the amount of materials sent to landfills is to increase the amount and types of material that can be accepted in our recycling program and to provide the infrastructure and outreach to encourage residents to participate in our expanding recycling program in order to achieve these goals.

Organic material that is contemplated by this legislation should be separated and diverted in the waste stream.

Additionally, we expect the proper and environmentally sound collection of food waste to help New York City reduce odor and vermin issues.

Currently we place our food waste with our refuse in black bags that sit on the curb waiting for

department collection. This can attract vermin
which can easily smell and access the food waste.
With the proper and environmentally sound
collection of organic material, organics are
placed in special organics containers that are
sealed tight with a lid. Which means that vermin
cannot smell the food waste nor access it.
Separating organic material is a valuable
environmental and economic opportunity for New
York.

In addition, a bill recently introduced in the Council would ban polystyrene foam from food service establishments. While polystyrene foam is problematic due to the damage it causes to the expensive recycling equipment when it gets into the recycling stream. It is an even greater hindrance to the growth of an environmentally sound organics program.

Polystyrene is difficult to remove at best and is considered a dangerous contaminant in compost since it never biodegrades. Fundamentally, a robust and successful organics collection program cannot exist with a significant presence of polystyrene foam in the waste stream.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	In addition to letters from
3	numerous community organizations supporting the
4	ban, cities with successful organics programs like
5	San Francisco, Seattle and Portland have sent
6	letters detailing the importance of their own
7	polystyrene foam bans to the organics programs.
8	Banning foam in New York City will ensure a
9	successful organics program and the creation of a

valuable compost product.

Diverting organic materials from the department managed waste stream will reduce our overall organic waste disposal costs. At our current average landfill disposal rates, the department pays over 85 million dollars annually to export organic material to landfills. Diverting a significant amount of organic material would save the city tens of millions of dollars annually in disposal fees generate a valuable organic fertilizer for parks and gardens and generate local renewable energy via anaerobic digesters.

The proposed legislation under consideration today is an important first step that will allow the department to study the

feasibility of organics collection with an
emphasis on participation rates and tonnage
diversion and thus allow the department to
establish the most cost efficient waste collection
system of the city of New York.

In closing, the department wishes to thank the committee, bringing the subject of organics collection to the forefront of today's public discussion and debate. And also for providing me this opportunity today to help illustrate the positive benefits and respond to any misconceptions on this important initiative. We look forward to working with you to accomplish the mutually agreed goals of the important legislation. I am now happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

First let me begin with questioning the

Commissioner in regards to the snow contracts.

Commissioner, in what ways has the department used private contracts for plowing of tertiary streets that is different before the blizzard as opposed to currently?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Since the

б

minute passed, the tertiary streets are last
streets to get cleared of snow. Primary,
secondary and then into the tertiary so they were
on the end of the plow and salting rounds which
did create problems for people living on those
streets. We realized that by having the
contractors here to do the tertiary streets there
onsite when it starts snowing and they continue to
plow those streets all through the snowstorms. So
they are there ahead of time and the tertiary
streets are getting done as fast as the primary
streets. So it is a big improvement in the snow
clearing operation.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And before the blizzard of 2010, did the DOT and/or the Parks

Department responsible for tertiary streets and in what role did these other agencies play in terms of plowing of streets?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: The department is responsible for plowing of all streets. Historically, the Department of Transportation has provided 76 plows each year on a city wide basis and we normally assign them to the secondary roads. They are big trucks, big

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 20
2	plows, and dump trucks. The tertiary streets in
3	most cases, you need a small plow or a pick up
4	truck or a small little haul so that we have some
5	in our fleet.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So the critics
7	of these contracts, they opposed these contracts
8	on the grounds that they supplant the city
9	employees and exceeds the scope of the
10	Administration as well as the City Council. What
11	is your response to that?
12	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Well, we

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Well, we still have the same amount of people. Actually when you look over a couple of years, a head count for sanitation workers are slightly increased. The last two years, we have been at about 6,100 sanitation workers, our budgeted number. haven't reduced that and we went to `14. going to go up about 60, almost 62,000 sanitation workers. Again, a slight increase in '14's budget on that. So we haven't seen any reductions on sanitation workers.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And the cost of these snow contracts? What's the cost?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: The cost of

25

2	the snow contracts for '13, for last year of
3	course is just over 1 million dollars for the
4	standby fee and the one storm that we used them
5	on. In '14, it's going to a little higher. It
6	will be about 2.18 million.
7	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: What explains
8	the cost? What's the reason for the cost
9	increase?
10	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Increase.
11	Well, first of all, one of the contractors as you
12	probably know, we had a default. They never
13	showed up. It did hurt us a little bit during the
14	snowstorm for them not showing up. So we had to
15	get replacement contractors for them and they were
16	on the contract list. They had bid and their bids
17	were not the lowest bid so we went ahead and went
18	a little bit higher on our price.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And what are
20	the consequences of that company defaulting?
21	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: We indexed
22	them and we are going after them for liquidated
23	damages.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: For the full cost of the contract?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: We WILL be
updating the attorneys to see what we can get. We
want to get the most we can out of them. I think
the comping in the real world is going to be
tough. I think they just folded up and went away.
But we intend to go after them and we have indexed
them so they can never do city business again with
us or any other agency. And we will continue to
pursue them with the liquidated damages.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And do you envision the role of contractors expanding, increasing, or staying the same?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Staying the same. I think this mix of the tertiary streets with the private contractors and our own sanitation workers I think works out very good for the public for the snow operation. When you think about the heavy storm we had in 2010. 24 inches I believe it was or more in some places. It was very difficult when you have to get into those tertiary streets. It takes a long time to dig out 12, 24 inches of snow but when you have somebody in there continuing to plow all the time, you keep them open and the snow doesn't build up so you can

time that we change if we had a worst storm and we

25

25

2	call them in more often. If we had more storms
3	over 6 inches or major storms we would call them
4	more.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And how much
6	money in the budget is set aside in the event
7	that, or how much money has been set aside in the
8	budget for these contractors in total?
9	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: It's not set
10	aside specifically. We know what we may have to
11	pay. We don't know for sure but we have the snow
12	budget, I believe the '14 budget is about 58
13	million. Last year it was 60 million. So it's a
14	snow budget and all our expenses for these
15	contractors as well as our own expenses and other
16	city agencies' expenses for snow operations are
17	funded out of that budget.
18	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there any
19	language in any of these contracts that prohibits
20	the supplanting of city employees?
21	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: I don't
22	believe there is.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there, are

you opposed to incorporating any language that

would prohibit the supplanting of any city

Τ	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 25
2	employees?
3	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: I think we
4	have legislation in place now that you mentioned
5	early on that addresses that.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. And what
7	is the recourse if in fact there is supplanting of
8	city employees?
9	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Well, I
LO	don't see that happening because we would have to
11	abide by the legislation.
L2	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. And
L3	you're confident that we are abiding by the-
L4	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Yes, I am.
L5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. Let me
L6	turn now to composting and so Mr. Gonen, I heard
L7	you I think Brian Lehrer, was that you?
L8	RON GONEN: Yes.
L9	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: About two weeks
20	ago?
21	RON GONEN: Correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: You are good.
23	RON GONEN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And you are
25	also on New York 1?

2 RON GONEN: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It's not that

I'm stalking you. It's just coincidental. So can

you tell me a little bit how we are doing on this

pilot program? Has there been a report? An

analysis? Are there any objective findings on the

pilot program?

RON GONEN: We are happy with the program so far. We have been measuring participation rates, tonnage, as well as contamination and so far we feel like we are on target in terms of where we expected and hope to be.

of the things I would add to that with the schools that we have on that Ron brought on both in Manhattan and Brooklyn, when we went to the food waste there were about city wide, about 15 or 16% diversion with the paper melt, with the plastic. They went up to almost 36% so the numbers better than doubled once we got the food waste in it. So the schools are a very good program because the concentration of material and you know, we have to give a lot of credit to the Department of

Education. Their staff and Ron worked with them
very closely and his staff to gain their
cooperation and educate them and provide them with
the containers. This container out front happens
to be for the residential. We have a similar type
container for the bigger stuff such as the schools
that is much larger and takes a lot more material.
64 gallons versus 13 gallons so.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So Mr. Gonen, could you just sort of demonstrate one of the, I should say, not criticisms from some of the callers that called into Brian Lehrer was that this waste would attract vermin? And so.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, sure.

[off mic]

RON GONEN: The focus of the organics program is to help us divert waste from landfills and help save on our exports expenditures. That being said, one of the additional benefits to an effective organics program is we think that it can help reduce the vermin issues in New York City. The reason we expect that to happen is today we dispose of our

2	food waste along with the rest of our refuse in
3	black bags. We put those black bags out on the
4	curb. It waits for a few hours until collection
5	comes by. That's very easy for rats, number one
6	to smell, number two to access. When you run an
7	effective organics program that food waste that
8	was traditionally in a black bag is now going into
9	a specially designed food waste container that's
10	hermetically sealed. It has a lid on it and it
11	has a latch. And so you have taken food waste
12	that used to be easy for rats to smell and access
13	and you have now put it into a container that one,
14	they can't smell food waste and number two, they
15	can't access it so.
16	One of the additional benefits that
17	we expect from this program is that it could
18	potentially reduce the vermin issues.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And would that
20	container be lined with some sort of a plastic bag
21	or?
22	RON GONEN: Yes. So, the residents
23	who receive the program.
24	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes.

RON GONEN: They receive this

container and inside the container is a smaller
container.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And in that container and there is another container.

RON GONEN: So the container comes with these biodegradable plastic liners for this container. These are also available at all of the stores in the neighborhoods where we deploy our service but as an incentive when we launched the program we were able to provide one month supply of these compostable liners so. Most residents take this container and they put it on their kitchen countertop. They put their food waste in this container usually it's lined with the compostable liner and when it's full they take it outside and they put it into their larger bin. There are some residents who just take their food waste directly and put it into this bin.

So this is an added convenience that a lot of the residents like to have and use but all of the food waste goes in here. You can line it with a compostable plastic liner. You can use paper bags as well. Or you can just put the food waste in. So there is a number of different

2	ways to dispose of the food waste in here. You
3	close it up. Lock it. When sanitation comes by
4	they open it up and dump it into the truck.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And correct me if I am wrong. Another way that one can deal with the vermin issue is to freeze your food waste.

Correct?

RON GONEN: Well, a lot of the people that use the greenmarket program will take their food waste during the week, put it in a bag.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Right.

RON GONEN: And each day put it into the freezer where it freezes. Rats obviously don't get access to it and then they take it to the greenmarket on Saturday or Sunday. One of the reasons why we are very excited about the prospects of participation in the curbside program is the greenmarket program has been very successful so far. It has high participation rates. If you think about the fact that people need to save this material every night, put it their freezer and then schlep it to the greenmarket on a Saturday or Sunday. There are over 20,000 New Yorkers that have participated in

2	that program. That means that if we can come to
3	directly to people's homes we can expect
4	participation to be even greater.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And can you

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And can you talk a little bit about energy and how some of our food waste could be converted into energy?

RON GONEN: Absolutely. There is a process called anaerobic digestion. And anaerobic digestion is a process by which food waste is converted into energy, either natural gas or into electricity. And there is a number of cities around the world currently using anaerobic digesters to convert their food waste into electricity. So if you think about the process today. We take food waste and we spent a lot of money to have it exported to either landfills in Pennsylvania, Ohio and South Carolina. The technology exists today for us to convert that food waste locally into energy.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And I'm sorry for interrupting you. And what is the cost of exporting our trash to these landfills in these states along the southern border?

RON GONEN: We pay and average of

б

\$6 a ton. If you multiply that by the amount of
food waste that we are sending to those landfills,
it's approximately \$85 million a year. So the
hope is that five years from now or ten years from
now, what we were spending, \$85 million a year on
exporting to landfills and emits methane into the
atmosphere which is a harmful greenhouse gas, is
being converted locally into either natural gas or
clean electricity. That technology exists today.
We have anaerobic digesters at the wastewater
treatment facility at Newtown Creek. The school
food waste that's being collected in Brooklyn
today that used to be exported to landfills is
today being converted into natural gas.

CHAIREPERSON JAMES: And so if individuals on Staten Island or throughout the city of New York wanted to increase pick up because obviously they are concerned about vermin. Would that be a possibility if in fact they are producing more food waste in their particular community?

RON GONEN: The collection schedule as of right now for the pilot is that you are being collected on the same day as recycling so

one day a week. Part of this pilot program is to		
evaluate the container size. So one of the things		
that we learned in the first phase of the pilot		
program is that a lot of people are requesting a		
larger container. That's very positive feedback.		
People have more food waste than they expected.		
So part of the initiative behind this pilot		
program is to study size of the container,		
frequent, contamination rates and at the end of		
two years the department will make an analysis		
about what we need to do in regards to the size of		
the container, frequency and anything else		
relevant to the program.		

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And what role can community gardens and local people who compost play in this program, in this pilot program?

RON GONEN: There are a number of things that they can do. They can first and foremost; a lot of New Yorkers don't know that there has been a lot of composting going on in New York City for a long time. At DSNY we help manage a series of community compost facilities around the cities. So making people aware that that already exists. There are a number of people that

are already composting in their backyards. If
they have single-family homes or brownstones. So
making people aware that they are actually doing
it and no vermin issues and it's very easy to do
is the first thing that I think people can do to
help spread the word that this is a positive thing
for the city.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And education, what role will that play? How will we educate New Yorkers with regards to the benefits of this pilot program?

RON GONEN: There are few ways that we can educate New Yorkers. I think first and foremost, recycling is an obvious environmental benefit and I think everyone is aware of that.

One thing that I don't think New Yorkers are aware of is that recycling is also a major economic benefit for the city in two ways. One is it helps us save money by not sending material to landfills. But number two, it creates local jobs. Vissy paper in Staten Island that recycles our paper. Local jobs in Staten Island. When Sims opens up their facility in Brooklyn that's local jobs. We manage compost facilities here in New

24

25

conduct the pilot?

2	York City. Tha'ts local jobs. So it's important
3	that we get the message out that this is also a
4	major economic driver as well as an opportunity to
5	create local renewable energy or fertilizer.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And what
7	training will school staff receive for the
8	program?
9	RON GONEN: We built a partnership
10	with DOE and I would like to echo the
11	Commissioner's comments that we have been very
12	pleased with our partnership with DOE and the
13	amount of focus that they have had regarding this
14	program. We have put together a training program
15	where we help train DOE and then they train their
16	staff to go out to each of the schools and make
17	sure that there is proper training. In addition,
18	we have a great partnership with Grow NYC and they
19	have been very active in the schools, helping to
20	train staff and students.
21	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We have been
22	joined by Council member Arroyo from the Bronx.
23	Good morning. And will city employees be used to

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: They are

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 36
2	used to.
3	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. And how
4	do new schools get selected for the program or
5	could you provide me a list of the schools that
6	currently are part of the program and how will new
7	schools be selected?
8	RON GONEN: We will provide you the
9	list.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. Thank
11	you. And as the composting program expands to the
12	host city, how will all the compost generated by
13	the city's organics be used?
14	RON GONEN: The compost that's
15	generated by the city is either donated to local
16	parks and gardens. And it's a very rich
17	fertilizer or it's sold to local landscapers.
18	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. And how
19	would the program work with multi-family
20	buildings?
21	RON GONEN: With multi-family
22	buildings every apartment receives on of the small
23	containers that I showed you for your kitchen
24	countertop. I could take it out again and show it

to you but I would get complicated again with the

б

microphone. Every home or every apartment gets
one of the kitchen counter top containers. They
put their food waste in that kitchen counter top
container and then on each floor or every other
floor there is a larger version of one of these
containers. So twice a day, once a day, a few
times a week, whatever their preference is they
just dispose of their food waste in that container
on their floor or every other floor and then the
maintenance staff collects that material. This
program has been going on at the Helena for the
past few months. Good tourist organization is
here to testify here and I think it's gone
extremely well so far.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And what criteria will the department use to select areas in which to expand the composting program?

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Well, we want to expand it I think to the one and two family house lived in city areas first and get in there right. I think that's the areas that we are going to probably have the most success in. When you start to get into the large buildings. The newer ones of course do have the ability to have a

refuse rooms on the floors or areas that are
convenient. And somebody older structures in the
city, it is very difficult to have even trying to
get them to recycle well or have a location for
the recycling bins to be in. So I think it's
going to be a little more work in those areas
where the food waste and the recyclables will all
go down to the basement and get sorted down there
and a lot will depend on the maintenance doing
their job. And one of the things that Ron's put
into the program and part of our legislation that
he's working on is to have every building have a
coordinator there. Someone we can get by email or
talk to them about their operation and what may be
wrong, how can we help them so there is going to
be a lot of work in that area.

One of the other things I would like to say, a program like this, I mean in the city and the department often gets criticized.

New York City is not recycling as much as they should when they look at our diversion rate. But a program like this and all recycling, 85% of this program and when we talk about success or failure.

85% of my line is driven what will the people do?

2	We pick them up. We can teach them everything.
3	We can provide the cards, we can keep reminding
4	them but they have to do it. When they don't do
5	it, we don't have the success. And to be
6	successful the public has to be involved and we
7	all have to work hard to educate them and to get
8	that word out there.
9	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Just so you
10	know, throughout the most recently in the city, is
11	we had a very contentious discussion with regards
12	to borough equity. To what extent will borough
13	equity play in this selection of neighborhoods in
14	effort to expand this program?
15	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: We are going
16	to be expanding in every neighborhood. In the
17	spring, we will be in in every borough.
18	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: I think
20	actually in the Fall I think we will be in every
21	borough.
22	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Excellent.
23	COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: Yes. Some
24	presence in every borough. Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. And so

let me end with my last point and Commissioner, I
am sure you have heard several weeks ago we had a
hearing on recycling and public housing. And I
was not a happy camper. I am sure that was
reported back to you. I was very disappointed in
regards to recycling efforts at public housing.
And so I try to remind you about the
recommendation for a pilot program in public
housing, to offer economic incentives to residents
to recycle.

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY: We are still working on with the Housing Authority about that and when I talk about facilities that don't have the proper infrastructure in the building. I mean that the Housing Authority is really on the top of that list because they were designed for all refuse incinerators in their building. They had to be shut down, you know. So they have a tough time getting the waste down. They have the chute for the waste only but anything beyond that is difficult for them. They don't have storage room. They have a difficult point but we will continue to work with them on programs like that. I know Ron is still working on it.

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2		CHAIRPERSON	JAMES:	Okay.	Thank
3	you gentlemen.	Thank you.			

Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOHERTY:

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Oh. Council member Arroyo. Any questions? No. Thank you gentlemen. So our, thank you. I would like to call at this point in time, Harry Nespoli, the President of Sanitation Workers. Thank you. You 10 too. Whenever you are ready.

> HARRY NESPOLI: First of all, I would like to thank Letitia James for this opportunity before the Committee for the panel. Just to talk about. You have my testimony there. I am not going to get into the testimony so I will make it; I know you guys were here late last night. And I just want to; I want to start off by going back to the storm. The Christmas storm. The Commissioner stated that the manpower was at the norm. I think if he checks his records the manpower for the Department of Sanitation was at the lowest ever. It was at 5,700 prior to a major storm to hit New York City. This union notified in August the Mayor and Mr. Goldsmith that we were coming into a winter. It's funny that we are

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talking about snow today with 90 degrees out there but next time you look out the window you might see six feet because I don't think anybody can predict the weather. It just seemed a little funny to me a month prior to me seeing this Administration talking about man power that was tornadoes that hit in Queens. Something that I never heard of. So I asked for a meeting and I got the meeting and I explained to them that, I am in the union a long time and I have never seen the man power to protect the people in New York City at the low point. I know what it means when police offers can't get through, emergency services can't get through during snow. And this union always, they never close down New York City at any time. And we had some pretty big storms. Equal to the Christmas storm. I was told well, I was told, one, that you are 100% right. realize that you don't have the manpower but you I said are going to have to do more with less. that I will turn around and do the best I can with what I have. This union always performed for the people of New York City. We got hit with a major storm that crippled the Eastern Coast. With 5,700

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

men on a Christmas holiday and the fact that

nobody called the snow emergency to turn around to

keep the buses off the streets and to keep the

people off the streets with their cars that were

blocking our trucks.

There was no way that we could keep up with that storm, which brings me to the main reason why I am here today because their answer is privates. And pay them in advance. This is the taxpayers' money. For two year, they have been paying people not to respond and the first year, this year that they had to call and the people that are supposed to protect the people of New York City that are based in Virginia, based out of They don't show up. Who do you think New York. did the tertiary streets this year for the snowstorms? For the privates that did not show up. The city workers of New York City did the tertiary streets. What we have been doing for a hundred years. That company that didn't show up I didn't know I found out today, went out of business. You are not going to get that money back. That money is not coming back to New York City. For two years, they didn't get called in

and when they had to show up, they didn't show up.

Some of the people did show up. They showed up without permits, licenses that had to be sent away. Some of the privates took three hours to get to the location that they were assigned to.

Who do you think covered their areas? The city sanitation men. Thank God the City Council after the storm fought to bring up our head count to where it should be. Because that's why this last storm wasn't a disaster. They fought after seeing the Christmas storm to get us back to where we are. We can handle anything out there with the right amount of manpower and the right amount of equipment.

The standby money is a gimmick. If it doesn't snow they get paid. City workers, you don't work, you don't get paid. That's it. What you have to do here is to go back to what we have been doing for a hundred years since the records were kept. City sanitation workers realize if there is major storm that is going to cripple the city, yes, we will take whatever help there is that they want to bring in to keep the city streets open in New York. But to turn around and

to pay people in advance. It's ridiculous. So
now your next answer is, how do you protect the
public? They way we have protected the public
before. As soon as that storm turns into
something that can be dangerous for the people,
they have contracts that have been in place before
the standby money. They call in those contracts
then. And we work to make sure. Like I say and
Ms. James knows because she is the head of the
Sanitation Committee. When a major storm hits
this city, I take my contract book and I put it
over here. Just to make sure that the public gets
the right to get the service they need to serve at
that time. As soon as that storm is under control
in New York City, yes, I pick that contract book
back up. Now I know that the public is safe and
they are not going to abuse my men.

Every borough that had the tertiary streets had a problem. Every single borough. Some did show up. Some showed up without the proper equipment that was in the contract. They couldn't use them. So we did the tertiary streets because we are back where our numbers should be. Thanks to the City Council after the Christmas

storm. I think it's a waste of money. I think
it's a waste of taxpayers' money. I think that
the Sanitation Department, the manpower and the
men and women have proven during Sandy what we
accomplished with that 6,100 number that gives us
the right to cover the mileage, the mileage that
we are responsible for in New york City. Starts
in New York, goes to California and back. That's
the mileage that we are responsible for. All we
are asking for is our manpower. Save on that
money that is being wasted for people not showing
up and work. We don't need it. We have done it
for a hundred years and I hope that these standby
contracts are gone and we go back to the old way,
the way we kept this city open and clean for the
tax payers of this.

18 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And Mr.

Nespoli, do you have any comments with regard to the organics collection program?

when the department needs help they call the union because we were part of it. We sat down and with the pilot program were set up with our shop stewards in the district and along with the

2.

homeowners. And as far as I know the pilot
program is working perfectly. We are picking it
up. We are working with the public, the community
boards and everything is working very well for the
people. I don't know the results of the tonnage
or actually what it is but from my workforce, they
have no problem doing it, helping the public and
also whatever it is to save the city money rather
than transport it out of the city because I know
to get rid of the garbage in New York City costs a
lot of money.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The private contractors, their contracts are renewable in 2014 I believe in January. Do you anticipate that this contract will be renewed prior to a new Administration?

HARRY NESPOLI: I think that somebody is going to try to turn around and do that prior to a new Administration. And I think it's not being fair to everybody. It's not being fair to the sanitation workers and it's certainly not being fair to the taxpayers because none of the contractors. If you have a contract for 100% of man power and machinery and 50% shows up, this

б

50% of the money being wasted. And they don't
have the real input that the city workers do. The
city workers live on those streets. They work
with the people there. 80% of the sanitation
workers, 80% live in New York. So they know what
it was. Just Sandy alone shows you what they did.
They live in those areas there. They had to go
out there and they had to help everybody else out
and then go home after 12 hour shift after waiting
on a gas line for two hours and take care of their
own house. But yet they kept on going. I don't
think there is a community that I attended that I
saw whether it be Seagate, Staten Island in Queens
that didn't talk about what the sanitation workers
did during that time.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: You heard the Commissioner. He indicated that in fact there is language currently in law that says that you can't supplant city employees. What is your response to that comment?

HARRY NESPOLI: Nothing. Well,

John can answer but not being up on it, I can

honestly say that nothing says you can't cut the

workforce either by attrition, which puts the same

3

damage into the public as far as protecting the public.

4 JOHN DELGIORNO: I am John 5 Delgiorno with Pitter, Bishop, Delgiorno, Gibbon representing the local. It's a very good point. 6 Cost benefit analysis City Council took the control and really understood that this 9 Administration has been outsourcing every type of service that you can think of starting from a 1.6 10 billion dollars when he first became Mayor to now 11 12 about 10 billion. This is a perfect place to do a 13 cost benefit analysis to see what the net effect was and basing, it's not eliminating jobs, its 14 15 just not filling jobs. And I think clearly that's 16 where Harry Nespoli was talking about. 17 workforce has never never shied away from 18 productivity. We went from a three man to a two-19 man truck. Anytime there has been a storm, the 20 tornado in Queens, Sandy, Irene, West Nile, it's 21 been this workforce that has done the job and it 22 seems like the last three and a half years, four 23 years, this Administration doesn't want to work 24 with the union to come together to roll out 25 projects. We actually find out just as they are

about to roll it out. And this is a periect
contract to take the responsibility to do a cost
benefit analysis and not allow renewal because you
will see there is no reason to. And he didn't
answer your question and we asked him more than
once, how much is set aside for these contracts?
He did not answer your question about the total
budget. He told you total budget is 58 million.
That's 58 million with everything. He didn't tell
you about these contracts. How much has been set
aside. There is a total dollar amount.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And do you think we need these contractors for plowing tertiary streets? Are you confident that sanitation workers are in a position to plow tertiary streets with the equipment that they currently have?

JOHN DELGIORNO: The interesting,
your City Council forced the department to do a
borough report and a report to you that lists how
much man power and how much equipment by district.
It's ironic since the blizzard, equipment's been
purchased. You can look in the city record. They
have been buying equipment non-stop now, the

holsters, the rollers, and the small plows. Thank
you. The workforce is now at 6,100. So today it
is going to be 6,200 next year. That's great
news. That's the manpower that you need. That's
what we agreed to. That's the manpower that can
fight a storm like this. At the end of the day,
the tertiary streets are handled by this
workforce. They haven't used the privates. And
clearly one last thing. Manhattan, no streets are
tertiary streets. Isn't that interesting but the
outer boroughs are. So in Manhattan, his
workforce is doing the entire borough. Why can we
do Manhattan's, well supposedly to the department
there is no tertiary streets in Manhattan? Only
the outer boroughs. There are tertiary streets in
Manhattan. There are neighborhoods that have
small cul-de-sacs and streets including where his
union hall is. But that is not considered
tertiary. But his workforce does that work. Yes,
this workforce can do it and they don't need
outside contractors. We need the haulers and the
people to take snow out when you have a four-inch
blizzards, which is what's been done in the past.
And we also talk to you about towing. We used to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

called them in.

have our own tow trucks. The city gave them away.

Everything is under the DOT so you are at their

mercy to have their tow trucks. So yes, you might

need the outside tow companies but in this case,

many of those tow companies didn't even show up in

the outer boroughs in this last storm when they

HARRY NESPOLI: The whole thing goes back to the way they were doing it prior to this Christmas storm. This city has been praised on moving snow. Like all cities that come in here, they think they are amazed by the sanitation workers, how they can maneuver around with the vehicles that are out there, the trucks are out there. Look, if you shut down Manhattan, right? You are shutting down a lot of money. I wasn't the President at the time, I was Vice President. I was called out now to the Commissioner's office at the time and I went down with the President. And they said, can we keep this New York open because City Hall is saying they might have to shut down the city? And if they shut down the city, the bridges and everything, that means money stops. And we said, give us two days, two more

Better?

days. And we went out there and we worked with
our men and we told them what we had to do. And
we kept it open. They never shut down.
Washington shut down in a snow flurry and
everybody just took over there. They said they
called me up. Washington people called me up,
myself at the union hall and asked me, let me ask
you something, did you in a major storm, do you
stop plowing and stop moving and stop pushing the
snow if it gets too much out there? I said,
that's what a snowplow is for. You don't shut
down a snowplow. You work. Our members are told
that when it snows, all bets are off. You are
here to open up the streets. If you go back to
the way it was, the city can save a lot of money.
They, like John said, the City Council recognizes
after a snowstorm and brought our head count back
up. This year they called in the privates. The
first time since the snowstorm. They did not show
up. We did it.
CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you Mr.
Nespoli and thank you Mr. Delgiorno. Oh, Mr.

Nespoli, how are we doing with hiring more women?

25

2	HARRY NESPOLI: Oh, it's, a whole
3	bunch of them. I just have to get used to it.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Better? Okay.
5	Thank you, sir. [off mic] Our next panel will
6	consist of Helen Durst from the Durst
7	Organization, Eric Goldstein, Christine Romero,
8	and Robin Barton. Again, Robin Barton, Christine
9	Romero, Eric Goldstein, and Helen Durst for the
LO	panel. And all you women out there, okay. Girl
11	power. So I just urge everyone a little patience.
L2	We have got a lot of witnesses here this evening
13	and we will try to get through this in a timely
L4	fashion. So you may choose amongst you and you
15	may begin.
L6	FEMALE VOICE: Good morning,
L7	Chairperson James and members of the City Council.
18	I will be brief on my full testimony. We run the
L9	Helena building at 57^{th} and 11^{th} . It has 600 units.
20	We launched the first composting program in that
21	residential tower with the Department of
22	Sanitation. There was a lot of planning and
23	training that went into making this voluntary

program happen. And having room for recyclables

on each tenant floor was critical to the

implementation and success of the residential
composting. A key component was resident
enthusiasm. The tenants have been stewards of
this project and we anticipate continued success
of the program. My family also runs an organic
farm that has a substantial composting facility on
it which also handles much of the material that is
coming or some of the material that is coming out
of the city currently including Hunts Point and
some of the materials coming out of the
greenmarket now. I am a huge proponent of this
plan and I am very encouraged by the Department of
Sanitation's initiative on this as well as the
City Council Sanitation Solid Waste Committee.
Thank you for hearing me out today.

Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Christina Datz-Romero and I am the co-founder and Executive Director of the Lower East Side Ecology Center. And I just want to give you a little bit of background about our organization. We are basically a community-based organization that started 26 years ago. In 1990 we started composting. In 1994 we were the

pioneers in creating a drop off in a public space, which would be the Union Square greenmarket. We are still there going strong. We are there four days a week collecting materials and we currently serve about 1,500 households and collect or process about four tons of materials a week or 200 tons a year. All of that would not be possible without the support from the Department of Parks and Recreation who give us city owned space to use and the Chairperson here, Letitia James, has seen our facility. And of course greenmarket is letting us set up there for free at the Union Square greenmarket.

So I am very, obviously very excited about composting. It has been my passion for a long time and I am also very excited about composting being in the news lately. And really want to go back to Local Law 19, which we actually implemented in 1989, which called for organic waste diversion and really outlined a vision for composting 21 years ago. Since then in terms of the city we have created really a very successful yard waste-composting program, which has served 37 out of the 59 community districts. And so that

program has collected at its height 19,000 tons of yard waste and at a cost of about 3 million dollars. Unfortunately this program has been suspended since 2003 due to budget constraints.

actually mandatory for people who live in these community districts to participate in this program. And quite frankly, it strikes me as a little bit a step backwards now to introduce a voluntary program, a pilot program in 2013 that will be city wide but will not have the reach of a program like that has pre-existed and I really feel that we should reinstate and find the money to do yard waste collection and because it's a proven program. We know what it costs. We know what it delivers.

We also need to create pilot programs for food waste. I am all for that and there is a pilot running right now and Ron Gonen has outlined the parameters of it. There are 41 schools set up and participating and also some apartment house buildings. But what I think we should do before we roll out a bigger pilot program is to really analyze what it costs right

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now to run a program like this. You know, what are really the metrics, what is the participation rates, what does it cost per ton to collect these materials?

Another concern that I have is really the capacity for people for the city to handle these materials. As we know, again going back to the swamp. That was a siting task force that instituted to find locations to handle our organic waste and our needs for something like that. Unfortunately the siting task force never came up with viable places to do composting. we are really lacking the infrastructure. And bringing our materials to an anaerobic digester is a great thing but this anaerobic site, digester we all know that our wastewater sewage plants are overloaded. That they barely handle what we put in there now in terms of the things that is handled through our combined sewer system. And so we really need to create capacity before we collect.

I think it is that what is urgently needed and it's really a planning process. A facility is not going to be built in a year or

2.

two. It's a process. It has to go through ULAP.
It's a way to engage your stakeholders. It's a
long process and we should have done it a long
time ago and hopefully we find the political ball
to do it now.

On the other side or the flip side is that there are many local community based organizations that have thought it up over the years to handle organic waste. Some of them are very small in community gardens. Others on a larger footprint so to speak. There is significant capacity in Brooklyn. In Manhattan that would be our project and I really feel we should nurture these community based programs now so that they can flourish because they play a significant role in really making a composting a household name and also really creating the education and the awareness that we need to take this next step.

So, and I also feel that these community based programs will deliver on diverse programs because as we all know this city is a diverse city that single households and, you know housing stock is just very diverse and we need to

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

find different approaches for different
neighborhoods to really make a program that's
meaningful.

So overall I guess my big message is, let's do the hard work of really creating the infrastructure, let's nurture what's here now that's effective and let's go forward with composting. Thank you.

ROBIN BARTON: Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity for me to speak at this Committee. My name is Robin Barton and I am testifying on behalf of Manhattan's Solid Waste Advisory Board of which I am serving as Secretary. The point I hope to make today is that although the development of the organics collection program under review today is very important and exciting, it should be seen as part of a larger picture that must also include meaningful development of community based composting. The Manhattan SWAB encourages the growth of community based composting through a grant program partnered with Citizens Committee of New York City. Together we have awarded funding to 66 community composters of which approximately a third are schools, a third

community gardens and a third, neighborhood groups
or other. Community based composting recycled
organic material as locally as possible mostly at
neighborhood level and the compost is used in lieu
of chemical and petroleum based fertilizers for
food and flower gardens, urban farms, local park,
street plantings and bio swales for improved storm
water management. There are over 200 community
compost sites in New York City. The sites include
not only many community gardens but also larger
sites affiliated with urban farms like Brooklyn
Grange and Red Hook Community Farm, non-profit
groups with strong recycling programs like the
Lower East Side Ecology Center and Build it Green,
conservation groups like New York Restoration
Project, Battery Park Conservancy and Gowanus
Canal Conservancy and universities such as Saint
John's and Columbia University dorms. Many of
these sites can manage two tons or more of food
waste at a time and several compost over 200 tons
of organic per year that would otherwise wind up
in landfills at taxpayer expense. The importance
of these programs is two fold. First, they
maximize sustainability because the organics

diverted from the waste stream stay within or
close to the community that generated the
material. And the finished compost is used to
green the very communities, which has proven to
enhance neighborhood values. Second, they
maximize citizen participation and the benefits
that brings. All programs directly and indirectly
offer citizens a path to contributing their
organics to local greening projects that matter to
them. Some offer those same individuals an
opportunity to use the compost for their own
greening projects and many offer individuals the
chance to participate in the composting operation
with shovels and pitchforks and sifters. This
gives them an opportunity to actively engage in
recycling in a way not possible with materials
like glass, metal, plastic. And by all accounts
strengthens the connection to our broader goals
for solid waste management including a keener
appreciation for separating out the contaminants
which is the key detriment to the success of any
compost facility. Thus the municipal residential
collection should not compete with but instead
should compliment community composting. To ensure

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that happens I respectfully request an amendment to the draft bill calling for the report due in 2015 to also reflect a plan for implementing community composting city wide. If the bill cannot be amended then I respectfully request that the committee schedule a hearing to focus on community composting and its challenges. Thank you.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: My name is Eric Goldstein with the Natural Resources Defense Council. First, hats off to Christine in the Lower East Side Ecology Center, the real pioneers in composting here in New york City and to the Durst Organization which is becoming the pioneer in high rise recycling in New York City and to the community composters and Grow NYC who have been successfully operating composting programs in the greenmarkets and community gardens for many years. It's on all of their shoulders that this latest initiative is growing. NRDC strongly supports the proposed legislation. We believe it makes sense for three reasons. First, curbside collection of organics is good for our environment. And by facilitating the collection of food wastes and

yard wastes for composting or anaerobic digestion,
the legislation will help cut emissions of
methane, which as you know is an extremely potent
global warming gas. Second, curbsides organics
collection will produce successful end products.
Compost and land stabilizers, which improve, soil
health and increase drought resistance and reduce
the need for fertilizers on land. And biogas,
which can be utilized as energy in a variety of
municipal residential and agricultural settings.
And third, over time organics collection at the
curbside in New York City can cut our costs for
waste handling. And this is so because based on
that national experience. The per ton costs for
delivering such waste to compost operations or to
other organic treatment facilities are lower than
the costs of shipping such materials to out of
state landfills. Successful curbside organics
programs have also allowed other jurisdictions to
make cost effective adjustments to other waste
collection services down the line.

In addition, the proposed legislation is consistent with the direction that the Mayor and the Sanitation Commissioner have

б

been moving in and passage of this legislation
would ensure the continuation of this visionary
program after its creators including Deputy
Commissioner of Sanitation, Ron Gonen and other
supporters leave office. And we hope that doesn't
happen soon. We hope Ron stays for a long time.
The beauty of this legislation is that it sets
forth a clear path for expanded implementation of
curbside organics collection or providing the
Sanitation with adequate lead time to test out key
program elements to adjust and refine them as
needed and to resolve whatever challenges surface
to ensure smooth implementation before the program
and goes city wide. Already curbside organics
collections are taking place successfully in over
150 communities across North America. Seattle,
San Francisco, Oakland, Portland, Boulder,
Cambridge and Princeton as well as Toronto and
Ottawa are some examples. And similar to the
approach contemplated by the proposed legislation
that's before you today, the programs in cities
like Seattle and San Francisco also began as
voluntary operations. This allowed city officials
there to address any implementation challenges

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before curbside collections were phased in
citywide in those municipalities. We share
Christina's interest in capacity issues and we are
encouraged that DSNY is now seeking as you know
opportunities for additional bids and additional
take out partners so that we can have sufficient
composting capacity and anaerobic digestion
capacity within the New York region.

We look forward to further hearings on that issue. We also endorse the proposed legislation mentioned by Deputy Commissioner Gonen banning polystyrenes foam in food establishments. We hope that's taken up by this committee shortly. We have only one question on this topic and that is how the new legislation relates to the current legal requirements to reinstitute seasonal curbside collections of yard waste in all districts generating a sufficient amount of materials. And we hope that the Sanitation Department clarifies that their compliance with that provision of law and funding for that program in the near future. And with the full cooperation and the encouragement from the City Council, the Sanitation Department is now in the midst of an

exciting if not revolutionary change in the way it's thinking about waste handling for the 21st

Century. The change is now underway or under development could transform our waste program making it more cost effective, more sustainable and more equitable for all New Yorkers. We believe the proposed legislation will take the city further down this path and we are pleased to give the bill our wholehearted endorsement. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. Let me begin as follows. First, Ms. Barton, let me just inform you that we plan on having a hearing in regards to community composting and its challenges. We don't have a date yet but obviously we will forward that information to you whenever a date is scheduled for that hearing.

And thank you for your testimony. Mr. Goldstein, you heard some of the observations or criticism by Ms. Datz-Romero in regards to the lack of capacity infrastructure particularly since the swamp has not be completely completed. And she indicated that we do not have any sites in the pipeline to create the infrastructure. You touched on it

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

briefly on your testimony. Could you just talk a
little bit about the lack of infrastructure and/or
capacity?

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Sure. The capacity issue is a concern long term for the demonstration projects going on now; there is adequate capacity on Staten Island at the Staten Island Composting Facility to handle the Staten Island pilot project at the private residences. There is also capacity at Rikers Island, which has had a long standing composting operation. There is from what we understand capacity within the existing wastewater treatment system for additional anaerobic digestion and I believe that that's going forward at one existing city waste water treatment plant right now. The real challenge is long term as this program expands successfully as we all hope will be the case where the existing, where capacity will be for composting or anaerobic digestion. This taskforce has not been successful in identifying in city sites. The new RFPs that the sanitation department is advancing would look throughout the region for capacity either for additional

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

composting facilities or additional anaerobicdigestion.

This is a field that has been advancing significantly if not dramatically over the past five years. We are confident with the one or two year lead time for planning that this legislation provides for the city that two years from now the city will have secured sufficient capacity for composting either in city or more likely outside but close by in the region for composting and for anaerobic digestion facilities. These are the kinds of things we are throughout the region, say in the Catskills or elsewhere there are existing farms or other land, the density problems that the city faces, siting issues here are not present and we feel comfortable that with sufficient lead time and initiative taken by the sanitation department will be able to identify additional sites for composting and anaerobic digestion in the region. Although probably not in the city but significantly still a lot cheaper than shipping this to landfills in South Carolina or other distant states.

2	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Ms. Datz-
3	Romero, do you have any response to Eric's
4	comments and do you feel that the city is doing,
5	how effective has the city been in working with
6	these community based program and nurturing your
7	ability to create, to work with the Administration
8	with regards to collection of organic waste?
9	CHRISTINA DATZ-ROMERO: Yes, I
LO	would say that in full disclosure we have a
11	working relationship with the Department of
L2	Sanitation. Our organization has a contract to do
13	outreach and education about composting in
L4	Manhattan through the New York City Compost
15	Project. And I feel that sanitation has certainly
16	come around and is open to working with community-
L7	based groups. Do we ever get enough funding to
L8	everything we want to do? Of course not. But I
L9	think that Sanitation realizes that are an ally
20	and not somebody that they should ignore or it
21	would be productive to ignore. So I think the
22	relationship has certainly been better.
23	I feel that and again. I don't

I feel that and again, I don't want to come across as saying that we should not do pilots. I just question really the timing of

2.

it and also how prepared we are and we really
since Local Law 19 had 21 years to plan for
composting and nothing got done. And I would like
to share the optimism of Eric in terms of maybe
doing in two years what we haven't done in two
decades but I am also trying to be a pragmatist
here and so yes, of course I would love to see
composting going forward. I would love to see us
being at the point where San Francisco is right
now of doing curbside program, a meaningful
program for every single resident in the city but
I also think that we still have a lot of homework
to do before we roll something like that out.
CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We have also

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We have also been joined by Council member Jackson from Manhattan. Good morning and thank you. Ms. Barton, do you share that sentiment?

ROBIN BARTON: I do. Up to a point. I also don't know all the behind the scenes of why maybe now is the appropriate time to restart it. And with the proper education and coordination and by the time it gets up and running to all work together and stressing just how we all need to work together and the education

Thank you.

2 is so important.

Ms. Durst, you have had over 15 years or 16 years experience in regards to having successfully composting programs in your cafeterias and in your

commercial property. Have you ever experienced

CHAIREPERSON JAMES:

8 any problems with vermin?

HELEN DURST: No problems with vermin. We have to keep in mind that it's the same amount of waste. We are not creating any more material as it relates to organic waste. So there is no increase in the amount of smells or anything or having to deal with the material and getting it in and out of the building. And we have been extremely happy with it.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And do you currently have a training for your employees?

HELEN DURST: We do. We work with the, we have a hauling, we work with the employees in the kitchen cafeterias to make sure they understand what is part of contaminants and non-contaminants, so what can go into the organic waste stream and then also with the residents we spent a fair amount of time making sure that

individuals were aware of what is happening. I
should also mention I am the Chair of the Lower
East Side Ecology Center and I am also on the
Solid Waste Advisory Board and I know Lower East
Side Ecology Center does quite a bit in the
education component when they are dropping off the
materials so making sure that the signage is
accurate is extremely important.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And is there an educational component in terms of your tenants as well?

We do trainings with our tenants. We also make sure that there's clear signage everywhere as well as we give signage to the tenants for their own residential kitchens.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And the end
result? Do you provide it to the Ecology Center?

HELEN DURST: No. I am sorry. I
am mixing the different things I am doing. I am
just on the Chair of the Lower East Side Ecology
Center and they have been the forerunner in
educating individuals about what they need to do
and I think their experience in people being

2	engaged in the process is the greatest success
3	that we can have in making sure that we don't get
4	contaminants in the organic waste stream.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And the end
6	result, the food waste, where does it go
7	eventually?
8	HELEN DURST: Department of
9	Sanitation for our residential buildings,
10	Department of Sanitation deals with it so that's
11	where Eric Goldstein had mentioned the other
12	facilities and then for our own material, we have
13	the organic farm upstate in the Harlem Valley
14	which we then are composting using the material
15	there and ultimately creating tomatoes.
16	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Excellent.
17	Thank you. Thank you panel.
18	[thank yous]
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The next panel
20	will consist of Ms. Christianson. I believe the
21	first name is Kendal. Tanya Blaye. Rebecca
22	Lurie. I apologize if I mispronounced your name.
23	From the Consortium for Worker Education and Cody
24	Ferguson. Katie Ferguson, sorry.
25	REBECCA LURIE: I will begin.

Rebecca Lurie with the Consortium for Worker	
Education. Thank you for having us. Okay, than	ık
you. I will be brief because not only do I work	-
for the Consortium for Worker Education, I am al	.so
a member of the Park Slope Permaculture Guild ar	ıd
the Chair of, I am sorry. [off mic]. Yes. And	l
Board Chair for the Brooklyn Society for Ethical	-
Culture. So from those different perspectives I	- -
want to just share this. I think it's a great	
advance. This is referring to the composting pl	.an
for the city. It's a great advance and we need	to
notice that there is already a lot that's been	
going on on the ground as referenced by previous	;
speakers. My largest concern is that when we ma	ıke
these changes in our society that we pay attenti	.on
to the jobs. And we make sure that there are jo	bs
not just more voluntary opportunities, which are	<u> </u>
fine. It's great when people can roll up their	
sleeves and dig in the dirt. But some of us wil	-1
be working. If we rely on the volunteer force t	0
do it, that could be a mistake in terms of reall	-У
making a structural change. And as our colleagu	ıes
spoke from the Sanitation Workers if we rely on	
privates that might be okay for some jobs but we	ž

want them to be good jobs. Private or public
sector jobs. And if it's only 100 more jobs that
are being added to the sanitation workers and I
think what my colleagues said out in the hall,
that's just bringing them back to the size that
they were, then we are not paying attention to the
changes that might happen.

As we recycle more and take more out of the waste stream we are putting more into other places. And I want to make sure that those are jobs that we pay attention to whether it's again, digging in the dirt for composting or if it's working at a anaerobic plants, let's make sure that those jobs happen and are available for city residents.

TANYA BLAY: Good morning,

Chairwoman James and committee members. My name
is Tanya Blay and I am an ardent supporter of
composting in New York City. I am a certified
master composter and volunteer with and support
the following community based composting
operations. The North Brooklyn Composting Project
in McCarren Park, Earth Matter on Governor's
Island, The Added Value Community Farm in Red

HOOK. Composting Gowanus at the Gowanus Canal
Conservancy, the former Western Queens Compost
Initiative, which became Big Compost at Build it
Green, and various other small composting
initiatives. I am also a regular of observer of
Brooklyn Solid Waste Advisory Board meetings and
at the meetings of the Newtown Creek monitoring
committee. My professional background is in
financial risk management. Thank you for allowing
me to testify before you today.

I am in fact very pleased to see composting come to New York City on a larger scale and I commend Mayor Bloomberg for finally taking some of the necessary steps in this direction. I have no doubt that the collection of compostable waste from households and schools can be successfully implemented in the city. New Yorkers are intelligent, resourceful and adaptable and might soon in larger numbers discover that the source separation of their waste at the origin brings with it more benefits than trouble.

However, I have strong doubts that the city is adequately dealing with this compostable waste once it is picked up. Whereas it does concern me

2	when I read about compost fires at the Staten
3	Island Fresh Kills compost site and the compost
4	fire at the site on April 9 and 10 of this year
5	took 200 firefighters to contain, compost fires
6	are dangerous, costly. I am sure that the
7	expertise for handling compost is woefully
8	lacking. So whereas this does concern me I am
9	even more concerned when I hear that food waste is
10	being introduced into the Newtown Creek Wastewater
11	Facility. I particularly deplore that the city
12	agencies are not fully and adequately informing
13	the public about the circumstances of the lauded
14	biogas and energy production from said compostable
15	waste. Whereas the city proclaims to be running a
16	composting pilot program, the bio digestion of
17	food waste in the wastewater treatment facility
18	results in energy and sludge. The latter of which
19	is subsequently landfilled. Hence, this part of
20	the composting pilot program should more
21	adequately be called a waste to energy to landfill
22	program. From among the other aspects of this
23	operation that are perceived as troubling. I
24	would just like to address to hear capacity and
25	cost. By utilizing some of the capacity of a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wastewater treatment facility for food waste
disposal of this capacity is presumably not
available during weather events thereby
potentially exacerbating CSO, combined sewer
overflow events and further diminishing water
quality.

As regards costs, one of the rationales of the composting program is to save the city money by diminishing the amount of waste that goes to landfills. Operating a wastewater treatment facility is a costly endeavor. The Newtown Creek facility is just about to complete an upgrade that costs 5 billion dollars. Shipping sludge to landfills certainly also costs money. The questions that arise are whether the value of the energy produced offsets the cost of treating food waste in this manner or whether this aspect of the composting pilot just comes down to the Department of Environmental Protection footing the bill for cost savings at the Department of Sanitation.

I would like to ask the Committee to obtain detailed project plans or any other planning documents from the city agencies involved

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the composting pilot program and to exercise
due diligence when examining these project please
Furthermore I would like to ask the Committee to
request the city agencies to work even more
closely with community composting operations that
have proven to be a reliable and competent
partners for the processing of compostable waste
in the city. Thank you.

KATIE FERGUSON: Good morning. would like to thank the Committee for this opportunity to testify and Chairwoman James. Μy name is Katie Ferguson and I am the Executive Director of the Brooklyn Food Coalition, a grassroots organization that's dedicated to the vision of a just and sustainable food system in Brooklyn. In addition I am also a master composter, Tanya and I were in the same cohort class. In addition to a zero waste advocate, educator and supporter in South Brooklyn. Today as the Committee reviews the pilot project for the city's collection of residential organics which includes food waste. We applaud this as a very important step forward towards a more sustainable food system and we express our gratitude to those

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 who have done-

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Excuse me.

Sargent at Arms, could we just close the door or ask whoever is in the hallway if they could just lower their voices. Thank you.

KATIE FERGUSON: Shall I continue?

8 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes.

KATIE FERGUSON: Today as the Committee reviews the pilot project for the city's collection of residential organics which includes food waste, we applaud this as a very important step forward towards a more sustainable food system and we express our gratitude to those who have done all the hard work getting us there. We can all agree that food waste is a very valuable resource and our systems are more sustainable if that resource is recovered rather than sent to the landfill. But the process still involves some of the unsustainable elements that led us here. Large number of trucks, expensive transportation on public streets and highways, and more trucks and heavy equipment at the other end, all consuming non-renewable resources with harm to the environment.

2	Obviously, the design of our city
3	and the heat scale of food waste will force us to
4	accept some degree of unsustainability to bring us
5	closer to sustainability but there are fair
6	questions to ask and alternatives to consider.
7	One alternative is community composting.
8	Decentralized community composting which is
9	especially important for creating a just food
10	system. This type of composting closes the loop
11	in a number of ways. Minimal transportation to
12	the composting site which provides support for
13	local food growing, remediation and beautification
14	of parks, gardens and other greening projects
15	locally as well as fostering a kind of civic
16	engagement that builds the connection to food and
17	waste reduction in all efforts. Reduce, reuse and
18	recycle. Community composting increases
19	environmental awareness and education that builds
20	the support for programs like a municipal
21	residential pick up and a Grow NYC market
22	programs. Community composting is especially
23	valuable for improving the health, social and
24	economic impacts in underserved communities. I
25	will give you two examples from underserved

б

Brooklyn neighborhoods. East New York Farms and
Red Hook Community Garden. Many of you here are
very familiar with those really great projects.
Each is a key source of fresh produce for their
communities. Each has a community-composting
program that allows residents to recycle their
food waste. Each uses the finished compost to
help grow the fresh produce for the community. So
in communities where fresh produce can be ensured
supply, the farms put the vegetables on the dinner
table and the food scraps find their way back to
the farm for compost that helps grown\ more
vegetables for the dinner table all within a few
blocks.

In 2012, the Red Hook Community

Farm reported having composted over 225 tons of organics that may otherwise have winded up in the landfill. Despite challenges faced by all of the city community composters, this phenomenon is reflected through hundreds of the city's community gardens. Obviously community composting cannot manage all of the city organics but it is an essential component of a just and sustainable food system because it can close the loop of

sustainability more effectively with the least
amount of damage to the environment while at the
same time fulfilling in underserved communities.
Thus we respectfully request that the committee
anticipate the environmental cost from the choices
from managing our food waste and insist that
community composting be developed in a meaningful
and serious way as a permanent part of the picture
for solid waste management. Thank you for your
time and consideration.

James and Council member Jackson and the staff. I would offer a slightly different perspective for your consideration. I just had the privilege of speaking before this committee many times over the last couple of decades in my capacity as founding Assistant Director of the city's recycling program in '89 and as the Chair of the citywide recycling advisory board which went out of existence more than four to five years ago. So my name is Kendal Christianson. I also serve as a consultant to Insinkerator, which you know is the world's leading manufacturer of food waste disposers. And I want to offer that perspective to the committee

and remind the Council of its long history on this
issue dating back to as Eric said, the adoption of
Local Law 19 in 1989. I also have my former
colleague Lisa Maylor in the room for being on the
city's first curbside organics collection program
in 1990, right? Is Lisa still in the room? Park
Slope Intensive Recycling Center. And then in
1992 the city adopted its first modern day
comprehensive solid waste management plan which
had a very aggressive organics reduction goal.
And following that the Commissioner of Sanitation
wrote to the Commissioner of DEP asking for help
and saying essentially we got this very aggressive
goal and we don't have the tools. So I need your
help in getting three things. Legalizing food
waste disposers citywide and providing mandates
and new incentives for installation and use.

A few years after that the Council adopted Local Law 74 of '95 I think it was that compelled DEP to do such a study which they took two years to do. Issued this report in 1997 after which the Council adopted Local Law 71 of '97 that fully legalized household food waste disposers citywide but stopped short of providing any

mandates or incentives for their use. Since that
time disposers have slowly taken off in the city
as part of the waste management system. City
housing authority began installing them on a pilot
basis for pest prevention purposes and now
installed several thousand a year when they redo
kitchens throughout the city. The last six
residential buildings in Battery Park City which
are super green were required to have disposers as
part of their onsite waste management systems
including black water treatment systems and I
could go on and on. But my overall suggestion to
the committee and challenge to take the proposed
legislation as a starting point but think more
broadly about all the things that might be studied
in the next two years that might help the next
Council and Administration figure out what's the
best set of tools are. Not just one but multiple
as you heard this morning from a variety of
speakers. And provide it with the best possible
information rather than simply focus on truck
based collection for residences and schools.
The other thing I would add to for

the committee's consideration is that I am now in

the midst of overseeing a demonstration projects
involving food waste disposers in four soon to be
five major U.S. cities. We are wrapping up a
yearlong program with the city of Philadelphia,
and launching pilot demonstration projects in
Tacoma, Chicago and Milwaukee. With those cities,
both their waste water utilities and their
sanitation departments testing the efficacy of
household disposers as the principle means of
handling food scraps on the premise that food, if
you think about it, is mostly water. 70,80,90%
water. It can be treated as a resource by modern
wastewater treatment plants including those in New
York. To Tanya's point about the city's
unfortunate landfilling of bio solids, I would
point out that until two years ago effectively
100% of the city's sludge was converted to
fertilizer products beneficially used. It's only
been the last couple of years that landfills have
underbid composters for that material and have
allowed the city to save a few bucks by directing
it that way and hopefully the city will get back
on track with its new round of contracts to make
bio solids again an environmental product and get

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back onto agricultural land when	<i>i</i> here it belong	JS.
----------------------------------	-------------------------	-----

So I would applaud the Administration's effort in getting us to this point. It's been a long 20-year history for those us who have been involved for that period. It's an exciting and very dynamic period nationally with lots of things being tested in various cities both in the U.S. and I spent a lot of time in Canada as well. I spent a full day with the entire City Council down there of Calgary a couple of months ago. It's been debated what to do about organics in Calgary. In sync there has been a part of this discussion with the city for the last 20 years and looks forward to working with this committee and this Council and Administration over the next couple of years in figuring out the right course of action is, what the right set of tools are. Thank you very much. [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Next panel is Vadra Thorburn, Daniel Simon, Wendy Sheer and Greg Todd.

GREG TODD: Seeds of virtue here. Anyway, I would like to take this opportunity to

thank Council member James for this opportunity to
present my views on this important matter. It's a
great privilege to be able address the Council on
something as dear to my heart as composting. I as
well as many of my fellow composters were indeed
very excited to read in the local press on Monday
June 17^{th} the city was about to launch a citywide
mandatory composting program. But as well know
the devil is in the details and to me the
composting program as outlined in the proposed
laws seems surprisingly limited in its scope. It
will cover initially only 30 schools out of 1,500
schools operated by the Department of Education.
For residents the proposed program will cover only
sizable apartment buildings within the vicinity of
these 30 schools. Unaddressed at all is where the
waste will be converted into compost. In fact, we
believe that most of the waste will end up in the
Newtown Creek bio digesters where no compost will
be generated at all, only methane gas and sewage
sludge or bio solids as it is now politely re-
termed. At present these bio solids once they are
de-watered end up in landfills. We have
collectively watched with gnashing teeth as other

cities, notably San Francisco, Seattle, Portland,
Oregon, Toronto and other cities have launched
aggressive mandatory composting programs. We were
saddened to see our own city remove metal, glass
and yard waste from the recycling programs some of
which was subsequently returned. To watch our
diversion rates from the landfill dip below 15%
while other cities continued to raise their
diversion rates with talk of 50,60, even 70%
diversion rates being reported in some West Coast
cities. This lackluster performance on the part
of our sanitation department is all the more
astonishing when it's compared with the stellar
performance of other city agencies most notably
Transportation, Health, Education, Police and Taxi
and Limousine Commissions. None of the innovative
programs launched by these other agencies have
been without cost and pushback from residents and
not all have succeeded but many have been very
successful and attracted nation wide and even
world wide attention.

Sanitation stands almost alone in its lack of significant progress. Yes, the food waste collection programs at the Farmer's Markets

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are nice and the work of Grow NYC and New York

City Composting Project, Lower East Side Ecology

Center, Added Value, Earth Matters, and BIG have

all added to their rates of composting. But in

total, the city's diversion rates have decreased

and the aforementioned composting programs deserve

barely a footnote in the sanitation's budget.

On a more personal note about nine months ago I was granted an interview with Ron Gonen to present my proposal for a community based bike carting and composting business. I arrived full of high hopes and expectations only to find out that my interview was not with Ron who apparently had more pressing matters to attend to but rather with an intern. After about ten minutes of discussion I was advised by the intern that Ron would be made aware of my proposal. course I never heard anything further on the matter. According to my detailed business plan which I have copies here available for your perusal. The city would have diverted some 40 tons daily of compostable material from its waste stream at little or no additional cost if implemented citywide. The program would have

created some 200 new jobs in lower income
neighborhoods with compost readily available by to
community gardens and area residents, decrease the
number of truck trips in the city, decreased air
pollution and traffic congestion. All that would
have been required with a pilot study and tweaking
of some city and state regulations. It is in
light of this history that a small group of like-
minded composters met last Monday night under the
auspices of Brooklyn Sold Waste Advisory Board.
Under the general sharing of what we felt would be
the sanitation department's weak performance those
present agreed to meet again on July 22 nd to form a
group tentatively to be called Waste Alternatives.
This group would act as Transportation
Alternatives has done so admirably in the area of
transportation as both an advocacy and watchdog
group for matters related to waste. The purpose
of the meeting would be to refine and our mission
to an agreed upon name. If you are too are
concerned about the city's sub par performance on
recycling, composting and waste diversion please
join us on July 22^{nd} . We, unlike the sanitation
department will welcome your input. Please

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	contact	me	aiter	the	hearing	ior	more	information.

3 Again, allow me to thank Council member James for

4 this opportunity to express my thoughts on this

5 hearing and I look forward to your questions.

Thank you.

[off mic]

GREG TODD: No.

DANIEL BOWMAN SIMON: Thank you so very much Council member James and committee staff, Jared Hover and Daniel Avery for holding this oversight hearing today and thanks to Sargent at Arms as well. These hearings would not be possible without the Sargent at Arms. My name is Daniel Bowman Simon. I am the Urban Planner and Community Gardener at Compost Community Garden, Lower East Side. I am here speaking just for myself. I know that this is not a budget hearing. However, I am both bothered and inspired by a particular line in the FY '14 budget. Clocking in at 33,873,750 dollars, page 3026, line 106, and Department of Sanitation and Motor Vehicle fuel. I am bothered because 33,873,750 dollars is a mouthful to say and it's a lot of scarce tax payer money to spend on gasoline which combusts,

pollutes and doesn't add value for the city except
that the gas is what gets tour waste out of sight
and out of mind.

According to the front page of the New York Times article on Mayor Bloomberg's food waste plan a few weeks ago, the Administration plans to announce shortly that it is hiring a composting plant to handle 100,000 tons of food scraps a year. I am not sure how the scraps are supposed to make it to the composting plant or where that composting plant might be but even if the food waste is eventually loaded onto rail barges an unnecessarily high amount of fuel will be used to get the organic waste to the starting point of the rail barges.

So I am inspired by this 33.873.750 dollars spent on motor vehicle fuel because it represents a huge financial capacity to employ neighborhood based composting in order to reduce out motor vehicle fuel demands. I am talking about beyond just hybrid garbage trucks and gasified food to fuel conversion. And we can simultaneously buffer against future spike in gas costs if we don't meet as much gas. And there is

precedence for municipal efficiency investments in
our small town on the Hudson as Council member
Gennaro likes to call us. As you will find on the
city's website, New York City is committing 10% of
the city's annual energy budget to fund energy
saving investments in city operations including
its buildings, streetlights and wastewater
treatment plants. I don't see any investments
there in improving neighborhood based composting
but imagine the potential. Working with that 10%
number, it would 33 million divided by 10 or 3.8
million dollars. If the city invested 3.8 million
in neighborhood based composting next year it
would be revolutionary. Innovation would thrive.
A large fleet of smaller vehicles and bike
trailers and electric golf carts could be bought
online. Locally based processing capacity would
skyrocket. Before long the city would be able to
decrease the number of truck trips, reduce
pollution, noise, road and rate damage and of
course those famous garbage truck traffic jams.
Additionally other truck costs including capital
and maintenance would be reduced. With more
funding for neighborhood based composting,

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city.

community gardens and school gardens would have abundant sources of compost, making us less reliant on inputting compost and soil back into

In my research, nobody has ever quantified how much compost and soil we import into this city. How much it costs and how much fuel it burns up to get here so it seems to send stuff out to bring it back in, seems incredibly inefficient. There are tremendous educational opportunities to involve New York City school kids in the composting process at their schools instead of just including their schools as a pick up site. There are plenty of small business models in here including some that already exists. In a city that desperately needs more jobs. And yet in spite of Mr. Gonen's wonderful testimony about local processing, nothing in Intro 1107's pilot program assures that priority will be given to organic processing within New York City limits. Since we just heard from the Sanitation Union President I want to close by thanking the sanitation workers for all the hard work that they do dealing with our trash. It's really God's work

and I want to make sure that the union always views composting favorably. A robust and neighborhood based composting program will take more man and woman power, not less. Reduction in truck trips will result in less truck work for sanitation workers so there should be a training program to allow sanitation workers to transition to composting jobs on a voluntary basis. And sanitation workers should always be offered those jobs first. Thank you very much.

[off mic]

WENDY SHEER: Hello there. I am
Wendy Sheer. I represent myself but I also have a
long history of activism in environmental and food
waste issues. And so I am rally glad that this
hearing is taking place and it's really amazing.
Also I am very excited by Ron's proposal as a
whole. I am amazed to see that happen. I am just
kind of disappointed that he is not here to hear
us lowly people. But so, when I first heard about
this residential citywide composting I was
wondering, this is great but the real goal should
be like the real food wasters in the city which
would be reflected by commercial composting.

Considering that the really high concentration of	
grocery stores, restaurants, cafes, juice bars,	
commercial kitchens, this is really long overdue.	
And that is happening on a limited basis, on a	
voluntary basis by some businesses, some	
restaurants, etc. But it's at a very small level	
and entirely voluntarily and really it's barely	
scratched the surface. The few bins that I see	
outside of certain stores here and there. For	
instance there is one particular juice bar that's	
kind of new to West Village. I can see it	
basically 20-25 large trash bags full of almost	
entirely fruit and vegetable pulp. Every single	
day and you just multiply that by this entire	
city. And it's really really huge. Everything w	e
are talking about residential is really dwarfed b	У
this elephant in the room. So while residential	
collection is certainly essential for us to be	
disposing of solid waste responsibly we have to b	е
working towards the real huge issue which is	
citywide commercial composting. We have to be	
making it affordable and convenient for businesse	s
to do this while we are thinking about this. So	
let's keep that in mind whenever we are trying to	

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

build capacity but we need to think about these huge industries in everything that we do. And also as a footnote for site ideas I think we should consider the existing brownfields and

superfund sites for composting facilities.

VANDRA THORNBORN: Thank you very much. So am very pleased to be here and actually to have followed all these great speakers all of whom you know are describing this state of composting. This is almost like the hearing that you're supposed to say that, well we are having it. So my name is Vandra Thornborn and I am the founder and President of Vokashi Kitchen Waste Solution, a unique composting service in New York City helping households manage their food scraps. We use the Japanese method of Vokashi and provide the clients with the necessary buckets of bran to begin fermentation. Today we process more than 40 tons of fermented food waste in community and private gardens and habited green spaces using nutrient rich compost and matted soils. And by the way, that's primarily me. Actually it's me and the day labor I hire. And I only want to just tell you that that's my grey hairs but anyway this

is the third time in two years that I been before the Sanitation Committee and I am basically repeating my saying two requests. Firstly, where are Sanitation's proposals to encourage community based composting and today I am adding another word, services. I just believe that we need to open up the whole compost world to small entrepreneurial business models. We need the rules and regulations to encourage such services like the ones that Greg has proposed that Daniel has referred to. There are composting services that are beginning all over the country. And we need to think of opening up this market to small entrepreneurial composting services.

And the second request of course is to put Vokashi on the educational list of composting activities. There are hundreds of people now in New York City who are fermenting their food waste. It needs to be in the educational manuals. It's not just about vermiculture but adding vokashi. So I have been testing the residential compost service model for a couple of years. As I say composting service model is happening. I take note the fermented

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

food waste to DEC sites to sites that are permitted by DEC. So the idea of the compost service is terrific. It's practical. It could flourish with reasonable political and administrative support.

The plan before the committee is a beginning. However I believe now is the time to expand and support dedicated residential composting collection services. There are dozens of composting sites currently underway that are permanently being built on volunteer labor. want to know about sites, there are the sites. Those sites are the frameworks for growing an urban composting industry, providing the muchneeded local community based green jobs. sites need our waste dollars. Thank you for the reference to the 33 million but we have also heard about the 84 million dollars that those waste dollars can be redirected to invest in neighborhood sites. Not in the big box iterance. With all due respect to the NRDC, we don't need big business up in Westchester. We want the small composting sites that are in our neighborhoods for and to use actually the 02 composting facility

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which I like. So we need reasonable RFPs from the sanitation to support innovative financing and implementation of such plans.

And the other thing that I wanted to say is that we need, those RFPs have got to come in with some reasonable time line. The RFP that came out at the beginning of the year that was a six-week turn around. That RFP was designed for the big boy players. It's the Request for Proposals. So finally, on my request for Vokashi. So in addition to those very nice boxes, the lid whatever those containers. Those are good. Right. The rats can't get in there but actually the most interesting thing I want to say about my using fermented food waste is that I provide my subscribers with one or two 5 gallon buckets and I pick up once a month. So people can actually store, manage, all of their organic waste in a bucket that's underneath their sink and they only need to bring it out once a month. Most households are only using for one or two people, you are only using one bucket a month. So my thing is that sanitation is about to provide all those bio bags. Well you could provide our magic

bran to everybody so that they could ferment their
food waste and god forbid pick up the organic
waste once a month. Hello. Imagine that. Talk
about a revolution. So any rate, I think that I
sort of said what I want to say which is great,
let's have the community come and bring the
composters. I am with Greg in supporting and want
to see all of the community based composters come
together, use this moment to really build our
political muscle, because this is politics, our
political muscle to come back and say, we built
some wonderful composting sites. Those sites,
they need reinforcements and they need our
capital.

Want to thank this panel. I want to make a commitment to all of you before we call our last panel. We plan on having a hearing and the way that I want to approach it is, community composting and services from the perspective of job creation, economic development and environmental sustainability. That's something that I asked the staff to start looking into so that we can schedule so that all of us can come

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	back	togethe	er t	o talk	abou	ıt th	nese i	ssues	an	d I
3	agair	n, want	to	thank	each	and	every	one	of	you.

Our last panel is Oliver Lamb, Anna Aust, as well as Lisa Maylor. And if I did not call your name please join this last panel as we come to a conclusion of this hearing.

And we were joined earlier by Council member Gennaro from Queens.

FEMALE VOICE: Hello. Thank you for this opportunity to testimony. Thank you. We Warsoff Wild are a farming collective in process of securing licensing with the Department of Sanitation. As representatives of Warsoff Wilds we are here today to testify at the hearing of this bill to express our support and interest in the amendment of the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to the collection of compostable waste. The bill proposing to reletter section 16308 with the added subdivision proposing a voluntary residential organic collection pilot program for the diversion of compostable waste from department managed solid waste.

MALE VOICE: Furthermore as the

committee begins selecting locations for the purposes of introducing this new system of waste management, we request that the lot at the address of 48 Warsoff be considered for incorporation with the proposed pilot program. We seek to act in accordance with the waste management methods stipulated by this bill and we ask for the consideration of our collection, Warsoff Wilds, as entrusted participants in the composting pilot program.

FEMALE VOICE: Barring limitations, we hope to establish a small local scale anaerobic compost center as our garden's priority with the focus on educating and preparing the community through workshops and hands on experience for eventual larger scale implementations. We hope to mitigate the department's pick up process by consolidating immediate residential waste at our center through an internal voluntary pick up system, decreasing the necessity for multiple pick up locations in the area. The waste being recycled on site and fed directly back toward new growth of fresh produce or even energy means our compost center by relieving the department of

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

waster responsibility will in turn manifest tangible benefit for the public and support of health and communal unity.

> MALE VOICE: As residents of the immediate Bed Stuy neighborhoods surrounding 40 Warsoff for the past five years we have established relationships with multiple housing units, local businesses and organizations. eager to utilize these open discourses to acquire support and participation in the proposed composting project further developing and strengthening those relationships. It is our belief that grassroots communications are really the only way to get this kind of thing going anywhere. We are in the process of allocating funds through grants, donations and the fundraisers to support our efforts. We have a core foundation of at least ten members who are very experienced and reputable, committed to the success of our proposed operation and we have been seeking endorsements from local organization. is our belief that community farming and gardening centers will be the most thorough and integrated means of incorporating compost waste. Many of you

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 107
2	have already said.
3	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: 48 Warsoff. Is
4	that a city owned lot?
5	MALE VOICE: Yes. It is.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It is. [off
7	mic] Love you but- and what agency?
8	MALE VOICE: I believe the
9	Department of Sanitation.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It's a DOS
11	site?
12	MALE VOICE: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. We will
14	submit this to Department of Sanitation for
15	consideration as we identify expansion of the
16	pilot program.
17	MALE VOICE: Thank you very much.
18	CHAIREPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
19	FEMALE VOICE: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Next?
21	ANN AUST: Hi. My name is Ann
22	Aust. AUST. I represent myself but I am here kind
23	of on a lark because I got an email from the-
24	thank you Ms. James and everyone else for having

all of speak. The what is it called, the

ANN AUST: Yes. I am fairly new in the organization so sort of marginally involved

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but and so I happen to see the email. I thought I am not working right now so I will check out this hearing and I am totally unprepared so I have no speech or anything written down.

[off mic]

ANN AUST: Exactly. So at first I voted for this proposal not knowing much about it and it sounded good on the surface and I like the concept but I think that like Greg is here, like Greg was saying the devil is in the details. And I think that and a lot of other people were saying that I think that there needs to be more thought and more whatever to go into this before we just go ahead and have proposition go through. There is just so much that has to be considered like where is all the composting going to go. think ultimately I like what some people said about it being decentralized and community based. I think that's the most important thing. I mean like I said I think the city is on the right track. It is long overdue because you know I have always been hoping that something like this would take place because a lot of people just don't bother. I live in a high rise and I live all the

way over on 42 nd Street over by the Hudson River
and there is a lot of apathy and it would be nice
if more people could get involved. And I think
one of the most important things is just to get
people fired up. I mean how do you make, whether
it's decentralized or not, how do you make it
attractive and convenient for people to change
their consciousness about doing all this because
so many people don't care. I mean, we have
recycling in our building and people throw greasy
pizza boxes in with the paper or they throw
clothing and all kinds of stuff that doesn't
belong in the bins. So people have to do their
part and they don't but I really do think in the
upshot that we do have to keep it decentralized,
try to keep things community based and keep things
as local as possible instead of like shipping
everything to make it one location. But it sounds
like a start but I think that there's more thought
that needs to go into it.
CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We could

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We could provide you with some written materials if you wanted to distribute to your neighbors perhaps in terms of just educating them of composting and

2	recycling.	Okay?	Thank you	ma'am.	Next?
---	------------	-------	-----------	--------	-------

3 LISA MAYLOR: Good morning. name is Lisa Maylor. As Kendal mentioned before 4 5 from 1986 to 1981 I did work for the Department of Sanitation in their recycling program and helped 6 to start many of the recycling programs in place today. I oversaw the apartment house recycling 9 program, the Intensive Recycling program in Park Slope where we piloted food waste collection back 10 11 in 1990 and various other legislative initiatives 12 but I am here today representing the District 3 13 Green Schools Group. A group of public school parents in Community School District 3 of the 14 15 Upper West Side of Manhattan, Council member Brewer's district who volunteer to make schools 16 17 more environmentally sustainable. From February to June 2012, eight District 3 public schools held 18 in four buildings totaling more than 3,600 K-8th 19 20 grade students, segregated paper boats, 21 compostable sugar cane trays and all food waste. 22 The food waste was collected for free from IESI, a 23 private hauler and was taken to a commercial 24 composting facility in Delaware. And I had the 25 opportunity last Spring to testify at a hearing

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you held here on community based composting when we had just started a pilot and so at that time I only had anecdotal information and I didn't have any data to share. So now I do have some date to share. A key focus of our pilot last year was to collect data so that we could do an analysis to see whether it was something that could work and should be expanded citywide. And we were able to document an 85% reduction in cafeteria garbage by volume on average for all schools in the pilot. What we found was when we started the program in the four buildings in the pilot, there were a total of 54 garbage bags being produced every day in all four of the buildings in our pilot program and within days of starting the food waste collection program that reduced down to eight bags of garbage across the pilot. And one of the schools in our pilot just in one cafeteria went from 12 bags of garbage to one garbage bag in the cafeteria because most of 85% was food waste and tray waste which is now compostable in our schools. So that was significant reduction right off the bat. We continued to do an analysis by weight over the course of the pilot and we weighed

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

every waste stream in the cafeteria one week of every month during the pilot and averaged it throughout all eight schools and what we found and you have attached the testimony there some pie charts and bar charts that show our data and what we found was that 76% of the cafeteria waste stream was organics. And for us again, that includes trays, the compostable trays and the food 11% was recyclable metal, glass and plastic and that was before the recent expansion of the plastics recycling. So now that number would increase tremendously because so much more plastics is now being diverted into the blue bucket. And 13% at the time was non-recyclable, non-compostable garbage. Based on the success of our pilot on the Upper West Side, the Department of Sanitation when we presented our results to them last June agreed to take over the collection and the composting of the material from our eight pilot schools in the Fall of 2012 which they did and expanded the program to include more than 40 additional schools both in Manhattan and in Brooklyn, I know in your district. And that's the program that's taking place today. We, the

District 3 Green Schools group, support the
expansion of the school food waste composting
pilot proposed in Intro number 1107 with some
caution. There needs to be a comprehensive plan
for doing outreach and education into school staff
and students regarding the composting program in
advance of starting the collection program. Clear
signage needs to be produced and displayed in the
cafeterias when the program is started. Adequate
staff or volunteers must be on hand at composting,
recycling and trash receptacle for at least the
first two weeks of the implementation to ask the
students questions and to ensure the program is
implemented smoothly. And then must check in
again periodically throughout the school year
particularly after long holidays or school breaks.
And again at the beginning of every school year.

In order to improve the quality of the material that arrives at the composting facility, educational efforts should focus on reducing the amount of contamination that goes into the food waste collection bin. Contaminants are largely plastics. It sounds obvious to us but it must be stressed to the students who are

usually in a rush to get their food, to eat their food, to socialize, discard their lunch in just twenty minutes time. And so we need to stress to them that the food must be unwrapped before placing it in the food waste bins. Much of the contamination that we have found in our pilot food waste collection bins is plastic food packaging. Plastic utensils used to scrape food from the trays into the compost bin also often fall into the bin to contaminate the compost.

Education must also collaborate to design school lunch that generates less packaging waste.

Reducing the waste at the source will result in fewer problems at the compost facility and I must tell you when we look into the food waste bins so much of what's in there are these plastic cups of fruit. Instead of having fresh fruit they now have gone backwards and they now offer fruit cups and so if the kids don't eat them they throw the whole thing into the compost bin and the plastic is contaminated. So it's great if we teach them, okay dump out the canned fruit and then recycle the plastic which is better than contaminating it

2	but it would still be better not to generate that
3	plastic waste to begin with and give them apples
1	and bananas and peaches.

The feasibility of using compostable cutlery should also be explored. I am sorry, one second. I am just missing my last paragraph.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We are joined by Council member Mike Nelson from Brooklyn. Good afternoon.

almost done. The PTAs at the eight District 3
2012 pilot schools paid for the compostable sugar
cane trays to replace the standard DOE issues
Styrofoam trays in our schools. In the spring of
2013 the DOE received a grant for compostable
trays for all pilot schools for just spring of
2013. The provision of compostable sugar cane
trays to all public schools participating in the
food waste-composting program in perpetuity would
eliminate the possibility of Styrofoam trays
contaminating the compost and will also
significantly reduce the amount of garbage
generated by each school.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lastly, provisions should be made

to provide ongoing feedback to pilot schools and

to receive feedback from pilot schools. DOE and

DSNY should consider creating a place on their

websites where participating schools can go to get

updates on how the program is going and to post

9 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

questions or comments. Thank you.

Thank you for the stats. It's very interesting and I do know that just like the Upper West Side there were schools in Park Slope that obviously had been in the forefront and were very visionary in terms of composting and reducing food waste but I am just concerned obviously as we talk about equity in the city of New York that there are some PTAs throughout the city of New York who unfortunately can not afford to purchase those compostable sugar cane trays and that continues to concern to me perhaps going forward in the next budget the City Council could provide some resources so that they too can purchase those trays. In addition to that the utensils, the cutlery, recyclable cutlery is also an issue. And as an aside students obviously need more than 20

2	on having a hearing in regards to community
3	composting. I just want to acknowledge and
4	introduce and thank Jared Hover to my immediate
5	right who is the Counsel to this Committee and of
6	course Daniel Avery to my far right who is a
7	Senior Policy Analyst. Please introduce
8	yourselves to these fine gentlemen. They
9	basically make this Committee run and they make me
10	look really good. Thank you all and have a good
11	afternoon.

I, Sung Bin Park certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Sing Broad Continu

Date __April 13, 2013