CLLA	COL	INCTI	_
CITY	OF	NEW	YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----X

May 9, 2013

Start: 1:21 p.m. Recess: 4:21 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

GALE A. BREWER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Inez E. Dickens

Council Member Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

Council Member Daniel Dromm Council Member Mathieu Eugene Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez Council Member Jemaane D. Williams

Council Member Brad Lander

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Cheryl Wertz Member New York Coalition to Expand Voting Rights

Ron Haddock Representative Professional Staff Congress of CUNY

Jerry Vatamala Staff Attorney and Democracy Program Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund

Eric Freedman
Director of External Affairs
New York City Campaign Finance Board

Nina Data Representative American Immigration Lawyers Association, New York Chapter

Andrew Spencer Legal Fellow Fair Vote, The Center for Voting and Democracy

Barbara Brandeis Immigration Lawyer Member of AILA

Susan Lerner Representative Common Cause New York

Irma Rodriguez Executive Director Queens Community House

Michelle Walker President World Policy Institute

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Angela Fernandez
Executive Director
Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights

Peter Geffen Founder Abraham Joshua Heschel School

Cesar Palamenque Andrade Member, Board of Directors Make the Road, New York

Kevin Douglas Representative United Neighborhood Houses of New York

Landra Raquina Representative Make the Road, New York

Mr. Ahmad
Legal and Policy Director
- Rising Up and Moving

Jose Shufino
Chair, Immigration Committee
New York City Chapter of the Labor Council for Latin
America Advancement

Aga Mohammed Salai Representative Social Uplift through Knowledge and Hope Initiatives, SUKI

Mazira Ehrudi Women's Coordinator Talents of South Asian Labor Association

Jackson Chin Representative Latino Justice

2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good
3	afternoon ladies and gentlemen. My name is Daniel
4	Dromm and I'm Chair of the committee on
5	Immigration. Before I, [off mic] got to bring the
6	gavel twice. All right, good afternoon everybody.
7	My name is Daniel Dromm and I'm the Chair of the
8	Immigration Committee. I'd like to introduce my
9	colleagues who are here with us today, Council
10	Member Ydanis Rodriguez is here, Council Member
11	Peter Vallone is here, Council Member Brad Lander
12	is here, and I know that we will be joined by
13	other Council Members including Council Member
14	Gale Brewer who is on her way but we're going to
15	get started because we do have a very long list of
16	people who want to testify today. So, let me just
17	get started then and say I'd like to thank my
18	collage, Gale Brewer, Chair of the Committee on
19	Governmental Operations for co chairing this
20	hearing with me and giving the opproutnity for
21	intro number 410 to be heard and considered by the
22	Committee. If enacted Intro 410 would allow
23	immigrants lawfully present in New York City to
24	vote in municipal elections. This bill is of
25	great important to me, my constituents and many

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other New Yorkers. I believe that this bill speaks to something that the founding fathers of this country considered of utmost importance, no taxation without representation. Passage of this bill would be a natural step in our nations journey related to voting rights. The history of voting in this country has been a turbulent one. When this nation was founded only white property owners were permitted to vote. As time progressed voting rights were granted to non property owners, to African American's and to women but this did not occur overnight and not without a fight. demographics of voters have changed over time and this bill would just be the natural step in our nations voting history. This legislation is not about undocumented immigrants so that should not even be raised as a concern in opposition to its passage. This is about immigrants who are legally here in this country, whether they are here under some kind of humanitarian visa or have chosen to make New York City their home or those who have been here for ten or 20 years and are still waiting to be naturalized. They have played an instrumental role in enriching the fabric of this

city. These individuals pay taxes, participate in 2 their communities whether it is being active in a 3 4 church, a synagogue or a mosque or active in their 5 local schools and community centers while business owners and consumers. Whether they are in 6 Washington Heights, Wakefield, Castleton Corners, New Springfield, Flatbush or my neighborhood of 9 Jackson Heights, these are New Yorkers who want to make sure that their interests are adequately 10 11 represented since they too are committed to their 12 neighborhoods and to their city. I believe that 13 there is precedent for this legislation. For 14 example, up until 2001 all immigrants regardless 15 of status were permitted to vote in school board 16 elections in New York City. The number of 17 eligible voters under this legislation we believe 18 is between 750,000 and 800,000 people. We know 19 this because there are approximately 1.3 million 20 non residents, non citizens that call New York 21 City their home and approximately 500,000 of them 22 are undocumented. These non citizens are 23 taxpayers who contribute to society but are 24 ultimately disenfranchised because they cannot 25 vote. Passage of this legislation would encourage

2 community involvement and civic engagement and promote democracy as intended by our forefathers. 3 This would change the way that candidates campaign 4 5 for office because they could no longer ignore immigrants and communities of color. I would like 6 to thank my colleagues who have cosponsored this important bill, and there are 34 of them. 9 bill has been introduced and considered before but 10 it now has more cosponsors than ever before, which 11 is a clear indication that this legislation is of 12 much importance to us as elected officials. 13 could also like to say how proud I am with the 14 coverage of this issue has received by everyone 15 from El Diario to New York One News. I would like 16 to point out that El Diario run an editorial in 17 support of this bill which I am also thrilled 18 about. Now, I'm looking forward to hearing 19 testimony from everyone here today on this very 20 important issue. We will have to limit most of 21 the people to three minutes because we have a very 22 long list of people who want to give testimony and 23 we also have questions to ask and questions that 24 need to be asked. So, we are going to limit 25 people to that. I want to give an opportunity for

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my colleague, Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez to also offer an opening statement and I want to thank him personally for all the work that he has done on this issue, for helping me gather sponsors and for organizing the press conference. And I'm very pleased and proud to be able to work with you, Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER YDANIS RODRIGUEZ:

Thank you. Thank you our Chairman on Immigration Committee, a great leader, an advocate for immigrant right, Danny Dromm and also Gale Brewer, who is not here. And also for the - - and the rest of my colleague. You know, it is interesting that we are having, first of all, I used to be a Social Studies teacher before being elected for 13 years. I love history. I love to see how great city we have. As an immigrant that I am who come in in 1983 at the age of 18, one of the thing that I respect the most to the city that walking through some avenue, like, 3rd Avenue, that it had, like, five and six road. I say, when were this road built? They were build decades ago when six road was no needed. Probably they only needed one or two. However, the men and woman who build the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

road, the road with their vision for the next hundred years. And that's one of the great thing of this city and this nation, having men and women with a vision, men and women that is looking to correct thing they can do better. When we end the segregation it wasn't only black and Latino who joined the movement, it was also the youth, the white community who say, segregation is wrong. We have to correct it and we had to change it. Here we have a great opportunity. People from all nationality to see how can we improve a democratic process in our city? I came in '83. I was 18. First job, washing dishes at O'Hare [phonetic] restaurant, 6th Avenue and 4th Street. No one asked me if I had a right or not but I was paying taxes. I had my green card. Then I became doing, I joined another corporation providing sandwich, food, Concert Corporation [phonetic] on the 96th Floor to World Trade Center, paying taxes, yes, having my green card. My brother joined the Army, he only had green card, but no one asked when they recruiting from the Armed Forces if they have, if they can know, I mean, green card, people are allowed to join the Armed Forces with green card.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Therefore, today we have a great opportunity to provide that opportunity to 850,000 New Yorker's that has green card, that pay taxes that are raising family, that are preparing the next men and women to be the workforce for the future. Intro 410 provide us that opportunity, provide us this opportunity to allow a sectors of New Yorkers to be part of the democratic process, to elect the Council Member, to elect the public advocate they may or that they control. So, I congratulate all my colleague, the 34 Council Members that has signed this. I also congratulate the Speaker for being open, for having the - - to look at this bill. I also congratulate some of the other colleague who are looking to get many in citywide office, like, Bill Thompson, like, Bill Deblassio [phonetic] who also has expressed that they are open to consider this bill. So, New Yorkers, we have a great opportunity to write another chapter in our history that will have an impact for this decades to come. This is going to be your Council Member, Mayor and Comptroller, this is about providing 150 New Yorkers that pay taxes, that have the same responsibility as people that are US

2	citizen to also have the opportun	ity of	elect	the
3	local official. Thank you.			

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,

Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez. And now I would

like to turn it over to Council Member Gail

Brewer, co Chair, Chari of the Council's Committee

on Government Operations and the recently most

successful Council Member in terms of passing

another piece of legislation of vital importance

to immigrant New Yorkers and that's the Paid Sick

Bill. Council Member Gail Brewer. [cheering and

applause]

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.

Thank you Danny Dromm. Danny Dromm is wonderful and I am here with many colleagues to chair the Committee on Governmental Operations and the bill is actually in our Committee and it's really an honor to be here with Danny Dromm in particular. I think we've been joined by Council Member Mark-Viverito.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Council Member Mathieu Eugene is here.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Wait, okay.

So, I'm not going to be long. This city is

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

obviously a place where immigrants have always come, some stay for a short period of time, some for a long time but one in five adult New Yorkers are not allowed to vote in our city because although they are documented immigrants and here legally, they are not citizens. And those are the individuals, who in my opinion, should be voting in our municipal elections. They pay taxes, they own businesses, they contribute to the cultural and social fabric of our great city but they're not allowed to vote for their representatives and that's wrong. It takes maybe eight to ten years to gain citizenship, if you're lucky sometimes. And there's no reason why these hardworking New Yorkers have to wait that long to be a part of how our streets should be safe or what the quality of, is and what the quality of schools is and what is entailed in making sure they are high quality. And it's also important to note that non citizen voting has a history in New York City. Non citizens were permitted to vote in school board I voted in them from 1970 on until 2002 when they were abolished. We all worked, those of us who had kids in the school system at

that time. so, the legislation today would
restore voting rights to non citizens who legally
reside in our city. And it would apply only to
individuals who have lived in the city for at
least six months who are here legally and that
would apply only to elections for municipal
office, like Mayor, City Council, Board
Presidents, and so on. It's fair, it's equitable
and I certainly support it. It's not an easy
matter to pull this off but I know the New York
City Board of Elections could do it. And they
have figured out how to address significant
challenges in the past and they could do it again.
The Board is not here. Later on we will read
their statement. I'm proud to be a cosponsor and
I always enjoy doing anything with Council Member
Danny Dromm. [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, the feeling is mutual. Thank you, Council Member Gail Brewer. And with that, I'd like to bring up our first panel, and that will be Ron Haddock [phonetic] for PSC CUNY, Cheryl Wertz [phonetic] from the New York Coalition to Expand Voting Rights, and Jerry Vatamala [phonetic] from Asian

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

American Legal Defense and Education Fund. And
Cheryl would you sit to here and start us off?
Yeah? Whenever you're ready. Put that mic on
too. You have to push the red button.

MS. CHERYL WERTZ: Yeah?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah.

MS. WERTZ: Okay. Thank you so much to all of you for taking the time to attend this important hearing this afternoon. My name is Cheryl Wertz and I'm one of the founding members of the New York Coalition to Expand Voting Rights. My role here today is to give you some basic information about the New York City Voting Rights Restoration Act and that you hear from the other more eloquent speakers and experts that are here this afternoon. The New York City Voting Rights Restoration Act, or Intro 410, is basic civil rights legislation that would reinstate municipal voting rights for non citizens who've been legally present in New York City for six months or longer. As Council Member Dromm just eloquently pointed out, the right to vote in this country has rarely if ever been about citizenship. It has been about controlling who has the right to have a say. At

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this country's founding the right to vote belonged to white men and with property. White woman and poor white men, although clearly citizens, were disenfranchised. Over time each and every state has passed legislation that actively removed the right to vote from non citizens. Always a hot bed of mitigation, New York has also been a hot bed of anti immigrant backlash. Some of the first citizenship voting restrictions were passed here in 1804, but Arkansas, the last state to disenfranchise immigrants didn't do so until 1926. This seemingly universal concept of citizenship voting requirements is less than 90 years old. Even now, 45 countries around the world have some form of non citizen voting. Six towns in Maryland, non citizen vote residents are currently voting in municipal elections and in Chicago, non citizen residents are voting in school board elections. Of course, non citizen parents voted in school board elections right here in New York City until 2002 when mayoral control ended school The expression, no taxation without representation is particularly relevant here. There is no special tax bracket for being a non

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

citizen. All non citizens pay the same taxes that citizens do. In New York State, non citizen residents pay \$229 billion in combined state income taxes, sales taxes and real estate taxes. Their economic output represents about one fifth of the State's GDP. But most non citizens don't qualify for Social Security, Medicaid, Medicare, food stamps or the dozens of other programs that they're helping to pay for. But this campaign isn't really about taxation, it's about civic participation and the right to have a say. In New York City a full 20 percent of the adult population can't vote in our civic process, that's 1.3 million people. And as you can see from our map, which is also included in your packets, we can map out very specifically where those individuals are in New York City and what issues are being impacted. Beyond individual voting rights this campaign is about supporting our neighborhoods. When one fifth of our neighbors can't participate in the civic process our entire community suffers. Immigrant New Yorkers are more likely to have unaddressed healthcare needs, be underemployed, attend public schools and pay a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

higher portion of their salary in rent. But elected officials can safely ignore these issues when immigrants can't vote. By holding our elected officials accountable for serving their entire community, non citizen voting serves the entire community. As a part of my introduction i want to just touch on the concrete questions about who would be enfranchised as we've said before. This legislation is only for New York City municipal elections. It does not cover County Offices of District Attorney's or Judgeships. New York City Voting Rights Restoration Act would enfranchise individuals who are legally present and have lived in New York City for six months or longer. It's important to note that individuals with tourist visas are specifically excluded from the legislation, both by virtue of the six month time frame and the residency requirement. Individuals with any other kind of visa, including student visas, H1B visas, K visas, E visas, J visas, L visas, U visas and the alphabet soup of other visas created by the US Department of Homeland Security are all included. A quick note on the legal details which again, will be covered

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by other experts here today. The legislation leaves the details on voter registration and poll administration processes to the professionals at the Board of Elections rather than dictating how they do their job, Intro 410 gives those professionals the freedom to do their work effectively and make sure that New Yorkers are able to exercise their appropriate and legal right to vote. New York State election law is quite clear on protecting the right to vote for citizens but there is nothing in the law that prevents municipalities from expanding that franchise. a friend of mine used to put it, New York State election law is a shield protecting citizens right to vote rather than a sword preventing other individuals from being enfranchised as well. want to end my testimony today with a personal note of thanks. The idea that individual should simply become citizens has certainly been raised as part of this discussion. But as we've already noticed, becoming a citizen takes a ridiculously long time and there are a large number of documented individuals who have lived here for years with no viable path to citizenship. The

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

national immigration reform debate may result in a path to citizenship for some individuals but certainly a large number of people will be included. And as individuals in Washington continue to endlessly debate the issue the New York City Council has an opportunity to set a national example of inclusion. This hearing is a testament to our healthy civic life. We are about to become the most representative, democratic city in the nation. As of today 34 of you have eco sponsored 410. When myself and a collection of activists in Queens, many of whom are here today, started thinking about campaigns that could truly impact the way that our community members interacted with government we knew this was a great idea but we were ready for a long haul. From the Seneca Falls Convention to the adoption of the 19th Amendment, women suffrage took 70 years, but with your leadership we're looking at a real possibility of enfranchising 1.3 million New Yorkers in less than ten years, that's astonishing. But it's also a testament to your leadership and to your collective vision. So, thank you and I'm happy to take questions.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Ron, your testimony is very long so if you could just 3 summarize it if you can.

> MR. RON HADDOCK: I certainly will. [crosstalk] I know - - no, I won't. thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Ron Haddock. I am a proud representative of the Professional Staff Congress of CUNY, the faculty and staff union, 25,000 members strong who service the 270,000 students in CUNY, many of whom are immigrants or the children of immigrants. I'm also a member of the coalition to expand voting rights and lastly, I'm a professor of Political Science at Queens College. I'm the author of a book called Democracy For All, not for some, but for all, and the subtitle is, Restoring Immigrant Voting in the United States. I strongly urge you to pass intro 410. It's the right thing to do. New York City's the perfect place to do it and this is the right time. One out of five people, adults, who are counted for districting purpose, pay billions in taxes and contribute in countless ways, socially, culturally, economically, to the life of this city but they can't vote because

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're not US citizens. In some districts that rises to one third to one half. That approximates the political exclusion of women, African American's and youth before laws were changed to incorporate them. What do these conditions mean for such basic democratic principle's such as government rests on the consent of the governed, no taxation without representation, one person, one vote? Immigrant political exclusion challenges the ideals of a modern democracy and it cuts to the heart of our political practice. was wrong to exclude women, people of color and young people from the vote and it's wrong to exclude immigrants today. After all, we know what happens when groups are disenfranchised; discrimination, exploitation, marginalization follow. That's why blacks, women and young people fought for their voting rights and that's why immigrants today similarly seek the right to vote. Immigrant voting is part of a broader struggle for the immigrant rights movement and for the movement for human rights and dignity. Allowing immigrants to vote in local elections would restore what was a common and widespread practice in earlier times

and most recently here in the community school
board elections. Resident voting, immigrant
voting is one of the best and proven methods to
facilitate voter participation, immigrant
incorporation and government accountability.
There's three main points I want to encourage you
to consider in your deliberations. One, is this
is totally legal and there's precedent for us to
do this. The City has the authority and we have
strong historical precedent. It's rational.
There are moral and practical reasons to restore
immigrant voting including notions of equal right
and equal treatment and because it brings mutual
benefits to all community members. And lastly,
it's feasible. It's politically and
administratively feasible. It's possible and we
have experience doing it. Immigrants vote in
Maryland and you'll hear of from someone today in
Maryland about how they do it there, in Chicago
and we did it in the school board elections. Not
is immigrant voting particular to the United
States and New York City. It's a contemporary
phenomena world wide and it's a contemporary
trend. It makes a lot of sense in this era of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

globalization. So, a little bit about their history. Americans are usually surprised to learn that immigrants enjoyed voting for the majority of our history, from 1776 to 1946, that's 150 years, not just in part of the country but in 40 states and federal territories it was common practice, not just in local elections which is what we're talking about now but also in State and Federal Immigrants can also run for office and elections. held office such as Alderman, Coroner and School Board Member. Our forefathers embraced the alien suffrage as a logical way to encourage newcomers to build a stake in America's emerging democracy and it worked. It hastens civic integration and eventual citizenship. Immigrant voting is as American as apple pie and is older than our national pastime, baseball, one of my favorite sports. Another takeaway point is that voting is not naturally or inevitably or intrinsically connected to citizenship. Of course, this is why, you know, women and blacks who are post emancipation have, were citizens but were denied the right to vote not because they weren't citizens but because of racism and sexism. Sadly,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this sensible policy of immigrant voting became a casualty of the anti immigrant backlash in wartime hysteria during the turn of the 20th Century. is also a time, I want to point out, when other barriers to the franchise were erected such as poll taxes, literacy tasks, grandfather clauses, restrictive residency and voter registration procedures, all of which combine to disenfranchise millions of voters. Thankfully, the Civil Rights movement swept away many of the obstacles to voting, poll taxes, literacy tests but the movement for universal suffrage remains incomplete, an alarming and large proportion of the population remains disenfranchised. Most immigrants want to become citizens but because of red tape the average time to naturalize and obtain citizenship is eight to ten years, according to the US census. More than a two term mayor, City Council members. Furthermore, many legally residing immigrants are not eligible to become citizens, such as students, long term holders of work visas. Intro 410 would allow these New Yorkers to participate in the political life of the city, their new home, and at the same time

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

improve the quality of our democracy. I'm going to skip over the contemporary situation because we have some other folks who will talk about how it works in other places. But just to note that this trend, the last 30 to 40 years for places to restore voting rights, New York City was the first place to restore voting rights to immigrants in the school board elections was part of the civil rights movement, the community control movement. Chicago followed our lead and still, to this day, every two years and even number of years in April, just past, immigrants vote in school elections in Chicago. They do so in Maryland, six towns, for all elections locally. In Massachusetts there's four set towns that have enacted immigrant voting at the local level. They wait state enabling legislation to implement those laws. There's been similar initiatives in San Francisco and Portland, Maine in 2010 and a dozen other places around the country. So, New York's not aloe in trying to restore voting rights for allowing immigrants to vote. And globally, again, in the last 30 t0 40 years, this trend is increasing. I was glad to hear Chairwoman Brewer say that the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board of Elections can do this, that they have, certainly, experience doing it. And, you know, the Board of Elections is capable of making changes when they've been challenged in the past, whether it's for the Community School Board elections, which they had to do historically or adding protected language groups, the American's For Disabilities Act, the National Voter Registration Act, HAVA [phonetic], new voting machines, you name it. The technologies available and in place including computerization of voter registration rolls and poll lists, other jurisdictions do it and they can inform us how to do it. A firewall can be built between the City and other elections so to allay any concerns of comingling. And thankfully, because municipal elections take place in an odd year, as opposed to even years when the State and Federal elections happen, that's another built in separation. know, if there's the political will there's the technological and technical way. To conclude, no one believes that immigrant voting is going to be a panacea to our problem of typical apathy and low voter turnout. However, it's certainly a critical

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

step in creating local governor process that's more inclusive, accessible and accountable and certainly will increase the turnout. Resident voting will not eviscerate the palpable fear and distrust that many immigrant communities have for government, nevertheless, by implementing a system whereby government officials need to vie for their support will give these vulnerable communities more of an equal footing in the city in which they have a vital stake. Resident voting will not ensure that government is truly accountable to all but New Yorkers will have recourse to the ballot and that goes a long way to increasing accountability. The vote is a tried and true mechanism to keep government representative, responsive and accountable. Elected officials will have greater participation from their constituents and therefore a greater mandate. According to a public opinion poll conducted by Baruch College in 2011, most New Yorkers support the idea of restoring voting rights to immigrants, so it's not just us advocates. In conclusion, immigrant rights are the civil rights of today and thus, non citizen voting is a suffrage movement of

our time. restoring voting rights to all its residents would update our democracy for these global times. New York is the home of the statue of liberty, Ellis Island, we embrace our immigrant nation, we are a city as an immigrant city. How appropriate would it be for us to reaffirm this leadership roll by restoring immigrant voting and city elections? By doing so, we would make history again. Thanks for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you.

Jerry?

MR. JERRY VATAMALA: Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to address this body and this Committee. My name is Jerry

Vatamala. I am a Staff Attorney and Democracy

Program and the Asian American Legal Defense and

Education Fund, AALDEF. ALLDEF is s 39 year old

national civil rights organization that protects

and promotes the civil rights of Asian American's

through litigation, advocacy, education and

organizing, AALDEF works with Asian American

communities across the country to secure human

rights for all. Today I'm going to testify on the

issue of whether New York City has authority to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extend the franchise and local elections to non In short, it is our view that the City citizens. does have the authority and does not require authorization from the New York State Legislature. Municipal authority to extend the franchise to non citizens is supported by the terms and goals of New York's Home Rule Law and by section 1-102 of New York's Election Law. Local governments, such as New York City, have authority to pass local laws not inconsistent with the provisions of the constitution, including any general law relating to what's property, affairs or government and any law related to the mode of selection of the government's officers. Although there are exceptions to the Municipal Home Rule Law the selection of electors is not among the 12 topics identified in the law as one on which a local law which supersedes a State statute, cannot be The City's broad power to define the enacted. franchise for local elections is consistent with the goals of the home rule doctrine in New York. Home rule was intended to empower local governments on matters of acute local concern, like local elections. My colleagues had mentioned

б

that the New York City Constitution, the Federal
Constitution as well as the New York State
Constitution does not ban non citizen voting.
Indeed, New York Constitution, it guarantees
citizens the right to vote but does not limit the
electorate to citizens. So, in other words, the
New York State Constitution is a floor, not a
ceiling. Going onto the New York Election Law.
New York Election Law section 5-1021 states that
no person shall be qualified for. registered and
vote at any election unless he is a citizen of the
United States and is or will be on the day of such
election. And it goes on, so, at first blush it
would seem that the New York Election Law
prohibits non citizens from voting. However,
section 1-102 of the Election Law provides that
whereas specific provision of law exists in any
other law, which is inconsistent with the
provisions of this chapter, such provisions shall
apply unless a provision of this chapter specifies
that such provision of this chapter shall apply
notwithstanding any other provision of law. What
does that mean? What it means is that if local
jurisdiction such as New York City passes a law

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

such as this bill, which is inconsistent with a provision of the election law which I just read, section 5-102, the local election law will prevail and supersede the inconsistent provision in the election law. The only time this will not be the case is if the inconsistent provision, in this case the provision that says only citizens can vote, explicitly states that that provision will supersede the local law that is passed, and it does not say that. The City's power to institute non citizen voting for local elections depends on whether section 1-102 allows the City to bypass the restrictions I just read in section 5-102. Section 1-102 allows municipalities to fashion electoral rules that are different from and even inconsistent with the rules chosen by the State. Under section 101-102, New York's Election Law Operates in Elections for Local Offices as a set of default laws, which can be modified as needed for particular elections. This default rule structure is not unusual formulation in New York Law and one can just think of the Uniformed Commercial Code, the UCC, they are a set of default rules in place under the UCC and parties

can agree to modify those rules. This is very
similar. And there's a very, I'll give you one
example here, the New York Supreme Court in New
York County was called on to interpret the scope
of section 1-102 while the 1988 Porter Revision
Commission changed the City's special elections to
a non partisan format. The charter provision that
had been imposed had conflicted with election law,
section 6-114 which allowed parties to place their
candidates party labels on the ballot. The
Supreme Court, nonetheless, held that election law
gives way to inconsistent local law provisions
relying on election law section 1-102. So,
there's an example where section 1-102 trumped the
contrary section in election law for special
elections. Now, there's no material difference
between a change in the electorate and the change
in the format of the ballot from partisan to non
partisan for purposes of section 1-102. So
therefore, the City's experience with nonpartisan
ballot in special elections demonstrates,
illustrates for this commission that section $1-102$
allows local laws to supersede provisions of the
election law. So, in summary, New York Election

2 Law bars non citizens from the franchise.

However, it appears that and it's our belief that election law gives local government the power to override section 5-1021 in the context of local elections. In light of the City's broad home rule powers and the language of section 1-102 of the New York Election Law AALDEF believes that the City has the authority to extend the franchise to non citizens without seeking special authorization from the New York State Legislature. Thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

much. I want to make sure that we thank David
Seiser [phonetic] who's an attorney here and Tim
Matisoff [phonetic] who was certainly a great
policy analyst. I want to make a couple other
questions. Ron, when you were speaking you
mentioned some towns in Massachusetts that were
not able or do you know why they weren't given the
green light to do immigrant voting?

MR. HADDOCK: Yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You mentioned it, I don't think you talked about it 'cause you were kind enough to summarize.

2	MR. HADDOCK: I was trying to get
3	through it. You know how us academics can be a
4	little longwinded. But it's all in the book and
5	my testimony.
6	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We're not
7	reading the book right now, Ron
8	MR. HADDOCK: The four towns
9	Amherst, Cambridge, Newton and Brookline passed
10	local legislation. Maryland requires that, it's
11	called a home rule petition, they file home rule
12	petition that they petition the State Legislature
13	to allow the home rule petition to go into effect.
14	They petitioned but they have not received the
15	grant to that petition. So, it's in the election
16	law committee in the State Legislature right now.
17	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Oh, so it has
18	to go to the State. I want to thank Council
19	Member Dickens, Council Member Williams, for being
20	here. So, I'm just wondering, for any of you, do
21	you have any idea as to the universe of potential
22	voters in our city who might be added to the rolls
23	of this wonderful law if it is to pass?
24	MR. HADDOCK: If I understand
25	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: [interposing]

2 How many people would be eligible to vote?

3 MR. HADDOCK: There's different

4 estimates. It's just a guess, it's just an

5 estimate. I mean, the best science we have, the

6 US Census and a number of other entities have

7 different ranges, some say 1.3 million, some say

8 750,000. I always like the middle, ballpark

9 figure of a million. Maybe Cheryl can help

10 | elaborate.

MS. WERTZ: The specific number that the New York Coalition to Expand Voting Rights uses is 1.3 million. To let you know where we arrived at that number that's the US Census figure for the number of adult non citizens living in New York City. That number is, of course, a flawed number. Any number coming from the US Census is, of course, a flawed number that undercounts low income communities, communities of color and non English speaking communities. That number also does theoretically include individuals that are undocumented because there is no check mark on the census form for your immigration status, it only asks your citizenship status. Given the very low number of people that are

2.

undocumented that answer census forms and the very
high undercount of particular communities in the
census, we feel like the 1.3 number from the
census rounds itself out as a very legitimate
number. The reality is, of course, that there is
no actual number, therefore the census number is
the best that we can come to.

MR. HADDOCK: If I can add, the Pew Hispanic Trust estimates and the Urban Center estimates there's about 500,000 undocumented individuals in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, the next question is, the Board of Elections. In other municipalities in Maryland, do they vote in the same manner as other voting in municipal elections? Do you have any sense of how it works in those particular locations?

MS. WERTZ: [off mic] One of the other panelists that's going to be here addressing you later today is actually from Maryland and Landon is going to be able to answer those questions really specifically and in detail for you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. So you

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 would you know--

MS. WERTZ: [interposing] The answer is no, that they're not treated differently.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, 'cause you don't know, for instance, I mean, in New York is big, however, and with all due respect to the Board of Elections, it's a challenge for citizens to vote. So, my question would be that I should just ask Maryland if, I would like to ask you, though, just to get a sense in that we are so big, do you think it would make sense in order, if we were to move any quicker to have a different, I don't know if this is right or wrong, I'm just asking, I, as Governmental Operations oversee the Board of Elections so it's a challenge. So, one question would be whether you think it would make sense to have people sign in with the different system or should all be the same that the regular New Yorkers are voting in terms of the municipal elections.

MR. HADDOCK: That's a good question. I think there will be a couple of other answers. Jerry might want to weigh in. So, the

one poll list. I think it should, they should be

25

2.

integrated and the way that they do it in Maryland
is they integrate them on a single poll list on
election day and they separate them again for
subsequent elections It's clean and simple

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.

 $\label{eq:MR. HADDOCK: You can build a} % \begin{center} \end{center} % \begin{center} \end{ce$

CHAIRPESON BREWER: Okay.

MS. WERTZ: And just to sort of add to that, I can very easily create an Excel document that lists people with markers in it so that they can be sorted in and back out again. If I can do it in an Excel document I don't think it's too much to ask the Board of Elections to be able to manage that, otherwise certainly appreciate your question, Council Member, and realize that it will, in fact, be a challenge, although I am also happy to volunteer to be the first person to testify in front of your Committee, the Gov Opps Committee, in four years after we've done, been through this process once. I'm happy to testify about how we can help make it better and tighter.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: A little

2 complicated but thank you very much.

MR. VATAMALA: You know, I just wanted to add also, I think the Board had raised concerns about having Chinese on the ballot or Korean or Bengali or whatever these new language requirements were required of the Board. We heard similar reasons why it couldn't be on but in the end it does get done. And we have a cooperative relationship with the Board and we are confident that they be able to get this done.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And just to also add to that discussion, you know, for the most part, City elections, Municipal elections are held in the odd years and the State election, Federal elections are held in the even years. so, even that would help them in terms of the sorting of who is eligible to vote during certain times. And there are some exceptions which is when you might have a special election or something like that. But I think that could be handled a little --. I just want to go back to Jerry, you said that, if I'm wrong in summarizing what you said, but you said that you basically believe that the State Constitution does not specifically state

2	that the City is prohibitive from allowing non
3	citizens to vote and it's on that basis that you
4	believe that non citizen voting is legal. Am I
5	right.

MR. VATAMALA: Well, that's one of the reasons. Because the New York State

Constitution does not forbid it, it does not consent it to sealing. So, what it says is that at the minimum citizens are protected their right to vote but it does not limit the other electorate as it is possible in this case, would be non citizens. It's a home rule provision and section 1-102 of election law that allows for non citizens to vote.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And so is that the reason why parents are allowed to vote in school board elections and do you know if that had ever been challenged?

MR. VATAMALA: Well, I'm glad you brought that up because the school board elections, okay, the State Legislature acted, all right? So, when the argument is made that the New York State Constitution prohibits non citizens from voting, okay, go to example number one,

2	school boards, the New York State Legislature
3	acted in accordance with the State Constitution to
4	allow for non citizens to vote. But we
5	shouldn't
6	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]
7	So, there's precedent for that?
8	MR. VATAMALA:there's precedent
9	but I do want to make it clear, we don't want to
10	extrapolate that and say that only the State can
11	authorize non citizens to vote. It was nice that
12	the State Legislature did that but the City has
13	independent of the State, the authority to allow
14	non citizens to vote in local elections. We do
15	not need State authorization to do so.
16	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank
17	you. And I think we have some Council Members
18	that want to ask questions?
19	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member
20	Lander?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you
22	very much to both Chairs and especially to Council
23	Member Dromm for sponsoring this legislation and
24	for your advocacy and championing of it. I find

it really intriguing and very enthusiastic about

the idea of really expanding and deepening our democracy. One legal question and one substantive one. On the legal one, and I think this goes to what we were just saying, so, when the City Charter Review Commission and separately the Mayor quite a few times have proposed modifications to our election system like instant run off or non partisan voting, in particular, their lawyers have found that the City would have the power to do that without a change in the authorizing State election law, am I correct?

MR. VATAMALA: Well, there are certain provisions in the home rule statute and that prohibit local law from superseding State law. And for example, New York City could not, under home rule, say we're going to change the date of the general election and that's explicitly stated in the home rule legislation. And the same, the same vein, certain provisions in the State election law have to explicitly state that the provision in the State election law supersedes local law. So, in instances where the City said they did not have authority, instances where it is explicitly stated in State election law that that

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provision will supersede any local law.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: All right, that's helpful. And I'm relying here in part, I have a, I don't know, it's not Professor Haddock's book but a 20 page memo from the Brennan Center for Justice [phonetic] at NYU which found a very strong arguments that, against what the Mayor and others have said here, that we don't have the power, that under the charter, under State law and under the Federal Constitution that we seem to have the power to do this. And one thing that I found especially interesting was that the 2003 Charter review commission, when they were looking to adopt non partisan elections said that we do have this power, almost exactly the same powers, cited the - - and City of New York versus, the City of New York versus the Board of Elections, to support their position. So, I have that right? MR. VATAMALA: Yes, yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That the Mayor, presumably in his charter review commission

MR. VATAMALA: That's right, and

found that we had the power to adjust the

elections to do non partisan voting?

2 the court upheld that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And as I read it, this is, as you say, because there isn't a specific bar on this practice, it's essentially in the same category of things that would be up to a city under the Barum [phonetic] and the City of New York cases?

MR. VATAMALA: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Are you aware that the City in this three page, not that detailed letter, or in any other place has given a reason why they think the things are different or why - voting [crosstalk]

MR. VATAMALA: No, we are looking for that as well. We have not identified a document that explicitly states their reasoning. And it is a bit confusing 'cause the, it seems pretty strong in our view and some other legal organizations such as Brennan that New York City does have the authority to enact legislation and—

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:

[interposing] And I was just interested, it's not only, sort of, your case against their case but even the case that they've made about the powers

that the City has seems to support our power to
pass this law and that they have not made any
distinction between their previous argument that
we have this set of powers and the one here today
I also note, I guess be read to the record a
little later that the Board of Elections
testimony, I don't know if you saw, it seems to
say that it's a lot of work to hold elections.
And I guess it's a fair point, I mean, I don't
know, I found it a lot of work to hold elections,
but does it seem like the fact that it's a lot of
work to hold elections and enfranchised voters
seem like a good reason not to hold elections and
enfranchise voters to any of you? That's a
rhetorical question.
MR. VATAMALA: An unfunded mandate
is what they call it.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yes,

democracy is so...

MR. HADDOCK: It's an active, participatory sport that takes some time and effort and I know, as you guys know about the participatory budgeting, it really has incredible dividends that are hard to measure but are

palpable. And yeah, of course, it's going to take a public education campaign. There's going to be a need for some significant investment for a public education campaign and, you know, there's a lot of coalition partners, the government groups, voting rights organizations, the City University of New York, the professional staff congress, at least, can join in helping to pull off what would be a modest proposal. And certainly something they've done in the past as well as other changes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I did want to note, we've head these arguments before in the past with language access and translation that's required under Federal and Local law. And in the end through cooperation and sometimes litigation they've been able to comply or at least substantially comply. So, they can get it done.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, my last question is a little more substantive and it is one that I've heard, I've been talking with people a lot about this over the last few days. And I wonder if you could go just a little more deeply into the different categories and make the case kind of across the board. I mean, I think most

people that I have talked to have said, okay,

permanent legal residents, you know, who are here

and who are on that path to citizenship and it's

going to take them eight or ten years to get here,

I get why that set of people, it really makes

sense to have a vote. And people have said, but

I'm not so sure about students. So I speak a

little more to the categories and make the

argument for why it should be expansive and how

you think about that set of issues.

MS. WERTZ: Sure, so, I'm from
California. I moved to New York City in 1999. I
moved to Queens and within 30 days of my arrival
in Queens I registered to vote. There was no
promise included in that in any way, shape or form
that I was going to stay in New York. I'm here, I
don't know, whatever, 15 years later but I may not
always be here. I may decide to move back to
California at any moment in time and there's
nothing about my voter registration status in New
York City that makes that a permanent promise. My
voting in New York City says, I'm a part of this
community right now, I contribute to this
community right now and, you know, this is my

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The same thing is true of anybody else who home. comes to the United States for any other reason. One of the reasons that we, in sort of conceiving of this campaign, wanted to be very, very careful about naming specific categories and allowing people to vote in some categories but not in others, was that the United States Department of Homeland Security is a bureaucratic and capricious beast. And it changes the requirements and categories for various visa statuses at a whim and we didn't feel like an individuals right to participate in the local government should be based on, you know, a bureaucratic whim that changes when what used to be a B visa gets renamed as a W visa, suddenly we need to change a New York State election law. That's not, we wanted to support legislation that enfranchised people that were part of communities and I a real organic way. and that's individuals that are living here for whom this is their home no matter what their future plans or their, or sort of status. And so that's why we felt very strongly in advocating for this legislation that it needed to be for as broad a group of people as possible. We, of course,

obviously also didn't want people that are
visiting New York City to have a right to vote and
that's he reason for the residency requirement and
the six month timeframe is to sort of address that
issue. But we wanted to support various broad
legislation that really got to the heart of what
we wanted to do which was enfranchise people who
live here, and not make it part of a, sort of,
bureaucratic this, that, sort of process. We
wanted to say, if you live here you should have
the right to participate in a very simple way.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

CHAIRPESON BREWER: Council Member

Williams?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank

you, Madam Chair and thank you Mr. Chair and

particularly shout out to Council Member Dromm for

the work he's been doing on this. And I thank you

for testifying. I'm sorry I walked in in the

middle but I walked in as Professor Haddock was

speaking. And I'm glad I did. I signed onto the

bill because I thought it was the right thing to

do and it sounded right but I was always struck

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when people brought up this thing of citizenship and people were positing, well, this is what a citizen was supposed to do. And I'm absolutely fascinated by reading your testimony. I head you say it's not intrinsically a citizen thing. I read your testimony and based on history you're correct. And I'm hoping that the advocates will start using this more in a the talking points. think if we start talking about restoring non citizens votes it's just like when I run for election I'll say, reelect, does something with the psyche, we're actually doing something again. So, I think it will be fascinating to begin pushing that these are rights that were taken away and now we're just bringing it back 'cause I think there is a belief. I kind of had it in the back of my head, citizens equal voting and I think this will help with the public if they start thinking of it differently. I had a couple questions. this something, is there anything intrinsically different between school board elections and mayoral elections or we just feel like it's different 'cause one is more, is much bigger than the other? Anyone - - ?

2	MR. HADDOCK: Well, I don't see any
3	big difference myself. The school boards were
4	created, you know, in 1969 as a part of the
5	community control movement. The idea there was
6	both registered voters, citizens, and any parent
7	of a kid in the schools could vote in those local
8	community school board elections. And people
9	exercised that right pretty substantially. Ti was
10	actually 20 years ago this month that there was a
11	community school board election. I was the
12	coordinator for the Voter Assistance Commission
13	and then Mayor Dinkins gave us a \$6,000 to send a
14	notification to every registered voter in the city
15	letting them know that they could vote. And voter
16	participation jumped from seven percent the
17	previous election to almost 13 percent, so almost
18	doubled. And I think, you know, the sort of, the
19	basic principle, you have a kind in a school, you
20	should have a say about how that kids education is
21	going to, you should have a say about education
22	policy that that's the basic principle, we have
23	We had that in Wisconsin in our laws and
24	elections for a long time and this is an attempt
25	to basically restore that and extend it to other

б

2 areas, other public policy areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Again, for everyone, are there any changes that you would make to the bill as written? Okay...

MR. VATAMALA: No, before we move on I just want to address, in case it wasn't clear when I said it previously, the School Board elections and allowing non citizens to vote in the School Board elections, that was done through State action, okay? And we believe that it's not required. We did it but it's not required. So, I this instance when we are allowing non citizens to vote in local elections it's without state authority and it's not required.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And one thing I hear often, if it was addressed after I came, I apologize, do you believe the bill would disincentives or otherwise diminish the importance of going for citizenship?

MR. HADDOCK: If history is a guide it actually does the opposite. So, to give people a sense that they can make their place better, their adoptive home encourages people to make that leap to become a citizen. Most people, you know,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 that are here are attempting to become a citizen.

3 Those that aren't should still have a say about

4 the policies that affect them on a daily basis.

5 And historically say, for example, congressional

6 legislation enacted said that if you declare your

7 intent to become a citizen we'll give you the

8 | right to vote as an incentive to provide civic

9 education, civic engagement and ultimate

10 incorporation, and it worked.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILIAMS: Okay.

MS. WERTZ: and just to add to that quickly, people make citizenship decisions for a huge number of practical and emotional reasons.

It's about where it is that they feel like they belong and other, sort of, big picture issues.

There's a total of ten rights and freedoms that come with the right to become a citizen, including the ability to travel freely, the ability to have a US passport, the ability to apply for and get certain jobs. These are all tangible reasons that people choose to become a citizen, in addition to sort of the emotional basis for that. I don't think that allowing people to have a right to say in this, the public advocates race is going to

have that kind of impact on people's lives. What we do here at a New York City municipal election level is critically important to our communities but I don't think it represents the reason that people choose to become citizens.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: and just two more questions from me. Do you believe that New York City ahs the legal authority, I'm sorry, do you believe this legislation should apply to all non citizens who are here legally or only permanent residents?

MS. WERTZ: The legislation as it's currently written, which is what, and it has been, you know, written with the support of the community of advocates that support this work, enfranchised as individuals who've been legally present in New York City for six months or longer. Those of us that are the advocates working on this issue feel like at this, that that's the right way to phrase this legislation. We're, they have been working very closely with Council Member Dromm's office and with his staff and strongly support this legislation and it's currently written by his office.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank
3	you, and I agree with my collage Lander on some of
4	the Board of Ed stuff that I read here. They did
5	seem to have one legal thing that I wanted to
6	bring up was that there'd be differing
7	requirements for voters. I think now they say
8	that, for example, the residency requirements for
9	and proposals was six months whereas the
10	election law requires citizens to reside in the
11	city, state, country, for 30 days. Is there any
12	problem you see between those two requirements?
13	MR. HADDOCK: Yeah, we don't see
14	any conflict or any potential for a violation of
15	law by having the different requirements.
16	However, if this did turn to a larger issue I'm
17	not sure what, you know, we could maybe go back to
18	30 days. But it, we do not see an issue at this
19	time having those different requirements.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, thank you, and
21	again, thank you for your testimony. I'm very
22	happy I was here to hear about the issue. Both of
23	my parents are immigrants. I'm really hoping
24	advocates will really push the rest of the

part when we're talking to the public. And I'm

2	glad we will be able to debunk many things that
3	the administration has been saying. I've learned
4	that they kind of just make stuff up nowadays.
5	But I'm glad, I'm happy when I have the tools to
6	push back. So, thank you very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
8	Council Member Rodriguez?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
LO	you, Chairman. So, in your testimony you justify
11	that the right, you made it clear is in favor of
L2	the New York City Council to have the right on
13	voting on this legislation, right?
L4	MR. HADDOCK: That's correct.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
16	And you testify that people with green card, it's
L7	green card, we're not talking about different
18	groups, you're not talking about student visa,
L9	you're not talking about anybody else, but people,
20	the group of 850 New Yorkers, that hold green card
21	should have the right to vote in local election,
22	right?
23	MR. HADDOCK: That's correct.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay,
25	and those, well, is that legal, in that particular

group of voters will appear in a separate book in a vote, election? Let's say when we go to vote, right? In election days, in that day we go and the poll workers, they look for us like, AD, ED, and they follow, they have those book with the address. Would that be okay if, I mean, I think about question about the logistic that some people putting on the table. If that be - - legal if this group of voters the other voter that - - hold green card are organized in a separate book.

MR. HADDOCK: I have another book that I wrote, it's called Gatekeepers to the Franchise, Shaping Election Administration in New York. The Board of Elections can be a facilitator of voter participation, it can also block or throw roadblocks for participation. The Board of Elections has lots of experience doing both. Putting one single poll list at a polling place, treating people the same would be a simple solution, in my humble opinion. There's others that can work at the Board of Elections to figure it out.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

[crosstalk] I'm sorry, I agree with you but from

the legal point of view, would that be legal, on
the Board of Election, you know, for that group of
voter that they are allowed to vote by holding
green card to be fine and organize in a separate
book?

MR. VATAMALA: At first blush, without doing a full analysis it would appear to be legal. We do discourage the Board of Elections from having separate lists for limiting this - - voters or newly naturalized voters or whatever the case may be. But it, on it, without doing the full analysis at first blush it does appear that it would be legal.

just want to ask, I wanted to ask this questions because, you know, this is the first hearing we have and we are committed to work in passing this bill. And I know that when I read the borough election letter most of the election is based on the logistic. Even though the borough election has to claim their - - that they have in house as it is today and we had to be no looking and passing legislation that we have, the Council, looking to improve the Board of Election. But I

think that all I heard from some people is about
concern and rejection based on the logistic, not
on the merit. So, I think that if we are saying
that it is the legal thing to do that it is the
right thing to do, that people with green card
should have the same opportunity to vote on local
election. So, I am calling on any friend or
people who had the opposition to work on fixing
question regardless of the logistic and focus on
the merit on this bill.

MR. VATAMALA: Yeah, and I think we are on strong legal footing and as you know, the letter came from Steve Richmond who's an attorney and I do believe that if there was some kind of legal deficiency in our bill I think it would have been addressed.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ VATAMALA: Or at least alluded to in the letter, and it was not.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: What about the major objection on, based on that we need to have a referendum in order to move on this initially?

MR. VATAMALA: No, we do not need a

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	referendum.	The City Council	, under	the home	e rule
3	law, and unde	er section 1-102 l	has the	authori	ty to
4	pass a local	law enfranchising	g non ci	itizen v	oting.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank

you.

Mark-Viverito?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Thank you, Chairs. And I think it can't be said enough in terms of thanking Council Member Dromm and Ydanis Rodriguez for their leadership on this and pushing it forward. A couple of quick questions. The other thing about the letter, the two, three page letter from the administration, I mean, first of all I find it, I don't know, a little bit dismissive, right, although the administration supports the goals of increasing voter participation and further including non citizen New Yorkers within the fabric of civic life we also believe that non citizens should be encouraged to seek US citizenship in order to participate in the full range of privileges, it's a little dismissive as of, nobody's really thinking about that, right? But it further

states, and we all talked about all the arguments
that they try to make about the State Constitution
and us not having the ability but then they also
say at the end that an expansion of the franchise
to non citizens would require a Department of
Justice preclearance for those counties within New
York City that are subject to Section 5 of the
Voting Rights Act. Now, do you believe in any way
that this bill, the proposed passage law, would
not get that clearance?

MR. VATAMALA: No, I mean, we're enfranchising not disenfranchising, and particularly groups that are protected under the Federal Voting Rights Act. So, it would be just the opposite. I think they would be precleared and approved by the Department of Justice.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Now, in light of, let's say we, you know, hypothetically we're going to pass this. There's some hope we're going to pass this, let's say, the Mayor vetoes it, we override the veto. More likely than to it's going to get challenged in court, right? I mean, do you?

MR. VATAMALA: Possibly.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Whether it's the Administration or not there might be a group out there that doesn't believe in what we are trying to do and may decide to take this to court and challenge it. Do you have any idea if that happens what length of time we'd be talking about to get a decision from a court on whether or not we can proceed?

MR. VATAMALA: Well, you can, yeah--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing] I know it's not an easy question

14 but...

MR. VATAMALA: Yeah, estimating a court decision is always very difficult. However, I think once the law is passed if it's through, you know, overriding the Mayor's veto, it would them have to be submitted for preclearance and the preclearance period is a maximum of 60 days. You could ask, request for expedited action on it but the timeframe is 60 days and after that is when, I guess, a potential litigation would be initiated. We would have, at that point, assuming that it is precleared, that sort of encouragement that the

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department of Justice precleared this law and believe it to be constitutional.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Right, so that would actually be helpful?

MR. VATAMALA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: In a court case. And then the other thing that I just had a questions about is just, you know, maybe I don't believe it was asked before but in terms of the logistical aspects, I know that the question was asked about when people actually go to vote but I'm talking about prior to that point, right? We implement this law, now we are able to register those that are, that fall under this law. So, the registration aspect, has there been thought given to how a process like that, in terms of setting up a parallel process would look like, whether or not it creates complications and who has oversight over that? I'm assuming Board of Elections but I'm not, - - either other entities involved. talking a little bit about that aspect of it and whether there's, the experience, maybe, of whether municipalities or other areas that have done this. I mean, we're talking about a much larger scale,

2 obviously, here.

MR. VATAMALA: Well, you know, I think it, you correctly state that it would be the purview of the Board of Elections. And I think it would be our understanding that it would be, it would have to be a separate registration form, not the standard form that is used by citizens. And I guess I can't speak to the different jurisdictions that would do it.

MR. HADDOCK: Yeah, we're going to hear from Maryland come and talk about how they do it there.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Okay.

MR. HADDOCK: But the City Council has the pro voter law where it sort of a - - voter idea through City agencies. We would hope that the municipal voter registration forms would be distributed through those mechanisms as well, try to make them as available to a many people who are eligible as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Understood. Yeah, I guess we'll wait to hear from Maryland in terms of how that process of the

actual voter registration card and that process
itself. So, I appreciate your testimony. I
appreciate everyone that has come out today to be
the steps and here. I think we have an
incredible opportunity now before us for us as
immigrant communities to exercise our power and
make this a priority. And hopefully we can help
our colleagues, those of us that have signed on to
expedite this, get a vote, and hopefully pass it
into law. So, thank you for your advocacy.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you all
very much. We appreciate it. The next panel is
Eric Freedman [phonetic] Campaign Finance Board.
[off mic] Go right ahead.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You have to} \mbox{ push the mic.}$

MR. ERIC FREEDMAN: Good? Ah,
there we go. Good afternoon Chair Dromm and Chair
Brewer and colleagues. I am Eric Freedman,
Director of External Affairs for the New York City
Campaign Finance Board. With me are Anita Carrit
Mayers [phonetic], Director of Voter Assistance
and Giovanni Mejia [phonetic] Associate Counsel.
As you know, the City Charter gives the Board the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mandate to encourage and facilitate voter registration and voting by all residents of New York City who are eligible to vote and to identify groups or categories in such residents who are under represented in those registered. We are pleased for the opportunity to testify today about Intro 410. For so many immigrants New York City is their entry point to the American experience. On their way to becoming citizens many stay and put down roots in the five boroughs and take jobs, pay taxes, enroll their children in public schools and in many ways participate fully in the social and civic life of New York, yet voting non citizens are indeed quite literally an underrepresented population among those registered to vote. We are supportive of efforts to expand voting to more New Yorkers and we applaud the Council for holding this hearing. To ensure the rights of non citizen New Yorkers are protected throughout the voting process we believe the bill can and should be improved. This section of the bill that deals with confidentiality of municipal voter information should be strengthened. Those who have been around the election campaigns, as

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many of you have, also understand that voter lists do not live exclusively in the government office building where they can be easily protected and used to be carefully monitored. There are copies of voter lists in every poll site. Campaigns, political parties and other groups obtain them in order to conduct better outreach, private companies compiled it and they connect them with other sources of data. This is only one piece of the larger issue but it's important to strike the right balance here between clarity and privacy. Poll workers must be able to distinguish who can vote privately in which election but non citizen New Yorkers should also feel secure that their citizenship status will not inadvertently become public if they register to vote. We urge the Council to consider clarifying strengthening the bills language as regards confidentiality. It is also important to acknowledge that Intro 410 would present a serious set of administrative challenges for the Board of Elections. Non citizen parents who are eligible to vote in school board elections for decades in New York City under the now defunct Board of Election but those elections were held in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

May, separate from other elections from, for Local, State or Federal office. While most municipal elections are held in odd, off years, in those years there are generally also elections for offices not covered by this legislation, like, judges and District Attorney's. In even numbered years when most State and Federal elections are held over the last decade we have had municipal offices on the ballot somewhere in the City, four out of six election days. So, in most years multiple sets of ballots will need to be printed and ballot scanners will need to be programmed separately to receive the ballots of two different sets of voters. In short, two to four poll site operations must be modernized. Basic use of available technology could help surmount some of these logistical obstacles. A system that employs electronic poll books, for example, would be one way to help streamline administration of the requirements of this legislation. There must be better trained poll workers at every poll site, especially considering the sensitive nature of this new information in the municipal poll books. New Yorkers have the right to expect elections

2.

that are reflective of the choice and convenience
they are used to in their every day lives. And we
urge you to take the time to consider the
administrative issues thoroughly before Intro 410
is elected. There are some more specific
suggestions that I'll leave in the written
testimony and just thank you for the opportunity
to testify and we welcome any questions you may
have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Eric, why
don't you make those specific 'cause I think it's
good for the public to hear them. So, I'm asking
you, if you had any other issues?

MR. FREEDMAN: Well, you know, I think some of the other issues that we raised talked about clarifying the language, and I don't think there's anything here that is a bar to going forward. It's, you know, it's clarifying the definition of who exactly is a municipal voter to ensure that the people who are part of this population know precisely whether or not is it appropriate for them to step forward and register. You know, the residency requirement, you know, could be more specific about the six months,

2 whether it is--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: [interposing]

How does that work given earlier, I moved from

California? I've never been to California but I

know there's a California.

MR. FREEDMAN: It's nice.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: It is nice.

From California to New York and I've been here six months. How is it done normally to clarify that, if at all?

MR. FREEDMAN: You know, I, how that applies to regular voter registration in can't quote to you off the top of my head. I think the more clarity, because this is a new area, but the more clarity, think, that the Council can offer in this regard, you know, the better to ensure that there is no confusion on the part of these people who, you know, folks who are non citizens, you know, stepping forward to give their name to the government agency to a poll book and get the right to vote. The more clarity here the better.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, are there any other of these wonderful bullet points

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you want to clarify or enhance?

MR. FREEDMAN: You know, one thought that came up in our initial analysis is just the question that of how stepping forward to claim the right to vote in New York City will impact voting rights in their country of origin. And that is an issue that, again, the New York City can't define it. I really don't claim a special expertise in but in this case it's a question we would like to put into the conversation and make sure that, again, for the sake of clarity that it's considered. You know, the definition of municipal elections covers, you know, actually covers the offices that the campaign finance program covers, Mayor, Public Advocate, Comptroller, City Council, Borough President, right? And as I mentioned in the testimony, there are, during those municipal elections years other positions on the ballot, you know, often we're voting on judges, we're voting on District Attorney's and some counties we're voting on party positions. And, you know, the Council may want to consider for the sake of clarity in this administration broadening the

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 scope of the bill to include those office as well.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I just want to say thank you for the constructive criticism on this. I think that you raised some very valid points that we definitely would want to look at. And I say constructive as well, your support on the overall objective of the legislation. And an interesting bullet point that you did put in here that I don't think you mentioned, though, was to allow them to vote for district leaders or other party positions. being a district leader I think that's important information and I just want to say thank you for coming in and for offering this very important but constructive criticism of what we need to look at, thank you. Thank you for that. MR. FREEDMAN:

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: One other question, Eric, would be what, how do you suggest dealing with the keeping the lists private or do you have some suggestions about that? It's a good idea.

MR. FREEDMAN: You know, we, I, we don't have specific recommendations on that at

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	this time. It's something that in our initial
3	review of the bill was something that felt like it
4	could be strengthened. And we're happy to work
5	with you going forward on ideas to make that
6	stronger.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Any other - -Council Members? [off mic]

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: this is great to hear those recommendation. is what we need but knowing that in principle you are supporter this is great thing and we applaud and looking to continue with my colleague Danny Dromm and - - and the rest of the team. So, thank you.

> MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: What kind of fortunate immigrant who is voting, how would they donate to campaigns, if at all?

MR. FREEDMAN: That is an interesting question. And the, you know, Federal law requires that citizens, you need to be a citizen to, or rather, foreign nationals can't donate to candidates. And we have generally taken that as a guide. I think a little bit more

2	analysis w	ould n	eed to	be	done	to	speak
3	definitive	ly to	that q	uest	ion.		

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Well, they could be lucky. They wouldn't have to donate but they could participate. I think that's great.

All right. Thank you very much. I'm going to read while we're calling up the new panel, do you want to call the panel?

MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. You people are very ecstatic about your testimony over here.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, so I'd like to call for our next panel, Drew Spencer from Fair Vote, [off mic] Anina Data [phonetic] from AILA [phonetic] and Barbara Brandeis [phonetic] from Immigrants for More than 30 Years?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: While the panel is coming up I just want to share a bit of the letter that came from the Board of Elections that was alluded to earlier. It is sent to Chairs Brewer and Dromm and it states in short that the Commissioner of Elections held a meeting and they want to be able to indicate the following. I'm

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just going to look at some of this. They said that this proposed amendment to the New York City Charter if enacted requires the Board of Elections to provide all the information and materials it issues including ballots to allow non citizens lawfully present to vote in municipal elections in New York. And they say these are the following legal issues that are raised. For example, the residency requirement for municipal voters in the proposal is six months, whereas the election law requires citizens to reside in the state, county, city, for 30 days prior to an election in order to be registered to vote. These different standards may support an argument that municipal voters have been treated differently and unfairly. It is also unclear exactly what is meant by the phrase, lawfully present in the US. Enactment of 410 may also cause confusion on such issues on such as whether the new municipal voters can run, sign petitions and/or vote for party positions elected within the city. The ambiguous terminology and unaddressed consequences of the proposed charter amendment leaves the Board and the city open to legal, open to litigation. In the Board's opinion

the law is unclear as written, the proposed law.
The proposed charter amendment leaves unknown such
fundamental factors as the number of people who
may participate and who is eligible to participate
and ignores the potential overcrowding of poll
sites that would require relocations, extra
scanners and poll workers. The Board would have to
modify its current operational plans and there's
lots of issues regarding that. As stated, the
Board's 2013 recommended revisions to the State
Election Law, the Board estimates a minimum annual
operating cost of \$25 per voter. These standard
operating costs include but are not limited to
ballot printing and rental fees and so on. And
the Board doesn't have the ability to determine
how many municipal voters would be added to the
rolls. Additionally, the Board is sure and I'm
shortening this, additionally the Board is sure to
incur significant cost for the development and
implementation of this amendment and they go
through printing, design, production and so on.
They talk about long hours that poll workers work
on election day and they'll have added challenges.
They say additional time will be required for

ballot layout, design, proofing and production.
They talk about the issues with the ballot
scanners and the ballot marking devices and
multiple versions of the ballot will increase and
they talk about the fact that given the foregoing
information and concerns that they are, wish to
convey their thanks for once again providing the
opportunity to discuss this bill but they do not
have a lot of, they have more questions than
answers, leave it at that. So, that's what's from
the Board of Elections. We also have a letter
that was alluded to earlier from the Mayor. It is
from the Deputy Councilor to the Mayor and it
basically says that they are, although the
Administration supports the goal of increasing
voter participation they talk, they do not have,
they have serious concerns with Intro 410's
legality and I think that was mentioned earlier.
So, these two pieces of correspondence will be
entered into the record. Thank you very much.
[off mic]
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Also in their

testimony which was provided, the letter states,

which I don't know Gail, which comes from - - it

mentioned that the Commissioners did indicate that their view that this proposed legislation creates another unfunded mandate which will present enormous problems and limitation and I will have something to say about unfunded mandates later on but I just wanted to make sure that that was also read into the record. So, we're going to begin over here with the testimony of the next panelist, for the next people. So, would you like to start off, yes?

MS. NINA DATA: Good afternoon. My name is Nina Data. Thank you so much to the Council for listening to our testimony. I am representing the American Immigration Lawyers and Association, the New York Chapter. And we applaud and support this bill 410 which would grant immigrants lawfully present in New York City the right to vote in municipal elections. Non residents make up an enormous part of New York City's community. These residents work, pay taxes in full, live in the community and all without having a voting voice. Being able to participate in municipal elections would give these new immigrants the power to be heard and to be able to

make a difference by using their vote. It would 2 also give these individuals the ability to affect 3 the local political process and decisions which 4 5 affect them personally and deeply. The current visa backlogs mean that individuals who are not 6 eligible for US citizenship and therefore the right to vote for sometimes as long as 15 years or 9 more in some cases, even though the individual has maintained legal status ever since entering the 10 11 US, paid taxes and been a resident of New York 12 City. We are in the midst of a historic year for 13 immigration with the most significant immigration 14 reform bill currently being debated by the Federal 15 government. Should the current bill pass there 16 will be colossal changes to the system with many 17 unknowns. The Federal Government governs 18 immigration law and it is this Federal aspect 19 which can sometimes, unfortunately, negatively 20 impact a great local initiative. To be clear, 21 AILA New York is supportive of the introduction of 22 this bill. However, we have some serious concerns 23 about the administration of the law and the 24 practical ramifications. There are two major 25 concerns defining who falls into the category of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

non citizen resident and the effect on the current penalties for immigrants who vote in State or Federal elections. In the definitions of the law a municipal voter is defined as a person who is not a US citizen but who is lawfully present in the United States on the date of the election in which he or she is voting and has been a resident of New York City for six months or longer. Certainly, similar to those eligible for work authorization, legal permanent residents and asylum grantees would qualify. Also, under the Federal Law, there are many categories of working visas which are very clear cut. Issues which are more ambiguous are those who are in legal status who might have physical presence but might not be considered residents. For example, tourist or business visas who have extended their stay beyond this initial six month period, people who have arrived in one status and who have applied to change their status but those cases are currently pending or those people whose cases are currently in appeal. As well as immigration lawyers experiencing practical circumstances such as clients who go to the DMV and have a difficult

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time proving their current status. We urge the Council to consider detailed training for those who will be handling the registration of voters. We also urge the Council to sponsor routine information sessions for potential voters in order to clarify eligibility. Our second concern is the increased likelihood of non US citizens voting in State and Federal elections. And I know that earlier we spoke that they're on alternate years but this is still a great concern for us. Under the Immigration and Nationality Act the person who was voted in violation of a Federal, State, Local Constitutional Provision, Statute, Ordinance or regulation is inadmissible and/or deportable. And the ramifications of those are extremely serious. I see that my time is fast running out. We know that from our experience with the New York City Council that is certainly not the Council's intention to do something that would negatively impact an immigrants life. And so we are very grateful for putting this bill forward. However, we are very concerned about the different categories and making things more defined and also the ramifications for those who may inadvertently

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 register over it.

MR. ANDREW SPENCER: Good

afternoon. My name is Andrew Spencer. I am from Maryland. I am a legal fellow at Fair Vote, the Center for Voting and Democracy. So, I'd like to talk for just a little bit about Fair Vote and our position on that and then about the experience of Maryland. So, Fair Vote is a non partisan, non profit organization that works principally on electoral reform of all sorts of different types of electoral reform. We're based in Maryland, in Takoma Park, Maryland, but we work locally, statewide and nationally. One thing we advocate for is understanding that the right to vote is a fundamental right and we've long advocated for an amendment to the United States Constitution stating that explicitly. And one thing we have been doing with towns and cities across the United States is advocating for Promote the Vote Resolution. This is a resolution that calls for a right to vote in the US Constitution and makes a commitment to both expand suffrage and expand access to voting. So, although we don't actually have a position on this particular issue yet we do

4

5

6

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

think that as more places begin considering 2 Promote the Vote Resolutions this will be a debate that comes up more often and we're very glad to hear the conversations taking place. We do think that because the right to vote is fundamental any law that restricts voting rights should be held to strict scrutiny. So, really in many ways this is a question of whether restriction still satisfies that kind of scrutiny rather than a question of expanding suffrage. So, there are several towns 12 in Maryland that do conduct non citizen voting currently. Those towns include Chevy Chase 13 section three, Garrett Park, Somerset, Martin's 14 Addition, Barnesville and Takoma Park where Fair Vote is located. I conducted short interviews with the town clerks of several of those places. 18 I wasn't able to get through to all of them and the universal thing that I heard was that this is not difficult to administer and it is not controversial. When I asked, for example, if the 22 town clerks had heard opposition or if there were any repeal attempts many of them actually kind of laughed at the idea. They said that no one would think to bring up opposition to this. And this is

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

really where Fair Vote is coming from. Once you understand voting as a fundamental right it becomes very difficult to argue for taking suffrage away from somebody unless you have a really good reason to. So, they do have a separate voter registration form, so non citizens fill out that form. They are then added to a separate roll but for the purposes of local elections those rules are completely merged. Several of the people I talked to emphasized that on election day nobody knows who is a citizen and who is not a citizen as long as they're registered to vote. If the person owns property in the town or pays rent in the town they can register to vote and then they are treated exactly the same as a citizen voter. There, yeah, nobody reported any special attention being paid to this particular issue and these places, again, the gist of the conversation I had with people is that they're treated exactly the same as they should be for these purposes, their opinion, and there were very few issues with the administration. Thank you - -

MS. BARBARA BRANDEIS: Hi, I'm

2	Barbara	Brandeis.	And
---	---------	-----------	-----

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM:

COUNCIL MEMBER DROWN:
[interposing] Can you turn that mic on, Barbara?
MS. BRANDEIS: Oh, okay. Is it,
is, okay good. I never needed a mic before. Hi.
I'm Barbara Brandeis and I'm here on the
suggestion of Councilman Dromm and as an
immigration lawyer practicing on 34 years and as a
member of AILA since 1980, before I was born.
Anyway, I have witnessed, of course, in my time of
representing immigrants, countless numbers of
immigrant and immigrant families who would benefit
from voting. I mean, I see that they're paying
taxes that full members of society in every single
way except for the right to vote. And after
hearing the previous panel, which was very
informative to me as well, I suggest that when you
pass this bill that it be more wide open rather
than status dependent based on my immigration
experience, almost like wehre and when they think
of state versus out of state tuition because of
adjudicating and going through the immigration
laws and having a clerk try to decide who was
lawful and who was not under the immigration laws

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is hard even for a practitioner with 34 years of experience, very often time. I mean, you have very many different types of statuses from non immigrant statue to lawful permanent residents and then you have other quasi lawful statuses under the code of law, such as a asyly's [phonetic] TPS applications with persons granted relief under the convention against torture, withholding of removal, legalization applicants, people granted TPS from countries from which they fled either, you know, hardship, circumstances of was or earthquakes, et cetera, et cetera, special immigrant juveniles and U visa holders are given the kind of provisional prima facie status of individual approval. So, to those nuances, I think, would be beyond local election people. that if you instead, what if you would institute this law to kind of, you've been residing in New York City for a certain amount of time and you could prove that, that should be the basis. that way I think it would limit the liability under, you know, that provision that you have in there that I just read that 18, 1057R, you would get what, because that could be very detrimental

2	to a person if they willfully violate the law.
3	There may be many, many people in those that
4	aren't even sure of their own statuses in
5	situations like that and I don't think anybody
6	intends to violate the law by voting. But the
7	ramifications for somebody if they do that under
8	immigration law can have very severe consequences
9	such as inadmissibility or even a denial of
10	citizenship later on. I forgot to mention, of
11	course, everybody knows that the registered
12	provisional immigrant status bill is out of the
13	Committee in Congress. It's not passed yet so I,
14	those people who may be here for ten years under a
15	provisional status would be especially the kind of
16	people that I'm sure you would want to have the
17	right to vote. And I'm here to, you know, answer
18	any questions. I, but I also would say is that
19	some of these by voting or false statements on
20	applications can be considered cause of moral
21	turpitude that would contribute to the
22	deportability, determinability or necessitate the
23	need for a waiver in conjunction with the
24	adjustment of status applications so in my
25	esteemed opinion, the more open it is and the less

it is tied to the immigrant status of somebody, adjudicating somebody's status, the better it will be more open for your, for the City needs as well as taking into consideration the interests of the immigrant community.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank

you. I want to state that that's part of the

purpose why we left it for those legal, in the

legislation saying for those who are legally

present in the United States for, or the

municipality, actually, for more than six months

and it kind of leaves it open so that the clerk

doesn't have to determine a status visa, what

ever, et cetera, so forth.

MS. BRANDEIS: Also, one other thing that year or more or up to a year is very problematic because what you have in Federal law, Federal criminal law, immigration law is Federal law, misdemeanors are year and a day or more. In New York State Law misdemeanors include up into a year and while I'm regulating time after time in Federal Court and in Immigration Court and to the Board of Immigration Appeals that when they brought the law down to a year or more they meant

a year and a day or more and I'm winning on case law, many people are losing it in the Immigration Courts thinking that the one year, since our misdemeanor includes a year, and there is coupled provisions in immigration law that refer to the year, I would at least bring that down to no more than six months because there is a petty offence exception for somebody who does no time and yet the maximum crime that could be is six months.

So, I would absolutely lower that if part of the bill.

address a question that Nina Data brought up. The Federal Government is more concerned, right, about misrepresenting one's self as a US citizen than they are about whether or not someone voted in the election. I know that on the application for citizenship it does ask, have you ever voted in an election? I don't know, maybe if it is a Federal, State or City election. However, there's room to explain on the application if you answer the question yes that you voted that you vote in a legal, that you are legally allowed to vote--

MS. BRANDEIS: [interposing] Yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 91
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM:in that
3	election. Am I right?
4	MS. BRANDEIS: Yes.
5	MS. DATA: That is true but it, a
6	lot of times what happens is people aren't well
7	enough informed and so the slippery slope is much
8	more open.
9	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But immigration
10	officials could also be told that in New York
11	City, for example, that participation in the
12	municipal elections is allowed?
13	MS. DATA: Correct, yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So that
15	would
16	MS. BRANDEIS: [interposing] That's
17	2012A10D says, any alien who votes in violation,
18	I've and so I [crosstalk].
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] -
20	- in violation?
21	MS. BRANDEIS: And so I understand
22	it's just like Ms. Data said is that the public
23	and immigration and everybody is very well
24	informed and that would
25	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]

2	Do you remember the other panel so I just want to
3	ask Drew Spencer as well, have you heard of any
4	instances if immigrants applying for citizenship
5	and encountering problems because they participate
6	in local elections in Maryland?
7	MR. SPENCER: I didn't hear
8	anything like that. [off mic]
9	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I know it's
10	off topic but I could stretch it to state, do you
11	think immigrants who are 16 should be voting? I'm
12	a big supporter of 16 and 17 year olds voting,
13	immigrant and non immigrant. Have you had any
14	luck with that because I tried here and we were
15	not successful.
16	MR. SPENCER: Are you asking me?
17	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I am.
18	MR. SPENCER: We have supported
19	voting rights for youth after they turn 16. We
20	don't have a position on immigrant voting so we
21	don't have a position on 16 year olds voting
22	either.
23	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, I'm just
2.4	being a little facetious. I have a larger

question which is, in Maryland you indicated that

2	there were non problems in terms of the logistics
3	of the board of elections according to the clerks.
4	What, how long has it existed and so then do you
5	have any sense of how many elections have taken
6	place? And I know they're smaller locations but
7	they're good examples.
8	MR. SPENCER: They are smaller
9	locations. I'm actually not sure how long those
10	have been in place, I'm sorry. But I can
11	certainly provide that for you after
12	suplementally. And I'm sorry, what was the other
13	question, how long?
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, I just
15	want to know how long they've had experience doing
16	this but it doesn't matter, I was just curious.
17	MR. SPENCER: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
19	Thank you very much panel, thank you. [off mic]
20	Susan Lerner, Irma Rodriquez [phonetic] and
21	Michelle Walker. Go ahead, whomever would like to
22	go for the very powerful panel.
23	MS. SUSAN LERNER: Okay. Thank you
24	very much. I'm Susan Lerner from Common Cause New
25	York. I want to thank you for introducing this

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bill and for raising the, and holding the hearing, giving the public an opportunity to address this very important topic. At Common Cause, as you know, we were active on issues relating to election reform and we're very supportive of all attempts to encourage greater voter participation but I do want to say at the very outset that organizationally we do not have a position on non citizen voting. And actually this introduction gives me an opportunity to get my organization at the national level focused on this issue. testimony, my written testimony focuses on the historical antecedents, much of which has already been discussed, so I'm not going to go into that detail, except to say that there are plenty of examples in our history locally and around the country of non citizen voting being permitted and different ways to handle it. But what I'd like to focus on in my, just my oral comments are the source of questions that I'm going to be encouraging us at Common Cause to look at and formulating a policy and I think that they're relevant in terms of the City Council's enquiry as well. Obviously, at the very top are the

2	questions of fairness and appropriate
3	representation, how do we define an appropriate
4	stakeholder? Obviously it is valid to define a
5	stakeholder as somebody who is a citizen. It's
6	also valid to define a stakeholder as has been
7	done in other jurisdictions and previously as a
8	resident to somebody who pays taxes. So, I think
9	that this is a very appropriate discussion for us
10	to be having, especially in the context that we
11	have right now and overheated immigration
12	questions. So, one of the things that we are most
13	interested in is that this be a factually based
14	discussion and not one that's emotional and
15	sensational and that's why I think history is
16	important. I think if the actual experience in
17	the jurisdictions that have it, including New
18	York's experience is very important. What kind of
19	voter turnout did we have? And I don't have the
20	answer to that question. It's something we're
21	going to be looking at with the school elections.
22	I would like to also echo what the Campaign
23	Finance Board said. It's easy to be flip about
24	the difficulties of running elections in the
25	largest jurisdiction and we certainly have plenty

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of examples of how we feel our board of elections is not up to the task. But that doesn't minimize the fact that there would be some significant administrative requirements here which should carry with them, as we argue in any instance, where there's an expansion, they should carry some budgetary additions. The Campaign Finance Board raised the issue of electronic poll books, it' something that we're going to be advocating for vigorously. It's a capital expense but it does improve the ability of any board of elections to track who the voters are and handles a lot of administrative problems once it's been set up correctly. It's easy to talk about Excel sheets but anybody who has tried to handle an Excel sheet with over a million entries, which I actually have had the experience of doing, you can't do it. You have to have systems which are set up to deal with this. And that's why and electronic poll book is a sophisticated technological response. You can mark somebody in an electronic poll book as being eligible to vote only in certain elections. think we also shouldn't minimize the amount of education that's necessary. We are now running

2	regular registration drives geared to
3	disadvantaged communities, younger populations and
4	the amount of misinformation or lack of
5	information which people who may or may not be
6	eligible to vote have about what the requirements
7	are is kind of limitless. It's really amazing how
8	with the lack of what used to be called civics
9	instruction in schools people just really don't
10	have a clue. So, not only do I think that if this
11	bill is adopted ultimately by the City Council
12	that there should be provisions made in the budget
13	for additional costs for the Board of Elections, I
14	think there should be specific provisions made for
15	voter education through the Voter Assistance
16	Commission, which is now part of our Campaign
17	
1	Finance Board. And I think that's very important
18	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a
18	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a
18 19	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a very important initiative then it should be
18 19 20	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a very important initiative then it should be adequately supported. I think the entire question
18 19 20 21	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a very important initiative then it should be adequately supported. I think the entire question of voter acceptance is an important one and one
18 19 20 21 22	if we are, if the Council feels that this is a very important initiative then it should be adequately supported. I think the entire question of voter acceptance is an important one and one that we will be looking at. It's unfortunate

something that we should be factoring in when we
look at it. I think the question of potential
impact on naturalization is one that requires
further discussion. And, you know, it's
interesting, some of the commentators talking
about this, and there is an extensive legal
discussion on law review articles and others about
the legality and the history but one thing that
has been suggested is the phasing, makes it more
acceptable to the general population. I don't
know that that's practical in our situation but I
pass that on. And I cannot help but mention that
the number of co sponsors is significant and
encouraging and certainly in line with a unrelated
issue that we take up, we believe that measures
would have these numbers of co sponsors should be
entitled to a vote. So, I hope that we continue
this discussion in public, that there is
thoughtful commentary and that ultimately the
Council will have an opportunity to vote on this.
And when we do arrive at a formal position
regarding non citizen voting we will share it with
you.

MS. IRMA RODRIGUEZ: My name's Irma

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rodriguez and I'm the Executive Director of Queens Community House, an organization that serves 25,000 residents a year that live in different neighborhoods in Queens. Our program sites stretch from Jackson Heights through Corona, Elmhurst, Rigo Park, Forest Hills, Kew Gardens, and into South Ozone Park and Jamaica. Everyone knows that Queens is the most diverse county in the United States but at Queens Community House we live that diversity daily. In our school based programs in our Queens child care network which is an in home provider network consisting primarily of immigrant women entrepreneurs. In our team leadership and senior center program and perhaps most acutely in our adult education program where only one in four applicants wins a seat in our ESOL program that seems nearly 600 students a day. We develop community leaders and try to engage our participants from the youngest to the oldest in many aspects of civic engagement. Our participants help develop the green agenda for Jackson Heights and participated in many campaigns for affordable housing in the borough. Our young people have surveyed their communities and brought

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issues of concern and solutions to elected officials. We live diversity and we have seen first hand how diversity has kept our neighborhoods in Queens from falling into decline. It has kept them strong, dynamic, developing and economically healthy. I want to thank the members of the City Council Committees on Governmental Operations and Immigration and their Chairs, Gail Brewer and Danny Dromm for holding this hearing. I want to also thank Danny for his leadership on this very important issue. Our support in Intro 410 grows from our experience as an organization and from our deep belief in the importance of expanding our democracy. Most of our program participants are immigrants or first generation New Yorkers. They bring vibrancy to our communities. You know, New Yorkers boast about the fabulous food and the cultural events and our immigrant communities offer and depend on so many of their small businesses and workers. We go to other cities and states, in fact our Mayor does that quite frequently, and encourage immigration reform yet this city of immigrants has not taken the step to expand the participation of our own

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents and civic matters. People have a desire to participate fully in the decisions that affect their community. Local elections may be the most important form of this participation. We worry about the decreasing numbers of voters who come out for local elections. We worry because we suspect that people feel their involvement doesn't matter yet we know it does. It's been suggested, and this is what I'm really here to talk about today, it's been suggested that extending voting rights may discourage residents from seeking citizenship. Putting aside the many barriers, the length of time, the cost of obtaining citizenship, which do serve to discourage, we believe the passage of Intro 410, then it's implementation would actually encourage residents to seek citizenship and greater involvement in civic affairs. I'm actually sorry that Council Member Lander left 'cause this actually occurred in his district. But many years ago a colleague of mine did a study in a Brooklyn neighborhood and it was a dissertation for, a study around community involvement for a dissertation. And she discovered a bunch of things but among the things

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that she discovered were two things that I think were applicable to this issue. She found that people who were involved in civic affairs in the community were, felt more positively about their community and they also felt that issues like crime, graffiti, garbage, seemed less intractable to solve, that those problems were solvable. other finding was one that is way more understandable to old community organizers like me. And that finding was that the more people get involved the more they get involved. And that, in fact, they could continue to find even more time for civic engagement and for civic duties because they recognized its value and importance. How can we continue to ignore a vibrant resource that is our immigrant community? Non citizens can and are involved in many, many ways, such as serving on my Board of Directors. And we've had forums in our community and many of our community residents and participants in our programs have been hugely engaged in lots of different parts of the political process. But they don't have the right to vote and they don't have the right to be involved in how our taxes and resources are

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allocated to make decisions on issues that will impact their children and their neighborhoods. We all know that with rights come responsibilities, the inverse is also true. Without rights there can be little responsibility. Denying participation in local elections to 20 percent of our population is a situation in which we all lose. Thank you.

MS. MICHELLE WALKER: Thank Great. you for the opportunity to testify today on why New York City should allow non citizens who reside lawfully in the city to vote in municipal elections. My name's Michelle Walker. I'm President of the World Policy Institute. We're a non partisan think tank. Migration and citizenship are one of our core issues. In 2007 I received Guggenheim Fellowship to write about changing views of citizenship around the world and I've written about non citizen voting both in my book, Look Out, that came out in 2006 and in another book that came out in 2009 called Getting Immigration Right. I am a co founder of the Immigrant Voting Project, which is dedicated to documenting and analyzing non citizen voting

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around the world. We're heard a lot to day about rights and democracy and benefits to non citizens themselves from being represented. But I want to answer the question many New Yorkers are likely to ask which is, what's in it for me? All New Yorkers should care about whether or not non citizen New Yorkers can vote in city elections for the same reason that we care whether anybody votes at all. I can only hope that this fall voter turnout will be better than it was in 2009 when it was a 40 year low. Economists note that it's actually irrational to vote because the effort that it takes to study the candidates, to go and wait in line is much more than the marginal benefit that any individual voter might get back. And so, my question is, you know, if an individual is willing to put more into the city than they get out why wouldn't we want to encourage that sort of behavior. I moved up from the Upper West Side to Washington Heights several years ago, briefly, and it was a majority non citizen neighborhood. found that the train didn't work right, that, you know, there were crack dealers on the corner, there were noise, there were lots more problems

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and a big part of it was that many of the people who lived there couldn't call up their City Council Member, couldn't call the Mayor's office and say, I am a voting constituent. They couldn't answer yes to that question. I want to finish by talking a little bit about the relationship between the incentives for becoming a citizen and the right to vote. There have been some studies of the reasons why people choose to naturalize and it's fairly far down the list, the possibility to be able to vote or not. In addition, when people think about voting they think about voting for President, they don't think about voting for City Council. So, it's not a disincentive that someone would be able to vote for City Council without becoming a citizen of the United States. The word citizen, it's worth reminding, is, comes from the word city. And by cultivating all immigrants and citizens of this city the United, the New York City will benefit immensely. And becoming a citizen of a city is very different from being a citizen of a nation. The minute that someone comes here and takes a job, signs a lease, enrolls their children in schools or begins a school

2	semester they become a citizen of the city.
3	Everyone has an interest in securing safe and
4	clean streets, good schools, reliable and
5	affordable transportation and healthcare and city
6	officials have immediate and tangible effects on
7	the daily life of every single resident. I also
8	want to emphasize the importance of not
9	discouraging the next generation of immigrants
10	children. I remember it was, when I was a child I
11	got so excited about voting day when I, you know,
12	cling onto my mothers skirts and go behind the
13	curtain of the ballot box and ask my parents about
14	the elephants and the donkeys and it was so
15	exciting. And I would hate to see generation of
16	children growing up without that experience. So,
17	I think that, you know, all of these reasons show
18	that there's a lot in it for new Yorkers as well
19	in cultivating the next generation of New Yorkers,
20	people who have been steeped in the culture of, by
21	voting and participating in municipal elections to
22	give more to the city than they get back, and
23	that's the best for all of us. Thank you so much.
24	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you
25	everybody on the panel, all points very, very well

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

made. You know, Ms. Walker, I was a New York City public school teacher for 25 years before being elected to the City Council and I always had a little bit of quilt in the back of my mind when I would teach civics and elections in the classroom and a kid would raise his hand and tell me that his parents couldn't vote because they're immigrants. And that was a major discouragement to their participation as well in the process. And you bring up a very valid point when you talk about that. And Irma, I think the point that you made in terms of the connection between community empowerment and the right to vote is especially important to me, you know, I represent the community of, 68 percent immigrant community, mostly all recent immigrants, many of whom, I'm sure, if not the overwhelming majority of whom would fit into the category that it is that we're talking about today. And I really do believe that the opposite affect of what some of the critics are saying in terms of wanting to become citizens and participation in our society would occur, we would have many, many more people in the community who would come out and who would participate and

who would become civically engaged if, in fact,
they had the right to vote. They had told me
directly that I can't vote so what does it matter,
you know? And I think that this would really
change that attitude. And Susan, of course we love
you too and we think that everything you did and
the words that you said were very, very well
spoken as well. And some of the concerns that you
raised have been raised also prior and I think
those are issues that I think definitely we need
to look into moving forward. We're open to those
changes and the suggestion that you made as well.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Rodriguez?

all, thank you to all members of the panel,
especially Common Cause for always, like, helping
also in getting the best knowledge when it came to
educating our New Yorkers to, on the importance of
participating in voting election. And always,
like, trying to bring more reform to the election.

I - - say, like, I think that my concern or
interest right now is only to look at the merit of
this and I hope that the - - is just look at, not

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

based on the logistic because I question if those people if green cards should have the right to vote in voting election. You should know that the logistic problem that we have with the Board of Election, the number of poll worker that is needed, everything that has to be in place, should not be the reason why we should not - - the right if they would have the right to voting. Like, I, you know, we are, we always had to celebrate what we are. And it is interesting, you know, like, we had the great, great grandfather who was immigrant, who came from another places. As I say, even myself now, now I can celebrate that the first not immigrant who settled New York City was an Dominican, Juan Rodriguez. And we can name in some area of Broadway after his name. So, that's historically for us to know that, you know, this is what we are. Like, and when it comes to immigrant people to become a US citizen, as I said before, we were - - , 13 brothers and sisters in my family. We came with green card. Today, all of us are US citizens. And now we raising the second and third generation having two daughter born here as American as anyone that whose great

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grandfather was a - - immigrant. But you, we went through the whole process. We can in the '60 and the '70's when my father and brothers and sisters, myself in '83. And today all the 13 of us are US citizen and we participated in the voting process, in the democratic process. So, the question is, people that has green card, we pay the same taxes, as I say, driving taxes, working any place, like, we pay the same taxes. There's no different type of taxes for people that are US citizens and those that have green card. We pay the same percentage and we contribute at the same level. Washington Heights, as you say, no, I was born, I came to that neighborhood and in the '80's and the '90's it was that new group of immigrant who would stay there when the neighborhood was infected by drugs because of the location of that community being under the George Washington Bridge. that community, when many people left, stay there, and paid their due, and many of them they came with green card today, it's a different story. When I came in my airplane through the DR, different from the '80's - - was people with green card--

25 MS. ANGELA FERNANDEZ: That's

going to just quickly do her thing and then go.

24

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 right. I very much apologize. I have a 4 o'clock
3 meeting.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

MS. FERNANDEZ: And I have no way, able to change it. So, thank you very much for the opportunity. Good afternoon. I want to thank the members of City Council for this opportunity to speak. My name is Angela Fernandez and I'm the Executive Director of the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights, a 30 year old community based organization that services thousands of immigrants a year. The majority of individuals served by our organization are legal permanent residents of the United States who reside primarily in the Bronx and the Northern Manhattan Neighborhoods of Hamilton Heights, Washington Heights and Linwood. And MCIR serves 6,000 immigrants annually, a large proportion of them being legal, permanent residents who pay hundreds of thousands of dollars in income, sales, city and state taxes yet they do not have the ability to vote for those who administer and control those taxes. Many of the legal permanent residents we serve are enlisted in the US Army and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fight for our wars and also die because of the They work for the New York City Department of Education and are employed by our city hospitals and also own businesses yet they do not have the right to vote. Their economic contribution to the City of New York runs in the millions yet they do not have the right to vote. In addition to providing high quality legal services we promote civic participation and responsibility to educate and prepare our members for eventual citizenship. We cannot think of a better way to increase government accountability through civic participation than by enfranchising legal permanent residents who are so deeply woven into the fabric of our economy and community. Currently non citizens vote in Chicago and in six towns in Maryland for a total of seven jurisdictions nationwide. In Massachusetts four towns have passed local laws but await state enabling legislation to implement. More than a dozen other cities are considered restoring immigrant voting rights including San Francisco and Portland, Maine. And in 40 countries around the world immigrants have the right to vote and

2	have had that right for decades. New York City,
3	the immigrant city, should be a leader in
4	immigrant voting rights. I commend the co
5	sponsoring City Council Members for this bill, for
6	their vision in embracing universal
7	enfranchisement. Thank you very much. And I'm
8	sorry that I ended up repeating a lot of what
9	other folks have said, but thank you very much.
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMMN: You got it in
11	three minutes. [laughter] Great. Mr. Geffen.
12	MR. PETER GEFFEN: My name is Peter
13	Geffen. I am a native New Yorker. I was born in
14	1946 at Beth Israel Hospital. I was raised in
15	Rigo Park, Queens. I went to outstanding public
16	schools including Forest Hills High School in
17	Queens College. I have lived here all of my life.
18	I left only to travel and for two extraordinary
19	experiences as a civil rights worker in
20	Orangeburg, South Carolina in the summers of 1965
21	and '66. I worked for Dr. King's Southern
22	Christian Leadership Conference's Scope Project.
23	And what was my work? In 1965, attempting to
24	register local African American's to vote. By
25	1966 with the Voting Rights Act Passed we had

assisted them in the process of legal
registration. I have never fully appreciated the
importance and the power of the right to vote
until those powerful encounters in the South and I
have never forgotten. In my professional life in
our wonderful city I have worked as a Jewish
educator, a universalist in a particularist world.
I am the Founder of the Abraham Joshua Heschel
School in the Upper West Side here in Manhattan.
Rabbi Heschel, as some of you may know and/or
remember was remarkable, prophetic religious
leader. He and Dr. King became fast friends from
the moment of their meeting in 1963 until King's
tragic assassination in 1968. Both were
profoundly committed to securing basic human
rights for all people. It was my privilege to
learn from both. Now I travel with college age
students to many parts of the world studying the
various religions and cultures of our richly
diverse world. I listen to young people all over
the world speak often with envy of the absolute
right to vote inherent in the American dream.
They look to us as beacons of their future, a
future of human rights that center around the

б

right to vote, to express your opinion and to be
fully represented in the halls of government.
With this background I come before you today with
a very simple purpose, to lend my small voice to
the groundswell of support for the expansion of
voting rights here in our great international
city, to the many non citizen residents who do not
have the right to vote for their local
representatives, how cannot have their needs and
their aspirations heard in the decision making
halls of our local government. They live amongst
us. They work with us. They pay their taxes.
They spend their often hard earned money in our
stores and businesses. They send their children
to our schools, participate in our PTA's, attend
our churches, mosques, temples and synagogues,
volunteer in our many not for profit
organizations. In other words, they are fully
functioning as if citizens but they cannot vote.
The most essential and elementary human right is
not theirs. Those of you who support this
proposed legislation have my deep appreciation but
for those who are not yet sure how you will vote
and certainly to those who are determined to

б

oppose it, I ask you to reach deep down inside your heart and the privacy of your own conscience and think of those who ride the buses and subways with you each day but cannot vote for you or cannot ask you to represent their needs and their wishes. I ask you to join in extending the voting rights for which we all fought decades ago for one segment of our community, for one segment of our society, extend those to all who live within our community. I thank you.

[Spanish Language 2:11:16 -

2:13:54]

MR. CESAR PALAMENQUE ANDRADE: Good afternoon. I will be translating this statement that's - - . Good morning. My name is Cesar Palamenque Andrade [phonetic], an Ecuadorian immigrant and a member of the Board of Directors of Make the Road, New York. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Also, thank you to Council Members Dromm, Rodriguez and Mark-Viverito and many others for their imitative on Intro 410. I think this is a great proposal for the residents in this city and believe we should pass it as quickly as possible. I was a permanent resident

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in New York City for many years before becoming a citizen. And I know what this proposal would mean to my community and others like me. Believe me, there were many times, there were times in the past when I wish residents could vote. I'm happy we are discussing it today. I also want to share the perspective that I heard, have heard from many members in my community about this subject. Permanent residents have obligations but do not have certain rights. Even though they work hard to sustain their families, pay their taxes and abide by the rules to live in this country but they feel excluded in the case of elections, which are a topic that are very important when we decide who will represent us. Every time there are elections our representatives make decisions about health, housing, education, transportation, security, et cetera. But the reality is that it is that they only represent the citizens without taking into account that there are over a million permanent residents here using all types of city They have a voice but not a vote, and services. it is more difficult to address some of the injustices that they are confronting. We want to

participate in decisions about workers, schools, housing, taxes and more. And in order for New York City to really practice what it preaches that it is a democracy, we all need to participate in such important events as elections. As an immigrant I spent 16 years without being able to exercise the right to vote and I support this proposal for all the good it would do for those that live in this city. Together, we are going to keep working 'til we all have the same rights in New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Kevin?

MR. KEVIN DOUGLAS: So, good afternoon, Chair Dromm, Chair Brewer and Council Member Rodriguez. I really want to thank you for holding this hearing and giving us the opproutnity to testify. My name is Kevin Douglas and I'm with the United Neighborhood Houses of New York. Many of you are familiar with this probably from our budget advocacy related to social services and the city budget. And as Melissa Mark-Viverito said earlier, we're very happy to have the opproutnity to not just be here to talk about lack of funding but to affirm the democratic right for non

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

citizens to vote in our city here. So, one of the things about United Neighborhood Houses is that our members serve communities all across the city whether they are permanent residents, citizens, regardless of status. And it kind of ranges the gamut from early childhood education to after school programs, senior centers and these are things that benefit communities regardless of their status. But a particular subset of our services are really designed to help immigrants integrate into the society so that includes adult literacy classes, basic equation in native language and legal services that help people pursue the best legal status for them. And we really owe a debt of gratitude for the Council for providing the funding to do that whether it's the immigrant opportunities initiative or other funding streams. However, despite the work of our members and it is very good work, they know that regardless of the however many legal services they provide, how much literacy service they provide, they can't truly help the people in the communities integrate as long as the fundamental right to vote is withheld from them. So, we're

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really happy that the Council is looking at the proposal to expand voting rights and we're happy to be here in support of it today. This was said earlier but voting is, it's so important and it's to prevent tyranny, it's to prevent oppression and most importantly it's to make sure that everyone in a community has their voices heard and their concerns recognized in local processes, whether that's how tax revenues are portioned, school board policies, that sort of thing. So, this is a very critical right for people and it's kind of unfathomable that this hasn't been done sooner in New York City. I know we had the Board of Elections thing but that, I'm sorry, the Department of Education where people could participate through the school boards. fact that we're here now is that we're very grateful at this point in time. And I want to quickly talk about some of the arguments people have raised against non citizen voting and really just kind of discount them. One of them is this idea that voting should remain for citizens and it's just something they should have and you should work toward your citizenship and therefore

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it shouldn't be expanded. And this is really faulty for a couple of reasons; one, as was earlier mentioned, the link between citizenship and voting has not always necessarily been clear and we know in our history that there's been many instances of discriminatory withholding of the right to vote regardless of someone's citizenship status. So, to assume that that status should inform whether someone has a right to vote or not really doesn't make a lot of sense. The other part is to just really recognize that in our country right now there is recognition that our immigration system, whatever you want to call it, is really flawed and there's attempts to reform it and we're certainly hopeful those take place. But in the interim we know that there are many people who are here legally, they contribute to the society and they don't have a voice. And even if this reform passes it doesn't necessarily mean that it's going to speed up the process for those people to become citizens and be able to vote. So, it's critical that New York act now to give these people a voice. One of the other things that's really important is that immigrants aren't

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this kind of separate group that's in New York City and need some special privileges. Immigrants make up this city and they do a really good job of supporting or economy. We know that over a third of the residents of New York City are foreign born, two thirds of the students in our school system are either first or second generation and even though they are about a third of the population the immigrants make about 43 percent of our workforce. They make up 49 percent of entrepreneurs in the City and they generate about \$215 billion in economic productivity every year in the city. So, they're critical to the economic life of the city, the social life and the cultural life and they're really want makes New York an international destination. So, it's really important to remember that immigrants are not an aside, they are a critical part of the city and they deserve as much right to participate as anyone else. So, in conclusion, really, I want to thank Council Member Dromm for your leadership on this as well the other Council Members that have signed on. We're really happy to be here to affirmatively support the right to vote and we

certainly will do what we can to work with theCouncil to advance this. Thank you.

much. We really appreciate your being here and waiting. I'm sorry it's so long. And next panel, it's James Hong [phonetic] David Anderson, Landra Raquina [phonetic] and Ahot Ahmad [phonetic], Bahol Ahmad [phonetic], sorry, Bahol Ahmad. Go right ahead, whomever would like to begin.

MS. LANDRA RAQUINA: Good

afternoon. My name is Landra Raquina. I'm a

member of - - in Action and also Make the Road New

York. Thank you for Daniel Dromm, your Council

Member for Jackson Height and I live there. Thank

you for lead this bill that is very important for

us. I thank you other - - for the Council Members

that I, they already sign it and I hope they, it

was extended for the rest of the Council Members

to support this bill, this very important. I am

going to give my testimony. It's about my

experience like immigrant people. I came in 1979

and when I came here the first thing that I heard

was that you had to pay the taxes because they

give me an example of, Al Capone went to the jail

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because he avoid to pay taxes, not for the crimes that he did. And since I was immigrant the first time I find to pay my taxes. During the five years I was here legally and I pay my taxes when I get to my green card, that's my tax, I was a taxpayer, it was easy for me to get my legal paper. And finally, I was in thinking, how in my country we get the first and we have the card, that tributary tax, when we went 21 years with this we have the right to vote, we have the right for everything because we are taxpayers. When I came here, when I get my green card, well, this is my license but green card, there, I become citizen, right? I gave my green card. And I have, I pay the taxes and I always say, my husband pay, have the second woman is the IRS because most of you work, most of you gain, most they get it from you. But whether you receive during 20 years, because after 20 years I become a citizen, I said, during those 20 years I live in a community of Jackson Heights, I am, in that occasion I said, I don't know, I didn't interesting in the politics around me. Why? Because I didn't have any rights. I just was a

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxpayer. I was a consumer and I see I have two sons who was born here. I said, they are citizens but mother who I am not citizen, I don't have the right to vote, to concern in their lives from And I was asking why? Why we have, it them. looks like it considered a second class of people. But we have the same right like I heard, we pay the taxes, like I said, Ydanis Rodriguez, is that we pay the taxes and the money, the taxes, the money is no difference between the citizens and a legal resident. And that's why I encourage, I demand, to pass this bill, this very important for the life of many people, especially because in our community, Jackson Heights, there is hundreds of people who was passing maybe the same thing that I was passing the immigrant people here. encourage all of you to pass this bill. This is very important, is our right to have the right to vote for the rest of the people. Thank you so much.

MALE VOICE 1: So, on behalf of the New York Coalition to expand voting rights I would like Council Member Gail Brewer and Danny Dromm for your leadership and giving us the opproutnity

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to have this discussion today on resident voting right. I would like to speak today on the legislation vote to expand the vote - - in New York City. If you are not continually focused on the right to expand the vote, on bringing it to the higher ground we will, in fact, see a weakening of our democracy. This has already happened in New York City with abandonment of local school board election. We are also seeing recent years in the effort of in many state to suppress or make more complicated access to voting through the requirement of photo ID's, proof of citizenship and so on. It will be a natural step for New York City to reject this regressive trend to instead extend the right to vote and doing so politically empower the vibrant immigrant community that has played such a role in keeping the city alive and economically vibrant for the past 30 years. For many years now the Administration has been very proud to sell the city as a diverse capital of the world. But in reality little has been done to enfranchise and it's newest and poorest resident. New York City is among the top city in the world in income

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disparity. Those with economical power control more and more of the political establishment and little has been done to strengthen our democracy. The city - - situation it's one reflection of that. How can a city with an annual budget of \$17 billion justify a lack of resources for new affordable housing? This is clearly not a fiscal problem but a resource - - problem. The majority of people are not represented and the expense in voting bills would be a counterweight to that disparity. After all, what is the future we want in New York City? Do we want a democracy representative of this population where every day it's a new fight to resist discrimination, poverty, illiteracy and violence or do we want a city where a small voting population and an ever growing number of disenfranchised and marginalized people? In - - our democratic system is a reference for many around the world. We have not yet reached the apex of democracy. Our system need to be improved and developed. The question is, will this - - save the opportunity to move the process of democracy one step forward. Many other country have implemented enfranchisement. And the

immigrant population with different forum and
different level is the number of this country is
growing. Today 65 nation have granting the
exercise of voting right to foreigners and at
least at some level and some categories of
resident and you have a table in your
documentation with a list of the country. We are
not living in the world and there is
recognition that the new model need to be found
that reflect the new reality. We all know what
happened in New York as repercussion far beyond
the border of the United States. Passing
legislation will be a beacon and will provide an
enormous boost to process already in motion in
other places. What a nice way for New York City
to give back and keep it title of world capital.
Thank you for your opportunity and thank you so
much for your attention. Thanks.

Mr. AHMAD: Good afternoon. Thank you for holding this very important, critical and timely hearing on Intro 410. My name is - - Ahmad, I'm the Legal and Policy Director of - - Rising up and Moving and I'm Honored to Represent this testimony on behalf of our nearly 2,000 South

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Asian Members. Since 2000 DRUM has been a leading community organization in New York City with a membership of almost 2,000 low income South Asian immigrant families, workers, youth, many of whom are also Muslims. DRUM has been mobilizing thousands of South Asians, immigrant New Yorkers for the past 12 years for - - rights, workers rights, educational reform and for civil rights. And being firmly rooted in our communities DRUM has directly seen and experienced how communities can be effectively engaged for civic engagement, participation and social change. There are over 800,000 South Asians who call New York City their home. While for New York City as a whole almost half of the households have some foreign born individuals within them. From the South Asian community that number is significantly higher. Even within DRUM's own membership almost 75 percent of our households have one or more people that are non citizens. And the South Asian Community is currently one of the fastest growing communities in New York City, particularly for the Bangladeshi and the Nepali communities. As an immigrant myself who was a non citizen for many

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years having had such an opportunity to vote in local elections would have made a great difference in my ability to be active and social and economic change. Today, I serve as a community organizer and an attorney in immigrant communities because I see the immense potential and the need for engaging in civil and social economic reform in these communities for the generations to come. For the past 12 years DRUM members have been involved in reforming immigration policies locally and nationally, worked to improve New York City public school systems, improve the quality of education, secure unpaid wages and rights for low wage workers in the city and advocated for the civil rights of all New Yorkers, such as the community safety act or the student safety act. This has meant that our immigrant members have had constant engagement with City agencies like the Department of Education, the Department of Labor, the local offices of the Department of Homeland Security as well as the local City Council Members. Yet through the years of engaging to improve our city by thousands of immigrants they themselves have not had the ability, perhaps until

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now to vote or choose a local representatives or to hold them accountable. As full tax payers and important contributors for the fabric of New York City non citizens deserve the right to engage fully in our city. New York City is known globally as an international city and we are proud that members of our City Council have led this historic legislation as a model nationally and as our nation advances immigration reform to secure the full rights and participation of millions of non citizens. The passage of Intro 410 and the ability for residents to be able to vote will be critical for the development and expansion of democracy in this city. It will improve the engagement and the empowerment of immigrant communities. And earlier statement was made that with responsibilities come rights and we would like to flip that, with rights come responsibilities, we flip that. Immigrant communities have been carrying their responsibilities, not only as taxpayers but as civically engaged people in these communities. Many in our communities have already been carrying out the responsibility as New Yorkers, it is high

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the New York City Chapter of the Labor Council for Latin American Advancement. Our organization has been involved with the Resident Immigrant Voting Rights Initiative for many years and we are gratified to see it come to a hearing in the Council. We would like to thank Chairs Danny Dromm and Gail Brewer for allowing us and the members of the Committee as well as the cosigners for bringing this Intro to a hearing and giving us this opportunity to speak on the issue. - - is an organization for Latino labor leaders from a broad sector of the New York City labor movement. We have within our membership of native born and immigrant workers. We also have many associate members who are unorganized but committed to justice in the American workplace. Over many years we have joined in our lives as many other immigrant rights and social and econmic justice organizations to more polices of justice and inclusion for all workers regardless of ethnicity. We are proud to be here today with so many of these allies to assure passage of Intro 410 into Unfortunately, our President, Sonya Ivani law. [phonetic] could not be here today due to a prior

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commitment outside the city and she has entrusted me as Chair of the Immigration Committee to speak on our behalf. It is the belief and fervent hope of the New York City Chapter of the Council for Latin American Advancement that this Committee and Council will make Intro 410 into law. I know that we speak for the House of Labor in this for it is our house and through our organizations that many of the individuals that will benefit from this law, participate now in the social and economic life of this, our great city. They participate in a limited way through collective bargaining and by their activism on issues. Many have even participated in electoral politics through their unions. But this is not enough, immigrants account for the majority of the constituents in many of our Council Districts with foreign born residents compromising over 60 percent of the homeowners in this City together since 2008. ten neighborhoods with the highest immigrant populations also show some of the highest economic growth in our city. Immigrants to our city overwhelmingly have shown that they are here to work, to earn a better life for their families and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to contribute to the economic growth of district -- city. Our unions swell with the ranks of immigrant workers. As of 2010 - - and hospitality industries, 82 percent of all maids and housekeepers and 64 percent of all janitorial and building clearing staff are immigrants. 79 percent of all food preparation workers, 77 percent of all cooks, 74 percent of all chefs and head cooks are immigrants. You would be hard pressed to have a meal in a New York City restaurant that does not have the hands of an immigrant involved in its preparation. And this is served to us by a wait staff that are also 64 percent foreign born. When it comes to childcare we entrust the care of our children to a workforce that is 64 percent immigrant. When it comes to educating them we turn to workforce in our elementary and middle schools that is 21 percent foreign born immigrants. The participation of immigrants in the city's healthcare workforce, having always been strong, has now reached truly epic proportions with an estimated 72 percent of all nursing, psychiatric and home healthcare aids being immigrants to this country as more than 50

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent of our licensed practical and vocational and registered nurses, physicians and surgeons that are foreign born constitute 46 percent of the workforce at this time. In the constantly changing and developing landscape of our city, 72 percent of all construction laborers are immigrants, adding by their labor to the engineering and architectural wonder that is New York City. They have come to work and participate in the economic growth of this city and New York City has prospered because of their vitality and energy. This city owes immigrants a place at the table wehre decisions are made that effect their work life, their family life and their place and status in our society. They have earned that right and their coworkers and neighbors and friends to experience the - - of the democratic society that we so proudly proclaimed and to participate as equals in the governing of our, no, of their city. It is right and proper that this, in a city of immigrants built on the labor and aspirations of countless individuals and families that have arrived to this city in their millions over the last 200 years in hope and with a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wiliness to strive for something better for
themselves and their children that this historic
and just proposal be made law and we at the House
of Labor, the voice of the workers urge this
Council to take action in this historic moment, to
make the brave and just decision to make the - of suffrage to these lawful and deserving
residents of out city. Thank you.

MR. AGA MOHAMMED SALAI: Good afternoon, honorable Council Members, Chairman Dromm and the Members of the Committee. It's a great honor and privilege and historic day for me. My name is Aga Mohammed Salai. I came to the United States in 1996 sponsored by my wife who won the diversity immigration visa lottery. I was reluctant, I was scared to move from a place of birth where I have struggled with my people for the human rights and democracy since my early childhood. The day I came to this country and I realize that the picture she showed me over the phone convinced me to join her here that this is the greatest democracy and you must live and experience the greatest democracy of the world in this country. The day I came here I remember that

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the elections for following in the coming years and I realized that being legal, lawful resident of this country, taxpayer, I do not have a voice to be heard. I do not have a personality to be counted in the system and that makes me feel bad. And it looks me long to understand this. It was a dream, perhaps, this democracy of the United States may go into an ideal democracy towards everyone should have a voice to heard. It was a dream, it was a nightmare almost in those days and I never had an idea that one day I'll be sitting for the same dream in a hearing and addressing my concerns being a person who lived through the shadows of hopes and darkness's in this country which is a great nation. And everyone knows this nation is a nation of immigrants. This nation is a nation of immigrants and this honorable Committee is sitting under the goals of Abraham Lincoln who says, a government of people, by the people, for the people. What does it mean what our Constitution says? Human dignity, equality, and that's what we stands for. And recently we form an organization which is SUKI New York, SUKI stands for Social Uplift through Knowledge and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hope Initiatives and working for the empowerment and equality in the New York City. And I urge this Committee to take these things and I was really today hearing, reading this Mayor's concerns on this legislation and Board of Elections concern on this legis--, if there is a will there is a way. thank you very much.

MS. MAZIRA EHRUDI: [phonetic] Thank you, everyone. Thanks for me to hear also. Voting right is the vital instrument of democracy. To our honorable government official I am urging to approve Intro 410. My name is Mazira Ehrudi and I am the one of the Director of Service, Health Education, Bread and - - , that help - -English and immigrant adult - - for job. I am also Women's Coordinator Talents of South Asian Labor Organization an organization that is those workers who are unrepresented in both votes and labor unions. Not only that, human rights activist - - discrimination, religious persecution and economics explosion and quality - - . New York City is comprised five boroughs, boroughs Manhattan, Queens, Brooklyn and - - Island which are home to more than two fifth of the - -

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

population of New York City. With the Census estimated population of over 8.3 million 2012, alone it makes up over 40 percent of the population of New York State as many as 800 language are spoken in New York making it the most linguistically and diverse city in the world. new city community is very pleased to work with all elected official to reintroduce the voting right Intro 410 registration act. The legislation will give non citizen the right to vote to local election more than one million out of New York City residents cannot participate in local election, that is 20 percent of - - New Yorkers and the population of - - is this really democratic? Thinking is not knowing and knowing is not doing. Let's work together to pass into 410 - - . - - strongly support Intro 410 asking all City Council to pass the bill as soon as possible. It is an important - - by our immigrant or - - - - the right to vote in local election. New York City democracy is only as strong as the ability of these people to vote. New York City's immigrant communities pay taxes and work hard to provide for their families but far too many do not

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have the right to vote. Intro 410 will strengthen our democracy and the lives of immigrants who each day are contributing to the city vitality - - all of the New York City is - - . Democracy is better serviced and everyone benefits, hence more than half of the New York City Council now support immigrant voting rights, - - . Opportunity for us to emphasize in the growing support for the expansion of democracy throughout New York City that pay taxes like us, they should enjoy same like us. They are 1.3 million strong, non citizen resident and they bring \$229 billion of economic output in our state. Over 30, 70 percent of the City - - American's immigrate. Many are long tiem hard working, tax paying resident who not have eligible to vote. They are long time green card holder, professionals here to extend visas simply by citizen who have not registered. Half of the people of some neighborhood are allowed to make decision for the entire community. Our democratic process is crisis. Immigrants pay more than \$18.2 billion a year in New York City income tax. they are not human like us? If they are they should be treated like us also. As the Alliance

2.

of South Asian American Labor, Women's Coordinator
I am to our government official that is about
human and all must all agree to Intro 410 B.
as an instrument building a better community,
better city, instead a nation. There is nothing
is either US or the Constitution that
prevents us from changing the law to allow
immigrants to vote. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Mr. Chin, definitely last but not least. So, definitely not least.

MR. JACKSON CHIN: Well, certainly the last speaker. I'm a little disappointed to see that most of the Chamber is emptied now but I do understand. My name's Jackson Chin and good afternoon. I am very pleased to provide my comments on behalf of my organization, Latino Justice, which is a national non partisan civil rights organization that has been based in this city for the last 40 years. just a personal note, I am a child of immigrants, low wage working parents who sweated and sacrificed like so many of our friends and families and neighbors that we see here today. And I, based on 28 years of legal

experience as an immigration lawyer, as now a 2 civil rights and voting rights litigator I would 3 like to share some of my experience having 4 5 represented hundreds of immigrant clients in Federal Courts as well as in the Immigration 6 Courts. I'm going to depart from my comments because I feel like it would be repetitive and I'm 9 sure a lot of the good social policy and public policy comments that I've provided in the written 10 11 testimony have been repeated earlier. I think 12 that universal suffrage is the trend as you've 13 heard. I think that also that there is great 14 suffering when there is no suffrage. There is 15 great suffering when there is no suffrage because 16 people live in fear when they feel that they don't 17 have a voice. I have represented victims of 18 crime, victims of domestic violence and now, 19 certainly, Latino's of various stripes from 20 various countries, including Puerto Ricans, who 21 are US citizens. They have no, many of them have 22 no voice and yet they are the backbone of our 23 labor force. This global city and it's the 24 comment made earlier. If we pass this legislation 25 other cities, other towns, will look to us as the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

model of an advanced democracy that truly believes that everyone should have a voice in their local community, in the governance, in the choices that are made on day to day bread and butter issues. How should my schools be renovated or not? Where does this money go? Why am I not getting certain services? And these are the same type of issues that every single one of us in this city, whether you are a US citizen or not shares and has the common goal of trying to find a solution to address many of these day to day issues. I think that as we know more than 20, 40 percent of our city's population is born outside of the United States and then the majority of our city's residents, almost 60 percent are either immigrants or the children of immigrants and I count myself in that group. I'm sure many of you are also part of that class. Latino Justice supports Intro number 410 and I'm going to just depart and say that [Spanish Language 2:49:16 - 2:49:36] which in English means that we support this very important initiative because it is a sound and rational one. It is prescient. We want to restore some of the experience that we've had with community board

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elections where we allowed immigrant parents to have some say on these community boards. unfortunately, for 30 years that went well and then it was dismantled. We think that this bill will more than promote civic engagement and reduce marginalization in local governance and in our neighborhoods. It will support inclusion and help draw upon the vast knowledge, skills and motivations of our city's residents. And then it further promotes the quality of life of our New York City through advancing these political and non political processes with would engage and contribute to the common good. Now, I want to tell you a little bit of something about what Latino Justice does. We, for the last 40 years we've been engaged in landmark litigation, in the advancement of defending the rights, civil rights and constitutional rights of Latino's and we have been involved with voting rights, redistricting litigation, we use the constitution and Federal laws to defend our clients, Latinos, in terms of access to education, employment discrimination, workplace justice, these are some of the very things that we all may take for granted but we as

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an organization deal with these issues day to day on behalf of the Latino community. And oftentimes we do things that end up benefitting the rest of the city and that's what civil rights is. this is a very important civil rights piece of legislation no matter how you look at it and as I said before, there is suffering with out suffrage and this is not just a dramatic appeal, I think this is something that I see through my clients eyes and I know it. I think you know it and that's why I commend these two committees and the Chair's and the various officials who've sponsored this. I think the time is right. Now, speaking about some of the other issues, before I do that, Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund was our previous name and so I think some of the other generations will recognize that and our history and our fantastic record. We now have in our litigation agenda a companion case in the Federal Courts, stop and frisk. This affects our communities, the youth, men in our Latino communities as well as others. We have litigation on the State Senate plan and the redistricting maps. We have a Latina's at work project which

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

deals with wage theft and also with workplace rights and justice issues. And we also have a numerous types of advocacy and other issues that concern the community. So, I'm happy to have this opportunity to speak about why we need fair and equal treatment for all members of our society and I think that when we have laws and policies which deal with stop and frisk or police practices, for example, or deal with how employers and employees have to negotiate what is fair and many other day to day things, economic justice, how we have used resources, in fact, to build or not to build affordable housing. I mean, these are the various kinds of issues that all people in this city need to be involved with and I think this bill allows others with a significant majority of people who are affected by our laws. So, the civil rights agenda of our office is consistent with what this bill is speaking to create and it is again, I say, it's prescient, it is visionary, it is important and there should be no way that we should retreat from this very important bill. The advantage of coming last, as I've been listening to some of the questions you've raised with your earlier

panelists and I can perhaps offer some comments 2 and extemporariness because it's not in my 3 4 prepared remarks. As an immigration lawyer and as 5 an immigration lawyer as well as a litigator on civil rights matters, we in 2005 sued the New York 6 State commissioner of motor vehicles because at that point they were attempting to suspend 250,000, 300,000 drivers licenses because of 10 immigration status, et cetera. Now, as a result 11 of that litigation we have clarity. One of the 12 questions you asked is will our Board of Elections 13 be overwhelmed with these, you know, registration 14 matters and looking at documents and I think the, 15 one of the ways to understand it is that you can 16 piggyback on the screening and eligibility kind of 17 vetting that takes place at the DMV because the 18 DMV requires that you have proof of legal status. 19 And so that will cover quite a lot of these 20 various immigration categories that you're 21 concerned about and trying to understand and I do 22 understand there are some categories that are not 23 common, like, we've talked about green card 24 holders, that's the most basic, common knowledge 25 category of immigrants but there are many other

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

categories so people are going to worry about well, what kind of documents do I present, how do I know if these are real or not? The DMV can be your ally in handling a good number of that. concern only is that, as you may know, with the DMV they work on six point system, so you have to have the necessary type of documents. So, it may, in fact, leave out a certain portion of immigrant residents who would normally qualify for this eligibility to vote under your law. So, I can see that there are a sector of immigrant statuses that may get, you know, they may be unable to meet the six points that are required by the DMV because they don't have a credit card. They may not have certain type of documents that the DMV would require. Another issue comes up, my friend and colleague Ms. Brandeis talks about the concern that some people might vote in violation of the law and therefore risk removal under the immigration laws. And I can only say that the key there is willfully violate the law. And if someone is not willfully violating this law then there should be no threat or risk of true deportation on that front. But certainly if they

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are not US citizens, which is not this requirement, then they're not at risk in terms of saying I'm a citizen when I'm not. So, I just think the other concern that I feel like you've raised is whether you have the legal authority to enact this law and I would concur with my previous colleagues in the earlier panel that you have, I believe, under the home rule and other authority, the right to determine membership in the political communities of New York City. You can determine that locally. I really believe that. And so, I just kind of wanted to say that, you know, this law will mitigate the fear of authority, the fear of engagement. I think people would love to and they already do in many ways, participate in civic engagement but the most pressing and the most fundamental feature of a democracy is their right to vote, which is the one thing that we do not have under the current laws for our immigrant brethren, families and friends and neighbors that we live with day to day. And this bill, Intro 410, will meet that gap. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you

very much. And actually, it wound up very good

2.

б

that you were our last speaker and it was quite
informative and I thank you. I thank all of the
panelists on the last panel as well. I will say
to the people who are remaining here in the room
that much work remains to be done and I'm sure
because of this hearing we can now hear a lot of
what the opponents to this type of legislation
will have to say and that's why I say that much
more work remains to be done. So, I look forward
to working with you as we move forward on this and
thank you all for coming.

MR. CHIN: Well, Chairman Dromm, if I may just indulge you for one moment. I was not aware before coming today that the Mayor's Office and others are opposing this--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]
Neither was I.

MR. CHIN: --legislation. And I want to make one further comment which is in my testimony which I failed to acknowledge and which is that this Mayor, Mayor Bloomberg, has indeed said, proudly said that immigrants are the lifeblood, quote, unquote, of this city and make significant contributions to the city's economic

2	strength and cultural vitality. Moreover, this
3	Mayor has also observed, quote, yet immigrants are
4	generally under represented in this city's civic
5	fabric. So, I think it would be very consistent
6	for this Intro 410 to pass so that immigrants are
7	indeed part of the basic civic fabric of our city.
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I mean, I
9	couldn't agree. I tried to be somewhat neutral in
10	the hearing today but I have to tell you, I don't
11	understand why anyone would oppose those who are
12	living in this community, in this city, you know,
13	our neighborhoods.
14	MR. CHIN: Mm-hmm.
15	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Or try to deny
16	anybody the right to participate in that
17	democracy. If you truly believe in democracy, all
18	right?
19	MR. CHIN: It's a very odd
20	situation, he's saying one thing and then
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing]
22	Exactly.
23	MR. CHIN:nothing, he's doing
24	something else.
25	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Exactly.

2	MR. CHIN: But thank you very much
3	for your persistence.
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,
5	thank you. And I guess, with that, this meeting

6 is adjourned. Thank you.

I, Tara Jul certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date 5/26/13

Tanafolhe