CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----X

May 2, 2013

Start: 1:29 p.m. Recess: 5:53 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens Mathieu Eugene Julissa Ferreras Helen D. Foster Rosie Mendez Joel Rivera

Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

Albert Vann Deborah L. Rose James G. Van Bramer

Thomas Farley Commissioner New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

David Frankel Commissioner New York City Department of Finance

Edgar Domenech Sheriff City of New York

Fran Freedman
Deputy Commissioner
New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Marie Wilkins Concerned Citizen

Craig Thompson
President and CEO
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Danny McGoldrick Vice President for Research Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids

Robert Bookman Counsel New York City Newsstand Operators Association

Tom Bryant
Executive Director and Legal Counsel
National Association of Tobacco Outlets

Ramon Murphy
President
Bodega Association of the United States

Chung Lee Concerned Citizen

Michelle Bonan American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network

Dr. Tara Narula American Heart Association

Michael Silbak

Vice President for Public Policy and Communications American Lung Association of the Northeast

David Schwartz New York Association of Grocery Stores

Jay Peltz Vice President of Public Affairs Food Industry Alliance of New York State

Arthur Katz Statewide Association of Cigarette Tax Collection Agents

Dr. Kurt Ribisl Professor UNC School of Public Health

Stephen Allen Tri-Edy's Deli

Sung Su Kim Concerned Citizen

Ralph Bombardiere Executive Director Gasoline and Automotive Service Dealers Association

Jim Calvin President New York Association of Convenience Stores

La Tanisha Wright Founder and Director Follow the Signs

Mona Golub Price Chopper Supermarkets

Dr. Anise Kim Social Scientist RTI International

Dante Natoli Concerned Citizen

Gregory Connolly Volunteer Legislative Director Consumer Advocates for Smoke Free Alternatives Association

Brandon Critser Concerned Citizen

Esther Zar Concerned Citizen

Sandra Mullen Senior Vice President World Lung Foundation

Sheila Feinburg Executive Director New York City Coalition for a Smoke Free City

Louise Feld Senior Policy Associate Citizen's Committee for Children

Phil Konigsberg Community Advocate Queens Tobacco Control Coalition

Keith Mortener Concerned Citizen

Russell Wishtart Concerned Citizen

Francisco Correa Concerned Citizen

Daniel Carpas Concerned Citizen

Julianne Heney Concerned Citizen

John Lasorsa Concerned Citizen

Spike Babaian President Nation Vapers Club

David Ross Concered Citizen

Antoinette Lansa Concerned Citizen

Lisa Gardener Concerned Citizen

Tiffany Brown Organizer New York Public Interest Research Group

Jeffrey Phong Professor University of Waterloo

Barbara Sullivan Concerned Citizen

Micah Berman Concerned Citizen

Christopher Mikovitz Concerned Citizen

John Urban Concerned Citizen

Salvatore Vario Concerned Citizen

Romeo R. Campbell Concerned Citizen

Stewart Bowers Concerned Citizen

2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Good afternoon
3	everyone. My name is Maria del Carmen Arroyo. I
4	chair the Committee on Health and I extend a
5	profound apology for the delay. There was a real
6	complicated Land Use matter being taken up in the
7	Land Use Committee, and if I don't get there and
8	vote, I get marked absent, and then the press
9	writes nasty stories. I needed to be there, so I
10	do apologize for that. My testimony was going to
11	open with good morning, but good afternoon. Today
12	the Committee is conducting a hearing on three
13	pieces of legislation with one goal in mind, and
14	that is to reduce the prevalence of youth smoking
15	in our city. The first piece of legislation
16	proposed Intro 250-A, which is sponsored by my
17	colleague Council Member Gennaro, would raise the
18	legal age to sell tobacco products to consumers to
19	the age of 21. The second piece, Intro 1020 was
20	introduced by the request of the mayor and
21	prohibits the display of tobacco products to
22	consumers except at the time of sale. Finally,
23	Intro 1021 was also introduced by request of the
24	mayor and has several components. The first is
25	increasing the fines and penalties for selling

illegal, untaxed tobacco products; number to	NO,
prohibiting coupons and discounts on cigare	ttes;
number three, setting a price floor of \$10.	50 per
pack of cigarettes and little cigars, and no	umber
four, requiring that cigars be sold in packs	s of
four and little cigars be sold in packs of	20 at a
minimum, and I think that we are going to he	ear a
lot of information about the prevalence and	the
statistics regarding smoking and the damage	that
it does to our young people, so I am going	to
forgo all of that, and at this point turn is	t over
to my colleague, Council Member Gennaro, the	<u> </u>
primes sponsor of the legislation that would	d raise
the legal tobacco product sale to the age of	E 21.
Council Member?	

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you,
Madam Chair and thank you for holding this
hearing. Good afternoon. My name is Councilman
Jim Gennaro. I'd like to thank the Chair for
holding this hearing on this very important issue,
which affects health, the wellbeing and lives of
young people throughout our city and beyond.
Today I am not just going to speak as an elected
official, but as a son who has lost his mother

prematurely as a result of smoking, and as a
father who has seen his daughter celebrate her 18 th
birthday without the precious wisdom and love of
her grandmother. We are here today to talk about
my bill, 250-A, and those put forward by the
administration, and mine would propose that the
legal age for tobacco use be raised in the city
from 18 to 21 years. First I would like to say
that this bill has been the result of years of
careful consideration, scientific evidence and
data on youth smoking and that data has shown that
raising the age of maturity for tobacco use will
result in a significant reduction in youth smoking
rates. I think you will hear the commissioner
talk about a study that says as much as 55 percent
reduction for those aged 18 to 21 and just in case
you missed that the data shows that raising the
age of maturity for tobacco use will result in
this kind of reduction and will reduce the number
of lifelong users of a product that leads to
thousands of deaths in our city every year. As we
all know smoking is the leading cause of
preventable death in New York City and in the U.S.
Currently 100,000 young people in our city use

these products. Of that number 20,000 are public
high school students. Studies have shown
consistently that young people frequently get
cigarettes from older friends and siblings. This
proposal acts as a simple deterrent putting a
barrier between our young people and a lifetime
addiction to nicotine. Through proven measures,
the Bloomberg Administration and the Council we
have reduced youth smoking rate from 17.6 percent
when we came in in 2002 to 8.5 percent in 2007;
however, it has been hard to move that number down
from 8.5 percent, but we can and must do better.
We think these measures combined will do that.
For every percentage point we shave off, we save
thousands of young people from an ever increasing
risk of developing cancer, all kinds of lung
diseases, heart disease and a host of other
serious illnesses. Currently, the city smoking
rate is lower than the national teen smoking
average of 18.1 percent, and we are at 8.5, so we
have done a good job and the things we do work.
And the state average is 12.5 percent, so we are
doing better than the state as a whole. So
everything we have done in the last decade to

reduce smoking has worked and the science drive
process and the science driven proposals to raise
the smoking age to 21 would be no different.
Nationally 285,000 people are suffering from
smoking related lung cancer right now with untold
millions having already died and untold millions
already in the pipeline who will get lung cancer
from smoking. The tragic staggering number of
smoking victims includes as I said my mother lost
her battle with lung cancer in 2002 and though she
found the willpower to quit, something that even
the president of the United States has struggled
with, he is like a focused guy, he is smart, he
figured out how to become the president by the age
of 47 years old, but he couldn't figure out how to
put down a cigarette, and so this is the kind of
addiction that we are talking about. Sorry, Mr.
President, but you knowit's true. There you go.
And even though my mother was smoke free for the
last 16 years of her life, the damage had been
done. When she started her habit, the dangers of
smoking were not well known. When they became
well-known she stopped, but that was not good
enough for her. But that is not true today. We

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know the dangers. Scores of studies tell us that smoking is deadly, and tobacco is more addictive than cocaine. And those few years between 18 to 21, which gets to the bill, are crucial in preventing a lifetime addiction to nicotine. know that once you start smoking, it is nearly impossible to quit, but we also know that if you don't start smoking by age 20, you are not likely to start, and that can make the difference for people like my late mother, who started smoking when she was 18. Critics of this bill say it won't work or will result in lost tax revenue from sales, so I ask which one is it? If the city loses tax revenue, it means that less people are taking up the habit that costs us millions if not billions of dollars in long term healthcare costs and lost worker productivity. This is tax revenue that if I have anything to say about it we want to lose. More than seven years after I introduced this bill to raise the smoking age in the City Council I am very grateful to be able to work with the Health Department, to work with the Speaker, to work with the members of the Council, and particularly Speaker Quinn for this lifesaving

proposal. I'd also like to thank Mayor Bloomberg
for his incredibly leadership on this issue. We
have Commissioner Farley and Commissioner Frankel
both from the Health Department here and all the
medical community and advocate organizations for -
- co-sponsor of the two bills from the Bloomberg
Administration that the commissioner is going to
talk about and let me just talk a little bit
aboutjust 'cause I'm running too longI am
passionate about this subject. This is the 2009
zillion page bill that was passed by the federal
government that gives us the ability to do the
kind of regulation that we are doing here in New
York City, but this bill did a couple of things.
It gives cities the ability to regulate tobacco.
It gives states the ability, but it also mandates
that the FDA come up with a nicotine level that
does not create serious tobacco dependence, and
once it comes up with that answer, the FDA is then
empowered to set nicotine levels to those that
will not create addiction, and so my point is that
this got passed in 2009. The FDA has all kinds of
authority, all cities and states around the
country have all kinds of authority, but if nobody

does anything then what was the purpose of doing this? I would certainly encourage the FDA to get moving to answer the question about what nicotine level what get people hooked and for the federal government to use the power it already has to set nicotine at that level, but that day is not going to happen for a while, so we need to act now. I thank you very much for your indulgence, Madam Chair, and I am grateful to the Commissioner for being here and all my colleagues. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Council Member. Good afternoon, Commissioner

Farley. Your staff--we need one of these little

slips. We don't have one, but since I know who

you are, I can welcome you. David Frankel,

Commissioner Department of Finance and to answer

questions we have Mr. Edgar Domenech, sheriff of

the city of New York, and on the sideline, Fran

Freedman, deputy commissioner for the Department

of Consumer Affairs. Thank you all for being

here. For those of you who are going to testify,

identify yourself for the record and flip a coin

to see who goes first. Commissioner, before you

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

begin, let me acknowledge the members from the

Health Committee who are here: Council Member

Vallone, Van Bramer, Rodriguez, Rose, Eugene and

Gennaro. Thank you all for being here. We are in

for a long afternoon, folks, so I hope you have a

lot of patience. Council Member Ferreras, thank

you for joining us.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: afternoon, Chairperson Arroyo and members of the New York City Council Committee on Health. I am Dr. Thomas Farley, commissioner of the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Intros 1020, 1021 and 250-A, three complementary bills that will reduce smoking among youth and young adults and save thousands of lives. Working together, the Bloomberg Administration and the City Council have made historic progress to reduce smoking and protect all New Yorkers from the harmful effects of tobacco. I want to thank this Committee and the Council for your courage in supporting groundbreaking legislation that has been central to the success, but there is more that still can be done particularly to protect the

city's youth from the deadly effects of smoking.
These bills would build on the success that we
have had so far and give our next generation the
opportunity to live tobacco free. Beginning in
2002, we launched a comprehensive program to
reduce and prevent smoking. We raised cigarette
taxes, promoted smoke free spaces, educated New
Yorkers about the risks of smoking and helped
smokers quit, and the result is that smoking rates
in adults have fallen by almost a third to less
than 15 percent and the smoking rate in teenagers
has fallen in half as you see on the slide up
here; however, tobacco was still a leading cause
of preventable premature death in New York City
and the rest of the country. Smoking increases
the risk of lung cancer, heart diseases, stroke,
asthma, emphysema, pre-term delivery, low birth
weight and many types of cancer. When used
exactly as intended cigarettes kill one third of
their users. We estimate that some 7,000 New
Yorkers die each year from tobacco related
illnesses. In 2010, one of seven deaths of New
Yorkers over the age of 35 was smoking related.
Currently 930,000 adults and 20,000 public high

2	school students in New York City close to one
3	million people smoke. Today we are particularly
4	worried about the smoking rate in teenagers, which
5	has been declining as you can see on the graph,
6	but has stalled at 8.5 percent since 2007.
7	Preventing young people from smoking is critical.
8	We know that 80 percent of New York City adult
9	smokers started smoking regularly before reaching
10	the age of 21. Nationally, 99 percent of daily
11	adult smokers tried smoking by age 26 and the
12	average age at which they tried their first
13	cigarette was 15 or 16. Young people are often
14	simply unaware of how likely they are to become
15	addicted to cigarettes. Only three percent of
16	high school seniors who smoke daily think that
17	they will still be smoking five years later, but
18	the reality is that about eight years later nearly
19	two-thirds of them will still be regular, daily
20	smokers. Adolescents tend to believe they are
21	less likely to develop smoking related diseases
22	than others and that they will be able to quit
23	whenever they wish; however, studies show that
24	symptoms of nicotine dependence can start soon
25	after an adolescent first tries smoking. If

children experiment with smoking, there is a
substantial risk they will become long term users.
Because tobacco use is still a leading cause of
death and because it starts almost exclusively in
youth and young adults, it is imperative that we
do all we can to protect our young people from
addiction and death. It is difficult to imagine
any other scenario where we would stand by as
28,000 of our youth experiment each year with a
substance that could eventually kill one-third of
them. These three bills seek to reduce suffering
and death associated with smoking and other
tobacco products by helping to reduce the
visibility and accessibility of tobacco products
by the city's young people. First I would like to
spend some time discussing Intro 1020, which would
restrict the display of tobacco products in most
city retail stores. I want to thank Chairperson
Arroyo for sponsoring this bill and more than a
dozen other council members who have co-sponsored
it. Prominent displays of cigarettes and other
tobacco products in retail stores both entice
youth to try smoking and make it harder for
current smokers to quit. Studies consistently

show the more often young people are exposed to
cigarette displays in retail stores, the more
susceptible they are to starting smoking. It is
no surprise that retail displays of cigarettes and
other tobacco products heighten awareness of these
products. We also know that the prominent
presence of tobacco products alongside candy,
snack foods and other items creates the impression
especially among children and adolescents that
tobacco use is not only normal and socially
acceptable, but also more common than it actually
is, which increases the likelihood that young
people will experiment with smoking. Children and
adolescents are influenced by what they see around
them. For instance having a parent who smokes
greatly increases the likelihood that a young
person will smoke. What is disturbing and
extremely important to today's discussion is that
retail tobacco marketing and product displays are
nearly as influential. Youth who are frequently
exposed to tobacco product displays are more than
two times as likely to start smoking than youth
who receive less exposure. Studies show than when
young people are exposed to cigarette marketing

that includes product displays in retail stores
one or more times per week it increases the odds
that they will smoke by 40 to 60 percent.
Similarly, a study of New York City youth show
that the odds of experimenting with smoking in the
past 12 months was 40 percent higher among youth
exposed to tobacco retailers two or more times per
week compared to those exposed less often. That
is shown in the slide here. As you can see in
this graph, the more times that New York City
youth visited the local retailer where he was
exposed to tobacco marketing, the more likely he
was to start smoking. Restricting the display of
cigarettes and tobacco products discourages youth
from attempting to make illegal purchases by
creating the impression that they are more
difficult to purchase. One recent study indicated
that banning the display of tobacco products is
the most important factor in reducing purchase
attempts by underage youth regardless of the
presence of other forms of advertising. To
protect their children from smoking other
countries around the world have prohibited
retailers from displaying tobacco products. Where

this has been evaluated, those countries,
including Canada, have experienced significant
drops in youth smoking. This slide shows the
different provinces in Canada that implemented
product display laws at different times since
2005. In general after these rules went into
effect youth smoking rates went down. Looking
from left to right on this slide you can see that
the provinces where product display laws have been
in effect the longest show the greatest reductions
in youth smoking. Other countries that have
enacted product display restrictions include
Ireland, Australia, England, Wales, Scotland,
Iceland and Norway. Industry representatives
warned retailers in virtually of these countries
that a product display law would be difficult to
implement. As it turned out, implementation was
neither costly, nor burdensome, and the industry
warnings proved to be unfounded. This slide shows
what a typical tobacco retail display looks like
nowthis is what we call the power wall, but
under the proposed law, retailers can put them in
drawers as shown here or by simply putting a
curtain over them. Product display restrictions

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can also help smokers who want to quit achieve their goals. When smokers who are trying to guit see a pack of cigarettes, it can trigger a craving to smoke, which can lead to their buying a pack on impulse. Removing product displays removes that cue, and makes it easier for smokers to quite successfully. Overall though product display restrictions have not resulted in substantial declines in cigarette sales to adults. According to several studies adult customers who are not actively trying to quit are extremely loyal to their brands and do not notice product displays as much as youth, nor do they use tobacco displays to decide what brand of cigarettes to buy. Restricting the display of cigarettes and other tobacco products is an important step forward to prevent youth smoking in New York City and one that enjoys widespread support. According to a recent poll of New York City voters two-thirds supported product display restrictions, and we expect support for the measure to increase further after implementation as it has elsewhere. next bill I would like to discuss today, Intro 1021, or the sensible tobacco enforcement bill,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addresses the availability of illegal and low cost tobacco products in the city. Thanks again to Chairperson Arroyo and more than a dozen other city council members who have co-sponsored this important piece of legislation. It is wellestablished that increasing cigarette prices helps reduce smoking, especially among young people. A ten percent increase in cigarette prices reduces demand among adult smokers by three to five percent and among youth by seven percent, but cigarette tax evasion and the sale of discounted tobacco products are increasing, which undermines the benefit of the city and state's high cigarette taxes. The sensible tobacco enforcement bill targets many of the sources of inexpensive tobacco including smuggled cigarettes that are untaxed, cigarette discounting and individually sold and low cost cigars. Although the Department of Finance and the Law Department have increased enforcement and have had success in stopping many illegal operations, more needs to be done to address the serious problem of cigarette trafficking and tax evasion. A pack of cigarettes sold legally in New York City generally cost about

11 or \$12. A pack of cigarettes that sells for \$5
at retail value in Virginia can be trafficked to
New York City and sold illegally for \$9, well
below the legal price. This is bad for New York
City for several reasons. I will touch on some of
the economic implications very briefly, and
Commissioner Frankel and Sheriff Domenech will
expand on these when you hear from them
momentarily. First, retailers who sell illegal,
untaxed cigarettes are cheating and have an unfair
advantage on their honest competitors. A store
that cheats the system can sell cigarettes for
less than the legitimate retail price enabling
them to sell more cigarettes along with other
products that that customer purchases. That means
that honest retailers who sell properly taxed
cigarettes lose business. Second, an estimated
250 to 600 million is lost annually in unpaid
taxes from cigarette trafficking in New York City.
Third, illegal tax cigarettes are cheap and
when prices are lower young people can buy them
more easily. This bill would increase penalties
when retailers are found in possession of illegal
cigarettes along the chain of distribution

authorizing the Department of Finance to crack
down on stores that repeatedly break the law by
offering to sell these illegal products. Together
these and other provisions promote fairness,
reduce the incentives for retailers to break the
law and to cheat and to help law abiding retailers
compete on a level playing field. The bill also
eliminates discounting of tobacco products.
Retail price discounts are widely available in New
York City. Research shows these price reductions
are particularly appealing to young adults, women
and minorities, especially among African American
smokers who smoke menthol cigarettes. Recent
evidence suggests that tobacco companies target
discount coupons are young smokers. These
discounts work to keep people buying and smoking
cigarettes when they might otherwise be persuaded
by the high price to quit. Intro 1021 would end
discounting by prohibiting specials like buy one
get one free and prohibiting retailers from
redeeming discount coupons for tobacco products.
Intro 1021 would require that cigarettes and
little cigars must be sold for no less than 10.50
per pack. In a recent study that included more

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than 300 New York City tobacco retailers, we found that this price floor was lower than most posted prices for cigarettes available for retail sale. This price floor would complement these prohibitions on discounting. The price floor is easy for the public to understand. It is simply to enforce and it maintains high prices that protect public health. The sensible tobacco enforcement bill will also help reduce youth smoking by decreasing access to cheap cigars. The tobacco industry has carefully manipulated these products over time so that they are very similar to cigarettes, but different enough to quality for lower tax rates and less regulation. This slide here shows an example of a pack of cigarettes under the brand name Cheyenne. The company then changed it slightly so they were categorized as little cigars in the middle there, and then added just enough weight so they were categorized as cigars over there on the right, both of which are taxed at a much lower rate than cigarettes. Here are two products, here is one and here is another that look virtually identical except that this is a pack of cigarettes that cost about 12.50 and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this is a pack that is categorized as cigars that sells for roughly 6.50. They are definitely not what we think of as cigars, and let me show you. Here is a cigarette, and this is categorized as a cigar. Many cigars—this is categorized as a cigar. Many cigars are sold in packs of 20 and they are virtually indistinguishable from cigarettes.

[background conversation]

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Little cigars are the same size as cigarettes. They have filtered tips. They are inhaled like cigarettes and they are dangerous like cigarettes. physical difference is that cigarettes are wrapped in white paper and little cigars have brown wrappers that have a little bit of tobacco in them. Lower prices have contributed to a surge in the popularity of smaller cigars including little cigars. They are especially popular with youth. We are seeing a shift towards cigar use here in new York City where the proportion of youth smokers who smoke cigars exclusively nearly tripled from 2001 to 2009. Youth and adults are less aware of the health risks associated with

cigar smoking than with the health risks of	
cigarettes, which is especially dangerous because	
nicotine levels in cigars are generally much	
higher than nicotine levels in cigarettes, which	
makes them even more addictive. Given the	
similarities of cigarettes this bill simply	
requires that cigars be sold like cigarettes, in	
packs of no fewer than 20 with the same 10.50	
minimum price. The bill also requires larger	
cigars costing three dollars or less be sold in	
packs of at least four. These measures would	
raise the price at which these cigars can be sold	
and help reduce their use among adolescents.	
Finally, I would like to address Intro 250-A,	
which would simply raise the minimum age for	
selling tobacco products from 18 to 21. This bil	1
is an important complement to the other two bills	
I have just discussed. As I mentioned earlier,	
the vast majority of smokers started before the	
age of 21. What is notable is that the transition	n
from experimental to regular smoking occurs aroun	d
the age of 20. This bill is also important	
because increasing the legal age will reduce the	
accessibility to tobacco products to kids who are	

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much younger than 18. We know that 90 percent of people purchasing cigarettes for minors are themselves between 18 and 20 years old. We also just received data that strongly suggests that increasing the legal age of sales works for reducing teen smoking. In 2005, the town of Needham, Massachusetts increased the legal sales age from 18 to 21 years. Between 2006 and 2012 the percent of high school students in Needham who reported smoking in the past month declined from 12.9 percent to 5.5 percent, and I should say that is the lowest rate I have seen in teen smoking anywhere. This decrease is far greater than the decrease in smoking seen in the other regions of Massachusetts or here in New York City. There is also evidence from other countries that raising the legal sales age works. After the minimum sales age in England was increased from 16 to 18 there was a 30 percent decline in smoking among youth age 16 and 17 and younger students, those between 11 and 15, were also less likely to be regular smokers. Obviously proper enforcement of the law is critical, which is one more reason why the sensible tobacco enforcement bill I discussed

earlier is also important. Preventing youth from
starting to smoke and helping adult smokers
succeed when they want to quit are two of the most
critical steps we can take to improving the health
of New Yorkers. The city is recognized worldwide
as a leader in smoking prevention and because of
our efforts smoking rates in the city are at
historic lows, but despite implementing leading
evidence based practices, tobacco use is still a
leading cause of preventable death in the city and
we need to do more. These bills help ensure that
we continue to decrease smoking rates in our
children and young people. If we succeed, we just
may be able to raise an entire generation free of
addiction to the world's most dangerous drug.
Thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any of your
questions after Commissioner Frankel speaks.

afternoon, Chair Arroyo, Speaker Quinn and members of the Committee on Health. I am David Frankel, Commissioner of the Department of Finance, and I am joined this afternoon by the city Sheriff Edgar Domenech. Since the Sheriff doesn't get too much publicity around here, let me just take a second

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to say that the Sheriff's Office is responsible for enforcing the civil law in the city. Amongst other things, it is enforcing civil penalties and other things for failure to observe tobacco tax, for going out and finding deadbeat moms and dads, for helping take mentally ill people off the street if they pose a danger to themselves or others. It's an interesting sometimes dangerous, but critical job. When we hired Sheriff Domenech in 2011, it was clear to us that there was a new sheriff in town, and he certainly proved that and along with his team including First Deputy Sheriff Joseph Aceito [phonetic], who is also here, they have done a remarkable job over the last two years. Thank you for the opportunity to testify regarding Introductory number 1021, which would give the Department of Finance new and greater authority to enforce cigarette tax laws. Before I discuss the bill's provisions, I would first like to describe our current enforcement efforts. Over the past three years, Finance has made cigarette tax enforcement one of the core responsibilities of the Office of the Sheriff. In 2011, we created the Tobacco Task Force, consisting of

investigators, deputies, and a lieutenant charged
with combating the flow of untaxed cigarettes into
New York City. To date, the Task Force has
performed more than 2,063 inspections, and as you
can see from the map over here to the left of me,
our enforcement efforts have blanketed the five
boroughs. Through these efforts, however, it has
become clear that cigarette tax evasion is
pandemic to our city. During inspections, Deputy
Sheriffs found contraband—that is to say,
cigarette packs with counterfeit stamps or no
stamps at all—at more than 970 of the locations or
47 percent of all the retail establishments that
we inspected. Some inspections resulted in the
seizure of a significant amount of untaxed
product: the Sheriff's Office made 235 arrests,
including 21 felony arrests in situations where
retailers were in possession of more than 50
cartons of untaxed cigarettes at the time of
inspection. And we seized more than 7,300 cartons
of illegal product. That's 1.4 million
cigarettes. The pervasiveness of cigarette tax
evasion presents serious problems. First, it puts
law abiding cigarette retailers at an incredible

disadvantage. When smokers patronize retailers
who, because they are not paying tax, sell their
products at a cut rate, it diverts those customers
from small business owners who play by the rules.
Once they are in the door, smokers also make all
of their other purchases—their newspapers, lottery
tickets, coffee, et cetera-at the same location.
That means that law-abiding store owners lose out
on untold revenue; simply put, they can't compete.
Furthermore, cigarette tax evasion takes an
enormous toll on our city's budget. Illegal
tobacco sales are estimated to cost federal and
state governments five billion dollars annually,
and here in New York City, we lose out on
significant revenue in unpaid taxes from cigarette
trafficking. Through this lens, it's all New
Yorkers-not just the law-abiding small business
owners-who suffer the consequences of a tax
evading cigarette retailer's illegal behavior.
Clearly, there is still much to be done to crack
down on those who break the cigarette tax laws.
For our part, Finance is doubling our efforts: we
recently announced the hiring of a new class of 20
deputy sheriffs-our first new class in more than

2	15 years. With this added personnel, we will
3	create a second tobacco unit to augment our
4	existing enforcement. And beyond that, we
5	strongly support the legislation before you today.
6	Introductory number 1021 is an important step in
7	enhancing our ability to effectively enforce
8	against those who break the law. Time and time
9	again, the lax regulations currently on the books
10	hamstring our inspectors from issuing summonses-
11	and the modest monetary penalties for those caught
12	with bags full of contraband are chalked up to the
13	cost of doing business. This bill would create
14	new, firm penalties along every point of tax
15	evaders' operations—from purchasing cigarettes
16	from unauthorized sources, to transporting untaxed
17	product, to selling counterfeit tax stamps, to
18	hiding the contraband. Most importantly, though,
19	it would also give the Sheriff's Office the
20	authority to padlock the stores of repeat
21	offenders for 60 days. This will send a clear
22	message that tax evasion will not be tolerated,
23	and the sheriffs will use every tool at their
24	disposal to shut down illegal operations. Thank
25	you for the opportunity to testify. We'd be happy

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Commissioner. We have been joined by Council

Member Vann and Speaker Quinn. Speaker, would you

like to...

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very much, Chair Arroyo and Commissioners and Sheriff, thank you very much. I want to take a moment to thank the sponsors of all of the bills, but in particular Jim Gennaro, who is the sponsor of the piece of legislation to raise the age to 21, and I want to thank everyone from the advocacy community who is here. We in the Council in my opinion working with the Bloomberg Administration have a unparalleled record of taking the issue of smoking and tobacco use in this city seriously, so for me this hearing is incredibly exciting because it gives us more opportunities to move that agenda forward in a way that we know based on the facts and the data will reduce the use of tobacco in New York City and when that happens people will live longer and will live healthier, better lives and the financial implications of that for the city are enormous. We also have a particularly unique

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity here around reducing youth and young people smoking and that is perhaps the most important focus for all of us because if we can cut it off before people are addicted to it, we are literally preventing a lifetime of struggle and misery, and that really is what we can do here, and it is just so exciting to me that we are having this hearing, that we are talking about these bills that we are going to be moving forward and already the State of New York is following suit in some of these areas, which is just another example of when New York City leads in so many areas, but when New York City leads in the area of tobacco control the rest of the state and the rest of the country and even at times the rest of the world will follow, so I just want to thank everyone who has been part of all of this work, everyone who is here today. There are few opportunities you have in life or in government to do something that you literally know will save lives. That is what we are talking about today, and I just want to thank everyone from the Chair to the Commissioners to Jim and all the advocates and all the council members who are part of this

2	important step forward, but I do have a question
3	for you Commissioner. How do you raise the taxes
4	on little cigars?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: The cigars have lower taxes at the federal level, am I right, as well as at the state level - - but at the city level. So raising the tax on cigars at the city level would require action I believe at the state as well as at the city, so it is not impossible, but it would be complicated.

SPEAKER QUINN: Well, we have something to follow up on. Thank you all very much.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Madam Speaker. We have been joined by Council

Member Foster. Thank you for joining us. I am

going to ask a couple of questions, and then I

will turn it over to my colleagues. I am

particularly interested in how this legislation or

the one that has the four different components

impacts electronic cigarettes, vapor products and

the flavor issue?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: The bills were written with no intention of addressing

2.

б

electronic cigarettes at all, not to change any of
the current status. Currently under state law
ecigarettes can't be sold to someone under the age
of 18.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Cannot.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Cannot be sold to someone under the age of 18. It can be sold to people over the age of 18. There otherwise are no restrictions on their display, discounting, that sort of thing. So these bills were not written with any intention to change that current status. I understand that the ecigarette manufacturers have raised a question about whether the definition of tobacco products here might inadvertently swept up ecigarettes, and if that is a concern of the Council I think that that is something that we can address in a subsequent conversation, but they were not written with that intent.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And the other component of the bill that has the multiple layers is the need for the clerk to produce identification, and one that raises some concern for some of us given that some of these clerks are

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue.

2	very low level paid employees, what is the
3	rationale for that requirement?

COMMISSIONER FRANKEL: When the Sheriff's Office goes in and does an inspection if the contraband there reaches a certain level, they will issue a criminal complaint. You can't issue a criminal complaint to somebody who doesn't have identification. If somebody doesn't have identification, then you have to arrest them and take them and put them through the whole process. The last thing in the world that we want to do is put more people through the whole process, so generally what happens is most people do have identification, and they will issue the criminal summons to that person or if they don't the Sheriff's Office will wait until somebody from their home or someone else will bring them identification. The whole desire is not to put people through the process, so this way if someone is required to have identification there, it will make it much easier to go through this whole scenario.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It's a legal

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 4
2	[crosstalk]
3	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So what is
4	happening now if I don't have an ID and I am
5	selling the cigarettes?
6	COMMISSIONER FRANKEL: Right now we
7	will wait until somebody brings you an ID.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And if that ID
9	is not produced?
10	COMMISSIONER FRANKEL: Eventually,
11	we would arrest you. We fortunately have not had
12	to do that with respect tothese are misdemeanor
13	offenses. If it is a felony offense, if it rises
14	to that level, which is over 50 cartons of
15	contraband cigarettes, we will arrest you and put
16	you through the system, and it is the person
17	unfortunately who is there at the time in the
18	store, not necessarily the store owner, but we
19	arrest whoever is doing the activity at that

20

21

22

23

24

25

moment.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So this is not an issue of the Department of Finance being able to collect on the debt from the business from the violation that is received.

COMMISSIONER FRANKEL: This has

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nothing to do with money. This is a criminal
offense, and by the way I mean someone made the
comment about whether this would affect the city's
revenue. We would be thrilled never to collect a
nenny for digarette taythrilled

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Council Member Vallone?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for your testimony. I am an ardent anti-smoker. It was my father who put in the first anti-smoking bill. I have voted for every enhancement of that bill, and I support the goals of these bills, but I as a business person I have some concerns. I always loathe to give the city more fining power because we know how that has been misused in the past. In this situation, we have got a bill that would create a \$1,000 fine on a small business for leaving one of those drawers open. Just two weeks ago, we passed a bill in the City Council saying city come back to use with bills that should be warnings and not fines as long as they don't affect public safety immediately, and - - come back to us with that, and now we are discussing a bill with a \$1,000

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first offense for leaving one of those drawers open. Can we work together to amend that and change that?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: In other jurisdictions where they have--other countries-where they have the display ban the retailers in generally comply with it, and that would certainly would be our interest is in having them comply. It is not an interest in fining them. - - have them in the drawers, they have them behind the curtain, it is not hard for them to just keep it that way except for when they are actually pulling out a pack. The fines in general are in this range of \$1,000 because our experience has been as you heard from Commissioner Frankel that there is a tremendous amount of - - other rules because you can make a lot of money by selling things illegally. I don't think there is going to be a big financial advantage in displaying packs of cigarettes, so I think most of them will comply, but that is why the fines are high.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I don't have a problem with the fines that Commissioner Frankel discussed. I mean if you are making money

selling illegal cigarettes, that is a whole
different level of violation than not understand
the proper display laws, and as you said nobody is
making money by showing too much of a cigarette
package, so I don't have a problem with those
fines, but I do have a problem with owners in good
faith trying to comply and not having a big enough
curtain or another employee leaving it open or
something along those lines, and I really would
ask the sponsors and you to come back with a
version of this that wouldn't hurt our small
businesses, which are struggling right now, but
again, these goals are noble, especially getting
cigarettes out of our schools by raising the age
to 21. That will solve a lot of that problem. An
interesting thing to look at for the sponsors of
this bill is the fact that it is still legal. If
you had a 15 year old kid with a cigarette, that
is legal right now. They can't sell it to them,
but they can smoke it. I am not taking a position
on that, but that is an interesting situation that
exists on our streets right now. I do have to
run, but I thank you, Madam Chair, for letting me
ask the questions so quickly, and I thank you,

2	sponsors especially, and you for your work on this
3	matter and the way you have been leading the
4	entire country on this.
5	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you,
6	Council Member. I know you have been very strong
7	on health, and which I very much appreciate. I
8	would be happy to talk to you more about this
9	particular bill later.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Let's get
11	the fluoride out next.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member
13	Gennaro?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you,
15	Madam Chair and thank you, Commissioner, for
16	getting the data from Massachusetts. I think one
17	of the things that we are going to hear is that if
18	we go to 21 here people will cross into Nassau or
19	to Jersey and buy cigarettes where the age is set
20	at 19, but it seems that what happened in Needham
21	and which I don't think is a very big place
22	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: It's about
23	20,000 people.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: And so the
25	city limits or the town limits of Needham are not

that big. It would be pretty easy for them to cross the line to go to the next town to buy cigarettes, and does that lead you to believe that with the rate of success they had in Needham where cigarettes that could be purchased at a lower age were literally like hundreds of yard away perhaps, but that we still go this very good result. Could you speak to that a little bit? I think we are going to be hearing from people that stores on the border of Nassau County are going to have some kind of bonanza from the law that we are doing, and like what your thoughts are on that.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Yeah, that is a really excellent point. Could people travel from the Bronx up to Westchester or from Manhattan over to Jersey to buy cigarettes? They could, but it's an awful long way to travel, a fair amount of inconvenience to buy simply a pack of cigarettes.

Needham, Massachusetts is a small town in terms of population geographically. I am sure it is not that hard for them to travel to nearby towns where the sales are available, and the result in Needham is really surprisingly good. Again, lowering the teen smoking rate to the lowest I have seen

3

4

5

6

24

25

anywhere, so I think the evidence is strong that that amount of trafficking of people going across the town line or the city line is not going to be a big enough issue to really blunt its overall effect.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you, 8 Commissioner. Also, I have an editorial from the 9 Times back in 2006 where by the makes of tobacco products in order to mount an offensive to combat 10 11 very common sense measures by cities and states to 12 curb smoking or spiking the amount of nicotine 13 they are putting in various kinds of tobacco 14 products and so the point that I am trying to make 15 is that we are trying to do something and we are 16 always faced with this business that is always 17 trying to get more customers, and I think it makes 18 the steps that we have taken in the city all the 19 more noble and all the more--very significant in 20 that we are making these gains in the face of 21 cigarettes that are spiked with more and more 22 nicotine. I do hope that the federal government 23 does follow through on its 2009 law where it is

mandated that the FDA has to come up with that

magical number of what is the level of nicotine

that will not cause addiction, and I hope that they will follow through with the power that they have given themselves to actually set the rate of nicotine by regulation by the FDA, but I just want to compliment the efforts of the city because we have been making these gains in the face of these products that have been spiked with more and more levels of nicotine. So do you have anything to say about that?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: We do worry a lot about levels of nicotine in cigarettes and cigars. As we said, the cigars may have far higher levels of nicotine than cigarettes. The way that the federal law is written basically says that the FDA has the authority to regulate the product, but that the state and in this case it could come down to the city can regulate the time, place and manner in which that product can be sold. So what we are doing here is taking the authority that the city has and the opportunity of that authority to try to protect our young people from smoking. We can't regulate this product. The FDA can, and I hope at some point in the future they will, but in the meantime there are a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot of things we can do as we have got examples of to really again try to protect our young people from these products.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you, and one more question, Madam Chair. With regard to herbal cigarettes and as was mentioned before these so called ecigarettes, I think they have not be included in the bill, they are not technically products that are made of tobacco and so they are not in the bill. I have my own idea about whether or not maybe the bill should include something that would require the Department of Health to kind of put those products on some sort of watch list and like follow the science, and perhaps, make a recommendation sometime in the future. I think we should take a look whether or not the ecigarettes are some kind of pathway for people to get off of a tobacco product or is it some kind of gateway into some kind of addiction to nicotine. I think we should take a look at that. With regard to the herbal cigarettes speaking as a scientist even though it's not tobacco, you are burning some kind of plant matter and that results in carbon monoxide and all kinds of exotic

hydrocarbons that could not be good for people and so I am thinking about that and I just wondered what you thought about perhaps creating something in the bill that would make the New York City Department of Health kind of follow the science in those products and make a recommendation sometime in the future towards what you may do for those products if the science—we want to do everything that is science drive—if the science says that would make sense for us to do something with regard to those products.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: A couple things, first ecigarettes are a product—it is not burned, but it gives you nicotine when you breathe in this product. The main problem with that is that these are not regulated at the federal level. Nobody really knows what are in those products. They are presented—they are not advertised, but they are often presented as a way to help people quit, but it is not clear whether they might also be a way to help people not quit—to sort of tide them over until the next time they can smoke an actual cigarette, so there is much that is just unknown about ecigarettes and these bills don't

2	address that and we want to get more information
3	on that. Herbal cigarettes are things that are
4	burned. That I think we have good information
5	that that is bad for your health. When you burn
6	that product you are going to get carbon monoxide.
7	You are going to get cancer causing chemicals.
8	Now they are not smoked nearly at the rate that
9	these tobacco product are. They are not supposed
10	to have nicotine in them, and so they shouldn't be
11	as addictive. To the extent that they are smoked,
12	we are concerned about them, but how big a problem
13	that is is also unclear. We would be happy if you
14	or the members of the Council want to think about
15	what things in the future we may want to do on any
16	of those. We would be happy to discuss that.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you
18	very much. I want to thank this panel. I want to
19	thank you, Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We have been
21	joined by Council Member Rivera and Council Member
22	Rodriguez has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Commissioner in previous occasions at a press conference you said how

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tobacco has a negative impact, especially on the black and Latino community. Can you describe is the cigarette company advertising more in those communities than others? How aggressive, how active are they doing advertising in those communities?

Communitation

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: The advertising is no longer on television, and it's not on billboards. Much of the marketing takes place in the retail store itself, and so you see in some retail stores advertising itself and other places you see price discounting and other things, which promote cigarettes and then of course you see the cigarette packs themselves, which does encourage people to take up those. The low income neighborhoods in general are probably going to have more outlets selling tobacco than the high income neighborhoods, and then there is some evidence that there may be more distribution of discount coupons to low income people, to young people and maybe some to low income people. Certainly, it makes a lot of sense that people who have less income are always looking for a bargain are going to be more sensitive to a discount

coupon than people who have a higher income, so I think they are going to be more susceptible to the discounting, and so I worry a lot about that discounting enticing people who are trying to quit or try not to start in the first place into grabbing that pack of cigarettes that might really hurt them over the long term.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Great.

And I heard someone that I spoke to, a member of a grocery store association, he has told me I would support this, I support this bill because I care for children and our youngsters. My concern is will small business be a target—what can we say about it?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: I think nobody wants their children to become smokers.

Even smokers don't want their children to become smokers. Everybody supports this. We recognize at the same time that there will be, no matter how successful we are, there will always be a market for adult smokers who continue to buy cigarettes. So businessmen can continue to sell cigarettes to adult smokers. They are going to be able to make a profit off of that sale, and this wouldn't stop

2.

that. Nothing in any of these bills would preven
an adult smoker over the age of 21 from going in
and buying his pack of cigarettes at a store and
the store making a profit off of that, but we all
agree that we don't want to have our next
generation get addicted to that. And I would say
to businessmen if you are looking for growth in
your business, try a product that isn't addictive
and as dangerous as this one

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: How far are we assuming that we will pass this bill, how far are we to guarantee our children our future generation that they will live in a free smoke city?

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Let's be honest. I don't think we are going to get smoking rates down to zero, but there was one study that Council Member Gennaro talked about that is estimated that raising the age to 21 could theoretically reduce the smoking rate among people age 18 to 21 by more than 50 percent, so we might be able to see a huge reduction. I think we saw a huge reduction in Needham, so we may be able to get teenage smoking rates down to just a few

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent, and that would mean adult smoking rates
would ultimately be down to that level. If we did
that we would save many thousands of lives here in
New York City. It would be probably the single
best thing we can do to improve the health of New
Yorkers.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: end I would like to say that first of all that someone has been in different sides with the mayor, for me this is a great opportunity to be on the same page because I think that this is important and - - especially to small businesses, grocery store owners to come on board, think about our children. We can find a way on how to make our - - to do good to get a return to see our business be closed, but I think that we need to bring all New Yorkers, most of the New Yorkers support this bill. Then the numbers there - area will save lives, we will save money and we will send a message to the future generation that they can live in a free tobacco city, so for me, it is a pleasure to be a part as a co-signer of this bill, and I also have another bill for the future, which is trying to not allow smoking in a

2.

street in our city, so I am committed to keep
working with the mayor. I believe this is a great
initiative, and I know that even though we cannot
agree on everything, but his administration and
your leadership has made major improvements when
it comes to sending a message to our city and that
smoking is wrong, a lot of great and beautiful
people have lost their life, and I know that we
have talked about it, and thank you.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you so much for your support. I really appreciate it, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Van Bramer?

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank

you very much, Madam Chair and Council member

Gennaro for introducing your important piece of

legislation and Chair Arroyo for hers and

commissioners, thank you very much for being here

and as you know, commissioner, I care a great deal

about this issue. I have a very sadly similarly

story to Council Member Gennaro watching my

stepfather pass away after a very painful ten year

struggle with lung cancer, dying at 60 years of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

age, after having been a lifelong smoker and having started when he was a teenager, and I thought about this today because on my way to a breakfast in Long Island City I passed one of my many high schools and saw a young man smoking outside of the high school, and he was young and thin and healthy and all the great things we want for all of our young people and then smoking a cigarette, and I thought about how painful it was for my stepfather even at the very, very end when the morphine is as high as it possibly can be. The last thing he said to me before he died was to ask for more morphine, that he felt a little pain, and I wish that young people could know that it's why I support the commercials and all the things that your Department has done and the administration has done because it is not a joke. This is very serious. It is a horrific, horrific disease and way to die and I saw it firsthand, and we have to do everything we possibly can, but speaking of that high school and the young person I saw, I am sure you are doing a lot in the high schools already with regard to this, but is there more that you will do in terms of education about

the new law in high schools, working with the schools and do we show young people other than the commercials obviously they can watch at home as well, just how awful this is and how painful it is because thinking that it is cool or hip or whatever and then if you are confronted with what we saw in the hospital, those are two very different scenarios, and it is important that young people know that that is at the end of a life of smoking.

and thank you for your support on other issues——I know the smoke free parks is there where you were really a key supporter of ours. As a physician, I have seen people dying of cancer, and like you, when you see that, you are transformed. You don't feel the same way again about this issue. As far as our addressing high schools and kids in high schools, they get information about the risks of smoking in their health curriculum. The Health Department used to put a lot of funding directly into high schools to give them more detailed information and more detailed education, and as much sense as that makes, scientific evidence says

2	that those interventions don't really do much
3	that the way to reach kids is the way to reach
4	everybody at the same time, through the mass media
5	commercials you see on TV as well as the taxes.
6	Our taxes here have really being central to the
7	reduction in teen smoking, and the smoke free air
8	bills, which changed the social norm, changed the
9	acceptability, so it makes it a lot less cool to
10	smoke. We have redirected much of our resources
11	to those issues, and that is when we really
12	started to get the big success.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Well, I
14	just want to encourage you to keep doing as much
15	as you can for the remaining of your tenure and I
16	look forward to supporting more of your efforts
17	with respect to this because it is incredibly
18	important and we can't do enough, so thank you
19	very much.
20	COMMISSIONER FARLEY: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member
22	Rivera?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you very much, Madam Chair and thank you,

Commissioner, for joining us here today.

Obviously, we have been talking about this issue
for a number of years and how can we curb the
smoking rate within the city of New York and I
want to commend the Department of Health along
with the Mayor's Office and the Council for
instituting initiatives that help to curb and
prevent smoking, especially amongst the young
within the city of New York. One of the biggest
concerns that I am aware of is that young people
obviously who get their hands on cigarettes start
off with one, advance to two, and then obviously
it becomes a gateway to other types of smoking
paraphernalia that they can get their hands on, so
I think that initiatives like this that can
increase the age to 21 is extremely important.
One thing that I think that we need to increase
the enforcement on is the trafficked cigarettes
because I have heard on the street that people
find them more lucrative to sell cigarettes than
it is to sell other types of drugs, and since the
penalty for selling trafficked cigarettes are not
as high as selling saying marijuana, pot,
whatever, it becomes a better business for them.
So what can we do to strengthen the laws to make

sure that a person receives a larger penalty if
they are selling unstamped cigarettes within the
city of New York?

Something quickly, and then I am going to turn it over to Commissioner Frankel, who this is really is area, but most of the illegal sales are actually taking place from stores, so it is not necessarily the people buying on the street. You have heard how many stores are selling untaxed or counterfeit cigarettes. That is what happens. People bring them up from another state and they sell them to a bodega manager, owner, who then in turn sells it, so the customer doesn't even know he is buying illegal cigarettes, and so that is what this is particularly meant to address.

address your question specifically I am going to repeat and sort of expand on something that I said during my testimony. The Department of Finance when we look to collect unpaid tax, we do it for two reasons. This is with respect to anything that we--any tax that we are trying to collect. The second reason is to raise legitimate revenue

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the city. The primary reason though is to protect the people who are behaving legally in the city from people who are not behaving legally to level the playing field. As you can imagine when a business doesn't pay its tax, it has a tremendous advantage over those who do. As you can see from the chart up here, the number of and the percentage of businesses that are behaving illegally is extraordinary. As our inspections have shown, it is 47 percent of all the businesses that we have inspected are selling untaxed or counterfeit stamp taxed cigarettes. It is also very different than when you think about trying to collect unpaid business income tax. There many times we have a different interpretation with the taxpayer, and we may go back and forth and eventually come to some kind of understanding. This is not like this at all. This is people willfully disobeying the law. This is not a matter of interpretation. This is people doing this blatantly violating the law to make more money. It has just got to stop, and these additional penalties that we have asked for in this legislation will help us do that. We are

also going to go to the state and ask for
additional authority to make our enforcement even
more significant. Right now we believe that this
is simply a cost of doing business to people who
are willfully violating the law. They didn't
think they were going to get caught and when they
do get caught, I will pay the penalties. They are
very clever. Many store owners have hidden
compartments. Many store owners keep just a
limited amount of contraband material on the
premises and then continually come back and
replenish that because they know when we come in
if we only find five cartons or ten cartons, the
penalties are either nothing, just us taking the
contraband or very insignificant, so everybody is
very clever. We need to make this not a cost of
doing business. We need to make this cost much
too high for you to want to sell these contraband
cigarettes, and that is what this legislation
does.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Council Member. We have been joined by Council

Member Crowley. Thank you for being here.

Commissioners, on the product display component of

the legislation that we are considering, the concern, and we have talked about inspections and inspectors and how they behave when they show up, whether it is a restaurant or a truck or whatever the business. What direction are we providing to the business owners about the product display, you will not be penalized if you are restocking. Are you not concerned that restocking claim could be the reason they use every time somebody shows up, and what direction are we providing to the inspectors about how to implement this component of the legislation?

Says that the products have to be hidden except for when they are being sold to a customer or during restocking. As to how we would interpret that and what our guidance is to the retail owners, the departments working together would be sending out materials to store owners to give them guidance on that. It is not our intention to catch people who happen to be restocking and try to find them. We are not interested in getting fines here. We are however interested in getting people to come into compliance if it is clear that

2.

they are consistently having their products out
there as a way of promoting those products, and so
I think we can come up with rules for inspectors
that do that. It is not about gotcha. It is not
about getting a fine. It is about really trying
to identify those people that that is what their
standard is is to have those products out for
show.

intend or what the intent of the law is and how inspectors are behaving on the field are often two different things and the complaints that we get locally from either a restaurant or a bodega owner is that the inspector tends to be a little bit overzealous and not being very helpful in the process just to get that violation written, and that is the concern that I have.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: I understand that concern--

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]

Although I am the prime sponsor, right, but I did
say it is upon the request of the mayor.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: If there is suggestions you have on the bill or on the

2.

implementation of the bill to address those
concerns, we would be happy to talk about them.
Again, our experience in other countriesI was up
in Canada, and they were complying. They had them
in drawers. There was not a problem. I would
think most of them would be like that, but there
does need to be someit needs to be done
carefully, so that is not abused in one direction
or the other.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I look forward to that conversation. Let's talk about hookah for a minute, and that the product that is used in that type of smoking, and I know that one of my colleagues has a piece of legislation pending before us that would ban further permits from being issued in the city, but the product that is used in that, is that tobacco? Why is it not regulated in the same way that we are speaking?

We have to have a particular piece of legislation.

COMMISSIONER FARLEY: There are hookah bars. Those are places where people smoke through a water pipe a product that is presented as not having tobacco in it, and the way that the current law is written if you are smoking

something that doesn't have tobacco in it, then
the smoke free air act doesn't apply. They can do
that. Now there is concern under the part of the
Department that often in fact there is tobacco in
that product, but it is very difficult to prove
that without some difficult and expensive
laboratory testing. The smoke that people breathe
in when they are smoking anything that is burning
in a water pipe is dangerous. It has carbon
monoxide in it. It has cancer causing chemicals
in it, and so we are concerned about smoking in
hookah bars, which is also presented often as
being safe when we don't view it as being safe.
So this issue came up to us as I think you noticed
a few days ago and so we didn't have a chance to
think through all of the issues around the bill,
but we would be happy to talk to you and Council
Member Gentile about what might be appropriate to
do about those hookah bars.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. And we will pursue that conversation. The Committee is a little bit overwhelmed given Sandy and everything else that is happening, but it is something that we do want to pursue a conversation about.

2	Council Mer	mber Mend	lez has	joined	us. Ar	ıу
2	questions?	Okav	One more		ion	

4 COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Yes.

Thank you. Something that is in the bill that would raise the age to 21, something that we have talked to the administration about would have to do with vending machines, which are licensed by the city I believe and certain kinds of establishments where people would normally be of age to purchase cigarettes and the bill for 21 there would now be an obligation on the part of the entity that had the vending machine to make sure that no one under the age of 21 purchases products from that machine. I want to make sure that the administration is prepared to do that and prepared to enforce that.

commissioner farley: The bill is written simply scratches out 18 and substitutes 21 in there. My understanding is that cigarette vending machines are not allowed in establishments in general. Am I right here? They are only allowed in establishments where only adults can be admitted. So are those establishments only allowing people over the age of 18 or only

allowing people over the age of 21? Maybe 18, so what this bill would mean is that those establishments that have cigarette vending machines—and there are not a large number of them in the city I don't believe—would have to somehow make sure that they are not being used to sell to someone between the ages of 18 and 21. So but let us look into that—

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:

[interposing] That was my understanding. I just want to put it out here on the record, even at the risk of invoking the ire of people that are going to have a problem with that, but I just felt for the purposes of full disclosure the people here who are representing businesses I am not trying to hide anything. That would be the intent, and so to—I don't want people between 18 and 21 to be running to vending machines rather than going to the store to get them. It is on my mind and I am free to hear from whatever people want to say about that. I just want to point out as a last point, but not a question since this hearing began a little more than an hour ago, it is just about the same number of people that are in this room,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is about 60 people, have died in this country from diseases related to smoking. So as soon as we started this hearing, everyone in this room has died some place. So I thought that was something to make people think. So there you have it, and I want to thank this panel, and I want to thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you, Council Member. Commissioners, thank you. Mr. Sheriff, thank you for being here. Commissioners, I look forward to the follow up conversation regarding the electronic cigarettes, the issue of the flavored tobacco and certainly the enforcement of the display issue. The other components of these laws, I don't have any issue with. activity is illegal activity, and if you are engaging in it, you should pay a penalty for it, and the more severe the penalty, the less likely people will continue to behave illegally, but there are some nuances here that we need to clarify and we are going to hear from the public and the different stakeholders in this conversation. I really do look forward to that. So Commissioners, the Sheriff, I hope that you

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

leave your staff in the room, so that they can take a message back to you, and if you can spare the next three hours of your life, better because then you hear it directly. Thank you, and we look forward to ironing out the details.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \text{COMMISSIONER FARLEY:} \quad \text{Thank you,} \\ \text{and we will follow up.} \\$

COMMISSIONER FRANKEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I am going to call up the first panel. We are organize panels alternating panels in favor of the legislation, panels opposing the legislation. As a rule, I don't like to put the public on a clock, so I ask that you summarize your statements, give us your written testimony--it all goes into the record--so that we can have a conversation that is not--that we don't have added pressure by you having to look at that clock and having it tick down and ding dong when you are in the middle of a statement. I encourage you to do that. I will stop you if you go too long. I don't want to do that either. We have 40 members of the public who have signed up to testify. We will hear from every single one, so we are going to be courteous to each other. If

you disagree do so very quietly. Clapping is
going to be done like this. If you disagree, do
like that, so that we don't interrupt and keep
moving. I will repeat that a couple of times
until people get it. I tend to be pretty mellow
as a chair, but I have another side of me not many
people get to see very often. I don't like to
display it publically. Okay I am calling up Marie
Wilkins, Craig Thompson [phonetic]. Marie is
testifying on her behalf. Craig is from Memorial
Sloan Kettering. We have Daniel McGoldrick
[phonetic], Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids, and as
they make their way up, the next panel that is
going to come up is Chung Sek Lee [phonetic],
Ramon Murphy, Robert Bookman and Jim Calvin
[phonetic]. I know that there are people in the
other room, so please pay attention for your
names. If I don't see people step up, I will go
to the next person, but I will call you again if I
have to. So next is Chang, Ramon, Robert and Jim.
Okay, so pull the mic as close to you as possible,
state your name for the record. You can testify
in whatever order you would like to go in, and if
you gentlemen believe in the chivalry stuff,

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 ladies first. You may begin when you are ready.
3 When the light is on the button--

MARIE WILKINS: Alright. We got it now. Good afternoon. My name is Marie, and I am here today because I am a victim of smoking. I want to make sure others, especially young people, don't become victims too. Even more important I want you, the City Council, to protect young people from becoming victims of big tobacco like I did when I started smoking at age 17. I have Buerger's disease, which is a terrible circulation disease. It stops oxygen and blood from flowing freely through your arteries. This then causes amputations, which I have several--my leg, partial foot, and several of my fingertips. This disease is unbelievably cruel and painful, and it comes from smoking, which is so addictive. Let me give you an example, after my leg was amputated, all I could think about was getting out of the hospital so I could get a cigarette. Now if that is not addiction, I don't know what is. Luckily I got help and I am proud to say it will be seven years May 17th that I will be smoke free. I hope my story helps those that are trying to stop smoking

get help and for those who don't smoke never to
start. Not everyone that smokes will get this
disease, but why take that chance of being that
unlucky one like me, and if a smoker doesn't get
Buerger's disease from smoking, odds are they will
still suffer addiction, lung disease, heart
disease, cancer or some other horrible, painful
disease, and I don't know anyone who hasn't
suffered the loss of someone they love due to
smoking. Mayor Bloomberg is trying to stop the
big tobacco companies from forcing our young
adults and children to see ads, posters and
displays of cigarettes wherever they enter a
store. A section that hides cigarettes all the
way in the back of the store sounds good to me.
After all, out of sight, out of mind. I also
support the idea of raising the age for cigarette
sales to 21 and cracking down to make sure
cigarette smuggling, coupons and promotions don't
make cigarettes affordable for young people. I
endorse these ideas, and I hope you will too.
Let's try to keep cigarettes as far away as we can
and to try to encourage people to see what smoking
really does. Thank you.

2	DR. CRAIG THOMPSON: I'm Doctor
3	Craig Thompson. I am the president and CEO of
4	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, and I
5	thank you, Chair Arroyo, the committee and the
6	Council for the opportunity to testify. Tobacco
7	remains the leading cause of preventable death in
8	America. It accounts for more than 440,000 deaths
9	annually and results in 193 billion dollars of
10	health related economic loss in this country, 96
11	million in direct medical costs and 97 billion in
12	lost productivity. Smoking accounts for at least
13	30 percent of all cancer deaths in America and 80
14	percent of the deaths from lung cancer, which is
15	the leading cause of death in both men and women.
16	To put this in perspective, more than 228,000
17	cases of new lung cancer are diagnosed each year,
18	and over 160,000 Americans die of the disease.
19	Decades of research has linked tobacco use to the
20	development of cancer and life-threatening
21	conditions as we have just heard from Marie,
22	cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Despite
23	widespread agreement on the dangers of tobacco use
24	an estimated 19 percent of adults smoke
25	cigarettes. That is 45 million Americans. In

2012, the surgeon general's report on preventing
tobacco use among youth and young adults
emphasizes that the prevention efforts that focus
on adolescents and young adults are key to
reducing tobacco use. Nearly nine of ten adults
who currently smoke started before the age of 18,
and few start smoking after the age of 25.
Compared to a decade ago, few New Yorkers smoke
and die from smoking related illnesses thanks to
the successful health initiatives initiated
here at this Council. Smoking rates in teenagers
are well under the national average of 18.1
percent. Over the last 15 years, teenage smoking
in New York has dropped from 25 percent 15 years
ago to as we heard from the Commissioner, 8.5
percent today, but there is still room for
improvement. As many as 19,000 New York high
school students still smoke and more than one-
third of them will die prematurely by an average
of 14 years from tobacco use. I believe that
additional efforts such as those pending before
the City Council will help New York City further
reduce its smoking rates. Tobacco experimentation
is often an impulse decision. Reducing the

display of tobacco products in stores where
teenagers shop can be expected to decrease the
impulse to experiment. Raising the age of tobacco
purchases may do the same. One encouraging
example we heard today that I will not recount is
the experience in Needham, Massachusetts. The
city of New York has demonstrated leadership in
mandating smoke free workplaces and public spaces
and tobacco taxation and strong funding for
tobacco prevention and cessation programs,
including the current media campaign to help
people quit. The anti-smoking efforts of New York
have been based on an evidence based tobacco
control program. A key element is helping to
frame tobacco as a public health issue. What does
the evidence show? Smoking cigarettes harms
nearly every organ in the body. It is the leading
cause of at least 18 different types of cancer.
Tobacco is the leading cause of premature and
preventable death in America leading to more
deaths than AIDS, alcohol, car accidents, murders,
suicides, illegal drugs and fires combined, and
smoking is especially harmful to our youth. In
fact unless current smoking rates decline, more

2.

than six million American kids under the age of 18
today will die ultimately of smoking related
diseases. At Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer
Center, our team of physicians, nurses and other
health professionals witness the devastating
consequences of cigarette smoking on a daily
basis. Public health policies save lives. We
stand shoulder to shoulder with the Department of
Health in support the passage of the tobacco
product display restriction bill, the tobacco 21
bill and the sensible tobacco enforcement bill.
Thank you.

DANNY MCGOLDRICK: Good afternoon.

I am Danny McGoldrick. I am vice president for research at the Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids in Washington D.C. Thank you members of the Committee and Chairperson Arroyo for your leadership on this issue as New York City once again leads the way in tackling the tobacco problem, particularly among kids. I am here to speak in strong support of all three bills you are considering to reduce tobacco use in New York City. As you have heard from Commissioner Farley and you will hear from others throughout the day,

the science is strong that these interventions
will in fact reduce smoking particularly among
our kids. As you know, the tobacco companies
spend billions to make their products attractive,
available and affordable to our kids. These bills
will help counter those efforts. We know that
price is one of the most effective ways to reduce
smoking particularly among our kids. The tobacco
companies, everybody agrees with this, and that is
one of the reasons New York has been so
successful, but the tobacco companies' efforts
with coupons and discounting have reduced the
effectiveness of that intervention even as it has
succeeded, so prohibiting discounts and coupon
redemptions, creating a minimum price and pack
sizes and enhancing enforcement to stop untaxed
sales will keep price up and deter kids from
smoking. Similarly the ban on display of tobacco
products will contribute to reducing tobacco use.
Again, billions spent by the tobacco companies to
make sure tobacco products are displayed
prominently in every retail outlet in New York
City and around the country, this makes tobacco
use seem normal, acceptable and even cool to our

young people. Two-thirds of teenagers visit
convenience stores at least once a week. They are
in their vulnerable years, and they are the ones
that are most affected by these displays, so the
display ban will work. I have submitted detailed
testimony on these issues, so I won't go into all
of that, but I wanted to hit just a couple of
issues really quickly before I close.
Commissioner Farley raised the cigar issue. The
package size minimum price and display provisions
will all help address an explosion of small cheap
cigars that are targeting our young people these
days. Even as cigarette sales have declined by
33.8 percent in the last ten years, cigar sales
have nearly doubled and have more than doubled.
And almost all of that is attributable to these
small cigars, some that are really just cigarettes
disguised as cigars as Commissioner Farley
explained by adding tobacco and adding weight.
They clearly target our young people because they
are cheap. They avoid taxes by being cigars
rather than cigarettes, and in fact in New York
cigars are taxed lower at the federal, state and
local level, so the minimum price and pack sizes

2	will help there. These characteristics make them						
3	appealing and affordable to young people. These						
4	are not your grandfather's cigars. They are not						
5	even your father's cigars, and that is not who is						
6	smoking them. It is our children who are smoking						
7	them and these provisions will help fight this						
8	explosion of cheap cigars that are targeting our						
9	kids. On the minimum sale, we know many kids move						
10	from experimentation to regular use between the						
11	ages of 18 to 21. It is a key target of the						
12	tobacco companies who say raising the legal						
13	minimum age for cigarette purchases to 21 can gut						
14	out						
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]						
16	our first victim.						
17	DANNY MCGOLDRICK: 17 to 20 market,						
18	so I urge you to strongly consider all of these						
19	provisions protecting the kids of New York and						
20	again, set an example for the country and the						
21	world. Thank you.						
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. I						
23	apologize. I hate doing that. Please keep it						
24	brief. Council Member Gennaro?						

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you.

I just really wanted to thank this panel for this
very, very compelling testimony, and I am grateful
for all of your efforts in your various capacities
to fight this scourge [phonetic]. Just one quick
questionthis is maybe going a little far field
because it gets to like the federal effort on this
but Dr. Thompson, I presume, would you be aware of
this 12 member committee that was supposedly put
together pursuant to the federal family smoking
prevention and tobacco control and federalthe
whole big name of the bill, and I am wondering
whether or not when the federal government put
this panel together whether Sloan was part of that
or do you know of their activities because it is
the charge of this panel to sort of set the level
of nicotine or to find out that magic level of
nicotine below which it will not be addictive. It
is hard to imagine that the federal government
would try to go forward with something like that
without talking to Sloan Kettering.
DR. CRAIG THOMPSON: So we do not
have a member of the greatific panel that you are

DR. CRAIG THOMPSON: So we do not have a member of the specific panel that you are asking about, Council Member, but we do do and undertake research as part of our effort in public

б

health to understand the carcinogens involved in
tobacco. Tobacco has over 60 chemicals that cause
cancer, and so it is very difficult to get to a
simple answer. They have to be studied each
individually and look at the combined of these
different products that bring togethercause we
have heard from several of the questions of the
council members additional additives that are
added to the product that is tobacco based. We
believe no level of tobacco is effective and so
decreasing the use in our young where you become
addicted is actually the key to all three of these
bills and what we are about, but I do think we
need to set federal standards to make people
understand how great the risk is for each of the
things that are added to tobacco.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you and Dan if you want to speak to this--

DANNY MCGOLDRICK: Yeah, we were very involved in the passage of the tobacco control act and the FDA ha sunder this act the authority to set product standards, which includes reducing nicotine to less than addictive levels, and we know that the center for tobacco products

at the FDA is investing in research so that they get that right, so that they set a standard that works, that smokers don't compensate just because it is reduced a little bit, and so we think this holds great potential, but just like the things you are considering today those efforts need to be based on the science, and the FDA is currently investing heavily in that research.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you, and I will take this opportunity once more also to thank Marie for being here and for your wonderful advocacy and I wish you all the best. I want to thank this panel and thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for being here and sharing your insight with us. I often say to the public and I'll say it a couple more times this afternoon, your insight helps us do what we do better so we thank you for taking the time to come and share that with us. Thank you. Chang, Ramon, Robert and instead of Jim there is Thomas. Right? You guys are lined up, right? So the panel on deck is Tara Narula [phonetic], American Heart Association, Michael Silbak [phonetic], American Lung Association and

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Michelle	Bonan	[phonetic],	American	Cancer.	_	-	•
---	----------	-------	-------------	----------	---------	---	---	---

3 You guys are up next. Okay. I think you guys

4 have done this before. Thank you for being here.

5 As soon as you are ready, you may begin.

6 Summarize your statement for the records please.

7 Speak into the mic; we are being recorded and the

sergeants get kind of ornery when they can't

9 record right.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Thank you very much. My name is Robert Bookman. I am here as counsel to the New York City Newsstand Operators Association. It's a 25 year old trade group that represents the mom and pop newsstand operators on the sidewalks in New York, the smallest of small business owners. We respect the intentions of these bills. We certainly have the greatest respect for you, Madam Chair and Councilman Gennaro, but as long as tobacco remains a legal product and over a million New Yorkers, adult New Yorkers want to use that product, these well intentioned after well-intentioned restrictions only serves to hurt honest business owners by driving business away from them, especially now in this very tough economy and towards black market

sales of tobacco. I want to correct an outrageous
statement that the Commissioner made before when
he said that most illegal cigarettes are being
sold from our 10,000 licensed stores in New York.
That is a libel on our honest supermarkets and
bodegas and sidewalk newsstands. 94 percent of
the undercover inspections in New York were
successful in that no sales to minors were made.
94 percent success rate, compliance rate. So to
the extent that there are illegal cigarettes that
minors are getting, they are not getting it from
our licensed stores. That is for sure. They
seized 7300 cartons. There are millions of
illegal cartons of cigarettes being sold in New
York every day and they are not being sold from
stores. They are being sold from the street.
They are being sold from shopping bags. They are
being sold from organized crime has got into it.
It has been well-documented. They are being sold
from trunks of cars. These bills do nothing to
attack the tsunami of illegal cigarettes out there
that you are only going to add to now by taking
more legal adults who are currently allowed to
smoke and forcing them into the illegal market,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and they are not going to be getting it from us because we do comply with them law as their own statistics show. They are going to be getting them unlawfully and you are going to have to share some of the responsibility for that illegality, for the fake IDs that are going to be used, for the people who are going to be buying it on the sidewalks, for the organized crime that is going to increase and flourish because you are giving them tens of thousands of new customers. The display ban particularly makes no sense, and in fact, will be counterproductive to its stated goal of making tobacco products less visible and attractive. Unlike that beautiful concept that they have where everybody is going to create these beautiful drawers where the tobacco is going to be had, that is not what is going to happen in the real world. In the real world, the least expensive way for us to comply with us is that same wall you saw of cigarette packs is going to be replaced with sliding wood panels, and what do you think is going to go on those sliding wood panels? Do you think they are going to be empty, blank for retailers that use every inch of space?

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 It is going to be a wall of cigarette advertising.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So we should

4 do that next.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Well, fortunately or unfortunately depending on your position we do have something called the first amendment in this country, which also goes to--so you cannot regulate that. The city has tried to regulate tobacco advertising. That is clearly pre-empted by the federal government. You are going to be replacing a wall of cigarettes to the extent that might be attractive or not, I don't know personally, but we are certainly going to replace it with a wall of cigarette advertising which clearly is attractive to individuals. So it is going to be counterproductive. And of course there is going to be gotcha fines. You know, Madam Chair, and you know, Councilman, that what is going to happen is in the busy times there are going to be employees that are opening that sliding wood panel, closing that sliding wood panel, it is going to be open a few inches by mistake, an inspector is going to come in and give you \$1,000 gotcha fine because ten percent of the

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 cigarettes were visible.

3 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Robert, please 4 sum up.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Yes. So in summary, you have my testimony. I think I have reached most of the major points I want to say. I think we need to discuss in an adult fashion that these are legal products like it or not. What is next? No display of cookies or pretzels? 21 to buy a bag of potato chips? I think the argument here is that people don't like the fact that 18 years is an adult in the state of New York for all legal purposes and that perhaps, you want to go to the state of New York to change what an adult is, but I know that I can't even get my college kids' transcripts or bills without their permission because they are over 18. Alright, I'm done. Thank you.

TOM BRYANT: Madam Chair, members of the Committee, my name is Tom Bryant, and I am the executive director and legal counsel for the National Association of Tobacco Outlets, which is a national trade association of stores that sell tobacco products. I am going to discuss two of

25

2	the ordinances, numbers 1021, which has various
3	provisions and then the display ordinance.
4	Regarding 1021 that ordinance requires that
5	cigarettes be sold at a minimum per pack price of
6	\$10.50; however, there is currently a New York
7	State law that sets a minimum cigarette pack price
8	statewide, and the minimum pack price under that
9	state law is \$10.72higher than the proposed city
10	law. Since
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: The provision
12	is on cigars, not cigarettes.
13	TOM BRYANT: I believe the
14	provision includes both cigarettes and little
15	cigars.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We won't
17	debate it, but go ahead.
18	TOM BRYANT: Since the minimum
19	price in New York City's ordinance is less than
20	the state minimum price for cigarettes, the city
21	ordinance would be contrary to state law and
22	unnecessary because it is already higher at the
23	state level. Regarding the coupon ban and then

the prohibition on multi-pack products, the

proposed law would ban the redemption of all

б

tobacco product coupons and then like multi-pack
buy one get one free offers; however, the city of
Providence, Rhode Island, did the same thing in
January of 2012banned coupons and multi-packs.
That ordinance is currently under a federal
lawsuit that is at the U.S. circuit court of
appeals for the first circuit. One of the main
claims in that lawsuit is that federal law, called
the federal cigarette labeling and advertising
act, preempts any locale or state from adopting a
prohibition on advertising or promotion of
cigarettes, and since every federal court that has
looked at what constitutes promotion under that
law has determined that couponing and multi-pack
sales constitute promotion then we would ask you
to defer any action on those parts of the
ordinance until the U.S. circuit court of appeals
rules on the Providence case because it would be
very applicable here. Finally, on the display
ordinance it bans all tobacco to completely in
tobacco retail stores. That violates the first
amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which
protects the right to advertise legal products.
It is called commercial speech. It is the way

manufacturers and retailers speak to their
customers. Now the package of a product according
to the U.S. Supreme Court is a form of
advertising so the proposed display ban violates
that constitutional right because it eliminates
the package from the public view. In 2001, the
U.S. Supreme Court issued a decision in the case
of Tobacco Company versus Riley. The Supreme
Court rules and I quote "so long as the sale and
use of tobacco is lawful for adults, the tobacco
industry has a protected interest in communicating
information about its products and adult customers
have an interest in receiving that information."
By requiring under the ordinance all packaging to
be out of view, that display ban stifles the
communication that retailers have with their
customers and it fails to adhere to the first
amendment constitutional protections for
advertising, so for those reasons, we ask you to
either defer or postpone action or not consider it
because of the legality or potential
unconstitutionality. Thank you.
RAMON MURPHY: Good afternoon. My

name is Ramon Murphy. I am the president of the

Bodega Association of the United States. I am
also an owner to a bodega bodega almost 30
years. All is fine because we understand
cover the cigarettes that is not a solution
because Joe Camel is for 50 years and keeping
smoking that means advertising is not a real
problem. Hiding cigarettes we don't think that is
going to resolve the problem because the kids
smoking and they are going to ask. We believe
that the bigger problem we have is two components-
-number one, cigarettes is easy to
underage to get cigarettes because they can
afford it, and also it is easy to go to the black
market and get a pack of cigarettes for six
dollars even store if you are going, you are
going to pay \$12 for a packet, and I am going to
ask you for ID. I can give you one example
four weeks an inspector went into my store to try
to push , but they cannot get it. When I
he said, okay, because you don't sell the
cigarettes. You know what? I go outside, and I
say listen, why don't you markets in look, he
sells cigarettes, he sells cigarettes and he sells
cigarettes. Why you don't go to him? Oh no, I

can't do it because I need orders. I need so many
things. I said, okay, you come into my store, I
pay for the license, I do the legal ways to do
things and you come to me. Those people outside,
they are illegal, they sell cigarettes. They
don't ask for ID and they push to minors to
smoking and you don't do nothing. Two weeks
later, I spoke to the police and my store, and
I said you know what, they sell cigarettes outside
in front of my store. Why don't you busy them?
And they told me, no, we can't do it. We need an
order that proposal 90 percent the sale
to the and second, we would have more underage
smoking because it is going to be easy to ,
and also we are going to get more fines. I think
it is unfair because somebody go into my store and
ask for a pack of cigarettes, coffee and bread and
then maybe when I close the door, and they
gave me a \$1,000 fine. I am agreeing to just come
to educate our community, educating our business
people and for the real just giving fines
to us, and also, if we want to stop it, we can
stop it. The mayor says that is maybe for him
because he is main street with Wall Street. That

is what we have. The Association wants to just
come together and say let's educate our people.
Let's stop young people from smoking. And also
when you drink beers outside they give a fine to
you for \$25. Why if you get a minor with a
cigarette why you no give a fine too, and that we
can make it balanced. You are going to give me
for \$1,000, give one fine to him they are
going to ask you, my father is smoking, you know
what, I can never touch the cigarette. I have a
kid. They got into my store. They know they can
sell a beer Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Council Member Gennaro?

just want to thank the panel for its very compelling testimony as I am going to stay for the entire hearing, and I will give all of your testimony very due consideration, and I appreciate you bringing it to my attention. We don't want to--we just have to have an eye on unintended consequences and I think this panel brings that to light and we appreciate you being here very much.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: And we would like

2	to work with you on the issue of unintended
3	consequences.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: We always
5	work with you, Robert.
6	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We end up in a
7	place where we can get as comfortable as anybody
8	can with anything that we do. I am missing a
9	panelistChung Lee? You should be up here with
10	these guys. Sergeant, can I have another chair,
11	please? Thank you. We have Tara, Michael and
12	Michelle on deck, right? Go ahead, Mr. Lee.
13	CHUNG LEE: I start now? Okay.
14	Good afternoon. My name is Chung Sek Lee
15	[phonetic]. I am the president of Korean American
16	Association of New York. We represent
17	approximately 4,000 stores in the New York
18	Metropolitan area. I am here today to order you
19	to oppose these new regulations, which will impose
20	new cost on our members and subject them to more
21	fines. I am sorry I am not perfect speaking
22	English. Understand me.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: You are doing
24	just fine.
25	CHUNG LEE: Our members are in

CHUNG LEE: Our members are - - in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pursuit of the American dream and they work hard day after day selling legal products in compliance with existing laws and regulations; however, it is harder and harder to keep up with the multitude of - - regulations at the federal, state and the city level, and it is making it difficult to continue to operate in New York City. We work very, very hard successfully to stop underage tobacco sales, but if a mistake is made and for example, we don't have the most recent sign up, we get fined. new - - requirements and fines as high as \$10,000. We cannot afford that. On top of that, the proposal requires to make - - to hide tobacco products. Why do we have to hide tobacco products? We are very proud of our business, and we buy legal products. We sell legal products. This kind of regulation hurts small businessmen mine. And the second thing, not only is there a cost to comply with this, but it will cause us to lose sales. Our customers come in each day to buy not only tobacco products, but also milk, bread, and other items. If they do not see the products they want to buy, they will leave and take their business elsewhere. We lose customers. These

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

customers may then go and they buy tobacco on the corner or through other illegal means. bad for New York and it is unfair to our stores that must compete with illegal sellers. - - the cost of doing business continues to grow in New York City and our stores close, who will provide groceries and - - we operate in and how will our members earn livelihood and pursue the American dream? How will they build up business they can pass on to their children? - - the city seemed to want to help small businesses like ours by removing unnecessary regulations and reducing the fines that struggling small business in the city. Less than two weeks later, you have proposed these measures despite the high rate of compliance with existing laws preventing tobacco sales to minors. As small business owners, our members share the mayor's goal of preventing minors from smoking and work hard every day to comply with the law, but we strongly believe this measure will help for the -- and could in fact make the issue worse. It is -- like these that force responsible compliance with business creating new opportunities for the illegal sellers to fill the void. We urge the

2.

much.

City Council to look to w	ays to help legitimate
businesses to today's	difficult economic
climate, not burden them	with additional
mandates that do not serv	e their intended purpose.
Please oppose these measu:	res. Thank you very

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

Thank you for your testimony. Okay. Coming up after the three As, we have another panel. Jay Peltz [phonetic], Arthur Katz [phonetic]. And David Schwartz [phonetic]. So we have David, Arthur and Jay on deck. Okay. Welcome. Thank you for being here. You know the drill. Go ahead. When the light is on, the mic is on.

MICHELLE BONAN: Thank you. Thank you, Chair Arroyo, Council Member Gennaro, members. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Michelle Bonan and I represent the American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, a non-partisan, non-profit advocacy affiliate of the American Cancer Society and we are so pleased today to be able to speak in support of a package of legislation that once again places New York City at the cutting edge in curbing tobacco use.

Tobacco is the leading cause of cancer death in
New York, and it is estimated that more than
11,000 New Yorkers will die from cancers caused by
smoking this year. I think Speaker Quinn said it
best earlier. New York City has shown
unparalleled leadership in understanding the way
to combat tobacco use and you have laid a strong
policy foundation on which to build. We have just
celebrated ten years of a smoke free air act. We
have the highest tobacco tax in the nation. We
have one of the finest tobacco control programs in
the country, and numerous other supportive
legislation, but despite these successful efforts
to discourage tobacco use, the tobacco industry
continues to spend \$196 million a year marketing
in New York encouraging adults and children to buy
more of their products. Tobacco companies
appropriately face multiple restrictions on how
they can promote their products and reach their
consumers. You can't advertise on TV, radio or
the internet. The master settlement agreement
keeps tobacco off of billboards and out of most
magazines and because of those limitations the
tobacco industry has poured resources into the

retail environment to the tune of \$10.5 billion
and 90 percent of that annual marketing dollar is
spent in the retail environment, and there is a
growing body of evidence that indicates that
tobacco advertising in stores is influencing
children to become smokers. I have submitted more
detailed testimony with lots of research. I am
not going to share it all right now. Right now
the retail marketing consists of these large eye
catching tobacco displays called power walls.
They are usually directly behind the cash register
in proximity to snack foods and candies and they
are ubiquitous in convenience stores and small
groceries around the city. The marketing strategy
is successful, and we have heard it before but I
just want to say again there is a clear
association between youth exposure to retail
tobacco promotion and the risk of taking up
smoking. I also just wanted to point out an
earlier panelist mentioned the lawsuit in
Providence, and I just wanted to share that while
that is being appealed, Providence won that
lawsuit on every count. Youth who shop in
convenience stores filled with tobacco

advertisements are twice as likely to start
smoking. The good news is there is something we
can do about this problem. Intro 1020 will reduce
or eliminate visibility, not availability of
tobacco products in retail stores and help reduce
youth exposure. I want to emphasize again the
bill does not prohibit retailers from
communicating information about the product to
their customers. They can do that. It doesn't
necessarily have to be a costly way of hiding the
products. They are able to do it in whichever way
they would like. A recent poll as you heard
earlier shows that this is extremely popular with
New York City residents. 68 percent of New York
City voters support keeping cigarettes out of
sight to discourage youth from smoking. While we
have done terrific work in reducing youth smoking
rates, they have remained stagnant since 2007 so
we have got to do more. New York City we believe
is the appropriate venue for this. I also just
want to quickly say that we would strongly support
Intro 1020. Tax evasion makes it easier for cheap
tobacco to get in the hands of youth and it is
costing the city and the state hundreds of

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Please wrap up.

MICHELLE BONAN: I will wrap up quickly just by saying that also proposed Intro 250-A raising the minimum age to 21 research is showing that it is likely to work and New York City is the appropriate place to try something like that given the strong foundation you all have built in tobacco control. Thank you very much.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. TARA NARULA: Good afternoon.

I am Dr. Tara Narula, the associate director for the cardiac care unit at Lennox Hill Hospital, and I am here representing the American Heart Association. Thank you, Chair Arroyo and members of the Council Committee on Health for the Opportunity to provide testimony regarding the three proposed introductions addressing tobacco control here in New York City. In deference to everyone's time we have submitted lengthier written comments, but I want to highlight just a few components of the AHA's support of these three bills. The mission of the American Heart Association is to build healthier lives free of cardiovascular diseases and stroke. diseases remain our nation's number one and number four causes of mortality while stroke is a leading cause of disability and tobacco use persists as the leading preventable cause of heart disease and stroke in our country. Indications of heart disease such as atherosclerosis, hypertension, increased tendency for blood clotting, cholesterol problems as well as a decreased exercise tolerance are all directly tied to tobacco use and cigarette

2	smoking produces a greater relative risk in
3	persons under age 50 than in those over 50. New
4	York City should be applauded for its steadfast
5	focus to reduce tobacco use within its borders.
6	We believe the city has made great progress on the
7	three most impactful elements to curb tobacco use-
8	-clean indoor air, excise taxes and prevention and
9	cessation funding. Given this solid foundation we
10	believe that it is certainly warranted that city
11	leaders focus their attention on new policy
12	interventions that strengthen our efforts and can
13	build upon the existing science in support of
14	tobacco control policies. Overall, the American
15	Heart Association is supportive of these three
16	proposals and is eager to use this opportunity to
17	deepen the body of research that would indicate a
18	public health benefit to these policies. The AHA
19	values New York's leadership in conducting rebus
20	surveillance and evaluation of innovative public
21	health policy and expects a similar commitment
22	with these policies as they are implemented. The
23	AHA supports Intro 1020 because the more tobacco
24	marketing and displays are presented at retail
25	locations the greater the potential for impulse

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

purchasing thereby making it harder for smokers to quit. Additionally, tobacco displays have a tremendous impact on our youth with a direct corollary between tobacco marketing and stores and smoking initiation. The AHA supports Intro 1021 because research indicates that for every ten percent increase in the real price of cigarettes, it reduces overall cigarette consumption by approximately three to five percent, lowers the number of young adult smokers by three and a half percent and cuts the number of kids who smoke by six to seven percent. With New York City's own tax rate at \$1.50 coupled with the state rate of 4.35 public health in New York City should be benefitting from the highest tax rate in the nation. Unfortunately, there is a concern that the city's high tax rate is being undermined by illegal sales and the permitted use of coupons and other retail pricing schemes. The AHA supports Intro 250-A. The AHA believes it is reasonable for the city to address the rate of youth smoking by redefining the legal age to purchase these products. Alarmingly 90 percent of individuals currently purchasing cigarettes for minors are

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	reported	to	be	between	18	and	20	years	of	age.

3 Thank you very much for your time.

4 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. I am 5 sorry, but the clock is going on.

MICHAEL SILBAK: Good afternoon.

My name is Michael Silbak. I am the vice president for public policy and communications for the American Lung Association of the Northeast. Thanks for having us here today. This suite of bills will help prevent New York kids from succumbing to a deadly addiction. The science is clear. This legislation will save lives and help counteract big tobacco's never ending efforts to find replacement smokers for the thousands who die from tobacco every year. You have heard from the commissioners, you have heard from my colleagues and you will hear from many experts today, but I was hoping to veer a little off, and tell a story of a major reason why I do the work that I do. My grandpa, Sy Beckerman [phonetic], like most people born in the 1930s was a smoker. He smoked regularly as a young adult and through his 20s. As he got older and raised his family, he drastically cut back on smoking, but still spent

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his 40s and 50s sneaking a cigarette here or there. I remember when I was a child visiting their apartment in Forest Hills. We would sometimes discover a hidden pack of cigarettes in one of his coat pockets while we were playing hide and seek, which we would quickly run and show my grandma, and then we would all break them in half and throw them out. My grandpa finally quit for good when he was in his late 50s. When I was 13 years old, my parents sat my brothers and I down and told us that our grandpa had been diagnosed with stage four lung cancer. At that age, I had a lot of questions and had trouble understanding exactly what that diagnosis meant for my grandpa who I was so close with. I distinctly remember sitting next to my grandpa as his body had already begun to be ravaged by his cancer. He looked me in the eyes and he said, don't worry about me. am going to beat this. I gave him a hug and a kiss and I went outside to play. Unfortunately my grandpa's battle with lung cancer was short. From the time of his diagnosis, through chemo and radiation and his untimely death at the age of 62, it was just six months. This story, my grandpa's

2	story, Councilman Gennaro's story, they are far
3	too common. Too many people start smoking at a
4	young age and then face a lifetime of addiction,
5	which ends up not only affecting them, but the
6	many people that love them. While we have made
7	great progress in the 20 years since my grandpa
8	died, I implore you to pass this suite of bills so
9	that we have less stories like this and more kids,
10	parents and grandparents and even great
11	grandparents who could live longer healthier lives
12	together. The Lung Association strongly supports
13	all three of these public health bills. I
14	strongly support all three of these public health
15	bills. Please pass them.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
17	Council Member, any questions?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I just
19	said amen. I thought that was the thing to say,
20	and thank you Michael for your tribute.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I have a panel
22	on deck. I don't see anyone moving though. We
23	have Jay, Arthur and David. Are you here? Yes,
24	okay. And the panel coming up after will be
25	Stephen Allen [phonetic] and Dr. Kurt Ribisl

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[phonetic]. I am sorry. You will correct your
name for the record when you come up. Turn on the
mic.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: I am David Schwartz, and I represent the New York Association of Grocery Stores. Well, it's the great Houdini again at work. There is magic in the air in this body and as well as the Mayor's Office because if anyone believes in this city that in 2002 we sold 42 million cartons of cigarettes a year, and now in 2013 we are selling seven million cartons of cigarettes a year, I have heard all the accolades. I have read this statement, which talks about the high cigarette taxes reducing tobacco among adults. I have heard everything and if you believe that I have a bridge to sell you right across over there. This is a joke. This is an attack on small business. This is an assault on our grocery stores and this attack and assault needs to be stopped. We have legislation after legislation after legislation, regulation after regulation after regulation that has no other effect but to assault small business in the city and thousands of stores across the state have

closed up because of these wonderful policies.
When the mayor raised cigarette taxes 1776 percent
in 2002, do you really believe that 50 percent of
New Yorkers stopped smoking? Do you really
believe that? Does anyone in their right mind of
any kind of logic or common sense whatsoever would
they actually believe that 50 percent of New
Yorkers just stopped smoking overnight? This
defies logic. All these policies defies logic.
Every single one of them over the past 12 years
defies logic, and we hear story after story after
story. Someone ought to look at the American
Cancer Society when they testify here when 85
percent of their money doesn't even go towards a
treatment cure of cancer. Someone ought to
challenge them on that. If anyone wants to give
money, they raise a billion dollars a year. If
anyone wants to give money to fight cancer, I have
a whole bunch of private labs and private people
that will gladly accept your money that actually
are researching a cure and prevention of cancer.
What a joke that they spew their nonsense on this
body and other bodies across the country. These
laws are horrible for New York. They are horrible

2	for the citizens of New York, and sir, I
3	understand the amen that you said before, but you
4	certainly weren't looking at it from a standpoint
5	of small business. I understand that there are
6	health issues involved with smoking
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:
8	[interposing] I was raised in a small business
9	family. You don't have to lecture me
10	DAVID SCHWARTZ: I was too. This
11	is my time, sir. Can I have more time?
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Just a second.
13	I am going to ask you to do one thing. First,
14	calm down. We are in a very dignified public
15	forum. There is no need for your attacks.
16	[crosstalk]
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Wait just a
18	second. I can handle him. I got this. Chill.
19	Okay. Lower your voice and let's have a dignified
20	conversation.
21	DAVID SCHWARTZ: And I agree. It
22	is hard to when we have a hearing by a body, we
23	are supposed to hear from New Yorkers and there is
24	about 30 people that could fit in the room when
25	you are waiting downstairs on a line that is

б

wrapping around the building. I apologize for
that. But I am just trying to speak on behalf of
the New Yorkers hat I represent, small businesses
grocery stores, mom and pop shop, people who are
trying to live the American dream by selling a
legal product and for this body and the mayor to
assault these businesses and to drive the biggest
black market in the history of the city of New
York, rivaling the drug market, that is
unconscionable, and I am sorry I raised my voice
'cause I have a lot of respect for you, Chairman
Arroyo, but this is a joke. I am done.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. I hope you take a page from that book, and don't do that, and for those of you who are coming up to testify against we are here to listen to everyone. There is no reason for disrespect and to get into a nasty back and forth. That is not why we are here.

JAY PELTZ: Thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's public hearing.

My name is Jay Peltz, and I am the vice president of public affairs for the Food Industry Alliance of New York State. The FIA is a non-profit, trade

association that promotes the interest state wide
of New York's grocery stores, drugstores and
convenience stores. Our members include chain and
independent food retailers that account for a
significant share of New York City's retail food
market and the wholesalers that supply them as
well as drug stores and convenience stores. Many
of our members are small businesses struggling to
survive as we approach the end of the fourth full
year of the weakest of 11 post war recoveries. As
a result, weak consumer spending has become the
new normal. In turn, unemployment remains
stubbornly high in the city at 8.9 percent in
March 2013. On top of that an era of regulatory
overreach continues. As noted in April 14 th
article in Crains New York Business, "despite lip
service about small business being the city's and
the country's economic backbone, entrepreneurs are
reeling from the slew of new rules and regulations
enacted at every level of government. Obama care
and paid sick leave are both slated to go into
effect in 2014. Last month state legislators
increased New York's minimum wage. Additionally,
the City Council enacted the nation's broadest

statute giving perspective employees the right to
sue for not being hired, and last week the Council
held a hearing on a bill that will bar employers
from using credit checks in hiring." Separately
any of these new mandates may have been
manageable; however, having all of them take
effect within a relatively short timeframe has
heightened anxiety for employers already dealing
with the high cost and bureaucratic hurdles in
doing business in New York City. Given this
economic and policy context, these three bills
taken together would further hurt our members,
especially our small business members. All three
were designed to cause legitimate sellers of a
legal product to fully informed adult consumers to
suffer losses of sales and customer traffic.
Consequently profits will decline creating the
possibility of cuts to jobs and hours and for what
public policy benefit? As a scholar from the Cato
[phonetic] Institute recently noted in
jurisdictions that impose high taxes and other
pricing and sales restrictions "consumers are more
likely to go to illegal, untaxed retailers for
their tobacco needs." In addition, because the

proposed legislation would limit the choices	of
fully informed adult consumers an increase of	-
price of legitimate tobacco products offered	by
city retailers those consumers would be incer	nted
to visit legitimate competitors outside city	
limits, on the Internet or in Native American	1
outlets in search of their preferred brands a	ıt.
lower prices. An uneven playing field would	thus
be created where city retailers would lose sa	ales,
city taxpayers would have to make up the lost	tax
revenue and sales of tobacco products could m	nerely
shift rather than decline. According to repo	orting
under the amendment this is regarding	
compliance, the national weighted average ret	ailer
violation rate has plummeted from 40.1 percer	nt in
'97 to a record low 8.5 percent in 2011. In	New
York the retailer violation rate was even low	ver
reported at 5.6 percent. This data combined	with
a weak economy that is causing retailers,	
especially small retailers to struggle sugges	sts
that the imposition of substantial fines is	
unwarranted especially if there is no willful	<u>L</u>
misconduct or the violator is not a repeat	
offender. The legislation that would raise t	he

minimum legal purchase age to 21 is misguided.
Very few teens buy cigarettes at a retail outlet.
Reportedly 95 percent of teens get their tobacco
from friends or family. In addition research
shows that none of the reasons for adolescent
smoking relate to the legal age for buying
cigarettes. According to this "social
determinance [phonetic] of health approach" youth
smoking is primarily the result of lower incomes,
poor academic achievement, satisfaction with
school/inadequate schools, dysfunctional family
relationships, whether or not parents and siblings
smoke and peer pressure. The persuasiveness of
the social determinance approach led to its
adoption by the World Health Organization as the
basis for its tobacco control program. The City
Council should follow this approach as well.
Finally, we believe that the proposed tobacco
display ban is unconstitutional as preempted under
federal and state law. The first amendment
protects the communication of truthful and non-
misleading commercial messages about lawful
products. The supreme court has stated that those
protections apply to communications about tobacco

products. A retailer is to display a product for
sale with which its package bearing the
manufacturers trademarks and trade dress is core
commercial speech protected by the first
amendment. In addition courts have felt that
coupons and discounts constitute protected
commercial speech. Regarding preemption the
federal cigarette labeling and advertising
includes states and localities from prohibiting or
otherwise regulating the advertising and promotion
of cigarettes. Moreover, while New York State law
prohibits certain forms of tobacco product
couponing and discounting other forms of couponing
and discounting are expressly permitted thus
according to the New York Attorney General New
York State Law preempts cities from restricting
tobacco product discounting in a manner permitted
by state law. Accordingly, the Food Industry
Alliance on behalf of its members opposes adoption
of these three bills. Thank you for your time and
attention to the FIA's concerns.

ARTHUR KATZ: My name is Arthur Katz, and I am the representative of the Statewide Association of Cigarette Tax Collection Agents and

bear witness daily to the tragedy of the Mayo	or's
policy of having created the highest cigarett	ce
taxation in the nation while allowing massive	2
cheating in an atmosphere of non-enforcement.	. It
is costing the city mega millions in lost rev	<i>r</i> enue
and lost jobs basically from first generation	ı
immigrants and their employees, that includes	s the
Koreans, the Chinese, the Pakistanis, the Inc	dians,
and anybody else. They stand on their feet.	If
you are in this kind of business, they stand	on
their feet from six in the morning until abou	ıt 11
or 12 o'clock at night just trying to eke out	: a
living mega millions and lost jobs and	
frustration health initiatives and making it	
impossible for honest storekeepers to compete	e a
policy that has endured to the benefit only of	of
criminals and just as importantly terrorists.	•
Please find the enclosed articles which I have	<i>т</i> е
included in here, the Great Houdini New York	Post
article refuting high taxes reduces smoker,	
Brooklyn DA press release The Illegal Cigaret	ite
Market: The Case of the South Bronx and our	
Recommendations. In the south Bronx a recent	-
study not sponsored by the Health Department	nor

sponsored by industry by sponsored by Columbia
College found that 70 percent or more of all
cigarettes smoked were not those purchased legally
in New York State. That is a real serious
problem. I have an extensive background in law
enforcement before I retired and took this job.
Mayor Bloomberg's slight of hand approach to
cigarette policy is costing the city hundreds of
millions in lost revenue and jobs while failing to
decrease either teenage smoking or inner city
usage. The several articles attached herein
confirm that which both the trade and unbiased law
enforcement has known for years. Taxes can only
raise revenue if people pay them. The Mayor's
prolific tax policy of 1,875 percent during his
first five months in office became only the
catalyst for criminals and terrorists to flock to
New York and cause illegal untaxed cigarettes to
become ubiquitous and if you go to the south Bronx
and other places you will find the packages
crumpled up all over the street, none of them
bearing a tax or any other indices that tax was
paid. While the national smoking rate has
decreased by 27 percent, not 30 some odd percent

б

in the past 12 years because of greater individual
health awareness and local restrictions, the rate
of decline of taxes purchases in New York City
during the administration has fallen 83 percent
42 million cartons in 2001 and seven million
cartons in 2013. That is not a realistic number
for it to drop like that, and the only way that we
know that and the mayor knows that or anybody else
knows that is by taxed [phonetic] purchases, not
what is coming in illegally from Virginia, from
Carolina, from Jersey, from Pennsylvania and even
Connecticut as well as the Indian reservations.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Arthur, please. I am going to ask you to wrap up.

ARTHUR KATZ: What I would like to say is that we need a greater effort in enforcement to enforce the illegal sales of cigarettes coming into New York City and they are being sold primarily on the streets despite what anybody tells you, but anybody in law enforcement will tell you that is really is on the street is that they are selling it from the trunks of their cars, from inside their buildings and from backpacks and right in front of the stores that

are trying to sell it legally. We need some kind
of law enforcement approach. We also may consider
special tobacco tax court, establishment of that
so that when you go to court with five cartons of
cigarettes that some kid was selling or three
cartons from his backpack, it will get attention.
No judge in New York City, perhaps in New York
City what are you picking on that kid for?
You want somebody who is dedicated, that
understand the problem.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, Arthur.

I am going to interrupt you, and then say that we will follow up with you for your thinking round that particular issue in particular. I really do appreciate your input. Council Member--

ARTHUR KATZ: [interposing] Let me tell you just one more thing about my background.

I was an inspector in New York City Police

Department - - and its predecessor, so I understand something about this and the problem and where it leads.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: thank you, Mr. Katz. I certainly support you and certainly do salute all of your efforts on behalf of law

2	enforcement like the career you had before this,
3	and the very compelling testimony that you make
4	regarding how we may be creating some consequences
5	that we didn't want to make and we thank you for
6	being here today, and Mr. Peltz, we have always
7	worked very closely with the Food Industry
8	Alliance, and I appreciate your being here. I'd
9	recommend to the New York City Association of
LO	Grocery Stores that they get another
11	representative that is not going to come in and
12	insult
13	DAVID SCHWARTZ: [interposing] That
L4	is insulting. I think the people of the city of
15	New York should get another Councilman who doesn't
L6	weigh in before the testimony is.
L7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Excuse me.
18	Excuse me. We are done.
L9	[background conversation]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Good bye.
21	[background conversation]
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Stephen Allen-
23	-I pronounce ph as an F. I am sorry. That's
24	because English my second language, and Dr. Kurt
25	Ribisl. On deck we have Jim Calvin, Sung Su Kim

2	[phonetic]	and Ralph	Bombardiere	[phonetic]
---	------------	-----------	-------------	------------

3 Okay. When the light is on the mic it's on. Try

4 not to have both of them on at the same time,

5 otherwise we get feedback. Handle by the base.

Okay. When you are ready.

DR. KURT RIBISIL: Great. Thank

you very much. My name is Dr. Kurt Ribisl. I am

professor at the UNC School of Public Health. I

am also a--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:

[interposing] Doctor, if you just talk into the microphone, we can hear you better. Just right into the microphone like I am now. Closer.

DR. KURT RIBISIL: My name is Dr.

Kurt Ribisil. I am a professor at the UNC School
of Public Health. I am also a member of the FDA

Tobacco Product Scientific Advisory Committee. I
have researched tobacco control policy issues for
18 years, and in New York City your smoking rates
have pretty much started to stagnate. You now
have to take your program to the next level to
make another reduction. That next frontier is the
point of sale, and so far, all of these three
policies that you are talking about are going to

focus on the point of sale, and that is where the
tobacco industry is spending the lion's share of
their efforts. They spend over 86 percent of
their marketing budget at the point of sale, and
they also are spending over one million dollars
per hour at stores. So why are they spending this
money there? It is for who they can reach. They
can reach kids, smokers and people who have quit
to tempt them back into relapsing. A CDC study
that was just published in April found that 87
percent of high school students reported seeing
tobacco ads in stores. I recently did a study
that showed that 50 percent of stores have tobacco
ads three or below, which is the eye level of a
small child. These displays are big. In fact,
this is actually a life size 32 square foot image-
-this is again the size of about two
refrigerators, so the average tobacco retailer in
New York City has about 32 square feet of display
space. If you times this by the 10,000 tobacco
retailers, you would actually be able to entirely
cover the front of the Empire State Building.
There is that much display space in your city.
Now the tobacco companies don't just prey on kids.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They also focus on vulnerable populations. Smoking rates are about 50 percent higher for people who are below the poverty line than people who are above the poverty line. In a national study that was funded by the National Institutes of Health that I am leading we found that Marlboro and Newport cigarettes are about a dollar cheaper in poor neighborhoods. We also see that they have targeted diverse groups such as African Americans. In our study we found in neighborhoods that had very few African Americans about 60 percent of the stores had a price promotion. In neighborhoods with a high percentage of African Americans, about 80 percent has a price promotion, so you see that they are more likely to put these price promotions in African American neighborhoods, and that is one of the things that these policies will address because by banning the price discounting you will not have the tobacco industry have the ability to restrict, to target minority communities any longer. In the comments you will also see that I have attached the whole series of initiatives such as the black marketing task force, the - - market program and so forth that the tobacco companies

Go ahead.

have done so that they can market point of sale in
these communities. My final point has to do with
price and that when price goes up, consumption
goes down and by banning the price discounting and
couponing and other things, and also the free
cigarettes where they will have buy one get one
free, you will actually see significant public
health impact from that. So I think it's a
fantastic set of policies that are being
considered here, and in my comments I say I have
an explanation of a study I did at Columbia
University showing they won't hurt business. So
smoking rates have been dropping and retail
employment has been flat, and so they are not
going to hurt business as you heard mistakenly
today. Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I understand
that you came all the way up from North Carolina
just to provide this testimony.
DR. KURT RIBISL: I did. I have
waited 18 years for a day like this. This is
exciting Vou guys are making history here. Okay

STEPHEN ALLEN: Good afternoon. My

name is Stephen Allen. I am a sixth generation
Bronx resident, home owner, taxpayer, and proud
small business owner. I am here today to speak on
behalf of my parents' small business Tri-Edy's
Deli [phonetic]. Tri-Edy's Deli is located in the
Woodlawn section of the Bronx, and has been in
business for over 34 years. because of economic
downturn and other circumstances many of the old
mom and pop stores on our avenue have closedthe
butcher, the tailor, the candy store, the five and
dime, the stationery store are all gone. These
stores have been replaced with I call them 24 hour
bazaars. I went to business school for
accounting, understand the concepts of capitalism
and competition. I celebrate both those
principles and I think capitalism and fair
competition is what makes our nation and city
prosper. We are here today to hear testimony on
proposed changes to tobacco laws in New York City.
The first two aspects of the law relate to
changing the age requirements from 18 to 21 and
the display of cigarettes. As a deli owner and
New York City tobacco retailer, I am not opposed
to any of these proposed changes. I do not

believe that changing the age requirements or
taking the ability to display cigarettes will
affect our business in any way. Our business is
not made on cigarettes. In fact, in the current
landscape we operate in, the actions of our direct
competitors of ours and the proliferation of
illegal, trafficked, unstamped cigarettes sold by
our competitors in our neighborhood has ruined the
business my parents have worked so hard to build.
For 34 years my parents have done one thing; they
have played by the rules. The majority of other
shops in my neighborhood have not been playing by
the rules for some time now. A majority of the
other stores in our neighborhood sell illegal,
unstamped cigarettes, which are brought in from
other states and sold to consumers at a reduced
cost as compared to a legitimate New York City
tobacco retailer like ourselves. These unjust
retailers pay as little as \$30 for a carton of
cigarettes and sell them to the consumer for \$9 a
pack. That amounts to a \$60 per carton profit
going directly into the retailer's pocket, cash
untaxed and New York State and City excise tax
evaded. My parents on the other hand pay \$112 for

a single carton of cigarettes, and they sell them
for 11.50. We make three dollars on the total
carton of cigarettes selling them legally while
these criminals are making six dollars per pack
evading all the taxes. After two years of
fighting this, there is still no accountability.
Five to seven years ago when our prices on
cigarettes were on par with other stores in our
neighborhood, we used to sell upwards of 150 to
200 cartons of cigarettes a week. We now sell
eight cartons of cigarettes a week. I know as a
result of costs, health concerns and various
initiatives put forth by the city and the Health
Department people are smoking less than they were
five or so years go; however, knowing my
neighborhood the one I grew up my entire life in,
my neighborhood is still a very heavy smoker
neighborhood. My friends, my neighbors and former
customers now shop elsewhere at those retailers
selling illegal unstamped cigarettes. As I
previously mentioned before, our business has
never been made on cigarettes rather our
reputation, our relationship with our customers
and the quality product we are able to deliver.

The proliferation of illegal cigarettes made
readily available by retailers in my neighborhood
not playing by the rules has cost us customers,
customers who without an uneven playing field will
never return and shop with us. What people fail
to realize is we are not losing just because the
customer will not buy a pack of cigarettes from
us, we lose on the whole sale. The customer who
went into that store now buys a pack of
cigarettes, a loaf of bread, a gallon of milk,
cold cuts, and that is the true impact. Five to
seven years ago we never really made money on
cigarettes selling 150 to 200 cartons a week. My
family doesn't look at those cigarettes as lost
profit, but true indicator of sheer volume of the
amount of customers and shoppers we have lost as a
result of the regulatory and enforcement
environment we are in. The truth is in the
numbers and as a result of losing many of our
loyal customers to these other retailers not
playing by the rules conservatively our sales are
down 40 to 50 percent as compared to five to seven
years ago.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Please sum it

б

2 up.

3 STEPHEN ALLEN: Alright.

Throughout all areas of the city local businesses that play by the rules are being ruined by these criminal operations selling cigarettes.

Businesses lose, neighborhoods lose and most importantly the city and state lose out. I implore City Council to pass all aspects of this law.

11 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,
12 Stephen.

STEPHEN ALLEN: Thank you.

thank both of you. This is a wonderful panel for coming all the way up from Carolina and the testimony that was very, very compelling and will help us, particularly like the business things that you were talking about. Mr. Allen, coming from a small business family, which my father ran for 40 years, I certainly salute you for coming forward and I think one of the takeaways for this hearing from me is the need, like whether or not we do these laws or not, which I think we will or I hope we will that we have to do something to

2	protect small business from people who are not
3	playing by the rules and flouting the law and
4	taking profit out of the pockets of people who are
5	playing by the rules. Great testimony. Really
6	appreciate it. Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,
8	Council Member. Thank you both for your
9	testimony. We have on deck, Jim, Sung and Ralph.
10	Are you here? Yes? Okay.
11	[background conversation]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: So it was
13	purchased here, but it has a Virginia stamp on it.
14	Okay. I see. Oh, and it's emptyjust so
15	everyone knows it is empty.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: On deck La
17	Tanisha Wright [phonetic], Mona Golub [phonetic],
18	Anise Kim [phonetic]. You are up next.
19	Gentlemen, you may begin.
20	SUNG SU KIM: I'll go first because
21	I am oldest. My name is Sung Su Kim. Thank you
22	very much. Chairman and also Councilman Gennaro,
23	long time I didn't see you by listening to
24	what the people are saying that the small
25	business people are considered as ill knowledged

[phonetic] and contentious and don't care about
taking the break in the laws. The true people are
conscientious the justice. This kind of
impression , but this is not true. I want to
say a couple of things. By the way, I am
organizations in the city, and we are not against
this bill. We highly admire the spirit underlying
these bills, but in practice, it's going astray
from the original purpose by exclusively damaging
small business communities and the city losing
tax. It is encouraging the black market, so it
doesn't guarantee from the public policy
sense. So I want to remind all my friends
small business is in the crisis fundamentally
all the practices going on is against the basic
spirit of founding American capitalism. They
speculation and we are hardworking people. We are
not respected and we are in a crisis right now. I
have included in my testimony so I do not repeat,
but this is real crisis. I have a few suggestions
to make recommendation. Number one, please
Council Members, put this on a moratorium. Don't
let it go any further. There are three conditions
you have to do. First of all, it is to

2	intensive economic impact and the City
3	Council's authority to not to allow the taxation
4	without representation. We don't want to decree a
5	new chapter of tea party Look at
6	this. Sorry. I am a Korean , so you have to
7	give me one minute more extension. Chairman
8	Arroyo, this is a sign, if you don't have this
9	sign Consumer Affairs issue ticket \$1,450.
10	Everybody knows if the sign is missing
11	electronic cigarettes right there, and also
12	Consumer Affairs will charge you \$450. You used
13	to be one of the seven warningsthe codes. Now
14	they are if the three bills pass, this will
15	give an opportunity to Consumer Affairs to
16	additional seven to 11 violation codes up to
17	10,000 a lot of money. Number two
18	recommendation I have a Korean dream
19	[phonetic] so you have to give me one more
20	extension, okay, thank you. Policy number two
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
22	I am not going to because I am trying to be fair.
23	I don't want to interrupt you. Finish.
24	SUNG SU KIM: Number two
25	recommendation number two, I have a good to

2	make. Look, in China, oriental way, Confucianism
3	survived 5,000 years they do nothing by the -
4	- it only went 50 years, so these Korean
5	governments are very successful to keep the kids
6	from smoking. The reason being that
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
8	Mr. Kim, please wrap up.
9	SUNG SU KIM: I am suggesting this
10	very new idea, it's very good things, all because
11	neighborhood tobacco surveillance team in New
12	York City and this team is composed of police,
13	neighborhood influential and do two thingsit
14	functions as a street corner education agent as
15	well as surveillance. I think it is perfect and
16	working. You can see minors in your neighborhood,
17	if you go there, stop it and educate them. I
18	think it's a good solution. Number three
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
20	No, no, no. I'm trying to be fair. We are going
21	to go on to the next panelist.
22	SUNG SU KIM: It's very important
23	one. Oh my God
24	RALPH BOMBARDIERE: I feel like I'm
25	in front of a firing squad, and it's up to me to

say fire. Thank you for the opportunity to
testify before this committee. My name is Ralph
Bombardiere. I am the executive director of the
Gasoline and Automotive Service Dealers'
Association representing repair shops, gasoline
stations and convenience stores throughout the
metropolitan area. GASDA was established in 1931
in Brooklyn, New York and has been in business for
82 years. Our office recently moved to Inwood,
Long Island when we merged with a Long Island
association. GASDA is a member of New York State
Association of Service Stations and Repair Shops
and is a member of the Service Station Dealers of
America. It is our obligation to protect our
members in the industry against unreasonable,
unfair regulations and legislation. Unfortunately
unreasonable and regulations run rampant in New
York City. Our members are constantly harassed
and badgered by city enforcement agencies. If it
is not New York City's Consumer Affairs, it is the
Department of Environmental Protection or the
Health Department. To say this industry needs
relief is an understatement. The three proposals
before the Council today rank among the highest of

the insults and injuries bestowed upon the service
station industry in the city along with other
businesses. On any one given day New York City
inspectors run rampant throughout business
districts issuing violations and draconian fines
destroying any possibility at financial security
for business in this city. The association is
forced to retain attorneys on staff just to handle
the violations which are constantly being
enforced. We expect and we do comply with city
laws and regulations, but as the smallest
violations reaches a magnitude of exorbitant fines
along with the procedure or even if the member is
innocent, it is almost impossible to present a
defense. Some of the agencies have what they call
settlement hearings. I challenge you to go to one
and recognize this as a fair procedure; however,
we are here today to express our concern for 1020,
1021 and 250. We recently went through a court
procedure against New York City regulations from
the posting of signs. Intro 1020 and 1021 are bad
enough, but 250 is mind boggling. Do not
interpret this to mean that we would accept 1020
and 1021 and they would be okay. The city cannot

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to expect retail clerks to challenge persons under the age of 21. An individual may have just returned from Afghanistan and is 20 years of age, and the clerk has to challenge his Enforcement and regulatory agencies and you age. in the legislature haven't even been able to successfully collect taxes on tobacco products and here we are placing the clerk in the position of turning down someone who is over 20 and buying cigarettes. If you are serious about this, then make it illegal for anyone under the age of 21 to purchase cigarettes, make it illegal to purchase tobacco products if you are under the legal age. I thank you for the opportunity to speak before this Committee, and I wanted to beat the bell.

name is Jim Calvin. I am the president of the New York Association of Convenience Stores. We are headquartered in Albany and we serve minimarts and community stores statewide. Thank you very much for the opportunity to comment. Proponents of this legislation have a noble cause. It is the cause of trying to prevent youth smoking, and we are trying to do the same thing, and I think that

the convenience store community in New York City
has demonstrated that by helping to improve
dramatically the compliance rate. When I joined
NYACS 15 years ago, the compliance rate was only
around 80 percent, and today it is in the mid-90s.
That is not good enough. One sale to a minor is
unsatisfactory, is unacceptable. So we have a
ways to go, but I want you to know that through
training, through policies, through ID techniques,
we are moving in the right direction and we are
committed to continuing to improve that rate. One
thing I do want to bring up is that while the
proponents have a noble cause I think they are
trying to hoodwink you. And here is what I mean.
They want you to believe all of the following:
number one, that this is exclusively a health
issue. Wrong. It is a public health issue, but
it is no less a small business issue. Number two,
that because smoking is unhealthy that because
someone's mom or dad died of a smoking related
illness that store owners who sell legal tobacco
products deserve to be harassed and preemptively
punished. Number three, that the smoking
population is a captive audience and that whatever

tobacco restrictions you may pass, smokers will
have no choice but to abide by it. I wish that
were true, but the reality isthe sad realityis
that tens of thousands of New York City smokers,
including teenagers, are routinely dodging your
taxes, avoiding your legal purchase age and
ignoring your age verification laws every single
day supplied by the smugglers and bootleggers who
control half of the city's cigarette market.
Number four, that the higher purchase age will
automatically lower the smoking ratethat is
baloney. Two of the four states that have 19
tobacco ages have smoking rates that are well
above New York state's. Those are Alabama at 24.3
percent and Alaska at 22.9. Number five, that the
mere sight of packs of cigarettes on a wall in a
store compels kids to start smoking. If you
believe that then you believe that the sight of
toothpaste on the store shelf compels kids to
start brushing their teeth or that when they see
beer in our stores, it compels them to start
drinking alcohol or when they see condoms on a
store shelf in the pharmacy, it compels them to
start having pre-marital sex. Come on. It's a

2	stretch. Number six, the convenience store
3	operators who sell legal tobacco products are out
4	of time. But I thank you for the opportunity to
5	comment.
6	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: You have one
7	more point. I have Mr. Kim enough.
8	JIM CALVIN: You certainly did.
9	Last point is that they want you to believe that
10	the legal purchase age is the legal smoking age.
11	Nothing could be farther from the truth. New York
12	city's policy with regard to minors possessing
13	cigarettes is smoke 'em if you got 'em, and they
14	get them quite readily from older relatives,
15	friends and illegal street dealers, and that will
16	continue regardless of passage of any or all of
17	these pieces of legislation. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you very
19	much.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I just
21	have one question. Mr. Calvinand I like you so
22	good. Mr. Kim and I go back a long time. And
23	Ralph I just met. He seems like a great guy. Who
24	wouldn't like you? In point three of your points,

you made a statement regarding that the smugglers

2	and bootleggers currently control half of New York
3	City's trade in cigarettes. Is that based on a
4	study you have done?
5	JIM CALVIN: We have done studies.
6	The Tax Foundation, which is based in Washington,
7	recently issued a report saying that New York
8	state leads the country in cigarette smuggling and
9	that fully 60 percent of the cigarettes sold in
10	New York are smuggled in from other states.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I know
12	that people in the Bloomberg Administration
13	probably have this information too, but to the
14	extent that you can provide the information that
15	backs up that statement, we would like to have it.
16	We think it is very compelling, and we should
17	listen to that. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
19	Thank you for your testimony. La Tanisha, Mona,
20	Anise.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Mr.
22	Calvin, and that info would go to Dan. He is the
23	counsel to the committee.
24	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I am adding
25	Dante Natoli [phonetic]. We are adding Dante to

the panel because he has got to go home and do homework. I hope I didn't embarrass you, Dante.

I am sorry. Come on up to the table. You are going to have to get close to the mic when you testify. Okay. Thank you. You may begin when you are ready. Before you start--Gregory Connolly [phonetic], Gaddis [phonetic]--I can't make out your first name, Brandon Critser [phonetic] and Esther Zar [phonetic], you are on deck. Go ahead. I am sorry.

It is a pleasure to be here today. I am the founder and director of Follow the Signs. I am also a former big tobacco marketing manager. The tobacco industry spends nearly a million dollars an hour to market tobacco in the United States. Without tobacco industry's support retailers often cannot afford to provide ongoing discounting to their customers. The tobacco industry offers retailers strict retail contracts providing them with ongoing discounting, advertising and other contract incentives in exchange for control of the store's tobacco category. Their tight control of the tobacco category often leaves retailers

feeling intimidated to discuss and/or reduce
excessive tobacco marketing in their own stores.
The majority of U.S. tobacco retailers are
contracted with at least one big tobacco company
and contract non-compliance results in the
suspension and/or termination of the store's
retail contract with the tobacco industry. Due to
predatory tobacco industry retail marketing
practices stores located in low income communities
are heavily burdened with excessive tobacco ad and
display placement. Their storefronts are often
covered with tobacco advertising. These stores
receive higher quantities of more high profile
tobacco displays. The displays are often
merchandised in a manner in which the more
addictive brand styles have a higher quantity or
better brand visibility on the cigarette display.
In addition, these stores receive higher
allocations of promotions, which also include a
higher allocation of the more addictive brand
styles. Flavored tobacco products like cigars,
little cigars and mentholated cigarettes are
heavily marketed in these stores. The tobacco
industry often enforces no return policies on

tobacco products meaning retailers must sell all
tobacco product in their store or risk losing
money. The problem with that is these contracts
often require retailers to meet 100 percent
distribution on certain brands that might not sell
in the retailer's store. Whatever does not sell
will go stale. Expiration codes on tobacco
products are difficult for both the retailer and
customers to decipher, meaning retailers can sell
and customers can consumer stale and infested
tobacco product. The proposed New York City
tobacco control, New York City bans will restrict
the tobacco industry's ability to use discounting
as incentive to lure retailers into contracts and
therefore manipulate the marketing in their
stores. It will allow retailers to place tobacco
product in containers or in drawers, which can
possibly keep tobacco fresh for longer periods of
time for those adult smokers who enjoy using
tobacco, and in addition, these bans will restrict
the tobacco industry's ability to heavily
discount, advertise and promote more addictive
products to low income residents that might not
have adequate health insurance to help them to

2	survive a smoking related disease. Retailers do
3	have the right to sell tobacco in a responsible
4	manner that does not harm the public, but the
5	tobacco industry's tight control over them is
6	definitely an issue. As a tobacco industry
7	manager, I sit here today sacrificing my own well-
8	being knowing that the tobacco whistleblowers
9	before me have had their lives threatened. These
10	laws are well justified and they will save lives.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It was
13	whispered to me that you did not state your name
14	for the record, so before you begin your
15	testimony, you must always do that. Your name?
16	LA TANISHA WRIGHT: La Tanisha
17	Wright.
18	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
19	MONA GOLUB: Good afternoon,
20	Chairwoman Arroyo, council members and
21	commissioners. My name is Mona Golub, and I
22	represent Price Chopper Supermarkets, an American
23	owned, family managed, chain of 130 stores
24	headquartered in Schenectady, New York with 80
25	retail locations across New York state. I

appreciate the opportunity to address you here to 2 say specifically in support of the tobacco product 3 display restriction legislation that has been 4 5 proposed. I do so not only as an advocate for the 6 philosophy that inspires this legislation, but as the representative of an 81 year old business, a retail business that has already voluntarily 9 enacted exactly that which is being proposed for New York City. Back in 2008, Price Chopper 10 11 Supermarkets made a commitment not to entice the 12 next generation of smokers. As such we muted the 13 visual impact of the tobacco cases in our stores by covering their facades with two sheets of an 14 15 opaque film that neutralizes all color and brand 16 recognition. All that remains visible is generic 17 item and price signage. We have also controlled 18 consumer access to the category meaning that 19 tobacco products are sold completely full service 20 only requiring the assistance and inviting the 21 scrutiny of an adult Price Chopper team mate, who 22 is accountable for responsibly handling each and 23 every transaction. We also decided to no longer 24 promote tobacco product in our stores or in our 25 advertising. We have proven that it can be done

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by standing on principle and making relevant evolutionary adjustment to our business. By the same token it has been proven and spoken about many times today that kids are attracted to the colorful marketing and advertising elements that are associated with tobacco products so we had to believe that the action that we were taking would contribute to a broader effort to keep tobacco away from children. Receiving thank you notes and county fair petitions of support and even accolades from several youth and adult tobacco free coalitions and advocacy groups confirmed out belief and has led to ongoing partnerships with those constituencies who are dedicated to having them same positive impact. We also had to believe that the removal of the categories alluring visuals in our stores would cause our tobacco sales to decrease, and it did, though we recognize that a number of other variables contributed to this result as well--rising taxes, increased cost in retail amongst the category and of course, the proliferation of that advertising that conveys the health consequences of smoking. Category sales decreases notwithstanding I can assure you that we

sleep a little bit easier at night knowing that
our action speaks to our commitment not to entice
the next generation of smokers. It has been my
privilege to share Price Choppers experience at
various conferences over the years empowering
others to make the compelling argument that
restricting the display of tobacco products does
deter the adoption of this addictive habit by
children. As supermarket operators, we do
business on a very competitive landscape, but the
truth of the matter is is that we would gladly
hold hands with our competitors in the food,
convenience store and gas station channels in
order to support the adoption of legislation like
this statewide. Thank you.

DR. ANISE KIM: Chairwoman Arroyo and members of the Health Committee, thank you for the opportunity to speak here today. I am Dr. Anise Kim, and I am a social scientist at RTI International, a non-profit research institute. I have been conducting studies on tobacco marketing for over ten years and today I want to share some key highlights from a study I led that lends support for Intro 1020. In 2011, my colleagues

and I conducted a randomized controlled study
using virtual convenience stores to experimentally
test to whether enclosing tobacco product displays
and banning tobacco ads in the stores would
influence youth purchasing behavior. We created
different versions of the virtual convenience
store. Some versions had the tobacco products
visibly displayed as they are currently in retail
stores while in other versions the tobacco
products were enclosed behind a cabinet.
Similarly tobacco ads throughout the store were
either present or banned. Approximately 1200
youth across the U.S. who were between the ages
of 13 to 17 participated in our study. Some were
smokers and some were not. Youth were randomly
assigned to one of six different virtual store
conditions. They were told to conduct a shopping
task in the virtual store. They had up to ten
minutes to select four items of their choice with
the only constraint being that they had to select
one drink from the cooler, one snack from the
aisles, and two items of their choice at the
checkout counter. When youth clicked on an item
in the store, it was placed into their virtual

shopping basket. If they selected an item by
mistake, they could unselect it and choose
another. Any teen who tried to click and purchase
tobacco were denied by the cashier because of
their age, but what we were interested in was how
many would attempt to purchase, and if this
behavior would differ depending on whether youth
shopped in stores where the tobacco product
display was visible versus enclosed. After the
shopping task was completed, youth filled out a
survey about their shopping experience, and we
also asked about their perceptions and their
smoking behavior. Here is what we found. When we
asked kids if they saw tobacco products for sale,
85 percent of kids who shopped in the open display
conditions said yes compared to only 32 percent of
kids who shopped in the enclosed display store.
When we looked at what products they purchased, 24
percent of kids who shopped in the open display
store selected tobacco products versus only nine
percent of kids who shopped in the enclosed
display store. We conducted some additional
statistical analysis and tried to account for
other factors like youth's age, race, gender,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether or not they buy their own cigarettes, and even when we accounted for all these other potential explanations, we still found that there was a statistically significant association that kids who were shopping in the enclosed display conditions were less likely to purchase tobacco products. Now we don't know for certain whether or not the youth's behavior in the virtual store would translate to real world behaviors; however, we do know from our study that 85 percent of the kids who participated in our study agreed that the virtual store resembled a real convenience store in their own neighborhood, and it would be nearly impossible to conduct a study of this nature in real life. The key strength of our study is that by using a virtual reality application we were able to simulate these policy scenarios that currently do not exist, randomly assign kids to the different stores, immerse them in that environment and then observe to see what they would do. For these reasons virtual reality applications are widely used by marketing researchers even government agencies and even manufacturers and retailers to study individual

behavior that would be difficult to do in real life. In conclusion the results of our study suggest that policies requiring retailers to store tobacco products out of view could have a positive public health impact by deterring youth from attempting to purchase tobacco products. The study was published in the Journal of Pediatrics and a copy of the study is attached to my written statement. Thank you.

DANTE NATOLI: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Dante Natoli. I am currently a freshman at Iona Preparatory School and I am a graduate of St. Teresa's grammar school in the Bronx. I am here to support the three bills to reduce tobacco marketing to young people. I remember even two years ago as a 12 year old walking around in my neighborhood, I would notice walls of tobacco products in the same places my friends and I might be looking for gum, magazines, and other items. All the discounts and special offers made cheap cigarettes seem like something people my age could afford and just the quantity of marketing everywhere made smoking seem like a normal, maybe even cool thing to do. Now I know

that the tobacco companies are targeting me and	my
friends and they want us to start smoking and ke	ep
smoking until we die. I have watched my family	
members struggle with smoking and harm their	
health, but still not quit. I won't let it happ	en
to me, and I hope that the City Council will mak	е
sure that it doesn't happen to any of my friends	
or any kid in the city. While I attended St.	
Teresa, I worked with a small group to help spre	ad
awareness of the dangers of cigarette advertising	3
in neighborhoods. While conducting research in	
our project we came across a worker in a local	
deli who was surprisingly adamant about not	
selling cigarettes to customers. He explained h	WC
he also thought it was terrible that cigarette	
advertisements had to be in the faces of the	
children that came into the stores every single	
day. As we moved on, we interviewed the owner o	f
a local pharmacy. He expressed his disgust for	
some of the chain pharmacies in the area such as	
CVS and Rite Aid because of the fact that they a	re
being hypocritical by selling cigarettes. A	
pharmacy is an establishment in which medical	
supplies are carried to better the health and th	е

	well-being of an individual suffering from a
	health condition; however, these pharmacies sold
	and advertised cigarettes. I don't understand how
	an establishment that can proclaim that they help
	people get by giving them the medical supplies
	that they need, I don't know how they can do that
	while they sell cigarettes right next to do the
	door. I don't understand why these establishments
	partake in the selling of cigarettes. I never
	have, and I never will. I have noticed troubling
	things about cigarettes and tobacco marketing
	during my life. I understand that increasing
	taxes and therefore the prices of cigarettes help
	reduce smoking, especially among young people who
	are less likely to have a lot of income, but I
	despise the fact that if someone develops an
	addiction the amounts of money accumulated. For
	example if someone buys one pack of cigarettes
	every three days they are spending about \$100 in
	cigarettes a month alone. Cigarettes are terrible
	for people's finances and terrible for their
	health on top of that. In conclusion, don't pick
	up that cigarette. It can be the one that ends
ĺ	your life. I hope that the City Council passes

2	these three bills to protect the kids and young
3	people in the city from these deadly products.

4 Thank you.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Dante, and I don't know but I think he should get
a pass on homework tonight.

[laughter]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Dante, thank you so much. it is absolutely essential that we hear all the voices concerning this issue, and that you represent the very population that these bills seek to protect I think is awesome. Thank you for being here. Thank you for bringing him and sharing him.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I certainly wish to be associated with the Chair's comments regarding your being here today. No homework for sure, but I also want to commend the other panelists--Dr. Kim with your good research that we will put to good use as we try to grasp this issue and come to terms with what we will ultimately do, and Price Chopper, good for you, and thank you for showing that this can be done,

2	and for doing it and getting out ahead of us.
3	This is very, very commendable. And Ms. Wright,
4	you still work in this field?
5	LA TANISHA WRIGHT: No, I am a
6	former
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:
8	[interposing] Former, and so I thought you were
9	putting yourself at great risk by being here, but
LO	you bring a wonderful perspective that is really
11	going to inform what we do here. I think this is
12	the best panel so far. Thank you.
L3	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
L4	Thank you all for your testimony. Gregory, I
15	can't make out the first name but Gaddis, Brandon
L6	and Esther. I don't see movement towards the
L7	table. Okay. 'Cause I move on to the next panel.
18	We have three. We are missing one. Who is
19	missing? Gregory is here?
20	GREGORY CONNOLLY: I am Gregory.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Esther,
22	Brandon, and maybe I will spell the last name G-A-
23	D-D-I-S?
24	GREGORY CONNOLLY: I believe that
25	is the person from Redford Slims - Yeah he had to

7

2 go home. He might try and come back.

3 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: On deck,

4 | Sandra Mullen [phonetic], Louise Feld [phonetic]

5 and Sheila Feinburg [phonetic]. Okay.

GREGORY CONNOLLY: Good afternoon,

Chairman Arroyo and distinguished members of the

8 Health Committee. My name is Gregory Connolly and

9 I serve as the volunteer legislative director for

10 the non-profit organization, the Consumer

11 Advocates for Smoke Free Alternatives Association,

12 known as CASAA. We are the leading U.S. advocate

13 that represents consumers of low-risk alternatives

14 to smoking, including vapor products commonly

referred to as electronic cigarettes. I am here

16 to encourage you to report unfavorably on proposed

17 ordinances 1020 and 1021 unless and until

amendments are put in place that ensure that

19 enactment of these ordinances will neither harm

20 public health nor accidently protect cigarette

21 markets. Electronic cigarettes are one of the

22 most significant technological breakthroughs and

23 public health breakthroughs of the past decade.

24 Even though the market is still in its infancy

when compared to the multi-billion dollar

cigarette market, every month more and more
evidence is released showing that electronic
cigarettes are not only far, far less hazardous
than regular cigarettes, but that they are helping
millions of smokers across the world quit smoking
or significantly reduce their cigarette
consumption. In fact, Wall Street analysts are
now reporting that the increase in ecigarette
sales is leading to a significant decrease in
cigarette sales in the United States above and
beyond the gradual declines that were forecasted
well before ecigarettes were available on the
market. Even though these proposed ordinances do
not make a single mention of ecigarettes, their
enactment would impact present and future
ecigarette consumers in New York City. The
current definition of tobacco product used in
several subchapters of New York City
administrative code does not encompass electronic
cigarettes. New York State law meanwhile wisely
does ban ecigarette sales to minors, but does not
define ecigarettes to be a tobacco product.
Nonetheless because both of these ordinances
broadly redefine tobacco products to include any

product "made or derived from tobacco" it is	
unquestionable that the enforcing authority could	L
decide that ecigarettes are covered under these	
ordinances. There are numerous changes that	
should be made to these ordinances to protect	
public health, but the most pressing issue to New	7
York City ecigarette consumers, many of whom are	
here today and many of whom had to leave because	
they are not familiar with City Councils and	
realizing that meetings often take longer than	
three hours, and thank you for all still being	
here. With no public warning through the issuance	:e
of a media release, enactment of these ordinances	;
would lead to a virtual ban on the sale of	
ecigarettes and ecigarette liquid in flavors other	r
than tobacco, menthol, mint and wintergreen.	
Under these ordinances, the only place where an	
ecigarette user could legally purchase a flavored	l
ecigarette product in New York would be what New	
York City calls a tobacco bar. These are smoke	
filled rooms and we submit that it would be a	
negative for public health to send people who are	j
trying to quit smoking into a smoke filled bar.	
Proponents of this redefinition may claim that	

flavored ecigarettes are target marketed to
children. I would ask them to present any
evidence that in the fives ecigarettes have been
widely available on the U.S. markets minors have
been using ecigarette products, let alone a
flavored one. They will be unable to present of
this evidence. Indeed as outlined in my
testimony, and I am wrapping uptwo recently
published journal articles surveying teenagers and
adults age 18 to 34 were unable to find a single
non-smoker who had ever even try an electronic
cigarette let alone a flavored one. For the
forgoing reasons and for others outlined in our
written testimony, CASAA encourages the Health
Committee to either return to the current
definition of tobacco product or amend the
definition to ensure that vapor products are not
subject to the same laws and deadly tobacco
cigarettes, and I thank you for your time.
COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you
so much. Thank you. Mr. Brandon Critser, is
that correct? State your name please.
BRANDON CRITSER: Brandon Critser.
I have worked for numerous electronic cigarette

2	companies, and banning flavors would essentially
3	put most of these companies out of business
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
5	[interposing] Excuse me. Can you speak closer to
6	the mic please?
7	BRANDON CRITSER: Banning flavors
8	would essentially put these companies out of
9	business. From a personal level if I still was
10	only using tobacco flavors I would be constantly
11	reminded of cigarettes, and I would constantly be
12	pulled towards wanting a cigarette. As dealing
13	with customers, people would come in and honestly
14	about 50 percent off the bat had the same
15	mentality. They were more interested in flavors
16	and forgetting about cigarettes and by the time
17	they would leave the store it was more like 80
18	percent that ended up with non-tobacco and menthol
19	flavors and thanking us later for that and never
20	returning to cigarettes. So
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you
22	so much for your testimony. Esther Zar?
23	ESTHER ZAR: Hi.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: State your
25	name please and pull the mic close.

ESTHER ZAR: Hello. My name is
Esther Zar. I started smoking when I was 15 years
old, and I was desperately trying to quit over the
last five years, especially with all of the ads
airing on television, it was creating an enormous
amount of guilt. The problem with quitting
smoking is that it is very daunting. The patch
and all those other replacements don't work for
many people, including me. When I came across
electronic cigarettes, it was a great
alternatives, and I will get to flavoring in a
second, but the reason is that to successfully
quit smoking, it needs to be done in stages.
There are several factors of addiction there.
There is a nicotine addiction. There is an oral
fixation. So what happens with these electronic
cigarettes is and especially with the flavoring is
first of all you are able to continue acting as if
you are smoking and having this nicotine, and you
are just creating a replacement that you don't
feel so bad about. Then after that, you slowly
lower the nicotine and the flavoring, which is I
think one of the most important aspects of keeping
you off the cigarettes and keeping you quit, which

I have quit for the last year, and I have
absolutely no willpower whatsoever is the fact
that when you switch over to these flavorings you
disassociate the act of smoking with that
flavoring and as a result you actually become
repulsed and repelled by the smell of smoke and
smoking altogether, and it further helps you quit.
I think it is one of the most genius parts of
electronic cigarettes, and it is really going to
encourage people to quit. People do not want to
stay on electronic cigarettes forever. They
graduate from electronic cigarettes to the
flavored electronic cigarettes, that creates that
disassociation from smoking and then you slowly
lower your nicotine, and after a while you are
either smoking electronic cigarettes every once in
a while or not smoking at all, and it is amazing.
I can run ten miles now. I can breathe. I don't
have to worry about having kids and not being able
to run a marathon or being embarrassed when I go
to meetings. I actually cleared my entire
schedule todayI work in the corporate world
because I feel so passionately about this, and I
really wanted to call attention to this.

2.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for
your testimony. I don't know if you heard earlier
when the Commissioner was testifyingmy question
because we have heard that there is concerns
beyond intended consequences on the smokeless
vapor alternatives. I don't know what to call it.
GREGORY CONNOLLY: I kind of like

that term--smokeless vapor alternatives. Feel free to use that in your legislation.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And the administration understands that concern, and they are willing to work on language that will clarify the intent.

agreement that there should be some regulation and there should be analysis of the composition of what these liquids are, especially when they are coming from foreign countries and there may a lot of miscommunication. We are totally in agreement that there should be an age limit, that they shouldn't be advertised to children, but I can't see why a child would pick up an electronic cigarette. The days where smoking was cool we are way past that especially in New York.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRANDON CRITSER: I work at the retail stores and to be honest it has never really been an issue dealing with children. It is generally parents and adults that have new children that are searching for something that they can use.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Yes, thank you. This has been a wonderful panel. I want to talk to Mr. Connolly, and this has been a topic with us. We have talked about it with the administration. They don't want to touch the ecigarettes, but they want to continue to look at the science and find out if this is the pathway out of smoking or like a pathway in. I don't think people think so much it is a pathway in, and I don't really know, and so it is really--this is not our intent, but to the extent that you came here I guess from Virginia to talk about this I just--when you made your testimony, I spoke to the counsel to the Committee, and we are going to do everything we can to make sure that this is fully understood and that we won't hurt something that could be a really great alternative to smoking. I don't have your statement. The young lady who

б

testified--but it is very compelling what you had to say and how your life has been transformed by this technology, and so rest assured, this is what I am saying.

GREGORY CONNOLLY: And so I just wanted to note that in our written testimony we do have a suggestion for amendments to the tobacco product--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:

[interposing] That is the best kind of testimony we can get when they just say this is how to say it.

that the Bloomberg Administration is very stuck on keeping that made or derived definition, they can add to that tobacco product vapor definition—a tobacco product shall not include in the legislations that says cigarettes or any product approved by the FDA as a smoking cessation treatment—it can say tobacco products shall not include cigarettes, vapor products or any product approved for smoking cessation for the FDA and then we suggested an amendment that gives a vapor product definition that encompasses all products

2	currently on the market whereas the New York State
3	law banning sales of ecigarettes to minors, that
4	is not a very good definition because it only
5	encompasses the little disposables that you can
6	buy at 7-11, but it would not encompass this
7	liquid that I buy to put in mine, and so we would
8	encourage you to not use the state law, but to
9	look at the definition that we suggested that is
10	currently being implemented in sales to minors
11	bans in Oklahoma.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you
13	very much.
14	GREG CONNOLLY: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So we will
16	work on it. Sandra, Louise, Sheila and Phil
17	He is sitting there a long time. On deck, we have
18	
	Russell , Francisco Correa [phonetic],
19	Russell , Francisco Correa [phonetic], Keith [phonetic] and Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S again.
19 20	
	Keith [phonetic] and Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S again.
20	Keith [phonetic] and Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S again. Okay.
20 21	Keith [phonetic] and Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S again. Okay. SANDRA MULLEN: Good afternoon, and
20 21 22	Keith [phonetic] and Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S again. Okay. SANDRA MULLEN: Good afternoon, and thank you very much, Chair Arroyo and the City

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

am submitting testimony in support of Intro 1020-213 prohibiting the display of cigarettes and tobacco products. World Lung Foundation just so you know is a leading international organization dedicated to reducing illness and death caused by tobacco and we focus on other public health issues. Ten years ago, I was director of communications at the New York City Department of Health when Mayor Bloomberg and then Commissioner Tom Frieden [phonetic] decided to introduce a 100 percent smoking ban. At the time, people on both sides of City Hall felt we were nuts, that we would infringe on smokers' rights, that businesses would suffer, a concern that we all know did not materialize, but we stood firm risking serious political capital, the mayor and Council Member Quinn at the time decided that politics would take a backseat and put forward a progressive piece of legislation that has us all breathing cleaner air today. The question then now is will we once again put health and kids ahead of politics. A reminder that in 2002 no country in the world was brave enough to have an anti-smoking law as strong as New York City's, within months Ireland, yes,

Ireland followed our lead. Today dozens of cities
and countries have pursued the example set by New
York City and more are on the way. As many have
said today as New York City goes, so does the
world. New York City leads, but we can also
learn. At World Lung Foundation I have the
privilege of seeing the best anti-smoking policies
around the globe. I am often out there pushing
New York City's 100 percent smoke free model
urging countries in Asia, Latin America, Africa
and Europe to follow our example, but I am here to
tell you something that no New Yorker, this one
included, wants to hear. Countries like New
Zealand, Singapore, Australia, Canada and we have
heard about others today as well are ahead of us.
Several are doing some things better than we are.
We can follow Canada's example in this case. They
have done what the World Health Organization has
recommended, which is to take down these displays
because they are plainly and simply advertising
and promotion. Canada has seen their youth
smoking rate drop as a result. Exposure to
tobacco marketing is a top predictor of youth
smoking, even more than peer pressure. We have

done a decent job of banning advertising in
regular channels like television, so the industry
has redirected its massive marketing budget to
unregulated channels like tobacco displays in our
corner bodegas, grocery stores, newspapers and
candy shops. Industry pays a premium for these
slick displays because they have research to know
that they work. They hook kids and seduce them
into thinking that cigarettes are as innocent and
normal as purchasing a pack of chewing gum. The
pro tobacco advocates who set aside the health
impact of smoking will argue that putting
cigarettes below the counter or behind curtains
will take away choice and destroy small business.
It sounds just like what they said in 2002 that
the smoking ban would destroy bars and restaurants
and the nightlife industry. Evidence, the corner
of smart policy, showed that this did not happen.
We have compelling evidence globally to know with
confidence that these display restrictions work.
Opponents have the same kind of hollow threats of
economic doom we hear every time tobacco
restrictions are considered. At World Lung
Foundation we wholeheartedly support this bill.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Save our kids from years of addiction to tobacco by relegating the product to the bottom where they belong, out of sight and hopefully eventually, out of mind. Tobacco deserves nothing less, and our kids deserve so much more. Thank you.

SHEILA FEINBURG: Good afternoon, and thank you, Council Member Arroyo and Gennaro and Inez Dickens for sticking around. It's a long afternoon. Thank you so much. My name is Sheila Feinburg and I am the executive director of the New York City Coalition for a Smoke Free City. support neighborhood efforts for long term change and believe that all New Yorkers have the right to breathe clean, smoke free air where they live, work and play. I don't want to repeat the speakers who have already gone before me, but I do want to make a couple of points, and I do want to highlight some of the work that we have been doing on this issue. In 2011, the coalition collaborated with the American Lung Association of the northeast on a series of youth led events called Take a Walk in our Shoes, and these tours highlighted that 75 percent of tobacco retailers are within 1,000 feet of a school, and we also

worked with the Asian Americans for Equality, and
they released a report that looked at tobacco
sales and displays in pharmacies and AAFE found
that of the pharmacies they surveyed, 71 percent
not only prominently displayed tobacco products
but had them closely placed to candy, gum and
other products typically purchased by youth. New
York City's youth as you already heard today are
bombarded with tobacco products and marketing. I
just want to take one second. I know that the
panel before us was talking about ecigarettes, and
I just wanted to make sure that that didn't cloud
the issue that we are talking about herethe
three bills that we are talking aboutso I just
wanted to put that out there as well. We feel
strongly that the proposals being discussed today
will address this critical public health issue
directly, and just again, I don't want to repeat
what people have said, but I do want to say that
Intro 1021 will level the playing field for
retailers who play by the rules and do not sell
loose or unstamped tobacco products by increasing
the penalty for those that do, and we feel
strongly that this will discourage retailers from

evading tobacco taxes or selling without a
license, and intro 250 takes the important step of
raising the minimum age to purchase tobacco
products to 21 targeting both youth access and
addiction. Research shows that 90 percent of
people purchasing cigarettes for minors are 18,
19, or 20 years old, so I just wanted to echo that
point. Finally, I want to say while opponents of
tobacco control are often vocal, well-funded, or
both, public opinion polls show that 59 percent of
New Yorkers support prohibiting tobacco displays
in stores and 67 percent support raising the
minimum age to purchase tobacco products to 21.
In closing, despite the great progress, I think we
would all agree in this room and the folks who
have spoken before me, that we all take credit and
great pride and we are very proud of that success.
Tobacco use still causes hundreds of thousands of
preventable deaths in the United States annually
including thousands of lives lost here in our
hometown in New York City. For over 20 years, the
Coalition for a Smoke Free City has been a proud
supporter of the leadership and historic tobacco
control work for New York City, and we are ready

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make history again.

LOUISE FELD: Good afternoon. Μy name is Louise Feld, and I am a senior policy associate at the Citizen's Committee for Children. CCC is a multi-issue child advocacy organization dedicated to ensuring that every New York child is healthy, housed, educated and safe, and with that mission, we are strongly in support of the three proposed measures being discussed here today. CCC would like to thank the Chairwoman and the members of the Health Committee for holding today's hearing, and we are also very grateful to the Speaker, the Mayor and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the Council for their ongoing commitment to reducing smoking particularly by youth. I have submitted written testimony, and much of what is in my testimony about the statistics about youth smoking has been very ably covered by speakers before me, so I would just add an additional piece of data from our bi-annual book, keeping track, which is a comprehensive book about child well-being indicators. So our data show that in the rate of youth smoking among high school students gets

3

4

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

larger with each grade, but in 2011 it was clearly much higher among 12th grade students than among students in the 9th through 11th grades, so we see that the proposed legislation to increase the smoking age would make it more difficult for these particular high school students, many of whom are 18 years old, to access tobacco products. I will just add that because of all the statistics that we have heard about today and those included in our written testimony the evidence that we have heard about smoking's dangers and the evidence about similar measures successfully enacted in other jurisdictions we really do assert that these proposals will profoundly and positively impact the health of the next generation of New Yorkers. We know much more about the inherent dangers of smoking than we knew a generation ago, and it would be quite irresponsible not to use this knowledge to protect the health and the next generation of New Yorkers. These common sense proposals do just that. We are grateful to the mayor's office and the Council for your diligence on this issue and we look forward to working together to ensure these proposals are voted into

2	law. Thank you for the opportunity to testify
3	today.
4	PHIL KONIGSBERG: Good afternoon.
5	My name is Phil Konigsberg. I am a member of
6	Queens Community Board 7, the vice president of
7	the Bay Terrace Community Alliance and a community
8	advocate for the Queens Tobacco Control Coalition.
9	My testimony that you have was prior to me
10	realizing that age 21 was going to be coming up
11	for today, so I am just going to take parts of my
12	testimonythe rest you have there. First, I
13	would just like to say that this has been 25
14	years that I have been coming to the City Council
15	hearings. It is great to see this come about. I
16	would like to just give some recognition to former
17	City Councilman Stanley Michaels [phonetic] from
18	back in the 70s or actually the 80s that he was
19	here, and Councilman Gennaro, you reflect a lot of

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you, Phil.

his inspiring and comments here today, and I want

to thank you for that.

PHIL KONIGSBERG: I am in favor of all three proposals, 1020, 1021 and 250, so let's

make that clear if you didn't figure it out yet.
I would also like to recognize Mayor who is
mayor of the village of last year he actually
proposed and the village of passed a bill
prohibiting the display of tobacco products in all
retail stores in that village. As soon as the
tobacco industry found out about it, they sent an
army of litigation to that town and was taking
them to court in federal court to prohibit that
from going through, and the village of did not
have enough finances to fight a never ending
battle that would be brought by the tobacco
industry, and I am waiting here. I don't know if
I see anywhere here from the tobacco industry, but
I am sure once this gets to the point where it is
going to be a law hopefully, you are going to have
people coming out from the woodwork. They will be
coming out from the wall right through there to
knock this down. Please be aware of that. I have
only got 42 seconds left, so let me just say that
the tobacco industry knows that the best way to
attract new smokers is to recruit them when they
are still impressionable and have a sense of
immortality. They know that seeing images of

tobacco products on a daily basis is perceived as
smoking being a normal behavior in society and are
susceptible to experimenting with its use before
they are old enough to buy cigarettes. I recall
seeing photographs of cigarette advertisements
placed on a huge mobile billboard truck that was
strategically parked in front of schools and
playgrounds all day long so they were seen by
school kids going in and out of school and they
stay there. Basically that we would be the job.
The guy would drive the truck there, stay there
the whole day, so the kids from school age would
be seeing big tobacco advertisements showing how
great, how pleasured it was, how sexy it was. We
know that is all being done by the tobacco
industry, and that is it. Thank you very much.
COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I want to
thank this panel for its very good testimony.
Thank you, Phil, for your personal remarks
regarding me and Stan who is a great guya

thank this panel for its very good testimony.

Thank you, Phil, for your personal remarks

regarding me and Stan who is a great guy--a

blessed memory who I served with for many years.

I did have a question for Ms. Mullen regarding
the other two bills. It looks like you took a

stance on 1020.

2	SANDRA MULLEN: [off mic]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: I just
4	want that on the record I want the World
5	Lung Foundation on the record in support of all
6	three, if that is your position.
7	SANDRA MULLEN: We do support all
8	three bills. We just wanted to talk about the
9	specific
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:
11	[interposing] Great. Thank you. Thank you very
L2	much. Great job.
L3	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.
L4	Keith , Russell Wishtart [phonetic], Francisco
15	Correa [phonetic], Daniel Carpas [phonetic] and
L6	Mr. G-A-D-D-I-S. Are you here? On deck
L7	before you begin gentlemenwe are missing one.
L8	Keith? Russell? Francisco, DanielDaniel going
L9	once. Daniel, join us. Daniel, please. You're
20	up. Yes, join the panel. On deck before you
21	begin, we have Julianne Heney [phonetic], John
22	Lasorsa [phonetic] and Charles Maseo [phonetic].
23	You are on deck. Gentlemen?
24	KEITH MORTENER: Hello. Thank you
25	very much for having me today. My name is Keith

б

Mortener [phonetic]. I am a resident of the five
boroughs. Currently I am opposed the bill 1021,
which consists of flavors, banning ecigarette
flavors. I have been a fellow vapor for now going
on using ecigarettes for almost four years. I
quit smoking after 18 years from an electronic
cigarette. I was a menthol smoker, and currently
use coffee flavored eliquids for the past almost
four years now. I am opposed to the bill. It
saved my life I feel. It saved my mother's life
and many people I knowelectronic cigarettes. I
feel that this would do more harm than good in the
eyes of people that are using electronic
cigarettes today. Thank you very much.

RUSSELL WISHTART: Hello. My name is Russell Wishtart, and I am a resident of Manhattan. Thank you for having the opportunity for us to speak today. I am going to keep my testimony very short because it seems like you are such a reasonable and open minded group of legislators. I would currently strongly oppose 1020 and 1021 because it does leave the ability to have vapor products thrown in with tobacco products and have flavored eliquid banned. You

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seem to be extremely receptive to the testimony
earlier from CASAA to amend this legislation to
specifically exclude vapor products. If that was
done, I would have no problem supporting this
legislation, and I yield the remainder of my time.

FRANCISCO CORREA: Hello. My name is Francisco Correa. When I picked up an ecigarette about four years ago, I had no intention of quitting. I had smoked for more than 35 years and tried to quit so many times before that I just quit trying to quit. Instead I was looking to cut down on smoking and looking for an alternative to traditional tobacco. I was shocked when the first day that I tried an ecigarette was the last time I smoked. My story isn't unusual. There are tens of thousands of people like me who have made the switch and our numbers grow daily. Ordinances 1020 and 1021 would redefine ecigarettes as a tobacco product which will subject ecigarettes to a whole host of taxes and laws. Treating ecigarettes the same as treating traditional packed tobacco products will not protect the public. In fact it will have devastating impact on the ecigarette market within

DANIEL CARPAS: I don't know if I

have ever been cool. From the time that I was a

member of Community Board 7, a few decades ago
COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:
[interposing] Which borough?
DANIEL CARPAS: Manhattan 7.
Daniel Carpas. Smoking was allowed at meetings.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: State your
name for the record.
DANIEL CARPAS: Daniel Carpas,
former member of Community Board 7 in Manhattan.
Smoking was allowed in meetings, then we got moved
to the back of the room, and then I left the
community board. Decades my nieces and nephews
growing up knew it was not cool to smoke. Uncle
Danny, why don't you quit? I couldn't, wouldn't
and didn't want to. Four years ago I found
electronic cigarettes while trolling the web late
at night. I knew there was something there that
nobody had ever seen before, something that was
growing from the ground up, not being introduced
by any major corporation. These people here along
with me have seen the growth of this industry.
What happened? The first ones were tobacco, and

yes, we loved it. The electronics, the sensation,

it satisfied us. It changed us. Our body

2	chemistry, our brain chemistry was changed. As
3	time went on we needed more power, this is one of
4	the latest models. This is still not cool. If I
5	am standing in line in a movie theater I do not
6	use this because people may think something weird.
7	Can you put marijuana in it? No, it doesn't work
8	with marijuana. If I put tobacco flavor in there,
9	then they would go it's just like smoking, isn't
10	it? No, I usein this case it's Turtle. One of
11	the people out there sells it. Turtles, you know
12	those chocolate nut things
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: No, I
14	don't. Sorry.
15	DANIEL CARPAS: You don't know
16	turtles. It's chocolate That is the flavor
17	I use. I like it and when people smell it, they
18	go hmm, that is nice. It satisfies me, no
19	secondhand smoke, except they get a littleI am
20	still not cool.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I don't know,
22	Danny, but those suspenders rock.
23	DANIEL CARPAS: Thank you. Late at
24	night.
25	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I think that

early I don't know if you were here when the
Commissioner was testifying and one of the
questions that was posed was the concern that has
been raised regarding the ecigarettes, the vapors,
alternatives, and I think somebody gave me the
green light to use all three to refer to this.
The administration is amenable to revisiting
language to clarify any ambiguity that there could
be in the legislation that would impact the
industry, so your concerns have been heard.
Before the meeting actually I had a meeting
yesterday with someone that brought it to our
attention, so we were kind of ahead of the
Commissioner on it.
COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Also, I
just wanted to see who is still here from the
Department of Health. Just raise your hand. You
guys are all from the city Department of Health.
So I just want to let you guys know that not only
are we very receptive to what you are saying
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
We don't let them leave the room.
COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:this is
heard by many ears from the people in the

2.

Department of Health and you have my commitment,
and if you were here earlier, you can see I can be
a little bit of a tough guy sometimes, but
certainly advocate for this and make sure that we
get this all squared away. Thank you so much
very, very compelling testimony. I got to check
out the turtle thing.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Julianne, John and Charles, are you here? Coming up Spike

Babaian, [phonetic], David Ross, Antoinette Lansa
[phonetic] and Lisa Gardener [phonetic]. You are

up next guys. And if I butcher your name, please

correct it for the record when you speak. Oh,

more young people. Hi. Speak into the mic,

identify yourself for the record. He is going to

put a clock on you, three minutes.

CHARLES MASEO: I'm Charles Maseo.

I believe that these changes should be made for what I think is a pretty simple reason. It saves lives. Some people go on about spending money to find a way to prevent cancer, which is great, but I know a way. Don't smoke. Now here is the problem with that solution. When you advertise smoking to children from an early age, you are not

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

even giving them a choice. I understand that the world doesn't revolve around the right thing, even at my age, and most of the time it really doesn't, but that shouldn't stop us from trying. I have no story of family members with illness who have died due to smoking related illnesses. I simply don't want to experience that, as I am sure no one else does. If children aren't exposed to smoking, they are less likely to smoke, and if it is more difficult to obtain tobacco products, I don't have to watch my friends and classmates kill themselves in such an easily avoidable way. On behalf of a friend who could not make it, I actually have a statement from her. I am here today because I am tired of seeing tobacco advertising everywhere I Tobacco advertising is the reason my mother started smoking at 14 years old, and it is also the reason she is suffering from emphysema today. Tobacco retailers average about 18 ads per store. Do you see 18 ads for any other product that is being sold at these stores? No. advertisements have affected me and my friends and I have seen enough. I have seen enough of my mom barely able to breathe and needing a nebulizer at

2.

б

least once a day. I see my mom struggling for a
breath and I think to myself, why? I recently
asked her if you could choose to never pick up a
cigarette and be free of this terrible disease
would you? She looked me in the eyes filling up
with tears and through catching her breath she
mumbled yes. Tobacco companies have caused
nothing but pain, and it must stop now. I will
take the next step in stopping these deadly
products by supporting the proposal. Council
Members, how would you feel if you were close to
losing someone due to a product that tobacco
companies say is not harmful, yet kills 1200
people a day?

Julianne. I am here because my grandparents both started smoking from a very, very young age and now my grandfather now has lung cancer from it, and my grandmother still smokes at least three packs because the nicotine is so additive she can't stop, and it is actually killing her husband, and I am pretty much watching her kill him, and it is absolutely ridiculous, as well as killing herself. I think this proposal is the

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

best thing to determine youth from becoming
smokers and having a similar thing happen to them
I also think increasing the age limit to 21 to
purchase tobacco products will limit the access
teens have to these products.

JOHN LASORSA: Hi. I am John Lasorsa, and I have been fighting big tobacco with reality check for two years now. Did you know at least 75 percent of kids including me and my friends, we go into convenience stores at least once a week. When we walk in the stores we are bombarded with tobacco marketing, packages with bright boxes, and they are placed right next to candy. My seven year old brother his favorite candy is Reeses. Last week we went into a CVS and right next to the Reeses was the power wall. Later on in the car he was talking about smoking cigarettes. It is a proven fact that youth are twice as likely to recall advertisement as adults. In New York State, 22,500 youth become daily smokers every day. The display ban will help decrease the amount of youth that become smokers. Throughout my two years in reality check, I have had my eyes opened to big tobacco's marketing

2	tactics, and I have seen enough. I hope you also
3	have seen enough and will help support us in
4	taking the next step.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your time and for-- do you guys have homework? We can give you a pass on homework too. I think we are going to set a precedent here. Thank you for taking the time to come and share your insight with us. We really do appreciate it. Thank you.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Spike, David,
Antoinette, Lisa. Yes, sorry about that. On deck
we have Marlene Fox, Jacqueline Bitterman, Tarique
Rumey [phonetic], Tiffany Brown [phonetic]. You
are on deck. Okay. We have an advertisement up
here for turtles, so we will give that to Council
Member Gennaro. Identify yourselves for the
record, speak into the mic, and you may begin.

SPIKE BABAIAN: Thank you, Health
Committee and Chairwoman Arroyo. I thank you for
meeting with me yesterday on last minute notice.

My name is Spike Babaian. I am president of the
Nation Vapers Club. We are a consumer
organization for electronic cigarette users. I am

also a store owner here in New York City. I own
an electronic cigarette store in New York City.
It is actually the only electronic cigarette
store, physical store, in New York City. I am
here obviously for a number of reasons. Since I
am aware that you are already willing to work with
the bill to try to hopefully exclude electronic
cigarettes, I just wanted to briefly say since
there is some concern about the health of
electronic cigarettes, I also do research on
electronic cigarettes. I have written two papers
as a co-author in peer reviewed scientific
journals and we are working on a new study on
cardiopulmonary disease in electronic cigarette
users. Most people who use electronic cigarettes
use them to prevent disease caused by smoking.
Obviously, the intention here in this bill is to
stop people from smoking cigarettes, and as you
have heard ecigarettes seem to do that. I do want
to say that many studies have shown that even
people unmotivated to quit smoking who had no
intention to quit smoking either reduce or
completely stop using cigarettes when they start
using electronic cigarettes. There were a number

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of studies that - - some on the U.S. and some in other countries. So the question of whether or not it does I mean the research speaks for itself. I did e-mail a bunch of you different research papers and there is some in your packet also that show this. The first study that we did was on indoor air quality with electronic cigarettes to show that they don't produce smoke because that was a very big concern of ours that ecigarette users would be forced to stand with smokers outdoors, and we showed that ecigarette vapor is not what is in smoke. There is a very significant different in the quantity of the toxins in the vapor as opposed to the smoke. The levels in vapor are not toxic. So I did want to bring that up because I am sure that we will be back here in about a year to prevent indoor ecigarette use because New York wants to prevent a lot of things. I just did want to bring that up and make it clear. If any of you has questions on the safety of electronic cigarettes, I do encourage you to reach out to people and ask them for copies of studies before making any laws on them, and I appreciate that you are willing to consider the

amendment to exclude electronic cigarettes and
vapor products. This helps a lot of people, and
they need to be able to have easy access to the
product. The only other thing I wanted to say
that as a store owner, we have a number of elderly
people who do not have internet, who do not know
how to use a computer. They don't even really
know how to turn it on. A lot of mothers and
grandmothers and they use electronic cigarettes.
They have a hard time learning, but they learn how
to use them, and these people cannot order their
electronic cigarettes online, so if this law were
to pass the way that it is and they couldn't come
into our store and purchase ecigarette liquid,
they would go to the corner store and buy
cigarettes. They smoked for 50, 60, 70 years some
of them including Danny with his wonderful
suspenders, many, many years, 50, 60 years, and it
is very hard when you have started using this to
not have access to the product. That is it.
Thank you.

DAVID ROSS: Hi everyone. My name is David Ross. I think everybody has gone through a lot of the legislation type talk, but I just

wanted to share a personal story. About less tha
a year ago, my dad passed away unexpectedly. It
wasn't through smoking. He wasn't very healthy.
A few months later I learned my mom has stage fou
lung cancer from smoking. It has been really har
for me the last year. I was a 20 year smoker. I
started when I was 14 and as soon as I picked up
my first electronic cigarette I got this big fanc
one here, I was able to quit instantly that day.
I haven't touched a cigarette since. I have no
desire to. They smell disgusting to me now. So
with the electronic cigarette I started out with
some tobacco flavors. My mom gave me my first
one. She wanted me to quit, and I thought it was
kind of gimmicky. It was okay. but once I got
some good juices and some good flavors, that was
really when I was able to quit. I just didn't
want to see myself on the same path as my mom tha
she is on now. She is stag four. She is not
going to be around too much longer, so that is
just kind of a personal story that I wanted to
share with everyone.

ANTOINETTE LANSA: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is Antoinette Lansa. I was a

б

smoker for 15 years, a pack a day from the age of
15. In late 2008, I discovered electronic
cigarettes, and it forever changes my life not
only because I made the switch to electronic
cigarettes but because I found great interest in
becoming a vendor and have been a vendor of
electronic cigarettes since 2009. I would love to
be able to put a store into Manhattan as well, but
the way that the current ordinance stands, the
vast, vast majorities of the flavors that I sell
are non-tobacco, non-menthol flavors and would
therefore not make me very competitive in the
marketplace. In addition I just want to say that
I have blueberry and I love it. That is it.
Thank you.

LISA GARDENER: Hi. My name is

Lisa Gardener. I am an ex-smoker. I smoked for

13 years. I tried practically everything to stop

smoking regular gum that New York City would send

me for free, the patches. My doctor tried to

prescribe me something. Absolutely everything--I

tried cold turkey. I gained 20 pounds, wasn't

healthy at all, and I was introduced to the

ecigarette last year, and it helped me stop, and I

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe that if the ecigarette was not available
with flavors I don't think I would be able to stop
period. Please do not take away the flavors from
the hundreds of thousands or millions of New York
City smokers who need an innovative way to break
their habit. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. think you heard the previous comments regarding not so much the City Council willingness to look at the language regarding, and its unintended consequences, it is the administration is willing to do that. That is what the Commissioner testified to. So I would imagine that there will be outreach to individuals to help inform how that language should read and we look forward to your participating in that. So thank you for being here. I have been remiss in acknowledging that Council Member Dickens joined us and she filled in for me for a few minutes. Thank you, Inez. you. To thank the committee staff for their incredible work--they have been really, really busy over the last several months and they have had a lot of fun preparing for this hearing--Dan Hayfitz [phonetic] to my right, Crystal Goldpon

[phonetic] to his right, and Krillian Francisco
[phonetic], who I thought was here, so thank you
guys for your work. Marlene Fox, Marlene?
Tarique, T-A-R-I-Q-U-E. No? Tiffany Brown? Come
on up and I think it's Phong [phonetic] is the
last name? Jacqueline Bitterman, is she still
here. Anybody else in favor? Can I have some
more. I think it is G-E-O. it is hard to make
outsomething Waterloo [phonetic], and then I am
going to call up a couple of others Barbara
Sullivan [phonetic], come on up, Dr. Nicole Harris
[phonetic], Hollingsworth [phonetic] and Micah
Berman [phonetic]. Come on up. Don't be shy. We
need another chair, sergeant. Please state your
name for the record. You may begin when you are
ready. We can start from the end over here.
Thank you. Go ahead.

TIFFANY BROWN: Good evening. My
name is Tiffany Brown, and I am an organizer with
the New York Public Interest Research Group,
NYPIRG. NYPIRG is the state's largest student
directed, non-partisan research and advocacy
organization. Our board of directors consists of
college and university students elected from

2	campuses with NYPIRG chapters from across the
3	state. We would like to thank the City Council's
4	Committee on Health for holding this hearing
5	today. NYPIRG will comment on the three proposed
6	billsintros 250-A, 1020 and 1021 separately.
7	We believe that it will benefit the city to
8	consider these as separate initiatives. In short
9	we urge your support for Intros 1020 and 1021, but
10	we urge your opposition in Intro 250-A. Youth
11	smoking is clearly a problem that must be
12	addressed; however, NYPIRG believes the proposal
13	to raise the tobacco purchase age to 21 years old
14	while well-intentioned is misguided. 18, 19 and
15	20 year olds are adults. They are legally old
16	enough to vote, sit on juries, enlist in the armed
17	services, make and enforce contracts and run for
18	mayor. The data is clear that nearly 90 percent
19	of adult smokers start before they turn 18 years
20	old age. Two studies out of Great Britain are
21	being cited by those in favor of raising the
22	tobacco purchase age; however, the reduction in
23	youth smoking rates was not attributed in full to
24	the purchase age law. Moreover, the British law
25	raised the purchase age from 16 to 18, not 18 to

21 as the City Council is considering doing.
There is a world of difference between allowing
children to buy tobacco as had been the case in
Britain, which is already illegal in New York and
preventing adults from purchase 90 percent
of smokers will start before they hit 18 years of
age. Moreover adults including those who are 18,
19 and 20 should be treated as adults for purposes
of tobacco policy. Instead public policy efforts
should be focused on preventing manipulative
tobacco marketing that targets adolescents and
young teens. NYPIRG opposes Intro 250-A on these
grounds. Young people start smoking because of
constant and appealing tobacco marketing.
According to the 2012 U.S. surgeon general's
report, preventing tobacco use among youth and
young adults scientific evidence consistently and
coherently points to the intentional marketing of
tobacco products to the use as being a cause of
young people's tobacco use. Research shows that
the more tobacco advertising kids see, the more
likely they are to start smoking. One of the most
pervasive and dangerous marketing tools that
tobacco industries use is the power wall, a large

display of tobacco products located behind the counters of tobacco sellers, such as bodegas, convenience stores and pharmacies. Power walls prompt impulse purchases, attract new tobacco users and thwart attempts to quit and are often next to candy, gum and other products. In conclusion, thank you for holding this hearing.

NYPIRG looks forward to working on promising proposals to restrict tobacco marketing that aggressively targets the underage youth market and empowering youth to choose not to smoke through public education projects. Thank you.

think. My name is Jeffrey Phong [phonetic], and I am a professor of psychology and of public health and health systems at the University of Waterloo located in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. So I have come here from Canada on my own expense to support the bills before you, but especially, I want to talk about the display ban because I head an international tobacco control policy evaluation projected called the ITC project that has for the last ten years conducted research on evaluating tobacco control policies in 22 countries—over

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

half of the world's population, over 70 percent of the world's tobacco users. We have seen from Canada and throughout the world the leadership of New York City and this administration in the fight against the number one killer not only in New York City or state or the U.S., but also throughout the world. I won't belabor all of the statistics on that, but suffice it to say that the leadership of New York City in global tobacco control has been impressive and also much worthy of support, and this also includes the bills before you. Although I only have time to talk about the POS display ban, all three of these bills before you are significant actions that are designed and will success likely to succeed in the reduction in smoking among youth, and also I should point out also among adults, and that is something that hasn't been talked about today. Although the emphasis is on youth, it is also the case that adults are going to benefit from the display ban. 90 percent of smokers in the United States, in Canada, Australia, United Kingdom regret ever having started smoking, and these are adults who started as kids. so in addition, 40 to 60 percent

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of smokers at any time are either in the middle of a quit attempt or are actively considering That is an extraordinary amount of quitting. people considering quitting, and if you are anything like me when there is something very tempting around to eat, let's say you are trying to lose weight, and there is a chocolate cake sitting in front of you, we absolutely know the fact that temptation is when something is in sight, it is also much more likely to be engaged in or to be consumed or to be smoked. This is true for cigarettes as well as for foods that we have all experienced. So therefore in our work in the ITC project and we do work in U.S., Canada, U.K., Australia, we have random samples and so the representative national populations of smokers. What we have demonstrated is that in jurisdictions where they has been a point of sale display ban, they are 2.5 times less likely to report having purchased unplanned cigarettes. So when smokers go into a convenience store let's say, they aren't planning on smoking, and yet there it is sitting right in front of them. So therefore I would like to emphasize in addition to the focus on youth the

fact that the POS display ban is also going to have significant impact on facilitating quitting on adult smokers, who by the way throughout the world, we studied this, the majority of adult smokers support POS display bans and in fact after they are implemented support either stays the same or significantly increases, so for those reasons for the international view and from our data from which I have prepared a more extensive written statement, the point of sale display ban is a strong measure, and the evidence supports it. Thank you.

[phonetic] as they say in the Big Bang Theory. My name is Barbara P. Sullivan. I prepared this statement when the other two bills were not publicized, so I would like to go on record as saying I support all three bills, although the statement refers to the tobacco display restriction bill. Born and raised on Staten Island, I am a wife, a mother, a grandmother, a non-profit director and a tobacco control and prevention advocate. I am also a former smoker. I smoked my first cigarette at the age of 13. I

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used to take them from my mother's purse and light up at the bus stop on my way to school. Both my parents were smokers. In the days when they started, they didn't know the harm that cigarettes could do or the fact that nicotine was an addictive substance. After a heart attack at age 40, my father switched from cigarettes to little cigars called cigarillos. They were supposed to be less harmful. He smoked those until he had a second heart attack at the age of 60, the one that killed him. My mother stopped smoking on the day my father died, but I continued for another ten years. I am also a trained smoking cessation counselor. I have helped countless smokers to quit with the help of nicotine replacement therapy patches and gum. One person I can't seem to help is the person I love the most, my husband of 34 years. To paraphrase Mark Twain he is an expert on quitting. He has done it 100 times. every day about losing him the way my mother lost my father. In my work and in my neighborhood on Staten Island, I see so many young men and women with cigarettes in their mouths. I see the power walls of cigarette packs in delis and convenience

stores that teens and younger children visit every day. The proposal on tobacco display restriction that has brought us here today won't help my husband or other smokers to quit--although it might--but it can help young people not to pick up the habit and send a message that smoking is not only deadly, but also unacceptable. If it stops even one of them from becoming a replacement smoker and dying prematurely from tobacco related causes, it is the least we can do for future generations.

members of the Committee, I thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of these three measures. My name is Micah Berman, and I am a professor of public health law and I have worked with communities around the country to develop and implement effective tobacco control policies and then to defend them in court. This summer I will be starting a new position as a professor at Ohio State University, but I am here also speaking in my personal capacity at my own expense. You have heard a lot so I just want to briefly mention two documents that I will submit with my written

testimony and then briefly address some of the
legal issues that have been mentioned. First I
encourage you to review the 2012 U.S. surgeon
general's report, which reviewed hundreds of
hundreds of scientific studies, and its main
conclusion was that tobacco industry marketing is
a key factor in causing youth smoking and it
particularly singled out tobacco product displays
and price discounting two of the measures that you
are considering today. Secondly, I want to direct
your attention to the 2006 judicial opinion in
United States versus Philip Morris [phonetic]. In
that decision all of the key players in the
tobacco industry were found to be racketeers who
had illegally conspired over decades to deceive
the public and in particular deceive the public
about marketing to youth. The judge in that case
found that not only had they deceived the public,
they were continuing even after the 1998 master
settlement agreement where they promised not to
target youth and that even in some ways that
targeting had increased, so we have heard a lot
about retailers today, and I just want to mention
that the key problem is coming not from the

б

retailers, but from the tobacco industry and that
is where we need to keep the focus and I think
that is what the measures that we are talking
about today do. On the legal front, I want to
briefly address first amendment concerns with
respect to the tobacco product display
restriction, and to put it briefly assuming that a
first amendment analysis is even needed, the
analysis breaks down to a balancing test between
the government's interest on the one hand and the
speech that is being restricted on the other hand.
In my view the balance could hardly be more
uneven. On the one hand you have the city's
interest in protecting youth from an addictive and
deadly product that kills up to half of its
regular users, on the other hand you have the
tobacco industry's interest in displaying their
products and doing so in a way that entices and
addicts the next generation of tobacco users, and
makes it harder for current tobacco users to quit.
All that is being restricted is a marketing
practice that conveys little if any actual
information, operates largely at a subliminal
level and has its primary impact on youth. The -

	- decision from the U.S. Supreme Court was
	mentioned earlier. This is completely different.
	That decision as was mentioned says that tobacco
	industry needs to have a way to communicate with
	legal adult customers in a way that does not
	entice youth and under the tobacco product
	display they will still have plenty of
	opportunities to do so. They can still
	communicate what products are available at what
	price and they can even post advertisements, so
	this is a completely different scenario, not to
	mention the 2009 tobacco control act that gives
	communities new and more powerful authority to
	address these issues. So tobacco is a unique
	product. It is unlike anything else, and the way
	that it needs to be addressed needs to be
	different. Again, I urge your support for all
	three measures. Thank you.
ı	

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you, and doctor, thank you for coming all the way from Canada. That is why we didn't mess with the clock so much. Thank you. Okay. I didn't call up the next panel. I apologize. Philip Rosemen [phonetic], John Urban [phonetic], Christopher

б

Mikovitz [phonetic], Sal Vario [phonetic] and
Romeo [phonetic]. I am looking for Romeo,
Sal, Chris, Sal, John, Philip. I see people
moving. Who is Phil? John? Sal? Come. This
way. And Romeo. We have five. You guys want to
swing around the table this way so we can give
them room to pull up as well? Thank you for your
patience and waiting so long. The next panel, and
it is the last panel, right, Elizabeth Garcia
[phonetic], Ian McGovern [phonetic], Candace
and Stewart Bowers [phonetic]. Gentlemen, handle
the mic by the base. They are kind of delicate.
State your name for the record and we will go in
order.

CHRISTOPHER MIKOVITZ: My name is

Christopher Mikovitz. I co-own a company in -
New York called Cherry Vape [phonetic]. We

distribute parts and accessories of electronic

cigarettes globally. I got into this industry in

2009 by accident. I have a problem smoking. I

started searching around and finding it for my

friends and family and before I knew it it turned

into a business. Now we manufacture parts and

accessories that we export to the United States

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are made here in New York. We ship them globally. When we started, we had about five flavors to our electronic cigarettes and by request of our customers, it went up to probably about 120, which was too hard to manage and we had to lower it just down to about 70 because it was easier to the most popular flavors. As soon as people's taste buds came back they realized they didn't want to taste or smell anything at all that resembled a cigarette. When I heard about this part in the bill when flavors would be jeopardized, I am like who would want me to taste tobacco? There has to be a mistake. There is no reason for that. Another part of this is it is not just New York State, it is not just New York itself, it comes up all the time. I had a wonderful interview with consumer reports about the possibilities and the impact that ecigarettes can do to the public. The interview went great until it hit the news at the end of every news media they added--or whichever news state or town it was aired, they added to the bottom of it and yes, the FDA does want to warn you that ecigs are available in enticing flavors for children. Wait

a minute. We are adults here. I choose butter
toffee or caramel or cherry because I don't want
to taste tobacco anymore. I am not targeting a
child. I just don't want to smell like tobacco
anymore. You have all been very receptive of what
we have said today, and I am proud to be in New
York because I have spoke in Connecticut, and they
didn't really pay much attention to us, and I
really would like ask can New York City lead New
York State in the fact of reducing harm by
embracing something like this, instead of every
other state from week to week to week we have a
call to action to fight, the ban of it, the
coupling of it with tobacco and smoking areas,
flavors, taxing it, banning it online. Can this
Committee be the first committee in the United
States, the first city, New York the number one
place in the world lead the market in reducing
harm with electronic cigarettes? I thank you for
listening to my testimony. I thank you all for
understanding. Thank you very much.
JOHN URBAN: Hello. My name is

JOHN URBAN: Hello. My name is John Urban. I was raised in a house of non-smokers, and after hearing all the facts and

watching all the relatives suffer the consequence	28
of smoking I believed I would never touch tobacco	Ο,
yet at 14 years old I bought my first pack of	
cigarettes, within a few months I was a pack a da	ìУ
smoker, but each brand only kept my interest for	a
brief time before I was disgusted by the taste ar	ıd
moved on to something that I hoped would be	
better. In college I began smoking hookah. I	
enjoyed the variety of flavors and for a short	
while gave up cigarettes entirely while smoking	
hookah exclusively; however, because cigarettes	
are a much more convenient method of nicotine	
intake, I regressed back into my cigarette	
addiction. This past September at age 21 I	
ordered my first electronic cigarette kit with a	
ten flavor sample pack and looked forward to	
finally finding that perfect flavor. First, I	
tried all the novelty flavorscoffee, vanilla,	
chocolate and cherry, next were the menthols,	
peppermint and mint tea. I saved the three	
tobacco flavors for last and they were all	
terrible, and I almost gave up on my transition t	10
vaping [phonetic] until I decided to go buy a pac	zk
of Marlboro Lights. After just one cigarette I	

realized that the ecig was not the problem. It
was the tobacco flavor. An estimated 45.3 million
people in the United States smoke cigarettes and
we all have very different tastes. Although it is
possible that some of us could enjoy using tobacco
and menthol exclusively I would not be one of
them. If it were not for the variety, I would
still be smoking traditional cigarettes. Please
allow me and the countless others who have
switched to vaping continue to enjoy our healthier
lifestyle. Thank you for your consideration.

PHILIP ROSEMEN: My name is Philip
Rosemen. I am a store owner in New York City. I
have a store in Queens, and you met my partner
actually, Spike, earlier. I know you have heard
from a lot of people today about flavors, and I
decided not to bring my little speech with me
because I think it is very clear what you plan on
doing in the future, and I am very, very thankful
to hear that you are being so open minded about
electronic cigarettes and the flavoring
especially. I do want to note that we have some
younger people who have come by who are vapers and
we have had some older people like Danny, and that

turtle flavor is very good by the way, who have
come by and expressed how important electronic
cigarettes are to them. I smoked for 26 years and
had no intention of quitting. My father actually
passed away from it, and I thought that was my
destiny. Had these been around when he was around
he would still be here today. I have no doubt in
my mind about that, but I do want to mention as a
storeowner we are very dedicated to helping people
make the transition from one product that is
obviously very harmful to something that is just a
much better alternative. My partner and I and the
folks that help us out in the store are all very
clear on our mission, which was to take people who
have this issue and convert it to something
better, but also to note that we don't allow it
for underage people. We are very, very diligent
about carding people who are obviously under 25
years old, and in fact we enrolled recently in the
we card program as I think a lot of my
counterparts who actually have brick and mortar
stores are going to do in the future. We see this
as being a great direction here in New York. We
hope that happens for the rest of New York state,

Thank you.

2	and we look forward to continuing to pay our taxes
3	to New York every year because we want to get
4	bigger and bigger and keep paying our share.

SALVATORE VARIO: Hello. My name is Salvatore Vario. I was a smoker for 20 years and I watched my father die from smoking. He died of cancer about three years ago. About three months ago I moved back to New York and my friend Spike said, Sal, you have to try this ecigarette. You will quit smoking. And I didn't believe her. I used it, and I still smoked for about a month, and then I quit. I quit smoking, and I have been smoke free now for 30 days. I am a new ecigarette user, and I am an end user. I am not a businessman. I don't know a lot of research, but I know it is safer, and I know it works, and the flavors help me do that. I just wanted to say that and keep it nice and short. Thank you.

ROMEO R. CAMPBELL: Hello,

Councilmen. My name is Romeo R. Campbell

[phonetic]. I represent myself, my opinion and
the opinion of my mother. First my mother is in
favor for this product because it is safer--water

concern. Thank you.

vapor. I have been smoking since 13 years old. I
am 41 years old now. Ecig is the best thing that
ever happened to me. I wish I knew this sooner.
Maybe my lungs would be better. I prefer vaping
than the nicotine patch. I believe many lives
will be saved in a safer form, which is water
vapor. It is very possible to have no nicotine in
our liquids. Flavors are important. I love
coffee. Everybody has different taste buds.
Don't you? It is like craving for food. People
are going to eat what they want to eat. People
are going to vape what they want to vape. I also
support the age regulation as well. As for
myself, I will repeat I am beyond that age, and
should not be treated like a kid. I should have
the freedom of choice, and we are living in the
U.S.A. Thank you, Council, for your time.
CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. I
will repeat to the panel the same thing I have
repeated to the ones before; it is the
administration the concern and is willing to look
at the language to see that we limit the
unintended consequences that we have heard is your

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 21
2	[background conversation]
3	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We will go
4	through a process, and that legislation and
5	language will be looked at, and that is a
6	commitment that the administration has made. The
7	final outcome we don't know until we get a chance
8	to go through the process.
9	[background conversation]
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I am not sure,
11	but we will certainly keep you all posted and
12	involved you all in that conversation about the
13	language. I know that there has been a proposal
14	in language that should be included or modified in
15	the legislation that has been submitted by Vape
16	New York I think is the
17	MALE VOICE: Vape New York and
18	CASAA. You can contact CASAA.
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We will in
20	that process. Thank you. Thank you all for your
21	testimony.
22	MALE VOICE: Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: My colleague
24	wants to see what an electronic cigarette looks

like. No, no, no. Don't approach. These guys

2	don't like that. They come apparently in
3	different sizes and colors and designs.
4	MALE VOICE: That is a new user
5	product. It is an eagle battery and attached to
6	that is an atomizer and a drip tip on the top.
7	You drip the liquid through the top, it goes on
8	the atomizer, you press the button, and it heats
9	up and you vape it in. It is a very simple
10	design. I like to drip my drops. Some people
11	like tanks. It all depends on what you like.
12	[background conversation]
13	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: No samples,
14	council member.
15	[background conversation]
16	MALE VOICE: The interesting thing
17	is that every single one of them does exactly the
18	same thing. It takes a liquid, it heats it up and
19	it turns to water vapor, and that is what you
20	inhale, but they don't look like cigarettes
21	anymore.
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Thank
23	you all very much. Elizabeth, Ian, and
24	Stewart? Stewart, wow you are all by yourself.
25	Okay.

2 STEWART BOWERS: I am going to make it short and sweet. My name is Stewart Bowers, 3 4 and I am glad to hear that the legislation will be 5 amended to protect the products that you have seen the people bring here. We are pretty passionate 6 about it. I was smoking three and a half, four packs a day up until four years ago when I found 9 electronic cigarettes. I still smoke half a pack 10 a day even after the four years. The reason not 11 everybody here is so passionate about it is 12 because one, the product works, and two, we have 13 to fight pretty much every day for these things to keep them in existence, to keep the - - and to 14 15 keep them legislating them out of our hands. You 16 will find that a lot of people will have no 17 problem consulting with you over the product. 18 of the biggest problems that we have with the 19 legislations on electronic cigarettes are people 20 that are passing legislation have no idea how the 21 product works, no idea what it looks like, don't 22 discuss it with the people who actually use the 23 product and yet pass the legislation and they will 24 vote on something without consulting us. 25 isn't a person that is here as far as vape wise

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that wouldn't sit down in a room with you, explain the product to you, why it works for them, how it works for them so when legislation is put into something that is going to affect us that we are actually sitting at the table with you discussing it because 90 percent of the time that people that pass legislations that affect us don't even consult us. You will hear from other people that there are no studies out there, that they have no idea what is in the vapor, and to be honest with you that is a lie. There are studies that are out there, studies have been done about this stuff, and it gets to the point where they look at the FDA and because the product isn't FDA approved, it means that it is not safe. There are products that are approved by the FDA that aren't 10 percent safe either. There is a yin/yang here, but I think knowledge right now at this point in time, it is basically a new product, not everybody understands it that it would be better to actually have vapers, people that use the products, sitting down at the table with people, the legislators, so that they understand why people use them, how they work. They are very simple. If you saw all the

different models, it is basically just a battery case. There is a heating element in there just like in your toaster. The battery heats up the coils, they are cherry red, it turns the liquid into vapor. There is no magic here guys. The only magic is that you are taking the smoke out of the vapor. We are still getting our nicotine, but no more smoke. If at any point in time legislation is coming down you have a pile of people that would be more than happy to sit down and explain stuff to you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Stewart, just to be clear, it was not the intent of the legislation to address ecigarettes or vapor products, not at all. To the credit of the industry, you came forward, you brought your concerns to our attention, and they are absolutely being dealt with, and that is a commitment that was being made by the Commissioner. I don't know if you were here earlier and you heard his testimony that the administration is absolutely willing to sit down and look at language that clarifies or takes away that unintended consequence that the industry is so concerned

б

it.

2 about.

STEWART BOWERS: My main concern is that there is no dialogue with us, that legislation does come down, there is no dialogue with the people that actually use the product, and I think that would be a big help.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: No, no.

Stewart, there is. The reason why we are where we are at is because that dialogue has happened, and I thank the industry for coming forward and raising the concern and bringing that to our attention so that we can appropriately deal with

STEWART BOWERS: Well, it is something that we watch out for all the time 'cause like I said we are trying to keep the product--

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
We thank you for your input, the public input in
this process helps to inform it, and in my
opinion at the end of the day, we get better
legislation because of it, so thank you for taking
the time to be here and for providing your insight
to us. Is there anyone who is waiting to testify

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH	224
2	who I have not called? Going once, going twice	•
3	This hearing is adjourned.	

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Campbell certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Kimbuly Campbell

Date ____<u>5/20/13</u>