CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEES ON GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES

----X

February 25, 2013 Start: 10:38 a.m. Recess: 1:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room

City Hall

B E F O R E:

LEWIS A. FIDLER ANNABEL PALMA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Gale A. Brewer Fernando Cabrera Sara M. Gonzalez

Andy King Peter Koo Brad Lander Stephen Levin

Melissa Mark-Viverito

Darlene Mealy Ydanis Rodriguez James G. Van Bramer

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Jumaane D. Williams Ruben Wills

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ron Richter

Commissioner

New York City Administration for Children's Services

Susan Morley

Senior Advisor for Investigations

New York City Administration for Children's Services

Deborah Harper

Assistant Commissioner for Runaway and Homeless Youth New York City Department of Youth and Community Development

Andrew Miller

Assistant Commissioner for External Relations New York City Department of Youth and Community Development

Janice Holzman

Chief Development and Communications Officer Girls Education and Mentoring Services

Dr. Elizabeth Schnur Chief Strategy Officer Jewish Child Care Association

Camelia Tepelus ECPAT-USA

John Welch Senior Director of Streetwork Safe Horizon

Margo Hirsch Empire State Coalition

Stephanie Gendell

Associate Executive Director for Policy and Government Relations

Citizens Committee for Children

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Katherine Mullen Attorney with the Juvenile Rights Practice Legal Aid Society

everybody. Good to be back in the Committee Room, it feels like home. My name is Lew Fidler, I'm the chairperson of the Youth Services Committee, Annabel Palma is the chair of the General Welfare Committee, and we're pleased to have everyone here today for a joint committee meeting. I'm sure a number of members have been milling around, we have a lot of committee meetings today because we had the recess for President's Week so everything

got crammed into same space.

Introduction number 866-A, a Local Law in relation to the reporting of data regarding sexually exploited children. Sexually exploited children are young people who have been forced to trade sex or sexual acts for money, food, clothing, or a place to stay. These children face a daily threat of rape, robberies, and beatings by clients and pimps, as well as exposure to sexually transmitted diseases. Approximately two-thirds of these children suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder.

In addition, many of these young

people have been thrown out of their homes or been forced to run away to escape abuse. A 2008 study found that of the approximately 4,000 young people who are homeless every night in New York City, 150 admit to spending their nights engaged in sex work. A 2007 study conducted by the New York State Office of Children and Family Services found that all of the commercially exploited girls at OCFS custody had run away from home at least once and 95% had a prior history of abuse or neglect.

In New York City, DYCD is responsible for providing housing and services for runaway and homeless youth through drop-in centers, crisis shelters, and transitional independent living centers. DYCD funds one transitional independent living center, GEMS, which specializes in residential treatment for women and girls who have been victims of commercial sexual exploitation. The GEMS TIL has only eight beds available.

In 2008, 42 young people in DYCD crisis shelters identified themselves as victims of sexual exploitation. It is important for us as a city to meet the needs of young people who have

been the victims of sexual exploitation, and in order to provide the appropriate number of beds and services for sexually exploited children, we need to know how many of these children come into contact with our city agencies and the demographic breakdown of this group.

One example of why this information is important is the lack of specialized services for young men who are sexually exploited. Studies have found that make--I'm sorry, males account for a significant portion of sexually exploited youth in New York City. However, there are no currently specialized beds or services for this population.

And I say that knowing that every one of our drop-in crisis shelters, TIL programs has to deal with sexually exploited children on a daily basis, they're all equipped but none of them specialize in that specifically.

If enacted, proposed Intro number 866-A would require DYCD and the Administration for Children's Services to report on the number of youth in contact with either agency who have been victims of sexual exploitation. The agencies would also have to report on beds and services

2 which are available to the population.

DYCD, ACS, and advocates regarding proposed Intro number 866-A. I am hopeful that we can work together to provide necessary services and improve the lives of sexually exploited youth in New York City. And as I've said many, many times before, in this day and age in the greatest city in the world, allowing children to be sexually exploited to sleep on the streets is just not acceptable, we have to be able to do better.

With that, I see we've been joined by Council Member Rodriguez. I turn it over to my co-chair, Annabel Palma.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you,

Council Member Lew, and thank you for your work

you've done on this topic and the work that you do

on behalf of the youth of the city of New York.

Good morning everyone, I'm Council
Member Annabel Palma and I chair the New York City
General Welfares Committee, and I want to welcome
everyone who is here today and interested in this
topic.

And before I read my testimony, I

want to thank the committee staff who prepared for today's hearing, Andrea Vazquez, Liz Hoffman,

Jennifer Wilcox, and Michael Benjamin, thank you so much for your work.

In New York City, ACS is responsible for protecting children from abuse and neglect by providing, among other things, child protective services, preventive services, foster care, and programs for at-risk youth. The 2007 OCFS study mentioned by Council Member Fidler in his opening found that a large proportion of sexually exploited children in New York City seek these services ACS has to offer.

According to the report, at least 85% of sexually exploited children have been involved in the child welfare system, 75% have been placed in foster care, and over half have been placed in juvenile justice system. Given these statistics, it is clear that ACS is in a prime position to identify sexually exploited children.

Over the years, ACS has been working with various organizations to address the special needs of sexually exploited children. For

example, the Gateways program operated by JCCA is an intensive specialized residential and treatment program for girls ages 12 to 16 who are victims of commercial sexual exploitation and domestic trafficking. JCCA also operates the Special Life Family Foster Care program which places sexually exploited children with foster parents who are specially trained to work with this population.

Lastly, the New Beginnings program at St. Luke's, an agency contracted by ACS, provides an intensive clinical therapy for sexually exploited children ages 12 to 17 and their families within their homes.

These are incredible programs doing great work, however, without knowing the number of children being sexually exploited in New York
City, it is impossible to design programs and services to adequately meet their needs. For example, the Gateway program only has a capacity of 14 beds. Without data from ACS and DYCD demonstrating the need to increase capacity, it makes it difficult to ensure there are adequate services available.

In requiring the City to identify

2.

sexually exploited children, proposed Intro number
866-A can ensure that this population has access
to adequate services no child slips through the
cracks.

Thank you so much for being here today, again, to help us find ways to address this issue. And I now welcome the testimony from the administration.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you,
Chairwoman Palma, and you've been a terrific
partner in all this going forward.

We've been joined by Council Member Koo. And our first panel is Commissioner Richter, Susan Morley from ACS, both from ACS, and Deborah Harper and Andrew Miller from DYCD. It's all yours.

RONALD RICHTER: Good morning.

Good morning, Chairs Palma and Fidler and Council

Members Rodriguez and Koo. Good morning, I'm Ron

Richter, the commissioner of New York City

Administration for Children's Services, and, as

you said, with me today is Susan Morley, ACS's

senior advisor for Investigations. Thank you for

this opportunity to address the proposed

2 legislation related to reporting data on sexually
3 exploited young people.

Before we address the legislation directly, I would like share the work that Children's Services has done over the past several years since the passage of the Safe Harbor Act in 2008 to address the needs of sexually exploited youth. We have undertaken this work on a number of fronts through our child protective, preventive, foster care, and juvenile justice programs in order to identify youth who are being or have been sexually exploited, as well as to train staff and establish services that can address the unique needs of these youth.

As the Council is aware, New York
City was recently allocated \$622,000 in state
funding to address the needs of this population.
We have collaborated extensively with DYCD, and
have reached out to providers and advocates who
are engaged in this work to gather their input.
Earlier this month, we developed and submitted a
plan outlining the City's proposed use of these
funds to the New York State Office of Children and
Family Services. We are very pleased to report

that just last week the plan was approved by the state and we are happy to share the details of it this morning.

ACS offers supportive services, as well as placement options and programs designed to address the special needs of this population.

Young people come into contact with Children's Services for many reasons, including, but not limited to, domestic violence, substance abuse, behavioral issues, and/or mental health issues. The symptomology of these issues can make it particularly difficult for the best social workers to discover when a child or youth is being or has been sexually exploited.

In addition, youth come into contact with ACS through a number of doors: We see children in the course of our child protective investigations; at our Children's Center, which, as many of you know, is our facility that cares for children who are in our custody awaiting foster care placements; in our contracted foster care and preventive programs; and in our juvenile justice programs and facilities. In the past several years, ACS has established processes to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

help identify and address instances of sexual exploitation, whether they are identified during the course of a child protective investigation, when a child is arrested, is being served through our Children's Center, is in foster care, or is engaged with our preventive service providers.

In recent years, ACS has invested in strengthening both our investigative capacity and our clinical expertise in order to better assess these issues. In 2006, Children's Services hired its first team of investigative consultants, retired law enforcement investigators led by Susan Morley, who is a former Commanding Officer of the NYPD Special Victims Division. We now have 108 investigative consultants with extensive law enforcement experience. Any case involving a suspected sexual exploitation triggers an immediate alert to our investigative consultants. In addition, each of our borough Child Protective offices has a team of clinical social work staff who have expertise in issues of violence and trauma that we see in cases involving sexually exploited youth.

ACS contracts with providers to

exploited children, as well as supportive service options designed to address the special needs of this population. We contract with preventive, foster care, and residential providers that work with this population. Since 2009, the Jewish Child Care Association, as you mentioned, JCCA, has operated a residential program called Gateways that provides intensive, special care for girls aged 12 to 16 who have been victims of commercial sexual exploitation and trafficking. This program houses 12 youth and remains at or near full capacity at all times.

ACS also contracts with JCCA for a Specialized Family Foster Care program that places sexually exploited youth with foster parents who are trained to offer a therapeutic home environment while the young person receives a full range of medical, emotional, and psychological services to address their unique needs. The foster care program is currently being developed and expected to serve 24 youth once it is fully operational.

In addition, JCCA runs a

б

residential program to support sexually exploited young women who are in non-secure placement through the juvenile justice system. JCCA currently supports these youth using two models--Sanctuary and Gateways--and a four-phase model of treatment that includes assessment, individual and family therapy, and peer counseling. The JCCA non-secure placement residence can accommodate six young people.

ACS also contracts with the New
Beginnings program at St. Luke's-Roosevelt
Hospital Center. Their Community Services for
Children and Families is a clinical program
intended to maintain sexually exploited youth
safely in their homes by providing intensive
therapy to the youth and family members. In 2012,
St. Luke's Sexually Exploited Preventive Services
program served 38 families.

Finally, Children's Services has a long-standing partnership with GEMS, a nationally recognized organization that works with sexually exploited youth through intervention and outreach, direct support services, training, and technical assistance. GEMS has been invaluable to us at the

Children's Center. We must comprehensively assess children at the center, which is often our first point of contact with them. GEMS has worked closely with our staff to provide training on how to identify and assess risk factors for sexual exploitation.

Another critical component of our work with this population involves training staff in several of our divisions to be able to identify and assist exploited youth. And when I say, several of our divisions, I mean, our Division of Child Protection; our Division of Youth and Family Justice, which is the juvenile justice part of our agency; the Division of Family Permanency Services, foster care; the Division of Family Court Legal Services, so the lawyers in our Family Court; and Family Support Services, which includes our Office of Child and Family Health; preventive services; and community partnerships; and, of course, our investigative consultants.

In May of 2012, ACS, in collaboration with Safe Horizon's anti-trafficking unit, the Manhattan and Brooklyn District
Attorneys offices, the NYPD Vice Enforcement

Coordinator, End Prostitution and Child
Trafficking, and St. Luke's New Beginnings program
held an all-day training for staff in our Division
of Child Protection to discuss human trafficking
and discuss how to define, identify, understand,
and engage youth who may have been or are being
trafficked for sex. Our staff was provided with
critical information to help them identify the red
flags for youth who are being trafficked for sex.
A total of 411 ACS staffers attended this
training. This forum was also broadcast live to
all Division of Child Protection borough office
sites for viewing by staff. We think this type of
opportunity is critical to our progress on
educating children services.

Subsequently, ACS released a policy in June 2012 regarding assessment and safety planning for commercially sexually exploited children. The policy provided guidance to ACS staff on how to identify, engage, support, and develop safety plans for children who are victims of sex trafficking. The policy, as well as a desk aid guide produced for CPS, directs staff to identify, work with parents and caretakers when

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

applicable, and find targeted services to help children recover from sex trafficking. Since the May training, ACS has conducted additional training with child protective offices in each borough, in which a total of 275 additional frontline line staffers have participated.

As the Council is aware, the Safe Harbor legislation allows for the conversion of Family Court delinquency cases of youth under the age of 16 who are arrested for prostitution to a Person in Need of Supervision petition. Conversion to a PINS petition prevents the young victim of sexual exploitation from being prosecuted for prostitution and allows that young person to receive critical support and services. In 2010, ACS, with the assistance from the Mayor's office, began to coordinate with other city agencies, including DYCD, the Law Department, Probation, PD, to implement the act's provisions and to develop a protocol for ensuring that sexually exploited youth receive necessary services. That protocol included a role for each agency: The Law Department assists with the PINS conversion process; ACS places children in the

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate level of care and secures services for them; and DYCD establishes drop-in centers to serve impacted youth.

In addition to the work we're doing here at ACS, stakeholders citywide are collaborating to address the unique needs of sexually exploited youth. In 2006, Mayor Bloomberg established the Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force, chaired by Deputy Mayor Carol Robles-Roman to coordinate efforts to combat foreign and domestic human trafficking and the commercial sexual exploitation of children. The task force, of which I am a member, meets quarterly and brings together experts from state and federal law enforcement, city and state government agencies, service providers, advocacy groups, and other community-based organizations. In addition, ACS co-chaired a workgroup with the Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies, COFCCA, to assist provider agencies in becoming more informed on this issue. This work led to a roundtable discussion with experts on sexual exploitation that included the FBI, the district attorney's office, and providers with expertise in this area.

As I mentioned earlier, last fall,

New York State's Office of Children and Family

Services allocated \$622,200 in funding to New York

City to address the needs of sexually exploited

children through the development and

implementation of a statewide child welfare

response to child sex trafficking. Through

extensive interagency collaboration with DYCD and

an informal survey with a variety of stakeholders,

providers, and advocates, ACS received valuable

input on the need for expanded services. We also

held a public hearing last week to allow for

additional feedback.

I would like to take a few minutes to walk you through our plan, which was approved last week by OCFS and which we'll begin to implement—which we will begin to implement immediately. The plan includes eight primary components. First, DYCD has a street outreach team that seeks out youth in areas where they tend to congregate at night, including public spaces, subway stations, transportation hubs, and other areas. The workers provide information about services for vulnerable youth and transport them

б

to a safe environment--be it their home, another safe environment, or a crisis shelter. With these additional funds, we will increase the program's capacity to identify and engage youth at risk of sexual exploitation who may be AWOL from foster care placements.

In addition, we will create and implement training and tracking mechanisms around engaging sexually exploited youth and encourage them to return to their foster homes. To improve information sharing, street outreach teams will communicate regularly with our Children's Center and with Children's Services' AWOL Unit, which assists provider agencies with identifying and reengaging youth who have run away from foster care placements. Current street outreach units serve approximately 480 youth per month. Children's Services projects this additional street outreach will serve approximately 4,300 more children at high risk of sexual exploitation.

Second, we plan to place Master's in Social Work counselors from agencies that contract with DYCD and have experience in providing services to sexually exploited youth at

ACS's Children's Center to engage youth and prevent AWOLs. Provider agency staff will also train and consult with Children's Services staff to build our capacity to identify and engage these youth and appropriately direct them to the existing services I've discussed. Provider agency staff will work at the Children's Center during times of day and night when youth are at higher risk of leaving the facility, such as evenings and weekends, for up to 60 hours per week. We expect this combination of capacity building and direct services to improve service delivery to all high-risk youth ages 11 and older entering the Children's Center, excuse me, which sees approximately 8,000 youth per year.

Third, as the Youth Services

Committee is already aware, DYCD runs a Summer

Youth Employment Program which provides New York

City youth between ages 14 and 24 with summer

employment and educational experiences. We plan

to use a portion of the state Safe Harbor funds to

increase the capacity of this program. DYCD will

set aside 40 Summer Youth Employment slots to

specifically serve foster care youth who are

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

placed in a specialized sexually exploited foster care placement or at the Children's Center by providing them with opportunities so that they can become familiar with the world of work, gain employment experience, and identify educational pathways that support career and life goals.

Fourth, it is critical that we identify youth at risk of exploitation as early as possible and connect them to the specialized preventive, foster care, and juvenile justice services that we offer. We will use a portion of the funds to build the capacity of ACS staff and service providers to identify and engage more of them by developing Program Champions within key areas of Children's Services. These Program Champions will be a resource and liaison for staff members on all issues related to sexual exploitation within child protection, foster care, and preventive program areas with an increased focus within the Education, AWOL, and Older Youth Services units. Children's Services will release a request for proposals to engage a provider experienced on the issue of child sexual exploitation who can work with designated staff to

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

design a curriculum that will be shared with other city agencies serving similar populations.

Fifth, we are using funds to specifically target our Division of Youth and Family Justice. We will hire an expert in this area to train case managers, placement and permanency specialists, and youth counselors to identify, understand, and refer youth to specialized services appropriately. The expert will coordinate their training of juvenile justice staff with the trauma-informed care initiative that DYFJ is currently implementing in partnership with Bellevue Hospital as part of the National Child Trauma Stress Initiative and the Prison Rape Elimination Act, PREA, initiative ACS is launching to comply with the new regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Justice to help juvenile facilities prevent, detect, and respond to sexual misconduct.

In addition to training, the expert will produce a resource guide that staff can use to refer young people when they are discharged from juvenile placements and detention. We will share the resource guide with other city agencies

serving similar populations, including theDepartment of Probation.

Sixth, we will use the funds to strengthen and provide additional expertise to the Jewish Child Care Association and St. Luke's specialized sexually exploited programs with the goal of further developing their treatment service models, creating strategies to recruit foster homes for sexually exploited youth, and for improving outreach efforts to ensure specialized programs are receiving appropriate referrals and are fully utilized.

Seventh, we will use the funding to hire an expert to work with ACS to develop a comprehensive plan with strategies that advance our approaches to working with sexually exploited youth, particularly around addressing the complicated and destructive relationship between the young person and their trafficker. Part of this vision will include enhanced coordination among city agencies, courts, and citywide stakeholders. The plan will also include an analysis of needs, types of services available, gaps in services, prioritization of new service

б

needs for future funding allocations, and will outline both short-term and long-term goals towards an improved response to child exploitation in New York City.

Finally, advocates and stakeholders citywide, including the Council, have expressed the difficulties with, and the need for, an increase in the collection of data on sexually exploited young people. To begin to address this issue, ACS will use a portion of this OCFS funding to hire a consultant to evaluate current data collection methods of sexually exploited service providers in child welfare and juvenile justice, and to identify both short-term and long-term opportunities to improve data collection, analysis, and reporting.

ACS continues to work
systematically on a number of fronts through our
child protective, preventive, foster care, and
juvenile justice programs to identify youth that
may be or are being or have been sexually
exploited and to train staff and establish
services that can address this problem. Through
these efforts, we have learned that identifying

sexually exploited youth who come into care with Children's Services can be challenging for many reasons. Many youth are understandably reticent to disclose sexual exploitation, some feel ashamed, some fear retribution by their abusers, while others are conflicted about the potential prosecution of their abusers.

enter ACS care for a variety of reasons, including substance abuse, mental health concerns, and/or domestic violence on the part of their families.

Any or all of these could mask issues relating to sexual exploitation. As the Council knows, identification of sexual exploitation is much more challenging than simply marking a box on a form.

As much as we want to know what the need is, our priority is to serve and protect youth who need help.

Despite these challenges, we agree with the Council that collecting and tracking data regarding this population is important, which is why we are allocating funds to bolster our ability to track and share data among city agencies. We, therefore, support the proposed legislation

requiring ACS and DYCD to submit an annual report documenting the number of youth who are referred to us as sexually exploited, who self-identify as sexually exploited, or who we determine to be sexually exploited at some point in our time serving them. We hope that with the additional state resources, New York City will have the ability to understand the extent of the need and be able to appropriately support and assist sexually exploited youth—to assist sexually exploited youth, this population.

Thank you for this opportunity.

Ms. Morley and I are eager to answer your questions.

[Pause]

DEBORAH HARPER: Good morning.

Good morning, Chairs Fidler and Palma and members of the Committees on Youth Services and General Welfare. I am Deborah Harper, Assistant

Commissioner for Runaway and Homeless Youth at the New York City Department of Youth and Community Development. I am also seated with Andrew Miller, Assistant Commissioner for External Relations at DYCD.

2.

On behalf of Commissioner Jeanne B.
Mullgrav, thank you for the opportunity to testify
on Introduction number 866-A, which requires
reporting data related to sexually exploited
children. We appreciate the Council's continued
support for our city's most vulnerable young
people. I will keep my overview of RHY services
brief, as the committee is well-informed of the
RHY continuum.

Our coordinated model includes
street outreach and transportation services, a
drop-in center in each borough, emergency
shelters, and Transitional Independent Living,
TIL, facilities. Each DYCD-funded RHY facility
employs a social worker who is responsible for
helping youth to receive appropriate services.

Young people are assessed and evaluated for their mental health needs. The comprehensive assessment evaluates the psychiatric history of each young person, including screening for suicidal ideation, sexual exploitation, depression, and thoughts of sadness, history of violence, or mental illness, and school functioning.

For the purposes of today's hearing we will share with you some information about the number of young people who identified themselves as either sexually abused or exploited in fiscal year 2012. A total of 71 young people identified themselves as abused or exploited. The vast majority, or 58, disclosed this information while in a TIL program. We believe this is because young people feel more comfortable identifying themselves as being sexually abused or exploited the longer they have been in our care.

We support the overall goal of
Intro 866-A, which is to provide greater focus on
the needs of sexually exploited youth served by
both DYCD and ACS through our residential
services. We ask that the Council consider
limiting the bill to these systems. As currently
drafted, the bill would require all DYCD programs,
not just runaway and homeless youth programs, to
be included in the report. All of our providers
are mandated by law to report cases of abuses and
neglect and take appropriate action, however, we
request that the bill focus attention where it's
most needed within the RHY system.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We were pleased that the City was 2 awarded \$622,000 from the state to further enhance 3 4 services to sexually exploited young people 5 through the Safe Harbor grant program. This will provide some welcome relief, considering that the 6 state funding to RHY services has decreased 60% over the past several years from approximately 2 9 million to 744,000. We are grateful for the support of ACS and are pleased to be joined by

Commissioner Ron Richter.

The plan he outlined helps further integrate our agency's services for sexually exploited youth. Specifically, for DYCD, it focuses on three areas: Additional street outreach, sexually exploited service providers at the ACS Children's Center, and Summer Youth Employment slots.

It is important to note that the future Safe Harbor funding is uncertain. It was added to the state budget for the first time in the current state fiscal year, but it was not included in the governor's proposed budget for next year. Commissioner Mullgrav has traveled to Albany twice over the past few weeks and met with

legislators to discuss state funding for youth programs. We are hopeful that this funding will be included in the state legislature's conference committee recommendations expected in mid-March.

We again thank ACS for their partnership and we look forward to continuing our efforts to better serve the needs of sexually exploited youth. We will be pleased to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you.

And we've been joined by Council Member Van

Bramer. We were also joined previously by Council

Members Wills and Gonzalez.

Commissioner Richter, if you had started your testimony with your support for 866-A, I could have said you had me at hello, but I appreciate your support for the legislation. And, Ms. Harper, I understand the problems DYCD might have, given the breadth of diversity of the programs that fall under your aegis if you're required to do every program, so we will take a look at that issue. And if there is anything other than street outreach, crisis shelters, and TILs, we think you do run programs that might lend

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

itself to the reporting requirement. I mean, I can't imagine trying to report anything other than cases of abuse that become--you become aware of the 40,000 people who pass through the Summer Youth Employment program. Stuff like that, I don't think that's what we intended, although in the ideal world, that would be great.

Commissioner Richter, you know--and actually both of you, in listening to your testimony about what we're going to do with \$622,000 of state funding, I recognize that that is a pittance; and if it weren't for the fact that it's the first time that it's been included in the budget, I would call it shameful, but it was better than what was happening before. When taken in context of what Ms. Harper pointed out about the reduction in state funding for the RHY continuum from 2 million to 744,000, you know, you realize that they haven't even caught up to where they were just a few years ago. I remember the governor telling everyone that he had preserved the safety net and I seriously question that when it comes to children sleeping on the street, and there are clearly more of them.

I did notice in your testimonies
that a great deal of this \$622,000 is for training
and not so much for services, and so the concern I
would have first, and I would ask you to comment
on, is we're going to do all this training,
ostensibly we're going to identify a greater
percentage of the sexually exploited youth who are
coming through our doors as being sexually
exploited, where are we going to put them if we're
not increasing services? I mean, even the
services increase at DYCD for street outreach is
terrific, I mean, I can't, you know, everyone who
knows me knows that I'm for that 10,000%, but
where are going to put them all if we find them?
I mean, are we just going to find them, tag them,
and throw them back?

RONALD RICHTER: So that is a fair question, Chair Fidler, and we thought a lot about that question ourselves as we were trying to figure out what to do with \$622,000 of one-time funding. And part of our challenge with this population that we acknowledge is actually identifying the population and trying to figure out how to do that better. And so we did think

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it was important for us with this funding opportunity to leverage the opportunity to inform our staff using the best resources that we have in this city about how to work better with what we consider to be a revolving door with sexually exploited youth, to interview young people more effectively, to talk to young people in a way that allows us to impart information and also allows us to listen more actively, and to get expertise and to train trainers so that in the first instance we actually can get a sense of what our numbers really look like and can begin to learn more about the variation in our sexually exploited youth population at ACS. And so I think that actually we get a handle on what we're looking at. I think then we can start to understand what services we actually need and maybe what services we should be, you know, purchasing ourselves, aside from the \$622,000.

But what constrained us in terms of purchasing beds, for example, is that this is one-time funding and we didn't think it was responsible to use it if it's not going to be here next year and the year after. I mean, that was

part of what went into our thinking.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: You know,
that's a great point and it's a terrific segue for
something that I've been meaning to bring up and
I'm glad you did. Every year we fund \$12 million
worth of shelter beds for runaway and homeless
youth, 7 million of it is one-time funding every
year. Every one of those providers has to go out
there and secure a location, commit to a lease,
you know, commit to run a program; and every
single year that money is excluded from the
mayor's executive budget and it becomes a matter
of the Council standing up and fighting for it.

So I would then assume that
everyone sitting at this table will support the
baselining of the RHY funding in this year's
budget. It's something I've given a lot of
thought to, we've made a lot of progress, not
nearly enough, we made a lot of progress in the 11
some odd years that I have chaired this committee.
I am not going to be here next year, I full well
would like to see that money baselined before I'm
gone because I don't want to leave the burden on
my colleagues who will remain behind to have to

2	fight for the same turf over and over and over
3	again, maybe we can actually make some progress.
4	But having said what you just said, I think it's
5	completely consistent and I would hope that both
6	agencies would advocate with OMB for baselining
7	the RHY funding at least.
8	Having said thatand by the way,
9	we've been joined by Council Members Arroyo,
10	Cabrera, and King.
11	[Off mic]
12	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: We're all on
13	the same train, we know where the train is going
14	now. I would have towell, first of all, let me
15	ask, the Safe Harbor funding is in the governor's
16	budget proposal though, am I correct about that?
17	The continuation of it?
18	RONALD RICHTER: I'm not sure, I
19	can't answer that question. I think
20	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Guys?
21	Anybody?
22	RONALD RICHTER:I thought I
23	heard that the answer to that is no, it's not.
24	I'm going to be in Albany this week, I think that

Commissioner Mullgrav was already in Albany trying

to make progress on that, we obviously feel that

it is critical that it be funded--

4 CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing] 5 And I will use the word shameful because, you 6 know, the fact that they passed this legislation years ago, didn't fund it, then they finally throw a couple of nickels into it and they're going to 9 take them back out. You know, if that is the 10 final result, then they all should be ashamed of 11 themselves. And, quite frankly, I'm willing to 12 say here the governor should be ashamed of himself for not putting it in the budget. That is 13 outrageous. You know, and I was just going to 14 15 assume for a second that it was and that the next 16 question would be, okay, now we've trained the 17 trainers, what's the next step if we would be so 18 bold as to imagine that they will throw us the 19 same nickels and dimes that they've been throwing. 20 I mean, we'll have bought a van, we'll have 21 trained the trainers, you're hiring two different 22 people, if I get your testimony--if I've gleaned 23 that, we're hiring some staff for the additional 24 outreach van, what's next?

RONALD RICHTER:

So I mean, my hope

is that we will have learned something from the
work that we will have done in terms of what our
actual needs are and that we will work together
with the Council, with other city agencies to make
some much better informed decisions about what
services we need. I'm, you know, I'm hopeful that
we will be able to provide additional services to
young people in the way that St. Luke's-Roosevelt
has been serving children and that we will also be
able to provide additional services to young
people in foster care. You know, I think that our
last choice should always be a congregate setting
outside of the city, but I would like us to try to
figure out what our needs actually are before we
answer that question. And I don't think we have
[Crosstalk]
CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
Actually, I thought the trend was to slow the
number ofor reduce the number of congregate
settings outside of the city for juveniles so
RONALD RICHTER: Right.
 i e

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: --I would think that wouldn't be something that we're thinking about.

2	You know, I'm just going to ask one
3	other question and then I'll turn it over to
4	Chairwoman Palma, I have a number of othera few
5	other questions as well. Ms. Harper, do we have
6	any results on the RHY homeless count?
7	DEBORAH HARPER: No, Chairman.
8	We're anticipating DHS having their numbers
9	together because, you know, they were a part of it
10	as well at the end of March because the report
11	goes into the federal government in April.
12	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: And do I
13	recall correctly that the questionnaire for the
14	homeless youth includes questions about sexual
15	exploitation or sex trafficking?
16	DEBORAH HARPER: The questionnaire
17	that we were doing in our sites did, yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay. All
19	right, Chairwoman Palma?
20	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you, Mr.
21	Chair. Commissioner Richter, can you just take me
22	through the process of how ACS staff identifies
23	sexually exploited children?
24	RONALD RICHTER: So, as I said in
25	my testimony, the process of identifying sexually

exploited young people is always part of, you
know, what we think is good social work. And so
the young person coming in any of our doors,
whether it's through a child protective
investigation or through a juvenile justice door
or is in foster care with one of our agencies, we
are consistently, you know, hopeful that our
social workers have enough training in sexually
exploited youth that they are thinking in terms of
relationship building with young people about this
issue. There are particular kinds of cases where
we think that these issues need to be particularly
focused on and so there are protocols that are
followed in certain kinds of cases that should get
at this information much more deliberately.

SUSAN MORLEY: May I?

RONALD RICHTER: I'm going to turn it over to Sue Morley, who I think can probably answer your question more directly.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay.

SUSAN MORLEY: Good morning. As was in the testimony, we did a training conference with Safe Horizons helped us a great deal on, as well as the follow-up training in the boroughs,

and after that training conference, we developed a policy as well as a desk aid that addresses the red flags for human trafficking, how to engage the child, how to engage the parent, and the desk aid is very nice actually for the workers to put right on their desk. And we also in the policy have direct them when you suspect it to also go to the retired detectives.

And that policy really has--we have seen several cases where perhaps before the training we might not have seen--cases that come in as educational neglect, and the mom thinks the daughter is doing drugs and she's running away and she's chronically running away. And through the training and through the investigative experience of the ICs, you know, we take certain investigative steps and look in certain databases.

And a common thing that has been coming up is backpage. We have 12-year old and 14-year old girls that are coming in sometimes as educational neglect and then when we do a workup, we discover them advertised on backpage. And then we do a lot of coordination with NYPD on those type of cases, and frequently, this is a girl that

is running away and we're looking for her and we use our resources as well as the NYPD's vice enforcement coordinator—we have a good relationship with them—to find these children and bring them back.

It is a challenge at times 'cause we frequently find them and they frequently run away, and that's why I think it's so important that the commissioner is using the Safe Harbor funding to give us resources at our Children's Center and that we're going to bring in the DYCD experts that deal with—the contracts they have that deal with sexual exploitation into our Children's Center to not only model how to deal with these children with our staff, because this funding is limited—hopefully we'll be able to get it back—but also to help screen and identify and help try to engage the kids from running away or taking others with them.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: How effective is the first visit in terms of identifying them and is--after that discovery is made is when the child runs away? It's--

SUSAN MORLEY: Excuse me, the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 noise, I couldn't hear you, sorry.

3 CHAIRPERSON PALMA: --it's in the

4 initial contact with the youth--

SUSAN MORLEY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: --in that first initial contact, is there--do we know that they're being sexual exploited?

SUSAN MORLEY: Not necessarily. Sometimes well what we try to train the workers in is the different risk factors--kids with prior sex abuse, kids prior foster care, children that are running away, children are not going to school, children that have the older boyfriend. But even the older boyfriend, some of the young pimps are like 20 years old, the age gap may not even be that different. Are the kids coming home periodically and have things that -- where 'd you get the iPhone from, where'd you get the clothes from; are they disappearing. So we're trying to train them to get more nosey when they're getting these educational neglect cases, when they're getting the parent that says my teenaged daughter, we may be in there for another reason and she says I really have trouble with my teenage daughter,

2.

she's running around, she has an older boyfriend
So really look for those risk factors and then
really, when they interview the child to assess
for that, and we give them certain questions to
ask

RONALD RICHTER: So the 14-year old or 13-year old who has the 18-year old boyfriend has, you know, is a perfect example of a case where we expect our child protective specialists and we expect our case planners at foster care agencies to use the desk aid that I just had handed to you, which should lead to an entirely different profile of questions. But I want to say--

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: [Interposing]
So the 108 investigative consultants, they--when a child is identified, are they're the first ones in contact with the child or is one of the other protective--

RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] No, our child protective specialist is responsible for making a--

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: [Interposing]
And then is reported to--

б

RONALD RICHTER: --referral to the investigative consultant and they're located in our borough offices. So that referral is generated by the investigating case worker.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay.

that, because I think, you know, our agency in the first instance is out there investigating the safety of a child and so whatever allegations come over from the state central registry is the focus of that initial investigation; and then we expect our investigator, child protective investigator, to, you know, look at the whole situation and, because of what's in this desk aid and what we're learning, we expect that to lead to questions that will determine whether a child is sexually exploited, but it's not information that a child is very often going to just disclose and their parent oftentimes doesn't have any idea what's going on.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: So is the Safe
Harbor funding going to be used to train both the

108 case--investigative consultant--

RONALD RICHTER: Investigative

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 48
2	consultants.
3	CHAIRPERSON PALMA:and
4	protective staff as well?
5	RONALD RICHTER: Yes, I mean, we're
6	trying to use it to train all of our staff and to
7	train trainers.
8	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: How many
9	sexually exploited youth are currently now
10	receiving services through ACS?
11	RONALD RICHTER: Yeah.
12	SUSAN MORLEY: I think we had 38
13	families
14	[Crosstalk]
15	RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] So
16	St. Luke's-Roosevelt served, I think in my
17	testimony I said 38 families. Is that the
18	capacity of it or is that
19	SUSAN MORLEY: [Interposing] No
20	[Crosstalk]
21	RONALD RICHTER: So 38 families,
22	and then JCCA's Gateways program serves, I think,
23	12 young people at a time, and it's pretty much
24	always
25	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: [Interposing]

2	What's the length of stay for those 12
3	individuals? And they're beds for females only.
4	RONALD RICHTER: That is correct.
5	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: And we know
6	that researchers have estimated that the higher
7	population of being sexually exploited are males,
8	so are there any programs in place to address the
9	male population or would any of this funding
10	create any of the
11	[Crosstalk]
12	RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] So
13	outside of this funding, we are currently in the
14	process of developing and have allocated funds for
15	JCCA to develop a foster care program for this
16	population that I think will accommodatehow
17	many?
18	SUSAN MORLEY: Twenty-four.
19	FEMALE VOICE: Twenty-four.
20	RONALD RICHTER: Twenty four young
21	people, including males, boys, and we are in the
22	process of bringing those homes online. So there
23	will be availability and the date upon which
24	they'll be ready, I don't have.
25	FEMALE VOICE: Three to six

FEMALE VOICE: Three to six--

Τ	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 50
2	[background noise]
3	RONALD RICHTER: We expect them to
4	be ready within three to six months.
5	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: And this is
6	going to be funds allocated directly to JCCA or
7	it's not
8	RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] Yes,
9	we are contracting with JCCA for those and that
10	has nothing to do with this \$622,000.
11	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay.
12	RONALD RICHTER: That's out of
13	ACS's budget separate and apart from this.
14	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay. And I
15	will ask the same questions in regards to the LGBT
16	youth. Are there any dedicated services
17	specifically for the LGBT youth that are being
18	sexually exploited as well?
19	RONALD RICHTER: So the expectation
20	is that these programs would accommodate
21	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Capture
22	RONALD RICHTER:any youth,
23	whether they're LGBTQ identifying or not.
24	So I also want to say that my hope
25	is clearly for the boys, which is an issue that

2.

isyou've identified, that Chair Fidler
identified, that's a real issue, that our
therapeutic foster boarding homes, you know, can
work with St. Luke's and other programs to take
care of our boys in a, you know, in a foster home
setting appropriately because we shouldn't have to
wait three to six months for Gateways to get these
foster homes

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Right.

RONALD RICHTER: --up and running.

And so that would be what I would hope our plan would be at this point when we encounter a sexually exploited boy, which, clearly, we have in New York, there's no question about that.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Commissioner--

mean, I think that what's critical about this opportunity for us though is that most sexually exploited young people that I think we encounter in the child welfare area, in detention, they're detained so we have a much better opportunity to work with them because they're captive, obviously, they're—

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Right.

RONALD RICHTER:it's a captive
audience. In child welfare, I think that a lot of
these young people are using the Children's Center
as a place to get a hot meal and a shower and then
they walk right out the door and, because of the
law, we don't really have much opportunity other
than our social work ability to sit with these
young people and talk to them. And I don't think
that we have taken advantage of that. For
example, we have medical services at the
Children's Center and I don't think that our
nursing staff has been adequately trained to take
the opportunity of those young people accessing
medical services there to really connect with
those young people and talk to them. And so we
have to really up the ante in terms of what we're-
-how we're working with them at the Children's
Center to really provide a lot of information
about why we're not a negative system, but there
might be opportunities for them with us.
CHAIRPERSON PALMA: And so if this

legislation is passed, how does DYCD plan to implement it? What's going to be the methods used to do the tracking and to make sure that there's

collaboration with DYCD?

RONALD RICHTER: How will we work together? Well I mean part of what we're doing already is setting up a working group that will have membership from both ACS and DYCD and other agencies in order to ensure that the plan that we've submitted and was approved by OCFS is actually effective. So we want to try to see if these approaches to identifying and training work. And so, as Chair Fidler, said, like in year two, will we know a lot more than we knew in year one, and then what will we do with the information we have.

In terms of working collaboratively with DYCD, I think that the development of this plan is a reflection of our collaboration around these young people. We made sure that we shared information and that we are going to do that on an ongoing basis with respect to these young people, and we've been in pretty much constant communication. If I understand your question is—

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: [Interposing]

24 That's exactly--

25 [Crosstalk]

RONALD RICHTER: Yeah, we intend to continue to do so. I mean, I think that the Summer Youth Employment opportunity for this population is one concrete example of how we think that our agency, for example, in detention this past summer had young people in detention who had summer youth employment opportunities, we think that this was another example of connecting in that way for our agencies.

that, you know, this counts under the leadership of Council Member Lew, every year we're asking DYCD and those—and the administration to make sure not to reduce the funding for the summer youth programs. When you talk about these 47 slots that are going to be carved out for this population, are we talking about the slots that are now always in, you know, that continue to be in danger on a yearly basis to be reduced?

ANDREW MILLER: So Andrew Miller from DYCD, thank you for the question. As you know, the mayor has committed to SYEP, in fact, we're pleased that he has continued to add \$20.5 million into the budget, and we also just received

2	good news that the state included about \$25
3	million statewide into SYEP, of which we
4	anticipated getting about \$13.5 million. So in
5	total, right now, we estimate serving about 29,000
6	young people. We recently had a request for
7	proposals for a new competition and one of the
8	competitions happens to be with vulnerable young
9	people and that would include 1,000 slots. And
10	this would actually enable us to hire 40
11	additional young people through referral through
12	ACS.
13	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: So there will
14	be newly created slots.
15	ANDREW MILLER: Newly created
16	slots, yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you. And
18	I just have one more question. In your testimony,
19	Commissioner, the 480 youth per month that you
20	reference, then the next sentence said through the
21	training, the street outreach will serve
22	approximately 4,300 more children. Will that be
23	per month or?
24	RONALD RICHTER: I think that's

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 5
2	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Yearly, okay.
3	RONALD RICHTER: Yeah, I think
4	that's annually.
5	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay. So the
6	expectation is to be able to serve 4,300 annually.
7	RONALD RICHTER: With the street
8	outreach, that's correct.
9	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay. Thank
10	you. I don't have any further questions at this
11	time.
12	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay. We have
13	a number of Council Members who have questions.
14	Begin with Council Member Arroyo, followed by
15	Council Member King.
16	[Pause]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. We
18	were just trying to sort that out, thank you.
19	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20	Good morning, Commissioner, your
21	staff. You referenced the drop-inthe centers,
22	the drop-in centers, I'm assuming is what you're
23	referring to. For runway youth. Homeless,
24	runaway youth who come into these centers for a
25	shower and then they leave, they don't want to

25 DEBORAH HARPER: Well our

expectation is that the youth will be properly
assessed at the drop-in centers. Each one of the
DYCD sites is staffed with at least one full-time
MSW staff person, and so if a young person is
coming into a drop-in center on a repeated basis
for the same type of services, you know, like
coming in to get a shower and there's other
things, you know, they can look at the person's
physical appearance and make assessments on that.
So what's expected is that they do take the steps
to identify that whether or not a young person is
being sexually exploited, and then to take the
steps to engage them in some counseling, and then
eventually get them to move into our residential
continuum. So that's the expectation that they
will be alerted to those identifiers so that they
can get the proper services.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO:

[Interposing] So how many have been identified under that expectation?

DEBORAH HARPER: In fiscal year '12, we had 56 young people who were identified as being sexually exploited.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And at what

2	point does ACS become involved, DYCD contracting
3	for the runaway youth or homeless youth, ACS,
4	being a different arm of the city administration,
5	I'd like to believe you guys talk to each other,
6	but my experience is that sometimes that doesn't
7	happen. So where's the collaboration between the
8	two agencies to make sure that we get this
9	individual or this \$622,000 to touch that life?
10	DEBORAH HARPER: Okay. Well there
11	is interaction between the two agencies. We don't
12	see a overwhelming number of young people under
13	the age of 18 so it's not
14	[Crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO:
16	[Interposing] You do not.
17	DEBORAH HARPER: No, we do not.
18	Most of our young people are 18 and over, so when
19	there are
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO:
21	[Interposing] So where does the 15-year old who is
22	homeless go?
23	DEBORAH HARPER: A 15-year old, if
	_
24	they show up at any of our provider agencies, the

25

2	parent or legal guardian, if available, if
3	appropriate, depending on what the young person is
4	telling us, and ACS. And so that would be when an
5	ACS contact would definitely be made, if a young
6	person under the age of 16 was to appear.
7	But any young person under the age
8	of 18 would also go through that same protocol.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Do we know
10	how many we lose because of this policy?
11	DEBORAH HARPER: That we lose?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Mm-hmm.
13	DEBORAH HARPER: When ACS is
14	contacted, you know, our experience so far is that
15	they do respond and, you know
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So maybe
17	one of the indicators in this data report should
18	be the number of children under 18 that show up to
19	the drop-in centers, or I'm not sure what you call
20	them, butand then what happens to them, and what
21	happens when ACS comes in and takes over the work
22	to help get this individual to a permanent
23	situation.

Many are running away because their

home situation is not safe or extremely

conflicting between parent and young person
because of whatever the circumstances are. We
also know that a majority of those are LGBT youth.
So, you know, I'm hopeful that this data and once
this is adopted and we include indicators that
help us understand DYCD is not the one that's
going to follow up on this individual's
permanency, but then how many of them were
referred to ACS, ACS became involved, and what the
outcome of that individual's living situation,
placement, et cetera, is something that we should
be looking for as well.

I'm going to talk about those in detention, and I'm, Commissioner Richter, I had a conversation with your staff and I don't remember her name, but she was very helpful and I believe it was on Friday and she might be in the room.

What's your name?

FEMALE VOICE: Jill.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Jill?

Thank you, Jill. A case in my district of a young man who is being released from detention who does not want to go back home because he's afraid for his life. And as we engage in this process of

reintegrating young people back into the community what kind of alternatives we're offering or are available. And I want to engage in a conversation. The young man was released Friday and by Sunday, he had been attacked and hurt really, really bad, almost killed, because he knew that the minute he went back on the block that something was going to happen.

And I'm really concerned about those, in particular, the first time offenders who are looking for an alternative because they know that if they get back into the environment they came from, or the circumstances they came from, they will more than likely end up back in the system. And that is something that I think we need to spend a little bit of time talking about and see how we can carve out services for individuals who are self-identifying, I really cannot go back because I know what waits for me is something that's going to be detrimental to me and will probably keep them in that repeat offender list. So I look forward to that conversation with you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2	CHAIRPERSON FII	LER: Thank you

Council Member King.

Sorry, we've been joined by Council Members Mark-Viverito, Levin, and Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman and Madam Chair. And I thank you,
ACS and DYCD, being here for today's conversation,
I appreciate it.

As a ex-CPS worker, I understand the challenges that ACS goes through when it comes to dealing with certain populations and certain families, but I do have a couple of questions that I want to get some clarity and which might be added to the data that we want to incur.

when we talk about young people engaging in sexual behavior, it just doesn't start when they turn 17, 18, 15, or 14. So I will like to know what would you say right now is the time of first sexual contact or any type of sexual contact to the time they're coming to service to ACS, there—is there any type of data that can have been putting together. If not, maybe we might want to start tracking, say, a 7-year old who might have encountered something but it

б

doesn't play out 'til their 13 or 14. That puts them on the road to being sexually exploited by the time they become later on in life. Start having those conversations.

And what kind of assessments are being done when a family does encounter ACS to find out if a 7-year old, even though we're dealing with the 14-year old in the house, are we touching base with the 7-year old or the 8-year old to find out what else is going on? Because, like I said, a child doesn't just all of a sudden, 14-year olds don't know how to read, something happen when they was in third grade that they didn't know how to start it, and it's the same thing with this type of behavior also.

My next question would be are there—and I think I might have heard something similar that Council Member Palma touched on—are there any sweeps being done where we know where this activity is occurring throughout the city to stop that activity? Because if there aren't any demand, the supply we can kind of eliminate. Is that being happening right now, sweeps of those areas that they're high prostitution areas? I

2 know I saw something about working with the
3 district attorney and NYPD, but how is that really
4 having an impact?

Also, for those young people who don't want help--it's hard to help somebody who doesn't want to be helped--how do we get through to them to let them know that, you know, you're not going to be that 17-year old being exploited, you don't have to live this way, you know, what is life going to look for you at 25. How is DYCD, those agencies servicing these young people to help them pull themselves out of that? So I'm going to stop right there and just to hear what you have to say on that. Thank you.

RONALD RICHTER: So with respect to--and I guess I'll start by saying it's always helpful to have someone who has been a child protective specialist, because I haven't done the job, but I know that I've been working with child protective specialists my whole career and, unless you have done the job, you can't possibly know how hard it is. And so adding this, you know, I handed Chair Palma the desk aid, you know how many desk aids our CPS have and how much is in their

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 head when they're trying to do this job of 3 assessing safety and it's a very hard job so...

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: It is.

RONALD RICHTER: So to answer your question, the profile of the sexually exploited child, if you will--you know, Sue Morley knows a lot more about it than I do--but generally speaking, more often than not--and this is a generalization -- the young person has been sexually abused at some point in their life, probably when they were younger, and the prostitution, I think you said the studies show started in between 12 and 14 years old, the trafficking, if you will, started between 12 and 14, I think this is for young people who we know in the United States young people who have been sexually exploited. So there are signs that we can look for. So if a child was sexually abused when they were younger, there is a greater likelihood that they might be sexually exploited and something that we should be thinking about that is part of our training in terms of identifying sexually exploited young people. And so it gives us something to go on.

And I do think that the more that

we know about what we can provide to our staff,

the better we'll do at identifying who these young

people are.

So in terms of the street outreach, we do know that there are particular parts of the city where young people tend to congregate at night, hot spots for sexually exploited youth and we are working with Streetworks, in particular, which is a part of Safe Horizons, to target those parts of the city in order to do the best job we can at providing services to young people who are being sexually exploited. So there is some knowledge here in the city about where these kids are.

And I don't know, Sue, do you want to add to either of those answers?

SUSAN MORLEY: Actually, one of the conversations I had with the vice coordinator is to asked him for a training for the investigative consultants to start with on what they're seeing and what are the areas, just to keep us updated. I mean, we know the typical areas that a lot of the kids come through the Port Authority and they get victimized there. But we're also fighting

2.

technology now. The kids have iPhones, it's not
always on the street like years ago, there are
still some on the street, but with technology,
that's the other thing that we have to keep up on.
And it also sometimes helps us find the kids too,
though, so

8 COUNCIL MEMBER KING: So let me
9 just follow up.

RONALD RICHTER: You had a third question, I think--

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Yes, okay, please.

RONALD RICHTER: --is more for DYCD.

DEBORAH HARPER: Right. In terms of identifying the young people and helping them to understand that this isn't a lifestyle that you want to keep and one day you will be 25 and this isn't what you want to be doing, we provide as much information at every entry point within our continuum as we can. So the street outreach workers, they have literature with them about sexual exploitation and, you know, and the gamut of youth services within New York City. And also

they're given information of where our drop-in centers are. If they do not want to be transported when the street outreach team encounters them, they are given information and directions on how to get to one of our drop-in centers so that if they want to do that at another time, in the morning, you know, they are given that information.

So there's but so much that you can do when the youth is not willing to come in and receive services, but we do try to inundate them with the information so that when they do have that moment that they wake up and say, hey, this is it for me, they know exactly where they can go for services.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. Thank you. Just two more. Just want to follow up, you mentioned that you all do know where the hotspots are, a number of hotspots are, so what is the plan to kind of shut down those hotspots?

DEBORAH HARPER: Well DYCD's role is really to get the young person out of that hotspot into a safe space. I think that maybe what you're asking is in terms of law enforcement?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Yeah, I'm
3	just trying to hear because if we know there's a
4	drug spot here, we try to shut it down. So now if
5	we know this is a spot where prostitution, what's
6	the plan to try to shut it down and, you know,

pull those kids out of there into safety?

RONALD RICHTER: Well so I use the expression hotspot, it's more--it's a place where kids hang out so it's not like they're out breaking the law where they're hanging out, they're--it's where kids congregate, so--

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: I see.

RONALD RICHTER: --they're not doing anything bad while they're hanging out other than being, you know, kids. So it's an issue of the opportunity to go out and talk to kids and educate kids where they are and where they're at, and so we have to take advantage of that opportunity more than we have been doing. Because a lot of those young people, we think, are actually in foster care and so they go from where they're hanging out and get involved in bad stuff, whether it's through activity they're involved in on the Internet or through pimps that they know,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. leads me to my next question, just if you just bear with me.

RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] And I do want to answer your other question as well, which is I think what makes this the most challenging issue maybe we have, which is that, you know, the Gateways program, which is a fantastic program that JCCA runs for this population works when a young person is ready to sort of give up the life, they've sort of had this epiphany and they say I'm ready to work towards changing my life. Many of these young people are not there--

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Right.

RONALD RICHTER: --they're very

2.

nappy with this life. They're getting money,
they're experiencing going out to restaurants and
living a life that they've never had before. And
the best comparison I can make from when I was a
teenager is people who I knew who got involved in
a cult and they were sort of living this life
where they had to be deprogrammed

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Right.

RONALD RICHTER: --and our kids really do need to be deprogrammed when they-COUNCIL MEMBER KING: True.

RONALD RICHTER: --get involved in this because it's a total separate thing from what their home life is about and they've made a very deliberate choice to be a part of it, and getting them out of it is really about deprogramming.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Yeah. So I don't want to beat the foster care system over the head, I don't want to do that because I know it's challenging enough, but what do you do when you have programs that you find that are ineffective—and I'm not just talking about the kids that need to be deprogrammed, but if you have programs that you find that are ineffective or even if you have

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

workers who, no matter how amount of training they've gone through, they just can't seem to deliver young people to sanity again, what do we do with that team that you have in place? How do you deal with that?

RONALD RICHTER: So we have, at ACS we have monitors that monitor our programs and we have a scorecard is what we call it that scores our agencies, and we have a whole range of ways that we go about ensuring that our programs are delivering the outcomes that we expect. currently have programs that are on heightened monitoring that are on different levels of probation, and we take their contracts away if they don't perform the way that they're supposed to perform.

I mean, we obviously give programs an opportunity to improve. We have an Agency Program Assistance department that goes out and helps programs try to improve. We shut down intake for programs that are not performing properly. But we ultimately take away contracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING:

RONALD RICHTER: Is that your

24

25

2 question?

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Well thank

you because that's good to know because I've been

in the foster care system or working in it and

I've seen how some of them just aren't doing what

these children need to get done--

RONALD RICHTER: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: --but still they get the contract, they still get the funding, and at the end of the day, our kids are the ones that still struggle. So thank you, thank you for answering my questions, and I appreciate--

[Crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
Thank you, Council Member. And we've been joined
by Council Members Lander and Brewer.

And Council Member King actually touched on a couple of the areas that I was going to follow up on, so let's just go with that last one. You know, what happens when you identify a sexually exploited young person and the problem is at their foster care placement? It's either if another young person there or, God, even worse, a supervisor, what do you do then?

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 77
2	Commissioner, wouldn't you think that's something
3	you'd want to know? I mean, each time it happens-
4	_
5	[Crosstalk]
6	RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] Yes,
7	and I'm sure
8	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: I mean, if
9	there's one provider that has a repeated
10	[Crosstalk]
11	RONALD RICHTER: Absolutely.
12	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER:history.
13	RONALD RICHTER: And I'm sure I do
14	know because they're required to tell me, but
15	whetheryour question was do I compile it, and I
16	don't believe I compile it but I am required to
17	know, as the commissioner, when something like
18	that happens, so the answer is, of course, I know
19	about it. The
20	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
21	Would you object if this bill required you as well
22	to compile criminal complaints of a sexual nature,
23	a sexual exploitation nature at your facilities?
24	RONALD RICHTER: You mean with our
25	providers or because, I mean, I think that some
	i

24 RONALD RICHTER: --with staff as 25 well.

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 80
2	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER:and someone
3	is
4	RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing] I
5	mean, I just want to make clear that what happens
6	in those situations in any case, whether there is
7	a criminal investigation or not, there is a child
8	protective investigation.
9	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Well but where
10	I was going was that there may be activity that
11	appears consensual but really is more subject to
12	peer pressure or bullying of some kind that can be
13	much more subtle.
14	The other topic that Council Member
15	King touched on was the NYPD. About four years
16	ago, we had a hearing where we had someone from
17	the Dallas PD participate and talked about, you
18	know, what they do in Dallas which sounded
19	strikingly progressive. And the question I have,
20	I assume that this interagency task force you
21	described in your testimony, the NYPD sits on
22	that?

SUSAN MORLEY: There is the criminal justice coordinators task force that the NYPD is on as well as ACS, as well as a lot of the

23

24

25

2	provider agencies. The task force the
3	commissioner mentioned is more a high-level task
4	force that the deputy mayor runs. So there's

different levels of task forces in the city.

6 CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]

7 Oh, on that high-level task force, do we have the 8 NYPD--

9 [Crosstalk]

SUSAN MORLEY: [Interposing] Well
yeah, she does, she--

12 CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay.

SUSAN MORLEY: --she does, yes.

regardless of who runs it, it would strike me that a properly and fully trained police force would be the best--well not the best, but a valuable supplement to any street outreach that we're able to fund and I just want to encourage you to include them in. The cops see a lot of stuff that our very, very limited street outreach team can't possibly get to. And certainly I assume that their handbook does tell them that when they pick up a 16-year old or a 17-year old and they know that they're 16 or 17 for street work, that they

2 know right away to treat them as a victim and not
3 as a criminal. I certainly hope that is
4 happening.

RONALD RICHTER: I mean, I want to say that we work very closely with the police department on our cases and, you know, the issue for us is always what's the best approach to the individual case that we're working on; and sometimes the police department can be very helpful in an investigation, depending on where we are, and other times, that may not be the case. But we've had a very collaborative relationship with the police department in terms of some of the specific cases that our investigative consultants have been working on to sometimes to very positive results for young people.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay. Now let me follow up just on a question that Council

Member Arroyo, she kind of brought the topic up in general. I know that some studies that we've done on runaway and homeless youth, we've had identifiers put in so we knew we weren't counting the same person multiple times without crossing the line of disclosing their personal identities.

In Intro 866-A, will you guys know whether or not
the person that you're reporting as having had
contact with ACS is the same or different from

someone who had contact with DYCD?

RONALD RICHTER: So part of the reason that we want to have somebody look at this, a consultant look at this, is that's one of the reasons that we're interested in that. That you raise one of the issues that we're concerned. In other words, the quality of the data. Because young people sometimes use aliases, our own systems sometimes don't capture information correctly. This is an area around which we want to be cautious so that the data is actually reliable.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Oh, so if the legislation were to use the words unique individuals or something like that and leave the process of how you work towards making sure that those individuals unique without disclosing their personal identity, that would be something that we--you could look at it.

But I'm also more concerned or as much concerned with knowing which individuals have

passed through both of your systems. I'm sure,
well your predecessor would know that, I certainly
have commented on the fact that foster care is
frequently the biggest spigot onto the runaway and
homeless youth population are the kids aging out
or kids leaving or kids being driven out of their
foster care home the same way they were driven out
of their home. So knowing which of these
individuals have been in contact with both of you,
I think the biggest tragedy of all would be
someone who does come into a DYCD street outreach
program, they're sent over to ACS, put into a
foster care system, and gets abused there. That
is just, you know, like double tragic. So I think
we want to try and work this bill to the point
where we can capture that data, is that something
you'd be happy to look at or? Okay.
RONALD RICHTER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Yes, terrific.

Anything?

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Commissioner, on the sex trafficking desk aid, the sex trafficking resources, for JCCA Gateways, youth have to have referrals to enter these programs,

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 85
2	they can't just call up.
3	RONALD RICHTER: So I think the
4	answer to your question is yes. In other words, a
5	worker needs to make a referral, is that what
6	you're asking?
7	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Yes.
8	RONALD RICHTER: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: And are the 12
10	beds that JCCA operate, arethey're outside of
11	the city?
12	RONALD RICHTER: Yes. Yes, they're
13	located outside the city.
14	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Oh, why is
15	that? Just
16	RONALD RICHTER: So JCCA, I think
17	and they would answer this question better than I
18	wouldI think that's actually deliberate as part
19	of their model, that
20	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: To help them
21	RONALD RICHTER:there is a sense
22	thata geographic separation for this particular
23	population helps the process of recovery.
24	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay. All
25	right, I just

24

25

[Crosstalk]

RONALD RICHTER: [Interposing]

Probably because there's less of a temptation and it makes the rehabilitation process easier in the beginning, I'm sure.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Council Member

Gonzalez.

COUNCIL MEMBER GONZALEZ: Thank you, Chair. I'll make it real quick in the interest of time. Commissioner, thank you. your testimony, I believe it's on page five, you spoke about the training in respect to sexual exploitation and that there would be training available for employees, as well as the work with DYCD. The question I have for you, is there going to be availability of ongoing training throughout the year? Is there not just one training, because models of behavior change on and so forth and even approaches. Is that something that you will consider? Is there an outside or maybe internal entity that trains employees ongoing or need be? For example, to identify different things in

the folks at DYCD, I would imagine, it's the same

25

2 thing?

RONALD RICHTER: Right, we also have what we will call Program Champions that we are going to develop within the agency who will develop expertise in these issues so that we will have knowledge, we will grow knowledge within ACS and those people will be required to become our subject matter experts in the agency and they will get significant training on these issues. And we will have at least one in each division who will be the repository of this information.

COUNCIL MEMBER GONZALEZ: Well thank you, you answered both questions, thank you. Thank you--

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Council Member
Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

I'm sure you probably trace this, but that in that some young people may have been in school at one point, may still be in school, it's the same question I asked everybody, and you know probably what it is, but when are we ever going to get and do you support culturally appropriate mental

2.

nealth services in the school so if these young
people could perhaps have somebody to talk to so
they don't end up? So how do we talk about
prevention before we get in this situation? And
does that come up in your task force? How do we
stop it? Would mental health culturally
appropriate services help in the schools, and is
anybody advocating for them, except for me?

SUSAN MORLEY: Task force is very concerned about the schools and there has been conversations about how to get some training to the teachers, training to the guidance counselors, and there's work going on in that area.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And guidance counselors can't do it all, you need social workers. To be honest with you, the guidance counselors are swamped. So would the discussion of what I just described come up maybe in the future?

SUSAN MORLEY: Yeah, we certainly will bring it back, but it is one of the areas that perhaps is the toughest to tackle and the conversations are going on in the task force on that.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2				COUNC	CIL M	IEMBE	CR BREWEF	8: I	won't	
3	belabor	it,	but	you	get	the	point.	Thar	nk you,	Mr.

4 Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: That is a good point. Thank you for your testimony, I appreciate it. We can be sure that our counsels will be in touch with you about trying to come to language that includes everything that needs to be included in a way that you can accept. I'd ask DYCD as well to look at any programs within your aegis other than drop-ins, crisis shelters, and TILs, that it might be appropriate. I don't want to paint with too broad a brush but I think if we can find some others, that'll be great. And I trust that all four of you will take back to the Office of Management and Budget the point about one-shot funding and how that doesn't work for our providers in RHY either. So thank you very much.

DEBORAH HARPER: And thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: We have three panels, unfortunately, we have to be out of this room at 1 o'clock, so I apologize for that. So I'm going to ask each of you, even though we don't actually have a physical clock in the room, to go

25

2	I'm Janice Holzman, I'm the chief
3	development and communications officer at Girls
4	Education and Mentoring Services, GEMS. I'm going
5	to skip over our mission, I've got to cut the
6	testimony down a little bit.
7	So I think most people know what
8	GEMS does: W serve girls between 12 and 24 who
9	have been victims of commercial sexual
10	exploitation. We're the largest agency of our
11	kind in the United States, and we are the only
12	nonprofit in New York dedicated to serving this
13	population exclusively. We were founded in 1998
14	by Rachel Lloyd, a survivor of commercial sexual
15	exploitation
16	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
17	I thought we were going to skip over the
18	JANICE HOLZMAN: Yeah, I am, I was-
19	_
20	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER:CV.
21	JANICE HOLZMAN: Okay. Of the 350
22	girls and young women GEMS serves every year,
23	approximately 75% have had some form of child

welfare involvement. In calendar year 2012, we

received a total of 57 housing referrals for the

eight beds funded through DYCD; and that's just for our population that we serve under 21. We received a total of 74 housing referrals that year.

We've witnessed a significant increase in public awareness over the last five years and a dramatic improvement in the City's response to the issue. But I think we all know that this is a pivotal moment and what we do at this moment is really going to impact how we address this issue going forward.

Documenting the number of youth in contact with ACS is a great step forward.

Training the staff, providing technical assistance, and supporting ACS in ongoing direct service work and crisis response service is something that GEMS has been doing for ten years with ACS. If documentation or counting is going to be required, the methodology is really critical. Without survivor-informed support in developing the systems to gather this information, we're certain to miss youth. Only with survivor-led and informed support that service providers will be able to create an environment where young

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people feel that they can disclose a history of
commercial sexual exploitation without
experiencing stigmatization.

The proposal raised a few questions Obviously, where are the funds going to for GEMS. come from to pay for training of case workers? What's the cost associated with this? Does this really mean that hundreds of thousands of dollars designated for counting while direct services by providers go unfunded year after year? Does the count happen at initial intake? If so, do they go back afterwards to amend records? How does one achieve unduplicated accounts, which was mentioned? And will a young person's disclosure follow him or her through the system? happens when someone is counted? Where is he or she referred? What services do they receive and how are those services funded? And what about youth who are already counted? As I mentioned, GEMS serves a significant number of ACS-involved young people. Where is the support to serve those who are already in services and need food, transportation, shelter?

25 At this time, there is still no

designated crisis beds for commercially sexually exploited youth in New York City. Most critically, are the services going to be in line with survivor-informed models? While there is no evidence-based models, there are survivor-led models that work and have documented successes, like My Life My Choice in Boston, MISSSEY in Oakland, California.

We can't emphasize enough that survivor leadership is critical in the creation and the development of implementation of services. And GEMS' own survivor-led training victim survivor leader is being trained on all over the country, but not in New York City, except for external service providers.

Separate, but related, we're focusing a lot of energy on this aspect of it, but what's happening to the boys who are in the system? And not just those who need services, but how are we helping young men not become exploiters themselves who are ACS involved?

In order to gather information that's accurate, city agencies will need widespread training and technical assistance to

develop a supportive and judgment-free environment throughout youth services to create an environment where young people do feel like they can disclose this information. ACS needs a tolerant policy beyond a resource guide that would, you know, reflect appropriate language. Education on the issue that's beyond case workers and administration believing that young people would like to do this, or actually enjoy commercial sexual exploitation. And understand that a young person with a trafficker does not have access to money and that the opportunity to go out to dinner probably means a trip to McDonald's.

They also need materials that are available to young people that are youth friendly, that will create an environment and a culture where it is okay to share this information.

Because the idea that a young person is going to share this information to someone they've just met is really unrealistic.

We appreciate the urgency to bring this issue to the forefront and to start documenting the scale of it. At GEMS, we've been waiting for this kind of acknowledgment for a long

б

time, but we need to slow this process down and
bring major stakeholders, not simply as part-time
case managers, but as leaders to create a system
that young people will benefit from. Survivors
are being left out this conversation. There are
no magic questions you can ask that are going to
ensure a young person will disclose a history of
abuse to someone they've just met. An answer of
yes will likely mean yes, but a response of no is
by no means a definitive no. If we start this
project without survivor input, we run the risk of
developing a system that misses the majority of
exploited youth and creates a false perception
that there isn't a problem.

We would caution against focusing so closely on counting that youth aren't being served or aren't being served well. That's why we're all here and the young people need to be remain central to this conversation. Thank you again.

> CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you.

And before we go to the next--

[background noise]

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: --I just want

to point out that I think everyone who is familiar

with this is aware of the fact that whatever

numbers come out of this will be an undercount.

JANICE HOLZMAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: It's obvious to anyone who's been working on this problem for five minutes. The motivation behind this bill is to establish empirically the inadequacy of services for this population, that's the point.

Because I can get anecdotal testimony from any provider about the length of their waiting list, but we will never move beyond the service capacity that we have now, particularly with the ridiculous funding that we get from the state and the virtual absence of funding from the federal government, to meet the actual need unless we can prove it, and that's the point.

So next, please.

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Hi, good afternoon, my name is Dr. Elizabeth Schnur and I'm the chief strategy officer at Jewish Child Care Association, JCCA, and I welcome the opportunity to address you all. And I thank Council Member Fidler and Palma and all the members of the Youth

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 99
2	Services
3	[Pause]
4	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: It's not on.
5	[Pause]
6	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Is that
7	better?
8	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Please don't
9	read your testimony
10	[Crosstalk]
11	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR:
12	[Interposing] Okay. I thanked you all, you're all
13	wonderful for supporting this important
14	initiative, thank you.
15	So I'm going to try and skip about
16	the agency, you've heard a lot about our programs,
17	our Gateways program, which actually now, because
18	of the expansion with NSP, is serving 19 young
19	women at a time soor ten, that's our capacity.
20	So the continued need for services
21	with this population, obviously, can't be
22	overstated. The Justice Department estimates that
23	there are between 100,000 and 3 million
24	adolescents who are sexually exploited each year
25	in this country. And I want to point out that

this huge range in the estimate, that's like--I'm a researcher, and that's like a ridiculous range, and I think that's a really a good indicator of why this kind of enterprise is important to get some kind of estimate--better estimate of what the problem is and what it looks like.

Just 16% of the girls in JCCA's Gateways program are under the age of 14, and we have served girls as young as 11 in this program.

So I'm not going to tell you about my credentials, I'm a researcher, I just want to put that out there because what I mostly want to say is some concerns we have and some recommendations we have about how the data are collected. And so first we want to encourage whomever—ACS and NYPD—in collecting the data to consider the individuals who are going to provide the information. The service line staff members are often the ones we go to and they're the ones who are the most overtaxed with work, so to the extent that we have existing data, it would be really good to mine that data for the kind of information we need.

And, as my colleague pointed out,

they will need technical assistance, whomever isare the people to whom we're going. I think I agree with you that we need to consult with a large set of stakeholders, including staff at direct service organizations who are working with these young people, researchers to help really understand how you get an accurate estimate, representatives from juvenile justice, and the youth themselves to create a thoughtful and meaningful data collection tool and ensure an appropriate sampling. And the sampling question is a huge one, so that's why you need researchers.

It's obviously inadequate to collect data based solely on youth who have been referred for services specifically for this population given the dearth of programs, both citywide and nationwide, this will necessarily result in an undercount. Many youth also present signs of CSEC [phonetic] involvement well after initial intake—I think this was part of what your point was—so suggesting that an initial screening tool when people come in the front door, which I heard some discussion of, will—it will yield significant underestimates of prevalence, it's

2 really a problematic way to go.

Perhaps more importantly, we believe that the greatest number of youth involved in CSEC are not easily identifiable and will not self-identify, and also are represented in a large range of non-specialized, non-referred settings, including schools. Many youth involved in CSEC are invisible and unknown to any law enforcement or child welfare systems, but they were the ones who ultimately will come into the systems.

So we need to think about how these tools will engage and count youth who exist outside these systems. For example, although JCCA runs programs specifically for CSEC survivors, a recent quick survey of staff in our agency programs that do not explicitly serve this population demonstrated the presence of a fairly large number of children who staff strongly suspect have been or are currently involved in CSEC activity.

We also need to understand that there's not a defined set of standards or symptoms to identify a youth who has been involved with commercial sexual exploitation. This term covers

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a spectrum of experience and needs and we need to clarify what is actually included, rather than lumping everybody together.

Similarly, when developing estimates, we need to be clear that we are all using the same criteria to define this population. For example, although many young people involved in commercial sexual exploitation have also been sexually abused, these terms are not synonymous and have different treatment implications, really different treatment implications. And I can just tell you that we--our campus in Westchester County has about 250 young people on it, I would estimate 80% of them have experienced sexual abuse, a much smaller number have been involved or are involved in sexual exploitation. So just... So we need to address the fact that the populations of sexually exploited youth may vary dramatically in terms of identification and treatment need and ideally the data will reflect this diversity.

The trajectory and needs of boys and young men who are sexually exploited--and other people have raised this--may differ dramatically. We believe they do from those of

girls and young women. And age is an importa	nt
variable as well. We've seen in our program	that
working with 11 and 12 and 13-year olds is ve	ry
different than working with the 15 and 16-year	r
olds.	

The more we are able to accurately characterize the population in the estimates, the more we ultimately will be able to target effective interventions. And I really want to stress interventions because there's a lot of discussion about identifying the kid, finding the problem, and then there's not a lot of treatment, specialized treatment, out there designed to work with this population. And generic therapy, generic counseling is not an effective tool.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Which is why the funding of the Safe Harbor Act at the level that it's being funded at is so outrageous.

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Exactly.

Exactly. So--

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
Ask you to sum up though, I'm sorry.

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Beg pardon?

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Have to ask

2 you to sum up, I'm sorry.

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: I'm summing up, I'm done. Basically, we want to reiterate our enthusiastic support for data collection and encourage the City Council to use this information to support direct service and specialized treatment to this populations. The most immediate way to help this population is to get youth off the street and into crisis beds, of which there are shamefully few in the city, and to provide them with specialized treatment, which is even scarcer.

Thank you for your interest in the issue, and we look forward to working together.

And I just want to add really quickly, if anybody wants me to talk a little bit about Gateways and why it makes sense for it not to be in the city, I would be happy to do that, but I'll wait until someone asks--

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
You can do that offline.

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Okay.

CAMELIA TEPELUS: May I? Yeah.

Hello, good morning, many thanks to Council Member

2	Fidler and Palma for convening this important
3	hearing. ECPAT-USA is a 22-year old agency,
4	international known for working exclusively on
5	protecting children from sexual exploitation. We
6	carried out over the last 22 years research
7	advocacy for policy and legislative changes and
8	implementing a wide range of programs to protect
9	sexually exploited children in New York and
10	nationally. We also work closely with the private
11	sector, especially the travel industry, to
12	implement corporate policies to ensure travel
13	businesses are not facilitating, willingly or
14	unwillingly, child sexual exploitation on their
15	premises.
16	Lack of adequateaccurate
17	[Crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
19	Again
20	CAMELIA TEPELUS:information
21	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER:I apologize
22	but I have to ask you not to read two pages of
23	testimony
24	CAMELIA TEPELUS: [Interposing] I
25	will not, I will

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: -- just hit the

highlights.

CAMELIA TEPELUS: --skip half of

5 it--

б

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you.

CAMELIA TEPELUS: --do not worry.

Lack of adequate information is often referred to as a reason why existing child protection laws and related child welfare services are insufficiently funded. ECPAT-USA expresses its strong support for the proposed Local Law 0886 that would request ACS to submit yearly reports to the City Council documenting the number of sexually exploited children.

In 2008, ECPAT-USA actively
advocated for New York Safe Harbor law aimed to
protect minors, victims of sexual exploitation
from criminal prosecution and ensuring specialized
services for them. Since its enactment in New
York in 2010, based on ACS information, as of
November 2012, 29 minors have been processed as
trafficking victims in the state. Several
loopholes and weaknesses of Safe Harbor became
apparent. Failure of accurately identifying

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

victims still remains a primary barrier, and I
think ACS, in their testimony, explained what they
will do to address this matter.

A very important point from the ECPAT-USA point of view is that although federal legislation define all children younger than 18 years old as trafficking victims in need of protection, New York State law enforcement and courts still identify children younger than 18 as delinquent prostitutes, considering them criminals instead of [off mic] them on a rehabilitative child welfare course. There is no minimum age for arresting a child for prostitution in New York which makes it possible for exploited children 14, 15 years old and sometimes younger to be detained for a crime for which they technically have no capacity to consent to. Based on these considerations, ECPAT-USA would like to convey to New York City Council its strong support for the proposed Local Law 0886 to improve accountability and support better informed decision-making policies to protect children in New York.

Our request to pass the resolution in support of the recently introduced New York

State Paulin Lanza bill Trafficking Victims

Protection and Justice Act that will support

correcting an important problem in Safe Harbor

legislation that the criminal justice system still

treats 16 and 17 year old victims as criminal

defendants, not as victims of trafficking, support

increased funding for available specialized

services to commercially sexually exploited

children, and in particular, long-term safe

housing options.

We would also like to call for the City Council's support to the raise the age legislative initiative of New York Chief Judge Lippman to raise the age of criminal liability in the state of New York from 16, as it currently is, to 18. New York is one of the last two states in the country that did not yet reform its juvenile justice system to this effect. This change, although it will likely involve a long-term phase implementation process, will also address implicitly important gaps in protection of all youth under 18 years old from all forms of sexual exploitation.

Once again, many thanks from ECPAT-

USA to the New York City Council Committee for
Youth Services and General Welfare for the
opportunity given to us for testifying to this
hearing. We, of course, remain available to you
for further information.

One final note, we are in the process of compiling a study specifically exploring the situation of boys in commercial sexual exploitation of children. There is a stark lack of awareness and services at all levels, both in government as well as for city providers, that the [off mic] population that is currently unaddressed and just lives in the shadows. Thank you.

much. And, again, the point of the bill, I mean, we know that the data that's being collected is going to represent probably even a small percentage of the actual number of young people that are involved, and the concept here would be that the bill would require the two agencies to collaborate on regulations, not only to answer some of the questions you've raised about definitions, but also process. And, obviously,

2	that would be our hope that they would be in
3	constantlyconsultation with people like you. So
4	that the issues and difficulties that you all see
5	could be addressed in that way. I don't think
6	it's something that would be appropriate to
7	micromanage in the bill itself, but okay?
8	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Just have
9	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Question?
LO	CHAIRPERSON PALMA:one quick
11	question. The 19 beds currently run by JCCA, the
12	expansion, are all the beds contracted by ACS or
13	only the 12 that the commissioner spoke about in
L4	his testimony?
15	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Actually, I
L6	think it's 13 that are the initial contract.
L7	Those are the NSP beds, the non-secure placement
18	beds, the additional six beds. So those are OCFS
19	that were transferred to ACS beds, yeah. So the
20	answer is yes, they're all ACS
21	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: [Interposing]
22	Oh, all 19.
23	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR:we have
24	the capacity to take a few more youth and not

every youth is necessarily ACS, but the majority

2	are.	Some	comewe	have	I	think	one	from
3	Westc	hester	DSS.					

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: The number, you said we have the capacity to take a few more, what's that few more number?

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR: Well in one of—the cottage that we specialize for NSP, it's really licensed for 12, right now we're limiting any to six as we roll out the NSP and we keep that population separate. But ultimately, when we're able to mix populations, we can probably expand the capacity there.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: And then your expansion to address the need in the male population who are sexually exploited, what will be the increase or what will be the capacity for the male--

DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR:

[Interposing] So we don't currently have a contract or a plan for a residential program for young men, that population we will be serving in our specialized foster care program, and it's really--we're rolling it out now and we're learning. And we're very excited to learn about

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 113
2	your study because there's just so little
3	information out there.
4	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: So there won't
5	be no dedicated beds for the male population,
6	just
7	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR:
8	[Interposing] In the specialized foster care,
9	there are 24 beds, they are not specialized male
10	or female, it's whomever needs them, whoever's
11	referred, we'll take both males and females and
12	transgender and anyone else who
13	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Okay.
14	DR. ELIZABETH SCHNUR:needs the
15	service.
16	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you very
18	much. Let me call up ourwe have two more
19	panels. First is Safe Horizons, we have John
20	Welch and Caroline Strudwick [phonetic].
21	You know, I know, like, and you and
22	those of you who are waiting to testify are, like,
23	our go-to people, I just really apologize, so
24	thanks.
25	JOHN WELCH: There we go. Okay.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hi, I'd like to thank the committee for hearing my testimony today. I'm John Welch, senior director at Streetwork, a Safe Horizon program for homeless youth, including shelter, outreach, and drop-in centers.

We support the effort to collect data on sexually exploited youth and also wanted to offer some cautions. Through working closely with homeless youth for nearly three decades, we've learned that youth without appropriate shelter enter the sex trade. Too often, the only alternative to, say, spending a night on the subway illegally is to sleep with someone in exchange for a place to stay. We know that young people are asking for more options because we are the ones they're asking, we know that they're looking for voluntary, accessible, emergency shelter beds because we have a waiting list full of names. We believe that the first step to addressing this issue is to listen to the young people most impacted by it and to respond to their self-identified needs.

The first thing that needs to be said is that there is no typical experience of the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sex trade or profile of youth engaged in the sex trade. We know this population includes youth of all genders, sexual orientations, races, ethnicities, citizenship statuses, ages, and family backgrounds.

It can be very challenging to learn whether our clients have been sexually exploited. There are many reasons for this. Young people choose not to disclose because they have too often had experience of being judged for their decisions and circumstances, even by well-meaning service providers. As with survivors of all kinds of abuse, young people frequently internalize feelings of shame, and that also stands in the way of their sharing their experiences. Many young people fear that if they disclose, child protective services or the police will get involved and they may returned to a placement they don't consider safe or welcoming, or they may even be arrested. In fact, young people are so reluctant to become involved with ACS that those who are under 18 years of age will often lie about their ages in order to access services or even decline service options such as shelter that might

lead to ACS involvement.

Also, youth do not trust that their disclosure will lead to helping them get what they truly need: Jobs, shelter, housing, and mental health care. These are the things that can pull people out of the sex trade.

Over the past few decades, our working and engaging and providing services to vulnerable youth has taught us that youth need room to discuss their ongoing struggles without feeling that they have failed or fearing that they could lose access to services.

We found that by creating a safe and supportive environment, we can build trust with our clients and, over time, learn more about their experiences. Our client-centered practice, including safety assessment, risk management, and a non-judgmental approach, promotes safety, increases options, builds trust, creates dialogue, and helps young people make safer choices for themselves. We acknowledge from the very outset that our clients are the experts in their own experiences and we find that when a relationship is built on trust, our clients are more likely to

feel a sense of ownership on their own path to safety.

This is our critical point: We wish to caution the Council as well as our partners at DYCD and ACS against data collection strategies that place too much reliance on initial screening of young people before trust has been built, and also in the absence of critical concrete resources. This may have the unintended consequence of driving young people away from the very programs that are designed to help them by forcing them to answer intrusive questions before they're ready.

We agree with the Council that
there is a pressing need to learn more about the
prevalence and impact of sexual exploitation on
the city's youth and we hope to see city
government utilizing such information to create
more comprehensive plans to meet the needs of
young people who are at risk. We would advise the
City Council to proceed with care and caution,
securing advice from experts and from service
providers who work with this population to ensure
that the data collected is sound and that the

2 wait.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Pause]

MARGO HIRSCH: Thank you for these hearings once again. I don't have formal testimony, I'm just going to just actually respond to some of what was said earlier because I had some major questions and concerns.

The money that was provided for Safe Harbor, \$1.5 million, about a third of it, a little less than a third of it went to IOFA [phonetic] for training and planning, so I don't understand why the city is spending some of their very precious money to duplicate those services. IOFA got somewhere between 380,000 and 450,000 to develop a curriculum to do training, they only have to do training in nine counties, five of them are New York City. So you're talking about a very small number of geographic areas where they have to do this training, and yet the City is spending some of their \$600,000 for training. In addition, Safe Horizon does training for ACS all the time through their rescue and restore, so there's money that's already here that's going for training so I would request that that be looked into to see why

we're not funding services, we desperately need
services.

Which brings me to crisis care, crisis residential services. When there was a meeting with OCFS about planning for Safe Harbor funds, the biggest need was crisis residential care for minors. DYCD seemed to indicate that if they get a 15-year old who comes and needs a crisis placement, that their only options are to call the parent or to call ACS. There is nothing, there is absolutely nothing in New York State law or federal law that would preclude DYCD to provide services for minors in a crisis shelter. The law is very clear that minors can access shelter at any age.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: I was under the impression that our providers already are doing that so I was a little surprised by her answer.

MARGO HIRSCH: Who?

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: That our providers, our DYCD providers, are already providing shelter to children--

MARGO HIRSCH: [Interposing] Not

1	GENERAL WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES 121
2	for 15 year olds
3	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER:under 18.
4	MARGO HIRSCH:the only DYCD
5	funding is for 16 to 21 year olds.
6	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay.
7	MARGO HIRSCH: So there's a
8	possibility
9	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
10	So her answer was accurate below the age of 16 but
11	not above.
12	MARGO HIRSCH: DYCD said ifthe
13	question was about a 15-year old
14	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay. Right.
15	MARGO HIRSCH:or 15-year old.
16	And we
17	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Okay.
18	MARGO HIRSCH:know that young
19	people, if theyyou know, a lot of young people
20	run away from foster care, they try to access
21	services at a runaway and homeless youth program,
22	they should be able to have that opportunity. We
23	need to serve young people at the places where
24	they choose to be served at those places where
25	they feel most comfortable where they can get the

best service. So the fact that DYCD has opted not to provide funding for a shelter for minors—and it can only be a 30-day shelter for the minors—but that opportunity is there for them. And that's a critical opportunity, especially when you're looking at young people who need to get off the streets as quickly as possible with the least bureaucratic red tape as possible to get them off the streets, and that is the runaway and homeless youth system.

And if a young person has left foster care, they've left foster care, they are not going to necessarily go back there right away willingly. So that was my second point from the testimony today.

Covenant House has done a study--I know nobody from Covenant House is here today, unfortunately, because Jayne Bigelsen has not been well--but I think it's imperative that this committee look at that study and look at the findings in terms of who is being sexually exploited in the runaway and homeless youth system, the numbers. They did a study, a blind study of 200 young people and 50% of them were

male, 50% of them female, more or less, about 15% of their young people were being exploited, most of them were first victimized over the age of 18, which is very different than what we hear about. So I think the fact that Safe Harbor does not provide funding and services for the 18 to 21-year old population is problematic because we really need to make sure--

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
They don't provide funding for services for the
people under 18 either, so what's the difference?
I mean, just I mean, I know I'm breaking my own
rule here--

MARGO HIRSCH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: --but I was concerned that they were using money for training that we're really supplanting their own agency budget, now when you get down to it, if you just take the street outreach van and staff for another street outreach van, which we can all agree is necessary, you're probably talking about \$400,000 over a year. Now that's enough money not to want to waste it, right? But it's not enough money to open up shelter beds, not in any significant way.

So, you know, that's why the gross inadequacy of this fund--I mean, it's almost a joke to have a discussion about it in the overall scheme of things. So I'm sorry for blurting that out, but I couldn't help myself.

MARGO HIRSCH: No, that's fine because I agree, there needs to be a more comprehensive plan that looks at not just Safe Harbor funding. I think that Safe Harbor funding probably will get restored, but it's not going to get restored to the levels that is needed especially when you're looking at this as a statewide issue with statewide funding, 1.5, this is not all City money by any means.

And then the fact that, as Janice brought up, nobody talked survivor-led services, I mean, that has been a critical element in all of the programs that have been effective. So when you talk about training--training of staff, training of these new investigators--what they're not looking at is that resource within their own services that really could be critical to helping young people disclose and to ask for services and to get those services.

866-A.

2	Thank	you.
		4

3 CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: Thank you--

4 [Pause]

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Hi, I'm

Stephanie Gendell, the associate executive

director for Policy and Government Relations at

Citizens Committee for Children. We wanted to

thank the Council, both for your continued

interest in this issue and for introducing Intro

CCC is generally supportive of the introduction. As you noted, knowing how many New York City children and youth have been sexually exploited will help agencies like ACS, DYCD, the City Council, policy makers, and advocates know how much resources we need and what to advocate for. While we're generally supportive of the legislation, we also strongly believe meeting the needs of these vulnerable youth needs more than tracking and reporting. Notably, we need services and we need to make sure the tracking and reporting is done in a way that protects the safety of the young people.

With regard to services, I'm not

going to reiterate, a lot of people have already talked about that. Just to mention that we also agree we need more services for girls as well as for boys.

In addition, if we're going to do a lot of training to ensure that the staff coming into contact with these young people are able to better talk to them about how to disclose, then we need to have services available after they disclose or we've actually made--probably gone negative.

Second, not all of the young people who are sexually exploited will present themselves at ACS or DYCD so I think we need a more citywide way of tracking and finding these young people.

Next, when we do the tracking, we need to make sure that we protect the safety of the young people. There's a lot of sensitivity in these cases and there's a potential danger to the children and youth if their identities become known, so we would be okay if the tracking was not 100% accurate in the sake of safety of the child.

And lastly I wanted to talk about the state. The funding for Safe Harbor has been a

long and arduous battle, in part due to the state's fiscal problems. We've gone from a cut of the \$10 million to \$3 million that was then never spent. Then we went down to zero dollars and then we got \$1.5 million, but now we're once again back where we're going to have zero dollars.

OCFS, as we've talked about, has decided to spend about \$500,000 on a contract with IOFA, which is a statewide cross-system training package. According to OCFS, IOFA participated in building and implementing this model in Illinois. The OCFS contract is supposed to include training for participants such as child welfare professionals, law enforcement, mental health professionals, and the development of a toolkit tailored to New York which would include screening and assessment tools. IOFA would then be conducting an evaluation of the project. The City is also then planning to use some of their money on training and better ensuring that their staff are able to identify these young people.

So one of our concerns is at the end of all of this training, we will have identified a significant number of young people

б

2 that we will not have any services to provide to 3 them.

We are then very concerned about losing the \$1.5 million that we've received from the state for this one year and so we're asking respectfully, given the Council's interest in commercially sexually exploited youth, that the Council reach out to your counterparts in the state in your state budget advocacy package or write a letter or take what other steps might be necessary on your behalf to help these young people.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: We have done that and we will, and we continue to. My concern is that Amy Paulin, Assemblywoman Paulin is no longer the chair and I think she was probably responsible for getting the funding in the first place and—

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: --that's why I screamed on her Facebook page when she took another committee.

[Pause]

KATHERINE MULLEN: Thank you,
Chairs Fidler and Palma, my name is Katherine
Mullen, I'm an attorney with the Juvenile Rights
Practice of the Legal Aid Society, and I
appreciate this opportunity to speak with you.
I've provided written testimony, I won't go over
the points that I made in the testimony, but I do
want to raise one nightmare scenario that I think
is very important to keep in mind.

The Family Court is not just a place where services are provided, it's a place of litigation. And when you have child protective proceedings, you have the City as a party, you have parent or guardian as a party, you have the child as a party. When you have a PINS proceeding, you have a parent as a party and you have a child as a party. ACS case records are discoverable in these proceedings. The worst thing in the world would be for some vulnerable child to make a disclosure to somebody that they have been sexually exploited and have that appear in a case record that then is used in the subject of litigation. So--

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Although	that	wouldn't	be	the	case	if	we	used
3	identifi	ers tl	hat protec	cted] the	eir			

4 KATHERINE MULLEN: [Interposing] I
5 would hope--

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: --that were able to identify them as individuals, but not who the individuals are.

KATHERINE MULLEN: I think that it's going to be a very difficult protocol to manage both respecting anonymity and not identifying people, yet making sure that referrals and services are made available to people who have disclosed that they have been sexually exploited. So in terms of that protocol, I would again reiterate what has been said several times, that this must be survivor-informed, the voices of youth must be heard in this process. And it is an extraordinary difficult conversation to have with a young person discussing whether or not they've been sexually exploited, and the people who can best inform a protocol for that are the young people.

And one example is the victim survivor leadership manual that's been developed

by the GEMS program that was the voices of youth are included and wrote that manual. There are other tools available and I would urge the City Council to endorse including the voices of youth in developing this protocol. The big danger, as you've said Chair Fidler over and over again, is there are going to be underreporting, there's no way that this can be an accurate number. And then somehow having that number tied to the provision of services would be a disservice to the youth.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: You know, the issue of not identifying the individual but being able to count individuals specifically, it has been something that we've grappled with, and somewhat successfully, I believe. But I would just ask you this question: If someone is in a PINS proceeding, all right, and someone somehow gets from ACS the fact that we're about to return a young person to a home where they're being sexually exploited, don't you think that should be made aware regardless of whether or not the young person is particularly happy about the fact that mommy or daddy or Uncle Joe is abusing them in that household?

2	KATHERINE MULLEN: I think that
3	that's a different discussion because then they
4	would be subject of an abuse proceeding, a child
5	abuse proceeding, that they wouldn't be in a PINS
6	proceeding. Unfortunately, most frequently, the
7	youth who are being sexually exploited have come
8	from ACS care themselves
9	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: [Interposing]
10	I know that.
11	KATHERINE MULLEN:and most
12	frequently, parents and guardians come in and file
13	PINS petitions and they suspect there might be a
14	problem, the problem isn't in their home, it's
15	what's going on outside of the home.
16	I do think ACS misses an
17	opportunity when parents come in and file PINS
18	petitions and children are missing, that they
19	don't start working with the parents right away to
20	train them on that issue.
21	CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: I asked the
22	question really only to point out the fact that it
23	is a muchit's even more complicated than we've

discussed because whether it's ACS--you know,

wherever the household is, you have to balance the

child's right not to disclose it with the fact that not disclosing it may be harmful to their health. And, you know, they may not think it's a good idea to say, gee, don't send me back to that foster care facility, someone there is abusing me, with the fact that maybe they're wrong and they really need to disclose it because you don't want to send them right back into that hell. So, you know, I don't think this bill really deals with that, but I just I don't want to leave it out there that the idea of letting a child not say that they're being sent back to an abuser is a good thing.

So anybody have any questions?
Closing statement? No?

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: I just want again to reiterate the points of this bill, and thank you once again, Mr. Chair, for putting this piece of legislation together. I hope that we can bring it to a vote really soon.

It's not going to get us to where I think we need to be in terms of services and really getting the real number of children who are being abused, but I think getting the agencies

б

used to at least start tracking these children that have been sexually exploited is a really important first step which will enable us then to discuss and have further discussion for greater funding to provide these kinds of services to really ensure that this doesn't continue to happen.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FIDLER: And I, first,
I want to thank you too, Chairwoman Palma. This
bill came from a prior joint committee hearing
with General Welfare, and you and the General
Welfare Committee have been fabulous partners in
some of this--most of this stuff.

Clearly, there is some work that needs to be done on this bill to make sure that it hits the right note. I've been talking to counsel throughout the hearing about some of the points that you've all made, we'll try and balance them up to the point of regulation because, at that point, the agencies have to be responsible and I think you'll all make yourselves heard on that.

The fact of the matter is--and I'll reiterate this as a conclusion--is that the

So I thank you all for your help, I thank staff of both committees for their work.

And with that, I adjourn this hearing, I think we made the 1 o'clock deadline.

[Gavel]

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammy Littman

Date _March 9, 2013_