CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

-----X

January 29, 2013 Start: 12:16 p.m. Recess: 1:48 p.m.

HELD AT: Co

Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma

James Sanders, Jr.
Jumaane D. Williams
Daniel J. Halloran III

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jenny Fernandez

Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations Landmarks Preservation Commission

Enrique Lopez

New York State Senator Brad Hoylman

Andrea Goldman

Landmarks Conservancy

Simeon Bankoff

Historic Districts Council

Anna Cisowski

Representative of Father Tadeusz Lizinczyk Saint Stanislaus Church

Krystyna Piorkowska

Property Owner

Jean Standish

Member

Lower East Side Preservation Initiative

Marie Byrne

Concerned Citizen

Carolyn Ratcliffe

Vice President

Lower East Side Preservation Initiative

Amanda Davis

Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation

Richard Moses

President of the Lower East Side Preservation Initiative

Clayton Smith

Concerned Citizen

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Marcia Ikonomopoulos Museum Director Kehila Kedosha Janina Synagogue and Museum

Philip Van Aver Board Member Lower East Side Preservation Initiative

Linda Eskenas Vice President Four Borough Neighborhood Preservation Alliance

Sara Romanoski Managing Director East Village Community Coalition

Mitchell Grubler Member The Bowery Alliance of Neighbors

Michelle Campo Representative of Catherine Feldman

Yolanda Fernandez Concerned Citizen

Patricia Melvin Concerned Citizen

Tom Birchard Owner Veselka Restaurant

Robert Watlington Concerned Citizen

Stella Dong Concerned Citizen

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON	LANDER:	Good	morning.

I am New York City Council Member Brad Lander. am pleased to call this meeting of the City Council's Land Use Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses to order. Pleased to be joined today by Maria del Carmen Arroyo from the Bronx, Dan Halloran from Queens and Rosie Mendez from the East Village and Lower East Side in Manhattan-today especially. And when Council Member Annabel Palma comes, we will recognize her again. She was here. I apologize for my tardiness. I thought that we had arranged for enough people to have quorum so that my absence wouldn't keep all of you waiting, but anyway, I apologize that you have all been here, and I'm grateful for your patience. The Mayor was also briefing the Council on the budget. So let's begin. We just have the one matter. - - . big matter. Alright. So we are laying over Land Use No. 759, the Seaview Hospital Rehabilitation Center and Home in Staten Island, and today is the public hearing on just one item. Land Use No. 752, the East Village and Lower East Side Historic District. I believe by text, it may be the

3

4

5

7

9

10 11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

longest designation that I have seen in my time as appears in the Land Use action as is fitting for the East Village. I am pleased to call Jenny Fernandez from Landmarks Preservation Commission forward along with Mary Beth Betts form LPC as well to present the designation to us.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Lander, members of the Subcommittee and Council Member Mendez. Mary Beth, she is here to answer any questions in case there is any follow up. My name is Jenny Fernandez, director of intergovernmental and community relations for the Landmarks Preservation Commission. I am here today to testify on the Commission's designation of the East Village and Lower East Side Historic District in Manhattan. On June 26th, 2012, the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing on the proposed designation of the East Village/Lower East Side Historic District. people spoke in support of designation, including representatives of City Council Member Rosie Mendez, Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer, Manhattan Community Board 3, State Senator Thomas Duane, State Senator Daniel

Squadron, State Assembly Member, Brian Cavanaugh,
and State Assembly Member Deborah Glick. Several
residents and property owners also spoke in
support of designation as did representatives of
the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors, City Lore,
Cooper's Square Community Development Committee
and Businessmen Association, East Village
Community Coalition, the Greenwich Village Society
for Historic Preservation, the Historic Districts
Council, the Lower East Side Preservation
Initiative, Metropolitan Chapter of the Victorian
Society of America, the New York Landmarks
Conservancy, the Society for the Architecture of
the City. 16 people spoke in opposition to
designation or in opposition to including their
property within the historic district, including
several property owners as well as representatives
of the Cathedral of the Holy Virgin Protection
four representatives, Catholic Worker Movement,
Real Estate Board of New York, Saint Stanislaus
Bishop and Martyr Roman Catholic Church two
representatives and Saint Mary's American Orthodox
Greek Catholic Church. Two speakers took no
position on designation. In addition, the

Commission has received hundreds of letters,
petitions and e-mails regarding this designation
both in support and in opposition to designation.
On October 9^{th} , 2012, the Commission voted to
designate the East Village/Lower East Side
Historic District. The East Village/Lower East
Side Historic District consists of approximately
325 buildings located along 2^{nd} Avenue and adjacent
side streets between East $2^{\rm nd}$ and East $7^{\rm th}$ Streets.
Development in this area began in earnest during
the 1830s when unprecedented growth pushed the
limits of the city northward and for at least a
brief period made the blocks comprising the
historic district one of New York's most
prestigious residential neighborhoods. Scores of
elegant single family row houses, most designed in
the Greek revival style, were erected in the area.
Second Avenue in particular become a favored
location for fashionable residential construction.
By the 1850s larger numbers of immigrants began to
settle in the area as wealthier residents moved
further uptown. Many of the existing row houses
were converted for multiple family dwellings and
boarding houses and eventually new tenements

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

began to replace the older building stock. buildings known as pre-law tenements because they pre-dated the tenement housing act of 1879 were designed in a simplified version of the Italianate style that had become the dominant mode of architecture in New York City. Later structures from the early 1870s show the growing influence of the neo-Grec style in the neighborhood. majority of immigrants who settled in the historic district during the mid-19th century were of German heritage. Amongst the first institutions erected to serve this community was the evangelical Lutheran church of Saint Mark, which was completed in 1848. As immigration increased during the 1840s and 1850s, the eastern ward of Manhattan developed into a cohesive large scale ethnic community known by the names such as Kleindeutschland or little Germany. By the 1890s many of the areas German residents and institutions began to move to other neighborhoods in New York City. At the same time new immigrant groups were starting to settle in the vicinity of the historic district and the area evolved into a polyglot enclave representing a complex array of

national, regional, ethnic, linguistic and
religious identities as the term Kleindeutschland
ceased to accurately describe the neighborhood,
people began to refer to it as the Lowest East
Side, which was in regular use by the mid-1890s.
The cosmopolitan condition of the neighborhood can
be seen in the range of institutions established
within the historic district during the late 19 th
and early 20 th centuries. Yiddish speaking Jews
from Eastern Europe were the largest identifiable
group of recent arrivals. Perhaps the most
conspicuous monument to their community within the
historic district is the stately synagogue built
for congregation on East 6 th Street in 1910. A
vibrant and well-established Polish Roman Catholic
community centered on Saint Stanislaus Bishop and
Martyr Roman Catholic church, which build a new
sanctuary on 107 70 th Street in 1899-1901.
Protestant Hungarians established their own house
of worship down the block where the first
Hungarian reform church occupied a converted row
house just after the turn of the century.
Institutions founded by native born New Yorkers to
serve the immigrant community included the New

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York City Mission and - - societies - - memorial church on East 2nd Street, the Middle Collegiate Church on 2nd Avenue and the Society of the Music School settlement on East 3rd Street. In early 1910, 2nd Avenue became the most important entertainment district for the city's Jewish immigrant community, leading many to call the area the Yiddish Rialto. Vestiges of that area can be seen in the facades of the public theater one of the larger venues built for Yiddish stage productions and the Lowe's Commodore, the grandest movie place ever built on the Lower East Side. The intense building activity of the early 20th century was brought to a halt in the 1930s by the Great Depression and most of the structures within the historic district have changed little from that period. The demographics of the neighborhood however have undergone several dramatic transformations in subsequent decades. Latin American immigrants especially those from Puerto Rico established a large community in the East Village during the $mid-20^{th}$ Century during the same period the area was discovered by artists and bohemians moving eastward from Greenwich Village,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

leaving realtors to call the neighborhood the Village East and eventually the East Village. neighborhood has a rich history of social activism tied to its historic building stock. The streets of the historic district have survived urban renewal plans in the 1950s and '60s as well as the economic crisis of the '70s to become the center of the 1980's downtown art and music scene. East Village/Lower East Side remains one of New York City's and the country's most storied neighborhoods. It is synonymous with the American immigrant experience and has served as a nationally recognized cultural center for more than a century and a half. The blocks within the East Village/Lower East Side Historic District have traditionally contained the area's most substantial structures and its finest architecture and as well as many of its most important institutions. It contains an incredibly dense layering of historic and cultural significance from its early history as a fashionable residential neighborhood to subsequent identities as a tenement districts of Kleindeutschland and Lower East Side through its heyday as the

,

entertainment center of the Yiddish Rialto and during its more recent evolution into the East Village of bohemians and punks, off Broadway theaters and community activist groups and the buildings within the historic district tell the complete story of this vibrant neighborhood. The Commission urges you to affirm this designation—and I'm sorry I was so long, but it's such a rich and important collection of information that we needed to include it all in this testimony.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Ms. Fernandez, you were an eloquent speaker to the landmark—worthiness of the East Village and the Lower East Side, but there is no more eloquent speaker on the landmark—worthiness of the East Village and the Lower East Side than Council Member Rosie Mendez, so let me ask her to either make a statement or ask some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you.

I usually speak off the top of my head, but this
to me is very important, so I did write something
down. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for granting me this
opportunity. It is a source of personal and
community pride that Council District 2 includes

the entirety of the East Village/Lower East Side 2 Historic District, a substantial collection of 325 3 buildings extending over a 15 block radius that 4 5 tell a remarkable story reaching back over two centuries. This hearing today is the result of 6 over six years of research, advocacy, consultation, meetings, consideration and 9 ultimately action to preserve the singular history and character of an area that has welcomed so many 10 11 New Yorkers from every walk of life to a place 12 that we all call home. What makes the East 13 Village/Lower East Side Historic District so 14 significant is the rich tapestry story that the 15 buildings tell, - - and immigrant centric, labor 16 and social activism perspective. From the 17 construction of row houses 180 years ago that were 18 subsequently converted into tenements to the rise 19 of community sustaining religious and convivial 20 enclaves to the evolving of the Lower East Side, 21 the development of vibrant and transcending 22 cultural venues to the convening of the Cooper 23 Square Committee-today I wear their t-shirt-who 24 fought Robert Moses and the proposed East Side 25 Highway Expressway and saved many of the buildings

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the 1950s that we seek to preserve in perpetuity today. We see the distinctive city within a city, a fact that characterizes and epitomizes the social and political fabric of the Lower East Side. The architecture, institutions and cultural realms that remain and will be preserved under this plan reflect the shared histories, substantial contributions and religious faiths of successive waves of German, Irish, Jewish, Italian, Polish, Ukrainian, Chinese and Latino residents. Five of those languages are on this t-shirt today. Additionally, this action is especially ripe and appropriate as a complement to the January 2012 designation of the East 10th Street Historic District—the first East Village historic district established since the 1969 designation of the Saint Mark's Historic District. All three districts have fundamental preservation components in common and will work in alliance to preserve the proud legacy of generations of immigrant families and the neighborhood enclaves that built and nourished while promoting reasonable in character additions and new development for generations to come. I want to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thank all the groups, institutions, building owners and advocates who have been part of this important six year journey, those in favor and those not, including the Landmarks Preservation Commission. Through various forms and meetings we worked together to ensure that all voices and perspectives were heard. We will continue to do so in the future. I am confident that we have a finished product in front of us today that is the result of compromise and a shared commitment to our communities. As such I am pleased to be here to strongly support the East Village/Lower East Side Historic District in full and I ask my colleagues to join me in moving for swift approval today. Thank you.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. I stand by what I said before—the leading eloquent voice, but we will hear some other voices in support of this designation as well, and we look forward to that. We have been joined as well by Council Member Palma, who I mentioned before and want to thank her again her presence for having been here earlier and Council Member

24

25

2	Williams.	Council	Member	Halloran	has	questions,

3 and I don't know if any of my other colleagues do.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank

5 you, Mr. Chair. I'm in receipt of several letters in opposition, and I just want to ask the 6 Commission about some of the allegations that are made in the letters. One of them is from Saint 9 Stanislaus Church, which indicates that it took 10 them to get a foil request to your office before 11 you turned over documents to them regarding the 12 nature of this redistricting, and since they are a 13 target of the redistricting, I would wonder why 14 you wouldn't just share that without requiring 15 them to do a foil since their properties would be 16 subject to this proceeding. I have no opposition 17 to the theory and of course, I will defer to the Council Member whose district it's in as to 18 19 supporting landmarking it; however, from an open 20 government point of view, why would a church that 21 is subject to being designated need to foils 22 something form a city agency that wants to

JENNY FERNANDEZ: Council Member,
I'm not exactly sure what documentation was

designate them a landmark?

2	2	

4 5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

requested through a foil request from the agency. It might have been that some information that was being prepared for the designation that is not normally released to the public may have been requested.

[background conversation]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Excuse me. Wе need to-if you want to sign up to testify, you will have your opportunity, but we don't shout out during the hearings.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: So I can't speak directly to what the foil request contained, but we do provide as much information as possible about the designation and the Commission does prepare an individual building description with all of the factual information about each of the buildings contained in the proposed district for the owner's review prior to a designation vote, so most of the information should be included on there.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: According to Saint Stanislaus--and I'll read the appropriate section so that maybe it will refresh your recollection—the LPC over a period of two months

refused to give us access to view documents they 2 used in the preparation of their historical 3 preservation study. At first they denied our foil 4 5 request application to view these materials. Ultimately a few pages of materials were then б presented to us, none of which contained anything pertinent to the landmarking of the premises, 9 meaning their church. They go on to say that 10 according to the records they were given, there 11 was no study done of Saint Stanislaus' parish, no study of East 7th Street between 1st Avenue and 12 13 Avenue A, nor any building on that street, yet this is to be included in the landmarked area. 14 15 is that true? Is that factual? B, are those 16 areas included and were they not studied by the 17 commission? And C, is it your testimony as you 18 sit here today having come before this body to 19 give testimony regarding its landmarking and 20 knowing that there were a significant number of 21 oppositions to it, that you are not aware of your office ever denying a foil request to the parish 22 23 at any point to the best of your knowledge-you're sitting here in front of this body to testify in 24 front of this body about the landmarking of this 25

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular location?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: As I said earlier, our staff works every closely with building owners where we offer meetings and consultation and answer any questions and provide any information a building owner may need prior to designation and throughout the designation process. I personally don't know about a denied foil request. Like I said, we do provide any information that a building owner wants or feels they need in order to learn more about what the designation process entailed. On the flipside of that, our research department does conduct very thorough research on each of the individual landmarks that are within a historic district and all the buildings that are included, so I am again not clear exactly what information was requested that they were not able to receive, especially when the research revolves around the history of the structure itself, just information that is even publically available through regular research Building Department information, et cetera, and that information we would not have denied an owner receiving that, so I am still not clear exactly

1	LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING, MARITIME USES 20
2	what information Was requested
3	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Would you
4	be able to provide this body a copy of any foil
5	request that was made to you, the date in which
6	you responded to it, and the results of those
7	responses so that I can verify what you are
8	telling me to be accurate?
9	JENNY FERNANDEZ: I can check with
10	our counsel-
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:
12	[interposing] If you have a foil that is
13	governments operation, and this is an oversight
14	body of your agency, so it would be subject to our
15	review—is that not correct?
16	JENNY FERNANDEZ: If you say so,
17	Council Member.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: You are
19	the designated representative of the Landmarks
20	Preservation Commission, right?
21	JENNY FERNANDEZ: Yes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Okay, and
23	you are here testifying in front of this oversight
24	body for the Landmarks Committee. Is that
25	correct?

1	LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING, MARITIME USES 21
2	JENNY FERNANDEZ: Yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Okay, and
4	I am asking you questions that pertain to a
5	landmarking that you are presenting to this body,
6	and I ask very specific questions. Are you
7	telling me you are not capable of giving me that
8	information?
9	JENNY FERNANDEZ: That is not what
10	I am telling you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Okay.
12	JENNY FERNANDEZ: I said that I
13	will check with our counsel to see what foil
14	requests we may have received in connection with
15	this designation and once I have received that
16	information I am happy to share that with you.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council
18	Member, let me just offer that we will ask that
19	the Committee write a letter to the LPC so that
20	this request for-
21	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:
22	[interposing] Is specifically followed up-
23	CHAIRPERSON LANDER:comes from
24	the Committee, and not just from you, and that we
25	will look for a response to you, but also to-

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:

[interposing] I appreciate that, Mr. Chairman.

Now in addition in your testimony you indicated very vaguely two speakers took no position on designation. In addition, the Commission has received hundreds of letters, petitions and e-mails regarding this designation both in support and in opposition. Do you know how many in support and how many in opposition the Commission received?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: I don't have the number in front of me.

think that might have been something that you should have brought to a hearing in which this body was going to evaluate the landmarking to see what the public's response had been to your agency over the landmarking of this particular area?

Again, with all deference to the Council Member who I will of course be supporting in her endeavor, but just for the purposes of the open and transparent government that we are supposed to have, you think maybe that would be information that would pertinent to bring before this body

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 when you testified?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: I am happy to provide that information to you—

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:

[interposing] Mr. Chair, I'd ask that the Committee include that request in its letter to the Commission and I think this is not the first time that our Commission has repeatedly asked the LPC to bring that type of pertinent information to us when they are testifying. I think it's interesting they are able to articulate all of the specific other numbers of people who testified for and opposition at the hearing and indicate that they received hundreds of letters, petitions and e-mails, but not be able to tell us what the breakdown of those-what if there are 800 for and 20 against or the opposite way? I think that would have bearing on what we are doing here as to what the community felt 'cause obviously they all can't be here on a Tuesday at noon when they have work and school and other things to be at in their lives. It would be nice to know what the community's input had been prior to coming to this body for that information. Finally, I have one

more question, the REBNY, which of course I understand has its own interests at heart in this, has indicated that there are dozens of buildings, which do not fit the architectural requirements because of alterations that have been made in this area. Can you estimate for this body the percentage of buildings that are not conforming to what you would consider to be the requirements for the historic district as a percentage or in a raw number so that we can evaluate the overall context of this application request?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: I can't express it in percentage at this time, but I will say as is the practice and we have expressed in previous hearings when we are reviewing—when your body is reviewing designations, our historic district, our boundaries are very carefully drawn out and it is obviously inevitable that within the historic boundaries there are going to be certain number of buildings that may not necessarily be considered contributing, but that is because they are one building within a long streetscape of contributing buildings and that are part of the designation and so invariably there are going to be a handful of

3

4

5

6

0

9

10

11

1213

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

those in any district, but I don't have a specific percentage to share with you at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Mr.

Chairman, I would just suggest that when we are doing something as broad stroke as districtingcreating a historic district, it would be useful for this body to know the percentage of deviation that is going on in a district because it at least gives us a roadmap to look at places, and they should be designated on a map submitted to us by the LPC so we could look at them, so we could potentially tailor as is our right, back anything that may not be conforming, but could be cut out. Obviously we just have a blanket picture here, and I will at this point yield back to you, but again, I think this goes back to the fundamental issues we have been fighting with over the last three years of information and we are never getting a sufficient amount of it at these hearings, and we are always told we can get back to you, and I don't ever really see that happening.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So let me say a couple of things, and then I know Council Member Mendez would like to respond, and then Council

Member Arroyo has a question. First, I do want to
let my colleagues know we have—usually we don't
have too many people signed up to testify—we have
23 people signed up to testify today, which not to
say we shouldn't spend the time that we need to
ask questions, but we do want to make sure members
of the public have their say. So I appreciate
your points, and I will just say on the one hand I
am a strong supporter of this application of the
Council Member of the East Village and Lower East
Side, but I share your concerns and your growing
concerns that the body has sought to get
responsive information to evaluate what the LPC
brings to us and has frequently not been able to
get a lot of the information that we have
requested about what decisions are made and why,
but certainly getting the public record of who
supported and who didn't support that getting the
foil are all things that should be provided to us,
and I continue to be disappointed that they are
not-

JENNY FERNANDEZ: [interposing] We have not received a request-

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --I don't want

to litigate this today. We can either do it in
the budget hearing or we can have another
oversight hearing. I'd like to confine the
discussion today to the Lower East Side and the
East Village, but I am just putting a placeholder
in because I share growing concerns reflected by
Council Member Halloran, and I think we need to
attend to them, but today is about the East
Village and the Lower East Side, and I hope we can
focus there because I believe it's clear the
community is strongly-

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:

[interposing] And I apologize to Council Member Mendez. I didn't mean for her application to be the victim of my angst and she should know that she has my full support.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: And - - .

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I'm going to call on Council Member Mendez now. Thank you. I am going to call Council Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,
Mr. Chair and just for my colleagues and Council
Member Halloran, I know that you always ask the
questions that you need to ask - respective if

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you are for and against, you always just want to get your answers, so I certainly respect that. just want to add because the Commission didn't say this-Ms. Fernandez speaking on behalf of the Commission—in terms of the process for this, and what I feel was the due diligence of the Commission, there was many hearings, many community meetings, attended two community board meetings as well, walk-throughs with me to address my concerns, meetings that I had with people who were for and against and groups that were for and against and then meetings that I asked the Commission to have with those individuals that I know happened. There were groups that wanted things added to the district and some small things were, and a lot of what they wanted added was not, and there was groups and individuals that wanted buildings and areas subtracted from the district. Small things were and a lot of things were not. So I think that went to the due diligence, and for all of those individuals, you know, I tried to also have follow up particularly with the religious institutions that were very vociferous raising some real legitimate concerns, which I am

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trying to look at broader policy of how we deal with some of the issues that particularly the less affluent congregations are dealing with, but I believe that the Commission has gone to every length to meet with everyone and I once got a meeting called at my office from a building owner saying that they were in the district and they had been in the proposed district for a while, that they were against it, and I asked, have you spoken to someone from the Commission? And they said that they did not, and I said please go meet with them, call them, and if you still have any concerns and do not get a response from them for meeting please call me back, and in fact he called me back and said he had a very good meeting, and they were looking into his concerns. So I just wanted to say that on the record, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: That is great.

Thank you, and I think it is wonderful that in this case they spent a lot of time both with you and your constituents. I think there are a set of questions not for today about how it is decided where and with whom and when that is - - and what kind of relationship is had with council members

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the Committee, but I am really glad to hear that. Council Member Arroyo?

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I don't want to sound like I'm following suit with Council Member Halloran, but it's going to sound like I am. In this Committee we have always heard property owners make a case for their properties to be carved out of a district. We have always been told that is not something the Commission does and you have given us reason, but I'm looking at a sketch that clearly carves out a section, so do we carve out or don't we? And this goes to the larger question of how the Commission reaches conclusion on what is included, what is not and how in some cases it makes a case for not carving properties out, but presents a case here where there is something obviously carved out of the district. So it really-the frustration grows. Every time we get an application from the Commission where we are not presented with enough information and the frustration that we experience when we are presented with these documents at a hearing where we are asked to make a decision. In this case we

are going to hear from the public and hopefully it will not lead us to the same place that we were at when we considered the cemetery in Brooklyn—was it—Queens, where it delays the process another couple of weeks because we are trying to figure out what is the real deal, so this issue of carving out properties is one that I will now force the question, how do you come to that conclusion and why is in this application a carve out and in others when they have been requested you have denied them?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: Okay. If a property is located in a historic district, and it's not on the boundaries of the historic district, we cannot carve it out. We can't create a hole in the historic district just to take out a property, and specifically if the owner is opposed just because they are against designation, that is one reason to request that, but certainly if it's a meritorious building—

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So I don't want to hold up this hearing, but what I am looking at is clearly a carve out, so—and you are going to have to explain to me—

б

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ:

[interposing] Can I explain this?

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: No because this is not about this application. This is about the practices of the Commission coming here, continually telling us one thing and then present an application where in my opinion has a carve out. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

thank you, and again, I do just in the interest of time I really hear the general issues being raised, and really want to figure out how we can do better to address them. We obviously have one hearing in which some of them got raised, but we may need to have another oversight hearing on some of these matters, but I also do want to try to keep us focused for the duration today on this application, so let me recognize Council Member Mendez, Council Member Williams and then ask that we try to - -

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So I'll just make this quick because I think when we do this sometimes you have to look at this district

by district. This is a Catholic church and a
school, which I asked to be added into the
district, and when we they said it was
actually a more modern building; it had no
architectural style that contributed to the
district, and it isn't in character with the other
buildings there, so I asked for it to be added.
They kept it out, and those buildings there of
which the school building was leased and they put
some hot pink colored stuff for this new
international school that's there, so it really
doesn't fit in with all the other things that are
surrounding it, so I don't know if that refreshes
your recollection—I can't remember—nativity
something is the name of the church.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: In a case like this, this may look like a - - but it's at the boundaries of the historic district, and we were able to cut it out, and if it's not a contributing building—

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ:

[interposing] We are going to debate that, and I don't want to do that here today.

25 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So let me ask

2.

that we then end this conversation for now, and we
will have another conversation about what
constitutes a carve out at a time, and again, if
we need to have another oversight hearing or some
time that is outside of a specific designation
then we will schedule that as soon as we can.
Council Member Williams on this rezoning?

I'll be brief, but I want to echo everything that my colleague said previously, but I do want to ask one question. I apologize too. I have concerns that we don't kind of respect people that don't want to be landmarked, but you keep saying if it's not part of the boundaries—who sets the boundaries and is it by law when you say—if it's part of the boundaries, you can't carve it out. Is that illegal?

JENNY FERNANDEZ: For example, if a building here—let's say in this corner—wants to be taken out, we can't take it out because the entire area surrounding this is part of the proposed district within the boundaries.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Is that illegal, proposed boundaries?

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	JENNY	FERNANDEZ:	Ι′m	not	sure	what

3 the question-

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:

[interposing] What is stopping you from taking out-you say we can't take it out-like who stops-

JENNY FERNANDEZ: [interposing] It's a contiguous-and we wouldn't take it out just because the owner is opposed. If it is a contributing building within a historic district, we would include it of course within the historic district, and a point that I wanted to clarify earlier based on the REBNY question is that if a building is non-contributing, if it's a no style building, it is called out as such in our designation report, and such a building can actually be torn down. They can actually apply to the Commission to tear that building down and build a new structure, and it would be reviewedbasically a design review at the Commission just for its context within the historic district, but someone can actually tear down their building if it's of a no style building that doesn't really conform to the rest of the district and build something newer.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.

Thank you. Also, there is a question I have been asking. I know I have asked it several times—was to find out how many owners have applied for assistance versus how many have actually gotten assistance, and every time I bring it up, they say you will come back, and I don't know if the Chair has gotten any response to that. I don't know if you have any response to that. It's at least the fifth time I have asked it, I'm sure.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: We did receive a written request from the Land Use, the Council's Committee, and we did respond in writing to that request.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, so did we get that? Thank you very much. I am hoping also—some of the other things that were brought up, we do need oversight. If I don't start getting some of the answers to these, I am going to have to start withholding my vote for some of these because it's quite frustrating. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you,
Council Member Williams. Alright. So let me

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pause before we move-thank you, Ms. Fernandez. are going to move to testimony now, and I do want to kind of note there is many more people signed up to testify in favor than opposed, though there are three signed up opposed. We will go in panels of three. I guess what I would say is that council members on this panel love the Lower East Side, and have enthusiasm I think for its history, culture and people and I look forward to hearing it, and also feel enthusiastic about Jenny Fernandez I think as you can hear there is substantial concern about the relationship between the LPC at this moment and the information it gives and the process and the way it shows respect for the democratic process and the City Council Subcommittee that is supposed to have oversight of it, but we will so that-I am not trying to dismiss that; it's just we need to look at the Lower East Side here today, so let me leave that there and say that we need to come back to it and call up our first panel of supporters, and we will just go in panels of three. I'm going to ask people if you can to keep your testimony to two minutes because we have a lot of people signed up, and I

2	think what you'll mostly be doing is—let's just
3	leave it at three. It's really fine-expressing
4	your enthusiasm and we want to hear it. So let me
5	ask first to come up we have a representative of
6	New York State Senator Brad Hoylman, Enrique
7	Lopez, Andrea Goldman from the Landmarks
8	Conservancy and Simeon Bankoff as the first panel.
9	The next panel, the one panel in opposition, just
10	so you know and are ready will be from REBNY,
11	Anna Cisowski from Saint Stanislaus Church and
12	Krystyna Piorkowska.
13	ENRIQUE LOPEZ: Hello. My name is
14	Enrique Lopez, and I'll be reading testimony on
15	behalf of New York State Senator Brad Hoylman.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Let me just

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Let me just say welcome. I think this is the first testimony we are receiving from New York State Senator Brad Hoylman, and we are enthusiastic to do so, so welcome.

ENRIQUE LOPEZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. My name is Brad Hoylman, and I

represent New York States 27th Senate District in

which almost all of the proposed East

Village/Lower East Side Historic District is

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

located. Thank you, Chair Lander and members of the New York City Council Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses for this opportunity to present testimony. I am a strong supporter of the historic district designation. As you know the defined area contains approximately 330 properties, many of which are tenements, row houses or institutional buildings from the 19th Century and reflect the immigrant experience during this unique era in New York history. Included within its boundaries are such architectural treasures as 62 East 4th Street with its Italianate shapes and lines and its distinctive enclosed cylindrical fire escape, the neoclassical congregation Maastricht Synagogue and Lower East Side and East Villages last operating tenement synagogue and the group of five threestory brick row houses at 30 to 38 East 3rd Street, which comprised a quintessential mid-19th century streetscape, and these are just a small sampling of the historically and culturally significant buildings within the proposed district. I wish to express my appreciation to New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission for initiating this

proposed historic district and for approving it 2 last year. I also commend New York City Council 3 Member Rosie Mendez for her tremendous advocacy 4 5 with other elected officials, Manhattan Community Board 3, the Lower East Side Preservation 6 Initiative, Greenwich Village Society for Historical Preservation and East Village Community 9 Coalition for the creation of this district and the incorporation of additional buildings and 10 areas of architectural, cultural and historical 11 12 significance that weren't in LPC's original 13 proposal. As LPC noted in summary of its 14 designation report for this proposed district, the 15 East Village/Lower East Side is synonymous with the American immigrant experience and has served 16 17 as a nationally recognized cultural center for 18 more than a century and a half. The buildings 19 within the East Village/Lower East Side Historic 20 District have traditionally contained the area's 21 most substantial structures and its finest 22 architecture as well as many of its most important 23 institutions. Given the overwhelming recognition 24 of this area's architectural unity and historical 25 significance, I urge this Committee to recommend

3

4

5

6

8

9

1112

1314

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

this richly diverse designation. Thank you for the consideration of my comments and for your dedication to preserving New York City's heritage.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Perfect.

Thank you.

ANDREA GOLDMAN: Good day, Chair Lander and Council Members. I am Andrea Goldman, speaking on behalf of the New York Landmarks Conservancy. The Conservancy strongly supports designation of the East Village/Lower East Side Historic District. These blocks are a rich collection of row houses and tenements from the 19th and 20th centuries, which maintain much of their historic fabric. Italianate and neo-Grec brownstones, apartment buildings in the Romanesque revival, Renaissance revival and Queen Anne styles line the streets next to handsome religious properties and cultural venues. While there have been some alterations to these structures, they have in large part the same scale, height and volume as when built. The unique character of these buildings animates the neighborhood that retains longtime residents and has been a powerful lure to generations of newcomers. In addition to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

architectural merit, the East Village derives a special sense of place from the vast sweep of history that has taken place on its streets from early days as a base for merchants to decades of welcoming immigrant communities, to serving as home for social movements and artistic communities so prominent lately the East Village tells the story of New York. The East Village district does contain several historic religious properties some of which have spoken against designation. In 2011 Conservancy staff attended a meeting convened by Council Member Mendez to discuss their particular concerns. We'd like to remind building owners and the Council that throughout the 27 years that the Conservancy's sacred sites program has been offering assistance to historic religious properties, we have worked with congregations to consider fundraising and restoration projects, capacity building, shared space usage, redevelopment plans, - - rights, or even occasionally the sale of a building to a new congregation. In working with hundreds of properties across the city and state, we have seen these approaches work. We have provided direct

assistance, and we have seen that beyond our funds, landmark designation can trigger grants from the city and the state. In many cases this designation has supported funding for buildings, congregations and communities. There is no doubt that the East Village will continue to grow and evolve as it has for over 200 years. With landmark designation, new generations of residents, business owners, artists and immigrants will be able to rediscover and use this community's rich architectural heritage. We'd like to thank the Council, the Commission and especially Council Member Mendez for supporting the district. Thank you.

SIMEON BANKOFF: Good afternoon.

Simeon Bankoff speaking on behalf of the Historic
Districts Council. HDC has long been advocate for
preserving the East Village and Lower East Side
and we are unsurprisingly in strong support of the
proposed historic district. Kleindeutscheland,
the Yiddish Rialto, Lower East Side, whatever you
call it, this neighborhood is the epitome of a
classic Manhattan neighborhood. The buildings
were and still are homes to new immigrants and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

longtime residents, hubs of social justice, equality and identity movements and centers of culture and entertainment. Given the cycle of constant change, which this neighborhood has undergone for almost two centuries, it is remarkable that anything recognizable from history still exists and yet it does. Preservationists talk a lot of about the layering of history, of how buildings from different eras coexist next to each other creating a complex cityscape, which is heterogeneous but intimately connected. Although there is a vast diversity of architectural styles in the East Village, there is a unity among the structures seen in their complementary scale, materials and articulated details, which much like the diversity of its residents lends a vibrancy and a character that is unique to this area. Although this area has qualities in common with other of New York City's historic districts it is the concentrated layers of history, both architectural and cultural that make this neighborhood so distinctive and so worthy of being protected. Here more than most other historic districts the charge of landmark oversight will be

to guide the ceaseless change of the neighborhood in ways that do not erase or falsify its history.

It's with great joy that the HDC testifies in favor of this historic district. This neighborhood is one of our chosen six to celebrate for 2013, and we look forward to developing programing and working even more closely with the community and its leaders in the coming year to ensure that this district has as exciting and vibrant a future as it does a past. Finally, on a personal note I have counted and I believe I have walked up and down 2nd Avenue, which is the spine of this historic district 7,500 times over the last 11 years, and there definitely is something there.

much to the three of you. As I mentioned we have our next panel, which is our one panel in opposition. Lilia McGeed [phonetic], Anna Cisowski, and Krystyna Piorkowska, and after that, we will invite Jean Standish, Marie Byrne [phonetic], and Carolyn Ratcliffe to come up. Alright, so we have—so there must be. Well, go ahead and sit down and tell us your name first and

3

1

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

then go ahead and give your testimony, and when we get the copies we will enter that into the—I think push the button; it will light up.

ANNA CISOWSKI: My name is Anna Cisowski. I am representing Father Tadeusz [phonetic], who is the pastor of Saint Stanislaus Church in Manhattan. Good morning. As I said, I am representing Father - - , and he is opposed to the application before you that would establish a historic district in a large area of the Lower East Side. Following today's hearing, you will vote on the landmark designation for a number of blocks in our community, including one in which our parish is represented-it's 141 years old. This parish is the oldest parish in the city of New York and continues to serve its constituent members in some cases third and fourth generation members. Regrettably, those are not the intentions or understandings of the Landmark Preservation Commission or the various non-profits attempting to determine our fate. They neither know nor participate in our masses or celebrations, yet the Landmarks Preservation Commission has not consulted with our parish

concerning our history in this community, which I 2 must advise you has been misrepresented, but in 3 their response they have refused to acknowledge 4 5 their error. This is a mark of disrespect to our very community they claim to respect. In brief 6 7 among other errors, the LPC states that the Polish community began its settling in this community in 8 the late 19th century, but by that time we had some 9 five Polish bookstores from Houston Street to East 10 7th Street area and the Polish community mustered 11 12 over 10,000 persons to march to City Hall and 13 return to Cooper Unit [phonetic] to hold a rally. 14 They also claim that we began to out migrate from 15 the community after World War II, which was a time 16 when many new immigrants, victims of both the 17 Soviet and Nazi aggressors of World War II began 18 to arrive in our area. You have already heard 19 about the refusal to give access to materials. 20 Most recently when we were sent what purported to 21 be a final description of the Saint Stanislaus 22 church property, it was full of errors, including 23 misidentifying the rectory as the house of worship, et cetera. I am sure that you are aware 24 25 that for each and every house of worship, any

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

additional fees involved in the processing of permits are an additional burden. You must bear in mind that in the case of an aging building where the repair maintenance costs are large, the fees involved which are a percentage of the costs of the project increase the financial burden on the parish community, which must pay not only for the architect and/or engineers, the materials and the labor, but the Department of Building fees and were you to approve this landmarking proposal, the additional LPC fees. Were our parish in fact not liable for any additional fees to the city of New York would not obviate the fact that our architects would have to complete additional, more complex forms and that our contractors would have to charge us additional fees due to LPC requirements. I refer specifically although not solely to the requirement that when the painting the façade of a building, an owner must not only select colors from the LPC palate, but then must have their contractor paint a three by three foot test patch for the inspection by the LPC. Such a delay in work complicates the process and places additional financial burdens on the property

owner, in this case the church. The history of this community is important enough to present it correctly and accurately and enough historical documented research exists to do so. The financial burden places on our house of worship and others in being required to pay additional fees is unwarranted and unconscionable. The costs involved in having to provide rehabilitation work such as window replacement at prices required by the LPC would also create a huge fiscal burden on our parish. I therefore urge that you reject the application before you, Father Tadeusz Lizinczyk, Pastor.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you and because you are the one panel in opposition I grant a little leeway with the time, but Ms.

Piorkowska, I'll ask you to try to keep it to the two minutes, and if you have things that are the same, maybe you can omit these, but please go ahead.

KRYSTYNA PIORKOWSKA: Good afternoon. I am Krystyna Piorkowska, and I am testifying as a property owner of over 30 years on East 7th Street, and also a member of the parish of

б

Saint Stanislaus in opposition to this
landmarking. In their presentation today, the LPC
continued to document its lack of interest and/or
knowledge in the history and input of the Polish
community, which began settling in this area after
the 1838 and 1863 uprisings in Europe. Polish
émigrés in escaping from a Poland that had been
partitioned by Germany and Austria and Russia were
regrettably and continue to be identified as
Germans or Russians, so so much for
Kleindeutscheland. This lack of historical
knowledge documents the lack of analysis and
respect for our history as Father Lizinczyk's
testimony documents, our parish was founded in the
1870s, well before the end of the 19 th century;
however, I also want to emphasize that in the case
of descriptive information about our properties,
my property consists of two buildings-one of which
is taller than the other. The shorter building
was described as being taller than the other.
There was discussion of the east façade and the
west façade, which do not exist because they are
below the surface of the budding buildings. A
fence in which I replaced something like 30

25

percent of the fence has now been described as 2 being a historical fence despite the fact that 3 4 elements of it are absolutely not even made to 5 look historical, but it's now going to be landmarked, and it's just something that I do not-6 I wish you would accept the statement that they do not do their research accurately, and I describe 9 that in part there. The business harm that is 10 going to be done to property owners who have to 11 file additional forms, who have to pay their 12 architects additional fees, will necessarily end up being passed on to the tenants, so for anybody 13 14 who has an illusion that this is going to protect 15 tenants or be good for this-this is not going to 16 work well, and I ask that you vote against this 17 and in support of my statement that the LPC 18 refused to respond to my request for information, 19 and that I then had to do a foil and was given 20 that material that was not complete, I have e-21 mails documenting every step of the process, and 22 if this committee wishes, I will gladly submit 23 that to them.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Are there any questions for this panel?

2	Thank	you	very	much	for	taking	the	time	to	con	ne
3	down.	We	appre	eciate	you	ır testi	mony	7. W	e w	ill	now

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ:

[interposing] Mr. Chair?

hear from-

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes?

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Just for the record, if there are any inaccuracies about the history of my community, I do want that corrected because the Polish community is still a vibrant part of my district, and I want the record to reflect that accurately.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Ms. Fernandez?

Mendez, we did receive and as we always do when we do receive requests from the community or an institution or even just a property owner contesting any information in our designation reports or in our draft designation reports, the staff does take that seriously and goes back and checks again any facts based on the research that they have conducted. We will do further research to either confirm or dispute whatever has been brought up, but certainly any new information, and

I am confident because I knew about this when it
was brought up—this information was presented to
our research staff and they did look into the
questions and some of the statements that the
owners had asked about, and I don't know if

anything was actually changed, but some stuff was actually changed and other things remained in the report as we had reported it, so we do take these

10 seriously.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

Alright. So I had called before a representative of REBNY. They have presented written testimony, but they are not here in person. Now we will hear all of the panels—the remaining panels—and all of the people signed up to testify are in favor. We will just take them in groups of three. Jean Standish, Marie Byrne, and Carolyn Ratcliffe. The next panel will be Richard Moses, Amanda Davis and Clayton Smith.

JEAN STANDISH: My name is Jean
Standish, and I'm a member of the Bowery Alliance
of Neighbors and the Lower East Side Preservation
Initiative. When the East Village and Lower East
Side were contextually rezoned one of the

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unfortunate results of this rezoning plan was that smaller buildings such as row houses became soft sides and are vulnerable to demolition or inappropriate alteration. As a result, this community has already lost a number of historically significant buildings. The circa 1839 Greek revival row houses at 326 and 328 East Forest Street, the circa 1825 federal style house at 35 Cooper Square and the 1835 row house at 316 East 3rd Street and finally, on East 6th Street where I live, the 1852 townhouse at 331 East 6th Street was recently demolished. Also a number of tenements on my street have lost their lintels and cornices. The East Village and Lower East Side are an area of great local citywide and national importance for its central role in our culture's immigration, political, music, art and theater history. It's historic streets include a wonderfully rich variety of 19th and early 20th century architecture. By landmarking this district, the city is ensuring that we and future generations can appreciate the physical evidence of its fascinating influential history and architecture. The East Village and Lower East

Side are rapidly losing their rich culture and
history due to demolition, inappropriate
development and defacing of buildings. It is for
these reasons that I as a resident in the East
Village/Lower East Side Historic District hope
that the City Council Subcommittee on Landmarks,
Public Siting, and Maritime Uses will approve this
designation, and I also want to read a short
statement for the East 7 th Street Block
Association. The East 7 th Street Block Association
between 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} Avenues strongly supports the
creation of the East Village/Lower East Side
Historic District. Such a designation will help
to preserve the residential and architecture,
artistic character of our neighborhood.
Sincerely, Carol Joyce, president, East 7 th Street
Block Association.
MARIE BYRNE: Honorable council
members, my name is Marie Byrne, a native of
Manhattan as was my mother whose ancestors

members, my name is Marie Byrne, a native of

Manhattan, as was my mother whose ancestors

immigrated from Ireland as did my father. New

York's city ethnic enclaves are a major

destination for first and second generation

Americans nationwide. Tourism is a multi-billion

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dollar industry in New York City, with tens of millions coming to our city annually to absorb our cultural, social, architectural history as well as some making the pilgrimage to visit their roots where their ancestors landed in New York City, some of who eventually found their way into the four corners of the United States. Visitors search the Internet for their ancestor's biography before they come so they can visit the old neighborhood whether it be Fordham, Flatbush, Flushing, Saint George or the Lower East Side. They soak up the environment where their grandparents or other relatives first embraced the new world with their iPads or Androids recording memories of this visit, they and their children's children will return again and again to experience the joy of having walked in the steps of their ancestors. For our city's fiscal future, and those of you who just came from the budget meeting will appreciate this, and for our nation's heritage, let us be the stewards of these beloved historic places. I respectfully urge the Landmarks Subcommittee to vote to ratify landmark designation for the East Village/Lower East Side

1	LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING, MARITIME USES 57
2	Historic District.
3	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.
4	CAROLYN RATCLIFFE: Hello. My name
5	is Carolyn Ratcliffe. I am a Lower East Side
6	resident, one of Council Member Mendez's
7	constituents. I am the chairperson for my block
8	association and I belong to the Lower East Side
9	Preservation Initiative. I'm the vice president.
10	U am the chairperson of the landmarks subcommittee
11	of Community Board 3. I have been active in
12	community preservation for many years in my
13	neighborhood. I was actively involved in saving
14	La Plaza Cultural and Saint Brigid [phonetic],
15	which was just recently dedicated Sunday night
16	after having been nearly demolished and turned
17	into a pile of rubble.
18	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing]
19	Congratulations to you and Council Member Mendez
20	and many other people in the room on that
21	victory.
22	[applause]
23	CAROLYN RATCLIFFE: Thank you.
24	That was one of the stellar things in our

neighborhood. Saint Brigid had overlooked

25

Tompkins Square Park almost since its inception. 2 The park was I think dedicated in 1835. 3 Brigid was built in 1848. It has been like a 4 5 beacon of faith to our neighborhood. At one point in time in the 1930s there was a photograph of 6 Avenue B from Trinity Lutheran down to Saint Brigid, and it was Trinity Lutheran Church, the 9 American Communist Party, the Yeshiva on the corner and Saint Brigid's Church. We represent 10 11 everybody down here, and that is one of the things 12 that I wanted to talk about. I am writing to ask that your committee support the proposed East 13 14 Village Historic District approved by the 15 Landmarks Commission. I have been a preservation 16 advocate in my neighborhood for many years. 17 think it is important to retain recognizable 18 historic structures and streetscapes for the 19 future so that people can better understand the 20 past from which we have evolved. The Lower East 21 Side/East Village has been one of the most vitally 22 important cultural incubators for our country, 23 housing millions of immigrants who came to this 24 country to seek a better life, fleeing - - , 25 droughts, political upheavals, wars and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

starvation. The proposed historic district gives a glimpse of the past as the area includes architectural styles ranging from federal row houses, ornately decorated tenements and art deco buildings to name a few. Many well-known writers, musicians and political activists have lived in these buildings and have enriched the culture not only of his neighborhood, but of our city and country. It is worth preserving. Protecting these buildings by recognizing the need for historic district designation is vital with the rampant development that is ongoing in the city if left unprotected these significant vestiges of our past will vanish in short order. Thank you for your support of the historic district. I would also like to comment as an attachment to this I have a copy of the resolution that was passed by Community Board 3. At the Committee hearing, which was held at - - audience of 55 people represented a cross section of neighborhood residents with 24 signing up to speak in favor of historic districts, 9 opposed and 2 undecided. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much and to all of you for your leadership in this
effort. Next up are Richard Moses, Amanda Davis
and Clayton Smith, and after that Marcia
Ikonomopoulos, Philip Van Aver and Linda Eskenas.
Thanks to all of you who waited so patiently for
your time to speak about your love of your

8 neighborhood.

AMANDA DAVIS: I submitted a longer testimony, but I'll read a brief one here. name is Amanda Davis, and on behalf of the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation, I would like to express our unequivocal support for upholding the designation of the East Village/Lower East Side Historic District. We'd also like to thank Council Member Rosie Mendez for her continued support in preserving the great character of this vibrant neighborhood and the Landmarks Commission for their thorough research of the history of this district. The East Village is one of the most historically significant areas of New York City -- structures date to the late 18th century and the area is rich in evidence of New York's mercantile history in the early 19th century, it's dramatic

transformation of waves of immigration starting in
the mid-19 th century, which actually also includes
on a personal note my German, Russian and Austrian
relatives, and its rebirth as a mecca for artists,
painters, writers and musicians in the 20 th
century. The East Village/Lower East Side
Historic District captures an incredibly important
part of this history. We hope that the City
Council will uphold the designation of the East
Village/Lower East Side Historic District in order
to preserve a vital part of New York City well
into the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much.

[applause]

RICHARD MOSES: Good afternoon,

Council Members. My name is Richard Moses. I am

president of the Lower East Side Preservation

Initiative, also known as LESPI, and I'm also a

resident of Council Member Mendez's district.

LESPI is a not-for-profit, grass roots, all

volunteer organization dedicated to the

preservation of the historic streetscapes of the

East Village/ Lower East Side. I won't repeat

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what has been said about the area's immensely important cultural and architectural history and significance and its very rich urban environment. As you know development pressures in the East Village are intense and getting stronger all the time. Although the 2008 rezoning of the area established certain height limitations, the brute force of gentrification has resulted in ornate historic buildings and facades being demolished and replaced with generic glass and stucco boxes. Without landmark designation, the historic East Village will be lost building by building and street by street. There have been concerns raised about the religious properties in the district. When my great-grandmother first arrived in the Lower East Side from Russia 120 years ago, having been sent alone by her family as a teenager to escape the programs [phonetic], she was disappointed that the streets were not literally paved in gold, but the countless religious buildings in the neighborhood did provide this aura. Although many of our community's religious buildings have been lost over the years, those remaining continue as beacons, providing residents

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a sense of spiritual peace and reassurance as society becomes increasingly commercialized. Losing these magnificent structures would be a tragic loss for us all. Based on our extensive outreach, we believe that the vast majority of the neighborhood's residents want landmarking. have gathered over 1,000 signatures in support of historic district designation in just a few petitioning sessions. Community Board 3 has voted in support of the district. Historic district designation is the only way to effectively ensure that what we cherish about our neighborhoods will survive in the years to come. Please vote to ratify landmark designation for the East Village/ Lower East Side Historic District with its current boundaries intact. Thank you very much.

CLAYTON SMITH: I'm Clayton Smith.

I have been a resident of the East Village and

Lower East Side for 14 years, and frequent cast

member in the theaters on East 4th Street, and

audience member for that matter. I wrote a whole

thing, but I think I'll just say that I used to

live—my first apartment was a corner, which used

to be a lovely French bistro, which is soon to be

a 7-11, and I think it says all there needs to say
that right now in your heads you are probably
thinking, which one? I really appreciate the
Commission's report, a certain phrase they used
that said that the district has an incredibly
dense layering of historic and cultural
significance, and as Council Member Mendez said,
we call this home, and sometimes walking around
the neighborhood it feels difficult sometimes to
feel like your home has been remodeling by people
who you don't know. I think that this is an
extremely gratifying and reassuring landmarking
that all too rare moment in a city where we know
that development has to happen and rapid change is
always happening, but it means a lot to see that
we can value the historical and cultural character
of our neighborhoods that define the character of
the district and that are the reason that people
want to live here and come here to begin with, so
I applaud you all for your work and thank you for
helping to work together to celebrate our
district.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Alright. On the next panel we have Marcia

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this?

2	Ikonomopoulos, Philip Van Aver and Linda Eskenas
3	and following them Sara Romanoski, Mitchell
4	Grubler and Michelle Campo.

5 MARCIA IKONOMOPOULOS: Do I push

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yeah, when the light comes on. Other way I think actually.

Sorry. Push it one more time. There you go.

MARCIA IKONOMOPOULOS: My name is Marcia Haddad Ikonomopoulos, and I am the museum director of Kehila Kedosha Janina Synagogue and Museum at 280 Broome Street. I am here to speak about soul since I come from a religious institution. Actually the soul I am going to speak about is the precious soul of this city. Every city has a soul. New York has a very special soul. It's diversity; it's multiethnicity. The different groups that have come here to call it home give it a special flavor and there is probably very few districts in the city of New York that exemplify this better than the East Village and the Lower East Side. Talking about historical districting, we are a historical landmark in the city of New York. What this has

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done for us is not only preserve us, enabled us through loans and grants from sacred sites and other institutions to restore our synagogue. hasn't cost us any more to restore. In fact that money would not have been available if we were not a landmark. We were all on board the same thingour board when it went for landmarking, and I remember so much the words of Ruth Abram, who used to be head of the tenement museum. When she spoke about the fact that we create landmarks to exemplify what this country was about in beautiful gentrified mansions that were owned by famous people and yet most of our people live in the city centers, in the urban environments, in the tenements. We have a saying on the Lower East Side, and if I may paraphrase from Marcy Raven [phonetic], who I respect her work so much, we all walk in somebody else's shoes; make your footprints deep, so somebody else can walk in yours. I'm asking you to make this a historical district to respect the soul of our city. Thank you.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER:

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 PHILIP VAN AVER: Good afternoon.

My name is Philip Van Aver. I have been a resident of the Tompkins Square Park area of the Lower East Side since 1969. I am also a board member of LESPI. There are several issues that came up through the hearing today. I cannot address all of them needless to say. When I spoke at the June 26 hearing last year on the historic district, I mentioned some of my favorite sites in the neighborhood that were designated; however, I left out unfortunately-since I only had three minutes-7th Street between A and 1st, which of course includes Saint Stanislaus Church. I never expected to have that block considered for designation. I thought it was an impossible dream. Now unfortunately Councilman Halloran is not here. I wish I could send him a photograph that I have from a calendar of churches on the Lower East Side that was taken in 1910. The last time I looked at that photograph which was about three or four months ago I would say that there had been virtually no alteration in that block in the last hundred years. That is very rare any place in New York. In addition I would just like

25

record.

2	to very briefly point out that it is not necessary
3	for a site to be designated to have a complete
4	architectural history. Some years ago I attended
5	a lecture at the Historic District Council on I
6	think it was called bottoms up, which included
7	famous drinking spots in New York City that were
8	designated. Among them was the Oak Room of the
9	Plaza, which I'm sure all of us are familiar with.
10	Anyway, that building according to the
11	architectural expert there was no record of the
12	architect or even when it had been built. Thank
13	you very much.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: You are
15	reminding me. We haven't had that many tours for
16	the Landmarks Subcommittee, and perhaps, we should
17	do the bottoms up historic tour.
18	[background conversation]
19	LINDA ESKENAS: Thank you. The
20	Lower East Side is one of the great neighborhoods
21	of New York City-
22	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing]
23	I'm sorry. Please identify yourself for the

LINDA ESKENAS: Linda Eskenas, Four

Borough Neighborhood Preservation Alliance and the 2 - - Waterfront Greenway. The Lower East Side is 3 one of the great neighborhoods of New York City 4 5 known throughout the world. It is where millions of immigrants came to make their dreams come true 6 and leave what they achieved to us where most of us can trace our roots. These neighborhoods are 9 our heritage and the identity of New York City, why people come from everywhere and want to be 10 11 here and live here, where Peter Stuyvesant rode 12 down the bowery of trees to the magnificent church at Stuyvesant Place and 2nd Avenue where Spanish 13 14 Jews came escaping the inquisition and the great 15 Yiddish theater and vaudeville were born which gave birth to Broadway, and later 20th century 16 17 icons of off Broadway, et cetera, et cetera, et 18 cetera. This is our heritage and must be 19 designated a New York City landmark. Some of our 20 earliest of course irreplaceable buildings, our 21 treasures have already been destroyed on the bowery and throughout the Lower East Side. We 22 23 must landmark now. They were replaced with refrigerator like buildings, which are as-well, 24 25 which are pretty foolish looking. We can't lose

any more. This is who we are. Landmarking not only saves our heritage in New York City, but brings economic thriving in a time of fiscal crisis, and it also brings a sense of responsibility to do what is best for everyone and have a magnificent future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Good job providing a nice full picture for us. So thank you. Let's see. Next we have Sara Romanoski, Mitchell Grubler, and I think Michelle Campo. Please correct me when you come up if I get it wrong and after that Yolanda Fernandez, Patricia Melvin and Tom Birchard.

SARA ROMANOSKI: Good afternoon.

My name is Sara Romanoski. I'm here on behalf of the East Village Community Coalition, also located on Tompkins Square Park. I would like to express on behalf of the organization my support for the historic district. Our organization emerged around the successful P.S. 64 preservation battle. We continue to advocate for the cultural and built environment of the East Village. We are proud of that building's individual designation by the Commission in 2006 and more recent

designations including the one under consideration 2 today. While we celebrate the individual 3 victories, we recognize that much of our 4 5 remarkable past is embedded throughout the neighborhood. More than 300 buildings included in 6 this district are the functioning remnants of several iconic histories of immigrants, workers, 9 housing and many cultural, social, artistic and labor movements. Our buildings and blocks are the 10 11 setting that nurtured these wildly influential 12 groups and their actions. New immigrants continue 13 to find their roots in our - - , their religious and cultural institutions remain as defining 14 15 places. Even as populations relocate, the East 16 Village contains distinct architectural structures 17 and repetitions in housing type, scale and style. 18 Persistent development pressures as many have reference has resulted in the defacement and 19 20 destruction of buildings and threatens to further 21 dismantle our architectural identity. The East 22 Village bears one of the most textured histories 23 of any neighborhood in the city, yet the 24 neighborhood has lacked comprehensive landmarks 25 protection. We celebrate the attention and

DANDMAKKS, FUDDIC SITING, MAKITIME USES

resources that our Council Member and the LPC and advocates have dedicated to address that imbalance through the creation of this district. Approval of the district in full will help preserve and protect the historic fabric of our neighborhood and reward the commitment of a community to ensuring our beloved neighborhood remains strong, vibrant and unique. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

MITCHELL GRUBLER: Good afternoon.

I'm Mitchell Grubler. This testimony is on behalf of the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors. We strongly support and urge you to vote to uphold the designation of the entire proposed East Village/
Lower East Side Historic District. While more upscale districts with more grandiose buildings have received designations, few areas of this city have had as great an impact on American history and culture. Immigrant history, labor history, the history of tenements, and the history of iconoclastic writers, artists and musicians are writ large in this neighborhood. Considering the ferocious pace of real estate speculation it behooves the city to move quickly to preserve its

б

unique context and character, which are crucial components of the area's economic vitality and attraction. While we support and applaud the creation of this much needed historic district, we also hope to testify before you in the not too distant future on other deserving landmark designations on the historic Lower East Side. On behalf of the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors we urge you to move on the designation of the East Village/ Lower East Side Historic District. Thank you.

[applause]

MICHELLE CAMPO: My name is

Michelle Campo. I am here to read testimony of

Catherine Feldman [phonetic]. My name is

Catherine Feldman. I am testifying to urge the

City Council's Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public

Siting and Maritime Uses to approve the decision

of the Landmarks Preservation Commission to

designate the East Village/ Lower East Side

Historic District. I own a historic house 230

East 5th Street built in 1843 where I have lived

with my family for 40 years. My twin sons were

raised here, and now my grandchildren are being

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 raised there too. In these same 40 years, I and 3 my late husband, Floyd Feldman, founder of GOLES,

4 have been active in preserving--

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: - - .

MICHELLE CAMPO: --our

neighborhood's important and unique place in New York City history. No other place has seen so many phases of the development of Manhattan as we have. To lose such a heritage is to lose the very sense of who we are and where we came from. I have been a professor of literature for many years, and in all of them my mission has been to pass on to my students the knowledge of what it is meant and still means to be inheritors of the work of others who have labored so hard and so long to express what it means to be human, but such knowledge is not only captured in books, but also in stones, in the buildings around us in which have seen the lives of all comers in the East Village. Who would want to lose such a treasure, especially when the LPC ha snow designated the district? Surely not those on the City Council's Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses, who serve to protect our interests.

2 Thank you for your attention, Catherine Feldman.

much. Surely not. So. Okay. Let's see. We are getting close now. We have two more panels. The next one is Yolanda Fernandez, Patricia Melvin and Tom Birchard, and then the last two people I have signed up to testify are Robert Watlington and Stella Dong. Thank you.

YOLANDA FERNANDEZ: Hi. My name is Yolanda Fernandez. I live on 2nd Avenue between Saint Mark's and 7th Street for 40 years, and there have been far more eloquent people than me, but I was very interested by the woman with the synagogue museum who said she got grants and since economics is part of this whole thing, everybody is balancing money, have some workshops. Figure out how to get money to restore this. I am very in favor of landmarking the neighborhood. I mean who wants to walk by at night a block that is a glass cylinder in the street? There is no people, no living anything. I really hope you approve it. Please do. Thanks very much. That is my piece.

[applause]

PATRICIA MELVIN: Good afternoon.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Patricia Melvin. I have lived in my current apartment just off the corner of Saint Mark's Place and 2nd Avenue, which is within the area, for 37 years. During that time, I have seen many historic buildings in my neighborhood demolished. I am omitting a couple of paragraphs because they have already been-the sentiment has already been expressed. On a personal note, I am a cityscape painter, and I have been painting in my neighborhood for the past 35 years. The East Village streets and buildings are what I love to paint. I love that I can see the sky above the decorative cornices and above the fire escapes that are so varied that they can be dated to within a decade according to their particular design. I love that I can see sunlight flooding the sidewalks, the sidewalk cafes, the stoops with their cast iron railings. I love the Orpheum Theater marquis, which I see out my window, with its comedy and tragedy masks in - - relief. I also wanted to mention that every year on the anniversary of the Triangle Shirtwaist Factory fire, someone writes in chalk the name of one of the victims of the fire, Tessie Rosenstock

of my

2	[phonetic],	on	the	sidewalk	in	front

3 building where she lived. The realization that

4 she lived in one of the apartments, perhaps even

5 my own connects me to history in a profound way.

6 I urge you to vote to protect our living history

and vote for the East Village/Lower East Side

B Historic District. Thank you very much.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

TOM BIRCHARD: My name is Tom

Birchard. I am the owner of Veselka Restaurant on 2nd Avenue. I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to say a few words. I'd like to thank two other people in particular. I was very impressed with Ms. Fernandez's description of my neighborhood. I thought it was great, and I'd actually like to have a copy of that sometime because when I have guests come I try to describe what the neighborhood is, and she did it very eloquently and also Councilwoman Mendez, who I know has been instrumental in pushing this initiative forward. I just want to very briefly tell you my personal story. I think it's indicative of what our neighborhood has to offer.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I came to Veselka in 1966 when I was a sophomore in college. I didn't know where my life would take me. I was kind of directionless. I was lucky enough to meet the boss' daughter. brought me to Veselka. He was a Ukrainian immigrant, who had started the business in 1954. That is one of the things about our neighborhoodit has been kind of a cauldron, a place where budding entrepreneurs, designers, artists, young chefs can come rent a cheap space and start their business. I found my home at Veselka. I started a love affair with the Lower East Side-this was before it was the East Village. I discovered eventually that food was my passion. Luckily Veselka has been a great success. I owe a great, great deal to this neighborhood. It has given me my life. It has helped me find my direction. second wife, Sally, is a veterinarian. With my urging, she opened up Saint Mark's Veterinary Hospital, which is right down the street. She and I love the neighborhood. We have raised five kids there. I can't imagine living anywhere else. Everybody here has described in one way or another what makes our neighborhood great. All of the

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 things that have been spoken in favor I thoroughly
3 agree with, and I urge you to please as best we

agree with, and I arge you to prease as best we

4 can protect this wonderful place where I have

5 lived my life.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks so much to all of you, and I appreciate everyone's contribution, but I do want to say especially thank you as a big fan of Veselka personally, but also first in general, we really appreciate when property owners and stewards step up-whether they are religious institutions or whether they are homeowners, but obviously for many of us the small businesses that are a deep part of the history of our neighborhoods and give it its quality of life are harder to preserve with the tools of historic designation they take the tools of sort of sweat and entrepreneurship and we really appreciate everybody being here today, but we really appreciate your efforts. Council Member Mendez, do you have-

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ:

[interposing] Yes, I have eaten many meals at Veselka, and now I hear that we can have events at your 2nd Street place, but maybe this is something

we can help businesses, but we could also see each other's communities, so maybe you will see us there soon. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

for the dinner caucus to take up of the City

Council. One of our colleagues started a dinner

caucus and we go into different neighborhoods so

much. Our last two, but definitely last but not least, we just did it in order of people signing up, so we really appreciate everybody's patience. We still have so many people here all the way to the end are Stella Dong and Robert Watlington.

ROBERT WATLINGTON: Yes. My name is Robert Watlington. I have been affiliated or resided on the Lower East Side or East Village, whatever you choose to call it, since '66. By the way, Veselka was a place when I didn't want to go home to Harlem, I'd sleep in the phone booth in the back. The old phone booths used to have seats in them so we could hide in there. Everyone has pretty much acknowledged the immigrant experience, et cetera, et cetera, but what the Lower East Side means to me is that that area, and it was multicultural, multi-racial during the '60s and '50s

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and '40s, whether it be jazz or whether it be rock and roll, so let's be real. The kids today when they hear the East Village, the first thing they think of is creativity. Unfortunately today they can no longer come here and get those \$50 a month apartments or Con Ed three months for \$15. It's It's really sad. My main appreciation of the East Village was Saint Mark's Place, and I cry and I live on top of the old Fillmore-right next to the old Fillmore. I cry when I walk down Saint Mark's and see what it looks like. I don't care how much multi-culturalism you want today or It's not about multi-culturalism on whatever. Saint Mark's; it's pure greed. The fact is that the electric circus-I was there when Andy Warhol owned it. Fillmore East we had to beg to get a little plaque that represents it. I'm going in my building and I see people from all over the world standing outside the bank looking at it. It's a shame that those who have made and developed their personalities and characters by being associated with the - - have now joined the corporate types and they want to destroy and devastate it. last thing I must say is that I am totally

ashamed—the Lower East Side introduced me to
Jewish culture in a way that no textbook or no
synagogue or anything could have. I got to see
the good and the bad. I hate to sit here today
and say that I am seeing it dissolve before my
eyes. When the old days when a little guy would
get out of a limousine and stop in front of the $2^{ m nd}$
Avenue deli and there is a word, I call it the
guy would stand outside, and he from the
Bowery boys-about five feet high, and he would
just look at—the food was horrible. It was
grease, but the fact is that when I would get up-
'cause back in those days we had to get up and get
the Times the night before—you would walk by there
and say wow. That is what it is about. All I
want to say is remember that not only the past,
but the contemporary period plays a role in
forming the very foundation of culture today in
America. Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

much. Very eloquently stated.

STELLA DONG: My name is Stella Dong. I am a writer. I have lived on Saint

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mark's Place near 2nd Avenue since 1978. As a writer, I spend most my time in the East Village, but I write about China and Asia, so I go to China a lot. My best known book is a book about the history of Shanghai. I cannot go back. I cannot go to Shanghai anymore because it breaks my heart when I see all the architectural heritage of that once great city that has been destroyed. now steel and glass towers in every neighborhood. We are lucky. This kind of meeting could never happen anywhere in China. Organizations are not involved. People are not allowed to give their feedback on what is happening in their neighborhoods. I know many, many Chinese who have been forcibly evicted from their homes, seen their homes demolished in front of them in Shanghai in Beijing. Communities have completely disappeared, generations of people have not unlike we New Yorkers and Americans, they don't have a voice in what happens to their neighborhood. Their opinions are not solicited. Developers in cahoots with officials say we want to build in your neighborhood and you are out. So I just want to tell everyone how fortunate you are to live in a

society where individuals can tell community

leaders, politicians what is important to them and
where their wishes are heard and responded to, so

value that. And second, I want to say that we do

not want New York to go the way of Shanghai or

Beijing. We don't want to lose our history. I

know so many Chinese, so many Shanghainese, who

say there was a time we could have saved it. We

didn't. Now we regret it. We can never get it

back. Never. That is my say.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you so much. It has been wonderful sitting here, an honor really to listen to all of you - - about the Lower East Side, and yes, I do want to reflect also what we are doing here today is preserving buildings. That is what we do with designation, but I know that the fight that Council Member Mendez leads and that so many of you are involved in to help preserve people, to address the challenges of gentrification, to help preserve small business and the cultural heritage of the neighborhood, which is not a designation action is also something that you guys have taken a lot of

1	LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING, MARITIME USES 86					
2	not in support of preserving the history of our					
3	great city, we just disagree about how we go about					
4	it sometimes with the Commission. So aye.					
5	FEMALE VOICE: Council Member					
6	Mendez?					
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I need to					
8	think about this.					
9	[laughter]					
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I proudly					
11	vote aye.					
12	FEMALE VOICE: Council Member					
13	Williams?					
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: May I					
15	explain my vote? Thank you. I am going to vote					
16	aye, and I have full faith in my colleague,					
17	Council Member Mendez, that she went through the					
18	proper processes and took everyone into					
19	consideration. I do have some concerns that I					
20	outlined earlier, and I do think we need to find a					
21	better way to get these concerns heard in a					
22	fashion that makes people feel like they have been					
23	respected and find a way to work through some					
24	people who just don't want to be landmarked.					
25	There has to be a way to do that. I definitely					
1	1					

2	want to get some more information on the carve
3	outs and the boundaries and all of that good
4	stuff. I think there are huge problems here, but
5	I am sure that due diligence was done, and I vote

6 aye.

[applause]

FEMALE VOICE: By a vote of five in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no abstentions, Land Use item 752 is approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Congratulations. We will hold the vote open for ten minutes for Council Member Halloran. This meeting of the Subcommittee is adjourned.

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Kimberley	Uhlig
Date	02/17/13	