CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

November 26, 2012 Start: 10:07 a.m. Recess: 12:45 p.m.

HELD AT:

250 Broadway

Committee Room, 14th Floor

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent Ignizio

Peter Koo

G. Oliver Koppell Daniel R. Garodnick

Deborah Rose Eric Ulrich

Jessica S. Lappin Ydanis Rodriguez Gale A. Brewer David Greenfield James G. Van Bramer

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ashwini Chhabra Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Planning New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission

Paul Herzan

Davin Stowell CEO and Founder Smart Design

Megan Canning
Deputy Director
Design Trust for Public Space

Ethan Gerber Greater New York Taxi Association

Vincent Sapone Managing Director League of Mutual Taxi Owners

Peter Mazer General Counsel Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

David Pollack Executive Director Committee for Taxi Safety

Placida Robinson Founder Independent Medallion Owner and Driver Association

Jose Altamarino President Livery Base Owners Association

Marc Klein Vice President Clean Energy Fuels

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ricoberto Nunez

Jonathan Jonovics

Cliff Adler Member League of Mutual Taxi Owners

Aaron Truesell

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: GOOD MOTHING
everyone, welcome. I'm City Councilman James
Vacca and I'm chairman of the Committee on
Transportation. Like to welcome you all here,
November 26th. And I'd like to introduce the
members first that are here so far. To my left,
Council Member Ignizio, and to his left, Council
Member Peter Koo.

Today, we're going to hear testimony on three bills relating to our city's taxi and for-hire vehicle industries. We will also vote on Intro 599.

First we will hear testimony related to Intro 929, which would require all medallion taxi cabs to post the rate of fare on the exterior of the cab. It would also require the word taxi to appear on the vehicle.

This legislation is a critical piece of consumer protection. In the three years that I've been chair of the Transportation

Committee, we have had not one, not two, but three separate overcharging scandals, and that was with the rate of fare on the door. Without the rate of fare on the door, how is the passenger to know

news headlines.

2 that he or she is being charged the correct rate?

I expect TLC officials to say that it's available on the TPEP screen in the back of the cab, but does anyone honestly believe that most tourists who are the most vulnerable to overcharging scams know how to use those screens?

And I don't know a single New Yorker who uses them for anything more than catching up on the latest

To this day, there are dozens of hearings a week at the TLC's OATH tribunals on Rate 4 violations. This scam is years old now and it still happens regularly. Yet, now TLC has decided that design trumps consumer protection and has removed the most basic, most visible, most important piece of information for the passengers—the rate of fare—and made it difficult to find.

The TLC last approved changes in the taxi logo in October of 2007. Industry stakeholders have said they were consulted during that process and came up with a logo that everyone was happy with. What's interesting about this process—or that process at that time, rather, is that the TLC appears to have rejected the very

logo that now graces taxi doors, the standalone T in a circle. Back in 2007, the logo was rejected because TLC officials feared it would be too easy to confuse it with the markings for a future 2nd Avenue subway line, so in 2007, this logo was deemed too confusing, but now it's on the door of every taxi in the city. What changed?

This time around, the industry says they were not consulted. Earlier this year,

Commissioner Yassky came before this committee and assured myself and members of the committee that he always meet with industry stakeholders before he proceeds with rule changes. And yet it appears that these meetings did not happen with respect to the decal change.

In addition, news reports have indicated that many drivers are not pleased with these changes. Needless to say, this is not good news and it's cause for concern.

In addition to what appears to be a disregard for many--input from the drivers and stakeholders, these rules were passed in a completely non-transparent manner. Rules as significant as these should have been issued

separately and given their own public hearing.
Instead, these rules were slipped into the highly
controversial fare and lease cap increase rules
and neither the industry nor the public was given
an adequate opportunity to fully understand what
was happening with respect to the decal. I can
only believe that the industry stakeholders would
have presented their opposition to the commission
had they been given an opportunity. And
certainly, as a Council, we will be insisting on
greater transparency in this and other matters as
well.

I hope TLC has answers about the entire process and, to be honest, I'm hoping that I don't hear we did all this because of aesthetics. We cannot have something that looks nice trump consumer protection and transparency when it comes to passengers.

Second, we're going to hear testimony on Intro 930, which would require the TLC to provide an annual report to the Council regarding inspections of TLC-licensed vehicles. I want to thank Council Member Cabrera and Rodriguez for all of their work on this issue.

choice.

Earlier this year, members of the
livery industry testified that drivers were
enduring week-long waits just to get an inspection
at the TLC's Woodside facility. The fact is, the
amount of time it takes for drivers to get an
inspection has become a major issue. If they have
to wait too long, they are forced to choose
between not driving, and therefore not earning
money, while they wait for the inspection date.
Or they choose to drive illegally without proper
inspection because they need to put food on their
table. Drivers should not have to face that

The final bill we will hear today is Intro 923 sponsored by Council Member Weprin. This legislation would allow the Taxi and Limousine Commission to approve electric vehicles for use as medallion taxicabs as long as they meet the same TLC standards as alternative fuel vehicles such as hybrids. This legislation recognizes that technology in the auto industry has improved dramatically. While it may not be feasible to put an electric taxi on the road tomorrow, this legislation will ensure that once

the electric cars are able to withstand the
stresses of New York City streets, there will be
no delay in putting them on our streets. This
legislation also has major potential to improve
our city's air quality by putting zero emission
electric vehicles on the streets.

Without further to-do, I'd like to mention that we've been joined on the right by my colleague, Council Member Oliver Koppell.

And I do want to note that before
we start our hearing today, we will vote on Intro
599, which I sponsored, which requires that the
screens in the back seat of Yellow cabs be
accessible to people with visual impairments.

Many of you may remember the press conference in
April where I stood with Lighthouse International
Creative Mobile Technologies and former Governor
David Patterson to announce that cabs outfitted
with CMT's technology were ready to begin rolling
out this change. I owe all the stakeholders in
this project a note of thanks to Jessie Davis, the
team at CMT, for its willingness to do more about
advancing this idea and I want to mention
Lighthouse, in particular, Lester Marks, Karen

2	Gorgie [phonetic], for all their hard work, Ellen
3	Ruben and the PAS Coalition. Last and certainly
4	least, I want to thank Lyle Frank and Jen Wilcox
5	for their significant contributions to the bill.
6	And when we have a quorum, we will
7	vote on that bill's passage and recommend that
8	bill to the entire Council for approval. Okay?
9	Did I mention Oliver? I mentioned
10	Oliver.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I did, okay.
13	Without further to-do, I'd like to call on our
14	first witness representing the Taxi and Limousine
15	Commission. Would you introduce yourself, sir,
16	and for the record and we welcome your testimony.
17	[Pause]
18	ASHWINI CHHABRA: Good morning,
19	Chairman Vacca and members of the City Council
20	Committee on Transportation, I'm Ashwini Chhabra,
21	Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Planning at the
22	New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission.
23	Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you
24	today regarding these three bills affecting the

city's taxi and for-hire industries.

2	The first proposed item of					
3	legislation is Intro 923, which would permit					
4	owners of alternative fuel medallions to use					
5	commission-approved electric vehicles as taxis.					
6	Currently, the City's Administrative Code					
7	specifically restricts these 272 alternative fuel					
8	medallions to use with hybrid electric or CNG-					
9	powered vehicles. As electric vehicles are					
10	becoming increasingly commercially viable and as					
11	the charging infrastructure expands to make their					
12	use a practical option, we may decide that they					
13	could be used as taxis. In that event, this bill					
14	will give the owners of alternative fuel					
15	medallions the option of going electric. The					
16	Commission wholeheartedly supports this bill and					
17	looks forward to testing this new technology in					
18	the hopes that it will provide another sustainable					
19	option for taxi owners.					
20	The second item of legislation I'd					

The second item of legislation I'd like to address is Intro 930, which would require the Commission to report to the Council on a quarterly basis average wait times for taxi and for-hire vehicle inspections. It's important to understand that, while taxicabs and for-hire

vehicles are both required to be inspected every three months—every four months, the process of the two types of vehicles is different. Taxicabs have a preset inspection schedule every four months during the course of the year and all inspections are done at the TLC's facility at Woodside. The time and date of the next scheduled vehicle inspection is given to the driver at each vehicle inspection, and we can share the schedule with you, as it does not change.

In contrast, for-hire vehicles are only required to be inspected at our Woodside facility prior to initial licensure and then at the start of each renewal cycle, or once for every two-year license cycle. After their initial inspection is performed at our Woodside facility, for-hire vehicles are also then required to be inspected once every four months, but these inspections can be done at any local DMV inspection facility. We do not track vehicle inspection appointment statistics for FHVs as it is administratively burdensome to collect and analyze and would distract staff from the more important work of actually processing these

2 applications, but we did generate data on this for 3 this committee back in February.

As we reported at that time, an applicant will receive an inspection appointment date within three business days of completing all the necessary paperwork and notifying the TLC that they have received their DMV plates and the total process from the date the application is filed until their actual inspection appointment date for fiscal year 2013 is currently averaging only ten calendar days. In addition, segments of the process are outside of the TLC's control so this process can, of course, take longer when applications are found to be incomplete or to contain errors that the applicant needs to rectify or if the applicant takes longer than necessary to obtain plates from the DMV.

Given the foregoing, the Commission does not believe that collecting, analyzing, and reporting this data every three months is an efficient use of staff resources. Commission staff will be better deployed to process these applications and to further processing times. For that reason, we respectfully oppose this

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 legislation.

The last proposed item of legislation, Intro 929-A, would require the rate of fare and the word taxi to be placed on the exterior of every vehicle authorized to accept a street hail. Respectfully, we disagree with the requirements of this bill.

While the Commission shares the motivation behind this legislation -- the education and protection of consumers--this bill fails to achieve that goal. Instead, other current and planned innovations will better accomplish this goal than the alteration of door decals. As you certainly know, all New York City taxis are already a uniform yellow color and have identical markings, they also have distinctive roof lights which display the taxi medallion number specific to that vehicle and a medallion affixed to the hood. All of these features readily mark them as New York City taxis. This combination of uniform color, uniform markings, and distinctive roof light turn an otherwise nondescript Ford Crown Vic or Toyota Prius into that iconic symbol of New York City--the New York City taxicab. And no one

seeing one of these unique yellow vehicles from a

block away can have any doubt that it is a New

York City taxicab.

The New York City taxi is one of the world's most identifiable brands and its iconic nature is a key element to the industry's success, but more than this, the Yellow taxi is a ubiquitous presence in the city. Particularly in the Manhattan Central Business District, where taxicabs are often a large percentage of the vehicles on the road. And in that environment of persistent messaging and visual clutter, simplifying and cleaning up the markings on 13,237 taxis can make a real difference.

exterior markings for the first time since 1970, which included a modernized fare panel and a bold T in a circle followed by A-X-I. Building upon those changes, we again engaged design professionals to help improve the taxis' iconic appearance. Based on their consult, we recently replaced the words NYC Taxi on the side doors with a smaller NYC and the letter T, and we simplified and reduced the fare information that was posted

on the door of the taxi. We have not had increased complaints from passengers, neither residents nor visitors, that this change has made it harder for them to discern a New York City taxi from the sea of vehicles on our city streets and we have not had any discernible increase in complaints from passengers that they didn't know the taxi fare before entering the cab.

Now in contrast, cities such as Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C., require the word Taxi to be on the door of the vehicle. Having the word Taxi is a useful tool in these cities where taxicabs are a variety of color combinations, depending on a particular taxicab company. In New York City, that is simply not the case. The yellow exterior of a taxi makes it easy to distinguish from other vehicles on the road.

On the subject of displaying the rate of fare on the exterior of the taxi, this is certainly one of those ideas that made sense at one time but no longer does. And so just to provide some context, what we have here is the previous fare panel that was in place on taxis through August and the new fare information panel

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's on the rear door of the taxis today. Back when the fare was simply an initial fare--the flag drop--with an additional amount per distance traveled or time elapsed, it may have made sense to enumerate that for passengers, however, the fare structure today is more complicated--bless you--with the rate of fare varying depending on the time of day and the destination. When you ride a taxi today, you can expect to pay 2.50 upon entry plus 50 cents MTA tax, plus 50 cents for each one-fifth of a mile when the cab is traveling at six miles an hour or more or for every 60 seconds when the cab is not in motion or traveling at less than six miles an hour, plus a weekday peak hour surcharge of \$1 between 4 and 8 p.m. from Monday to Friday, plus a nighttime surcharge of 50 cents between 8 p.m. and 6 a.m. daily, plus tolls.

In addition, there are different rates for trips that leave the five boroughs, different rates for Newark Airport and a flat fare between Manhattan and JFK Airport. This increased complexity, while necessary in the calculation of the fare, is potentially confusing if posted on a

taxi door. Indeed, prior to this most recent change to the exterior markings, much of this in fare information, in order to fit on the door, had to be presented in a size that rendered it less than useful. So the panel that we have here is actual size and, as you can see, the font size, in order to include all the information for the surcharges and the unit cost did require that the font be rather small. It's not something that, unless you're standing right in front of the vehicle, that you're actually going to be able to discern. The fact is, we now have technology aids that we didn't have back when the exterior fare markings were originally conceived.

equipped with a passenger-facing TV screen and each trip begins with the display of the rate of fare on those screens. These are screen shots from the prologue piece that plays at the start of every taxi trip, it sets forth, yeah, reminding people to buckle up, what their rate of fare is, the fact that credit card acceptance is mandatory on all fares, and so forth, and it directs passengers for more information, to go to the Taxi

Info button that's also on the screen.

In addition, passengers have the option at any point during their trip to read a more detailed onscreen explanation of the various rates of fare and to learn about the various components of each rate of fare. This does more to educate and protect consumers than any exterior decal glimpsed briefly prior to entering a taxi possibly could.

And the taxi screens also provide popup passenger alerts whenever an out-of-town rate has been activated or when a toll has been inappropriately charged. It is important to note that in recent instances of overcharging by drivers, in one case activation of the out-of-town rate for in-town trips and in the other case improper collection of toll amounts, listing the fare on the vehicle's exterior would not have prevented the overcharging. In both those instances, it was the GPS and the taxi screen which are part of the TPEP system that allowed us to identify the instances of overcharging, to notify passengers of its occurrence, and to prevent it from happening again.

As for the numerous visitors who

fly into either JFK or LaGuardia airports, the

Port Authority distributes fare cards to inform

them what the cost of their trip could be. As you

know, trips from JFK are a flat fare of \$52 to

Manhattan and the rate card lists estimated fares

to other areas of the city. Tourists who travel

from LaGuardia also have rate cards available to

them that provide estimates of how much trips
typically cost to various areas in the city. This

is all information that is more helpful than what

13 could be contained on the door of the cab.

In simplifying the information on the exterior of the vehicle, the decal still informs prospective passengers that they're entitled to pay a metered fare and that there is a flat fare between Manhattan and JFK. We're not alone. All of our peer cities—London, San Francisco, Chicago, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, Boston—require the fare to be posted on the interior of the taxi but not the exterior. However, based on the Council's advocacy on this issue, in particular in response to inquiries from Chairman Vacca and Council Member Garodnick, we

б

are making one significant change that we believe				
will further assist passengers in making sure they				
are being charged the correct amount. With the				
next generation of TPEP systems beginning in				
February, we will require that the taxi screens				
give passengers the option of viewing their own				
itemized fare information at any time during the				
trip, including the drop, the MTA tax, the time				
and distance portion of the fare, and any tolls or				
surcharges. Currently, this information is only				
visible at the end of the trip. With TPEP 2.0,				
this information will be available on demand. And				
the screen shot you have here is the payment				
screen that you see at the end of the trip. What				
we're going to be requiring is an easily				
accessible button on the taxi TV screen so that at				
any point during the trip, a passenger will be				
able to see this itemized breakdown of their fare.				
This concludes my testimony				

This concludes my testimony regarding the bills being considered today. I would like to thank you again for the opportunity to testify before the Council about these proposed items of legislation, and at this time, I'd be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I
3	appreciate your testimony. Let me just go over
4	several points. I still am not clear why the rate
5	was removed from the window of the taxi. I know
6	you say that you've implemented other measures,
7	but why did you think it was appropriate to remove
8	that rate that existed for years placed where it
9	was? That's what I'm not clear on: What was the
10	necessity of doing that. Was it harmful to give
11	people that type of information? The only
12	explanation I heard was that you would have to
13	break down the fare and how much goes to the MTA
14	and things like that. I don't think you have to
15	do that, but I do think people are entitled to
16	transparency before they get in the cab, not
17	finding out what the cab's fare going to be once
18	they enter it if they know where to look and if
19	they think about that. They should know before
20	they go into the cab. I go on the train, I took
21	the train here today, I knew how much the fare was
22	before I got on the train. I didn't get on the
23	train and look and say how much am I going to pay
24	for the ride. So can you let me know
25	[Applause]

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

3 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --can you let

4 me know why this had to be done? What was the

5 necessity of doing this?

ASHWINI CHHABRA: To be honest, I don't think that the fare panel that was in place through this summer actually provides that sort of transparency. So I too know what the subway ride is going to cost before I get on the train, but nothing on that fare panel--and we can actually just bring it up front again--really tells you what you're going to pay when you get out. So I see the number three on there and I see the 50 cent unit cost, but we know that the average taxi trip actually amounts to about \$14, and if you're going a longer distance, it'll cost more; if a shorter distance, it'll cost less. Fact of the matter is people don't think in terms of one-fifth of a mile or minutes spent traveling below six miles per hour. It's really a formula and that's not as helpful to passengers and can, in instances, be misleading because I see the \$3 there, when I get out of the cab and the taxi driver says, you know, the meter says \$27, that

2 can be disconcerting.

So there wasn't really--

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]
But even recognizing some of your arguments, why
didn't we just update what was put on the cab? If
you felt that the traditional breakdown that I see
in front of me was not really giving passengers
the information they needed, why didn't we just
update that information and still give passengers
a right to know as clearly as possible what the
fare would be before they got into the cab?

ASHWINI CHHABRA: And I guess so we grappled with that thought. Back when the decal was being redesigned in 2007, quite some thought was given to what could we say on here that would actually give a passenger a clear idea of what that fare is going to be. And I have to say, I don't think we came up with—there was anything that we were able to arrive at as, okay, this really—getting into this cab, you're going to know what the trip is going to cost. The real protection, though, is notifying passengers that you're entitled to pay a metered rate of fare. A lot of our visitors, as you point out, we have to

2	be concerned with tourists who are coming into our
3	city, may be coming from markets where there's a
4	negotiated rate of fare or there's not a meter,
5	and the decal continues to convey that, we do say
6	there's a metered fare on there.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But if the TLC
8	is telling me that they are unable to clearly let
9	passengers know what the rate of fare is and how
10	the rate was arrived, I think something is wrong
11	at the TLC. How could the TLC not be able to
12	clearly delineate what the fare is before a
13	passenger gets into the cab? That's your
14	responsibility to clearly let people know what the
15	fare is, and if that message has to be revised,
16	you revise the message.

ASHWINI CHHABRA: And that message exists elsewhere in the cab, it's on a fare card that's on the interior--

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]

It's once you get in.

ASHWINI CHHABRA: --it's on the screens.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It's once you get in.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 ASHWINI CHHABRA: It is.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: My point is I don't think it hurts to give people this information before they get in, that's the way New Yorkers have been accustomed for years. I don't understand the overriding factor that determined this had to be removed, I don't see an overriding issue that said this was bad policy. I do hear the TLC telling me that they would not be able to clearly delineate the process where the fare was arrived at, but that to me is unacceptable. to me is unacceptable. I think passengers have a right to know before they get in a cab what the fare was, what the fare would be, and if you have to then go through a process to revise the message, then you do it.

ASHWINI CHHABRA: And I would posit that having that information and more is the best way to convey that message and we now have technology just didn't exist when the fare panel was initially conceived, and we're able to provide that messaging on the interior of the cab, much as most other markets do. But to have a sticker on there that says the taxi ride is \$3 or \$3 plus 50

2	cents and then to have passengers have a total							
3	fare that's not in any way near \$3.50 leads to							
4	potential confusion. Most New Yorkers I think							
5	understand the taxi costs this much, we follow it							
6	very closely in the newspaper, and when the fares							
7	go up, we're very mindful of it. Tourists, as I							
8	mentioned, have theirwe have consumer protection							
9	at the airports where most of the tourists are							
10	coming from and the fact that it's a metered fare							
11	and we're informing passengers that you're							
12	entitled to a metered fare, I think provides that							
13	level of consumer protection.							
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: How long has							
15	the fare been on the taxis?							
16	ASHWINI CHHABRA: I don't know, but							
17	far as far back as I remember.							
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Did the City							
19	pay for the design creation?							
20	ASHWINI CHHABRA: I believe we did.							
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And what was							
22	the cost, do you know?							
23	ASHWINI CHHABRA: I can find that							
24	out for you.							
25	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. This was							

put	into	the	fare	increase	regulation	that	you
vote	ed on						

ASHWINI CHHABRA: Right.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Why was this put into a fare increase application, why was this not handled as a separate policy matter by the TLC?

## implementation of the changing of the decals was designed to be simultaneous with the increase in the fare, that's how we've done it in the past. It's not something that really should have been seen as a surprise to the industry. We

communicated it through industry notices.

ASHWINI CHHABRA:

We should step back a little. So the redesign of some of the markings on the taxis is with the Taxi of Tomorrow in mind. So that's a vehicle that's going to be arriving in a year. A lot of the design that—the design thinking that's gone into this has been in conjunction with rethinking the exterior markings when we have the new vehicle. And so this was also an effort to start changing out the decals in anticipation of that.

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What percentage
3	of passengers who use cabs today do you think are
4	tourist?
5	ASHWINI CHHABRA: I don't think we
6	have very hard data on that.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But it's a very
8	significant number I would say.

ASHWINI CHHABRA: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: How are they supposed to know how much money the cab fare may run them before they get into a cab if there's no posting? How are they supposed to know? You already assume that most New Yorkers know, and I'm not saying I agree with your assumption, but let's take your assumption. How's a tourist supposed to know before he gets in a cab what the rate of fare may be and what it may end up running him without some indication on the exterior of the cab?

ASHWINI CHHABRA: So for airport trips, the Port Authority and dispatchers there will provide materials that will inform them of that. If it's a street hail trip, that's a metered fare, I think the salient point for them is that it is a metered fare, not necessarily what

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something--

it's going to end up costing. Because you and I both know there are going to be times when you're stuck in rush hour traffic and that's going to be a different fare total than if it were the middle of the night and there was no traffic.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I remember going away a year ago with my family into a cab and when I took the cab back, there was no one giving me information on what the cab fare was. looked in the window, I saw a rate, and I took the cab with my wife and my daughter. So are you going to have people at the airports making sure that cab drivers give out information since you don't put it in the window? I mean, this becomes a little ridiculous. Are you telling me that the Taxi and Limousine Commission is going to have people at the airports to make sure that the drivers give out to tourists the fare information and that is more productive for our city than having the rate on the window in plain view--on the door, rather, on the door in plain view? ASHWINI CHHABRA: There is a

dispatcher at the airport at all times, so that's

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]
3	There is a dispatcher, but do you know how many
4	people are getting on cabs in the airports? And
5	you can add the cruise lines to it. I mean,
6	you're telling me that you're going to have people
7	out there inspecting that the cab drivers do their
8	job in giving the information? Why not leave a
9	transparent message on the door updating the fare
10	level?

This is what I'm trying to get at. This has become an issue, but there was no need for this to become an issue. I, for the life of me, the only reason the TLC gave at the initial time was aesthetics. Even aesthetically, I don't think this is an ugly thing to see, but even if it was ugly, I would rather see it. I'll look at ugly things if it helps me determine what I'm paying. I don't have to have something attractive to tell me that I'm going to be having to dig into my pocket a little deeper or a little less deep. So what was the thinking?

ASHWINI CHHABRA: You'd said earlier, Chairman, that aesthetics cannot trump consumer protection, and we wholly agree. I don't

25

2	think they need to be mutually exclusive. The									
3	technology that's in front of us here is old									
4	technology. Putting a sticker on the side of the									
5	vehicle made sense when that's all you could do.									
6	Since 2008, we've had screens in the back seats,									
7	we've had information monitors in the front seat,									
8	we've got GPS, so TLC, when we're now faced with									
9	complaints about overcharging, we're able to see									
10	through their use of GPS data whether that driver									
11	took a circuitous route. We're able to see if a									
12	driver is not engagingis not using EZ Pass when									
13	they're supposed to be using EZ Pass when they're									
14	going over the toll crossings. We're able to see									
15	if drivers are charging tolls when they're nowhere									
16	near a toll									
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]									
18	Yes, but									
19	ASHWINI CHHABRA:toll booth.									
20	We're able to see if they're charging the out-of-									
21	town rate when they're obviously driving in the									
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing] I									
23	understand about all the technology and I even									

feel a little perturbed that we're sitting here

discussing this and getting rid of Taxi and

2	putting T. We have bigger fish to fry. But these									
3	are things the TLC has done.									
4	And you mention all the technology									
5	that you've implemented, yet the OATH hearings									
6	that are taking place regarding overcharges									
7	continue to take place, the OATH hearings continue									
8	to produce evidence that there is significant									
9	overcharging. How many cases has OATH heard, how									
LO	many do you hear a month about overcharges? And									
11	then we have the pedicab scandal to boot.									
12	So my only statement to you is this									
L3	was something so simple to keep in place with									
L4	tweaks, and instead you threw the baby out with									
L5	the bathwater, so to speak, and it doesn't make									
L6	sense to me.									
L7	ASHWINI CHHABRA: I could not agree									
L8	with you more that if overcharge									
19	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]									
2.0	Then change it if you									

21 [Crosstalk]

ASHWINI CHHABRA: --I could not agree with you more on two things: One, there are bigger fish to fry. But that said, we do want to address this because it is important to folks.

Overcharging is an issue. We do everything that we can, we use the technology at hand to address overcharging. I believe Commissioner Yassky, in his correspondence with you, actually outlined the instances of overcharging and what we've done and some of the numbers around those. The latest numbers that he had provided you was the six-month period from March through August, we had 1,231 summonses to drivers for overcharging passengers. That amounts to just under seven instances per day, if you were to average it out per day, that's seven instances out of 500,000 trips every day.

So I will not sit here and say seven is an acceptable number, zero is an acceptable number, but as far as do we need to put more information on the doors or less information on the doors, that is not something that is—I don't think that is going to—that is a useful use of the resources to bring down that number. Nor do I think that the overcharging that we're talking about here is something that can be remedied by putting a price sticker on the door.

The Rate Code 4 violations, which you'll recall this is now two years ago, that that

was a significant issue at the time, was drivers were using the out-of-town rate when they should have been using the in-town rate. There is nothing on that sticker that would prevent them from doing that. What would prevent them from doing that and what does prevent them from doing that is the popup messaging that we implemented on the taxi TV screens so if a driver attempts to engage that rate, the passenger gets a popup notice, they're informed. In our proposed rules around TPEP 2.0, we are making--we're providing that the City basically be geofenced so that that rate code could not even be engaged while the vehicle is in the five boroughs.

The toll overcharge situation that we dealt with this past August, this was where a handful of drivers were charging tolls when they were not at toll crossings. That too, we've now implemented popup notices to the passengers so that anytime a driver is adding a toll and you're not near a toll crossing, that you, the passenger, know that in real time, it's not something that the decal is going to convey. Passengers know intuitively if I'm not going through a toll

	cross											
3	puts :	it fr	ont	and	cent	er	on	the :	scr	een	for	them.

And overcharging, whenever we get an overcharging complaint, it generally takes the form of a driver taking an indirect route and the GPS data we have allows us to drill down and see if that was the case.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I want to introduce Council Member Garodnick. We've been joined by Council Member Rose as well, and Council Member Ulrich. Right? Oh, Eric? Oh, Council Member Lappin and Council Member Rodriguez.

We now have a quorum, so I will now ask for a vote on Intro 599, which I introduced requiring that the screens in the back seat of Yellow cabs be accessible to people with visual impairments. I spoke about this in my opening statement. Is there any discussion?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Mr.

Chairman, I could discuss it on the call of the vote if you prefer, but well I mention it now since you ask for discussion. As you know, I'm chairman of committee that includes responsibility

for looking at disability rights, and so l
certainly favor what this measure proposes to do.
However, we have a major vacuum in terms of
disability rights because we don't have a mandate
in the Taxi of Tomorrow for fully accessible
vehicles accessible to wheelchair users and others
who cannot easily walk into a current or even the
new taxicab, although it'll be somewhat easier
than the current one. I have legislation that's
sponsored by well over a majority of this Council
that would require that the Taxi of Tomorrow be
wheelchair accessible. The vehicles are
available, we had testimony that indicated that,
if mandated, the commissionmandated by this
Council, the commission would alter the
requirements for the Taxi of Tomorrow.

I think it is urgent and necessary for the Council to consider it. If it's voted down, it's voted down, but it wouldn't appear that it would be. The Taxi of Tomorrow, I think the spokesperson for the commission indicated, is due to be on the streets in about a year, so if we're going to change the design, it has to be done immediately or we'll be putting large numbers of

24 LYLE FRANK: Council Member

25 Garodnick.

б

reality. First of all, huge numbers of tourists,
I mean, I haven't counted the number of airport
visitors compared to the number of train visitors
or bus visitors, or for that matter, airport
visitors increasingly. I was just visiting my son
in Phoenix, Arizona, and I left from Newark, a lot
of people come into New York City from Newark
Airport. Hundreds of thousands, I suspect, maybe
millions in the course of a year. And in Newark,
of course, they don't give out anythe Port
Authority, though it runs the Newark Airport, it
doesn't give out New York City taxi information
because New York City taxis don't, as a general
matter, go to Newark.

So the fact that you may give out some fare information at the airports and regard that as an important thing, that's very interesting. You cite that as something positive that you do, and yet you only do it for people who have the money or the opportunity to come into New York City by air. The less wealthy people who may be actually more concerned about taxi fares come in by bus or by train or sometimes by car and they park their car and then get around by taxis. I

daresay many more come into New York that way than come in by air at the two New York airports. So that's not a good excuse. In effect, it points up the deficiency 'cause you say, oh, it's great, we give these things out at the airports, but so many people don't come through the airports, so you're pointing out a deficiency.

There is no reason why you need to put every detail of the fare on the door. You could you say, you know, the initial drop, as you always did, initial drop and then a certain amount per mile and you could say underneath that additional surcharges may apply, see screen, so that the person getting in knows the basic fare information, and also is informed that they can get the additional information on the screen. But the basic fare information should be on the door, it's always been on the door. It's on the door in many other places. And I don't think that it's not aesthetic to have that. It doesn't have to be enormous, just enough to read.

So it just strikes me as being sort of illogical not to give this information in advance where we've given it in advance for

24

25

2	decades. And many people feel that it's useful
3	information to have before someone steps inside a
4	cab. I think that when I go to another city and
5	I'm about to take a cab, I'd like to know what it
6	costs. And to get in and then have to decipher it
7	from the screenand, by the way, the screen isI
8	have found the screens difficult to follow,
9	difficult to focus on, especially if you're not
10	that familiar with them. I don't think that's a
11	solution or a substitute.
12	[Pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member
14	KooI'm sorry, Council Member Koo.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chair, and then thank you, Commissioner. I have
17	to agree with our chairman and the previous
18	speaker about these proposed changeor this is
19	not proposed change, it's going to change, right?
20	ASHWINI CHHABRA: It's been
21	implemented.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I don't find

aesthetics that much more important than the real

tourists, they don't speak English, they are from

information. Because a lot of New York City

Asia, a lot--potentially more tourists from Asia now, and you put a T there, they don't know what that T for, you know? I mean, aesthetics doesn't help that much, you know. I don't see the one on the bottom that much prettier than the one on the top. I mean, it's quite confusing to the tourists, people from Asia, from China, from India, or from Singapore, they might think, well what is T, and they have to guess for a few minutes what is the T for.

And then I have to agree with the previous speakers on the fare. The basic fare should be on the door. I mean, even though you have a screen, but most people, tourists, they're older people, they have a hard time to follow the screen, the [off mic] they don't read that well or they don't know how to touch this button and that button. So we should put the fare on the door.

And then in addition to the screen, we should say fare amount will be given upon request on a paper like this, you can print it on. The drivers who came here, like, 20 or 30 whatever passengers they will estimate to carry, 30 of these in case people ask for it, give them a

printed copy so this is the basic fare so they can
compare the fare whether they are being
overcharged or not.

So we give them the bill of rights in the taxi, passenger's bill of rights when they-before they left the taxi, hand them a paper in addition to the screen. Because a lot of time, people have a hard time to read the screen.

Myself too because I don't have the time to figure out which button to push.

So that's my suggestion. Thank you.

appreciate the point you raised on language barriers. One thing I should point out, the--again, this is on the taxi screens--we will be implementing more portions of the screen that are bilingual. So the intro screens that you see there that provide the fare information and the buckle up information, 311, you know, use of credit cards, we're going to devise that so that it's both in English and in Spanish. Same with the payment screens. So I know a lot of our users, and this is changing demographics of the

city, are Spanish speakers, and so we want to have
that payment screen also be in Spanish. So that
is one way we're going to be doing that.

going to be people who are not as facile with the screens or because of vision issues aren't able to maneuver the screen as well, that's a fair point.

I do think that those same people are going to have a hard time with the panels that were—the fare panel that was there in place before. The reason we wanted this to be in the actual size of the fare panel was so that you could see how legible or not legible that is from a distance. You really do have to kind of get up close to it, crouch down, and make out what the unit costs were.

This is not in any way to say that you couldn't have a fare on the door that's not readable, I'm simply saying that the fare panel that was there, in order to get the information on there that at the time was thought was relevant, you had to really decrease that font. And the more data—the more information you exclude from that, the more readable it becomes, but the less

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

useful it becomes because I took the point that I think that Council Member Koppell made that have basic fare information, flag drop and a unit cost, it's of limited use because all of a sudden you calculate a fare in your mind but it happens to be 8 p.m. and so it's 50 cents more or it's a \$1 more.

We do think that having all the information is very helpful, is meaningful, we endeavored to put all the salient points in the prologue that runs, it's not a screen you can turn off, it is, thankfully muted now so that you don't have to hear sound with it, but having that information and directing people to use it in a more user-friendly way, that could be something that we can consider. We have in the current rulemaking around TPEP actually pushed to make the buttons larger for passengers to access this information. It's obviously always a--it's a back and forth with vendors who want more screen space for advertising, but if what I'm taking away is having those buttons larger, having the font larger, having it so it's more readable is of value, that's something that we can certainly push

for and to have fare information more prominently displayed on the screen, that's--I would say our preference would be to leverage the existing technology as opposed to handouts which I fear will end up as litter in the back seat of the cabs or elsewhere, I would rather take advantage of the fact that we've got these screens.

It allowed us to reduce some of the clutter in the back seat, we used to have the passenger's bill of rights there, it's now onscreen. If you have input for us on how that can be more user-friendly or more readable, we'd certainly welcome that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: What about like having this as a upon passenger request, then you give them one? The passenger right of bills and all the fare information on one single piece of paper like this? This is upon request.

ASHWINI CHHABRA: My concern would still be the same one about killing trees, but also litter. At some point it becomes less practical to have handouts than to have the information--

[Crosstalk]

25

2	going to hear from design professionals after I'm
3	done here. One of the people who are going to
4	speak actually is the company that we engaged.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: And the City
6	is paying for or the commission is paying for it?
7	ASHWINI CHHABRA: We'd engaged
8	them, I'm being told actually we did not pay for
9	it. We engaged their resources without payment,
10	but that was also with the Taxi of Tomorrow
11	aesthetic in mind. We are redesigning the
12	vehicle, the interior of the vehicle, it would be
13	remiss if we did not also update the exterior
14	image of the taxicab. It's an evolving product
15	and I think the aesthetics of the vehicle go a
16	long way towards securing the brand identity and
17	the value of the New York City taxi. If we don't
18	update that image, it runs the risk of looking
19	stale.
20	I do like that I go to other cities
21	and New York City taxis, in my mind, are the
22	paragon that I compare all the other taxis and
23	other markets to. They just don't add up to what

the New York City taxi rides like, looks like.

And this was--and I'm not one to place aesthetics

2	over consumer protection, but I'm also not one to
3	dismiss aesthetics, especially when you multiply
4	those aesthetics by 13,237.

I think we should all strive to reduce visual clutter. At certain times of the day, you look down Broadway and it's a sea of yellow and it's a sea of very detailed markings, and anything we can do to make that look better, I think we should. I don't think we should sacrifice consumer protection to that, but I do think we can accomplish both.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Well I don't agree with you on that, I think we should have taxi on every taxi, the word T-A-X-I on them.

[Applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Let me say
this, I'm sorry, Councilman Koo, I have to take
issue with even defining what was on the cab all
these years as visual clutter. Really. That's
not an appropriate description. I still don't
know why this was removed, I still don't have a
reason. You have all the technology in the world,
wonderful, I congratulate you. I don't know why

this was removed.

The only thing I'm hearing now, visual clutter, and the other thing is I hear is that the people wouldn't understand how the fare was arrived at anyway. That's a hunk of baloney. I mean, if you can't explain to the passenger how the fare is arrived at, then something was wrong with the fare being arrived at the way it was. Why can't we be thought as people who have a right to know or people who expect your agency to delineate how a fare is arrived at?

ASHWINI CHHABRA: And if that was the impression I conveyed, I apologize. We certainly believe that passengers have a right to know how their fare is arrived at, that's on the fare card, that is on the screens--

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]
But we expect you to summarize it in a succinct
way on the door of the cab. And with all due
respect to the design group that you hired--and I
do not know who they are, so I do not comment, I'm
sure they're very good--but the only thing that
was designed was it went from NYC Taxi to NYC T.
Now when it comes to design, I don't know what I'm

doing, I could've said take away the word Taxi and put T. This is not a magnificent—this is not something that a design group, in my opinion, is hired to do that could not be done in—house if you determined you were going to do it, which I still question.

Council Member Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. And I just want to add my voice in support of the legislation requiring these basic consumer protections to go back on the door, I'm a co-sponsor of the bill.

I really just wanted to make a couple of points, I'm not sure that I have any questions, I've been listening to the testimony and read all of the testimony that you offered before. But it seems that the big concern about having the fare on the door is about visual clutter and it sort of begs the question why, if that was the concern, why we didn't ask the design professionals to find a way to communicate that in a more visually appealing way, as opposed to removing it. Because that's important information that people, I think, need to have and we

appreciate the fact that they're going to get it inside the cab, but this does not need to be an either/or scenario. If it is too complicated to communicate on the side of the door, it's probably too complicated for people to understand when they get it on the video screen. So that's the concern that we have.

And it's not even about necessarily the person who is boarding that taxi, it's about the person who is watching 13,000 taxis going by and standing on the street and saying, wait a minute, when I get up the courage, if I'm a visitor to New York, get up the courage to raise my hand and step out into the street and actually hail one of these taxis, what does it actually mean, how does it work. You can take a peek when one is stopped at a stop light, get some understanding, you can appreciate what it is, it's reaffirmed when you're in the cab. These should be complementary, not to the exclusion of one another.

And the biggest point that I really think that we should leave you with is that, obviously the City Council is now considering

requiring the TLC to put this information back on
the doors of taxis, which means that there
probably should have been greater communication
and conversation between the TLC and this Council
about this proposal before it happened. And I
also want to point out that today we are voting to
actually require this information to go on to the
sides of pedicabs because this Council believes
that disclosing basic consumer protection
information about pricing is important. So when
we have this debate in the Transportation
Committee about whether or not the TLC should have
removed it, the Consumer Affairs Committee at 1
o'clock today is going to vote to require pedicabs
to put this basic information on the sides. This
Council strongly believes that we should have this
information available to all consumers, and that's
why you're hearing some very strong voices in
opposition to what happened here today.
And Mr. Chairman I don't have any

And, Mr. Chairman, I don't have any specific question.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I want to mention we're joined by Council Member

Gale Brewer. And I would like, Council Member

In May of 2002, I wrote a letter to

25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the head of design at Ford Motor Company,
essentially asking if Ford could design taxis of
the future that would be designed as purpose-built
vehicles for passenger transport and be safe,
tough, sustainable on New York City streets. I
received no reply.

Since that rejection, I have worked at building a consortium of organizations that captures the intense public interest in the Yellow taxi, a global icon that people value and care about. New York City's design community, including world leader Smart Design, who you'll hear from later, chose to get involved and give back to its city through a concerted effort to make things better, both visually and functionally. The TLC came on board too. Taxi of Tomorrow was launched to set a high bar for the auto industry that challenged them to invest millions of dollars in innovative, safety, and purpose-built amenities rather than the acceptance of fleet offerings.

Ten years ago, I began these efforts to make taxis safer, more comfortable, efficient, accessible, and environmentally

2.

sustainable. Collaborating with the Design Trust
for Public Space, who you'll also hear from, our
approach combined design studies, research,
convening of stakeholders, fleet and medallion
owners, drivers, planners, designers, and City
officials to brainstorm and develop ideas for
improving and redesigning the taxi and the system.

In 2007, for the Taxi Centennial, eight prototypes were exhibited at the New York
International Auto Show. This design process led to an innovative RFP that resulted in a partnership with leaders at the TLC and Nissan.

now considering Taxi of Tomorrow as their new taxi using the--considering the Taxi of Tomorrow as their new taxi, using the adage if you can make it in New York, you can make it anywhere. The fact is, these are other cities can imitate the Taxi of Tomorrow, but only in New York, arguably the design capital of the world, can there be collective will to create a taxi that is designed for New York City.

Thanks to a long history of the yellow taxi in New York City, people the world

б

over recognize and can identify a yellow car as a
taxi. I applaud the TLC's improvements in taxi
graphics which create an even more recognizable
brand identity for the New York City taxi, and do
away with the antiquated fare decals, which are
not user-friendly

Thanks to new technologies, the passengers of the New York City Yellow cab have all the necessary information at a finger's touch inside the cab. Over 13,000 taxis shuttle 600,000 passengers on 470,000 trips in a day through our city streets, and today, those users experience a clear and coherent brand message when they raise their hands to hail.

Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you. Go ahead.

DAVIN STOWELL: Good morning,

Chairman Vacca and members of the Transportation

Committee, my name is Davin Stowell, I'm the CEO

and founder of Smart Design, the global design and
innovation firm that specializes in human-centered
design. We create great brands for our clients by

making products and services better and easier to

use through design. Thank you for the opportunityto speak today.

Smart Design is proudly
headquartered in New York City and has been
collaborating with the Taxi and Limousine
Commission and the Design Trust for Public Space
since 2006 to improve the taxi rider's experience
and elevate the brand identity of the New York
City Yellow taxi to reflect the professionalism
and concern for safety that it deserves as a vital
part of New York City's network of public
transportation.

And just to clarify the chairman's question earlier, we were not paid for any of the services we provided to redesign the markings on the taxi in 2007 or the application and the markings that are on the Yellow taxis today. We did that pro bono as our service to the city and concern for the city.

Prior to 2007, other than a small
New York City haphazardly hand stenciled on the
door, there was very little visual identification
to differentiate New York City taxis from the
similar yellow taxis from Newark. And there was

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nothing to distinguish the New York City taxis as a brand that is very well-managed for a superior passenger experience. The graphic treatment of the New York City taxi logo and the fare panel that we created in 2007 was the first step in creating a consistent identity that better represented the New York City taxi brand, but it was far from perfect.

As a means of public transportation, New York City provides a very simple and easy to understand service. From in most any location in Manhattan, you can just raise your hand and a Yellow taxi will stop, take you to your destination for a consistently metered and displayed fare. You can be assured that what you will be charged will be accurate and fair. the way in which the fare is calculated is far from simple. It is a complex formula that has evolved to accommodate the needs of the industry, the drivers, the city, and to put more taxis on the street during the busiest times. It does very little to help the consumer understand how much their taxi ride will cost before actually completing the trip.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 2007, we were concerned about that and proposed a much simpler communication that displayed the average cost range for short rides in midtown and also what longer airport journeys might cost. That, and the full fare calculation panel, was shown to approximately 80 tourists in Times Square area to understand which panel would be more helpful to estimate fares

before deciding to take a taxi.

What we found was there was no difference in their preference. For the full fare panel, they had no idea what a fifth mile was and how it related to distances in the city. On a simplified panel, tourists were unsure what constituted a short ride and the price range didn't give them any better idea of what it was going to cost than they could have guessed. was most striking though was their general indifference to the exact amount the taxi would They knew that it would cost more than cost. public transportation, but they had the confidence they'd be fairly charged and the convenience of the service was more important to them than exact cost.

The exterior decals that were

implemented in 2007 were the first step in

creating a stronger brand identity and more clear

communication, but the taxi system continuously

evolves to provide better service. The graphic

communication on the taxi's exterior also needs to

evolve with that to be up to date and reflect the

improvements and modernization of the system.

The fare calculation, which is now much more clearly explained on the TV screen in the taxi has been replaced with the information that's the most important for a first-time rider: You can hail this taxi by raising your hand and the fare will be accurately calculated by a regulated meter, there'll be fixed fares to and from JFK, a pick up location where visitors are most vulnerable to illegal practices.

The New York City taxi logo that
was put in place five years ago was badly
compromised by last minute changes to incorporate
the newly-adopted New York City logo. The new
version is respectful and complementary to that
logo. The word Taxi has been reduced to an iconic
circle T that is now readily understood to be

25 COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Have the

to please not put this bill forward. Thank you.

24

next--

[background noise]

MEGAN CANNING: Good morning, my name is Megan Canning, I'm the deputy director of the Design Trust for Public Space. We are an independent nonprofit whose mission is to improve public space in New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today.

We've been working since 2005 to improve the taxi vehicle and also improve the system, and as part of our efforts, we created the first ever ten-year plan for improving the system, which is called Taxi 07: Roads Forward, which presented to this very committee in 2008.

There was a question earlier about the number of tourists who take taxis and I do have a little information, it's not the most up to date, but it is at-hand. TLC surveys from the eighties and nineties found that 71% of total taxi trips are taken by Manhattan residents, 10% outer borough, 5% suburban residents, and 14% by people who live outside the metro region--14%. That's dating from the eighties and nineties and can be found in this document.

As a leading design nonprofit in

New York City, we are quite taken aback by

legislation item Intro 929, which we believe will

adversely affect the brand of the Yellow taxi and

our city as a whole. New York City's taxicabs are

more than a means of transportation, they are a

ubiquitous and vital component of the city's

public environment. As both a public service and

our city's movable public space, we believe taxis

should be held to high standards, and as a symbol

for New York City, the taxi should capture some of

the glamour, the dynamism, the efficiency, and the

no-nonsense charm that New Yorkers are known for.

Taxis have a profound impact on the image of the city. Just imagine our streets without that constant stream of yellow. The taxi is also recognized as a powerful icon for New York, just like the Empire State Building or the Statue of Liberty. What is perhaps most often overlooked is that the taxi is a designed object and every aspect of its design impacts the user experience.

The distinct yellow color is, without question, the most significant aspect of

the taxi brand. It signals to New Yorkers and to visitors alike that this vehicle is not a regular car. In addition to its bright yellow color, other key features that contribute to the brand are physical, like the distinctive roof light and the medallion affixed to the hood. But they're also functional, like how the taxi works in our city. It is a vital mode of urban transit.

The fact that anyone can raise their arm in the air to hail this yellow taxi that is driving the street and be taken where they need to go is one of the most distinguishing features of the cab.

For these reasons, we strongly disagree with the proposed legislation to spell out the word Taxi on the outside of the vehicle. We find this to be redundant, visual clutter, and we feel it insults the intelligence of New Yorkers and visitors alike. It's very clear that any car that is yellow with a roof light that stops when you stick your arm out is a taxicab.

I urge you on behalf of New York
City's civic and design community to protect the
powerful brand of the Yellow taxi and honor the

2	simplified and sophisticated exterior decals that
3	were recently implemented by the TLC. The large
4	letter T signifies this is a New York City taxi
5	and all the vital consumer information that the
6	fare is metered, it is regulated, and that there's
7	a flat fare between Manhattan and JFK, we believe
8	is quite clear.
9	Thank you very much.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I have two
11	questions. First of all, the 14% of outsiders
12	MEGAN CANNING: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:that's
14	non-New York City residents who take cabs, how
15	many is that on an annual basis, how many people,
16	do you have that calculation?
17	MEGAN CANNING: There are 170
18	million taxi trips each year, that's
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: So that
20	MEGAN CANNING:data going back
21	to 2007, early 2008.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:so then
23	10% of that would be 17 million.
24	MEGAN CANNING: Correct.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And this

б

2 | is more than 10%, so--

3 MEGAN CANNING: Correct.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: --it'd be

5 over 20 million people.

MEGAN CANNING: Correct.

Quite a lot. And one other question, then I'll get chair go back and I'll listen to the question from my seat. Mr. Stowell, I believe you talked about going and talking to 80 people in Times Square. Did you do anything like show them the two different logos that we have here, the one that used to be on the cab and the new one, and ask them which one they prefer, did you do that?

DAVIN STOWELL: We showed them one similar to what's on the taxi today and one that had a simplified way of explaining what the fare might be. It said that short trips were, I believe, 5 to \$15 at that time, and what the estimated fare for longer airport trips would. So it'd give them a better idea of what a total range might be and then what you can get from the current—

[Crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 69
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:
3	[Interposing] And they weren't interested in that?
4	DAVIN STOWELL: They had no
5	preference whatsoever, they said that neither one
6	of them really helped them determine what the
7	fare
8	[Crosstalk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:
10	[Interposing] Well that's probably true because
11	that was so vague, but you didn't ask them about
12	what was actually on the cab.
13	DAVIN STOWELL: Yes, the farethe
14	panel that's on the bottom here and they could not
15	calculate a fare. For if you gave them a distance
16	they wanted to go, they could not estimate what
17	that would be because they did not know what a
18	fifth mile represented in the city.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: But did
20	you ask them whether they prefer that to what you
21	now have?
22	DAVIN STOWELL: This has not been
23	formally studied.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Huh?
25	DAVIN STOWELL: This has not been

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:

25

[Interposing] Well that's a different question
than saying that it's a very different question
because the information on the bottom is sort of
the specific metrics, they still might not be able
to decide exactly how much it would be, but they
know there's going to be X amount to start and
then a certain amount for each segment, and that's
an important thing for them to know. If I went to
another country and I was just told that
information on the top, it would give me no
information at all. At least on the bottom, I'd
have some idea, well initially I have to pay 20
francs and then it's going to be a smaller number
of francs for each segment, I don't know exactly
how long those segments are. For my purposes, I'd
prefer to have the bottom, and I don't think you
even asked them that question.

## [Applause]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you,

Council Member Koppell, I apologize, I had to vote

in Land Use and I've now voted, so I'm back.

Okay. I want to thank our panel.

MEGAN CANNING: Thank you very much.

_
「Crosstalk
ICIUSSLAIN

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Oh, I'm sorry, oh, Gale Brewer had a question? I'm sorry. Gale Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I don't,
but I always do. Thank you, Oliver. My question
is do you think in other places in the world where
tourists are, obviously, traveling from, what
exists? Do other taxis in other parts of the
world have more detailed information that would
help us or do you think that other parts of the
world have something similar to just our T?

DAVIN STOWELL: From our surveys, we've seen very, very few examples of having the fare on the exterior of the taxi.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Anybody
else? No idea, okay. One other quick question
is, from a--I have a lot of respect for the Design
for Public Space, but were you involved in
switching from Taxi to T or is that something that
the TLC did on their own?

MEGAN CANNING: The Design Trust was brought on as an advisor, a voluntary advisor to the Taxi of Tomorrow project, first by the TLC,

and then we continued on with--once Nissan was selected as the winner. So although we have been part of several conversations, we have visited Nissan's design headquarters in La Jolla on two occasions and have seen the car here on a third. So we have been part, we weren't on the day-to-day kind of looking at various designs, but we have been part of the process since the beginning of our work, and then which led directly to Taxi of Tomorrow.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

MEGAN CANNING: So we have had the opportunity to have this discussion many times with both Commissioner Yassky and many members of his staff along with Davin from Smart and Nissan representatives. And we felt that the most vital piece of information that you need to know as a consumer before you get into the taxi is that this is a regulated fare, that there is a city department or agency that is regulating the service and has your best interests at heart. And then we felt that once you entered the cab, both having the printed fare card that will be standard in the new partition in the new Taxi of Tomorrow

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right,

25

<b>6</b>

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION /0
2	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: What is
3	it?
4	DAVIN STOWELL: The adhesive starts
5	to hold onto the taxi and is more difficult to
6	remove the longer it's on.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
8	Where does the industry stand on this new change?
9	Did you get the feedback when you come out to this
10	proposal?
11	MEGAN CANNING: That's a question
12	for the TLC actually.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But do
14	you, when you work with the design, did you also
15	involve the industry and the
16	[Crosstalk]
17	DAVIN STOWELL: [Interposing] We
18	worked with the TLC.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: The TLC.
20	[Off mic]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But what
22	about the other player, like the Yellow industry,
23	the unions, like the workers, were they part of
24	this?
25	MALE VOICE 1: No.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 77
2	MALE VOICE 2: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Let the
4	gentleman answer, he is on the stand. Go ahead,
5	sir.
6	DAVIN STOWELL: In 2007, when the
7	first one was created, we were in attendance at
8	the public hearings; in this last version, we
9	worked directly with the TLC.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Will
11	they comewere you any close to the Yellow taxi
12	drivers with this if this change is made?
13	DAVIN STOWELL: Did we show it to
14	the
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:
16	[Interposing] Not you, for the design, and I don't
17	believe any one [off mic], I know that we have
18	good New Yorker but especially in the [off mic]
19	industry when someone doing it pro bono, later on
20	you come out on presenting the design, that pretty
21	clear that there's other interests involved.
22	Mean, like abut if we go along with this change,
23	will they come with any cost, extra dollar for the
24	Yellow taxi drivers or the industry?
25	DAVIN STOWELL: This is already in

25

take that off.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION /8
2	place on the majority of the fleet right now.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.
6	Thank you, panel. Our next panel is David
7	Pollack, Committee for Taxi Safety; Peter Mazer,
8	Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade; Vincent
9	Sapone, LOMTO; and Ethan Gerber, Greater New York
10	Taxi Association.
11	[background noise]
12	DAVID POLLACK: Hello.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'll call upon
14	Mr. Gerber first.
15	ETHAN GERBER: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chairman. I've learned a lot today. I've learned
17	about design that I didn't know about. So my
18	first suggestion is that we remove the Council of
19	the City of New York, behind your plaque, it's
20	insulting to our intelligence because we already
21	know we're in the City Council, we already know
22	we're in the City of New York, so we don't need
23	it, and it's redundant, and I learned from Design

Trust that we don't want any of that, so please

25

## [Applause]

3 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet down,

4 please.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And I think it takes away from my purple tie.

[Laughter]

ETHAN GERBER: Exactly right.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Aesthetically.

ETHAN GERBER: I want to point out a couple of things just based on testimony I heard today so far before I get to my statement. you pointed out, Mr. Chairman, correctly, that neither the stakeholders nor the industry had a chance to weigh-in on this radical change of New York City taxicabs. And it is a radical change indeed. I brought as part of my handout, which I'll be submitting, photographs throughout the ages of the New York City taxicab and what you could see is, going back before there was a New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission, when it was called a Hack Bureau, and before, in fact, when there was such a thing as the New York City Council and it was called the Board of Alderman, they had the New York City taxicabs had the rate

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of fare clearly marked on the door and said taxicab. And that goes throughout the 1920s, the 1930s, the 1940s, and 1950s, right up until a few months ago.

But what you did--but you omitted something, Mr. Chairman, which is when you said that the industry and the stakeholders didn't have a chance to weigh-in, I'll point out that the commissioners themselves did not have a chance to weigh-in. The section that gave the commission the power to change the decal was part of a rate of fare increase, as you pointed out -- a very voluminous document containing thousands of words, but the few words that were dedicated to this simply gave the commission the ability to change decals. If I were a commissioner, one of the nine members of the New York City Commission and I had a bill before me, a measure before me that said the commission can change the rate, I would assume it meant me. I would assume it meant the commission itself can vote to change the decals and that I wouldn't be actually voting to change decals. There was nothing in the rules that said we're taking off the words, A-X-I. There was

nothing in the rules that said we're taking off the rate of fare. There literally was nothing that the commission voted on, it was simply an edict by the TLC acting in and of itself. So I think it should be pointed out that not even the commissioners voted to do this and there was no public hearing about it whatsoever.

Some of the things that were pointed out by the Taxi and Limousine Commission today was that they found that because the font was so small, it was cluttered. It should be pointed out, because of the Design Trust people in this room, the font was changed four years ago.

The size of the decal was cut in half four years ago. So now that we've cut the decal in half and we've changed the font, apparently it's too small to accommodate what was accommodated four years ago before we changed the font. I think if we simply went back to the old decal before Design Trust got involved, we would have plenty of room for that information.

The argument that because the fares are too complicated, less information is necessary is just preposterous on its face. Perhaps, I

think as you pointed out, and as Council Member
Koppell pointed out, if the fares are all that
complicated, maybe the Taxi and Limousine
Commission should worry about how to simplify
fares. But the idea that it's so complicated that
we can't spell it out and explain it to people
before they are a captive audience in the car, and
that is the important part, before we have a
captive audience in the car, before we sit down
and start trying to read a screen, we should know
what we're getting into. We do not want arguments
with the cab drivers, we do not want people having
saying just hold on a minute before you turn it on
because I want to read this and decide if I'm
going to go. It doesn't make sense.

Finally, one of the things that
kept on being told is that we have to elevate the
brand and that this is an iconic image that is
unique and is something that is well-known
throughout America and throughout the world.

Doesn't that belie the very position that we need
to change it? I mean, it is an iconic image known
throughout the world, it's on tourist t-shirts,
it's on, if you go to any shop, any tourist shop

2.

in New York City, in the airports and the bus
stations and the bus terminals, even at basketball
games, they sell imagery of the New York City
taxicab, it is an iconic image that we do not need
to change. It's one of the few things that's
actually working, so why get rid of it?

The Greater New York Taxi

Association supports both Intro 923-12 and Intro
929-12--I'm sorry, we support both Intros--that's
not me.

923-12 which states that has the approval of electric vehicles, which we heard very little about today, although I was very pleased to hear the TLC say that they would support this bill.

Importantly, this bill also says that the TLC shall approve one or more hybrid electric vehicles for models to use as taxicabs. This is very, very important. One of the little things that got cast aside in the recent melee of bills that just passed by the TLC is that the Taxi and Limousine Commission has proposed a rule, the so-called Taxi of Tomorrow, which would eliminate over 6,000 hybrid taxicabs from New York City.

I had a lengthy statement, I'll
give it out to you, but I don't need to go there.
What I will say is that we just suffered through
an incredible storm in Hurricane Sandy that
disabled New York and is still disabled in many,
many communities. Gasoline was at an incredible
shortage, specifically, it was virtually
impossible to get within the five boroughs, it was
particularly hard to get in Queens and Brooklyn
and Staten Island. We are eliminating by
commission vote 6,000 cars, many of them are
Prius' that would get twice the amount of gasoline
per miles-per gallons rather than the so-called
Taxi of Tomorrow. A Prius operating or a C-Max
which is the Ford model which just recently hit
the streets of New York and hit the streets of the
U.S., gets over 55 miles per gallon, the current.
So in other words, the taxicab is going to be
operatingin another gas shortage, would be
operating half as long. This is a travesty. It
makes absolutely no sense.

If we're going to approve a socalled Taxi of Tomorrow, legislation like this that says, you want the Taxi of Tomorrow because

some Design Trust said it's a good idea, that's
great, that's great. But if you want to put on
hybrids, if you want to put on clean air cars that
protect New York, if you want to put on cars that
have good value and good gas mileage and if you
want to put on cars that are accessible to the
disabled, you should have a right to do that. And
notwithstanding what a Design Trust thinks a
taxicab should look like. If this serves a better
public a greater good than any kind of Design
Trust and if we truly value New Yorkers and we
truly value customer service, then we should start
putting New Yorkers, the environment, and the
disabled ahead of what a Design Trust comes up and
designs.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Mr. Sapone.

VINCENT SAPONE: Good morning,

Councilman. My name is Vincent Sapone, I am the

managing director of the League of Mutual Taxi

Owners, known as LOMTO. My credentials are my

father, I have his hack license, drove in 1938 or

before that on, he drove for about 30 years or so.

My first hack license was issued in 1964, so I'm

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kind of a--one of the young guys here.

Anyway, you know, there's something going on that I don't think the City Council knows or we don't know with the TLC, what is the agenda to remove the word Taxi. There must be a reason. Not because there's too much clutter on the car, that's baloney. There's got to be a reason for them working on something that's going to do something that they want where--I can't say because I'm not 100% sure, but I know the reason why they want to just put T on the door instead of New York City Taxi. You know, Design Trust is a nice company, but I don't think they ever drove a cab in their life and I don't know who they spoke to. I speak to hundreds of drivers a week and they said they are getting nothing but complaints from customers about this new signage on the door, they're very confused.

Anyway, what their agenda is, I don't know. If the TLC would have spoke to drivers, Yellow drivers about the door signage, it would have cost them nothing. The Mayor says we're going broke here, I'm sure it cost something for Design Trust, big bucks to get this design on,

2	and they're going to do whatever the TLC tells
3	them to do because they're a customer. These
4	drivers would have done it for free. That's all
5	they had to do was ask drivers what's your
6	opinion. You know?
7	Anyway, so I believe in going back
8	to the original signs on the doors, that word New
9	York City Taxi should be there.
10	And as far as the hybrids, I
11	believe if someone wants to buy a hybrid and not a
12	Nissan, they should be able to.
13	And thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Peter, Peter
15	Mazer.
16	DAVID POLLACK: Hello, hello.
17	PETER MAZER: Oh, it works? Okay.
18	Good morning, Chairman Vacca and members of the
19	Transportation Committee, I am Peter Mazer,
20	general counsel to the Metropolitan Taxicab Board
21	of Trade, a 60-year old trade association that is
22	comprised of 37 taxi fleets that operate more than
23	5,000 Yellow cabs throughout Brooklyn, the Bronx,
24	Queens, and Manhattanmore than 60% of all
25	corporate medallions.

than 20,000 drivers and employ thousands of mechanics, dispatchers, managers, and other direct and indirect employees that ensure taxi service is provided to the riding public 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and 365 days a year, including during Hurricane Sandy, when every one of our garages

remained open for business.

Today I will be testifying on two of the bills on the committee's agenda: Intro 929-A and Intro 923. MTBOT fully supports reinstituting the posting of rate information and other important verbiage on each exterior door of New York City taxis, as outlined in Intro 929-A, despite the expense that it will have on our fleets.

Rate of fare decals have been a ubiquitous feature of the iconic New York City taxicab for decades. By clearly displaying the cost of a ride, these decals provide important consumer protections to riders, particularly the millions of visitors to our city. For example, the exterior rate decals inform riders that it costs \$3 just to open the door, more than a subway

fare. This is good for passengers and good for drivers who want to avoid payment disputes.

while it is true that the taxi screen digitally displays the rate of fare once the meter is engaged and the trip begins, the passenger is already on the hook for the drop charge. The same goes for the rate card, but that is also inside the taxi and could be easily overlooked. Every passenger has a right to know the fare before he or she enters the taxi, not just the savviest or the most frequent taxi riders, but the first time taxi rider and the infrequent taxi rider.

Furthermore, the exterior and interior display of a rate of fare is necessary to prevent overcharging and fraud. While the vast majority of taxicab drivers are honest, hardworking New Yorkers, there are always bad apples, as recently witnessed in the Rate 4 scandal where drivers were illegally charging out-of-city rates for in-city fares. If that scandal showed us anything, it showed us that the more consumer protections that are in place, the better we are equipped to fight fraud. Why then take

2	away a consumer protection like the exterior
3	posting of a rate of fare decal?

In addition, one of the great ironies of the new decal is that it was after the rule took effect, taxicabs were actually failing inspection for displaying the word taxicab.

Replacing NYC Taxi with NYC and a T was a mistake and we need to correct it immediately. In this instance, less is not more.

Passengers need to be able to clearly identify New York City Yellow medallion taxicabs in a sea of competing vehicles that include Yellow taxis from places like Yonkers and Newark, which now look almost identical to New York City taxicabs.

Drivers need to be able to confidently drive taxicabs without passengers asking them where to find a taxi, as media reports showed.

Lastly, New York City should be proud of the singular iconic status of the New York City Yellow taxicab. A quick flip through the television channels or the aisles of gift shops in Times Square clearly indicate the New

2.

York Cit	ty Yellow taxicab is on par with the Empire
State Bu	uilding and the Statue of Liberty as a
symbol c	of New York City, so let's celebrate it,
not mini	imize it. Replacing NYC Taxi with NYC T is
doing ex	eactly that. It hurts this unique brand
that tax	ki owners, drivers, and passengers take
great pr	ride in. We need to reverse this before
lasting	damage is done to the Yellow taxi brand.

To that end, we not only support the bill's requirement that the word Taxi be reinstated to the exterior decals, but the words NYC Taxi in full.

Additionally, we suggest that the bill be amended to specifically provide that
Yellow taxicabs are the only vehicles in the city
of New York that are licensed to pick up street
hails. As you know, a judge found the law that
created the livery street hail category to be
unconstitutional on three separate counts, voiding
that law.

Finally, with respect to the other bill, Intro 923, MTBOT fully supports the provisions of the bill, allowing the TLC to diversify the availability of fuel efficient

25

2	vehicles. This bill will provide passengers and
3	owners with choice and we fully support it.
4	We thank you for your time and
5	we'll be happy to answer any questions that you
6	may have.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.
8	David Pollack.
9	DAVID POLLACK: Good morning,
10	Council Members, my name is David Pollack, I'm the
11	executive director of the Committee for Taxi
12	Safety. We represent leasing agents and fleets
13	totaling about 2,200 taxis and all the drivers.
14	Happy Thanksgiving to everyone.
15	And I'm especially glad to see that Councilwoman
16	Brewer is here because she has self-proclaimed
17	more than once she takes more taxis than any other
18	councilperson.
19	I'd like to complement, echo, and
20	reinforce the comments of my colleagues here. In
21	the TLC's mission statement, they state that they
22	do what's best for the public we serve. Is doing
23	best for the public they serve not being

transparent? I agree with your comments, Mr.

Chairman, transparency is of the utmost necessity.

We saw what happened in the pedicab scandals and tourists are entitled to know before they get into the cab what the rates are. Councilman Koppell hit on it, you don't have to spell out every little thing, for every 600 feet while vehicle in motion under six miles an hour, we had it before. And what got lost, I think Mr. Gerber alluded to it, what got lost also is there was a change in the rate sticker a few years ago. There was never a hailing man until a few years ago, and it's very possible the TLC paid some other design company to design that as well.

I mean, it's almost as though that we have an agency that is running out of control. They do things because they can. Not to include industry participants and industry members in a decision, an iconic decision of the Yellow taxi and the rates, include us. You know, each one of our individual association members probably has more years than every employee of the TLC put together in this industry.

Rates should have never been removed in the first place. And drivers deserve compensation for the stickers and for the time

they wasted removing the old stickers, putting the new stickers on, and they'll probably have to do it again because of this, you know, misguided removal of the rates of fare.

And lets take an agency,
everybody's cutting back, almost every agency is
cutting back, the TLC gets a 25% increase in their
allocation and can hire an additional 100
employees? They can certainly afford to pay back
medallion owners or drivers and drivers for the
time they lose replacing these stickers. And I
have members who had to repaint their entire
vehicle because when the stickers are removed
after a couple of years, sometimes there's a
shadowing in the paint job. Who pays for that?

The TLC made some comments, they said there were no public complaints about the new T? Well let me ask you something, were there any public complaints about the rates of fare when it was on there? Put everything on the screen?

Yeah, let's put everything on the screen the same way, you know, oh, we don't want to kill trees.

All the notification to owners and drivers and everyone in the industry is now on their website.

2.

Do you know how many calls and letters I get from
drivers who do not have computers and they need to
know what's going on or they want to write a
letter in my newspaper, for example? Okay? Not
everyone has computers today. Oh, I have access
to my daughter's once a week.

And GPS, by the way, was not created to see the rates of fare. You can ask former employees and present employees. Was that one of the reasons the screen in the back was created, to see the rate of fare? I think not.

Once again, why was it removed? Because they can.

And there's--let's see, oh, I hit on all the points, but getting to the Taxi of Tomorrow, I thought that was going to be a separate discussion, but since Ethan mentioned it and others have mentioned it too, the initial bill called for all electric cabs, if I recall, to replace upon retirement Yellow taxicabs. And if I'm wrong, I'm sorry, but I would have been against that. Choice?

MALE VOICE: Hybrid.

FEMALE VOICE: Hybrid.

\_\_\_

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You meant
3	hybrid, not electric.
4	DAVID POLLACK: Okay. But choice
5	is a great thing. I think we're putting the cart
6	in front of the horse. As you've stated, the
7	infrastructure is not in New York City yet, we
8	literally don't have enough electric juice if the
9	entire fleet would have become electric. There
10	are other problems with distance and fast charging
11	and just a multitude of problems. But choice is a
12	great thing, and that's also one of the Committee
13	for Taxi Safety's arguments regarding the Taxi of
14	Tomorrow. The industry needs choice, the public
15	deserves choice, and taxi owners and taxi drivers
16	are entitled to choice, even if this Taxi and
17	Limousine Commission doesn't want to include us in
18	simple discussions like a rate of fare sticker.
19	Thank you, I'll answer any
20	questions.
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Do
22	the members have any questions? Council Member
23	Greenfield, I think you had a question, Council
24	Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you all for 3 your testimony.

It's so bizarre, I have to tell you, just sitting here, it's like you would almost expect the opposite, right? You would expect the industry to say, you know, let's get rid of all the information, sort of let's do whatever we want, right? And you would expect the TLC to say, well, you know, it's important for the customer that we have the information on the outside. And it's so strange, I mean, and I sat through many of these hearings, it's so strange to have a hearing where it's like we're in Bizarroland, right? It's like the industry is coming here and saying we want more transparency, we want more accountability, more information and less fraud; and TLC is saying, you know, we're going to play Sesame Street's letter of the day by throwing up the letter T. What is the letter T? You know, I have a 5 -year old son, the last time I saw Sesame Street, I think the letter T of the day stood for tree.

And my point actually is, and which relates to this as well, is that, you know, I

don't know if it was addressed, but a lot of folks
who use taxis come from different countries and
they don't speak English very well, but they know
what the word taxi means, right? In fact, in some
languages, in Spanish I believe, taxi actually is
taxi, right? They don't know what the letter T
means, right? And if you just see a car, and just
think about this, right, I don't know if any of
you on the panel have ever traveled to a foreign
country, I have and I look at it, you know, when I
travel to a foreign country, if someone, you know,
I imagine what would happen if someone from
outside of the U.S. is now traveling to New York
City? I find it difficult to figure out in some
cities, you know, who's really a cab and who's not
a cab, right? And especially, I would think, with
the amount of illegal cabs that we have on the
street, do you think that would be a concern,
especially for foreign tourists, inviting them
potentially to get ripped off by not clearly
labeling the cars as taxis?
ETHAN GERBER: Sure. Absolutely,
Council Member. One of the things that was

pointed out by Mr. Chhabra when he testified is

2.

that the New York City taxicab is noticeable
enough because it's yellow. I don't know if he
knows this, but there's no law against being a
yellow car or having a yellow car. In fact,
yellow is a popular type of paint.

Also, there is nothing that prevents anyone from putting a T on their car. There is a rule that says you can't hold yourself out as a New York City taxi if you're not one. So why don't we make it clear what a New York City taxi so people—so, you know, Tom with the yellow Corvette can't put his initial on it.

And you're right, in my travels—
and I'm fortunate enough to have traveled fairly
widely—the word taxi has—the English word taxi
has become ubiquitous all over the world. It
means what it means because we had them first and
it's, you know, it's an old word from actually
from English, from London, but the word has become
synonymous with the vehicle that picks up for—hail
people and all over the world, they know what a
taxi is and they do not know what a T is supposed
to symbolize.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

[Interposing] Okay. So there are no issues there

25

And-

you think it would be easy to make it clear to the

25

2	public? I mean, even if it's not crystal clear
3	because people don't know what a fifth of a mile
4	is, but they can get a sense by looking at the
5	previous clarification that we were familiar with.
6	Do you think it would be complicated to outline
7	the current system?
8	And then, finally, who does pay for
9	if this bill passes taking off the T and putting
10	on the new information, is that your
11	responsibility, the driver's? I just don't know
12	that.
13	PETER MAZER: I'll address the last
14	point, it's generally the medallion owner's
15	responsibility to replace all of the stickers.
16	And the
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
18	[Interposing] What's the cost
19	[Crosstalk]
20	PETER MAZER: [Interposing] The
21	check, yeah, it's about \$6.50 per car to replace.
22	MALE VOICE 3: No, no.
23	MALE VOICE 4: It's 100, it's about
24	100 by the time
25	[Crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 103
2	PETER MAZER: Sixty-five?
3	MALE VOICE 4: Plus 20 to \$25 to
4	have the
5	[Crosstalk]
6	PETER MAZER: Well I'm not talking
7	about installation, just the actual price of the
8	MALE VOICE: [Interposing] I would
9	say \$22
10	[Crosstalk]
11	PETER MAZER: [Interposing] All
12	right, so you've got the answers, so
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
14	[Interposing] It's somewhere between 22 and 65.
15	PETER MAZER: Sixty-five
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
17	PETER MAZER:plus the cost of
18	installation if you don't
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
20	PETER MAZER:do it yourself.
21	DAVID POLLACK: If I may
22	[Crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
24	[Interposing] I'm sorry, you pay that also? In
25	other words, who pays whatever the amount is?

PETER MAZER: The medallion owner
would pay all of it.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
DAVID POLLACK: However, there are
situations, most of our medallions are long-term
leases with drivers and many times the driver,
unless mandated by the TLC, must pay for the
stickers, but they will certainly be the ones
losing the time because not everybody has a
garage, they would usually go to the meter shop,
is that correct, drivers?
[Off mic]
DAVID POLLACK: Okay. So, you
know, how do you put a price on that? Maybe they
should put a price on lost time.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you
very much, that's very helpful.
CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member
Rodriguez.
COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: So going
back toyou say that the reso related toor the
Introduction related to the inspection is
something that doesn't affect the Yellow taxi,
right?

2.

DAVID POLLACK: All Yellow cabs are
inspected, okay? If we want to get technical, I
guess it does affect Yellow cabs because it's the
same venue, the Woodside inspection site. I don't
know if it's going to take away or add to times,
but certainly, as was stated here before, the City
Council is entitled to know the waiting times of
not only the for-hire industry, but the Yellow
industry as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Say in your case, like the drivers, they know or the owners of the medallion, they know when they are better--they accommodate, right? Like--

PETER MAZER: Well they know when they are coming in for inspection, but that doesn't mean that they don't have a waiting time too because a lot of times they show up at their scheduled inspection time and they could still have a significant wait. I--

## COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

[Interposing] So how is it that it doesn't affect?

PETER MAZER: Because the bill only
calls--well because in the--and I believe in the-with respect to the medallion owners, the amount

2	of waiting time and the amount of time that it
3	takes to go through an inspection is presently
4	reported in the Mayor's Management Report, it's
5	one of the fewvery, very few items that the Taxi
6	and Limousine Commission has tracked and reports
7	public
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:
9	[Interposing] And I think that we're looking in to
10	address the waiting time, so that my question is,
11	does the inspection process as it is right now,
12	does that affect the Yellow taxi drivers when it
13	[off mic] to be the
14	[Crosstalk]
1 -	
15	PETER MAZER: [Interposing] Yeah,
16	PETER MAZER: [Interposing] Yeah,  definitely.
16	definitely.
16 17	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you
16 17 18	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you say it doesn't affect, so how is it that
16 17 18 19	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you say it doesn't affect, so how is it that  ETHAN GERBER: [Interposing] No,
16 17 18 19 20	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you say it doesn't affect, so how is it that  ETHAN GERBER: [Interposing] No, the bill
16 17 18 19 20 21	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you say it doesn't affect, so how is it that  ETHAN GERBER: [Interposing] No,  the bill  PETER MAZER: The bill
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	definitely.  COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But you say it doesn't affect, so how is it that  ETHAN GERBER: [Interposing] No,  the bill  PETER MAZER: The bill  ETHAN GERBER:the bill doesn't

2	ETHAN GERBER: No, it's the owners.
3	The owners of the medallion are the ones required
4	to change the decals and undergo the expense.
5	It's an expense we are wiling to undergo, it's an
6	expense we think is necessary, and it's an expense
7	we've always had, it's part of operating a
8	taxicab. When the rates of fare have changed,
9	we've had to change the stickers.
10	DAVID POLLACK: I stand corrected.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I think I
14	should read into the record that we received a
15	letter from the Livery Roundtable in support of
16	Intro 930. The letter is submitted by Carolyn
17	Castro, who is the director, and they say they are
18	in favor, it's a welcome addition to streamline
19	the appointment process and assist bases and
20	drivers receive inspections in a timely manner,
21	and that they support Intro 930.
22	Okay. I want to thank our panel.
23	And our next panel will be Marc Klein, Clean
24	Energy Fuels; Placida Robinson, Independent
25	Medallion Owner Driver Association; Jose

Altamarino, with the Livery Base Owners

Association; Jenofix Jonathan [phonetic], who
represents himself. I would ask Placida Robinson
to please lead off.

PLACIDA ROBINSON: Hi, good
morning, Chairperson Vacca and members of the
Committee on Transportation. I am Placida
[phonetic] Robinson, I am a New York City Hybrid
Medallion owner, a Columbia University Public
Policy graduate, and founder of IMODA, the newlyformed Independent Medallion Owner and Driver
Association. Some of our members are with us
today--Mr. Jonathan Jonovic [phonetic]. Our
stated goal is to effectuate policy change that is
accountable to the needs, recommendations, and
requirements of small, independent owners and
operators of this industry.

The electric vehicle policy is the top concern on our agenda. In 2005, New York City initiated one of the most irresponsibly crafted policies when it granted the TLC unilateral approval to mandate hybrid electric vehicles for use as medallion taxicabs. From the Ford Escape to the GM Saturn Vue, the Taxi and Limousine

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commission has inexplicably resisted protection of its licensees and the public in not testing or reviewing these vehicles for their catastrophic safety, operational, and financial performance.

Despite personally advising the commission via written and verbal complaint both in 2007 and again in 2012, and again at the commission's public hearing this past June 1st, presenting them with personal and publicly documented evidence of the catastrophic financial and operational burden of purchasing, operating, and maintaining these vehicles, citing power steering failures, highway and city traffic loss of acceleration, engine stalls, battery drains, fires, and expensive \$10,000 per part electric part failure, the commission has mysteriously refrained from acting on or considering these facts. Shirking its public responsibility to protect owners and its ridership through industry notice, public hearing or otherwise.

Since the current regulatory policy has been reticent to relieve hybrid medallion vehicle owners of the financially destructive and tortuous mandate to repeatedly buy, repair, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

replace these routinely defective vehicles, we are directly appealing to you to carefully review and consider all current and proposed electric vehicle policy and implementation before instituting any more regulation.

We have paid for the current electric policy through millions of dollars in our personal equity destruction and direct cash transfer directly back to the manufacturers over the past seven years. I might as well set up an EBT transfer directly from my corporation checking account right back to the manufacturers Ford, Toyota, and GM, and then just annually transfer them tens and 10 and 20 and \$30,000 a year for these expensive hybrid defective parts. Consider our bankruptcy, medallion foreclosure, yes, equity destruction, income and vehicle property losses as I have to hack up and replace, not only one, but two, but three vehicles in one seven-year eligibility lifespan. Consider our increased sticker expenses, loss of our retirement savings, and inability to barely meet medical expenses, family needs, home mortgages, and rent.

So we now ask the City Council to

pause in its consideration of electric vehicle

technology at this time and to contemplate any new

fuel efficiency and emissions policy with the

aforementioned financial and operational damage in

mind.

\$156,000 in my own refinanced equity on my medallion to buy, repair, and replace two--three different hybrid models from 2006 to 2012. Well I was told by the commission simply, buy another hybrid or sell your medallion. Of course, what they're not telling you is that when I go to sell my medallion after having exhausted my \$156,000 in equity, I will be upside-down on my payments because the federal government will come with their capital gains taxes and I will hardly be able to repay.

Over 600 estimated and accompanying hybrid medallion owners like myself, one of them whom is here today, individual and corporate, with another 5,000 hybrid driver-owned vehicle cars have transferred millions in cash equity and income directly back to the vehicle manufacturers over the past seven years. Despite writing the

б

TLC in 2007 and 2012 and again publicly providing
them at their June 1st hearing with information or
nationwide NTSA hybrid failure data and court
filings on the Toyota Highlander, Prius, the
Camry, the Ford Escape, the Honda Civic, and GM
cars, the TLC refuses to take corrective action or
any of the evidence presented by summarily voting
to adopt the even more expensive to purchase and
repair Lexus RX 400h. However, the policy could
not escape federal judge Paul Crotty's 2008
opinion injucting the City from mandating more of
these hybrid vehicles fleetwide, citing the
irrevocable non-recuperable compliance costs that
I as a medallion owner have already realized.

In sum, it's the hybrid owners who are paying to be driven from this industry while simultaneously, it is us who is footing the bill for this city's obsolete and now passing hybrid policy phase itself because the 2013 Taxi of Tomorrow is not a hybrid. Well what happened to us and our loss equity as we go out of business?

As it is principally, if not legally, unfair to compel our continued competitive disadvantage with non-hybrid medallion

24

25

2	owners who don't have to hack up hybrid vehicles,							
3	we compel you to rescind the current constraint on							
4	us hybrid medallion owners to hack up hybrid							
5	vehicles in perpetuity and consider other policy							
6	alternatives. While we understand what the							
7	Council would like to achieve in setting							
8	innovative fleet policy, this is an historic							
9	opportunity to course correct in a win-win							
10	opportunistic direction not addressed by the bill							
11	today.							
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]							
13	I'm sorry to interrupt, and, you know, I have not							
14	imposed a time limit, but could you conclude in							
15	respect to the other people that are							
16	PLACIDA ROBINSON: [Interposing]							
17	Last paragraph.							
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I appreciate,							
19	you know, your thoroughness though.							
20	PLACIDA ROBINSON: Thank you. We							
21	then ask you to review electric prospects going							
22	forward, especially given President Barack Obama's							

already increased 35.5 mile per gallon EPA fuel

efficiency standards for conventional gas cars,

meaning that conventional gas cars are now getting

2	35.5 MPG without having to be hybrid, and allow us							
3	to purchase those. Gas vehicles already on the							
4	road with new and improved emissions will allow us							
5	all to achieve the same goals with greater							
6	flexibility while giving the Council time to truly							
7	transform New York and the nation's crown jewel of							
8	the taxi fleet transportation into something more							
9	enviable than it already is.							
10	On behalf of my organization,							
11	IMODA, and fellow effected owners and industry							
12	participants, I look forward to working with you							
13	on making an acceptable and technologically							
14	efficient world-class taxi fleet a dream come							
15	true. I thank you for your time, attention, and							
16	consideration of my comments.							
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.							
18	Jose, would you like to go next?							
19	JOSE ALTAMARINO: Sure.							
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you							
21	introduce yourself for the record first?							
22	JOSE ALTAMARINO: Mr. Chairman,							
23	members of the committee, industry colleagues, my							
24	name is Jose Altamarino, I'm the president for the							
25	Livery Base Owners Association, composed of 125							

base owners with approximately 12,000 affiliated vehicles throughout New York City. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to testify on Intro 928--929-A, 923, and 930.

First, we support the passage of
Intro 930. We believe in full disclosure. We
believe the City Council should receive, as the
Intro indicates, all the proceedings of the
commission and all documents and records in its
possession shall be public records and the
commission shall make an annual report to the City
Council on or before the second Monday of January
and every year.

To the extent that the Taxi and Limousine Commission provide information to the City Council, it will be providing information to all of us. Today we have an excellent working relationship with the TLC under the leadership of Commissioner David Yassky and our relationship is strong because the commissioner believes in full disclosure.

We support Intro 930 because it also addresses the issue and concern to our industry, base owners and drivers alike, vehicle

inspections. Intro 930 calls on the commission to
make quarterly reports to the City Council on an
average waiting time by drivers to secure a
vehicle inspection at the assigned inspection
facility as required under the rules of the
commission. Such reports shall be disclosed any
instance when the wait for an inspection exceeds
four weeks. All information shall be
disaggravated by the vehicle type. We, of course,
are very interested in this because it is in our
best interest to have support because most of our
drivers and bases complain because of waiting
times. We also take this opportunity to support
the City Council's attempt to move the industry to
the 21st century and to join so many other
attempts to be environmentally friendly and
conscious as well providing service to all New
Yorkers.

Intro 923 calls for at least 9% of our taxis shall be issued subject to the hybrid, either a compressed natural gas or electric or to be hybrid electric vehicles. We support the City Council's Intro 923, but urge you to add financial incentives and financial support to these

2 mandates, otherwise, the industry may end up 3 bearing costs that are unaffordable.

Finally, we support Intro 929-A that calls for the posting of information on exterior vehicles, in other words, the word Taxi shall be placed on the exterior front door of any taxicab. Any attempts for the industry to be transparent with the public it serves must be applauded, this Intro does that.

Again, thank you for allowing us to share our thoughts on these three pieces of legislation.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, thank you very much for your input. Oh, Mr. Marc Klein, Clean Energy Fuels.

MARC KLEIN: Good morning, Chair;
good morning, Council Members. Hello? Hi, good
morning, Chairman; good morning, Council Members.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak. Brief
introduction, my name is Marc Klein, I'm a vice
president at Clean Energy Fuels and also cofounder of the Vehicle Production Group, which
makes the purpose-built American made commercial
duty wheelchair accessible MV-1 that runs on clean

burning domestic compressed natural gas, alsoknown as CNG.

Natural gas fuel for transportation is cleaner, cheaper, abundant, and all American.

Using domestic natural gas fuel in the taxicab and for-hire industries will support President Obama's goal of ending our dependence on oil imported from the Middle East and will enhance U.S. energy security policies.

In addition, natural gas vehicles are green, since they reduce greenhouse gas emissions by up to 30% over their gasoline counterparts and reduce particulate matter, a component of smog, by up to 90%. Importantly, natural gas fuel is selling for about \$1.50 less per gallon than gasoline, so people who pay for fuel, such as taxicab, livery, and black car drivers, like natural gas vehicles because they save them money. In addition, the lower cost of natural gas fuel acts as a hedge against runaway gasoline prices.

Notably, the local CNG vehicles performed extremely well after Superstorm Sandy, in sharp contrast to the long lines at gasoline

stations that crushed the for-hire industry

vehicles and kept them off the road. There were

several new stories about CNG continuing to fuel

vehicles when gasoline vehicles were stuck waiting

on long lines with no fuel. CNG is piped

underground direct to fuel stations and does not

require trailer shipments over the road, as

gasoline and diesel do, so CNG was flowing

immediately after Sandy was over.

As an aside, two weeks ago I saw
Mike Hobson, who is director of Nissan's--director
of fleet division, at the annual Taxi Regulator
Conference, with respect to Nissan's NV200 Taxi of
Tomorrow. Mike confirmed to me that Nissan is
investigating CNG for potential future
enhancements.

Some background, Clean Energy is the largest provider of natural gas fuel for transportation in North America. We fuel refuse trucks, transit buses, city vehicles, more than 28,000 daily, and operate more than 300 CNG stations, including JFK, LaGuardia, and Newark, as well as here in Brooklyn, and the other boroughs. In addition, Clean Energy is building America's

2.

б

natural gas highway, which supports 18-wheeler
trucks through interstate highway fueling,
including the I95 corridor. Importantly, we plan
on adding CNG stations to all of the boroughs,
including New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut to
support CNG fueling throughout the region.

In addition to CNG MV-1 taxi use, as well as for Access-A-Ride, the CNG Ford Transit Connect is now operating in the black car industry, so we're seeing an immediate improvement in the air quality from these vehicles with 100% displacement of gasoline, a true alternative fuel. And that's the connection to Intro 923 because you're talking about electric vehicles, which will also be 100% electric, displacing 100% of gasoline use. And that is in the definition of electric vehicles, quote powered exclusively by electricity.

I respectfully suggest that if a vehicle is both truly alternative fuel, using no gasoline and wheelchair accessible, under the Americans with Disabilities Act at the same time, then that vehicle should be granted an additional year or two of age life known as an extension of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the retirement period under the New York City Administrative Code. Indeed, the Natural Gas MV-1 is a commercial duty vehicle designed to last much longer than simple consumer vehicles, like the hybrid vehicles that were complained about. It's clean burning and green since it runs on dedicated compressed natural gas, and it meets or exceeds the vehicle requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Therefore, the CNG MV-1 should be granted an additional year or two of age life since it's specifically designed to have so many benefits for the riding public and the citizens of New York. For example, there is a CNG MV-1 on the streets of New York today that is owned by a medallion owner named Mr. Singh [phonetic]. While Mr. Singh can utilize that vehicle for seven years, since it is wheelchair accessible, he would get that benefit even if it ran on gasoline. That anomaly is not consistent with the electric vehicle amendment that is before you today. Since Mr. Singh's MV-1 uses no gasoline whatsoever and is improving the local air quality with every ounce of alternative fuel, an additional year or two of vehicle age life would be a great incentive

for other medallion owners to follow his lead.

As you are aware, there is an incremental cost that is associated with purchasing alternative fuel vehicles that can discourage vehicle owners from acquiring such vehicles. Notably, such an age extension to an eighth year or a ninth year for a commercial duty vehicle will be very powerful when the new 2,000 wheelchair accessible medallions are eventually auctioned and issue. There is no doubt that 2,000 CNG wheelchair accessible taxis will be better for New York City's air quality than 2,000 gasoline wheelchair accessible taxis.

Greenhouse gas reduction, smog reduction, less expensive CNG fuel for drivers versus gasoline.

As such, I respectfully request that the Administrative Code be amended to economically incentivize the for-hire industries to purchase wheelchair accessible vehicles that do not use any gasoline and are, therefore, 100% truly alternative fuel. Such a rule would also support disaster preparedness since those new wheelchair accessible taxis, assuming they are

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]									
3	Have your attention, please, everyone. Let's hear									
4	the witness.									
5	RICOBERTO NUNEZ: I've been driving									
6	a Yellow taxicab for 15 years. I'm here to									
7	totally be in support of the bill of bringing back									
8	the old decals. I think it's so much needed to									
9	bring back the old decals and I'm in total support									
10	of it.									
11	But at the same time, I will like									
12	to say that the reason I support it is because, as									
13	a driver, I find that the passengers that come									
14	into your cab always they come in and they feel									
15	and they question you, and I think that the sense									
16	of security that it adds to them to see the decal									
17	on the outside of the cab is very important when									
18	they see it instead of seeing it just on the									
19	screen and it gives them a sense of security, add									
20	into the transparency of it. So I believe that									
21	the more transparent that we are to the consumer,									
22	the better it is.									
23	Thank you.									
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.									

Sir, would you introduce yourself, please?

25

2	JONATHAN JONOVICS: Yeah, my name								
3	is Mr. Jonathan Jonovics, I'm an owner of a								
4	medallion Yellow cab. And for the past six years								
5	I run on CNG technology and I want to testify to								
6	the CNG technology is pureI'm sorry about that								
7	pure garbage because I encounter so many problems								
8	and hardship, first of all, financial hardship								
9	where New York City implemented at the beginning,								
10	they had about 100 Yellow cabs on the CNG. The								
11	technology was so faulty, it wasn't run through								
12	the manufacturer originally, it ran by a								
13	aftermarket company out in Texas that they								
14	converted these vehicles and each one of them,								
15	every one gave up because there was tremendous								
16	amount of mechanical defaults and every one just								
17	gave up, [off mic] just abandoned the car, they								
18	didn't have no choice, they went to the hybrid.								
19	I'm the only one and another guy								
20	out of 13,000 Yellow cabs that we still have CNG								
21	because I didn't want to give up on the car, I								
22	told it I can cure it, yeah, I cured it already								
23	but it cost me tens of thousands of dollars to fix								

it. I'm in debt, I'm in debt with my credit

cards, close to--you can guess the figure--it's

close to \$80,000 because of this vehicle.

This vehicle, as far as you got to redo an engine job every 110,000 miles that no one knows it about it. You got to because the gas that goes into tank is a dry gas without air and it's not lubricant like a gasoline, that's why it burns up the heads, you got to go for a complete engine job that costs close to three to three and a half thousand dollars. I'm going into my third one, I just replaced a third engine the last week, and still, once you do that, you got to have a special program to reprogram the computer because otherwise the check engine light comes on and then the vehicle don't pass inspection.

That's what happened to me two inspections ago, my check engine light was on, I used to go just about banana crazy, I went to every mechanic in New York City, every garage, no one could fix the problems. I mean, I went to one garage, literally they change every component in the front in the engine, also some sensors, MEP sensors, throttle body, EGR valve has cost me thousands of dollars, they couldn't figure—by the end they said to me it's a computer problem.

б

I said to him, listen, why didn't you warn me about these things, he says, oh, we didn't know.

The check engine--because of that check engine light I didn't pass inspection, I had to lay the car for 80 days, I was out of work 80 days, 2 1/2 months I was out of work. I put my medallion into storage. Cost me, just that burden cost me close to \$20,000. I had to pay my mortgage and I

couldn't work, I didn't even make \$1.

Okay. That's as far as the engine. And this gentleman that was just testifying, he says the MV-1, yeah, I don't know about MV-1, but MV-1 is also running the CNG. That vehicle by itself just to purchase \$57,000. And this person, he doesn't know it, just bought this thing, he doesn't know what's lying ahead of him as far as repairs because he didn't experience on his flesh and blood.

Okay. As far as the CNG. Now the hybrid vehicle, what I'm saying, I'm just saying that all these hybrid vehicle and alternative fuel medallion should be struck down, the owner should have his own choice about purchasing whatever he

wants to purchase. You [off mic] you want to introduce the electric, the electric cars, the electric cars are not proven yet, they're small cars, the public--a lot of people in the public, they don't want to go into this small vehicle, they want a bigger vehicle. These small vehicles cannot hold a lot of luggage, you know, you're sitting over there like, you know, in the solitary confinement all the time.

The hybrid vehicle, as Ms. Placida said, costs also thousands of dollars over the period of their lifetime. You got to replace the battery, the battery only on the Toyota Camry goes for about close to \$5,000. The Toyota Highlander is close to \$8,000. The inverter, the mechanical part that transfer every second from gasoline to electricity, that costs close to \$6,000 on the Toyota Camry, and on the Toyota Highlander, it costs to 12 to \$13,000 just that you need to replace.

So this is financial burden, it doesn't justify as far as the income for a cab driver. The income for a cab driver after all the expense, is not more than \$12 an hour. How can

someone--if I didn't have credit cards, I would be completely out of business. And the mathematical issue of all these introduction of all these alternative fuel medallions doesn't add up to the income for a Yellow cab--for the regular taxi driver.

So if I didn't have that credit cards, you know, that credit cards [off mic] ahead of me, I would be completely out of business now. So you got to take this into your dearest decision to reverse that law as far as hybrid alternative fuel medallions. I should be able to as an independent owner after I suffered extreme hardship all these years to go and choose maybe to go into less headache of the car. You want to introduce electric vehicle? We don't know what's going to be ahead, what's lie ahead as far as financial burden and mechanical problem and enormous headaches and time lost and everything.

So, you know, as far as gasoline cars, all them are manufactured by the original companies and they've proven themself more or less so they're going to be less headache for the average cab drivers. I'm going every day to work,

2	I'm not going over there every day to spend weeks							
3	upon weeks in mechanical shop, spend days upon							
4	days fixing the cars, knowing you fixing the car,							
5	you come back, you come back, oh, this component,							
6	this is no good, this is no good. And by the end,							
7	meantime, you know, if you're an owner/driver, you							
8	got to pay mortgage, as Priscilla says, you got							
9	all the other expenses, you know. You're supposed							
10	to go out to start the car to go work, not to go							
11	on an experimental, you know, journey because the							
12	TLC wants to introduce all these beautiful							
13	technology. I mean, if they want to do it, let							
14	them test it to begin with and, you know, let them							
15	see for themselves.							
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Thank							
17	you. Thank you, sir.							
18	JONATHAN JONOVICS: Okay.							
19	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Introduce							
20	yourself, please.							
21	CLIFF ADLER: Mr. Chairman, Cliff							
22	Adler, I'm an owner/driver and member of LOMTO,							
23	the League of Mutual Taxi Owners.							
24	First of all, since the previous							
25	gentleman was talking about the hybrids and							

electric cars, I'd like to mention, I know the lady before spoke about how the Lexus is terrible, the Lexus hybrid is a terrible vehicle. I've had one for almost seven years, and I just had to replace the batteries, and I didn't do new ones, I didn't have to, the dealership changed used ones for me, and the total for the batteries and the labor came to something less than \$1,500, and I have 200 and, I think, 18,000 miles on the car, I think that's not bad so far. Okay? So I am absolutely thrilled with my vehicle.

People who have spoken earlier who have talked about having the opportunity to use different types of vehicles. You know, the TLC made rulings a long time ago about you need so much leg room, so much head room, so much knee room to have in the back. And they talk about, I believe it's with partitions since most cabs have a partition, I don't, they went against their own rulings to allow the Ford Escapes to go on the road with partitions because they demand that you put a partition in the Ford Escape, there's no leg room, there's no knee room, there's no foot room. People complain like crazy getting into a Ford

Escape. So I don't understand how they make rules
on one side and they turn around and break them
themselves on another. This, I don't understand.

I know they went through a federal case where the federal government said the City cannot say that everyone has to have a hybrid or whatever, maybe 'cause that's what Mayor Bloomberg wanted to do. I like what he wanted to do, I didn't like the way he went about it, and consequently, of course, we lost out. I think if it was pushed to tell people for those who would like to get any alternative vehicles, especially owner/drivers or small fleets, if they think it's to their advantage, let them try it, as long as they have sufficient room for the passengers and other basic TLC requirements.

As far as the signage on the door goes, I think people know where I stand on that. Something nobody has mentioned that we actually do still have two taxi signs on the Yellow cabs. I don't know if you're aware of that.

And just in case you don't understand, Mr. Chairman, can you read that? It says taxi, okay. It's not very big and this is

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the same size as taxi written on the front license plate and the rear license plate. So that's all we're left with right now, okay? So I definitely am one of those people who agree we should go back to the signage before.

Concerning the monitors in the back, I've had--and then I'm not even going to go into what tourists and locals alike have complained about, not having the prices on the doors and all that recently, 'cause they really have. As far as the passenger TV screen goes, the advertisers want their time, they want to have the screens on, I understand that. The money doesn't go to us, we've never seen a penny of it. I'm not interested in the money, I'd rather not even have the screens, but we have the screens and, unfortunately, even though they have a button to press the screen off, there are people who get sick in the back of a cab or the back of a car who cannot watch TV in a car, they cannot videos or DVDs, they cannot read in a car 'cause they get physically sick. The people that tell me, can you turn that thing off, please, and I'm reaching around 'cause I have no partition, I'm reaching

2	around like an idiot say, well there's a button
3	down there near the corner somewhere, you know, to
4	help them press a button to turn it off.
5	Otherwise, we listen to the sameand it's not
6	news reports, trust me, it is a commercial
7	certainly with the ones that we deal with, it is
8	one repetitive commercial all day long. Okay?
9	That makes no sense, all right? Whether you've
10	got ABC or NBC, I strongly urge the Council to ask
11	the TLC to put in a button where they just have a
12	flat screen and if somebody wants to add to see
13	what their average price for this or the distance
14	or where they are, whatever, you hit an on button
15	and see it up there, very clearly marked. And
16	when you're tired of it or if you're not
17	interested anymore, you hit an off button. Very
18	simply big enough letters marked and big enough
19	buttons so that people can see on, off. But it
20	shouldn't go on, you know, moving, visually and
21	sound wise without the passengers turning it on
22	because people could go crazy and then they turn
23	around and they think sometimes I'm playing games
24	with them, that a driver's not doing, you know,
25	what they should do. Okay?

2	It's been a long morning and I
3	thank you for your attention. Thanks,
4	commissioner, ladies and gentlemen.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I like that
6	guy.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.
8	Boy, thank you so much, you're very nice. Sir,
9	would you introduce yourself, please?
10	AARON TRUESELL: Yes, good morning,
11	Chairman Vacca and Council Members. My name is
12	Aaron Truesell and I've been driving a New York
13	City medallion taxi for over 14 years. Thank you
14	for your time.
15	New York City medallion taxis,
16	first of all, I mean, I had a prepared testimony
17	which been repeated by many, many people. I like
18	to give credit to where it's due and that's credit
19	to TLC for trying to make public aware of the fare
20	structure and where they are within the interior
21	of a taxicab, but I question their sincerity when
22	they took it off the doors, the sign taxi and the
23	fare structure, I seriously did.
24	New York City medallion taxis have
25	had the rate structure posted on their doors and

the word Taxi visible to the riding public for almost 100 years. And finally in 1967, the City ordered all licensed taxis to be painted yellow to make them even more recognizable, or should I dare say, more distinguishable from illegal taxis.

That's why I was surprised when Commissioner Yassky and all of a sudden decided that New Yorkers and the city's tourists no longer needed to be informed. He decided in effect that the riding public did not need to know—did not need to be reassured that they were getting into a licensed taxi with a regulated fare structure, that they did not need to know what that fare structure was before they got into a cab.

Back in 2006, a JFK flat rate was applied to return trips as well, and it was posted on taxi doors, along with the rest of the fare structure. Ever since thing, I've never had anyone ask me until recently how the meter works or how much the ride to JFK is. But now that's been happening quite frequently, ever since the TLC took the fare structure off the doors and I believe the majority of my passengers also wonder who was the word Taxi hurting. They have said to

2	me.	if	it's	а	taxi.	i t	should	sav	SO
4	,c		T C D	$\alpha$	cası,	<b>±</b> C	BIIGAIA	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{y}$	50.

Clearly, to me, it would seem

logical to reverse the TLC's recent decision and I

urge you to consider the same logic as you vote.

It's logical and it's the right thing to do. Our

taxi doors should say it's a taxi, and our taxi

doors should inform the riding public what our

regulators allow us to charge them.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

Thank you all. I'd like to thank everyone for coming today, and I think our hearing was quite informative on many levels and I hope to be able to advance these bills in the coming months.

Without any further to-do, the time is now 20 to 1, I will leave the record open for ten minutes so that members who are not here who wish to vote on the Intro 490--

MALE VOICE: Five nine nine.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --599, got my numbers all mixed up, will be able to do so, and they'll be able to vote on 599. I will leave the record open until 10 to 1, or 12:50.

There being no other comments or

139
d
utes.
. I
an
vote
nounce
n
10 to
ion

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 139
2	testimony, this hearing is now adjourned, and
3	we'll be officially adjourned within ten minutes.
4	[Gavel]
5	MALE VOICE: Go ahead.
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, okay. I
7	would now like to call upon Council Member Van
8	Bramer to vote on Intro 5
9	MALE VOICE: Nine nine.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:99.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I vote
12	aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Please announce
14	the roll.
15	LYLE FRANK: The final vote on
16	Intro 599-A, 11 in favor, none opposed, no
17	abstentions.
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now it is 10 to
19	1, 12:50 and this hearing of the Transportation
20	Committee is officially adjourned.
21	[Gavel]

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammphathman

Date \_December 10, 2012\_