CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

June 19, 2012 Start: 10:06 a.m. Recess: 1:25 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway

Committee Room, 14th Floor

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Deborah Rose Peter Koo

G. Oliver Koppell Ydanis Rodriguez Vincent Ignizio

Eric Ulrich

James G. Van Bramer

Gale A. Brewer

Daniel R. Garodnick

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bruce Schaller
Deputy Commissioner for Traffic and Planning
New York City Department of Transportation

Edward Pincar Director of Intergovernmental Affairs New York City Department of Transportation

Peter Cafiero Chief of Operations Planning New York City Transit

Lois Tendler Vice President of Government and Community Relations New York City Transit

William Wheeler Director of Planning MTA

David Giles Research Director Center for an Urban Future

Veronica Vanterpool Executive Director Tri-State Transportation Campaign

Gene Russianoff Straphangers Campaign

William Henderson New York City Transit Riders Council

Jeffrey Zupan Senior Fellow for Transportation Regional Plan Association

Thomas Lucania Director of Community Boards and Legislative Affairs Borough President Ruben Diaz Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Anthony Callender
Institute for Rational Urban Mobility, Inc

Elena Conte Pratt Center for Community Development

Sandra Shorenstein Research Associate Environmental Defense Fund

Ya-Ting Liu Director of Transit Advocacy Transportation Alternatives

Jennifer Thorpe-Moscon Chair Southern Brooklyn Democrats

John Rozankowski Bronx resident

Jeff Rollo Vice President of Operations Atlantic Express Transportation Group

Manny Mauliclic Atlantic Express Transportation Group 2.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Good morning,
everyone, I thank you all for coming. Is the
sound on? Yes, now it's on, okay. Good morning
all. I'm Council Member James Vacca, and I'm
Chair of the Transportation Committee of the New
York City Council.

Today we're here to discuss something of critical importance to myself and more than 7 million New Yorkers: Commuting options outside of Manhattan. I want to thank the MTA for sending representatives from both New York City Transit and Metro-North to this hearing, and I thank DOT and all advocacy groups for their testimony as well.

Future published a study that highlighted the changing nature of commuting patterns in New York.

More workers are commuting from Brooklyn to

Queens, from the Bronx to Westchester, from Staten

Island to New Jersey or Brooklyn than ever before,

and yet our city's transit infrastructure has not

kept pace. We all know the City was hit hard by

the recession and the Manhattan Central Business

District faced staggering job losses, but the four

other outer boroughs, so to speak, all experienced job gains during the recession. In addition, the number of commuters who travel to work within their home borough or to a neighboring borough or county has been increasing at a faster rate than the number of commuters who travel into the Manhattan core. This has made the need for better transit options in the boroughs even more urgent. As our city decentralizes its job growth, our transit infrastructure must be adapted to meet our changing needs and support job growth all across our city.

We all heard talk about transitorientated development, but we could also stand to
have a conversation or two about developmentorientated traffic transit. Connecting workers to
their jobs via public transit is what New Yorkers
and their employers have come to expect.

Companies popping up in neighborhoods underserved
by transit, even companies that pay well and are
experiencing success, must hire from a much
smaller pool of workers, often favoring workers
who do not drive--workers who do drive if they are
not well-served by transit.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Now the Bloomberg Administration
3	expects New York City's population to top 10
4	million people by 2020. We're well on our way.
5	If we do not do more to strengthen and improve our
6	transit system, more New Yorkers will turn to
7	cars. There is some evidence that this may be
8	already happening, especially among workers who
9	are commuting to work within their home borough or
10	to a neighboring borough. Ideally, we would
11	better connect neighborhoods like those
12	experiencing significant economic growth to the
13	subway system, but that's a long-term project
14	requiring billions of dollars that nobody has at
15	this point. The more inexpensive way is to move
16	people between these neighborhoods via an
17	extensive bus system.
18	I want to ask, and we always seek,

that agencies working together can accomplish goals. The MTA, for example, and DOT working together to create an aboveground network that moves people as quickly as the subway system using SBS routes is a good option. These bus routes, which really started with the Bronx 12 in my district, have growing ridership and are

significantly faster than local or limited bus-limited stopping buses. These buses would not be
able to cut through the gridlock if it weren't for
extensive use of technology. Off-board fare
collection, signal prioritization for buses,
dedicated bus lanes, and camera enforcement of bus
lanes are all important parts of the SBS network
and they require MTA and DOT working together to
get the job done.

The MTA is currently operating SBS lines on Fordham Road, Pelham Parkway in the Bronx, Hylan Boulevard on Staten Island, First and Second Avenue in Manhattan, and, of course, 34th Street. SBS routes are in the planning phases for Webster Avenue in the Bronx and Nostrand Avenue in Brooklyn.

As the system continues to expand, the MTA and DOT should take a hard look at the feasibility of creating transfer points between some of these SBS lines as well as future SBS lines. Right now, they all operate in isolation and none of them serve areas of the city experiencing rapid economic growth. If we can get SBS into the neighborhoods experiencing rapid

economic growth like Maspeth, Queens, for example, we can make commuting times more reliable for those workers and their employers. And we are giving employers a wider range of workers to hire from. It makes sense for employer and employee.

In order for the SBS network to truly be effective at convincing workers to leave their cars, and especially to decrease commute times for workers in neighborhoods vastly underserved by transit, the routes need to intersect. Transfer points are a key part of our subway system, without them the subway network wouldn't be nearly as useful as it is. A complete SBS network must operate in a similar way.

In addition to the SBS bus network,

I've been working with the MTA and DOT so that we
can find a solution to express bus problems.

Right now, many of these buses really cannot be
called express—they get stuck in the same traffic
as everybody else coming in and out of Manhattan.

When commuters cannot rely on these buses to get
them in and out of the city in time for work, they
turn to their cars, and that's exactly what we
want to avoid.

One of the major advantages of the
bus network is that it's always adaptable. If we
need to change or add a route to service a new
economic hub or housing development, it's
possible, at a much lower cost and with much
greater speed than adding a subway line. More
workers are commuting to jobs outside of Manhattan
than ever before, and, as policy makers, we must
acknowledge that and adapt the bus network to meet
those needs so that workers of all types have
access to safe, reliable, and fast public transit.
The economic future of our city depends on that,
and I know the MTA and DOT, by virtue of their
being here, are willing to work with us on that
issue.

Adapting the bus network is a relatively short-term solution; expanding Metro-North stations in the East Bronx through the Penn Station Access Project is a medium-term one, and, as the proposed stations would serve my district, of course, it's a high priority for me.

Currently, trains and Amtrak line already run right through the East Bronx and parts of Queens.

Decades ago, the MTA talked about building

stations to service neighborhoods in those areas
the tracks are thereand building these stations
at an estimated cost of \$400 million would connect
suburban workers to jobs, not only in the Bronx,
Manhattan, and Queens, but would also connect New
Yorkers to jobs in Westchester County and
Connecticut. Right now, a record number of
workers commute daily from the Bronx to
Westchester and Connecticut. Workers on the west
side of the Bronx can take the Harlem line to jobs
in Westchester, but no comparable option exists in
the East Bronx. Commuters traveling from the East
Bronx to work in Westchester have no viable choice
but to take their cars and sit in traffic on the
Hutchison River Parkway, which is backed up every
day. These neighborhoods are transit starved and
this service would provide these constituents with
reliable service to jobs both in Manhattan and
points north. So I'd like to hear an update from
the MTA on those efforts as their testimony is
given today.

So without further to say--I've said a lot so if I have further to say, I should kick myself, I think--but I welcome you all here.

2	I'm joined by my colleagues Council Member Debbie						
3	Rose to my extreme right, and Council Member Peter						
4	Koo from Queens. I thank the staff for all their						
5	work in putting this together and helping me do						
6	it.						
7	So let me introduce our first						
8	panel. Let me ask also that you please put your						
9	phones on vibrate, I'm sure that that was						
10	announced before, so that we do not have						
11	interruptions. Our first panel is Bruce Schaller,						
12	New York City DOT; Ed Pincar, New York City DOT;						
13	Lois Tendler, MTA New York City Transit; and Peter						
14	Cafiero, New York City Transit MTA. Okay? Who						
15	would like to lead off?						
16	BRUCE SCHALLER: I will lead off.						
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Would you like						

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Would you like to? Sure. Thank you, introduce yourself for the record though.

BRUCE SCHALLER: Sure. Good
morning, Chairman Vacca and Members of the
Transportation Committee. I am Bruce Schaller and
I'm the Deputy Commissioner for Traffic Design-Traffic and Planning at the New York City
Department of Transportation. With me today--

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 1
MALE VOICE: Hey, hang on, I don't
know if he's, I don't know if he's on.
BRUCE SCHALLER:on my right is
Edward Pincar
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [Interposing]
Sir, you need to turn the microphone.
[Off mic]
MALE VOICE: It's not on, yeah,
he's got to turn on the mic. He should start.
BRUCE SCHALLER: Would you check
it? Here, it's on now.
CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you just
start again?
BRUCE SCHALLER: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'm sorry.
BRUCE SCHALLER: Good morning,
Chairman Vacca and members of the Transportation
Committee. My name is Bruce Schaller and I'm the
Deputy Commissioner for Traffic and Planning at
New York City Transitat New York City DOT,
excuse me, jumping ahead And with me today on
my right is Edward Pincar, DOT'S Director of
Intergovernmental Affairs, and on my left are
colleagues from New York City Transit, Peter

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cafiero, the Chief of Operations Planning, and
 Lois Tendler, Transit's Vice President of
 Government and Community Relations.

So we both from DOT and New York City Transit appreciate the opportunity to update the Council this morning on the steps that we are taking to improve and expand the bus service throughout the city. Our two agencies are here together, as you noted, because we have been real partners in collectively designing and operating more efficient, more reliable, and more convenient bus service. I'd like to start our remarks this morning by first providing an overview of the approach we've taken to achieve these objectives, which includes not only SBS, Select Bus Service, but also targeted bus mobility improvements for both local and express buses -- so the whole family of bus service here. We believe that these improvements will make buses a more attractive choice for New Yorkers and make bus service a true complement to the existing rail system.

The success of our joint program, which, as you noted, Mr. Chairman, started in 2008 with the Bx12 on Fordham Road in the Bronx, can be

measured by the significant increase in ridership and decrease in travel times on all of the routes receiving treatments. A key to this success is carefully applying the elements of Bus Rapid Transit, BRT, to the needs of New York City neighborhoods. BRT is a cost-effective approach used in cities around the world to improve travel speeds and the overall efficiency of bus service. BRT features include frequent service—and I'll be talking about and Peter will be talking about each of these in more detail—longer station spacing, faster fare payment, transit signal priority, and dedicated bus lanes. New York City's brand of BRT is SBS, Select Bus Service.

In implementing SBS, our goal is always to find the best set of BRT treatments for each individual street and bus line since different neighborhoods have different needs as you go about our great city. We have installed bus lanes in locations where it makes sense to reserve street space for buses while maintaining, also very important to us, obviously, the flow of general traffic and meeting needs for curb access for parking and loading in particular.

bus lanes, using more offset lanes, where the lane is next to the curb lane, so that we maintain the parking along the curb, and also by using high visibility red paint and larger signs to make sure that the rules of the road are clear to all. As authorized by the State Legislature in 2010, we also use automated camera enforcement of these lanes to make sure that they stay clear for buses and buses can speed along and provide good service.

only tool to enhance bus service. Another example is the deployment of Transit Signal Priority, or TSP. TSP allows buses to stop at fewer red lights, resulting in a 5% to 10% improvement in travel times. TSP also improves travel time for other vehicles by optimizing the overall traffic signal coordination, so this is truly a win-win for both the bus rider and for drivers generally. TSP is currently operating on Victory Boulevard on Staten Island, on Fordham Road in the Bronx, and on 34th Street in Manhattan. Our agencies are currently installing and testing the equipment on

2.

the MIS SBS route on First and Second Avenue in						
Manhattan. Widespread implementation of TSP is						
and will be made possible by my agency's						
investment in Advanced Solid State Traffic						
Controllers, which control the traffic signals at						
each intersection, and the new ones will						
communicate in real time with our Traffic						
Management Center in Queens. This system can, for						
example, hold the green a little longer and, thus,						
allow a bus to proceed through an intersection						
before the traffic signal turns red.						

We're also working to install TSP to benefit routes beyond our SBS corridors.

Current efforts in this regard are focused on routes that access busy commercial and employment centers, such as St. George in Staten Island,

Flushing, and Jamaica in Queens. As we install

ASTCs at all signalized intersections in New York

City, which we're in the process of, we will have the ability then to activate TSP along still more corridors citywide.

In addition to bus lanes and TSP,

DOT is making other physical changes to streets to
enhance the bus customer experience. We are

constructing bus stations which extend out from
the curb at key locations where we have parking
along the curb, to provide additional space for
amenities, such as shelters and benches, and to
increase pedestrian space to make the street safer
and more pleasant for all. SBS stations, both the
ones that extend from the curb and all the others
on the sidewalk, also have off-board fare
collection machines where customers pre-pay at
machines on the sidewalk, thus allowing them to
board a bus through any door, keeping a receipt as
proof of payment. Working with New York City
Transit, we have undertaken an unprecedented level
of community outreach in designing and
implementing the bus improvements we are
describing, and this is just as important as any
other part of our overall program efforts. For
each SBS project, we form a Community Advisory
Committee and hold open house meetings and public
workshops, as well as work with Community Boards
and merchant and neighborhood groups. By
utilizing this comprehensive outreach and public
engagement process, we are able to learn
exponentially more about the specific needs of

each community, what the best tools are to solve
the issues facing transit riders in the corridor,
while also meeting the needs relating to parking
and general traffic. As we work with communities,
we continue to develop improved treatments, and
better ways to engage local elected officials,
residents, businesses, and other stakeholders.
Conversely, the community learns in more detail
what we can offer and provide, and, thus, is
better able to advise us on their needs and
priorities. Our experience is that by utilizing
this robust public engagement process, we're able
to focus on the specific problems and unique needs
of each corridor, and our agencies and the
communities we serve, and the larger public very
much as well as the specific riders are very much
the beneficiaries.

Cafiero, discusses our first set of SBS routes in more detail than I have, I'd like to mention that DOT and New York City Transit have also begun to plan for the next set of SBS routes. In 2009, our agencies held seven interactive and well attended public workshops and conducted an online survey to

б

educate communities about BRT elements and then						
solicit feedback on where the public would like to						
see future SBS routes. Through this BRT Phase II						
planning study, DOT and New York City Transit have						
identified 16 potential future corridors for BRT						
treatments. To date, we have started looking at						
improvements to three corridors identified in the						
study: On Webster Avenue in the Bronx, as you						
mentioned, Mr. Chairman; Utica Avenue in Brooklyn;						
and on providing better bus service at LaGuardia						
Airport. Moving forward with these and other						
projects from the Phase II study, we will continue						
to tailor improvement to bus service in ways that						
matter to bus riders by applying the right						
improvements on each corridor, and by continuing						
our robust public outreach efforts.						

As we move forward with our current and planned bus improvement projects, we expect that this problem-solving focus, public engagement process, and the agencies' continued collaboration will lead to even greater improvements for New Yorkers taking the bus.

And now Peter from New York City
Transit will discuss the projects specifically,

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	and at the conclusion of his testimony, we'll be
3	happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank
4	you.

PETER CAFIERO: Thank you, Deputy
Commissioner Schaller, and thank you, Chairman
Vacca and Members of the Committee.

As the Deputy Commissioner just discussed, New York City Transit has been working very closely for the last several years with the Department of Transportation to make significant improvements to the bus operations throughout the city. Select Bus Services, or SBS, is now up and running on three corridors in the city--the Bronx 12 that was mentioned earlier, and the M15 and M34 in Manhattan. These are the first three routes of five corridors originally identified jointly by New York City Transit and New York City DOT following an extensive public input process. Over 100 corridors were initially identified and screened down to 15 using various criteria regarding feasibility and ridership potential.

Ultimately, five corridors were selected for priority implementation. New York City Transit and DOT are currently working on

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

implementing SBS on the two remaining of the five original routes, an additional sixth route, and we are undertaking, as Bruce mentioned, an Alternatives Analysis for service to LaGuardia Airport, which could yield additional corridors.

To date, the results of our SBS program have been very encouraging: Bus ridership on all three routes has significantly increased at a time when bus ridership on similar corridors has been decreasing. For example, on Fordham Road in the Bronx, bus speeds have increased on the Bx12 SBS by 20%, ridership in the corridor as a whole increased by 10%, and a survey conducted by New York City Transit found that 98% of riders were satisfied or very satisfied with the new service. Since the start of SBS on the M15 in October of 2010, bus speeds on First and Second Avenues in Manhattan have increased by up to 18% and ridership has gone up 9% during the first year of operation. The M15 corridor now has an average weekday ridership of 55,000 people, which makes it the bus route in the city with the highest ridership for a single bus route. We look forward to realizing similar improvements with the 34th

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Street SBS, which commenced in this past November with bus lanes, faster fare payment, and real time travel information. In fact, early evaluations show about a 10% decrease in travel time and continuing increase in ridership on this route.

On First and Second Avenue, we are constructing the bus stations that the Deputy Commissioner described, we often call them bus bulbs, but they're not where you grow new buses, they are the growing of the sidewalk out to meet the bus lane on the street for easier boarding and easier operation of the bus, those are being constructed right now on the M15. Later this year, we expect to start construction of these bus bulbs for 34th Street, on the SBS there as well. In addition, we've added real time Bus Time, which is the real time bus information location information which currently is in all buses in Staten Island, and one bus route in Brooklyn, we've added that to the M34 SBS, and we are in the process of rolling that out citywide.

On 34th Street, we're working in partnership with DOT to upgrade the existing bus lanes to allow for better curb access for

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

businesses and residents, which was important result of the outreach we had there, and it will also help keep the bus lane clear. Bus lanes are also being added to the Pelham Parkway section of the Bx12 SBS route as part of the City's reconstruction of that roadway.

DOT and New York City Transit are currently proceeding with three additional SBS corridors. We'll be starting SBS service on Hylan Boulevard in Staten Island on September 2nd, 2012. Working closely with community stakeholders in Staten Island, DOT and New York City Transit developed a comprehensive project to improve safety and mobility for drivers, transit riders, and pedestrians on Staten Island's South Shore. This corridor is unique of the ones that we've looked at in that, in addition to several local bus services, it has a number of high volume, high ridership express buses operating in the corridor. In designing the S79 SBS, we were keenly aware that any SBS implementation had to work with, and ideally improve, the operation of the express bus routes, as well as the local bus services on Hylan Boulevard. Thus, this project includes

streamlined service and fewer stops for the S79, pedestrian safety enhancements, left turn lanes at major intersections, and bus lanes that will benefit the 32,000 bus riders who travel via Hylan Boulevard on the S78, S79, and eight different express bus routes. Transit Signal Priority will also be implemented on this corridor in 2013.

In Brooklyn, we have worked closely with residents and businesses to bring SBS along the Nostrand Avenue, Rogers Avenue bus corridor, which currently serves over 39,000 weekday riders. Construction will begin later this year, and this project will be launched in 2013 with bus bulbs to ease boarding at bus stops and expand pedestrian space. The corridor will also feature the offset bus lanes, which usually the lanes one lane out from the curb, traffic signal prioritization, and an improved loading access plan for commercial areas that was developed in consultation with local businesses.

In the Bronx, building on the success of the Bx12 on Fordham Road, we are in the early stages of developing the borough's second SBS route along Webster Avenue so that we will

have a north-south corridor the complements the
east-west of the Bx12. Webster Avenue is a major
residential and commercial corridor serving 22,000
daily riders currently. This project will work in
tandem with community development occurring along
the corridor.

While SBS is our most visible program to improve bus service in New York City, not every street is appropriate for SBS, but bus priority features can still have a significant role when applied in a targeted manner. For example, DOT and New York City Transit work closely with residents and businesses in Queens on localized transit improvements for downtown Jamaica, where over 47 bus routes converge and connect with four subway lines.

Working together, our agencies are focused on a holistic approach to improving bus service throughout New York City and really providing an integrated network with the subway system as well to provide that inter-borough and intra-borough travel. And providing our bus customers with speedier, more reliable, and more convenient service while assuring that the safety

б

2	and	needs	of	pedestrians,	drivers,	and	business
3	are	also	acco	ommodated.			

At this time, we are happy to answer any questions the committee might have.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

Sorry. Did you want to speak, Ms. Tendler, or no?

LOIS TENDLER: No.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I'd like to note that we're joined by Council Member Oliver Koppell. Okay. Let me start with some questioning.

I thank you very much for your testimony, and the SBS routes, I think, represent a significant way to get people to where they want to go quicker via mass transits, so I'm encouraged by much of what you said.

One aspect of this hearing today that I wanted to focus on was the reality that many people in the boroughs outside Manhattan are going to suburban places as their place of work every day and I was wondering if you had any coordination with suburban bus lines, for example. I'm thinking in Westchester County, the B line bus gets people to New Rochelle and job areas where

people are now going to work every day, but taking their cars because they often have no other way of doing it. So what are we doing in so much as that's concerned?

PETER CAFIERO: Well, first of all, we have, as I'm sure you know, complete fare integration with both the bus service in Nassau County and in Westchester County, so MetroCard and free transfers to the subways and to the other bus lines is all built in and has been for a number of years. And actually, when that went in in the late nineties, there was a significant increase in ridership on routes between the Bronx and Westchester County and between Queens and Nassau following that.

We do coordinate with Westchester

County, we meet with them on a regular basis. In

fact, as they were developing their versions of

BRT, they consulted with us because we had already

implemented the Bronx 12 and they were very

interested in that. And we work with them

particularly around Fordham, which is a hub for,

not just Westchester bus and our buses, but also

Metro-North, which I know you'll talk about later.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 So I think there is a level of coordination and 3 intersection between those companies.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I would like you to look at that, I know you have the relationship, but many times people are taking their cars to get to the bus stop in many neighborhoods that are kind of far flung, or they're taking their cars and going to where they work because they don't have accessibility of buses. So are we looking at relationships where those buses could be brought nearer to where people live? I'm thinking again in my district, the B line stops at Pelham Bay train station, but it does not go anywhere near, for example, Throgs Neck or other areas, those people basically get in cars and go on the New England Thruway and go to work or get on the Hutch, and there's not availability of service.

PETER CAFIERO: Well there are many trips throughout the city that require a transfer to get from one point to another point, there's no bus system or any transit system that can connect every point that people may want to go, and so I think it's—the systems develop that there are

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these key points, like Pelham Bay, Fordham, some of the other ends of the subway routes, where there are transfer points and the fare integration has really helped that. Beyond that, in terms of Westchester service, without them here, I couldn't really speak to what they might be looking at.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, it's just a point that I know the MetroCard can be used, but if it matters--if it means that a person's going to take three buses, that person is likely to get into a car, and sometimes you transfer three times, there's so much time involved in your trip that the incentive is what we don't want to provide, we want are then providing an incentive for people to get in their cars. So that's why I say that if you have that relationship already, I don't remember any modification in these lines for years, I don't remember any modification. Things have changed, the economic conditions have changed. If you look, for example, at how many people in the Bronx work in White Plains, work in Stamford or areas near Connecticut, these have become job centers in the past 20 years. So we haven't adapted as we should, so that's why I ask

that we look at--we have the relationships but do

we have an updating of what the reality is, that's

my question.

DETER CAFIERO: Well I think the destinations you just talked about would be a long trip by any bus, and so you see, and you'll talk to people later in the next panel about Metro-North clearly connecting the New York City transit system into the commuter rail system to go further north has been very productive and we certainly see a lot of activity at points where that happens right now. So beyond that, in terms of what Westchester might do, I think they would need to be here to address that further.

But we do have a relationship with them and worked out on various issues that have come up. And we do cross the border a little bit where we need to on both Westchester and Nassau, just as they cross the border to connect in the subways. We have worked to get into--if there's a shopping center right on the border, those sorts of things, we've worked to not have something that would not make sense with us ending just short of where people wanted to go.

2.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Have you found
that people working in their own boroughs now, as
they are increasingly, have also a difficult
navigation? How do you get from one part of the
Bronx to anotherEast Bronx to West Bronx, how do
you get from one part of Queens to another? Are
those issues that you've looked at from a
transportation point of view, from a transit point
of view, since people are working more and more in
their own boroughs, not going into Manhattan? And
one objective of this hearing is to see how we can
get them there quicker.

maybe amplify or clarify some of the statistics, while the percentage of increase in some of these intra-borough, intra-county, and inter-borough, inter-county trips has increased greater than the percentage of people going to Manhattan, in many cases it's starting from a smaller base. So you still have the growth going to Manhattan has, in pure numbers of people, has still been significant, in some cases greater than what's the inter-borough. That doesn't mean we should ignore it, but just we can't ignore the trips to

Manhattan as well.

Historically, if you look at the census data for any of the counties in this region, trips within the county are either the number one or number two destinations, so people generally have always stayed in their—either in their home county or gone to Manhattan, which shows you the draw of Manhattan throughout the region. So there always been a number of intracounty, intra-borough trips. We have an existing bus network that really blankets the city and provides, at least for the historic development, an excellent connectivity.

The two things that we've been focused on, and continue to focus on, are, one, the fact that bus service, as traffic congestion increases, has gotten slower. And that's the focus of these SBS corridors where we can to have a major corridor that functions faster and becomes really integrated with the subway network as an extension of that network and a complement to that network. And the other that we would like to look at, and have in the past, as new developments happen that are off of the grid, so to speak, of

the existing network and make sure that we serve those. So we certainly have served new shopping centers that are developed. And other employment centers around the city, we've improved over the last 20 years service into the airports and between the boroughs and, of course, as you know, we've had very constrained funding in the last few years, but we certainly continue to look at where there are new areas that need service, and when opportunities are available, we would work to try to do that.

BRUCE SCHALLER: Right, and let me just add, I think if you look at the current SBS projects that we have in the phase II plan, you see a number of really good examples, Mr.

Chairman, that really get to your point in terms of connecting within a borough to major and growing employment centers. So east-west Fordham Road is the obvious example there; if you look at the improvements we've made in downtown Jamaica where, really, the congestion is for routes going into downtown Jamaica, many of those people are going to work, as well as they may be connecting to the subway of LIRR. The LaGuardia study that

we have ongoing is for, we expect much of the ridership, much of the current bus ridership, and certainly much of the ridership in an SBS route, would be for employees who work at the airport and who oftentimes live in upper Manhattan, within the Bronx, and could really greatly benefit from improved, more reliable, faster service to LaGuardia are Livingston Street, which I don't think either of us had mentioned, but we put a bus lane—improved lanes on Livingston Street in downtown Brooklyn, heavily used corridor going into another major employment center.

So all of these are examples of how the current program is, I think, working to serve, again, a lot of the travels to Manhattan, but really working to serve work and other trip—shopping and other trips that are within the borough or between boroughs, for example,

Manhattan into Queens, the Bronx into Queens, and as we strengthen the network for SBS, it's very complementary to the subway so if the subway is serving part of the trip, that would generally be faster than taking a bus, and then the bus for the piece that there's no subway alternative. And if

2.

you look at our SBS routes, none of them overlap,
none of them are replicating or trying to
replicate when it makes sense to be spending our
effort replicating this existing rail service, but
are adding to that network of rapid transit
throughout the city.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I did want to raise the issue too of express buses, and I wanted to learn from you what are we doing to get express buses to be a little more express in nature? I know we have heavy traffic in Manhattan, but we have a lot of frustration among people on the express buses who say that, especially coming home, they are just sitting in the same traffic as everyone else and they're going nowhere. So I wanted to hear from you, what are we doing about maximizing express buses as a realistic alternative for people.

PETER CAFIERO: Do you want to start or--

BRUCE SCHALLER: [Interposing]

Sure, I'll start and I'll talk about the traffic and Peter can talk about the transit service aspect of it. So just in thinking about express

bus service, I think is helpful to kind of think about it in three baskets: There is the Manhattan piece of it, which is probably the most, in many cases, is the most congested part of the trip, and then there's often a highway—there's a highway piece to the trip and then there's the part of the trip on local streets in the outer boroughs. And so just to take each of those, a number of our, both SBS and bus priority projects in Manhattan, will very much benefit express bus riders. So 34th Street, as we put that in, about half of the 30,000 or so riders on 34th Street are in express buses, it's a major east-west corridor for express buses and will very much benefit them.

As you know, we have an existing two-lane, two bus lane facility on Madison Avenue--also heavily traveled by both local and express buses--and we will be taking a project to the Community Board in the next couple months to improve, sort of, how we think the left side of Madison Avenue can work and improve the bus service, improve the loading situation, improve for left turns. I'd be happy to share some details with that with you about that as well that

will help the operation on Madison Avenue, I think
for all vehicles, certainly for buses. And then
we've been looking at Fifth Avenue as well and
look at very heavily congested corridor, very
heavy express bus, as well as local buses,
generally slow speeds, heart of midtown, and we've
been looking at what we can do for buses on Fifth
Avenue as well.

As you get outside of Manhattan, we have the state, generally state highway system, HOV lanes on the Gowanus, Staten Island Expressway, Long Island Expressway, which for those users very much speed express buses. We put Hylan in on Staten Island, so you'll have the SBS, which will benefit express bus riders as well going up Hylan on to the Verrazano and then be able to use the Gowanus lane coming up. And then as we, again, as we continue to look around the city, as we continue to roll out transit signal priority, we'll also be able to look at where that might benefit both local and express bus riders on major arterial streets in the outer boroughs. Do you want to add to this?

PETER CAFIERO: Yeah, I think you

touched most of what we're doing. I wanted to
emphasize what I think we've tried a few times
here to emphasize. The SBS gets a lot of
attention, it's the full length of the route, we
try to do treatments on the full length of the
route, it's a major effort to get that in. But
there's often, you know, one single chokepoint on
a street somewhere on a route that can make all
the difference fixing that for the operation of
maybe multiple routes that go through that. One
example that we work with early on is the
technology was still developing was a priority for
a signal at 34th and 7th Avenue in Manhattan, a
number of express buses had to make that left
turn, were getting stopped at that light, and we
worked, really, to adapt, I think it was E-ZPass
technology at the time, to just get that signal to
change when the bus was there. As we now develop
a citywide system for traffic and transit
priority, as that rolls out, we'll be in a better
position to do that at many locations where
there's a need to do that.

 $\label{thm:constraint} {\mbox{\sc I'm personally excited about Hylan}} \\ {\mbox{\sc Boulevard and what it'll do for the express bus.}$

2	As	we	make	it	bette	er :	for	buses	s in	the	corrid	lor,
3	ev	eryl	oody	is	going	to	gai	n in	that	. coi	rridor.	

There are other parts of the express bus route path which are problems that are outside of the scope of what we can deal with, certainly the various chokepoints on the highways and coming in from New Jersey for the--the Staten Island routes that come through New Jersey are coming up the Gowanus, those are issues we need to work with the state, but there's often larger issues there. Fifth Madison, we're definitely looking forward to any improvements there, not just, in that case, I would say, yes, for the express buses, but it's also a significant local bus market in Manhattan as well. So again, another one where two different markets can win from the same investment.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you just tell me a little bit about Fifth Avenue? What are you looking at off--

[Crosstalk]

BRUCE SCHALLER: [Interposing]

Sure, so we're looking at a range of things, right

now we're doing the traffic analysis. We've

collected data, we're looking at different
treatments that we might apply, which ones would
work, which ones would be most productive to
apply. I think, just to refer to what I was
saying earlier, it's been, really, the whole SBS
program, our bus program has very much been a
learning process for us as agencies and learning
how to better work with communities, learning what
treatments work where, we've sort of had to invent
this program on-the-fly. You don't see, if you
look around the country, you don't see a
comparable program run, fact people, as Peter
mentioned, come to us to say how are you solving
these problems. And so I think Fifth Avenue is a
good example of where we're in that, very much in
that problem solving and perhaps invention mode,
and so it's too early to say exactly what we want
to do there, but it's something that we're looking
at, we want to see what we can do for buses, and
we'll be very happy to sit with youwe've had
some discussions as we move this work forward.
CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, we have
had discussions and I thank you, and I know the

MTA is working on that also and I thank them. So

2 --

3 LOIS TENDLER: I just wanted to

4 add--

5 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --it's

6 complicated. Yes, Lois?

add from the operational end, as Peter was talking about, analyzing each express bus route and to see where the chokepoints are, we also use our dispatchers and managerial forces, our road control people to, A, identifying the first instance where they see chokepoints and we work with the schedule of the buses, make sure they have enough recovery time and, you know, to get them to be reliable and come when they're going to come. We're also, by the end of 2013, going to have Bus Time on all buses, it's on all the Staten Island buses, as Peter said, now, including the Staten Island express buses.

And when you were talking about the evening rush hour and how frustrating that is for your constituents, Bus Time is a great advantage because it tells people when the next bus is going to be there, when to expect their bus. Before you

run out of your office to catch a bus, you can check and see whether it's going to be there. So it's another way to make the commute a little better for our customers.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: For the record, this is Lois Tendler from the MTA. And I did have a very good meeting with the MTA last weekend, as Lois said, there are improvements coming. So I thank you for your work because I know it's not easy, I mean, we all see the traffic in Manhattan every day and I know that getting the buses out of Manhattan is difficult, and then when you take in the highway situation, as you said, it becomes a double problem that we have, but we have to address it because these express buses represent a hope of more people using transit and that's what we want.

So, okay, I want to acknowledge we're joined by Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez, who has joined us, and Council Member Vincent Ignizio is here, Council Member Eric Ulrich has joined us. Our first question is Council Member Peter Koo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Today we have this hearing, the topic is
addressing public transit needs outside of
Manhattan, so I thought most of the discussion
would be about Queens or Bronx or Staten Island.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, it is,
Council Member, it just it often relates to
Manhattan.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: But we're not,
I think we just concentrate too much time on
Manhattan. So I want to bring this subject back
to Queens.

[Crosstalk]

FEMALE VOICE: --outer boroughs.

know, in downtown Flushing we have a lot of buses, but somehow the buses are always, you know, when they finish the trip, they're all stationed in downtown Flushing [off mic] Roosevelt Avenue, 30th Avenue, 39th, they're blocking all the stores for business, and I think we have to move these buses to a bus depot. Right next to us, is there's a city field, there's a bus depot there, how come you don't bring all the buses there? When it's time to come, they just go to Main Street or go to

2 Roosevelt Avenue to pick up the passengers.

PETER CAFIERO: Well it looks like there's a lot of buses 'cause there are a lot of buses that are coming and it's the largest bus to subway transfer in the city. And I don't have to tell you that there's just a lot of very crowded bus routes there, very heavily used bus routes. That means they have a lot of buses, frequently the buses come in, they have to have a certain amount of time, we call recovery time, some of that's contractual with the drivers to give them, understandably, give them a break. But more importantly, it's to allow us to provide reliable service leaving Flushing, heading out to ensure that that stays reliable, even if there's a minor disruption in service coming in.

So we really need to have those buses there to provide that outbound service. To take them away from Flushing would mean that the buses wouldn't be in Flushing when we needed them to load up and leave.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Because in Jamaica you have a [off mic], Jackson Heights, you have a bus depot there, buses can station there

2	and when it's time to go to Flushing, just, I
3	mean, five minutes before, just drive the bus to
1	arrange a nick up and

PETER CAFIERO: [Interposing] But you would still see the same--

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: --but right

now--

PETER CAFIERO: --in Flushing.

too much congestion in downtown Flushing. I give you one example, St. George Church on Main Street. In front of the church, there's buses and on the side, on both sides, the church is surrounded by three sides of—with buses idling there, creating a lot of air pollution. It's not that they station there just to pick up passenger, they stay there like 10, 15 minutes waiting for the next route. So I'm talking about these kind of things. And on Roosevelt Avenue, they have buses in front of my business like 10, 15 minutes, they just idle there and blocking people to see the signs on a lot of business. This is not the way to do business in downtown Flushing, you don't do this

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	in Manhattan, why do it flushing? You don't do
3	this in Jamaica, you have a bus depot.

PETER CAFIERO: In Jamaica, the same buses are--

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [Interposing]
Or in Jackson Heights, you have a bus depot, but
somehow in Flushing, with so many buses, you don't
have a bus depot.

LOIS TENDLER: [Interposing] Excuse me, what you're referring to in Jackson Heights was an intermodal facility built in conjunction with the rehabilitation of the 74th Street Roosevelt Station and that was done maybe 12, 14 years ago. We have looked at places to stage buses in Flushing, the Lipman [phonetic] Arcade was one place we looked at, there was never a joint meeting of the minds between the relevant jurisdictions that would have to come up with the property to make the space to put buses off street. That being said, buses come into Flushing and buses--buses come into Flushing packed with people and leave packed with people. To take them even--what you're saying, Councilman, five minutes

away and traffic is not five minutes, you would be adding to pollution by driving them back and forth off the beginning and the end of their route.

The reason all the buses are there is because there are customers who fill them up. I suppose that if collectively EDC, DOT, New York City Transit, et cetera, found a proximate piece of property that could be offsite terminal for buses, if you will, that would be something we would be interested in, but barring that, the reason buses are there is because the customers are there.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Well I think it's not fair for businesses and even for churches, religious groups, to have buses staging there all day long, 24 hours a day. For St.

George Church, they can't even have a wedding, you know, the limousine, there's no place to park a limousine. You know, all the buses are there on three sides of the building.

PETER CAFIERO: Well we've been working to try to improve downtown Flushing and it's a very congested area and it's difficult to find space. There was the property, you know, for

years talked about an off-street terminal and that
was redeveloped for other purposes so but it's,
you know, by others. So there's really no space
there, but there are lot ofthe customers for
those businesses are coming in on the buses, so
and it's important to continue to maintain the
transit service. But we will continue to look at
it with DOT to, you know, we'lland if you have
specific suggestions, we'd be happy to look at
them.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Right now, the Mayor is talking about developing Willets Point so maybe we can develop a bus depot there. There's a lot of land there and it's really close by Flushing. So I think that we have to do something in the future, I mean, not immediately. This is a very high priority for the downtown business people because we don't want all the buses stationed right in front of our stores, you know?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Would perhaps

Councilman Koo's office reaching out to you with

some brainstorming, would that be helpful?

Because perhaps there is a location which the

Councilman--he did mention Willets Point, is that

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 something you have looked at or is that too far?

3 LOIS TENDLER: That's too far--

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Too far.

LOIS TENDLER: --we would not be taking buses that are there to serve our Flushing customers and staging them somewhere out of the neighborhood.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I have one more question. When you design the bus routes, is it possible for you to make the buses just go straight, north-south or east-west only? Because when buses making turns, they tie up the traffic, you end up--they're waiting for ten minutes, and if you're driving behind a bus because they couldn't turn and the entire--the traffic will back up like two blocks and then when it's time for them to turn, the pedestrians walk, so you will have to wait like two or three signal lights for the bus to turn around, especially in crowded streets in Flushing. So make sure they just go straight, go Main Street only and don't turn to side streets and weaving in and out.

PETER CAFIERO: Well we've--was it about two years ago?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I mean, yeah,

we can design routes like that.

years ago, the City--I mean, the City's been working a lot longer on this because there are no easy solutions, it's been a lot of back and forth, but the--particularly the intersection of Main and Roosevelt, basically the solution there was to greatly reduce or eliminate most of the turning movements at that intersection. To do that, we actually had to extend our bus routes farther to turn around, which was actually at a time when we were--and the severe budget crisis was an added expense for us to do that. So that alleviated some of the issues right at that location, it, in some cases, relocated the problem to other intersections.

So we continue to adjust, we have in the next week or two, I think, the Q48 route coming in from the west is going to alter its path to free up some curb space on the south side of Roosevelt because all those blocks are congested. And so we continue to try to, at the moment, try to tweak things to make modest improvements where

we can, but I think all collectively recognize
that it'swe have not identified a perfect
solution out there, that the volume of pedestrians
and transit users and transitour customers
become pedestrians when they get off the vehicle,
subway users and auto vehicles in a space in a
neighborhood with very narrow streets doesn'tand
very intensive usage, retail usage along those
streets has not left us with a lot of options.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So are you planning on any SBS routes in, you know, Queens or in Flushing area?

PETER CAFIERO: Not--

[Crosstalk]

PETER CAFIERO: The immediate--the short answer is no, it's not in the ones that we're actively working on now, however, in the phase II, the study identified some routes--there are certainly significant routes in both Flushing and Jamaica that are subway feeders that would be logical for SBS kind of treatment.

The Q44 route, which is a route from Jamaica to Flushing into the Bronx, and that actually fits in with the Chairman's theme for

this meeting, has been growing dramatically and we
are actually putting higher capacity buses on that
route in the next few months, and we have
continued to add service to that route as that
route has grown. So we are making improvements to
the service, but as the ridership continues to
grow on those services.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay. I have one last question. What about this SBS route to LaGuardia, can you give me some details where this run, the run through Queens or Manhattan or...?

PETER CAFIERO: I think it's a little premature on the routing to give--you know, we don't have a final recommendation yet, but we're looking at routes from Queens, Manhattan, and the Bronx, all three boroughs connecting in where they can with the subways as well to extend the reach even further. But there's currently two major routes that serve LaGuardia, there's several others, but the primary ones are the Q33, which is an MTA bus company route from Jackson Heights, and the M60, which is coming across from Harlem and Western, you know, Astoria and the subway connection. So those are the two major routes so

that's where a lot of the focus has been to try t	50
improve those lines. But we are, in fact, looking	ng
as well to see if thiswhat we can do with the	
Bronx pending having the financial resources.	

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: All right, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
Council Member Koo. Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Good morning, how are you? As you might guess, my questions are going to regard to Staten Island. I'd like to know what your criteria is for determining an SBS route.

BRUCE SCHALLER: Sure, do you want me to answer that? So we look on this as very much a joint effort between the two agencies. We look at where there's support from the community, we look at where there's already heavy ridership that we think the treatments and our sort of toolbox can be effective, and we look at what the streets or the avenues are through that corridor and what kind of changes we can make with traffic, what the opportunities are really to speed the buses along that corridor. So all of these things

2	we look at holistically, we look at what the
3	opportunities are in terms of as the city changes,
4	one of the advantages to looking at one of the
5	reasons to look at Webster Avenue in the Bronx is
6	that there's a rezoning in the northern part of
7	that corridor which will lead to growth, and so
8	that's something that we've talked with City
9	Planning about and want to, sort of, pave the way
10	for having improved transit service there.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Are there any
12	plans to have any additional SBS routes on Staten
13	Island, other than the Hylan Boulevard one?
14	PETER CAFIERO: And the first six
15	or so, the S79 is the only Staten Island one.
16	There were in phase II identified routes in all
17	five boroughs, so I don't offhand remember, but
18	you can
19	BRUCE SCHALLER: Yeah.
20	PETER CAFIERO:what I'm talking
21	about the other
22	BRUCE SCHALLER: I'll pull out
23	PETER CAFIERO:talk about, you
24	can look at that list. We also, as you know, have
25	just done a major study on the North Shore

2	corridor as well, which would be, you know,
3	there's no funding identified for it, but if that
4	ever happened, that would be a true
5	[Crosstalk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]
7	Yeah, the major SBS but that's not going to happen
8	anytime soon.
9	BRUCE SCHALLER: Yeah, and just in
10	terms of in the phase II report, the North Shore
11	we highlighted 'cause there was this ongoing
12	study, and then we were also looking at
13	possibilities on the SIE. And so I think from a
14	SBS standpoint, those threeHylan Boulevard,
15	which we will be implementing this fall, SIE in
16	the North Shore.
17	And then the other thing I also
18	just want to mention is the first TSP that we put
19	in any place in the city
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Staten
21	Island.
22	BRUCE SCHALLER:was Victory
23	Boulevard, we're extending that, we will have the
24	opportunities as we make the upgrades to our
25	signal equipment to be doing more of that. So T

think a lot of the opportunity, this is very much, as we--we're emphasizing this is very much a problem solving exercise. SBS is a good set of solutions in some places, other, you know, sort of the same toolbox, but a more selected group is appropriate in other areas, and so, depending on what the needs are, we'll apply whatever the kind of solution set is appropriate.

PETER CAFIERO: And we think that signal priority will be a key in Staten Island, that Staten Island, you don't--you have a lot of areas where the traffic is pretty free-flowing, but other areas where it gets very congested, and so we can look at these spot solutions, certainly as the routes converge coming in towards St.

George would be something that I think we might want to take more of a look at over time. The SBS corridor, that's actually Hylan Boulevard but then it goes over to the Staten Island--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Verrazano.

PETER CAFIERO: --Hall and so around the area of the mall we're actually including some bus lanes there where it gets congested around the mall. So there are those

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	opportunities	to have	this	spot	solutions	where
3	you don't ned	essarily	have	the v	whole stre	et.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So the TSP is 4 5 going to be expanded to all of the bus routes on Staten Island?

BRUCE SCHALLER: So it's part of the Hylan plan, and as we look at additional routes and corridors, we'll have the capability on the DOT side, there's Planning involved, you have to do a study of the traffic and how the signals work, and then there's equipment on board the bus, so you have to sort of bring all those things together to implement. Though we'll be in much better position to do more TSP because we have this integrated system where, from a DOT, from the City side, we'll have the hardware that we need for it and the other pieces then, it'll be less of a lift than the initial routes, which required installation of new equipment at each intersection than--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing] And do we have a timeline for that?

BRUCE SCHALLER: --is currently the case.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 59
2	providing a, like a frequent rider's card where
3	you could just press the card into a scanner?
4	[Off mic]
5	LOIS TENDLER: Yeah, there are
6	fareyou can add
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]
8	Or a refillable MetroCard, right.
9	LOIS TENDLER:you could refill
10	your MetroCard, we have a machine in St. George
11	and we have machines at the Alton Real Transit
12	Center. I understand, Staten Island doesn't have
13	subways so they don't have machines
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]
15	Exactly, and not everybody travels to the ferry
16	terminal.
17	LOIS TENDLER: We have, as you
18	said, we have merchants who sell cards, you said
19	there were only two on Staten Island, is that
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]
21	Two locations where you can refill
22	LOIS TENDLER: [Interposing] Oh,
23	you mean how you referred to Eltingville, okay.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Right.
25	LOIS TENDLER: We have a network of

outside merchants who sell cards. We also have a
MetroCard van and bus, I don't know if we've been
out to visit you, but it's a tight schedule though
'cause we only have two vehicles. But we're happy
to work with your office on a regular basis trying
to schedule the bus to

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]

Have you entertained having them refillable by

Internet?

Ride, which is a credit card automatic refill, so it works like E-ZPass, you give them a credit card, when you dip down below a certain, you know, value on your card, they automatically refill it.

And you can sign up for EZ Ride on our website or, I suspect, by calling 5-1-1.

know, many of our riders need to take express buses into Manhattan and it costs upwards of \$50 a week. Is there any discussion about some sort of, like, maybe frequent or discounted fare kind of card for people who express bus seems to be the only option for them?

PETER CAFIERO: Well the fare

2	policy is an MTA decision, so I don't think any of
3	us
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: It is, okay.
5	PETER CAFIERO:could correctly
6	answer that.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. And
8	today, you know, I'm having a press conference
9	about fare beaters, and Staten Island's sort of
10	taken some steps to address that issue. Is MTA
11	taking any steps to address fare beating in the
12	other boroughs or the other outer boroughs?
13	LOIS TENDLER: We appreciate the
14	issue, and we're in the process, I think you know,
15	Councilwoman, putting together a comprehensive
16	citywide approach to tackling the problem, we're
17	just not there yet.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Oh, okay.
19	And then my last question is, you know, my
20	constituents really like the QR and the texting,
21	you know, at the bus stops to see the status of
22	the bus. Are there plans to expand that program?
23	LOIS TENDLER: It'll be in all
24	buses in all boroughs by the end of 2013, we're in

the process of--next up is the Bronx, so we're

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 62
2	working on installing it on all Bronx routes now.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And this is
4	just really absolutely last. Absolute last.
5	[Laughter]
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: This is the
7	last after the last last.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Yes, I'm
9	sorry.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You've had a
11	lot of lasts here, Councilwoman.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I'm following
13	the Peter Koo School of Last Questions
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I noticed, I
15	noticed.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: It's an outer
17	borough thing, it's an outer borough thing. You
18	know, I just
19	[background noise]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE:I just want
21	to All right, only 8% of Staten Islanders use
22	public transportation, is there any plan to
23	incentivize getting Staten Islanders on the buses
24	and making it so that it's worth their while to do
25	so?

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 63
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Good question,
3	8%?
4	PETER CAFIERO: Well as
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [Interposing]
6	Thank you, Chair.
7	[Crosstalk]
8	PETER CAFIERO:I think obviously
9	we
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Good question.
11	PETER CAFIERO:our goal is to
12	get more people throughout the city to use public
13	transit, and over time, I think the numbers in
14	Staten Island have continued to grow. I think we
15	have a higher market share going to Manhattan,
16	where it's somewhat insane to take any other means
17	to go, but we certainly as, I guess the theme of
18	this hearing is, have over the years have enhanced
19	the service across the bridge into Brooklyn, the
20	local service, and will continue to look at ways
21	within Staten Island to focus on some of the newer
22	developments and newer regional centers there. We
23	were able to get service into Bricktown Mall on
24	the south end of the island and continue to look
25	at the other areas. Obviously, again, we've been

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 64
2	in very constrained financial situation but that
3	doesn't mean we don't think and plan for the
4	future so
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I can't say
6	anything else, thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, no, you
8	can't. No, but I do want to say that that figure
9	is astonishing that only 8% of Staten Islanders
10	use public transit, that is an astonishing figure.
11	So I think we have our work cut out for us.
12	Council Member Ulrich.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: How much
14	time do I got?
15	[Crosstalk]
16	LOIS TENDLER: That's the scary
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I, you know
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Come on,
19	Lois.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Do you mind?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: I'm just
22	kidding.
23	[Off mic]
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I made a
25	mistake, it's Council Member Ignizio is next.

I just want to start off with setting the stage of I have a difficult scenario, Mr. Chairman, because I have what's called a

23

24

25

б

developing district, so you would never say we're
not going to fund the subways this year, you would
say, though, that we're not going to add
additional service to developing communities and,
thereby, they never get the service that they
rightfully pay for, which is what the rest of the
city pays for in terms of tax dollars or capital
dollars which are spent. When we talk about
multibillion dollar plans, we're always talking
about where? We're always talking about Manhattan
and that's why I appreciate this hearing, we're
talking about East Side access, we're talking up
in the seven area extension, we're talking about
Second Avenue, we're talking about going to
Secaucus, New Jersey, to Giants stadium, but we
never really get to servicing the rest of the
needs of the communities that I represent and
that's something that I wanted to address here
today.

What we did in terms of our express buses are really, really necessary, what we did, we noticed extremely long commute times and the bus would take a tour around Staten Island, it'd be 40 minutes to get on the bus, you would take a

2	tour around Staten Island, and then it would go to
3	Manhattan. But one time thing I want to applaud
4	President Prendergast and applaud the New York
5	City Transit for working with us, is we created
6	the X22 Limited, which is limited stops and it's
7	an advanced and enhanced ride to Manhattan which
8	has saved commuters about 30 minutes on their
9	commute, which is major. That's sort of the thing
10	that I want to investigate or look into more with
11	you all. Like the X19 is one that I had
12	mentioned, and my other colleagues that utilize
13	the express bus is you can save your commuters a
14	lot of time if you're willing to sit down with the
15	New York City Transit and negotiate without
16	enhanced money options, ways of reducing the
17	commute. Is that still available? Can we still
18	sit down and talk about additional limited routes?
19	LOIS TENDLER: Yes, the short
20	answer is yes, anytime, we're happy to talk about
21	any suggestions you or any of your colleagues
22	have. You know, as we worked long and hard on
23	that, Councilman, and as you know, it was not just
24	chicken and egg, but it was like winners and
	1

losers and trying to balance out--

2	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yeah.
3	LOIS TENDLER:who was going to
4	be the winner saving 30 minutes time, as to who
5	might be the losers getting on a bus they can no
6	longer fit on
7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Right.
8	LOIS TENDLER:because we did it
9	as a zero sum, we didn't add any money.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yeah.
11	LOIS TENDLER: That and we're still
12	in that position, zero sum. But that being said,
13	we're happy to chat with you or anyone about
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay.
15	LOIS TENDLER:things like we did
L6	with X22.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay. And
18	you know, see if I can get an update on this, you
L9	know we have an absolute falling down Nassau train
20	station, which is the MTA had a meeting when I had
21	more hair and it was darker in 2007, saidI'm
22	sorry, 1997, said they would issue a design build
23	contract which would begin in 1999 and it would be
24	done by 2003, and here it is almost 2013 and
25	there's still a dilapidated, falling down Nassau

2	station. I know we passed a five-year capital
3	plan recently and I know our colleagues in the
4	state, quite frankly, I don't think do enough with
5	regards to our infrastructure needs in the city,
6	can you enlighten me as to what's going on with
7	the Arthur Kill Road Station?
8	LOIS TENDLER: Okay. As you know,
9	the plan had been, continues to be to shutter
10	Atlantic and Nassau stations, both lightly used
11	and dilapidated, and replaced with a new Arthur
12	Kill station
13	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes,
14	ma'am.
15	LOIS TENDLER:that was in the
16	unfunded part of our capital plan, in March the
17	legislature approved the plan amendments so that
18	job is now funded. We have a letter from you,
19	Councilman, I am just waiting to firm up some
20	dates for you, but it's all good news.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Oh, great,
22	okay. See, Mr. Chairman, you never know, you come
23	to a hearing and you get a train station. That's

One of my concerns, I was in a

great news.

25

24

25

faded and--

2	different part of the city, I was in the Bronx
3	yesterday and one of my concern wasand this is
4	probably a DOT questionwas in the SBS lane, it
5	was, I guess, originally painted a beautiful shade
6	of red and, quite frankly, now it looks like
7	almost graffiti on the floor with it all scraped
8	up and it just doesn't look nice. My concern is
9	we're getting this on Hylan Boulevard and my
10	concern is the same thing would apply, that you
11	would end up starting with a new, pristine
12	MALE VOICE: Right.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:nice red
14	lane that says bus and it looks good and then two,
15	three, four years later, it just looks like street
16	graffiti, for lack of a better word.
17	BRUCE SCHALLER: Right. That's a
18	very good question and it's also our concern, and
19	I know Transit's concern as well, that we want to
20	keep that red lanethe terra, the pretty terra
21	cotta looking its best.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:

[Interposing] It did look nice, but it looked

BRUCE SCHALLER: So this is one

area that we really have learned a lot, and what	
we've learned is there's a spectrum of how long	
the red paint lasts depending on the pavement.	
Red paint does worse on old concrete and that's	
what you have on Fordham Road is you have	
concrete's been there years and years and years	
and you put the red paint and it doesn't have the	
adhesion that we'd like to see. Red paint does	
best and lasts longest when we put it on fresh	
asphalt and so aboutwell a portion of the red	
lane that's going down on Hylan will be on fresh	
asphalt, we are doingwe are repaving where we're	5
doing the red. We are also, to sort of tackle	
this problem more broadly is we did a study with	
Penn State to test a variety, we did a outreach to)
the industry that manufactures this type of	
product and we tested each of the alternatives to	
come up with the best combinations, depending on	
the surface that we're dealing with, and so we'll	
be looking to, sort of, take the results from that	-
and apply them going forward to hopefully have the	5
red paint last longer on different surfaces.	

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:

[Interposing] Now is there a maintenance program

2	though to come after the initial put down of this
3	paint, that they would come back and, I don't
4	know, repaint it, fix it, make it look
5	BRUCE SCHALLER: [Interposing]
6	Right, and so we
7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:nice
8	again or
9	BRUCE SCHALLER:right, so we're
10	looking to establish a maintenance cycle on this,
11	this becomes a funding issue in terms of having
12	the funding to do that.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Right, but
14	I'd rather not have the paint at all than have the
15	paint put down, have it get dug up over the years,
16	and it look like, as I said, street graffiti where
17	it just doesn't lookit looks unsightly. So I
18	mean, that's my concern that I have to put to you,
19	that if it, you know, then just put white bus lane
20	markings and don't paint the whole thing red if
21	ultimately it's going to look terrible in two,
22	three years time and without the funding of a
23	maintenance item that you guys say you don't have
24	the funding for.

BRUCE SCHALLER: Sure, that's

something that we can look at. I think there's a

huge value to having the red paint as we

inaugurate the SBS service, we've seen--and

frankly, this was a surprise to us when we first

implemented the red paint, we saw drivers staying

7 out of that lane much better than we've seen in

8 any other bus lane--

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:

[Interposing] Yeah, but with all due respect, your drivers or the goal there, the SBS buses, they come, they go, and they park their cars and they go back to their communities. So there's a value to having it so that people don't go into it.

There's a negative impact, an unsightly, aesthetic impact, to a community that has to live with that for years to come, as my colleague, Chairman Vacca has to in his community and throughout communities around the city when they fade and look unsightly. So that's a concern of mine on the--listen, I've been somewhat of a critic of the original proposal of SBS that I told you guys wouldn't work, ultimately, I was right, it didn't work, you had to come back with this one, and my concern is we

don't put the SBS on Hylan Boulevardthat we put
the SBS on Hylan Boulevard, we put the red paint
down, and then a couple years from now, people are
going to say, what is the City doing, this looks
terrible, we spent so much time and money cleaning
graffiti up off buildings and now we have it on
our streets put there by our own City. So I think
there has to be a maintenance program, and if
there's not, then I don't want a red lane in my
district.

BRUCE SCHALLER: Right, well we will certainly continue to look to how best to extend the life and maintain it. I think we get a lot of benefit by sort of having the visibility there at the beginning and kind of retraining drivers as to what this is about. And also we very much do appreciate your support for the project that we have now and that we'll be implementing later in the fall, it's been very important to--

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay.

Thank you.

BRUCE SCHALLER: --moving this project forward.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you
3	very much. Mr. Chairman, I think this is
4	something that this Council ought take up, be it
5	in BNT, budget negotiating, be it in through the
6	Finance Committee or through your Committee that,
7	ultimately, we have to work with New York City
8	Transit, at DOT, MTA, whoever we have to to ensure
9	that we don't create a system whereby we have
10	streets that are somewhat painted originally and
11	then the maintenance is never there and we have
12	unsightly road conditions. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I agree. Thank
14	you, Council Member. Council Member Ulrich, now
15	you can go.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: We've been
18	joined by Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer, by the
19	way. Thank you.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: To my
21	right.
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: For once.
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: To my left.
25	Okay.

the first one so--

25

7	\neg
/	/

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION //
2	[Crosstalk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:
4	[Interposing] It's not, no, Merrick Boulevard was
5	the first. It was shot down.
6	PETER CAFIERO:looked at and
7	those are the heaviest corridors in Queens, so
8	those were at the top of the list, and the
9	community, for various reasons, and did not want
10	us to pursue that so we deferred those.
11	Woodhaven, we can certainly take a
12	look at
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:
14	[Interposing] Well Woodhaven is part of the
15	congested corridor study
16	PETER CAFIERO: Right, right.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:DOT has
18	identified it as
19	BRUCE SCHALLER: [Interposing]
20	Yeah, let me, and I believe it's on the list for
21	the phase II.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:
23	[Interposing] And I read it online what you've
24	[Crosstalk]
25	BRUCE SCHALLER: Right, so we did,

just to expand on Peter's answer, so from the study some years back, we then, instead of doing Merrick, we looked at downtown Jamaica, and I'm sure you're aware, we made changes, especially on Archer and Jamaica Avenues, that work will be completed over the next couple of months. The feedback we got from the community and we very much took to heart was the real congestion problem, the real slow bus, where the buses were being stuck in traffic was in downtown Jamaica more than as you moved out on the major avenues, major streets coming in.

In terms of the phase II study, we've been looking at Woodhaven as part of the congested corridor study, we very much have that on our list of corridors that we'd like to look at from an SBS standpoint. We also have a study underway looking at LaGuardia access that would benefit people going to LaGuardia from all over the city, including the neighborhoods immediately nearby LaGuardia as well as people working at LaGuardia. And so those are two areas, we're very much looking at Queens, I think, as--

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:

21

22

23

24

25

	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 19
2	[Interposing] Really been looking for four years,
3	that's the problem.
4	BRUCE SCHALLER:as we've worked
5	through this and really
6	[Crosstalk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:
8	[Interposing] For a while now.
9	BRUCE SCHALLER:and really, as
10	your colleagues from Staten Island reflected,
11	we've gone through a development process with the
12	community, kind of what works in different parts
13	of the city, and I think we got to the right place
14	in Jamaica, I think we will get to the right place
15	with respect to LaGuardia, we need to come back
16	and look at Woodhaven. We very much would welcome
17	your help in starting that conversation and seeing
18	what we might
19	[Crosstalk]

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:

[Interposing] I've offered my help for three years, DOT has done a number of public presentations about the congested corridor study in various communities throughout the, you know, throughout the stretch of the corridor, but it

б

just seems like I've been in office for three
years, my constituents are still sitting in
traffic, they can't even coordinate the lights,
never mind TSP, which would definitely be on
Woodhaven Cross Bay Boulevard, they can't even
coordinate the lights that are there right now,
it's just a traffic nightmare. And DOT, by its
own admission, has said that it is the most
congested corridor in Queens County and I just
have a, you know, a sore spot here when I read
about all the progress you're making on Hylan
Boulevard, on Fordham Road, on 34th Street in
Manhattan, but Queens is getting the shaft. It's
so easy, and it's not a question of funding, your
agency, you know, at our Finance hearing, you
know, is receiving hundreds of millions of dollars
to do all these wonderful experimental things and
all the people in my district are asking for is to
cut, you know, 15 to 20 minutes off their commute
home at nighttime, whether they're in cars or
buses, the buses are stuck in traffic too because
there are trucks that are using Woodhaven and
Cross Bay Boulevard as an alternate route to the
Van Wyck Expressway, for instance, for deliveries,

they're not supposed to be doing that, but there's
no enforcement. It's a real problem. It's a real
problem for people in my district, for people that
live in Councilwoman Crowley's district, for
people that live in Karen Koslowitz's district
because they all utilize Woodhaven Boulevard.
Whether they're on an express bus, a local bus,
sitting in a car and in the early morning and at
late at night, it's a nightmare and it takes
longer for people to actually traverse along
Woodhaven and Cross Bay Boulevard than it does for
them when they actually get on the LIE to go on
the BQE because the LIE has a dedicated bus lane,
you know, and a zipper barrier that allows people
in the HRV lane.

So it seems that DOT is very concerned about highways and about other boroughs, but when it comes to Queens, you're leaving people sitting in traffic and it's not fair. And to say that, you know, with no disrespect to you, that you're looking at it, you've been looking at it for three years, you know, we're living it. So you're looking at it and the people in my district are living it. Let's get BRT and TPS and all

2	these things going in Queens County and let's
3	bring it to Woodhaven and Cross Bay Boulevard.
4	BRUCE SCHALLER: Right, absolutely.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. All
7	right, we have no further questions, I would like
8	to thank this panel for your presentation and your
9	questionsyour answers to the questions. Many
10	questions still remain, but we thank you, of
11	course.
12	Our next panel, please, willI'm
13	sorry? I'm sorry. Thank you. Yes, please, I'm
14	just told the MTA will stay, and if DOT can please
15	have people stay to hear the other testimony, I
16	would appreciate that as well.
17	[Off mic]
18	Oh, okay. We have to take a five-
19	minute adjournment because we're going to have IT
20	come and set something up for one of our
21	presenters so we have to take a five-minute,
22	break, okay? Thank you.
23	[Off mic]
24	MALE VOICE: I'm sorry? They're
25	signed up.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 83
2	[Crosstalk]
3	MALE VOICE:testify, but it's
4	not going to be for a while. You have 15 people
5	who signed up so they're
6	[Crosstalk]
7	[Long pause]
8	MALE VOICE: 'Cause we've got
9	Metro-North now who's going to talk about a lot of
10	the Bronx stuff and then
11	FEMALE VOICE: We'll talk offline
12	[Crosstalk]
13	MALE VOICE:here, you know,
14	we've got Straphangers Campaign, you know, I mean,
15	it's like, Transit Riders Council
16	[Crosstalk]
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Seats, we're
18	now ready.
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
20	Find your seats.
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Who else?
22	There's two people. Oh, is that all? I thank
23	you. The Committee will now reconvene. We were
24	joined by Council Member Gale Brewer. I would now
25	like to introduce Mr. William Wheeler, MTA, and

б

2	he'	11	have	а	presentation.
---	-----	----	------	---	---------------

3 WILLIAM WHEELER: Oh, good, I'm on.

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Please

5 introduce yourself and your exact title.

WILLIAM WHEELER: Thank you, Mr.

7 Chairman. Good morning, my name is William

Wheeler, I'm the Director of Planning at MTA.

Thank you for having me here, thank you for the pause. I wanted to get this map up because I think that understanding the Penn Station Access project for Metro-North means understanding the regional rail network and, hopefully, it'll be helpful as we go through and talk about the project.

So I really appreciate the opportunity to be here to talk about the project today. I think the most important thing to start out with is to indicate that the central part of MTA's mission, even at the very beginning when it was created, was to establish a unified transport policy for the region. And I think the Metro-North Access to Penn Station project is a good example of that because this is a project that will be sharing the regional rail network with the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other railroads that provide access to and through the New York Metropolitan area. And as I talk more about the project, you'll appreciate the inter-relationships. But that's exactly MTA's job, one of its jobs, is to weigh the various uses of the rail network, for example, and optimize the rail network so that it meets the needs of all the parts of the region.

We want transit to be a way of life for our customers and for the regional residents and in many cases, it is today like never before, they're using the transit system and many parts of our system are at historic ridership highs. is quite extraordinary and I'll come back to this later. With the completion of Long Island Rail Road East Side Access project bringing Long Island Rail Road into a new terminal near Grand Central, MTA should, and is, evaluating how that multibillion dollar investment could leverage even greater benefits. Specifically--excuse me--is there an opportunity to create additional service links into Penn Station for Metro-North customers bound for the west side and also reverse commuting, and I'll come back to that in a minute.

by Metro-North and MTA today, and I'll come back to the actual project in a minute, but I wanted to talk for a little bit about Metro-North and about their reverse peak and the service to the various counties in the region, particularly the Bronx, it's quite extraordinary. I've been in the region since about 1972 and ridership from the Bronx to particularly reverse commuting, is one of the amazing, I think, developments in regional transportation that I've seen while I've been here.

Metro-North actually operates over 380 route miles, serving 121 different stations in seven counties, so it really is a regional network, but one of those counties and a very important county, particularly in terms of providing access to jobs and in reverse commuting, is the Bronx. East of Hudson service consists of the three lines—the Hudson, Harlem and New Haven lines—all of which operate out of Grand Central on the East Side of Manhattan and which serve 13 stations in the Bronx. In all, 235 Metro-North trains serve the Bronx each weekday.

2	From its inception in 1983and
3	it's interesting to note Metro-North is actually
4	one of the younger railroads in the United States,
5	developed in 1983, after the Conrail and the New
6	York Central dissipated its operation, so it's
7	relatively recent, and yet it's done some
8	extraordinary things with its ridership, I'll talk
9	about in a minute. Metro-North has identified the
10	need to provide more service to the Bronx,
11	particularly to provide residents access to jobs
12	in places like White Plains, Stamford, and
13	Greenwich and in other northern suburbs. The
14	Bronx has long been recognized also as a
15	destination for those living in the northern
16	suburbs for employment at major medical centers
17	and universities, as well as entertainment,
18	dining, and shopping.
19	I want to pause for a minute on the

I want to pause for a minute on the issue of employment. One of the fastest growing sectors in the region and nationally is health care. In fact, the two fastest are really health care and education. And with the--earlier the Chairman talked about travel between different parts of boroughs and not growing as opposed to

simply growing from the outer boroughs to
Manhattan. One of the major factors that
generates a lot of that travel is health care
employment, and I'm not just talking about
hospital complexes and medical facilities, but
elder care and other services that are directly
related to health care. As my generation, as our
generation is aging, the health care industry is
becoming more and more multidimensional, it's
branching out into the suburbs with satellite
offices, and those are employment opportunities,
and that is one of the factors the health care
industry that is generating so much of the travel
here today and the growth in travel in the New
York Metropolitan area and particularly from
borough to borough or within boroughs, not just
between the outer boroughs and Manhattan, as the
Chairman said a minute ago.

Metro-North has substantially increased its services to this growing demand in the reverse peak direction. For example, morning reverse peak service from Fordham to Stamford on the New Haven line has more than quadrupled since 1985, going from 3 trains to 13 trains. Fordham

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to White Plains nearly tripled from 5 trains to 14
trains.

And Metro-North has implemented intermediate fares and services to support that travel. An interesting example--I'll pause for another minute--if you board a New Haven train in the morning at Grand Central or if you board a train to White Plains from Fordham, they're some of the most heavily used services in the system, it's just extraordinary, and the growth just continues as there's more and more employment opportunities in the northern suburbs. again, it's not about the project or about the MTA or about the subway, it's really about the transportation as a means to an end. It's really about connecting people to jobs and cultural opportunities and so when we're talking about how to do that, that's really the goal, that's really the prize, can we connect more and more of the region's residents to these opportunities.

To further enhance the riding environment, Metro-North has invested more than 200 million since 2000 in rebuilding Bronx stations and facilities. Most recently, it's

restored the historic Fordham Station building and
in the process of widening the northbound
platforms to accommodate growth in the reverse
peak that I just mentioned a minute ago. And the
response has been really terrific. Approximately
8.1 million rides were taken to and from Bronx
stations last year. That's a three-fold increase
since 1985. Of these riders, about two-thirds
travel between the Bronx and the northern suburban
counties. This is the largest reverse commute
market that we know of in the United States, and
it's grown 150% since 1990. So it's really quite
interesting, it's something that I've talked with
and some of my colleagues who plan and other
transit systems around the country, which are now
starting to experience that, but nothing like here
in New York. And I think one of the ways we're
taking advantage of it is the regional rail
network that I'll talk about more.
So let me return to the idea of

So let me return to the idea of
Metro-North and access to Penn Station. We're
currently conducting an environmental assessment
to evaluate the introduction of the rail service
between Penn and the New Haven line, via Amtrak's

Hell Gate branch--and let me just turn around and follow this for a minute with my pointer. So basically moving down from the New Haven line onto the Hell Gate branch and then into Penn Station, or down the Hudson line, the West Side of Manhattan and into Penn. The interesting thing is that these connections exist today, the tracks exist today. Amtrak uses them on a regular basis, the New England service uses the Hell Gate branch, the Empire Service uses the branch down the Hudson line and on the West Side of Manhattan.

As part of the project and the planning, it's conceived of four stations being constructed in the eastern Bronx and two on the West Side of Manhattan. The four Bronx stations, currently the concept is near Co-op City, near the Morris Park Bronx Medical Center, which I'm very familiar with, Parkchester, and Hunts Point were all being served by New Haven trains. And the interesting thing about these is that when you look at it, they're on the far eastern edge of the commuting territory, so it's a terrific opportunity, if we can do it, to provide for these connections to this area of the borough, both as

destinations because, as I said earlier, places
like the medical complex, the Morris Park medical
complex, all the Albert Einstein and so forth,
growing very, very strongly, so that would be a
destination, or those stations being origins for
residents who live in the area to work either in
Manhattan on the West Sideand, as you know,
that's growing rapidlyor in the northern
suburbs, more reverse commute opportunities.

Both Manhattan stations on the West Side would be served by the Hudson line and accessible from the Riverdale station. One of the two Manhattan stations could be constructed well on West 125th Street, and the other between West 54th and West 57th, between 10th and 11th Avenues. The physical feasibility of doing that is something that's in the study right now, but they're interesting opportunities and, as I say, as you know, Amtrak operates down those lines today.

So Penn Station access would provide a number of benefits, just to resummarize, to the residents and the businesses of the Eastern Bronx. Rail access through new stations to

underserved areas, faster commutes to Midtown,
direct connections to the northern suburbs and
jobs, and just supporting economic activity that's
already underway in many of these potential
station locations. And that's the whole idea:
Making connections, providing links and
opportunities to work, to generate commerce, to
connect with cultural opportunities.

Manhattan residents and businesses would also experience numerous benefits. Easy access is provided between the Upper West--would be provided between the Upper West Side, West Harlem, and the Hudson Valley, particularly the station up at West 125th Street, which would support economic development plans in West Harlem.

Lots of growth going on. One of the things--let me just pause for--one of my jobs at the MTA is to study the region and the growth that's taking place, and New York, as you know, even though we've been through a very tough recession, it's rising, in some sectors at least, it's rising faster than other parts of the country and the growth is taking place in numerous areas and so, again, one of the means to the end of

connectivity is transportation, and that's what
this is about.

Penn Station would benefit the—
Penn Station Access would benefit the entire
region. Direct access would be provided to the
West Side of Manhattan areas within Metro-North's
East of Hudson territory for work and
discretionary travel. Customers on the New Haven
and Hudson lines going to Manhattan's West Side
would experience travel time savings of up to a
half-hour by eliminating the need for transfers.
There would be increased regional connectivity
easing transfers between Metro-North customers at
Penn Station to use the Long Island Rail Road, New
Jersey Transit, or Amtrak.

Penn Station, which I personally
have been studying for many years, and which I'll
refer to in my map, is an area that has over half
a million customers a day moving through this
station, that's one of the largest in the world,
it's certainly the largest in North America.

Hundreds and hundreds of train movements, and so
as we look at ways to merge Metro-North with those
train movements, it's really orchestrating the

movement of all these different railroads and all these different people into this station. But tremendous opportunities for connectivity, if we're able to succeed, because of all the other services that are already provided to Penn.

One of the benefits to Bronx and
Manhattan and the region's residents and employers
would be accomplished by using the existing
infrastructure to provide cost effective
transportation improvements while minimizing
adverse social, economic, and environmental
effects.

Let me pause on that for a moment. This is a project that doesn't require new tunneling and new routes, essentially. We're trying to take advantage of the existing network. So what that provides in terms of benefits is it provides for a straightforward environmental process, but also the potential to have the costs for the project lower than the mega project costs that you're used to in the other projects—the billions and billions of dollars. We don't have really an estimate on the project yet, we're working on various aspects of how to build that

estimate, but the really irresistible thing about it is that's using the existing network, so the costs would be concentrated in stations and rolling stock as opposed to in tunneling and completely new rail rights of way.

So as part of the overall study, we're also looking at--let me come back to this again--the impact of these services on Penn Station today. Penn has more 1,200 daily train movements. One of the things that we are doing is we're looking at and simulating the movement of various trains into Penn Station with the new service. Accounting for, of course, completion of East Side Access, which will bring considerably more service from the Long Island Rail Road part of the region into the Grand Central area. Sort of understanding the back and forth, the balancing between all those services so as to understand how we could take advantage of this opportunity and serve Penn from the existing rail network.

It's quite complicated, there's lots and lots of interested railroads in this. My counterparts, who I deal with on a regular basis at Amtrak and New Jersey Transit and Long Island

Rail Road, all are very interested and, obviously
am participating with us in this evaluation. But
the payoff, if we're successful, it would be an
extraordinary new set of connectivity
opportunities for the region

So at this point, I'm going to stop, leave the map up. Thank you for waiting while we set this up, and I'd be happy to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. And I'd like to mention we've been joined by Council Member Garodnick.

The borough president in the Bronx, Ruben Diaz, and I have made this a priority for our borough. Let me talk to you about this last paragraph where you talk about the key to the possible timing of the implementation of Metro-North service to Penn Station is the initiation of LIRR service to Grand Central Terminal, which is currently scheduled for 2019. So are we saying that this is not feasible until 2019?

WILLIAM WHEELER: I think you really can't implement this kind of--you can't introduce this kind of new service into such a

2	complex rail network without having an additional
3	dynamic through East Side Access. In fact, when
4	you look at the East Side Access documentation
5	that goes back more than 20 years actually, you'll
6	see that that was the first time that this idea
7	was actually introduced. In other words, when it
8	was assumednot assumed, but when it was planned
9	that there would be relief to the regional rail
10	network into Grand Central, it was at that point
11	it created a platform to consider additional
12	access through the network into Penn Station from
13	the Metro-North network. We think
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But 2019 is
15	WILLIAM WHEELER: Well, Mr.
16	Chairman
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:even that
18	seems a question mark
19	WILLIAM WHEELER: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:because I
21	know that these deadlines come and go
22	WILLIAM WHEELER: Right.
23	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:but this
24	seems to me to be too long for us to wait.
25	WILLIAM WHEELER: Well

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing] I
hope you put it in context. Let me tell you how
people in the Bronx feel, people in the Bronx have
had these Metro-North stations in place all these
years and those trains never stopped in the East
Bronx and they passed us by

8 WILLIAM WHEELER: Amtrak trains.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The Amtrak

10 trains.

WILLIAM WHEELER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: They've passed up by. So the stations have been there, the tracks have been there, but all these years, if you live in Co-op City, for example, you have to get a bus to the train and then the train to Manhattan when you have Metro-North right behind your building in Co-op City. So therefore, there is this resentment and now to be told 2019 at least means that we in the Bronx really don't have any hope for the foreseeable future? You know, that's unacceptable to us.

WILLIAM WHEELER: Well when you think about it, even though I've made the project sound relatively simple, it'll take a number of

years just to develop all the infrastructure
necessarythe stations, the rolling stock. And
remember that, going back to what you said a
minute ago, Amtrak, Amtrak runs a very steady
service through that area, as you pointed out, and
it's growing dramatically. In fact, some of the
Amtrak is at record levels for ridership on the
northeast corridor, they have never carried as
many people as they're carrying today. So one of
the components of the project is to knit this
service into the Amtrak right of way signal
systems, the physical right of way, if you will,
and that is ait involves engineering, it
involves contracts, and lots of additional
activity that will take some time, along with
developing the rest of the facility.

So when you think about it, it's several years from now, 2019, but when I think about the projects that I've looked at, you know, the mega projects, this is actually quite a--what would I call it--this is quite unusual for a project of this size to have those kinds--to have that timetable be as short as it is. So even though it is--and I realize there's lots of

is now in progress.

interest and I appreciate that, I think we have to
be realistic about the regional rail network and
being able to balance it out with all of these
different services.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Are we still talking about \$400 million? Is that the cost?

WILLIAM WHEELER: No, I'm not here to quote a cost, there might have been some initial estimates several years ago in the study, I think we still have to get through all of the analysis to understand all the various components to the project and then we'll put a cost to it.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So an analysis

WILLIAM WHEELER: Right. Right, and an environmental assessment due to be completed end of next year, that includes the simulation that I spoke to you about earlier, but it's--one of the things that I've noticed in my career in this region, and it's been over 30 years, is that I think it's prudent for everyone to understand that we as people that work in the transportation field should be giving you thoughtful cost estimates, and for me to say it's

going to cost this amount of money right now when
we're still in the analysis would not be
appropriate, it's much better to say these are the
components, we think it'll be a lot more
reasonable than the traditional mega project
because it requiresit doesn't require the new
tunnels and other very extraordinary components of
a traditional mega project, so it should be less
expensive, but then to end it there and say that
and we'll be coming out with a more firm estimate
when we complete our work.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So we have not identified funding sources or anything like that. We're not at that--

WILLIAM WHEELER: [Interposing]
What we've done is we've done the project in a way
that makes it eligible for a variety of different
federal funding sources. That takes a lot of
work, it takes a lot of consultation with the
federal funding agencies, particularly the federal
trans administration, so we've been very careful
to do that so we're able to line that up.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And you state as a certainty that East Side Access must be

finished before this can take place.

WILLIAM WHEELER: I would say the following because I'm more of a planner than anything else, is that to me, the best way to optimize this project and optimize the real regional rail network is to have the--is to be able to take advantage of East Side Access. So that's what I would say. That's a planner answer.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can we do that without, can we have this service without?

WILLIAM WHEELER: I wouldn't want to speculate about that, I would say that—let me go back to what I said earlier about Penn Station, 1,200 train movements in a day, all right? And growing rapidly, all the railroads are growing rapidly, and really to put your best foot forward, you want to be able to take advantage of new links in the network like East Side Access.

So I'm sorry, I realize that's a complicated answer, Mr. Chairman--

[Crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [Interposing]

No, it's not that complicated, I mean, it's just that I was hoping for a better timetable and I

Thank you.

2	DAVID GILES: So the first line on
3	my testimony is a mistake, it's not a commentary
4	on how long I thought this would last, it says
5	good afternoon. Is this on?
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes. Is your
7	mic on? No.
8	DAVID GILES: No, it's not.
9	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Press the
10	button, introduce yourself for the record.
11	[Crosstalk]
12	MALE VOICE:is on. You just
13	turned it back off. Press the [off mic]. Here
14	you go.
15	DAVID GILES: Hello? Okay. My
16	name is David Giles and I am the Research Director
17	at the Center for an Urban Future, an independent
18	policy institute that publishes studies about how
19	to grow and diversify New York's economy and
20	expand economic opportunity.
21	In February 2011, the Center
22	published a study which found that New York City's
23	public transit service has not kept pace with
24	recent job growth and commuter trends in Brooklyn,
25	the Bronx, Queens, and Staten Island. Our report,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

titled, Behind the Curb, documented that a growing number of New Yorkers are commuting to work outside of the two Manhattan Central Business Districts. We found that over the past two decades, in every borough outside of Manhattan, the number of workers who commute to jobs across their own borough or to a neighboring borough or county has been growing much faster than those who make the more traditional trip into Midtown or Downtown Manhattan. So for example, between 1990 and 2008, the number of Bronx residents who travel to Queens or Westchester County for work grew by 38% and the number who travel inside the borough jumped by 25%; in the same period, the number commuting to Manhattan increased by just 13%. number of Staten Island residents who travel to work in their own borough increased by 32% in that time, while those going to Brooklyn or New Jersey increased by 22%. During the same period, the number of Staten Islander commuters were traveling to Manhattan for work increased barely at all, 4%, in those 18 years. The number of Brooklyn residents crossing the border to Queens grew 32% between 1990 and 2008, while the number going to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Manhattan increased by 13% in the same period.

As we show in our report, these trends are evidence of a dramatically changed economic geography in New York. Hard as it is to believe, outer borough job centers like East Flatbush, Sunset Park, Morris Park, Hunts Point, JFK, Flushing, and Jamaica have been growing jobs at a faster pace than Manhattan's two CBDs. fact, over the last ten years, Manhattan had a net loss of roughly 110,000 jobs, while each of the four other boroughs experienced positive job growth. Our report showed that the biggest reason for the boroughs have done so well over the last decade is their strength in two rapidly expanding sectors: Health care and education. Overall, between 2000 and 2009, New York City experienced an increase of almost 86,000 jobs in the health care industry and 32,000 jobs in education.

A vast majority of the health care jobs are located in the boroughs outside of Manhattan, at hundreds of rapidly growing outpatient clinics, doctors' offices, home health agencies, and hospitals. Many of the education sector jobs were also in the boroughs.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transit.

Despite the fact that transit ridership patterns have been shifting, with more 3 people working in the boroughs, the study found 4 5 that the Metropolitan Transportation Authority and New York City Department of Transportation have 6 not made the investments necessary to keep up with these trends. And the biggest losers in all of 9 this have been the city's working poor. New York's low income workers are enduring longer 10 11 commutes than ever and, in many cases, are cut off 12 from decent paying job opportunities because of 13 limited transit connectivity. Our report argues 14 that changes to the city's underperforming bus 15 system could improve the lives of the working 16 poor, while helping to sustain economic growth in

areas of the city that are poorly served by

New York's transit system wasn't designed for commuter trips to jobs within and between boroughs outside of Manhattan, and as a result, the city's median commute times have been rising steadily for decades. According to census data released last year, New York's four outer boroughs all lead the nation in median commute

б

Island.

times. For transit riders, they range from 52
minutes each way in Brooklyn to a barely
comprehensible 69 minutes each way in Staten

number of large outer borough employers who said that a lack of sufficient transit hurts their businesses. Among other things, it shrinks their labor pool and causes more turnover as disgruntled employees decide to leave rather than suffer through two hour commutes each way. The chief operating officer at SUNY Downstate Hospital in East Flatbush Brooklyn even told us that a lack of sufficient transit could cause the hospital to rethink its plans for expansion. Kings County and SUNY Downstate Hospitals are the second and fourth largest employers in the borough, he told us, but we get ignored.

Without question, the city should look at a diverse number of solutions to this problem, including expanded commuter rail, improved subway service, and even bike lanes. But if long commute times are ever going to be alleviated, the city's bus system will have to

play a big role as well. The report commends the MTA and DOT for starting to implement some promising changes, including new or proposed SBS lines in every borough but Queens and an expanded real time bus tracking program. But much more needs to be done. In particular, the MTA has so far been reluctant to break out of the existing bus network to create an essentially new BRT system that could attract more riders and increase efficiency. As a minimum, the agency should be looking for ways to link major outer borough job centers. For example, with such dense clusters of workers, an SBS line running from downtown Flushing in Queens, to downtown Jamaica, or even JFK airport, should be a no-brainer.

The MTA could also extend several existing SBS routes. For example the B46, which runs along Utica Avenue and Broadway in Brooklyn, could be extended across the Williamsburg Bridge to connect with the M15 at Allen Street; right now, the bus terminates on the Brooklyn side of the bridge, as does the proposed BRT service for that route. Similarly, the M15 along First and Second Avenues could be extended up Third Avenue

in the Bronx to connect with the Bx12 on Fordham
Road. To paraphrase a transit expert in our
report, the way things are now, the borders
between the boroughs are like real political
borders, you can't get across them. But if New
York is going to sustain job growth in the
boroughs and retain a truly world-class transit
system, solutions like these will have to be found
and implemented

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. And I thank you very much for your report. Your report, of course, is the basis for this hearing, and the work you did is significant. Let me ask you something, did you--I'm sure you did, but I have to ask this for the record--did you forward your report to New York City DOT and to the MTA?

DAVID GILES: Yes, we did.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Did you receive any response to any recommendations or any of your initiative suggestions?

DAVID GILES: We talked to several engineers in DOT in particular about some of these ideas. You know, they've expressed some concern

about, you know, these longer BRT routes, they say
they're harder to engineer, they're harder to
maintain and operate, but we've also talked to
other BRT experts and transit planners who, you
know, quite frankly, made themselves some of these
recommendations. We are not transit engineers or
transportation engineers, but we did rely on the
expertise of engineers for some of these
suggestions.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: My first remark when I saw your proposed extension of the Bronx 12 into First or Second Avenue was that that would be a very long route, so I don't know--

DAVID GILES: Right.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --I don't know if that is something people would use because it's so long, what would be the time involved. So I mean, I did have some concerns, but on paper, the suggestion sounds good, but hot to implement it and whether it's feasible would be another--

DAVID GILES: [Interposing]

Obviously, that would be a big issue, and it's not something I can really speak to about, you know,

2	actually engineering that line. I can say that a			
3	very prominent expert on BRT systems made that			
4	very suggestion.			
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. It's			
6	something I'm going to talk to the MTA about.			
7	DAVID GILES: Okay.			
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I mean, that's			
9	one thing. Also, the B46 along Utica Avenue could			
10	be extended across the Williamsburg Bridge? How			
11	much of an extension is that? How			
12	DAVID GILES: [Interposing] It's			
13	not very long.			
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It's not very			
15	long?			
16	DAVID GILES: No, it wouldn't be			
17	long distance wise, but of course, getting the bus			
18	over the bridge may present some complications.			
19	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What do you say			
20	when I tell you the train usage in our city is up,			
21	but bus usage is down? What does that portend or			
22	what does that mean to you and what does thewhat			
23	should we as policymakers be concerned about when			
24	I tell you that that's statistical?			
25	DAVID GILES: It's really not that			

surprising, actually. You know, buses, unlike trains, have to sit in traffic. When people are commuting, not into downtown Manhattan, where all the trains go, but into, you know, from one side of Queens to the other, from one side of Staten Island to the other, they typically take their cars. More people taking cars means more congestion, which also leads to slower buses. And if the buses are too slow, then people just aren't going to take them.

In our report, for instance, we interviewed a number of employees at a manufacturer in College Point called Crystal Windows and Doors, and this is a rapidly growing manufacturer. College Point is an incredibly hard place to get to by public transit it turns out, and they have a lot of Chinese immigrants working at this manufacturer, many of them live in places like Bayside and South Ozone Park, and it takes two hours for the people to get there by bus. So you could almost walk from Bayside faster than you could take a bus, it's no wonder that the buses are losing ridership. But it's not because the demand isn't there, that's for sure.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I could give
you the analogy that getting from the East Bronx
to Lehman College or Riverdale by bus is almost
impossible, you have to take three buses and,
therefore, when you do get jobs in other parts of
the borough, you find yourself really not able to
get there easily at all.

DAVID GILES: I agree. We actually, you know, we went out into the field in the Bronx and rode the Bx12 on Fordham Road, this was early one morning, and just to see the number of nurses and nursing assistants on that bus route trying to travel east-west was really pretty impressive. So a lot of people do it and a lot of people have trouble with it.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Your report really shed light on the fact that people are working outside of Manhattan more and more. Where do you see anyone responding? Where do you see any—have you seen any type of initiatives going on? Are you following up on this report with stakeholders in any way?

DAVID GILES: Yeah, we have, we followed up with a lot of advocates, you know, and

very much.

DAVID GILES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Our		
next panel Gene Russianoff, Straphangers Campaign;		
William Henderson, New York City Transit Riders		
Council; Jeffrey Zupan, Regional Plan Association;		
and Veronica Vanterpool of Tri-State		
Transportation Campaign.		

Okay. Veronica, if you want to go first and then Gene and then we'll do the panel, okay? Introduce yourself, please.

VERONICA VANTERPOOL: Good

afternoon, thank you. Oh, yes. Thank you. Good

afternoon, thank you, Chairman Vacca and Members

of the New York City Council Transportation

Committee. My name is Veronica Vanterpool and I'm

with Tri-State Transportation Campaign, and I'm

pleased to be here today to talk about expanded

transit service in the outer boroughs.

Some interesting trends have

defined the outer boroughs lately: Zoning changes

and cheaper real estate are spurring more

development in the Bronx and Brooklyn. We've

heard from David Giles at CUF that job growth in

the outer boroughs has outpaced job growth in

Manhattan; and fewer people outside of Manhattan

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

own cars and rely on buses, subways, and bikes to get around. One shared characteristic of this trend, however, has been the increased demand on the City's public transit system.

To accommodate this demand, the New York City DOT and the MTA have implemented several new transit initiatives, and one of the most successful by far is what we're talking about today, or one of the most we're talking about today, and that's Select Bus Service. In the Bronx, which was the very first corridor and the third busiest bus route in all of New York City, we've seen tremendous success along the Fordham Road corridor, the Bx12. We know that ridership has grown by 10% on that corridor and travel time has shrunk by 20%. That is the most significant travel reduction thus far of the three fully implemented bus rapid transit corridors or Select Bus Service corridors in New York City. And there's other statistics in the outer boroughs of Manhattan, for example, that support that same sort of trend of increased ridership and reduced travel time.

The success of these routes is

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

setting the stage for the next phase of SBS

corridors in the outer boroughs. Nostrand Avenue,

with 40,000 daily riders, is one of the busiest

routes in Brooklyn; the Bronx might soon see its

second SBS corridor along Webster Avenue, where

52,000 daily passengers ride buses; Staten Island

is primed to see a modified version of SBS on the

S79 route this fall.

SBS is one of the most flexible, it's one of the easiest, and most affordable ways of meeting this growing transit demand outside of Manhattan. With very little in startup costs, transit service can be expediently transformed for thousands of people daily. And since 2008, when the first SBS service went into effect in the Bronx, the needs of bus riders have been better prioritized than they ever have been. And what we need from our elected officials and of you all today in the Council, and of course, our state electeds, is continued support of the existing projects that New York City DOT and the MTA have plans, but also future projects. We know that, again, since 2008, we're seeing the needs of bus riders prioritized in a way that has never been

done and we need to see these sorts of projects move forward, we know that they are--they're certainly showing a lot of success that is measurable and bus riders are indeed very, very happy to see them.

And we need, you know, to keep these projects moving forward, we need support, political support for both capital and operating dollars-capital dollars to buy, you know, modern and efficient new buses, and the operating dollars to support expansion of service on these routes and pay for the day-to-day operating expenses.

There's just a few things that I want to point out. One of the things that you asked, Chairman, was about bus ridership being down in the outer boroughs. That is true to an extent, but what we've seen, according to New York City Transit's 2011 ridership statistics, is that seven routes in the Bronx and seven routes in Queens have actually seen significant growth in buses. And in Brooklyn, five routes have actually grown, and one route has grown by 46%, their ridership. So that just speaks to the intent of this hearing, looking at ways of expanding transit

access in the outer boroughs and we're seeing that, the statistics are certainly showing that bus ridership is growing and SBS is one wonderful way in meeting that demand.

And just on an interesting note,
Bill Wheeler pointed out that the SBS in the Bronx
is just about a, you know, he's thinking about
connecting it or there's been thoughts about
connecting it to First and Second Avenue. Talking
about coordination and working with other systems,
the SBS in the Bronx actually ends one mile from
the proposed Westchester County BRT system, and
we've heard Bill talk about how the Bronx and this
reverse commuting is increasing so significantly.
So just talking about beyond the boroughs and
exploring employment opportunities in the suburbs
as well is something to consider down the road.

And Tri-State supports the Penn
Station Access study, we think that's a wonderful
way of capitalizing on some of the potential for
rail service in the Bronx.

And lastly, I just want to point out that every transit user is a pedestrian and/or a bicyclist, and having infrastructure that

supports a safe pedestrian environment and a bike				
environment is important, especially with the				
launch of Bike Share. There's a unique				
opportunity to integrate into new public				
transportation, you know, stations locations				
existingor infrastructure for, you know, better				
pedestrian safetysidewalks, crosswalks, bike				
lanes, bike parking racks, et cetera.				

And just to close, we know that transit ridership nationwide has grown significantly, it's 5% in the first quarter of 2012, and we've seen that replicated in New York City's transit systems so we really need to capitalize upon this. The trend is upward with transit users or ridership and we need to really put the capital and operating dollars behind that to support that growth. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

Just for the record, could you clarify the onemile lots between the Bronx route and the

Westchester? I'd like to know it just for the record.

VERONICA VANTERPOOL: Yes, the SBS
12, the Bx12 route, which terminates along Fordham

	a little at 207 in the Bronx is actually just a			
	mile short of the proposed Westchester County bus			
	rapid transit system that will run along Central			
	Avenue, and Westchester County DOT is currently in			
	its development phase for a verythe corridor			
	along Central Avenue that runs through Yonkers,			
	White Plains, and Greenburg, the town of			
	Greenburg, so havingclosing that gap, that one-			
	mile gap could really deliver a lot of			
	opportunities for residents of Westchester who are			
coming into the Bronx, and then, again, Bronx residents who are commuting into Westchester. An				
	happening into, you know, at the municipal level			
	at both countiesWestchester County and then			
	Bronx Countyand the agencies involved.			
	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That will be			
	happening. I thank you.			
	VERONICA VANTERPOOL: Wonderful,			
	thank you.			
	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Gene?			
	GENE RUSSIANOFF: Good afternoon,			
	Mr. Chairman and Committee staff, I'm Gene			
	Russianoff with the Straphangers Campaign.			

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the subways.

I'm going to focus on two things
about boroughs outside of Manhattan and then
transit. The first is select bus service, which
will be pretty brief, since it's been a big topic
of this hearing, and the second is the expansion
of a program called FasTrack, which is repairs to

You know, I think it's amazing to be at a hearing where a Queens City Councilperson complains about not getting access to select bus service, we've come a long way from SBS being eyed warily by many in the political world to something that's desired and is a great improvement to customers. Well when done right and when combined with sensitivity to curb access and parking for businesses and customers, it can greatly improve the quality of life of many neighborhoods. And like the other groups who will speak, we strongly favor the SBS projects that are in the can that are currently under construction or about to be construction and City DOT has a list of phase II possible corridors, in my testimony is the address for the site and I think it's a good thing. And I think Council Members should advocate as strongly

2 as they can for these kind of improvements in 3 their district.

And one thing to say is, you know, you were complaining about the pace of the regional rail. Well, you know, this is a frustration about SBS, it's moved at a steady pace, but I've become--I and I think other groups have come to appreciate the need to listen to communities, most vividly demonstrated by the M34 where the issue of curb access for deliveries and for pickups with paratransit and with other concerns is legitimate. And so I think that project improved because of the exposure to community concerns and elected officials' concerns.

And the second point I want to make is about FasTrack. FasTrack is the repair program when New York City Transit has closed several subway line segments in the central business district in downtown Brooklyn between 10 o'clock at night and five in the morning for four weeknights each on a quarterly basis. Yeah, the program has a lot of advantages, which we list: It's improved worker safety, it's made it more

efficient, there have been fewer breakdowns and					
train delays because of the changes, and we share					
those concerns. But New York City has put out a					
list of segments outside the CBD for possible					
FasTrack treatment in 2013. These routes have					
fewer alternatives, as do the ones that have been					
done recently in the central business district.					
We're not opposed to FasTrack, we think it has a					
lot of value, we just think your Committee should					
be asking tough questions of the transitof New					
York City Transit about what kind of hardships the					
riders on these lines, and they're listed in my					
testimony. For example, the Broadway NQNR from					
Long Island City to downtown Brooklyn and in					
Washington Heights from 168th Street to 207th					
Street and so on and so forth. And so these lines					
don't have the same rationale that the, let's say,					
the Lexington in midtown to lower Manhattan at					
which is that you could easily take the R train as					
an alternative.					

So I just think--and I've listed some questions, and I think you should ask the transit officials about what the impact is. And they're going to move these in next year in 2013,

2	so it's timely to ask what kind of hardships will
3	the riders have to bear under FasTrack done more
1	in the outer boroughs.

And the very last thing, you know, one of the success stories, you know, and a lot of these issues are so very local, one of the success stories is that, while preparing what's called the Culver Viaduct, the F train line in Brooklyn, they tacked on five additional stops to the southern end of the G, so now it used to stop at 4th Avenue and 9th Street and now it goes all the way to Church Avenue. And they've said they've not made up their mind about whether that's going to be a permanent change or not. But I just want to celebrate it as some—one of the things the Transit Authority has done that has improved local transit greatly.

Anyway, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Mr. Russianoff, thank you.

Sir, would you introduce yourself, sir? Do you want to go next?

25 WILLIAM HENDERSON: My name is Bill

Henderson, I'm with the New York City Transit				
Riders Council. And, you know, I'd like to start				
out just about five years ago, in 2007, our				
umbrella organization, PCAC, the Permanent				
Citizens Advisory Committee to the MTA, did a				
report on access to, mainly to Manhattan from some				
of the outer boroughs areas that are underserved.				
Unfortunately, we haven't seenI think my chair				
is about to, you know, a little bit of movement				
thereunfortunately, we haven't seen things get				
markedly better in the intervening five years.				
What we've seen on SBS, while it's very much a				
credible project or creditable program, its				
improved existing transportation networks are not				
really added new links that are needed to bring				
people in from historically underserved areas.				

Unfortunately, there is not any perfect or easy answers to solving the problem of bringing people from areas that don't have the public transportation service to where they need to be. We think that the solution lies in breaking down a lot of divisions and silos between services and agencies and getting people to think of this as a network. A lot of the potential

the underserved areas in the outer boroughs involve two--potentially two services, maybe a commuter rail and then transit bus or transit subway service. We need to look at this as a network and have those pieces of network work together for this to be successful. We believe that commuter rail lines are an essential part of the solution, and we've heard some discussion of that today with the Metro-North lines to the Bronx and adding stations and getting access to New York Penn Station.

Another roadblock that we found in terms of using commuter rails though is the fare structure. We have a fare structure that actually almost discourages, actively discourages city residents from using the commuter rails to travel in the city--it's very expensive. We have a situation out in southeast Queens where people who live in close proximity to LIRR stations actually will take either a dollar a bus or even a dollar van to access the subway network because it's so expensive for them to travel via LIRR service.

And as a result of there not being the demand at

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those prices, the LIRR service is not there in a 2 convenient or a timely manner that it could be 3 otherwise.

> One of the things we've proposed to deal with this is what we term a freedom ticket-providing a fare within the MTA system that would allow people to use whatever part of the MTA-whatever MTA service is available that makes sense for them to reach their destination at a fixed cost to go from one point to another. We think that's critical, and one of the things that could support this is some of the fare technology improvements that the MTA is making in its planning. It's just critical for people who are at Rochdale Village, for instance. They have a train station right there outside their door to not have to get on a city bus or not have to get on a dollar van to go to Jamaica to--and, you know, when they can take an LIRR or train straight into Penn Station.

> One of the other points that I wanted to make is that, if we're going to maintain service to some of the underserved areas, maintaining the budget levels and the funding for

the MTA is an absolute must. Unfortunately, the easiest way to cut budget in the MTA is to cut bus service. You know, you don't save much money by cutting subway service because most of your investment is in terms of capital, you've already put it in the ground. Bus service, you're spending money on gas, on operators, on maintenance every day. If you don't have the money there and you have to make the tough decision to cut \$50 million, it comes out of bus service and it usually comes out of bus service in the underserved areas. So we have to be very careful to make sure that the MTA and New York City Transit is adequately funded.

The other thing I wanted to point out is some of the things that the MTA is doing in terms of information technology can really improve, if not the service itself, at least the riders' perspective and the riders' experience in service, sometimes it can allow you to make connections that you wouldn't have made otherwise. One of the things that's very important is that the MTA continue to push out a lot of the data that it collects through some of the automated

systems that are coming online and make that available to app developers, who then can use that in ways we probably haven't thought of right now, but can use that in routing applications that you could have on your smartphone and can allow you to plan trips on-the-fly. If you can do that, you can knit together the pieces of the network more effectively, and if you have a unified fare structure that allows you to use each of those pieces, that's a home run for a lot of people who are in underserved areas. You know, also within the system, technology is tremendously important.

Traffic signal prioritization for buses, improve signal systems for subways can really reduce travel time, allow us to put a lot more throughput through the system. We've seen that on 34th Street, the tremendous decrease in travel time from end to end that has occurred just with the improvements that have been made so far.

You know, this really requires improved coordination between the City and the MTA, and within the MTA. I think we're on the right track, but we need to do more. This is just the SBS things we've done so far are just a start.

б

CITA TO DOD COM	777 007 .	m1 1-	
CHATRPERSON	VACCA.	HIIAHK	V()[].

3 Sir?

name is Jeffrey Zupan, and I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak to the Council today.

I'm a Senior Fellow for Transportation with

Regional Plan Association—excuse me—and we're a not—for—profit civic group that has been planning and advocating for sensible growth in the tri—state region since the 1920s.

I understand the focus today is on making faster progress on the Special Bus Services and BRT, and on creating more opportunities within the outer boroughs using the commuter rail system-Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road. We are supportive of faster action on both of those fronts.

In 2009, we issued a report, it seems like everybody else who's at this hearing today has issued a report. Well ours was called, Tomorrow's Transit, and we, like what we've heard before, we urged steps, we urged these steps and others to improve the city's transportation system, particularly within and between the outer

boroughs. And particularly for people who do not
have cars available to them and where their public
transit is very poor. There's a couple of maps in
your testimony that shows where people are poor,
have no cars, and have poor transit and you'll
notice it's largely in the order boroughs and
largely in the outer portion of the outer
boroughs. And the second map shows where there's
no subway service or express bus service to
Manhattan, which is also remains important. Our
full report is available at RPA.org, it's about
150 pages, and, obviously, can't go over all the
details here today. So I want to make a few
general comments about SBS and commuter rail in
the boroughs and spend a little time on another
proposal to help mobility in the boroughs.

On SBS, we hope to see the MTA and the City identify other corridors where SBS could do the most good for the most people. Perhaps most importantly, the MTA could--and this is a different idea here, it's very important--the MTA could create a more widespread system of fast buses, not by taking line by line by line with SBS, as they are doing, which is sometimes very

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 difficult to do, but with two actions:

Acceleration of the purchase of low-floor buses which makes it easy for people to get on and off, including many with three doors that speeds boarding and alighting, and the wider use of proof of payment systems like on the SBS systems to enable riders to quickly enter and leave through these multiple doors. So what we see is the need to accelerate the purchase of low-floor buses and

contemplating proof of payment systems.

Just as we think about different BRT or SBS lines, we can begin to do this in a more widespread way. And particularly encouraging people to leave not by the front of the bus. Ιt was very disquieting, I was on a 14th Street crosstown today and the message said please leave by the back of the bus, and it was a lightly traveled bus and it came to the next stop right after the announcement, three people who were sitting right near the door in the back of the bus walked to the front of the bus. So what does that do? What that means is someone waiting to get on the bus can't get on until these people leave so that means the bus is sitting there longer. Ιf

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you multiply this by many times, and you have slower buses. And it seems to me, this is a very difficult thing to do because people for some reason feel more comfortable leaving by the front of the bus even if they have to walk up to the front of the bus, but I think there needs to be a campaign to overcome that.

Now regarding commuter rail, there are shorter term and the longer term actions, and I think you're rightfully concerned about the longer term action that now looks like it's 2019. But there are shorter term things that can be done in the Bronx with lines exist not waiting for new services to exist and stations that exist at Mott Haven, Melrose, Morrisania, East Tremont, Highbridge, University Heights on the Hudson and Harlem lines. And as Veronica pointed out and I think Bill did as well, there's a problem with fares and there's a problem with service, and as a result, people are not encouraged to use those lines, even if they stop in their neighborhoods. And of course, the longer term action deals with the Penn Station Access project that we spoke about. And also, this can be done in Queens with

2 more stops and lower fares.

attention to--finally, I want to call your attention to a proposal we have called Triboro Rx--excuse me--which runs through Brooklyn, Queens--would run through Bronx, Brooklyn, and Queens from Bay Ridge all the way to Yankee Stadium, connecting the three boroughs. And the most important thing about that--and you can see it on the map and my testimony--is this line would intersect virtually all of the radial subway lines in the system. So if you get on the subway, you can transfer this line, well if you're in this line, you can transfer to a subway line and reach many different locations and neighborhoods throughout New York City.

I went to a website recently and they indicated over 300 neighborhoods in the city of New York. One of the things I would like to do is to count how many of those neighborhoods would gain from Triboro Rx, I suspect it's at least a third of them would gain greater mobility.

This project was first proposed by RPA in the nineties and was recently cited by

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Borough President Scott Stringer as a potential model for serving these areas. And it's not new right-of-way, we are hopeful of studying this proposal soon and we believe it could be a cost effective approach to serving the boroughs.

In our testimony, we list many more recommendations that were in our report at the end.

I just want to conclude by reminding everyone that none of these ideas, no matter how good, will be implemented without money. It takes lots of money just to keep the transit system we have in a state of good repair, much less improving upon them. While it's not the City Council that has the prime responsibility of finding these additional resources, it is the responsibility of your colleagues in the New York State legislature. To date, there has been little interest in creating added revenues for transportation. The mobility fee has been eroded, the revenues from fuel taxes are declining as cars get more efficient, and congestion pricing passed by this Council did not find sufficient support in Albany. Without the added revenues for transit,

2	a seat there too, would you just put a chair
3	somewhere and then don't worry, we'll get you too.
4	I'm going to ask Mr. Lucania to start off.

THOMAS LUCANIA: Thank you,

Chairman Vacca and Members of the Transportation

Committee and staff. My name is Tom Lucania and

I'm the Director of Community Boards and

Legislative Affairs for Borough President Ruben

Diaz Jr. And I wanted to thank you today for the opportunity to speak about the East Bronx Metro
North railroad access.

The idea of providing one-seat rail transportation to Co-op City, Morris Park,
Parkchester, and Hunts Point is the most dynamic,
and potentially the most important, transportation investment in the Bronx since the construction of the subways during the early 20th century.

Indeed, following the opening of the IRT subway lines in the Bronx during the 1920s, the borough's population increased by 530,000 residents. Thanks to the foresight and the tenacity of those who were responsible for this critical investment at that time, these subways made the Bronx one of the most prosperous counties in the nation and the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fastest growing borough in the city of New York.

Now nearly one century later, we are on the cusp of a project that, for the cost projected, has the potential of being one of the most cost effective and beneficial transportation endeavors for, not just the Bronx, but the entire region. As envisioned, Metro-North Railroad, using existing track infrastructure, would offer railroad transportation to approximately 160,000 Bronx residents who reside within one mile of the following locations: Co-op City, a community of 60,000 people; Morris Park, a community of 14,600 residents plus approximately 4,000 people working at the Hutch Metro Center and the Albert Einstein College of Medicine; Parkchester, a community of condominiums and residences approximately 40,000 residents; and Hunts Point, a community of approximately 46,000 residents and home to one of the world's largest food distribution markets, doing approximately \$2 billion in business annually.

In addition to the obvious benefits
Bronx residents would realize by having access to
Manhattan in less than forty minutes, for the

first time Bronxites would reach suburban
employment centers without reliance on a car.
Likewise, commuters to Westchester and Fairfield
counties could reach Bronx employment destinations
without the need for a vehicle. This saves energy
while reducing harm to our environment. The end
result is an improved quality of life for the
Bronx, along with increasing real estate values.

Finally, the suburban commuters who now reach Grand Central Terminal and then must transfer to subways for access to Manhattan's West Side, this new service to Penn Station will offer a choice similar to those now being made possible for Long Island Rail Road passengers who prefer Grand Central access. Combined, these two services would dramatically cut commute times, along with reducing overcrowding on our subways.

It should, therefore, come as no surprise that, when I convened a meeting with the Metro-North officials, community business, and those representing many East Bronx neighborhoods, a capacity turnout was realized, with everyone present expressing their strong support for Penn Station access. Similarly, when the Bronx office

of C	City Planning called a meeting at Fordham
Univ	versity to consider their study on Metro-North
serv	vices to various Bronx locations,
repr	resentatives from Stamford, Connecticut, joined
thos	se in the Bronx in support of East Bronx
rail	lroad transit.

The American Public Transportation
Association points out that for every \$1 invested
in public transportation, \$4 is generated; and for
every \$10 million invested in capital
improvements, 30 million in business is realized.

The Regional Plan Association says that real property values increase by 7% if located within one-half mile of a new railroad station and 5% within one mile. If the Bronx, and indeed the entire service region of the Metropolitan Transportation Authority, is to realize its full potential, both as a place to live and as a place of commerce, time efficient, cost effective, and environmentally sound transportation must be available. East Bronx railroad service satisfies every one of those prerequisites, therefore, making this happen is a top priority of my administration.

2	Thank	you
		_

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Mr.

4 Lucania. Sir, would you want to go next?

5 Introduce yourself, please?

ANTHONY CALLENDER: Yes, my name is
Anthony Callender and I'm representing the
Institute for Rational Urban Mobility. Thank you
very much, Chairman Vacca and the Committee on
Transportation.

Mobility, Inc, IRUM, is a New York-based nonprofit concerned with reducing motor vehicle use in dense urban places. IRUM recommends consideration of the following measures for making MTA's bus and rail system more attractive, particularly for non-Manhattan travel: One, convert the single trip MetroCard to a two-hour pass and restore the one-day pass. MTA's elimination of the two-fare zone and the introduction of unlimited ride passes greatly increased the utility of MTA's existing rail and bus network, however, the limitation of making only a single trip, whether for one bus ride and one train ride or for two bus rides, penalizes riders who must make more complex trips

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or who would find it difficult to make a stopover en route. The recent elimination of the one-day pass is a step backwards. The simplest way to correct this situation is to make all MetroCards time-based unlimited-ride passes for two hours, one day, seven days, or 30 days.

Two, revise and upgrade bus and subway service guidelines to allow higher levels of service. When service guidelines were introduced in the 1980s, they allocated service more uniformly based on observed levels of use. However, these guidelines need to be reexamined and recalibrated, taking into account other factors, like environmental consequences and economic impacts of service levels. The utility of the transit system for non-Manhattan travel is especially sensitive to service levels. Recent fare hikes that exceed inflation have resulted in sharp drops in bus ridership. MTA's service guidelines lead to further cuts in service, which result in further ridership declines, continuing an endless downward spiral.

Three, integrate fares and increase service on commuter rail lines in New York City.

The introduction of the CityTicket for weekend use has greatly increased ridership at Long Island Rail Road and Metro-North railroad stations in New York City. This ticket should be extended for weekday use as well and priced at current city MetroCard fares to fully integrate these lines with New York City buses and subways. This would eliminate the pricing penalty that limits the utility these rail lines have, especially for non-Manhattan travelers. Accompanying this fare integration should be significant increases in service, especially off-peak and on weekends.

Four, add new city-oriented regional rail service on Amtrak's Hell Gate and West Side lines. These Amtrak lines could host new regional rail services, benefiting parts of Upper Manhattan and the Bronx that are not well served by existing subway lines. In the near term, the convergence of these regional rail lines at Penn Station would greatly enhance transit operations for non-Manhattan travelers. We were very glad to see the, you know, a number of previous speakers had mentioned this and it seems to be, you know, a very active plan.

Number five, last and number five,
beginning planning for new rail service on little
used or disused rail lines in New York City. Rail
transit service could be put in place with
relative little capital investment on the Bay
Ridge Freight line linking Brooklyn, Queens, and
the Bronx, the Long Island Rail Road's Rockaway
Beach line in central Queens, and the North Shore
line in Staten Island. All three lines would be
useful for non-Manhattan travel. Planning should
begin immediately, since restoration will require
considerable community consultation.
Many of these measures can be

Many of these measures can be included in a package of fare changes and funding enhancements the MTA plans to consider at the end of the year. Now is the time for the City Council to be heard on these issues.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, sir.

Miss, would you introduce yourself? Thank you.

ELENA CONTE: Hello? Hi, I'm Elena Conte, I'm with the Pratt Center for Community Development. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Pratt Center works to create a more

sustainable and equitable city by working with low and moderate income communities throughout the five boroughs to empower them to plan for and realize their futures. Our transportation equity project has identified and pursued an agenda that's aimed at increasing the mobility and job access of the most transit dependent and at reducing the impacts of transportation infrastructure.

Over 750,000 New Yorkers now have commutes of over an hour in each direction every day. And two-thirds, almost 460,000 of them, are on their way to jobs paying \$35,000 per year or less. These New Yorkers are on the train, or on a bus and a train, or two buses and a train. And if you're a person of color, your commute is likely to be significantly longer. African Americans, Latinos, Asian Americans all have longer average commute times than their white counterparts—and this is documented more in the handouts that you have. Trips to work are now so long that they're undermining the lives of families and communities, as well as our whole economy.

Our transit system needs to expand

and improve in ways that are fast, cost effective
and that will serve the people who depend on it
the most. As we've heard today, right? The
current system was designed and developed to
transport people rapidly to lower Manhattan, yet
most working class New Yorkers live and work in
the same borough and need a system that serves
their reality. Manufacturing and service jobs,
when concentrated, are clustered in centers
outside of Manhattanand there's also an
attachment that identified some of those major
centers there for youand these are in places
like College Point, Queens, and Sunset Park,
Brooklyn. But generally, these jobs are more
dispersed across the five boroughs than the
professional jobs that are centered in downtown
Manhattan. Again, laborers and construction
workers, domestic workers, home health care aides
are all working at sites in every neighborhood
throughout the city, and the people who do this
work live overwhelmingly outside of Manhattan.
There has been a lot of discussion
about Select Bus Service today, so I'm going to

skip some parts and go to more recommendations.

2	So regarding Select Bus Service,
3	New York could have a state of the art bus rapid
4	transit network up and running, but it's not going
5	to take shape without the City Council's
6	leadership. I urge you to consider passing a
7	resolution in support of the Select Bus Service
8	program and its continuation after 2013 at an
9	increased pace of implementation and that
10	identifies priorities for implementation that are
11	based on improving equity, job access, and sound
12	transportation planning. Much of which you've
13	heard echoed today, but some priorities might be
14	connecting lower income neighborhoods that are
15	beyond the train network, especially public
16	housing; serving major job centers, such as JFK
17	Airport, the central Brooklyn hospitals, and the
18	Hunts Point Food Distribution Center; projects
19	that specifically reduce racial disparities in
20	commute times and that otherwise serve the most
21	transit dependent; and finally, increasing network
22	connectivity. Routes that cross bridges and
23	connect boroughs, especially on routes that avoid
24	Manhattan, have great potential to expand mobility
25	for working class residents.

23

24

25

There are two other main points of 2 recommendation. In particular, it would be 3 particularly valuable for the Council to think 4 5 about integrating transit into its land use and planning oversight. Although the hearing has 6 focused on transit mobility, it's important to remember that transit access is shaped by policy 9 and decision-making about other types of 10 transportation infrastructure. In many parts of 11 the city, especially lower income communities, 12 transit access is as much about pedestrian safety 13 to the bus stop or the train as it is about when 14 the bus or train arrives. Topics of City Council 15 oversight hearings for DOT should be aimed at, 16 one, ensuring that DOT prioritizes pedestrian 17 improvements in the communities that are the most 18 compromised by truck and car traffic and whose 19 residents are threatened by poorly designed and 20 dangerous intersections and proximity to highways. 21 An oversight hearing could also be

aimed at ensuring that DOT spends its planning funds fairly and does not reserve its big-picture sustainability planning for just the most affluent areas of the city. Shamefully, DOT has just short

circuited a federal Transportation Investment

Generating Economic Recovery, or TIGER, grant in
the South Bronx by refusing to do a full analysis
of all of the options that it initially promised
in the Sheridan Expressway Hunts Point study. The
\$1.5 million in federal funds should be expended
as were intended by taking a full look at the
Sheridan removal scenario, as well as the modify
and retain scenarios and carry them each to their
full conclusion for fair comparison.

And finally, funding. City Council must insert itself in the debate for a sufficient, ongoing funding stream for the MTA. Given the importance of transit to equalizing opportunity in the city, as well as to its economic and environmental health, it's essential that the City support the transit system through a budgetary contribution, as well as through identifying and championing fair, sustainable revenue sources, such as rationalizing bridge tolls and/or other pricing mechanisms. Doing so will have a multiplier effect in that it will challenge your colleagues in Albany to do the same. Monetary support can provide the gateway for greater

2.

control over how and where funds are spent, and
who gets served, empowering the Council to direct
improvements to the populations with the greatest
need.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. We have included a menu of supporting documents and there's more where that come from if you want to get to that level of detail and you can find them on the web or just give me a call. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Miss, would you want to go next?

SANDRA SHORENSTEIN: Yeah, my name is Sandra Shorenstein and I'm a research associate at the Environmental Defense Fund. I'll be brief.

responsible for approximately a third of America's global warming. Traffic congestion and pollution correlated health problems cost the New York regional economy more than \$13 billion in 2007, therefore, it is necessary that the City provides better mobility and transit options.

Environmental Defense Fund supports transportation programs that focus on expanding innovative

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 transit that reduces traffic and congestion.

The Bus Rapid Transit and Select Bus Service programs are already being implemented in New York City's boroughs and have proven to be successful and popular, as so many people have highlighted today. These types of programs that support designated bus lanes, bus signal preferences, and preemption, faster boarding, and specialized bus branding and stations will improve transit and the air quality in our city. Bus Rapid Transit programs are already in effect in several U.S. cities, such as Los Angeles, California; Eugene, Oregon; and Orlando, Florida, and are being implemented in many more. programs will effectively help reduce transportation pollution and travel time while improving traffic congestion.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. And I'd like to hear from Transportation Alternatives.

YA-TING LIU: Great. Thank you very much, Chairman Vacca and Committee staff. My

name is Ya-Ting Liu, I'm the Director of Transit

25 Advocacy at Transportation Alternatives. It's

been a long morning, I won't read the written testimony verbatim, we've heard from so many great experts, lots of great ideas, very compelling statistics about the need, the transit needs in outer borough, I won't repeat that. What I would like to do is just share some of the feedback that Transportation Alternatives have heard over the last two years, especially in our transit Town Halls that we've been doing in outer borough communities to, especially to learn about the impact and the toll those 2010 service cuts continue to have on these communities and what their transit needs and priorities are in the future.

In the last two years,

Transportation Alternatives have partnered with community groups, and city, state, and federal elected officials on conducting these transit town halls. To date, we've done six town halls in Flushing, Jamaica, Elmhurst, Bronx River Sound View area, Fordham Heights, and Sheepshead Bay. And in every community, local residents made it very clear that outer boroughs are not getting the transit service they need, and in every community,

local residents have identified bus service as their greatest hope for fulfilling that need, so that's local bus, select bus, BRT, and also express bus.

Example, over 70 participants came out and said that in the community that's only served by one subway line, one subway stop in their entire area, the fact that they lost weekday, off-peak service and weekend service on B4, which is one of the main bus lines that run through Sheepshead Bay, Plum Beach, Manhattan Beach, has taken a devastating toll for residents today. We heard from residents in that area that said that they need to work still on weekends and they have to take a taxi because of a lack of B4 service in that community.

Another example, we heard from town hall participants in the Bronx River Soundview area that commuting to work, to school, to shop has become increasingly difficult. That's a community where the average annual household income is \$25,000 a year and where 70% of households do not even own a car. So bus service

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is really the only way that residents can get 2 around, it's the lifeline, it's the lifeblood for 4 that community.

In Jamaica, Queens, our town hall participants told us that surrounding communities like Rochdale, Hillcrest, Briarwood, Laurelton, need more bus service to make the subway and rail connections through Jamaica to shorten their commutes and improve their quality of life.

At the end of the day, our recommendations really echo a lot of what you've already heard today. In 2010, New Yorkers lost 38 bus lines, 10 express bus routes, 570 bus stops, weekday service on 14 bus lines, weekend service on 22 bus routes, overnight service on 18 bus routes, not to mention service reductions on 41 weekday bus routes, 32 weekend routes, and 9 overnight routes. You heard earlier from Will Henderson from PCAC that when there needs to be savings at the MTA or they're looking to make budget -- close some budget gaps, they really turn to local bus and we see that here in terms of the 2010 service cuts.

And that every town hall commuter

has told us that they need this bus back, and they're fed up with paying higher fares for less service. They want their state legislators to prioritize, not steal, transit funding. And just to give you a sense of that scale, I mean, those 2010 cuts and all of those bus cuts that we've heard, they only saved the MTA about \$100 million; and in 2009, Albany diverted 100 million from dedicated transit funds; in 2010, Albany diverted another \$160 million from dedicated transit funds. So if Albany simply just returned half the money that it took, we could restore these bus cuts from 2010, and maybe even add new service.

And lastly, the Select Bus Service, of the six town halls that we've had, we have yet to hear any negative feedback about Select Bus Service. In fact, the only complaint that we've heard from these town halls is that there are not more select bus routes. So even as bus ridership is declining citywide, ridership on select bus routes is increasing.

And we need to really accelerate the implementation. Now we know that a lot of the delay has to do with the intensive community

planning process required to build a successful route and the answer is not to decrease the amount of community consultation, but really, ultimately, increase the funding for select bus program and so that the DOT and MTA can allocate more staff time to this program. Right now, the agencies are on track to add about two lines a year and we think they could do better.

Lastly, we need to improve express bus service. They're a way of life for New Yorkers who live in the outer boroughs and commute to Manhattan, but when they're stuck in traffic or their service is cut, people's livelihoods are crippled. Express bus service should be increased and should be given dedicated lanes throughout on the highways with camera enforcement.

And I guess lastly, the economic vitality of our city depends on public transportation network that connects workers to jobs, and businesses to customers. For our economy to grow, our transit system must also grow, but the fact is not all boroughs are treated equally when it comes to transit service.

Prioritizing expansion of bus service and making

2	existing service more reliable is the only way to							
3	ensure that all five boroughs thrive equally going							
4	forward. Thank you.							
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.							
6	Thank you all. Our last panel Jeff Rollo,							
7	Atlantic Express; Manny Mauliclic [phonetic],							
8	Atlantic Express; Jennifer Thorpe-Moscon, Southern							
9	Brooklyn Democrats; John Rozankowski, Grand							
10	Concourse resident.							
11	[Off mic]							
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Miss,							
13	would you want to go first? Introduce							
14	JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: Sure.							
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes.							
16	JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: My name is							
17	Jennifer Thorpe-Moscon and I'm the Chair of							
18	Southern Brooklyn Democrats.							
19	Thank you for this important							
20	meeting. Getting around in the outer boroughs can							
21	be a challenge, and we appreciate your help in							
22	this matter. We, as residents of and advocates							
23	for southern Brooklyn, would like to ask you to							
24	help restore several lines of bus service in our							
25	communities that have been cut. Each of these							

cuts has been to the severe detriment of our communities, particularly our elderly and disabled populations. The cuts that occurred in 2010 showed a clear disregard for the neighborhoods and people of southern Brooklyn, and we ask you to help stop the treatment of our residents as though we were second-class citizens of this city.

restored is the B64 bus line. The B64 used to run to Coney Island but now terminates on Harway

Avenue and 25th Avenue. That bus line serves many people in our community, and cuts to that line have resulted in many, including and especially the elderly and people with disabilities, having enormous difficulty getting around and commuting.

Indeed, many have found their commute times increase dramatically.

The elimination of a portion of the B64's route is not simply an inconvenience, it harms our most vulnerable, those with disabilities, and in so doing defies the Americans with Disabilities Act. It was difficult enough for people with disabilities to get around before these cuts, the subways are largely not accessible

to them, and Access-A-Ride must be planned in advance and does not allow for flexible travel.

Now people with disabilities find their options even more limited.

This is all the more true since the B82 has had its service cut as well. Only one in maybe three buses runs the full route to and from Coney Island, further harming southern Brooklyn residents who live and work in the areas on the full route in Gravesend and Coney Island. The B82 is a critical link between southern Brooklyn and more central and northern areas, such as Flatlands, Flatbush, and Canarsie. It is a link I personally utilize all the time, and there are many more like me. Its service must be fully restored and protected.

Another line we need restored is the B4 bus line. The B4 bus is the only bus that fully connects the diverse neighborhoods of Bay Ridge, Bensonhurst, Gravesend, and Sheepshead Bay. It is a bus that many people use daily to get to and from work or to visit the busy commercial district in Sheepshead Bay. When the changes to this line were made in 2010, initially there was

promise. The streamlining of the route reduced
the commute time, and that was a benefit.
However, that benefit was sharply offset by the
fact that the bus only runs the full route during
a very short period of time during rush hour. Not
everyone works a standard 9 to 5 schedule, and
even those who do have travel needs outside of
those hourswhether to stores, the Sheepshead Bay
movie theater, a doctor's appointment, or some
other destination. This is not only a problem
during non-rush hours on weekdays, the entire
neighborhood of Sheepshead Bay is cut off from
using this bus to get to or from any destination
at all during the weekend, and this neighborhood
is one that has only one subway stop in the entire
area. The B4 cuts have left those people, who
otherwise might have needed only one bus to get to
or from their homes, taking two or three buses or
even having to take expensive car service.
A third line we would like to see

A third line we would like to see restored is the B2 bus line. Service on this line has been completely eliminated on weekends and overnight. The community of Marine Park relies on this line, as other nearby buses are not local or

2	proximal enough to be of use to most residents,
3	especially the elderly. Cuts to this line have
4	forced some riders, for whom the B2 was once the
5	only necessary bus, to use multiple buses
6	including ones that are as far as three long
7	avenue blocks away. Rather than reducing service
8	on this line, the MTA should extend it past
9	Midwood deeper into southern Brooklyn where the
10	service would be welcome and frequently used.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you wrap
12	up? I think the rest of your testimony isare
13	areas you've gone into before. Is that all right
14	with you?
15	JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: All right,
16	all right.
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I would like to
18	ask you quickly, of
19	JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: Sure.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:the three
21	restorations you want, which is your priority?
22	You want the MTA to restore all three cuts, but
23	JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: Right.
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA:their answer
25	to you may be that financially that's not

feasible, so what would be a priority with you?

JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: Well the

4 B64 actually, the bus already runs the full route,

5 it just doesn't pick up customers. So to pick up

6 customers while it's already running that route

7 | would be a trivial matter for them, it wouldn't

8 cost them anything additional.

So if they're going to spend funds on something, I would say the B4.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The B4.

JENNIFER THORPE-MOSCON: I'd just like to quickly add then that these cuts occurred at the exact same time as cuts to Access-A-Ride occurred, I don't think anybody's mentioned the issue of Access-A-Ride. Access-A-Ride has instituted feeder service, where now people with disabilities that aren't judged to be quite severe enough are, rather then being taken from one place to another, are being taken from their homes to a bus stop. So at the same time as they cut bus service, they're forcing the elderly and people with disabilities to take buses more often. So it's certainly a conflict of interest that needs to be resolved.

2	So	Ι	thank	you	very	much.
				-		

3 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

4 Sir?

JOHN ROZANKOWSKI: Good afternoon,
I'm John Rozankowski, Bronx resident.

The most important outer borough mass transit needs are, first, need for improved intra-borough mobility. And, Councilman, you're familiar with this one. In my native Bronx, the problem is going from east to west. In every MTA public hearing, people have complained about the fact it takes over an hour to get from Grand Concourse to Throgs Neck. What has the MTA done about the Tremont Avenue corridor? Nothing. It's off their radar screen.

In Queens, the problem is northsouth because the Great Green Barrier, which is
Forest Park and the cemeteries, split the borough
in half, forcing residents to go through Manhattan
to move around their own borough. One example, it
takes students from the Rockaways two hours to get
to Queens College. I mean, that's unacceptable.

Assemblyman Phillip Goldfeder has helped launch a citizens' initiative to reactivate

the north Rockaway line, which would dramatically improve intra-Queens mobility. Since the line is on New York City property, the New York City Council can be very helpful to get this project off the ground. Now Councilman Eric Ulrich mentioned Select Bus Service, this thing would probably take the place of three Select Bus Services, it's so effective.

is something that nobody brought up: Subway service. Outer boroughs need faster subway service because outer borough subways run through many stations before entering Manhattan, creating a very exhausting commute. This could easily be remedied by all-day express service in the direction of heavy travel. Of the two three-track lines, only the number 6 and 7 have this. Even though MTA Board members Charles Moerdler and Fernando Ferrer, who are from the Bronx, have complained about this problem, the MTA has done nothing.

Since more and more people check schedules instead of blindly going to stations, and with countdown clocks, new subway services

2.

could be created to provide one-seat rides to
popular destinations. What has the MTA done to
take full advantage of these clocks and reduce
burdensome transfers? Absolutely nothing. And
I'll add, this is not a budget buster, in many
cases, it's a question of simply rescheduling
trains.

In the future, the City Council should do two things: First--and this is very important--hold public hearings on this subject in the outer boroughs during the evenings, just as you did with the blizzard of 2010 hearings. More people would have an opportunity to describe the punishments which this agency of public misery, the MTA, inflicts upon them.

Number two, throwing more money at the MTA is not the answer. The answer in the long run is New York City should run its own subways and buses, finally giving the people who use them and pay for them power over mass transit policy.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

Sir, introduce yourself.

JEFF ROLLO: Okay. My name is Jeff

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rollo, I'm Vice President of Operations for

Atlantic Express Transportation Group. Good

afternoon. Hello? Now? Hello, hello? There we
go, thanks.

Good afternoon, Chairman Vacca and Members of the Committee on Transportation. name is Jeff Rollo and I'm the Vice President of Operations for Atlantic Express Transportation Group. Atlantic Express is a proud Staten Island transportation company servicing the City of New York for over 40 years. For your background, in 2001, when the MTA canceled express bus lines on the South Shore, Atlantic Express got right on board--excuse me--got right on board when asked by the Mayor's Office and the New York City Economic Development Corporation to provide a subsidized, direct commuter express bus service to severely underserved South Shore residents. For the past ten years, we have not missed a day of service and have gone above and beyond by adding buses at our own expense to ease overcrowding, even when the subsidies have expired. Atlantic Express is very proud to be a part of the transit solution on Staten Island and a public/private partner with

City Hall to provide cost effective, reliable, and quality transportation options for the working people of the borough.

From day one, the Atlantic Express X23 and X24 have been very popular and in great demand, and we consistently experience strong ridership. As the Center for an Urban Future's Behind The Curb report states, there is no question the number of commuters in the outer boroughs is growing. Since the start of 2012, we have seen a surge in X23 and X24 ridership that has led to some major overcrowding and congestion problems during peak periods. Our passengers continue to ask us to add more buses to our South Shore routes, but we are limited to our contractual runs based on our contract with the EDC.

Council Members, we have a good problem in Staten Island: More people are going to back to work. While this is good news, it also creates an increased demand for public transportation, especially in the underserved areas, such as South Shore. We are eager and willing to expand the X23 and X24 service to

accommodate the new surges in ridership and alleviate the overcrowding, but we need City Hall's support. At this time, we propose adding two additional buses on each route to help reduce congestion and get more people on board. We believe the additional buses will allow several hundred more riders to get to work and back home on time. We would appreciate the City Council's support to make this low-cost, reliable solution a reality. We would welcome the opportunity to share with you individually a quantitative--excuse me--and comprehensive review of our service costs.

Atlantic Express is very proud of our service and contributions to its great borough, and the hard-working unionized bus drivers that transport Staten Islanders safely and efficiently to their jobs every day. Atlantic Express is committed to the people of Staten Island and New York City and want to continue providing low-cost, quality, reliable express bus service to the commuters. The proud working men and women of Staten Island need transit relief, and they need it now.

I want to thank you, Chairman Vacca

Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It's a good

25

174
ice.
all
anel.
urther
ing is

problem	to	have,	but	we	have	to	provide	service.
Proprem	-	114 0 0 /	Dac	** C	114 0 0	0	Provide	DCT VICE.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

MANNY MAULICLIC: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you all--

JEFF ROLLO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --final panel.

And there being no further speakers and no further questions, it is now 1:25 p.m. and this hearing is now adjourned. I thank you all.

[Gavel]

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammphathman

Date _July 16, 2012_