CITY	COT	JNCII	
CITY	OF	NEW	YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES

----X

June 11, 2012 Start: 1:11 p.m. Recess: 2:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JULISSA FERRERAS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron
Margaret S. Chin
Annabel Palma
Ruben Wills

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Nancy Fisher Chief of Staff New York State Assemblywoman Amy R. Paulin

Michael Vecchione Chief of the Rackets Division Brooklyn District Attorney's Office

Laura Neubauer Chief of Sex Trafficking Unit Brooklyn District Attorney's Office

Emily Amick Sanctuary for Families

Sarah Dolan Advocate Counselor Sanctuary for Families

Jimmy Lee Executive Director Restore NYC

Sonia Ossorio President National Organization for Women.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	CH	AIRPERSON	FERRERAS:	Good
---	----	-----------	-----------	------

afternoon, and thank you for coming to today's hearing. My name is Council Member Julissa Ferreras and I am the chair of the Women's Issues Committee. I'd like to thank my colleagues Council Members Fidler, Vallone, Halloran and Gonzalez for sponsoring this resolution that we're hearing about today. Due in part to its international airports, easy access to rails and busses and its large population, New York City is a hub of trafficking activities. As a Council Member and especially as the chair of Women's Issues Committee, I have been aggressively addressing the issue of human trafficking in New York City for some time now. Along with some of my colleagues, many of us who are co-sponsoring this resolution, we have held public hearings, - this legislation and resolutions. We have met with numerous service providers, victims, advocacy groups, law enforcement officials and actual victims of trafficking. We continue to seek ways to hold traffickers accountable and provide aid to those who are trafficked. I often note that human trafficking is one of the most horrific crimes

committed. Victims of human trafficking are
physical and psychologically ravaged. It destroys
families and communities. The crimes against
children are particularly inconceivable. We
cannot and will not stand by as these atrocities
are being carried out. We need to use all of our
tools to attack this heinous crime in all forms.
One such tool is this legislation. In 2007, the
New York State enacted sweeping anti trafficking
legislation in response to the realization of
trafficking prevalence in New York. Today we are
here to discuss the merits of new legislation
recently introduced by Assembly Member and
State Senator Saland. This legislation A 9804 and
S 7212 also known as the "Trafficking Victims
Protection and Justice Act" seeks to improve upon
existing state law clarifying certain portions of
the state law as well as address inconsistencies
particularly in cases involving minors. We have
heard from key advocates that they are concerned
that the bill will be watered down and no longer
include some of its most important provisions. We
will hear testimony from various advocates,
service providers and criminal justice officials,

who will speak to their experiences and why the changes currently in this legislation are urgent.

Thank you and now I'd like to—I'm actually very honored that we have been joined by Council Member Halloran, who is back and doing a lot better, and I know that he is back part time I think after his surgery so I'm very happy that you are here, and that you're able to speak on this legislation, so now I will have Council Member Halloran, who would like to share a few words.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank
you, Madam Chair. It's good to be back, and I
will continue to endeavor to get back to my
Committee hearings. As Council Member Vallone and
I serving on the Public Safety Committee have
noted, frequently we find holes in our legislation
in Albany with regards to some of the more trying
issues in criminal justice, and in this particular
issue, I thank you, Chairwoman, for your lead and
for the work you've done to bring light to this
issue. Two years ago, we held hearings discussing
this matter for the first time, and it was an eye
opener to many people, but for those of us who
served either in the police department, the

prosecutor's office, or even in the defense bar,
we're all too well aware of what goes on. It is
important for this body to continue to push Albany
to make sure that these issues are addressed in
particular this one, which has such a disparate
impact upon our immigrant population, who are
oftentimes victimized twice-once when these crimes
take place and twice when they attempt to navigate
the criminal justice system seeking justice.
Occasionally, even those of us on my side of the
aisle [phonetic] understand that these problems
loom large and heavy and are in need of serious
modernization. No one would have conceived of 100
years ago the things that we find ourselves facing
today. I encourage my colleagues to look through
the bills in Albany. These are all expansions and
I would like to thank Senator Frank Padavan, who
was the original author of the sex trafficking
legislation almost 15 years ago and the work he
had done to bring the issue to light. Hopefully
we will take the next step and enable our
prosecutors and police to have the keys and tools
they need to make some serious impacts in such a
terrible, terrible crime. Thank you, Madam Chair.

2.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,
Council Member, and again, welcome back, and now I
just want to acknowledge that we've been joined by
Council Member Wills and Council Member Barron.
We will call up our first panel. Ms. Nancy Fisher
[phonetic] from the New York State Assemblywoman
Amy Daulin's Office

MALE VOICE: - -

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Absolutely and before you begin your testimony, Council Member Barron would like to add a few words.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, first of all I think this is a very, very important legislation particularly for children and the underage that they can no longer be using coercion as a means of not seeing them as the victims that they really are, and I think this is a huge step in the right direction. We want to keep it strong to make sure that when underage children are abused that you don't use the law working against them when in fact this protects their abusers when we try to play with the term coercion so I really think that this law or resolution that we're saying to tighten up the law really helps our

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

young people and really gets at one of the most
heinous crimes I think on the planet is what
they're doing to our young and around this whole
question of prostitution. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you very much. You may begin your testimony.

NANCY FISHER: Thank you. Speaker Quinn, Chairperson Ferreras, and members of the Committee on Women's Issues, firstly thank you for your comments so far. Thank you for holding this hearing on the important issue of human trafficking. My name is Nancy Fisher, chief of staff to New York State Assemblywoman Amy R. Paulin, chair of the Assembly standing Committee on Children and Families. I submit the following testimony on behalf of Assemblywoman Paulin. the passage of two landmark laws, the 2007 anti human trafficking law and the safe harbor act of 2008, New York State criminalized sex trafficking and labor trafficking and for the first time, acknowledged that many individuals facing prosecution for prostitution are themselves victims and often sexually exploited children who most need our protection. Having the benefit of a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

few years' experience with these two laws, we have learned that there are gaps and inconsistencies in the law that we need to address to improve our response to human trafficking. Working closely with advocates adding and prosecutors, I authored the bill, the trafficking victim's protection and justice act, A 8904, S 7212, the subject of your hearing today. This legislation builds on the foundation we laid by ensuring that the penalties fit the crimes, by providing additional tools to prosecutors and law enforcement to go after and hold accountable the real criminals—the buyers, the traffickers and the transporters, who fuel this massive, heinous industry--and by increasing access of victims to social services to enable them to break the vicious cycle of dependency and humiliation. I want to highlight a few of the important provisions.

The bill creates the felony sex offense of aggravated patronizing a minor, aligning the penalties for patronizing with those of statutory rape, matches the ages of victims in each degree of patronizing offense with the ages and the corresponding degree of rape offense,

recognizes that livery and limousine drivers have
become central players in human trafficking and
includes them as persons who may be prosecuted for
permitting or promoting prostitution, clarifies
that providing marijuana and ecstasy to a
prostituted person to impair her judgment
constitutes sex trafficking, gives prosecutors the
investigative tools they need to make a case
against traffickers by authorizing eavesdropping
and video surveillance when there is probable
cause. Those working with the law also realize
that trafficking victims often children need
better access to social services to make a clean
and permanent break from the law of prostitution.
To address this issue, the bill authorizes the
providers of social and legal services often the
first to interact with trafficking victims and to
develop trusting relationships, to make referrals
for social services in addition to law enforcement
and district attorneys. In addition the
legislation allows cases involving 16 and 17 year
olds arrested for prostitution to be moved from
criminal court to family court, so that they can
be treated as PINS, persons in need of

supervision. Lastly, this law eliminates the term
prostitute in the penal law. Nowhere else in the
penal law is an individual identified by the crime
he or she allegedly commits; for instance,
murderer or robber. Realizing that the stigma
attached to the word prostitute applied mostly to
women, it was obvious that the term prostitute had
to be addressed as a gender issue as well as a
legal term. I am heartened that we are working
collectively to better understand the enormity of
human trafficking, knowing that we need to do even
more to end it. I am grateful that the City
Council and the Committee on Women's Issues are
taking a leading role in addressing this issue and
thank you for your support.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

As a former chief of staff and thank you for—are you going to be able to answer any questions or...?

NANCY FISHER: I would prefer that you call our office.

MALE VOICE: Can you please come up to the mic?

NANCY FISHER: Oh sorry. And Suzie [phonetic] Rush is available. I can give you that

2	phone number. It's 914-723-1115, but I'm happy to
3	take any questions back, if you would like me to
4	do that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: In

particular, we'll get you the questions. We can

get them sent to you, and they can respond for the

Committee and we'll put it on the record, but in

particular, I wanted to know what the current

status of the bill is, when it will be voted on

and which provisions are expected to pass. And

we've been joined by Council Member Margaret Chin

of Manhattan. Okay.

NANCY FISHER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So we have some other questions, but we we'll just put that in the package and you can respond. Thank you very much.

NANCY FISHER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And now
we'll call up the next panel, Laura Neubauer from
the District Attorney's Office, Brooklyn DA
[phonetic], and Michael—I keep doing this—
Vecchione. Thank you—with a last name like
Ferreras, I don't like to mess up. And you may

begin your testimony whenever you're ready.

MICHAEL VECCHIONE: Thank you. I guess I'll start. Madam Chair and members of the Council, thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to appear before you in support of resolution 1346. On behalf of the district attorney in Brooklyn, Mr. Heinz [phonetic], he wanted me to extend his unfortunate—was not able to be here. He had a prior engagement, so I just wanted to tell you that.

In addition, I'd like to introduce Laura Neubauer, who is the new chief of our sex trafficking unit. The district attorney started the sex trafficking unit in the Rackets Division approximately two years ago, and Laura has just taken over. Laura is a 20 plus year prosecutor, has also spent five years in Bosnia working on human trafficking issues with the Justice Department, and the district attorney could not have chosen a better person to carry on this important work, so I'm going to introduce Laura Neubauer, who will have remarks regarding the resolution, and I thank you.

LAURA NEUBAUER: Thank you, Mike.

б

First of all, I want to thank Council member
Julissa Ferreras, chair of the Women's Issues
Committee and all the other members of the
Committee for this morning's opportunity to talk
to you about how serious sex trafficking has
become in New York City. Almost two years ago,
District Attorney Heinz created the sex
trafficking unit within the Rackets Division to
combat the growing problem of the commercial
sexual exploitation of girls, women and boys. To
date, our unit has indicted 44 defendants in
approximately 24 sex trafficking schemes. Of
those, many involve the exploitation of children
and child victims under the age of 18.

Let me give you a few examples. In December of last year, the district attorney announced the indictments of two defendants charged with sex trafficking. While one defendant viciously beat a 13 year old child victim, both defendants advertised lewd photographs of this 13 year old child and another 16 year old child for sex on the Internet. In another case in July of 2011, Lethaniel McCoy [phonetic] pled guilty to sex trafficking. His victim was a 15 year old

child, who he sold for sex by advertising her on
Backpage.com. In November and December of last
year defendants Tanisha Sanders [phonetic] and
Eric Sanders [phonetic] pled guilty to sex
trafficking and other charges where they exploited
a 16 year old child on the Internet after she was
brought into their home directly from school by a
family member of the defendants. The district
attorney believes we are facing an epidemic of
commercial sexual exploitation of children. While
these cases usually do involve the element of
force or coercion as required by the current anti
trafficking statute to prosecute, there are
instances where such elements are not so easily
provable. In addition, public policy should
dictate that a child under the age of 18 cannot
consent to engaging in prostitution activity. The
need for a provision in New York State's sex
trafficking law consistent with the federal
trafficking law making it per se [phonetic] sex
trafficking where a child is under the age of
consent is not only necessary, but of the utmost
importance. In addition, the state rape laws
contain the statutory provision which explicitly

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

states that a child a child under the age of 17 is not capable of consent. We need to reconcile the legislation. We must send a clear message to the exploiters and to the exploited and we urge the City Council to pass resolution 1346 calling upon the state legislature to pass and the governor to enact the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act. The proposed legislation does many things to enhance prosecution of human trafficking offenders. Most importantly the provision establishing the child victim's inability to consent is critical. The additional protections of adding crimes of aggravated patronizing of a person for prostitution making it a felony to patronize such person if the person is a minor as well as creating the felony crime for persons who patronize a person for prostitution within a school zone are crucial improvements that will make it significantly easier to prosecute traffickers of children and now as well as the clients that are creating the demand for the sexual exploitation of children. These provisions will bring our state laws in conformity with the federal statute and international law and human

rights. It is imperative that these provisions be
enacted immediately in order to advance the
protection of our children. The enhancement of
the sex trafficking statute reclassifying it from
a B felony to a B violent felony will provide for
stricter sentences and shall properly characterize
the crime as a violent felony. Convicted
traffickers will have to register as sexually
violent offenders, which will result in more and
stricter supervision once released. Typically
victims of sex trafficking do not identify
themselves as such. Often being labeled as a
prostitute aggravates this problem. The
amendments proposed which replace the term
prostitute with person of prostitution removes the
stigma and negative connotations that attach to
the word prostitute. That provision will assist
these vulnerable victims to identify as such and
make it easier for prosecutors to engage these
victims in their prosecutions. Lastly, I'd like
to point out to the provision which enhances the
crime of labor trafficking reclassifying it from a
class D felony to a Class B felony. Labor
trafficking just like sex trafficking involves the

inhumane exploitation of individuals and therefore
these crimes and their penalties should be treated
equally. I also urge the members of the state
legislature to consider the addition of the
violent classification as well to the labor
traffic statute, and also to consider the same
under 18 exception to the requirement of coercion
as proposed in the sex trafficking statute. I
cannot stress the importance of these amendments.
The passage of the original legislation in 2007
was a landmark event for the state of New York and
its commitment to the victims of these heinous
crimes. It is imperative that we continue the
commitment to allow us to better protect the
children, the women and all victims of commercial
exploitation; therefore, the district attorney and
our office strongly endorses passage of Resolution
of 1346. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you very much for your testimony and I'm sorry that the District Attorney couldn't be here, but I know that he is very supportive and congratulations.

I'm sure we're going to be doing a lot more work together as we did with your predecessor. I just

2	want to know if you can speak to me, are minors
3	arrested for prostitution typically arraigned in
4	criminal court rather than removed by PINS?
5	LAURA NEUBAUER: I believe they are
6	typically arraigned in criminal court.
7	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: And are
8	there any special challenges unique to prosecuting
9	traffickers of underage victims that are underage
10	and those that are trafficking adult victims
11	LAURA NEUBAUER: I'm sorry. Can
12	you repeat that?
13	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So if in
14	your search of identifying these victims, right,
15	which sometimes come in as prostitution, is there
16	a difference when you see that there is a minor
17	when you're bringing in a minor as opposed to
18	bringing in an adult?
19	LAURA NEUBAUER: A difference in?
20	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: In how you
21	choose to prosecute 'cause I know one of the
22	things we're talking about is the age, so as
23	opposed to a-this has come up in other we've
24	had about seven sex trafficking hearings and you

know, the fact that a child that comes in at 15 or

2	16 and is charged with prostitution is that
3	different than when an adult comes in under the
4	charge?

LAURA NEUBAUER: Well, it's typical—if there's a suspicion that either the minor or an adult is a trafficked victim, then our office will not prosecute for prostitution.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Oh.

want to correct myself. I think the minors arrested for prostitution are not referred to PINS. I believe they're recogged [phonetic] to family court. I think it is a similar PINS proceeding, but in either event, if we have any indicia of sex trafficking, we will not proceed with the prosecution for prostitution for either the child or the adult, and obviously, with respect to the child situation, ACS must be brought in and counselors provided to the child as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: In your professional estimation, can you think of a down side to this proposed legislation? We just want to hear your—

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES 21
2	LAURA NEUBAUER: [Interposing] No.
3	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS:for the
4	record.
5	LAURA NEUBAUER: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: None?
7	LAURA NEUBAUER: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay. I'm
9	sorry? Oh, Council Member Wills has a question
10	for you.
11	LAURA NEUBAUER: Sure.
12	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Council
13	Member?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Good
15	afternoon. Congratulations, again. I just wanted
16	to ask one of the cautions or one of the issues
17	that I know you're going to have to deal with is
18	prosecuting those who use the for
19	prostitution. What obstacles do you think you're
20	going to have to face when proving that the person
21	knew that the individual was a minor because I
22	know in a lot of statutory rape cases or cases
23	where statutory rape cases often you have
24	obstacles in proving that the person who had sex
25	with a minor actually knew that they were a minor.

2	How do you do that in this case and do you feel
3	like that is going to present any obstacles, and
4	if so, what is going to take the obstacles out of
5	the way?
6	[background conversation]
7	LAURA NEUBAUER: With respect to
8	these statutes and this goes to the promoting
9	prostitution of a minor I believe they actually
10	also discuss this with respect to patronizing a
11	prostitute in the school zone, they are per se,
12	it's a per se statute, so the element of knowledge
13	is not necessarily—not required to be proven.
14	It's a per se presumption that the defendant has
15	knowledge of the-I'm not saying that right.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: You're
17	saying it right. I get it. I get it.
18	LAURA NEUBAUER: Has knowledge of
19	the child's age, so it's not something that—it's
20	not on the burden of the prosecution to prove the
21	defendant's knowledge of that element.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: So I thank
24	you very much for your testimony. This really

helps add the voice. I think the DA has come and

2	testified in many of our sex trafficking hearings.
3	This resolution is really just a part of a package
4	of work that we've been doing, and I'm looking
5	forward to our continued work together against sex
6	trafficking in New York and to be able to send
7	this resolution to Albany so they can understand
8	that we need these measures improved. So thank
9	you very much for your testimony today.
10	LAURA NEUBAUER: We look forward to
11	it too.
12	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: We're going
13	to be calling up the advocates, so advocates, you
14	see the new face at the DA's office, right? Okay.
15	Emily Amick[phonetic] of Sanctuary for Families,
16	Sarah Dolan, Sanctuary for Families, Jimmy Lee
17	[phonetic] of Restore NYC and Sonia Ossorio of the
18	National Organization for Women.
19	[background noise]
20	EMILY AMICK: Okay. Thank you so

EMILY AMICK: Okay. Thank you so much for considering this resolution, and for all the work you have done supporting the fight against trafficking here in the city and throughout the state. We really appreciate it.

My name is Emily Amick. I am an attorney at

Sanctuary for Families Center for Battered Women's
Legal Services. Since its inception in 1984,
Sanctuary has provided shelter, counseling,
economic empowerment and legal representation and
assistance to tens of thousands of victims of
domestic violence and hundreds of trafficking
victims. Sanctuary for Families was one of the
founding organizations and is currently the chair
of the New York State Anti Trafficking Coalition.
While we were pleased with the passage of a
comprehensive anti trafficking law in 2007, our
work is far from complete. As long as trafficking
victims are prosecuted as criminals rather than
protected as victims, as long as the sellers and
buyers of human bodies are not held accountable
for their vicious crimes, New York State is
turning its back on these victims while aiding and
abetting their exploiters. Sanctuary for Families
joins the New York State anti trafficking
coalition in calling for the immediate and full
passage of the Trafficking Victims Protection and
Justice Act. Currently, New York misses the
brutality of human trafficking. The business of
selling women and children traps thousands of

people and lives dominated by traffickers and
patronizers' [phonetic] violence. If victims
escape, the scars emotional and physical are huge.
Psychological torture and physical violence,
beatings and rapes are virtually universal. I'm
going to discuss a few of the key components of
the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice
Act. The first is making the crime of pimping
minors trafficking per se. Under the federal
trafficking victims protection act, selling a
minor for sexual purposes is trafficking. There
is no requirement that prosecutors prove force,
fraud or coercion. The federal law rightly
reflects the reality that the selling of a child
for sex is an inherently coercive crime. New
York's law should reflect this reality as well.
The selling of a child for sex is a horrifically
exploitive crime that victimizes our most
vulnerable children. The victims most often girls
of color from poor communities urgently need the
protection of our state law. People who are
selling girls for sex are some of the worst and
vile offenders in our state. Our state law must
recognize the crime that they are committing as a

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 serious crime of sex trafficking.

Next, is the crime relating to defending traffic to people from criminal prosecution. An affirmative defense to prostitution as proposed in the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act will protect trafficked people from criminal prosecution, proactively combat trafficking and eliminate the need for post convicted challenges. Defense attorneys who represent the people arrested for prostitution are critically situated to identify trafficking victims. If there were an affirmative defense of sex trafficking, defense attorneys would have an ethical obligation to ask their clients about their experiences in prostitution and would begin to bring evidence of sex trafficking to the attention of prosecutors and the courts. In 2010, New York State amended its criminal procedure law to enable sex trafficking victims to vacate prostitution convictions. Although opponents expressed concerns that the new provision would "open the floodgates to false claims," nothing of the kind happened. Indeed, very few convictions have been vacated to date.

Similarly, an affirmative defense to prostitution
requires victims self identification and
substantial cooperation [phonetic] is equally
unlikely to open the floodgates. New York State
jury instructions state that the evidence that
supports an affirmative defense must be of such
convincing quality as to outweigh evidence of the
contrary. This significant burden required
defense attorneys to thoroughly investigate
circumstances of trafficking and provide highly
probative evidence of exploitation to prosecutors.
Consequently making trafficking an affirmative
defense to prostitution will assist defendants
only in those cases where there is substantial and
persuasive evidence of trafficking. Far from
leading to a flood of wrongfully exonerated
criminals, it will encourage investigation and
evidence gathering and ultimately lead to more
evidence-based prosecutions and trafficker
accountability.

Next, I'm going to address the issue of raising the penalty for sex trafficking. The penalty for sex trafficking should be raised from a non-violent B to a violent B felony.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Trafficking is a brutally violent crime. minimum, victims are subjected to repeated serial rapes. Victims are forced to have sex with five to ten buyers or more a night for years and are at constant risk of violence from the men who buy Traffickers themselves routinely rape them. victims, beat them, and sometimes even brand them as property. Making sex trafficking a Class B violent as opposed to a non-violent felony would ensure the penalty is commensurate with the severity of this particularly brutal and predatory offense. Class B non-violent crimes are generally property crimes. Sex trafficking belongs with the serious assaults, kidnapping and arson of Class B violent felonies. Under current law, traffickers face a minimum penalty of one to three years and a maximum of eight and a third to 25. As a violent B felony, these vicious traffickers would face a minimum penalty of five and a maximum of 25 years. Under federal law when a trafficker uses force, fraud or coercion, there is a mandatory minimum sentence of 15 years; thus, the minimum state sentence for trafficking will be 1/3 that of that of the federal if sex trafficking were to become a

violent B penalty raising the penalty for people
who buy sex from children. The Trafficking
Victims Protection and Justice Act creates the
crime of aggravated patronizing a minor where
buyers of sex from children who actually sexually
assault the child face a penalty commensurate with
their crime. It is critical that 17 year olds be
included as protected victims under this new law.
Under New York Safe Harbor Act, any child under 18
in a situation of commercial sexual exploitation
is considered a sexually exploited child. The
people who buy sex from a 17 year old should be
punished for the sexual abuse of an exploited
child. It is not excessive for people who buy sex
from a 17 year old child to be charged with a non-
violent E felony. In our state, people are
charged with an E felony offense when they damage
someone's property in excess of \$250. This means
that defendants face a higher penalty for damaging
someone's car than for raping a child currently
under our state law. We must send a message that
New York State takes the crime of buying a child
for sex seriously.

Lastly, I'd like to discuss the

issue of acknowledging that all prostituted
children are victims. New York State continues to
prosecute 16 and 17 year olds mostly girls for
prostitution and incarcerates them rather than
offering them safe housing and counseling as
victims. This is in spite of the fact that our
state law identifies all children under 18 in
prostitution as sexually exploited children.
Having been abused by a trusted adult, sexually
exploited youth are further abused by pimps,
pedophiles, and traffickers, who prey on their
vulnerability, especially their need for
caretaking and support. What these young people
need are shelter, counseling and services
specially tailored to help them escape their abuse
and heal, not stigmatization and revictimization
[phonetic] by the legal system. Instead of being
stigmatized and punished, sexually exploited youth
need counseling and health care to address the
psychological and physical trauma they have
suffered. They need short and long term housing
to rebuild their lives safe from what Rachel Loy
[phonetic] the executive director of GEMS
[phonetic] calls psychological and physical

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kidnapping by pimps. Above all, they need to know that society sees them not as criminals or prostitutes, but as young people who with the right kind of assistance can overcome past hardships and realize their potential. The City Council resolution is important. We need to send a strong message to the legislature that the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act is of critical importance to the protection of trafficking victims in New York State and that we urgently need the leadership of both the Assembly and the Senate to break through the log jam created by the dynamics of the two houses codes committees that so often impede progress in our state. Sex trafficking is a vicious crime - carried out by serial perpetrators that destroys the lives of victims and detrimentally affects our poorest communities. The perpetrators are exploiters of the worst kind, who prey on young girls and profit by selling them as sex slaves. Ι am grateful for the leadership of New York City Council in the effort to end trafficking in our state and I urge the legislature to pass the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

SARAH DOLAN: Hi. Thank you so much for having us. My name is Sarah Dolan and with Emily I also work at Sanctuary for Families. I'm working on one of its newest projects, which is called Services to Access Resources and Safety or STARS. The STARS project acknowledges the unique needs of women who have been arrested for prostitution, many of whom are survivors of sex trafficking and seeks to provide them with trauma sensitive counseling and case management services as an alternative to incarceration. As the advocate counselor, I am based full time in the Bronx Criminal Court and work in conjunction with Bronx Community Solutions, itself a project from the Center for Court Innovation. Working with women on an individual and group basis, I conduct counseling sessions, provide safety planning and case management services, and link victims to a range of other legal, health and social services. Funded by the federal office on violence against women, the project's mandate in the broadest sense is to shift society's understanding of women arrested for prostitution from one of offender to

that of victim. Widely seen as the perpetrators
of the crime of prostitution, these women are in
fact the victims of a lifetime of racial, ethnic
and economic oppression, of childhoods filled with
sexual abuse and other trauma, of husbands,
boyfriends, pimps and johns who exploit their
vulnerability and often perpetrate acts of
violence against them and of the law enforcement
and criminal justice systems, which regularly fail
to identify them as trafficking victims and
instead, criminalize and punish them. Passage of
the Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act
would go far to reverse this trend of
criminalization and to recognize these girls and
women as the victims they are. In particular,
there are three elements of the proposed
legislation that would have an enormous positive
impact on my clients' lives. The first of these
is the provision that establishes trafficking as
an affirmative defense to prostitution. This
would essentially require defense counsel to
investigate their client's trafficking histories
before arraignments effectively preventing
innocent women from getting a damaging criminal

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record and at the same time, providing prosecutors with valuable information on potential sex traffickers. In my counseling work, I make a point to ask each of my clients what she would ultimately like to do with her life. And to this day, and I've been doing this job for over two years now, not one single client has told me she wants to be in the commercial sex industry in five years' time. Most of them have dreams of being a nurse, a home attendant or a sales clerk. Indeed, one client, Tracy, spent months and a lot of money getting her home attendant certification, only to be told by the Department of Health that she was ineligible for employment due to her criminal history of prostitution. What the DOH didn't know is that her arrests occurred when she was being forced into prostitution by a brutal pimp. the Trafficking Victims Protection Justice Act been in effect her defense attorney would have learned of her victimization behind the prostitution charge and would have been able to refer her to the services she desperately needed instead of allowing her to receive the conviction that further stigmatized her and later prevented

б

her from legal employments. The second provision
I'd like to speak to is a semantic one. In social
work, we speak of people first language an attempt
to destigmatize [phonetic] our clients and
recognize them as unique individuals rather than
identify them by their illness, like
schizophrenics or their crimes, such as
prostitutes. Currently, the New York State Penal
Law refers to women who have been sex trafficked
and arrested for prostitution as prostitutes the
only instance in our penal code in which someone
is identified by the crime he or she has allegedly
committed. Elsewhere as you have heard, the
criminal code refers to people as defendants
rather than murderers or robbers. Women who have
been sex trafficked internalized this demeaning
and stigmatizing language to such an extent that
one client told me recently she had essentially
given up on a better life saying, "the police tell
me I'm a ho and that that's all I'm good for, so
why should I even try to be anything different?"
After a lifetime of sexual trauma, often first at
the hands of a family member then later by
boyfriends, pimps and johns, these women have been

б

taught that their value lies exclusively in their
bodies. When law enforcement and the criminal
justice system by referring to them as prostitutes
essentially tells them the same, there is very
little incentive for these women to view
themselves as anything different. Changing the
terminology in the penal law to reflect the
individuality of these women is the first step in
helping them recognize their own worth and
potential. Lastly, I'd like to discuss the
provision that increases the penalty from sex
trafficking from a Class B non-violent felony to a
Class B violent felony. It's true that some of
the tactics used by sex traffickers and pimps to
coerce their victims are not on the outside
blatantly violent. Initially, they may utilize
subtle and manipulating grooming techniques, like
promising their victims love and security-
something few of my clients have experienced
elsewhere in their lives. Some traffickers then
coerce these women into the commercial sex trade
by convincing them of an imagined debt or by
threatening to bring shame to their families. The
real violence happens on the streets and in the

cars and in the hotels where traffickers force
their victims to sell their bodies each night, and
where the women experience truly unimaginable
sexual and physical trauma. Traffickers often do
use physical violence to scare their victims into
submission, but even when they do not, the
inherent violence of the multiple rapes they
inflict upon their victims by selling them over
and over again night after night merits a charge,
a Class B violent felony worthy of their violent
crime. I therefore urge City Council to pass this
resolution calling for passage of the Trafficking
Victims Protection and Justice Act. It is clearly
the next logical step for New York State to take
and assuring that women and girls forced into the
commercial sex industry are recognized and treated
as the victims they truly are. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

JIMMY LEE: Thank you for this

opportunity to share. I just came back from a

meeting at John Jay College about sex trafficking,

and some of the speakers were Manhattan DA Sarah

Fan [phonetic], Deputy Mayor Robles Roman

[phonetic], and it's just so good to see so many

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City leaders as leaders on this issue, so thank you. I'm the executive director of Restore NYC, and our mission is to end sex trafficking in New York and bring complete care and hope to every foreign [phonetic] born survivor. We have met with approximately 100 exploited women each year over the past three years. We have partnerships with the Queens Criminal Court and Midtown Community Court and collaborate with other service providers as well as government agencies such as the Department of Homeland Security, FBI and the District Attorney's Office of Manhattan and Restore operates the region's only long term safe house for foreign national survivors of sex trafficking, and we will open a second safe house later this year. Our vision for our safe houses are that will not be a shelter; it will be a home for these women that they need and that they deserve. We urge the Council to pass this resolution. Each year as you know, thousands of girls and women are tricked, coerced and manipulated into coming to our great state and our great city and then sexually exploited. Trafficking Victims Protection and Justice Act is

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a non-partisan common sense legislation that will not only increase accountability for buyers and traffickers, but strategically improve the protection of victims and prevent revictimization [phonetic]. It should be passed with all the current provisions.

Let me just highlight a provision that is juts very smart that will actually make a real difference in deterrence. For many of the exploited women we work with, they were driven around in livery cars and limos by drivers who are aware of what was happening. This bill amends the penal law so that a driver who engages in a business or enterprise of transporting people for prostitution can be prosecuted. It makes complete Most livery car drivers are actually hard working immigrants who have legitimate jobs. A message to them that they would be prosecuted for this can easily tip them into deciding not to take part in trafficking; thus, making it more difficult for traffickers to transport victims. We have seen too many girls and women suffer way too much and too many predators get away with way too much. This legislation is a part of the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	solution to bring justice, provide services, hope
3	and again, show the nation that New York is a
4	leader in combating modern day slavery. Thank
5	you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you.

SONIA OSSORIO: I don't think I gave you guys my testimony. Thanks so much for having the hearings today and for all of your work in this area. I'm Sonia Ossorio. I'm the president of the National Organization for Women here in New York City. We've been actively working on advocating for human trafficking victims for the past six years. We've learned a lot in the last five years from the time that we passed the first bill, which was basically recognizing human trafficking as a crime and making it a crime. We've learned how to investigate these crimes and what prosecutors need to be able to take these pimps and traffickers out of the game, and this bill does exactly that. Ι′m not going to go through everything because since I've been here, you've heard it once or twice-all the provisions and I think you have a very good understanding, but you know, we need the higher

penalties as Sarah pointed out, and we need it on
the labor trafficking side as well. It's a D
felony now. You take a metro card and you bend it
so that it will trick the turn style, that's also
a D felony. So that's what our elected officials
are saying to the citizens who elect them that
that's how much we care about mostly the
immigrants in this country who are coming here and
who are being exploited on farms, from Buffalo to
New York City or nail salons here in New York
City. So that's also We need to see those
penalties go up. We've got to give these
prosecutors the incentive to go after these cases.
They take time. They take resources. They are
tricky cases. If they're pled down all the time
down to misdemeanors, it's not always worth their
time. We have to support them better with
stronger penalties that reflect the violence that
is there for the sex trafficking. We need the
ability to use wire taps. I know everybody here
is familiar about the case that was busted here in
Manhattan just recently. It was really a
phenomenal example of great work and how far our
city and our elected officials and our law

2	enforcement have come in fighting human
3	trafficking. There was a father and son team who
4	were bringing women here from Pennsylvania,
5	tattooing them with barcodes. That case was built
6	on the wire taps. They didn't need to rely so
7	much on putting the women out there to build this
8	case. It was there on the wire tapes—the threats
9	of violence, everything to document what was
10	happening. But those wire taps were not issued
11	because they wanted to catch a trafficker, they
12	were issued because it was a fraud case
13	originated, and they stumbled upon the trafficking
14	there. That's really the key to it. If you speak
15	to any prosecutor, which I'm sure they've told you
16	about earlier, the livery drivers, which Jimmy
17	just talked about, which is really, really
18	important, I want to just give you a quite from
19	some testimony from last October—has anybody…? I
20	don't know if you remember this, but this was a
21	young woman from Mexico who was brought here when
22	she was 20 years old when business was slow, her
23	traffickers would give her to drivers and this is
24	from her testimony. These drivers knew the exact
25	details of each customer's likes and dislikes.

б

Before each stop, the driver would order me how
much to charge, whether to use my own condoms,
lubricant for the clients, whether to make noise
or stay completely quiet during sex, even which
positions the client preferred. In some cases he
would warn me this is a very good client. I bring
him a lot of girls, so you better do whatever he
wants to make him happy. These guys are
traffickers in and of themselves and the networks
would not be able to operate without them. If we
want real results, if we want measurable results,
we've got to have strong and effective laws;
otherwise, these traffickers who operate here
brazenly in our neighborhood, advertise blatantly
in our newspapers and online—I mean, they think
it's a joke. So much happens just under the radar
screen. You know, there was a women when I
started this work like six years ago who on her
first night coming into New York from JFK, she was
put into a brothel. She thought she had a
boyfriend here waiting for her. She went to a
brothel where she had to service men that was \$25
for 15 minutes' worth of sex and there was a
waiting room of men waiting for their turn.

Really ugly stuff. Six years later the only thing
that has changed in that scenario is the price
'cause now it's \$35. I've met girls, teens, even
pre-teens put on the street by older, abusive,
conniving, threatening men, but when they're
arrested as you've heard here, they are treated as
criminals, not the abused kids that they are, and
there is such a simple answer. We just have to do
one simple thing, and that is we have to make New
York law in line with the federal law, which
recognizes that minors are trafficking victims.
We shouldn't have to prove the coercion. Yet-now,
here's the part you haven't heard—yet, or maybe
you have, but yet the merits of this provision,
this simple provision of saying that minors are
children and they should be trafficking victims
for being put out on the street, the merits of
that are being debated in Albany now. What is
that? This is the only civilized thing to do is
to change this provision. You know, the amount of
misery is unimaginable and unmeasured
unfortunately to a great degree, so I know that
all of you have shown real care and compassion on
this issue, a sense of duty to rid our city of

б

human trafficking, and I applaud all that you have
done, but we need your help desperately now.
Everyone who has come before you has expertise in
this. You've got women here at this table. Men
who have worked directly with victims day in and
day out, year after year. We've got law
enforcement who have finally stepped up to the
plate to do something and their hands are tied.
This bill was crafted by some of the best minds.
So we need not only this resolution, but we need
you to stand with us to demand this because you
know what? This bill speaks directly to New York
City. It's us. This is our lives. This is our

people, and we can't do it without you.

Very much for your testimony. Thank you for all of your testimonies actually. I think that everyone kind of spoke—usually, you hear advocates speak and it kind of gets repetitive, but you all did a phenomenal job because everyone spoke to different perspective. As you know, I have been a staunch supporter of being able to bring sex trafficking to everybody. It should be really a conversation piece at everybody's dinner table,

б

not just for what we're doing as elected
officials, but what are our young people doing
when they hear referrals? What are the
schools doing? There are so many layers to sex
trafficking 'cause I think that unfortunately when
we see these exploited children by the time they
get the precinct, there is a lot of systems that
have failed them way before they get there, so I
think that this resolution really speaks to the
confidence this Council has and also the Speaker
has and you know, I'm really excited to get it out
of this Committee and onto the floor and I'm also
equally as excited to be voting on tomorrow's
proposed bill through the Committee on
Transportation that speaks specifically to the
livery industry and you know, it's incredibly
frustrating when you hear those people that are
even criticizing that aspect of it, so I actually
applaud your efforts and understanding that we're
doing our work here, but also I like the call that
you make for people to really understand and say,
look, there is a conversation that is happening in
Albany that's completely putting pressure against
how we should be moving when it comes to sex

2 trafficking.

We've been joined by Council Member 3 Annabel Palma of the Bronx. I wanted to 4 5 specifically speak and this is more so in reference to a hearing that we had back in the 6 fall, and it seems that a lot of the arrests-and this was the hearing in conjunction with the 9 Public Safety Committee—that they're not doing very many international arrests, so I wanted to 10 11 hear from your clients 'cause sometimes your 12 clients aren't necessarily coming in from being 13 arrested, there's clients that are calling in 14 hotlines and doing all other ways or other ways to 15 reach you, but how many victims primarily have 16 been trafficked in New York from other countries, 17 other states or from within New York? What I've heard in our testimonies is a lot of the young 18 19 people from within New York, a couple from out of 20 state, not very many international, and it seems 21 that whenever we have the media attention to sex 22 trafficking, it tends to focus more on the international rings, so it's one thing what's 23 24 being said in the media and another thing is what 25 is actually happening in our district attorney's

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

offices and our precincts, so I just wanted to
hear where are we failing? What is happening? Or
tis this just a misnomer?

SARAH DOLAN: I quess I'll start and I think Jimmy is probably an expert on the international side of things, but I will say that I see almost exclusively women who have been trafficked domestically. I mentioned I work in the Bronx Criminal Court and the vast majority of my clients were born and raised in the Bronx. Unlike some other people, I actually see these clients because they are getting charged with prostitution rather than being identified as sex trafficking victims, and it's so hard to answer your question because the numbers depend on-you know, they have to please guilty for example to come and see me as a part of my program, and so that's very much contingent upon the defense counsel, whether or not they are going to allow their client to plead out to a prostitution charge, based on the DA's current practices of only accepting kind of the - - charge of prostitution rather than a lesser charge of say disorderly conduct or the prostitution violation,

б

as well as arrest trends and policing trends in
the Bronx, and so it's much easier and I think
Jimmy can probably speak to this a little bit but,
it's very easy to go out to Hunt's Point
[phonetic] or to go to Jerome [phonetic] Avenue
where they know where the are and—I mean, I've
seen several clients over and over and over again,
and I hope I'm not getting myself or Sanctuary in
trouble when I say this, but I think there is
sometimes that I'm an unspoken quota, right? If
somebody hasn't necessarily gotten enough rest
they know where the are; they know where they
can go pick up a girl, and that's a very easy
arrest. It's much harder to access to women who
have been trafficked internationally who are
working in the massage parlors, who are working
maybe in hotels—in the higher end hotels or in the
brothels, it's much more hidden and it's much
harder to bring a case against the traffickers in
that particular instance, which is why I think not
that they don't exist, they're just kind of more
hidden. I think your issues of numbers versus
perception, we do not have numbers. We don't know
how many women are out there, so it's hard to

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 speak to that.

JIMMY LEE: Just to echo I mean the data and methodology for estimating numbers, it's so early and the crime is so hidden that it's incredibly difficult getting numbers. Having said that, it's fairly clear that domestic trafficking in terms of pure numbers in the city is greater than international, but at the same time as Sarah said, getting these cases for international traffickers are very difficult. Last week I met with the Department of Homeland Security and I asked the same question you asked, and they really said that these are multi-year investigations that they plead that they're capacity constrained and they are often focused on just one region, so right now the Department of Homeland Security in our region is really focusing almost all their efforts on a region in Mexico that sends women to New York and that sometimes comes at the expense of other trafficking rings, and I think because getting survivors to cooperate is so difficult and because our law enforcement agencies are kind of either strapped for capacity and want to focus, we're just not seeing the prosecutions like you

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said on the international side.

FEMALE VOICE: I just wanted to add, Councilwoman Ferreras, as usual your insight is right on point and the issue is what I'm talking about is about the media and the focus on immigrant victims and I think a lot of what that has to do with is deeply rooted and engrained - historical prejudice against women who are in prostitution and I think that's one of the main things we're trying to address in this legislation both through the semantic changes as well as through the substantive ones and that's about really taking a step back and trying to see people who are victims as victims and have our justice system treat them as such and hopefully this will also start to bleed into the media and they will start to change their practices and stop referring to women who are murdered on - - Beach by the serial killer as hookers because we know for a fact that at least one of those women was a trafficking victim. Her trafficker has been indicted so why is the newspaper calling her a hooker not a person? It's dehumanizing. It's gendered and it's stigmatizing.

2	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you
3	very much, and I think that speaks specifically to
4	what I was trying to get at is about changing our
5	language and our perceptions and you all are doing
6	it for your clients. You're doing an amazing job
7	and I also want to kind of take this opportunity
8	to add that when-too often people hear the words
9	sex trafficking, they think automatically
10	international, and they're not thinking our young
11	girls from-that are coming down from Pennsylvania
12	or as far as California coming right into our Port
13	Authority and being victimized on places like
14	Backpage and a whole host of other ways that
15	they're being trafficked that this really is not
16	just an international issue. Ironically for
17	whatever reason the numbers don't reflect it, but
18	everyone thinks international first when this
19	really is as much of a domestic problem that we
20	have with our young girls often times born and
21	raised right here in New York City and it is
22	really a city-wide problem, so this is not an
23	international problem. This is all of our
24	problem. So I thank you very much for your
25	advocacy. Do you have any other questions? Can

you p lease expand on the provisions that are at risk of being removed from the final version of the bill?

SONIA OSSORIO: It's almost all of them. The language change is a definite go, but again, you know, it's symbolic and it goes exactly to the point that both you and Emily were making, which is great, but it doesn't change the law in any way. It doesn't make it easier to get traffickers or get services for victims. The aggravated patronizing of a minor is a go, which is great, and maybe the livery car drivers—

EMILY AMICK: So you know, I will say that we are, you know, blessed and privileged to have two sponsors who are really dedicated to trying to achieve change in Albany, but unfortunately as so often happens, there is a log jam in the Codes Committee and in the drafting and we're really facing that and I think it's going to be an uphill battle over the next two weeks, which is the time we have left to get this passed and to see how much we can get through. There are a few key provisions that are currently on the chopping block that we really want to see some leadership

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from our Assembly Members, from our Senators and that we could really value City Council stepping up and really trying to push them saying, "we need you to do this." One of them would be removing to coercion requirement for trafficking of minors. think you've heard pretty much everyone who has come up here has spoken to that as one of the most critical components of this bill, and I think it's something that will fundamentally change the way we as a state prosecute traffickers. Another key important one that pretty much everyone up here has talked about that is also on the chopping block is extending safe harbor to 16 and 17 year olds and really making sure that we're treating out trafficked youth as victims instead of continuing to treat them as criminals. major one that a lot of people have discussed that is also on the chopping block is the affirmative defense. I'm a lawyer, so I'm a little boring, and I simply see this is a logical extension of the - - law. There is actually—they've already made this statement that if you're a trafficking victim, you shouldn't be convicted of a criminal offense, but unfortunately because I think a lot

2.

of having to do with deep seeded historical ideas
about who it is that's engaged in prostitution in
our state that they are not currently willing to
commit to putting the affirmative defense on the
books and I think that is something that would
really impact the lives of victims fundamentally
here.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Alright.

Thank you for that clarification and hopefully,
this reso will be sending a clear message up to
Albany and maybe we will have to make a visit to
Albany as a couple of members. What do you think,
Annabel?

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: I'm ready.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Alright. So we will be seeing how other ways we can support. Again, thank you very much for your advocacy and your testimony today. And with that, we're going to be calling this hearing to a close, and we will be voting on this resolution very soon. Thank you very much.

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Kimberley Uhlig
Signature	()
Date	June 26, 2012