CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

March 27, 2012 Start: 9:51 a.m. Recess: 11:26 a.m.

HELD AT:

Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gale A. Brewer
Daniel R. Garodnick
David G. Greenfield
G. Oliver Koppell
Jessica S. Lappin
Darlene Mealy
Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Deborah L. Rose
James G. Van Bramer
Vincent M. Ignizio

Peter A. Koo Eric A. Ulrich

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Is the tape on?
I never knew these microphones came out like this.
Look at this. I'm only here six years. Give me a
chance to find out about these things. Okay,
thank you. Thank you, everyone. Today is March
27 th , 2012. I am James Vacca. I am chair of the
Transportation Committee of the New York City
Council, and I'd like to welcome the members who
are here today. Let me introduce them: Council
Member Oliver Koppell, Council Member Gale Brewer,
Council Member Debbie Rose, myself, Council Member
Ydanis Rodriguez, Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer,
Council Member Vincent Ignizio, and Councilman
Peter Koo. Before we get started, I want to thank
all the staff who have worked very hard on the
bills before us today, and particular thanks go to
attorney, Jen Wilcox [phonetic], who drafted three
of the bills involved.

Today we're here to vote on two
bills designed to improve street safety and street
use for the visually impaired. The first bill,
Intro 183-A, sponsored by Council Member Gale
Brewer requires the Department of Transportation
to install 25 accessible pedestrian signals each

year. These signals beep when the walk signal is given, and are critical to low vision individuals as they navigate our streets.

The second bill, Intro 745-A, which I sponsored requires DOT to publish on the Internet a verbal version of street regulations pertaining to pedestrian safety, so that those who are blind or visually impaired can have a verbal version of getting around our city and how to do so.

We're also going to vote on Intro 449, sponsored by Council Member Oliver Koppell that requires livery cabs to post signage indicating that all passengers have the right to request an accessible livery cab.

Today we're also here to override
the mayoral veto of two parking bills. The first,
Intro 546-A, sponsored by Council Member David
Greenfield, which would ban the Department of
Sanitation from affixing stickers to alleged
alternate side parking violators is before us, and
as we discussed in this Committee, the ticket is
punishment enough. The stickers are excessive.
So this is one where I would urge the Committee to

override the veto of the mayor.

The second, Intro 490-A, sponsored by Council Member Jim Gennaro, would allow for a five minute grace period in the purchase of a muni meter receipt and would allow traffic enforcement agents to cancel a ticket issued within the five minute window. Muni meters are often not immediately adjacent to a parking spot, and giving drivers a five minute grace period to purchase their ticket is a matter of basic fairness. Do any members wish to be heard? Council Member Koppell?

Mr. Chairman. I think that many of the—in fact, all but one of the matters before us today are meritorious and I strongly support them. I might just note in passing that I wish in addition to telling people they can get an accessible livery cab, we made all of our yellow taxis accessible, but that's a fight that I'm still trying to win, but aside from that, my concern is with the 490-A, the proposal to override the mayor's veto of the bill which would allow traffic enforcement agents to cancel a ticket on the spot right on the

street. As you know, Mr. Chairman, we've passed	
other legislation prime sponsor being Council	
Member Garodnick, and I was a co-sponsor, which I	
believe the mayor has signed into law, and that	
indicates that if you get a ticket within five	
minutes either way of the time that you purchase a	£
little muni parking receipt, and you sent that	
receipt in, you'll get your violation dismissed,	
so there's an alternative available, and that's	
the law right now, and it's a good alternative,	
and it meets the problem that you, Mr. Chairman,	
have identified, which is in the instance where	
someone is just in the process of getting a muni	
meter receipt, they get a ticket. I don't think	
that happens all that often, but if it does	
happen, there is a remedy. We have a remedy.	
It's the law, and people can take advantage of it	•
The problem with this proposal by Council Member	
Gennaro, which the mayor vetoed, is it can lead to	D
debates and altercations on the street. I can see	3
very easily people saying, oh, it is five minutes	•
It isn't five minutes. Look at my watch. Your	
machine is wrong. All kinds of disputes of that	
sort will arise between the muni meters and the	

individual parkers. We already have a lot of
antagonism. People don't like getting tickets
from the traffic enforcement agents-used to be
called meter maids, but now I think it's better to
call them traffic enforcement agents, and we
already have enough altercations right now because
people are annoyed, they feel they shouldn't get
summons by these people, and there even have been
assaults, and this proposal opens it up to
disputes. Also, I might say, it opens it up to
possible corruption. I realize that you can sort
of check because you have an electronic record,
but it's hard to do that. We know that in the
Bronx unfortunately we've had a ticket fixing
scandal that involved a lot of police officers.
This is, you know—I can easily see people trying
to bribe the traffic enforcement agent to cancel
the ticket. Allowing these agents to cancel
tickets on the spot is a mistake. It will lead to
altercations. It will lead to possible
corruption. We have a solution. It's not like we
don't have a solution. The solution is now the
law. If you get one of these things within five
minutes and you send it in, the ticket will be

dismissed. IF they don't make that clear to people, they should. And if that's the problem, we should address that problem that perhaps there should be a little notice on the receipt or there should be other notification of parkers that they have this remedy, but I think it's a grave mistake for us to override the veto to solve a problem that we've already solved. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will vote against overriding the mayor's veto.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,

Council Member Koppell. If I can clarify, and of
course, with all due respect to my esteemed

colleague, I disagree with him, I'm going to vote
to override the mayoral veto. I do think that the
legislation before us, the Councilman Gennaro bill
you spoke of, will be a cause for less

confrontation. I believe that many times with the
new muni meters we now have installed in our cityand 50% of our city has muni meters and the rest
will be getting them online very soon—we've heard
instances of senior citizens and disabled people
who have the muni meter receipt who have walked
down the block with the receipt and then see a

traffic enforcement agent in the process of giving
the ticket, so therefore, this is all electronic.
This is something that a person can show the
traffic agent that because they were disabled,
because they were elderly, because they had young
children, they have the receipt, they were in the
process of putting it into the car window, so I
think for the sake of clarity, this is a good
piece of legislation. Also, you should know that
we did review the legislation with the union
representing traffic enforcement agents, and many
of the concerns they had, Councilman, were similar
to yours, and the changes and modifications we
made in the legislation met with their approval,
so I would urge my colleagues to override the
mayoral veto. Any other member wishes to be
heard? Yes? I wish to recognize Council Member
Lappin, who is here. Council Member Gale Brewer?
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just want
to thank the Chair regarding proposed Intro 183-A,
which has to do with the accessible signals. The
accessible pedestrian signals as the Chair
indicated will give those who are visually
challenged or blind the ability to cross more

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

safely, and I think the advantage is as he has said over and over is the following, we do have thanks to the Department of Transportation some signals where there is heavy traffic because there's the Jewish - - for the Blind or Visions [phonetic] or the Lighthouse [phonetic]—these incredibly wonderful organizations, but as Ken Stewart [phonetic] testified and I think many others agree one doesn't just go those locations, one goes all over the city. One works. Many visually blind people work. Many people are just active individuals in our community, and what they really, really want is to be able to go safely to all of these different locations. Sometimes, the busiest intersections where there may not be any supportive mechanism, like Jewish Guild, is the place that people need the signals the most, so this is a really exciting bill. Other cities have already embarked on these kinds of signals, and I want to be clear to those who worry about noise, you can hardly hear them except at that location, and so they work for the pedestrian safety. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. You have been a true hero on this issue.

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
3	Council Member Brewer, and you are a true hero, so
4	from one hero to another, we've got to get
5	together for coffee one day and talk. Council
6	Member Garodnick is here. I want to make note of
7	that for the record. So, why don't we proceed?
8	I'm going to ask the clerk to take a vote on
9	proposed Intro 183-A, 449-A and 745-A, and then to
LO	take separate roll call votes on MO 746 of 2012,
11	Intro 490-A, MO 747 2012 and Intro 546-A. The
L2	clerk, I turn it over to you. Shall we accept and
L3	file the mayor's veto message of Intro 49-A, the
L4	Chair urges a yes vote. Oh, you want to do the
L5	M's first? Okay, so why don't we take a separate
L6	vote on legislation MO 746 2012? The Chair
L7	recommends a yes vote.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK: Shall we accept
19	and file M 746? Council Member Vacca?
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Votes yes.
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: Brewer?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Koppell?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [no
25	audible response]

12
•
and
nd
in
.1
ı
ave a
ept
r

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 12	
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rose?	
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye.	
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Van Bramer?	
5	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.	
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ignizio?	
7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.	
8	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of	
9	eight in the affirmative, two in the negative and	
10	no abstentions, M 746 is accepted and filed and	
11	Intro 490-A is readopted.	
12	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: 490-A is	
13	readopted, and the mayor's veto is overridden in	
14	this case. Okay, we recommend that the Council	
15	override it. MO 747 2012 and Intro 546-A, you	
16	will now call the roll on that, and we will have a	
17	motion whether or not the Committee shall accept	
18	and file the mayor's veto message. The Chair	
19	recommends a yes vote.	
20	COMMITTEE CLERK: Council Member	
21	Vacca?	
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Votes aye.	
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Brewer?	
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Aye.	
25	COMMITTEE CLERK: Koppell?	

	$\overline{}$
	~
L	J

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 13
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Aye.
3	COMMITTEE CLERK: Garodnick?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
5	COMMITTEE CLERK: Lappin?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rodriguez?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
9	COMMITTEE CLERK: Koo?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rose?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye.
13	COMMITTEE CLERK: Van Bramer?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.
15	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ignizio?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.
17	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of ten
18	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no
19	abstentions, M 747 is accepted and filed, and
20	Introduction 546-A has been readopted.
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Both Intros
22	have now been passed, notwithstanding the veto of
23	the mayor. Now the last three, I can group those
24	together. Okay? We'll now vote on proposed
25	Intros 183-A, 449-A and 745-A. These deal with

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 15		
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Van Bramer?		
3	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.		
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ignizio?		
5	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.		
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of ten		
7	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no		
8	abstentions, Introductions 183-A, 449-A and 745-A		
9	have been adopted. Members, please sign the all		
10	Committee reports. Thank you.		
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. I		
12	will leave the record open until 11 o'clock for		
13	additional members—		
14	MALE VOICE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to		
15	vote. I'd like to revote aye.		
16	[laughter]		
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Without any		
18	further business, this Committee is held in		
19	recess, leaving the record open until 11am.		
20	Please sign the report—all members.		
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: William Martin,		
22	Committee Clerk, continuation of roll call in the		
23	Committee on Transportation on coupled items		
24	intros 183-A, 449-A and 745-A. Council Member		
25	Greenfield?		

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 16		
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye on		
3	all.		
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: That vote now		
5	stands at 11 in the affirmative, zero in the		
6	negative and no abstentions. On Items M 746 and		
7	Introduction 490-A, Council Member Greenfield?		
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye on		
9	all.		
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: That vote now		
11	stands at nine in the affirmative, two in the		
12	negative and zero abstentions. And finally, on M		
13	747 and Introduction 546-A, Council Member		
14	Greenfield?		
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye on		
16	all.		
17	COMMITTEE CLERK: That vote now		
18	stands at 11 in the affirmative, zero in the		
19	negative and no abstentions. Thank you.		
20	[long pause]		
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: William Martin,		
22	Committee Clerk. Continuation roll call Committee		
23	on Transportation on coupled Introductions 183-A,		
24	449-A and 745-A, Council Member Mealy?		
25	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I vote aye		

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, land that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Kimberley	Uhlig
Date	4/7/12	