CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
COMMITTEE ON AGING
SUBCOMMITTEE ON SENIOR CENTERS

----X

March 2, 2012 Start: 10:13 a.m. Recess: 1:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

DOMENIC M. RECCHIA, JR.

JESSICA S. LAPPIN DAVID G. GREENFIELD

Chairpersons

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Jessica S. Lappin David G. Greenfield

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Gale A. Brewer

Vincent J. Gentile

Melissa Mark-Viverito

Margaret S. Chin

Deborah L. Rose

Peter A. Koo

James S. Oddo

G. Oliver Koppell

Albert Vann

James G. Van Bramer

Ubiqus 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Karen Koslowitz
Diana Reyna
Fernando Cabrera
Darlene Mealy
Joel Rivera
Stephen T. Levin
Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.
Robert Jackson
Jumaane D. Williams

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

David M. Frankel Commissioner NYC Department of Finance

Sara Meyers Assistant Commissioner NYC Department of Finance

Lilliam Barrios-Paoli Commissioner NYC Department for the Aging

Kim Hernandez Assistant Deputy Commissioner NYC Department for the Aging

Vito Lopez Assembly Member New York State Assembly

Aravella Simotas Assembly Member New York State Assembly

Alec Brook-Krasny Assembly Member New York State Assembly

Rafael Espinol Assembly Member New York State Assembly

Aida Morel
On behalf of
Grace Meng
Assembly Member
New York State Assembly

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Allison Weingarten Social Work Intern Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program Queens Community House

Fern Hertzberg Executive Director ARC XVI Fort Washington

Jane Landry-Reyes Senior Staff Attorney Housing Unit South Brooklyn Legal Services

Kaitlin Nelson Assistant Director of Development VISIONS Services for the Blind and Visually Impaired

Reuven Lipkind
On behalf of
Eric Adams
Senator
New York State Senate

Faye Brown

2.

C	CHAIRPERSON RECC	HIA: Good morning
and welcome to t	today's Finance	Committee hearing.
My name is Domer	nic M. Recchia,	Jr., and I'm the
chair of this we	onderful committ	

Today's Finance hearing is joint with Aging Committee, chaired by my colleague and co-chair Jessica Lappin, and the Subcommittee on Senior Centers, chaired by my colleague David Greenfield.

Before I begin, I'd like to introduce all my Council Members who have joined us here today. To my left we have Peter Koo and Council Member David Greenfield. To my right we have Council Member Jimmy Oddo from Staten Island.

In 2009, Mayor Bloomberg submitted legislation to the Council to transfer the administration of SCRIE program from DFTA to Department of Finance. According to the bill's memo in support, the assumption of SCRIE operation by the DOF was designed, quote, "achieve a better customer service experience for seniors as well as reduce administrative burdens on the city. DOF has the technology or capacity to streamline the SCRIE process by cross checking databases and

2 making determinations with respect to initial
3 eligibility and renewal applications."

This assumption has, however, not proven to be true. On September 27th, 2011, the Finance Committee, jointly with the Aging Committee held an oversight hearing to examine DOF'S implementation of the SCRIE program and learn about the success and failures that the DOF has encountered when implementing the program.

As a result of the hearing, the committees learned that DOF's current process of administering the program was inefficient. Some highlights of what we have learned is seniors have experienced delays in the time it takes to process initial SCRIE application and renewal application. Some delays have been as long as six months.

DOF has only 13 fulltime staff
members to deal with SCRIE issues, compared to
DFTA which had 30 employees. DOF does not have a
public phone number that SCRIE tenants or owners
with SCRIE tenants can use to contact someone
directly at DOF about the SCRIE program. A
computer glitch caused errors in landlords'
property tax bills, causing all 15,000 buildings

2	receiving SCRIE benefits to have erroneous tax
3	bills with the improper amount of SCRIE credits
4	applied and no credits applied at all.

The committees also learned that the problems that existed with DOF's administration of the SCRIE program also existed with the Disability Rent Increase Exemption, known as DRIE program, which was also transferred to DOF in 2009 and has a similar structure and administrative model as SCRIE. But the benefit varies and is provided to disabled individuals.

As a result of that hearing,

Council Members Lappin, Greenfield and myself
introduced legislation to address the
inefficiencies of the administration of the SCRIE
and DRIE programs by DOF and ensure that seniors
and individuals with disabilities and the
landlords who rent to such individuals are
receiving the benefits and services of the SCRIE
and DRIE programs correctly and in a timely
manner.

A summary of the bill is provided in the briefing papers prepared by my committee staff. And I just want to thank my entire

2.

comm	ttee staff, under the leadership of Preston
Nibl	ck, Jeffrey Rodus and my attorney Tanisha
Edwa	ds, Antony Brito and Pakhi and everyone else,
and	essica Lappin's staff. I would like to thank
all	or their hard work.

The committees have a lot of questions today. We have a lot of people who want to testify, including the Department of Finance Commissioner David Frankel and Aging Commissioner Lilliam Barrios-Paoli. So before we get started, I would turn the mic over to my co-chair David Greenfield to make an opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Good morning, my name is David
Greenfield. I chair the Senior Centers
Subcommittee. I'd like to thank Chair Recchia and
Chair Lappin for working together on this joint
hearing to consider this important legislation.

Many of New York City's senior and disabled populations are in need of stable and affordable housing, and the SCRIE and DRIE programs offer a critical lifeline to many of our city's neediest residents.

Folks that have to chat, if you

2 | don't mind, stepping outside. We'd appreciate it.

Back in 2009, when the

administrative duties regarding SCRIE and DRIE

were transferred from the Department for the Aging

to the Department of Finance, it was thought that

having one agency assume responsibility for the

program would improve efficiency and remove some

administrative burdens from the city. It was also

thought that this streamlining would make for a

better customer service experience for landlords

and tenants alike. Unfortunately, this hasn't

happened.

In reality, the move from DFTA to Finance has not improved efficiency nor has it made it easier for applicants to navigate government bureaucracy.

So what do we do? Well sometimes government makes mistakes and a sign of a robust government is when the government is willing to admit those mistakes and fix them and that's what we're proposing to do today by moving SCRIE and DRIE back to the Department for the Aging and adding a new provision that would make agency communications and information provided to the

2 public more robust.

I want to thank all of you who have come to testify at this hearing. We look forward to hearing your input. I want to thank the two commissioners, Commissioner Frankel and Commissioner Lilliam Barrios-Paoli for coming out here and testifying today. I also want to thank the terrific staff. I think we already mentioned Preston, Jeffrey, Tanisha, Tony and Pakhi, but we can't forget, of course, Robert and Matt who my BlackBerry tells me actually doesn't sleep at night. So thank you, Matt.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Before we let the commissioners testify, I'd like to recognize all my Council Members who have joined us:

Council Member Al Vann, Council Member Koppell,

Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer, Council Member

Karen Koslowitz and of course, Council Member Gale

Brewer who does a great job and she was at the forefront of the SCRIE problem when it first--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Just trying
to give you recognition. You're not going to be
in any trouble. I'm going to make you look good.

2	[Pause]
_	[- 5:5:5 5]

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: We've also
been joined by Council Member Margaret Chin.
been joined by council Member Margaret Chin.
While Council Member Jessica Lappin is sitting
down and getting her stuff together, I just want
to remind all my colleagues that Monday morning we
have the first budget hearing. We will begin with
Mark Page and it will be at 10:00 sharp. It'll be
in the City Council Chamber across the street. So
10:00 sharp. I just want to put that and make
sure that's on your calendar. All the budget
hearings begin next week. After Mark Page, again
we'll be with Commissioner David Frankel. So have
back to back days with this wonderful committee.
You're very lucky.
DAVID M. FRANKEL: I feel
extraordinarily lucky.
CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Now
I'd like to turn the microphone over to the chair
of the DFTA, of the Aging Committee in New York
City Council, Council Member Jessica Lappin.
CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Good morning
everyone. I assume you already introduced the

members of the Aging Committee who are here. Is

2 that correct? Okay.

I'm Jessica Lappin, chair of the Aging Committee. I'm very happy to be co-chairing this hearing today with Council Member Recchia. We had a very interesting and heated hearing on the topic of SCRIE not too long ago and some of the thoughts and feedback that we received have been incorporated into the legislation that we are considering today. I very much look forward to hearing testimony from both commissioners who are here and from the public as well, so we can figure out how best to move forward legislatively and to making SCRIE work better for everyone. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you very much, Council Member Jessica Lappin. We've also been joined by Council Member Debi Rose from Staten Island. I believe we introduced everyone. Now we'll call on the commissioners. We're very fortunate to have two commissioners here with us this morning, so I want to thank you both for taking time out of your busy schedules.

DAVID FRANKEL: Always a pleasure.

Good morning Chairman Recchia, Chairwoman Lappin,

Subcommittee Chair Greenfield and members of the

2.

City Council Finance and Aging Committees, and
Subcommittee on Senior Centers. I am David
Frankel, Commissioner of the New York City
Department of Finance. I am joined by
Commissioner Lilliam Barrios-Paoli from the
Department of Aging and my Assistant Commissioner
Sara Meyers, who oversees our exemptions and
abatements programs. Thank \cdot you for the
opportunity to discuss Intro 731-A.

While the Department of Finance supports the intent of this bill to ensure transparency and quality customer service, we respectfully oppose transferring SCRIE and DRIE administration to DFTA.

We recognize that SCRIE and DRIE are special exemptions serving vulnerable populations, and it is vital to me that we provide them with exemplary service. Since the SCRIE program was transferred to Finance, we have experienced some growing pains, but we have also made many changes to improve the administration of the program.

Since testifying before the City Council in September 2011, we have been working

with DFTA, senior advocacy groups and landlord groups on a focused effort to enhance customer service, and we will continue to make more improvements.

I am committed to continuing our partnership with Commissioner Barrios-Paoli and DFTA to further develop our collaborative outreach and customer service plan to ensure that eligible New York City seniors are aware of SCRIE and can easily navigate the program. Both agencies are committed to working with the Council on these issues, and we welcome your input.

Commissioner Barrios-Paoli and I agree that keeping SCRIE at Finance provides the best of both worlds, coupling Finance's tax exemption administration expertise with DFTA's expertise in serving seniors. We can provide the best service to eligible seniors and the disabled with continued administration from Finance and collaboration with DFTA on outreach.

Finance is uniquely qualified to handle the many aspects of exemption administration, including SCRIE and DRIE. Our staff processes 26 personal and commercial

exemption programs, including the New York State School Tax Relief exemptions, the veterans exemptions, the senior and disabled homeowners exemptions and the clergy exemption. The 26 exemption programs we administer have a total value of approximately \$4.5 billion annually.

SCRIE was initially administered by HPD and then transferred to DFTA, where it was administered for more than ten years before coming to Finance in 2009. Each of these changes was accompanied by a transitional period, with a natural learning curve for recipients and the agency. The transfer of SCRIE back to DFTA would reverse the progress we have made and adversely affect the people this program is intended to help. DFTA does not currently have the office space, staff or processes in place to administer SCRIE and DFTA never administered DRIE.

These changes would be very
disruptive for the seniors in the SCRIE program
and we believe keeping SCRIE administration at
Finance and working to improve customer service is
a better alternative to disrupting the system and
starting again with a new set of growing pains.

I will not repeat testimony I gave in September 2011 about our progress since we first took over SCRIE in September 2009, but I do want to outline a number of improvements put into effect this fiscal year. All of these efforts are tied to our commitment to effective service for SCRIE recipients, applicants, advocates and landlords.

Starting in fall of 2011, our SCRIE team began contacting renewal applicants directly if they failed to respond to three letters requesting missing information. Renewal applicants have indicated they want to continue the benefit, and we want to help them do that.

Often our staff will make numerous phone calls in order to reach the right person. For example, a home caretaker might answer the phone and tell us to call the daughter or son of the SCRIE recipient. We then call additional caretakers or family members to ensure we make contact.

Staff has tried to call about 1,200 people in this category so far. Of those that we have tried to contact, approximately 20 percent

sent us in the information we needed, and 16
percent were eventually approved. Four percent
did not qualify for renewal. We will continue to
make this additional personalized attempt to reach
each pending renewal applicant who has not
answered any of our three follow-up letters.

Another of our customer service efforts has taken place in our Manhattan Business Center, where we have moved responsibility for our SCRIE walk-in service from administrative staff to our experienced customer service representatives.

DFTA provided training to our staff when we took over the program, and we will work with DFTA on additional training for our customer service staff to ensure that we remain sensitive to the unique needs of seniors.

In addition to the staffing change, we have expanded our SCRIE walk-in hours. We now take SCRIE walk-in customers at our Manhattan Business Center three days a week, one full day and two separate half days. We are exploring the possibility of increasing SCRIE hours at our Manhattan location and expanding SCRIE walk-in service to our other locations.

We have also spoken with DFTA and

are exploring ideas to supplement walk-in service

with direct assistance from DFTA staff so that

seniors could get help filling out applications,

receive assistance with other questions and be

7 referred to their local senior centers as needed.

In order to be responsive to current SCRIE recipients and to connect with others who may qualify for the program, we have been collaborating on outreach with DFTA to ensure we reach seniors and educate them about SCRIE.

DFTA has also been advising us on how to best target outreach based on the needs of the senior population. DFTA has helped us coordinate with senior centers to reeducate providers about SCRIE and we developed a new SCRIE informational flier, available in English, Spanish, Russian and Chinese. Soon it will be available in Korean as well based on feedback we received from senior centers we have visited.

In November, DFTA began distributing this new SCRIE flier via email and fax distribution list to 410 aging service providers, including senior centers, case

management agencies, 14,000 home delivered meal recipients, caregiver programs, homecare providers and legal services providers. DFTA continues to promote SCRIE in their outreach activities.

We have been monitoring the success of our joint efforts with DFTA and are discussing how our agencies can continue to partner on additional outreach to ensure that seniors find support in learning about the SCRIE program and filling out the necessary paperwork.

Another aspect of improved SCRIE customer service is the new Tenant Abatement and SCRIE tenant reports that became available for the first time via our website starting in December 2011. We worked closely with landlord and senior advocacy groups and made significant changes based on their input to increase transparency in the SCRIE program.

We have received very positive feedback from landlords and tenant advocates; they find the online information to be clear, comprehensive and helpful in managing their business. Advocates have also told us that these new reports enabled them to win housing cases for

their SCRIE clients. The new reports include

SCRIE activity listed by building address and

docket number, benefit amount, benefit expiration

date, and the date and amount of TAC distributed

to landlord accounts. SCRIE tenant reports are

updated approximately biweekly and the online TAC

reports are updated monthly. The online reports

are in addition to the quarterly SCRIE statements

of account that we send landlords listing TAC

credit against their property taxes.

Behind the scenes, Finance IT staff has started programming a new SCRIE database that will make administration of the program more simple. Our key enhancement is that the new system will automatically generate an acknowledgement letter when a SCRIE submission is received. Currently, we do not have the ability to send automatic acknowledgement letters. This change will go a long way in solving the problem of seniors waiting to hear whether we have received their information. We expect the new system will be online before the end of this calendar year.

Now let me turn to Intro 731-A.

While we respectfully oppose the transfer of SCRIE and DRIE administration to DFTA, we do support the intent of this bill to make the SCRIE more efficient, transparent and customer friendly and I'd like to provide the following comments.

Intro 731-A prescribes a quarterly reporting requirement pertaining to various defined phases of the SCRIE application approval process. We have suggested revisions to reflect the operational capabilities of our existing SCRIE database and the format of information available. We are happy to continue working on language to meet the spirit of the bill, and can also report additional data as it becomes available.

Intro 731-A mandates a quarterly mailing to landlords regarding their TAC. Our online SCRIE Tenant and TAC reports offer more information than the proposed bill requires and are easy to access. Based on the positive feedback we have already received about these reports, we believe this is a sufficient means to communicate with both landlords and tenants and that there is no need for an additional mandatory mailed quarterly report.

311.

We do not support the creation of a

dedicated phone number for SCRIE phone calls

capable of handling upwards of 100,000 calls each

year which are currently managed efficiently by

City residents by providing a single entry point into a complex city bureaucracy where people can receive help for any issue. This was an important improvement in service, and is one of the reasons why 311 is the right place for seniors to call for information about SCRIE. A senior concerned about SCRIE may also want information about lack of heat, how to get a pothole filled, or where to find a senior center. New Yorkers should not have to go back to the old days where each problem required a separate phone number and operators.

In calendar year 2011, 311 received more than 100,000 calls regarding SCRIE. The vast majority of these calls were resolved by 311 representatives who have access to our SCRIE database. Most of these calls are simple questions that 311 operators can quickly answer, such as the status of an application or how to

2 apply.

When callers ask questions that 311 operators cannot answer, they are told to call back in 14 days while the information is researched. These service requests are transferred to Finance and our staff provides 311 with the answers within a day or two. We are committed to maintaining this timely response to all SCRIE service requests.

The sheer volume of SCRIE calls, given the bill's requirement of a dedicated phone line, would require an in-house call center. The bill requires that at least one person's phone number be given out to respond to SCRIE inquiries. No one dedicated employee could possibly handle 100,000 calls annually, which is about 8,250 calls per month. We estimate that we would require at least 14 fulltime staff members to handle that call volume. The additional staff would require an extra expenditure of approximately \$1 million annually and initial capital investment of \$1.3 million.

Lastly, Intro 731-A requires Finance to provide certain information to

landlords and tenants whenever sending a landlord or tenant written communication. We support this idea and could meet this requirement by posting the full information on our website, but have suggested modifying the information that would be included in mailings as a more cost effective solution.

We look forward to continuing our collaboration with the Council and landlord and tenant groups, as we keep working to improve SCRIE and all of our programs. I appreciate the opportunity to testify and now turn over the floor to my colleague Commissioner Paoli.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Commissioner, before you go, I just want to introduce some of the colleagues who have joined us. We've been joined by Diana Reyna. We've been joined by Fernando Cabrera, Darlene Mealy, Maria Carmen Arroyo and I believe we have everyone else. Go ahead, Commissioner. We've also been joined by the Chairman of the Housing Committee in the State Assembly, Vito Lopez. Good morning. Thank you for coming.

LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Good

morning, Chairs Lappin and Recchia and
Subcommittee Chair Greenfield, as well the members
of the Aging and Finance Committees. My name is
Lilliam Barrios-Paoli and I am the Commissioner of
the Department for the Aging. I am here today to
discuss Introduction 731-A and the broader Senior
Citizen Rent Increase Exemption program or SCRIE.

administered SCRIE, as you know, for more than 10 years, until September of 2009. Prior to this time, SCRIE was administered by the Department of Housing, Preservation and Development. DFTA has never administered the DRIE program. SCRIE was transferred to the Department of Finance as per local law soon after I became Commissioner of DFTA.

The Department of Finance has testified before this body previously that the transition from DFTA to Finance was a difficult one and significant work had to be done to improve operations of the program within DOF. That being said, I am confident that the administration of SCRIE has significantly improved and DFTA has not received complaints from seniors regarding the

program in many months. For these reasons, DFTA

supports the continued administration of the SCRIE

program within the Department of Finance and

respectfully opposes Intro 731-A.

I understand and respect the

Council's commitment to protecting the interests
of its constituents in regard to the SCRIE

program. It is a crucial benefit for many
seniors, allowing them to maintain their housing
and independence in the community. However, in my
judgment, is not good public policy to force
another transition, especially since DOF has
worked hard to correct past problems within the
program. I strongly believe this would create
significant confusion for SCRIE recipients,
landlords and the social service providers that
refer clients to SCRIE.

As stated in Commissioner Frankel's testimony, DFTA and DOF have worked in close collaboration on SCRIE outreach and will continue these efforts. The agencies have jointly arranged outreach at senior centers; distributed DOF's SCRIE flyers to all DFTA contracted agencies as well as DFTA's 14,000 home delivered meal clients.

2.

To be clear, DFTA's outreach team has always
included SCRIE as part of our regular outreach
efforts and will continue to do so going forward.
From October 2010 to October 2011, DFTA reached
over 20,000 seniors at 214 outreach events.
Nonetheless, I think we can agree that government
should always strive for further improvement.

For these reasons, Commissioner

Frankel and I commit to working together to

develop a more comprehensive outreach and customer

service plan to ensure that eligible New York City

seniors have access to and are able to navigate

the SCRIE program with ease.

We are happy to present out plan to the Council and to collaborate with you on its development and implementation. DFTA is ready to support DOF in any way to ensure the continued success of the program. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I will now take questions.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you very much, Commissioner. We've been joined by Joel Rivera, Steve Levin and Darlene Mealy.

I'm going to ask a few questions,

the sixth floor of DFTA at 2 Lafayette.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: At 2

Lafayette. And was that open five days a week?

23

24

25

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 29
2	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: It was open
3	five days a week.
4	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay, and
5	eight hours a day?
6	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: In addition
8	to that, how many phone calls would you get in a
9	year, in a month, whatever is easier for you,
10	about SCRIE, inquiries about SCRIE?
11	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: You know, I
12	really do not know the answer to that. I'm
13	looking at my staff that administrated the program
14	before.
15	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: You could
16	call your staff up. We'd love to hear from your
17	staff. They could come on up and testify.
18	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Let me say
19	this. I don't know the exact number of phone
20	calls. SCRIE is one of the most frequent calls
21	that we get and still to this day it's just plain
22	information. It's a benefit that people need and
23	avail themselves of. So I would say probably
24	thousands of phone calls.
25	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. But we

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 30
2	don't have a number?
3	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: No. But I
4	could try to go back and see if could
5	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]
6	All right, I appreciate that. When you had SCRIE
7	and when the phone calls came in, they came
8	directly to DFTA?
9	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Do you
11	know how
12	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI:
13	[interposing] In the past few years, calls from
14	311, many a time when they could not be answered
15	by the 311 operator were referred to DFTA. So we
16	would get direct calls and we would get 311 calls.
17	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: That's what
18	I'm going to get next. Prior to 2009, if you
19	know, how many phone calls were made to 311
20	concerning DFTA? Do you know that?
21	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: About 800 a
22	month.
23	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: About 800 a
24	month were made to DFTA. I just want to be clear
25	on your position. Your position is that it should

Τ.	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 32
2	pamphlet that the Department of Finance had
3	developed.
4	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: And do you
5	have a copy of that pamphlet?
6	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: I do not.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Because I've
8	never seen that pamphlet. Did any member ever see
9	that pamphlet? When was that published? Do we
10	have a copy of it?
11	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: It's a one-
12	page flyer. I could try to make it available for
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: It's a one-
15	page flyer. If we could get a copy of that
16	because I've never seen it and a majority of my
17	colleagues have never seen that flyer. Only two
18	people have seen it here today.
19	We've also been joined by Council
20	Member Vincent Gentile.
21	You also said that this has been
22	translated in different languages? I just want to
23	be clear. Is there a pamphlet or is this a one-
24	page flyer that we have?
25	DAVID FRANKEL: It's a one-page

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 33
2	flyer.
3	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: A one-page
4	flyer. That was produced when?
5	DAVID FRANKEL: It's in Russian,
6	Chinese and Spanish in addition to English.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: And when was
8	that produced?
9	DAVID FRANKEL: October.
10	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: October,
11	after our hearing?
12	DAVID FRANKEL: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: So from 2009
14	up until September 2011, what materials were
15	handed out from DFTA to promote SCRIE?
16	[Pause]
17	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: This is Kim
18	Hernandez who used to administer the SCRIE program
19	at DFTA. She's an assistant deputy commissioner.
20	KIM HERNANDEZ: Good morning.
21	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Just state
22	your name for the record please.
23	KIM HERNANDEZ: Kim Hernandez.
24	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Good morning.
25	Welcome to the City Council.

2	KIM HERNANDEZ: Thank you, good
3	morning. Traditionally, what we would hand out at
4	any outreach that we do for Department for the
5	Aging is "DFTA at a Glance," which is a 16-page
6	book that highlights all of the programs that
7	seniors are potential eligible for. So that would
8	always include updated information about SCRIE and
9	other benefits.
10	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: So it was one
11	book that contained all the programs. There
12	wasn't just one book for SCRIE.
13	KIM HERNANDEZ: Correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. You
15	used to promote this wonderful to Senior Citizen
16	Rent Increase Exemption program. Do you print
17	this anymore?
18	KIM HERNANDEZ: We don't print it
19	anymore but that's what I would call our SCRIE
20	blue book, which is a book that is for seniors and
21	also for landlords and it explained the SCRIE
22	program. In addition, it always included an
23	application.
24	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: When did you

stop printing this book?

_	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 30
2	KIM HERNANDEZ: When the program
3	moved, we stopped printing the book.
4	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Is it
5	online? Is it available anywhere else besides me?
6	KIM HERNANDEZ: I can check to see
7	if it's on our website.
8	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: It's not. We
9	checked already. You know, this
10	KIM HERNANDEZ: [interposing] Can I
11	just add?
12	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Sure.
13	KIM HERNANDEZ: I believe the last
14	printing was May 2009.
15	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: May 2009.
16	This book is very effective. It really helped a
17	lot of people but we could come back and talk
18	about this. I'm just trying to understand and let
19	my colleagues understand the outreach that DFTA
20	did. I have more questions, but we have a lot of
21	people that want to ask questions and then I'll
22	come back. I'd like to at this time turn it over
23	to my co-chair, Jessica Lappin.
24	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Thank you. So
25	in 2009 when SCRIE was moved from DFTA to DOF. I

2.

think the concept was it was supposed to be
simpler and easier and better managed. So that's
the real question I think we have today is did
that pan out. We had the hearing in September of
last year because there had been some screw-ups,
so I wanted to ask a couple of follow-up questions
since quite a few months have passed.

At that time, we discussed that all of the July 2011 SCRIE tax bills that had gone out were in error. There were errors with them, they were not correct. So I guess my first question is have they all now been corrected?

DAVID FRANKEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Great. When you send out the next round you anticipate there will be no problems?

DAVID FRANKEL: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: At that hearing, Commissioner Frankel, you testified that the system that had caused the computer glitch was inherited from DFTA. Your agency was in the process of developing a better system. So can you update the committee on your progress and what new system you have developed?

2 | did you do to fix it? How has it been fixed?

DAVID FRANKEL: You know, I'm not a technical expert on this. The problem had been fixed very shortly after we discovered this through landlords calling us about the problem.

Like any software system, you have bugs from time to time, and we fixed it.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: But not to your satisfaction if you're putting a new system in place by the end of the year.

DAVID FRANKEL: It's not just the system that's dealing with that. We think the system that we inherited and that's been around for decades is very, very old. It's written in languages that you just can't--like many systems quite frankly in the city and in Finance the whole system is due for an update. This is not just in response to that problem.

As I testified to, I mean one of the things we very much want to do is as soon as we get an application and get it into the system, we want to automatically send out a letter to the senior saying we've received your information. We don't currently have the ability to do that on

2	automatically. This system will give us that
3	ability, as well as other things that we can do.
4	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Do you still
5	haveI reread your testimony and I didn't see it-
6	-but do you still have 13 people working on this?
7	DAVID FRANKEL: We have 17 people.
8	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: You have 17
9	people. And DFTA had 39 people, you testified,
LO	Commissioner, correct?
11	LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Yes.
L2	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: So it's still
13	substantially less. Even if you did have to hire,
L4	I think you said 14 people to deal with the volume
15	of callswhich I would disputeit would still be
L6	31 as opposed to 39. Is that correct?
L7	DAVID FRANKEL: The right math.
18	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I mean we all
19	lived and survived and worked in city government
20	before 311. Certainly DFTA and even HPD handled
21	SCRIE before 311. They didn't have massive call
22	centers and a whole SWAT team devoted to answering
23	the phone. So I guess I just don't really see
24	howI guess I just don't agree with your

assessment in your testimony that that's what it

would require, I mean to have a human who could answer complicated questions and walk people through the application process. So how did you kind of come up with--I mean you're just assuming that future experience would so vastly differ from past experience?

DAVID FRANKEL: No, I'm assuming that we receive 100,000 calls a year in the city about this program. And to answer 100,000 phone calls over a year, you need a certain number of people to be able to handle that volume.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I mean I do
think you would need more people. I think you
should have more people who are working on this
within the agency. So I wouldn't say that I don't
think you should hire additional staff, because I
do. I just don't agree with you. And 311 may be
great for getting the alternate side of the street
parking schedule, but in terms of actual service
to New Yorkers, I don't think it works. So I
still think it'd be very important to have a human
that people can interact with who can answers
questions on a more timely basis.

DAVID FRANKEL: As I said in my

testimony, on the vast majority of calls about
SCRIE, the 311 operators are perfectly capable of
handling the vast majority of questions that come
מוו

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I think we would disagree. I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues who I know have comments and questions.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you,
Council Member Jessica Lappin. Council Member
David Greenfield?

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. I'd just like to recognize the
presence of a county leader, the Housing Chairman,
Assemblyman Vito Lopez, and thank him as well for
his leadership on this issue. I believe that his
hearing along with our hearing in a tag team
effort has led to significant reforms and I'm
grateful for that.

I want to thank both commissioners. Commissioner Frankel, I especially want to thank you. I know that you have been working hard to try to rectify a lot of the issues that we've highlighted since. I want to thank you for doing something that is relatively rare in government,

which is accepting parts of our bill. Usually

people come in here and just pooh-pooh the whole

thing. So I'm grateful for that.

I do want to just chat about a couple of things. I want to start first with DFTA, and I will keep it quick because I know we have a lot of questions. So the main objection, Commissioner, to returning the services to DFTA is that you think that it's working well or that it's working well enough? I mean, quite frankly, if I was sitting in your seat—if we're being frank—concerned about funding stream issues as well. So could you just sort of elaborate on that just so we know what the challenges are in case we do end up moving in this direction, at least we can address them in advance.

LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: I mean, clearly, when the function was given over, the budget went. It became a PEG for me, so I had to cut back immensely. I don't have space to house the staff anymore. You know, there are sort of problems with those things. But I mean that's not the main thing. I think that this program has been transferred several times from one place to

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the other and I think it's very confusing for seniors in understanding where the program is lodged and how to apply and what happens.

So my sense is that Finance has really tried to make it work better. I think we can work with them collaboratively and try to fix whatever is still not working, if that's your judgment. But I don't know that the best solution is to transfer it back to DFTA.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I also recognize that Finance has definitely been trying since we highlighted the issue. My question, however, is wouldn't it actually make more sense for seniors to believe that senior programs get run out of DFTA rather than in Finance? And, B, isn't it fair to say, and it's a trick question, because I'm complimenting your agency, that your agency has more experience dealing with seniors who are a unique population and do have a unique set of needs, and therefore you might be able to do a better job? It's not to say that Finance can't do an okay job, but Finance I think will admit to us that they're not in the business of, you know, hand holding senior needs, which is

				_		
2	really	h +	עידידיבו		arca+ad	fanc
Z	ı realiv	WIIaL	DFIA	$\perp S$	created	TOT

are other programs that are really important for seniors like the home care program that's in HRA, adult protective services which is also at HRA. So there are other programs that serve seniors that are not necessarily lodged at DFTA. We work closely with them and we've managed to make it work.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I agree on he make it work part. Would you agree that you have a unique skill set that other agencies likely don't have?

only job, to work with seniors.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Great.

I'll take that as a yes. So just let the record reflect that. We'll also reflect to the record that you get too many PEGs. I'm throwing that out there just because I know we're starting the Finance hearings next week.

Commissioner Frankel, I want to focus specifically also on this question about calls and customer service. I know that you guys

have been making an increased effort. I will say
from the outset I agree with my colleagues,
especially Council Member Lappin, on this issue.

If 311 worked, I wouldn't have a job. I'm just
being very frank with you. Because most of my
constituent complaints, thousands of them are
people who call 311 first and try to resolve an
issue. They weren't able to get it resolved,
therefore they came to us.

I would also point out that when you say in the testimony, and it's possible that you just haven't had the opportunity to utilize 311 that much. But when you say in the testimony that when they call and want to get information on different issue that's a good way to do it. It's not really what happens. When you call, they sort of put you on hold for a while and then they transfer you to the relevant agency or the specialist and if you're lucky that specialist may or may not know something about their supposed specialty.

So it's possible that you just don't have as much experience with the 311 system. I'd like you to reconsider that. But my question

specifically on the 100,000 calls a year, who
determines that these problems are solved? I mean
when you say that the success rate is some 80-odd
percent, I think it was 84 percent that are
resolved, who determines that? Is that the 311
rep that determines if the problems are solved?
DAVID FRANKEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, well that's a problem. Once again, perhaps not being familiar with the 311 system, I think obviously who get judged based on data are probably incentivized to sort of check the box and say problem is solved. After those problems are supposedly solved, they come back to our offices. Once again, it's just information sharing. I just want to make you aware of that. So it's possible that you're just not aware of that particular perspective. Do you know how many of these 100,000 callers are repeat callers?

DAVID FRANKEL: I don't.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Another thing worth inquiring to. I think it's possible that something that you'll find is that a lot of these frustrated people call again and again.

I also will point out something
that Chairman Lopez brought to our attention which
is that we have significant language issues with
311. People call from all sorts of different
languages and we've had people from some basic
languages like Russian and Chinese and they're
told us they waited a half hour plus just to get
someone on the phone. And even then they say, oh
well, we'll call you back. I see people in the
crowd are nodding. Then they haven't been able to
resolve your complaint. So that's something that
also frustrates us with 311.

So I would like you to consider the possibility, and also, I imagine that in all fairness, I'm not a fiscal expert, but I'm curious about what you think about this. If we route the calls to Finance instead of 311, I imagine that if 311 has less calls they don't need as much staffing, right? So it's not a net expenditure of a million dollars a year. Is that fair?

DAVID FRANKEL: Could there be some reduction of 311 staff? Perhaps, but the economics don't quite work on a one-on-one basis at all. I should say while I appreciate your

comments about 311, I have used 311. Are there
issues with any system like that? Yes, I'm sure
there are. I think on the whole 311 has done a
huge service for the city.

I'm not trying to impugn the reputation of 311, but I think as Council Member Lappin pointed out, I think the services of 311 are sort of limited to sort of basic services. You want the pothole fixed or you want some information on alternate side parking. But I can tell you, honestly in our experience, when it gets complicated, when the issues are complicated, they're not successful in resolving it.

I just do want to reiterate as well the language concerns which concern me as well because I think that's obviously a very significant issue. If it's possible to find out, and I think if you did the research, you might find that a very large percentage of those 100,000 callers are repeat callers.

By the way, this is simply placing our confidence in what we think the ability of either one of your agencies would be doing this is

2	that we think you would do it better than 311
3	would do it. So we're not saying that 311 is
4	terrible, it's just that we think you guys would
5	do a better job if you did it in-house. Is that a
6	fair possibility that if you dedicated staff you
7	probably could do a better job than 311 did?
8	DAVID FRANKEL: Always a
9	possibility.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay. I will leave it at that and I will give my colleagues an opportunity to ask questions. I thank you both for your testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you,

Council Member David Greenfield. We're going to
have Council Member Karen Koslowitz, followed by

Council Member Gale Brewer. I'm going to put

everybody on a five-minute clock. Could we have
five minutes on the clock please?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank

you. You know, I'm like a ghost from the past.

And I don't like to say this because I usually

don't, but I was here before. I was here in 1991

to 2001. And SCRIE worked beautifully, it really

did. You can call DFTA and get answers

immediately. You had a person that you can speak with. Now, it became a bureaucratic system since it's gone over to Finance. I get so many calls in my office of seniors, some of them crying out of frustration because they don't get the answers or the help that they need.

You know, when you say you had 39 people working in DFTA for SCRIE and now we only have 17 people working, for 47,000 seniors in our city, it is really outrageous if you divide that number. When you say you don't want to disrupt moving it back and forth, well you already disrupted it when you moved it from DFTA to Finance.

We were in this hole for a while.

I mean we're in 2012 now. What are the answers?

Why did all this happen? Again, I'm going to say this because I want to say this quickly. 311, you could be on 20 minutes with someone on 311 and they don't even know where you're calling from or what the subject is or anything else. I called 311 just to test it out, because I like to see.

When my constituents call me, I like to see what they're talking about.

I remember being on the phone in
Bayside with the hanging sign being windy and I
live in Forest Hills and by the time I got home I
still didn't have the answer, 20 minutes later.
Then I got frustrated and hung up, and the person
didn't even know where I'm calling from. I
believe that some of the people that are 311 are
outsourced and they don't even live in New York
City.

Can you answer the question of why do you think this is better now?

DAVID FRANKEL: Well, as I've testified time and time again, when we took over the program in 2009, we did it without a sufficient plan in place and we encountered enormous numbers of problems. Since that time, we've made significant improvements to the program. There are things that we do that have never done before, such as these reports that are online for landlords and tenants. We check application eligibility in a way that's never been done before.

We are working closely with DFTA. We recognize and I will certainly, even though my

colleague was careful in not saying it, I will
recognize that DFTA obviously has a great deal
more experience with seniors than we do, which i
why we work closely with them to benefit from
their experience. We think putting those two
skill sets together has already improved the
program and will continue to improve the program
as we move along.

know, seniors, they're aging and they don't have that much time, you know, left to improve the system. I mean we're already in the eighth year of the administration and how many--ten, I'm sorry, ten years--eleven years of the administration.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: 685 days left.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: And we have less than two years to go. What's going to happen? And then we're going to have a turnover and it's going to change again and we're going to have more problems? Eleven years is a very long time. Our seniors are out there, including myself, we're aging. Every day we get a little

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 53
2	older.
3	[Laughter]
4	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Is there a
5	question?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: No, I
7	just want to know how you explain this. How can
8	we just sit here and say that things are
9	improving? Eleven years later they're still
10	improving.
11	DAVID FRANKEL: Well I hope that's
12	the case for the next 11 years as well. As I've
13	said, I think there have been significant
14	improvements to the program. Are we where we want
15	to be? No. Will we ever be where we want to be?
16	Probably not.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: But we
18	were where we wanted to be when it was with DFTA.
19	I got much fewer complaints when it was with DFTA
20	than I get now, even now, even with the
21	improvements. And I hate to say this; I still get
22	many calls with people who are very frustrated.
23	There are some people that just give up. We get
24	calls from the children. I mean this is
25	unacceptable. Thank you.

б

it was.

2 how long does it take?

DAVID FRANKEL: If it's just a renewal application, then it's about 20 to 25 days. If it's a new application, it's going to be somewhere right now between 40 and 50 days. We've slipped in our processing a bit for original applications because, first of all, every other year we get all of the rent controlled apartment applications. As a matter of fact, if you look at the application numbers, you really have to look over two-year periods because they're different. You can't compare one year to the next year.

We're in the middle of some of that right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.

DAVID FRANKEL: But we are working hard to get that number right back down to where

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. What was it at DFTA, do we know, for renewals and new?

KIM HERNANDEZ: For initial

applications, which had to go through the most—it had to be critiqued, you know to make sure that everything, that we had all of the information from the seniors. It really varied. Initial

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could be somewhere from--it really varied, but it could be somewhere from like 40 days to 45 days.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

KIM HERNANDEZ: For a

recertification, because with the recertification you really have everything in place, sometimes you need more income information and you need the new lease, so that varied. That could be about 25 days.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The reason I say that, even though it sounds like the numbers are the same, it's the conversation in between. I mean I have here like three or four constituents. The lady is \$499 over, but she doesn't understand that there are certain things that may or may not be eligible. So she got a letter back, she didn't understand it. Something about federal income, state income, city income, social security, Medicare, tax withheld. walked right into our office and I'm sure she does that for others. She needs to have a very long conversation with somebody in government. That's the problem. It's not like your normal constituency.

So the 311 operator and her are not
going to get along. So even if she is referred,
she then needs to get a call back that day,
because seniors, they just don't wait. It's a
different situation. They get so nervous. Your
postcard going out will help, saying that we are
but I'm just wondering, would it be possible, five
days a week, eight hours a day, to have the office
and a better 311 communication, because if you
called 311, that conversation doesn't work. I
just want to know if you have any thought process
about this conversation problem because this is
the root of your problem, in my opinion.

DAVID FRANKEL: Well, I agree that for a certain number of seniors that's a problem. I am very sympathetic with that. As I said, we are considering keeping our centers open both in Manhattan longer and perhaps expanding it to all the boroughs as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They're going to get confused on the times. Am I supposed to go Monday morning, Gale, or am I supposed to go Thursday afternoon? They need to know that they can go anytime, because they get up in the

morning, they're going to go. This morning I
walked into my district office, they were waiting
with the shopping carts outside because the
elevator was broken nearby. That's how they
operate. They don't call, they show up. That's
how they are.

DAVID FRANKEL: I hear you.

any consideration of more times to be available and maybe not a dedicated but some different way of doing the phone operation? Is there some other discussion going on about that?

DAVID FRANKEL: There is not now, but we'll, obviously as a result of this hearing, we'll discuss it some more.

OUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The other question I have is can you explain the outreach program? Is it constant with social workers in senior centers? Elected officials, do they have the opportunity to have this is what a SCRIE operation looks like so that people can come answer their questions. What is the social worker in the senior centers and the outreach, how is it done?

LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: What we

2.

have tried to do for the last, at least three
years, since I've been there, but I think it was
done before as well, is when a senior comes in
during intake we try to ascertain what benefits
they already have and what benefits they're
eligible for. So we go through the process of
finding out what the issues are. Periodically, we
try to talk to them to see if anything changed in
their life and can other benefits be added or not.
So we do that routinely in senior centers. You
know, the caseworkers do that, the same thing in
case management, with all the new intakes. So

You know, 90 percent of the seniors that come to senior centers and many, many people who receive case management and home delivered meals are poor. So the more you can enhance their income the better quality of life potentially they can have. You know, we can make sure that they're taking their medicines, that they're eating nutritious food and so on. So for us, benefits is a really integral part of what we do with seniors.

that's a pretty regular process that we do because

we want to make sure.

25

_						
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: My time is					
3	up but later on maybe we could ask about					
4	databases. Thank you.					
5	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you					
6	very much, Council Member Gale Brewer. Council					
7	Member Oliver Koppell, to be followed by Council					
8	Member Steve Levin.					
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you,					
10	Chair. Commissioner Frankel, I know that this is					
11	primarily focused on SCRIE, but the committee I					
12	chair has responsibility for disability services					
13	and you didn't say anything about DRIE. One					
14	question: that was never handled by DFTA. Did					
15	Finance always handle that?					
16	DAVID FRANKEL: Yes.					
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Are you					
18	doing any outreach efforts with respect to DRIE					
19	like you say you're doing with respect to SCRIE?					
20	In terms of finding out whether people are					
21	renewing, you said you're reaching out, in your					
22	statement, to contact people.					
23	DAVID FRANKEL: Well certainly when					

people send in their renewal applications, we go

through the same similar kinds of processes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: But you're
not doing any outreach? You mentioned in your
statement that you specifically are going after
people who don't renew to find out whether they're
eligible to renew.

DAVID FRANKEL: No. What is said was that when people renew, if they haven't sent us the required—this is with respect to SCRIE—if they haven't sent us the required information, we are calling them directly after a certain period of time to make sure they understand what they need.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Are you doing that with respect to DRIE? What you say here, I'll read from your statement. SCRIE team began contacting renewal applicants directly if they failed to respond to three letters requesting missing information.

DAVID FRANKEL: When we do SCRIE outreach, we do DRIE outreach at the same time. This is the Department of Finance. So I don't know if that answers your question or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well, you mention in your statement specifically that you

2	started a new outreach program in September or	in
3	the fall, I'm sorry, of 2011. Does that outread	ch
1	program include DRIE registrants?	

DAVID FRANKEL: What you're referring to is us calling people that haven't--I think what I said in the statement was we were calling people who hadn't submitted the required information.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Right.

DAVID FRANKEL: I don't know whether we do that specifically for DRIE. But we don't have those basic problems. We have many fewer problems with respect to the administration of the DRIE program than we do with DRIE.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Is there a particular reason for that?

DAVID FRANKEL: Well, first of all, it's a much smaller population. I think we have 4,000 or 5,000 DRIE recipients as opposed to I think the 36,000 or so DRIE recipients. And in many cases, we are not dealing with the same issues from a senior population that we are with a disabled population who many times are more capable apparently of doing what they need to do.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Do you					
3	have any people who are specifically knowledgeable					
4	about the DRIE program so that they can, you know,					
5	they're the ones who can be contacted where issues					
6	arise.					
7	DAVID FRANKEL: We certainly have					
8	people who are knowledgeable about the DRIE					
9	program, yes.					
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: So I mean					
11	could you identify specific staff people who have					
L2	that expertise?					
L3	DAVID FRANKEL: Well, once again,					
L4	just like with respect to SCRIE, the calls that					
L5	the city takes with respect to both of these					
L6	programs go through 311. If the 311 operators					
L7	cannot handle them, they are referred to my shop					
L8	where experienced people will get back with					
19	answers.					
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I don't					
21	want to take the time of asking you specific					
22	detailed questions about this but I think it's					
23	important for us to know. So I'd like to get from					

you or from your staff a report on the number of

people who are enrolled in the DRIE program, you

б

2 know the statistics on reenrollments and such so 3 that we can review that.

DAVID FRANKEL: We're happy to provide that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: So I would appreciate that being provided within a reasonable time. I also might say that it's shocking to me that the brochure that the Department for the Aging prepared with all the details that the chairman showed is not being reprinted anymore and not being available either to individuals who may be concerned or organizations. I can't believe that there isn't a program. That a brochure like this existed and that is allowed to go out of print and not kept up to date.

I would strongly urge, Mr. Chairman that we insist on behalf of the public that this be edited so it's up to date and reprinted by the department. If they won't do it by agreement, I don't know what my position is on putting the program back into the Department for the Aging, but I do know that it's certainly my intention to insist that a brochure like be available. That maybe should be part of the legislation that

finished.

25

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Thank
you very much. Council Member Steve Levin, but
before Council Member Steve Levin goes, I want to
recognize we've been joined by Council Member
Melissa Mark-Viverito and Leroy Comrie. Council
Member?

8 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you 9 Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: If any
Council Member would like to ask questions, please
submit your name to Tanisha Edwards.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So my concern just at the outset in looking at this from my perspective is that it's not that DFTA is entirely uniquely qualified. My question really is, the way I'm looking at it, the Department of Finance just does not have—it's a question of the mission of the agency. The Department for the Aging mission is to serve senior citizens. HRA's mission is to serve individuals that are in need of benefits. The Department of Finance does not have a human resources, it's got an entirely different approach to things and it really that's part of its mission. It's not built in that

2 there's really supposed to be necessarily a
3 customer service aspect.

So my question, and this is kind of a rhetorical question and you don't need to answer it, it's kind of like why does the Department of Finance really even want to administer the program? I understand that the idea is kind of theoretically you want to have efficiency but the efficiency is not really there. So why even want to take on all of these issues that you're not suited to address?

Frankel, you spoke numerous times about how in recent months DFTA staff has been helping DOF with the outreach, with sensitivity training, right.

But I'm actually curious how much--so we've increased the number maybe of DOF staff. How many DFTA staff members have been helping out in recent months with the outreach stuff?

answer that separately. The outreach that we do for SCRIE is the outreach we do for all kinds of benefits and entitlements. We continuously do so because we want to enhance seniors, you know,

income and ability to have disposal cash. So we do that routinely in all of our programs. So that would be independent of any specific other outreach that everyone may decide we need to make.

We have been working with the Department of Finance in trying to problem solve whatever issues may emerge around seniors and SCRIE. It is difficult for seniors to gather the paperwork, sometimes to understand what they need to begin with. So we've been working with them and trying to help them ascertain whatever it is that they need to do.

But I think that the ongoing outreach, we will always continue to do that regardless because that's part of what we need to do for seniors.

DAVID FRANKEL: Councilman, let me also say that while I respect your view of Finance, we actually think our mission is quite broader than what you laid out. We actually do think we have an enormous customer service responsibility. While I certainly will agree that we are not an agency that is specific to seniors or to the disabled or other people, we are not

content with simply sitting back and saying we're just collecting money or giving you money. That's not what we believe in at the agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sure.

DAVID FRANKEL: We believe deeply that we should serve this population, whether it's seniors, disabled or any other, as well as we possibly can. That's why we try to partner with agencies who do have greater experience than we do in some of this. But, you know, the vast majority of this and the vast majority of people get these benefits pretty efficiently.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I appreciate that. With all due respect, because I have a couple more questions, it's just there's not the core mission and there's not the expertise.

That's what I'm speaking to.

I wanted to ask a follow-up question to a question that I asked at September's hearing about those with disabilities. For an individual senior that's looking to either renew or get a new SCRIE application or renew their application and they're hard of hearing. They can't do the 311. They can't get on a telephone,

they really can't. What options are available to
those folks? Are the only options to go down,
because say they live in City Island? City Island
is like a two hour train ride from downtown
Manhattan. What do they do?

DAVID FRANKEL: My suspicion is that the first thing that most people in those situations do is they have neighbors and friends and families who help them out. That's the way the vast majority of people are helped. If they don't have that and they have to come down, then they would have to come down. Is there anything else I'm missing?

LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Well they can go to the senior center in City Island and get help and the application.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. That kind of goes back to why DFTA would be--I mean there's a satellite thing. For one thing, the Manhattan Business Center, I think it's inappropriate to have one single business center with these hours that Gale spoke of.

Sorry, just one last question, Mr. Chairman.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Tal	e your
--------------------------	--------

3 time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: disturbed a little bit by the testimony about calls for renewal applications that this year staff has tried to call 1,200 people. Of those 20 percent sent back satisfactory information, 16 percent were eventually approved. Four percent did not qualify for renewal. That at most is 40 percent. Of those 1,200 there's 60 percent that are unaccounted for. I know that you're trying to reach out to them. How is this comparing to the past? I don't understand, is this normal? that a normal number that 60 percent are not able to be reached? Are these working numbers? going on here and what happens if we don't get a hold of them in the next few months? Does their renewal lapse? What's going on here?

SARA MEYERS: Hi, I'm Sara Meyers.

I oversee SCRIE. This was a program that we started and we started it for a population that had really outstanding renewal applications. So the data now I think really reflects our older applicants that a lot of which probably are no

2	longer	reachable.	Because	now	we're	calling
3		COU	NCIL MEME	BER I	LEVIN:	

[interposing] No longer reachable why?

SARA MEYERS: I can't answer that.

DFTA closed applications out in a different way and we're going to be following the DFTA model.

So we had applications and we spoke about this in previous hearings. But we had applications that were pending, meaning an applicant submitted an application and we were missing information from them and it was never resolved. We have a lot of those applications going back to 2010.

So we made a commitment to start calling people but a lot of these people are now unreachable. Their numbers are out of service. A lot of numbers we've tried are out of service. We've tried to do additional lookups for their numbers. They're probably no longer eligible for the program. But now we're calling everyone timely every month, so we're hopeful the numbers are going to improve.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just to close out here. I support the legislation moving it back to the Department of the Aging, based on

the fact that, again, it's the core mission of the agency and that they have the expertise and they have the set of skills that DOF really does not have. So I think going back to a model in the city of less automation, sometimes a more human face on things I think is the direction that we ought to be going in. So with all due respect, I think that this is a wise move. Sometimes when things aren't working, the prudent thing to do is say stop digging. So that's my two cents. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you very much. Council Member Chin?

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:

Commissioners, I'm a co-sponsor of the bill. I
think it's really important for DFTA to really
take the lead. Commissioner Lilliam, I hate to
disagree with you and I don't think you're telling
us the truth. Because it is a benefit to seniors
to apply for the SCRIE and I think for the Finance
Department, yes, your customer responsibility, I
think is to the landlord to make sure they get
their tax benefit. So let the agency do what they
do best, right. You can still do all the tax

forms that you send out and make sure people pay
their tax, make sure people get their tax benefit,
but DFTA has to be the agency to help the senior
apply for this benefit and make sure they don't
lose this benefit.

I have a constituent in my office that she lived with her brother. Her brother passed away and she lost her SCRIE benefit. But what happened was it was the transitional time and she got a note from her landlord that she owes a lot of rent. She didn't know she lost the benefit. She doesn't speak English, she speaks Spanish. But later on, she was able to get a community agency to advocate for her. They called 311. So they say you've got to send the information, why don't you send a letter explaining what's going on to the Department of Finance.

They sent to the Department of

Finance but it turned out it was not the right

address. At no time did anybody say go to an

office and bring all your documentation. This has

been going on since September 2010. To this day,

I mean the agency came to my office in November

2 2011, and this is March 2nd and the case is still not resolved.

So there are all these difficult type of case that someone needs to go to an office like DFTA before. Back then, everyone know that 2 Lafayette Street 64 is where you go for SCRIE. It doesn't matter if they don't speak English, they knew that was the office, they can go and they can get help. If it's a difficult problem, they could bring their documents, not send it in the mail and get lost. And even we send it in the email attached, we get a response a couple weeks back and say missing, you know we need the lease. We attached it.

So that is not the way to go. We need DFTA to take it back on the part in terms of the outreach, helping people apply, helping people with difficult problem. And when applications are complete, send it over to Finance. Finance, your data, whatever, you make sure the landlords get their tax credit. That's your job, right.

So on this thing senior citizen rent increase exemption is a benefit to senior.

That's why Department of Aging, you have to take

б

the lead back. That's why we are supporting this legislation. We got to solve this problem. It cannot happen like this. So that's what I'm asking.

Commissioner, we want to support you. We know your agency can do it and you need to get the resource back to do it. I'm not taking anything away from Finance. Finance, you do what you do best. That somehow the agency has to work together, but somebody needs to be the lead agency on this issue and it's got to be DFTA. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Would you like to comment? Okay, I guess not. Council Member Cabrera?

SO Much. It seems that you have a perception problem and I'll explain in a second what I mean by that, and also a practical problem. The perception problem is like trying to go to McDonald's, right, and I want to get a Big Mac, and yet I have to go someplace else to get a Happy Meal. That's how it's being perceived here, at least by my seniors.

I want to go back to the question,

Commissioner, of you talked about you're doing it
better now. Why whatever you're doing better now,
which I want to get to in a second, why couldn't
it be done or why couldn't Finance assist DFTA to
do it?

DAVID FRANKEL: Well, as I think we said, we're assisting one another right now. So that's what we're doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: But you're handling the cases now, correct?

DAVID FRANKEL: Right. We handle the cases, along with the other tens or hundreds of thousands of exemption programs, exemptions that we do and 40 or 50 programs. DFTA, as I've said, is enormously helpful to us in this one in helping train us and deal with some of the issues that come up.

There are, you know the vast
majority of applications, both new and renewal are
handled without significant problems. There are,
admittedly, some that are very problematic. As I
said, we're learning every day and I said I think
we're handling most of these pretty well right
now, although there's clearly a perception and a

2	group of people who we probably could do a much
3	better job with, and that's what we're endeavoring
4	to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you,

Commissioner. But is it possible to do at the

level of effectiveness that you're doing it in

Finance at DFTA?

DAVID FRANKEL: I mean I assume many agencies could do things like this. I would never say no. We don't have a monopoly on good service. I think from an overall city's perspective the program is where it belongs and should stay there.

majority of Council Members obviously take
objection to that view. Isn't it easier for a
senior, let's suppose I have to go to DFTA for
something. Listen, I'm a senior, right, I'm 70years-old, I need to go to DFTA for something
else. Why would I have to go now all of the
sudden to another building? Why can't it be all
in one center?

LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Let me just say, you know the only service that had a walk-in

2 center was SCRIE at the time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Right.

4 LILLIAM BARRIOS-PAOLI: Most

seniors get whatever they get from DFTA at the senior centers. There's 258 of them. It's much easier for them. They're close in the neighborhood, as you know.

So I think at the time when the decision was made of transferring SCRIE to the Department of Finance, DFTA is not an eligibility agency. We are very good at social services.

We're not a very highly computerized agency.

We're not very good at determining benefits, you know as HRA does for welfare or Medicaid or the Department of Finance does about taxes. So the thinking was that if it went to an agency that knew how to determine benefits and did that as a customary thing, things would be happening in a much more expedited way, in a simpler way.

When we had the SCRIE benefit,
there was always complaints about our requiring a
lot of proof of different things and there was too
much paperwork. So I think the thinking was that
it would become easier for the senior to do this

2	in a more automated way. There were other things
3	that were notyou know, clearly the idea that
4	seniors would need much more hand holding, that
5	they needed that social services piece was not
6	figured out right. I think that that's what we
7	need to add now. But I think the idea that more
8	automation was simpler and it would take a shorter
9	period of time was what governed this whole thing.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: All right,
11	Commissioner, as you know, we had various centers
12	that were closed down the last couple of years.
13	The vast majority of seniors do not go to senior
14	centers. So that wouldn't be applicable to all of
15	them. And because I only have about 30 seconds
16	here, let me just ask regarding the business
17	centers. How many bilingual people do we have and
18	what languages are spoken?
19	DAVID FRANKEL: I don't know the
20	specific answer, but I'm happy to get you that
21	information.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay,
23	great. All right, thank you so much.
2.4	CUNTODEDCOM DECCUTA: Thank won

Council Member. Before we call on the next

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 81
2	Council Member, those outreach centers, how many
3	outreach centers do you have?
4	DAVID FRANKEL: How many business
5	centers do we have?
6	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Yeah,
7	business centers.
8	DAVID FRANKEL: We have a center in
9	every borough, except right now the one in
10	Brooklyn is not open because, as you know, the
11	space that we're in was recently leased out to
12	private enterprise. So that will be back up
13	hopefully by the end of the year or sometime in
14	next year. But we have a business center in every
15	borough.
16	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: And what's
17	the hours for those business centers?
18	DAVID FRANKEL: Generally from 8 or
19	so to 5.
20	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: What?
21	DAVID FRANKEL: From about 8 to 5.
22	Is that about right? About that.
23	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: About 8 to 5.
24	DAVID FRANKEL: About 8:30 to 5.
25	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. During

from 8:30 to 5, can anybody walk in there with a SCRIE problem or just certain times?

DAVID FRANKEL: No, first of all, we only have SCRIE service right now in Manhattan where we've only trained people. As I've said, we have the Manhattan open three different days, one full day and two different half days. As I also said in my testimony, we are considering whether we can expand that service both in Manhattan and to other boroughs. And as I recall, when DFTA had this program, I think 2 Lafayette was the one place you could go for SCRIE.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Right. And in addition to that, I just want to follow up on Council Member Cabrera. You know, the Bronx has a very large Latina community and I just want to--I know, so does Brooklyn, Diana. I'm just following up. Do we know how many people speak--

DAVID FRANKEL: [interposing] I don't, but I said I would get that information.

You know, we recognize in everything we do, given the diversity of the city's population that we have language challenges and we endeavor to meet them as best we possibly can.

2	retroactive to when they've submitted the
3	application. So they don't lose any benefits.
4	But I agree, in particular for seniors, you know
5	as these days go on, it's a challenge and
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing]
7	So it takes about two months to get it approved.
8	Once they submit an application, do they get a
9	tracking number that you received the application?
10	DAVID FRANKEL: I think what I
11	testified to earlier is that they don't right now
12	but we are building a database which will allow us
13	to do that in an automated fashion. If they call
14	311 after they've submitted their application, we
15	won't wait for 50 days to tell you we've received
16	that, you can get that information within a couple
17	of weeks and you'll know that we've received your
18	application?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So when are
20	you building your new system that gives them a
21	tracking number?
22	DAVID FRANKEL: We hope that it's
23	in place by the end of this calendar year.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So if they

send in the application, they don't get anything

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 85
2	in the mail that we've received your application?
3	DAVID FRANKEL: No, not right now.
4	And we agree that that's a problem.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Maybe you
6	should do that, send them a postcard with a
7	number. It's very easy. A tracking number that
8	if after 60 days, you don't hear from us, call us,
9	you know.
10	DAVID FRANKEL: I hear the
11	suggestion.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay, thank
13	you.
14	DAVID FRANKEL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: All right,
16	before Council Member Mealy, Council Member
17	Greenfield has a quick question.
18	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Just two
19	quick points of clarification. You said that the
20	Brooklyn center is closed but won't open until the
21	end of the year?
22	DAVID FRANKEL: I hope by then.
23	I'm not sure it will even be open by then.
24	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I mean
25	those of us in Brooklyn with the largest borough,

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 86
2	we're very sensitive to these things. So what's
3	the hold back or the problem?
4	DAVID FRANKEL: With any
5	construction job in New York City that's the
6	issue.
7	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I mean in
8	the interim, I'm willing to give you a desk in my
9	office. I'm being very serious about this. So at
10	least you could keep some of the services. Free,
11	no charge. I think potentially you should
12	consider looking at some other opportunities at
13	least so that the folks in Brooklyn can get some
14	services in the interim.
15	One other quick question, you
16	mentioned before there were some numbers and I'm
17	just trying to get some clarifications. I think
18	you said that you deal with 36,000 SCRIE cases a
19	year?
20	DAVID FRANKEL: There are
21	currently, in our world, 36,000 active SCRIE
22	cases. Is that right? About that, yes.

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I think we

heard in September I think the number was around

40,000, so did that change? Has it gone down?

2 I'm just tying to sort of understand that.

DAVID FRANKEL: Well, it's gone
down in part because one of the things that I also
testified to in September is that we had begun to
check whether we were giving benefits to people
who were ineligible. As you may recall, the
Comptroller issued an audit saying that we were
giving benefits to people who had passed away.
And we've been checking the master death lists
that come out and there are several thousand who
we discovered were getting benefits
inappropriately. So that's part of the reason for
the decrease.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD:

Traditionally, over the past few years have the numbers been going up or down? I only ask because--

DAVID FRANKEL: [interposing]
They've been very similar, very similar.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Does that concern you? I mean I'm concerned because as someone who spends a lot of time focusing on seniors and senior centers, the one thing that I can tell you and I'm sure the commissioner of DFTA

can tell you is that we have a lot more seniors
and a lot more of them are very poor. So, logic
would seem to argue that if we have a lot more
poor seniors, there would probably be an increase
in the need for SCRIE not a decrease. So is that
something that you're worried about or you've done
some sort of analysis on sort of why it is that
we're seeing this downward trend as opposed to an
upward trend?

DAVID FRANKEL: We have tried to do a very rough analysis to just figure out what the eligible population could be and honestly we haven't been able to do anything, certainly to my satisfaction, that's at all relevant. It certainly concerns us all the time if people who are eligible are not receiving a benefit that could significantly help them.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I would encourage you to spend some time looking at that because I think that's a serious concern. I mean with the numbers that we're seeing, these numbers should be going up not down. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Council Member Darlene Mealy?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, I want
3	to say good morning and thank everyone. I have a
4	statement and one question. I want to concur with
5	my colleague Ms. Chin. That is true; you need to
6	go back to DFTA. Just for the record, I want to
7	just say happy birthday also to our Assemblyman
8	Alec Brook-Krasny. It's his birthday today.
9	I just wanted to say, my question

is I was appalled last time I heard your testimony when a computer glitch went down and thousands of seniors, they were calling saying that they were losing their apartments. Their landlord was taking them out. Were there any follow-up with that, because a lot of people had stress problems, our seniors. Some have died since then. Have you ever did a follow-up on the ones who the landlord said if they didn't get paid they were kicking their tenants out.

DAVID FRANKEL: The landlords, when we discovered this problem, the landlords within weeks or months knew that they got--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:

[interposing] Not every one of them.

DAVID FRANKEL: The landlords did

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 90
2	know that. We solved this problem very quickly.
3	And while it may not have appeared on their bills
4	until the next January, the landlords knew within
5	a very short time that this was our problem that
6	we had fixed.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, but
8	some of my constituents, the landlord already had
9	evicted them. And when we found out, it was too
10	late. So I'm telling did you do any follow-up in
11	regards to that because that was your problem was
12	it not? You just stated. Did you do any follow-
13	up for those seniors?
14	DAVID FRANKEL: I did not do any
15	follow-up on people who might have been evicted as
16	a result of that. No, I did not.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Why?
18	DAVID FRANKEL: As I said, we
19	solved the problem very quickly. Any of those
20	cases
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:
22	[interposing] The last hearing you said that you
23	all would try to locate those individuals who were

still having problems. Did you do that?

DAVID FRANKEL: I don't know

entirely how to answer that question. But
generally, people who are having problems, they

5 COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:

your error. Thank you.

find us pretty quickly, so--

[interposing] Not ever senior know how to use the phone or know how to bring all their paperwork. A lot of them did not know what was happening to them. That's all they knew were their landlord was throwing them out of their apartment because they did not get paid. I just hope that you do some kind of follow-up. It's probably too late now. We tried to do as much as we can with our seniors. So that was my main statement. You should always do follow-up, especially when it's

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Does any other Council Member have any questions they would like to ask? Steve Levin has one quick question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just kind of following up on Council Member Greenfield's questions before. It is concerning to me that the numbers would be going down at this time. If you look at food stamps, which is the efforts of our

city in enrolling people in food stamps, those numbers continue to increase. So if you're looking at barometers of people who are--you know, food stamps is a benefit that's associated with the poverty line. There's a logical gap here.

I really would encourage both agencies to look at and really put some effort. I mean there's got to be a way that we can determine this. We have a lot of resources at our fingertips. Particularly with baby boomers now being able to qualify and clearly, I mean with social security being frozen where it is. There are going to be fewer seniors that are going to be able to be qualifying—to be exempted because of income, where the overall senior population is going to continue to increase.

add something? To qualify for SCRIE you need to be in a rent controlled or a rent stabilized apartment. Many, many apartments have been deregulated because of their number. I think that that potentially could be a reason. I think that, you know one of the ways of dealing with this is looking at can the definition be changed to make

2.

more people eligible. There are things to look at
but I think the definition is very strict.
Assemblyman Lopez is saying that I just misstated
something, so I stand corrected if that is so. He
knows a lot more about housing than I do. But I

think potentially it could be something to look

8 at.

just my question is with regard to the way the
Department of Finance is now working with DHCR, so
we were told at the last hearing that you recently
received access to the DHCR databases because the
Department of Finance is requiring the leases from
the actual tenants and not accepting information
that DHCR had from the landlords. Are you now
accepting that information? Do you have access to
DHCR's rent records? What's the outreach to
landlords now or tenants saying you don't have to?
If that's the policy now, then do they know that?

DAVID FRANKEL: It's not the policy. We do use the DHCR databases in certain cases. But for the most part, the DHCR database is only updated once a year and these lease renewals come up throughout the year. So we don't

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's an additional burden. And because DHCR has that information and it is, I mean an annual basis, my goodness, I mean you know this administration objects to us asking for semiannual reporting updates all the time. They say that annual is entirely appropriate. So if DHCR is updating this rent information on an annual basis, that should be good enough for DOF I think. I think that it's a real burden and it's a real impediment for seniors and it could be something that is potentially driving down the numbers of seniors who qualify for SCRIE. Thank you, Mister and Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Council Member Diana Reyna has questions. Did you want to respond to anything Council Member Levin said? All right, Council Member Diana Reyna.

2	information from 311 operators that they can't
3	handle, and we get back to the operators within a
4	day or two with the information that they need.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And of the
6	100,000, Commissioner has there ever been a
7	mapping of where these calls are coming from?
8	DAVID FRANKEL: Geographic mapping?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Uh-huh.
10	DAVID FRANKEL: I'll just simply
11	say I don't know, if there has been I don't have
12	that information.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I'd like to
14	request a mapping of the 100,000 calls. The issue
15	I have is thatand first let me ask, if a senior
16	is renting a room from a unit and they are not the
17	primary lease holder, would they qualify for
18	SCRIE?
19	DAVID FRANKEL: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And I know that answer, right. We have had a huge transition in my district where seniors have lost their units and are renting, cannot afford, are not regulated, vacancy decontrol is taking over the district. So the issue of these seniors not qualifying, I'm

б

just trying to get an understanding because in our office we have a total of two seniors who have complained about SCRIE issues. We're working with your department on those issues.

I hope to see the resolution, but in the meantime I'd like to see the mapping of 100,000 inquiries to understand where is this volume coming from and is there a correlation between the issues of a lack of whether it's information, language barrier or deregulated units.

DAVID FRANKEL: I simply don't know the answer to that but we'll find out.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Any other

Council Member have any questions? I just want to close it down and just ask a few questions here.

What we've been hearing is that to transfer it back to DOF would be burdensome and it's almost impossible to do. It's amazing how you testify to that today, but when the Bloomberg Administration wants to transfer other agencies from ACS to Juvenile Justice and the DOE went from districts to regions to districts to networks, there was no

20

21

22

23

24

25

my testimony where you will find that I said it couldn't be done. I said that we think it is inadvisable to do it. That's what I testified to. I would never testify to the fact that it can't be done. Of course it could be done. But we think it's inadvisable--

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing] But it's burdensome.

DAVID FRANKEL: We think it's--

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]

You said it was burdensome, it won't be effective.

2	DAVID FRANKEL: We think we
3	currently have this in the most effective place.
4	And working in collaboration with DFTA is the way
5	we should continue to go. That was what my
6	testimony was.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: And you're
8	opposing it. You know, you say 311 gets 100,000
9	calls. Prior to 2009, when the Department of
LO	Finance took it over, we heard testimony about 311
11	got 800 calls per month. That comes out to 9,600
12	calls per year. It's amazing, 10,000 calls per
L3	year. It gets transferred for the Department of
L4	Finance; they get 100,000 calls per year. But
L5	yet, it's effective, we're doing a great job.
L6	That's your testimony.
L7	DAVID FRANKEL: My testimony is
18	you're now taking those numbers out of context
L9	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]
20	How am I taking out of context?
21	DAVID FRANKEL: That's right, the
22	311
23	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]
24	I asked you do you have the numbers of how many
25	calls went into DFTA prior to 2009. You do not

б

have those numbers available. The only numbers you had available today was that you had 800 per month. When you come to this hearing, you have to be prepared.

You know what, I spent all last night preparing for this hearing because I care about the seniors. We care about those seniors getting their SCRIE. That's what this Council is all about. That's why we're having this today. We're not having this hearing today because we want to. We're having this today because there was a failure of getting benefits to seniors that are entitled to this. That's why we're having this. So tell me how I took the numbers out of content.

DAVID FRANKEL: When DFTA held it, as you said, they had numbers that other people can call. It's not that these calls have increased by tenfold on the SCRIE program. I don't know what the 311 numbers quite honestly were before 2009. That very well may be the right number, but it's not the whole complete set. That's all I meant by my comments.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: All I'm

б

2 saying--

DAVID FRANKEL: [interposing] And we also care, by the way, desperately that the seniors get what they're entitled to.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Yeah, I take that back. I got a little bit of outrage. I'm very emotional today. And I apologize if I get too carried away.

DAVID FRANKEL: No problem.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I just want to understand. This has to be fixed, you know. And really there has to be people that we could call, not a 311 operator. That's why this bill is so effective, so people have someplace to call, somebody that's working on their case. I know in the preliminary budget I saw that you had to hire 40 more people in the Department of Finance. Are any of those people for SCRIE or you don't know where you would use those 40 new people?

DAVID FRANKEL: I do know where we're going to use them and I'll testify to that on Monday. And, you know, we're certainly prepared to add more people to SCRIE if we feel that we need it.

2	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Does anybody
3	else have any more questions? I want to thank you
4	for taking time out today. Next, we'll hear from
5	other elected officials.
6	DAVID FRANKEL: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you
8	both commissioners for coming, and deputy
9	commissioner. Thank you very much.
LO	[Pause]
11	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Could the
12	Department of Finance please leave somebody here
13	so they could hear the testimony? Thank you.
L4	[Pause]
15	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: First
L6	VITO LOPEZ: Thank you, Domenic
L7	Recchia. I want to thank Christine Quinn. I want
18	to thank many of the people that are sitting here
L9	and some who have left. Originally, when I got
20	involved in the whole SCRIE issue, many people,
21	some in the administration and others were saying
22	why as the chairperson of the Housing Committee
23	was I concerned about SCRIE? This is not a
24	housing issue, it's a finance issue. Well, one,
25	it is a housing issue

The Speaker of the Assembly had a meeting about two months ago because he was concerned about the complaints he was getting from the Chinatown community. We had 33 legislators, Assembly Members and about 9 or 10 Council Members at that meeting. We held hearings, the Assembly, on the issue, Aging and Housing on the issue. We had amazingly the largest number of Assembly Members attend a legislative hearing. There was also City Councilmen. We had 26 Assembly Members spending most of the day on the issue.

I want to let you know that although it's a city issue and I want to thank everyone here, but this is a serious issue. I can't believe that I'm listening to an agency, and the last part some of you asked--poverty went up from 17 percent to almost 21 percent in New York City. That's officially. It's really about 25 percent. We're talking about 2.5 million more people are in poverty. The elderly are in that category. We went from 42,000 people on SCRIE to 36,000. And there's a reason. Saving money and the ineffective way it's run.

And if we could do all these press

б

conferences, and you and I got to them, and say

we've got to help the poor people, we have to help

the elderly, and at the same time there's a system

that's diminishing a real basic entitlement.

I have testimony but I'm going to let my colleagues comment. The heat program, which is run by HRA--the heat program, in my testimony has 26 offices that they outstation people. This is a partial list. So if you want to get home energy assistance program, this is a city agency, HRA, 26 locations. There's only two in the Bronx. But it shows the different philosophy and it is an attempt to help people get the benefit.

In total there's about 40 stations. They've actually gone out to train Joan Millman's staff, an Assembly Person, Meng's staff and they outstation regularly in order to get the heat benefit. The heat benefit, as Domenic made reference to, or someone, has increased tremendously—or Steve Levin did—as well as food stamps. So you don't have to be a rocket scientist. If food stamps are going up, if heat, people getting it is going up and at the same time

SCRIE is going down. There's something wrong,something fundamentally wrong.

But you might say, Vito, look, why are you concerned? There's no federal Section 8.

That is diminished. The only housing subsidy program, the only one that's around turns out to be the SCRIE program. That's the difference of people taking their medicine, that's the difference of people not being evicted. I mean it is so critical to sit here and listen to the indifference and the insensitivity is outrageous. It's totally outrageous and unacceptable.

Assemblyman Espinal, join us.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Assemblyman,

come--

VITO LOPEZ: But let me also say to you, I love the City Council and I love many of the people here. I respect the role and the power of it. But we as state representatives, I've heard at hearings you moan and groan about our authority, but now we have four bills and we have three more bills because many of the people here are sponsoring bills. And those bills deal with everything you said today, mandating outreach,

mandating greater language sensitivity, mandating3311 not doing it.

It is outrageous for people to sit here and say 311 is the place to go. Unless you want to attack seniors and the 311 system is a failed system. Everyone knows that. Just call them up. And everyone knows that. To say that that's the system, and I'm telling you there are at least 30,000 more seniors eligible for SCRIE. That's the projections, between 30,000 and 40,000. If there are 30,000 or 40,000 and Finance is talking about reducing it by 5,000, maybe that saves some money. Tremendous expense, tremendous. So I want to challenge the politician to say we've got to get Washington to do more Section 8. But at the same time we're going to sit back and let the SCRIE program fall apart.

HEAP has 26 locations, they
outstation. And also, I mean, as the Brooklyn
County leader, it is so fantastic to hear. And I
mean it's the arrogance. I mean there's so much
arrogance here and insensitivity. We don't have
an office in Brooklyn, but in a year's time we may
have one. I think you're right, use my office.

There's so many offices. Rotate. I'm sure you'll rent a desk, Gentile, in your office. It is outrageous to come here.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Gentile already has a HEAP office.

VITO LOPEZ: No, no, no, I don't understand how you can come here and say that.

We'll wait a whole year. I love Lilliam Paoli. I don't like her, I love Lilliam Paoli. All right, but the need is greater and Lilliam knows that and Lilliam is here because she was asked to be. Can I say this? The person that's sitting here after the hearing is over, after they speak and sitting and watching is Lilliam Paoli. Very different to the other person who's administering it and lacks that sensitivity.

So in Albany, we're pressing legislation. We're going to resolve this issue. We want to do it with you. We hope, we really do hope that you get the momentum. The issue, it was changed two years ago from Aging to Finance. It's no big deal for it to go back. If that's what everybody wants. But the goal has to be, and I know all of you, we have to go from 37,000 people

б

to 60,000. There has to be a commitment on whoever runs it to really do comprehensive screening.

That booklet that Domenic keeps on waving, all right, it's very important and it's a great piece and the Department of Aging should be applauded. Where the hell is it? How come it's not--and someone saying I'll give you a file, I'll reproduce it.

I will sort of close. HEAP does outstationing. 311 system, you got to get on it. We have Chinese people in my district; they put you on different dialects. They tell you to call back. We have a Russian family; I have to refer them to Alec's office in Brighton Beach. They don't know the dialects. It doesn't work. It does not work. The way it could work is by increasing the numbers. There has to be a corresponding increase. More people are poorer, all right, and the elderly are part of that.

The amount of people going down--if I'm here the commission should have said we're making it very difficult. All right, I'm a senior. I live in a rent stabilized apartment.

2.

I'm there 22 years. I've gotten hear for live
years in a row. We want your lease or else we're
not going to give you the program. Hold it,
here's my bills. You know I've been living here.
Here's my electric bills, my phone bills. I've
lived here for six straight years, 20 years. If
you don't come up with your lease, your
application is incomplete, you lose the benefit.

will make sure we get back to you, but it would be retroactive. So it takes about six months for them to get back to you. Tell that landlord and the tenant that for six months, don't worry about it, we are going to pay the bills. We're going to do something about it. There is so much insensitive. I mean even if it can't be changed, it's the arrogance and insensitivity here that's being displayed is outrageous. It really is outrageous.

So one, Domenic, I don't know if you know where Brooklyn is, I think we need an office. All right, okay? Since we're the largest borough--

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]

2 We all agree.

VITO LOPEZ: Maybe we could rent
them space for a dollar a year someplace. But
imagine him coming here and then telling you,
without blinking an eyelash, maybe in a year. By
the time that happens, you'll be here next year
and the Mayor will only have three months to go.
So you're not going to maybe ever get that office.
Something fundamentally is wrong.

And people with language problems,

I'm telling you that I have a difficulty, all

right, I don't operate a computer. My five year

old granddaughter does. When I tell the elderly

people they downloaded it to you and we're

communicating to them and we sent them in English:

Hi, follow the instructions. The person only

speaks Russian. Only in English they tell them

what to do and the person keeps on talking to them

in Russian. And if you're deaf or live in City

Island, somehow you could figure your way out.

We're fighting the state right now and the state and the governor's office, they want to close Kingsboro Psychiatric Facility and send everyone to Staten Island. My argument there is

how the hell would you ever get from central
Brooklyn to Staten Island for psychological
problems? You never will, all right, and we have
a lot of people, including me that have serious
psychological problems.

[Laughter]

Outrageous. So my answer is, Domenic, I and a lot of members of the State Legislature, we will be coming up with a memo in support of your bill. We will have 50 or 60 of the state legislators in the Assembly signing off on it. Something has to be done. We cannot accept 311 as the answer. How could we go home at night and say 311 is the—it's not even the answer, I mean god willing, on potholes. But okay, let's say it is. This is hi, I need to get my SCRIE, no one's called me up. I just got an eviction notice. We'll get back to you in 60 days or we'll put you on a list. That isn't the way it has to be done. So please help the seniors.

And if you speak Spanish, you really have a problem. If you speak Greek, now there's someone here that will tell you that no

one knows about that. If you're Russian, if they found someone that could speak Russian, it's only one dialect. And if you're Chinese, there's only one Chinese dialect. It isn't the way to do it.

Now, the answer turns out to be if you're Chinese and you have a problem, or say you're Russian, most of them are in Brighton Beach. All right, what do you do, you go to the local senior center. And you know what's nice about going to the senior center that's run? And it's not only sensitivity; I mean it's a nice place. They really are.

So if you're handicapped, Oliver
Koppell said hey if you're--Steve talked about it.
It's much easier for me, if I live on East 9th
Street, to go to the senior center on Ocean Avenue
that's five blocks away if I'm handicapped or I'm
blind. For me to try to figure out this system,
you know, and find a friend--and it's also
arrogant, I'm 85 years old, find a friend, you
have a relative. There are many older people that
don't have friends. One woman came to me and told
me that and I repeated it last time. She said I
outlived my two children. She's in her 80s, she's

probably 85 years old. I have no one but myself and you. All right? That made me feel guilty.

But those are the people that are out there. So we've got to help them out. We have to go back to DFTA.

Philosophically, as David

Greenfield said, it's an agency—and others—that
has a mandate. This is an entitlement but it also
is a way of helping them. I'm willing to wager
here, off the record, I know I'm on the record.

I'm willing to wager that if we had this hearing
next year, Mr. Cabrera, that the numbers will not
be 36,000, it'll be 33,000. No one has yet said
that the money saved, the city saves an extra
million dollars.

I as a political leader, someone caring about housing and caring about elderly, can't stand by. I will do everything I can. I am negotiating with Legal Services and Legal Aid to come up with a class action lawsuit. I am sponsoring state legislation to do it. We have copies of the bills. But we hope that you do what is committed to do here. And I think Domenic at the end summed it up. You transfer programs back-

-the fact that this was always in Aging and went

Finance, all right, so let's bring it back to

Aging. And if that's what all the Council Members

want and the State Legislators want, why not do

it?

The last thing, you know we had a hearing, Domenic, I think you came to the hearing. We had a meeting you came to. We've written letters from the Department of Finance about our concerns. Now I know I'm not anyone significant. All right, I know I am not significant at all. I've never gotten a letter back saying by the way let's have a dialogue.

Later on today I'm meeting with a commissioner, a city commissioner about some policies, at 2:00. I meet with regular--even Commissioner Kelly--I meet with these commissioners regularly. But the fact that I am out there, you might say your feelings are hurt? No, but they refuse to have a dialogue and that's fine, but I'm not going to stop and I'm not going to go away. I'm going to do everything in my power to support you, Domenic. But the key here, it's just so important because, let me say this,

if you ever track the record of Lilliam Paoli and her commitment to people, forget about elderly, and agency sensitivity and that brochure in itself is a good reason why--and they refuse. You've waved that at them a long time, they refuse to do it. It has to go back to Aging.

And you know what you accomplished, you say the City Council is important because that's what we want. And you know where all the senior centers are? In all of our communities. You know where our offices are? Let me outstation a person in our office, all right. They went from 39 workers plus a walk-in center with nine. That's about 50 workers, to 14. All right, that's a huge difference. Even if they were magical, they could not do the same.

So I support the bill. I'd love it to be beefed up a little bit, but I border, like I said, on some problems. So I'm hoping that this bill goes. Whatever I can do to support it. We have four pieces of legislation and everyone on this table is sponsoring one. All right? We'll get you copies of it. We have three more that we're going to do. There will be seven. We

2	prefer to do it with you and through you. You
3	answer a lot of our concerns, instead of us having
4	a state mandate to do it. So thank you, thank you
5	very much.

Very much, Assemblyman Vito Lopez. I appreciate your testimony. Your leadership on this issue means a great deal to us. The DFTA commissioner, we all agree she does a great job, especially during these tough economic times. Just yesterday, she opened up one of her new senior centers, innovative seniors centers that we're getting great calls about. We agree, something has to be done here.

What other Assembly Members would like to testify?

VITO LOPEZ: We're doing this strategically based on language and geographical locations.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I just want o hear, we have representation from the Bloomberg Administration here. We have representation from the Department of Finance. I hope you're taking this all down and reporting back to the

commissioners because this is very, very
important.

ARAVELLA SIMOTAS: Good afternoon,
Council Member Recchia. It's wonderful to be
here. My name is Assembly Member Simotas. I'd
like to introduce my other colleagues here. We
have Assemblyman Alec Brook-Krasny from Brooklyn,
Assembly Rafael Espinal from Brooklyn and also
representing Assemblywoman Grace Meng is Aida
Morel.

You know, if you're wondering why
Assemblyman Vito Lopez is so irate, it's because
he is communicating what all of us feel. Not just
elected officials, but also seniors in our
community, also community leaders, people who care
about people. People who care that constituents
and people who've worked their entire lives to
make this city and this state the wonderful place
it is to live, that they get the benefits that
they're entitled to.

What are we talking about here?
We're talking about SCRIE. We're talking about a program that helps senior citizens stay in their homes. And what has this whole change that

occurred in 2009, what has it accomplished? Well, you force seniors to either pay increases because SCRIE isn't administered properly. We've heard countless testimony here about all the administrative problems.

So you're getting them, you're forcing them to decide between paying their rent increase out of their own pocket, so they have to decide do I pay my rent increase or do I pay for my prescription drugs? Do I pay for my food? Do I feed myself? Or they have to go to their landlord and beg that they forgive the increase or they're going to get evicted. That's what we're talking about here.

You can't tell a senior citizen to wait. You cannot do that. They've waited their entire lives. They've worked their entire lives for this benefit. And you cannot tell them to wait.

I see the commissioner here. I see in your face that you're very compassionate and you understand what I'm saying.

You know, I thought that it was ironic that Commissioner Frankel's opening

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statement was well we're experiencing growing

pains. Well, you know what, two years going on

three years, and let me tell you something, those

growing pains, it is unfair and absolutely

unbelievable that we're forcing our seniors to

take over these growing pains, forcing them to

wait through an agency to determine how to get

over these growing pains.

These are senior citizens. Their quality of lives is very important. Every day they come to my office. I have a very large Greek American community in my district and they don't speak English. Some of them speak a little bit of English; some of them don't have children close by to help them with these applications. I can't tell you, they wait at my door, before 9:00 because they didn't hear about their SCRIE application, because they're worried about getting evicted. That feeling that the worries that they feel, I tell you, have a great effect on their health. So then we have to deal with other issues with them. This is not fair

We're talking about helping seniors. So I don't want to belabor the point.

We've heard the issues over and over again. I
would like to add that there is a language barrier
with respect to the Russian community, the Chinese
community, the Greek community. I've tried to
call 311, actually when we had the hurricane I
went around, driving around and calling 311 about
fallen trees. You know what, they did a great
job. But I've also tried to call 311 on SCRIE.
And I waited for 30 minutes, got frustrated, hung
up and then called somebody else.

I'm an elected official, I've got a law degree and I got frustrated. Imagine if you're a senior citizen, 70, 80 years old, somebody who might not have the educational opportunities that I had, trying to call and figure out their way through the system. You know, we have to be compassionate, that's our job and it's out duty as elected officials to make sure that we're representing our constituents and to make sure that we're compassionate.

So I urge everybody and this
Council, which I understand everybody here is a
sponsor of this bill, to pass it. We will do what
we can in the State Assembly to support this and

2.

to convince Mayor Bloomberg that there is a very
important issue in the city and that's language
sensibility, because he speaks Spanish. Now when
it comes to the departments under him, whether
it's 311 or Department of Finance, I have to
convince those departments that it's a very
important issue in this city, the language
sensibility. I don't know why. You follow me and
understand the way the departments under him
wouldn't.

I have to tell you--I don't have to tell you, we're talking about seniors, people who just, it's very difficult for them to learn

English. If they're coming here at the age of 60,
65, 70, it's totally impossible. And SCRIE for them is a matter of life or death. So there is so important to have a language sensibility regarding SCRIE. We're talking about it again and again and again and again. And 311, if we had time now and you want me to call 311 and speak Russian, oh we'll have a good time, guys, for about an hour.

[Laughter]

ALEC BROOK-KRASNY: Here's my testimony. Again, again we're talking about

to--

2	SCRIE. You know what, this is in English because
3	I totally understand the Department of Finance
4	wouldn't understand my Russian. When it's going
5	to be in the hands of Department for the Aging, I
6	might do it in two languages then because I still
7	have hope.
8	The Senior Citizen Rent Increase
9	Exemption, SCRIE program, currently serves over
10	44,000 residents.
11	VITO LOPEZ: I hate to ever
12	interrupt you. But that's 44,000 and your staff
13	helped you get the number. Every day it goes
14	down. Today's testimony, it's 36,000 or 37,000.
15	ALEC BROOK-KRASNY: Thank you,
16	Vito.
17	VITO LOPEZ: So where the economy
18	is getting worse, the numbers of people are going
19	down. So your research is a year or two behind.
20	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay. Alec
21	Brook-Krasny, we'd love to hear from you. Unless
22	you want to pass your time
23	ALEC BROOK-KRASNY: [interposing]

I'm happy that I gave my chairman an opportunity

2	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]
3	You could give us the short version. You could
4	summarize your testimony.
5	VITO LOPEZ: It's only two pages.
6	[Laughter]
7	ALEC BROOK-KRASNY: And is the main
8	lifeline of assistance to many of the seniors.
9	Every day, seniors call and come into my district
10	office because they need assistance with SCRIE.
11	This is the number one problem issue my
12	constituents have. Day in and day out, my staff
13	and I hear the same complaints. The application
14	process is too confusing. Our certification
15	letter never came. The office staff doesn't speak
16	our language. Often they are confused and reduced
17	to tears because of the constant threat of

Is this the way to treat our senior citizens? Should they be forced to make the choice between paying for their medicine or food?

I certainly don't think so. There is no reason that new applications should take longer to get approved and that recertifications should be backlogged for as long as they are.

becoming evicted.

Landlords are also feeling the negative impact. When property bills went out last July, landlords weren't given their SCRIE credits. Many landlords with mortgages were forced to pay the incorrect high bills before defaults on their mortgages. Tax abatement credit reports, which spell out the specific subsidy for each tenant never arrived. Also, the city claims this was fixed the following months. It is this kind of incident that shouldn't happen at all.

The current operation of this program is seriously flawed. Since SCRIE moved from the Department of Aging to the New York City Department of Finance, it has downsized its staff from over 30 people to less than 10. Am I right? I am all for progression and adjusting programs so the outcome means our citizens are going to benefit from this adjustment. However it seems clear to me that the downsizing of this staff has not been beneficial to anyone. Not landlords who own these buildings and certainly not to the seniors, to both sides. For these reasons, I'm in full support of moving the SCRIE program from the Department of Finance back to Department for the

22

23

24

25

_	
2	Aging. If you need the Russian translation, just
3	tell my staff, they will do it.
4	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you
5	very much.
6	ALEC BROOK-KRASNY: Thank you very
7	much.
8	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay, next?
9	AIDA MOREL: Good morning. Good
10	afternoon everyone. My name is Aida Morel and I'm
11	here representing New York State Assemblywoman
12	Grace Meng. She is a member of the New York State
13	Assembly's Aging Committee as well as the New York
14	State Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic and Asian
15	Legislative Caucus. She represents the district
16	of Flushing Queens that consists of approximately
17	one-third senior citizens. We also have a huge
18	population of Chinese and Korean citizens as well.
19	Our office deals with over 40 walk-
20	in constituents a day. Our work load is

in constituents a day. Our work load is tremendous because agencies like the New York City Department of Finance are unable to efficiently and timely process and respond to many SCRIE applications it receives.

Some of the most common problems

our constituents have encountered are the lack of forms available in their own language. On the finance website, only the initial SCRIE application is available in the Chinese language. For Korean speaking, there are no SCRIE forms available online as of yet. As a result, we were requesting that applications and also forms would be in the Korean language, but as the commissioner said before, that would be available soon.

Also, for all those who were able to submit their SCRIE application, our office receives many complaints that they never heard from the Department of Finance again about the status of their application. They never receive any sort of confirmation or receipt. Their dilemma is what should they do? Do they reapply? Who do they call? They often don't receive any sort of response prior to the deadline.

I am here today on behalf of
Assemblywoman Grace Meng to advocate for better
customer service for our SCRIE and DRIE customers.
We support his legislation that would move the
SCRIE and DRIE programs back to the Department for
the Aging. Thank you.

2.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you
very much. Does any other Council Member like to
ask any questions to this wonderful panel? Yes,
Council Member Vincent Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I just want to make a comment, as one of the Council Members that does have a HEAP office in my district office. It strikes me that one of the things that I always tell seniors is if they have a problem with SCRIE, call me, don't call 311.

What I didn't realize, until I heard the testimony today, is that Department of Finance, even when there is a problem reported to them through 311 will not get back to the senior, they get back to the 311 center and 311 apparently calls the senior, as far as I can tell from the testimony I heard. That is outrageous. That is absolutely outrageous.

So I understand the total frustration that if you get through to 311 in the first case, you don't even get a response back from DOF directly. So there's never any connection. That's why it's even worse than I thought. But that's one of the reasons I tell the

2 seniors speak to us and we will call DOF directly 3 and not through 311.

add something. I just got a statistic from my office. We keep statistics about who comes in, how many people come in, how many people come in from SCRIE. We received last year, which was my first year in office, 600 people come in for SCRIE, seniors come in and ask questions about SCRIE. Those are new people. So they've come repeatedly to our office, but 600. And 75 percent of those 600 didn't speak English as a primary language. So the reason they came in was because of difficulties of navigating the system because of language. So I just wanted to add that point.

VITO LOPEZ: The fact that people know that your office has the HEAP program. It's just fascinating to people, in the last three months, 107 people. A lot of them we mail out birthday greetings and we say we have ties to the HEAP program. The need is greater for seniors. The fear of being evicted, nothing to do with HEAP, but things are tough.

And if people here, all right with

due respect, the administration has to know it, I understand there's a fiscal problem, more people are eligible for food stamps, Medicaid, HEAP and SCRIE. That number has to be up by 20,000 or 25,000. It can't be 44,000 to 36,000. The number that Alec has is a two-year old number. We've had to readjust. So there's something missing in the translation and there's a tremendous need.

And language, I mean we are a city of multiple languages. We do an IT-214 drive in our office. Every year we do about 500 of them. This year we're up to 879. You know why, because the economy for the working class and the poor has gone up and we're only in the beginning of the year. SCRIE has to increase. There has to be. The only hope that these seniors, the underserved seniors have is the decentralization, going to the senior centers, community board or our local offices. Her office does that. Our office does it. It would be really nice to be able to say okay, you know, where's the relationship, and with the Department of Aging we would have it.

I just want to go on record,

Domenic, one more time, the State Legislature, I

know you fight for your importance and you deserve to do it. With term limits, some of you might go to Albany. But we have a stake. We do the enabling legislation and we have a stake to modify. It is totally unacceptable in my opinion, it's like you, you know that the status quo is the way it is, that state legislators, over 30 of them are going to be sending you a letter, problem more like 45 that we want to change. And we have no dialogue really on the issue.

There's a position being said that

New York State Legislators and Speaker Silver does

not have any role in the SCRIE program when very

soon the SCRIE program comes up for

reauthorization. Right now we have four bills

that are probably going to pass the State

Legislature. Then someone like yourself,

justifiably, will say: Vito, why is the state

mandating this?

And the things that we are passing, if you look at the bills, are almost in your legislation. So what we're doing is three things. We're doing our own legislation to help you out and we could easily say we won't mandate it. Two,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we hope you pass yours. And third, we've actively pursuing a lawsuit because there's no reason that if you're blind--you know find someone that can call up. I don't know how you receive a downloaded computer--I mean I couldn't do it now because I'm illiterate, but I don't know if you're blind, how do you download a computer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [off mic]

I don't mind stepping out. I don't

VITO LOPEZ: All right, but the average person does not know that and falls between the cracks. Okay, maybe you could do it. But the question is fundamentally, your point someone has to answer and later on you need to do because you're a strong advocate for poor people and the undeserved. Why is the economy tanking, the poverty rate going up tremendously in the last two years, by 5 percentage points, over two million people in poverty and the numbers of SCRIE going down from 44,000 to 37,000. There has to be The only reason I have is the system a reason. stinks in those two years that it shifted, and two, the motivation behind it, the arrogance and insensitivity has a purpose. You save money.

mind, I'll hear about it, but I'm not going to run
away from an issue that's a rent supplement.

Seven thousand less people have a rent supplement.

The question that you asked of others, if I'm a senior for 30 years living in a rent stabilized building and I can't find my lease because I lost it, I have to go and produce a lease and I have in to that nasty landlord and say I demand a lease, otherwise I'm going to lose my SCRIE. The landlord wants your apartment and you understand that. So they ain't going to give you a lease.

So then all of the sudden you've lived there for 30 years, you're listed on DHCR's site. They're saying they still expect a lease. That's putting that senior against the landlord and facing eviction. That's a policy statement. That was said here today. That was said by the commissioner of Finance. He's not going to accept DHCR statement. He wants a lease. The senior cannot get the lease or the landlord is insensitive or the landlord is a holding corporation that they could never negotiate. So we're going to send this older Russian man to try

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	to f	ind	his	land	ord	and	deman	d a	copy	of	his
2	leas	20	T+'c	not	goir	na ta	work				

I want to give you, I know I'm talking too long--

6 CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]

No--

VITO LOPEZ: I sat here for two and a half hours. I postponed some medical appointments. This is a very significant issue, Domenic, and it has to pass and it has to not--you said it well. There's a lot of changes. This was changed once before. Since advocates want it, and a lot of people have left, but they're all going to testify here that they want it to go to Aging. So what in the world, they still could work the same relationship that was said today, Finance working with Aging, keep it. Just turn it around a little bit and let Aging do it, all right? in you mindset, just take the Lilliam Paoli and Frankel and say who do you want to run the program. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Council

Member David Greenfield would like to say a word.

Well, hold on, sit down. We're not done yet.

2	Council	Member	Green	nfield?	We	have	a	lot	of
3	people	that wa	nt to	testify					

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: We want to thank you and we want to recognize the partnership that we have with you. We appreciate you inviting us to your hearings and we're happy to have you here. We appreciate your leadership.

I will just point out,

incidentally, I didn't think about this on the way over but you have a terrific point. On the way over here today, when I was listening to 1010WINS, I actually heard an advertisement on the radio for HEAP. I'm sure some of you have actually seen that, ads in the paper, ads on the radio. I've never seen any sort of advertisements, in fact I think they testified today SCRIE doesn't even have a pamphlet. And HEAP is actually getting advertisements out there. So think that's very significant.

VITO LOPEZ: And they even go to Gentile's office. That's something.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And for the record, I have three field offices for HEAP in

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 136
2	my district.
3	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay
4	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD:
5	[interposing] But Mr. Chairman, I will note though
6	in all fairness, I think the blame does fall on
7	Commissioner Lilliam Barrios-Paoli because she
8	does such a good job she makes everyone else look
9	bad. I think she gets some of the blame, in all
10	fairness. Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you
12	very much. Thank you for taking the time out,
13	Assembly Members, for coming here and testifying.
14	We greatly appreciate it. Your input was greatly
15	important. Please call the next panel.
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Allison
18	Weingarten, Fern Hertzberg, Jane Landry-Reyes and
19	Kaitlin Nelson.
20	[Pause]
21	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Everyone who
22	wants to testify, everybody take your seats so we
23	can see who's up here, who's here and who's not
24	here.
25	[Pause]

2.

ALLISON WEINGARTEN: I think I'll
read it. Good afternoon Chairperson Recchia,
Chairperson Lappin and Chairperson Greenfield and
members of the New York City Council Committee on
Finance; Committee on Aging and Subcommittee on
Senior Centers. My name is Allison Weingarten and
I am a social work intern at the Queens Community
House Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on behalf of Queens Community

House and to comment on the New York City Senior

Citizen Rent Increase Exemption program and the

Disability Rent Increase Exemption program.

We have read through the City
Council legislation and commend the City Council
Finance, Aging and Senior Centers Committees and
all others involved for introducing legislation to
improve SCRIE and DRIE. The legislation indicates
that you understand that action must be taken by
the City Council to ensure that SCRIE and DRIE are
more easily accessible, especially because these
programs are meant to serve inherently vulnerable
populations: the aging and people with
disabilities.

Queens Community House is a multigenerational settlement house engaging over 20,000
Queens' residents in youth, adult, family and
senior programming. Our Housing and Homelessness
Prevention Program assists approximately 3,000
residents with housing concerns in Queens.

At the Queens Community House, we assist seniors and people with disabilities apply and recertify for SCRIE and DRIE. First time, eligible applicants, may have their rent frozen at the rent of their previous lease. Approved applicants may reapply for the program with each new lease so that their rent may remain frozen at the rent they were initially approved for.

At the Queens Community House we started seeing problems with tenants losing their SCRIE and DRIE benefits when the Department of Finance started overseeing both SCRIE and DRIE in September 2009.

We are now noticing a high number of SCRIE and DRIE renewal applicants losing their SCRIE and DRIE benefits because, without any notification from New York City Department of Finance, seniors and people with disabilities are

not aware that their SCRIE or DRIE is no longer current. These tenants continue paying their rent as if they are approved for SCRIE and DRIE but once the tenant and the landlord realize that the tenant is no longer receiving the SCRIE or DRIE--sometimes a year or two after the tenant lost their benefits—the landlord charges the tenant the rent that they were not paid by the New York City Department of Finance.

Here's an example of one of our tenants. One tenant never recertified for SCRIE in April 2010. The tenant's landlord did not realize that the tenant was not recertified for SCRIE until January 2012. Once the landlord realized that the tenant was not receiving SCRIE, the landlord charged the Tax Abatement Credit that the landlord had not received which was \$7,987.

And the landlord informed the tenant that the tenant needed to start paying the legal rent which is \$1,128, much higher than the SCRIE rent that was \$728. The tenant's combined household income is only \$1,152, not enough to pay the arrears or the new legal rent and survive and live with dignity.

We are working with SCRIE to make sure that this and the other cases we have seen are taken care of to make sure these tenants are not evicted.

Additionally, we are having an issue with tenants being asked for their tax returns. Most of these tenants only make \$10,000 a year so they don't file tax returns. And we are having, as has been stated, a lot of trouble communicating with SCRIE, and especially DRIE.

DRIE is much worse than DRIE in terms of communication.

We commend this legislation, especially the fact that information will be provided in non-English languages. We also like that landlords will be notified every quarter instead of two years later and they're like, what, I didn't get \$8,000. Now my tenant must pay.

But we'd like some things to be added to the legislation. We'd like to add to the legislation that it's legally mandated that SCRIE and DRIE send out recertification packages. We think the initial application should be provided in non-English languages. In the legislation, I

2.

think it says that if you request it you'll get
information in non-English languages. We'd prefer
that the initial application can be in other
languages.

Whatever department ends up taking this over, we just want them to be more sensitive to the fact that these are vulnerable populations. Tenants shouldn't be asked to provide tax returns. I think that should be put in the legislation.

And any tenant that had failed to recertify should automatically and in retroactive payment by SCRIE to the landlord once the tenant has recertified. We're passing these cases on to Legal Services for anyone who didn't recertify and now the landlord is saying pay us \$8,000. We want some sort of umbrella if this happened to you, you don't have to pay; we're just going to automatically cover that. And those tenants should be recertified for SCRIE or DRIE at the original rent that they were approved for.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: You can sum up.

ALLISON WEINGARTEN: Yes. And whichever agency administers SCRIE and DRIE, they

2.

meeting of the Council on Aging. Fifty-three
provider agencies are members of the Washington
Heights/Inwood Council on Aging, from hospital and
nursing homes to the nine senior centers, case
management, all of the services. I just raised
the question, because I had heard about this
hearing

So I said: how is it going with SCRIE? Although I don't like to argue with the Department of Finance, I'm sure they've got some statistics somewhere that will say what am I saying. The experiences that it was four to six weeks under DFTA to get a response on a renewal application and about three to six months to get a response on a new application for SCRIE.

Today, the experience of the members of around the table was three to six months to get a renewal and six months to a year to get a recertification.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: So it got worse?

FERN HERTZBERG: Significantly worse, four to five times worse than it was. In addition to that, the landlords are using this

opportunity to not renew leases, to say we're not getting our tax abatement and try to throw tenants out.

In Washington Heights we have a significant problem and have had a significant problem with Pinnacle, with Vantage and with the other landlords that already notorious to the City Council on the harassment and the attempt to get rid of tenants to start with. So this was just like opening the door and saying, come on, get rid of the tenants.

So part of your decrease in SCRIE is probably related to people not being able to get their lease renewed. Not just the delay in the actual SCRIE renewal.

The consensus of the members of the Council on Aging was not only that they would prefer that it be at DFTA but that they really think that the City Council needs to think about the resources. Because the staffing issue that you've all pointed out is a significant issue in delaying these re-certifications.

We all know it's not an electronic system. It's not an electronic application. Even

2.

if the senior centers do those applications, we
can't speed up the process. We still have to send
it to whatever department it is and it has to be
handled by hand, by that department. So when you
cut the staffing by a third, it just isn't
possible to do it as rapidly as if you have three
times the number of staff. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you very much. Next?

KAITLIN NELSON: Thank you for this opportunity to testify at this public hearing of the SCRIE program, administered by the New York City Department of Finance. I'm Kaitlin Nelson, LMSW, Assistant Director of Development and a licensed social worker at VISIONS Services for the Blind and Visually Impaired.

VISIONS completed our 85th year of promoting the independence of blind and visually impaired people of all ages. Annually, VISIONS serves nearly 6,000 primarily low-income participants and their families free of charge.

Our work is an affirmation that losing one's vision does not lead to a loss of independence, civic engagement and contribution to the life of

2 New York City.

Help with finding affordable housing is one of the most frequently requested services received by VISIONS licensed Master Social Workers and Caseworkers.

The population of seniors with severe vision loss is growing as the baby boomers age and develops age-related eye diseases. Vision impairment has an even larger impact on non-white and low-income seniors, and seniors with chronic diseases.

VISIONS is proud to be chosen as one of the new Innovative Senior Centers and we thank the City Council and Department for the Aging for the funding for this.

One of the services that we have provided is assistance with eligibility for benefits including SCRIE and DRIE and we use our computer lab, our fully accessible computer lab to help assist blind seniors in determining eligibility for benefits.

Frankly blind seniors have problems accessing benefits from all government entities.

DFTA has acknowledged that their own staff needs

training and DFTA staff participates in Disability

Mentoring Day as offered by the Mayors Office for

People with Disabilities.

I do believe that SCRIE applicants and recipients were better served when this program was housed at DFTA. However, if SCRIE is to remain at the New York City Department of Finance, we urge them to require their staff to participate in training on aging and disability. This can be done with the Mayors Office for People with Disabilities or by sending DOF staff to DFTA trainings. We also urge the Department of Finance to create a hotline, a dedicated phone number, for advocates and staff at provider agencies to follow up on individual SCRIE and DRIE applications where they can ask questions. This is important since most blind seniors do not have accessible computers at home.

I would be remiss if I also did not address the lack of consistency and equity between SCRIE and DRIE. It makes no sense that SCRIE eligibility is \$29,000 and DRIE eligibility is \$19,284. Both seniors and people with disabilities of all ages are likely to be living

б

in poverty or near poor. It makes sense to make
the income eligibility the same to include more
people in the protections of SCRIE and DRIE.

I have additional suggestions to strengthen the SCRIE program, including that the City Council should explore the implementation of presumptive eligibility for SCRIE. That the website of the Department of Finance and DFTA should be changed so that an applicant or their advocate can actually complete an application online rather than printing it out and filling it out by pen.

If the SCRIE programs remains at DOF, employees at DOF and community agencies must be trained on aging and disability etiquette.

Training should be mandatory.

Seniors between the ages 60 and 62 who are also disabled should be encouraged to apply for DRIE until they become eligible at age 62 for SCRIE.

And I strongly support the recommendation of a directly dialed hotline for advocates and community workers to call and reach a live person at DOF to follow up on specific

2 applications.

I strongly support providing grants to community agencies with employees who do outreach and find seniors eligible for DRIE and SCRIE and assist them with the application process. For seniors who are blind that often means going to the person's home to collect the documentation to prove SCRIE or DRIE eligibility.

I thank you for this opportunity to speak today at the SCRIE public hearing, and look forward to continuing the partnership with our City Council to match blind seniors with benefits and resources. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you very much for coming in today. Because there were some issues with the hearing impaired and all kinds of people with disabilities, and it's a big issue. It's a big problem.

KAITLIN NELSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: The fact that I forgot what the number was, that they couldn't give us the number of how many people are on DRIE was very disturbing. Brooklyn Legal Services, go ahead, you're up. You don't have to read your

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 151					
2	thesis.					
3	JANE LANDRY-REYES: I'm a lawyer,					
4	you know, 20 pages.					
5	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I know. I					
6	read it. It's very, very good. You brought up					
7	some good ideas.					
8	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Okay, thank					
9	you. I'm just going to summarize my takeaways					
10	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]					
11	Love it.					
12	JANE LANDRY-REYES:from the					
13	hearing this morning. I think that what we're all					
14	essentially saying here is that whichever agency					
15	the program remains with or goes to, that staffing					
16	is absolutely crucial. I was dismayed to hear as					
17	Commissioner Frankel's last point that he would be					
18	prepared to add personnel to the SCRIE program if					
19	he thinks they need them. At this point, if he					
20	doesn't understand that what they need is					
21	additional staffing, that's quite disturbing.					
22	I do believe that there is a					
23	difference in the mission of the two agencies and					
24	that we have seen the ramifications of the					
25	Department of Finance's mission being a different					

mission than that of DFTA. I think that DOF is now actually at a point where they should have been two years ago and that they have corrected mistakes that they've made over the last two years and that things are getting better in terms of some recent improvements that they've made, from an advocate's perspective, from a tenant lawyer's perspective. But there is certainly much, much more to be done.

specifically, the online TAC reports for lawyers who are going into court with tenants who are about to be evicted to be able to clarify what the subsidy levels are and what the status is quickly is very, very important.

However, tenants are themselves, many, many elderly tenants are not going to be able to access that information. Mailings, regular mailings and the quarterly reports that are proposed I believe are very important also to establish that a landlord is on notice of what the subsidy is and what the status of the subsidy is. It is simply illegal to bring a tenant to court to sue them for an unpaid subsidy particularly when they are in the grace period for recertification, which is six

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 153
2	months.
3	The 311 system is completely
4	ineffective. The 100,000 calls I'm sure I would
5	be interested in knowing what the numbers are for
6	repeat callers on that number.
7	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: We asked
8	that. We think alike us lawyers.
9	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Right.
LO	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: We asked that
11	question.
L2	JANE LANDRY-REYES: I would venture
L3	to say that a combination of the 311 system and an
L4	ombudsman type proposal, as my colleagues here
L5	have testified to, would be appropriate.
L6	Community-based organizations and
L7	legal services organizations and tenant advocates
L8	need to be able to get to a human being. They
L9	need to be able to do that and it need not to be a
20	small percentage of people who can access that
21	information.
22	As a Legal Services attorney, we've
23	now met with DOF personnel. They've been
24	extremely helpful, I have to say. But only after
25	we pressed for a meeting, only after we pointed

2 out what policy mistakes they were making.

I would suggest that the number of recipients, SCRIE recipients going down in part has to do with changed policies between what DFTA used to do and what DOF now does. Particularly, and it's in my testimony, DOF's policy apparently now is that if you've retired last year, they're just looking at your last year's income and if your last year's income was employment income and now you've retired, it doesn't matter, you're not eligible. This puts people in very difficult position. That's a policy change. It's something that is, I believe, ill advised. There are a number of things that—

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]

Could we just talk about that for one second?

JANE LANDRY-REYES: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I just want my attorney to make a note in your testimony.

What she's saying is that if somebody retires and this year they go to apply for it, they look at last year's tax return.

JANE LANDRY-REYES: Right.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: So last

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 155
2	year's tax return is going to show that you made
3	\$25,000 or \$30,000 but now you're only making
4	\$12,000 a year. What are you supposed to do for
5	this one year?
6	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Right. So if
7	you made \$30,000, now you're over the income
8	eligibility for the household
9	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: [interposing]
10	And what did DFTA do?
11	JANE LANDRY-REYES: DFTA would have
12	looked at current, at income and with projected
13	income if you were about to retire.
14	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: My
15	legislation does not address that?
16	JANE LANDRY-REYES: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I'm sorry,
18	if I may just interject.
19	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: So this is
21	only for SCRIE or for other benefit programs? How
22	do other benefit programs work?
23	JANE LANDRY-REYES: In terms of
24	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD:
25	[interposing] In terms of the retirement issue,

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 156
2	how do they handle that?
3	JANE LANDRY-REYES: You mean for
4	example Section 8?
5	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Section 8,
6	food stamps, HEAP.
7	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Food stamps is
8	going to be looking at what your current income
9	is.
10	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay.
11	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Section 8 will
12	ask for your last year's income but will look at
13	what your current income is. So, you know, I
14	think it's appropriate to ask if
15	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD:
16	[interposing] So is SCRIE the only program as far
17	as you know that just looks at last year?
18	JANE LANDRY-REYES: I can't say
19	that with certainty. But it's the only one at the
20	moment that I can speak to.
21	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: That's
22	fair enough.
23	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Very
24	interesting.
25	JANE LANDRY-REYES: Also, in terms

of the language access issues, absolutely I would echo that it is crazy that only the initial application is available in other languages and not a recertification. I'll give one brief example.

I'm a Legal Services attorney. I had a tenant who was threatened with eviction. In the course of representing here, I did a SCRIE application to protect her in the future. I put myself down as a third party representative who could be contacted for recertifications. A year went by or actually it may have been two years and recertification was due. My Spanish speaking client received a renewal application in English. I was a third party contact on the application but I didn't get anything.

So my Spanish speaking client, the impetus was on her now and she did do it, thank god, but she came into my office with the renewal application in English and said: hey, what's this? I was able to troubleshoot that. But the fact that you have a provision for a third party representative but don't use the mechanism is problematic. You know, I can't speak to the

б

number of people who fall into that category but it is a problem. Obviously, a reduction from 39 to 17, you know this is the source of many, many of the problems.

I do think, also if the SCRIE program would outreach to the courts and attorneys at the point, and they are the point of danger of eviction, that the courts were made aware, for example, that there were problems in the tax payments or credits to the landlords at that time, that the courts could have prevented. There was one councilwoman who asked what follow-up did you do of the people who were evicted or potentially evicted in that circumstance.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: That's good to make a note of that too. Send a memo to the courts. Okay, anything else?

JANE LANDRY-REYES: That's it, I'll rest now.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: You gave some good ideas. I like them. All right, I want to thank you all for coming to testify here today. I don't think, Mr. Greenfield, do you have any questions for this wonderful panel?

1	AGING SENIOR CENTERS AND FINANCE 159
2	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: No. I
3	just want to thank you all for your service and we
4	appreciate what you're doing. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: We have one
6	more person to testify, from State Senator Eric
7	Adams' office. Does anybody else want to testify?
8	This is the last chance. After this gentleman
9	testifies, no more. To the sergeant-at-arms, if
10	anyone hands you a form, we're closed down. Hold
11	on, is this lady to testify? Is she with you?
1 2	REUVEN LIPKIND: She's with me

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Okay.

REUVEN LIPKIND: I'm here on behalf of State Senator Eric Adams. I have just a brief statement I'd like to read on his behalf. are 44,000 SCRIE recipients. New York City Finance Department has a staff of approximately about 10 people or so, in comparison to the 30 plus, the 39 staffers housed at the Department of Aging.

It is only appropriate and rational that the oversight of this program be in the hands of those who are the most equipped and have the best access and understanding of those being

served. This can only assist in more efficientand effective programs.

I'd just like to add a bit. I've worked with the State Senator for over 20 years.

My position is I'm a constituent advocate. I've worked with the constituents. I'm the director of constituent services over here in central Brooklyn. Every day we have people coming in for SCRIE, DRIE. We work with them. It's very difficult to communicate with the SCRIE administration.

As my associate can attest to, many times when you finally do find someone that you can actually speak to, you find that a few weeks later he's been moved or she's been moved to a different position. Two weeks ago, I called on behalf of someone. 311 will take inquiries regarding SCRIE. They will not take inquiries regarding DRIE. There is no way to check up on a DRIE application going through 311. They just won't do it.

I was very surprised that I got a response from someone in SCRIE, in the Department of Finance, answering my inquiry about a

constituent. She was very knowledgeable and very good. She was excellent. I asked if I could get her telephone number. She said I'll give you my number but I'm only going to be here three weeks. I'm terminated. This lady is gone. March 16th is her last day. So when they say they've increased them, they increased them but they keep taking away the quality people. We have no one to deal with, no one to work with.

I literally go to all the meetings
I possibly can. I speak to individuals I know
that work in Finance to find out who there is, to
find people to work with and they just keep
cutting it back, cutting it back, making it more
and more difficult.

I think it's actually that they're trying to cut back on the SCRIE to give the money back to the city. The people losing this, this is their safety net. This is their lifeline.

Without SCRIE, thousands of my seniors are going to be on the street. That's what's basically happening.

I really applaud everything you've done here today. All of my frustrations working

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signatu	ıre		(maa)	Luko	
			00.010		
Date	_March	16,	2012		