CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----X

January 19, 2012 Start: 10:04 a.m. Recess: 10:56 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Gale A. Brewer Council Member G. Oliver Koppell Council Member Mark S. Weprin

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Daniel Srebnick
Associate Commissioner for IT Security
Department of Information Technology and
Telecommunications
Chief Information Security Officer for New York City

2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, we're
3	ready. All right, let's get this started.
4	Welcome, everyone. Good morning. So happy to be
5	here this morning. This bright, beautiful day.
6	And I'm joined this morning by Council Member Gale
7	Brewer and the introduction, the hearing on Intro
8	664. And I would like to welcome everyone to this
9	hearing on Introduction Number 664, relating to
10	data security plans for City agencies from
11	registering voters to approving permits, to
12	vaccinating children. The City regularly collects
13	important information about its residents in order
14	to effectively provide services. Much of this
15	information, such as medical records and certain
16	political contributions is confidential. Other
17	information, such as addresses, dates of birth,
18	and social security numbers, could be used for
19	identity theft. It is therefore critical that
20	personal information collected by City agencies be
21	kept secure. Experience has shown that this
22	information is not always effectively protected;
23	however, the privacy rights clearinghouse reports
24	that there have been on average nearly 20
25	occurrences of data breaches within New York City

annually since 2005. One particularly noteworthy
example occurred in late 2010, when medical
records were stolen from New York City Health and
Hospital Corporation. I definitely remember that
one. The records were digitally, were digital
files being transported in a van, in all 1.7
million records were stolen. While most breaches
in recent history have been by private
organization, some involve New York City
government. For example, over 200 case files from
the Administration, for children's services,
containing sensitive personal data about families
and social workers involved in agency cases, were
found unshredded in a garbage bag on a street
corner in 2006. Other jurisdictions have
addressed the risks of data breaches with
legislation requiring data security plans;
however, New York City agencies are not currently
required to create or enforce a plan to secure
personal data in their possession. This Committee
today will gather testimony on Intro No. 664,
which seeks to remedy this problem by requiring
agencies to create and enforce, enforce data
security plans. Let me now have Council Member

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Brewer share some words.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just want to thank very much the Chair for his interest in this topic, he's had a long time interest in this I want to thank Jeff Baker, I know he's topic. Counsel formerly to the Committee, and been promoted. Crystal Goldpond [phonetic] who's the Policy Analyst, and the new attorney David Seitzer [phonetic], they have all contributed to my knowledge on this topic over the years. I think this is a common sense measure to ensure that every City agency is taking the necessary steps to protect personal information and I think in addition to what the Chair indicated, it also will help set the precedent for some of the nonprofits who are also nervous because they deal with very vulnerable populations and I think that they will have some guidebooks to look to as we go forward with this bill. I think that the issue of encryption is something that we will all be looking toward, that perhaps would have helped with the HHC disaster. And I think we will have a better monitoring process, and I hope that we'll have a more centralized way of looking at the data

security that we're all so concerned about. It's
a brand new world. I think even words, and we'll
hear from the very esteemed personnel from DoITT,
but even things like passwords may be passé, as
time goes on. So the question is how do you come
up with a procedure that is also able to be
foreseen into the future and keeps up with the
technology. And of course all of this is
surrounded by paper. I'm the worst, I'm a
technology nut and I have too much paper. I'm
totally cognizant of that. so the question is, a
lot of these agencies have the same issue, and so
the question is how do we keep up with the
technology, provide security for our data and at
the same time deal with this paper. We look
forward to your testimony. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you,

Council Member Brewer. I want to thank you for being a champion and in protecting personal data and you've been literally in the forefront for many years even before I became a Council Member. So, we, let me just use the word, we honor you today, today for that. Let me now turn it over to Mr. Daniel Srebnick, from DoITT for testimony.

DANIEL SREBNICK: [off mic] Yeah,
thank you[on mic] Okay. Good morning, Chair
Cabrera, and Members of the Council Committee on
Technology. My name is Daniel Srebnick, I'm the
Associate Commissioner for IT Security at the
Department of Information Technology and
Telecommunications, or DoITT. And I'm also New
York City's Chief Information Security Officer.
I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to
testify today on Intro 664, in regard to security
of personal information. With the maturing of the
digital age and the explosion of attendant data,
the management of citywide information security is
clearly as important as any of DoITT's
responsibilities. And accordingly, we've crafted
citywide information security policies and
standards that are as strong and as dynamic as the
City that we serve. Pursuant to the New York City
Charter, DoITT is empowered to plan, formulate,
coordinate and advance information technology and
that includes ensuring the security for data and
other information stored in the City's IT
infrastructure, for example data centers,
networks, web portals that support critical City

agency functions. In 2006, DoITT assumed primary
responsibility for the review of and as necessary
the promulgation of new policies and standards to
ensure the confidentiality, the integrity and the
controlled accessibility of electronic information
processed through and by the City of New York.
And DoITT also assumed primary responsibility for
information security auditing; in other words,
through sister agencies and minimizing internal
exposures that could compromise sensitive data,
disrupt agency operations, cause liability or
otherwise diminish public trust. In 2010, the
role was further reinforced by Executive Order
140, which empowered DoITT to establish and
enforce citywide IT policies and for ensuring that
those policies are aligned with the City's
business needs and investments, as well as the
individual business needs of each agency. So,
included in this authority is the provision of
citywide information security policies and
standards, a collection of directives which
together provide the basis for the City's IT
governance. And pursuant to this authority,
DoITT's IT security division ensures the overall

security of the City's data and information
technology assets. Security services are
centrally managed by DoITT for use by City
agencies and these include things such as
perimeter firewalls, intrusion detection, an
industry standard model for three tier public web
hosting for internet applications, complete with
layered security and citywide malware and spyware
protection. And we work constantly to build a
consciousness about information security matters
by issuing a regular citywide information security
awareness newsletter to all City employees. And
we've covered topics such as identity theft,
protecting portable data, rogue antivirus
software, and issues around security and privacy
on social networking sites. So these, as well as
a comprehensive listing of all of the citywide
information security policies and standards, and
the appropriate DoITT contacts for IT security
matters, are available through City Share, the
city's employee internet portal. DoITT's work has
led to New York City being viewed as a municipal
leader in the information security field. In
2009, for example, New York was the first city in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the country to participate in the Department of Homeland Security's biennial "cyberstorm" exercise series, and this simulates large scale cyber events and attacks on government and critical infrastructure, key resources, so that collectively cyber preparedness and response capabilities can be assessed and measured against realistic and credible national level events. More recently, the information security executive program, which holds annual awards to recognize information security directors and teams who've demonstrated outstanding leadership in IT security management, recognized DoITT's IT security team for its work with MacAfee to deploy an integrated network host and cloud security solution, and to leverage threat analytics to support 180,000 users across 52 city agencies. So, as a result of these and other efforts, for more than a decade now, there have been no reported breaches of DoITT managed infrastructure or on any applications where our security accreditation process has been successfully completed. So, as successful as we've been, there's always the opportunity to further improve upon the job we do, and in an area

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as vital as IT security, it's imperative of us first to do so. And because the thrust of Intro 664 would help to codify interlocal law much of what our citywide information security policies and standards already require of agencies, we welcome the opportunity to discuss with the Council how the bill can be crafted to ensure it meets those goals, as well as the high standards New Yorkers expect and deserve when entrusting the City with their personal information. So, while we support the spirit of Intro 664, and the emphasis it places on comprehensive citywide information security, the proposal will however require some further examination in areas to ensure feasibility of implementation and standardization across City agencies. So, allow me to outline some of these considerations as follows. The bill as currently drafted would require each individual agency to develop, implement and maintain a comprehensive security program for their systems of records containing personal information. Better we believe to have the City, through DoITT, to continue to review existing and promulgate citywide information

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

security policies and standards, with baseline criteria, which can be applied across all agencies, and this approach, as the current practice does, would still allow agencies that opt for additional security measures to implement them as appropriate while avoiding duplicative effort and unnecessary expense that would accompany an agency by agency mandate or approach. Next, the bill, as currently drafted, places substantial, and appropriate focus on securing files, records, systems, in and on which personal information is stored. But information security can be compromised not only through infrastructure, but through application flaws, and it's important, therefore, to secure the digital and paper based records that applications draw data from and run upon, as it is to secure the applications themselves. So, application security today is addressed by way of DoITT's security accreditation process. And this is a process which we require all applications that are either multiagency or public facing in nature to go through. Pardon me. [pause] So the process is designed to determine whether data contained within a system that's been

developed, has been appropriately classified;
whether the system itself has been constructed
with security controls appropriate to that data
classification. And, as part of the process, we
include automated scans that check the hosting
platform and the application for security
vulnerabilities that could be leveraged to steal
or to otherwise change data. Moreover, as part of
the accreditation process, DoITT confirms that all
private data is appropriateappropriately
protected by encryption and access controls. So,
in 2011, for instance, 25 major applications were
accredited through the process, and as examples,
the eHire system, the September 11th 10th
Anniversary website, and the first accreditation
of an externally hosted cloud application, put up
by the Department of Transportation, their
feedback portal. As part of the process, 1,500
vulnerabilities that could've led to the
compromise or unwanted disclosure of private data,
were uncovered last year, and were remediated
before those applications went live. Finally, the
bill as currently drafted requires employing some
fairly specific user authentication protocols,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which if codified in law could unintentionally prevent the City from implementing the latest tools and security measures. As an example, as technology continues to advance, passwords may no longer be the primary means by which user access is controlled several years from now. So, it would be preferable, therefore, to legislate the establishment of and compliance with an overarching identity management program which would have the flexibility to keep pace with technological advancements and change. So, these are but a few of the topics for further discussion, in a bill otherwise I think rightly aimed at addressing a constant imperative of the digital world: information security. By not confining the City to the parameters of specific technological tools, but rather acknowledging the need within a standard framework of current best practices, to develop policies agile enough for all agencies to adapt to the ever changing and evolving and sophisticated means of technological attack, we can pursue a considered approach to ensuring the continued privacy and security of all New Yorkers. We look forward to working with you

2	in that regard, and this concludes my prepared
3	testimony and I'll be happy to address any
4	questions. Thank you.

much. Can you share with me, you know, last,

2010, had a lot of my friends in The Bronx who,
Bronx Lebanon and, and there was another hospital,
there were digital files that were being
transported in a van, and at one point seven
million records were stolen. And including staff.
And I was really disturbed how easily they were
stolen. You know, they were being transported,
the laptop was left in the backseat, with the door
unlocked. Can you share with us, from--regarding
DoITT's policy in transporting any kind of
hardware that have any kind of secure information?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, that's a
great--

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Or information that should be secure.

DANIEL SREBNICK: --that's a great question, Chairman Cabrera. And these, the matters of both private data and portable data are clearly covered by existing DoITT policies and

2	standards. And to summarize, any private data
3	stored on any medium, whether it's disk, tape, USB
4	drive or so forth, is required to be encrypted.
5	So that's one method of securing it. And then, as
6	well, any portable data of any kind, regardless of
7	classification, is required to be encrypted when
8	being transported.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: My
10	understanding was within New York City Health and
11	Hospitals Corporation data, that it was not
12	encrypted. I know you guys don't, as I
13	understand, you don't have oversight overdo you
14	do have oversight or say regarding the New York
15	City Health and Hospital Corporation?
16	DANIEL SREBNICK: No, no, we, we do
17	not. Normally our oversight extends to those
18	agencies that are either mayoral or by virtue of
19	connection to CityNet, which is the, the
20	institutional network that DoITT manages on behalf
21	of the City.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have you ever
23	had data that was in storage, it was being
2.4	transported, and stolen?

DANIEL SREBNICK: In my

23

24

25

that is being used?

2	recollection, the only incident where data was
3	physically stolen, that I'm aware of, occurred
4	several years ago when a laptop containing some
5	private data of New York City pension recipients,
6	that was under control of the financial
7	information services agency, was lost.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And was that
9	information encrypted? As you recall?
10	DANIEL SREBNICK: As I recall, it
11	was never clearly understood whether it was
12	encrypted or not. I would feel much better about
13	it if the answer came back that it was encrypted.
14	One of the, one of the services that DoITT now
15	provides to all city agencies that are part of
16	this enterprise agreement with MacAfee, is that we
17	will provide free full disk encryption software to
18	any agency covered under the agreement that would
19	like it. So we, we see no reason why this should
20	ever occur again.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Can

you show us, what are your standards of encryption

typically, we defer to NIST. So, the federal

DANIEL SREBNICK: Sure. Well,

2.

government has invested a lot of money in
developing NIST's standards and if NIST says it's
good, that's our baseline. So, we're talking
about AES 256 bit encryption. And as we stated
during the formal testimony, encryption standards
change; as technology changes, what was viewed as
secure encryption may be broken and technology
then will evolve to keep up with that

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Now, you're suggesting in your testimony that you would rather see DoITT have complete oversight and setting all the standards and policies for all of the agencies. If that were to be the case, would DoITT have the authority to require agencies to comply to the plan?

DANIEL SREBNICK: To a large extent today, DoITT has a lot of leverage in terms of compliance, in that the multiagency network CityNet is managed by DoITT. The internet connection is managed by DoITT. And Executive Order 140 gives DoITT the authority to set those standards.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Additionally,

۷-

2.

there was a memorandum of understanding between
our Commissioner and the Commissioner of DOI,
delegating that authority that DOI formerly had
for such formulation of policies and standards, as
well as auditing to DoITT.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me not hog the pulpit, so let me turn it over now to Council Member Brewer.

very much, Mr. Chair. And also great testimony.

I guess my question is, do you have some sense now of, I don't know, 80, 40, I never remember,

Mayoral agencies or whoever's on CityNet, what their policies are, and if they're complying. In other words, how do you monitor whether or not there's compliance.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Well, one of the best ways we, we monitor and ensure compliance is through the security accreditation process, as agency's go to deploy public facing applications, because DoITT controls the internet connection ultimately and whether those applications can be deployed. We have, I would say we have a very high level of compliance today, as a result of the

accreditation process. Furthermore, in terms of policy development, let me explain that we have taken a collaborative approach with City agencies, and for a number of years, and currently under the, the governance of the Technology Governance Board, established through E0140, we hold biweekly meetings with stakeholders in City agencies to discuss the evolution of policies and standards, and we talked to, you know, their security people and get input the issues that they are having. So we're getting input from the human services agencies, from the financial agencies, administrative agencies, and public safety agencies, on this as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and then there was somebody here earlier from Covenant House who had to leave, but how do the social service agencies, which I think would be, along with the police department, perhaps, I'm just making an assumption, the ones where private data might be most at risk, just from a public perspective. So, how do the contracted out agencies, if at all, play any part in this issue. In other words, you've got HRA, and the list goes

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	on, do they have to conform to any of these goals,
3	or is this something you're working on, or is it
4	not even relevant?

DANIEL SREBNICK: No, HRA, ACS-
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The whole

list.

DANIEL SREBNICK: --and all of the public, the social services agencies, are required to follow the policies and standards. And you mentioned the contracting out that many of these agencies do, and we have recognized that there are issues around information security, in terms of contracts and vendors and as a result, one of the most recently developed policies, which is about to be published, is a policy we're calling the "Information Security Policy for Service Providers." And what this gives is a template guide to agencies of language that should go in contracts where access to City data is involved, to more uniformly require things like security audits, background checks and the types of things that you would want to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Are we doing any training for these nonprofits, maybe

2.

through the Human Services Council or whatever,
about how to conform with these new policies?
Because it's my experience that, you know, they're
pretty strapped and they don't always have the
staff and the expertise that you do in-house.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, we'll have to get back to you see, see what our, what our involvement is on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay,

because they obviously have data issues, took, in

addition to the employment and background. So,

does this policy include how to conform to data

standards when they go back and forth? Because

we're all talking about electronic health records,

we're all talking about trying to deal with, as

you know, figuring out a way that you don't have

to put your address down six different times,

etc., etc.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, the policy applies to City data, wherever that data is.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. What does an accreditation report look like? That's just my lack of knowledge. Can you describe it?

DANIEL SREBNICK: So, so rather

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than a report, it's a, it's a process, and the process is supposed to begin when the system is first being designed. The idea is to meet with the stakeholders at the beginning of the, the development, understand what they're trying to design, and to ensure that the ultimate design will, is built to the classification of the data, that the system's going to have, and the appropriate controls are there, whether it's disk encryption, encryption over the wire, multifactor authentication or any of the controls that you would want to have. And it's a, it's an iterative process, and at the end of it we come up with an approved document which shows the data classification, the system architecture, the controls, it will identify any risks. Sometimes there are risks for which an exception can be granted, if a particular control isn't followed, as long as there is another kind compensating control. And at the end, a letter of accreditation is issued to the business owner, that says, "We have reviewed and accredited your application. The controls applied are reasonable, and in keeping with the classification of the

2	data, as well as listing any significant risks
3	which are either deemed acceptable or which have
4	deadlines for clearing. And a deadline for
5	clearing might be that a particular database had
6	not, for example, implemented encryption at rest,
7	but while it's being implemented, there are going
8	to be additional audit controls put in place and
9	the stakeholders have agreed, say within six
10	months of going live, that that encryption will be
11	implemented.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. go
13	ahead Mr. Chair.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Oh, thank you
15	so much. We've been joined by Council Member
16	Koppell, welcome.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [off mic]
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: In 2010, the
20	Comptroller issues, he issues some recommendation.
21	Let me go through each one of them.
22	DANIEL SREBNICK: Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: There were
24	so in fact there were eight of them. So, I'll try

to go through these quickly. The first one was

24

25

_	
2	"The performance citywide risk assessment of
3	applications that have not participated in
4	security accreditation process." Where are we
5	with that?
6	DANIEL SREBNICK: So, we have
7	completed that, we have given the results to the
8	agencies, and we're currently working with
9	agencies to bring those applications into
10	compliance. The ultimate objective will be to
11	take all of these legacy applications and migrate
12	them to a central secure hosting environment for
13	internet applications at DoITT.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, here's
15	number two. "Contact those agencies whose systems
16	posed the most critical risk and request that they
17	submit applications for the security accreditation
18	process."
19	DANIEL SREBNICK: And we have done
20	that, and we are beginning to get some of those.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
22	DANIEL SREBNICK: So we have made

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You're two, you're two for two. Here we go: "Request

some headway there, as well.

2	assistance from the Mayor's Office of Operations
3	in directing agencies to participate in security
4	accreditation process."

DANIEL SREBNICK: And we are working with the Mayor's Office to direct agencies to comply with all citywide information security policies and standards, including the accreditation process.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, when will you see that--you said this is a, this has been completed or is this just a process, it's an ongoing process?

DANIEL SREBNICK: So, so, it's, it's an ongoing process, in that as new applications are developed or old applications are modified, new security issues will come up. So, you know, the work is never done, in that regard.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Number four,

"Ensure that all the documentation relating to the
security accreditation requests for all
applications be submitted and maintained."

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, so this was a recordkeeping issue from the early days of the accreditation process, when it was really started

2.

by a staff member on my team as a part time
effort. And you know, we realized as the process
matured, we needed to formalize things better, and
we've gone from keeping the records on a
spreadsheet to an internal share point site where
all the records are stored. So, we believe we've
satisfactorily that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Fantastic.

Number five, "Develop a form of security

accreditation process that for in-house

certifications."

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, I think
that was a bit of a misunderstanding between the
auditors and the team, but essentially what they
said is it looked like for a couple of internal
DOITT applications, that the process was not
followed and we have ensured since that time, that
the process is followed, whether DoITT is the
business owner of the application, or any other
agency.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: "Assure that security issues found in applications with exceptions, with exceptions, are followed up and corrected by the agency."

2	DANIEL SREBNICK: So, I, I
3	mentioned in our accreditation emails now, that we
4	are listing a risk table and giving specific dates
5	by which issues need to be cleared, and if they're
6	not, the application is at risk of either losing
7	its accreditation or there's going to be some
8	follow up or repercussions. So that is, as a
9	direct result of this recommendation.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm almost
11	done here. "With assistance of the Mayor's Office
12	of Operations, require that agencies participating
13	in the SAP follow all citywide security standards
14	and security policies to ensure the applications
15	are operating in the security environment."
16	DANIEL SREBNICK: And we certainly
17	have done that. And we rigorously enforce the
18	policy to the extent that the application is
19	hosted within a DoITT controlled environment.
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let's if you
21	could bat for 100 and be a grand slam DoITT.
22	DANIEL SREBNICK: [laughs]
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: "Enhance the
24	security accreditation process procedures to
25	ensure all agencies deploying applications only

2	after	it	has	been	accredited	by	DoITT.'
---	-------	----	-----	------	------------	----	---------

DANIEL SREBNICK: And, and as mentioned, where we control the pipes and the data center, we certainly do that rigorously.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So you batted for 100. I like that. Let me ask you a question, how do you, how do the agencies respond to breaches? And is there a uniform policy?

DANIEL SREBNICK: There is an incident response policy which at a high level requires agencies to contact us and keep us posted. And generally, where there has been an internal issue, agencies are very quick to reach out for assistance. We have good relationships with law enforcement, we work closely with Lieutenant Lane and the NYPD Computer Crime Squad, so those channels are open and we find there is a high degree of conscientiousness on this issue.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do, do you find, is this a daily occurrence, where people are trying to breaking into the system? What's the farthest that anybody has been able to achieve?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, so far, it's a very interesting question. From the

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And Google

2	had a big sign on it stating, "You, this site is
3	down." And it was because somebody was trying to
4	steal all of theirlike your NYC.gov, that site
5	is hit fabulously all the time, we all use it.
6	And somebody had tried to hack every single one of
7	those members, all of us. And so I'm just saying,
8	it's unbelievable. And they had to close the
9	whole site down.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That's crazy.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: For a day,
12	just because of
13	DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, I, I had
14	not heard about that, we'll have to look into
15	that.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It was a,
17	but they did it, I mean, it was amazing that that
18	could happen. And just to give an example of how
19	challenging the hackers are, that's all I wanted
20	to say.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Ihave we
22	been successful in prosecuting those who have
23	breached and system and stolen data?
24	DANTEI CDEDNICK: Woll go gingo

personally we have had no experience with a breach

2.

into the infrastructure for which my team is
directly responsible for, it's difficult to answer
that question. There, I know, are issues
regularly being investigated by NYPD computer
crimes. And I would defer to Lieutenant Lane and
the Detective Bureau on a response to that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. Let me turn it over to Council Member Brewer.

very much. I just want to go back to these, these contracted out agencies, because I do worry that they're not going to be able to successfully implement some of your procedures. So, are you going--I know you talked about getting back to us about the training--but when this implementation, whatever the new information policy for whatever you call it, it's something for I guess the service providers, basically. Once that's recorded and circulated, what will be the follow up that you would likely to see in terms of some of these agencies?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Well--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: My, my

solvable, ultimately.

experience is that have slow computers, in many
cases, I hate to tell you that. They don't have a
lot of pipeline, often. And it's, you know, it's
a challenge out there. We're not ten years away,

we're still not in the 22nd Century for some of

7 them.

understand, and I think that strategically, the
City and other government entities, as well as
business, is wrestling with this whole idea of
external data because of the proliferation of
mobile devices. And the consensus in the security
industry, and it may be a model that the City
ought to follow, is that just because an entity
needs to access our data, doesn't necessarily mean
our data needs to leave the premises. So, through
use of technologies such as virtualization and
remote access, these problems ought to be

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And this is also my lack of knowledge, but how is the change in the cloud computing able to help you?

And how are, how is it, how is it changing? And how are you utilizing it?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yean, Well, I
mean, the great benefit of the cloud computing is
the idea that you can very quickly provision
something that may have taken much longer to
physically build in-house. I think the great
enthusiasm for cloud computing needs to be
tempered with the, the knowledge that just because
you can spin up a server very quickly, does not
mean that you should not follow all of the other
processes that you would normally do. For
example, the federal government has their fed ramp
program. And the fed ramp program is a program
which attempts to pre-accredit information hosting
providers, but the idea is that any application
deployed still has to go through their
accreditation process, have data classified, and
verify that the controls are adequate.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay,

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay,
that's helpful. How does the Mayor's Office of
Operations--you mentioned them a couple of times,
as the Chair did--are they like a partner in this?
Obviously they have, you know, set policy and so
on. How do you work with them and I still worry
about my paper in the files. What are we going to

2 do with that?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Oh, well, yeah,

paper, paper in the files.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It scares

me.

DANIEL SREBNICK: So, the, the best guidance that I could provide to anyone on that, is that whether data is on a piece of paper or stored digitally, that data has a value, that data has a classification. Know what you have. If it's on an IT system, encrypt it, employ access control. If it's on a piece of paper, put it in a file cabinet, lock the cabinet, lock the door to the file room. I think the, the controls are ultimately the same; the manifestation is just a bit different. And on the question about the Office of Operations, we regularly work through our Commissioner to keep them apprised of, of issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I think that your, you know, we're always wondering in the Council, perhaps inappropriately, you know, how do you make sure compliance takes place? And as the Chair indicated, as a sister agency, that

every year we do a fairly in-depth audit of a
large number of agencies, and you know,
presumably, if we had to, if an agency was not
cooperative, which we, we haven't really gotten to
that point with anyone, we could go back to the
Department of Investigation and they could open
the door for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And you don't deal with the Department of Education. I'm sure you're pleased that you don't.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Well, the

Department of Education is a very large, complex
environment, and I mean, we work with them
regularly, they're our, our partners, they're IT
staff sits one floor above me in the building in
Brooklyn in which I sit. And we try to lend them,
you know, they run their own network, but we try
to run, lend them every bit of assistance and
support because they, they have a very difficult
job, and there are unique security concerns in
academia, and unique security concerns whenever
you're dealing with smart young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah. I [laughs] I would also add that at the end of the

year, again, the schools themselves are kind of
like your nonprofit agencies, and the fact of the
matter is that at the end of the year, we often
see records on the streets from schools just
trying to empty their closets and get ready for
September. I guess that's something that they
will continue to work on. Is that what your
understanding is? I mean, it does happen, there's
no question.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, I mean,
overall, I think it's a question of awareness-COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Why don't
they buy a shredder, is what I would say, but that
would be my simple, non-tech response.

DANIEL SREBNICK: And, and sometimes the answers are that simple. I think it's, you know, the society is going through a, a paradigm shift, and you know, several years ago none of knew what Facebook or Twitter were. And it is, people's lives are now so embedded and dependent upon these new methods of, of communication. And you know, 20 years ago probably no one in this room, or few of us knew what a firewall was, though encryption was

2	something the National Security Agency did in
3	dealing with, with our enemies. And you know,
1	attitudes change, technology changes, and the way

we view the world changes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, Council Member Brewer. I just have a couple of more questions. You mentioned that, that all personal information ... are secure in cabinets. Are there locations throughout the City where you have certain agencies where they have storage place where there're boxes of files that have personal information, but they are not secure within that building? And let me ask it a different way. And if so, do you consider the fact that the building is a secure place to be the big cabinet, or do you, or what's the policy regarding securing paper?

DANIEL SREBNICK: So, I would have to defer on the records management folks from the various agencies, as well as from the DORIS division of DCAS. But overall--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They haven't merged yet. Just so you know, I'm just making that clear.

DANIEL SREBNICK:	Okay.
------------------	-------

3 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [laughs]

4 DANIEL SREBNICK: Thank you for

the--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Hi, Eric.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Thank you for the correction. But my, my view, to, to apply the IT paradigm, a locked data center is not sufficient to secure the data in the data center, you still need to secure the data closer to where it lives.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right. No, I say this, because I, I remember, I won't mention the building, but visiting the building, it was, you know, it was, it's being used for storing paper information, and there were just boxes all over the place, they would not being stored in cabinets, and that was a concern of mine. What are your views in transferring of data from one place to another in hardware. How secure should it be? For example, we mentioned the incident of Bronx Lebanon. All this data, it was in a laptop in the back—I mean, shouldn't, shouldn't it be a cage, shouldn't this have a small cage, these company's, have some kind of other kind of secure

mechanism to assure that if somebody tries to

steal this hardware, that it would make it, you

know, at least twice as hard.

DANIEL SREBNICK: Oh, I agree, the security needs to be commensurate with the classification of the data being transported.

However, if, for example, I had everyone's social security number, date of birth, name and address on a, on a cartridge or a USB stick, and that device itself was adequately encrypted, it would be of no value to anyone perhaps other than the CIA or the NSA if they got ahold of it.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Is there--I'm going to ask you a silly question. Is anybody else, other than the agency that you mentioned, that have the ability to break in, into encrypted data?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Well, over time, encryption algorithms have been broken.

Typically, any encryption algorithm, no matter how good it is, can probably be broken, given enough time and resources. And the idea is because there's a tradeoff around level of effort and cost to implement technologies. We want to make things

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just, just difficult enough that whoever's going
to be going after that data, would go look for
something easier than us.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But in the minds of the people, I have to tell you, that when you say it's encrypted or not encrypted, it does not matter. They feel that their information, if it's stolen, it was stolen, and somewhere it's out there. Maybe the technology will be out there next year, which could possibly be, somebody, you know, in the world develops some kind of technology that they could easily break into encryptions. I don't know. You know, I'm not an expert on this field. But it's just the perception, and I think that matters sometimes more in the minds of people than even reality. And this is why I'm a firm believer that there should be a locking mechanism, I mean, it doesn't cost a whole lot more to do that. And it's a policy that I would love to see DoITT enforce, you know, throughout these agencies whenever they're transferring, because when those, 1.7 million, my family's been to the hospital. And the first thing came to my mind is, my info is out there.

And I don't know. I don't know where it's going
to end up at, I don't know if somebody could break
into it. So, it's something to really strongly
consider. I have one more question.

DANIEL SREBNICK: And by the way--CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes.

DANIEL SREBNICK: --I fundamentally agree with you, and let me add that, for example, when DoITT transports backup tapes of critical City data, to a offsite storage, that you know, this is typically handled by a bonded, secure company that does exercise those types of controls.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, actually, this comp--the people transporting this information, supposedly they were bonded, they were, you know, a company that normally does this, so that, that's what's scary. [laughs] And that's why I made such a hoopla about it, because, you know, it, I would figure, you know, maybe in my mind I was expecting too much. I figure maybe they have a little cage, you know, inside of the back of the car, you know, the vehicle, they do this for a living. I mean, they're supposed to--

DANIEL S	SREBNICK: N	Yeah, 1	would	say
your expectations are r	reasonable.	I wo	uld exp	ect
the same				

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, great.

Let, here's my last question, unless any other

Council Members have any question. Is there a

policy on internal breaches, if any employee

attempts to access data, they are not allowed, or

not authorized to see?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Yeah, so, an attempt is not necessarily the same as a breach. Repeated, unwanted attempts are certainly serious and are investigated. That's typically part of the support for applications which would fall under the purview of individual agencies. And I cannot speak to specific cases, but I do know that agencies have been know not take disciplinary action against employees who have misused IT resources, as they would of an employee who might misuse any City resource.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you have any data as to how often this occurs on a yearly basis?

DANIEL SREBNICK: No, I don't, I'll

2	have	to	check	around	and	we'l	l get	back	to	you	and
3	see :	if v	we have	e anyth:	ing.						

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I would love to know. You know, is this a recurring pattern, or is it anomalies that we have out there of a few people who might try to get information for their own benefit or for their own profit?

DANIEL SREBNICK: Well--

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: If there are no other questions--Yes, go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just want to know if there are any models? I do know, I have, the good news, I think the federal government pays a great deal of attention to making sure that the records of anybody, any family who's HIV positive, does not get circulated. And I've had families in that situation, and I've been pleased with the fact that their information has not been circulated between the social service, Department of Education, etc. So, and so I'm just wondering, are there models like that? I think that's what the Chair is stating, is that we want that kind of secure information, at that level. For whatever

[background noise until end

11

10

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date February 17, 2012