CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----X

April 27, 2011 Start: 1:14pm Recess: 3:53pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

GALE A. BREWER Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Erik Martin Dilan Council Member Domenic M. Recchia, Jr. Council Member Vincent J. Gentile

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Edna Wells Handy

Commissioner, Department of Citywide Administrative Services (DCAS)

Commissioner, Department of Records and Information Services (DORIS)

Eileen Flannelly

Chief Records Service Officer, Department of Citywide Administration Services

Deputy Commissioner, Department of Records

Richard Lieberman

Board Member, DORIS/DCAS Advisory Board Director, LaGuardia and Wagner Archives Professor of History, LaGuardia Community College

Henry Stern

Former City Council Member, Former Parks Commissioner Civic Activist

Christine Ward

Assistant Commissioner for Archives and Records, New York State; New York State Archivist

Brian Andersson

Former Commissioner

New York City Department of Records

Lloyd Ultan

Borough Historian

The Bronx

Rachel Chatalbash

President

Archivists Roundtable of Metropolitan New York

Lucinda Manning

Professional Librarian, Archivist and Records Manager Various

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gertrude Hutchinson Co-Chair, 2011 New York Archived Conference

Brian Keough New York Caucus Chair Mid-Atlantic Regional Archives Conference

McKelden Smith
President
New York Genealogical and Biographical Society

Teri Koch-Bostic Chapter Representative, New York Metro Chapter Association of Professional Genealogists

Cynthia Doty Member Park West Neighborhood History Group 2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## [background noise]

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Good morning, my name is Gale Brewer, welcome everyone. And I chair the Governmental Operations Committee. And I'm here with Seth Grossman, who is attorney for the Committee, and Tim Matusov who is a Legislative Policy Analyst. And I think there are folks here, John Russell and Lionel is also here from Finance, so welcome. This is a very important topic to the current and future New York City. And I'm delighted that so many people have found it interesting. Today's hearing is on Intro 486, a bill that has been introduced by request of the Mayor. This legislation, as I think you know, would merge the Department of Records and Information Services, known as DORIS, into the Department of Citywide Administrative Services, known as DCAS. And today we will hear from representatives of the Administration about their reasons for promoting this proposed merger. will also hear from the patrons of DORIS, including professional associations, representing archivists, librarians, historians, genealogists and records managers, who have concerns about the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

potential merger. And I appreciate all the work they've put into this discussion. In addition, we will hear from other esteemed experts, including several of the borough historians, about their views on the merger. DORIS is the agency responsible for the administration, storage, organization, and retrieval of records produced by past and present New York City governments. agency's comprised of three main components: Municipal Archives, the City Hall Library, and the Municipal Records Management Division. And I just want to add that we passed a law that I sponsored some years ago to make sure that it includes on its website all reports, official reports, filed by the City of New York, to the -- but I can't say that that's 100 percent there, but the notion is there's a place where people can go for present and past information, even regarding current reports. It is one of the smallest City agencies with an annual budget of \$5.1 million, and 38 fulltime employees. It was created by Local Law 49 of 1977. Prior to 1977, the Municipal Archives and records management functions were part of the former Municipal Service Administration, which has

had many names since. DORIS was split off from
the Municipal Service Administration to give
heightened awareness on the commitment to the
Municipal Archives and management functions. The
materials in the Municipal Archives serves as
invaluable primary sources for historians,
genealogists, community activists, students,
patrons conducting family history research,
lawyers, journalists, city planners and government
agency staff, and everybody else. Dating from the
early 17th Century to the present, the Municipal
Archives holdings total approximately 160,000
cubic feet. The collection includes office
records, manuscript material, still and moving
images, ledger volumes, vital records, maps,
blueprints and sound recordings for more than 100
City agencies. I know the photographs are the
most popular. The City Hall Library was
established in 1913, and it serves as the official
depository for all New York City agency
publications. The Library contains a collection
of over 324,000 books, periodicals, reports and
other materials. It provides a rich resource for
researchers interested in New York City history,

infrastructure, government, politics and culture.
The records management is separate. DORIS's
Municipal Records Management Division assists City
agencies in records management. The Division
operates and maintains facilities for the storage,
processing and servicing of records for all City
agencies pending their disposition in the
municipal archives or other disposition as
appropriate. DORIS currently maintain 560,000
cubic feet of City records, as well as those of
the non-federal courts and district attorneys of
the City of New York. DCAS is the agency
responsible for ensuring that City agencies have
the resources and the support needed to provide
services to the public. Specifically, to some
eyes, the agency one, assists in recruiting,
hiring and trading City employees; manages 54
public buildings; purchases, sells and leases
nonresidential real property; purchases inspects
and distributes supplies and equipment;
establishes audits and pays utility accounts.
It's a large City agency, the agency has an annual
budget of \$1.1 billion and 2,000 employees, and
I'm sure the very busy Commissioner knows that it

does even more things. The proposed legislation under consideration is a bill today which would eliminate DORIS from the New York City Charter and transfer all of its functions to DCAS. This action, let me be clear, can only be done with the approval of the City Council. So we will now hear from the Administration about their proposal, and we look forward to the Commissioner's joining us at the table. Thank you very much.

very much for this opportunity. Good afternoon.

My name is Edna Wells Handy, and I'm the

Commissioner of the Department of Citywide

Administrative Services, DCAS; as well as the

Commissioner for the Department of Records and

Information Services. I am proud to be joined by

my colleagues, Eileen Flannelly, Chief Records

Service Officer; and Kenneth Cobb, Assistant

Commissioner for Records. As many of you know,

Eileen began as an Assistant Commissioner for

Records in 2002. Ken Cobb has over 30 years of

service as records, in records, beginning as a

school intern, then later serving as a Director of

the Municipal Archives for over 14 years, and then

becoming Assistant Commissioner. We thank you for
this opportunity to discuss Intro No. 486, in
relation to the transfer of functions from the
Department of Records and Information Services, to
the Department of Citywide Administrative
Services. As you know, and as you identified
through the presentation, DCAS ensures that City
agencies have the critical resources and support
needed to provide the best possible services to
the public. To assist City agencies, DCAS
provides overall facilities management, including
maintenance and construction services for its
buildings, its managers, real property and locate
space for City agencies, purchases and distribute
supplies and equipment, and conducts professional
development and employee training. To provide
this vital support to City agency, DCAS
encompasses significant human capital, asset
management, fiscal management, procurement,
information technology, and legal expertise.
Records is responsible for the organization and
retrieval of records, reports, archival documents
produced by past and present City governments.
The agency is composed of Municipal Archives,

2	Municipal Records Management, the City Hall
3	Library, and Grant Administration. The Municipal
4	Archives, the Municipal Archives apprises
5	sessions, classifies and arranges and makes
6	available for research invaluable historical
7	records of New York City government. Municipal
8	Records Management ensures the maintenance of
9	records in all City agencies, having continuing
10	administrative and legal value, as well as the
11	retirement or proper disposal of those records no
12	longer in current use. The City Hall Library
13	provides library and information services to its
14	collections which are relevant to New York City
15	governmental matters and is the depository for all
16	official reports and studies published by New York
17	City Departments, Commissions and Divisions.
18	Finally, Grant Administration administers New York
19	State local government records management
20	improvement funds, grants from all agencies. Each
21	year, up to \$1 million is awarded to Mayoral
22	agencies through these grants. This anticipated
23	merger has mutual benefits for both agencies, and
24	there are a number of advantages for DCAS in
25	particular. DCAS and Records are collaborating

and consulting and, sorry, consolidating records 2 management functions, establishing a pilot records 3 4 management program which we plan to launch 5 citywide. Following meetings with Records and DCAS staff, each line of service within DCAS has a 6 liaison to address Records issues and retention schedules. In conjunction with the DCAS citywide 9 training center, Records is working on providing 10 records management training to educate staff on 11 Records retention schedules and policies. Records 12 also assists DCAS' asset management by providing 13 records storage space for City agencies, that they 14 are relocating. This leads to significant 15 reduction in related lease costs between the 16 relocating agency and does not meet, which does 17 not need additional storage space. Records 18 expertise also enhances DCAS special events with 19 presentations that are, come from the invaluable 20 holdings of the Municipal Archives. I will now turn in a minute to my colleague, Eileen 21 22 Flannelly, to discuss the benefits of the merger 23 of records. But I will depart just a moment to 24 address some of the concerns that we heard during 25 the course of the planned merger. I think one of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the things that is needed is to get a sense of DCAS. And some of the issues that have been raised with regard to the merger have been why can't, why do we have to have a merger, why should there not be something short of merger service level agreement, or a memo of understanding. And what we have found, and is supported by the work that we're doing, is that there's such an integral relationship, particularly with records. want to hasten to add that we see archives and we see the library as standing on a slightly different foot than the records part. And as Eileen will describe, we have hopefully planned a way in which it supports and maintains the unique positioning of archives and library. But we see that the joint collaboration that we have, the day-to-day collaboration that we have, supports the administrative functions of all three aspects of the Department of Records, from the space consolidation to legal which will talk, Eileen will talk more in a minute, to the leveraging of DCAS's existing capabilities, we set, we see this as being supportive this step of merger, as opposed to the shorter episodic, limited ways in

which a MOU or a service level agreement might obtain. So, we, in DCAS, we--we have some memories of our senior staff here, at the open meeting we had other members of our senior staff here, so that you would get a sense of the people of DCAS, and why we support this merger, and why we see it as beneficial to both DCAS as well as to Department of Records. Now you might say, "Well, been there, done that," in that--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mm-hmm.

been, they've been a part of the predecessor of DCAS. Well, I'm proud to say, we have a new DCAS. Our goal is to provide the kinds of service that's better, faster, cheaper and greener, as we move forward, one goal is to provide that service, and we think that with the enhancements that we can provide to DORIS, you have our commitment of making it go forward. But we don't want to just stand on the commitment because one could say time is limited on this end. We have put in what we consider to be the roots that will provide the opportunities to grow a partnership that will be immune from whoever is at the helm. You have our

2	commitment that we're going to put those
3	strictures in place to make it work, and to make
4	it so that what we say today, in terms of the
5	benefits, will continue, will continue to be the
6	benefits for years to come. So, I will turn it
7	over, unless you have questions that you want to
8	ask me directly?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think we'll wait till the title, current testimony's over, and then I'll have--

EDNA WELLS HANDY: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: --pages of questions.

EDNA WELLS HANDY: Thank you, okay. I'll turn it over to Eileen.

EILEEN FLANNELLY: Okay, thank you, Commissioner Handy. Good afternoon, Chair Brewer, and all the members of the archival and records management community, as well as the educational institutions, Richard, I see you back there. My name is Eileen Flannelly, I am the Chief Records Service Officer for the Department of Citywide Administration Services, DCAS, and I am also the Deputy Commissioner for the Department of Records.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Until recently, I was Acting Commissioner, as this merger has been going through. So, since November of this year, I have been working in both my Records capacity and with DCAS. Just to give you a little background, on February 10, 1977, my grand-uncle Paul O'Dwyer, and Council Member Henry Stern, who has joined us here today, introduced legislation to create the Department of Records and Information Services. My mother, and Ken Cobb, were the first employees of the Department of Records and Information Services. I give you this background to let you know that for me, this hasn't been a job, it's part of my family's legacy, and it's part of my whole upbringing. It's something I've known since a child. So, I take this very seriously. Why merger? A lot of times, people panic when they hear the word "merger," and they have every right to, because in most cases merger means consolidating, layoffs, two organizational cultures with completely different backgrounds merging together, and it causes a lot of disruption, people are taken out of their homes, relocated. There are many reasons why mergers aren't a good fit. And why mergers

2 don't work or a lot of people find them to be disturbing. In our case, this is not what we're 3 finding. It's not even what we're finding, but 4 5 this is something that was discussed previously. 6 We didn't just get a memo and say, "Hey, you're going to merge, " end of story, it's something that a lot of the folks at City Hall, after years of 9 trying to find ways around our needs and our 10 inability to do our charter mandated functions, a 11 lot of the folks at City Hall have been helping us 12 for years, but there comes a point where you can't work anymore under the conditions, and though 13 14 people are trying to assist you, it's a process 15 that just doesn't work. So, the idea came along 16 that we should, maybe it would be a good idea to 17 put us with a stronger, larger agency. And be 18 able to utilize the resources on a daily basis 19 that, moving forward. So, what has happened? 20 What caused us to get to this point? Well, over 21 the years, PEG reductions forced us to allocate 22 special of our, several of our specialized staff 23 to different areas, administration. So we have 24 records managers up in, doing procurement things 25 and archivists, you know, helping out to do

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

timekeeping and things like that, because we haven't had the resources. And that's not because it's us, and it's because it's the Department of Records; as everybody's aware, this has been citywide, it's been, we're all struggling for funding, and we're all struggling to put the money--we're laying off teachers and firemen, we can't be expected that a bulk of our money is going to be put into digitizing archival collections even though we all understand the importance of doing that. Our limited budgetary funding is being used to sustain our operational costs. And again, taking away from digitizing our collections, and, and improving our records management programs, and our citywide functions. So, this has been a major problem, we're just trying to use the funds to operate. As we advance in our technology and many of you who are visitors to our center know that we've been able to set up a lot of terminals and as we're digitizing collections through grant funds that we receive from the State, that's basically how we've been able to manage doing our collections over the years, many of you are aware. As we've been doing

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, we've been providing them as digitized inhouse. And working now to get them online. in order for us to keep up with the technology, and all of us, for us to keep up with the backing up of these documents, once we have them in digital format, as most of you are aware, it's one of our main concerns, that we have the ability to, to replicate these, store them properly, and have it in the future, once any kind of digital technology changes or advances, that we're able to convert these things. In our present state, where we have one MIS director, one MIS person, for the entire agency, and again, little servers all over the place, and a lot of you know what that's all about. And so we don't have the capability of moving forward. Working together in-house, why, well, what, you know, I think Chair Brewer had brought up at one point, why, why can't they just do shared services. The difference is, with, you know, why can't they just assist you in everything? DCAS does that. And they've been doing that for us for years. But there's a bit difference between reaching out to people and trying to find out, you know, "Who do I get in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

touch with and who do I do--" than my sitting on this side of a wall, of a computer terminal, and kind of saying to Teresa Ward, "Hey, Teresa, listen, I can clear out this amount of space, and we could cut down the lease costs for, you know, DOI or DOICB, and we can save the City \$250,000," these are conversations we are now having constantly, daily. So, we're being, our department is a huge asset to DCAS, as well as us getting support services from DCAS that we never had before. So, what kind of things have we seen since November? Budget and fiscal operations. Okay, they have a capital and expense budget in DCAS, and how it works is we all line, lines of service all get together in our meetings with the Commissioner and everybody discusses what projects they're working on, what they have, what they want to push forward. And that money is distributed amongst all the projects. So, for me, I had a space in Bush Terminal that was an empty room, and it fits about 65,000 cubic feet of records. So, I can take that in. My problem, is I didn't have the money to buy the shelving. So, I have an empty room with no shelving. So, DCAS says, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Commissioner, and everybody's in agreement, that's important. And guess what? I'm getting shelving, and I'm getting it by June. We have, we've had, because of not enough staff, we've had projects out in Queens warehouse, where we have 96,000 cubic feet of records that can be disposed of, but we haven't had the to dispose of them. So, again, you know, if we get rid of the 96,000 cubic feet--and these are things that have all been signed off on and approved -- what will happen? We can bring in more records from the City, we can clear out more of the space in the file rooms and everything that everybody is spending huge money to keep at this point in time. And it's just anybody who's in records management, you know this is more efficient, this is the way that we should all be going. This is things that we're ca-that's what we're, where our responsibilities are. This is what we're to do. So, with that, the Commissioner approved an overtime project, we have twelve DCAS stock workers, 'cause funny enough, we happen to anyway share the same warehouse in Queens for years and years and years. they're upstairs and we're downstairs, and, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all our staff know each other and have worked together, but, but now, they, we have twelve of them, and eleven of my team, and we're all working together, and they've been, they'll have, by the end of June, they're right on schedule, everybody's working fabulously together. the end of June, we're going to have 96,000 cubic feet of space available for other City agencies. This is big. Our visitor center, a lot of you have heard me talk about the visitor center and about finally having a space where we can house and show all of that our agency has to offer. These are our different collections, our vital records, our Mayor's collections, everything--like most of you are aware that we have them. the public, and for people coming in that aren't genealogists are archivists and stuff like that, we've never had a display area, like a museum space. And the funny thing is that all of these exhibits, the museum of the City of New York, all of these places that have these, they have our material. We lend it to them so that they can display it, but we've never had a place of our own. Now, we have a nice area that we've cleared

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out with the assistance, again, of the DCAS staff and out staff working together. We've cleared this area, they're doing construction on it, they're doing, we're fixing it up, so that we actually can do outreach to the community, and bring people in and show them what we have. Instead of us, you know, once in a while getting requests from different, you know, educational institutions or, or from different genealogical organizations, we're already going to have something set up, so that anybody who wants to bring groups in, we're going to do programs, we're going to, we're going to do outreach, which we've never been able to do before. This is huge. A lot of people say, "Well, why don't they just give you more money?" Even if that was practical, even if saying that, you know, we're laying off teachers and we're laying off firemen, and we're closing this, and we're closing that, but we're going to give the Department of Records more money so that they can, can do, you know, digitize collections or make things more available, why is that not practical? Because once you put that money into the budget, as we all know with the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fiscal problems that the City is facing, going forward, we're going to be, that money is going to hit a PEG target, which is a reduction in all City agencies, when they say, you know, "We all have to cut back four percent" or whatever, the money that goes in is very quickly going to be taken right back out, every time we hit another PEG. again, that's not a reflection that anybody's coming down on the little guy, it's a reflection that this is the reality of what the whole City is facing. So, that's why that doesn't work. counsel. Our agency, another thing that myself and Ken spend much of our time on is legal matters, that we're not qualified to spend, to handle. We work with Corp Counsel, we, and Corp Counsel is great, but again, we're, we're one of, you know, how many agencies that turn to Corp Counsel for all of the legal matters, and there's much bigger things that what we have on our plate. Even though ours are, are important. But for Ken and I to try to battle for more records from the Health Department for more vital records, to get them into our collections, for us to go out and, you know, battle the GSU, and, and to do issues

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with procurement and contracts and things like that, for us to be spending all of our time there, it means that we're actually not involved. All of that time is taken away from the archives and records management and everything that everybody needs. So, what has happened since we started working with DCAS? Ilene Lees is the head counsel over there, and she has a team of people. have, what we've, they've accomplished in a month is more than what's been accomplished in threeand-a-half years with the Law Department and the GSU. They got right on it, they took over the problem, and, and then, again, that's no reflection on the Law Department, they've been amazing with us, but again, they're servicing the entire City. This is, Ilene has taken this project on herself at the request of the Commissioner, and she's really going to bat for This is legal assistance on a one-to-one basis we've never had. Personnel issues, any kind of personnel they're, you know, my personnel officer is going, is working on setting up the visitor center. Again, we wouldn't be able to hire new staff, but we're utilizing our personnel

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and our budget directors to actually get things set up and run this project. So, issues with our agency contracts, we've had several issues with our agency contracts, getting our new software program, our offsite storage contract, which is going to be huge. All of these issues are mainly because we don't have any procurement staff, and we don't have trained procurement staff. these have been delayed and we almost, we're in danger of losing our software program, purchasing of our software. We're in danger of really losing our offsite storage contract. The legal team and the procurement office came in, took over all of our contracts, and really ran with them and have managed to save all of those contracts which would've gone down the drain. And that's just the facts. Ken's been working on an RFP for digitization, and it's something that, that of different collections, it's something we're going to put out on the street. This is a big thing. But all of this time he's spending doing this, this isn't what he does, it's not what he should be doing. And most of you know, Ken Director of Archives, he's downstairs, he's helping people,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he's working with different organizations. He's, he--the archives reports to Ken. So, this is all stuff, he shouldn't be working on an RFP for dayin and day-out, and hours and everything like that, this is not what he should be doing. Nor, it's not our expertise, and as good as Ken is, as good as any of us are, this isn't our area of expertise. That's not what he studied. again, DCAS has completely taken that over. As I said, our personnel functions, they've been helping us with any kind of changes that we've needed to do. Procurement and contracting, I spoke about the RFP for digitization. Again, that's, that's a major thing. That's a major thing for you, for, for the community, and for the archivals. These are collections that we have in our possession, that we wouldn't be able to, we don't have the time, we don't have the funding, to, to digitize these. And, and this is something that we're putting out there, to get these records digitized. And then they'll be available to all of the communities, and to the public. Our offsite storage contract, this is a citywide storage contract that's going to really, it's,

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's going to incorporate 15 different classes of service, something again the City has never had. It's a huge thing, it's taken us well over a year or so--maybe into two, right?--with, that, to get this going. Why? Because we don't have the expertise, we don't have, we don't--we're, everything that we try to do, we're calling every agency, "How do we do this? How do we do this?" We're dealing with MOCS, we're saying, "How do we do that?" Now that DCAS has taken this over, it's, it's going to be done shortly. I mean, they're at the final stages of putting it out. Out technology. Our information technology, we have a program that Luna, it's a photographic, digital photographs. We have to-date 849,000 photographs that are available to the public inhouse. We have not been able to get them online. So, why? It's too complicated, and I'm not in IT, and we can go and [laughs] it's been going on for a long time. But again, DCAS, one of the DCAS out of the 65 IT specialists that DCAS has, one of them said, "Oh, you know, you know what the problem is, we got to do this program and we got to--" He wrote the program, sent it to DOITT, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these images will be available very soon on the web. Everybody's in agreement, this was the solution. But again, it came out of the DCAS camp, and it came out of, from them, researching what it was that we, we needed. We've, we've upgraded all of our computers. We're not, you know, using 2010. So [laughs] again, something we would've had to fund out of our own accounts and couldn't do it. Not only that, but even the installation, all of this stuff would've taken ages. DCAS, they've been working. And when I say it's not, this, I find a lot of people say, "Well, you know, what if it's just because they're being told?" This isn't something, this is the atmosphere and the community and the change and the--what the Commissioner is promoting in this new DCAS and everything, people are energized. And everybody's working together, and the mentality is drop the divisions, drop all of that, and go with a, you're all working in all the areas. And that's what's happening with us, we're all working together constantly, and to improve-we use our areas to combine thoughts and to troubleshoot the problems of the City as a whole.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not of what we're responsible for. And that has really been coming through not only for our agency, but throughout the City. So, there's a million things that, I mean, I can tell you that we got cleaning in the warehouses that we never had. I real--I just found out that we had staff cleaning the, the warehouse bathrooms that we weren't aware of. And the second that it was spotted, that three of the chiefs over at DCAS were like, "Oh, my god, get somebody down there, get it, get it fixed. This shouldn't be happening." And the concern and the care and, and the team support, is something that we've never had. So, I understand that there are concerns, and I've talked to several people. But there are so many advantages to us going forward. And to this community, to both records management, records management, is another thing. We're, like I said, we're helping DCAS by saving a lot of space, or whatever, but we're also piloting our records management programs, in DCAS, so that we could take it citywide. DCAS has a citywide training center where they train City employees. We're going to have for the first time ever, a

records management training program. I mean, the State offers them, all different, they're offered, but we've never had one for the City. So it's also going to give people more of a, and it's going to mandatory training where people will have a correct, they actually will have records managers that are assigned, and that they will have really guidelines, and, and also updates on the latest technology. So, that's out there, that again many of you may be aware of or be working with, but the City isn't. So, that's the, okay, thank you for your time.

much, and Ken Cobb, did you want to add anything, or just questions? Okay. Thank you, Ken Cobb, for all your service, in particular. I know some of my colleagues are coming, there are a lot of other meetings today, but let me just start with some questions. And just so the public understands, when the Mayor introduces a bill, the Chair of the Committee puts his or her name alongside of it. And I think the Commissioners know, but that I had a lot of, I have a lot of issues with the merger, and raised a red flag, if

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's the correct term. And I think to the credit of the City there was a hearing, when many of you joined us at so-called Bank Building or temporary City Hall, whatever the current name is, at 49-51 Chambers, on I think it was in March. And a lot of people raised issues there, and together with Tim and with Seth, we've met with many of the archivists and incredibly intelligent, committed community, a community that feels very strongly about DORIS and feels very strongly about the future. So, I want to thank everybody 'cause this is a collaborative effort, it's not just a, you know, one dimensional. There, I really want to hear from everyone who's in the room here today. So, I'm here to ask some specific questions. And although you've both hinted and talked about this, how do you think specifically the merger would allow for more effective records management specifically, and archival services specifically. Because I think that's really the heart of the matter, even though you think that the training room and the, you know, technology support--To be honest with you, I've worked in City agencies, myself. And if you call Corp

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Counsel, they're there. They're always there.

3 And if I called DOITT, maybe because I used to

4 Chair Technology, and I happen to understand quite

5 a bit about technology. They're always there. No

6 matter what agency. So I understand your

7 discussion that it's only through DCAS can we get

8 these services, because we're not big enough. I

9 guess that's not my experience, personally. So,

10 my question to you is, can you be specific? Is it

a, the merger allows for more effective,

12 specifically records management, and specifically

13 archival services.

just frame it a bit for you. As I said, one of the, one of the purposes, I view this opportunity, is so that you'll feel DCAS in this. So at the conclusion of the open meeting that we had, it became very clear that there was concern of not just the whole of DORIS being swallowed up in DCAS, but particularly with respects to archives and then libraries. And so in consultation with Eileen and others, we determined that, that's a very valid point, that while they have, can gain advantages because of the merger,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

administratively, their, their identities were important to the City. So, when we're talking about the outward facing aspects of the merger, we're looking at DCAS records, but that the library and the archives will have a separate identity connected to the City. So, that would be, it would maintain the New York City archives, and/or the New York City Library, or some combination thereof, with separate identities on the internet, the City pages, so that that maintains and that continues. We were able to provide that resolution because of the responsiveness that we, we have at DCAS. one thing to call up, and you're part of a queue, in DOITT. It's another thing when you're sitting right next to the Commissioner, the Chief of Staff, Records, Asset Management, the other lines of service at DCAS, and as Eileen indicated, you're saying over the cubicle, "What about this? Can we do that?" So, the City agencies, the oversight agencies are fabulous in their support, but when you're, as, when you're part of that system, you have that kind of support. Now, with respect to Records, Records is a challenge for the 2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

planet. [laughs] And I think one of the reasons
we find this challenge is because no one wants to
get rid of what needs to be get rid of, be, when
no one what's to be gotten rid of, at the time it
needs to be, it needs to be gone, frankly.

7 [laughs] And we believe in what we're--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, you're going to have a lot of Council Members' junk--

EDNA WELLS HANDY: [laughs] Well, and that's, that's the challenge, but we have the opportunity now, in DCAS, looking at how we do, how we deliver service, in the two-and-a-half years that we believe we have to do it in, to look at how can we make a difference in records? How can we make a difference in space allocation, in office space? How do we make a difference in how we manage the fleet? How do we in DCAS make a difference in all of the so-called back office operations, such that it will carry us forward in the new day of fiscal constraint, in the new day of technology and the new day of higher diversification? So, that effort is cascading, is being made part of records. We have, we sit with operations monthly, talking about a citywide

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process that we can roll out to the rest of the City on how to manage first your paper records, then how do we go forward on your electronic records. Because DCAS, as you noted in your presentation, is one of the larger agencies, with not only huge day-to-day records, but archival and historical documents. We're using DCAS as the test. So, let's first look at each agency has a records officer. Let's bring DCAS's records officer. How are you disposing? How are you identifying records that have to be archived? How are you getting the necessary sign-offs? What is your retention schedule? We know that retention schedules have not been updated in years. So again looking at DCAS, I think we have something like 400--[background comment] I can't even fix my mother to say it. We have something like 400 retention schedules. Well if we're representative of the City, looking at DCAS's retention schedules, identifying what's still valid, how we can work it, such that it's manageable, we can then use that process to go forward with the City. We have contr--we have, not contracted, yet, but we have asked for a proposal from a, a records

management organization, to look at how do we come up with a module, a records retention, records schedule, records disposal, module that we can, that has a training component, that we can then move out to the City. So, in answer to your specific question with records, our goal is to provide a model that becomes part of the City, and we're doing it day-by-day, week-by-week, in committee, in conjunction with the stakeholders, including operations and IT, so that we can move on that area.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I appreciate all the thought that's going into this. It's obviously bigger than DORIS itself, and the whole-I mean, I think probably agencies around the country are thinking about the same thing. So, but I, you haven't convinced me yet, but you're working at it. I just want to let you know, and I appreciate all the thought. What about space management planning? I know you talked a little bit about Bush, you talked about Queens. Where are all the archives now? As an example. And again, the bathrooms should be cleaned anyway. I appreciate that they got cleaned, but they should

2 ahead, so the archives, go ahead.

3 EILEEN FLANNELLY: So, yeah, so we 4 have Bush Terminal and we have 31 Chambers Street.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mm-hmm.

this, what's going to be the benefit? Well, first of all, this is going to, as far as Ken I meet with DCAS staff, they have project management, and this is something we've never had. And everybody, all of the lines of service were trained on project management. And our projects, things that we're proposing putting forward, are things that we work on with a team of people now. This means all of our, our disposal projects, our RFPs and digitization projects, and things we haven't been able to do before, are now nicely organized and we have timelines—

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: But you're doing them now, even before a, if there is a merger, even before a merger.

 $\label{eq:energy} \mbox{EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes, we're}$  working with them.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So maybe you don't need the merger, because it's going so well.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2		EILEEN	FLANNELLY:	Well	[laughs]
---	--	--------	------------	------	----------

3 no, there's a--

EILEEN FLANNELLY: I mean--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I'm not trying

to--

EILEEN FLANNELLY: You know, anybody who, who--there is a complete difference between being on an outside and being on an inside in a group, and being part of a discussion that everybody decides together what's important and what the, the lead projects are, and what, what everybody can do to help each other. When I sit in a room and I have all of the lines of service in a room with me, and I'm saying, "Okay, I'm doing this project, and it's a digitization project, and I need A, B, C, D." We are all able to discuss right there and then, and Sergio will say, "Okay, well we can do the procurement end of it and we can do the legal end." And everybody takes part in that. Completely different. It would take me a month at least to do the same

2.

thing by telephone, to do the same thing, calling
up and reaching out to the individuals. There's
nobody who can think that that's, that there's not
an advantage to that. I'm sorry, but I've been
actually working with DCAS, and like I said I've
worked with DCAS for many years now, and I've had
a wonderful relationship with DCAS, and I found
them to be a very helpful agency. Yet, it is not
the same thing.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. And I know we've talked in the past about cost savings. This is not, as you have stated, a cost saving effort. I think it was the \$150,000 was the--

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON BREWER: --which is} % \begin{center} \begi$ 

EILEEN FLANNELLY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So, what then are, if there would be more staff, I understand that there would be a shared staff. But you obviously have huge needs, not just the clerks at the Bush Terminal, but others. Would there be extra staff assigned to DORIS, or would it continue to be a shared? Or do you not have that

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worked out, if there is a merger. Why don't you
both--

4 EILEEN FLANNELLY: Well--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Go ahead.

6 EILEEN FLANNELLY: Okay, sorry,

well, right now, I can tell you that, that my, what my Director of Records Management was saying, that there was certain projects that we're actually working on with the Council, and we're working with DOI on certain projects. And she was saying, "Well, you know something? We have to hold up this project because our driver, we only have one motor vehicles operator, and he's doing this project down there." And I said, "Pearl," I said, "Reach out to Sergio and the DCAS staff, and find out. They have their stock workers there, find out if we can get more motor vehicle operators." So we have men. They came back and said, "Well, you know, not only do, can we give you some of the guys to help with your projects, but we also have a, you know, a trucking contractor we could use to assist you." So, now the projects that we would've put on hold, are, we now have more assistance. And the whole idea is

there's no clear delineation that there would be more staff to assist with DORIS except some of these shared opportunities, which you have outlined. Okay.

EDNA WELLS HANDY: At present, yes.

If I might, if I might, I'd like to just go back

to the point that was, was alluded to, if it's

going so well, then we don't need the merger.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mm-hmm, yep, shared services, yep.

think that addresses the, the need to put the roots down, so that it is not dependent upon the goodwill of those at DCAS, that it's dependent upon the strictures that are in the statute, or the law that, that will come, come about, in the procedures that we put in place, so that is not dependent upon who's managing it. But those procedures will provide the kinds of service that we're indicating that we can provide now, and we want to provide in the future.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I mean, I think one of the issues is, you know, how do you ensure that DORIS's specialized knowledge, which

is what it is, and expertise is retained and
utilized? You talked about the great things
happening at DCAS now. I don't know who's going
to be the Mayor in two-and-a-half years or in 30
years. And that's what we're all concerned about.
So, the question is, how do we know that DORIS's
knowledge and expertise, if we don't even know if
we'll have the funding for that kind of
professional staff, and how do we know that the
staff that is there will be retained and utilized?
How can we produhow can we think about that?
And we had some wonderful meetings with some of
the archivists whom you know, from all the
different archives in the university systems, and
across the country, in Washington. These folks,
you know, it's the, that's the quality that you
need in order to preserve this amazing collection.
So how do we know that that's going to happen.
What kind of safeguards would you put into a
merger to make sure that those safeguards are
there? I mean, it's staff that does it. There's
no other magic. Staff and money.

EDNA WELLS HANDY: Well, at present, we're maintaining the same level of

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

)	staffing	t.hat.	has	the	professional	pieces	t.o	it.
- 1	2001111	0110.0	110.0	0110	Prorestar	Proce	~ ~	

3 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: But everybody 4 feels that there's not enough. We heard this 5 conversation earlier, is we're merging 'cause we don't have enough. But drivers are great, but 6 that's not the kind of professional needed. With all due respect, this agency is probably more 9 specialized than most agencies; perhaps, obviously, Technology more so, but this is a very 10 11 unique type of person.

 $\label{eq:edna} \mbox{EDNA WELLS HANDY:} \mbox{ No, and, and we,} \\ \mbox{we do appreciate that.}$ 

14 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So how--

EDNA WELLS HANDY: So, if I can, if

16 | I may--

17 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Sure.

presentation, in her testimony, she indicated that in many instances, her, the staff of, of records, they're doing dual and triple duty. So, taking at least two of those, those responsibilities away, allows tho—will allow those who are the professionals to fully commit and fully function in their professional capacities. So, it

unleashes that kind of energy so that we have
people who are one-third FTE devoted to archiving
Now we have a full FTE devoted to archiving. So
in a sense we have increased capabilities. But I
think the other piece to look at is, we do
appreciate the dual that archives and library
represent to the City. And so we're looking at
ways in which to provide enhanced training for
people, in records. I meet with record staff and
I'll embarrass Pearl to say this, but I'm pushing
her. Get your certification, Pearl. Others on
the, on that staff, get your certification, so
that we can have the certified skill set that we
need to go forward. That's a way to ensure a
level of service, irrespective of the management
that might be in place in years to come.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I mean, there also has been concern from some of the folks from the community about reduced hours and access to the archives, and to the library. And I just wanted to know what kind of assurances could you make that there will be not just no reduction, but maybe more access, with any kind of a merger?

EILEEN FLANNELLY: Okay--

2	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And I don't
3	know if you can say that, don't say that you can
	if you can't. But if you, is this something that
4	_
5	gets discussed?
6	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Well, yes it is,
7	but also if I can just go back to, about the
8	staffing. Right now, in anticipation of the
9	merger, we're, we
LO	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Of the hoped
11	for merger.
12	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Of, right
13	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Hasn't
L4	happened yet.
L5	EILEEN FLANNELLY: AI know. So
L6	for the hoped for merger, we are ready, we'll be,
L7	we've already identified four people who will be
18	going, three who will be going to the archives,
19	and one who will going back to records management.
20	So, the staff will be strengthened by four, to
21	start with, and in addition, as I mentioned
22	earlier, the use of the stock workers is going to
23	strengthen our Records Management Department. And
24	we've also taken on the Records staff as far as
25	working with them at DCAS. And if in anticipation

of in hopes of a merger, if that does happen, we
will also be gaining that staff in our headcount.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. So, I
guess my overall question is, maybe not this time,
and I know you think you delineated this, but it's
hard to hear a very specific plan for increasing,
cause any merger should increase capacity and
service, and not
EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER:decrease,
and not just keep it linear. But should actually
increase.
EILEEN FLANNELLY: Right. And
we're going to be
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Can you say
that in two sentences, how exactly a merger would
increase services? Specifically.
EILEEN FLANNELLY: We will, we will
be opening the visitor center, that will be a
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, but I, I
know you're excited about the visitor center.
EILEEN FLANNELLY: Right.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I'm must admit
it's not my number one concern. I'm telling you.

23 EILEEN FLANNELLY: That's the purpose of it. 24

25 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. 2.

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EILEEN FLANNELLY: And also, that's
going to be open, the City Hall Library is going
to be part of that, it's going to bring more
attention to that, and it's also going to be more,
bring more attention to the archives. I mean,
and

8 EDNA WELLS HANDY: Yeah, perhaps I 9 can--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, you need a better list.

EDNA WELLS HANDY: Just to--CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Go ahead. Go 14 ahead.

> EDNA WELLS HANDY: And we will provide that as soon as we, we come up with it, and why I say it in, in that term, I've asked for each chief of my respective line of service to come up with a strategic plan, to identify how we're going to go forward, how we're going to address the culture, address the service, to increase and to provide the kind of customer satisfaction that the public is entitled to. that's one area. But just as a, as an example of it, we had a meeting with a archivist -- no, a

2.

genealogist, a genealogist. And he talked about
the question of why there's no internet access,
and we're looking at how can we provide internet,
internet access there. We're, we've opened up to
the level of the user, and so as we continue that
increasing that access, we'll be able to identify
the ways in which services will be improved

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I'm just saying, if I were you, I would have a better list, I'm just telling you, than what you've come up with so far. Advisory boards, they haven't met often. Why? And if some of these answers perhaps could have come out of an advisory board. I'm a big proponent of advisory boards. Great to have the users, great to have the public. I mean, you have two advisory boards. Can you just tell us generally who's on them, have they met, and if not why not?

EILEEN FLANNELLY: Okay, well our first board, the Archives Oversight Board, we had a meeting yesterday.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Very

convenient. Go ahead, I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

EILEEN FLANNELLY: As a matter, as

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 52
2	a matter of fact, we've been trying to get them
3	together
4	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Oh
5	EILEEN FLANNELLY:and Richard
6	Lieberman, who is in the room
7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER:okay.
8	EILEEN FLANNELLY:is part of our
9	advisory board. And he
10	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, he lives
11	in my neighborhood, he's a wonderful man.
12	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes. And he
13	will tell you that we have had difficulty, so yes
14	they
15	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: He's very easy
16	to reach, I can give you his home number in my
17	head.
18	EILEEN FLANNELLY: There [laughter]
19	well, Richard is on our board, and he'll let you
20	know, this isn't something that was for the
21	hearing, but something we've been trying to
22	organize for several months.
23	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right, so
24	one board met yesterday. And what did they say?
25	EILEEN FLANNELLY: There was

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 53
2	excitement, and
3	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's the one
4	that has five members on it, I believe.
5	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes, yes.
6	[crosstalk]
7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And all five
8	of them there?
9	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All five of
11	them were there.
12	EILEEN FLANNELLY: We, yes, they
13	were.
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.
15	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Marin Casey
16	[phonetic], Mike Best, Richard Lieberman, and
17	Chris Moore.
18	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And what did
19	they come up with in terms of their summary of the
20	meeting?
21	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Well, that they,
22	I said at the end of the meeting there was a lot
23	of excitement
24	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.
25	EILEEN FLANNELLY:and they were

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 54
2	in support of this.
3	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.
4	EILEEN FLANNELLY: And felt that,
5	that this was really going to strengthen going
6	forward.
7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I'm sure Mr.
8	Lieberman will articulate that.
9	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes, he can.
10	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: He's very good
11	at that.
12	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right,
14	and, and the other board?
15	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Now that board
16	we, hasn't been, hasn't been active since I
17	believe the late '90s.
18	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. And
19	there's some reason? Is that a larger board?
20	EILEEN FLANNELLY: That was a 15
21	member board.
22	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Henry's on
23	that board?
24	HENRY STERN: [off mic] Yes, I was,
25	but it hasn't met in over ten years.

I think, we could go on and on with questions, I

25

2	know there's a lot of people who want to testify,
3	and I would love to have them participate. And I
4	hope that your archival storage and records is not
5	as hot as it is in this room, 'cause I think it
6	would not be good for the records. So, I want to
7	thank you for joining us today, and I hope that
8	somebody will stay to hear some of the comments
9	coming from the community. Thank you very much.
10	EILEEN FLANNELLY: Thank you.
11	EDNA WELLS HANDY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right,
13	Richard Lieberman, Christine Ward, Brian Anderson
14	and Henry Stern, if you want to all come up.
15	[pause, background noise] Richard, you go first,
16	'cause I know you have a time constraint.
17	RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Thank you.
18	Well, I'm delighted to be here. Thank you, Gale,
19	for
20	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We're glad to
21	have you.
22	RICHARD LIEBERMAN:for inviting
23	us. And
24	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: How's the
25	block, is the block okay? The block is okay?

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

			C	HAIRPER	SON	I BR	EWER:	I	just	didn	't
want	one	in	the	future	to	be	just	Mayo	or.	Go	
ahead											

 $\label{eq:richard_lieberman: Oh, and I've,} % \end{substitute} % \en$ 

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yes.

RICHARD LIEBERMAN: And I'm the Director of the LaGuardia and Wagner Archives, and Professor of History at LaGuardia Community College. Our archives has the public papers, which are on microfilm, and many of the private papers and photographs and oral history of all of our mayors since Fiorello LaGuardia. And we are also the archives for the City Council papers. And we have worked closely with DORIS for many years. In fact, I've dedicated most of my professional life to the teaching and studying of history of our City, with a focus on Queens. the author of a book on the history of the Steinway Piano Company. I've worked with all the commissioners and staff of DORIS since the glory days Gene Bachman. I sadly have witnessed the serious deterioration of DORIS over the past 30 years, from a staff of over 100 people to a staff

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of about 35. We're dealing with an agency in serious, serious trouble, and it has all been coming down, I would say in the last 15 years have been tremendous deterioration of the staff and the services and as you well know, the budget. believe it's in the best interests of DORIS to join a much more powerful agency, and I won't repeat what's been said already. DORIS will certainly reap the benefits of not only in the battles for budget, but in the elimination of many of the, of the administrative time that has been mentioned already: the purchasing process, the human resources issues, the IT development. know being part of CUNY that it has helped my archive to have other people doing those services, and one of the questions that Gale asked earlier is that, "Will you increase the professional staff?" And I thought the answer was perfect, that if professional archivists do not have to get involved in filling out forms to buy paperclips, you've increased the time to do the job that we were trained to do, and that's really what will happen. And I applaud that. This is going to be a, a merger that will enable DORIS to guard our

records and increase access to our City's history.
And that's what they're supposed to do. And
they're not supposed to be booking trucks and
filling out endlessly purchase forms and
negotiating, you know, contracts with the Mormons,
etc., that they're, they're doing now. So, I'd
like to make one suggestion, in terms of the
considerations that you're, that you, on the Intro
and the future law. We now have a totally digital
government. The typewriters are gone, everything
is digital, all the records are digital. At this
point, we must think and plan, and then put into
this intro, the preservation and indexing of all
important backup systems and hard drives
throughout City government. And I know, Gale,
you've done a lot of work in this area. We need
to define the relationship between DORIS and the
Department of Information Technology and
telecommunications, referred to earlier as DOITT.
Now, if this is done correctly, we can save money
now spent on labor intensive process of indexing,
and the enormous cost, which has already been
referred to, of climate controlled storage rooms.
So, my suggestion is let's use this moment of

т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OFERATIONS 01
2	transition to create a seamless flow of digital
3	records, ensuring that our City's history is
4	online and available to everyone. Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very
7	much, and thank you for all of your service in
8	general. Who would like to go next? HJS?
9	HENRY STERN: This is fascinating
10	to me, and I came down here
11	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Have to
12	introduce yourself.
13	HENRY STERN: Oh.
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You know, who
15	you are.
16	HENRY STERN: My name is Henry
17	Stern, I'm a former Member of the City Council,
18	from 1974 to 83. And I was with Paul a
19	cosponsor of the bill creating DORIS, which passed
20	in 1977. 34 years later, 34 years later, we're
2.1	back and unfortunately DODIC has never lived up to

in 1977. 34 years later, 34 years later, we're
back and unfortunately DORIS has never lived up to
the promise it had, has never been funded, has
been degraded, smashed, ignored, politicized,
practically every bad thing in City government has
happened to it, including neglect. And now it's

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proposed to put it out of its misery by submerging it into a DGS, or whatever, DCAS or whatever it's called now, a 2,000 employee agency with a billion dollar budget, which cares as much about DCAS as you do about a fly on the wall. Right. So, any-now of course, there are all kinds of promises that have been made here, and they're wonderful. And I appreciate and I admire Commissioner Handy for her, as far as I know her, and particularly Eileen Flannelly who's been great over the years, who's devoted her professional life to this. she of course is a, how shall we say it, she's inhouse, and certain people, you can say certain things if you're in-house, and certain other things when you're out of the house. And we understand fully. If I were in your position, that's just what I'd be saying. However, being, having liberty to say what I think, it's ridiculous. I mean [laughs] I can't think of anything--this started as something in somebody's--"Hey, we can save, what, it'll look good to eliminate a whole agency. That gives us a savings, and that gives us efficiencies, and that shows we're streamlining government." Well, if

the agency has only 30 people and they're all 2 going to be retained, how are the efficiency, how 3 4 is this going to streamline government? 5 they'll say yes, if they need a truck and now 6 they're more likely to say yes if they're the same agency, you wouldn't be able to talk to them if they're in the same agency, 'cause you have to 9 speak to your boss, and your boss would have to speak to their boss and their boss. You do much 10 11 better as an independent agency, 'cause then at 12 least you have theoretical access to the 13 Commissioner, or to a Deputy Mayor, to resolve problems. So this is, I mean, there's nothing 14 15 here about retention, there's nothing here about-it's programmatic in nature. There's nothing here 16 indicating the slightest desire to increase and 17 18 expand. This is under the mantra of savings. And 19 what we say we'll save an, we'll save an agency, 20 we'll eliminate an agency, cheers. Well, did it 21 to DCAS, but what does DCAS do? They publish the 22 Green Book. When have you seen the last Green 23 Book? It's three years since it came out. Before 24 that it was another three years. DCAS can't even 25 do what the charter mandates it to do.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're going to take on the functions of an additional agency? Without additional personnel? I mean, it's ridiculous. This is an administration which prides itself on transparency and openness, and yet they haven't even published a basic list of City employees. It's like a telephone company stop putting out the phone book, and hope that nobody would notice. So, should DCAS be rewarded for its failure to perform the elementary, basic informational function of putting out a Green Book, by being placed in charge of the Records and Information Services? Not that there's much there to be put in charge of. But any hope that we had under the leadership of Paul O'Dwyer, who was wonderful on this. is his legislative monument. His other monument was changing the date of the City seal from 1664 to 1625, which he did because of his Anglophobia. And which is understandable, of course, considering his background. And this was his legislative contribution, however, and I know he's from your district, and I'm sure you knew him in days of yore. And because he wanted, and he thought it was important. That's why they had an

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Archives Retention Board. The Retention Board, which I was on, and which attended faithfully, somehow stopped meeting and hasn't met in ten years. So what's the remedy? To revive it? No, to create another board, more tightly controlled by City Hall. Not that it matters. And the Board of fewer people, and less public responsibility. It's ridiculous. The whole thing is off of somebody idea of an organization chart. That if we eliminate this box, we will have streamlined government and therefore fulfilled our purpose and mission. It has nothing to do with reality, it has nothing to do with the level of service provided. And indeed, it's, it has rightly aroused the wrath of the archival community, the records community, all those people who care about City history. Because they know that the way to increase attention to City history and records and the past, and to make us aware of it, is not to abolish the one agency that has that as its mandate, and fold it up under a larger agency, which has to do with rents and real properties and concessions and business transactions, totally 99 percent of it will be totally unrelated to

2	history. There's no rational way you can say that
3	enhances the study of history. So, I'm opposed to
4	this legislation. Not that there's not a lot to
5	be done, to improve DORIS and all the rest of it.
6	And I hope the Council takes an interest in a
7	positive way, of seeing to it that the records
8	requirements are statutory and are kept, and that
9	staffing levels are provided, and that commitments
10	are made which can be kept. But tothis is not
11	throwing the baby out with the bathwater, 'cause
12	they're not even throwing out any bathwater. It's
13	just throwing the baby out. Makes no sense.
14	[laughter]
15	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very
16	much. [applause] Keep it down, keep it down.
17	Ms. Ward, or why don't you go next, and then we'll
18	do Ryan. Go ahead.
19	CHRISTINE WARD: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Introduce
21	yourself and thank you very much.
22	CHRISTINE WARD: Sure. Good
23	afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to
24	address this Committee.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Before you go

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on, I want to make sure that we know we've been

joined by Council Member Vincent Gentile, who is

the Chair of our Libraries Committee, and we're

delighted to have him here.

CHRISTINE WARD: Thank you. My name is Christine Ward, I am the Assistant Commissioner for Archives and Records in New York State, and I'm also the New York State Archivist. My organization, the New York State Archives, manages the current and archival records of New York State government, and we provide technical assistance and grants to 4,400 local governments and 3,000 historical records repositories around the state. While the State Archives does not have a direct responsibility for records and archives in the City of New York, as we do for all of the other local governments in New York State, we work very closely with Department of Records in a partnership to ensure that grant funds that flow through the State Archives to the City, for management of its records, are appropriately distributed. And it perhaps goes without saying that because of interconnected, complimentary or related functions and programs, and effective

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

management of New York City's records is of great interest to State and other local governments in New York. And also, because of the richness of New York City's history and the records that document that history, their wellbeing is of great interest and concern to the citizens of the State, and to all of those researchers and individuals whose histories connect to this City. But my purpose today is not to advise you on how to organize the functions of City government, that is clearly your prerogative and your responsibility. Rather, my reason for accepting your invitation to testify is to highlight the importance of insuring that any reorganization or non-reorganization be grounded in the precept that the City must have strong and effective records management and archival programs. And I urge you to take this opportunity to ensure that the Department of Records receive the regulatory, the administrative and the financial authority and resources that it needs, so that it can provide superior service to manage, preserve and make available the information created by New York City government. Records management and archives are quiet,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

internal control functions. They go on behind the scenes and they rarely result in major headlines or heated debate; although, the headlines that can ensue in a Freedom of Information Request is lodged and you can't either find the records, or worse still, they have been illegally destroyed. That can be very disturbing and embarrassing. effective management of current and archival records created by City government is essential to a number of things: one, to ensure government transparency; to inform and support decision making by City agencies and officials; to provide documentation of government actions; to sustain the civil and the property rights of citizens; to provide cost effective control of City information; and to protect the City's most essential documentary resources from technological obsolescence, as well as from natural and manmade disasters. I respectfully ask that you consider the following three challenges to local government, and that you assure us, if you can, that however the records function ends up being organized in New York City, that the governing agency will be charged with the responsibility to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address all three of these. The first challenge is to ensure that records management and archives programs have the necessary statutory basis in law and authority to carry out its functions. We know that it's essential that City agencies will follow, need to follow best practices and records management, and that the management of City records must be integrated into agency operations. They cannot be exercised at the discretion of the agency, which I can tell you at the Stat level sometimes happens; or when the agency decides to get around to it. Information is an invaluable commodity and it's essential that City records be complete and accurate, easily located when they're needed, retained for an appropriate length of time, or destroyed when they've served their purpose, that whole space issue is a big one for all of us. And also for those few that have long term value, that they be deposited in a professionally run archives for permanent retention. The Department of Records can and should provide agencies with guidance on this and agencies should be required to follow that advice. Now this all leads to the second challenge, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's a big one, that of managing the exponentially increasing volume of government information being created electronically, and that's a problem that faces not only New York City, but the entire nation. Our collective challenge here is to ensure that records are created in nonproprietary or open systems, and migrated over time to new software versions, formats and architectures. Also, that records continue to be accessible, and as needed, will be available as needed over time. That electronic records information is secure and protected, and that those systems that create and maintain records are cost effective, nonduplicative and result in usable and accessible information. And if you don't make sure the Department of Records has the expertise and the resources to engage in dealing with this problem, New York City will face the possibility of a digital dark age. And I've said this to the legislature of the State of New York, as well. Ιt is an incredibly important function. The third challenge, I would say, and like to draw your attention to, is the critical need to ensure that the permanently valuable records of the City are

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

properly preserved and made available for use by City government, by the citizens of the City, and by people around the country and the world. The heritage and historical status of New York City is unlike that of any other city in America. York was the cradle of so many historical events, actions and decisions. And I I'm not going to lecture you on the key role that New York City has played in our history of New York State and of the nation. You know that list. But the documentation of many of these events and people resides in the records that are created by City government. And they must be preserved and accessible for research. It's essential that now and in the future, the Department of Records have the appropriate physical facilities to protect and preserve these records, by credentialed professional staff, who would be there to manage them. And also, that that staff have the ability to certify the integrity of those records and the authenticity of those records, including their provenance and the whole issue of unbroken legal chain of custody which is critical, and their original order. Very exacting standards for

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

environmental control and storage, as well as for preparation and oversight of use are required to do this correctly. So, I'm going to cut this a little bit short, I've given you the document and you can read that yourselves. But I would just say that my purpose in testifying before you today really is to underscore the significance of your City's records management and archival function. And the need to ensure that in whatever part of City government it ends up being located, the function is both valued and supported. And that there is credible and authoritative voice that's speaking on behalf of archives and records. Department of Records deserves and indeed must have a fierce advocate for its role in City government. It sounds as if this Committee is taking that stand right now. It must ensure that the City's records are effectively managed, protected and made available for the benefit of New York City and its citizens. I would say that to do less would betray the faith of past generations of New Yorkers and compromise the future of a City whose efficient and cost effective management and operation depends upon

the continuing integrity, authenticity and
accessibility of its own information. Thank you
so much for giving me the opportunity to talk with
vou today

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. [applause] Next, Mr. Anderson.

BRIAN ANDERSSON: Good afternoon and thank you for your invitation to appear at your hearing today.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.

BRIAN ANDERSSON: I want to thank everyone in the room, this is a great turnout. I wish the turnout was just as good on the Council side, but we lost somebody already. I won't start that. But anyway, I'm Brian Andersson. I like history, I don't wish to make history, to be the last Commissioner of New York City's Department of Records as a standalone agency. I've served in that capacity from January 2002 through August 2010, almost nine years, having been appointed to that position by Mayor Bloomberg. I have served as an Assistant Commissioner since 1999. My position was eliminated in preparation for this

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

merger. I come today to express my deep dismay that the agencies in the process of being merged back into the much specialized mega-agency known as DCAS. Astonishingly, it's the very same agency from which we were born in 1977. Its birth was a deliberate act by visionaries within City government. It was enacted into law with a specific view that the historic heritage and valuable documentation of New York City could no longer treated as it had been. NEGLECT is the key word here. You need only search the key words: municipal archives in the New York Times online archives to find a few hundred articles that detail the appalling state of affairs as it related to how this great City's valuable records were treated prior to the creation of this agency. New York City was the first capital of the United States. Almost half this country's population can trace an ancestor that immigrated to American through our City and its port. We lead the nation in so many ways that we can simply not afford to turn the clock back on how our archives will be looked after. And be assured, this is a step backwards. We were on the right path. In the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last several years, with very little in the way of resources or funding, and a much diminished staff, we managed to significantly raise the public profile of the Department. Historians, authors, moviemakers, lawyers, architects, family historians, have all utilized our archives and library. We supplied the historic material for many of NYC TV's programs. We naturally became the repository for the relics of 9/11. Family mementos were retrieved yearly from the attack site by our dedicated staff in the late hours after the public ceremony, and are now safequarded. The tattered flag that opens each year's ceremony is entrusted to our care. Our tax photo collection of New York City's buildings and homes photographed in 1939 and again in the mid-1980s is a runaway bestseller. The NBC hit, "Who Do You Think You Are?" has traced several celebrities' records here, and have filmed here. And while we can't help the President with his issue, which I heard was resolved, will most likely have your grandparents' birth records if they were born here, or mostly anyone before 1910. Interested in really ancient history, like the

Board of Estimate hearings? We got 'em, in City
Hall Library which we run, for now. When the
Mayor solicited public proposals for the memorial
at the World Trade Center site, our agency
received them, organized them and stored them.
For those of who have not had a tour of our
facility at the beautiful Hall of Records,
Surrogates Court Building, I implore you to do so.
When Mayor Giuliani left office, and proposed to
privately process his administration's papers, it
was precisely because of the historic underfunding
of the agency. Promises were made, plans were
drawn up to build a much needed storage facility,
to consolidate our current deplorable storage
facilities, for which we currently pay rent. It
was never funded. Instead of partnering with an
online genealogical giant to digitize our
extremely popular vital records collections, OMB
promised us a million dollars in funding, so we
could do it ourselves; never happened. Our
services in the records management field have
helped many agencies clear out usable office
space, file cabinets, and helped them manage their
record flow and retention schedules. We provide

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an essential service that private companies charge a fortune for. Instead of being merged away, we should've been held out as the Little Engine That Could, the example of doing more with less, that's all we ever knew. When Cultural Affairs moved into 31 Chambers a few years ago, there was some talk that we could share some of our operating costs and support staff functions. It never moved forward. But it did show that a merger isn't necessary to reduce costs. During a previous attempt to merge records into DCAS, then DGS, in 1995, Crane's New York Business pointed out that at that time, no real savings could be demonstrated. In that article, one archivist pointed out that records would become "the poor and dowdy stepsister." The current attempt should be rejected for the same reasons. I am just fearful of the same agency that orders paperclips, copier toner and snow tires for your City car, will now oversee the City's historic legacy. Sadly, the Department of Records was the low hanging fruit in this well-intentioned but seriously misquided attempt at cost savings. Thanks for your time.

2	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very
3	much. Mr. Lieberman, can you, you do a great job
4	at the LaGuardia Wagner Archives. And can you
5	just share with us some of the ways in which you
6	have the relationship with the Municipal Archives.
7	And I know you suggested, and I take it to heart,
8	some of the digitizing technology challenges and
9	ways to address them. But are there other ways in
10	which a municipal archive, no matter where it's
11	housed, could be improved. 'Cause obviously I
12	think you've done a lot of those improvements at
13	LaGuardia. You've really set the bar very high.
14	RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Thank you very
15	much. The bar is high and our accomplishments are
16	high, and because of the staff at DORIS. I mean,
17	there's no trick in this business, and there's no
18	magic. It has to do with the talent of who's
19	doing the work. And the person that has not been
20	mentioned is Leonora Gidlund who's sitting right
21	over there, who really deserves a tremendous round
22	of applause, who's really done
23	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yes, she
24	people love her. [applause]
25	RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Well, you

RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Well, you

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | should love her. [laughs]

3 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Love her.

RICHARD LIEBERMAN: I mean, she has been, you know, we used to say in Brooklyn, our best reliever. She is there and she is doing all the work, and obviously Ken, you know, who's been there for a long time, and Eileen's doing a fabulous job. But the reason why we look so good is really because of the staff at DORIS. We've worked closely for 30 years, we've done it both ways, we've moved collections to our site and processed and indexed them there. We've sent staff to their site. We're currently working on a project together. Correct me when I'm wrong, I think it's 300,000 negatives that we're going through from the Koch Administration. Many of them of Henry Stern. And we've picking out [laughter]--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Make sure you do those first.

RICHARD LIEBERMAN: [laughter]
We're picking out the best of that collection.
We're, I think we're at a one percent save rate.
And they're going right onto the computer and

right up on the website. And former Mayor Koch is
quite delighted with this project because I bring
the photos to him, he, we do an oral history
project with the photos. And so when you click on
the photo, you can read snips of the oral history.
So, I would summarize, and saying that there's
no magic in archives, there's no magic in anything
we do. It's a lot of work, it's intensive work,
with talented staff. And I think what everybody
is saying here is that we have a gem of a treasure
trove of the City's history, and it needs the kind
of staff, more of the staff that it has, but what
I said earlier, the staff that it has now should
be freed up to do their work. And that's really
important. That's one of the reasons I look good
is because we have lawyers at CUNY, and we have
all of those people do the purchasing, and I don't
have to do that.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I think that. Ms. Ward, how is the New York State Archives, who is it structured? Obviously you're doing--

CHRISTINE WARD: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You're being

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very diplomatic today--

3 CHRISTINE WARD: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: --because you can see there's a lot of back and forth. But how are the State Archives structured?

CHRISTINE WARD: Well, we are actually part of a larger organization, as well. We are part of the New York State Education Department. And I guess to, to provide the parallel here, we do have the benefit of counsel, of purchase, and of all of those administrative --HR of course is a big one--activities that are done for us, and we do not have to hire people to do those things, which is good because we cannot hire anymore. So, so that is an issue, certainly, that, that I can, I can speak to. There was a possibility at one point, under the Pataki Administration, to pull us and others out and make us a gubernatorial organization, it was going to be an organization that encompassed the State Museum, the State Library and the State Archives, which is part of cultural education under the State Ed Department now. That did not happen. And I guess from a personal point of view, I'm

New York State Archives--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, that--

3 CHRISTINE WARD: --you'll get us.

I will say we had a little bit of a tussle with our IT people who are State Ed IT, because they didn't initially understand the requirements of cultural organizations, versus the requirements of a bureaucratic organization. So initially we had to explain to them why we needed to, to have access to social networking sites, which the rest of the State Education Department does not. Once we got over that barrier, however, things opened up quite a bit, and we are able to function quite well. So, you know, it's two-sided. There are issues when you're part of a big organization. And you do have to deal with those. But primarily, I guess I would say that for us, it works well.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And how does that help? I know Mr. Lieberman was talking and he's so correct in terms of some of the technology issues. How are you addressing those with agencies, because we're all facing the same dilemma.

CHRISTINE WARD: [laughs] Yes.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And so how are you able to deal with those as, either as the Archives or just trying to get cooperation.

CHRISTINE WARD: Yes. Well, again, that actually is an issue that we deal with as the Archives. We do not, the State Education Department has no function that relates to records management. So there's a difference there between what's going on in New York and what we do. we, we do have responsibility for records management, including electronic records in New York State and, and we have authority to make sure that New York State agencies follow all records management precepts with regard to electronic records. Frankly, we work more closely with the Office for Technology and the State CIO, in a partnership kind of a way, on that issue, than we do with the rest of State Ed.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Mr.

Andersson, just in terms of some of the ways in which you think. I know you were concerned about the past and able to deal with getting more funding and so on. Do you think there is a role for a shared, as has sort of become the model

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right now, whether there's a sort of a shared service model taking place between DCAS and DORIS, do you think something like that could continue and enable DORIS to function as an independent agency at the same time? We're all trying to think of how to--everybody's goal is the same, the question is how do you get there?

BRIAN ANDERSSON: I think that the shared services could work. At the same time, you know, I'm confident of this team. I mean, Eileen and Ken and Leonora and everybody were my staff, they were fabulous. And going forward, they'll be there. But again, you express the same interest that in two-and-a-half years we don't know what the situation will be. We don't know who's going to be heading DCAS, if they're still going to call it that. So as an independent agency, again, as a Commissioner, I could always talk directly to another Commissioner, and get something done. Ιt was increasingly difficult because we were the, again the poor and dowdy stepsister. But it was hard to get things done from where we were. anything, I think, that can help the Department of Records as a standalone agency, get things done,

it's just a matter of will and it's a question of resources. I mean, Richard you got a great operation, but he's got some resources coming in, too. And it was always a question of that.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mr. Lieberman, can you just comment a little bit more, and I so appreciate your digitizing technology input, about how you think that should work in the future. I know the State's probably--we're all facing the same challenges.

RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Well, I think
we have to meet, we've talked about this, in fact.
We have to start talking to each other. We have
to start meeting with each other. I mean, the
people of DOITT and the, and the staff at DORIS.
And we've been, we've been talking about this
recently. We have to get together and start
figuring out what are the systems they have, what
are the, how are they indexed. You know, what's
the flow into the Archives? What's private?
What's public? I mean, that's the beginning
stages of—I mean, Eileen said it quite—I mean,
she was, you know, articulate about it. You know,
it's, it's talking to each other and figuring out

2	together what you have and how we're going to make
3	this work. It doesn't happen by memos or emails.
4	It really doesn't, this stuff is verywell, you
5	know, I mean you headed that area. This is very
6	complicated stuff. And in addition, you're
7	working with people who went into a field who were
8	more interested in science than English, I'll put
9	it, I'll put it that way. And so what I have
10	found with, with the IT people at CUNY is that you
11	really have to sit with them. Because when they
12	write you an email, you don't understand a word
13	they're saying. [laughter]
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mm-hmm, thank
15	you very much. I think you probably do, but I
16	appreciate that. [laughter] Henry, do you want
17	to add anything to that, in terms of the future?
18	HENRY STERN: Yes, I was going to
19	ask how much, how many people you had,
20	Commissioner Ward, in your agency, to perform
21	these functions on a State level?
22	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: He thinks he's
23	a Council Member still.
24	HENRY STERN: You're right.
25	[laughter]

Т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 69
2	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: How many
3	people do you have in your agency?
4	HENRY STERN: Yes.
5	CHRISTINE WARD: I have, I have 70.
6	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Can you just
7	speak into the microphone so we know. You have 70
8	people.
9	CHRISTINE WARD: I have 70 people.
10	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. And
11	Henry, go ahead, next question.
12	HENRY STERN: Yes. [laughter] I'm
13	not going to expectI have no, let me just
14	respond. First, I have no problem with shared
15	services. Whatever is efficient and whatever, and
16	the people are great. There also seems to be no,
17	there's no conflict here between the employees or
18	the agencies. There's no, everyone likes everyone
19	else, and respects the job, the heroic job, that
20	the people have done in the face of
21	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: [interposing]
22	They are heroic at DORIS.
23	HENRY STERN: Yeah, there's no,
24	there's no, when IDCAS has a problem with the
25	Green Book, but these guys are fine. Now, this is

2	what I want to say. What is needed, the core
3	thing that's needed, is an indepenis a
4	Commissioner, a Mayoral appointee, who has the
5	authority to reach other Commissioners, work with
6	the Deputy Mayor, and relay to other agencies on
7	that level, on a Commissioner level, not as a
8	Deputy somewhere down the line. And second, you
9	need an independent advisory board.
LO	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Mm-hmm.
11	HENRY STERN: The old advisory
L2	board was independent. This new one appointed by
L3	many people, this new one's a captive advisory
L4	board, consisting of retainers and employees.
15	RICHARD LIEBERMAN: Oy, that's me!
L6	[laughter]
L7	HENRY STERN: Well, you've been
18	retained, and it's a wonderful thing, I agree.
19	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: By the
20	Council, he's pointing out. Keep going, you're
21	right, Henry, though.
22	HENRY STERN: Yeah, there, but-
23	_
24	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You need some
25	more consumer on it, too, yes, I agree.

I'm sure you

CHAIRPERSON BREWER:

2	talk	about	technology	there,	а	lot,	yes?
---	------	-------	------------	--------	---	------	------

CHRISTINE WARD: Absolutely, all the time. And then our third advisory board is the State Historical Records Advisory Board, or SRAB, ever state has one. And they advise us on our services to historical records repositories around the State. So, it just, focusing on the different functions that we have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And they've all been, all been meeting, whenever they're supposed to meet.

CHRISTINE WARD: Yes, yeah, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Unlike ours.

CHRISTINE WARD: LGRAB, the Local Government Board, meets four times a year, that's statutorily required. The SRAB meets I think four times a year, sometimes three. And then we do also have a less obvious one, but we meet with the records management officials in state agencies, and we meet with them probably about two times a year, bringing them together most for training and discussion of common issues. So.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. So, I want to thank the entire panel for your input and

2	it was really, really helpful. And we're going to
3	call the next panel. But I thank you very, very
4	much. Thank you.

CHRISTINE WARD: Thank you for having us.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The Borough
Historian of The Bronx, Lloyd Ultan; Rachel
Chatalbash, who is with the Archives Roundtable,
and who's been extremely helpful; Lucinda Manning;
Gertrude Hutchinson; and Brian Keough. And we'll
add some more chairs to the panelist table.

[pause, background noise] Can the Sergeant help
with more chairs, if they need them? [pause,
background noise] Thank you all very much. Who
would like to begin? How about the Borough
Historian from The Bronx? Thank you.

is Lloyd Ultan, I am The Bronx Borough Historian.

Borough Historians are appointed public officials empowered by New York State Law to make recommendations to promote the establishment and improvement of programs to manage and preserve government and historical records. That is the purpose of my testimony here. My first

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inclination is to recommend against the transfer of functions of DORIS to the Department of Administrative Services. The work performed by DORIS and the functions of its personnel are so specialized an so unique, that it appears beyond the ability of the persons in charge and the usual personnel of the Department of Administrative Services, to adequately deal with them. temptation will always exist to financially starve the functions currently performed by DORIS to pay for the operations they already know how to perform and with which they are more comfortable. Nevertheless, we are told that the merger of these two agencies will save money vitally needed in these fiscally perilous times. Thus if the merger is to occur, steps must be taken by including in the bill affecting the merger provisions assuring that the unique functions of DORIS continue at its high professional level, and that they are adequately funded. To make sure that this happens, as Borough Historian I recommend one, that the merged agency be required to hire only archivists, records managers and librarians who hold academic degrees and have experience in their

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respective fields. The same requirement should be specified for all other specialized personnel, such as conservators and catalogers. Two, that it be required that the holdings of the Municipal Archives, Records and Library be stored only in facilities that are fireproof, and climate controlled, and in a manner consistent with professionally accepted national preservation standards. Three, that the bill require that all future appropriations for the merged department set aside a fixed percentage of its total to be used for the operations of the Municipal Archives, Records and Library. This percentage figure must be in the bill itself. The percentage number should be established by adding the total appropriations for DORIS, for all the years from 2006 and 2011, with a total appropriations for the Department of Administrative Services for the same years, and calculating what percentage of the combined total went to DORIS. That is the percentage number that should be specified in the I believe that these recommendations, if followed, will preserve and enhance the operations of DORIS under the merged agency. It will also

ensure that any verbal promises or commitments in letters, will not be forgotten over the years, as new administrators who would not be aware of these commitments replace those currently in charge. In this way we can be assured that the heritage of our past will be passed on to future generations, and that the legacy that you Council Members add to that heritage will continue through the centuries. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Who would like to go next?

RACHEL CHATALBASH: My name is
Rachel Chatalbash, I'm President of the Archivist
Roundtable of Metropolitan New York. And I would
like to thank City Council for the opportunity to
submit testimony on this subject. I'd like to
outline our primary concerns regarding the
proposed legislation at this time. First, records
agencies working as independent units have
provided better services than records agencies
working as part of larger, less specialized
departments. This has been proven through DORIS's
own history. For example, DORIS did not flourish
under the Municipal Services Administration where

it was placed by Mayor Lindsay in 1969. This
agency had priorities other than the support and
care of City records, such as maintaining
facilities and providing offices with supplies and
equipment. It was not until 1977 that DORIS
became a standalone agency, and its archives and
records management divisions began to expand and
function more effectively. In 1995, under
Giuliani's Administration, a proposal was put
forth to merge DORIS in the Department of General
Services. But was rejected by City Council
because the City's Administration was unable to
identify what kind of cost savings the mergers
would produce. To merge DORIS into an agency
devoted to services, such as facilities and real
estate, is a mistake, and one that has been
recognized and rectified on DORIS's behalf before.
To merge DORIS into the Department of Citywide
Administrative Services would be to ignore the
lessons demonstrated by history. The question of
whether a records department should be a
standalone agency or put under a larger umbrella
is not unique to the current debate. The National
Archives and Records Administration flourished

only after it was made independent from the U.S.
General Services Administration in 1985. Until
the introduction of the National Archives and
Records Administration Act, which secured the
National Archives' independence, the National
Archives was unable to make its case for the
skilled personnel and the resources it needed due
to lack of access to the Office of Management and
Budget or Congress, and was particularly
vulnerable to the political whims of each incoming
administration. Congress provided the National
Archives its independence to protect the agency
from continued neglect. The federal government's
support of the National Archives as an independent
records agency should set an example for records
agencies across the country. The second key issue
for consideration is that archivist and records
managers are skilled professionals with
specialized knowledge, education and training in
the evaluation of records, and the retention of
materials with historical importance. By merging
with a much larger and less specialized agency,
their unique skills and knowledge become devalued.
DORIS archivists are professionals with the

knowledge to appraise, process, preserve and
provide access to City records. In the past,
DORIS has met professional standards, and if
allowed, will continue to do so in the future.
Last and perhaps most importantly, the proposed
legislation includes no provisions for DORIS's
future, and no long term protection. DORIS's
future as the City's archives and records
management agency needs to be protected in the
proposed legislation. If DORIS is unable to
remain a distinct agency, and is merged into DCAS,
it would need to be guaranteed autonomy within
DCAS. Without the guarantee of autonomy, once
subsumed into a larger, less specialized
department, the potential for DORIS to be denied
an active role in the management of its budget,
personnel and records, is too great a risk. I
would like to remind the Council that in February
of 2002, City Council heard testimony from
archivists, historians, legal experts and others,
who protested the control of former Mayor
Giuliani's official papers by a private archives
facility. At this hearing, the Archivist
Roundtable called for full funding of DORIS as the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

best solution to the questions raised by the Giuliani records issue. DORIS did not in fact receive increased funding, but rather its budget has remained relatively flat and its staff has been cut dramatically. DORIS had 55 employees in 2001, which represents a reduction of nearly half from 1991, and has approximately 35 employees at the present. As a result, the Department's ability to serve the City of New York is compromised. This proposed merger has been presented to us as one that would provide DORIS with the resources and the services it is unable to access on its own. However, we must remember that it has been the City and the Mayor who have reduced DORIS's funding, and who now offer a solution that puts our City's history and our intellectual and cultural heritage at even greater risk. It is an incomplete solution to a problem created by this and past mayoral administrations in the first place. There is no assurance that DORIS as part of DCAS would have the staff, funding or mandate to fulfill its vital role. Archivists Roundtable of Metropolitan New York urges you to keep DORIS as an autonomous records

agency. DURIS must have its interests and
essential functions protected so that the value
and accessibility of its records will be a part of
the future of this City. The legislation that is
currently under consideration is therefore far
reaching in its impact, as it determines how our
historical and cultural legacy will be documented
and how access to these public records will be
maintained. These issues are critical to
transparency in government and to the continued
function of a democratic society. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very
much. And thank you for all your support and
involvement. Next.
LUCINDA MANNING: Yes, I'd like to
go next.
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Great.

Lucinda Manning. And I am a professional
librarian, archivist and records manager, and I've
worked here in the City for almost 30 years. I
moved here in 1983. I've worked in historical
societies, I've worked in college and university

archives, and I feel that I'm really qualified to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

analyze what the recommendation is here. So first of all, preserving public records, city records belong to the people. Over recent decades, the New York City Municipal Archives, DORIS, has been victimized by deplorable budget cuts that have crippled its legal mandate to appraise, protect and provide essential access to the City's public records in perpetuity. The City of New York has a legal responsibility to protect its citizens' rights. For example in regard to voting, property ownership, original wills, birth, marriage and death records, and various other kinds of irreplaceable public records. This legal responsibility of the City's government, to preserve public records and provide access to them, requires a sufficient number of trained professional necessary records processing space, adequate storage space to preserve records safely and securely, and enough funding to carry out a citywide records program. The City's lack of full support for DORIS's legal mandate to preserve public records represents a breach of trust as well as a false economy. The City of New York is not saving any money by providing such a low level

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of current support for DORIS, because it can't accomplish it's legal mandate to appraise City records; to protect all of its historical records, whether paper or electronic; to discard useless records; and to provide the necessary access to public records. Instead, the City is spending more taxpayer dollars on overwhelming DORIS staff that are spending more time trying to locate unorganized and inaccessible records, and it's spending money to store costly records that could be discarded once they have been properly evaluated by staff. In view of all of this, it is remarkable that the City is not proposing to increase DORIS's staff and funding, to enable it to actually accomplish its legal mandate regarding the City's public records; but instead, it is proposing that DORIS will be able to carry out its responsibilities as a small cog inside of a much larger agency, once again. In 1977, DORIS was created by Local Law 49, as individuals have mentioned earlier, which added a new Chapter 72 to the Charter of the City of New York. enabled DORIS to leave the gigantic Municipal Services Administration and act as an independent

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agency again. The change at that time consolidated the New York City Municipal Archives, the City Hall Library, Grant Administration Unit and the Municipal Records Management Division into on likeminded agency for records and information services. DORIS was increasingly successful after 1977, as the City recovered in those years. the gains were lost in later recessions and cutbacks. Over the past few decades, the City government continually stripped DORIS of the staff and resources it needed to keep up with a growing and more complicated citywide public records The City of New York did not step up to program. provide its legally mandated records agency with the full resources that it needed to do its job. Ironically, the primary argument for creating DORIS in Mayor John Lindsay's Administration during the '70s fiscal crisis, was of course to save money. Today, the same argument is used by some in the Administration to argue for DORIS losing its independence. In point of fact, there were no real cost savings realized in 1977, because DORIS was far too small for there to be any. And there will be no real cost savings today

б

if DORIS's charter is changed, because DORIS is
again far too small to realize any savings, and it
is already cut to the bone. In the comprehensive
annual financial report of the City Comptroller,
for Fiscal Year 2010, DORIS's total budget is
listed at \$1,236,410. So, earlier we heard that
it was \$5 million, but I haven't been able to find
that anywhere. And this is compared to DCAS, the
Department of Citywide Administrative Services
budget, which his \$349,546,721. So DORIS will be
completely dwarfed by DCAS, no savings will be
realized, and its mission critical to manage and
preserve the City's records will be seriously
compromised by lowered staff morale and further
unsustainable cuts.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I just want to say, if you don't mind summing up, because we have the testimony, so if you don't mind summing up.

LUCINDA MANNING: I know, I know.

I think this is really important though, to read into the record, and for everyone to hear, so I'll speak a little bit faster.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, but I'm saying, I don't want to hear the whole history

25

_	
2	'cause we do have a time constraint, so
3	LUCINDA MANNING: Okay, but the
4	early history throughout the 20th Century was a
5	triumph over the City's early neglect and ongoing
6	neglect and it was a miracle of survival against
7	all odds.
8	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, we know
9	that, though. I'm just saying, I, I appreciate
LO	what you're saying, and you could hear the concern
L1	in my voice. So
L2	LUCINDA MANNING: [laughs]
L3	CHAIRPERSON BREWER:if you could
L4	just summarize, that would be great.
L5	LUCINDA MANNING: Well, for the
L6	first hour we heard people speaking
L7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: 'Cause the
18	Administration always speaks first. So just try
L9	to summarize, if you can.
20	LUCINDA MANNING: I know. I know.
21	Barry Seaver [phonetic], who worked previously for
22	the Municipal Archives, has extensively researched
23	the beginnings of the New York City Municipal

Archives in 1914. And he outlines the growth

evolution and the official designation of the New

York City Municipal Archives records on June 30,
1952, and this was largely due to the persistence
and the relentless campaign of one determined New
York City public official, Rebecca Rankin, for the
founding of professional independent archives and
records agency for New York City. So originally
established in 1914 to help educate the City's
electorate and improve the decision making of
public officials, the municipal reference library,
unlike others created after the progressive era,
expanded its mission to include recordkeeping and
archival responsibility.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You really do need to sum up, though, try to sum up, see if you-really, please, 'cause of the time, we have got more people who want to testify. Okay? If we have+--all of your material goes into the record.

LUCINDA MANNING: I know, but I think it's important for people to hear this. And I'll be very fast.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Please summarize, I'm asking you please, okay.

LUCINDA MANNING: I know, I will, I will. Encountering indifference from City

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officials, Rebecca Rankin used her understanding of government operations, politics and public relations to convince these leaders of the need for municipal archives to preserve historic documents and the need for a system to manage and provide access to Departmental records. So in doing this, Rebecca Rankin furthered the development of the archival profession in the first half of the 20th Century. She worked as the Director of the Municipal Reference Library from 1920 to '52, 32 years; she worked with Mayor LaGuardia throughout his three terms, and she completed the transformation of the local government begun during the progressive era, from one based on partisan political considerations, including Tammany Hall political machine, to a more rational, expert oriented administration. As part of this process, she convinced Mayor LaGuardia and his successor, William O'Dwyer, to establish and archives as a division of the Municipal Reference Library. And clearly, although her primary goal was the preservation of historic documents, for practical and political reasons she developed a records management program

LUCINDA MANNING: I just want to say one more sentence, that I urge--

25 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: One more

24

2 sentence, okay, one more sentence.

today as City Council Members, and with the help of the City's Mayor and Administration, begin to take full financial responsibility for DORIS's public records mandate. There are many options, including increasing City revenues from agency chargebacks for records management, and one of your most important and fundamental obligations as public officials is the sacred duty to preserve and provide access to the City's public records.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.

LUCINDA MANNING: To document New

York City's - -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Ma'am, you really need to stop. Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. Next. Go ahead.

GERTRUDE HUTCHINSON: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: [off mic] Good afternoon.

GERTRUDE HUTCHINSON: My name is Gertrude Hutchinson, and I am here as the co-chair of the New York Archived Conference for 2011. And

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as such, it is my privilege to bring testimony on behalf of the NYAC membership. The preamble of the Society of American Archivists Code of Ethics for Archivists, states that the Code of Ethics for Archivists establishes standards for the archival profession, in introducing new members of the profession to these standards, reminding experienced archivists of their professional responsibilities, and to serve as a model for institutional policies. It is also intended to inspire public confidence in the profession. Archivists are skilled professionals, as we've heard, who cooperate with and respect their colleagues' institutions, donors and researchers who are generally other professionals, paraprofessionals, genealogists or students. Archivists follow the public mandate to strive to promote open and equitable access to their services, and the records in their care without discrimination or preferential treatment. And in accordance with legal, cultural sensitivities, and institutional policies. Archivists recognize the responsibility to promote the use of records as a fundamental purpose of the keeping of archives.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And archivists may place restrictions on access for the protection of privacy or confidentiality of information in the records. As noted in others' testimony this afternoon, archivists have professional and ethical responsibilities to advocate for their profession and the records for which they car. Because of their advanced education, archivists can assess a collection for its historical value now, and for future generations. Archivists have the responsibility to appraise, access, process, preserve and make records and collections available to the public. Archivists must have, also protect the privacy of their donors, whether individuals or groups, in a nonpartisan, nondiscriminatory way. Archivists must also be aware of the sensitive nature of some collections, and treat those collections with the same respect in a nonpartisan, nondiscriminatory way. When Mayor Bloomberg announced his recommendation that DORIS be absorbed into DCAS, and his appointment of Commissioner Edna Wells Handy, he gave the Commission--excuse me, he gave Commissioner Handy this charge: find new ways to do more with less, don't be afraid to take risks

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and seize opportunities to take initiatives. Given the current and projected economic conditions, Mayor Bloomberg's rationale for this legislative proposal is understandable. Given the significance of the records involved in this matter, NYAC strongly urges, irrespective of the disposition of the legislative proposals on this matter, that the essential components imperative to protect the integrity of the intended and necessary records management service be maintained. And these components include, and I will paraphrase for time: qualified staff, as has been previously noted, with the appropriate education; necessary resources for storage and maintenance to keep further damage or deterioration; fiduciary responsibility to make archival documents and records available to the public equitably, without bias; security and protection provisions; and developing strong collaborative relationships between the archival repositories and the public it serves. closing, NYAC advocates for the retention or preservation of historic and cultural records in whatever format they may be found. The staffing

2.

of the agencies that carry this out, excuse me,
carry out these tasks by individuals with the
appropriate professional training, the provision
of adequate resources to the agencies to allow
them to carry out their function, and equitable
access to records or cultural heritage documents.
Thank you again for this opportunity to share
NVAC'S vious

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Mr. Keough?

BRIAN KEOUGH: Thank you for inviting me to testify today. My name is Brian Keough, I represent the Mid-Atlantic Regional Archives Conference. I'm the New York Caucus Cahir for that organization, also known as MARAC. MARAC was founded in 1972, and is a regional volunteer consortium of over a thousand archivists, records managers, historians and librarians who live in the mid-Atlantic states. It includes close to 100 members from the City of New York who are MARAC members. Our mission at MARAC is to sustain and promote the archival community in the mid-Atlantic region, providing affordable conferences, education opportunities

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and by fostering collegiality, collaboration and professionalism in multiple venues. As has been noted, archivists and records managers possess specialized training and expertise, they work in local, state and federal governments, they work in colleges and universities, and multinational corporations, law firms, and many other organizations concerned with the accessibility authenticity and preservation of information. I'm here today to oppose the law as it's currently written on behalf of my MARAC members. We oppose the legislation because we believe that it, it's not in the public's best interest. We oppose the legislation also for the reasons that has been outlined by the Archivists Roundtable, and two key issues that I want to mention. One of the issues is the continued support and legislation for the preservation of government information and records. The New York State Archives which manages State government records and the national archives, which manages federal government records, have demonstrated quite clearly and convincingly, that records management agencies working as independent units provide better and

more efficient services than record agencies 2 working as part of a larger, less specialized 3 agency. This has clearly been defined actually in 4 5 about a 15 year period in the early '70s, mid-'70s, through about the '80s, when there was 6 concern over transparency, accountability, and providing public access to records. This was 9 spurred on by Watergate, by the, by what Richard Nixon did, it led to the Presidential Records Act, 10 11 it led to the autonomous nature of DORIS, it led 12 to the State Archives, in 1987 being given a 13 larger role in managing government records in 14 agencies. This has clearly been proven in the 15 scholarly literature. Again Rachel mentioned the 16 National Archives being freed in 1985 from the 17 General Services information, that this is a 18 failed strategy for strengthening access to 19 government records. And this is primarily why, 20 why MARAC opposes this. WE also are very 21 concerned with providing legislation to ensure 22 DORIS's long term sustainability and growth. 23 support legislation that would do that and we look 24 to the City of New York and its residents for 25 providing legislation that would actually

2.

strengthen DORIS. So as I said, MARAC does not
support this legislation as its currently written
and we ask that you pass legislation that
considers the preservation and access to New York
City's records as an autonomous record agency.
Thank you.

much. We've been joined by the very great Domenic Recchia, who's a colleague, Brooklyn, and head of the Finance Committee. So he's going to provide all the funding that will make DORIS and go from 35 to 55 members, like it was a few years ago.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Sounds good. I'm sorry I am late, I was busy working on the budget. So. [laughter]

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We're just teasing, but he's a very fabulous Finance Chair.

Rachel, I have a question. I know you've been meeting with different members of your community and I really appreciate the work that you put into this thought process. We heard from the State, and you've obviously, you and Brian in particular, I think have met with others around the country.

We had one model with the State as part of State

Ed but very much autonomous. And I guess my
question to both of you is, do you see, and you
know, I have a lot of concerns about this merger,
I've made it incredibly clear to everybody I can
think of. But maybe even DCAS is the wrong
merger; State Ed is really different than DCAS, as
an example. So, my question is, can you list, as
you, I think you have, some of the absolute
necessities that would have to be for, either as
an autonomous DORIS or a semi-autonomous DORIS, if
there was some merger, some really bottom line
issues. We heard qualified, we heard budget, but
you know, there's a lot more I think thanand you
could be perhaps more specific, because of your
experience around the country. And anybody else,
go ahead, Rachel, if you want to

RACHEL CHATALBASH: Well, I think the issue that we've come up against as a community is that we're not sure that there is a way that DORIS's autonomy can be secured within DCAS. And if there were a way, I think that as a community we would be happy to support it. But it would have to be guaranteed. And we've been searching, we've been looking at, you know,

various advisory boards, oversight committees.

You know, is there a way that we could protect

DORIS in the future, and we haven't come up with

a, a good solution.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Brian, what goes on around the country? Mid-Atlantic wise or, I mean, I'm obviously in agreement with what you're stating, but I'm just, I'm just trying to get more facts. In some of the other states, are some of the other representatives who contribute, are there other models that work, or are there some models that don't work?

BRIAN KEOUGH: Well, I think New
York State is a good model. I mean, it's not that
New York State Archives and Libraries is without
its economic and budget challenges, but I think
being in a agency like the State Education

Department, where they're with likeminded partners
like the New York State Library and the New York
State Museum, and other agencies that are focused
on education, I think there's less of a, you know,
this is why we need to buy acid free boxes, this
is why we need to do this. There's less of that
advocating when there's a trust that, "Okay, the

State Archives, we can give you the autonomy to	
work with agencies with their records, the set	
scheduling." The New York State, the National	
Archives was in a very similar situation, they	
were given added layers of bureaucracy when they	
were put under the General Services	
Administration, there were decades spent by	
historians and archivists that would try to get	
them out and ironically it was Richard Nixon and	
some of the things that he tried to do with his	
papers and records, that spurred a great public	
interest and outcry in, again, transparency	
through public information, accountability throug	h
public information, and in that period of the '70	s
and '80s, you see a whole transformation of state	
and the national government creating archives and	
libraries as autonomous or semi-autonomous	
agencies. You know, there's much in the	
literature about the national archives and what	
they went through post-World War II, up and	
through, till 1985, when they were given	
autonomous status.	

LUCINDA MANNING: I'd just, I'd just like to respond really quickly. I appreciate

the questioning that you have undertaken here in
this hearing, I think it's been excellent. And I
think you've raised a lot of good points about
your concern for this merger. I would go back to
what, you know, Rachel said about the absolutely
essentials in terms of having a well-functioning,
well run DORIS, is that you need sufficient staff,
you need all of the resources that can be passed
along by mayoral administrations and by city
government, and just clearly DORIS has been
starved over the decades. And so, we don't even
have to look at other cities' history or MARAC
institutions, we can look at our own history and
we can see that it took 32 years for Jeanette, for
Rebecca Rankin to actually have a unified records,
archives and library, and then to have it changed
in '69 by Mayor Lindsay, and after eight years
reinstated an independent agency, and then slowly
through budget cuts and recessionary measures
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, I got
many of my colleagues weren't born then, just so
you know.

LUCINDA MANNING: Yeah, okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member

2 Domenic Recchia was. Council Member Recchia.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: I was just going to start asking some questions about the money part of it. Well, so, it's very interesting, my question. So, when you say DORIS was starved, it was, how much was it underfunded and how, like, it got, how much bad was it cut--

don't have those figures, but I do know that from what is said here today and what I know from having been an Archivists Roundtable member since 1983, that the staffing in the last ten-twelve years has gone from 55 to 35 and before that we had a 100. I've had many, many friends work in DORIS, and I consider myself friends of the people who work there now, professional colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Rachel, you may know, do you have any, or Borough Historian from The Bronx, Lloyd, do you know? Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: So, if this merger goes through, how do we know that it won't get hurt even more, 'cause people think that it can be picked up at the other end. We don't know that.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah.	Lloyd,
do	you have any sense of how the Borough	
Pr	resident's historians, how you use it, an	id how
Ϋ́	ou answered some of the questions earlier	, maybe
УС	ou could help	

LLOYD ULTAN: Yeah--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: --there's a great historian from Brooklyn, as we know, who's the Borough Historian, so go ahead.

LLOYD ULTAN: Yeah. Well, I've, I've used the archives for various bits of information, trying to find out from various collections over there, certain things that have happened in the City relating to The Bronx. number of people have asked me for photographs, and I said the, you know, the Municipal Archives has a tremendous photographic collection, especially if they want to see a particular building that they happen to know that comes from about 1940 or so. Well, there is a photograph that was taken of it, every single building in the City of New York was photographed at that time. People who are looking for genealogical research, I would refer them to the Municipal Archives.

Because it is such a great repository of material.
And to me it is, for its purpose, unsurpassed.
But it has to be taken care of. The material has
to be preserved, it has to be made available to
the public, and if the money is taken away from
it, considering, you know, almost mindlessly or
unknowingly that, "Well, gee, you know, just like
anything else, you can get rid of another
janitor," it's not quite the same, because you
have people there who have specific talents and
specific education and specific needs that you
don't find in other agencies. And it's these
people that have got to be kept, and new ones
coming through the pipeline to replace them as
they, as they grow elderly. Because without that,
you don't have the services and you don't have the
stuff preserved that the services are there to
provide.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Do you want to ask something else, or--?

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yeah, no,

I was, I just wanted to tell Chairman Gale Brewer,
who's doing a great job with this Committee, I
think we really have to look at the numbers and

the funding, because I'm a little bit
uncomfortable with what I'm hearing and I'm not
really sureI wasn't here for the whole hearing,
so I'll have to talk to you more in depth about
it, but it sounds to me this is one place where if
you really don't fund it properly, you're not
going to be able to keep up with the records, no
matter who you merger it with, that there has to
be a certain funding level that it cannot go
beneath that funding level. And I just don't
know, I'm going to ask my Finance staff who's here
today, towe got to figure out, what is that
funding level? Because it sounds to me that,
she's shaking her head, that that's a big problem.
That you can merge all you want and put it
together, but if, if you don't have a level, then
the whole program can't be supportive and work as
it should be. 'Cause one of the areas, what I
don't want to see happen is that the records are
only open to the public one day a week, for three
hours. Okay? That's not what we want to see
happen. I have a big issue.

BRIAN KEOUGH: In a sense, that's what happened at the National Archives. National

So, and

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Archives were created in the 1930s, and it was 3 moved over--

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Brian, pull it towards you, the mic.

BRIAN KEOUGH: Sorry. And it was moved over in 1949 to the Municipal Services Administration, where it floundered. When it eventually was given autonomous nature, and the Archivist of the United States reports directly to the United States President, he has the President's ear, you see the building of Archives II, you see the growth and success of the Presidential Library System, which didn't exist as it does today, when you go to the LBJ Library, the JFK Library, this didn't exist until the National Archives was autonomous. You see the National Archives, the exhibits in their building, millions of people go to see these exhibits. This did not happen in the '50s, '60s and '70s, because it was not an autonomous agency, it did not have the authority and it did not have the two ears of the President to go right directly to the person with the purse strings.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 12
2	millions of people don't come to see our archives.
3	BRIAN KEOUGH: And they should.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: They
5	should, no, I
6	BRIAN KEOUGH: Absolutely. I mean,
7	this is
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: That's
9	what I'm, you know, you just brought
LO	BRIAN KEOUGH: This is in the
11	history of Clifford Heights, Pennsylvania, where I
L2	grew up, but you know, outside of Philly. This is
L3	New York City.
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: I'm glad
L5	you woke up, you came to a big City. [laughter]
L6	But
L7	BRIAN KEOUGH: I mean, this is,
L8	that's why I compare it to the experience of the
L9	National Archives. It's not like comparing
20	Freehold, New Jersey, you know, to the National
21	Archives. This is one of the greatest cities in
22	the universe.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: But you
24	brought up a very good point. We should really
25	sit down

2	BRIAN KEOUGH: It's not just the
3	funding, it's the authority to be able to discuss
4	with the people who control the purse strings.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: And maybe
6	we should bring some of those records out to the
7	public and have them on display, and more people
8	would come in and pay a little feet to get to see
9	them. And then we could help get us some money so
LO	we could do more. All right, I
11	LUCINDA MANNING: Could I ask a
12	quick question? What is the current budget of
L3	DORIS? Do you know that figure?
L4	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: It's in, we
15	have it here.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: We have
L7	it.
L8	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We have it
L9	here. We have it, yeah.
20	LUCINDA MANNING: What is it?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: About \$4.9
22	million.
23	LUCINDA MANNING: Okay, but
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Lionel's
25	right here, my Finance person on the, you know,

go, go ahead, who would ever like the start.

25

2	MCKELDEN SMITH: I'd like to start
3	because I have another appointment that I
4	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Go right
5	ahead.
6	MCKELDEN SMITH:I need to get
7	to. My name is McElgin Smith and I'm the
8	President of the New York Genealogical and
9	Biographical Society. Thank you for inviting me.
LO	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
11	MCKELDEN SMITH: The comments I had
L2	planned to make are, I'd say, 60 percent
L3	redundant, so I'm going to skip them.
L4	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
15	MCKELDEN SMITH: But I do want to
L6	summarize the four main points I wanted to make
L7	and then focus on a point that I don't think has
L8	been made yet. The four main points I wanted to
19	make are as follows. First, family history has
20	very broad appeal and is not just for scholars and
21	people descending from leading families of New
22	York. Many thousands of New Yorkers from all
23	walks of life are working on their family
24	histories. The second point I was going to make,
25	and won't pursue in detail is this: this very

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

large constituency regards the Municipal Archives as essential to their success and for very good The information that the Municipal Archives contains is fundamental to genealogical The third point is that there is a short work. list of critical factors that will determine whether the Municipal Archives of New York City will be able to meet the needs of this genealogical constituency. These factors have to do with accessibility, professional management and the planned growth of the resource. And the fourth point I wanted to make is that professional public records management is a hallmark of a great City. So I'm going to now just cover the first point, and leave the other three as they've been very eloquently described by others. Let me address the broad appeal of genealogy and the size of the genealogical constituency in New York City. The New York Genealogical and Biographical Society was founded in 1869 and is New York's largest genealogical society. We have 10,000 members and subscribers. We public two quarterly journals including The Record, which has been in continuous publication since 1870. We maintain a growing

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eLibrary online, and we offer wide ranging educational programs as part of the New York Family History School. The mission of the G&B, as we call it, is to help people of all backgrounds discover their family histories and find meaning by placing their own family's experiences in the broader context of American history. The mission statement underscores the fact that people working on their family histories come from all walks of life, all ethnic backgrounds, all socioeconomic categories. They are of all ages. People working on their family histories find the process intensely meaningful, because their primary purpose is to find out the truth about where they came from and relate that the bigger historical context. We work in close partnership with the New York Public Library to produce free family history programs. And also we work with the Library to train teachers to use family history in the classrooms. Family history brings local history to life and teaches research and writing skills at the same time. This fall, with the New York Public Library, we will launch a series of free public programs to help adults in the branch

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

libraries build their research skills. I don't mention these things just to brag about the G&B or the New York Public Library. It's very important for you to know that genealogy is not an esoteric field pursued by a limited number of specialists. Genealogy is among the most popular and widely pursued hobbies and special interests in America. A very large subset of people who express interest actually do pursue it with a very real sense of purpose. Here are three data points which bring it to life. You've all heard of ancestry.com, which is a family history website. It has 1.4 million paid subscribers. Subscribers grew 31 percent in 2010 versus 2009. This statistic attests to the size and growth rate of this category. Second, at the Research Library of the New York Public Library on 42nd Street, 25 percent of the people doing research in that building are working on family histories. That's one in four patrons. There is no other category of scholarly pursuit at the New York Public Library more significant in terms of the number of people doing it, than genealogy. And third, as has already been mentioned, NBC is currently running a series

of genealogical programs on Friday nights called
"Who Do You Think You Are?" The national audience
for this highly rated program is about six million
viewers per episode. [laughs] Eventually, all of
the people who are exposed to these programs and
decide afterwards to pursue their family history
will end up at the Municipal Archives. That's
because this resource is not only useful, it is
essential. Births, deaths, marriages, divorces,
probate records, land records, other City based
records, including photographs, are foundations of
good family history research. This has been true
in the past, and regardless of the evolution of
genealogy as a discipline in the internet age, it
will always be true. And I'm going to end there,
because you have heard already what else I was
going to say. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You're wonderful, thank you. Who wants to go next?

TERI KOCH-BOSTIC: Good afternoon.

My name is Teri Koch-Bostic, I'm here today representing the Association of Professional Genealogists. I'm the Chapter Representative for the New York Metro Chapter. We have over 200

members, most of whom are specialists in records
pertaining to New York City and various
ethnicities of New York City. We have over 2,500
members nationally, and most of them are also
actively involved in using New York records.
Because of the nature of our work, there may be no
other single group outside of the New York City
government, who every day utilize various records
and holdings of DORIS than the members of the
Association of Professional Genealogists. For
this reason, we want to go on record and urge that
DORIS not be merged with DCAS. We support the
entire list of concerns and recommendations
already provided with the April 13th document
submitted by the Archivists Roundtable. Rather
than take away autonomy from DORIS, we feel this
Committee should recommend that a strategic plan
be developed with members of DORIS, not DCAS,
DORIS, plus representatives of the Archivists
Roundtable and other key members of the local
genealogical, historical and university
communities, plus New York City and company. And
I'll get to that in a minute. A new strategic
plan for DORIS would put into action, the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendations from the Archivists Roundtable document, and it would be a call to action for a practical plan to finally modernize this archival resource with DORIS's assets and to improve public access and preserve and safeguard its holdings. Notice I used the word "assets" when I described DORIS's holdings. Because these holdings are not to be thought of as a bunch of dusty boxes and files to be managed in a housekeeping fashion by DCAS; but rather, assets that can inform us for generations to come that need to be more easily accessed so they can enrich the story and the history of one of the greatest cities ever created. If these assets are properly utilized and marketed, we can have a lot of returns. the type that come from scholarship and from finance. These assets are so important and irreplaceable to the people and history of this City, this merger would not be unlike asking DCAS to run the Metropolitan Museum of Art. That is the level of riches that DORIS's holdings have, not only for the people of this great City, but everywhere. While New York City has not yet suffered a devastating fire, like the one at the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York State Archives 100 years ago, there have been floods and leaks and vermin that have destroyed records. An untold wealth of New York's history has already been destroyed in the capital fire. We can't let this happen to New York City. These records serve not only to tell the stories of Mayors and governments, but of corporations built here, charities, almshouses, foundling hospitals, stories of buildings, street names, grand architecture, saloons and pubs and glorious restaurants where both our working classes and our upper crust gathered for respite for centuries. These records hold the interests of people well beyond our City limits, people come from all over the world to do work here. Leonora Gidlund, Director of the Archive, and her staff, have hosted Professor Steven Robertson and Shane White, of the University of Sydney Australia for nearly every summer for years, in the basement of the There, Professors Robertson and White Archive. have poured over thousands of New York City original records and manuscripts. Robertson used district attorney records to create "Crimes Against Children, " a study of the prosecution of

sexual violence in New York City from 1880 to
1960. This work has been heavily published in
journals all over the world, including the Journa
of Social History, the Journal of the History of
Medicine in Allied Sciences, and the Loran Histor
Review. He's won numerous awards. His partner,
Shane White, has published a number of prize
winning books on blacks in the 18th and 19th
Century in New York City. These are gentlemen
coming from Australia to write our history. He
has, he is currently working on "The Making of
Black Manhattan," and ethnographic study of black
culture from 1810 to 1860. Together with other
colleagues from the University of Sydney, they
have now won the 2011 ABC Clio Online History
Award for "Digital Harlem."
CHAIRPERSON BREWER: [off mic] Let
me just [on mic] I want to thank Council
Member Erik Dilan for being here. I'm sorry, kee
going, I apologize.

COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: [off mic]
Well, since you did that, I feel inclined to state
my position on the bill.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Sure, go

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TERI KOCH-BOSTIC: That's okay. As I said, these professors have won the 2011, this is a very prestigious award, the ABC Clio Online History Award for "Digital Harlem: Everyday Life, 1915 to 1930." And I urge everyone to take a look at this website. They also won the 2010 American Historical Association's Innovation in Digital History with "Black Metropolitans, Harlem 1915 to 1930." It's been called, and I quote, "A new approach to sharing historical materials that will contribute to the new historical interpretations and ways of understanding the Harlem Renaissance." These are the kinds of things we should be helping to drive, partnering and making happen here, with our own materials. Another interesting project

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that Leonora helped with, is "The Soundscapes of Modernity, by Emily Thompson, a professor of history at Princeton. This book tracks early 20th Century sound, what people heard and how they listened. From the archive basements again, came recordings of barkers on Coney Island, church bells, early radio collections, Department of Health records and photos on hearing and testing, symphony hall architecture and the beginning of acoustic tile. Professor Thompson wove all of these beautiful pieces of information together, to explain how and why technology has modified our taste in how we hear. And a quick aside on the light side, Lionel Train Historian, Robert Osterhoff of Wildwood, Missouri, conducted research on Lionel Trains, designed and produced here for years in New York City, and wrote a book called "Inside the Lionel Trains Fun Factory." And this is not just about producing trains, but it's the story of one of the earliest American manufacturing conglomerates in the United States. My personal favorite, born from the assets of DORIS, is a beautiful coffee book called the "New York City Museum of Complaint," edited by Matthew

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bakkom. Bakkom, also a willing treasure hunter in the basement, went down to the dark depths of archives, and he reviewed thousands and thousands of complaint letters written to the New York City Mayor's offices going back to the 1700s. In the thousands of boxes, one thing rings true in just reading a few of the letters in the coffee book: personal expression flourishes here, whether it be a letter in defense of street musicians, battles with police, smells, dead animals, pushcart peddlers blocking the streets, or anger at public servants, it is hard to tell if the letter was written in 1797 or 20011. These are the kind of projects we need to continue to encourage the utilization of DORIS's assets for research, scholarship, and we need to find private donors to partner with so we can have grant money, and we can continue to write the history of this City. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Can you start to sum up, maybe talk about your wonderful ideas

with NYC & Company.

TERI KOCH-BOSTIC: Yes, okay. Okay. One, one more thing is that, well we, we talk a lot about the importance of the mayoral

collection, the government collection. As	
McKelden alluded to, it's really the stories of	
the millions of individuals who came here, some	
escaping many difficult hardships, and settled	
here with hardly anything but the clothes on the	eir
back. It is for them and their strength and	
optimism that we need to respect every little	
record of their existence. And that little rec	ord
may be a City directory, a tax list, a probate	
file, or a death record. But those things are	as
important or more, than the mayoral records,	
because they are the story of the people who bu	ilt
this City. As McKelden said, access to family	
records is becoming more and more important, and	d
it's important for you to know that the	
Association of Professional Genealogists, we tra	ack
access across the United States. It is not	
becoming less open, it's becoming more open. Ye	эu
have California and Texas, who are heavily	
digitizing records and actually you can even buy	Y
them online, you don't even have to mail away for	or
it. I think it's very obvious we have a, an	
educated, upwardly mobile, and a population with	h
disposable income who are heavily interested in	

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this. There, a lot of them are retired and they have the time and the income to travel. We know that New York City has more records of people who have roots in the United States than anywhere else. 83 percent of Americans came through the Port of New York. 40 percent living today came and have a relative that went through Ellis Island. And we have those records. So the idea is, is that DORIS needs to have a marketing plan, not DCAS, but DORIS, that should be developed with the, with the help of George Fertitta and his staff at NYC & Company, to attract genealogy related tourism. This is, hasn't even been discussed, it is a huge opportunity to use New York City as a genealogy destination. We could be much better than the Family History Library in Salt Lake City, which is totally built around genealogy as a destination. We need to partner with the National Archives, the New York Public Library, the New York Genealogical Society, the Brooklyn Historical Society, and we can really make a go of this. Then we need to actively solicit genealogy and historical societies all over the U.S. and encourage their members to plan

an individual or a group trip, and use the City's
resources. And while they're here researching,
they might as well catch dinner and a show. It
really works terrific for us in every way. If we
recognize the treasures that are hidden away in
DORIS, we can really bolster New York City's
tourism in a new strategic way. This is the kind
of investment that we need, to bring DORIS up to
snuff. Mayor Bloomberg is a financial man, he
needs to understand we need investment, and then
we'll give him return on investment. If we get to
a place where we can really get the visitor center
and more access to records because right now we
can bring people to the visitor center, but we
can't easily access the records. So, we can't
move too fast in one direction, without thinking
through the implications of people being
frustrated of coming here. So it's really a very
practical, pragmatic plan that we need, that goes
step-by-step, and you need to mine the community
of genealogists, archivists and even
businesspeople.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. That was really comprehensive, and I

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 appreciate it.

TERI KOCH-BOSTIC: You're welcome.

4 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Who would like

to go next?

I will. Hi, my name CYNTHIA DOTY: is Cynthia Doty, and I'm here as a member of the Park West Neighborhood History Group. And I'd like to read a statement that was written by one of our creators, our founder, Winifred Armstrong, from the Park West Neighborhood History Group. "We are an enthusiastic part of the constituency for the work of the Municipal Archives, and they have served us well. Whether they remain at DORIS or become part of DCAS, please keep them open, accessible, professional and funded. We are a neighborhood history organization on the Upper West Side of Manhattan, and here are a few of the ways that we have used and related to the Municipal Archives over our ten years of work. We've used their information in scores of presentations that we have organized on and in our neighborhood, and about the City's history of services and government, for hundreds of aficionados. We've drawn on their history of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

buildings and neighborhoods for the dozens of walks that we have organized with the Columbus Amsterdam BID, for hundreds if not thousands of people from all over the City, and tourists from overseas. We've referenced them in the neighborhood history collection, which we have established at the Bloomingdale Branch of the New York Public Library on 100th Street. We've searched out sources in the exhibits that they have, that we have organized at the local Bloomingdale Branch of the New York Public Library and in other venues. We've included them as a link on our website, upperwestsidehistory. - -.com. And sent New York History Day students and others to them, all were well served. We asked them to track a newspaper photo of a water tower that we found from the 1920s, which they did, locating the original in a glass slide recently turned up by the archivists at the New York City Department of Environmental Protection. And they gave us permission to publish it in our history brochure of Park West Village, which is enclosed and credited. And this type of thing has become very valuable to those of us in the neighborhood who

2	are interested in what our neighborhood looked
3	like 100 years ago. 1879, so I guess that's a
4	little more than that. And we've also had the
5	privilege of touring the archives and received an
6	illuminating and instructive briefing on how they
7	work and how we, as a neighborhood history
8	organization, can work better. We've received
9	awards for our work from Community Board Seven,
10	from Goddard Riverside Community Center, from the
11	Manhattan Borough President, and from our Assembly
12	Member Daniel O'Donnell. Our work has been both
13	grounded an enhanced by the Municipal Archives and
14	its staff. We urge you to please keep them
15	strong.
16	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very
17	much, Cindy. Would anybody else like to speak?
18	Has anybody else going to, do you want to
19	FEMALE VOICE: [off mic] Actually,
20	I'm a pinch hitter.
21	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.
22	[laughter] You want to be pinch hitting or you
23	just want to answer questions.
24	MCKELDEN SMITH: She's a colleague

of mine, we - -

24

2	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Oh, great,
3	okay. Thank you all very much. First of all,
4	those are extraordinarily good suggestions. And I
5	think you heard, unbeknownst to me, my colleague
6	with his concerns, and the fact that he wasn't
7	here long doesn't indicate that there's not a lot
8	of interest. And I think what we'll do as time
9	goes on is sit down with staff. And this is a
10	topic that I think is dear to everyone's heart,
11	and in this room and beyond, and we're going to
12	think very clearly and long term about how to
13	address it. So, I thank all of you very much.
14	Okay?
15	PANEL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Oh, with that,
17	this hearing is adjourned. The topic does not go
18	away, so the topic is not adjourned, but this
19	hearing has ended. And I, again, I thank everyone
20	from the Administration to all of those who are
21	part of the Roundtable and other groups for their
22	participation. Thank you.

[gavel]

[background noise]

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date May 13, 2011