CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----X

April 27, 2011 Start: 1:19 pm Recess: 3:28 pm

HELD AT: Committee Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

BEFORE:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

James Vacca Daniel R. Garodnick David G. Greenfield

G. Oliver Koppell

Darlene Mealy

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Deborah L. Rose

James G. Van Bramer

Peter A. Koo Eric A. Ulrich

APPEARANCES

David Yassky Commissioner NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Ray Scanlon Deputy Commissioner NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Ashwini Chhabra Deputy Commissioner NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Victor Salazar Taxi driver

Beresford Simmons Taxi driver

Bhairavi Desai Executive Director New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Guy B. Palumbo Executive Director Livery Round Table

Mohan Singh Taxi driver

Richard Thaler New York, New York

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'd like to
welcome everyone to today's hearing of the
Committee on Transportation. I'm James Vacca,
committee chair.

Today, we have two items on the agenda. First, we will be voting on Proposed Intro 458-A, sponsored by Council Member Dan Garodnick. This bill will increase transparency to the public regarding street closures and parking regulations. It will require an interactive map be provided, to the public on the internet, free of charge that shows all permitted and approved street closures, as well as all parking regulations.

After the hearing we held on April 5th on this bill, we have worked with Council Member Garodnick to revise the bill and to make sure that it is all-inclusive. I thank Council Member Garodnick for all his work putting this bill together, and to the Administration for working with us on the version we will be voting on today.

Second, we're holding out first hearing on Intro 521, which was introduced at the

Mayor's request and is being sponsored by myself and Council Member Debi Rose. I would like to thank Council Member Rose for her advocacy on this issue, and the Mayor for submitting the important piece of legislation.

The bill raises the maximum fines for four violations of law: for refusing to take a passenger to any destination within the five boroughs, for requesting the location of where a passenger wishes to go prior to that passenger entering a taxi, for overcharging a passenger and for illegally accepting a street hail.

The current maximum penalty for all four violations is \$350 for the first offense and \$500 for the second. The third offense can lead to revocation of a license. Under the proposed bill, the maximum penalty would increase to \$500 for the first offense and \$1,000 for the second offense. The third offense would still lead to possible revocation but drivers would also be fined \$1,000.

Much of what this bill discusses today, or much of what this bill entails, revolves around the issue of taxi drivers refusing to take

people to other parts of the City of New York. W
cannot tolerate people being refused a taxi ride
based on where they live or based on what they
look like. These bills will increase the
penalties for anyone who thinks that those who
live in boroughs other than Manhattan are second
class citizens. We're not going to tolerate any
type of behavior, and I think the Council, by
hearing this bill and hopefully voting at a later
date, will certainly be heard on this matter.

I look forward to the discussion today. Increasing fines won't get us anywhere unless we have increased enforcement. Many of the questions we will be asking the Taxi and Limousine Commission today will revolve around enforcement concerning illegal practices, which this Council will not tolerate.

I will first ask if any Council

Members wish to be heard on Proposed Intro 458-A,

Council Member Garodnick's bill. Council Member

Garodnick, would you like to make a statement?

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank

you, Mr. Chairman. I will be extremely brief. I

know the committee has a long agenda today. I

б

just want to thank you and the committee for hearing this bill and spending the appropriate amount of time on it. This is the bill, of course, to create the interactive map to display anticipated street closures, as well as parking rules, for each street.

We made a few changes to the bill since the hearing, which I think will make it better and stronger. What we really want is for New Yorkers to have an opportunity to know the information for their own community, which is known by the city, the permits that are issued, the street fairs that exist, the filming that is taking place, and of course, the parking regulations on any street in any borough as they exist today.

So this is a very practical bill and one which allow people to find the activity when they want to or avoid it when they don't. So I thank you Chair Vacca for your support as well as the Speaker and all my colleagues on the committee. I encourage you to support it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,

,	7		

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 7
2	Council Member Garodnick. The clerk will please
3	call the roll, and the chair is recommending a yes
4	vote.
5	WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martin,
6	Committee Clerk, roll call vote in the Committee
7	on Transportation, Proposed Introduction 458-A.
8	Council Member Vacca?
9	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Votes aye.
10	WILLIAM MARTIN: Koppell?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Aye.
12	WILLIAM MARTIN: Garodnick?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank
14	you. Aye.
15	WILLIAM MARTIN: Rodriguez?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
17	WILLIAM MARTIN: Rose?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye.
19	WILLIAM MARTIN: Van Bramer?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.
21	WILLIAM MARTIN: Koo?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye.
23	WILLIAM MARTIN: By a vote of seven
24	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no
25	abstentions, item is adopted. Members, please

2 sign the Committee Report. Thank yo	2	Thank you	Report.	Committee	the	sign	2
---------------------------------------	---	-----------	---------	-----------	-----	------	---

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: We make note of that vote and we will keep the record open for members who have not arrived yet.

I would now like to have the Taxi and Limousine Commission come forward.

Commissioner Yassky is here. Commissioner Yassky will be testifying relative to the administration's position on Intro 521, which deals with mandatory penalties for taxicab and other drivers who engage in refusal, also, by the way, there are increases in fines for overcharging or asking the destination of a driver before the driver gets into the cab.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Passenger.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, I'm sorry. We included in this legislation increasing the fine to drivers who ask a passenger where they live before the passenger gets in the cab because of our view that that often leads to refusals. That is another way we want to attack this refusal problem.

Before going any further, let me introduce the members of the Council who are here,

б

my colleagues. Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer
from Queens, Peter Koo from Queens, Dan Garodnick
from Manhattan, Ydanis Rodriguez from Manhattan,
myself, Council Member Debi Rose from Staten
Island, Council Member Oliver Koppel from the
Bronx. Commissioner Yassky?

DAVID YASSKY: Good afternoon,

Chairman Vacca and members of the City Council

Committee on Transportation. I am David Yassky,

Chairman of the Taxi and Limousine Commission. I

am joined here by Deputy Commissioner Ray Scanlon

and Deputy Commissioner Ashwini Chhabra.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today regarding Intro 521, which would increase penalties for yellow-cab service refusals, yellow-cab overcharges, and For-Hire Vehicle street hails. The Taxi and Limousine Commission and the Administration strongly support these changes. We are grateful to Council Members Vacca and Rose for introducing and sponsoring the bill.

As you and all New Yorkers know, the City's yellow taxis are an internationally recognized symbol. Taxis are a key part of our

City's transportation network, and provide over half a million trips for visitors, residents, and commuters each day. New Yorkers depend on taxis to pick up groceries, make it to an afternoon meeting, or enjoy a night out on the town. Our City's taxi industry makes this service available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, year-round in snow, sleet, heat and rain on New York City streets.

However, yellow-taxi street hail service is mostly unavailable beyond the East River. Residents in Brooklyn, Queens, the Bronx, Staten Island, and even parts of upper Manhattan do not enjoy this convenience, and also face difficulties hailing a ride from Manhattan to the other boroughs, or indeed, to northern Manhattan.

This is not acceptable. TLC Rules and the City's Administrative Code state that any taxi passenger must be taken anywhere in the five boroughs when they want to. A taxi passenger has the right to go to any destination in New York City, and that includes Brooklyn, Queens, the Bronx and Staten Island.

We know service that refusals where drivers refuse to pick up a passenger are an

increasing problem in the City's yellow-cab industry, with more than 500 complaints just in March alone, this past month. The TLC has made it a priority to enforce regulation against to refuse service and we are thankful that this Committee and the Council as a whole are acting so speedily to consider this legislation.

We have increased on-street enforcement. We have resources focused on this issue. We have partnered with Baruch College for more effective enforcement. Students, this Baruch, and we may be expanding this more broadly within CUNY, and I just bring this to your attention, Council Members, because I think it's a really nice initiative.

We discovered that our enforcement folks appear to be somewhat recognizable to drivers. So to truly test the refusal, we've engaged some CUNY students to go out as testers. That's been a very successful enforcement initiative. When the tester is refused service, TLC officers issue a summons to the driver. The increased fines proposed by this legislation will make the added enforcement efforts more effective,

and we believe that the increased penalties will

make drivers think twice before refusing a

passenger service.

We also want to ensure that passengers pay the correct amount for their trip and enter a vehicle licensed for accepting street hails. That is why we support increased penalties for any overcharge committed by a yellow taxi driver and any illegal street hail committed by a For-Hire Vehicle driver.

Intro 521 would increase penalties for any yellow taxi driver who attempts to ask for a tip, requests payment beyond the amount shown on the meter, or purposely returns the incorrect amount of change to a passenger who has paid the fare in cash. That's, in essence, overcharging.

And it increases the penalties for a For-Hire Vehicle driver who picks up a passenger off the street without first pre-arranging the trip.

The increased penalties for illegal street hails is particularly important for us because passengers who enter vehicles not licensed for accepting street hails put themselves at risk. The vehicle will often not be properly insured and

may be operated by an unlicensed driver. We believe that increasing the penalties for these offenses will help deter overcharges and illegal street hail pickups

A key part of the yellow taxi's success is the service that passengers receive. It does not matter if you are seeing the sights on your vacation, visiting family in Queens, running to your office uptown, or returning home to Brooklyn, you should be able to take a cab, and know you are paying the right price and getting into a licensed vehicle. The ability to take a taxi anywhere—this is important—is one of the top reasons that New Yorkers have the nation's lowest car ownership rates among big cities and some of the country's smallest carbon footprints.

We support this legislation, so that all visitors and residents can continue to get from point A to point B regardless of where in the city those points are, and so everyone has access to the world class service offered by our licensed industries.

This concludes my testimony in support of Intro 521. Again, Mr. Chair, I just

2	want to thank you and Council Member Rose as well,
3	for introducing this legislation. Mr. Chair,
4	thank you for the great amount of effort you have
5	put into the issue, to supporting the work we're
6	doing at the TLC overall and in particular with
7	this legislation. I'm happy to answer any
8	questions that you have.
9	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
10	Chair Yassky. You used a figure of 500 before?
11	You gave out 500 refusal summonses in a one-month
12	period?
13	DAVID YASSKY: We got 500
14	complaints in just this past month.
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Complaints.
16	DAVID YASSKY: When we get a
17	complaint, we don't issue a summons immediately.
18	I'm glad you are bringing this up because I want
19	taxi passengers and New Yorkers to know that when
20	they are refused service, they must call 311.
21	They had to call 311 and all they need is the
22	medallion number and the location where this took
23	place.
24	So you flag down a taxi and you say
25	I'm going to Bensonhurst and the driver drives

away, it's those four characters: it's a number, a letter and two numbers. That's the medallion number. It's on the roof light. It's the same as on the license plate, and it's on the sides of the vehicle. They've got to note the medallion number and then they have to call 311.

Then when we get that call, we verify that the complaint makes sense. We have, as you know the yellow taxis have GPS locators in them that track the movements of the vehicle and the trips that it takes. So if Taxi 1A12 and you say it was on 42nd Street and Madison Avenue, we check. If that taxi was in service elsewhere in the city, we don't issue a summons. But assuming that it squares with the TPEP record then we issue a summons.

The driver can either pay or context the summons. If the driver chooses to contest the summons, the hearing is before an administrative law judge, an independent ALJ and we ask the passenger to testify, to verify the complaint. But the passenger can testify by telephone. That's important. I think a lot of people think that if they call in a complaint, it

2	means they have to schlep down to the TLC or for
3	all they know it could be far away. As it
4	happens, it's conveniently located in Lower
5	Manhattan. But they can come in person or testify
6	over the telephone.
7	So it's not difficult and it's
8	critical to our enforcement efforts. I want to
9	make sure that when people are refused service
10	they call 311.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Five hundred
12	complaints in one month seems like a very large
13	number.
14	DAVID YASSKY: It's an unacceptably
15	large number.
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That's a very
17	large number. In fact, it's alarming because at
18	that rate, you're talking 6,000 refusal complaints
19	in a year.
20	DAVID YASSKY: If I may, I would

DAVID YASSKY: If I may, I would urge you to see that in perspective that most people don't. I mean I don't have data to show it, but I've got to believe that most people who are refused service don't even call it in.

Indeed, I have many times had the experience of

senior government people telling me that they were
denied service. I say do you call 311 and they
said no. So that's just the tip of the iceberg,
truly.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: A concern that I've had is enforcement. There was a very high profile incident maybe two months ago that occurred in my district. And I think that that incident was the most outrageous, and we still have a young man in rehab based on that.

I want to know the status of that case. I'm aware that there were criminal charges brought against that driver. You may not be able to speak to that, but if you can, I would appreciate it. My other question is what is the status of the TLC administrative action in that case?

DAVID YASSKY: I will get that to you, Mr. Chair.

I mean we have to send a signal. This case stood out as the most outrageous. I'm sure there are many others. But if we don't have justice, if there is not a follow-up, then increasing fines

will not result in the outcome we want. I just don't want to increase fines, I want there to be an outcome that's acceptable to passengers of the city. What are we doing to make sure that that's the case?

DAVID YASSKY: I will get back to you on that, Mr. Chair. It is commonly our practice when there is a--I don't know, in truth, what the status of that case is. It is commonly our practice when there is a criminal proceeding, not proceed administratively. For example, with the overcharges, a year or so ago, the individuals that the district attorney identified for criminal prosecution, we did not move administratively until they had filed their indictment. I will find out what the status of that is. I'm told the driver was suspended. My guess, the driver was suspended and that would mean he's suspended pending the outcome of the criminal proceeding.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: When you did
the operation with the college students, and we
saw that on TV, one of the drivers told the
passenger who he had refused that he did not know
how to go to where the person wanted to go in

_		1. 1.
Z	another	borough.

By the way, I can't stand this outer borough stuff. We are not outer boroughs.

We are a borough other than Manhattan, but we are in the City of New York.

So let me ask you something--

DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] I still have neighbors who will say I'm going to the city. I live in Brooklyn, as you know. Well, you're in the city.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, we're one city.

DAVID YASSKY: I take it you're going to Manhattan. My neighbors don't get off easy.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The GPS
equipment in the yellow cab, the GPS equipment
allows the TLC to know where the taxicab driver
is. Does the GPS equipment give a taxi driver
knowledge should he be going to a neighborhood
where he's not sure how to get there? Does it
give directions to a taxi driver?

DAVID YASSKY: It does not. That's something that we've been looking at and continue

to look at. When that system was first put in	
place, that capability was not required, in oth	er
words, we did not require that capability, in	
part, in an effort to keep down costs. But thr	ee
years later, and especially as costs have come	
down, I think the arguments for including that	
capacity start to look a lot more attractive	

in this age of technology, there would be no excuse not to give a taxi driver the capability to take the person where they want to go. Especially if we want them to go outside of Manhattan, and we want to reduce refusals, we can't count on taxicab drivers to use probably outdated maps that they have in their glove compartment. I want them to have a GPS system which will navigate them to any part of the city the person wants to go, the passenger wants to go. I think we're behind it now.

DAVID YASSKY: Okay, thank you. We would like to work on that with you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now, pursuant to Local Law 25 of 2010, which I sponsored in the Council, TLC is supposed to provide an annual

2	report to the Council in January, and part of that
3	report is supposed to document actions taken
4	against overcharging and illegally accepting a
5	hail. I have not seen the report. What is the
6	status of us getting that report, as per this law
7	that the Council passed and the Mayor signed?
8	DAVID YASSKY: You will have it
9	today.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Today is quick
11	enough, okay. What happened?
12	DAVID YASSKY: I wonder if that is
13	in any way coincident with the scheduling of this
14	hearing, perhaps.
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, no.
16	DAVID YASSKY: You will have that
17	report today, Mr. Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.
19	DAVID YASSKY: I mean I can tell
20	you some of the facts. I can give you the number
21	of overcharge summonses for the past year and so
22	forth.
23	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you give a
24	summary, Commissioner? If you have a summary,
25	that's fine.

2	DAVID YASSKY: We issue between 120								
3	and 300, I guess, overcharge summonses a month.								
4	Starting in September, actually it was a low								
5	number of 88 and then in October 120. I will get								
6	this to you on paper so you can distribute it, Mr.								
7	Chair to the committee members. November, 169;								
8	December 171, January, 252; February 247; and last								
9	month, March, a high number, 307 summonses for								
10	overcharging.								
11	All of those numbers pale in								
12	comparison to the 1,668 summonses we issued last								
13	August. That was in connection with the rate four								
14	overcharging episode. So that was a unique								
15	anomaly. There were 1,600 summonses issued that								
16	month. And then from 120 to 300 in the succeeding								
17	months.								
18	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: These								
19	enforcement numbers and the numbers I've seen								
20	recently on the street hail issues, those								
21	enforcement numbers in both cases are up								
22	significantly.								
23	DAVID YASSKY: They are.								
24	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Does that								
25	represent an attempt by the TLC to address these								

longstanding issues?

DAVID YASSKY: In the case of the street hails, it certainly does. There, the number of summonses--I'll just do the last four or five months. November, 158; December, 424;

January, 282; February, 256; and then last month, March, 1,178 summonses. So that was a fairly steep increase. If you can see the chart, it kind of goes like this and then last month we issued a whole lot. That does reflect an increased focus on enforcement against street hails.

As we work with you, Mr. Chair, and the Council on providing a legitimate opportunity for the provision of street hail taxi service outside Manhattan, we are at the same time beginning, and it's just a beginning, on the enforcement necessary to make that a reality. So it's really got to be a two-part approach.

Provide the legitimate service and eliminate the illegal service.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you assure people today who do call 311 with a refusal complaint that the Taxi and Limousine Commission will contact them based on that complaint? Or are

2	complaints filed and if not enough evidence is
3	given in the complaint it just goes to 311 and
4	it's not followed up on?

DAVID YASSKY: It has in the past been the policy just to not follow up. But I can assure that we will respond to each complaint. If it's not going to result in a summons, then we will notify the passenger, if they've given an email or a mailing address.

More to the point, it is true, you didn't ask about this, but I think we had some issues with the time it took to process passenger complaints. It was taking months really to process passenger complaints. We now have a three-week standard in place where we will process a complaint within three weeks. In other words, within three weeks we'll have scheduled a hearing if one is merited.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'm pleased to note what you just said. That everybody who calls 311 will get a phone call from your office.

DAVID YASSKY: Or an email or letter.

25 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes. Too many

2	people tell me we call 311, about different							
3	things, not just TLC issues. They call 311 and							
4	then it's just gone somewhere.							
5	DAVID YASSKY: Well, you know							
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing]							
7	In these cases, I want them to get an email of							
8	phone call on these refusal issues.							
9	DAVID YASSKY: We've been part of							
LO	that problem as well. I agree with you that							
11	everyone who calls 311 deserves a response back.							
L2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes, thank you.							
13	Thank you, Commissioner. I'm going to turn							
L4	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] I hope							
15	the council office will test that by having some							
L6	people call and see if you get a response back.							
L7	Then, here's my only deal, is if you don't get it,							
L8	then you can do the press conference. But if you							
L9	do get it, then let's also do the press conference							
20	congratulating us. Is that a fair deal?							
21	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I may call in							
22	some college students myself. Before I turn to							
23	our first member, I'd like to mention we've been							
24	joined by Council Member Greenfield, at the end.							

Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez please.

б

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

Commissioner, I think that project together with the student was good but also I have to--I'm a strong advocate for livery taxis.

DAVID YASSKY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I used to be a livery taxi when I was at City College. I worked like for three years. It was a way of how when my brother-in-law, he used to work during the day and I work at night. But those years, 1987, it only took go to Route 46 in Jersey, buy a Chevrolet Impala for \$1,200 and get like a \$200 license of the TLC and ready to drive in the street.

Today life is different for the livery taxis. Today they have to invest \$15,000 and \$25,000 in a car. They have to invest \$8,000 for the insurance. And still, when you look to the fare, I remember being at City College in the 80s, to City College, the far was \$6 and \$7 in the 1980s. Today's fare from City College in your livery taxis is around \$10-\$11.

So when you compare the investment that I did on \$2,000 to be ready to work legally

in the street and the investment that a livery taxi has to make today of the \$25,000-\$30,000 and the fee that they charge, I think it's a scenario there that we definitely have to look at, especially when we look at who are those thousand of people that are driving in the livery taxis.

I think that we live in the society and everything is about supply and demand. And when we look at supply and demand, we look at different things. Like we have issues on drugs and we have to fight hard drugs back. We have the country that consumes the most drugs in the world, so we have to deal on all of those criminals who run the drug cartels, but also how we deal with the drug consuming in the society.

So where when we look at the livery taxis, sometimes I have to be in the street to take a taxi in my community in Washington Heights. If you need a taxi in Christmas Day, if you need a taxi in a New Year, is you need a livery taxi those days that there's a lot of demand, you will call over and over and the line is busy because there's not enough taxis. And it is more easy for someone to go on the street and take a taxi in the

street. So definitely we cannot reduce the value of the medallion, medallion of those people who invest hundreds of thousands of dollars.

So I believe that we have to look in a comprehensive plan. I think that we have to keep the value for the investor who buy the medallion but the same time also we got to look on how do we work with those thousand of drivers who work every day who have invest \$30,000 and we should not go back to ten years ago with the TLC.

With the law enforcement work, the law enforcement and the judge, that was the true role of the law enforcement of the TLC ten years ago. The same person who were giving the ticket in the street when the livery taxi has to go to the court, it was the same people that they were listening to the case of the livery taxi. We should not go back there.

We should not go back to the year where there's people in wheelchair stopping a livery taxi in the street and suddenly there was someone from the law enforcement waiting to give a ticket when they were demanding for livery taxis.

I believe that definitely we have to keep our

fine. I hope that increasing fine is important,	Ι
think it's a good initiative, but we also have to	C
take care to those thousands of drivers who are	
working. We have to provide opportunity. I	
believe that increasing should be connected to the	ne
plan of creating, picking area, standing for	
livery taxis. Is that something that you think	
that can be done?	

DAVID YASSKY: So just first, I just want to clarify, we do not require livery cars to be any particular model, unlike taxis where they have to be replaced in service every few years and it's only a specified set of vehicle models. For a livery car, the owner and driver can use pretty much car. It has to have four doors.

So in other words, when you say have to invest \$25,000 instead of buying the \$2,000 Impala, we do permit pretty much any car that's out there to be used for a livery vehicle. It may well be that when their vehicle is not drivable anymore then they go and have to buy a new car and it's that large number, but they could buy a used car. So I just want to be clear about

that.

Council Member, you have identified exactly the issues and the concerns that have to be addressed here. The lack of legitimate supply in Washington Heights, the same as in the Brooklyn or the Bronx, there is no such thing as yellow taxi cruising around, meaning there's no such thing as legitimate taxi service, yet there's a need for it, as you say. People have to go down and hail a car.

As you point out, any solution to that needs to take into account the legitimate expectations of the investors in taxi medallions who have invested, in some cases, hundreds of thousands of dollars. And at the same time, we want to recognize that the actual people out on the street today who are driving, albeit illegally, are hard working people who are trying to make a living. It would be a shame to disadvantage them.

We are working very closely with the chair and the Council as a body to craft a solution to this four and a half borough problem, which takes account of all of those factors. Your

2	suggestion about stands, that could solve part of							
3	the problem, but I don't see that as being the							
4	complete solution. That could be a component of							
5	the solution.							
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: How old							
7	does a car has to be for livery taxis to get							
8	insurance from the insurance company?							
9	DAVID YASSKY: Honestly, I don't							
LO	know that I know the answer to that question.							
11	Taxis, again, have to be no more than three to							
12	five years, all depending on how they're used. On							
13	the livery car, I don't know at what point it							
L4	becomes the insurance							
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:							
L6	[interposing] Does anyone have that information?							
L7	There is a year requirement for the insurance.							
18	Insurance company require a car to be for certain							
19	year in order for them to get insurance.							
20	DAVID YASSKY: I will see what we							
21	know about that and get back to you on that. I							
22	don't know. It's not our requirement but							
23	certainly important to know if there is such a							
24	requirement.							

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Another

question, on DMV and this is not direct. It's						
connected because for me it's also looking at the						
whole picture about the livery taxis. On the DMV						
a driver get their license suspended if they get						
six point. Their suspension is for 18 month.						
Does the TLC follow the same timeframe from the						
drivers to get their license back?						

DAVID YASSKY: Our suspension period is shorter, I believe. It's a 30-day suspension at the six point level and at ten points, a driver's license is revoked. The revocation, there is no specified rule at this point about what point a driver whose license has been revoked can come in and reapply. We actually are promulgating a rule on that so we can systematize it and provide consistency.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Is that accurate information? Because what I've been told by taxi drivers is different information about how the DMV have the policy of a driver who gets six point get their license suspended for 18 months. However, when it come to the TLC, that's not as such timeframe from a driver to get their license back after it is suspended.

2	DAVID YASSKY: Our suspension is a							
3	30-day suspension.							
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay,							
5	thank you Commissioner.							
6	DAVID YASSKY: Sure.							
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,							
8	Council Member Rodriguez. I want to go back for a							
9	second to the issue of overcharges. I know we had							
LO	a very substantial issue with the out of town rate							
11	several months ago. I thank you for your help at							
12	that time, and the Council enacted legislation.							
13	There was supposed to be a meter							
L4	fix that was going to make sure that that never							
15	happened again. Did that meter fix ever happen?							
16	What was the nature? How did you get the recent							
L7	increase in overcharge summonses? What was the							
18	nature of how you achieved that?							
19	DAVID YASSKY: In terms of the out							
20	of town rate, there is one meter fix in place							
21	already and then a second one about to come.							
22	Currently, if the driver engages that out of town							
23	rate, an alert comes up on the passenger screen in							
24	the back. Even if the passenger has succeeded							

against all odds in turning the screen off, the

б

alert still comes on the screen with a noise, a

beep and it says alert, the driver has engaged the

out of town rate. If that's incorrect, call 311

and report it as a violation.

The next step is to do what the technology folks call geofencing and adjust the meters so that the out of town rate cannot be engaged unless you're outside Manhattan. That is in a renewal contract with the companies that make the in-taxi equipment which is on its way to the comptroller for regulation, after arduous back and forth negotiations. One of the changes they're making in the equipment is they're required to include that geofencing. As soon as the contract is registered I think they have 45 days to do it. They have 45 or 60 days to put the geofencing in place.

We get still a handful of complaints. We get isolated complaints about the out of town rate being engaged. But I feel confident in saying it's not a widespread problem at this point.

An overcharge can also be a passenger who believes they've been taken out of

2	their way on route that's further than what they							
3	really should be taken.							
4	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Most of your							
5	complaints were not the out of town issue?							
6	DAVID YASSKY: No.							
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It was							
8	passengers being taken around the mulberry bush							
9	and they felt they were charged too much.							
10	DAVID YASSKY: Correct. Or they							
11	felt they were given the wrong change. They paid							
12	\$20 for a \$10 fare and they were given \$2 back or							
13	whatever.							
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Will geofencing							
15	help in that?							
16	DAVID YASSKY: Not that I can think							
17	of. I mean the use of credit cards, which							
18	continues to steadily increasewe're just nearing							
19	the 50 percent mark where half of the trips are							
20	paid for by credit cardI think that certainly							
21	eliminates the potential for overcharging that's							
22	inherent in the use of cash.							
23	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Another piece							
24	of legislation we enacted was regarding taxicab							
25	drivers who used the cash lanes as opposed to the							

2	easy	pass	lanes.	How	many	of	your	complaints	are
2	gtill	conr	nected	to tha	at ico	2116	2		

DAVID YASSKY: I don't have that handy. How many easy pass summons? We've issued, I'm going to guess, about 300 easy pass summonses.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Over the past year?

DAVID YASSKY: Yeah, and this is a tiny fraction of the actual violations. What we did was we took a couple of month period. Look at this. We issued 124 in April and 129 in May, last May. Then it's just been a handful every month since: 19, 19, 17, 10, 9, 16. We think that we've seen a change in behavior.

Mere's what we did. Those two big months, we issued a lot of summonses. We issued those summonses to people by using the computers. Then we wanted to step back and see if behavior changed. Because really the goal here isn't to kind of nickel and dime the drivers, it was to change. I think this had become an accepted practice and we wanted to say you've got to stop doing it. We have seen a marked drop in what the computer is showing that people are using the cash

2	lane when they should be using easy pass.
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Under the
4	current law, how many people have lost their TLC
5	licenses for committing three offenses within a
6	36-month period? I'm asking that question because
7	I want to know of those people how many ended up
8	getting their licenses back at any given point.
9	DAVID YASSKY: I don't have that
L O	here. But you said within the past year?
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes.
12	DAVID YASSKY: Not counting the
13	rate four, in which about 300 people lost their
L4	license, that's going to be a small number, but I
15	will get it to you.
L6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You would say a
L7	small number of people reapplied and ended up
L8	getting their license back?
L9	DAVID YASSKY: I would say it's a
20	small number of people who were revoked for three
21	violations. I mean the rate four was, as I say,
22	about 300 people lost their license. In the end
23	another 1,500 or so were fined anywhere from

25 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The last

\$1,000 to \$10,000.

question I have and then I want to go on to the co-sponsor of the bill, Council Member Rose. Base stations who tolerate illegal street hails, what is being done to hold base stations that basically engage in hailing, illegally, accountable?

DAVID YASSKY: This is enormously important, Mr. Chair, and I again thank you for your kind of continued prodding to us to act on this issue. We know now that there are livery bases that go into business just to affiliate cars, give them their TLC license plates and then they don't actually get calls and dispatch the cars. They just let the cars go out and cruise for passengers.

we know it with data because required all bases to report to us how many dispatch calls they received in the past year. Thirty percent did not reply at all. I think it's fair that most of those are these kind of phony bases. We are summonsing them for their failure to reply. Then another of the people that did reply, 40 percent of those had fewer than 10 calls per day per car, suggesting that they are supplementing their income with

2 street hails.

So we proposed a rule that would fine the base. Right now, when we give a driver a summons for accepting a street hail illicitly, the driver is penalized but not the base. So we proposed that the base would also be fined. That proposal was before the TLC Commission last month or a couple of weeks ago at our commission meeting.

on it but I think it was very useful because it engaged the industry in this discussion. The leadership of the livery and the black car industry all came together and said instead of your proposal here's our version. It would create a safe harbor for bases that do get a decent number of calls so we know that they're legitimate businesses. Then it would have stiff fines for the ones that don't and where their affiliated cars do street hails. We expect to be able to vote on that rule at our May meeting.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: When we mentioned before, quickly, I'm sorry to take up so much time. But when we mentioned before about

cabs that are billed for overcharges because they take passengers on a route that is too long, how do you know that's the case? How do you know the route is too long or who is to say that the route was too long?

DAVID YASSKY: So again, these are complaint based. We do have GPS data that tell us. If we pick up at 250 Broadway and dropped off at 42nd and Madison, the GPS tells us the miles traveled. It doesn't tell us the route that was taken, but it does tell us the distance that was traveled.

Now, still, that might be appropriate. It might be the fastest way would be to go to the FDR and go around the horn and go up the Westside Highway because the FDR is closed because the president is in town. However, when there is a complaint, if the GPS data suggests that it's correct, it corroborates the complaint then we issue a summons. If the driver wishes to contest it, they can. Typically the passenger, I've sat in on some of these hearings, and passengers remember extremely well. Because you can picture them being in the back being incensed

the whole time. You know, they will describe what happened. Assuming the ALJ believes it, they uphold the summons.

But for what it's worth, and I know the Taxi Workers Alliance is here, and Council Member Rodriguez said about judge and jury and so forth, in truth the ALJs dismiss a significant number of the summonses that are brought before them, suggesting that they are fair. They hear the cases. They maybe dismiss more than I would like in some ways. But they dismiss a lot of the summonses brought before them. So that tells me that the process is fair.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Quickly, what percentage do you think that they dismiss?

DAVID YASSKY: By the way, I'm reminded that in the renewal contract for the taxi technology, they will then have to report to us upon request what we call bread crumb data, not just the distance traveled but the path taken by the cab. It's a huge amount of data. So whether we routinely look at it or not, we can at least get it when we need it.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you answer

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 42
2	that quickly, what percentage of summonses do the
3	administrative law judges turn back or reject?
4	You said you thought the number was high.
5	DAVID YASSKY: It's about 40
6	percent.
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So 40 percent
8	of summonses are dismissed?
9	DAVID YASSKY: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: For
11	overcharging and
12	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing]
13	Overall.
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Overall.
15	Before calling on Council Member Rose, I'd like to
16	call on Council Member Greenfield to vote on Intro
17	458.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
19	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
20	Council Member Rose? Council Member Ulrich?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Aye.
22	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you,
24	Chairman Vacca. Having been a victim of a refusal
25	of service, I want to thank you for bringing Intro

2	521 to the floor. Commissioner, before I ask you
3	my questions, it came to my attention that you
4	have had meetings with the other borough
5	presidents and the livery car services about the
6	five borough plan. That hasn't happened on Staten
7	Island. I just wanted to ask you
8	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] Let's
9	get that scheduled promptly.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you so
11	much. Under the current law, how many drivers
12	have actually lost their TLC licenses?
13	DAVID YASSKY: Let's see if we can
L4	get that. I don't know how many have lost their
15	license over the past year totally. I know that
L6	about 300 did for the overcharging, that out of
L7	town rate part. I don't have at my fingertips how
L8	many total.
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Would you
20	also be able to get the figure for how many
21	reapplied and actually got their licenses back
22	also?
23	DAVID YASSKY: Yes. That we can
24	produce.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay. Can

2	you tell me once Intro 521 is enacted, how you
3	plan to ensure that there would be enough
4	enforcement to enact the tougher penalties?

DAVID YASSKY: There's the two parts. There are the service refusals and the street hails. On the service refusals, we now dedicate one shift a week of an enforcement squad to service refusals. I think that's the appropriate amount of that.

In addition, we are working with CUNY to make permanent the pilot we tried with the students. We will get that up and running. It's just paperwork. At that point we'll have a steady stream of CUNY testers out there. Really they are effective, so that I love.

But then on top of that the best way to enforce is for passengers to report it.

Because even with one squad a week and even with the testers out there, it pales to the number of passengers who are refused day in and day out.

The best way to have enforcement is if when you're refused service, a passenger calls 311 and then we follow-up. So you're right, then it's on us to do the follow-up. That's on the refusals.

On the street hall, that really is
on us to devote our field resources to that. We
are doing so, as shown by the number of summonses
issued, certainly in the first quarter of this
year. We are continuing to beef up our
enforcement staff. We have a another squad
waiting to be deployed. They just have to get
their medical testing from the police department.
As soon as that's done, they will be deployed and
then we can go out and get the next squad.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Is there any science that you use to determine where they're deployed to?

DAVID YASSKY: That is a good question. We recently did, and this is more detail than you care about, but our MIS folks have recently set it up so that every day's summonses will also have a precinct associated with them so that then we can search by precincts, summonses per type of violation per precinct. We'll see what that tells us. I don't know if I would call that science yet, but we are building the analytical capacity to be able to deploy our folks as effectively as possible.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And you
3	analyze it how frequently?
4	DAVID YASSKY: We just got this
5	about a month ago. So now I get a weekly report
6	that shows this.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: You refer to
8	having passengers call 311 as probably the most
9	effective way to get this data. Are you intending
10	to do any type of public education campaign so
11	that the public will know that that's the process
12	and the best way to report these incidents?
13	DAVID YASSKY: I think that's a
14	thought. That's what this is in some sense. We
15	should give some thought to what we can do. Well,
16	I've got a couple of thoughts. First of all, we
17	can provide each of younot just can, but we will
18	provide each of you with a little blurb that you
19	can put in your newsletters about refusing
20	service. I'd say particularly for what I'd call
21	the central borough Council Members, the non-
22	Manhattan Council Members to include that in your
23	newsletter. I think that would be a really
24	effective way of getting the word out.

We will also ask your offices for

2	suggestions for neighborhood chat groups and blogs
3	that we should be using to get the word out.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Will you post
5	it in each of the vehicles?
6	DAVID YASSKY: You know that's a
7	thought. I will take that thought. Thank you.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: How do you
9	notify the drivers of the change in Local Law so
10	that they can be in compliance?
11	DAVID YASSKY: I find that drivers
12	are pretty well informed. As soon as an idea gets
13	out there, folks seem to know about it. I was at
14	a meeting last night of drivers in northern
15	Manhattan and they were extremely well informed
16	about what the TLC is considering and proposing.
17	But beyond that, we certainly will
18	work with the Taxi Workers Alliance, the
19	association of owner drivers and the fleets are
20	often very helpful in distributing information to
21	their drivers as well.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Will you
23	consider distribution
24	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] And of
25	course, the Committee for Taxi Safety but that's

2	harder to reach.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Would you
4	consider issuing just a memo that would go out to
5	everyone? Executive order?
6	DAVID YASSKY: We do periodically

DAVID YASSKY: We do periodically do industry notices where we mail. We have 105,000 licensees, so it's not without cost to mail. We try and do it sparingly for that reason. I don't know that this would necessarily merit that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I just don't want ignorance of the law, although it's not an accepted excuse. But I don't want that to be an excuse that they were not aware of the change.

DAVID YASSKY: Here's how many fines I want to issue for service refusal, zero. That is my goal is to have zero tickets.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Exactly.

DAVID YASSKY: Not to use this fine authority prodigiously but to not have to use it because there are no service refusals. So yes, we want people to know what the law is.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you so much.

2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Quickly,
3	Commissioner, I want to come back to that 40
4	percent of
5	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] It's
6	shocking, isn't it?
7	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The 40 percen

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The 40 percent number from the administrative law judges. Why are they rejecting 40 percent? I mean, are they sensitive to the issues that we think they should be sensitive to, refusals and overcharges? Or was this a matter of clerical work not being given to them? Is it handwriting that has to be read better? Forty percent is a very high rate.

DAVID YASSKY: As soon as that was out of my mouth, this perceptive group of Council Members would pick up on it because it's disappointing; I mean to be honest with you.

There are several factors. Our enforcement folks have got to do a better job of writing summonses that will stand up. That means both getting the license plate number correct and every element on the summons just filled out correctly, and also, issuing summonses that if a driver comes in and contests it, will stand up.

I think that the ALJs,

appropriately, do understand that driving a taxi or a livery car is a hard job and that the drivers work long hours and do not earn a lot of money. It's not a criminal court where the standard of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt. It's a civil proceeding in which it's more probable than not. But I think that maybe they also look to not penalize unnecessarily.

I think on the first part of that, the summonses being written properly, we are procuring handheld devices. Our officers carry around pads and they write the summonses out by hand. Which is way, way more time consuming than it needs to be. It means that their productivity is lower than it should be. Not through their own lack of effort but just because how long it takes to write the summonses. And two, it results in mistake.

The handhelds will let them issue summonses fast because they can scan the license plate, scan the barcode. It will fill in the vehicle ID number and all that stuff. And it will reduce mistakes because it will prompt you. So if

terrific place to go.

2	they put in an address but they only put in 41st
3	Street and they don't put Madison Avenue or they
4	don't put a street number, it will prompt them to
5	do that. So the handhelds will, I think will
6	reduce significantly the number of erroneous
7	summonses.
8	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Can you plead
9	online to those summonses?
10	DAVID YASSKY: Not yet.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You can plead
12	online to a New York City traffic ticket.
13	DAVID YASSKY: So today, I am proud
14	to say, that we took our first baby steps in that
15	direction. We launched an online license renewal
16	capacity so that drivers, and again we have
17	105,000 licenses, almost all of whom are drivers,
18	so that rather than schlep to the Long Island City
19	licensing facility which is very well run and is a

But still, rather than take an hour to go there--and by the way, Deputy Commissioner Gary Weiss, who runs it, keeps the average wait time at the counter to 19 minutes, which I think is tremendous. Still, it'll take you an hour to

2.

get there and wait in line the 19 minutes and 5
minutes at the counter and then an hour to get
home. Now, people can renew online, as of today,
as of tonight at 6:00 p.m. I think is when it goes
live.

So that's a great first step. We have a lot of work to do to really be in the 21st century. We need for people to be able to plead guilty and pay their fine online. We need people to be able to schedule their vehicle inspections online. Both of those would increase our efficiency enormously and be of great benefit to the licensed community. Those are projects that are now pending at DoITT. Now that we've got the licensing one done, we can move on to the next one. I should thank, publicly, Carole Post for the support of DoITT in working on these.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member Van Bramer?

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I'll start off by saying, as the person who represents Long Island City in the City Council, that it's always a pleasant schlep. When you arrive in Long Island

2	City, you arrive in one of the best neighborhoods
3	in all of New York City. Wouldn't you agree,
4	Commissioner Yassky?

DAVID YASSKY: Without a doubt, among the best. None if finer, let's put it that way.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:

Exactly. Thank you very much, now that we've agreed on that.

So I want to talk a little bit about refusals because anyone who lives in Queens and boroughs other than Manhattan has very, very personal experiences with this. The last time I had an experience with cabs was the Saturday before last in the middle of that horrible rain storm that occurred at night. I was refused service coming out of a party in Chelsea, looking only to get back to Sunnyside, which in the realm of things in Queens is really not that far away.

I did not report it. It's happened many times before. So many people in Queens, particularly at night, particularly if it's raining, you're looking to get back and you just know, you just know you're going to get a cab,

which is going to say "where are you going?" I know that what you're supposed to do is get in the cab, not engage in that conversation. Because if you have to get asked that question and you say I'm going to Sunnyside Queens, what I heard that night was: oh, I'm on break. That's after I've been asked: where are you going?

Five hundred a month sounds like a gross, gross under count. I realize it's not an official count. But the numbers have got to be much, much higher. I just wonder if you can't do anything with that lovely little TV screen you've got in the back there and other things to remind folks about their rights and what they can do.

Because sometimes you're so angry in that moment when you've just been told I'm not taking you, in this pouring rainstorm, to Sunnyside, and then you've got stand in the rain and get several more cabs.

There have got to be ways to not have people who live in Queens and Brooklyn and other boroughs, not have that fear and trepidation when you're out there on the street and you just need a cab home and you know it's going to be a

^		_	7 7 .		- ·	
2	series	Οİ	debates	and	disc	cussions.

DAVID YASSKY: I really am going to ask you to help us with that. I like now the newsletter idea that you can really drive home with your constituents just please call 311, because 500 a month is, without question, the tip of the iceberg. It's a very gross undercount. It's just that's how many people call us.

Now a lot of times--I was on a radio show the other day with Hamburg. She asked about this and about being refused. And I said so do you call 311? And she said no. She said you've got to stop the drivers from turning us down. That had happened to her. I said did you call? She said no, you know, because I feel bad for them and I don't want them to get a fine. I understand that. I think that's--

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:

[interposing] I did not feel bad for that particular driver.

DAVID YASSKY: I think that's what-

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:

[interposing] Let me just be clear.

DAVID YASSKY: I actually think

that is what happens with a lot of folks. You know, even today at \$350, it's a lot of money for a taxi driver. \$500 is really a lot of money. We get that. But if we are serious about it, which we are, then we have to enforce the rule.

I think if drivers understood that if they said I'm on break and drove away that there was a decent chance that they would get a fine in the mail, they would stop doing it. So it shouldn't be a fact of life that you get out of the party and you know you're going to have to deal with this. It shouldn't be the case that only insiders get the service because New York insiders know that you should get in the cab first and then not get out and insist on your rights. It should be an easy service for people to access.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: So what is the progressive discipline? I guess I'm interested in repeat offenders for refusals.

Because my guess is if you're like most honest, great cab drivers and you do the right thing and you take people to Queens if they want to go to Queens and Brooklyn if they want to go. Someone wants to go to Far Rockaway from midtown

2.

Manhattan;	they have a right to be taken to Far
Rockaway.	But it seems to me like the driver who
didn't pio	k me up two Saturday nights ago maybe
does that	a lot and maybe doesn't like to go to
Queens.	

So do we have a sense of repeat offenders and what is your progressive discipline with respect to that?

DAVID YASSKY: So currently, the fine schedule is for the first violation it's \$200-\$350. The second violation is \$350-\$500 and the third violation is revocation. What we are proposing here with this bill before you, what the Chairman's bill proposes, which we support, is first would be \$500, second is \$750 and third would remain revocation. We should be able to generate a few numbers on how many of these are repeat offenders. But I would just caution, again, it's got to be a very small minority of refusals are actually reported. You know, it may or may not get the driver the second time.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I don't know on the back of the cabs, it seems like you've got a bunch of stickers and things going on there,

2 right.

DAVID YASSKY: Right, I mean the other part of it is, and you mentioned the screens, we are trying very hard to work collaboratively with the drivers, who are our customers and our constituents. I would be reluctant to put on the TV screen something that kind of is aggressive vis-à-vis the driver because I really do think that most of them work hard. This is a blind spot in the service. It is. I don't think it's uncommon for drivers to refuse service.

In other words, whereas with the overcharging, it was clearly a small minority—unfortunately it wasn't just one or two, but it was a very small number that were really doing the overcharge repeatedly. Here, I do think it is more common than it should be for drivers to refuse service to Brooklyn or Queens. We have to change their behavior.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Yes, I know. I mean you shouldn't have to say, look, I know you don't want to go to Queens. You know what I mean. I know you don't want to go, but I'm

Т	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 39
2	tired and we've got to get home. You know what I
3	mean.
4	DAVID YASSKY: Sure.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Like,
6	it's this ridiculous dialogue that's going on in
7	your head even before you get to the street. It's
8	got to stop because everyone experiences it some
9	time or another, if not every time you're trying
10	to get to Queens. So I look forward to having
11	lunch with you in downtown Long Island City,
12	Commissioner.
13	DAVID YASSKY: Deal.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Any
15	time you would like.
16	DAVID YASSKY: It occurred to me,
17	perhaps you can help us formulate something to put
18	in our waiting room at the licensing facility, in
19	regard to the neighborhoods for people who want to
20	go out and get a bite after they've gotten their
21	license.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I would
23	love to do that.
24	DAVID YASSKY: Thank you.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Before I call on Council Member Koo, I'd like to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Mealy, and I would like to ask her to vote on

> COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I vote aye.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you, Chairman Vacca and thank you, Commissioner, for coming in. Being a taxi driver is one of the

DAVID YASSKY: It is.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: You and I and most of the people in the audience probably know every day how much money they make. For a taxi driver, they're not sure how much money they're going to take home on their particular shift. They might get fines. They might get all these things. They might get robbed.

So we have to sympathize with their situation too, being a taxi driver. Because most of them are immigrants and it's their first or

second jobs in the city. They're on the path to become economically stable. So we have to sympathize with their situations. Because a lot of them when the go out, when they come back there's no passengers. They've got to come back and New York City traffic is so unpredictable. Sometimes even at midnight there's a lot of traffic.

So my suggestion is how do we create some incentive for them to carry passengers to other boroughs? I mean we have to create some incentive to them. Like I have a suggestion, it's like if they go outer borough, we can give them a coupon or something to pick up passengers at the airports. Because I know most taxis like to pick up passengers at the airport. But then they may have to wait a long line usually. But if they suffer a long way, that they go far away, when they come back, they can go to JFK and pick up a passenger to make up the difference. So we have to make some incentive for them. Not just to keep criticizing them or to penalize them. I mean it's not fair for them too.

DAVID YASSKY: Well, Council Member

2	I certainly share your belief that it's a very
3	difficult job, that it's a job that is not
4	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing]
5	It's really a dangerous job.
6	DAVID YASSKY: It's dangerous and
7	not greatly rewarding. When you talk about the
8	risk, it's not just the risk shift by shift of how
9	much business is going to be. There is also, you
LO	know since drivers bear the cost of the fuel they
11	are purchasing, when gas goes to \$4.50 a gallon,
12	that might be the difference between the ability
L3	to pay your rent and not. So I share that
L4	perspective.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I will agree
L6	that
L7	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] But
L8	on
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:we have to
20	have some laws
21	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] Yeah,
22	on the issue of service, you know, throughout the
23	city, I certainly open to suggestions and if you
24	have a proposal. But there are three options. We
25	could say you don't have to do it. I don't think

24

25

that's what we want. We want people to be able to
get service to Queens, Brooklyn, Bronx and Staten
Island. You could have a bonus, but I personally
don't like the idea of charging people extra to go
to Brooklyn or Queens. Or you could say it's one
of the conditions of the license and it's built in
to the fare structure, which it is.
If it means you think that you need
to adjust the overall fare structure, I'd rather
do it that way certainly than have a bonus which
would mean the passenger pays more to go to a
Queens or Brooklyn destination. But I mean those
are the only three options I see.
Your idea of the airport, I will go
back and takeI don't want to dismiss it
COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing]
I think that's easy
DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] I
don't want to dismiss it out of hand. My initial
reaction
COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: You just have

DAVID YASSKY: --is that it would

be difficult to administer, exactly. I mean, I

to help them administer.

2	supposepassengers don't carry around the
3	tickets, or we could use the GPS to do that, but I
4	think that's pretty hard to administer.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: You can figure
6	out some way for them to
7	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] And
8	that's something you really can't use at large at
9	scale because the right to go to the head of the
10	line at the airport is only worth it if a handful
11	of people have it. If everybody has it, then now
12	it's not worth anything. Do you see what I mean?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Another way is
14	to create more taxi stands in outer boroughs, like
15	all the train stations. There are a lot of busy
16	places in Flushing, Jamaica.
17	DAVID YASSKY: Right.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I'm sure a lot
19	of people will hail a cab there if they're
20	available there. So create more taxi stands.
21	DAVID YASSKY: Well, we have been
22	working with the industry on how to provide the
23	service outside
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing]
25	Well, when you go down to Brooklyn, you can go

22

23

24

25

of incentive--

2	there	and	pick	up	some	passengers.
---	-------	-----	------	----	------	-------------

DAVID YASSKY: Right. 3 I won't rehash the whole discussion but we do have. 4 5 have some 30 taxi stands already outside Manhattan. But as we know from the GPS data, with 6 only one really exception, they aren't used. Partly it's a chicken and egg problem that you 9 only have cars queue up there and wait there if 10 they know passengers are going to come. 11 Similarly, passengers will only flock there if 12 they know there are cars waiting. But I think 13 it's really a symptom of the broader lack of 14 availability of yellow taxis outside Manhattan 15 that we're working with you and your colleagues to 16 address. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So I hope the administration and our committee will work 18 19 together to create some incentive. I mean it 20 doesn't cost the city any money. Just some kind

DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] We'll take a look at the jump the line issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I mean if you think--

2	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] Again,
3	though, if it's more than a very minimal number,
4	it loses its value. Do you agree?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: If you have
6	incentive for them then they don't turn away that
7	easy. You have to put yourself in their shoes
8	too.
9	DAVID YASSKY: Indeed.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you.
11	DAVID YASSKY: Thank you.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you,
13	Council Member Koo. Council Member Koppell?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.
15	The increases in fines for street hail, for people
16	who pick up a street hail, are those going to be
17	held off until we have a street hail system for
18	the non-Manhattan boroughs, let's call it that?
19	DAVID YASSKY: I don't think
20	there'll be a need for that because we intend to
21	get the legitimate service up and running, with
22	the help of you and your colleagues in short
23	order. We are working very aggressively to do
24	that on a speedy basis but would you agree to
25	hold off on increasing the fines until that

2 happens?

DAVID YASSKY: I was going to tell a story about it. I don't maybe want to get off in distraction. But I think one lesson of our experience also with the accessibility issue was that if we want to move away from the status quo, we have to make it clear the status quo is not acceptable. The status quo here is not acceptable. So I do not want to say that we'll just let the status quo persist until there's a solution, I want to get the solution.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well,

Commissioner, the purpose of increasing the fines

for refusals is to prevent drivers from refusing

to take people from Manhattan to an outer borough

or from one outer borough to another. Is that

correct?

DAVID YASSKY: You are correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And that's something we definitely want to do because that will increase the convenience of the public, right?

DAVID YASSKY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Okay, now,

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 68
2	the purpose of increasing the fines on drivers who
3	street hail is to stop them from picking up
4	people.
5	DAVID YASSKY: I see where you're
6	heading.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: So the
8	answer to that is yes, of course.
9	DAVID YASSKY: Yes. I'm sorry,
LO	yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Therefore,
12	what you're doing, or what we're doing, if I vote
13	for this bill, before we have an effective system
L4	of street hails, is to make it less likely that my
15	constituents and everybody else in the Bronx will
L6	be able to hail a cab. Or that everybody who
L7	provides a needed service in the Bronx will face
L8	ruinous fines for providing that service.
19	Frankly, that just doesn't seem to
20	me to be serving the public convenience and
21	necessity. It doesn't seem to me that I'm
22	supposed to do as a councilman.
23	DAVID YASSKY: I hear your point,
24	as always, extremely well taken. Let me put it
25	this way. I don't know what the effective date of
1	

the bill is. It's easy enough to find out by
looking at it. Well it takes effect immediately.
So much for that.

You know, I hope that we don't face that Sophie's choice that you are pointing me towards, Council Member, because we are working with the Council. I think we're making terrific progress in our discussions on a five-borough taxi plan that can accomplish the goal.

If we're able to get an agreement with the Council where we know that the five borough taxi is coming but it's going to take a few months to get there, then certainly I agree with you in that interim period we would not want to be penalizing people who are providing a needed service. But we can't let the status quo continue. So I'm reluctant to say we won't do it until then. I think we need the industry as well to know that we need a change.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well let me say to counsels here. The chairman seems to have disappeared. But I would propose we divide this bill into two pieces. You don't need to respond to this Commissioner. We do one piece

dealing with the illegal refusals and another
piece dealing with the street hails.

The fact of the matter is, and let me put it in concrete terms. Right now, if I go to 242nd Street and Broadway, and maybe I shouldn't admit this, but I'm going to admit it.

And I live at the top of a very steep hill.

Frankly, at night, for me to climb up that hill is tough and for other people it's virtually impossible to get off the subway at 242nd and go up the hill in Riverdale and get home.

DAVID YASSKY: Yes.

got to have a car. Yes, it's conceivable that they could call Target or Miles or whatever, but that's very inconvenient and very often, as I believe Councilman Rodriguez point out, you get a busy signal, especially late at night. Generally speaking, there's a car there. Not a yellow cab, there's never been a yellow cab in my memory. But there's a car there which is an illegal car. But it's the only way you can get to the top of the hill.

Frankly speaking, unless we provide

2	an alternative and Council Member Rodriguez had
3	the idea that you allow the liveries to line up at
4	the subway stations, which would be certainly a
5	step forward. And the end result is that these
6	guys, and I've taken them. I'm being honest with
7	you.
8	DAVID YASSKY: Sure.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: They're
10	nice guys. They're hard working guys. They're
11	supporting families. They're all immigrants,
12	mostly from the Middle East. To tell them that
13	now you're going to have to pay 500 bucks for
14	doing something that I need to get home just seems
15	unfair.
16	DAVID YASSKY: That's why we need
17	to change that law. Council Member, you said this
18	last time I was here, that you strongly support
19	the idea of changing the law to allow
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:
21	[interposing] I do, I do.
22	DAVID YASSKY:the willing buyer
23	and the willing seller to meet and a transaction

to make both of them better off.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: With a

2 safe, insured car, yes.

DAVID YASSKY: Yes, we have got to change that law. I believe we are. We believe we're within striking distance of having a good plan with the leadership of the chair, who is working very hard to accommodate all the interests that are at play here, as Council Member Rodriguez said, there's a lot of interest at play. So I will think seriously about your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.

DAVID YASSKY: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you,

Commissioner. We want you to strongly consider a

grace period or a waiver during that period where

the five borough plan hasn't been figured out and

enacted yet.

DAVID YASSKY: If I may, I would just suggest to you perhaps an effective date. If not dividing the entire bill. Dividing the entire bill would be fine, although, Council Member, I also hate to put the Council Members in the position of having to vote against penalties for something that is illegal but on the other hand is the concern you addressed. Perhaps an effective

2	date for the street hails portion of this bill
3	that is 60 or 90 or even 180 days in the future,
4	such that that gives me a deadline to get the
5	legitimate service up and running. Just thinking.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Do you think
7	that that would be a reasonable deadline, 90 days?
8	DAVID YASSKY: Realistically, maybe
9	180 is more realistic. Seriously, think about it.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: We'll speak
11	with Chairman Vacca.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you
13	for your consideration.
14	DAVID YASSKY: The legislative
15	process has been unfolding here, Mr. Chair. It's
16	been pretty impressive.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Chairman,
18	there's been several ideas that's been floated.
19	Because of the penalties that the outer borough
20	livery services would incur if this is enacted
21	immediately, there was a suggestion to separate
22	out parts of it so that the street hail was not a
23	part that would be enacted immediately, as far as
24	521, or to actually have it as another Intro.
25	DAVID YASSKY: Mr. Chair. I said

2	that I would support an effective date out in the
3	future for the increase in street hail penalties.
4	Council Member Koppell was quite eloquent in
5	saying it's a necessary service. He'd rather see
6	it providednot to put words in his mouthbut
7	it's better off to be provided illicitly than not
8	at all, even though best of all would be to
9	provide it legally. At any rate, I would support
10	an effective date in the future for that part.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.
12	We'll work with you and we'll look at the
13	legislation. Thank you. Thank you, Council
14	Member Koppell. Council Member Rose, thank you.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: You're
16	welcome. Now, Council Member Mealy.
17	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member
18	Mealy and then Council Member Rodriguez has one
19	quick question and then we have to move on to the
20	other speakers.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I just have
22	a quick question. I was going to pass. Good to
23	see you, Commissioner. What are we doing with the
24	taxi stands? How effective are we utilizing them?
25	With all these young people out of jobs this

summer, is there not an opportunity where you
could maybe interns or summer youth can work in
the taxi stands in order to make sureI think
about LaGuardia airport. There's a stand there.
They would not be able to know that if somebody
want to go all the way to the Bronx. They could
write it down and then when they get in the Bronx,
someone who'd want to come to LaGuardia could not
coordinate? Are we looking at any alternatives
outside the box?

DAVID YASSKY: That's an interesting suggestion, Council Member. Maybe we could pursue it further offline.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Council

Member Koo, I'm definitely going to sit down with

him. Maybe that's a good piece of legislation or

something we need to implement with the economic

downturn and our young people out of jobs. We

have to start--or even interns.

DAVID YASSKY: Some of the larger fleets have expressed interest in staffed taxi stands. Perhaps we could connect you or your office to the folks who have been talking about that and maybe something would come out of that.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
4	Council Member Mealy. Council Member Rodriguez,
5	quickly.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I don't
7	want to begging no commissioner to see a
8	councilman and community and have in participating
9	in this community meeting. But I say last time,
10	and I think when you started the office like, I
11	say why don't we come to the meeting and start
12	having this conversation. I think that we had a
13	good conversation with drivers and livery taxi
14	owners. Then we tried to bring you back to the
15	northern Manhattan to have the second community
16	meeting. What we got from your office was that
17	they would not bring you back to this type of
18	meeting. That's what they came from your office.
19	I think when I heard that you say
20	that you met with some people from the northern
21	Manhattan area, it was and of course like as a
22	commissioner
23	DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] It was
24	on 116th Street. Don't worry.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I have

ten bases. I have ten bases in my community that
they have an average of 300 drivers. I think from
day one I've been saying this is a great ideas.
Thank you to the leadership of Chairman Vacca also
meeting with the director interests I think that
at the end of the day we will be able to produce
something that

DAVID YASSKY: [interposing] We will.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: --will benefit the interest of everyone. But I think that being honest with you like for me the next step that I would take from here is to have my own meeting with all the livery taxi owner with the 100 or so drivers and come when they rally to make a message loud and clear, first of all, yes, the livery taxi they don't have--first of all, they are not illegal. And as we referred to undocumented people calling illegal, in this case and for record, like livery taxis are as the yellow taxis are hard working people. They're paying taxes. Most of them they're doing their right work as the yellow taxi drivers and the livery taxi drivers.

What I've been getting from my
community from the taxi driver is we would like to
have a meeting to hear from the commissioner and
to be able to put out input on this process. So I
think that this is something that I hope in one
way or another I would do a meeting. And I would
come to the step of City Hall with hundreds of
taxi drivers to be sure that the right of livery
taxi drivers is protected. I believe that the
best way to do it, first of all, I will follow the
leadership of Chairman Vacca on this, but it think
that as Koppell brought to the table and think

that we are open, I think that the solution is

not--we have to have a comprehensive plan.

We need revenue in the city, but we should not be going after revenue by giving tickets to the bicycle rider in Central Park, by giving tickets to now going after with a big army of people. The reason why those 40 percent fail is not because of technicality, it's because most of them cannot stand up when they go over there.

Because I've been there and I work and many of my family and friend they are livery taxis.

When they get to a stop in the city

probably they have one infraction but they get all the nine tickets for all the things that they will not stand up when they go to see a judge at the TLC. So we definitely have to have a comprehensive plan that we be sure that if we need to increase fines we will do it, but we also have to have a solution for those communities. No one who live above 135th Street and Broadway will see a yellow taxis unless that yellow taxis go from 42nd Street to bring a passenger. And it will not change because of the dynamic of economic. The center of the city that move thousand and thousand of tourism is above 59th Street.

And I think that yes, we will encourage, we should pass the law to put penalty to any driver that does not take a passenger to the Bronx or to the other area. But someone who is paying hundreds of dollars to drive a yellow taxis also pay to have a livery taxi and yellow taxi, that person will not be going to 181st and Broadway to pick up a passenger neither to 165 West.

My last question, Port Authority.

I'm sorry, Chairman Vacca. What is the agreement

ticket.

	2	between	Port	Authority	and	178	and	TL(
--	---	---------	------	-----------	-----	-----	-----	-----

DAVID YASSKY: Councilman, I know we have been trying to work out a date with your office for a meeting with the bases and drivers in your area. I don't want to waste the rest of the committee's time. I've got my calendar here. If you would like to set a date now, we can do that. Or we can just step out as soon as my testimony is over, whichever one you prefer.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

DAVID YASSKY: Do it outside, okay.

On terms of the Port Authority, is there something

I'm not familiar with? What are you referring to?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: There's

a police officer from the Port Authority and

178th. They ticket that they give is a TLC

DAVID YASSKY: Yes, our--

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

[interposing] And that particular, and I've been meeting with the captain of 33 and 34, because they jurisdiction of the Port Authority is that they can file or they can cover from 178th to 173rd. However, his target of those police, Port

2	Authority officer, is basically livery taxis.
3	It's nothing else more than just livery taxis. If
4	they will be standing for Washington at the exit
5	of the bridge, you will understand that they are
6	there for the safety and the security. But they
7	are controlling the whole area basically giving
8	TLC ticket.
9	DAVID YASSKY: Yes, it is the case
LO	that Port Authority, MTA, NYPD of course, are all
11	authorized to issue TLC summonses. I'm not
L2	familiar with the particular officer you're
L3	talking about.
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
15	DAVID YASSKY: Thank you.
L6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you,
L7	Chairman Yassky and the Taxi and Limousine
18	Commission.
L9	DAVID YASSKY: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Our first panel
21	is Victor Salazar, Beresford Simmons from TWA,
22	Bhairavi Desai. I know who she is, but I can't
23	pronounce it. Tell her to come in. The three
2.4	names will be limited to three minutes each

please. We thank you for coming.

2	[Pause]
---	---------

	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Ladies first
please.	Introduce yourself for the record.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Hi, good afternoon. I'm Bhairavi Desai, Executive Director of the New York Taxi Workers Alliance.

Chairman Vacca, we're testifying to oppose Intro 521 for the most basic reason that refusals are an economic problem and they require an economic solution. Punishing hard working taxi drivers is simply scapegoating drivers for the problem. It's not going to address the problem. This is an unfair proposal. To speak quite frankly, it's a pretty cruel one, especially when you consider that you're proposing on top of the revocation for the third offense, you would fine the driver \$1,000.

So you take away their livelihood and a revocation is 12 months. A loss of work for a working person who depends on their earnings day to day to day is equal to economic capital punishment. This is a very severe penalty.

What's most disturbing is that, since this is the Transportation Committee, I'll use a transit

б

analogy. To me it kind of feels like you're

putting us on a rowboat, pushing as deep into the

ocean, confiscating the oars and then punishing us

because we couldn't reach the shore back in time.

If you want us to follow these rules then you need

to make it economically viable for drivers to do

so.

Now, in terms of the actual numbers, it is disheartening to hear so much disbelief that the numbers on one hand are so high and on the end they're not high enough. I mean which is it? You've had an incredible media blitz over the past two months. You know, almost everyone in New York City knows you can call 311 and make a complaint against a taxi driver.

Five hundred complaints within a month, in a month, 13,237 taxis, even if you assume only 13,000 are operating on the road, that's 26,000 drivers in 24 hours. That's equivalent to eight million hours within a month. In eight million hours, this workforce received 500 complaints, which according to the testimony of the TLC, 40 percent are likely to be dismissed. Please do not overstate the numbers. We can

acknowledge that this is an issue without
demonizing the drivers and without overstating the
problem.

On the easy pass, which you've brought up, first of all, if you are not a vehicle registrant, which of course the majority of taxi drivers are not, you're not given an easy pass tag by the company. And so drivers have to depend on the fleets and the brokers to provide that tag. Yet, if there is none in the taxi, the rule currently says the driver is supposed to agree to not lease that cab out.

So if the owner didn't provide the easy pass tag, they're supposed to forego their income for that day, even if they're a weekly driver and they've paid their lease upfront. You know, you can't tie people's hands behind their backs and then expect them to do magic tricks.

That's basically become the expectation of this Council and the TLC when it comes to the economic reality of taxi drivers. It's simply not fair.

I thank you for the extra time you are giving me. I do want to state that we do have

a counter proposal. Our proposal would be, again, this is an economic problem and it needs an economic solution. The solution to us would be one, rush hour group rides from Manhattan, from the central district of Manhattan to various parts of the city. Most of these complaints that have come in, they come in during rush hour.

During that time in particular it is harder for the driver to complete the fare because there is more traffic congestion. But if you're doing a group ride, for example, from the financial district into Brooklyn and you have a maximum of three passengers, you avoid deadheading. It would be equivalent to having a return fare.

Secondly, we should be given the same turn rights as buses. If you expect us to function like mass transit, then give us the rights of mass transit. That is a very simple right we're asking for. Livery and taxi stands in the outer boroughs. So not only would you provide increased street hail service in the outer boroughs, but you make it economically feasible once the driver gets to the outer borough for the

likelihood of having another fare within that hour
to hour and a half. I'd like to remind you that
taxi drivers, yellow cab drivers bear an expense
between \$150 to \$190 every single day within 12
hours, no health care, no other basic benefits.
We need an economic solution not scapegoating the
drivers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you.

You're very articulate. I gave you extra time

because you certainly represent a point of view

that you've been most articulate in. Thank you.

Would you introduce yourself please? I would ask

you to adhere to the three minute rule.

VICTOR SALAZAR: Thank you,

Chairman Vacca. My name is Victor Salazar. I am

a taxi driver and part of the Taxi Workers

Alliance member as well.

As Bhairavi was saying, I will extend furthermore the situation that we live in day to day basis. We start always with economic hole against us taxi drivers. One of the solutions that I think is important is that to share the risk that garages and drivers, currently right now, they're not sharing the risk. Meaning

2	that the garages are guaranteed their lease income
3	because they get their lease up in front and
<u> </u>	they're guaranteed this financial economic

incentive for them.

But to us taxi drivers we have all the risk. The moment we leave the garage grounds, the risk is entirely to us. If we face traffic congestions, if we get a flat tire, all this kind of risk relies on our economic situations that we live every day, Mr. Vacca.

Furthermore, we never refuse. The refusals, we constantly been serving the City of New York for decades and decades. Refusals, like you heard before happens, and it will be happening. Thank you for your attention, Mr. Vacca.

I would like to suggest that the garages, if there is an outer borough fare from us taxi drivers, garages can practically give us a discount in the lease.

Meaning like if I do like let's say
two outer boroughs fare from Manhattan at any
given time, especially rush hour, I believe if I
come back to the garage, the garage should give me

a percentage decrease in the lease for that particular day because I have paid my dues, I have paid my taxes, because I'm serving the City of New York for many years because I'm a professional taxi driver and I will continue to do so.

So I believe if I go back to the garage after completing two outer boroughs fares, I should probably get \$20 less in my lease. This is a fair incentive for us because that way we will balance the economic situation that we face. Like I said before, the garages, they're secure the lease money. The risk relies only on us taxi drivers.

That's one thing I want to say. I know I have a few minutes. And in comparison to the numbers that you were talking about that you're so surprised about the 500 complaints that you received in the month of March about refusals. In comparison to the amount of fares that we make every day, we make approximately 250 million fares, excuse me, 250,000 fares a day in the entire industry. 450,000, excuse me. And that adds up to 162 million fares a year. And if you say you have 500 fares every month refusals, that

will equal to approximately 0.00037 percent per
year on refusals. This is the amount that I
haven't heard in this yellow piece of page that I
hope you will catch this in the cameras and will
remember in your brains, Mr. Vacca. Thank you for
all your attention.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you.

VICTOR SALAZAR: From all the taxi drivers in New York City, we definitely would like to oppose the Intro 521. Thank you very much.

thank you but I have to take this opportunity to state something that I have seen and I have heard, with all due respect to your testimony. Because what you said about taxi drivers being hard working family people, I agree with you, I know they are. But I have to tell you the issue of refusal is endemic. We can't deny that that is a serious problem.

I know 500 and you gave me that statistic and it was very graphic what you did, but those are only people who called in one month and there are others who did not know to call 311. We learned today that there are others who call

311 who never get a phone call or an email from
the Taxi and Limousine Commission following up on
their complaint. So therefore, a lot of people
wash their hands and they say why am I
complaining, the city is not helping us. They're
against refusals but refusals continue. So with
all due respect to both of you, that's how I feel.

I know people because of what they look like, because of where they want to go, they cannot get a cab. I know people who have approached me and I don't think that's right. I think my colleagues feel the same way. Now how do we address it? That's why we're having a hearing today. I appreciate your testimony, and believe me, I listened and I'm sure my colleagues listen.

I don't think we can deny the existence of a serious problem, the extent of which has been historic in New York City, historic. Sir, would you identify yourself?

BERESFORD SIMMONS: Good evening,
Councilman Vacca and Council people, chair people,
whoever you are. My name is Beresford Simmons,
and I've been a cab driver in New York City for
over 38 to 40 years.

I feel today that I've been beaten down, stepped upon and just totally abused by many of the things that I've heard today. Do I have a right as a cab driver? If somebody comes to me and call me a nigger in my cab, should I take him someplace? If somebody comes in my cab and sexually abuse me, should I take him someplace? I'm telling you about things that have happened to me.

My son was attacked about four weeks ago by three individuals. Beat up in the street, he defended himself, and guess what, the three individuals ran away and my son end up in jail and is about to lose his license for that.

Do I have a right as a cab driver? I don't think so, not from listening to this testimony today.

Because refusal is something that anyone in the streets can just see a license and write it down and report it to the TLC. And 90 percent of the time, whether you're right or you're wrong you're going to get a fine from the Taxi and Limousine Commission. So where is my rights as a driver? I'm talking about complaints that I hear from many drivers in the industry,

2 okay.

Summonses, six to seven summonses a day, from police officers, from TLC inspectors.

For one fine, I have two summonses today for picking up a passenger in the bus lane and I'm a wheelchair accessible cab, dropping off a wheelchair passenger. Where is my rights as a cab driver today?

Everybody has a complaint. If I'm off duty and I'm in the middle of the street and somebody wave me down, I'm not going to cut across three or four lanes to pick up that individual. That individual can take my number down and report that I refuse. What constitutes a refusal? You have to look into all of these things here.

So I disagree with the new rules that you guys are coming up with right now. We as a Taxi Workers Alliance is willing to work alongside any taxi and City Council people. To the City Council lady at the end, you have a great proposal about working with young people. For this reason, I want to make an announcement here today because I can see the livery base has advocate. Today, I'm telling you people that I

2	will be running for City Council somewhere in my
3	constituency just to have a yellow cab driver on
4	the City Council. Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER: [off mic] What
7	district are you?
8	BERESFORD SIMMONS: I'm in the 28th
9	Council District in Queens.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Two ladies who
11	are not from Queens. They're safe.
12	BERESFORD SIMMONS: Don't worry,
13	you're safe.
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I do have to
15	clarify one thing. Under the law, refusal is
16	allowed if there are justifiable reasons. You did
17	give examples of what I think are justifiable. If
18	somebody threatens your life, if somebody makes
19	remarks of a bias nature. I mean refusals are
20	illegal but many people do have those issues and
21	there is a clause in the law that's already
22	existing. It's not something we're considering
23	today. This is what exists right now.
24	BERESFORD SIMMONS: I just want to
25	give vou one incident Councilman I was sevually

2	harassed by somebody in the cab, a male. And when
3	I pull up to the police officer and tell him that
4	I want this man out of my cab, I was told that you
5	have to take him to where he has got to go.
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I don't know
7	that incident but if that is the case, we need to
8	educate
9	BERESFORD SIMMONS: [interposing]
10	Well, I'm just telling you.
11	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: As Council
12	Member Rose said, because thenDarlene Mealy, you
13	have a question, Council Member?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, I have
15	a quick question. I don't know what's going on
16	with society right now. I'm African American and
17	I know many times I cannot catch a cab.
18	BERESFORD SIMMONS: Me too. Livery
19	car service too.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Exactly.
21	It's something that we have to address because it
22	is happening. But I was wondering with this
23	legislation, have they thought of a lower penalty
24	maybe. Who is going to really go out and make
25	sure, like you just said, things like that happen.

Do we have a body that's going to make sure that every ticket is not a justifiable ticket? It may be circumstances to these tickets. If we don't have anyone really going out to really make sure that the tickets are legitimate, we are killing a dying entity in our community as cab drivers. To me it's not just that you're cabbies, you're a professional driver. Here it is, if we take this entity out, where are we going to get from A to B.

Not going to sign on to this legislation because I feel it really needs to be looked at a little more in depth to see how can we work it out together.

Because like my colleague, right here, Oliver, if you need to go somewhere you're going to take that cab, and it's not legal but you're going to take that cab because you need to go A to B. Then now to make someone pay \$500 or more, now we're killing a family.

If we only maybe gave them a summons first, not even a summons, a warning and then follow through. If you did a couple of warnings and you didn't follow through, then maybe a fine, but not \$500. So I hope that we can

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We have to

25

Т	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 97
2	be
3	BERESFORD SIMMONS: [interposing]
4	No, no, no, I know that you can move from
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:
6	[interposing] Technology wise, street wise, and we
7	have to really think about our livelihood also.
8	Because I'm for it, I'm not for this legislation,
9	but I don't really want to see families lose that
10	much money, \$500. I think we should try to find
11	another way
12	BERESFORD SIMMONS: [interposing]
13	Appreciate it.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:or make it
15	smaller because a lot of people who are really
16	doing it are not getting caught.
17	BERESFORD SIMMONS: True.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So I just
19	hope that we really think about this legislation a
20	little more in depth.
21	BERESFORD SIMMONS: And a little
22	pressure should go on the fleet owners to educate
23	the new drivers in the industry.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: That's true
25	also. Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 98
2	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you
3	all.
4	BERESFORD SIMMONS: Thank you so
5	much, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you
7	always for your input and I value it and I thank
8	you.
9	BERESFORD SIMMONS: Thank you, sir.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Guy Palumbo is
11	with the Livery Round Table and we have Mohan
12	Singh, self, Ozone Park Queens, and Richard
13	Thaler, New York, New York.
14	[Pause]
15	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Mr. Palumbo,
16	would you please go first?
17	GUY B. PALUMBO: Good afternoon,
18	Chairman Vacca and Vice Chair Rose, along with
19	Council Member Mealy. I am Guy Palumbo, the
20	Executive Director of the Livery Round Table.
21	The Livery Round Table is a
22	coordinating council for seven citywide
23	associations in the Livery/Community Car Service
24	industry. Collectively, we represent over 18,000
25	livery drivers, 350 base owners and over 8,000

2	telephone	operators,	dispatchers	and	customer
3	service r	epresentati	ves.		

Not knowing the specifics as to the actual events as to what prompted this legislation, and without passing judgment, the specifics, we believe that refusing service by any individual is wrong, especially if the reason is the destination of said trip.

We recognize the intent of Intro

521 and commend the City Council and the

Transportation Committee for giving this issue the
priority it deserves.

Denial of service deprives the public from using a valuable New York City transportation mode to, whether that's to, from or within the other boroughs, as opposed to the outer boroughs. I have to correct that. Sorry. I caught that word today. And we hope that Intro 521 will assist in servicing all New Yorkers.

We support your efforts and look forward to working with you on this and the other important issues pending.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Mr. Palumbo. Mr. Singh?

bear it.

2	MOHAN	SINGH:	Hi,	I'm	Mohan	Singh.

I'm coming from Queens. I'm working as a taxi
driver on the last three years. But as I must
say, I've got a big problem which I have to face.
There are many customers, they are abusing me.
Sometimes they use bad words against my
appearance. And I am an old person and I have to

So when a kid comes and says something regarding your mother or father, then how I feel, I know it. Sometimes I weep myself.

But I cannot do anything. And there is no protection for us and we don't know what to do.

Whenever we say something to them and they just report to the TLC and the TLC comes after. We cannot do anything else. We cannot say how we are feeling. I have humbly and my kids are in the college and I have to weep sometimes what I'm doing.

So the things you people take care only for the ones who have called you and tells you, oh, this person refuse me but you never see the person why he refuse. If you go to the TLC, they don't hear us. They just hear the person and

what he says, and he's always right, we are always

wrong. Many times we just keep quiet also that

what to say.

At this moment, I myself on the table saying that please take care of us also. We have families. We have to take care of the families. If you just put this much amount as a fine then we will go. If you want to kill us, kill us once and for all. That is my words, the rest up to you. It's not a small game. I'm 55 years old. And if this thing happens, I should kill my children also.

So what do you expect that if you drive, you come to know what all we face. But you are sitting on the table, you don't know what we are facing. When we complain they don't care for us. Even the policeman, he never cares. If he signals and they give us a ticket and what we say to them that we are not wrong, they don't care.

Even they beat us. So now what we should do?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Let me say this to you. You're raising an issue I'm very glad you raised. I've heard it several times. I think

you're getting to the question of what constitutes

25

Rose?

2	an acceptable refusal. I certainly do not want
3	you attacked by anyone because of who you are. I
4	am going to speak to Chairman Yassky about this
5	because I've heard this several times about people
6	who are hesitant to refuse because of the fines
7	but who feel very uncomfortable based on a
8	situation revolving around an attack on their
9	being. I'm going to inquire about this and I
10	appreciate you bringing it up. If that ever
11	happened to you, and I'm sure it has, I apologize
12	on behalf of the city.
13	MOHAN SINGH: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I apologize.
15	MOHAN SINGH: Thank you very much.
16	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: It's not the
17	intent of this Council to excuse that in any way.
18	MOHAN SINGH: The thing is that we
19	are notwe have to face only, we are the people
20	who are faced. If the brokers, we go to the
21	brokers, they charge what they feel like, we
22	cannot say. We have to pay them. If we don't pay
23	them, then we are lose, we cannot feed our child.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Mr. Singh, I
do understand that it's a very tough job and
you're in a very hard situation. I just want you
to know that, and I want to clear up something.
We talked a lot about refusals to take people to
the other boroughs. But my experience has been
where drivers make an assumption that because I'm
an African American woman that I'm going uptown or
I'm going to Brooklyn or to a community that they
don't want to go to and I'm simply trying to get
to the ferry. Something that I think is pretty
palatable to all drivers.

However, I've been refused because assumptions were made because of what I look like. So I would be the first to be upset about someone accosting you because of who they think you are.

If you are given a summons, when this summons is adjudicated, you have the right to tell them why you made that refusal. That has to be considered when this is being adjudicated. I just wanted you to know that someone is there to listen. There is a due process. I want you to know that the system does try to be fair, at least to hear both sides.

Mr. Chairman Vacca, I would like to suggest, and I suggested earlier, an education campaign for the drivers, and for the public. But here also should be a campaign for the police department so that when drivers approach them with matters of safety that they feel is a justifiable refusal that the police department doesn't then exacerbate it by insisting that trip be made.

Thank you.

MOHAN SINGH: One second. The last time I got a passenger and he was taking photos of the bridge. And I stopped near the policeman on the Brooklyn Bridge and I cannot call him because the guy was behind me. But that guy, the policeman, never saw me. I am stopped next to him, with a flashing light, he don't want to come out, he's just sitting in the car. And the guy who was the passenger it was you move, you move.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That goes to the heart of Council Member Rose's suggestion which I agree with. That is that the police department needs to know on what basis should someone like yourself refuse is that refusal justified. That's what we've got to get Chairman

2	Yassky to tell us that. I certainly think that if
3	there are those types of remarks made to you and
4	you feel threatened, to me that in the law is
5	covered by what they say is justifiable. But what
6	is justifiable? That word is so vague, I want to
7	know what TLC has and if they don't have it, I
8	want them to get it.
9	MOHAN SINGH: Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: There should be
11	an education program to include law enforcement
12	people that you may go to because you feel
13	threatened at that time.
14	MOHAN SINGH: Thank you very much,
15	sir. Thank you. Mr. Thaler, you are last.
16	Council Member Mealy had one question and then Mr.
17	Thaler. I'm sorry. Is this for the gentleman,
18	Mr. Singh?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Mr. Singh, can
21	you stay there one more minute please?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Mr. Palumbo?
23	GUY B. PALUMBO: Palumbo.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You're in
25	charge of the Livery Round Table.

2	GUY	В.	PALUMBO:	Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: That's of all the livery cab owners also?

GUY B. PALUMBO: We're actually an umbrella association of associations. We have seven associations that are livery based, both operators, fleet owners, drivers, et cetera.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You're fleet owners.

GUY B. PALUMBO: Not just fleet owners. There are individuals. Not necessarily a fleet. There are fleet owners, there are base operators and there are independent contractors. We represent all of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: All of them.

Okay, so you're for this legislation.

GUY B. PALUMBO: Yes, ma'am.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So what part of the burden do the owners handle if the taxi drivers have to pay summonses that are not fair?

Or like one of the taxi drivers said, flat tires or the alignment for a pot hole and they're out of commission. How much percentage do the owners take on this?

_	
2	GUY B. PALUMBO: We are not yellow
3	taxis.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You're a
5	representative of people who are leasing cabs I
6	believe.
7	GUY B. PALUMBO: No, not cabs,
8	ma'am. We are the community car service, commonly
9	referred to in most areas as the livery and
10	mislabeled gypsies. We are not. We are the
11	community car services in your local area. We, as
12	such, we do not get a refusal from the point of
13	view of they're not supposed to be taking a street
14	hail. Our vehicles are not supposed to be taking
15	street hails. That's why we particularly like
16	what Council Member Koppell had said about
17	splitting this or delaying it depending upon what
18	transpires with the Council and the TLC in
19	reference to the street hail situation.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay. I'm
21	for what Oliver Koppell said also. So we're on
22	the same page with that. But another thing you

for what Oliver Koppell said also. So we're on the same page with that. But another thing you said, Chair did we find out why this all of the sudden came up? I'm like you, you just said-CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing]

б

2	No,	the	refusal	issue	has	been	of	concern	for
3	year	rs.							

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We know that, but the increase in the amount?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The increase in the amount, I think that this emanated from an attack—not from an attack, I think this emanated from a refusal in the Bronx about three months ago. In fact, it was in my district. There were young men who wanted to go home to the Bronx and there was a refusal. And the cab basically almost ran one of them down. That sparked a whole furor. Then it came to light that we had members of the Council and we've had others who have been refused over a long period of time. So I think that that brought it to a head.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: To increase the fines. I know she said like \$350 and now we're moving it up to \$500. So we're doing all of this but who is going to really enforce this?

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The Taxi and Limousine Commission would have to enforce it and we've urged them to have better enforcement and we've urged them--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:

[inter	cpos	sing	But	if	they	haven't	enforced	the
\$350,	so	now	it's	get	ting	higher.		

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, they've enforced the \$350. They've given summonses. What Chairman Yassky referred to is that 40 percent of all summonses issued, when they go to the administrative law judges, are dismissed. Not 40 percent of refusals, 40 percent of all summonses, which we all agreed and I brought it up, was a high number and I want an explanation.

 $\label{eq:council_member_mealy:} \mbox{Thank you,}$ and I apologize. I was late.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, no, no problem. But that's what happened with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you.

I guess we will talk soon.

GUY B. PALUMBO: The comment or the question as to the history of it was it appeared, and we didn't know the background of it, was that it came by the Mayor and the Mayor's request and I said we didn't know the history or the reason for his immediate request. I remember the story, Councilman Vacca. I didn't realize they were

2 directly related as to the timing of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We're making it very unaffordable to live here. Thank you.

RICHARD THALER: Chair Vacca and committee members, thank you for this opportunity. I did not intend to comment but I heard something that Chair Yassky mentioned and I feel compelled to talk about it. He indicated that in the next 45 days, the renewed contracts for the TPEP system are going to be turned over to the comptroller for the comptroller's registration.

In the interest of full disclosure, my group, together with Melrose Credit Union, created the TPEP system which at the Mayor's invitation he saw and mandated it for the entire industry. What I'm concerned about, although I was very gratified to hear, that Chair Yassky said that the disabling of rate four would be included as a requirement in this new contract through the method of geofencing. We recommended that at the beginning of the original contracts, but at least they're doing it now, although it took them all this time. It would have alleviated all of the problems that you witnessed.

2	However, considering your oversight
3	responsibilities, I know that under the City
4	Charter the requirements for registration may,
5	under certain conditions, require a public
6	hearing. However, you also have the right for
7	oversight; there were many documented violations
8	of MasterCard, Visa rules, overcharges,
9	relationships that were unjustified. We do not
LO	know, obviously, without seeing these renewed
11	contracts whether these things have or have not
12	been remedied. I'm hoping you consider an
L3	oversight hearing on the renewed contracts before
L4	they're registered, independent of under the PPB
L5	rules that the city has to hold a public hearing
L6	on these contracts.
L7	I think you'll find it very
18	worthwhile. Thank you.
L9	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, I thank
20	you. I will ask our counsel to look into that.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I'm the
22	chair of Contracts.
23	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: The Chair of
24	Contracts speaks.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I would love

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member Mealy is the chair of the Contracts Committee. RICHARD THALER: You've got to be CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No. COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We'll be RICHARD THALER: One other thing. CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing] RICHARD THALER: I like bringing up the rear. Under the requirements of the TLC to implement policy, what was never done, system requirements and operating standards were never promulgated and approved by the Taxi Commission. These things were just incorporated the so-called secret contracts. It would seem to me that the TLC should be required to promulgate operating standards, publish them, vote on them and then if they want to include it in a procurement process, which is questionable on its own, that's another

matter. But the standards should be promulgated

2	as a rule and voted on by the Taxi Commission.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I appreciate
5	that. That certainly means that when they
6	promulgate rules, there's a requirement for a
7	public hearing, so that will mean public input.
8	There being no further speakers, I
9	want to thank everyone. I particularly thank
10	Council Member Rose, who has been here from the
11	beginning. Very good, Council Member. I thank
12	Council Member Mealy who stuck it out to the end.
13	There being no further business,

this meeting is hereby adjourned, 3:25.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature			Speak Links
			J
Date	May	12,	2011