CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----X

April 27, 2011 Start: 10:23am Recess: 10:34am

HELD AT: Committee Room

250 Broadway - 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron
Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.
Karen Koslowitz
G. Oliver Koppell
Michael C. Nelson
Julissa Ferreras

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: morning, everyone. Welcome to the Committee on Consumer Affairs, the New York City Council. Today's date is Wednesday, April 27<sup>th</sup>. My name is Dan Garodnick. I have the privilege of chairing this Committee. I'm joined by Council Member Karen Koslowitz, Council Member Charles Barron, Council Member Oliver Koppell and we are convening this morning to vote on three bills that have been heard before this Committee. We had a hearing on proposed Intros 344A, 466A and 467A on February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2011. We heard testimony from the Department of Consumer Affairs, Department of Transportation and NYPD, as well as from advocates—people in the industry, the New York Pedicab Owner's Association and others, as well as reps from the Broadway League and the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade. And we heard support from DCA, DOT and NYPD for the bills with some minor suggestions, as well as support from the New York Pedicab Owner's Association, the Broadway League and the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade. The drivers who came to testify supported the Council's

efforts in professionalizing the industry and generally supported the essence of all three bills. Several of them expressed concerns about some of the nuts and bolts of a couple of the bills, and as a result, we made what we believe to be necessary amendments to make them fair and reasonable. These three bills all are an effort to strengthen existing laws governing pedicab operations and to address ongoing challenges with pedicab safety on the streets.

Intro 334A is a bill that will prohibit pedicab drivers from parking their pedicabs in areas where they do not belong, such as in no parking zones, no standing zones, making those rules that are applicable to all vehicles for parking applicable to them. The original version of the bill would have also required pedicab drivers to pay for metered parking. After hearing testimony on that subject, we limited the bill to the issues of public safety, where pedicabs are today parking in no standing zonesparking in no left turn areas. A lot of this of course happens in midtown Manhattan, around Central Park, an area which I am proud to

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 represent, but it has been a real challenge for 3 us.

Intro 466 imposes a greater risk of suspension and revocation of a pedicab registration by targeting those drivers and cabs that have failed to have the proper operation of the pedicabs-pedicab business owners who do not properly maintain pedicab equipment. For example, a pedicab will be taken off the road if they have three safety violations, which are significant safety violations-failure to have seat belts, failure to have working brakes. We in the initial version of the bill had had a three strike penalty for lesser violations. Having heard some of the testimony from pedicab drivers about their concern that they could be taken off the street if their blinkers for example went on the blink, we amended the bill and addresses the more serious safety concerns.

Finally, the conditions on the streets today make it clear that we need to better address safety concerns and make sure that we have rules in place that are enforced and enforceable before we ever even consider allowing more

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pedicabs to operate. Intro 467A will repeal the Sunset Provision of the 2009 restrictions on licensing and limit pedicab registration plates to their current number of 850. You will recall that there was a window of time in which pedicabs could come and be licensed by the Department of Consumer Affairs. That window was open. That window had a fixed period of time, and then the window closed. Without the law that we are voting on today, the window would reopen and allow for additional licensing of pedicabs beyond their existing number and their existing number today is 850. My view as somebody who represents a district that is fully saturated with pedicabs is that we are at our limit. Perhaps we are beyond our limit, but we need to make sure that the cap stays in place, and that's what this bill intends to do. The bill also was amended from its initial version, which includes a mechanism for issuing additional pedicab registration plates, if the number drops below 840. So the DCA Commissioner will have the power and ability to license pedicabs to the extent that the number after an annual review drops below 840. I believe that all three bills

before us today will provide greater safety and consumer protections. I expect that we will have a couple more regulatory bills down the line to address issues which came up during the course of the hearing process as well as to provide more information for consumers when they are using pedicabs and that will be for a later date. For now we are voting on these three bills, Intro 334A, 466A and 467A. I encourage my colleagues to support them. I also would like to open the floor for any comments from any of my colleagues.

Council Member Barron and then Council Member Koppell.

would like to see some of these regulations—not on us, but the federal government—do the same thing from Wall Street. That would be very good.

Secondly, any position I take on these bills here—you know, they seem to be fair. I just want to communicate a disclaimer of any kind of connection with the New York Post, who doesn't like pedicabs, I almost automatically wanted to vote no on this because the Post doesn't like pedicabs, so I want a disclaimer to maintain my community cred in

terms of the New York Post. Thirdly, on a very
serious note, it is a green industry. It is an
industry that provides employment for individuals
that probably couldn't get or are having
difficulty getting employment anywhere else. I'm
concerned about the capthat particular area, but
as you stated, Mr. Chairman, if we didn't have it,
it might bring in 1700 more and overcrowd your
district. So being sensitive to that, that's the
only area that I have some concerns about. We're
not allowing any growth for an industry that we
may think is out of control and needs regulation
and even the industry itself is supporting
regulations, so I'll probably abstain on that one,
but the rest-and I think I want to commend you for
the amendments, the changes, the sensitivity and
the balance that you attempted to strike between
the needs of your community and the industry
itself.
CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Council
Member Koppell?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Is the

suggestion—I just was looking at the memo—that

the-what is it 840 registered cabs?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 8
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: 850.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: 850. Is
4	the suggestion that that is basically the number
5	of applicants that are out there?
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So, Council
7	Member Koppell, the number of currently licensed
8	pedicabs today is 850. What the bill does is it
9	keeps that as the cap. It does not reopen the
10	window for registration for new licenses beyond
11	850, but if the number drops below 840, it allows
12	the commissioner to go ahead and issue more
13	licenses back up to the 850 level.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And 850
15	was what was achieved when there was an open
16	registration period?
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Correct.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: When there
19	was open registration, that's all that applied.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: That's
21	correct.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: This
23	number is a two-year number, is that correct?
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: You mean
25	the amount of time it has been in place for-

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:

3 [Interposing] No, is there a sunset to the 850 umber?

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We do not have a sunset provision. The Council would need to pass a new law to reopen.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Hmm. Т see. I'm inclined to support the number because you say that's all that applied, but I think a sunset might be a good idea in the sense that it always would be good to take another look at it both ways. There could be too many or there could be too few, and I think having a permanent cap creates it seems to me a barrier to reconsideration although of course the Council is always going to be there, so I'd be happier if there was a more flexible approach, but given that it's the way that it is, I'll support it because of what you say that there were no more applications than 850.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Council Member Koppell. Seeing no other comments,
we are going to put this to a vote. We're
expecting some more Committee members shortly and

have regulations and rules and they're also

25

2	capped, and although pedicabs operate mainly in
3	New York City, I've never seen a pedicab in Queens
4	or in Brooklyn, so I'm happy to vote aye on all
5	three bills.
6	[background conversation]
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
8	very much and we are going to leave the roll open
9	and I thank my colleagues for their thoughtful
10	comments and their presence here today, and with
11	that, we will hold the roll open. Thank you,
12	everybody.
13	CLERK: Continuation roll call in
14	the Committee on Consumer Affairs. Council Member
15	Comrie?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye on all.
17	I want to congratulate Council Member Garodnick
18	and also all of those who were involved in
19	ensuring that these bills were presented and
20	passed.
21	CLERK: Ferreras?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I vote
23	aye on all.
24	CLERK: Current vote in the
25	Committee on Consumer Affairs now standards at six

2	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no
3	abstentions, with the exception of Introduction
4	467A, which is adopted by a vote of five in the
5	affirmative, one in the negative and no
6	abstentions. The roll is still open.
7	[background conversation]
8	CLERK: Council Member Nelson?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Aye.
10	CLERK: Final vote in the Committee
11	on Consumer Affairs is now seven in the
12	affirmative, zero in the negative and no
13	abstentions, with the exception of Introduction
14	467A, which is now adopted by a vote of six in the
15	affirmative, one in the negative and no
16	abstentions. Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Alright.
18	Thank you, Will. This meeting is adjourned.
19	[gavel]

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Kimbuluy Uhlig

Date April 29, 2011