CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

January 30, 2024 Start: 12:09 p.m. Recess: 12:41 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Yusef Salaam, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joann Ariola Diana Ayala Tiffany Cabán

Carmen N. De La Rosa Robert F. Holden Rita C. Joseph Christopher Marte

Chi A. Ossé Carlina Rivera Althea V. Stevens

Kalman Yeger

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Shaun Abreu Vickie Paladino Alexa Avilés Sandy Nurse

Jennifer Gutiérrez Pierina Ana Sanchez

Crystal Hudson

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING: (continued)

Inna Vernikov

Nantasha M. Williams

Eric Dinowitz

Gale A. Brewer

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate

	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sound check for the
Committee	on Public Safety. Today's date is January
30, 2024,	being recorded by Danny Huang in the
Committee	Room.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon, everybody, and welcome to the Committee on Public Safety.

At this time, we ask if you could please phones on vibrate or silent mode.

Thank you.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON SALAAM: Good morning. I'm

Council Member Yusef Salaam, Chair of the Council's

Committee on Public Safety.

I'd like to welcome everyone to today's

Committee vote to override the Mayor's veto of

Introduction 586-A and file the Mayor's Veto Message.

I want to recognize my Colleagues who are here, Council Member Avilés, Council Member Nurse, Council Member Gutiérrez, Council Member De La Rosa, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, Council Member Tiffany Cabán, Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala, Council Member Rivera, Council Member Stevens, Council Member Chi Ossé, Council Member Mercedes Narcisse, Council

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Member Sanchez, Council Member Marte, Council Member

Hudson, Council Member Paladino, Council Member

Holden, Council Member Ariola, Council Member Abreu.

Overriding the Mayor's veto of Introduction 586-A reaffirms this Council's honoring of the public trust, committed to promoting police transparency and ensuring that there is accountability for how the NYPD engages with our communities. Introduction 586-A is a commonsense bill that can be easily implemented by the NYPD using technology and aligns with the Department's existing practices of documenting and reporting police encounters. The bill will yield data that is essential to determining whether police encounters are conducted equitably and properly throughout the City. When accurate data is available, we as policymakers are equipped with the information necessary to craft and implement policy solutions to address any problems with existing practices that might be revealed.

A prior law passed by this Council required the NYPD to begin documenting on its use of stop, question, and frisk, reporting that ultimately revealed hundreds of thousands of people, the

2.2

2.3

overwhelming majority of whom were black or Latino men, were being stopped each year, often without legal basis. This data along with an informed and engaged public was a catalyst in the efforts to reduce the number of unconstitutional stops in our city. Unfortunately, the number of stop and frisk encounters has been rising in recent years, and the under-reporting of all police stops has been cited by the federal monitor assigned to oversee reforms required by the landmark Floyd court decision. This bill fills a critical gap by requiring the NYPD to report on all investigative encounters such that the Council and the public gain a complete picture of how police interact with the community they serve.

Contrary to some public claims, police officers will not be required to document casual conversation such as saying hello or providing directions. The NYPD's own training manual makes clear that casual conversations do not constitute an investigative encounter, and the text of this bill explicitly makes them exempt from any need for documentation. I think it's good to note that last statement again, that the text of this bill makes

2.2

2.3

2 them explicitly exempt from any need for 3 documentation.

The NYPD will maintain significant flexibility in how they choose to implement this bill, and we believe the Department has an obligation to adopt procedures that ease any administrative burden on police officers, particularly through the use of existing technologies.

I want to thank Public Advocate Jumaane
Williams and my Council Colleagues for sponsoring and
supporting this bill. I also want to thank the
community of advocates who fought tirelessly to make
this bill possible.

With that, I urge my Colleagues to vote yes to override the veto.

Right now, I want to invite the Public Advocate, if he will, to make a statement.

I also want to recognize Council Member Vernikov who's online remotely.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so much, Mr. Chair. That sounds awesome to say. That sounds very awesome. Peace and blessing, love and light to everyone.

2.2

2.3

I want to thank everyone for being here.

I have to say it is quite frustrating to be here,
sorry that we have to be here, but I want to thank
the Speaker, Mr. Chair, and our co-sponsor, Council
Member Alexa Avilés, and in particular the families
who are here and the advocates for helping us get
here. Despite all of the misinformation that has been
spread about this, this is simply a reporting bill. I
don't say that to understate the tremendous
importance of getting the information. Reporting data
is how we helped curb the abuses of stop, question,
and frisk. This is a continuation of that work and
the remedial process that was put in.

I just want to give an example, and I hope the media will pick this up. Mr. Chair, you appear to be a black male between the ages of 30 and 55, it's a Level 1 stop from a 9-1-1 call, it did not raise to another level stop. I believe that took less than 10 seconds, and I assume maybe even less once we have an app and a dropdown menu that works so this can happen seamlessly. That's all we're asking so we can have a baseline of what is going on when our police encounters or stops or however we want to call them.

2.2

2.3

we have been crying to have a
conversation with this Administration for two years
about how best to implement it. I have not heard them
publicly say that we can have that conversation until
yesterday. So much this misinformation, so much of
this trying to rip the City apart on the reporting
bill could have been prevented if they would have had
the conversation they now want to have after this did
its duty by passing a bill and doing its duty about
to override it.

harro hoon triving to harro

This is really essential work. This is not about preventing police work. This is police work. We took the Patrol Guide and used a construct that was already there and, using the work to get the information for other stops that already exist, so I'm hoping that the conversations will be fruitful, but I just have to remind how much we tried diligently to have that conversation. Saying you don't want any Level 1 stops is not a compromise. It is a gutting of the bill. Having a conversation about how we can do this as seamlessly and efficiently as possible is a conversation that we have been trying to have for many years so I'm happy that my Colleagues will be overriding this. Hopefully, the

This will be in addition to an

opportunity to explain your vote so, if there's any

2.3

24

25

to make for this Committee?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 remarks you'd like to make, we're gonna set a timer 3 for two minutes and you could go ahead.

We'll start with Committee Members first,
Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, I'd like to take issue with what the Public Advocate said. I don't question his motives. I understand that's what he feels will work, but, as somebody that's been involved with police, not only in ride-alongs in the past and this past Saturday, I see police work. I also listen to the scanner when I'm home by my computer. I'll just check what's going on in my neighborhood. Now let's say I have three police cars out on a particular night. They're holding, holding at least five jobs, that's five 9-1-1 calls. Now you're asking them to do additional reports. If anybody knows, if anybody ever followed a police officer around, you know they have mountains of paperwork now so if what the Public Advocate said was well, this is only a few seconds. By the way, there could be up to 11 questions with your Level 1 reporting, and the Level 1 is not a stop by the way. It's an encounter. That means the civilian does not have to talk to the police officer, they could just

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

walk away, and they're allowed to do that. Let's say an accident, with the police officers that we were with on Saturday night on the ride-along, if they had let's say a female was being assaulted, they have to now ask people, witnesses, there who might have seen it so let's say 10 witnesses they pull aside, 10 of them or let's say 10 out of the 11 witnesses they pull aside said they didn't see anything. They still have to report with this bill. They still have to fill out a form. If anybody knows about critical response time, seconds matter when a life is involved, and we're going to now ask those police officers to make a report based on those 11 encounters, of which really 10 of them we just found out that they didn't see anything so that's why I think this bill is counterproductive. That's why all the police are against this because they know their job. I don't know if the Public Advocate understands, but there is a lot of paperwork and, if you were at the ride-along, you saw all the paperwork. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you,
Council Member. Council Member Ossé.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you so much. I obviously vote age on this bill. It's a commonsense

bill. It's a basic bill. The fact that we have been
making such a fuss over this piece of legislation
compared to so many other pieces of legislation that
would truly hold the NYPD accountable is ridiculous
and also plays into what I perceive to be political
theater that the Mayor is placing on each and every
single one of us here today. I think it's something
that he's doing to try to distract us from this
budget that he's trying to push through. There are
multiple cuts that we're seeing across our City
agencies. This bill is at best going to be something
that the NYPD does every other day if we're able to
even hold them accountable on collecting the data
that they're going to be collecting. This is a NYPD
force that has a larger headcount than some
militaries across the world. We're asking them to
collect data, right. Don't get distracted by what the
Mayor is trying to do. He's trying to take our focus
off of his budget that's going to hurt New Yorkers
more than a reporting bill that we're trying to get
passed, not once, but twice. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council

24 Member.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: My vote today, I want to emphasize the unity. My vote will reflect the core value that we are one city, united and not divided. This act is a step towards ensuring that every resident regardless of where they live or what they look like can trust that their rights are being respected and protected. I have respect for my police officers. I'm very close. I hold immense respect for them. I want to work close with my police officers, and the division, the word that I'm hearing is heartbreaking so the commitment that we are here, we committed to, is transparency. I will give you an example as a nurse. As a nurse, I recall vividly that when I had to do paperwork, when we had to change the technology, we did not know, but when we changed the technology, it became much easier, and, if I'm doing the right thing, I don't have the concern about putting it because we want to make sure that the great police officers that are doing their work, that is not intertwined with those that don't want to do the work. Giving a report according to all the statistics we're seeing, we're seeing that folks are being suffered in the community. There is no trust between police officers and community anymore so if

we can make one step toward transparency, I think
it's the time to do it now. Before, I abstained
because there are so many questions coming to me, and
I did not want to make a mistake. I'm saying like
maybe there's something I did not see in the bill,
but when I review it and ask questions and go over
and over, and I say if I have to make one step
towards transparency to make sure the great police
officers that are doing their work can be outstanding
as they are and those that don't want to do the work,
then we can see it, so it is about trusting, building
trust between officers and communities. As far as the
Mayor, like the Public Advocate mentioned, we can
talk. We can have the understanding of what we're
doing because the City of New York is a great city,
and we can still hold people accountable if they're
doing something wrong, and right now, I'm so happy to
be part of the team, the Criminal Justice team, to
make sure that we have the transparency that we need
in our community.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we'll hear from 3 Council Member Paladino.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: This has been a bone of contention in this city now for way too long. Our cops have been under attack by a body of people here that I really believe do not understand the full extent of what you guys are trying to do. What you guys are trying to do here is instead of defunding, you're dismantling our police. You're tying them up with senseless and unnecessary paperwork when they have a body camera on 98 percent of the time that records every interaction that they have normally. It's got nothing to do with transparency in my opinion and in the opinion of many people who live in New York City. Public safety is the number one issue here in this city, and yet our police are underfunded, understaffed, and overworked, and yet we want to tie them up some more. No. Absolutely not. The veto stays, and yet you people seem to think, the people in this Body seem to think, don't, don't, don't. Did you hear what I said? I said don't, and I'm going to tell you right now. No, I have my time. I'm going to take my time. That's enough. That is enough. You know, everybody seems to think here that

2.2

2.3

in the making driven by legal experts, by advocates, by family members, by law enforcement, and by people whose rights have been violated and have spent time in the back of a police car under very different circumstances than some of you have experienced this weekend, but y'all don't know nothing about that.

We would not be here without the tenacity of advocates and families affected by police violence. For your unbridled determination to seek accountability, I thank you. To the Speaker and Council leadership and Colleagues, I thank you for your diligence and never losing sight of doing what is right instead of what is easy. To my co-sponsor, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, who has been relentlessly and unnecessarily attacked and yet has maintained grace and continued to lead with reason and compassion, a rare leader indeed. I thank you.

Accountability and transparency are essential in all aspects of City government, and the NYPD should not be treated any differently. This veto will be for every person who has had an unlawful encounter that took away some of their dignity. For every young person that has made them feel like a suspect instead of a scholar. You know nothing about

3	should not have to give our children the talk. You
4	would know nothing about that. For every person who
5	has experienced violence and harm and for those who
6	have lost loved ones, this will not erase any of
7	that, but it is a small step in the right direction.

that. Getting stopped by police is not normal. We

You should be asking yourself how do we stop unlawful policing, illegal policing, how do we use our

10 resources better, how do we stop racial

discrimination. That is the question you should be asking. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council Member.

Next, we'll turn back to the Chair before moving on to the vote.

Chair, you may go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON SALAAM: Thank you. I just want to remind my Colleagues to direct their remarks to the Chair.

 $\mbox{\sc I}$ will ask the Clerk to call the roll on the following questions.

First, shall we accept and file the Mayor's Veto Message.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Cabán.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Permission to explain my vote.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. First of all, I just want to thank the Public Advocate, the sponsor of the bill, Council Member Avilés, Chair, all of our Colleagues but first and foremost the advocates and the families who have been most directly impacted by this. I am a Queens kid who grew up with an older Puerto Rican brother, and I know the effects on a day-to-day basis for my family and my neighbors, and I just want to say for folks who have made it a recreational activity to listen to police scanners or go on a four-hour ride-along, that I was professionally part of the criminal legal system for years, I was a public defender who cross-examined police officers every single day in court. It was my job and my duty to know the inside and out of the patrol guide, to know every single piece of paperwork and documentation generated by a police officer for any investigative action and so I am here to tell you, as someone who works professionally within that system, this is not a burden. This is doing the right

2.2

2.3

- thing, and if we are going to solve the issues of racist, biased policing outcomes, then we must have the data to do so. We need the information. We will all be safer and healthier for it. You know what, particularly, and the reason why we should be doing is because black men, brown men will be safer and healthier for it so I am so proud to be part of this
 - COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: De La Rosa.

Body today, and I vote age on all.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: A moment to explain my vote.

who is the brother of Allan Feliz who was my constituent and lost his life over a Level 1 stop. For those of you who think that it is impossible to lose your life over a Level 1 stop, I ask you to speak to Sammy. He knows about that pain. Allan's son, whose mother I went to high school with, will never know his father, and so as the Council Member for Washington Heights where we have been at the crosshairs on targeted policing and broken windows, I proudly vote aye because their blood will not be on my hands.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 22
2	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Joseph.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I vote aye, and,
4	as the mother of four black boys, this is a big day
5	for us and all the students that I taught in the New
6	York City public schools. I vote aye on all.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Marte.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I vote aye.
9	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Ossé.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: I vote aye.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Stevens.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: A moment to
13	explain my vote.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may go ahead.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: There's two
16	things that I just want to make clear. Officers are
17	already tracking this information so we have to stop
18	pretending like they're not doing it. It is
19	happening, it is already taking place, and so they
20	can do it either during the interaction or after so
21	let's stop saying that it's going to overburden them
22	because it's not, and we also have technology.
23	The next thing we need to also make sure

that we're understanding, if an officer is doing an

investigation and they're asking for basic

24

25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

information, wouldn't we want them to track that
anyway? How do they go back if they need to go back
and get more clarifying information? So saying that
we don't want our communities safer is ridiculous.
This is actually about making our communities safer.
I worked with kids for 20 years who would often talk
about how they would be stopped, checked, all these
things for walking down the street. People who are
the closest to this problem actually have the answers
so maybe let's listen to them and not what we think
we know because we live it every single day. With
that, I vote aye to keep everyone safe, every black
and brown man across the city, not just some. Thank
you.

COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Ariola.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Permission to explain my vote.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You can go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: I just want to say that I am a mother also of three white boys, and I've had that talk with them as well to make sure that they have their paperwork in order, to make sure that when a police officer approaches them they roll down their window, take their hood off, and make sure that

they treat that officer with respect so it is not
just for certain communities because it happens in
all communities, and we all have those talks. What
this is is another reporting bill, a reporting bill
that is again redundant like many of our reporting
bills because there's already cameras that are work
by police officers that we just saw this weekend
depict what actually happened when our own Public
Safety Chair was pulled over. That is recorded
already. What we're doing with this bill is causing
more paperwork, bogging down police officers, keeping
them off our streets, slowing down our response
times, and being in a position to have people killed
and/or injured because of that loss of response time.
For that, I vote no.

COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: With a vote of nine in the affirmative, three in the negative, and no abstentions, M-13 Mayor's Veto Message has been accepted and filed with the Committee, and Proposed Introduction 586-A has been readopted by the Committee.

Chair Salaam, that is a full Committee.

CHAIRPERSON SALAAM: This hearing is now adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 31, 2024