CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES

----X

March 1, 2011 Start: 1:00pm Recess: 1:30pm

HELD AT: Committee Room - 14th Floor

250 Broadway

B E F O R E:

JULISSA FERRERAS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Margaret Chin

Daniel J. Halloran III

Jessica S. Lappin Annabel Palma Rubin Wills

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Good

afternoon, I'm Council Member Julissa Ferreras, Chair of the Women's Issues Committee. Today the Committee will vote on proposed Intro #371A, a bill relating to pregnancy service centers. would like to thank the Speaker and Council Member Lappin for their work on this very important issue, as well as my former Committee counsel, Richard Cordero, the General Counsel's Office and Committee staff. The goal of Intro 371A is to insure that women are not deceived by pregnancy service centers, are fully informed about whether or not they are consulting with a licensed medical provider, and what pregnancy-related services the centers do or do not offer. Women receiving timely reproductive health care is a public issue. Intro 371A would define pregnancy service centers as facilities that provide services to women who are, or may be, pregnant, or either one that provides obstetric ultrasound, obstetric sonogram or prenatal care, or have the appearance of a licensed medical facility. Pregnancy service centers are not licensed by the State of New York or the Federal government to provide medical or

б

pharmaceutical services, and they do not have a
licensed medical provider present to provide or
supervise the pregnancy-related services they
offer. We are excluding hospitals and physicians'
practices, because as with all areas that are
regulated by the state, there's always potential
preemption issues. In addition, physicians and
licensed medical facilities already risk losing
their license if they engage in deceptive
practices. Intro 371A would require pregnancy
service centers to disclose whether they do or do
not have a licensed medical provider onsite,
provide or refer for prenatal care, provide or
refer for abortion and provide or refer for
emergency contraception. Centers will also have
to inform the clients that the Department of
Health encourages women who are or who may be
pregnant to consult with a licensed medical
provider. Each of these disclosures refers to
pertinent information any woman would need when
seeking reproductive health care. The disclosures
are limited to information a woman would need to
know the moment she thinks she is pregnant and
begins to seek pregnancy-related services. The

б

disclosure will be made in English and Spanish at
the entrance of the center, in the center's
waiting-room area, and in any advertisement of the
center's services. Disclosing in the matter is
essential because as we learned at our last
Committee hearing on these centers, their
advertising is often misleading as to the service:
they provide and the centers often target young
Latinas. If the disclosures are not made, women
seeking reproductive health care may unnecessarily
delay prenatal care or abortion. The disclosures
are aimed at providing transparency and
alleviating confusion for women seeking
reproductive health care. They are not aimed at
curbing anyone's first amendment rights to give
advice and counsel when women during difficult
personal decisions (sic). Further, Intro 371A
would require pregnancy service centers to keep
all personal and health information obtained from
clients confidential, unless the client provides
written consent that their information may be
released or a disclosure is required by law. This
confidentiality requirement would not prohibit the
center's staff from reporting child abuse as

2	defined under state law. The Women's Issues
3	Committee is very concerned with the
4	vulnerabilities of women during pregnancy,
5	including their relationship with family and
6	friends, and their protection from potential
7	instances of domestic violence. It can be a
8	dangerous and volatile situation when information
9	about a woman's sexual activity and reproductive
10	health are not kept confidential. There are two
11	items being submitted for the record, we will now
12	begin the vote on proposed Intro 371A, thank you.
13	I'd like to acknowledge the Council members that
14	have joined us today. We have Council Member
15	Annabel Palma, Council Member Chin and Council
16	Member Dan Halloran. Would anyone like to make a
17	statement? Oh, Council Member Wills, I didn't see
18	him. Council Member Wills? Does anyone want to
19	make a statement? Council Member Halloran?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Madam
21	Chair, I appreciate the fact that you guys have
22	gone back to review the law that you're intending
23	to pass. However, as an attorney who's practiced
24	extensively in the appellate divisions, is
25	admitted to the United States Supreme Court, the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dicta of the language of the judge's decision in Maryland in my opinion as an attorney, still does not meet constitutional muster in the bill before In addition, I would note that at the us. Committee hearing that we had in this matter, it was clear, the Commissioner of the Department of Health indicated they received no ... no formal complaints, conducted no investigations, found no wrongdoing by the crisis pregnancy centers. Department of Consumer Affairs conceded they found no frauds, had no open investigations, and had issued no violations with regards to this issue, and that similarly there were no state agencies that had engaged in any such investigations. And I would note further that the organization who promoted this study admitted they did not use scientific method, they did not use sociological data, when they conducted their investigation, and I think that the city will wind up being in litigation over this, will spend a large amount of money defending what Maryland district Federal courts have told us is an unconstitutional statute. It is ... I appreciate the fact that you have gone back, and I do mean that sincerely, I do

appreciate that you looked at this bill again. I
do appreciate that you have attempted to make some
adjustments, but let me just point you to page 24
of the Committee's report, section five of the
penalties under 20-18. "Any intentional
disobedience to a provision of the orders issued
pursuant to paragraph one of this subdivision
shall be punishable by a fine not more than \$1,000
or by imprisonment not to exceed six months." Is
it really the business of the Council of New York
to criminalize the free-speech rights of
individuals, and to compel people who disagree
with negative signage and by the way, I can't
get the Mayor to give me negative signage for
truck routes in my district, but we can get
negative signage in crisis pregnancy centers to
make it criminal, to put someone in jail, for
their expression of personal convictions with
regard to a sign? I am not saying here for a
minute that women don't have a choice to make, I'm
saying that this bill does not give women a
choice, it reduces it. The records are clear,
almost 41% of all pregnancies in New York City end
in an abortion, and that's not right, regardless

2.

of where you stand on the issue of choice. We
should be spending more money to support crisis
pregnancy centers that help our women to get
through pregnancies, to make those tough
decisions, and to still have the option, if they
so choose, to get an abortion. We should not be
limiting it, but I appreciate your work,
Councilwoman, and I think you really have made a
sincere effort, and I want you to know I
personally appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Thank you,

Council Member Halloran, and we will now begin the

vote. Does anyone else have a testimony?

all the discussion that went around this bill. I know that, you know, we're about to vote. And I think, you know, in my opinion and going through certain personal experiences, I ... this is neither here nor there whether abortion is legal or not, or you know, the choice that a woman has to make when faced, you know, faced with certain situations, especially the issue of pregnancy. I believe that anywhere that a woman, you know, when a woman is in this position and needs a sound and

judgment choice about pregnancy, that she should
have all the information at hand. And I believe
that if she walks into a place, she should know
what type of place she's walking into, and the
services that are going to be rendered in that
place, and not be misguided in any way, shape or
form. And so, you know, I want to, when we're
doing this vote, to keep that in mind. It's about
making sure that women have all the information
they need before they make one of the toughest
decisions in their life, whether it is to keep a
pregnancy, or to go or to terminate a pregnancy.
They just need to make sure that they're in a
place where they're going to be provided with the
fullest of all the information that they need to
make that decision. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Council Member Wills.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: I just had a quick question. 2817D, it says that, "notwithstanding subdivisions A and B of this section of any pregnancy services center employee or volunteer has reasonable cause to suspect that a client receiving services at a pregnancy service

2	center has an abused or maltreated child, such
3	employee or volunteer may report", I thought that
4	it would be mandated, that they must report. I
5	don't yeah, so I don't know why the language
6	there says "may". Right, that's my only question,
7	is the mandatory reporting law.
8	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay, so
9	there is some clarification. There are those that
10	are mandated to report, and those that are not
11	mandated to report may report.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: But if
13	you're going into the center, the people who are
14	mandated to report, wouldn't they see the same
15	situation either way? So is that the safeguard in
16	it?
17	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Right, well,
18	those that are mandated will, and these will
19	probably fall into the mandated, and the other
20	ones will that's why they're included in the
21	"may". Are we okay, Council Member Wills?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Uh huh.
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Okay, thank
24	you. And we're going to be opening this up to the
25	vote, if the clerk can.
	n

What we are doing today is regulating centers that

2	are purposely deceiving women. They are
3	masquerading as medical centers when they are not.
4	And since we had our first hearing on the bill, we
5	have really worked very hard to make sure that we
6	are only targeting those places that are
7	deliberately trying to trick women. If you are a
8	pregnancy services center and you provide
9	counseling or services, social services to women,
10	you will not be impacted by this bill. But for
11	those who want to prey on women who are in a
12	vulnerable position, who are trying to get
13	unbiased, accurate medical information, we are
14	going to regulate you. We are going to require
15	you to disclose if you do or do not have doctors
16	on site, if you do or do not provide prenatal
17	care, if you do or do not provide abortion or
18	emergency contraception or referrals to those
19	services, and we're going to require you to tell
20	women if they are pregnant that they should seek
21	the advice and the care of a licensed medical
22	doctor. And we heard hours and hours of
23	testimony, and it couldn't have been more clear
24	how dangerous these centers are to women and to
25	their health. And so we have a very I think clear

and important interest here to protect these women
from those who are trying to deceive them and
putting their health at risk. So I'm very, very,
very grateful to the Chair of this Committee, I'm
very grateful to the staff who has spent hours and
hours and hours of working on this bill. We are
very, very confident that it will withstand any
challenge in court. We have worked with some of
the best lawyers in the country, and it is very,
very different in significant ways from the bill
that was passed in Baltimore. This is not a
first-amendment issue, this is really an issue of
truth in advertising and protecting women and
their health. And I'm thrilled that we are
standing up and doing this today. Thank you. And
this meeting and hearing is adjourned.

(END TAPE ONE)

(START TAPE TWO)

Opening a new notice meeting of the Women's

Committee. Right after we closed our earlier

meeting, we became aware that the fiscal impact

statement was not among the papers on our desk

when we voted on the proposed Intro 371A. We now

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

D.O. 0 Don't

Signature	(Curried)	
Date	March 4, 2011	