CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----X

November 29, 2010 Start: 1:18pm Recess: 3:15pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JULISSA FERRERAS
Acting Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gale A. Brewer
Elizabeth Crowley
Daniel Dromm
James Vacca

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Larry Scott Blackman Commissioner for Community Outreach New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Edy Stone
Executive Director, Green Thumb
New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Eric Tomin Vice President New York City Community Garden Coalition

Aresh Jabaidi Member New York City Community Garden Coalition, Moore Gardens

Haja Worley Treasurer, Co-Founder New York City Community Garden Coalition, Project Harmony

Deborah Gregg On behalf of Sarita Daftary, Project Director Urban Agriculture Coordinator East New York Farms

David Vigil
East New York Farms

Daniel Bowman Simon

Peter Kramer Le Petite Versailles Garden

Steven Frillman Green Gorillas

Amy Gavares Executive Vice President New York Restoration Project

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ellen Belcher

Member

Morris Shumel Community Garden, Children's Magical Garden

Dr. Benjamin Shepherd Time's Up New York

Migali Regis

Member

New York City Community Garden Coalition, Creative Little Garden

Stephen Kidd Community gardener CMT Steering Committee

Anne Ellen Maddigan Director of Social Services Rose Hill Housing Management Corporation

Joseph Miriana

Associate Vice President, Government and Urban Affairs Fordham University

Carrie Laney

Vice President, Government and Community Relations New York Botanical Garden

Jacqueline Morrison
Dual Language Coordinator, Literacy Coach

2.0

2.3

2	CO.	UNCIL	MEMBER	FERRERAS:	Good

afternoon. Good afternoon and welcome to the

Committee on Parks and Recreation hearing on the

present and future of community gardens. I am

Council Member Julissa Ferreras acting as chair in

the place of my colleague Melissa Mark-Viverito

who is ill and we are hoping for her speedy

recovery. I would like to thank Chris Sartori,

committee counsel and Patrick Mulhill and Chima

Abisher an analyst to the committee.

part of many of our city's neighborhoods and several multiple functions for our citizens.

They're our source of fresh and healthy food for people who may not live near adequate supermarkets. They're an oasis of green within crowded urban environments and improve the quality of life of all residents. They improve local property values. They provide youth with hands on experience and cultivation of life and give all New Yorkers an opportunity of productive recreation in the soil.

We are meeting today to talk about protecting community gardens, which are mostly

2.0

2.3

located on city owned property. New rules put in place have established protections that are applauded by supporters of the garden but we must make sure that these rules are strong enough to endure administrative changes and the demands of the neighborhood development.

I would like to welcome the

Department of Parks and invite its testimony and
the testimony of the public. Thank you. Okay,
and after we're done with the community gardens
portion, we're going to be hearing the street conaming. So if anyone is here, we're going to be,
the testimony is open after this part. Thank you.
And testifying Larry Scott Blackman.

Mark-Viverito, Council Member Ferreras, Council
Member Crowley and Council Member Vacca. I am
Larry Scott Blackman. I am Deputy Commissioner
for Community Outreach with the New York City
Department of Parks and Recreation and joining me
here today to my left I have Edy Stone, who is the
Executive Director of our Green Thumb program and
also Alessandro Olivieri who is our General

2.0

Counsel and also in the audience from the Parks

Department we have Assistant Commissioner Jack T.

Len.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you to discuss community gardens.

First I would like to thank Speaker Quinn,

Committee Chair Melissa Mark-Viverito and other

Council Members for their continued support and advocacy of New York City's community gardens.

The Bloomberg administration and the Parks

Department remain committed to protecting and supporting our community gardens and we thank you for your partnership and for working with us to achieve those goals.

For decades New York City's community gardens have served as spaces of community engagement, beauty and food production. They provide an environmental benefit to our urban landscape. In September of this year, the Parks Department promulgated a new set of community garden rules designed to better preserve and protect New York City's community gardens. These rules were issued in advance of an expiring agreement between the New York State Attorney

2.0

General's office and the city.

The Bloomberg administration is extremely proud of its record of working to protect this valuable community resource. Today, I will provide an overview of our Green Thumb program, a review of the new rules, an exciting change to our licensing process and speak to our continuing engagement and partnership with the gardening community.

The Department of Parks and

Recreation primarily through its Green Thumb

program is extremely proud of what has been

accomplished since 2002 to benefit Green Thumb

gardens. In fact, earlier this year Green Thumb

received a US Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental Quality Award for demonstrating an

outstanding commitment to protecting and enhancing

the environmental quality and public health, the

highest recognition presented to the public by the

EPA.

Other notable examples of our achievements are the creation of new rules, which strengthen and codify the goals of the expired 2002 agreement between then Attorney General

2.0

Elliot Spitzer and the City of New York, the elimination of the requirement that Green Thumb gardeners pay for private liability insurance, the over 45 public workshops we have held for community gardeners of which nearly 2,000 people have attended. Our stellar school garden collaboration, which currently has 49 schools participating and today's announcement, the extension of our license term from its current length of two years to a new length of four years.

to the city's fiscal crisis of the 1970s when private owners stopped paying their property taxes and surrendered their land to the city, leaving the city with more land and yet fewer dollars with which to maintain it. The majority of Green Thumb gardens were in derelict vacant lots renovated by volunteers. These community gardens, now managed by neighborhood residents, can provide important green space as well as serve as important community resources.

The Parks Department is committed to the preservation and continued success of our community gardens. As proof of our commitment, we

2.0

established new rules which were designed to incorporate and expand on the protections afforded gardens by the 2002 community gardens agreement.

That expired agreement, negotiated between the City and New York and the office of then State Attorney General Elliot Spitzer, did not make community gardens permanent rather it provided a transparent and public mechanism should certain gardens be needed for other uses.

By working with partners in the community garden community, the City Council and other civic groups such as the New York

Restoration Project, we were able to craft new rules that improved and strengthened the provisions in the original agreement releasing them on September 13th. Exactly how are they better? These rules offer increased levels of transparency and more opportunities for the public to be a part of the process. In addition, based on feedback we received from the public, these new rules contain certain preservation provisions and language that was not in the original agreement.

Active gardens are preserved as gardens as long as they are registered and

2.0

licensed by the Department and are under Parks jurisdiction. Licenses will be renewed as long as the garden satisfy the registration criteria.

Parks must, and I repeat, must attempt to identify successor gardening groups for failing gardens and has nine months from the time of default to return the garden to active status.

New gardens may be created and will have the same protections as existing gardens. A party licensed by the city to perform work that results in damage to a garden will be required by these new rules to return the garden to its pre-existing condition. The Department of Parks will attempt to provide notices required under the current rules to gardeners in other languages.

Again, these rules which provide much stronger protections than the expired

Attorney General's agreement were established to preserve our community gardens. There were those who spread fears that these rules were written as a means to further development. That is not and has never been our intent. Moreover, no active Parks Department gardens have been developed during the past eight years. Our record speaks

for itself. The Parks Department shares the passion, and I repeat we share the passion, of countless community garden activists who are committed to keeping our gardens open. These new rules go very far in securing this protection.

Under the provision of the 2002 agreement, Parks registers and licenses gardeners every two years. We are pleased to announce that beginning in January of 2011, gardens will be licensed and registered for a period of four years. We would like to extend our gratitude to Speaker Quinn, Council Member Viverito, Council Members Mendez, Garodnick and others for partnering with us on this important extension.

Briefly I would like to provide a review of the registration and licensing process. Groups send a representative to a Green Thumb registration session where they are given an orientation on the program's requirements, provided with information and given assistance with filling out paperwork. The registration and licensing, the city provides support to help strengthen gardens, strengthen gardener skills and also strengthen communities. Green Thumb services

2.0

take the form of materials and technical assistance including educational workshops. The garden group fills out a garden survey and provides contact information, a membership procedure and a membership list. The group must sign the Green Thumb registration agreement committing the gardeners to following Green Thumb rules, which require the posting of information signage and mandates that each garden be open for at least 20 hours per week to the public and that a key be provided to the Green Thumb office in case of an emergency.

Next, the group leader must attend a garden registration session, which includes opportunities to meet fellow gardeners and Green Thumb staff to learn about Green Thumb services and to submit registration materials. Lastly, licenses are issued to eligible gardens upon submission of completed registration materials. Gardens not on property under the jurisdiction of the Parks Department are not eligible for licenses but must still complete the registration process in order to receive Green Thumb materials and services.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We maintain contact and communication with as many of our garden groups and gardeners, as frequently as possible. Green Thumb staff, led by its director Edy Stone to my left, does an amazing job of providing technical assistance--was that a cheer Edy?

> EDY STONE: Yeah.

Okay, I didn't know MR. BLACKMAN: if we were going to get many today. Of providing technical assistance and support to the gardening community. Green Thumb has provided seed, soil, lumber and has 15 full time experts dedicated to helping community gardeners. Green Thumb's annual Grow Together Conference held at Hostos Community College in the Bronx brings nearly 2,000 gardeners under one roof to share best practices, interact with other garden professionals and communicate directly with senior Parks staff.

Speaking of which, I would like to take a moment to publicly thank long time Parks Assistant Commissioner, who has worked with community gardeners for many, many years, Jack Len.

[Applause]

2.0

MR. BLACKMAN: Jack, you can smile.

All right. And seriously Commissioner Len goes

out into the community, he meets with garden

groups and he works on some of the most difficult

issues so we definitely want to thank you.

We have long held an open door policy when it comes to providing technical assistance to community gardeners and Mayor Bloomberg, Commissioner Benope and Assistant Commissioner Len deserve credit for continuing to provide support to ensure that community gardens thrive and flourish.

In closing, the Parks Department shares the passion of countless community garden activists who are committed to keeping our community gardens just what they are - gardens.

We are proud of the fact that we house the largest network of community gardens in the nation and will continue to work with the community in an effort to grow together. Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony and I look forward to answering any questions you may have.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to acknowledge

2	Council Member Elizabeth Crowley from Queens who
3	has joined us and also Council Member Jimmy Vacca
4	from the Bronx. We have some questioning left for
5	our Chair but I'd like to give this opportunity to
6	Council Member Vacca.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you,
8	Julissa and thank you for your presentation. I
9	just had some basic questions. How many community
10	gardens are there in the city and is there a
11	borough breakdown?
12	MR. BLACKMAN: There are
13	approximately 600. There are roughly 282, which
14	are under the Parks jurisdiction and we can
15	certainly provide you a breakdown by borough. The
16	most is in Brooklyn.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: The most is
18	in Brooklyn
19	MR. BLACKMAN: Yes and there's a
20	large number in the lower east side as well.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I would
22	think that there are very few in the Bronx where I
23	come from. There're a lot?
24	MR. BLACKMAN: No, no, there are.
25	MS. STONE: About 150.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: About 150?
3	MS. STONE: I'm Edy Stone the
4	Director of Green Thumb. There's about, I didn't
5	bring a borough breakdown but there's about 150 in
6	the Bronx, 130 in Manhattan, less than 30 in
7	Queens, 4 in Staten Island and in Brooklyn there's
8	more than 300.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: You eluded
10	to it in your testimony but I do recollect back in
11	the 1970s when there was significant amount of
12	city owned property that laid fallow for a long
13	period of time and community gardeners came in and
14	renovated those properties, basically brought them
15	back to life.
16	MR. BLACKMAN: Yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Now, you
18	indicated how many properties Parks has
19	jurisdiction over. Who retains ownership of the
20	other jurisdictions? Is it mostly HPD or is it
21	what other agency would be involved beside HPD,
22	DCAS or how do we delineate who has what?
23	MS. STONE: Right now the great
24	majority of the gardens that are under city

ownership are under the jurisdiction of Parks,

just under 300. HPD has about 20 active gardens
still in their jurisdiction and there's somewhere
between 100 and 200 gardens that are on Department
of Education property but they aren't stand alone
gardens; they're usually something on the
schoolyard or on a rooftop. There are about, I
think there are six gardens under Department of
Transportation city and state, Department of
Transportation and that's basically DCAS doesn't
have any community gardens right now. Also other
gardens that were under DCAS jurisdiction were
eventually transferred either to HPD or to the
Parks Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Now, the rules that you intend to promulgate would pertain to property under the jurisdiction of the Parks Department or would this pertain to any property? How do we find out what the rules will be regarding properties owned by other city agencies? It's a significant amount. How can we just promulgate rules...?

MR. BLACKMAN: HPD, they issue their set of rules as it relates to those gardens as well.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: It just
3	seems to me that we should have one uniform policy
4	in our city regarding community gardens. Why are
5	we having several agencies owning these properties
6	and then we have each agency setting different
7	rules for the issuance of an RFP or the non-
8	issuance of an RFP> I think we should have
9	guidelines that are clear and transparent for
10	gardeners in the city.
11	[Applause]
12	MR. BLACKMAN: Even for gardens
13	that are under their jurisdiction, they will fall
14	under the Parks Department rules. The
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA:
16	[Interposing] And
17	MR. BLACKMAN:HPD has their own.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Do you have
19	a copy of HPD's rules 'cause they're different
20	than yours?
21	MR. BLACKMAN: We can provide that
22	to you. We don't have a copy here but we can
23	follow up with you Councilman.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Yes, I would
25	like a copy of HPD's rules. I would then like to

2.0

know how are you going to reconcile your rules with HPD's so that people are clear as to what they have to do. I don't think it's fair that we have that situation exist and I would like to know how you're going to bridge that.

Now, we're talking about community gardens that exist now. Let me take it a step further. What are you doing to encourage or are you encouraging additional community gardens beyond what we already have in our inventory?

MS. STONE: We are in fact encouraging additional community gardens. Usually what happens is the community group in the neighborhood contacts me about a lot that's in their area that they're interested in working with. If it is a city owned lot then I work with that agency, whatever agency that has jurisdiction, usually HPD, to see if it's possible to transfer that to the Parks Department for them to use as a garden. If not, they do sometimes issues interim leases for them to use as a community garden on HPD property and if it's a private owner, as long as the private owner provides permission to them to use the garden then

we will go ahead and work with that group, they're eligible to register with our program.

separating ourselves from the private lots for a second. Don't you think it would be a proactive policy for the City of New York to identify locations that are suitable or desirable for community gardens and issue an RFP, or to work with community boards, to identify groups in those communities. I'm interested in this and, I mean, the mayor's policy is to advance a greener New York so why are we waiting for people to come forth and say we have a location can you look into it and let us know. Why aren't we being proactive in identifying where we think we want them to go?

Now, I'm saying this because I'm a long time advocate of the green streets program and I think what Commissioner Benope and people have preceded him have done with that is great.

But I have to tell you the green streets program, except for funding that is sometimes provided by Council Members has come almost to a halt because of financial issues. So my question to you is why don't we embark on working with community boards

2.0

and groups to have the city identify locations and then seek gardeners to come forth and operate them based on our guidelines?

MR. BLACKMAN: I think if there were pareses of land that were available that the community identified and they expressed an interest, we'd be more than willing to work with them if indeed those parses of land exist.

have to know that and they have to know what your guidelines are. They have to know that Parks is interested in doing this and they have to know what your guidelines are. How can we come forth before knowing that there's an interest and that there's a desire to help? We're putting the cart before the horse here. It doesn't make sense to me.

MS. STONE: With all due respect, there are at least 15 groups a week that call me to identify the land so... The information on how to start a community garden is on our web site and is readily available. But I think that the Parks Department has done a really good job in terms of identifying what all property that they own but I

2.0

2.3

don't think that it's necessarily an equivalent
priority in other agencies. So usually what
happens is I am the one who is in charge of
searching the city databases when people are
looking for property near their house. And we
have also done extensive mapping of community
gardens in their area for them to join if they
wish to join an existing community garden. But
it's more of a question of resources than anything
else.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Well, that's why I mentioned the community boards. I'd think you have resources there and people there who would be willing to be in the vanguard with you.

One last--

MR. BLACKMAN: [Interposing] And-COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Yes.

MR. BLACKMAN: Council Member, if I could I would just like to add that many of our Parks Department staff attend the community board meetings. They attend the district service cabinet meetings. They are in the community and they work with local groups. And I also want to point out the work of many of our partner

organizations; we have partnerships for Parks for example that holds an event called People Make

Parks. And if people are on the local level, on the grass roots community level, if they identify areas where there could potentially be a community garden, be it in the school lot or playground or something, we're more than willing to work with those individuals to help develop those lands.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I'm

concerned about this because I represent the largest park in the City of New York, Pelham Bay Park. The largest park is not Central Park, it's Pelham Bay Park and I don't have a community garden in all the almost 3,000 acres in Pelham Bay Park. Has Parks thought about using some of their property to establish community gardens with groups? I mean, of all boroughs, my borough has a need for fresh fruit and vegetables and we have an obesity issue, we have other issues. And I would think that if you have parkland that we could use for this purpose, I would think that would be a good initiative for Parks to take up.

MR. BLACKMAN: We have to explore it further.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: All right.

Well, I thank the Chair. I mean, I just want to express to you my interest in this and I think as we go forth and then we have a 2030 plan. The mayor has articulated a 2030 plan but in the 2030 plan there's got to be a consideration to aesthetics, to beauty of our neighborhoods and our parks but there's got to be a consideration to the health and welfare of our citizens. And fruit and vegetables for people of limited means is not always available...

## [Applause]

I want to make my office available to you. But we in the city should have a commitment to this, proactively. We should be in the lead on these things. When it comes to fruit and vegetables and greening sites that are abandoned we in the city should be taking a lead. We can lead and we can get people behind us but rather than waiting for others to say we have one site here and one site there, I want to see a plan and I want all the agencies to buy into a plan. Thank you.

[Applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER FERREDAS:

2.0

2.3

that is planned?

COONCIL MANDER LERGINA LIBRARY
you, Council Member Vacca. As you're questioning,
I'm checking off questions on my list but thank
you. Actually I'd like to speak on more specific
of the default and also financing in the future.
In particular to default, under the new rules how
does DPR plan to take action against a garden for
default and what are the notifications or process

MR. BLACKMAN: Yeah, the new rules clearly define the default process and there are defaults and there are accelerated defaults, which are basically in the event that an abandoned property, an abandoned garden threatens the safety of an adjoining neighborhood, that would be an accelerated default.

MS. STONE: Some of the specific conditions that could lead to an accelerated default are listed in our license agreement but some examples would be drug dealing or drug use, storage of vehicles in a garden. I mean, things that are clearly not supposed to be happening there. A default, a non-accelerated default for a lack of a better term, would be issued to a garden

2	that fails to register and get licensed through us
3	or is abandoned by the community.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Can you
5	speak to me on the timeline of a default process
6	and then the accelerated, what's the difference
7	between the two?
8	MS. STONE: Okay. For an
9	accelerated default, once a notice is issued the
10	community group working with Green Thumb has 30
11	days to cure that default and then we have three
12	months to work with the community to identify a
13	successor group. And for a regular default, it's
14	a nine month time period, correctly?
15	MR. BLACKMAN: Nine months.
16	MS. STONE: We have nine months in
17	which to locate another community group who's
18	interested in taking on that property if the
19	existing group is not able to do it or willing to
20	do it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
22	And then I'm going to speak to the financing. How
23	does DPR intend on to fund and maintain its
24	community gardens in the long run?
25	MR. BLACKMAN: Well, the Green

2	Thumb program is well supported by the Department
3	of Parks and Recreation. The annual budget is
4	somewhere in excess of I believe about \$800,000.
5	MS. STONE: Yeah, it's just about
6	\$800,000.
7	MR. BLACKMAN: And we remain
8	committed to providing additional resources to the
9	Green Thumb program.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Are any
11	of these dollars federal dollars?
12	MR. BLACKMAN: Those are community
13	development block grant monies so they are.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. Do
15	we have any kind of talks that those dollars may
16	be not part of next year's budget or is there
17	anything that you hear in cuts?
18	MR. BLACKMAN: No.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: No. And
20	is there any thoughts of alternative forms of
21	funding as we're coming through, obviously, very
22	tough fiscal time is there any alternative funding
23	that you've identified to support community
24	gardens?
25	MR. BLACKMAN: We haven't

22

23

24

25

Τ	PARKS AND RECREATION 20
2	identified it yet, that doesn't necessarily mean
3	we haven't been looking.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
5	MR. BLACKMAN: But we remain
6	committed to unturn every stone we can to find
7	additional resources for the program.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
9	Will community gardens be made part of the PlaNYC?
LO	MR. BLACKMAN: Having not being
11	directly involved in those discussions, I'd have
12	to follow up but I would say that there is a
13	strong recognition of the benefits that community
L4	gardens provide. And I look forward to seeing
L5	community gardens in some form or fashion in that
L6	next reiteration. But there is a recognition by
L7	the administration as evident by our track record,
L8	that community gardens provide a benefit and do
19	have a role to play in the continuing greening of
20	New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: representing a borough that has 30 community gardens, Queens, I think it's important that we continue to identify opportunities and I think the opportunity mentioned in using some of our present

2.0

2.3

park land. And, you know, I come from a community
where we have Flushing Meadow Park but my
neighboring district has almost no parks so even
looking at playgrounds and other alternative forms
of having a community garden, I think would go a
very long way in a lot of our communities.

MR. BLACKMAN: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Do you have anything [off mic] Council Member Crowley.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you

Council Member Ferreras. I'm glad we're having
the hearing today because I have two particular
areas in mind in my district that I think would be
great spaces for community gardens. One is
actually owned by Long Island Railroad. Do you
have a partnership with MTA on some of this?

MS. STONE: Yes. There are two gardens that are specifically on Long Island Railroad property and one garden in the Bronx that's on MTA property. At this point, they permit gardens to be there but we don't have a licensing agreement with them but we have actually had quite a number of people expressing interest in expanding gardens on Long Island Railroad or

2	MTA property. And usually we've received a very
3	positive response from them, from MTA I mean.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: The part
5	that's frustrating for me is the Council Members
6	is that I'm interested in putting funding into
7	making this a reality happen. But it's difficult
8	to put the money in the right funding stream
9	'cause its Department of Parks, I already put
10	capital dollars for you to find this location but
11	there's difficulties there. They can't work,
12	we're taking that money from Long Island Railroad.
13	Have you had those types of barriers with the?
14	MR. BLACKMAN: I don't know if
15	we've experienced that where a member or there's
16	been funding that's been allocated for that type
17	of partnership but we have to take a look at it
18	and follow up with you.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Do you
20	always have community groups partnering with you
21	on maintaining the
22	MR. BLACKMAN: [Interposing] Oh,
23	absolutely.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: But do you
25	have any that you just maintain as the Department

guide once a season that has about 40 workshops in

25

it that are open to the general public but are
geared towards topics that community gardens are
particularly interested in. And we give away
supplies at these workshops

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: And if a particular piece of property is identified and owned by the city, how long would it take approximately for the community group to be given the okay, the permission to make it a community garden?

MS. STONE: It depends on the jurisdiction of the property. Each agency is a little bit different. With HPD, I usually get an answer within one or two weeks and then as soon as we issue the next supply then the group would be able to get it. With other agencies it's completely different. It depends. And with a private owner, securing permission from a private owner can be difficult for obvious reasons.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: But the

Department, this is my final question. The

Department of Parks is liable in terms of

insurance purposes if some type of accident was to
happen on that property?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. STONE: Only if it's on city
owned property and it's not really the Parks
Department that's liable, the city is liable if
it's a piece of city owned property.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay, good. Thank you.

MR. BLACKMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Are there any HPD owned gardens that are in the pipeline to be transferred to the Department of Parks?

MS. STONE: Yes, there are. There is four at least at this time that are eminently going to be transferred to Department of Parks so that's 4 out of the remaining 20 active gardens that they have. They've also been very actively working with me to allow gardeners to use properties on an interim basis if the gardeners desire to do so, if there's not a development plan upcoming. And if there's not a development plan in the future, they maybe transfer it to us. have a pretty active partnership in terms of if there's not a housing plan usually we're the first stop for them in terms of figuring out if there is a community group that's interested in gardening

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 there.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Can you just speak to me on the 4 out of the 20, what is this transition, why those four and how long will it take for them to finally be under your jurisdiction>

It depends on the MS. STONE: They haven't been transferred specific situation. for different reasons in each case. It's not that there isn't a commitment that they will be transferred it's just that there is some legal thing that has to happen, either ULURP process has to be completed or some sort of an agreement with a developer has to be signed off on. In some cases, the developer of an adjacent property has committed to provide new fencing or something in which case HPD retains jurisdiction until their developer has done what their developer has said they're going to do. It's completely on a case by case basis. I can't tell you in general how long it takes.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is there any support that's given from the Parks Department to those gardens in the meantime while this

2.0

2.3

			1
transition	period	ls	nappening.

_			_	
2	MD	BLACKMAN:	$\cap$ h	absolutely.
)	MIC.	DUACKMAN.	OII,	absolutely.

MS. STONE: There's no difference
in the amount of support that we give to gardens
that are under HPD jurisdiction and Parks
jurisdiction except for that we don't spend
capital money on sites that aren't in the Parks

10 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.

11 Council Member Vacca.

Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I wanted to go back to this analysis that we had about three years ago from the New York City Health

Department. We have supermarket deserts in this city; the term was green deserts. But we have deserts in our city where people can not get access to supermarkets; there are none there.

Now, when we talk about supermarket deserts has your agency looked at where those areas are so that we can encourage more gardening and green gardens there?

MR. BLACKMAN: We actually have.

As a matter of fact, there a number of places and one that pops to the top of my mind is around in

central Harlem in the area of St. Nicholas and
138th and St. Nicholas where you have basically
what is nearly a supermarket desert, if you will.
We're partnering with community based
organizations through a number of initiatives and
there's actually someone who I believe worked at
the City Council who is running one of those
initiatives and we've been in contact with them
about providing green market opportunities in that
neighborhood. So yes, we do that and if there's a
particular area of interest, Council Member,
please let us know. We'd be happy to extend it
even further.
COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Well, there

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Well, there are maps on line I think it's under the New York City.gov Health Department site.

MR. BLACKMAN: Right.

council Member Vacca: But, for example, 10 of the 12 community board districts in the Bronx are identified as food deserts. That's scary; 10 out of 12 community districts are identified as food deserts in the Bronx. So I understand that in the 1970s people who started these community gardens were viewed as pioneers

2.0

but I tell you the truth, we need some pioneering today because we now know the level of the obesity issue and the nutrition issue to a point where we did not know years ago how bad it was.

That's why I want you to understand my view that there should be, and I'm sure I could speak for other members of this Council, there should be a relationship with the food deserts that one agency designates and what another agency does to encourage nutrition and health and fruit and vegetable nutrition. So I just want to make sure, we have so many agencies into his city. I go to so many meetings and what I hear from everybody is that one agency does that but we don't do this and we can't give you that information because that other agency has the information; that's unacceptable. Every--

MS. STONE: [Interposing] We-COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: We're one
city so I come back to that point. I want there
to be a correlation between those deserts and what
you do.

MR. BLACKMAN: We look forward to it. I mean, the Department of Parks and

Recreation has developed a number of initiatives, not just related to food to help combat the very issues that you just articulated, one of them being on our recreation side we develop programs entitled Be Fit, Walk NYC, where New Yorkers can visit our recreation centers. Just aside from the food issue, the nutrition issue but just also the active recreation issue so we remain committed to that and we look forward to partnering with you on that.

asking Parks specifically to look into their inventory of park land, which is so substantial in the city, to see what you can do from your end as well as looking into getting more groups involved. But I think we have to partner in that. I think we have to have a city perspective and a private perspective and I thank you.

MR. BLACKMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you very much Assistant Commissioner. Thank you for your testimony today and we'll be calling up the next panel.

MR. BLACKMAN: Thank you Council

Members, have a wonderful da
------------------------------

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank

you. And our next panel is Aresh Jaidi, I'm sorry

I'm not pronouncing these Jabaidi, I'm sorry, Eric

Tomin and Haja Worley, New York City yes, Greening

Garden Coalition and Project Harmony.

## [Pause]

You may begin your testimony.

ERIC TOMIN: Okay. Hello, my name is Eric Tomin, I am the Vice President of the New York City Community Garden Coalition and to my right, Aresh Jabaidi, also a New York City Community Garden member and also with Moore Gardens.

HAJA WORLEY: Haja Worley,

Treasurer of the New York City Community Garden

Coalition and co-founder of Project Harmony, Green

Thumb, not Green Thumb, Project Harmony Garden.

MR. TOMIN: Thank you very much and good afternoon acting Chair Ferreras and Council Members Gentile, Van Bramer, Council Members Vacca and Cromley and also members of the Parks

Committee, I do hope that Chair Melissa Mark
Viverito gets better as soon as possible.

2.0

Today, what I'm reading from is a combined statement that has been put together by the New York City Community Garden Coalition

Executive Board and we've been working on this statement for about two months now. The New York City Community Garden Coalition was at the table during the initial process of drafting the new Parks Department rules concerning community gardens and we look forward to being involved in drafting the future policies and legislation that will permanently preserve our city's vibrant community gardens and create opportunities for the development of more.

We're glad to see the rules that state that any community garden under Parks jurisdiction will remain so if these rules are followed. However, New York City Community Gardens Coalition has several concerns about the new rules that are identified by our leadership, our members and our legal counsel. We believe our recommendations, which are detailed below would offer better support for community gardens and going forward, request there adoption into the rules, procedures and policies and legislation

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 regarding community gardens.

So NYCCGC recommends the following. In part one, we'd like to create a transparent processes for gardens "in default" and "accelerated default". NYCCGC is concerned about what criteria the Department of Parks and Recreation uses to determine that a garden is "in default" or "in accelerated default". For example, according to the rules a garden is in accelerated default if it, again "affects or poses a threat to the health or safety of the community in which the lot and garden are located". The rules do not provide any criteria as to what will determine this violation. There is no clear notification process for a gardening group to learn what DRP considers its' activities to affect the health or safety of the community. By the time the group receives a

notice of the default according to DRP rules, it only has 30 days to cure that received problem.

I'm going to hand the microphone over to Aresh, who is going to identify three of the solutions in part one.

MR. JABAIDI: And actually these

three actually do respond to some of the question Councilwoman Ferreras had in regards to what the default and the violations are and how exactly those are the weaknesses that we would like to strengthen as we go on. And again, we do realize that this administration is very committed to community gardens and we thank the mayor's administration. We just want to make sure that as this gets passed to the next mayor, whoever that may be, that they don't find a loophole or a specific weakness in that, that allows them to possibly hurt the community gardens on long term.

So one and two specifically address the weaknesses and then the third one, which was something that we differ from Commissioner

Blackman is in regards to gardens being permanent and that's a big issue that we will be working with them, hopefully, to address.

One, to form an advisory group to clarify and correct persistent violations. We recommend that a Green Thumb advisory group will be formed with community gardenists [phonetic] as a majority of members. This group would deal with violations and could include NYCCGC and other

2.0

2.3

community and greening groups to work directly
with individual gardening groups and gardenists to
correct violations that have persisted and may
lead to a default. This group would need
guidelines, documented criteria for default and
accelerated default.

Two, form a review panel for transparent processes and decisions on default.

Under the current rules, the final determination of a default is at the sole discretion of the DPR's Commissioner. To create a transparent process before the Commissioner's determination, we recommend a panel of three individuals be formed to hold an evidentiary hearing to arrive at a recommendation for action.

One of the individuals would be chosen by DPR, another chosen by community gardeners and the third person would be chosen somebody that we both agree to. The Commissioner would then accept or reject the panel's determination. In the event that the Commissioner rejects the panel's decision, a court could review if the rejection was proper. And one of our lawyers, Norman Seagull, has been successful in

the past having these procedures instituted at New York City administration agencies.

Third, and this is quite a big one.

It is that—oh, actually third is not the one.

Part two is the one that Haja will talk about.

This is a simple thing and I think from what you are hearing is that the Parks Department is going to be creating a web site for informing the community gardeners. We recommend that Green

Thumb establish a dedicated public web site where notifications to community gardens are posted.

Automated alerts regarding infractions can be sent via RSS web feed subscription.

Green Thumb would publish and post persistent violations 30 days after the infraction as well as individual community garden default notification. Notices of garden default should also be mailed and emailed to all members of the gardening group as registered with Green Thumb.

And again, we think and believe that it's a web site that is being created and I will pass the next area to Haja and this is a really key part that we want to, like, underline and get support.

MR. WORLEY: Good afternoon. Whoa,

good afternoon once again. I want to thank first of all the Council Members for this hearing and particularly Council Member Vacca for his suggestions and for his concern about how we proceed in the future. I'm going to read part two and 3.

Part two, identify all permanently protected community gardens. It is New York Community Gardens Coalitions contention that all gardens listed in the offer for preservation section of the New York State Attorney General's 2002 community garden agreement are permanently protected by that document. We request a fully documented list of actions on all community gardens listed by the agreement. This includes the 198 community gardens listed in the agreement as well as those which were later transferred, swapped and added to the list after 2002.

Part three, collectively forge new legislation and policy. The New York City Community Garden Coalition is mindful that the DPR rules cover only community gardens that are under DPR. Other gardens fall under the jurisdiction of many other agencies, including Housing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Preservation and Development, Department of Education, Department of Transportation and New York City Housing Authority and are not covered by these rules. We are very excited to be working in a truly shared process with Speaker Quinn, Parks Council Chair Viverito and the Mayor's office to create legislation and policies extending permanent protections to support all current and future community gardens and urban farms. collaboration will provide the neighborhoods in our city with all the beauty and improved quality of life that nature provides as well as access to nutritious, locally grown food, opportunities for recreation, therapy, exercise, education and inter generational and cross cultural connections. Thank you.

MALE VOICE: Any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you very much for your testimony. In your mentioning of the default, if you could just highlight where has there been an issue where we had the 30 day window other than drugs being sold or used in the community gardens. Where have you seen or have you identified an issue where there may be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something that you can remedy in 30 days, that you see that it's not included in the opportunity for someone to correct it, for the community garden to correct it.

MR. TOMIN: We are talking about is the writing the language. It can be read different ways. At this present moment and I strongly do believe that when there is a garden that anything is up, I think there's letters goes out and as Edy Stone as pointed out, 95% of them get corrected. There's some persistent ones that will continue to have formed this group that would then help those specific things. Let's say if it's a gardener that only runs it by himself or herself and is kind of being bossy and not really sharing the space, that's one of the things. we hopefully work with that gardener or if that gardener is not willing to, replace the gardener so that's the majority of cases.

However, let's say another
administration decides to use the language in
there, which says anything that affect the health
or safety of the community. So let's say somebody
is keeping the sidewalk dirty or spitting, that's

2	against, you can find very small kind of reasoning
3	and so because we don't have a specific way of
4	knowing that that's affecting the health of the
5	community. You can basically say different
6	criterias that may not be something that's as,
7	let's say, drug use or something obviously as that
8	so we want to make sure we can, like, address
9	that.
10	MR. JABAIDI: Thank you. I think
11	what the Coalition was addressing was the
12	vagueness of the definitions between default and
13	accelerated default. We are asking for a little
14	bit more transparent guidelines. Thank you.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank
16	you. Questions? Thank you very much for your
17	testimony today.
18	ALL: Thank you.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Deborah
20	Gregg, David Vigil and Carey Lansey or Larry, the
21	New York Botanical Garden.
22	[Pause]
23	Daniel Bowman Simon, this isI'm
24	Melissa today. Come on in and we've been joined
25	by Council Member Danny Dromm of Oueens. Since

2	we're having a hearing on the street renaming, co-
3	naming, if we do call you up please just let us
4	know and we'll hold your testimony until after.
5	Are you here for the street renaming? No, parks
6	okay, good. Thank you. We have testimony.
7	She'll pick that up for you. And you may begin.
8	DEBORAH GREGG: So my name is
9	Deborah Gregg, I'm the Urban Agriculture
10	Coordinator at East New York Farms and I'm
11	speaking today on behalf of Sarita Daftary, our
12	Project Director. So thank you for this
13	opportunity to testify in support of our city's
14	community gardens. East New York Farms is a
15	network of over a dozen community gardens and over
16	50 gardeners who work together to keep our
17	community vibrant and healthy. Since we have
18	formed in '98 we have grown over 100,000 pounds of
19	fresh produce and provided internships for 140
20	young people.
21	Community gardens are nourishing
22	East New York in many ways. When no one else was
23	willing to invest, when businesses where leaving,
24	when banks would not issue loans in our community,

when landlords were bringing down their one

2.0

buildings to collect insurance money, neighbors came together to convert vacant blighted lots into community spaces for rest, learning, gathering, greening and growing. It is not enough for these gardeners to pour their time and energy and hearts into cleaning up these lots to know that they can keep gardening as long as the mayor feels favorably towards gardens or as long as no developers show an interest in their lands.

Gardens are a single solution that can address many of the challenges our community faces. And we want to know that these community resources will be preserved for the long term.

While we have been impressed with the city's efforts to improve health and create a greener New York, we are disappointed by the failure to make the connections that gardeners have already made, that good health is not just about not drinking soda and avoiding trans fats but heavily dependent on what you do eat and what you do eat is heavily dependent on what is available. And the reality in many of our New York City communities, especially low income communities and especially communities of color,

2.0

is that healthy food isn't available.

Not only do gardens provide access to fresh food that is so lacking, they create food access in the greenest and most empowering way possible by allowing us to grow our own food right here. The ability to do so is so critical that it needs to be firmly guaranteed. In her Food Works plan, Council Speaker Quinn sites the intention for City Council to pursue policies to achieve long term garden conservation along with the addition of new gardens.

We urge the City Council to quickly and thoroughly fulfill this commitment with a recognition that the current Parks Department rules are subject to change with the next administration and do not provide the long term protections that are needed. We strongly urge Council Members to review the policies adopted by other cities and introduce legislation that will work in the text of our dynamic city while at the same time ensuring that our gardens and therefore our precious open space and access to healthy food are not under constant threat of development. Thank you.

DAVID VIGIL: My name is David

Vigil. I work with Deborah at East New York Farms

as well so I'll just get to the point because I

think Deborah shared a lot about what we do. And

I want to thank you guys for taking the

opportunity to listen this afternoon to the

testimony and the state of the gardens.

Now that the season has coming to a close it's a good time for us to look at the work that we have done and to consider some of our goals for the future. I was happy to read the new Food Works policy recommendations and see gardens get the credit they deserve as urban food production sites. We are under no illusions that gardens will be able to feed every New Yorker. We have tried to show how working together, while coordinating gardens, can make a significant contribution to the households of many people beyond the membership of the garden.

Many have testified and many will testify today to the importance of gardens and all the benefits that they provide this city. The work that we do in East New York is also being done in the South Bronx and Bed Sty and Harlem, in

2.0

2.3

2 Long Island City and in many other neighborhoods.

3 For our work to continue in earnest, we need to

4 know that gardens will be a part of the city's

5 future.

The new Parks rules governing gardens holds some promising beginnings but they're not enough. I hope that City Council will draft a legislation that provides long term protections to gardens so that urban farmers, gardeners and garden educators can focus on more important work like building food security and training future leaders.

permanent fixtures in our city. In cases where a garden is threatened future legislation should provide firm proof, transparency and communication between the gardens and the city so that we may find solutions that are amenable to all parties. Protecting, promoting and supporting gardens is difficult work but it's important work. I hope that City Council will have the boldness and vision to truly support gardens and make the lasting legacy for the future.

DANIEL BOWMAN SIMON: Hi, my name

2.0

is Daniel Bowman Simon. I gave this same testimony at the Parks Department hearing in August but I think it's important to have this history on the record for the legislative branch of our city's government so sorry if I'm boring anybody with repetition and I want to extend a get well greeting to Councilwoman Mark-Viverito.

Community gardens are essential to the vibrancy of New York City for numerous reasons. The unique educational opportunities that gardens provide for our youth are just some of these benefits. A look at the rise and fall of school gardens in New York City's past can help guide us into the future for school gardens and community gardens.

In 1902 Fanny Grisam Parsons
started the children's school farm in Dewet
Clinton Park on 54th Street and 12th Avenue in
Manhattan. She wrote that, "I did not start a
garden simply to grow a few vegetables and
flowers". The garden was used as a means to show
how willing and anxious children are to work and
to teach them in their work some necessary civic
virtues, private care of public property, economy,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

honesty, application, concentration, self government, civic pride, justice, the dignity of labor and the love of nature by opening to their minds the little we know of her mysteries, more wonderful than any fairy tale.

Mrs. Parsons became the director of the New York City Parks Department Shoulder and School Farms Bureau and by 1908 the New York Times reported 80 school farms now running here, movement which started at Dewet Clinton Park has spread rapidly. As Laura Lawson told in her book, City Bountiful, a Century of Community Gardening in America, Manhattan principal Margaret Knox wrote, "That when the signs of spring asked for by the teacher brings only the answer yes, ma'am I know when spring is here because the saloons put on their swinging doors, is it not worthwhile to lead such a child to notice other signs of spring? To me, this is what a school garden means in a crowded district."

In 1917 the New York City

Department of Education published School Gardens

for Public Schools of New York City to facilitate

more school gardens because our efforts to lay out

2.0

public parks in private gardens have proved inadequate to reach mass numbers of children who grow up in an environment from which the charm and beauty of nature absolutely barren. By 1925, 99

New York City public elementary schools reported school gardens. By 1930, 244 gardens were reported and that number jumped 24% to 302 gardens in 1931 when the New York Times reported 65 acres of school gardens under cultivation.

But over time most of these acres of gardens vanished as the general pressure of a growing population and teacher parking lots took priority over the myriad benefits that these gardens offer to their respective communities.

Even the children's school farm in Dewet Clinton Park disappeared to make way for what is now know as the West Side Highway. Perhaps the school garden advocates who have worked so hard to secure these gardens never expected that they would disappear or simply are not around to fight for their survival.

Fast forward to the 21st century, era where Richard Lou starts off his book, Last Child in the Woods: Saving our Children from

Nature Deficit Disorder with a quote from a San Diego fourth grader, "I like to play indoors better because that's where all the electrical outlets are." In the past few years school gardens have seen a significant resurgence in popularity starting in communities across America. And right at the White House, First Lady Michelle Obama has repeatedly attributed her experience gardening with DC public school students as the impetus for her Let's Move initiative to end childhood obesity and raise a healthier generation of kids in America and worldwide.

Right here in New York City of may

13th of this year, in the school garden of

Brooklyn PS 29, New York mayor Michael Bloomberg

joined by Rachel Ray explained that school gardens
encourage more young New Yorkers to eat healthier

diets and help them understand where their food

comes from. New York City Council Speaker

Christine Quinn told New Yorkers that teaching

children about healthy eating and where our food

comes form is as valuable as teaching them how to

read and write. New York City School Chancellor

Joel Kline said that research shows that school

2.0

2.3

gardens are excellent learning environments and students exposed to them do better on science exams. I'm hopeful that he will leave that memo for whoever secedes him.

The resurgence of interest in school gardens means that many more of this city's 1.1 million public school students, their 80,000 teachers and of course the PTAs will want to incorporate gardening into their education and curriculum. Not every school's as fortunate at PS 29 to have space available for cultivation. Therefore we will likely need to call on the talented and uniquely suited community gardeners of this city to do their part and create partnerships with nearby schools to provide such educational opportunities.

Some might ask if we can afford to ensure permanency of our community gardens for our children and for future generations but really the question we need to be asking is can we afford not to. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you very much for your testimony. Council Member do you have any questions? Okay. And we've been

joined by Council Member Gale Brewer of Manhattan.

And I'm sure that had Chair Viverito been here she would have definitely expressed her gratitude to the work that you do and a lot of the other members of community gardens so I thank you. And I definitely will get her well wished messages to her. And we'd like to call up the next panel, Peter Kramer, Le Petite Versailles Garden, Amy Gavares, the New York Restoration Project and Steven Frillman, Green Gorillas.

[Pause]

And before we begin the additional testimony, we have one more panel or two more panels and then we have the street renaming panels so just to give you an update. And you may begin.

PETER KRAMER: Hi, my name is Peter Kramer. I represent the community garden, Le Petite Versailles. Thank you to the Parks and Recreation Committee for holding this hearing.

Dear Chair Melissa Mark-Viverito, I'm here to speak in favor of New York City's community gardens. Gardens are important and essential public spaces that provide food, recreation and culture to both New Yorkers and international

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

visitors. Gardens are the lifeblood of our air and food for thought and nourishment.

In 1996 Allied Productions Inc, a 30 year old non profit arts umbrella organization became the founders and stewards of Le Petite Versailles, a New York City Parks Green Thumb garden on the lower east side of Manhattan. are a place for plants and people. Allied provides free public arts programming during the garden season from may to November. The garden, the community, rather and the garden we represent needs this resource as a place to organize and advocate for better neighborhoods and quality of life concerns such as the environment, LGBT use and community exchanges that strengthen the diversity of our city as well as a place to relax, meditate and appreciate nature.

I request that you as Chair of the Parks and Recreation Committee urge your City Council colleagues to deny any efforts of new rules and regulations that facilitate the removal of gardens and that you support all efforts to make permanent the current and future gardens of New York City. Thank you very much.

that happen.

Steve Frillman and I'm from a group called the

Green Gorillas and we've had the great privilege

and opportunity to be working with grass roots

groups who have been creating community gardens

for, it's been now 37 years. And we hope to be

able to work with grass roots groups creating and

maintaining community gardens for another 37 years

and hopefully this will be the beginning of an

opportunity for us all to work together to make

We've actually really benefited from being able to work with City Council members to support community gardens and community gardeners in their districts. We've been lucky enough to work with Council Member Robert Jackson in upper Manhattan, Council Member Diana Reyna out in Brooklyn who've allocated discretionary funding to support community gardeners in their district to not only improve their gardens but also reach out to the community and hold events so we sort of have always really appreciated the City Council's role and support.

And I don't think there's any doubt

2.0

that this administration has been really supportive of community gardening amongst a number of greening and environmental initiatives in the city. And, you know, a lot of us who are working in this community gardening who are in New York City know that some ways when the community gardeners in this room are in this room, when people like Edy Stone and Jack Lynn are in this room instead of out in the community, that we all lose in a way. So what we want to do is make sure that the community gardeners and the folks at Green Thumb can be out doing what they're doing and not in here with us.

And this is the interagency collaboration in this administration has been great. HPD and Green Thumb talking to each other, talking about pieces of land, making pieces of land available has been terrific. I also just want to, as an aside just say and I know that you all know this but if you're sort of learning of it for the first time that Green Thumb's \$800,000 budget, I think, is the deal of this century. I think we'd all agree that what they're able to do

with that budget and the support they give to community gardeners is great. And each individual community garden in New York City is also the deal of the century 'cause gardeners do so many things and they really consume such little of the city's resources so it's really a terrific thing.

But it's really kind of about the future. It's really about the fact that for whatever reason and we can sort of argue about the details, the process of these city rules, what's actually written down in these city rules has made community gardeners uneasy about the future of their gardens. Some of it is flashing back to a previous administration that wasn't supportive and worrying that if an administration in the future is also not supportive that they're going to have to fight for the future of their gardens all over again.

So it's really about looking to the future so we would hope that you would talk to gardeners, whether it's talking to the New York City Community Garden Coalition, talking to individual gardeners, garden groups in your district and to come up with solutions, whatever

those might be, whether it's legislation or rules to firmly preserve community gardens. We would urge you to do that.

I also just want to say that the announcement of a four year license now for community gardens is a terrific thing and I'm sure gardeners are going to be excited to hear about that so and we would be happy to work with the City Council and be part of any and all discussions to firmly preserve community gardens for the future. Thanks.

AMY GAVARES: Good afternoon.

Thank you for all that's been said before me and we stand on the shoulders of not only those who have spoken here today but generations of community gardens who fortunately have broad shoulders 'cause gardening gives you good, strong back and shoulders so... Thank you for allowing New York Restoration Project to testify today. I am Amy Gavares NYRP's Executive Vice President.

On behalf of our executive director, Amy Frietag, I want to thank Chairwoman Melissa Mark-Viverito and wish her a speedy recovery and members of the City Council, Council Members Brewer and Dromm and

Ferreras as well as New York City Parks

Commissioner Adrian Benope, Deputy Commissioner

Larry Blackman and Edy Stone and the Green Thumb

staff for your recent work in strengthening the

protections we provide New York City's community

gardens.

Significant progress was made and NYRP stands ready to continue working with the City Council, the Parks Department and the gardening community to identify further options for providing our gardens with more permanent protections as well as new opportunities to grow and enhance New York City's community garden programs.

As you may know NYRP is the proud steward of 55 of our city's community gardens. 52 of our gardens are owned by NYRP and their title's protected in perpetuity in a land trust, while three of our gardens are operated and maintained through license agreements with the Parks

Department. Since 1999, NYRP has invested more than \$10 million in privately fund raised monies in day to day maintenance, restoration and programming. We have worked hard to provide all

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of our gardens with the resources and support they
need to grow and thrive as vibrant community
meeting places and green pocket parks.

We are now making an enormous investment in expanding our community outreach and gardener engagement program as we firmly believe it is the participation and leadership of community members that sustain these gardens. This year we re-organized NYRP's community outreach department in an effort to renew our commitment to partnering with and supporting the communities and gardeners we serve. This past summer we piloted a new garden angels program that allowed us to employ a community member at each of three gardens, who is responsible for expanding access to the garden while growing the number of residents using the garden space. Our hope is to secure the necessary funding that will allow us to expand our garden angels program next summer to NYRP managed community gardens in all five boroughs.

We are also working to establish new partnerships with community organizations and city agencies in order to leverage more resources

through collaborative programming. And we are working hard to find creative approaches to making our garden design process more community based, investing more resources on community programming and engagement then design and construction. As all of us face the grim realities of recent economic downturn, it has never been more important for us to leverage our partnerships and find new and innovative ways, organizations working on behalf of New York City's community gardens and gardeners to collaborate and maximize those resources.

We believe much can be achieved by the Parks Department and Green Thumb bringing together organizations like NYRP, the Trust for Public Land, Green Gorillas, Grow NYC and other citywide and local non profits as well as other agencies like New York City Housing Authority, Department of Education to realize a long term vision for our city's entire catalog of community gardens. Working as a coalition, NYRP believes we can increase the potential to secure additional funds to support all gardens, expand gardeners' access to educational programming, identify new

opportunities to share resources, increase the public's access to our gardens and further promote urban agriculture and local food production.

With so much public attention and policy maker interest focus on community garden and urban agriculture, we must leverage this moment to increase our efforts to collaborate and together we can continue to build an innovative and sustainable model for urban gardening that greens our city, strengthens our neighborhoods, enriches the lives of our residents.

We also believe it is critically important that the Mayor's update to PlaNYC highlight the role community gardens play in ensuring our city's long term sustainability and our citizen's access to open green space. PlaNYC must recognize community gardens as necessary components of our city's green infrastructure and recommend they be a protected expanded and appropriately funded and maintained.

By working in partnership with the communities we serve and growing the collaboration between community gardening organizations, we can maximize the many benefits gardens bring to our

2.0

2.3

city and residents and ensure a diverse community
based community gardening program that is meeting
the needs of the 21st century garden and family.
Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you very much for your testimony and we'll be calling up the next panel. Thanks. Ellen Belcher, Morris Shumel Community Garden and Children's Magical Garden, Dr. Bill Shepherd, Time's Up New York, oh Ben, it says Ben. Okay, Ben and Migali Regis, Creative Little Garden New York.

[Pause]

You may begin.

ELLEN BELCHER: Hi, my name is

Ellen Belcher and I'm a gardener and a garden

activist. I'm a member of the Morris Shumell

community garden on West 162nd Street in

Washington Heights. We are registered with Green

Thumb and for the past 25 years or so community

members have been gardening on that same spot. I

am also a member of the Children's Magical Garden

de Carmen Rubio on Staten and Norfolk in the lower

east side. This garden and the children that play

there have also grown on the same spot for over 25

years. This garden sits on HPD land and has been designated as subject to development. I am also a member of the New York City Community Garden Coalition.

The most beautiful thing about the 300 plus, I guess it's 600, gardens in New York City is that they belong to the community, to the children and elders who live nearby who want a place to play, grow, to meet their neighbors and to share food and beauty. In the last few years the world has moved toward locally organically grown food, which is something that you can find in most of the city's gardens and everyone wants to get involved. For example, at this moment the Morris Shumel garden has a two year waiting list while next door to our garden an empty lot owned by private land speculators has sat fallow for many, many years.

We need a plan to expand the number of community gardens and urban farms. Some ideas I have heard is offering tax breaks to private land holders or even requiring land holders of empty lots to make them available to community gardeners. There are also good ideas that have

2.0

been suggested for swaps of public and private lands for places that it makes more sense to cultivate than build upon. There're examples of gardening and farming along highways and railroad easements and more community gardens in existing parks is another idea.

The city definitely needs to develop innovative policies and procedures to expand the city's community gardens and urban farms. I suggest a start be that the many vacant lots adjacent to existing gardens from which on our side of the fence seems like speculation waiting for another Guiliani style land give away. As a matter of fact, all existing lots in the city should be considered first for community gardens and urban farms.

While the Parks rules for community gardens are pretty good at maintaining the status quo of community gardens under Parks jurisdictions and I look forward to the legislation that will make them more permanent, they do nothing for the many gardens under the jurisdiction of HPD, DOT, NYCHA and other agencies. It seems most egregious that HPD has issued their own rules for a few of

2.0

the community gardens, which we have just learned will soon be number 16 gardens growing under their jurisdiction on land they have not been able to give away to developers for a decade or more. The HPD rules for community gardens are all about processes for closing down gardens, which I suppose includes the Children's Magical Garden de Carmen Rubio. It's high time to put this garden's land under Parks and get HPD out of the business of administering gardens, which as documented by their rules, they're definitely not good at.

We have heard that legislation to give community gardens permanent protections and to create more gardens is in the works and I hope our testimony here today will contribute to the final results. I encourage those working on legislation to recognize the many present and future gardens will continue to grow on land that is not administered by Parks and to be sure that the legislation will extend and support protections to all community gardens not just those under DPR, DRP, sorry.

Gardens need the language and protections in the agreement of 2002. Community

2.0

gardens should be celebrated and supported in legislation. Not only should they be made permanent, they should also have permanent fences and gates and water hook ups and electricity. It should be illegal for private property owners to continue to speculate on land directly adjacent to a community garden without allowing its cultivation. Gardens should no longer be mapped on city maps as vacant land; they should be mapped as community gardens.

DR. BENJAMIN SHEPHERD: Thank you,
Ellen. My kids have also played in the Children's
Magical Garden for years and I will tell you guys,
there's a qualitative difference between kids
running around in a community garden and getting
muddy than kids running in a city park and having
their knee scraped on the concrete. It really,
there's a huge difference and I hope that when I
get older and my kids are older, they have
community gardens they can play in but I'm frankly
concerned that we're going to widdle them away, a
few a decade, a few a decade, a few a decade. I
mean, the pro-growth urban regime of New York
City, it's threatening these green spaces.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

	As we saw	this summer, people	love
the community	gardens.	We held ralliesyes	
ma'am.			

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I'm sorry. Can you just say your name for the record? DR. SHEPHERD: I'm sorry. Benjamin Shepherd, Time's Up New York. We held rallies for the community gardens, we rode bicycles to Bloomberg's house to ask him to save the community gardens. We had members climb up in trees in City Hall parks to save the community gardens. press conferences, we had speak outs. People love the community gardens. They're passionate about the community gardens and then people in this room have all been part of that mobilization because this is something we're asking for. We're not asking for penny more. We're asking just to preserve these spaces.

And frankly we've appreciate the city's response to the outcry of support of the community gardens but until there's legislation on the table that we can all vote for we're all going to be suspect. We really need leadership, too.

That's what we're asking for you guys; please show

some leadership. We really need this. It's been decades that these gardens have been in limbo and I hope it doesn't continue. I'm 41. When I first moved to the city the gardens were under threat. I'm getting gray hair watching the gardens under threat so guys, please.

In 1980 there were 1,400 community gardens. Today, the 282 gardens in the Parks

Department that are basically safe but the other

300 are completely in limbo. They are not safe.

The city's continued a policy of swapping gardens out of the Parks Department into HPD and vice

versa so it says they haven't bulldozed any Parks

Department gardens but 350 HPD gardens have been bulldozed in the last ten years so there's a real concern right here that there wont be any gardens.

There's only 282 left. So we're asking you to show a little bit of leadership.

The practice of swapping must stop.

Many of the swapped gardens are still green spaces

and they can be preserved. We oppose the loss of

these spaces and Astroturf and cement parks really

don't count guys. We need more than Astroturf 
it still hurts. We're also concerned about the

2.0

lack of commitment to support, preserve or create new gardens. The social rate of return for community gardens in terms of quality of life, property values, reduced crime, social cohesion, reduced global warming. These all should make this a no-brainer. These are spaces that increase quality of life for every single New Yorker so we ask you to really support these spaces.

And finally, gardens aren't standing in the way of housing. Garden activists support the need for both more gardens and affordable housing. The city could easily reach its benchmarks for truly affordable housing if all properties that went into tax arrears and were taken over by the city were preserved as low income housing. The city could also review all such properties to identify land and create community gardens.

In sum, ensure community gardens are here for our children. Gardens are a crucial part of the solution for our global city's sustainable future. We ask for your leadership. Thank you very much for this opportunity to speak.

MIGALI REGIS: Hello, my name is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Migali Regis and I'm a member of the New York City

Community Garden Coalition and also a member of

the Creative Little Garden in the East Village.

I've had a nasty cold for the past three days and

I have made a supreme effort to get out of bed and

I was really scolded by my husband to come here

and testify but I wanted to make sure my voice was

heard so here I am.

I have been a member of the Creative Little Garden located at 530 East 6th Street in the East Village, New York City for the past 15 years and our garden has been in existence for probably around 25. Our garden is striving and is an invaluable asset in our community and I invite every City Council member to stop by and visit. We are in fact more than a garden, we are a neighborhood park and local residents actively use it every day to admire nature at work, rest, read, eat their lunch, mingle with friends, teach their kids about plants, listen to the many birds that call it home, play music, gather with family and friends, etc, etc. We also have a lot of weddings in our garden.

During three seasons we have

extensive daily open hours from 11:00 am to sunset. We are an oasis of green in the middle of this concrete city. Feel free to visit our web site. It's www.creativelittlegarden.org, which will tell you a bit more about the garden and what it has to offer. Our garden is not just for neighborhood residents. Since we are listed in many international guidebooks about New York we also have many visitors from Europe, Australia and elsewhere in the world. Community gardens in New York City offer invaluable benefits to the city and its residents. The following is a list of some of these benefits and why they should become permanently protected.

Community organizing, gardens increase the sense of community ownership and stewardship. They foster the development of a community identity and sprit. They bring people together from a variety of background, age, race, culture and social class. They build community leaders. They offer a focal point for community organizing and can lead to community based effort to deal with other social concerns.

Crime prevention, gardens provide

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunities to meet neighbors, they increase eyes on the street, they are recognized by many police departments as an effective community crime prevention strategy. Cultural opportunities, gardens offer unique opportunities for new immigrants to produce traditional crops that otherwise would be unavailable locally, to take advantage of the experience of elders to produce a significant amount of food for the household, to provide inter generational exposure to cultural traditions, to offer a cultural exchange with other gardeners, to offer neighborhoods an access point to non English speaking communities, to allow people from diverse backgrounds to work side by side on common goals without speaking the same language.

Youth, community gardens offer unique opportunities to teach youth about where their food come from, practical math skills, basic business principles, the importance of community and stewardship, issues of environmental sustainability, job and life skills and healthy inexpensive activity for youth that can bring them closer to nature and allow them to interact with

2.0

each other.

gardeners, especially those from immigrant communities take advantage of food production in community gardens to provide a significant food source and/or income. Gardens allow families and individuals without land of their own the opportunity to produce food. Gardens provide access to nutritionally rich food that may otherwise be unavailable to low income families. Gardens donate thousands of pounds of fresh produce to food pantries and involve people in processes that provide food security and alleviate hunger.

Health, studies have shown that community gardeners and their children eat healthier diet than non-gardening families.

Exposure to green space reduces stress and increase a sense of well being and belonging. And last but not least, green space. Community gardens add beauty to the community and heighten people's awareness and appreciation of living things. They filter rain water, helping to keep lakes, rivers and ground water clean. They

2.0

2.3

restore oxygen to the air and help to reduce air pollution. They recycle huge numbers of tree trimmings, leaves, grass, clippings and other organic waste back into the soil. They provide a place to retreat from the noise and commotion of urban environments. They provide much needed green space in lower income neighborhood, which typically have access to less green space than other parts of the community.

Development and maintenance of garden space is less expensive than that of park land. Scientific studies show that crime decreases in neighborhoods as the amount of green space increases. And community gardens have been shown to actually increase property values in the immediate vicinity where they are located. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you for your testimony and we will be calling up the next panel. This is actually the panel before the street renaming and it is a panel of one, Stephen Kidd, CMT Steering Committee.

[Pause]

STEPHEN KIDD: Good afternoon. My

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

name is Stephen Kidd. I'm a community gardener at the Carrie McCracken Choose Community Garden, which is located on St. Nicholas Avenue between 118th and 117th Streets. We were one of two gardens that was under HPD that was transferred. And our garden and the community is very happy for that event.

The Parks Department--there should have been more. The Parks Department ruled changes on community gardens represent a measured improvement to the eight years of benign neglect on the issue of making permanent citizens manage greening efforts. What remains is for the Council to ensure that a legal foundation for urban gardening and urban farming is embedded in the tapestry of laws and regulations governing land The passage of urban farming legislation in use. Seattle clearly points out what a municipal legislature can do. If you leave this matter to the whims of a future administration, you will have failed us to be a check and a balance on executive discretion.

Whether you believe your friend or your foe will occupy the throne next, it is your

challenge to help set the legal parameters of executive expression with respect to the permanence of community gardening in this city.

While some of this is not the direct province of this Committee, you can have the matter researched with Seattle's recent farming legislation as a guide post and express an advisory opinion to the entire Council. If you need an offset for the cost, I would suggest that you fund hard research over advocacy. You are two friends of the citizen gardening effort, when you do all you can to help us gardeners be all we can.

As to your oversight of the Parks

Department's administration of community gardens,

I have several additional concerns. These include

the need to address the retrenchment of the

Sanitation Department on composting. Why are we

buying compost from New jersey when the Department

of Parks and its network of gardens can produce

more cheaper. Copious compost is the best agent

for mending our city soils. With enough compost,

we can farm directly on concrete, most more on our

post demolition lots.

Two, there's an opportunity to use

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

community gardens to promote green technologies
for increased local food production, particularly
there are solar, biofuel production and water
reuse options that should be implemented to make
our gardens more sustainable for the future

Three, also the use of city owned watershed lands for nursery production should be studied. Local nurseries and farms are land locked; give them access to land in return for a cornucopia of plant starts each season. Let our gardeners and the Parks Department, guided by our botanical gardens and the state's extension service, harvest hearty, field grown starts each year to grow out in local gardens. New York City can be more self reliant in supporting its volunteer labor force for food production. In order for the Parks Department to optimize community gardening, there needs to be collaborations with other city entities. committee can be the spur to make it happen. Respectfully submitted. Thank you.

[Applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Kidd and now we're going

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	to	be	transitioning	into	the	street	renamings.

3 Thank you all for coming to testify on behalf of

4 the community gardens. Anne Ellen Maddigan of

5 Rose Hill Apartments, Joseph Miriana, Fordham

6 University, Carrie Laney the New York Botanical

Garden and Jacqueline Morrison, PS 84 Sydney

8 Morrison Way.

heard on this matter.

## [Pause]

Okay, we're going to begin the testimony and you may begin, thank you.

ANNE ELLEN MADDIGAN: Thank you.

Good afternoon, my name is Anne Ellen Maddigan and
I'm here to represent the residents of Rose Hill
Apartments in the Bronx. I've been the Director
of Social Services for Rose Hill Housing
Management Corporation since 1997. I appreciate
the opportunity to testify and thank the
Chairwoman and Committee members for the
opportunity for our residents and staff to be

Rose Hill Apartments is a 119-unit nine-story building for low income senior citizens and younger disabled persons. We are located across the street from Botanical Gardens, just

2.0

south of Bedford Park Boulevard. At present, we have 136 residents and there are in many more in staff, home care and health care workers, visitors and relatives who travel to our building each day.

Here are some of the varied problems with our address. Our building was in planning and construction just about the time the naming of the street was changes to Casameeroff [phonetic] Boulevard. Our postal address, however, has remained 2855 Southern Boulevard. There are constant problems with this duality. First of all police and fire responders have a difficult time finding our building. Since we work with an older and often more frail population, this is a big problem. Many of our residents also use ambulette services and accessaride and have received complaints from their drivers about finding our building.

Second, persons trying to visit or deliver goods can not find us. There is confusion with many GPS systems. Recently we had considerable trouble getting a new computer component as FedEx could not find the building.

We get many calls from delivery truck drivers and

other people who have business to do in the building who are lost. People often get confused because the Southern Boulevard signs end at Fordham Road.

In short, we would be most pleased if the Southern Boulevard name was restored and support any efforts to make this happen. I have some petitions here that we had signed last year and again this past weekend when we became aware of this hearing. We mean no disrespect to the memory of Dr. Casameeroff who loved the Bronx and whose work on the history of the borough is most valued or to his family. The dual name change solution would be an excellent compromise as opposed to a complete name change and would also relieve and further confusion the complete name change may possible effect. Thank you for this opportunity to speak from our residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you very much for your testimony.

JOE MIRIANA: Good afternoon. My
name is Joe Miriana and I'm the Associate Vice
President for Government and Urban Affairs at
Fordham University. First, I would like to thank

Chairwoman Melissa Mark-Viverito, who is not here
today, the acting Chair Julissa Ferreras,
Councilwoman Gale Brewer and Council Member Daniel
Dromm, I guess Mr. Dromm is gone, as well as the
other Committee members for gibing Fordham the
opportunity to offer testimony today regarding the
proposal to revert the street name, Dr. Theodore
Casameeroff Boulevard that runs from the
intersection of East Fordham Road to the Maslew
Parkway entrance to the Bronx River Parkway in the
Bronx back to its original designation as Southern
Boulevard, while simultaneously making Dr.
Theodore Casameeroff Way a secondary street name
in accord with the current practice of the Council
to pay homage to distinguished, departed members
of the community.
Dr. Casameeroff was most assuredly
a distinguished Bronx resident and a prominent

Dr. Casameeroff was most assuredly a distinguished Bronx resident and a prominent civic leader across the entire borough of the Bronx as a co-founder of the Bronx County Historical Society and a most notable 20th century Bronx voice in and for the community. Fordham thus supports a dual name compromise that was suggested and proposed by Bronx community board

number 7 and is seeded to by the Casameeroff family, for which we are grateful.

The reasons for our supporting this secondary street naming are diverse and wide ranging. Primary among them is our concern about the confusion initially generated by the fact that the United States Postal Service has, for the better part of three decades since the original change, refuse to officially recognize the street name change and the existing Casameeroff designation. More recently, many mapping tools and global position systems only recognize the original Southern Boulevard's designation or recognize both of them, causing great confusion.

Even though the physical signs designate the same section of road as Dr. Theodore Casameeroff Boulevard, this current duality has over the years resulted in confusion and result in difficulty for emergency responders such as police and fire fighters to promptly identify locations where assistance is needed, especially with respect to the senior citizens living at 2855 Southern Boulevard, Southern Casameeroff Boulevard known as the Rose Hill Apartments, 119 unit, nine

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

story building for low income senior citizens and younger disabled persons sponsored by Fordham

University and located across from the New York

Botanical Garden just south of Bedford Park

Boulevard. These residents must use Southern

Boulevard as their mailing address but then must remember to use Casameeroff when calling for police and EMS fire response, a tough situation for elderly residents.

Additionally, as the use of GPS has increased, the delivery of goods, mail and the ability for visitors and deliveries to locate Fordham and other institutions has been increasingly hindered. For example, when potential visitors and delivery drivers conduct a search with the aid of Google map, they find over a half dozen separate addresses that incorrectly identify the street and Fordham University's location, which has its main vehicle entrance on Casameeroff Southern. The New York Botanical Garden has struggled with similar problems. These various addresses can give the prospective delivery person or visitor the general vicinity but none of them are very clear with respect to

2.0

the location of the institutions and prospective delivery drivers end up confused and frequently lost. Many end up turning around and leaving the Bronx with frustration, without making deliveries. It is this we also seek to remedy.

Fordham recognizes the value and both contributions of Dr. Casameeroff has made to the Bronx and to the City of New York. It has always been our intention to honor his legacy and pay homage to his valuable work while resolving any directional and locational [phonetic] challenges that continue to be experienced as a result of the name confusion.

Therefore, we fully support
returning the legal street name to Southern
Boulevard and making Dr. Casameeroff Way the
secondary street name as this will maintain the
public honor originally intended and will
eliminate the health and safety, post office
delivery and transportation issues that are
currently problems for us and our neighbors,
including the New York Botanical Garden, Rose Hill
Apartments and the Fordham Preparatory School.
Thank you again for this opportunity to testify

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

today.

CARRIE LANEY: Good afternoon. Му name is Carrie Laney, Vice President for Government and Community Relations at the New York Botanical Garden. First I would like to thank the Committee and the distinguished committee members for giving us this opportunity to testify regarding Intro 417 sponsored by Council Member Oliver Koppell, which proposes to revert the name, Dr. Theodore Casameeroff Boulevard, from East Fordham Road to Maslew Parkway in the Bronx to its original designation of Southern Boulevard and make Dr. Theodore Casameeroff Way a secondary honorary street name, as is currently practiced to pay homage to distinguished members of the community.

Now my written testimony, which you have in front of you repeats a lot was said by my colleagues and I'm not going to do that to you but what I'm going to do is just quickly explain it maybe in a little more conversational speak.

Southern Boulevard is this and in the sort of one end of it there's a piece that changes from Southern to Dr. Theodore Casameeroff, back to

Southern too, that sort of gets lost in all of our formal speak. And it was done in the 80s, early 80s right after he passed away very much in the spirit that we agree with today, to honor him. He's well regarded in the community, Bronx Historical Society holds him in high regard as his family's still in the community.

asking for this. And if it was done today, it would have been done as a secondary honorary street naming. But, as my colleagues have described, I am a self professed addict to GPS and you have to think to type D-R period T-H-E-O-D-O-R-E and no one thinks of it. Locally, it's sort of understood as Casameeroff or Southern Cas, as Joe said sort of off the cuff earlier. And it does cause real hardship.

Visitors from around the city, very locally people sort of figured it out but it does impact tourism, it does impact who can come to the New York Botanical Garden. It does impact parents coming to see their kids at Fordham. It does impact Rose Hill, that has to teach its employees to say one address when calling EMS or another to

2.0

2.3

get a Fed Ex. You know, it just was not done the
way we would do it today and we're just asking, as
Council Member Koppell has introduced, that we
just clean it up. It's a clean up and again, the
New York Botanical Garden holds the Dr. Theodore
Casameeroff in the highest regard and we just
would like to kind of clean this issue up. So
thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

JACQUELINE MORRISON: Good

afternoon. My name is Jacqueline Idaldo Morrison,

I am a dual language coordinator and a literacy

coach for the Department of Education. So thank

you Councilman, person, Gale Brewer for giving me

this permission to come today for this public

hearing and for all the rest of the Council

persons that have left or were here.

I am requesting that the secondary name of West 92nd Street Southeast between Columbus Avenue and Central Park West be named in honor of Sydney H. Morrison, who was the principal at PS 84, the Lillian Webber School, for 26 years. Not because he was a math teacher at the Joan of Ark Junior High in 93rd Street, or the math chairman, or the math coordinator for district 3

2.0

in the upper west side, not because he was a graduate from Columbia University who lived at the corner of 93rd Street and Amsterdam Avenue for over 30 years, nor an individual who just lived on the upper west side for over 50 years of his life but because he was the principal of PS 84, the Lillian Webber School for 26 years and believed in public education for all children. He had the ability to influence parents, community, staff, colleagues and children to acquire enough knowledge so that they're education was the key to freedom. He was the pioneer in bringing so many programs to the school and the neighborhood.

In 1983 PS 84 won the Rockefeller
Brother Fund, natural war foot [phonetic]
integrated our program. In 1989 Sid Morrison was
awarded the New York City School and Culture
Award. He established in 1985 the first SpanishEnglish two way immersion program committed to
producing bilingual, bilateral students in New
York City. The program was nominated in 1991 for
a National Academic Excellence Award. From the
beginning, he was different and the parents wanted
him.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 1971 the New York Times stated the following about Sid Morrison, "One young principal in New York City upper west side who helped institute a new, informal classroom organization is still under court challenge because he was selected outside the regular civil service process. This was because he was elected by the parents to become a community principal." When we had so many budget cuts during the 1979, he wouldn't believe what's happening now, the Times quoted him again, "We have commitment to kids and we make it work. It's a tremendous strain but I don't want to produce non-readers and non-learners just to prove the cuts hurt."

Sydney once said, "I have helped people understand one another, which has helped put the focus on children and make this school a place for children. The child is central here and everything we do evolves from that point of view. We try to include aspects of the child's cultural background. We connect that we're supposed to teach with where the child comes from." This is why the Sydney H. Morrison name should be the secondary name at 92nd Street. It should say PS

2	84, Sid Morrison Way. He was the renaissance of
3	education for more than two decades on the upper
4	west side. Thank you.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just want
6	to second that. 'Cause over Thanksgiving I saw
7	Adam Messinger.
8	MS. MORRISON: Adam Messinger?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Mm-hmm.
10	And he said he has a PhD now and he said Sid
11	Morrison is the reason why. But it's pretty
12	special. I think it was very few white kids in
13	that school but he said Gale, there were more than
14	when I went to Joan of Ark, 'cause Ruth believed
15	in Sid Morrison so congratulations. Your husband
16	was great.
17	MS. MORRISON: Thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You should
19	be Chancellor compared to what we're dealing with.
20	Thank you very much.
21	MS. MORRISON: I thought of that,
22	too, butthank you. Thank you all.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you
24	all for your testimony and your clarification on
25	the portion of Southern Boulevard or Southern.

2.0

Now, I'm saying it wrong I think. But thank you very much for your testimony and for taking the time to come before the Council.

I just wanted to, there's several street renamings but I just wanted to read one in particular of Staff Sergeant Louis Manuel Gonzalez Street and that's the one I've introduced. Louise Manuel Gonzalez was a loving son, caring father and great husband who joined the United States Army in 2002. He was assigned to the first battalion, 17 infantry regiment fifth, striker brigade, combat team second infantry division at Fort Louis, Washington.

He was killed in combat in

Afghanistan when enemy forces attacked his vehicle
with an explosive device. He was awarded the
bronze star and the United States Army
commendation over his eight years of military
career. He was a resident of Corona and my
district and I want to also take time and paying
homage to him by introducing this street renaming
in our district. So I thank you all for your
testimony and we will be calling this hearing to a
close. Thank you. [Bangs Gavel]

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

tu Kin

Signature

Date December 14, 2010